arXiv:2405.16903v1 [math.OC] 27 May 2024

KACZMARZ PROJECTION ALGORITHMS IN MOVING WINDOW : PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT VIA EXTENDED ORTHOGONALITY & FORGETTING

A PREPRINT

Alexander Stotsky

Department of Electrical Engineering Chalmers University of Technology Gothenburg SE - 412 96, Sweden alexander.stotsky@chalmers.se alexander.stotsky2@telia.com

ABSTRACT

New Kaczmarz algorithms with rank two gain update, extended orthogonality property and forgetting mechanism which includes both exponential and instantaneous forgetting (implemented via a proper choice of the forgetting factor and the window size) are introduced and associated in this report with well-known Kaczmarz algorithms with rank one update.

Keywords Kaczmarz Algorithms in Weighted Moving Window · Exponential & Instantaneous Forgetting · Updating & Downdating, Rank Two Update Versus Rank One Update · Extended Orthogonality Property

1 Previous & Related Work

Kaczmarz projection method, [1], [2] is robust and computationally efficient algorithm (alternative to the least squares approach) for estimation of the frequency contents of the oscillating signals in a number of signal processing and system identification applications. In the Kaczmarz algorithm $\theta_k = \theta_{k-1} - \frac{\varphi_k}{\varphi_k^T \varphi_k} [\varphi_k^T \theta_{k-1} - y_k]$ the output of

the model $\varphi_k^T \theta_k$ matches exactly the measured signal $y_k = \varphi_k^T \theta_k$ in each discrete step k, which can be obtained by multiplying the parameter vector θ_k by the regressor φ_k^T . The algorithm has the remarkable orthogonality property $\varphi_k^T \tilde{\theta}_k = 0$, where the vector of the parameter mismatch $\tilde{\theta}_k = \theta_k - \theta_*$ (difference between adjustable and true parameters θ_*) is orthogonal to the regressor vector. The square of the length of the harmonic regressor (which consists of the trigonometric functions at different frequencies), $\varphi_k^T \varphi_k$ is constant and depends on the number of frequencies only. The Kaczmarz algorithms were successfully tested in different estimation problems in automotive applications, [3].

Aiming for convergence rate improvement of the Kaczmarz projection method the gain update algorithm was introduced in [4]. Further development was associated with introduction of the forgetting factor which has significant impact on the estimation performance, discounts exponentially old measurements in the gain update and creates a virtual moving window, [5].

The choice of the forgetting factor is associated with the trade-off between rapidity and accuracy of estimation. The gain matrix with forgetting factor is associated in this paper with the window which is moving in time for further improvements. Introduction of the forgetting factor in the sliding window, [6],[7] creates extended forgetting mechanism that includes both exponential and instantaneous forgetting and provides new opportunities for achievement of the trade-off between rapidity and accuracy.

The movement of the window is associated with data updating and downdating that results in recursive updates of the information matrix, which can occur sequentially, [3], [8] or simultaneously, [9]. Sequential updating and downdating results in computationally complex algorithms. The gain update in known Kaczmarz algorithms, [4], [5] is associated with recursive rank one update, (similar to recursive least squares algorithms, [10], [11]) whereas simultaneous updating/downdating is associated with computationally efficient rank two update, [12].

New gain update which is explicitly associated with the movement of the sliding window of the size w with exponen-

tial forgetting is introduced in Kaczmarz algorithms. The parameter update law extends the property of orthogonality where the output of the model matches measured data in two end points of the weighted window. The development is performed and systematically associated with well-known Kaczmarz algorithms with rank one update, [5].

Kaczmarz Algorithms in the Moving Window with Exponential Forgetting 2

Suppose that the measured oscillating signal is presented in the following form $y_k = \varphi_k^T \theta_*$, where φ_k is the harmonic regressor, $\varphi_k^T = [cos(q_0k) \ sin(q_0k) \ ... \ cos(q_hk) \ sin(q_hk)]$, $q_0, ...q_h$ are the frequencies and θ_* is the vector of unknown parameters, k = 1, 2, ...

The window of the size w which is moving in time can be presented as rank two update of the information matrix (which is defined as the sum of the outer products of the regressor vectors) where new observation is added (updating) and old observation is deleted (downdating). The estimation performance is highly influenced by the forgetting factor, $0 < \lambda \leq 1$ which discounts exponentially old measurements and creates a virtual window inside of the moving window. In other words, the new data φ_k (with the largest forgetting factor which is equal to one) enter the window and the data with the lowest priority $\tilde{\varphi}_{k-w} = \sqrt{\lambda^w} \varphi_{k-w}$ leave the window in step k as follows, [12]:

$$A_k = \sum_{j=k-(w-1)}^{j=k} \lambda^{k-j} \varphi_j \, \varphi_j^T = \lambda \, A_{k-1} + Q_k \, D \, Q_k^T \tag{1}$$

where $Q_k = [\varphi_k \ \tilde{\varphi}_{k-w}], D = diag[1, -1], k \ge w + 1$. The gain matrix (as inverse of A_k) which is derived by application of the matrix inversion lemma, [15] to the identity (1) and the parameter update law can be written in the following form, [12], [20]:

$$\Gamma_{k} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[\Gamma_{k-1} - \Gamma_{k-1} Q_{k} S^{-1} Q_{k}^{T} \Gamma_{k-1} \right]$$
(2)

$$\theta_k = \theta_{k-1} - \Gamma_{k-1} Q_k [Q_k^T \Gamma_{k-1} Q_k]^{-1} [Q_k^T \theta_{k-1} - \tilde{y}_k]$$
(3)

where $S = \lambda D + Q_k^T \Gamma_{k-1} Q_k$, $\tilde{y}_k^T = [y_k \sqrt{\lambda^w} y_{k-w}]$ is the synthetic output, provided that the matrix $Q_k^T \Gamma_{k-1} Q_k$ is invertible. The vector of adjustable parameters θ_k in (3) is constructed so that the output of the model matches exactly the measured signal $Q_k^T \theta_k = \tilde{y}_k$ (see Section 1) and the following extended orthogonality property $Q_k^T \tilde{\theta}_k = 0$ is valid for the parameter mismatch $\tilde{\theta}_k = \theta_k - \theta_*$.

Kaczmarz Algorithms with Rank One Update 3

Introduction of the forgetting factor allows to establish relationship between Kaczmarz algorithms with rank two and rank one updates. Notice that Q_k gets the following form $Q_k = [\varphi_k \ 0]$ without downdating when $\lambda^w \to 0$. Straightforward substitution of Q_k defined above in (2) yields to following well-known Kaczmarz algorithms, [4],[5]

$$\Gamma_{k} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[\Gamma_{k-1} - \frac{\Gamma_{k-1} \varphi_{k} \varphi_{k}^{T} \Gamma_{k-1}}{\lambda + \varphi_{k}^{T} \Gamma_{k-1} \varphi_{k}} \right]$$
(4)

$$\theta_k = \theta_{k-1} - \frac{\Gamma_{k-1} \varphi_k}{\varphi_k^T \Gamma_{k-1} \varphi_k} \left[\varphi_k^T \theta_{k-1} - y_k \right]$$
(5)

with the orthogonality property $\varphi_k^T(\theta_k - \theta_*) = 0.$

Detailed description and comparisons of the Kaczmarz algorithms with rank two and rank one updates together with their convergence properties are presented in [20]:

Stotsky A., Kaczmarz Projection Algorithms with Rank Two Gain Update, J Sign Process Syst, 2024. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11265-024-01915-w

where the performance of new algorithms is also examined in the problem of estimation of the grid events in the presence of significant harmonic emissions.

4 Conclusion

The gain matrix with rank two update which allows exponential weighting of the data inside of the moving window, prioritizes recent measurements and improves estimation performance for fast varying changes of the signal formed

the basis for new Kaczmarz algorithms. New Kaczmarz adjustment law has extended orthogonality property in the sliding window. The enhancement of the performance was achieved via a proper choice of two adjustable parameters (small window size and forgetting factor) associated with fast forgetting.

The results are valid under the assumption associated with invertibility of the matrix $Q_k^T \Gamma_{k-1} Q_k$ which can be seen as drawback of proposed algorithm. For the sake of robustness the Kaczmarz algorithms with rank two update can be modified. The modification can be associated with modification of well-known Kaczmarz algorithm, [1].

References

- [1] Åstrom, K. J. and Wittenmark, B. Adaptive Control, 1989, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts.
- [2] Cegielski, A. Bibliography on the Kaczmarz method. Institute of Mathematics, University of Zielona Gora, Zielona Gora, Poland, January 8, 2024. https://staff.uz.zgora.pl/acegiels/Publications-Kaczmarz-method.pdf
- [3] Stotsky A., Automotive Engines: Control, Estimation, Statistical Detection, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 2009.
- [4] Avedyan, E. D. Modified Kaczmarz Algorithms for Estimating the Parameters of Linear Plants, Autom. Remote Control, 1978, vol. 39, N5, pp. 674–680.
- [5] Stotsky A. A New Frequency Domain System Identification Method, Proc. IMechE Part I: Journal of Systems and Control Engineering, vol. 226, N 1,2012, pp. 111-124.
- [6] Liu H. and He Z., A Sliding-Exponential Window RLS Adaptive Filtering Algorithm: Properties and Applications, Signal Processing, vol. 45, 1995, pp. 357-368.
- [7] Djigan V., Multichannel Parallelizable Sliding Window RLS and fast RLS Algorithms with Linear Constraints, Signal Processing, vol. 86, 2006, pp. 776-791.
- [8] Zhang Q., Some Implementation Aspects of Sliding Window Least Squares Algorithms, The 12-th IFAC Symposium on System Identification (Sysid 2000), Santa Barbara, California, USA, 21-23 June 2000, pp. 763- 768.
- [9] Choi J. and Boyanczyk A., Recursive Least Squares Problems on Distributed Memory Mupliprocessors, Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing, vol. 24,1995, pp. 11-26.
- [10] Fomin V., Fradkov A. and Yakubovich V., Adaptive Control of Dynamic Plants, Nauka, Moscow, 1981, in Russian.
- [11] Ljung, L. & Söderström T., Theory and Practice of Recursive Identification. The MIT press series in signal processing, optimization, and control; vol. 4, MIT Press, 1983.
- [12] Stotsky A., Recursive Estimation in the Moving Window: Efficient Detection of the Distortions in the Grids with Desired Accuracy, Journal of Advances in Applied and Computational Mathematics, vol. 9, 2023, pp. 181-191.
- [13] Stotsky A., Recursive Versus Nonrecursive Richardson Algorithms: Systematic Overview, Unified Frameworks and Application to Electric Grid Power Quality Monitoring, Automatika, vol. 63, N 2,2022, pp. 328-337.
- [14] Stotsky A., Simultaneous Frequency and Amplitude Estimation for Grid Quality Monitoring : New Partitioning with Memory Based Newton-Schulz Corrections 2022 ; IFAC PapersOnLine 55(9): 42-47.
- [15] Hager W., Updating the Inverse of a Matrix, SIAM Review, vol. 31, N 2, 1989, pp. 221-239.
- [16] Rader C. and Steinhard A., Hyperbolic Householder Transformations, IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, vol. ASSP-34, N 6, December 1986, pp. 1589-1602.
- [17] Ljung L. and Gunnarsson S., Adaptive Tracking in System Identification A survey, Automatica, vol. 26, N 1, 1990, pp. 7-22.
- [18] Guo L., Stability of Recursive Stochastic Tracking Algorithms, SIAM J. Contr. Optimization, vol. 32, pp. 1195-1225, 1994.
- [19] Stotsky A., Recursive Trigonometric Interpolation Algorithms, Journal of Systems and Control Engineering, vol. 224, N 1, 2010, pp. 65-77.
- [20] Stotsky A., Kaczmarz Projection Algorithms with Rank Two Gain Update, J Sign Process Syst, 2024. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11265-024-01915-w