

# MIRROR SYMMETRY AND LEVEL-RANK DUALITY FOR 3D $\mathcal{N} = 4$ RANK 0 SCFTS

THOMAS CREUTZIG, NIKLAS GARNER, AND HEEYEON KIM

**ABSTRACT.** We introduce a family of 3d  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  superconformal field theories that have zero-dimensional Coulomb and Higgs branches and propose that the rational vertex operator algebras  $W_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2N})$  and  $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})$  model the modular tensor categories of line operators in their topological  $A$  and  $B$  twists, respectively. Our analysis indicates that the action of 3d mirror symmetry on this family of theories is related to a novel level-rank duality and leads to several conjectural  $q$ -series identities of independent interest.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. The theories $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}$	10
3. $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}^B$ and $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1 2N})$	17
4. $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}^A$ and $W_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2N})$	20
5. Mirror symmetry and level-rank duality	23
References	29

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Three-dimensional (3d) topological quantum field theories (TQFTs) often admit chiral/holomorphic boundary conditions furnishing a vertex operator algebra (VOA) of boundary local operators, generalizing the connection between Chern-Simons gauge theories and chiral Wess-Zumino-Witten models [Wit89, EMSS89]. The resulting interplay between 3d TQFTs and VOAs has been a rich source of insight into both subjects. On one hand, the representation theory of a boundary VOA allows for an algebraic description of line operators in terms of modules for the boundary VOA, state spaces in terms of conformal blocks, and (sometimes) partition functions by way of the Reshetikhin-Turaev construction [RT91] or its non-semisimple generalization due to Costantino, Geer, and Patrascu-Mirand [CGPM14]. On the other, physical considerations coming from the bulk TQFT can lead to insights into the structure of a given boundary VOA as well as unexpected

relations between seemingly disparate VOAs or different algebraic structures all together; examples of these insights include natural deformations coming from background fields [Gai19, FL22], level-rank dualities between different VOAs [NS90b, NS90a, NT92, OS14, HS16, CHO19, CKM22, CDGG21], and Kazhdan-Lusztig correspondences relating different descriptions of bulk line operators for the same TQFT [KL93, FGST06a, FGST06b, CLR23, CN24].

The 3d TQFTs of interest to this paper are realized as topological twists of QFTs with  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  supersymmetry. Much like their 2d  $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$  cousins [Wit88b], 3d  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  theories admit two topological twists: the  $A$  twist, a dimensional reduction of the 4d Donaldson-Witten twist [Wit88a], and the  $B$  twist, first introduced by Blau and Thompson [BT97] and studied by Rozansky and Witten in the context of  $\sigma$ -models [RW97].<sup>1</sup> The resulting TQFTs are cohomological in nature and, unlike most familiar 3d TQFTs, often admit non-trivial bulk local operators. Physically, the algebras of these local operators in the  $A$  and  $B$  twists correspond to the algebras of holomorphic functions on the Coulomb and Higgs branches of vacua, respectively. For the most commonly studied 3d  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  theories, these branches of vacua are finite-dimensional varieties and, correspondingly, the algebras of local operators are infinite dimensional: the Higgs branch suffers from no quantum corrections [APS96] and can be described as a complex symplectic or hyperkähler reduction [HKL87], whereas the Coulomb branch suffers from both perturbative and non-perturbative corrections [Sei96, SW96] and lacked a precise definition until the work of Braverman, Finkelberg, and Nakajima [Nak16, BFN18], see also [BDG17] for a related physical analysis. Three-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  QFTs also enjoy a remarkable duality known as 3d mirror symmetry [IS96, dBHO97] that relates theories  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{T}^\vee$  in a way that exchanges their Coulomb and Higgs branches. The equivalences of TQFTs

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{T}^A \simeq (\mathcal{T}^\vee)^B \quad \mathcal{T}^B \simeq (\mathcal{T}^\vee)^A$$

induced by 3d mirror symmetry make this class of QFTs ripe with mathematical insights; see e.g. [WY23] for a recent survey of the mathematics of 3d mirror symmetry, also known as symplectic duality [BLPW16, BDGH16].

Boundary VOAs for topologically twisted 3d  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  theories first appeared in [GR19, CG19] and have since seen a great deal of attention. These boundary VOAs contain information about the geometry of Coulomb and Higgs branches [CCG19, BF23, CSYZ23], they bear witness to 3d mirror symmetry [BN24, BCDN23], and they exhibit Kazhdan-Lusztig correspondences [CN24]; see e.g. [CDGG21, Gar23, BN23, Yos23, GN23, GKS23, GKL23, FGK23, CSYZ23, Ded23, BG24, GKK24] for an incomplete selection of other recent examples. The VOAs describing topologically twisted 3d  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  theories are generally logarithmic: they have modules that are reducible (the module has a non-trivial submodule) but indecomposable (the module cannot be written as a direct sum of two modules). This is a necessity for these theories as bulk local operators in a cohomological TQFT are realized by self-extensions, *i.e.* derived endomorphisms, of the vacuum module of a boundary VOA [CCG19].

---

<sup>1</sup>The  $B$  (resp.  $A$ ) twist is sometimes called the  $C$  (resp.  $H$ ) twist, *cf.* [Gai19], or the Rozansky-Witten (resp. twisted Rozansky-Witten) twist, *cf.* [BDG17].

More recently, there has been increased interest in 3d  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  theories whose Coulomb and Higgs branches of vacua are both 0-dimensional; these theories are said to be rank 0 [GKL<sup>+</sup>21]. The known examples of rank 0 theories come from many sources: they can come from dimensional reduction of 4d [DGN<sup>+</sup>20, Ded23] or 6d [CGK22, GKK24], from coupling certain  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  theories to Chern-Simons gauge fields [GK23, GKL23] via a mechanism discovered by Gaiotto and Witten [GW10], and there are many seemingly spurious examples, such as [GY18, GKS23, BG24], coming from unexpected supersymmetry enhancement. When the underlying 3d  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  theory is rank 0, the boundary VOAs describing its topological twists are highly constrained. The vacuum module of such a boundary VOA must necessarily be both simple and have no non-trivial self-extensions in order to account for the absence of bulk local operators. It is also expected that such a VOA must be  $C_2$ -cofinite (also called *lis*se) [FGK23]. In particular, based off analogy with the Higgs branch conjecture of Beem and Rastelli [BR18] for VOAs coming from 4d  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  superconformal field theories (SCFTs) as well as explicit examples [CCG19, CSY23] and free-field realizations [BF23], the reduced part of the algebra of holomorphic functions on the associated variety of this boundary VOA is expected to realize the reduced part of the algebra of bulk local operators in the *other* twist. If the other twist also has no local operators, the 3d analog of the Higgs branch conjecture implies the associated variety must be a point and hence the boundary VOA must be  $C_2$ -cofinite.

In this paper we introduce a family of 3d  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  rank 0 SCFTs  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}$  for positive integers  $N, k$  that extends the family of theories  $\mathcal{T}_k = \mathcal{T}_{1,k}$  recently discovered by the third author [GKS23], see also [GY18] where the so-called minimal rank 0 SCFT  $\mathcal{T}_{\min} = \mathcal{T}_{1,1}$  first appeared. The SCFTs  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}$  are realized in a similar fashion to [GY18, GKS23] by deforming a Lagrangian  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  theory and flowing to the IR; see Section 2 for more details. We also introduce a second family of 3d  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SCFTs  $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{N,k}$  and propose a novel instance of 3d mirror symmetry for these rank 0 SCFTs:

$$(1.2) \quad \text{3d mirror symmetry:} \quad \mathcal{T}_{N,k} \longleftrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{k,N} = (\mathcal{T}_{N,k})^\vee$$

Boundary VOAs for topological twists of  $\mathcal{T}_k = \mathcal{T}_{1,k}$  were recently proposed in [GKS23] and [FGK23]: the *A* twist is modeled by the non-unitary minimal model  $M(2, 2k+3)$  and the *B* twist is modeled by the simple affine VOA  $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2})$ . Due to the non-perturbative nature of the deformation used to realize  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}$ , at present it is not possible to directly verify these proposals and these boundary VOAs were deduced indirectly, *e.g.* by computing (super)characters and modular data by way of supersymmetric localization. In Sections 3 and 4 we extend this analysis to  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}$ , leading us to the following proposal: line operators are modeled by modules for

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{V}_{N,k}^A = W_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2N})$$

in the *A* twist and

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{V}_{N,k}^B = L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})$$

in the *B* twist. Finally, in Section 5 we consider the mirror boundary conditions for  $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{k,N}$  and describe consequences thereof.

In the remainder of this introductory section we illustrate two interesting mathematical conjectures raised by our analysis. The first is a collection of conjectural refined  $q$ -series identities coming from the various physical realizations of these

VOAs and their modules. The second consequence we describe is a collection of conjectural level-rank dualities that come from our mirror symmetry analysis. We end with some further questions raised by our analysis.

**1.1. Character formulas.** An immediate consequence of our proposals is a collection of conjectural refined  $q$ -series identities coming from the mirror realizations of the VOAs  $W_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2N})$  and  $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})$ .

Starting with the affine VOA  $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})$ , we find two conjectural realizations for its normalized vacuum character. First, there is its realization as a Dirichlet half-index of  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}$ :

(1.5)

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\chi}[L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})](x_\alpha; q) &= \text{Tr}_{L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})}(-1)^F q^{L_0} \prod_{\alpha=1}^N x_\alpha^{h_{\alpha,0}} \\ &\stackrel{?}{=} \sum_{m_{ia}}' q^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^k \sum_{a,b=1}^{2N-1} T_{ij} C_{ab} m_{ia} m_{jb}} \frac{\prod_{\alpha=1}^N (q^{1-m_{k(2\alpha-1)}} x_\alpha^{-1})_\infty x_\alpha^{\sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{b=1}^{2N-1} j C_{(2\alpha-1)b} m_{jb}}}{(q)_\infty^N \left( \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \prod_{a=1}^{2N-1} (q)_{-m_{ia}} \right) \left( \prod_{\beta=1}^{N-1} (q)_{-m_{k(2\beta)}} \right)} \end{aligned}$$

where the  $h_{\alpha,0}$  are generators of a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{sp}_{2N}$  and  $\sum'$  refers to a summation with  $m_{ia}$  a non-positive integer if  $i < k$  or if  $a$  is even, and any integer otherwise. In this expression,  $C_{ab}$ ,  $a, b = 1, \dots, 2N-1$ , denotes the Cartan matrix of  $A_{2N-1}$  and we use the notation  $(x)_\infty = \prod_{n \geq 0} (1 - xq^n)$ ; we also denote  $(x)_m = (x)_\infty / (xq^m)_\infty$ . It was shown in [FGK23] that this equality is true to all orders in  $q$  for  $k = N = 1$  and verified to order  $q^{10}$  for  $N = 1$  and  $k \leq 3$ ; we have verified that this generalized form continues to hold for all  $N, k$  with  $N + k \leq 4$  up to at least order  $q^5$ . An expression for the vacuum character of this form is particularly noteworthy because when we specialize the fugacities/Jacobi variables  $x_\alpha$  to 1 it naturally leads to a Nahm (or fermionic) sum:

$$(1.6) \quad \overline{\chi}[L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})](1; q) \stackrel{?}{=} \sum_{n_{ia} \geq 0} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^k \sum_{a,b=1}^{2N-1} T_{ij} C_{ab} n_{ia} n_{jb}}}{(q)_{n_{ia}}}$$

where  $T_{ij}$ ,  $i, j = 1, \dots, k$ , is the inverse of the Cartan matrix of type  $A_{2k}^{(2)}$ , also called the tadpole diagram; we also set  $n_{ia} = -m_{ia}$ . Expressions for VOA characters as Nahm sums have a long history that stems from the existence of massive integrable deformations of 2d CFTs and their relations to the Bethe ansatz [ZZ79, Zam89, Zam90, RS90]. These expressions are also naturally tied to dilogarithm identities [NRT93, Zag07] and arise in the related context of the 3d-3d correspondence [CCF<sup>+</sup>24].

We also note that Nahm sums play a fundamental role in Rogers-Ramanujan-like identities [KMM93, BMSW97] and Andrews-Gordon-like identities [WZ12, BCFK14]. In fact, Eq. (1.6) is precisely the identity in Conjecture 1.1 of [WZ12] (with  $p = k$ ), hence Eq. (1.5) can be viewed as a refinement of this conjecture. We prove that this Andrews-Gordon-like identity holds for all  $N, k$  in upcoming work using a variant of a construction due to Feigin and Stoyanovsky [FS94, Sto98], where an analogous

Nahm sum with  $(C_{ab})$  replaced by the Cartan matrix of  $A_{2N}$  was equated to the (normalized) vacuum character of  $L_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2N})$ .

A second expression for the normalized vacuum character of  $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})$  comes from its realization as a Neumann half-index of  $\bar{\mathcal{J}}_{k,N}$ :

(1.7)

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\chi}[L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})](x_\alpha; q) &\stackrel{?}{=} \oint \prod_{\alpha=1}^N \prod_{m=1}^{2k-1} \left( \frac{dz_{\alpha m}}{2\pi i z_{\alpha m}} \frac{(q)_\infty}{(z_{\alpha m})_\infty} \right) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{\alpha=1}^N \prod_{\mu=1}^k \left( FF\left(x_\alpha \prod_{\beta=\alpha}^N \frac{z_{\beta(2\mu-1)}}{z_{\beta(2\mu-2)}}\right) FF\left(x_\alpha^{-1} \prod_{\beta=\alpha}^N \frac{z_{\beta(2\mu-1)}}{z_{\beta(2\mu)}}\right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where we set  $z_{\alpha 0} = z_{\alpha(2k)} = 1$  for all  $\alpha$ ,  $FF(x) = (x)_\infty(qx^{-1})_\infty$  is the vacuum character of two copies of the fermion VOA  $F$  (rather, of a  $bc$  ghost system), and the contour integral is taken over the product of unit circles  $|z_{\alpha m}| = 1$ . We can explicitly show this equality is true to all orders in  $q$  for  $N = k = 1$ , and have verified it to at least in  $q^4$  for all  $N, k$  with  $N + k \leq 4$ .

The normalized vacuum character of the  $W$ -algebra  $W_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2N})$  also admits expressions of the above form. Its realization as a Dirichlet half-index leads to the following expression:

(1.8)

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\chi}[W_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2N})](w_\nu; q) &= \text{Tr}_{W_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2N})} q^{L_0} \prod_{\nu=1}^{N-1} w_\nu^{h_{\nu+1,0}} \\ &\stackrel{?}{=} \sum_{m_{ia}}'' q^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^k \sum_{a,b=1}^{2N-1} T_{ij} C_{ab} m_{ia} m_{jb} + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{a=1}^{2N-1} (-1)^a i m_{ia} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{\nu=1}^{N-1} j C_{(2\nu+1)b} m_{jb}} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\prod_{\nu=1}^{N-1} (q^{\frac{1}{2} - m_{k(2\nu+1)}} w_\nu^{-1})_\infty w_\nu^{\sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{\nu=1}^{N-1} j C_{(2\nu+1)b} m_{jb}}}{(q)_\infty^{N-1} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \prod_{a=1}^{2N-1} (q)_{-m_{ia}} \right) \left( (q)_{-m_{k1}} \prod_{\beta=1}^{N-1} (q)_{-m_{k(2\beta)}} \right)} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sum''$  refers to a summation where  $m_{ia}$  is a non-positive integer if  $i < k$ , if  $a$  is even, or if  $a = 1$ , and any integer otherwise. That this equality holds for  $N = 1$ , where  $W_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min}(\mathfrak{sp}_2) \simeq M(2, 2k+3)$ , follows from the fermionic sum representations of  $M(2, 2k+3)$  characters [FNO92, NRT93, KKMM93, BM96, Nah94]. Note that specializing the fugacities/Jacobi variables  $w_\nu \rightarrow q^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  leads to the other Nahm sum appearing in Conjecture 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 of [WZ12]. The realization of

this vacuum character as a Neumann half-index leads to the following expression:

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \overline{\chi}[W_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2N})](w_v; q) &\stackrel{?}{=} \oint \prod_{\alpha=1}^N \prod_{m=1}^{2k-1} \left( \frac{dz_{\alpha m}}{2\pi i z_{\alpha m}} \frac{(q)_\infty}{(z_{\alpha m})_\infty} \right) \\ &\times \prod_{\mu=1}^k \left( FF\left( \prod_{\beta=1}^N \frac{z_{\beta(2\mu-1)}}{z_{\beta(2\mu-2)}} \right) FF\left( q \prod_{\beta=1}^N \frac{z_{\beta(2\mu-1)}}{z_{\beta(2\mu)}} \right) \right. \\ &\left. \times \prod_{v=1}^{N-1} FF\left( q^{\frac{1}{2}} w_v \prod_{\beta=v+1}^N \frac{z_{\beta(2\mu-1)}}{z_{\beta(2\mu-2)}} \right) FF\left( q^{\frac{1}{2}} w_v^{-1} \prod_{\beta=v+1}^N \frac{z_{\beta(2\mu-1)}}{z_{\beta(2\mu)}} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

That this reproduces the vacuum character of  $M(2,5)$  for  $N = k = 1$  was established to all orders in  $q$  in [FGK23]. We have checked both of these expressions agree with the normalized vacuum character  $\overline{\chi}[W_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2N})](w_v; q)$  to at least  $q^4$  for all  $N, k$  with  $N + k \leq 4$ .

There are analogous Neumann and Dirichlet expressions for characters of all modules of these VOAs. When  $N = 1$ , the Dirichlet form of these characters are given in [GKS23] and [FGK23], the former being related to the above fermionic sum representations of  $M(2, 2k+3)$  characters. We extend these proposals to closed-form expressions for all modules when  $k = 1$  for any  $N$  and when  $N = k = 2$  below, but we are unable to extend these examples to a proposal for general  $N, k$ . One observation we make is that the form of these half-indices suggests a relation between specializations of these characters:

$$(1.10) \quad \overline{\chi}[L_k(\mu)](1; q) \stackrel{?}{=} \overline{\chi}[W_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min}(\mu^\sharp)](q^{-\frac{1}{2}}; q)$$

where  $\mu^\sharp$  is the complementary partition to  $\mu$ , viewing the latter as a partition of  $|\mu|$  that fits inside an  $N \times k$  rectangle. This proposal extends that of [FGK23], whereby  $M(2, 2k+3)$  characters are realized by specializing characters of  $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2})$ .

**1.2. Level-rank duality and mirror symmetry.** The second consequence we describe is a novel level-rank duality we deduce from our analysis. Chern-Simons gauge theories exhibit level-rank dualities that relate theories with different gauge groups and levels to one another [NS90a, NS90b, NT92], see also [OS14, HS16]. One interpretation of these dualities comes from the observation that these Chern-Simons gauge theories admit two different boundary VOAs  $\mathcal{V}_L, \mathcal{V}_R$ , one on a *left* boundary and the other on a *right* boundary, cf. [CDGG21]. For example,  $SU(N)_k$  Chern-Simons theory has a right Dirichlet boundary condition furnishing the affine VOA  $\mathcal{V}_R = L_k(\mathfrak{sl}_N)$  as well as a left Neumann boundary condition furnishing the coset/commutant  $\mathcal{V}_L = \text{Com}(L_k(\mathfrak{sl}_N), F^{2Nk}) \simeq L_N(\mathfrak{gl}_k)$ , where  $F^{2Nk}$  denotes the VOA of  $2Nk$  free real fermions. As the latter VOA also arises on a *right* boundary of  $U(k)_{N,N}$  Chern-Simons theory, it is possible to conclude the physical duality  $SU(N)_k \simeq U(k)_{-N,-N}$ , where the negation of the levels on the latter accounts for the requisite parity transformation. That both of these VOAs model line operators of the same TQFT implies that their categories of modules must be equivalent, up to a reversal of the braiding due to the different orientations of the boundaries. The equivalence is realized by identifying modules living at opposite ends of the same line operator; see Fig. 1.1 for an illustration.




FIGURE 1.1. An illustration of the sandwich formed by boundary conditions  $\mathcal{B}_{L,R}$  with line operators  $\mathcal{W}_i$  stretched between them. The junction of the line operator  $\mathcal{W}_i$  with the boundary condition  $\mathcal{B}_{L,R}$  is identified with the module  $\mathbf{M}_{L,R}[\mathcal{W}_i]$  for the algebra  $\mathcal{V}_{L,R}$  of local operators on  $\mathcal{B}_{L,R}$ .

The existence of this braid-reversed equivalence of module categories for  $\mathcal{V}_L$  and  $\mathcal{V}_R$  implies it is possible to form a third VOA  $\mathcal{V}$  by gluing them along this equivalence [CKM24, CKM22]. The resulting VOA necessarily has a trivial category of modules and contains  $\mathcal{V}_L \otimes \mathcal{V}_R$  as a subalgebra. Physically, the VOA  $\mathcal{V}$  obtained by gluing  $\mathcal{V}_L, \mathcal{V}_R$  realizes the algebra of local operators in an effective 2d holomorphic QFT obtained by shrinking the size of the transverse direction. Local operators in the effective 2d theory can be realized as local operators on either of the boundaries, giving rise to the product  $\mathcal{V}_L \otimes \mathcal{V}_R$ , together with all possible configurations of line operators stretched between the two boundaries, leading to the extension. In the above Chern-Simons example,  $L_k(\mathfrak{sl}_N)$  and  $L_N(\mathfrak{gl}_k)$  are glued together to form the free-fermion VOA  $F^{2Nk}$ . For other examples of this interval reduction see e.g. [SY20, BZ22, DN23, ADL22, DL22, Ded23]; see also [CDGG21] for examples of logarithmic level-rank dualities arising from twisted  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  theories. The converse is true too (modulo some technical assumptions [CKM22], see also [McR23]): if VOAs  $\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2$  are mutual cosets/commutants of one another inside a third VOA  $\mathcal{V}$  and if  $\mathcal{V}$  has a trivial category of modules, then the categories of modules for  $\mathcal{V}_1$  and  $\mathcal{V}_2$  realize equivalent braided-tensor categories up to a reversal of the braiding.

In Section 5.2 we argue that the topologically twisted theories  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}^A$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}^B$  each realize level-rank dualities of this form. The key observation (see Section 2.5) is that the mirror theory  $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{k,N} = (\mathcal{T}_{N,k})^\vee$  differs from  $\mathcal{T}_{k,N}$  by a parity transformation. In particular, the *right* boundary condition of  $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{N,k}$  furnishing  $L_N(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2k})$  in the *A* twist can equivalently be viewed as a *left* boundary condition of  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}$  furnishing  $L_N(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2k})$  in the *A* twist. There is an analogous statement for the *B* twist. In both cases, we are in the setup described above: a TQFT (say,  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}^A$ ) with left and right boundary conditions furnishing VOAs. We are thus lead to the following conjectural level-rank duality of VOAs:

$$(1.11) \quad \text{level-rank duality:} \quad W_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2N}) \longleftrightarrow L_N(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2k})$$

We present compelling vertex-algebraic evidence for this level-rank duality in Section 5.3: these VOAs form a commuting pair in the free-fermion VOA  $F^{4Nk}$ . It remains to show that they are mutual cosets/commutants, which we leave for future work.

**1.3. Open questions and future directions.** In addition to the above conjectural character identities and level-rank dualities of VOAs, our work leaves open several questions and directions for future study.

**1.3.1. Classical freeness.** VOAs arise in many corners of higher-dimensional SCFT, but it is still unclear what structural properties to expect these VOAs to satisfy. One common feature is that of classical freeness, in the sense of van Ekeren and Heluani [vEH21], but a good physical explanation for this is still missing.

Classical freeness means the following. Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a vertex algebra and let  $R_{\mathcal{V}}$  be its commutative Zhu algebra. The associated scheme of  $\mathcal{V}$  is  $X_{\mathcal{V}} = \text{Spec } R_{\mathcal{V}}$  [Ara15] and its reduced scheme the associated variety of  $\mathcal{V}$ . When  $\mathcal{V}$  arises from a 4d  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  SCFT via the mechanism of [BR18], the associated variety is conjectured to reproduce the Higgs branch of the 4d SCFT; when  $\mathcal{V}$  arises at the boundary of the  $A$  (resp.  $B$ ) twist of a 3d  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  theory, then the associated variety conjecturally reproduces the Higgs (resp. Coulomb) branch [BF23, FGK23, CSYZ23]. The commutative Zhu algebra  $R_{\mathcal{V}}$  is the grade zero component of a commutative Poisson vertex algebra, realized as the associated graded  $\text{gr}(\mathcal{V})$  of  $\mathcal{V}$  (with respect to the Li filtration) [Li05]. One should think of  $\mathcal{V}$  as a quantization of this commutative Poisson vertex algebra. Let  $(R_{\mathcal{V}})_{\infty}$  be the affine coordinate ring of the arc space  $J_{\infty}(X_{\mathcal{V}})$ , then there is always a surjection from  $(R_{\mathcal{V}})_{\infty}$  onto  $\text{gr}(\mathcal{V})$  and the vertex algebra  $\mathcal{V}$  is called classically free if this surjection is in fact an isomorphism. In summary, a vertex algebra is classically free if it is a quantization of the arc space of its associated scheme.

The simple affine VOAs  $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})$  at positive integer level  $k$  are classically free by the results of [CLS22]. We also believe that  $W_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2N})$  are precisely the minimal  $W$ -algebras of type  $\mathfrak{sp}_{2N}$  at admissible level that are classically free; this is indeed true for  $N = 1$  [vEH21]. It is worth noting that the recent papers [BG24, GKK24] propose 3d  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  theories that admit boundary VOAs that are not classically free: Theorem 15.13 of [vEH21] shows that the only classically free minimal models are the  $M(2, 2k + 3)$  and Theorem 6.1 of [Li21] shows that the only classically free  $N = 1$  minimal models are the  $SM(2, 4r)$ .

**1.3.2. Other Dynkin types.** One of the central pieces of data that goes into the definition of our 3d  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  theories is a pair of Dynkin diagrams: the Chern-Simons levels  $\kappa$  used to define the theory (that deforms to)  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}$  takes the form

$$(1.12) \quad \kappa = C(T_k)^{-1} \otimes C(A_{2N-1}),$$

where  $C(X)$  denotes the Cartan matrix of a diagram  $X$ , cf. Eq. (1.6). More generally, Nahm sums of the form in Eq. (1.6) are expected, cf. [GT95, Nah07], to have nice modular properties when we replace  $T_k$  and  $A_{2N-1}$  by any other ADET Dynkin diagrams, but it is presently unclear what boundary VOAs arise in these more general cases and which cases exhibit supersymmetry enhancement upon deformation.

It is worth noting that there are VOAs whose vacuum characters take the above form with  $A_{2N-1}$  replaced by any simply-laced Dynkin diagram: the principal subspaces of Feigin and Stoyanovsky [FS94]. Based on the analyses of this paper and upcoming work, we view this as merely an incidence of vacuum characters of two different VOAs, cf. related work of Stoyanovsky [Sto98] for type  $A_{2N}$ . Indeed, as mentioned above, the examples with  $A_{2N-1}$  replaced by  $A_{2N}$  do not lead to  $\mathcal{N} = 4$

theories but nonetheless can be deformed to gapped theories that flow to  $USp(2N)$  Chern-Simons theories in the infrared. We also note that one of the families of examples in [BG24] giving rise to the  $N = 1$  minimal models  $SM(2, 4r + 4)$  are realized from taking  $\kappa = C(T_{2r})^{-1} \otimes C(T_1)$ .

**1.3.3. First-principles derivation of boundary VOAs.** As mentioned above, we do not arrive at our proposed boundary VOAs directly, instead relying on indirect evidence to check the consistency of our proposal. The same was true for the  $N = 1$  cases studied in [GKS23, FGK23], except for the  $B$  twist of  $\mathcal{T}_{\min} \simeq \mathcal{T}_1 \simeq \mathcal{T}_{1,1}$  where [FGK23] presented direct evidence for the appearance of  $L_1(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2})$ . The approach advocated for in [FGK23] was to realize these topological twists as deformations of an intermediate holomorphic-topological ( $HT$ ) twist, which is available to any theory with  $N \geq 2$  supersymmetry and, in particular, the UV abelian gauge theory that is deformed to  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}$ . This has proven to be a useful organizational principle for twisting highly supersymmetric theories and has applicability well beyond 3d  $N = 4$  theories, notably including the Langlands twists of 4d  $N = 4$  super Yang-Mills theories [EY18] where this perspective was originally described.

In [FGK23], the affine VOA  $L_1(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2})$  was realized by adapting the techniques introduced in [CDG23] for studying the algebras of local operators on boundary conditions in  $HT$ -twisted gauge theories. Tools for studying more general theories such as  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}$ , namely theories with superpotentials involving general monopole operators, are presently unavailable. Once these tools have been developed, we believe that the examples studied in this paper should be relatively tractable.

Concretely, we expect that both of the boundary VOAs

$$(1.13) \quad \mathcal{V}_{N,k}^A = W_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2N}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}_{N,k}^B = L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})$$

can be realized by deforming a single vertex algebra  $\mathcal{V}_{N,k}$  equipped with a 1-parameter family of quasi-conformal structures, with the quasi-conformal structure on  $\mathcal{V}_{N,k}^A$  and  $\mathcal{V}_{N,k}^B$  induced by their conformal vectors being compatible with those of  $\mathcal{V}_{N,k}$  at parameters (say)  $\nu = -1$  and  $\nu = 1$ , respectively, cf. [GKS23, FGK23]. Ignoring the quasi-conformal structure, one reasonable possibility for the vertex algebra  $\mathcal{V}_{N,k}$  is as a large-level limit of a deformable family [CL15, CL19] of vertex algebras containing  $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})$  in which the fermionic generators have regular OPEs with one another.<sup>2</sup> Deforming the vertex algebra  $\mathcal{V}_{N,k}$  to the  $B$  twist should be realized as in [FGK23] by reintroducing the OPEs of these generators, whereas deforming to the  $A$  twist should involve introducing a suitable differential.

The family of quasi-conformal structures on  $\mathcal{V}_{N,k}$  comes from spectral flow with respect to an outer  $\mathbf{C}^\times[[z]]$  symmetry of this vertex algebra. This  $\mathbf{C}^\times[[z]]$  action extends an action of  $\mathbf{C}^\times$  on the Lie algebra of zero modes: on the bosonic subalgebra the  $\mathbf{C}^\times$  weights are given by the weights of  $\frac{1}{2} \sum h_\alpha$  whereas on the fermionic subspace it gives  $\theta_a$  weight 1 if  $a$  is odd and weight 0 if  $a$  is even. For example, when  $N = 1$  this gives  $h$  and  $\theta_-$  weight 0,  $e$  and  $\theta_+$  weight 1, and  $f$  weight  $-1$ . Physically, this  $\mathbf{C}^\times$  action is induced by the action of a residual  $R$ -symmetry in the

---

<sup>2</sup>The large-level limit we have in mind corresponds to rescaling the fermionic generators by a factor of  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}^\times$  and then taking the  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$  limit.

*HT* twist (called  $U(1)_S$  below) and the different quasi-conformal structures correspond to different choices of twisting homomorphism. That this  $\mathbf{C}^\times$  action extends to an action of  $\mathbf{C}^\times[[z]]$  is an expected feature of symmetries in *HT*-twisted theories [GRW23].

**Acknowledgments.** We would like to thank Dongmin Gang and Seungjoo Baek for useful discussions and collaborations on related work. The work of HK is supported by the Ministry of Education of the Republic of Korea and the National Research Foundation of Korea grant NRF-2023R1A2C1004965. NG is supported by funds from the Department of Physics and the College of Arts & Sciences at the University of Washington.

## 2. THE THEORIES $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}$

In this section we introduce a family of 3d  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  Chern-Simons-matter theories and argue that they flow to rank 0  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SCFTs  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}$  in the infrared after introducing a suitable superpotential, extending the family of theories  $\mathcal{T}_k = \mathcal{T}_{1,k}$  studied in [FGK23, GKS23]. We then describe various aspects of the topologically twisted theories  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}^A$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}^B$  coming from purely bulk considerations and propose a 3d mirror of  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}$ .

**2.1.  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  Lagrangian description.** We consider  $k(2N - 1)$  abelian vector multiplets, each coupled to a single charge 1 chiral multiplet, coupled to one another through effective Chern-Simons levels of the form

$$(2.1) \quad \kappa = C(T_k)^{-1} \otimes C(A_{2N-1}),$$

where  $C(T_k)$ ,  $C(A_{2N-1})$  are the Cartan matrices of the tadpole diagram  $T_k$ , obtained by folding the  $A_{2k}$  diagram and sometimes denoted  $A_{2k}^{(2)}$ , and the  $A_{2N-1}$  Dynkin diagram, respectively. Explicitly, the matrix elements of  $C(T_k)^{-1} = (T_{ij})$  and  $C(A_{2N-1}) = (C_{ab})$  are given by

$$(2.2) \quad T_{ij} = \min(i, j) \quad \text{and} \quad C_{ab} = 2\delta_{ab} - \delta_{a(b+1)} - \delta_{a(b-1)}.$$

This theory does not have the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  supersymmetry necessary for topological twisting, but we expect that it will flow to one in the IR after we deform by a suitable superpotential  $W_{N,k}$ . For  $N = 1$ , this superpotential is given by a sum of gauge-invariant  $\frac{1}{2}$ -BPS bare monopole operators of the form [GKS23]

$$(2.3) \quad W_{1,k} = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} V_{\mathfrak{m}_i} \quad (\mathfrak{m}_i)_j = 2\delta_{ij} - \delta_{(i-1)j} - \delta_{(i+1)j}.$$

There are no gauge-invariant *bare* monopole operators when  $N > 1$ , but we find a similarly simple collection of  $\frac{1}{2}$ -BPS dressed monopole operators when  $k = 1$ :

$$(2.4) \quad W_{N,1} = \sum_{a=1}^{2N-2} \phi_{a-1}\phi_{a+2}V_{\mathfrak{m}_a} \quad (\mathfrak{m}_a)_b = \delta_{ab} + \delta_{(a+1)b}$$

where we set  $\phi_0 = \phi_{2N} = 1$ . More generally, we find  $k(2N - 1) - 1$  monopole operators coming in two types that we can use to deform the above UV theory. The

first  $(k-1)(2N-1)$  monopole operators generalize those appearing in  $W_{1,k}$  and take the form

$$(2.5) \quad \phi_{i(a-1)}\phi_{i(a+1)}V_{m_{ia}} \quad (m_{ia})_{jb} = (2\delta_{ij} - \delta_{(i-1)j} - \delta_{(i+1)j})\delta_{ab}$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, k-1$  and  $a = 1, \dots, 2N-1$ , where  $\phi_{i0} = \phi_{i(2N)} = 1$ . The remaining  $2N-2$  monopole operators generalize those appearing in  $W_{N,1}$  and take the form

$$(2.6) \quad \phi_{k(a-1)}\phi_{k(a+2)}V_{m_a} \quad (m_a)_{jb} = (\delta_{jk} - \delta_{j(k-1)})(\delta_{ab} + \delta_{(a+1)b})$$

for  $a = 1, \dots, 2N-2$ . The full superpotential is then a sum of these  $k(2N-1)-1$  monopole operators:

$$(2.7) \quad W_{N,k} = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{a=1}^{2N-1} \phi_{i(a-1)}\phi_{i(a+1)}V_{m_{ia}} + \sum_{a=1}^{2N-2} \phi_{k(a-1)}\phi_{k(a+2)}V_{m_a}.$$

Deforming by this monopole superpotential, the original global symmetry  $U(1)^{(2N-1)k} \times U(1)_R$  breaks to  $U(1)_S \times U(1)_R$ , where  $U(1)_S$  is generated by

$$(2.8) \quad S = \sum_{a=1}^{2N-1} \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{a+1} i M_{ia}.$$

Here  $M_{ia}$  is the generator of the topological symmetry for the  $U(1)_{ia}$  factor of the gauge group.

**2.2. Evidence for 3d  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  supersymmetry.** As argued in [GY18] for the theory  $\mathcal{T}_{1,1}$  and [GKS23] for the family  $\mathcal{T}_{1,k}$ , we expect the theories  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}$  described above exhibit enhancement to  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  supersymmetry in the infrared. Our main piece of evidence for this enhancement comes from the superconformal index:

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} I_{\text{SCI}}[\mathcal{T}_{N,k}](\eta; q) &= \text{Tr}(-1)^R q^J \eta^S \\ &= \sum_{m_{ia} \in \mathbf{Z}} \oint \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{a=1}^{2N-1} \frac{dz_{ia}}{2\pi i z_{ia}} (-q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\eta)^{(-1)^{a+1}im_{ia}} z_{ia}^{\sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{b=1}^{2N-1} T_{ij} C_{ab} m_{jb}} \\ &\quad \times (-q^{\frac{1}{2}} z_{ia}^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}(m_{ia} + |m_{ia}|)} \frac{(z_{ia}^{-1} q^{1+\frac{1}{2}|m_{ia}|})_\infty}{(z_{ia} q^{\frac{1}{2}|m_{ia}|})_\infty} \end{aligned}$$

where we take the trace over the vector space of local operators,  $R$  is the superconformal  $R$ -charge as determined by  $F$ -maximization [Jaf12], and  $J = \frac{1}{2}R - J_3$  is the generator of twisted spin. The integration contour is taken to be the product of unit circles  $|z_{ia}| = 1$ . As before, we use the notation  $(x)_\infty = \prod_{n \geq 0} (1 - xq^n)$ .

We find that the above superconformal index starts as

$$(2.10) \quad I_{\text{SCI}}[\mathcal{T}_{N,k}](\eta; q) = 1 - q - (\eta + \eta^{-1}) q^{3/2} - 2q^2 + \dots$$

As discussed in [CDI19], the existence of the  $-(\eta + \eta^{-1})q^{3/2}$  term in the index is a strong signal that the supersymmetry at the fixed point is enhanced to  $\mathcal{N} = 4$ .<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>3</sup>It is possible that there exist chiral primary operators with superconformal  $R$ -charge 3 which can contribute to this term. In [GY18], they performed a semi-classical analysis for  $N = k = 1$  to argue that there does not exist such an operator.

We expect that the global symmetry  $U(1)_R \times U(1)_S$  in the UV theory enhances to  $SO(4) \simeq (SU(2)_H \times SU(2)_C)/\mathbb{Z}_2$  with the identification

$$(2.11) \quad R = J_3^H + J_3^C, \quad S = J_3^C - J_3^H.$$

Additionally, we find that the superconformal indices of  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{k,N}$  coincide up to inverting  $\eta$ :

$$(2.12) \quad I_{\text{SCI}}[\mathcal{T}_{N,k}](\eta; q) \stackrel{?}{=} I_{\text{SCI}}[\mathcal{T}_{k,N}](\eta^{-1}; q)$$

We have checked this claim to all orders in  $q$  for  $N = k = 1$  and to low orders in  $q$  for all  $N + k \leq 4$ .

We can use the superconformal index to determine the Hilbert series counting local operators in the  $A$  and  $B$  twists as suitable specializations. For example, in the  $A$  twist we want to count local operators weighted by  $(-1)^{R_A} q^{J_A}$ , where  $R_A$  and  $J_A$  are the  $R$ -charge and twisted spin necessary for the  $A$  twist:  $R_A = 2J_3^C = R + S$  and  $J_A = J_3^H - J_3 = J - \frac{1}{2}S$ . This can be obtained by specializing  $\eta \rightarrow -q^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  in the above superconformal index:

$$(2.13) \quad I_A[\mathcal{T}_{N,k}](q) = \text{Tr}(-1)^{R_A} q^{J_A} = \text{Tr}(-1)^R q^J (-q^{-\frac{1}{2}})^S = I_{\text{SCI}}[\mathcal{T}_{N,k}](-q^{-\frac{1}{2}}; q).$$

Similarly, we can count local operators in the  $B$  twist by specializing  $\eta \rightarrow -q^{\frac{1}{2}}$  in the above superconformal index:

$$(2.14) \quad I_B[\mathcal{T}_{N,k}](q) = I_{\text{SCI}}[\mathcal{T}_{N,k}](-q^{\frac{1}{2}}; q).$$

In both specializations we find that the superconformal index trivializes

$$(2.15) \quad I_A[\mathcal{T}_{N,k}](q) = 1 = I_B[\mathcal{T}_{N,k}](q),$$

which is strong evidence that the IR SCFT is rank 0.

**2.3. Partition functions on Seifert manifolds and modular data.** Many other aspects of the topologically twisted theories  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}^A$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}^B$  can be accessed using supersymmetric localization techniques. We now describe one method for computing the partition functions of these TQFTs on Seifert manifolds and how to access modular properties of the state space on  $T^2$ . As we describe below, this approach also gives access to the Grothendieck fusion rings of line operators in these twisted TQFTs.

The tool we use to compute these partition functions is to place the theory on  $\mathbf{R}^2 \times S^1$  and study the low-energy dynamics of the resulting effective 2d  $\mathcal{N} = (2,2)$  theory on its Coulomb branch. These dynamics are governed by an effective twisted superpotential  $W$  and an effective dilaton coupling  $\Omega$ , both of which can be computed directly from the above UV  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  Lagrangian theory [NS09b, NS15, CKW17]. The effective twisted superpotential  $W$  describes local aspects of the effective 2d theory on its Coulomb branch and takes the form

$$(2.16) \quad W(z; \eta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I,J} \kappa_{IJ} \log z_I \log z_J + \log(-\eta) \sum_I (-1)^{a+1} i \log z_I + \sum_I \text{Li}_2(z_I),$$

where  $I = (i, a)$  and  $J = (j, b)$  are multi-indices and  $\text{Li}_2(z)$  is the dilogarithm function. Although the effective twisted superpotential suffers from branch-cut ambiguities, all physical quantities computed from it do not. The dynamical variables  $z_I$

are interpreted as (vacuum expectation values of complexified) holonomies around the  $S^1$  for the dynamical  $U(1)^{(2N-1)k}$  gauge fields and, similarly, the parameter  $\eta$  is interpreted as the holonomy of a background  $U(1)_S$  gauge field. We note that the parameter  $\eta$  is present to ensure the effective 2d theory remains fully massive but, just as we saw in the superconformal index, must be specialized as  $\eta \rightarrow -1$  in order to reproduce the  $A$  and  $B$  twists. All quantities should be computed for generic  $\eta$  and then specialized  $\eta \rightarrow -1$  at the end.

The supersymmetric vacua of the effective 2d theory can be determined by way of the Bethe ansatz [NS09b, NS09a]. They are given by solutions  $z^* = z^*(\eta)$  to the Bethe equations

$$(2.17) \quad P_I := \exp \left[ z_I \frac{\partial W}{\partial z_I} \right] = 1, \text{ for all } I.$$

Explicitly, these equations read

$$(2.18) \quad \prod_I z_J^{K_{IJ}} = (-\eta)^{(-1)^{a_i}(1-z_I)}.$$

We find  $\binom{N}{k}$  Bethe vacua for generic  $\eta$ . From the perspective of the 3d TQFTs, these Bethe vacua can be interpreted as states on a torus  $T^2$ : for any  $\mathcal{P}(z)$ , its value at a given Bethe vacua  $z^*$  is interpreted as the expectation value of the corresponding line operator  $\mathcal{L}$  in this state.

The effective dilaton  $\Omega$  describes how to couple the effective 2d theory to gravity and thus how to place it on a general Riemann surface. This coupling is sensitive to which  $R$ -symmetry is used in the reduction, and in order to realize partition functions in the  $A$  and  $B$  twists we must use the corresponding  $R$ -symmetry. When we use the  $R$ -symmetry generated by  $R_\nu = R + \nu S$ , the dilaton coupling takes the form

$$(2.19) \quad \Omega(z; \eta, \nu) = \sum_I \log(1 - z_I) + (\nu - 1) \sum_I (-1)^{a+1} i \log z_I.$$

From the effective dilaton and effective twisted superpotential, we define the handle-gluing and fibering operators

$$(2.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(z; \eta, \nu) &= \exp [\Omega(z; \eta, \nu)] \det_{I,J} \left( \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial \log z_I \partial \log z_J} \right), \\ \mathcal{F}(z; \eta) &= \exp \left[ W - \sum_I z_I \log z_I \frac{\partial W}{\partial z_I} - \eta \log \eta \frac{\partial W}{\partial \eta} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

With these ingredients in hand, the partition function on a degree  $p$  circle bundle over a genus  $g$  Riemann surface takes the form

$$(2.21) \quad Z_{g,p}^A = \sum_{z^*} \mathcal{H}^A(z^*)^{g-1} \mathcal{F}^A(z^*)^p \quad Z_{g,p}^B = \sum_{z^*} \mathcal{H}^B(z^*)^{g-1} \mathcal{F}^B(z^*)^p,$$

where we set

$$(2.22) \quad \mathcal{H}^A(z^*) = \lim_{\eta \rightarrow -1} \mathcal{H}(z^*; \eta, -1) \quad \mathcal{F}^A(z^*) = \lim_{\eta \rightarrow -1} \mathcal{F}(z^*; \eta, -1).$$

and

$$(2.23) \quad \mathcal{H}^B(z^*) = \lim_{\eta \rightarrow -1} \mathcal{H}(z^*; \eta, 1) \quad \mathcal{F}^B(z^*) = \lim_{\eta \rightarrow -1} \mathcal{F}(z^*; \eta, 1).$$

We can extract modular data for the  $A$ - and  $B$ -twisted TQFTs from the form of these partition functions. In particular, we identify the values of the (inverse of the) handle-gluing operator at the Bethe vacua  $\{\mathcal{H}^{B/A}(z^*)^{-1}\}$  with the first row of the  $S$ -matrix  $\{(S_{0\mu}^{B/A})^2\}$  and the values of the fibering operator  $\{\mathcal{F}^{B/A}(z^*)\}$  with the (inverses of the) diagonal components of the  $T$ -matrix  $\{(T_{\mu\mu}^{B/A})^{-1}\}$ , up to an overall phase factor.<sup>4</sup> To extract other components of the  $S$ -matrix, we first note that there are two distinguished Bethe vacua  $z_{0,\pm}^*$  corresponding to the trivial line operators in the  $A$  and  $B$  twists. These distinguished Bethe vacua are constrained [CGK20] by the partition function of the  $A$ - and  $B$ -twisted theories on  $S^3$  as<sup>5</sup>

$$(2.24) \quad \mathcal{H}^{B/A}(z_{0,\pm}^*)^{-1} = (S_{00}^{B/A})^2 = |Z_{0,1}^{B/A}|^2.$$

Once we have identified the Bethe vacuum corresponding to the trivial line operator  $\mathcal{P}_0^{B/A} = 1$ , we can identify the line operators  $\mathcal{P}_\mu^{B/A}(z)$  corresponding to the other Bethe vacua by requiring

$$(2.25) \quad \mathcal{P}_\mu^A(z_{0,-}^*) = S_{0\mu}^A / S_{00}^A \quad \mathcal{P}_\mu^B(z_{0,+}^*) = S_{0\mu}^B / S_{00}^B.$$

The remaining components of the  $S$ -matrix appear when evaluating these line operators on a general Bethe vacua

$$(2.26) \quad S_{\mu\nu}^A = \mathcal{P}_\mu^A(z_{\nu,-}^*) S_{0\nu}^A \quad S_{\mu\nu}^B = \mathcal{P}_\mu^B(z_{\nu,+}^*) S_{0\nu}^B.$$

This identity, together with the  $PSL(2, \mathbf{Z})$  relations and non-negativity of the fusion rules, determines the  $S$ -matrix up to an overall sign and the  $T$ -matrix up to an overall 3rd root of unity.

**2.4. Bethe vacua and fusion rings.** The Bethe vacua themselves contain information about the fusion rules of line operators in the topologically twisted theories  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}^A$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}^B$ . More precisely, the Grothendieck rings of the categories of line operators in these twisted theories are expected to coincide with the  $\eta \rightarrow -1$  limit of the ring of Bethe vacua

$$(2.27) \quad \mathcal{R} := \lim_{\eta \rightarrow -1} \mathbf{C}[z_I^{\pm 1}] / \mathcal{I}_\eta,$$

where  $\mathcal{I}_\eta$  is the ideal generated by the  $P_I(z; \eta)$ . In particular, the polynomials  $\mathcal{P}_\mu^A(z)$  identified above reproduce the fusion rules of the corresponding line operators, *i.e.* they satisfy

$$(2.28) \quad \mathcal{P}_\mu^A(z^*) \mathcal{P}_\nu^A(z^*) = \sum_\lambda N_{\mu\nu}^\lambda \mathcal{P}_\lambda^A(z^*)$$

for all Bethe vacua  $z^*$ , where  $N_{\mu\nu}^\lambda$  are the fusion coefficients of the simple line operators  $\mathcal{L}_\mu^A$ . The same is true for the  $\mathcal{P}_\mu^B$ , albeit with (possibly) different fusion coefficients. In view of Eq. (2.26), this aspect of the Bethe vacua can be viewed as a consequence of the Verlinde formula, but is noteworthy because the ring  $\mathcal{R}$  does not have enough information to reproduce modular data by itself.

<sup>4</sup>The overall phase of the fibering operator  $\mathcal{F}$  depends on contact terms for the background fields that we have not kept track of.

<sup>5</sup>This condition need not have a unique solution as the handle-gluing operator can take the same value on different Bethe vacua, a phenomenon realized by *e.g.*  $\mathcal{T}_{2,2}$ .

For the theories with  $N = 1$  studied in [GKS23] and [FGK23], these polynomials take the form

$$(2.29) \quad N = 1 : \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_\mu^A &= \prod_{i=1}^k z_i^{-\min(\mu,i)} \\ \mathcal{P}_\mu^B &= (-1)^\mu \prod_{i=0}^{\mu-1} z_{k-i}^{\mu-i} \end{aligned}$$

for  $\mu = 0, \dots, k$ . The factor of  $(-1)^\mu$  corresponds to including an additional  $R$ -symmetry Wilson line. For  $k = 1$ , the Bethe equations are still fairly simple and we find the polynomials

$$(2.30) \quad k = 1 : \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_\mu^A &= \prod_{\alpha=0}^{\mu-1} (z_{2\alpha} z_{2\alpha+1}^{-1}) \\ \mathcal{P}_\mu^B &= (-1)^\mu \prod_{\alpha=1}^{\mu} (z_{2\alpha-1} z_{2\alpha}^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

for  $\mu = 0, \dots, N$  and we set  $z_0 = z_{2N} = 1$ .

We were unable to find closed-form expressions for these polynomials for general  $N$  and  $k$ . In the first non-edge case, *i.e.*  $N = k = 2$ , we find the following line operators in the  $A$  twist

$$(2.31) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{(1,0)}^A &= z_{13}^{-1} z_{23}^{-1} & \mathcal{P}_{(1,1)}^A &= z_{13}^{-1} z_{23}^{-2} & \mathcal{P}_{(2,0)}^A &= z_{11}^{-1} z_{21}^{-2} z_{12} z_{22}^2 z_{13}^{-1} z_{23}^{-2} (z_{21} z_{23} + z_{22}) \\ \mathcal{P}_{(2,1)}^A &= z_{11}^{-1} z_{21}^{-2} z_{12} z_{22}^2 z_{13}^{-1} z_{23}^{-2} (z_{21} + z_{22} + z_{23}) & \mathcal{P}_{(2,2)}^A &= z_{11}^{-1} z_{12}^{-2} z_{21} z_{22}^2 z_{31}^{-1} z_{32}^{-2} \end{aligned}$$

and the following line operators in the  $B$  twist

$$(2.32) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{(1,0)}^B &= -(z_{21} + z_{22} + z_{23}) & \mathcal{P}_{(1,1)}^B &= z_{21} z_{23} + z_{22} & \mathcal{P}_{(2,0)}^B &= z_{11} z_{12}^2 z_{21}^{-1} z_{22}^{-2} \\ \mathcal{P}_{(2,1)}^B &= -z_{11} z_{12}^2 z_{21}^{-1} z_{22}^{-2} z_{32} & \mathcal{P}_{(2,2)}^B &= z_{11} z_{12}^2 z_{21}^{-1} z_{22}^{-2} z_{31} z_{32}^2 \end{aligned}$$

which, together with the trivial line operator  $\mathcal{P}_{(0,0)}^B = 1 = \mathcal{P}_{(0,0)}^A$ , realize the  $6 = \binom{4}{2}$  Bethe vacua. It is worth noting that, unlike the cases with  $N = 1$  or  $k = 1$  mentioned above, these polynomials are not all monomials, whence the corresponding line operators will not simply be Wilson lines.

We note that in all of these cases the polynomials arising in the  $B$  twist are obtained from those in the  $A$  twist by multiplying by an overall factor

$$(2.33) \quad \mathcal{P}_\mu^A = (-1)^{Nk - |\mu|} \frac{\mathcal{P}_\mu^B}{\mathcal{P}_{\text{top}}^B} \quad \mathcal{P}_{\text{top}}^B = (-1)^{Nk} \prod_{i,a} z_{ia}^{(-1)^{a+1} i},$$

which itself represents one of the  $B$ -twist line operators.

**2.5. 3d mirror of  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}$ .** The above analysis of  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}^A$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}^B$  suggests a novel instance of 3d mirror symmetry involving these rank 0 SCFTs. As mentioned above, we find that the superconformal index of  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}$  agrees with that of  $\mathcal{T}_{k,N}$  after inverting  $\eta$ :

$$(2.34) \quad I_{\text{SCI}}[\mathcal{T}_{N,k}](\eta; q) = I_{\text{SCI}}[\mathcal{T}_{k,N}](\eta^{-1}; q)$$

Moreover, we find that the  $A$ -twist  $S$ -matrix of  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}$  agrees with the  $B$ -twist  $S$ -matrix of  $\mathcal{T}_{k,N}$  (up to an overall sign that isn't fixed by the above analysis) and, correspondingly, the resulting fusion rings agree with one another. Unfortunately, this agreement doesn't persist for the  $T$ -matrix: we find that the  $A$ -twist  $T$ -matrix of  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}$  is the inverse of the  $B$ -twist  $T$ -matrix of  $\mathcal{T}_{k,N}$  (up to an overall 3rd root of unity that isn't fixed by the above analysis). This observation suggests that these theories differ by a parity transformation. In fact, this parity reversal can be realized at the level of untwisted rank 0 SCFTs, roughly by negating the Chern-Simons levels of the UV  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  theory.

We consider a theory  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{N,k}$  realized as in Section 2 by deforming a 3d  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  theory of  $k(2N - 1)$  copies of  $U(1)$  gauge theory with a charge 1 chiral multiplet, coupled to one another with the mixed Chern-Simons levels

$$(2.35) \quad \bar{\kappa} = \text{id} - \kappa.$$

For example,  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{1,1}$  is simply  $U(1)_{-3/2}$  plus a chiral of charge 1, which was predicted by [GY18] to exhibit an enhancement to  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  supersymmetry. The superconformal index of this theory is exactly the same as  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}$ , but with  $m_{ia} \rightarrow -m_{ia}$ :

$$(2.36) \quad I_{\text{SCI}}[\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{N,k}](\eta; q) = \sum_{m_{ia} \in \mathbf{Z}} \oint \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{a=1}^{2N-1} \frac{dz_{ia}}{2\pi i z_{ia}} \left( (-q^{-\frac{1}{2}})(-q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\eta)^{(-1)^a i} \right)^{m_{ia}} z_{ia}^{m_{ia} - \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{b=1}^{2N-1} T_{ij} C_{ab} m_{jb}} \\ \times (-q^{\frac{1}{2}} z_{ia}^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}(m_{ia} + |m_{ia}|)} \frac{(z_{ia}^{-1} q^{1+\frac{1}{2}|m_{ia}|})_\infty}{(z_{ia} q^{\frac{1}{2}|m_{ia}|})_\infty}$$

We thus find

$$(2.37) \quad I_{\text{SCI}}[\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{k,N}](\eta; q) = I_{\text{SCI}}[\mathcal{T}_{k,N}](\eta; q) = I_{\text{SCI}}[\mathcal{T}_{N,k}](\eta^{-1}; q).$$

From this expression for the superconformal index, we find that the generators of superconformal  $R$ -charge and  $S$ -charge are given by

$$(2.38) \quad R = R' - \sum_{a=1}^{2N-1} (1 - (-1)^{a+1} i) \bar{M}_{ia} \quad S = \sum_{a=1}^{2N-1} \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^a i \bar{M}_{ia}$$

where  $\bar{M}_{ia}$  are the generators of the topological flavor symmetries of the UV gauge theory and  $R'$  is the UV  $R$ -charge that gives the chiral multiplet scalars weight 0.

Using this superconformal  $R$ -charge and  $S$ -charge, we find an effective twisted superpotential given by<sup>6</sup>

$$(2.39) \quad \bar{W} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I,J} \bar{\kappa}_{IJ} \log z_I \log z_J + \sum_I \log(-(-\eta)^{(-1)^a i}) \log z_I + \text{Li}_2(z_I)$$

and the effective dilaton coupling using  $R_v = R + vS$  is given by

$$(2.40) \quad \bar{\Omega} = \sum_I \log(1 - z_I) - (1 - (1 - v)(-1)^{a+1} i) \log z_I.$$

Performing the above Bethe analysis, we are lead to the following equivalence of TQFTs

$$(2.41) \quad \mathcal{T}_{N,k}^A \simeq \bar{\mathcal{T}}_{k,N}^B \quad \mathcal{T}_{N,k}^B \simeq \bar{\mathcal{T}}_{k,N}^A.$$

---

<sup>6</sup>The factors of  $-1$  in the effective twisted superpotential accounts for specializing the fugacities  $\bar{t}_{ia}$  for the topological flavor symmetry as  $\bar{t}_{ia} \rightarrow (-1)^{1+(-1)^a i} \eta^{(-1)^a i} = -(-\eta)^{(-1)^a i}$ .

The line operators in  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}$  and in  $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{N,k}$  are related as

$$(2.42) \quad \overline{\mathcal{P}}_\mu^A(z) = \mathcal{P}_\mu^A(z^{-1}) \quad \overline{\mathcal{P}}_\mu^B(z) = \mathcal{P}_\mu^B(z^{-1}).$$

Together with the above superconformal index, it is natural to expect that these equivalences of TQFTs actually arise from an instance of 3d mirror symmetry for rank 0 SCFTs:

$$(2.43) \quad \text{3d mirror symmetry: } \mathcal{T}_{N,k} \longleftrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{k,N} = (\mathcal{T}_{N,k})^\vee$$

### 3. $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}^B$ AND $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})$

The  $B$  twist of  $\mathcal{T}_k = \mathcal{T}_{1,k}$  was argued to have a holomorphic boundary condition furnishing the simple affine VOA  $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2})$  in [FGK23]. In this section we argue for a natural generalization to the  $B$ -twisted theory  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}^B$ : it admits a holomorphic boundary condition  $\text{Dir}_{N,k}$  furnishing the simple affine VOA  $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})$ .

As in [FGK23], we start with a boundary condition of the UV  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  theory described in Section 2 that we expect will flow to a boundary condition for  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}$  that is deformable (in the sense of [CG19, BLS21]) to its  $B$  twist. The form of this UV boundary condition is highly constrained by the superpotential used to deform to  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}$  and by the form of the supercharge used in the  $B$  twist; see Section 3 of [FGK23] for more details. The UV boundary condition of interest imposes  $\mathcal{N} = (0,2)$  Dirichlet boundary conditions on both the vector multiplets and the chiral multiplets, with most of the latter given *generic* Dirichlet boundary conditions — the chiral multiplet scalars  $\phi_{ia}$  are set to generic, non-zero values if  $i < k$  or if  $a$  is even, and are otherwise required to vanish, *i.e.* we set  $\phi_{k(2\alpha-1)}| = 0$  for  $\alpha = 1, \dots, N$  and  $\phi_{ia}| = c_{ia} \neq 0$  otherwise.

We now present several pieces of evidence that the algebra of local operators on this boundary condition realizes the simple affine VOA  $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})$  upon deformation to  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}^B$ .

**3.1. Half-indices and modular Nahm sums.** For an  $\mathcal{N} = (0,2)$  boundary condition  $\mathcal{B}$  of a 3d  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  theory, the half-index [GGP16, YS20, DGP18] is a signed count of local operators on  $\mathcal{B}$

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{B}}(x_\alpha; q) = \text{Tr}(-1)^R q^J \prod_\alpha x_\alpha^{N_\alpha}$$

where  $R$  is the generator of the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$   $R$ -symmetry,  $J = \frac{1}{2}R - J_3$  is the generator of twisted spin, and  $N_\alpha$  are commuting flavor symmetries of the boundary algebra.

The half-index  $\mathbb{I}_{N,k}^B$  counting local operators on  $\text{Dir}_{N,k}$  in the  $B$ -twisted theory  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}^B$  can be obtained as a suitable specialization of the half-index  $\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}_{N,k}$  counting local operators on the Dirichlet boundary condition of the UV theory that sets all

of the chiral multiplet scalars to zero, *cf.* [DGP18, Section 3.2.2]:

(3.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbb{I}}_{N,k}(z_{ia}, t_{ia}; q) &= \sum_{m_{ia} \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^k \sum_{a,b=1}^{2N-1} T_{ij} C_{ab} m_{ia} m_{jb}} \\ &\times \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{a=1}^{2N-1} ((-q^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{(-1)^{a+1} i} t_{ia})^{m_{ia}} z_{ia}^{\sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{b=1}^{2N-1} T_{ij} C_{ab} m_{jb}} \frac{(q^{1-m_{ia}} z_{ia}^{-1})_\infty}{(q)_\infty} \end{aligned}$$

The integers  $m_{ia}$  correspond to magnetic charges of boundary local operators and the fugacities/Jacobi variables  $t_{ia}$  measure charges for the topological flavor symmetry dual to  $U(1)_{ia}$  generated by  $M_{ia}$ , *i.e.* the magnetic charge  $m_{ia}$ . The  $z_{ia}$  are fugacities/Jacobi variables for boundary  $U(1)_{ia}$  gauge transformations. As with the superconformal indices in Section 2, the  $R$ -charge used in this expression for the half-index is the superconformal  $R$ -charge.

The superpotential used to deform the UV theory to  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}$  requires the boundary values for most of the  $\phi_{ia}$  to be non-zero. A non-zero boundary value for  $\phi_{ia}$  breaks the boundary  $U(1)_{ia}$  symmetry and we must correspondingly specialize  $z_{ia} = 1$ ; the UV boundary condition described above only preserves  $U(1)_{k(2\alpha-1)}$  for  $\alpha = 1, \dots, N$ . The superpotential also breaks nearly all of the topological flavor symmetries, leaving only  $S = \sum (-1)^{a+1} i M_{ia}$ . Correspondingly, if we wish to count local operators in  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}$ , we should further specialize  $t_{ia} = \eta^{(-1)^{a+1} i}$ . Finally, passing to the  $B$  twist breaks  $U(1)_S$  and requires a change in twisted spin and  $R$ -charge: we must finally specialize  $\eta = -q^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , as we did in Section 2. Putting this together, the half-index  $\mathbb{I}_{N,k}^B$  counting local operators on  $\text{Dir}_{N,k}$  can be written as the following specialization of  $\tilde{\mathbb{I}}_{N,k}(z_{ia}, t_{ia}; q)$ :

$$(3.3) \quad \mathbb{I}_{N,k}^B(x_\alpha; q) = \tilde{\mathbb{I}}_{N,k}(z_{ia}, t_{ia}; q) \Big|_{(\star)}$$

where  $(\star)$  denotes specializing the Jacobi variables as  $t_{ia} = (-q^{\frac{1}{2}})^{(-1)^{a+1} i}$  for all  $i, a$  and  $z_{ia} = 1$  if  $i < k$  or if  $a$  is even; we denote  $x_\alpha = z_{k(2\alpha-1)}$  for  $\alpha = 1, \dots, N$ . Explicitly, we have

(3.4)

$$\mathbb{I}_{N,k}^B(x_\alpha; q) = \sum'_{m_{ia}} q^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^k \sum_{a,b=1}^{2N-1} T_{ij} C_{ab} m_{ia} m_{jb}} \frac{\prod_{\alpha=1}^N (q^{1-m_{k(2\alpha-1)}} x_\alpha^{-1})_\infty x_\alpha^{\sum_{j=1}^k j(2m_{j(2\alpha-1)} - m_{j(2\alpha)} - m_{j(2\alpha-2)})}}{(q)_\infty^N \left( \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \prod_{a=1}^{2N-1} (q)_{-m_{ia}} \right) \left( \prod_{\beta=1}^{N-1} (q)_{-m_{k(2\beta)}} \right)}$$

where  $\sum'$  refers to a summation with  $m_{ia}$  a non-positive integer if  $i < k$  or if  $a$  is even, and any integer otherwise; we set  $m_{i0} = m_{i(2N)} = 0$  for all  $i$ . It was shown in [FGK23] that this expression for  $N = k = 1$  reproduces the (normalized) vacuum character of  $L_1(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2})$  and its equality with the (normalized) vacuum character of  $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2})$  was checked when  $N = 1$  and  $k \leq 3$  to order  $q^{10}$ . More generally, we expect that this half-index matches the (normalized) vacuum character of  $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})$ :

$$(3.5) \quad \mathbb{I}_{N,k}^B(x_\alpha; q) \stackrel{?}{=} \overline{\chi}[L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})](x_\alpha; q).$$

We have checked this expectation to order at least  $q^5$  for all  $N, k$  with  $N + k \leq 4$ .

Further evidence for the appearance of  $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})$  comes from specializing the remaining Jacobi variables  $x_\alpha \rightarrow 1$ . The above half-index naturally leads to an expression for the vacuum character as a Nahm sum based on the matrix  $\kappa$ :

$$(3.6) \quad \chi[L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})](1; q) \stackrel{?}{=} \mathbb{I}_{N,k}^B(1; q) = \sum_{n_{ia} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^k \sum_{a,b=1}^{2N-1} T_{ij} C_{ab} n_{ia} n_{jb}}}{\prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{a=1}^{2N-1} (q)_{n_{ia}}}$$

This expression for the (normalized, unrefined) vacuum character of  $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})$  as a Nahm sum of type  $(A_{2N-1}, T_k)$  is not new: it also appears in work of Warnaar and Zudilin, *cf.* Conjecture 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 of [WZ12] where this identity is conjectured and proven when  $k = 1$ . For  $N = 1$ , these Nahm sums are related to Rogers-Ramanujan and Andrews-Gordon identities [And84] and to characters of the minimal models  $M(2, 2k+3)$ . For  $k = 1$ , they are known to be modular for all  $N$  [BCFK14]. More generally, Nahm sums based on a bilinear form  $\kappa$  of the form  $C(Y)^{-1} \otimes C(X)$  for  $X, Y$  Dynkin diagrams of type ADET are expected to enjoy modular properties; see *e.g.* [GT95, Nah07] and references therein. The effective central charge for the resulting vector-valued modular function is conjecturally determined by the Lie algebras  $X, Y$  as

$$(3.7) \quad c_{X,Y} = \frac{\text{rank}(X)\text{rank}(Y)h_X^\vee}{h_X^\vee + h_Y^\vee}$$

where  $h_X^\vee, h_Y^\vee$  are the dual Coxeter numbers of  $X, Y$ . When we take  $X = A_{2N-1}$  and  $Y = T_k$  this is precisely the Sugawara central charge of  $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})$

$$(3.8) \quad c_{N,k}^B = c_{A_{2N-1}, T_k} = \frac{(2N-1)k(2N)}{2N+1+2k} = \frac{k \text{sdim}(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})}{k + h_{\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N}}^\vee}.$$

**3.2. Nahm's equations, Bethe vacua, and  $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})$  modules.** With the appearance of  $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})$  at the boundary of  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}^B$ , it is natural to expect that its modules can be realized by bulk line operators ending on  $\text{Dir}_{N,k}$ . This expectation is again supported by computing half-indices, which reproduce supercharacters of  $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})$  modules.

The half-index counting local operators at the junction of a line operator  $\mathcal{L}$  and the boundary condition  $\text{Dir}_{N,k}$  can again be written as a specialization, *cf.* Eq. (3.43) of [DGP18]. When we further specialize the remaining Jacobi variables  $x_\alpha = 1$ , we generally find linear combinations of Nahm sums, all based on the same bilinear form  $\kappa$ . There are deep connections between the modularity of these Nahm sums and number-theoretic properties of solutions to certain polynomial equations called Nahm's equations [Nah07, Zag07]:

$$(3.9) \quad \prod_I z_J^{\kappa_{IJ}} = 1 - z_I$$

for each (multi-)index  $I = (i, a)$ . These are precisely the same equations governing 3d Bethe vacua of the theory  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}$ , *cf.* Eq. (2.18), and whose solutions (after a suitable regularization) are identified with simple line operators  $\mathcal{L}_\mu^B$  of  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}^B$ . The

analysis of these equations in Section 2 indicates that there are  $\binom{N}{k}$  such vacua, precisely matching the number of simple modules of  $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})$  [CGL22], and for each a corresponding generator  $\mathcal{P}_\mu^B(z_{ia})$  of the ring of Bethe vacua realizing the Grothendieck ring of the category of lines. In the explicit examples, we have chosen the labels of these line operators to agree with those of the labeling of simple  $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})$  modules given in [CGL22].<sup>7</sup>

As mentioned above, we can compute the half-index counting local operators at the end of each of these generators as a suitable specialization:

$$(3.10) \quad \mathbb{I}_{N,k}^B[\mathcal{L}_\mu^B](x_\alpha; q) = \tilde{\mathbb{I}}_{N,k}[\mathcal{L}_\mu^B](z_{ia}, t_{ia}; q)|_{(\star)}$$

where

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbb{I}}_{N,k}[\mathcal{L}_\mu^B](z_{ia}, t_{ia}; q) &= \sum_{m_{ia} \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^k \sum_{a,b=1}^{2N-1} T_{ij} C_{ab} m_{ia} m_{jb}} \mathcal{P}_\mu^B(q^{-m_{ia}} z_{ia}^{-1}) \\ &\times \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{a=1}^{2N-1} ((-q^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{(-1)^{a+1} i} t_{ia})^{m_{ia}} z_{ia}^{\sum_{j=1}^{2N-1} b=1 T_{ij} C_{ab} m_{jb}} \frac{(q^{1-m_{ia}} z_{ia}^{-1})_\infty}{(q)_\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $N = 1$ , these expressions were conjectured to reproduce the characters of all simple modules of  $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2})$  in [FGK23], where it was proven to be true for  $k = 1$  and checked to order  $q^{10}$  for all  $k \leq 3$ . More generally, we have checked that the  $\mathcal{P}_\mu^B$  presented in Section 2 for all  $N, k$  with  $N + k \leq 4$  indeed reproduce characters of  $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})$ , at least to order  $q^5$ .

It is worth noting that the fully-specialized half-indices for the Wilson lines in the two edge cases ( $N = 1$  and  $k = 1$ ) are very closely related to the form of the Nahm sums appearing in the left hand side of Conjecture 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 of [WZ12]. Based on our findings in Section 2 and those of [WZ12], we expect that the line operator  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{top}}^B$  corresponds to the  $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})$  module with label  $\mu = (k, \dots, k)$ . At the level of supercharacters, this translates to the following identity:

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\chi}[L_k(\mu)](x_\alpha; q) &\stackrel{?}{=} (-1)^{Nk} \sum_{m_{ia}}' q^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^k \sum_{a,b=1}^{2N-1} T_{ij} C_{ab} m_{ia} m_{jb} + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{a=1}^{2N-1} (-1)^a i m_{ia}} \\ &\times \frac{\prod_{\alpha=1}^N (q^{1-m_{k(2\alpha-1)}} x_\alpha^{-1})_\infty x_\alpha^{-k+\sum_{j=1}^k j(2m_{j(2\alpha-1)} - m_{j(2\alpha)} - m_{j(2\alpha-2)})}}{\left( q \right)_\infty^N \left( \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \prod_{a=1}^{2N-1} (q)_{-m_{ia}} \right) \left( \prod_{\beta=1}^{N-1} (q)_{-m_{k(2\beta)}} \right)} \end{aligned}$$

#### 4. $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}^A$ AND $W_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2N})$

We now turn to the  $A$ -twisted theory  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}^A$ . The work [GKS23] argued that the  $A$  twist of  $\mathcal{T}_k = \mathcal{T}_{1,k}$  has a holomorphic boundary condition furnishing the Virasoro

---

<sup>7</sup>In the cases with  $k = 1$  we use  $\mu$  to denote the number of 1's in the label.

minimal model  $M(2, 2k+3)$ . In this section, we present evidence for a generalization to the family of theories  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}$ : the  $A$ -twisted theory  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}^A$  has a holomorphic boundary condition furnishing the minimal  $W$ -algebra of  $\mathfrak{sp}_{2N}$  at level  $k - \frac{1}{2}$ .

The boundary condition studied in [GKS23] for the  $A$  twist when  $N = 1$  is very similar to, and indeed inspired, the boundary condition studied in [FGK23] for the  $B$  twists of these same theories. With this in mind, it is natural to expect that this feature continues to hold more generally: the UV boundary condition we consider for the  $A$  twist will only be a slight modification of the one used above for the  $B$  twist. In particular, we will simply deform the boundary condition on the scalar  $\phi_{k1}$  from requiring it to vanish at the boundary to instead requiring it to acquire a non-vanishing, generic value. We expect that this UV boundary condition will flow to a boundary condition of  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}$  that is deformable to the  $A$  twist.

**4.1. Half-indices and characters.** The half-index counting local operators on  $\text{Dir}'_{N,k}$  in the  $A$  twist can also be obtained as a specialization of  $\tilde{\mathbb{I}}_{N,k}(z_{ia}, t_{ia}; q)$ . The non-vanishing  $\phi_{ia}|$  break the  $U(1)_{ia}$  symmetry if  $i < k$ , if  $a$  is even, or if  $a = 1$ ; this will again force us to set most of the  $z_{ia}$  to 1. The topological flavor symmetries are also fully broken after we pass to the  $A$  twist and so we must specialize the  $t_{ia}$ , but we should not specialize them exactly the same way we did in the previous section — we again specialize  $t_{ia} = \eta^{(-1)^{a+1}i}$  to count local operators in  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}$  but then must specialize  $\eta = -q^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  to count local operators in the  $A$  twist, *cf.* Section 2. We are thus lead to the following expression for the half-index:

$$(4.1) \quad \mathbb{I}_{N,k}^A(w_v; q) = \tilde{\mathbb{I}}_{N,k}(z_{ia}, t_{ia}; q) \Big|_{(\star\star)}$$

where  $(\star\star)$  denotes specializing  $t_{ia} = (-q^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{(-1)^{a+1}i}$  and specializing  $z_{ia} = 1$  if  $i < k$ , if  $a$  is even, or if  $a = 1$ . We also denote  $z_{k(2v+1)} = w_v$  for  $v = 1, \dots, N-1$ . The half-index counting local operators on  $\text{Dir}'_{N,k}$  in the  $A$  twist is thus given by

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}_{N,k}^A(w_v; q) &= \sum_{m_{ia}}'' q^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^k \sum_{a,b=1}^{2N-1} T_{ij} C_{ab} m_{ia} m_{jb} + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{a=1}^{2N-1} (-1)^a i m_{ia}} \\ &\times \frac{\prod_{v=1}^{N-1} (q^{1-m_{k(2v+1)}} w_v^{-1})_\infty w_v^{\sum_{j=1}^k j(2m_{j(2v+1)} - m_{j(2v+2)} - m_{j(2v)})}}{(q)_\infty^{N-1} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \prod_{a=1}^{2N-1} (q)_{-m_{ia}} \right) \left( (q)_{-m_{k1}} \prod_{\beta=1}^{N-1} (q)_{-m_{k(2\beta)}} \right)} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sum''$  refers to a summation where  $m_{ia}$  is a non-positive integer if  $i < k$ , if  $a$  is even, or if  $a = 1$ , and any integer otherwise.

That these half-indices reproduce the (normalized) vacuum characters of  $M(2, 2k+3)$  for  $N = 1$  was observed in [GKS23] and relies on the fermionic sum representations of  $M(2, 2k+3)$  characters due to [FNO92, NRT93, KKMM93, BM96, Nah94]. For  $N > 1$ , we find that the above half-index agrees with the (normalized) vacuum character of the minimal  $W$ -algebra, but with an unusual stress tensor obtained by spectral flow. Namely, we expect that sending  $w_v \rightarrow q^{\frac{1}{2}} w_v$  in the above expression

reproduces the (normalized) vacuum character with its usual stress tensor:

$$(4.3) \quad \mathbb{I}_{N,k}^A(q^{\frac{1}{2}}w_v; q) \stackrel{?}{=} \bar{\chi}[W_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2N})](w_v; q)$$

which we have verified to order  $q^4$  for values of  $N$  and  $k$  with  $N + k \leq 4$ .

Just like the  $B$  twist studied in Section 3, further specializing  $w_v = 1$  yields a Nahm sum. Namely, we get (up to an overall sign) the Nahm sum coming from the line operator  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{top}}^B$  that we expect to reproduce the character of the  $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})$  module  $L_k(\mu)$  for  $\mu = (k, \dots, k)$ . This is precisely the second Nahm sum appearing in Conjecture 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 of [WZ12]. It was conjectured, and proven for  $k = 1$ , in [BCFK14] that these sums are modular with modular anomaly  $q^{-c_{N,k}^A/24}$  for

$$(4.4) \quad c_{N,k}^A = -\frac{kN(1+6k+4N)}{k+N+\frac{1}{2}} = \left( \frac{(k-\frac{1}{2})N(2N+1)}{k+N+\frac{1}{2}} + N - 6k \right) - 6k(N-1).$$

The expression in the parenthesis of the last equality is the central charge of  $W_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2N})$  [KRW03] and the remaining  $-6k(N-1)$  comes from the change in conformal vector due to spectral flow. That the usual conformal vector is not appropriate for the  $A$  twist can also be seen from the Bethe analysis of Section 2: the values of  $T_{00}$  match  $e^{-i\pi c_{N,k}^A/12}$ , not the usual central charge.

**4.2. Line operators and  $W_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2N})$  modules.** We can also recover characters of  $W_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2N})$  modules as half-indices counting local operators at the end of bulk line operators. Much of the discussion in Section 3 carries over to this case, so we will be brief. The salient points are that we expect that the line operators identified in Section 2 lead to simple modules for this  $W$ -algebra and that the characters of those modules are reproduced by the corresponding half-indices. Explicitly, these half-indices take the form

$$(4.5) \quad \mathbb{I}_{N,k}^A[\mathcal{L}_\mu^A](w_v; q) = \tilde{\mathbb{I}}_{N,k}[\mathcal{L}_\mu^A](z_{ia}, t_{ia}; q) \Big|_{(\star\star)}$$

where

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbb{I}}_{N,k}[\mathcal{L}_\mu^A] &= \sum_{m_{ia} \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^k \sum_{a,b=1}^{2N-1} T_{ij} C_{ab} m_{ia} m_{jb}} \mathcal{P}_\mu^A(q^{-m_{ia}} z_{ia}^{-1}) \\ &\times \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{a=1}^{2N-1} ((-q^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{(-1)^{a+1}} t_{ia})^{m_{ia}} z_{ia}^{\sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{b=1}^{2N-1} T_{ij} C_{ab} m_{jb}} \frac{(q^{1-m_{ia}} z_{ia}^{-1})_\infty}{(q)_\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

That these half-indices reproduce the (normalized) characters of all  $M(2, 2k+3)$  modules for  $N = 1$  was observed in [GKS23] and again relies on the aforementioned fermionic sum representations of  $M(2, 2k+3)$  characters.

It was noticed in [FGK23] that for  $N = 1$  these half-indices obey

$$(4.7) \quad \mathbb{I}_{1,k}^A[\mathcal{L}_\mu^A](q) = (-1)^{k-\mu} \mathbb{I}_{1,k}^B[\mathcal{L}_{k-\mu}^B](1; q)$$

thereby relating  $M(2, 2k+3)$  characters and specializations of  $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2})$  characters. Based on the observation made at the end of Section 2, in particular the relation between the  $A$  and  $B$  twist line operators in Eq. (2.33), we expect this feature to continue. Namely, specializations of normalized  $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})$  characters should agree (up to an overall sign) with specializations of  $W_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2N})$  modules:

$$(4.8) \quad (-1)^{|\mu|} \bar{\chi}[L_k(\mu)](1; q) \stackrel{?}{=} \bar{\chi}[W_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min}(\tilde{\mu})](q^{-\frac{1}{2}}; q)$$

## 5. MIRROR SYMMETRY AND LEVEL-RANK DUALITY

We argued in Section 3 that the theory  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}^B$  admits a boundary condition  $\text{Dir}_{N,k}$  that furnishes the affine VOA  $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})$  and proposed a realization of modules thereof in terms of bulk line operators ending on this boundary condition. Similarly, in Section 4 we argued for the existence of the minimal  $W$ -algebra  $W_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2N})$  at the boundary of  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}^A$ . In this section we describe the mirror boundary conditions of  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{N,k} = (\mathcal{T}_{k,N})^\vee$ . Using the observation in Section 2.5 that  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{N,k}$  differs from  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}$  by a parity transformation, we are lead to a novel level-rank duality of VOAs in Section 5.2 that we present further evidence for in Section 5.3.

**5.1. The boundary conditions  $\text{Neu}_{N,k}$  and  $\text{Neu}'_{N,k}$ .** We now describe two boundary conditions of the UV theory introduced in Section 2.5 that we expect will flow to holomorphic boundary conditions of  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{N,k}$  that are deformable to its  $A$  and  $B$  twists.

**5.1.1.  $N = k = 1$ .** To motivate our proposal, we start by considering a boundary condition analogous to the one in [FGK23, Section 4.4]. The UV boundary condition imposes Neumann boundary conditions on both the vector multiplet and the chiral multiplet. Such a boundary condition is ill-posed by itself due to a gauge anomaly and must be supplemented by auxiliary 2d degrees of freedom; the contribution of the bulk fields to the (pure gauge) anomaly is  $-2$ , which can be canceled by introducing 2 boundary Fermi multiplets  $\gamma_b, \bar{\gamma}^b$ ,  $b = 1, 2$ , with the action of gauge transformations generated by the current

$$(5.1) \quad \sigma = : \gamma_1 \bar{\gamma}^1 : + : \gamma_2 \bar{\gamma}^2 : .$$

The algebra of boundary local operators in the  $HT$  twist of the UV theory is given by the (derived) gauge invariants of the algebra freely generated by a bosonic field  $\phi$  of charge 1 – the boundary value of the chiral multiplet scalar field – and the boundary fermions  $\gamma_b, \bar{\gamma}^b$ ; the bosonic field  $\phi$  has regular OPEs with all of the fermions, cf. [CDG23, Section 6].

These (derived) gauge invariants are computed by introducing a fermionic field  $c$ , which also has regular OPEs with all other generators, together with a differential

$$(5.2) \quad Qc = 0 \quad Q\phi = c\phi \quad Q\gamma_b = c\gamma_b \quad Q\bar{\gamma}_b = -c\bar{\gamma}_b$$

which is simply the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex for the action of the Lie algebra  $\mathbf{C}[[z]]$  of gauge transformations. The (derived) gauge invariants are then realized as the  $Q$ -cohomology of the chargeless subalgebra generated by  $\phi, \partial c, \gamma_b$ , and  $\bar{\gamma}^b$ ,

mathematically this is simply to taking relative Lie algebra cohomology. We find that these invariants are generated by the bosonic operators

$$(5.3) \quad \tilde{h} = : \bar{\gamma}^1 \gamma_1 : - : \bar{\gamma}^2 \gamma_2 : \quad \tilde{e} = : \bar{\gamma}^1 \gamma_2 : \quad \tilde{f} = : \bar{\gamma}^2 \gamma_1 :,$$

realizing a copy of  $L_1(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ , together with the fermionic operators

$$(5.4) \quad \tilde{\theta}_+ = : \phi \bar{\gamma}^1 : \quad \tilde{\theta}_- = : \phi \bar{\gamma}^2 :,$$

transforming in the fundamental representation of these  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  currents. The fermionic generators  $\tilde{\theta}_\pm$  have regular OPEs with themselves and with one another. In fact, we see that this is precisely the same vertex algebra found in [FGK23, Section 4.1] on  $\text{Dir}_{1,1}$  prior to passing to the  $B$  twist of  $\mathcal{T}_{1,1}$ .

As on  $\text{Dir}_{1,1}$ , this vertex algebra should have two natural deformations compatible with deforming the bulk to its  $A$  and  $B$  twists – one deformation leads to  $L_1(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2})$  and the other to  $M(2,5)$ . We note that  $: \tilde{\theta}_- \tilde{\theta}_+ :=: \phi^2 \bar{\gamma}^1 \bar{\gamma}^2 :$  has regular OPEs with all of the generators and hence should arise as a bulk local operator brought to the boundary [CDG23, Zen23]. In fact, it is the boundary value of the bulk local operator  $\phi^2 V_1$  used to deform (the  $HT$  twist of)  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{1,1}$  to its  $B$  twist. On the other hand, as the bulk fermion vanishes on this boundary condition, the operator used to deform (the  $HT$  twist of)  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{1,1}$  to its  $A$  twist vanishes on the boundary. Together, these imply that  $\text{Neu}_{1,1}$  is deformable to the  $A$ -twist, but not the  $B$ -twist.

The topological flavor symmetry present on  $\text{Dir}_{1,1}$  is identified with the outer<sup>8</sup> symmetry that scales  $\gamma_1, \bar{\gamma}^1$  with weights  $1, -1$ . The half-index counting local operators on this boundary condition is thus given by

$$(5.5) \quad \hat{I}_{1,1}(y, \eta; q) = (q)_\infty \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i z} \frac{FF(-q^{\frac{1}{2}} z y^{-1} \eta) FF(z y)}{(z)_\infty}$$

where  $FF(x) = (x)_\infty (qx^{-1})_\infty$ . In this expression,  $y$  is the fugacity/Jacobi variable for the Cartan subalgebra generated by  $\tilde{h}$  and  $\eta$  is the fugacity for the symmetry rotating  $\gamma_1, \bar{\gamma}^1$ ;  $z$  is again the fugacity for gauge transformations. It is a simple application of the bosonization formula

$$(5.6) \quad FF(x) = \sum_m (-1)^m \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}m(m-1)} x^m}{(q)_\infty}$$

and the  $q$ -binomial identity

$$(5.7) \quad \frac{1}{(x)_\infty} = \sum_{l \geq 0} \frac{x^l}{(q)_l}$$

to show that  $\hat{I}_{1,1}(y, \eta; q) = \tilde{I}_{1,1}(y, \eta^{-1}; q)$ . In particular, the  $A$ -twist specialization  $\eta \rightarrow -q^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  agrees with the (normalized) vacuum character of  $L_1(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2})$

$$(5.8) \quad \bar{I}_{1,1}^A(y; q) = \hat{I}_{1,1}(y, -q^{-\frac{1}{2}}; q) = \bar{\chi}[L_1(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2})](y; q).$$

Putting this together, we are lead to conclude the boundary condition  $\text{Neu}_{1,1}$  of  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{1,1}$  is the 3d mirror of the boundary condition  $\text{Dir}_{1,1}$  of  $\mathcal{T}_{1,1}$ .

---

<sup>8</sup>Although this is an inner symmetry of the fermions, generated by the current  $\sigma' =: \gamma_1 \bar{\gamma}^1 :$ , the mixed anomaly  $\sigma(z) \sigma'(w) \sim (z-w)^{-2}$  implies  $Q\sigma' = \partial c$ , whence only  $\sigma'_{-1}$  is  $Q$ -closed.

One immediate conclusion from this analysis is that the boundary condition  $\text{Neu}_{1,1}$  must be modified to be compatible with the  $B$  twist — the operator  $\phi^2 V_1$  used to deform  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{1,1}$  to its  $B$  twist does not vanish on  $\text{Neu}_{1,1}$  and so this boundary condition is not deformable to the  $B$  twist [BLS21, FGK23]. This directly mirrors the deformation from  $\text{Dir}_{1,1}$  to  $\text{Dir}'_{1,1}$ , the former being compatible with the  $B$  twist of  $\mathcal{T}_{1,1}$  and the latter with the  $A$  twist. Nonetheless, the half-index counting local operators in the  $B$  twist of  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{1,1}$  can be realized as in Section 4.4 of [FGK23] by also specializing  $y$  and agrees with the (normalized) vacuum character of  $M(2, 5)$

$$(5.9) \quad \bar{I}_{1,1}^B(q) = \hat{\mathbb{I}}_{1,1}(1, -q^{\frac{1}{2}}; q) = \bar{\chi}[M(2, 5)](q).$$

**5.1.2. General  $N, k$ .** We now extend this to a boundary condition of  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{N,k}$  for general  $N, k$ . We start by imposing Neumann boundary conditions on both the vector multiplets and chiral multiplets. We must again introduce auxiliary degrees of freedom to avoid gauge anomalies; the contribution from the bulk to the gauge anomaly is given by the negative of  $\kappa$ , which we remedy with a certain choice of boundary Fermi multiplets. Explicitly, we introduce  $2Nk$  boundary Fermi multiplets  $\gamma_{jb}, \bar{\gamma}^{ib}$ , where  $j = 1, \dots, k$  and  $b = 1, \dots, 2N$ . We take the action of gauge transformations on these fermions to be generated by the currents

$$(5.10) \quad \sigma_{ia} = (-1)^{a+1} \sum_{j=1}^i : \gamma_{ja} \bar{\gamma}^{ja} : + : \gamma_{j(a+1)} \bar{\gamma}^{j(a+1)} :$$

which indeed have the requisite level to cancel the anomaly

$$(5.11) \quad \sigma_I(z) \sigma_J(w) \sim \frac{\kappa_{IJ}}{(z-w)^2}.$$

The  $k$  currents

$$(5.12) \quad \tilde{h}_i = \sum_{b=1}^{2N} (-1)^{b+1} : \gamma_{ib} \bar{\gamma}^{ib} :$$

each have level  $2N$  and commute with one another and with the  $\sigma_{ia}$ .

The half-index counting local operators on the left boundary condition  $\text{Neu}_{N,k}$  takes the form

$$(5.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbb{I}}_{N,k}(y_i, \eta; q) &= \oint \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{a=1}^{2N-1} \left( \frac{dz_{ia}}{2\pi i z_{ia}} \frac{(q)_\infty}{(z_{ia})_\infty} \right) \\ &\times \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{\alpha=1}^N \left( FF(y_i \prod_{j=i}^k \frac{z_{j(2\alpha-1)}}{z_{j(2\alpha-2)}}) FF(-q^{\frac{1}{2}} \eta y_i^{-1} \prod_{j=i}^k \frac{z_{j(2\alpha-1)}}{z_{j(2\alpha)}}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where the integration contour is taken to be the product of unit circles  $|z_{ia}| = 1$  and we set  $z_{i0} = z_{i2N} = 1$ . The contributions in the first line come from the bulk fields restricted to the boundary, whereas the second line realizes contributions from the boundary fermions.

In order to count local operators in the  $A$  and  $B$  twists, we must specialize this half-index as we did for  $N = k = 1$  above. In particular, the half-index counting

local operators in the  $A$  twist is given by

$$(5.14) \quad \overline{\mathbb{I}}_{N,k}^A(y_i; q) = \widehat{\mathbb{I}}_{N,k}(y_i, \eta; q) \Big|_{(\diamond)} .$$

where  $(\diamond)$  denotes specializing  $\eta \rightarrow -q^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . We expect that this agrees with the (normalized) vacuum character of  $L_N(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2k})$ :

$$(5.15) \quad \overline{\mathbb{I}}_{N,k}^A(y_i; q) \stackrel{?}{=} \overline{\chi}[L_N(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2k})](y_i; q)$$

We have checked this expectation is true for all  $N, k$  with  $N + k \leq 4$  to order at least  $q^4$ .

Similarly, the half-index counting local operators in the  $B$  twist is realized by specializing  $\eta \rightarrow -q^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . We also expect that the  $B$ -twist deformation will force us to specialize  $y_1 \rightarrow 1$ , and we set  $u_l = y_{l+1}$  for  $l = 1, \dots, k-1$ :

$$(5.16) \quad \overline{\mathbb{I}}_{N,k}^B(u_l; q) = \widehat{\mathbb{I}}_{N,k}(y_i, \eta; q) \Big|_{(\diamond\diamond)}$$

where  $(\diamond\diamond)$  denotes specializing  $y_1 \rightarrow 1$ ,  $y_{l+1} \rightarrow u_l$  for  $l = 1, \dots, k-1$ , and  $\eta \rightarrow -q^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . We have again checked that this half-index reproduces the (normalized) vacuum character of  $W_{N-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2k})$  to order at least  $q^4$  for all  $N, k$  satisfying  $N + k \leq 4$ , leading to the expectation

$$(5.17) \quad \overline{\mathbb{I}}_{N,k}^B(q^{\frac{1}{2}}u_l; q) \stackrel{?}{=} \overline{\chi}[W_{N-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2k})](u_l; q) .$$

Just as in Sections 3 and 4, we expect that all modules for these boundary VOAs are realized by the local operators living at the end of bulk line operators. The half-index counting local operators at the end of such a line operator  $\mathcal{L}$  takes the form

$$(5.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathbb{I}}_{N,k}[\mathcal{L}](y_i, \eta; q) &= \oint \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{a=1}^{2N-1} \left( \frac{dz_{ia}}{2\pi i z_{ia}} \frac{(q)_\infty}{(z_{ia})_\infty} \right) \mathcal{P}(z^{-1}) \\ &\times \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{\alpha=1}^N \left( FF(y_i \prod_{j=i}^k \frac{z_j(2\alpha-1)}{z_{j(2\alpha-2)}}) FF(-q^{\frac{1}{2}}\eta y_i^{-1} \prod_{j=i}^k \frac{z_j(2\alpha-1)}{z_{j(2\alpha)}}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

which we further specialize via  $(\diamond)$  and  $(\diamond\diamond)$  to count local operators in the  $A$  and  $B$  twists, respectively.

We expect that the line operators  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_\mu^A$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_\mu^B$  identified in Section 2.5 reproduce all simple modules of the corresponding VOAs. The half-indices counting local operators are given by

$$(5.19) \quad \overline{\mathbb{I}}_{N,k}^A[\overline{\mathcal{L}}_\mu^A](y_i; q) = \widehat{\mathbb{I}}_{N,k}[\overline{\mathcal{L}}_\mu^A](y_i, \eta; q) \Big|_{(\diamond)}$$

in the  $A$  twist and

$$(5.20) \quad \overline{\mathbb{I}}_{N,k}^B[\overline{\mathcal{L}}_\mu^B](u_l; q) = \widehat{\mathbb{I}}_{N,k}[\overline{\mathcal{L}}_\mu^B](y_i, \eta; q) \Big|_{(\diamond\diamond)}$$

in the  $B$  twist. We have checked that these half-indices reproduce the characters of all simple modules for  $L_N(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2k})$  and  $W_{N-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2k})$ , respectively, for  $N+k \leq 4$  to order at least  $q^4$ .

**5.2. Mirror symmetry and sandwiches.** We observed in Section 2.5 that  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{N,k}$  (the 3d mirror of  $\mathcal{T}_{k,N}$ ) is simply the parity conjugate of  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}$ . As a result, we can equivalently think of the above *right* boundary conditions of  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{N,k}$  as *left* boundary conditions of  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}$ . Correspondingly, we find that the TQFT  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}^B$  admits two boundary VOAs modeling its categories of line operators, one on the left and one on the right: it furnishes

$$(5.21) \quad \mathcal{V}_{N,k}^B = L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})$$

on the right and

$$(5.22) \quad {}^! \mathcal{V}_{N,k}^B = \mathcal{V}_{k,N}^A = W_{N-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2k})$$

on the left. The same is true for  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}^A$ : it furnishes

$$(5.23) \quad \mathcal{V}_{N,k}^A = W_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2N}) \quad \text{and} \quad {}^! \mathcal{V}_{N,k}^A = \mathcal{V}_{k,N}^B = L_N(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2k}).$$

Both the left VOA and the right VOA can be used to model the category bulk line operators, hence their categories of modules should be equivalent to one another, both being equivalent to the category of bulk line operators. This equivalence of module categories is induced by identifying the modules living at the ends of the same bulk line operator, *cf.* Fig. 1.1. We note that the braiding on the category of modules for the left VOA must be reversed in order to capture the relative orientations of the two boundaries. Based on the analogy with ordinary Chern-Simons gauge theories, the resulting braid-reversed equivalence of boundary VOAs can be thought of as a version of level-rank duality:

$$(5.24) \quad \text{level-rank duality: } L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N}) \xleftrightarrow{?} W_{N-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2k})$$

See [FGK23, Section 4.4] for the case  $N = k = 1$ , where one finds the minimal model  $M(2,5)$  on the left of  $\mathcal{T}_{1,1}^B$ .

It is important to note that we can place these TQFTs on a spacetime of the form  $\Sigma \times [0, 1]$  with these left and right holomorphic boundary conditions placed at  $\Sigma \times 0$  and  $\Sigma \times 1$ , resulting in an effective 2d holomorphic theory on  $\Sigma$ . The local degrees of freedom in this effective 2d theory are realized by the local operators on the two boundaries together with all line operators stretched between the two boundaries. The character that counts these local operators comes from gluing our half-indices together:

$$(5.25) \quad \begin{aligned} I_{2d}^A(y_i, w_\nu; q) &= \sum_\mu \bar{\mathbb{I}}_{N,k}^A[\mathcal{L}_\mu^A](y_i; q) \mathbb{I}_{N,k}^A[\mathcal{L}_\mu^A](w_\nu; q) \\ I_{2d}^B(u_l, x_\alpha; q) &= \sum_\mu \bar{\mathbb{I}}_{N,k}^B[\mathcal{L}_\mu^B](u_l; q) \mathbb{I}_{N,k}^B[\mathcal{L}_\mu^B](x_\alpha; q) \end{aligned}$$

The term with  $\mu = 0$  counts the local operators in the effective 2d theory coming from the two boundaries. The remaining terms count all possible local operators sitting at the two ends of the remaining simple line operators. Based on series

expansions for  $N + k \leq 4$ , we expect that this sum (after performing the shift  $w_v \rightarrow q^{\frac{1}{2}}w_v$ ) is equal to

$$(5.26) \quad I_{2d}^A(y_i, q^{\frac{1}{2}}w_v; q) \stackrel{?}{=} \prod_{i=1}^k \left( F(y_i)F(y_i^{-1}) \prod_{v=1}^{N-1} F(q^{\frac{1}{2}}y_i w_v)F(q^{\frac{1}{2}}y_i^{-1} w_v) \right)$$

in the  $A$  twist, which can be identified with the vacuum character of a  $bc$  ghost system transforming in the fundamental representation of  $\mathfrak{sp}_{2k}$  times free fermions transforming in the fundamental representation of  $\mathfrak{sp}_{2k} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_{2(N-1)}$ . Similarly, in the  $B$  twist we find

$$(5.27) \quad I_{2d}^B(q^{\frac{1}{2}}u_l, x_\alpha; q) \stackrel{?}{=} \prod_{\alpha=1}^N \left( F(x_\alpha)F(x_\alpha^{-1}) \prod_{l=1}^{k-1} F(q^{\frac{1}{2}}x_\alpha u_l)F(q^{\frac{1}{2}}x_\alpha^{-1} u_l) \right)$$

which can be identified with the vacuum character of a  $bc$  ghost system transforming in the fundamental representation of  $\mathfrak{sp}_{2N}$  times free fermions transforming in the fundamental representation of  $\mathfrak{sp}_{2N} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_{2(k-1)}$ .

**5.3. Level-rank duality of  $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})$  and  $W_{N-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2k})$ .** In the previous subsections, we argued that each of the TQFTs  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}^A$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}^B$  admits both left and right boundary conditions furnishing VOAs. From a vertex-algebraic perspective, these observations for  $\mathcal{T}_{N,k}^B$  suggest that the categories of modules for

$$(5.28) \quad L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N}) \quad \text{and} \quad W_{N-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2k})$$

are equivalent as braided tensor categories, up to a reversal of the braiding. We now present evidence supporting the existence of this braid-reversed equivalence: these two VOAs form a commuting pair inside a free-fermion VOA. It remains to be shown that they are mutual commutants, *i.e.* everything commuting with the affine algebra belongs to the  $W$ -algebra and vice versa.

Let  $F^N$  denote the VOA of  $N$  free, real fermions and let  $\beta\gamma^m$  denote  $m$   $\beta\gamma$ -ghost VOAs, also often called symplectic bosons. We start by considering  $F^{4Nk} \otimes \beta\gamma^k$ . This VOA should be thought of as the free field algebra in the standard representation of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_{2k}$ . In particular, this VOA admits a conformal embedding of  $\tilde{V}_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N}) \otimes \tilde{V}_{N-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2k})$ . Here  $\tilde{V}$  is a homomorphic image of the universal affine VOA. *A priori* they need not be simple quotients, but in our cases it is due to the following facts. Corollary 2.1 of [CLS22] tells us that  $\tilde{V}_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N}) = L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})$ . Its coset in  $F^{4Nk} \otimes \beta\gamma^k$  is a conformal extension of  $\tilde{V}_{N-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2k})$  and this extension must be simple by [ACKar]. Thus by Theorem 3.5 of [AVEM21] also  $\tilde{V}_{N-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2k}) \cong L_{N-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2k})$ . Next, one would like to know if these two vertex algebras form a mutually commuting pair. Theorem 6.3 of [LS24] gives us that indeed  $\text{Com}(L_{N-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2k}), F^{4Nk} \otimes \beta\gamma^k) \cong L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})$ .

We can understand our desired level-rank duality by Hamiltonian reduction. Denote by  $H_\ell^{\min}$  the minimal Hamiltonian reduction functor on the category of modules of the affine vertex algebra  $L_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2k})$ . In order to understand the reduction of  $F^{4Nk} \otimes \beta\gamma^k$ , we note that there is an action of  $L_N(\mathfrak{sp}_{2k})$  on the first factor, an

action of  $L_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2k})$  on the second one, and a diagonal action of  $L_{N-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2k})$ . The Main Theorem of [ACF22] applied to this case implies

$$(5.29) \quad H_{N-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min} \left( F^{4Nk} \otimes \beta\gamma^k \right) \cong F^{4Nk} \otimes H_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min} \left( \beta\gamma^k \right).$$

This isomorphism preserves conformal vectors if we view  $4N$  of these free fermions as  $2N$   $bc$ -ghost systems of conformal weight  $(1, 0)$ , while all others have the usual conformal vector that gives them conformal weight  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Now,  $H_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min}(\beta\gamma^k) \cong \mathbb{C}^2$  by Lemma 7.1 and Remark 7.3 of [CL22]. The factor of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  appears because the minimal reduction functor for  $\mathfrak{sp}_{2k}$  is two-to-one at levels with denominator two. As the reduction functor  $H_\ell^{\min}$  maps simple modules to simple modules when  $\ell$  is not a positive integer [Ara05], we conclude  $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})$  and  $W_{N-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2k})$  form a commuting pair inside  $F^{4Nk}$ .

We strongly believe that they mutually commute, *i.e.* that they are each other's commutants, but leave a proof of this claim to future work. That said, the VOAs  $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})$  and  $W_{N-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2k})$  are both strongly rational by Theorem 7.1 and Theorem 7.7 of [CL22]. Also note that the number of simple ordinary modules of  $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})$  and  $L_{N-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2k})$  differ by a factor of two [CGL22, Eq.(2.4) and Theorem 4.1]. Since the minimal reduction functor is two-to-one on ordinary modules,  $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})$  and  $W_{N-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2k})$  thus have the same number of simples. This means that as long as  $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})$  and  $W_{N-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2k})$  form a mutually commuting pair inside  $F^{4Nk}$  then there is a braid-reversed equivalence  $\tau$  of braided tensor supercategories [CKM22, McR23], such that

$$(5.30) \quad F^{4Nk} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda} L_k(\lambda) \otimes W_{N-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min}(\lambda^\tau)^*$$

where the sum is over an index set labeling the inequivalent ordinary modules of  $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2N})$  and  $W_{N-\frac{1}{2}}^{\min}(\lambda^\tau)$  is the minimal  $W$ -algebra module identified with  $L_k(\lambda)$  under the equivalence  $\tau$ . The upper index  $*$  indicates the dual.

## REFERENCES

- [ACF22] Tomoyuki Arakawa, Thomas Creutzig, and Boris Feigin, *Urod algebras and Translation of  $W$ -algebras*, Forum Math. Sigma **10** (2022), e33.
- [ACKar] Tomoyuki Arakawa, Thomas Creutzig, and Kasuya Kawasetsu, to appear.
- [ADL22] Sergey Alekseev, Mykola Dedushenko, and Mikhail Litvinov, *Chiral life on a slab*, 12 2022.
- [And84] George E. Andrews, *The Theory of Partitions*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Cambridge University Press, 1984.
- [APS96] Philip C. Argyres, M. Ronen Plesser, and Nathan Seiberg, *The Moduli Space of Vacua of  $N=2$  SUSY QCD and Duality in  $N=1$  SUSY QCD*, Nucl. Phys. B **471** (1996), 159–194.
- [Ara05] Tomoyuki Arakawa, *Representation theory of superconformal algebras and the Kac-Roan-Wakimoto conjecture*, Duke Math. J. **130** (2005), no. 3, 435–478. MR 2184567
- [Ara15] ———, *Associated varieties of modules over Kac-Moody algebras and  $C_2$ -cofiniteness of  $W$ -algebras*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2015), no. 22, 11605–11666. MR 3456698
- [AVEM21] Tomoyuki Arakawa, Jethro Van Ekeren, and Anne Moreau, *Singularities of nilpotent Slodowy slices and collapsing levels of  $W$ -algebras*, 2 2021.
- [BCDN23] Andrew Ballin, Thomas Creutzig, Tudor Dimofte, and Wenjun Niu, *3d mirror symmetry of braided tensor categories*, 4 2023.

- [BCFK14] Kathrin Bringmann, Corina Calinescu, Amanda Folsom, and Susie Kimport, *Graded dimensions of principal subspaces and modular Andrews–Gordon-type series*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **16** (2014), no. 04, 1350050.
- [BDG17] Mathew Bullimore, Tudor Dimofte, and Davide Gaiotto, *The Coulomb Branch of 3d  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  Theories*, Commun. Math. Phys. **354** (2017), no. 2, 671–751.
- [BDGH16] Mathew Bullimore, Tudor Dimofte, Davide Gaiotto, and Justin Hilburn, *Boundaries, Mirror Symmetry, and Symplectic Duality in 3d  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  Gauge Theory*, JHEP **10** (2016), 108.
- [BF23] Christopher Beem and Andrea E. V. Ferrari, *Free field realisation of boundary vertex algebras for Abelian gauge theories in three dimensions*, 4 2023.
- [BFN18] Alexander Braverman, Michael Finkelberg, and Hiraku Nakajima, *Towards a mathematical definition of Coulomb branches of 3-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  gauge theories, II*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **22** (2018), 1071–1147.
- [BG24] Seungjoo Baek and Dongmin Gang, *3D bulk field theories for 2D non-unitary  $N=1$  supersymmetric minimal models*, 5 2024.
- [BLPW16] Tom Braden, Anthony Licata, Nicholas Proudfoot, and Ben Webster, *Quantizations of conical symplectic resolutions II: category  $\mathcal{O}$  and symplectic duality*, Asterisque **384** (2016), 75–179.
- [BLS21] Ilka Brunner, Ioannis Lavdas, and Ingmar Saberi, *Holomorphic boundary conditions for topological field theories via branes in twisted supergravity*, 10 2021.
- [BM96] Alexander Berkovich and Barry M. McCoy, *Continued fractions and fermionic representations for characters of  $M(p, p')$  minimal models*, Lett. Math. Phys. **37** (1996), 49–66.
- [BMSW97] Alexander Berkovich, Barry M. McCoy, Anne Schilling, and S. Ole Warnaar, *Bailey flows and Bose-Fermi identities for the conformal coset models  $(A(1)(1))N \times (A(1)(1))N\text{-prime} / (A(1)(1))N+N\text{-prime}$* , Nucl. Phys. B **499** (1997), 621–649.
- [BN23] Christopher Beem and Sujay Nair, *Free Field Realisation of the Chiral Universal Centraliser*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **24** (2023), no. 12, 4343–4404.
- [BN24] Andrew Ballin and Wenjun Niu, *3D mirror symmetry and the  $\beta\gamma$  VOA*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **26** (2024), no. 01, 2250069.
- [BR18] Christopher Beem and Leonardo Rastelli, *Vertex operator algebras, Higgs branches, and modular differential equations*, JHEP **08** (2018), 114.
- [BT97] Matthias Blau and George Thompson, *Aspects of  $N_T \geq 2$  topological gauge theories and D-branes*, Nucl. Phys. B **492** (1997), 545–590.
- [BZ22] Mathew Bullimore and Daniel Zhang, *3d  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  Gauge Theories on an Elliptic Curve*, SciPost Phys. **13** (2022), no. 1, 005.
- [CCF<sup>+</sup>24] Miranda C. N. Cheng, Sungbong Chun, Boris Feigin, Francesca Ferrari, Sergei Gukov, Sarah M. Harrison, and Davide Passaro, *3-Manifolds and VOA Characters*, Commun. Math. Phys. **405** (2024), no. 2, 44.
- [CCG19] Kevin Costello, Thomas Creutzig, and Davide Gaiotto, *Higgs and Coulomb branches from vertex operator algebras*, JHEP **03** (2019), 066.
- [CDG23] Kevin Costello, Tudor Dimofte, and Davide Gaiotto, *Boundary Chiral Algebras and Holomorphic Twists*, Commun. Math. Phys. **399** (2023), no. 2, 1203–1290.
- [CDGG21] Thomas Creutzig, Tudor Dimofte, Niklas Garner, and Nathan Geer, *A QFT for non-semisimple TQFT*, 12 2021.
- [CDI19] Clay Córdova, Thomas T. Dumitrescu, and Kenneth Intriligator, *Multiplets of Superconformal Symmetry in Diverse Dimensions*, JHEP **03** (2019), 163.
- [CG19] Kevin Costello and Davide Gaiotto, *Vertex Operator Algebras and 3d  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  gauge theories*, JHEP **05** (2019), 018.
- [CGK20] Gil Young Cho, Dongmin Gang, and Hee-Cheol Kim, *M-theoretic Genesis of Topological Phases*, JHEP **11** (2020), 115.
- [CGK22] Sunjin Choi, Dongmin Gang, and Hee-Cheol Kim, *Infrared phases of 3D class R theories*, JHEP **11** (2022), 151.
- [CGL22] Thomas Creutzig, Naoki Genra, and Andrew Linshaw, *Category  $\mathcal{O}$  for vertex algebras of  $\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}$* , 3 2022.
- [CGPM14] Francesco Costantino, Nathan Geer, and Bertrand Patureau-Mirand, *Quantum invariants of 3-manifolds via link surgery presentations and non-semi-simple categories*, J. Topol. **7** (2014), no. 4, 1005–1053. MR 3286896

- [CHO19] Clay Córdova, Po-Shen Hsin, and Kantaro Ohmori, *Exceptional Chern-Simons-Matter Dualities*, SciPost Phys. **7** (2019), no. 4, 056.
- [CKM22] Thomas Creutzig, Shashank Kanade, and Robert McRae, *Gluing vertex algebras*, Adv. Math. **396** (2022), 108174.
- [CKM24] ———, *Tensor Categories for Vertex Operator Superalgebra Extensions*, Mem. Am. Math. Soc. **295** (2024), no. 1472.
- [CKW17] Cyril Closset, Heeyeon Kim, and Brian Willett, *Supersymmetric partition functions and the three-dimensional A-twist*, JHEP **03** (2017), 074.
- [CL15] Thomas Creutzig and Andrew R. Linshaw, *The super  $W_{1+\infty}$  algebra with integral central charge*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **367** (2015), no. 8, 5521–5551.
- [CL19] ———, *Cosets of affine vertex algebras inside larger structures*, J. Algebra **517** (2019), 396–438.
- [CL22] ———, *Triality of orthosymplectic W-algebras*, Adv. Math. **409** (2022), 108678.
- [CLR23] Thomas Creutzig, Simon Lentner, and Matthew Rupert, *An algebraic theory for logarithmic Kazhdan-Lusztig correspondences*, 6 2023.
- [CLS22] Thomas Creutzig, Andrew R. Linshaw, and Bailin Song, *Classical freeness of orthosymplectic affine vertex superalgebras*, to appear in Proc. Am. Math. Soc. (2022).
- [CN24] Thomas Creutzig and Wenjun Niu, *Kazhdan-Lusztig Correspondence for Vertex Operator Superalgebras from Abelian Gauge Theories*, 3 2024.
- [CSYZ23] Ioana Coman, Myungbo Shim, Masahito Yamazaki, and Yehao Zhou, *Affine W-algebras and Miura maps from 3d  $N = 4$  non-Abelian quiver gauge theories*, 12 2023.
- [dBHO97] Jan de Boer, Kentaro Hori, Hirosi Ooguri, and Yaron Oz, *Mirror symmetry in three-dimensional gauge theories, quivers and D-branes*, Nucl. Phys. B **493** (1997), 101–147.
- [Ded23] Mykola Dedushenko, *On the 4d/3d/2d view of the SCFT/VOA correspondence*, 12 2023.
- [DGN<sup>+</sup>20] Mykola Dedushenko, Sergei Gukov, Hiraku Nakajima, Du Pei, and Ke Ye, *3d TQFTs from Argyres-Douglas theories*, J. Phys. A **53** (2020), no. 43, 43LT01.
- [DGP18] Tudor Dimofte, Davide Gaiotto, and Natalie M. Paquette, *Dual boundary conditions in 3d SCFT's*, JHEP **05** (2018), 060.
- [DL22] Mykola Dedushenko and Mikhail Litvinov, *Interval reduction and (super)symmetry*, 12 2022.
- [DN23] Mykola Dedushenko and Nikita Nekrasov, *Interfaces and quantum algebras, I: Stable envelopes*, J. Geom. Phys. **194** (2023), 104991.
- [EMSS89] Shmuel Elitzur, Gregory W. Moore, Adam Schwimmer, and Nathan Seiberg, *Remarks on the Canonical Quantization of the Chern-Simons-Witten Theory*, Nucl. Phys. B **326** (1989), 108–134.
- [EY18] Chris Elliott and Philsang Yoo, *Geometric Langlands twists of  $N = 4$  gauge theory from derived algebraic geometry*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **22** (2018), 615–708.
- [FGK23] Andrea E. V. Ferrari, Niklas Garner, and Heeyeon Kim, *Boundary vertex algebras for 3d  $N = 4$  rank-0 SCFTs*, 11 2023.
- [FGST06a] Boris L. Feigin, Azat M. Gainutdinov, Alexei M. Semikhatov, and Ilya Yu. Tipunin, *Kazhdan-Lusztig correspondence for the representation category of the triplet W-algebra in logarithmic CFT*, Theor. Math. Phys. **148** (2006), 1210–1235.
- [FGST06b] ———, *Logarithmic extensions of minimal models: Characters and modular transformations*, Nucl. Phys. B **757** (2006), 303–343.
- [FL22] Boris L. Feigin and Simon D. Lentner, *Vertex algebras with big center and a Kazhdan-Lusztig Correspondence*, 10 2022.
- [FNO92] Boris L. Feigin, Tomoki Nakanishi, and Hirosi Ooguri, *The annihilating ideals of minimal models*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **7S1A** (1992), 217–238.
- [FS94] Boris L. Feigin and Alexander V. Stoyanovsky, *Quasi-particles models for the representation of lie algebras and geometry of flag manifold*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **28** (1994), 68–90.
- [Gai19] Davide Gaiotto, *Twisted compactifications of 3d  $N = 4$  theories and conformal blocks*, JHEP **02** (2019), 061.
- [Gar23] Niklas Garner, *Vertex operator algebras and topologically twisted Chern-Simons-matter theories*, JHEP **08** (2023), 025.
- [GGP16] Abhijit Gadde, Sergei Gukov, and Pavel Putrov, *Fivebranes and 4-manifolds*, Prog. Math. **319** (2016), 155–245.

- [GK23] Dongmin Gang and Dongyeob Kim, *Generalized non-unitary Haagerup-Izumi modular data from 3D S-fold SCFTs*, JHEP **03** (2023), 185.
- [GKK24] Dongmin Gang, Heesu Kang, and Seongmin Kim, *Non-hyperbolic 3-manifolds and 3D field theories for 2D Virasoro minimal models*, 5 2024.
- [GKL<sup>+</sup>21] Dongmin Gang, Sungjoon Kim, Kimyeong Lee, Myungbo Shim, and Masahito Yamazaki, *Non-unitary TQFTs from 3D  $N = 4$  rank 0 SCFTs*, JHEP **08** (2021), 158.
- [GKL23] Dongmin Gang, Dongyeob Kim, and Sungjay Lee, *A non-unitary bulk-boundary correspondence: Non-unitary Haagerup RCFTs from S-fold SCFTs*, 10 2023.
- [GKS23] Dongmin Gang, Heeyeon Kim, and Spencer Stubbs, *Three-Dimensional Topological Field Theories and Non-Unitary Minimal Models*, 10 2023.
- [GN23] Niklas Garner and Wenjun Niu, *Line Operators in  $U(1|1)$  Chern-Simons Theory*, 4 2023.
- [GR19] Davide Gaiotto and Miroslav Rapčák, *Vertex Algebras at the Corner*, JHEP **01** (2019), 160.
- [GRW23] Niklas Garner, Surya Raghavendran, and Brian R. Williams, *Enhanced symmetries in minimally-twisted three-dimensional supersymmetric theories*, 10 2023.
- [GT95] Ferdinando Gliozzi and Roberto Tateo, *ADE functional dilogarithm identities and integrable models*, Phys. Lett. B **348** (1995), 84–88.
- [GW10] Davide Gaiotto and Edward Witten, *Janus Configurations, Chern-Simons Couplings, And The theta-Angle in  $N=4$  Super Yang-Mills Theory*, JHEP **06** (2010), 097.
- [GY18] Dongmin Gang and Masahito Yamazaki, *Three-dimensional gauge theories with supersymmetry enhancement*, Phys. Rev. D **98** (2018), no. 12, 121701.
- [HKLR87] Nigel J. Hitchin, Anders Karlhede, Ulf Lindström, and Martin Roček, *Hyperkahler Metrics and Supersymmetry*, Commun. Math. Phys. **108** (1987), 535.
- [HS16] Po-Shen Hsin and Nathan Seiberg, *Level/rank Duality and Chern-Simons-Matter Theories*, JHEP **09** (2016), 095.
- [IS96] Kenneth A. Intriligator and N. Seiberg, *Mirror symmetry in three-dimensional gauge theories*, Phys. Lett. B **387** (1996), 513–519.
- [Jaf12] Daniel L. Jafferis, *The Exact Superconformal R-Symmetry Extremizes Z*, JHEP **05** (2012), 159.
- [KKMM93] Rinat Kedem, Timothy R. Klassen, Barry M. McCoy, and Ezer Melzer, *Fermionic sum representations for conformal field theory characters*, Phys. Lett. B **307** (1993), 68–76.
- [KL93] David Kazhdan and George Lusztig, *Tensor structures arising from affine Lie algebras. I, II*, J. Am. Math. Soc. **6** (1993), no. 4, 905–947, 949–1011. MR 1186962
- [KMM93] Rinat Kedem, Barry M. McCoy, and Ezer Melzer, *The Sums of Rogers, Schur and Ramanujan and the Bose-Fermi correspondence in (1+1)-dimensional quantum field theory*, 4 1993.
- [KRW03] Victor Kac, Shi-Shyr Roan, and Minoru Wakimoto, *Quantum reduction for affine superalgebras*, Commun. Math. Phys. **241** (2003), no. 2, 307–342.
- [Li05] Haisheng Li, *Abelianizing vertex algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys. **259** (2005), no. 2, 391–411.
- [Li21] Hao Li, *Some remarks on associated varieties of vertex operator superalgebras*, European Journal of Mathematics **7** (2021), no. 4, 1689–1728.
- [LS24] Andrew R. Linshaw and Bailin Song, *Cosets of Free Field Algebras via Arc Spaces*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2024), no. 1, 47–114. MR 4686646
- [McR23] Robert McRae, *A general mirror equivalence theorem for coset vertex operator algebras*, 2023.
- [Nah94] Werner Nahm, *Conformal field theory, dilogarithms, and three-dimensional manifolds*, Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras **4** (1994), no. S1, 179–191.
- [Nah07] ———, *Conformal field theory and torsion elements of the Bloch group*, Les Houches School of Physics: Frontiers in Number Theory, Physics and Geometry, 2007, pp. 67–132.
- [Nak16] Hiraku Nakajima, *Towards a mathematical definition of Coulomb branches of 3-dimensional  $N = 4$  gauge theories, I*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **20** (2016), 595–669.
- [NRT93] Werner Nahm, Andreas Recknagel, and Michael Terhoeven, *Dilogarithm identities in conformal field theory*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **8** (1993), 1835–1848.
- [NS90a] Stephen G. Naculich and Howard J. Schnitzer, *Duality Between  $SU(N)_k$  and  $SU(k)_N$  WZW Models*, Nucl. Phys. B **347** (1990), 687–742.
- [NS90b] ———, *Duality Relations Between  $SU(N)_k$  and  $SU(k)_N$  WZW Models and Their Braid Matrices*, Phys. Lett. B **244** (1990), 235–240.
- [NS09a] Nikita A. Nekrasov and Samson L. Shatashvili, *Quantum integrability and supersymmetric vacua*, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **177** (2009), 105–119.

- [NS09b] ———, *Supersymmetric vacua and Bethe ansatz*, Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl. **192-193** (2009), 91–112.
- [NS15] ———, *Bethe/Gauge correspondence on curved spaces*, JHEP **01** (2015), 100.
- [NT92] Tomoki Nakanishi and Akihiro Tsuchiya, *Level rank duality of WZW models in conformal field theory*, Commun. Math. Phys. **144** (1992), 351–372.
- [OS14] Victor Ostrik and Michael Sun, *Level-rank duality via tensor categories*, Commun. Math. Phys. **326** (2014), no. 1, 49–61. MR 3162483
- [RS90] Nicolai Reshetikhin and Fedor A. Smirnov, *Hidden Quantum Group Symmetry and Integrable Perturbations of Conformal Field Theories*, Commun. Math. Phys. **131** (1990), 157–178.
- [RT91] Nicolai Reshetikhin and Vladimir G. Turaev, *Invariants of three manifolds via link polynomials and quantum groups*, Invent. Math. **103** (1991), 547–597.
- [RW97] Lev Rozansky and Edward Witten, *HyperKahler geometry and invariants of three manifolds*, Selecta Math. **3** (1997), 401–458.
- [Sei96] Nathan Seiberg, *IR dynamics on branes and space-time geometry*, Phys. Lett. B **384** (1996), 81–85.
- [Sto98] Alexander V. Stoyanovsky, *Lie algebra deformations and character formulas*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **32** (1998), no. 1, 66–68.
- [SW96] Nathan Seiberg and Edward Witten, *Gauge dynamics and compactification to three-dimensions*, Conference on the Mathematical Beauty of Physics (In Memory of C. Itzykson), 6 1996, pp. 333–366.
- [SY20] Katsuyuki Sugiyama and Yutaka Yoshida, *Supersymmetric indices on  $I \times T^2$ , elliptic genera and dualities with boundaries*, Nucl. Phys. B **960** (2020), 115168.
- [vEH21] Jethro van Ekeren and Reimundo Heluani, *Chiral homology of elliptic curves and the Zhu algebra*, Commun. Math. Phys. **386** (2021), no. 1, 495–550. MR 4287193
- [Wit88a] Edward Witten, *Topological Quantum Field Theory*, Commun. Math. Phys. **117** (1988), 353.
- [Wit88b] ———, *Topological Sigma Models*, Commun. Math. Phys. **118** (1988), 411.
- [Wit89] ———, *Quantum Field Theory and the Jones Polynomial*, Commun. Math. Phys. **121** (1989), 351–399.
- [WY23] Ben Webster and Philsang Yoo, *3-dimensional mirror symmetry*, Not. Am. Math. Soc. **70** (2023), 1.
- [WZ12] S. Ole Warnaar and Wadim Zudilin, *Dedekind's  $\eta$ -function and Rogers–Ramanujan identities*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **44** (2012), no. 1, 1–11.
- [Yos23] Yutaka Yoshida, *Fermionic extensions of  $W$ -algebras via 3d  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  gauge theories with a boundary*, 4 2023.
- [YS20] Yutaka Yoshida and Katsuyuki Sugiyama, *Localization of three-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetric theories on  $S^1 \times D^2$* , PTEP **2020** (2020), no. 11, 113B02.
- [Zag07] Don Zagier, *The Dilogarithm Function*, Les Houches School of Physics: Frontiers in Number Theory, Physics and Geometry, 2007, pp. 3–65.
- [Zam89] Alexander B. Zamolodchikov, *Integrable field theory from conformal field theory*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **19** (1989), 641–674.
- [Zam90] Alexei B. Zamolodchikov, *Thermodynamic Bethe Ansatz in Relativistic Models. Scaling Three State Potts and Lee-Yang Models*, Nucl. Phys. B **342** (1990), 695–720.
- [Zen23] Keyou Zeng, *Monopole operators and bulk-boundary relation in holomorphic topological theories*, SciPost Phys. **14** (2023), no. 6, 153.
- [ZZ79] Alexander B. Zamolodchikov and Alexei B. Zamolodchikov, *Factorized S-Matrices in Two-Dimensions as the Exact Solutions of Certain Relativistic Quantum Field Models*, Annals Phys. **120** (1979), 253–291.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA, 632  
CAB, EDMONTON, ALBERTA, CANADA T6G 2G1

*Email address*, T. Creutzig: [creutzig@ualberta.ca](mailto:creutzig@ualberta.ca)

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, SEATTLE, WA 98195-1560, USA

*Email address*, N. Garner: [nkgarner@uw.edu](mailto:nkgarner@uw.edu)

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS, KOREA ADVANCED INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, DAE-  
JEON 34141, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

*Email address*, H. Kim: [heeyeon.kim@kaist.ac.kr](mailto:heeyeon.kim@kaist.ac.kr)