

SOME ERGODIC THEOREMS OVER SQUAREFREE NUMBERS

HUIXI LI, BIAO WANG, CHUNLIN WANG, AND SHAOYUN YI

ABSTRACT. In 2022, Bergelson and Richter gave a new dynamical generalization of the prime number theorem by establishing an ergodic theorem along the number of prime factors of integers. They also showed that this generalization holds as well if the integers are restricted to be squarefree. In this paper, we present the concept of invariant averages under multiplications for arithmetic functions. Utilizing the properties of these invariant averages, we derive several ergodic theorems over squarefree numbers. These theorems have significant connections to the Erdős-Kac Theorem, the Bergelson-Richter Theorem, and the Loyd Theorem.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Let $\Omega(n)$ be the number of prime divisors of n counted with multiplicity for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\lambda(n) = (-1)^{\Omega(n)}$ be the Liouville function. Then the prime number theorem is equivalent to the assertion that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \lambda(n) = 0, \quad (1)$$

see [11, 16]. Recently, Bergelson and Richter [2] established new dynamical generalizations of the prime number theorem by placing (1) in a dynamical framework. Given any uniquely ergodic topological dynamical system (X, μ, T) , they showed that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f(T^{\Omega(n)}x) = \int_X f d\mu \quad (2)$$

holds for any $f \in C(X)$ and $x \in X$. Then the equivalent form (1) of the prime number theorem is recovered from (2) by taking (X, T) as rotation on two points.

Let $\mu(n)$ be the Möbius function, which is defined to be 1 if $n = 1$, $(-1)^k$ if n is the product of k distinct primes, and zero otherwise. Then $\mu^2(n)$ is the indicator function of squarefree numbers, and $\mu(n) = \mu^2(n)\lambda(n)$. It is well known that the natural density of squarefree numbers in \mathbb{N} is equal to $\zeta(2)^{-1} = 6/\pi^2$, where $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta function. If one restricts the numbers n to be squarefree in (1) and (2), respectively, then by [2, Corollary 1.8] we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mu(n) = 0, \quad (3)$$

Date: May 29, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11K36, 11N37, 37A44.

Key words and phrases. Prime number theorem, Uniquely ergodic, Squarefree numbers, Erdős-Kac Theorem.

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq n \leq N \\ n \text{ squarefree}}} f(T^{\Omega(n)}x) = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \int_X f d\mu \quad (4)$$

for any $f \in C(X)$ and $x \in X$. Here, (3) is another well-known equivalent form of the prime number theorem, and (4) is a dynamical generalization of (3).

After Bergelson and Richter's work [2], there are several generalizations discovered by Loyd [12], Wang [18], and Wang et. al. [19] on the ergodic theorem (2). A natural question is whether these ergodic theorems hold or not, if the averages are restricted to run over squarefree numbers. In this paper, we will answer this question affirmatively by considering general arithmetic functions of invariant averages.

Let $a : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded arithmetic function of average A , i.e.,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N a(n) = A. \quad (5)$$

We say that the average of $a(n)$ is *invariant under (left) multiplications*, if

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N a(mn) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N a(n) = A \quad (6)$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For example, the orbits $a(n) = f(T^{\Omega(n)}x)$ in (2) are of invariant average under multiplications. The following theorem is our main result on such invariant averages.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $a : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded arithmetic function of average A . Let \mathcal{S} be a finite set of primes. If the average of $a(n)$ is invariant under multiplications, then*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq n \leq N \\ n \text{ squarefree} \\ p \nmid n, \forall p \in \mathcal{S}}} a(n) = \frac{\alpha(\mathcal{S})}{\zeta(2)} A, \quad (7)$$

where $\alpha(\mathcal{S}) = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{p}{p+1}$. In particular, if $\mathcal{S} = \emptyset$, then we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq n \leq N \\ n \text{ squarefree}}} a(n) = \frac{6}{\pi^2} A. \quad (8)$$

Clearly, (4) follows by Theorem 1.1 if we take $a(n) = f(T^{\Omega(n)}x)$ as in (2). Moreover, as applications of Theorem 1.1, the following analogies of (2) hold as well.

Theorem 1.2. *Let \mathcal{S} be a finite set of primes, and let $\alpha(\mathcal{S}) = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{p}{p+1}$.*

- (1) *Let (X, μ, T) be a uniquely ergodic topological dynamical system. For $k \geq 0$, let $\varphi_k(n)$ be the k -fold iterate of Euler's totient function φ , i.e., $\varphi_0(n) = n$ and $\varphi_k(n) = \varphi_{k-1}(\varphi(n))$ for $k \geq 1$. Let*

$$a_k = \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \quad \text{and} \quad b_k = \frac{k!}{\sqrt{2k+1}}. \quad (9)$$

Let \mathcal{T} be a set of primes of natural density $\delta(\mathcal{T})$. Denote by $p_{\max}(n)$ the largest prime factor of n with $p_{\max}(1) = 1$. Let $k \geq 0$ and $F \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq n \leq N \\ n \text{ squarefree} \\ p \nmid n, \forall p \in \mathcal{S} \\ p_{\max}(n) \in \mathcal{T}}} F\left(\frac{\Omega(\varphi_k(n)) - a_k(\log \log N)^{k+1}}{b_k(\log \log N)^{k+1/2}}\right) f(T^{\Omega(n)}x) \\ = \frac{\alpha(\mathcal{S})\delta(\mathcal{T})}{\zeta(2)} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(t)e^{-t^2/2} dt\right) \left(\int_X f d\mu\right) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

for any $f \in C(X)$ and $x \in X$.

(2) Let (Y, ν, S) be a multiplicative, finitely generated and strongly uniquely ergodic topological dynamical system, then we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq n \leq N \\ n \text{ squarefree} \\ p \nmid n, \forall p \in \mathcal{S}}} g(S_n y) = \frac{\alpha(\mathcal{S})}{\zeta(2)} \int_Y g d\nu \quad (11)$$

for any $g \in C(Y)$ and $y \in Y$.

In particular, in a uniquely ergodic system (X, μ, T) , for any $m \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq r \leq m-1$, $f \in C(X)$ and $x \in X$, we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq n \leq N \\ n \text{ squarefree}}} f(T^{\Omega(mn+r)}x) = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \int_X f d\mu. \quad (12)$$

Remark 1.3. One may consider r -free numbers and the case \mathcal{S} is infinite for $r \geq 2$ in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2; see [6, 3, 4]. And one may also consider the analogues of these results over finite fields.

Let \mathcal{P} denote the set of all primes. As the following Table 1 shows, as applications of Theorem 1.2, if we take some special $k, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}, F$ and f , then we will get some known ergodic theorems over squarefree numbers. For example, as row 2 shows, taking $k = 0, \mathcal{S} = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{P}$ in (10), we get Loyd's result [12, Theorem 1.3] over squarefree numbers.

| Equation | k | \mathcal{S} | \mathcal{T} | F | f | Theorems |
|----------|-----|---------------|---------------|-----|-----|---|
| (10) | 0 | \emptyset | \mathcal{P} | | 1 | Erdős-Kac Theorem [7] |
| (10) | 0 | \emptyset | \mathcal{P} | | | Loyd [12, Theorem 1.3] |
| (10) | NA | \emptyset | | 1 | | Wang [18, Eq. (7)] |
| (10) | 1 | \emptyset | | | | Wang, Wei, Yan, and Yi [19, Theorem 1.4] |
| (11) | NA | \emptyset | NA | NA | | Bergelson and Richter [2, Theorem B] |
| (12) | NA | \emptyset | NA | NA | | Bergelson and Richter [2, Corollary 1.16] |

TABLE 1. Associated results over squarefree numbers

In Section 2, we will introduce the statements of the theorems listed in Table 1, including some background on uniquely ergodic topological dynamical systems. Then in Section 3, we will apply the technique in [9, Theorem 6] to prove Theorem 1.1. Finally, in Section 4, we

will prove Theorem 1.2 by showing that the averages in (10) and (11) are invariant under multiplications and applying Theorem 1.1 .

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Uniquely ergodic topological dynamical system. Let X be a compact metric space, let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous map, and let $C(X)$ be the set of continuous functions defined on X . Since $T^m \circ T^n = T^{m+n}$ for any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, the transformation T naturally induces an action of $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ on X . We call the pair (X, T) an *additive topological dynamical system*. A Borel probability measure μ on X is said to be *T -invariant* if $\mu(T^{-1}A) = \mu(A)$ for all Borel measurable subsets $A \subset X$. By the Bogolyubov-Krylov Theorem (see, for example [17, Corollary 6.9.1]), every additive topological dynamical system (X, T) possesses at least one T -invariant Borel probability measure. If (X, T) admits only one such measure, then it is called *uniquely ergodic*. For convenience, we will use (X, μ, T) to denote a uniquely ergodic additive topological dynamical system (X, T) together with its unique T -invariant probability measure μ .

A *multiplicative topological dynamical system* is a pair (Y, S) , where Y is a compact metric space and $S = (S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of continuous maps on Y satisfying $S_{nm} = S_n \circ S_m$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. That is, S is an action of (\mathbb{N}, \cdot) on Y by continuous maps. We say that S is *finitely generated* if the generators $\{S_p : p \in \mathcal{P}\}$ of S is finite. And (Y, S) is called *strongly uniquely ergodic* if there is only one Borel probability measure on Y , say ν , satisfying that ν is invariant under $S_p, \forall p \in P$ for some set of primes $P \subset \mathcal{P}$ with $\sum_{p \notin P} 1/p < \infty$. Using combinatorial techniques, Bergelson and Richter established the following ergodic theorem on finitely generated and strongly uniquely ergodic multiplicative topological dynamical systems.

Theorem 2.1 ([2, Theorem B]). *Let (Y, ν, S) be a multiplicative, finitely generated and strongly uniquely ergodic topological dynamical system. Then*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N g(S_n y) = \int_Y g d\nu \quad (13)$$

for every $y \in Y$ and $g \in C(Y)$.

In particular, by Theorem 2.1 they proved the following generalization of (2) along arithmetic progressions.

Theorem 2.2 ([2, Corollary 1.16]). *Let (X, μ, T) a uniquely ergodic system, then we have*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f(T^{\Omega(mn+r)} x) = \int_X f d\mu \quad (14)$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq r \leq m - 1, f \in C(X)$ and $x \in X$.

2.2. Erdős-Kac Theorem. The well-known Erdős-Kac Theorem [7] says that the number of prime divisors $\Omega(n)$ of n satisfies the following Gaussian distribution:

Theorem 2.3 (Erdős-Kac Theorem). *Let $C_c(\mathbb{R})$ denote the set of compactly supported continuous functions on \mathbb{R} , then we have*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N F\left(\frac{\Omega(n) - \log \log N}{\sqrt{\log \log N}}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(t) e^{-t^2/2} dt \quad (15)$$

for any $F \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$.

This result is the start of the probabilistic number theory. Moreover, $\Omega(n)$ is well-distributed in residue classes. By (1), the prime number theorem is equivalent to the assertion that $\Omega(n)$ is evenly distributed (mod 2). In general, by the Pillai-Selberg Theorem [14, 15] the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \Omega(n) \equiv r \pmod{m}\}$ has natural density equal to $1/m$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$.

In 1985, Erdős and Pomerance [8] proved the following Erdős-Kac type theorem for $\Omega(\varphi(n))$:

Theorem 2.4 (Erdős-Pomerance Theorem). *We have*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N F\left(\frac{\Omega(\varphi(n)) - \frac{1}{2}(\log \log N)^2}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\log \log N)^{3/2}}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(t) e^{-t^2/2} dt \quad (16)$$

for any $F \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$.

Let $\varphi_k(n)$ be the k -fold iterate of Euler's totient function φ , $k \geq 1$. In 1991, Kátai [10] proved an Erdős-Kac type theorem for $\Omega(\varphi_2(n))$. Furthermore, in 1997, Bassily, Kátai, and Wijismuller [1] proved the following Erdős-Kac type theorem for $\Omega(\varphi_k(n))$:

Theorem 2.5 ([1, Theorem 1]). *Let $k \geq 1$. For any $F \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$, we have*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N F\left(\frac{\Omega(\varphi_k(n)) - a_k(\log \log N)^{k+1}}{b_k(\log \log N)^{k+1/2}}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(t) e^{-t^2/2} dt, \quad (17)$$

where a_k and b_k are defined as in (9).

We refer readers to [13] for more results on the Erdős-Kac Theorem.

2.3. Loyd Theorem. Two sequences $a, b : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are called *asymptotically uncorrelated* if

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N a(n) \overline{b(n)} = \left(\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N a(n) \right) \left(\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \overline{b(n)} \right) + o(1). \quad (18)$$

Recently, Loyd [12] shows that the sequences appearing in the Erdős-Kac Theorem (15) and the Bergelson-Richter Theorem (2) are asymptotically uncorrelated:

Theorem 2.6 ([12, Theorem 1.3]). *Let (X, μ, T) be a uniquely ergodic topological dynamical system. Then we have*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N F\left(\frac{\Omega(n) - \log \log N}{\sqrt{\log \log N}}\right) f(T^{\Omega(n)}x) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(t) e^{-t^2/2} dt \right) \left(\int_X f d\mu \right) \quad (19)$$

for any $F \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$, $f \in C(X)$ and $x \in X$.

Inspired by Loyd's work in [12] and the second author's work [18], Wang et. al. [19] proved a similar result for the Erdős-Pomerance Theorem.

Theorem 2.7 ([19, Theorem 1.4]). *Let (X, μ, T) be a uniquely ergodic topological dynamical system, let \mathcal{T} be a set of primes of natural density $\delta(\mathcal{T})$, and let $F \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq n \leq N \\ p_{\max}(n) \in \mathcal{T}}} F\left(\frac{\Omega(\varphi(n)) - \frac{1}{2}(\log \log N)^2}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\log \log N)^{3/2}}\right) f(T^{\Omega(n)}x) \\ &= \delta(\mathcal{T}) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(t) e^{-t^2/2} dt \right) \left(\int_X f d\mu \right) \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

for all $f \in C(X)$ and $x \in X$.

In particular, taking $F = 1$ in (20) gives the second author's result in [18, Eq. (7)].

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1. Let $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ be a finite set of primes. We denote by $w_{\mathcal{S}}$ the indicator of the integers not divisible by any primes in \mathcal{S} , i.e.,

$$w_{\mathcal{S}}(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \mid n \text{ for some } p \in \mathcal{S}, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

If $\mathcal{S} = \emptyset$ is an empty set, then we set $w_{\mathcal{S}}(n) = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $w_{\mathcal{S}}(n)$, we have the following properties, see [5, Lemmas A.5-7, Corollary A.8].

Lemma 3.1. *We have*

$$\mu^2(n)w_{\mathcal{S}}(n) = \sum_{d^2 \mid n} \mu(d)w_{\mathcal{S}}(d)w_{\mathcal{S}}(n/d), \quad (22)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{w_{\mathcal{S}}(n)}{n^2} = \beta(\mathcal{S})\zeta(2), \quad (23)$$

$$(\mu w_{\mathcal{S}}) * w_{\mathcal{S}} = 1_{n=1}, \quad (24)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)w_{\mathcal{S}}(n)}{n^2} = \frac{1}{\beta(\mathcal{S})\zeta(2)}, \quad (25)$$

where $\beta(\mathcal{S}) = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{p^2-1}{p^2}$. Moreover, we have that

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mu^2(n)w_{\mathcal{S}}(n) = \frac{\alpha(\mathcal{S})}{\zeta(2)} + O_{\mathcal{S}}(N^{-\frac{1}{2}}), \quad (26)$$

where $\alpha(\mathcal{S}) = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{p}{p+1}$.

Now, we apply the above properties to estimate summations over squarefree numbers. For a real number $s \geq 1$, let $[s] := \mathbb{N} \cap [1, s]$ be the set of natural numbers between 1 and s . Then $[N] = \{1, \dots, N\}$ for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$. For a finite non-empty subset $B \subset \mathbb{N}$, the Cesàro average of an arithmetic function $a: B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ over B is defined by

$$\mathbb{E}_{n \in B} a(n) := \frac{1}{|B|} \sum_{n \in B} a(n). \quad (27)$$

Proposition 3.2. *Let $a : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded arithmetic function. Let $N \geq 1$. Then for any $1 \leq D \leq \sqrt{N}$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \boldsymbol{\mu}^2(n) w_S(n) a(n) = \sum_{d=1}^D \frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}(d) w_S(d)}{d^2} \mathbb{E}_{n \in [\frac{N}{d^2}]} w_S(n) a(d^2 n) + O\left(\frac{1}{D}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\right). \quad (28)$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $|a(n)| \leq 1, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then by (22)

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \boldsymbol{\mu}^2(n) w_S(n) a(n) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{d^2 | n} \boldsymbol{\mu}(d) w_S(d) w_S(n/d) a(n) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq d^2 m \leq N} \boldsymbol{\mu}(d) w_S(d) w_S(dm) a(d^2 m) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{d=1}^{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{m=1}^{\frac{N}{d^2}} \boldsymbol{\mu}(d) w_S(d) w_S(m) a(d^2 m) \\ &= \sum_{d=1}^{\sqrt{N}} \boldsymbol{\mu}(d) w_S(d) \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=1}^{\frac{N}{d^2}} w_S(m) a(d^2 m) \right) \\ &= \sum_{d=1}^{\sqrt{N}} \boldsymbol{\mu}(d) w_S(d) \left(\frac{1}{d^2} \mathbb{E}_{n \in [\frac{N}{d^2}]} w_S(n) a(d^2 n) + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{d=1}^{\sqrt{N}} \frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}(d) w_S(d)}{d^2} \mathbb{E}_{n \in [\frac{N}{d^2}]} w_S(n) a(d^2 n) + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{d=1}^D + \sum_{d=D+1}^{\sqrt{N}} \right) \frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}(d) w_S(d)}{d^2} \mathbb{E}_{n \in [\frac{N}{d^2}]} w_S(n) a(d^2 n) + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

For any $1 \leq D \leq \sqrt{N}$, by $\sum_{d=D+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{d^2} \leq \frac{1}{D}$ and $\left| \mathbb{E}_{n \in [\frac{N}{d^2}]} w_S(n) a(d^2 n) \right| \leq 1$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{d=D+1}^{\sqrt{N}} \frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}(d) w_S(d)}{d^2} \mathbb{E}_{n \in [\frac{N}{d^2}]} w_S(n) a(d^2 n) \right| &\leq \sum_{d=D+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{d^2} \left| \mathbb{E}_{n \in [\frac{N}{d^2}]} w_S(n) a(d^2 n) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{d=D+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{d^2} \leq \frac{1}{D}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Thus, (28) follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Suppose $a : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a bounded arithmetic function of invariant average A under multiplications, we first show that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N w_{\mathcal{S}}(n)a(n) = \alpha_0(\mathcal{S})A, \quad (31)$$

where $\alpha_0(\mathcal{S}) = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{p-1}{p}$.

Put $P(\mathcal{S}) = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{S}} p$. Then $w_{\mathcal{S}}(n) = 1_{(n, P(\mathcal{S}))=1} = \sum_{d|(n, P(\mathcal{S}))} \boldsymbol{\mu}(d)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N w_{\mathcal{S}}(n)a(n) &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{d|(n, P(\mathcal{S}))} \boldsymbol{\mu}(d)a(n) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{d|P(\mathcal{S})} \boldsymbol{\mu}(d) \sum_{n=1}^{\frac{N}{d}} a(dn) \\ &= \sum_{d|P(\mathcal{S})} \frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}(d)}{d} \left(\frac{1}{\frac{N}{d}} \sum_{n=1}^{\frac{N}{d}} a(dn) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Since the average of $a(n)$ is invariant under left multiplications, we get that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N w_{\mathcal{S}}(n)a(n) = \sum_{d|P(\mathcal{S})} \frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}(d)}{d} \cdot \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\frac{N}{d}} \sum_{n=1}^{\frac{N}{d}} a(dn) = \sum_{d|P(\mathcal{S})} \frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}(d)}{d} \cdot A = \alpha_0(\mathcal{S})A, \quad (33)$$

which gives (31) as claimed.

Observe that, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the average of $a(mn)$ over $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is also invariant under multiplications. In particular, by (31), we get that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \in [N]} w_{\mathcal{S}}(n)a(d^2n) = \alpha_0(\mathcal{S})A \quad (34)$$

for any integer $d \geq 1$. It follows by taking $N \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides of (28) that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \boldsymbol{\mu}^2(n)w_{\mathcal{S}}(n)a(n) = \sum_{d=1}^D \frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}(d)w_{\mathcal{S}}(d)}{d^2} \cdot \alpha_0(\mathcal{S})A + O\left(\frac{1}{D}\right). \quad (35)$$

Taking $D \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \boldsymbol{\mu}^2(n)w_{\mathcal{S}}(n)a(n) = \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}(d)w_{\mathcal{S}}(d)}{d^2} \cdot \alpha_0(\mathcal{S})A. \quad (36)$$

which is equal to $\frac{\alpha(\mathcal{S})}{\zeta(2)}A$ by (25). □

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2. The item (2) in Theorem 1.2 follows immediately by applying [2, Theorem B and Corollary 1.16] to Theorem 1.1. Hence it suffices to show item (1).

First, for $\Omega(\varphi_k(n))$, by Theorem 2.5 we have the following Erdős-Kac type theorem established by Bassily, Kátai, and Wijsmuller: for any $F \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N F\left(\frac{\Omega(\varphi_k(n)) - a_k(\log \log N)^{k+1}}{b_k(\log \log N)^{k+1/2}}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(t) e^{-t^2/2} dt. \quad (37)$$

Secondly, similar to the proof of [19, Theorem 1.4], applying Bergelson and Richter's techniques in [2], we can obtain the following Loyd type theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq n \leq N \\ p_{\max}(n) \in \mathcal{T}}} F\left(\frac{\Omega(\varphi_k(n)) - a_k(\log \log N)^{k+1}}{b_k(\log \log N)^{k+1/2}}\right) f(T^{\Omega(n)}x) \\ &= \delta(\mathcal{T}) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(t) e^{-t^2/2} dt \right) \left(\int_X f d\mu \right) \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

holds for any $f \in C(X)$ and $x \in X$.

Thirdly, putting $a(n) = 1_{p_{\max}(n) \in \mathcal{T}} F\left(\frac{\Omega(\varphi_k(n)) - a_k(\log \log N)^{k+1}}{b_k(\log \log N)^{k+1/2}}\right) f(T^{\Omega(n)}x)$ with a_k and b_k defined as in (9), we can show that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N a(mn) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N a(n) \quad (39)$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, by [18, Lemma 4.5], we have that

$$\mathbb{E}_{n \in [N]} a(mn) = \mathbb{E}_{n \in [N]} 1_{p_{\max}(n) \in \mathcal{T}} F\left(\frac{\Omega(\varphi_k(mn)) - a_k(\log \log N)^{k+1}}{b_k(\log \log N)^{k+1/2}}\right) f(T^{\Omega(mn)}x) + O(N^{-c}) \quad (40)$$

for some constant $c > 0$ depending only on m . Now, for $\Omega(\varphi_k(mn))$ we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $k \geq 0$ and $m \geq 1$. Then we have*

$$\Omega(\varphi_k(mn)) = \Omega(\varphi_k(n)) + B \quad (41)$$

with $B = O_{k,m}(1)$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Proof. If $k = 0$, then $\varphi_0(n) = n$ and $\Omega(mn) = \Omega(n) + \Omega(m)$, one may take $B = \Omega(m) = O_m(1)$. Suppose $k \geq 1$. For Euler's totient function φ , we have the following identity

$$\varphi(mn) = \varphi(n) \cdot \frac{\varphi(m) \gcd(m, n)}{\varphi(\gcd(m, n))}.$$

It follows that $\varphi(mn) = \varphi(n)B_1$ with $B_1 \mid \varphi(m)m$. Let $\tilde{\varphi}(m) = \varphi(m)m$. One may easily check that the following property holds: $\tilde{\varphi}(a) \mid \tilde{\varphi}(b)$ for all $a \mid b$. Then by induction on k , we may write $\varphi_k(mn) = \varphi_k(n)B_k$ with $B_k \mid \tilde{\varphi}_k(m)$, where $\tilde{\varphi}_k$ is the k -fold iterate of $\tilde{\varphi}$. It follows that $\Omega(\varphi_k(mn)) = \Omega(\varphi_k(n)) + B$ with $B = \Omega(B_k) \leq \Omega(\tilde{\varphi}_k(m))$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Thus, due to $F \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$ is uniformly continuous, by Lemma 4.1 and (40) we have that

$$\mathbb{E}_{n \in [N]} a(mn) = \mathbb{E}_{n \in [N]} 1_{p_{\max}(n) \in \mathcal{T}} F\left(\frac{\Omega(\varphi_k(n)) - a_k(\log \log N)^{k+1}}{b_k(\log \log N)^{k+1/2}}\right) f(T^{\Omega(mn)}x) + o(N). \quad (42)$$

By (38), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \in [N]} 1_{p_{\max}(n) \in \mathcal{T}} F \left(\frac{\Omega(\varphi_k(n)) - a_k(\log \log N)^{k+1}}{b_k(\log \log N)^{k+1/2}} \right) f(T^{\Omega(n)} \circ T^{\Omega(m)} x) \\ = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \in [N]} a(n), \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \in [N]} a(mn) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \in [N]} a(n). \quad (44)$$

Therefore, $a(n)$ is of invariant average under multiplications. Hence (10) follows by Theorem 1.1. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Rongzhong Xiao for helpful discussion and suggesting reference [5] to us. Huixi Li's research is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12201313). Shaoyun Yi is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12301016) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. 20720230025).

REFERENCES

- [1] N. L. Bassily, I. Kátai, and M. Wijsmuller. Number of prime divisors of $\phi_k(n)$, where ϕ_k is the k -fold iterate of ϕ . *J. Number Theory*, 65(2):226–239, 1997.
- [2] Vitaly Bergelson and Florian K. Richter. Dynamical generalizations of the prime number theorem and disjointness of additive and multiplicative semigroup actions. *Duke Math. J.*, 171(15):3133–3200, 2022.
- [3] Ron Brown. The natural density of some sets of square-free numbers. *Integers*, 21:Paper No. A81, 9, 2021.
- [4] Ron Brown. The natural density of some sets of r -free numbers. *Appl. Math. E-Notes*, 23:309–315, 2023.
- [5] F. Cellarosi and Ya. G. Sinai. Ergodic properties of square-free numbers. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 15(4):1343–1374, 2013.
- [6] Francesco Cellarosi and Ilya Vinogradov. Ergodic properties of k -free integers in number fields. *J. Mod. Dyn.*, 7(3):461–488, 2013.
- [7] P. Erdős and M. Kac. The Gaussian law of errors in the theory of additive number theoretic functions. *Amer. J. Math.*, 62:738–742, 1940.
- [8] Paul Erdős and Carl Pomerance. On the normal number of prime factors of $\phi(n)$. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 15(2):343–352, 1985.
- [9] Yunping Jiang and Jessica Liu. Pointwise Ergodic Theorems for Uniformly Behaved in \mathbb{N} Sequences. *arXiv:2311.16928v3*, 2024.
- [10] I. Kátai. On the number of prime factors of $\phi(\phi(n))$. *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 58(1-2):211–225, 1991.
- [11] Edmund Landau. *Handbuch der Lehre von der Verteilung der Primzahlen. 2 Bände*. Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1953. 2d ed, With an appendix by Paul T. Bateman.
- [12] Kaitlyn Loyd. A dynamical approach to the asymptotic behavior of the sequence $\Omega(n)$. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 43(11):3685–3706, 2023.
- [13] M. Ram Murty, V. Kumar Murty, and Sudhir Pujahari. An all-purpose Erdős-Kac theorem. *Math. Z.*, 305(3):Paper No. 45, 18, 2023.
- [14] S. S. Pillai. Generalisation of a theorem of Mangoldt. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., Sect. A.*, 11:13–20, 1940.
- [15] Sigmund Selberg. Zur Theorie der quadratfreien Zahlen. *Math. Z.*, 44(1):306–318, 1939.
- [16] H.C.F von Mangoldt. *Beweis der Gleichung $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} = 0$* , volume 2. Sitzungsberichte der Königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin, 1897.

- [17] Peter Walters. *An introduction to ergodic theory*, volume 79 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
- [18] Biao Wang. Dynamics on the number of prime divisors for additive arithmetic semigroups. *Finite Fields Appl.*, 81:Paper No. 102029, 28, 2022.
- [19] Biao Wang, Zhining Wei, Pan Yan, and Shaoyun Yi. Generalizations of the Erdős-kac Theorem and the Prime Number Theorem. *Commun. Math. Stat.*, 2023. in press. DOI: 10.1007/s40304-023-00354-6.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND LPMC, NANKAI UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300071, CHINA
Email address: `lihuixi@nankai.edu.cn`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, YUNNAN UNIVERSITY, KUNMING, YUNNAN 650091,
CHINA
Email address: `bwang@ynu.edu.cn`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SICHUAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU 610064, CHINA
Email address: `c-l.wang@outlook.com`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, XIAMEN UNIVERSITY, XIAMEN, FUJIAN 361005, CHINA
Email address: `yishaoyun926@xmu.edu.cn`