

On existence of expanding attractors with different dimensions ^{*†}

V. Medvedev¹E. Zhuzhoma¹

¹ National Research University Higher School of Economics, 25/12 Bolshaya Pecherskaya, 603005, Nizhny Novgorod, Russia

Abstract

We prove that n -sphere \mathbb{S}^n , $n \geq 2$, admits structurally stable diffeomorphisms $\mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ with non-orientable expanding attractors of any topological dimension $d \in \{1, \dots, [\frac{n}{2}]\}$ where $[x]$ is an integer part of x . One proves that n -torus \mathbb{T}^n , $n \geq 2$, admits structurally stable diffeomorphisms $\mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ with orientable expanding attractors of any topological dimension $1 \leq q \leq n-1$. We also prove that given any closed n -manifold M^n , $n \geq 2$, and any $d \in \{1, \dots, [\frac{n}{2}]\}$, there is an axiom A diffeomorphism $f : M^n \rightarrow M^n$ with a d -dimensional non-orientable expanding attractor. Similar statements hold for axiom A flows.

Introduction

Below, M^n is a closed smooth connected n -manifold, $n \geq 2$. Let $f : M^n \rightarrow M^n$ be a diffeomorphism and Λ an invariant set of f , i.e. $f(\Lambda) = \Lambda$. This set is called an *attractor* provided there is a neighborhood $U(\Lambda) = U$ of Λ such that $\overline{f(U)} \subset U$ and $\bigcap_{i \geq 0} f^i(U) = \Lambda$ where \overline{N} means the topological closure of N . For applications, the most interesting invariant sets of a dynamical system are attractors and repellers. The famous attractors in hyperbolic dynamical systems are Smale's solenoid, DA-attractor, and Plykin's attractor (see the books [2, 12, 28]). All this attractors are expanding ones and basic sets of axiom A diffeomorphisms. Recall that a diffeomorphism $f : M^n \rightarrow M^n$ satisfies an axiom A (in short, f is an A-diffeomorphism) provided a non-wandering set $NW(f)$ of f is hyperbolic, and $NW(f)$ is the topological closure of periodic orbits of f [32]. According Smale's Spectral Decomposition Theorem, the non-wandering set $NW(f)$ splits into pairwise disjoint invariant closed and transitive sets called *basic sets*.

The hyperbolic structure implies the existence of stable $W^s(x)$ and unstable $W^u(x)$ manifolds at any points $x \in NW(f)$. Following [34] we call a basic set Λ an *expanding attractor* provided Λ is an attractor and $\dim \Lambda = \dim W^u(x)$ for every point $x \in \Lambda$. Williams [34] proved that an expanding attractor is locally homeomorphic to the product of Cantor set and Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{\dim \Lambda}$. Moreover, Williams completely studied the dynamics of the restriction of a diffeomorphism on expanding attractor. In particular, he proved that this restriction is conjugate to the shift map of a generalized solenoid, see surveys [8, 11]. Interesting examples of expanding attractors was constructed by Farrel and Jones [5, 6, 20, 21]. They consider interior dynamics with no embedding

^{*}2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 37D15; Secondary 58C30

[†]*Key words and phrases*: axiom A diffeomorphism, basic set, expanding attractor

of expanding attractors in supporting manifolds. Robinson and Williams [29] constructed two diffeomorphisms f and g of non-homeomorphic 5-manifolds with expanding 2-dimensional attractors Λ_f and Λ_g respectively such that the restriction $f|_{\Lambda_f} : \Lambda_f \rightarrow \Lambda_f$ is conjugate to the restriction $g|_{\Lambda_g} : \Lambda_g \rightarrow \Lambda_g$ but there is not even a homeomorphism from a neighborhood of Λ_f to a neighborhood of Λ_g taking Λ_f to Λ_g . Another examples see in [3, 16].

Similar notation holds for axiom A flows (in short, A-flows) [14]. By definition, a basic set is nontrivial if it not an isolated trajectory. In particular, a nontrivial basic set is not a fixed point (singularity) of A-flow. Since trajectories of flow are one-dimensional, any nontrivial basic set of A-flow has the topological dimension no less than one, and a supporting manifold admitting a nontrivial basic set has the dimension no less than three. Moreover, a nontrivial one-dimensional basic set is of saddle type while one-dimensional attractors and repellers on a 3-manifold are always trivial. One can prove that a two-dimensional basic set on M^3 is either an attractor or repeller, and two-dimensional attractors and repellers are exactly expanding attractors and contracting repellers respectively [24].

Questions of embedding and classification of orientable codimension one expanding attractors for A-diffeomorphisms was completely investigated by Grines [7], Grines and Zhuzhoma [9, 10], and Plykin [25, 26]. As to another dimensions in frame of our knowledge, there are results concerning one-dimensional expanding attractors in 3-manifolds [17, 18, 19].

In the paper, we construct structurally stable diffeomorphisms with expanding attractors of various dimension on n -sphere \mathbb{S}^n and n -torus \mathbb{T}^n , $n \geq 2$. We also prove the existence of A-diffeomorphisms with few-dimensional expanding attractors on arbitrary closed manifolds. The main results concerning diffeomorphisms are the following statements. Below, $[x]$ means the integer part of the number x .

Theorem 1 *Let \mathbb{S}^n , $n \geq 2$, be an n -sphere. Then given any $d \in \{1, \dots, [\frac{n}{2}]\}$, there is a structurally stable diffeomorphism $f : \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ with a d -dimensional non-orientable expanding attractor.*

Theorem 2 *Let \mathbb{T}^n , $n \geq 2$, be an n -torus. Then given any $1 \leq d \leq n - 1$, there is a structurally stable diffeomorphism $f : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ with a d -dimensional orientable expanding attractor.*

Theorem 3 *Given any closed n -manifold M^n , $n \geq 2$, and any $d \in \{1, \dots, [\frac{n}{2}]\}$, there is an axiom A diffeomorphism $f : M^n \rightarrow M^n$ with a d -dimensional non-orientable expanding attractor.*

Let us consider expanding attractors of A-flows. It follows from [23] for $n = 3$ and [24], Theorem 4, for $n \geq 4$ that given any closed orientable n -manifold M^n , $n \geq 3$, there is an A-flow f^t on M^n such that the non-wandering set $NW(f^t)$ contains a two-dimensional attractor. As to d -dimensional expanding attractors for $d \geq 3$, we prove the following statements.

Theorem 4 *Given any n -sphere \mathbb{S}^n , $n \geq 2d + 2 \geq 8$, $d \geq 3$, there is an A-flow φ^t on \mathbb{S}^n such that the non-wandering set $NW(\varphi^t)$ contains a d -dimensional expanding attractor. In addition, φ^t has an isolated hyperbolic source.*

Remark that it follows from Theorem 2 that given any $2 \leq d \leq n - 1$, $n \geq 3$, there is a closed n -manifold M^n supporting a structurally stable A-flow with an orientable d -dimensional expanding attractor. To be precise, M^n is a mapping torus supporting a dynamical suspension over a structurally stable diffeomorphism $f : \mathbb{T}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{n-1}$ with a $(d - 1)$ -dimensional orientable expanding attractor.

Acknowledgments. This work is an output of a research project implemented as part of the Basic Research Program at the National Research University Higher School of Economics.

1 Previous results and basic definitions

A-diffeomorphisms. A diffeomorphism $f : M^n \rightarrow M^n$ is called an *A-diffeomorphism* if its non-wandering set $NW(f)$ is hyperbolic and periodic points are dense in $NW(f)$ [32]. The existence of hyperbolic structure implies the existence of unstable $W^u(x)$ and stable $W^s(x)$ manifolds respectively for every point $x \in NW(f)$ [15]. Due to Smale's Spectral Decomposition Theorem, the non-wandering set $NW(f)$ is a finite union of pairwise disjoint f -invariant closed sets $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_k$ such that every restriction $f|_{\Omega_i}$ is topologically transitive. These Ω_i are called the *basic sets* of f . The dimension $\dim W^u(x)$, $x \in \Omega_i$ is called a *Morse index* of Ω_i . A basic set Ω is an *expanding attractor* if Ω is an attractor and the topological dimension $\dim \Omega$ equals Morse's index of Ω [34]. A basic set Λ of f is called a *contracting repeller* provided Λ is an expanding attractor of f^{-1} .

By definition, let $W_\epsilon^s(x) \subset W^s(x)$ (resp. $W_\epsilon^u(x) \subset W^u(x)$) be the ϵ -neighborhood of x in the intrinsic topology of the manifold $W^s(x)$ (resp. $W^u(x)$), where $\epsilon > 0$. We say that a basic set Ω is *orientable* provided the index of intersection $W_\alpha^s(x) \cap W_\beta^u(x)$ is the same at each point of this intersection for any $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0, x \in \Omega$. Smale's solenoid is an orientable attractor [32] while Plykin attractor is a non-orientable one [25].

Structural stability and Ω -stability. Let $Diff^1(M^n)$ be the space of C^1 diffeomorphisms on M^n endowed with the uniform C^1 topology [13]. Recall that diffeomorphisms $f, g \in Diff^1(M)$ are (topologically) *conjugate* if there is a homeomorphism $\varphi : M \rightarrow M$ such that $\varphi \circ f = g \circ \varphi$. A diffeomorphism $f \in Diff^1(M)$ is called *structurally stable* if there is a neighborhood $U(f) \subset Diff^1(M)$ of f such that any $g \in U$ is conjugate to f .

Let $W_1, W_2 \subset M^n$ be two immersed submanifolds. One says that W_1, W_2 are intersected *transversally* provided given any point $x \in W_1 \cap W_2$, the tangent bundles $T_x W_1, T_x W_2$ generate the tangent bundle $T_x M^n$. In this case $\dim T_x W_1 + \dim T_x W_2 \geq \dim T_x M^n$. According Mane [22] and Robinson [27], an A-diffeomorphism f is structurally stable if and only if invariant manifolds $W^s(x), W^u(y)$ are intersected transversally for any $x, y \in NW(f)$. The last condition is called a *strong transversality condition*.

Morse-Smale and DA-diffeomorphisms. In 1960, Steve Smale [30] introduced a class of dynamical systems (flows and diffeomorphisms) called later Morse-Smale systems. By definition, a diffeomorphism f is Morse-Smale provided the non-wandering set $NW(f)$ consists of a finitely many periodic orbits, each periodic orbit is hyperbolic and, stable and unstable manifolds of periodic orbits intersect transversally. One can define Morse-Smale systems as being those that are structurally stable and have non-wandering sets that consist of a finite number of orbits. Smale [30] discovered a deep connections between dynamics and the topological structure of support manifolds, see also [31]. In particular, he proved the following statement which we need later on.

Lemma 1.1 *Any closed manifold M^n admits a gradient-like Morse-Smale diffeomorphism with an isolated sink.*

A DA-diffeomorphism $g : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ is an A-diffeomorphism such that either $NW(g)$ consists of codimension one orientable expanding attractor and finitely many isolated source periodic orbits or $NW(g)$ consists of codimension one orientable contracting repeller and finitely many isolated sink periodic orbits. Mainly, we'll consider a DA-diffeomorphism with a codimension one orientable expanding attractor called a DA-attractor. Such simplest DA-diffeomorphism can be obtained by Smale's surgery from a codimension one Anosov diffeomorphisms $A : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ such

that $g_* = A_* : H_1(\mathbb{T}^n) \rightarrow H_1(\mathbb{T}^n)$, see details in [28]. In this case, g has a DA-attractor Λ_a that equal to $\mathbb{T}^n \setminus W^u(p)$ where $W^u(p)$ is the unstable manifold of a unique isolated source p . Later on, one needs the following statement proved in general case by Plykin [26].

Lemma 1.2 *Given any $n \geq 2$, there is a structurally stable DA-diffeomorphism $f : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ with an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional orientable expanding attractor.*

Given maps $f_i : M_i \rightarrow M_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, we denote by

$$F_{12\dots m} = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m) : M_1 \times M_2 \times \dots \times M_m \rightarrow M_1 \times M_2 \times \dots \times M_m$$

the map

$$F_{12\dots m}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = (f_1(x_1), f_2(x_2), \dots, f_m(x_m)), \quad x_i \in M_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Lemma 1.3 *Let $f_i : M_i^{k_i} \rightarrow M_i^{k_i}$ be a structurally stable diffeomorphism (resp., A-diffeomorphism) of closed k_i -manifold $M_i^{k_i}$, $k_i \geq 1$, with non-wandering set $NW(f_i)$ consisting of basic sets $\Omega_1^{(i)}, \dots, \Omega_{l_i}^{(i)}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Then the mapping*

$$F_{12\dots m} : M_1^{k_1} \times M_2^{k_2} \times \dots \times M_m^{k_m} \rightarrow M_1^{k_1} \times M_2^{k_2} \times \dots \times M_m^{k_m}$$

is a structurally stable (resp., A-diffeomorphism) with non-wandering set

$$NW(F_{12\dots m}) = NW(f_1) \times NW(f_2) \times \dots \times NW(f_m).$$

Moreover, the spectral decomposition of $F_{12\dots m}$ consists of basic sets $\Omega_{i_1}^{(1)} \times \Omega_{i_2}^{(2)} \times \dots \times \Omega_{i_m}^{(m)}$ where $1 \leq i_s \leq l_s$, $s = 1, \dots, m$

Proof. It is enough to prove the statement for $m = 2$. Recall that a non-wandering set is the topological closure of set of periodic points. Therefore given any point $(x, y) \in NW(f_1) \times NW(f_2)$, there is $(p_1, p_2) \in NW(f_1) \times NW(f_2)$ arbitrary close to (x, y) where p_i is a periodic point of f_i , $i = 1, 2$. Obviously, (p_1, p_2) is a periodic point of F_{12} . Hence, $NW(f_1) \times NW(f_2) \subset NW(F_{12})$. Due to [35], $NW(F_{12}) \subset NW(f_1) \times NW(f_2)$. As a consequence, $NW(F_{12}) = NW(f_1) \times NW(f_2)$.

The stable and unstable bundles of f_1 and f_2 form the stable and unstable bundles of F_{12} as follows $\mathbb{E}_{F_{12}}^s = \mathbb{E}_{f_1}^s \oplus \mathbb{E}_{f_2}^s$, $\mathbb{E}_{F_{12}}^u = \mathbb{E}_{f_1}^u \oplus \mathbb{E}_{f_2}^u$. Since the differential DF_{12} has the block structure $(D(f_1), D(f_2))$, the fiber bundle decomposition $\mathbb{E}_{F_{12}}^s \oplus \mathbb{E}_{F_{12}}^u$ is invariant under DF_{12} , and $NW(F_{12}) = NW(f_1) \times NW(f_2)$ has hyperbolic structure.

Let Ω_i be a basic set of f_i , $i = 1, 2$. Clearly, $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 = \Omega_{12}$ is a closed invariant set of F_{12} . We have to prove that Ω_{12} is a transitive set of the restriction $F_{12}|_{\Omega_{12}}$. First, suppose that the both Ω_1 and Ω_2 are trivial, i.e. Ω_1 and Ω_2 are periodic orbits. Then Ω_{12} is a periodic point, i.e. Ω_{12} is a basic set. Suppose now that the both Ω_1 and Ω_2 are nontrivial. Let V_1, V_2 be relatively open sets in Ω_{12} . Without loss of generality, one can assume that V_1 is a rectangle, i.e. $V_1 = V_{1x} \times V_{1y}$ where V_{1x} and V_{1y} are relatively open sets in Ω_1 and Ω_2 respectively. Similarly, $V_2 = V_{2x} \times V_{2y}$. According [1, 4], some iteration of A-diffeomorphism on a nontrivial basic set is topologically mixing. Since a transitivity under some iteration implies a transitivity, one can assume that the restriction $f_i|_{\Omega_i}$, $i = 1, 2$, is a topologically mixing mapping. Therefore there is n_1 such that $f_1^m(V_{1x}) \cap V_{2x} \neq \emptyset$ for all $m \geq n_1$. Similarly, there is n_2 such that $f_2^m(V_{1y}) \cap V_{2y} \neq \emptyset$ for all $m \geq n_2$. This follows that $F_{12}^m(V_1) \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$ for all $m \geq \max\{n_1, n_2\}$. We see that $F_{12}|_{\Omega_{12}}$ is topologically

mixing, and as consequence $F_{12}|_{\Omega_{12}}$ is transitive (actually, one proves that $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 = \Omega_{12}$ is a nontrivial basic set). When Ω_1 is a nontrivial basic set while Ω_2 is a trivial basic set, one can prove similarly that $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 = \Omega_{12}$ is a nontrivial basic set as well.

Let us prove that F_{12} is structurally stable provided f_1 and f_2 are structurally stable. It is enough to check a strong transversality condition.. Suppose an unstable manifold $W_{F_{12}}^u(p_1, q_1)$ intersects a stable manifold $W_{F_{12}}^s(p_2, q_2)$ at some point $(x, y) \in M_1 \times M_2$. Since $f_i : M_i \rightarrow M_i$, $i = 1, 2$, is structurally stable, the unstable manifold $W_{f_1}^u(p_1)$ intersects transversally the stable manifold $W_{f_1}^s(p_2)$ at x and the unstable manifold $W_{f_2}^u(q_1)$ intersects transversally the stable manifold $W_{f_2}^s(q_2)$ at y . It follows from $W_{F_{12}}^u(p_1, q_1) = W_{f_1}^u(p_1) \times W_{f_2}^u(q_1)$ and $W_{F_{12}}^s(p_2, q_2) = W_{f_1}^s(p_2) \times W_{f_2}^s(q_2)$ that $W_{F_{12}}^u(p_1, q_1)$ intersects transversally $W_{F_{12}}^s(p_2, q_2)$ at (x, y) . Hence, F_{12} is structurally stable. \square

Lemma 1.4 *Let $f_i : M_i^{k_i} \rightarrow M_i^{k_i}$ be a structurally stable (resp., A -diffeomorphism) of closed k_i -manifold $M_i^{k_i}$, $k_i \geq 1$, with a d_i -dimensional expanding attractor Λ_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Then the structurally stable diffeomorphism (resp., A -diffeomorphism)*

$$F_{12\dots m} : M_1^{k_1} \times M_2^{k_2} \times \dots \times M_m^{k_m} \rightarrow M_1^{k_1} \times M_2^{k_2} \times \dots \times M_m^{k_m}$$

has the $(d_1 + \dots + d_m)$ -dimensional expanding attractor $\Lambda_1 \times \dots \times \Lambda_m$. In addition, $F_{12\dots m}$ has an isolated source.

Proof. Due to Lemma 1.3, $\Lambda_1 \times \dots \times \Lambda_m$ is a basic set of $F_{12\dots m}$. Since Λ_i is an attractor for any $1 \leq i \leq m$, $\Lambda_1 \times \dots \times \Lambda_m$ is an attractor. Since Λ_i is expanding, $\dim \Lambda_i = \dim W_{f_i}^u(x_i)$, $x_i \in \Lambda_i$. It follows from Lemma 1.3 that $W_F^u(x_1, \dots, x_m) = W_{f_1}^u(x_1) \times \dots \times W_{f_m}^u(x_m)$. As a consequence, $\dim(\Lambda_1 \times \dots \times \Lambda_m) = d_1 + \dots + d_m = \dim W_{f_1}^u(x_1) + \dots + \dim W_{f_m}^u(x_m) = \dim W_F^u(x_1, \dots, x_m)$.

Hence, $\Lambda_1 \times \dots \times \Lambda_m$ is an expanding attractor of dimension $(d_1 + \dots + d_m)$. \square

Corollary 1.1 *Suppose the condition of Lemma 1.4 holds. Let $f_{m+1} : M^{k_{m+1}} \rightarrow M^{k_{m+1}}$ be a structurally stable diffeomorphism with an isolated sink Ω . Then*

$$\Lambda_1 \times \dots \times \Lambda_m \times \{\omega\} \subset M_1^{k_1} \times M_2^{k_2} \times \dots \times M_m^{k_m} \times M_{m+1}^{k_{m+1}}$$

is $(d_1 + \dots + d_m)$ -dimensional expanding attractor of $F_{12\dots m, m+1}$.

2 Proofs of main results

Proof of Theorem 1. First, we prove the following statement concerning one-dimensional expanding attractors.

Proposition 1 *Any n -sphere \mathbb{S}^n , $n \geq 2$, admits a structurally stable diffeomorphism $\mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ with non-orientable one-dimensional expanding attractor.*

Proof of Proposition 1. Well-known that there is a structurally stable diffeomorphism $f : \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ with Plykin's attractor Λ_a which is a non-orientable one-dimensional expanding attractor [25]. Thus for $n = 2$ the statement is true. Consider \mathbb{S}^2 to be the diameter of \mathbb{S}^3 . Then \mathbb{S}^2 divides \mathbb{S}^3 into closed 3-disks D_1, D_2 such that $\mathbb{S}^2 = D_1 \cap D_2$. It is convenient to consider each D_1, D_2 to

be the unit disk in \mathbb{R}^3 endowed with the polar coordinates (ρ, ϕ, θ) where \mathbb{S}^2 is identified with $\rho = 1$. The differential equation $\dot{\rho} = \rho(1 - \rho)$ at each ray $\phi = \phi_0, \theta = \theta_0$ defines a flow g^t on $D_1 \cup D_2 = \mathbb{S}^3$. Note that the set of fixed points of g^t consists of two sources $\alpha_1 \in D_1, \alpha_2 \in D_2$ and the sphere \mathbb{S}^2 . Let $g = g^1$ be the shift along the trajectories on the time $t = 1$. The calculations show that $\rho'(0) = e > 1$ and $\rho'(1) = \frac{1}{e} < 1$. Therefore, α_1, α_2 are hyperbolic sources. The sphere \mathbb{S}^2 is an attractive set of g , and any point of \mathbb{S}^2 is a hyperbolic sink in the ρ -direction.

There is a tubular neighborhood $T(\mathbb{S}^2) \subset \mathbb{S}^3$ of \mathbb{S}^2 which is trivial fiber bundle $\mathbb{S}^2 \times [-1; 1]$ with the base $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \{0\} = \mathbb{S}^2 \subset \mathbb{S}^3$ and fibers are arcs of trajectories of g^t . By construction, Plykin's diffeomorphisms $f : \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ preserves orientation. Therefore there is a diffeotopy $f_\alpha : \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, such that $f_0 = f, f_1 = id$. Let $\theta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0; 1]$ be a smooth even function such that $\theta(0) = 0$ and $\theta(x) = 1$ for $x \geq 1$. Define the diffeomorphism $F : \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$ as follows. For $\mathbb{S}^3 \setminus T(\mathbb{S}^2)$, set $F = g$. For $(x; \beta) \in \mathbb{S}^2 \times [-1; 1] = T(\mathbb{S}^2)$, put by definition,

$$F : T(\mathbb{S}^2) = \mathbb{S}^2 \times [-1; 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2 \times [-1; 1], \quad F(x, \beta) = (f_{\alpha(\theta(\beta))}(x), g(\beta)).$$

We see that $F(x, 0) = (f(x), 0)$. Since $f_{\alpha(\theta(\pm 1))} = id, F|_{\partial T(\mathbb{S}^2)} = (id, g(\cdot))$. Hence, $F : \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$ is a structurally stable diffeomorphism with the non-orientable one-dimensional expanding attractor Λ_a . Similarly, one can construct a structurally stable diffeomorphism $\mathbb{S}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^4$ with the non-orientable one-dimensional expanding attractor Λ_a . Continuing by this way, one can get a diffeomorphism desired for any $n \geq 3$. This completes the proof of Proposition 1. \diamond

After Proposition 1, we see that the result is true for $n = 2, 3$. Due to Lemma 1.4 and Proposition 1, the manifold $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^i$ admits a structurally stable diffeomorphism $F_{1i} = (f_1, f_i) : \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^i \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^i$ with a two-dimensional expanding attractor $\Lambda_i^{(2)} = \Lambda \times \Lambda_i^{(1)}$ for any $i \geq 2$ where Λ is a one-dimensional (Plykin's) expanding attractor of $f_1 : \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ while $\Lambda_i^{(2)}$ is a one-dimensional attractor of $f_i : \mathbb{S}^i \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^i$. It follows from Lemma 1.4 that \mathbb{S}^2 (resp., $\Lambda_i^{(2)}$) has an isolated source α of f_1 (resp., α_i of f_i). Therefore, there is a closed 2-disk $D^2 \subset \mathbb{S}^2$ containing Λ such that $f_1(D^2) \subset D^2, \alpha \notin D^2$. Without loss of generality, one can assume that D^2 has a smooth boundary. Similarly, there is a closed i -disk $D^i \subset \mathbb{S}^i$ with a smooth boundary such that $f_i(D^i) \subset D^i, \Lambda_i^{(2)} \subset D^i$, and $\alpha_i \notin D^i$. Hence, $F_{1i}(D^2 \times D^i) \subset D^2 \times D^i$ and $\Lambda_i^{(2)} \subset D^2 \times D^i$. The $(2+i)$ -disk $D^2 \times D^i$ has a smooth boundary. Gluing a $(2+i)$ -disk D^{2+i} along its boundary to $D^2 \times D^i$, one gets \mathbb{S}^{2+i} . Since $F_{1i}(D^2 \times D^i) \subset D^2 \times D^i$, we can extend F_{1i} to \mathbb{S}^{2+i} to get a structurally stable diffeomorphism $F_i : \mathbb{S}^{2+i} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2+i}$ with an isolated source in D^{2+i} . By construction, F_i has the two-dimensional expanding attractor $\Lambda_i^{(2)}, i \geq 2$. We see that every $\mathbb{S}^n, n \geq 4$, admits a structurally stable diffeomorphism with a two-dimensional expanding attractor. Thus for $n = 4, 5$, the result is true. This follows that $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^i$ admits a structurally stable diffeomorphism with a three-dimensional expanding attractor for any $i \geq 4$. Similarly, one can prove that $\mathbb{S}^n, n \geq 5$, admits a structurally stable diffeomorphism with a three-dimensional expanding attractor (so, the result is true for $n = 6, 7$). Continuing by this way, one get the completes proof. \square

Remark. By construction, f has an isolated source.

Proof of Theorem 2. If $d = n - 1$, then f is a DA-diffeomorphism, see Lemma 1.2. Suppose $d+1 < n$ and $n \geq 3$. Let $f_1 : \mathbb{T}^{d+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{d+1}$ be a DA-diffeomorphism with d -dimensional orientable expanding attractor Λ_a . Due to Lemma 1.1, there is a gradient-like Morse-Smale diffeomorphism $f_2 : \mathbb{T}^{n-d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{n-d-1}$ with a sink, say ω . It follows from Corollary 1.1 that

$$F_{12} = (f_1, f_2) : \mathbb{T}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{T}^{n-d-1} = \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{T}^{n-d-1} = \mathbb{T}^n$$

is a structurally stable diffeomorphism with the d -dimensional orientable expanding attractor $\Lambda \times \{\omega\}$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3. Take a closed n -manifold M^n , $n \geq 2$. According Lemma 1.1, M^n admits a gradient-like Morse-Smale diffeomorphism $\psi : M^n \rightarrow M^n$ with an isolated sink ω . Fix some $d \in \{1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor\}$. Due to Theorem 1, n -sphere \mathbb{S}^n admits a structurally stable diffeomorphism $f : \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ with a d -dimensional non-orientable expanding attractor Λ_a . By construction, f has an isolated source α (see Remark 1). Let $B_\omega \subset M^n$ be an n -ball containing ω such that $\psi(B_\omega) \subset B_\omega$. Let $B_\alpha \subset \mathbb{S}^n$ be an n -ball containing α such that $f^{-1}(B_\alpha) \subset B_\alpha$ and B_α does not contain any non-wandering points of f except α . Let $M^n \sharp \mathbb{S}^n$ be a connected sum obtained from $M^n \setminus B_\omega$ and $\mathbb{S}^n \setminus B_\alpha$ after identifying along the boundary components $\partial B_\omega, \partial B_\alpha$. Since ω is a sink and α is a source, ψ and f induce a A-diffeomorphism $f : M^n \sharp \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow M^n \sharp \mathbb{S}^n$ with the d -dimensional non-orientable expanding attractor Λ_a . Since $M^n \sharp \mathbb{S}^n = M^n$, f is a diffeomorphism desired. \square

Proof of Theorem 4. According Theorem 2, there is a structurally stable diffeomorphism $f : \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$ with a $(d - 1)$ -dimensional orientable expanding attractor. Hence, the dynamical suspension $sus^t(f)$ over f is a structurally stable flow with a d -dimensional expanding attractor, say λ_a . The supporting manifold for $sus^t(f)$ is a mapping torus denoted by M^{d+1} which is a closed $(d + 1)$ -manifold. Due to Whitney's Theorem [33], we can assume that M^{d+1} is smoothly embedded in \mathbb{S}^{2d+2} . A tubular neighborhood $T(M^{d+1})$ of M^{d+1} is a locally trivial bundle with the base M^{d+1} and fiber \mathbb{B}^{d+1} . One can assume that the boundary $\partial(T(M^{d+1}))$ of $T(M^{d+1})$ is a smooth submanifold. Therefore, there is a vector field \vec{v} on $T(M^{d+1})$ which enters transversally through $\partial(T(M^{d+1}))$ in $T(M^{d+1})$ and coincides on M^{d+1} with the vector field induced by the flow $sus^t(f)$. Moreover, one can assume that M^{d+1} is an attracting invariant set of \vec{v} . Thus, λ_a is an expanding attractor of \vec{v} . The Morse theory implies that there is an extension of \vec{v} to a vector field \vec{V} on \mathbb{S}^{2d+2} such that \vec{V} has only isolated hyperbolic fixed points including an isolated hyperbolic source. Due to Smale [31], one can assume that the restriction of \vec{V} on $\mathbb{S}^{2d+2} \setminus T(M^{d+1})$ is a Morse-Smale vector field. Then \vec{V} induces an A-flow φ^t on \mathbb{S}^{2d+2} with the d -dimensional expanding attractor λ_a . This proves Proposition for $n = 2d + 2$. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 1, one can prove the statement desired for any $n \geq 2d + 2$. This completes the proof. \square

Bibliography

- [1] **Anosov D.V.** On a class of invariant sets of smooth dynamical systems. *Proc. Fifth Intern. Conference of Nonlinear Oscillations*. Vol. 2, Mat. Akad Nauk Ukrain. Kiev, **1970**, 39-45 (in Russian). MR 47:8969
- [2] **Aranson S., Belitsky G., Zhuzhoma E.** *Introduction to Qualitative Theory of Dynamical Systems on Closed Surfaces*. Translations of Math. Monographs, Amer. Math. Soc., **153**(1996).
- [3] **Bothe H.** Transversally wild expanding attractors. *Math. Nachr.*, **157**(1992), 25-49.
- [4] **Bowen R.** Periodic points and measures for axiom A diffeomorphisms. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **154**(1971), 337-397.

- [5] **Farrel F.T., Jones L.E.** New attractors in hyperbolic dynamics. *Diff. Geom.*, **15**(1980), 107-133.
- [6] **Farrel F.T., Jones L.E.** Expanding immersions on branched manifolds. *Amer. Journ. Math.*, **103**(1981), no 1, 41-101.
- [7] **Grines V.** On topological conjugacy of diffeomorphisms of a two-dimensional manifold onto one-dimensional orientable basic sets I, II. *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.*, **32**(1975), 31-56; **34**(1977), 237-245.
- [8] **Grines V., Pochinka O., Zhuzhoma E.** On families of diffeomorphisms with bifurcations of attractive and repelling sets. *Intern. Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, **24**(2014), no 8, 1440015 (8 pages).
- [9] **Grines V., Zhuzhoma E.** The topological classification of orientable attractors on an n -dimensional torus. *Russian Math. Surveys* **34**(1979), no 4, 163-164.
- [10] **Grines V., Zhuzhoma E.** On structurally stable diffeomorphisms with codimension one expanding attractors. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **357**(2005), 617-667.
- [11] **Grines V., Zhuzhoma E.** Expanding attractors. *Regular and Chaotic Dynamics*, **11**(2006), no 2, 225-246.
- [12] **Grines V., Zhuzhoma E.** *Surface Laminations and Chaotic Dynamical Systems*. Moscow-Izhevsk, **2021**.
- [13] **Hirsch M.** *Differential Topology*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, **1976**.
- [14] **Hirsch M., Palis J., Pugh C., Shub M.** Neighborhoods of hyperbolic sets. *Invent. Math.* **9**(1970), 121-134.
- [15] **Hirsch M., Pugh C., Shub M.** *Invariant Manifolds* Springer-Verlag (*Lect. Notes Math.*), **1977**.
- [16] **Isaenkova N., Zhuzhoma E.** Classification of one-dimensional expanding attractors. *Math. Notes*, no 3, **86**(2009), 333-341.
- [17] **Jiang B., Ni Y., Wang Sh.** 3-manifolds that admit knotted solenoids as attractors. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **356**(2004), 335-346.
- [18] **Jiming Ma, Bin Yu** The realization of Smale solenoid type attractors in 3-manifolds. *Topology and Applications*, **154**(2007), 3021-3031.
- [19] **Jiming Ma, Bin Yu** Genus two Smale-Williams solenoid attractors in 3-manifolds. *J. Knot Theory and Ramifications*, **20**(2011), no 6, 909-926.
- [20] **Jones L.E.** Locally strange hyperbolic sets. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **275**(1983), no 1, 153-162.
- [21] **Jones L.E.** Anosov diffeomorphisms and expanding immersions. II. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **294**(1986), no 1, 197-216.

- [22] **Mañé R.** A proof of C^1 stability conjecture. *Publ. Math. IHES*, **66**(1988), 161-210.
- [23] **Medvedev V., Zhuzhoma E.** On two-dimensional expanding attractors of A-flows. *Math. Notes*, **107**:5(2020), 824-827.
- [24] **Medvedev V., Zhuzhoma E.** Two-dimensional attractors of A-flows and fibered links on three-manifolds. *Nonlinearity*, **35**(2022), 2192-2205.
- [25] **Plykin R.** Sources and sinks of A-diffeomorphisms of surfaces. *Math. USSR-Sbornik*, **23**(1974), 223-253.
- [26] **Plykin R.V.** On the geometry of hyperbolic attractors of smooth cascades. *Russian Math. Surveys*, **39**(1984), no 6, 85-131.
- [27] **Robinson C.** Structural stability of C^1 diffeomorphisms. *Journ. Diff. Equat.* **22**(1976), no 1, 28-73.
- [28] **Robinson C.** *Dynamical Systems: stability, symbolic dynamics, and chaos*. Studies in Adv. Math., Sec. edition, CRC Press, **1999**.
- [29] **Robinson C., Williams R.** Classification of expanding attractors: an example. *Topology*, **15**(1976), 321-323.
- [30] **Smale S.** Morse inequalities for a dynamical system. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **66**(1960), 43-49.
- [31] **Smale S.** On gradient dynamical systems. *Annals Math.*, **74**(1961), 199-206.
- [32] **Smale S.** Differentiable dynamical systems. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **73**(1967), 741-817.
- [33] **Whitney H.** The self-intersections of a smooth n -manifold in $2n$ -spaces. *Annals Math.*, **45**(1944), no 2, 220-246.
- [34] **Williams R.** Expanding attractors. *Publ. Math. IHES.*, **43**(1974), 169-203.
- [35] **Wiseman J.** Generalized recurrence and the nonwandering set for product. *Topology and Applications*, **219**(2017), 111-121.

E-mail: medvedev-1942@mail.ru

E-mail: zhuzhoma@mail.ru