

# INDEX THEORY ON PIN MANIFOLDS

DANIEL S. FREED

*To Is*

ABSTRACT. We give a systematic treatment of index theory on Pin manifolds, emphasizing the Clifford linear Dirac operator and differential  $KO$ -theory. This expository article is based on joint work with Mike Hopkins.

Is Singer was a maverick mathematician, always forward-looking and open to ideas from all parts of mathematics and beyond. In tribute to his research, mentorship, and leadership, I chose to tell a story that touches on different parts of his mathematics. As befits Is, its origins lie in physics and the journey weaves through algebra, differential geometry, global analysis, and homotopy theory; we end it here with prospects for further development.

In two recent works [FH1, FH2] that apply geometric methods and homotopy theory to string theory, quantum field theory, and condensed matter physics, Mike Hopkins and I ran into Atiyah-Patodi-Singer  $\eta$ -invariants on Pin manifolds, that is, manifolds which are unoriented yet admit spinor fields and Dirac operators. Index theory on Pin manifolds has appeared sporadically over the years, for example in [G, St, Z], but as far as I know there is no systematic treatment. I take this opportunity to share some of what we learned. Our exposition places differential  $KO$ -theory at the center, in part to promote its role in geometric index theory and also in recognition of Is' contribution [HS] to the foundations of generalized differential cohomology.

The main observation is (1.9)–(1.10), where embeddings of Pin groups into Spin groups are constructed. This essentially reduces the Pin case to the standard Spin case, once we work in the framework of *Clifford linear Dirac operators*.

One might ask: Why Pin manifolds? We give a conceptual answer in the first half of §2, based on general principles of symmetry and structure in differential geometry. In physics, one often encounters Pin manifolds in theories with time-reversal symmetry, and that is its origin here.

Our exposition begins in §1 with a quick resumé of some spinor algebra. Geometric structures and the geometry of frame bundles is the background for the Dirac operator on Spin and Pin manifolds, as we tell in §2. The passage from topological index theory (§3) to geometric index theory (§5) is a passage from topological  $K$ -theory to differential  $K$ -theory, and it is the real ( $KO$ ) version of both that is relevant here. In §4 we give a quick introduction to differential cohomology as a warmup to differential  $KO$ -theory. Section 6 is a brief digression to explain one of the motivating physics problems: an anomaly in string theory. Topological formulæ for  $\eta$ -invariants on Pin manifolds

---

*Date:* July 23, 2024.

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant Number DMS-2005286 and by the Simons Foundation Award 888988 as part of the Simons Collaboration on Global Categorical Symmetries. This work was performed in part at Aspen Center for Physics, which is supported by National Science Foundation grant PHY-2210452.

are given in §7, which concludes with some speculation about further developments in differential cohomology theory that might apply here and elsewhere.

The author warmly thanks the referee for their careful reading and constructive suggestions.

## 1. Clifford algebras and Pin groups

We recommend the classic [ABS, Part I].

Fix  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$  and set  $n = p + q$ . The *Clifford algebra*<sup>1</sup>  $\text{Cliff}_{p,q}$  is the unital associative algebra with generators  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  subject to the relations

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} e_1^2 &= \cdots = e_p^2 &= +1 \\ e_{p+1}^2 &= \cdots = e_{p+q}^2 &= -1 \\ e_i e_j &= -e_j e_i &(i \neq j) \end{aligned}$$

For convenience we use the notation ( $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{>0}$ )

$$(1.2) \quad \text{Cliff}_{+n} = \text{Cliff}_{n,0} \quad \text{Cliff}_{-n} = \text{Cliff}_{0,n}$$

The Clifford algebra is  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded:

$$(1.3) \quad \text{Cliff}_{p,q} = \text{Cliff}_{p,q}^0 \oplus \text{Cliff}_{p,q}^1;$$

the even subspace  $\text{Cliff}_{p,q}^0$  is an ungraded algebra. We deploy the *Koszul sign rule* relentlessly [DM, §1.1]. The linear subspace of  $\text{Cliff}_{p,q}$  generated by  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  is identified with  $\mathbb{R}^{p,q}$ , with underlying vector space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and an inner product of signature  $(p, q)$ :  $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle = (\xi\eta + \eta\xi)/2$ ,  $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{p,q} \subset \text{Cliff}_{p,q}$ . A vector  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{p,q}$  with  $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle \neq 0$  acts on  $\mathbb{R}^{p,q}$  by twisted conjugation in  $\text{Cliff}_{p,q}$ :

$$(1.4) \quad \sigma_\xi: \eta \longmapsto -\xi\eta\xi^{-1}$$

An easy computation shows that  $\sigma_\xi$  is reflection in the hyperplane orthogonal to  $\xi$ . Observe that  $\sigma_{t\xi} = \sigma_\xi$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}^{\neq 0}$ . The subgroup of units in  $\text{Cliff}_{p,q}$  generated by vectors  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{p,q} \subset \text{Cliff}_{p,q}$  with  $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle = \pm 1$  is the *Pin group*  $\text{Pin}_{p,q} \subset \text{Cliff}_{p,q}$ . The map  $\xi \rightarrow \sigma_\xi$  extends to a double cover  $\text{Pin}_{p,q} \rightarrow \text{O}_{p,q}$  of the orthogonal group. If  $n = p + q \geq 2$ , then the Pin group  $\text{Pin}_{p,q}$  has four components if  $p, q \neq 0$  and two components if  $p = 0$  or  $q = 0$ . (See (1.7) below for the case  $n = 1$ .) Unless  $p, q \leq 1$ , the *Spin group* is the identity component of the Pin group; the Spin and Pin groups are subgroups of the units in the even Clifford algebra:

$$(1.5) \quad \text{Spin}_{p,q} \subset \text{Pin}_{p,q} \subset \text{Cliff}_{p,q}^0.$$

<sup>1</sup>My sign convention for  $\text{Cliff}_{p,q}$  (vs.  $\text{Cliff}_{q,p}$ ) differs from some references.

The Spin group double covers the identity component of the orthogonal group  $O_{p,q}$ . In the definite case, the Spin and Pin groups are *compact* Lie groups. We use the notations

$$(1.6) \quad \text{Pin}_n^+ = \text{Pin}_{n,0} \quad \text{Pin}_n^- = \text{Pin}_{0,n} \quad \text{Spin}_n = \text{Spin}_{0,n} \cong \text{Spin}_{n,0}$$

Let  $\mu_k \subset \mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{C}^\times$  denote the group of  $k^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity inside the group  $\mathbb{T}$  of unit norm complex numbers. In low dimensions there are special isomorphisms of Spin and Pin groups:

n	Spin <sub>n</sub>	Pin <sub>n</sub> <sup>+</sup>	Pin <sub>n</sub> <sup>-</sup>
1	$\mu_2$	$\mu_2 \times \mu_2$	$\mu_4$
2	$\mathbb{T}$	$\mu_2 \times \mathbb{T}$	$(\mu_4 \times \mathbb{T})/\mu_2$
3	$\text{SU}_2$	$(\mu_4 \times \text{SU}_2)/\mu_2$	$\mu_2 \times \text{SU}_2$

The  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded algebras  $A, A'$  are *Morita equivalent* if there exist  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector spaces  $V, V'$  and an isomorphism

$$(1.8) \quad A \otimes \text{End } V \cong A' \otimes \text{End } V'$$

of  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded algebras. If so, the category of left  $A$ -modules is equivalent to the category of left  $A'$ -modules. The Clifford algebra  $\text{Cliff}_{1,1} \cong \text{End } \mathbb{R}^{1|1}$  is Morita trivial; it is Morita equivalent to the ground field  $\mathbb{R}$ . We also use the isomorphism  $\text{Cliff}_{p',q'} \otimes \text{Cliff}_{p'',q''} \cong \text{Cliff}_{p'+p'',q'+q''}$ . Finally,  $\text{Cliff}_{q,p}$  is the opposite algebra to  $\text{Cliff}_{p,q}$ . (Observe that the Koszul sign rule implies that multiplication  $*$  in the opposite algebra satisfies  $a_1 * a_2 = (-1)^{|a_1||a_2|} a_2 a_1$ . Apply to generators  $e_i$  in (1.1).)

The keys to index theory on Pin manifolds are the following embeddings:

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Pin}_n^+ &\hookrightarrow \text{Spin}_{n,1} \subset \text{Cliff}_{n,1}^0 && \cong [\text{Cliff}_{+n} \otimes \text{Cliff}_{-1}]^0 \\ \text{Pin}_n^- &\hookrightarrow \text{Spin}_{n+1} \subset \text{Cliff}_{+(n+1)}^0 && \cong [\text{Cliff}_{+n} \otimes \text{Cliff}_{+1}]^0 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be a unit norm vector, regarded as an element of  $\text{Cliff}_{+n}$ . Then since unit vectors generate the Pin groups, the embeddings (1.9) are determined by specifying

$$(1.10) \quad \xi \longmapsto \xi \otimes e,$$

where  $e$  is the generator of  $\text{Cliff}_{\mp 1}$ . In (1.10) the first  $\xi$  lies in  $\text{Pin}_n^\pm$  whereas the second  $\xi$  lies in  $\text{Cliff}_{+n}$ .

*Remark 1.11.* Note the Morita equivalence

$$(1.12) \quad \text{Cliff}_{n,1} \cong \text{Cliff}_{+(n-1)} \otimes \text{Cliff}_{1,1} \stackrel{\text{Morita}}{\cong} \text{Cliff}_{+(n-1)}$$

Hence  $\text{Pin}_n^+$  embeds in an algebra Morita equivalent to  $\text{Cliff}_{+(n-1)}$  while  $\text{Pin}_n^-$  embeds in the algebra  $\text{Cliff}_{+(n+1)}$ . Observe the opposite shifts in  $n$  for the two embeddings (1.9):

$$(1.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Pin}^+ : n &\longmapsto n - 1 \\ \text{Pin}^- : n &\longmapsto n + 1 \end{aligned}$$

As we shall see, these shifts determine the nature of index theory for the two types of Pin manifolds.

There are analogous embeddings  $H_n(s) \hookrightarrow \text{Cliff}_{+n} \otimes D(s)$  for other compact Lie groups  $H_n(s)$ , parametrized by  $s = 0, 1$  in the “complex case” and by  $s = -3, \dots, 4$  in the “real case”, as indicated in the following tables.

$s$	$H^c$	$K$	Cartan	$D$
0	$\text{Spin}^c$	$\mathbb{T}$	A	$\mathbb{C}$
1	$\text{Pin}^c$	$\mathbb{T}$	AIII	$\text{Cliff}_{-1}^{\mathbb{C}}$

$s$	$H$	$K$	Cartan	$D$
0	$\text{Spin}$	$\mu_2$	D	$\mathbb{R}$
-1	$\text{Pin}^+$	$\mu_2$	DIII	$\text{Cliff}_{-1}$
-2	$\text{Pin}^+ \times_{\{\pm 1\}} \mathbb{T}$	$\mathbb{T}$	AII	$\text{Cliff}_{-2}$
-3	$\text{Pin}^- \times_{\{\pm 1\}} \text{Sp}_1$	$\text{Sp}_1$	CII	$\text{Cliff}_{-3}$
4	$\text{Spin} \times_{\{\pm 1\}} \text{Sp}_1$	$\text{Sp}_1$	C	$\mathbb{H}$
3	$\text{Pin}^+ \times_{\{\pm 1\}} \text{Sp}_1$	$\text{Sp}_1$	CI	$\text{Cliff}_{+3}$
2	$\text{Pin}^- \times_{\{\pm 1\}} \mathbb{T}$	$\mathbb{T}$	AI	$\text{Cliff}_{+2}$
1	$\text{Pin}^-$	$\mu_2$	BDI	$\text{Cliff}_{+1}$

For each line in the table, there is an evident homomorphism  $H_n(s) \rightarrow O_n$ , and its kernel  $K$  is independent of  $n$ . The Cartan label refers to an associated symmetric space; the association is explained in the references to the 10-fold way below. The group  $H_n(4) = \text{Spin}_n \times_{\pm 1} \text{Sp}_1$  is sometimes denoted ‘ $\text{Spin}_n^h$ ’, a quaternionic analog of the  $\text{Spin}^c$  group; see [L] and the references therein.

The tables are an instance of a “10-fold way”. The 10-fold way originated in a famous paper of Dyson [D], and subsequently has had many incarnations, of which [D, AZ, HHZ, K, SRFL, FM, KZ, WS] is a small sample. This particular incarnation is [FH1, §9.2.1]. Our subsequent discussion of index theory has an analog for each of the compact Lie groups  $H_n(s)$ .

## 2. Dirac operators

Is learned differential geometry from Chern at the University of Chicago in the very late 1940s. Upon his arrival to MIT in 1950, he and Ambrose set about developing Chern’s ideas into a

modern treatment. One core aspect is the theory of principal bundles and connections, to which Ambrose-Singer contributed their eponymous theorem [AmS]. These ideas were firmly established in Is' mind when the need for a Dirac operator on Riemannian manifolds became the route to explain the integrality of the  $\hat{A}$ -genus [S]. The variation we present here—the *Clifford linear Dirac operator*—is due to Atiyah-Singer: the earliest written account I know is [LM, §II.7]. The basic construction is for Spin manifolds, and a small variation based on the embeddings (1.9) leads to the Dirac operator on Pin manifolds. We begin at the beginning: geometric structures after Klein and Cartan (Chern's teacher), but somewhat generalized. In part, we review these foundations to address the question: Why Pin manifolds?

**Definition 2.1.** Fix  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ . An  $n$ -dimensional *linear symmetry type*  $(G_n, \lambda_n)$  is a Lie group  $G_n$  and a homomorphism  $\lambda_n: G_n \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n \mathbb{R}$ .

*Remark 2.2.* In Cartan's theory of  $G$ -structures [C] the homomorphism  $\lambda_n$  is injective. We make no such requirement; rather, the kernel  $K = \ker \lambda_n$  is the group of *internal symmetries*.

**Example 2.3.** Riemannian geometry comes from the linear symmetry type  $O_n \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n \mathbb{R}$ . Spin geometry comes from the linear symmetry type  $\mathrm{Spin}_n \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{SO}_n \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n \mathbb{R}$ , which has internal symmetry group  $K = \mu_2$ .

A linear symmetry type determines categories of both affine and curved geometries. Below we construct Dirac operators associated to both affine and curved Spin and Pin geometries. The *affine symmetry type*  $\tilde{\lambda}_n: \mathcal{G}_n \rightarrow \mathrm{Aff}_n$  associated to a linear symmetry type  $(G_n, \lambda_n)$  is defined by pullback:

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & 1 & & 1 & \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ & & & K & \longleftarrow & K & \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^n & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}_n & \dashrightarrow & G_n \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \tilde{\lambda}_n & & \downarrow \lambda_n \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^n & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Aff}_n & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{GL}_n \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

The translation group  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a normal subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}_n$ . The group  $\mathcal{G}_n$  acts on real affine space  $\mathbb{A}^n$  by affine symmetries; the group  $G_n$  acts on the real vector space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by linear symmetries. For the linear symmetry type  $O_n \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n \mathbb{R}$ , the group  $\mathcal{G}_n$  is the Euclidean group and the corresponding affine geometry is Euclidean geometry.

Symmetries in model geometries become structures on smooth manifolds. The linear group  $G_n$  is infinitesimal on a manifold, i.e., it encodes first-order geometry on tangent spaces. The translation group acts infinitesimally as a connection.

**Definition 2.5.** Fix an  $n$ -dimensional linear symmetry type  $(G_n, \lambda_n)$ , and suppose  $M$  is a smooth  $n$ -dimensional manifold. A  $(G_n, \lambda_n)$ -structure on  $M$  is a pair  $(P, \theta)$  consisting of a principal  $G_n$ -bundle  $P \rightarrow M$  and an isomorphism

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B}(M) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\theta} & \lambda_n(P) \\ & \searrow \text{GL}_n\mathbb{R} & \swarrow \text{GL}_n\mathbb{R} \\ & & M \end{array}$$

of principal  $\text{GL}_n\mathbb{R}$ -bundles.

Here  $\mathcal{B}(M) \rightarrow M$  is the frame bundle and  $\lambda_n(P) \rightarrow M$  is the principal  $\text{GL}_n\mathbb{R}$ -bundle associated to  $P \rightarrow M$  via the homomorphism  $\lambda_n: G_n \rightarrow \text{O}_n$ . Usually we want a *differential*  $(G_n, \lambda_n)$ -structure, which includes a connection  $\Theta$  on  $P \rightarrow M$  as well. The associated connection  $\lambda_n(\Theta)$  on  $\lambda_n(P) \rightarrow M$  induces a connection on the frame bundle, so we can define its torsion. Torsionfree connections play an important role in the theory. (For example, their existence is an integrability condition on a  $(G_n, \lambda_n)$ -structure.)




FIGURE 1. Wick rotation of a spatial reflection in Minkowski spacetime to a reflection in Euclidean space; its presence leads to unoriented manifolds

Now we can answer the question: Why Pin manifolds?<sup>2</sup> Consider a linear symmetry type  $(G_n, \lambda_n)$ . If the image of  $\lambda_n$  includes orientation-reversing linear maps, then  $(G_n, \lambda_n)$ -manifolds are unoriented (though they may be orientable); more precisely, a  $(G_n, \lambda_n)$ -structure does not induce an orientation. If  $\lambda_n$  factors through the orthogonal group  $\text{O}_n \hookrightarrow \text{GL}_n\mathbb{R}$ , then the affine geometry is Euclidean; if it includes reflection symmetries, then again  $(G_n, \lambda_n)$ -manifolds are unoriented. In relativistic quantum field theory, the symmetry type factors through the group  $\text{O}_{1,n-1}^\uparrow \hookrightarrow \text{GL}_n\mathbb{R}$  of time-orientation preserving isometries of the standard Lorentz metric. Relativistic invariance requires that the image of this homomorphism include the identity component  $\text{SO}_{1,n-1}^\uparrow \subset \text{O}_{1,n-1}^\uparrow$ . If the image is the entire group  $\text{O}_{1,n-1}^\uparrow$ , then it includes reflections in space. These Wick rotate to reflections in Euclidean space (Figure 1) and so, as just mentioned, to unoriented manifolds. The CPT theorem in quantum field theory [SW] implies that such theories have time reflections as well. Therefore, time-reversal symmetric quantum theories lead to unoriented manifolds, and in the presence of spinor fields one encounters Pin manifolds. This is the situation in [FH1, FH2].

After these preliminaries we turn to the Dirac operator. Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian Spin manifold (linear symmetry type  $\text{Spin}_n \twoheadrightarrow \text{SO}_n \hookrightarrow \text{GL}_n\mathbb{R}$ ), and let  $\text{O}(M) \rightarrow M$  be the principal

<sup>2</sup>Pin manifolds have associated linear symmetry type  $\text{Pin}^\pm \twoheadrightarrow \text{O}_n \hookrightarrow \text{GL}_n\mathbb{R}$ . See [KT1] for basics on Pin manifolds and for examples in low dimensions.




FIGURE 2. The orthonormal frame bundle, its Levi-Civita connection, the tautological horizontal vector fields, and their lift to the “spin frame” bundle

$O_n$ -bundle of orthonormal frames. The fundamental theorem of Riemannian geometry produces a canonical *Levi-Civita connection* on  $O(M) \rightarrow M$ . A point  $p \in O(M)$  in the fiber at  $m \in M$  is an orthonormal basis  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  of  $T_m M$ . Let  $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$  be the horizontal lifts of the tangent vectors  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  to  $p$ . The Spin structure is encoded in a principal  $\text{Spin}_n$ -bundle  $\text{Spin}(M) \rightarrow M$ , to which the Levi-Civita connection and horizontal vector fields  $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$  lift. The vector space<sup>3</sup>  $\text{Cliff}_{+n}$  carries a left action of  $\text{Spin}_n \subset \text{Cliff}_{+n}$  that preserves the  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -grading and commutes with right multiplication  $\text{Cliff}_{+n} \circ \text{Cliff}_{+n}$ . Let  $\gamma^i$  denote left Clifford multiplication by  $e^i$ . The Dirac operators on Euclidean space  $\mathbb{E}^n$  and on the manifold  $M$  take a similar form:

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{array}{l} \mathbb{E}^n : \quad D = \gamma^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} + \cdots + \gamma^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \quad \circlearrowleft \quad (\psi: \mathbb{E}^n \rightarrow \text{Cliff}_{+n}) \quad \circlearrowright \quad \text{Cliff}_{+n} \\ M : \quad D = \gamma^1 \partial_1 + \cdots + \gamma^n \partial_n \quad \circlearrowleft \quad (\psi: \text{Spin}(M) \rightarrow \text{Cliff}_{+n}) \quad \circlearrowright \quad \text{Cliff}_{+n} \end{array}$$

Here a spinor field on  $\mathbb{E}^n$  is a smooth function  $\psi: \mathbb{E}^n \rightarrow \text{Cliff}_{+n}$ , while a spinor field on  $M$  is a  $\text{Spin}_n$ -equivariant function  $\psi: \text{Spin}(M) \rightarrow \text{Cliff}_{+n}$ ; the equivariance is

$$(2.8) \quad \psi(p \cdot g) = g^{-1} \psi(p), \quad p \in \text{Spin}(M), \quad g \in \text{Spin}_n \subset \text{Cliff}_{+n}.$$

These Dirac operators are linear maps of right  $\text{Cliff}_{+n}$ -modules.

*Remark 2.9.* The  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded representation  $\text{Spin}_n \circlearrowleft \text{Cliff}_{+n}$  is reducible. One can choose a set of commuting even elements in  $\text{Cliff}_{+n}$  and simultaneously diagonalize their action on  $\text{Cliff}_{+n}$  by right multiplication to pick out an irreducible subrepresentation; see [AB, §8]. Instead, we simply record the entire commuting algebra  $\text{Cliff}_{+n}$ . This is more canonical and more powerful: it leads naturally to topological and geometric indices of Dirac operators.

*Remark 2.10.* It is conventional in index theory, certainly since [ABS], to work with *left*  $\text{Cliff}_{-n}$ -modules than *right*  $\text{Cliff}_{+n}$ -modules. (Recall that  $\text{Cliff}_{-n}$  is the opposite  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded algebra to

<sup>3</sup>At this point we raise indices and redefine the algebra  $\text{Cliff}_{+n}$  to have generators  $e^1, \dots, e^n$  with  $(e^i)^2 = +1$ .

Cliff $_{+n}$ , so these are equivalent.) Henceforth, we regard the Dirac operators (2.7) as maps of left Cliff $_{-n}$ -modules.

The Dirac operator on a Pin $^+$   $n$ -manifold  $M$  is constructed by a small modification. The Pin $^+$  structure is encoded in a principal Pin $_n^+$ -bundle

$$(2.11) \quad \text{Pin}_n^+(M) \longrightarrow \text{O}(M) \longrightarrow M$$

with lifted Levi-Civita connection and tautological horizontal vector fields  $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$ . The embedding (1.9) produces commuting actions

$$(2.12) \quad \text{Pin}_n^+ \subset \text{Cliff}_{n,1} \circlearrowleft \text{Cliff}_{n,1} \circlearrowright \text{Cliff}_{n,1};$$

the left action of Pin $_n^+$  preserves the  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of Cliff $_{n,1}$ . The Dirac operators are

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{array}{l} \mathbb{E}^n : \quad D = \gamma^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} + \dots + \gamma^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \quad \circlearrowleft \quad \left( \psi : \mathbb{E}^n \longrightarrow \text{Cliff}_{n,1} \right) \quad \circlearrowright \quad \text{Cliff}_{n,1} \\ M : \quad D = \gamma^1 \partial_1 + \dots + \gamma^n \partial_n \quad \circlearrowleft \quad \left( \psi : \text{Pin}^+(M) \longrightarrow \text{Cliff}_{n,1} \right) \quad \circlearrowright \quad \text{Cliff}_{n,1} \end{array}$$

These linear maps respect the right Cliff $_{n,1}$ -module structures. Equivalently, they respect left Cliff $_{1,n}$ -module structures, and by Morita equivalence we construct a Dirac operator on left Cliff $_{-(n-1)}$ -modules. It is this last Dirac operator that we use in the sequel.

The case of Pin $^-$  manifolds is similar, only now one obtains Dirac operators on left Cliff $_{-(n+1)}$ -modules.

*Remark 2.14.* Note the opposite shifts in  $n$  for Pin $^+$  and Pin $^-$ , already flagged in [Remark 1.11](#). Namely, Dirac operator on an  $n$ -dimensional Pin $^+$  manifold is a map of Cliff $_{-(n-1)}$ -modules, whereas on an  $n$ -dimensional Pin $^-$  manifold the Dirac operator is a map of Cliff $_{-(n+1)}$ -modules.

### 3. Topological index theory

We first recall the Atiyah-Singer index theorem for families of Dirac operators. The index theorem for general families of elliptic pseudodifferential operators is proved in [\[AS1\]](#); the index of Clifford linear Fredholm operators is the subject of [\[AS2\]](#). Both papers showcase Is' deep knowledge and facility with analysis, though that aspect does not come through in the present account.

Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow S$  be a proper fiber bundle of smooth manifolds of relative dimension  $n$ , i.e.,  $S$  smoothly parametrizes a family of closed  $n$ -dimensional manifolds. A *relative Riemannian structure* on  $\pi$  is a metric on the relative tangent bundle  $T(X/S) \rightarrow X$  together with a horizontal distribution on the fiber bundle  $\pi$ . A *relative Spin structure* is a Spin structure on  $T(X/S) \rightarrow X$ . Assume given both. In addition, suppose  $E \rightarrow X$  is an orthogonal vector bundle with compatible covariant derivative. This data is depicted in [Figure 3](#). From it we construct a family of Clifford






FIGURE 3. A geometric family of Spin manifolds

linear Dirac operators  $D_{X/S}$ ; each  $D_s, s \in S$ , is a Fredholm map of left  $\text{Cliff}_{-n}$ -modules (Figure 4). The Fredholm, or analytic, index of this family is a class in  $KO$ -theory:

$$(3.1) \quad \text{ind } D_{X/S} \in KO^{-n}(S)$$

The Atiyah-Singer theorem is a topological formula for this analytic index.




FIGURE 4. The induced family of Clifford linear Dirac operators

To push forward along the fibers of  $\pi: X \rightarrow S$  in  $KO$ -theory, at least over compact subsets of  $S$ , choose an embedding  $i: X \hookrightarrow S^N$  into a sphere and factor the fiber bundle to an embedding followed by projection off a sphere:

$$(3.2) \quad \pi: X \xrightarrow{\pi \times i} S \times S^N \xrightarrow{\text{proj}_1} S$$

The key ingredient in the direct image for an embedding, such as  $\pi \times i$ , is the construction of the  $KO$ -theory Thom class [ABS] of the normal bundle to the image. The direct image

$$(3.3) \quad \pi_!: KO^0(X) \longrightarrow KO^{-n}(S)$$

is the direct image of the embedding followed by the suspension isomorphism in  $KO$ -theory.

With this in place, we can state the index theorem.

**Theorem 3.4** (Atiyah-Singer).  $\text{ind } D_{X/S} = \pi_!([E])$ , where  $[E] \in KO^0(X)$  is the  $KO$ -theory class of the real vector bundle  $E \rightarrow X$ .

The modification to **Theorem 3.4** for families of  $\text{Pin}$  manifolds is minor; the main point is the shift (1.13). For convenience we restrict to  $\text{Pin}^+$ ; the case of  $\text{Pin}^-$  manifolds has the opposite shift but otherwise is the same. Suppose now that  $\pi: X \rightarrow S$  has a relative  $\text{Pin}^+$  structure in place of a relative  $\text{Spin}$  structure. Then, as explained in (2.13) and **Remark 2.14**, there results a family of  $\text{Cliff}_{-(n-1)}$ -linear Dirac operators. Hence the analytic index lies in a shifted  $KO$ -group:

$$(3.5) \quad \text{ind } D_{X/S} \in KO^{-(n-1)}(S)$$

A similar shift in degree of the Thom class leads to the topological pushforward map

$$(3.6) \quad \pi_!: KO^0(X) \longrightarrow KO^{-(n-1)}(S)$$

**Theorem 3.7.**  $\text{ind } D_{X/S} = \pi_!([E])$ .

We illustrate **Theorem 3.7** by noting that the index is a  $\text{Pin}^+$  bordism invariant: if  $M$  is a closed  $n$ -dimensional  $\text{Pin}^+$  manifold and  $\pi^M: M \rightarrow \text{pt}$  is the unique map, then  $\pi_!^M(1) \in KO^{-(n-1)}(\text{pt})$  depends only on the  $\text{Pin}^+$  bordism class of  $M$  in  $\Omega_n^{\text{Pin}^+}$ . The group in which the index takes its value is determined by the homotopy groups of the orthogonal group, i.e., by Bott periodicity:

$$(3.8) \quad KO^{-m}(\text{pt}) \cong \pi_{m-1}O_\infty \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & m \equiv 0 \pmod{8}; \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, & m \equiv 1 \pmod{8}; \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, & m \equiv 2 \pmod{8}; \\ 0, & m \equiv 3 \pmod{8}; \\ \mathbb{Z}, & m \equiv 4 \pmod{8}; \\ 0, & m \equiv 5 \pmod{8}; \\ 0, & m \equiv 6 \pmod{8}; \\ 0, & m \equiv 7 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

**Example 3.9** ( $n = 2$ ). The index of the  $\text{Pin}^+$  Dirac operator is an isomorphism

$$(3.10) \quad \Omega_2^{\text{Pin}^+} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

A generator of  $\Omega_2^{\text{Pin}^+}$  is the Klein bottle with a nonbounding  $\text{Pin}^+$  structure. (Note that the real projective plane  $\mathbb{RP}^2$  does not admit a  $\text{Pin}^+$  structure.)

**Example 3.11** ( $n = 4$ ). The bordism group  $\Omega_4^{\text{Pin}^+} \cong \mathbb{Z}/16\mathbb{Z}$  is generated by  $\mathbb{RP}^4$  with either of its two inequivalent  $\text{Pin}^+$  structures. However,  $KO^{-3}(\text{pt}) = 0$  and so the index provides no information.

In the early 1970s index theory pivoted from *global topological* invariants of general elliptic pseudodifferential operators to *local geometric* invariants of Dirac operators. It was in the vanguard of these developments: the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer  $\eta$ -invariant [APS] is the first of these geometric invariants. From a modern perspective, the geometric invariants are located in *differential KO*-theory, to which we now turn.

#### 4. Introduction to differential cohomology

The precursors to differential cohomology are Deligne cohomology [De] in algebraic geometry on the one hand and Cheeger-Simons differential characters [ChS] on the other, both introduced in the early 1970s. Together they lead to a smooth version of Deligne cohomology [DF, §6.3], computed by a cochain complex on a smooth manifold. Just prior to the turn of the millennium, different influences from physics<sup>4</sup> led to the need for differential refinements of generalized cohomology theories [F1, FH3]. Characteristically, Is was engaged with these developments; his joint paper with Hopkins [HS] lays foundations for the general theory and has served as the basis for further developments and applications. The modern approach, for example in [BNV], leans more heavily on homotopy theory. The book [ADH] and recent survey [Deb] contain detailed accounts and references. For differential  $K$ -theory there are various geometric models—a sample is [BS, SS1]—as well as a refinement of the Atiyah-Singer index Theorem 3.4 in differential complex  $K$ -theory [FL]. Recent work on differential  $KO$ -theory includes [GS, GY]. Our limited goal in this section is to give an entrée to generalized differential cohomology theory.

We begin with the differential refinement<sup>5</sup>  $\check{H}^\bullet$  of integer cohomology in low degrees. Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold. Then

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}) &\cong \{\text{smooth maps } M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}\} / \text{homotopy} \\ \check{H}^1(M) &\cong \{\text{smooth maps } M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}\} \end{aligned}$$

The forgetful map  $\check{H}^1(M) \rightarrow H^1(M; \mathbb{Z})$  remembers only the homotopy class. Moving up a degree,

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}) &\cong \{\text{principal } \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}\text{-bundles } P \rightarrow M\} / \text{isomorphism} \\ \check{H}^2(M) &\cong \{\text{principal } \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}\text{-connections } (P, \Theta) \rightarrow M\} / \text{isomorphism} \end{aligned}$$

The map  $\check{H}^2(M) \rightarrow H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$  forgets the differential geometric data (connection). There are various geometric models of “gerbes” for degree three integer cohomology, as well as for connections on gerbes for degree three differential cohomology. Such constructions become more intricate as the degree increases. For this and many other reasons, one turns to a general theory.

<sup>4</sup>One motivation is to encode Dirac charge quantization in the theory of abelian gauge fields. We encounter this in §6 in the form of the  $C$ -field in M-theory.

<sup>5</sup>Often ‘ $\hat{H}$ ’ is used in place of ‘ $\check{H}$ ’. To make explicit the coefficients, one might use ‘ $\widetilde{H}\mathbb{Z}$ ’.

For any  $q \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$  there is a commutative square of abelian groups

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \check{H}^q(M) & \xrightarrow{\text{curvature}} & \Omega^q(M)_{\text{closed}} \\ \pi_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{de Rham} \\ H^q(M; \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^q(M; \mathbb{R}) \end{array}$$

The term ‘curvature’ literally applies for  $q = 2$  and is used more generally. Notably, (4.3) is not a *pullback* square; try  $q = 1$  and  $M = \text{pt}$ . Rather,  $\check{H}^q(M)$  is constructed as a *homotopy* pullback. In cochain complexes, a class in  $\check{H}^q(M)$  is represented by a triple  $(c, h, \omega)$  in which

$$(4.4) \quad c \in C^q(M; \mathbb{Z}) \quad h \in C^{q-1}(M; \mathbb{R}) \quad \omega \in \Omega^q(M)$$

satisfy

$$(4.5) \quad \delta c = 0 \quad \delta h = \omega - c \quad d\omega = 0$$

The cochain  $h$  serves as a homotopy between  $\omega$  and  $c$ , both regarded as real cocycles of degree  $q$ . *Differential cocycles*  $(c, h, \omega)$  are objects of higher groupoids that are *local* on  $M$ ; see [FN, §A.3].




FIGURE 5. The abelian Lie group  $\check{H}^q(M)$

There is an abelian Lie group structure on  $\check{H}^q(M)$ , constructed in [BSS] and depicted in Figure 5. It reveals many features of differential cohomology. The image of the curvature map  $\check{H}^q(M) \rightarrow \Omega^q(M)$  is a union of affine translates of the subspace  $d\Omega^{q-1}(M)$  of exact  $q$ -forms. The set of these translates forms an abelian group isomorphic to  $H^q(M; \mathbb{Z})/\text{torsion}$ . The kernel of the curvature map is the subgroup of *flat* elements of  $\check{H}^q(M)$ . It is isomorphic to  $H^{q-1}(M; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ , which is an abelian Lie group whose identity component is the torus  $H^{q-1}(M; \mathbb{R})/H^{q-1}(M; \mathbb{Z})$  and whose group of components is isomorphic to the torsion subgroup  $\text{Tors } H^q(M; \mathbb{Z})$ . The group of components of  $\check{H}^q(M)$  is isomorphic to  $H^q(M; \mathbb{Z})$ , and the map  $\pi_0$  in (4.3) sends an element of  $\check{H}^q(M)$  to its component.

*Remark 4.6.*

(1) Topological cohomology features in two homomorphisms involving differential cohomology:

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{array}{ll} \pi_0: \check{H}^q(M) \twoheadrightarrow H^q(M; \mathbb{Z}) & \text{(underlying topological class)} \\ \iota: H^{q-1}(M; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow \check{H}^q(M) & \text{(flat subgroup)} \end{array}$$

The first is a quotient and the second is a sub. The interplay between  $\pi_0$  and  $\iota$  gives topological information beyond cohomology; see (5.14) and (7.2) below for examples.

- (2) Differential cohomology marries local differential forms with global integrality.  
 (3) There is a calculus of differential cocycles—including products and integration—that simultaneously lifts (i) the calculus of differential forms and (ii) cup product and pushforward in cohomology.

## 5. Differential $K$ -theory and $\eta$ -invariants

At some point in the early 1980s, Is suggested three thesis problems to me. One asked to construct Cheeger-Simons characters for  $K$ -theory. Ever prescient, Is was clearly envisioning differential  $K$ -theory long before others.

The general theory—as first developed by Hopkins-Singer [HS]—constructs  $\check{K}$  and  $\widetilde{KO}$  groups that fit into commutative squares analogous to (4.3):

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \check{K}^q(M) & \xrightarrow{\text{curvature}} & \Omega(M; \mathbb{R}[u, u^{-1}])_{\text{closed}}^q \\ \pi_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{de Rham} \\ K^q(M) & \longrightarrow & H(M; \mathbb{R}[u, u^{-1}])^q \end{array} \quad (\deg u = 2)$$

and

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{KO}^q(M) & \xrightarrow{\text{curvature}} & \Omega(M; \mathbb{R}[v, v^{-1}])_{\text{closed}}^q \\ \pi_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{de Rham} \\ KO^q(M) & \longrightarrow & H(M; \mathbb{R}[v, v^{-1}])^q \end{array} \quad (\deg v = 4)$$

In these diagrams  $\mathbb{R}[u, u^{-1}] \cong K^\bullet(\text{pt}) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{R}[v, v^{-1}] \cong KO^\bullet(\text{pt}) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ .

A useful geometric model represents a differential class by a triple  $(E, \nabla, \eta)$  in which  $E \rightarrow M$  is a unitary/orthogonal vector bundle with compatible covariant derivative, and  $\eta$  is a differential form of total degree  $q - 1$ ; see [FL, §2] for details. Simons-Sullivan [SS2] prove, at least for complex  $K$ -theory, that each differential  $K$ -theory class has a representative with  $\eta = 0$ .

For complex  $K$ -theory we have isomorphisms

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \check{K}^{-m}(\text{pt}) &\xrightarrow[\cong]{\pi_0} K^{-m}(\text{pt}) \cong \mathbb{Z}, & m \text{ even} \\ \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \cong K^{-(m+1)}(\text{pt}; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) &\xrightarrow[\cong]{\iota} \check{K}^{-m}(\text{pt}), & m \text{ odd} \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 5.4** (Klonoff [KI]). *Let  $M$  be a closed  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian  $\text{Spin}^c$  manifold, and suppose given  $E \rightarrow M$  a unitary vector bundle with covariant derivative. Then the pushforward*

$$(5.5) \quad \check{\pi}_1^M : \check{K}^0(M) \longrightarrow \check{K}^{-n}(\text{pt})$$

is computed by the analytic quantities

$$(5.6) \quad \check{\pi}_1^M([\check{E}]) = \begin{cases} \text{ind } D_M(E), & n \text{ even} \\ \xi_M(E) \pmod{1}, & n \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

The definition of (5.5) uses the Riemannian structure as well as the  $\text{Spin}^c$  structure; by contrast the direct image in topological  $K$ -theory uses only the topological  $\text{Spin}^c$  structure. For  $n$  even, the pushforward is the index of the Dirac operator coupled to the vector bundle  $E \rightarrow M$ , and (5.6) is the Atiyah-Singer index theorem. For  $n$  odd, we obtain a geometric invariant—the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer  $\eta$ -invariant of Dirac coupled to  $E \rightarrow M$ —but in the form  $\xi = \frac{\eta + \dim \ker}{2} \pmod{1}$ , as in the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer work.<sup>6</sup> For  $n$  odd there is a dependence on metrics and connections, whereas for  $n$  even the result factors through the topological pushforward. **Theorem 5.4** is also proved in [FL] as a corollary to a differential index theorem for families.

*Remark 5.7.*

- (1) Other invariants of geometric index theory—Pfaffian and determinant line bundles, index gerbes, Bismut superconnection, . . . —are unified in differential  $K$ -theory.
- (2) Below we use the extension of **Theorem 5.4** to  $\widetilde{KO}$ , though proofs may not exist in print.

Turning now to differential  $KO$ -theory, the home for the  $\widetilde{KO}$  invariant of an  $n$ -manifold is

$$(5.8) \quad \widetilde{KO}^{-m}(\text{pt}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, & m \equiv 7 \pmod{8} \\ 0, & m \equiv 6 \pmod{8} \\ 0, & m \equiv 5 \pmod{8} \\ \mathbb{Z}, & m \equiv 4 \pmod{8} \\ \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, & m \equiv 3 \pmod{8} \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, & m \equiv 2 \pmod{8} \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, & m \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \\ \mathbb{Z}, & m \equiv 0 \pmod{8} \end{cases}$$

<sup>6</sup>In the spirit of this paper, we should use an  $\xi$ -invariant constructed from the Clifford linear Dirac operator, as in [FH2, §A.1]. Observe that it is  $\xi \pmod{1} \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  that occurs, not  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ .

An analog of [Theorem 5.4](#) computes the pushforward

$$(5.9) \quad \check{\pi}_!^M: \widetilde{KO}^0(M) \longrightarrow \widetilde{KO}^{-n}(\text{pt})$$

for  $M$  is a closed Riemannian Spin manifold. For  $n \equiv 0, 1, 2, 4 \pmod{8}$  we obtain the index invariants of Atiyah-Singer, including mod 2 indices. For  $n \equiv 3, 7 \pmod{8}$  we obtain  $\eta$ -invariants.

Now we arrive at the main point, namely the variation—with a shift [\(1.13\)](#)—for  $\text{Pin}^+$  manifolds. For safety, we leave it as a conjecture since—to our knowledge—no proof exists in the literature.

**Conjecture 5.10.** *Let  $M$  be a closed  $n$ -dimensional  $\text{Pin}^+$  manifold, and suppose  $E \rightarrow M$  is an orthogonal vector bundle with covariant derivative. Then*

$$(5.11) \quad \check{\pi}_!^M([\check{E}]) = \begin{cases} \xi_M(E)/2 \pmod{1}, & n \equiv 4 \pmod{8} \\ \xi_M(E) \pmod{1}, & n \equiv 0 \pmod{8} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore,  $\check{\pi}_!^M([\check{E}])$  is rational (lies in  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ ), is independent of metrics and covariant derivatives, depends only on  $[E] \in KO^0(M)$ , and is a  $\text{Pin}^+$  bordism invariant.

Note the shift in the differential pushforward, as in the topological pushforward [\(3.6\)](#). That the  $\eta$ -invariants satisfy the properties stated after [\(5.11\)](#) is a theorem. The key ingredient, already flagged in [\[G\]](#), is that the shift by one implies the characteristic differential form which computes the variation of the  $\eta$ -invariant has odd degree, hence it vanishes. The other ingredient is the finiteness of the  $\text{Pin}^+$  bordism group. (See [\[KT2\]](#) for more on  $\text{Pin}^+$  bordism.) [Conjecture 5.10](#) extends to degrees  $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , in which case the pushforward is a topological index.

**Example 5.12** ( $n = 4$ ). Let's revisit [Example 3.11](#) with the differential invariant in hand. The differential pushforward  $M \mapsto \check{\pi}_!^M(1)$  induces an isomorphism

$$(5.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \Omega_4^{\text{Pin}^+} &\longrightarrow \frac{1}{16}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} \\ M &\longmapsto \xi_M/2 \pmod{1} \end{aligned}$$

(See [\[St\]](#) for more on  $\text{Pin}^+$   $\eta$ -invariants.) In this situation the differential direct image factorizes through [\(4.7\)](#) to a homomorphism of topological  $KO$ -theory groups:

$$(5.14) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{KO}^0(M) & \xrightarrow{\check{\pi}_!^M} & \widetilde{KO}^{-3}(\text{pt}) \\ \pi_0 \downarrow & & \uparrow \iota \\ KO^0(M) & \dashrightarrow & KO^{-4}(\text{pt}; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

In general, the differential pushforward on  $\text{Pin}^+$  manifolds of dimensions  $n \equiv 0, 4 \pmod{8}$  factors as in [\(5.14\)](#) through a topological homomorphism. This leads us to ask for topological methods to compute it, and so to compute the  $\eta$ -invariant. A few approaches are described in [Section 7](#), but we first digress to describe one physics problem in which these invariants arise.

## 6. Anomalies in M-theory

Very early on, Is foresaw the potential impact of quantum field theory on mathematics, and he devoted a great deal of effort to foster the interaction between mathematics and physics. As part of this effort, he was a progenitor of the link between index theory and anomalies of spinor fields; the 1984 Atiyah-Singer paper [AS3] is one of the first to forge this connection. Soon after, Witten [W1] brought in  $\eta$ -invariants. The conceptual understanding of anomalies has greatly advanced in the intervening years [F2]. The problem in [FH2] required computing  $\eta$ -invariants on  $\text{Pin}^+$  12-manifolds of a special sort, as we now explain.

M-theory is an 11-dimensional variant of string theory that is closely connected to 11-dimensional supergravity. The latter was constructed in 1978 by Cremmer, Julia, and Scherk [CJS]. In its Wick-rotated form, there are four fields: a  $\text{Pin}^+$  structure, a Riemannian metric, a ‘‘Rarita-Schwinger field’’, and a ‘‘ $C$ -field’’. As explained in §2, the  $\text{Pin}^+$  field in the Wick-rotated theory is a consequence of time-reversal symmetry in the relativistic setting, a crucial property of M-theory. The Rarita-Schwinger field is a close cousin of a spinor field, and it gives rise to an anomaly. There is another anomaly due to the  $C$ -field, and the main result of [FH2] is that these anomalies cancel. For the present discussion the  $C$ -field anomaly is not relevant, but what is important is the global integrality constraint on the  $C$ -field. Namely, the  $C$ -field is modeled as a degree four differential cocycle, but with coefficients twisted by the orientation double cover. Furthermore, the underlying cohomology class in  $H^4(-; \mathbb{Z}_{w_1})$  is constrained [W2] to have mod 2 reduction equal to the 4<sup>th</sup> Stiefel-Whitney class  $w_4$  of the underlying manifold. Therefore, the arena for Wick-rotated M-theory is  $\text{Pin}^+$  manifolds with a  $w_1$ -twisted integral lift of  $w_4$ , topological data we term an  $\mathfrak{m}_c$ -structure.

Our computational approach to the anomaly question is to compute information about the bordism group of  $\mathfrak{m}_c$  12-manifolds and check anomaly cancellation on a set of generators. This is not an off-the-shelf bordism group! After much computation and tinkering, we proved this result.

**Theorem 6.1.** *The following six  $\mathfrak{m}_c$ -manifolds generate the bordism group  $\Omega_{12}^{\mathfrak{m}_c}$  localized at the prime 2:*

$$(6.2) \quad K \times \mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^2, \quad \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^4 \times B, \quad (\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^4 \# \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^4) \times B, \quad W'_0, \quad W''_0, \quad W_1,$$

where  $K$  is the  $K3$  surface,  $B$  is an 8-dimensional Bott manifold, and there are fiber bundles

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{array}{l} \mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^2 \longrightarrow W'_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^4 \\ \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^8 \longrightarrow W''_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^1 \\ \mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^2 \longrightarrow W_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \end{array}$$

We refer to [FH2] for details about these manifolds and about the M-theory problem. We computed an appropriate  $\eta$ -invariant for each of these six  $\text{Pin}^+$  12-manifolds, and it is to the computational techniques that we now turn.



## 7. Topological formulæ for the $\eta$ -invariant

For concreteness, specialize to 12-dimensional  $\text{Pin}^+$  manifolds. Similar considerations hold in all dimensions  $0 \pmod{4}$  for  $\text{Pin}^+$  manifolds and in dimensions  $2 \pmod{4}$  for  $\text{Pin}^-$  manifolds.

Let  $M$  be a closed Riemannian  $\text{Pin}^+$  12-manifold. For every real vector bundle  $E \rightarrow M$  equipped with an inner product and compatible covariant derivative, there is an  $\eta$ -invariant

$$(7.1) \quad \xi_M(E)/2 \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

According to [Conjecture 5.10](#) it is computed as a pushforward in differential  $KO$ -theory, and as in [\(5.14\)](#) the pushforward factors

$$(7.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{KO}^0(M) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}_!^M} & \widetilde{KO}^{-11}(\text{pt}) \\ \pi_0 \downarrow & & \uparrow \iota \\ KO^0(M) & \dashrightarrow & KO^{-12}(\text{pt}; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

to a homomorphism in topological  $KO$ -theory. We indicate three methods to compute it.

First, if  $M$  is oriented and so carries a  $\text{Spin}$  structure, then

$$(7.3) \quad \xi_M(E)/2 = \text{ind } D_M(E)/2 \pmod{1},$$

where  $D_M(E)$  is the  $\text{Spin}$  Dirac operator.<sup>7</sup> In other words, in the  $\text{Spin}$  case  $\xi_M(E)/2$  is a mod 2 index that lifts to an integer index. As usual, the integer index has an expression in terms of rational Pontrjagin numbers of  $M$  and  $E \rightarrow M$ .

Second, we indicate a formula of Stolz [[St](#), §5], derived from a theorem of Donnelly [[Do](#)]. Let  $\pi: \widehat{M} \rightarrow M$  be the orientation double cover, and let  $\sigma: \widehat{M} \rightarrow \widehat{M}$  be the free orientation-reversing involution. Suppose  $\widehat{M} = \partial Z$  for a compact  $\text{Pin}^+$  13-manifold  $Z$ . Assume  $\sigma$  and  $\pi^*E \rightarrow \widehat{M}$  both extend over  $Z$  to chosen extensions  $\tilde{\sigma}$  and  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow Z$ . If  $\tilde{\sigma}$  has a finite set  $\{f\}$  of fixed points, then

$$(7.4) \quad \xi_M(E)/2 = \sum_f \frac{\epsilon_f \tau_f}{2^8},$$

where  $\tau_f$  is the trace of  $\tilde{\sigma}$  lifted to the fiber  $\mathcal{E}_f$ , and  $\epsilon_f = \pm 1$  is computed from the action of  $\tilde{\sigma}$  on the  $\text{Pin}$  frames at  $f$ .

These two methods suffice in our problem for each manifold in [\(6.2\)](#) except  $W_0''$ . For that manifold we used a third method. It uses a variant of a theorem of Zhang [[Z](#)], which he proved analytically based on techniques in [[BZ](#)]. To state the theorem, we note that  $\widetilde{KO}^0(\mathbb{RP}^{20}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2^{11}\mathbb{Z}$  with generator  $1 - [H]$ , where  $H \rightarrow \mathbb{RP}^{20}$  is the real Hopf line bundle.

<sup>7</sup>The usual Dirac operator is quaternionic, whereas the Clifford linear Dirac operator is real. The index of the latter—computed in  $KO^{-12}(\text{pt})$ —is what appears in [\(7.3\)](#); it is one-half the complex index of the former.

**Theorem 7.5.** *Let  $M$  be a closed Riemannian  $\text{Pin}^+$  12-manifold, and suppose  $E \rightarrow M$  is a real vector bundle with inner product and orthogonal covariant derivative. Suppose a smooth map  $\gamma: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{20}$  satisfies  $\gamma^*w_1(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{20}) = w_1(M)$ . Then*

$$(7.6) \quad \gamma_!([E]) = 2^{11} \frac{\xi_M(E)}{2} (1 - [H]) \quad \text{in } \widetilde{KO}(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{20})$$

We conclude with a reformulation of [Theorem 7.5](#) in terms of differential  $KO$ -theory. Namely, the pushforwards  $\check{\pi}_!^M, \check{\pi}_!^{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{20}}: \widetilde{KO} \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(\text{pt})$  factor through  $\pi_0: \widetilde{KO} \twoheadrightarrow KO$  in the domain, as in [\(7.2\)](#). Using this factorization and the commutative diagram

$$(7.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{20} \\ & \searrow \pi^M & \swarrow \pi^{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{20}} \\ & \text{pt} & \end{array}$$

we reformulate [\(7.6\)](#) as the equality

$$(7.8) \quad \check{\pi}_!^M = \check{\pi}_!^{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{20}} \circ \gamma_!: KO^0(M) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}^{-11}(\text{pt}) \cong \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$$

This may follow from a more general composition law for pushforwards in differential theory, perhaps using de Rham currents as in [\[FL\]](#), and perhaps capitalizing as well on the analytic ideas in [\[Z\]](#). It would be nice to have a more robust theory of generalized differential cocycles in place to make this—and related—arguments.

## References

- [AB] M. F. Atiyah and R. Bott, *A Lefschetz fixed point formula for elliptic complexes. II. Applications*, [Ann. of Math. \(2\)](#) **88** (1968), 451–491. [7](#)
- [ABS] M. F. Atiyah, R. Bott, and A. A. Shapiro, *Clifford modules*, *Topology* **3** (1964), 3–38. [2](#), [7](#), [9](#)
- [ADH] Araminta Amabel, Arun Debray, and Peter J. Haine, *Differential Cohomology: Categories, Characteristic Classes, and Connections*, [arXiv:2109.12250](#). [11](#)
- [AmS] W. Ambrose and I. M. Singer, *A theorem on holonomy*, [Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.](#) **75** (1953), 428–443. [5](#)
- [APS] M. F. Atiyah, V. K. Patodi, and I. M. Singer, *Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry*, [Bull. London Math. Soc.](#) **5** (1973), 229–234. [11](#)
- [AS1] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer, *The index of elliptic operators. IV*, [Ann. of Math. \(2\)](#) **93** (1971), 119–138. [8](#)
- [AS2] ———, *Index theory for skew-adjoint Fredholm operators*, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* (1969), no. 37, 5–26. [8](#)
- [AS3] ———, *Dirac operators coupled to vector potentials*, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **81** (1984), no. 8, 2597–2600. [16](#)
- [AZ] Alexander Altland and Martin R. Zirnbauer, *Nonstandard symmetry classes in mesoscopic normal-superconducting hybrid structures*, [Phys. Rev. B](#) **55** (1997), 1142–1161. [4](#)

- [BNV] Ulrich Bunke, Thomas Nikolaus, and Michael Völkl, *Differential cohomology theories as sheaves of spectra*, *Journal of Homotopy and Related Structures* **11** (2014), no. 1, 1–66, [arXiv:1311.3188](#). **11**
- [BS] Ulrich Bunke and Thomas Schick, *Smooth K-theory*, *Astérisque* (2009), no. 328, 45–135 (2010). **11**
- [BSS] Christian Becker, Alexander Schenkel, and Richard J. Szabo, *Differential cohomology and locally covariant quantum field theory*, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **29** (2017), no. 1, 1750003, 42, [arXiv:2011.05768](#). **12**
- [BZ] Jean-Michel Bismut and Weiping Zhang, *Real embeddings and eta invariants*, *Math. Ann.* **295** (1993), no. 4, 661–684. **17**
- [C] S. S. Chern, *The geometry of G-structures*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72** (1966), 167–219. **5**
- [ChS] Jeff Cheeger and James Simons, *Differential characters and geometric invariants*, *Geometry and topology* (College Park, Md., 1983/84), *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 1167, Springer, Berlin, 1985, pp. 50–80. **11**
- [CJS] Eugene Cremmer, Bernard Julia, and Joel Scherk, *Supergravity in theory in 11 dimensions*, *Physics Letters B* **76** (1978), no. 4, 409–412. **16**
- [D] Freeman J. Dyson, *The threefold way. Algebraic structure of symmetry groups and ensembles in quantum mechanics*, *J. Mathematical Phys.* **3** (1962), 1199–1215. **4**
- [De] Pierre Deligne, *Théorie de Hodge. II*, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* (1971), no. 40, 5–57. **11**
- [Deb] Arun Debray, *Differential cohomology (encyclopedia article)*, [2312.14338](#). **11**
- [DF] Pierre Deligne and Daniel S. Freed, *Classical field theory*, *Quantum fields and strings: a course for mathematicians*, Vol. 1, 2 (Princeton, NJ, 1996/1997), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 137–225. **11**
- [DM] Pierre Deligne and John W. Morgan, *Notes on supersymmetry (following Joseph Bernstein)*, *Quantum fields and strings: a course for mathematicians*, Vol. 1, 2 (Princeton, NJ, 1996/1997), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 41–97. **2**
- [Do] Harold Donnelly, *Eta invariants for G-spaces*, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **27** (1978), no. 6, 889–918. **17**
- [F1] Daniel S. Freed, *Dirac charge quantization and generalized differential cohomology*, *Surveys in Differential Geometry*, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2000, pp. 129–194. [arXiv:hep-th/0011220](#). **11**
- [F2] ———, *What is an anomaly?*, [arXiv:2307.08147](#). **16**
- [FH1] Daniel S. Freed and Michael J. Hopkins, *Reflection positivity and invertible topological phases*, *Geom. Topol.* **25** (2021), no. 3, 1165–1330, [arXiv:1604.06527](#). **1, 4, 6**
- [FH2] ———, *Consistency of M-Theory on Non-Orientable Manifolds*, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser.* **72** (2021), no. 1-2, 603–671, [arXiv:1908.09916 \[hep-th\]](#). **1, 6, 14, 16**
- [FH3] ———, *On Ramond-Ramond fields and K-theory*, *J. High Energy Phys.* (2000), [hep-th/0002027](#). Paper 44. **11**
- [FL] Daniel S. Freed and John Lott, *An index theorem in differential K-theory*, *Geom. Topol.* **14** (2010), no. 2, 903–966, [arXiv:0907.3508](#). **11, 13, 14, 18**
- [FM] Daniel S. Freed and Gregory W. Moore, *Twisted equivariant matter*, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **14** (2013), no. 8, 1927–2023, [arXiv:1208.5055](#). **4**
- [FN] Daniel S. Freed and Andrew Neitzke, *3d spectral networks and classical Chern-Simons theory*, *Surveys in Differential Geometry* **26** (2021), 51–155, [arXiv:2208.07420](#). **12**
- [G] Peter B. Gilkey, *The eta invariant for even-dimensional  $PIN_c$  manifolds*, *Adv. in Math.* **58** (1985), no. 3, 243–284. **1, 15**
- [GS] Daniel Grady and Hisham Sati, *Differential KO-theory: constructions, computations, and applications*, *Advances in Mathematics* **384** (2021), 107671, [arXiv:1809.07059](#). **11**
- [GY] Kiyonori Gomi and Mayuko Yamashita, *Differential KO-theory via gradations and mass terms*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **27** (2023), no. 2, 381–481, [arXiv:2111.01377](#). **11**
- [HHZ] P. Heinzner, A. Huckleberry, and M.R. Zirnbauer, *Symmetry Classes of Disordered Fermions*, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **257** (2005), no. 3, 725–771, [arXiv:math-ph/0411040](#). **4**
- [HS] Michael J. Hopkins and Isadore M. Singer, *Quadratic Functions in Geometry, Topology, and M-Theory*, *J. Diff. Geom.* **70** (2005), 329–452, [arXiv:math/0211216](#). **1, 11, 13**
- [K] Alexei Kitaev, *Periodic table for topological insulators and superconductors*, *AIP Conf.Proc.* **1134** (2009), 22–30, [arXiv:0901.2686 \[cond-mat.mes-hall\]](#). **4**
- [Kl] K. R. Klonoff, *An index theorem in differential K-theory*, 2008. <http://repositories.lib.utexas.edu/bitstream/handle/2152/3912/klonoffk16802.pdf?sequence=2>. University of Texas Ph.D. thesis. **14**
- [KT1] R. C. Kirby and L. R. Taylor, *Pin structures on low-dimensional manifolds*, *Geometry of Low-Dimensional Manifolds*, 2 (Durham, 1989), *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, vol. 151, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990, pp. 177–242. **6**
- [KT2] ———, *A calculation of  $Pin^+$  bordism groups*, *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici* **65** (1990), no. 1, 434–447. **15**

- [KZ] Ricardo Kennedy and Martin R. Zirnbauer, *Bott Periodicity for  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  Symmetric Ground States of Gapped Free-Fermion Systems*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **342** (2016), no. 3, 909–963, [arXiv:1409.2537 \[math-ph\]](#). [4](#)
- [L] H. Blaine Lawson, Jr., *Spin<sup>h</sup> manifolds*, *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.* **19** (2023), Paper No. 012, 7, [arXiv:2301.09683](#). [4](#)
- [LM] H. B. Lawson, Jr. and M.-L. Michelsohn, *Spin geometry*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 38, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989. [5](#)
- [S] I. M. Singer, 2010. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5FoaMcCJnmQ>. Interview of Isadore Singer for the MIT+150 Infinite History Project. [5](#)
- [SRFL] Shinsei Ryu, Andreas P. Schnyder, Akira Furusaki, and Andreas W.W. Ludwig, *Topological insulators and superconductors: Tenfold way and dimensional hierarchy*, [arXiv:0912.2157](#); *New J.Phys.* **12** (2010), 065010, [arXiv:0912.2157](#). [4](#)
- [SS1] James Simons and Dennis Sullivan, *Axiomatic characterization of ordinary differential cohomology*, *J. Topol.* **1** (2008), no. 1, 45–56, [arXiv:math/0701077](#). [11](#)
- [SS2] ———, *Structured vector bundles define differential K-theory*, *Quanta of maths*, Clay Math. Proc., vol. 11, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010, pp. 579–599. [arXiv:0810.4935](#). [13](#)
- [St] Stephan Stolz, *Exotic structures on 4-manifolds detected by spectral invariants*, *Inventiones mathematicae* **94** (1988), no. 1, 147–162. [1](#), [15](#), [17](#)
- [SW] R. F. Streater and A. S. Wightman, *PCT, spin and statistics, and all that*, Princeton Landmarks in Physics, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2000. Corrected third printing of the 1978 edition. [6](#)
- [W1] Edward Witten, *Global gravitational anomalies*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **100** (1985), 197. [16](#)
- [W2] ———, *On flux quantization in M-theory and the effective action*, *J. Geom. Phys.* **22** (1997), no. 1, 1–13, [arXiv:hep-th/9609122](#). [16](#)
- [WS] Chong Wang and T Senthil, *Interacting fermionic topological insulators/superconductors in 3D*, *Physical Review B* **89** (2014), no. 19, 195124, [arXiv:1401.1142](#). [4](#)
- [Z] WeiPing Zhang, *A mod 2 index theorem for pin<sup>-</sup> manifolds*, *Sci. China Math.* **60** (2017), no. 9, 1615–1632, [arXiv:1508.02619](#). [1](#), [17](#), [18](#)

HARVARD UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SCIENCE CENTER ROOM 325, 1 OXFORD STREET, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138

*Email address:* [dafr@math.harvard.edu](mailto:dafr@math.harvard.edu)