

Koszul duality and the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem

Ezra GETZLER

Abstract. Using a homotopy introduced by de Wilde and Lecomte and homological perturbation theory for A_∞ -algebras, we give an explicit proof that the universal enveloping algebra UL of a differential graded Lie algebra L is Koszul, via an explicit contracting homotopy from the cobar construction ΩCL of the Chevalley–Eilenberg chain coalgebra CL of L to UL .

Mathematics Subject Classification 2020: 16E40 (primary);
13D03,16S37,17B35 (secondary).

Keywords: Koszul duality; universal enveloping algebra; Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem; homological perturbation theory; L_∞ -algebras.

In memoriam Murray Gerstenhaber (1927-2024)

If L is a differential graded (dg) Lie algebra, there is a codifferential on the exterior coalgebra ΛL defined using the Lie bracket and differential of L . With this differential, ΛL becomes a cocommutative dg coalgebra CL , called the Chevalley–Eilenberg coalgebra of L .

There is a quasi-isomorphism of cocommutative dg bialgebras $f : \Omega CL \rightarrow UL$ from the cobar construction ΩCL of CL to the universal enveloping algebra UL , split by a morphism of cocommutative dg coalgebras $g : UL \rightarrow \Omega CL$. Applying the functor of primitives P to f , we obtain a quasi-isomorphism of dg Lie algebras $Pf : P\Omega CL \rightarrow L$: this functorial resolution of L was introduced by Quillen [14].

More generally, if L is an L_∞ -algebra, there is a codifferential on ΛL defined using the higher brackets of L , that makes ΛL into a cocommutative dg coalgebra CL . A natural choice for the universal enveloping algebra of L is ΩCL (Hinich and Schechtman [10]). This is a cocommutative dg bialgebra, and as we saw above, in the special case that L is a dg Lie algebra, it is quasi-isomorphic to the universal enveloping algebra UL .

It is an interesting problem to exhibit this quasi-isomorphism by means of an explicit contracting homotopy. In the abelian case, this becomes the problem of finding an

explicit contracting homotopy from $\Omega\Lambda V$, where V is a cochain complex, to SV , the dg symmetric algebra generated by V . A contracting homotopy from ΩCL to UL is then obtained by homological perturbation theory (Baranovsky [1]). The resulting identification of the complexes underlying SL and UL may be viewed as an extension of the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem to L_∞ -algebras.

Baranovsky demonstrated the existence of a contracting homotopy for $\Omega\Lambda V$, without giving an explicit formula for it. In fact, such an explicit homotopy may be extracted from the work of de Wilde and Lecomte [3]. They construct a homotopy in the dual situation, contracting the bar construction BSV of the symmetric algebra SV to its Koszul dual, the exterior coalgebra ΛV (though they restrict attention to the case that V is a vector space). Their construction may be dualized, giving a contracting homotopy from the cobar construction $\Omega\Lambda V$ to the symmetric algebra SV . Like Baranovsky’s homotopy, these homotopies are natural in V , but unlike his, they are given by explicit formulas.

A third approach to the construction of a contracting homotopy is due to Halbout [9]. His homotopy extends to more general function algebras, such as real (or complex) analytic functions. In this paper, we show that the homotopy of de Wilde and Lecomte applies in Halbout’s setting as well. (In fact, the homotopies may well be equal, though we have not checked whether this is true.)

The original motivation of this paper was to make the A_∞ -morphism in Lemma 19 of Tsygan [13] explicit: this is the last step in his construction of a Gauss–Manin connection on periodic cyclic homology of a deformation of A_∞ -algebras at the chain level, and the only step for which no explicit formula is stated. We return to this application in the last section of this paper.

Summary of Paper.

§1 reviews the definitions of the symmetric algebra SV and exterior coalgebra ΛV .

§2 discusses operations on the bar construction BA of an A_∞ -algebra A , parametrized by the bar construction $BG(A)$ of its Gerstenhaber algebra $G(A) = C^*(A, A)$.

§3 reviews homological perturbation theory for complexes, and using the tensor trick of [8, 11] for A_∞ -algebras.

§4 studies the contracting homotopy from BSV to ΛV .

§5 studies the dual contracting homotopy from $\Omega\Lambda V$ to SV , and by homological perturbation theory, from ΩCL to UL for an L_∞ -algebra L . The same method is used in §6 to make the formula for the A_∞ -morphism in Lemma 19 of [13] explicit.

1. The symmetric algebra and exterior coalgebra of a cochain complex

We work with complexes over a field \mathbb{F} of characteristic zero, graded cohomologically, so that the differential has degree 1. We use the notation sV for the suspension of a complex: $(sV)^i = V^{i+1}$. All tensor products are over \mathbb{F} unless otherwise indicated.

Koszul duality is streamlined by working in the category of **non-unital** differential graded algebras and A_∞ -algebras, and non-counital coalgebras. For this reason, we define the symmetric algebra SV of a complex V to be

$$SV = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} S^k V,$$

and the exterior coalgebra ΛV of V to be

$$\Lambda V = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_k V,$$

where $\Lambda_k V \cong S^k sV$.

This non-counital form of the comultiplication is sometimes called the reduced comultiplication. We make use of Sweedler's notation, abbreviating the coproduct of a coalgebra

$$\Delta a = \sum_i a_i^{(1)} \otimes a_i^{(2)}$$

to

$$\Delta a = a^{(1)} \otimes a^{(2)}.$$

An element $x \in C$ of a non-counital dg coalgebra is primitive if its comultiplication $\Delta x \in C \otimes C$ vanishes: the set PC of primitive elements of C is a subcomplex.

Working with non-unital algebras and non-counital coalgebras has the disadvantage of rendering the definition of a bialgebra less intuitive. The compatibility between the product and coproduct may be written

$$\Delta(ab) = (a \otimes 1 + \Delta a + 1 \otimes a)(b \otimes 1 + \Delta b + 1 \otimes b) - ab \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes ab.$$

In Sweedler notation, this becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & (ab)_{(1)} \otimes (ab)_{(2)} - a_{(1)} b_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)} b_{(2)} \\ & = a \otimes b + a_{(1)} b \otimes a_{(2)} + a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)} b + ab_{(1)} \otimes b_{(2)} + b_{(1)} \otimes ab_{(2)} + b \otimes a, \end{aligned}$$

or in the graded case,

$$\begin{aligned} & (ab)_{(1)} \otimes (ab)_{(2)} - (-1)^{|a_{(2)}||b_{(1)}|} a_{(1)} b_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)} b_{(2)} \\ & = a \otimes b + (-1)^{|a_{(2)}||b|} a_{(1)} b \otimes a_{(2)} + a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)} b \\ & \quad + ab_{(1)} \otimes b_{(2)} + (-1)^{|a||b_{(1)}|} b_{(1)} \otimes ab_{(2)} + (-1)^{|a||b|} b \otimes a. \end{aligned}$$

Both SV and ΛV are bialgebras: the coproduct of SV is the coshuffle coproduct, characterized by the primitivity of elements of $V = S^1 V$, and the product of ΛV is the wedge product $a \wedge b : \Lambda_k V \otimes \Lambda_\ell V \rightarrow \Lambda_{k+\ell} V$.

A twisting cochain from a dg coalgebra C to a dg algebra A is a morphism $\mu : C \rightarrow A$ of degree 1 satisfying the Maurer–Cartan equation $d\mu + \mu^2 = 0$, where μ^2 is the composition $C \rightarrow C^{\otimes 2} \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes \mu} A^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow A$.

A coderivation of a coalgebra C is a map $\delta : C \rightarrow C$ such that

$$\Delta\delta = (\delta \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \delta)\Delta.$$

A codifferential is a coderivation δ of degree 1 such that $\delta^2 = 0$.

An L_∞ -structure on a complex L is a codifferential δ on ΛL , that is, a coderivation of degree 1 whose square vanishes. We have

$$\delta = d + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \delta_k,$$

where δ_k is the component of δ that maps $\Lambda_\ell L$ to $\Lambda_{\ell-k+1} L$. These coderivations are given by the formulas

$$d(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_\ell) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (-1)^{(|v_1|+1)+\cdots+(|v_{j-1}|+1)} v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dv_j \wedge \cdots \wedge v_\ell$$

$$\delta_k(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_\ell) = \frac{1}{\ell!} \binom{\ell}{k} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_\ell} (-1)^{\sigma(\pi) + \sum_{i=1}^k (k-i)|v_i|}$$

$$[v_{\pi(1)}, \dots, v_{\pi(k)}] \wedge v_{\pi(k+1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{\pi(\ell)},$$

where $[v_1, \dots, v_k]$ is a graded antisymmetric k -linear bracket of degree $2 - k$, and $(-1)^{\sigma(\pi)}$ is the sign associated to the action of the permutation π on $sv_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes sv_\ell$:

$$\sigma(\pi) = \sum_{\{i < j \mid \pi(i) > \pi(j)\}} (|v_{\pi(i)}| + 1)(|v_{\pi(j)}| + 1).$$

The coderivation d may be viewed as the coderivation δ_1 associated to the bracket $[v] = dv$.

The formula $\delta^2 = 0$ imposes quadratic equations among the brackets and the differential d , which in the case where $[v_1, \dots, v_k]$ vanishes for $k > 2$ become the usual axioms for a dg Lie algebra. This codifferential makes ΛL into a dg coalgebra denoted CL , the (reduced) Chevalley–Eilenberg complex of chains of the L_∞ -algebra L . In the special case that L is a Lie algebra, this recovers the complex introduced by Chevalley and Eilenberg, and further studied in Cartan and Eilenberg [2], where it is proved that if L is the free Lie algebra $\text{Lie}(V)$ generated by a vector space V , then the inclusion

$$sV \hookrightarrow C_1 \text{Lie}(V) \hookrightarrow C \text{Lie}(V)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

2. The bar construction of an A_∞ -algebra

The bar construction of a complex A is the graded vector space

$$BA = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k A,$$

where

$$B_k A = (sA)^{\otimes k}.$$

We denote the tensor product

$$sa_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes sa_k \in B_k A$$

by the bar notation of Eilenberg and Maclane (from which the construction derives its name)

$$[a_1 | \dots | a_k].$$

The bar construction is a graded coalgebra, with coproduct

$$\Delta : BA \rightarrow BA \otimes BA$$

given by the formula

$$\Delta[a_1 | \dots | a_k] = \sum_{0 < j < k} [a_1 | \dots | a_j] \otimes [a_{j+1} | \dots | a_k].$$

A Hochschild cochain $D \in C^*(A, A)$ is a map $D : BA \rightarrow A$. Denote the component of D in $\text{Hom}(B_k A, A)$ by $D_{(k)}$. In this paper, we denote the complex $C^*(A, A)$ of Hochschild cochains by $G(A)$.¹

The twisting cochain $BA \rightarrow A$ induced by projection to $B_1 A \cong sA$ is the universal twisting cochain: the twisting cochain from a dg coalgebra C to A are in bijection with morphisms of dg coalgebras from C to BA .

There is a bijection from coderivations δ of BA to Hochschild cochains $D \in G(A)$, given by composition with the universal twisting cochain from BA to A . We denote the coderivation corresponding to a Hochschild cochain D by $\delta(D)$. In particular, $|\delta(D)| = |D| - 1$. Given $[a_1 | \dots | a_k] \in B_k A$ and $0 \leq j \leq k$, let

$$\omega_j = |a_1| + \cdots + |a_j| - j.$$

The coderivation $\delta(D)$ is given by the formula

$$\delta(D)[a_1 | \dots | a_k] = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq j \leq k} (-1)^{\omega_i(|D|+1)} [a_1 | \dots | a_i | D[a_{i+1} | \dots | a_j] | a_{j+1} | \dots | a_k].$$

¹The letter G stands for Gerstenhaber [4], who made the first close study of the algebraic properties of $G(A)$.

Definition 1. An A_∞ -algebra structure on a graded vector space A is a codifferential δ on BA .

Denote the Hochschild cochain associated to the A_∞ -algebra structure by $m \in G(A)$. The equation $\delta^2 = 0$ amounts to a sequence of quadratic relations among the homogeneous components $\{m_{(k)}\}$ of m . A dg (left) module in coalgebras M for a dg bialgebra is a (left) module for H in the monoidal category of dg coalgebras. In other words, there is an associative action

$$H \otimes M \rightarrow M$$

which is a morphism of dg coalgebras.

We learned the following result from Tsygan [13]. The associated dg bialgebra structure on $BG(A)$ was discovered by Getzler and Jones [5].

Proposition 1. Let A be an A_∞ -algebra. There is a unique dg bialgebra structure on $BG(A)$ and H -module in dg coalgebras structure on BA such that the action of $[D] \in BG(A)$ on BA is the graded coderivation $\delta(D)$ associated to $D \in G(A)$.

Proof. The compatibility of the action of $BG(A)$ on BA with the coproducts determines the formula for the action of the element $[D_1 | \dots | D_n] \in B_n G(A)$ on $[a_1 | \dots | a_k] \in B_k A$:

$$[D_1 | \dots | D_n] \bullet [a_1 | \dots | a_k] = \sum_{0 \leq j_1 \leq k_1 \leq \dots \leq j_n \leq k_n \leq k} (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^n (|D_i|+1)\omega_{j_i}} [a_1 | \dots | D_1 [a_{j_1+1} | \dots | a_{k_1}] | \dots | D_n [a_{j_n+1} | \dots | a_{k_n}] | \dots | a_k].$$

This gives an injection of graded vector spaces

$$BG(A) \hookrightarrow \text{End}(BA).$$

It may be checked that this subspace is closed under the differential $\text{ad}(\delta)$ on $\text{End}(BA)$, giving rise to a (normalized) codifferential on $BG(A)$, and in particular, an A_∞ -algebra structure on $G(A)$. (This is the A_∞ -algebra structure introduced in [6].) This subspace is also closed under composition, giving rise to the product on $BG(A)$, and making it into a dg bialgebra. ■

Just as the differential δ of $BG(A)$, determining the A_∞ -algebra structure on $G(A)$, is a coderivation and hence is determined by its components m_k , the product on $BG(A)$ is determined by its components $m_{k,\ell}$, which are the compositions of the product

$$B_k A \otimes B_\ell A \rightarrow BA$$

with the universal twisting cochain $BA \rightarrow A$. The map $m_{k,\ell} : (sA)^{\otimes k} \otimes (sA)^{\otimes \ell} \rightarrow A$ vanishes unless $k = 1$, and the operations $m_{1,\ell}$ are the brace operations introduced in [6], given by the formula

$$m_{1,\ell}([D] \otimes [E_1 | \dots | E_\ell]) = D\{E_1, \dots, E_\ell\}$$

where

$$D\{E_1, \dots, E_\ell\}[a_1 | \dots | a_k] = \sum_{0=j_1 \leq k_1 \leq \dots \leq j_\ell \leq k_\ell \leq k} (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (|E_i|+1)\omega_{j_i}} D[a_1 | \dots | E_1[a_{j_1+1} | \dots | a_{k_1}] | \dots | E_\ell[a_{j_\ell+1} | \dots | a_{k_\ell}] | \dots | a_k].$$

In the case $\ell = 1$, this operation was introduced by Gerstenhaber [4], who denotes it $D \circ E$. We have

$$[D_1, D_2] = D_1 \circ D_2 - (-1)^{(|D_1|+1)(|D_2|+1)} D_2 \circ D_1$$

and

$$(2.1) \quad (D_1 \circ D_2) \circ D_3 - D_1 \circ (D_2 \circ D_3) = D_1\{D_3, D_2\} + (-1)^{(|D_2|+1)(|D_3|+1)} D_1\{D_2, D_3\}.$$

In terms of these operations, an A_∞ -algebra structure on A is a cochain $m \in G(A)$ of degree 2 such that $m \circ m = 0$. In terms of m , the differential on $G(A)$ is given by the formula

$$\delta D = [m, D].$$

Differential graded algebras are special cases of A_∞ -algebras, with $m_{(1)}(a_1) = da_1$ and $m_{(2)}(a_1, a_2) = (-1)^{|a_1|} a_1 a_2$, $a_1, a_2 \in A$. The differential of the bar construction of a dg algebra is the coderivation

$$\delta = \delta_1 + \delta_2 = \delta(m_{(1)}) + \delta(m_{(2)}),$$

where

$$\delta_1[a_1 | \dots | a_k] = \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{\omega_{j-1}} [a_1 | \dots | da_j | \dots | a_k]$$

is the coderivation associated to the differential d on the complex A , and δ_2 is the coderivation

$$\delta_2[a_1 | \dots | a_k] = \sum_{0 < j < k} (-1)^{\omega_{j+1}} [a_1 | \dots | a_j a_{j+1} | \dots | a_k]$$

associated to the product on A .

Gerstenhaber showed that when A is a dg algebra, then so is $G(A)$, with product

$$D_1 \cup D_2 = (-1)^{|D_1|} m\{D_1, D_2\}.$$

By (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\delta D_1) \circ D_2 + (-1)^{|D_1|} D_1 \circ (\delta D_2) - \delta(D_1 \circ D_2) \\ &= (m \circ D_1) \circ D_2 - (-1)^{|D_1|} (D_1 \circ m) \circ D_2 \\ &+ (-1)^{|D_1|} D_1 \circ (m \circ D_2) - (-1)^{|D_1|} D_1 \circ (D_2 \circ m) \\ &- m \circ (D_1 \circ D_2) + (-1)^{|D_1|+|D_2|} (D_1 \circ D_2) \circ m \\ &= (m \circ D_1) \circ D_2 - m \circ (D_1 \circ D_2) \\ &- (-1)^{|D_1|} (D_1 \circ m) \circ D_2 + (-1)^{|D_1|} D_1 \circ (m \circ D_2) \\ &+ (-1)^{|D_1|+|D_2|} (D_1 \circ D_2) \circ m - (-1)^{|D_1|} D_1 \circ (D_2 \circ m) \\ &= m\{D_2, D_1\} + (-1)^{|D_1||D_2|} m\{D_1, D_2\} \\ &= (-1)^{|D_1||D_2|+|D_1|} \left(D_1 \cup D_2 - (-1)^{(|D_1|+1)(|D_2|+1)} D_2 \cup D_1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Gerstenhaber's construction was generalized in [6], where it is shown that if m is an A_∞ -algebra structure on A , then the A_∞ -algebra structure on $G(A)$ is given by the formula

$$M[D_1 | \dots | D_n] = \begin{cases} [m, D_1], & n = 1, \\ m\{D_1, \dots, D_n\}, & n > 1. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2. A morphism of A_∞ -algebras is a morphism of the associated dg coalgebras $\mathbf{f} : BA_1 \rightarrow BA_2$.

The components $\mathbf{f}_{(k)} : B_k A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ of a morphism $\mathbf{f} : BA_1 \rightarrow BA_2$ of A_∞ -algebras are the compositions of the map

$$B_k A_1 \hookrightarrow BA_1 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{f}} BA_2$$

with the universal twisting cochain $BA_2 \rightarrow A_2$. Together, these determine \mathbf{f} , by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}[a_1 | \dots | a_k] &= \sum_{\ell=1}^k \sum_{0 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_n \leq k} (-1)^{\omega_{k_1} + \omega_{k_1+k_2} + \dots + \omega_{k_1+\dots+k_n}} \\ &\quad [\mathbf{f}_{(k_1)}[a_1 | \dots | a_{k_1}] | \dots | \mathbf{f}_{(k_n)}[a_{k-k_n+1} | \dots | a_k]]. \end{aligned}$$

A quasi-isomorphism of A_∞ -algebras $\mathbf{f} : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ is a morphism of A_∞ -algebras such that the linear component $\mathbf{f}_{(1)} : sA_1 \rightarrow A_2$ (or rather, the associated morphism from A_1 to A_2) is a quasi-isomorphism.

3. Contractions

A **weak contraction** is a pair of complexes (V, d) and (W, D) , together with morphisms of complexes $f : V \rightarrow W$ and $g : W \rightarrow V$ and a map h from V to $s^{-1}V$ such that $fg = 1_W$ and $gf = 1_V - [d, h] = 1 - (dh + hd)$:

$$h \circ V \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xleftarrow{g} \end{array} W$$

Hence $p = gf$ and $1 - p = dh + hd$ are idempotents.

If the weak contraction (V, W, f, g, h) satisfies the additional equations

$$fh = 0, \quad hg = 0, \quad h^2 = 0,$$

we call it a **contraction**. If we replace the map h of a weak contraction by

$$\tilde{h} = (1 - p)hdhdh(1 - p),$$

we obtain a contraction (V, W, \tilde{h}, f, g) .

We may assemble the data of a contraction into a curved Maurer–Cartan element on the mapping cone $C(f) = V \oplus sW$, with curved differential $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{A}$, where

$$\mathcal{D} = \begin{bmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & -D \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} uh & ug \\ f & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The curvature $(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{A})^2 = u$ of the curved differential $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{A}$ is a formal commuting variable of degree 2.

A perturbation of a contraction is a Maurer–Cartan element $\mu \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$ of degree 1 such that $1 + \mu h$ is invertible. In particular, $1 + h\mu$ is invertible:

$$(1 + h\mu)^{-1} = 1 - h(1 + \mu h)^{-1}\mu.$$

A perturbation gives rise to a new contraction $(V_\mu, W_\mu, h_\mu, f_\mu, g_\mu)$. Here, V_μ has the same underlying filtered graded vector space as V , and differential $d_\mu = d + \mu$, and W_μ has the same underlying filtered graded vector space as W , with differential

$$D_\mu = D + f(1 + \mu h)^{-1}\mu g.$$

The remaining data are given by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} f_\mu &= f(1 + \mu h)^{-1}, & g_\mu &= (1 + h\mu)^{-1}g, \\ h_\mu &= (1 + h\mu)^{-1}h = h(1 + \mu h)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

In terms of the representation of a contraction as a curved differential $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{A}$ on the mapping cone $C(f)$, the deformed curved differential equals $\mathcal{D} + M_\mu + \mathcal{A}_\mu$, where

$$M_\mu = \begin{bmatrix} \mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \mathcal{A}_\mu = \begin{bmatrix} uh_\mu & ug_\mu \\ f_\mu & 0 \end{bmatrix} = u\mathcal{A}(u + M_\mu\mathcal{A})^{-1}.$$

For more on contractions and their perturbations, see [7]. (What they refer to as strong deformation retract data are what we call weak contractions.)

Suppose that A is an A_∞ -algebra with differential d . Let $\delta_\mu = d + D(\mu)$ be the associated codifferential on BA , where $\mu \in G(A)$. Kadeseishvili [12] showed that if (A, Z, f, g, h) is a contraction, there is a natural A_∞ -algebra structure on Z , corresponding to a codifferential D_μ on Z , and A_∞ quasi-isomorphism $\mathbf{g}_\mu : BZ \rightarrow BA$ whose linearization is g . This A_∞ -algebra structure and A_∞ -morphism are constructed by solving a fixed-point equation.

Guggenheim, Lambe and Stasheff [8] introduced a different approach to homological perturbation theory for A_∞ -algebras, which they named the tensor trick: they consider homological perturbation theory for the bar construction BA . In this way, they also obtain a left inverse $\mathbf{f}_\mu : BA \rightarrow BZ$ to the quasi-isomorphism $\mathbf{g}_\mu : BZ \rightarrow BA$, with linearization f . (See also Huebschmann and Kadeishvili [11].) We now review their results. Note that [12] and [8] restrict attention to the case $\mu_{(1)} = 0$: we state our results in the more general setting in which $1 + \mu_{(1)}h : A \rightarrow A$ is invertible. In other words, we allow the differential of the A_∞ -algebra structure on A to differ by a Maurer–Cartan element from the original differential on A .

The A_∞ -algebra structure on Z and A_∞ -morphism from Z to A constructed by the tensor trick agree with those constructed by Kadeishvili: this may be proved by showing that they solve the same fixed-point equations. We will not make use of this identification in this paper.

The tensor trick is as follows. The contraction (A, Z, f, g, h) induces a contraction $(BA, BZ, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})$ of the bar construction BA associated to the underlying complex of A with the A_∞ -algebra structure with all higher brackets set equal to zero. The homotopy \mathbf{h} is given by the formula

$$\mathbf{h}[a_1 | \dots | a_k] = \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{\omega_{j-1}} [pa_1 | \dots | pa_{j-1} | ha_j | a_{j+1} | \dots | a_k],$$

where the morphisms $\mathbf{f} : BA \rightarrow BZ$ and $\mathbf{g} : BZ \rightarrow BA$ are given by the formulas

$$\mathbf{f}[a_1 | \dots | a_k] = [fa_1 | \dots | fa_k]$$

and

$$\mathbf{g}[a_1 | \dots | a_k] = [ga_1 | \dots | ga_k].$$

Thus \mathbf{f} and \mathbf{g} are morphisms of coalgebras:

$$\Delta \mathbf{f} = (\mathbf{f} \otimes \mathbf{f}) \Delta$$

and

$$\Delta \mathbf{g} = (\mathbf{g} \otimes \mathbf{g}) \Delta.$$

However, the homotopy operator \mathbf{h} is not a coderivation: rather, it satisfies the formula

$$\Delta \mathbf{h} = (\mathbf{h} \otimes 1 + \mathbf{g} \mathbf{f} \otimes \mathbf{h}) \Delta.$$

The idempotent

$$\mathbf{p}[a_1 | \dots | a_k] = \mathbf{g} \mathbf{f}[a_1 | \dots | a_k] = [pa_1 | \dots | pa_k]$$

is also a morphism of coalgebras.

Lemma 1. *If $1 + \mu_{(1)} \mathbf{h} : A \rightarrow A$ is invertible, then $1 + D(\mu) \mathbf{h} : BA \rightarrow BA$ is invertible.*

Proof. On $B_k A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + D(\mu) \mathbf{h})^{-1} &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_1 + \dots + j_\ell < k} (-1)^\ell (1 + D(\mu_{(1)}) \mathbf{h})^{-1} D(\mu_{(j_1+1)}) \mathbf{h} \\ &\quad \dots (1 + D(\mu_{(1)}) \mathbf{h})^{-1} D(\mu_{(j_\ell+1)}) \mathbf{h} (1 + D(\mu_{(1)}) \mathbf{h})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it suffices to prove that $1 + D(\mu_{(1)}) \mathbf{h} : B_k A \rightarrow B_k A$ is invertible.

On $B_k A$, we have

$$D(\mu_{(1)}) \mathbf{h} = \sum_{i,j=1}^k \alpha_{ij},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{ij} &= (1^{\otimes i-1} \otimes \mu_{(1)} \otimes 1^{\otimes k-i}) (p^{\otimes j-1} \otimes h \otimes 1^{\otimes k-j}) \\ &= \begin{cases} p^{\otimes i-1} \otimes \mu_{(1)} p \otimes p^{\otimes j-i-1} \otimes h \otimes 1^{\otimes k-j}, & i < j, \\ p^{\otimes j-1} \otimes \mu_{(1)} h \otimes 1^{\otimes k-j}, & i = j, \\ -p^{\otimes j-1} \otimes h \otimes 1^{\otimes i-j-1} \otimes \mu_{(1)} \otimes 1^{\otimes k-i}, & i > j. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha_{pq}\alpha_{ij} = 0$ unless $i < j$ or $i = j = q$ and $\alpha_{pq} \dots \alpha_{ij} = 0$ if $i < j \leq q$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + D(\mu_{(1)})\mathbf{h})^{-1} &= \left(1 - \sum_{i>j} \alpha_{ij}\right) \left(1 + \sum_{i\leq j} \alpha_{ij}\right)^{-1} \\ &= \left(1 - \sum_{i>j} \alpha_{ij}\right) \sum_{\ell=1}^k \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_\ell} \beta_{j_1} \alpha_{j_1 j_2} \alpha_{j_2 j_3} \beta_{j_3} \dots \beta_{j_{\ell-1}} \alpha_{j_{\ell-1} j_\ell} \alpha_{j_\ell j_\ell} \beta_{j_\ell} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\beta_j = (1 + \alpha_{jj})^{-1} \dots (1 + \alpha_{22})^{-1} (1 + \alpha_{11})^{-1}.$$

But $1 + \alpha_{jj} : B_k A \rightarrow B_k A$ is invertible:

$$(1 + \alpha_{jj})^{-1} = 1 - p^{\otimes j-1} \otimes \mu_{(1)} h (1 + \mu_{(1)} h)^{-1} \otimes 1^{\otimes k-j}.$$

Hence β_j is invertible, completing the proof. \blacksquare

By this lemma, if $1 + \mu_{(1)} h : A \rightarrow A$ is invertible, μ induces a deformed contraction

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}_\mu &= \mathbf{f}(1 + D(\mu)\mathbf{h})^{-1} : BA \rightarrow BZ, & \mathbf{g}_\mu &= (1 + \mathbf{h}D(\mu))^{-1} \mathbf{g} : BZ \rightarrow BA, \\ \mathbf{h}_\mu &= \mathbf{h}(1 + D(\mu)\mathbf{h})^{-1} : BA \rightarrow BA. \end{aligned}$$

The deformed differential D_μ on BZ is given by the formula

$$D_\mu = \mathbf{f}d\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{f}D(\mu)(1 + \mathbf{h}D(\mu))^{-1} \mathbf{g},$$

and the deformed idempotent \mathbf{p}_μ on BA is given by the formula

$$\mathbf{p}_\mu = (1 + \mathbf{h}D(\mu))^{-1} \mathbf{p}(1 + D(\mu)\mathbf{h})^{-1}.$$

Proposition 2. *The maps \mathbf{f}_μ and \mathbf{g}_μ are morphisms of coalgebras, and D_μ is a coderivation.*

Proof. Let us calculate $\Delta(1 + \mathbf{h}\mu)^{-1}$. Observe that

$$\Delta(1 + \mathbf{h}\mu) = (1 \otimes 1 + (\mathbf{h} \otimes 1 + \mathbf{p} \otimes \mathbf{h})(\mu \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mu))\Delta,$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{h} \otimes 1 + \mathbf{p} \otimes \mathbf{h}) - (\mathbf{h}_\mu \otimes 1 + \mathbf{p}_\mu \otimes \mathbf{h}_\mu) & \\ &= (\mathbf{h} \otimes 1 + \mathbf{p} \otimes \mathbf{h})(\mu \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mu)(\mathbf{h}_\mu \otimes 1 + \mathbf{p}_\mu \otimes \mathbf{h}_\mu) \\ &= (\mathbf{h}_\mu \otimes 1 + \mathbf{p}_\mu \otimes \mathbf{h}_\mu)(\mu \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mu)(\mathbf{h} \otimes 1 + \mathbf{p} \otimes \mathbf{h}), \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 \otimes 1 + (\mathbf{h} \otimes 1 + \mathbf{p} \otimes \mathbf{h})(\mu \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mu))^{-1} \\ = 1 \otimes 1 - (\mathbf{h}_\mu \otimes 1 + \mathbf{p}_\mu \otimes \mathbf{h}_\mu)(\mu \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mu). \end{aligned}$$

In other words,

$$\Delta(1 + \mathbf{h}\mu)^{-1} = (1 \otimes 1 - (\mathbf{h}_\mu \otimes 1 + \mathbf{p}_\mu \otimes \mathbf{h}_\mu)(\mu \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mu))\Delta.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \mathbf{g}_\mu &= \Delta(1 + \mathbf{h}\mu)^{-1} \mathbf{g} \\ &= (1 \otimes 1 - (\mathbf{h}_\mu \otimes 1 + \mathbf{p}_\mu \otimes \mathbf{h}_\mu)(\mu \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mu))(\mathbf{g} \otimes \mathbf{g})\mu \\ &= (\mathbf{g}_\mu \otimes \mathbf{g}_\mu)\Delta. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbf{f}\mathbf{g}_\mu = 1$, we see that $D_\mu = \mathbf{f}d\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{f}\mu\mathbf{g}_\mu$ is a coderivation: certainly, $\mathbf{f}d\mathbf{g}$ is a coderivation, while

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \mathbf{f}\mu\mathbf{g}_\mu &= (\mathbf{f} \otimes \mathbf{f})(\mu \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mu)(\mathbf{g}_\mu \otimes \mathbf{g}_\mu)\Delta \\ &= (\mathbf{f}\mu\mathbf{g}_\mu \otimes \mathbf{f}\mathbf{g}_\mu + \mathbf{f}\mathbf{g}_\mu \otimes \mathbf{f}\mu\mathbf{g}_\mu)\Delta \\ &= (\mathbf{f}\mu\mathbf{g}_\mu \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathbf{f}\mu\mathbf{g}_\mu)\Delta. \end{aligned}$$

Likewise,

$$\Delta(1 + \mu\mathbf{h})^{-1} = (1 \otimes 1 - (\mu \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mu)(\mathbf{h}_\mu \otimes 1 + \mathbf{p}_\mu \otimes \mathbf{h}_\mu))\Delta,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \mathbf{f}_\mu &= \Delta \mathbf{f}(1 + \mu\mathbf{h})^{-1} \\ &= (\mathbf{f} \otimes \mathbf{f})(1 \otimes 1 - (\mu \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mu)(\mathbf{h}_\mu \otimes 1 + \mathbf{p}_\mu \otimes \mathbf{h}_\mu))\Delta \\ &= (\mathbf{f}_\mu \otimes \mathbf{f}_\mu)\Delta. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 1. *Let $\delta_\mu = d + \mu$, $\mu \in G(A)$, be a codifferential on BA corresponding to an A_∞ -algebra structure on A , such that*

$$1 + \mu_{(1)}h : A \rightarrow A$$

is invertible. The codifferential D_μ on BZ constructed by the tensor trick induces an A_∞ -algebra structure on Z , and $\mathbf{f}_\mu : BA \rightarrow BZ$ and $\mathbf{g}_\mu : BZ \rightarrow BA$ are quasi-isomorphisms of A_∞ -algebras.

4. The bar construction of a symmetric algebra

The bar construction BA of a dg commutative algebra A is a dg commutative bialgebra: the product on BA is the shuffle product

$$\begin{aligned} [a_1 | \dots | a_k] \amalg [a_{k+1} | \dots | a_{k+\ell}] \\ = \sum_{\sigma \in S(k, \ell)} (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^k (\omega_{k+\sigma(i)-i} - \omega_k)(|a_i|+1)} [a_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} | \dots | a_{\sigma^{-1}(k+\ell)}], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$S(k, \ell) = \{\sigma \in S_{k+\ell} \mid \sigma(i) < \sigma(j) \text{ if } 1 \leq i < j \leq k \text{ or } k+1 \leq i < j \leq k+\ell\}.$$

The codifferential δ of BA is a derivation with respect to the shuffle product if and only if A is graded commutative.

The calculation of the cohomology of BSV is one of the fundamental results of homological algebra. The subspace $sV \subset B_1SV$ generates a subalgebra ΛV of the bialgebra BSV . Since elements of sV are primitive elements of BSV , the inclusion $g : \Lambda V \hookrightarrow BSV$ induces a graded commutative product on ΛV a morphism of dg bialgebras.

In this section, we construct a contracting homotopy $h : B_kSV \rightarrow B_{k+1}SV$ which shows that g is a quasi-isomorphism. Let $\{x^\alpha\}$ be a homogeneous basis of V , and let $\bar{\partial}_\alpha \in G(SV)$ be the Hochschild 1-cochain given by the formula

$$\bar{\partial}_\alpha [x^{\alpha_1} \dots x^{\alpha_k}] = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{|x^{\alpha_1}| + \dots + |x^{\alpha_{i-1}}| + 1} [x^{\alpha_1} \dots \widehat{x^{\alpha_i}} \dots x^{\alpha_k}], & k > 1, \\ 0, & k = 1. \end{cases}$$

Let ρ and $\tau \in G(A)$ be Hochschild 1-cochains

$$\rho [x^{\alpha_1} \dots x^{\alpha_k}] = k [x^{\alpha_1} \dots x^{\alpha_k}]$$

and

$$\tau [x^{\alpha_1} \dots x^{\alpha_k}] = \begin{cases} [x^{\alpha_1}], & k = 1, \\ 0, & k > 1. \end{cases}$$

We also denote the coderivations $\delta(\rho) : B_kSV \rightarrow B_kSV$ and $\delta(\tau) : B_kSV \rightarrow B_kSV$ by ρ and τ :

$$\begin{aligned} \rho [a_1 | \dots | a_k] &= \sum_{j=1}^k [a_1 | \dots | \rho a_j | \dots | a_k], \\ \tau [a_1 | \dots | a_k] &= \sum_{j=1}^k [a_1 | \dots | \tau a_j | \dots | a_k]. \end{aligned}$$

Since the product in SV is homogeneous of degree 0, it is clear that ρ commutes with the differential δ . We have

$$\sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{|x^{\alpha}|} [x^{\alpha} \cup \bar{\partial}_{\alpha}] = \rho - \tau.$$

The intersection of ΛV with $B_k SV$ is the image of the projection

$$(4.1) \quad p_k[a_1 | \dots | a_k] = \frac{1}{k!} [\tau a_1] \text{III} \cdots \text{III} [\tau a_k]$$

on $B_k SV$. Note that p is a morphism of dg coalgebras, though not of algebras. Denote the map $g^{-1} \circ p$ from BSV to ΛV by f : thus $fg = 1_{\Lambda V}$, and $gf = p$.

Define the operators $\xi : B_k SV \rightarrow B_{k+1} SV$ and $\lambda : B_k SV \rightarrow B_k SV$ by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \xi[a_1 | \dots | a_k] &= \sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{|x^{\alpha}|} [x^{\alpha} | \bar{\partial}_{\alpha}] \bullet [a_1 | \dots | a_k] \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq k} \sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{\omega_i + |x^{\alpha}|(\omega_{j-1} - \omega_i + 1)} [a_1 | \dots | a_i | x^{\alpha} | \dots | \bar{\partial}_{\alpha} a_j | \dots | a_k], \\ \lambda[a_1 | \dots | a_k] &= [a_1 | \dots | a_{k-1}] \text{III} [\tau a_k]. \end{aligned}$$

By inspection, it is clear that the operators ξ and λ both commute with ρ .

We learned the following result from [3].

Theorem 1. $[\delta, \xi] = \rho - \lambda$

Proof. The differential $[\delta, \xi]$ of ξ is given by the action of the element

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha} [x^{\alpha} \cup \bar{\partial}_{\alpha}] + \sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{|x^{\alpha}|} [[m_{(1)}, x^{\alpha}] | \bar{\partial}_{\alpha}] \\ + \sum_{\alpha} [x^{\alpha} | [m_{(1)}, \bar{\partial}_{\alpha}]] + \sum_{\alpha} [x^{\alpha} | [m_{(2)}, \bar{\partial}_{\alpha}]] \in BG(SV) \end{aligned}$$

on BSV . We have

$$[x^{\alpha} \cup \bar{\partial}_{\alpha}] \bullet [a_1 | \dots | a_k] = (\rho - \tau)[a_1 | \dots | a_i | \dots | a_k],$$

Introduce the matrix for the differential $d : V \rightarrow V$ of V :

$$[\partial_{\alpha}, d] = \sum_{\beta} D_{\alpha}^{\beta} \partial_{\beta}.$$

We have

$$\sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{|x^{\alpha}|} [[m_{(1)}, x^{\alpha}] | \bar{\partial}_{\alpha}] = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} (-1)^{|x^{\alpha}|} D_{\beta}^{\alpha} [x^{\beta} | \bar{\partial}_{\alpha}]$$

and

$$\sum_{\alpha} [x^{\alpha} | [m_{(1)}, \bar{\partial}_{\alpha}]] = - \sum_{\alpha, \beta} (-1)^{|x^{\alpha}|} D_{\alpha}^{\beta} [x^{\alpha} | \bar{\partial}_{\beta}],$$

and these two sums cancel.

The two-cochain $[m_{(2)}, \bar{\partial}_{\alpha}] \in G(SV)$ equals

$$([m_{(2)}, \bar{\partial}_{\alpha}])[a_1 | a_2] = -\epsilon(\partial_{\alpha} a_1) a_2 + (-1)^{(|a_1|+1)(|x^{\alpha}|+1)} a_1 \epsilon(\partial_{\alpha} a_2),$$

where $\epsilon(\partial_{\alpha} a)$ is the coefficient of x^{α} in $\tau(a)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\alpha} [x^{\alpha} | [m_{(2)}, \bar{\partial}_{\alpha}]] \bullet [a_1 | \dots | a_k] \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j < k} \sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{(|x^{\alpha}|+1)(\omega_{j-1} - \omega_{i-1})} \\ & \quad [a_1 | \dots | a_{i-1} | x^{\alpha} | a_i | \dots | \epsilon(\partial_{\alpha} a_j) a_{j+1} | \dots | a_k] \\ & - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j < k} \sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{(|x^{\alpha}|+1)(\omega_j - \omega_{i-1})} \\ & \quad [a_1 | \dots | a_{i-1} | x^{\alpha} | a_i | \dots | a_j \epsilon(\partial_{\alpha} a_{j+1}) | \dots | a_k] \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j < k} (-1)^{(|a_j|+1)(\omega_{j-1} - \omega_{i-1})} \\ & \quad [a_1 | \dots | a_{i-1} | \tau a_j | a_i | \dots | \widehat{a}_j | \dots | a_k] \\ & - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j < k} (-1)^{(|a_{j+1}|+1)(\omega_j - \omega_{i-1})} \\ & \quad [a_1 | \dots | a_{i-1} | \tau a_{j+1} | a_i | \dots | \widehat{a}_{j+1} | \dots | a_k] \\ &= (\tau - \lambda)[a_1 | \dots | a_k]. \end{aligned}$$

Assembling these calculations, the theorem follows. ■

Corollary 2. $[\delta, \lambda] = 0$

Proof. We have

$$[\delta, \lambda] = [\delta, \rho - (\rho - \lambda)] = [\delta, \rho] - [\delta, [\delta, \xi]].$$

The second term vanishes since $\delta^2 = 0$. ■

Theorem 2.

- 1) The operator ξ is a derivation of the shuffle product on BSV.
- 2) $\xi^2 = 0$

Proof. Let $\zeta(x, y) = \xi(x \text{ III } y) - (\xi x) \text{ III } y - (-1)^{|x|} x \text{ III } (\xi y)$. Using the formula

$$(4.2) \quad \Delta\xi = \sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{\alpha} [\bar{\partial}_{\alpha}] \otimes [x^{\alpha}],$$

we see that for all $x, y \in BSV$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{|x^{(2)}||y^{(1)}|} \Delta\zeta(x, y) \\ &= \zeta(x^{(1)}, y^{(1)}) \otimes (x^{(2)} \text{ III } y^{(2)}) + (-1)^{|x^{(1)}|+|y^{(1)}|} (x^{(1)} \text{ III } y^{(1)}) \otimes \zeta(x^{(2)}, y^{(2)}). \end{aligned}$$

We prove that $\zeta(x, y) = 0$ for $x \in B_kSV$ and $y \in B_{\ell}SV$ by induction on $k + \ell$; it is clearly true for $k + \ell = 0$. By the induction hypothesis, $\zeta(x, y) \in B_{k+\ell+1}SV$ is primitive, and hence vanishes for $k + \ell > 0$.

Squaring both sides of (4.2), we see that $\xi^2 \in BG(SV)$ is primitive: $\Delta\xi^2 = 0$. We prove that $\xi^2x = 0$ for $x \in B_kSV$ by induction on k : it is clearly true for $k = 0$. By the induction hypothesis, $\xi^2x \in B_{k+2}SV$ is primitive. But the space of primitives equals B_1SV , showing that $\xi^2x = 0$. ■

Corollary 3. $[\xi, \lambda] = 0$

Proof. We have

$$[\xi, \lambda] = [\xi, \rho - (\rho - \lambda)] = [\xi, \rho] - [\xi, [\delta, \xi]].$$

The first term has been seen to vanish, while the second term equals $\frac{1}{2}[\delta, \xi^2] = 0$. ■

Corollary 4. *The operator λ is a derivation with respect to the shuffle product:*

$$\lambda(x \text{ III } y) = \lambda x \text{ III } y + x \text{ III } \lambda y.$$

Proof. Since SV is a graded commutative algebra, δ is a graded derivation with respect to the shuffle product, hence so is the graded commutator $[\delta, \xi]$. But it is clear that ρ is a derivation with respect to the shuffle product, and the result follows. ■

Lemma 2. *The descending factorial*

$$(\lambda)_j = \lambda(\lambda - 1) \dots (\lambda - j + 1)$$

is given by the formula

$$(\lambda)_j [a_1 | \dots | a_k] = [\tau a_1] \text{ III } \dots \text{ III } [\tau a_j] \text{ III } [a_{j+1} | \dots | a_k]$$

Proof. This is proved by induction on j , using the explicit formula for λ , the formula $\lambda[x^{\alpha}] = [x^{\alpha}]$, and the fact that λ is a derivation for the shuffle product. ■

Corollary 5. *Let λ_k and p_k be the restrictions of λ and p to B_kSV . Then*

$$(\lambda_k)_k = k! p_k,$$

while $(\lambda_k)_i = 0$ for $i > k$.

In particular, the minimal polynomial of λ_k divides $\lambda_k(\lambda_k - 1) \dots (\lambda_k - k)$, and λ_k is a semisimple endomorphism whose spectrum is contained in (and in fact equals) $\{0, \dots, k\}$. The eigenspace $\{\lambda_k = k\}$ is $\Lambda_k V \subset B_kSV$. The spectrum of the restriction ρ_k of ρ to B_kSV equals $\{k, k + 1, \dots\}$, and the subspace $\{\lambda_k = k\}$ is a subset of $\{\rho_k = k\}$. Since ρ and λ commute, the eigenvalues of $\rho_k - \lambda_k$ are nonnegative integers, and the kernel of $\rho_k - \lambda_k$ is the subspace on which $\rho_k = \lambda_k = k$, namely $\Lambda^k V$.

We now modify the operator ξ to obtain a homotopy

$$h = (\rho - \lambda)^{-1} \xi.$$

This operator is defined because $p\xi = 0$, and the eigenvalues of $\rho - \lambda$ on the image of the idempotent $1 - p$ are strictly positive. It is clear that $h^2 = 0$, since $\xi^2 = 0$. It follows that $hp = ph = 0$.

We have proved the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *The inclusion $g : \Lambda V \hookrightarrow BSV$ and projection $f : BSV \rightarrow \Lambda V$, together with the homotopy $h = (\rho - \lambda)^{-1} \xi$, form a contraction $(BSV, \Lambda V, f, g, h)$*

The restriction $h_k : B_kSV \rightarrow B_{k+1}SV$ of the homotopy h to B_kSV is given by a finite linear combination of operations $(\lambda)_j \xi$, though the number of terms increases linearly with k .

Proposition 3. *The operator $h_k : B_kSV \rightarrow B_{k+1}SV$ is a linear combination of the operators $(\lambda)_j \xi$, $0 \leq j \leq k$:*

$$h_k = \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{(\lambda)_j \xi}{(\rho)_{j+1}}.$$

Proof. Since the eigenvalues of ρ_{k+1} lie $\{k + 1, k + 2, \dots\}$, the polynomial $(\rho)_{j+1}$ is invertible on $B_{k+1}SV$ for $0 \leq j \leq k$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho - \lambda) \frac{(\lambda)_j}{(\rho)_{j+1}} &= ((\rho + j) - (\lambda + j)) \frac{(\lambda)_j}{(\rho)_{j+1}} \\ &= \frac{(\lambda)_j}{(\rho)_j} - \frac{(\lambda)_{j+1}}{(\rho)_{j+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(\rho - \lambda) \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{(\lambda)_j \xi}{(\rho)_{j+1}} = \sum_{j=0}^k \left(\frac{(\lambda)_j \xi}{(\rho)_j} - \frac{(\lambda)_{j+1} \xi}{(\rho)_{j+1}} \right) = \xi - \frac{(\lambda)_{k+1} \xi}{(\rho)_{k+1}}.$$

Since ξ and λ commute and $(\lambda)_{k+1}$ vanishes on B_kSV , we see that $(\lambda)_{k+1}\xi = 0$, and the result follows. \blacksquare

5. The cobar construction of an exterior coalgebra

In this section, we translate our results on the bar construction of a symmetric algebra to the dual situation, the cobar construction of an exterior coalgebra: we construct a contraction from the cobar construction $\Omega\Lambda V$ of an exterior coalgebra to the symmetric algebra SV . Using homological perturbation theory, this contraction is deformed to a contraction from the cobar construction ΩCL of the Chevalley–Eilenberg complex of a dg Lie algebra L to the universal enveloping algebra UL of L : the proof follows Baranovsky [1], with the difference that we work with an explicit, and easily computable formula, for the homotopy contraction from $\Omega\Lambda V$ to SV .

Let C be a dg coalgebra. The cobar construction of C is the free dg algebra

$$\Omega C = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} (s^{-1}C)^{\otimes k}.$$

We denote the element $s^{-1}a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes s^{-1}a_k$ by $\langle a_1 | \cdots | a_k \rangle$. The differential of ΩC is

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \langle a_1 | \cdots | a_k \rangle &= \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{\omega_{j-1}} \\ &\quad \left(\langle a_1 | \cdots | da_j | \cdots | a_k \rangle + (-1)^{|a_j^{(1)}|} \langle a_1 | \cdots | a_j^{(1)} | a_j^{(2)} | \cdots | a_k \rangle \right). \end{aligned}$$

If C is cocommutative, then ΩC is a dg bialgebra, with comultiplication given by the coshuffle product

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a_1 | \cdots | a_k \rangle &\mapsto \sum_{0 < \ell < k} \sum_{\sigma \in S(\ell, k-\ell)} (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (\omega_{\ell+\sigma(i)-i} - \omega_{\ell}) (|a_i|+1)} \\ &\quad \langle a_{\sigma(1)} | \cdots | a_{\sigma(\ell)} \rangle \otimes \langle a_{\sigma^{-1}(\ell+1)} | \cdots | a_{\sigma^{-1}(k)} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This coproduct is graded cocommutative. The subcomplex $P\Omega C$ of primitive elements of ΩC is the Harrison chain complex of C : it is the Koszul dual dg Lie algebra to C .

As we have seen in the last section, the natural inclusion $g : \Lambda V \hookrightarrow BSV$ of the exterior coalgebra of a cochain complex V into the bar construction BSV of its symmetric algebra is a morphism of dg commutative bialgebras. Similarly, there is a surjective morphism of dg cocommutative bialgebras $f : \Omega\Lambda V \rightarrow SV$, induced by projecting the

generators $s\Lambda V$ of $\Omega\Lambda V$ to the summand $s\Lambda_1 V \cong V$, followed by inclusion as the generators V of SV . This morphism has a section $g : SV \rightarrow \Omega\Lambda V$, which is the morphism of dg coalgebras induced by the inclusions

$$S^k V \hookrightarrow V^{\otimes k} \cong \Omega^k \Lambda_1 V \hookrightarrow \Omega^k \Lambda V.$$

Let ρ be the coderivation of ΛV which acts by multiplication by k on the subspace $\Lambda_k V \subset \Lambda V$. This coderivation extends to a graded derivation of $\Omega\Lambda V$:

$$\rho \langle a_1 | \cdots | a_k \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^k \langle a_1 | \cdots | \rho a_j | \cdots | a_k \rangle.$$

We see that $[\delta, \rho] = 0$ on $\Omega\Lambda V$.

Let τ be the projection τ from ΛV to $\Lambda_1 V \cong s^{-1}V$. Define maps $\xi : \Omega^k \Lambda V \rightarrow \Omega^{k-1} \Lambda V$ and $\lambda : \Omega^k \Lambda V \rightarrow \Omega^k \Lambda V$ by the formulas

$$\xi \langle a_1 | \cdots | a_k \rangle = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (-1)^{\omega_{i-1} + |a_i|(\omega_{j-1} - \omega_i + 1)} \langle a_1 | \cdots | \widehat{a}_i | \cdots | \tau a_i \wedge a_j | \cdots | a_k \rangle,$$

$$\lambda \langle a_1 | \cdots | a_k \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{(|a_j|+1)(\omega_k - \omega_{j+1})} \langle a_1 | \cdots | \widehat{a}_j | \cdots | a_k | \tau a_j \rangle.$$

The operators ξ and λ both commute with ρ .

The proofs of the last section apply to any additive symmetric monoidal category tensored over the symmetric monoidal category of finite-dimensional cochain complexes. (The proofs take place in finite truncations $\bigoplus_{1 \leq k \leq N} B_k A$ and $\bigoplus_{1 \leq k \leq N} S_k V$ of the bar construction and symmetric algebra, so the proofs deal only in finite sums.) The opposite of the category of cochain complexes is such a category, and this substitution has the effect of exchanging the symmetric algebra with the exterior coalgebra (after suspension of V), and the bar construction with the cobar construction. In this way, we obtain the following dual results.

- 1) $[\delta, \xi] = \rho - \lambda$
- 2) ξ and λ are (graded) coderivations with respect to the shuffle coproduct on $\Omega\Lambda V$.
- 3) $\xi^2 = 0$
- 4) $[\delta, \lambda] = [\xi, \lambda] = 0$
- 5) Let ρ_k and λ_k be the restrictions of λ and ρ to $\Omega^k \Lambda V$. The operators ρ_k and λ_k are commuting semisimple operators.
- 6) The eigenvalues of ρ_k lie in $\{k, k+1, \dots\}$.
- 7) The eigenvalues of λ_k lie in $\{0, \dots, k\}$, $(\lambda_k)_k = k! p_k$, and $(\lambda_k)_i = 0$ for $i > k$.

We have proved the following theorem.

Theorem 4. *The inclusion $g : SV \hookrightarrow \Omega\Lambda V$ and projection $f : \Omega\Lambda V \rightarrow SV$, together with the homotopy $h = (\rho - \lambda)^{-1}\xi$ form a contraction $(\Omega\Lambda V, SV, f, g, h)$.*

The restriction h_k of the homotopy h to $\Omega^{k+1}\Lambda V$ (that is, corestriction to $\Omega^k\Lambda V$) is given by a finite linear combination of operations $(\lambda)_j\xi$, though the number of terms increases linearly with k .

Proposition 4. *The operator $h_k : \Omega^{k+1}\Lambda V \rightarrow \Omega^k\Lambda V$ is a linear combination of the operators $(\lambda)_j\xi$, $0 \leq j \leq k$:*

$$h_k = \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{(\lambda)_j\xi}{(\rho)_{j+1}}.$$

Now suppose that L is an L_∞ -algebra. The differential δ of CL is the sum of the differential d induced by the differential on the underlying cochain complex L and the contribution of the brackets $[v_1, \dots, v_k]$, $k \geq 2$. Denote the codifferential $\delta - d$ of CL by μ : it is a Maurer–Cartan element in the dg Lie algebra of coderivations of ΛL , and induces a Maurer–Cartan element on $\Omega\Lambda L$, deforming it to the dg algebra ΩCL . Applying the formulas of homological perturbation theory to the contraction h from $\Omega\Lambda L$ to L , we obtain a contraction

$$h_\mu = h(1 + \mu h)^{-1} : \Omega CL \rightarrow s^{-1}\Omega CL$$

from ΩCL to the subcomplex SL , with

$$f_\mu = f(1 + \mu h)^{-1} : \Omega CL \rightarrow SL.$$

Since $\mu g = 0$, the morphism $g = g_\mu$ of SL into ΩSL and the differential $d_\mu = d$ of SL are not deformed.

By the tensor trick, we obtain a contraction \mathbf{h}_μ from $B\Omega CL$ to BSL , which induces a codifferential on BSL , that is, an A_∞ -algebra structure on SL . This A_∞ -algebra structure was introduced by Baranovsky [1] (though without an explicit choice of contraction h from $\Omega\Lambda L$ to SL), who calls it the universal enveloping algebra of the L_∞ -algebra L . In this way, we obtain an analogue of the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt Theorem for L_∞ -algebras. In the case where L is a dg Lie algebra, we now identify this A_∞ -algebra structure on SL with the usual enveloping algebra, following Baranovsky.

The differential on $B\Omega CL$ is a sum

$$\delta_B + \delta_\Omega + \delta_1 + \mu,$$

where δ_B is the codifferential on $B\Omega CL$ induced by the product of ΩCL , δ_Ω is the differential on ΩCL induced by the coproduct of CL , δ_1 is induced by the differential

on L , and μ is the coderivation on CL corresponding to the brackets $[x_1, \dots, x_k]$, $k \geq 2$, on L . In applying the tensor trick, the contraction \mathbf{h} is associated to the complex $B\Omega CL$ with differential $\delta_\Omega + \delta_1$, and it is perturbed by $\delta_B + \mu$.

Since $\delta_\Omega \mathbf{g} = \mu \mathbf{g} = 0$, the codifferential on $B\Omega CL$ induced by the tensor trick is

$$(5.1) \quad \mathbf{f}(\delta_\Omega + \delta_1)\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{f}(1 + (\delta_B + \mu)\mathbf{h})^{-1}(\delta_B + \mu)\mathbf{g} \\ = \delta_1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \mathbf{f}\left(- (1 + \mu\mathbf{h})^{-1} \delta_B \mathbf{h}\right)^{k-2} (1 + \mu\mathbf{h})^{-1} \delta_B \mathbf{g}.$$

The k -linear bracket m_k of the A_∞ -algebra structure induced on SL by this codifferential is contributed by the summand indexed by k .

Lemma 3. *If L is a dg Lie algebra, that is, $\delta_k = 0$ for $k > 2$, then this sum simplifies to*

$$\delta_1 + \mathbf{f}(1 + \mu\mathbf{h})^{-1} \delta_B \mathbf{g}.$$

Proof. There is a decreasing filtration on CL by subspaces

$$F^k CL = \bigoplus_{\ell \geq k+1} C_\ell L.$$

This induces decreasing filtrations on ΩCL and $B\Omega CL$. The operator \mathbf{h} has degree 1 for this filtration, the operator δ_B has degree 0, the operator μ has degree -1 and the morphism \mathbf{f} vanishes on $F^1 B\Omega CL$. It follows that the operator $(1 + \mu\mathbf{h})^{-1} \delta_B \mathbf{h}$ raises filtration degree, and the result follows. \blacksquare

It follows that the A_∞ -algebra structure on SL induced by the contraction on $B\Omega CL$ is a dg algebra structure: we denote the resulting product by $x * y$, and this deformation of SL by $S_* L$. To identify the product on $S_* L$, we consider the decreasing filtration on ΩCL induced by the decreasing filtration

$$G_k CL = \bigoplus_{\ell \leq k} C_\ell L.$$

This filtration is preserved by \mathbf{h} and δ_B , and lowered by μ . The morphisms f and g are compatible with this filtration and the decreasing filtration

$$G_k SL = \bigoplus_{\ell \leq k} S^\ell L.$$

The contribution of the summand $\mathbf{f}\delta_B \mathbf{g}$ to the deformed codifferential on $B\Omega CL$ corresponds to the original product on SL , while the contribution of the remainder of the codifferential

$$\mathbf{f}(1 + \mu\mathbf{h})^{-1} \delta_B \mathbf{g} - \mathbf{f}\delta_B \mathbf{g} = -\mathbf{f}(1 + \mu\mathbf{h})^{-1} \mu\mathbf{h}\delta_B \mathbf{g}$$

maps $G_k SL$ to $G_{k-1} SL$. Thus, the induced product on SL may be characterized by its value on $[x|y] \in sL \otimes SL \subset B_2 SL$. This is calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{f}(1 + \mu\mathbf{h})^{-1} \mu\mathbf{h} \delta_B \mathbf{g}[x|y] &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{f}(1 + \mu\mathbf{h})^{-1} \mu\mathbf{h} \delta_B \left([\langle x | \langle y \rangle] + (-1)^{|x||y|} [\langle y | \langle x \rangle] \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{f}(1 + \mu\mathbf{h})^{-1} \mu\mathbf{h} \left((-1)^{|x|+1} [\langle x | y \rangle] - (-1)^{|x||y|+|y|+1} [\langle y | x \rangle] \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{f}(1 + \mu\mathbf{h})^{-1} \mu \left((-1)^{|x|+1} [\langle x \wedge y \rangle] - (-1)^{|x||y|+|y|+1} [\langle y \wedge x \rangle] \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{f}(1 + \mu\mathbf{h})^{-1} \left(-[\langle [x, y] \rangle] + (-1)^{|x||y|} [\langle [y, x] \rangle] \right) \\
&= -\mathbf{f}[\langle [x, y] \rangle] = -[x, y].
\end{aligned}$$

We have proved the following variant of the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem. (We have used a different normalization of the codifferential on CL to the one in Baranovsky’s paper.)

Theorem 5. *Let L be a dg Lie algebra. There is an isomorphism of dg algebras from the universal enveloping algebra UL to $S_* L$, defined on $L \subset UL$ by $x \mapsto \frac{1}{2}x$.*

6. Application to the non-commutative Gauss–Manin connection

Let $\Lambda = s^{-1}\mathbb{F}$; we have $\Lambda = \mathbb{F} \oplus \epsilon\mathbb{F}$, where ϵ has degree 2. Let $\partial_\epsilon = \partial/\partial\epsilon$ be the map

$$\partial_\epsilon(a + \epsilon b) = b.$$

Denote by L_ϵ the dg Lie algebra $L \otimes \Lambda$. Extend ∂_ϵ to a coderivation of CL_ϵ .

Let $\mathbb{F}[u]$ be the polynomial algebra generated by an element u of degree 2. Let $\Omega_u CL_\epsilon = (\Omega CL_\epsilon)[u]$. Deform the differential of the dg bialgebra $\Omega_u CL_\epsilon$ by the sum

$$\nu = \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \dots,$$

where

$$\nu_\ell \langle a_1 | \dots | a_k \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{\omega_{j-1}} u \langle a_1 | \dots | \partial_\epsilon a_j^{(1)} | \dots | \partial_\epsilon a_j^{(\ell)} | \dots | a_k \rangle.$$

Write $\nu = \nu_1 + \nu_+$. The differential of $\Omega_u CL_\epsilon$ is given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta \langle a_1 | \dots | a_k \rangle &= \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{\omega_{j-1}} \left(\langle a_1 | \dots | da_j | \dots | a_k \rangle \right. \\
&\quad + (-1)^{|a_j^{(1)}|} \langle a_1 | \dots | a_j^{(1)} | a_j^{(2)} | \dots | a_k \rangle \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} u \langle a_1 | \dots | \partial_\epsilon a_j^{(1)} | \dots | \partial_\epsilon a_j^{(\ell)} | \dots | a_k \rangle \right).
\end{aligned}$$

This is the dg algebra denoted $B^{\text{tw}} \mathfrak{g}^\bullet[u, \epsilon]$ in [13].

In this section, using the methods of §5, we construct an explicit A_∞ quasi-isomorphism from $\Omega_u CL_\epsilon$ to UL , reproving Lemma 19 of [13]: this proof yields an explicit formula for the twisting cochain realizing the Gauss-Manin connection on periodic cyclic homology whose existence was proved loc. cit.

Define an operator $\epsilon : CL[\epsilon] \rightarrow CL[\epsilon]$ of degree 1 by the formula

$$\epsilon(x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{|x_1| + \dots + |x_{j-1}| + j - 1} x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \epsilon x_j \wedge \dots \wedge x_n.$$

Extend ϵ to an operator $\epsilon : \Omega_u CL_\epsilon \rightarrow \Omega_u CL_\epsilon$ of degree 1 by the formula

$$\epsilon\langle a_1 | \dots | a_k \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{\omega_{j-1} + 1} \langle a_1 | \dots | \epsilon a_j | \dots | a_k \rangle.$$

We have $\epsilon \circ \partial_\epsilon + \partial_\epsilon \circ \epsilon = \rho$.

Let $\partial_u = \partial / \partial u$. The operator

$$\eta_\epsilon = \eta + \epsilon \partial_u$$

satisfies

$$(\delta_\Omega + \delta_1 + \nu_1) \eta_\epsilon + \eta_\epsilon (\delta_\Omega + \delta_1 + \nu_1) = \rho(u \partial_u + 1) + \epsilon \partial_\epsilon - \lambda.$$

Form the locally finite sum

$$h_\epsilon = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda)_j \xi^j}{(\rho(u \partial_u + 1) + \epsilon \partial_\epsilon)_{j+1}}.$$

Let p_ϵ be the projection from $\Omega_u CL_\epsilon$ to SL , identified with the zero eigenspace of $\rho(u \partial_u + 1) + \epsilon \partial_\epsilon - \lambda$. Then we have

$$(\delta_\Omega + \delta_1 + \nu_1) h_\epsilon + h_\epsilon (\delta_\Omega + \delta_1 + \nu_1) = p_\epsilon,$$

The associated map $g_\epsilon : SL \rightarrow \Omega_u CL_\epsilon$ is given by the same formula as $g : SL \rightarrow \Omega CL$, and $f_\epsilon : \Omega_u CL_\epsilon \rightarrow SL$ is given by setting $u = \epsilon = 0$ and then applying the map $f : \Omega CL \rightarrow SL$. In this way, we obtain a contraction $(\Omega_u CL_\epsilon, SL, h_\epsilon, f_\epsilon, g_\epsilon)$.

Let $B_u \Omega_u CL_\epsilon$ be the bar construction of $\Omega_u CL_\epsilon$ as a dg algebra over $\mathbb{F}[u]$: we have

$$B_u \Omega_u CL_\epsilon = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} (s \Omega CL_\epsilon)^{\otimes k} [u].$$

The differential on $B_u\Omega_u CL_\epsilon$ is a sum

$$\delta_B + \delta_\Omega + \delta_1 + \mu + \nu = (\delta_\Omega + \delta_1 + \nu_1) + (\delta_B + \mu + \nu_+).$$

Since $\mu\mathbf{g}_\epsilon = \nu\mathbf{g}_\epsilon = 0$, the codifferential on BSL induced by the tensor trick takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{f}_\epsilon(\delta_\Omega + \delta_1 + \nu_1)\mathbf{g}_\epsilon + \mathbf{f}_\epsilon(1 + (\delta_B + \mu + \nu_+)\mathbf{h}_\epsilon)^{-1}(\delta_B + \mu + \nu_+)\mathbf{g}_\epsilon \\ &= \delta_1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \mathbf{f}_\epsilon\left(-1 + (\mu + \nu_+)\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\right)^{-1}\delta_B\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\right)^{k-2}(1 + (\mu + \nu_+)\mathbf{h}_\epsilon)^{-1}\delta_B\mathbf{g}_\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

A similar argument to Lemma 3 shows that this sum simplifies to

$$\delta_1 + \mathbf{f}(1 + \mu\mathbf{h})^{-1}\delta_B\mathbf{g}.$$

Theorem 5 identifies the resulting A_∞ -algebra structure on SL with the universal enveloping algebra UL . The A_∞ quasi-isomorphism from UL to $\Omega_u CL_\epsilon$ that proves Lemma 19 of [13] is given by the morphism of dg coalgebras

$$\mathbf{h}_\epsilon(1 + (\delta_B + \mu + \nu_+)\mathbf{h}_\epsilon)^{-1}\delta_B\mathbf{g}_\epsilon : BUL \rightarrow B_u\Omega_u CL_\epsilon,$$

or equivalently, by the map $BUL \rightarrow \Omega_u CL_\epsilon$ given by composition with the universal twisting cochain $B_u\Omega_u CL_\epsilon \rightarrow \Omega_u CL_\epsilon$.

Acknowledgements. We thank Alex Karapetyan and Boris Tsygan for sharing their insights on operations acting on the bar construction, and Jim Stasheff and Bruno Vallette for helpful comments on a draft.

References

- [1] V. Baranovsky, *A universal enveloping for L_∞ -algebras*, Math. Res. Lett. **15** (2008), no. 6, 1073–1089.
- [2] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological algebra*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1956.
- [3] M. de Wilde and P. B. A. Lecomte, *An homotopy formula for the Hochschild cohomology*, Compositio Math. **96** (1995), no. 1, 99–109.
- [4] M. Gerstenhaber, *The cohomology structure of an associative ring*, Ann. of Math. (2) **78** (1963), 267–288.
- [5] E. Getzler and J. D. S. Jones, *Operads, homotopy algebra and iterated integrals for double loop spaces*, available at [arXiv:hep-th/9403055](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9403055).
- [6] E. Getzler, *Cartan homotopy formulas and the Gauss–Manin connection in cyclic homology*, Quantum deformations of algebras and their representations (Ramat-Gan, 1991/1992; Rehovot, 1991/1992), Israel Math. Conf. Proc., vol. 7, Bar-Ilan Univ., Ramat Gan, 1993, pp. 65–78.

- [7] V. K. A. M. Gugenheim and L. A. Lambe, *Perturbation theory in differential homological algebra. I*, Illinois J. Math. **33** (1989), no. 4, 566–582.
- [8] V. K. A. M. Gugenheim, L. A. Lambe, and J. D. Stasheff, *Perturbation theory in differential homological algebra. II*, Illinois J. Math. **35** (1991), no. 3, 357–373.
- [9] G. Halbout, *Formule d'homotopie entre les complexes de Hochschild et de de Rham*, Compositio Math. **126** (2001), no. 2, 123–145 (French, with English summary).
- [10] V. Hinich and V. Schechtman, *Homotopy Lie algebras*, I. M. Gel'fand Seminar, Adv. Soviet Math., vol. 16, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993, pp. 1–28.
- [11] J. Huebschmann and T. Kadeishvili, *Small models for chain algebras*, Math. Z. **207** (1991), no. 2, 245–280.
- [12] T. V. Kadeishvili, *The algebraic structure in the homology of an $A(\infty)$ -algebra*, Soobshch. Akad. Nauk Gruzin. SSR **108** (1982), no. 2, 249–252 (1983) (Russian, with English and Georgian summaries).
- [13] B. Tsygan, *On the Gauss-Manin connection in cyclic homology*, Methods Funct. Anal. Topology **13** (2007), no. 1, 83–94.
- [14] D. Quillen, *Rational homotopy theory*, Ann. of Math. (2) **90** (1969), 205–295.

Ezra GETZLER, Department of Mathematics, Northwestern University, 2033 Sheridan Road, Evanston, 60208, USA; *e-mail*: getzler@northwestern.edu; *e-mail*: getzler@gmail.com