

Proper affine deformations of positive representations

Jean-Philippe Burelle* Neža Žager Korenjak

May 24, 2024

Abstract

We define for every positive Anosov representation of a nonabelian free group into $SO(2n, 2n - 1)$ a family of \mathbb{R}^{4n-1} -valued cocycles which induce proper affine actions on \mathbb{R}^{4n-1} . We construct fundamental domains in \mathbb{R}^{4n-1} bounded by generalized crooked planes for these affine actions, and deduce that the quotient manifolds are homeomorphic to handlebodies.

1 Introduction

An affine manifold is a smooth manifold equipped with a flat affine connection. If it can be obtained by taking the quotient of the affine space \mathbb{R}^n by a discrete subgroup of affine transformations acting properly and pushing forward the trivial connection, it is called complete. The problem of classifying complete affine manifolds is therefore the same as the classification of proper actions on \mathbb{R}^n by discrete groups of affine transformations.

An action of a discrete group Γ by affine transformations is determined by two pieces of data: a *linear part* $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ which is a representation of the group, and a *translational part* $u : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ which is a $\rho(\Gamma)$ -cocycle. If the action of $(\rho, u)(\Gamma)$ on \mathbb{R}^n is properly discontinuous, we will call u a proper (affine) deformation of $\rho(\Gamma)$.

Unlike complete Euclidean manifolds whose classification is well understood, the classification of both closed and non-compact affine manifolds is still mysterious in general. Even though affine manifolds are flat, their fundamental groups can be hyperbolic. Examples of properly discontinuous affine actions by a nonabelian free group on \mathbb{R}^3 were first discovered by Margulis in [Mar83; Mar84], in response to Milnor [Mil77] asking whether complete affine manifolds with nonabelian free fundamental group exist.

In fact, properly discontinuous affine actions by free groups on \mathbb{R}^3 are fully understood. Fried–Goldman [FG83] proved that the linear part of each such ac-

*This author acknowledges the support of the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC), [funding reference number RGPIN-2020-05557]

tion must preserve a symmetric bilinear form of Lorentzian signature, and therefore defines a hyperbolic surface $\mathbb{H}^2/\rho(\Gamma)$. Given such a linear part, Danciger–Guéritaud–Kassel in [DGK16] describe all cocycles $u : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $(\rho(\Gamma), u(\Gamma))$ acts properly on \mathbb{R}^3 . They do this in terms of *strip deformations* of the hyperbolic surface $\mathbb{H}^2/\rho(\Gamma)$. Their approach also allows them to construct fundamental domains for these actions which are bounded by *crooked planes*, first introduced by Drumm in [Dru92]. Crooked planes have been explored further for instance in [DG99; CDG10; Bur+14]. Though initially an object in three dimensions, Buelle and Treib in [BT22] give a notion of crooked half-spaces in higher-dimensional spheres and projective spaces which bound fundamental domains for *positive representations*.

In higher dimensions, the story of complete affine manifolds is less complete. Abels–Margulis–Soifer and Smilga give some criteria for which Lie groups admit discrete free subgroups such that there exist translational parts giving rise to a proper affine action; see [AMS02; Smi14b; Smi16; Smi18; Smi22]. In [Žag22], some higher-dimensional examples for Fuchsian groups are constructed, adapting ideas in [DGK16]. In [Smi14a], Smilga also constructs fundamental domains called tennis ball domains for affine actions of free groups with strongly contracting linear part in $\mathrm{SO}(2n, 2n - 1)$.

The goal of this paper is to sharpen Smilga’s result for a specific class of linear parts: *positive* representations of free groups into $\mathrm{SO}(2n, 2n - 1)$, in the sense of Fock and Goncharov, see [FG06; GW18]. These representations are defined in terms of a specific choice of punctured surface, and have geometric properties which relate closely with the geometry at infinity of the surface and its fundamental group (see Section 2.2 for the definition).

Theorem 1.1. Let S be a closed surface with $k \geq 1$ punctures. Let $\rho : \pi_1(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(2n, 2n - 1)$ be a positive Anosov representation. Then, there exists a proper affine deformation of ρ .

In contrast to Smilga’s result, no quantitative hypothesis is made on the contraction strength of the representation ρ . To obtain this theorem, we define a generalized notion of the infinitesimal strip deformations used by Danciger–Guéritaud–Kassel. In this generalization, the *flag intervals* in $\mathrm{Flag}^+(\mathbb{R}^{4n-1})$ defined in [BT22] play the role of hyperbolic geodesics, and the infinitesimal strip deformations can be interpreted as an infinitesimal shrinking of flag intervals. Using results on the Margulis invariant by Goldman–Labourie–Margulis and Ghosh–Treib [GLM04; GT17], we then prove that all cocycles obtained by this method yield proper affine actions.

Danciger and Zhang proved in 2019 that the analog of Theorem 1.1 for *closed* surfaces is false [DZ19]: they showed that positive (or *Hitchin*) representations of closed surface groups can never admit proper affine deformations.

We reprove properness via a different method not relying on the machinery of diffused Margulis invariants from [GT17]. We construct fundamental domains and show that they tile all of affine space:

Theorem 1.2. All proper affine actions (ρ, u) obtained from generalized infinitesimal strip deformations admit fundamental domains bounded by crooked

planes. The quotient manifolds $\mathbb{R}^{4n-1}/(\rho, u)(\Gamma)$ are homeomorphic to handlebodies.

Our construction depends on a choice of arcs and further on a choice of extension of the positive boundary map associated to the linear part. For a fixed choice, we do *not* obtain all the possible proper affine deformations of the given linear part in dimension bigger than 3. However, it is natural to ask

Question 1.3. How does the deformation depend on these choices? Varying over all the choices, do we get all proper affine deformations of a given positive linear part?

If the answer to this question is yes, then in particular we would be able to construct fundamental domains for all proper affine deformations with given (free positive) linear part, showing that they are all *tame* (the quotients are homeomorphic to the interior of a compact manifold with boundary).

By a dimension count we know that there are redundancies and that some choices of the extension of the boundary map will give conjugate cocycles. However, for $\mathrm{SO}(2, 1)$, one can make some canonical choices (see [DGK16]) and obtain a parametrization of the space of proper cocycles. It is not clear whether there is an analog of this for generalized infinitesimal strip deformations leading to a parametrization of the cone of proper cocycles.

In Section 2, we recall the notion of positivity for tuples of flags in $\mathbb{R}^{2n, 2n-1}$. We gather basic facts about positive representations of (non-compact) surface groups into $\mathrm{SL}(4n-1)$ and $\mathrm{SO}(2n, 2n-1)$. This section is mostly for background and fixing notation. For more detailed proofs, see [BT22].

In Section 3, we define a generalized infinitesimal strip deformation – a cocycle associated to an extended boundary map of a free group with an arc system. In Section 4, we compute the Margulis invariant of such a cocycle and show that the cocycle determines a proper action of a free group on $\mathbb{R}^{2n, 2n-1}$.

In Section 5, we use *crooked half-spaces* to construct fundamental domains for actions from Section 3. This gives an alternate proof of Theorem 1.1.

2 Positive Ping-pong

Fix the standard orientation on \mathbb{R}^{4n-1} given by the canonical basis. An *oriented flag* F in \mathbb{R}^{4n-1} is a $4n$ -tuple of properly nested vector subspaces

$$0 = F^{(0)} \subset F^{(1)} \subset \dots \subset F^{(4n-2)} \subset F^{(4n-1)} = \mathbb{R}^{4n-1},$$

together with a fixed choice of orientation on $F^{(i)}$, so that the orientation on $F^{(4n-1)}$ agrees with that of \mathbb{R}^{4n-1} . Equivalently, one can specify a choice of 1-dimensional orientation on each of the quotients $F^{(i)}/F^{(i-1)}$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4n-1$. The group $\mathrm{SL}(4n-1, \mathbb{R})$ acts transitively on the set of oriented flags, and so we can endow it with a topology by identifying it with a coset space: $\mathrm{Flag}^+(\mathbb{R}^{4n-1}) := \mathrm{SL}(4n-1, \mathbb{R})/B^0$, where B^0 is the subgroup of upper triangular matrices with positive entries on the diagonal.

Let \cdot denote the symmetric bilinear form of signature $(2n, 2n - 1)$ given by the following matrix in the standard basis

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We will call a basis of \mathbb{R}^{4n-1} a J -basis if, in that basis, the bilinear form \cdot has matrix J .

Definition 2.1. An *oriented isotropic flag* is an oriented flag such that

1. $F^{(i)} = (F^{(4n-i)})^\perp$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4n-1$, where the orthogonal is taken with respect to \cdot and
2. For any choice of positive vectors $v \in F^{(i+1)}/F^{(i)}$ and $u \in (F^{(i)})^\perp/(F^{(i+1)})^\perp$ with $0 \leq i \leq 4n-2$, the well-defined product $(-1)^{i+1}u \cdot v$ is positive.

The subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}(4n-1, \mathbb{R})$ preserving \cdot will be denoted by $\mathrm{SO}(2n, 2n-1)$. Denote by $\mathrm{Flag}^+(\mathbb{R}^{2n, 2n-1})$ the space of oriented isotropic flags.

Definition 2.2. A pair of oriented flags F, G is *oriented transverse* if for all $0 \leq i \leq 4n-1$ we have a direct sum

$$F^{(i)} \oplus F^{(4n-1-i)} = \mathbb{R}^{4n-1},$$

and the induced orientation matches with the fixed orientation on \mathbb{R}^{4n-1} .

Because the dimension of the ambient space is odd, oriented transversality is a symmetric relation. The group $\mathrm{SL}(4n-1, \mathbb{R})$ acts transitively on pairs of oriented transverse flags ([BT22], Lemma 2.19). Similarly, it follows from the next proposition that the group $\mathrm{SO}(2n, 2n-1)$ acts transitively on pairs of oriented transverse isotropic flags.

Proposition 2.3. A pair of oriented isotropic flags F, G is oriented-transverse if and only if there exists a positively oriented J -basis $E = (e_1, \dots, e_{4n-1})$ such that

$$F = \{0\} \subset \langle e_1 \rangle \subset \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \subset \dots \subset \langle e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{4n-1} \rangle$$

and

$$G = \{0\} \subset \langle e_{4n-1} \rangle \subset \langle e_{4n-2}, e_{4n-1} \rangle \subset \dots \subset \langle e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{4n-1} \rangle.$$

Given a basis E , we denote this pair by $(F_E, F_{\widehat{E}})$, where \widehat{E} is the opposite basis $(e_{4n-1}, -e_{4n-2}, e_{4n-3}, \dots, -e_2, e_1)$. Note that \widehat{E} is a J -basis if and only if E is a J -basis.

Proof. By [BT22] Lemma 2.19, there exists a basis satisfying all the required properties except that of being a J -basis. The fact that F, G are isotropic guarantees that we can rescale each basis vector by a positive scalar to make it into a J -basis, and this does not change the flags F, G or their orientation. \square

Remark 2.4. Note that the notion of an opposite basis \widehat{E} to a basis E is defined for any basis, not just an isotropic one.

Definition 2.5. A triple of oriented flags (F, G, H) is *positive* if for every choice of $0 \leq i, j, k \leq 4n - 1$ such that $i + j + k = 4n - 1$, we have the direct sum

$$F^{(i)} \oplus G^{(j)} \oplus H^{(k)} = \mathbb{R}^{4n+1},$$

and the induced orientation matches with the fixed orientation on \mathbb{R}^{4n+1} . In particular, note that in a positive triple every pair is oriented transverse.

More generally, we say a k -tuple of oriented flags is positive if every ordered sub-triple is positive.

Positivity of triples of oriented flags satisfies the following properties ([BT22], Proposition 3.7):

1. If (F, G, H) is positive, then (H, F, G) is positive.
2. If (F, G, H) is positive, then (H, G, F) is not positive.
3. If (F, G, H) and (F, H, K) are positive, then (F, G, K) is positive.

These are the axioms of a (strict) *partial cyclic order*. We will use the terms *cyclically ordered* and *positive* for tuples of flags interchangeably.

Definition 2.6. Given two oriented transverse flags $F, G \in \text{Flag}^+(\mathbb{R}^{4n-1})$, the *interval* between them is

$$((F, G)) = \{H \in \text{Flag}^+(\mathbb{R}^{4n-1}) \mid (F, H, G) \text{ is positive}\}.$$

The *opposite* of an interval is defined by $((F, G))^{\text{opp}} = ((G, F))$.

Flag positivity is classically defined in terms of totally positive matrices. A matrix is *totally positive* if all its minors are strictly positive. A matrix is *upper triangular totally positive* (resp. *lower triangular totally positive*) if it is upper triangular (resp. lower triangular) and all of its minors which are not zero by triangularity are strictly positive.

Proposition 2.7. *A triple of oriented flags F, G, H is positive if and only if there exists an oriented basis E of \mathbb{R}^{4n-1} and a unipotent lower-triangular totally positive matrix U such that $F = F_E$, $H = F_{\widehat{E}}$ and $G^{(i)}$ is the span of the first i columns of U .*

Proof. This is proven in Lemma 3.2 of [BT22]. \square

Lemma 2.8. *Let C be a positive k -tuple of oriented flags, with $F, G \in C$ an adjacent pair. Let $X \in ((F, G))$. Then, the $(k + 1)$ -tuple \widehat{C} consisting of C with the flag X inserted between F and G is positive.*

Proof. By cyclic invariance, we may assume that G is the first flag of the tuple and F is the last, and X is inserted at the end.

Let $H, K \in C$ be distinct and assume without loss of generality that (G, H, K) and (H, K, F) are positive (by switching H and K if needed). We must show that (H, K, X) is positive.

Since (F, X, G) and (F, G, H) are positive, we get that (F, X, H) is positive. Then, since (H, K, F) is positive, we get (H, K, X) is positive as desired. \square

The following property of the cyclic order on oriented flags, which is called *properness* in [BT22], will be useful.

Lemma 2.9 ([BT22] Proposition 3.13). *If (F, G, H, K) is a positive quadruple, then $((G, H)) \subset ((F, K))$.*

Proposition 2.10. *A quadruple of oriented flags (F, G, H, K) is positive if and only if there exists an oriented basis e_1, \dots, e_{4n-1} of \mathbb{R}^{4n-1} such that F, G, H are as Proposition 2.7, and K is represented by SLS where L is lower triangular totally positive and $S = \text{diag}(1, -1, 1, -1, \dots, -1, 1)$.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 2.7 and Lemma 3.10 of [BT22]. \square

Proposition 2.11 ([BT22] Lemma 3.18). *Suppose $(F_E, G, H, F_{\widehat{E}})$ is a positive quadruple of oriented flags. Then, there exists a totally positive matrix $M \in \text{SL}(4n - 1)$ such that $MF_E = G$, $MF_{\widehat{E}} = H$. If $F_E, G, H, F_{\widehat{E}}$ are all isotropic, we can choose M totally positive in $\text{SO}(2n, 2n - 1)$.*

2.1 Positivity in $\text{SO}(2n, 2n - 1)$

The definition of positivity was extended by Lusztig [Lus94] to reductive Lie groups. Since we are interested in orthogonal groups $\text{SO}(2n, 2n - 1)$, we will show that with the bilinear form J and the right choice of Cartan decomposition, positive matrices in $\text{SO}(2n, 2n - 1)$ are also positive in $\text{SL}(4n - 1, \mathbb{R})$ (that is, they are totally positive matrices).

We now recall Lusztig's definition of the the positive subsemigroup of a real split Lie group G . We fix a Cartan decomposition of \mathfrak{g} , a set of simple roots Σ for some choice of positive roots, and a choice of generators X_α for the simple root spaces. Let B be the Borel subgroup corresponding to the subalgebra generated by the Cartan subalgebra and the positive root spaces. Denote by $s_\alpha \in W$ the simple root reflection in the root α and let $s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} \dots s_{\alpha_N}$ be a reduced expression for the longest element in W .

Definition 2.12. The *positive subsemigroup* of $B \subset G$ is the image of the map

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{R}^{>0})^N &\longrightarrow B \\ (t_1, \dots, t_N) &\longmapsto e^{t_1 X_{\alpha_1}} e^{t_2 X_{\alpha_2}} \dots e^{t_N X_{\alpha_N}} \end{aligned}$$

We are interested in the groups $G = \mathrm{SL}(4n - 1, \mathbb{R})$ and $G' = \mathrm{SO}(2n, 2n - 1)$. Consider the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{sl}(4n - 1, \mathbb{R})$ consisting of diagonal matrices. We choose the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{a}' \subset \mathfrak{so}(2n, 2n - 1)$ which also consists of diagonal matrices, so that $\mathfrak{a}' = \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{so}(2n, 2n - 1)$.

The collection of roots $\alpha_i \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ given by $\alpha_i(\mathrm{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_{4n-1})) = a_i - a_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4n - 2$ forms a set of simple roots for $\mathfrak{sl}(4n - 1)$. Similarly, $\alpha'_i = a_i - a_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2n - 1$ is a set of simple roots for $\mathfrak{so}(2n, 2n - 1)$.

Denoting by $E_{i,j}$ a $(4n - 1) \times (4n - 1)$ matrix with a 1 in position (i, j) and all other coefficients 0, the root space associated to the simple root α_i is spanned by $E_{i,i+1}$. The root space for α'_i is spanned by $E_{i,i+1} + E_{4n-1-i,4n-i}$.

Denote by s_i the simple root reflection in the root α_i and by s'_i the simple root reflection in α'_i . The longest word in the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{so}(2n, 2n - 1)$ can be written

$$w'_0 = ((s'_1 s'_3 \dots s'_{2n-1})(s'_2 s'_4 \dots s'_{2n-2}))^{2n-1}$$

and for $\mathfrak{sl}(4n - 1, \mathbb{R})$

$$w_0 = (s_1 s_{4n-2} s_3 s_{4n-4} \dots s_{2n-1} s_{2n} s_{2n-1} s_2 s_{4n-3} s_4 s_{4n-5} \dots s_{2n-2} s_{2n})^{2n-1}.$$

Note that w_0 can be obtained from w'_0 by the replacing each instance of s'_i by $s_i s_{4n-1-i}$ if $i < 2n - 1$ and s'_{2n-1} by $s_{2n-1} s_{2n} s_{2n-1}$.

Now, since

$$e^{t(E_{i,i+1} + E_{4n-1-i,4n-i})} = e^{tE_{i,i+1}} e^{tE_{4n-1-i,4n-i}}$$

for $i < 2n - 1$ and

$$e^{t(E_{2n-1,2n} + E_{2n,2n+1})} = e^{\frac{t}{2}E_{2n-1,2n}} e^{tE_{2n,2n+1}} e^{\frac{t}{2}E_{2n-1,2n}},$$

the positive subsemigroup for $\mathrm{SO}(2n, 2n - 1)$ is contained in the positive subsemigroup for $\mathrm{SL}(4n - 1, \mathbb{R})$. In particular, it consists of upper triangular totally positive matrices.

2.2 Positive representations of free groups

Let $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ be a free, convex cocompact subgroup. Denote by $\Lambda_\Gamma \subset \partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^2$ the limit set of Γ . It is an embedding of the boundary $\partial_\infty \Gamma$ of the group. The boundary $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^2$ is a circle; orient it counter-clockwise, inducing an orientation on Λ_Γ (and thus on $\partial_\infty \Gamma$). Topologically, the limit set is the Cantor set.

Definition 2.13. A representation $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(2n, 2n - 1)$ is *positive Anosov* if there exists a ρ -equivariant map $\xi : \Lambda_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{Flag}^+(\mathbb{R}^{2n, 2n-1})$ which maps positively oriented triples to positive triples.

Remark 2.14. Note that the transversality condition of positivity forces ξ to be injective, and that a positive Anosov representation is Borel Anosov. Our definition of positivity therefore differs from some authors who allow the image of the positive map ξ to be a topological circle (which allows generalized cusps). From here on out, we will use the term *positive* to refer to positive Anosov representations.

On the surface $S = \mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma$, there exist pairwise disjoint properly embedded geodesic arcs a_1, \dots, a_N that cut S into a topological disk. Moreover, we can choose a pair of lifts a_{i+}, a_{i-} to \mathbb{H}^2 of each arc a_i so that they bound a fundamental domain for Γ in \mathbb{H}^2 . We can choose elements $\gamma_i \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma_i(a_{i-}) = a_{i+}$, and $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N$ generate Γ . We can mimic this construction the space of oriented isotropic flags:

Proposition 2.15. *If $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(2n, 2n-1)$ is a positive representation, then there exists intervals $I_1^\pm \dots I_N^\pm \subset \mathrm{Flag}^+(\mathbb{R}^{4n-1})$ with all endpoints isotropic flags and satisfying:*

1. *If $J_1, J_2 \in \{I_1^-, \dots, I_N^-, I_1^+, \dots, I_N^+\}$ are distinct, $\overline{J_1} \subset J_2^{\mathrm{opp}}$.*
2. *$\rho(\gamma_j)(I_j^{-\mathrm{opp}}) = I_j^+$.*

Proof. Let ξ be a positive ρ -equivariant map.

Choose properly embedded arcs $a_{i\pm} \subset \mathbb{H}^2$ cutting the surface into a disk as above. Denote the endpoints of each geodesic a_{i-} by x_i, y_i and order them so that the positive intervals $((x_i, y_i))$ are pairwise disjoint. For each i , the point $x_i \in \partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^2$ lies in a unique complementary interval (c_i, d_i) to the Cantor set $\partial_\infty \Gamma \subset \partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^2$. Choose any oriented isotropic flag $A_i \in ((\xi(c_i), \xi(d_i)))$, and choose oriented isotropic flags Y_i using the same process on the points y_i .

Define the intervals $I_i^- := ((X_i, Y_i))$ and $I_i^+ := ((\rho(\gamma_i)X_i, \rho(\gamma_i)Y_i))$, so that point 2 is satisfied.

By positivity of the equivariant map ξ and Lemma 2.8, the endpoints of the intervals defined this way are cyclically ordered. This fact, together with Lemma 2.9, implies point 1. \square

3 Constructing proper cocycles

In this section, we construct a $\rho(\Gamma)$ -cocycle $u : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n, 2n-1}$ by explicitly describing $u(\gamma)$ for each γ . The construction is inspired by Danciger–Guéritaud–Kassel strip deformations [DGK16] (see also [Žag22]).

Recall that a properly embedded transversely oriented arc a on a surface $S = \mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma$ is an arc with no self-intersections exiting the convex core of S on both sides, and endowed with a notion of a positive and negative direction of crossing it, or equivalently with the notion of “left” and “right” along a .

Let $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(2n, 2n-1)$ be a positive representation and ξ its associated boundary map. Denote by \mathcal{A} a system of properly embedded pairwise non-homotopic transversely oriented arcs on $S = \mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma$. Let $\Lambda_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^2$ be the set of endpoints of the lifts of all the arcs in \mathcal{A} to the universal cover \mathbb{H}^2 . The left endpoint of $a \in \mathcal{A}$ will be called a^+ and the right endpoint a^- . Extend ξ to a positive equivariant map from $\Lambda_\Gamma \cup \Lambda_{\mathcal{A}}$ to $\mathrm{Flag}^+(\mathbb{R}^{2n, 2n-1})$, and call it ξ as well. This is possible by using Proposition 2.15 and extending by equivariance. The action on intervals bounded by $\xi(a^\pm)$ then mimics the action of Γ on the intervals determined by transversely oriented arcs in $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^2$.

Choose positively oriented vectors

$$\mathbf{v}_a^+ \in \xi(a^+)^{(1)}$$

and

$$\mathbf{v}_a^- \in \xi(a^-)^{(1)}$$

for each $a \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$, satisfying the equivariance condition $\mathbf{v}_{\gamma \cdot a}^\pm = \rho(\gamma)\mathbf{v}_a^\pm$.

Choose a lift $p_0 \in \mathbb{H}^2 \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ of the basepoint of $\pi_1(S) \cong \Gamma$, and let $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Let $c_\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2$ be a path with $c_\gamma(0) = p_0$ and $c_\gamma(1) = \gamma \cdot p_0$ such that c_γ intersects each arc in $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ transversely and does not self-intersect along any arc in $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$. Denote $X_{c_\gamma} = c_\gamma([0, 1]) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ and for each $x \in X_{c_\gamma}$, let $\sigma(x) = 1$ if the path c_γ intersects the arc $a_x \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ positively at x , and $\sigma(x) = -1$ if the intersection is negative.

Definition 3.1. A *generalized infinitesimal strip deformation* of a positive representation $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(2n, 2n-1)$ associated to an arc system \mathcal{A} is a map $u : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n, 2n-1}$ defined by

$$u(\gamma) = \sum_{x \in X_{c_\gamma}} \sigma(x)(\mathbf{v}_{a_x}^+ - \mathbf{v}_{a_x}^-). \quad (1)$$

An illustration of this construction can be seen in Figure 1. Intuitively, we are adding a vector to $u(\gamma)$ each time γ crosses an arc in \mathcal{A} .




Figure 1: Choose $\rho(\Gamma)$ -equivariant positively oriented vectors $\mathbf{v}_a^\pm \in \xi(a^\pm)^{(1)}$, $\mathbf{v}_b^\pm \in \xi(b^\pm)^{(1)}$, $\mathbf{v}_c^\pm \in \xi(c^\pm)^{(1)}$. In the example drawn, the curve c_γ crosses the arcs a and c positively and the arc b negatively, so $\sigma(a \cap c_\gamma([0, 1])) = 1$ and $\sigma(c \cap c_\gamma([0, 1])) = 1$, while $\sigma(b \cap c_\gamma([0, 1])) = -1$. We would associate to γ the translation vector $u(\gamma) = \mathbf{v}_a^+ - \mathbf{v}_a^- - (\mathbf{v}_b^+ - \mathbf{v}_b^-) + \mathbf{v}_c^+ - \mathbf{v}_c^-$.

Remark 3.2. While the set X_{c_γ} can depend on the choice of c_γ , the sum defining $u(\gamma)$ does not.

Lemma 3.3. A *generalized infinitesimal strip deformation* is a cocycle.

Proof. We need to show that $u(\gamma_1\gamma_2) = \rho(\gamma_1)u(\gamma_2) + u(\gamma_1)$. Let c_{γ_1} be a path defining $u(\gamma_1)$ and let c_{γ_2} be a path defining $u(\gamma_2)$. Define

$$c_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}(t) = \begin{cases} c_{\gamma_1}(2t) & t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \gamma_1 \cdot c_{\gamma_2}(2t-1) & t \geq \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

As $c_{\gamma_1}(1) = \gamma_1 \cdot p_0 = \gamma_1 \cdot c_{\gamma_2}(0)$, the path $c_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}$ is continuous. We have $c_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}(0) = p(0)$ and $c_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}(1) = \gamma_1 \cdot (c_{\gamma_2}(1)) = \gamma_1\gamma_2 \cdot p_0$, so $c_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}$ really does define $u(\gamma_1\gamma_2)$.

It follows from equivariance of the choices of v_a^\pm and the definition of $u(\gamma_1\gamma_2)$ when using the path $c_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}$ that the cocycle identity holds. \square

Remark 3.4. This construction depends on the choice of base tile in which p_0 lies. However, different choices of the lift correspond to cohomologous cocycles. The cocycle u only depends on the arcs in \mathcal{A} up to homotopy.

Remark 3.5. In the case when ρ is a Fuchsian representation, that is, when ρ is the restriction to Γ of the irreducible representation $\sigma_{4n-1} : \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_{4n-1}(\mathbb{R})$ to Γ , we can obtain these cocycles as limits of *higher strip deformations* from [Zag22] when we send the “waists” to ∞ . For $n = 1$, our construction recovers *infinitesimal strip deformations* from [DGK16].

4 The Margulis invariant

In this section, we recall the Margulis invariant and compute it for cocycles of the form defined in the previous section.

Call an element $g \in \mathrm{SO}(2n, 2n-1)$ *regular* if it is diagonalizable with distinct real eigenvalues. A regular element has an attracting isotropic flag g^+ and a repelling isotropic flag g^- , obtained respectively by taking the span of the first k eigenspaces in decreasing (resp. increasing) order of eigenvalue modulus.

Since real eigenvalues in $\mathrm{SO}(2n, 2n-1)$ come in inverse pairs and there is an odd number of them, regular elements always admit a *neutral eigenvector* of eigenvalue 1.

Moreover, we can choose a canonical representative on this neutral eigenline. We can orient the attracting flag g^+ of g , thus also orienting the neutral line. The following proposition proves that the orientation of the neutral line does not depend on the choice of oriented flag g^+ , and we will call this the positive orientation of the neutral line.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $G, H \in \mathrm{Flag}^+(\mathbb{R}^{2n, 2n-1})$ be two oriented isotropic flags with the same underlying unoriented flag. Then the orientations on the quotients $H^{(2n)}/H^{(2n-1)}$ and $G^{(2n)}/G^{(2n-1)}$ agree.*

Proof. Let $E = (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{4n-1})$ be a positive J -basis defining G , that is, e_i is positive in $G^{(i)}/G^{(i-1)}$. For $i = 1, \dots, 4n-1$, let $\sigma_i \in \{+1, -1\}$ and let $(\sigma_1 e_1, \sigma_2 e_2, \dots, \sigma_{4n-1} e_{4n-1})$ be a positive J -basis for H . We want to show that $\sigma_{2n} = 1$.

If $\sigma_{2n} = -1$ but $\sigma_i = 1$ for all other i , then $(\sigma_1 e_1, \sigma_2 e_2, \dots, \sigma_{4n-1} e_{4n-1})$ is not a positive basis.

Suppose $\sigma_i = -1$ for some $i \neq 2n$. Then by Condition 2 of Definition 2.1, σ_{4n-i} also has to be -1 . Therefore, an even number of σ_i for $i \neq 2n$ are negative. Were σ_{2n} also negative, we would have an odd number of negative σ_i , in which case the basis $(\sigma_1 e_1, \sigma_2 e_2, \dots, \sigma_{4n-1} e_{4n-1})$ would not be a positive basis. This means that σ_{2n} has to equal 1. \square

Definition 4.2. The *neutral vector* of a positive regular element $g \in \mathrm{SO}(2n, 2n-1)$, denoted by $\mathbf{x}^0(g)$, is the positively oriented eigenvector of g with eigenvalue 1, normalized so that $\mathbf{x}^0(g) \cdot \mathbf{x}^0(g) = 1$.

We can also define a neutral vector from just a pair of isotropic flags:

Definition 4.3. The *neutral vector* of a pair of transverse isotropic flags (F, G) , denoted $\mathbf{x}^0(F, G)$, is e_{2n} where (e_1, \dots, e_{4n-1}) is any positive J -basis such that $F = F_E$ and $G = F_{\widehat{E}}$.

This neutral vector exists by Proposition 2.3 and is unique by Lemma 4.1. The two definitions are related by $\mathbf{x}^0(g) = \mathbf{x}^0(g^+, g^-)$.

Definition 4.4. Let $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(2n, 2n-1)$ be a representation with $\rho(\gamma)$ regular for all $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{1\}$ and let $u : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n, 2n-1}$ be a $\rho(\Gamma)$ -cocycle. The *Margulis invariant* of $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is

$$\alpha_u(\gamma) = u(\gamma) \cdot \mathbf{x}^0(\rho(\gamma)).$$

The invariant was first introduced by Margulis in [Mar83; Mar84], where he showed the *opposite sign lemma*:

Lemma 4.5 (Margulis, [Mar83; Mar84]). *Let $\Gamma < \mathrm{SO}(2, 1)$ be a convex cocompact free group and $u : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2,1}$ a Γ -cocycle. If there exist $\gamma, \eta \in \Gamma \setminus \{1\}$ such that $\alpha_u(\gamma)\alpha_u(\eta) \leq 0$, then (Γ, u) does not act properly on $\mathbb{R}^{2,1}$.*

In [Lab01] Labourie extended the Margulis invariant to the diffused Margulis invariant, taking as an argument a geodesic current instead of a closed curve. Later, Goldman–Labourie–Margulis [GLM04] used this diffused version to show a converse of Margulis’ opposite-sign lemma; an affine action with linear part in the image of the irreducible representation $\mathrm{SO}(2, 1) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(2n, 2n-1)$ is proper exactly when its diffused Margulis invariant is bounded away from 0.

This result was extended by Ghosh–Treib in [GT17] for representations with Anosov linear part, and it is their result that we will use here to show properness of our actions. Though not the most general restatement of the result of that paper, the formulation most convenient for us is:

Proposition 4.6 ([GT17]). *Let $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(2n, 2n-1)$ be Borel Anosov and denote by $t(\gamma)$ the translation length of $\gamma \in \Gamma < \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. Let u be a $\rho(\Gamma)$ -cocycle such that $\frac{\alpha_u(\gamma)}{t(\gamma)} \geq c > 0$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{1\}$. Then the affine action on $\mathbb{R}^{2n, 2n-1}$ defined by u is proper.*

Lemma 4.7. *Let $g \in \mathrm{SO}(2n, 2n-1)$ be a positive regular element and fix an orientation on g^+ and g^- such that the pair is oriented transverse. Let F, G be oriented flags such that the quadruple g^+, F, g^-, G is positive. Let \mathbf{v} be any vector in the cone spanned by the positive half-lines in $F^{(1)}$ and $-G^{(1)}$. Then, $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x}^0(g) > 0$.*

Proof. Choose a J -basis $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{4n-1}$ adapted to the quadruple as in Proposition 2.10. Then, a positively oriented representative vector \mathbf{u} for $F^{(1)}$ has strictly positive coordinates and any positively oriented representative vector \mathbf{w} for $G^{(1)}$ has signs which alternate, starting with positive. In particular, the $2n$ th coordinate of $G^{(1)}$ is negative, so any linear combination $\mathbf{v} = a\mathbf{u} - b\mathbf{w}$ with $a, b > 0$ has a positive $2n$ th coordinate.

Since the basis e_1, \dots, e_{4n-1} is adapted to g^+, F, g^-, G , the neutral eigenvector for g is \mathbf{e}_{2n} . Then we have

$$\alpha_v(g) = a\mathbf{e}_{2n} \cdot \mathbf{u} - b\mathbf{e}_{2n} \cdot \mathbf{w} > 0.$$

□

Lemma 4.8. *Let $M \in \text{SO}(2n, 2n - 1)$ be a totally positive matrix. Then, $M_{2n, 2n} \geq 1$.*

Proof. Denote the canonical basis by E . By total positivity of M , the oriented isotropic flags $F_E, MF_E, MF_{\widehat{E}}, F_{\widehat{E}}$ are cyclically ordered.

This implies that there exists a unipotent lower triangular totally positive matrix $L \in \text{SL}(4n - 1)$ such that $LF_E = MF_E$ ([BT22], Lemma 3.2). In fact, $L \in \text{SO}(2n, 2n - 1)$ since it maps a pair of isotropic flags to a pair of isotropic flags and is unipotent. By invariance of the cyclic order, the triple $F_E, L^{-1}MF_{\widehat{E}}, F_{\widehat{E}}$ is cyclically ordered and so there exists a unipotent upper triangular totally positive matrix U such that $UF_{\widehat{E}} = L^{-1}MF_{\widehat{E}}$.

We therefore have $LUF_E = MF_E$ and $LUF_{\widehat{E}} = MF_{\widehat{E}}$, and so $M^{-1}LU = D$ stabilizes F_E and $F_{\widehat{E}}$. This implies that D is diagonal with positive entries, and its middle entry is 1. Since $LU = MD$, the middle entry of M is equal to $(LU)_{2n, 2n}$. Finally,

$$M_{2n, 2n} = (LU)_{2n, 2n} = 1 + \sum_{k=2n+1}^{4n-1} L_{2n, k} U_{k, 2n} > 1.$$

□

Lemma 4.9. *Let g and h be regular elements in $\text{SO}(2n, 2n - 1)$, and let F be a flag, with $f \in F^{(1)}$ positively oriented. Suppose g^+, F, g^-, h^-, h^+ are cyclically ordered for some choice of orientations on g^\pm and h^\pm . Then $\mathbf{x}^0(g) \cdot f \leq \mathbf{x}^0(h) \cdot f$.*

Similarly, if g^-, h^-, F, h^+, g^+ is cyclically ordered, $\mathbf{x}^0(h) \cdot f \geq \mathbf{x}^0(g) \cdot f$.

Proof. Let E be a J -basis such that $h^+ = F_E$ and $h^- = F_{\widehat{E}}$. By Proposition 2.11 there exists $M \in \text{SO}(2n, 2n - 1)$, totally positive, such that $Mh^+ = g^+$ and $Mh^- = g^-$.

With this choice of basis, $\mathbf{x}^0(h) = \mathbf{e}_{2n}$ is the neutral eigenvector for h and so $M\mathbf{e}_{2n}$ is the neutral eigenvector for g . Let $F' = M^{-1}F$. Since $M((h^+, h^-)) = ((g^+, g^-))$ and $F \in ((g^+, g^-))$, preservation of the partial cyclic order by $\text{SO}(2n, 2n - 1)$ implies $F' \in ((h^+, h^-))$. This in turn implies that $f' = F'_1$ has only positive entries in the basis E by Proposition 2.7. We then have

$$\mathbf{x}^0(h) \cdot f = f_{2n} = (Mf')_{2n} = \sum_k M_{2n,k} f'_k > f'_{2n}$$

since $M_{2n,2n} \geq 1$ by Lemma 4.8. Moreover, $f'_{2n} = e_{2n} \cdot f' = Me_{2n} \cdot Mf' = \mathbf{x}^0(g) \cdot f$. \square

Recall that we call a set of properly embedded disjoint arcs on a surface *filling* if the arcs cut the surface into topological disks. In particular, every homotopically nontrivial curve on the surface intersects at least one of the arcs in a filling arc system.

Theorem 4.10. Let $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(2n, 2n-1)$ be a positive Anosov representation of a convex cocompact free group $\Gamma < \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and let \mathcal{A} be a filling system of arcs on \mathbb{H}^2/Γ . Let $\xi : \Lambda_\Gamma \cup \Lambda_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Flag}^+(\mathbb{R}^{2n, 2n-1})$ be a positive equivariant map. Let $u : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n, 2n-1}$ be a generalized infinitesimal strip deformation associated to \mathcal{A} . Then $(\rho, u)(\Gamma)$ acts properly discontinuously on $\mathbb{R}^{2n, 2n-1}$.

Proof. By Proposition 4.6, it is enough to show that the Margulis invariant α_u is bounded below away from 0.

Let $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{1\}$. Recall the definition of $u(\gamma)$ from Equation (1):

$$u(\gamma) = \sum_{x \in X_{c_\gamma}} \sigma(x)(\mathbf{v}_{a_x}^+ - \mathbf{v}_{a_x}^-).$$

Let a be an arc crossed by a path c_γ in a positive direction. We need to compute $\mathbf{x}^0(\gamma) \cdot u(\gamma)$, for which it is enough to compute an inner product of the form $\mathbf{x}^0(\gamma) \cdot (\mathbf{v}_a^+ - \mathbf{v}_a^-)$. The vector $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_a^+ - \mathbf{v}_a^-$ is in the cone spanned by the positive vectors in $\xi(a^+)^{(1)}$ and $-\xi(a^-)^{(1)}$, and due to the positivity of ξ and because γ intersects a in the positive direction, $\rho(\gamma)^+, \xi(a^+), \rho(\gamma)^-, \xi(a^-)$ is a positive quadruple of flags. Therefore by Lemma 4.7, $\mathbf{x}^0(\gamma) \cdot \mathbf{v} > 0$.

Similarly, if c_γ crosses a in the negative direction, the product $\mathbf{x}^0(\rho(\gamma)) \cdot (\mathbf{v}_a^+ - \mathbf{v}_a^-)$ is negative and this sign is cancelled by $\sigma(x)$.

As $u(\gamma)$ is a sum of vectors of the form $\mathbf{v}_a^+ - \mathbf{v}_a^-$, we conclude that $\alpha_u(\gamma) > 0$ for all $\gamma \neq 1$.

We now show that for each γ , the contribution to its Margulis invariant upon crossing each arc is uniformly bounded below.

Suppose γ is not in the boundary of the convex core and that c_γ crosses the arc a positively. The arc a crosses exactly two lifts of the boundary of the convex core of S , call them c_a^+ and c_a^- , with c_a^+ being closer to a^+ . Let $g_a \in \Gamma$ be the element translating along c_a^+ in the positive direction with respect to the transverse orientation on a , and let h_a translate positively along c_a^- . An illustration of such a configuration is in Figure 2

Then $\xi(g_a^+), \xi(a^+), \xi(g_a^-), \xi(\gamma^-), \xi(\gamma^+)$ and $\xi(h_a^-), \xi(a^-), \xi(h_a^+), \xi(\gamma^+), \xi(\gamma^-)$ are both positive, as the axis of γ has to lie inside the convex core of S and thus separates c_a^+ and c_a^- . From Lemma 4.9, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}^0(\gamma) \cdot \mathbf{v} &= \mathbf{x}^0(\gamma) \cdot \mathbf{v}_a^+ - \mathbf{x}^0(\gamma) \cdot \mathbf{v}_a^- \geq \\ &\geq \mathbf{x}^0(g_a) \cdot \mathbf{v}_a^+ - \mathbf{x}^0(h_a) \cdot \mathbf{v}_a^-. \end{aligned}$$




Figure 2: We can see the configuration of endpoints of an arc a (in blue) in \mathcal{A} and an element γ that crosses it (in pink). The red curves are the lifts of two boundary curves, with elements g_a and h_a translating along them. The points $a^+, g_a^-, \gamma^-, h_a^-, a^-, h_a^+, \gamma^+, g_a^+$ are oriented positively, and therefore so are their images under the boundary map ξ , giving a positive configuration of eight flags in $\text{Flag}^+(\mathbb{R}^{4n-1})$.

If γ is one of the boundary curves of the convex core, then the above inequality still holds for the same reason, even if one of the inequalities coming from Lemma 4.9 is an equality.

Since the system of arcs \mathcal{A} is finite, the set $\{\mathbf{x}^0(g_a) \cdot \mathbf{v}_a^+ - \mathbf{x}^0(h_a) \cdot \mathbf{v}_a^-\}_{a \in \mathcal{A}}$ is a finite set of positive numbers, so it attains a minimum $c > 0$.

Because the holonomy of the surface S is convex cocompact, its convex core has bounded diameter D . The translation length of an element $\gamma \in \Gamma < \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ is the same as the length of its geodesic representative, which stays within the convex core of S . Therefore, if γ crosses n arcs in \mathcal{A} , its translation length is at most nD , and conversely, a closed geodesic of length l must cross \mathcal{A} at least $\lfloor \frac{l}{D} \rfloor$ times.

Suppose γ has translation length l . Then it crosses \mathcal{A} at least $\lfloor \frac{l}{D} \rfloor$ times, and each crossing contributes at least c to the Margulis invariant of u . Therefore,

$$\alpha_u(\gamma) \geq c \frac{l}{D},$$

and by Proposition 4.6, the action of (Γ, u) on $\mathbb{R}^{2n, 2n-1}$ is proper. \square

5 Fundamental domains

In this section, we prove properness of the action by building a fundamental domain bounded by piecewise linear hypersurfaces in \mathbb{R}^{4n-1} . The hypersurfaces are boundaries of *crooked halfspaces* introduced in [BT22], adapted to the affine setting by taking the cone over a halfspace in the sphere of directions. This notion is a generalization of crooked planes in three dimensions defined by Drumm and Goldman, see [Dru92; DG99].

The *upper sign variation* of a nonzero vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n, 2n-1}$, denoted $S_E^+(\mathbf{v})$, is the number of times the sign of the coordinates of \mathbf{v} in the basis E change, where we independently assign a sign to the 0 coordinates in order to maximize this value. The *lower sign variation* $S_E^-(\mathbf{v})$ is the number of sign variations in the coordinates of \mathbf{v} in the basis E , assigning the signs to 0 that minimize the value.

For example, let $\mathbf{v} = (1, 0, 3)$ in the basis E . Then $S_E^+(\mathbf{v}) = 2$ and $S_E^-(\mathbf{v}) = 0$. For $\mathbf{v}' = (-1, 1, 2)$, the lower and upper sign variations are both 1.

Definition 5.1. The *open crooked halfspace* associated to an isotropic basis E is the following open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2n, 2n-1}$:

$$\mathcal{H}_E = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n, 2n-1} \mid S_E^+(\mathbf{v}) \leq 2n - 1 \text{ and in case of equality the sign of the last coordinate used for computing } S^+ \text{ is positive}\}.$$

The *closed crooked halfspace* $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_E$ is defined similarly:

$$\overline{\mathcal{H}}_E = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n, 2n-1} \mid S_E^-(\mathbf{v}) \leq 2n - 1 \text{ and in case of equality the sign of the last nonzero coordinate is positive}\}.$$

Lemma 5.2. *The closed crooked halfspace is the topological closure of the open crooked halfspace.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_E$ is closed and the observation that for any sequence $\mathbf{v}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{4n-1}$, the inequality $S_E^-(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{v}_k) \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S_E^+(\mathbf{v}_k)$ holds. \square

When $n = 1$, a crooked halfspace is obtained by taking the interior of the closure of 4 of the 8 open orthants in \mathbb{R}^3 , corresponding to the signs $(+, +, +)$, $(-, +, +)$, $(-, -, +)$, $(-, -, -)$. In general, a crooked halfspace contains half of the orthants, and it is open and contractible.

We see from this example that the name *halfspace* seems justified, and indeed in general we have the following lemma:

Lemma 5.3. *The complement of the open halfspace \mathcal{H}_E is the closed halfspace defined by the opposite basis, $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{\hat{E}}$.*

Proof. If $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{4n-2}, v_{4n-1})$ in basis E , its expression in the basis \hat{E} is $(v_{4n-1}, -v_{4n-2}, \dots, -v_2, v_1)$. The sign variations with respect to the two bases are related by $S_E^+(\mathbf{v}) + S_{\hat{E}}^-(\mathbf{v}) = 4n - 2$.

Therefore, $S_E^+(\mathbf{v}) \leq 2n - 1$ if and only if $S_{\hat{E}}^-(\mathbf{v}) \geq 2n - 1$. Further, if $S_E^+(\mathbf{v}) = 2n - 1$ and v_{4n-1} is positive (or 0), putting $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{H}_E$, then v_1 has to be negative, and thus $\mathbf{v} \notin \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{\hat{E}}$. \square

The boundary $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_E - \mathcal{H}_E = \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{\hat{E}} - \mathcal{H}_{\hat{E}}$ of a closed crooked halfspace will be called a *crooked hyperplane* and denoted by \mathcal{C}_E .

Definition 5.4. The *stem-quadrant* of a halfspace is the set of vectors which translate \mathcal{H}_E inside itself:

$$SQ(E) = \{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n, 2n-1} \mid \mathbf{u} + \mathcal{H}_E \subset \mathcal{H}_E\}.$$

Note that since $\mathcal{H}_E = (\overline{\mathcal{H}}_E)^\circ$ where $^\circ$ denotes the topological interior, the stem quadrant is also the set of vectors which translate $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_E$ inside itself.

This is the same as the original definition of the stem quadrant (or *translational semigroup*) for a crooked plane in $\mathbb{R}^{2,1}$ introduced in [Bur+14].

Proposition 5.5. *The stem-quadrant $SQ(E)$ is the convex cone generated by the vectors $-\mathbf{e}_1$ and \mathbf{e}_{4n-1} .*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{H}_E$ and let $\mathbf{u} = -\alpha\mathbf{e}_1 + \beta\mathbf{e}_{4n-1}$ with $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$. If \mathbf{v} has negative first coordinate and positive last coordinate, so does $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u}$, and $S_E^+(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u}) = S_E^+(\mathbf{v})$ and therefore $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{H}_E$.

If the first and last coordinates of \mathbf{v} are both positive, S_E^+ is even, so $S_E^+(\mathbf{v}) \neq 2n - 1$. Then $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u}$ might have negative first coordinate, in which case $S_E^+(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u}) = S_E^+(\mathbf{v}) + 1 \leq 2n - 1$ with last coordinate positive, so $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{H}_E$. A similar argument holds when \mathbf{v} has negative first and last coordinate.

If the first coordinate of \mathbf{v} is positive and the last is negative, $S_E^+(\mathbf{v})$ is odd and not equal to $2n - 1$, so $S_E^+(\mathbf{v}) \leq 2n - 3$. If adding \mathbf{u} to \mathbf{v} changes just the sign of first coordinate, the sign change increases by at most 1. If adding \mathbf{u} to \mathbf{v} changes the sign of both the first and last coordinate, then the sign variation increases by at most 2. But if it increases by 2, note that $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u}$ has positive last coordinate and $S_E^+(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u}) = 2n - 1$ is allowed to hold, so $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{H}_E$.

If the first or last coordinate of \mathbf{v} vanishes, use the sign assigned to that coordinate when computing S_E^+ and the same arguments as above.

For the reverse inclusion, let $\mathbf{u} \in SQ(E)$. Adding large multiples of $-\mathbf{e}_1$ and \mathbf{e}_{4n-1} , we may assume that $\mathbf{u}_1 < 0$ and $\mathbf{u}_{4n-1} > 0$. Note that

$$\mathbf{a} = a_1\mathbf{e}_1 + a_3\mathbf{e}_3 - a_4\mathbf{e}_4 + a_5\mathbf{e}_5 - \cdots - a_{2n+1}\mathbf{e}_{2n+1} + a_{2n+2}\mathbf{e}_{2n+2} \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}(E)$$

for any choice of $a_i > 0$. Making these coefficients large enough, $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{u}$ will have $2n - 1$ sign changes when only looking at the coordinates $1, 3, 4, \dots, 2n + 2$. This implies $\mathbf{u}_2 \geq 0$. Similarly,

$$\mathbf{a} = -a_3\mathbf{e}_3 + a_4\mathbf{e}_4 - a_5\mathbf{e}_5 + \cdots - a_{2n+1}\mathbf{e}_{2n+1} + a_{2n+2}\mathbf{e}_{2n+2} \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}(E)$$

for any choice of $a_i > 0$. Again making these coordinates very large and considering the sign variation of $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{u}$ yields $u_2 \leq 0$ and so $u_2 = 0$. A similar argument using the last $2n + 2$ coordinates shows that $u_{4n-2} = 0$.

For $2 < i < 4n - 2$, choose a subset I of $2n$ indices not containing i , with an odd number above i and an odd number after. A vector \mathbf{a} with nonzero coordinates in these $2n$ places will always have $S_E^-(\mathbf{a}) \leq 2n - 1$. Assign very large values, alternating in sign starting with $+$ to the coordinates above i , and similarly with the coordinates below i . This will give a vector \mathbf{a} such that $S^-(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{u}) \geq 2n - 2$ and u_i is between two positive coordinates, implying $u_i \geq 0$ (otherwise $S^-(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{u}) \geq 2n$ would not be in $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$). Similarly, if we choose an even number of coordinates above and below i we can assign very large values alternating in sign so that $S^-(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{u}) \geq 2n - 2$ and u_i is between two negative values. This implies that $u_i \leq 0$ and hence $u_i = 0$.

It remains to show that $u_1 \leq 0$ and $u_{4n-1} \geq 0$. For the first, again adding a vector

$$\mathbf{a} = -a_2\mathbf{e}_2 + a_3\mathbf{e}_3 - a_4\mathbf{e}_4 + \dots - a_{2n} + a_{2n+1} \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}$$

with very large coordinates alternating in sign, $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{a} \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}$ implies $u_1 \leq 0$ otherwise $S^-(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{a}) \geq 2n$. The same argument with the last $2n + 2$ coordinates gives $u_{4n-1} \geq 0$. \square

There is an alternate description of a crooked halfspace, similar to crooked halfspaces in [BT22], Section 5.2.

For a flag $F \in \text{Flag}^+(\mathbb{R}^{4n-1})$, define the *positive half-subspace* as

$$F_+^{(2n)} = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n, 2n-1} \mid F^{(2n-1)} \oplus v = F^{(2n)} \text{ as oriented vector spaces}\}.$$

Proposition 5.6 ([BT22], Proposition 5.15). *Let F_1, F_2 be oriented flags and let E be the isotropic basis associated to them so that $F_E = F_1$ and $F_{\hat{E}} = F_2$. Then*

$$\mathcal{H}_E = \bigcup_{F \in ((F_1, F_2))} F_+^{(2n)},$$

and

$$\overline{\mathcal{H}}_E = \bigcup_{F \in \overline{((F_1, F_2))}} \overline{F_+^{(2n)}}.$$

We will also denote $\mathcal{H}_E = \mathcal{H}(F_E, F_{\hat{E}})$, and $\mathcal{C}_E = \mathcal{C}(F_E, F_{\hat{E}})$. Note that $\mathcal{H}_{\hat{E}} = \mathcal{H}(F_{\hat{E}}, F_E)$. It also follows from this definition that $g\mathcal{H}_E = \mathcal{H}_{gE}$.

Proposition 5.7. *Let F, G, G', F' be a positive quadruple of flags. Then we have:*

1. $\overline{\mathcal{H}(G, G')} \subset \mathcal{H}(F, F')$ and
2. $\overline{\mathcal{H}(F, G)} \cap \overline{\mathcal{H}(G', F')} = \{0\}$, and in particular $\mathcal{H}(F, G)$ is disjoint from $\mathcal{H}(G', F')$.

Proof. 1. By Lemma 2.9, $((G, G')) \subset ((F, F'))$ and so the claim follows from Proposition 5.6.

2. Any pair of flags $X \in \overline{((F, G))}$, $Y \in \overline{((G', F'))}$ is oriented-transverse. This implies that the subspaces $X_+^{(2n)}$ and $Y_+^{(2n)}$ intersect only in 0. Indeed, if E is a positive basis such that $F_E = X$ and $F_{\hat{E}} = Y$, then $X^{(2n)} \cap Y^{(2n)} = \langle \mathbf{e}_{2n} \rangle$, with $\mathbf{e}_{2n} \in X_+^{(2n)}$ but not in $Y_+^{(2n)}$. \square

We now construct a fundamental domain for $(\rho(\Gamma), u)$ acting on $\mathbb{R}^{2n, 2n-1}$, with u defined by an arc system \mathcal{A} as in Section 3. Our strategy is to use appropriately translated crooked hyperplanes to bound the domain.

We will mimic the construction of a cocycle from Section 3. Let $a \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ be an arc in \mathbb{H}^2 . Let p_a be a point in the region of $\mathbb{H}^2 - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ that borders a and is separated from the basepoint p_0 by a . Let $c_a: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2$ be a path with $c(0) = p_0$ and $c(1) = p_a$, with no self-intersections along any arc in $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$. Denote $X_{c_a} = c_a([0, 1]) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$, and let $\sigma(x) = 1$ if at x the path c_a crosses the arc a_x

positively, and -1 otherwise. Additionally, let $y \in X_{c_a}$ be the last intersection of $c_a([0, 1])$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$. Define

$$\tilde{u}(a) = \sum_{x \in X_{c_a}} \sigma(x)(\mathbf{v}_{a_x}^+ - \mathbf{v}_{a_x}^-) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma(y)(\mathbf{v}_{a_y}^+ - \mathbf{v}_{a_y}^-).$$

Intuitively, $\tilde{u}(a)$ is the displacement vector between the region containing p_0 and the region containing p_a . This definition is very similar to the definition of $u(\gamma)$ in 3.1, but here p_a is not necessarily a translate by Γ of p_0 , and the very last ‘‘contribution’’ to $\tilde{u}(a)$ is only counted with a factor of $\frac{1}{2}$.

For each $a \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$, define a translated crooked hyperplane

$$\mathcal{C}(a) = \mathcal{C}(\xi(a^+), \xi(a^-)) + \tilde{u}(a)$$

and the translated crooked halfspace

$$\mathcal{H}(a) = \mathcal{H}(\xi(a^+), \xi(a^-)) + \tilde{u}(a).$$

Lemma 5.8. *Let a, a' be different arcs in $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$. Then $\mathcal{C}(a)$ and $\mathcal{C}(a')$ are disjoint.*

Proof. Consider first the case when a and a' bound a common region, and assume without loss of generality that a' is oriented away from the basepoint, in the same direction and further from it than a . We can write the translated crooked hyperplane as $\mathcal{C}(a) = \mathcal{C}(\xi(a^+), \xi(a^-)) + \tilde{u}(a)$ and

$$\mathcal{C}(a') = \mathcal{C}(\xi(a'^+), \xi(a'^-)) + \tilde{u}(a) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{v}_a^+ - \mathbf{v}_a^-) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{v}_{a'}^+ - \mathbf{v}_{a'}^-).$$

Denote $\mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{v}_a^+ - \mathbf{v}_a^-)$ and $\mathbf{v}' = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{v}_{a'}^+ - \mathbf{v}_{a'}^-)$. Note it is therefore enough to show that $\mathcal{C}(\xi(a^+), \xi(a^-))$ and $\mathcal{C}(\xi(a'^+), \xi(a'^-)) + \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}'$ are disjoint.

By 5.6, $\mathcal{H}(\xi(a'^-), \xi(a'^+)) \subset \mathcal{H}(\xi(a^-), \xi(a^+))$. As \mathbf{v}' is in the stem-quadrant of $\mathcal{H}(\xi(a'^-), \xi(a'^+))$, we have $\overline{\mathcal{H}(\xi(a'^-), \xi(a'^+)) + \mathbf{v}'} \subset \overline{\mathcal{H}(\xi(a'^-), \xi(a'^+))}$. Similarly, \mathbf{v} is in the stem quadrant of $\mathcal{H}(\xi(a^-), \xi(a^+))$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}((\xi(a'^-), \xi(a'^+))) &= \overline{\mathcal{H}(\xi(a'^-), \xi(a'^+))} + \mathbf{v}' + \mathbf{v} \\ &\subset \overline{\mathcal{H}(\xi(a'^-), \xi(a'^+))} + \mathbf{v}' + \mathbf{v} \\ &\subset \overline{\mathcal{H}(\xi(a'^-), \xi(a'^+))} + \mathbf{v} \\ &\subset \mathcal{H}(\xi(a^-), \xi(a^+)) + \mathbf{v} \subset \mathcal{H}(\xi(a^-), \xi(a^+)), \end{aligned}$$

with $\mathcal{H}(\xi(a^-), \xi(a^+))$ being disjoint from $\mathcal{C}(\xi(a^-), \xi(a^+))$.

We can show the general statement of the lemma for non-consecutive arcs inductively. \square

For F, G a pair of oriented, transverse isotropic flags, define

$$((F, G))^\perp = \{X \in ((F, G)) \mid X \text{ is isotropic.}\}$$

Recall Definition 4.3 of $\mathbf{x}^0(X, Y)$ for X and Y isotropic; it is the normalized middle vector of the basis adapted to X and Y . Note that it suffices for the

middle vector of this basis to be spacelike to make this definition. We will thus extend \mathbf{x}^0 to pairs of transverse flags where one is isotropic, landing in unit spacelike vectors.

Definition 5.9. For Y an isotropic flag and X transverse to Y , define $\mathbf{x}^0(X, Y)^* : \mathbb{R}^{4n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by writing

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{x}^0(X, Y)^*(\mathbf{v})) \mathbf{x}^0(X, Y) + \mathbf{y}$$

with $\mathbf{x} \in X^{(2n-1)}, \mathbf{y} \in Y^{(2n-1)}$.

As the notation suggests, this function associates to \mathbf{v} the coefficient of $\mathbf{x}^0(X, Y)$ in a positive basis adapted to the pair X, Y extending this unit spacelike vector.

Lemma 5.10. *Let $F_1, F_2, F_3, G_3, G_2, G_1$ be a positive 6-tuple of isotropic oriented flags, and fix f, g positive vectors in the 1-dimensional parts of F_2, G_2 respectively. Then, there is a uniform lower bound*

$$\mathbf{x}^0(X, Y)^*(f - g) > m_{F_1, F_3, G_3, G_1}^{f, g}$$

for some constant $m_{F_1, F_3, G_3, G_1}^{f, g} > 0$, where $X \in ((F_3, G_3))$ and $Y \in ((G_1, F_1))^\perp$. Moreover, this bound is invariant under the simultaneous action of $\text{SO}(2n, 2n - 1)$ on F_1, F_3, G_1, G_3, f, g .

Proof. By Lemma 4.7, $\mathbf{x}^0(X, Y)^*(f - g) > C$.

Now, the closures $\overline{((G_1, F_1))^\perp}$ and $\overline{((F_3, G_3))}$ are compact and so the continuous function $(X, Y) \mapsto \mathbf{x}^0(X, Y)^*(f - g)$ has a positive minimum value $m_{F_1, F_3, G_3, G_1}^{f, g}$. This minimum value cannot be 0 as the function is also positive on a pair of slightly larger intervals respectively containing $\overline{((G_1, F_1))}$ and $\overline{((F_3, G_3))}$ in their interiors.

Let $A \in \text{SO}(2n, 2n - 1)$. Then, $\mathbf{x}^0(AX, AY)^*(Af - Ag) = \mathbf{x}^0(X, Y)^*(f - g)$. It follows that the minimum found above satisfies $m_{AF_1, AF_3, AG_3, AG_1}^{Af, Ag} = m_{F_1, F_3, G_3, G_1}^{f, g}$. \square

Lemma 5.11. *Let $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{SO}(2n, 2n - 1)$ be a positive Anosov representation of a free group $\Gamma < \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and let \mathcal{A} be a filling system of arcs on \mathbb{H}^2/Γ . Let a_1, a_2, a_3 be three consecutive arcs in \mathbb{H}^2 that are lifts of arcs of \mathcal{A} . Then for all $X \in ((\xi(a_3^+), \xi(a_3^-)))$ and $Y \in ((\xi(a_1^-), \xi(a_1^+)))$, the estimate $\mathbf{x}^0(X, Y)^*(v_{a_2}^+ - v_{a_2}^-) \geq m > 0$ holds, with m independent of a_1, a_2, a_3, X, Y .*

Proof. By Lemma 5.10, we know that $\mathbf{x}^0(X, Y)^*(\mathbf{v}_{a_2}^+ - \mathbf{v}_{a_2}^-) \geq C_{a_1, a_2, a_3} > 0$ for $C_{a_1, a_2, a_3} := m_{\xi(a_1^+), \xi(a_3^+), \xi(a_3^-), \xi(a_1^-)}^{v_{a_2}^+, v_{a_2}^-}$. However, up to the action of $\Gamma < \text{SO}(2n, 2n - 1)$, there are only finitely many configurations of three consecutive arcs. Because the quantity $\mathbf{x}^0(X, Y)^*(\mathbf{v}_{a_2}^+ - \mathbf{v}_{a_2}^-)$ is invariant under the action

of $\text{SO}(2n, 2n - 1)$, that means there are only finitely many distinct C_{a_1, a_2, a_3} . Define

$$m = \min_{(a_1, a_2, a_3) \text{ consecutive arcs}} \{C_{a_1, a_2, a_3}\} > 0.$$

□

Let \mathcal{K} be a fundamental domain in \mathbb{H}^2 for the action of Γ bounded by some of the arcs in $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$, call them $a_1, a'_1, \dots, a_k, a'_k$, and let $\gamma_i \in \Gamma$ be such that $\gamma_i a_i = a'_i$. Then the γ_i are a free generating set for Γ . Define \mathcal{D} to be the domain in $\mathbb{R}^{2n, 2n-1}$ bounded by the translated crooked hyperplanes $C(a_1), C(a'_1), \dots, C(a_k), C(a'_k)$. Due to the equivariance of crooked halfspaces and crooked hyperplanes, $(\rho(\gamma_i), u(\gamma_i))\mathcal{C}(a_i) = \mathcal{C}(\gamma \cdot a_i) = \mathcal{C}(a'_i)$. We can see this using the same technique as in the proof of Lemma 3.3.

Theorem 5.12. Let $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{SO}(2n, 2n - 1)$ be a positive Anosov representation of a free group $\Gamma < \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and let \mathcal{A} be a filling system of arcs on \mathbb{H}^2/Γ . Let $u : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n, 2n-1}$ be the $\rho(\Gamma)$ -cocycle obtained from this data. Then D is a fundamental domain for the action of (Γ, u) on $\mathbb{R}^{2n, 2n-1}$.

Proof. By definition of \mathcal{D} , we get that (Γ, u) identifies the sides of \mathcal{D} .

Lemma 5.8 and its proof guarantee that \mathcal{D} and $\rho(\gamma) \cdot D + u(\gamma)$ have disjoint interiors for every non-trivial $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Suppose $(\Gamma, u) \cdot D$ is not all of affine space. Then there is some sequence $(a_k)_k$ of consecutive lifts of arcs such that $\bigcap_k \mathcal{H}(a_k) \neq \emptyset$. We can assume they are all oriented away from p_0 on one side of a_1 . Let p be an element in the intersection $\bigcap_k \mathcal{H}(a_k)$. By Proposition 5.6, we can write $p = x_k + \tilde{u}(a_k)$ with $x_k \in (X_k^{(2n)})_+$ for some X_k in $((\xi(a_k^+), \xi(a_k^-)))$ for every k . Choose an isotropic $Y \in ((\xi(a_1^-), \xi(a_1^+)))$.

For each k , we have $\mathbf{x}^0(X_k, Y)^*(x_k) > 0$, as $x_k \in (X_k^{(2n)})_+ = X_k^{(2n-1)} + \mathbb{R}^+ \mathbf{x}^0(X_k, Y)$.

Denote by $\mathbf{v}_a = \mathbf{v}_a^+ - \mathbf{v}_a^-$ and estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}^0(X_k, Y)^*(\tilde{u}(a_k)) &= \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{x}^0(X_k, Y)^*(\mathbf{v}_{a_i}) - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^0(X_k, Y)^*(\mathbf{v}_{a_k}) \\ &= \mathbf{x}^0(X_k, Y)^*(\mathbf{v}_{a_1}) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^0(X_k, Y)^*(\mathbf{v}_{a_k}) + \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} \mathbf{x}^0(X_k, Y)^*(\mathbf{v}_{a_i}) \\ &\geq 0 + \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} \mathbf{x}^0(X_k, Y)^*(\mathbf{v}_{a_i}) \\ &\geq (k-2)m, \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality is due to Lemma 4.7 and the second inequality is due to Lemma 5.11, using the fact that $Y \in ((\xi(a_1^-), \xi(a_1^+))) \subset ((\xi(a_{i-1}^-), \xi(a_{i-1}^+)))$ and $X_k \in ((\xi(a_k^+), \xi(a_k^-))) \subset ((\xi(a_{i+1}^+), \xi(a_{i+1}^-)))$ for all $2 \leq i \leq k-1$.

We therefore have the estimate

$$\mathbf{x}^0(X_k, Y)^*(p) = \mathbf{x}^0(X_k, Y)^*(x_k + \tilde{u}(a_k)) \geq (k - 2)m$$

for all k . However, the functionals $\mathbf{x}^0(X_k, Y)^*$ converge to $x^0(X, Y)^*$ where $X = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} X_k$, a contradiction. □

References

- [AMS02] H. Abels, G.A. Margulis, and G.A. Soifer. “On the Zariski Closure of the Linear Part of a Properly Discontinuous Group of Affine Transformations”. In: *Journal of Differential Geometry* 60.2 (2002), pp. 315–344. DOI: 10.4310/jdg/1090351104. URL: <https://doi.org/10.4310/jdg/1090351104>.
- [BT22] Jean-Philippe Burelle and Nicolaus Treib. “Schottky presentations of positive representations”. In: *Math. Ann.* 382.3-4 (2022), pp. 1705–1744. ISSN: 0025-5831. DOI: 10.1007/s00208-021-02326-z. URL: <https://doi-org.ezproxy.usherbrooke.ca/10.1007/s00208-021-02326-z>.
- [Bur+14] Jean-Philippe Burelle et al. “Crooked halfspaces”. en. In: *Enseign. Math.* 60.1 (2014), pp. 43–78.
- [CDG10] Virginie Charette, Todd Drumm, and William Goldman. “Affine deformations of a three-holed sphere”. In: *Geometry & Topology* 14.3 (2010), pp. 1355–1382. DOI: 10.2140/gt.2010.14.1355. URL: <https://doi.org/10.2140/gt.2010.14.1355>.
- [DG99] Todd A Drumm and William M Goldman. “THE GEOMETRY OF CROOKED PLANES”. In: *Topology* 38.2 (1999), pp. 323–351. ISSN: 0040-9383. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-9383\(98\)00016-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-9383(98)00016-0). URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0040938398000160>.
- [DYGK16] Jeffrey Danciger, François Guéritaud, and Fanny Kassel. “Margulis spacetimes via the arc complex”. In: *Inventiones mathematicae* 204 (July 2016). DOI: 10.1007/s00222-015-0610-z.
- [Dru92] Todd A. Drumm. “Fundamental polyhedra for Margulis space-times”. In: *Topology* 31.4 (1992), pp. 677–683. ISSN: 0040-9383. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-9383\(92\)90001-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-9383(92)90001-X). URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/004093839290001X>.
- [DZ19] Jeffrey Danciger and Tengren Zhang. “Affine actions with Hitchin linear part”. In: *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 29.5 (2019), pp. 1369–1439. ISSN: 1016-443X,1420-8970. DOI: 10.1007/s00039-019-00511-6. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00039-019-00511-6>.

- [FG06] Vladimir Fock and Alexander Goncharov. “Moduli spaces of local systems and higher Teichmüller theory”. en. In: *Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS* 103 (2006), pp. 1–211. DOI: 10.1007/s10240-006-0039-4. URL: <http://www.numdam.org/articles/10.1007/s10240-006-0039-4/>.
- [FG83] David Fried and William M. Goldman. “Three-dimensional affine crystallographic groups”. In: *Adv. in Math.* 47.1 (1983), pp. 1–49. ISSN: 0001-8708. DOI: 10.1016/0001-8708(83)90053-1. URL: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-8708\(83\)90053-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-8708(83)90053-1).
- [GLM04] W. Goldman, F. Labourie, and G. Margulis. “Proper affine actions and geodesic flows of hyperbolic surfaces”. In: *Annals of Mathematics* 170 (2004), pp. 1051–1083.
- [GT17] Sourav Ghosh and Nicolaus Treib. “Affine Anosov representations and Proper actions”. In: *arXiv: Geometric Topology* (2017).
- [GW18] Olivier Guichard and Anna Wienhard. “Positivity and higher Teichmüller theory”. In: (Feb. 2018).
- [Lab01] François Labourie. “Fuchsian Affine Actions of Surface Groups”. In: *Journal of Differential Geometry* 59.1 (2001), pp. 15–31. DOI: 10.4310/jdg/1090349279. URL: <https://doi.org/10.4310/jdg/1090349279>.
- [Lus94] G. Lusztig. “Total Positivity in Reductive Groups”. In: *Lie Theory and Geometry: In Honor of Bertram Kostant*. Ed. by Jean-Luc Brylinski et al. Boston, MA: Birkhäuser Boston, 1994, pp. 531–568. ISBN: 978-1-4612-0261-5. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4612-0261-5_20. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0261-5_20.
- [Mar83] G. A. Margulis. “Free properly discontinuous groups of affine transformations”. In: *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 272 (1983), pp. 937–940.
- [Mar84] G. A. Margulis. “Complete affine locally flat manifolds with free fundamental group”. Russian. In: *Zap. Nauchn. Semin. Leningr. Otd. Mat. Inst. Steklova* 134 (1984), pp. 190–205. ISSN: 0373-2703.
- [Mil77] John Milnor. “On fundamental groups of complete affinely flat manifolds”. In: *Advances in Mathematics* 25.2 (1977), pp. 178–187. ISSN: 0001-8708. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-8708\(77\)90004-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-8708(77)90004-4). URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0001870877900044>.
- [Smi14a] Ilia Smilga. “Fundamental Domains for Properly Discontinuous Affine Groups”. In: *Geometriae Dedicata* 171 (2014), pp. 203–229. ISSN: 0046-5755. DOI: 10.1007/s10711-013-9895-5.
- [Smi14b] Ilia Smilga. “Proper affine actions on semisimple Lie algebras”. In: *Annales de l’institut Fourier* 66 (June 2014). DOI: 10.5802/aif.3026.

- [Smi16] Ilija Smilga. “Proper affine actions in non-swinging representations”. In: *Groups, Geometry, and Dynamics* 12 (May 2016). DOI: 10.4171/GGD/447.
- [Smi18] Ilija Smilga. “Construction of Milnorian representations”. In: *Geometriae Dedicata* 206 (2018), pp. 55–73. URL: <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:119177028>.
- [Smi22] Ilija Smilga. “Proper affine actions: a sufficient criterion”. In: *Mathematische Annalen* 382 (Feb. 2022). DOI: 10.1007/s00208-020-02100-7.
- [Žag22] Neža Žager Korenjak. *Constructing proper affine actions via higher strip deformations*. 2022. arXiv: 2205.14712 [math.GT].