

# COMMUTATOR-BASED OPERATOR SPLITTING FOR LINEAR PORT-HAMILTONIAN SYSTEMS

MARIUS MÖNCH<sup>1,\*</sup> AND NICOLE MARHEINEKE<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT. The port-Hamiltonian approach offers a modeling of dynamic systems with an energy-conserving and a dissipative part. Port-Hamiltonian (pH) systems are passive. That means no energy can be generated within the system. A passive system cannot store more energy than it receives. The exact solution of the pH system fulfills the dissipation inequality. In this paper, we deal with operator splitting that considers the energy-conserving and dissipative parts separately. We aim at high-order splitting schemes that preserve the dissipation inequality. Fourth-order methods for linear pHs-ODE are derived and an extension to sixth-order methods is discussed.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Consider a finite-dimensional port-Hamiltonian system (pHs) of the form

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= (J(x) - R(x))\nabla H(x) + B(x)u, & x(t_0) &= x_0, \\ y &= B(x)^\top \nabla H(x)\end{aligned}$$

with Hamiltonian  $H$ , skew-symmetric matrix  $J(x)$  and symmetric positive semidefinite matrix  $R(x)$ . We decompose the system into two parts, namely in an energy-conserving part  $f^{[1]}(x) = J(x)\nabla H(x)$  and a dissipative part with coupling  $f^{[2]}(x, t) = R(x)\nabla H(x) + B(x)u(t)$ . A general splitting method is given by

$$\Phi_h = \varphi_{b_m h}^{[2]} \circ \varphi_{a_m h}^{[1]} \circ \varphi_{b_{m-1} h}^{[2]} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{a_2 h}^{[1]} \circ \varphi_{b_1 h}^{[2]} \circ \varphi_{a_1 h}^{[1]}$$

with  $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i = 1$ , where  $\varphi^{[i]}$  is the exact flow of  $f^{[i]}$  with  $i = 1, 2$ . Using Gröbner's lemma,  $\Phi_h$  can be represented as a product of exponential functions. The Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula applied to this product gives order conditions for the splitting scheme which depend on the coefficients  $a_1, \dots, a_m$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_m$  [13]. By a suitable choice of the coefficients high orders can be obtained. Negative step sizes occur for an order of  $p \geq 3$ . In this case, at least one coefficient  $a_i$  and at least one coefficient  $b_i$  are strictly negative [2]. If the splitting method has negative step sizes, the dissipation inequality of the port-Hamiltonian system is in general no longer satisfied [6]. Negative step sizes can be avoided by using commutator-based methods. Several commutator-based methods have been discussed in literature [10], [16]. In this article, we derive fourth-order structure-preserving methods for closed linear (autonomous) pHs-ODE. An extension to the sixth-order case is discussed. It is shown that there is no sixth-order commutator-based method that preserves the dissipation inequality for general autonomous systems, but for special cases such as the damped oscillator. Finally, we propose a splitting approach for non-autonomous linear pHs-ODE.

## 2. PORT-HAMILTONIAN SYSTEMS

A port-Hamiltonian system fulfills various properties, such as the conservation of energy and the dissipation inequality. The properties of these systems are encoded in the structure. An initial value

*Date:* May 28, 2024

<sup>1</sup> Universität Trier, Arbeitsgruppe Modellierung und Numerik, Universitätsring 15, D-54296 Trier, Germany

\* corresponding author, email: moench@uni-trier.de, orcid: 0009-0000-2582-2199 .

*Key words and phrases.* Operator splitting methods, port-Hamiltonian systems, dissipation inequality, commutator-based methods.

problem of an ordinary differential equation with a linear port-Hamiltonian structure (pHs-ODE) is given by

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{x}(t) &= (J - R)Qx(t) + Bu(t), & x(t_0) &= x_0, \\ y(t) &= B^\top Qx(t) \end{aligned}$$

with skew-symmetric matrix  $J = -J^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , symmetric positive semidefinite matrix  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \ni R = R^\top \geq 0$  and port-matrix  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ . The function  $x : [t_0, t_{end}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  defines the state variable. The functions  $u : [t_0, t_{end}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $y : [t_0, t_{end}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  describe the input and output of the system. The matrices  $J$  and  $R$  are the structure and dissipation matrices. The vector  $x_0$  is a corresponding initial value. The Hamiltonian is given as  $H(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^\top Qx$  with  $Q = Q^\top \geq 0$ . The conservation of energy is encoded in the skew-symmetric matrix  $J$  and the dissipation in the symmetric positive semidefinite matrix  $R$ . Numerical treatment aims not only at efficiency but also at structural preservation.

**Remark 1** (Variable transformation). *A closed linear pHs is given by*

$$\dot{x}(t) = (J - R)Qx(t), \quad x(0) = x_0.$$

*With the help of variable transformation, we can simplify the system to*

$$(2) \quad \dot{\tilde{x}}(t) = (\tilde{J} - \tilde{R})\tilde{x}, \quad \tilde{x}(0) = \tilde{x}_0$$

for  $\tilde{x} = Q^{\frac{1}{2}}x$ , where  $\tilde{J} = Q^{\frac{1}{2}}JQ^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is skew-symmetric and  $\tilde{R} = Q^{\frac{1}{2}}RQ^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is symmetric and positive semidefinite. In the following we drop the superscript  $\sim$ .

**2.1. Dissipation inequality.** A fundamental property of a port-Hamiltonian system is that its solution fulfills a so-called dissipation inequality (3). Accordingly, this type of system can consume and store energy. However, no energy can be generated within the system. A port-Hamiltonian system is passive. Supposing sufficient regularity of the input  $u$ , the pHs (1) has an unique solution  $x \in \mathcal{C}^1([t_0, t_{end}], \mathbb{R}^n)$  which fulfills the dissipation inequality

$$(3) \quad \frac{d}{dt}H(x(t)) \leq y(t)^\top u(t).$$

The dissipation inequality can be expressed in integral form. The corresponding integral version is

$$H(x(t_0 + h)) - H(x(t_0)) \leq \int_{t_0}^{t_0+h} y(s)^\top u(s) \, ds.$$

For a closed linear pHs (2), we get

$$H(x(t_0 + h)) - H(x(t_0)) \leq 0.$$

We aim at numerical methods that fulfill a discrete version of the dissipation inequality.

### 3. ENERGY-BASED OPERATOR SPLITTING WITH COMMON SCHEMES

Our focus is on an energy-based splitting of the closed linear pHs (2). That means, we decompose the right side into two parts

$$\dot{x} = f^{[1]}(x) + f^{[2]}(x) \text{ with } f^{[1]}(x) = Jx, \quad f^{[2]}(x) = -Rx.$$

The first part describes the energy-conserving component and the second part characterizes the dissipative component. Let  $\varphi_h^{[i]}$  be the exact flow of  $f^{[i]}(x)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . A general splitting scheme is given by

$$(4) \quad \Phi_h = \varphi_{b_m h}^{[2]} \circ \varphi_{a_m h}^{[1]} \circ \varphi_{b_{m-1} h}^{[2]} \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_{a_2 h}^{[1]} \circ \varphi_{b_1 h}^{[2]} \circ \varphi_{a_1 h}^{[1]}$$

with  $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i = 1$ . Using Gröbner's lemma,  $\Phi_h$  can be represented as a product of exponential functions in terms of Lie derivatives.

**Lemma 2** (Gröbner 1960). *For the composition of the exact flows the relation*

$$(\varphi_{bh}^{[2]} \circ \varphi_{ah}^{[1]})(x_0) = e^{ahL_1} e^{bhL_2} x_0$$

with Lie derivative  $L_i = \sum_j f_j^{[i]} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) is valid.

That means, we can write  $\Phi_h$  as

$$\Phi_h = \prod_{i=1}^m e^{a_i h L_1} e^{b_i h L_2}.$$

Using the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula, we can compare  $\Phi_h$  with the exact solution  $x(h) = e^{h(L_1+L_2)}$ . This gives us order conditions. Common schemes are

- Lie-Trotter:  $\varphi_h^{[2]} \circ \varphi_h^{[1]}$  (order  $p = 1$ ),
- Strang Splitting:  $\varphi_{\frac{h}{2}}^{[2]} \circ \varphi_h^{[1]} \circ \varphi_{\frac{h}{2}}^{[2]}$  (order  $p = 2$ ).

Both of them fulfill the dissipation inequality. Higher-order methods with  $p \geq 3$  have at least one coefficient  $a_i$  and at least one coefficient  $b_i$  that are strictly negative [13].

**Example 3** (Triple-jump method). *A well-established fourth-order splitting method is*

$$\Phi_h^{[TJ]} = \varphi_{\frac{2}{3}h}^{[2]} \circ \varphi_{\gamma_3 h}^{[1]} \circ \varphi_{\frac{\gamma_3 + \gamma_2}{2}h}^{[2]} \circ \varphi_{\gamma_2 h}^{[1]} \circ \varphi_{\frac{\gamma_2 + \gamma_1}{2}h}^{[2]} \circ \varphi_{\gamma_1 h}^{[1]} \circ \varphi_{\frac{1}{2}h}^{[2]}$$

with  $\gamma_1 = \gamma_3 = \frac{1}{2-2^{\frac{1}{3}}} > 0$  and  $\gamma_2 = 1 - 2\gamma_1 < 0$ .

#### 4. DISSIPATIVE FOURTH-ORDER COMMUTATOR-BASED SCHEMES

We use the notation that the pH system is split into

$$\dot{x} = (J - R)x = Xx + Yx \quad \text{with } X = -R, Y = J.$$

The exact solution is  $x(h) = e^{h(X+Y)}$ . With the help of the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula, order conditions and commutator-based methods can be derived. For symmetric splitting methods  $\Phi_h$ , we can use the BCH formula, represent our splitting scheme as one single exponential function  $e^{hE}$  and compare it with the exact solution. The operator  $hE$  is determined by

$$hE = h(e_1 X + e_2 Y) + h^3(e_3 \mathcal{C} + e_4 \mathcal{D}) + \mathcal{O}(h^5)$$

with  $\mathcal{C} = [X, [Y, X]]$  and  $\mathcal{D} = [Y, [X, Y]]$  being so-called commutators. The error terms  $e_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, 4$ ) depend on the coefficients  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  (and thus on the number of steps). To obtain an order of four,  $e_1 = e_2 = 1$  and  $e_3 = e_4 = 0$  must be valid. Suzuki has shown in [17] that both terms,  $e_3$  and  $e_4$ , cannot be equal to zero if positive step sizes are assumed. All in all, we set  $e_1 = e_2 = 1$  and  $e_3 = 0$  or  $e_4 = 0$ . The term that is not set to zero is moved to the other side.

**Lemma 4** (Properties of the commutators). *The commutator  $\mathcal{C}$  is skew-symmetric and  $\mathcal{D}$  is symmetric.*

To construct high-order splitting methods that preserve the dissipation inequality, we must set  $e_4$  to zero, because the skew-symmetric commutator preserves the structure of the pHs. A possible scheme is

$$\Phi_h^{[A]} = e^{\frac{1}{6}hX} e^{\frac{1}{2}hY} e^{\frac{2}{3}hX} e^{\frac{1}{72}h^3\mathcal{C}} e^{\frac{1}{2}hY} e^{\frac{1}{6}hX} = e^{\frac{1}{6}hX} e^{\frac{1}{2}hY} e^{\frac{2}{3}h\tilde{X}} e^{\frac{1}{2}hY} e^{\frac{1}{6}hX}$$

with  $\tilde{X} = X + \frac{1}{48}h^2\mathcal{C}$ .

**Remark 5.** *Instead of the exponential function, we can consider a sequence of differential equations:*

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{a} &= Xa, & a(0) &= x_0, \\ \dot{b} &= Yb, & b(0) &= a\left(\frac{1}{6}h\right), \\ \dot{c} &= \tilde{X}c, & c(0) &= b\left(\frac{1}{2}h\right), \\ \dot{d} &= Yd, & d(0) &= c\left(\frac{2}{3}h\right), \\ \dot{e} &= Xe, & e(0) &= d\left(\frac{1}{2}h\right).\end{aligned}$$

The method provides a fourth-order approximation  $e\left(\frac{1}{6}h\right)$  for the exact solution.

**Theorem 6.** *The 5-step method  $\Phi_h^{[A]}$  is a fourth-order method that preserves the dissipation inequality.*

*Proof.* Let  $e\left(\frac{1}{6}h\right)$  be the fourth-order approximation of the exact solution and let  $H$  be the Hamiltonian. It holds

$$\begin{aligned}H\left(e\left(\frac{1}{6}h\right)\right) - H(x_0) &= H\left(e\left(\frac{1}{6}h\right)\right) - H(e(0)) + H\left(d\left(\frac{1}{2}h\right)\right) - H(d(0)) + H\left(c\left(\frac{2}{3}h\right)\right) \\ &\quad - H(c(0)) + H\left(b\left(\frac{1}{2}h\right)\right) - H(b(0)) + H\left(a\left(\frac{1}{6}h\right)\right) - \underbrace{H(a(0))}_{= x_0}.\end{aligned}$$

Because of the skew-symmetry of the matrix  $J$ ,  $\frac{d}{dt}H(b(t)) = \frac{d}{dt}H(d(t)) = 0$  follows. Hence,

$$H\left(b\left(\frac{1}{2}h\right)\right) - H(b(0)) = H\left(d\left(\frac{1}{2}h\right)\right) - H(d(0)) = 0.$$

For the dissipative part of the system, it holds  $\frac{d}{dt}H(a(t)) \leq 0$  and  $\frac{d}{dt}H(e(t)) \leq 0$ . Therefore, we get

$$H\left(a\left(\frac{1}{6}h\right)\right) - H(a(0)) \leq 0 \text{ and } H\left(e\left(\frac{1}{6}h\right)\right) - H(e(0)) \leq 0.$$

The new part is the force-gradient term. For the term  $H(c(t))$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}H(c(t)) &= \nabla H(c(t))^\top \dot{c}(t) = c(t)^\top \left(X + \frac{1}{48}t^2\mathcal{C}\right)c(t) = -c(t)^\top Rc(t) + \frac{1}{48}t^2c(t)^\top \mathcal{C}c(t) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{48}t^2c(t)^\top \mathcal{C}c(t) = 0\end{aligned}$$

due to the skew-symmetry of the commutator  $\mathcal{C}$ . □

The commutator does not have to be summarized in the central exponential function. The decisive factor is that the symmetric structure is maintained. Other fourth-order methods are:

- $\Phi_h^{[B]} = e^{\frac{1}{6}(3-\sqrt{3})hY} e^{\frac{1}{2}hX + \frac{1}{48}(2-\sqrt{3})h^3\mathcal{C}} e^{\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}hY} e^{\frac{1}{2}hX + \frac{1}{48}(2-\sqrt{3})h^3\mathcal{C}} e^{\frac{1}{6}(3-\sqrt{3})hY}$  (5-step),
- $\Phi_h^{[C]} = e^{\frac{1}{6}hY} e^{\frac{3}{8}hX} e^{\frac{1}{3}hY} e^{\frac{1}{4}hX + \frac{1}{192}h^3\mathcal{C}} e^{\frac{1}{3}hY} e^{\frac{3}{8}hX} e^{\frac{1}{6}hY}$  (7-step),
- $\Phi_h^{[D]} = e^{\frac{1}{8}hX + \frac{1}{384}h^3\mathcal{C}} e^{\frac{1}{3}hY} e^{\frac{3}{8}hX} e^{\frac{1}{3}hY} e^{\frac{3}{8}hX} e^{\frac{1}{3}hY} e^{\frac{1}{8}hX + \frac{1}{384}h^3\mathcal{C}}$  (7-step).

## 5. DISSIPATIVE SIXTH-ORDER COMMUTATOR-BASED SCHEMES FOR SPECIAL CASES

In section 4, passive fourth-order commutator-based methods have been derived, using the skew-symmetric commutator  $\mathcal{C} = [X, [Y, X]]$ . In this section, the focus is on sixth-order commutator-based methods. Here, the problem of negative coefficients still exists. Constructing a sixth-order commutator-based method with using only the terms  $X, Y$  and  $\mathcal{C}$ , results in negative step sizes [14],[16]. Hence, high-order commutators have to be considered. For a symmetric splitting method, according to the BCH formula and the Jacobi identity,

$$\begin{aligned}hE &= h(e_1X + e_2Y) + h^3(e_3\mathcal{C} + e_4\mathcal{D}) + h^5(e_5[X, [X, \mathcal{C}]] + e_6[X, [X, \mathcal{D}]] + e_7[X, [Y, \mathcal{D}]] \\ &\quad + e_8[Y, [X, \mathcal{C}]] + e_9[Y, [X, \mathcal{D}]] + e_{10}[Y, [Y, \mathcal{D}]] + \mathcal{O}(h^7)\end{aligned}$$

is valid. Analogously to  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$ , the fifth-order commutators have the same characteristics. It holds:

**Lemma 7.** *The commutators*

- $[X, [X, \mathcal{C}]], [X, [Y, \mathcal{D}]]$  and  $[Y, [X, \mathcal{D}]]$  are skew-symmetric,
- $[X, [X, \mathcal{D}]], [Y, [X, \mathcal{C}]]$  and  $[Y, [Y, \mathcal{D}]]$  are symmetric.

To develop a sixth-order method that maintains the dissipation inequality, the error terms  $e_4, e_6, e_8$  and  $e_{10}$  of the symmetric commutators must be vanish. Numerical attempts to solve the system of equations have shown that only two of the three symmetric fifth-order commutators can be set simultaneously to zero with a small error term. Therefore, there is no sixth-order splitting method that preserves the dissipation inequality for a general pH system (2). The equation system can be seen as an optimization problem. Hence, we solve (numerically), for example, the equation system

$$e_1 = e_2 = 1, \quad e_4 = e_8 = e_{10} = 0$$

and minimize  $|e_6|$ . This keeps the error of the dissipation inequality as small as possible. Without loss of generality, we minimize  $|e_6|$ . The system of equations consists of five conditions. Thus, we need five variables and so a 9-step method. A symmetric 9-step method in general form is given by

$$\Phi_h = e^{b_1 h X} e^{a_1 h Y} e^{b_2 h X} e^{a_2 h Y} e^{b_3 h X} e^{a_2 h Y} e^{b_2 h X} e^{a_1 h Y} e^{b_1 h X}.$$

The error terms  $e_i$  with  $i = 1, \dots, 10$  can be calculated using Mathematica (for a corresponding pseudo code see [1]). A (rounded) solution of the system of equations is

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= 0.074165238608, & b_2 &= 0.33120159552, & b_3 &= 0.18926633174, \\ a_1 &= 0.235760333250, & a_2 &= 0.26423966675. \end{aligned}$$

The remaining error terms can be calculated using the coefficients. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e_3 &= -0.0034513723370428375, & e_5 &= 1.6842661309580514 \cdot 10^{-5}, \\ e_6 &= -4.22368744216102 \cdot 10^{-5}, & e_7 &= -7.169421687722933 \cdot 10^{-6}, \\ e_9 &= -1.3885239065861339 \cdot 10^{-5}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, a splitting method with an effective order of six is given by

$$\Phi_h^{[E]} = e^{b_1 h X} e^{a_1 h Y} e^{b_2 h \tilde{X}} e^{a_2 h Y} e^{b_3 h \bar{X}} e^{a_2 h Y} e^{b_2 h \tilde{X}} e^{a_1 h Y} e^{b_1 h X}$$

with  $\tilde{X} = X - h^2 \frac{e_3}{2b_2} \mathcal{C}$  and  $\bar{X} = X - \frac{h^4}{b_3} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ , where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = e_5[X, [X, \mathcal{C}]] + e_6[X, [X, \mathcal{D}]] + e_7[X, [Y, \mathcal{D}]] + e_9[Y, [X, \mathcal{D}]].$$

In the 9-step method, the differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{a} &= Xa, & a(0) &= x_0, \\ \dot{b} &= Yb, & b(0) &= a(b_1 h), \\ \dot{c} &= \tilde{X}c, & c(0) &= b(a_1 h), \\ \dot{d} &= Yd, & d(0) &= c(b_2 h), \\ \dot{e} &= \bar{X}e, & e(0) &= d(a_2 h), \\ \dot{f} &= Yf, & f(0) &= e(b_3 h), \\ \dot{g} &= \tilde{X}g, & g(0) &= f(a_2 h), \\ \dot{i} &= Yi, & i(0) &= g(b_2 h), \\ \dot{j} &= Xj, & j(0) &= i(a_1 h) \end{aligned}$$

are solved. Thus,  $j(b_1 h)$  gives the corresponding sixth-order approximation.

**Theorem 8.** *The 9-step method  $\Phi_h^{[E]}$  does not maintain the dissipation inequality for pH systems of the form (2).*

*Proof.* It must be shown that  $H(j(b_1h)) - H(x_0) \leq 0$  does not apply in general. For the difference  $H(j(b_1h)) - H(x_0)$ , it holds

$$\begin{aligned} H(j(b_1h)) - H(x_0) &= \underbrace{H(j(b_1h)) - H(j(0))}_{\leq 0} + \underbrace{H(i(a_1h)) - H(i(0))}_{= 0} + \underbrace{H(g(b_2h)) - H(g(0))}_{\leq 0} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{H(f(a_2h)) - H(f(0))}_{= 0} + \underbrace{H(e(b_3h)) - H(e(0))}_{= 0} + \underbrace{H(d(a_2h)) - H(d(0))}_{= 0} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{H(c(b_2h)) - H(c(0))}_{\leq 0} + \underbrace{H(b(a_1h)) - H(b(0))}_{= 0} + \underbrace{H(a(b_1h)) - H(a(0))}_{\leq 0} \\ &\leq H(e(b_3h)) - H(e(0)). \end{aligned}$$

This is a conclusion of Theorem 6. For  $H(e(t))$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}H(e(t)) &= \nabla H(e(t))^\top \dot{e}(t) \\ &= e(t)^\top \left( X - \frac{t^4}{b_3} \tilde{C} \right) e(t) \\ &\leq -\frac{t^4}{b_3} e(t)^\top \tilde{C} e(t) \\ &= -\frac{t^4}{b_3} e_6 e(t)^\top [X, [X, D]] e(t). \end{aligned}$$

No statement can be made about the sign of the remaining term. Accordingly, the dissipation inequality is not satisfied for general pH systems of the form (2).  $\square$

**Corollary 9.** *If  $[X, D] = 0$ , the method  $\Phi_h^{[E]}$  is a sixth-order method and obtains the dissipation inequality.*

**Example 10.** *For the damped harmonic oscillator, the equation of motion is  $m\ddot{q} = -d\dot{q} - kq$ . Let the state be given by  $x = (q, p)^\top$  with  $p = m\dot{q}$ . This results in a pHs of the form*

$$\dot{x} = \begin{pmatrix} \dot{q} \\ \dot{p} \end{pmatrix} = \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \right) \begin{pmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{m} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q \\ p \end{pmatrix}.$$

For this example, it holds  $[X, D] = 0$ .

## 6. FOURTH-ORDER SCHEMES FOR LINEAR pH SYSTEMS WITH INPUT

In this section, we consider the linear non-autonomous pHs

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{x}(t) &= (J - R)x(t) + Bu(t), & x(t_0) &= x_0, \\ y(t) &= B^\top x(t). \end{aligned}$$

We rewrite the system (5) in an autonomous system, yielding

$$\dot{x} = \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_v \\ \dot{x}_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (J - R)x_v + Bu(x_t) \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The autonomous system is decomposed into

$$\dot{x} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} (J - R)x_v \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}}_{=: f^{[1]}(x)} + \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} Bu(x_t) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{=: f^{[2]}(x)}.$$

For both subsystems, we get the Lie derivatives:

$$L_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} f_j^{[1]} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} = \sum_{j=1}^n ((J-R)x_v)_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + 1 \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_t}, \quad L_2 = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} f_j^{[2]} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} = \sum_{j=1}^n (Bu(x_t))_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}.$$

**Lemma 11.** *For the commutator  $[L_2, [L_1, L_2]]$ , it holds*

$$[L_2, [L_1, L_2]] = 0$$

for all input functions  $u$ .

From Lemma 11 follows the theorem:

**Theorem 12.** *The method  $\Phi_h^{[G]}$  with*

$$\Phi_h^{[G]} = e^{\frac{1}{6}hL_2} e^{\frac{1}{2}hL_1} e^{\frac{2}{3}hL_2} e^{\frac{1}{2}hL_1} e^{\frac{1}{6}hL_2}$$

has an order of four.

**Remark 13.** *Scheme  $\Phi_h^{[G]}$  is similar to method  $\Phi_h^{[A]}$ , except that the commutator is zero.*

**Remark 14.** *Previously, all fourth-order methods included a commutator. The new method achieves an order of four without using such a commutator. However, the dissipation inequality is weakened because the time is fixed. Consider instead of the exponential function the differential equations:*

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{a} \\ \dot{s}_a \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} Bu(s_a) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \begin{pmatrix} a(0) \\ s_a(0) \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \dot{b} \\ \dot{s}_b \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} (J-R)b \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \begin{pmatrix} b(0) \\ s_b(0) \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} a(\frac{h}{6}) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \dot{c} \\ \dot{s}_c \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} Bu(s_c) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \begin{pmatrix} c(0) \\ s_c(0) \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} b(\frac{h}{2}) \\ \frac{h}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \dot{d} \\ \dot{s}_d \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} (J-R)d \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \begin{pmatrix} d(0) \\ s_d(0) \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} c(\frac{2h}{3}) \\ \frac{h}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \dot{e} \\ \dot{s}_e \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} Bu(s_e) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \begin{pmatrix} e(0) \\ s_e(0) \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} d(\frac{h}{2}) \\ h \end{pmatrix}, \\ y_e &= B^\top e. \end{aligned}$$

The approximation  $e(\frac{h}{6})$  has an order of four. For the dissipation inequality, we get

$$H(e(\frac{h}{6})) - H(x_0) \leq \int_0^{\frac{h}{6}} y_a(\tau)^\top u(0) \, d\tau + \int_0^{\frac{2h}{3}} y_c(\tau)^\top u(\frac{h}{2}) \, d\tau + \int_0^{\frac{h}{6}} y_e(\tau)^\top u(h) \, d\tau.$$

The input function  $u$  is only evaluated at the beginning, middle and end of the time interval and not over the entire time interval. To solve the differential equations for  $(b, s_b)^\top$  and  $(d, s_d)^\top$ , we can use the commutator-based method  $\Phi_h^{[A]}$ .

## 7. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In the following, numerical results are illustrated. The damped harmonic oscillator 10 with  $d = 5, k = 500, m = 50$  and  $x_0 = (0, 1)^\top$  serves as a test example. The ordinary differential equations in the splitting schemes are solved exactly. We consider the time interval  $[0, 50]$ . The triple-jump method is a classical scheme of order four which has negative step sizes. Figure 1 shows that the dissipation inequality is not satisfied for the triple-jump method. To avoid negative step sizes, we use the commutator-based method  $\Phi_h^{[A]}$ . The numerical results are shown in Figure 2. We can see that the method has a nicely order of four and preserves the dissipation inequality. As




FIGURE 1. Difference of the Hamiltonian  $H(x_{n+1}) - H(x_n)$  for the damped harmonic oscillator solved with the triple-jump method ( $p = 4$ ) and the Strang splitting ( $p = 2$ ) with step size  $h = 0.9$ .




FIGURE 2. Convergence order and the difference of the Hamiltonian  $H(x_{n+1}) - H(x_n)$  (step size  $h = 0.1$ ) for the commutator-based method  $\Phi_h^{[A]}$  applied on the damped harmonic oscillator.




FIGURE 3. Convergence order and the difference of the Hamiltonian  $H(x_{n+1}) - H(x_n)$  (step size  $h = 0.1$ ) for the commutator-based method  $\Phi_h^{[E]}$  applied on the damped harmonic oscillator.

we can see in Figure 3, the scheme  $\Phi_h^{[E]}$  has an order of six. In the case of the damped harmonic oscillator, the dissipation inequality is fulfilled.



## 8. CONCLUSION

In this work, splitting methods were developed and investigated for solving port-Hamiltonian systems. The preservation of the dissipation inequality was of high importance. For order  $p = 1$  the Lie-Trotter method and for order  $p = 2$  the Strang splitting are suitable choices. From an order of three, negative step sizes occur. Consequently, the dissipation inequality is generally no longer preserved. This can be avoided by using commutators. Since the commutator  $\mathcal{C}$  is skew symmetric, it is possible to construct fourth-order methods that preserve the dissipation inequality. For the sixth-order case, not all symmetric commutators can be cancelled out. Since in most cases the commutators are indefinite, the structure of pHs is not preserved in general. In exceptional cases however, such as the damped harmonic oscillator, the structure preservation is obtained.

## REFERENCES

1. Ana Arnal, Fernando Casas, and Cristina Chiralt, *A note on the baker–campbell–hausdorff series in terms of right-nested commutators*, Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics **18** (2021), 1–16.
2. Sergio Blanes and Fernando Casas, *On the necessity of negative coefficients for operator splitting schemes of order higher than two*, Applied Numerical Mathematics **54** (2005), no. 1, 23–37.
3. Sergio Blanes, Fernando Casas, and Ander Murua, *Splitting and composition methods in the numerical integration of differential equations*, arXiv preprint arXiv:0812.0377 (2008).
4. Sergio Blanes, Fasma Diele, Carmela Marangi, and Stefania Ragni, *Splitting and composition methods for explicit time dependence in separable dynamical systems*, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics **235** (2010), no. 3, 646–659.
5. Elena Celledoni and Eirik Hoel Høiseith, *Energy-preserving and passivity-consistent numerical discretization of port-Hamiltonian systems*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.08621 (2017).
6. Elena Celledoni, Eirik Hoel Høiseith, and Nataliya Ramzina, *Passivity-preserving splitting methods for rigid body systems*, Multibody System Dynamics **44** (2018), 251–275.
7. Elena Celledoni, Robert I McLachlan, David I McLaren, Brynjulf Owren, G Reinout W Quispel, and William M Wright, *Energy-preserving runge-kutta methods*, ESAIM: Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Analysis **43** (2009), no. 4, 645–649.
8. Siu A Chin, *Symplectic integrators from composite operator factorizations*, Physics Letters A **226** (1997), no. 6, 344–348.
9. ———, *Structure of positive decompositions of exponential operators*, Physical Review E **71** (2005), no. 1, 016703.
10. Siu A Chin and Chia-Rong Chen, *Fourth order gradient symplectic integrator methods for solving the time-dependent schrödinger equation*, Journal of Chemical Physics **114** (2001), no. 17, 7338–7341.
11. Siu A Chin and CR Chen, *Forward symplectic integrators for solving gravitational few-body problems*, Celestial Mechanics and Dynamical Astronomy **91** (2005), 301–322.
12. Andreas Frommer, Michael Günther, Björn Liljegren-Sailer, and Nicole Marheineke, *Operator splitting for port-Hamiltonian systems*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.01766 (2023).
13. Ernst Hairer, Christian Lubich, and Gerhard Wanner, *Structure-preserving algorithms for ordinary differential equations*, Geometric numerical integration **31** (2006).
14. Emil Kieri, *Stiff convergence of force-gradient operator splitting methods*, Applied Numerical Mathematics **94** (2015), 33–45.
15. Robert I McLachlan and G Reinout W Quispel, *Splitting methods*, Acta Numerica **11** (2002), 341–434.
16. IP Omelyan, IM Mryglod, and Reinhard Folk, *Construction of high-order force-gradient algorithms for integration of motion in classical and quantum systems*, Physical Review E **66** (2002), no. 2, 026701.
17. Masuo Suzuki, *General theory of fractal path integrals with applications to many-body theories and statistical physics*, Journal of Mathematical Physics **32** (1991), no. 2, 400–407.
18. Arjan Van Der Schaft, Dimitri Jeltsema, et al., *Port-hamiltonian systems theory: An introductory overview*, Foundations and Trends® in Systems and Control **1** (2014), no. 2-3, 173–378.
19. Haruo Yoshida, *Construction of higher order symplectic integrators*, Physics Letters A **150** (1990), no. 5-7, 262–268.