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#### Abstract

We propose a novel and robust online function-on-scalar regression technique via geometric median to learn associations between functional responses and scalar covariates based on massive or streaming datasets. The online estimation procedure, developed using the average stochastic gradient descent algorithm, offers an efficient and cost-effective method for analyzing sequentially augmented datasets, eliminating the need to store large volumes of data in memory. We establish the almost sure consistency, $\boldsymbol{L}_{p}$ convergence, and asymptotic normality of the online estimator. To enable efficient and fast inference of the parameters of interest, including the derivation of confidence intervals, we also develop an innovative twostep online bootstrap procedure to approximate the limiting error distribution of the robust online estimator. Numerical studies under a variety of scenarios demonstrate the effectiveness and efficiency of the proposed online learning method. A real application analyzing $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$ air-quality data is also included to exemplify the proposed online approach.
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## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Function-on-scalar regression

Functional data analysis (FDA) is an effective way for modeling high-dimensional or potentially infinite-dimensional datasets and can find applications in may real-life situations. One of the most widely studied approaches in the field of FDA, as seen in the literature, is the scalar-on-function regression, where the response is a scalar and the covariates are functional data [Ramsay and Silverman, 2005, Cai and Hall , 2006, Morris , 2015, Wang et al., 2017]. In the analysis of gene expression and imaging data, the function-on-scalar regression, which involves regressing a functional response on scalar predictors, has been gaining popularity [Reiss et al., 2010, Goldsmith et al., 2015, Goldsmith and Kitago, 2016, Fan and Reimherr, 2017, Bauer et al., 2018, Ghosal and Maity, 2023]. Formally, the function-on-scalar regression model (FSRM) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y(t)=\mathbf{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}(t)+U(t) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t$ is the functional index, $Y(t)$ is a functional response on a compact support $\mathcal{T}$, and $\mathbf{X}=\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d}\right)^{T}$ are scalar covariates in $\mathbb{R}^{d}, \boldsymbol{\beta}(t)=\left(\beta_{1}(t), \ldots, \beta_{d}(t)\right)^{T}$ is the slope function that of primary interest, and $U(t)$ is an residual process on $\mathcal{T}$ that is independent of the predictors $\mathbf{X}$. Without loss of generality, we assume $\mathcal{T}=[0,1]$. If the support $\mathcal{T}=\{1: p\}$, model (1) reduces to the conventional multivariate linear regression with a $p$-dimensional response, and $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is thus a $d \times p$ matrix. Throughout the paper, with a slight abuse of notation, we will omit " $(t)$ " when referring to functional processes. For instance, we will use $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ to denote the entire slope function $\boldsymbol{\beta}(t)$ over $t \in \mathcal{T}$.

As a typical motivating application, Zhang et al. [2017] established an air pollution monitoring network in Beijing as part of the national monitoring effort, beginning in January 2013. This network can collect more than 4 million hourly observations from various air-quality monitoring sites across the city. In this particular example, the functional responses $Y(t)$ can be a particular measurement of interest quantifying the air quality at different time points $t$ (say hourly), and the scalar covariates can be some daily environmental indicators such as temperature and wind speed. Hence, by performing the function-on-scalar regression model using model (1), one can study the functional association between the hourly air-quality measurement and the daily environmental indicators.

In the era of big data, massive datasets and streaming datasets, where data is continually updated, are commonly encountered, presenting challenges in terms of data storage, computation, and analysis. Our paper contributes to addressing two major practical challenges involved in analyzing massive functional datasets in real-world applications:

First, the real-world data distributions are usually irregular and may have heavy tails. Using methods developed under Gaussian assumptions may be suboptimal. In the literature, the majority of existing efforts have predominantly focused on functional mean regression, where the residual term $U(t)$ is assumed to be a zero-mean stochastic
process on $\mathcal{T}$. Under such an assumption, the least squares approach can be applied to estimate the slope function $\boldsymbol{\beta}$. However, such a method may not be efficient for heavy-tailed or irregular data. To address the irregularities in the distributions of high-dimensional or functional data, function-on-scalar quantile regression has been developed [Yang et al., 2019, Liu et al., 2022, Zhang et al., 2022]. The quantile-based methods estimate conditional quantiles and enable statistical inference on the entire conditional distribution of the response.

Second, as with many real-world applications, the amount of data is enormous. For instance, in the motivating example, the dataset contains 4 million observations. Loading such a large dataset into memory all at once might be infeasible, especially when the data is collected from a large number of wearable devices. Traditional approaches typically require halting data collection at a specific time point to analyze a static dataset, which is highly inefficient. Furthermore, analyzing the entire dataset simultaneously using a standard computer is often impossible due to limited storage and computing capacity.

### 1.2 Our contributions

We address the aforementioned challenges by (1) employing the geometric median [Cardot et al., 2013], for the first time in the literature, to estimate the unknown function $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ for the FSRM given by Equation (1), and (2) developing an online estimation and inference procedure to eliminate the need for storing the entire dataset. Furthermore, for an unobserved location $t \in \mathcal{T}$ that is not included in the observed sampling points, we introduce an interpolation approach based on the discrete location observations, and derive the convergence rate. Under scenarios with dense observations, it can be demonstrated that the sampling frequency of the functional data has minimal impact on the convergence rate.

The geometric median is widely recognized as a robust central location parameter in the analysis of high-dimensional data [Minsker, 2015, Godichon-Baggioni, 2016, 2019, Li et al., 2022]. Vardi and Zhang [2000] developed an iterative algorithm to compute the geometric median. For a random element in Hilbert space, Cardot et al. [2013] developed a faster online gradient algorithm to estimate the geometric median, both demonstrating its almost sure consistency as well as asymptotic normality. However, they did not provide an online inference framework for the geometric median. As an application, Roberts, Mueller and Mclntyre [2017] employed the geometric median on high-quality, large-scale Earth imaging data to produce Earth observation composites. This approach can effectively reduce spatial noises while preserving spectral relationships. However, to the best of our knowledge, there is currently no existing research on applying the geometric median to the FSRM.

Instead of assuming $U(t)$ has a zero mean, we assume $U(t)$ on $\mathcal{T}$ follows a stochastic process with a zero geometric median. Specifically, let $\mathcal{H}$ be a separable Hilbert space and $\mathcal{H}^{d}$ be the product space of $\mathcal{H}$. A commonly encountered space for $\mathcal{H}$ is $L^{2}[0,1]$, i.e. the outcome $Y(t)$ represents a function of time. The conditional geometric median of $Y(t)$ given scalar covariates $\mathbf{X}$ for a fixed location $t$ is assumed to be $m(t)=\mathbf{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}(t)$,
where $m(t)$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(t):=\underset{\alpha \in \mathcal{H}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathbb{E}_{Y(t) \mid \mathbf{X}}\{\|Y(t)-\alpha\|-\|Y(t)\|\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\|\cdot\|$ and $\langle\cdot\rangle$ denoting the associated norm and inner product in $\mathcal{H}$, respectively. As shown in the subsequent sections, our definition of $m(t)$ via geometric median makes use of the spatial correlation between different locations, and enhances the efficiency and robustness of estimation and inference for $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ in the FSRM, especially when dealing with massive or streaming datasets. Moreover, if $m^{v}(t)=\mathbf{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}(t)$ is the geometric quantile which minimizes $\mathbb{E}_{Y \mid \mathbf{X}}\left\{\left\|Y-m^{v}(t)\right\|-\left\langle Y-m^{v}(t), v\right\rangle\right\}$, then $m^{v}(t)$ generalizes (1) to the geometric quantile regression. This approach was studied in Padilla et al. [2022] as a special case of ReLU Networks for multivariate responses.

To deal with massive functional data, we propose an online procedure for estimating the slope function $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ based on the functional average stochastic gradient descent (ASGD) algorithm. We establish the $L_{p}$ and almost sure convergence for the proposed online estimator. We also investigate the asymptotic behavior of the online estimator, which essentially resembles a Gaussian process. This asymptotic result is general and includes the asymptotic normality of the estimator at a given location $t$ as a special case. Subsequently, we also propose a novel online bootstrap resampling procedure to approximate the limiting error distribution of our proposed estimator, enabling online inference about the slope function $\boldsymbol{\beta}$.

Unlike classical batch learning methods, online learning dynamically updates model estimates using only the newly added data, enabling real-time fast decision-making [Chen et al., 2020, Zhu and Dong, 2021, Lee et al., 2022, Li et al., 2022, Liu et al., 2022]. Consequently, online learning presents a crucial and efficient approach for handling and making inferences from sequentially augmented datasets. In a related work, Xie et al. [2023] generalized the perturbed SGD in Feng et al. [2018] to functional linear regression in reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces, and also established the asymptotic distribution of the proposed point-wise estimator. In fact, our online bootstrap approach possesses unique features that distinguish it from the perturbed stochastic gradient descent (SGD) algorithm for the finite-dimensional setting in Feng et al. [2018] as well as the infinite-dimensional setting in Xie et al. [2023]. The resulting inferential procedure maintains the computational efficiency of the functional ASGD method.

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows. Section 2 introduces the online estimation algorithm for the slope function $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and establishes its almost sure and $L_{p}$ convergence. Section 3 proposes a novel online bootstrap algorithm for approximating the limiting error distribution, enabling online inference. Section 4 extends the online estimation algorithm to an interpolation-based estimator for the entire slope function and demonstrates its convergence properties. Section 5 presents a numerical study to evaluate the empirical performance of our proposed procedure. Section 6 illustrates the feasibility and usefulness of our method through a real-world application. All technical details are provided in the Appendix.

Notation. Denote the Euclidean norm of a vector $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p}\right)^{\top}$ of length $p$ as $\|\mathbf{x}\|=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\left|x_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$. Let $\lambda_{i}(\cdot)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(\cdot)$ represent the $i$ th largest eigenvalue and the trace of a square matrix, respectively. For a $d \times d$ symmetric matrix $\mathbf{A}$, we define the operator norm as $\|\mathbf{A}\|=\lambda_{1}(\mathbf{A})$, and the Frobenius norm of $\mathbf{A}$ as $\|\mathbf{A}\|_{F}=\left\{\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{A}^{2}\right)\right\}^{1 / 2}$. For any $u \in \mathcal{H}$, denote $\|u\|$ as the norm in $\mathcal{H}$ and $\|u \otimes v\|$ is operator norm for linear operator for any $u, v \in \mathcal{H}$. Finally, $a_{n} \lesssim b_{n}$ means that $a_{n} \leq C b_{n}$ for a positive constant $C$; and $a_{n} \asymp b_{n}$ indicates $a_{n} \lesssim b_{n}$ and $b_{n} \lesssim a_{n}$. We use notations in the format of $C$ (with or without subscripts) to represent constants throughout the paper.

## 2 Online estimation of the FSRM

Suppose that for each sample $i, i=1, \ldots, n$, we observe $d$ covariates $\mathbf{X}_{i}=$ $\left(X_{i 1}, \ldots, X_{i d}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and a set of functional responses $\left\{Y_{i}(t), t \in \mathcal{T}\right\} \in \mathcal{H}$, where the relationship between $\mathbf{X}_{i}$ and $Y_{i}(t)$ can be characterized by model (1). Based on the definition (2), the geometric median-based estimator of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ can be derived by minimizing the following empirical convex loss function

$$
\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\underset{\boldsymbol{\beta}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|Y_{i}-\mathbf{X}_{i}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right\| .
$$

By applying the functional SGD approach, which can be written as $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n+1}=\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-$ $\gamma_{n} \nabla\left\|Y_{n+1}-\mathbf{X}_{n+1} \beta_{n}\right\|$, the geometric median-based estimator $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ can be recursively obtained via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n+1}=\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}+\gamma_{n} \frac{\mathbf{X}_{n+1}\left(Y_{n+1}-\mathbf{X}_{n+1}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right)}{\left\|Y_{n+1}-\mathbf{X}_{n+1}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right\|} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{n}$ is the descent step size. Therefore, $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n+1}$ is an estimate based on the Rob-bins-Monro algorithm. We then average all the sequential estimates to obtain our final ASGD estimate, that is, $\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{i}$. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n+1}=\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}+\frac{1}{n+1}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n+1}-\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}\right) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To derive the consistency and asymptotic results for $\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}$, we make the following assumptions throughout the paper,
Assumption 1. There exist constant $C>0$ such that $1 / C \leq \lambda_{d}(\Sigma) \leq \lambda_{1}(\Sigma) \leq C$ almost surely, where $\Sigma=\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{X X}^{T}\right)$.
Assumption 2. The error process $U(t)$ in the FSRM (1) has a unique geometric median at zero.
Assumption 3. The error process $U(t)$ is not strongly concentrated around a single point; that is, There is a constant $C>0$ such that $\mathbb{E}\left\{\|U(t)-h\|^{-2}\right\} \leq C$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$.

Assumption 1 is a mild condition on the covariates in linear regression, and has been imposed in Zhang et al. [2022] and Liu et al. [2022]. Assumption 2 implies that the
error process $U(t)$ is not concentrated on a straight line [Cardot et al., 2013]; that is, for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$, there is a $h^{\prime} \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\left\langle h, h^{\prime}\right\rangle=0$ and $\operatorname{Var}\left(\left\langle U(t), h^{\prime}\right\rangle\right)>0$. Condition 3 implicitly forces no atoms exist in the distribution of $U(t)$, and it is naturally satisfied in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ whenever $d \geq 3$, see Cardot et al. [2017]. Note that $\mathbb{E}\left\{\|U(t)-h\|^{-2}\right\} \leq C$ implies $\mathbb{E}\left\{\|U(t)-h\|^{-1}\right\} \leq \sqrt{C}$ by Hölder's inequality.

In addition, by taking $\gamma_{n}=\gamma n^{-\alpha}$, where $\gamma$ is a positive constant, we impose the following assumption for the step size function $\gamma_{n}$ :
Assumption 4. The sequence $\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfies the standard conditions required for the Robbins-Monro algorithm; that is, $\sum \gamma_{n}=\infty$ and $\sum \gamma_{n}^{2}<\infty$.

## 2.1 $L^{p}$ and almost sure convergence of the online estimator

We first derive the convergence results of the SGD estimator (3) in $L^{2}$ and $L^{4}$ norms. Theorem 1. Under Assumptions 1-4, it holds that for all $\theta \in(\alpha, 2 \alpha)$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2}\right\}=\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\alpha}}\right), \quad \mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{4}\right\}=\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\theta}}\right)
$$

Note that when $\alpha \in(1 / 2,1)$, it holds that $\sum \gamma_{n}=\infty$ and $\sum \gamma_{n}^{2}<\infty$ for any given $\gamma>0$. Since the convergence rate is not enough to control the remaining error terms under $\alpha<\theta<3 \alpha-1$ in Cardot et al. [2017] with $\mathbf{X}=\mathbb{I}_{d}$, we impose $\theta \in(\alpha, 2 \alpha)$ because $2 \alpha>3 \alpha-1$ when $\alpha \in(1 / 2,1)$. We also conjecture that $\theta=2 \alpha$ is the optimal rate for the $L^{4}$ convergence and defer its proof to future work.

Directly applying the Borel-Cantelli lemma, we then establish the almost sure consistency of the SGD estimator as well as the averaged estimator.
Proposition 1. Under Assumptions 1-4, the SGD estimator (3) and the $A S G D$ estimator (4)satisfy

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|=0, \quad \text { a.s., } \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|=0, \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

respectively.

### 2.2 Weak convergence to a Gaussian process

Define the random operators $A_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ and $B_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} & =\frac{1}{\left\|Y-\mathbf{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|}\left(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}}-\frac{\left(Y-\mathbf{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right) \otimes\left(Y-\mathbf{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)}{\left\|Y-\mathbf{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2}}\right) \\
B_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} & =\frac{\{(Y-\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}) \otimes(Y-\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})\}}{\|Y-\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}\|^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively, and denote $A_{0}=\mathbb{E}\left\{A_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right\}$ and $B_{0}=\mathbb{E}\left\{B_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right\}$, where $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}}$ is the identity operator in $\mathcal{H}$ and $a \otimes b(h)=\langle a, h\rangle b$ can be understood as a linear operator from $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{H}$ with any $a, b, h \in \mathcal{H}$. Note that $\|a \otimes b\|$ is an operator norm for the linear operator.

The following theorem gives the asymptotic normality of the ASGD estimator $\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}$, providing a foundation for statistical inference about $\boldsymbol{\beta}$.

Theorem 2. Under Assumptions 1-4, it follows that

$$
\sqrt{n}\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N\left(0, \Sigma^{-1} \otimes A_{0}^{-1} B_{0} A_{0}^{-1}\right),
$$

where $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}}$ denotes the weak convergence.
Theorem 2 establishes the limiting distribution for the averaged estimator for the slope function $\boldsymbol{\beta}$. It provides theoretical support for hypothesis testing as well as constructing point-wise confidence intervals for $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ by the plug-in approach in an offline setting. In the multivariate response situation, the averaged estimator $\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}$ shares the same asymptotic distribution as the classical estimator in Bai et al. [1990]. However, the literature has not yet explored the asymptotic distribution in Hilbert spaces.

## 3 Online bootstrap inference for $\boldsymbol{\beta}(t)$

To apply Theorem 2 for inference, it is necessary to estimate the asymptotic variance from the data. However, its computation invariably demands substantial computational resources and may become unstable or even infeasible when handling massive or streaming data. Therefore, we introduce an online bootstrap inference procedure by resampling the residuals to approximate the limiting distribution of $\sqrt{n}\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)$ given in Theorem 2.

Specifically, we consider the wild-type bootstrap based on the Rademacher weight [Canay et al., 2021]. Let $W_{1}, \ldots, W_{n}$ be independent and identically distributed random samples of the Rademacher random variable $W$ with probability mass $\mathbb{P}(W=$ $1)=\mathbb{P}(W=-1)=1 / 2$. Define the function

$$
G_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(h)=\mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|W\left(Y-\mathbf{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)-\mathbf{X}^{T} h\right\|-\left\|Y-\mathbf{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|\right\},
$$

and the gradient of $G_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(h)$ can be calculated as

$$
\nabla G_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(h)=:-\mathbb{E} \frac{\mathbf{X}\left\{W\left(Y-\mathbf{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)-\mathbf{X}^{T} h\right\}}{\left\|W\left(Y-\mathbf{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)-\mathbf{X}^{T} h\right\|}
$$

Obviously, $\nabla G_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(h)$ has a unique minimizer at $h=0$ due to $-\mathbb{E}_{X} \frac{X W\left(Y-X^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)}{\left\|W\left(Y-X^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right\|}=0$. In practice, the true slope function $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is typically unknown a priori, thus we can use the ASGD estimator $\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}$ as a plug-in estimator. It is feasible to simultaneously estimate $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and $h$ by running two consecutive ASGD algorithms at each recursive iteration.

As a result, at the $n$th iteration with a new observed data point $\left(\mathbf{X}_{n+1}, Y_{n+1}\right)$, we first update the ASGD estimate for $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ based on equations (3) and (4). Next, we use bootstrap to obtain a large number of replicates for the residual. More specifically, given a large number of $B$ perturbations (say $B=500$ ), for $b=1, \ldots, B$, we carry out the following steps:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{n+1}^{b}=W_{n+1}^{b}\left(Y_{n+1}-\mathbf{X}_{n+1}^{T} \overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}\right), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Upsilon_{n+1}^{b}=\Upsilon_{n}^{b}+\gamma_{n} \frac{\mathbf{X}_{n+1}\left(\varepsilon_{n+1}^{b}-\mathbf{X}_{n+1}^{T} \Upsilon_{n}^{b}\right)}{\left\|\varepsilon_{n+1}^{b}-\mathbf{X}_{n+1}^{T} \Upsilon_{n}^{b}\right\|}  \tag{6}\\
& \bar{\Upsilon}_{n+1}^{b}=\bar{\Upsilon}_{n}^{b}+\frac{1}{n+1}\left(\Upsilon_{n+1}^{b}-\bar{\Upsilon}_{n}^{b}\right) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

This double recursive algorithm finally generates an averaged estimate $\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n+1}$ and $B$ bootstrap error samples $\bar{\Upsilon}_{n+1}^{1}, \ldots, \bar{\Upsilon}_{n+1}^{B}$, which allows us to estimate the limiting distribution of $\sqrt{n}\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)$. This, in turn, facilitates online inference about $\boldsymbol{\beta}$, enabling the assessment of estimation efficiency and the construction of confidence intervals or hypothesis testing regarding model parameters.

The following theorem validates this double recursive algorithm via bootstrapping. Theorem 3. Under Assumptions 1-4, for any non-random element $u \in \mathcal{H}^{d}$, we have

$$
\left.\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mid \mathbb{P}\left(\left\langle u, \overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\rangle \leq t\right)-\mathbb{P}^{*}\left(\left\langle u, \bar{\Upsilon}_{n}^{b}\right)\right\rangle \leq t\right) \mid \rightarrow 0
$$

in probability, where $\mathbb{P}^{*}$ stands for the conditional probability given obvservations.
Based on the above asymptotic normality result, the point-wise $(1-\tau) 100 \%$ confidence interval for $\beta_{j}(t)$ at a given location $t$ and $j=1 \ldots, d$ can be constructed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}_{n, j}^{I}(t):=\left[\bar{\beta}_{n, j}(t)-n^{-1 / 2} q_{1-\tau / 2, j}^{B}(t), \bar{\beta}_{n, j}(t)-n^{-1 / 2} q_{\tau / 2, j}^{B}(t)\right] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{\tau / 2, j}^{B}(t)$ and $q_{1-\tau / 2, j}^{B}(t)$ denote the lower and upper $\tau / 2$ th percentiles of $\sqrt{n} \bar{\Upsilon}_{n, j}^{1}(t), \ldots, \sqrt{n} \bar{\Upsilon}_{n, j}^{B}(t)$. In Algorithm 1, we describe the detailed steps to generate the bootstrap percentile-based point-wise confidence intervals $\mathcal{C}_{n, j}^{I}(t)$ of $\boldsymbol{\beta}(t)$. Note that the bootstrap loop for $b=1, \ldots B$ can be computed in parallel.

Alternatively, we can also estimate the confidence interval based on the bootstrap variance, as given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}_{n, j}^{I I}(t):=\left[\bar{\beta}_{n, j}(t)-z_{1-\tau / 2} \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}_{j}^{2}(t) / n}, \bar{\beta}_{n, j}+z_{1-\tau / 2} \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}_{j}^{2}(t) / n}\right] \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_{j}^{2}(t)$ is the sample variance of $\sqrt{n} \bar{\Upsilon}_{n, j}^{1}(t), \ldots, \sqrt{n} \bar{\Upsilon}_{n, j}^{B}(t)$, and $z_{1-\tau / 2}$ is the ( $1-\tau / 2$ )th percentile of the standard normal distribution.

## 4 Spline interpolation

In practice, the sample functional responses $\left\{Y_{i}(t)\right\}_{1=1}^{n}$ are observed on a common discrete grid $\mathbf{t}=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)$ in $[0,1]$, where $\mathbf{t}$ can be a collection of different locations from all individuals. As a result, no data are observed for $t \in \mathcal{T} / \mathbf{t}$. To estimate the entire slope function, we first consider estimating $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ at locations $\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right\}$ by

```
Algorithm 1: Online algorithm for updating the point-wise confidence
interval of \(\boldsymbol{\beta}(t)\) at the confidence level \(1-\tau\).
    Data: Data \(\mathbf{X}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{X}_{n+1}\); the confidence level \(1-\tau\); the number of
        bootstrap iterations \(B\); the initial value \(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{0}=\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{0}\), where \(\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{0}\) can be a
        bounded random vector.
    Result: Updated point-wise confidence intervals \(\left[\beta_{n+1, j}^{-}(t), \beta_{n+1, j}^{+}(t)\right]\) of \(\beta_{j}(t)\),
                for \(j=1, \ldots, d\).
    for \(k \leftarrow 1\) to \(n\) do
        Compute \(\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{k+1}\) by
        \(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k+1}=\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k}+\gamma_{k} \frac{\mathbf{X}_{k+1}\left(Y_{k+1}-\mathbf{X}_{k+1}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{k}\right)}{\left\|Y_{k+1}-\mathbf{X}_{k+1}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{k}\right\|}, \quad \overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{k+1}=\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{k}+\frac{1}{k+1}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k+1}-\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{k}\right)\).
        for \(b \leftarrow 1\) to \(B\) do
            Generate a Rademacher random sample \(W_{k+1}^{(b)}\), and compute \(\varepsilon_{k+1}^{b}\) and
                \(\Upsilon_{k+1}^{b}\) by (5) and (6).
            Update \(\bar{\Upsilon}_{k+1}^{b}\) by
                                    \(\bar{\Upsilon}_{k+1}^{b}=\bar{\Upsilon}_{k}^{b}+\frac{1}{k+1}\left(\Upsilon_{k+1}^{b}-\bar{\Upsilon}_{k}^{b}\right)\).
        end
    end
    for \(j \leftarrow 1\) to \(d\) do
        Find the \(\tau / 2\) th and \((1-\tau / 2)\) th sample quantiles of \(\left\{\sqrt{n+1} \bar{\Upsilon}_{n+1, j}^{(b)}(t)\right\}_{b=1}^{B}\),
        denoted by \(q_{\tau / 2, j}^{B}\) and \(q_{1-\tau / 2, j}^{B}\).
        \(\operatorname{Get} \beta_{n+1, j}^{-}(t)=\bar{\beta}_{n+1, j}(t)-(n+1)^{-1 / 2} q_{1-\tau / 2, j}^{B}(t)\).
        \(\operatorname{Get} \beta_{n+1, j}^{+}(t)=\bar{\beta}_{n+1, j}(t)-(n+1)^{-1 / 2} q_{\tau / 2, j}^{B}(t)\).
    end
```

minimizing the following $L_{2}$ norm-based loss function using discrete data points,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{L}_{n}(\boldsymbol{\beta})=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\{Y_{j}\left(t_{j}\right)-\mathbf{X}_{i}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}\left(t_{j}\right)\right\}^{2}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left(\hat{\beta}\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, \hat{\beta}\left(t_{m}\right)\right)$ denote the minimizer to (10). One can then impose smoothness on $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ by regressing $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\left(t_{j}\right)$ against $t_{j}$ using a nonparametric kernel or spline smoothing approach [Rice and Silverman, 1991, Hall et al., 2006]. We adopt the $r$-th order spline
interpolation approach by solving $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{r}$ from

$$
\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{r}=\underset{g \in W_{2}^{r}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \int\left\{g^{(r)}(t)\right\}^{2} d t,
$$

subject to

$$
g\left(t_{l}\right)=\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\left(t_{l}\right), \quad l=1, \ldots, m
$$

Here, $W_{2}^{r}$ denotes the $r$-th order Sobolev space, which is also a Hilbert space. Under the common design, Cai and Yuan [2011] showed that the minimax rate is of order $m^{-2 r}+n^{-1}$, which is jointly determined by the sampling frequency $m$ and the number of curves $n$. Then we give the convergence rate for $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{r}$ as follows.
Theorem 4. Under Assumptions (1) - (4), suppose that $\max \left\|t_{l}-t_{l-1}\right\| \leq C m^{-1}$ holds, it follows that

$$
\lim _{D \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{r}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|_{2}^{2} \geq D\left(m^{-2 r}+n^{-1}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $\|\cdot\|_{2}$ denotes the $L_{2}$ norm.
Theorem 4 indicates that, when the functional data are observed on a relatively dense grid, such that $m \gg n^{1 /(2 r)}$, the sampling frequency $m$ does not affect the rate of convergence. This rate is of order $\mathcal{O}(1 / n)$, which is solely determined by the sample size $n$.

## 5 Numerical studies

To evaluate the finite-sample performance of our proposed online geometric medianbased estimation, we assume $\mathbf{X}=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right)$ follows a multivariate normal distribution with $\mathbb{E}\left(X_{i}\right)=0, \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i}\right)=0.5 \times 2^{i-1}$, and $\mathbb{C o r}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)=0.5^{|i-j|}, i, j=$ $1,2,3$. We then generate the functional response $Y(t)$ from model (1) by taking $\beta_{1}(t)=2 t^{2}, \beta_{2}(t)=\cos (3 \pi t / 2+\pi / 2)$, and $\beta_{3}(t)=\sin (\pi t / 2)+\sqrt{2}(3 \pi t / 2)$, and the residual process as $U(t)=\sum_{l=1}^{2} \xi_{l} \phi_{l}(t)+\varepsilon_{i}(t)$, where $\varepsilon_{i}\left(t_{k}\right)$ follows a normal distribution $N(0,0.5)$. We take the basis $\phi_{l}(t)$ as $-\cos \{\pi(t-0.5)\}$ and $\sin \{(t-0.5)\}$ for $l=1,2$, and generate $\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$ from either a bivariate normal distribution and a bivariate $t$-distribution (3 degrees of freedom) with mean zero and covariance matrix $0.5 \mathbb{I}_{2}$. The functional responses are observed at $m=50$ locations equally spaced on the interval $[0,1]$. To construct massive datasets, we set the sample size $n$ as 10000,20000 , or 40000.

To implement our online algorithm, we use the loss function (10) and evaluate different settings for the step size function $\gamma_{n}=\gamma n^{-\alpha}$ by fixing $\alpha=0.75$ and varying $\gamma \in\{1,1.5,2,3,4,6,10,20\}$. Under 1000 simulation replications, we compute the root mean integrated squared error (RMISE) of our online estimator, which is defined as

$$
\operatorname{RMISE}(k)=\sqrt{m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(\bar{\beta}_{n, k}\left(t_{j}\right)-\beta_{k}\left(t_{j}\right)\right)^{2}}
$$

for $k=1,2,3$.
As shown in Table 1, the proposed online estimator demonstrates robust performance when the tuning parameter $\gamma$ is selected from the range [2,20], with a larger sample size yielding a reduced RMISE, This, in turn, further numerically substantiates our theoretical convergence results. Upon comparing results under different distributional assumptions for the residual process, it is observed that the RMISE is relatively larger for the heavy-tailed $t$ distribution compared to the normal distribution, aligning with our expectations.

Table 1 Root mean integrated squared errors for the estimates of $\boldsymbol{\beta}=\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}\right)$ based on the proposed online approach across different values of the step size $\gamma$ and the sample size $n$. Mean $\left(\times 10^{-2}\right)$ and standard deviation $\left(\times 10^{-2}\right.$, in parentheses) are given.

|  | $\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \sim$ bivariate normal distribution |  |  | $\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \sim$ bivariate $t$-distribution |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\gamma$ | $\beta_{1}$ | $\beta_{2}$ | $\beta_{3}$ |  | $\beta_{1}$ | $\beta_{2}$ | $\beta_{3}$ |
|  |  |  | $n=10000$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1.0 | $1.55(0.49)$ | $1.11(0.33)$ | $0.64(0.15)$ |  | $1.70(0.57)$ | $1.41(0.49)$ | $0.88(0.30)$ |
| 1.5 | $1.28(0.30)$ | $1.10(0.26)$ | $0.64(0.16)$ |  | $1.74(0.58)$ | $1.37(0.46)$ | $0.88(0.30)$ |
| 2.0 | $1.30(0.33)$ | $1.03(0.25)$ | $0.64(0.15)$ |  | $1.77(0.62)$ | $1.40(0.48)$ | $0.89(0.31)$ |
| 3.0 | $1.28(0.31)$ | $1.04(0.24)$ | $0.64(0.15)$ |  | $1.73(0.59)$ | $1.39(0.45)$ | $0.90(0.31)$ |
| 4.0 | $1.31(0.32)$ | $1.04(0.24)$ | $0.63(0.15)$ |  | $1.72(0.58)$ | $1.41(0.49)$ | $0.89(0.30)$ |
| 6.0 | $1.30(0.29)$ | $1.04(0.25)$ | $0.65(0.16)$ |  | $1.74(0.60)$ | $1.41(0.48)$ | $0.90(0.30)$ |
| 10 | $1.31(0.30)$ | $1.06(0.26)$ | $0.65(0.16)$ |  | $1.78(0.61)$ | $1.41(0.46)$ | $0.90(0.32)$ |
| 20 | $1.35(0.34)$ | $1.09(0.27)$ | $0.67(0.17)$ | $1.78(0.61)$ | $1.42(0.47)$ | $0.91(0.31)$ |  |
|  |  |  | $n=20000$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1.0 | $0.87(0.21)$ | $0.68(0.16)$ | $0.43(0.10)$ |  | $1.17(0.40)$ | $0.91(0.31)$ | $0.58(0.19)$ |
| 1.5 | $0.87(0.20)$ | $0.68(0.17)$ | $0.43(0.11)$ |  | $1.19(0.41)$ | $0.94(0.32)$ | $0.58(0.19)$ |
| 2.0 | $0.87(0.22)$ | $0.69(0.17)$ | $0.43(0.10)$ |  | $1.17(0.39)$ | $0.93(0.31)$ | $0.58(0.19)$ |
| 3.0 | $0.86(0.21)$ | $0.69(0.17)$ | $0.43(0.11)$ |  | $1.18(0.40)$ | $0.93(0.31)$ | $0.58(0.19)$ |
| 4.0 | $0.85(0.21)$ | $0.68(0.16)$ | $0.43(0.10)$ |  | $1.16(0.39)$ | $0.93(0.31)$ | $0.59(0.20)$ |
| 6.0 | $0.85(0.19)$ | $0.68(0.17)$ | $0.44(0.10)$ |  | $1.15(0.38)$ | $0.91(0.30)$ | $0.57(0.19)$ |
| 10 | $0.86(0.21)$ | $0.68(0.16)$ | $0.43(0.10)$ |  | $1.16(0.40)$ | $0.92(0.31)$ | $0.59(0.20)$ |
| 20 | $0.89(0.22)$ | $0.69(0.16)$ | $0.45(0.10)$ |  | $1.20(0.42)$ | $0.93(0.31)$ | $0.60(0.21)$ |
|  |  |  | $n=40000$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1.0 | $0.60(0.14)$ | $0.48(0.12)$ | $0.30(0.07)$ |  | $0.86(0.52)$ | $0.68(0.37)$ | $0.41(0.15)$ |
| 1.5 | $0.59(0.14)$ | $0.47(0.11)$ | $0.30(0.07)$ |  | $0.86(0.84)$ | $0.67(0.59)$ | $0.41(0.18)$ |
| 2.0 | $0.60(0.15)$ | $0.48(0.12)$ | $0.30(0.07)$ |  | $0.81(0.26)$ | $0.65(0.23)$ | $0.39(0.13)$ |
| 3.0 | $0.60(0.14)$ | $0.47(0.11)$ | $0.29(0.07)$ |  | $0.82(0.29)$ | $0.65(0.22)$ | $0.40(0.14)$ |
| 4.0 | $0.59(0.14)$ | $0.47(0.12)$ | $0.30(0.07)$ |  | $0.79(0.27)$ | $0.64(0.23)$ | $0.40(0.14)$ |
| 6.0 | $0.59(0.14)$ | $0.47(0.12)$ | $0.30(0.08)$ |  | $0.81(0.29)$ | $0.64(0.21)$ | $0.40(0.14)$ |
| 10 | $0.60(0.14)$ | $0.47(0.12)$ | $0.30(0.08)$ |  | $0.81(0.28)$ | $0.64(0.21)$ | $0.40(0.14)$ |
| 20 | $0.60(0.15)$ | $0.48(0.12)$ | $0.30(0.07)$ | $0.81(0.28)$ | $0.64(0.21)$ | $0.41(0.14)$ |  |

To assess the efficiency of our proposed online estimation algorithm, we also implemented the following three competing methods for comparison: (a) The offline geometric median-based algorithm for solving equation (10) based on the full "static" data, which can be implemented using the R package "MNM," and (b) The offline pointwise median-based estimator of Liu et al. [2022], and (c) The offline least squares estimator for the FSRM. We compared the results of these offline methods to those of our online geometric median-based approach with a tuning parameter $\gamma$ set to 3 .

Notably, the offline geometric median-based algorithm (method (a)) serves as an oracle benchmark for our proposed online approach. This is because the offline method utilizes the full dataset, while our online method relies only on the most recent data point at each iteration.


Fig. 1 Boxplots of the root mean integrated squared errors (RMSIE) for the offline geometric medianbased estimator, the offline pointwise median-based estimator, the offline least square estimator, and the proposed proposed online geometric median-based estimator according to 1000 simulation replications under (a) $\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \sim$ bivariate normal distribution and (b) $\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \sim$ bivariate $t$-distribution.

Figure 1 demonstrates that the offline least squares estimator achieves the smallest average root mean integrated squared error (RMISE) under the Gaussian setting.

Interestingly, both the offline and online geometric median methods perform competitively with the offline least squares estimator in this setting. As anticipated, under the heavy-tailed setting, the geometric median-based methods outperform the others in terms of RMISE. Across all scenarios and settings, our online geometric median-based algorithm exhibits nearly identical performance to its offline counterpart. This simulation study highlights the robustness of the offline geometric median-based estimation and shows that the use of the recursive algorithm does not lead to a significant loss in efficiency. Moreover, as the sample size $n$ increases, the online algorithm offers significant advantages in terms of storage and computational time. Notably, when running 100 simulation replications with $n=10000$ on a MacBook with an Intel Core i5 processor, our online method required only 0.16 seconds, while the offline method took 305 seconds to complete.

Next, we evaluate the performance of the point-wise confidence intervals derived from our proposed online bootstrap method. Using the same settings from the above simulation with a normal residual process and a sample size of $n=10000$, Figure 2 displays the empirical coverage probabilities for the two proposed point-wise confidence intervals, the bootstrap percentile-based $\mathcal{C}_{n, j}^{I}$ and bootstrap variance-based $\mathcal{C}_{n, j}^{I I}$, $j=1,2,3$, at the $90 \%$ and $95 \%$ confidence levels. The results demonstrate that both $\mathcal{C}_{n, j}^{I}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{n, j}^{I I}$ are capable of achieving the target coverage probabilities across various location points.


Fig. 2 Point-wise confidence intervals based on our proposed online bootstrap procedure. $\mathcal{C}_{n, j}^{I}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{n, j}^{I I}$ denote the bootstrap percentile- and variance-based confidence intervals, respectively. The number in the parentheses represents the confidence level.

## 6 Real data application

We applied our proposed online geometric median-based approach for the FSRM (1) to analyze the Beijing multi-site air-quality data.Zhang et al. [2017]. This dataset, publicly available on the UCI machine learning repository https://archive.ics.uci.edu,


Fig. 3 Convergence trajectories of estimated slope functions corresponding to each air pollution covariate at time points: (A) $t=0.1$, (B) $t=0.304$, (C) $t=0.652$, and (D) $t=1$.
comprises hourly air pollution readings from a total of 12 air quality monitoring stations, collected between March 1, 2013, and February 28, 2017. The air pollution readings encompass hourly concentrations of $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}, \mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{SO}_{2}, \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ and CO , along with other environmental indicators such as temperature (TEMP), atmospheric pressure (PRES), dew point temperature (DEWP), and wind speed (WSPM). In this application, we were particularly interested in $Y(t)=$ time-varying $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$, a functional measure of fine inhalable particles, with its levels indicating the potential risk of health problems associated with inhalation. The covariates $\mathbf{X}$ were taken as the daily average values of $\mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{SO}_{2}, \mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{CO}$ and TEMP, PRES, DEWP, WSPM.

In our analysis, we excluded hours with missing values for any of the selected variables and pooled the data from the 12 air quality monitoring sites. To mitigate site-specific effects, we centered and standardized the data at each site individually. We also standardized the time variable $t$ to fall within the interval $[0,1]$.

Figure 3 demonstrates the trajectories of estimated slope functions corresponding to each air pollution covariate at time points $t=0,0.304,0.652$, 1 . It shows that the estimation stabilizes as more data accumulate. Evidently, a sample size of 2500 is sufficient to yield stable estimates based on our proposed online estimation method.

Figure 4 displays the residual curves at 24 grid time points, interpolated using the R function spline, along with the estimated density of the integrated absolute residuals. The residuals predominantly fluctuate around the zero line, and the density exhibits a slight right skewness with potential heavy tails. This pattern suggests that


Fig. 4 The left panel plots residual curves at 24 grid points using spline interpolation from 100 randomly selected. The right panel estimated density of the integrated absolute residuals obtained from our proposed algorithms.
a robust geometric median-based functional regression, as proposed, may be more appropriate for analyzing the data than a mean-based regression approach.

Figure 5 presents the estimated slope functions and the $90 \%$ point-wise confidence intervals for the eight air pollution covariates. It is evident that $\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{O}_{3}$, and DEWP exert significantly positive effects on the hourly $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$. Notably, the impact of CO on $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$ appears to diminish over time, whereas the effects of $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ seem to intensify. The confidence intervals for the slope functions of SO2 and PRES include zero at most time points, suggesting their negligible influence on $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$ levels.

## 7 Conclusion

We have introduced a novel function-on-scalar regression methodology using the geometric median, complemented by online estimation and bootstrap inference techniques suited for massive or streaming datasets. Our theoretical and numerical analyses validate the effectiveness of these proposed online methods. Notably, our geometric median-based regression demonstrates superior performance with heavy-tailed distributions compared to traditional mean-based approaches. Moreover, even when dealing with data from a Gaussian distribution, our method maintains efficiency comparable to that of mean-based techniques. Supporting theoretical evidence for these claims is detailed in Cheng et al. [2023].

One interesting direction for future work involves extending our method to accommodate high-dimensional covariates $\mathbf{X}$, as suggested by Barber et al. [2017] and Fan and Reimherr [2017]. Employing a geometric median-based approach in such settings could potentially enhance robustness in feature selection and inference. Additionally, refining the current methodology by developing an optimal interpolation


Fig. 5 Estimated slope functions for the eight air pollution covariates as well as the $90 \%$ pointwise confidence intervals, based on the proposed online boostrap method via geometric median. The point-wise confidence band is shown in light grey.
technique for discretely sampled functional data represents another valuable direction for improvement.

## Appendix A Some useful Propositions and Lemmas

In the following, we provide some propositions and lemmas that are necessary for the proofs of Theorem 1 and 2. Denote the gradient function and the corresponding hessian function as

$$
\Phi(h)=-\mathbb{E}\left\{\frac{\mathbf{X}\left(Y-\mathbf{X}^{T} h\right)}{\left\|Y-\mathbf{X}^{T} h\right\|}\right\}, \Gamma_{h}=\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{X X}^{T} \otimes A_{h}\right)
$$

where

$$
A_{h}=\frac{1}{\left\|Y-\mathbf{X}^{T} h\right\|}\left(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}}-\frac{\left(Y-\mathbf{X}^{T} h\right) \otimes\left(Y-\mathbf{X}^{T} h\right)}{\left\|Y-\mathbf{X}^{T} h\right\|^{2}}\right)
$$

and note that when $h=\boldsymbol{\beta}, \Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\Sigma \otimes A_{0}$ with $A_{0}=\mathbb{E}\left(A_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right)$, and $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}}$ is the identity operator in $\mathcal{H}$.

The following proposition gives an important result for $\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$.
Proposition 2. Let $\left\{\lambda_{i, \Sigma}\right\}_{i=1}^{d}$ be the eigenvalues of $\Sigma=\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{X X}^{T}\right)$, we have $1 / C \leq$ $\lambda_{i, \Sigma} \leq C$. Moreover, for any $\phi \in \mathcal{H}^{d}$, there is a positive constant $C_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ such that,

$$
C_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|\phi\|^{2} \leq\left\langle\phi, \Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \phi\right\rangle \leq C\|\phi\|^{2},
$$

which indicates $C_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \leq \lambda_{i, \boldsymbol{\beta}} \leq C$, with $\lambda_{i, \boldsymbol{\beta}}$ being the eigenvalues of $\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$.

Proof. Let $\left(\lambda_{i, \Sigma}\right)$ be the eigenvalues $\Sigma=\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{X X}^{T}\right)=\left\{\sigma_{i j}\right\}_{i, j=1}^{d}$, and $\Gamma_{\beta}$ can be viewed as a $d \times d$ matrix with elements being covariance operators. For any $\phi=\left(\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{d}\right)^{T} \in$ $\mathcal{H}^{d}$, it holds that

$$
\left\langle\phi, \Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \phi\right\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \sigma_{i j}\left\langle\phi_{i}, A_{0} \phi_{j}\right\rangle=\sum_{k} \lambda_{k, A_{0}} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \sigma_{i j}\left\langle e_{k}, \phi_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle e_{k}, \phi_{j}\right\rangle,
$$

where $e_{k}$ is the eigenfunction corresponding to the $k$ th eigenvalue $\lambda_{k, A_{0}}$ of $A_{0}=\mathbb{E}\left(A_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right)$, and the last equality is implied by the eigenvalue decomposition for $A_{0}$. Together with Assumption 1, $\Sigma$ is positive definite and $1 / C \leq \lambda_{i, \Sigma} \leq C$, then it holds that

$$
\sum_{k} \lambda_{k, A_{0}} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \sigma_{i j}\left\langle e_{k}, \phi_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle e_{k}, \phi_{j}\right\rangle=\sum_{k} \lambda_{k, A_{0}}\left\langle\mathbf{a}_{k}, \Sigma \mathbf{a}_{k}\right\rangle,
$$

where $\mathbf{a}_{k}=\left(a_{k, 1}, \ldots, a_{k, d}\right)$ and $a_{k, j}=\left\langle e_{k}, h_{j}\right\rangle$. Hence, we have

$$
1 / C \sum_{k} \lambda_{k, A_{0}}\left\|\left\langle e_{k}, h\right\rangle\right\|^{2} \leq \sum_{k} \lambda_{k, A_{0}}\left\langle\mathbf{a}_{k}, \Sigma \mathbf{a}_{k}\right\rangle \leq C \sum_{k} \lambda_{k, A_{0}}\left\|\left\langle e_{k}, h\right\rangle\right\|^{2} .
$$

By the assumption that $\mathbb{E}\|U-h\|^{-2} \leq C$ and Proposition 2.1 in Cardot et al. [2017], there exist two positive constants $c_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ and $C$, such that for all $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$
c_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|\psi\|^{2} \leq\left\langle\psi, A_{0} \psi\right\rangle \leq C\|\psi\|^{2} .
$$

By taking $C_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=c_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} / C$, it holds that

$$
C_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|h\|^{2} \leq\left\langle h, \Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} h\right\rangle \leq C\|h\|^{2},
$$

which completes the proof.
Proposition 3. Under Assumptions $1-3$, there exists a constant $C$, such that

$$
\left\|\Phi\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k}\right)-\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right\| \leq C\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2},
$$

for all $k \geq 1$.
Proof. Since $\Phi(\boldsymbol{\beta})=0$, applying Taylor's expansion, we have

$$
\Phi\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} \Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}+t\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right) \mathrm{d} t
$$

Let $r_{k}=\Phi\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k}\right)-\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)=\int_{0}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}+t\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)}-\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right) \mathrm{d} t$. Following Cardot et al. [2017], we define the function $\varphi_{h, h^{\prime}}(\cdot)$ from $[0,1] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{d}$ as

$$
\varphi_{h, h^{\prime}}(t):=\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}+t h}\left(h^{\prime}\right),
$$

for all $h, h^{\prime} \in \mathcal{H}^{d}$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Phi(\boldsymbol{\beta}+h)-\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(h)\right\| & =\left\|\int_{0}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}+t h}-\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right)(h) \mathrm{d} t\right\| \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left\|\left(\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}+t h}(h)-\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(h)\right)\right\| \mathrm{d} t \\
& =\int_{0}^{1}\left\|\varphi_{h, h}(t)-\varphi_{h, h}(0)\right\| \mathrm{d} t \leq \sup _{t \in[0,1]}\left\|\varphi_{h, h}^{\prime}(t)\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we give an upper bound for $\sup _{t \in[0,1]}\left\|\varphi_{h, h}^{\prime}(t)\right\|$. Let
$W_{h}(t)=\frac{1}{\left\|Y-\mathbf{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}-t \mathbf{X}^{T} h\right\|}, V_{h, h^{\prime}}(t)=h^{\prime}-\frac{\left\langle Y-\mathbf{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}-t \mathbf{X}^{T} h, h^{\prime}\right\rangle\left(Y-\mathbf{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}-t \mathbf{X}^{T} h\right)}{\left\|Y-\mathbf{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}-t \mathbf{X}^{T} h\right\|^{2}}$,
then we have $\varphi_{h, h^{\prime}}^{\prime}(t)=\mathbb{E}\left\{\mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^{T} \otimes\left(W_{h}^{\prime}(t) V_{h, h^{\prime}}(t)+W_{h}(t) V_{h, h^{\prime}}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right\}$. By algebra, it holds that

$$
\left\|V_{h, h^{\prime}}(t)\right\| \leq 2\left\|h^{\prime}\right\|, \quad\left\|W_{h}^{\prime}(t)\right\| \leq \frac{\left\|\mathbf{X}^{T} h\right\|}{\left\|Y-\mathbf{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}-t \mathbf{X}^{T} h\right\|}
$$

and

$$
\left\|V_{h, h^{\prime}}^{\prime}(t)\right\| \leq \frac{4\left\|\mathbf{X}^{T} h\right\|\left\|h^{\prime}\right\|}{\left\|Y-\mathbf{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}-t \mathbf{X}^{T} h\right\|}
$$

Since $\mathbf{X}$ is bounded almost surely, it holds that $\left\|\varphi_{h, h^{\prime}}^{\prime}(t)\right\| \leq 6\|h\|\left\|h^{\prime}\right\| \mathbb{E}\{\| U-$ $\left.t \mathbf{X}^{T} h \|^{-2}\right\} \leq C\|h\|\left\|h^{\prime}\right\|$. Thus, we complete the proof by choosing $h=\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k}-\boldsymbol{\beta}$.
Lemma 1. Under Assumptions 1-4, there exist some constants $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ such that for all $n \geq 1$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2}\right\} \leq C e^{-C^{\prime} n^{1-\alpha}}+\frac{C}{n^{\alpha}}+C \sup _{n / 2-1 \leq k \leq n} \mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{4}\right\} .
$$

Proof. Denote that $\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{X X}^{T} \otimes A_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right)$, then we decompose the online SGD estimator as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n+1}-\boldsymbol{\beta}=\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}-\gamma_{n} \Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)+\gamma_{n} \xi_{n+1}-\gamma_{n} r_{n}, \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\xi_{n+1}=\frac{\mathbf{X}_{n+1}\left(Y_{n+1}-\mathbf{X}_{n+1}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right)}{\left\|Y_{n+1}-\mathbf{X}_{n+1}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right\|}+\Phi\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right)
$$

Denote $H=\mathcal{H}^{d}$ and define $\kappa_{k}=\mathbb{I}_{H}-\gamma_{k} \Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \quad \nu_{n}=\prod_{k=1}^{n} \kappa_{k}$. Note that $\nu_{0}=\mathbb{I}_{H}$, we then rewrite equation (A1) as

$$
\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n+1}-\boldsymbol{\beta}=\nu_{n}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)+\nu_{n} M_{n+1}-\nu_{n} R_{n+1},
$$

where $R_{n+1}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \gamma_{k} \nu_{k}^{-1} r_{k}$, and $M_{n+1}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \gamma_{k} \nu_{k}^{-1} \xi_{k+1}$. Applying the inequality of arithmetic means, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2} \leq 3 \mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\nu_{n-1}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right\|^{2}\right)+3 \mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\nu_{n-1} M_{n}\right\|^{2}\right)+3 \mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\nu_{n-1} R_{n}\right\|^{2}\right) .
$$

In the following steps, we subsequently bound $\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\nu_{n-1}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right\|^{2}\right), \mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\nu_{n-1} M_{n}\right\|^{2}\right)$ and $\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\nu_{n-1} R_{n}\right\|^{2}\right)$. Using the results of Proposition 2, it holds that $C_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \leq \lambda_{i, \boldsymbol{\beta}} \leq C$. Next, due to fact $\left\|\nu_{n-1}\right\| \leq C_{1} \exp \left\{-C_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{k}\right\}$ for some constant $C_{1}$, it holds that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\nu_{n-1}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right\|^{2}\right) \leq C_{1}^{2}\left\{\exp \left(-C_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{k}\right)\right\}^{2} \mathbb{E}\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2} \leq C \exp \left(-C^{\prime} n^{1-\alpha}\right)
$$

To bound $\mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\nu_{n-1} M_{n}\right\|^{2}\right\}$, we define $U_{n+1}:=-\frac{\mathbf{x}_{n+1}\left(Y_{n+1}-\mathbf{X}_{n+1}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right)}{\left\|Y_{n+1}-\mathbf{X}_{n+1}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right\|}$. Then $\xi_{n}$ can be represented as $\xi_{n}=\Phi\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right)-U_{n+1}$, and $\mathcal{F}_{n}$ is a $\sigma$-algebra generated by $\sigma\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right)=\sigma\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{n}\right)$, where $Z_{i}=\left(\mathbf{X}_{i}, Y_{i}\right)$. Apparently, for all integers $n \geq 1$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(U_{n+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right)=\Phi\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right)
$$

This leads to $\left\{\xi_{n}\right\}_{n=1}$ being a sequence of martingale differences. Due to the orthogonality of the martingale differences, we have $\mathbb{E}\left\langle\xi_{k}, \xi_{k^{\prime}}\right\rangle=0$ for any $k \neq k^{\prime}$. Hence it follows that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\nu_{n-1} M_{n}\right\|^{2}\right\}=\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{k}^{2} \mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\nu_{n-1} \nu_{k}^{-1} \xi_{k+1}\right\|^{2}\right\}
$$

Since $\left\|\xi_{k+1}\right\|^{2} \leq 4\left\|\mathbf{X}_{n+1}\right\|^{2} \leq C$ almost surely, we have $\mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\nu_{n-1} M_{n}\right\|^{2}\right\} \leq$ $C \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{k}^{2}\left\|\nu_{n-1} \nu_{k}^{-1}\right\|^{2}$. Using similar calculations in Cardot et al. [2017], we have $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{k}^{2}\left\|\nu_{n-1} \nu_{k}^{-1}\right\|^{2} \leq C n^{-\alpha}$. Then it holds that $\mathbb{E}\left\|\nu_{n-1} M_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq C n^{-\alpha}$ for all $n \geq 1$.

To bound $\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\nu_{n-1} R_{n}\right\|^{2}\right)$, we write $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n+1}-\boldsymbol{\beta}=\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}-\gamma_{n} \Phi\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right)+\gamma_{n} \xi_{n+1}$, then it holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n+1}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right)=\| \boldsymbol{\beta}_{n} & -\boldsymbol{\beta} \|^{2}+\gamma_{n}^{2}\left\{\left\|\Phi\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right)\right\|^{2}+\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\xi_{n+1}\right\|^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right)\right\} \\
& -2 \gamma_{n}\left\langle\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}, \Phi\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right)\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\left\|\Phi\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right)\right\|^{2}+\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\xi_{n+1}\right\|^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right) \leq 2\left\|\mathbf{X}_{n+1}\right\|^{2}$ and $\left\langle\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}, \Phi\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right)\right\rangle \geq 0$, by taking the expectation of the two sides, we have $\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n+1}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2}\right) \leq \mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2}\right)+C \gamma_{n}^{2}$, which leads to $\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2}\right) \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{n}^{2} \lesssim C$. Similarly, since $\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n+1}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2} \leq$ $\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2}+C \gamma_{n}^{2}+2 \gamma_{n}\left\langle\xi_{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\rangle$, it holds that
$\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n+1}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{4} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right) \leq\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{4}+C \gamma_{n}^{4}+4 \gamma_{n}^{2} \mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\left\langle\xi_{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\rangle\right\|^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right)+C \gamma_{n}^{2}\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2}$.
Together with $\left\|\xi_{n+1}\right\| \leq 2\left\|\mathbf{X}_{n+1}\right\|^{2}, \mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n+1}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{4}\right) \leq \mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{4}\right)+C \gamma_{n}^{2}$, we can show that $\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{4}\right) \leq C$ for any $n \geq 1$. Because $\left\|r_{n}\right\| \leq C\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2}$ by Proposition 3, it holds that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\nu_{n-1} R_{n}\right\|^{2}\right) \leq \mathbb{E}\left\{\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{k}\left\|\nu_{n-1} \nu_{k}^{-1}\right\|_{2}\left\|r_{k}\right\|\right)^{2}\right\}
$$

$$
\leq C \mathbb{E}\left\{\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{k}\left\|\nu_{n-1} \nu_{k}^{-1}\right\|_{2}\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2}\right)^{2}\right\}
$$

By Lemma 5.5 in Cardot et al. [2017], it thus holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\nu_{n-1} R_{n}\right\|^{2}\right) \leq C\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{k}\left\|\nu_{n-1} \nu_{k}^{-1}\right\|_{2} \mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{4}\right\}^{1 / 2}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq C\left(\sum_{k=1}^{E(n / 2)} \gamma_{k}\left\|\nu_{n-1} \nu_{k}^{-1}\right\|_{2}\right)^{2} \\
&+C \sup _{E(n / 2)-1 \leq k \leq n} \mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{4}\right\}\left(\sum_{k=E(n / 2)+1}^{n} \gamma_{k}\left\|\nu_{n-1} \nu_{k}^{-1}\right\|_{2}\right)^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $E(\cdot)$ denotes the integer function. It follows that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left\|\nu_{n-1} R_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq C\left(\sum_{k=1}^{E(n / 2)} \gamma_{k} e^{-C^{\prime} \sum_{j=k+1}^{n-1} \gamma_{k}}\right)^{2}+C \sup _{E(n / 2)-1 \leq k \leq n-1} \mathbb{E}\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{4}
$$

Therefore, we can obtain

$$
\mathbb{E}\left\|\nu_{n-1} R_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq C e^{-C^{\prime} n^{1-\alpha}}+\frac{C}{n^{\alpha}}+C \sup _{E(n / 2)-1 \leq k \leq n} \mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{4}\right\},
$$

which completes the proof
Lemma 2. Under Assumptions 1-3, there exists a positive constant $C$ which depends on $q$ such that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n+1}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{4}\right\} \leq\left(1-\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1+(q-1) \alpha}{q}}}\right)^{2} \mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{4}\right\}+\frac{C}{n^{3 \alpha}}+\frac{C}{n^{2 \alpha}} \mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2}\right\},
$$

for all $n \geq n_{0}$ dependent on $\alpha$, some $n_{0}$, and $q \geq 1$.
Proof. First of all, we write $\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n+1}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2}$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n+1}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2} & =\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}-\gamma_{n} \Phi\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right)\right\|^{2}+\gamma_{n}^{2}\left\|\xi_{n+1}\right\|^{2}+2 \gamma_{n}\left\langle\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}-\gamma_{n} \Phi\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right), \xi_{n+1}\right\rangle \\
& \leq\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}-\gamma_{n} \Phi\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right)\right\|^{2}+2 \gamma_{n}\left\langle\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}, \xi_{n+1}\right\rangle+C \gamma_{n}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality and the law of iterated expectation with the fact $\mathbb{E}\left\{\left\langle\xi_{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}-\gamma_{n} \Phi\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right)\right\rangle\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\| \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right\}=0$, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n+1}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{4}\right\} \leq \mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}-\gamma_{n} \Phi\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right)\right\|^{4}\right\}+C \gamma_{n}^{2} \mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n+1}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2}\right\}+C \gamma_{n}^{4}
$$

Since $\gamma_{n}^{4}=o\left(1 / n^{3 \alpha}\right)$, there exists a positive constant $C$ and $n_{0}$ such that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n+1}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{4}\right\} \leq \mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}-\gamma_{n} \Phi\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right)\right\|^{4}\right\}+C \frac{1}{n^{2 \alpha}} \mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n+1}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2}\right\}+C \frac{1}{n^{3 \alpha}},
$$

for all $n \geq n_{0}$. Then we aim at bounding $\mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}-\gamma_{n} \Phi\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right)\right\|^{4}\right\}$. Define the set of the sequence of events for some $q \geq 1$ as,

$$
S_{n, q}:=\left\{\omega:\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}(\omega)-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\| \leq M n^{\frac{1-\alpha}{q}}\right\}
$$

If $\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\| \leq 1$, then $\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right\| \leq\|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|+1$. By Proposition 2 , it holds that $\left\langle\Phi\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right)-\right.$ $\left.\Phi(\boldsymbol{\beta}), \boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\rangle \geq C_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2}$. As a result, there exists $n_{0}$, for all $n \geq n_{0}, C_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \geq$ $M n^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{q}}$, we have $\left\langle\Phi\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right), \boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\rangle \geq M n^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{q}}$. If $\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\| \geq 1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\Phi\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right), \boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\rangle= & \int_{0}^{1}\left\langle\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}+t\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right), \boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\rangle \mathrm{d} t \\
& \geq \int_{0}^{1 /\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|}\left\langle\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}+t\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right), \boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\rangle \mathrm{d} t \\
& \geq \int_{0}^{1 /\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|} C_{\beta}\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \geq \frac{C_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}}{M n^{(1-\alpha) / q}}\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It thus holds that $\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}-\gamma_{n} \Phi\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2}+\gamma_{n}^{2}\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2}-\frac{2 C_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}}{M n^{(1-\alpha) / q}} \frac{\gamma}{n^{\alpha}} \| \boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-$ $\boldsymbol{\beta} \|^{2}$. Moreover, by choosing $M=2 \gamma C_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$, we have $\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}-\gamma_{n} \Phi\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq(1-$ $\left.n^{-\frac{1+(q-1) \alpha}{q}}\right)\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2}$. Since $\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\| \leq\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n-1}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|+\gamma_{n-1} \leq\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|+\sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \gamma_{k}$ for all $n \geq n_{0}$. Then it follows that $\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\| \leq C n^{1-\alpha}$ for all $n \geq 1$, and thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}-\gamma_{n} \Phi\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right)\right\|^{4}\right\}= & \mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}-\gamma_{n} \Phi\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right)\right\|^{4} \mathbb{I}_{S_{n, q}}\right\} \\
& +\mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}-\gamma_{n} \Phi\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right)\right\|^{4} \mathbb{I}_{S_{n, q}^{c}}\right\} \\
\leq & \left(1-n^{-\frac{1+(q-1) \alpha}{q}}\right)^{2} \mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{4}\right\}+C \frac{n^{4-4 \alpha}}{n^{2 p(1-\alpha) / q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last term is implied by $\mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}-\gamma_{n} \Phi\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right)\right\|^{4} \mathbb{I}_{S_{n, q}^{c}}\right\} \leq C n^{4-4 \alpha} \mathbb{P}\left(\| \boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\right.$ $\left.\boldsymbol{\beta} \| \geq M n^{(1-\alpha) / q}\right)$. Using Markov's inequality with the fact $\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{p}\right) \leq C$ and mathematical induction, we have

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\| \geq M n^{\frac{1-\alpha}{q}}\right) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2 p}\right)}{\left\{M n^{\frac{1-\alpha}{q}}\right\}^{2 p}} \leq C \frac{1}{n^{2 p \frac{1-\alpha}{q}}} .
$$

Then by choosing $p>q \frac{4-\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)}$ it holds that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}-\gamma_{n} \Phi\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right)\right\|^{4}\right\} \leq\left(1-n^{-\frac{1+(q-1) \alpha}{q}}\right)^{2} \mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{4}\right\}+C \frac{1}{n^{3 \alpha}},
$$

which completes the proof.

## Appendix B Main Proofs

## B. 1 Proof of Theorem 1

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\theta \in(\alpha, 2 \alpha)$ and $q>\frac{1-\alpha}{2 \alpha-\theta}$, we have $3 \alpha-\theta>\frac{1-(q-1) \alpha}{q}$. There exists $n_{0} \geq 1$, which depends on $\alpha, \theta$, such that for all $n \geq n_{0}$,

$$
\left(1-n^{-\frac{1+(q-1) \alpha}{q}}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^{\theta}+\frac{C}{(n+1)^{3 \alpha-\theta}}=1-2 n^{-\frac{1+(q-1) \alpha}{q}}+o\left(n^{-\frac{1+(q-1) \alpha}{q}}\right) \leq 1 .
$$

We show by mathematical induction that there are positive constants $C_{\alpha}$ and $C_{\theta}$ ( $C_{\alpha} \leq C_{\theta} \leq 2 C_{\alpha}$ ) such that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2}\right\} \leq \frac{C_{\alpha}}{n^{\alpha}}, \quad \mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{4}\right\} \leq \frac{C_{\theta}}{n^{\theta}}
$$

for any $n \geq n_{0}$. Because $\max \left\{\mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2}\right\}, \mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{4}\right\}\right\} \leq C$, we then choose $C_{\alpha} \geq n_{0}\left\{\mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2}\right\}\right\}$ and $C_{\theta} \geq n_{0}\left\{\mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{4}\right\}\right\}$. Using Lemma 2 by induction, it holds that with $C_{\theta} \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n+1}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{4}\right\} \leq(1- & \left.n^{-\frac{1+(q-1) \alpha}{q}}\right)^{2} \frac{C_{\theta}}{n^{\theta}}+\frac{C C_{\alpha}}{n^{3 \alpha}} \\
\leq & \left(1-n^{-\frac{1+(q-1) \alpha}{q}}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^{\theta} \frac{C_{\theta}}{(n+1)^{\theta}} \\
& +C_{\alpha}\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^{3 \alpha} \frac{1}{(n+1)^{3 \alpha-\theta}} \frac{C_{\theta}}{(n+1)^{\theta}} \leq \frac{C_{\theta}}{(n+1)^{\theta}}
\end{aligned}
$$

With Lemma 1, it also holds that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n+1}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2}\right\} \leq \frac{C}{(n+1)^{\alpha}}+C 2^{\theta+1} \frac{C_{\alpha}}{(n+1)^{\theta}}
$$

By choosing $C_{\alpha} \geq C$ and $\frac{C 2^{\theta+1}}{(n+1)^{\theta-\alpha}} \leq 1$ for all $n \geq n_{0}$, we have $\mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n+1}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2}\right\} \leq$ $\frac{C_{\alpha}}{(n+1)^{\alpha}}$. As a result, we complete the proof by taking $C_{\alpha} \geq \max _{n \leq n_{0}}\left\{n_{0}^{\alpha} \mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2}\right\}\right\}$ and $C_{\theta} \geq \max _{n \leq n_{0}}\left\{n_{0}^{\theta} \mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{4}\right\}\right\}$.

## B. 2 Proof of Proposition 1

Proof of Proposition 1. In the proof of Theorem 1, we have shown that $\mathbb{E}\left\{\| \boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\right.$ $\left.\boldsymbol{\beta} \|^{2}\right\}=\mathcal{O}\left(n^{-\alpha}\right)$ and $\mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{4}\right\}=\mathcal{O}\left(n^{-\theta}\right)$, To apply Borel-Cantelli's Lemma, we
only need to show that, there exists some $\delta>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\| \geq \frac{1}{n^{\delta}}\right)<\infty \tag{B2}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Markov's inequality, it holds that

$$
\sum_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\| \geq \frac{1}{n^{\delta}}\right) \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{4 \delta} \mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{4}\right) \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{C}{n^{\theta-4 \delta}}
$$

By choosing $1<\theta<2 \alpha$ and a constant $\delta$ satisfying $\delta<(\theta-1) / 4$, then it holds that $\sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-\theta+4 \delta}<\infty$. Therefore, equation (B2) holds and we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\| \rightarrow 0 \text { a.s. }
$$

Finally, the almost sure consistency of $\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}$ is obtained by a direct application of Toeplitz's lemma.

## B. 3 Proof of Theorem 2

Proof of Theorem 2. Recall the decomposition $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k+1}=\left(\mathbb{I}_{H}-\gamma_{k} \Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right) \boldsymbol{\beta}_{k}+\gamma_{k} \xi_{k+1}-\gamma_{k} r_{k}$, then by algebra, it holds that

$$
\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{k}=\xi_{k+1}-r_{k}+\frac{1}{\gamma_{k}}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k}-\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k+1}\right) .
$$

The averaged estimator can be re-written as

$$
\sqrt{n} \Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\frac{T_{1}}{\gamma_{1}}-\frac{T_{n+1}}{\gamma_{n}}+\sum_{k=2}^{n} T_{k}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_{k}}-\frac{1}{\gamma_{k-1}}\right)-\sum_{k=1}^{n} r_{k}\right)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \xi_{k+1}
$$

where $T_{n}=\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}$. As $T_{1}$ is bounded almost surely, we have $n^{-1 / 2} \gamma_{1}^{-1} T_{1}=$ $o(1)$, a.s. By Theorem 1, $\mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|n^{-1 / 2} \gamma_{n}^{-1} T_{n}\right\|^{2}\right\}=\mathcal{O}\left(n^{-1} n^{2 \alpha} n^{-\alpha}\right)=\mathcal{O}\left(n^{\alpha-1}\right)$, we have $n^{-1 / 2} \gamma_{n}^{-1} T_{n}=o_{p}(1)$. Moreover, as $\left|\gamma_{k}^{-1}-\gamma_{k-1}^{-1}\right| \leq 2 \alpha \gamma k^{\alpha-1}$, it holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{k=2}^{n} T_{k}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_{k}}-\frac{1}{\gamma_{k-1}}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{k=2}^{n}\left|\gamma_{k}^{-1}-\gamma_{k-1}^{-1}\right| \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2}}\right)^{2} \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{n} 4 \alpha^{2}\left(\sum_{k=2}^{n} k^{\alpha / 2-1}\right)^{2}=\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^{1-\alpha}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we have $n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{k=2}^{n} T_{k}\left(\gamma_{k}^{-1}-\gamma_{k-1}^{-1}\right) \xrightarrow{P} 0$. Finally, by choosing $\theta \in(1,2 \alpha)$, $n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} r_{k} \xrightarrow{P} 0$, it holds that

$$
\frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} r_{k}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{4}}\right)^{2} \leq \frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k^{\theta / 2}}\right)^{2}=\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\theta-1}}\right)
$$

Let $\Xi_{n}=\mathbb{E}\left(\xi_{n+1} \otimes \xi_{n+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right)$, then we have
$\Xi_{n}=\mathbb{E}\left(\left.\frac{\mathbf{X}_{n+1} \mathbf{X}_{n+1}^{T} \otimes\left\{\left(Y_{n+1}-\mathbf{X}_{n+1} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right) \otimes\left(Y_{n+1}-\mathbf{X}_{n+1} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right)\right\}}{\left\|Y_{n+1}-\mathbf{X}_{n+1} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right\|^{2}} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{n}\right)-\Phi\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right) \otimes \Phi\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right)$.
By some algebra, it holds that

$$
\left\|\Phi\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right)\right\| \leq \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{2}{\left\|Y-\mathbf{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|}\right)\left\|\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{X X}^{T}\right)\right\|\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|
$$

Under Assumption 3, as $\mathbb{E}\left\{\|U-h\|^{-1}\right\} \leq C$, we have $\left\|\Phi\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right)\right\| \leq C\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ almost surely. We then define

$$
B_{0}=\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\left\{\left(Y_{n+1}-\mathbf{X}_{n+1} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right) \otimes\left(Y_{n+1}-\mathbf{X}_{n+1} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right\}}{\left\|Y_{n+1}-\mathbf{X}_{n+1} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2}}\right)
$$

Based on some similar computation for $\Phi\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}\right)$, and together with Assumption 3, it holds that

$$
\left\|\Xi_{n}-\mathbf{X}_{n} \mathbf{X}_{n}^{T} \otimes B_{0}\right\| \leq C\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { a.s }
$$

To show the asymptotic normality, we only need to check the conditions of the functional central limit theorem (CLT) for Hilbert-valued martingales [Lavrentyev and Nazarov, 2016]. For any orthonormal basis $e_{i}, e_{j} \in \mathcal{H}^{d}$, it holds that

$$
\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left\{\left\langle\xi_{k} \otimes \xi_{k} e_{i}, e_{j}\right\rangle \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}\right\}-\left\langle\left(\mathbf{D} \otimes B_{0}\right) e_{i}, e_{j}\right\rangle\right| \leq\|\mathbf{D}\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\| \xrightarrow{P} 0
$$

where $\mathbf{D}=n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{X}_{i} \mathbf{X}_{i}^{T}$. Similarly, it also can be shown that $\mid \mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\xi_{k}\right\|^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}\right\}-$ $\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{X}_{n} \mathbf{X}_{n}^{T} \otimes B_{0}\right) \mid \leq C\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\| \xrightarrow{P} 0$. Then we have

$$
\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\xi_{k}\right\|^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}\right\}-\operatorname{tr}\left\{\mathbf{D} \otimes B_{0}\right\}\right| \xrightarrow{P} 0
$$

Finally, because of $\max _{k}\left\|\xi_{k}\right\| \leq \max _{k}\left\|\mathbf{X}_{k}\right\| \leq C$, and $\|\mathbf{D}-\Sigma\| \rightarrow 0$ almost surely, it holds that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \xi_{k+1} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N\left(0, \Sigma \otimes B_{0}\right)$ under Assumption 1-4. Since $\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ is positive definite according to Proposition 2, it holds that

$$
\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N\left(0, \Sigma^{-1} \otimes A_{0}^{-1} B_{0} A_{0}^{-1}\right)
$$

which completes the proof.

## B. 4 Proof of Theorem 3

Lemma 3. Suppose Assumptions 1-3 are satisfied, we have for any $n \geq 1$,

$$
\left\|\nabla G_{\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}}\left(\Upsilon_{n}\right)-\hat{\Gamma}_{\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}}\left(\Upsilon_{n}\right)\right\| \leq C\left\|\Upsilon_{n}\right\|^{2}
$$

where $\hat{\Gamma}_{\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}}=\nabla^{2} G_{\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}}(0)$.
Proof. Note that $\nabla G_{\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}}(0)=0$, applying Taylor's expansion with integral remainders, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla G_{\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}}\left(\Upsilon_{n}\right) & =\nabla G_{\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}}(0)+\int_{0}^{1} \nabla^{2} G_{\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}}\left(t\left(\Upsilon_{n}\right)\right) \Upsilon_{n} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} \nabla^{2} G_{\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}}\left(t \Upsilon_{n}\right) \Upsilon_{n} \mathrm{~d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

It then holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\nabla G_{\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}}\left(\Upsilon_{n}\right)-\hat{\Gamma}_{\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}}\left(\Upsilon_{n}\right)\right\|= & \left\|\int_{0}^{1}\left\{\nabla^{2} G_{\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}}(0)-\nabla^{2} G_{\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}}\left(t \Upsilon_{n}\right)\right\} \Upsilon_{n} \mathrm{~d} t\right\| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left\|\left\{\nabla^{2} G_{\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}}(0)-\nabla^{2} G_{\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}}\left(t \Upsilon_{n}\right)\right\} \Upsilon_{n}\right\| \mathrm{d} t .
\end{aligned}
$$

According to the proof of Proposition 2, we have
$\left\|\left\{\nabla^{2} G_{\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}}(0)-\nabla^{2} G_{\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}}\left(t \Upsilon_{n}\right)\right\} \Upsilon_{n}\right\| \leq C \mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|W\left(Y-\mathbf{X}^{T} \overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}\right)-t \mathbf{X}^{T} \Upsilon_{n}\right\|^{-2}\left\|\Upsilon_{n}\right\|^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right\} \leq C\left\|\Upsilon_{n}\right\|^{2}$.
the last inequality is implied by Assumption 3 with $\left\|W\left(Y-\mathbf{X}^{T} \overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}\right)-t \Upsilon_{n}\right\|^{-2} \leq$ $\left\|Y-\mathbf{X}^{T} \overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}-t \Upsilon_{n}\right\|^{-2}+\left\|Y-\mathbf{X} \overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}+t \Upsilon_{n}\right\|^{-2}$.
Lemma 4. Suppose Assumptions 1-3 are satisfied, we have

$$
\left\|\left(\hat{\Gamma}_{\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}}-\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right) \Upsilon_{n}\right\| \leq C\left\|\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|\left\|\Upsilon_{n}\right\|,
$$

for any $n \geq 1$.
Proof. Recall that $\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ is defined as

$$
\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\Sigma \otimes \mathbb{E}\left\{\frac{1}{\left\|Y-\mathbf{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|}\left(\mathbb{I}_{H}-\frac{\left(Y-\mathbf{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right) \otimes\left(Y-\mathbf{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)}{\left\|Y-\mathbf{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2}}\right)\right\}
$$

We express $\varphi_{h, h^{\prime}}$, a function $[0,1] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{d}$, as
$\varphi_{h, h^{\prime}}(t)=\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}+t h}\left(h^{\prime}\right)$

$$
=\Sigma \otimes \mathbb{E}\left\{\frac{1}{\left\|Y-\mathbf{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}-t \mathbf{X}^{T} h\right\|}\left(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}}-\frac{\left(Y-\mathbf{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}-t \mathbf{X}^{T} h\right) \otimes\left(Y-\mathbf{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}-t \mathbf{X}^{T} h\right)}{\left\|Y-\mathbf{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}-t \mathbf{X}^{T} h\right\|^{2}}\right)\right\}\left(h^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Then we can write $\left(\hat{\Gamma}_{\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}}-\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right) \Upsilon_{n}=\mathbb{E}\left\{\varphi_{\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}, \Upsilon_{n}}(1)-\varphi_{\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}, \Upsilon_{n}}(0) \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right\}$, and it thus follows that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left\{\varphi_{\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}, \Upsilon_{n}}(1)-\varphi_{\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}, \Upsilon_{n}}(0) \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right\}=\int_{0}^{1} \mathbb{E}\left\{\varphi_{\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{\prime}(t) \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right\} \mathrm{d} t
$$

It also holds that $\left\|\int_{0}^{1} \mathbb{E}\left\{\varphi_{\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}, \Upsilon_{n}}^{\prime}(t) \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right\} \mathrm{d} t\right\| \leq \sup _{t \in[0,1]} \mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\varphi_{\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}, \Upsilon_{n}}^{\prime}(t)\right\| \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right\}$. Based on the proof of Proposition 3, we have

$$
\left.\mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\varphi_{h, h^{\prime}}^{\prime}(t)\right\|\right\} \leq 6 C\|h\|\left\|h^{\prime}\right\|\right\}
$$

Hence, it follows that
$\mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\varphi_{\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}, \Upsilon_{n}}^{\prime}(t)\right\| \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right\} \leq C \mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|Y-\mathbf{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}-t \mathbf{X}^{T}\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right\|^{-2}\left\|\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|\left\|\Upsilon_{n}\right\| \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right\} \leq C\left\|\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|\left\|\Upsilon_{n}\right\|$,
which completes the proof.
The following lemma gives the convergence rates of $\Upsilon_{n}$ in $L_{2}$ and $L_{4}$. As its proof is particularly similar to that of Theorem 1 , we omit it here.
Lemma 5. Under Assumptions $1-4$, for all $\theta \in(\alpha, 2 \alpha)$ and $n \geq 1$, it holds that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\Upsilon_{n}\right\|^{2}\right\}=\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\alpha}}\right), \quad \mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\Upsilon_{n}\right\|^{4}\right\}=\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\theta}}\right)
$$

Next, we give the proof of Theorem 3.
Proof of Theorem 3. By rearranging the decomposing (6) and (7), it holds that

$$
\Upsilon_{n+1}=\left(\mathbb{I}_{H}-\gamma_{n} \Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right) \Upsilon_{n}+\gamma_{n} \eta_{n+1}-\gamma_{n} r_{n 1}-\gamma_{n} r_{n 2}
$$

where $\eta_{n}=\nabla G_{\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}}\left(\Upsilon_{n}\right)+\mathbf{X}_{n+1}\left(\Lambda_{n+1}-\mathbf{X}_{n+1}^{T} \Upsilon_{n}\right)\left\|\Lambda_{n+1}-\mathbf{X}_{n+1}^{T} \Upsilon_{n}\right\|^{-1}, r_{n 1}=$ $\Phi_{\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}}\left(\Upsilon_{n}\right)-\hat{\Gamma}_{\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}} \Upsilon_{n}$ and $r_{n 2}=\left(\hat{\Gamma}_{\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}}-\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right) \Upsilon_{n}$. To bound the remainder term $r_{n 1}$ and $r_{n 2}$, using the results from Lemma 3 and Lemma 4, we have $\left\|r_{n 1}\right\| \leq C\left\|\Upsilon_{n}\right\|^{2}$ and $\left\|r_{n 2}\right\| \leq C\left\|\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|\left\|\Upsilon_{n}\right\|$. By some simple algebra with $r_{k}=r_{k 1}+r_{k 2}$, we can obtain that for any $k \geq 1$

$$
\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \Upsilon_{k}=\eta_{k+1}-r_{k}+\frac{1}{\gamma_{k}}\left(\Upsilon_{k}-\Upsilon_{k+1}\right)
$$

Summing up these equalities, we have $n \Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \bar{\Upsilon}_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\gamma_{k}}\left(\Upsilon_{k}-\Upsilon_{k+1}\right)-\sum_{k=1}^{n} r_{k}+$ $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \eta_{k+1}$. Then it holds that with $S_{n+1}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \eta_{k+1}$,

$$
\sqrt{n} \Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \Upsilon_{n}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left\{\frac{\Upsilon_{1}}{\gamma_{1}}-\frac{\Upsilon_{n+1}}{\gamma_{n}}+\sum_{k=2}^{n} \Upsilon_{k}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_{k}}-\frac{1}{\gamma_{k-1}}\right)\right\}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} r_{k}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} S_{n+1}
$$

To use the martingale CLT for $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} S_{n+1}$, we denote

$$
\eta_{n}^{*}=\mathbf{X}_{n+1} \frac{\Lambda_{n+1}}{\left\|\Lambda_{n+1}\right\|}=W_{n+1} \frac{\mathbf{X}_{n+1}\left(Y_{n+1}-\mathbf{X}_{n+1} \overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}\right)}{\left\|Y_{n+1}-\mathbf{X}_{n+1} \overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}\right\|}
$$

and write $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} S_{n+1}^{*}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \eta_{k+1}^{*}$. According to Corollary 6 in Lavrentyev and Nazarov [2016], conditional on observations, we have $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} S_{n+1}^{*} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N\left(0, \Omega_{n}\right)$, where $\Omega_{n}$ is defined as

$$
\Omega_{n}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\mathbf{X}_{k+1} \mathbf{X}_{k+1}^{T} \otimes\left\{\left(Y_{k+1}-\mathbf{X}_{k+1} \overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{k}\right) \otimes\left(Y_{k+1}-\mathbf{X}_{k+1} \overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{k}\right)\right\}}{\left\|Y_{k+1}-\mathbf{X}_{k+1} \overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{k}\right\|^{2}}
$$

Let $\Omega_{n}^{*}$ denote $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\mathbf{X}_{k+1} \mathbf{X}_{k+1}^{T} \otimes\left\{\left(Y_{k+1}-\mathbf{X}_{k+1} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right) \otimes\left(Y_{k+1}-\mathbf{X}_{k+1} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right\}}{\left\|Y_{k+1}-\mathbf{X}_{k+1} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2}}$, then it holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Omega_{n}-\Omega_{n}^{*}\right\| & \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{C}{\left\|Y_{k+1}-\mathbf{X}_{k+1} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|}\left\|\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{k}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\| \\
& \leq C\left\{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\left\|Y_{k+1}-\mathbf{X}_{k+1} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2}}\right\}^{1 / 2}\left\{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{k}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second inequality is implied by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Due to $\mathbb{E}\left\{\|U-h\|^{-2}\right\} \leq C$ imposed in Assumption 3 and $\left\|\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ almost surely, it thus holds that $\left\|\Omega_{n}-\Omega_{n}^{*}\right\| \xrightarrow{P} 0$. For any $u \in \mathcal{H}^{d}$, we have $\left\langle u, \Omega_{n}^{*} u\right\rangle \xrightarrow{P}\left\langle u, \mathbf{D} \otimes B_{0} u\right\rangle$ by law of large numbers. Conditional on observations, it holds that

$$
\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\left|\mathbb{P}(\langle u, G\rangle \leq t)-\mathbb{P}^{*}\left(\left\langle u, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} S_{n}^{*}\right\rangle \leq t\right)\right| \xrightarrow{p} 0
$$

where $G \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N\left(0, \mathbf{D} \otimes B_{0}\right)$. Let $r_{k}^{*}=\eta_{k}-\eta_{k}^{*}$, and note that $\left\{r_{n}^{*}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is also a sequence of martingale differences, we have

$$
\left\|\mathbb{E}\left(r_{k}^{*} \otimes r_{k}^{*} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right)\right\| \leq C \mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|Y_{n+1}-\mathbf{X}_{n} \overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}\right\|^{-1} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right\}\left\|\Upsilon_{n}\right\|,
$$

Since $\left\|\Upsilon_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ almost surely, it follows that $\left\|\mathbb{E}\left(r_{k}^{*} \otimes r_{k}^{*} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right)\right\| \rightarrow 0$ almost surely. We then have $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} r_{k+1}^{*} \xrightarrow{P} 0$.

In the following steps, we deal with the remainder terms. As $\Upsilon_{1}$ is bounded almost surely, it holds that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left\|\frac{\Upsilon_{1}}{\gamma_{1}}\right\| \xrightarrow{p} 0$, and $\mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|n^{-1 / 2} \Upsilon_{n+1} \gamma_{n}^{-1}\right\|^{2}\right\} \leq C n^{-1} n^{\alpha} \rightarrow 0$, with Chebyshev's inequality $n^{-1 / 2} \Upsilon_{n+1} \gamma_{n}^{-1} \xrightarrow{p} 0$. Moreover, $\left|\gamma_{k}^{-1}-\gamma_{k-1}^{-1}\right| \leq 2 \alpha \gamma k^{\alpha-1}$. By Lemma 5.5 of Cardot et al. [2017], we have

$$
\frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{k=2}^{n} \Upsilon_{k}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_{k}}-\frac{1}{\gamma_{k-1}}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{k=2}^{n}\left|\gamma_{k}^{-1}-\gamma_{k-1}^{-1}\right| \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left\|\Upsilon_{k}\right\|^{2}}\right)^{2}
$$

$$
\lesssim \frac{1}{n} 4 \alpha^{2}\left(\sum_{k=2}^{n} k^{\alpha / 2-1}\right)^{2}=\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^{1-\alpha}}\right)
$$

We also have $n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{k=2}^{n} \Upsilon_{k}\left(\gamma_{k}^{-1}-\gamma_{k-1}^{-1}\right) \xrightarrow{p} 0$. Finally, it holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} r_{k}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left\|r_{k}\right\|^{2}}\right)^{2} \lesssim \frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left\|r_{k 1}\right\|^{2}+\mathbb{E}\left\|r_{k 2}\right\|^{2}}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left\|\Upsilon_{k}\right\|^{4}+\mathbb{E}\left\|\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{k}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2}\left\|\Upsilon_{k}\right\|^{2}}\right)^{2} \leq \frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k^{\theta / 2}}\right)^{2} \\
&=\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\theta-1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the third inequality relies on Lemma 3 and Lemma 4, and the last inequality is indicated by Lemma 5 . As a result, we have $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} r_{k} \xrightarrow{p} 0$, which completes the proof.

## B. 5 Proof of Theorem 4

Proof of Theorem 4. Let $\delta_{l}=\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}^{r}\left(t_{l}\right)-\boldsymbol{\beta}\left(t_{l}\right)=\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}\left(t_{l}\right)-\boldsymbol{\beta}\left(t_{l}\right), l=1, \ldots, m$, and let $h$ be the linear interpolation of $\left\{t_{l}, \eta_{l}\right\}$, defined as

$$
h(t)= \begin{cases}\delta_{1}, & 0 \leq t \leq t_{1} \\ \frac{t_{l+1}-t}{t_{l+1}-t_{l}} \delta_{l}+\frac{t-t_{l}}{t_{l+1}-t_{l}} \delta_{l+1}, & t_{l} \leq t \leq t_{l+1} \\ \delta_{m}, & t_{l} \leq t \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

Write $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}^{r}(t)=Q_{r}(\boldsymbol{\beta}(t)+h(t))$, where $Q_{r}$ is the operator associated with the $r$ th order spline interpolation. For a general function $f$, it holds that $Q_{r}(f)$ is the solution to

$$
Q_{r}(f)=\underset{g \in W_{2}^{r}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \int\left\{g^{(r)}(t)\right\}^{2} d t \quad \text { subject to } g\left(t_{l}\right)=f\left(t_{l}\right)(l=1, \ldots, m)
$$

Since $Q_{r}$ is a linear operator, we have $Q_{r}(\boldsymbol{\beta}(t)+h(t))=Q_{r}(\boldsymbol{\beta})+Q_{r}(h)$, and

$$
\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}^{r}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|_{2} \leq\left\|Q_{r}(\boldsymbol{\beta})-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|_{2}+\left\|Q_{r}(h)\right\|_{2} .
$$

The approximation error led by the $r$ th spline interpolation for $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ can be bounded by Devore and Lorentz [1993], that is,

$$
\left\|Q_{r}(\boldsymbol{\beta})-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|_{2}^{2} \lesssim m^{-2 r}
$$

Based on the proof of Theorem 2, it holds that $\left\|\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|^{2}=\mathcal{O}_{p}(1 / n)$. Moreover, by choosing $\mathcal{H}=L^{2}[0,1]$, we have $\int_{0}^{1}\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}(t)-\boldsymbol{\beta}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t=O_{p}(1 / n)$. Therefore, it follows
that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|Q_{r}(h)\right\|^{2} \lesssim\|h\|_{2}^{2} \lesssim m^{-1} \sum_{l=1}^{m} \delta_{l}^{2}=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{l=1}^{m}\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}\left(t_{l}\right)-\boldsymbol{\beta}\left(t_{l}\right)\right)^{2} \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}(t)-\boldsymbol{\beta}(t)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} t\left\{1+o_{p}(1)\right\} \\
=\left\|\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\|_{2}^{2}\left\{1+o_{p}(1)\right\}=\mathcal{O}_{p}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$
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