

PACKING TOPOLOGICAL PRESSURE FOR AMENABLE GROUP ACTIONS

ZIQING DING, ERCAI CHEN* AND XIAOYAO ZHOU

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we first prove the variational principle for amenable packing topological pressure. Then we obtain an inequality concerning amenable packing pressure for factor maps. Finally, we show that the equality about packing topological pressure of the set of generic points when the system satisfies the almost specification property, or μ is ergodic.

1. INTRODUCTION

Kolomogorov was the first to introduce measure-theoretic entropy in [11], and later Adler, Konheim and McAndrew introduced topological entropy in [1]. The variational principle establishes a relationship between measure-theoretic entropy and topological entropy in [21]. In 1973, Bowen introduced a new definition of topological entropy [3] on a noncompact set, which was known as Bowen topological entropy or dimensional entropy. Then Pesin and Pitskel [18], which we called Pesin-Pitskel pressure. The Hausdorff dimension served as their inspiration. It makes sense to think about similar ideas in dynamical systems with different types of dimensions. For example, the packing dimension can help us come up with a new topological entropy and variational principle for sets that are not compact. Feng and Huang obtained two variational principles for Bowen entropy and packing entropy in [8]. It's a cornerstone for the rest of our research. Then Tang, Cheng and Zhao extended the Bowen entropy variational principle to Pensin-Pitskel pressure in [20], and Zhong and Chen extended the packing entropy variational principle to packing pressure in [29]. Zheng and Chen extended the Bowen entropy variational principle to amenable group actions in [27] and Dou, Zheng and Zhou extended the packing entropy variational principle to amenable group actions in [7], where G is a topological group acting continuously on X instead of Z . For Z action, T -invariant Borel probability measure are

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 37B40, 28D20, 37A15.

Key words and phrases: packing topological pressure; generic points; amenable group; factor map; variational principle.

*corresponding author

always existing. But there are some groups for which there is no invariant probability measure on X with G -actions. In the case where G is amenable, there exists a G -invariant Borel probability measure. In contrast to Z action, a general countable amenable group may have a very complicated structure, which makes it harder to study. Huang, Li and Zhou obtained the variational principle of Pesin-Pitskel pressure on amenable group actions in [9]. In this paper we focus on packing topological pressure within the framework of countable discrete amenable group actions.

The first section presents the amenable group and the three theorems that require proof in this paper. The second section mainly introduces packing topological pressure and measure pressure on amenable group actions. Sections 3, 4 and 5 are mainly the proofs of the above three theorems.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (X, G) be a G -action topological dynamical system and G a countable infinite discrete amenable group. Let $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of finite subsets in G satisfying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|F_n|}{\log n} = \infty$. Then for any non-empty analytic subset Z of X and $f \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$.*

If $P^P(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) > \|f\|_\infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} P^P(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) &= \sup\{\overline{P}_\mu(\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}(X), \mu(Z) = 1\} \\ &= \sup\{P_\mu^P(\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}(X), \mu(Z) = 1\} \\ &= \sup\{P_\mu^{KP}(\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}(X), \mu(Z) = 1\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $P^P(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f)$, $\overline{P}_\mu(\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f)$, $P_\mu^P(\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f)$, and $P_\mu^{KP}(\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f)$ are defined in sections 2.

Dou, Zheng and Zhou [7] prove that the first of these equations is in the case of $f = 0$. For the case $G = Z$, the above equation has been proved by Zhong and Chen in [29].

Let (X, G) and (Y, G) be two G -action topological dynamical systems. A continuous map $\pi : (X, G) \rightarrow (Y, G)$ is called a homomorphism or factor map from (X, G) to (Y, G) if it is onto and $\pi \circ g = g \circ \pi$, for all $g \in G$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be a countable infinite discrete amenable group. Let $\pi : (X, G) \rightarrow (Y, G)$ be a factor map. Let $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be any tempered Følner sequence in G satisfying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|F_n|}{\log n} = \infty$. Then for any non-empty subset E of X and $f \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} P^P(\pi(E), \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) &\leq P^P(E, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f \circ \pi) \\ &\leq P^P(\pi(E), \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) + \sup_{y \in Y} h_{top}^{UC}(\pi^{-1}(y), \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty). \end{aligned}$$

We remark here that for Z -actions, Bowen [2] proposed the inequality. Then Fang, Huang, Li and Zhang [5] proved it when entropy is Bowen entropy. Oprocha and Zhang [15] also proved the above by

using open covers. Li, Chen and Zhou [13] extended it to Pinsker topological pressure. C. Zhao, E. Chen, X. Hong and X. Zhou proved the inequalities to packing topological pressure in [26]. And for amenable group actions, the inequalities of packing entropy were proved by D. Dou, D. Zheng and X. Zhou in [7].

Theorem 1.3. *Let (X, G) be a G -action topological dynamical system and G a countable infinite discrete amenable group. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X, G)$ and $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a Følner sequence in G satisfying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|F_n|}{\log n} = \infty$. Then for any $f \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$.*

(1) *If (X, G) satisfies almost specification property, then*

$$P^P(G_\mu, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = h_\mu(X, G) + \int f d\mu.$$

(2) *If μ is ergodic and $\{F_n\}$ is tempered, then*

$$P^P(G_\mu, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = h_\mu(X, G) + \int f d\mu.$$

Bowen proved the equality for the case $G = \mathbb{Z}$ when μ is ergodic in [3]. Pfister and Sullivan extended it when the system satisfies the so-called g -almost product property in [19]. Zheng and Chen proved the equality of Bowen entropy for amenable group actions in [28]. Zhang proved it in [25] and come to the conclusion that weak specification implies almost specification for amenable systems. Then, Dou, Zheng and Zhou showed that the packing topological entropy of the set of generic points for any invariant Borel probability measure μ coincides with the metric entropy if either μ is ergodic or the system satisfies a kind of specification property.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we will introduce amenable group actions and some properties of topological pressure and measure-theoretic pressure.

2.1. Amenable group actions. Let (X, G) be a G -action topological dynamical system, where X is a compact metric space with metric d and G is a topological group acting continuously on X . Throughout this paper we assume that G is a countable infinite discrete amenable group. Recall that a countable discrete group G is amenable if there is a sequence of non-empty finite subsets $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of G which are asymptotically invariant, that is for all $g \in G$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|F_n \Delta gF_n|}{|F_n|} = 0,$$

which is called a Følner sequence. Since G is infinite, the sequence $|F_n|$ tends to infinity. Without loss of generality we can assume that $|F_n|$ increases when n increases. One can refer to [10, 16]. A Følner sequence

$\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is said to be tempered if there exists a constant $C > 0$ which is independent of n such that

$$\left| \bigcup_{k < n} F_k^{-1} F_n \right| \leq C |F_n|.$$

Let $\mathcal{M}(X)$, $\mathcal{M}(X, G)$ and $\mathcal{E}(X, G)$ be the collection of all, G -invariant and ergodic G -invariant Borel probability measures on X , respectively. Since G is amenable, $\mathcal{M}(X, G)$ and $\mathcal{E}(X, G)$ are both non-empty. For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X, G)$, let $h_\mu(X, G)$ denote the measure-theoretic entropy of (X, G) with respect to μ . Let

$$G_{\mu, \{F_n\}} = \left\{ x \in X : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{g \in F_n} f(gx) = \int_X f d\mu, \forall f \in C(X, \mathbb{R}) \right\}$$

be the set of generic points of μ with respect to $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. For simplicity, we can write $G_{\mu, \{F_n\}}$ as G_μ . But note that for different Følner sequence, the corresponding G_μ may not coincide.

The system (X, G) is said to have the *almost specification property* if there exists a non-decreasing function $g : (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, 1)$ with $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} g(r) = 0$ and a map $m : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}(G) \times (0, 1)$ where $\mathbb{F}(G)$ denotes the collection of all finite subsets of G , such that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, any $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_k \in (0, 1)$ and any $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in X$, if F_i is $m(\epsilon_i)$ -invariant, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, and $\{F_i\}_{i=1}^k$ are pairwise disjoint, then

$$\bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq k} B(g; F_i, x_i, \epsilon_i) \neq \emptyset,$$

where $B(g; F, x, \epsilon) := \{y \in X : |\{h \in F : d(hx, hy) > \epsilon\}| \leq g(\epsilon)|F|\}$ is the Bowen ball allowing a mistake function with density $g(\epsilon)$ and F is (K, δ) -invariant if $\frac{|\{g \in G : Kg \cap F \neq \emptyset, Kg \cap (G-F) \neq \emptyset\}|}{|F|} < \delta$.

2.2. Topological pressure of subsets. Given $F \in \mathbb{F}(G)$, $x, y \in X$, the Bowen metric d_F on X is defined by $d_F(x, y) := \max_{g \in F} d(gx, gy)$. Then *Bowen open ball* of radius ϵ in the metric d_F around x is given by

$$B_F(x, \epsilon) = \{y \in X : d_F(x, y) < \epsilon\},$$

and *Bowen closed ball* is given by

$$\overline{B}_F(x, \epsilon) = \{y \in X : d_F(x, y) \leq \epsilon\}.$$

Given $f \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$, where $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ denotes the set of all continuous functions, define

$$\begin{aligned} f_F(x) &= \sum_{g \in F} f(gx), \\ f_F(x, \epsilon) &= \sup_{y \in B_F(x, \epsilon)} f_F(y), \\ \bar{f}_F(x, \epsilon) &= \sup_{y \in \bar{B}_F(x, \epsilon)} f_F(y). \end{aligned}$$

Let $Z \subset X$ be a non-empty subset, $\epsilon > 0$, $f \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of finite subsets in G satisfying $|F_n| \rightarrow \infty (n \rightarrow \infty)$. Put

$$M^P(N, s, \epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = \sup \left\{ \sum_i e^{-s|F_{n_i}| + f_{F_{n_i}}(x_i)} \right\},$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite or countable disjoint $\{\bar{B}_{F_{n_i}}(x_i, \epsilon)\}_{i \in I}$ with $n_i \geq N$, $x_i \in Z$. Since $M^P(N, s, \epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f)$ is decreasing when N increases, the following limit exists. Set

$$M^P(s, \epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} M^P(N, s, \epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f).$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned} M^P(s, \epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) &= \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^\infty M^P(s, \epsilon, Z_i, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) : Z \subset \cup_{i=1}^\infty Z_i \right\}, \\ P^P(\epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) &= \sup \{s : M^P(s, \epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = \infty\}, \\ &= \inf \{s : M^P(s, \epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = 0\}, \\ P^P(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} P^P(\epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f). \end{aligned}$$

Since $P^P(\epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f)$ is increasing when ϵ decreases, the above limit exists. Then we call $P^P(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f)$ *packing topological pressure* of the set Z along $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with respect to f . When $f = 0$, $P^P(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, 0)$ is packing topological entropy $h_{top}^P(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty)$, which is defined by Dou, Zheng and Zhou [7].

Let $Z \subset X$ be a non-empty subset, $\epsilon > 0$, $f \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of finite subsets in G satisfying $|F_n| \rightarrow \infty (n \rightarrow \infty)$. Put

$$M^B(N, s, \epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = \inf \left\{ \sum_i e^{-s|F_{n_i}| + f_{F_{n_i}}(x_i)} \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all finite or countable cover $\{B_{F_{n_i}}(x_i, \epsilon)\}_{i \in I}$ of Z with $n_i \geq N$. Since $M^B(N, s, \epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}, f)$ is non-decreasing when

N increases, the following limit exists.

$$\begin{aligned} M^B(s, \epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} M^B(N, s, \epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f), \\ P^B(\epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) &= \sup \{s : M^B(s, \epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = \infty\}, \\ &= \inf \{s : M^B(s, \epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = 0\}, \\ P^B(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} P^B(\epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f). \end{aligned}$$

$P^B(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f)$ is called *Pesin-Pitskel topological pressure* of the set Z along $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with respect to f .

Let $Z \subset X$ be a non-empty subset, $F \in \mathbb{F}(G)$, $E \subset X$ and E is an (F, ϵ) -spanning set of Z if for any $x \in Z$, there exists $y \in E$ such that $d_F(x, y) < \epsilon$. A set $E \subset Z$ is an (F, ϵ) -separated set of Z if for any $x, y \in E$ with $x \neq y$, one has $d_F(x, y) > \epsilon$. Let $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{F}(G)$ satisfying $|F_n| \rightarrow \infty (n \rightarrow \infty)$. Put

$$Q(Z, \epsilon, F_n, f) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{x \in E} e^{f_{F_n}(x)} : E \text{ is an } (F_n, \epsilon)\text{-spanning set of } Z \right\},$$

$$P(Z, \epsilon, F_n, f) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{x \in E} e^{f_{F_n}(x)} : E \text{ is an } (F_n, \epsilon)\text{-separated set of } Z \right\}.$$

Let $\delta > 0$ and choose $\epsilon > 0$ so that $d(x, y) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ implies $|f(x) - f(y)| < \delta$. It is easy to get

$$(2.1) \quad Q(Z, \epsilon, F_n, f) \leq P(Z, \epsilon, F_n, f) \leq e^{|F_n|\delta} Q(Z, \frac{\epsilon}{2}, F_n, f).$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} P^{UC}(Z, \epsilon, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log P(Z, \epsilon, F_n, f), \\ P^{UC'}(Z, \epsilon, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log Q(Z, \epsilon, F_n, f). \end{aligned}$$

By 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P^{UC'}(Z, \epsilon, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) &\leq P^{UC}(Z, \epsilon, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \\ &\leq P^{UC'}(Z, \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) + \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} P^{UC}(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} P^{UC}(Z, \epsilon, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} P^{UC'}(Z, \epsilon, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f). \end{aligned}$$

$P^{UC}(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f)$ is called *upper capacity topological pressure* of the set Z along $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with respect to f .

2.3. Properties of amenable topological pressure. The following propositions are some basic properties of topological pressure. Below we will prove that Zhang's definition of *Pesin-Pitskel topological pressure* [25] is consistent with our definition above, which will help in proving Theorem 1.3.

Proposition 2.1. *If we define $P^{P'}(\epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f)$ and $P^{B'}(\epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f)$, by replacing the above $f_{F_{n_i}}(x_i)$ by $f_{F_{n_i}}(x_i, \epsilon)$ and $\overline{f}_{F_{n_i}}(x_i, \epsilon)$, then*

$$P^P(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} P^{P'}(\epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f),$$

$$P^B(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} P^{B'}(\epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f).$$

Proof. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. It is clear that

$$P^B(\epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \leq P^{B'}(\epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f).$$

Let

$$\text{var}(f, \epsilon) = \sup\{|f(x) - f(y)| : d(x, y) \leq \epsilon\}.$$

This shows that

$$f_{F_n}(x, \epsilon) - |F_n| \text{var}(f, \epsilon) \leq f_{F_n}(x).$$

$$\begin{aligned} M^B(N, s, \epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) &= \inf \left\{ \sum_i e^{-s|F_{n_i}| + f_{F_{n_i}}(x_i)} \right\} \\ &\geq \inf \left\{ \sum_i e^{-s|F_{n_i}| + f_{F_{n_i}}(x_i, \epsilon) - |F_{n_i}| \text{var}(f, \epsilon)} \right\} \\ &= M^{B'}(N, s + \text{var}(f, \epsilon), \epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $N \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$M^B(s, \epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \geq M^{B'}(s + \text{var}(f, \epsilon), \epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f),$$

$$P^B(\epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \geq P^{B'}(\epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) - \text{var}(f, \epsilon).$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$P^B(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} P^{B'}(\epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f).$$

The next equality can be proved similarly. □

The following four properties are obvious and we will omit the proof.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a Følner sequence in G .*

(1) *If $Z_1 \subset Z_2$, then for any $\epsilon > 0$, $f \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $M^P(N, s, \epsilon, Z_1, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \leq M^P(N, s, \epsilon, Z_2, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f)$, and moreover $M^P(s, \epsilon, Z_1, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \leq M^P(s, \epsilon, Z_2, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f)$.*

(2) *If $Z_1 \subset Z_2$, then $\mathfrak{P}(Z_1, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \leq \mathfrak{P}(Z_2, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f)$, where $\mathfrak{P} \in \{P^B, P^P, P^{UC}\}$.*

(3) *For all $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathfrak{P}(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f + c) = \mathfrak{P}(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) + c$, where*

$\mathfrak{P} \in \{P^B, P^P, P^{UC}\}$.

(4) If $Z \subset \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} Z_i$, then for any $\epsilon > 0$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $f \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$,

$$M^{\mathfrak{P}}(s, \epsilon, \cup_i Z_i, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} M^{\mathfrak{P}}(s, \epsilon, Z_i, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f),$$

$$P^{\mathfrak{P}}(\epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) \leq \sup_i P^{\mathfrak{P}}(\epsilon, Z_i, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f),$$

$$P^{\mathfrak{P}}(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) \leq \sup_i P^{\mathfrak{P}}(Z_i, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f).$$

Next we will compare *packing topological pressure* with *Pesin-Pitskel topological pressure*. Dou, Zheng and Zhou proved that *Bowen topological entropy* was smaller than *packing topological entropy* in [7] for amenable group actions. We now extend it to the pressure.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a Følner sequence in G . For any $Z \subset X$,*

$$P^B(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) \leq P^P(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f).$$

The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 2.4(4) in [29]. We give the proof here for completeness.

Proof. Suppose that $P^B(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) > s > -\infty$. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\mathcal{F}_{F_n, \epsilon} = \{\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{F} = \{\overline{B}_{F_n}(x_i, \epsilon)\} \text{ disjoint}, x_i \in Z\}.$$

Take $\mathcal{F}(F_n, \epsilon, Z) \in \mathcal{F}_{F_n, \epsilon}$ such that $|\mathcal{F}(F_n, \epsilon, Z)| = \max_{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_{F_n, \epsilon}} |\mathcal{F}|$. For convenience, we denote $\mathcal{F}(F_n, \epsilon, Z) = \{\overline{B}_{F_n}(x_i, \epsilon) : i = 1, \dots, |\mathcal{F}(F_n, \epsilon, Z)|\}$. It is easy to check that

$$Z \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{|\mathcal{F}(F_n, \epsilon, Z)|} B_{F_n}(x_i, 2\epsilon + \delta), \forall \delta > 0.$$

Then for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} M^B(n, s, 2\epsilon + \delta, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) &\leq e^{-s|\mathcal{F}(F_n, \epsilon, Z)|} \sum_{i=1}^{|\mathcal{F}(F_n, \epsilon, Z)|} e^{f_{F_n}(x_i)} \\ &\leq M^P(n, s, \epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$M^B(s, 2\epsilon + \delta, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) \leq M^P(s, \epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f).$$

Since $P^B(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) > s > -\infty$, $M^B(s, 2\epsilon + \delta, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) \geq 1$ when ϵ and δ are small enough, furthermore, $M^P(s, \epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) \geq 1$. This shows $P^P(\epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) \geq s$. Letting $s \rightarrow P^B(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f)$, we have $P^B(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) \leq P^P(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f)$. \square

Naturally we will compare *packing topological pressure* with *upper capacity topological pressure*. We can see similar conclusions in [7, 29].

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a Følner sequence in G satisfying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|F_n|}{\log n} = \infty$. Then for any subset $Z \subset X$, $f \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$ and any $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$P^P(\epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \leq P^{UC}(Z, \epsilon, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f).$$

Furthermore,

$$P^P(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \leq P^{UC}(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f).$$

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $-\infty < t < s < P^P(\epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f)$. Then

$$M^P(s, \epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \geq M^P(s, \epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = \infty.$$

For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a pairwise family $\overline{B}_{F_{n_i}}(x_i, \epsilon)$ such that $x_i \in Z$, $n_i \geq N$, and $\sum_i e^{-s|F_{n_i}| + f_{F_{n_i}}(x_i)} > 1$. For each k , let

$$m_k = \{x_i : n_i = k\}.$$

Then

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \sum_{x \in m_k} e^{f_{F_k}(x)} e^{-|F_k|s} > 1.$$

Since $\{F_n\}$ satisfies the growth condition $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|F_n|}{\log n} = \infty$, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{|F_k|(t-s)}$ converges. Let $M = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{|F_k|(t-s)}$. There must be some $k \geq N$ such that $\sum_{x \in m_k} e^{f_{F_k}(x)} > \frac{1}{M} e^{|F_k|t}$, otherwise the above sum is at most

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{M} e^{|F_k|t} e^{-|F_k|s} = 1.$$

This contradicts 2.2. So $P(Z, \epsilon, F_k, f) \geq \sum_{x \in m_k} e^{f_{F_k}(x)} > \frac{1}{M} e^{|F_k|t}$ and hence

$$P^{UC}(Z, \epsilon, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_k|} \log P(Z, \epsilon, F_k, f) \geq t.$$

This gets the first inequality by letting $t \rightarrow P^P(\epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f)$, and the second inequality by letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. \square

The following proposition played a key role in proving Theorem 1.2 and the proof is inspired by [7, Proposition 2.7].

Proposition 2.5. *Let $\epsilon > 0$, $Z \subset X$ and $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{F}(G)$ satisfying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|F_n|}{\log n} = \infty$, $f \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$.*

- (1) $P^P(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \leq \inf\{\sup_{i \geq 1} P^{UC}(Z_i, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) : Z = \cup_{i=1}^\infty Z_i\}$.
- (2) For any $\epsilon > 0, \delta > 0$, there exists a cover $\cup_{i=1}^\infty Z_i = Z$, such that

$$P^P(Z, \epsilon, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) + \delta \geq \sup_{i \geq 1} P^{UC}(Z_i, 3\epsilon, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f).$$

Proof. (1) For $Z = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Z_i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & P^P(Z, \epsilon, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) \\ & \leq \sup_{i \geq 1} P^P(Z_i, \epsilon, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) \text{ (by Proposition 2.2)} \\ & \leq \sup_{i \geq 1} P^{UC}(Z_i, \epsilon, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) \text{ (by Proposition 2.4)} \\ & \leq \sup_{i \geq 1} P^{UC}(Z_i, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$P^P(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) \leq \inf_{i \geq 1} \{ \sup_{i \geq 1} P^{UC}(Z_i, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) : Z = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Z_i \}.$$

(2) Assume that $P^P(Z, \epsilon, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) < \infty$. Given $\delta > 0$ and $s := P^P(Z, \epsilon, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) + \delta$. This means $M^P(s, \epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) = 0$. There exists a cover of $Z \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Z'_i$ such that

$$\sum_i M^P(s, \epsilon, Z'_i, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) < 1.$$

Set $Z_i = Z'_i \cap Z$. We have

$$\sum_i M^P(s, \epsilon, Z_i, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) < 1.$$

For each Z_i , when N is large enough, we have

$$M^P(N, s, \epsilon, Z_i, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) < 1.$$

Let E be an $(F_N, 3\epsilon)$ -separated set of Z_i . Since $\{\overline{B}_{F_N}(x_i, \epsilon) : x_i \in E\}$ is pairwise disjoint, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x_i \in E} e^{-s|F_N| + f_{F_N}(x_i)} & \leq M^P(N, s, \epsilon, Z_i, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) < 1, \\ \sum_{x_i \in E} e^{f_{F_N}(x_i)} & \leq e^{s|F_N|}, \end{aligned}$$

$$P^{UC}(Z_i, 3\epsilon, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) \leq s.$$

Furthermore,

$$P^P(Z, \epsilon, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) + \delta \geq \sup_{i \geq 1} P^{UC}(Z_i, 3\epsilon, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f).$$

□

2.4. Measure-theoretic pressure. Let $f \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$. Let $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of finite subsets of G with $|F_n| \rightarrow \infty$. The *measure-theoretic lower and upper local pressure* of $x \in X$ with respect to μ and f are defined by

$$\underline{P}_{\mu}(x, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\log \mu(B_{F_n}(x, \epsilon)) + f_{F_n}(x)}{|F_n|}.$$

$$\bar{P}_\mu(x, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\log \mu(B_{F_n}(x, \epsilon)) + f_{F_n}(x)}{|F_n|}.$$

Definition 2.6. *The measure-theoretic lower and upper local pressure with respect to μ and f are defined by*

$$P_\mu(\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) := \int P_\mu(x, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) d\mu(x).$$

$$\bar{P}_\mu(\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) := \int \bar{P}_\mu(x, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) d\mu(x).$$

The following two definitions were inspired by [29] and we will prove in Proposition 2.10 that the two of them are consistent. For the case $G = Z$, these was defined in [29].

Definition 2.7. *We call the following quantity*

$$P_\mu^P(\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) := \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \{P^P(\epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) : \mu(Z) \geq 1 - \delta\}$$

packing pressure of μ along $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with respect to f .

Definition 2.8. *Let*

$$M_\mu^P(s, \epsilon, \delta, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} M^P(s, \epsilon, Z_i, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) : \mu(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} Z_i) \geq 1 - \delta \right\}$$

and

$$P_\mu^{KP}(\epsilon, \delta, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = \sup \{s : M_\mu^P(s, \epsilon, \delta, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = +\infty\}.$$

We call the following quantity

$$P_\mu^{KP}(\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} P_\mu^{KP}(\epsilon, \delta, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f)$$

packing pressure of μ in the sense of Katok along $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with respect to f .

2.5. Properties of amenable measure-theoretic pressure.

Proposition 2.9. *Replacing $f_{F_{n_i}}(x_i)$ above by $f_{F_{n_i}}(x_i, \epsilon)$ and $\bar{f}_{F_{n_i}}(x_i, \epsilon)$ respectively, we can define new functions \mathcal{M}_μ^P and $P_\mu^{KP'}(\epsilon, \delta, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f)$. Then*

$$P_\mu^{KP}(\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} P_\mu^{KP'}(\epsilon, \delta, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f).$$

Proof. The proof is analogous to that of Proposition 2.1, so we omit it. \square

Proposition 2.10. *Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$, $f \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{F}(G)$ with $|F_n| \rightarrow \infty$. Then*

$$P_\mu^{KP}(\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = P_\mu^P(\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f).$$

Proof. We first prove that $P_\mu^{KP}(\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \leq P_\mu^P(\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f)$. For any $s < P_\mu^{KP}(\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f)$, there exists $\epsilon' > 0$ and $\delta' > 0$ such that for any $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon')$ and $\delta \in (0, \delta')$,

$$P_\mu^{KP}(\epsilon, \delta, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) > s.$$

Thus

$$M^P(s, \epsilon, \delta, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = \infty.$$

If $Z \subset \cup_{i=1}^\infty Z_i$ with $\mu(Z) \geq 1 - \delta$, then $\mu(\cup_{i=1}^\infty Z_i) \geq 1 - \delta$. It follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty M^P(s, \epsilon, Z_i, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = \infty,$$

which implies that $M^P(s, \epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = \infty$. Hence $P^P(\epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \geq s$ and $P_\mu^P(\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \geq s$. Letting $s \rightarrow P_\mu^{KP}(\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f)$, this shows that $P_\mu^{KP}(\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \leq P_\mu^P(\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f)$. Next, we shall show the inverse inequality. If $s < P^P(\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f)$, then there exists $\epsilon' > 0$ and $\delta' > 0$ such that for any $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon')$ and $\delta \in (0, \delta')$, for any family $\{Z_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ with $\mu(\cup_{i=1}^\infty Z_i) \geq 1 - \delta$, we have

$$P^P(\epsilon, \cup_{i=1}^\infty Z_i, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) > s.$$

This implies that

$$M^P(s, \epsilon, \cup_{i=1}^\infty Z_i, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = \infty.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty M^P(s, \epsilon, Z_i, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = \infty.$$

Thus

$$M^P(s, \epsilon, \delta, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = \infty.$$

Hence

$$P_\mu^{KP}(\epsilon, \delta, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) > s.$$

Letting $\delta \rightarrow 0, \epsilon \rightarrow 0, s \rightarrow P^P(\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f)$, we finish the proof. \square

Before proving the next proposition, we need the following classical 5r-lemma in geometric measure theory([14, Theorem 2.1]).

Lemma 2.11. *Let (X, d) be a compact metric space and $\mathcal{B} = \{B(x_i, r_i)\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ be a family of closed (or open) balls in X . Then there exists a finite or countable subfamily $\mathcal{B}' = \{B(x_i, r_i)\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}'}$ of pairwise disjoint balls in \mathcal{B} such that*

$$\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B \subset \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}'} B(x_i, 5r_i).$$

Proposition 2.12. *Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$, $Z \subset X$, $f \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{F}(G)$ satisfying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|F_n|}{\log n} = \infty$. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the following properties hold:*

(1) *If $\overline{P}_\mu(x, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \leq s$ for any $x \in Z$, then $P^P(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \leq s$.*

(2) If $\overline{P}_\mu(x, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \geq s$ for any $x \in Z$ and $\mu(Z) > 0$, then $P^P(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \geq s$.

Proof. We now prove (1). Fix $\beta > s$ and let

$$Z_m = \left\{ x \in Z : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\log \mu(B_{F_n}(x, \epsilon)) + f_{F_n}(x)}{|F_n|} < \frac{\beta + s}{2}, \forall \epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{m}) \right\}.$$

It is easy to check that $Z = \bigcup_{m=1}^\infty Z_m$. Given $m \geq 1$, $x \in Z_m$ and $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{m})$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n \geq N$,

$$\mu(B_{F_n}(x, \epsilon)) > e^{-\frac{\beta+s}{2}|F_n| + f_{F_n}(x)}.$$

Let

$$Z_{m,N} = \left\{ x \in Z_m : \mu(B_{F_n}(x, \epsilon)) \geq e^{-\frac{\beta+s}{2}|F_n| + f_{F_n}(x)}, \forall n \geq N, \epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{m}) \right\}.$$

It is clear that $Z_m = \bigcup_{N=1}^\infty Z_{m,N}$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $L \geq N$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{B_{F_{n_i}}(x_i, \epsilon)\}_{i \in I}$, where $x_i \in Z_{m,N}$, $n_i \geq L$ be a finite or countable disjoint family.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i e^{-\beta|F_{n_i}| + f_{F_{n_i}}(x_i)} &= \sum_i e^{-|F_{n_i}|(\frac{\beta+s}{2} + \frac{\beta-s}{2}) + f_{F_{n_i}}(x_i)} \\ &\leq e^{-|F_L|(\frac{\beta-s}{2})} \sum_i e^{-|F_{n_i}|(\frac{\beta+s}{2}) + f_{F_{n_i}}(x_i)} \\ &\leq e^{-|F_L|(\frac{\beta-s}{2})} \sum_i \mu(\overline{B}_{F_{n_i}}(x_i, \epsilon)) \\ &\leq e^{-|F_L|(\frac{\beta-s}{2})}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$M^P(L, \beta, \epsilon, Z_{m,N}, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \leq e^{-|F_L|(\frac{\beta-s}{2})}.$$

Letting $L \rightarrow \infty$, we have $M^P(\beta, \epsilon, Z_{m,N}, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = 0$, which implies that $M^P(\beta, \epsilon, Z_m, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = 0$. Hence $P^P(\epsilon, Z_m, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \leq \beta$. Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, it follows that $P^P(Z_m, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \leq \beta$. Combining this and $P^P(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \leq \sup_m P^P(Z_m, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f)$, we get $P^P(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \leq \beta$. Letting $\beta \rightarrow s$, we prove (1).

Now, we prove (2). Fix $\beta < s$. Let $\delta = \frac{s-\beta}{2}$ and $Z_m = \left\{ x \in Z : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\log \mu(B_{F_n}(x, \frac{1}{m})) + f_{F_n}(x)}{|F_n|} > \beta + \delta \right\}$. Then $Z = \bigcup_{m=1}^\infty Z_m$. Since $\mu(Z) > 0$ and $Z_n \subset Z_{n+1}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mu(Z_m) > 0$. For any $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{m})$ and $x \in Z_m$, we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\log \mu(B_{F_n}(x, \epsilon)) + f_{F_n}(x)}{|F_n|} > \beta + \delta.$$

Next we claim that $M^P(s, \frac{\epsilon}{10}, Z_m, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = \infty$, which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} P^P(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) &\geq P^P(Z_m, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \\ &\geq P^P(\frac{\epsilon}{10}, Z_m, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \\ &\geq s. \end{aligned}$$

To this end, it suffices to show that $M^P(s, \frac{\epsilon}{10}, E, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = \infty$ for any Borel subset $E \subset Z_m$ with $\mu(E) > 0$. In fact, for $E \subset Z_m$ with $\mu(E) > 0$, let $E_n = \{x \in E : \mu(B_{F_n}(x, \epsilon)) < e^{-|F_n|(\beta+\delta)+f_{F_n}(x)}\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is clear that $E = \bigcup_{n=N}^\infty E_n$ for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\mu(\bigcup_{n=N}^\infty E_n) = \mu(E)$. Hence there exists $n \geq N$ such that

$$\mu(E_n) \geq \frac{1}{n(n+1)}\mu(E).$$

Fix such n and let $\mathcal{B} = \{\overline{B}_{F_n}(x, \frac{\epsilon}{10}) : x \in E_n\}$. By Lemma 2.11, there exists a finite or countable pairwise disjoint family $\{\overline{B}_{F_n}(x_i, \frac{\epsilon}{10})\}_{i \in I}$ such that

$$E_n \subset \bigcup_{x \in E_n} \overline{B}_{F_n}(x, \frac{\epsilon}{10}) \subset \bigcup_{i \in I} \overline{B}_{F_n}(x_i, \frac{\epsilon}{2}) \subset \bigcup_{i \in I} B_{F_n}(x_i, \epsilon).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} M^P(N, \beta, \frac{\epsilon}{10}, E, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) &\geq M^P(N, \beta, \frac{\epsilon}{10}, E_n, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \\ &\geq \sum_{i \in I} e^{-|F_n|\beta+f_{F_n}(x_i)} \\ &= e^{|F_n|\delta} \sum_{i \in I} e^{-|F_n|(\beta+\delta)+f_{F_n}(x_i)} \\ &\geq e^{|F_n|\delta} \sum_{i \in I} \mu(B_{F_n}(x_i, \epsilon)) \\ &\geq e^{|F_n|\delta} \mu(E_n) \\ &\geq \frac{e^{|F_n|\delta}}{n(n+1)}\mu(E). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|F_n|}{\log n} = \infty$ and $\mu(E) > 0$, we have

$$M^P(s, \frac{\epsilon}{10}, E, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = \infty.$$

□

Proposition 2.13. *Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$, $Z \subset X$, $f \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{F}(G)$ with $|F_n| \rightarrow \infty$. We have*

$$\overline{P}_\mu(\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \leq P_\mu^{KP}(\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f).$$

Proof. For any $s < \overline{P}_\mu(\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f)$, we can find a Borel set $A \subset X$ with $\mu(A) > 0$ such that, for any $x \in A$,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\log \mu(B_{F_n}(x, \epsilon)) + f_{F_n}(x)}{|F_n|} > s.$$

Given $\delta \in (0, \mu(A))$ and $\epsilon > 0$. We shall show that $P_\mu^{KP}(\frac{\epsilon}{10}, \delta, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) > s$, which implies that $P_\mu^{KP}(\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \geq s$. It suffices to show that

$$M_\mu^P(s, \frac{\epsilon}{10}, \delta, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = \infty.$$

Let $\{Z_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a finite or countable family with $\mu(\cup_{i \in I} Z_i) > 1 - \delta$. Since

$$A = (A \cap (\cup_{i \in I} Z_i)) \cup (A \setminus \cup_{i \in I} Z_i),$$

it follows that $\mu(A \cap (\cup_{i \in I} Z_i)) \geq \mu(A) - \delta > 0$. Thus there exists i such that $\mu(A \cap Z_i) > 0$. Due to Proposition 2.12, we have

$$M^P(s, \frac{\epsilon}{10}, Z_i, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \geq M^P(s, \frac{\epsilon}{10}, A \cap Z_i, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = \infty.$$

Thus

$$M_\mu^P(s, \frac{\epsilon}{10}, \delta, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = \infty.$$

□

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section, we will prove the variational principle, which is divided into two parts: upper bound and lower bound.

3.1. Lower bound. Using Proposition 2.10 and Proposition 2.13, this shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup \{ \overline{P}_\mu(\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}(X), \mu(Z) = 1 \} \\ & \leq \sup \{ P_\mu^{KP}(\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}(X), \mu(Z) = 1 \} \\ & = \sup \{ P_\mu^P(\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}(X), \mu(Z) = 1 \} \\ & \leq P^P(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f). \end{aligned}$$

3.2. Upper bound. Now we just need to prove that for any non-empty analytic subset $Z \subset X$, $f \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $s \in (\|f\|, P^P(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f))$ there exists μ satisfying $\mu(Z) = 1$ and $\overline{P}_\mu(\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \geq s$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $Z \subset X$, $\epsilon > 0$ and $s > \|f\|$. If $M^P(s, \epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = \infty$, then for any given finite interval $(a, b) \subset [0, +\infty)$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a finite disjoint collection $\{\overline{B}_{F_{n_i}}(x_i, \epsilon)\}$ such that $x_i \in Z$, $n_i \geq N$, and $\sum_i e^{-s|F_{n_i}| + f_{F_{n_i}}(x_i)} \in (a, b)$.*

Proof. Take $N_1 > N$ large enough such that $e^{|F_{N_1}|(\|f\| - s)} < b - a$. Since $M^P(s, \epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = \infty$, it follows that $M^P(N_1, s, \epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = \infty$. There hence exists a finite disjoint collection $\{\overline{B}_{F_{n_i}}(x_i, \epsilon)\}$ such that $x_i \in Z$, $n_i \geq N_1$ and $\sum_i e^{-|F_{n_i}|s + f_{F_{n_i}}(x_i)} > b$. Since $e^{-|F_{n_i}|s + f_{F_{n_i}}(x_i)} \leq$

$e^{|F_{n_i}|(\|f\|-s)} \leq b - a$, we can discard elements in this collection one by one until we have $\sum_i e^{-s|F_{n_i}|+f_{F_{n_i}}(x_i)} \in (a, b)$. \square

We now turn to show the Upper bound. We employ the approach used by Feng and Huang in [8]. For any $s \in (\|f\|, P^P(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f))$, we take ϵ small enough such that $s < P^P(\epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f)$. Fix $t \in (s, P^P(\epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f))$. Since Z is analytic, there exists a continuous surjective map $\phi : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow Z$. Let $\Gamma_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_p} = \{(m_1, m_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{N} : m_1 \leq n_1, m_2 \leq n_2, \dots, m_p \leq n_p\}$ and let $Z_{n_1, \dots, n_p} = \phi(\Gamma_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_p})$. The construction is divided into the following three steps:

Step 1. Construct $K_1, \mu_1, n_1, \gamma_1$, and $m_1(\cdot)$. Note that $M^P(t, \epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = \infty$. Let

$$H = \bigcup \{G \subset X : G \text{ is open, } M^P(t, \epsilon, Z \cap G, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = 0\}.$$

Then $M^P(t, \epsilon, Z \cap H, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = 0$ by the separability of X . Let

$$Z' = Z \setminus H = Z \cap (X \setminus H).$$

For any open set $G \subset X$, either $Z' \cap G = \emptyset$ or $M^P(t, \epsilon, Z' \cap G, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) > 0$. Indeed, suppose that $M^P(t, \epsilon, Z' \cap G, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = 0$. Since $Z = Z' \cup (Z \cap H)$,

$$\begin{aligned} M^P(t, \epsilon, Z \cap G, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) &\leq M^P(t, \epsilon, Z' \cap G, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \\ &\quad + M^P(t, \epsilon, Z \cap H, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $G \subset H$, which implies that $Z' \cap G = \emptyset$. Since

$$M^P(t, \epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \leq M^P(t, \epsilon, Z \cap H, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) + M^P(t, \epsilon, Z', \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f)$$

and $M^P(t, \epsilon, Z \cap H, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = 0$, we have

$$M^P(t, \epsilon, Z', \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = M^P(t, \epsilon, Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = \infty.$$

Using Lemma 3.1, we can find a finite set $K_1 \subset Z'$, an integer-valued function $m_1(x)$ on K_1 such that the collection $\{\overline{B}_{F_{m_1(x)}}(x, \epsilon)\}_{x \in K_1}$ is disjoint and

$$\sum_{x \in K_1} e^{-s|F_{m_1(x)}|+f_{F_{m_1(x)}}(x)} \in (1, 2).$$

Define

$$\mu_1 = \sum_{x \in K_1} e^{-s|F_{m_1(x)}|+f_{F_{m_1(x)}}(x)} \delta_x.$$

Take a small γ_1 such that for any function $z : K_1 \rightarrow X$ with $\max_{x \in K_1} d(x, z(x)) \leq \gamma_1$, we have for each $x \in K_1$, $(\overline{B}(z(x), \gamma_1) \cup \overline{B}_{F_{m_1(x)}}(z(x), \epsilon)) \cap (\bigcup_{y \in K_1 \setminus \{x\}} \overline{B}(z(y), \gamma_1) \cup \overline{B}_{F_{m_1(y)}}(z(y), \epsilon))) = \emptyset$. It follows from $K_1 \subset Z'$ that for any $x \in K_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} M^P(t, \epsilon, Z \cap B(x, \frac{\gamma_1}{4}), \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) &\geq M^P(t, \epsilon, Z' \cap B(x, \frac{\gamma_1}{4}), \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we can pick a sufficiently large $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $K_1 \subset Z_{n_1}$ and $M^{\mathcal{P}}(t, \epsilon, Z_{n_1} \cap B(x, \frac{\gamma_1}{4}), \{F_n\}, f) > 0$ for each $x \in K_1$.

Step 2. Construct $K_2, \mu_2, n_2, \gamma_2$, and $m_2(\cdot)$.

The family of balls $\{\overline{B}(x, \gamma_1)\}_{x \in K_1}$ are pairwise disjoint. For each $x \in K_1$, since $M^{\mathcal{P}}(t, \epsilon, Z_{n_1} \cap B(x, \frac{\gamma_1}{4}), \{F_n\}, f) > 0$, we can construct a finite set as in Step 1

$$E_2(x) \subset Z_{n_1} \cap B(x, \frac{\gamma_1}{4})$$

and an integer-valued function

$$m_2 : E_2(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cap [\max\{m_1(y) : y \in K_1\}, \infty]$$

such that

(2-a) $M^{\mathcal{P}}(t, \epsilon, Z_{n_1} \cap G, \{F_n\}, f) > 0$, for any open set G with $G \cap E_2(x) \neq \emptyset$;

(2-b) the elements in $\{\overline{B}_{F_{m_2(y)}}(y, \epsilon)\}_{y \in E_2(x)}$ are disjoint, and

$$\mu_1(\{x\}) < \sum_{y \in E_2(x)} e^{-s|F_{m_2(y)}| + f_{F_{m_2(y)}}(y)} < (1 + 2^{-2})\mu_1(\{x\}).$$

To see it, we fix $x \in K_1$. Denote $F = Z_{n_1} \cap B(x, \frac{\gamma_1}{4})$. Let

$$H_x = \bigcup \{G \subset X : G \text{ is open, } M^{\mathcal{P}}(t, \epsilon, F \cap G, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) = 0\}.$$

Set

$$F' = F \setminus H_x.$$

Then as in Step 1, we can show that

$$M^{\mathcal{P}}(t, \epsilon, F', \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) = M^{\mathcal{P}}(t, \epsilon, F, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) > 0$$

and

$$M^{\mathcal{P}}(t, \epsilon, F' \cap G, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) > 0,$$

for any open set G with $G \cap F' \neq \emptyset$. Since $s < t$,

$$M^{\mathcal{P}}(s, \epsilon, F', \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) = \infty.$$

Using Lemma 3.1 again, we can find a finite set $E_2(x) \subset F'$, an integer-valued function $m_2(x)$ on $E_2(x)$ so that (2-b) holds. Observe that if $G \cap E_2(x) \neq \emptyset$ and G is open, then $G \cap F' \neq \emptyset$. Hence

$$M^{\mathcal{P}}(t, \epsilon, Z_{n_1} \cap G, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) \geq M^{\mathcal{P}}(t, \epsilon, F' \cap G, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) > 0.$$

Then (2-a) holds. Since the family $\{\overline{B}(x, \gamma_1)\}_{x \in K_1}$ is disjoint, $E_2(x) \cap E_2(x') = \emptyset$ for different $x, x' \in K_1$. Define $K_2 = \bigcup_{x \in K_1} E_2(x)$ and

$$\mu_2 = \sum_{y \in K_2} e^{-s|F_{m_2(y)}| + f_{F_{m_2(y)}}(y)} \delta_y.$$

The elements in $\{\overline{B}_{F_{m_2(y)}}(y, \epsilon)\}_{y \in K_2}$ are disjoint. Hence we can take $\gamma_2 \in (0, \frac{\gamma_1}{4})$ such that for any function $z : K_2 \rightarrow X$ satisfying $\max_{x \in K_2} d(x, z(x)) < \gamma_2$, we have $(\overline{B}(z(x), \gamma_2) \cup \overline{B}_{F_{m_2(x)}}(z(x), \epsilon)) \cap$

$(\bigcup_{y \in K_2 \setminus \{x\}} \overline{B}(z(y), \gamma_2) \cup \overline{B}_{F_{m_2(y)}}(z(y), \epsilon)) = \emptyset$. For each $x \in K_2$. Choose a sufficiently large $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $K_2 \subset Z_{n_1, n_2}$ and

$$M^P(t, \epsilon, Z_{n_1, n_2} \cap B(x, \frac{\gamma_2}{4}), \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) > 0,$$

for each $x \in K_2$.

Step 3. Assume that $K_i, \mu_i, n_i, \gamma_i$, and $m_i(\cdot)$ have been constructed for $i = 1, \dots, p$. In particular, suppose that for any function $z : K_p \rightarrow X$ with $\max_{x \in K_p} d(x, z(x)) < \gamma_p$, we have $(\overline{B}(z(x), \gamma_p) \cup \overline{B}_{F_{m_p(x)}}(z(x), \epsilon)) \cap (\bigcup_{y \in K_p \setminus \{x\}} \overline{B}(z(y), \gamma_p) \cup \overline{B}_{F_{m_p(y)}}(z(y), \epsilon)) = \emptyset$, for each $x \in K_p$. Then $K_p \subset Z_{n_1 \dots n_p}$ and

$$M^P(t, \epsilon, Z_{n_1 \dots n_p} \cap B(x, \frac{\gamma_p}{4}), \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) > 0,$$

for each $x \in K_p$. The family of balls $\{\overline{B}(x, \gamma_p)\}_{x \in K_p}$ are pairwise disjoint. For each $x \in K_p$, since $M^P(t, \epsilon, Z_{n_1 \dots n_p} \cap B(x, \frac{\gamma_p}{4}), \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) > 0$, we can construct as in Step 2 a finite set

$$E_{p+1}(x) \subset Z_{n_1 \dots n_p} \cap B(x, \frac{\gamma_p}{4})$$

and an integer-valued function

$$m_{p+1} : E_{p+1}(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cap [\max\{m_p(y) : y \in K_p\}, \infty]$$

such that

(3-a) $M^P(t, \epsilon, Z_{n_1 \dots n_p} \cap G, \{F_n\}, f) > 0$, for any open set G with $G \cap E_{p+1}(x) \neq \emptyset$;

(3-b) the elements in $\{\overline{B}_{F_{m_{p+1}(y)}}(y, \epsilon)\}_{y \in E_{p+1}(x)}$ are disjoint, and

$$\mu_p(\{x\}) < \sum_{y \in E_{p+1}(x)} e^{-s|F_{m_{p+1}(y)}| + f_{F_{m_{p+1}(y)}}(y)} < (1 + 2^{-p-1})\mu_p(\{x\}).$$

Clearly $E_{p+1}(x) \cap E_{p+1}(y) = \emptyset$ for different $x, y \in K_p$. Define $K_{p+1} = \bigcup_{x \in K_p} E_{p+1}(x)$ and

$$\mu_{p+1} = \sum_{y \in K_{p+1}} e^{-s|F_{m_{p+1}(y)}| + f_{F_{m_{p+1}(y)}}(y)} \delta_y.$$

The elements in $\{\overline{B}_{F_{m_{p+1}(y)}}(y, \epsilon)\}_{y \in K_{p+1}}$ are disjoint. Hence we can take $\gamma_{p+1} \in (0, \frac{\gamma_p}{4})$ such that for any function $z : K_{p+1} \rightarrow X$ satisfying $\max_{x \in K_{p+1}} d(x, z(x)) < \gamma_{p+1}$, we have $(\overline{B}(z(x), \gamma_{p+1}) \cup \overline{B}_{F_{m_{p+1}(x)}}(z(x), \epsilon)) \cap (\bigcup_{y \in K_{p+1} \setminus \{x\}} \overline{B}(z(y), \gamma_{p+1}) \cup \overline{B}_{F_{m_{p+1}(y)}}(z(y), \epsilon)) = \emptyset$, for each $x \in K_{p+1}$. Choose a sufficiently large $n_{p+1} \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $K_{p+1} \subset Z_{n_1 \dots n_{p+1}}$ and

$$M^P(t, \epsilon, Z_{n_1 \dots n_{p+1}} \cap B(x, \frac{\gamma_{p+1}}{4}), \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) > 0,$$

for each $x \in K_{p+1}$. As in above steps, we can construct by introduction $\{K_i\}, \{\mu_i\}, n_i, \gamma_i$, and $m_i(\cdot)$. We summarize some of their basic properties as follows:

- (a) For each i , the family $\mathcal{F}_i := \{\overline{B}(x, \gamma_i) : x \in K_i\}$ is disjoint. For every $B \in \mathcal{F}_{i+1}$, there exists $x \in K_i$ such that $B \subset B(x, \frac{\gamma_i}{2})$.
- (b) For each $x \in K_i$ and $z \in B(x, \gamma_i)$, we have

$$\overline{B}_{F_{m_i(x)}}(z, \epsilon) \cap \bigcup_{y \in K_i \setminus \{x\}} B(y, \gamma_i) = \emptyset$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_i(B(x, \gamma_i)) &= e^{-s|F_{m_i(x)}| + f_{F_{m_i(x)}}(x)} \\ &< \sum_{y \in E_{i+1}(x)} e^{-s|F_{m_{i+1}(y)}| + f_{F_{m_{i+1}(y)}}(y)} \\ &< (1 + 2^{-i-1})\mu_i(B(x, \gamma_i)), \end{aligned}$$

where $E_{i+1}(x) = B(x, \gamma_i) \cap K_{i+1}$. Furthermore, for $F_i \in \mathcal{F}_i$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_i(F_i) &\leq \mu_{i+1}(F_i) = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{i+1}: F \subset F_i} \mu_{i+1}(F) \\ &\leq \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{i+1}: F \subset F_i} (1 + 2^{-i-1})\mu_i(F) \\ &= (1 + 2^{-i-1}) \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{i+1}: F \subset F_i} \mu_i(F) \\ &\leq (1 + 2^{-i-1})\mu_i(F_i). \end{aligned}$$

Using the above inequalities repeatedly, we have for any $j > i$, $F_i \in \mathcal{F}_i$,

$$(3.1) \quad \mu_i(F_i) \leq \mu_j(F_i) \leq \prod_{n=i+1}^j (1 + 2^{-n})\mu_i(F_i) \leq C\mu_i(F_i),$$

where $C := \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + 2^{-n}) < \infty$. Let $\tilde{\mu}$ be a limit point of $\{\mu_i\}$ in the weak-star topology, let

$$K = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\bigcup_{i \geq n} K_i}.$$

Then $\tilde{\mu}$ is supported on K , $K \subset \bigcap_{p=1}^{+\infty} \overline{Z_{n_1, \dots, n_p}}$. By the continuity of ϕ , applying the Cantor's diagonal argument, we can show that $\bigcap_{p=1}^{+\infty} \overline{Z_{n_1, \dots, n_p}} = \bigcap_{p=1}^{+\infty} Z_{n_1, \dots, n_p}$. Hence K is a compact subset of Z . For any $x \in K_i$, by 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-s|F_{m_i(x)}| + f_{F_{m_i(x)}}(x)} &= \mu_i(B(x, \gamma_i)) \\ &\leq \tilde{\mu}(B(x, \gamma_i)) \\ &\leq C\mu_i(B(x, \gamma_i)) \\ &= Ce^{-s|F_{m_i(x)}| + f_{F_{m_i(x)}}(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq \sum_{x \in K_1} \mu_1(B(x, \gamma_1)) \leq \tilde{\mu}(K) \\ &\leq \sum_{x \in K_1} C \mu_1(B(x, \gamma_1)) \\ &\leq 2C. \end{aligned}$$

For every $x \in K_i$ and $z \in \overline{B}(x, \gamma_i)$,

$$\tilde{\mu}(\overline{B}_{F_{m_i(x)}}(z, \epsilon)) \leq \tilde{\mu}(\overline{B}(x, \frac{\gamma_i}{2})) \leq C e^{-s|F_{m_i(x)}| + f_{F_{m_i(x)}}(x)}.$$

For each $z \in K$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $z \in \overline{B}(x, \frac{\gamma_i}{2})$ for some $x \in K_i$. Thus

$$\tilde{\mu}(\overline{B}_{F_{m_i(x)}}(z, \epsilon)) \leq C e^{-s|F_{m_i(x)}| + f_{F_{m_i(x)}}(x)}.$$

Let $\mu = \tilde{\mu} \setminus \tilde{\mu}(K)$. Then $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$, $\mu(K) = 1$, and for every $z \in K$, there exists a sequence $\{k_i\}$ with $k_i \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$\mu(B_{F_{k_i}}(z, \epsilon)) \leq \frac{C e^{-s|F_{k_i}| + f_{F_{k_i}}(z)}}{\tilde{\mu}(K)}.$$

This implies that $\overline{P}_\mu(\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \geq s$.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

4.1. Proof of the first inequality. We need to prove

$$P^P(\pi(E), \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \leq P^P(E, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f \circ \pi).$$

Let $G, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\pi : (X, G) \rightarrow (Y, G)$ be as in Theorem 1.2 and $E \subset X$ be a subset. Let d and ρ be the compatible metrics on X and Y , respectively. For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $x_1, x_2 \in X$ with $d(x_1, x_2) \leq \delta$, one has $\rho(\pi(x_1), \pi(x_2)) \leq \epsilon$. Now let $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^k \subset \pi(E)$ be any (F_n, ϵ) separated set of $\pi(E)$ and for each i choose a point $x_i \in \pi^{-1}(y_i) \cap E$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k e^{f_{F_n}(y_i)} &= \sum_{i=1}^k e^{f_{F_n} \circ \pi(x_i)} \\ &\leq P(E, \delta, F_n, f \circ \pi). \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$P(\pi(E), \epsilon, F_n, f) \leq P(E, \delta, F_n, f \circ \pi).$$

Furthermore,

$$P^{UC}(\pi(E), \epsilon, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \leq P^{UC}(E, \delta, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f \circ \pi).$$

By Proposition 2.5, for any $\eta > 0$, there exists a cover $\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty E_i = E$ such that

$$P^P(E, \frac{\delta}{3}, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f \circ \pi) + \eta \geq \sup_{i \geq 1} P^{UC}(E_i, \delta, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f \circ \pi).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
P^P(\pi(E), \epsilon, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) &\leq \sup_{i \geq 1} P^P(\pi(E_i), \epsilon, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \\
&\leq \sup_{i \geq 1} P^{UC}(\pi(E_i), \epsilon, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \\
&\leq \sup_{i \geq 1} P^{UC}(E_i, \delta, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f \circ \pi) \\
&\leq P^P(E, \frac{\delta}{3}, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f \circ \pi) + \eta,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$P^P(\pi(E), \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \leq P^P(E, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f \circ \pi).$$

4.2. Proof of the second inequality.

Lemma 4.1. (A claim in [7]) Fix $\tau > 0$, for any $\epsilon > 0$. When η is small enough and N is large enough, for all $y \in Y$ and $n > N$, there exists $l(y) > 0$ and $v_1(y), \dots, v_{l(y)}(y) \in X$ such that

$$\pi^{-1}(B_{F_n}(y, \eta, \rho)) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{l(y)} B_{F_n}(v_i(y), 4\epsilon, d),$$

$$l(y) \leq \exp((a + 2\tau)|F_n|)$$

and

$$\pi B_{F_n}(v_i(y), 4\epsilon, d) \cap B_{F_n}(y, \eta, \rho) \neq \emptyset, 1 \leq i \leq l(y),$$

where $a = \sup_{y \in Y} h_{top}^{UC}(\pi^{-1}(y), \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty) < \infty$.

Theorem 4.2. Let G be a countable infinite discrete amenable group, $\pi : (X, G) \rightarrow (Y, G)$ be a factor map, $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be any tempered Følner sequence satisfying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|F_n|}{\log n} = \infty$, $E \subset X$ and $f \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$. Then

$$P^{UC}(E, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f \circ \pi) \leq P^{UC}(\pi(E), \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) + \sup_{y \in Y} h_{top}^{UC}(\pi^{-1}(y), \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty),$$

where $h_{top}^{UC}(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty) = P^{UC}(Z, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, 0)$.

Proof. We assume that

$$a := \sup_{y \in Y} h_{top}^{UC}(\pi^{-1}(y), \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty) < \infty.$$

Fix $\tau > 0$, $\epsilon > 0$ and $\eta > 0$ small enough. Let N be as in Lemma 4.1. For any $n > N$, we will apply Lemma 4.1 in the following step. For any subset E of X , let H be an (F_n, η) -spanning set of $\pi(E)$ with minimal cardinality. Then by the claim, the set $R = \{v_i(y) : 1 \leq i \leq l(y), y \in H\}$ forms an $(F_n, 4\epsilon)$ -spanning set of E . Since

$$E \subset \pi^{-1}\pi(E) \subset \bigcup_{y \in H} \pi^{-1}B_{F_n}(y, \eta, \rho) \subset \bigcup_{y \in H} \bigcup_{i=1}^{l(y)} B_{F_n}(v_i(y), 4\epsilon, d).$$

Assume that $\text{var}(f, \eta) = \sup_{x, y \in X} \{|f(x) - f(y)| : d(x, y) \leq \eta\}$ and $\text{var}(f \circ \pi, 4\epsilon) = \sup_{x, y \in Y} \{|f \circ \pi(x) - f \circ \pi(y)| : \rho(x, y) \leq 4\epsilon\}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} Q(E, 4\epsilon, F_n, f \circ \pi) &\leq \sum_{y \in H} \sum_{i=1}^{l(y)} \exp(f_{F_n} \circ \pi(v_i(y))) \\ &\leq \sum_{y \in H} \sum_{i=1}^{l(y)} \exp(f_{F_n}(y) + |F_n| \text{var}(f, \eta) + |F_n| \text{var}(f \circ \pi, 4\epsilon)) \\ &\leq \exp((a + 2\tau)|F_n|) \sum_{y \in H} \exp(f_{F_n}(y) + |F_n| \text{var}(f, \eta) + |F_n| \text{var}(f \circ \pi, 4\epsilon)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log Q(E, 4\epsilon, F_n, f \circ \pi) \\ &\leq a + 2\tau + \text{var}(f \circ \pi, 4\epsilon) + \text{var}(f, \eta) + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log \sum_{y \in H} \exp(f_{F_n}(y)). \\ &P^{UC'}(E, 4\epsilon, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f \circ \pi) \leq P^{UC'}(\pi(E), \eta, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) + a + 2\tau + \\ &\text{var}(f \circ \pi, 4\epsilon) + \text{var}(f, \eta). \text{ Letting } \epsilon \rightarrow 0, \eta \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } \tau \rightarrow 0, \text{ we have} \\ &P^{UC}(E, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f \circ \pi) \leq P^{UC}(\pi(E), \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) + \sup_{y \in Y} h_{top}^{UC}(\pi^{-1}(y), \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Next we prove the the second inequality. By Theorem 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &P^{UC}(E, 8\epsilon, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f \circ \pi) - \text{var}(f \circ \pi, 4\epsilon) \\ &\leq P^{UC'}(E, 4\epsilon, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f \circ \pi) \\ &\leq P^{UC'}(\pi(E), \eta, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) + a + 2\tau + \text{var}(f \circ \pi, 4\epsilon) + \text{var}(f, \eta) \\ &\leq P^{UC}(\pi(E), \eta, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) + a + 2\tau + \text{var}(f \circ \pi, 4\epsilon) + \text{var}(f, \eta). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.5(2), for all $\delta > 0$, there exists a cover $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i = \pi(E)$ such that

$$P^P(\pi(E), \frac{\eta}{3}, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) + \delta \geq \sup_{i \geq 1} P^{UC}(V_i, \eta, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &P^P(E, 8\epsilon, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f \circ \pi) \leq \sup_{i \geq 1} P^P(\pi^{-1}(V_i), 8\epsilon, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f \circ \pi) \\ &\leq \sup_{i \geq 1} P^{UC}(\pi^{-1}(V_i), 8\epsilon, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f \circ \pi) \\ &\leq \sup_{i \geq 1} P^{UC}(V_i, \eta, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) + a + 2\tau + 2\text{var}(f \circ \pi, 4\epsilon) + \text{var}(f, \eta) \\ &\leq P^P(\pi(E), \frac{\eta}{3}, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) + \delta + a + 2\tau + 2\text{var}(f \circ \pi, 4\epsilon) + \text{var}(f, \eta). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$P^P(E, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f \circ \pi) \leq P^P(\pi(E), \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, f) + a + 2\tau.$$

Since τ is arbitrary, we finally obtain

$$P^P(E, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f \circ \pi) \leq P^P(\pi(E), \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) + \sup_{y \in Y} h_{top}^{UC}(\pi^{-1}(y), \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty).$$

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

In the first subsection, we will apply a lemma in [25] to show that the upper bound which does not require the properties of ergodic and almost *specification property*. In the second and third subsections, we will prove the lower bound under the *ergodic assumption or almost specification property*, respectively. Zhang proved the case of almost specification property for Pesin-Pitskel pressure in [25]. We only focus on proving the case of ergodic.

5.1. Proof of upper bound.

Lemma 5.1. [25, Lemma 4.2] *Let $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a Følner sequence, $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X, G)$, $C \subset \mathcal{M}(X)$ be a neighborhood of μ , $\phi \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$ and set*

$$P(X_{F_n, C}, \epsilon, F_n, \phi) = \sup_{E_{F_n, C}} \sum_{x \in E_{F_n, C}} e^{\phi_{F_n}(x)},$$

where the supremum is taken over all (F_n, ϵ) -separated sets $E_{F_n, C} \subset X_{F_n, C}$ and $X_{F_n, C} := \{x \in X : \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{g \in F_n} \delta_x \circ g^{-1} \in C\}$. Then

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \inf_{\{C: \mu \in C\}} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log P(X_{F_n, C}, \epsilon, F_n, \phi) \leq h_\mu(X, G) + \int \phi d\mu.$$

In the following we are going to prove $P^P(G_\mu, \{F_n\}, f) \leq h_\mu(X, G) + \int f d\mu$ assuming that the Følner sequence $\{F_n\}$ satisfies the growth condition(1.1). For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X, G)$, let $\{K_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a decreasing sequence of closed convex neighborhoods of μ in $\mathcal{M}(X)$ such that $\bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} K_m = \{\mu\}$. Let

$$A_{n, m} = \{x \in X : \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{g \in F_n} \delta_x \circ g^{-1} \in K_m\} \text{ for } m, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and

$$R_{N, m} = \{x \in X : \forall n \geq N, \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{g \in F_n} \delta_x \circ g^{-1} \in K_m\} \text{ for } m, N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then for any $m, N \geq 1$,

$$R_{N, m} = \bigcap_{n > N} A_{n, m} \text{ and } G_\mu \subset \bigcup_{k > N} R_{k, m}.$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log P(R_{N, m}, \epsilon, F_n, f) \\ & \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log P(A_{n, m}, \epsilon, F_n, f), \text{ for any } m, N \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

By the Lemma 5.1,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log P(A_{n,m}, \epsilon, F_n, f) \leq h_\mu(X, G) + \int f d\mu.$$

Hence for any $\eta > 0$, there exists $\epsilon_1 > 0$ such that, for any $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_1$, there exists $M = M(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log P(A_{n,m}, \epsilon, F_n, f) \leq h_\mu(X, G) + \int f d\mu + \eta,$$

whenever $m \geq M$. Especially,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log P(A_{n,M}, \epsilon, F_n, f) \leq h_\mu(X, G) + \int f d\mu + \eta.$$

Hence for any $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_1$, we have for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log P(R_{N,M}, \epsilon, F_n, f) \leq h_\mu(X, G) + \int f d\mu + \eta.$$

Since for any $N' \in \mathbb{N}$, $G_\mu \subset \bigcup_{N > N'} R_{N,M}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P^P(G_\mu, \epsilon, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) &\leq \sup_{N > N'} P^P(R_{N,M}, \epsilon, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \\ &\leq \sup_{N > N'} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log P(R_{N,M}, \epsilon, F_n, f) \\ &\leq h_\mu(X, G) + \int f d\mu + \eta. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $\eta \rightarrow 0$, we finish the proof.

5.2. Proof of lower bound if (X, G) satisfies almost specification property. When (X, G) satisfies almost specification property, by the Theorem 1.1 in [25], we have

$$P^B(G_\mu, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = h_\mu(X, G) + \int f d\mu.$$

Since $P^P(G_\mu, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \geq P^B(G_\mu, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f)$, we obtain

$$P^P(G_\mu, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \geq h_\mu(X, G) + \int f d\mu.$$

5.3. Proof of lower bound if μ is ergodic and $\{F_n\}$ is tempered.

Let (X, G) , μ and $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be as in Theorem 1.3. If $Y \subset X$, $f \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$, and $\mu(Y) = 1$ we will show that

$$P^P(Y, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \geq h_\mu(X, G) + \int f d\mu.$$

Using [27] Theorem 2.1 (Brin-Katok entropy formula: ergodic case), we have for μ almost everywhere $x \in X$,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\log \mu(B_{F_n}(x, \epsilon))}{|F_n|} = h_\mu(X, G).$$

Then we have for μ almost everywhere $x \in X$,

$$\overline{P}_\mu(x, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = h_\mu(X, G) + \int f d\mu.$$

There exists $Y \subset X$, $\mu(Y) = 1$ satisfying

$$\overline{P}_\mu(x, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) = h_\mu(X, G) + \int f d\mu$$

for all $x \in Y$. Using proposition 2.12(2), we have

$$P^P(G_\mu \cap Y, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \geq h_\mu(X, G) + \int f d\mu.$$

Hence

$$P^P(G_\mu, \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f) \geq h_\mu(X, G) + \int f d\mu.$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The second author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.12071222). The third authors was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11971236), Qinglan Project of Jiangsu Province of China. The work was also funded by the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions. Besides, we would like to express our gratitude to Tianyuan Mathematical Center in Southwest China(No. 11826102), Sichuan University and Southwest Jiaotong University for their support and hospitality.

DATA AVAILABILITY

No data was used for the research described in the article.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The author declares no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. L. Adler, A. G. Konheim, and M. H. McAndrew. Topological entropy. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 114 (1965), 309–319.
- [2] R. Bowen. Entropy for group endomorphisms and homogeneous spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 153 (1971), 401–414.
- [3] R. Bowen. Topological entropy for noncompact sets. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 184 (1973), 125–136.
- [4] M. Brin and A. Katok. On local entropy. *Springer-Verlag, Berlin*, 1983, 30–38.
- [5] C. Fang, W. Huang, Y. Yi and P. Zhang. Dimensions of stable sets and scrambled sets in positive finite entropy systems. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* 32 (2012), no. 2, 599–628.

- [6] E. I. Dinaburg. A correlation between topological entropy and metric entropy. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 190 (1970), 19–22.
- [7] D. Dou, D. Zheng and X. Zhou. Packing topological entropy for amenable group actions. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* 43 (2023), no. 2, 480–514.
- [8] D. J. Feng and W. Huang. Variational principles for topological entropies of subsets. *J. Funct. Anal.* 263 (2012), no. 8, 2228–2254.
- [9] X. Huang, Z. Li and Y. Zhou. A variational principle of topological pressure on subsets for amenable group actions. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 40 (2020), no. 5, 2687–2703.
- [10] D. Kerr and H. Li. Ergodic Theory: Independence and Dichotomies. *Springer, Cham*, 2016, xxxiv+431 pp.
- [11] A. Kolomogorov. A new metric invariant of transient dynamical systems and automorphisms of lebesgue spaces. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* (N.S.)119(1958), 861–864.
- [12] E. Lindenstrauss. Pointwise theorems for amenable groups. *Invent. Math.* 146 (2001), no. 2, 259–295.
- [13] Q. Li, E. Chen and X. Zhou. Corrigendum to: 'A note of topological pressure for non-compact sets of a factor map.' *Chaos Solitons Fractals* 53 (2013), 75–77.
- [14] P. Mattila. *Geometry of Sets and Measures in Euclidean Spaces*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [15] P. Oprocha and G. Zhang. Dimensional entropy over sets and fibres. *Nonlinearity* 24 (2011), no. 8, 2325–2346.
- [16] D. S. Ornstein and B. Weiss. Entropy and isomorphism theorems for actions of amenable groups. *J. Analyse Math.* 48 (1987), 1–141.
- [17] Y. B. Pesin. Dimension theory in dynamical systems: contemporary views and applications. *University of Chicago Press*, 2008.
- [18] Y. B. Pesin and B. S. Pitskel. Topological pressure and the variational principle for noncompact sets. *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* 18 (1984), no. 4, 50–63, 96.
- [19] C. E. Pfister and W. G. Sullivan. On the topological entropy of saturated sets. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* 27 (2007), no. 3, 929–956.
- [20] X. Tang, W. C. Cheng and Y. Zhao. Variational principle for topological pressures on subsets. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 424 (2015), no. 2, 1272–1285.
- [21] P. Walters. An introduction to ergodic theory, *Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin*, 1982, ix+250 pp.
- [22] C. Wang and E. Chen. Variational principles for BS dimension of subsets. *Dyn. Syst.* 27 (2012), no. 3, 359–385.

- [23] T. Wang. Some notes on topological and measure-theoretic entropy. *Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst.* 20 (2021), no. 1, Paper No. 13, 13 pp.
- [24] Y. Wang and Zhao. C. Localized topological pressure for amenable group actions. *Anal. Math. Phys.* 12(2022), no.3, Paper No. 74, 14 pp.
- [25] R. Zhang. Topological pressure of generic points for amenable group actions. *J. Dynam. Differential Equations* 30(2018), no.4, 1583–1606.
- [26] C. Zhao, E. Chen, X. Hong and X. Zhou. A formula of packing pressure of a factor map. *Entropy* 19(2017), no.10, Paper No. 526, 9 pp.
- [27] D. Zheng and E. Chen. Bowen entropy for actions of amenable groups. *Israel J. Math.* 212 (2016), no. 2, 895–911.
- [28] D. Zheng and E. Chen. Topological entropy of sets of generic points for actions of amenable groups. *Sci. China Math.* 61 (2018), no. 5, 869–880.
- [29] X. F. Zhong and Z. J. Chen. Variational principles for topological pressures on subsets. *Nonlinearity* 36 (2023), no. 2, 1168–1191.
- [30] X. Zhou, E. Chen, W. C. Cheng. Packing entropy and divergence points. *Dyn. Syst.* 27 (2012), no. 3, 387–402.

1.SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS,
 KEY LABORATORY OF NSLSCS, MINISTRY OF EDUCATION, NANJING NORMAL
 UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210023, JIANGSU, P.R.CHINA

Email address: mathdingziqing@126.com

Email address: ecchen@njnu.edu.cn

Email address: zhouxiaoyaodeyouxian@126.com