

On Nirmala indices–based entropy measures for the complex structure of ruthenium bipyridine

H. M. Nagesh^{1,*}

Department of Science and Humanities,
PES University, Bangalore, India.

Muhammad Kamran Siddiqui²

²Department of Mathematics, COMSATS University Islamabad,
Lahore Campus, Lahore, Pakistan.
email: kamransiddiqui75@gmail.com².

Abstract

A numerical parameter, known as a topological index, is employed to represent the molecular structure of a compound by considering its graph-theoretical properties. In the study of quantitative structure-activity relationships (QSAR) and quantitative structure-property relationships (QSPR), topological indices are used to predict the physicochemical properties of chemical compounds. Graph entropies have evolved as information-theoretic tools to investigate the structural information of a molecular graph. In this study, we compute the Nirmala index, the first and second inverse Nirmala index of the complex structure of ruthenium bipyridine, with the help of its M-polynomial. Furthermore, entropy measures for the complex structure of ruthenium bipyridine are computed using Shannon's entropy model. The comparison between the Nirmala indices and their associated entropy measures is presented through numerical computation. The correlation between the Nirmala indices and associated entropy measurements is then examined using a regression model.

Keywords: Nirmala index, first inverse Nirmala index, second inverse Nirmala index, graph entropy, ruthenium bipyridine.

1 Introduction

Topological indices are structural invariants based on molecular graphs that capture the underlying connectivity of molecular networks, and thus these indices have received considerable attention in recent years owing to their applications in quantitative structure-activity and quantitative structure-property relationships (QSPR) relations [1, 2, 3, 4]. Degree-based topological indices have been studied extensively and they have been employed to predict the physicochemical properties of molecular structures [5]. Information entropy metrics can be used to characterize the information complexity of complex chemical structures, including the complex structure of ruthenium bipyridine. Shannon first proposed the idea of information entropy to assess and measure the complexity of data and information transmission, but it has since been extensively used in many different scientific domains. The analysis of molecular structure complexity and quantum chemical electron densities [6] is one of the most significant uses of information entropy. In QSAR and QSPR investigations, topological indices combined with entropy measures may be a more effective tool. Information entropy has been discovered to be directly correlated with the physical features of fullerenes in several kinds of natural substances, including their formal carbon atom oxidation states and rotational symmetry numbers [7].

Let $\Upsilon = (V(\Upsilon), E(\Upsilon))$ be an ordered pair of a simple, connected, and undirected graph with non-empty vertex set $V(\Upsilon)$ and edge set $E(\Upsilon)$. The total number of edges incident to a vertex $v \in V(\Upsilon)$ is known as *degree* of the vertex v and is denoted as $d_{\Upsilon}(v)$. Let $e = pq$ represent an edge of the graph Υ , where p and q are the end vertices of the edge e . The topological index based on degrees, defined on the edge set $E(\Upsilon)$ of a graph Υ [8] is given by

$$I(\Upsilon) = \sum_{uv \in E(\Upsilon)} f(d_{\Upsilon}(u), d_{\Upsilon}(v)),$$

where $f(x, y)$ is a non-negative and symmetric function that depends on the mathematical formulation of the topological index.

Several topological indices have been reported in the literature and have shown benefits in several fields, including drug development, biology, chemistry, computer science, and physics. Proposed by H. Wiener in 1947, the Wiener index was the first and most studied topological index. One noteworthy application is the prediction of paraffin boiling temperatures [9]. Another well-known degree-based topological statistic is the connectedness index, sometimes known as the *Randić index* and first introduced by Milan Randić in 1975. Its importance in making drugs is generally recognized [10]. For additional information on topological indices and their applications, readers are referred to [11, 12].

Numerous efforts have been undertaken to improve the category of degree-based topological indices by the addition of new indices.

Kulli in [13] introduced a novel degree-based topological index of a molecular graph Υ , which is called the *Nirmala index* as follows.

$$N(\Upsilon) = \sum_{uv \in E(\Upsilon)} \sqrt{d_{\Upsilon}(u) + d_{\Upsilon}(v)} \quad (1)$$

Later in 2021, Kulli [14] introduced the notion of the first inverse Nirmala index $IN_1(\Upsilon)$ and second inverse Nirmala index $IN_2(\Upsilon)$ of a molecular graph Υ as follows.

$$IN_1(\Upsilon) = \sum_{uv \in E(\Upsilon)} \sqrt{\frac{1}{d_{\Upsilon}(u)} + \frac{1}{d_{\Upsilon}(v)}} = \sum_{uv \in E(\Upsilon)} \left(\frac{1}{d_{\Upsilon}(u)} + \frac{1}{d_{\Upsilon}(v)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (2)$$

$$IN_2(\Upsilon) = \sum_{uv \in E(\Upsilon)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{d_{\Upsilon}(u)} + \frac{1}{d_{\Upsilon}(v)}}} = \sum_{uv \in E(\Upsilon)} \left(\frac{1}{d_{\Upsilon}(u)} + \frac{1}{d_{\Upsilon}(v)} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (3)$$

In the past, several topological indices were computed utilizing their conventional mathematical formulation. There are several attempts to look into a compact method that can recover many topological indices of a particular class. In this regard, the concept of a general polynomial was developed, whose values of the necessary topological indices at a given point are produced by its derivatives, integrals, or a combination of both. For instance, the distance-based topological indices are recovered by the Hosoya polynomial [15], whereas the NM-polynomial generates the neighborhood degree sum-based topological indices [16].

The M-polynomial was introduced by Deutsch and Klazar in [17] to find the degree-based topological indices.

Definition 1.1 ([17]) The M-polynomial of a graph Υ is defined as:

$$M(\Upsilon; x, y) = \sum_{\delta \leq i \leq j \leq \Delta} m_{i,j}(\Upsilon) x^i y^j,$$

where $\delta = \min\{d_{\Upsilon}(u) | u \in V(\Upsilon)\}$, $\Delta = \max\{d_{\Upsilon}(u) | u \in V(\Upsilon)\}$, and m_{ij} is the number of edges $uv \in E(\Upsilon)$ such that $d_{\Upsilon}(u) = i, d_{\Upsilon}(v) = j$ ($i, j \geq 1$).

The M-polynomial-based derivation formulae to compute the different Nirmala indices are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Relationship between the M-polynomial and Nirmala indices for a graph Υ .

Sl. No	Topological Index	$f(x, y)$	Derivation from $M(\Upsilon; x, y)$
1	Nirmala index (N)	$\sqrt{x+y}$	$D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} J(M(\Upsilon; x, y)) _{x=1}$
2	First inverse Nirmala index (IN_1)	$\sqrt{\frac{x+y}{xy}}$	$D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} J S_y^{\frac{1}{2}} S_x^{\frac{1}{2}} (M(\Upsilon; x, y)) _{x=1}$
3	Second inverse Nirmala index (IN_2)	$\sqrt{\frac{xy}{x+y}}$	$S_x^{\frac{1}{2}} J D_y^{\frac{1}{2}} D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} (M(\Upsilon; x, y)) _{x=1}$

Here, $D_x^{\frac{1}{2}}(h(x, y)) = \sqrt{x \cdot \frac{\partial(h(x, y))}{\partial x}} \cdot \sqrt{h(x, y)}$;
 $D_y^{\frac{1}{2}}(h(x, y)) = \sqrt{y \cdot \frac{\partial(h(x, y))}{\partial y}} \cdot \sqrt{h(x, y)}$;
 $S_x^{\frac{1}{2}}(h(x, y)) = \sqrt{\int_0^x \frac{h(t, y)}{t} dt} \cdot \sqrt{h(x, y)}$;
 $S_y^{\frac{1}{2}}(h(x, y)) = \sqrt{\int_0^y \frac{h(x, t)}{t} dt} \cdot \sqrt{h(x, y)}$; and $J(h(x, y)) = h(x, x)$ are the operators.

We refer readers to [18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24] for additional information on degree-based topological indices utilizing the M-polynomial.

Shannon [25] first proposed the concept of entropy, which is a probability distribution-based measure of the uncertainty or unpredictability of the information in a system. Next, entropy was used to assess the structural information of networks, graphs, and chemical structures. In the past few years, graph entropies have gained increased utility across various fields, including mathematics, computer science, biology, chemistry, sociology, and ecology. There are various forms of graph entropy measurements, including extrinsic and intrinsic measures. These measures relate to the probability distribution concerning graph invariants, such as edges and vertices. Interested readers are referred to [26, 27, 28, 29] for additional details on degree-based graph entropy metrics and their applications.

1.1 Entropy of a graph in terms of vertex degree

Let Υ be a simple and connected graph with order p and size q . Then, the graph entropy of a graph Υ as given in [25] is defined as follows.

$$ENT_{\omega}(\Upsilon) = - \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\omega(s_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^p \omega(s_j)} \log \left(\frac{\omega(s_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^p \omega(s_j)} \right) \quad (4)$$

Here, ω is a meaningful information function and $s_i \in V(\Upsilon)$ for every $i \in [1, 2, \dots, p]$.

Let $\omega(s_i) = d_{\Upsilon}(s_i)$. Then equation (4) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} ENT_{\omega}(\Upsilon) &= - \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{d_{\Upsilon}(s_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^p d_{\Upsilon}(s_j)} \log \left(\frac{d_{\Upsilon}(s_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^p d_{\Upsilon}(s_j)} \right) \\ &= \log \left(\sum_{j=1}^p d_{\Upsilon}(s_j) \right) - \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^p d_{\Upsilon}(s_j)} \sum_{i=1}^p d_{\Upsilon}(s_i) \log(d_{\Upsilon}(s_i)) \end{aligned}$$

By the fundamental theorem of graph theory, $\sum_{i=1}^p d_{\Upsilon}(s_i) = 2q$.

Hence,

$$ENT_{\omega}(\Upsilon) = \log(2q) - \frac{1}{2q} \sum_{i=1}^p d_{\Upsilon}(s_i) \log(d_{\Upsilon}(s_i)) \quad (5)$$

1.2 Entropy of a graph in terms of edge-weight

In 2014, Chen et al. [30] proposed the concept of the entropy of edge-weighted graphs as follows.

Let $\Upsilon = (V(\Upsilon), E(\Upsilon), \omega(st))$ be an edge-weight graph, where $V(\Upsilon)$ is a set of vertices, $E(\Upsilon)$ is a set of edges; and $\omega(st)$ denotes the weight of an edge $st \in E(\Upsilon)$. Then the entropy of a graph in terms of edge weight is defined as,

$$\begin{aligned}
ENT_{\omega}(\Upsilon) &= - \sum_{s't' \in E(\Upsilon)} \frac{\omega(s't')}{\sum_{st \in E(\Upsilon)} \omega(st)} \log \left(\frac{\omega(s't')}{\sum_{st \in E(\Upsilon)} \omega(st)} \right) \\
&= - \sum_{s't' \in E(\Upsilon)} \frac{\omega(s't')}{\sum_{st \in E(\Upsilon)} \omega(st)} \left[\log(\omega(s't')) - \log \left(\sum_{st \in E(\Upsilon)} \omega(st) \right) \right] \\
&= \log \left(\sum_{st \in E(\Upsilon)} \omega(st) \right) - \sum_{s't' \in E(\Upsilon)} \frac{\omega(s't')}{\sum_{st \in E(\Upsilon)} \omega(st)} \log(\omega(s't'))
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$ENT_{\omega}(\Upsilon) = \log \left(\sum_{st \in E(\Upsilon)} \omega(st) \right) - \frac{1}{\left(\sum_{st \in E(\Upsilon)} \omega(st) \right)} \sum_{s't' \in E(\Upsilon)} \omega(s't') \log(\omega(s't')) \quad (6)$$

In 2023, Virendra Kumar et al. [31] introduced the notion of the Nirmala indices-based entropy by considering the meaningful information function ω as a function associated with the definitions of the Nirmala indices as given in equations (1-3).

Nirmala entropy: Let $\omega(st) = \sqrt{d_{\Upsilon}(s) + d_{\Upsilon}(t)}$. Then from the definition of the Nirmala index as given in Equation (1), we have

$$\sum_{st \in E(\Upsilon)} \omega(st) = \sum_{st \in E(\Upsilon)} \sqrt{d_{\Upsilon}(s) + d_{\Upsilon}(t)} = N(\Upsilon)$$

Hence, using equation (6), the Nirmala entropy of a graph Υ is given by

$$ENT_N(\Upsilon) = \log(N(\Upsilon)) - \frac{1}{N(\Upsilon)} \sum_{st \in E(\Upsilon)} \sqrt{d_{\Upsilon}(s) + d_{\Upsilon}(t)} \times \log(\sqrt{d_{\Upsilon}(s) + d_{\Upsilon}(t)}) \quad (7)$$

First inverse Nirmala entropy: Let $\omega(st) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{d_{\Upsilon}(s)} + \frac{1}{d_{\Upsilon}(t)}}$. Then from the definition of the first inverse Nirmala index as given in Equation (2), we have,

$$\sum_{st \in E(\Upsilon)} \omega(st) = \sum_{st \in E(\Upsilon)} \sqrt{\frac{1}{d_{\Upsilon}(s)} + \frac{1}{d_{\Upsilon}(t)}} = IN_1(\Upsilon)$$

Hence, using equation (6), the first inverse Nirmala entropy of a graph Υ is given by

$$ENT_{IN_1}(\Upsilon) = \log(IN_1(\Upsilon)) - \frac{1}{IN_1(\Upsilon)} \sum_{st \in E(\Upsilon)} \sqrt{\frac{1}{d_{\Upsilon}(s)} + \frac{1}{d_{\Upsilon}(t)}} \times \log \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{d_{\Upsilon}(s)} + \frac{1}{d_{\Upsilon}(t)}} \right) \quad (8)$$

Second inverse Nirmala entropy: Let $\omega(st) = \frac{\sqrt{d_{\Upsilon}(s) \cdot d_{\Upsilon}(t)}}{\sqrt{d_{\Upsilon}(s) + d_{\Upsilon}(t)}}$. Then from the definition of the second inverse Nirmala index as given in Equation (3), we have,

$$\sum_{st \in E(\Upsilon)} \omega(st) = \sum_{st \in E(\Upsilon)} \frac{\sqrt{d_{\Upsilon}(s) \cdot d_{\Upsilon}(t)}}{\sqrt{d_{\Upsilon}(s) + d_{\Upsilon}(t)}} = IN_2(\Upsilon)$$

Hence, using equation (6), the second inverse Nirmala entropy of a graph Υ is given by

$$ENT_{IN_2}(\Upsilon) = \log(IN_2(\Upsilon)) - \frac{1}{IN_2(\Upsilon)} \sum_{st \in E(\Upsilon)} \frac{\sqrt{d_{\Upsilon}(s) \cdot d_{\Upsilon}(t)}}{\sqrt{d_{\Upsilon}(s) + d_{\Upsilon}(t)}} \times \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{d_{\Upsilon}(s) \cdot d_{\Upsilon}(t)}}{\sqrt{d_{\Upsilon}(s) + d_{\Upsilon}(t)}} \right) \quad (9)$$

1.3 Methodology

In this paper, the complicated structural details of ruthenium bipyridine are obtained. Further, we use Shannon's entropy measures by employing novel information functions derived from various Nirmala indices definitions. We conduct a comprehensive mathematical and computational exploration of these measures within the complex structure of ruthenium bipyridine. The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 discusses the 2D and 3D structure of ruthenium bipyridine. In Section 3, we compute the Nirmala indices of ruthenium bipyridine using its M-polynomial, which allows us to calculate the Nirmala indices-based entropy measures of ruthenium bipyridine RB_n . Section 4 compares the Nirmala indices and their associated entropy measures through numerical data. Section 5 deals with a regression model to illustrate how the estimated Nirmala indices and associated entropy values fit the curve. Lastly, a discussion and a conclusion are presented in sections 6 and 7, respectively.

2 Complex structure of ruthenium bipyridine

Ruthenium (II) tris (bipyridine) is one of the compounds that has had the largest impact on the advancement of chemistry. The solvation of ruthenium dyes [32] in various solvents and electrolytes has received a lot of interest, particularly in Dye-Sensitive Solar Cells (DSSCs). The emission and absorption spectra of ruthenium tris (bipyridine) complexes [33] adorned with various electron-rich and redox-active amine substituents exhibit reversible changes in the deep red to near-infrared range when low electrochemical potentials are applied.

Multiple targets and pathways are involved in the anticancer actions of ruthenium complexes. Comparing the ruthenium complexes to other metal-based molecules under study, they demonstrated impressive anticancer activity. Because of their great selectivity and low toxicity, organometallic ruthenium (II) complexes have been thoroughly investigated for possible biological applications. Several ruthenium compounds that effectively increased selectivity to various processes by strictly controlling the coordination sphere have been the subject of numerous investigations [34, 35]. For a ruthenium core, a range of molecular structures can be readily constructed because of ruthenium's versatility in oxidation states and its easy interaction with ligands. The readers are referred to [36] for further information and an analysis of the properties and uses of ruthenium.

This paper aims to support scientists in their exploration of ruthenium bipyridine's physical properties. Since ruthenium is among the rarest metals, conducting trials would be too expensive to influence the price. Therefore, cost management can be achieved by mathematical chemistry. It is far more time and money-consuming for a chemist to do lab tests to investigate the properties of the chemical than it is for a mathematician to research the chemical's structure, which is free and allows for quicker discovery of the qualities. Figure 1 shows the 2D and 3D structure of complex ruthenium bipyridine [37].




Figure 1. 2D and 3D structure of complex ruthenium bipyridine.

2.1 Complex structure of ruthenium bipyridine graph

By incorporating triphenylamine into the coordination molecule of ruthenium tris bipyridine, the ruthenium bipyridine graph's complex structure is formed, with each atom—both metal and organic ligand—representing the graph's vertices and bonds acting as its edges. The molecular graph of the complex structure of ruthenium bipyridine RB_n is shown in Figure 2, where n is the number of triphenylamine polymers around the ruthenium tris bipyridine complexes. The order and size of RB_n are

$$|V(RB_n)| = 6 [6(2^n) + 6(2^n - 1)] + [6(2^{n-2}) + 6(2^{n-2} - 1)] + 1 \text{ and}$$

$$|E(RB_n)| = 6 [6(2^{n+1}) + 6(2^{n+1} - 1)] + 3 [6(2^n) + 6(2^n - 1)] + 9, \text{ respectively.}$$




Figure 2. The complex structure of ruthenium bipyridine graph RB_n .

Motivated by the studies described in [31, 37], we aim to calculate the Nirmala index, as well as the first and second inverse Nirmala index, for the complex structure of ruthenium bipyridine RB_n using M-polynomial. Furthermore, entropy measures for the complex structure of ruthenium bipyridine are computed using Shannon's entropy model.

3 Main results

In this section, we first find the M-polynomial of ruthenium bipyridine RB_n . Then, we compute the Nirmala index, and first and second inverse Nirmala indices using its M-polynomial. For the complex structure of ruthenium bipyridine graph RB_n , the size of RB_n is

$$|E(RB_n)| = 6 [6(2^{n+1}) + 6(2^{n+1} - 1)] + 3 [6(2^n) + 6(2^n - 1)] + 9.$$

The edge set partitions of the complex structure of ruthenium bipyridine graph RB_n are shown in Table 2.

Sl. No	Edge set	$(d_{\Upsilon}(r), d_{\Upsilon}(s))$	Number of repetitions
1	E_1	(2,2)	$18 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 18$
2	E_2	(2,3)	$36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 24$
3	E_3	(3,3)	$9 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 9$
4	E_4	(3,6)	6

Table 2. Edge set partition of RB_n according to degrees of end vertices of an edge.

It is easy to observe that

$$|E(RB_n)| = [6(2^{n+1}) + 6(2^{n+1} - 1)] + 3 [6(2^n) + 6(2^n - 1)] + 9 = 72 \cdot 2^{n+1} + 36 \cdot 2^n - 45.$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 |E_i| = 27 \cdot 2^{n+2} + 36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 45.$$

Using the laws of exponents, one can easily prove that

$$72 \cdot 2^{n+1} + 36 \cdot 2^n - 45 = 180 \cdot 2^n - 45.$$

$$27 \cdot 2^{n+2} + 36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 45 = 180 \cdot 2^n - 45.$$

Therefore, $|E(RB_n)| = \sum_{i=1}^4 |E_i|$.

3.1 Nirmala indices of RB_n

We now find the M-polynomial of RB_n as follows:

Theorem 3.1 *Let $\Upsilon = RB_n$ be the molecular graph for the complex structure of ruthenium bipyridine. Then the M-polynomial of Υ is*

$$M(\Upsilon; x, y) = (18 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 18)x^2y^2 + (36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 24)x^2y^3 + (9 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 9)x^3y^3 + 6x^3y^6.$$

Proof. Let $\Upsilon = RB_n$ be the molecular graph for the complex structure of ruthenium bipyridine.

From Table 2, $\sum_{i=1}^4 |E_i| = 27 \cdot 2^{n+2} + 36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 45$.

Since each vertex of Υ is of degree either 2 or 3 or 6, the partitions of edge set $E(\Upsilon)$ are:

$$\begin{aligned} E_1(\Upsilon) &:= \{e = ab \in E(\Upsilon) : d_{\Upsilon}(a) = 2, d_{\Upsilon}(b) = 2\}; \\ E_2(\Upsilon) &:= \{e = ab \in E(\Upsilon) : d_{\Upsilon}(a) = 2, d_{\Upsilon}(b) = 3\}; \\ E_3(\Upsilon) &:= \{e = ab \in E(\Upsilon) : d_{\Upsilon}(a) = 3, d_{\Upsilon}(b) = 3\}; \\ E_4(\Upsilon) &:= \{e = ab \in E(\Upsilon) : d_{\Upsilon}(a) = 3, d_{\Upsilon}(b) = 6\}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $|E_1(\Upsilon)| = 18 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 18$; $|E_2(\Upsilon)| = 36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 24$; $|E_3(\Upsilon)| = 9 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 9$; $|E_4(\Upsilon)| = 6$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} M(\Upsilon; x, y) &= \sum_{\delta \leq i \leq j \leq \Delta} m_{i,j}(\Upsilon)x^i y^j = m_{22}(\Upsilon)x^2y^2 + m_{23}(\Upsilon)x^2y^3 + m_{33}(\Upsilon)x^3y^3 + m_{36}(\Upsilon)x^3y^6 \\ &= (18 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 18)x^2y^2 + (36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 24)x^2y^3 + (9 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 9)x^3y^3 + 6x^3y^6. \end{aligned}$$

Now we evaluate the Nirmala indices of RB_n with the help of its M-polynomial.

Theorem 3.2 *Let $\Upsilon = RB_n$ be the molecular graph for the complex structure of ruthenium bipyridine. Then the Nirmala indices of Υ are:*

$$a. N(\Upsilon) = (36 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 36) + \sqrt{5}(36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 24) + \sqrt{6}(9 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 9) + 18,$$

$$b. IN_1(\Upsilon) = (18 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 18) + \frac{\sqrt{5}}{\sqrt{6}}(36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 24) + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}(9 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 9) + \frac{6}{\sqrt{2}},$$

$$c. IN_2(\Upsilon) = (18 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 18) + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{5}}(36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 24) + \frac{27}{\sqrt{6}}(2^{n+2} - 1) + 6\sqrt{2}.$$

Proof. Let $\Upsilon = RB_n$ be the molecular graph for the complex structure of ruthenium bipyridine. From Theorem 3.1, the M-polynomial of Υ is

$$M(\Upsilon; x, y) = (18 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 18)x^2y^2 + (36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 24)x^2y^3 + (9 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 9)x^3y^3 + 6x^3y^6.$$

From Table 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (i) D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} J(M(\Upsilon; x, y)) \\
&= D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} J[(18 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 18)x^2y^2 + (36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 24)x^2y^3 + (9 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 9)x^3y^3 + 6x^3y^6] \\
&= D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} [(18 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 18)x^4 + (36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 24)x^5 + (9 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 9)x^6 + 6x^9] \\
&= 2(18 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 18)x^4 + \sqrt{5}(36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 24)x^5 + \sqrt{6}(9 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 9)x^6 + 18x^9 \\
&= (36 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 36)x^4 + \sqrt{5}(36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 24)x^5 + \sqrt{6}(9 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 9)x^6 + 18x^9.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (ii) D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} J S_y^{\frac{1}{2}} S_x^{\frac{1}{2}}(M(\Upsilon; x, y)) \\
&= D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} J S_y^{\frac{1}{2}} S_x^{\frac{1}{2}} [(18 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 18)x^2y^2 + (36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 24)x^2y^3 + (9 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 9)x^3y^3 + 6x^3y^6] \\
&= D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} J S_y^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(18 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 18)x^2y^2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 24)x^2y^3 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(9 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 9)x^3y^3 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(6x^3y^6) \right] \\
&= D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} J \left[\frac{1}{2}(18 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 18)x^2y^2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 24)x^2y^3 + \frac{1}{3}(9 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 9)x^3y^3 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{18}}(6x^3y^6) \right] \\
&= D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\frac{1}{2}(18 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 18)x^4 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 24)x^5 + \frac{1}{3}(9 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 9)x^6 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{18}}(6x^9) \right] \\
&= (18 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 18)x^4 + \frac{\sqrt{5}}{\sqrt{6}}(36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 24)x^5 + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}(9 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 9)x^6 + \frac{6}{\sqrt{2}}x^9.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (iii) S_x^{\frac{1}{2}} J D_y^{\frac{1}{2}} D_x^{\frac{1}{2}}(M(\Upsilon; x, y)) \\
&= S_x^{\frac{1}{2}} J D_y^{\frac{1}{2}} D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} [(18 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 18)x^2y^2 + (36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 24)x^2y^3 + (9 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 9)x^3y^3 + 6x^3y^6] \\
&= S_x^{\frac{1}{2}} J D_y^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\sqrt{2}(18 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 18)x^2y^2 + \sqrt{2}(36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 24)x^2y^3 + \sqrt{3}(9 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 9)x^3y^3 + 6\sqrt{3}x^3y^6 \right] \\
&= S_x^{\frac{1}{2}} J \left[2(18 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 18)x^2y^2 + \sqrt{6}(36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 24)x^2y^3 + 3(9 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 9)x^3y^3 + 6\sqrt{18}x^3y^6 \right] \\
&= S_x^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[(36 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 36)x^4 + \sqrt{6}(36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 24)x^5 + (27 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 27)x^6 + 6\sqrt{18}x^9 \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2}(36 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 36)x^4 + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{5}}(36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 24)x^5 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(27 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 27)x^6 + \frac{6}{\sqrt{9}}\sqrt{18}x^9 \\
&= (18 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 18)x^4 + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{5}}(36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 24)x^5 + \frac{27}{\sqrt{6}}(2^{n+2} - 1)x^6 + 6\sqrt{2}x^9.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the Nirmala indices of Υ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& (a) N(\Upsilon) = D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} J(M(\Upsilon; x, y))|_{x=1} \\
&= (36 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 36) + \sqrt{5}(36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 24) + \sqrt{6}(9 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 9) + 18.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(b) \quad IN_1(\Upsilon) &= D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} JS_y^{\frac{1}{2}} S_x^{\frac{1}{2}} (M(\Upsilon; x, y))|_{x=1} \\
&= (18 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 18) + \frac{\sqrt{5}}{\sqrt{6}}(36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 24) + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}(9 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 9) + \frac{6}{\sqrt{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(c) \quad IN_2(\Upsilon) &= S_x^{\frac{1}{2}} JD_y^{\frac{1}{2}} D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} (M(\Upsilon; x, y))|_{x=1} \\
&= (18 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 18) + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{5}}(36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 24) + \frac{27}{\sqrt{6}}(2^{n+2} - 1) + 6\sqrt{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

3.2 Entropy measures of the complex structure of ruthenium bipyridine RB_n

We proceed with the computation of graph entropy metrics for the complex structure of ruthenium bipyridine RB_n using Shannon's entropy. Initially, we assess the degree-based graph entropy expression. Subsequently, we determine the mathematical formulations for entropy measures based on Nirmala indices, utilizing the previously derived expressions of the Nirmala indices.

Nirmala entropy of RB_n :

From Theorem 3.2, the Nirmala index of Υ is given by

$$N(\Upsilon) = (36 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 36) + \sqrt{5}(36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 24) + \sqrt{6}(9 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 9) + 18.$$

From Table 2 and Equation (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
ENT_N(\Upsilon) &= \log(N(\Upsilon)) - \frac{1}{N(\Upsilon)} \sum_{st \in E(\Upsilon)} \sqrt{d_\Upsilon(s) + d_\Upsilon(t)} \times \log(\sqrt{d_\Upsilon(s) + d_\Upsilon(t)}) \\
&= \log(N(\Upsilon)) - \frac{1}{N(\Upsilon)} \left[\sum_{i=1}^4 \sum_{st \in E_i(\Upsilon)} \sqrt{d_\Upsilon(s) + d_\Upsilon(t)} \times \log(\sqrt{d_\Upsilon(s) + d_\Upsilon(t)}) \right] \\
&= \log(N(\Upsilon)) - \frac{1}{N(\Upsilon)} \left[(18 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 18) \cdot \sqrt{2+2} \cdot \log(\sqrt{2+2}) \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{N(\Upsilon)} \left[(36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 24) \cdot \sqrt{2+3} \cdot \log(\sqrt{2+3}) \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{N(\Upsilon)} \left[(9 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 9) \cdot \sqrt{3+3} \cdot \log(\sqrt{3+3}) + (6 \cdot \sqrt{3+6} \cdot \log(\sqrt{3+6})) \right] \\
&= \log(N(\Upsilon)) - \frac{1}{N(\Upsilon)} \left[(18 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 18) \cdot 2 \cdot \log(\sqrt{2+2}) \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{N(\Upsilon)} \left[(36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 24) \cdot \sqrt{5} \cdot \log(\sqrt{5}) \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{N(\Upsilon)} \left[(9 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 9) \cdot \sqrt{6} \cdot \log(\sqrt{6}) + 6 \cdot 3 \cdot \log(3) \right] \\
&= \log(N(\Upsilon)) - \frac{1}{N(\Upsilon)} \left[(18 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 18) \cdot 2 \cdot \log(\sqrt{2+2}) \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{N(\Upsilon)} \left[(36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 24) \cdot \sqrt{5} \cdot \log(\sqrt{5}) \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{N(\Upsilon)} \left[(9 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 9) \cdot \sqrt{6} \cdot \log(\sqrt{6}) + 18 \cdot \log(3) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we get the desired formulation of the Nirmala entropy for RB_n by substituting the value of $N(\Upsilon)$ into the previous expression.

First inverse Nirmala entropy of RB_n :

From Theorem 3.2, the first inverse Nirmala index of Υ is given by

$$IN_1(\Upsilon) = (18 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 18) + \frac{\sqrt{5}}{\sqrt{6}}(36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 24) + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}(9 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 9) + \frac{6}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

From Table 2 and Equation (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
ENT_{IN_1}(\Upsilon) &= \log(IN_1(\Upsilon)) - \frac{1}{IN_1(\Upsilon)} \sum_{st \in E(\Upsilon)} \sqrt{\frac{1}{d_{\Upsilon}(s)} + \frac{1}{d_{\Upsilon}(t)}} \times \log \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{d_{\Upsilon}(s)} + \frac{1}{d_{\Upsilon}(t)}} \right) \\
&= \log(IN_1(\Upsilon)) - \frac{1}{IN_1(\Upsilon)} \left[\sum_{i=1}^4 \sum_{st \in E_i(\Upsilon)} \sqrt{\frac{1}{d_{\Upsilon}(s)} + \frac{1}{d_{\Upsilon}(t)}} \times \log \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{d_{\Upsilon}(s)} + \frac{1}{d_{\Upsilon}(t)}} \right) \right] \\
&= \log(IN_1(\Upsilon)) - \frac{1}{IN_1(\Upsilon)} \left[(18 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 18) \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}} \cdot \log \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}} \right) \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{IN_1(\Upsilon)} \left[(36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 24) \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3}} \cdot \log \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3}} \right) \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{IN_1(\Upsilon)} \left[(9 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 9) \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3}} \cdot \log \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3}} \right) \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{IN_1(\Upsilon)} \left[6 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{6}} \cdot \log \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{6}} \right) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\log(1) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
ENT_{IN_1}(\Upsilon) &= \log(IN_1(\Upsilon)) - \frac{1}{IN_1(\Upsilon)} \left[(36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 24) \cdot \sqrt{\frac{5}{6}} \cdot \log \left(\frac{5}{6} \right) \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{IN_1(\Upsilon)} \left[(9 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 9) \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \cdot \log \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \right) + 6 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \log \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, by substituting the value of $IN_1(\Upsilon)$ into the preceding expression, we obtain the desired formulation of the first inverse Nirmala entropy for RB_n .

Second inverse Nirmala entropy of RB_n :

From Theorem 3.2, the second inverse Nirmala index of Υ is given by

$$IN_2(\Upsilon) = (18 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 18) + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{5}}(36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 24) + \frac{27}{\sqrt{6}}(2^{n+2} - 1) + 6\sqrt{2}.$$

From Table 3 and Equation (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
ENT_{IN_2}(\Upsilon) &= \log(IN_2(\Upsilon)) - \frac{1}{IN_2(\Upsilon)} \sum_{st \in E(\Upsilon)} \frac{\sqrt{d_\Upsilon(s) \cdot d_\Upsilon(t)}}{\sqrt{d_\Upsilon(s) + d_\Upsilon(t)}} \times \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{d_\Upsilon(s) \cdot d_\Upsilon(t)}}{\sqrt{d_\Upsilon(s) + d_\Upsilon(t)}} \right) \\
&= \log(IN_2(\Upsilon)) - \frac{1}{IN_2(\Upsilon)} \left[\sum_{i=1}^4 \sum_{st \in E_i(\Upsilon)} \frac{\sqrt{d_\Upsilon(s) \cdot d_\Upsilon(t)}}{\sqrt{d_\Upsilon(s) + d_\Upsilon(t)}} \times \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{d_\Upsilon(s) \cdot d_\Upsilon(t)}}{\sqrt{d_\Upsilon(s) + d_\Upsilon(t)}} \right) \right] \\
&= \log(IN_2(\Upsilon)) - \frac{1}{IN_2(\Upsilon)} \left[(18 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 18) \cdot \frac{\sqrt{4}}{\sqrt{4}} \cdot \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{4}}{\sqrt{4}} \right) \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{IN_2(\Upsilon)} \left[(36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 24) \cdot \frac{\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{5}} \cdot \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{5}} \right) \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{IN_2(\Upsilon)} \left[(9 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 9) \cdot \frac{\sqrt{9}}{\sqrt{6}} \cdot \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{9}}{\sqrt{6}} \right) + 6 \cdot \frac{\sqrt{18}}{\sqrt{9}} \cdot \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{18}}{\sqrt{9}} \right) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\log(1) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
ENT_{IN_2}(\Upsilon) &= \log(IN_2(\Upsilon)) - \frac{1}{IN_2(\Upsilon)} \left[(36 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 24) \cdot \frac{\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{5}} \cdot \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{5}} \right) \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{IN_2(\Upsilon)} \left[(9 \cdot 2^{n+2} - 9) \cdot \frac{3}{\sqrt{6}} \cdot \log \left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{6}} \right) + 6 \cdot \sqrt{2} \cdot \log \left(\sqrt{2} \right) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

The second inverse Nirmala entropy for RB_n can finally be expressed as desired by substituting the value of $IN_2(\Upsilon)$ in the previous expression.

4 Comparison through numerical demonstrations

Numerous scientific fields, such as computer science, information theory, chemistry, biological therapies, and pharmacology, frequently use graph entropy measurements. To accurately quantify these molecular properties, scientists working in these disciplines rely on numerical calculation representation. This section uses numerical computation to compare the Nirmala indices and the accompanying entropy measures. The numerical computation of the Nirmala indices and accompanying entropy measures for RB_n , where $1 \leq n \leq 25$ is presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Calculated values of the Nirmala indices and their associated entropy measures of RB_n , where $1 \leq n \leq 25$.

[n]	N	IN_1	IN_2	ENT_N	ENT_{IN_1}	ENT_{IN_2}
[1]	692.64	291.22	343.09	5.7484	5.7292	5.7489
[2]	1479.00	625.46	733.02	6.5111	6.5117	6.5114
[3]	3051.71	1293.94	1512.90	7.2373	7.2377	7.2375
[4]	6197.14	2630.91	3072.60	7.9466	7.9469	7.9468
[5]	12488	5304.84	6192	8.6477	8.6480	8.6479
[6]	25069.71	10652.70	12430.79	9.3448	9.3451	9.3450
[7]	50233.14	21348.41	24908.40	10.0400	10.0402	10.0401
[8]	100559.99	42739.85	49863.66	10.7341	10.7344	10.7342
[9]	201213.69	85500.72	99774.15	11.4277	11.4280	11.4279
[10]	402521.09	171088.46	199595.14	12.1211	12.1214	12.1213
[11]	805135.90	342219.94	399237.11	12.8144	12.8147	12.8145
[12]	1610365.52	684482.89	798521.06	13.5076	13.5079	13.5077
[13]	3220824.76	1369008.80	1597088.95	14.2008	14.2011	14.2009
[14]	6441743.24	2738060.63	3194224.72	14.8940	14.8942	14.8941
[15]	12883580.20	5476164.27	6388496.28	15.5871	15.5874	15.5872
[16]	25767254.11	10952371.56	12777039.40	16.2803	16.2805	16.2804
[17]	51534601.93	21904786.14	25554125.63	16.9734	16.9737	16.9735
[18]	103069297.57	43809615.30	51108298.10	17.6666	17.6668	17.6667
[19]	206138688.86	87619273.63	102216643.02	18.3597	18.3600	18.3598
[20]	412277471.44	175238590.27	204433332.88	19.0529	19.0531	19.0530
[21]	82455036.60	350477223.57	408866712.60	19.7460	19.7463	19.7461
[22]	1649110166.91	700954490.15	817733472.03	20.4391	20.4394	20.4393
[23]	3298220427.54	1401909023.33	1635466990.89	21.1323	21.1326	21.1324
[24]	6596440948.79	2803818089.67	3270934028.62	21.8254	21.8257	21.8256
[25]	13192881991.29	5607636222.37	6541868104.06	22.5186	22.5189	22.5187

From Table 3, the following two remarks are possible.

Remark 1: The Nirmala indices and associated entropy measures of the complex structure of ruthenium bipyridine increase as the values of n increase.

Remark 2: For complex structure of ruthenium bipyridine graph $\Upsilon = RB_n$, we have the following inequality relationships:

$$IN_1(\Upsilon) < IN_2(\Upsilon) < N(\Upsilon)$$

$$ENT_N(\Upsilon) \approx ENT_{IN_1}(\Upsilon) \approx ENT_{IN_2}(\Upsilon).$$

4.1 The logarithmic regression model

To investigate the relationship between the dependent variable and one or more predictor variables in our dataset, we apply logarithmic regression analysis. Logarithmic regression is a nonlinear technique that modifies the dependent or predictor variables using logarithmic functions. The following is the equation for the logarithmic regression model.

$$y = a * \log(x) + b,$$


where the response variable is y and the predictor variable is x . The regression coefficients a and b represent the relation between x and y .

Here, for $1 \leq n \leq 25$, we examine the link between the Nirmala indices and entropy metrics of the complex structure of ruthenium bipyridine RB_n using a logarithmic regression analysis. The study utilized many statistical measurements, such as the squared correlation coefficient (R^2), the sum of square error (SSE), adjusted squared correlation coefficient (Adj. R-sq), root mean square error (RMSE), and squared correlation coefficient (R^2). A low RMSE value (nearer to 0) implies that the model performs well, whereas a larger R^2 value (near 1) suggests that the regression line fits the data better. In this instance, obtaining a larger R^2 value is our main goal.

The statistics of curve fitting of the Nirmala indices versus Nirmala entropy measures for the complex structure of ruthenium bipyridine RB_n using the logarithmic regression are shown in Table 4.

Model	R^2	SSE	Adj. R-sq	RMSE
$ENT_N = 1.0129 * \log(N) - 0.8842$	0.9920	4.9922	0.9917	0.4658
$ENT_{IN_1} = 1.0002 * \log(IN_1) + 0.0683$	1	0.000242	1	0.0032
$ENT_{IN_2} = 1.0002 * \log(IN_2) + 0.0854$	1	0.000316	1	0.0011

Table 4. Statistics of curve fitting of the Nirmala indices vs. Nirmala entropy measures of the complex structure of ruthenium bipyridine RB_n .







Figure 3. Curve fitting plots for the Nirmala indices vs. Nirmala entropy measures of the complex structure of ruthenium bipyridine RB_n .

5 Discussion

Researchers can gain a better understanding of the molecular properties and behavior of chemical and biological systems by using topological indices, which are numerical representations of molecular groups in graph theory. Accurate calculation of numerical indices gives scholars relevant information that enhances their knowledge of the topic. In this work, we study the so-called degree-based topological indices, that is, Nirmala indices, of the complex structure of ruthenium bipyridine RB_n . Table 3 shows that as n increases, the Nirmala indices and related entropy measures of RB_n also increase. Entropy metrics assess the uncertainty or information content of a dataset to assist estimate its complexity and distribution. Data analysis, thermodynamics, and information theory heavily rely on these concepts. Accurate numerical entropy calculations provide academics with valuable insights, enhancing their comprehension of the network under investigation. Considering its advantages, it validates our focus on the edge weight entropy of RB_n . Table 3 illustrates that entropy measurements of the complex structure of ruthenium bipyridine RB_n rise with increasing n .

To account for non-linearity in data and produce predictions, the social sciences, biology, and economics employ logarithmic regression, which is a non-linear regression technique. The study's statistical measures, such as the squared correlation coefficient (R^2), root mean square error (RMSE), adjusted squared correlation coefficient (Adj. R-sq), and the sum of square errors (SSE), are shown in Table 4. A regression line that fits the data better is indicated by a greater R^2 value, which is closer to 1. The Nirmala indices and the related entropy measure values of RB_n appear to fit the curve well, as shown in Figure 3.

6 Conclusion

This research has made use of the definitions of the Nirmala indices and entropy measures derived from them. A mathematical formulation of the Nirmala indices of the complex structure of ruthenium bipyridine RB_n has been achieved. The entropy measures of RB_n based on the Nirmala indices have been analyzed using its M-polynomial. Table 3 shows that when n increases, the Nirmala indices and related entropy measurements of the complex structure of ruthenium bipyridine RB_n also increase. Additionally, the inequality relationships shown below are also accurate.

$$IN_1(\Upsilon) < IN_2(\Upsilon) < N(\Upsilon)$$

$$ENT_N(\Upsilon) \approx ENT_{IN_1}(\Upsilon) \approx ENT_{IN_2}(\Upsilon).$$

The topology and structural characteristics of the complex structure of ruthenium bipyridine RB_n will be examined with the help of the study's results.

Funding

No funding is available for this study.

Author contributions

All authors contributed equally.

Data Availability Statement

This manuscript has no associated data.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

- [1] K. Balasubramanian, Computational and artificial intelligence techniques for drug discovery and administration, Reference Module in Biomedical Sciences, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2021.
- [2] K. Balasubramanian, Mathematical and computational techniques for drug discovery: promises and developments, *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.* **18(32)** (2018) 2774–2799.
- [3] M. I. Huilgol, V. Sriram and K. Balasubramanian, Structure–activity relations for antiepileptic drugs through omega polynomials and topological indices, *Mol. Phys.* **119(24)** (2021) e1987542.
- [4] K. Balasubramanian, Combinatorics, big data, neural network & AI for medicinal chemistry & drug administration, Discrete Mathematics and its Applications, *Lett. Drug. Des. Discov.* **18(10)** (2021) 943–948.
- [5] F. Chaudhry, I. Shoukat, D. Afzal, C. Park, M. Cancan and M.R. Farahani, M-Polynomials and degree-based topological indices of the molecule copper(I) oxide, *J. Chem.* **2021** (2021) 1–12.
- [6] D.S. Sabirov and I.S. Shepelevich, Information entropy in chemistry: an overview, *Entropy* **23(10)** (2021) 1240.

- [7] I. Gutman and J. Tosovic, Testing the quality of molecular structure descriptors: vertex-degree-based topological indices, *J. Serbian Chem. Soc.* **78(6)** (2013) 805–810.
- [8] H. Deng, J. Yang and F. Xia, A general modeling of some vertex-degree based topological indices in benzenoid systems and phenylenes, *Comput. Math. with Appl.* **61** (2011) 3017–3023.
- [9] H. Wiener, Structural determination of paraffin boiling points, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **69** (1947) 17–20.
- [10] M. Randić, Characterization of molecular branching, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **97** (1975) 6609–6615.
- [11] I. Gutman and N. Trinajstić, Graph theory and molecular orbitals. Total π -electron energy of alternant hydrocarbons, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **17** (1972) 535–538.
- [12] S. Das, S. Rai and M. Mandal, M-polynomial and correlated topological indices of antiviral drug Molnupiravir used as a therapy for COVID-19, *Polycycl. Aromat. Compd.* **43** (2023) 7027–7041.
- [13] V. R. Kulli, Nirmala index, *Int. J. Math. Trends Technol.* **67** (2021) 8–12.
- [14] V. R. Kulli, V. Lokesha and K. Nirupadi, Computation of inverse Nirmala indices of certain nanostructures, *International J. Math. Combin.* **2** (2021) 33–40.
- [15] H. Hosoya, On some counting polynomials in chemistry, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **19** (1988) 239–257.
- [16] A. Verma, S. Mondal, N. De and A. Pal, Topological properties of bismuth tri-iodide using neighborhood M-polynomial, *Int. J. Math. Trends Technol.* **67** (2019) 83–90.
- [17] E. Deutsch and S. Klavžar, M-polynomial and degree-based topological indices, *Iran. J. Math. Chem.*, **6** (2015) 93–102.
- [18] Y. C. Kwun, M. Munir, W. Nazeer, S. Rafique and S. M. Kang, M-polynomials and topological indices of V-Phenylenic nanotubes and nanotori, *Sci. Rep.* **7** (2017) p. 8756.
- [19] M. Munir, W. Nazeer, S. Rafique and S. M. Kang, M-polynomial and related topological indices of nanostar dendrimers, *Symmetry* **8** (2016) p. 97.
- [20] S. Das and S. Rai, M-polynomial and related degree-based topological indices of the third type of Hex-derived network, *Nanosyst.: Phys. Chem. Math.* **11** (2020) 267–274.

- [21] S. Das and S. Rai, M-polynomial and related degree-based topological indices of the third type of chain Hex-derived network, *Malaya J. Mat.* **8** (2020) 1842–1850.
- [22] S. Das and V. Kumar, On M-polynomial of the two-dimensional silicon-carbons, *Palest. J. Math.* **11** (2022) 136–157.
- [23] S. Das and V. Kumar, Investigation of closed derivation formulas for GQ and QG indices of a graph via M-polynomial, *Iran. J. Math. Chem.* **13** (2022) 129–144.
- [24] S. Das and S. Rai, On closed derivation formulas of Nirmala indices from the M-polynomial of a graph, *J. Indian Chem. Soc.* **100** (2023) p. 101017.
- [25] C. E. Shannon, A mathematical theory of communication, *Bell Syst. Tech. J.* **27** (1948) 379–423.
- [26] R. Huang, M. K. Siddiqui, S. Manzoor, S. Khalid and S. Almotairi, On physical analysis of topological indices via curve fitting for the natural polymer of cellulose network, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **137** (2022) 1–17.
- [27] X. L. Wang, M. K. Siddiqui, S. A. K. Kirmani, S. Manzoor, S. Ahmad and M. Dhlamini, On topological analysis of entropy measures for silicon carbides networks, *Complexity* **2021** (2021) 1–26.
- [28] S. Manzoor, M. K. Siddiqui and S. Ahmad, On entropy measures of polycyclic hydroxy-chloroquine used for novel coronavirus (COVID-19) treatment, *Polycycl. Aromat. Compd.* **42** (2022) 2947–2969.
- [29] S. Manzoor, M. K. Siddiqui, and S. Ahmad, On entropy measures of molecular graphs using topological indices, *Arab. J. Chem.* **13** (2020) 6285–6298.
- [30] Z. Chen, M. Dehmer and Y. Shi, A note on distance-based graph entropies, *Entropy* **16** (2014) 5416–5427.
- [31] V. Kumar and S. Das, On Nirmala Indices–based Entropy Measures of Silicon Carbide Network, *Iran. J. Math. Chem.* **14** (2023), pp. 271–288.
- [32] I. Josefsson, S. K. Eriksson, H. Rensmo and M. Odellius, Solvation structure around ruthenium(II) tris(bipyridine) in lithium halide solutions, *Struct. Dyn.* **3** (2016) 023607.
- [33] H. J. Nie, W. W. Yang, J. Y. Shao and Y. W. Zong, Ruthenium-tris(bipyridine) complexes with multiple redox-active amine substituents: tuning of spin density distribution and deep-red to NIR electrochromism and electrofluorochromism, *Dalton Trans.* **45** (2016) 10136-10140.

- [34] K. Akasuka, R. Abe, T. Takase and D. Oyama, Coordination Chemistry of Ru(II) Complexes of an Asymmetric Bipyridine Analogue: Synergistic Effects of Supporting Ligand and Coordination Geometry on Reactivities, *Molecules*. **25(1)** (2020) 27. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25010027>.
- [35] K. Lin, Z. Z. Zhao, H. B. Bo, X. J. Hao and J. Q. Wang, Applications of Ruthenium Complex in Tumor Diagnosis and Therapy, *Front. Pharmacol.* **19** (2018) 1323. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2018.01323>.
- [36] H. Ishida, Ruthenium - An Element Loved by Researchers, IntechOpen, London, UK, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.73340>.
- [37] S. J. Abirami, S. A. Kavitha Raj, M. K. Siddiqui, T. Javed Zia, Computation of degree-based topological indices for the complex structure of ruthenium bipyridine, *International Journal of Quantum Chemistry*. December (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1002/qua.27310>.