

ACTIONS OF DRINFELD DOUBLES OF FINITE GROUPS ON ARTIN-SCHELTER REGULAR ALGEBRAS

ELLEN KIRKMAN, W. FRANK MOORE, TOLULOPE OKE

ABSTRACT. For a finite group G and \mathbb{k} an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero we consider Artin-Schelter regular algebras A on which the Drinfeld double $D(G)$ acts inner faithfully, and its associated algebras of invariants $A^{D(G)}$. Explicit computations for the cases when G is the (generalized) quaternion group of order 8 and 16 are given.

Classical invariant theory concerns the actions of groups G on commutative polynomial rings $A = \mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ for \mathbb{k} a field, and studies the subring of invariants A^G . There has been recent work exploring extensions of these results to actions on noncommutative algebras A , particularly to Artin-Schelter regular algebras (Definition 1.1). AS regular algebras are seen as a natural class of algebras to consider because when they are commutative, they are isomorphic to commutative polynomial algebras. Many results in classical invariant theory have been shown to have analogous results in this context (see, for example, [K]). One can begin by considering actions by subgroups of the graded automorphism group of A , i.e. study symmetries of A . However, given the rigidity of noncommutative algebras, there often are not a large number of such actions. Hence to find additional actions on A there has been interest in considering “quantum symmetries” of noncommutative algebras, i.e. actions on A by Hopf algebras H . However, not all algebras have non-trivial quantum symmetries (i.e. Hopf actions that are not actually actions by a group); see, for example, results of Cuadra, Etingof, and Walton, including [EW1, EW2, EW3, CEW1, CEW2]. The search for pairs (A, H) , where A is an Artin-Schelter regular algebra and H is a finite dimensional Hopf algebra that acts inner faithfully on A (meaning there is no proper Hopf factor of H that acts non-trivially on A) has produced a number of examples. For Artin-Schelter regular algebras that have a grading by a finite group G there are actions by $H = (\mathbb{k}G)^*$ the dual of the group algebra, which when G is non-abelian is not isomorphic to $\mathbb{k}G$ (see, e.g. [KKZ2, CKZ, CKZ2, C2, Zhu]) and pairs (A, H) for other Hopf algebras have also been discovered (see, e.g. [FKMW1, FKMW2]). At this time there is not a good understanding of the Hopf algebras H or the AS regular algebras A for which a pair (A, H) exists.

Throughout, as we choose specific values of some of the parameters, we take $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{C}$, though the results could be considered over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. In this paper, with the goal of creating more examples of pairs (A, H) , we consider the Drinfeld double $H = D(G)$ of a finite group, which is constructed using both $\mathbb{k}G$ and $(\mathbb{k}G)^*$. After experimenting with a number of smaller order nonabelian groups, we found that $D(G)$ has inner faithful actions on AS regular algebras when G is the (generalized) quaternion group (dicyclic group) \mathcal{D}_{2n} of order 8 ($n = 2$) and of order 16 ($n = 4$), and we consider these cases in

detail. Furthermore, we show that there is a large number of algebras on which \mathfrak{D}_{2n} , for $n = 2$ and 4 , acts inner faithfully, though in many cases the algebra A on which H acts will not be an AS regular algebra.

When the characteristic of \mathbb{k} is zero, $H = D(G)$ is a semisimple Hopf algebra. Using results of Witherspoon [W1, W2], we can construct the simple $D(G)$ -modules. Then using a result of Rieffel [R] (see also [FKMW1]), we can consider all possible finitely generated $D(G)$ -modules V , expressed as a finite sum of simple $D(G)$ -modules, and search for V where the action of $D(G)$ on $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} V^{\otimes m}$ contains all simple $D(G)$ -modules as direct summands. The $D(G)$ -modules V with this property can serve as the $D(G)$ -module of the degree one elements of an algebra B (i.e. $B_1 = V$ as $D(G)$ -modules) with $D(G)$ acting inner faithfully on B . We choose such a $D(G)$ -module V that is the sum of a minimal number of simple $D(G)$ -modules. These computations were performed with GAP [GAP] and Macaulay2 [M2], and for $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ there are 224 such modules and for $D(\mathfrak{D}_8)$ there are 1,952 of them! These modules V can then be used to define algebras B with $B_1 = V$ that support inner faithful $D(G)$ actions (i.e. provide the $D(G)$ -module structure for the degree one elements B_1 of an algebra B , where the $D(G)$ action on B is inner faithful).

Next, having fixed the action of $D(G)$ on the generators of B , we need to define the relations in B . In order to define a quadratic algebra B on which $D(G)$ acts, the ideal of relations for B must be a $D(G)$ -submodule of $V \otimes V$; to accomplish this, we explicitly compute the generators of the simple modules in the decomposition of $V \otimes V$ into simple $D(G)$ -modules, producing a generator for each simple module in the decomposition. We then seek combinations of these generators that produce algebras B that are $D(G)$ -modules and AS regular algebras. In both cases, $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ and $D(\mathfrak{D}_8)$, while not exhausting all possible relations for the algebras B , we find algebras B that are (trimmed) double Ore extensions in the sense of J. J. Zhang and J. Zhang [ZZ] (see Notation 1.3), and hence are AS regular. In Theorem 2.4 for the given values of the six parameters, the six-dimensional algebras B for $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ are shown to be double Ore extension of a skew-polynomial ring, and in Theorem 4.3 for the given values of the seven parameters, the eight-dimensional algebras B for $H = D(\mathfrak{D}_8)$ are shown to be iterated double Ore extensions of a (-1) -skew polynomial ring.

Using a result of Crawford [C1], we compute the homological determinant of the actions. Furthermore, in the case $n = 2$, using a degree bound argument of [KKZ1, Lemma 2.2], and a noncommutative analogue of SAGBI bases in Lemma 3.7, we find a minimal generating set for the invariant subring B^H , when $H = D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$, under the condition that the homological determinant of the action of $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ is trivial, and present our result in Theorem 3.11.

The dicyclic groups \mathfrak{D}_{2n} for $n = 2$ and $n = 4$ were found to have AS regular algebras associated to their doubles, but we show that pairs $(A, D(G))$ do not exist for all finite groups G . In particular, we show that finding a quadratic AS regular algebra A with an inner faithful $D(S_3)$ action using the smallest number of generators is not possible. Understanding the groups G for which there is an AS regular algebra A supporting an inner faithful $D(G)$ action remains an open problem, and properties of all the rings of invariants remain to be explored. However, these examples suggest that this context is rich for further study.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 1 provides background on the Drinfeld double of a finite group and its invariants, as well as on double Ore extensions, and

the dicyclic groups \mathfrak{D}_{2n} are introduced. In Section 2 we begin the study of the case $n = 2$ where G is the quaternion group of order 8. In Proposition 2.2 we provide a particular $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ -module V that supports an inner faithful action for algebras B with relations as given in Table 5. In Theorem 2.4 we explicitly present the AS regular algebras B with their generators and relations, along with the action of $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ on B , and we prove that these algebras B are double Ore extensions with the specified data. The homological determinant of the action of $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ on B is computed in Proposition 2.6. In Section 3 the invariants $B^{D(\mathfrak{D}_4)}$ are computed, assuming that the homological determinant of the action is trivial. The case when $n = 4$ (i.e., when G is the dicyclic group of order 16) is considered in Section 4. In Section 5, we study the action of $D(S_3)$, the double of the symmetric group of order 6. We conclude the paper in Section 6 with some remarks and open questions.

1. BACKGROUND

In this section, we provide notations and background results that we use throughout. We use the standard notation (Δ, ϵ, S) for the comultiplication, counit and antipode of a Hopf algebra H and refer to [M] for preliminary results about Hopf algebras. All H -modules are right H -modules, and actions by H are on the right (when described by matrices using the rows of the matrix).

Definition 1.1. Let A be a connected graded algebra. Then A is *Artin–Schelter (AS) regular* (resp. *AS Gorenstein*) if

- (1) A has finite global dimension (resp. $\text{injdim}({}_A A)$ and $\text{injdim}(A_A)$ are both finite),
- (2) A has finite Gelfand-Kirillov dimension, and
- (3) the Gorenstein condition holds i.e. $\text{Ext}_A^i(\mathbb{k}, A) = \delta_{i,d} \cdot \mathbb{k}(l)$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Examples of AS regular algebras include skew polynomial rings, graded Ore extensions of AS regular algebras, double Ore extensions of AS regular algebra as well as other algebras described by generators and relations. We now give the following notations for skew polynomial rings and trimmed double Ore extensions that we use.

Notation 1.2. Let $\mathbf{q} = (q_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ multiplicatively skew symmetric matrix with entries in a field \mathbb{k} . That is, for each $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, one has that $q_{ij} = q_{ji}^{-1}$. The skew-polynomial ring $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is defined to be the quotient of the free algebra on x_1, \dots, x_n modulo the ideal generated by the relations

$$\{x_j x_i = q_{ji} x_i x_j \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}.$$

Notation 1.3. Let A be an algebra and let B be another algebra containing A as a subring. Following [ZZ], one says that B is a *trimmed double Ore extension* of A (which we will refer to as simply a double Ore extension), provided:

- (1) There exist $x_1, x_2 \in B$ such that B is generated by A, x_1 and x_2 ;
- (2) One has an equality $x_1 x_2 = p_{12} x_2 x_1 + p_{11} x_1^2$;
- (3) B is a free left A -module with basis $\{x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \mid n_i \in \mathbb{N}\}$;
- (4) One has an equality $x_1 A + x_2 A = A x_1 + A x_2$.

One may also describe such an extension “externally”. In this case, one considers a k -algebra map $\sigma : A \rightarrow M_2(A)$ and scalars $P = \{p_{11}, p_{12}\}$ such that certain conditions are met, which we explain now in our case of interest, which is when $p_{11} = 0$. We will use p in place of p_{12} , and use P in place of P for brevity.

Before giving the conditions, we set up some additional notation. For $r \in A$, let $\sigma(r) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11}(r) & \sigma_{12}(r) \\ \sigma_{21}(r) & \sigma_{22}(r) \end{pmatrix}$, where each $\sigma_{ij} \in \text{End}_k(A)$. The data $\{\sigma, p\}$ gives rise to the relation $x_1x_2 = px_2x_1$ as well as relations obtained by equating the entries in the following matrix equation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} r = \begin{pmatrix} x_1r \\ x_2r \end{pmatrix} = \sigma(r) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11}(r) & \sigma_{12}(r) \\ \sigma_{21}(r) & \sigma_{22}(r) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Following [ZZ], one says that a \mathbb{k} -algebra map $\sigma : A \rightarrow M_2(A)$ is *invertible* if there exists a \mathbb{k} -algebra map $\phi : A \rightarrow M_2(A)$ such that one has the following equality in $M_2(\text{End}_k(A))$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_{11} & \phi_{12} \\ \phi_{21} & \phi_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{21} & \sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id}_A & 0 \\ 0 & \text{Id}_A \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{21} & \sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{11} & \phi_{12} \\ \phi_{21} & \phi_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By [ZZ, Proposition 1.11], the data $\{\sigma, p\}$ (where σ is an invertible algebra map in the sense described above) defines a double Ore extension $A_p[x_1, x_2; \sigma]$ provided that the following conditions hold for all elements r in an algebra generating set of A over k :

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma_{21}(\sigma_{11}(r)) &= p\sigma_{11}(\sigma_{21}(r)), \\ \sigma_{21}(\sigma_{12}(r)) - p^2\sigma_{12}(\sigma_{21}(r)) &= p(\sigma_{11}(\sigma_{22}(r)) - \sigma_{22}(\sigma_{11}(r))), \\ \sigma_{22}(\sigma_{12}(r)) &= p\sigma_{12}(\sigma_{22}(r)). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1.5. We will consider only graded double Ore extensions, so that one may view σ_{ij} as an element of $\text{GL}(A_1)$, and hence as a matrix after choosing a basis of A_1 . In many cases that we consider, $\sigma(r)$ is either a diagonal or a skew-diagonal matrix. If $\sigma(r)$ is a diagonal (respectively, skew-diagonal) matrix for all r in a generating set of A , then equations (1.4) reduce to the condition that the matrices $\sigma_{11}(r)$ and $\sigma_{22}(r)$ (respectively, $\sigma_{12}(r)$ and $\sigma_{21}(r)$) commute for all generators r of A .

Lemma 1.6. *Let \mathbb{k} be a field, R a semisimple \mathbb{k} -algebra, M a right R -module, and let $\mathbf{a} = [a_1 : \cdots : a_n] \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{k})$. Then the function $\varphi_{\mathbf{a}} : M \rightarrow M^{\oplus n}$ given by $\varphi_{\mathbf{a}}(m) = (a_1m, \dots, a_nm)$ is an injective homomorphism of R -modules. If M is simple and \mathbb{k} is algebraically closed, then every simple submodule of $M^{\oplus n}$ is given by the image of such a map.*

Proof. The map $\varphi_{\mathbf{a}}$ is clearly an injective homomorphism of R -modules. Now suppose that M is simple with \mathbb{k} algebraically closed, and let N be a simple submodule of $M^{\oplus n}$. Then N is isomorphic to M , and is a direct summand of $M^{\oplus n}$. Fix an isomorphism $\psi : M \rightarrow N$, and for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, let π_i denote the projection onto the i^{th} factor of $M^{\oplus n}$. By Schur's Lemma, one has that for each i , there exists $a_i \in \mathbb{k}$ such that $\pi_i(\psi(m)) = a_im$. Since N is nonzero, some $a_i \neq 0$ so that $[a_1 : \cdots : a_n] \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. It follows that $N = \{(a_1m, \dots, a_nm) : m \in M\}$, as desired. \square

Notation 1.7. For a finite group G , let $\mathbb{k}G$ be the group algebra and $(\mathbb{k}G)^* := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathbb{k}G, \mathbb{k})$ be its Hopf dual. A \mathbb{k} -basis for $(\mathbb{k}G)^*$ is given by $\{\phi_g : g \in G\}$ where

$$\phi_g(h) = \begin{cases} 1 & g = h, \\ 0 & g \neq h. \end{cases}$$

The multiplication on $(\mathbb{k}G)^*$ is pointwise, that is, $(\phi_g \phi_h)(x) = \phi_g(x) \phi_h(x)$ and the left action G on $(\mathbb{k}G)^*$ is given by $h \cdot \phi_g \mapsto \phi_g^h$ where $\phi_g^h = \phi_{hg h^{-1}}$ for all $g, h \in G$. Following the definitions in [W1], the Drinfeld double of G is the vector space $D(G) = \mathbb{k}G^* \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}G$, having a \mathbb{k} -basis $\{\phi_g \otimes h : g, h \in G\}$ which after suppressing the tensor will be written as $\{\phi_g h : g, h \in G\}$. The multiplication in $D(G)$ is defined on basis elements as $(\phi_g h)(\phi_{g'} h') = \phi_g(h \cdot \phi_{g'}) h h' = \phi_g \phi_{hg' h^{-1}} h h'$, which is nonzero if and only if $g = hg' h^{-1}$. The coproduct on $D(G)$ is defined as

$$\Delta(\phi_g h) = \sum_{x \in G} \phi_x h \otimes \phi_{x^{-1}g} h \in D(G) \otimes D(G),$$

the counit is $\epsilon(\phi_g h) = \delta_{1,g}$, and the antipode is $S(\phi_g h) = \phi_{h^{-1}g^{-1}h} h^{-1}$. Thus a Hopf structure on the Drinfeld double is defined.

Notation 1.8. Each simple $D(G)$ -module (c.f. [W1][Corollary 2.3]) is of the form (a, χ) , where a represents a conjugacy class of G and χ is an irreducible character of $C_G(a)$, the centralizer of a in G . For $a, g \in G$ we use the notation $a^g = g^{-1}ag$.

The simple right $D(G)$ -module (a, χ) has a basis $v \otimes_{C_G(a)} g_i \in V \otimes_{C_G(a)} \mathbb{k}G$, where g_i ranges over the $[G : C_G(a)]$ elements in a fixed transversal $\{g_i\}$ for the right cosets $C_G(a)g_i$ and v ranges over a basis for the simple $C_G(a)$ -module V associated to χ . The module (a, χ) is graded with $(v \otimes_{C_G(a)} g_i)$ in degree a^{g_i} . The action of $D(G)$ on a basis element of the module (a, χ) is:

$$(v \otimes_{C_G(a)} g_i)(\phi_x h) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \neq a_i^g, \\ v \otimes_{C_G(a)} g_i h & x = a_i^g. \end{cases}$$

Then $v \otimes_{C_G(a)} g_i h$ is expressed in terms of the basis by writing $g_i h = cg_j$, where $g_i h$ is in the coset $C_G(a)g_j$, so $v \otimes_{C_G(a)} g_i h = v \otimes_{C_G(a)} cg_j = v \cdot c \otimes_{C_G(a)} g_j$.

The following lemma can be used to find invariants of A under the action of $D(G)$.

Lemma 1.9. *Let A be a right $D(G)$ -module algebra. Then:*

- (1) *The action of G induced from that of $D(G)$ restricts to an action of A_e , the identity component of A . The invariants of this restricted action are the invariants of the action of $D(G)$ on A . That is, $A^{D(G)} = (A_e)^G$.*
- (2) *Let $f \in A^G$. Let $f = \sum_{g \in G} f_g$ be the decomposition of f into its G -graded components. Then for every conjugacy class X of G , $f_X := \sum_{x \in X} f_x \in A^G$. In particular, $f_e \in A^G$.*

Proof. Let $f \in A_e$. In particular, we have that $\phi_e f = f$. Then for any $g \in G$, we have that $f \cdot g = (f \phi_e) \cdot g = f \cdot (\phi_e g) = f \cdot (g \phi_e) = (f \cdot g) \phi_e \in A_e$, so that the first claim is justified. Next, let $f = \sum_{g \in G} f_g \in A^G$, let X be a conjugacy class of G , and let $f_X = \sum_{x \in X} f_x$ be as in the second claim. Then for all $g \in G$, one has that

$$f_X \cdot g = \left(\sum_{x \in X} f \cdot \phi_x \right) \cdot g = \sum_{x \in X} f \cdot (\phi_x g) = \sum_{x \in X} (f \cdot g) \phi_{g^{-1}xg} = \sum_{x \in X} f \cdot \phi_{g^{-1}xg} = f_X.$$

□

Definition 1.10. A Hopf algebra H is said to act *inner faithfully* on an H -module V if there is no non-zero Hopf ideal I with $VI = 0$.

We make use of the following theorem to show that a $D(G)$ -module is inner faithful.

Theorem 1.11. [FKMW1, Theorem 1.4] *Let V be a module over a finite-dimensional semisimple Hopf algebra H . Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) V is an inner faithful H -module,
- (2) The tensor algebra $T(V)$ is a faithful H -module,
- (3) Every simple H -module appears as a direct summand of $V^{\otimes n}$ for some n .

The category of simple $D(G)$ -modules is a modular tensor category, and the fusion relations for irreducible $D(G)$ -modules can be found using the S -matrix for the category, as described in [CGR] (see also [W1, W2]) using group characters.

For a general modular tensor category the ‘‘Verlinde formula’’

$$N_{V_a, V_b}^{V_c} = \sum_{V_i} \frac{S_{a,i} S_{b,i} \overline{S_{c,i}}}{S_{0,i}}$$

gives the multiplicity of the simple module V_c in $V_a \otimes V_b$ from the entries in the S matrix. For the Drinfeld double of a group algebra, the entry in the S matrix corresponding to the simple modules $V_a = (a, \chi)$, $V_b = (b, \chi')$ can be computed from the character table and centralizers by the formula

$$S_{(a,\chi),(b,\chi')} = \frac{1}{|C(a)||C(b)|} \sum \overline{\chi(gbg^{-1})} \overline{\chi'(g^{-1}ag)},$$

where the sum is over the set $\{g : agbg^{-1} = bgb^{-1}a\}$. These formulas were used in some of our early computations, but, as we need the generators of the summands, these formulas are not used here.

In this paper we consider the dicyclic groups \mathfrak{D}_{2n} . Following the notation in [CGR] let $G = \mathfrak{D}_{2n}$ be the dicyclic group of order $4n$

$$\mathfrak{D}_{2n} = \langle s, r : r^{2n} = e, s^2 = r^n, rsrs^{-1} = e \rangle.$$

This group is also called the ‘‘binary dihedral’’ group [Wiki1]; it is also called the ‘‘generalized quaternion’’ group when n is a power of 2 [Wiki2]. The group has $n + 3$ conjugacy classes with representatives

$$\{e, r^k (1 \leq k \leq n), s, sr\}.$$

It has 4 one-dimensional representations ψ_i ($i = 0 \dots, 3$) and $n - 1$ two-dimensional representations χ_i ($i = 1, \dots, n - 1$). There are $2n^2 + 14$ simple $D(\mathfrak{D}_{2n})$ -modules (also referred to as ‘‘primaries’’). The irreducible characters of \mathfrak{D}_{2n} and the centralizers of the representative of each conjugacy class are given in Table 1 from [CGR], where $\iota = 1$ when n is even and $\iota = \mathbf{i}$ (primitive 4th root of 1) when n is odd.

TABLE 1. Character Table for \mathfrak{D}_{2n}

\mathfrak{D}_{2n}	e	r^n	r^k	s	sr
ψ_0	1	1	1	1	1
ψ_1	1	1	1	-1	-1
ψ_2	1	$(-1)^n$	$(-1)^k$	ι	$-\iota$
ψ_3	1	$(-1)^n$	$(-1)^k$	$-\iota$	ι
χ_i	2	$2(-1)^i$	$2 \cos(\pi i k/n)$	0	0
$C_G(a)$	\mathfrak{D}_{2n}	\mathfrak{D}_{2n}	\mathbb{Z}_{2n}	\mathbb{Z}_4	\mathbb{Z}_4

2. $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$, THE CASE $n = 2$: THE QUATERNION GROUP OF ORDER 8

In this section we begin the consideration of the double of the quaternion group. We will use the simple $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ -modules to construct a $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ -module V that can provide an action of $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ on the generators of an algebra B so that the action on B is inner faithful. There are 22 simple $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ -modules V_i for $i = 0, \dots, 21$, where V_0 is the trivial \mathfrak{D}_4 -module.

The conjugacy classes (with choice of representative a), centralizers, and representations are given in Table 2. Indeed, ψ_j has action of r and s as given in Table 1, and χ has action given by $u.r = v$, $v.r = -u$, $u.s = iu$ and $v.s = iv$.

In addition, one has that α_j has action given by $t.r = i^j t$, β_j has action given by $t.s = i^j t$, and γ_j has action given by $t.(sr) = i^j t$, where i is a primitive fourth root of unity, and $0 \leq j \leq 3$.

TABLE 2. Simple $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ -modules

Conj class	$C_G(a)$	Rep $C_G(a)$	transversal
$\{a = e\}$	\mathfrak{D}_4	$\psi_0, \psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3, \chi$ V_0, V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4	$\{e\}$
$\{a = r^2\}$	\mathfrak{D}_4	$\psi_0, \psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3, \chi$ V_5, V_6, V_7, V_8, V_9	$\{e\}$
$\{a = r, r^3\}$	$\mathbb{Z}_4 = \langle r \rangle$	$\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ $V_{10}, V_{11}, V_{12}, V_{13}$	$\{e, s\}$
$\{a = s, sr^2\}$	$\mathbb{Z}_4 = \langle s \rangle$	$\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ $V_{14}, V_{15}, V_{16}, V_{17}$	$\{e, r\}$
$\{a = sr, sr^3\}$	$\mathbb{Z}_4 = \langle sr \rangle$	$\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ $V_{18}, V_{19}, V_{20}, V_{21}$	$\{e, s\}$

In Table 3 we give the explicit choices of representations of $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ that we will use in our analysis. Recall that by Notation 1.8, each simple $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ -module arises as the \mathfrak{D}_4 -module induced by an irreducible representation of the centralizer of an element of \mathfrak{D}_4 , with a suitable grading arising from the conjugation action.

The columns marked r and s indicate the action of r and s on the basis elements, which are in the column labeled “Gen”. The group grade of the generator is given in the column labeled “Gr”.

TABLE 3. Explicit action of $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ on its simple modules

Class	V_i	Gen.	Gr.	r	s	Class	V_i	Gen.	Gr.	r	s
[e]	V_0	u	e	u	u	[s]	V_{14}	u	s	v	u
	V_1	u	e	u	$-u$		V_{15}	u	s	v	$\mathbf{i}u$
	V_2	u	e	$-u$	u		V_{16}	u	s	v	$-u$
	V_3	u	e	$-u$	$-u$		V_{17}	u	s	v	$-\mathbf{i}u$
	V_4	u	e	v	$\mathbf{i}u$		V_{18}	u	sr	v	v
	v	e	$-u$	$-\mathbf{i}v$		v	sr^2	u	u		
[r^2]	V_5	u	r^2	u	u	[sr]	V_{19}	u	sr	$\mathbf{i}v$	v
	V_6	u	r^2	u	$-u$		V_{20}	u	sr	$\mathbf{i}u$	$-u$
	V_7	u	r^2	$-u$	u		V_{21}	u	sr	$-v$	v
	V_8	u	r^2	$-u$	$-u$		v	sr^3	$-u$	u	
	V_9	u	r^2	v	$\mathbf{i}u$		v	sr^3	$-\mathbf{i}v$	v	
	v	r^2	$-u$	$-\mathbf{i}v$			sr^3	$-\mathbf{i}u$	$-u$		
[r]	V_{10}	u	r	u	v						
	v	v	r^3	v	u						
	V_{11}	u	r	$\mathbf{i}u$	v						
	v	v	r^3	$-\mathbf{i}v$	$-u$						
V_{12}	u	r	$-u$	v							
v	v	r^3	$-v$	u							
V_{13}	u	r	$-\mathbf{i}u$	v							
v	v	r^3	$\mathbf{i}v$	$-u$							

Consider $V_{17} = (s, \beta_3)$, $V_{20} = (sr, \gamma_2)$, $V_{21} = (sr, \gamma_3)$. A computation (some of the details of which are given below) shows that for this choice of $V = V_{17} \oplus V_{20} \oplus V_{21}$, all irreducible $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ -modules occur as direct summands of $V, V^{\otimes 2}, V^{\otimes 3}$ or $V^{\otimes 4}$; see Proposition 2.2.

In what follows, we decompose $V \otimes V$ for $V = V_{17} \oplus V_{20} \oplus V_{21}$, where our choice of basis elements for the simple modules are: $V_{17} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{k}}\{x_1, x_2\}$, $V_{19} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{k}}\{y_1, y_2\}$ and $V_{20} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{k}}\{z_1, z_2\}$. To compute $V \otimes V$ we first compute the 9 possible tensor products of the simple $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ -modules in the decomposition of V . The tensor product symbols are suppressed in the description of the generators, and the generators are listed in the same order (u, v) that they appear in Table 4. The column V_i gives the direct summands that occur in tensor product of the indicated simple $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ -modules.

TABLE 4. Decomposition of $(V_{17} \oplus V_{20} \oplus V_{21})^{\otimes 2}$

Tensor	V_i	Generators	Tensor	V_i	Generators
$V_{17} \otimes V_{17}$	V_0	$x_1x_2 - x_2x_1$	$V_{17} \otimes V_{21}$	V_{10}	$x_2z_1 + \mathbf{i}x_1z_2$
	V_2	$x_1x_2 + x_2x_1$			$\mathbf{i}x_2z_2 - x_1z_1$
	V_6	$x_1^2 + x_2^2$		V_{12}	$x_2z_1 - \mathbf{i}x_1z_2$
	V_8	$x_1^2 - x_2^2$			$\mathbf{i}x_2z_2 + x_1z_1$
$V_{20} \otimes V_{20}$	V_0	$y_1y_2 + y_2y_1$	$V_{21} \otimes V_{17}$	V_{10}	$\mathbf{i}z_1x_1 + z_2x_2$
	V_3	$y_1y_2 - y_2y_1$			$z_2x_1 - \mathbf{i}z_1x_2$
	V_5	$y_1^2 + y_2^2$		V_{12}	$\mathbf{i}z_1x_1 - z_2x_2$
	V_8	$y_1^2 - y_2^2$			$z_2x_1 + \mathbf{i}z_1x_2$
$V_{21} \otimes V_{21}$	V_0	$z_1z_2 - z_2z_1$	$V_{20} \otimes V_{21}$	V_4	$y_1z_2 + \mathbf{i}y_2z_1$
	V_3	$z_1z_2 + z_2z_1$			$\mathbf{i}y_2z_1 - y_1z_2$
	V_6	$z_1^2 - z_2^2$		V_9	$y_2z_2 + \mathbf{i}y_1z_1$
	V_7	$z_1^2 + z_2^2$			$\mathbf{i}y_1z_1 - y_2z_2$
$V_{17} \otimes V_{20}$	V_{11}	$x_2y_1 - \mathbf{i}x_1y_2$	$V_{21} \otimes V_{20}$	V_4	$z_2y_1 + \mathbf{i}z_1y_2$
		$\mathbf{i}x_2y_2 - x_1y_1$			$\mathbf{i}z_1y_2 - z_2y_1$
	V_{13}	$x_2y_1 + \mathbf{i}x_1y_2$		V_9	$z_2y_2 + \mathbf{i}z_1y_1$
		$\mathbf{i}x_2y_2 + x_1y_1$			$\mathbf{i}z_1y_1 - z_2y_2$
$V_{20} \otimes V_{17}$	V_{11}	$y_1x_1 + \mathbf{i}y_2x_2$			
		$-\mathbf{i}y_2x_1 - y_1x_2$			
	V_{13}	$y_1x_1 - \mathbf{i}y_2x_2$			
		$-\mathbf{i}y_2x_1 + y_1x_2$			

We will use Lemma 2.1 that gives the additional tensor product decompositions needed in our proof that V is an inner faithful representation of $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$. Its proof is a computation that can be obtained from the S -matrix of $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ or by a direct computation that we leave to the reader.

Lemma 2.1. *One has the following direct sum decompositions:*

$$\begin{aligned}
V_4 \otimes V_{20} &\cong V_{19} \oplus V_{21}, \\
V_{11} \otimes V_{17} &\cong V_{18} \oplus V_{20}, \\
V_3 \otimes V_{17} &\cong V_{15}, \\
V_4 \otimes V_{17} &\cong V_{14} \oplus V_{16}, \\
V_2 \otimes V_3 &\cong V_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.2. *The representation $V = V_{17} \oplus V_{20} \oplus V_{21}$ is an inner faithful representation of $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$.*

Proof. By Table 4, the simple modules

$$V_0, V_2, V_3, V_4, V_5, V_6, V_7, V_8, V_9, V_{10}, V_{11}, V_{12}, V_{13}$$

all appear in the decomposition of $V \otimes V$. By Lemma 2.1, the simple modules

$$V_{14}, V_{15}, V_{16}, V_{18}, V_{19},$$

all appear in the decomposition of $V_4 \otimes V_{17}$, $V_4 \otimes V_{20}$, $V_{11} \otimes V_{17}$, and $V_3 \otimes V_{17}$, which appear in the decomposition of $V \otimes V \otimes V$. The remaining simple module

$$V_1 = V_2 \otimes V_3$$

appears in the decomposition of $V \otimes V \otimes V \otimes V$. \square

Next let $u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 \in \mathbb{k}$ be arbitrary and nonzero. In Table 4, by identifying generators that play the role of u and v in Table 3, we have identified an isomorphism between the two copies of a simple $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ -module that appear in the tensor products of the simple $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ -modules in the two orders. So, using Table 4, the span of the list of elements in Table 5 is a $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ -submodule of $V \otimes V$, where the $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ -module corresponding to the span of the elements in a row of the display is listed to the left. Here u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 are nonzero constants, and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \{1, -1\}$. Further, in some cases the representation corresponding to the relation depends upon the value of the parameter; the notation $V_{i,j}$ indicates when the parameter in the relation is equal to 1 the simple module corresponds to V_i , and when the parameter is -1 , the relation corresponds to V_j . So, for example, $V_{0,2}$ means that $x_1x_2 - x_2x_1$ corresponds to the representation V_0 and $x_1x_2 + x_2x_1$ corresponds to the representation V_2 .

TABLE 5. Relations for an algebra with action of $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$

Rep	Relations	Rep	Relations
$V_{0,2}$	$x_1x_2 - \alpha x_2x_1$	V_{10}	$(x_2z_1 + ix_1z_2) - u_2(iz_1x_1 + z_2x_2)$ $(ix_2z_2 - x_1z_1) - u_2(z_2x_1 - iz_1x_2)$
$V_{3,0}$	$y_1y_2 - \beta y_2y_1$	V_{12}	$(x_2z_1 - ix_1z_2) - u_2(iz_1x_1 - z_2x_2)$ $(ix_2z_2 + x_1z_1) - u_2(z_2x_1 + iz_1x_2)$
$V_{0,3}$	$z_1z_2 - \gamma z_2z_1$	V_4	$(y_1z_2 + iy_2z_1) - u_3(z_2y_1 + iz_1y_2)$ $(iy_2z_1 - y_1z_2) - u_3(iz_1y_2 - z_2y_1)$
V_{11}	$(x_2y_1 - ix_1y_2) - u_1(y_1x_1 + iy_2x_2)$ $(ix_2y_2 - x_1y_1) - u_1(-iy_2x_1 - y_1x_2)$	V_9	$(y_2z_2 + iy_1z_1) - u_4(z_2y_2 + iz_1y_1)$ $(iy_1z_1 - y_2z_2) - u_4(iz_1y_1 - z_2y_2)$
V_{13}	$(x_2y_1 + ix_1y_2) - u_1(y_1x_1 - iy_2x_2)$ $(ix_2y_2 + x_1y_1) - u_1(-iy_2x_1 + y_1x_2)$		

We may choose a different generating set for this span, which no longer makes it evident that it is a $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ -module, but makes the quotient ring easier to describe. Indeed, by taking the sum and difference of the relations from the pairs of representations (V_{11}, V_{13}) , (V_{10}, V_{12}) or across the same representation V_4 and V_9 , (and dividing by constants), we obtain the relations that appear in Theorem 2.4.

To see a particular example, adding the first generators of the copies of V_{13} and V_{11} in $V \otimes V$ gives us $2x_2y_1 - 2u_1y_1x_1$ and subtracting gives us $2ix_1y_2 + 2u_1iy_2x_2$ which is equivalent to $x_1y_2 + u_1y_2x_2$.

Remark 2.3. We could have chosen also to use the other relations appearing as summands of the representations $V_{17}^{\otimes 2}$, $V_{20}^{\otimes 2}$ and $V_{21}^{\otimes 2}$. Some of these seem to give relations of an AS regular algebra, but we did not explore these cases in detail.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $V = V_{17} \oplus V_{20} \oplus V_{21}$ be the representation of $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ given by the table on the left below:*

V_i	Gen.	Gr.	r	s
V_{17}	x_1	s	x_2	$-\mathbf{i}x_1$
	x_2	sr^2	$-x_1$	$\mathbf{i}x_2$
V_{20}	y_1	sr	$-y_2$	y_2
	y_2	sr^3	$-y_1$	y_1
V_{21}	z_1	sr	$-\mathbf{i}z_2$	z_2
	z_2	sr^3	$-\mathbf{i}z_1$	$-z_1$

Relations	
$x_1x_2 - \alpha x_2x_1$	$y_1y_2 - \beta y_2y_1$
$z_1z_2 - \gamma z_2z_1$	$x_1y_1 - u_1y_1x_2$
$x_2y_1 - u_1y_1x_1$	$y_1z_2 - u_3z_2y_1$
$x_1y_2 + u_1y_2x_2$	$x_2y_2 + u_1y_2x_1$
$y_2z_2 - u_4z_2y_2$	$x_1z_1 - \mathbf{i}u_2z_1x_2$
$x_2z_1 - \mathbf{i}u_2z_1x_1$	$y_1z_1 - u_4z_1y_1$
$\mathbf{i}x_1z_2 - u_2z_2x_2$	$\mathbf{i}x_2z_2 - u_2z_2x_1$
$y_2z_1 - u_3z_1y_2$	

Let B be the quotient of $\mathbb{k}\langle x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2 \rangle$ by the relations in Table 5, or equivalently, in the table on the right above. Then for any nonzero u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \{\pm 1\}$, the algebra B is an Artin-Schelter regular algebra of dimension six upon which $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ acts inner faithfully.

More precisely, $B \cong \mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2]_{\alpha}[x_1, x_2; \sigma]$, where the skewing matrix \mathbf{q} and the double Ore extension data σ are given by:

$$\mathbf{q} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta & u_4^{-1} & u_3^{-1} \\ \beta & 1 & u_3^{-1} & u_4^{-1} \\ u_4 & u_3 & 1 & \gamma \\ u_3 & u_4 & \gamma & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma(y_1) &= u_1 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y_1 \\ y_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \sigma(y_2) &= u_1 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -y_2 \\ -y_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \sigma(z_1) &= u_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{i}z_1 \\ \mathbf{i}z_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \sigma(z_2) &= u_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathbf{i}z_2 \\ -\mathbf{i}z_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let A be the subalgebra generated by the images of y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2 in B . Checking that the relations in the table above describe the relations of A , as well as give rise to the double Ore extension $B_{\alpha}[x_1, x_2; \sigma]$, may be verified directly.

Note that each of these matrices is skew diagonal, so by Remark 1.5, one needs only check that the matrices corresponding to σ_{12} and σ_{21} commute. As one can see, these matrices are diagonal and therefore satisfy the requirements of the remark. In particular, these assignments define an algebra homomorphism $\sigma : A \rightarrow M_2(A)$, so that the data $\{\sigma, \alpha\}$ give a well-defined double Ore extension. \square

In addition to the description given in Theorem 2.4, B is a derivation quotient algebra defined by a twisted superpotential \mathbf{w}_B according to [DV]. We recall now how one may compute the twisted superpotential and the corresponding Nakayama automorphism of B using the Frobenius structure of $B^!$. Indeed, suppose $B = T(V)/\langle I \rangle$ is a Koszul AS-regular algebra of dimension n (presented with $I \subseteq V \otimes V$) so that $B^! = T(V^*)/\langle I^{\perp} \rangle$. Given a basis x_1, \dots, x_n of V , let x_1^*, \dots, x_n^* be the corresponding dual basis of V^* . Further, we identify both x_j and x_j^* with their images in B and $B^!$, respectively.

Since $B^!$ is Frobenius, there is a nondegenerate n -form on $B_1^!$ given by the multiplication map

$$\Phi : B_1^! \otimes \dots \otimes B_1^! \rightarrow B_n^! \cong \mathbb{k}$$

where the last isomorphism is made explicit via choosing a basis $\{\vartheta\}$ of $B_n^!$. Then B is the derivation-quotient algebra corresponding to the twisted superpotential given by

$$\omega = \sum_{1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_n \leq n} \Phi(x_{j_1}^*, \dots, x_{j_n}^*) x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_n}.$$

That is, the coefficient of $x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_n}$ in the superpotential ω defining B may be taken to be the scalar c_{j_1, \dots, j_n} such that $x_{j_1}^* \cdots x_{j_n}^* = c_{j_1, \dots, j_n} \vartheta$, where ϑ was the choice of basis of $B_n^!$ made above.

Returning to our case, a Gröbner basis calculation shows that $x_1^*x_2^*y_1^*y_2^*z_1^*z_2^*$ is nonzero in $B_6^!$, and a calculation shows that the superpotential of B has the form:

$$\mathbf{w}_B = x_1x_2y_1y_2z_1z_2 + \beta\gamma x_1x_2y_2y_1z_2z_1 - \alpha\beta\gamma x_2x_1y_2y_1z_2z_1 + \cdots$$

where we suppress the remaining terms for brevity. We note that all nonzero terms appearing in the superpotential may be shown to have identity group grade. One may also check that the Nakayama automorphism μ associated to the superpotential \mathbf{w}_B is given by

$$\mu = \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha u_1^{-2}u_2^{-2}I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\alpha\beta u_1^2u_3^{-1}u_4^{-1}I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha\gamma u_2^2u_3u_4I \end{pmatrix}$$

where I is the 2×2 identity matrix.

The reason for us to introduce the superpotential here is so that we may appeal to results of [C1, Theorem 3.2], which allow us use the superpotential to compute the homological determinant $\text{hdet}_B : D(\mathfrak{D}_4) \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ of the action of $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ on B . Indeed, the action of $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ on V induces an action on $V^{\otimes 6}$ in such a way that the span of \mathbf{w}_B is a one-dimensional representation W of $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ whose character is precisely the homological determinant of the action. Furthermore, our remark on the group grade of the superpotential also shows that the group grade portion of the homological determinant of this action is trivial

Hence in order to complete our computation of the homological determinant of the action, it suffices to determine the action of r and s on \mathbf{w}_B . Recall that the actions of r and s on V are given by the matrices

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

respectively. The actions of r and s on \mathbf{w}_B are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w}_B \cdot r &= (x_2)(-x_1)(-y_2)(-y_1)(-iz_2)(-iz_1) \\ &\quad + \beta\gamma(x_2)(-x_1)(-y_1)(-y_2)(-iz_2)(-iz_1) \\ &\quad - \alpha\beta\gamma(x_1)(-x_2)(-y_1)(-y_2)(-iz_1)(-iz_2) + \cdots \\ &= x_2x_1y_2y_1z_2z_1 + \beta\gamma x_2x_1y_1y_2z_2z_1 - \alpha\beta\gamma x_1x_2y_1y_2z_1z_2 + \cdots \text{ and} \\ \mathbf{w}_B \cdot s &= -ix_1(ix_2)(y_2)(-y_1)(z_2)(-z_1) \\ &\quad + \beta\gamma(-ix_1)(ix_2)(y_1)(y_2)(-z_1)(z_2) \\ &\quad - \alpha\beta\gamma(ix_2)(-ix_1)(y_1)(y_2)(-z_1)(z_2) + \cdots \\ &= x_1x_2y_2y_1z_2z_1 - \beta\gamma x_1x_2y_1y_2z_1z_2 + \alpha\beta\gamma x_2x_1y_1y_2z_1z_2 + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Note that since the above matrices are monomial, the only contribution to the $x_1x_2y_1y_2z_1z_2$ term appearing in $\mathbf{w}_B \cdot r$ (respectively $\mathbf{w}_B \cdot s$) arises from the image of the third (respectively, second) term under r (respectively, s). We have therefore proven the following result.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ act on the algebra B as in the statement of Theorem 2.4. Then the group grade component of the homological determinant of this action*

is trivial, and one has that

$$\text{hdet}_B(r) = -\alpha\beta\gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \text{hdet}_B(s) = -\beta\gamma.$$

In particular, the action has a trivial homological determinant if and only if $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = -\gamma$.

3. INVARIANTS FOR $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ ACTING ON B WITH TRIVIAL HOMOLOGICAL DETERMINANT

We now begin our computation of the invariant ring corresponding to a family of algebras arising from this example.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ act on the algebra B as in the statement of Theorem 2.4. A basis of B is given by $\{\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} = x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} y_1^{b_1} y_2^{b_2} z_1^{c_1} z_2^{c_2}\}$ where $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2$ are nonnegative integers. If a monomial $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \in B$ is a term in an element of the invariant subring $B^{D(\mathfrak{D}_4)}$, then $a_1 \pm a_2, b_1 \pm b_2, c_1 \pm c_2$ are even and $(a_1 - a_2) \equiv b_1 - b_2 + c_2 - c_2 \pmod{4}$.*

Proof. From Lemma 1.9, we are interested first in the identity component B_e and then the restricted action of \mathfrak{D}_4 on B_e . That a basis of B is given by monomials of the form $x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} y_1^{b_1} y_2^{b_2} z_1^{c_1} z_2^{c_2}$ is clear from the definition of the skew polynomial ring and double Ore extensions. Such an element has group grade

$$s^{a_1}(s^{-1})^{a_2}(sr)^{b_1}((sr)^{-1})^{b_2}(sr)^{c_1}((sr)^{-1})^{c_2} = s^{a_1-a_2}(sr)^{b_1-b_2+c_1-c_2}.$$

In order for this element to have grade equal to e , we must have that $a_1 - a_2 \equiv b_1 + c_1 - b_2 - c_2 \pmod{4}$, and both sides must be even. It follows that $a_1 \pm a_2$ is even as well since $\langle s \rangle \cap \langle sr \rangle = \langle s^2 \rangle$. Note also that, if a monomial of B is part of an invariant under the subgroup $\langle s^2 \rangle$ of G , we must also have that $c_1 \pm c_2$ is even. It follows that a monomial involved in an invariant of $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ must have $b_1 \pm b_2$ is even. \square

Definition 3.2. Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{N}^6$ and let $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} = x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} y_1^{b_1} y_2^{b_2} z_1^{c_1} z_2^{c_2}$ be a monomial in B . There is an action of elements of \mathfrak{D}_4 on exponent vectors $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a} \cdot r &= (a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2) \cdot r = (a_2, a_1, b_2, b_1, c_2, c_1), \\ \mathbf{a} \cdot s &= (a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2) \cdot s = (a_1, a_2, b_2, b_1, c_2, c_1). \end{aligned}$$

This leads to a general action of the elements $g \in \mathfrak{D}_4$ on monomials given by

$$\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \cdot g = \lambda(\mathbf{a}, g) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a} \cdot g}$$

where $\lambda(\mathbf{a}, g)$ are scalars. Furthermore, $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \cdot gh = (\lambda(\mathbf{a}, g) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a} \cdot g}) \cdot h = \lambda(\mathbf{a}, g) \lambda(\mathbf{a} \cdot g, h) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a} \cdot gh}$, and $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \cdot gh = \lambda(\mathbf{a}, gh) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a} \cdot gh}$ so the scalars satisfy

$$\lambda(\mathbf{a}, gh) = \lambda(\mathbf{a}, g) \lambda(\mathbf{a} \cdot g, h).$$

If we assume that the monomial $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$ is a term of an invariant, we can determine the scalars $\lambda(\mathbf{a}, g)$ for when g is equal to r , s or rs using Proposition 3.1 in the

following way.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \cdot r &= (x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} y_1^{b_1} y_2^{b_2} z_1^{c_1} z_2^{c_2}) \cdot r = (-1)^{a_1+b_1+b_2} (-i)^{c_1+c_2} x_2^{a_1} x_1^{a_2} y_2^{b_1} y_1^{b_2} z_2^{c_1} z_1^{c_2} \\ &= (-1)^{a_1+b_1+b_2+c_1+c_2} (i)^{c_1+c_2} \alpha^{a_1 a_2} \beta^{b_1 b_2} \gamma^{c_1 c_2} x_1^{a_2} x_2^{a_1} y_1^{b_2} y_2^{b_1} z_1^{c_2} z_2^{c_1} \\ &= ((-1)^{a_1} (i)^{c_1+c_2} \alpha^{a_1} \beta^{b_1} \gamma^{c_1}) x_1^{a_2} x_2^{a_1} y_1^{b_2} y_2^{b_1} z_1^{c_2} z_2^{c_1} = \lambda(\mathbf{a}, r) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a} \cdot r}, \\ &\text{hence, } \lambda(\mathbf{a}, r) = (-1)^{a_1} i^{c_1+c_2} \alpha^{a_1} \beta^{b_1} \gamma^{c_1}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \cdot s &= (x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} y_1^{b_1} y_2^{b_2} z_1^{c_1} z_2^{c_2}) \cdot s = (-1)^{c_1} (-i)^{a_1} (i)^{a_2} x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} y_2^{b_1} y_1^{b_2} z_2^{c_1} z_1^{c_2} \\ &= (-1)^{a_1+c_1} (i)^{a_1+a_2} \beta^{b_1} \gamma^{c_1} x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} y_1^{b_2} y_2^{b_1} z_1^{c_2} z_2^{c_1} = \lambda(\mathbf{a}, s) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a} \cdot s}, \\ &\text{hence, } \lambda(\mathbf{a}, s) = (-1)^{a_1+c_1} i^{a_1+a_2} \beta^{b_1} \gamma^{c_1}, \text{ and} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \cdot rs &= ((-1)^{a_1} (i)^{c_1+c_2} \alpha^{a_1} \beta^{b_1} \gamma^{c_1}) (x_2^{a_1} x_1^{a_2} y_2^{b_1} y_1^{b_2} z_2^{c_1} z_1^{c_2}) \cdot s \\ &= (-1)^{a_1+a_2+c_2} (i)^{c_1+c_2+a_1+a_2} \alpha^{a_1} \beta^{b_1+b_2} \gamma^{c_1+c_2} x_1^{a_2} x_2^{a_1} y_1^{b_1} y_2^{b_2} z_1^{c_1} z_2^{c_2} \\ &= (-1)^{c_1} (i)^{c_1+c_2+a_1+a_2} \alpha^{a_1} x_1^{a_2} x_2^{a_1} y_1^{b_1} y_2^{b_2} z_1^{c_1} z_2^{c_2} = \lambda(\mathbf{a}, rs) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a} \cdot rs}, \\ &\text{hence, } \lambda(\mathbf{a}, rs) = (-1)^{c_1} i^{c_1+c_2+a_1+a_2} \alpha^{a_1}. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3.1, we may order elements in the basis of B in graded lexicographic order with $x_1 > x_2 > y_1 > y_2 > z_1 > z_2$. Based on the action of \mathfrak{D}_4 on the exponent vectors given above, it is natural to restrict our attention to those exponent vectors which are lead monomials in their orbit under this action. This is achieved for those exponent vectors in the following set:

$$(3.3) \quad X = \left\{ (a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{N}^6 \left| \begin{array}{l} a_1 \geq a_2, b_1 \geq b_2, \\ a_1 \pm a_2, b_1 \pm b_2, c_1 \pm c_2 \text{ are even, and} \\ a_1 - a_2 \equiv b_1 - b_2 + c_1 - c_2 \pmod{4} \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

In particular, we may assume that $a_1 \geq a_2$ since rs interchanges only these two values, and we can assume that $b_1 \geq b_2$ since s interchanges both b_1 and b_2 as well as c_1 and c_2 while keeping a_1 and a_2 fixed. That is, we cannot assume anything about the relative order of c_1 and c_2 since we cannot independently flip these components of the exponent vector.

Definition 3.4. For a fixed exponent vector $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2) \in X$ defined as in (3.3), we let $f_{\mathbf{a}}$ denote the following invariant:

$$f_{\mathbf{a}} = \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} + \lambda(\mathbf{a}, r) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a} \cdot r} + \lambda(\mathbf{a}, s) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a} \cdot s} + \lambda(\mathbf{a}, rs) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a} \cdot rs}.$$

To see that $f_{\mathbf{a}}$ is an invariant, note that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathbf{a}} \cdot r &= \lambda(\mathbf{a}, r) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a} \cdot r} + \lambda(\mathbf{a}, r) \lambda(\mathbf{a} \cdot r, r) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a} \cdot r^2} + \\ &\quad \lambda(\mathbf{a}, s) \lambda(\mathbf{a} \cdot s, r) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a} \cdot sr} + \lambda(\mathbf{a}, rs) \lambda(\mathbf{a} \cdot rs, r) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a} \cdot rsr} \\ &= \lambda(\mathbf{a}, r) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a} \cdot r} + \lambda(\mathbf{a}, r) \lambda(\mathbf{a} \cdot r, r) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} + \\ &\quad \lambda(\mathbf{a}, s) \lambda(\mathbf{a} \cdot s, r) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a} \cdot rs} + \lambda(\mathbf{a}, rs) \lambda(\mathbf{a} \cdot rs, r) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a} \cdot s} \\ &= \lambda(\mathbf{a}, r^2) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} + \lambda(\mathbf{a}, r) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a} \cdot r} + \lambda(\mathbf{a}, rsr) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a} \cdot s} + \lambda(\mathbf{a}, sr) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a} \cdot rs} \\ &= \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} + \lambda(\mathbf{a}, r) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a} \cdot r} + \lambda(\mathbf{a}, s) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a} \cdot s} + \lambda(\mathbf{a}, rs) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a} \cdot rs} = f_{\mathbf{a}}. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality was obtained from the fact that $\lambda(\mathbf{a}, r^2) = (-1)^{a_1+a_2+c_1+c_2} = 1$ and since the action of rsr and s are the same on $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$ so $\lambda(\mathbf{a}, rsr) = \lambda(\mathbf{a}, s)$. Similarly the action of rs and sr are the same on $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$ so $\lambda(\mathbf{a}, rs) = \lambda(\mathbf{a}, sr)$.

Since the set of exponent vectors $\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a} \cdot r, \mathbf{a} \cdot s, \mathbf{a} \cdot rs\}$ is closed under the action of \mathfrak{D}_4 , every invariant $f \in B$ can be written uniquely as

$$(3.5) \quad f = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in X} c_{\mathbf{a}} f_{\mathbf{a}}$$

where $c_{\mathbf{a}}$ are scalars and the set X is defined in (3.3).

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}' \in X$ such that $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}, \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}'} \in B$ satisfy the conditions of Proposition 3.1. Then $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}' \in X$ and $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}'} = \lambda \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}'}$ for some scalar λ .*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}' \in X$ and let $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} = x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} y_1^{b_1} y_2^{b_2} z_1^{c_1} z_2^{c_2}$ and $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}'} = x_1^{a'_1} x_2^{a'_2} y_1^{b'_1} y_2^{b'_2} z_1^{c'_1} z_2^{c'_2}$ be two monomials in B . Using the relations in B , we would have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}'} &= (x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} y_1^{b_1} y_2^{b_2} z_1^{c_1} z_2^{c_2}) \cdot (x_1^{a'_1} x_2^{a'_2} y_1^{b'_1} y_2^{b'_2} z_1^{c'_1} z_2^{c'_2}) \\ &= \lambda x_1^{a_1 + a'_1} x_2^{a_2 + a'_2} y_1^{b_1 + b'_1} y_2^{b_2 + b'_2} z_1^{c_1 + c'_1} z_2^{c_2 + c'_2} \\ &= \lambda \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}'}, \quad \text{where} \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{k}. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence of Proposition 3.1, one has that $(z_1^{c_1} z_2^{c_2}) x_1^{a_1} = \mu_1 x_1^{a_1} (z_1^{c_1} z_2^{c_2})$, with $\mu_1 \in \mathbb{k}$ and $(y_1^{b_1} y_2^{b_2}) x_1^{a_1} = \mu_2 x_1^{a_1} (y_1^{b_1} y_2^{b_2})$ with $\mu_2 \in \mathbb{k}$ because $c_1 + c_2, b_1 + b_2$ are both even. A similar result holds if we replace $x_1^{a_1}$ by $x_2^{a_2}$ in the above expression and we get new scalars μ'_1, μ'_2 . In the end we obtain the desired result with some scalars $\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu'_1 \mu'_2 \alpha^i \beta^j \gamma^t = \lambda$ for some integers i, j, t . If $a_1 \pm a_2$ and $a'_1 \pm a'_2$ are even, so also is $(a_1 + a'_1) \pm (a_2 + a'_2)$. A similar result holds for $b_1 \pm b_2$ and $c_1 \pm c_2$. If $a_1 - a_2 \equiv b_1 - b_2 + c_1 - c_2 \pmod{4}$ and $a'_1 - a'_2 \equiv b'_1 - b'_2 + c'_1 - c'_2 \pmod{4}$, it follows that $(a_1 + a'_1) - (a_2 + a'_2) \equiv (b_1 + b'_1) - (b_2 + b'_2) + (c_1 + c'_1) - (c_2 + c'_2) \pmod{4}$, so $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}' \in X$. \square

The following result concerns a noncommutative analogue of SAGBI bases, which will be useful for proving that we have found a generating set of $B^D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$.

Lemma 3.7. *Let A be an \mathbb{N} -graded algebra with PBW basis $\{\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}\}$. Fix a graded term order $<$ on the exponent vectors \mathbf{a} . Let $\mathcal{B} \subseteq A$ be a graded subalgebra of A and f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r be homogeneous elements of \mathcal{B} whose leading monomial has exponent vectors $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_r$ and such that the leading monomial of $f_1^{m_1} f_2^{m_2} \dots f_r^{m_r}$ is equal to $\mathbf{x}^{m_1 \mathbf{a}_1 + m_2 \mathbf{a}_2 + \dots + m_r \mathbf{a}_r}$ for some nonnegative integers m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r . Suppose that for every $g \in \mathcal{B}$, the exponent vector of the leading monomial of g is in the submonoid generated by $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_r$. Then f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r generate \mathcal{B} .*

Proof. Since \mathcal{B} is a graded subalgebra and the f_i are homogeneous, it suffices to assume that $g \in \mathcal{B}$ is homogeneous of degree d . We prove that g is in the subalgebra generated by f_1, \dots, f_r using induction on the (well-ordered) term order on exponent vectors.

By hypothesis, there exist nonnegative integers n_1, \dots, n_r such that the leading monomial of g is equal to the leading monomial of $f_1^{n_1} \dots f_r^{n_r}$. Therefore there exists a scalar λ such that $g - \lambda f_1^{n_1} \dots f_r^{n_r}$ has smaller leading monomial than g . We may repeat this process, which must terminate since the term order is graded. It follows that g is in the subalgebra generated by the f_i . \square

We remark that the term order appearing in the previous lemma need not be the same as the term order one uses to compute a Gröbner basis of the defining ideal of A . Indeed, this result is most useful when one has an algebra A with a PBW

basis $\{\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}\}$ such that the concept of “lead monomial” with respect to this basis of A is not well-behaved (i.e. the lead monomial of fg is not necessarily the product of the lead monomials of f and g), but the lead term *is* well-defined for elements of the subalgebra \mathcal{B} .

3.1. The special case of B with $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) = (1, 1, -1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$. First, note that in this case the action has a trivial homological determinant if we specify $\alpha = 1, \beta = 1$ and $\gamma = -1$. In this case, the values of the scalars $\lambda(\mathbf{a}, -)$ obtained from the action of elements of \mathfrak{D}_4 on a monomial $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} = x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} y_1^{b_1} y_2^{b_2} z_1^{c_1} z_2^{c_2}$ satisfying the conditions of Proposition 3.1 are given by

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda(\mathbf{a}, r) &= (-1)^{a_1+c_1c_2} \mathfrak{i}^{c_1+c_2} = (-1)^{a_1+c_1} \mathfrak{i}^{c_1+c_2}, \\ \lambda(\mathbf{a}, s) &= (-1)^{a_1+c_1+c_1c_2} \mathfrak{i}^{a_1+a_2} = (-1)^{a_1} \mathfrak{i}^{a_1+a_2}, \\ \lambda(\mathbf{a}, rs) &= (-1)^{c_1} \mathfrak{i}^{c_1+c_2+a_1+a_2} = (-1)^{c_1} \mathfrak{i}^{c_1+c_2+a_1+a_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by equations (3.5) and (3.8), we need only consider invariants of the form

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} f_{\mathbf{a}} &= \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} + \lambda(\mathbf{a}, r)\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}\cdot r} + \lambda(\mathbf{a}, s)\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}\cdot s} + \lambda(\mathbf{a}, rs)\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}\cdot rs} \\ &= x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} y_1^{b_1} y_2^{b_2} z_1^{c_1} z_2^{c_2} + (-1)^{a_1+c_1} \mathfrak{i}^{c_1+c_2} x_1^{a_2} x_2^{a_1} y_1^{b_2} y_2^{b_1} z_1^{c_2} z_2^{c_1} \\ &\quad + (-1)^{a_1} \mathfrak{i}^{a_1+a_2} x_1^{a_2} x_2^{a_1} y_1^{b_2} y_2^{b_1} z_1^{c_2} z_2^{c_1} \\ &\quad + (-1)^{c_1} \mathfrak{i}^{c_1+c_2+a_1+a_2} x_1^{a_2} x_2^{a_1} y_1^{b_1} y_2^{b_2} z_1^{c_1} z_2^{c_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we record the following result which shows that there are no new generators for the invariant subring $B^{D(\mathfrak{D}_4)}$ beyond degree 14 in this case. The result is a consequence of a generalized version of an upper bound due to Broer that appears in [KKZ1, Lemma 2.2].

Lemma 3.10. *The degree of the generators of the invariant subring $B^{D(\mathfrak{D}_4)}$ is bounded by 14 if $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) = (1, 1, -1, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)$ and $u_3^{16} = u_4^{16}$.*

Proof. The Drinfeld double $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ is a semisimple Hopf algebra acting on B whose dimension is 6. It can be verified that the subalgebra $C = \mathbb{k}[y_2 y_1, z_2 z_1, x_2^2 x_1^2, x_1^4 + x_2^4, y_1^4 + y_2^4, z_1^4 + z_2^4]$ of the invariant subring $B^{D(\mathfrak{D}_4)}$ is an iterated Ore extension if $u_3^{16} = u_4^{16}$. Indeed, the elements $f_1 = y_2 y_1, f_2 = z_2 z_1, f_3 = x_2^2 x_1^2, f_4 = x_1^4 + x_2^4, f_5 = y_1^4 + y_2^4, f_6 = z_1^4 + z_2^4$ satisfy $f_i f_j = \alpha_{ij} f_j f_i$ for some scalars α_{ij} for all $1 \leq i < j \leq 6$ except $(i, j) = (5, 6)$, which holds if and only if one has $u_3^{16} = u_4^{16}$. Therefore, by [KKZ1, Lemma 2.2], the degrees of a minimal set of generators of $B^{D(\mathfrak{D}_4)}$ is bounded by $\sum_{i=1}^n \deg(f_i) - \text{GKdim } B = 20 - 6 = 14$. \square

We now compute the invariant subring for the action of $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ on the algebra B in the case $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) = (1, 1, -1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$, so that B is the quotient of $\mathbb{k}\langle x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2 \rangle$ by the relations

$$\begin{aligned} &x_1 x_2 - x_2 x_1, \quad y_1 y_2 - y_2 y_1, \quad z_1 z_2 + z_2 z_1, \quad x_2 y_1 - y_1 x_1, \quad x_1 y_2 + y_2 x_2, \\ &x_2 y_2 + y_2 x_1, \quad x_1 y_1 - y_1 x_2, \quad x_2 z_1 - \mathfrak{i} z_1 x_1, \quad \mathfrak{i} x_1 z_2 - z_2 x_2, \quad \mathfrak{i} x_2 z_2 - z_2 x_1, \\ &x_1 z_1 - \mathfrak{i} z_1 x_2, \quad y_2 z_1 - z_1 y_2, \quad y_1 z_2 - z_2 y_1, \quad y_1 z_1 - z_1 y_1, \quad y_2 z_2 - z_2 y_2. \end{aligned}$$

The remainder of this section is devoted to proving the following theorem:

Theorem 3.11. *Let $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ act on the algebra B as in the statement of Theorem 2.4, with $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) = (1, 1, -1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$. Then the following elements minimally generate $B^{D(\mathfrak{D}_4)}$ as a \mathbb{k} -algebra:*

	<i>Generators</i>
g_1	$y_1 y_2$
g_2	$z_1 z_2$
g_3	$x_1^2 x_2^2$
g_4	$x_1^4 + x_2^4$
g_5	$y_1^4 + y_2^4$
g_6	$z_1^4 + z_2^4$
g_7	$(x_1^2 - x_2^2)(y_1^2 - y_2^2)$
g_8	$(x_1^2 + x_2^2)(z_1^2 - z_2^2)$

	<i>Generators</i>
g_9	$(x_1 x_2)(x_1^4 - x_2^4)$
g_{10}	$(x_1 x_2)(x_1^2 + x_2^2)(y_1^2 - y_2^2)$
g_{11}	$(x_1 x_2)(x_1^2 - x_2^2)(z_1^2 - z_2^2)$
g_{12}	$(x_1 x_2)(y_1^2 z_1^2 + y_2^2 z_2^2)$
g_{13}	$(x_1 x_2)(y_1^2 z_2^2 + y_2^2 z_1^2)$
g_{14}	$(x_1^4 - x_2^4)(y_1^2 z_2^2 + y_2^2 z_1^2)$
g_{15}	$(x_1^2 + x_2^2)(y_1^4 z_2^2 - y_2^4 z_1^2)$
g_{16}	$(x_1^2 - x_2^2)(y_1^2 z_2^4 - y_2^2 z_1^4)$
g_{17}	$y_1^4 z_2^4 + y_2^4 z_1^4$

We begin with a brief discussion of the proof strategy. First, a direct calculation using the action of r and s given by the matrices (2.5) shows that the elements g_i are all invariant under the \mathfrak{D}_4 action and are also of identity group grade. By Lemma 3.7, it is enough to show that any invariant has the same leading monomial as some other element in the subalgebra generated by the g_i . By the discussion after Definition 3.4, it suffices to prove that this holds for invariants of the form $f_{\mathbf{a}}$ for $\mathbf{a} \in X$. Also, by Lemma 3.10 it suffices to show prove that this holds for those elements $f_{\mathbf{a}}$ of degree at most 14. Next, we shrink the set X we must consider by using the generators g_1, g_2 and g_3 . We finally conclude with a case-by-case analysis of the exponent vectors remaining.

We now give the following lemma, which will aid us in the proof of the proposition that follows.

Lemma 3.12. *Let B be a domain, and let G be a group. Let $f, g, h \in B$ with $f = gh$ and $g \neq 0$.*

- (1) *If G acts on B and $f, g \in B^G$, then $h \in B^G$.*
- (2) *If B is G -graded and $f, g \in B_e$ then $h \in B_e$.*

In particular, if $D(G)$ acts on B and $f, g \in B^{D(G)}$, then $h \in B^{D(G)}$.

Proof. First the first claim, note that if $f = gh$ and g are both in B^G , then for any $v \in G$, one has that

$$0 = f - f = gh - (gh) \cdot v = (g \cdot v)(h \cdot v) - gh = g(h \cdot v - h)$$

so that the result follows if B is a domain.

For the second claim, write $f = f_e$, $g = g_e$ and $h = \sum_{w \in G} h_w$. Then $gh = g_e \sum_{w \in G} h_w = \sum_{w \in G} g_e h_w = f_e$. This implies that for every $w \neq e$, one has $g_e h_w = 0$ and hence $h_w = 0$ for $w \neq e$ as desired. The final remark follows from Lemma 1.9. \square

Proposition 3.13. *Let $\mathbf{a} \in X$. Then $f_{\mathbf{a}} = \pm m f_{\mathbf{a}'}$ for some monomial invariant m in the subalgebra generated by g_1, g_2 and g_3 , and $\mathbf{a}' \in (X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3 \cup X_4) \subseteq X$ where*

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= \{(2a, 0, 2b, 0, 2c, 0) \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}\}, \\ X_2 &= \{(2a, 0, 2b, 0, 0, 2c) \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}, b, c > 0\}, \\ X_3 &= \{(2a - 1, 1, 2b, 0, 2c, 0) \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}, a > 0\}, \text{ and} \\ X_4 &= \{(2a - 1, 1, 2b, 0, 0, 2c) \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}, a, b, c > 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{a} \in X$. Recall that $f_{\mathbf{a}}$ as defined below is an invariant:

$$f_{\mathbf{a}} = \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} + \lambda(\mathbf{a}, r)\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}\cdot r} + \lambda(\mathbf{a}, s)\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}\cdot s} + \lambda(\mathbf{a}, rs)\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}\cdot rs}.$$

Since $a_1 \geq a_2$, the monomial $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$ can be written as

$$\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} = x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} y_1^{b_1} y_2^{b_2} z_1^{c_1} z_2^{c_2} = \begin{cases} (x_1^2 x_2^2)^{\frac{a_2}{2}} (x_1^{a_1-a_2} y_1^{b_1} y_2^{b_2} z_1^{c_1} z_2^{c_2}) & \text{if } a_2 \text{ is even,} \\ (x_1^2 x_2^2)^{\frac{a_2-1}{2}} (x_1^{a_1-a_2+1} x_2 y_1^{b_1} y_2^{b_2} z_1^{c_1} z_2^{c_2}) & \text{if } a_2 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Since $a_1 - a_2$ is even, the exponent vector of the right factor in the above expressions is either $(2a, 0, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2)$ for some $a \in \mathbb{N}$, or $(2a-1, 1, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2)$ for some $a \in \mathbb{N}$ with $a > 0$. Note that since $x_1^2 x_2^2$ is an invariant but $x_1 x_2$ is not, we must consider the case that there is a nonzero value in the x_2 coordinate of the exponent.

Next, since $b_1 \geq b_2$ and since $y_1 y_2$ is an invariant, we may factor out all y_2 terms by removing the corresponding y_1 term to the left of the monomial. We therefore have the factorizations:

$$\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} = \begin{cases} (x_1^2 x_2^2)^{\frac{a_2}{2}} (y_1 y_2)^{b_2} (x_1^{a_1-a_2} y_1^{b_1-b_2} z_1^{c_1} z_2^{c_2}) & \text{if } a_2 \text{ is even,} \\ (-1)^{b_2} (x_1^2 x_2^2)^{\frac{a_2-1}{2}} (y_1 y_2)^{b_2} (x_1^{a_1-a_2+1} x_2 y_1^{b_1-b_2} z_1^{c_1} z_2^{c_2}) & \text{if } a_2 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Finally, we may use a power of $z_1 z_2$ to reduce to the case when there is only one nonzero component in the last two components of the exponents we must consider. Indeed, we also have the following factorizations, where we have replaced $y_1 y_2$, $z_1 z_2$, and $x_1^2 x_2^2$ by g_1, g_2 and g_3 , respectively, in order to conserve space:

$$\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\binom{c_2}{2}} g_3^{\frac{a_2}{2}} g_1^{b_2} g_2^{c_2} (x_1^{a_1-a_2} y_1^{b_1-b_2} z_1^{c_1-c_2}) & \text{if } a_2 \text{ is even, } c_1 \geq c_2, \\ (-1)^{\binom{c_1}{2}} g_3^{\frac{a_2}{2}} g_1^{b_2} g_2^{c_1} (x_1^{a_1-a_2} y_1^{b_1-b_2} z_2^{c_2-c_1}) & \text{if } a_2 \text{ is even, } c_1 > c_2, \\ (-1)^{b_2+\binom{c_2}{2}} g_3^{\frac{a_2-1}{2}} g_1^{b_2} g_2^{c_2} (x_1^{a_1-a_2+1} x_2 y_1^{b_1-b_2} z_1^{c_1-c_2}) & \text{if } a_2 \text{ is odd, } c_1 \geq c_2, \\ (-1)^{b_2+\binom{c_1}{2}} g_3^{\frac{a_2-1}{2}} g_1^{b_2} g_2^{c_1} (x_1^{a_1-a_2+1} x_2 y_1^{b_1-b_2} z_2^{c_2-c_1}) & \text{if } a_2 \text{ is odd, } c_1 > c_2. \end{cases}$$

Now let m be the monomial invariant which is the product of the powers of g_1, g_2, g_3 appearing as the left factor in the previous display, and let \mathbf{a}' be the exponent vector of the remaining factor on the right. Note that $\mathbf{a}' \in X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3 \cup X_4$ as in the statement of the theorem. One checks that $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}\cdot g} = \pm m \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}'\cdot g}$ for all g in \mathfrak{D}_4 , and that the sign that appears is independent of g . Hence one has

$$f_{\mathbf{a}} = \pm m (x^{\mathbf{a}'} + \lambda(\mathbf{a}, r)x^{\mathbf{a}'\cdot r} + \lambda(\mathbf{a}, s)x^{\mathbf{a}'\cdot s} + \lambda(\mathbf{a}, rs)x^{\mathbf{a}'\cdot rs}).$$

Remark 3.12 shows that the parenthesized expression on the right is an invariant, and, in particular, it is equal to $f_{\mathbf{a}'}$. \square

It now suffices to show that given any $\mathbf{a} \in X_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, 4$ such that the degree of $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$ is at most 14, there exists an invariant generated by those elements in Theorem 3.11 whose lead term is $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$. We proceed with a case-by-case analysis.

Case 1: *Exponent vector is in X_1 , so has form $(2a, 0, 2b, 0, 2c, 0)$ for some $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$:* In this case, the invariant is given by

$$(3.14) \quad f_{\mathbf{a}} = x_1^{2a} y_1^{2b} z_1^{2c} + (-1)^c x_2^a y_2^{2b} z_2^{2c} + (-1)^a x_1^{2a} y_2^{2b} z_2^{2c} + (-1)^{a+c} x_2^{2a} y_1^{2b} z_1^{2c}.$$

Recall that a and $b+c$ have the same parity based on the definition of $X_1 \subseteq X$. The following table gives the generators that we will use in this case:

	Generators of invariant ring	Exponent vector of lead monomial
g_4	$x_1^4 + x_2^4$	$(4, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$
g_5	$y_1^4 + y_2^4$	$(0, 0, 4, 0, 0, 0)$
g_6	$z_1^4 + z_2^4$	$(0, 0, 0, 0, 4, 0)$
g_7	$(x_1^2 - x_2^2)(y_1^2 - y_2^2)$	$(2, 0, 2, 0, 0, 0)$
g_8	$(x_1^2 + x_2^2)(z_1^2 - z_2^2)$	$(2, 0, 0, 0, 2, 0)$

If a, b and c are even, use g_4, g_5 and g_6 to create an element whose lead term is $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$. In this case, for example, the lead monomial of $f_{\mathbf{a}}$ has exponent vector $(4a', 0, 4b', 0, 4c', 0)$ which can be written as a nonnegative integer combination of the exponent vectors of lead monomials of g_4, g_5, g_6 , namely $a'(4, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) + b'(0, 0, 4, 0, 0, 0) + c'(0, 0, 0, 0, 4, 0)$.

If a is even, and b and c are odd, then $a = 0$ is not possible, otherwise, $f_{\mathbf{a}} = 0$. Write $a = 2a', b = 2b' + 1, c = 2c' + 1$, so the lead monomial of $f_{\mathbf{a}}$ has exponent vector $(4a', 0, 4b' + 2, 0, 4c' + 2, 0)$, which can be written as a nonnegative integer combination of the exponent vectors of the lead monomials of g_4, g_7, g_8, g_5 and g_6 , namely $(a' - 1)(4, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) + (4, 0, 2, 0, 2, 0) + b'(0, 0, 4, 0, 0, 0) + c'(0, 0, 0, 0, 4, 0)$.

If a is odd, b even and c odd, write $a = 2a' + 1, b = 2b', c = 2c' + 1$, so the lead monomial of $f_{\mathbf{a}}$ has exponent vector $(4a' + 2, 0, 4b', 0, 4c' + 2, 0)$ which can be written as a nonnegative integer combination of the lead monomials of g_4, g_5, g_6, g_8 . That is: $a'(4, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) + b'(0, 0, 4, 0, 0, 0) + c'(0, 0, 0, 0, 4, 0) + (2, 0, 0, 0, 2, 0)$.

If a is odd, b odd and c even, write $a = 2a' + 1, b = 2b' + 1, c = 2c'$, so the lead monomial of $f_{\mathbf{a}}$ has exponent vector $(4a' + 2, 0, 4b' + 2, 0, 4c', 0)$ which can be written as a nonnegative integer combination of the lead monomials of g_4, g_5, g_6, g_7 . That is: $a'(4, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) + b'(0, 0, 4, 0, 0, 0) + c'(0, 0, 0, 0, 4, 0) + (2, 0, 2, 0, 0, 0)$.

Case 2: Exponent vector is in X_2 , so has form $(2a, 0, 2b, 0, 0, 2c)$ with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b, c > 0$: In this case, the invariant is given by

$$f_{\mathbf{a}} = x_1^{2a} y_1^{2b} z_2^{2c} + (-1)^c x_2^{2a} y_2^{2b} z_1^{2c} + (-1)^a x_1^{2a} y_2^{2b} z_1^{2c} + (-1)^{a+c} x_2^{2a} y_1^{2b} z_2^{2c}.$$

Once again, we have that a and $b + c$ have the same parity based on the definition of $X_2 \subseteq X$. The following table gives the possible generators we will use to produce an invariant whose lead term is $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$.

	Generators used	Exponent vector
g_4	$x_1^4 + x_2^4$	$(4, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$
g_5	$y_1^4 + y_2^4$	$(0, 0, 4, 0, 0, 0)$
g_6	$(x_1^2 - x_2^2)(y_1^2 - y_2^2)$	$(2, 0, 2, 0, 0, 0)$
g_{14}	$(x_1^4 - x_2^4)(y_1^2 z_2^2 + y_2^2 z_1^2)$	$(4, 0, 2, 0, 0, 2)$
g_{15}	$(x_1^4 + x_2^4)(y_1^4 z_2^2 - y_2^4 z_1^2)$	$(2, 0, 4, 0, 0, 2)$
g_{16}	$(x_1^2 - x_2^2)(y_1^2 z_2^4 - y_2^2 z_1^4)$	$(2, 0, 2, 0, 0, 4)$
g_{17}	$y_1^4 z_2^4 + y_2^4 z_1^4$	$(0, 0, 4, 0, 0, 4)$

We will also require the following invariants in order to obtain some other exponent vectors. While they are not generators, they are in the subalgebra generated by them, as one can check with the equations which follow the table.

	Other invariants used	Exponent vector
g_{18}	$y_1^4 z_2^8 + y_2^4 z_1^8$	$(0, 0, 4, 0, 0, 8)$
g_{19}	$(x_1^4 - x_2^4)(y_1^2 z_2^6 + y_2^2 z_1^6)$	$(4, 0, 2, 0, 0, 6)$
g_{20}	$(x_1^2 + x_2^2)(y_1^4 z_2^6 - y_2^4 z_1^6)$	$(2, 0, 4, 0, 0, 6)$

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{18} &= g_{17}g_6 - g_5g_2^4, \\
g_{19} &= g_{14}g_6 + g_7g_8g_2^2 + g_{14}g_2^2, \\
g_{20} &= g_{15}g_6 + g_8g_5g_2^2 + g_{15}g_2^2.
\end{aligned}$$

If a is even, and b and c are even, we will consider the cases in which $b < c$, $b = c$, $b > c$. If $b = c$, use g_4 and g_{17} to create an invariant whose lead term is $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$. If $b > c$, use g_{17} repeatedly to match the exponent for z_2 , then use g_4 and g_5 for the remainder of the exponents present to create an invariant whose lead term is $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$. If $b < c$, use g_4 to obtain the exponent for x_1 . To handle the remaining exponent, since $b > 0$, the only exponent vector of this type of degree less or equal to 14 is $(0, 0, 4, 0, 0, 8)$ which is the lead term of g_{18} .

If a is even, and b and c are odd; then $a = 0$ is not possible, otherwise, $f_{\mathbf{a}} = 0$. The exponent vector is therefore of the form $(4a', 0, 4b' + 2, 0, 0, 4c' + 2)$. If $b', c' > 0$, then we may use g_{14} to reduce the exponent to one handled by the previous bullet paragraph. If $c' = 0$, then we may use g_4, g_5 and g_{14} to create an invariant of the desired exponent. This leaves us with the case $b' = 0$ and $c' \neq 0$, which would imply that $a' + c' \leq 2$. Since $a' > 0$, our degree restriction leaves us only the case $a' = c' = 1$ which gives exponent vector $(4, 0, 2, 0, 0, 6)$ which is covered by g_{19} .

If a is odd, b even and c odd, we know that since $b > 0$, the lead monomial has exponent vector $(2a, 0, 2b, 0, 0, 2c) = (4a' + 2, 0, 4b', 0, 0, 4c' + 2)$. There are only four cases of such exponents with total degree less than or equal to 14. These are $(2, 0, 4, 0, 0, 2)$, $(2, 0, 4, 0, 0, 6)$, $(6, 0, 4, 0, 0, 2)$ and $(2, 0, 8, 0, 0, 2)$. Such exponents may be obtained by using g_4, g_5, g_{15} and g_{20} .

If a is odd, b odd and c even, write $a = 2a' + 1, b = 2b' + 1, c = 2c'$, so that the lead monomial of $f_{\mathbf{a}}$ has exponent vector $(4a' + 2, 0, 4b' + 2, 0, 0, 4c')$. Various cases arise here such that the total degree is less than or equal to 14, which are obtained by using g_4, g_5, g_6 or g_{16} .

Case 3: Exponent vector is in X_3 , so has form $(2a - 1, 1, 2b, 0, 2c, 0)$: In this case a and $b + c$ have opposite parity, $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$ with $a > 0$, and $f_{\mathbf{a}}$ is:

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{\mathbf{a}} &= x_1^{2a-1} x_2 y_1^{2b} z_1^{2c} - (-1)^c x_1 x_2^{2a-1} y_2^{2b} z_2^{2c} - \\
&\quad (-1)^a x_1^{2a-1} x_2 y_2^{2b} z_2^{2c} + (-1)^{a+c} x_1 x_2^{2a-1} y_1^{2b} z_1^{2c}.
\end{aligned}$$

The following table gives the possible generators we will use in this case:

	Generators used	Exponent vector
g_9	$(x_1 x_2)(x_1^4 - x_2^4)$	$(5, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)$
g_{10}	$(x_1 x_2)(x_1^2 + x_2^2)(y_1^2 - y_2^2)$	$(3, 1, 2, 0, 0, 0)$
g_{11}	$(x_1 x_2)(x_1^2 - x_2^2)(z_1^2 - z_2^2)$	$(3, 1, 0, 0, 2, 0)$
g_{12}	$(x_1 x_2)(y_1^2 z_1^2 + y_2^2 z_2^2)$	$(1, 1, 2, 0, 2, 0)$

If $b = 0$ and $c = 0$, then a must be odd, say $a = 2a' - 1$. Having $a = 1$ forces $f_{\mathbf{a}} = 0$ so we assume that $a' \geq 2$. The exponent vector is therefore $(4a' - 3, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0) = (5, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0) + (4a' - 8, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$. The former exponent is g_9 , and the latter exponent is a multiple of the exponent of g_4 .

If b and c are both positive, then use g_{12} and we are left with a term having lead monomial in the form $(2a - 2, 0, 2b - 2, 0, 2c - 2, 0)$ which has been considered in Case 1.

If $b > 0, c = 0$ and $a = 1$, then the orbit sum $f_{\mathbf{a}} = 0$. So we can assume $a > 1$. Using g_{10} first, we are left with a leading monomial of the form $(2(a - 2), 0, 2(b -$

1), 0, 0, 0). Since $a - 2$ and $b - 1$ are the same parity, this case has been treated in the first or fourth paragraph of Case 1 taking $c = 0$.

If $b = 0$ and $c > 0$ and $a = 1$, the orbit sum $f_{\mathbf{a}} = 0$, so we can assume $a > 1$. Using g_{11} , we are left with a leading monomial of the form $(2(a - 2), 0, 0, 0, 2(c - 1), 0)$. Since $a - 2$ and $c - 1$ are the same parity, this case has been treated in the first or third paragraph of Case 1 taking $b = 0$.

Case 4: *Exponent vector is in X_4 so has form $(2a - 1, 1, 2b, 0, 0, 2c)$:* In this case, a and $b + c$ have opposite parity, a, b, c are positive integers, and $f_{\mathbf{a}}$ is

$$f_{\mathbf{a}} = x_1^{2a-1} x_2 y_1^{2b} z_2^{2c} - (-1)^c x_1 x_2^{2a-1} y_2^{2b} z_1^{2c} - (-1)^a x_1^{2a-1} x_2 y_2^{2b} z_1^{2c} - (-1)^{a+c} x_1 x_2^{2a-1} y_1^{2b} z_2^{2c}.$$

Since c is positive, we may use g_{13} and we are left with a term having lead monomial in the form $(2a - 2, 0, 2b - 2, 0, 0, 2c - 2)$ which has been considered in Case 2 if $b \geq 2$. If $b = 1$, then the exponent vector is of the form $(2a - 1, 1, 2, 0, 0, 2c - 2)$. There are four exponent vectors of this form of degree less than or equal to 14 that may not be obtained using the exponent vectors of the lead terms of our given generators, and they are given by the following invariants:

	Other Invariants	Exponent vector of lead monomial
g_{21}	$(x_1 x_2)(x_1^2 + x_2^2)(y_1^2 z_2^4 - y_2^2 z_1^4)$	$(3, 1, 2, 0, 0, 4)$
g_{22}	$(x_1 x_2)(y_1^2 z_2^6 + y_2^2 z_1^6)$	$(1, 1, 2, 0, 0, 6)$
g_{23}	$(x_1 x_2)(x_1^2 + x_2^2)(y_1^2 z_2^8 - y_2^2 z_1^8)$	$(3, 1, 2, 0, 0, 8)$
g_{24}	$(x_1 x_2)(y_1^2 z_2^{10} + y_2^2 z_1^{10})$	$(1, 1, 2, 0, 0, 10)$

Each of these elements are in the subalgebra of the invariant ring generated by the elements in Theorem 3.11. Indeed, one has that:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{21} &= \frac{1}{2}(g_{10}g_6 - g_8g_{12} - g_8g_{13}), \\ g_{22} &= g_{13}g_6 + g_{12}g_2^2, \\ g_{23} &= g_{21}g_6 - g_{10}g_2^4, \\ g_{24} &= g_{22}g_6 - g_{13}g_2^4. \end{aligned}$$

This completes our proof of Theorem 3.11.

Since we chose parameters so that the homological determinant of the action of $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ on B is trivial, the following corollary follows from [KKZ0].

Corollary 3.15. *The ring of invariants $B^{D(\mathfrak{D}_4)}$ is an Artin-Schelter Gorenstein algebra.*

4. $D(\mathfrak{D}_8)$ THE CASE $n = 4$: THE DICYCLIC GROUP OF ORDER 16

We next consider the dicyclic group of order 16. Using the results of [W1, W2] we see that there are 46 simple modules V_i , for $i = 0, \dots, 45$. Table 6 shows the conjugacy classes (with choice of representative a) with centralizers, simple modules and transversals for each conjugacy class.

TABLE 6. Simple $D(\mathfrak{D}_8)$ -modules

Conj class	$C_G(a)$	Rep $C_G(a)$	transveral
$\{a = e\}$	\mathfrak{D}_8	$\psi_0, \psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3, \chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3$ $V_0, V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4, V_5, V_6$	$\{e\}$
$\{a = r^4\}$	\mathfrak{D}_8	$\psi_0, \psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3, \chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3$ $V_7, V_8, V_9, V_{10}, V_{11}, V_{12}, V_{13}$	$\{e\}$
$\{a = r, r^7\}$	$\mathbb{Z}_8 = \langle r \rangle$	$\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_6, \alpha_7$ $V_{14}, V_{15}, V_{16}, V_{17}, V_{18}, V_{19}, V_{20}, V_{21}$	$\{e, s\}$
$\{a = r^2, r^6\}$	$\mathbb{Z}_8 = \langle r \rangle$	$\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, \beta_5, \beta_6, \beta_7$ $V_{22}, V_{23}, V_{24}, V_{25}, V_{26}, V_{27}, V_{28}, V_{29}$	$\{e, s\}$
$\{a = r^3, r^5\}$	$\mathbb{Z}_8 = \langle r \rangle$	$\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4, \gamma_5, \gamma_6, \gamma_7$ $V_{30}, V_{31}, V_{32}, V_{33}, V_{34}, V_{35}, V_{36}, V_{37}$	$\{e, s\}$
$\{a = s, sr^2, sr^4, sr^6\}$	$\mathbb{Z}_4 = \langle s \rangle$	$\tau_0, \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3$ $V_{38}, V_{39}, V_{40}, V_{41}$	$\{e, r, r^2, r^3\}$
$\{a = sr, sr^3, sr^5, sr^7\}$	$\mathbb{Z}_4 = \langle sr \rangle$	$\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$ $V_{42}, V_{43}, V_{44}, V_{45}$	$\{e, r, r^2, r^3\}$

In Table 6, we use the following notation. We let ψ_0 be the trivial representation of \mathfrak{D}_8 , ψ_1 the representation of \mathfrak{D}_8 given by $t \cdot r = t$ and $t \cdot s = -t$, ψ_2 the representation of \mathfrak{D}_8 given by $t \cdot r = -t$ and $t \cdot s = t$, and ψ_3 the representation of \mathfrak{D}_8 given by $t \cdot r = -t = t \cdot s$. Letting $\omega = e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}}$, we have three two-dimensional irreducible representations for \mathfrak{D}_8 which given by

Representation	Generator	r	s
χ_1	u	$\omega^2 u$	v
	v	$\omega^6 u$	u
χ_2	u	$\omega^3 u$	$-v$
	v	$\omega^5 u$	u
χ_3	u	ωu	$-v$
	v	$\omega^7 u$	u

In addition, α_i has the action $t \cdot (r^l) = \omega^{il} t$, β_i has the action $t \cdot (r^l) = \omega^{il} t$ and γ_i has the action $t \cdot (r^l) = \omega^{il} t$ for $0 \leq i \leq 7$, $0 \leq l \leq 7$. Finally, τ_j has the action $t \cdot (s^m) = \omega^{j m} t$ and σ_j has the action $t \cdot ((sr)^m) = \omega^{j m} t$ for $0 \leq j \leq 3$, $0 \leq m \leq 3$.

Table 7 describes the action of $D(\mathfrak{D}_8)$ on its simple modules. By Notation 1.8, these are given by representations of the centralizers of elements of \mathfrak{D}_8 induced to representations of \mathfrak{D}_8 . In Table 7, we use the order that appears in Table 6.

In Table 8 we give the decomposition of $V_a \otimes V_b$, $a, b \in \{32, 37, 45\}$. The tensor products have been suppressed in the generators, and the generators are listed in the same order as they appear in Table 7.

TABLE 7. Explicit action of $D(\mathfrak{D}_8)$ on its simple modules

Class	V_i	Gen.	Gr.	r	s
[e]	V_0	u	e	u	u
	V_1	u	e	u	$-u$
	V_2	u	e	$-u$	u
	V_3	u	e	$-u$	$-u$
	V_4	u	e	$\omega^2 u$	v
	V_5	v	e	$\omega^6 v$	u
	V_6	u	e	$\omega^3 u$	$-v$
	V_6	v	e	$\omega^5 v$	u
[r^4]	V_7	u	r^4	u	u
	V_8	u	r^4	u	$-u$
	V_9	u	r^4	$-u$	u
	V_{10}	u	r^4	$-u$	$-u$
	V_{11}	u	r^4	$\omega^2 u$	v
	V_{12}	v	r^4	$\omega^6 v$	u
	V_{13}	u	r^4	$\omega^3 u$	$-v$
	V_{13}	v	r^4	$\omega^5 v$	u
[r]	V_{14}	u	r	u	v
	V_{15}	v	r^7	v	u
	V_{16}	u	r	$\omega^2 u$	v
	V_{17}	v	r^7	$\omega^6 v$	u
	V_{18}	u	r	$\omega^3 u$	v
	V_{19}	v	r^7	$\omega^5 v$	$-u$
	V_{20}	u	r	$\omega^0 u$	v
	V_{21}	v	r^7	$\omega^2 v$	u
	V_{21}	u	r	$\omega^4 u$	v
	V_{21}	v	r^7	ωv	$-u$
[r^2]	V_{22}	u	r^2	u	v
	V_{23}	v	r^6	v	u
	V_{24}	u	r^2	ωu	v
	V_{25}	v	r^6	$\omega^7 v$	$-u$
	V_{26}	u	r^2	$\omega^2 u$	v
	V_{27}	v	r^6	$\omega^6 v$	u
	V_{28}	u	r^2	$\omega^3 u$	v
	V_{29}	v	r^6	$\omega^5 v$	$-u$
	V_{30}	u	r^2	$-u$	v
	V_{31}	v	r^6	$-v$	u
	V_{32}	u	r^2	$\omega^3 u$	v
V_{33}	v	r^6	$\omega^3 v$	$-u$	
V_{34}	u	r^2	$\omega^0 u$	v	
V_{35}	v	r^6	$\omega^2 v$	u	
V_{36}	u	r^2	$\omega^4 u$	v	
V_{37}	v	r^6	ωv	$-u$	
[r^3]	V_{30}	u	r^3	u	v
	V_{31}	v	r^5	v	u
	V_{32}	u	r^3	ωu	v
	V_{33}	v	r^5	$\omega^7 v$	$-u$
	V_{34}	u	r^3	$\omega^2 u$	v
	V_{35}	v	r^5	$\omega^6 v$	u
	V_{36}	u	r^3	$\omega^3 u$	v
V_{37}	v	r^5	$\omega^5 v$	$-u$	
[s]	V_{38}	u	s	v	u
	V_{39}	v	sr^2	p	q
	V_{40}	p	sr^4	q	p
	V_{41}	q	sr^6	u	v
	V_{42}	u	sr	v	iq
	V_{43}	v	sr^2	p	$-iq$
	V_{44}	p	sr^4	q	$-ip$
[sr]	V_{45}	q	sr^6	$-u$	$-iv$
	V_{46}	u	sr	v	$-u$
	V_{47}	v	sr^2	p	$-q$
	V_{48}	p	sr^4	q	$-p$
	V_{49}	q	sr^6	u	$-v$
	V_{50}	u	sr	v	$-iu$
	V_{51}	v	sr^2	p	iq
[sr^2]	V_{52}	p	sr^4	q	ip
	V_{53}	q	sr^6	$-u$	iv
	V_{54}	u	sr	v	q
	V_{55}	v	sr^3	p	p
	V_{56}	p	sr^5	q	v
	V_{57}	q	sr^7	u	u
	V_{58}	u	sr	v	$-iq$
[sr^3]	V_{59}	v	sr^3	p	$-ip$
	V_{60}	p	sr^5	q	$-iv$
	V_{61}	q	sr^7	$-u$	$-iu$
	V_{62}	u	sr	v	$-q$
	V_{63}	v	sr^3	p	$-p$
	V_{64}	p	sr^5	q	$-v$
	V_{65}	q	sr^7	$-u$	$-u$
[sr^4]	V_{66}	u	sr	v	iq
	V_{67}	v	sr^3	p	ip
	V_{68}	p	sr^5	q	iv
	V_{69}	q	sr^7	$-u$	iu
	V_{70}	u	sr	v	q
	V_{71}	v	sr^3	p	p
	V_{72}	p	sr^5	q	v
[sr^5]	V_{73}	q	sr^7	u	u
	V_{74}	u	sr	v	$-iq$
	V_{75}	v	sr^3	p	$-ip$
	V_{76}	p	sr^5	q	$-iv$
	V_{77}	q	sr^7	$-u$	$-iu$
	V_{78}	u	sr	v	$-q$
	V_{79}	v	sr^3	p	$-p$
[sr^6]	V_{80}	p	sr^5	q	$-v$
	V_{81}	q	sr^7	$-u$	$-u$
	V_{82}	u	sr	v	iq
	V_{83}	v	sr^3	p	ip
	V_{84}	p	sr^5	q	iv
	V_{85}	q	sr^7	$-u$	iu
	V_{86}	u	sr	v	q
[sr^7]	V_{87}	v	sr^3	p	p
	V_{88}	p	sr^5	q	v
	V_{89}	q	sr^7	u	u
	V_{90}	u	sr	v	$-iq$
	V_{91}	v	sr^3	p	$-ip$
	V_{92}	p	sr^5	q	$-iv$
	V_{93}	q	sr^7	$-u$	$-iu$

TABLE 8. Decomposition of $(V_{32} \oplus V_{37} \oplus V_{45})^{\otimes 2}$

Tensor	V_i	Generators	Tensor	V_i	Generators	
$V_{32} \otimes V_{32}$	V_0	$y_1y_2 + y_2y_1$	$V_{45} \otimes V_{32}$	V_{39}	$x_2y_2 + x_3y_1$	
	V_1	$y_1y_2 - y_2y_1$			$-ix_3y_2 + ix_4y_1$	
	V_{26}	y_2^2 y_1^2			$-x_4y_2 + x_1y_1$	
$V_{37} \otimes V_{37}$	V_0	$z_1z_2 - z_2z_1$		V_{41}	$x_2y_2 - x_3y_1$	
	V_1	$z_1z_2 + z_2z_1$			$-ix_3y_2 - ix_4y_1$	
	V_{24}	z_2^2 z_1^2			$-x_4y_2 - x_1y_1$	
$V_{32} \otimes V_{37}$	V_5	y_2z_1 y_1z_2	$V_{45} \otimes V_{37}$	V_{38}	$x_2z_2 - \omega^2x_3z_1$	
	V_{29}	y_1z_1 y_2z_2			$\omega x_3z_2 - \omega x_4z_1$	
$V_{37} \otimes V_{32}$	V_5	z_1y_2 z_2y_1			V_{40}	$\omega^2x_4z_2 + x_1z_1$
	V_{29}	z_1y_1 z_2y_2		$-\omega^3x_1z_2 - \omega^3x_2z_1$		
$V_{32} \otimes V_{45}$	V_{39}	$y_1x_2 + y_2x_3$		$V_{37} \otimes V_{45}$		V_{38}
		$iy_1x_3 - iy_2x_4$			$\omega x_3z_2 + \omega x_4z_1$	
	$-y_1x_4 + y_2x_1$	V_{40}	$\omega^2x_4z_2 - x_1z_1$			
	$iy_1x_1 - iy_2x_2$		$-\omega^3x_1z_2 + \omega^3x_2z_1$			
V_{41}	$y_1x_2 - y_2x_3$	V_{38}	$z_1x_2 + \omega^2z_2x_3$			
	$iy_1x_3 + iy_2x_4$		$-\omega^3z_1x_3 + \omega^3z_2x_4$			
	$-y_1x_4 - y_2x_1$	V_{40}	$-\omega^2z_1x_4 + z_2x_1$			
	$iy_1x_1 + iy_2x_2$		$\omega z_1x_1 + \omega z_2x_2$			
			V_{40}	$z_1x_2 - \omega^2z_2x_3$		
				$-\omega^3z_1x_3 - \omega^3z_2x_4$		
				$-\omega^2z_1x_4 - z_2x_1$		
				$\omega z_1x_1 - \omega z_2x_2$		

Tensor	V_i	Generators
$V_{45} \otimes V_{45}$	V_0	$x_1x_3 + x_2x_4 - x_3x_1 - x_4x_2$
	V_3	$x_1x_3 - x_2x_4 - x_3x_1 + x_4x_2$
	V_4	$x_1x_3 + \omega^6x_2x_4 - \omega^4x_3x_1 - \omega^2x_4x_2$ $-x_4x_2 - \omega^6x_3x_1 + \omega^4x_2x_4 + \omega^2x_1x_3$
	V_8	$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2$
	V_9	$x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_3^2 - x_4^2$
	V_{11}	$x_1^2 + \omega^6x_2^2 + \omega^4x_3^2 + \omega^2x_4^2$ $-x_4^2 - \omega^6x_3^2 - \omega^4x_2^2 - \omega^2x_1^2$
	V_{22}	$x_1x_4 - x_2x_1 - x_3x_2 - x_4x_3$ $x_2x_3 + x_1x_2 - x_4x_1 + x_3x_4$
	V_{24}	$x_1x_4 - \omega^6x_2x_1 - \omega^4x_3x_2 - \omega^2x_4x_3$ $-x_4x_1 + \omega^6x_3x_4 + \omega^4x_2x_3 + \omega^2x_1x_2$
	V_{26}	$x_1x_4 + x_2x_1 - x_3x_2 + x_4x_3$ $x_2x_3 - x_1x_2 - x_4x_1 - x_3x_4$
	V_{28}	$x_1x_4 + \omega^6x_2x_1 - \omega^4x_3x_2 + \omega^2x_4x_3$ $-x_4x_1 - \omega^6x_3x_4 + \omega^4x_2x_3 - \omega^2x_1x_2$

We need the following lemma, whose proof is a computation using Table 7.

Lemma 4.1. *One has the following direct sum decompositions:*

$$\begin{aligned}
V_1 \otimes V_{45} &\cong V_{43}, \\
V_5 \otimes V_{45} &\cong V_{42} \oplus V_{44}, \\
V_{39} \otimes V_{45} &\cong V_{14} \oplus V_{16} \oplus V_{18} \oplus V_{20} \oplus V_{30} \oplus V_{32} \oplus V_{34} \oplus V_{36}, \\
V_{40} \otimes V_{45} &\cong V_{15} \oplus V_{17} \oplus V_{19} \oplus V_{21} \oplus V_{31} \oplus V_{33} \oplus V_{35} \oplus V_{37}, \\
V_{42} \otimes V_{45} &\cong V_5 \oplus V_6 \oplus V_{12} \oplus V_{13} \oplus V_{23} \oplus V_{25} \oplus V_{27} \oplus V_{29}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.2. *The representation $V = V_{32} \oplus V_{37} \oplus V_{45}$ is an inner faithful representation of $D(\mathfrak{D}_8)$.*

Proof. The simple modules

$$V_0, V_1, V_3, V_4, V_5, V_8, V_9, V_{11}, V_{22}, V_{24}, V_{26}, V_{28}, V_{29}, V_{38}, V_{39}, V_{40}, V_{41}$$

all appear in the decomposition of $V \otimes V$. The simple modules

$$V_{14}, V_{15}, V_{16}, V_{17}, V_{18}, V_{19}, V_{20}, V_{21}, V_{30}, V_{31}, V_{33}, V_{34}, V_{35}, V_{36}, V_{42}, V_{43}, V_{44}$$

all appear in the decomposition of $V_{39} \otimes V_{45}$, $V_{40} \otimes V_{45}$, $V_1 \otimes V_{45}$, and $V_5 \otimes V_{45}$, which appear in the decomposition of $V \otimes V \otimes V$. The remaining simple modules

$$V_2, V_6, V_7, V_{10}, V_{12}, V_{13}, V_{23}, V_{25}, V_{27}$$

all appear in the decomposition of $V_{42} \otimes V_{45}$ and $V_{43} \otimes V_{45}$, which appear in the decomposition of $V \otimes V \otimes V \otimes V$. \square

We now construct an algebra B on which $D(\mathfrak{D}_8)$ acts with degree one component equal to V . As in the decomposition tables above, we use x_1, \dots, x_4 for the basis of V_{45} , y_1, y_2 for the basis of V_{32} and z_1, z_2 for the basis of V_{37} . Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \{\pm 1\}$, let $u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 \in \mathbb{k}^*$, and let $\omega = e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}}$. Consider the following set of relations taken from the decomposition of $V \otimes V$ appearing in Table 9. The ‘‘Rep’’ column indicates which representation corresponds to the relations appearing in the ‘‘Relations’’ column. If multiple subscripts are given, then the representation depends on the choice of the parameter that appears in the relation column, with the first (respectively, second) integer corresponding to the parameter 1 (respectively, -1).

TABLE 9. Relations for an algebra with action of $D(\mathfrak{D}_8)$

Rep	Relations	Rep	Relations
V_4	$x_1x_3 + x_3x_1$	V_{38}	$(z_1x_2 + \omega^2z_2x_3) - u_4(x_2z_2 - \omega^2x_3z_1)$
	$x_2x_4 + x_4x_2$		$(-\omega^3z_1x_3 + \omega^3z_2x_4) - u_4(\omega x_3z_2 - \omega x_4z_1)$
$V_{22,26}$	$x_1x_4 - \alpha x_2x_1 - x_3x_2 - \alpha x_4x_3$		$(-\omega^2z_1x_4 + z_2x_1) - u_4(\omega^2x_4z_2 + x_1z_1)$
	$x_2x_3 + \alpha x_1x_2 - x_4x_1 + \alpha x_3x_4$		$(\omega z_1x_1 + \omega z_2x_2) - u_4(-\omega^3x_1z_2 - \omega^3x_2z_1)$
$V_{24,28}$	$x_1x_4 + \alpha i x_2x_1 + x_3x_2 - \alpha i x_4x_3$	V_{40}	$(z_1x_2 - \omega^2z_2x_3) - u_4(x_2z_2 + \omega^2x_3z_1)$
	$-x_4x_1 - \alpha i x_3x_4 - x_2x_3 + \alpha i x_1x_2$		$(-\omega^3z_1x_3 - \omega^3z_2x_4) - u_4(\omega x_3z_2 + \omega x_4z_1)$
$V_{1,0}$	$y_1y_2 - \beta y_2y_1$		$(-\omega^2z_1x_4 - z_2x_1) - u_4(\omega^2x_4z_2 - x_1z_1)$
$V_{0,1}$	$z_1z_2 - \gamma z_2z_1$		$(\omega z_1x_1 - \omega z_2x_2) - u_4(-\omega^3x_1z_2 + \omega^3x_2z_1)$
V_{39}	$(y_1x_2 + y_2x_3) - u_3(x_2y_2 + x_3y_1)$	V_{41}	$(y_1x_2 - y_2x_3) - u_3(x_2y_2 - x_3y_1)$
	$(iy_1x_3 - iy_2x_4) - u_3(-ix_3y_2 + ix_4y_1)$		$(iy_1x_3 + iy_2x_4) - u_3(-ix_3y_2 - ix_4y_1)$
	$(-y_1x_4 + y_2x_1) - u_3(-x_4y_2 + x_1y_1)$		$(-y_1x_4 - y_2x_1) - u_3(-x_4y_2 - x_1y_1)$
	$(iy_1x_1 - iy_2x_2) - u_3(-ix_1y_2 + ix_2y_1)$		$(iy_1x_1 + iy_2x_2) - u_3(-ix_1y_2 - ix_2y_1)$
V_5	$z_1y_2 - u_1y_2z_1$	V_{29}	$z_1y_1 - u_2y_1z_1$
	$z_2y_1 - u_1y_1z_2$		$z_2y_2 - u_2y_2z_2$

One sees that each of these relations correspond to a linear combination of generators of irreducible representations which appear in $V \otimes V$. Any linear combinations used follow the method in Lemma 1.6 to produce a copy of a subrepresentation W inside of $W \oplus W$. For example, this is used on all of the relations that use the parameters u_1, u_2, u_3 and u_4 . Specifically, since $V_{32} \otimes V_{45} \cong V_{45} \otimes V_{32} \cong V_{39} \oplus V_{41}$, there are two copies of V_{39} and V_{41} in $V \otimes V$. Our table identifies an isomorphism between these two copies of each of V_{39} and V_{41} , and we use the u_3 parameter to choose a particular copy of V_{39} inside of $V_{39} \oplus V_{39}$ and similarly for V_{41} .

Theorem 4.3. *Let $V = V_{32} \oplus V_{37} \oplus V_{45}$ be the representation of $D(\mathfrak{D}_8)$ given by the table on the left below:*

V_i	Gen.	Gr.	r	s
V_{32}	y_1	r^3	$\omega^2 y_1$	y_2
	y_2	r^5	$\omega^6 y_2$	y_1
V_{37}	z_1	r^3	$\omega^7 z_1$	z_2
	z_2	r^5	ωz_2	$-z_1$
V_{45}	x_1	sr	x_2	$\dot{i}x_4$
	x_2	sr^3	x_3	$\dot{i}x_3$
	x_3	sr^5	x_4	$\dot{i}x_2$
	x_4	sr^7	$-x_1$	$\dot{i}x_1$

Relations	
$y_1 y_2 - \beta y_2 y_1$	$z_1 z_2 - \gamma z_2 z_1$
$y_1 x_1 + u_3 x_1 y_2$	$y_2 x_1 - u_3 x_2 y_1$
$y_1 x_2 - u_3 x_2 y_2$	$y_2 x_2 + u_3 x_2 y_1$
$y_1 x_3 + u_3 x_3 y_2$	$y_2 x_3 - u_3 x_3 y_1$
$y_1 x_4 - u_3 x_4 y_2$	$y_2 x_4 + u_3 x_4 y_1$
$z_1 x_1 + u_4 \dot{i} x_1 z_2$	$z_2 x_1 - u_4 x_1 z_1$
$z_1 x_2 - u_4 x_2 z_2$	$z_2 x_2 + u_4 \dot{i} x_2 z_1$
$z_1 x_3 - u_4 \dot{i} x_3 z_2$	$z_2 x_3 + u_4 x_3 z_1$
$z_1 x_4 + u_4 x_4 z_2$	$z_2 x_4 - u_4 \dot{i} x_4 z_1$
$z_1 y_1 - u_2 y_1 z_1$	$z_2 y_1 - u_1 y_1 z_2$
$z_1 y_2 - u_1 y_2 z_1$	$z_2 y_2 - u_2 y_2 z_2$
$x_1 x_3 + x_3 x_1$	$x_2 x_4 + x_4 x_2$
$x_2 x_1 - \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}}(\omega^3 x_3 x_2 + \omega x_1 x_4)$	
$x_4 x_1 - \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}}(\omega x_1 x_2 + \omega^7 x_3 x_4)$	
$x_2 x_3 - \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}}(\omega^3 x_1 x_2 + \omega^5 x_3 x_4)$	
$x_4 x_3 - \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}}(\omega^5 x_3 x_2 + \omega^7 x_1 x_4)$	

Let B be the quotient algebra of $\mathbb{k}\langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2 \rangle$ given by the relations from the table on the right, or equivalently, by those given in Table 9. Then for any nonzero $u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 \in \mathbb{k}$, and for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \{\pm 1\}$, the algebra B is an Artin-Schelter regular algebra of dimension eight upon which $D(\mathfrak{D}_8)$ acts inner faithfully. More precisely, this algebra may be expressed as an iterated double Ore extension of a (-1) -skew polynomial ring in two variables.

Proof. We first note that the relations given in the theorem statement are linear combinations of those appearing in Table 9, as was done in Theorem 2.4. It follows that the span of these relations is a $D(\mathfrak{D}_8)$ -module, and hence $D(\mathfrak{D}_8)$ acts on B .

We now describe B as an iterated double Ore extension. First, note that the last six equations listed define a double Ore extension of a (-1) -skew polynomial ring in two variables. Indeed, using Notation 1.3, one may take $C_1 = \mathbb{k}_{-1}[x_1, x_3]$ and let $\sigma^{(1)}$ be given by:

$$\sigma^{(1)}(x_1) = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \omega^3 x_3 & \omega x_1 \\ \omega x_1 & \omega^7 x_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \sigma^{(1)}(x_3) = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \omega^3 x_1 & \omega^5 x_3 \\ \omega^5 x_3 & \omega^7 x_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

One can check directly that $\sigma^{(1)}$ is an algebra map, and also that $\sigma^{(1)}$ is invertible in the sense of [ZZ, Definition 1.8].

Let C_2 be this double Ore extension. Next, we describe the algebra defined by those relations involving the x s and y s as a double Ore extension of C_2 . Indeed, we

may use the matrices $\sigma^{(2)}$ to define this double Ore extension:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^{(2)}(x_1) &= u_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -x_1 \\ x_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \sigma^{(2)}(x_2) &= u_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_2 \\ -x_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \sigma^{(2)}(x_3) &= u_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -x_3 \\ x_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \sigma^{(2)}(x_4) &= u_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_4 \\ -x_4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Here, we may appeal to Remark 1.5 to see that $\sigma^{(2)}$ is an algebra map, and one may verify directly that $\sigma^{(2)}$ is invertible. Let C_3 be the double Ore extension of C_2 obtained by adding y_1 and y_2 via the map $\sigma^{(2)}$. Finally, we adjoin z_1 and z_2 using a double Ore extension of C_3 according to the map $\sigma^{(3)}$ given below:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^{(3)}(x_1) &= u_4 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -ix_1 \\ x_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \sigma^{(3)}(x_2) &= u_4 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_2 \\ -ix_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \sigma^{(3)}(x_3) &= u_4 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & ix_3 \\ -x_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \sigma^{(3)}(x_4) &= u_4 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -x_4 \\ ix_4 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \sigma^{(3)}(y_1) &= \begin{pmatrix} u_2 y_1 & 0 \\ 0 & u_1 y_1 \end{pmatrix} & \sigma^{(3)}(y_2) &= \begin{pmatrix} u_1 y_2 & 0 \\ 0 & u_2 y_2 \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

One may check once again that $\sigma^{(3)}$ is invertible. The double Ore extension created from this data is the algebra B given in the theorem. \square

Recall that the actions of r and s on $V = \text{span}\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2\}$ are given by the matrices

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega^7 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

respectively. We seek to compute the homological determinant of the action of these elements on B . To this end, a computation shows that the twisted superpotential begins as:

$$\mathbf{w}_B = x_1 x_3 x_2 x_4 y_1 y_2 z_1 z_2 - x_4 x_2 x_1 x_3 y_1 y_2 z_1 z_2 - \beta \gamma x_4 x_2 x_3 x_1 y_2 y_1 z_2 z_1 + \cdots.$$

One has the following proposition, whose proof follows from a computation similar to the one preceding Proposition 2.6:

Proposition 4.5. *Let $D(\mathfrak{D}_8)$ act on the algebra B given by Theorem 4.3. Then the group grade component of the homological determinant of this action is trivial, and one has that*

$$\text{hdet}_B(r) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{hdet}_B(s) = \beta \gamma.$$

In particular, this action has trivial homological determinant if and only if $\beta = \gamma$.

One may also check that the Nakayama automorphism of this algebra is:

$$\mu = \begin{pmatrix} \beta \gamma u_3^2 u_4^2 I_4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta u_1^{-1} u_2^{-1} u_3^4 I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\gamma u_1^{-1} u_2^{-1} u_4^{-4} I_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

5. THE SYMMETRIC GROUP S_3

In this section, we show that any connected graded algebra on which $D(S_3)$ acts inner faithfully must have at least three generators. Further, we show that there is no AS regular algebra (indeed, no domain) B with three generators and quadratic relations on which $D(S_3)$ acts inner faithfully.

The Hopf algebra $D(S_3)$ has 8 simple modules, which we denote V_i for $i = 0, \dots, 7$. Recall that each simple module of $D(S_3)$ is given as in Notation 1.8. The conjugacy classes (with choice of representative a) centralizers of a , and irreducible characters are given in Table 10, which uses the following notation.

We use ζ to denote a primitive third root of unity, ψ_0 and ψ_1 denote the trivial representation and sign representation, respectively, and χ has S_3 -action given by $u.r = \zeta u$ and $v.r = \zeta^2 v$, $u.s = v$ and $v.s = u$. Finally, the representations of the (cyclic) centralizers are given by $\alpha_i : t.r = \zeta^i t$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$ and $\beta_j : t.s = (-1)^j t$ for $j = 0, 1$.

TABLE 10. The simple $D(S_3)$ modules

Conj class	$C_G(a)$	Rep $C_G(a)$	transveral
$\{a = e\}$	S_3	ψ_0, ψ_1, χ	$\{e\}$
		V_0, V_1, V_2	
$\{a = r, r^2\}$	$\mathbb{Z}_3 = \langle r \rangle$	$\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2$	$\{e, s\}$
		V_3, V_4, V_5	
$\{a = s, sr, sr^2\}$	$\mathbb{Z}_2 = \langle s \rangle$	β_0, β_1	$\{e, r, r^2\}$
		V_6, V_7	

Table 11 gives a summary of the action of the generators of $D(S_3)$ on its simple modules $V_i, i = 0, 1, \dots, 7$.

TABLE 11. Explicit action of $D(S_3)$ on its simple modules

Conj Class	$C_G(a)$	V_i	Generator	Grade	r	s
[a]	G	V_0	u	e	u	u
		V_1	u	e	u	$-u$
		V_2	u v	e e	ζu $\zeta^2 v$	v u
[r]	\mathbb{Z}_3	V_3	u v	r r^2	u v	v u
		V_4	u v	r r^2	ζu $\zeta^2 v$	v u
		V_5	u v	r r^2	$\zeta^2 u$ ζv	v u
[s]	\mathbb{Z}_2	V_6	u v p	s sr sr^2	p u v	u p v
		V_7	u v	s sr	p u	$-u$ $-p$
			p	sr^2	v	$-v$

To show that V_6 is an inner faithful $D(S_3)$ -module, we will decompose $V_6 \otimes V_6$, $V_6 \otimes V_2$ and $V_2 \otimes V_2$. We let $V_6 = \text{span}_k\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ and $V_2 = \text{span}_k\{y_1, y_2\}$. The explicit decomposition of $V_6 \otimes V_6$ is given in Table 12.

TABLE 12. The decomposition of $V_6 \otimes V_6$

Tensor	Simple	grade	gens	r -action	s -action
$V_6 \otimes V_6$	V_0	e	$u = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2$	u	u
	V_2	e	$u = x_1^2 + \zeta x_2^2 + \zeta^2 x_3^2$	ζu	v
		e	$v = x_1^2 + \zeta^2 x_2^2 + \zeta x_3^2$	$\zeta^2 v$	u
	V_3	r	$u = x_1 x_2 + x_3 x_1 + x_2 x_3$	u	v
		r^2	$v = x_1 x_3 + x_2 x_1 + x_3 x_2$	v	u
	V_4	r	$u = x_1 x_2 + \zeta^2 x_3 x_1 + \zeta x_2 x_3$	ζu	v
		r^2	$v = x_1 x_3 + \zeta^2 x_2 x_1 + \zeta x_3 x_2$	$\zeta^2 v$	u
	V_5	r	$u = x_1 x_2 + \zeta x_3 x_1 + \zeta^2 x_2 x_3$	$\zeta^2 u$	v
		r^2	$v = x_1 x_3 + \zeta x_2 x_1 + \zeta^2 x_3 x_2$	ζv	u

The decompositions of the remaining tensor products are given in the following lemma, which can be proved by a direct calculation using Table 11.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $i = 6, 7$. Then one has the following decompositions:*

$$V_i \otimes V_i \cong V_0 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_3 \oplus V_4 \oplus V_5,$$

$$V_i \otimes V_2 \cong V_6 \oplus V_7,$$

$$V_2 \otimes V_2 \cong V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_2.$$

Proposition 5.2. *A $D(S_3)$ -module V is inner faithful if and only if V_6 or V_7 is a direct summand of V .*

Proof. Let $V = V_6$ or V_7 . Then the simple modules

$$V_0, V_2, V_3, V_4, V_5$$

all appear in the decomposition of $V \otimes V$. The simple modules V_6 and V_7 appear in the decomposition of $V \otimes V_2$ which appears in the decomposition of $V^{\otimes 3}$. The remaining simple module V_1 appears in the decomposition of $V_2 \otimes V_2$ which appears in $V^{\otimes 4}$. For the converse, one may check that $V_i \otimes V_j$ for $0 \leq i, j \leq 5$ do not contain V_6 or V_7 . \square

Theorem 5.3. *Let B be a connected graded algebra generated in degree one with three generators and three quadratic relations on which $D(S_3)$ acts inner-faithfully. Then B is not a domain.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.2, one must have $B_1 \cong V_6$ or V_7 as a module over $D(S_3)$. One may check that $V_6 \otimes V_6 \cong V_7 \otimes V_7$, so without loss of generality we may assume that $V = V_6$.

By the decomposition of $V_6 \otimes V_6$ above, we have four possible such algebras on which $D(S_3)$ acts. The first such algebra uses relations from V_0 and V_2 , which gives the algebra $\mathbb{k}\langle x, y, z \rangle / \langle x^2, y^2, z^2 \rangle$ which is clearly not a domain. The second such algebra uses relations from V_0 and V_3 , and is $\mathbb{k}\langle x, y, z \rangle / \langle x^2 + y^2 + z^2, xz + yx + zy, xy + zx + yz \rangle$. One may check that these three relations form a Gröbner basis of the ideal using graded lexicographic order with $x > y > z$, and using this one can show that the elements $x + y + z$ and $yx - yz + zy - z^2$ are nonzero, but have product equal to zero. The last two algebras are related by choosing a different primitive third root of unity ζ , so we give details only when we use the relations coming from V_0 and V_4 . In this case, one sees that $(\zeta^2 x + y + z)(\zeta x + y + z) = 0$. \square

6. REMARKS AND CONJECTURES

The doubles $D(\mathfrak{D}_4)$ and $D(\mathfrak{D}_8)$ studied here were explored after unsuccessful attempts to find AS regular algebras A on which the doubles of the dihedral group of order 8 and the dicyclic group of order 12 act inner faithfully. In each of the groups G that we considered there were numerous inner faithful $D(G)$ -modules, but we were unsuccessful in finding relations for an AS regular algebra A on which $D(G)$ acts inner faithfully. We conclude with a number of questions.

Question 6.1. For $n = 2, 4$ characterize the inner faithful $D(\mathfrak{D}_{2n})$ -modules V for which there is an AS regular algebra A with $A_1 = V$ on which $D(\mathfrak{D}_{2n})$ acts inner faithfully. Macaulay2 produced a large number of choices for V having a minimal number of simple summands, and we did not consider in depth all of the possibilities, or the effect of adding more summands to V (which will preserve the inner faithful action property). There were some choices of V where we were unable to find such an algebra A .

Question 6.2. For which dicyclic groups \mathfrak{D}_{2n} does there exist an AS regular algebra A on which \mathfrak{D}_{2n} acts inner faithfully? Perhaps n must be even or a power of 2.

Question 6.3. Given an AS regular algebra A that is a double Ore extension, what are the Hopf algebras H that act inner faithfully on it? There is an AS regular algebra A of dimension 4 which is a double Ore extension on which the dual of the group algebra of the semidihedral group of order 16 acts inner faithfully (see [GKMV]), and Ore extensions have appeared quite often as the AS regular algebras on which a Hopf algebra acts.

Question 6.4. For which finite groups G is there an AS regular algebra A on which $D(G)$ acts inner faithfully? What properties characterize those representations of G ?

Question 6.5. How are properties of the ring of invariants under the action of $D(G)$ controlled by G , or by properties of both G and the dual of G ?

Question 6.6. The double of a group $D(G)$ is a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra and an almost cocommutative Hopf algebra. The fact that $V_1 \otimes V_2 \cong V_2 \otimes V_1$ was useful in constructing the algebras A . Are there properties of a Hopf algebra H (or of its representation ring) (or of doubles $D(H)$) that produce appropriate AS regular algebras?

There remain many interesting questions about the pairs (A, H) , where A is an AS regular algebra supporting an inner faithful action by a Hopf algebra H .

Acknowledgement: Some of this work was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-1928930, while the first author was in residence at the Simons Laufer Mathematical Sciences Institute in Berkeley, California, during the spring semester of 2024.

REFERENCES

- [CKZ] J. Chen, E. Kirkman, and J.J. Zhang, Rigidity of down-up algebras with respect to finite group coactions, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **221** (2017), no. 12, 3089–3103.

- [CKZ2] J. Chen, E. Kirkman, and J.J. Zhang, Auslander’s theorem for group coactions on noetherian graded down-up algebras, *Transform. Groups* **25** (2020), no. 4, 1037–1062.
- [CC] C. Chevalley, Invariants of finite groups generated by reflections, *Amer. J. Math.* **77** (1955), MR 0072877 778-782.
- [CGR] A. Coste, T. Gannon, and P. Ruelle, Finite group modular data, *Nuclear Phys. B* **581** (2000), No. 3, 679–717.
- [C1] S. Crawford, Superpotentials and quiver algebras for semisimple Hopf actions, *Alg. and rep. theory* – (2022), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10468-022-10165-y>
- [C2] S. Crawford, Group coactions on two-dimensional Artin-Schelter regular algebras, arXiv, 2304.05937, (2023), 1–14
- [CEW1] Juan Cuadra, Pavel Etingof, and Chelsea Walton, Semisimple Hopf actions on Weyl algebras, *Adv. Math.* **282** (2015), 47–55.
- [CEW2] Juan Cuadra, Pavel Etingof, and Chelsea Walton, Finite dimensional Hopf actions on Weyl algebras, *Adv. Math.* **302** (2016), 25–39.
- [DV] M. Dubois-Violette, Multilinear forms and graded algebras, *J. Algebra* **317** (2007), No. 1, 198–225.
- [EW1] Pavel Etingof and Chelsea Walton, Semisimple Hopf actions on commutative domains, *Adv. Math.* **251** (2014), 47–61.
- [EW2] Pavel Etingof and Chelsea Walton, Finite dimensional Hopf actions on algebraic quantizations, *Algebra Number Theory* **10** (2016), no. 10, 2287–2310.
- [EW3] Pavel Etingof and Chelsea Walton, Finite dimensional Hopf actions on deformation quantizations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **145** (2017), no. 5, 1917–1925.
- [GAP] The GAP Group, *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.13.0*; 2024, <https://www.gap-system.org>.
- [FKMW1] L. Ferraro, E. Kirkman, W. F. Moore, and R. Won, Three infinite families of reflection Hopf algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **224** (2020), no. 8, 106315, 34.
- [FKMW2] L. Ferraro, E. Kirkman, W. F. Moore, and R. Won, Semisimple reflection Hopf algebra of dimension sixteen, *Alg. Rep. Theory*, **25** (2022), 615 - 647.
- [GKMV] P. Goetz, E. Kirkman, W.F. Moore, and K. Vashaw, Artin-Schelter regular algebras from dual reflection groups, in preparation.
- [YK] Y. Kashina, Classification of semisimple Hopf algebras of dimension sixteen, *J. Algebra*, **232** (2000), no.2 617-663
- [K] Ellen E. Kirkman, Invariant theory of Artin-Schelter regular algebras: a survey, in *Recent developments in representation theory*, *Contemp. Math.*, **673**, 25–50, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2016.
- [KKZ0] E. Kirkman, J. Kuzmanovich, and J.J.Zhang, Gorenstein subrings of invariants under Hopf algebra actions, *J. Algebra* **322** (2009), no. 1, 3640–3669.
- [KKZ1] E. Kirkman, J. Kuzmanovich, J. and J.J. Zhang, Invariants of (-1) -skew polynomial rings under permutation representations, *Recent advances in representation theory, quantum groups, algebraic geometry, and related topics*, *Contemp. Math. vol.623*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2014), 155–192.
- [KKZ2] E. Kirkman, J. Kuzmanovich, J. and J.J. Zhang, Nakayama automorphism and rigidity of dual reflection group coactions, *J. Algebra* **487** (2017), 60–92.
- [M] S. Montgomery, Hopf algebras and their actions on rings, *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*, Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI **82** (1993), MR 1243637.
- [M2] Daniel R. Grayson and Michael E. Stillman, *Macaulay2*, a software system for research in algebraic geometry, Available at <http://www2.macaulay2.com>
- [R] M. A. Rieffel, Burnside’s Theorem for Representation of Hopf algebras, *J. Algebra* **6** (1967), 123-130.
- [ST] G. C. Shephard and J. A. Todd, Finite unitary reflection groups, *Canadian J. Math*, **6** (1954), MR 0059914, 274–304.
- [Wiki1] Wikipedia: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dicyclic_group
- [Wiki2] Wikipedia: <https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/quaternion+group>
- [W1] S. J. Witherspoon, The representation ring of the quantum double of a finite group, *J. Algebra* **179** (1996), No. 1, 305–329.
- [W2] S. J. Witherspoon, The representation ring and the centre of a Hopf algebra, *Canad. J. Math.* **51** (1999), No. 4, 881–896.

- [ZZ] James J. Zhang and Jun Zhang, Double Ore extensions, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **212** (2008), No. 12, 2668–2690.
- [Zhu] R. Zhu, Auslander theorem for PI Artin–Schelter regular algebras, *ArXiv e-prints*: 2205.07291, (2022),

KIRKMAN: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS , P. O. BOX 7388, WAKE FOREST UNIVERSITY,
WINSTON–SALEM, NC 27109
Email address: `kirkman@wfu.edu`

MOORE: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, P. O. BOX 7388, WAKE FOREST UNIVERSITY, WINSTON–
SALEM, NC 27109
Email address: `moorewf@wfu.edu`

OKE: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, P. O. BOX 7388, WAKE FOREST UNIVERSITY, WINSTON–
SALEM, NC 27109
Email address: `oket@wfu.edu`