

# A Large Language Model-based multi-agent manufacturing system for intelligent shopfloor

Zhen Zhao<sup>a</sup>, Dunbing Tang<sup>a,\*</sup>, Haihua Zhu<sup>a</sup>, Zequn Zhang<sup>a</sup>, Kai Chen<sup>a</sup>, Changchun Liu<sup>a</sup>, Yuchen Ji<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>College of Mechanical and Electrical Engineering, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing 210016, People's Republic of China

**Abstract:** As productivity advances, the demand of customers for multi-variety and small-batch production is increasing, thereby putting forward higher requirements for manufacturing systems. When production tasks frequent changes due to this demand, traditional manufacturing systems often cannot response promptly. The multi-agent manufacturing system is proposed to address this problem. However, because of technical limitations, the negotiation among agents in this kind of system is realized through predefined heuristic rules, which is not intelligent enough to deal with the multi-variety and small batch production. To this end, a Large Language Model-based (LLM-based) multi-agent manufacturing system for intelligent shopfloor is proposed in the present study. This system delineates the diverse agents and defines their collaborative methods. The roles of the agents encompass Machine Server Agent (MSA), Bid Inviter Agent (BIA), Bidder Agent (BA), Thinking Agent (TA), and Decision Agent (DA). Due to the support of LLMs, TA and DA acquire the ability of analyzing the shopfloor condition and choosing the most suitable machine, as opposed to executing a predefined program artificially. The negotiation between BAs and BIA is the most crucial step in connecting manufacturing resources. With the support of TA and DA, BIA will finalize the distribution of orders, relying on the information of each machine returned by BA. MSAs bears the responsibility for connecting the agents with the physical shopfloor. This system aims to distribute and transmit workpieces through the collaboration of the agents with these distinct roles, distinguishing it from other scheduling approaches. Comparative experiments were also conducted to validate the performance of this system.

**Keywords:** Large Language Model (LLM), multi-agent, manufacturing system, intelligent shopfloor.

## 1 Introduction

Mass personalization is on the horizon. As productivity advances, unique product requirements from customers are becoming progressively more frequent. This demand for multi-variety and small-batch production necessitates frequent changes among manufacturing resources. Consequently, it places a heightened emphasis on the capacity of the manufacturing system to organize and manage these resources effectively.

Manufacturing systems serve the purpose of organizing manufacturing resources within shopfloors or larger areas for efficient production. Traditional production approaches necessitate production schedulers to orchestrate workpieces, drawing on their experience and real-time on-site conditions. Manual scheduling often calls for collaboration across multiple machines and departments, with schedules set over a long time and typically resistant to change. This rigidity struggles to accommodate the fluctuating demands of production. Conventional manufacturing is apt for large-scale manufacturing, focusing on uniform and standardized workpieces. However, the production of personalized custom products poses a requirement for multi-variety and small-batch production. For such production demands, to build a production line specially is both uneconomical and inefficient. In this respect, flexible

manufacturing emerges as a more suited alternative. It is capable of organizing product processing according to some scheduling methods, thus facilitating the production of complex and variable products.

Currently, the scheduling methods in flexible manufacturing are primarily conducted through heuristic rules, metaheuristic algorithms, and Deep Reinforcement Learning (DRL) algorithms. Heuristic rules, engineered by human intellect, provide a swift reaction but with less performance compared to subsequent methods. Metaheuristic algorithms employ calculations in generating scheduling solutions, taking into account present production orders and manufacturing resources. However, this kind of method is time-consuming in iterative calculation, and the resulting adjustments when orders or resources change are typically subpar. DRL algorithms provide a more efficient way, capable of swiftly seeking scheduling solutions and dealing with dynamic disturbances. Although the performance of metaheuristics and DRL is excellent in small-scale manufacturing resource scheduling, as manufacturing resources increase, the poor performance is shown as the complexity of these algorithms amplifies rapidly. Therefore, the challenges are the complexity reduction and minimizing solution time when seeking a scheduling solution, while avoiding loss of precision.

Distinct from the aforementioned approach, the multi-agent manufacturing system is a novel architecture of organizing flexible manufacturing resources. It treats manufacturing resources as different agents and organizes them through interactions. The processing machine of workpiece will be only defined after the previous processing step is completed, which differ from metaheuristic algorithms. Therefore, the machine to be processed for each workpiece is not defined in advance, which provides the flexibility to make decisions based on immediate condition of shopfloor. Neither conventional nor the proposed multi-agent manufacturing system need to establish a mathematical model to train the decision-making model in advance, which is different from DRL algorithms. Under the architecture of multi-agent manufacturing system, the question of optimization shifts towards discovering an intelligent negotiation mechanism. Nevertheless, conventional negotiation mechanism in this system primarily relies on heuristic rules, suggesting that it is not sufficiently intelligent to choose the optimal or suitable machine based on various information of orders and machines.

Large Language Model (LLM) offers a promising way to enhance the capabilities of agents in the multi-agent manufacturing system. LLMs, exemplified by ChatGPT, have sparked a fresh wave of revolution in Artificial Intelligence (AI). Throughout its training process, LLMs acquire a substantial volume of text information, furnishing it with robust and human-like language-generating ability. It can be projected that replacing heuristic rules with LLMs to enhance the intelligence of the negotiation process is a viable solution. For the purpose of reducing the difficulty while improving the accuracy of manufacturing resource scheduling, an LLM-based multi-agent manufacturing system is proposed in the present study. The proposed system avoids the iterative calculation in metaheuristic algorithms and the pre-training in DRL algorithms. Conversely, this system can initiate and alter objectives through designing prompts, similar to engaging in conversation with a human. The main contributions of the present study can be summarized as follows:

- (1) The system assigns diverse agents to each manufacturing resource and defines their LLM-based collaborative methods. With the support of TA and DA, LLM-based negotiation avoids the drawback that a single heuristic rule cannot choose suitable machine promptly according to the current shopfloor

situation. Agents can negotiate the overall processing task based on the production task using natural language, which is different from other scheduling methods. Shopfloor leaders can integrate and utilize LLMs through a straightforward dialogue, thereby customizing the system to align with their individual objectives.

(2) In this system, not only data collection and training processes in conventional AI methods are avoided, but also the complexity of scheduling is notably reduced. Because of this, this system can be swiftly adapted to the target manufacturing scenario at a low-cost defining BAs and BIAs, with satisfactory outcomes attainable.

(3) Far from being confined to theoretical exploration, this system utilizes the bridge provided by MSA to interface with manufacturing resources. With the support of MSA, this system can directly regulate the orders of manufacturing resources, even autonomously executing the complete processing cycle of a product by negotiation between agents without human interference.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides a description of the related works. In Section 3, the LLM-based multi-agent manufacturing framework is discussed in detail. Section 4 provides a detailed description of the agents proposed in Section 3. Experiments were designed to compare the performance of the proposed system with other heuristic rules, which are discussed in Section 5. Finally, a summary is provided in Section 6 by outlining the main contents of the present study.

## **2 Related work**

The multi-modal capabilities of LLMs will introduce new intelligence into the manufacturing system. As Industrial Internet of Things (IIOT) has become prevalent in the manufacturing system, intelligence has emerged as a new requirement for the system. In order to enhance the intelligence of the manufacturing system, present scheduling approaches are primarily based on metaheuristic and DRL algorithms. This section outlines the existing efforts regarding scheduling methods of the manufacturing system and applications of LLMs.

### **2.1 Scheduling methods of the manufacturing system**

For an extended period, researchers have concentrated on the scheduling problem in the manufacturing system. Graey et al. [1] proved shortest-length schedule and minimum mean-flow-time schedule in flow-shop is NP-complete. This work guides researchers to find acceptable solutions instead of optimal solutions in the flow-shop. Analogous to the traveling salesman problem, researchers have shifted their focus from procuring mathematical analytical solutions to finding acceptable ones. The most recent scheduling techniques in manufacturing systems are chiefly categorized into metaheuristic algorithms, DRL algorithms, and other methodologies.

The scheduling methods based on the meta-heuristic algorithm demonstrate high precision but require additional time to compute the solution. Consequently, this kind of method is effectively suitable for static scheduling problems within manufacturing systems. Liu et al. [2] formulated a mathematical model that aims to optimize the minimum production cycle for the dual-resource batch scheduling in a flexible job shop. To address this issue, they developed an enhanced nested optimization algorithm, whose efficacy has been substantiated through the examination of real-world scenarios. For the purpose of addressing the scheduling challenge within a flexible job shop that utilizes segmented automatic guided vehicles, Liu et al. [3] developed a dual-resource optimization model for machine tools and

automatic guided vehicles, with the objective of minimizing the makespan. This study introduced an enhanced genetic algorithm tailored to resolve the aforementioned problem. Concurrently, Li et al. [4] introduced an innovative, adaptive memetic algorithm that draws upon popularity-based principles. This algorithm was designed to rectify certain shortcomings and is applied to the energy-efficient distributed flexible job shop scheduling problem, with the dual objectives of minimizing both the makespan and energy consumption.

The scheduling methods based on DRL necessitate pre-training stages, making it fitting for addressing the dynamic scheduling problems in manufacturing systems. Liu et al. [5] proposed a predictive maintenance approach for machine tools for DRL approaches to excavate features in shopfloor. Gui et al. [6] proposed a DRL approach to minimize the mean tardiness, which selected the most appropriate weights for dispatching rules. An AI-based scheduling system that employs composite reward functions was introduced by Zhou et al. [7]. This system was designed for data-driven dynamic scheduling of manufacturing jobs within the context of a smart factory, where uncertainty is a factor. This scheduler demonstrated the ability to enhance multi-objective performance metrics associated with production scheduling challenges. Du et al. [8] proposed a DQN model to solve a multi-objective flexible job shop problem with crane transportation and setup times. Considering the complexity of this problem, this study also designed an identification rule to organize the crane transportation in solution decoding. Liu et al. [9] proposed a hierarchical and distributed architecture to solve the dynamic flexible job shop scheduling problem to facilitate real-time control. Luo [10] proposed a deep Q-network to cope with continuous production states and learn the most suitable action at each rescheduling point. Wang et al. [11] proposed a scheduling algorithm that is tailored to address job scheduling problems within a resource preemption context, leveraging multi-agent reinforcement learning. Chen et al. [12] introduced a self-learning genetic algorithm framework, which utilizes the genetic algorithm as its foundational optimization technique, with its pivotal parameters being intelligently tuned through DRL. This work merges these two algorithms utilizing DRL in conjunction with the meta-heuristic method to address dynamic disturbance issues.

Several other alternatives also exist, the multi-agent manufacturing system is gradually becoming a notable one. Qin et al. [13] conducted a comprehensive review of the literature on self-organizing manufacturing systems and introduced a comprehensive concept of self-organizing manufacturing networks. This concept is positioned as the next evolutionary step in manufacturing automation technologies, specifically aimed at facilitating mass personalization. Building upon this, Qin et al. [14] developed a reinforcement learning-based approach that combines static training with dynamic execution. This approach is designed to address dynamic job shop scheduling issues within the framework of a self-multi-agent manufacturing network. Additionally, Kim et al. [15] introduced a smart manufacturing system that employs a multi-agent system and reinforcement learning. This system is distinguished by its use of intelligent agents embedded within machines, which enable the system with autonomous decision-making capabilities, the ability to interact with other systems, and the intelligence to adapt to dynamically changing environments. Wang et al. [16] proposed a smart factory framework that integrates industrial networks, cloud technology, and supervisory control terminals with smart shop-floor objects. This framework leverages the feedback and coordination by the central coordinator in order to achieve

high efficiency.

The multi-agent manufacturing system is characterized by its swift processing speed and obviates the need for pre-training, thereby serving as an effective procedure for migrating and augmenting the manufacturing system. However, the negotiations among agents within this system cannot change their policy of machine selection based on the real-time conditions of the shopfloor, which still requires completion.

However, the negotiations among agents within this system cannot alter their policy of machine selection based on the real-time conditions of the shop floor, a task that still requires completion.

## 2.2 Applications of LLMs

Transformer [17] has emerged as a novel, universal technique in Natural Language Processing (NLP), particularly within the context of LLM. As advancements in computing power and data accumulation have continued, there has been a commensurate escalation in the capabilities of LLMs, such as the GPT series [18–21]. This progression is renowned for GPT-3.5 version, popularly known as ChatGPT [22], which introduced groundbreaking multimodal functionality and convincingly realistic conversational abilities. To enable generative agents, Park et al. [23] proposed an architecture to extend LLM, which allows users to interact with a small town of twenty-five agents using natural language.

LLMs have demonstrated their capabilities in various fields. In biology, researchers have achieved immense progress building upon the use of LLMs. Boiko et al. [24] introduced Coscientist, an AI system powered by GPT-4. This system is capable of autonomously designing, planning, and executing intricate experiments. It achieves this through the integration of LLMs that are augmented with various tools, including internet and documentation search capabilities, code execution, and experimental automation functionalities. Lin et al. [25] demonstrated direct inference of full atomic-level protein structure from primary sequence using an LLM. Furthermore, Luo et al. [26] proposed a domain-specific model that has been pre-trained on a vast corpus of biomedical literature. Their case study highlights the benefits of using a specialized LLM for generating coherent and informative descriptions of biomedical terms within the context of biomedical literature.

In the field of chemistry, researchers focused on enhancing the understanding of LLMs and swift application to chemical tasks. Jablonka et al. [27] fine-tuned GPT-3 to answer chemical questions in natural language with the correct answer. Kim et al. [28] proposed a neural network that meets some desired multiple target conditions based on a deep understanding of chemical language. This network could have a deeper understanding of molecular structures beyond the limitations of chemical language itself. Irwin et al. [29] presented a Transformer-based model that can be quickly applied to both sequence-to-sequence and discriminative cheminformatics tasks.

Researchers have also dedicated extensive efforts towards enhancing the coding ability based on LLMs. Xu et al. [30] proposed PolyCoder, with 2.7B parameters based on the GPT-2 architecture. In the C programming language, PolyCoder outperforms all models. Nijkamp et al. [31] introduced CODEGEN which is up to 16.1B parameters and investigated the multi-step paradigm for program synthesis.

The domain of robotics and manufacturing is also a crucial area for the deployment of LLMs. Ichter et al. [32] showed how low-level skills can be combined with LLMs so that the language model provides high-level knowledge about the procedures for performing complex and temporally extended instructions.

Huang et al. [33] used the composed value maps in a model-based planning framework to zero-shot synthesize closed-loop robot trajectories with robustness to dynamic perturbations. Belkhale et al. [34] proposed RT-H which builds an action hierarchy using language motions. This method first learned to predict language motions and conditioned on this along with the high-level task, and then predicts actions, using visual context at all stages. Fan et al. [35] proposed a comprehensive framework to delve into the potential of LLM agents for industrial robotics, which included autonomous design, decision-making, and task execution within manufacturing contexts. Xia et al. [36] developed an error-assisted fine-tuning approach aimed at calibrating LLMs specifically for manufacturing. This approach sought to dismantle the intricate domain knowledge and distinct software paradigms inherent to the manufacturing system.

LLM excels remarkably across numerous fields. From the most basic task of responding to the inquiries of users as an assistant to its deep integration into diverse scenarios, LLM has changed the original workflow across numerous fields. However, due to the complexity of the industry, particularly in manufacturing systems, the usage of LLM is still limited. Hence, the system proposed in this article can also serve as an example.

### **2.3 Research gaps**

From the aforementioned work, significant progress has been made in the research fields of scheduling methods of the manufacturing system and applications of LLMs. However, there are still the following deficiencies worthy of further improvement:

- (1) Nowadays, the applications of LLMs have emerged in various fields. However, the application of LLMs in manufacturing, particularly in manufacturing systems, is virtually non-existent. The present study offers a novel approach for integrating LLMs into multi-agent manufacturing systems.
- (2) The researchers in flexible manufacturing resource scheduling are primarily based on metaheuristic algorithms and DRL algorithms. This study presents a multi-agent manufacturing system based on LLMs, offering a new approach to resolving this issue.
- (3) For the purpose of maintaining high scalability and real-time response, conventional multi-agent manufacturing system typically employ single heuristic dispatching rules. The proposed LLM-based multi-agent manufacturing system can circumvent this constraint and flexibly select manufacturing resources. Thereby it expands the solution space for problem resolution while preserving high scalability and real-time response.

## **3 LLM-based multi-agent manufacturing framework for intelligent shopfloor**

As illustrated in Figure 1, the present study proposes an LLM-based multi-agent manufacturing system, including LLM Engine layer, Negotiation layer, and Physical resources layer. Compared with conventional multi-agent manufacturing systems, the capabilities of accessing LLMs are indeed integrated.

### **(1) LLM Engine layer:**

LLM engines are provided in this layer. The inference and training of LLMs requires large-scale Graphics Processing Units (GPUs), while it is impossible to employ them in a shopfloor or even a factory. Therefore, the communication between the manufacturing system and LLMs, deployed within a computer center enhanced by multiple GPUs, is established through an Application Programming

Interface (API), thereby enabling the agents of manufacturing resources in the shopfloor to operate more effectively. However, for application scenarios that are extremely sensitive to data security or other reasons, open-source LLMs represented by Large Language Model Meta AI (LLaMA) can also become an alternative plan. However, it is no doubt that this will definitely affect the performance of agents.




Figure 1 LLM-based multi-agent manufacturing framework

**(2) Negotiation layer:**

This layer serves as the crucial middleware for manufacturing resources to interact with LLMs within the multi-agent manufacturing system. Although the shopfloor comprises a variety kind of manufacturing resources, these can be effectively abstracted and interpreted as machines. For instance, the raw material warehouse, traditionally used to dispatch orders, can be conceptualized as a machine with the processing time is zero. In fact, this issue becomes a Flexible Job-shop Scheduling Problem (FJSP) in this way.

Each machine is equipped with a workpiece buffer designed to hold workpieces awaiting processing.

Upon completion of a workpiece, this system confronts the challenge of determining the subsequent machine for the finished workpiece within the workflow. This issue is also the focal point of the current study. Through this process, workpieces can reach completion through negotiation among various agents, ultimately finishing their technological process.




Figure 2 Negotiation process of manufacturing agents

For the purpose of realizing the communication among machines and completing the process of workpieces in shopfloor, agents are equipped for each manufacturing resource in the present study, including Machine Server Agent (MSA), Bid Inviter Agent (BIA), Bidder Agent (BA), Thinking Agent (TA), Decision Agent (DA). MSA is directly linked with manufacturing resources, serving to actualize their intelligence. BIA and BA are used to negotiate and communicate among manufacturing resources, thereby completing the process of workpieces among machines. DA and BA link LLMs with the purpose of selecting the appropriate machine to process the workpiece according to the information of order and shopfloor.

The negotiation process among agents for decision is shown in Figure 2. By receiving and analyzing manufacturing resource information in the shopfloor, agents make decisions based on the current situation and optimization objectives. Within the negotiation process, BIA serves as the center of actualizing the delivery of the workpiece to be processed.

- 1) Event trigger. Each machine (manufacturing resource) is equipped with a MSA, which is responsible for monitoring its machine. When the decision time arises in the machine of processing, MSA would initiate the subsequent procedure and activate BIA.
- 2) Prepare to invite bidders. Upon receiving the trigger from the MSA, BIA initiates preparing the information for potential bidders. The responsibility of BIA includes summarizing the details of workpieces that are required by the next available machine.
- 3) Invite bidders. BIA, in its role, will invite available BAs and transmit the information of the workpieces to be processed.
- 4) Prepare to bid. Once the invitations from the BIA are received, BA undertakes the task of preparing the bidding document. This document encompasses information relating to its machine and an analysis of the workpiece to be processed.



- 5) Delivery of bidding documents. All BAs of available machines would deliver the bidding documents to the initial BIA.
- 6) Generate question document. When receiving the documents from the BAs, BIA consolidates all the information in the shopfloor and optimization objective into a question document. The primary purpose of this question document is to delineate the decision-making issue.
- 7) Delivery of question documents. BIA sends the generated question documents to TA, which is interconnected with LLMs and the system prompts have been established.
- 8) Generate suggestions. TA devises comprehensive solutions to the question document by utilizing the multi-modal capabilities of LLMs.
- 9) Delivery of suggestions. TA sends the generated suggestion to DA, which is also interconnected with LLMs and the system prompts have been established.
- 10) Generate decision. DA makes the final decision founded on the suggestion from TA.
- 11) Decision. DA sent the final decision to the BIA.
- 12) Decision trigger. After BIA receives the final decision, it triggers the MSA and actually realizes the delivery of the workpiece to be processed.

**(3) Physical layer:**

This layer encompasses all the physical entities located in the shopfloor. Within this layer, each manufacturing resource is connected to the manufacturing system. And via IIOT, a linkage is established between this layer and the negotiation layer. The event trigger of MSA would activate the negotiation layer if any manufacturing resource requires a decision. In the same vein, the negotiation layer returns the decision to the relevant manufacturing resource by decision trigger. Both of these triggers are employed in MSA. Fundamentally, MSA imparts intellectual capacity to the physical manufacturing shopfloor.

**4 Negotiation Agents in Manufacturing System**

Numerous agents and their negotiation process are delineated in Section 3. This section aims to delve into the specifics of how these agents achieve such abilities. As illustrated in Figure 3, a comprehensive example of agent negotiation within a manufacturing system is provided.

**(1) Machine Server Agent**

Machine Server Agent is a bridge between physical manufacturing resources and other agents. Consequently, the manufacturing system is linked to intelligence. The majority manufacturers of manufacturing resources supply corresponding application programming interfaces, which solely offer users the proficiency to automate the operation of machines via programming. MSAs, proposed in this study, serve as the capability providers that render manufacturing resources intelligent. The example code of MSA in Figure 3 is programmed in C# to control the milling machine. And in the present study, each MSA corresponds to a manufacturing resource. Through cooperation among the MSAs, the arrival of the decision time can be detected. If it ensues, the negotiation process outlined in Figure 2 is initiated to facilitate the production of a workpiece.

Once the production task of a workpiece is assigned, the MSA is also responsible for transforming the production data into the execution documents necessary for the manufacturing resources. For instance,

in the case of numerical control machine tools, the execution documents would be the numerical control code relevant to the corresponding process.

## (2) Bid Inviter Agent

Each BIA is directly involved in the bidding process, which is equipped with MSA. Assisted by other agents, BIA designates the next processing machine for the current workpiece.




Figure 3 An example of agent negotiation within the LLM-based multi-agent manufacturing system

Initially, upon receiving the event trigger from MSA, BIA filters out those BAs whose manufacturing resources are capable of completing the next process of the workpiece to be processed. Subsequently, BIA dispatches process invitations to BAs and waits for the response of BAs.

Subsequently, after receiving the replies from BAs, BIA will integrate the information of the workpiece with the bidding document of BA. As shown in Figure 3, based on the integrated information, a question document is generated and transmitted to TA. This document is written in natural language, thereby guaranteeing its readability and maintainability. When required, the functions of BIA can be temporarily supplemented by human intervention or manual modifications to ensure that the question document adapts to the current complex production scenarios.

Ultimately, in the end of the negotiation process, BIA dispatches the decision to its MSA when receiving the decision from DA, and subsequently propelling the workpiece to continue processing.

### **(3) Bidder Agent**

Bidder Agent is responsible for generating bidding documents, indicating a required collaboration with MSA. When receiving an invitation from the BIA, the process owned by BA is initiated. After verifying the accuracy of the invitation, BA would acquire the status of its associated manufacturing resource from the MSA, such as whether it is in the midst of processing or in an idle state. Subsequently, BA summarizes the information obtained from the MSA and generates a bidding document. This document is then returned to BIA for subsequent negotiations. A comprehensive illustration of a bidding document is depicted in Figure 3.

### **(4) Thinking Agent**

Thinking Agent serves to impart intelligence to other agents, thereby rendering it a pivotal component of the current study. The role of the TA involves making decisions based on the question document received from BIA and selecting the most suitable BA. The intelligence inherent in TA is derived from LLMs, which are invoked through prompts. As illustrated in Figure 3, prompts in the red box are employed to predefine the behavior of LLMs. For instance, by predetermining "You are a useful helper. Analyze whether my input is positive or negative." such prompts can create an agent for semantic sentiment analysis.

To fully leverage the capabilities of LLMs, the present study employs the format of Markdown to delineate the behavior of LLMs from multiple perspectives, encompassing the character of the agent, objectives, knowledge, answers, and constraints, as illustrated in Figure 4. The character of the agent is utilized to establish the role. It represents a macro-level definition of the behavior of the agent. The objective is the direction that the agent selects. It is elaborated in detail via natural language to facilitate comprehension for LLMs. Knowledge refers to the pre-existing information artificially provided to the agent, such as the length of the waiting buffer. In addition, as shown in Figure 4, the knowledges which can help agents make decision are also included, such as some heuristic rules. Answers serve to delineate information associated with the response of the agent. For instance, to address costs, decision-making results can be directly outputted. However, to fully utilize its cognitive capacity, the present study employs the widely used Chain of Thought, which involves guiding the agent to deliberate on decision-making processes incrementally. Constraints are employed to limit irregular behaviors. In the present

study, the agent might output and select machines incapable of processing the current workpiece without constraints, which is unacceptable. With the implementation of these constraints, such scenarios can be more effectively prevented.

By defining the user prompts and inputting question document into TA, the analysis result of TA can be acquired. This outcome is then forwarded to the Decision Agent for the generation of final decisions.




Figure 4 Details of Thinking Agent and Decision Agent

## (5) Decision Agent

The final decision of this negotiation process is completed by Decision Agent. TA conducts a thorough analysis of the question document from BIA. However, comprehending this analysis for MSA or even BIA proves challenging, given their lack of LLMs. Therefore, it is necessary to send the decision results directly to the BIA.

Decision Agent is required to extract the final decision outcome from the analysis document of TA. As depicted in Figure 4, following the defining the behavior of DA, a dependable decision can be generated by inputting the analysis document of TA. This decision will be conveyed by the DA to the BIA, and subsequently by the BIA to the relevant MSA. The MSA will finally utilize the corresponding manufacturing resource to execute the decision result.

## 5 Experiments

For the purpose of verifying the performance of the proposed LLM-based multi-agent

manufacturing system, several experiments are conducted in test instances for flexible job shop scheduling problem [37]. Subsequently, this system is implemented in a shopfloor equipped with MSAs. The entire design was coded in a computer equipped with 32GB RAM and an Intel Core i5-13600KF running at 4.8 GHz, with NVIDIA RTX 3080. The present study employs Qwen, provided by Alibaba, as the LLM engine for experimentation.

### 5.1 Validation experiments

The present study initially validates this system using selected test instances. In these instances, the number of machines varies from 5 to 15, and the number of orders varies from 10 to 30. Through these instances, the applicability of the proposed system can be confirmed.

For comparative purposes, this study introduces other methods as benchmarks for experimentation, specifically Shortest Machine Processing Time (SMPT), Work in Queue (WINQ), and Random. SMPT signifies the selection of the machine with the shortest operation processing time. WINQ represents the choice of the machine with the least workload. Random implies the arbitrary selection of a machine.

Due to the lack of consideration regarding the selection of the processing workpieces from the waiting buffer, this research also introduces heuristic rules, such as First In First Out (FIFO), First In Last Out (FILO), and Shortest Processing Time (SPT). FIFO signifies the selection of the workpiece which enters the waiting buffer first. Conversely, FILO signifies the selection of the latest workpiece entering the waiting buffer SPT signifies the selection of the workpiece with the shortest processing time.

The comparison results of the system proposed in the present study and the aforementioned methods are shown in Table 1, Table 2 and Table 3. The bold text in the tables signifies the optimal results on the current test instance.

Table 1 Makespan on test instance with FIFO

Instance	Machine number	Job Number	Random	SMPT	WINQ	LLM
mk01	6	10	103	72	57	<b>48</b>
mk02	6	10	55	45	60	<b>40</b>
mk03	8	15	282	338	273	<b>207</b>
mk04	8	15	112	188	83	<b>76</b>
mk05	4	15	265	241	220	<b>191</b>
mk06	10	10	155	108	162	<b>94</b>
mk07	5	20	289	217	240	<b>199</b>
mk08	10	20	654	587	<b>525</b>	531
mk09	10	20	562	466	407	<b>346</b>
mk10	15	20	414	353	385	<b>285</b>
mk11	5	30	740	905	<b>704</b>	716
mk12	10	30	699	784	644	<b>552</b>
mk13	10	30	920	646	635	<b>464</b>
mk14	15	30	1144	1146	806	<b>778</b>
mk15	15	30	612	663	567	<b>461</b>

Table 2 Makespan on test instance with FILO


Instance	Machine number	Job Number	Random	SMPT	WINQ	LLM
mk01	6	10	74	75	62	<b>49</b>
mk02	6	10	80	53	51	<b>39</b>
mk03	8	15	361	355	<b>280</b>	292
mk04	8	15	148	196	<b>111</b>	116
mk05	4	15	255	258	236	<b>196</b>
mk06	10	10	160	120	159	<b>94</b>
mk07	5	20	368	<b>230</b>	279	259
mk08	10	20	734	676	632	<b>601</b>
mk09	10	20	585	524	426	<b>384</b>
mk10	15	20	546	402	373	<b>304</b>
mk11	5	30	894	963	843	<b>749</b>
mk12	10	30	815	885	710	<b>644</b>
mk13	10	30	1038	773	678	<b>550</b>
mk14	15	30	1391	1246	966	<b>892</b>
mk15	15	30	707	776	678	<b>458</b>

Table 3 Makespan on test instance with SPT


Instance	Machine number	Job Number	Random	SMPT	WINQ	LLM
mk01	6	10	98	70	51	<b>50</b>
mk02	6	10	52	45	55	<b>40</b>
mk03	8	15	339	333	293	<b>216</b>
mk04	8	15	89	190	<b>83</b>	85
mk05	4	15	234	239	241	<b>218</b>
mk06	10	10	145	116	152	<b>101</b>
mk07	5	20	267	217	291	<b>185</b>
mk08	10	20	640	604	571	<b>523</b>
mk09	10	20	548	472	456	<b>359</b>
mk10	15	20	405	368	436	<b>266</b>
mk11	5	30	861	929	752	<b>740</b>
mk12	10	30	747	743	659	<b>577</b>
mk13	10	30	882	654	723	<b>514</b>
mk14	15	30	1205	1127	951	<b>789</b>
mk15	15	30	685	682	526	<b>484</b>

The experimental findings demonstrate that the approach proposed in the present study consistently outperforms other approaches in the majority of cases. Although there are instances where the results are not as optimal as heuristic rules, the differences are relatively minor. Upon analyzing all the examples, it becomes evident that apart from the system proposed in this research, only WINQ can achieve

advantages in a few instances. This suggests that this rule could serve as a contingency plan. In addition, despite the combination of the method in the present study with various machine selection rules, the results remain relatively stable.



(a) LLM-based system




(b) Random

Figure 5 Gantt chart of machine selection with FIFO on the mk01


For the purpose of delving deeper into the experimental findings, this study selects two sets of Gantt charts for analysis, as shown in Figure 5 and Figure 6. As shown in Figure 5, it is evident that the workload is more evenly distributed across each machine in the proposed system, and the makespan is approximately half of that in randomly choosing.

Another case is the result of applying FILO on mk15, which is significantly larger in scale than mk01, as depicted in Figure 6. On the one hand, it is evident that the gap in the Gantt chart of WINQ is considerably larger than that of the LLM-based system. This suggests that the current study can still maintain effective scheduling even when dealing with large-scale problems, which WINQ cannot achieve. On the other hand, when comparing the optimization objectives (makespan in the present study) of the

two Gantt charts, WINQ exceeds the approach proposed in the present study by 48%.



(a) LLM-based system



(b) WINQ

Figure 6 Gantt chart of machine selection with FILO on the mk15

## 5.2 Applications of LLM-based multi-agent manufacturing system

For the purpose of validating the applicability of the proposed system in the physical intelligent shopfloor, the present study tested this system in an intelligent manufacturing factory laboratory located in Wuxi, China, which is shown in Figure 7. This laboratory has achieved automatic control of various manufacturing resources through the utilization of MSAs, which can directly operate these manufacturing resources. Therefore, the proposed system is integrated with the physical laboratory. The manufacturing resources in this laboratory include warehouses, AGVs, lathes, milling machines, engraving machines, and manipulators. A series of random orders, based on historical production information, were also generated to assess the performance of this system.

Without loss of generality, this study considers all physical machines through which workpieces flow as abstract machines. For instance, the raw material warehouse can be regarded as a machine with the processing time is zero. Moreover, as the initial machine for all workpieces to enter, the raw material



warehouse also assumes the responsibility of identifying the capable set of machines for each production process of the workpieces, based on the actual conditions in the shopfloor.



Figure 7 An intelligent factory testbed for performance evaluation of LLM schedulers with physical case studies




Figure 8 Makespan corresponding to scheduling approaches

In order to implement the proposed system in the shopfloor, several adjustments are required. Initially, leveraging the completed work of this laboratory, MSAs established the capability of controlling each machine. Subsequently, each machine involved in the negotiation process is equipped with BA and BIA to facilitate the transfer of processing tasks. Finally, the pre-defined DA and TA are integrated with

this shopfloor laboratory. The proposed system is deployed following these adjustments to evaluate the applicability of this system. As illustrated in Figure 7, this shopfloor acquired the capability of negotiation and decision-making.

The present study tested different scheduling methods on this instance, and the respective makespan for each method is depicted in Figure 8. These experiments employed methods similar to those in Section 5.1 for the selection of processing workpieces. It is evident that, in cooperation with different methods, the makespan corresponding to the current system (designated as LLM in this figure) is the smallest, implying that the current system can adapt to various workpiece selection methods. Notably, the results of the WINQ in this figure are even consistently worse than Random, regardless of the machine selection approach, indicating that a single heuristic rule struggles to adapt to different problems. However, this issue does not affect the LLM-based system proposed in this study.

## **6 Conclusion**

The swift advancement of LLMs offers fresh opportunities for multi-agent manufacturing systems. In order to incorporate the powerful capabilities of LLMs into manufacturing systems, the present study proposed an LLM-based multi-agent manufacturing system for intelligent shopfloors. By defining agents for manufacturing resources in the physical shopfloor, this framework facilitates the entire process from control to decision-making. Simultaneously, these agents also serve as a conduit between the multi-agent manufacturing system and the emerging LLM technology, enhancing system performance while significantly diminishing the complexity of modifying other conditions.

This system is equipped with multiple agents for the shopfloor or factory and defines the cooperation methods among the agents. The agents defined in this system include Machine Server Agent (MSA), Bidding Inviter Agent (BIA), Bidder Agent (BA), Thinking Agent (TA), and Decision Agent (DA). TA and DA are directly powered by LLM, exhibiting compatibility with several LLM engines, thereby allowing independent selection based on specific requirements. Through collaborative consultation, BA and BIA facilitate the exchange of information among diverse manufacturing resources. MSA directly manages these machines and offers comprehensive support to all agents. The essence of agents is no abstraction inherent in each single individual. In its reality it is the ensemble of the productional relations. It is the collaboration among these agents that facilitates the LLM-based manufacturing system to autonomously negotiate production. During the collaboration process, the information depends on LLM in this system, which utilizes natural language, significantly reduces the maintenance and modification expenses of the proposed system. For the purpose of verifying the performance of this system, experiments were also designed on several test instances.

## **Acknowledgments**

This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China [grant number 2021YFB1716300] and the National Natural Science Foundation of China [grant numbers 92267109, 52075257, 52305539]. This work is partially supported by High Performance Computing Platform of Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics.

## **Declaration of Generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process**

During the preparation of this work the authors used ChatGPT in order to improve readability and language of this paper. After using this service, the authors reviewed and edited the content as needed and takes full responsibility for the content of the publication.

## References

- [1] Garey MR, Johnson DS, Sethi R. The Complexity of Flowshop and Jobshop Scheduling. *Mathematics of OR* 1976;1:117–29. <https://doi.org/10.1287/moor.1.2.117>.
- [2] Liu Q, Gao Z, Li J, Li S, Zhu L. Research on Optimization of Dual-Resource Batch Scheduling in Flexible Job Shop. *Computers, Materials & Continua* 2023;76:2503–30. <https://doi.org/10.32604/cmc.2023.040505>.
- [3] Liu Q, Wang N, Li J, Ma T, Li F, Gao Z. Research on Flexible Job Shop Scheduling Optimization Based on Segmented AGV 2023.
- [4] Li R, Gong W, Wang L, Lu C, Zhuang X. Surprisingly Popular-Based Adaptive Memetic Algorithm for Energy-Efficient Distributed Flexible Job Shop Scheduling. *IEEE Trans Cybern* 2023;1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TCYB.2023.3280175>.
- [5] Liu C, Zhu H, Tang D, Nie Q, Zhou T, Wang L, et al. Probing an intelligent predictive maintenance approach with deep learning and augmented reality for machine tools in IoT-enabled manufacturing. *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing* 2022;77:102357. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rcim.2022.102357>.
- [6] Gui Y, Tang D, Zhu H, Zhang Y, Zhang Z. Dynamic scheduling for flexible job shop using a deep reinforcement learning approach. *Computers & Industrial Engineering* 2023;180:109255. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2023.109255>.
- [7] Zhou T, Tang D, Zhu H, Wang L. Reinforcement learning with composite rewards for production scheduling in a smart factory. *IEEE Access* 2020;9:752–66.
- [8] Du Y, Li J, Li C, Duan P. A Reinforcement Learning Approach for Flexible Job Shop Scheduling Problem With Crane Transportation and Setup Times. *IEEE Trans Neural Netw Learning Syst* 2022;1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TNNLS.2022.3208942>.
- [9] Liu R, Piplani R, Toro C. Deep reinforcement learning for dynamic scheduling of a flexible job shop. *International Journal of Production Research* 2022;1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2022.2058432>.
- [10] Luo S. Dynamic scheduling for flexible job shop with new job insertions by deep reinforcement learning. *Applied Soft Computing* 2020;91:106208. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2020.106208>.
- [11] Wang X, Zhang L, Lin T, Zhao C, Wang K, Chen Z. Solving job scheduling problems in a resource preemption environment with multi-agent reinforcement learning. *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing* 2022;77:102324. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rcim.2022.102324>.
- [12] Chen R, Yang B, Li S, Wang S. A self-learning genetic algorithm based on reinforcement learning for flexible job-shop scheduling problem. *Computers & Industrial Engineering* 2020;149:106778. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2020.106778>.
- [13] Qin Z. Self-organizing manufacturing network: A paradigm towards smart manufacturing in mass personalization. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems* 2021:13.
- [14] Qin Z, Johnson D, Lu Y. Dynamic production scheduling towards self-organizing mass personalization: A multi-agent dueling deep reinforcement learning approach. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems* 2023;68:242–57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2023.03.003>.

- [15] Kim YG, Lee S, Son J, Bae H, Chung BD. Multi-agent system and reinforcement learning a approach for distributed intelligence in a flexible smart manufacturing system. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems* 2020;57:440–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2020.11.004>.
- [16] Wang S, Wan J, Zhang D, Li D, Zhang C. Towards smart factory for industry 4.0: a self-organized multi-agent system with big data based feedback and coordination. *Computer Networks* 2016;101:158–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comnet.2015.12.017>.
- [17] Vaswani A, Shazeer N, Parmar N, Uszkoreit J, Jones L, Gomez AN, et al. Attention is All You Need. In: Guyon I, Luxburg UV, Bengio S, Wallach H, Fergus R, Vishwanathan S, et al., editors. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 30, Curran Associates, Inc.; 2017.
- [18] Radford A, Narasimhan K, Salimans T, Sutskever I, others. Improving language understanding by generative pre-training 2018.
- [19] Radford A, Wu J, Child R, Luan D, Amodei D, Sutskever I, et al. Language models are unsupervised multitask learners. *OpenAI Blog* 2019;1:9.
- [20] Brown T, Mann B, Ryder N, Subbiah M, Kaplan JD, Dhariwal P, et al. Language models are few-shot learners. In: Larochelle H, Ranzato M, Hadsell R, Balcan MF, Lin H, editors. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 33, Curran Associates, Inc.; 2020, p. 1877–901.
- [21] OpenAI, Achiam J, Adler S, Agarwal S, Ahmad L, Akkaya I, et al. GPT-4 technical report. *arXiv Preprint arXiv:230308774* 2024.
- [22] OpenAI. Introducing ChatGPT n.d. <https://openai.com/blog/chatgpt>.
- [23] Park JS, O'Brien JC, Cai CJ, Morris MR, Liang P, Bernstein MS. Generative agents: Interactive simulacra of human behavior. In the 36th annual ACM symposium on user interface software and technology (UIST '23), New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery; 2023.
- [24] Boiko DA, MacKnight R, Kline B, Gomes G. Autonomous chemical research with large language models. *Nature* 2023;624:570–8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06792-0>.
- [25] Lin Lin, Akin Akin, Rao Rao, Hie Hie, Zhu Zhu, Lu Lu, et al. Evolutionary-scale prediction of atomic-level protein structure with a language model. *Science* 2023;379:1123–30. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.ade2574>.
- [26] Luo R, Sun L, Xia Y, Qin T, Zhang S, Poon H, et al. BioGPT: generative pre-trained transformer for biomedical text generation and mining. *Brief Bioinform* 2022;23:bbac409. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbac409>.
- [27] Jablonka KM, Schwaller P, Ortega-Guerrero A, Smit B. Leveraging large language models for predictive chemistry. *Nature Machine Intelligence* 2024;6:161–9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-023-00788-1>.
- [28] Kim H, Na J, Lee WB. Generative chemical transformer: Neural machine learning of molecular geometric structures from chemical language via attention. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling* 2021;61:5804–14. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jcim.1c01289>.
- [29] Irwin R, Dimitriadis S, He J, Bjerrum EJ. Chemformer: a pre-trained transformer for computational chemistry. *Machine Learning: Science and Technology* 2022;3:015022. <https://doi.org/10.1088/2632-2153/ac3ffb>.
- [30] Xu FF, Alon U, Neubig G, Hellendoorn VJ. A systematic evaluation of large language models of code. *Proceedings of the 6th ACM SIGPLAN international symposium on machine programming*

- aming, New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery; 2022, p. 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3520312.3534862>.
- [31] Nijkamp E, Pang B, Hayashi H, Tu L, Wang H, Zhou Y, et al. CodeGen: An open large language model for code with multi-turn program synthesis. The eleventh international conference on learning representations, 2023.
- [32] Ichter Brian, Brohan A, Chebotar Y, Finn C, Hausman K, Herzog A, et al. Do as I can, not as I say: Grounding language in robotic affordances. 6th annual conference on robot learning, 2022.
- [33] Huang W, Wang C, Zhang R, Li Y, Wu J, Fei-Fei L. VoxPoser: Composable 3D value maps for robotic manipulation with language models. In: Tan J, Toussaint M, Darvish K, editors. Proceedings of the 7th conference on robot learning, vol. 229, PMLR; 2023, p. 540–62.
- [34] Belkhale S, Ding T, Xiao T, Sermanet P, Vuong Q, Tompson J, et al. RT-H: Action hierarchies using language. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.01823>, 2024.
- [35] Fan H, Liu X, Fuh JYH, Lu WF, Li B. Embodied intelligence in manufacturing: leveraging large language models for autonomous industrial robotics. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing* 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10845-023-02294-y>.
- [36] Xia L, Li C, Zhang C, Liu S, Zheng P. Leveraging error-assisted fine-tuning large language models for manufacturing excellence. *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing* 2024; 88:102728. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rcim.2024.102728>.
- [37] Behnke D, Geiger MJ. Test Instances for the Flexible Job Shop Scheduling Problem with Work Centers. Arbeitspapier / Research Paper / Helmut-Schmidt-Universität, Lehrstuhl Für Betriebswirtschaftslehre, Insbes Logistik-Management 2012. <https://doi.org/10.24405/436>.