

Sections of Submonoids of Nilpotent Groups

Doron Shafrir

doron.abc@gmail.com

Abstract

We show that every product of f.g. submonoids of a group G is a section of a f.g. submonoid of $G \times H_5(\mathbb{Z})$, where $H_5(\mathbb{Z})$ is a Heisenberg group. This gives us a converse of a reduction of Bodart, and a new simple proof of the existence of a submonoid of a nilpotent group of class 2 with undecidable membership problem.

1 Background and Overview

Romankov showed in [Rom99] that the rational subset membership problem is undecidable in some group $G \in \mathcal{N}_2$, where \mathcal{N}_2 is the class of nilpotent groups of class 2. Later, [Loh15; KLZ16] showed undecidability in the same class \mathcal{N}_2 for more specific types of rational sets, such as products of subgroups. More recently, Romankov showed the existence of an \mathcal{N}_2 group with a submonoid with undecidable membership problem [Rom23]. All of the above results rely on the negative solution to Hilbert's 10th problem. There are also some recent positive decidability results in nilpotent groups [Bod24; Sha24a]. In this work, we show that if G is any f.g. group and $A \subset G$ is a product of f.g. submonoids of G , then A is a section of some submonoid M of $G \times H_5(\mathbb{Z})$, that is, for some $h \in H_5(\mathbb{Z})$ we have $g \in A$ iff $(g, h) \in M$. The proof uses simple geometric constructions in the plane. Besides being interesting in its own right, this allows us to reduce the membership problem in A to submonoid membership in $G \times H_5(\mathbb{Z})$. Using the existence of products of submonoids of some $G \in \mathcal{N}_2$ with undecidable membership problem [KLZ16], we get a new, simple proof of the existence of an undecidable submonoid of a group $G \in \mathcal{N}_2$. The method of sections was also used in [Sha24b] to show that any rational subset of G is a section of a submonoid of $G \times H$ where H is virtually Abelian. This paper deals exclusively with sections where the second group H is in \mathcal{N}_2 . We first show that for $H = H_3(\mathbb{Z})$ we can get products of 2 submonoids as sections, then we show that for groups of type $H = H_3(\mathbb{Z}) / \langle z^e \rangle$ we can get products of conjugate submonoids as sections. For arbitrary products of submonoids we need a larger group $H = H_5(\mathbb{Z})$. In [Bod24], Bodart showed that submonoid membership in a nilpotent group G can be reduced to membership in products of submonoids in subgroups $H < G$ with $h([H, H]) < h([G, G])$. Our result gives a tight converse to this reduction: it gives a reduction from membership in products of submonoids of G to submonoid membership in a larger group $K = G \times H_5(\mathbb{Z})$ satisfying $h([K, K]) = h([G, G]) + 1$.

1.1 Notations

We define $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, $\mathbb{N}_+ = \{1, 2, \dots\}$. If G is a group and $S \subset G$, $\langle S \rangle$ and S^* are the subgroup and submonoid generated by S respectively. \mathcal{N}_2 denotes the class of nilpotent groups of class 2. All of our groups and submonoids are finitely generated. If $A \subset G \times H$ and $h \in H$, we define $A|_h = \{g \in G \mid (g, h) \in A\}$, and call $A|_h$ the h -section of A . We view G, H as subgroups of $G \times H$, and therefore $A \cap G = A|_{1_H}$. For any $d \in \mathbb{N}_+$, the discrete Heisenberg group $H_{2d+1}(\mathbb{Z})$ is the group generated by $x_1, \dots, x_d, y_1, \dots, y_d, z$ with the relations $[x_i, y_i] = z$ for all i , and all other generators commute. In $H_3(\mathbb{Z})$, we use x, y, z instead of x_1, y_1, z .

For notational convenience, for any vector $v \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, we define $\mathbf{x}^v = x_1^{v_1} \cdots x_d^{v_d}$ and similarly for \mathbf{y}^v . From $[x_i, y_j] = z^{\delta_{ij}}$ and bi-linearity of the commutator in \mathcal{N}_2 , we get $[\mathbf{x}^u, \mathbf{y}^v] = z^{\langle u, v \rangle}$ for any $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ where $\langle u, v \rangle$ is the standard inner product. This allows us to use plane geometry in our calculations in $H_5(\mathbb{Z})$.

2 Sections with $H_3(\mathbb{Z})$

2.1 Product of a pair of submonoids

The following Proposition also appears in [Rom23, Lemma 3.1].

Proposition 1. *Let $t = x^{-1}z$. Let $w \in \{t, y, x\}^{<\omega}$ be any word (without inverses) in t, y, x . Then, $\text{val}(w) = y$ iff $w = t^n y x^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.*

Proof. By projecting $H_3(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_3(\mathbb{Z})/\langle x, z \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ we see that y appears only once in w . Using $[t, x] = 1$ and $x^{\pm 1}y = yx^{\pm 1}z^{\pm 1}$ we get:

$$\text{val}(w) = (t^a x^b) y (t^c x^d) = y x^{-a} (xz)^b (x^{-1}z)^c x^d = y x^{-a+b-c+d} z^{b+c}$$

Where $a, b, c, d \geq 0$. For the value to be y , we must have $b = c = 0, a = d$ as needed. \square

The existence of elements with the above property implies:

Theorem 2. *If $A, B \leq G$ are f.g. submonoids, there is a f.g. submonoid $C \leq G \times H_3(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $A \cdot B$ is a section of C . Therefore, the membership problem in products of 2 submonoids of G can be reduced to submonoid membership in $G \times H_3(\mathbb{Z})$.*

For a proof see [Sha24b, Proposition 2].

2.2 Product of conjugate submonoids

We first need a technical lemma, about the existence of finite sequences in $H_3(\mathbb{Z})/\langle z^e \rangle$, whose product has a unique value among all words in the sequence elements. We start with a simple claim about vectors with unique sum.

Proposition 3. *Let $0 < b_1 < \dots < b_n$ such that $b_i > i b_{i-1}$ for every $2 \leq i \leq n$, and let $v_i = (1, b_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. If $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i v_i = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i$ then $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_n = 1$.*

Proof. We use induction on n . The first coordinate gives $\sum \alpha_i = n$. If $\alpha_n = 0$ then $\sum \alpha_i b_i \leq n b_{n-1} < b_n < \sum b_i$ so the second coordinate of $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i v_i$ is smaller than that of $\sum_{i=1}^n v_i$. If $\alpha_n \geq 2$ then $\sum \alpha_i b_i \geq 2 b_n > b_n + n b_{n-1} > \sum b_i$ and again the second coordinate doesn't match. Therefore $\alpha_n = 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i v_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} v_i$, and we can use induction. \square

Proposition 4. *For any n , there is $e \in \mathbb{N}$ and $h_1, \dots, h_n \in H_3(\mathbb{Z})/\langle z^e \rangle$ such that the word $h_1 h_2 \dots h_n$ has a unique value among all words in $\{h_1, \dots, h_n\}$ (without inverses).*

Proof. Let $h_i = x^{b_i} y \in H_3(\mathbb{Z})$ where $b_i > i b_{i-1}$ as above. Let $v = h_1 \cdot h_2 \cdots h_n$ and let w be a word in h_1, \dots, h_n with value v . By applying Proposition 3 on the projection of h_i to $H_3(\mathbb{Z})/\langle z \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$, where α_i is the number of appearances of h_i in w , we get that each h_i appears exactly once in w , so $w = h_{\sigma(1)} \dots h_{\sigma(n)}$ for some permutation $\sigma \in S_n$. For any i, j we have $[h_i, h_j] = [x^{b_i} y, x^{b_j} y] = z^{b_i - b_j}$ and $h_i h_j = h_j h_i z^{b_i - b_j}$. Assume that $\sigma \neq \text{Id}$. We take h_n and swap it with the next element until it's at the end of w , adding a correction factor of $z^{b_n - b_i}$ in each swap, a positive power of z . Then swap h_{n-1} with the next letter until w ends with

$h_{n-1}h_n$, and so on. Eventually we get $\text{val}(w) = vz^f$ where $0 < f \leq \sum_{i < j} (b_j - b_i)$. The maximal value of f is attained for $w = h_n \dots h_2 h_1$. It is now clear that the value v is attained only by the word $h_1 \dots h_n$, and that this uniqueness is maintained in the quotient $H_3(\mathbb{Z})/\langle z^e \rangle$ if we choose $e > \sum_{i < j} (b_j - b_i)$. \square

Geometrically, the exponent f in $z^f = (h_1 \dots h_n)^{-1} (h_{\sigma(1)} \dots h_{\sigma(n)})$ equals the (signed) area between the paths $0 \rightarrow v_1 \rightarrow v_1 + v_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \sum v_i$ and $0 \rightarrow v_{\sigma(1)} \rightarrow v_{\sigma(1)} + v_{\sigma(2)} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \sum v_i$. It is clear from the definition of v_i that the former path lies below the latter for $\sigma \neq \text{Id}$ (with possible partial overlap).

Proposition 5. *If $M \leq G$ is a f.g. submonoid, $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$, then $Mg_1Mg_2 \dots Mg_nM$ is a section of $G \times H$ where $H = H_3(\mathbb{Z})/\langle z^e \rangle$ for some $e \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Let $S \subset G$ be a finite set generating M . Let $e \in \mathbb{N}$, $h_1, \dots, h_n \in H = H_3(\mathbb{Z})/\langle z^e \rangle$ and $v = h_1 \dots h_n$ be as in Proposition 4. Define:

$$T = S \times \{1_H\} \cup \{(g_i, h_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$$

If $(g, v) \in T^*$, then by Proposition 4 applied on last coordinate, the elements (g_i, h_i) are each used once and in order (but can be far apart). In the first coordinate we get a product of the type $S^*g_1S^* \dots g_nS^*$ as needed, and it is easy to see any such product is attainable. \square

We immediately get:

Theorem 6. *Let G be a f.g. group, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The membership problem in products of n conjugate f.g. submonoids of G can be reduced to submonoid membership in $G \times H$ where $H = H_3(\mathbb{Z})/\langle z^e \rangle$ for some e depending on n .*

Proof. We have $g \in Mg_1Mg_2 \dots Mg_nM$ iff $g_1gg_n^{-1} \in Mg_1g_2^{-1}Mg_2g_3^{-1}M \dots g_{n-1}g_n^{-1}M$ iff $(g_1gg_n^{-1}, v) \in T^*$ for T, v we get by applying Proposition 5. \square

We give a simple example of an application of Theorem 6:

Corollary 7. *The membership problem in products of conjugate f.g. submonoids in nilpotent groups G with $h([G, G]) \leq 2$ is decidable, where $h(\cdot)$ is the Hirsch length.*

Proof. We have to decide $g \in M^{g_0}M^{g_1} \dots M^{g_n}$, and by Theorem 6 we can reduce this problem to submonoid membership in $K = G \times (H_3(\mathbb{Z})/\langle z^e \rangle)$. Since $h([K, K]) = h([G, G]) \leq 2$, submonoid membership is decidable in K by [Sha24a]. \square

The proof of [Sha24a] relies on a reduction [Bod24] from submonoid membership in a nilpotent f.g. group G to membership in products of submonoids of a subgroup H with $h([H, H]) < h([G, G])$. It may seem artificial to pass through the larger group $G \times (H_3(\mathbb{Z})/\langle z^e \rangle)$ before going to a subgroup, and indeed, it is straightforward to generalize Bodart's reduction to deal with products of conjugate submonoids directly. The use of sections is more natural for negative results, as we see next.

3 Arbitrary products of submonoids as sections

We now prove that every product of f.g. submonoids of a group G is a section of a f.g. submonoid of $G \times H$, where $H \in \mathcal{N}_2$. We give 2 proofs. The first proof is with $H = H_5(\mathbb{Z}) \times (H_3(\mathbb{Z})/\langle z^e \rangle)$. It relies on Theorem 6, and is conceptually simpler. The second proof has $H = H_5(\mathbb{Z})$, so it is stronger, but it is a bit harder. Since $h([H, H]) = 1$ in both cases, both are enough for the main theorems in the next section.

3.1 First proof using conjugate submonoids

Theorem 8. *Let M_1, \dots, M_n be f.g. submonoids of G . Then, there is a f.g. submonoid M of $G \times H_5(\mathbb{Z})$ and elements $h_1, \dots, h_n \in H_5(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $(M^{h_1} M^{h_2} \dots M^{h_n}) \cap G = M_1 \dots M_n$.*

Proof. We start with a simple geometric construction. Let $u_i \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, be the vertices of a convex polygon such that $\sum_i u_i = (0, 0)$. Let $v_i \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ be such that the maximum value of $\langle x, v_i \rangle$ over the polygon is attained on the single point $x = u_i$. Geometrically, v_i can be chosen perpendicular to any line whose intersection with the polygon is $\{u_i\}$. Define: $\mathbf{u}_i = \mathbf{x}^{u_i} z^{\langle u_i, v_i \rangle}$, $h_i = (1_G, \mathbf{y}^{-v_i})$. Observe that

$$\mathbf{u}_i^{\mathbf{y}^{-v_j}} = \mathbf{x}^{u_i} z^{\langle u_i, v_i \rangle} [\mathbf{x}^{u_i}, \mathbf{y}^{-v_j}] = \mathbf{x}^{u_i} z^{\langle u_i, v_i \rangle - \langle u_i, v_j \rangle}$$

By choice of v_i , we have $\langle u_i, v_i \rangle \geq \langle u_i, v_j \rangle$ with equality iff $i = j$, and therefore the exponent of z is non-negative, and 0 iff $i = j$.

Let S_i be a finite generating set for M_i , where WLOG $1_G \in S_i$. Define $T = \bigcup_i S_i \times \{\mathbf{u}_i\}$ and $M = T^*$. We claim that $(M^{h_1} M^{h_2} \dots M^{h_n}) \cap G = M_1 \dots M_n$. For one side, let $g_i \in M_i$, we need to show $(g_1 \dots g_n, 1) \in M$. We can express $g_i = s_{i,1} s_{i,2} \dots s_{i,l_i}$ where $l_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $s_{i,j} \in S_i$. Set $l = \max_i l_i$. Since $1_G \in S_i$, we may assume $l = l_1 \dots = l_n$ by padding the shorter sequences with 1_G . We have $(s_{i,j}, \mathbf{u}_i)^{h_i} = (s_{i,j}, \mathbf{x}^{u_i}) \in T^{h_i}$, and by taking product over j we get $(g_i, \mathbf{x}^{l u_i}) \in M^{h_i}$. By taking product now over i we get

$$(g_1 \dots g_n, \mathbf{x}^{l u_1} \dots \mathbf{x}^{l u_n}) \in M^{h_1} \dots M^{h_n}$$

but the second coordinate is $\mathbf{x}^{l u_1} \dots \mathbf{x}^{l u_n} = \mathbf{x}^{l \sum u_i} = 1$ since $\sum_i u_i = (0, 0)$. Conversely, assume $(g, 1) \in M^{h_1} M^{h_2} \dots M^{h_n}$. We note that in the second coordinate we have values of type $\mathbf{u}_i^{\mathbf{y}^{-v_j}} = \mathbf{x}^{u_i} z^e$ where $e \geq 0$ always holds, and $e = 0$ iff $i = j$. Now, $\langle x_1, x_2, z \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}^3$, and for the product to be the identity, we must always have $e = 0$ in the exponent of z , meaning the from each M^{h_i} only $S_i \times \{\mathbf{u}_i\}$ is used. This implies that in the first coordinate S_i are used in order, so $g \in M_1 \dots M_n$. \square

A natural choice can be $u_k = v_k \simeq (N \cos(2\pi k/n), N \sin(2\pi k/n))$ for a large N while making sure $\sum u_k = (0, 0)$. We may also relax the requirement $\sum u_k = (0, 0)$ and only require that $(0, 0)$ is in the interior of the polygon, which implies $\sum \alpha_i u_i = 0$ for some $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}_+$, and then the proof works by padding $g_{i,j}$ so that $l_i = c \alpha_i$ for some c . We can visualise $\langle x_1, x_2, z \rangle = \{\mathbf{x}^u z^e \mid u \in \mathbb{Z}^2, e \in \mathbb{Z}\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^3$ as 3 dimensional space, where e is the height, and $e = 0$ is the floor. The vectors \mathbf{u}_i can then be seen as an inverted pyramid standing on its vertex (or as the lower part of a spinning dreidel), and each conjugation tilts the pyramid so that a single edge touches the ground (this "tilt" is linear but not orthogonal). For the sum of such conjugated vectors to be $(0, 0)$ they must all touch the ground, so in each conjugate M^{h_i} only one edge is active, allowing the use of S_i . We now easily get:

Theorem 9. *Any product of f.g. submonoids of G is a section of a submonoid of $G \times H_5(\mathbb{Z}) \times (H_3(\mathbb{Z})/\langle z^e \rangle)$*

Proof. Given such product $M_1 \dots M_n$ in G , by Theorem 8 there is a f.g. submonoid $M \leq G \times H_5(\mathbb{Z})$ and $h_1, \dots, h_n \in H_5(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $M^{h_1} \dots M^{h_n} \cap G = M$. We get that for every $g \in G$, $g \in M_1 \dots M_n$ iff $(g, h_1 h_n^{-1}) \in A \doteq M h_1 h_2^{-1} M \dots h_{n-1} h_n^{-1} M$, and therefore $M_1 \dots M_n = A|_{h_1 h_n^{-1}}$. By Proposition 5, there is a f.g. submonoid $N \leq G \times H_5(\mathbb{Z}) \times (H_3(\mathbb{Z})/\langle z^e \rangle)$ and $v \in H_3(\mathbb{Z})/\langle z^e \rangle$ such that $N|_v = A$, and together we get $N|_{(h_1 h_n^{-1}, v)} = M_1 \dots M_n$ as needed. \square

3.2 The second proof

In this proof, instead of going first to a product of conjugate subsubmonoids and then to a submonoid, we unite both steps, giving a more efficient result. First we need a geometric construction.

Proposition 10. For any n , there are vectors $u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with the following properties:

1. $\langle u_i, v_j \rangle < 0$ when $i \leq j$, and $\langle u_i, v_j \rangle > 0$ when $i > j$
2. If $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ satisfy $\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \alpha_j v_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} v_j$, then $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_{n-1} = 1$.
3. $\sum_{i=1}^n u_i = (0, 0)$

A useful reformulation of item 1 is: If u_i appears before v_j in the word $u_1 v_1 u_2 v_2 \dots v_{n-1} u_n$, then $\langle u_i, v_j \rangle < 0$, otherwise $\langle u_i, v_j \rangle > 0$.

Proof. Choose $0 < a_1 < b_1 < a_2 < b_2 < \dots < b_{n-1} < a_n$ such that $b_i > i b_{i-1}$ for every i , and define $v_j = (1, b_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, $u_i = (a_i, -1)$ for $1 < i < n$, and finally $u_1 = (-\sum a_i, 0)$, and $u_n = (0, n-2)$. Item 2 is satisfied by Proposition 3, item 3 is satisfied by the choice of u_1, u_n , and item 1 can be checked directly. \square

It may be easier to understand the conditions of Proposition 10 by rotating u_i by $\pi/2$ (so $(a_i, -1) \mapsto (1, a_i)$ in the specific vectors chosen in the proof). Then, instead of the inner product $\langle u_i, v_j \rangle$ we would get the orientation of u_i, v_j , i.e. the sign of the determinant of $(u_i; v_j)$. This implies that scanning the vectors counterclockwise from u_1 we would see them in order $u_1 v_1 u_2 v_2 \dots v_{n-1} u_n$. In addition, item 2 can be shown to imply that v_j are all in the same half-plane, while item 3 implies that u_i are not all on the same half-plane. This implies that the orientation of each 2 vectors of $u_1 v_1 u_2 v_2 \dots v_{n-1} u_n$ conforms with their order in the word, except $u_1 u_n$ which have the opposite orientation, so the angle between them in the scan must exceed π (it is $3\pi/2$ for the chosen vectors).

Proposition 11. For any n there are elements $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{n-1} \in H_5(\mathbb{Z})$ with the following properties:

1. $\mathbf{u}_1^l \mathbf{v}_1 \mathbf{u}_2^l \mathbf{v}_2 \dots \mathbf{v}_{n-1} \mathbf{u}_n^l = \mathbf{v}_1 \dots \mathbf{v}_{n-1}$ for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$
2. In any word w without inverses in $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{n-1}$ with value $\mathbf{v}_1 \dots \mathbf{v}_{n-1}$, the \mathbf{u}_i 's appear in order: the last \mathbf{u}_i is located before the first \mathbf{u}_{i+1} for every i .

Proof. Let $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}$ be as in proposition 10, and define $e_{ij} = \langle u_i, v_j \rangle$. Define $\mathbf{u}_i = \mathbf{x}^{u_i} z^{\sum_{j>i} e_{ij}}$ and $\mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{y}^{v_i}$. We first show:

$$\mathbf{u}_1^l \mathbf{v}_1 \mathbf{u}_2^l \mathbf{v}_2 \dots \mathbf{v}_{n-1} \mathbf{u}_n^l = \mathbf{v}_1 \mathbf{v}_2 \dots \mathbf{v}_{n-1} \quad (1)$$

To see why Equation (1) holds, we swap each \mathbf{u}_i left to the beginning of the word. We have $\mathbf{v}_j \mathbf{u}_i = \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{v}_j z^{-e_{ij}}$ and $[\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_j] = 1$, so during the entire journey to the beginning of the word, a factor of $z^{-\sum_{j<i} e_{ij}}$ is added for each \mathbf{u}_i . This cancels out the z power in $\mathbf{u}_i = \mathbf{x}^{u_i} z^{\sum_{j<i} e_{ij}}$, and we are left with $\mathbf{x}^{lu_1} \dots \mathbf{x}^{lu_n} \mathbf{v}_1 \dots \mathbf{v}_{n-1}$. But we have $\mathbf{x}^{lu_1} \dots \mathbf{x}^{lu_n} = \mathbf{x}^{l \sum_i u_i} = \mathbf{x}^{(0,0)} = 1$ by item 3. Conversely, assume w is a word in $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{n-1}$ with value $\mathbf{v}_1 \dots \mathbf{v}_{n-1}$. By applying Proposition 3 to the projection of w to $H_5(\mathbb{Z})/\langle x_1, x_2, z \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$, we get that each \mathbf{v}_j appears exactly once, not necessarily in order. We now swap each \mathbf{u}_i to the beginning of w , just like before. Since each \mathbf{v}_j appears once in w , this contributes $z^{-\sum_{j \in J} e_{ij}}$ where J is the set of indices of \mathbf{v}_j that appear before the \mathbf{u}_i we are swapping. Now, by item 1 we have $\sum_{j \in J} e_{ij} \leq \sum_{j < i} e_{ij}$, and equality holds iff $J = \{1, \dots, i-1\}$. Therefore after moving $\mathbf{u}_i = \mathbf{x}^{u_i} z^{\sum_{j>i} e_{ij}}$ to the beginning of the word, we are left with $\mathbf{x}^{u_i} z^e$ where $e = \sum_{j < i} e_{ij} - \sum_{j \in J} e_{ij} \geq 0$, and $e = 0$ iff \mathbf{u}_i appears after $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{i-1}$ but before $\mathbf{v}_i, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{n-1}$. After moving all \mathbf{u}_i for every i to the beginning, we get a word of the form $\mathbf{x}^u \mathbf{y}^v z^e$ for some $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}^2, e \geq 0$. Since elements of $H_5(\mathbb{Z})$ have a unique such form, and since the value of w is assumed to be $\mathbf{y}^{\sum_j v_j}$, we get that $e = 0$, and therefore each \mathbf{u}_i appears after $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{i-1}$ but before $\mathbf{v}_i, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{n-1}$. In particular, each \mathbf{u}_i appears before the single occurrence of \mathbf{v}_i , and each \mathbf{u}_{i+1} appears after it, so the \mathbf{u}_i appear in order, as required. \square

Now we are ready for the main theorem.

Theorem 12. *Let M_1, \dots, M_n be f.g. submonoids of any group G . Then, the product $M_1 \cdots M_n$ is a section of some f.g. submonoid of $G \times H_5(\mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. Let $S_i \subseteq G$ be finite sets generating M_i , WLOG $1_G \in S_i$ for every i . Let $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{v}_j$ be as in Proposition 11, and define:

$$T = \bigcup_{i \leq n} (S_i \times \{\mathbf{u}_i\}) \cup \{(1_G, \mathbf{v}_j) \mid j < n\}$$

and set $h = \mathbf{v}_1 \cdots \mathbf{v}_{n-1}$. We claim that $T^*|_h = M_1 \cdots M_n$. For one side, let $g_i \in M_i$, we need to show $(g_1 \cdots g_n, h) \in T^*$. We can express $g_i = s_{i,1} s_{i,2} \cdots s_{i,l_i}$ where $l_i \in \mathbb{N}, s_{i,j} \in S_i$. By padding with $1_G \in S_i$ we may assume $l = l_1 \cdots = l_n$. Since $(s_{i,j}, \mathbf{u}_i) \in T$ we get $(g_i, \mathbf{u}_i^l) \in T^*$. Therefore:

$$(g_1, \mathbf{u}_1^l)(1_G, \mathbf{v}_1)(g_2, \mathbf{u}_2^l)(1_G, \mathbf{v}_2) \cdots (g_n, \mathbf{u}_n^l) = (g_1 \cdots g_n, \mathbf{u}_1^l \mathbf{v}_1 \cdots \mathbf{v}_{n-1} \mathbf{u}_n^l) = (g_1 \cdots g_n, h) \in T^*$$

Where we used Proposition 11. Conversely, assume $(g, h) = t_1 \cdots t_l \in T^*$. Looking at the second coordinate of t_i , we get by Proposition 11 that the \mathbf{u}_i appear in order, which implies that the in the first coordinate the elements of S_i appear in order, so $g \in S_1^* \cdots S_n^* = M_1 \cdots M_n$ as needed. \square

4 Decidability

Theorem 13. *Let G be any f.g. group.*

1. *Membership in a fixed product $M_1 \cdots M_n$ of f.g. submonoids of G can be reduced to membership in a fixed submonoid of $G \times H_5(\mathbb{Z})$*
2. *Membership in all finite products of f.g. submonoids of G can be reduced to the uniform submonoid membership problem in $G \times H_5(\mathbb{Z})$*

The proof is immediate from Theorem 12. We get a new, simple proof of a theorem of Romankov [Rom23]:

Theorem 14. *There is a group $G \in \mathcal{N}_2$ and a fixed f.g. submonoid $M \leq G$, such that membership in M is undecidable.*

Proof. From [KLZ16], there is a group $G \in \mathcal{N}_2$ with 4 subgroups A_1, \dots, A_4 such that membership in $A_1 \cdots A_4$ is undecidable. By Theorem 13 we are done. \square

We also get the following interesting converse to a reduction of Bodart [Bod24]:

Theorem 15. *Let $k \geq 1$. The following problems are Turing equivalent:*

1. *The submonoid membership problem, uniformly for all $G \in \mathcal{N}_2$ with $h([G, G]) = k$.*
2. *Deciding membership in products of submonoids, uniformly for all $G \in \mathcal{N}_2$ with $h([G, G]) = k - 1$.*

Proof. One side is given by [Bod24], and for the other side, we note that if $h([G, G]) = k - 1$ and $K = G \times H_5(\mathbb{Z})$ then $h([K, K]) = h([G, G]) + 1 = k$. \square

References

- [Rom99] V Roman'kov. "On the occurence problem for rational subsets of a group". In: *International Conference on Combinatorial and Computational Methods in Mathematics*. 1999, pp. 76–81.

- [Loh15] Markus Lohrey. “Rational subsets of unitriangular groups”. In: *International Journal of Algebra and Computation* 25.01n02 (2015), pp. 113–121.
- [KLZ16] Daniel König, Markus Lohrey, and Georg Zetsche. “Knapsack and subset sum problems in nilpotent, polycyclic, and co-context-free groups”. In: *Algebra and Computer Science* 677 (2016), pp. 138–153. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1507.05145>.
- [Rom23] Vitalii Anatol’evich Roman’kov. “Undecidability of the submonoid membership problem for a sufficiently large finite direct power of the Heisenberg group”. In: *Sibirskie Elektronnyye Matematicheskie Izvestiya [Siberian Electronic Mathematical Reports]* 20.1 (2023), pp. 293–305. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.14786>.
- [Bod24] Corentin Bodart. “Membership problems in nilpotent groups”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.15504* (2024). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.15504>.
- [Sha24a] Doron Shafrir. *Bounded Generation of Submonoids of Heisenberg Groups*. 2024. arXiv: [2405.05939](https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.05939) [math.GR]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.05939>.
- [Sha24b] Doron Shafrir. *Is decidability of the Submonoid Membership Problem closed under finite extensions?* 2024. arXiv: [2405.12921](https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.12921) [math.GR]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.12921>.