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#### Abstract

Ising model is a famous toy model in condensed matter and statistical physics. In this work we present a free-fermion formulation of the two-dimensional classical Ising models on the honeycomb, triangular and Kagomé lattices. Each Ising model is studied in the case of a zero field and the case of an imaginary field $i(\pi / 2) k_{B} T$. We employ the decorated lattice technique, star-triangle transformation and weak-graph expansion method to exactly map each Ising model in both cases into an eight-vertex model on the square lattice. The resulting vertex weights are shown to satisfy the free-fermion condition. In the zero field case, each Ising model is an even free-fermion model. In the case of the imaginary field, the Ising model on the honeycomb lattice is an even free-fermion model while those on the triangular and Kagomé lattices are odd free-fermion models. The exact solution of the Kagomé lattice Ising model in the imaginary field $i(\pi / 2) k_{B} T$ is obtained, which has not been reported in previous works. The frustrated Ising models on the triangular and Kagomé lattices in the imaginary field still exhibit a non-zero residual entropy.


## I. INTRODUCTION

Ising model plays a crucial role in the rearch of condensed matter and statistical physics of lattice systems, especially in understanding the phase transition via statistical mechanics. It has a long history since 1920s [1]. The one-dimensional Ising model was first solved by Ising himself [2]. Kramers and Wannier introduced the transfer matrix method to rederive the exact solution in one dimension and study the two-dimensional case [3, 4]. Onsager first used the transfer matrix method to present the exact solution of the two-dimensional Ising model on the square lattice in the case without a magnetic field [5]. This famous result has been obtained from various approaches [6-14]. Lee and Yang proposed the solution for the case in an imaginary field $i(\pi / 2) k_{B} T$ in their work of Lee-Yang zeros [15]. This solution has also been given by a variety of different methods [16-22]. Onsager's solution [5] has a great influence in the field of exactly solvable lattice models [23-27]. Since then the mathematical exact results for Ising models have shown their beauty and power, and attracted considerable attention. Two-dimensional Ising models on the honeycomb lattice [28-31], the triangular lattice [32-36], the Kagomé lattice [37, 38], and the checkerboard lattice [39, 40] were also exactly solved. Although the Ising model in a non-zero field is a well-known unsolved problem, the case of an imaginary field $i(\pi / 2) k_{B} T$ originated in the work of Lee and Yang [15] is special and of significant interest.

In this work we focus on the exact solutions of isotropic Ising models on typical two-dimensional lattices, both in the zero field and in the imaginary field. The Hamilto-

[^0]nian of $N$ spins $\left\{s_{i}= \pm 1, i=1, \cdots, N\right\}$ simply reads
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\sum_{\langle i j\rangle} J s_{i} s_{j}-H_{\mathrm{ex}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} s_{i} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where the sum $\sum_{\langle i j\rangle}$ is over all nearest-neighbours and the field $H_{\text {ex }}$ can be 0 or $i(\pi / 2) k_{B} T$. The approach we employ is the mapping into the free-fermion model [41-45], which was proposed in the vertex model problem. Vertex model is also an important and intriguing issue in statistical mechanics. Studies of vertex models such as the sixvertex [46-57], eight-vertex [41-43, 58-66] and sixteenvertex models [67-72] have a close relation with those of Ising models. The free-fermion models are the solvable eight-vertex models which satisfy the free-fermion condition. The exact solution of a free-fermion model can be obtained in a variety of ways, such as using the $S$-matrix [41], the Pfaffian [42] and the transfer matrix methods [73-78]. Usually an eight-vertex model on the square lattice is defined as either the even subcase or the odd subcase of the general sixteen-vertex model [72], as shown in Fig. 1. In this paper we adopt the notation of vertex configurations in Ref. [69] (see Fig. 1 there), and refer to the eight-vertex model of even/odd subcase satisfying the free-fermion condition as even/odd free-fermion model.

There have been lots of studies connecting the Ising model with the vertex model [21, 22, 79-82]. Ref. [21] introduced a rather simple and direct correspondence between the square lattice Ising spin states and the arrow configurations of the sixteen-vertex model. The value $+1 /-1$ of an Ising spin is naturally mapped to the direction of the arrow settled on the corresponding site. By further performing a transformation from the sixteen vertex weights to those in the even subcase, the author showed that the Ising model is equivalent with an even free-fermion model, both for the zero field case and the imginary field case. The present paper is inspired by the
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FIG. 1. The vertex configurations of the sixteen-vertex model. The even subcase consists of vertices (1)-(8), while the odd subcase consists of vertices (9)-(16).
success of this work. The method of transforming the square lattice Ising model to the free-fermion model is extended and applied to the Ising models on the honeycomb, triangular and Kagomé lattices.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Sec. II we briefly introduce the methods and techniques used for transforming the Ising models into the free-fermion models, specifically the decorated lattice technique [37, 83, 84], the star-triangle transformation [37, 83-86] and the weak-graph expansion [69, 87-89]. In Sec. III we present the exact results of the Ising models on the honeycomb, triangular and Kagomé lattices. Each of these models is shown to be equivalent with a free-fermion model, both in the zero field and in the imaginary field. The exact solutions are thereby obtained in the free-fermion formulation. Summary is outlined in Sec. IV.

## II. METHODS AND TECHNIQUES

It is essential to intruduce the methods and techniques for transformation of lattice systems and vertex weights, before we present the main results of the Ising models. The decorated lattice technique $[37,83,84]$ and the startriangle transformation [37, 83-86] help us to obtain a lattice structure, which is convenient for translating the Boltzmann factors to the vertex weights of a sixteenvertex model. The resulting sixteen-vertex model is then transformed into an even or odd eight-vertex model by the weak-graph expansion [69, 87-89].

## A. Decorated lattice technique

Decorated lattice technique is suitable for the case when we would like to add a spin site between a pair of nearest-neighbours, keeping the partition function invariant. As shown in Fig. 2, the interaction $J$ is replaced by $J_{1}$. In our consideration, the additional spin $\tilde{s}$ is used to represent the direction of the arrow on the edge linking $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$. This may help us to build up an effective


FIG. 2. The decorated lattice technique.
vertex model formulation of the Ising problem. Consider the Boltzmann factors of this pair contributed to the partition function, which should be conserved after the decorated lattice transformation. That is

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-\beta J s_{1} s_{2}}=A \sum_{\tilde{s}= \pm 1} e^{-\beta J_{1} \tilde{s}\left(s_{1}+s_{2}\right)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can see clearly from Eq. (2) that

$$
e^{-\beta J}=A\left(e^{-2 \beta J_{1}}+e^{2 \beta J_{1}}\right), e^{\beta J}=2 A
$$

Thus the interaction $J_{1}$ and the multiplier $A$ are determined

$$
\begin{gather*}
\cosh \left(2 \beta J_{1}\right)=e^{-2 \beta J}  \tag{3}\\
A=\frac{1}{2} e^{\beta J} \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

## B. Star-triangle transformation

Fig. 3 shows the star-triangle transformation. The triangle with internal interaction $J$ is replaced by a "star" consisting of an additional spin site coupled to the three vertices with an interaction $J^{\prime}$. The partition function should be invariant after the transformation, i.e., the Boltzmann factors of this unit should be conserved

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-\beta J\left(s_{1} s_{2}+s_{2} s_{3}+s_{3} s_{1}\right)}=B \sum_{\tilde{s}= \pm 1} e^{-\beta J^{\prime} \tilde{s}\left(s_{1}+s_{2}+s_{3}\right)} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This leads to
$e^{-3 \beta J}=B\left(e^{-3 \beta J^{\prime}}+e^{3 \beta J^{\prime}}\right), e^{\beta J}=B\left(e^{-\beta J^{\prime}}+e^{\beta J^{\prime}}\right)$.


FIG. 3. The star-triangle transformation.

The interaction $J^{\prime}$ and the multiplier $B$ can be easily determined from the equations above

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\cosh \left(3 \beta J^{\prime}\right)}{\cosh \left(\beta J^{\prime}\right)}=4 \cosh ^{2}\left(\beta J^{\prime}\right)-3=e^{-4 \beta J} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\left(e^{-4 \beta J}+3\right)^{-1 / 2} e^{\beta J} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\omega}_{1}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}+\omega_{3}+\omega_{4}+\omega_{5}+\omega_{6}+\omega_{7}+\omega_{8}+\omega_{9}+\omega_{10}+\omega_{11}+\omega_{12}+\omega_{13}+\omega_{14}+\omega_{15}+\omega_{16}\right) \\
& \tilde{\omega}_{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}+\omega_{3}+\omega_{4}+\omega_{5}+\omega_{6}+\omega_{7}+\omega_{8}-\omega_{9}-\omega_{10}-\omega_{11}-\omega_{12}-\omega_{13}-\omega_{14}-\omega_{15}-\omega_{16}\right) \\
& \tilde{\omega}_{3}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}+\omega_{3}+\omega_{4}-\omega_{5}-\omega_{6}-\omega_{7}-\omega_{8}-\omega_{9}-\omega_{10}-\omega_{11}-\omega_{12}+\omega_{13}+\omega_{14}+\omega_{15}+\omega_{16}\right), \\
& \tilde{\omega}_{4}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}+\omega_{3}+\omega_{4}-\omega_{5}-\omega_{6}-\omega_{7}-\omega_{8}+\omega_{9}+\omega_{10}+\omega_{11}+\omega_{12}-\omega_{13}-\omega_{14}-\omega_{15}-\omega_{16}\right), \\
& \tilde{\omega}_{5}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}-\omega_{3}-\omega_{4}+\omega_{5}+\omega_{6}-\omega_{7}-\omega_{8}-\omega_{9}-\omega_{10}+\omega_{11}+\omega_{12}+\omega_{13}+\omega_{14}-\omega_{15}-\omega_{16}\right), \\
& \tilde{\omega}_{6}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}-\omega_{3}-\omega_{4}+\omega_{5}+\omega_{6}-\omega_{7}-\omega_{8}+\omega_{9}+\omega_{10}-\omega_{11}-\omega_{12}-\omega_{13}-\omega_{14}+\omega_{15}+\omega_{16}\right), \\
& \tilde{\omega}_{7}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}-\omega_{3}-\omega_{4}-\omega_{5}-\omega_{6}+\omega_{7}+\omega_{8}-\omega_{9}-\omega_{10}+\omega_{11}+\omega_{12}-\omega_{13}-\omega_{14}+\omega_{15}+\omega_{16}\right), \\
& \tilde{\omega}_{8}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}-\omega_{3}-\omega_{4}-\omega_{5}-\omega_{6}+\omega_{7}+\omega_{8}+\omega_{9}+\omega_{10}-\omega_{11}-\omega_{12}+\omega_{13}+\omega_{14}-\omega_{15}-\omega_{16}\right), \\
& \tilde{\omega}_{9}=\frac{1}{4}\left(-\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}+\omega_{3}-\omega_{4}+\omega_{5}-\omega_{6}+\omega_{7}-\omega_{8}+\omega_{9}-\omega_{10}+\omega_{11}-\omega_{12}-\omega_{13}+\omega_{14}+\omega_{15}-\omega_{16}\right), \\
& \tilde{\omega}_{10}=\frac{1}{4}\left(-\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}+\omega_{3}-\omega_{4}+\omega_{5}-\omega_{6}+\omega_{7}-\omega_{8}-\omega_{9}+\omega_{10}-\omega_{11}+\omega_{12}+\omega_{13}-\omega_{14}-\omega_{15}+\omega_{16}\right), \\
& \tilde{\omega}_{11}=\frac{1}{4}\left(-\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}+\omega_{3}-\omega_{4}-\omega_{5}+\omega_{6}-\omega_{7}+\omega_{8}+\omega_{9}-\omega_{10}+\omega_{11}-\omega_{12}+\omega_{13}-\omega_{14}-\omega_{15}+\omega_{16}\right), \\
& \tilde{\omega}_{12}=\frac{1}{4}\left(-\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}+\omega_{3}-\omega_{4}-\omega_{5}+\omega_{6}-\omega_{7}+\omega_{8}-\omega_{9}+\omega_{10}-\omega_{11}+\omega_{12}-\omega_{13}+\omega_{14}+\omega_{15}-\omega_{16}\right), \\
& \tilde{\omega}_{13}=\frac{1}{4}\left(-\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}-\omega_{3}+\omega_{4}-\omega_{5}+\omega_{6}+\omega_{7}-\omega_{8}-\omega_{9}+\omega_{10}+\omega_{11}-\omega_{12}+\omega_{13}-\omega_{14}+\omega_{15}-\omega_{16}\right), \\
& \tilde{\omega}_{14}=\frac{1}{4}\left(-\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}-\omega_{3}+\omega_{4}-\omega_{5}+\omega_{6}+\omega_{7}-\omega_{8}+\omega_{9}-\omega_{10}-\omega_{11}+\omega_{12}-\omega_{13}+\omega_{14}-\omega_{15}+\omega_{16}\right), \\
& \tilde{\omega}_{15}=\frac{1}{4}\left(-\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}-\omega_{3}+\omega_{4}+\omega_{5}-\omega_{6}-\omega_{7}+\omega_{8}+\omega_{9}-\omega_{10}-\omega_{11}+\omega_{12}+\omega_{13}-\omega_{14}+\omega_{15}-\omega_{16}\right), \\
& \tilde{\omega}_{16}=\frac{1}{4}\left(-\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}-\omega_{3}+\omega_{4}+\omega_{5}-\omega_{6}-\omega_{7}+\omega_{8}-\omega_{9}+\omega_{10}+\omega_{11}-\omega_{12}-\omega_{13}+\omega_{14}-\omega_{15}+\omega_{16}\right) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

The sixteen-vertex model is symmetric, when the weight of each vertex configuration is invariant under the rever-
sal of arrows, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{1}=\omega_{2}, \cdots, \omega_{15}=\omega_{16} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the antisymmetric sixteen-vertex model is that satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{1}=-\omega_{2}, \cdots, \omega_{15}=-\omega_{16} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is straightforward to see from Eq. (8) that, the symmetric sixteen-vertex model is transformed into an even eight-vertex model (Eq. (3) of Ref. [69] shows this case), while the antisymmetric case is transformed into an odd subcase.

We will show in the next section that each Ising model considered can be mapped to a symmetric/antisymmetric sixteen-vertex model, and further transformed into an even/odd eight-vertex model by Eq. (8). We can find that each case satisfies either the even free-fermion condition [41, 42]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\omega}_{1} \tilde{\omega}_{2}+\tilde{\omega}_{3} \tilde{\omega}_{4}=\tilde{\omega}_{5} \tilde{\omega}_{6}+\tilde{\omega}_{7} \tilde{\omega}_{8} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

or the odd free-fermion condition [43]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\omega}_{9} \tilde{\omega}_{10}+\tilde{\omega}_{11} \tilde{\omega}_{12}=\tilde{\omega}_{13} \tilde{\omega}_{14}+\tilde{\omega}_{15} \tilde{\omega}_{16} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

## A. The honeycomb lattice

We apply the decorated lattice technique to the honeycomb lattice, as shown in Fig. 4(a). It is easy to verify that, the region bounded by dash lines can be regarded as a vertex site on the square lattice. Each vertex site consists of two honeycomb lattice Ising spins. Fig. 4(b) shows the details with $J_{1}$ determined by Eq. (3). It is natural to introduce a one-to-one mapping from the spin states of $\{\tilde{s}\}$ to the arrow configurations

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\cdot-+/-\mapsto \rightarrow / \leftarrow,!+/-\mapsto \uparrow / \downarrow \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, a sixteen-vertex model can be built up with an appropriate correspondence between the vertex weights and the Boltzmann factors of the Ising model.

## 1. Zero field

In the zero field, the partition function can be expressed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=A^{N} \sum_{\{\tilde{s}\}= \pm 1} \omega\left(\tilde{s}_{1}, \tilde{s}_{2}, \tilde{s}_{3}, \tilde{s}_{4}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega\left(\tilde{s}_{1}, \tilde{s}_{2}, \tilde{s}_{3}, \tilde{s}_{4}\right)= & \sum_{s_{1}= \pm 1, s_{2}= \pm 1} e^{-\beta J s_{1} s_{2}} \times \\
& e^{-\beta J_{1}\left[s_{1}\left(\tilde{s}_{1}+\tilde{s}_{2}\right)+s_{2}\left(\tilde{s}_{3}+\tilde{s}_{4}\right)\right]} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

and $A$ defined in Eq. (4). $\omega\left(\tilde{s}_{1}, \tilde{s}_{2}, \tilde{s}_{3}, \tilde{s}_{4}\right)$ is the Boltzmann factor of the vertex unit shown in Fig. 4(b).


FIG. 4. The diagrammatic representation of applying the decorated lattice technique to the honeycomb lattice model. The region bounded by dash lines in (a) forms a vertex site of the sixteen-vertex model. The details of the vertex unit are shown in (b).

Then the vertex weights can be determined directly from $\omega\left(\tilde{s}_{1}, \tilde{s}_{2}, \tilde{s}_{3}, \tilde{s}_{4}\right)$, according to the vertex configurations in Fig. 1 and the mapping in Eq. (13). That is

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega_{1} & =\omega(+,+,+,+)
\end{aligned}=2 e^{\beta J}+e^{-\beta J}\left(e^{-4 \beta J_{1}}+e^{4 \beta J_{1}}\right) ~ 子 \begin{aligned}
& \omega_{2}=\omega_{1}, \\
&=2\left(e^{\beta J}-e^{-\beta J}\right)+4 e^{-5 \beta J} \\
& \omega_{3}=\omega(+,-,-,+)=2\left(e^{\beta J}+e^{-\beta J}\right) \\
& \omega_{4}=\omega_{5}=\omega_{6}=\omega_{3}, \\
& \begin{aligned}
\omega_{7}=\omega(-,-,+,+) & =2 e^{-\beta J}+e^{\beta J}\left(e^{-4 \beta J_{1}}+e^{4 \beta J_{1}}\right) \\
& =2\left(e^{-\beta J}-e^{\beta J}\right)+4 e^{-3 \beta J}
\end{aligned} \\
& \begin{aligned}
& \omega_{8}=\omega_{7}, \\
& \begin{aligned}
\omega_{9} & =\omega(+,-,+,+)
\end{aligned} \\
&=\left(e^{-\beta J}+e^{\beta J}\right)\left(e^{-2 \beta J_{1}}+e^{2 \beta J_{1}}\right) \\
&=2\left(e^{-3 \beta J}+e^{-\beta J}\right) \\
& \omega_{10}=\cdots=\omega_{16}=\omega_{9}
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

We see that the resulting sixteen-vertex model is symmetric. As stated before, the vertex weights can be rearranged by performing the weak-graph expansion such that an even eight-vertex model is obtained. The new weights are straightforward to show from Eq. (8)

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\omega}_{1} & =\frac{1}{2} \omega_{1}+\omega_{3}+\frac{1}{2} \omega_{7}+2 \omega_{9}=2 e^{\beta J}\left(e^{-2 \beta J}+1\right)^{3} \\
\tilde{\omega}_{2} & =\frac{1}{2} \omega_{1}+\omega_{3}+\frac{1}{2} \omega_{7}-2 \omega_{9} \\
& =2 e^{\beta J}\left(e^{-2 \beta J}+1\right)\left(e^{-2 \beta J}-1\right)^{2} \\
\tilde{\omega}_{3} & =\tilde{\omega}_{4}=\tilde{\omega}_{5}=\tilde{\omega}_{6}=\tilde{\omega}_{2} \\
\tilde{\omega}_{7} & =\frac{1}{2} \omega_{1}-\omega_{3}+\frac{1}{2} \omega_{7}=2 e^{\beta J}\left(e^{-2 \beta J}+1\right)^{2}\left(e^{-2 \beta J}-1\right), \\
\tilde{\omega}_{8} & =\tilde{\omega}_{7} . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

One can easily examine that the even free-fermion condition Eq. (11) is satisfied. Thus, the honeycomb lattice Ising model in the zero field is equivalent with an
even free-fermion model. Denote the number of vertex sites by $N_{\mathrm{v}}$. The exact solution of the even free-fermion model $\lim _{N_{\mathrm{v}} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_{\mathrm{v}}} \ln Z_{\text {even }}$ had been given in Refs. [41, 42] (note that the ordering of vertices (5)-(8) in Fig. 1 differs slightly from that of Refs. [41, 42], but the expression for the solution is the same). We show the result quoting this well-known solution and noticing Eq. (14)

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \ln Z & =\ln A+\frac{1}{2} \lim _{N_{\mathrm{v}} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_{\mathrm{v}}} \ln Z_{\text {even }} \\
& =\ln \left(\frac{1}{2} e^{\beta J}\right)+\frac{1}{16 \pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \theta \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \phi \ln [a \\
+b \cos \theta & +c \cos \phi+d \cos (\theta-\phi)+e(\theta+\phi)], \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
a & =\tilde{\omega}_{1}^{2}+\tilde{\omega}_{2}^{2}+\tilde{\omega}_{3}^{2}+\tilde{\omega}_{4}^{2} \\
b & =2\left(\tilde{\omega}_{1} \tilde{\omega}_{3}-\tilde{\omega}_{2} \tilde{\omega}_{4}\right) \\
c & =2\left(\tilde{\omega}_{1} \tilde{\omega}_{4}-\tilde{\omega}_{2} \tilde{\omega}_{3}\right) \\
d & =2\left(\tilde{\omega}_{3} \tilde{\omega}_{4}-\tilde{\omega}_{7} \tilde{\omega}_{8}\right), \\
e & =2\left(\tilde{\omega}_{3} \tilde{\omega}_{4}-\tilde{\omega}_{5} \tilde{\omega}_{6}\right) . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

A straightforward calculation of the coefficients in Eq. (19) from the vertex weights in Eq. (17) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
a & =2^{7} e^{-4 \beta J}\left[\cosh ^{3}(2 \beta J)+1\right] \\
b & =c=2^{7} e^{-4 \beta J} \sinh ^{2}(2 \beta J) \\
d & =-2^{7} e^{-4 \beta J} \sinh ^{2}(2 \beta J) \\
e & =0 \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Inserting Eq. (20) into Eq. (18) and making some arrangements, the exact solution turns out to be

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} & \frac{1}{N} \ln Z=\frac{3}{4} \ln 2+\frac{1}{16 \pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \theta \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \phi \\
\ln & \left\{\cosh ^{3}(2 \beta J)+1\right. \\
& \left.+\sinh ^{2}(2 \beta J)[\cos \theta+\cos \phi+\cos (\theta-\phi)]\right\} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

It can be easily verified that, this expression is consistent with the results published in the previous literatures [2831 ].

## 2. Imaginary field

In the case of $H_{\mathrm{ex}}=i(\pi / 2) k_{B} T$, we notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\beta H_{\mathrm{ex}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} s_{i}}=i^{\sum_{i=1}^{N} s_{i}}=i^{N} \prod_{i=1}^{N} s_{i} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

by using the identity $i^{s_{i}}=i \times s_{i}[22]$. We can see that, $i^{N}$ is a constant and the product $\prod_{i=1}^{N} s_{i}$ can be conveniently
included in the factors $\omega\left(\tilde{s}_{1}, \tilde{s}_{2}, \tilde{s}_{3}, \tilde{s}_{4}\right)$. We just need to rewrite the partition function as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=i^{N} A^{N} \sum_{\{\tilde{s}\}= \pm 1} \omega\left(\tilde{s}_{1}, \tilde{s}_{2}, \tilde{s}_{3}, \tilde{s}_{4}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega\left(\tilde{s}_{1}, \tilde{s}_{2}, \tilde{s}_{3}, \tilde{s}_{4}\right)= \sum_{s_{1}= \pm 1, s_{2}= \pm 1}\left(s_{1} s_{2}\right) e^{-\beta J s_{1} s_{2}} \times \\
& e^{-\beta J_{1}\left[s_{1}\left(\tilde{s}_{1}+\tilde{s}_{2}\right)+s_{2}\left(\tilde{s}_{3}+\tilde{s}_{4}\right)\right]} \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

The vertex weights are then determined in the similar way to those in Eq. (16)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega_{1}=\omega_{2}=-2\left(e^{\beta J}+e^{-\beta J}\right)+4 e^{-5 \beta J} \\
& \omega_{3}=\omega_{4}=\omega_{5}=\omega_{6}=2\left(e^{-\beta J}-e^{\beta J}\right) \\
& \omega_{7}=\omega_{8}=2\left(e^{\beta J}+e^{-\beta J}\right)-4 e^{-3 \beta J} \\
& \omega_{9}=\cdots=\omega_{16}=2\left(e^{-3 \beta J}-e^{-\beta J}\right) \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Again, the Boltzmann factors are translated into the weights of a symmetric sixteen-vertex model. We still perform the weak-graph expansion via Eq. (8)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\omega}_{1}=-16 e^{-2 \beta J} \cosh ^{2}(\beta J) \sinh (\beta J) \\
& \tilde{\omega}_{2}=-16 e^{-2 \beta J} \sinh ^{3}(\beta J) \\
& \tilde{\omega}_{3}=\tilde{\omega}_{4}=\tilde{\omega}_{5}=\tilde{\omega}_{6}=\tilde{\omega}_{1} \\
& \tilde{\omega}_{7}=\tilde{\omega}_{8}=16 e^{-2 \beta J} \cosh (\beta J) \sinh ^{2}(\beta J) \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Clearly, we have obtained an even free-fermion model again. Notice Eq. (23) and the partition function is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \ln Z=i \frac{\pi}{2}+\ln A+\frac{1}{2} \lim _{N_{\mathrm{v}} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_{\mathrm{v}}} \ln Z_{\text {even }} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the even free-fermion solution expressed in Eqs. (18) and (19). Omitting the detailed calculations, we show the final exact result

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \ln Z=i \frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{3}{4} \ln 2+\frac{1}{16 \pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \theta \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \phi \\
& \ln \left\{\cosh ^{3}(2 \beta J)-1\right. \\
&\left.+\sinh ^{2}(2 \beta J)[\cos \theta+\cos \phi+\cos (\theta-\phi)]\right\} . \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

One can examine that our result recovers the proposed solutions of this case [16, 22].

## B. The triangular lattice

To deal with the triangular lattice Ising model, we employ the star-triangle transformation as shown in Fig. 5(a). The transformed spin system is on the honeycomb lattice, with the replacement of the nearestneighbour interaction $J \rightarrow J^{\prime} . \quad J^{\prime}$ is determined by Eq. (6). Applying the decorated lattice technique in the same way as that in Fig. 4(a), we construct a sixteenvertex model of which the vertex site is shown Fig. 5(b). The mapping from the spin states to the arrow configurations is still that in Eq. (13). The relation between $J^{\prime}$ and $J_{1}^{\prime}$ is the same with that in Eq. (3).


FIG. 5. The diagrammatic representation of applying the star-triangle transformation to the triangular lattice model. The transformed system, which is on the honeycomb lattice as shown by the dash lines in (a), can be mapped into the sixteen-vertex model in the same way as that in Fig. 4(a). The details of the vertex unit are shown in (b).

## 1. Zero field

In the zero field, the partition function is simply

$$
Z=B^{N} Z\left(\text { honeycomb }, J \rightarrow J^{\prime}, H_{\mathrm{ex}}=0\right)
$$

with $B$ defined in Eq. (7). Obviously this case is also equivalent with an even free-fermion model. Since the number of spins on the transformed honeycomb lattice is double that on the triangular lattice, the partition function should be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \ln Z=\ln B+2 \times \text { Eq. }(21)\left(J \rightarrow J^{\prime}\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account Eqs. (6), (7) and (21), the exact solution can be obtained

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} & \frac{1}{N} \ln Z=\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \theta \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \phi \ln \left\{e^{-6 \beta J}+3 e^{2 \beta J}\right. \\
& -4 \sinh (2 \beta J)[\cos \theta+\cos \phi+\cos (\theta-\phi)]\} \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

This expression agrees with the known results of this case [32-35].

## 2. Imaginary field

We still make use of Eq. (22) to deal with the effect of the imaginary field. The partition function of this case should be

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=i^{N} B^{N} A^{\prime 2 N} \sum_{\{\tilde{s}\}= \pm 1} \omega\left(\tilde{s}_{1}, \tilde{s}_{2}, \tilde{s}_{3}, \tilde{s}_{4}\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $A^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2} e^{\beta J^{\prime}}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega\left(\tilde{s}_{1}, \tilde{s}_{2}, \tilde{s}_{3}, \tilde{s}_{4}\right)= & \sum_{s_{1}= \pm 1, s_{2}= \pm 1}\left(s_{1}\right) e^{-\beta J^{\prime} s_{1} s_{2}} \times \\
& e^{-\beta J_{1}^{\prime}\left[s_{1}\left(\tilde{s}_{1}+\tilde{s}_{2}\right)+s_{2}\left(\tilde{s}_{3}+\tilde{s}_{4}\right)\right]} \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the factors $\omega\left(\tilde{s}_{1}, \tilde{s}_{2}, \tilde{s}_{3}, \tilde{s}_{4}\right)$ differ from that in Eq. (24) as the spin $s_{2}$ is not on the original triangular lattice. Similarly, the factors $\omega\left(\tilde{s}_{1}, \tilde{s}_{2}, \tilde{s}_{3}, \tilde{s}_{4}\right)$ are translated into the vertex weights of a sixteen-vertex model. We find that the vertex weights in this case are antisymmetric

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega_{1}=4 e^{-3 \beta J^{\prime}}\left(e^{-4 \beta J^{\prime}}-1\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \omega_{2}=-\omega_{1} \\
& \omega_{3}=\omega_{4}=\omega_{5}=\omega_{6}=0 \\
& \omega_{7}=-4 e^{-\beta J^{\prime}}\left(e^{-4 \beta J^{\prime}}-1\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \omega_{8}=-\omega_{7} \\
& \omega_{9}=\omega_{13}=2\left(e^{-\beta J^{\prime}}-e^{\beta J^{\prime}}\right)\left(e^{-4 \beta J^{\prime}}-1\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \omega_{10}=\omega_{14}=-\omega_{9} \\
& \omega_{11}=\omega_{15}=-2\left(e^{-\beta J^{\prime}}+e^{\beta J^{\prime}}\right)\left(e^{-4 \beta J^{\prime}}-1\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \omega_{12}=\omega_{16}=-\omega_{11} \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

From these weights, the weak-graph expansion produces an odd eight-vertex model by Eq. (8)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\omega}_{9}=-2 e^{\beta J^{\prime}}\left(e^{-2 \beta J^{\prime}}+1\right)^{2}\left(e^{-4 \beta J^{\prime}}-1\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \tilde{\omega}_{10}=-2 e^{\beta J^{\prime}}\left(e^{-4 \beta J^{\prime}}-1\right)^{3 / 2} \\
& \tilde{\omega}_{11}=-2 e^{\beta J^{\prime}}\left(e^{-2 \beta J^{\prime}}-1\right)^{2}\left(e^{-4 \beta J^{\prime}}-1\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \tilde{\omega}_{12}=\tilde{\omega}_{14}=\tilde{\omega}_{16}=\tilde{\omega}_{10} \\
& \tilde{\omega}_{13}=\tilde{\omega}_{9} \\
& \tilde{\omega}_{15}=\tilde{\omega}_{11} \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

It is obvious that the odd free-fermion condition Eq. (12) is satisfied. Therefore, the triangular lattice Ising model in the imaginary field is equivalent with an odd free-fermion model. Now the result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \ln Z=i \frac{\pi}{2}+\ln B+2 \ln A^{\prime}+\lim _{N_{\mathrm{v}} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_{\mathrm{v}}} \ln Z_{\text {odd }} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the exact solution of the odd free-fermion model is given by Eq. (21) of Ref. [43]

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{N_{\mathrm{v}} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_{\mathrm{v}}} \ln Z_{\text {odd }}= & \frac{1}{16 \pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \theta \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \phi \ln [a+b \cos \theta \\
& +c \cos \phi+d \cos (\theta-\phi)+e(\theta+\phi)] \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
a= & 2\left[\left(\tilde{\omega}_{9} \tilde{\omega}_{10}+\tilde{\omega}_{11} \tilde{\omega}_{12}\right)^{2}+\left(\tilde{\omega}_{9} \tilde{\omega}_{12}\right)^{2}+\left(\tilde{\omega}_{10} \tilde{\omega}_{11}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\tilde{\omega}_{13} \tilde{\omega}_{16}\right)^{2}+\left(\tilde{\omega}_{14} \tilde{\omega}_{15}\right)^{2}\right] \\
b= & 2\left[-\left(\tilde{\omega}_{9} \tilde{\omega}_{12}\right)^{2}-\left(\tilde{\omega}_{10} \tilde{\omega}_{11}\right)^{2}+2 \tilde{\omega}_{13} \tilde{\omega}_{14} \tilde{\omega}_{15} \tilde{\omega}_{16}\right] \\
c= & 2\left[\left(\tilde{\omega}_{13} \tilde{\omega}_{16}\right)^{2}+\left(\tilde{\omega}_{14} \tilde{\omega}_{15}\right)^{2}-2 \tilde{\omega}_{9} \tilde{\omega}_{10} \tilde{\omega}_{11} \tilde{\omega}_{12}\right] \\
d= & -2\left(\tilde{\omega}_{9} \tilde{\omega}_{10}-\tilde{\omega}_{15} \tilde{\omega}_{16}\right)^{2} \\
e= & -2\left(\tilde{\omega}_{11} \tilde{\omega}_{12}-\tilde{\omega}_{15} \tilde{\omega}_{16}\right)^{2} \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

We should remark that the relation between our notation of weights and that of Ref. [43] is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\omega}_{9}=u_{1}, \quad \tilde{\omega}_{10}=u_{2}, \quad \tilde{\omega}_{11}=u_{4}, \quad \tilde{\omega}_{12}=u_{3} \\
& \tilde{\omega}_{13}=u_{7}, \quad \tilde{\omega}_{14}=u_{8}, \quad \tilde{\omega}_{15}=u_{6}, \quad \tilde{\omega}_{16}=u_{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting $A^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2} e^{\beta J^{\prime}}$ and Eqs. (6), (7) and (34) into Eq. (35), we give the final expression

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \ln Z=i \frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{1}{16 \pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \theta \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \phi \ln \left\{e^{-12 \beta J}-4\right. \\
& \left.\quad+3 e^{4 \beta J}-8 \sinh ^{2}(2 \beta J)[\cos \theta-\cos \phi+\cos (\theta-\phi)]\right\} \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we have rederived the exact solution of this case [16, 22], and indicated that the model in this case can be seen as an odd free-fermion model.

It is easy to find that this frustrated system (when $J>0$ ) in the imaginary field has a finite residual entropy in the zero temperature limit

$$
\begin{align*}
S & =\frac{1}{16 \pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \theta \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \phi \ln \{3-2[\cos \theta-\cos \phi \\
& +\cos (\theta-\phi)]\}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we omit the Boltzmann constant $k_{B}$. Interestingly, the residual entropy in the imaginary field is half that in the zero field [32] (we can also obtain the residual entropy in the zero field by Eq. (30), and compare the value with Eq. (39)).

## C. The Kagomé lattice

Fig. 6 shows the Kagomé lattice. The region bounded by dash lines, which consists of two triangles, is chosen as the vertex site on the square lattice. The states of four spins $\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, s_{4}\right)$ around each site can be mapped to the arrow configurations around this vertex by Eq. (13), thus the sixteen-vertex model is conveniently constructed.


FIG. 6. The diagrammatic representation of the Kagomé lattice. The region bounded by dash lines forms a vertex site of the sixteen-vertex model.

## 1. Zero field

The partition function is in the form of

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\sum_{\left\{\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, s_{4}\right)\right\}= \pm 1} \omega\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, s_{4}\right) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, s_{4}\right)=\sum_{s_{5}= \pm 1} e^{-\beta J\left[s_{5}\left(s_{1}+s_{2}+s_{3}+s_{4}\right)+s_{1} s_{2}+s_{3} s_{4}\right]} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

The translated vertex weights from the mapping in Eq. (13) are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega_{1}=\omega_{2}=2 e^{-2 \beta J} \cosh (4 \beta J) \\
& \omega_{3}=\omega_{4}=\omega_{5}=\omega_{6}=2 e^{2 \beta J} \\
& \omega_{7}=\omega_{8}=2 e^{-2 \beta J} \\
& \omega_{9}=\cdots=\omega_{16}=2 \cosh (2 \beta J) \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

Again, this symmetric sixteen-vertex model are transformed into an even eight-vertex model by Eq. (8) with the weights

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\omega}_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{-3 \beta J}+3 e^{\beta J}\right)^{2} \\
& \tilde{\omega}_{2}=\tilde{\omega}_{3}=\tilde{\omega}_{4}=\tilde{\omega}_{5}=\tilde{\omega}_{6}=\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{-3 \beta J}-e^{\beta J}\right)^{2} \\
& \tilde{\omega}_{7}=\tilde{\omega}_{8}=\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{-3 \beta J}+3 e^{\beta J}\right)\left(e^{-3 \beta J}-e^{\beta J}\right) \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

One can see immediately that this case is an even freefermion model. Making use of the even free-fermion solution as expressed in Eqs. (18) and (19), we give the exact
result

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \ln Z \\
= & \frac{1}{3} \lim _{N_{\mathrm{v}} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_{\mathrm{v}}} \ln Z_{\text {even }} \\
= & \frac{1}{24 \pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \theta \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \phi \ln \left\{e^{-12 \beta J}+18 e^{-4 \beta J}+24\right. \\
& +21 e^{4 \beta J}+4\left(e^{-8 \beta J}-e^{-4 \beta J}-1+e^{4 \beta J}\right)[\cos \theta \\
& \quad+\cos \phi-\cos (\theta-\phi)]\} .(4 \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

This result agrees with the known expressions (see Eq. (37) of Ref. [38] for instance).

## 2. Imaginary field

Taking into account Eq. (22), the partition function in the presence of the imaginary field is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=i^{N} \sum_{\left\{\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, s_{4}\right)\right\}= \pm 1} \omega\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, s_{4}\right) \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{gather*}
\omega\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, s_{4}\right)=\sum_{s_{5}= \pm 1}\left(s_{1} s_{2} s_{5}\right) e^{-\beta J s_{5}\left(s_{1}+s_{2}+s_{3}+s_{4}\right)} \times \\
e^{-\beta J\left(s_{1} s_{2}+s_{3} s_{4}\right)} \tag{46}
\end{gather*}
$$

We find that the resulting sixteen-vertex model is antisymmetric, like in the case of triangular lattice model in the imaginary field. The vertex weights are listed

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega_{1}=e^{-6 \beta J}-e^{2 \beta J} \\
& \omega_{2}=-\omega_{1} \\
& \omega_{3}=\omega_{4}=\omega_{5}=\omega_{6}=\omega_{7}=\omega_{8}=0 \\
& \omega_{9}=\omega_{11}=\omega_{13}=\omega_{15}=e^{2 \beta J}-e^{-2 \beta J} \\
& \omega_{10}=\omega_{12}=\omega_{14}=\omega_{16}=-\omega_{9} \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the transformed weights of the odd eight-vertex model are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\omega}_{9}=\frac{1}{2} e^{2 \beta J}\left(3+e^{-4 \beta J}\right)\left(1-e^{-4 \beta J}\right), \\
& \tilde{\omega}_{10}=-\frac{1}{2} e^{2 \beta J}\left(1-e^{-4 \beta J}\right)^{2}, \\
& \tilde{\omega}_{11}=\tilde{\omega}_{13}=\tilde{\omega}_{15}=\tilde{\omega}_{9} \\
& \tilde{\omega}_{12}=\tilde{\omega}_{14}=\tilde{\omega}_{16}=\tilde{\omega}_{10} . \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

It is trivial to see that this case is equivalent with an odd free-fermion model, the exact result is thereby given by the odd free-fermion solution in Eqs. (36) and (37)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \ln Z \\
= & i \frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{1}{3} \lim _{N_{\mathrm{v}} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_{\mathrm{v}}} \ln Z_{\mathrm{odd}} \\
= & i \frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{1}{6} \ln \left[\left(1+3 e^{4 \beta J}\right)\left|1-e^{-4 \beta J}\right|^{3}\right] . \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

The expression is surprisingly simple. To our knowlegde, this result has not been reported previously. There have been research works studying the Kagomé lattice Ising model in a magnetic field by transformation into the honeycomb lattice model [90-92]. One can examine that, the case in the imaginary field was not included in these works. Our analysis demonstrates that this case is also exactly solvable.

As is well-known, most of the exact solutions of twodimensional Ising models are of the form of a double integral. Onsager's solution of the square lattice model [5] is a very early example. Each model studied in this paper using the free-fermion approach involves a double integral in the solution, except for the Kagomé lattice model in the imaginary field. It is interesting to compare the result Eq. (49) with Eq. (1) of Ref. [93], which is the solution of the dimer model on the Kagomé lattice and can also be derived by mapping to the odd free-fermion model. Both formulas take a very simply logarithmic form. Ref. [93] stated that the novel and unique expression "points to the special role played by the Kagomé lattice". Here we provide new evidence for this statement.

When $J>0$, the Kagomé lattice Ising model is geometrically frustrated. The residual entropy in the imaginary field is easily calculated from Eq. (49) by taking the zero temperature limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{1}{6} \ln 3=0.183102 \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

## IV. SUMMARY

The exact solutions of Ising models on typical twodimensional lattices, specifically the honeycomb, triangular and Kagomé lattices, are studied in the free-fermion formulation. For each Ising model, both the case of the zero field and the case of an imaginary field $i(\pi / 2) k_{B} T$ are considered. Five known solutions are rederived and one new solution is found. In particular, the Kagomé lattice model in the imaginary field is shown to be exactly solvable, exhibiting a very simple form of solution. Hence, we have introduced a new member to the family of exactly solvable lattice models.

Each solution in this paper is achieved by mapping the Ising spin system into a free-fermion model. The mapping procedure is simple and easy to extend to other lattice systems. Applying the mapping method to the Ising model in a physical (real) and non-zero magnetic field would be interesting and likely very fruitful. We expect that our work provides new insights into the mathematical exact results of spin systems in a magnetic field. Furthermore, the free-fermion formulation may be suitable for other statistical lattice problems, such as the dimer covering problem. Study of the two-dimensional dimer models using the free-fermion approach is currently in progress.
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