

HENDERSON-LIKE INTERVAL MAPS

JERNEJ ČINČ

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study interval maps f with zero topological entropy that are crooked; i.e. whose inverse limit with f as the single bonding map is the pseudo-arc. We show that there are uncountably many pairwise non-conjugate zero entropy crooked interval maps with different sets of fixed points. We also show that there are uncountably many crooked maps that are pairwise non-conjugate and have exactly two fixed points. Furthermore, we provide a characterization of crooked interval maps that are under or above the diagonal.

1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this paper is to address the question about variety of maps with entropy 0 that give pseudo-arc in the inverse limit. Henderson in [14] provided a construction to obtain such a map, we call it here the Henderson map f_H , see Section 3.1 for more information on the construction of such a map. However, it is a priori unclear if the construction given in [14] can be modified in order to obtain interval maps non-conjugate to f_H whose inverse limit is the pseudo-arc and have topological entropy 0. This question has been recently circulating in the community working in the interaction between Continuum Theory and Topological Dynamical Systems; it was also mentioned in the introduction of the paper of Drwięga and Oprocha [12]. We answer this question in the affirmative and in particular show that there are uncountably many such pairwise non-conjugate interval maps with different sets of fixed points as well as with exactly two fixed points. Moreover, we provide a characterization of crooked interval maps that are under the diagonal. Our characterization of crooked under diagonal maps says it is enough to require crookedness in an arbitrary small neighbourhood U of point 1 and in addition to find a point $x \in U$ that is attracted to 0 by this map. Analogous results also hold for maps that are under the diagonal.

In a sense, this paper also initiates the study that is complementary to the study in [10]. There, Oprocha and the author prove that generic maps in the closure of the set of all maps with dense set of periodic points as well as in the set of all Lebesgue measure-preserving are giving pseudo-arc in the inverse limit. In that context, the topological entropy of maps is known to be ∞ (see e.g. Proposition 26 in [7] and Remark in [8]). Mouron [17] has proved that crooked maps can have topological entropy ∞ or 0. Combining these perspectives and putting them in the context of [10] our results also show that there are uncountably many non-conjugate embeddings of the pseudo-arc in the plane with planar homeomorphisms having topological entropy 0. This follows from application of BBM technique developed by Barge and Martin [3] (see [10] for details).

Furthermore, our study can be seen as the continuation of the study towards understanding the interaction between the dynamics of interval maps and topological complexity of their inverse limits. One direction of this line of study culminated

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37E05, 37B45.

Key words and phrases. pseudo-arc, inverse limit.

in a very nice paper of Darji and Kato [11] where the authors, using recent developments in local entropy theory, show that inverse limit of a graph map with positive topological entropy contains an indecomposable subcontinuum. The other direction of this line of research has, however, not been explored to a great extent and our research can be thought as the first step in that direction. Our paper can also be treated as a continuation of the work of Greenwood and Štimac [13] where the authors characterized interval maps that give arcs in the inverse limit.

Let us give a brief outline of the paper. In Section 2 we provide preliminary definitions used throughout the paper. In Section 3 we define the collection of maps that we will work with in the rest of the paper, provide initial examples that motivate our study and explain our approach. In Section 3.1 we give a brief outline of the Henderson's example f_H [14] that will be used implicitly in the rest of the paper. Finally, in Section 4 we prove the main results about non-conjugate under and upper diagonal interval maps with zero topological entropy that give pseudo-arc in the inverse limit as well as provide their characterization.

2. GENERAL PRELIMINARIES

We denote the set of *natural numbers* by $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, and let $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. By I let us denote the *unit interval* $[0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$. A *map* $f: I \rightarrow I$ is a continuous surjective function. Denote the *set of interval maps* by $C(I)$. We equip $C(I)$ with the metric of uniform convergence. Let $f^n = f \circ \dots \circ f$ denote the *n-th iterate* of f . We call a point $c \in (0, 1)$ a *critical point* of $f: I \rightarrow I$ if for every open $J \ni c$, $f|_J$ is not one-to-one. In particular, we say that c is a *strict critical point* if in addition there exists an interval $c \in (t_1, t_2) \subset J$ such that $f|_{(t_1, c)}$ and $f|_{(c, t_2)}$ are one-to-one. Interval map is called *piecewise monotone*, if it has finitely many critical points. Given $f: I \rightarrow I$, an *orbit of a point* $x \in I$ is the set

$$\text{Orb}(x, f) := \{f^n(x) : n \geq 0\}.$$

We say that a point $x \in I$ is *f-attracted* to $y \in I$ if $f^n(x) \rightarrow y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The *set of fixed points* of f will be denoted by

$$\text{Fix}(f) := \{y \in I : f(y) = y\}.$$

We say that maps $f, g \in C(I)$ are *conjugate*, if there exists a homeomorphism $h: I \rightarrow I$ such that $g = h \circ f \circ h^{-1}$.

A *continuum* is a non-empty, compact, connected, metric space. In the definition of inverse limit space we can assume without loss of generality that the bonding functions are surjective. Let $\{I_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a collection of closed intervals from I . An *inverse sequence of $\{I_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$* is a pair of sequences $(I_i, f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ where $f_i: I_i \rightarrow I_{i+1}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. The *inverse limit* is defined by:

$$\varprojlim (I_i, f_i) = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots) : f_i(x_{i+1}) = x_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset I_1 \times I_2 \times \dots$$

Equipped with the *product topology*, $\varprojlim \{I_i, f_i\}$ is a continuum, and it is *arc-like*, i.e. for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an ε -mapping onto an interval. The *coordinate projections* are defined by $\pi_i: \varprojlim (I_i, f_i) \rightarrow I_i$, $\pi_i((x_1, x_2, \dots)) = x_i$, for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and they are all continuous.

If $f_i = f$ and $I_i = I$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote the inverse limit by $\hat{I} := \varprojlim (I, f)$. Then there exists a homeomorphism $\hat{f}: \hat{I} \rightarrow \hat{I}$, given by

$$\hat{f}((x_0, x_1, \dots)) := (f(x_0), f(x_1), f(x_2), \dots) = (f(x_0), x_0, x_1, \dots).$$

It is called the *natural extension* of f (or sometimes *shift homeomorphism*).

3. UNDER DIAGONAL, ABOVE DIAGONAL MAPS AND PRELIMINARY EXAMPLES

Definition 3.1. A map $f : I \rightarrow I$ is called under diagonal if $f(x) \leq x$ for every $x \in [0, 1]$. A map $f : I \rightarrow I$ is called 0-attracted if $f(0) = 0$, $f(1) = 1$ and $f(x) < x$ for every $x \in (0, 1)$. Denote the set of 0-attracted interval maps by $C_{ud}(I)$ and the set of 0-attracted interval maps by $C_0(I) \subset C_{ud}(I)$. We also define above diagonal interval maps f by $f(x) \geq x$ for every $x \in [0, 1]$. A map is called 1-attracted if additionally $f(x) > x$ for every $x \in (0, 1)$. We denote the set of above diagonal interval maps by $C_{ad}(I)$ and the set of 1-attracted maps by $C_1(I)$.

The following theorem shows that the inverse limits with piecewise monotone under diagonal maps are topologically unique. This follows from Theorem B8 from [2] since by the definition of under diagonal maps, for every $x \in I$ there exists $p \in \text{Fix}(f)$ such that $f^n(x) \mapsto p$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (in the terminology of [2] every $x \in I$ is f -attracted to some $p \in \text{Fix}(f)$).

Theorem 3.1. If $f : I \rightarrow I$ is under diagonal and piecewise monotone then \hat{I}_f is homeomorphic to an arc.

Definition 3.2. Let $f \in C(I)$ and let $a, b \in I$ and let $\delta > 0$. We say that f is δ -crooked between a and b if for every two points $c, d \in I$ such that $f(c) = a$ and $f(d) = b$, there is a point c' between c and d and there is a point d' between c' and d such that $|b - f(c')| < \delta$ and $|a - f(d')| < \delta$. We will say that f is δ -crooked if it is δ -crooked between every pair of points from I . We will say that f is (n, δ) -crooked if f^n is δ -crooked.

We will simply say that f is crooked, if for every $\delta > 0$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that f^n is δ -crooked.

In particular, Theorem 3.1 says that crooked interval maps cannot be piecewise monotone.

From [15, Theorem 3.5] it follows that crookedness is equivalent to inverse limit being hereditary indecomposable which in the arc-like case means the inverse limit is the pseudo-arc.

Proposition 3.3. Space \hat{I}_f is the pseudo-arc if and only if f is crooked.

Next examples show that even for 0-attracted maps there is no connection between interval maps with infinitely many strict critical points and crookedness. With them we want to emphasize the topological variety of \hat{I}_f despite simple dynamics of f .

Example 3.4. There exists a 0-attracted map $f \in C(I)$ with infinitely many strict critical points so that \hat{I}_f is homeomorphic to an arc, see Figure 3. Indeed, this follows from an extension of Lemma B.5 in [2] since every $x \in [0, 1)$ is f -attracted to 0, see Remark B.7 in [2].

The following example shows that 0-attracted (1-attracted) maps can have inverse limits that are neither pseudo-arc nor arc.

A double $\sin(1/x)$ continuum is a continuum which consisting of two rays (homeomorphic image of $[0, 1)$) that both accumulate on a unique arc, see the right picture on Figure 2.

Example 3.5. There exists a 1-attracted interval map f with infinitely many critical points so that \hat{I}_f is not homeomorphic to an arc and there are countably infinitely many double $\sin(1/x)$ continua, see Figure 2. An important feature of map f is that the absolute value of the slope of map restricted to intervals $[a_1, b_1]$ is 1 and for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a subinterval of $[a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}]$ that maps onto $[a_i, b_i]$ and that $\text{diam}(f^{i-1}([a_i, b_i])) = \text{diam}([f(a_1), f(b_1)])$ (compare this with Example 4.12 in [2]).




FIGURE 1. Graph of a 0-attracted interval map f with infinitely many critical points for which the inverse limit is an arc.




FIGURE 2. Left: graph of an example of a 1-attracted interval map f for which the inverse limit has (double) $\sin(1/x)$ -continua. Right: double $\sin(1/x)$ -continuum.

The subcontinuum $H := \varprojlim ([a_i, b_i], f|_{[a_i, b_i]})$ is homeomorphic to a double $\sin(1/x)$ continuum (see the right picture on Figure 2). Also $\hat{f}^n(H)$ will be double $\sin(1/x)$ continuum for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

However, similar construction as in the Example 3.5 but requiring for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ that $\text{diam}(f^{i-1}([a_i, b_i])) = 0$ would yield \hat{I}_f is an arc (compare to Example 4.12 in [2]).

3.1. Henderson's map f_H . In comparison with the examples above we recall the construction of a crooked 0-attracted interval map from [14]. We state it here rather detailed because, in a sense, it gives minimal (eventual) requirements for an under diagonal map to be crooked as we shall see later in the paper.

Definition 3.6. With $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ we denote a quadruple of real numbers such that $0 \leq a < b < c < d \leq 1$. Denote by $W := \{\alpha_i := \langle a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i \rangle | i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ a quadruple of all increasing rational points on $(0, 1)$. Denote $J_i := [a_i, d_i]$.

Definition 3.7. Let $[u, v], \langle a, b, c, d \rangle \subset I$. We say that f maps $[u, v]$ crookedly onto $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$, if




FIGURE 3. Graph of f_1 from the construction of f_H ; x_{-2} denotes $y \in f^{-2}(x)$ for some $x \in I$.

- (1) $[a, d] \subset f([u, v])$ and
- (2)
 - either there either exists $b' \in f^{-1}(b)$ and $c', c'' \in f^{-1}(c)$ with $c' < c''$ such that $c' < b' < c''$
 - or there either exists $c' \in f^{-1}(c)$ and $b', b'' \in f^{-1}(b)$ with $b' < b''$ such that $b' < c' < b''$.

We say that an interval $[u, v] \subset I$ is *larger* than $t \in I$, if $u > t$. Let $J_1, J_2 \subset I$ be intervals. We say that f maps J_1 *minimally* on interval U if f maps J_1 onto U , however for every proper subinterval J of J_1 it holds that $f(J) \subsetneq U$.

The following technical lemma from [14] is crucial in the construction of the map f_H .

Lemma 3.8. *There exists a sequence of interval maps $(f_i : I \rightarrow I)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and sequences $(\ell_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, (L_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (0, 1)$ so that for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds:*

- (1) $f_i(x) \leq x^2$ for all $x \in I$,
- (2) $f_i(x) = f_{i+j}(x)$ for all $x \in [0, \ell_{i+1})$ and $f_i(x) = x^2$ for all $x \in (\ell_{i+1}, 1]$,
- (3) if $\ell_{i+1} < x < 1$ then $f_i(x) > \ell_i$,
- (4) $d_i < \ell_{i+1}$ and $\ell_i < L_i < \ell_{i+1} < 1$,
- (5) if V is larger than L_i and for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $I_i \subset f_i^n(V)$, then f_i^n maps V crookedly on α_i .
- (6) if W is larger than ℓ_{i+1} and there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ so that f_{i+j}^m maps W minimally on I_i , then there exists $k < m$ so that f_{i+j}^k maps W into L_i, ℓ_{i+1} .

Using the previous lemma one gets the following.

Lemma 3.9. *There exists a 0-attracted interval map $f_H := \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_i$ so that for any $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle \subset (0, 1)$ there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $n > m$ if $f_H^n([u, v]) = [a, d]$ for $[u, v] \subset I$, then f_H^n maps $[u, t]$ crookedly onto $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$.*

Theorem 3.2. *Map f_H is crooked.*

4. PAIRWISE NON-CONJUGATE CROOKED MAPS DERIVED FROM f_H

Note that crookedness is preserved under topological conjugacies, i.e., provided $f \in C(I)$ is crooked and $g \in C(I)$ is conjugate to f , then g is also crooked. This indeed follows immediately from Proposition 3.3 and the fact that if f is conjugate

to g , then \hat{I}_f is homeomorphic to \hat{I}_g . First let us state a standard observation, see e.g. Proposition 5 from [6].

Observation 4.1. *Let H be a subcontinuum of \hat{I}_f . Then there exists an inverse sequence $(J_i, f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ where $J_i \subset I$ are closed intervals or points and $f_i = f|_{J_i}$ continuous surjections so that $H = \varprojlim (J_i, f_i)$. In particular, if H is non-degenerate then J_i are non-degenerate for all but finitely many $i \in \mathbb{N}$.*

The following proposition was proven in [4, 5]. We will use it when studying the subcontinua of \hat{I}_f .

For $i > j \geq 1$ let $f_{i,j} := f_{j-1} \circ \dots \circ f_i$. For completeness let $f_{i,i} := \text{id}$.

Proposition 4.2. [16, Proposition 3.2] *Let $f : I \rightarrow I$ and let a nondegenerate continuum $H \subset \hat{I}_f$ be the inverse limit of an inverse sequence $(I_k, f_k)_{k=1}^\infty$, where $I_k \subset I$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$ are closed intervals. Then, H is a pseudo-arc if and only if for every $\delta > 0$ and every positive integer k there is an integer $k' > k$ such that $f_{k',k}$ is δ -crooked (where $f_{k',k}$ is a continuous surjection from $I_{k'}$ to I_k).*

Definition 4.3. *Let $f : I \rightarrow I$ be an under diagonal map and let $\eta \in (0, 1)$. For every $i \geq 2$ denote by $I_i(\eta)$ a connected component of $f^{-i+1}([\eta, 1])$ such that the inverse limit $\hat{I}(\eta) \subset \hat{I}_f$*

$$I_1(\eta) \xleftarrow{f|_{I_2(\eta)}} I_2(\eta) \xleftarrow{f|_{I_3(\eta)}} I_3(\eta) \xleftarrow{f|_{I_4(\eta)}} \dots$$

is a subcontinuum of \hat{I}_f .

Remark 4.4. *Since in the rest of the paper it does not matter which inverse limit sequence $\hat{I}(\eta)$ we choose, by abuse of notation, we speak about general $\hat{I}(\eta)$ but have in mind that this $\hat{I}(\eta)$ is just one chosen inverse limit sequence from possibly several choices.*

Observation 4.5. *By Observation 4.1 $\hat{I}(\eta)$ is a non-degenerate continuum. Since f is under diagonal it follows $f^{-1}(1) = \{1\}$. Thus every interval $I_i(\eta)$ from Definition 4.3 contains point 1; therefore, we can compare the intervals $I_i(\eta)$ using the inclusion relation.*

For an under diagonal map f denote the fixed point of \hat{f} by $\mathbf{1} := (1, 1, 1, \dots) \in \hat{I}_f$. The *composant* of a point $x \in \hat{I}_f$ is a union of all proper subcontinua of \hat{I}_f that contain point x . Denote the composant of $\mathbf{1}$ by \mathcal{C}_1 . Next observation follows from Observation 4.1 and Observation 4.5.

Observation 4.6. *The composant \mathcal{C}_1 is the union of subcontinua $\hat{I}(\eta)$ for $\eta \in (0, 1)$.*

The following two lemmas will be crucial ingredients in the proof of the main theorems.

Lemma 4.7. *Let f be a 0-attracted interval map. Space \hat{I}_f is homeomorphic to the pseudo-arc if and only if there exists $\eta \in (0, 1)$ such that $\hat{I}(\eta)$ is the pseudo-arc.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) The only if direction is obvious due to Observation 4.1 since every proper subcontinuum of the pseudo-arc is the pseudo-arc.

(\Leftarrow) For the if direction let us fix $\eta > 0$ and $\hat{I}(\eta)$. First let us assume that for every $x \in (0, 1)$, $f(x) \neq 0$. Since f is 0-attracted

$$(1) \quad x \text{ is } f\text{-attracted to } 0$$

for every $x \in [0, 1)$ and since $\hat{I}(\eta)$ is the pseudo-arc it follows that for every $m \geq 1$ the inverse limit

$$f^m(I_1(\eta)) \xleftarrow{f|_{f^{m-1}(I_1(\eta))}} f^{m-1}(I_1(\eta)) \xleftarrow{f|_{f^{m-2}(I_1(\eta))}} \dots \xleftarrow{f|_{I_1(\eta)}} I_1(\eta) \xleftarrow{f|_{I_2(\eta)}} \dots$$

is the pseudo-arc as well since we can apply homeomorphism $\hat{f}^m|_{\hat{I}(\eta)}$ to $\hat{I}(\eta)$. But then using (1) and the fact that f is 0-attracted it follows

(2) \exists a sequence $(\eta_i)_{i=1}^\infty \subset [0, 1)$ so that $\hat{I}(\eta_i)$ is the pseudo-arc and $\eta_i \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} 0$.

By $\Gamma(f)$ we denote the graph of an interval map f and by d_H the Hausdorff distance between sets.

Now fix $\delta > 0$. By (2) there exist integers $N, m(N) \geq 1$ such that the following three properties hold:

- (a) $\eta_N < \frac{\delta}{12}$
- (b) $d_H(\Gamma(f^{m(N)}), \Gamma(f^{m(N)}|_{I_1(\eta_N)})) < \frac{\delta}{24}$
- (c) $f^{m(N)}(I_1(\eta_N))$ is $\frac{\delta}{3}$ -crooked.

Only (b) from the above properties needs additional explanation. Interval $I_1(\eta_N)$ is connected and contains point 1 by the definition. Since f is continuous and 0-attracted $f^{m(N)}(I_1(\eta_N)) = [t, 1]$ for some $t \in (0, 1)$ and thus the distance between the graphs of maps $f^{m(N)}|_{I_1(\eta_N)}$ and $f^{m(N)}$ can be chosen to be arbitrary small. Note also that in (c) we use Proposition 4.2.

Now let us see that $f^{m(N)}$ is δ -crooked. Let us fix $a, b \in I$ and $c < d \in I$ such that $f^{m(N)}(c) = a$ and $f^{m(N)}(d) = b$. The idea is that because of (a) and (b) it follows that $f^{m(N)}$ and $f^{m(N)}|_{I_1(\eta_N)}$ are close enough so that we can use (c) and applying the $\frac{\delta}{3}$ -crookedness of $f^{m(N)}|_{I_1(\eta_N)}$ conclude δ -crookedness of f . Let us make this more precise. There exist $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in f^{m(N)}(I_1(\eta_N))$ and $\tilde{c}, \tilde{d} \in I_1(\eta_N)$ such that by (b)

$$|\tilde{a} - a| < \frac{\delta}{24} \text{ and } |\tilde{b} - b| < \frac{\delta}{24},$$

and by (a)

$$|c - \tilde{c}| < \frac{\delta}{12} \text{ where } c < \tilde{c} \text{ and } |d - \tilde{d}| < \frac{\delta}{12} \text{ where } \tilde{d} < d$$

are such that

$$f^{m(N)}|_{I_1(\eta_N)}(\tilde{c}) = \tilde{b} \text{ and } f^{m(N)}|_{I_1(\eta_N)}(\tilde{d}) = \tilde{a}.$$

By (c) and (b) there exist $\tilde{c} < \tilde{c}' < \tilde{d}' < \tilde{d}$ such that

$$|f^{m(N)}|_{I_1(\eta_N)}(\tilde{c}') - f^{m(N)}(\tilde{c}')| < \frac{\delta}{24} \text{ and } |f^{m(N)}|_{I_1(\eta_N)}(\tilde{d}') - f^{m(N)}(\tilde{d}')| < \frac{\delta}{24}$$

and

$$|f^{m(N)}|_{I_1(\eta_N)}(\tilde{c}) - f^{m(N)}|_{I_1(\eta_N)}(\tilde{d}')| < \frac{\delta}{3} \text{ and } |f^{m(N)}|_{I_1(\eta_N)}(\tilde{d}) - f^{m(N)}|_{I_1(\eta_N)}(\tilde{c}')| < \frac{\delta}{3}.$$

Since $c < \tilde{c}$ and $\tilde{d} < d$ it follows $c < \tilde{c}' < \tilde{d}' < d$. But then applying the above inequalities

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} & |f^{m(N)}(\tilde{c}') - f^{m(N)}(d)| = |f^{m(N)}(\tilde{c}') - f^{m(N)}(d) + f^{m(N)}|_{I_1(\eta_N)}(\tilde{d}) \\ & - f^{m(N)}|_{I_1(\eta_N)}(\tilde{c}') - f^{m(N)}|_{I_1(\eta_N)}(\tilde{d}) + f^{m(N)}|_{I_1(\eta_N)}(\tilde{c}')| \leq |f^{m(N)}(\tilde{c}') - \\ & f^{m(N)}|_{I_1(\eta_N)}(\tilde{c}')| + |a - \tilde{a}| + |f^{m(N)}|_{I_1(\eta_N)}(\tilde{d}) - f^{m(N)}|_{I_1(\eta_N)}(\tilde{c}')| < \frac{\delta}{6} + \frac{\delta}{12} + \frac{\delta}{3} < \delta \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$|f^{m(N)}(\tilde{d}') - f^{m(N)}(c)| < \delta.$$

This shows that f is crooked.

Note that the above argument also takes into account points a, b that are possibly in $[0, \eta_N]$. Indeed, since f is under diagonal also all images of $x \in [0, \eta_N]$ will be smaller than η_N and thus this does not have effect on the crookedness of f .

Now assume that there exist $z \in (0, 1)$ such that $f(z) = 0$ and let z be the largest in $(0, 1)$ for which this holds. Following the preceding proof we obtain that for any sequence (η_i) in (2) there exists $M > 0$ such that $\eta_M < z$. If $N(m) > M$ it is thus enough to require that $f^M(I_1(\eta_M))$ is δ -crooked (compare with (a)-(c) from the proof above) which directly implies that f is δ -crooked as well. \square

Lemma 4.8. *Let f be an under diagonal map and $\text{Fix}(f)$ nowhere dense in I . The space \hat{I}_f is the pseudo-arc if and only if there exists $\eta \in (0, 1)$ so that η is f -attracted to 0 and $\hat{I}(\eta)$ is the pseudo-arc.*

Proof. (\Leftarrow) This direction follows analogously as in the proof of Lemma 4.7. The only difference from Lemma 4.7 is that we take in (2) $f^i(\eta)$ for the sequence $(\eta_i)_{i=1}^\infty$. (\Rightarrow) Assume that for every $\eta \in (0, 1)$

- (1) $f^i(\eta) \not\rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ or
- (2) $\hat{I}(\eta)$ is not the pseudo-arc.

If (2) holds we get by Observation 4.5 $\hat{I}(\eta)$ is non-degenerate and thus \hat{I}_f is not the pseudo-arc.

Thus we can assume that (1) holds and (2) does not hold. Since f is under diagonal and for every $x \in I \setminus \text{Fix}(f)$, $f^i(x) \downarrow y \in \text{Fix}(f)$ (actually every sequence $f^i(x)$ is decreasing because map f is under diagonal (even strictly decreasing if $\text{Orb}(x, f) \cap \text{Fix}(f) = \emptyset$)) there exists $p(\eta) \in \text{Fix}(f)$ such that $0 \neq p(\eta) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f^i(x)$. Indeed, $p(\eta) \in \text{Fix}(f)$ since otherwise $p(\eta) \neq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f^i(x)$. Now take

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \xi & := \inf_{\eta \in (0, 1)} \{p(\eta) \in I; \hat{I}(\eta) \text{ is the pseudo-arc}\} = \\ & = \min_{\eta \in (0, 1)} \{p(\eta) \in I; \hat{I}(\eta) \text{ is the pseudo-arc}\}. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality holds since $\text{Fix}(f)$ is closed. Since (1) holds we obtain $\xi > 0$. Also $f(\xi) = \xi$ since otherwise ξ would not be the minimum as f is under diagonal. Thus, summing up the above and since f is an under diagonal map we obtain

$$\hat{I}_f = \varprojlim ([0, \xi], f|_{[0, \xi]}) \cup \varprojlim ([\xi, 1], f|_{[\xi, 1]}).$$

Therefore, \hat{I}_f is decomposable and thus not the pseudo-arc. \square

Theorem 4.1. *Let S be a non-degenerate closed nowhere dense set in I . There exists a crooked under diagonal interval map f with $\text{Fix}(f) = S \cup \{0, 1\}$ such that $0, 1 \notin S$.*

Proof. We define f in the following way. First let $f|_{[1/2,1]} = f_H|_{[1/2,1]}$, thus $f(1/2) = 1/4$ and let $f(3/8) = 0$, $f(1/4) = 1/4$ and $f|_{[1/4,1/2]}$ be a piecewise linear map with two pieces of monotonicity on the interval $[3/8, 1/2]$. Let $f|_{[0,1/4]}$ be chosen so that $\text{Fix}(f|_{(0,1/4]}) = S$ and for $x \in (0, 1/4] \setminus S$ let $f(x) < x$. Now we apply Lemma 4.8 by taking $\eta = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}}$ which finishes the proof. \square

Since we have uncountably many non-homeomorphic closed nowhere dense sets in I we obtain the following.

Corollary 4.9. *There exist uncountably many topologically non-conjugated under diagonal crooked maps with pairwise different sets of fixed points.*

We will only implicitly use the well known (standard) definition of topological entropy for compact metric spaces from [1, 9] and thus we will not define it here.

Observation 4.10. *Under diagonal and above diagonal interval maps have topological entropy 0.*

Therefore, Corollary 4.9 and Theorem 4.2 imply the following two statements.

Corollary 4.11. *There exists an uncountable set of crooked interval maps $\mathcal{T} \subset C(I)$ with topological entropy 0 such that any two different $f, g \in \mathcal{T}$ are not topologically conjugate.*

A question that remains to be answered is whether there are uncountably many pairwise non-conjugated maps that have the same structure of fixed points as the Henderson's map f_H , i.e. 0-attracted maps.

We call $f \in C(I)$ a *countably strictly monotone map* if it has countably infinitely many strict critical points.

Definition 4.12. *Let $f \in C(I)$ be a countably piecewise strictly monotone map and let $\mathcal{D}_f = \{[r_k, s_k] \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$, i.e., for all positive integers k and ℓ , if $k \neq \ell$ then $[r_k, s_k] \cap [r_\ell, s_\ell] = \emptyset$. We define $\mathcal{A}(f)$ to be the set of all points $t \in [0, 1]$ for which there is a convergent subsequence $([r_{i_k}, s_{i_k}])$ of the sequence $([r_k, s_k])$ in the Hausdorff metric, such that*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [r_{i_k}, s_{i_k}] = \{t\}.$$

We call points in $\mathcal{A}(f)$ the accumulation points of f .

Observation 4.13. *Let $f, g \in C(I)$ be two countably piecewise strictly monotone functions. If f and g are topologically conjugate and $\varphi : I \rightarrow I$ is a homeomorphism such that $f = \varphi^{-1} \circ g \circ \varphi$, then*

$$\mathcal{A}(g) = \{\varphi(t) \mid t \in \mathcal{A}(f)\}.$$

In particular, $|\mathcal{A}(g)| = |\mathcal{A}(f)|$.

Theorem 4.2. *There exists an uncountable set of crooked 0-attracted interval maps $\mathcal{T} \subset C(I)$ such that any two different $f, g \in \mathcal{T}$ are not topologically conjugate.*

Proof. We will show that there are uncountably many non-conjugate maps such that $f|_{[0,1/2]}$ with $f(0) = 0$, $f(1/2) = 1/4$ and $f(x) < x$ for $x \in (0, 1/2)$ and $f|_{[1/2,1]} = f_H|_{[1/2,1]}$. By Lemma 4.7 such f is crooked so we have complete freedom to choose $f|_{[0,1/2]}$ as long as $f(x) < x$ for all $x \in (0, 1/2]$. Let $f|_{[0,1/2]}$ have n critical points. We have a choice to make each strict critical point an accumulation point or not and since conjugacy of a 0-attracted map needs to preserve 0 it also needs to preserve ordering of accumulation points. Accumulation points are preserved under the conjugacy by Observation 4.13. In such a way we get countably (varying the

number of strict critical points) over countably (by adding accumulation points) many pairwise non-conjugate 0-attracted choices to construct map f . \square

Corollary 4.14. *There exists uncountably many pairwise non-conjugated crooked maps with topological entropy 0 and exactly two fixed points.*

We could perform a construction similar to the one in Theorem 4.2 for any under diagonal map with a nowhere dense set of fixed points and obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3. *Let S be a non-degenerate closed nowhere dense set in I . There exist uncountably many pairwise non-conjugate under diagonal crooked maps f with $\text{Fix}(f) = S \cup \{0, 1\}$ such that $0, 1 \notin S$.*

Definition 4.15. *Let $f : I \rightarrow I$ be an above diagonal map and let $\eta \in (0, 1)$. Define by $I'_i(\eta)$ a connected component of $f^{-i}([0, \eta])$. Denote a fixed point of \hat{f} by $\mathbf{0} := (0, 0, 0, \dots) \in \hat{I}_f$ and the component of $\mathbf{0}$ by $C_{\mathbf{0}}$.*

Remark 4.16. *Let f be an above diagonal interval map. Note that $\varphi : I \rightarrow I$ defined by $\varphi(x) = 1 - x$ for every $x \in I$ conjugates f to a map $g \in C_{ud}(I)$, i.e., $\varphi^{-1} \circ f \circ \varphi \in C_{ud}(I)$. Thus, φ is actually a homeomorphism of $C_{ud}(I)$ to $C_{ad}(I)$. Therefore, the results from the previous section follow analogously for the set $C_{ad}(I)$ if we replace $\hat{I}(\eta)$ with similarly defined $\hat{I}'(\eta)$ and the role of $\mathbf{1}$ and $C_{\mathbf{1}}$ by $\mathbf{0}$ and $C_{\mathbf{0}}$ respectively.*

Thus we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.4. *Map f is crooked and under diagonal or above diagonal interval map if and only if $\text{Fix}(f)$ is nowhere dense in I and*

- (1) *either there exists $\eta \in (0, 1)$ such that $\hat{I}(\eta)$ is the pseudo-arc and η is f -attracted to 0 or*
- (2) *there exists $\eta \in (0, 1)$ such that $\hat{I}'(\eta)$ is the pseudo-arc and η is f -attracted to 1.*

Proof. The proof of this theorem follows from Lemma 4.8 and Remark 4.16 if we prove that provided $\text{Fix}(f)$ is dense somewhere in I , then \hat{I}_f is not the pseudo-arc. Say $\text{Fix}(f)$ is dense somewhere in I , denote that set by $A \subset I$. Since f is continuous, the closure $\bar{A} \subset \text{Fix}(f)$. Thus there exists an open interval $U \subset A$. Fix a non-degenerate closed interval $J \subset U$. Inverse limit $\varprojlim(J, f|_J) \subset \hat{I}_f$ is an arc which finishes the proof. \square

5. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank Ana Anušić, Iztok Banič and Piotr Oprocha for remarks that helped improve the exposition of the paper. J. Činč was partially supported by the Slovenian research agency ARRS grant J1-4632 and by European Union's Horizon Europe Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant HE-MSCA-PF- PFSAIL - 101063512.



This research is part of a project that has received funding from the European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 101063512.

REFERENCES

- [1] R.L. Adler, A.G. Konheim, and M.H. McAndrew, *Topological entropy*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **114** (1965) 309–319.
- [2] A. Anušić, J. Činč, *Inhomogeneities in chainable continua*, Fundamenta Mathematicae **254**, (2021). (Appendix A written by Henk Bruin.)
- [3] M. Barge, J. Martin, *Construction of global attractors*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **110** (1990), 523–525.
- [4] R. H. Bing, A homogeneous indecomposable plane continuum, Duke Math. J. **15** (1948), 729–742.
- [5] R. H. Bing, Concerning hereditarily indecomposable continua, Pacific J. Math. **1** (1951), 43–51.
- [6] L. Block, J. Keesling, J., V. V. Uspenskij, *Inverse limits which are the pseudoarc*. Houston J. Math. **26** (2000), no. 4, 629–638.
- [7] J. Bobok,; J., S. Troubetzkoy, *Typical properties of interval maps preserving the Lebesgue measure*. Nonlinearity **33** (2020), no. 12, 6461–6479.
- [8] J. Bobok,; J. Činč, P. Oprocha, S. Troubetzkoy, *Periodic points and shadowing for generic Lebesgue measure-preserving interval maps*. Nonlinearity **35** (2022), no. 5, 2534–2557.
- [9] R. Bowen, *Entropy for group endomorphisms and homogeneous spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **153** (1971), 401–414.
- [10] J. Činč, P. Oprocha, *Parametrized family of pseudo-arc attractors: physical measures and prime end rotations*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **125** (2022), 318–357.
- [11] U. B. Darji, Udayan, H. Kato *Chaos and indecomposability*, Adv. Math. **304** (2017), 793–808.
- [12] Drwięga, T., Oprocha, P., *Topologically mixing maps and the pseudoarc*, Ukrain. Mat. Zh. **66** (2014), no. 2, 176–186.
- [13] S. Greenwood, S. Štimac *A characterization of a map whose inverse limit is an arc*. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **42** (2022), no. 8, 2533–2549.
- [14] G. W. Henderson, *The pseudo-arc as an inverse limit with one binding map*. Duke Math. J. **31**(1964), 421–425.
- [15] J. Krasinkiewicz, P. Minc, *Mappings onto indecomposable continua* Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **25** (1977), no.7, 675–680.
- [16] W. Lewis, P. Minc, *Drawing the pseudo-arc* Houston J. Math. **36** (2010), no.3, 905–934.
- [17] C. Mouron, *Entropy of shift maps of the pseudo-arcs*, Top. Appl. **159** (2012) 34–39.