MUTUALLY UNBIASED BASES VIA COMPLEX PROJECTIVE TRIGONOMETRY

MIKHAIL G. KATZ

ABSTRACT. We give a synthetic construction of a complete system of mutually unbiased bases in \mathbb{C}^3 .

1. Synthetic description

In quantum mechanics, the concept of mutually unbiased bases [1] is of fundamental importance. Recall that orthonormal bases (e_i) and (f_j) for \mathbb{C}^n are called *mutually unbiased* if the absolute value of the Hermitian inner product $\langle e_i, f_j \rangle$ for all i, j is independent of i and j (and therefore necessarily equals $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$). No knowledge of quantum theory is required for reading this article.

A complete system of mutually unbiased bases in \mathbb{C}^n is a system of n+1 such bases. It is still unknown whether a complete system of bases exists for \mathbb{C}^6 i.e., in \mathbb{CP}^5 . A complete system of mutually unbiased bases is known to exist when the vector space dimension is a prime power. We propose a new approach to constructing mutually unbiased bases, and implement it in the case of the complex projective plane.

Fix a complex projective line

$$\ell \subseteq \mathbb{CP}^2. \tag{1.1}$$

Recall that ℓ is isometric to a 2-sphere of constant Gaussian curvature. Let

$$A, B, C, D \in \ell$$

be the vertices of a regular inscribed tetrahedron, i.e., an equidistant 4-tuple of points on the 2-sphere. There is a synthetic construction of a complete system of mutually unbiased bases (MUBs), where each basis includes one of the 4 points A, B, C, D. Let

$$m \subseteq \mathbb{CP}^2 \tag{1.2}$$

Date: June 3, 2024.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C35, Secondary 53C20.

Key words and phrases. Complex projective trigonometry; mutually unbiased bases; synthetic constructions.

MIKHAIL KATZ

be the complex projective line consisting of points at maximal distance from $A \in \mathbb{CP}^2$. On the projective line ℓ of (1.1), let

 $E \in \ell$

be the antipodal point of A, so that $E = \ell \cap m$, where m is the complex projective line of (1.2). Then $\{A, E\}$ is a pair of points at maximal geodesic distance in \mathbb{CP}^2 . In homogeneous coordinates, this corresponds to the fact that their representing vectors in \mathbb{C}^3 are orthonormal with respect to the standard Hermitian inner product.

Choose a great circle (i.e., closed geodesic)

 $S^1 \subseteq m$

passing through E. We view S^1 as the equator of m and denote by

$$A', A'' \in m$$

the corresponding north and south poles. Then $S^1 \subseteq m$ is the set of equidistant points from A' and A''. Let

 γ_{ABE}

be the closed geodesic (great circle) passing through the three points $A, B, E \in \ell$. Consider the real projective plane

 $\mathbb{RP}^2_B \subseteq \mathbb{CP}^2$

that includes the great circles γ_{ABE} and S^1 . Consider also the real projective plane $\mathbb{RP}^2_C \subseteq \mathbb{CP}^2$ that includes the great circles γ_{ACE} and S^1 .

Theorem 1.1. A complete system of MUBs is constructed as follows. The first basis is $\{A, A', A''\} \subseteq \mathbb{CP}^2$. On the complex projective line passing through A' and A'', let S^1 be the equidistant circle from A' and A''. Choose an equilateral triangle EE'E'' in S^1 . Let ℓ be the projective line through A and E. We complete A to an equidistant 4-tuple A, B, C, D on ℓ . Next, we complete B to a basis $\{B, B', B''\} \subseteq \mathbb{RP}^2_B \subseteq \mathbb{CP}^2$ by choosing B' appropriately on the great circle $\gamma_{AE'} \subseteq \mathbb{RP}^2_B$, and similarly for $B'' \in \gamma_{AE''}$. Next, we complete Cto a basis $\{C, C', C''\}$ as we did for B; the same for D.

The remainder of the paper presents a proof of Theorem 1.1.

2. Complex projective trigonometry

Consider a pair of unit vectors v, w in \mathbb{C}^n endowed with its Hermitian inner product \langle , \rangle . Associated with the pair v, w, there is a pair of angles:

(1) $\alpha = \arccos \operatorname{Re}\langle v, w \rangle$ is the usual angle between the vectors when \mathbb{C}^n is identified with \mathbb{R}^{2n} , and

 $\mathbf{2}$

(2) $\theta = \arccos |\langle v, w \rangle|$ is the least angle between vectors in the complex lines spanned by v and w.

Letting Pw be the orthogonal projection of w to the complex line spanned by v, we denote by

$$\psi$$
 (2.1)

the angle between v and Pw, so that by the spherical law of cosines (see [2, p. 17]) we have

$$\cos \alpha = \cos \theta \cos \psi. \tag{2.2}$$

Given a pair of geodesics issuing from a point $A \in \mathbb{CP}^n$, the corresponding angle α (resp. θ) is defined similarly using their unit tangent vectors at A. Consider a geodesic triangle in \mathbb{CP}^n with sides of length a, b, c and angles α and θ at the point opposite the side c. We normalize the metric on \mathbb{CP}^n so that the sectional curvature satisfies $\frac{1}{4} \leq K \leq 1$. Then each complex projective line $\mathbb{CP}^1 \subseteq \mathbb{CP}^n$ is isometric to a unit sphere. The following formula, generalizing the law of cosines of spherical trigonometry, goes back to Shirokov [3] and was exploited in [4] in 1984 as well as in 1991 in [5, p. 176]:

$$\cos c = \cos a \cos b + \sin a \sin b \cos \alpha - 2 \sin^2 \frac{a}{2} \sin^2 \frac{b}{2} \sin^2 \theta.$$
 (2.3)

Let $A \in \mathbb{CP}^2$ and let $m \subseteq \mathbb{CP}^2$ be the complex projective line consisting of points at maximal distance π from A (the notation is consistent with that introduced in Section 1).

Let $E, X \in m$ and consider a geodesic triangle AEX contained in a copy of a real projective plane (of curvature $\frac{1}{4}$ according our normalisation). As before, α and θ are the angles between the tangent vectors at A to the chosen geodesics γ_{AE} and γ_{AX} .

Consider the tangent vector of the geodesic γ_{AX} at the point X. If we parallel translate this vector along the geodesic γ_{XE} to a vector uat the point E, then

- (1) the angle at E between u and the tangent vector to the geodesic γ_{AC} is the angle ψ of (2.1) by [5, p. 177];
- (2) the distance d(E, X) between E and X is $d(E, X) = 2\theta$

(the factor of 2 is due to our normalisation of the metric).

We complete A to a basis $\{A, A', A''\}$ by choosing a pair of antipodal points $A', A'' \in m$.

The following identity plays a key role in the construction. Relative to the above normalisation of curvature, a totally geodesic \mathbb{CP}^1 has Gaussian curvature 1 whereas a totally geodesic \mathbb{RP}^2 has Gaussian curvature $\frac{1}{4}$. Let d be the spherical sidelength of a regular inscribed

MIKHAIL KATZ

tetrahedron in \mathbb{CP}^1 . Then geodesic arcs of length d, $\pi - d$, and $\frac{\pi}{2}$ form a right-angle triangle in \mathbb{RP}^2 with hypotenuse d, so that

$$\cos\frac{d}{2} = \cos\left(\frac{\pi - d}{2}\right)\cos\frac{\pi}{4}.\tag{2.4}$$

3. Mutually unbiased bases

By definition, another basis $\{B, B', B''\}$ is unbiased with respect to $\{A, A', A''\}$ if and only if the distance d between A and B is $d = 2 \arccos \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ (again the factor of 2 is due to our normalisation), and similarly for all the other distances between a member of the first basis and a member of the second basis. Note that $\cos d = 2\cos^2 \frac{d}{2} - 1 = \frac{2}{3} - 1 = -\frac{1}{3}$, i.e., $d = \arccos(-\frac{1}{3})$ is the spherical sidelength of a regular inscribed tetrahedron.

Consider the equator $S^1 \subseteq m$ equidistant from A' and A'', and choose an equilateral triangle EE'E'' on S^1 , so that $d(E, E') = \frac{2\pi}{3}$ and similarly for the other two pairs. The complex projective line through Aand E is denoted ℓ as in Section 1.

We choose a geodesic arc $\gamma_{AE} \subseteq \ell \subseteq \mathbb{CP}^2$ and a point $B \in \gamma_{AE}$ so that d(A, B) = d. Next, we consider the complex projective line through A and E'. On this line, we choose a geodesic $\gamma_{AE'} \subseteq \mathbb{CP}^2$ so that the angle ψ between γ_{AE} and $\gamma_{AE'}$ is $\psi = \pi$ (here $\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3}$ and $\theta = \frac{\pi}{3}$). Then the geodesics γ_{AE} and $\gamma_{AE'}$ lie in a common real projective plane \mathbb{RP}^2 which also includes the equator $S^1 \subseteq m$. We choose the point $B' \in \gamma_{AE'}$ so that d(A, B') = d. We choose the point B'' above E'' similarly. Note that the angle ψ between $\gamma_{AE'}$ and $\gamma_{AE''}$ is also π , because parallel transport around S^1 in \mathbb{RP}^2 of a vector u orthogonal to S^1 gives -u.

By construction, d(A, B) = d. Let us calculate d(A', B). Consider the right-angle triangle A'EB in \mathbb{RP}^2 . As $\cos \frac{d}{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ by the unbiased condition, we have $\sin \frac{d}{2} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$. Hence by the spherical theorem of cosines,

$$\cos(\frac{1}{2}d(A',B)) = \cos(\frac{\pi}{4})\cos(\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{d}{2}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sin\frac{d}{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}},$$

as required. The equality AB = A'B is equivalent to (2.4). A similar calculation shows that $\cos d(A'', B) = -\frac{1}{3}$, as well. By symmetry, each of the points B' and B'' is also at geodesic distance d from A, A' and A''. It remains to check that the triple $\{B, B', B''\}$ corresponds to an orthonormal basis. Indeed, $\psi = \pi$ implies $\cos \alpha = \cos \psi \cos \theta =$

 $-\cos\theta$ by (2.2). We apply (2.3) at the point A we obtain

$$\cos d(B, B') = \cos^2 d + \sin^2 d \cos \alpha - 2\sin^4 \frac{d}{2}\sin^2 \theta$$
$$= \frac{1}{9} + \frac{8}{9}(-\frac{1}{2}) - 2 \cdot \frac{4}{9} \cdot \frac{3}{4} = -1$$

and therefore $d(B, B') = \pi$ as required.

4. Completing the MUBs

To specify a third basis, we choose $C \in \ell$ so as to complete A and B to an equilateral triangle ABC with side d (and angle $\frac{2\pi}{3}$). Then the corresponding angle θ vanishes, and hence $\alpha = \psi = \frac{2\pi}{3}$. We complete C to a basis $\{C, C', C''\}$ as in Section 3, using a real projective plane which includes the geodesic γ_{ACE} and the equator $S^1 \subseteq m$ (the same equator as before, equidistant between A' and A''). To check that the C-basis is unbiased relative to the B-basis, note that $\psi(B, B') = \pi$ and $\psi(B, C) = \frac{2\pi}{3}$ hence $\psi(B', C) = \pi - \frac{2\pi}{3} = \frac{\pi}{3}$, while $\theta = \frac{\pi}{3}$ as before. Hence $\cos d(B, C') = \frac{1}{9} + \frac{8}{9} \cos \psi \cos \theta - 2 \cdot \frac{4}{9} \sin^2 \theta = -\frac{1}{3}$, as required. The other pairs are checked similarly.

To specify a fourth basis, we choose $D \in \ell$ to complete the equilateral triangle ABC to a regular inscribed tetrahedron, and proceed as before. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Such a synthetic construction of MUBs involves less redundancy than complex Hadamard matrix presentations, and may therefore be useful in shedding light on MUBs in higher-dimensional complex projective spaces, such as \mathbb{CP}^5 where the maximal number of MUBs is unknown.

5. Funding information

Mikhail Katz was supported by the BSF grant 2020124 and the ISF grant 743/22.

References

- I. Bengtsson and K. Życzkowski, Geometry of quantum states. An introduction to quantum entanglement. Second edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2017.
- [2] M. Bridson and A. Haefliger, *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 319, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [3] P. A. Shirokov, On a certain type of symmetric spaces, Mat. Sb. 41 (1957), 361–372.
- M. Katz, Jung's theorem in complex projective geometry, Quarterly Journal of Mathematics Oxford Ser. (2) 36 (1985), no. 144, 451–466.
- [5] M. Katz, Pyramids in the complex projective plane, Geom. Dedicata 40 (1991), no. 2, 171–190.

5

MIKHAIL KATZ

M. Katz, Department of Mathematics, Bar Ilan University, Ramat Gan 5290002 Israel

Email address: katzmik@math.biu.ac.il