

On the Lindelöf Hypothesis for the Riemann Zeta function

Lahoucine Elaissaoui*

June 4, 2024

Abstract

In order to well understand the behaviour of the Riemann zeta function inside the critical strip, we show; among other things, the Fourier expansion of the $\zeta^k(s)$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) in the half-plane $\Re s > 1/2$ and we deduce a necessary and sufficient condition for the truth of the Lindelöf Hypothesis. We conclude with some remarks and discussions.

MSC 2020. Primary 11M06, 30B10, 30B40, 30B50, 30B30, 11N56; Secondary 11B65

Keywords. Riemann zeta function, Lindelöf Hypothesis, Divisor problems, Stieltjes constants, Fourier series

1 Introduction and statement of the main result

The Lindelöf Hypothesis is a significant open problem in analytic number theory that concerns the growth of the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ on the critical line, $\Re s = 1/2$. We recall that $\zeta(s)$ is initially defined for any complex number $s = \sigma + it$ in the half-plane $\sigma > 1$ by the Dirichlet series $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} 1/n^s$ and extends analytically, by its integral representation

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{s}{s-1} - s \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\{x\}}{x^{s+1}} dx, \quad (1)$$

*Department of mathematics, Faculty of sciences, Mohammed V University in Rabat, 4 Street Ibn Battouta B.P. 1014 RP, Rabat, e-mail: l.e-laissaoui@um5r.ac.ma; lahouches@gmail.com

where $\{\cdot\}$ denotes the fractional part function, and the functional equation [11, p. 16]

$$\zeta(s) = \chi(s)\zeta(1-s) \quad \text{where} \quad \chi(s) = \pi^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)} \quad (2)$$

(Γ is the well-known Euler gamma function), to the whole complex plane except for a simple pole at $s = 1$. Thus, it is clear that $\zeta(s)$ is bounded in any half-plane $\sigma \geq \sigma_0 > 1$; and by the functional equation (2), since for any bounded σ we have [11, p. 78]

$$|\chi(s)| \sim \left(\frac{|t|}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma} \quad \text{as} \quad |t| \rightarrow \infty,$$

then for all $\sigma \leq 1 - \sigma_0 < 0$,

$$\zeta(s) = O\left(|t|^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma}\right).$$

However, the order of $\zeta(s)$ is not completely understood inside the critical strip $0 < \sigma < 1$; the Phragmén-Lindelöf principle [12, §9.41] implies that if $\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) = O(|t|^{\kappa+\varepsilon})$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, then we have

$$\zeta(s) = O\left(|t|^{2(1-\sigma)\kappa+\varepsilon}\right), \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0,$$

uniformly in the strip $1/2 \leq \sigma < 1$; and the order of the Riemann zeta function in the strip $0 < \sigma \leq 1/2$ follows from the functional equation (2). Notice that, the optimal value of κ is not known and the best value obtained to date is due to Bourgain [2], that is $\kappa = 13/84$; however, the yet unproved Lindelöf Hypothesis states that $\kappa = 0$. Actually, there are many equivalent statements to the Lindelöf Hypothesis expressed by means of k th power of the Riemann zeta function ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) and its related arithmetic functions, see for example [11, p. 320] and [7]; in particular, by combining Theorems 12.5 and 13.4 in [11], the Lindelöf Hypothesis holds true if and only if the integral

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Re s = \frac{1}{2}} \frac{|\zeta(s)|^{2k}}{|s|^2} |ds| \quad (3)$$

converges for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Whereas, the convergence of the integral (3) yields an other necessary condition for the truth of the Lindelöf Hypothesis, as the author and Guennoun proved [6, Th. 4.6]; but, is also sufficient as we shall show in this paper.

In fact, the author and Guennoun showed in [6] that the values of the Riemann zeta function in the half-plane $\sigma \geq 1/2$ are encoded in the binomial

transform of the Stieltjes constants $(\gamma_j)_{j \geq 0}$ (see for example [1]); namely, for all $\sigma \geq 1/2$, $s \neq 1$, we have

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{s}{s-1} + \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} (-1)^n \ell_n \left(\frac{s-1}{s} \right)^n \quad (4)$$

where $\ell_0 = \gamma_0 - 1$ and

$$\ell_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \binom{n-1}{j-1} \frac{(-1)^{n-j}}{j!} \gamma_j \quad (n \in \mathbb{N})$$

is a square-summable sequence. Hence, one can deduce the estimation of the Riemann zeta function in the half-plane $\sigma > 1/2$ by studying the growth of the Fourier coefficients $(\ell_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$, which requires more improvements. An other proof of (4), for $\sigma > 1/2$, has been given by the author in [5] by showing, among other things, that $((-1)^{n-1} \ell_n)_{n \geq 0}$ are the Fourier-Laguerre coefficients of the fractional part function, $\{\cdot\}$, in the Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H}_0 := \left\{ f : (1, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \int_1^{+\infty} |f(x)|^2 dw(x) < +\infty \right\}, \quad \left(dw(x) = \frac{dx}{x^2} \right)$$

associated with the orthonormal basis $(\mathcal{L}_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$, where for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\mathcal{L}_j(x) = L_j(\log(x))$ and (L_j) are the classical Laguerre polynomials [10]; with respect to the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_1^{+\infty} f(x) \overline{g(x)} dw(x), \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{H}_0;$$

see [5] for more details.

In this paper, we shall generalize the expansion given in (4) for the k th power of the Riemann zeta function ($k \in \mathbb{N}$), as a consequence, we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for the truth of the Lindelöf Hypothesis and we conclude with some remarks and discussions.

For the sake of completeness, let us fix some notations and recall briefly some facts about $\zeta^k(s)$. It is clear that the function $(s-1)\zeta(s)$ is regular and its Taylor expansion near to $s = 1$ is given, for all $|s-1| \leq 1$, by

$$(s-1)\zeta(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda_j}{j!} (s-1)^j;$$

where $\lambda_0 = 1$ and $\lambda_j = (-1)^{j-1} j \gamma_{j-1}$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus by using the Cauchy product of absolutely convergent series, see for example [12, p. 32], we obtain,

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the Taylor expansion of $(s-1)^k \zeta^k(s)$ in the region $|s-1| < 1$, that is

$$(s-1)^k \zeta^k(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda_{j,k}}{j!} (s-1)^j; \quad (5)$$

where $\lambda_{j,1} := \lambda_j$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and

$$\lambda_{j,k} = \sum_{i=0}^j \binom{j}{i} \lambda_{i,k-1} \lambda_{j-i}, \quad k \geq 2.$$

It should be noted that, through this paper, the binomial number is defined by $\binom{j}{i} = j!/(i!(j-i)!)$ if $i \in [0, j]$ ($j \in \mathbb{N}_0$) and equals 0 otherwise. Moreover, one can use the following equivalent recursive formula

$$\lambda_{0,k} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{j,k} = \frac{1}{j} \sum_{i=1}^j \binom{j}{i} (ik - j + i) \lambda_{j-i,k} \lambda_i \quad j \in \mathbb{N},$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, to compute the terms of the sequence $(\lambda_{j,k})_j$. Actually, the expression of the k th power of $\zeta(s)$ in the half-plane $\sigma > 1$ are obtained by using the product of the absolutely convergent Dirichlet series, see for example [12, p. 33]; namely

$$\zeta^k(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{d_k(n)}{n^s} \quad (\sigma > 1), \quad (6)$$

where $d_k(n)$ is the number of expressions of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ as a product of k factors; in particular $d_k(1) = 1$ and $d_1(n) = 1$ for any positive integer n . Thus, by Abel's summation formula, we have for all $\sigma > 1$

$$\zeta^k(s) = s \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{D_k(x)}{x^{s+1}} dx \quad (7)$$

where, [11, p. 313],

$$D_k(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} d_k(n) = xP_k(\log(x)) + \Delta_k(x) \quad (x > 0); \quad (8)$$

P_k is a polynomial of degree $k-1$; in particular $P_1(X) = 1$, and

$$\Delta_k(x) = O\left(x^{1-\frac{1}{k}} \log^{k-2}(x)\right) \quad (k \geq 2)$$

is the error term function; with $\Delta_1(x) = -\{x\}$. Notice that, the exact order of $\Delta_k(x)$ for $k \geq 2$, denoted by α_k , is still undetermined and is known as ‘‘Piltz

divisor problem". It has been shown that $(1-k)/(2k) \leq \alpha_k \leq (1-k)/(1+k)$, [11, § XII], and it is conjectured by Titchmarsh that $\alpha_k = (k-1)/(2k)$ [11, p. 320]. Therefore, replacing $D_k(x)$ by its expression (8) in (7) and putting $P_k(X) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (a_{j,k}/j!)X^j$, we obtain for all $\sigma > 1$

$$\zeta^k(s) = s \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{a_{j,k}}{(s-1)^{j+1}} + s \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\Delta_k(x)}{x^{s+1}} dx. \quad (9)$$

Moreover, the absolute convergence of the right-hand side integral for all $\sigma > \alpha_k$ provides the analytic continuation of $\zeta^k(s)$ over the half-plane $\sigma > \alpha_k$ with the pole of order k at $s = 1$. Remark that, the case of $k = 1$ is included and is exactly (1). Furthermore, by using (5) one can obtain the explicit form of the polynomials $(P_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in terms of $(\lambda_{j,k})$; namely,

$$a_{j,k} = (-1)^{k-1-j} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1-j} (-1)^i \frac{\lambda_{i,k}}{i!}, \quad (j = 0, \dots, k-1) \quad (10)$$

which is the same result obtained by Lavrik [8]. Actually, the right-hand side integral in (9) converges absolutely for all $\sigma > \sigma_k$ where $(1-k)/(2k) \leq \sigma_k \leq \alpha_k$ denotes the average order of $\Delta_k(x)$; i.e. the least real number such that $\int_1^X \Delta_k^2(x) dx = O(X^{2\sigma_k+1+\varepsilon})$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$ [11, p. 322], and then the analytic continuation of $\zeta^k(s)$ holds over the half-plane $\sigma > \sigma_k$ with the pole of order k at $s = 1$. In particular, if the Lindelöf Hypothesis is true then $\zeta^k(s)$ extends analytically by (9) to half-plane $\sigma > 1/2$ for any given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, [11, Th. 13.4], except at the pole $s = 1$ of order k .

However, without assuming any hypothesis we have the following Theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *For any given $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all complex number $s = \sigma + it \neq 1$ in the half-plane $\sigma > 1/2$, we have*

$$\zeta^k(s) = \sum_{n \geq -k} (-1)^n \ell_{n,k} \left(\frac{s-1}{s} \right)^n ;$$

where

$$\ell_{n,k} := \begin{cases} (-1)^n \sum_{j=1}^n \binom{n-1}{j-1} \frac{\lambda_{j+k,k}}{(j+k)!} & \text{if } n \geq 1, \\ (-1)^k \sum_{j=0}^{k+n} \binom{k-j}{-n} (-1)^j \frac{\lambda_{j,k}}{j!} & \text{if } -k \leq n \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

In particular, the case of $k = 1$ leads to the expansion (4). Notice that, the series in the theorem above is absolutely convergent for all $\sigma > 1/2$ ($s \neq 1$). Moreover, it has been shown by the author and Guennoun [6, Th. 4.6.] that if the Lindelöf Hypothesis is true then the Fourier coefficients $(\ell_{n,k})$ are square-summable for any given $k \in \mathbb{N}$; and this condition is also sufficient.

Corollary 1.2. *The Lindelöf Hypothesis is true if and only if $(\ell_{n,k})_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ are square-summable sequences for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Let $z = (1 - s)/s$ then it is clear that $\sigma > 1/2$ if and only if $z \in \mathbb{D}$, where \mathbb{D} denotes the open unit disk; thus, by Theorem 1.1, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the function

$$h_k(z) := z^k \zeta^k \left(\frac{1}{1+z} \right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \ell_{n-k,k} z^n$$

is analytic in \mathbb{D} . Hence, by [9, Th. 17.12], the sequence $(\ell_{n,k})_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is square-summable for any given $k \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if h_k belongs to Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ for any given $k \in \mathbb{N}$; namely,

$$\|h_k\|_{H^2}^2 := \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |h_k(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |h_k(e^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta < +\infty$$

for any given $k \in \mathbb{N}$; or,

$$\|h_k\|_{H^2}^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Re s = \frac{1}{2}} \frac{|\zeta(s)|^{2k}}{|s|^2} |ds| = \sum_{n \geq -k} \ell_{n,k}^2 < +\infty \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$$

which is equivalent, by combining [11, Th. 12.5.] and [11, Th. 13.4.], to the truth of the Lindelöf Hypothesis. \square

One can remark that, if $(\ell_{n,k})_n$ is square-summable for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ then the associated function h_k defined in the proof above belongs to the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ and consequently, by [9, 17.11] and [3], the series in Theorem 1.1 converges almost everywhere in the critical line; which can be considered as a weak version of the following result.

Theorem 1.3. *If the sequence $(\ell_{n,k})_n$ is square-summable for a given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have*

$$\zeta^k \left(\frac{1}{2} + it \right) = \sum_{n \geq -k} \ell_{n,k} \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2} - it}{\frac{1}{2} + it} \right)^n. \quad (11)$$

In particular, if the Lindelöf Hypothesis is true then the expansion (11) holds for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Notice that, the series (11) is conditionally convergent even if the sequence $(\ell_{n,k})_n$ is square-summable. Indeed, the convergence of $\sum_{n \geq -k} |\ell_{n,k}|$ implies, by (11) and Theorem 1.1, that $\zeta(s)$ is bounded in the strip $1/2 \leq \sigma < 1$ which contradicts the falsity of Lindelöf's boundedness conjecture [4, p. 184].

2 Proofs of theorems and further results

Let us start with the proof of the main theorem.

2.1 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We have by (4), the following absolutely convergent series in the half-plane $\sigma > 1/2$,

$$\left(\frac{s-1}{s}\right) \zeta(s) = \sum_{n \geq 0} l_n \left(\frac{s-1}{s}\right)^n$$

where $l_0 = 1$ and $l_n = (-1)^{n-1} \ell_{n-1}$ for all $n \geq 1$. Then, by using Cauchy product, see for example [12, p. 32], we obtain, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{s-1}{s}\right)^k \zeta^k(s) &= \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} l_n \left(\frac{s-1}{s}\right)^n\right)^k \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} l_{n,k} \left(\frac{s-1}{s}\right)^n \end{aligned}$$

where $l_{n,1} = l_n$ and

$$l_{n,k} = \sum_{j=0}^n l_{j,k-1} l_{n-j}, \quad k \geq 2. \quad (12)$$

Notice that, the coefficients $l_{n,k}$ can be even calculated by using the following recursive formula, $l_{0,k} = 1$ and for all $n \geq 1$,

$$l_{n,k} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (jk - n + j) l_{n-j,k} l_j.$$

Thus, for any $\sigma > 1/2$ and $s \neq 1$,

$$\zeta^k(s) = \sum_{n \geq -k} l_{n+k,k} \left(\frac{s-1}{s}\right)^n ;$$

that is, by putting $l_{n+k,k} = (-1)^n \ell_{n,k}$,

$$\zeta^k(s) = \sum_{n \geq -k} (-1)^n \ell_{n,k} \left(\frac{s-1}{s} \right)^n. \quad (13)$$

Remark that (ℓ_n) is square-summable, then by applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to (12) and by induction one can show initially that

$$l_{n,k} = O\left(n^{\frac{k-2}{2}}\right), \quad (k \geq 2)$$

which affirms that the radius of convergence of its related power series is 1. Notice that, the radius of convergence can not be greater than 1 since the Riemann zeta function is not bounded, in particular, on the critical line.

In the other hand, since for any complex number $s \neq 1$ and any $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\left(\frac{1}{s-1} \right)^j = \left(\frac{s}{s-1} - 1 \right)^j = \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{j}{i} (-1)^{j-i} \left(\frac{s}{s-1} \right)^i$$

and for any complex $s \neq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} s \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{a_{j,k}}{(s-1)^{j+1}} &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{a_{j,k}}{(s-1)^{j+1}} + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{a_{j,k}}{(s-1)^j} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{a_{j-1,k} + a_{j,k}}{(s-1)^j} \end{aligned}$$

with the convention that $a_{-1,k} = a_{k,k} = 0$; then, for any complex number $s \neq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} s \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{a_{j,k}}{(s-1)^{j+1}} &= \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{i=0}^k (a_{j,k} + a_{j-1,k}) \binom{j}{i} (-1)^{j-i} \left(\frac{s}{s-1} \right)^i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\sum_{j=i}^k \binom{j}{i} ((-1)^j a_{j,k} - (-1)^{j-1} a_{j-1,k}) \right) (-1)^i \left(\frac{s}{s-1} \right)^i. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (10), for any $s \neq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} s \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{a_{j,k}}{(s-1)^{j+1}} &= \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\sum_{j=i}^k \binom{j}{i} (-1)^j \frac{\lambda_{k-j,k}}{(k-j)!} \right) (-1)^i \left(\frac{s}{s-1} \right)^i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-i} \binom{k-j}{i} (-1)^{k-i-j} \frac{\lambda_{j,k}}{j!} \right) \left(\frac{s}{s-1} \right)^i \\ &:= \sum_{n=-k}^{-1} c_{n+k,k} \left(\frac{s-1}{s} \right)^n \end{aligned}$$

where,

$$c_{n,k} = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{k-j}{k-n} (-1)^{n-j} \frac{\lambda_{j,k}}{j!}, \quad (n = 0, \dots, k-1).$$

Therefore, the formula (9) can be rewritten, for any given $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $\sigma > \sigma_k$ with $s \neq 1$, as

$$\zeta^k(s) = \sum_{n=-k}^{-1} c_{n+k,k} \left(\frac{s-1}{s} \right)^n + s \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\Delta_k(x)}{x^{s+1}} dx. \quad (14)$$

Since the integral in the right-hand side is absolutely convergent for any complex number s in the half-plane $\sigma > \sigma_k$ (which is a domain containing $s = 1$) then it represents an analytic function in the half-plane $\sigma > \sigma_k$; thus, we deduce from (13) that

$$\ell_{n,k} = (-1)^n c_{n+k} = (-1)^k \sum_{j=0}^{n+k} \binom{k-j}{-n} (-1)^j \frac{\lambda_{j,k}}{j!} \quad (-k \leq n \leq -1); \quad (15)$$

and for all $\sigma > \sigma_k$

$$F_k(s) := s \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\Delta_k(x)}{x^{s+1}} dx = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} (-1)^n \ell_{n,k} \left(\frac{s-1}{s} \right)^n, \quad (16)$$

which provides the analytic continuation of $F_k(s)$ over the half-plane $\sigma > 1/2$.

Now, it remains to determinate the explicit forms of $\ell_{n,k}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Thus, since F_k is analytic in $\sigma > \sigma_k$ then we have near to $s = 1$,

$$F_k(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \frac{F_k^{(j)}(1)}{j!} (s-1)^j$$

where $F_k^{(j)}(1)$ denotes the j th derivative of F_k at $s = 1$; and by using (10) and (5) we have

$$F_k^{(0)}(1) = F_k(1) = \frac{\lambda_{k,k}}{k!} - a_{0,k} = (-1)^k \sum_{m=0}^k (-1)^m \frac{\lambda_{m,k}}{m!}$$

and for all $j \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} F_k^{(j)}(1) &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \frac{d^j}{ds^j} \left(\zeta^k(s) - \sum_{m=1}^k \frac{a_{m-1,k} + a_{m,k}}{(s-1)^m} \right) \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \frac{d^j}{ds^j} \left(\zeta^k(s) - \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \frac{\lambda_{m,k}}{m!} \frac{1}{(s-1)^{k-m}} \right) = \frac{\lambda_{j+k,k}}{(j+k)!} j!. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by using (16), we obtain

$$\ell_{0,k} = F_k(1) = (-1)^k \sum_{m=0}^k (-1)^m \frac{\lambda_{m,k}}{m!}$$

and for $n \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} F_k^{(n)}(1) &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \frac{d^n}{ds^n} \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} (-1)^j \ell_{j,k} \left(\frac{s-1}{s} \right)^j \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j \ell_{j,k} \frac{d^n}{ds^n} \left(\frac{s-1}{s} \right)^j \\ &= n! (-1)^n \sum_{j=1}^n \binom{n-1}{j-1} \ell_{j,k}; \end{aligned}$$

then, for all $n \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \binom{n-1}{j-1} \ell_{j,k} = (-1)^n \frac{\lambda_{n+k,k}}{(n+k)!};$$

which is equivalent by using binomial transform, as in [6, p.125], to

$$\ell_{n,k} = (-1)^n \sum_{j=1}^n \binom{n-1}{j-1} \frac{\lambda_{j+k,k}}{(j+k)!} \quad (n \geq 1).$$

Remark that, the case of $n = 0$ can be included in the expression (15) and the proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.

2.2 Some additional results and discussions

As you notice, beside the analytic continuation of the function $F_k(s)$ that has been shown in (16) over the half-plane $\sigma > 1/2$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and which is the maximal region for large values of k since $\sigma_k > (k-1)/(2k)$ [11, Th. 12.6], one can extract further results from the proof of Theorem 1.1. For example, the integral representation of the Fourier coefficients $(\ell_{n,k})_{n \in \mathbb{N}_n}$.

Proposition 2.1. *For any given $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have*

$$(-1)^n \ell_{n,k} = \int_1^{+\infty} \Delta_k(x) \mathcal{L}_n(x) dw(x).$$

Proof. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then we have for $n = 0$

$$\ell_{0,k} = F_k(1) = \int_1^{+\infty} \Delta_k(x) dw(x) = \int_1^{+\infty} \Delta_k(x) \mathcal{L}_0(x) dw(x);$$

notice that,

$$\mathcal{L}_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \log^j(x)$$

one can find more details and properties about the orthonormal basis $(\mathcal{L}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ in [5]. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$(-1)^n \ell_{n,k} = \sum_{j=1}^n \binom{n-1}{j-1} \frac{\lambda_{j+k,k}}{(j+k)!} = \sum_{j=1}^n \binom{n-1}{j-1} \frac{F_k^{(j)}(1)}{j!}$$

and since

$$F_k^{(j)}(1) = (-1)^j \int_1^{+\infty} \Delta_k(x) (\log^j(x) - j \log^{j-1}(x)) dw(x) \quad (j \in \mathbb{N})$$

then

$$(-1)^n \ell_{n,k} = \int_1^{+\infty} \Delta_k(x) \sum_{j=1}^n \binom{n-1}{j-1} (-1)^j \left(\frac{\log^j(x)}{j!} - \frac{\log^{j-1}(x)}{(j-1)!} \right) dw(x);$$

and the Pascal identity

$$\binom{n-1}{j-1} + \binom{n}{j-1} = \binom{n}{j}$$

completes the proof. \square

We deduce that if for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, Δ_k belongs to the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_0 then $(\ell_{n,k})$ is square-summable and the equality

$$\Delta_k(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \ell_{n,k} \mathcal{L}_n(x)$$

holds in \mathcal{H}_0 and

$$\|\Delta_k\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}^2 = \sum_{n \geq 0} \ell_{n,k}^2.$$

Moreover, for any $f \in \mathcal{H}_0$ with $\langle f, \mathcal{L}_n \rangle = c_n(f)$ we have

$$\int_1^{+\infty} f(x) \Delta_k(x) dw(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \ell_{n,k} c_n(f) < +\infty.$$

In particular, we have the following result which can be considered as a weak version of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, if Δ_k belongs to the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_0 then for any complex number $s \neq 1$ in the half-plane $\sigma > 1/2$ we have*

$$\zeta^k(s) = \sum_{n \geq -k} (-1)^n \ell_{n,k} \left(\frac{s-1}{s} \right)^n.$$

Proof. Since, the function $f(x) = x^{1-s}$ belongs to the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_0 for any $\sigma > 1/2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, \mathcal{L}_n \rangle &= \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\mathcal{L}_n(x)}{x^{s+1}} dx \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\log^j(x)}{x^{s+1}} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{s} \left(\frac{s-1}{s} \right)^n; \end{aligned}$$

then for any $\sigma > 1/2$ we have

$$F_k(s) = s \langle f, \Delta_k \rangle = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \ell_{n,k} \left(\frac{s-1}{s} \right)^n.$$

Thus, the equation (14) completes the proof. \square

Notice that, the converse of the theorem above holds if $(\ell_{n,k})_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is square-summable. We should not forget to mention, that the functions Δ_k for $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ belong to the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_0 and one can check about that by combining [11, Th. 12.8.] and [11, Th. 12.5.]; which is equivalent to the square-summability of $(\ell_{n,k})_{n \geq 0}$ for any given $k \in \llbracket 1, 4 \rrbracket$. Hence, by generalizing the finite discrete convolution (12) to the following form

$$l_{n,k+m} = \sum_{j=0}^n l_{j,k} l_{n-j,m}, \quad (k, m \in \mathbb{N})$$

and using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality one can deduce that the sequences $(l_{n,k})_{n \geq 0}$ for $k \in \llbracket 5, 8 \rrbracket$ are bounded and by induction

$$l_{n,2^m} = O\left(n^{2^{m-3}-1}\right), \quad m \geq 3.$$

Remark that one can obtain more estimations by using different ideas. Moreover, since the sequence $(l_{n,4})_{n \geq 0}$ is square-summable then for any complex number $s = \sigma + it$, $\sigma > 1/2$ and $|t| \geq 1$, we have

$$|\zeta(s)| \leq C_4 \frac{|s|^{\frac{1}{4}}}{(2\sigma - 1)^{\frac{1}{8}}}$$

where

$$C_4 = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{\sqrt{5}-2} \left(\sum_{n \geq -4} \ell_{n,4}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{8}}.$$

More generally, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, if $(\ell_{n,k})$ is square-summable then for any complex number $s = \sigma + it$, $\sigma > 1/2$ and $|t| \geq 1$, we have*

$$|\zeta(s)| \leq C_k \frac{|s|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{(2\sigma - 1)^{\frac{1}{2k}}}$$

where

$$C_k = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{\sqrt{5}-2} \left(\sum_{n \geq -k} \ell_{n,k}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2k}}.$$

Proof. By applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to

$$\left(\frac{s-1}{s} \right)^k \zeta^k(s) = \sum_{n \geq 0} l_{n,k} \left(\frac{s-1}{s} \right)^n \quad \left(\sigma > \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

and since the sequence $(l_{n,k})$ is square-summable we obtain for all $\sigma > 1/2$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left(\frac{s-1}{s} \right)^k \zeta(s) \right|^k &\leq \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} l_{n,k}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \left| \frac{s-1}{s} \right|^{2n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} l_{n,k}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{|s|}{\sqrt{2\sigma - 1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for all $\sigma > 1/2$ and $|t| \geq 1$

$$|\zeta(s)| \leq \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} l_{n,k}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2k}} \frac{|s|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{(2\sigma - 1)^{\frac{1}{2k}} |s-1|}$$

and the fact that

$$\frac{|s|}{|s-1|} \leq \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{|s|}} < \frac{\sqrt{5}}{\sqrt{5}-2},$$

for all $\sigma > 1/2$ and $|t| \geq 1$, completes the proof. \square

One can remark that the optimal upper bound of $|s|/|s-1|$ for $\sigma > 1/2$ and $|t| \geq 1$ is $(1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ instead of $5 + 2\sqrt{5}$.

2.3 Proof of Theorem 1.3

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(\ell_{n,k})_n$ is square-summable. Then by [9, Th. 17.12] the holomorphic function $h_k(z)$ defined in the proof of Corollary 1.2 belongs to the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ and we have

$$\ell_{n-k,k} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} h_k(e^{i\theta}) e^{-in\theta} d\theta \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0).$$

Let $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ then, by putting $z_0 = (1 - s_0)/s_0$ where $s_0 = 1/2 + it_0$, we have for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\sum_{n=0}^N \ell_{n-k,k} z_0^n - h_k(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{h_k(e^{i\theta}) - h_k(z_0)}{1 - z_0 e^{-i\theta}} (1 - (z_0 e^{-i\theta})^{N+1}) d\theta.$$

Since $|\arg(z_0)| < \pi$ and $\theta \mapsto h_k(e^{i\theta})$ is differentiable on $(-\pi, \pi)$ then the function

$$g_k(\theta) := \frac{h_k(e^{i\theta}) - h_k(z_0)}{1 - z_0 e^{-i\theta}}$$

is square-integrable (in particular, g_k is Lebesgue integrable) on $(-\pi, \pi)$; hence,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g_k(\theta) e^{-i(N+1)\theta} d\theta = 0$$

which implies

$$\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \ell_{n-k,k} z_0^n - h_k(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g_k(\theta) d\theta.$$

Now, by substituting $t = -\tan(\theta/2)/2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g_k(\theta) d\theta &= \frac{s_0}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{Z_k\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) - Z_k(s_0)}{\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right)(t_0 - t)} dt \\ &= \frac{s_0}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re s = \frac{1}{2}} \frac{Z_k(s) - Z_k(s_0)}{s(s_0 - s)} ds, \end{aligned}$$

where, for the reason of simplification,

$$Z_k(s) := h_k\left(\frac{1-s}{s}\right) = \left(\frac{1-s}{s}\right)^k \zeta^k(s).$$

Since the integrand is holomorphic in the half-plane $\sigma \geq 1/2$, then by Cauchy's integral theorem

$$\frac{s_0}{2\pi i} \oint_{\mathcal{C}_{R,T}} \frac{Z_k(s) - Z_k(s_0)}{s(s_0 - s)} ds = 0$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{R,T}$ denotes the counter-clockwise oriented rectangular contour with vertices $1/2 + iT$, $1/2 - iT$, $R - iT$ and $R + iT$ where $R \geq 2$ and $T > 2|t_0|$ are sufficiently large numbers; thus,

$$\frac{s_0}{2\pi i} \int_{-T}^T \frac{Z_k\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) - Z_k(s_0)}{\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right)(t_0 - t)} dt = I(R, T) - J(R, T) + J(R, -T)$$

where

$$I(R, T) = \frac{s_0}{2\pi} \int_{-T}^T \frac{Z_k(R + it) - Z_k(s_0)}{(R + it)(s_0 - R - it)} dt$$

and

$$J(R, T) = \frac{s_0}{2\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^R \frac{Z_k(\sigma + iT) - Z_k(s_0)}{(\sigma + iT)(s_0 - \sigma - iT)} d\sigma.$$

Thus, by using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the fact that $|Z_k(R + it)| \leq \zeta^k(2)$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ (by (6)) we have uniformly, for all $T > 2|t_0|$,

$$\begin{aligned} |I(R, T)| &\leq \frac{|s_0|(\zeta^k(2) + |\zeta^k(s_0)|)}{2\pi} \int_{-T}^T \frac{dt}{|R + it||s_0 - R - it|} \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{2R - 1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Also, since by Proposition 2.3 and (6),

$$Z_k(\sigma \pm iT) \leq \begin{cases} C_k^k \frac{|2+iT|}{\sqrt{2\sigma-1}} & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 2 \\ \zeta^k(2) & \text{if } \sigma \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

then, we have uniformly

$$|J(R, \pm T)| = O\left(\frac{1}{T}\right);$$

Therefore, by letting $T \rightarrow +\infty$ and $R \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g_k(\theta) d\theta = \frac{s_0}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{Z_k\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) - Z_k(s_0)}{\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right)(t_0 - t)} dt = 0$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

3 Concluding Remarks

The distribution of values of the Riemann zeta function inside of the critical strip is one of the most interesting topic in number theory in view of its

connexion to several and important classes of arithmetic functions. As one can deduce from the results obtained throughout this paper, the values and then the behaviour of the Riemann zeta function and its powers are encoded in the Fourier coefficients $(\ell_{n,k})$ and implicitly in Stieltjes constants (γ_j) ; namely, such estimation of $(\ell_{n,k})_n$, especially for a large but finite $k \in \mathbb{N}$, shall provide interesting improvements on the upper bound of $|\zeta(s)|$ throughout the strip $1/2 < \sigma < 1$.

Finally, remark that one can extend the results obtained throughout this paper to several mathematical fields in order to find more identities involving the Fourier coefficients $(\ell_{n,k})_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ as we shall show in the following example. Let H_k be the modified Hankel transform of order 0 of the the function $\Delta_k(x)/x$; i.e.

$$H_k(x) = \int_1^{+\infty} \Delta_k(r) J_0 \left(2\sqrt{x \log(r)} \right) dw(r), \quad x \geq 0$$

where J_0 is the well-known Bessel function of the first kind of order 0, [10, § 1.71]; notice that, the integral in the right-hand side is absolutely convergent for any value of $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $x \geq 0$. Moreover, by [10, eq. 1.71.7],

$$H_k(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} x^{\frac{1}{4}}} \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\Delta_k(r)}{\log^{\frac{1}{4}}(r)} \cos \left(2\sqrt{x \log(r)} - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) dw(r) + O \left(x^{-\frac{3}{4}} \right)$$

as $x \rightarrow +\infty$. In the other hand, by using [10, eq. 5.1.16] and Proposition 2.1, we obtain the exponential generating function for $(\ell_{n,k})_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$,

$$H_k(x) = e^{-x} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n \ell_{n,k}}{n!} x^n;$$

since $(\ell_{n,k})_n$ has a polynomial order, as we showed in section 2, then the radius of convergence of the series in the right-hand side is $+\infty$, and we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n \ell_{n,k}}{n!} x^n = O \left(x^{-\frac{1}{4}} e^x \right), \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow +\infty;$$

however, the upper bound above is not optimal and it seems a good piece of work to improve it. Notice that, the function $\Delta_k(r)/r$ satisfies the invertibility conditions for the modified Hankel transform and for any non-integer $r > 1$ we have,

$$\frac{\Delta_k(r)}{r} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{+\infty} H_k(x) J_0 \left(2\sqrt{x \log(r)} \right) dx;$$

which can lead, by using several results in [13], to more interesting improvements concerning the Piltz divisor problem and its related open problems.

References

- [1] B.C. Berndt, On the Hurwitz zeta-function, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 2 (1972) 151—157.
- [2] J. Bourgain, Decoupling, exponential sums and the Riemann zeta function, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 30 (2017) 205–224.
- [3] L. Carleson, On Convergence and growth of partial sums of Fourier series, *Acta Mathematica*, 116 (1) (1966) 135–157.
- [4] H.M. Edwards, *Riemann’s zeta function*, Academic Press, New York (1974).
- [5] L. Elaïssaoui, On expansions involving the Riemann zeta function and its derivatives, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 517 (2023) 126569.
- [6] L. Elaïssaoui, Z. E. Guennoun, Fourier expansion of the Riemann zeta function and applications, *J. of Number Theory*, Elsevier, 211 (2020) 113–138.
- [7] G.H. Hardy, J.E. and Littlewood, On Lindelöf’s hypothesis concerning the Riemann zeta-function, *Proc. Royal Soc.* 103 (1923), 403–412.
- [8] A.F. Lavrik, The principal term of the divisor problem and the power series of the Riemann zeta-function in a neighborhood of a pole, *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.*, (142) (1976) 165—173 (in Russian).
- [9] W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, third ed., McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York (1987)
- [10] G. Szegö, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, 4th ed. Amer. Math. Soc. Providence Rhode Island, U.S.A. (1975).
- [11] E.C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*, 2nd ed. Oxford University Press, New York (1986).
- [12] E.C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of Functions*, 2nd ed. Oxford University Press, Oxford (1939).
- [13] E.C. Titchmarsh, *Introduction to the Theory of Fourier Integrals*, 2nd ed. Oxford University Press, New York (1948).