

QUANTUM INTEGRABLE SYSTEMS ON A CLASSICAL INTEGRABLE BACKGROUND.

ANDRII LIASHYK, NICOLAI RESHETIKHIN, AND IVAN SECHIN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we develop the framework for quantum integrable systems on an integrable classical background. We call them hybrid quantum integrable systems (hybrid integrable systems), and we show that they occur naturally in the semiclassical limit of quantum integrable systems. We start with an outline of the concept of hybrid dynamical systems. Then we give several examples of hybrid integrable systems. The first series of examples is a class of hybrid integrable systems that appear in the semiclassical limit of quantum spin chains. Then we look at the semiclassical limit of the quantum spin Calogero–Moser system. The result is a hybrid integrable system driven by usual classical Calogero–Moser (CM) dynamics. This system at the fixed point of the multi-time classical dynamics CM system gives commuting spin Hamiltonians of Haldane–Shastry model.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Hybrid algebra of observables and its representations	3
2.1. Hybrid quantum algebra of observables	3
2.2. Representation of a hybrid algebra of observables	5
2.3. Lagrangian modules	6
2.4. Derivations and automorphisms	6
2.5. The relation to deformation quantization	7
3. Hybrid states	8
3.1. Classical states	8
3.2. Hybrid states	8
3.3. Lagrangian states	9
3.4. Pure hybrid Lagrangian states	10
4. The hybrid evolution	10
4.1. The time evolution of observables	10
4.2. The classical case	11
4.3. The hybrid case	12
4.4. The evolution of hybrid states	13
4.5. The evolution of pure Lagrangian states	13
4.6. Correlation functions	14
4.7. The hybrid evolution and the deformation quantization	14
5. Hybrid integrable systems	14
5.1. The hybrid integrable multi-time evolution.	14
5.2. The multi-time evolution in Lagrangian modules.	15
5.3. Hybrid integrable systems and deformation quantization	16
6. The semiclassical asymptotic of a hybrid matrix Schrödinger equation	16
6.1. The nonstationary semiclassical asymptotic.	16
6.2. The semiclassical dynamics of hybrid Schrödinger integrable systems	17
7. Semiclassical asymptotic for integrable quantum spin chain	18
7.1. Yangian type algebras and their classical counterparts	18

7.2. Hybrid spin chains	20
8. Spin Calogero–Moser–Sutherland system and its hybrid features.	21
8.1. Quantum spin Calogero–Moser system	21
8.2. The Haldane–Shastry hybrid model	22
8.3. The fixed point of the multi-time classical Calogero–Moser–Sutherland dynamics	23
Appendix A. The semiclassical limit for non-stationary matrix Schrödinger equation	23
Appendix B. Multi-time Hamilton–Jacobi action	27
Appendix C. Proof of Proposition 1	28
References	30

1. INTRODUCTION

The systems where quantum dynamics is mixed with the classical one were considered in physics a long time ago. Perhaps most well-known is the Born–Oppenheimer approximation [4], where classical mechanics describes the motion of atoms, and the dynamics of electrons in the classical background of these atoms is quantum. We use the term **hybrid quantum systems**, or **hybrid systems** for such dynamical systems.

In this paper, we formulate the general mathematical setting for hybrid systems, show how they appear naturally in deformation families of associative algebras at a root of unity, introduce the notion of hybrid integrable systems and give some examples.

One of the first examples of a hybrid integrable system is the discrete Sine-Gordon equation [1]. The discrete time evolution in this system is of the hybrid type: a quantum dynamics is ”driven” by a background discrete time classical evolution. For special, minimally periodical, classical solutions, the quantum evolution operator in this model is equivalent to the transfer matrix of the Chiral Potts model.

After a brief outline of the general framework of hybrid quantum systems, we focus on examples of integrable systems. One of the examples is derived from the semiclassical analysis of quantum spin Calogero–Moser (CM) model describing n quantum particles with internal degrees of freedom (spins). The multi-time dynamics of this system in the semiclassical limit become quantum time-dependent multi-time dynamics of the corresponding hybrid systems [17, 27]¹.

We also show that in the semiclassical limit, integrable quantum spin chains provide examples of hybrid integrable systems. The classical system in this case is the corresponding classical spin chain. The quantum multitime dynamics is given by M -operators. We expect that multitime hybrid evolution in such systems can be effectively studied by the semiclassical limit of Bethe vectors in the spirit of work [33] and using Baker–Akhiezer type functions [9].

In the hybrid Schrödinger picture, for each x we can choose a subspace $\Omega_x \subset V_x$ spanned by eigenvectors with the minimal eigenvalue of $H_1(x)$. This gives the ground state subbundle $\Omega \subset V$. Note that because the system is not conservative, this subbundle, in general, changes in time.

Here is an outline of the paper.

In section 2 we define the algebra of observables for hybrid systems and its representations. Here we focus on matrix hybrid systems. The algebra of observables in such a system is the algebra of sections of the bundle of matrix algebras over a symplectic manifold with pointwise multiplication. The base of this bundle of algebras is the phase space of the underlying classical system. This bundle is equipped with a Hermitian connection. Thus, the algebra of observables of a hybrid system is an algebra which is finite-dimensional over its center. The center is a Poisson algebra which acts by derivation on the whole algebra. Algebras which are finite-dimensional over its center are known as

¹This model is different from spin Calogero–Moser models obtained by the quantum version of Hamiltonian reduction, see for example [31, 34]. These models are related but we will not discuss this relation here.

Azumaya algebras. So we call algebras of observables in hybrid matrix systems Poisson Azumaya algebras.

In this section, we also describe representations of hybrid algebras of observables, derivations of such algebras, homomorphisms, and the relation to the deformation quantization.

In section 3 we describe hybrid states, hybrid pure states, and Lagrangian states. Pure Lagrangian states and Lagrangian representations of hybrid algebras of observables appear naturally in matrix Schrödinger equations.

In section 4 we focus on hybrid evolution. A hybrid dynamical system is described by two Hamiltonians: the classical Hamiltonian H_0 which defines the underlying classical dynamics, and the quantum Hamiltonian H_1 which defines the quantum evolution in the fibers. We also describe the evolution of states and how the evolution in observables is related to deformation quantization.

The notion of a hybrid integrable system is introduced in section 5. Here we introduce hybrid multitime integrable dynamics and show that it appears naturally in the semiclassical limit of quantum integrable systems.

In section 6 we describe the semiclassical asymptotic of matrix Schrödinger operators and show how hybrid dynamics appear naturally in this context.

Hybrid integrable systems related to integrable spin chains are described in section 7.

In section 8 we describe the hybrid system that emerges in the semiclassical limit of spin Calogero–Moser–Sutherland (CMS) systems. In this case, the classical background is the usual (spinless) CMS system. The quantum part of this system can be called dynamical Haldane–Shastry system. Indeed, we show that the multitime flow in the CMS model has a fixed point. It is also known in the literature as a freezing point. Quantum Hamiltonians at this point commute and coincide with commuting Hamiltonians for the Haldane–Shastry model of long-range interactions [16, 37]. The fixed point is a zero-dimensional Liouville tori. In [20] we describe all low-dimensional degenerations in the CMS model. Corresponding hybrid dynamics is a dynamical version of the Haldane–Shastry model.

To conclude the introduction let us make a notational clarification. When we write $C(\mathcal{M})$ where \mathcal{M} is a smooth manifold, we mean C^∞ -functions. When \mathcal{M} is an affine algebraic variety, $C(\mathcal{M})$ is the algebra of polynomial functions on \mathcal{M} .

The results of this paper were presented at a number of conferences. The earliest one was a talk at the conference "Integrable Systems and Field Theory", Jussieu, Paris, October 2023. The authors are grateful to S. Dobrokhotov, D. Freed, L. Feher, S. Gukov, A. Kapustin, A. Mikhailov, and P. Wiegmann for discussions and valuable comments. The research of N.R. was supported by the grant RFBR No. 18-01-00916, by the Collaboration Grant "Categorical Symmetries" from the Simons Foundation, by the grant BMSTC and ACZSP (Grant no. Z221100002722017) and by the Changjiang fund. The work of N.R. was also supported by Leonhard Euler International Mathematical Institute (agreement no. 075-15-2022-289 date 06/04/2022). We are also grateful to A. Mikhailov for pointing out the reference [26], which contains an important construction of an extension of Poisson algebra associated with its associative deformation. This construction was useful to us.

2. HYBRID ALGEBRA OF OBSERVABLES AND ITS REPRESENTATIONS

2.1. Hybrid quantum algebra of observables. Let (\mathcal{M}, ω) be a symplectic manifold. Think of it as the phase space of a Hamiltonian system. We want to define a quantum system on the background of this classical system.

Let us start with the description of the quantum algebra of observables for such a hybrid system. We assume that for each point $x \in \mathcal{M}$ we have a $*$ -algebra A_x and this construction varies smoothly over \mathcal{M} .

The underlying structure in a hybrid system is the **bundle of observables**. It is a vector bundle over a symplectic manifold (\mathcal{M}, ω)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \longleftarrow & A_x \\ & \downarrow & \\ & \mathcal{M} & \end{array}$$

Here fibers $A_x = \pi^{-1}(x)$ are $*$ -algebras². We also require that E is equipped with a Hermitian (compatible with $*$ -structure) connection α .

The space of smooth sections $A = \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, E)$ has a natural pointwise multiplication

$$(s_1 s_2)_x = (s_1)_x (s_2)_x.$$

The identity $\mathbf{1}$ in this algebra is the section $\mathbf{1}: x \mapsto (1_x, x)$, where 1_x is the identity in A_x . The center of A is $Z(A) = C(\mathcal{M}) \cdot \mathbf{1}$, the subalgebra of sections of the form

$$s(x) = f(x) \cdot 1_x, \quad f(x) \in C(\mathcal{M}).$$

We will identify $Z(A)$ with $C(\mathcal{M})$, the space of smooth functions on \mathcal{M} . It has a natural Poisson structure

$$\{z_1, z_2\} = \omega^{-1}(dz_1 \wedge dz_2), \quad z_1, z_2 \in Z(A).$$

It also acts by derivations on A

$$(1) \quad \{z, s\} = \omega^{-1}(dz \wedge d_\alpha s), \quad z \in Z(A), \quad s \in A.$$

Here d_α is the de Rham differential twisted by α . It can be rewritten as

$$\{z, a\} = i_{v(z)} d_\alpha a, \quad z \in Z(A), \quad a \in A,$$

where $v(z)$ is a Hamiltonian vector field for $z \in C(\mathcal{M})$.

Let $E|_U \simeq U \times A_{x_0}$ be a local trivialization of E over an open neighborhood $U \in \mathcal{M}$ of x_0 . Then

$$d_\alpha s = ds + [\alpha, s],$$

where d is de Rham differential. In local coordinates

$$\{z, s\} = (\omega^{-1})^{ij} \partial_i z \partial_j s + (\omega^{-1})^{ij} \partial_i z [\alpha_j, s].$$

The independence of (1) on the trivialization of E is easy to check. Two trivializations $E|_U \simeq A_{x_0} \times U$ are related by a gauge transformation

$$\alpha \mapsto g^{-1} \alpha g + g^{-1} dg, \quad s \mapsto g^{-1} s g.$$

Because d_α is gauge invariant

$$\begin{aligned} d_\alpha s &\mapsto d(g^{-1} s g) + [g \alpha g, g^{-1} s g] + [g^{-1} dg, g^{-1} s g] = \\ &= -g^{-1} d g g^{-1} s g + g s g g^{-1} dg + g^{-1} [\alpha, s] g + [g^{-1} dg, g^{-1} s g] + g^{-1} ds g = g^{-1} (d_\alpha s) g, \end{aligned}$$

the bracket (1) is gauge invariant, i.e. globally defined.

The algebra A has a natural Poisson module structure over $Z(A)$ ³, which means

$$(2) \quad \{z, s_1 s_2\} = s_1 \{z, s_2\} + \{z, s_1\} s_2, \quad \{\{z_1, z_2\}, s\} = \{z_1, \{z_2, s\}\} - \{z_2, \{z_1, s\}\} + [\{z_1, z_2\}_2, s].$$

Here $\{z_1, z_2\}_2 = i_{v(z_1) \wedge v(z_2)} F_\alpha \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, E)$, where $F_\alpha = d_\alpha^2 \in \Omega^2(\mathcal{M}, E)$ is the curvature of α . The Bianchi identity for F_α implies

$$\{x, \{y, z\}_2\} + \{y, \{z, x\}_2\} + \{z, \{x, y\}_2\} + \{x, \{y, z\}\}_2 + \{y, \{z, x\}\}_2 + \{z, \{x, y\}\}_2 = 0.$$

²Here by $*$ -algebra we mean an associative unital algebra over \mathbb{C} with a \mathbb{C} -antilinear involution $*$: $A \rightarrow A$, $a \mapsto a^*$, $(ab)^* = b^* a^*$, $(a^*)^* = a$, $(\lambda a)^* = \bar{\lambda} a^*$, where $a, b \in A$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$

³This is different from the result of [26], where the algebra A is equipped with a natural Poisson module structure over a commutative Poisson algebra $Z(A) \oplus A/Z(A)$.

When \mathcal{M} is an algebraic variety, and E is the bundle of simple finite algebras and the space of sections $A = \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, E)$ is an associative algebra with pointwise multiplication known as an Azumaya algebra. The center of this algebra is the ring of algebraic functions on \mathcal{M} . The algebra A is finite-dimensional over its center. We add a Poisson structure on $Z(A) \subset A$, which acts on A by derivation, so it is natural to use the term **Poisson Azumaya algebras** for such structures^{4, 5}.

Thus, we can say that *the quantum algebra of observables of a hybrid quantum system is a Poisson Azumaya algebra A .*

2.2. Representation of a hybrid algebra of observables. Let V be a Hermitian vector bundle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \longleftarrow & V_x \\ & \downarrow & \\ & \mathcal{M} & \end{array}$$

with a fiberwise module structure over E , i.e. for each $x \in \mathcal{M}$ we have a homomorphism of algebras

$$\rho_x: A_x \rightarrow \text{End}(V_x).$$

The space of sections of V , $\mathcal{H} = \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, V)$ has a natural structure of an $A = \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, E)$ -module with $\rho: A \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{H})$

$$(\rho(s)v)_x = \rho_x(s_x)v_x.$$

This is a $*$ -representation of A if

$$\rho_x(s_x^*) = \rho_x(s_x)^+,$$

where $a^+: V_x \rightarrow V_x$ is Hermitian conjugate to an operator $a: V_x \rightarrow V_x$

$$(av_x, w_x)_x = (v_x, a^+w_x)_x, \quad v_x, w_x \in V_x,$$

and $(\cdot, \cdot)_x$ is the Hermitian structure on V_x .

We also assume that V has a connection β . This also makes $\mathcal{H} = \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, V)$ a Poisson module over $C(\mathcal{M})$

$$\{z, v\} = \omega^{-1}(dz \wedge d_\beta v),$$

here $z \in C(\mathcal{M})$, $v \in \mathcal{H}$, and that this connection is compatible with the connection α , i.e.

$$d_\beta(sv) = (d_\alpha s)v + sd_\beta v.$$

Compatibility of these connections gives

$$(3) \quad \{z, sf\} = \{z, s\}f + s\{z, f\}, \quad \{\{z_1, z_2\}, f\} = \{z_1, \{z_2, f\}\} - \{z_2, \{z_1, f\}\} + \{z_1, z_2\}_2 f.$$

We will call such a module over A **hybrid module**.

An example of a hybrid algebra of observables is the trivial bundle of the matrix algebras, i.e. $A_x \simeq \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^N)$, $E = \mathcal{M} \times \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^N)$ with a trivial connection. In this case the trivial vector bundle $V = \mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{C}^N$ with a trivial connection is an example of a hybrid module.

⁴In general, the base \mathcal{M} of the vector bundle E in the definition of the Azumaya algebra does not have to be a symplectic manifold; it can have a degenerate Poisson structure. Also, E can be a sheaf of algebras as it happens in quantum groups at roots of unity [7].

⁵If α is projectively flat, $\{z_1, z_2\}_2 \in Z(A)$ and therefore $[\{z_1, z_2\}_2, s] = 0$ for any $s \in A$ and the second relation in (2) becomes Jacobi identity

$$\{\{z_1, z_2\}, s\} = \{z_1, \{z_2, s\}\} - \{z_2, \{z_1, s\}\}.$$

We will call such algebras **flat** Poisson Azumaya algebras.

2.3. Lagrangian modules. Let $\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\pi} B$ be a Lagrangian fibration on \mathcal{M} , i.e. a surjective mapping s.t. $\pi^{-1}(b) \subset \mathcal{M}$ is a Lagrangian submanifold for generic b .

Let $L \subset \mathcal{M}$ be a Lagrangian section of fibration, i.e. it is a Lagrangian manifold such that the intersection

$$L \cap \pi^{-1}(b) = \{x_{L,b}\}$$

is a point. Over an open dense subset in B , it is a section in the usual sense: for generic b it defines a mapping

$$s_L: b \mapsto x_{L,b} \in \mathcal{M},$$

such that $\pi \circ s_L = id$.

An example of a Lagrangian section of $\pi: T^*Q_n \rightarrow Q_n$ is $L_f = \{(p = df(q), q)\}$, where $f \in C(Q_n)$ is such that $df(q_1) = df(q_2)$ iff $q_1 = q_2$ (for example a monotonic function on \mathbb{R}^n). Note that $\pi(L_f) = Q_n$ is a diffeomorphism $L_f \simeq Q_n$.

Let $\mathcal{H} = \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, V)$ be a hybrid module over $A = \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, E)$.

Define the vector bundle $V^{B,L}$ over a dense open subset of generic points of B as a vector bundle with the fiber $V_b^{B,L} = V_{x_{L,b}}$ over generic $b \in B$.

Definition 1. *The space of sections of π_B^L , $\mathcal{H}_B^L = \Gamma(B, V^{B,L})$ is called a **Lagrangian module** over A .*

The A -module structure on \mathcal{H}_B^L is

$$(sf)(b) = s(x_{L,b})f(b).$$

Here $s \in A = \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, E)$, $f \in \mathcal{H}_B^L$ and $f(b) \in V_{x_{L,b}}$.

2.4. Derivations and automorphisms.

2.4.1. Derivations. Here we outline some basic facts about derivations of a Poisson Azumaya algebras.

Definition 2. *A **derivation of a Poisson Azumaya algebra** is a derivation $D: A \rightarrow A$ of the associative algebra A , i.e. a linear map $A \rightarrow A$ such that $D(ab) = D(a)b + aD(b)$ for $a, b \in A$ which is also a derivation of the Poisson structure, i.e.*

$$D(\{z, a\}) = \{D(z), a\} + \{z, D(a)\}$$

for any $z \in Z(A)$ and $a \in A$.

We will use the following terminology:

- D is a **quantum derivation** if it is an inner derivation, i.e. $D(a) = i[H_D^{(1)}, a]$ for some $H_D^{(1)} \in A$ ⁶.
- D is a **Hamiltonian derivation** if $D(a) = \{H_D^{(0)}, a\}$ for some $H_D^{(0)} \in Z(A)$.
- D is a **hybrid derivation** if $D(a) = \{H_D^{(0)}, a\} + i[H_D^{(1)}, a]$ for some $H_D^{(0)} \in Z(A)$ and $H_D^{(1)} \in A$ ⁷.

A derivation $D: A \rightarrow A$ is represented in an A -module $(\mathcal{H}, \rho: A \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{H}))$, if \mathcal{H} is equipped with a linear map $D_{\mathcal{H}}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, s.t.

$$D_{\mathcal{H}}(av) = D(a)v + aD_{\mathcal{H}}(v), \quad a \in A, \quad v \in \mathcal{H}.$$

In particular, a hybrid derivation D is represented in an A -module \mathcal{H} by

$$D_{\mathcal{H}}(v) = \{H_D^{(0)}, v\} + i\tilde{H}_D^{(1)}v, \quad v \in \mathcal{H}.$$

⁶Note that $H_D^{(1)}$ is determined by the derivation D only up to a central element.

⁷In [26] this derivation of algebra A_0 also appeared, but it is interpreted as a Hamiltonian derivation.

where $\tilde{H}_D^{(1)} = H_D^{(1)} + \delta$ is such that $[\delta, \rho(a)] = 0$ for any $a \in A$, i.e. it is an element of the centralizer of $\rho(A) \subset \text{End}(\mathcal{H})$. In our matrix case by the Schur's lemma $\delta = f \cdot I$, $f \in C(\mathcal{M})$.

2.4.2. *Morphisms.* A linear mapping $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ is called a **homomorphism of Poisson Azumaya algebras** if it is a homomorphism of associative algebras

$$\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b),$$

and a morphism of Poisson structures

$$\varphi(\{z, a\}_A) = \{\varphi(z), \varphi(a)\}_B,$$

for $z \in Z(A)$, $a \in A$. An invertible homomorphism of Poisson Azumaya algebras $\varphi: A \rightarrow A$ is called an automorphism of A .

2.5. **The relation to deformation quantization.** Let A_0 be an associative algebra and $Z(A_0)$ be its center.

Let A_\hbar be a flat deformation family of A_0 , i.e. a family of associative algebras A_\hbar together with linear isomorphisms ⁸ $\phi_\hbar: A_\hbar \rightarrow A_0$ such that $\phi_0 = id + O(\hbar)$ ⁹.

Let $*$ be the corresponding $*$ -product on A_0

$$a * b = \phi_\hbar(\phi_\hbar^{-1}(a)\phi_\hbar^{-1}(b)).$$

Here on the right the product is taken in A_\hbar . Define the $*$ -commutator as $[a, b]_* = a * b - b * a$.

We assume that ¹⁰

$$a * b = ab + \sum_{k \geq 1} \hbar^k m_k(a, b).$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \{z, w\} &= i(m_1(z, w) - m_1(w, z)), & z, w \in Z(A_0), \\ \{z, a\} &= i(m_1(z, a) - m_1(a, z)), & z \in Z(A_0), a \in A_0. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\{z, w\}_2 = i(m_2(z, w) - m_2(w, z)), \quad z, w \in Z(A_0).$$

Lemma 1. For $z, w, x \in Z(A_0)$ and $a, b, c \in A_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} [a, [b, c]] + [b, [c, a]] + [c, [a, b]] &= 0, \\ \{z, [a, b]\} &= [\{z, a\}, b] + [a, \{z, b\}], \\ i[a, \{z, w\}_2] &= \{z, \{w, a\}\} - \{w, \{z, a\}\} - \{\{z, w\}, a\}, \\ \{z, \{w, x\}_2\} + \{w, \{x, z\}_2\} + \{x, \{z, w\}_2\} &+ \{z, \{w, x\}\}_2 + \{w, \{x, z\}\}_2 + \{x, \{z, w\}\}_2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The $*$ -commutator satisfies the Jacobi identity

$$[a, [b, c]_*]_* + [b, [c, a]_*]_* + [c, [a, b]_*]_* = 0$$

where $a, b, c \in A_0$. We obtain the proof Lemma 1 by expanding the Jacobi identity to the first non-trivial terms. The first identity we got in \hbar^0 order for $a, b, c \in A_0$, the second identity we obtain in the order \hbar for $a, b \in A_0$ and $c = z \in Z(A_0)$, the third one appears in the order \hbar^2 for $a \in A_0$,

⁸In many practically interesting cases, such linear isomorphisms are given by an identification of linear bases or PBW bases in A_\hbar and in A_0 .

⁹Algebraically, a natural setting is a formal deformation quantization, where algebraic aspects of deformations of algebras are separated from analytical aspects. Since here we are interested in "real" integrable systems, our deformation parameter is a real number.

¹⁰In general, it is hard to justify such analytic expansion, but it is true in many important examples, and it is true for formal deformation quantization.

and $b = z$, $c = w$ in $Z(A_0)$, and the last one in the order \hbar^3 for $a = z$, $b = w$, $c = x$, where $z, w, x \in Z(A_0)$. \square

Thus, an associative deformation of A_0 induces a Poisson algebra structure on $Z(A_0)$ and an action of this Poisson algebra $Z(A_0)$ on A_0 by derivations¹¹. If we add to this assumption that A_0 is finite-dimensional and simple over $Z(A_0)$, we arrive at the definition of Poisson Azumaya algebras. In this deformation quantization context, Poisson Azumaya type algebras appear in quantum groups at roots of unity [7] and in quantum affine algebras at the critical level [14].

Note that derivations of A_\hbar naturally induce derivations of A_0 .

3. HYBRID STATES

3.1. Classical states. Recall that a **classical state** on \mathcal{M} is a probability distribution on \mathcal{M} . An example of such a state is a distribution given by a nonnegative normalized density function on \mathcal{M}

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} \rho_c d^{2n}x = 1,$$

where ρ_c is a density function¹². The value of a classical observable f on the classical state with the density function ρ_c is

$$\mathbb{E}_{\rho_c}(f) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} f(x) \rho_c(x) d^{2n}x.$$

Because \mathcal{M} is symplectic, we have the symplectic volume form ω_x^n . The density function ρ_c of the classical state can now be identified with a function $\rho^c \in C(\mathcal{M})$, such that

$$\rho^c \omega^n = \rho_c d^{2n}x.$$

Then for the expectation value of an observable, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{\rho_c}(f) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \rho^c(x) f(x) \omega_x^n, \quad n = \frac{\dim \mathcal{M}}{2}.$$

3.2. Hybrid states. Define the bundle of local quantum states as a fiber bundle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \longleftarrow & S_x \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & \mathcal{M} \end{array}$$

where S_x is the space of positive normalized functions on A_x .

A collection of positive functionals on A_x , $\lambda_x: A_x \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is **normalized** if

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} \lambda_x(1_x) \omega_x^n = 1.$$

From now on, to avoid the subtleties of functional analysis, assume that $A_x \cong \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^N)$. In this case the space S_x can be identified with the space of Hermitian matrices with nonnegative eigenvalues, i.e. with the space of density matrices. For a density matrix $\rho = \{\rho_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{M}}$ its trace $\text{Tr}(\rho_x) = \rho_x^c$ is a positive-valued function on \mathcal{M} .

¹¹It is easy to show that this Poisson bracket does not depend on the changes in the identification of vector spaces A_0 and A_\hbar $\varphi_\hbar \rightarrow \varphi_\hbar \circ \eta_\hbar$, $\eta_\hbar: A_0 \rightarrow A_0$, $\eta_\hbar(a) = a + \sum_{n \geq 1} \hbar^n \eta^{(n)}(a)$.

¹²Recall that a density function is a function only on open neighborhoods of \mathcal{M} . On the intersection $U \cap V$ we have $\rho_{U,c}(x) = \rho_{V,c}(y) \left| \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \right|$. The Euclidean volume $\rho_{U,c}(x) d^{2n}x$ in this case is globally defined. An orientation of \mathcal{M} gives an identification of densities with top forms on \mathcal{M} .

For a given ρ_x^c the space of density matrices with $\text{Tr}(\rho_x) = \rho_x^c$ is a compact convex subset $S_x(\rho_x^c) \subset \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^N)$. Local **pure** hybrid states with given ρ_x^c are the extremal points of $S_x(\rho_x^c)$. Density matrices for such states are one-dimensional orthogonal projections. They can be written as

$$\rho_x = v_x \otimes v_x^*,$$

where $v_x \in V_x$. Because ρ_x is invariant with respect to transformation $v_x \mapsto e^{i\alpha}v_x$ the space of pure states can be identified with V_x/S^1 .

A hybrid state with the density matrix $\rho \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, S)$ is **normalized** if

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} \text{Tr}(\rho_x)\omega_x^n = 1,$$

i.e. if $\rho_x^c = \text{Tr}(\rho_x)$ is a classical state. If $\rho_x = v_x \otimes v_x^*$ is a pure hybrid state, it is normalized if

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} \|v_x\|^2 \omega_x^n = 1.$$

The value of an observable $s \in A$ on the hybrid state with the density matrix ρ is

$$\mathbb{E}_\rho(s) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \text{Tr}_{V_x}(s_x \rho_x) \omega_x^n.$$

3.3. Lagrangian states.

3.3.1. *Classical Lagrangian state.* Fix a Lagrangian fibration on \mathcal{M} , i.e. fix a projection $\pi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow B$, where generic fiber $\pi^{-1}(b)$ is a Lagrangian submanifold.

The cotangent bundle $\pi: T^*Q \rightarrow Q$ is an example of a Lagrangian fibration with fibers being T_q^*Q .

Let ρ_B be a density function on B . It is a function on every open neighborhood such that on the intersection of two neighborhoods it changes as

$$\rho_B(b) = \rho_B(b') \left| \frac{\partial b'}{\partial b} \right|,$$

where b and b' are local coordinates. Then the measure $\mu(U) = \int_U \rho_B(b) d^n b$ is globally defined. Assume that ρ_B is normalized, i.e. $\int_B \rho_B(b) d^n b = 1$.

Define the corresponding classical state as the one with the density function

$$(4) \quad \rho_x^{\text{cl}} = \int_B \rho_B(b) \delta(x, x_{L,b}) d^n b.$$

Here $\delta(x, y)$ is a distribution supported on the diagonal of $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$, i.e.

$$\int_{\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}} \delta(x, y) g(x, y) \omega_x^n \omega_y^n = \int_{\mathcal{M}} g(x, x) \omega_x^n$$

for every test function $g(x, y)$. In other words

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} f(x) \delta(x, x') \omega_x^n = f(x').$$

We will call states with density function (4) **Lagrangian states**.

The expectation value of a classical observable on a classical Lagrangian state is

$$\mathbb{E}_{\rho^{\text{cl}}}(f) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \rho_x^{\text{cl}} f(x) \omega_x^n = \int_B f(x_{L,b}) \rho_B(b) d^n b.$$

3.3.2. *Hybrid Lagrangian state.* Let $x_{L,b} = L \cap \pi^{-1}(b)$ be as above and

$$\rho_B^L(b): V_{x_{L,b}} \rightarrow V_{x_{L,b}}$$

be a Hermitian nonnegative operator. Assume that $\text{Tr}(\rho_B^L(b))$ is a density on B . Define a hybrid Lagrangian state as the following linear functional on A

$$\mathbb{E}_{\rho_B^L}(s) = \int_B \text{Tr}_{V_{x_{L,b}}}(\rho_B^L(b) s_{x_{L,b}}) d^n b,$$

assuming that ρ_B^L is normalized, i.e. $\mathbb{E}_{\rho_B^L}(1) = 1$.

3.4. **Pure hybrid Lagrangian states.** For a Lagrangian fibration $\pi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow B$ define the "space of wavefunctions" \mathcal{H}_B^L as the space of 1/2-density sections of the vector bundle $V^{B,L} \rightarrow B$ with the fibers $V_{x_{L,b}}$. For generic $b \in B$, $V_{x_{L,b}} \simeq \mathbb{C}^N$ with the natural Hermitian structure inherited from V .

For $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_B^L$ define the density matrix of the corresponding hybrid Lagrangian pure state as the one-dimensional orthogonal projector

$$\rho_B^L(b) = \varphi(b) \otimes \varphi^*(b): V_{x_{L,b}} \rightarrow V_{x_{L,b}}$$

normalized as

$$\int_B \text{Tr}_{V_{x_{L,b}}}(\rho_B^L(b)) d^n b = \int_B \|\varphi(b)\|_{x_{L,b}}^2 d^n b = 1,$$

here $\varphi(b) \in V_{x_{L,b}}$ and $\|\varphi(b)\|_{x_{L,b}}^2$ is the norm in $V_{x_{L,b}}$.

The expectation value of an observable s on this state is

$$\mathbb{E}_{\rho_B^L}(s) = \int_B (\varphi(b), s_{x_{L,b}} \varphi(b))_{x_{L,b}} d^n b.$$

4. THE HYBRID EVOLUTION

4.1. **The time evolution of observables.** Given a derivation D of a Poisson Azumaya algebra A , we define the time evolution generated by this derivation as a 1-parametric family of automorphisms of A , $\varphi_t: A \rightarrow A$ such that $a_t = \varphi_t(a)$ is a solution of the differential equation

$$\frac{\partial a_t}{\partial t} = D(a_t), \quad \text{with } a_0 = a.$$

This is a hybrid version of the Heisenberg evolution.

Fix a classical Hamiltonians H_0 that is a smooth real-valued function on \mathcal{M} and a quantum Hamiltonian $H_1 \in A$, $H_1^* = H_1$ ¹³. Such pair defines a hybrid derivation $D(a) = \{H^{(0)}, a\} + [H^{(1)}, a]$.

Define **the hybrid evolution of** $s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, A)$ as

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial s(t)}{\partial t} = \{H_0, s(t)\} + i[H_1, s(t)], \\ s(0) = s. \end{cases}$$

Fiberwise on A_x we have

$$\frac{\partial s_x(t)}{\partial t} = \{H_0, s(t)\}_x + i[(H_1)_x, s_x(t)].$$

Note that H_0 is an integral of motion for this evolution, but H_1 is not.

¹³The relevant (for the Heisenberg equation) part of H_1 is $[H_1] \in A/Z(A)$ (the quotient of vector spaces, not of algebras). So, we can think of a pair of Hamiltonians H_0 and H_1 as one element: $H = H_0 + [H_1]$, where we split the algebra $A = Z(A) \oplus A/Z(A)$ as a vector space.

4.2. **The classical case.** Assume $H_1 = 0$, so we lift classical dynamics to quantum fibers using the connection α

$$\frac{\partial s(t)}{\partial t} = \{H_0, s(t)\}.$$

Theorem 1. *The formula*

$$s_x(t) = h_{x,x(t)} s_{x(t)} h_{x(t),x},$$

gives the solution to the Cauchy problem

$$\frac{\partial s(t)}{\partial t} = \{H_0, s(t)\}, \quad s(0) = s.$$

Proof. Consider $s_x(t) = h_{x,x(t)} s_{x(t)} h_{x(t),x}$, where $h_{x,x(t)}$ and $h_{x(t),x}$ are parallel transport operators along a classical trajectory defined by the connection α :

$$\frac{\partial h_{x,x(t)}}{\partial t} = h_{x,x(t)} \alpha_j(x(t)) \dot{x}^j(t), \quad \frac{\partial h_{x(t),x}}{\partial t} = -\dot{x}^j(t) \alpha_j(x(t)) h_{x(t),x}.$$

Let us derive the equation for $s_x(t)$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial s_x(t)}{\partial t} &= h_{x,x(t)} \alpha_j(x(t)) \dot{x}^j(t) s_{x(t)} h_{x(t),x} + h_{x,x(t)} \dot{x}^j(t) \frac{\partial s}{\partial x^j} \Big|_{x(t)} h_{x(t),x} - h_{x,x(t)} s_{x(t)} \dot{x}^j(t) \alpha_j(x(t)) h_{x(t),x} = \\ &= (\omega^{-1})^{ij}(x(t)) \frac{\partial H_0}{\partial x^i} \Big|_{x(t)} h_{x,x(t)} [\alpha_j(x(t)), s_{x(t)}] h_{x(t),x} + (\omega^{-1})^{ij}(x(t)) \frac{\partial H_0}{\partial x^i} \Big|_{x(t)} h_{x,x(t)} \frac{\partial s}{\partial x^j} \Big|_{x(t)} h_{x(t),x} = \\ &= (\omega^{-1})^{ij}(x(t)) \frac{\partial H_0}{\partial x^i} \Big|_{x(t)} h_{x,x(t)} \nabla_j^{x(t)} s_{x(t)} h_{x(t),x} = (\omega^{-1})^{ij}(x(t)) (J^{-1})_i^l \frac{\partial H_0}{\partial x^l} h_{x,x(t)} \nabla_j^{x(t)} s_{x(t)} h_{x(t),x}. \end{aligned}$$

where $J = \frac{\partial x(t)}{\partial x}$ is Jacobian.

Lemma 2. *The following holds:*

$$\nabla_j (h_{x,x(t)} s_{x(t)} h_{x(t),x}) = \frac{\partial x^k(t)}{\partial x^j} h_{x,x(t)} \nabla_k^{x(t)} s_{x(t)} h_{x(t),x}.$$

Proof. By definition

$$\nabla_j (h_{x,x(t)} s_{x(t)} h_{x(t),x}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} (h_{x,x(t)} s_{x(t)} h_{x(t),x}) + [\alpha_j(x), h_{x,x(t)} s_{x(t)} h_{x(t),x}].$$

By definition of the holonomy $h_{x,y}$

$$\frac{\partial h_{x,y}}{\partial x^j} = -\alpha_j(x) h_{x,y}, \quad \frac{\partial h_{x,y}}{\partial y^j} = h_{x,y} \alpha_j(y).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} (h_{x,x(t)} s_{x(t)} h_{x(t),x}) &= \frac{\partial h_{x,x(t)}}{\partial x^j} s_{x(t)} h_{x(t),x} + h_{x,x(t)} s_{x(t)} \frac{\partial h_{x(t),x}}{\partial x^j} + \\ &+ \frac{\partial x^k(t)}{\partial x^j} \left(\frac{\partial h_{x,x(t)}}{\partial x^k(t)} s_{x(t)} h_{x(t),x} + h_{x,x(t)} \frac{\partial s_{x(t)}}{\partial x^k(t)} h_{x(t),x} + h_{x,x(t)} s_{x(t)} \frac{\partial h_{x(t),x}}{\partial x^k(t)} \right) = \\ &= -\alpha_j(x) h_{x,x(t)} s_{x(t)} h_{x(t),x} + h_{x,x(t)} s_{x(t)} h_{x(t),x} \alpha_j(x) + \\ &+ \frac{\partial x^k(t)}{\partial x^j} h_{x,x(t)} \left(\alpha_k(x(t)) s_{x(t)} + \frac{\partial s_{x(t)}}{\partial x^k(t)} - s_{x(t)} \alpha_k(x(t)) \right) h_{x(t),x} = \\ &= -[\alpha_k(x), h_{x,x(t)} s_{x(t)} h_{x(t),x}] + \frac{\partial x^k(t)}{\partial x^j} h_{x,x(t)} \nabla_k^{x(t)} s_{x(t)} h_{x(t),x}. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\nabla_j (h_{x,x(t)} s_{x(t)} h_{x(t),x}) = J_j^k h_{x,x(t)} \nabla_k^{x(t)} s_{x(t)} h_{x(t),x}.$$

□

Applying this lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial s_x(t)}{\partial t} &= (\omega^{-1})^{ij}(x(t)) (J^{-1})_i^l \frac{\partial H_0}{\partial x^l} (J^{-1})_j^k \nabla_k (h_{x,x(t)} s_{x(t)} h_{x(t),x}) = \\ &= (\omega^{-1})^{kl}(x) \frac{\partial H_0}{\partial x^l} \nabla_k (h_{x,x(t)} s_{x(t)} h_{x(t),x}) = (\omega^{-1})^{kl}(x) \frac{\partial H_0}{\partial x^l} \nabla_k s_x(t) = \{H_0, s(t)\}_x. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we proved the theorem. □

4.3. The hybrid case. Now assume that $H_1 \neq 0$. For the hybrid evolution of s , we have

$$\frac{\partial s(t)}{\partial t} = \{H_0, s(t)\} + i[H_1, s(t)].$$

Let $\mathcal{U}(t)$ be a solution to the Cauchy problem

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}(t)}{\partial t} = \{H_0, \mathcal{U}(t)\} + iH_1 \mathcal{U}(t), \quad \mathcal{U}(0) = 1.$$

Remark: Note that if $\{H_0, H_1\} = 0$, we have $\mathcal{U}_x(t) = e^{i(H_1)_x t}$. But since in general this is not the case, $\mathcal{U}(t)$ has a more complicated form.

Theorem 2. *The solution to*

$$\frac{\partial s_x(t)}{\partial t} = \{H_0, s(t)\}_x + i[(H_1)_x, s_x(t)], \quad s(0) = s$$

in the $H_1 \neq 0$ case is given by

$$(5) \quad s_x(t) = \mathcal{U}_x(t) h_{x,x(t)} s_{x(t)} h_{x(t),x} \mathcal{U}_x(t)^{-1}.$$

Proof. Differentiating (5) in time, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial s_x(t)}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_x(t)}{\partial t} h_{x,x(t)} s_{x(t)} h_{x(t),x} \mathcal{U}_x(t)^{-1} + \\ &\quad + \mathcal{U}_x(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (h_{x,x(t)} s_{x(t)} h_{x(t),x}) \mathcal{U}_x(t)^{-1} + \mathcal{U}_x(t) h_{x,x(t)} s_{x(t)} h_{x(t),x} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_x(t)^{-1}}{\partial t}. \end{aligned}$$

We have already proven above that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (h_{x,x(t)} s_{x(t)} h_{x(t),x}) = \{H_0, h_{x,x(t)} s_{x(t)} h_{x(t),x}\}.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (h_{x,x(t)} s_{x(t)} h_{x(t),x}) &= \{H_0, h_{x,x(t)} s_{x(t)} h_{x(t),x}\} = \{H_0, \mathcal{U}_x(t)^{-1} s_x(t) \mathcal{U}_x(t)\} = \\ &= \mathcal{U}_x(t)^{-1} \{H_0, s(t)\}_x \mathcal{U}_x(t) - \mathcal{U}_x(t)^{-1} \{H_0, \mathcal{U}(t)\}_x \mathcal{U}_x(t)^{-1} s_x(t) \mathcal{U}_x(t) + \mathcal{U}_x(t)^{-1} s_x(t) \{H_0, \mathcal{U}(t)\}_x, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore,

$$\frac{\partial s_x(t)}{\partial t} = \{H_0, s(t)\}_x + \left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_x(t)}{\partial t} \mathcal{U}_x(t)^{-1} - \{H_0, \mathcal{U}(t)\}_x \mathcal{U}_x(t)^{-1}, s_x(t) \right].$$

Using the equation on $\mathcal{U}(t)$, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial s_x(t)}{\partial t} = \{H_0, s(t)\}_x + i[(H_1)_x, s_x(t)],$$

which proves the theorem. □

4.4. **The evolution of hybrid states.** By definition, density matrices evolve as

$$\mathbb{E}_{\rho(t)}(s) = \mathbb{E}_{\rho}(s(t)),$$

where s is any observable and $\mathbb{E}_{\rho}(s)$ is the expectation value of s with the density matrix ρ .

Proposition. States evolve according to solutions to the differential equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho_x(t)}{\partial t} = -\{H_0, \rho(t)\}_x - i[(H_1)_x, \rho_x(t)].$$

Proof. The local value of a state on evolving observable is

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{V_x}(\rho_x s_x(t)) = \mathrm{Tr}_{V_x}(\rho_x \mathcal{U}_x(t) h_{x,x(t)} s_{x(t)} h_{x(t),x} \mathcal{U}_x(t)^{-1}) = \mathrm{Tr}_{V_x}(h_{x(t),x} \mathcal{U}_x(t)^{-1} \rho_x \mathcal{U}_x(t) h_{x,x(t)} s_{x(t)}).$$

The global value of a state on an observable

$$\mathbb{E}_{\rho}(s(t)) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{Tr}_{V_x}(\rho_x s_x(t)) \omega_x^n = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{Tr}_{V_{x(t)}}(h_{x(t),x} \mathcal{U}_x(t)^{-1} \rho_x \mathcal{U}_x(t) h_{x,x(t)} s_{x(t)}) \omega_x^n.$$

Changing the variables $y = x(t)$, $x = y(-t)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad \mathbb{E}_{\rho}(s(t)) &= \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{Tr}_{V_y}(h_{y,y(-t)} (\mathcal{U}_{y(-t)}(t))^{-1} \rho_{y(-t)} \mathcal{U}_{y(-t)}(t) h_{y(-t),y} s_y) \omega_y^n = \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{Tr}_{V_y}(\rho_y(t) s_y) \omega_y^n = \mathbb{E}_{\rho(t)}(s). \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\rho_y(t) = h_{y,y(-t)} (\mathcal{U}_{y(-t)}(t))^{-1} \rho_{y(-t)} \mathcal{U}_{y(-t)}(t) h_{y(-t),y},$$

which gives the differential equation for $\rho_x(t)$. \square

4.5. **The evolution of pure Lagrangian states.** Define the evolution of Lagrangian density matrices as

$$\mathbb{E}_{\rho_B^{L(t)}(t)}(s) = \mathbb{E}_{\rho_B^L}(s(t)),$$

where $s(t)$ is the evolution of the state s . According to the Theorem 2

$$s_x(t) = \mathcal{U}_x(t) h_{x,x(t)} s_{x(t)} h_{x(t),x} \mathcal{U}_x(t)^{-1}.$$

For a pure hybrid Lagrangian state

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\rho_B^{L(t)}(t)}(s) &= \int_B \left(\varphi(b), \mathcal{U}_{x_{L,b}}(t) h_{x_{L,b},x(t)} s_{x(t)} h_{x(t),x_{L,b}} (\mathcal{U}_{x_{L,b}}(t))^{-1} \varphi(b) \right)_{x_{L,b}} d^n b = \\ &= \int_B \left(h_{x(t),x_{L,b}} (\mathcal{U}_{x_{L,b}}(t))^{-1} \varphi(b), s_{x(t)} h_{x(t),x_{L,b}} (\mathcal{U}_{x_{L,b}}(t))^{-1} \varphi(b) \right)_{x(t)} d^n b = \\ &= \int_B (\varphi_t(b(t)), s_{x(t)} \varphi_t(b(t)))_{x(t)} d^n b. \end{aligned}$$

The endpoint $x(t)$ coincides with $L(t) \cap \pi^{-1}(b(t))$ where $L(t)$ is the evolution of Lagrangian section $L \subset \mathcal{M}$ along classical trajectories. The scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{x(t)}$ is the scalar product in $V_{x(t)}$. Note, that ¹⁴ $\varphi_t(b(t)) \in V_{x(t)}$ is defined as

$$\varphi_t(b(t)) = h_{x(t),x_{L,b}} (\mathcal{U}_{x_{L,b}}(t))^{-1} \varphi(b) = (\mathcal{U}_{x(t)}(t))^{-1} \varphi(b) \in \mathcal{H}_B^{L(t)}.$$

For $\varphi_t(b(t))$ we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \varphi_t(b(t)) = -iH_1(x(t)) \varphi_t(b(t)).$$

¹⁴The vector $\varphi_t(b)$ is a $\frac{1}{2}$ -density on B .

4.6. Correlation functions. Hybrid systems are not conservative, so natural physical quantities that characterize quantum dynamics are time dependent correlation functions.

For quantum observables $s^{(1)}, \dots, s^{(n)}$ time dependent correlation functions in the state with the density matrix $\rho^{(n)}$ are

$$\mathbb{E}_\rho (s^{(1)}(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes s^{(n)}(t_n)) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \text{Tr}_{V_x^{\otimes n}} (\rho_x^{(n)}(s_x^{(1)}(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes s_x^{(n)}(t_n))) \omega_x^n.$$

Here $\rho_x^{(n)}$ is the section of the n -th power of the state bundle of local quantum states $S \otimes \dots \otimes S$.

In general eigenvalues of $H_1(x(t))$ are time dependent, so are the spectral functions of $H_1(x(t))$. However, if the trajectory is periodic, we have monodromy operators

$$M_{x_0} = \text{Pexp} \left(i \int_0^T H_1(x(t)) dt \right) \in \text{End}(V_{x_0}),$$

where $\{x(t)\}$ is a T -periodic trajectory with $x_0 = x(0) = x(T)$. The spectrum of these monodromy operators is similar to Bloch spectrum for periodic potentials.

In the example of discrete Sine-Gordon model [1] such monodromy operator for minimal periodic orbit is the transfer matrix for the Chiral Potts model.

Computation of correlation functions and of spectra of monodromy operators in hybrid integrable systems is an interesting problem, but we will not focus on it here.

4.7. The hybrid evolution and the deformation quantization. Let A_\hbar be a deformation family of A_0 and $\widehat{H}_\hbar \in A_\hbar$ be an element of the deformed algebra such that

$$\phi_\hbar(\widehat{H}_\hbar) = H^{(0)} + \hbar H^{(1)} + O(\hbar^2), \quad H^{(0)} \in Z(A_0), \quad H^{(1)} \in A_0.$$

We will call such family **semiclassically hybrid**.

As $\hbar \rightarrow 0$, the Heisenberg evolution generated by \widehat{H}_\hbar on A_\hbar

$$-i\hbar \frac{\partial a}{\partial t} = [\widehat{H}_\hbar, a]$$

becomes a split hybrid Heisenberg evolution on A_0

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial t} = \{H^{(0)}, a\} + i[H^{(1)}, a].$$

5. HYBRID INTEGRABLE SYSTEMS

5.1. The hybrid integrable multi-time evolution. Let I_1, \dots, I_n be n independent Poisson commuting functions on $(\mathcal{M}_{2n}, \omega)$, i.e.

$$\{I_i, I_j\} = 0, \quad \forall i, j, \quad dI_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dI_n \neq 0.$$

They define a Liouville integrable system on \mathcal{M} and they generate multi-time Hamiltonian dynamics on \mathcal{M} ¹⁵. Its multi-time flow lines $x(\mathbf{t}) = x(t_1, \dots, t_n)$, which are solutions to Hamilton's equations

$$\frac{\partial x(\mathbf{t})}{\partial t_k} = \omega^{-1}(dI_k(x(\mathbf{t}))).$$

Let A be the algebra of quantum observables for a hybrid system.

¹⁵In general, an integrable system is a vibration $\mathcal{M}_{2n} \xrightarrow{\pi} B_n$ where generic fibers $\pi^{-1}(b)$ are Lagrangian. In this case I_1, \dots, I_n are pull-backs of local coordinate functions on B_n .

Definition 3. A hybrid integrable multi-time evolution of $s \in A$ with the classical background dynamics generated by I_1, \dots, I_n and with quantum Hamiltonians $\tilde{M}_1, \dots, \tilde{M}_n \in A$ is the solution to the system of differential equations

$$(7) \quad \frac{\partial s_{\mathbf{t}}}{\partial t_k} = \{I_k, s_{\mathbf{t}}\} + i[\tilde{M}_k, s_{\mathbf{t}}],$$

with the initial condition $s_0 = s$. Quantum Hamiltonians \tilde{M}_i should satisfy the compatibility condition

$$c_{k,l} = \{I_k, \tilde{M}_l\} + \{\tilde{M}_k, I_l\} + i[\tilde{M}_k, \tilde{M}_l] - i\{I_k, I_l\}_2 \in Z(A), \quad \forall k, l.$$

Here $\{f, g\}_2 = i_{v(f) \wedge v(g)}(F_\alpha)$, where F_α is the curvature of connection α .

Equation (7) describes the Heisenberg hybrid integrable multi-time evolution of observables $s \in A$. Let \mathcal{H} be a hybrid module over A as in section 2.2. The Schrödinger picture describes the multi-time evolution of vectors in \mathcal{H} :

$$(8) \quad \frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{t}}(x)}{\partial t_k} = -\{I_k(x), f_{\mathbf{t}}(x)\} - iM_k(x)f_{\mathbf{t}}(x), \quad f_0(x) = f(x).$$

For hybrid Schrödinger dynamics the compatibility condition is

$$(9) \quad \{I_k, M_l\} + \{M_k, I_l\} + i[M_k, M_l] - i\{I_k, I_l\}_2 = 0,$$

where we take into account (3). Any hybrid Schrödinger dynamics defines a hybrid Heisenberg dynamics of observables from $A = \text{End}(\mathcal{H})$ with $\tilde{M}_k = M_k$.

The Heisenberg dynamics (7) can be evaluated in a representation $\rho: A \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{H})$. But in order to define the Schrödinger dynamics on vectors from \mathcal{H} we have to find M_k in (8) that satisfy (9), such that $\tilde{M}_k - M_k = \Delta_k$ with Δ_k being in the centralizer $Z(\rho(A), \text{End}(\mathcal{H}))$ of $\rho(A)$ in $\text{End}(\mathcal{H})$. In our matrix case, this means that $\Delta_k = g_k \cdot 1$. Thus, a hybrid Heisenberg dynamics can be lifted to a Schrödinger dynamics in the representation space \mathcal{H} if

$$c_{k,l} = \{I_k, \Delta_l\} + \{\Delta_k, I_l\}$$

for some $\Delta_k \in Z(\rho(A), \text{End}(\mathcal{H}))$, $k = 1, \dots, n$. In all our examples we have the lift of Heisenberg dynamics to Schrödinger dynamics.

Note, that when $M_k = 0$, the hybrid Heisenberg and Schrödinger dynamics is just the lifts of the Hamiltonian dynamics generated by $\{I_k\}$ to sections of E and V respectively.

5.2. The multi-time evolution in Lagrangian modules. Now let us show that the Schrödinger picture of hybrid integrable evolution restricts to \mathcal{H}_B^L .

Indeed, let $F_{\mathbf{t}}(x) = f_{\mathbf{t}}(x(\mathbf{t}))$ where $x(\mathbf{0}) = x$, and $x(\mathbf{t})$ is the multi-time evolution generated by $\{I_k\}$ and $f_{\mathbf{t}}$ is the multi-time evolution (8) in \mathcal{H} . For $F_{\mathbf{t}}(x)$ we have (compare with Section 4.4)

$$(10) \quad \frac{\partial F_{\mathbf{t}}(x)}{\partial t_k} = -iM_k(x(\mathbf{t}))F_{\mathbf{t}}(x),$$

here $F_{\mathbf{t}}(x) \in V_{x(\mathbf{t})}$, where V_x is the fiber of V over x .

Differential equations (10) also defines a dynamics of Lagrangian states similar to the "one time" dynamic described in Section 4.5. Let $\phi_{\mathbf{t}}: x \mapsto x(\mathbf{t})$ where $x = x(0)$, be the multi-time evolution on \mathcal{M} . Let $L(\mathbf{t}) = \phi_{\mathbf{t}}(L)$ be the multi-time evolution of the Lagrangian subspace L . The multi-time integrable evolution of vectors in \mathcal{H}_B^L is a family $\varphi_{\mathbf{t}}(b) \in \mathcal{H}_B^{L(\mathbf{t})}$ given by solutions to:

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_{\mathbf{t}}(b)}{\partial t_k} = -iM_k(x(\mathbf{t}))\varphi_{\mathbf{t}}(b), \quad \varphi_0 \in \mathcal{H}_B^L.$$

Here $x(\mathbf{t})$ is a multi-time evolution connecting L and $\pi^{-1}(b)$ in times \mathbf{t} , i.e. $x(\mathbf{t}) \in L(\mathbf{t}) \cap \pi^{-1}(b)$, and $\varphi_{\mathbf{t}}(b) \in V_{x(\mathbf{t})}$.

5.3. Hybrid integrable systems and deformation quantization. Let A_{\hbar} be a flat deformation family of A_0 . Consider a Poisson structure on $Z(A_0)$ and a Poisson module structure on A_0 induced by this deformation. Assume that Poisson algebra $Z(A_0)$ is the algebra of functions on a symplectic manifold \mathcal{M} of the dimension $2n$.

Let $\hat{H}_1, \dots, \hat{H}_n \in A_{\hbar}$ be mutually commuting independent elements in A_{\hbar}

$$(11) \quad [\hat{H}_k, \hat{H}_l] = 0.$$

Assume that each of them has the form

$$\phi_{\hbar}: \hat{H}_k \mapsto H_k^{(0)} + \hbar H_k^{(1)} + O(\hbar^2), \quad H_k^{(0)} \in Z(A_0), \quad H_k^{(1)} \in A_0,$$

where $H_1^{(0)}, \dots, H_n^{(0)}$ are independent elements of $C(\mathcal{M})$. Then

$$\phi_{\hbar}([\hat{H}_j, \hat{H}_k]) = 0 = [H_j^{(0)} + \hbar H_j^{(1)} + O(\hbar^2), H_k^{(0)} + \hbar H_k^{(1)} + O(\hbar^2)]_*.$$

The expansion of the RHS in \hbar leads to

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \{H_k^{(0)}, H_l^{(0)}\} = 0, \\ & \{H_k^{(0)}, H_k^{(0)}\}_2 + \{H_k^{(0)}, H_l^{(1)}\} + \{H_k^{(1)}, H_l^{(0)}\} + i[H_k^{(1)}, H_l^{(1)}] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $H_1^{(0)}, \dots, H_n^{(0)}$ form a classical integrable system on \mathcal{M} , and together with $H_1^{(1)}, \dots, H_n^{(1)}$ define a hybrid Heisenberg dynamics on A_0 as the semiclassical limit of quantum integrable dynamics generated by $\hat{H}_1, \dots, \hat{H}_n$.

Indeed, the elements $\hat{H}_1, \dots, \hat{H}_n$ generate multi-time flow on A_{\hbar}

$$(13) \quad -i\hbar \frac{\partial \hat{a}_{\mathbf{t}}}{\partial t_k} = [\hat{H}_k, \hat{a}_{\mathbf{t}}], \quad \hat{a}_{\mathbf{t}} \in A_{\hbar}.$$

The commutativity of \hat{H}_k (11) is the compatibility condition of these time flows. In the limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$, these equations define the multi-time hybrid Heisenberg dynamics on A_0

$$\frac{\partial a_{\mathbf{t}}}{\partial t_k} = \{H_k^{(0)}, a_{\mathbf{t}}\} + i[H_k^{(1)}, a_{\mathbf{t}}].$$

The identity (12) guarantees the compatibility of this system. Note that (12) also guarantees that the Heisenberg dynamics (13) can be evaluated and gives Schrödinger dynamics in representation.

6. THE SEMICLASSICAL ASYMPTOTIC OF A HYBRID MATRIX SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION

6.1. The nonstationary semiclassical asymptotic. The goal of this section is to describe semiclassical solutions to the non-stationary matrix-valued Schrödinger equation when quantum Hamiltonian is semiclassically proportional to the identity matrix. The results of this section are contained in [23, 24] where they appear as part of a more general theory. See also [2] where a related problem for infinite-dimensional fibers was addressed.

Consider a quantum mechanical system with the quantum algebra of observables being \hbar -differential operators $D(\mathbb{R}^n, \text{End}(L))$ with values in $\text{End}(L)$ where L is a Hilbert space. Elements of this algebra are differential operators of the form $P(-i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial q}, q)$ ¹⁶ with coefficients being $\text{End}(L)$ -valued function on \mathbb{R}^n . Here we assume that L is \mathbb{C}^N with the standard Hermitian structure.¹⁷

Assume that as $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ the Hamiltonian of the system has the following structure

$$(14) \quad \hat{H}_{\hbar} = H_0(p, q)I + \hbar M(p, q) + O(\hbar^2),$$

¹⁶Here we use Weyl ordering.

¹⁷In a more general case, one can consider a nontrivial vector bundle V .

where $H_0 \in C_{pol}^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the symbol of \hat{H} , I is the identity matrix in \mathbb{C}^N and M is a matrix-valued function on $T^*\mathbb{R}^n$, i.e. that \hat{H}_\hbar is semiclassically hybrid. It defines a hybrid integrable system with the bundle of hybrid observables $E = T^*\mathbb{R}^n \times \text{End}(L)$ with trivial flat connection.

Let us describe semiclassical solutions to the Schrödinger equation

$$(15) \quad i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(t, q) = \hat{H} \psi(t, q),$$

with initial conditions

$$(16) \quad \psi(0, q) = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} f(q)} \varphi(q).$$

Let $\phi_t: T^*Q \rightarrow T^*Q$ be the time evolution generated by $H_0 = \sum_{k=0}^n A_k(q) p^k \in C(T^*Q)$. It acts as $\phi_t: x \mapsto x(t)$ where $x(t)$ is the time evolution, i.e. the solution to Hamilton's equations for H_0 with $x(0) = x$.

For a smooth function $f: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ define the Lagrangian submanifold $L_f = \{(p, q) \mid p = df(q)\}$. It remains Lagrangian with the evolution. Assume that the Lagrangian submanifolds $\phi_t(L_f)$ and T_q^*Q intersect transversally over finitely many points.

Let $\sigma_\alpha = \{p^\alpha(\tau), q^\alpha(\tau)\}_{\tau=0}^t$ be classical trajectories connecting Lagrangian submanifolds L_f and T_q^*Q in time t . They correspond to intersection points $\phi_t(L_f) \cap T_q^*Q$. Denote by $q_0^\alpha(q, t) = q^\alpha(0) \in L_f$ initial points of these trajectories.

For a parametrized path $\sigma: [0, t] \rightarrow T^*Q$, $\tau \mapsto (p(\tau), q(\tau))$, $0 \leq \tau \leq t$ we have the Hamilton–Jacobi action

$$(17) \quad S[\sigma] = \int_0^t (p(\tau) \dot{q}(\tau) - H_0(p(\tau), q(\tau))) d\tau + f(q(0)).$$

Fix $q^\alpha(0) = q_0$ in the trajectory $\sigma_\alpha = \{p^\alpha(\tau), q^\alpha(\tau)\}_{\tau=0}^t$ and denote by $\Psi^\alpha(q_0, t)$ the solution to the vector-valued ODE

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Psi^\alpha(q_0, t) = -iM(p^\alpha(t), q^\alpha(t)) \Psi^\alpha(q_0, t),$$

with the initial condition

$$\Psi^\alpha(q_0, 0) = \varphi(q_0).$$

Theorem 3. ¹⁸ *As $\hbar \rightarrow 0$, the solution to (15) with the initial condition (16) has the following asymptotic*

$$(18) \quad \psi(q, t) = \sum_\alpha D^\alpha(q, t) \exp\left(\frac{iS^\alpha(q, t)}{\hbar} + i\frac{\pi}{4}\mu_\alpha\right) \Psi^\alpha(q_0^\alpha(q, t), t)(1 + O(\hbar)),$$

where $S^\alpha(q, t)$ is the critical value of the modified Hamilton–Jacobi action on the trajectory σ_α , connecting L_f and T_q^*Q in time t , $q_0^\alpha(q, t)$ is the initial point of this trajectory, $D^\alpha(q, t) = \left| \frac{\partial q_0^\alpha(q, t)}{\partial q} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $\Psi^\alpha(q, t)$ is defined above, and μ_α is the Morse index of the trajectory σ_α , also known as the Maslov index.

6.2. The semiclassical dynamics of hybrid Schrödinger integrable systems. Now assume that we have n commuting matrix-valued differential operators on an n -dimensional manifold Q of the form (14):

$$\hat{H}_k = H_k(p, q)I + \hbar M_k(p, q), \quad k = 1, \dots, n,$$

i.e. that we have a semiclassically hybrid integrable system.

¹⁸This theorem can be found in [8, 24]. We outline the proof see Appendix A.

The multi-time evolution $\psi \mapsto \psi_{\mathbf{t}}$ is a solution to the system of equations

$$(19) \quad i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi_{\mathbf{t}}}{\partial t_k} = \widehat{H}_k \psi_{\mathbf{t}}, \quad \psi_0 = \psi,$$

where $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$.

Let us describe the semiclassical behavior of solutions to the multi-time nonstationary equation (19) with initial conditions

$$(20) \quad \psi_0(q) = e^{i\frac{f(q)}{\hbar}} \varphi(q).$$

As before let $L_f = \{(p = df(q), q)\} \subset T^*Q$ be the Lagrangian submanifold which is the graph of the function $df: Q \rightarrow T^*Q$ and let $\phi_{\mathbf{t}}: T^*Q \rightarrow T^*Q$ be the multi-time evolution generated by Poisson commuting Hamiltonians $H_k(p, q)$. The image $\phi_{\mathbf{t}}(L_f)$ with respect to the multi-time evolution remains Lagrangian submanifold and for generic q the intersection $\phi_{\mathbf{t}}(L_f) \cap T_q^*Q$ consists of finitely many points. Preimages of these points in L_f are initial points of multi-time trajectories σ_{α} connecting L_f and T_q^*Q in multi-time \mathbf{t} . Denote these points on L_f by $q_0^{\alpha}(q, \mathbf{t})$. The trajectories σ_{α} are critical points of the multi-time modified Hamilton–Jacobi action $S_{\gamma}[\sigma] + f(q(0))$ (see Appendix B for details). Denote by $S^{\alpha}(q, \mathbf{t})$ corresponding critical values.

Theorem 4. *The solution to (19) with the initial conditions (20) have the following asymptotic when $\hbar \rightarrow 0$:*

$$\psi_{\mathbf{t}}(q) = \sum_{\alpha} \left| \frac{\partial q_0^{\alpha}(q, \mathbf{t})}{\partial q} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} S^{\alpha}(q, \mathbf{t}) + \frac{i\pi}{4} \tilde{\mu}_{\alpha} \right) \Psi_{\mathbf{t}}^{\alpha}(q_0^{\alpha}(q, \mathbf{t})) (1 + O(\hbar)),$$

where $S^{\alpha}(q, \mathbf{t})$ and $q_0^{\alpha}(q, \mathbf{t})$ are as above, $\tilde{\mu}_{\alpha}$ is the multi-time version of the Maslov index, $\Psi_{\mathbf{t}}^{\alpha}(q_0)$ is the solution to the multi-time initial value problem

$$\frac{\partial \Psi_{\mathbf{t}}^{\alpha}(q_0)}{\partial t_k} = -iM_k(p^{\alpha}(\mathbf{t}), q^{\alpha}(\mathbf{t})) \Psi_{\mathbf{t}}^{\alpha}(q_0), \quad \Psi_0^{\alpha}(q_0) = \varphi(q_0).$$

Here $\{p^{\alpha}(\tau), q^{\alpha}(\tau)\}$ is a multi-time trajectory with the initial point $(p_0, q_0) \in L_f$.

The proof is entirely parallel to the proof of the Theorem 3.

7. SEMICLASSICAL ASYMPTOTIC FOR INTEGRABLE QUANTUM SPIN CHAIN

7.1. Yangian type algebras and their classical counterparts. Here we review some well-known facts.

7.1.1. Assume we have a collection of vector spaces $\{U_{\alpha}\}$ and a family of invertible linear operators $\{R^{U_{\alpha}U_{\beta}}(u)\}$ for each pair of vector spaces with $u \in \mathbb{C}$ such that for each triple α, β, γ linear operators satisfy the Yang–Baxter relations:

$$(21) \quad R_{\alpha\beta}^{U_{\alpha}U_{\beta}}(u) R_{\alpha\gamma}^{U_{\alpha}U_{\gamma}}(u+v) R_{\beta\gamma}^{U_{\beta}U_{\gamma}}(v) = R_{\beta\gamma}^{U_{\beta}U_{\gamma}}(v) R_{\alpha\gamma}^{U_{\alpha}U_{\gamma}}(u+v) R_{\alpha\beta}^{U_{\alpha}U_{\beta}}(u).$$

Here, as usual, operators act in $U_{\alpha} \otimes U_{\beta} \otimes U_{\gamma}$ and subindices show in which factors of the tensor product the linear operator acts non-trivially.

Assume that quantum R -matrices $R^{UV}(u) \in \text{End}(U \otimes V)$ are semiclassical, i.e. each of them depends on a parameter \hbar and as $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ it has the asymptotic

$$(22) \quad R^{UV}(u, \hbar) = 1 + i\hbar r^{UV}(u) + O(\hbar^2),$$

where $r^{UV}(u)$ is the corresponding classical r -matrix. Second order terms in \hbar of (21) gives the classical Yang–Baxter relations for $r^{UV}(u)$:

$$[r_{12}^{UV}(u), r_{13}^{UV}(u+v)] + [r_{12}^{UV}(u), r_{23}^{UV}(v)] + [r_{13}^{UV}(u+v), r_{23}^{UV}(v)] = 0.$$

As in (21), linear operators act in $U \otimes V \otimes W$.

7.1.2. Define the family of Yangian type Hopf algebra Y_{\hbar} as usual. The algebra Y_{\hbar} is generated by generating functions $T_{ij}^U(u)$ ¹⁹ where U is one of the vector spaces $\{U_{\alpha}\}$. For each pair of vector spaces U and V from our collection we set a relation

$$(23) \quad R_{12}^{UV}(u)T_1^U(u+v)T_2^V(v) = T_2^V(v)T_1^U(u+v)R_{12}^{UV}(u).$$

Note that there could be other relations, such as $\det_q(T(u)) = 1$ where \det_q is the quantum determinant, see for example [12][32]. We assume flatness of the deformation family Y_{\hbar} , which means that as topological vector spaces algebras Y_{\hbar} are all isomorphic to Y_0 . Denote by $\phi_{\hbar}: Y_{\hbar} \rightarrow Y_0$ such a linear isomorphism. There are plenty of known examples, such as Yangians, quantized universal enveloping algebras etc.

The elements²⁰

$$t^V(u) = \text{Tr}_V(T^V(u))$$

generate commutative subalgebra in T_{\hbar} . This is an immediate consequence of relations (23).

The Hopf algebra structure on Y_{\hbar} is determined by the action of the comultiplication and counit on generators:

$$\Delta T^U(u) = T^U(u) \otimes T^U(u), \quad \epsilon(T^U(u)) = 1.$$

7.1.3. The algebra Y_0 is a Hopf Poisson algebra. As a commutative algebra, it is generated by the matrix element of $T^{U_{\alpha}}(u)$, with some relations. To distinguish generating functions for Y_{\hbar} and for Y_0 , we denote the latter by $L^{U_{\alpha}}(u)$ ²¹, we choose isomorphism $\phi_{\hbar}: Y_{\hbar} \rightarrow Y_0$ which brings symmetrized monomials in T to monomials in L .²²

In the semiclassical limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ and $[A, B]_* = -i\hbar\{A, B\} + \hbar^2\{A, B\}_2 + O(\hbar^3)$. Assume that as $\hbar \rightarrow 0$

$$R^{UV}(u) = 1 + i\hbar r^{UV}(u) + \hbar^2 s^{UV}(u) + O(\hbar^3).$$

Expanding the relation (21) in \hbar , we obtain the following Poisson brackets between $L^U(u)$:

$$(24) \quad \{L_1^U(u), L_2^V(v)\} = [r^{UV}(u-v), L_1^U(u)L_2^V(v)]$$

and the following formula for $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_2$:

$$(25) \quad \{L_1^U(u), L_2^V(v)\}_2 = -\left[\frac{1}{2}(r^{UV}(u-v))^2 + s^{UV}(u-v), L_1^U(u)L_2^V(v)\right].$$

The unitarity condition holds

$$(26) \quad R_{12}^{UV}(u-v, \hbar)R_{21}^{VU}(v-u, \hbar) = f(u-v, \hbar)I_{12},$$

where $f(\hbar, u)$ is a function and I_{12} is the identity operator. Also in all these examples R -matrices satisfy the symmetry condition

$$(27) \quad R_{12}^{UV}(u-v, \hbar) = R_{21}^{VU}(v-u, -\hbar)$$

Together (26) and (27) imply that the expression in the commutator

$$\frac{1}{2}(r^{UV}(u-v))^2 + s^{UV}(u-v) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial^2 f(u-v, \hbar)}{\partial \hbar^2} I_{12}.$$

As a consequence, the commutator in (25) equals zero.

For important class of R -matrices, related to Yangians, quantum affine algebras, and elliptic quantum groups,

$$\{L_1^U(u), L_2^V(v)\}_2 = 0.$$

¹⁹At the moment it is not important exactly how the generating functions are organized, as power series in u^{-1} , as Laurant polynomials in e^u or using elliptic functions.

²⁰Here Tr_V is the appropriate trace: the matrix trace or the corresponding quantum trace.

²¹This notation is very standard in integrable systems, where $L^U(u)$ is the classical Lax operator.

²²In particular, $\phi_{\hbar}(T_a(u)) = L_a(u)$ and $\phi_{\hbar}(T_a(u)T_b(v) + T_b(v)T_a(u)) = 2L_a(u)L_b(v)$.

Let \mathcal{H}_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$ be representations of Y_{\hbar} . Denote by $R^{V\mathcal{H}_i}(u) \in \text{End}(V \otimes \mathcal{H}_i)$ the image of the generating function $T^V(u)$ in the representation space \mathcal{H}_i . Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{H}_N$ be the tensor product of these representations. The operators

$$t^V(u) = \text{Tr}_V(R_{a1}^{V\mathcal{H}_1}(u) \dots R_{aN}^{V\mathcal{H}_N}(u))$$

form a commutative family and give many interesting and important examples of quantum integrable spin chains, see, for example, [19].

Assume that each representation \mathcal{H}_i is semiclassical. This means that as $\hbar \rightarrow 0$, the family of algebras $\text{End}(\mathcal{H}_i)$ converges, in the appropriate sense, to the Poisson algebra of corresponding classical observables. This Poisson algebra is usually a quotient algebra of Y_0 . Denote such quotient algebra as $Y_0(s_i)$ and the image of $L^U(u)$ in it by $L^{U,s_i}(u)$. Then, the classical limit of the generating function is

$$(28) \quad t_c^V(u) = \text{Tr}_V(L_{a1}^{V,s_1}(u) \dots L_{aN}^{V,s_N}(u)) \in Y_0(s_1) \otimes \dots \otimes Y_0(s_N).$$

As a consequence of (24) these generating functions Poisson commute:

$$\{t_c^V(u), t_c^W(w)\} = 0.$$

One should think of these generating functions as Poisson commuting functions on a Poisson manifold which is the phase space of corresponding classical Hamiltonian systems. This construction is the source of many important examples of integrable systems, see for example [13].

7.2. Hybrid spin chains. Consider a spin chain that has both semiclassical representations \mathcal{H}_i and a "fixed representation" U for which the R -matrices behave as in (22). So, the total space of states is $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{H}_N \otimes U$. The generating function $T^V(v)$ acts on this space as

$$T_a^V(v, u) = R_{a1}^{V\mathcal{H}_1}(v) \dots R_{aN}^{V\mathcal{H}_N}(v) R_{aq}^{VU}(v - u).$$

These operators are known in quantum integrable systems and quantum monodromy matrices [19].

The corresponding transfer matrix ²³ is

$$t^V(v, u) = \text{Tr}_V(R_{a1}^{V\mathcal{H}_1}(v) \dots R_{aN}^{V\mathcal{H}_N}(v) R_{aq}^{VU}(v - u)).$$

As $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ we have

$$t^V(v, u) = t_c^V(v) + \hbar M^{VU}(v, u) + O(\hbar^2),$$

where $t_c^V(u)$ is given in (28), and

$$M^{VU}(v, u) = i \text{Tr}_V(L_{a1}^{V,s_1}(v) \dots L_{aN}^{V,s_N}(v) r_{aq}^{VU}(v - u)).$$

If the algebra $Y_0(s_i)$ can be identified with functions on the symplectic space $\mathcal{S}(s_i)$, we have a hybrid system with the bundle of hybrid observables $E = \mathcal{S}(s_1) \times \dots \times \mathcal{S}(s_N) \times \text{End}(U)$. The curvature of the connection α is determined by (25)

Assume that the classical spin chain with Poisson commuting generating functions $t_c^V(v)$ is an integrable system with the phase space $\mathcal{S}(s_1) \times \dots \times \mathcal{S}(s_N)$. Let $x(t)$ be the Hamiltonian flow generated by $t^V(u)$. The classical L -operator evolves as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dL_b^U(v)(x(t))}{dt} &= \{t^V(u), L_b^U(v)\}(x(t)) = \text{Tr}_a\{L_a^V(u), L_b^U(v)\}(x(t)) = \\ &= \text{Tr}_a[r_{ab}^{VU}(u - v), L_a^V(u)(x(t))L_b^U(v)(x(t))] = \\ &= [\text{Tr}_a(r_{ab}^{VU}(u - v)L_a^V(u))(x(t)), L_b^U(v)(x(t))] = [M_b^{VU}(u, v)(x(t)), L_b^U(v)(x(t))], \end{aligned}$$

thus, the first-order term is the classical M -operator and the equation is the evolution of the Lax operator $L^U(v)(x)$ with respect to the Hamiltonian flow generated by $t_c^V(u)$.

²³In representation theory it is known as the quantum character of representation V of Y_{\hbar} evaluated in \mathcal{H} .

Let $x(\mathbf{t})$ be the multi-time Hamiltonian flows generated by $t_c^{V_j}(u_j)$ with $j = 1, \dots, K$ to generate complete multi-time flow. We have

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} + iM^{V_j U}(u_j, u)(x(\mathbf{t})), \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k} + iM^{V_k U}(u_k, u)(x(\mathbf{t})) \right] = 0.$$

Thus, in this case, the hybrid quantum system is simply the collection of M -operators for the multi-time flow, see for example [9].

8. SPIN CALOGERO–MOSER–SUTHERLAND SYSTEM AND ITS HYBRID FEATURES.

8.1. Quantum spin Calogero–Moser system.

8.1.1. Quantum spin Calogero–Moser (CM) system describes n interacting quantum particles on a circle with the internal degrees of freedom. Here we will focus on the CM system with the trigonometric potential also known as the Calogero–Moser–Sutherland model [5, 28, 39].

We will use coordinates $q_i \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}\frac{L}{2\pi} \simeq S^1$, where $L > 0$ is the length of the physical system. The Hamiltonian of this model is [15, 17, 27]

$$(29) \quad \hat{H} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \hbar^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q_i^2} + \frac{\pi^2}{2L^2} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n \frac{1 + \hbar P_{ij}}{\sin^2 \frac{\pi(q_i - q_j)}{L}}.$$

Here the operator P_{ij} is the spin permutation operator acting in i -th and j -th spaces. The Hamiltonian acts on the space $L_2(\mathbb{R}^n, (\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes n})_{\text{sym}}$ of functions invariant with respect to the simultaneous permutation of spins and coordinates $\psi(\dots, q_i, \dots, q_j, \dots) = P_{ij}\psi(\dots, q_j, \dots, q_i, \dots)$ ²⁴. Without loss of generality, rescaling \hbar and L we will fix $L = 2\pi$. Introduce new variables $z_j = \exp(iq_j)$. In terms of z_i the operator (29) can be written as

$$\hat{H}_2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\hbar z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n \frac{z_i z_j}{(z_i - z_j)^2} (1 + \hbar P_{ij}).$$

8.1.2. Let us recall how to construct higher commuting Hamiltonians using Cherednik–Dunkl operators [6, 10].

Cherednik–Dunkl operators are differential operators acting on $\mathbb{C}(z_1, \dots, z_n)$

$$d_j = \hbar z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + \sum_{i>j} \frac{z_i}{z_i - z_j} K_{ij} - \sum_{i<j} \frac{z_j}{z_j - z_i} K_{ij},$$

where K_{ij} is coordinate permutation operator $K_{ij} z_j = z_i K_{ij}$.

It is not difficult to check that they satisfy the following relations

$$[d_i, d_j] = 0, \quad K_{i,i+1} d_i = d_{i+1} K_{i,i+1} + 1, \quad [d_i, K_{j,j+1}] = 0, \quad i \neq j, j+1,$$

and thus give a representation of the degenerate affine Hecke algebra.

Commuting Hamiltonians of the quantum spin Calogero–Moser system can be derived as the action of symmetric polynomials in Cherednik–Dunkl operators

$$H_k = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^k$$

²⁴Here we consider bosonic version where functions ψ in the space of states are invariant with respect to simultaneous permutations of q_i and q_j and the action of P_{ij} on the spin variable. The analysis of the fermionic case, when ψ is skew-symmetric with respect to diagonal permutations of coordinates and spins is completely parallel.

on the space of $(\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes n}$ -valued symmetric rational functions in z_i , i.e. on ²⁵

$$\mathcal{H} = (\mathbb{C}(z_1, \dots, z_n) \otimes (\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes n})_{\text{sym}}.$$

We will write $\widehat{H}_k = H_k|_{\mathcal{H}}$. Note that when we compute the action of H_k on \mathcal{H} we use ordering in which coordinates z_i are on the left, followed by momenta p_i , and permutations K_{ij} are on the right.

The first nontrivial Hamiltonians are

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{H}_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \widehat{p}_i, \\ \widehat{H}_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \widehat{p}_i^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n \frac{z_i z_j}{(z_i - z_j)^2} (1 + \hbar P_{ij}), \\ \widehat{H}_3 &= \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^n \widehat{p}_i^3 - \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n \frac{z_i z_j (1 + \hbar P_{ij})}{(z_i - z_j)^2} \widehat{p}_i - \frac{\hbar}{3} \sum_{\substack{i,j,k=1 \\ i \neq j \neq k \neq i}}^n \frac{z_i z_j z_k P_{jk} P_{ij}}{(z_i - z_j)(z_j - z_k)(z_k - z_i)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\widehat{p}_i = \hbar z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$.

For $\hbar \neq 0$, Dunkl operators are simultaneously diagonalizable on the space $\mathbb{C}(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ with simple joint spectrum [40, 43]. The eigenvectors form an orthogonal basis in $\mathbb{C}(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ and are called nonsymmetric Jack polynomials.

In the semiclassical limit \widehat{p}_i, q_i converge to coordinate functions on $T^*(S^1)^n$. Note that in this limit all Hamiltonians \widehat{H}_k converge to corresponding classical Hamiltonian of the "usual" spinless CM system multiplied by the identity operator

$$(30) \quad \widehat{H}_k = H_k^{CM} + O(\hbar).$$

8.2. The Haldane–Shastry hybrid model. The quantum spin CM system is an example of matrix-valued quantum mechanics from section 6.2. Thus, it defines a hybrid integrable system with the bundle of hybrid observables $E = T^*(S^1)^n \times \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^N)$ with trivial connection. Passing to the semiclassical limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ we have

$$\widehat{H}_k = H_k^{CM} + \hbar M_k + O(\hbar^2).$$

Here, as in (30), $H_k^{CM}(p, z)$ are Hamiltonians of classical "spinless" CM system.

The first two of M_k are

$$\begin{aligned} M_2 &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n \frac{z_i z_j}{(z_i - z_j)^2} P_{ij}, \\ M_3 &= -\sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n \frac{z_i z_j p_i}{(z_i - z_j)^2} P_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{\substack{i,j,k=1 \\ i \neq j \neq k \neq i}}^n \frac{z_i z_j z_k P_{jk} P_{ij}}{(z_i - z_j)(z_j - z_k)(z_k - z_i)}. \end{aligned}$$

The classical multi-time evolution is generated by CM Hamiltonians:

$$\frac{\partial z_j}{\partial t_k} = i z_j \frac{\partial H_k^{CM}}{\partial p_j}, \quad \frac{\partial p_j}{\partial t_k} = -i z_j \frac{\partial H_k^{CM}}{\partial z_j}.$$

Here p and z are natural coordinates on $T^*(S^1)^n$ with Poisson brackets $\{p_j, z_k\} = i \delta_{jk} z_k$.

²⁵Note that by considering the skew-symmetric part of $\mathbb{C}(z_1, \dots, z_n) \otimes (\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes n}$ we obtain similarly defined Hamiltonians describing fermionic particles.

Let $x(t)$ be a multi-time flow on $T^*(S^1)^n$ generated by H_k^{CM} . The first two equations are easy to compute explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial z_j}{\partial t_2} &= ip_j z_j, & \frac{\partial p_j}{\partial t_2} &= -i \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^n \frac{z_j z_k (z_j + z_k)}{(z_j - z_k)^3}, \\ \frac{\partial z_j}{\partial t_3} &= ip_j^2 z_j - i \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^n \frac{z_j^2 z_k}{(z_j - z_k)^2}, & \frac{\partial p_j}{\partial t_3} &= -i \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^n \frac{z_j z_k (z_j + z_k)}{(z_j - z_k)^3} (p_j + p_k). \end{aligned}$$

As it was explained earlier for operators $M_k(x(t))$ we have the following commutativity property

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t_k} + iM_k(x(t)), \frac{\partial}{\partial t_l} + iM_l(x(t)) \right] = 0.$$

8.3. The fixed point of the multi-time classical Calogero–Moser–Sutherland dynamics.

It turns out that the multi-time classical CM dynamics has a fixed point [36].

Proposition 1. *The point x_**

$$p_i = 0, \quad z_k = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i k}{n}\right)$$

is the fixed point of the multi-time CM evolution, i.e.

$$dH_k^{CM}(x_*) = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

The proof is given in appendix C.

As a corollary, we have the commutativity of corresponding M -operators

$$[M_k(x_*), M_l(x_*)] = 0.$$

The operator $M_2(x_*)$ is the Hamiltonian of the of Haldane–Shastry model [16, 37, 18]. The operators $M_k(x_*)$ were derived in [3, 41] by a different method as the higher conservation laws for the Haldane–Shastry Hamiltonian.

The fixed point x_* is known in the physics literature as the freezing point. It first appeared in the paper [29] where it was shown that quantum spin Calogero–Moser model becomes long-range spin chain in the strong interaction limit (in our terminology, it corresponds to $\hbar \rightarrow 0$). Some recent results on the correspondence between long-range spin chains and quantum dynamical systems in their freezing points could be found in [42, 25, 21, 22]. In the forthcoming paper [20] we will describe explicitly singular Liouville tori in Calogero–Moser models of type A , i.e. invariant tori of dimension $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$. An interesting next step is to describe explicitly the corresponding hybrid dynamics for low dimensional tori.

APPENDIX A. THE SEMICLASSICAL LIMIT FOR NON-STATIONARY MATRIX SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION

Consider firstly one dimensional case, $n = 1$, i.e. one dimensional matrix Schrödinger equation.

Lemma 3. *Any formally self-adjoint differential operator of degree n of the form*

$$\hat{H}_0 = \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k(q) \hat{p}^k, \quad \hat{p} = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial q},$$

with complex-valued coefficients $\alpha_k(q)$ can be written as

$$(31) \quad \hat{H}_0 = \sum_{k=0}^n A_k(q) \hat{p}^k - i\hbar \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{k}{2} \frac{\partial A_k(q)}{\partial q} \hat{p}^{k-1} + O(\hbar^2),$$

with real-valued coefficients $A_k(q)$.

Proof. Write $\alpha_k(q)$ as

$$\alpha_k(q) = A_k(q) + iB_k(q),$$

with A_k, B_k being real-valued. Now let us find constraints which self-adjointness $\hat{H}_0 = \hat{H}_0^*$ imposes on the imaginary and the real part of $\alpha_k(q)$. We have:

$$(\alpha_k(q)\hat{p}^k)^* = \hat{p}^k\alpha_k^*(q) = \alpha_k^*(q)\hat{p}^k - i\hbar k \frac{\partial\alpha_k^*(q)}{\partial q}\hat{p}^{k-1} + O(\hbar^2).$$

Thus, for symmetric Hamiltonians, we should have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n(q) &= \alpha_n^*(q), \\ \alpha_k(q) &= \alpha_k^*(q) - i\hbar(k+1)\frac{\partial\alpha_{k+1}^*(q)}{\partial q} + O(\hbar^2), \quad k < n \end{aligned}$$

and therefore for $k < n$

$$B_k(q) = -\hbar\frac{k+1}{2}\frac{\partial A_{k+1}(q)}{\partial q} + O(\hbar^2).$$

This proves the lemma. □

Let us prove the following technical lemma.

Lemma 4. *We have the identity*

$$\hat{p}^k e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S}\chi = \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q}\right)^k e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S}\chi - i\hbar e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S} \left(k \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q}\right)^{k-1} \frac{\partial\chi}{\partial q} + \frac{k(k-1)}{2} \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q}\right)^{k-2} \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial q^2} \chi \right) + O(\hbar^2).$$

Proof. It is clear that

$$\left(-i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial q}\right)^k e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S}\chi = \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q}\right)^k e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S}\chi + \hbar e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S}\chi^{(k)} + O(\hbar^2),$$

for some $\chi^{(k)}$. Differentiating this identity, we obtain a recurrence

$$\chi^{(k)} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial q}\chi^{(k-1)} - i\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q}\right)^{k-1}\frac{\partial\chi}{\partial q} - i(k-1)\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q}\right)^{k-2}\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial q^2}\chi,$$

which gives the desired formula for $\chi^{(k)}$:

$$(32) \quad \chi^{(k)} = -ik\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q}\right)^{k-1}\frac{\partial\chi}{\partial q} - i\frac{k(k-1)}{2}\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q}\right)^{k-2}\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial q^2}\chi.$$

□

Proposition 2. *The action of the Hamiltonian \hat{H}_0 on the the family of functions $\psi(q) = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S(q)}\chi(q)$ is*

$$\hat{H}_0 e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S}\chi = H_0\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q}, q\right) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S}\chi + \hbar H_0^{(1)}\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q}, q\right) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S}\chi + \hbar e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S} \sum_{k=0}^n A_k(q)\chi^{(k)} + O(\hbar^2),$$

where $\chi^{(k)}$ is given by (32), and H_0 and $H_0^{(1)}$ are the first two terms in the semiclassical expansion of \hat{H}_0

$$(33) \quad H_0\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q}, q\right) = \sum_{k=0}^n A_k(q)\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q}\right)^k,$$

$$(34) \quad H_0^{(1)} \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q}, q \right) = -i \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{k}{2} \frac{\partial A_k(q)}{\partial q} \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q} \right)^{k-1}.$$

Proof. Lemma 4 implies

$$\sum_{k=0}^n A_k(q) \hat{p}^k e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S} \chi = \sum_{k=0}^n A_k(q) \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q} \right)^k e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S} \chi + \hbar \sum_{k=0}^n A_k(q) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S} \chi^{(k)} + O(\hbar^2),$$

where $\chi^{(k)}$ is given by (32), and

$$-i\hbar \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{k}{2} \frac{\partial A_k(q)}{\partial q} \hat{p}^{k-1} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S} \chi = -i\hbar \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{k}{2} \frac{\partial A_k(q)}{\partial q} \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q} \right)^{k-1} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S} \chi + O(\hbar^2).$$

From here, using (31) we immediately obtain (33) and (34). \square

From the Proposition 2 we obtain the formula for the action of $\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \hbar \hat{M} + O(\hbar^2)$ on $e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S} \chi$:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S} \chi &= H_0 \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q}, q \right) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S} \chi + \hbar H_0^{(1)} \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q}, q \right) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S} \chi + \\ &\quad + \hbar e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S} \sum_{k=0}^n A_k(q) \chi^{(k)} + \hbar M \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q}, q \right) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S} \chi + O(\hbar^2). \end{aligned}$$

Now let us find the asymptotic of solutions to the nonstationary Schrödinger equation (15). Evaluating both sides of (15) on functions $\psi(q, t) = \exp(\frac{i}{\hbar} S) (\chi_0 + \hbar \chi_1 + O(\hbar^2))$ as $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ we obtain

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S} (\chi_0 + \hbar \chi_1 + O(\hbar^2)) = -\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S} \chi_0 + \hbar e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S} \left(-\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} \chi_1 + i \frac{\partial \chi_0}{\partial t} \right) + O(\hbar^2),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H} \psi(t, q) &= H_0 \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q}, q \right) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S} \chi_0 + \hbar H_0 \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q}, q \right) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S} \chi_1 + \hbar H_0^{(1)} \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q}, q \right) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S} \chi_0 + \\ &\quad + \hbar e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S} \sum_{k=0}^n A_k(q) \chi_0^{(k)} + \hbar M \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q}, q \right) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S} \chi_0 + O(\hbar^2). \end{aligned}$$

Combining terms of degree zero and one, we obtain

$$(35) \quad -\frac{\partial S(q, t)}{\partial t} = H_0 \left(\frac{\partial S(q, t)}{\partial q}, q \right),$$

in degree zero and

$$-\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} \chi_1 + i \frac{\partial \chi_0}{\partial t} = H_0 \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q}, q \right) \chi_1 + H_0^{(1)} \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q}, q \right) \chi_0 + \sum_{k=0}^n A_k(q) \chi_0^{(k)} + M \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q}, q \right) \chi_0$$

in degree one.

The equation (35) is the Hamilton–Jacobi equation for classical Hamiltonian $H_0(p, q)$. Taking this into account, we can rewrite the degree one equation as

$$i \frac{\partial \chi_0}{\partial t} = H_0^{(1)} \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q}, q \right) \chi_0 + \sum_{k=0}^n A_k(q) \chi_0^{(k)} + M \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q}, q \right) \chi_0.$$

Now use formulae for $H_0^{(1)}$ and $\chi_0^{(k)}$ that we derived earlier and we have

$$i\frac{\partial\chi_0}{\partial t} = -i\sum_{k=0}^n \left(k\frac{\partial A_k(q)}{\partial q} \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q} \right)^{k-1} \chi_0 + kA_k(q) \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q} \right)^{k-1} \frac{\partial\chi_0}{\partial q} + \right. \\ \left. + \frac{k(k-1)}{2} A_k(q) \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q} \right)^{k-2} \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial q^2} \chi_0 \right) + M \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q}, q \right) \chi_0.$$

It is easy to rearrange it to

$$(36) \quad -iM \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q}, q \right) \chi_0 = \left(\frac{\partial\chi_0}{\partial t} + \sum_{k=0}^n kA_k(q) \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q} \right)^{k-1} \frac{\partial\chi_0}{\partial q} \right) + \\ + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^n \left(k\frac{\partial A_k(q)}{\partial q} \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q} \right)^{k-1} + k(k-1)A_k(q) \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q} \right)^{k-2} \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial q^2} \right) \chi_0.$$

Let $\sigma_0 = \{p(\tau, q_0), q(\tau, q_0)\}_{\tau=0}^t$ be the solution to Hamilton's equations with the initial condition $p(0) = f'(q_0)$ and $q(0) = q_0$ ²⁶. For $q(t) = q(t, q_0)$ we have

$$\dot{q}(t) = \frac{\partial H_0(p(t), q(t))}{\partial p}.$$

We also have $p(t) = p(t, q_0) = \frac{\partial S(q, q_0, t)}{\partial q}$ where $S(q, q_0, t)$ is the Hamilton–Jacobi action (17) evaluated on σ_0 . Thus

$$(37) \quad \dot{q}(t) = \frac{\partial H_0(p(t), q(t))}{\partial p} = \sum_{k=0}^n kA_k(q)(p(t))^{k-1}.$$

From here, we conclude

$$\frac{\partial\chi_0(q(t), t)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial\chi_0(q(t), t)}{\partial q} \sum_{k=0}^n kA_k(q(t))(p(t))^{k-1} = \frac{\partial\chi_0(q(t), t)}{\partial t} + \dot{q}(t) \frac{\partial\chi_0(q(t), t)}{\partial q} = \frac{d\chi_0(q(t), t)}{dt}.$$

Now we can write the equation (36) as

$$(38) \quad -iM(p(t), q(t)) \chi_0(q(t), t) = \frac{d\chi_0(q(t), t)}{dt} + \\ + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^n \left(k\frac{\partial A_k(q(t))}{\partial q} (p(t))^{k-1} + k(k-1)A_k(q(t))(p(t))^{k-2} \frac{\partial^2 S(q(t))}{\partial q^2} \right) \chi_0(q(t), t).$$

Differentiating (37) in q_0 we obtain

$$\frac{\partial\dot{q}(t, q_0)}{\partial q_0} = \sum_{k=0}^n \left(k\frac{\partial A_k(q(t))}{\partial q} (p(t))^{k-1} + k(k-1)A_k(q(t))(p(t))^{k-2} \frac{\partial^2 S(q(t))}{\partial q^2} \right) \frac{\partial q(t, q_0)}{\partial q_0},$$

or

$$\frac{d}{dt} \log \left| \frac{\partial q(t, q_0)}{\partial q_0} \right| = \sum_{k=0}^n \left(k\frac{\partial A_k(q(t))}{\partial q} (p(t))^{k-1} + k(k-1)A_k(q(t))(p(t))^{k-2} \frac{\partial^2 S(q(t))}{\partial q^2} \right).$$

Combining all these identities, we obtain

$$\frac{d\chi_0(q(t, q_0), t)}{dt} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \log \left| \frac{\partial q(t, q_0)}{\partial q_0} \right| \chi_0(q(t, q_0), t) = -iM(p(t, q_0), q(t, q_0)) \chi_0(q(t, q_0), t).$$

²⁶The condition $q(t, q_0) = q$, generically, gives finitely many trajectories connecting two Lagrangian submanifolds L_f and T^*Q in time t .

Denote $D_{q_0}(t) = \left| \frac{\partial q(t, q_0)}{\partial q_0} \right|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and substitute $\chi_0(q(t), t) = D_{q_0}(t)\Psi(t, q_0)$ ²⁷, then

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Psi(t, q_0) = -iM(p(t), q(t))\Psi(t, q_0).$$

Since $D_{q_0}(0) = 1$ and $q(0) = q_0$, we have $\Psi(0, q_0) = \varphi(q_0)$.

Now, assume that σ_0 connects L_f and T_q^*Q in time t , i.e. that it is one of the trajectories σ_α with $q_\alpha(t, q_0) = q$. Let $q_0^\alpha(t, q) \in L_f$ be the starting point of σ_α . Denote $D^\alpha(q, t) = \left| \frac{\partial q_0^\alpha(t, q)}{\partial q} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}}$. For the contribution to the semiclassical asymptotic (18) from σ_α we have

$$e^{\frac{iS^\alpha(q, t)}{\hbar}} D^\alpha(q, t) \Psi^\alpha(t, q_0^\alpha(t, q)).$$

This proves the theorem.

APPENDIX B. MULTI-TIME HAMILTON–JACOBI ACTION

Here we recall some basic facts on the Hamilton–Jacobi action for integrable systems on an exact symplectic manifold.

Let $(\mathcal{M}_{2n}, \omega)$, $\omega = d\alpha$ be an exact symplectic manifold, $\sigma: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{2n}$ be a multi-time parametrized path in \mathcal{M}_{2n} , $\mathbf{t} \mapsto x(\mathbf{t})$ and $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $\tau \mapsto \gamma(\tau) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a parametrized path in \mathbb{R}^n .

The Hamilton–Jacobi action for the multi-time evolution of an integrable system with Hamiltonians H_1, \dots, H_n is

$$(39) \quad S_\gamma[\sigma] = \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{a=1}^{2n} \alpha_a(x(\gamma(\tau))) \frac{dx^a(\gamma(\tau))}{d\tau} - \sum_{i=1}^n H_i(x(\gamma(\tau))) \dot{\gamma}^i(\tau) \right) d\tau.$$

Let $\text{Im}(\sigma \circ \gamma) \subset \mathcal{M}_{2n}$, $\text{Im}\gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be images of the corresponding parametrized paths. The action (39) can be written as

$$S_\gamma[\sigma] = \int_{\text{Im}(\sigma \circ \gamma)} \alpha - \int_{\text{Im}\gamma} H_\sigma,$$

where $H_\sigma(\mathbf{t}) = \sum_{i=1}^n H_i(x(\mathbf{t})) dt^i \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The variational problem for (39) is to **find paths σ such that**

$$\delta_\sigma S_\gamma[\sigma] = 0$$

for all γ . Here δ_σ is a variation in σ only, for fixed γ . It can be easily computed

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\sigma S_\gamma[\sigma] = \int_0^1 \sum_{a=1}^{2n} \left(\sum_{b=1}^{2n} \omega_{ab}(x(\gamma(\tau))) \frac{dx^b(\gamma(\tau))}{d\tau} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial H_i(x(\gamma(\tau)))}{\partial x^a} \dot{\gamma}^i(\tau) \right) \delta x^a(\gamma(\tau)) d\tau + \\ + \sum_a \alpha_a(x(\gamma(\tau))) \delta x^a(\gamma(\tau)) \Big|_{\tau=0}^{\tau=1}. \end{aligned}$$

The Euler–Lagrange equations for this variational problem are

$$(40) \quad \sum_b \omega_{ab}(x(\mathbf{t})) \frac{\partial x^b(\mathbf{t})}{\partial t_k} = \frac{\partial H_k(x(\mathbf{t}))}{\partial x^a}.$$

Solutions to these equations are critical points of $S_\gamma[\sigma]$ (for the fixed γ) if the boundary terms

$$\sum_a \alpha_a(x(\mathbf{t})) \delta x^a(\mathbf{t}) - \sum_a \alpha_a(x(0)) \delta x^a(0)$$

²⁷Here we indicate the dependence on q_0 since this is the initial point determining the classical trajectory $q(t, q_0)$.

also vanish.

In our example $\mathcal{M}_{2n} = T^*Q_n$. In this case, the boundary terms are

$$\sum_i p_i(\mathbf{t})\delta q^i(\mathbf{t}) - \sum_i p_i(0)\delta q^i(0).$$

If $q(\mathbf{t}) = q$ is fixed, the first term vanishes. If $p_i(0) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial q^i}(q(0))$, the second term is $-\frac{\partial f}{\partial q^i}(q(0))\delta q^i(0)$. This means that the modified action

$$(41) \quad S_{\gamma,f}[\sigma] = S_\gamma[\sigma] + f(q(0))$$

is critical on solutions of (40) with boundary conditions $q(\mathbf{t}) = q$ and $p_i(0) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial q^i}(q(0))$.

Let $S^\alpha(q, \mathbf{t})$ be the critical value of the modified action (41) on the solution σ_α . It is easy to show that

- $S^\alpha(q, \mathbf{t})$ does not depend on γ .
- If $(p^\alpha(\mathbf{t}), q)$ is the endpoint of σ_α ,

$$p_i^\alpha(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{\partial S^\alpha(q, \mathbf{t})}{\partial q^i}.$$

Here are some more facts on the multi-time evolutions:

- Consider the space L_x of all multi-time trajectories through $x \in \mathcal{M}_{2n}$, $L_x = \{y \in \mathcal{M}_{2n} \mid y = x(\mathbf{t}) \text{ for some } \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^n, x(0) = x\}$. It is easy to see that the pullback of ω to L_x is

$$\omega|_{L_x} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{k,l \\ k < l}} \{H_k, H_l\} dt_k \wedge dt_l.$$

It is vanishing since $\{H_k, H_l\} = 0$. Therefore, L_x is a Lagrangian submanifold.

- For a generic Lagrangian submanifold L the intersection $L \cap L_x$ is a finite collection of points. These points are endpoints of the multi-time trajectories connecting x and L . If $x_\alpha \in L \cap L_x$, $x_\alpha = x(\mathbf{t}_\alpha)$ for some $\mathbf{t}_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where $x(\mathbf{t})$ is multi-time trajectory originated at $x = x(0)$.
- For a generic Lagrangian submanifold $L' \subset \mathcal{M}_{2n}$ we will have finitely multi-time trajectories connecting L' with L in a given multi-time \mathbf{t} . The intersection points $\phi_{\mathbf{t}}(L') \cap L$ are the endpoints of these trajectories.

APPENDIX C. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1

Proposition 1 can be proven using the Lax matrix formula for Hamiltonians. The Lax operator for Calogero–Moser system for N particles is an $N \times N$ matrix

$$L = P + M,$$

where $P = \text{diag}(p_1, \dots, p_N)$ is the diagonal matrix and M is a matrix with $M_{ii} = 0$ and

$$M_{ij} = \frac{z_i}{z_i - z_j}, \quad \text{for } i \neq j.$$

Let $S(\lambda)$ be the generating function of the Hamiltonians

$$S(\lambda, p, z) = \det(\lambda + L) = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda^i H_{N-i}(p, z).$$

The idea of the proof is to show that the differential of this generating function

$$dS(\lambda, p, z) = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda^i dH_{N-i}(p, z) = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(F_i(\lambda, p, z) dp_i + G_i(\lambda, p, z) dq_i \right)$$

vanishes at $(0, \zeta)$,

$$\zeta = \{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n\}, \quad \text{with } \zeta_k = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi ik}{n}\right).$$

Let us show that we have

$$(42) \quad F_i(\lambda, 0, \zeta) = F_j(\lambda, 0, \zeta), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, N,$$

$$(43) \quad G_i(\lambda, 0, \zeta) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

The function $F_i(0, z)$ is the determinant of the matrix $M^{(i)}$ (of size $(N-1) \times (N-1)$), obtained from M by removing the i -th row and column.

$$F_i(\lambda, 0, z) = \det(M^{(i)}(z) + \lambda).$$

Note that the function F_i is independent of z_i , because only the i -th column and the i -th row of M contain z_i . The function F_i is also symmetric in the rest variables z_j (because the permutation of z_k and z_l is just a simultaneous transposition of the k -th and l -th columns and k -th and l -th rows of M).

Therefore, all F_i can be written in terms of one symmetric function depending in $(N-1)$ variables

$$F_i(\lambda, 0, z) = f(\lambda, z_1, \dots, \widehat{z}_i, \dots, z_n),$$

where \widehat{z}_i means that this variable is omitted. The matrix elements of M are invariant with respect to the dilation $z_i \mapsto \rho z_i$. Therefore

$$f(\lambda, \rho x_1, \dots, \rho x_{n-1}) = f(\lambda, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}).$$

Now, observe that

$$(\zeta_1, \dots, \widehat{\zeta}_j, \dots, \zeta_n) = \zeta_j(\zeta_{n-j+1}, \dots, \zeta_{n-1}, \widehat{1}, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-j}) = \zeta_j \sigma(\widehat{1}, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-1}),$$

where σ is a cyclic permutation. Thus,

$$f(\lambda, \dots, \widehat{\zeta}_j, \dots) = f(\lambda, \dots, \widehat{\zeta}_i, \dots), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n$$

and we proved (42).

For the function G_i , we have

$$G_i(\lambda, 0, z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \det(M + \lambda).$$

As above, it is sufficient to prove that only one of the functions G_i is equal to zero. Let us prove that $G_N(0, \zeta)$ vanishes

$$G_N(\lambda, 0, z) = \det(M') + \det(M''),$$

where M' (M'') is the matrix $M + \lambda$ where the last row (column) is replaced by its derivative in z_N .

Taking into account the identities

$$\zeta_j = \zeta_{n-j}^{-1}, \quad (\zeta_j)^n = 1,$$

we have

$$\det(M')|_{z=\zeta} = -\det(M'')|_{z=\zeta},$$

i.e. $G_N(0, \zeta) = 0$. Together with the symmetry arguments, this implies (43). This completes the proof of the proposition.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Bazhanov, A. Bobenko, N. Reshetikhin, *Quantum discrete sine-Gordon model at roots of 1: integrable quantum system on the integrable classical background*. Commun. Math. Phys. 175, 377–400 (1996).
- [2] V.V. Belov, S.Yu. Dobrokhotov, and T.Ya. Tudorovskiy, *Operator separation of variables for adiabatic problems in quantum and wave mechanics* Journal of Engineering, Journal of Engineering Mathematics 55 (1-4) 183–237 (2006).
- [3] D. Bernard, M. Gaudin, F. D. M. Haldane, V. Pasquier, *Yang–Baxter equation in long-range interacting systems*. J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 26 (1993), no. 20, 5219
- [4] M. Born, J. Robert Oppenheimer, *Zur Quantentheorie der Molekeln*. Annalen der Physik. 389 (20): 457–484 (1927)
- [5] F. Calogero, *Solution of the one-dimensional n -body problems with quadratic and/or inversely quadratic pair potentials*, J. Math. Phys. 12 (1971) 419–436.
- [6] I. V. Cherednik, *A unification of the Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov and Dunkl operators via affine Hecke algebras*. Inv. Math. 106 (1991) 411–32
- [7] C. De Concini, V. Kac, *Representations of quantum groups at roots of 1*. Progress in Mathematics 92 (1990), 471–506.
- [8] S. Dobrokhotov, *Asymptotical methods for quantum mechanics on manifolds*, Lecture Notes. ????
- [9] B.A. Dubrovin, I.M. Krichever, S.P. Novikov, *Integrable systems. I, Dynamical systems–4*, Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki. Ser. Sovrem. Probl. Mat. Fund. Napr., 4, VINITI, Moscow (1985) 179–277
- [10] C. Dunkl, *Differential-difference operators associated to reflection groups*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 311 (1989), 167–183.
- [11] P. I. Etingof, I. Frenkel, A. A. Kirillov, *Lectures on representation theory and Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov equations*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 58
- [12] L. Faddeev, N. Reshetikhin, and L. Takhtajan, *Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras*. Leningrad Math. J. 1 (1990), no. 1, 193–225.
- [13] L. Faddeev, L. Takhtajan *Hamiltonian Methods in the Theory of Solitons*, Moscow, Nauka 1986 (in Russian). English transl.: Berlin–Heidelberg, Springer–Verlag 1987
- [14] E. Frenkel, N. Reshetikhin *Quantum Affine Algebras and Deformations of the Virasoro and W -Algebras*, Commun.Math. Phys. 178, 237–264 (1996).
- [15] Z. N. C. Ha and F. D. M. Haldane, *On Models with Inverse-Square Exchange*. Phys. Rev. B 46 (1992), 9359–9368
- [16] F. D. M. Haldane, *Exact Jastrow–Gutzwiller resonating-valence-bond ground state of the spin-1/2 antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain with $1/r^2$ exchange*. Phys. Rev. Lett. 60 (1988), 635–638.
- [17] K. Hikami and M. Wadati, *Integrability of Calogero–Moser spin systems*. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 62 (1993), 469–472.
- [18] V. I. Inozemtsev, *On the Connection between the One-Dimensional $S = 1/2$ Heisenberg Chain and Haldane–Shastry Model* J. Stat. Phys. 59, 1143 (1990)
- [19] V.E. Korepin, N.M. Bogoliubov, A.G. Izergin, *Quantum Inverse Scattering Method and Correlation Functions*. Cambridge University Press (1993).
- [20] A. Liashyk, G. Ma, N. Reshetikhin, I. Sechin, *On low-dimensional Liouville tori of Calogero–Moser–Sutherland system, to appear*.
- [21] J. Lamers, V. Pasquier, D. Serban, *Spin–Ruijsenaars, q -Deformed Haldane–Shastry and Macdonald Polynomials*. Commun. Math. Phys. 393, 61–150 (2022).
- [22] J. Lamers, D. Serban, *From fermionic spin–Calogero–Sutherland models to the Haldane–Shastry spin chain by freezing*. [arXiv:2212.01373](https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.01373)
- [23] V.P. Maslov, *Perturbation theory and asymptotic methods*, (1965) In Russian.
- [24] V.P. Maslov, M.V. Fedoriuk, *Semi-Classical Approximation in Quantum Mechanics (Mathematical Physics and Applied Mathematics, 7)*. Springer (1981).
- [25] M. Matushko and A. Zotov, *Elliptic generalisation of integrable q -deformed anisotropic Haldane–Shastry long-range spin chain*. Nonlinearity 36 (2023) 319.
- [26] A.V. Mikhailov, P. Vanhaecke, *Commutative Poisson algebras from deformations of noncommutative algebras*. [arXiv:2402.16191](https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.16191)
- [27] J. Minahan and A. Polychronakos, *Integrable systems for particles with internal degrees of freedom*. Phys. Lett. B 302 (1993), 265–270.
- [28] J. Moser, *Three integrable Hamiltonian systems connected with isospectral deformations*, Adv. Math. 16 (1975) 1–23.
- [29] A. P. Polychronakos, *Lattice integrable systems of Haldane–Shastry type* Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 2329 (1993)

- [30] N. Reshetikhin, *The Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov System as a Deformation of the Isomonodromy Problem*. Lett. Math. Phys. 26: 167–177, (1992)
- [31] N. Reshetikhin, *Degenerate integrability of quantum spin Calogero–Moser systems*. Lett. Math. Phys. 107 (2017), no. 1, 187–200.
- [32] N. Reshetikhin and M. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, *Central extensions of quantum current groups*. Lett. Math. Phys. 19 (1990), no. 2, 133–142
- [33] N.Yu. Reshetikhin, F.A. Smirnov, *Quantum Floquet functions, Questions of quantum field theory and statistical physics. Part 4*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. LOMI 131 (1983), 128–141.
- [34] N. Reshetikhin, J. Stokman, *N -point spherical functions and asymptotic boundary KZB equations*. Invent. Math. 229 (2022), no. 1, 1–86.
- [35] N. Reshetikhin, A. Voronov and A. Weinstein, *Semiquantum geometry*. Journal of Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 82, No. 1 (1996)
- [36] S. Ruijsenaars, *Action-angle maps and scattering theory for some finite-dimensional integrable systems III. Sutherland type systems and their duals*, Publ. RIMS 31, 247–353 (1995)
- [37] B. S. Shastry, *Exact solution of an $s = 1/2$ Heisenberg antiferromagnetic chain with long-ranged interactions*. Phys. Rev. Lett. 60 (1988), 639–642
- [38] Q. Situ, *Category \mathcal{O} for hybrid quantum groups and non-commutative Springer resolutions*. [arXiv:2308.07028](https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.07028)
- [39] B. Sutherland, *Exact results for a quantum many-body problem in one dimension*, Phys. Rev. A 4 (1971), 2019–2021.
- [40] K. Takemura and D. Uglov, *The orthogonal eigenbasis and norms of eigenvectors in the spin Calogero–Sutherland model*. J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 30 (1997), 3685–3717
- [41] J.C. Talstra and F.D.M. Haldane, *Integrals of motion of the Haldane–Shastry model*. J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 28 2369 (1995)
- [42] D. Uglov, *The trigonometric counterpart of the Haldane Shastry Model*. [arXiv:hep-th/9508145](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9508145)
- [43] D. Uglov, *Yangian Gelfand–Zetlin bases, $gl(n)$ -Jack polynomials and computation of dynamical correlation functions in the spin Calogero–Sutherland model*. Commun. Math. Phys. 193 (1998), 663–696

A.L.: BIMSA, BEIJING, CHINA
Email address: a.liashyk@gmail.com

N.R.: YMSC, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, CHINA; BIMSA, BEIJING, CHINA; UC BERKELEY, BERKELEY, CA, USA
Email address: reshetik@math.berkeley.edu

I.S.: BIMSA, BEIJING, CHINA
Email address: sechin@bimsa.cn