Totally geodesic submanifolds in the manifold SPD of symmetric positive-definite real matrices

Alice Barbara Tumpach^{*}, Gabriel Larotonda[†]

Abstract

This paper is a self-contained exposition of the geometry of symmetric positive-definite real $n \times n$ matrices SPD(n), including necessary and sufficient conditions for a submanifold $\mathcal{N} \subset \text{SPD}(n)$ to be totally geodesic for the affine-invariant Riemannian metric. A non-linear projection $x \mapsto \pi(x)$ on a totally geodesic submanifold is defined. This projection has the minimizing property with respect to the Riemannian metric: it maps an arbitrary point $x \in \text{SPD}(n)$ to the unique closest element $\pi(x)$ in the totally geodesic submanifold for the distance defined by the affine-invariant Riemannian metric. Decompositions of the space SPD(n) follow, as well as variants of the polar decomposition of nonsingular matrices known as Mostow's decompositions. Applications to decompositions of covariant matrices are mentioned.

Keywords: covariance matrices, reductive symmetric spaces, decompositions of Lie groups, symmetric positive-definite matrices.

Subject Classification: Riemannian Geometry.

Contents

1	1 Introduction		
	1.1	Statement of Mostow's Theorem	2
	1.2	Examples of Applications	3
		1.2.1 Geodesic projection on the space of diagonal matrices	3
		1.2.2 Geodesic projection on the space of block diagonal matrices and on the space of	
		block-anti-diagonal matrices	3
		1.2.3 Decomposition of $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$	5
	1.3	Organization of the paper	5
2	The	e manifold $SPD(n)$ of symmetric positive-definite real matrices	5
3	Tot	ally geodesic submanifolds of the manifold $SPD(n)$	8
4	Ort	hogonal projection on a totally geodesic submanifold	11
5	Mo	stow's decomposition Theorem for $\operatorname{GL}(n,\mathbb{R})$	14
Α	The	e differential of the exponential map of a Lie group G	15
	A.1	First expression of the differential of the exponential map	15
	A.2	Second expression of the differential of the exponential map	17
В	Geo	odesics in locally symmetric homogeneous spaces	18

^{*}alice-barbora.tumpach@univ-lille.fr, Institut CNRS Pauli, Vienna, Austria.

 $^{^{\}dagger}\texttt{glaroton@dm.uba.ar},$ UBA & CONICET, Buenos Aires, Argentina

1 Introduction

Mostow's decomposition theorem is a generalization of polar decomposition and goes back to 1955 with the original proof by Mostow ([10]). Different proofs were given in [15, 8, 5, 16] in the context of complex infinite-dimensional Lie groups, in [1] in the context of von Neumann algebras, and [9] in the context of Finsler Lie groups. In this paper, we consider the real group $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$, which is not semi-simple, but appears in a lot of applications. The aim of this paper is to provide a self-contained exposition of the geometry needed to understand this Theorem, and advertise some possible applications, which should be important in the fields of Probability and Statistics. For a general exposition of the geometry of the manifold of symmetric positive-definite real matrices we refer to [4].

1.1 Statement of Mostow's Theorem

Let us first state the theorem.

Theorem 1.1 Let E be a real subspace of the vector space Sym(n) of symmetric $n \times n$ real matrices, and denote by $\mathscr{C} := exp(E)$ the image of E by the exponential of matrices

$$\mathscr{E} = \{ y = \exp u := 1 + u + \frac{u^2}{2} + \frac{u^3}{3!} + \cdots, u \in \mathbf{E} \}.$$
(1)

We endow the manifold SPD(n) of symmetric positive-definite real $n \times n$ matrices with the Riemannian metric (sometimes called affined Riemannian metric) given at $x \in \text{SPD}(n)$ by:

$$\langle X, Y \rangle_x := \operatorname{Tr} \left(x^{-1} X x^{-1} Y \right) = \operatorname{Tr} \left(x^{-\frac{1}{2}} X x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot x^{-\frac{1}{2}} Y x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right),$$
 (2)

where the dot denotes the product of matrices.

1. Then $\mathscr{C} := \exp(E)$ is a totally geodesic submanifold of SPD(n) and geodesically convex in SPD(n), if and only if the vector space $E \subset Sym(n)$ satisfies

$$[X, [X, Y]] \in \mathcal{E}, \qquad for \ all \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{E}, \tag{3}$$

where for any matrices A, B, the bracket is defined as $[A, B] := A \cdot B - B \cdot A$.

2. In this case, any $x \in \text{SPD}(n)$ can be decomposed uniquely as a product $e \cdot f \cdot e$, where $e \in \mathscr{C} := \exp(E)$ and $f \in \mathscr{F} := \exp(E^{\perp})$. The matrix x admits a unique projection $\pi(x)$ onto $\mathscr{C} := \exp(E)$ which minimises the geodesic distance from x to $\mathscr{C} := \exp(E)$, *i.e.*

$$\operatorname{dist}(x,\mathscr{E}) = \operatorname{dist}(x,\pi(x)).$$

The matrix e is related to $\pi(x)$ by

$$e = \pi(x)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
 (4)

3. If (3) is satisfied, any $g \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ can be decomposed uniquely as a product $k \cdot \exp V \cdot \exp W$, where k is an orthogonal matrix, W is in E and V is in the orthogonal space $F := E^{\perp}$. The Lie group $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ is diffeomorphic to the product

$$\operatorname{GL}(n,\mathbb{R}) = \operatorname{O}(n) \times \exp \mathbf{F} \times \exp \mathbf{E} = \operatorname{O}(n) \times \mathscr{F} \times \mathscr{E}, \tag{5}$$

where

• O(n) is the orthogonal group

$$O(n) := \{ M \in GL(n, \mathbb{R}), M^T M = M M^T = Id \}$$
(6)

(here Id is the $n \times n$ identity matrix).

• F denotes the orthogonal of E in Sym(n),

$$\mathbf{F} := \mathbf{E}^{\perp} = \{ Y \in \operatorname{Sym}(n), \operatorname{Tr} XY = 0 \quad \forall X \in \mathbf{E} \},$$
(7)

• $\mathcal{F} := \exp F$.

Corollary 1.2 Any geodesically complete convex submanifold \mathcal{N} of SPD(n) is of the form

$$\mathcal{N} = x \cdot \exp \mathbf{E} \cdot x$$

for some $x \in \text{SPD}(n)$, and some subspace $E \subset \text{Sym}(n)$ satisfying $[X, [X, Y]] \in E$ for all $X, Y \in E$.

1.2 Examples of Applications

1.2.1 Geodesic projection on the space of diagonal matrices

Let $\mathbf{X} := (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ be a real random vector of dimension n and consider its *covariance matrix*

$$\operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}) = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\mathbf{X} - \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X}) \right) \left(\mathbf{X} - \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X}) \right)^T \right]$$
(8)

where the operator \mathbb{E} denotes the expected value (mean) of its argument.

Let $E_1 \subset \text{Sym}(n)$ be the space of real diagonal matrices. Then condition (3) is satisfied, hence by Theorem 1.1 (see Theorem 4.2 for more details), any symmetric positive-definite matrix $x \in \text{SPD}(n)$ has a unique projection $\pi(x)$ in $\mathscr{C}_1 := \exp(E_1)$ that minimises (for the Riemannian metric (2)) the distance between x and the manifold of real positive-definite diagonal matrices \mathscr{C}_1 . Moreover

$$x = \pi(x)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot f \cdot \pi(x)^{\frac{1}{2}},\tag{9}$$

for a unique element f in $\mathscr{F}_1 := \exp(\mathbf{E}_1^{\perp})$ where

$$E_1^{\perp} := \{ Y = (Y_{ij}) \in Sym(n), Y_{ii} = 0 \}$$

Remark 1.3 The correlation matrix of X is defined as

$$\operatorname{corr}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}) = (\operatorname{diag}(\operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}) \cdot (\operatorname{diag}(\operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}))^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$
(10)

where diag $(cov(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}))$ is the matrix of diagonal elements of $cov(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X})$, i.e. the diagonal $n \times n$ matrix containing the variances of X_i , i = 1, ..., n. Then

$$\operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}) = (\operatorname{diag}(\operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}))^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \operatorname{corr}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}) \cdot (\operatorname{diag}(\operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}))^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

In this decomposition, we remark that $cov(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X})$ is the image of $corr(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X})$ by the isometry of SPD(n) which sends y to

$$(\operatorname{diag}(\operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{X}))^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot y \cdot (\operatorname{diag}(\operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{X}))^{\frac{1}{2}})$$

However, in general, diag(x) differs from the projection $\pi(x)$ onto the manifold of real positive-definite diagonal matrices for the Riemannian metric (2). In fact, diag(cov(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X})) is the projection of cov(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}) onto the vector space $\mathbf{E}_1 \subset \text{Sym}(n)$ of diagonal matrices for the Euclidian scalar product given by

$$\begin{array}{cccc} (\cdot, \cdot) : & \operatorname{Sym}(n) \times \operatorname{Sym}(n) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ \end{array}$$
 (11)

Lemma 1.4 Let $x = \pi(x)^{1/2} e^v \pi(x)^{1/2}$ be Mostow's decompositin for x, with $v^* = v \in E_1^{\perp}$ a matrix with zeros on the diagonal. Then $\operatorname{diag}(x) = \pi(x)$ if and only if $\operatorname{diag}(e^v) = 1$.

Proof: Write $x = Pe^{v}P$ for short, and note that the assumption is $P^2 = \text{diag}(x)$. Then $P^2 = \text{diag}(Pe^{v}P)$, thus $1 = P^{-1} \text{diag}(Pe^{v}P)P^{-1}$. But taking diagonal has the bi-module property, i.e. $\text{diag}(d_1ad_2) = d_1 \text{diag}(a)d_2$ for any matrix a and any diagonal matrices d_1, d_2 . Hence $1 = \text{diag}(e^{v})$.

In particular for n = 2 note that v must be of the form $v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ a & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, thus a straightforward computation shows that

$$e^{v} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \cosh(a) & \sinh(a) \\ \sinh(a) & \cosh(a) \end{array}\right),$$

and diag $(e^v) = 1$ is only possible if $\cosh(a) = 1$, or equivalently if a = 0. Thus it must be v = 0 thus $x = \pi(x)$ was already a diagonal matrix.

1.2.2 Geodesic projection on the space of block diagonal matrices and on the space of block-anti-diagonal matrices

Consider now two different random vectors \mathbf{X} of dimension p and \mathbf{Y} of dimension p, and the random vector

$$\mathbf{Z} := \left(egin{array}{c} \mathbf{X} \ \mathbf{Y} \end{array}
ight)$$

of dimension p + q =: n. The joint covariance matrix Σ of **X** and **Y** is defined as

$$\Sigma = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\mathbf{Z} - \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{Z}) \right) \left(\mathbf{Z} - \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{Z}) \right)^T \right] = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}) & \operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \\ \operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) & \operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Y}) \end{pmatrix},$$
(12)

where $cov(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ denotes the *cross-covariance matrix* between \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} :

$$\operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) := \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\mathbf{X} - \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X}) \right) \left(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{Y}) \right)^T \right].$$
(13)

Let $E_2 \subset Sym(n)$ be the vector space of block-diagonal matrices and $E_3 \subset Sym(n)$ be the vector space of block-anti-diagonal matrices:

$$E_{2} = \left\{ Z \in \operatorname{Sym}(n), Z = \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & Y \end{pmatrix}, X \in \operatorname{Sym}(p), Y \in \operatorname{Sym}(q) \right\}$$
$$E_{3} = \left\{ Z \in \operatorname{Sym}(n), Z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C \\ C^{T} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, C \in \operatorname{Mat}(p,q) \right\}.$$

Then E_2 and E_3 both satisfy condition (3) and are orthogonal to each other for the Euclidian scalar product on Sym(n). Note that E_2 is a Lie algebra, whereas E_3 is not. Therefore the cross-covariance matrix Σ has a unique expression of the form

$$\Sigma = \exp D \cdot \exp A \cdot \exp D, \tag{14}$$

where D is block-diagonal and A is block-anti-diagonal, as well as a unique expression of the form

$$\Sigma = \exp A' \cdot \exp D' \cdot \exp A',\tag{15}$$

where D' is block-diagonal and A' is block-anti-diagonal.

Moreover since the even powers of a block-anti-diagonal matrix are diagonal, one has the decomposition

$$\exp A = \cosh A + \sinh A,$$

where

$$\cosh A := \frac{(\exp(A) + \exp(-A))}{2} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{A^{2n}}{(2n)!} \in \mathbf{E}_2$$

$$\sinh A := \frac{(\exp(A) - \exp(-A))}{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{A^{(2n-1)}}{(2n-1)!} \in \mathbf{E}_3$$
(16)

Therefore equation (14) leads to a decomposition

$$\Sigma = \exp D \cosh A \exp D + \exp D \sinh A \exp D, \tag{17}$$

where $\exp D \cosh A \exp D \in E_2$ is block-diagonal and $\exp D \sinh A \exp D \in E_3$ is block-anti-diagonal. Similarly equation (15) leads to a decomposition of Σ in a sum

$$(\cosh A' \cdot \exp D' \cdot \cosh A' + \sinh A' \cdot \exp D' \sinh A') + (\sinh A' \exp D' \cosh A' + \cosh A' \exp D' \sinh A')$$
(18)

where

$$(\cosh A' \cdot \exp D' \cdot \cosh A' + \sinh A' \cdot \exp D' \sinh A') \in \mathcal{E}_2$$

is block-diagonal and

$$(\sinh A' \exp D' \cosh A' + \cosh A' \exp D' \sinh A') \in E_3$$

is block-anti-diagonal.

Remark 1.5 For more than two blocks, the following happens: the space of block diagonal matrices still satisfies condition (3), hence there exists an orthogonal projection on the space of positive definite block diagonal matrices with an arbitrary (fixed) number of blocks, and the corresponding decomposition similar to (14). However the space of matrices with zero diagonal blocks do not satisfy condition (3) when there are more than two blocks, hence one can not deduce a decomposition of the type (15) in that case.

1.2.3 Decomposition of $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$

The group $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ is the group of isometries of the hyperbolic upper half plane \mathbb{H}^2 :

$$\mathbb{H}^2 := \{ z = x + hi, x \in \mathbb{R}, h \in \mathbb{R}^{+*} \} \subset \mathbb{C},$$

where the action is by homographies. Mostow's decomposition theorem applied to

$$E = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc} \alpha & 0\\ 0 & -\alpha \end{array} \right), \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

leads to a decomposition of isometries

$$SL(2,\mathbb{R}) = SO(2) \times \exp F \times \exp E$$

where

- SO(2) is the stabilizer of $i \in \mathbb{C}$;
- $\mathscr{E} = \exp E$ is the space of dilatations $q \mapsto \alpha^2 q, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$;
- $\mathscr{F} = \exp F = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \beta & \sinh \beta \\ \sinh \beta & \cosh \beta \end{pmatrix}, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$ is the space of hyperbolic transformations with fixed points -1 and 1 in $\mathbb{R} \in \partial \mathbb{H}^2$ and preserving the unit half-circle containing i.

1.3 Organization of the paper

This paper is organized as follows. First we recall the geometry of the space SPD(n) of symmetric positive-definite $n \times n$ matrices. We show in particular that it is a symmetric space of non-positive sectional curvature, homogeneous under the group $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ and that the exponential map defined by the usual power series is a diffeomorphism from the space Sym(n) of symmetric $n \times n$ real matrices onto SPD(n). Moreover we show that the exponential map is the Riemannian exponential map at the identity with respect to the affine Riemannian metric on SPD(n). This is implied by a general result on the geodesics in locally symmetric spaces that we recall (Proposition B.1). This study implies the usual Al-Kashi inequality on the sides of a geodesic triangle in the non-positively curved space SPD(n), and the convexity property of the distance between two geodesics. In the second subsection, the characterization of the geodesic subspaces of SPD(n) given by equation (3) is proved, mainly following arguments from [10]. In subsection 4, the key-step for the proof of Mostow's decomposition (5) is given by the construction of a non-linear projection from SPD(n) onto every closed geodesic subspace. The arguments given here for the existence of such projection are simpler and more direct then the ones given in the original paper [10], and apply to arbitrary dimension. In the last subsection, we use this projection to prove the theorem stated above.

2 The manifold SPD(n) of symmetric positive-definite real matrices

Let $M(n, \mathbb{R})$ be the vector space of real $n \times n$ matrices. The group $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ is the group of invertible real $n \times n$ matrices, or equivalently the space of real matrices with non-zero determinant:

$$\operatorname{GL}(n,\mathbb{R}) := \{ g \in \operatorname{M}(n,\mathbb{R}), \det(g) \neq 0 \},\$$

The group law given by the multiplication of matrices makes the open set $GL(n, \mathbb{R}) \subset M(n, \mathbb{R})$ into a Lie-group with Lie-algebra $M(n, \mathbb{R})$, where the Lie-bracket is given by the commutator of matrices:

$$[A, B] = AB - BA, \forall A, B \in \mathcal{M}(n, \mathbb{R}).$$
⁽¹⁹⁾

The orthogonal group O(n) and its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ are defined by

$$O(n) := \{ M \in GL(n, \mathbb{R}), M^T M = M M^T = Id \}$$

$$\mathfrak{so}(n) = \{ A \in \mathcal{M}(n, \mathbb{R}), A^T + A = 0 \}$$

The vector space $\mathcal{M}(n, \mathbb{R})$ splits into the direct sum of $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ and the linear subspace $\mathcal{Sym}(n)$ of symmetric elements in $\mathcal{M}(n, \mathbb{R})$

$$\operatorname{Sym}(n) = \{ M \in \operatorname{M}(n, \mathbb{R}), A^T = A \}.$$

The exponential of matrices defined for all A in $\mathcal{M}(n,\mathbb{R})$ as

$$\exp(A) := \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{A^n}{n!}$$
(20)

takes Sym(n) to the submanifold SPD(n) of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ consisting of symmetric positive-definite matrices

$$\exp : \operatorname{Sym}(n) = \{A \in \operatorname{M}(n, \mathbb{R}), A^T = A\} \longrightarrow \operatorname{SPD}(n) = \{A \in \operatorname{Sym}(n), A^T A > 0\}$$

Note that for $A \in \mathcal{M}(n, \mathbb{R})$, the curve $\gamma(t) := \exp(tA)$ satisfies

$$\dot{\gamma}(t) = \left(L_{\gamma(t)}\right)_* (A) = \gamma(t) \cdot A.$$
(21)

where $L_{\gamma(t)}$ denotes left translation by $\gamma(t)$, i.e. multiplication on the left by the matrice $\gamma(t)$, and where the dot denotes the multiplication of matrices. Hence the exponential map defined by (20) is the usual exponential map on the Lie group $\operatorname{GL}(n,\mathbb{R})$. Let us endowed $\operatorname{SPD}(n)$ with the following Riemannian metric :

$$\langle X, Y \rangle_x := \operatorname{Tr} \left(x^{-1} X x^{-1} Y \right) = \operatorname{Tr} \left(x^{-\frac{1}{2}} X x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot x^{-\frac{1}{2}} Y x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)$$
(22)

where $x \in \text{SPD}(n)$, $X, Y \in T_x \text{SPD}(n)$. The identity matrix Id belongs to SPD(n) and will be our reference point in the following.

Proposition 2.1 The left action of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ on SPD(n) defined by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \operatorname{GL}(n,\mathbb{R}) \times \operatorname{SPD}(n) & \to & \operatorname{SPD}(n) \\ (g,x) & \mapsto & g \cdot x \cdot g^T, \end{array}$$
(23)

is a transitive action by isometries (here the dot \cdot denotes the multiplication of matrices).

\Box **Proof of Proposition** 2.1:

For every x in SPD(n), the square root of x is well-defined and belongs to SPD(n). In other words there exists y in SPD(n) such that $x = y^2$. Since $y^T = y$, one has $x = y \cdot y^T = y \cdot \operatorname{Id} \cdot y^T$, and the transitivity follows. To show that $\operatorname{GL}(n,\mathbb{R})$ acts by isometries, one has to show that the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_x$ on the tangent space to the manifold SPD(n) at $x = y \cdot \operatorname{Id} \cdot y^T$ is related to the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\operatorname{Id}}$ at Id by

$$\langle X, Y \rangle_{\mathrm{Id}} = \langle y_* X, y_* Y \rangle_{y \cdot \mathrm{Id} \cdot y^T},\tag{24}$$

where $y_*: T_{\text{Id}} \text{SPD}(n) \to T_{y \cdot \text{Id}} \cdot y^T \text{SPD}(n)$ denotes the infinitesimal action of $y \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ on tangent vectors to the manifold SPD(n). To compute this infinitesimal action, consider a curve $x(t) \in \text{SPD}(n)$ such that x(0) = x and $\dot{x}(t) = X$, and, for a fixed element $g \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$, differentiate action (23) to get

$$g_*X = \frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0}g \cdot x(t) \cdot g^T = g \cdot X \cdot g^T.$$

It follows that

$$\langle y_*X, y_*Y \rangle_{y \cdot \operatorname{Id} \cdot y^T} = \langle y_*X, y_*Y \rangle_{yy^T} = \operatorname{Tr}(yy^T)^{-1}(y \cdot X \cdot y^T)(yy^T)^{-1}(y \cdot Y \cdot y^T)$$

= Tr $(y^T)^{-1}XYy^T$ = Tr $(XY) = \langle X, Y \rangle_{\operatorname{Id}}.$

In particular, the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\text{Id}}$ is invariant by the action of the isotropy group of the reference point Id, which is exactly the orthogonal group O(n).

Corollary 2.2 The manifold SPD(n) of symmetric positive-definite real matrices is a homogeneous Riemannian manifold

$$SPD(n) = GL(n, \mathbb{R}) / O(n).$$

Theorem 2.3 The exponential map is a diffeomorphism from Sym(n) onto SPD(n).

■ **Proof of Theorem** 2.3:

Since every x in SPD(n) admits an orthogonal basis of eigenvectors with positive eigenvalues, the exponential map from Sym(n) to SPD(n) is injective and onto, the inverse mapping being given by the logarithm. The differential of the exponential map is recalled in the appendix (Proposition A.2, equation (33)). By Lemma A.3, equation (39)), it can be written as

$$d_X \exp(Y) = R_{\exp(\frac{X}{2})} L_{\exp(\frac{X}{2})} \tau_X(Y),$$

where $\tau_X(Y)$ is the linear isomorphism of Sym(n) given by equation (37). Hence $d_X \exp$ is continuous and invertible. By the inverse function Theorem, it follows that \exp is a diffeomorphism.

Definition 2.4 Let G be a Lie group. An homogeneous space M = G/K is called *reductive* if the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G splits into a direct sum $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$, where \mathfrak{k} is the Lie algebra of K, and \mathfrak{m} an Ad(K)-invariant complement. A reductive homogeneous space is called *locally symmetric* if the commutation relation $[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{k}$ holds.

A locally symmetric space is a particular case of a *naturally reductive* space (see Definition 7.84 page 196 in [3], Definition 23 page 312 in [14], or Proposition 5.2 page 125 in [2] and the definition that follows). In the finite-dimensional setting, the geodesics of a naturally reductive space are orbits of one-parameter subgroups of G (see Proposition 25 page 313 in [14] for a proof of this fact). The symmetric case is also treated in Theorem 3.3 page 173 in [7]. Its infinite-dimensional version has been given in Example 3.9 in [13]. For the sake of completeness, we give a proof in the appendix (see Proposition B.1) based on the fact that in this case the Levi-Civita connection is the homogeneous connection (see [17] for the infinite-dimensional case). We deduce the following theorem.

Theorem 2.5 The manifold SPD(n) is a locally symmetric homogeneous space. The curve $\gamma(t) := \exp(t \log(x)), (0 \le t \le 1)$ is the unique geodesic in SPD(n) joining the identity o = Id to the element $x \in SPD(n)$. More generally, the geodesic $\gamma_{x,y}(t)$ between any two points x, y in SPD(n) exists and is unique, and is given by

$$\gamma_{x,y}(t) := x^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\exp t \log \left(x^{-\frac{1}{2}} y x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right) x^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(25)

■ **Proof of Theorem** 2.5:

This follows from the same arguments as in [10], or by the general result stated in Proposition B.1. Indeed the commutation relation $[\operatorname{Sym}(n), \operatorname{Sym}(n)] \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$ implies that $\operatorname{SPD}(n) = \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) / \operatorname{O}(n)$ is locally symmetric. It follows that

$$\gamma(t) := \exp\left(t\log(x)\right) = \left(\exp\left(\frac{t}{2}\log(x)\right)\right) \cdot \operatorname{Id} \cdot \left(\exp\left(\frac{t}{2}\log(x)\right)\right)^T, \qquad (0 \le t \le 1),$$

is a geodesic joining o = Id to x. The uniqueness of this geodesic follows from Proposition B.1 and Theorem 2.3, since any other geodesic γ_2 joining o = Id to x is necessarily of the form

$$\gamma_2(t) = \exp t \dot{\gamma_2}(0) = \left(\exp\left(\frac{t}{2} \dot{\gamma_2}(0)\right) \right) \cdot \operatorname{Id} \cdot \left(\exp\left(\frac{t}{2} \dot{\gamma_2}(0)\right) \right)^T$$

with velocity $\dot{\gamma}_2(0) \in \text{Sym}(n)$. Since GL(n) acts by isometries on SPD(n), for $x, y \in \text{SPD}(n)$, the image of the geodesic $t \mapsto \exp t \log \left(x^{-\frac{1}{2}}yx^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)$ by the isometry $u \mapsto x^{\frac{1}{2}}ux^{\frac{1}{2}} = x^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot u \cdot (x^{\frac{1}{2}})^T$ is itself a geodesic. It follows that the unique geodesic $\gamma_{x,y}$ joining two points x and y in SPD(n) is given by

$$\gamma_{x,y}(t) := x^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\exp t \log \left(x^{-\frac{1}{2}} y x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right) x^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proposition 2.6 The manifold SPD(n) of symmetric positive-definite real matrices is a Riemannian manifold of non-positive sectional curvature.

\Box **Proof of Proposition** 2.6:

The Levi-Civita connection is defined by Koszul formula (see for instance Theorem 3.1 page 54 in [2] where this formula is recalled) and coincides with the homogeneous connection since SPD(n) is locally symmetric and the Riemannian metric is *G*-invariant. The sectional curvature K_{Id} at the reference point Id is given by :

$$K_{\mathrm{Id}}(X,Y) := \frac{\langle R_{X,Y}X,Y\rangle_{\mathrm{Id}}}{\langle X,X\rangle_{\mathrm{Id}}\langle Y,Y\rangle_{\mathrm{Id}} - \langle X,Y\rangle_{\mathrm{Id}}^2} = \frac{\langle [[X,Y],X],Y\rangle_{\mathrm{Id}}}{\langle X,X\rangle_{\mathrm{Id}}\langle Y,Y\rangle_{\mathrm{Id}} - \langle X,Y\rangle_{\mathrm{Id}}^2}$$

for all X, Y in $T_{\text{Id}} \text{SPD}(n) = \text{Sym}(n)$, where we have used formula (44) for the curvature (see also Proposition 7.72 page 193 in [3], or Proposition 6.5 page 92 in [2]). Now the sign of the sectional curvature of the 2-plane generated by X and Y is the sign of $\langle [[X, Y], X], Y \rangle_{\text{Id}}$. One has :

$$\langle [[X,Y],X],Y\rangle_{\mathrm{Id}} = \operatorname{Tr} [[X,Y],X]Y = \operatorname{Tr} ([X,Y]XY - X[X,Y]Y) = \operatorname{Tr} [X,Y][X,Y] = -\operatorname{Tr} [X,Y]^T[X,Y] \le 0,$$

where the last identity following from the fact that [X, Y] belongs to $[\text{Sym}(n), \text{Sym}(n)] \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$.

The following two Lemmas are standard results in the geometry of non-positively curved spaces.

Lemma 2.7 The Riemannian angle between two paths f and g intersecting at o = Id is equal to the Euclidian angle between the two paths $\log(f)$ and $\log(g)$ at 0. Moreover, in any geodesic triangle ABC in SPD(n),

$$c^2 \ge a^2 + b^2 - 2ab\cos\widehat{ACB},\tag{26}$$

where a, b, c are the lengths of the sides opposite to A, B, C respectively, and ACB the angle at C.

\triangle **Proof of Lemma** 2.7:

This follows from the same arguments as in [10]. The Al-Kashi inequality (26) is also a direct consequence of Corollary 13.2 in [7] page 73, since Lemma 2.5 implies that SPD(n) is a minimizing convex normal ball.

Lemma 2.8 Let $\gamma_1(t)$ and $\gamma_2(t)$ be two constant speed geodesics in SPD(n). Then the distance in SPD(n) between $\gamma_1(t)$ and $\gamma_2(t)$ is a convex function of t.

\triangle **Proof of Lemma** 2.8:

This follows from the fact that a non-positively curved Riemannian manifold is a CAT(0) space.

Let us recall the following definition.

Definition 2.9 A Riemannian manifold \mathcal{P} is called *symmetric* if for every p in \mathcal{P} , there exists a globally defined isometry s_p which fixes p and such that the differential of s_p at p is -id. The transformation s_p is called a *global symmetry with respect to p*.

Proposition 2.10 The manifold SPD(n) is a globally symmetric homogeneous Riemannian manifold. The globally defined symmetry with respect to $x \in SPD(n)$ is

$$s_x(y) = x^{\frac{1}{2}} (x^{-\frac{1}{2}} y x^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{-1} x^{\frac{1}{2}} = x \ y^{-1} x.$$
(27)

\Box **Proof of Proposition** 2.10:

Consider the inversion Inv : $SPD(n) \to SPD(n)$ defined by $Inv(x) = x^{-1}$. An easy computation shows that the differential T_x Inv of Inv at $x \in SPD(n)$ takes a tangent vector $X \in T_x SPD(n)$ to $T_x Inv(X) = -x^{-1}Xx^{-1}$. One therefore has :

$$\langle T_x \operatorname{Inv}(X), T_x \operatorname{Inv}(Y) \rangle_{\operatorname{Inv}(x)} = \langle -x^{-1}Xx^{-1}, -x^{-1}Yx^{-1} \rangle_{x^{-1}} = \operatorname{Tr} x(-x^{-1}Xx^{-1})x(-x^{-1}Yx^{-1}) \\ = \operatorname{Tr} Xx^{-1}Yx^{-1} = \operatorname{Tr} x^{-1}Xx^{-1}Y = \langle X, Y \rangle_x,$$

hence Inv is an isometry of SPD(n). Since Inv fixes the reference point $\text{Id} \in \text{SPD}(n)$ and its differential at the reference point is -Id on $T_{\text{Id}} \text{SPD}(n) = \text{Sym}(n)$, it follows that Inv is a global symmetry with respect to Id. From the transitive action of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ one can define a globally defined symmetry with respect to any $x \in \text{SPD}(n)$. Indeed note that s_x is the composition of the isometry $\iota_x : y \mapsto x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot y \cdot x^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, with the inversion Inv followed by the isometry $(\iota_x)^{-1} : y \mapsto x^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot y \cdot x^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Hence s_x is an isometry, with fixed point x. Its differential at x is given by

$$T(\iota_x)^{-1} \circ T_{\mathrm{Id}} \operatorname{Inv} \circ T_x \iota_x = T_{\mathrm{Id}}(\iota_x)^{-1} \circ (-\mathrm{Id}) \circ T_x \iota_x = -\mathrm{Id}.$$

Whence SPD(n) is a (globally) symmetric homogeneous Riemannian manifold.

3 Totally geodesic submanifolds of the manifold SPD(n)

Definition 3.1 A submanifold \mathcal{N} of a Riemannian manifold \mathcal{M} is called *totally geodesic* if any geodesic on \mathcal{N} for the induced Riemannian metric is also a geodesic on \mathcal{M} . In particular, the property of being totally geodesic is a local property of the submanifold \mathcal{N} inside the ambient manifold \mathcal{M} .

Definition 3.2 A submanifold \mathcal{N} of a Riemannian manifold \mathcal{M} is called *geodesically convex* if there exists a unique minimizing geodesic of \mathcal{M} connecting two points in \mathcal{N} and entirely contained in \mathcal{N} . In particular, the property of being geodesically convex depends on global behavior of geodesics.

The following Theorem is based on [10]. We give below a self-contained proof based on the following Lemma.

Δ

Theorem 3.3 ([10]) Let E be a linear subspace of Sym(n). The following assertions are equivalent :

- 1. $[X, [X, Y]] \in E$ for all $X, Y \in E$,
- 2. $e \cdot f \cdot e \in \mathscr{C} := \exp(\mathbf{E}) \text{ for all } e, f \in \mathscr{C} = \exp(\mathbf{E}),$
- 3. $\mathscr{C} := \exp(E)$ is a geodesically convex submanifold of SPD(n).
- 4. $\mathscr{C} := \exp(E)$ is a totally geodesic submanifold of SPD(n).
- 5. E is a Lie triple system, i.e. for all $X, Y, Z \in E$, $[X, [Y, Z]] \in E$.

Lemma 3.4 :

Let $f \in \mathscr{E} = \exp(E)$, $Y \in E$, and consider the differentiable curve in Sym(n) defined by

$$X(t) := \log(\exp tY \cdot f \cdot \exp tY).$$

Then

$$\dot{X}(t) = \operatorname{ad}(X(t)) \operatorname{coth}(\operatorname{ad}(X(t)/2))(Y).$$
(28)

\triangle **Proof of Lemma** 3.4:

One has

$$\frac{d}{dt}_{|t=t_0} \exp tY = Y \cdot \exp t_0 Y = \exp t_0 Y \cdot Y,$$

hence the differential of the right hand side of equation (29) is

$$\frac{d}{dt}_{|t=t_0} \exp tY \cdot f \cdot \exp tY = Y \exp X(t_0) + \exp X(t_0)Y.$$

On the other hand, the differential of the left hand side of equation (29) is

$$\frac{d}{dt}_{|t=t_0} \exp X(t) = (d_{X(t_0)} \exp)(\dot{X}(t_0)).$$

Hence X(t) satisfies the following differential equation :

$$\dot{X}(t) = (d_{X(t)} \exp)^{-1} (Y \cdot \exp X(t) + \exp X(t) \cdot Y).$$

By Lemma A.3, equation (41),

$$(d_X \exp)^{-1}(Z) = \frac{\operatorname{ad}(X/2)}{\sinh(\operatorname{ad}(X/2))} \left(\exp\left(-\frac{X}{2}\right) \cdot Z \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{X}{2}\right) \right)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{split} \dot{X}(t) &= \frac{\operatorname{ad}(X(t)/2)}{\sinh(\operatorname{ad}(X(t)/2))} \left(\exp\left(-\frac{X(t)}{2}\right) \cdot (Y \cdot \exp X(t) + \exp X(t) \cdot Y) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{X(t)}{2}\right) \right) \\ &= \frac{\operatorname{ad}(X(t)/2)}{\sinh(\operatorname{ad}(X(t)/2))} \left(\exp\left(-\frac{X(t)}{2}\right) \cdot Y \cdot \exp\left(\frac{X(t)}{2}\right) + \exp\left(\frac{X(t)}{2}\right) \cdot Y \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{X(t)}{2}\right) \right) \\ &= \frac{\operatorname{ad}(X(t)/2)}{\sinh(\operatorname{ad}(X(t)/2))} \left(\operatorname{Ad}\left(\exp\left(-\frac{X(t)}{2}\right) \right) (Y) + \operatorname{Ad}\left(\exp\left(\frac{X(t)}{2}\right) \right) (Y) \right) \\ &= \frac{\operatorname{ad}(X(t)/2)}{\sinh(\operatorname{ad}(X(t)/2))} \left(\exp\left(\operatorname{ad}\left(-\frac{X(t)}{2}\right) \right) (Y) + \exp\left(\operatorname{ad}\left(\frac{X(t)}{2}\right) \right) (Y) \right) \\ &= \frac{\operatorname{ad}(X(t))}{\sinh(\operatorname{ad}(X(t)/2))} \left(\cosh(\operatorname{ad}(X(t)/2)) \right) (Y) \\ &= \operatorname{ad}(X(t)) \coth(\operatorname{ad}(X(t)/2)) (Y) \end{split}$$

■ **Proof of Theorem** 3.3:

 $1 \Rightarrow 2$: Let $f \in \mathscr{E}$ and $Y \in E$. We will show that

$$\exp X(t) = \exp tY \cdot f \cdot \exp tY \tag{29}$$

 \triangle

belongs to $\mathscr{C} = \exp(\mathbf{E})$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. By Lemma 3.4,

$$\dot{X}(t) = \operatorname{ad}(X(t)) \operatorname{coth}(\operatorname{ad}(X(t)/2))(Y).$$

Consider the vector field W on Sym(n) defined by

 $W(X) := \operatorname{ad}(X) \operatorname{coth}(\operatorname{ad}(X/2))(Y), X \in \operatorname{Sym}(n).$

Note that only even powers of ad(X) are involved in the operator $ad(X) \coth(ad(X/2))$. Since $ad(X) \circ ad(X)(Y) \in E$ for $X, Y \in E$, the vector field W(X) is tangent to E for every $X \in E$. It follows that an integral curve X(t) of W starting at $X(0) \in E$ stays in E. Moreover the flow of this vector field is defined for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus setting t = 1 and $Y = \log e$ with $e \in \mathscr{C} = \exp E$, give the result $e \cdot f \cdot e \in \mathscr{C}$.

 $2 \Rightarrow 3$: Suppose that for all e and f in $\mathscr{C} = \exp \mathbf{E}$, the product $e \cdot f \cdot e$ belongs to $\mathscr{C} = \exp \mathbf{E}$. Consider $f \in \mathscr{C} = \exp \mathbf{E}$. By Lemma 2.5, the geodesic of $\operatorname{SPD}(n)$ joining $\operatorname{Id} \in \mathscr{C} = \exp \mathbf{E}$ to f is $t \mapsto \exp(t\log(f))$ hence lies entirely in the manifold $\mathscr{C} = \exp \mathbf{E}$. In particular, its mid-point $\exp(\frac{1}{2}\log(f)) =: f^{\frac{1}{2}}$ belongs to $\mathscr{C} = \exp \mathbf{E}$. In other words, the square roots of elements in $\mathscr{C} = \exp \mathbf{E}$ belong to $\mathscr{C} = \exp \mathbf{E}$. Consider now x and y two elements in $\mathscr{C} = \exp \mathbf{E}$. By hypothesis, $x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot y \cdot x^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ belongs to $\mathscr{C} = \exp \mathbf{E}$, hence $\log x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot y \cdot x^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ belongs to \mathbf{E} and the geodesic

$$t \mapsto \exp(t \log x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot y \cdot x^{-\frac{1}{2}})$$

joining Id to $x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot y \cdot x^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ lies entirely in $\mathscr{C} = \exp E$. Since $x \in \mathscr{C} = \exp E$, by hypothesis the isometry $z \mapsto x^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot z \cdot x^{\frac{1}{2}}$ preserves $\mathscr{C} = \exp E$ and sends the previous geodesic to the unique geodesic of $\operatorname{SPD}(n)$ joining x to y, which therefore lies completely in $\mathscr{C} = \exp E$. Consequently, the space $\mathscr{C} = \exp E$ is geodesically convex in $\operatorname{SPD}(n)$.

 $3 \Rightarrow 4$: Suppose that $\mathscr{C} = \exp E$ is geodesically convex in $\operatorname{SPD}(n)$. By the uniqueness of the geodesic joining two points in $\operatorname{SPD}(n)$ proved in Theorem 2.5, the geodesic of $\operatorname{SPD}(n)$ connecting two points x and y belonging to $\mathscr{C} = \exp E$ minimises the distance between x and y in $\operatorname{SPD}(n)$ and by hypothesis lies entirely in $\mathscr{C} = \exp E$. Therefore it is also a geodesic of $\mathscr{C} = \exp E$. Now consider an arbitrary geodesic $t \mapsto \gamma(t)$ in $\mathscr{C} = \exp E$, with t in some open interval I, and let us show that it is a geodesic in $\operatorname{SPD}(n)$. For every $t_0 \in I$, there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $(t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0 + \varepsilon) \ni t \mapsto \gamma(t)$ is the unique geodesic in $\mathscr{C} = \exp E$ between $\gamma(t_0 - \varepsilon)$ and $\gamma(t_0 + \varepsilon)$. By uniqueness, it is the geodesic segment in $\operatorname{SPD}(n)$ connecting $\gamma(t_0 - \varepsilon)$ and $\gamma(t_0 + \varepsilon)$. Therefore $t \mapsto \gamma(t)$ is a geodesic in $\operatorname{SPD}(n)$.

 $4 \Rightarrow 2$: Suppose that $\mathscr{C} = \exp \mathbf{E}$ is a totally geodesic submanifold of $\operatorname{SPD}(n)$. Let us consider the symmetry s_x with respect to $x \in \operatorname{SPD}(n)$ defined from $\operatorname{SPD}(n)$ to $\operatorname{SPD}(n)$ by $s_x : y \mapsto xy^{-1}x$ (see Proposition 2.10). Every geodesic of the form $t \mapsto x^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp(tY)x^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is mapped to $t \mapsto x^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-tY)x^{\frac{1}{2}}$ by s_x . It follows that every geodesic containing x is stable under s_x . Consequently $s_x(\exp \mathbf{E}) \subset \exp \mathbf{E}$ for every $x \in \exp \mathbf{E}$. In particular, for e and f in $\mathscr{C} = \exp \mathbf{E}$,

$$e \cdot f \cdot e = e^2 \cdot (e \cdot f^{-1} \cdot e)^{-1} \cdot e^2 = s_{e^2} (s_e(f))$$

belongs to $\mathscr{E} = \exp E$.

 $2 \Rightarrow 1$: Suppose that $e \cdot f \cdot e \in \mathscr{C} := \exp(\mathbf{E})$ for all $e, f \in \mathscr{C} = \exp(\mathbf{E})$. Given X and Y in E, consider the smooth function in $\operatorname{Sym}(n)$ defined by

$$Z(s,t) = \log(\exp tY \cdot \exp sX \cdot \exp tY).$$

In particular,

$$Z(s,0) = \log(\exp sX) = sX.$$

By hypothesis, Z(s,t) belongs to E for all $(s,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Since E is a vector space, all the derivatives of the function $(s,t) \mapsto Z(s,t)$ belong to E for all $(s,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. By Lemma 3.4,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}Z(s,t) = \operatorname{ad}(Z(s,t)) \operatorname{coth}\left(\operatorname{ad}(Z(s,t)/2)\right)(Y).$$

In particular

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}_{|t=0} Z(s,t) = \operatorname{ad}(Z(s,0)) \operatorname{coth} \left(\operatorname{ad}(Z(s,0)/2)\right)(Y) = \operatorname{ad}(sX) \operatorname{coth} \left(\operatorname{ad}(sX/2)\right)(Y)$$

belongs to E for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider the Taylor series of $\frac{u}{2} \operatorname{coth}\left(\frac{u}{2}\right)$ in the neighborhood of u = 0:

$$\frac{u}{2}\coth\left(\frac{u}{2}\right) = 1 + \frac{u^2}{12} + u^2\varepsilon(u), \text{ with } \lim_{u\to 0}\varepsilon(u) = 0.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}_{|t=0}Z(s,t) = 2\left(Y + \frac{1}{12}\mathrm{ad}(sX)\circ\mathrm{ad}(sX)(Y) + s^2W\right) \in \mathcal{E} \ \text{with} \ \lim_{s\mapsto 0}W = 0.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{s \to 0} \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|_{t=0}Z(s,t) - 2Y}{s^2} = \frac{1}{6}[X, [X,Y]] \in \mathcal{E} \,.$$

 $1 \Rightarrow 5$: Consider X, Y, Z in E. Developping $[X + Y, [X + Y, Z]] \in E$ we get

 $[X, [Y, Z]] + [Y, [X, Z]] \in E$.

By Jacobi identity,

$$[Y, [X, Z]] = [[Y, X], Z] + [X, [Y, Z]] \in \mathbf{E},$$

hence

$$A := [[Y, X], Z] + 2[X, [Y, Z]] \in E.$$

Interchanging X and Z we also have

$$B := [[Y, Z], X] + 2[Z, [Y, X]] \in E$$

It follows that

$$2A + B = 2[[Y, X], Z] + 4[X, [Y, Z]] + [[Y, Z], X] + 2[Z, [Y, X]] = 3[X, [Y, Z]] \in E.$$

 $5 \Rightarrow 1$ Obvious by taking X = Y.

4 Orthogonal projection on a totally geodesic submanifold

The proof of Mostow's decomposition theorem given in [10] is based on the existence of an orthogonal projection from SPD(n) onto a totally geodesic submanifold $\mathscr{C} := \exp E$ which follows from compactness arguments. Here we use the completeness of $\mathscr{C} := \exp E$ to obtain this projection. Recall from [4] the following Proposition (we sketch the proof for the convenience of the reader).

Proposition 4.1 The manifold SPD(n) endowed with the distance induced from the Riemannian metric (22) is a complete metric space. For any linear subspace E of Sym(n), the manifold $\mathscr{C} := \exp E$, endowed with the induced Riemannian metric, is closed in SPD(n) hence also a complete metric space.

□ **Proof of Proposition** 4.1 By (37), the exponential map exp : $Sym(n) \rightarrow SPD(n)$ increases distances, hence a Cauchy sequence in SPD(n) is the image by the exponential map of a Cauchy sequence in Sym(n) which is complete. By Theorem 2.3, it follows that SPD(n) is complete. The remainder follows from the fact that a linear subspace E is closed in Sym(n). □

Theorem 4.2 Let E be a linear subspace of Sym(n) such that $[X, [X, Y]] \in E$, for all $X, Y \in E$. Then there exists a continuous orthogonal projection from SPD(n) onto the totally geodesic submanifold $\mathscr{C} := \exp E$, *i.e.* a continuous map $\pi : SPD(n) \to \mathscr{C}$ satisfying

$$dist(x, \exp E) = dist(x, \pi(x))$$

and such that the geodesic joining x to $\pi(x)$ is orthogonal to every geodesic starting at $\pi(x)$ and included in $\mathscr{C} := \exp E$.

■ **Proof of Theorem** 4.2:

Let x be a element of SPD(n). Denote by δ the distance between x and $\mathscr{C} := \exp E$ in SPD(n) and let $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a minimizing sequence in $\mathscr{C} := \exp E$ thus that

$$\operatorname{dist}(x, e_n)^2 \le \delta^2 + \frac{1}{n}.$$

Let us show that $\{e_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathscr{C} := \exp E$. For this purpose, consider for k > n the geodesic $\gamma(t)$ joining $e_n =: \gamma(0) \in \mathscr{C}$ to $e_k := \gamma(1) \in \mathscr{C}$. This geodesic lies in \mathscr{C} since \mathscr{C} is a totally geodesic submanifold of SPD(n), and is of the form:

$$\gamma(t) = e_n^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp(tH) e_n^{\frac{1}{2}},$$



Figure 1: Illustration of the orthogonal projection $\pi(p)$ of a point $p \in SPD(n)$ onto a totally geodesic submanifold exp E and the translation of the fiber over $\pi(p)$ by the action of $\pi(p)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.



Figure 2: Illustration of geodesic triangles used in the proof of Theorem 4.2.

where H belongs to E. Denote by $e_{n,k}$ the middle of the geodesic joining e_n to e_k , i.e.

$$e_{n,k} = e_n^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{H}{2}\right) e_n^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

By lemma 2.7 applied to the geodesic triangle joining x, e_n and $e_{n,k}$, we have:

$$\operatorname{dist}(x, e_n)^2 \ge \operatorname{dist}(e_n, e_{n,k})^2 + \operatorname{dist}(e_{n,k}, x)^2 - 2\operatorname{dist}(e_n, e_{n,k})\operatorname{dist}(e_{n,k}, x)\cos\widehat{e_n e_{n,k}}x.$$
 (30)

On the other hand, lemma 2.7 applied to the geodesic triangle joining x, e_k and $e_{n,k}$ gives:

$$\operatorname{dist}(x, e_k)^2 \ge \operatorname{dist}(e_k, e_{n,k})^2 + \operatorname{dist}(e_{n,k}, x)^2 - 2\operatorname{dist}(e_k, e_{n,k})\operatorname{dist}(e_{n,k}, x) \cos \widehat{e_k e_{n,k}} x.$$
(31)

By definition of $e_{n,k}$ we have: $dist(e_k, e_{n,k}) = dist(e_n, e_{n,k})$. Moreover since the geodesic γ is a smooth curve, the sum of the following angles is the flat one:

$$\widehat{e_k e_{n,k} x} + \widehat{e_n e_{n,k} x} = \pi$$

and $\cos e_k e_{n,k} x = -\cos e_n e_{n,k} x$. Summing inequalities (30) and (31), we obtain:

$$\operatorname{dist}(x, e_n)^2 + \operatorname{dist}(x, e_k)^2 \ge 2\operatorname{dist}(e_k, e_{n,k})^2 + 2\operatorname{dist}(e_{n,k}, x)^2.$$

It follows that:

$$\operatorname{dist}(e_k, e_{n,k})^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\operatorname{dist}(x, e_n)^2 + \operatorname{dist}(x, e_k)^2 \right) - \operatorname{dist}(e_{n,k}, x)^2$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\delta^2 + \frac{1}{n} + \delta^2 + \frac{1}{k} \right) - \delta^2$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{k} \right).$$

This yields that

$$\operatorname{dist}(e_n, e_k) \le \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Consequently $\{e_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathscr{C} = \exp E$. By Proposition 4.1, \mathscr{C} is a complete metric space hence the sequence $\{e_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to a element $\pi(x)$ in \mathscr{C} satisfying:

$$\operatorname{dist}(x, \pi(x)) = \operatorname{dist}(x, \mathscr{C}).$$

Denote by $\alpha(t)$ the constant speed geodesic which satisfies $\alpha(0) = \pi(x)$ and $\alpha(1) = x$. By uniqueness of the geodesic joining two points in SPD(n), it follows that the length of α is dist (x, \mathcal{E}) . The map

$$y \mapsto (\pi(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}} y(\pi(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

being an isometry of SPD(n) which preserves \mathscr{C} , the curve $t \mapsto (\pi(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \alpha(t)(\pi(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is a geodesic whose length is the distance between

$$(\pi(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}}\alpha(1)(\pi(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}} = (\pi(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}}x(\pi(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

and \mathscr{C} . Therefore the projection of $(\pi(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}}x(\pi(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ onto \mathscr{C} is $(\pi(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}}\alpha(0)(\pi(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \mathrm{Id}$. From lemma 2.5 it follows that:

$$(\pi(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}}\alpha(t)(\pi(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \exp tV,$$

for some V in Sym(n). Since the length of $t \mapsto \exp tV$ is ||V||, V is in F and $(\pi(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}}x(\pi(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is in $\exp F$. Since $E \perp F$, by lemma 2.7, $(\pi(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}}\alpha(\pi(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is orthogonal at the identity to every curve starting at the identity and contained in $\exp E$. Therefore α is orthogonal at $\pi(x)$ to every curve starting at $\pi(x)$ and contained in $\exp E$.

To show that π is continuous, denote by $\gamma(t)$ (resp. $\alpha(t)$) the geodesic joining a point x_1 (resp. x_2) in SPD(n) to its projection onto $\exp E$, with $\gamma(0) = \pi(x_1)$ (resp. $\alpha(0) = \pi(x_2)$) and $\gamma(1) = x_1$ (resp. $\alpha(1) = x_2$). By the negative curvature property stated in Lemma 2.8, the map $t \mapsto \operatorname{dist}(\gamma(t), \alpha(t))$ is convex. Since, for t = 0, $\gamma(t)$ and $\alpha(t)$ are orthogonal to the geodesic joining $\pi(x_1)$ and $\pi(x_2)$ (which is contained in \mathscr{C}), the minimum of the distance between $\gamma(t)$ and $\alpha(t)$ is reached for t = 0, and $\operatorname{dist}(x_1, x_2) \geq \operatorname{dist}(\pi(x_1), \pi(x_2))$.

5 Mostow's decomposition Theorem for $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$

Theorem 5.1 Let E be a linear subspace of Sym(n) such that:

$$[X, [X, Y]] \in \mathbf{E}, \quad for \ all \quad X, Y \in \mathbf{E},$$

and let F be its orthogonal in Sym(n):

$$\mathbf{F} := \mathbf{E}^{\perp} = \{ X \in \operatorname{Sym}(n) \mid \operatorname{Tr} XY = 0, \forall Y \in \mathbf{E} \}.$$

Then for all symmetric positive-definite operator x in SPD(n), there exist a unique element $e \in \mathscr{C} := \exp E$ and a unique element $f \in \mathscr{F} := \exp F$ such that x = efe. More precisely,

$$e := (\pi(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \in \mathscr{E} = \exp \mathbf{E}$$

$$f := (\pi(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}} x(\pi(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \in \mathscr{F} := \exp \mathbf{F}$$

where π denotes the projection onto the totally geodesic submanifold exp E. Moreover the map defined from SPD(n) to exp E × exp F taking A to (e, f) is a homeomorphism.

■ **Proof of Theorem** 5.1:

Let us introduce the map

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \Upsilon : & \exp \mathcal{E} \times \exp \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{SPD}(n) \\ & & (e,f) & \longmapsto & efe \end{array}$$

Let us show that Υ is one-one. Suppose that (e_1, f_1) and (e_2, f_2) are elements of $\exp E \times \exp F$ such that $e_1f_1e_1 = e_2f_2e_2$. Consider the geodesic triangle joining $e_1f_1e_1$, e_1^2 and e_2^2 . By Theorem 3.3, $\exp E$ is a geodesic subspace of $\operatorname{SPD}(n)$. Thus the geodesic joining e_1^2 to e_2^2 lies in $\exp E$. On the other hand the geodesic joining $e_1f_1e_1$ to e_1^2 lies in $e_1 \exp F e_1$. Since E is perpendicular to F at zero, $\exp E$ is perpendicular to $\exp F$ at the identity by lemma 2.7. Since the map taking any $x \in \operatorname{SPD}(n)$ to $e_1x e_1 \in \operatorname{SPD}(n)$ is an isometry, the manifold $e_1 \exp F e_1$ is perpendicular to the manifold $e_1 \exp E e_1 = \exp E$ at e_1^2 . Hence the angle at e_1^2 of the above geodesic triangle is 90°. Similarly, the angle at e_2^2 is 90° since it is formed by the geodesic joining e_2^2 to $e_2f_2e_2 = e_1f_1e_1$ which lies in $e_2 \exp F e_2$ and the geodesic joining e_2^2 to e_1^2 which lies in $\exp E$. Denoting by a the length of the side of the geodesic triangle joining e_1^2 to e_2^2 , b the length of the side joining $e_1f_1e_1$ to e_1^2 and c the length of the side joining $e_1f_1e_1$ to e_2^2 , ones has $c^2 \ge b^2 + a^2$ and $b^2 \ge c^2 + a^2$ by lemma 2.7. This implies that a = 0 and $e_1^2 = e_2^2$. It follows that $e_1 = e_2$ and $f_1 = f_2$.

Let us show that Υ is onto. Consider x in SPD(n). By Theorem 4.2, the geodesic joining x to $\pi(x) \in \exp E$ is orthogonal to every geodesic starting at $\pi(x)$ and contained in $\exp E$. Denote by γ the geodesic satisfying $\gamma(0) = \text{Id} \in \text{SPD}(n)$ and $\gamma(1) = (\pi(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}} x(\pi(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Since $y \mapsto (\pi(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}} y(\pi(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is an isometry, γ is orthogonal to every geodesic starting at the identity and contained in

$$(\pi(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \exp \mathbf{E} \cdot (\pi(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \exp \mathbf{E}.$$

By lemma 2.7, γ is tangent to $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{E}^{\perp}$ at the identity and since it is of the form $t \mapsto \exp tH$ by lemma 2.5, we have H in F. It follows that $\gamma(1) = \exp H = (\pi(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}} x(\pi(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is in $\exp \mathbf{F}$. Therefore x = efe with $e := (\pi(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ in $\exp \mathbf{E}$ and $f := (\pi(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}} x(\pi(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ in $\exp \mathbf{F}$. Consequently Υ is onto.

The continuity of the map that takes x to $(e, f) \in \exp E \times \exp F$ with x = efe, i.e. $e := (\pi(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $f := (\pi(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}} x(\pi(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ follows directly from the continuity of the projection π .

Theorem 5.2 (Mostow's Decomposition) Let E and F be as in Theorem 5.1. Then $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ is homeomorphic to the product $O(n) \times \exp F \times \exp E$.

Proof of Theorem 5.2:

Denote by Θ the map from $O(n) \times \exp E \times \exp F$ to $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ that takes (k, f, e) to the product of matrices $k \cdot f \cdot e$.

Let us show that Θ is one-one. Suppose that $a = k_1 f_1 e_1 = k_2 f_2 e_2$ with (k_1, f_1, e_1) and (k_2, f_2, e_2) in $O(n) \times \exp E \times \exp F$. We have

$$a^T a = e_1 f_1^2 e_1 = e_2 f_2^2 e_2.$$

Since f_1^2 and f_2^2 are in exp F, by Theorem 5.1, it follows that $e_1 = e_2$ and $f_1^2 = f_2^2$. Thus $f_1 = f_2$ and $k_1 = k_2$.

Let us show that Θ is onto. Consider x in $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$. By Theorem 5.1, since $x^T x$ is an element of SPD(n), there exist $e \in \exp E$ and $f \in \exp F$ such that $x^T x = ef^2 e$. Let k be $x(fe)^{-1}$. We have:

$$k^{T}k = \left((fe)^{-1}\right)^{T}x^{T}x(fe)^{-1} = \left(e^{-1}f^{-1}\right)^{T}x^{T}x e^{-1}f^{-1} = f^{-1}e^{-1}\left(ef^{2}e\right)e^{-1}f^{-1} = \operatorname{id}_{x}f^{-1}$$

Thus k is in O(n) and x = kfe.

The continuity of the map that takes x in $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ to (k, f, e) in $O(n) \times \exp F \times \exp E$ follows from the continuity of the map that takes x to $x^T x$ and from Theorem 5.1.

■ **Proof of Theorem** 1.1:

The Theorem of the Introduction is now a summary of previous considerations.

\Box **Proof of Corollary** 1.2:

Consider N a geodesically complete and convex submanifold in SPD(n). Let x by an arbitrary element in N. Then the image of N by the isometry $y \mapsto x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot y \cdot x^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is a geodesically complete and convex submanifold in SPD(n) containing Id. By Theorem 1.1 it is of the form $\exp E$ with E satisfying $[X, [X, Y]] \in E$.

A The differential of the exponential map of a Lie group G

A.1 First expression of the differential of the exponential map

The following Proposition explicits the differential of the exponential map and is well-known in the theory of finite-dimensional linear group. For the sake of completeness, we give below a proof using the canonical connection on the tangent bundle of a Lie group G, which was explained to us by P. Gauduchon. The reader will find the computation of the differential of the exponential map using powers series as a consequence of Lemma 1 in [10] (this computation works as well in the infinite-dimensional setting, see for instance Proposition 2.5.3 page 116 in [16]). See also [6].

Let us introduce the connection on the tangent bundle TG of G for which the left-invariant vector fields are parallel. It is a flat connection since a trivialization of the tangent bundle is given by the left-invariant vector fields associated to an arbitrary basis of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G. We give in Proposition A.1 the corresponding covariant derivative ∇ in terms of the (left) Maurer-Cartan \mathfrak{g} -valued 1-form $\theta: TG \to \mathfrak{g}$ defined by

$$\theta_g(W) = (L_{q^{-1}})_*(W),$$

where $g \in G$, $W \in T_g G$, and where $(L_{g^{-1}})_*$ denotes the differential of the left multiplication by g^{-1} . For a matrix group G, $(L_{q^{-1}})_*(W) = g^{-1}W$.

Proposition A.1 Let W be a vector field on G, i.e. a section of the tangent bundle TG. For a tangent vector Z in T_qG , define

$$(\nabla_Z W)(g) := (L_g)_* \left(\frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0} \theta_{\gamma_Z(t)} \left(W\left(\gamma_Z(t)\right) \right) \right) \in T_g G$$
(32)

where γ_Z is any smooth curve in G with $\gamma_Z(0) = g$ and $\frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0}\gamma_Z(t) = Z$. Then ∇ defines a connection for which left-invariant vector fields are parallel.

 \Box **Proof of Proposition** Note that $t \mapsto \theta_{\gamma_Z(t)} (W(\gamma_Z(t)))$ is a smooth curve in \mathfrak{g} , hence its derivative belongs to \mathfrak{g} . For a left-invariant vector field W this curve is constant, hence its derivative vanishes. In order to verify that formula (32) defines indeed a connection, we have to show that

$$\nabla_Z(fW) = df(Z)W + f\nabla_Z W,$$

for any function f on G, and any vector field W. One has

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{Z}(fW))(g) &= (L_{g})_{*} \left(\frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0} \theta_{\gamma_{Z}(t)} \left(f\left(\gamma_{Z}(t)\right) W\left(\gamma_{Z}(t)\right) \right) \right) \\ &= (L_{g})_{*} \left(\frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0} \left(L_{\gamma_{Z}(t)^{-1}} \right)_{*} \left(f\left(\gamma_{Z}(t)\right) W\left(\gamma_{Z}(t)\right) \right) \right) \\ &= f(g)(L_{g})_{*} \left(\frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0} \left(L_{\gamma_{Z}(t)^{-1}} \right)_{*} \left(W\left(\gamma_{Z}(t)\right) \right) \right) \\ &+ (L_{g})_{*} \left(\frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0} \left(L_{g^{-1}} \right)_{*} \left(f\left(\gamma_{Z}(t)\right) W\left(g\right) \right) \right) \\ &= f(g)(\nabla_{Z}W)(g) + df(Z)W(g) \end{aligned}$$

Proposition A.2 For every Lie group G, with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , the differential of the exponential map $\exp : \mathfrak{g} \to G$ is given at $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ by :

$$(d_X \exp)(Y) = L_{\exp(X)} \left(\frac{1 - e^{-\operatorname{ad}(X)}}{\operatorname{ad}(X)}\right)(Y).$$
(33)

for all Y in \mathfrak{g} .

 \Box **Proof of Proposition** A.2:

Let us define the following map :

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \Phi & : & \mathbb{R}^2 & \longrightarrow & G \\ & & (t,s) & \longmapsto & \exp\left(t(X+sY)\right) \end{array}$$

Consider the push-forward U and V of the vector fields $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}$ on \mathbb{R}^2 :

$$U\left(\Phi(t,s)\right) := \Phi_*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \text{ and } V\left(\Phi(t,s)\right) = \Phi_*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right)$$

Denote by $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{X}}$ the bracket of vector fields. One has:

$$[U,V]_{\mathfrak{X}} = \left[\Phi_*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right), \Phi_*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right)\right]_{\mathfrak{X}} = \Phi_*\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right]_{\mathfrak{X}} = 0.$$
(34)

Note that

$$V\left(\Phi(t,s)\right) = \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial s}(t,s) = \left(d\exp_{(tX+stY)}\right)(tY) \text{ and } V\left(\Phi(1,0)\right) = \left(d_X\exp\right)(Y).$$

The idea of this proof is to explicit the differential equation satisfied by the \mathfrak{g} -valued function

$$v(t) := \left(L_{\Phi(t,0)}^{-1}\right)_* V\left(\Phi(t,0)\right) = \left(L_{\exp(tX)}^{-1}\right)_* V\left(\exp(tX)\right)$$

For this purpose we will use the connection ∇ on the tangent bundle of G defined by (32). Note that by the very definition of the exponential map on a Lie group, $t \mapsto \exp(tX) = \Phi(t,0)$ is a geodesic for this connection, hence

$$\nabla_U U = 0 \tag{35}$$

along $\Phi(t,0)$. Let us denote by T and R the torsion and the curvature of ∇ . By definition :

$$T(U,V) := \nabla_U V - \nabla_V U - [U,V]_{\mathfrak{X}}$$

and
$$R_{U,V}U := \nabla_V \nabla_U U - \nabla_U \nabla_V U - \nabla_{[V,U]_{\mathfrak{X}}} U.$$

By (34), one has

$$\nabla_U V = \nabla_V U + T(U, V),$$

hence

$$\nabla_U (\nabla_U V) = \nabla_U \nabla_V U + \nabla_U T(U, V).$$

But the curvature tensor vanishes, hence (34) and (35) imply

$$\nabla_U \nabla_V U = \nabla_V \nabla_U U - \nabla_{[V,U]_{\mathfrak{X}}} U = 0.$$

Consequently one has

$$\nabla_U \left(\nabla_U V \right) = \nabla_U T(U, V).$$

By the expression (32) of the connection, one has

$$T(U,V)\left(\Phi(t,0)\right) = \left(\nabla_U V - \nabla_V U\right)\left(\Phi(t,0)\right) = \left(L_{\Phi(t,0)}\right)_* \left(U \cdot \theta(V) - V \cdot \theta(U)\right).$$

Let us recall that the torsion is a tensor, hence $T(U, V)(\Phi(t, 0))$ does not depend on the extensions of the vectors $U(\Phi(t, 0))$ and $V(\Phi(t, 0))$ into vector fields. Using the left-invariant extensions of these two vectors one see easily that by the very definition of the bracket in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} one has

$$T(U,V)(\Phi(t,0)) = -(L_{\Phi(t,0)})_* [\theta(U), \theta(V)].$$

Whence

$$\nabla_U \left(\nabla_U V \right) = -\nabla_U \left(L_{\Phi(t,0)} \right)_* \left[\theta(U), \theta(V) \right] = \left(L_{\Phi(t,0)} \right)_* \frac{d}{dt} \left[\theta(U), \theta(V) \right]$$

Now, along $\Phi(t,0)$, the vector $\theta(U)$ is the constant vector X, and $\theta(V) = v(t)$. It follows that

$$\frac{d^2 v(t)}{dt^2} = \left(L_{\Phi(t,0)}^{-1}\right)_* \nabla_U \left(\nabla_U V\right) = -\left(L_{\Phi(t,0)}^{-1}\right)_* \nabla_U \left(L_{\Phi(t,0)}\right)_* [X,\theta(V)] = -\nabla_U [X,\theta(V)].$$

This leads to the following differential equation

$$\frac{d^2v(t)}{dt^2} = -\left[X, \frac{dv}{dt}\right]$$

with initial conditions v(0) = 0 and $\frac{dv}{dt}_{|t=0} = Y$. A first integration leads to

$$\frac{dv}{dt} = e^{-tad(X)}(Y)$$

and a second to

$$v(t) = \left(\frac{1 - e^{-t\operatorname{ad}(X)}}{\operatorname{ad}(X)}\right)(Y).$$

So the result follows from the identity $v(1) = \left(L_{\exp(X)}^{-1}\right)_* (d_X \exp)(Y).$

A.2 Second expression of the differential of the exponential map

Lemma A.3 For X in Sym(n), define

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_X &: \quad \operatorname{Sym}(n) &\longrightarrow \quad \operatorname{Sym}(n) \\ Y & \mapsto \quad \tau_X(Y) &:= L_{\exp(-\frac{X}{2})} R_{\exp(-\frac{X}{2})} d_X \exp(Y). \end{aligned}$$
(36)

Then

$$\tau_X = \frac{\sinh(\operatorname{ad}(X/2))}{\operatorname{ad}(X/2)} = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(\operatorname{ad}(X)/2)^{2n}}{(2n+1)!}.$$
(37)

Moreover τ_X is a linear isomorphism of Sym(n), that depends smoothly on $X \in \text{Sym}(n)$, and whose inverse is τ_X^{-1} : Sym(n) \to Sym(n)

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\overset{-1}{X} : & \operatorname{Sym}(n) & \to & \operatorname{Sym}(n) \\
& Y & \mapsto & \frac{\operatorname{ad}(X/2)}{\sinh(\operatorname{ad}(X/2))}(Y),
\end{array}$$
(38)

In particular,

$$d_X \exp(Y) = R_{\exp(\frac{X}{2})} L_{\exp(\frac{X}{2})} \tau_X(Y) = \exp\left(\frac{X}{2}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{\sinh(\operatorname{ad}(X/2))}{\operatorname{ad}(X/2)}(Y)\right) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{X}{2}\right)$$
(39)
(40)

and

$$(d_X \exp)^{-1}(Z) = \tau_X^{-1} L_{\exp\left(-\frac{X}{2}\right)} R_{\exp\left(-\frac{X}{2}\right)}(Z) = \frac{\operatorname{ad}(X/2)}{\sinh(\operatorname{ad}(X/2))} \left(\exp\left(-\frac{X}{2}\right) \cdot Z \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{X}{2}\right)\right)$$
(41)

\triangle **Proof of Lemma** A.3:

A direct consequence of formula (33) in Proposition A.2 is that, for all Y in Sym(n), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_X(Y) &= L_{\exp(-\frac{X}{2})} R_{\exp(-\frac{X}{2})} d_X \exp(Y) = L_{\exp(-\frac{X}{2})} R_{\exp(-\frac{X}{2})} L_{\exp(X)} \left(\frac{1 - e^{-\operatorname{ad}(X)}}{\operatorname{ad}(X)} \right)(Y) \\ &= L_{\exp(\frac{X}{2})} R_{\exp(-\frac{X}{2})} \left(\frac{1 - e^{-\operatorname{ad}(X)}}{\operatorname{ad}(X)} \right)(Y) = \operatorname{Ad}_{\exp(\frac{X}{2})} \left(\left(\frac{1 - e^{-\operatorname{ad}(X)}}{\operatorname{ad}(X)} \right)(Y) \right) \\ &= \exp\left(\operatorname{ad}\left(\frac{X}{2}\right)\right) \left(\left(\frac{1 - e^{-\operatorname{ad}(X)}}{\operatorname{ad}(X)} \right)(Y) \right) = \left(\frac{e^{\operatorname{ad}(X/2)} - e^{-\operatorname{ad}(X/2)}}{\operatorname{ad}(X)} \right)(Y) \\ &= \frac{\sinh(\operatorname{ad}(X/2))}{\operatorname{ad}(X/2)}(Y), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\frac{\sinh(\mathrm{ad}(X/2))}{\mathrm{ad}(X/2)} = \frac{\exp\left(\mathrm{ad}(X/2)\right) - \exp\left(-\mathrm{ad}(X/2)\right)}{\mathrm{ad}(X)} = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(\mathrm{ad}(X)/2)^{2n}}{(2n+1)!}.$$
(42)

Every X in Sym(n) is diagonalisable in an orthonormal basis $\{e_i, 1 \le i \le n\}$ with real eigenvalues λ_i , $1 \le i \le n$ (counted with multiplicity). The eigenvalues of ad(X) acting on M(n, \mathbb{R}) are the real numbers $(\lambda_i - \lambda_j), 1 \le i, j \le n$, the corresponding eigenvectors being $E_{ij} := e_i \otimes e_j$ (this follows immedatily from the fact that x is similar to a diagonal matrix with eigenvalues λ_i). The eigenvalues of τ_X are

$$\frac{\sinh(\frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}{2})}{\frac{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)}{2}},$$

 $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, with E_{ij} as eigenvectors (the operator should be interpreted as the identity map when i = j). Since for any real number $u \in \mathbb{R}$, $\frac{\sinh u}{u} \geq 1$, τ_X is injective on $\operatorname{Sym}(n)$. Since τ_X is linear, it is also surjective. Moreover τ_X depends smoothly on X because $\frac{\sinh u}{u}$ is smooth and $\operatorname{ad}(X)$ depends smoothly on X. The inverse of τ_X is the linear map on $\operatorname{Sym}(n)$ with E_{ij} as eigenvectors and corresponding eigenvalues

$$\frac{\frac{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)}{2}}{\sinh(\frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}{2})}$$

 $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Therefore it can be written as

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \tau_X^{-1}: & \operatorname{Sym}(n) & \to & \operatorname{Sym}(n) \\ & Y & \mapsto & \frac{\operatorname{ad}(X/2)}{\sinh\operatorname{ad}(X/2)}(Y), \end{array}$$

Δ

1(17)

B Geodesics in locally symmetric homogeneous spaces

Proposition B.1 Let M = G/K be a locally symmetric homogeneous space of a Lie group G with unit element e and Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$, where \mathfrak{k} is the Lie algebra of K and $[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{k}$. Then, for any G-invariant Riemannian metric on M, the geodesics starting at o = eK are given by the action of one-parameter subgroups of G generated by elements in \mathfrak{m} , i.e. are of the form

$$\gamma(t) = (\exp t\mathfrak{a}) \cdot o \in M,$$

where \mathfrak{a} belongs to \mathfrak{m} (here the dot denotes the action of G on M).

The proof of previous Proposition is based on the fact that for locally symmetric homogeneous manifolds, the homogeneous connection is the Levi-Cevita connection of any *G*-invariant Riemannian metric. The homogeneous connection $\hat{\nabla}$ on the tangent space of *M* is defined as follows. For every element \mathfrak{a} in \mathfrak{m}_x and every vector field *X* on *M*, one has

$$\hat{\nabla}_{X^{\mathfrak{a}}(x)}X = (\mathcal{L}_{X^{\mathfrak{a}}}X)(x) = [X^{\mathfrak{a}}, X]_{\mathfrak{X}}$$

$$\tag{43}$$

where \mathcal{L} denotes the Lie derivative and $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{X}}$ the bracket of vector fields. For \mathfrak{a} in \mathfrak{m}_x and \mathfrak{b} in \mathfrak{g} , one has :

$$\hat{\nabla}_{X^{\mathfrak{a}}(x)}X^{\mathfrak{b}} = [X^{\mathfrak{a}}, X^{\mathfrak{b}}]_{\mathfrak{X}}(x) = -X^{[\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}]}(x).$$

The torsion of the connection $\hat{\nabla}$ is given by

$$T^{\hat{\nabla}}(X^{\mathfrak{a}}, X^{\mathfrak{b}}) = \hat{\nabla}_{X^{\mathfrak{a}}} X^{\mathfrak{b}} - \hat{\nabla}_{X^{\mathfrak{b}}} X^{\mathfrak{a}} - [X^{\mathfrak{a}}, X^{\mathfrak{b}}]_{\mathfrak{X}} = -X^{[\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}]}$$

It follows that for a locally symmetric homogeneous space, the homogeneous connection is torsion free since for \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} in $T_x M = \mathfrak{m}_x$, $[\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}]$ belongs to the isotropy \mathfrak{k}_x thus $X^{[\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}]}$ vanishes. On the other hand, it follows from definition (43) that the covariant derivative of any tensor field Φ along $Y \in T_x M$ is the Lie derivative of Φ along the vector field $X^{\mathfrak{a}}$ where $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{m}_x$ is such that $Y = X^{\mathfrak{a}}(x)$. Thus the homogeneous connection preserves every *G*-invariant Riemannian metric. Consequently $\hat{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection of every *G*-invariant Riemannian metric on the locally symmetric space M = G/H.

\Box **Proof of Proposition** B.1:

Every element \mathfrak{a} in \mathfrak{g} generates a vector field $X^{\mathfrak{a}}$ on the homogeneous space M = G/K. For every $x = g \cdot o, g \in G$, the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} splits into $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k}_x \oplus \mathfrak{m}_x$, where $\mathfrak{k}_x := \mathrm{Ad}(g)(\mathfrak{k})$ is the Lie algebra of the isotropy group at x and where $\mathfrak{m}_x := \mathrm{Ad}(g)(\mathfrak{m})$ can be identified with the tangent space $T_x M$ of M at x by the application $\mathfrak{a} \mapsto X^{\mathfrak{a}}(x)$. To see that for $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{m}$, the curve

$$\gamma(t) = (\exp t\mathfrak{a}) \cdot o, \qquad \mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{m}.$$

is a geodesic, note that the equality $\mathfrak{a} = \operatorname{Ad}(\exp t\mathfrak{a})(\mathfrak{a})$ implies that \mathfrak{a} belongs to the space $\mathfrak{m}_{\gamma(t)}$ for all t. Hence from $\dot{\gamma}(t) = X^{\mathfrak{a}}(\gamma(t))$ it follows that $\hat{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}(t)}\dot{\gamma}(t) = \mathcal{L}_{X^{\mathfrak{a}}}X^{\mathfrak{a}}(\gamma(t)) = 0$. In other words γ is a geodesic of M.

Remark B.2 (Curvature) For a locally symmetric homogeneous space, the curvature tensor R of ∇ defined by

$$R_{X,Y}Z := \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]} Z.$$

has the following simple formula

$$R_{X,Y}Z = [[X,Y],Z].$$
(44)

Indeed, for $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{m}_x$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} R_{X^{\mathfrak{a}},X^{\mathfrak{b}}}X^{\mathfrak{c}} &= \hat{\nabla}_{X^{\mathfrak{a}}}([X^{\mathfrak{b}},X^{\mathfrak{c}}]_{\mathfrak{X}}) - \hat{\nabla}_{X^{\mathfrak{b}}}([X^{\mathfrak{a}},X^{\mathfrak{c}}]_{\mathfrak{X}}) - \hat{\nabla}_{[X^{\mathfrak{a}},X^{\mathfrak{b}}]_{\mathfrak{X}}}X^{\mathfrak{c}} \\ &= [X^{\mathfrak{a}},[X^{\mathfrak{b}},X^{\mathfrak{c}}]_{\mathfrak{X}}]_{\mathfrak{X}} - [X^{\mathfrak{b}},[X^{\mathfrak{a}},X^{\mathfrak{c}}]_{\mathfrak{X}}]_{\mathfrak{X}} + \hat{\nabla}_{X^{[\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}]}}X^{\mathfrak{c}} \\ &= [[X^{\mathfrak{a}},X^{\mathfrak{b}}]_{\mathfrak{X}},X^{\mathfrak{c}}]_{\mathfrak{X}},\end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we have used $X^{[\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}]} = 0$ for $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \in \mathfrak{m}_x$, since $[\mathfrak{m}_x, \mathfrak{m}_x] \subset \mathfrak{k}_x$ and \mathfrak{k}_x acts trivially on $T_x M$.

References

- [1] Andruchow, E.; Larotonda, G. Nonpositively curved metric in the positive cone of a finite von Neumann algebra, Journal of the London Mathematical Society, Volume74, Issue1, 2006, 205–218.
- [2] A. Arvanitoyeorgos, An Introduction to Lie Groups and the Geometry of Homogeneous Spaces, Student Math. Library no. 22, American Math. Society, Providence, R.I., (2003).
- [3] A.L. Besse, *Einstein Manifolds*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihre Grenzgebiete, Springer-Verlag, (1986).
- [4] R. Bhatia, Positive Definite Matrices, Princeton University Press, Princeton Series in Applied Mathematics, 2007.
- [5] C. Conde, G. Larotonda, Manifolds of semi-negative curvature, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 100 (3) (2010) 670–704.
- [6] Godement, R. Introduction a la theorie des groupes de Lie, first edition : Publications Mathematiques de l'Universite Paris VII (Numeros 11-12), (1982), second edition : Springer (2004).
- [7] Helgason, S., Differential Geometry and Symmetric Spaces, Academic Press, New York, (1962).
- [8] Larotonda, G. Geodesic Convexity, Symmetric Spaces and Hilbert-Schmidt Operators, PhD Thesis, Universidad Nacional de General Sarmiento, Buenos Aires, Argentina (2005).

- [9] M. Miglioli, Decompositions and complexifications of some infinite-dimensional homogeneous spaces, Journal of Functional Analysis 266 (2014) 6599–6618.
- [10] Mostow, G.D. Some new decomposition theorems for semi-simple groups, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 1955 (1955), n^o 14, 31-54.
- [11] Mumford, D.; Fogarty, J.; Kirwan, F. Geometric Invariant Theory, (3rd. ed.), Springer, (1994).
- [12] Neeb, K.-H. Highest weight representations and infinite-dimensional Kähler manifolds, Recent advanceds in Lie theory (Vigo, 2000), 367-392, Res. Exp. Math., 25, Heldermann, Lemgo, (2002).
- [13] Neeb, K.-H. A Cartan-Hadamard theorem for Banach-Finsler manifolds, Geom. Dedicata 95 (2002), 115–156.
- [14] B. O'Neill, Semi-Riemannian Geometry with Applications to Relativity, Academic Press, United Kingdom, (1983).
- [15] H. Porta, L. Recht. Conditional expectations and operator decompositions. Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 12 (1994), no. 4, 335–339.
- [16] Tumpach, A. B. Variétés kählériennes et hyperkählériennes de dimension infinie, Ph.D Thesis, École Polytechnique, Palaiseau, France, (july 2005), electronic version available at https://theses.hal.science/tel-00012012v1
- [17] Tumpach, A. B. Mostow's decomposition theorem for L*-groups and applications to affine coadjoint orbits and stable manifolds, Journal of Geometry and Physics, Volume 191, 2023, 104881, ISSN 0393-0440, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomphys.2023.104881s

Alice Barbara TUMPACH Institut CNRS Pauli Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1 1090 Vienna, Austria *E-mail address :* barbara.tumpach@math.cnrs.fr Gabriel Larotonda Departamento de Matemática, FCEyN, UBA Avenida Cantilo S/N, Ciudad Universitaria 1428 Buenos Aires, Argentina glaroton@dm.uba.ar