

THE HANDLEBODY GROUP IS A VIRTUAL DUALITY GROUP

DAN PETERSEN AND RICHARD D. WADE

Abstract. We show that the mapping class group of a handlebody is a virtual duality group, in the sense of Bieri and Eckmann. In positive genus we give a description of the dualizing module of any torsion-free, finite-index subgroup of the handlebody mapping class group as the homology of the *complex of non-simple disc systems*.

Contents

| | |
|--|----|
| 1. Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1. An outline of the proofs | 3 |
| 1.2. Acknowledgements | 4 |
| 2. Background on (virtual) duality groups | 4 |
| 3. A combinatorial model of a classifying space for the handlebody group | 6 |
| 3.1. Teichmüller space and thick Teichmüller space | 6 |
| 3.2. Handlebody Teichmüller space | 6 |
| 3.3. The RGB poset | 7 |
| 4. The RGB poset and the non-simple disc complex | 9 |
| 4.1. Poset lemmas | 9 |
| 4.2. Simplifying the RGB poset | 10 |
| 5. Proof of the main theorems | 12 |
| References | 14 |

1. Introduction

Let Γ be a discrete group. Suppose that there exists a closed d -manifold X which is a $K(\Gamma, 1)$. Then by Poincaré duality on X one has isomorphisms

$$H^k(\Gamma, A) \cong H_{d-k}(\Gamma, A \otimes D)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all Γ -modules A , where $D = \mathbb{Z}$ and the Γ -action on D corresponds to the orientation local system on X . The isomorphisms are natural, being implemented by capping with the fundamental class in $H_d(\Gamma, D)$. A group Γ is a *Poincaré duality group of cohomological dimension d* if it admits such a *dualizing module* D and fundamental class in $H_d(\Gamma, D)$ inducing isomorphisms as above.

It is natural to relax the condition that $D = \mathbb{Z}$ as an abelian group. Allowing the dualizing module D to be arbitrary leads to the more general notion of a *duality group*, as introduced by Bieri–Eckmann [BE73]. Many groups of interest in topology and geometric group theory are known to be duality groups, at least virtually: in particular, arithmetic groups, mapping class groups of compact surfaces, and (outer) automorphism groups of free groups are all virtual duality groups [BS73, Har86, BF00].

McCullough [McC91] showed that the mapping class group $\text{Mod}(M)$ of a Haken three-manifold M is also a virtual duality group. Away from the Haken case, let V be a solid handlebody of genus

g , with b marked discs and p marked points on its boundary. In the same paper, McCullough showed that $\text{Mod}(V)$ virtually has a finite classifying space. However, he could only show that $\text{Mod}(V)$ is a virtual duality group when $g = 2$, using very special properties of the genus two handlebody group. Our first main theorem shows that the mapping class group of any handlebody is indeed a virtual duality group.

Theorem A. *For $2g - 2 + b + p > 0$, the handlebody group $\text{Mod}(V)$ is a virtual duality group of dimension $d(g, b, p)$, where $d(g, 0, 0) = 4g - 5$; $d(g, b, p) = 4g + 2b + p - 4$ when $g > 0$ and $b + p > 0$; and $d(0, b, p) = 2b + p - 3$.*

Remark 1.1. Let S be the surface with p punctures and b boundary components obtained by removing the marked points and the interiors of the marked discs from ∂V . Then $\text{Mod}(V)$ can be identified with the subgroup of $\text{Mod}(S)$ consisting of diffeomorphisms of S that extend to V . Hirose [Hir03] showed that $\text{Mod}(V)$ has the same virtual cohomological dimension as $\text{Mod}(S)$. The fact that the dimension of $\text{Mod}(S)$ is $d(g, b, p)$ goes back to Harer [Har86].

One reason to care about a group being a virtual duality group is that it provides a powerful tool for studying its cohomology in high degrees, close to the virtual cohomological dimension; this is in a sense complementary to the phenomenon of homological stability. However, this is only feasible if one knows a meaningful description of the dualising module. We refer the reader to the survey of Brück [Brü24] for more about this circle of ideas and many pointers to the literature.

In the case of arithmetic groups, the dualising module is called the *Steinberg module*. It is given by the unique nontrivial reduced homology group of the *Tits building* associated with the arithmetic group [BS73]. The analogue of the Steinberg module for the mapping class group was also determined in Harer's work [Har86]: the dualising module of $\text{Mod}(S)$ is isomorphic to the unique nontrivial reduced homology group of the *complex of curves* (as a $\text{Mod}(S)$ -module).

The second main theorem of this paper describes the analogue of the Steinberg module for the handlebody group, i.e. the dualising module of $\text{Mod}(V)$. We do this only when $g > 0$; indeed, the map $\text{Mod}(V) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(S)$ is an isomorphism when $g = 0$, and in this case we already have a description of the dualising module from Harer's work.

Definition 1.2. By an *essential disc* in V we shall always mean an isotopy class of embedded disc, with boundary in the interior of S , whose boundary does not bound an annulus or a (punctured) disc in S . By a *disc system* we mean a set of distinct disjointly embeddable essential discs. A disc system is *simple* if it cuts V into pieces of genus zero, and is *non-simple* otherwise.

The *disc complex* $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D}(V)$ has vertex set equal to the essential discs in V . A set of discs spans a simplex in \mathbf{D} if and only if they form a disc system in V . We let $\mathbf{NS} = \mathbf{NS}(V)$ denote the *complex of non-simple disc systems* in V , which is the subcomplex $\mathbf{NS} \subset \mathbf{D}$ whose k -simplices are given by non-simple systems of $k + 1$ essential discs in the handlebody V .

Theorem B. *Let $2g - 2 + b + p > 0$ and $g > 0$. Then $\mathbf{NS}(V)$ is homology equivalent to a wedge of spheres of dimension $\nu(g, b, p)$, where $\nu(g, 0, 0) = 2g - 3$ and $\nu(g, b, p) = 2g - 4 + b + p$ if $b + p > 0$.*

Remark 1.3. If $g > 2$, then \mathbf{NS} is also simply connected, hence homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spheres. See Remark 5.12. It is highly likely that \mathbf{NS} is always homotopic to a wedge of spheres.

Theorem C. *Keep notation as in Theorem B. The dualising module of any torsion-free finite index subgroup of $\text{Mod}(V)$ is $\tilde{H}_{\nu(g, b, p)}(\mathbf{NS}(V), \mathbb{Z})$.*

Remark 1.4. The preceding theorem is correct also in the exceptional case $g = 1$, $b + p = 1$, if one uses the convention that the reduced homology of the empty space is \mathbb{Z} in degree -1 .

Remark 1.5. The appearance of the simplicial complex \mathbf{NS} is striking, especially in relation to Culler-Vogtmann's *Outer space* [CV86]. Let us expand on this connection, assuming for simplicity

that V has genus $g \geq 2$ and $b = p = 0$. A disc in V gives rise to a sphere in the double M of V , which is the connected sum of g copies of $S^1 \times S^2$, and $\pi_1(V) \cong \pi_1(M) \cong F_g$. The action of $\text{Mod}(V)$ on $\pi_1(V)$ induces a surjective homomorphism $\text{Mod}(V) \rightarrow \text{Out}(F_g)$.

Doubling discs induces a natural map $f : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sph}$ to the *sphere complex* of M , which is equivariant under the map $\text{Mod}(V) \rightarrow \text{Out}(F_g)$, and also surjective. In the same manner as above, one defines a sphere system to be *simple* if it cuts the doubled handlebody into simply connected pieces. We denote the subcomplex of non-simple sphere systems by $\partial\mathbf{CV} \subset \mathbf{Sph}$, so that $f^{-1}(\partial\mathbf{CV}) = \mathbf{NS}$. The complement $\mathbf{Sph} \setminus \partial\mathbf{CV}$ is precisely Outer space. Thus \mathbf{NS} is a close analogue of the simplicial boundary of Outer space.

Theorem **B** gives the homotopy type of \mathbf{NS} and Theorem **C** that its homology is the dualizing module of $\text{Mod}(V)$. We do not know the homotopy type of $\partial\mathbf{CV}$, nor do we know its relationship with the dualizing module of $\text{Out}(F_g)$ (this is explored further in [WW24]). Brück and Gupta [BG20, Theorem 2] have shown that $\partial\mathbf{CV}$ is homotopy equivalent to the realization of the poset of *free factor systems* of rank g , and that it is at least $(g-2)$ -connected. Vogtmann [Vog24] has shown that a reduced version $\partial\mathbf{CV}^r$ is homotopy equivalent to the *boundary of Jewel space*. By [BSV18], this reduced boundary $\partial\mathbf{CV}^r$ is also the boundary of the bordification of reduced Outer space used in Bestvina and Feighn’s proof that $\text{Out}(F_g)$ is a virtual duality group.

We remark that the distinction between reduced and unreduced Outer space is often blurred in the literature. When considering their simplicial boundary, it is important to distinguish between them: $\partial\mathbf{CV} \neq \partial\mathbf{CV}^r$.

1.1. An outline of the proofs. To ease the outline, assume V satisfies $g \geq 2$ and $b = p = 0$. A group G is a virtual duality group if it satisfies the following three conditions (Corollary 2.8 below):

- (1) G is virtually torsion-free.
- (2) G acts properly and cocompactly on a contractible orientable d -dimensional topological manifold-with-boundary M .
- (3) ∂M is homology equivalent to a wedge of spheres of constant dimension.

If (1)–(3) hold, then $\tilde{H}_*(\partial M, \mathbb{Z})$ is the dualising module of G . The fact that $G = \text{Mod}(V)$ is virtually torsion-free is well-known.

Recall that a *meridian* on $S = \partial V$ is a curve bounding a disc in V . Discs are determined up to isotopy by their meridians. We pick constants $\varepsilon < \varepsilon' < \log(3 + \sqrt{8})$ and take M to be the subspace of the Teichmüller space of S consisting of marked surfaces σ where each simple closed curve on σ has length at least ε , and the set of curves of length at most ε' on σ form a *simple system of meridians* (the requirement $\varepsilon' < \log(3 + \sqrt{8})$ ensures that the set of curves of length at most ε' are disjoint). The space M is a truncated cover of the orbifold classifying space of $\text{Mod}(V)$ constructed by Hainaut and the first author in [HP23]. The work in [HP23] implies that M is a contractible manifold with boundary (Theorem 3.1), and the truncation ensures the action is cocompact.

We can write $\partial M = N_1 \cup N_2$, where N_1 parametrises hyperbolic surfaces with a closed geodesic of length ε , and N_2 parametrises hyperbolic surfaces with the property that the closed geodesics of length *strictly less* than ε' form a *non-simple* system of meridians. We show that:

- (i) N_1 is contractible,
- (ii) N_2 is contractible,
- (iii) $N_1 \cap N_2$ is homotopy equivalent to \mathbf{NS} .

Consequently, $\partial M \simeq \Sigma\mathbf{NS}$. The results (i)–(iii) are established by considerations similar to those of Borel–Serre [BS73] and Harer [Har86]: we cover ∂M by closed contractible pieces, and deduce that ∂M is homotopy equivalent to the nerve of the covering. Concretely, we obtain a poset — we denote it ∂RGB — such that $|\partial\text{RGB}| \simeq \partial M$, and such that N_1 , N_2 , and $N_1 \cap N_2$ are homotopic

to realizations of subposets of ∂RGB . These subposets are analyzed using poset arguments in the spirit of Quillen [Qui78] to show (i)–(iii).

After the above, Theorems **A**, **B**, and **C** all follow after showing that **NS** is homology equivalent to a wedge sum of copies of S^{2g-3} . This is achieved via two steps:

- **NS** is homologically $(2g - 4)$ -connected.
- **NS** is homology equivalent to a $(2g - 3)$ -dimensional CW complex.

The first bullet point is easy: we already know that the virtual cohomological dimension of $\text{Mod}(V)$ is $4g - 5$, so the compactly supported cohomology $H_c^*(M, \mathbb{Z})$ of M vanishes for $* > 4g - 5$. As M is $6g - 6$ -dimensional, Lefschetz duality implies that $\partial M \simeq \Sigma\text{NS}$ is $2g - 3$ -acyclic.

The second bullet point is carried out by an adaptation of the strategy used in the work of Ivanov [Iva87] to prove that the curve complex is homotopy equivalent to a CW complex of dimension at most $(2g - 2)$.

1.2. Acknowledgements. We thank Benjamin Brück for bringing this problem to our attention. We thank Sebastian Hensel and Andrew Putman for many helpful discussions and their involvement in the early stages of this project. We thank Karen Vogtmann for kindly sharing an early version of [Vog24].

Petersen is supported by a Wallenberg Scholar fellowship. Wade is supported by the Royal Society of Great Britain through a University Research Fellowship.

2. Background on (virtual) duality groups

In this section we recall some well-known facts about duality groups, for future reference and for the reader's convenience. The notion of a duality group was introduced by Bieri and Eckmann [BE73], and nearly all what follows can be found in their original article.

Definition 2.1. Let G be a group. We say that G is a *duality group of cohomological dimension n* , with *dualising module C* , if there exists a class $e \in H^n(G, C)$ such that cap-product with e defines a natural isomorphism of functors

$$H^k(G, -) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{n-k}(G, C \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} -)$$

for all integers k .

Remark 2.2. If $C = \mathbb{Z}$ (as an abelian group, possibly with nontrivial G -action), then one arrives at the special case of a *Poincaré duality group*. A source of geometric examples of Poincaré duality groups of dimension n are the fundamental groups of aspherical closed manifolds of dimension n , in which case C is the rank one local system given by the orientation sheaf. Allowing C to have larger rank leads to a significantly richer theory.

Duality for a group of type *FP* can be detected by cohomology with coefficients in the group ring.

Theorem 2.3. *The following two properties are equivalent for a group G .*

- (1) G is a duality group of dimension n .
- (2) G is of type *FP*, $H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}[G])$ is torsion-free, and $H^k(G, \mathbb{Z}[G]) = 0$ unless $k = n$.

When this holds, $H^n(G, \mathbb{Z}[G])$ is the dualising module of G .

Proof. All parts of the implication (1) \Rightarrow (2) is proven in [BE73, Section 1], except for G necessarily being of type *FP*, which is due to Brown [Bro75]. The implication (2) \Rightarrow (1) is [BE73, Theorem 4.5]. \square

Theorem 2.3 implies in particular that the dualising module and the dimension are uniquely determined by the group G .

Duality groups have finite homological dimension and are in particular torsion-free. The theory is however still useful for groups which are merely virtually torsion-free; namely, one is led to the following definition:

Definition 2.4. A group G is a *virtual duality group* if it admits a finite index subgroup which is a duality group.

Proposition 2.5. *Let G be a torsion-free group. Then G is a duality group if and only if any one of its finite-index subgroups is a duality group. The dualising module of each finite-index subgroup is then canonically isomorphic.*

Proof. [BE73, Theorem 3.2] and [BE73, Theorem 3.3]. □

Proposition 2.5 thus says that if *some* finite index subgroup of a group G is a duality group, then *all* torsion-free finite index subgroups of G are duality groups, and they all have the same cohomological dimension and dualising module. In particular, the dimension and dualising module of a virtual duality group are well-defined.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \rightarrow 1$ be a short exact sequence of groups. If K is a duality group of dimension k and H is a (virtual) duality group of dimension l , then G is a (virtual) duality group of dimension $k + l$, and the dualising module for G is the tensor product of the dualising modules for K and H .*

Proof. For actual duality groups this is [BE73, Theorem 3.5]. The virtual case follows by choosing a finite-index duality subgroup $H' \leq H$, taking G' to be the preimage of H' in G , and considering $1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G' \rightarrow H' \rightarrow 1$. □

Proposition 2.7. *Let G be a group acting freely, properly and cocompactly on a contractible orientable d -dimensional topological manifold-with-boundary M . Then there are isomorphisms*

$$H^k(G, \mathbb{Z}[G]) \cong \tilde{H}_{d-1-k}(\partial M, \mathbb{Z})$$

for all k .

Proof. [BE73, §6.4]. □

Corollary 2.8. *Let G be a (virtually) torsion-free group acting properly and cocompactly on a contractible orientable d -dimensional topological manifold-with-boundary M . Then G is a (virtual) duality group if and only if ∂M is homology equivalent to a wedge of spheres of equal dimension q . When this holds, the dualising module is $\tilde{H}_q(\partial M, \mathbb{Z})$, and the (virtual) cohomological dimension is $d - q - 1$.*

Proof. This is [BE73, Theorem 6.2]. If G is torsion-free then G acts freely on M , and G is of type FP since it admits a classifying space M/G of the homotopy type of a finite CW complex. The result is then immediate from Theorem 2.3 and Proposition 2.7. If G is only virtually torsion-free then we merely replace G by a finite-index torsion-free subgroup. □

Corollary 2.8 generalises the fact that fundamental groups of closed aspherical manifolds are Poincaré duality groups with trivial dualising module (noting that the reduced homology of the empty space is \mathbb{Z} in degree -1). The geometric criterion of Corollary 2.8 leads to large classes of examples of (virtual) duality groups. In particular:

- (1) Arithmetic groups are virtual duality groups. One chooses for M the Borel–Serre bordification [BS73] of the associated symmetric space. The fact that the boundary has the homotopy type of a wedge of spheres is the Solomon–Tits theorem.

- (2) The mapping class group of an orientable surface is a virtual duality group [Har86, Iva87]. One chooses for M the *thick part of Teichmüller space* (which will be discussed further shortly); one could alternatively use Harvey's bordification of Teichmüller space. The fact that the boundary has the homotopy type of a wedge of spheres is the heart of Harer's proof.
- (3) Fundamental groups of knot complements are duality groups. One chooses for M the universal cover of the complement of a tubular neighborhood of a knot in S^3 . The fact that knot groups are duality groups can also be seen more directly.

Our proof that the handlebody mapping class group is a virtual duality group will likewise be an application of the above criterion.

3. A combinatorial model of a classifying space for the handlebody group

3.1. Teichmüller space and thick Teichmüller space. This material is covered in Chapter 10 of [FM12]. To expand on the notation given in the introduction, throughout V will denote a handlebody of genus g , with b marked discs on its boundary, and p marked points on its boundary, all of which are disjoint. We let S denote the complement of the interiors of the marked discs and points in ∂V . Hence S is an oriented surface of genus g with b boundary components, and p punctures. A curve on S is *essential* if it is nontrivial in $\pi_1(S)$, and *nonperipheral* if it does not bound a punctured disc or annulus in S .

We let $\text{Mod}(S)$ denote the mapping class group of self-diffeomorphisms of S fixing the punctures, and a collar neighborhood of the boundary, pointwise. We let $\text{Mod}(V)$ denote the *handlebody group*, i.e. the mapping class group of self-diffeomorphisms of V fixing the marked boundary discs and marked points.

Let $\mathcal{T}(S)$ be the *Teichmüller space* of the surface S . This is the space of marked, complete, hyperbolic metrics on S , with totally geodesic boundary. It is diffeomorphic to an open ball of dimension $6g - 6 + 3b + 2p$. If $b = 0$, then the group $\text{Mod}(S)$ acts properly on $\mathcal{T}(S)$, and the quotient of $\mathcal{T}(S)$ by $\text{Mod}(S)$ is Riemann's moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,p}$ of compact Riemann surfaces of genus g with p marked points.

If $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^b$ is a vector, then we denote by $\mathcal{T}(S; \mathbf{b})$ the subspace of $\mathcal{T}(S)$ where the hyperbolic lengths of the boundary components are exactly given by \mathbf{b} .

The group $\text{Mod}(S)$ does not act properly on $\mathcal{T}(S)$ when $b > 0$, since a Dehn twist around a boundary component acts trivially. To remedy this, we let $\mathcal{T}^{\text{tw}}(S)$ denote $\mathcal{T}(S) \times \mathbb{R}^b$, where we have informally speaking introduced an additional Fenchel–Nielsen twist parameter along each boundary component. More intrinsically, if $\mathcal{T}(S)$ is the universal cover of the moduli space of hyperbolic surfaces of type (g, b, p) , then $\mathcal{T}^{\text{tw}}(S)$ is the universal cover of the moduli space which parametrises in addition the datum of a marked point on each boundary component. We define $\mathcal{T}^{\text{tw}}(S; \mathbf{b})$ similarly.

Fix a positive real number ε with $\varepsilon < \log(3 + \sqrt{8})$. We write $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon^{\text{tw}}(S; \mathbf{b})$ for the ε -*thick Teichmüller space*, by which we mean the locus inside $\mathcal{T}^{\text{tw}}(S; \mathbf{b})$ parametrising hyperbolic metrics with the property that all essential, nonperipheral, closed geodesics on S have length at least ε . The space $\mathcal{T}^{\text{tw}}(S; \mathbf{b})$ admits a $\text{Mod}(S)$ -equivariant deformation retraction down to $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon^{\text{tw}}(S; \mathbf{b})$. The space $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon^{\text{tw}}(S; \mathbf{b})$ is naturally a smooth manifold-with-corners of dimension $6g - 6 + 3b + 2p$, and $\text{Mod}(S)$ acts on it properly and cocompactly.

3.2. Handlebody Teichmüller space. We now describe a classifying space for the handlebody group in terms of Teichmüller theory. The following construction was introduced by Hainaut and the first named author [HP23]. For background on handlebody mapping class groups, see [Hen20].

Fix a second real number ε' with $\varepsilon < \varepsilon' < \log(3 + \sqrt{8})$, and an arbitrary length vector $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^b$. Let $\mathcal{Y}(V) \subset \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon^{\text{tw}}(S; \mathbf{b})$ denote the closed subspace of the ε -thick Teichmüller space parametrising

hyperbolic metrics on S with the following property: *all essential, nonperipheral, closed geodesics on S of length at most ε' bound discs in V , and the collection of these discs form a simple disc system in V .*

Theorem 3.1 (Hainaut–Petersen). *The space $\mathcal{Y}(V)$ is a contractible smooth oriented manifold-with-corners of dimension $6g - 6 + 3b + 2p$, and the group $\text{Mod}(V)$ acts properly and cocompactly on $\mathcal{Y}(V)$.*

Theorem 3.1 is not stated explicitly in [HP23], so let us comment on this. The fact that $\mathcal{Y}(V)$ is a manifold-with-corners is an easy local verification in Fenchel–Nielsen coordinates (taking coordinates defined by a pants decomposition containing all short geodesics), once one knows the following Lemma 3.2. We refer to [HP23, Section 2] for more details.

Lemma 3.2. *There is an open cover of $\mathcal{T}(S)$ such that on each open set, there are only a finite number of simple closed curves on S for which the associated length function takes values $\leq \varepsilon'$, and they are disjoint.*

Proof. This follows from the Collar Lemma and Wolpert’s Lemma. See the discussion following [HP23, Theorem 2.3]. \square

What remains of Theorem 3.1 is contractibility of $\mathcal{Y}(V)$. Let $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon \mathcal{T}^{\text{tw}}(V)$ be the open subspace of $\mathcal{T}^{\text{tw}}(S)$ defined by the condition that all closed geodesics on S of length strictly less than ε bound discs in V , and the collection of these discs form a simple disc system in V . Let $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_\varepsilon \mathcal{T}^{\text{tw}}(V)$ be its closure, i.e. the locus defined by the condition that closed geodesics on S of length at most ε form a simple disc system in V . The space $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon \mathcal{T}^{\text{tw}}(V)$ is contractible according to [HP23, Theorem 1.4], and [HP23, Proposition 3.5] says that both maps

$$\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon \mathcal{T}^{\text{tw}}(V) \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{H}}_\varepsilon \mathcal{T}^{\text{tw}}(V) \hookrightarrow (\overline{\mathcal{H}}_\varepsilon \mathcal{T}^{\text{tw}}(V) \cap \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon^{\text{tw}}(S; \mathbf{b}))$$

are homotopy equivalences. But now we may observe that $\mathcal{Y}(V) = \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{\varepsilon'} \mathcal{T}^{\text{tw}}(V) \cap \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon^{\text{tw}}(S; \mathbf{b})$, and none of the arguments need to be changed if the two parameters ε and ε' are chosen to be distinct.

A final remark is that the discussion in [HP23] is consistently formulated in terms of the quotient orbifold $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon \mathcal{T}^{\text{tw}}(V)/\text{Mod}(V)$, rather than its universal cover. An alternative proof of the contractibility of $\mathcal{Y}(V)$ follows from the RGB^{op} -stratification of $\mathcal{Y}(V)$ described below: see Remark 4.13.

3.3. The RGB poset. As in Remark 1.5 we let $S = S(V)$ denote the poset of simple disc systems in V . When $g = 0$, the empty disc system is considered to be an element of $S(V)$. The main result of this section will be that the space $\mathcal{Y}(V)$ is stratified by a labelled version of $S(V)^{\text{op}}$, where each disc in a simple system is coloured either red, green, or blue.

Definition 3.3 (The posets $\text{RGB}(V)$ and $\partial\text{RGB}(V)$). Define the poset $\text{RGB} = \text{RGB}(V)$ as follows. An element of $\text{RGB}(V)$ is given by a simple disc system in V , all of whose discs are coloured red, green or blue. We say $D \leq D'$, if D' can be obtained from D by some combination of adding new blue discs, turning green discs blue, and turning green discs red. We denote by $\partial\text{RGB}(V) \subset \text{RGB}(V)$ the upwards-closed subposet consisting of disc systems which either contain a red disc, or with the property that deleting all blue discs leaves a non-simple disc system.

Definition 3.4 (The *RGB disc system* given by $\sigma \in \mathcal{Y}(V)$). For $\sigma \in \mathcal{Y}(V)$, its associated *RGB disc system* $d(\sigma)$ has an underlying simple disc system consisting of discs whose associated geodesic meridians have length at most ε' . Furthermore

- discs associated to geodesics of length ε are red,
- discs associated to geodesics of lengths in $(\varepsilon, \varepsilon')$ are green,
- discs associated to geodesics of length ε' are blue.

Definition 3.5. Let P be a poset. The *Alexandrov topology* on P is the topology where a set is open if and only if it is upwards-closed in P . A *P -stratification* of a topological space X is a continuous

map $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ with respect to the Alexandrov topology on \mathbb{P} . Equivalently, $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ is a \mathbb{P} -stratification if and only if $f^{-1}(\mathbb{P}_{\geq p})$ is open for all $p \in \mathbb{P}$.

Theorem 3.6. *The map $d: \mathcal{Y}(V) \rightarrow \text{RGB}(V)^{\text{op}}$ of Definition 3.4 is a $\text{Mod}(V)$ -equivariant RGB^{op} -stratification of $\mathcal{Y}(V)$. The boundary $\partial\mathcal{Y}(V)$ is the union of strata given by $d^{-1}(\partial\text{RGB}^{\text{op}})$.*

Proof. Continuity of d follows from the fact that the length function associated to every curve on S is a continuous function $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq \varepsilon}$. At the risk of being overly formal, we may consider the stratification of $\mathbb{R}_{\geq \varepsilon}$ with two closed strata $\{\varepsilon\}$ and $\{\varepsilon'\}$, and two open strata $(\varepsilon, \varepsilon')$ and (ε', ∞) . Then the RGB -stratification of $\mathcal{Y}(V)$ is the pull-back of the product of these stratifications along the map $\mathcal{Y}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq \varepsilon}^\infty$ given by the product of all length functions. The stratification is $\text{Mod}(V)$ -equivariant, since the map $\mathcal{Y}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq \varepsilon}^\infty$ is equivariant.

Now take $\sigma \in \mathcal{Y}(V)$ with $d(\sigma) = D \in \partial\text{RGB}^{\text{op}}$. If D contains a red curve, then σ lies in the boundary of ε -thick Teichmüller space, and in particular also in the boundary of $\mathcal{Y}(V)$. If deleting the blue discs from D leaves a non-simple disc system, then one can find an arbitrarily small perturbation σ' of σ within Teichmüller space such that all curves on S associated with the blue discs have length function strictly larger than ε' on σ' , and in particular it follows that σ' lies on the boundary of $\mathcal{Y}(V)$. Conversely, one verifies that if $d(\sigma) \notin \partial\text{RGB}^{\text{op}}$ then an open neighbourhood of σ in Teichmüller space lies in $\mathcal{Y}(V)$, and σ must be in the interior of $\mathcal{Y}(V)$. \square

Proposition 3.7. *Let \mathbb{P} be a poset admitting a strictly increasing function $\mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. Suppose $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ is a \mathbb{P} -stratification of a topological space X . Assume the following conditions:*

- (1) *The stratification of X is locally finite, in the sense that X admits a basis of open sets each of which meets only finitely many closures of strata.*
- (2) *Each inclusion*

$$f^{-1}(\mathbb{P}_{< p}) \hookrightarrow f^{-1}(\mathbb{P}_{\leq p})$$

is a cofibration.

- (3) *$f^{-1}(\mathbb{P}_{\leq p})$ is contractible for all $p \in \mathbb{P}$.*

Then X is weakly homotopy equivalent to the geometric realization $|\mathbb{P}|$.

Proof. Consider the functor $\mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$ given by $p \mapsto f^{-1}(\mathbb{P}_{\leq p})$. The colimit of this diagram is X . Indeed, this means precisely that X carries the colimit topology from the union of subspaces $f^{-1}(\mathbb{P}_{\leq p})$, which is the case for any locally finite closed covering of a topological space. We may consider \mathbb{P} as a Reedy category [Rie14, Chapter 14] with respect to the degree function $\mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, the diagram is also Reedy cofibrant, since by (2) the inclusions of each latching object is a cofibration, so it is also a homotopy colimit. But since all closed strata $f^{-1}(\mathbb{P}_{\leq p})$ are contractible by (3), this diagram of spaces is weakly equivalent to the constant diagram with value a point. And $|\mathbb{P}|$ is a model of the homotopy colimit of the constant diagram. \square

Theorem 3.8. *The stratification of $\mathcal{Y}(V)$ described in Theorem 3.6 satisfies the conditions of Proposition 3.7. In particular, $|\text{RGB}(V)| \simeq \mathcal{Y}(V)$ and $|\partial\text{RGB}(V)| \simeq \partial\mathcal{Y}(V)$.*

Proof. Local finiteness of the stratification is clear from Lemma 3.2. Let us describe the closures of strata. Take $D \in \text{RGB}(V)$, and suppose that D cuts V into genus zero handlebodies V_1, \dots, V_s , each with some marked discs and points on its boundary. If D consists of k discs, then the group \mathbb{R}^k acts on the cartesian product $\prod_{i=1}^s \mathcal{T}^{\text{tw}}(\partial V_i)$ by shifting the twist parameters along each disc in D . More precisely, each disc in D corresponds to two distinct marked boundary discs among the handlebodies V_1, \dots, V_s , and $x \in \mathbb{R}$ acts by shifting the twist parameter on one of these discs by a factor x , and the other disc by a factor $-x$. The closure of the stratum corresponding to D is then naturally identified with the subspace of

$$\prod_{i=1}^s \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon'}^{\text{tw}}(\partial V_i) / \mathbb{R}^k$$

defined by imposing the evident conditions on the lengths of boundary components:

- (1) Boundary components corresponding to red (resp. blue) discs have length ε (resp. ε').
- (2) Boundary components of the original handlebody V have lengths as prescribed by the fixed length vector \mathbf{b} appearing in the definition of $\mathcal{Y}(V)$.
- (3) Boundary components corresponding to green discs have length in the interval $[\varepsilon, \varepsilon']$, and the two boundary components corresponding to each green disc have the same length.

From this description it is not hard to see (using Fenchel–Nielsen coordinates) that the closure of the stratum is a smooth manifold-with-corners, that the stratum itself is precisely the interior of the manifold, and that the interior is diffeomorphic to a ball. In particular the closure is contractible, and the inclusion of the boundary is a cofibration. Note that for any poset P , the geometric realizations of P and P^{op} are homotopy equivalent. \square

4. The RGB poset and the non-simple disc complex

Let $\text{NS}(V)$ denote the poset of nonempty, non-simple disc systems in V . The realization $|\text{NS}(V)|$ is naturally identified with the barycentric subdivision of the simplicial complex $\text{NS}(V)$ defined in the introduction. The goal of this section is to prove the following.

Theorem 4.1. *Let V be a handlebody of genus $g > 0$. Then $|\partial\text{RGB}(V)| \simeq \Sigma|\text{NS}(V)| \cong \Sigma\text{NS}(V)$.*

It will be convenient to freely use topological terminology regarding posets in this section. In particular, when we say e.g. that a poset is contractible, or that a map of posets is a homotopy equivalence, we mean that this holds for the geometric realizations.

4.1. Poset lemmas. Let us for the reader's convenience recall the tools of combinatorial topology of posets which we shall use. All results below are standard.

Lemma 4.2 (Quillen's fibre lemma). *Let $f : P \rightarrow Q$ be a map of posets. Suppose that either $f^{-1}(Q_{\geq x})$ is contractible for all $x \in Q$, or $f^{-1}(Q_{\leq x})$ is contractible for all $x \in Q$. Then $f : P \rightarrow Q$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. See [Qui78, Proposition 1.6]. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let P be a poset, and let $f : P \rightarrow P$ be a monotone increasing or decreasing map. Then $f : P \rightarrow \text{Im}(f)$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. Use that if $f(x) \leq g(x)$ for all x , then f and g are homotopic. \square

Notation 4.4. If P and Q are posets, then $P * Q$ denotes the poset with underlying set $P \sqcup Q$, where the partial orders on the subsets P and Q are the same as in the original posets, and $p < q$ for all $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$. There is a homeomorphism $|P * Q| \cong |P| * |Q|$, justifying the notation, and the operation $*$ is often called the *join* of posets, following Quillen [Qui78, p. 104].

Notation 4.5. We write S^k for the poset of nonempty proper subsets of $\{1, \dots, k+2\}$. The notation is justified by the fact that $|S^k| \cong S^k$.

Lemma 4.6. *Let P be a poset. Suppose we can write $P = Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup \dots \cup Q_k$ with each Q_i downwards-closed. If $\bigcap_{i \in I} Q_i$ is contractible for every proper, nonempty subset $I \subset \{1, \dots, k\}$, then*

$$|P| \simeq \Sigma^{k-1} |Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \dots \cap Q_k|.$$

Proof. We define a map of posets

$$f : P \rightarrow (Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \dots \cap Q_k) * S^{k-2}$$

as follows. The restriction of f to the subposet $Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \dots \cap Q_k$ is the evident inclusion. Outside this subposet, f takes values in S^{k-2} , and $f(x)$ is the subset of $\{1, \dots, k\}$ defined by

$f(x) = \{i : x \notin Q_i\}$. It is a satisfying exercise to use the fibre lemma to show that f is a homotopy equivalence. It follows that

$$|P| \simeq |Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_k| * S^{k-2} \cong \Sigma^{k-1} |Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_k|. \quad \square$$

Corollary 4.7. *If we have $P = Q_1 \cup Q_2$ with each Q_i downwards-closed, and Q_1 and Q_2 are both contractible, then $|P| \simeq \Sigma |Q_1 \cap Q_2|$.*

Remark 4.8. The preceding corollary could also be proven by observing that the evident pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |Q_1 \cap Q_2| & \longrightarrow & |Q_1| \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ |Q_2| & \longrightarrow & |P| \end{array}$$

is a homotopy pushout. Similarly Lemma 4.6 could be proven by using that $|P|$ is the homotopy colimit of the diagram of spaces given by the family $|\bigcap_{i \in I} Q_i|$, and applying [MV15, Example 5.7.5].

4.2. Simplifying the RGB poset. Let $D(V)$ denote the poset of nonempty disc systems in the handlebody V , ordered by inclusion. Thus $|D(V)|$ is the barycentric subdivision of the simplicial complex $D(V)$ considered in the introduction.

Theorem 4.9 (McCullough, Hatcher-Wahl). *Suppose that $g > 0$. Then $D(V)$ is contractible.*

Proof. This is proven in [McC91, Theorem 5.3] when there are no marked points or discs on the boundary of the handlebody. See also [Cho08]. The general case is proven in [HW10, Proposition 8.1] by an induction on the number of marked points/discs, thereby reducing to the case considered by McCullough. \square

Recall that $S(V)$ denotes the poset of simple disc systems in the handlebody V , ordered by inclusion. If the genus of V is zero, then the empty disc system is simple, and is a minimal element of $S(V)$. It follows from Theorem 4.9 that $S(V)$ is always contractible, an observation due to Giansiracusa [Giall, Proposition 6.3]. We recall his argument.

Proposition 4.10. *The realization $|S(V)|$ is contractible.*

Proof. As just noted, $S(V)$ has a minimal element if $g = 0$. For $g > 0$ it suffices by Theorem 4.9 to show that $f : S(V) \rightarrow D(V)$ is a homotopy equivalence. We apply Quillen's fibre lemma. If $D \in D(V)$ cuts V into smaller handlebodies V_1, \dots, V_s (each with some number of marked points and discs on its boundary), then

$$f^{-1}(D(V)_{\geq D}) \cong \prod_{i=1}^s S(V_i).$$

But each factor $S(V_i)$ may be assumed contractible by induction on the quantity $-\chi(S) = 2g - 2 + b + p$. \square

For $D \in \text{RGB}$, let $R(D)$ and $B(D)$ be the subsystems of red and blue discs, respectively.

Proposition 4.11. *The poset map $f : \text{RGB} \rightarrow S(V)$ given by forgetting colours is a homotopy equivalence. If $g > 0$, then the same is true for the restrictions of f to the subposets*

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= \{D \in \text{RGB} : D \setminus B(D) \in \text{NS}(V)\} \text{ and} \\ Q_2 &= \{D \in \text{RGB} : R(D) \neq \emptyset\}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Hence RGB is contractible, and so are Q_1 and Q_2 when $g > 0$.

Proof. For $D \in \mathcal{S}(V)$, let D_{blue} and D_{red} denote the elements of RGB given by the disc system D with all discs coloured blue or red, respectively.

For the first part, it suffices by the fibre lemma to show that $f^{-1}(\mathcal{S}(V)_{\geq D})$ deformation retracts to $\{D_{\text{blue}}\}$ for all $D \in \mathcal{S}(V)$. We do this in three steps, using Lemma 4.3. For $E \in f^{-1}(\mathcal{S}(V)_{\geq D})$, first turn all red discs in E green (which is monotone decreasing), then turn all green discs blue (which is monotone increasing), and finally forget all blue discs that do not belong to D (which is monotone decreasing). Each of these maps is a homotopy equivalence onto its image by Lemma 4.3, so that $f^{-1}(\mathcal{S}(V)_{\geq D})$ is contractible.

Now assume that $g > 0$. Then the same argument shows also that $\mathcal{Q}_1 \cap f^{-1}(\mathcal{S}(V)_{\geq D}) \simeq \{D_{\text{blue}}\}$, so that also $\mathcal{Q}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(V)$ is a homotopy equivalence. When $g = 0$ the argument fails since $D_{\text{blue}} \notin \mathcal{Q}_1$ and in fact $\mathcal{Q}_1 = \emptyset$.

For \mathcal{Q}_2 one needs to take a slightly different approach and build a deformation retract of $\mathcal{Q}_2 \cap f^{-1}(\mathcal{S}(V)_{\geq D})$ onto $\{D_{\text{red}}\}$. For $E \in \mathcal{Q}_2 \cap f^{-1}(\mathcal{S}(V)_{\geq D})$ we first turn all blue discs in $E \cap D$ green (monotone decreasing), and then turn all green discs in $E \cap D$ red (monotone increasing). Then red discs in $E \setminus D$ are turned green (monotone decreasing), green discs in $E \setminus D$ are turned blue (monotone increasing), and blue discs in $E \setminus D$ are deleted (monotone decreasing). If $g = 0$, this argument breaks down since in this case we may have $D = \emptyset$, in which case $D_{\text{red}} \notin \mathcal{Q}_2$. \square

Remark 4.12. It may be helpful to think heuristically about the deformation retraction onto $\{D_{\text{blue}}\}$ in the preceding proposition as flowing along a vector field on Teichmüller space that increases the length of the shortest geodesics on a hyperbolic surface. Compare with the construction of Ji-Wolpert [JW10, Section 3], also used in [HP23]. Similarly the deformation retraction onto $\{D_{\text{red}}\}$ is heuristically given by first shortening all geodesics within the curve system D , and then lengthening all closed geodesics outside D .

Proof of Theorem 4.1. We will apply Corollary 4.7 to ∂RGB using the downwards-closed subposets \mathcal{Q}_1 and \mathcal{Q}_2 in Proposition 4.11. From the definition of ∂RGB it is clear that $\partial\text{RGB} = \mathcal{Q}_1 \cup \mathcal{Q}_2$, and in Proposition 4.11 we showed that \mathcal{Q}_1 and \mathcal{Q}_2 are contractible. It remains to show

$$|\mathcal{Q}_1 \cap \mathcal{Q}_2| \simeq |\text{NS}(V)|.$$

There is a poset map $f : \mathcal{Q}_1 \cap \mathcal{Q}_2 \rightarrow \text{NS}(V)^{\text{op}}$ given by taking $E \in \mathcal{Q}_1 \cap \mathcal{Q}_2$ to the disc system $f(E)$ consisting of red and green discs in E . This is non-simple as $E \in \mathcal{Q}_1$, and nonempty as $E \in \mathcal{Q}_2$. A point $E \in \mathcal{Q}_1 \cap \mathcal{Q}_2$ maps to $f^{-1}(\text{NS}(V)_{\leq D}^{\text{op}})$ if and only if the set of green and red discs in E contains D . Define a self-map ϕ of $f^{-1}(\text{NS}(V)_{\leq D}^{\text{op}})$ as follows: $\phi(E)$ is given by turning any red disc in $E \setminus D$ green, followed by turning any green disc of $E \setminus D$ blue, followed by turning any green disc in D red. This is a monotone decreasing map followed by two monotone increasing maps so is a homotopy equivalence onto its image by Lemma 4.3. Elements of $\text{Im}(\phi)$ are precisely extensions of D (with all discs coloured red) by simple disc systems in $V \setminus D$ (with all discs coloured blue), so that $\text{Im}(\phi) \cong \mathcal{S}(V \setminus D)$, which is contractible. \square

Remark 4.13. As we showed that $|\text{RGB}(V)| \simeq \mathcal{Y}(V)$ in Theorem 3.8, combining this with Proposition 4.11 gives a new proof of contractibility of $\mathcal{Y}(V)$, which is the most substantial part of Theorem 3.1.

A key input in the proof of Theorem 3.1 given in [HP23] was Giansiracusa's theorem [Gial1] that the derived modular envelope of the framed little disc operad is equivalent to the handlebody modular operad. In turn, an important ingredient in Giansiracusa's proof of this theorem is the contractibility of $|\mathcal{S}(V)|$. Thus in both approaches the result is fundamentally a consequence of contractibility of the complex of simple disc systems.

5. Proof of the main theorems

In this section, we prove Theorems **A**, **B**, and **C** from the introduction. To repeat the strategy: $\text{Mod}(V)$ is a virtually torsion-free group, and we have constructed a contractible manifold $\mathcal{Y}(V)$ of dimension $6g - 6 + 3b + 2p$ that admits a proper, cocompact action of $\text{Mod}(V)$ (Theorem 3.1). We then showed that $\partial\mathcal{Y}(V)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\Sigma\text{NS}(V)$ (Theorem 3.8 and Theorem 4.1). The criterion in Corollary 2.8 then shows that Theorems **A** and **B** are at this point both equivalent to each other, and that both of them imply Theorem **C**.

The first step is high (homological) connectivity of NS , which will be an easy consequence of the fact that we already know that $\text{vcd Mod}(V) = d(g, b, p)$ [Hir03], with notation as in Theorem **A**. In fact, we will only need the inequality $\text{vcd Mod}(V) \leq d(g, b, p)$, which follows directly from the fact that $\text{Mod}(V)$ is a subgroup of $\text{Mod}(S)$, and Harer's theorem [Har86] that $\text{vcd Mod}(S) = d(g, b, p)$.

Proposition 5.1. *Keep hypotheses and notation as in Theorem **B**. Then $\tilde{H}_k(\text{NS}(V), \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for all $k < \nu(g, b, p)$.*

Proof. Let G be a torsion-free finite index subgroup of $\text{Mod}(V)$. Apply Proposition 2.7 to the action of G on $\mathcal{Y}(V)$. As the action of G on $\mathcal{Y}(V)$ is free and cocompact, $H_c^*(\mathcal{Y}(V), \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}[G])$. Since $\text{cd}(G) = d(g, b, p)$, we see that $H^k(\mathcal{Y}(V), \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for $k > d(g, b, p)$. Lefschetz duality then tells us that $\tilde{H}_k(\partial\mathcal{Y}(V), \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for

$$k < \dim(\mathcal{Y}(V)) - d(g, b, p) - 1.$$

Now we also have $\tilde{H}_k(\partial\mathcal{Y}(V), \mathbb{Z}) \cong \tilde{H}_{k-1}(\text{NS}(V), \mathbb{Z})$ by Theorem 4.1. Finally, $\dim(\mathcal{Y}(V)) - d(g, b, p) - 2 = \nu(g, b, p)$. \square

Definition 5.2. Let X be a topological space. We say that X is *homologically of dimension $\leq d$* if $H_k(X, \mathbb{Z})$ vanishes for $k > d$, and $H_d(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is a free abelian group.

Remark 5.3. A CW complex of dimension $\leq d$ is homologically of dimension $\leq d$.

The second step, then, will be to show that $\text{NS}(V) \cong |\text{NS}(V)|$ is homologically of dimension $\leq \nu(g, b, p)$. We do this using the following criterion. (Similar arguments were used by Harer [Har86] and Ivanov [Iva87].)

Lemma 5.4. *Let P be a poset admitting a strictly decreasing function $r : P \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. Assume for all $x \in P$ that $|P_{>x}|$ is homologically of dimension $\leq (d - 1)$, for some d . Then $|P|$ is homologically of dimension $\leq d$.*

Proof. Note that P is the increasing union $P_0 \subseteq P_1 \subseteq P_2 \subseteq \dots$ where $P_i = \{x \in P : r(x) \leq i\}$. Each $P_i \setminus P_{i-1}$ is an antichain. We prove by induction that $|P_i|$ is homologically of dimension $\leq d$ for all i . The base case is obvious.

Recall that if Q is a poset, $x \in Q$ a minimal element, then $|Q|$ is obtained from $|Q \setminus \{x\}|$ by attaching the cone $|Q_{\geq x}|$ over $|Q_{>x}|$. If $|Q \setminus \{x\}|$ is homologically of dimension $\leq d$, and $|Q_{>x}|$ is homologically of dimension $\leq (d - 1)$, then it follows that $|Q|$ is homologically of dimension $\leq d$ from the Mayer-Vietoris sequence:

$$\dots \rightarrow H_{n+1}(|Q|, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_n(|Q_{>x}|, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_n(|Q_{\geq x}|, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H_n(|Q \setminus \{x\}|, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_n(|Q|, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \dots$$

Since $|P_i|$ is obtained in this way from $|P_{i-1}|$ by successively attaching cones over subcomplexes which are homologically of dimension $\leq (d - 1)$, the result follows by applying the above observation iteratively. \square

Notation 5.5. If P is a poset, let $CP = P \cup \{0\}$ denote P adjoined a minimum element. If P and Q are posets, let $P \otimes Q := (CP \times CQ) \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$.

Remark 5.6. The operation \otimes is associative. There is a natural homeomorphism $|\mathbb{P} \otimes \mathbb{Q}| \cong |\mathbb{P}| * |\mathbb{Q}|$ on geometric realizations [Qui78, Proposition 1.9]. Hence the operation \otimes provides another lift of the join operation from spaces to posets than the one of Notation 4.4.

Proposition 5.7. *Let V be a handlebody of genus $g \geq 2$, with no marked points or discs on its boundary. Assume that the statement of Theorem B is known for all handlebodies of genus strictly less than g . Then the statement of Theorem B holds also for V ; that is, $|\text{NS}(V)|$ is homology equivalent to a wedge of spheres of dimension $2g - 3$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that $|\text{NS}(V)|$ is homologically of dimension $\leq (2g - 3)$, after Proposition 5.1. We prove this using the criterion of Lemma 5.4. Thus we choose $D \in \text{NS}(V)$ and prove that $|\text{NS}(V)_{>D}|$ is homologically of dimension $\leq (2g - 4)$.

Suppose that D cuts V into handlebodies V_1, \dots, V_s of positive genera g_1, \dots, g_s , each of which has b_1, \dots, b_s marked boundary disks, and W_1, \dots, W_t of genus zero, each of which has c_1, \dots, c_t marked boundary disks. Observe the relation

$$(\star) \quad 2g - 2 = \sum_{i=1}^s (2g_i - 2 + b_i) + \sum_{j=1}^t (-2 + c_j).$$

Using Notation 5.5 we now have the formula

$$\text{NS}(V)_{>D} \cong \mathbb{X} \otimes \text{D}(W_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{D}(W_t)$$

where \mathbb{X} denotes the subposet of $\text{D}(V_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{D}(V_s)$ given by the union

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^s \text{D}(V_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{D}(V_{i-1}) \otimes \text{NS}(V_i) \otimes \text{D}(V_{i+1}) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{D}(V_s).$$

Indeed, this expresses that a non-simple disc system properly containing D will be given by a nonempty family of discs in $V \setminus D$, such that for at least one $i = 1, \dots, s$, those discs lying in V_i will form a non-simple system (possibly empty), whereas the discs in the remaining components may be arbitrary.

Now each disc complex is contractible by Theorem 4.9. Hence Lemma 4.6 applies, with $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{X}$ and $\mathbb{Q}_i = \text{D}(V_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{D}(V_{i-1}) \otimes \text{NS}(V_i) \otimes \text{D}(V_{i+1}) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{D}(V_s)$, to show that

$$|\mathbb{X}| \simeq \Sigma^{s-1} (|\text{NS}(V_1)| * \dots * |\text{NS}(V_s)|).$$

Since $g_i < g$ for all i , we know by induction on genus that each factor $|\text{NS}(V_i)|$ is homology equivalent to a wedge of spheres of dimension $2g_i - 4 + b_i$. Since the disc complex and the curve complex coincide in genus zero, $|\text{D}(W_j)|$ is homotopic to a wedge of spheres of dimension $-4 + c_j$ by Harer [Har86]. Thus $|\text{NS}(V)_{>D}|$ is homology equivalent to a wedge of spheres of dimension

$$t + 2s - 2 + \sum_{i=1}^s (2g_i - 4 + b_i) + \sum_{j=1}^t (-4 + c_j) = 2g - 4 - t,$$

using Equation (\star) . The result follows. \square

Proposition 5.8. *Fix $g \geq 0$. If Theorem A holds for some values of b and p with $2g - 2 + b + p > 0$, then Theorem A holds for all such values of b and p .*

Proof. This is an application of Proposition 2.6. Indeed, if V' is obtained from V by replacing one of the boundary discs with a marked point, then there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Mod}(V) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(V') \rightarrow 1,$$

so Proposition 2.6 implies that $\text{Mod}(V)$ satisfies Theorem A if and only if $\text{Mod}(V')$ does. If V' is obtained from V by forgetting one of the marked boundary points, then by [Hen20, Lemma 3.3] there is instead a short exact sequence (a Birman exact sequence for handlebodies)

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1(S') \rightarrow \text{Mod}(V) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(V') \rightarrow 1.$$

Here S' is the boundary of V' , minus its marked points and boundary discs. Again $\text{Mod}(V)$ satisfies Theorem A if and only if $\text{Mod}(V')$ does. \square

Proposition 5.9. *The statement of Theorem A holds when $g = 1$.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.8, it suffices to show this when $(g, b, p) = (1, 0, 1)$. But in this case we have $\text{Mod}(V) \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \{\pm 1\}$, which is clearly a virtual duality group. \square

Theorem 5.10. *Theorems A, B, and C hold.*

Proof. As observed in the first paragraph of this section, we have already proven that the statements of Theorem A and Theorem B are equivalent when $g > 0$, and that both of them imply Theorem C. (When $g = 0$, Theorem A is a theorem of Harer and Theorems B and C are vacuous.)

Now Proposition 5.9 shows that Theorem A holds when $g = 1$. Thus we may fix some $g \geq 2$. According to Proposition 5.8, to show the statement of Theorem B for *all* values of b and p in this genus, it suffices to show it for *some* value of b and p . Thus it suffices to treat the case $b = p = 0$. But by induction on the genus we may assume the statement to be known for any handlebody of lower genus, so we are done by Proposition 5.7. \square

Remark 5.11. The precise inductive strategy is quite important. Specifically, it is crucial that Lemma 5.4 is only ever applied to the case $b = p = 0$ of no marked discs or points on the boundary (as in Proposition 5.7), and that the case of markings is instead dealt with using the “Birman exact sequence” via Proposition 5.8.

The point is that the homological dimension of $|\text{NS}(V)|$ is $-\chi(S) - 1$ when $b = p = 0$, and $-\chi(S) - 2$ when $b + p > 0$. In this sense the dimension is one higher than expected when $b = p = 0$. If one tried to apply the strategy of Proposition 5.7 when $b + p > 0$ then the needed inequalities would not work out — we would be precisely “off by one”. Note also that in applying Lemma 5.4 we cut the handlebody into pieces along some nonempty disc system, in which case all pieces have at least one marked disc on its boundary.

Remark 5.12. After Theorem B, it follows that $\text{NS}(V)$ is *homotopy equivalent* to a wedge of spheres whenever it is simply connected. We do not know this in general, but in some cases it is easy to verify. Indeed, note that $\text{NS}(V)$ is a subcomplex of the full disc complex $\mathbf{D}(V)$, which is contractible by McCullough [McC91]. Since a non-simple disc system needs to use at least g discs, it follows that NS contains the $(g - 2)$ -skeleton of \mathbf{D} . Thus NS is simply connected for $g \geq 4$.

When $g = 3$ one may give the following ad hoc argument to see that NS is simply connected. For any 2-cell σ in $\mathbf{D} \setminus \text{NS}$, choose arbitrarily a 3-cell σ' in \mathbf{D} obtained by adding a *separating* disc to σ . Then NS is an elementary collapse of $\text{NS} \cup \sigma \cup \sigma'$. Repeating this for each 2-cell in $\mathbf{D} \setminus \text{NS}$, we find a subcomplex of \mathbf{D} containing the 2-skeleton, which collapses down to NS . Hence NS is simply connected. It seems tricky to repeat this procedure further.

References

- [BE73] Robert Bieri and Beno Eckmann. Groups with homological duality generalizing Poincaré duality. *Invent. Math.*, 20:103–124, 1973.
- [BF00] Mladen Bestvina and Mark Feighn. The topology at infinity of $\text{Out}(F_n)$. *Invent. Math.*, 140(3):651–692, 2000.
- [BG20] Benjamin Brück and Radhika Gupta. Homotopy type of the complex of free factors of a free group. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 121(6):1737–1765, 2020.
- [Bro75] Kenneth S. Brown. Homological criteria for finiteness. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 50:129–135, 1975.
- [Brü24] Benjamin Brück. (Non-)Vanishing of high-dimensional group cohomology. *arXiv:2404.15026*, 2024.

- [BS73] Armand Borel and Jean-Pierre Serre. Corners and arithmetic groups. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 48:436–491, 1973.
- [BSV18] Kai-Uwe Bux, Peter Smillie, and Karen Vogtmann. On the bordification of outer space. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 98(1):12–34, 2018.
- [Cho08] Sangbum Cho. Homeomorphisms of the 3-sphere that preserve a Heegaard splitting of genus two. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 136(3):1113–1123, 2008.
- [CV86] Marc Culler and Karen Vogtmann. Moduli of graphs and automorphisms of free groups. *Invent. Math.*, 84(1):91–119, 1986.
- [FM12] Benson Farb and Dan Margalit. *A primer on mapping class groups*, volume 49 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012.
- [Gial1] Jeffrey Giansiracusa. The framed little 2-discs operad and diffeomorphisms of handlebodies. *J. Topol.*, 4(4):919–941, 2011.
- [Har86] John L. Harer. The virtual cohomological dimension of the mapping class group of an orientable surface. *Invent. Math.*, 84(1):157–176, 1986.
- [Hen20] Sebastian Hensel. A primer on handlebody groups. In *Handbook of group actions. V*, volume 48 of *Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM)*, pages 143–177. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, [2020] ©2020.
- [Hir03] Susumu Hirose. Some homological invariants of the mapping class group of a three-dimensional handlebody. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)*, 55(4):543–549, 2003.
- [HP23] Louis Hainaut and Dan Petersen. Top weight cohomology of moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces and handlebodies. Preprint available at arXiv:2305.03046, 2023.
- [HW10] Allen Hatcher and Nathalie Wahl. Stabilization for mapping class groups of 3-manifolds. *Duke Math. J.*, 155(2):205–269, 2010.
- [Iva87] Nikolai V. Ivanov. Complexes of curves and Teichmüller modular groups. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 42(3(255)):49–91, 255, 1987.
- [JW10] Lizhen Ji and Scott A. Wolpert. A cofinite universal space for proper actions for mapping class groups. In *In the tradition of Ahlfors-Bers. V*, volume 510 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 151–163. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.
- [McC91] Darryl McCullough. Virtually geometrically finite mapping class groups of 3-manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.*, 33(1):1–65, 1991.
- [MV15] Brian A. Munson and Ismar Volić. *Cubical homotopy theory*, volume 25 of *New Mathematical Monographs*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2015.
- [Qui78] Daniel Quillen. Homotopy properties of the poset of nontrivial p -subgroups of a group. *Adv. in Math.*, 28(2):101–128, 1978.
- [Rie14] Emily Riehl. *Categorical homotopy theory*, volume 24 of *New Mathematical Monographs*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- [Vog24] Karen Vogtmann. The boundary of bordified Outer space. *arXiv:2404.11371*, 2024.
- [WW24] Richard D. Wade and Thomas A. Wasserman. Cohen–Macaulay complexes, duality groups, and the dualizing module of $\text{Out}(F_N)$. Preprint available at arXiv:2405.05881, 2024.