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#### Abstract

We study the asymptotic behaviour of the cohomology of subgroups $\Gamma$ of an algebraic group $G$ with coefficients in the various irreducible rational representations of $G$ and raise a conjecture about it. Namely, we expect that the dimensions of these cohomology groups approximate the $\ell^{2}$-Betti numbers of $\Gamma$ with a controlled error term. We provide positive answers when G is a product of copies of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$. As an application, we obtain new proofs of J. Lott's and W. Lück's computation of the $\ell^{2}$-Betti numbers of hyperbolic 3 -manifolds and W. Fu's upper bound on the growth of cusp forms for non totally real fields, which is sharp in the imaginary quadratic case.


## 1. Introduction

Discrete subgroups of semi-simple Lie groups and their cohomology are ubiquitous in modern mathematics. In the context of geometry and topology, they appear as fundamental groups of locally symmetric Riemannian manifolds and their cohomology groups have a natural interpretation in terms of differential forms. In the context of number theory, arithmetic lattices encode critical information about number fields and their cohomology is related to the theory of automorphic forms. At this point, it goes without saying that there is an extensive and deep literature on this broad topic, and just to name a few major works we cite the classical books of M. S. Raghunathan Rag72 and A. Borel and N. Wallach BW00.

Within those contexts, there is significance in the study of the asymptotic behaviour of the cohomology groups $\mathrm{H}^{i}(\Gamma, \mathrm{~W})$ when $\Gamma$ is a discrete subgroup of a semi-simple Lie group G and W is a finite dimensional complex representation of G. This asymptotic behaviour could be considered either with respect to going down a residual chain of finite index subgroups of $\Gamma$ or with respect to taking larger and larger representations W of G . The former is the realm of Lück approximation ( Lü94, Jai19a, BJ24, cf. Appendix A), and we are concerned with the latter.

Therefore, given a subgroup $\Gamma$ and a sequence of finite dimensional complex representations $\left\{\mathrm{W}_{k}\right\}$ of a semi-simple Lie group $G$ with $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{dim} \mathrm{~W}_{k}=\infty$, we are interested in the following questions:
(1) Does the sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\frac{\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{H}^{i}\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{~W}_{k}\right)}{\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{W}_{k}}\right\} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

converge and, if so, can we describe the limit?
(2) Does this limit depend on the choice of $\mathrm{W}_{k}$ ?
(3) Can we estimate the rate of convergence?

[^0]In this present work we will conjecture an answer for all three questions when $G$ is the group of complex points of a semi-simple algebraic group and $\Gamma$ is a subgroup of $G$ of type $F P_{\infty}$ (not necessarily discrete). Moreover, we will provide positive answers in the case when $G$ is a product of copies of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$.

We expect that the answer to questions (1) and (2) depends on two factors. The first factor is how the central elements $Z(G) \cap \Gamma$ act on each representation. More precisely, each irreducible representation $W$ of $G$ induces a central character $\chi: Z(\mathrm{G}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. If (*) is to converge for a sequence of irreducible representations $\left\{\mathrm{W}_{k}\right\}$, we need them to induce the same central character when restricted to $\Gamma$. An explicit illustration of this fact is given at the end of Remark A.5 Clearly this is not an obstruction if $\Gamma$ is torsion-free, since then $Z(G)$ intersects $\Gamma$ trivially.

The second factor regards in which direction along the weight lattice of $G$ is the sequence of representations $\left\{\mathrm{W}_{k}\right\}$ growing. We recall that to each irreducible representation $W$ of $G$ one can associate a tuple $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)$ of non-negative integers called the highest weight of $W$, and this highest weight characterizes $W$ up to a G-equivariant isomorphism. To ensure convergence, we ask that the representations $\mathrm{W}_{k}$ are all irreducible and that all their weight parameters $\lambda_{i}=\lambda_{i}(k)$ grow to infinity, i.e. $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \min \lambda_{i}=\infty$.

For the statement of the conjectures, we fix an arbitrary semi-simple algebraic group $G$ over $\mathbb{C}$ and we assume that $\Gamma$ is a subgroup of $G$ of type $F P_{\infty}$ (not necessarily discrete). Our conjectural answer to questions (1) and (2) is as follows:

Conjecture 1.1. If $\Gamma$ is torsion-free and $\left\{\mathrm{W}_{k}\right\}$ is a sequence of irreducible representations of G whose highest weight parameters grow to infinity, then

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{H}^{i}\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{~W}_{k}\right)}{\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{W}_{k}}=b_{i}^{(2)}(\Gamma)
$$

where $b_{i}^{(2)}(\Gamma)$ denotes the $i$-th $\ell^{2}$-Betti number of $\Gamma$.
As for question (3), we conjecture the following stronger statement:
Conjecture 1.2. If $\Gamma$ is torsion-free and $\lambda_{i}=\lambda_{i}(k)$ denote the highest weight parameters of the representations $W_{k}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{H}^{i}\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{~W}_{k}\right)}{\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{W}_{k}}-b_{i}^{(2)}(\Gamma)\right|=O\left(\frac{1}{\min \left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right\}}\right) . \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\Gamma$ not necessarily torsion-free, under the additional hypothesis that the representations $W_{k}$ induce the same central character $\chi$ on $Z(G) \cap \Gamma$, we expect the sequence (娄) to converge to the $i$-th $\ell^{2}$-Betti number of $\Gamma$ twisted by the character $\chi$, see Sections 2 and 3. We also expect that an analogue of ( $(* *)$ holds with $b_{i}^{(2)}(\Gamma)$ replaced by the $i$-th $\ell^{2}$-Betti number of $\Gamma$ twisted by the central character $\chi$, which is assumed to be constant throughout all the representations $\mathrm{W}_{k}$.

As evidence for the conjectures, we prove them when all the irreducible factors of $G$ are of type $A_{1}$ :

Theorem 1.3. Let G be the quotient of a product of finitely many copies of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ by a finite central subgroup and $\Gamma$ be a torsion-free subgroup of G of type $F P_{\infty}$. Then, Conjecture 1.1 holds for $\Gamma$ and Conjecture 1.2 holds for some finite index subgroup of $\Gamma$.

Let us now describe how Theorem 1.3 can be applied in a topological and an arithmetical setting. We start by remarking that if $M$ is a 3-manifold admitting
a complete hyperbolic metric and $\Gamma=\pi_{1}(M) \leq \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ is finitely generated, then $\Gamma$ is actually of type $F$ and hence our results apply. Using the calculations of $\operatorname{dim} H^{i}\left(\Gamma, \operatorname{Sym}^{\lambda} \mathbb{C}^{2}\right)$ by P. Menal-Ferrer and J. Porti in MFP12, we obtain the following corollary:
Corollary 1.4. The $\ell^{2}$-Betti numbers of a topologically finite 3-manifold $M$ with a complete hyperbolic metric are given by

$$
b_{i}^{(2)}(M)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } i \neq 1 \\ -\chi(M), & \text { if } i=1\end{cases}
$$

In particular, if the volume of $M$ is finite then $M$ is $\ell^{2}$-acyclic.
The $\ell^{2}$-Betti numbers of all compact 3-manifolds were computed by J. Lott and W. Lück in LL95. Using the prime decomposition and combination theorems, the core of their calculations lies in the finite volume hyperbolic case.

Moving on to number theory, if $\Gamma$ is a congruence subgroup of $\prod_{i=1}^{r_{1}+2 r_{2}} \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ for a number field $F$ with $r_{1}+2 r_{2}=(F: \mathbb{Q})$ embeddings into $\mathbb{C}$, then the EichlerShimura isomorphism relates the homology groups $\mathrm{H}_{r_{1}+r_{2}}(\Gamma, W)$ with coefficients in an irreducible representation of highest weight $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$ with the space $S_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\Gamma)$ of cusp forms of level $\Gamma$ and weight $\boldsymbol{k}=\left(k_{i}\right)$, where $k_{i}=\lambda_{i}+2$.

Describing the asymptotic behaviour of $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} S_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\Gamma)$ is a major problem in current number theory research ([hi63, Sav89, SX91, CE09, FGT10, Mar12, Fu24). Moreover, not much is known about the growth rate of this dimension when $\boldsymbol{k}$ grows, except when $F$ is a totally real field. Using Theorem 1.3 , we are able to give a new proof of the currently best known upper bound for $S_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\Gamma)$ when $F$ is not totally real:
Corollary 1.5 (cf. Fu24). If $F$ is not totally real, then

$$
\operatorname{dim} S_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\Gamma)=O\left(\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{r_{1}+2 r_{2}} k_{i}}{\min k_{i}}\right)
$$

In particular, $\operatorname{dim} S_{k}(\Gamma)=O(k)$ if $F$ is imaginary quadratic.
Let us describe the structure of the paper and give an outline of the proof of Theorem 1.3 ,

There is a stronger way of formulating both Conjectures 1.1 and 1.2 in terms of rank functions for matrices over $\Gamma$, which are defined in Section 2 We will prove Theorem 1.3 by actually proving the equivalent statement for these stronger Conjectures 2.4 and 2.5 in Theorem 6.1. We also delegate the rather technical definition of the twisted von Neumann rank to Appendix A where some of its basic properties are also proven.

After they are defined, we explore in Section 3 how these rank functions can be used to compute $\ell^{2}$-Betti numbers, establishing the fact that Conjecture 2.4 implies Conjecture 1.1, and that Conjecture 2.5 implies Conjecture 1.2

Our main tool for attacking Theorem 1.3 is converting its statement to another one about representations of $p$-adic analytic pro- $p$ groups. We dedicate Section 4 to collecting the necessary definitions and results about $p$-adic analytic pro- $p$ groups that will be needed throughout the paper.

This conversion is the objective of Section 5, and its result presented in the statement of Corollary 5.5 First, it is shown that if $\Gamma$ virtually satisfies the quantitative Conjecture 2.5, then it also satisfies the qualitative Conjecture 2.4. This
step is actually the consequence of a general fact about rank functions defined on von Neumann factors, and it is carried out in Appendix B (see Corollary B.5).

The second step is to isolate in $\Gamma$ the finite index subgroup $\Gamma^{\prime}$ for which we are going to prove Conjecture 2.5 This is done by embedding $\Gamma$ into a $p$-adic analytic group (namely, the $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-points $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ of the algebraic group G ) and taking the intersection of $\Gamma$ with a uniform open normal subgroup. Since rank functions are invariant when passing to overgroups, this allows us to focus exclusively on the first congruence subgroup $U_{1}$ of $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$. Moreover, we also show that it suffices to study the asymptotic behaviour of the ranks of elements in the completed group algebra $\mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket U_{1} \rrbracket$ instead of general matrices.

So far, all of these facts are proven in complete generality, i.e. for an arbitrary semi-simple algebraic group $G$ over $\mathbb{C}$. It is in Section 6 that we first specialize to the case in Theorem 1.3, i.e. when all the irreducible factors of G have type $A_{1}$. There, using the results of [Fu24] and [AW13], we prove Theorem 6.1, the stronger version of Theorem 1.3. by obtaining explicit bounds on the ranks of elements in $\mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket U_{1} \rrbracket$ for all odd primes $p$.

The last two sections before the appendix are dedicated to the applications of Theorem 1.3 mentioned in the introduction. In Section 7 we recall some essential facts about 3-manifold topology that allows us to use Theorem 1.3 in order to compute the $\ell^{2}$-Betti numbers of topologically finite hyperbolic 3-manifolds as in Corollary 1.4. Finally, in Section 8, we briefly recall the definitions of a cusp form over a number field and the Eichler-Shimura isomorphism, which relates the space of cusp forms to the cohomology of congruence subgroups. We then proceed to show how Theorem 1.3 implies Corollary 1.5 .
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## 2. Lie modules and Sylvester functions

The objective of this section is to define the concepts necessary to state a stronger version of Conjectures 1.1 and 1.2 the Sylvester rank and dimension functions associated to a finite dimensional representation of a group. We postpone to Section3 the proof that the conjectures stated here are indeed stronger than their respective counterparts in the introduction.

A Sylvester module rank function on a ring $R$ is a function defined on the class of all finitely presented $R$-modules taking values on the non-negative reals that satisfies a series of conditions analogous to that of the usual dimension of vector spaces over a field (cf. Definition 2.1 below). Analogously, a Sylvester matrix rank function assigns a non-negative real number to each matrix over $R$ in a fashion
similar to the usual rank of matrices over fields (cf. Definition 2.2). The viewpoint of Sylvester functions not only allows us to explain the asymptotic behaviour of cohomology in a more uniform fashion, but also permit us to relax the hypothesis of $F P_{\infty}$ and focus instead on arbitrary finitely generated subgroups - again, not necessarily discrete.

For this section, fix $G$ a semi-simple algebraic group over $\mathbb{C}$ and $\Gamma$ a finitely generated subgroup of $G$. Henceforth, we assume $G$ to be simply-connected (this will soon be made clear not toimply any loss of generality, see Remark 2.6). We simultaneously see $G$ as an algebraic group, a linear group over $\mathbb{C}$ and an affine group scheme defined over $\mathbb{Z}$ by the associated Chevalley group, with context or an explicit reference making it clear which structure we are considering.

Since $G$ is linear over $\mathbb{C}$, by looking at the coefficients of the generators of $\varphi(\Gamma)$ one can find a subring $S_{0}$ of $\mathbb{C}$ with field of fractions $L_{0} \leq \mathbb{C}$ such that $\Gamma$ is a subgroup of the group $\mathrm{G}\left(S_{0}\right)$ of $S_{0}$-points of G , where the notion of $S_{0}$-points in the linear and algebraic sense coincide by the simply-connected hypothesis [Ste16, Cor. 3 of Thm. 7].

Observe that every rational representation of $G$ over $\mathbb{C}$ is also defined over the prime field, i.e. it is the extension of scalars of a rational representation $\mathrm{G}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Q})$ - cf. Ste16, Cor. 1 to Thm. 7, Thm. 39(e) and the remark thereafter]. Fix a maximal torus T in G and a set of positive roots for its root system $R$. The irreducible rational representations of $G$ are parameterized by the dominant integral elements $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ in the character group $X(T)$ by taking the highest weight appearing in the weight decomposition. Moreover, $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ can be further parameterized by $n$ non-negative integers $\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)$ once an order on the roots is fixed. If $\mathrm{W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ is the irreducible G-module associated to $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$, one can find a rational form (ie. a $\mathbb{Q}$-submodule) $W_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{\mathbb{Q}}$ in $W_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ that is equivariant under $G(\mathbb{Q})$ and such that $W_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \simeq W_{\lambda}$.

The uniqueness of the Chevalley group associated to a given weight lattice [Ste16, Cor. 5 to Thm. $\left.4^{\prime}\right]$ shows that the $G(\mathbb{Q})$-isomorphism class of $W_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{Q}}$ does not depend on the chosen rational form. Hence, for any subfield $L$ of $\mathbb{C}$ we denote by $\mathrm{W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{L}$ the $\mathrm{G}(L)$-module obtained by the extension of scalars of $\mathrm{W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{\mathbb{Q}}$, which is well defined up to a rational isomorphism. In particular, we have that $W_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{\mathbb{C}}=\mathrm{W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$.

For each field $L$ containing $L_{0}$ and each finitely generated right $L[\Gamma]$-module $M$, define

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{L}}^{\Gamma} M=\frac{\operatorname{dim}_{L} M \otimes_{L[\Gamma]} \mathrm{W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{L}}{\operatorname{dim}_{L} \mathrm{~W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{L}} .
$$

If $L=\mathbb{C}$, we denote this function simply by $\operatorname{dim}_{W_{\lambda}}^{\Gamma}$.
Definition 2.1. Given a unital ring $R$, a function $\operatorname{dim}$ on finitely presented $R$ modules valued on the non-negative reals is called a Sylvester module dimension function if it satisfies the following properties:
(SMod1) $\operatorname{dim} 0=0$ and $\operatorname{dim} R=1$;
(SMod2) $\operatorname{dim} M_{1} \oplus M_{2}=\operatorname{dim} M_{1}+\operatorname{dim} M_{2}$; and
(SMod3) if $M_{1} \rightarrow M_{2} \rightarrow M_{3} \rightarrow 0$ is exact, then

$$
\operatorname{dim} M_{3} \leq \operatorname{dim} M_{2} \leq \operatorname{dim} M_{1}+\operatorname{dim} M_{3} .
$$

The function $\operatorname{dim}_{W_{\lambda}^{L}}^{\Gamma}$ satisfies (SMod1)-(SMod3), and is thus a Sylvester module dimension function on $L[\Gamma]$.

We define the associated matrix rank function analogously. If $A$ is an $r \times s$ matrix over $L[\Gamma]$ and $M=L[\Gamma]^{r} / A L[\Gamma]^{s}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{rk}_{\mathrm{W}_{\lambda}^{L}}^{\Gamma} A=r-\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{W}_{\lambda}^{L}}^{\Gamma} M \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Once more, if $L=\mathbb{C}$ we denote this rank function simply by $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathrm{W}_{\lambda}}^{\Gamma}$.
Definition 2.2. A function rk on matrices over $R$ valued on the non-negative real numbers is called a Sylvester matrix rank function if it satisfies the following properties:
(SMat1) $\operatorname{rk} A=0$ if $A$ is any zero matrix, and $\operatorname{rk}(1)=1$;
(SMat2) $\operatorname{rk} A B \leq \min \{\operatorname{rk} A, \operatorname{rk} B\}$ for any pair of matrices $A$ and $B$ that can be multiplied;
(SMat3) $\operatorname{rk}\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & 0 \\ 0 & B\end{array}\right)=\operatorname{rk} A+\operatorname{rk} B$ for any matrices $A$ and $B$; and
(SMat4) $\operatorname{rk}\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & C \\ 0 & B\end{array}\right) \geq \operatorname{rk} A+\operatorname{rk} B$ for any matrices $A, B$ and $C$ of appropriate sizes.

The function $\mathrm{rk}=\mathrm{rk}_{\mathrm{W}_{\lambda}^{L}}^{\Gamma}$ satisfies (SMat1)-(SMat4) and is thus a Sylvester matrix rank function on $L[\Gamma]$.

There is a general relation of the form given by equation (11) that gives a bijection between the set of Sylvester matrix rank functions and Sylvester module dimension functions over any ring ([Jai19b, Sec. 5]). Other examples of Sylvester matrix rank functions on $L[\Gamma]$ are the von Neumann rank $\mathrm{rk}_{\Gamma}$, the twisted von Neumann rank $\mathrm{rk}_{\Gamma}^{\chi}$ of Appendix A where $\chi: Z \leq_{\text {finite }} Z(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ is any central character and the von Neumann ranks

$$
\mathrm{rk}_{\Gamma / \Gamma^{\prime}}^{L} A=\frac{\mathrm{rk}_{L} A_{\Gamma^{\prime}}}{\left|\Gamma: \Gamma^{\prime}\right|}
$$

induced by the finite index normal subgroups $\Gamma^{\prime}$ of $\Gamma$, where $A_{\Gamma^{\prime}}$ denotes the image of $A$ under the natural map $L[\Gamma] \rightarrow L\left[\Gamma / \Gamma^{\prime}\right]$.

More generally, if $\varphi: R \rightarrow R^{\prime}$ is a homomorphism of rings and rk is a Sylvester matrix rank function on $R^{\prime}$, then the pullback along $\varphi$ defines a Sylvester matrix rank function on $R$ by $\varphi^{\#} \operatorname{rk}(A)=\operatorname{rk}(\varphi(A))$, whose associated Sylvester module dimension function $\varphi^{\#} \operatorname{dim}$ is given by $\varphi^{\#} \operatorname{dim} M=\operatorname{dim} M \otimes_{R} R^{\prime}$. The functions rank functions $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathrm{W}_{\lambda}^{L}}^{\Gamma}, \operatorname{rk}_{\Gamma}^{\chi}$ and $\mathrm{rk}_{\Gamma / \Gamma^{\prime}}^{L}$ can be seen as the pullbacks of the rank functions defined on $\operatorname{End}_{L}\left(\mathrm{~W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{L}\right), \mathcal{U}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$ and $L\left[\Gamma / \Gamma^{\prime}\right]$ respectively.

If $N$ is a left $L[\Gamma]$-module, one also defines

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{L}}^{\Gamma} N=\frac{\operatorname{dim}_{L} \mathrm{~W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{L} \otimes_{L[\Gamma]} N}{\operatorname{dim}_{L} \mathrm{~W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{L}}
$$

and a direct computation using duals shows that it induces the same matrix rank function.

Remark 2.3. For a matrix $A \in \operatorname{Mat}(L[\Gamma])$ defined over a field $L \geq L_{0}$ and any field extension $L \leq K$, one also has that $A \in \operatorname{Mat}(K[\Gamma])$. Observe that the rank is independent of the choice of field, i.e.

$$
\mathrm{rk}_{\mathrm{W}_{\lambda}^{K}}^{\Gamma} A=\mathrm{rk}_{\mathrm{W}_{\lambda}^{L}}^{\Gamma} A
$$

This is because

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{W}_{\lambda}^{K}}^{\Gamma} K \otimes_{L} M=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{W}_{\lambda}^{L}}^{\Gamma} M
$$

In particular, $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathrm{W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{L}}^{\Gamma}$ is induced by the composition $L[\Gamma] \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{L}\left(\mathrm{~W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{L}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\mathrm{W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right)$.
Let us now state a stronger formulation of Conjectures 1.1 and 1.2 in terms of Sylvester matrix rank functions. We recall our running hypothesis that $G$ is a semi-simple algebraic group over $\mathbb{C}$ and $\Gamma$ is a finitely generated subgroup of $G(\mathbb{C})$.

Given a central character $\chi: Z(G) \rightarrow \chi^{\times}$, denote by $X(T)_{\chi}$ be the subset of the dominant integral elements $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in X(T)$ such that $Z(G)$ acts on $W_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ through $\chi$. We believe that the general qualitative behaviour of the rank functions is described as follows:

Conjecture 2.4. As rank functions on $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$, we have that

$$
\lim _{\min \lambda_{i} \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{rk}_{\mathrm{W}_{\lambda}}^{\Gamma}=\mathrm{rk}_{\Gamma}^{\chi}
$$

where the limit is taken over $\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{T})_{\chi}$ and $\mathrm{rk}_{\Gamma}^{\chi}$ is the twisted von Neumann rank of $\Gamma$ by the central character $\chi$ restricted to $\Gamma$.

As for the quantitative approach, we expect the following:
Conjecture 2.5. For any $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathrm{X}(\mathrm{T})_{\chi}$ and any matrix $A$ over $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$, we have that

$$
\left|\operatorname{rk}_{\mathrm{W}_{\lambda}}^{\Gamma}(A)-\operatorname{rk}_{\Gamma}^{\chi}(A)\right|=O\left(\frac{1}{\min \left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right\}}\right)
$$

Remark 2.6. Let H be a semi-simple algebraic group over $\mathbb{C}$ and G be the simply connected algebraic group with the same root system of H . Then, if Conjecture 2.4 holds for any finitely generated subgroup of G , it also does for H . Indeed, any matrix over H can be lifted to a matrix over G , and one readily checks that both the ranks induced by a representation $W$ and the twisted von Neumann ranks over $G$ and over $H$ coincide for this pair of matrices. The same is true for Conjecture [2.5] if it holds (virtually) for all finitely generated subgroups of G, it also holds (virtually) for all finitely generated subgroups of H . Hence, it suffices to prove these conjectures for the unique simply connected semi-simple algebraic group of any given root system.

## 3. $\ell^{2}$-Betti numbers

Associated to any abstract group $\Gamma$, we have a sequence of numerical invariants $b_{i}^{(2)}(\Gamma)$ called the $\ell^{2}$-Betti numbers of $\Gamma$. They are defined as the von Neumann dimension of the $\ell^{2}$-homology groups $\mathrm{H}_{i}^{(2)}(\Gamma)=\mathrm{H}_{i}(\Gamma, \mathcal{N}(\Gamma))$, where $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$ is the von Neumann algebra generated by the action of $\Gamma$ on $\ell^{2}(\Gamma)$ Lü02, Sec. 6.5]. If $\Gamma$ is of type $F P_{n+1}$ over $\mathbb{C}$, the $n$ first $\ell^{2}(\Gamma)$-Betti numbers of $\Gamma$ can also be recovered from the complex $F_{\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]} \ell^{2}(\Gamma)$, where $F_{\bullet} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a free resolution of $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$ with $F_{i}$ of finite rank for $0 \leq i \leq n+1$, by [Lü02, Lem. 6.53]. By taking instead the twisted von Neumann dimension $\operatorname{dim}_{\Gamma}^{\chi}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{i}\left(\Gamma, \mathcal{N}(\Gamma)_{\chi}\right)$ as in Appendix A, one obtains the $i$-th $\ell^{2}$-Betti number of $\Gamma$ twisted by a central character $\chi$, and these all coincide with the usual $\ell^{2}$-Betti numbers if $\Gamma$ is torsion-free.

When $\Gamma=\pi_{1}(M)$ is the fundamental group of an orientable Riemannian manifold, $b_{i}^{(2)}(\Gamma)$ is related to the trace of the heat kernel of $M$ Lü02, Sec. 1.3.2]. These numbers vanish if $\Gamma$ is locally infinite amenable Lü02, Thm. 7.2], and the vanishing of $\beta_{1}^{(2)}(\Gamma)$ is related to fibering in virtually residually finite rationally solvable (RFRS) groups Kie20, a class that includes all virtually compact special groups and hence the fundamental groups of finite volume hyperbolic 3-manifolds.

The main objective of this section is to show how one can compute $\ell^{2}$-Betti numbers using the von Neumann rank for matrices, and hence to prove that the conjectures of Section 2 on Sylvester matrix rank functions imply their respective cohomological counterparts of the introduction.

A consequence of the (twisted) Lück's approximation theorem is that, for any finite-dimensional $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$-module W and any residual chain $\left\{\Gamma_{i}\right\}$ of finite index normal subgroups of $\Gamma$, the $\ell^{2}$-Betti number $\beta_{i}^{(2)}(\Gamma)$ can be recovered as the homological gradient

$$
\beta_{i}^{(2)}(\Gamma) \cdot \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{W}=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{H}_{i}\left(\Gamma_{i}, \mathrm{~W}\right)}{\left|\Gamma: \Gamma_{i}\right|}
$$

as long as $\Gamma$ is of type $F P_{i+1}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ [Lü02, Thm. 13.49] (see also BJ24]). If $\Gamma$ is a subgroup of some $G$ satisfying Conjecture 2.4 then the $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$-modules $W_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ provide another way to approximate the $\ell^{2}$-Betti numbers of $\Gamma$.

Proposition 3.1. Assume that $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{G}$ is a subgroup of type $F P_{n+1}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ that satisfies Conjecture 2.4. If $\Gamma$ intersects $Z(\mathrm{G})$ trivially, then for any sequence $\left\{\mathrm{W}_{k}\right\}$ of irreducible representations of G such that $\min \left\{\lambda_{1}(k), \ldots, \lambda_{n}(k)\right\} \rightarrow \infty$ we have that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{H}_{i}\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{~W}_{k}\right)}{\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{W}_{k}}=\beta_{i}^{(2)}(\Gamma)
$$

whenever $0 \leq i \leq n$. In particular, $\Gamma$ also satisfies Conjecture 1.1.
Proof. Let $F_{\bullet} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a free resolution of $\mathbb{C}$ over $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$ such that $F_{i}$ is of finite rank for every $0 \leq i \leq k+1$. For every $1 \leq i \leq k$, there exists a $s \times r$ matrix $A$ and a $r \times t$ matrix $B$ over $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$ such that, after choosing bases for $F_{i-1}, F_{i}$ and $F_{i+1}$, the maps $\psi$ and $\varphi$ in

$$
\cdots \rightarrow F_{i+1} \xrightarrow{\psi} F_{i} \xrightarrow{\varphi} F_{i-1} \rightarrow \cdots
$$

are given by right multiplication with $A$ and $B$, respectively.
After tensoring with $\ell^{2}(\Gamma)$ over $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$, we get a complex of the form

$$
\cdots \rightarrow \ell^{2}(\Gamma)^{s} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\psi}} \ell^{2}(\Gamma)^{r} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\varphi}} \ell^{2}(\Gamma)^{t} \rightarrow \cdots .
$$

The kernel of $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is a closed $\Gamma$-invariant subspace of $\ell^{2}(\Gamma)$ which contains the image of $\widetilde{\psi}$. The $i$-th $\ell^{2}$-Betti number of $\Gamma$ is then defined as

$$
\beta_{i}^{(2)}(\Gamma)=\operatorname{dim}_{\Gamma} \operatorname{ker}(\widetilde{\varphi}) / \overline{\operatorname{im}(\widetilde{\psi})} .=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker}(\widetilde{\varphi})-\operatorname{dim} \overline{\operatorname{im}(\widetilde{\psi})},
$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that $\operatorname{dim}_{\Gamma}$ is exact under short exact sequences Lü02, Thm. 6.7(4b)].

Using that $\operatorname{dim}_{\Gamma} \overline{\operatorname{im}(\widetilde{\psi})}=\operatorname{rk}_{\Gamma} A$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{\Gamma} \operatorname{ker}(\widetilde{\varphi})=r-\operatorname{rk}_{\Gamma} B$, we obtain that

$$
\beta_{i}^{(2)}(\Gamma)=r-\mathrm{rk}_{\Gamma} A-\mathrm{rk}_{\Gamma} B
$$

On the other hand, tensoring with $W_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ over $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$ gives us the complex

$$
\cdots \rightarrow \mathrm{W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{s} \xrightarrow{\widehat{\psi}} \mathrm{~W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{r} \xrightarrow{\hat{\varphi}} \mathrm{~W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{t} \rightarrow \cdots,
$$

and $H_{i}\left(\Gamma, W_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right)=\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]}\left(\mathbb{C}, W_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{ker}(\widehat{\varphi}) / \operatorname{im}(\widehat{\psi})$. From this, we get that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} H_{i}\left(\Gamma, \mathbf{W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{ker}(\widehat{\varphi}) / \operatorname{im}(\widehat{\psi})=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{ker}(\widehat{\varphi})-\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{im}(\widehat{\psi})
$$

Since $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{im}(\widehat{\psi})=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \cdot \mathrm{rk}_{\mathrm{W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}^{\Gamma} A$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{ker}(\widehat{\varphi})=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \cdot\left(r-\mathrm{rk}_{\mathrm{W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}^{\Gamma} B\right)$, we conclude that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{H}_{i}\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{~W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \cdot\left(r-\operatorname{rk}_{\mathrm{W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}^{\Gamma} A-\operatorname{rk}_{\mathrm{W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}^{\Gamma} B\right)
$$

The result now follows from the validity of Conjecture 2.4 and Remark A.5. The statement about cohomology follows by considering duals over $\mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 3.2. Assume now that $\Gamma \leq G$ is a subgroup of type $F P_{n+1}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ that satisfies Conjecture 2.5. If $\Gamma$ intersects $\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{G})$ trivially, then for any representation W of G with highest weight $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)$ we have that

$$
\left|\frac{\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{H}_{i}(\Gamma, \mathrm{~W})}{\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{W}}-b_{i}^{(2)}(\Gamma)\right|=O\left(\frac{1}{\min \left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right\}}\right)
$$

for any $0 \leq i \leq n$. In particular, $\Gamma$ also satisfies Conjecture 1.2.
Proof. During the proof of Proposition 3.1 we show that, under these hypotheses, we have an upper bound

$$
\left|\frac{\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{H}_{i}\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{~W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right)}{\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}-\beta_{i}^{(2)}(\Gamma)\right| \leq\left|\mathrm{rk}_{\mathrm{W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}^{\Gamma}(A)-\mathrm{rk}_{\Gamma}(A)\right|+\left|\mathrm{rk}_{\mathrm{W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}^{\Gamma}(B)-\mathrm{rk}_{\Gamma}(B)\right|
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are matrices over $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$. So, once again, the result follows from the validity of Conjecture 2.5, Remark A.5 and duality for passing to cohomology.

It is important to note that in many cases, satisfying Conjecture 1.2 only virtually is enough to obtain bounds on cohomology. We do it in the following proposition:
Proposition 3.3. Assume $\Gamma \leq G$ is a torsion-free subgroup of type $F P_{n+1}$ that virtually satisfies Conjecture 2.5. Then, if $\beta_{i}^{(2)}(\Gamma)=0$ for some $0 \leq i \leq n$, we have that

$$
\frac{\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{H}^{i}(\Gamma, \mathrm{~W})}{\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{W}}=O\left(\frac{1}{\min \left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right\}}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $\Gamma^{\prime}$ be a finite index subgroup of $\Gamma$ that satisfies Conjecture 2.5. Let $\alpha: \mathrm{H}_{i}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}, \mathrm{W}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{i}(\Gamma, \mathrm{~W})$ and $\beta: \mathrm{H}_{i}(\Gamma, \mathrm{~W}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{i}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}, \mathrm{W}\right)$ be the corestriction and transfer maps in homology, respectively. Since $\alpha \circ \beta$ equals multiplication by the index $\left|\Gamma: \Gamma^{\prime}\right|$ in $H_{i}(\Gamma, W)$, it is an isomorphism and therefore $\beta$ must be injective, see Bro82, Prop. 9.5(ii)]. Consequently,

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{H}_{i}(\Gamma, \mathrm{~W}) \leq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{H}_{i}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}, \mathrm{W}\right)
$$

and, since $\beta_{i}^{(2)}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)=\left|\Gamma: \Gamma^{\prime}\right| \beta_{i}^{(2)}(\Gamma)=0$, the claim follows.

## 4. $p$-ADIC ANALYTIC GROUPS

Our main tool for attacking Conjecture 2.5 is translating it into a statement about $p$-adic universal enveloping algebras. This is done in Section 5 by exploiting the rational structure of $G$ and embedding the finitely generated subfield $S_{0} \leq \mathbb{C}$ into a $p$-adic field. By taking the closure with respect to the $p$-adic topology, we then shift the focus to asymptotic properties of $p$-adic analytic groups. Hence, this section is devoted to collecting the definitions, properties and theorems about $p$-adic analytic groups that will be needed for the rest of the paper.

Let $G$ be a profinite group and $p$ be and odd prime number. The completed group algebra of $G$, also referred to as the Iwasawa algebra in the literature, is

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket=\lim _{幺} \mathbb{Z}_{p}[G / U]
$$

where the inverse limit is taken over all the open normal subgroups $U$ of $G$. For any pair of right and left profinite $\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket$-modules $M$ and $N$, we let

$$
M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket} N \quad \text { and } \quad M \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket} N
$$

be the usual and the completed tensor product over the ring $\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket$, respectively (see [RZ10, Sec. 5.5]). Both have the structure of a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-module, with the latter being the completion of the former with respect to the topology defined by the finite quotients of $M$ and $N$. We note that, if either $M$ or $N$ is finitely generated, then $M \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket} N \simeq M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket} N$ by Bru66, Lem. 2.1(i)].

A profinite group $G$ is called p-adic analytic if it is a Lie group over $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, see [DDSMS99, Interlude A] for the many equivalent definitions. We say that $G$ has dimension $d$ if it has dimension $d$ as an analytic manifold; the integer $d$ can also be recovered as the dimension over $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ of its Lie algebra and as the minimal number of topological generators for any open uniform subgroup $U$ of $G$.

Let $\mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket=\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \mathbb{Q}_{p}$. Opposite to what the notation might suggest, the algebra $\mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket$ is not in general isomorphic to the inverse limit $\lim _{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}[G / U]$. The completed group algebra $\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket$ is known to be an Ore domain if $G$ is a torsion-free $p$-adic analytic profinite (and hence pro-p) group (see [AB06, Sec. 4]). Let $\mathcal{Q}_{G}$ be the associated division ring of fractions. For any left $\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket$-module $M$ and any right $\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket$-module $N$, we define

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket} M=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{Q}_{G}} \mathcal{Q}_{G} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket} M \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket} N=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{Q}_{G}} N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket} \mathcal{Q}_{G}
$$

This is a Sylvester module dimension function on $\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket$ whose associated matrix rank function is $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket}=\mathrm{rk}_{\mathcal{Q}_{G}}$. Observe that $\mathcal{Q}_{G}$ also contains $\mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket$, and therefore induces a matrix rank function $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket}$ on $\mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket$ with associated dimension function $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket}$.

For any $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-module $M$, we define $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} M$ as $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \mathbb{Q}_{p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} M$. The following result is an analogue of the Lück approximation theorem for analytic pro-p groups.

Theorem 4.1 ([Har79, Thm. 1.10], cf. BLLS14, Thm. 2.1]). Let $G \leq \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ be a torsion-free p-adic analytic group of dimension $d$. Let $U_{i}$ be the intersection of $G$ with the $i$-th congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$. If $M$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket$-module, then

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket} M\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket}(M) \cdot\left|G: U_{i}\right|+O\left(\left|G: U_{i}\right|^{1-1 / d}\right) .
$$

In particular, we have that

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket} M\right)}{\left|G: U_{i}\right|}=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket} M .
$$

From Harris' result we obtain the analogue statement for rank functions.
Corollary 4.2. Let $G$ be p-adic analytic torsion-free and $A$ be a matrix over $\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket$. Then,

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{rk}_{G / U_{i}}^{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} A_{i}=\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket} A
$$

where $A_{i}$ denotes the image of $A$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[G / U_{i}\right]$.
There is a correspondence between uniform pro- $p$ groups and uniform $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-Lie algebras, originally described by Lazard Laz65, given by exp and $\log$ functors.

Let $G$ be a uniform pro- $p$ group, and consider the formal power series

$$
\exp (X)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{X^{i}}{i!} \quad \text { and } \quad \log (X+1)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{i+1} \frac{X^{i}}{i}
$$

Inside the completed group algebra $\mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket$, the series $\log (g)$ converges for all $g \in G$, and $\log (G)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-Lie algebra with the bracket given by the commutator of $\mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket$. Furthermore, we have that $\exp (\log (G))=G$. More generally, if $G$ is a subgroup of the group of units of a Banach $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$-algebra $A$, the topology on $G$ is induced by the norm of $A$ and all the elements $g-1$ have norm strictly less than 1 , we can identify $\log (G) \subseteq A$ with $\log (G) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket$ as $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-Lie algebras [DDSMS99, Cor. 7.14]. We call such an algebra $A$ an associative Banach envelope of the uniform pro-p group $G$.

This correspondence allows us to describe algebraically the $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ associated to $G$ as a $p$-adic analytic group, which is nothing more than $\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathbb{Q}_{p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}$ $\log (G)$. The Lie algebra $\log (G)$ is powerful in the sense that $[\log (G), \log (G)] \subseteq$ $p \log (G)$. If $G$ has dimension $d$, then $\log (G)$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-module of rank $d$. Taking any $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-basis $\left\{h_{1}, \cdots, h_{d}\right\}$ of $\log (G)$, the Poincaré-Birkoff-Witt theorem tells us that the monomials $h_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots h_{d}^{a_{d}}$ form a $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$-basis of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

Define a norm on the $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$-vector space $U(\mathfrak{g})$ by setting

$$
\left\|\sum_{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}} \alpha_{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}} h_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots h_{d}^{a_{d}}\right\|=\max \left\{\left|\alpha_{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}}\right|\right\}
$$

Since $\log (G)$ is a powerful Lie algebra, this norm is submultiplicative. By completing $U(\mathfrak{g})$ we obtain a Banach $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$-algebra $\widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})}$ called the completed universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, which can be described as

$$
\widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})}=\left\{\sum_{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}} \alpha_{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}} h_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots h_{d}^{a_{d}}:\left|\alpha_{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}}\right| \rightarrow 0 \text { as }\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}\right) \rightarrow \infty\right\} .
$$

The completed universal enveloping algebra is an almost commutative affinoid algebra in the sense of AW13, Sec. 3]. We collect some properties of the completed group algebra $\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket$ and the associated completed universal enveloping algebra in the proposition that follows.

Proposition 4.3. Let $G, \log (G)$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ be as before. Take an associative Banach envelope $A$ for $G$ with logarithm map $\log : G \rightarrow A$. Let $\iota: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})}$ be the inclusion map from $\mathfrak{g}$ into its completed universal enveloping algebra.
(a) The ring $\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket$ is a local ring and the augmentation ideal $I$ is the unique maximal two-sided ideal.
(b) The set

$$
T=\bigcup_{a \geq 0} p^{a}+I^{a+1}
$$

is an Ore set in $\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket$.
(c) The composition

$$
G \xrightarrow{\log } \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\iota} \widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})} \xrightarrow{\exp } \widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})}
$$

induces an isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket T^{-1} \simeq \widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})}$. In particular, we have a flat and epic embedding $\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket \rightarrow \widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})}$.

Proof. (a) is generally true for completed group algebras of pro- $p$ groups over DVRs with residue field of characteristic $p$, see Bru66, Sec. 4]. (b) follows from AW13, Lem. 2.4]. (c) is shown in AW13, Thm. 10.4].

Remark 4.4. Let us observe how this objects appear in the context of Conjecture 2.4. The group $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-points of G is a $p$-adic analytic group and, if $\mathfrak{h}$ is the Chevalley $\mathbb{Z}$-Lie algebra of $G$, it is related to the analytic Lie algebra of $G$ by an extension of scalars: $\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathbb{Q}_{p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{h}$.

Consider the congruence subgroups $U_{i}=\operatorname{ker}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p} / p^{i} \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)\right)$. By HKN11, Lem. 2.2.2] and the remark thereafter, the subgroups $U_{i}$ are all uniform pro-p groups for $i \geq 1$. In fact, $U_{1}$ can be identified with J.-P. Serre's standard formal group associated to the group law defined by G , and the $U_{i}$ are given by the lower $p$-central series of $U_{1}$. Observe that $\mathfrak{g}$ is the $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$-Lie algebra of all the $U_{i}$, since they are open in $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$. To each $U_{i}$, we can associate Lazard's $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-Lie algebra $\log \left(U_{i}\right)$, and we have an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-Lie algebras $\log \left(U_{i}\right) \simeq p^{i} \mathbb{Z}_{p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{h}$ by observing that $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ is linear over $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ and thus $U_{i} \rightarrow \operatorname{Mat}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ is an associative Banach envelope.

If $M$ is a $U(\mathfrak{g})$-module that is finite dimensional over $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, then the action of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ continuously extends to an action of $\widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})}$. Consider now the modules $\mathrm{W}_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ of Section 2 This action also defines a module $\overline{\mathrm{W}_{\lambda}}$ over $\mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket U_{i} \rrbracket$ by restriction. On the other hand, we have an action of the abstract group algebra $\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[U_{i}\right]$ on $\mathbb{W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ which extends to an action of $\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket U_{i} \rrbracket$ - by the completeness of $\mathrm{W}_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ and the description of $\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket U_{i} \rrbracket$ as a ring of non-commutative power series DDSMS99, Thm. 7.20]. Hence, $\mathrm{W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ also has the structure of a $\mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket U_{i} \rrbracket$-module.
Lemma 4.5. We have that $\widetilde{\mathrm{W}_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}} \simeq \mathrm{~W}_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ as $\mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket U_{i} \rrbracket$-modules.
Proof. After identifying the underlying sets of $\widetilde{W_{\boldsymbol{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}}$ and $W_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$, the isomorphism is an immediate consequence of the Lie group/Lie algebra correspondence, which provides the outer square of a commutative diagram

whose lower triangle commutes by continuity.
Now that there is no ambiguity about the $\mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket U_{i} \rrbracket$-module structure of $\mathrm{W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$, we conclude this section by remarking that, for every closed subgroup $H$ of $U_{i}$ and finitely generated $\mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket H \rrbracket$-module $M$, we have the Sylvester module dimension functions

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{W}_{\lambda}^{H}}^{H} M=\frac{\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket H \rrbracket} \mathrm{~W}_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}}{\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \mathrm{~W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}}
$$

with associated Sylvester matrix rank functions $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathrm{W}_{\lambda}}^{H}$.

## 5. Going from $\mathbb{C}$ to $p$-Adic fields

We keep the notation from Section 2, G is a semi-simple algebraic group over $\mathbb{C}$, each dominant integral element $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ in the character group $X(T)$ of a maximal torus $T$ yields an irreducible rational representation $W_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\Gamma$ is a finitely generated subgroup of the group $\mathrm{G}\left(S_{0}\right)$ of $S_{0}$-points of G , where $S_{0}$ is a finitely generated subring of $\mathbb{C}$ with field of fractions $L_{0}$.

The first step in translating Conjecture 2.4 to a $p$-adic analytic setting is the following result. By Cassels' embedding theorem Cas76, for infinitely many primes $p$ there is an embedding of $S_{0}$ into $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. From this, we obtain the following result:

Proposition 5.1. For infinitely many primes $p$, $\mathrm{G}\left(S_{0}\right)$ embeds in $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$.
We call a prime $p$ for which Proposition 5.1 holds an enveloping prime of the ring $S$. If $A$ is a matrix over $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$, then it can be seen as a matrix over $S[\Gamma]$ for some finitely generated subring $S$ of $\mathbb{C}$ containing $S_{0}$ with field of fractions $L$. Observe that by the Remark 2.3, we have that

$$
\mathrm{rk}_{\mathrm{W}_{\lambda}}^{\Gamma} A=\mathrm{rk}_{\mathrm{W}_{\lambda}^{L}}^{\Gamma} A=\operatorname{rk}_{\mathrm{W}_{\lambda}}^{\Gamma} Q_{p}^{Q_{p}}
$$

for any enveloping prime $p$ of $S$.
We now proceed to obtain the finite index subgroup $\Gamma^{\prime}$ for which we are going to try and prove Conjecture 2.5. We recall the congruence subgroups $U_{i}$ of $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ defined on Remark 4.4 i.e. $U_{i}=\operatorname{ker}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p} / p^{i} \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)\right)$.

Lemma 5.2. For any enveloping prime $p$ of $S$, there exists a finite index normal subgroup $\Gamma^{\prime}$ of $\Gamma$ such that its $p$-adic closure $\overline{\Gamma^{\prime}}$ is a uniform pro-p subgroup of $U_{1}$.

Proof. The $p$-adic closure $\bar{\Gamma}$ in $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ is $p$-adic analytic, and hence contains some open uniform normal subgroup $V$. We take $\Gamma^{\prime}=\Gamma \cap V$.

Let $G=\overline{\Gamma^{\prime}}$, where $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is the subgroup from Lemma 5.2. Observe that both $G$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}$ are torsion-free. Hence, if $\chi$ is any central character of $\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{G})$, the twisted von Neumann rank $\mathrm{rk}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}^{\chi}$ coincides with the usual von Neumann rank $\mathrm{rk}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}$ by Remark A.5. Using Lück's approximation and Harris' theorem, we can compare $\mathrm{rk}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}$ to $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket}$.

Proposition 5.3. Let $A$ be a matrix over $S\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right]$, where $S_{0} \leq S$ is a finitely generated subring of $\mathbb{C}$. If $p$ is an enveloping prime of $S$, we have that

$$
\mathrm{rk}_{\Gamma^{\prime}} A=\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket} A=\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket U_{1} \rrbracket} A
$$

Proof. Let $L$ be the field of fractions of $S$, and take $\Gamma_{i}^{\prime}=\Gamma^{\prime} \cap U_{i}$. Then, the $\Gamma_{i}^{\prime}$ constitute a chain of normal subgroups of $\Gamma^{\prime}$ of finite index with trivial intersection, and moreover $\Gamma^{\prime} / \Gamma_{i}^{\prime} \simeq G /\left(G \cap U_{i}\right)$. By Lück's approximation theorem, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{rk}_{\Gamma^{\prime}} A & =\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{rk}_{\Gamma^{\prime} / \Gamma_{i}^{\prime}}^{\mathbb{C}} A_{i}=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{rk}_{\Gamma^{\prime} / \Gamma_{i}^{\prime}}^{L} A_{i} \\
& =\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{rk}_{G /\left(G \cap U_{i}\right)}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} A_{i}=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{rk}_{G /\left(G \cap U_{i}\right)}^{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} A_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A_{i}$ denotes the image of $A$ in $S\left[\Gamma^{\prime} / \Gamma_{i}^{\prime}\right] \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[G /\left(G \cap U_{i}\right)\right]$. Now, the claim follows from Corollary 4.2, observing that the embedding $\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket U_{1} \rrbracket$ induces an embedding of their respective division rings of fractions.

It now only remains to reinterpret $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathrm{W}_{\lambda}}^{\Gamma^{\prime}} A$ in terms of $G$.
Lemma 5.4. For every matrix $A$ over $\mathbb{Q}_{p}\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right]$, we have that

$$
\mathrm{rk}_{\mathrm{W}_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}}^{\Gamma^{\prime}} A=\mathrm{rk}_{\mathrm{w}_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}}^{G} A=\mathrm{rk}_{\mathrm{w}_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}}^{U_{1}} A .
$$

Proof. Let $A \in \operatorname{Mat}_{n \times m}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}[\Gamma]^{\prime}\right), M$ be the $\mathbb{Q}_{p}\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right]$-module $\mathbb{Q}_{p}\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right]^{n} / A \mathbb{Q}_{p}\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right]^{m}$ and $\widehat{M}$ be the $\mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket$-module $\mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket^{n} / A \mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket^{m}$. It is immediately checked that $\widehat{M}=$ $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right]} \mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket$. Furthermore, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{W}_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}}^{G} \widehat{M} & =\frac{\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \widehat{M} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket} \mathrm{~W}_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}}{\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \mathrm{~W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}} \\
& =\frac{\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}\left(M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right]} \mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket G \rrbracket} \mathrm{~W}_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}}{\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \mathrm{~W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}} \\
& =\frac{\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right]} \mathrm{W}_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}}{\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \mathrm{~W}_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}} \\
& =\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{W}_{\lambda}^{\Gamma_{p}}}^{\Gamma^{\prime}} M
\end{aligned}
$$

The first equality of matrix ranks then follows, and the second equality is proven analogously.

We are now able to state and prove the connection between Conjectures 2.4 and 2.5 and the $p$-adic analytic setting.

Theorem 5.5. Let G be a simply-connected and semi-simple algebraic group. Assume that, for any increasing sequence of weights $\{\boldsymbol{\lambda}=\boldsymbol{\lambda}(k)\}$ such that $\min \left\{\lambda_{i}(k)\right\}$ grows to infinity, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{rk}_{\mathrm{W}_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}}^{U_{1}}=\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket U_{1} \rrbracket} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

as rank functions on $\mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket U_{1} \rrbracket$ for all but finitely many primes $p$. Then, Conjecture 2.4 holds for all finitely generated subgroups $\Gamma$ of G .

Proof. We will apply Corollary B.5. Let $\Gamma$ be a finitely generated and Zariski-dense subgroup of G with $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{G}(S)$ where $S$ is a finitely generated subring of $\mathbb{C}$ with field of fractions $L$. Proposition 5.1 gives us infinitely many enveloping primes $p$ for $S$ such that $\Gamma$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$. Then, by Lemma 5.2 we obtain a finite index normal subgroup $\Gamma^{\prime}$ of $\Gamma$ such that $\overline{\Gamma^{\prime}}=G$ is an uniform subgroup of $U_{1}$. By Proposition 5.3 and Lemma 5.4, condition (2) implies that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{rk}_{\mathrm{W}_{\lambda_{k}}}^{\Gamma^{\prime}}=\operatorname{rk}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}^{\chi^{\prime}}
$$

on $L\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right]$. Hence, it suffices to choose an enveloping prime $p$ for which (2) holds.
Remark 5.6. To apply Theorem 5.5 it suffices to show that, for every non-zero $x$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket U_{1} \rrbracket$ and any sequence $\{\boldsymbol{\lambda}=\boldsymbol{\lambda}(k)\}$ of dominant integral elements such that $\min \lambda_{i}(k)$ goes to infinity, we have that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{rk}_{\mathrm{w}_{\lambda}^{Q_{p}}}^{U_{1}}(x)=1
$$

Indeed, fixing a non-principal ultrafilter $\omega$ on $\mathbb{N}$, the condition above implies that the natural map

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket U_{1} \rrbracket \rightarrow \prod_{\omega} \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}\left(\mathrm{~W}_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}\right)
$$

extends to a homomorphism from $\mathcal{Q}_{U_{1}}$. Hence, since both $\lim _{\omega} \mathrm{rk}_{\mathrm{W}_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}}^{U_{1}}$ and $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket U_{1} \rrbracket}$ are Sylvester matrix rank functions defined on the division ring $\mathcal{Q}_{U_{1}}$, they must coincide for all matrices over $\mathcal{Q}_{U_{1}}$. In particular, they coincide over $\mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket U_{1} \rrbracket$.

The connection between the quantitative statement of Conjecture 2.5 and the $p$ adic seting requires passing down to the finite index subgroup $\Gamma^{\prime}$ of $\Gamma$ whose $p$-adic closure is contained in the first congruence subgroup. However, for $\Gamma^{\prime}$ there results are straightforward. Indeed, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\operatorname{rk}_{\mathrm{w}_{\lambda}^{U_{1}}}^{U_{1}}(A)-\operatorname{rk}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket U_{1} \rrbracket}(A)\right|=O\left(\frac{1}{\min \left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right\}}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any matrix $A$ over $\mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket U_{1} \rrbracket$, then it follows directly from Proposition 5.3. Lemma5.4 and Remark A.5 that $\Gamma^{\prime}$ satisfies Conjecture 2.5.

However, once more it is enough to check the validity of (3) only for non-zero elements $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket U_{1} \rrbracket$. This follows from a lemma inspired by [Fu24, Sec. 6]:

Lemma 5.7. Let $R$ be an Ore domain with Ore division ring of fractions $\mathcal{Q}$. Let $\mathrm{rk}_{R}$ be the Sylvester matrix rank function on $R$ induced from $\mathcal{Q}$ and let rk be any other Sylvester matrix rank function on $R$. For any matrix $A \in \operatorname{Mat}(R)$, define $\Delta(A)=\left|\operatorname{rk}_{R} A-\operatorname{rk} A\right|$. Then, there are elements $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in R$ (depending on $A$ ) such that

$$
\Delta(A) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $\operatorname{dim}_{R}$ and $\operatorname{dim}$ be the dimension functions associated to $\mathrm{rk}_{R}$ and rk , respectively. We can also describe $\Delta(A)$ for $A \in \operatorname{Mat}_{n \times m}(R)$ using $\operatorname{dim}_{R}$ and $\operatorname{dim}$ as follows:

$$
\Delta(A)=\left|\operatorname{dim}_{R} R^{m} / R^{n} A-\operatorname{dim} R^{m} / R^{n} A\right|
$$

Hence, it suffices to prove that, for every finitely presented $R$-module $M$, there are elements $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in R$ such that

$$
\left|\operatorname{dim}_{R} M-\operatorname{dim} M\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta\left(x_{i}\right) .
$$

Since $M$ is finitely generated, we can always find $R$-linearly independent elements $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k} \in M$ such that the quotient $M /\left(\sum R m_{i}\right)$ is torsion, and so $\mathcal{Q} \otimes_{R}$ $M /\left(\sum R m_{i}\right)=0$. Indeed, since $\mathcal{Q} \otimes_{R} M$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Q}^{k}$, it suffices to take a $\mathcal{Q}$-basis of this space and multiply each element by a suitable non-zero element of $R$ so that they lie in the image of $M \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \otimes_{R} M$. Consider then three short exact sequences:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \rightarrow R^{k} \rightarrow M \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0  \tag{4}\\
& 0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow R^{l} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0  \tag{5}\\
& 0 \rightarrow R^{j} \rightarrow N \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0 \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $N$ is finitely generated and $P$ and $Q$ are torsion. Observe that we have that $k+j=l$ since $\operatorname{dim}_{R}$ is additive under short exact sequences.

Note that, since $\mathcal{Q}$ is flat over $R$, submodules and quotients of torsion modules are again torsion. Since both $Q$ and $P$ are finitely generated, we can find filtrations

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q=Q_{0}>Q_{1}>\cdots>Q_{e}=0 \\
P=P_{0}>P_{1}>\cdots>P_{f}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

such that all of the quotients $Q_{i-1} / Q_{i}$ and $P_{i-1} / P_{i}$ is cyclic and torsion. If $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{e} \in R$ and $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{f} \in R$ are non-zero elements that annihilate the quotients $Q_{i} / Q_{i+1}$ and $P_{i} / P_{i+1}$, respectively, we obtain bounds

$$
\operatorname{dim} Q \leq \sum_{i=1}^{e} \operatorname{dim} R / R y_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{e} \Delta\left(y_{i}\right) \text { and } \operatorname{dim} P \leq \sum_{i=1}^{f} \operatorname{dim} R / R z_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{e} \Delta\left(z_{i}\right)
$$

Let $n=e+f$ and $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{e}, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{f}\right\}$. Taking dim with respect to (4) and (6), respectively, we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{dim} M \leq k+\operatorname{dim} Q \leq \operatorname{dim}_{R} M+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta\left(x_{i}\right)  \tag{7}\\
& \operatorname{dim} N \leq j+\operatorname{dim} P \leq \operatorname{dim}_{R} N+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta\left(x_{i}\right) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we take dim with respect to (5) to obtain that

$$
l=\operatorname{dim}_{R} M+\operatorname{dim}_{R} N \leq \operatorname{dim} N+\operatorname{dim} M
$$

which we reorganize as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}_{R} N-\operatorname{dim} N \leq \operatorname{dim} M-\operatorname{dim}_{R} M \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, plugging inequality (8) inside inequality (9) and combining with (7), we obtain that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}-\Delta\left(x_{i}\right) \leq \operatorname{dim} M-\operatorname{dim}_{R} M \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

which is the desired bound.

Combining this observation with Theorem 5.5, we have managed to prove the following:

Corollary 5.8. Let G be a simply-connected and semi-simple algebraic group. Assume that for all but finitely many primes $p$ and every non-zero element $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket U_{1} \rrbracket$, we have that

$$
\left|\mathrm{rk}_{\mathrm{W}_{\lambda}^{U_{1}}}^{U_{1}}(x)-1\right|=O\left(\frac{1}{\min \left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right\}}\right)
$$

Then, for any finitely generated subgroup $\Gamma$ of G, Conjecture 2.4 holds and Conjecture 2.5 virtually holds.

## 6. The case of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$

In the last section, we have shown in Corollary 5.8 how Conjectures 2.4 and 2.5 for $G$ can be (at least partially) obtained from a corresponding result on completed group algebras of the first congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$. We now specialize to the case of $(P) \mathrm{SL}_{2}$.

Suppose now that all the irreducible factors of the root system $R$ of G are of type $A_{1}$, which is to say that G is a quotient of $\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{SL}_{2}$ by a finite central subgroup. Hence, to establish Conjecture 2.4 in this case it suffices to do so for $\mathrm{G}=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{SL}_{2}$ by the observations in Remark 2.6,

Consider the first congruence subgroup

$$
U_{1}=\operatorname{ker}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)\right)
$$

of G. By Corollary 5.8, we only need to show that

$$
\left|\operatorname{rk}_{\mathrm{W}_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}}^{U_{1}}(x)-1\right|=O\left(\frac{1}{\min \left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right\}}\right)
$$

for almost all primes $p$. This is implied by a stronger theorem of W. Fu Fu24, whose argument we adapt below in the language of this paper for the convenience of the reader.

Theorem 6.1. If $\Gamma$ is any finitely generated subgroup of $\mathrm{G}=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{SL}_{2}$, then Conjecture 2.4 holds and Conjecture 2.5 virtually holds.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $p$ be an odd prime andg be the $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$-Lie algebra of $U_{1}$. By Proposition 4.3, we have an embedding $\mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket U_{1} \rrbracket \subseteq \widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})}$ such that the $U_{1^{-}}$ module structure of $W_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ coincides with the one induced through this embedding (Lemma 4.5).

For $x \in \mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket U_{1} \rrbracket$, let $N$ be the $\mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket U_{1} \rrbracket$-module $\mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket U_{1} \rrbracket / x \mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket U_{1} \rrbracket$ and $M$ be the $\widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})}$-module $\widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})} / x \widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})}$. It is immediately checked that $M \simeq N \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket U_{1} \rrbracket} \widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})}$ as right $\widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})}$-modules.

In the language of [Fu24], $x$ is a generic element of $\widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})}$ (cf. [Fu24, Thm. 5.1], [AW14, Thm. 4.6 and Thm. 5.4]). Hence, [Fu24, Thm 3.5] tells us that there exists a polynomial $f_{M}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ of total degree $n-1$ and of individual degree at most 1 in each variable such that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})}}\left(M, \mathbb{W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}\right) \leq f_{M}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)
$$

for every $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)$.
Observe that, since the modules $\mathrm{W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ are self-dual, i.e. $\left(\mathrm{W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}\right)^{*}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}\left(\mathrm{~W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}, \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ is isomorphic to $W_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ as a left module, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})}}\left(M, \mathrm{~W}_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}\right) & =\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket U_{1} \rrbracket}\left(N, \mathrm{~W}_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket U_{1} \rrbracket}\left(N \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \mathrm{~W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}, \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} N \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket U_{1} \rrbracket} \mathrm{~W}_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
1-\mathrm{rk}_{\mathrm{W}_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}}^{U_{1}}(x) & =\frac{\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} N \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p} \llbracket U_{1} \rrbracket} \mathrm{~W}_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}}{\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \mathrm{~W}_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}} \\
& =\frac{\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})}}\left(M, \mathrm{~W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}\right)}{\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \mathrm{~W}_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}} \\
& \leq \frac{f_{M}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\lambda_{i}+1\right)}=O\left(\frac{1}{\min \left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right\}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The result now follows from Corollary 5.8

## 7. 3-MANIFOLDS

Let $M$ be an orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold, i.e. a 3-manifold admitting a complete Riemannian metric of constant negative curvature. We assume that its fundamental group $\pi_{1}(M)$ is finitely generated.

Marden's tameness theorem, proven independently by I. Agol in Ago04 and D. Calegari and D. Gabai in CG06, says that $M$ is homeomorphic to the interior of a compact 3 -manifold $\bar{M}$. In particular, $\pi_{1}(M)$ is of type $F$ over $\mathbb{C}$, and $M$ can be decomposed into a compact core $C$ that is homotopy equivalent to $M$ (in fact, $C$ is homeomorphic to $\bar{M}$ ) and a union of finitely many ends $E_{i}$, each one homeomorphic to a product $S_{i} \times(0, \infty)$, where $S_{i}$ is a closed surface (see Can08, Sec. 5]). Moreover, if $M$ is of finite volume, then all the $S_{i}$ are tori, which follows from the Thick-Thin decomposition of $M$ [Mar23, Cor. 4.2.18]. Observe that by the Poincaré duality of manifolds with boundary, if each $S_{i}$ has genus $g_{i}$, then the Euler characteristic of $M$ is $\chi(M)=\sum\left(1-g_{i}\right)$.

We can identify $\Gamma=\pi_{1}(M)$ with a torsion-free subgroup of the group $\operatorname{Isom}^{+}\left(\mathbb{H}^{3}\right)$ of orientation-preserving isometries of the hyperbolic 3 -space $\mathbb{H}^{3}$. This group, in turn, is isomorphic to $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})=\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) /\{ \pm \mathrm{Id}\}$, which we know satisfies Conjecture 1.1 by Theorem 1.3. Identifying $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$, we note that the representations $\mathrm{W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}=\operatorname{Sym}^{\lambda} \mathbb{C}^{2}$ of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ that induce representations of $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ are precisely those for which - Id acts trivially, i.e. the representations where $\lambda$ is even.

In [MFP12], J. Porti and P. Menal-Ferrer calculated the dimensions of the homology groups $\mathrm{H}_{i}\left(M, \operatorname{Sym}^{\lambda} \mathbb{C}^{2}\right)=\mathrm{H}_{i}\left(\Gamma, \operatorname{Sym}^{\lambda} \mathbb{C}^{2}\right)$.

Proposition 7.1 (cf. MFP12, Cor. 3.6]). Let M a hyperbolic 3-manifold with finitely generated fundamental group and whose ends contain exactly $k$ toroidal cusps. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ even, we have that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{H}_{i}\left(M, \operatorname{Sym}^{\lambda}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)\right)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } i \in\{0,3\}, \\ k, & \text { if } i=2, \\ k-(\lambda+1) \chi(M), & \text { if } i=1\end{cases}
$$

Remark 7.2. There are lifts of $\Gamma$ to subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ and, in MFP12, Porti and Menal-Ferrer obtained formulas for the dimension of $\mathrm{H}_{i}\left(M, \operatorname{Sym}^{\lambda}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)\right)$ for all $\lambda$, which for odd $\lambda$ depends on how the $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ subgroups attached to the toroidal cusps lift to $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$. Moreover, in the case where $M$ is closed, the vanishing of the cohomology groups $\mathrm{H}_{i}\left(M, \operatorname{Sym}^{\lambda}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)\right.$ ) follows from a theorem by M. Raghunathan Rag65.

The previous result together with Proposition 3.1 yields the following.
Corollary 7.3. For $M$ as in Proposition 7.1, we have that

$$
b_{i}^{(2)}(M)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } i \neq 1 \\ -\chi(M), & \text { if } i=1\end{cases}
$$

In LL95], J. Lott and W. Lück gave a general formula for the $\ell^{2}$-Betti numbers of every compact 3 -manifold $M$. Using Marden's tameness theorem to reduce the finitely generated to the compact case, one recovers the formula in Corollary 7.3 By repeatedly applying the $\ell^{2}$-Mayer-Vietoris sequence, the Prime decomposition theorem reduces the general case to that of an irreducible $M$ with infinite $\pi_{1}(M)$ and the Loop theorem reduces to the case where the boundary of $M$ is incompressible. Furthermore, by doubling $M$ we may assume that it is closed. Then, the Geometrization theorem reduces the analysis even further to the cases where $M$ is either Seifert fibered or hyperbolic.

Both cases are where the core of the calculation lies. That the $\ell^{2}$-Betti numbers of Seifert fibered 3 -manifolds vanish can be seen, for instance, as a consequence of the existence of a virtual $S^{1}$-action [Lü02, Thm. 1.40] or of the virtual algebraic fibering of its fundamental group Lü02, Thm. 7.2(5)]. The vanishing of $\ell^{2}$-Betti numbers for closed hyperbolic 3-manifolds is implied by a theorem of J. Dodziuk Dod79, and thus the trickiest part of Lott and Lück's argument is the deduction of the finite volume hyperbolic case from the closed one (for more details, see Lü02, Sec. 4.2]). This case is covered by Corollary 7.3 .

## 8. Cusp forms for $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$

The quantitative statement of Conjecture 1.2 has applications in the theory of automorphic forms for $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$. We will give here a brief account of the definitions of the theory of cusp forms necessary for stating our results, and we direct the reader to Hid93, BC79 for details and further references. We mainly follow the exposition given in Hid93, Sec. 1]

Let $F$ be a number field with $r_{1}+2 r_{2}=(F: \mathbb{Q})$ real and complex embeddings respectively, and let $I_{\mathbb{R}}=\left\{\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{r_{1}}\right\}$ and $I_{\mathbb{C}}=\left\{\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{r_{2}}\right\}$ be complete representative sets of real and complex embeddings, respectively, corresponding to the archimedean places of $K$. We define $I_{\infty}=I_{\mathbb{R}} \cup I_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $I=I_{\infty} \cup \overline{I_{\mathbb{C}}}$. Consider the group

$$
G=\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}\right)=\prod_{r_{1}} \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R}) \times \prod_{r_{2}} \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})
$$

Letting $\mathcal{O}_{F}$ denote the ring of integers of $F$, we fix a congruence subgroup $\Gamma$ of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$ that will be the level of our automorphic forms. Then, we get an embedding $\Gamma \rightarrow G$ by taking the product of all maps induced by the $\tau_{i}$ and the $\sigma_{j}$.

By quotienting out on the right the product $\prod_{r_{1}} \mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathbb{R}) \times \prod_{r_{2}} \mathrm{SU}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ of the standard maximal compact subgroups of $G$, we obtain the locally symmetric space

$$
\mathbb{H}=\prod_{r_{1}} \mathbb{H}^{2} \times \prod_{r_{2}} \mathbb{H}^{3}
$$

associated to $G$ on which $\Gamma$ acts. The quotient $M=\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ is a $\left(2 r_{1}+3 r_{2}\right)$-dimensional hyperbolic orbifold of finite volume, and it is a manifold if $\Gamma$ is torsion-free. In this case, the Borel-Serre compactification attaches a boundary $\partial M$ to the manifold $M=\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$, and the resulting compact manifold has the same fundamental group $\Gamma$.

Denote by $\mathfrak{G}=\prod_{r_{1}} \mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\mathbb{R}) \times \prod_{r_{2}} \mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ the real Lie algebra of $G$ and by $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathbb{C}}=$ $\prod_{r_{1}+2 r_{2}} \mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ its complexification. The center of the universal enveloping algebra $U\left(\mathfrak{G}_{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ is a polynomial algebra in $r_{1}+2 r_{2}$-generators called the Casimir operators. For each embedding $\delta \in I$, we obtain one Casimir operator $\Delta_{\delta}$ given by the image under $\delta$ of $\frac{1}{4}\left(E F+F E+H^{2} / 2\right)$, where

$$
E=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad F=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad H=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

form the standard basis of $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$.
Let $\boldsymbol{k}=\left(k_{\delta}\right)_{\delta \in I_{\infty}}$ be a $\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)$-tuple of non-negative integers indexed by the archimedean places of $F$. Let $V_{\boldsymbol{k}}=\bigotimes_{\delta \in I_{\infty}} V_{\delta}$ be the complex vector space given by the tensor product of $V_{\delta}=\mathbb{C}$ for all the real embeddings $\delta$ and $V_{\delta}=\operatorname{Sym}^{2 k_{\delta}-2} \mathbb{C}$ for all the complex embeddings $\delta$. It naturally has the structure of a right module over $\prod_{r_{1}} \mathbb{C}^{\times} \times \prod_{r_{2}} \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$.
Definition 8.1. Let $J$ be a subset of $I_{\mathbb{R}}$. A cusp form of level $\Gamma$, type $J$ and weight $\boldsymbol{k}$ is a smooth function $f: \Gamma \backslash G \rightarrow V_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ that satisfies the following properties:
(M1) With the convention that $k_{\bar{\sigma}}=k_{\sigma}$, we have that $f$ is an eigenfunction for all the Casimir operators $\Delta_{\delta}$ with eigenvalue

$$
\Delta_{\delta} f=\left(\frac{\left(k_{\delta}-2\right)^{2}}{2}+k_{\delta}-2\right) f
$$

(M2) If we write $h \in \prod_{r_{1}} \mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathbb{R}) \times \prod_{r_{2}} \mathrm{SU}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ as

$$
h=\left(\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \left(2 \pi \theta_{\tau}\right) & \sin \left(2 \pi \theta_{\tau}\right) \\
-\sin \left(2 \pi \theta_{\tau}\right) & \cos \left(2 \pi \theta_{\tau}\right)
\end{array}\right)\right)_{\tau \in I_{\mathbb{R}}},\left(h_{\sigma}\right)_{\sigma \in I_{\mathrm{C}}}\right)
$$

then

$$
f(x h)=f(x)\left(\left(e^{k_{\tau} \theta_{\tau}}\right)_{\tau \in J},\left(e^{-k_{\tau} \theta_{\tau}}\right)_{\tau \notin J},\left(h_{\sigma}\right)_{\sigma \in I_{\mathbb{C}}}\right)
$$

(M3) For all $x \in G$, we have that

$$
\int_{(\Gamma \cap U) \backslash U} f(u x) d u=0,
$$

where $U$ is the product of all upper unitriangular subgroups of $G$ and $d u$ is the Lebesgue measure on $U \simeq \mathbb{R}^{r_{1}} \times \mathbb{C}^{r_{2}}$.

Remark 8.2. The usual notion of a cusp form is that of a scalar valued function $f^{0}: \Gamma \backslash G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfies various finiteness and growth conditions [BC79, p. 190]. Such functions have a finite-dimensional span under the action of $\prod_{r_{1}} \mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathbb{R}) \times$ $\prod_{r_{2}} \mathrm{SU}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ on the right, and fixing a basis of this span gives us a vector valued cusp form according to our definition. Conversely, composing any vector valued cusp form with a linear functional gives us a scalar valued cusp form, see Hid93, Sec. 1.4].

We denote the space of all cusp forms of level $\Gamma$, type $J$ and weight $\boldsymbol{k}$ by $S_{\boldsymbol{k}, J}(\Gamma)$. If $J=I_{\mathbb{R}}$, we denote this space simply by $S_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\Gamma)$. The current literature shows great interest in the asymptotic behaviour of the dimensions $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} S_{\boldsymbol{k}, J}(\Gamma)$, either for a fixed weight $\boldsymbol{k}$ and a residual chain of levels $\Gamma_{i}$ (Sav89, SX91, CE09, FGT10]), or for a fixed level $\Gamma$ and increasing sequence of weights $\left\{\boldsymbol{k}_{i}\right\}$ (Shi63, FGT10, Mar12, Fu24).

In this work, we are concerned with the latter case of growing weights for a fixed level. When $F$ is totally real, the precise growth rate of $S_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\Gamma)$ was obtained by H . Shimizu in Shi63 as

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} S_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\Gamma) \sim \prod_{\tau \in I} k_{\tau}
$$

When $F$ is not totally real, the question of what the precise growth rate of $S_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\Gamma)$ should be is very much open. Currently, the best general upper bound is the one given in [Fu24:
Theorem 8.3 ([|Fu24, Thm 1.2]). If $F$ is not totally real, then

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} S_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\Gamma)=O\left(\frac{\prod_{\tau \in I_{\mathbb{R}}} k_{\tau} \times \prod_{\sigma \in I_{\mathbb{C}}} k_{\sigma}^{2}}{\min \left\{k_{\delta}: \delta \in I_{\infty}\right\}}\right) .
$$

If $F$ is imaginary quadratic, i.e. when $\Gamma$ is a congruence subgroup of a Bianchi group, this result combined with the lower bound from [FGT10 gives the precise growth rate.
Corollary 8.4 ([|Fu24, Cor 1.3]). If $F$ is imaginary quadratic, then $\boldsymbol{k}=(k)$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} S_{k}(\Gamma) \sim k$.
Assume that $\Gamma$ is torsion-free and that $k_{\delta} \geq 3$ for each real or complex embedding $\delta$, and let $\lambda_{\delta}=k_{\delta}-2$ with the convention that $k_{\delta}=k_{\bar{\delta}}$. If we set $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=\left(\lambda_{\delta}\right)$, then $\mathrm{W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ becomes a $\Gamma$-module through the embedding $\Gamma \rightarrow \prod_{r_{1}+2 r_{2}} \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ given by the product of all the embeddings $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ induced by the $\delta \in I$.

It is well known that the spaces $S_{\boldsymbol{k}, J}(\Gamma)$ can be computed through the group cohomology of $\Gamma$, a result that goes by the names of the Eichler-Shimura isomorphism, the Matsushima-Murakami formula and, in the present formulation, is due to G. Harder. There is a natural procedure to attach to each cusp form $f \in S_{\boldsymbol{k}, J}(\Gamma)$ a $\Gamma$-invariant $\mathrm{W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$-valued closed differential $\left(r_{1}+2 r_{2}\right)$-form $\omega(f)$ on $\mathbb{H}$, thus inducing a map $\omega: \bigoplus_{J \subseteq\left\{\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{r_{1}}\right\}} S_{\boldsymbol{k}, J}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{r_{1}+2 r_{2}}\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{~W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right)$. The reader may consult Hid94, Sec. 2.4] for the details.

Let $\mathrm{H}_{\text {cusp }}^{r_{1}+2 r_{2}}\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{~W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right)$ denote the cuspidal cohomology of $\Gamma$ with coefficients in $\mathrm{W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$, i.e. the image of the induced map $\omega$. The precise statement of the Eichler-Shimura isomorphism that we need is as follows:
Theorem 8.5 ([Hid93, Thm. 1.1], Hid94, Cor.2.2]). The map $\omega$ yields an isomorphism

$$
\bigoplus_{J \subseteq\left\{\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{r_{1}}\right\}} S_{\boldsymbol{k}, J}(\Gamma) \simeq \mathrm{H}_{\operatorname{cusp}}^{r_{1}+2 r_{2}}\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{~W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{sq}}^{r_{1}+2 r_{2}}\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{~W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right)
$$

where $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{sq}}^{r_{1}+2 r_{2}}\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{~W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right)$ denotes the subspace of ordinary cohomology represented by square-integrable forms.
Remark 8.6. We will also use that $\mathrm{H}_{\text {cusp }}^{r_{1}+2 r_{2}}\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{~W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right)$ is contained in the subspace of compactly supported cohomology $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}^{r_{1}+2 r_{2}}\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{~W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right)$, which follows from Bor81, Cor. 5.5].

The proof of Theorem 8.3 applies [Fu24. Thm. 3.5], which is the core result used in the proof of Theorem 1.3, to the completed homology of $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ as constructed by M. Emerton in Eme06 and combines this with a spectral sequence in the profinite homology of $U_{1}$ to obtain the desired upper bound for $H_{*}\left(\Gamma, W_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right)$. We propose a
different proof of this result that avoids the use of completed cohomology entirely and depends solely on the Eichler-Shimura isomorphism from Theorem 8.5 and the results of this paper.

Proof of Theorem 8.3. Since we have that $\lambda_{\delta}=k_{\delta}-2$, for $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r_{1}+2 r_{2}}\right)$ it suffices to show that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{H}_{r_{1}+r_{2}}\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{~W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right)=O\left(\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{r_{1}+2 r_{2}} \lambda_{i}}{\min \lambda_{i}}\right)
$$

Due to the fact that $\Gamma$ is the fundamental group of a compact manifold by the Borel-Serre construction, we conclude that $\Gamma$ is of type $F P_{\infty}$ over $\mathbb{C}$.

In order to apply Proposition 3.3, we must first show that $\beta_{r_{1}+r_{2}}^{(2)}(\Gamma)=0$. If $r_{1}=0$ and $r_{2}=1$, i.e. if $F$ is imaginary quadratic, then $M$ is a hyperbolic 3manifold of finite volume and we would be done by invoking Corollary 7.3, However, in the general non-totally real case, we need something more.

By the proportionality principle of $\ell^{2}$-Betti numbers (Löh20, Cor. 4.2.11], Lü02, Thm. 3.183, Cor. 7.37]), the $\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)$-th $\ell^{2}$-Betti number of $\Gamma$ and the $\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)$-th $\ell^{2}$-Betti number of $\mathbb{H}$ are proportional to each other. Since the latter is a symmetric space of non-compact type and dimension $2 r_{1}+3 r_{2}$, its $\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)$-th $\ell^{2}$-Betti number vanishes assuming that $r_{2}>0$ (see [Lü02, Thm. 5.12]). The result now follows from Proposition 3.3 and the duality between the compactly supported cohomology group $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}^{r_{1}+2 r_{2}}\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{~W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right)$ and the homology group $\mathrm{H}_{r_{1}+r_{2}}\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{~W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right)$.

## Appendix A. Twisted von Neumann Ranks

If $\Gamma$ is a countable group, let $\ell^{2}(\Gamma)$ be the complex Hilbert space on an orthonormal basis given by the elements of $\Gamma$. Hence, $\Gamma$ acts on $\ell^{2}(\Gamma)$ on both sides as unitary operators. Any $r \times s$ matrix $A$ over $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$ induces a bounded linear operator $\phi_{\Gamma}^{A}: \ell^{2}(\Gamma)^{s} \rightarrow \ell^{2}(\Gamma)^{r}$ between Hilbert spaces by left multiplication. The von Neumann rank of $A$ is defined as

$$
\mathrm{rk}_{\Gamma} A=\operatorname{dim}_{\Gamma} \overline{\operatorname{im} \phi_{\Gamma}^{A}}=s-\operatorname{dim}_{\Gamma} \operatorname{ker} \phi_{\Gamma}^{A},
$$

where $\operatorname{dim}_{\Gamma}$ is the von Neumann dimension of $\Gamma$ (see [Lü02, Def. 1.10]). If $\Gamma$ is not countable, then for every matrix $A$ over $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$ we define $\mathrm{rk}_{\Gamma} A$ to be $\mathrm{rk}_{\Gamma^{\prime}} A$, where $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is any finitely generated subgroup of $\Gamma$ containing the elements that appear in the entries of $A$ (this rank does not depend on $\Gamma^{\prime}$, see (Lü02, Thm. 6.29]).

We recall that if $\Gamma$ is finite, then $\ell^{2}(\Gamma)=\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$, a Hilbert $\Gamma$-module $V$ is simply a $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$-module and we have that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\Gamma} V=\frac{\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} V}{|\Gamma|} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{rk}_{\Gamma} A=\frac{\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{C}} A}{|\Gamma|} .
$$

The following result is a particular case of the sofic Lück approximation theorem.
Theorem A. 1 (Lü94, Jai19a]). Let $\Gamma_{1} \geq \Gamma_{2} \geq \cdots$ be a chain of normal subgroups of $\Gamma$ of finite index with $\bigcap \Gamma_{i}=\{1\}$. For each matrix $A$ over $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$, let $A_{i}$ denote its image in $\operatorname{Mat}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[\Gamma / \Gamma_{i}\right]\right)$. We have that

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{rk}_{\Gamma / \Gamma_{i}} A_{i}=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{C}} A_{i}}{\left|\Gamma: \Gamma_{i}\right|}=\mathrm{rk}_{\Gamma} A
$$

There is a natural generalization of the von Neumann rank of finite groups to arbitrary fields. Suppose $Q$ is a finite group. Then, for any field $L$ and any matrix $A \in \operatorname{Mat}(L[Q])$, we define

$$
\operatorname{rk}_{Q}^{L} A=\frac{\mathrm{rk}_{L} A}{|Q|}
$$

Observe that $\mathrm{rk}_{Q}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is simply the von Neumann rank $\mathrm{rk}_{Q}$. For any field extension $L \leq K$, we have that $\operatorname{rk}_{Q}^{L} A=\operatorname{rk}_{Q}^{K} A$ for every matrix $A \in \operatorname{Mat}(L[Q])$. If $R$ is an integral domain with field of fractions $K$ and $A \in \operatorname{Mat}(R[Q])$, we define $\operatorname{rk}_{Q}^{R} A=\operatorname{rk}_{Q}^{K} A$.

Let $Z$ be a finite central subgroup of $\Gamma$. For any character $\chi: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, we consider the trace $\operatorname{tr}_{\chi}: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ on $\Gamma$ given by

$$
\operatorname{tr}_{\chi}(g)= \begin{cases}\chi(g), & \text { if } g \in Z \\ 0, & \text { if } g \notin Z\end{cases}
$$

Linearly extending the formula to $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$ turns it into a tracial $*$-algebra, to which we will associate a Hilbert space $\ell^{2}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$ and a cyclic representation $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma] \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\left(\ell^{2}(\Gamma)_{\chi}\right)$ into its algebra of bounded operators. Recall that a trace $\operatorname{tr}$ is faithful when $\operatorname{tr}\left(x^{*} x\right)=0$ if and only if $x=0$. The set

$$
N=\operatorname{ker}(\operatorname{tr})=\left\{x \in \mathbb{C}[\Gamma]: \operatorname{tr}\left(x^{*} x\right)=0\right\}
$$

is a two-sided ideal of $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$ and tr always induces a faithful trace on $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma] / N$. We will make use of the Gelfand-Naimark-Segal (or GNS for short) construction, given by the following proposition.

Proposition A. 2 (NS06, cf. Prop. 7.2 and 7.6]). Let $\mathcal{A}$ be $a$ *-algebra with a faithful trace tr. Assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is generated by unitary elements, i.e. elements $x \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $x^{*} x=x x^{*}=1$. Then, up to unitary equivalence, there exist $a$ unique Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and a representation $\rho: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with a cyclic generating vector $e \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$
\langle\rho(x)(e), e\rangle=\operatorname{tr}(x)
$$

for every $x \in \mathcal{A}$.
In the previous proposition, the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is given by the Hilbert completion of $\mathcal{A}$ with respect to the inner product

$$
\langle x, y\rangle=\operatorname{tr}\left(y^{*} x\right),
$$

the cyclic generating vector $e$ is the group identity element 1 , and the representations are the extension of either the right or left action of $\mathcal{A}$ on itself (observe that the liftings of both actions to $\mathcal{H}$ commute). Before continuing with this construction, we list some properties of the traces $\operatorname{tr}_{\chi}$.

Lemma A.3. Let $\Gamma, Z$, $\chi$ and $\operatorname{tr}_{\chi}$ be as before.
(1) The kernel $N$ of the trace $\operatorname{tr}_{\chi}$ is the two-sided ideal of $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$ generated by the central elements $\{z-\chi(z): z \in Z\}$.
(2) Let $T$ be a transversal for $\Gamma / Z$. Then, the image $T+N$ of $T$ in the quotient

$$
\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]_{\chi}=\mathbb{C}[\Gamma] / N
$$

is a basis consisting of unitary elements.

Proof. Fix a transversal $T$ for $\Gamma / Z$. It is clear that the image of $T$ is a basis for

$$
\mathcal{A}=\mathbb{C}[\Gamma] / \mathbb{C}[\Gamma]\{z-\chi(z): z \in Z\}
$$

We want to prove that $\mathcal{A}=\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]_{\chi}$. Observe that $N$ contains every element of the form $z-\chi(z)$ with $z \in Z$, and the surjective map $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\Gamma]_{\chi}$ factors through $\mathcal{A}$.

Let $x=\sum_{t \in T} \sum_{z \in Z} a_{t z} t z$ be an element in $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$ and $\bar{x}=\sum_{t \in T} \sum_{z \in Z} a_{t z} t \chi(z)$ be its image in $\mathcal{A}$. Since $T$ is a basis of $\mathcal{A}$, we get that $\bar{x}$ is zero if and only if

$$
\sum_{t \in T}\left\|\sum_{z \in Z} a_{t z} \chi(z)\right\|^{2}=\sum_{t \in T} \sum_{z, w \in Z} \overline{a_{t w}} a_{t z} \chi\left(w^{-1} z\right)=0
$$

Writing $x^{*}=\sum_{s \in T} \sum_{w \in Z} \overline{a_{s w}} s^{-1} w^{-1}$, we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr}_{\chi}\left(x^{*} x\right) & =\operatorname{tr}_{\chi}\left(\sum_{t, s \in T} \sum_{z, w \in S} \overline{a_{s w}} a_{t z} s^{-1} t w^{-1} z\right) \\
& =\operatorname{tr}_{\chi}\left(\sum_{t \in T} \sum_{z, w \in Z} \overline{a_{t w}} a_{t z} w^{-1} z\right), \text { since } \operatorname{tr}_{\chi}\left(s^{-1} t w^{-1} z\right) \neq 0 \text { iff } s=t \\
& =\sum_{t \in T} \sum_{z, w \in Z} \overline{a_{t w}} a_{t z} \chi\left(w^{-1} z\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\bar{x}=0$ if and only if $\operatorname{tr}_{\chi}\left(x^{*} x\right)=0$, showing that the surjection $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\Gamma]_{\chi}$ is an isomorphism, and thus proving (1). For (2), it suffices to observe that the elements $t+N$ for $t \in T$ are unitary, which is immediate since $(t+N)^{*}=t^{-1}+N$.

Hence, by Proposition A.2 we can associate to $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]_{\chi}$ two representations into the bounded operators of a Hilbert space $\ell^{2}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$, which is unique up to unitary equivalence. Let $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$ be the weak closure of the left representation of $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]_{\chi}$ in $\mathcal{B}\left(\ell^{2}(\Gamma)_{\chi}\right)$. This is a von Neumann algebra, i.e. a weak closed subset of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for some Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. We call $\ell^{2}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$ the twisted $\ell^{2}$-completion of $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$ and $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$ the twisted group von Neumann algebra.

Observe that the trace $\operatorname{tr}_{\chi}$ extends to $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$ by setting

$$
\operatorname{tr}_{\chi}(A)=\langle A(1), 1\rangle
$$

for every operator $A \in \mathcal{N}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$. Any closed left $\Gamma$-invariant subspace $M \leq \ell^{2}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$ comes with an orthogonal projection $P_{M}: \ell^{2}(\Gamma)_{\chi} \rightarrow M$, which is the unique element $P_{M} \in \mathcal{N}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$ such that $P_{M}^{2}=P_{M}=P_{M}^{*}$ and $\operatorname{im}\left(P_{M}\right)=M$. We can thus define the twisted von Neumann dimension of $M$ to be

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\Gamma}^{\chi} M=\operatorname{tr}_{\chi}\left(P_{M}\right)
$$

For a fixed $A \in \mathcal{N}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$, we define the twisted von Neumann rank of $A$ to be

$$
\operatorname{rk}_{\Gamma}^{\chi} A=\operatorname{dim}_{\Gamma}^{\chi} \overline{\operatorname{im}(A)}=1-\operatorname{dim}_{\Gamma}^{\chi} \operatorname{ker}(A)
$$

Note that the twisted von Neumann dimension extends naturally to closed subspaces $M$ of $\left(\ell^{2}(\Gamma)_{\chi}\right)^{n}$ by setting

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\Gamma}^{\chi} M=\operatorname{tr}_{\chi}\left(P_{M}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle P_{M}\left(e_{i}\right), e_{i}\right\rangle,
$$

where $P_{M} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{n \times n}\left(\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)_{\chi}\right)$ is the unique element such that $P_{M}^{2}=P_{M}=P_{M}^{*}$ and $\operatorname{im}\left(P_{M}\right)=M$, and each $e_{i}$ is the element of $\left(\ell^{2}(\Gamma)_{\chi}\right)^{n}$ containing 1 in the
$i$-th coordinate and zero otherwise. Hence, the twisted von Neumann rank is also defined for bounded operators $\left(\ell^{2}(\Gamma)_{\chi}\right)^{n} \rightarrow\left(\ell^{2}(\Gamma)_{\chi}\right)^{m}$ for all $n, m>0$.

If $\Gamma$ is not countable, we can still define the twisted von Neumann rank $\mathrm{rk}_{\Gamma}^{\chi} A$ for matrices $A \in \operatorname{Mat}(\mathbb{C}[\Gamma])$. Indeed, let $\Gamma^{\prime}$ be any countable subgroup of $\Gamma$ such that $A \in \operatorname{Mat}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right]\right), Z^{\prime}=Z \cap \Gamma^{\prime}$ and $\chi^{\prime}$ be the restriction of $\chi$ to $Z^{\prime}$. Then, we define $\operatorname{rk}_{\Gamma}^{\chi} A$ to be $\operatorname{rk}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}^{\chi^{\prime}} A$. The following lemma implies that $\operatorname{rk}_{\Gamma}^{\chi} A$ is indeed well defined and does not depend on $\Gamma^{\prime}$.
Lemma A.4. Let $\Gamma^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}$ and $\chi^{\prime}$ be as above. Fix a subgroup $\Gamma^{\prime \prime} \leq \Gamma^{\prime}$ and let $Z^{\prime \prime}=Z^{\prime} \cap \Gamma^{\prime \prime}$ and $\chi^{\prime \prime}$ be the restriction of $\chi^{\prime}$ to $Z^{\prime \prime}$. The inclusion $\Gamma^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \Gamma^{\prime}$ induces a functor I from the category of closed $\mathcal{N}\left(\Gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime \prime}}$-submodules of $\ell^{2}\left(\Gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime \prime}}^{n}$ to the category of closed $\mathcal{N}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}$-submodules of $\ell^{2}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}^{n}$, for any $n$. Moreover, we have that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\Gamma^{\prime \prime}}^{\chi^{\prime \prime}} M=\operatorname{dim}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}^{\chi^{\prime}} I(M)
$$

Proof. First, observe that the Hilbert space completion of the natural pre-Hilbert structure on

$$
\ell^{2}\left(\Gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime \prime}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}\left[\Gamma^{\prime \prime}\right]_{\chi^{\prime \prime}}} \mathbb{C}\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right]_{\chi^{\prime}} \simeq_{\mathbb{C}} \bigoplus_{\Gamma^{\prime} /\left(\Gamma^{\prime \prime} Z^{\prime}\right)} \ell^{2}\left(\Gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime \prime}}
$$

is $\mathcal{N}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}$-isometrically isomorphic to $\ell^{2}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}$. Indeed, if $T^{\prime \prime}$ is a transversal for $\Gamma^{\prime \prime} / Z^{\prime \prime}$ and $X$ is a transversal for $\Gamma^{\prime} /\left(\Gamma^{\prime \prime} Z^{\prime}\right)$, then $T^{\prime}=T^{\prime \prime} X$ is a transversal for $\Gamma^{\prime} / Z^{\prime}$ and the map $\bigoplus_{\Gamma^{\prime} /\left(\Gamma^{\prime \prime} Z^{\prime}\right)} \ell^{2}\left(\Gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime \prime}} \rightarrow \ell^{2}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}$ given by $\left(\alpha_{x}\right)_{x \in X} \mapsto \sum_{x \in X} \alpha_{x} x$ is injective, $\mathbb{C}\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right]_{\chi^{\prime}}$-equivariant and has dense image. Hence, if $M$ is any closed $\mathcal{N}\left(\Gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime \prime}}$-submodule of $\ell^{2}\left(\Gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime \prime}}^{n}$, the Hilbert completion $I(M)$ of $M \otimes_{\mathbb{C}\left[\Gamma^{\prime \prime}\right]} \mathbb{C}\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right]$ is a closed $\mathcal{N}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}$-submodule of $\ell^{2}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}^{n}$.

In particular, $I$ also induces a homomorphism of $*$-algebras $I: \mathcal{N}\left(\Gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime \prime}} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{N}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}$. We will now show that, for any $A \in \mathcal{N}\left(\Gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime \prime}}$, we have that

$$
\operatorname{tr}_{\chi^{\prime \prime}}(A)=\operatorname{tr}_{\chi^{\prime}}(I(A))
$$

By continuity and additivity, it suffices to verify this for $A=g \in \Gamma^{\prime \prime}$, for which this follows immediately from the definition of the action of $I(g)$ on $\bigoplus_{\Gamma^{\prime} /\left(\Gamma^{\prime \prime} Z^{\prime}\right)} \ell^{2}\left(\Gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and hence on its Hilbert completion.

Now, for $M \leq \ell^{2}\left(\Gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime \prime}}^{n}$ we have that $\operatorname{dim}_{\Gamma^{\prime \prime}}^{\chi^{\prime \prime}} M=\operatorname{tr}_{\chi^{\prime \prime}}\left(P_{M}\right)$, where $P_{M} \in$ $\operatorname{Mat}_{n \times n}\left(\mathcal{N}\left(\Gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ is as before. Since $I\left(P_{M}\right)$ satisfies that $I\left(P_{M}\right)^{2}=I\left(P_{M}\right)=$ $I\left(P_{M}\right)^{*}$ and $\operatorname{im}\left(I\left(P_{M}\right)\right)=I(M)$, we must have that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}^{\chi^{\prime}} I(M)=\operatorname{tr}_{\chi^{\prime}} I\left(P_{M}\right)=\operatorname{tr}_{\chi^{\prime \prime}} P_{M}=\operatorname{dim}_{\Gamma^{\prime \prime}}^{\chi^{\prime \prime}} M
$$

Remark A.5. If $Z=\{1\}$, then $\operatorname{tr}_{\chi}$ is simply the characteristic function $\operatorname{tr}_{\chi}(g)=$ $\delta_{1, g}$ and $\ell^{2}(\Gamma)_{\chi} \simeq \ell^{2}(\Gamma)$. Hence, the twisted von Neumann rank $\mathrm{rk}_{\Gamma}^{\chi}$ coincides with the classical von Neumann rank $\mathrm{rk}_{\Gamma}$. Even if $Z \neq\{1\}$, if $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is a subgroup of $\Gamma$ that intersects $Z$ trivially and $A$ is a matrix over $\mathbb{C}\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right]$, we have that $\mathrm{rk}_{\Gamma}^{\chi} A=\mathrm{rk}_{\Gamma^{\prime}} A$ by Lemma A.4. In particular, this is the case if $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is torsion-free.

When $\Gamma$ has torsion central elements, then distinct central characters lead to distinct rank functions. This is why the hypothesis on the central characters is essential to the statement of Conjecture 1.1. As an example, consider $\Gamma$ the cyclic group of order 2 inside $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ generated by $g=-\mathrm{Id}$ and the element $g-1$ inside $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$. Then, for the trivial character $\mathbf{1}(g)=1$, we have that $\mathrm{rk}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbf{1}}(g-1)=0$, while for the non-trivial character $-\mathbf{1}(g)=-1$ we have that $\mathrm{rk}_{\Gamma}^{-\mathbf{1}}(g-1)=1$. This
reflects on the cohomology of $\Gamma$ with coefficients in $\mathrm{Sym}^{\lambda} \mathbb{C}^{2}$ by the appearance of two clearly distinct converging subsequences

$$
\frac{\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} H^{0}\left(\Gamma, \operatorname{Sym}^{\lambda} \mathbb{C}^{2}\right)}{\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Sym}^{\lambda} \mathbb{C}^{2}}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } \lambda \text { is even } \\ 0, & \text { if } \lambda \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

where the parity of $\lambda$ determines whether $\Gamma$ acts on $\operatorname{Sym}^{\lambda} \mathbb{C}^{2}$ through $\mathbf{1}$ or $\mathbf{- 1}$.
We recall that a von Neumann algebra whose center is exactly $\mathbb{C}$ is called a factor. It is a well known fact that the group von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$ is a factor if and only if $\Gamma$ is an ICC group, i.e. every non-trivial conjugacy class is infinite. In particular, $\Gamma / Z$ is ICC if and only if $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)_{1}$ is a factor. We show that one direction still holds for all the twisted group von Neumann algebras.

Lemma A.6. Fix $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(Z)$. If $\Gamma / Z$ is $I C C$ then $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$ is a factor.
Proof. After modding out $\Gamma$ by the kernel of $\chi$, we may assume that $\chi$ is injective. We will construct a special transversal for $\Gamma / Z$. First, let $T_{0}$ be a set of representatives in $\Gamma$ for the conjugacy classes of $\Gamma / Z$. For any $t \in T_{0}$, let $S_{t}$ be a set of left coset representatives of the stabilizer

$$
\operatorname{Stab}_{\Gamma}(t Z)=\left\{h \in \Gamma: h t h^{-1}=t z \text { for some } z \in Z\right\}
$$

of the coset $t Z$ under the conjugation action of $\Gamma$. We assume that $1 \in S_{t}$ for every $t \in T_{0}$. Observe that the centralizer $C_{\Gamma}(t)$ of $t$ in $\Gamma$ is contained in $\operatorname{Stab}_{\Gamma}(t Z)$. Then, the set

$$
T=\left\{s t s^{-1}: t \in T_{0}, s \in S_{t}\right\}
$$

is a transversal for $\Gamma / Z$ containing 1 .
Fix an element $A \in \mathcal{N}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$. When we restrict $A$ to $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]_{\chi}$, it acts as left multiplication by $x=A(1) \in \ell^{2}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$. Hence, it suffices to show that the only central elements in $\ell^{2}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$ are the constant multiplies of the identity.

Write a fixed element $x \in \ell^{2}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$ uniquely as

$$
x=\sum_{t \in T_{0}} \sum_{s \in S_{t}} a_{s t s^{-1}} s t s^{-1}
$$

with $a_{\text {sts }^{-1}} \in \mathbb{C}$. If $h$ is any element of $\Gamma$, then for every element $t \in T_{0}$ and $s \in S_{t}$ there exists unique elements $r=r(h, t, s) \in S_{t}$ and $u=u(h, t, s) \in Z$ such that $h s t s^{-1} h^{-1}=r t r^{-1} u$. If for some $h, t, s$ the element $u$ is non-trivial, then $r^{-1} h s$ is an element of $\operatorname{Stab}_{\Gamma}(t Z)$ that does not belong to $C_{\Gamma}(t)$. We will show that if $x$ is central then $a_{s t s^{-1}}=0$ for $t \neq 1$ arbitrary. Fixing such $t$, there are two cases to consider:

Case 1: There exists an element $h \in \operatorname{Stab}_{\Gamma}(t Z) \backslash C_{\Gamma}(t)$.
In this case, we have that $h t h^{-1}=t z$ for some non-trivial $z \in Z$. This also implies that for any $\widetilde{s} \in S_{t}$ the element $\widetilde{s} h \widetilde{s}^{-1}$ belongs to $\operatorname{Stab}_{\Gamma}\left(\widetilde{s} t \widetilde{s}^{-1} Z\right) \backslash C_{\Gamma}\left(\widetilde{s} t \widetilde{s}^{-1}\right)$. Moreover, if for some $s \in S_{t}$ we have that

$$
\widetilde{s} h \widetilde{s}^{-1} s t s^{-1} \widetilde{s} h^{-1} \widetilde{s}^{-1}=\widetilde{s} t \widetilde{s}^{-1} z^{\prime}
$$

for some $z^{\prime} \in Z$, then $h s^{-1} \widetilde{s} \in \operatorname{Stab}_{\Gamma}(t Z)$, from which we obtain that $s=\widetilde{s}$. We now compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{s} h \widetilde{s}^{-1} x \widetilde{s} h^{-1} \widetilde{s}^{-1} & =\sum_{s \in S_{t}} a_{s t s^{-1}} \widetilde{s} h \widetilde{s}^{-1} s t s^{-1} \widetilde{s}^{-1} \widetilde{s}^{-1}+\sum_{t^{\prime} \neq t \in T_{0}} \cdots \\
& =a_{\widetilde{s} t \widetilde{s}^{-1}} \chi(z) \widetilde{s} t \widetilde{s}^{-1}+\sum_{s \neq \widetilde{s} \in S_{t}} a_{s t s^{-1}} \widetilde{r} t \widetilde{r}^{-1} \widetilde{u}+\sum_{t^{\prime} \neq t \in T_{0}} \cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, if $\widetilde{s} h \widetilde{s}^{-1} x \widetilde{s} h^{-1} \widetilde{s}^{-1}=x$, we have that $a_{\widetilde{s} t \widetilde{s}^{-1}} \chi(z)=a_{\widetilde{s} t \widetilde{s}^{-1}}$, which is only possible if $a_{\widetilde{s} t \widetilde{s}^{-1}}=0$. Since the choice of $\widetilde{s}$ was arbitrary, we are done.

Case 2: For every $h \in \Gamma$ and every $s \in S_{t}$ there is a unique $r=r(h, s) \in S_{t}$ such that hsts $^{-1} h=r t r^{-1}$.

In particular, for $h$ fixed, the map $s \mapsto r(h, s)$ is a bijection in $S_{t}$. Take any $h \in \Gamma$ and consider the element

$$
\begin{aligned}
h x h^{-1} & =\sum_{s \in S_{t}} a_{s t s^{-1}} h s t s^{-1} h^{-1}+\sum_{t^{\prime} \neq t \in T_{0}} \cdots \\
& =\sum_{s \in S_{t}} a_{h^{-1} s t s^{-1} h} s t s^{-1}+\sum_{t^{\prime} \neq t \in T_{0}} \cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $h x h^{-1}=x$, then by our choice of $T_{0}$, for every $s \in S_{t}$ we must have that $a_{s t s^{-1}}=a_{h^{-1} s t s^{-1} h}$. If $x$ is central, since the conjugacy class of $s t s^{-1}$ is infinite in $\Gamma$ for $t \neq 1$, we must have infinitely many repeated coefficients $a_{h^{-1}{ }^{1} s^{-1} h}$ appearing in the expansion of $x$, which is only possible if $a_{s t s^{-1}}=0$ for all $s$, due to the fact that the sum

$$
\sum_{t \in T_{0}} \sum_{s \in S_{t}}\left|a_{s t s^{-1}}\right|^{2}
$$

is finite by definition.
Remark A.7. The "only if" direction of the previous lemma is false in the twisted setting. For example, if

$$
\Gamma=Q_{8}=\left\langle e, i, j, k \mid e^{2}=1, i^{2}=j^{2}=k^{2}=i j k=e\right\rangle
$$

denotes the quaternion group, then its center $Z=\langle e\rangle$ is cyclic of order two. Now, for the character $\chi: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$given by $\chi(e)=-1$, one gets that $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]_{\chi}$ is a quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ and, hence, a central simple $\mathbb{C}$-algebra. The finite groups $\Gamma$ for which there exists a central character $\chi$ such that $Z\left(\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]_{\chi}\right)=\mathbb{C}$ are called groups of central type. The authors are currently unaware of an infinite group $\Gamma$ containing a finite central subgroup $Z$ and a central character $\chi: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$such that $\Gamma / Z$ is not ICC but $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$ is a factor.

We recall that a subset $S$ of a ring $R$ satisfies the right Ore condition if it satisfies:
(1) for all $r \in R$ and $s \in S$, there exists $r^{\prime} \in R$ and $s^{\prime} \in S$ such that $r s^{\prime}=s r^{\prime}$; and
(2) for all $r \in R$ and $s \in S$ such that $s r=0$, there exists $s^{\prime} \in S$ such that $r s^{\prime}=0$.
If $S$ satisfies the right Ore condition, we can construct a ring $R S^{-1}$ called the right Ore localization of $S$ with a flat ring homomorphism $R \rightarrow R S^{-1}$ sending the elements of $S$ to units and is universal among all ring homomorphisms that send $S$ to units Lü̈02, Lem 8.15]. We say that a ring $R$ is von Neumann regular if for any $r \in R$ there exists $s \in R$ such that srs $=r$. A $*$-algebra is called a $*$-regular ring
if it is von Neumann regular and its involution is proper, i.e. $x^{*} x=0$ if and only if $x=0$.

In the case of the twisted von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$, it is proven in Rei98, Prop. 2.8] that the set $S \subseteq \mathcal{N}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$ of all non zero-divisors satisfies the right Ore condition, and we can thus consider the localization $\mathcal{U}(\Gamma)_{\chi}=\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)_{\chi} S^{-1}$.
Lemma A.8. The following assertions are true:
(a) The map $\iota: \mathcal{N}(\Gamma)_{\chi} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$ is injective.
(b) The involution $*$, the twisted von Neumann dimension $\operatorname{dim}_{\Gamma}^{\chi}$ and the twisted von Neumann rank $\operatorname{rk}_{\Gamma}^{\chi}$ extend from $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$ to $\mathcal{U}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$.
(c) The ring $\mathcal{U}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$ is *-regular.
(d) If $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$ is a factor, then the center of $\mathcal{U}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$ is $Z\left(\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)_{\chi}\right) \simeq \mathbb{C}$, which equals the centralizer of the image of $\Gamma$ in $\mathcal{U}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$.

Proof. Item (a) follows from the fact that the kernel of $\iota$ consists of all $r \in \mathcal{N}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$ such that $r s=0$ for some $s \in S$ and $S$ consists of non-zero-divisors by the polar decomposition. The fact that the involution $*$ extends to $\mathcal{U}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$ and turns it into a $*$-regular ring is shown in Rei98, Prop. 2.10 and Note 2.11]. The extension of $\operatorname{dim}_{\Gamma}^{\chi}$ and hence of $\operatorname{rk}_{\Gamma}^{\chi}$ to $\mathcal{U}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$ follows from Rei98, Prop. 3.9]. The last claim follows from Liu12, Prop. 30].

The ring $\mathcal{U}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$ can be identified with the ring of (unbounded) affiliated operators to $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$, from which we derive its name (see Rei98, Sec. 2]). Observe that, if $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is a subgroup of $\Gamma$, then the inclusion map $\mathcal{N}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$ induces an injective homomorphism $\mathcal{U}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$ by the universal property of the Ore localization.

## Appendix B. Crossed products and *-REgular closures

We recall that a ring $R^{\prime}$ is called a crossed product of a ring $R$ and a group $G$ if $R$ can be identified with a subring of $R^{\prime}$ and there is an injective function $\mu: G \rightarrow\left(R^{\prime}\right)^{\times}$satisfying the following properties:
$(\mathrm{CrPr} 1) R^{\prime}$ is a free left $R$-module on the set $\mu(G)$;
( CrPr 2 ) for all $g \in G, R$ is closed under conjugation by $\mu(g)$; and
( CrPr 3 ) for every pair of elements $g$ and $g^{\prime}$ in $G$, the element

$$
\tau\left(g, g^{\prime}\right)=\mu(g) \mu\left(g^{\prime}\right) \mu\left(g g^{\prime}\right)^{-1}
$$

belongs to $R^{\times}$.
In particular, $\mu$ induces a function $\bar{\mu}: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(R)$ that satisfies the following: ( $\mathrm{CrPr} 1^{\prime}$ ) for all $g, g^{\prime}$ and $g^{\prime \prime}$ in $G$, we have that

$$
\tau\left(g, g^{\prime}\right) \tau\left(g g^{\prime}, g^{\prime \prime}\right)=\bar{\mu}(g)\left(\tau\left(g^{\prime}, g^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) \tau\left(g, g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

in $R^{\times}$; and
( $\mathrm{CrPr} 2^{\prime}$ ) for all $g$ and $g^{\prime}$ in $G$ and $r \in R$, we have that

$$
\tau\left(g, g^{\prime}\right) \bar{\mu}\left(g g^{\prime}\right)(r) \tau\left(g, g^{\prime}\right)^{-1}=\bar{\mu}(g)\left(\bar{\mu}\left(g^{\prime}\right)(r)\right)
$$

in $R$.
Conversely, given $\bar{\mu}: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(R)$ and $\tau: G \times G \rightarrow R^{\times}$satisfying ( $\mathrm{CrPr}^{\prime}$ ') and (CrPR2'), we can construct a ring $R * G$ with underlying set the free $R$-module with basis $G$ and multiplication obtained by linearly extending

$$
(r g) \cdot\left(r^{\prime} g^{\prime}\right)=\left(r \mu(g)\left(r^{\prime}\right) \tau\left(g, g^{\prime}\right)\right) g g^{\prime}
$$

for $g, g^{\prime} \in G$ and $r, r^{\prime} \in R$. If $R^{\prime}$ is a crossed product of $R$ and $G$, then there is an isomorphism of rings $R^{\prime} \simeq R * G$ that restricts to the identity map on $R$, where $R * G$ is constructed using the induced maps from $R^{\prime}$. If $\psi: R^{\prime} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{g \in G} R \mu(g)$ is an $R$-module isomorphism, we say that $(\psi, \mu, \tau)$ is an (internal) twisting data. A pair $(\bar{\mu}, \tau)$ is an (external) twisting data. The considerations above show that there exists a bijection between internal and external twisting data, and any twisting data determines a crossed product up to an $R$-ring isomorphism.

The crossed product satisfies a universal property: if $(\bar{\mu}, \tau)$ is a twisting data, $\varphi: R \rightarrow R^{\prime}$ is any ring homomorphism and $\nu: G \rightarrow\left(R^{\prime}\right)^{\times}$is any function such that (1) $\nu(g) \varphi(r) \nu(g)^{-1}=\varphi(\bar{\mu}(g) r)$ and (2) $\varphi\left(\tau\left(g, g^{\prime}\right)\right)=\nu(g) \nu\left(g^{\prime}\right) \nu\left(g g^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$, then there exists a unique ring homomorphism $\theta: R * G \rightarrow R^{\prime}$ extending both $\varphi$ and $\nu$.

Suppose now $R$ is contained in a larger ring $R^{\prime}$ and $(\bar{\mu}, \tau)$ is a twisting data on $R$. If there exists a function $\overline{\mu^{\prime}}: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(R^{\prime}\right)$ such that (a) for every $g \in G$ and $r \in R$ we have that $\mu^{\prime}(g)(r)=\mu(g)(r)$ and (b) the pair $\left(\overline{\mu^{\prime}}, \tau\right)$ satisfies $(\mathrm{CrPr} 2$ ') on $R^{\prime}$, then this pair also satisfies ( $\mathrm{CrPr} 1^{\prime}$ ) and we get an injective map $R * G \rightarrow R^{\prime} * G$ extending the inclusion $R \rightarrow R^{\prime}$ and the identity $G \rightarrow G$, where the crossed products are built using their respective data. In this case, we say that the crossed product structure on $R$ extends to a crossed product structure on $R^{\prime}$.

We will now explore how the rings $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]_{\chi}, \mathcal{N}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$ and $\mathcal{U}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$ decompose as crossed products over finite quotients of $\Gamma$. Let $\Gamma^{\prime}$ be a finite index normal subgroup of $\Gamma$ and, if $\chi: Z=Z(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$is a central character, we denote by $Z^{\prime}=Z \cap \Gamma^{\prime}$ and $\chi^{\prime}: Z^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$the induced character. By taking $\Gamma_{\chi}=\Gamma / Z$ and $\Gamma_{\chi^{\prime}}^{\prime}=\Gamma^{\prime} / Z^{\prime}$, observe that we have an embedding $\Gamma_{\chi^{\prime}}^{\prime} \leq \Gamma_{\chi}$, and the quotient $\Gamma_{\chi} / \Gamma_{\chi^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma /\left(\Gamma^{\prime} Z\right)$.

Lemma B.1. The following are true:
(1) The conjugation action of $\Gamma$ on $\Gamma^{\prime}$ induces a twisting data $\bar{\mu}: \Gamma_{\chi} / \Gamma_{\chi^{\prime}}^{\prime} \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right]_{\chi^{\prime}}\right)$ and $\tau:\left(\Gamma_{\chi} / \Gamma_{\chi^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right]_{\chi^{\prime}}^{\times}$, and $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]_{\chi} \simeq \mathbb{C}\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right]_{\chi^{\prime}} *\left(\Gamma_{\chi} / \Gamma_{\chi^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$.
(2) The crossed product structure on $\mathbb{C}\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right]_{\chi^{\prime}}$ extends to a crossed product structure on $\mathcal{N}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}$ and $\mathcal{U}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}$.
(3) The subring of $\mathcal{U}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$ generated by $\mathcal{U}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}$ and $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to the crossed product $\mathcal{U}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}} *\left(\Gamma_{\chi} / \Gamma_{\chi^{\prime}}\right)$.
Proof. (1) Fix a set of representatives $T^{\prime}$ for $\Gamma^{\prime} / Z^{\prime}$ and $X$ for $\Gamma /\left(\Gamma^{\prime} Z\right)$, so that $T=T^{\prime} X$ is a transversal for $\Gamma / Z$ and the projection $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_{\chi} / \Gamma_{\chi^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ is bijective when restricted to $X$. For each $g \in \Gamma_{\chi} / \Gamma_{\chi^{\prime}}$, let $x_{g}$ be its representative in $X$. For any pair $g$ and $g^{\prime}$ in $\Gamma_{\chi} / \Gamma_{\chi^{\prime}}$, there is a unique $u=u\left(g, g^{\prime}\right) \in \Gamma^{\prime} Z$ such that $x_{g} x_{g^{\prime}}=u x_{g g^{\prime}}$. Since $\Gamma^{\prime} / Z^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to $\left(\Gamma^{\prime} Z\right) / Z$ one can also find unique elements $h=h\left(g, g^{\prime}\right) \in T^{\prime}$ and $z=z\left(g, g^{\prime}\right) \in Z$ such that $u=z h$.

Define $\bar{\mu} \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right]_{\chi^{\prime}}\right)$ be the conjugation by $x_{g}$. Set $\tau\left(g, g^{\prime}\right)=\chi(z) h$ where $z$ and $h$ are defined as above. A direct computation shows that $(\bar{\mu}, \tau)$ satisfies ( $\mathrm{CrPr} 1^{\prime}$ ) and $\left(\mathrm{CrPr} 2\right.$ ') and thus we can construct the crossed product $\mathbb{C}\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right]_{\chi^{\prime}} *\left(\Gamma_{\chi} / \Gamma_{\chi^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$. The injective map $\mathbb{C}\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right]_{\chi^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\Gamma]_{\chi^{\prime}}$ and the function $\nu: \Gamma_{\chi} / \Gamma_{\chi^{\prime}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\Gamma]_{\chi}^{\times}$that sends $g$ to the image of $x_{g}$ induce, by the universal property of the crossed product, a ring homomorphism $\mathbb{C}\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right]_{\chi^{\prime}} *\left(\Gamma_{\chi} / \Gamma_{\chi^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\Gamma]_{\chi}$ which is readily seen to be bijective being an isomorphism of left $\mathbb{C}\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right]_{\chi^{\prime}}$-modules by Lemma A.3(2).
(2) Since the image of $x_{g}$ in both $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$ and $\mathcal{U}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$ consists of units that normalize $\Gamma^{\prime}$, one only needs to show that $(\bar{\mu}, \tau)$ also satisfies $\left(\mathrm{CrPr} 2\right.$ ') on $\mathcal{N}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}$ and $\mathcal{U}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}$ respectively. For $\mathcal{N}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}$ it suffices to show that the actions of both sides
of $\left(\operatorname{CrPr} 2^{\prime}\right)$, seen as operators of $\ell^{2}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}$, coincide on $\mathbb{C}\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right]_{\chi^{\prime}}$. In fact, it suffices to show that they send the identity element to the same element, which follows from any $r \in \mathcal{N}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}$ acting on $\mathbb{C}\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right]_{\chi^{\prime}}$ as multiplication by some element in $\ell^{2}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}$. For $\mathcal{U}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}$, observe that both sides of $\left(\mathrm{CrPr} 2^{\prime}\right)$ define automorphisms of $\mathcal{U}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}$ that coincide on $\mathcal{N}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}$, so they must be the same.
(3) This subring coincides with the image of the induced map $\varphi: \mathcal{U}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}} *$ $\left(\Gamma_{\chi} / \Gamma_{\chi^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$, so it suffices to prove that this map is injective, that is, that the set $X$ is linearly independent over $\mathcal{N}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}$ as operators on $\ell^{2}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$. This is immediate from the identification of $\ell^{2}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$ with the Hilbert space $\bigoplus_{x \in X} \ell^{2}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}} x$.

We recall that in a $*$-regular ring $R^{\prime}$, for every element $r \in R^{\prime}$ there are unique projections $e$ and $f$ such that $R^{\prime} e=R^{\prime} r$ and $f R^{\prime}=r R^{\prime}$ Jai19a, Prop. 3.2(3)]. Moreover, there exists a unique element $r^{[-1]}$, called the relative inverse of $r$, such that $r^{[-1]} r=e$ and $r r^{[-1]}=f$ Jai19a, Prop. 3.2(4)].

If $R$ is a subring of a $*$-regular ring $R^{\prime}$, then there exists a unique minimal $*-$ regular subring $\mathcal{R}\left(R, R^{\prime}\right)$ of $R^{\prime}$ containing $R$, called the $*$-regular closure of $R$ in $R^{\prime}$ Jail9a Sec. 3.4]. This ring is obtained inductively by setting $\mathcal{R}_{0}\left(R, R^{\prime}\right)=R$ and taking $\mathcal{R}_{i+1}\left(R, R^{\prime}\right)$ to be the subring generated by $\mathcal{R}_{i}\left(R, R^{\prime}\right)$ and the relative inverses of all the elements $r r^{*}$ for $r \in \mathcal{R}_{i}\left(R, R^{\prime}\right)$, see AG17, Prop. 6.2].

Lemma B.2. Let $L$ be a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$ containing $L_{0}$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}$ be a finite index normal subgroup of $\Gamma$. Then, we also get a decomposition $L[\Gamma]_{\chi} \simeq L\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right]_{\chi^{\prime}} *\left(\Gamma_{\chi} / \Gamma_{\chi^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$, the crossed product structure on $L\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right]_{\chi^{\prime}}$ extends to

$$
\mathcal{R}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}^{L}=\mathcal{R}\left(L\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right]_{\chi^{\prime}}, \mathcal{U}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}\right)
$$

and the subring of $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma)_{\chi}^{L}$ generated by $\mathcal{R}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}^{L}$ and $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{R}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}^{L}$ * $\left(\Gamma_{\chi} / \Gamma_{\chi^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. The decomposition for $L[\Gamma]_{\chi}$ is proven in the same way as for $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]_{\chi^{\prime}}$ in Lemma B.1(1).

Assume now that we have already extended the twisting data for $L\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right]_{\chi^{\prime}}$ to $\mathcal{R}_{i}\left(L\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right]_{\chi^{\prime}}, \mathcal{U}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}\right)$. To show that it extends to $\mathcal{R}_{i+1}\left(L\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right]_{\chi^{\prime}}, \mathcal{U}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}\right)$, all we must show is that this ring is invariant under conjugation by $x \in X$, where $X$ is the chosen transversal of $\Gamma_{\chi} / \Gamma_{\chi^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ for the twisting data, since it will inherit ( $\mathrm{CrPr} 2^{\prime}$ ) from the crossed product decomposition of $\mathcal{U}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$.

Hence, let $r \in \mathcal{R}_{i}\left(L\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right]_{\chi^{\prime}}, \mathcal{U}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}\right)$ be any self-adjoint element and $e, f \in \mathcal{U}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}$ be projections such that $\mathcal{U}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}} r=\mathcal{U}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}} e$ and $r \mathcal{U}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}=f \mathcal{U}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}$. Then, for any $x \in X$, we have that $x e x^{-1}$ and $x f x^{-1}$ must be the unique projections such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{U}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}\left(x r x^{-1}\right)=x\left(\mathcal{U}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}} r\right) x^{-1}=x\left(\mathcal{U}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}} e\right) x^{-1}=\mathcal{U}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}\left(x e x^{-1}\right) \\
& \left(x r x^{-1}\right) \mathcal{U}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}=x\left(r \mathcal{U}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}\right) x^{-1}=x\left(f \mathcal{U}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}\right) x^{-1}=\left(x f x^{-1}\right) \mathcal{U}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $x r^{[-1]} x^{-1}$ must be the relative inverse of $x r x^{-1}$. Hence, we have that

$$
x r^{[-1]} x^{-1}=\left(x r x^{-1}\right)^{[-1]} \in \mathcal{R}_{i+1}\left(L\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right]_{\chi^{\prime}}, \mathcal{U}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}\right)
$$

as desired.
From the universal property of crossed products, we get a ring homomorphism $\theta: \mathcal{R}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}^{L} *\left(\Gamma_{\chi} / \Gamma_{\chi^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$ which is the restriction of the injective map $\mathcal{U}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}} *$ $\left(\Gamma_{\chi} / \Gamma_{\chi^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$, proving the last claim.

Remark B.3. Observe that if $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$ is a factor, then by Lemma A.8(e) the center of $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma)_{\chi}^{L}$ is contained in the center of $\mathcal{U}(\Gamma)_{\chi}$, and is therefore a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$.

We are now able to describe how we are going to make use of Lemma A. 6 Conjecture 2.4 states that for any finitely generated subgroup $\Gamma$ of $G$, for every sequence $\left\{\mathrm{W}_{k}\right\}$ of representations of $G$ with the same central character $\chi: Z(G) \cap$ $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$such that their highest weight parameters $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)\left(\lambda_{i}=\lambda_{i}(k)\right)$ grow to infinity, and for every finitely generated subfield $L$ of $\mathbb{C}$ containing $L_{0}$, we have that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{rk}_{\mathrm{W}_{\lambda}^{L}}^{\Gamma}=\mathrm{rk}_{\Gamma}^{\chi}
$$

as rank functions. Indeed, for each matrix $A$ over $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$, it suffices to take $L$ the subfield generated by the coefficients of those entries, as we have that $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathrm{W}_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{c}}}^{\mathrm{C}} A=$ $\operatorname{rk}_{\mathrm{W}_{\lambda}^{L}}^{\Gamma} A$. We may further assume that $\Gamma$ is Zariski-dense, so that $Z$ coincides with the center of $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma / Z$ is ICC.

Suppose now that we can find a normal subgroup $\Gamma^{\prime}$ of $\Gamma$ of finite index such that, if $\chi^{\prime}$ denotes the restriction of $\chi$ to $Z \cap \Gamma^{\prime}$, we have the convergence

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{rk}_{\mathrm{W}_{\lambda}^{L}}^{\Gamma^{\prime}}=\operatorname{rk}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}^{\chi^{\prime}}
$$

of Sylvester matrix rank functions on $L\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right]$. We will show that this already implies the desired convergence for $\Gamma$ over $L$.
Theorem B.4. Let $\Gamma, \Gamma^{\prime}, \chi, \chi^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ be as above. If $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathrm{W}_{\lambda}^{L}}^{\Gamma^{\prime}}$ converges to $\mathrm{rk}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}^{\chi^{\prime}}$, then $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathrm{W}_{\lambda}^{L}}^{\Gamma}$ converges to $\mathrm{rk}_{\Gamma}^{\chi}$.
Proof. Let $\omega$ be a non-principal ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$, and consider the ultraproduct of tracial $*$-regular rings

$$
\mathcal{D}=\prod_{\omega} \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\mathrm{W}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right)
$$

as described in [Jai19a, Sec. 6.7]. The representations of $\Gamma^{\prime}$ induce a homomorphism $L\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right] \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ whose $*$-regular closure we denote by $\mathcal{S}$. The fact that

$$
\operatorname{rk}_{\chi^{\prime}}^{\Gamma^{\prime}}=\lim _{\omega} \operatorname{rk}_{W_{\lambda}^{L}}^{\Gamma^{\prime}}
$$

as rank functions over $L\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right]$ implies by Jai19a, Thm. 6.3] that we have a rank preserving isomorphism $\varphi: \mathcal{R}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ that commutes with the maps $L\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)$ and $L\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right] \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$.

The representations of $\Gamma$ also induce a homomorphism $L[\Gamma] \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ that clearly extends the map $L\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right] \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$. By the universal property of the crossed product, we obtain a ring homomorphism $\theta: \mathcal{R}(\Gamma)_{\chi}^{L} \simeq \mathcal{R}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{\chi^{\prime}}^{L} *\left(\Gamma_{\chi} / \Gamma_{\chi^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ that extends $\varphi$. Since $\Gamma$ is Zariski-dense, $\Gamma / Z$ is ICC and thus, by Lemma A. 6 and Remark B.3, the center of $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma)_{\chi}^{L}$ is a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$.

It was shown in Goo79, Thm. 19.13, 19.14] (cf. Jai19a, Prop. 5.7]) that $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma)_{\chi}^{L}$ admits at most one Sylvester matrix rank function. Since both $\operatorname{rk}_{\chi}^{\Gamma}$ and $\lim _{\omega} \operatorname{rk}_{W_{\lambda}^{L}}^{\Gamma}$ define Sylvester matrix rank functions on $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma)_{\chi}^{L}$, they must also coincide. The result for general limits then follows, as the choice of $\omega$ was arbitrary.

Corollary B.5. Conjecture 2.4 holds for any finitely generated subgroup of G if and only if for every finitely generated and Zariski-dense subgroup $\Gamma$ of G with $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{G}(L)$
and $L \leq \mathbb{C}$ finitely generated, there exists a finite index normal subgroup $\Gamma^{\prime}$ of $\Gamma$ such that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{rk}_{\mathrm{W}_{k}}^{\Gamma^{\prime}}=\operatorname{rk}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}^{\chi^{\prime}}
$$

whenever all the central characters of $\mathrm{W}_{k}$ are equal to $\chi$, the parameters of the highest weight $\lambda_{i}=\lambda_{i}(k)$ grow to infinity and $\chi^{\prime}$ is the restriction of $\chi$ to $Z(G) \cap \Gamma^{\prime}$.
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