

ON COHOMOLOGICAL INVARIANTS OF COMPLEX AND ALMOST COMPLEX MANIFOLDS

RICCARDO PIOVANI

ABSTRACT. Given a compact complex manifold, we develop Hodge theory for the elliptic complex of differential forms defined by Bigolin in 1969 and recently referred as the Schweitzer complex. We exhibit several L^2 orthogonal decompositions of spaces of forms and prove a Hodge decomposition for harmonic forms on compact Kähler manifolds. Then we compute the cohomology of this complex on the small deformations of the complex structure of the Iwasawa manifold, showing that this cohomology is as powerful as Aeppli and Bott-Chern cohomology, in order to distinguish classes of complex structures. Finally, we partially extend the definition of this complex on almost complex manifolds, providing a new cohomological invariant on 1-forms which is finite dimensional when the manifold is compact.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a complex manifold M , the exterior derivative on (p, q) -forms splits as

$$d = \partial + \bar{\partial} : A^{p,q} \rightarrow A^{p+1,q} \oplus A^{p,q+1},$$

so that $d^2 = 0$ implies the relations

$$\partial^2 = \bar{\partial}^2 = \partial\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}\partial = 0.$$

Therefore, there are natural elliptic complexes of differential forms arising on M . The simplest is the *Dolbeault complex*

$$\dots \longrightarrow A^{p,q-1} \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} A^{p,q} \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} A^{p,q+1} \longrightarrow \dots$$

together with its conjugate

$$\dots \longrightarrow A^{p-1,q} \xrightarrow{\partial} A^{p,q} \xrightarrow{\partial} A^{p+1,q} \longrightarrow \dots$$

The associated cohomology spaces are the *Dolbeault cohomology* and the *∂ -cohomology*

$$H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q} := \frac{\ker \bar{\partial} \cap A^{p,q}}{\bar{\partial} A^{p,q-1}}, \quad H_{\partial}^{p,q} := \frac{\ker \partial \cap A^{p,q}}{\partial A^{p-1,q}}.$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32Q55, 32Q60.

Key words and phrases. Schweitzer complex, Hodge theory, complex manifolds, elliptic operators, cohomology, almost complex manifolds.

The author is partially supported by GNSAGA of INdAM.

There is another natural complex, which will be the main object of this paper

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \dots \\
 \downarrow \partial \oplus d \oplus \bar{\partial} \\
 A^{p-2,q} \oplus A^{p-1,q-1} \oplus A^{p,q-2} \\
 \downarrow \partial \oplus d \oplus \bar{\partial} \\
 A^{p-1,q} \oplus A^{p,q-1} \\
 \downarrow \partial \oplus \bar{\partial} \\
 A^{p,q} \\
 \downarrow \bar{\partial} \\
 A^{p+1,q+1} \\
 \downarrow d \\
 A^{p+1,q+2} \oplus A^{p+2,q+1} \\
 \downarrow d \\
 A^{p+1,q+3} \oplus A^{p+2,q+2} \oplus A^{p+3,q+1} \\
 \downarrow d \\
 \dots
 \end{array}
 \tag{1}$$

and whose complete definition is given in Section 2.

We provide a short historical review of the study of the complex (1). It was first introduced by Bigolin in [Big69, Big70] as a tool to study the Aeppli and Bott-Chern cohomology groups

$$H_A^{p,q} := \frac{\ker \partial \bar{\partial} \cap A^{p,q}}{\partial A^{p-1,q} + \bar{\partial} A^{p,q-1}}, \quad H_{BC}^{p+1,q+1} := \frac{\ker d \cap A^{p+1,q+1}}{\partial \bar{\partial} A^{p,q}},$$

i.e., the cohomology of the central part of the complex (1), namely the shorter complex given by the map $\partial \bar{\partial}$ and the two adjacent ones. Later, the hypercohomology of the complex (1) was studied by Demailly¹ in his book [Dem12, Section 12, Chapter VI] and by Schweitzer in his thesis [Sch07, Section 4].

Recently, the complex (1) has been the central object of the paper [Ste22] by Stelzig, where it is referred as the *Schweitzer complex*. Stelzig shows that the Schweitzer complex is elliptic, proves an index theorem and other fundamental properties like Poincaré duality. In [MS24], a generalised version of Massey products has been defined starting from this Schweitzer complex. The cohomology spaces arising from the Schweitzer complex appear also in [Ste23]. This complex is then considered in [YY23] to study bimeromorphic invariants associated to Bott-Chern hypercohomology. A related L^2 Hilbert complex has been defined in [HP23], where (1) is called *Aeppli-Bott-Chern complex*, since the focus of the paper is the central part of the complex and its L^2 cohomology.

Apart from the above mentioned works, this complex has received very little attention, as noticed also in [Ste22]. The only well studied part of the complex is the central part, related to Aeppli and Bott-Chern cohomology. In view of these considerations, the main purpose of this paper is to settle some of the fundamental Hodge theoretic properties of the extremal parts of the complex (1). Meanwhile, we present several graphic representations of the complex, hoping for an easier understanding of its nature.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, for every choice of a bidegree (p, q) on a complex manifold M , we define the complex (1) and denote it by

¹The bibliography of [Sch07, Section 4] refers to [J.P. Demailly, Analytic Geometry, 1993].

$(\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^\bullet, \delta_{p,q}^\bullet)$. We analyse in detail the shape of the differentials $\delta_{p,q}^\bullet$, and we see how this, in special bidegrees, provides isomorphisms and inclusions between de Rham cohomology H_{dR}^\bullet and the cohomology of the complex

$$H_{p,q}^\bullet := \frac{\ker \delta_{p,q}^\bullet \cap \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^\bullet}{\delta_{p,q}^{\bullet-1} \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{\bullet-1}}.$$

Section 3 is first devoted to the definition of the elliptic operators

$$\square_{p,q}^k : \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^k$$

which are naturally associated to the elliptic complex (cf. [Ste22, Proof of Corollary A.3], [HP23, Section 2], [Var86, Section 1]). Given a Hermitian metric g on M , the operator $\square_{p,q}^k$ is defined via the differential

$$\delta_{p,q}^k : \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{k+1}$$

and its formal adjoint

$$(\delta_{p,q}^k)^* : \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^k.$$

Once the manifold M is compact, then

$$\ker \square_{p,q}^k = \ker \delta_{p,q}^k \cap \ker (\delta_{p,q}^{k-1})^* \simeq H_{p,q}^k$$

and it has finite dimension $h_{p,q}^k$. Furthermore, Hodge theory provides several L^2 orthogonal decompositions of the spaces of forms $\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^k$.

Theorem 1.1 (see Remark 3.4). *Given a compact Hermitian manifold (M, g) , there are L^2 orthogonal decompositions*

$$\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^k = \ker \square_{p,q}^k \oplus \delta_{p,q}^{k-1} \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{k-1} \oplus (\delta_{p,q}^k)^* \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{k+1}.$$

We also show that the Hodge $*$ operator (and conjugation) induces a symmetry for the invariants $h_{p,q}^k$ and consequently for the Euler characteristic $\chi_{p,q}$ of the complex, namely

$$h_{p,q}^k = h_{n-q-1, n-p-1}^{2n-k-1} = h_{n-p-1, n-q-1}^{2n-k-1} = h_{q,p}^k,$$

$$\chi_{p,q} = -\chi_{n-q-1, n-p-1} = -\chi_{n-p-1, n-q-1} = \chi_{q,p}.$$

This symmetry is also proved in [Ste22, Corollary A.2] by another method².

Moreover, by applying Kähler identities, we are able to prove the following Hodge decomposition for harmonic forms.

Theorem 1.2 (see Theorem 3.10). *Given a compact Kähler manifold (M, g) , it holds*

$$\ker \square_{p,q}^k = \ker \Delta_{\bar{\partial}} \cap \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^k.$$

Here $\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} := \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^* + \bar{\partial}^*\bar{\partial}$ is the Dolbeault Laplacian, and $\bar{\partial}^*$ is the formal adjoint of the operator $\bar{\partial}$.

In Section 4 we make use of recent results of [Ste21, Ste22, Ste23] in order to explicitly compute the cohomological invariants $h_{p,q}^k$ of the smooth deformations of the Iwasawa manifold reducing the problem to a linear algebra calculation. The Dolbeault cohomology of these small deformations were computed in [Nak75], while the Aeppli and Bott-Chern cohomology in [Ang13]. It has been proved that in this example Aeppli and Bott-Chern cohomology can distinguish between complex structures more precisely than Dolbeault cohomology, providing finer invariants. Here we show that, in this example, the cohomology $H_{p,q}^k$ is as powerful as Aeppli and Bott-Chern cohomology, in order to distinguish classes of complex structures.

²The difference in the indices is compatible with the different definitions of the complex in the two papers.

Finally, Section 5 is devoted to a partial generalisation of the elliptic complex (1) in the case of almost complex manifolds. We find elliptic complexes

$$\begin{aligned} A^{0,0} &\xrightarrow{d} A^{0,1} \oplus A^{1,0} \xrightarrow{\partial \oplus \bar{\partial}} A^{1,1}, \\ A^{n-1,n-1} &\xrightarrow{d} A^{n-1,n} \oplus A^{n,n-1} \xrightarrow{d} A^{n,n}, \end{aligned}$$

which provide new invariants of almost complex manifolds. The cohomology of these complexes are

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathcal{B}}^1 &:= \frac{\ker \partial \oplus \bar{\partial} \cap A^{0,1} \oplus A^{1,0}}{dA^{0,0}}, \\ H_{\mathcal{B}}^{2n-1} &:= \frac{\ker d \cap A^{n-1,n} \oplus A^{n,n-1}}{dA^{n-1,n-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

and when the manifold is compact they have finite dimension $h_{\mathcal{B}}^1 = h_{\mathcal{B}}^{2n-1}$, since they are isomorphic to the kernels of elliptic operators. To the knowledge of the author, the invariant $h_{\mathcal{B}}^1$ is the only finite invariant of compact almost complex manifolds which is *cohomological* (it is the dimension of the cohomology space of an elliptic differential complex). In Remark 5.8 we discuss about two other invariants defined in [PT22, ST23] on 1-forms which are only trivially cohomological^{3 4}.

This problem of finding finite invariants of compact almost complex manifolds which are cohomological is strictly related to the following problem which attracted many mathematicians in the last few years. Denote by $h_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}$ the dimension of the Dolbeault cohomology $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q} \simeq \ker \Delta_{\bar{\partial}} \cap A^{p,q}$ of a compact complex manifold. Problem 20 by Kodaira and Spencer in [Hir54] basically asks: “given a compact almost complex manifold (M, J) endowed with an almost Hermitian metric g , is the number $h_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q} := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \ker \Delta_{\bar{\partial}} \cap A^{p,q}$ metric independent (as in the case of complex manifolds)? In case it is not independent, give a generalisation of the $h_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}$ of a complex manifold depending only on (M, J) .” The first part of the problem has been solved in [HZ22] and later in [TT23], where it is shown that $h_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}$ depends on the metric. Concerning the second part of the problem, in [CW21] a Dolbeault cohomology for almost complex manifolds has been defined, but in [CPS22] it is shown that it can be infinite dimensional even when M is compact. Different Aeppli and Bott-Chern-like finite dimensional (when M is compact) invariants have been defined as the dimension of the kernel of elliptic operators in [PT22, ST23], but in general they depends on the metric.

Given a compact manifold, Hodge theory provides an isomorphism between the kernel of an elliptic operator, defined via the combination of a metric independent elliptic complex and a metric, and the cohomology of the complex itself. In this way, a metric independent elliptic complex gives rise to metric independent and finite invariants of the compact manifold. However, the problem on almost complex manifolds is that the conditions $\partial^2 \neq 0$, $\bar{\partial}^2 \neq 0$, $\partial\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}\partial \neq 0$ drastically reduce the number of elliptic complexes. For this reason $h_{\mathcal{B}}^1$, being defined via a metric independent elliptic complex, is a notable exception.

2. THE COMPLEX

Definition 2.1 (The complex). Given a complex manifold M of complex dimension n , for each bidegree (p, q) with $-1 \leq p, q \leq n$, we define a complex $(\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{\bullet}, \delta_{p,q}^{\bullet})$ of

³Trivially cohomological here means that one of the two maps of the complex defining the cohomology is zero.

⁴Another classical trivially cohomological invariant is $h_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,0}$, defined in the next paragraph.

differential forms. Denoting by $A^{r,s}$ the space of (r, s) -forms, and by d the exterior derivative, for every $0 \leq k \leq 2n - 1$ we set

$$\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^k := \bigoplus_{\substack{r+s=k \\ 0 \leq r \leq p \\ 0 \leq s \leq q}} A^{r,s} \quad k \leq p+q,$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^k := \bigoplus_{\substack{r+s=k+1 \\ p < r \leq n \\ q < s \leq n}} A^{r,s} \quad k > p+q,$$

with differentials $\delta_{p,q}^k : \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{k+1}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{p,q}^k &= \pi_{\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{k+1}} \circ d & k < p+q, \\ \delta_{p,q}^k &= \partial \bar{\partial} & k = p+q, \\ \delta_{p,q}^k &= d & k > p+q, \end{aligned}$$

where $\pi_{\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^k}$ is the natural projection from $A_{\mathbb{C}}^{\bullet} := A^{\bullet} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ to $\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^k$.

Note that our definition of $(\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{\bullet}, \delta_{p,q}^{\bullet})$ is shifted in the indexes (p, q) with respect to the definitions of [Sch07, Dem12, Ste22]: denoting by $(\mathcal{L}_{p,q}^{\bullet}, d_{p,q}^{\bullet})$ the complex defined in these papers, it holds $(\mathcal{L}_{p+1,q+1}^{\bullet}, d_{p+1,q+1}^{\bullet}) = (\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{\bullet}, \delta_{p,q}^{\bullet})$. This shift in the index will be motivated by the fact that, thanks to duality, we will be interested in studying only the first part of the complex $(\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{\bullet}, \delta_{p,q}^{\bullet})$, for $0 \leq k \leq p+q$.

Remark 2.2 (Extremal cases). The previous definition is not completely precise. In fact, the integer k varies from 0 to $2n - 1$ only for $p+q \neq -2, 2n$, while if $p = q = -1$ then k actually varies from -1 to $2n - 1$, and if $p = q = n$ then k actually varies from 0 to $2n$. In these extremal cases the complex $(\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{\bullet}, \delta_{p,q}^{\bullet})$ just defined actually coincides with the de Rham complex (A^{\bullet}, d) . For this reason, in the rest of the paper we will focus on the case $0 \leq k \leq 2n - 1$ and $p+q \neq -2, 2n$.

Remark 2.3 (Graphic representation of the complex). We provide some graphic explanation of how this complex is defined. If $n = 10$, $p = 4$ and $q = 7$, we visualise the complex as follows:




Figure 1: the complex $(\mathcal{B}_{4,7}^{\bullet}, \delta_{4,7}^{\bullet})$ for $n = 10$.

Each dot in the diagram corresponds to a space $A^{r,s}$, where r varies in the horizontal axis and s in the vertical axis. The operators ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$ map a point with coordinates (r, s) to points with coordinates respectively $(r+1, s)$ and $(r, s+1)$. In the picture we can see two rectangles made of thick points. For $0 \leq k \leq 11$, the space $\mathcal{B}_{4,7}^k$ corresponds to all the thick points (in the bottom-left rectangle) with coordinates (r, s) such that $r+s = k$. On the other hand, for $12 \leq k \leq 19$, the space $\mathcal{B}_{7,4}^k$

corresponds to all the thick points (in the top-right rectangle) with coordinates (r, s) such that $r + s = k + 1$. For instance, we see that $\mathcal{B}_{4,7}^9 = A^{2,7} \oplus A^{3,6} \oplus A^{4,5}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{7,4}^{13} = A^{5,9} \oplus A^{6,8}$.

Remark 2.4 (Explicit formulation of $\delta_{p,q}^k$ for $k < p + q$). It is immediate from the graphic description that for $0 \leq k < \min(p, q)$ the differential $\delta_{p,q}^k$ equals the exterior derivative d . If $\min(p, q) = p \leq k < q = \max(p, q)$, then the differential $\delta_{p,q}^k$ acts on

$$\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^k = A^{0,k} \oplus \dots \oplus A^{k-p-1,p+1} \oplus A^{k-p,p}$$

component by component as

$$\delta_{p,q}^k = d \oplus \dots \oplus d \oplus \bar{\partial}.$$

On the other hand, if $\min(p, q) = q \leq k < p = \max(p, q)$, then the differential $\delta_{p,q}^k$ acts on

$$\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^k = A^{k-q,q} \oplus A^{k-q-1,q+1} \oplus \dots \oplus A^{k-p,p}$$

component by component as

$$\delta_{p,q}^k = \partial \oplus d \oplus \dots \oplus d.$$

Finally, if $\max(p, q) \leq k < p + q$, then the differential $\delta_{p,q}^k$ acts on

$$\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^k = A^{k-q,q} \oplus A^{k-q+1,q-1} \oplus \dots \oplus A^{p-1,k-p+1} \oplus A^{p,k-p}$$

component by component as

$$\delta_{p,q}^k = \partial \oplus d \oplus \dots \oplus d \oplus \bar{\partial}.$$

Definition 2.5 (Cohomology). It is easy to check that $(\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^\bullet, \delta_{p,q}^\bullet)$ is actually a complex, that is $\delta_{p,q}^k \circ \delta_{p,q}^{k-1} = 0$. Therefore, we can define the cohomology spaces

$$H_{p,q}^k := \frac{\ker \delta_{p,q}^k}{\text{im } \delta_{p,q}^{k-1}}.$$

Remark 2.6 (Relation with other cohomology spaces). We see that for $k = p + q$ this space coincides with the Aeppli cohomology

$$H_{p,q}^{p+q} = H_A^{p,q} := \frac{\ker \partial \bar{\partial} \cap A^{p,q}}{\partial A^{p-1,q} + \bar{\partial} A^{p,q-1}},$$

while for $k = p + q + 1$ it equals the Bott-Chern cohomology

$$H_{p,q}^{p+q+1} = H_{BC}^{p+1,q+1} := \frac{\ker d \cap A^{p+1,q+1}}{\partial \bar{\partial} A^{p,q}}.$$

Furthermore, since:

- $\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^k = A_{\mathbb{C}}^k$ for $k \leq \min(p, q)$;
- $\delta_{p,q}^k = d|_{A_{\mathbb{C}}^k}$ for $k < \min(p, q)$;
- $\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^k = A_{\mathbb{C}}^{k+1}$ for $k \geq 2n - 1 - \min(n - p - 1, n - q - 1) = n + \max(p, q)$;
- $\delta_{p,q}^k = d|_{A_{\mathbb{C}}^{k+1}}$ for $k \geq n + \max(p, q)$;

then for $k < \min(p, q)$

$$H_{p,q}^k \simeq H_{dR}^k,$$

and similarly for $k > n + \max(p, q)$

$$H_{p,q}^k \simeq H_{dR}^{k+1}.$$

Moreover, for $k = \min(p, q)$

$$H_{p,q}^k \supseteq H_{dR}^k.$$

Remark 2.7 (Conjugation). Observe that conjugation

$$\begin{aligned} A^{r,s} &\rightarrow A^{s,r} \\ \alpha &\mapsto \bar{\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

induces an isomorphism on cohomology, namely for all k

$$H_{p,q}^k \simeq H_{q,p}^k.$$

Remark 2.8 (Map between cohomologies). We recall that there is always a map between Bott-Chern and Aeppli cohomologies induced by the identity

$$H_{BC}^{p,q} \rightarrow H_A^{p,q}.$$

We can generalise this map as follows. If $0 \leq p, q \leq n$, $p + q < k \leq 2n - 2$ and $k - q, k - p \leq n$, then

$$\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^k = \mathcal{B}_{k-q, k-p}^{k+1}.$$

We may see the equality $\mathcal{B}_{1,2}^5 = \mathcal{B}_{3,4}^6 = A^{2,4} \oplus A^{3,3}$ for $n = 5$ as follows.

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} 5 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 4 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 3 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 2 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{cccccc} 5 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 4 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 3 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 2 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \end{array}$$

It is then straightforward to verify that the following map, induced by the identity in cohomology, is well defined

$$H_{p,q}^k \rightarrow H_{k-q, k-p}^{k+1}.$$

Remark 2.9 (Algebra structure). It is well known that the wedge multiplication induces a map

$$H_{BC}^{p,q} \times H_A^{r,s} \rightarrow H_A^{p+r, q+s}.$$

For the cohomology $H_{p,q}^k$, in general the wedge multiplication induces just the following map:

$$H_{p,q}^k \times H_{r,s}^l \rightarrow H_{p+r, q+s}^{k+l+1},$$

for $k > p + q$ and $l > r + s$.


3. HODGE THEORY

One can see that $(\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^\bullet, \delta_{p,q}^\bullet)$ is an elliptic complex, as it is proved in [Ste22] (cf. [Var86]). Therefore, once we endow our complex manifold M with a Hermitian metric g , we can define elliptic operators which are naturally associated to the complex, defined as follows (cf. [Var86], [Ste22], [HP23]).

Remark 3.1 (Hodge * duality). We recall that the Hodge * operator is a \mathbb{C} -linear isomorphism between $A^{r,s}$ and $A^{n-s, n-r}$. Then * induces an isomorphism between the spaces

$$* : \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^k \simeq \mathcal{B}_{n-q-1, n-p-1}^{2n-k-1}.$$

For the values $n = 10$, $p = 4$ and $q = 7$, the following diagram represents the complex $(\mathcal{B}_{n-q-1, n-p-1}^\bullet, \delta_{n-q-1, n-p-1}^\bullet) = (\mathcal{B}_{2,5}^\bullet, \delta_{2,5}^\bullet)$, where the isomorphism becomes evident: it is a reflection of Figure 1 with respect to the diagonal $r + s = n$, where r is the horizontal coordinate and s is the vertical coordinate.

Figure 2: the complex $(\mathcal{B}_{2,5}^{\bullet}, \delta_{2,5}^{\bullet})$ for $n = 10$.

Definition 3.2 (Codifferential). Denote by

$$(\delta_{p,q}^k)^* : \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^k$$

the formal adjoint of $\delta_{p,q}^k$, which we may also call codifferential. By applying the usual argument involving the Stokes theorem, it is possible to show

$$(\delta_{p,q}^k)^* = - * \delta_{n-q-1, n-p-1}^{2n-k-1} *.$$

In Remark 2.4 we have a description of $\delta_{p,q}^k$ for $0 \leq k < p+q$. For $p+q < k \leq 2n-1$, a similar description holds for the codifferential $(\delta_{p,q}^k)^*$: in fact

$$(\delta_{p,q}^k)^* = \pi_{\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^k} \circ d^*.$$

On the other hand, the codifferential for $0 \leq k < p+q$ is simpler, since

$$(\delta_{p,q}^k)^* = d^*.$$

Definition 3.3 (Elliptic operators associated to the complex). We now define elliptic and formally self adjoint differential operators

$$\square_{p,q}^k : \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^k.$$

We set, for every integer $0 \leq k \leq 2n-1$ and $k \neq p+q, p+q+1$,

$$\square_{p,q}^k := (\delta_{p,q}^k)^* \delta_{p,q}^k + \delta_{p,q}^{k-1} (\delta_{p,q}^{k-1})^*,$$

which is a differential operator of order 2, while, for $k = p+q$,

$$\square_{p,q}^k := (\delta_{p,q}^k)^* \delta_{p,q}^k + (\delta_{p,q}^{k-1} (\delta_{p,q}^{k-1})^*)^2 =: \square_A,$$

and, for $k = p+q+1$,

$$\square_{p,q}^k := ((\delta_{p,q}^k)^* \delta_{p,q}^k)^2 + \delta_{p,q}^{k-1} (\delta_{p,q}^{k-1})^* =: \square_{BC},$$

which are of order 4. We refer to [HP23] for the terminology \square_A and \square_{BC} , which is related to Aeppli and Bott-Chern cohomology/harmonic forms.

Remark 3.4 (Hodge decomposition). When the Hermitian manifold (M, g) is compact, we can then apply the theory of elliptic operators/complexes (cf. [AB67], [Var86]) to obtain that the spaces of harmonic forms

$$\mathcal{H}_{p,q}^k := \ker \square_{p,q}^k = \ker \delta_{p,q}^k \cap \ker (\delta_{p,q}^{k-1})^*$$

have finite dimension $h_{p,q}^k$ and they provide a L^2 orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^k = \mathcal{H}_{p,q}^k \oplus \delta_{p,q}^{k-1} \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{k-1} \oplus (\delta_{p,q}^k)^* \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{k+1}.$$

An easy corollary is that

$$\ker \delta_{p,q}^k = \mathcal{H}_{p,q}^k \oplus \delta_{p,q}^{k-1} \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{k-1},$$

which implies

$$H_{p,q}^k \cong \mathcal{H}_{p,q}^k.$$

In particular, the numbers $h_{p,q}^k$ do not depend on the choice of the Hermitian metric g which has been chosen to define them.

Proposition 3.5 (Inclusion of harmonic forms). *Given a compact Hermitian manifold (M, g) , for all $0 \leq k \leq 2n - 1$ there is an inclusion*

$$\ker \Delta_d \cap \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^k \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{p,q}^k,$$

which is actually an equality for $k < \min(p, q)$ or $k > n + \max(p, q)$. Here Δ_d denotes the Hodge-de Rham Laplacian $dd^* + d^*d$.

Proof. We know

$$\ker \Delta_d \cap \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^k = \ker d \cap \ker d^* \cap \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^k,$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_{p,q}^k = \ker \delta_{p,q}^k \cap \ker (\delta_{p,q}^{k-1})^*.$$

For $0 \leq k \leq p + q$ it holds $(\delta_{p,q}^{k-1})^* = d^*$ and by definition $\ker d \cap \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^k \subseteq \ker \delta_{p,q}^k$, with equality for $k < \min(p, q)$; while for $k > p + q$ it holds $\delta_{p,q}^k = d$ and $\ker d^* \cap \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^k \subseteq \ker (\delta_{p,q}^{k-1})^*$ with equality for $k > n + \max(p, q)$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.6 (Hodge * duality of harmonic forms). It is well known that the Hodge * operator induces an isomorphism between the spaces of Aeppli and Bott-Chern harmonic forms

$$*: \mathcal{H}_{p,q}^{p+q} = \ker \square_A \cap A^{p,q} \simeq \ker \square_{BC} \cap A^{n-q, n-p} = \mathcal{H}_{n-q-1, n-p-1}^{2n-p-q-1},$$

due to the formula

$$*\square_A = \square_{BC}*, \quad \square_A* = *\square_{BC}.$$

For $k \neq p + q, p + q + 1$, a straightforward computation shows

$$*\square_{p,q}^k = \square_{n-q-1, n-p-1}^{2n-k-1}, \quad \square_{p,q}^k* = *\square_{n-q-1, n-p-1}^{2n-k-1},$$

implying

$$(2) \quad *: \mathcal{H}_{p,q}^k \simeq \mathcal{H}_{n-q-1, n-p-1}^{2n-k-1},$$

which generalises the isomorphism between Aeppli and Bott-Chern harmonic forms. Taking into account also the isomorphism given by the conjugation, we have the following relations for the numbers $h_{p,q}^k$

$$(3) \quad h_{p,q}^k = h_{n-q-1, n-p-1}^{2n-k-1} = h_{n-p-1, n-q-1}^{2n-k-1} = h_{q,p}^k.$$

Remark 3.7 (Euler characteristic). Now denote by $\chi_{p,q}$ the Euler characteristic of the complex $(\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^\bullet, \delta_{p,q}^\bullet)$, as in [Ste22], namely

$$\chi_{p,q} = \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} (-1)^k h_{p,q}^k.$$

From the previous considerations it follows that on a given compact complex manifold of complex dimension n

$$\chi_{p,q} = -\chi_{n-q-1, n-p-1} = -\chi_{n-p-1, n-q-1} = \chi_{q,p}.$$

In fact, using (3) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_{p,q} &= \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} (-1)^k h_{p,q}^k \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} (-1)^k h_{n-q-1, n-p-1}^{2n-k-1} \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{2n-1} (-1)^{l+1} h_{n-q-1, n-p-1}^l \\
&= -\chi_{n-q-1, n-p-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular it follows that $\chi_{p,q} = 0$ for $p+q = n-1$. We provide a graphic explanation of this, when $n = 10$, $p = 3$ and $q = 6$:




Figure 2: the complex $(\mathcal{B}_{3,6}^\bullet, \delta_{3,6}^\bullet)$ for $n = 10$.

Setting $\chi_p := \sum_{q=0}^n (-1)^q h_{\partial}^{p,q}$, in [Ste22] it is proved that, if $p+q \leq n-2$, then

$$\chi_{p,q} = \sum_{k=p+1}^{n-q-1} (-1)^{k+1} \chi_k,$$

while if $p+q = n-1$ then $\chi_{p,q} = 0$. If $p+q \geq n$ then by $\chi_{p,q} = -\chi_{n-q-1, n-p-1}$ there is an analogous relation.

Remark 3.8 (How many invariants?). Thanks to the isomorphism (2), in order to compute the spaces $\mathcal{H}_{p,q}^k$ for all p, q, k , it is sufficient to consider $\mathcal{H}_{p,q}^k$ either for all $0 \leq p, q \leq n$ and $0 \leq k \leq p+q$ or equivalently for all $-1 \leq p, q \leq n-1$ and $p+q < k \leq 2n-1$.

We focus on the case $0 \leq p, q \leq n$ and $0 \leq k \leq p+q$, namely we will focus on the bottom left rectangle in the graphic representation. Taking into account the isomorphism on cohomology given by conjugation, we can also assume $p \leq q$. We are mainly interested in the spaces $H_{p,q}^k$ which provide new cohomological invariants, therefore we can exclude the following cases:

- $k = p+q$, corresponding to Aepli cohomology $H_A^{p,q}$;
- $k < \min(p, q)$, corresponding to de Rham cohomology H_{dR}^k ;
- $p = 0$, corresponding to Dolbeault cohomology $H_{\partial}^{0,k}$;
- $q = 0$, corresponding to ∂ -cohomology $H_{\partial}^{k,0}$.

We are left with $0 < p \leq q \leq n$, $p+q \neq 2n$ and $\min(p, q) = p \leq k < p+q$. Furthermore, note that for $p \leq k \leq q-2$ we have $\mathcal{H}_{p,q}^k = \mathcal{H}_{p,q-1}^k$, thus we can exclude also such

values for k , remaining with:

$$0 < p \leq q \leq n, \quad p + q \neq 2n, \quad \max(p, q - 1) \leq k < p + q.$$

When $0 < p = q < n$ the index k can vary from p to $2p - 1$; for $0 < p = q - 1 \leq n - 1$ then k can vary from p to $2p$; while if $0 < p \leq q - 2 \leq n - 2$ then k can vary from $q - 1$ to $p + q - 1$. Therefore the count reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{1 \leq p \leq n-1} p + \sum_{1 \leq p \leq n-1} (p+1) + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq n-2 \\ p+2 \leq q \leq n}} (p+1) = n-1 + 2 \sum_{1 \leq p \leq n-1} p + \sum_{\substack{2 \leq p \leq n-1 \\ p+1 \leq q \leq n}} p \\ & = n-1 + n(n-1) + \sum_{2 \leq p \leq n-1} p(n-p) \\ & = n-1 + n(n-1) + n \left(\frac{n(n-1)}{2} - 1 \right) - \left(\frac{n(n-1)(2n-1)}{6} - 1 \right) \\ & = n(n-1) \left(\frac{n}{2} - \frac{2n-1}{6} + 1 \right) \\ & = \frac{n(n-1)(n+7)}{6}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.9 (Explicit formulation of the Laplacian). We now want to obtain a more explicit formulation of the elliptic operators $\square_{p,q}^k$. Fix $0 < p, q \leq n$, $p + q \neq 2n$ and $\max(p, q) < k < p + q$. For $l = k, k - 1$ we can write

$$\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^l = A^{l-q,q} \oplus \dots \oplus A^{p,l-p}$$

and

$$\delta_{p,q}^l = \partial \oplus d \oplus \dots \oplus d \oplus \bar{\partial}.$$

Set $\alpha = \alpha^{k-q,q} \oplus \dots \oplus \alpha^{p,k-p} \in \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^k$ and compute

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_{p,q}^k)^* \delta_{p,q}^k \alpha &= d^* \partial \alpha^{k-q,q} + d^* d \alpha^{k-q+1,q-1} + \dots \\ &+ d^* d \alpha^{p-1,k-p+1} + d^* \bar{\partial} \alpha^{p,k-p}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{p,q}^{k-1} (\delta_{p,q}^{k-1})^* \alpha &= \partial \bar{\partial}^* \alpha^{k-q,q} + d \bar{\partial}^* \alpha^{k-q,q} + d d^* \alpha^{k-q+1,q-1} + \dots \\ &+ d d^* \alpha^{p-1,k-p+1} + d \bar{\partial}^* \alpha^{p,k-p} + \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^* \alpha^{p,k-p}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \square_{p,q}^k \alpha &= \Delta_{\partial} \alpha^{k-q,q} + \Delta_d \alpha^{k-q+1,q-1} + \dots + \Delta_d \alpha^{p-1,k-p+1} + \Delta_{\bar{\partial}} \alpha^{p,k-p} \\ &+ \bar{\partial}^* \partial \alpha^{k-q,q} + d \bar{\partial}^* \alpha^{k-q,q} + d \partial^* \alpha^{p,k-p} + \partial^* \bar{\partial} \alpha^{p,k-p}. \end{aligned}$$

If the metric g is Kähler, then $\Delta_d = 2\Delta_{\partial} = 2\Delta_{\bar{\partial}}$ and $\partial \bar{\partial}^* + \bar{\partial}^* \partial = \bar{\partial} \partial^* + \partial^* \bar{\partial} = 0$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} \square_{p,q}^k \alpha &= \Delta_{\bar{\partial}} \alpha^{k-q,q} + 2\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} \alpha^{k-q+1,q-1} + \dots + 2\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} \alpha^{p-1,k-p+1} + \Delta_{\bar{\partial}} \alpha^{p,k-p} \\ &+ \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^* \alpha^{k-q,q} + \partial \partial^* \alpha^{p,k-p}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if the manifold is compact, then

$$\mathcal{H}_{p,q}^k = \mathcal{H}_{\bar{\partial}}^{k-q,q} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,k-p}.$$

If $\min(p, q) \leq k \leq \max(p, q)$, the computations are similar and yield to the same decomposition of $\mathcal{H}_{p,q}^k$. By Hodge * duality and conjugation we recover the remaining cases.

Theorem 3.10 (Hodge decomposition for compact Kähler manifolds). *Given a compact Kähler manifold (M, g) , for $0 \leq k \leq 2n - 1$*

$$\mathcal{H}_{p,q}^k = \ker \Delta_{\bar{\partial}} \cap \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^k.$$

Remark 3.11 (Relation with the $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -Lemma). It is possible to show that if, instead of assuming that the manifold is Kähler, we just assume that the $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -Lemma holds, then a similar decomposition holds at the cohomology level: for $0 \leq k \leq 2n - 1$

$$H_{p,q}^k = H_{\bar{\partial}}^{\bullet,\bullet} \cap \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^k,$$

where the intersection with $\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^k$ means that all the representatives in $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{\bullet,\bullet}$ are contained in $\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^k$. This follows, *e.g.*, from the fact that the $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -Lemma holds if and only if the double complex of forms $(A^{\bullet,\bullet}, \partial, \bar{\partial})$ is a direct sum of dots and squares [DGMS75, Proposition 5.17] and the fact that the cohomology $H_{p,q}^k$ is zero when computed on squares [Ste22, page 3].

4. AN EXPLICIT COMPUTATION:

THE SMALL DEFORMATIONS OF THE IWASAWA MANIFOLD

We denote by $\mathbb{H}(3; \mathbb{C})$ the three dimensional Heisenberg group over \mathbb{C}

$$\mathbb{H}(3; \mathbb{C}) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z^1 & z^3 \\ 0 & 1 & z^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in GL(3, \mathbb{C}) : z^1, z^2, z^3 \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

with the product induced by matrix multiplication, and by $\mathbb{H}(3; \mathbb{Z}[i])$ the subgroup of $\mathbb{H}(3; \mathbb{C})$ where z^1, z^2, z^3 lie in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. One can check that $\mathbb{H}(3; \mathbb{C})$ is a connected simply connected complex nilpotent Lie group, with the complex structure induced by \mathbb{C}^3 . There exists a global frame of left invariant holomorphic $(1,0)$ -forms on $\mathbb{H}(3; \mathbb{C})$ given by

$$\varphi^1 := dz^1, \quad \varphi^2 := dz^2, \quad \varphi^3 := dz^3 - z^1 dz^2,$$

with structure equations

$$d\varphi^1 = 0, \quad d\varphi^2 = 0, \quad d\varphi^3 = -\varphi^{12}.$$

The Iwasawa manifold is defined as the compact manifold obtained by quotienting $\mathbb{H}(3; \mathbb{C})$ by the left action of $\mathbb{H}(3; \mathbb{Z}[i])$

$$\mathbb{I}_3 := \mathbb{H}(3; \mathbb{Z}[i]) \backslash \mathbb{H}(3; \mathbb{C}),$$

with the complex structure induced by $\mathbb{H}(3; \mathbb{C})$. The global frame of left invariant holomorphic $(1,0)$ -forms on $\mathbb{H}(3; \mathbb{C})$ descends on the quotient \mathbb{I}_3 , and will be denoted with the same symbols.

We are interested in computing the cohomological invariants $h_{p,q}^k$ of the small deformations of the Iwasawa manifold. Small deformations and Hodge numbers $h_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}$ were computed in [Nak75], while Aepli and Bott-Chern numbers $h_A^{p,q}$, $h_{BC}^{p,q}$ were computed in [Ang13]. It turns out that for the small deformations of the complex structure of \mathbb{I}_3 , the inclusion

$$\bigwedge^{p,q} \text{Lie}(\mathbb{H}(3; \mathbb{C}))^* \hookrightarrow \bigwedge^{p,q} \mathbb{I}_3$$

induces an isomorphism in Dolbeault, Aepli and Bott-Chern cohomology, *i.e.*, there exist left invariant (forms which are) representatives for every class in these cohomology spaces on \mathbb{I}_3 . By a combination of the results in [Ste22, page 3] and either [Ste21, Proposition 12] or [Ste23, Theorem C], it follows that the same result holds for the cohomology $H_{p,q}^k$, thus reducing the computation of the invariants $h_{p,q}^k$ to a linear algebra problem.

The description of the small deformations of \mathbb{I}_3 will be as brief as possible, since the topic has been already treated meticulously in other papers like [Nak75, AT11, Ang13]. The small deformations are parametrised by a vector of complex numbers

$t = (t_{11}, t_{12}, t_{21}, t_{22}, t_{31}, t_{32}) \in B(0, \epsilon) \subset \mathbb{C}$, obtaining a global frame of $(1, 0)$ forms φ_t^1, φ_t^2 and φ_t^3 with structure equations

$$d\varphi_t^3 = \sigma_{12}\varphi_t^{12} + \sigma_{1\bar{1}}\varphi_t^{1\bar{1}} + \sigma_{1\bar{2}}\varphi_t^{1\bar{2}} + \sigma_{2\bar{1}}\varphi_t^{2\bar{1}} + \sigma_{2\bar{2}}\varphi_t^{2\bar{2}},$$

where each $\sigma_{AB} \in \mathbb{C}$ depends on t and

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_{12} = -1 + o(|t|), \\ \sigma_{1\bar{1}} = t_{21} + o(|t|), \\ \sigma_{1\bar{2}} = t_{22} + o(|t|), \\ \sigma_{2\bar{1}} = -t_{11} + o(|t|), \\ \sigma_{2\bar{2}} = -t_{12} + o(|t|). \end{cases}$$

If we set

$$D(t) := \det \begin{pmatrix} t_{11} & t_{12} \\ t_{21} & t_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad S(t) := \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\sigma_{1\bar{1}}} & \overline{\sigma_{2\bar{2}}} & \overline{\sigma_{1\bar{2}}} & \overline{\sigma_{2\bar{1}}} \\ \sigma_{1\bar{1}} & \sigma_{2\bar{2}} & \sigma_{2\bar{1}} & \sigma_{1\bar{2}} \end{pmatrix},$$

then we can distinguish the following classes of small deformations:

- (i) $t_{11} = t_{12} = t_{21} = t_{22} = 0$;
- (ii) $(t_{11} = t_{12} = t_{21} = t_{22}) \neq (0, 0, 0, 0)$ and $D(t) = 0$;
- (ii.a) $D(t) = 0$ and $\text{rk } S = 1$;
- (ii.b) $D(t) = 0$ and $\text{rk } S = 2$;
- (iii) $D(t) \neq 0$;
- (iii.a) $D(t) \neq 0$ and $\text{rk } S = 1$;
- (iii.b) $D(t) \neq 0$ and $\text{rk } S = 2$.

We will also need to know that for small deformations in class (i)

$$\sigma_{12} = -1, \quad \sigma_{1\bar{1}} = \sigma_{1\bar{2}} = \sigma_{2\bar{1}} = \sigma_{2\bar{2}} = 0,$$

while for small deformations in class (ii)

$$\sigma_{12} \neq 0, \quad (\sigma_{1\bar{1}}, \sigma_{1\bar{2}}, \sigma_{2\bar{1}}, \sigma_{2\bar{2}}) \neq (0, 0, 0, 0),$$

and

$$\tilde{D}(t) := \det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{2\bar{1}} & \sigma_{2\bar{2}} \\ \sigma_{1\bar{1}} & \sigma_{1\bar{2}} \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

By Remark 3.8, up to symmetries there are 10 new invariants of the type $h_{p,q}^k$ to be determined on the small deformations of \mathbb{I}_3 , which we list drawing the pertinent part of the complex $(\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^\bullet, \delta_{p,q}^\bullet)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h_{1,1}^1 & h_{1,2}^1, h_{1,1}^2 & h_{1,3}^2, h_{1,3}^3 \\ \begin{array}{cccc} 3 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 2 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{array} & \begin{array}{cccc} 3 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 2 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{array} & \begin{array}{cccc} 3 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 2 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{array} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h_{2,2}^2, h_{2,2}^3 & h_{2,3}^2, h_{2,3}^3, h_{2,3}^4 \\ \begin{array}{cccc} 3 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 2 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{array} & \begin{array}{cccc} 3 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 2 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{array} \end{array}$$

For the class (i), we can actually compute these invariants just by looking to the double complex of left invariant forms on $\mathbb{H}(3; \mathbb{C})$ of [Ang13, Fig. 1]:

$$\begin{aligned} h_{1,1}^1 &= 6, & h_{1,2}^1 &= 5, & h_{1,2}^2 &= 8, & h_{1,3}^2 &= 8, & h_{1,3}^3 &= 7, \\ h_{2,2}^2 &= 8, & h_{2,2}^3 &= 8, & h_{2,3}^2 &= 8, & h_{2,3}^3 &= 9, & h_{2,3}^4 &= 6. \end{aligned}$$

Since the case $t = 0$ lies in class (i) and the numbers $h_{p,q}^k$ behaves upper semicontinuously [Ste22, Corollary A.3], these values are upper bounds for the invariants $h_{p,q}^k$ of classes (ii) and (iii).

We will compute $h_{p,q}^k$ of classes (ii) and (iii) by computing

$$\delta_{p,q}^k \alpha = 0, \quad \delta_{n-q-1, n-p-1}^{2n-k-1} * \alpha = 0$$

for all left invariant forms $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^k$. We choose the diagonal metric given by the fundamental form

$$\omega = i(\varphi^{1\bar{1}} + \varphi^{2\bar{2}} + \varphi^{3\bar{3}}),$$

whose associated volume form is

$$\frac{\omega^3}{3!} = i\varphi^{123\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}.$$

4.1. $h_{1,1}^1$. Having in mind the picture

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 3 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 2 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{array}$$

we let $\alpha = \alpha_1 \oplus \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^1 = A^{0,1} \oplus A^{1,0}$ and recall that $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_{1,1}^1$ if and only if

$$(4) \quad \partial\alpha_1 + \bar{\partial}\alpha_2 = 0, \quad \bar{\partial}^* \alpha_1 + \partial^* \alpha_2 = 0.$$

We can write

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= A_{\bar{1}}\varphi_t^{\bar{1}} + A_{\bar{2}}\varphi_t^{\bar{2}} + A_{\bar{3}}\varphi_t^{\bar{3}}, \\ \alpha_2 &= A_1\varphi_t^1 + A_2\varphi_t^2 + A_3\varphi_t^3, \\ * \alpha_1 &= iA_{\bar{1}}\varphi_t^{23\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}} - iA_{\bar{2}}\varphi_t^{13\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}} + iA_{\bar{3}}\varphi_t^{12\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}, \\ * \alpha_2 &= -iA_1\varphi_t^{123\bar{2}\bar{3}} + iA_2\varphi_t^{123\bar{1}\bar{3}} - iA_3\varphi_t^{123\bar{1}\bar{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and compute

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\alpha_1 + \bar{\partial}\alpha_2 &= A_{\bar{3}}(-\overline{\sigma_{1\bar{1}}}\varphi^{1\bar{1}} - \overline{\sigma_{2\bar{1}}}\varphi^{1\bar{2}} - \overline{\sigma_{1\bar{2}}}\varphi^{2\bar{1}} - \overline{\sigma_{2\bar{2}}}\varphi^{2\bar{2}}) \\ &\quad + A_3(\sigma_{1\bar{1}}\varphi^{1\bar{1}} + \sigma_{1\bar{2}}\varphi^{1\bar{2}} + \sigma_{2\bar{1}}\varphi^{2\bar{1}} + \sigma_{2\bar{2}}\varphi^{2\bar{2}}), \\ \partial^* \alpha_1 + \bar{\partial}^* \alpha_2 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $h_{1,1}^1 = 6 - \text{rk } S(t)$, *i.e.*, $h_{1,1}^1 = 5$ in classes (ii.a) and (iii.a), while $h_{1,1}^1 = 4$ in classes (ii.b) and (iii.b).

4.2. $h_{1,2}^1$. Having in mind the picture

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 3 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 2 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{array}$$

we let $\alpha = \alpha_1 \oplus \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{B}_{1,2}^1 = A^{0,1} \oplus A^{1,0}$ as in Subsection 4.1. Then $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_{1,2}^1$ if and only if it satisfies (4) plus the extra condition $\bar{\partial}\alpha_1 = 0$. Since

$$\bar{\partial}\alpha_1 = A_3 \overline{\sigma_{12}} \varphi_t^{\bar{1}\bar{2}},$$

it follows $h_{1,2}^1 = 4$ for both classes (ii) and (iii).

4.3. $h_{1,2}^2$. Having in mind the same picture of Subsection 4.2, we let $\alpha = \alpha_1 \oplus \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{B}_{1,2}^2 = A^{0,2} \oplus A^{1,1}$ and recall that $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_{1,2}^2$ if and only if

$$(5) \quad \partial\alpha_1 + \bar{\partial}\alpha_2 = 0, \quad \bar{\partial}^* \alpha_1 + \partial^* \alpha_2 = 0, \quad \bar{\partial}^* \alpha_2 = 0.$$

We can write

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= A_{\bar{1}\bar{2}} \varphi_t^{\bar{1}\bar{2}} + A_{\bar{1}\bar{3}} \varphi_t^{\bar{1}\bar{3}} + A_{\bar{2}\bar{3}} \varphi_t^{\bar{2}\bar{3}}, \\ \alpha_2 &= \sum_{i,k=1}^3 A_{j\bar{k}} \varphi_t^{j\bar{k}}, \\ * \alpha_1 &= i A_{\bar{1}\bar{2}} \varphi_t^{3\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}} - i A_{\bar{1}\bar{3}} \varphi_t^{2\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}} + i A_{\bar{2}\bar{3}} \varphi_t^{1\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}, \\ * \alpha_2 &= -i A_{1\bar{1}} \varphi_t^{2\bar{3}\bar{2}\bar{3}} - i A_{2\bar{2}} \varphi_t^{1\bar{3}\bar{1}\bar{3}} - i A_{3\bar{3}} \varphi_t^{1\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}} \\ &\quad + i A_{1\bar{2}} \varphi_t^{1\bar{3}\bar{2}\bar{3}} - i A_{1\bar{3}} \varphi_t^{1\bar{2}\bar{2}\bar{3}} + i A_{2\bar{3}} \varphi_t^{1\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{3}} \\ &\quad + i A_{2\bar{1}} \varphi_t^{2\bar{3}\bar{1}\bar{3}} - i A_{3\bar{1}} \varphi_t^{2\bar{3}\bar{1}\bar{2}} + i A_{3\bar{2}} \varphi_t^{1\bar{3}\bar{1}\bar{2}} \end{aligned}$$

and compute

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\alpha_1 + \bar{\partial}\alpha_2 &= A_{\bar{1}\bar{3}} (-\overline{\sigma_{2\bar{1}}} \varphi_t^{1\bar{1}\bar{2}} - \overline{\sigma_{2\bar{2}}} \varphi_t^{2\bar{1}\bar{2}}) + A_{\bar{2}\bar{3}} (\overline{\sigma_{1\bar{1}}} \varphi_t^{1\bar{1}\bar{2}} + \overline{\sigma_{1\bar{2}}} \varphi_t^{2\bar{1}\bar{2}}) \\ &\quad + A_{3\bar{1}} (-\overline{\sigma_{1\bar{2}}} \varphi_t^{1\bar{1}\bar{2}} - \overline{\sigma_{2\bar{2}}} \varphi_t^{2\bar{1}\bar{2}}) + A_{3\bar{2}} (\overline{\sigma_{1\bar{1}}} \varphi_t^{1\bar{1}\bar{2}} + \overline{\sigma_{2\bar{1}}} \varphi_t^{2\bar{1}\bar{2}}) \\ &\quad + A_{1\bar{3}} (-\overline{\sigma_{12}} \varphi_t^{1\bar{1}\bar{2}}) + A_{2\bar{3}} (-\overline{\sigma_{12}} \varphi_t^{2\bar{1}\bar{2}}) + A_{3\bar{3}} (\bar{\partial}\varphi_t^3 \wedge \varphi_t^3 - \varphi_t^3 \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi_t^3), \end{aligned}$$

$$\partial * \alpha_1 + \bar{\partial} * \alpha_2 =$$

$$= (i A_{\bar{1}\bar{2}} (\sigma_{12}) + i A_{1\bar{1}} (-\sigma_{1\bar{1}}) + i A_{2\bar{2}} (-\sigma_{2\bar{2}}) + i A_{1\bar{2}} (-\sigma_{2\bar{1}}) + i A_{2\bar{1}} (-\sigma_{1\bar{2}})) \varphi_t^{1\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}},$$

$$\bar{\partial} * \alpha_2 = (i A_{1\bar{1}} (-\overline{\sigma_{1\bar{1}}}) + i A_{2\bar{2}} (-\overline{\sigma_{2\bar{2}}}) + i A_{1\bar{2}} (-\overline{\sigma_{1\bar{2}}}) + i A_{2\bar{1}} (-\overline{\sigma_{2\bar{1}}})) \varphi_t^{1\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{1}\bar{2}}.$$

Therefore $h_{1,2}^2 = 12 - 5 = 7$ for both classes (ii) and (iii).

4.4. $h_{1,3}^2$. Having in mind the picture

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 3 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 2 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{array}$$

we let $\alpha = \alpha_1 \oplus \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{B}_{1,3}^2 = A^{0,2} \oplus A^{1,1}$ as in Subsection 4.3. Then $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_{1,3}^2$ if and only if it satisfies (5) plus the extra condition $\bar{\partial}\alpha_1 = 0$, which is always satisfied. Therefore $h_{1,3}^2 = 7$ for both classes (ii) and (iii).

4.5. $h_{1,3}^3$. Having in mind the same picture of Subsection 4.4, we let $\alpha = \alpha_1 \oplus \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{B}_{1,3}^3 = A^{0,3} \oplus A^{1,2}$. Then $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_{1,3}^3$ if and only if

$$\partial\alpha_1 + \bar{\partial}\alpha_2 = 0, \quad \bar{\partial}^* \alpha_1 + \partial^* \alpha_2 = 0, \quad \bar{\partial}^* \alpha_2 = 0.$$

We can write

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= A_{\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}} \varphi_t^{\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}, \\ \alpha_2 &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j,k,l \leq 3 \\ k < l}} A_{j\bar{k}\bar{l}} \varphi_t^{j\bar{k}\bar{l}}, \\ * \alpha_1 &= i A_{\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}} \varphi_t^{\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}} \\ * \alpha_2 &= -i A_{1\bar{1}\bar{2}} \varphi_t^{3\bar{2}\bar{3}} + i A_{1\bar{1}\bar{3}} \varphi_t^{2\bar{2}\bar{3}} - i A_{1\bar{2}\bar{3}} \varphi_t^{1\bar{2}\bar{3}} \\ &\quad + i A_{2\bar{1}\bar{2}} \varphi_t^{3\bar{1}\bar{3}} - i A_{2\bar{1}\bar{3}} \varphi_t^{2\bar{1}\bar{3}} + i A_{2\bar{2}\bar{3}} \varphi_t^{1\bar{1}\bar{3}} \\ &\quad - i A_{3\bar{1}\bar{2}} \varphi_t^{3\bar{1}\bar{2}} + i A_{3\bar{1}\bar{3}} \varphi_t^{3\bar{1}\bar{2}} - i A_{3\bar{2}\bar{3}} \varphi_t^{1\bar{1}\bar{2}} \end{aligned}$$

and compute

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\alpha_1 + \bar{\partial}\alpha_2 &= A_{3\bar{1}\bar{3}} (-\sigma_{1\bar{2}} \varphi_t^{1\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}} - \sigma_{2\bar{2}} \varphi_t^{2\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}) + A_{3\bar{2}\bar{3}} (\sigma_{1\bar{1}} \varphi_t^{1\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}} + \sigma_{2\bar{1}} \varphi_t^{2\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}) \\ \partial * \alpha_1 + \bar{\partial} * \alpha_2 &= i A_{1\bar{1}\bar{2}} (-\sigma_{1\bar{1}} \varphi_t^{1\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}} - \sigma_{2\bar{1}} \varphi_t^{2\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}) + i A_{2\bar{1}\bar{2}} (-\sigma_{1\bar{2}} \varphi_t^{1\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}} - \sigma_{2\bar{2}} \varphi_t^{2\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}), \\ \partial * \alpha_2 &= (i A_{3\bar{1}\bar{2}} (-\sigma_{1\bar{2}}) + i A_{1\bar{1}\bar{3}} (\overline{\sigma_{1\bar{1}}}) + i A_{1\bar{2}\bar{3}} (\overline{\sigma_{1\bar{2}}}) + i A_{2\bar{1}\bar{3}} (\overline{\sigma_{2\bar{1}}}) + i A_{2\bar{2}\bar{3}} (\overline{\sigma_{2\bar{2}}})) \varphi_t^{1\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}} \\ &\quad + A_{1\bar{1}\bar{2}} (-\sigma_{1\bar{2}} \varphi_t^{1\bar{2}\bar{2}\bar{3}} - \varphi_t^{3\bar{2}\bar{2}} \wedge \partial \varphi_t^{\bar{3}}) + A_{2\bar{1}\bar{2}} (\sigma_{1\bar{2}} \varphi_t^{1\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{3}} + \varphi_t^{3\bar{1}\bar{1}} \wedge \partial \varphi_t^{\bar{3}}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $h_{1,3}^3 = 10 - 3 - \text{rk} D(t)$, i.e., $h_{1,3}^3 = 6$ in class (ii), while $h_{1,3}^3 = 5$ in class (iii).

4.6. **Remaining cases.** With similar but heavier computations it is possible to show

$$h_{2,2}^2 = 8, \quad h_{2,2}^3 = 8, \quad h_{2,3}^2 = 8, \quad h_{2,3}^3 = 9, \quad h_{2,3}^4 = 6$$

for both classes (ii) and (iii).

4.7. **Conclusions.** We report a table of the invariants that we have just computed for the small deformations of \mathbb{I}_3 . We invite the reader to compare it with the table in the appendix of [Ang13].

$H_{p,q}^k$	$h_{1,1}^1$	$h_{1,2}^1$	$h_{1,2}^2$	$h_{1,3}^2$	$h_{1,3}^3$	$h_{2,2}^2$	$h_{2,2}^3$	$h_{2,3}^2$	$h_{2,3}^3$	$h_{1,1}^4$
(i)	6	5	8	8	7	8	8	8	9	6
(ii.a)	5	4	7	7	6	8	8	8	9	6
(ii.b)	4	4	7	7	6	8	8	8	9	6
(iii.a)	5	4	7	7	5	8	8	8	9	6
(iii.b)	4	4	7	7	5	8	8	8	9	6

Summing up, we have shown that the invariants $h_{p,q}^k$ can distinguish from different classes of the small deformations of the Iwasawa manifold \mathbb{I}_3 . In particular, they can distinguish between all classes (i), (ii.a), (ii.b), (iii.a) and (iii.b).

This should be compared with the results in [Nak75], where it is shown that the invariants $h_{\bar{\sigma}}^{p,q}$ can distinguish between classes (i), (ii) and (iii), and with the results in [Ang13], where it is proved that the invariants $h_A^{p,q}$ and $h_{BC}^{p,q}$ can distinguish between all classes (i), (ii.a), (ii.b), (iii.a) and (iii.b). In this sense, in this example, we can say that the invariants $h_{p,q}^k$ are as powerful as $h_A^{p,q}$ and $h_{BC}^{p,q}$, in order to distinguish classes of complex structures.

As a final remark, we would like to point out that in [Ang13], the (only) invariant providing the distinction between subclasses (a) and (b) is $h_A^{1,1} (= h_{1,1}^2)$ (and dually

$h_{BC}^{2,2}$). Here, we are able to distinguish between subclasses (a) and (b) via the invariant $h_{1,1}^1$. Thus, the complex providing the whole distinction between subclasses (a) and (b) is $(\mathcal{B}_{1,1}^\bullet, \delta_{1,1}^\bullet)$.

5. ALMOST COMPLEX MANIFOLDS

In this section we will consider an almost complex manifold (M, J) of real dimension $2n$. In general the exterior derivative on (p, q) -forms acts as

$$d: A^{p,q} \rightarrow A^{p+2,q-1} \oplus A^{p+1,q} \oplus A^{p,q+1} \oplus A^{p-1,q+2},$$

where we denote the four components of d by

$$d = \mu + \partial + \bar{\partial} + \bar{\mu}.$$

From the relation $d^2 = 0$, we derive

$$\begin{cases} \mu^2 = 0, \\ \mu\partial + \partial\mu = 0, \\ \partial^2 + \mu\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}\mu = 0, \\ \partial\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}\partial + \mu\bar{\mu} + \bar{\mu}\mu = 0, \\ \bar{\partial}^2 + \bar{\mu}\partial + \partial\bar{\mu} = 0, \\ \bar{\mu}\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}\bar{\mu} = 0, \\ \bar{\mu}^2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Remark 5.1 (Lack of a complete generalisation). If the almost complex structure J is not integrable, there are at least two problems which seem insurmountable in order to define a complete generalisation of the elliptic complex $(\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^\bullet, \delta_{p,q}^\bullet)$ of section 2:

- (1) as in the integrable case, we could define the second half of the complex by applying repeatedly the exterior derivative d starting from $A^{p+1,q+1}$: in general this complex is not elliptic if J is not integrable (one can verify that also the first half of the complex, defined by duality, is not elliptic);
- (2) there is no valid replacement for the operator $\partial\bar{\partial}$ for the middle differential of the complex.

Definition 5.2 (A partial generalisation). Since $\partial\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}\partial = 0$ when applied to $A^{0,0}$ or to $A^{n-1,n-1}$, the following sequences of maps are complexes

$$\begin{aligned} A^{0,0} &\xrightarrow{d} A^{0,1} \oplus A^{1,0} \xrightarrow{\partial\oplus\bar{\partial}} A^{1,1}, \\ A^{n-1,n-1} &\xrightarrow{d} A^{n-1,n} \oplus A^{n,n-1} \xrightarrow{d} A^{n,n}, \end{aligned}$$

which can be verified to be elliptic. In fact, they coincide respectively with the first two maps of the complex $(\mathcal{B}_{1,1}^\bullet, \delta_{1,1}^\bullet)$ and with the last two maps of the complex $(\mathcal{B}_{n-2,n-2}^\bullet, \delta_{n-2,n-2}^\bullet)$.

Definition 5.3 (Cohomology). We can define the following two spaces

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathcal{B}}^1 &:= \frac{\ker \partial \oplus \bar{\partial} \cap A^{0,1} \oplus A^{1,0}}{dA^{0,0}}, \\ H_{\mathcal{B}}^{2n-1} &:= \frac{\ker d \cap A_{\mathbb{C}}^{2n-1}}{dA^{n-1,n-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

which are the cohomology spaces of the two complexes just defined. Note that by definition we have the inclusion

$$H_{dR}^1 \subseteq H_{\mathcal{B}}^1.$$

Definition 5.4 (Elliptic operators). We endow our almost complex manifold (M, J) with an almost Hermitian metric g . We can write the formal adjoints of the operators in the complexes in Definition 5.2 as

$$\begin{aligned} A^{0,0} &\xleftarrow{d^*} A^{0,1} \oplus A^{1,0} \xleftarrow{d^*} A^{1,1}, \\ A^{n-1,n-1} &\xleftarrow{\bar{\partial}^* \oplus \partial^*} A^{n-1,n} \oplus A^{n,n-1} \xleftarrow{d^*} A^{n,n}. \end{aligned}$$

The elliptic and formally self adjoint operators

$$\begin{aligned} \square_{\mathcal{B}}^1 &: A^{0,1} \oplus A^{1,0} \rightarrow A^{0,1} \oplus A^{1,0}, \\ \square_{\mathcal{B}}^{2n-1} &: A^{n-1,n} \oplus A^{n,n-1} \rightarrow A^{n-1,n} \oplus A^{n,n-1} \end{aligned}$$

associated to the complexes are then defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \square_{\mathcal{B}}^1 &:= dd^* + d^*(\partial \oplus \bar{\partial}), \\ \square_{\mathcal{B}}^{2n-1} &:= d(\bar{\partial}^* \oplus \partial^*) + d^*d. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 5.5 (Hodge theory). Assume that the almost Hermitian manifold (M, J, g) is compact. It follows that the spaces of harmonic forms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}^1 &:= \ker \square_{\mathcal{B}}^1 = \ker d^* \cap \ker \partial \oplus \bar{\partial}, \\ \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}^{2n-1} &:= \ker \square_{\mathcal{B}}^{2n-1} = \ker d \cap \ker \bar{\partial}^* \oplus \partial^* \end{aligned}$$

have finite dimension $h_{\mathcal{B}}^1$ and $h_{\mathcal{B}}^{2n-1}$. Note that since

$$\ker \Delta_d = \ker d \cap \ker d^*,$$

then there are inclusions

$$\ker \Delta_d \cap A_{\mathbb{C}}^k \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}^k$$

for $k = 1, 2n - 1$. Moreover, there are L^2 orthogonal decompositions

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\mathbb{C}}^1 &= \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}^1 \oplus dA^{0,0} \oplus d^*A^{1,1}, \\ A_{\mathbb{C}}^{2n-1} &= \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}^{2n-1} \oplus dA^{n-1,n-1} \oplus d^*A^{n,n}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \ker \partial \oplus \bar{\partial} \cap A^{0,1} \oplus A^{1,0} &= \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}^1 \oplus dA^{0,0}, \\ \ker d \cap A_{\mathbb{C}}^{2n-1} &= \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}^{2n-1} \oplus dA^{n-1,n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

therefore there are isomorphisms

$$H_{\mathcal{B}}^1 \simeq \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}^1, \quad H_{\mathcal{B}}^{2n-1} \simeq \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}^{2n-1}.$$

In particular the numbers $h_{\mathcal{B}}^1$ and $h_{\mathcal{B}}^{2n-1}$ are metric independent. The Hodge $*$ operator defines an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}^1 \simeq \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}^{2n-1},$$

therefore $h_{\mathcal{B}}^1 = h_{\mathcal{B}}^{2n-1}$.

Remark 5.6 (Upper semi-continuity). By [KM06, Theorem 4.3, Chapter 4], on a given compact manifold, the dimension of the kernel of a smooth family of elliptic operators is upper semi-continuous. Therefore, it follows that $h_{\mathcal{B}}^1$ is upper semi-continuous along smooth deformations of the almost complex structure. The same result for $h_{p,q}^k$ on compact complex manifolds is shown in [Ste22].

Problem 5.7 (Explicit computation of $h_{\mathcal{B}}^1$). The first immediate problem is how to compute this new invariant $h_{\mathcal{B}}^1$ in explicit examples. When the almost complex structure J is integrable, as we did in Section 4, there are many classes of nilmanifolds where the inclusion of left invariant forms into the de Rham algebra induces an isomorphism in the cohomology $H_{p,q}^k$.

It seems then reasonable to ask if there are as well classes of nilmanifolds carrying left invariant almost complex structures for which the inclusion of left invariant forms into the de Rham algebra induces an isomorphism in the cohomology $H_{\mathcal{B}}^1$.

Remark 5.8 (Other invariants defined via 1-forms). Let (M, J, g) be a compact almost Hermitian manifold. We now describe two more invariants which can be defined using 1-forms, and argue about their ability in distinguishing maximally non-integrable almost complex structures of dimension at least 6.

The invariant $h_{d+d^c}^k \in \mathbb{N}$ is defined in [ST23] as the dimension of the space $\ker \Delta_{d+d^c} \cap A^k$, where

$$\Delta_{d+d^c} = dd^c(dd^c)^* + (dd^c)^*dd^c + d^*d^c(d^*d^c)^* + (d^*d^c)^*d^*d^c + d^*d + (d^c)^*d^c$$

is an elliptic operator by [ST23, Proposition 4.3]. In [ST23, Proposition 4.7], it is proved that

$$\ker \Delta_{d+d^c} \cap A^1 = \ker d \cap \ker d^c \cap A^1,$$

and in particular $h_{d+d^c}^1$ is metric independent. By [ST23, Proposition 3.11], it follows that $h_{d+d^c}^1 = 2h_d^{1,0}$, where $h_d^{1,0}$ here is the complex dimension of the space $\ker d \cap A^{1,0}$. As noted in [ST23, page 21], the invariant $h_{d+d^c}^1$ can distinguish between almost complex structures, but it cannot distinguish between maximally non-integrable almost complex structures on manifolds of dimension at least 6. Indeed, these assumptions imply that for all $x \in M$ the map

$$\bar{\mu}_x : A_x^{1,0} \rightarrow A_x^{0,2}$$

is injective, which forces $h_{d+d^c}^1 = 0$ [ST23, Proposition 4.10].

The invariant $h_{BC}^{p,q} \in \mathbb{N}$ is defined in [PT22] as the complex dimension of the space $\ker \tilde{\Delta}_{BC} \cap A^{p,q}$, where

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{BC} = \partial\bar{\partial}(\partial\bar{\partial})^* + (\partial\bar{\partial})^*\partial\bar{\partial} + \partial^*\bar{\partial}(\partial^*\bar{\partial})^* + (\partial^*\bar{\partial})^*\partial^*\bar{\partial} + \partial^*\partial + \bar{\partial}^*\bar{\partial}$$

is an elliptic operator by [PT22, Proposition 3.2]. It is immediate to see (see, *e.g.*, [PT22, Section 3]) that

$$\ker \tilde{\Delta}_{BC} \cap A^{1,0} = \ker \partial \cap \ker \bar{\partial} \cap A^{1,0},$$

therefore $h_{BC}^{1,0}$ is metric independent. Note that, if J is integrable, then $h_{BC}^{1,0} = h_{0,-1}^0$.

The two invariants $h_{d+d^c}^1$ and $h_{BC}^{1,0}$ are similarly defined, but nothing forces $h_{BC}^{1,0}$ to vanish on maximally non-integrable almost complex structures on manifolds of dimension at least 6.

REFERENCES

- [Ang13] D. Angella, The cohomologies of the Iwasawa manifold and of its small deformations, *J. Geom. Anal.* **23**(2013), no. 3, 1355–1378.
- [AT11] D. Angella, A. Tomassini, On cohomological decomposition of almost-complex manifolds and deformations, *J. Symplectic Geom.* **9** (2011), no. 3, 403–428.
- [AB67] M.F. Atiyah, R. Bott, A Lefschetz fixed point formula for elliptic complexes. I, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **86** (1967), 374–407.
- [Big69] B. Bigolin, Gruppi di Aeppli, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (3)***23**(1969), 259–287.
- [Big70] B. Bigolin, Osservazioni sulla coomologia del $\partial\bar{\partial}$, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (3)* **24** (1970), 571–583.
- [CPS22] R. Coelho, G. Placini, J. Stelzig, Maximally non-integrable almost complex structures: an h -principle and cohomological properties, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **28**(2022), no.5, Paper No. 83, 25 pp.

- [CW21] J. Cirici, S.O. Wilson, Dolbeault cohomology for almost complex manifolds, *Adv. Math.* **391** (2021), Paper No. 107970, 52 pp.
- [DGMS75] P. Deligne, P. Griffiths, J. Morgan, D. Sullivan, Real homotopy theory of Kähler manifolds, *Invent. Math.* **29** (1975), no. 3, 245–274.
- [Dem12] J.P. Demailly, *Complex Analytic and Differential Geometry*, Université de Grenoble, Saint-Martin d’Hères, 2012.
- [Hir54] F. Hirzebruch, Some problems on differentiable and complex manifolds, *Ann. Math. (2)* **60**, (1954). 213–236.
- [HP23] T. Holt, R. Piovani, The L^2 Aeppli-Bott-Chern Hilbert complex, **preprint** arXiv:2310.08993, 2023.
- [HZ22] T. Holt, W. Zhang, Harmonic Forms on the Kodaira-Thurston Manifold, *Adv. Math.*, **400**, no. 108277, 2022.
- [KM06] K. Kodaira, J. Morrow, *Complex Manifolds*, AMS Chelsea Publishing, 2006.
- [MS24] A. Milivojevic, J. Stelzig, Bigraded notions of formality and Aeppli-Bott-Chern-Massey products, **preprint** arXiv:2202.08617, 2024, to appear in *Comm. Anal. Geom.*.
- [Nak75] I. Nakamura, Complex parallelisable manifolds and their small deformations, *J. Differential Geometry* **10**(1975), 85–112.
- [PT22] R. Piovani, A. Tomassini, Bott-Chern Laplacian on almost Hermitian manifolds, *Math. Z.*, 2022, DOI 10.1007/s00209-022-02975-z.
- [ST23] L. Sillari, A. Tomassini, On Bott-Chern and Aeppli cohomologies of almost complex manifolds and related spaces of harmonic forms, *Expo. Math.* **41**(2023), no.4, Paper No. 125517, 34 pp.
- [Ste21] J. Stelzig, On the structure of double complexes, *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)***104** (2021), no. 2, 956–988.
- [Ste22] J. Stelzig, Some remarks on the Schweitzer complex, **preprint** arXiv:2204.06027, 2022.
- [Ste23] J. Stelzig, Pluripotential Homotopy Theory, **preprint** arXiv:2302.08919, 2023.
- [Sch07] M. Schweitzer, Autour de la cohomologie de Bott-Chern, **preprint** arXiv:0709.3528v1, 2007.
- [TT23] N. Tardini, A. Tomassini, $\bar{\partial}$ -harmonic forms on 4-dimensional almost-Hermitian manifolds, *Math. Res. Lett.* **30** (2023), n. 5, 1617-1637.
- [Var86] J. Varouchas, Propriétés cohomologiques d’une classe de variétés analytiques complexes compactes, Séminaire d’analyse, P. Lelong, P. Dolbeault, H. Skoda, années 1983/1984, 233–243, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 1198, Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [YY23] S. Yang, X. Yang, Bott-Chern hypercohomology and bimeromorphic invariants, *Complex Manifolds* **10** (2023), no. 1, Paper No. 20220148, 38 pp.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA “G. PEANO”, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO, VIA CARLO ALBERTO 10, 10123 TORINO, ITALY

Email address: `riccardo.piovani@unito.it`