# Mesoscopic and Macroscopic Entropy Balance Equations in a Stochastic Dynamics and Its Deterministic Limit

Hong Qian · Zhongwei Shen

the date of receipt and acceptance should be inserted later

Abstract Entropy, its production, and its change in a dynamical system can be understood from either a fully stochastic dynamic description or from a deterministic dynamics exhibiting chaotic behavior. By taking the former approach based on the general diffusion process with diffusion  $\frac{1}{\alpha}D(\mathbf{x})$  and drift  $\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})$ , where  $\alpha$  represents the "size parameter" of a system, we show that there are two distinctly different entropy balance equations. One reads  $dS^{(\alpha)}/dt = e_p^{(\alpha)} + Q_{ex}^{(\alpha)}$  for all  $\alpha$ . However, the leading  $\alpha$ -order, "extensive", terms of the entropy production rate  $e_p^{(\alpha)}$  and heat exchange rate  $Q_{ex}^{(\alpha)}$  are exactly cancelled. Therefore, in the asymptotic limit of  $\alpha \to \infty$ , there is a second, local  $dS/dt = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}(t)) + (\mathbf{D}: \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1})(\mathbf{x}(t))$  on the order of O(1), where  $\frac{1}{\alpha}D(\mathbf{x}(t))$  represents the randomness generated in the dynamics usually represented by metric entropy, and  $\frac{1}{\alpha}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\mathbf{x}(t))$  is the covariance matrix of the local Gaussian description at  $\mathbf{x}(t)$ , which is a solution to the ordinary differential equation  $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})$  at time t. This latter equation is akin to the notions of volume-preserving conservative dynamics and entropy production in the deterministic dynamic approach to nonequilibrium thermodynamics  $\dot{a} \, la$  D. Ruelle. As a continuation of [17], mathematical details with sufficient care are given in four Appendices.

#### Contents

1	Introduction and summary
2	Local entropy production and entropy change
3	Time scales in the nonequilibrium thermodynamic description of stochastic dynamics
	3.1 Free energy balance equation
	3.2 Time scales, short-time and long-time perspectives

H. Qian

Department of Applied Mathematics University of Washington Seattle, WA 98195-3925, U.S.A. E-mail: hqian@u.washington.edu

Z. Shen

Department of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences University of Alberta Edmonton, AB T6G 2G1, Canada E-mail: zhongwei@ualberta.ca

4	Discussion
Α	Fokker-Planck equation and WKB ansatz 10
	A.1 WKB ansatz of $f_{\alpha}$
	A.2 WKB ansatz of $\pi_{\alpha}$
В	Entropy 12
	B.1 Entropy balance equation
	B.2 Asymptotics
С	Free energy
	C.1 Free energy balance equation
	C.2 Asymptotics
D	Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process

#### 1 Introduction and summary

Stochastic dynamics, as a mathematical concept at a fundamental level, embodies the three most important dissipative phenomena in statistical physics: the transport of mass (diffusion), energy (heat conduction) and momentum (viscosity). Therefore, one asks not how irreversibility arises from deterministic reversible dynamics, rather one studies what reversible dynamics is in stochastic processes [17]. In recent years, the theory of stochastic Markov dynamics has provided a coherent narrative of nonequilibrium thermodynamics at a mesoscopic level; see [36,45] and the references cited within. By "mesoscopic", we mean a mechanistic description of dynamical systems of complex individuals in terms of stochastic processes [32]. At the center of this new development is an entropy balance equation, mathematically derivable, in which the notion of *entropy production* has been firmly established [44,23,37,48,31]. By introducing a "system's size parameter"  $\alpha$ , one can confidently investigate macroscopic thermodynamics as the limit of the mesoscopic description: It has been shown that Gibbs' equilibrium chemical thermodynamics of heterogeneous substances is the emergent large deviations theory of a Delbrück-Gillespie process of chemical kinetics [14,15,16]. It seems that "stochastic kinetics or kinematics dictates energetics" [34,24].

Consider the general diffusion process with continuous state space  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and in continuous time, with its Fokker-Planck equation [37,31,17]

$$\frac{\partial f_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x},t)}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \boldsymbol{D}(\mathbf{x}) \nabla f_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x},t) - \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}) f_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x},t)\right),\tag{1}$$

where  $\alpha$  represents the size of a system,  $\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})$  is the drift field,  $\frac{1}{\alpha} \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x})$  denotes the nondegenerate diffusion. It is understood that  $f_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \delta_{\mathbf{x}_0}$  with  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$  arbitrarily given. More mathematical details with care are given in Appendices.

The Shannon entropy functional  $S = S[f_{\alpha}]$ , which is defined by

$$S = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t) \ln f_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t) d\mathbf{x},$$

has an instantaneous rate of change:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}S}{\mathrm{d}t} = e_p + Q_{ex},\tag{2a}$$

where the entropy production rate  $e_p$  and heat exchange rate  $Q_{ex}$  are given as

$$e_p = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha} \boldsymbol{D}(\mathbf{x}) \nabla f_\alpha(\mathbf{x}, t) - \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}) f_\alpha(\mathbf{x}, t) \right] \cdot \left[ \nabla \ln f_\alpha(\mathbf{x}, t) - \alpha \boldsymbol{D}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}) \right] d\mathbf{x}$$
(2b)

and

$$Q_{ex} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha} \boldsymbol{D}(\mathbf{x}) \nabla f_\alpha(\mathbf{x}, t) - \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}) f_\alpha(\mathbf{x}, t) \right] \cdot \left[ \alpha \boldsymbol{D}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}) \right] d\mathbf{x}.$$
(2c)

While  $e_p$  is positive,  $Q_{ex}$  can have either signs.

If the drift  $\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})$  has a potential  $U(\mathbf{x})$  such that

$$\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}) = -\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x})\nabla U(\mathbf{x}),\tag{3}$$

then

$$Q_{ex} = -\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha} \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}) \nabla f_\alpha(\mathbf{x}, t) - \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}) f_\alpha(\mathbf{x}, t) \right] \cdot \nabla U(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$
$$= \alpha \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_\alpha(\mathbf{x}, t) U(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \alpha \frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}_{f_\alpha}[U].$$

For this class of systems, one can in fact introduce a new functional  $F = \alpha \mathbb{E}_{f_{\alpha}}[U] - S$ , and then dF/dt < 0. The potential condition implies that  $Q_{ex}$  can be expressed as  $\alpha$  times the change of the mean energy  $\mathbb{E}_{f_{\alpha}}[U]$ . The stationary state of such a system is an *equilibrium* with zero entropy production and minimal F. Eq. (2a) in fact reminds one of the Clausius inequality in thermal physics, where F is called a Helmholtz free energy [49].

In the limit of system's size  $\alpha \to \infty$ , the mathematical theory of large deviations shows that

$$f_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x},t) \sim \left(R_0(\mathbf{x},t) + \frac{1}{\alpha}R_1(\mathbf{x},t)\right) e^{-\alpha\varphi(\mathbf{x},t)},\tag{4}$$

where the rate function  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, t)$  solves the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$-\frac{\partial \varphi(\mathbf{x},t)}{\partial t} = \nabla \varphi(\mathbf{x},t) \cdot \left( \boldsymbol{D}(\mathbf{x}) \nabla \varphi(\mathbf{x},t) + \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}) \right),$$

 $R_0(\mathbf{x},t)$  solves the linear equation

$$\mathcal{L}R_0(\mathbf{x},t) = 0,$$

and  $R_1(\mathbf{x}, t)$  solves the non-homogeneous equation

$$\mathcal{L}R_1(\mathbf{x},t) = \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{D}(\mathbf{x})\nabla R_0(\mathbf{x},t)).$$

In which, the linear operator  $\mathcal{L}$  reads

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial_t + (2\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x})\nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x},t) + \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})) \cdot \nabla + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x})\nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x},t) + \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})).$$

Moreover,  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, t)$  has a global minimum at  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)$  for each t, where the function  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)$  is the solution to the ordinary differential equation (ODE)  $d\hat{\mathbf{x}}/dt = \mathbf{b}(\hat{\mathbf{x}})$  with initial value  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0$ . For an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process,  $R_0$  is independent of  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $R_1$  vanishes.

Applying (4), one can determine (see Appendix B.2 for details) that both  $e_p$  and  $Q_{ex}$  are *extensive quantities*, that is, their leading order is  $O(\alpha)$ :

$$e_p = \alpha \mathbf{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{D}^{-1} \mathbf{b} + \boldsymbol{D} : \nabla \nabla \varphi + 2\nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} + R + O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right),$$
(5a)

$$Q_{ex} = -\alpha \mathbf{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{D}^{-1} \mathbf{b} - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} - R + O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right),\tag{5b}$$

where all the terms on the right-hand side of (5) are calculated at  $\mathbf{x} = \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)$ , the colon : denotes the Frobenius product of two matrices of the same size, and R is given by

$$R = \frac{1}{2} \nabla \nabla \left( R_0 \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{D}^{-1} \mathbf{b} \right) : \mathbf{\Sigma} - \frac{1}{6} \nabla \left( R_0 \mathbf{b} \mathbf{D}^{-1} \mathbf{b} \right) \cdot \nabla \nabla \nabla \varphi \Theta + \frac{R_1 \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{D}^{-1} \mathbf{b}}{\sqrt{\det \nabla \varphi}}$$

In which,  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = (\nabla \nabla \varphi)^{-1}$  and  $\nabla \nabla \nabla \varphi \Theta$  is an *N*-dimensional vector and its *i*-th component is given by

$$(\nabla\nabla\nabla\varphi\Theta)_i = \sum_{j,k,\ell=1}^N \partial^3_{x_j x_k x_\ell} \varphi\Theta_{ijk\ell},$$

where

$$\Theta_{ijk\ell} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{N/2}\sqrt{\det \boldsymbol{\Sigma}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} y_i y_j y_k y_\ell e^{-\frac{\mathbf{y}\cdot\nabla\nabla\varphi\mathbf{y}}{2}} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}$$

We identify a very important fact: The leading order terms of  $e_p$  and  $Q_{ex}$  are exactly the same [5]. As a result, the macroscopic rate of entropy change is not an extensive quantity, but it is on the order O(1):

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}S}{\mathrm{d}t} = \underbrace{\nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t))}_{\text{local heat exchange}} + \underbrace{D(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) : \nabla \nabla \varphi(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t), t)}_{\text{local entropy production}} + O(\frac{1}{\alpha}).$$
(6)

This is expected since  $S = -\frac{N}{2} \ln \alpha + O(1)$  is not a rapidly oscillating function of t.

The prefactor in (4) only appears within the R in (5), as a compensation between  $e_p$  and  $Q_{ex}$  [35,28,30]. While their explicit forms have been difficult to obtain in general (see Appendix B.2),  $R_1 = 0$  and  $R(\mathbf{x}, t)$  becomes independent of  $\mathbf{x}$  for an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process [26] which is a consequence when the central limit theorem exists. The R aside, the emergent macroscopic nonequilibrium thermodynamic structure is completely determined by  $\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $D(\mathbf{x})$ , and most importantly  $\varphi(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, t)$  as an irreversible thermodynamic process.

Even though both Eqs. (2) and (6) are equations for entropy balance, their contradistinction suggests subtle but important physics of mesoscopic vs. macroscopic systems.

First, in the macroscopic limit, the entropy production rate  $e_p$  and heat exchange rate  $Q_{ex}$  become exactly the same; their difference yields zero for dS/dt on the order  $O(\alpha)$ , that is, the rate of entropy change is actually very slow. The macroscopic heat exchange has the familiar Newtonian frictional form of "rate b times force  $D^{-1}b$ "; it is the same as entropy production rate, thus non-negative.

Second, the "very slow" rate of entropy change, however, is a balance between  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t))$  and  $\boldsymbol{D}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) : \nabla \nabla \varphi(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t), t)$ . In the theory of deterministic dynamical systems, volume preserving dynamics with  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  is considered as "conservative". This is completely consistent with identifying  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})$  as a system's energy exchange with the environment, i.e., heat [1,40,41,42,38]. Then in the true stationary state, on the order O(1), there is an exact balance between fluctuations  $\boldsymbol{D}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) : \nabla \nabla \varphi(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t), t)$  and dissipation  $-\nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t))$ .

Third, Eq. (6) is actually a variant of a known result: For an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process with linear drift  $\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}$  and constant non-degenerate diffusion  $\frac{1}{\alpha}\mathbf{D}$ , the covariance matrix  $\frac{1}{\alpha}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}(t)$  satisfies  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}'(t) = 2\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}(t) + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(t)\mathbf{B}^{\top}$  [29,5]. Noting that the entropy of a Gaussian distribution is

$$S = \frac{N}{2} \ln \left( 2\pi e \right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \det(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}) \right),$$

one finds from Jacobi's formula that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}S}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{tr} \left[ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(t) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}'(t) \right] = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} + \boldsymbol{D} : \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(t) = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} + \boldsymbol{D} : \nabla \nabla \varphi,$$

where  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, t) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathbf{x} - e^{\mathbf{B}t} \mathbf{x}_0 \right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(t) \left( \mathbf{x} - e^{\mathbf{B}t} \mathbf{x}_0 \right)$  is the rate function in this case. The present work establishes a connection between this equation for the variance of a timedependent Gaussian process, a form of the central limit theory [20], and the general entropy balance equation in the asymptotic limit of  $\alpha \to \infty$ . It shows that the trace of the covariance equation can be interpreted as "entropy balance".

Fourth, the local entropy production rate in (6) deserves further elaboration, given in Sec. 2.

This paper is organized as follows: Our key result is already given in Eq. (5), which allows us to connect (2) with (6) and form a complete understanding of the theory of entropy productions. All the mathematical details for the computations are given in the Appendices A-D. With the mathematical relationship in hand, Sec. 2 further elucidates the contradistinction between the meaning(s) of entropy production in macroscopic deterministic dynamics and entropy productions in mesoscopic dynamics. Sec. 3 discusses our mathematical results in terms of *time scales*, one of the enduring ideas in statistical physics and applied mathematics. The mathematical formulae are familiar [14, 15, 34]; but the discussion is only possible under Eq. (5): Dissipative and conservative motions are on the entirely different time scales. The paper concludes with Sec. 4 which contains a discussion.

## 2 Local entropy production and entropy change

To illustrate several ideas in stochastic dynamics, let us first consider a Markov chain with a probability distribution  $\{p_i(t) : 1 \le i \le N\}$  at time  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  and an one-step transition probability  $\{P_{ij} : 1 \le i, j \le N\}$ . The *entropy produced in the dynamic process*, over a single step transition from state *i* to *j*, is  $-\ln P_{ij}$ , with the mean value being  $-\sum_{i,j=1}^{N} p_i(t)P_{ij} \ln P_{ij}$ . However, not all this "amount" of entropy generated is being kept in the system at time t+1: In fact the *change in the entropy of the system* is

$$-\ln\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} p_k(t) P_{kj}\right) + \ln p_i(t),\tag{7}$$

with the mean value

$$-\sum_{i,j=1}^{N} p_i(t) P_{ij} \left[ \ln \left( \sum_{k=1}^{N} p_k(t) P_{kj} \right) - \ln p_i(t) \right]$$
  
=  $-\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_j(t+1) \ln p_j(t+1) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i(t) \ln p_i(t).$ 

Their difference, then, is [13]

=

$$\underbrace{\left\{-\ln P_{ij}\right\}}_{\text{total entropy generated}} - \underbrace{\left\{-\ln\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} p_k(t)P_{kj}\right) + \ln p_i(t)\right\}}_{\text{entropy change in the system}} = -\ln\left(\frac{p_i(t)P_{ij}}{\sum_{k=1}^{N} p_k(t)P_{kj}}\right)$$

$$= \underbrace{-\ln\left(\Pr\left\{X(t) = i \middle| X(t+1) = j\right\}\right)}_{\text{entropy change in the system}} \ge 0.$$
(8)

entropy discarded is associated with uncertainty in the past

 $-\ln P_{ij}$  is the entropy change in a "path tracking" representation of a Markov chain, Eq. (7) is the entropy change in the "state tracking" representation of the same Markov chain. The latter representation is a projection of the former. The difference given in (8) is related to the folding entropy in [41,22], a concept intimately related to A. Ben-Naim's notion of entropy of assimilation [2]. The difference between the uncertainty in the future and the uncertainty in the past is the entropy change in the system: One sees a deep connection between the entropy change in a system and time asymmetry in dynamics.

For a Gaussian process with variance  $\sigma^2$  at time t and a Brownian step from t to  $t + \tau$  with a diffusion coefficient D, the probability distribution at  $t + \tau$  is again Gaussian with variance  $\sigma^2 + 2D\tau$ . The instantaneous rate of entropy change in the continuous system, corresponding to (7), then is

$$\lim_{\tau \to 0} \frac{\ln \sqrt{2\pi e(\sigma^2 + 2D\tau)} - \ln \sqrt{2\pi e\sigma^2}}{\tau} = \frac{D}{\sigma^2}.$$
(9)

Applying the result in (9) to the local entropy production rate in (6),  $\nabla \nabla \varphi(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t), t)$  is the inverse of the local Gaussian variance at  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)$  at time t. Therefore,  $D(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) : \nabla \nabla \varphi(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t), t)$  is the local entropy production at time t that is kept by the system, due to the randomness in the dynamics. See [34] for a more thorough mathematical analysis of the diffusion process in the limit of  $\alpha \to \infty$ .

The local entropy production is no longer a characterization of the violation of detailed balance or time irreversibility, two essential concerns in stochastic thermodynamics. Rather, it is a characterization of the randomness generated by the dynamics, D, and the uncertainties in the system,  $\Sigma^{-1} = \nabla \nabla \varphi$ .

# **3** Time scales in the nonequilibrium thermodynamic description of stochastic dynamics

## 3.1 Free energy balance equation

For stochastic mechanical systems in contact with a heat bath, entropy is not the appropriate equilibrium thermodynamic potential, free energy is. In classical thermodynamics, the difference between entropy and free energy is the mean internal energy. This is reflected in the generalized free energy  $F = F[f_{\alpha}]$  defined by

$$F = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t) \ln \frac{f_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x}$$

where  $\pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})$  is the positive stationary solution to the Fokker-Planck equation (1) and satisfies  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = 1$ .

If  $\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})$  satisfies (3), then  $\pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{K_{\alpha}}e^{-\alpha U(\mathbf{x})}$  with  $K_{\alpha} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} e^{-\alpha U(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x}$ . As a result,  $F = \alpha \mathbb{E}_{f_{\alpha}}[U] - S + \ln K_{\alpha}$ , a Helmholtz free energy.

The functional F also has a balance equation of its own [14,9]:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}F}{\mathrm{d}t} = -e_p + Q_{hk},\tag{10}$$

where the house-keeping heat rate  $Q_{hk}$  is

$$Q_{hk} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha} \boldsymbol{D}(\mathbf{x}) \nabla f_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t) - \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}) f_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t) \right] \cdot \left[ \nabla \ln \pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) - \alpha \boldsymbol{D}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}) \right] d\mathbf{x}$$

It is also a non-negative quantity. It is actually positive unless  $\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})$  is a gradient field (3). The non-negativity of both  $e_p$  and  $Q_{hk}$  suggests that they can be identified as "sink" and "source" of the generalized free energy F in a nonlinear stochastic dynamical system. Moreover, the "sink" is stronger than the "source", resulting in the nice Lyapunov property dF/dt < 0.

In the limit of size parameter  $\alpha \to \infty$ , both F and  $Q_{hk}$  are extensive quantities on the order of  $O(\alpha)$  [34]:

$$F = \alpha \varphi^{ss} \left( \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t) \right) + \mathcal{C}_{\alpha} + O(1),$$
  
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}F}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\alpha \left[ \nabla \varphi^{ss} \left( \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t) \right) \cdot \boldsymbol{D} \left( \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t) \right) \nabla \varphi^{ss} \left( \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t) \right) \right] + O(1),$$
  
$$Q_{hk} = \alpha \left[ \boldsymbol{\gamma} \left( \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t) \right) \cdot \boldsymbol{D}^{-1} \left( \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t) \right) \boldsymbol{\gamma} \left( \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t) \right) \right] + O(1),$$

where  $\varphi^{ss}(\mathbf{x})$  is the leading-order exponent of  $\pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) \sim e^{-\alpha \varphi^{ss}(\mathbf{x})}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$  is a constant satisfying  $\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \frac{1}{\alpha} \ln (|\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}| + 1) = 0$ , and  $\gamma(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}) \nabla \varphi^{ss}(\mathbf{x})$ . In the case that the ODE  $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})$  admit a globally asymptotically stable and non-degenerate equilibrium, there holds  $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha} = 0$ . One of important results from the theory of large deviations [10] is that

$$\gamma(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla \varphi^{ss}(\mathbf{x}) = 0. \tag{11}$$

In fact, Eq. (10), on the macroscopic scale, becomes a Pythagorean triangle equality:

$$\|\boldsymbol{D}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t))\nabla\varphi^{ss}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t))\|_{\boldsymbol{D}^{-1}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t))}^{2} + \|\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t))\|_{\boldsymbol{D}^{-1}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t))}^{2} = \|\mathbf{b}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t))\|_{\boldsymbol{D}^{-1}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t))}^{2},$$
  
where the norm of a vector  $\mathbf{v}$  is defined as  $\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\boldsymbol{D}^{-1}(\mathbf{x})} = \sqrt{\mathbf{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{D}^{-1}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{v}}$  [34].

#### 3.2 Time scales, short-time and long-time perspectives

Among all the thermodynamic quantities we discussed above, macroscopic F,  $Q_{hk}$ , and dF/dt are defined via  $\varphi^{ss}(\mathbf{x})$ , while macroscopic  $e_p$  and  $Q_{ex}$ , and local heat exchange rate are functions of  $\mathbf{x}$  via  $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x})$  and  $\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})$ . dS/dt and its corresponding local entropy production rate, however, are defined via  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ , which is dependent upon the choice of  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, 0)$ , e.g., initial fluctuations. The  $\varphi^{ss}(\mathbf{x})$  plays the role of a macroscopic potential energy function. In the macroscopic, deterministic limit, free energy and its rate of change are dominated by this energy function  $\varphi^{ss}(\mathbf{x})$ .

The fact that  $dF/dt \sim O(\alpha)$  and  $dS/dt \sim O(1)$  tells us that in time-dependent nonequilibrium thermodynamics, free energy relaxation is fast, while entropy change is slow. This is a point that has escaped general attention in the past discussion on nonequilibrium thermodynamics. Through  $\varphi^{ss}(\mathbf{x})$ , free energy is a "global" characterization of the nonlinear stochastic dynamics, while entropy dynamics is only local.

The physical meaning of  $\varphi^{ss}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t))$  and  $\varphi(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t),t)$ , where  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)$  being the solution to the deterministic motion as the solution to  $d\hat{\mathbf{x}}/dt = \mathbf{b}(\hat{\mathbf{x}})$ , deserves further discussion:  $\nabla\varphi(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t),t) = 0$  for all time t. The matrix  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t),t) = (\nabla\nabla\varphi)^{-1}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t),t)$ , as a function of  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)$ , is the local fluctuation in the asymptotic limit of  $\alpha$  being very large but not infinite. It is usually called time-dependent *fluctuations*. There is a time-dependent Gaussian process that describes this regime, following the theory of van Kampen's system-size expansion, or Keizer's nonequilibrium statistical thermodynamics [18].  $\nabla\varphi^{ss}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t))$ , on the other hand, tells eventually in a very long time scale, the local probability and its gradient at  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)$ . It also provide a definitive statement that  $d\varphi^{ss}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t))/dt = \mathbf{b}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) \cdot \nabla\varphi^{ss}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) \leq 0$  is never positive, thanks to Eq. (11).  $\varphi^{ss}(\mathbf{x})$  is a landscape for the dynamics with permanence, irrespective of the initial  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, 0)$ . It contains the "ultimate knowledge" of the nonlinear stochastic dynamics. All this discussion reflects a "competition" between the two limits in an ergodic system,  $\alpha \to \infty$  and  $t \to \infty$ , as first understood in the theory of equilibrium phase transition [33].

## 4 Discussion

In current textbooks on equilibrium statistical mechanics, thermodynamic limit is rigorously defined as a system's size,  $\alpha$ , tending to infinity. There is no statement on the time scales of the rate of changes in thermodynamic quantities, particularly their dependency upon the system's size  $\alpha$ . Time scale(s), however, is certainly central to physics. We discover that there is a deep relation between the  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$  and time scales of an entropy balance and for a free energy balance: The former is on the order of O(1) but the latter is on the order  $O(\alpha)$ .

There have been two approaches to statistical thermodynamics, one based on classical mechanics originated by L. Boltzmann, and one based on probability originated by J. W. Gibbs [21]. Development in nonlinear dynamical systems based on chaotic hypothesis [12] and Sinai-Ruelle-Bowen measure [39, 50, 6] is the continuation of the former [42, 8], while the stochastic thermodynamics [36, 45] and in-depth explorations of the theory of probability were the further development of the latter [17, 14, 15, 49, 4]. The present result provides a natural logic bridge between the entropy balance equations, as the fundamental of nonequilibrium thermodynamics, that emerge in these two approaches. If one identifies  $\alpha = \epsilon^{-1}$  where  $\epsilon$  being the size of a Markov partition for a deterministic dynamical system, then taking the limit of  $\alpha \to \infty$  is consistent with the modern treatment in terms of a generating partition which gives rise to Kolmogorov-Sinai metric entropy and the nonequilibrium thermodynamics  $\dot{a} \, la \, D$ . Ruelle [8].

Our present result might also have implications to equilibrium thermodynamic analysis in which researchers are routinely partitioning the energetic and entropic contributions to total free energy change via van't Hoff method [43]. A compensation between the entropy and energy changes has been extensively discussed in the past [35,28,30]. With  $\Delta S = 0$  on  $O(\alpha)$  in  $\Delta t$  time, it is tempting to interpret  $e_p \Delta t$  and  $Q_{ex} \Delta t$  as entropy change and energy change in a quasi-stationary process.

## Acknowledgement

We thank Yu-Chen Cheng, Yves Pomeau, Shirou Wang, Lai-Sang Young, and particularly Krzysztof Burdzy for helpful discussions. Z. S. was partially supported by a start-up grant from the University of Alberta, NSERC RGPIN-2018-04371, and NSERC RGPIN-2024-04938.

## References

- 1. Andrey, L. (1985) The rate of entropy change in non-Hamiltonian systems. Phys. Lett. A 111, 45-46.
- 2. Ben-Naim, A. (2006) The entropy of mixing and assimilation: An information-theoretical perspective. *Am. J. Phys.* **74**, 1126–1135.
- Biswas, A. and Borkar, V. S. (2009) Small noise asymptotics for invariant densities for a class of diffusions: a control theoretic view. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 360, 476–484.

- Cheng, Y.-C., Qian, H. and Zhu, Y. (2021) Asymptotic behavior of a sequence of conditional probability distributions and the canonical ensemble. *Ann. Henri Poincaré* 22, 1561–1627.
- Cheng, Y.-C. and Qian, H. (2021) Stochastic limit-cycle oscillations of a nonlinear system under random perturbations. J. Stat. Phys. 182, 47.
- Cowieson, W. and Young, L.-S. (2005) SRB measures as zero-noise limits. Erg. Th. Dyn. Sys. 25, 1115– 1138.
- 7. Day, M. V. (1987) Recent progress on the small parameter exit problem. Stochastics 20, 121–150.
- Dorfman, J. R. (1999) An Introduction to Chaos in Nonequilibrium Statistical Mechanics, Cambridge Univ. Press, London.
- 9. Esposito, M. and Van den Broeck, C. (2010) Three detailed fluctuation theorems. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 090601.
- Freidlin, M. I. and Wentzell, A. D. (1998) Random Perturbations of Dynamical Systems, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., Springer-Verlag, New York.
- 11. Friedman, A. (2006) Stochastic Differential Equations and Applications. Dover, New York.
- Gallavotti, G. and Cohen, E. G. D. (1995) Dynamical ensembles in nonequilibrium statistical mechanics. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 74, 2694–2697.
- Gaspard, P. (2004) Time-reversed dynamical entropy and irreversibility in Markovian random processes. J. Stat. Phys. 117, 599–615.
- Ge, H. and Qian, H. (2010) The physical origins of entropy production, free energy dissipation and their mathematical representations. *Phys. Rev. E* 81, 051133.
- 15. Ge, H. and Qian, H. (2016) Mesoscopic kinetic basis of macroscopic chemical thermodynamics: A mathematical theory. *Phys. Rev. E* 94, 052150.
- Ge, H. and Qian, H. (2017) Mathematical formalism of nonequilibrium thermodynamics for nonlinear chemical reaction systems with general rate law. J. Stat. Phys. 166, 190–209.
- Jiang, D.-Q., Qian, M. and Qian, M.-P. (2004) Mathematical Theory of Nonequilibrium Steady States, LNM vol. 1833, Springer, New York.
- 18. Keizer, J. (1987) Statistical Thermodynamics of Nonequilibrium Processes, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Khasminskii, R. (2012) *Stochastic stability of differential equations*. With contributions by G. N. Milstein and M. B. Nevelson. Completely revised and enlarged second edition. Stochastic Modelling and Applied Probability, 66. Springer, Heidelberg.
- 20. Kurtz, T. G. (1981) The central limit theorem for Markov chains. Ann. Probab. 9, 557–560.
- Lebowitz, J. L. (1999) Microscipic origins of irreversible macroscopic behavior. *Physica A* 263, 516– 527.
- Liu, D.-P. (2003) Ruelle inequality relating entropy, folding entropy, and negative Lyaponov exponents. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 240, 531–538.
- Luo, J.-L., Van den Broeck, C. and Nicolis, G. (1984) Stability criteria and fluctuations around nonequilibrium states. *Zeit. Physik. B* 56, 165–170.
- 24. Miao, B., Qian, H. and Wu, Y.-S. (2024) Emergence of Newtonian deterministic causality from stochastic motions in continuous space and time. *Manuscript in Preparation*.
- Mikami, T. (1990) Asymptotic analysis of invariant density of randomly perturbed dynamical systems. Ann. Probab. 18, 524–536.
- 26. Onsager, L. and Machlup, S. (1953) Fluctuations and irreversible processes. Phys. Rev. 91, 1505–1512.
- 27. Pavliotis, G. A. (2014) Stochastic Processes and Applications. Diffusion Processes, the Fokker-Planck and Langevin Equations. Texts in Applied Mathematics, vol. 60. Springer, New York.
- Qian, H. (1998) Entropy-enthalpy compensation: Conformational fluctuation and induced-fit. J. Chem. Phys. 109, 10015–10017.
- Qian, H. (2001) Mathematical formalism for isothermal linear irreversibility. Proc. Roy. Soc. A 457, 1645–1655.
- Qian, H. (2001) Mesoscopic nonequilibrium thermodynamics of single macromolecules and dynamic entropy-energy compensation. *Phys. Rev. E* 65, 016102.
- 31. Qian, H. (2015) Thermodynamics of the general diffusion process: Equilibrium supercurrent and nonequilibrium driven circulation with dissipation. *Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top.* **224**, 781–799.
- 32. Qian, H. (2019) Nonlinear stochastic dynamics of complex systems, I: Chemical reaction kinetic perspective with mesoscopic nonequilibrium thermodynamics. In *Complex Science: An Introduction*, Peletier, M. A., van Santen, R. A. and Steur, E. eds., World Scientific, Singapore, pp. 347–373.
- Qian, H., Ao, P., Tu, Y. and Wang, J. (2016) A framework towards understanding mesoscopic phenomena: Emergent unpredictability, symmetry breaking and dynamics across scales. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 665, 153–161.
- Qian, H., Cheng, Y.-C. and Yang, Y.-J. (2020) Kinematic basis of emergent energetics of complex dynamics. *EPL* 131, 50002.

- Qian, H. and Hopfield, J. J. (1996) Entropy-enthalpy compensation: Perturbation and relaxation in thermodynamic systems. J. Chem. Phys. 105, 9292–9296.
- Qian, H., Kjelstrup, S., Kolomeisky, A. B. and Bedeaux, D. (2016) Entropy production in mesoscopic stochastic thermodynamics - Nonequilibrium kinetic cycles driven by chemical potentials, temperatures, and mechanical forces (Topical review). J. Phys. Cond. Matt. 28, 153004.
- 37. Qian, H., Qian, M. and Tang, X. (2002) Thermodynamics of the general diffusion process: Timereversibility and entropy production. J. Stat. Phys. 107, 1129–1141.
- Qian, H., Wang, S. and Yi, Y. (2019) Entropy productions in dissipative systems. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 147, 5209–5225.
- 39. Qian, M., Xie, J.-S. and Zhu, S. (2009) *Smooth Ergodic Theory for Endomorphisms*, LNM vol. 1978, Springer, New York.
- Ramshaw, J. D. (1986) Remarks on entropy and irreversibility in non-Hamiltonian system. *Phys. Lett. A* 116, 110–114.
- Ruelle, D. (1996) Positivity of entropy production in nonequilibrium statistical mechanics. J. Stat. Phys. 85, 1–23.
- 42. Ruelle, D. (1999) Gaps and new ideas in our understanding of nonequilibrium. Physica A 263, 540-544.
- Schellman, J. A. (1987) The thermodynamic stability of proteins. Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biophys. Chem. 16, 115–137.
- Schnakenberg, J. (1976) Network theory of microscopic and macroscopic behavior of master equation. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 48, 571–585.
- 45. Seifert, U. (2012) Stochastic thermodynamics, fluctuation theorems, and molecular machines. *Rep. Progr. Phys.* **75**, 126001.
- Sheu, S.-J. (1984) Asymptotic behavior of transition density of diffusion Markov process with small diffusion. *Stochastics* 13, 131–163.
- Sheu, S.-J. (1986) Asymptotic behavior of the invariant density of a diffusion Markov process with small diffusion. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 17, 451–460.
- Spinney, R. E. and Ford, I. J. (2012) Entropy production in full phase space for continuous stochastic dynamics. *Phys. Rev. E* 85, 051113.
- Wang, Y. and Qian, H. (2020) Mathematical representation of Clausius' and Kelvin's statements of the second law and irreversibility. J. Stat. Phys. 179, 808–837.
- Young, L.-S. (2002) What are SRB measures, and which dynamical systems have them? J. Stat. Phys. 108, 733–754.

#### A Fokker-Planck equation and WKB ansatz

Consider the following Fokker-Planck equation

$$\partial_t f_{\alpha} = \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} D \nabla f_{\alpha} - \mathbf{b} f_{\alpha}\right) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N,$$
(12a)

$$(12b)$$

where  $\alpha$  represents the size of a system,  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $\delta_{\mathbf{x}_0}$  denotes the Dirac measure at  $\mathbf{x}_0$ , the diffusion matrix  $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x})$  is symmetric positive definite and sufficiently smooth, and the vector/drift field  $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})$  is sufficiently smooth. Mild growth conditions on  $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x})$  and  $\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})$  as  $|\mathbf{x}| \to \infty$  can be imposed to guarantee that Eq. (12) is well-posed and admits a classical solution  $f_\alpha$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty)$ . Moreover, the solution satisfies  $f_\alpha > 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty)$ ,  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_\alpha(\mathbf{x}, t) d\mathbf{x} = 1$  for all t > 0, and, together with its derivatives, decays to 0 sufficiently fast as  $|\mathbf{x}| \to \infty$  [17,27].

 $f_{\alpha}($ 

In addition, if  $\mathbf{x}_{\alpha}(t)$  is the solution to the SDE associated with Eq. (12a) with initial condition  $\mathbf{x}_{\alpha}(0) = \mathbf{x}_{0}$ , then for any t > 0,  $f_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t)$  is the density of the distribution of  $\mathbf{x}_{\alpha}(t)$ .

Under dissipative conditions (e.g., Lyapunov conditions), Eq. (12a) admits a unique positive stationary solution  $\pi_{\alpha}$  satisfying  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \pi_{\alpha} d\mathbf{x} = 1$  [19]. Setting

$$\mathbf{J}_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\alpha} D \nabla \ln \pi_{\alpha} - \mathbf{b},\tag{13}$$

then  $\pi_{\alpha}$  satisfies

$$\nabla \cdot (\pi_{\alpha} \mathbf{J}_{\alpha}) = 0. \tag{14}$$

Below, we state the Wentzel-Kramers-Brillouin (WKB) ansatz of  $f_{\alpha}$  and  $\pi_{\alpha}$  for large  $\alpha$ .

## A.1 WKB ansatz of $f_{\alpha}$

For each t > 0, the WKB ansatz of  $f_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t)$  in  $\mathbf{x}$  for large  $\alpha$  reads (see e.g. [46, 10, 11])

$$f_{\alpha} = \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} R_{\alpha} e^{-\alpha\varphi} = \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \left[R_0 + \frac{1}{\alpha}R_1 + O(\frac{1}{\alpha^2})\right] e^{-\alpha\varphi},\tag{15}$$

where  $\varphi = \varphi(\mathbf{x}, t)$  is the rate function and  $R_{\alpha} = R_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t)$  is the prefactor. The regularity of  $\varphi$ ,  $R_{\alpha}$ ,  $R_0 = R_0(\mathbf{x}, t)$ , and  $R_1 = R_1(\mathbf{x}, t)$  is not automatic, but can be achieved under technical assumptions (see [46, Section 3]).

The rate function  $\varphi$  solves the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$-\partial_t \varphi = \nabla \varphi \cdot (\mathbf{D} \nabla \varphi + \mathbf{b}) \,.$$

Moreover, if  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)$  denotes the solution to the ODE  $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})$  with initial condition  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0$ , then for any t > 0, the following hold:

-  $\varphi(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t), t) = 0 < \varphi(\mathbf{x}, t)$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus {\{\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\}}$ ; in particular,  $\nabla \varphi(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t), t) = 0$ ; -  $\nabla \nabla \varphi(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t), t)$  is positive definite, where  $\nabla \nabla \varphi$  denotes the Hessian matrix of  $\varphi$ .

The leading term  $R_0$  of the prefactor  $R_{\alpha}$  solves the following linear equation

$$-\partial_t R_0 = (2\mathbf{D}\nabla\varphi + \mathbf{b}) \cdot \nabla R_0 + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{D}\nabla\varphi + \mathbf{b}) R_0,$$

while  $R_1$  solves the following non-homogeneous equation

$$-\partial_t R_1 = -\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{D}\nabla R_0) + (2\mathbf{D}\nabla\varphi + \mathbf{b}) \cdot \nabla R_1 + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{D}\nabla\varphi + \mathbf{b}) R_1.$$
(16)

Since  $\left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} R_{\alpha} e^{-\alpha \varphi} d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_{\alpha} d\mathbf{x} = 1$ , Laplace's method yields

$$\frac{R_0(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t), t)}{\sqrt{\det \nabla \nabla \varphi(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t), t)}} = 1$$

A.2 WKB ansatz of  $\pi_{\alpha}$ 

The WKB ansatz of  $\pi_{\alpha}$  reads

$$\pi_{\alpha} = \frac{R_{\alpha}^{ss}}{C_{\alpha}} e^{-\alpha \varphi^{ss}},\tag{17}$$

where  $C_{\alpha}$  is the sub-exponential (i.e.,  $\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \frac{1}{\alpha} \ln C_{\alpha} = 0$ ) normalizing constant, the rate function  $\varphi^{ss}$  satisfies  $\min \varphi^{ss} = 0$  and solves the stationary Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$\nabla \varphi^{ss} \cdot (\mathbf{D} \nabla \varphi^{ss} + \mathbf{b}) = 0, \tag{18}$$

and the prefactor  $R^{ss}_{\alpha}$  satisfies

$$R_{\alpha}^{ss} = R_0^{ss} + O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right),$$

in which  $R_0^{ss}$  solves

$$(2\mathbf{D}\nabla\varphi^{ss} + \mathbf{b}) \cdot \nabla R_0^{ss} + \left[\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{D}\nabla\varphi^{ss}) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}\right] R_0^{ss} = 0.$$

When **b** admits a potential U, namely,  $\mathbf{b} = -\mathbf{D}\nabla U$ , then  $\varphi = U$  and  $R_{\alpha}^{ss} \equiv 1$ . This is the only trivial case. In general, the WKB ansatz of  $\pi_{\alpha}$  relies heavily on the dynamical structure of the ODE

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}). \tag{19}$$

The existence of the rate function  $\varphi^{ss}$  has been justified under different dynamical assumptions on (19) by examining the limit of  $-\frac{1}{\alpha} \ln \pi_{\alpha}$  as  $\alpha \to \infty$ . The asymptotic properties of  $R^{ss}_{\alpha}$  are only known when (19) admit a globally asymptotically stable and non-degenerate equilibrium. See [47, 7, 25, 3].

# **B** Entropy

We present the entropy balance equation and study related large  $\alpha$  asymptotics.

# B.1 Entropy balance equation

The entropy  $S = S[f_{\alpha}]$ , entropy production rate  $e_p = e_p[f_{\alpha}]$ , and heat exchange rate  $Q_{ex} = Q_{ex}[f_{\alpha}]$  are defined by

$$S = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_\alpha \ln f_\alpha d\mathbf{x},$$
  

$$e_p = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \mathbf{D} \nabla f_\alpha - \mathbf{b} f_\alpha\right) \cdot \left(\nabla \ln f_\alpha - \alpha \mathbf{D}^{-1} \mathbf{b}\right) d\mathbf{x}, \text{ and }$$
  

$$Q_{ex} = \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \mathbf{D} \nabla f_\alpha - \mathbf{b} f_\alpha\right) \cdot \left(\mathbf{D}^{-1} \mathbf{b}\right) d\mathbf{x},$$

respectively. Whenever  $f_\alpha$  is fixed, they are just functions of the time variable t.

Clearly,  $e_p$  can be written as

$$e_p = \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \mathbf{D} \nabla \ln f_\alpha - \mathbf{b} \right) \cdot \mathbf{D}^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \mathbf{D} \nabla \ln f_\alpha - \mathbf{b} \right) f_\alpha \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}.$$
 (20)

The positive definiteness of  $D^{-1}$  ensures that  $e_p > 0$ .

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}S}{\mathrm{d}t} &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \partial_t f_\alpha \left( \ln f_\alpha + 1 \right) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \mathbf{D} \nabla f_\alpha - \mathbf{b} f_\alpha \right) \left( \ln f_\alpha + 1 \right) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \mathbf{D} \nabla f_\alpha - \mathbf{b} f_\alpha \right) \cdot \nabla \ln f_\alpha \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \mathbf{D} \nabla f_\alpha - \mathbf{b} f_\alpha \right) \cdot \left( \nabla \ln f_\alpha - \alpha \mathbf{D}^{-1} \mathbf{b} + \alpha \mathbf{D}^{-1} \mathbf{b} \right) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the following entropy balance equation holds:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}S}{\mathrm{d}t} = e_p + Q_{ex}.\tag{21}$$

# **B.2** Asymptotics

The large  $\alpha$  asymptotics of S,  $Q_{ex}$ ,  $e_p$ , and  $\frac{dS}{dt}$  are given as follows.

$$\begin{split} S &= -\frac{N}{2} \ln \alpha + O(1), \\ Q_{ex} &= -\alpha \mathbf{b}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) \cdot \mathbf{D}^{-1}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) \mathbf{b}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) \\ &\quad - C(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t), t) - \frac{R_1(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t), t)}{\sqrt{\det \nabla \nabla \varphi(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t), t)}} \mathbf{b}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) \cdot \mathbf{D}^{-1}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) \mathbf{b}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) + O(\frac{1}{\alpha}) \\ e_p &= \alpha \mathbf{b}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) \cdot \mathbf{D}^{-1}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) \mathbf{b}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) \\ &\quad + C(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t), t) + \frac{R_1(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t), t)}{\sqrt{\det \nabla \nabla \varphi(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t), t)}} \mathbf{b}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) \cdot \mathbf{D}^{-1}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) \mathbf{b}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) + 2\nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) \\ &\quad + \mathbf{D}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) : \nabla \nabla \varphi(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t), t) + O(\frac{1}{\alpha}), \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}S}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \mathbf{D}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) : \nabla \nabla \varphi(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t), t) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) + O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right), \end{split}$$

where the colon : denotes the Frobenius product of two matrices of the same size, and

$$C(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t),t) = \left[\frac{1}{2}\nabla\nabla(R_0\mathbf{b}\cdot\boldsymbol{D}^{-1}\mathbf{b}):\nabla\nabla\varphi - \frac{1}{6}\nabla(R_0\mathbf{b}\cdot\boldsymbol{D}^{-1}\mathbf{b})\cdot(\nabla\nabla\varphi\varphi\Theta)\right]\Big|_{\mathbf{x}=\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)}.$$
 (22)

In which,  $\nabla\nabla\nabla\varphi\Theta$  is an N-dimensional vector and its i-th component is given by

$$(\nabla\nabla\nabla\varphi\Theta)_i = \sum_{j,k,\ell=1}^N \partial^3_{x_j x_k x_\ell} \varphi\Theta_{ijk\ell},$$

where

$$\Theta_{ijk\ell} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{N/2} \sqrt{\det(\nabla \nabla \varphi)^{-1}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} y_i y_j y_k y_\ell e^{-\frac{\mathbf{y} \cdot \nabla \nabla \varphi \mathbf{y}}{2}} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}.$$

Below, we provide the detailed derivation of these asymptotics.

Asymptotic of S. Clearly,

$$S = -\left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{N}{2} \ln \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} + \ln R_\alpha - \alpha\varphi\right) R_\alpha e^{-\alpha\varphi} d\mathbf{x}$$
$$= -\frac{N}{2} \ln \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} - \ln R_0(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t), t) + O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) + \alpha \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi R_\alpha e^{-\alpha\varphi} d\mathbf{x}$$

The asymptotic of  ${\cal S}$  follows from the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi R_\alpha e^{-\alpha\varphi} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} &= -\left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\varphi \nabla \varphi}{|\nabla \varphi|^2} R_\alpha \cdot \nabla e^{-\alpha\varphi} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\ &= \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\varphi \nabla \varphi}{|\nabla \varphi|^2} R_\alpha\right) e^{-\alpha\varphi} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} = O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Asymptotic of  $Q_{ex}$ . Since

$$\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\boldsymbol{D}\nabla f_{\alpha} - \mathbf{b}f_{\alpha}\right) \cdot \left(\boldsymbol{D}^{-1}\mathbf{b}\right) = \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \left[\frac{1}{\alpha}\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla R_{\alpha} - \left(\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \varphi + \mathbf{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{D}^{-1}\mathbf{b}\right)R_{\alpha}\right] e^{-\alpha\varphi},$$

we find

$$Q_{ex} = -\alpha \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} R_{\alpha} \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \varphi e^{-\alpha \varphi} d\mathbf{x} - \alpha \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} R_{\alpha} \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{D}^{-1} \mathbf{b} e^{-\alpha \varphi} d\mathbf{x} + \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla R_{\alpha} e^{-\alpha \varphi} d\mathbf{x} = I_1(\alpha) + I_2(\alpha) + I_3(\alpha).$$

Note that

$$I_1(\alpha) = \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} R_\alpha \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla e^{-\alpha\varphi} d\mathbf{x} = -\left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\nabla R_\alpha \cdot \mathbf{b} + R_\alpha \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}\right) e^{-\alpha\varphi} d\mathbf{x}.$$

Then,

$$I_1(\alpha) + I_3(\alpha) = -\left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} R_\alpha \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} e^{-\alpha\varphi} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) + O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)$$

For  $I_2(\alpha)$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{\alpha}I_2(\alpha) &= \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(R_0 + \frac{1}{\alpha}R_1 + O(\frac{1}{\alpha^2})\right) \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{b}e^{-\alpha\varphi} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\ &= \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} R_0 \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{b}e^{-\alpha\varphi} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} R_1 \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{b}e^{-\alpha\varphi} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} + O(\frac{1}{\alpha^2}) \\ &= I_{21}(\alpha) + \frac{1}{\alpha}I_{22}(\alpha) + O(\frac{1}{\alpha^2}). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$I_{21}(\alpha) = \mathbf{b}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) \cdot \mathbf{D}^{-1}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t))\mathbf{b}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) + \frac{1}{\alpha}C(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t), t) + O(\frac{1}{\alpha^2}),$$
  

$$I_{22}(\alpha) = \frac{R_1(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t), t)}{\sqrt{\det \nabla \nabla \varphi(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t), t)}} \mathbf{b}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) \cdot \mathbf{D}^{-1}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t))\mathbf{b}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) + O(\frac{1}{\alpha}),$$

where  $C(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t), t)$  is given in (22), we arrive at

$$I_{2}(\alpha) = -\alpha \mathbf{b}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) \cdot \boldsymbol{D}^{-1}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t))\mathbf{b}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) - C(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t), t) - \frac{R_{1}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t), t)}{\sqrt{\det \nabla \nabla \varphi(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t), t)}} \mathbf{b}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) \cdot \boldsymbol{D}^{-1}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t))\mathbf{b}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) + O(\frac{1}{\alpha}).$$

The asymptotic of  $Q_{ex}$  follows.

Asymptotic of  $e_p$ . Note that

$$e_p = -Q_{ex} + \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} D \nabla f_\alpha - \mathbf{b} f_\alpha \right) \cdot \nabla \ln f_\alpha \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x}}_{I(\alpha)}.$$

Given the asymptotic of  $Q_{ex}$ , we only need to treat  $I(\alpha)$ . Straightforward calculations yield

$$\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\boldsymbol{D}\nabla f_{\alpha} - \mathbf{b}f_{\alpha}\right) \cdot \nabla \ln f_{\alpha}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \left[\frac{1}{\alpha}\frac{\nabla R_{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{D}\nabla R_{\alpha}}{R_{\alpha}} - (2\boldsymbol{D}\nabla\varphi + \mathbf{b}) \cdot \nabla R_{\alpha} + \alpha \left(\boldsymbol{D}\nabla\varphi + \mathbf{b}\right)R_{\alpha} \cdot \nabla\varphi\right] e^{-\alpha\varphi}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{split} I(\alpha) &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\nabla R_{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{D} \nabla R_{\alpha}}{R_{\alpha}} e^{-\alpha\varphi} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} - \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(2\mathbf{D} \nabla \varphi + \mathbf{b}\right) \cdot \nabla R_{\alpha} e^{-\alpha\varphi} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\ &+ \alpha \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} R_{\alpha} \nabla \varphi \cdot \mathbf{D} \nabla \varphi e^{-\alpha\varphi} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} + \alpha \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} R_{\alpha} \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \varphi e^{-\alpha\varphi} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}. \end{split}$$

Note that the fourth term on the RHS of the above equality can be written as

$$-\left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} R_{\alpha} \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla e^{-\alpha\varphi} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} = \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\nabla R_{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{b} + R_{\alpha} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}\right) e^{-\alpha\varphi} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{split} I(\alpha) &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\nabla R_\alpha \cdot \mathbf{D} \nabla R_\alpha}{R_\alpha} e^{-\alpha\varphi} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} - 2\left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathbf{D} \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla R_\alpha e^{-\alpha\varphi} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\ &+ \alpha \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} R_\alpha \nabla \varphi \cdot \mathbf{D} \nabla \varphi e^{-\alpha\varphi} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} + \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} R_\alpha \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} e^{-\alpha\varphi} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\ &= I_4(\alpha) + I_5(\alpha) + I_6(\alpha) + I_7(\alpha). \end{split}$$

Clearly,  $I_4(\alpha) = O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)$  and  $I_7(\alpha) = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) + O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)$ . For  $I_5(\alpha)$ ,

$$I_{5}(\alpha) = \frac{2}{\alpha} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathbf{D} \nabla R_{\alpha} \cdot \nabla e^{-\alpha\varphi} d\mathbf{x}$$
$$= -\frac{2}{\alpha} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{D} \nabla R_{\alpha}) e^{-\alpha\varphi} d\mathbf{x} = O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)$$

For  $I_6(\alpha)$ ,

$$\begin{split} I_{6}(\alpha) &= -\left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} R_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{D} \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla e^{-\alpha \varphi} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} \\ &= \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla \cdot \left(R_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{D} \nabla \varphi\right) e^{-\alpha \varphi} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} \\ &= \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \left[\nabla R_{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{D} \nabla \varphi + R_{\alpha} \left(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{D}\right) \cdot \nabla \varphi + R_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{D} : \nabla \nabla \varphi\right] e^{-\alpha \varphi} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \left[\boldsymbol{D} \nabla R_{\alpha} + R_{\alpha} \left(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{D}\right)\right] \cdot \nabla e^{-\alpha \varphi} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} + \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} R_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{D} : \nabla \nabla \varphi e^{-\alpha \varphi} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla \cdot \left[\boldsymbol{D} \nabla R_{\alpha} + R_{\alpha} \left(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{D}\right)\right] e^{-\alpha \varphi} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} + \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} R_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{D} : \nabla \nabla \varphi e^{-\alpha \varphi} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla \cdot \left[\boldsymbol{D} \nabla R_{\alpha} + R_{\alpha} \left(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{D}\right)\right] e^{-\alpha \varphi} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} + \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} R_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{D} : \nabla \nabla \varphi e^{-\alpha \varphi} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) + \boldsymbol{D}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) : \nabla \nabla \varphi(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t), t). \end{split}$$

Hence,

$$I(\alpha) = \mathbf{D}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) : \nabla \nabla \varphi(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t), t) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) + O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)$$

The asymptotic of  $e_p$  then follows.

Asymptotic of  $\frac{dS}{dt}$ . It follows from the entropy balance equation Eq. (21) and the asymptotics of  $e_p$  and  $Q_{ex}$ . Alternatively, the asymptotic of  $\frac{dS}{dt}$  follows from the fact that  $\frac{dS}{dt} = I(\alpha)$ .

## C Free energy

We present the free energy balance equation and study related large  $\alpha$  asymptotics.

# C.1 Free energy balance equation

The free energy  $F=F[f_{\alpha}]$  and house-keeping heat rate  $Q_{hk}=Q_{hk}[f_{\alpha}]$  are defined by

$$F = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_{\alpha} \ln \frac{f_{\alpha}}{\pi_{\alpha}} d\mathbf{x} \text{ and}$$
$$Q_{hk} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \mathbf{D} \nabla f_{\alpha} - \mathbf{b} f_{\alpha}\right) \cdot \left(\nabla \ln \pi_{\alpha} - \alpha \mathbf{D}^{-1} \mathbf{b}\right) d\mathbf{x},$$

respectively. It is known that F > 0 and  $Q_{hk} \ge 0$ , and the following free energy balance equation holds: dF

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}t} = -e_p + Q_{hk}.\tag{23}$$

Below, we provide the details.

**Positivity of** *F***.** Note that

$$F = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_\alpha \ln \frac{\pi_\alpha}{f_\alpha} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \ge -\ln \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\pi_\alpha}{f_\alpha} f_\alpha \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} = 0,$$

where we used Jensen's inequality. Moreover, since the function  $x\mapsto -\ln x$  is strictly convex, the above inequality is strict unless  $f_{\alpha} \equiv \pi_{\alpha}$ . As  $f_{\alpha} \neq \pi_{\alpha}$ , one concludes F > 0.

Non-negativity of  $Q_{hk}$ . We show

$$Q_{hk} = \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathbf{J}_{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{D}^{-1} \mathbf{J}_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} \ge 0,$$
(24)

where  $\mathbf{J}_{\alpha}$  is defined in (13). Note that  $\mathbf{J}_{\alpha} = \mathbf{0}$  so that  $Q_{hk} = 0$  when  $\mathbf{b}$  admits a potential U, namely,  $\mathbf{b} = -\mathbf{D}\nabla U$ . Otherwise,  $Q_{hk} > 0$ .

Indeed, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{hk} &= \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} D \nabla \ln f_\alpha - \mathbf{b} \right) f_\alpha \cdot D^{-1} \mathbf{J}_\alpha \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} \\ &= \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} D \nabla \ln f_\alpha - \frac{1}{\alpha} D \nabla \ln \pi_\alpha + \mathbf{J}_\alpha \right) f_\alpha \cdot D^{-1} \mathbf{J}_\alpha \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} \\ &= \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} D \nabla \ln f_\alpha - \frac{1}{\alpha} D \nabla \ln \pi_\alpha \right) f_\alpha \cdot D^{-1} \mathbf{J}_\alpha \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} + \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathbf{J}_\alpha \cdot D^{-1} \mathbf{J}_\alpha f_\alpha \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} D \nabla \ln \frac{f_\alpha}{\pi_\alpha} f_\alpha \cdot D^{-1} \mathbf{J}_\alpha \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} + \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathbf{J}_\alpha \cdot D^{-1} \mathbf{J}_\alpha f_\alpha \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x}. \end{aligned}$$

The expected result follows readily from

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \boldsymbol{D} \nabla \ln \frac{f_\alpha}{\pi_\alpha} f_\alpha \cdot \boldsymbol{D}^{-1} \mathbf{J}_\alpha \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla \frac{f_\alpha}{\pi_\alpha} \cdot (\pi_\alpha \mathbf{J}_\alpha) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{f_\alpha}{\pi_\alpha} \nabla \cdot (\pi_\alpha \mathbf{J}_\alpha) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} = 0,$$
(25)

where we used Eq. (14) in the last equality.

## Free energy balance equation. We show

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}F}{\mathrm{d}t} = -e_p + Q_{hk} = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \nabla \ln \frac{f_\alpha}{\pi_\alpha} \right) \cdot \boldsymbol{D} \left( \nabla \ln \frac{f_\alpha}{\pi_\alpha} \right) f_\alpha \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} < 0$$

The strict inequality is a result of the fact that  $f_{\alpha} \neq \pi_{\alpha}$ .

The first equality follows readily.

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathrm{d}F}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \partial_t f_\alpha \left( \ln \frac{f_\alpha}{\pi_\alpha} + 1 \right) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} D \nabla f_\alpha - \mathbf{b} f_\alpha \right) \left( \ln \frac{f_\alpha}{\pi_\alpha} + 1 \right) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} D \nabla f_\alpha - \mathbf{b} f_\alpha \right) \cdot \left( \nabla \ln f_\alpha - \nabla \ln \pi_\alpha \right) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} D \nabla f_\alpha - \mathbf{b} f_\alpha \right) \cdot \left( \nabla \ln f_\alpha - \alpha D^{-1} \mathbf{b} + \alpha D^{-1} \mathbf{b} - \nabla \ln \pi_\alpha \right) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\ &= -e_p + Q_{hk}. \end{split}$$

Now, we treat the second equality. From (20), one deduces

$$e_{p} = \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \mathbf{D} \nabla \ln \frac{f_{\alpha}}{\pi_{\alpha}} + \mathbf{J}_{\alpha} \right) \cdot \mathbf{D}^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \mathbf{D} \nabla \ln \frac{f_{\alpha}}{\pi_{\alpha}} + \mathbf{J}_{\alpha} \right) f_{\alpha} d\mathbf{x}$$
  
$$= \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \left( \mathbf{D} \nabla \ln \frac{f_{\alpha}}{\pi_{\alpha}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{D}^{-1} \left( \mathbf{D} \nabla \ln \frac{f_{\alpha}}{\pi_{\alpha}} \right) f_{\alpha} d\mathbf{x}$$
  
$$+ 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \left( \mathbf{D} \nabla \ln \frac{f_{\alpha}}{\pi_{\alpha}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{D}^{-1} \mathbf{J}_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} d\mathbf{x} + \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathbf{J}_{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{D}^{-1} \mathbf{J}_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} d\mathbf{x}$$
  
$$= \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \left( \nabla \ln \frac{f_{\alpha}}{\pi_{\alpha}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{D} \left( \nabla \ln \frac{f_{\alpha}}{\pi_{\alpha}} \right) f_{\alpha} d\mathbf{x} + Q_{hk},$$

where we used Eq. (24) and Eq. (25) in the last equality. The free energy balance equation follows.

Rewriting

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}F}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \mathbf{D} \nabla \ln \frac{f_\alpha}{\pi_\alpha} \right) \cdot \mathbf{D}^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \mathbf{D} \nabla \ln \frac{f_\alpha}{\pi_\alpha} \right) f_\alpha \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}$$

and introducing the inner product and the associated norm

$$\langle \mathbf{V}_1, \mathbf{V}_2 \rangle_{\boldsymbol{D}^{-1}, f_{\alpha}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathbf{V}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{D}^{-1} \mathbf{V}_2 f_{\alpha} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \text{ and } \|\mathbf{V}\|_{\boldsymbol{D}^{-1}, f_{\alpha}} = \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{V} \rangle_{\boldsymbol{D}^{-1}, f_{\alpha}}}$$

for vector fields  $\mathbf{V}_1, \mathbf{V}_2$  and  $\mathbf{V}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , the free energy balance equation can be rewritten as

$$\left\|\frac{1}{\alpha}D\nabla\ln\frac{f_{\alpha}}{\pi_{\alpha}}\right\|_{\boldsymbol{D}^{-1},f_{\alpha}}^{2} + \|\mathbf{J}_{\alpha}\|_{\boldsymbol{D}^{-1},f_{\alpha}}^{2} = \left\|\frac{1}{\alpha}D\nabla\ln\frac{f_{\alpha}}{\pi_{\alpha}} + \mathbf{J}_{\alpha}\right\|_{\boldsymbol{D}^{-1},f_{\alpha}}^{2}, \quad (26)$$

which is equivalent to the orthogonality between  $D\nabla \ln \frac{f_{\alpha}}{\pi_{\alpha}}$  and  $\mathbf{J}_{\alpha}$  with respect to the inner product, that is,

$$\left\langle \boldsymbol{D} \nabla \ln \frac{f_{\alpha}}{\pi_{\alpha}}, \mathbf{J}_{\alpha} \right\rangle_{\boldsymbol{D}^{-1}, f_{\alpha}} = 0.$$

This is just Eq. (25).

# C.2 Asymptotics

The asymptotics of F,  $Q_{hk}$ , and  $\frac{dF}{dt}$  are given as follows:

$$F = \alpha \varphi^{ss}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) + \frac{N}{2} \ln \alpha - \ln C_{\alpha} + O(1),$$
$$Q_{hk} = \alpha \gamma(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) \cdot \mathbf{D}^{-1}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) \gamma(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) + O(1),$$
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}F}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\alpha \nabla \varphi^{ss}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) \cdot \mathbf{D}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) \nabla \varphi^{ss}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) + O(1),$$

where  $C_{\alpha}$  is given in (17) and  $\gamma = D\nabla\varphi^{ss} + \mathbf{b}$ . If (19) admit a globally asymptotically stable and non-degenerate equilibrium, then  $C_{\alpha} = \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{-N/2}$ , resulting in  $F = \alpha\varphi^{ss}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) + O(1)$ .

Multiplying the free energy balance equation Eq. (23) by  $\frac{1}{\alpha}$  and then letting  $\alpha \to \infty$ , we derive from the asymptotics of  $\frac{dF}{dt}$ ,  $e_p$ , and  $Q_{hk}$  the following free energy balance equation on the macroscopic scale:

$$-\nabla \varphi^{ss}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{D}(\mathbf{x}) \nabla \varphi^{ss}(\mathbf{x}) = -\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{D}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}) + \boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{D}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) \boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{at} \quad \mathbf{x} = \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t).$$

Introducing the norm  $\|\mathbf{v}\|_{D^{-1}(\mathbf{x})} = \sqrt{\mathbf{v} \cdot D^{-1}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{v}}$  for  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , we arrive at the Pythagorean equality

$$\|\boldsymbol{D}(\mathbf{x})\nabla\varphi^{ss}(\mathbf{x})\|_{\boldsymbol{D}^{-1}(\mathbf{x})}^{2} + \|\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x})\|_{\boldsymbol{D}^{-1}(\mathbf{x})}^{2} = \|\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})\|_{\boldsymbol{D}^{-1}(\mathbf{x})}^{2} \quad \text{at} \quad \mathbf{x} = \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t),$$

which is equivalent to Eq. (18) (or  $\nabla \varphi^{ss} \cdot \gamma = 0$ ) at  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)$ . Of course, it is just the leading asymptotic of Eq. (26).

Below, we justify the asymptotics of F,  $Q_{hk}$ , and  $\frac{dF}{dt}$ .

Asymptotic of *F*. Clearly,

$$F = S - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_\alpha \ln \pi_\alpha d\mathbf{x}$$
  
=  $\frac{N}{2} \ln \alpha + O(1) - \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\ln C_\alpha + \ln R_\alpha^{ss} - \alpha \varphi^{ss}\right) R_\alpha e^{-\alpha \varphi} d\mathbf{x}$   
=  $\alpha \varphi^{ss}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) + \frac{N}{2} \ln \alpha - \ln C_\alpha + O(1).$ 

Asymptotic of  $Q_{hk}$ . Since

$$\mathbf{J}_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{D \nabla R_{\alpha}^{ss}}{R_{\alpha}^{ss}} - D \nabla \varphi^{ss} - \mathbf{b} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{D \nabla R_{\alpha}^{ss}}{R_{\alpha}^{ss}} - \boldsymbol{\gamma},$$

we find

$$\begin{split} Q_{hk} &= \alpha \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{D \nabla R_{\alpha}^{ss}}{R_{\alpha}^{ss}} - \gamma\right) \cdot D^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{D \nabla R_{\alpha}^{ss}}{R_{\alpha}^{ss}} - \gamma\right) R_{\alpha} e^{-\alpha \varphi} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\nabla R_{\alpha}^{ss} \cdot D \nabla R_{\alpha}^{ss}}{(R_{\alpha}^{ss})^2} R_{\alpha} e^{-\alpha \varphi} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\ &+ 2 \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\nabla R_{\alpha}^{ss} \cdot \gamma}{R_{\alpha}^{ss}} R_{\alpha} e^{-\alpha \varphi} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\ &+ \alpha \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \gamma \cdot D^{-1} \gamma R_{\alpha} e^{-\alpha \varphi} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\ &= \alpha \gamma(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) \cdot D^{-1}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) \gamma(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) + O(1). \end{split}$$

Asymptotic of  $\frac{dF}{dt}$ . Since

$$\nabla \ln \frac{f_{\alpha}}{\pi_{\alpha}} = \frac{\nabla R_{\alpha}}{R_{\alpha}} - \frac{\nabla R_{\alpha}^{ss}}{R_{\alpha}^{ss}} - \alpha \left(\nabla \varphi - \nabla \varphi^{ss}\right),$$

we find

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathrm{d}F}{\mathrm{d}t} &= -\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{\nabla R_\alpha}{R_\alpha} - \frac{\nabla R_\alpha^{ss}}{R_\alpha^{ss}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{D} \left( \frac{\nabla R_\alpha}{R_\alpha} - \frac{\nabla R_\alpha^{ss}}{R_\alpha^{ss}} \right) f_\alpha \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\ &+ 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \nabla \varphi - \nabla \varphi^{ss} \right) \cdot \mathbf{D} \left( \frac{\nabla R_\alpha}{R_\alpha} - \frac{\nabla R_\alpha^{ss}}{R_\alpha^{ss}} \right) f_\alpha \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\ &- \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \nabla \varphi - \nabla \varphi^{ss} \right) \cdot \mathbf{D} \left( \nabla \varphi - \nabla \varphi^{ss} \right) f_\alpha \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\ &= O(1) - \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla \varphi \cdot \mathbf{D} \nabla \varphi f_\alpha \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} + 2\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla \varphi \cdot \mathbf{D} \nabla \varphi^{ss} f_\alpha \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} - \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla \varphi^{ss} \cdot \mathbf{D} \nabla \varphi^{ss} f_\alpha \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\ &= O(1) + I_8(\alpha) + I_9(\alpha) + I_{10}(\alpha). \end{split}$$

Clearly,

$$I_{10}(\alpha) = -\alpha \nabla \varphi^{ss}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) \cdot \boldsymbol{D}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) \nabla \varphi^{ss}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) + O(1)$$

For  $I_9(\alpha)$ ,

$$I_{9}(\alpha) = -2\left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \boldsymbol{D} \nabla \varphi^{ss} R_{\alpha} \cdot \nabla e^{-\alpha\varphi} d\mathbf{x}$$
$$= 2\left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla \cdot \left(\boldsymbol{D} \nabla \varphi^{ss} R_{\alpha}\right) e^{-\alpha\varphi} d\mathbf{x} = O(1).$$

For  $I_8(\alpha)$ ,

$$I_{8}(\alpha) = \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathbf{D} \nabla \varphi R_{\alpha} \cdot \nabla e^{-\alpha \varphi} d\mathbf{x}$$
$$= -\left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{D} \nabla \varphi R_{\alpha}) e^{-\alpha \varphi} d\mathbf{x} = O(1).$$

Hence, the expected asymptotic of  $\frac{\mathrm{d}F}{\mathrm{d}t}$  follows.

# **D** Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process

Consider the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process with linear drift  $\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}$  and constant diffusion matrix  $\frac{1}{\alpha}\mathbf{D}$  (symmetric and positive definite), where  $\mathbf{B}$  is a  $N \times N$  matrix. Then,

$$f_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x},t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{N/2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\alpha}(t)}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{x} - e^{\boldsymbol{B}t}\mathbf{x}_{0}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\alpha}^{-1}(t)\left(\mathbf{x} - e^{\boldsymbol{B}t}\mathbf{x}_{0}\right)\right\},\$$

where the covariance matrix  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\alpha}(t)$  is given by

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\alpha}(t) = \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{t} e^{\boldsymbol{B}(t-s)} \boldsymbol{D} e^{\boldsymbol{B}^{\top}(t-s)} ds.$$

Introducing

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}(t) = 2 \int_0^t e^{\boldsymbol{B}(t-s)} \boldsymbol{D} e^{\boldsymbol{B}^\top(t-s)} ds,$$

one can rewrite  $f_{\alpha}$  as

$$f_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x},t) = \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(t)}} \exp\left\{-\frac{\alpha}{2} \left(\mathbf{x} - e^{\boldsymbol{B}t} \mathbf{x}_{0}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(t) \left(\mathbf{x} - e^{\boldsymbol{B}t} \mathbf{x}_{0}\right)\right\}.$$

The WKB ansatz (15) holds precisely in this case:

$$f_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x},t) = \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} R_0(\mathbf{x},t) e^{-\alpha \varphi(\mathbf{x},t)},$$

where  $R_0(\mathbf{x},t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(t)}}$  is independent of  $\mathbf{x}$  and

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x},t) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathbf{x} - e^{\mathbf{B}t} \mathbf{x}_0 \right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(t) \left( \mathbf{x} - e^{\mathbf{B}t} \mathbf{x}_0 \right)$$

It is well-known that

$$S = \frac{N}{2}\ln(2\pi e) + \frac{1}{2}\ln(\det \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\alpha}) = \frac{N}{2}\ln(2\pi e) + \frac{1}{2}\ln(\det \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) - \frac{N}{2}\ln\alpha.$$

It follows from Jacobi's formula and the formula  $\Sigma'(t) = 2D + B\Sigma(t) + \Sigma(t)B^{\top}$  [29] that

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathrm{d}S}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \ln\left(\det \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(t)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{tr} \left[\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(t) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}'(t)\right] \\ &= \mathrm{tr} \left[\boldsymbol{D} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(t)\right] + \mathrm{tr} \boldsymbol{B} \\ &= \boldsymbol{D} : \nabla \nabla \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}. \end{split}$$