

EQUIDISTRIBUTION OF GRAPHS OF HOLOMORPHIC CORRESPONDENCES

MUHAN LUO

ABSTRACT. Let X be a compact Riemann surface. Let f be a holomorphic self-correspondence of X with dynamical degrees d_1 and d_2 . Assume that $d_1 \neq d_2$ or f is non-weakly modular. We show that the graphs of the iterates f^n of f are equidistributed exponentially fast with respect to a positive closed current in $X \times X$.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULT

Let X be a compact Riemann surface. Let π_1 and π_2 be the canonical projections from $X \times X$ to its factors. A *holomorphic correspondence* on X is an effective analytic cycle $\Gamma = \sum_i \Gamma_i$ in $X \times X$ of pure dimension one containing no fiber of π_1 or π_2 where all Γ_i are irreducible but not necessarily distinct. Γ determines a multi-valued map f on X : for any $x \in X$, define

$$f(x) := \pi_2(\pi_1^{-1}(x) \cap \Gamma),$$

where the points are counted with multiplicity. We call Γ the *graph* of f . The *dynamical degrees* of f are the degrees of $\pi_1|_\Gamma$ and $\pi_2|_\Gamma$. They are denoted by $d_1(f)$ and $d_2(f)$ respectively. Then $f(x)$ is a set of $d_1(f)$ points counted with multiplicity. The adjoint of f is defined by exchanging π_1 and π_2 :

$$f^{-1}(x) := \pi_1(\pi_2^{-1}(x) \cap \Gamma).$$

We can compose two correspondences and in particular consider the n^{th} iterates f^n of a holomorphic correspondence f (see below for precised definition). Let Γ_n denote the graph of f^n in $X \times X$. Denote by $d_1 = d_1(f)$ and $d_2 = d_2(f)$. The dynamics of f depends on whether d_1 equals to d_2 . In this paper, we focus on two cases. Consider first the case when $d_1 \neq d_2$. We may assume $d_1 < d_2$ since the opposite case can be treated in the same way. A class of polynomial correspondences satisfying this condition is studied in [Din05]. The general case is studied by Dinh-Sibony [DS06]. See also [For96, Sib99] for the case of holomorphic maps. By [DS06], the correspondence f admits an equilibrium probability measure μ such that $f_*\mu = d_2\mu$. We prove the following result for $\Gamma_\infty = \pi_1^*(\mu)$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let f be a holomorphic correspondence on a compact Riemann surface X with dynamical degrees $d_1 < d_2$. Let μ, Γ_n and Γ_∞ be as above. Then for every $\alpha > 0$, there is a constant $0 < \lambda_\alpha < 1$ such that for any test $(1, 1)$ -form β of class C^α on $X \times X$, we have*

$$(1.1) \quad |\langle d_2^{-n}[\Gamma_n] - \Gamma_\infty, \beta \rangle| \leq C_\alpha \|\beta\|_{C^\alpha} \lambda_\alpha^n, \quad \text{for every } n \geq 1,$$

where $C_\alpha > 0$ is a constant independent of n and β .

In the case $d_1 = d_2 = d$, some results on their dynamical behaviours are obtained for the subclass of modular correspondences, see [CU03, Mok02]. In order to study more general cases, Dinh-Kaufmann-Wu [DKW20] introduced the notion of non-weakly

modular correspondences and they discovered two probability measures μ^+ and μ^- on X which are invariant in the sense that

$$f^*(\mu^+) = d\mu^+ \quad \text{and} \quad f_*(\mu^-) = d\mu^-.$$

Exponential mixing properties and equidistribution of the images and pre-images are obtained with respect to μ^\pm . It is also proven in [DKW20] that in this case $d^{-n}[\Gamma_n]$ converges to $\Gamma_\infty = \pi_1^*(\mu^+) + \pi_2^*(\mu^-)$. We prove the following more precised result which is analogous to Theorem 1.1:

Theorem 1.2. *Let f be a non-weakly modular correspondence on a compact Riemann surface X with dynamical degrees $d_1 = d_2 = d$. Let μ^+, μ^-, Γ_n and Γ_∞ be as above. Then for every $\alpha > 0$, there is a constant $0 < \lambda_\alpha < 1$ such that for any test $(1, 1)$ -form β of class C^α on $X \times X$, we have*

$$(1.2) \quad |\langle d^{-n}[\Gamma_n] - \Gamma_\infty, \beta \rangle| \leq C_\alpha \|\beta\|_{C^\alpha} \lambda_\alpha^n, \quad \text{for every } n \geq 1,$$

where $C_\alpha > 0$ is a constant independent of n and β .

Here is the main idea of our proof. First we notice that by interpolation theory, it suffices to prove Theorem 1.1 or 1.2 for forms of class C^5 , i.e. for $\alpha = 5$ (see [Tri78]). After choosing a good atlas, we work in an open chart $U \times U'$ on X^2 with complex coordinate (x, y) , where U and U' are charts on X . In this local setting, we reduce the problem to three cases:

- (1) $\beta = \phi(x, y) dx \wedge d\bar{x}$;
- (2) $\beta = \phi(x, y) dy \wedge d\bar{y}$;
- (3) $\beta = \phi(x, y) dx \wedge d\bar{y}$ or $\beta = \phi(x, y) dy \wedge d\bar{x}$.

To prove Theorem 1.1, Case (1) can be done by direct computation using $d_1 < d_2$. An application of Cauchy-Schwartz inequality then implies Case (3). To deal with Case (2), we use Fourier expansion to write β as linear combinations of $\pi_1^*\varphi_I \wedge \pi_2^*\theta_I$ with controllable error. Here φ_I and θ_I are smooth $(0, 0)$ and $(1, 1)$ -forms on X respectively. To complete the proof, we apply some equidistribution property of functions (Proposition 2.4) to φ_I .

The proof for Theorem 1.2 is analogous. We treat Case (1) and Case (2) in the same way as Case (2) of Theorem 1.1. The key point is still use of an equidistribution property (Proposition 2.3) which is parallel to Proposition 2.4. For Case (3), we use Fourier expansion to reduce the test form to $\pi_1^*\gamma_I \wedge \pi_2^*\omega_I$ where γ_I and ω_I are $(1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$ -forms. For such forms, an application of Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and contraction of the operator $d^{-1}f_*$ over $L^2_{(1,0)}$ (Proposition 2.2) finishes the proof.

Equidistribution of periodic points with respect to an invariant measure is an important question in studying the dynamics of holomorphic correspondences. This is shown in [DNT15] for meromorphic self-maps with dominant dynamical degree, but the proof also works under the condition of Theorem 1.1, see also the survey [DS17]. When f is modular, some results are obtained in [Din13, CO01]. Recently, Matus de la Parra [Mat24] proved equidistribution of periodic points for a class of weakly modular but non-modular correspondences. However, for non-weakly modular correspondences the problem is still open. Periodic points of period n can be identified with the intersection of Γ_n with the diagonal of $X \times X$. Therefore, our Theorem 1.2 suggests that about half of

the periodic points are repelling and equidistributed with respect to μ^+ and half of them are attractive and equidistributed with respect to μ^- .

Finally we note that the main results and their proofs still hold when we use cycles with positive real coefficients to define correspondences.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Background on correspondences. We briefly recall some basic notions related to holomorphic correspondences. Let f and g be two correspondences on X with graphs Γ and Γ' respectively. We consider the product $\Gamma \times \Gamma'$ in $X^4 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) : x_i \in X\}$. Define $\widehat{\Gamma}_{f \circ g}$ as the intersection $(\Gamma \times \Gamma') \cap \{x_2 = x_3\}$. Let $\Pi_{1,4}$ be the canonical projection from X^4 to $X \times X$ which maps (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) to (x_1, x_4) . Then the graph of the composition $f \circ g$ is given by the cycle

$$[\Gamma_{f \circ g}] := (\Pi_{1,4})_*[\widehat{\Gamma}_{f \circ g}].$$

Alternatively, we can define the $f \circ g$ as a multi-valued function whose values are given by

$$f \circ g(x) = \{z \in X : \exists y \in g(x) \text{ such that } z \in f(y)\}.$$

The points are counted with multiplicity. We can see from the definition that composition of holomorphic correspondences is associative and $d_i(f \circ g) = d_i(f) \cdot d_i(g)$ for $i = 1, 2$. This allows us to consider iterates f^n of order n of f and we have $d_i(f^n) = d_i(f)^n$ for every $n \geq 1$.

A correspondence induces a push-forward operator and a pullback operator on currents. When S is a smooth form, a continuous function or a finite measure, we have

$$(2.1) \quad f_*(S) := (\pi_2)_*(\pi_1^*(S) \wedge [\Gamma]) \quad \text{and} \quad f^*(S) := (\pi_1)_*(\pi_2^*(S) \wedge [\Gamma]).$$

When S is a smooth form, $f^*(S)$ and $f_*(S)$ are smooth outside some finite sets. When φ is a continuous function we have $f_*\varphi(y) = \sum_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \varphi(x)$ where the points in $f^{-1}(y)$ are counted with multiplicity. This function is continuous. Therefore by duality, if δ_y is the Dirac measure at y , we have $f^*(\delta_y) = \sum_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \delta_x$. In general, if ν is a probability measure on X , then $f_*\nu$ and $f^*\nu$ are positive measures of mass $d_1(f)$ and $d_2(f)$ respectively.

Action on $L^2_{(1,0)}$ and equidistribution properties. Let $L^2_{(1,0)}$ be the space of $(1,0)$ -forms on X with L^2 coefficients. For each $\gamma \in L^2_{(1,0)}$, its L^2 norm is given by

$$\|\gamma\|_{L^2} = \left(\int_X i\gamma \wedge \bar{\gamma} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Let f be a holomorphic correspondence on X and its dynamical degrees are denoted by d_1 and d_2 . The action of f^* has been defined on smooth $(1,0)$ -forms in (2.1). By extending continuously, we can also define the pullback f^* on $L^2_{(1,0)}$. The norm of f^* is bounded by d_1 (see [DKW20] Proposition 2.1). Therefore when $d_1 < d_2$, the operator $d_2^{-1}f^*$ is contracting and its norm is bounded by $d_1d_2^{-1}$. The situation is more delicate when $d_1 = d_2 = d$. Non-weakly modular correspondences are defined for this case. Their pullback actions on $L^2_{(1,0)}$ are also contracting.

Definition 2.1 ([DKW20], Definition 3.1). A correspondence f on X with dynamical degrees $d_1 = d_2 = d$ is called *non-weakly modular of degree d* if there does not exist a positive measure m on its graph Γ and probability measures m_1 and m_2 on X such that $m = (\pi_1|_\Gamma)^*(m_1)$ and $m = (\pi_2|_\Gamma)^*(m_2)$.

The results are summarized in the following:

Proposition 2.2 ([DKW20], Proposition 3.3). *Let f be a non-weakly modular holomorphic correspondence of degree d on a compact Riemann surface X . Consider the operators $d^{-1}f^*$ and $d^{-1}f_*$ acting on $L^2_{(1,0)}$. Then there is a constant $0 < \lambda < 1$ such that $\|d^{-1}f^*\| < \lambda$ and $\|d^{-1}f_*\| < \lambda$.*

The above proposition allows the authors in [DKW20] to construct the canonical invariant measures which are mentioned in the introduction. In particular, they obtain an equidistribution property for certain class of functions with respect to these measures.

Proposition 2.3 ([DKW20], Proposition 3.5). *Let f be a non-weakly modular correspondence of degree d on a compact Riemann surface X . Let μ^+ and μ^- be as in Theorem 1.2 and λ be as in Proposition 2.2. Then for every C^1 function ψ and every $n \geq 1$ we have*

$$\|d^{-n}(f^n)_*\psi - \langle \mu^+, \psi \rangle\|_{L^1} \leq A\lambda^n \|\psi\|_{C^1}$$

where $A > 0$ is independent of n and ψ . The same holds for f^* and μ^- .

The following similar result is obtained in the proof of [DS06, Theorem 5.1] when f has distinct dynamical degrees.

Proposition 2.4. *Let f be a holomorphic correspondence on a compact Riemann surface X with dynamical degrees $d_1 < d_2$. Let μ be as in Theorem 1.1. Then for every C^2 function φ and every $n \geq 1$ we have*

$$\|d_2^{-n}(f^n)_*\varphi - \langle \mu, \varphi \rangle\|_{L^1} \leq A_0\lambda_0^n \|\varphi\|_{C^2}$$

where $\lambda_0 = d_1d_2^{-1} < 1$ and $A_0 > 0$ is a constant independent of n and φ .

Fourier expansion of periodic functions on \mathbb{R}^4 . In order to apply the previous results to prove our main theorem, we use Fourier expansion to separate the variables. We review some Fourier analysis on \mathbb{R}^4 that will be used for X^2 . All the proofs of the results can be found in classical textbooks, for example [SS11]. Let $(x, y) = (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^4$ and $\phi = \phi(x, y)$ be a function of class C^k on \mathbb{R}^4 with $k \geq 1$ which is periodic of period 1 in each variable. For any $I \in \mathbb{Z}^4$, define $I \cdot (x, y) = I_1x_1 + I_2x_2 + I_3y_1 + I_4y_2$. Then by classical Fourier analysis, we have

$$(2.2) \quad \phi(x, y) = \sum_{I \in \mathbb{Z}^4} a_I e^{2\pi i I \cdot (x, y)}$$

where the constants a_I are given by

$$a_I = \int_{[0,1]^4} \phi(x, y) e^{-2\pi i I \cdot (x, y)} dx dy.$$

For simplicity, we may assume $\|\phi\|_{C^k} \leq 1$. Then a priori $|a_I| \leq 1$ for all I . Define $|I| := \max_{1 \leq i \leq 4} \{|I_i|\}$. The speed of decay of the coefficients a_I as $|I|$ tends to infinity

is connected with the regularity of ϕ . When $k = 1$ and suppose $|I| = |I_1| > 0$, using integration by parts we have

$$|a_I| = \left| \int_{[0,1]^4} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_1} \frac{e^{2\pi i I \cdot (x,y)}}{2\pi i I_1} dx dy \right| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi |I_1|} < \frac{1}{|I|}.$$

By induction, we can prove that when ϕ is \mathcal{C}^k for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, for any $I \neq 0$ we have

$$(2.3) \quad |a_I| \leq \frac{1}{|I|^k}.$$

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREMS

Let f be a holomorphic correspondence on a compact Riemann surface X and its dynamical degrees are denoted by d_1 and d_2 . We are in one of the two cases:

- (I) $d_1 < d_2$ and $\Gamma_\infty = \pi_1^*(\mu)$;
- (II) f is non-weakly modular of degree d and $\Gamma_\infty = \pi_1^*(\mu^+) + \pi_2^*(\mu^-)$.

As we have seen, these two cases share some similarities in their dynamical behaviours. Therefore we will prove the main theorems in a unified way.

Preliminary settings. Fix a Kähler form ω of X with $\int_X \omega = 1$. Then $\Omega = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\pi_1^*\omega + \pi_2^*\omega)$ is a Kähler form of $X \times X$ with $\int_{X \times X} \Omega^2 = 1$. Let Γ_n be the graph of f^n on $X \times X$. Note that for all $n \geq 1$ and $i = 1$ or 2 , $\pi_i|_{\Gamma_n}$ is a ramified covering of X and the ramification points are of Lebesgue measure zero. Therefore for any smooth (1,1)-form β on $X \times X$,

$$(3.1) \quad \langle [\Gamma_n], \beta \rangle = \langle [X], (\pi_i|_{\Gamma_n})_* \beta \rangle = \int_X (\pi_i|_{\Gamma_n})_* \beta, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Recall that the mass of a positive closed (1,1)-current T on $X \times X$ is given by $\|T\| := \langle T, \Omega \rangle$. Therefore,

$$\|[\Gamma_n]\| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_X (f^n)_* \omega + (f^n)^* \omega = \frac{d_1^n + d_2^n}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

Since in both cases $d_1 \leq d_2$, we have $\|d_2^{-n}[\Gamma_n]\| < 2$ for all $n \geq 1$. On the other hand, for any probability measure ν on X , it's easy to compute that

$$\langle \pi_1^*(\nu), \Omega \rangle = \langle \pi_2^*(\nu), \Omega \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

Therefore in either case $\|\Gamma_\infty\| < 2$. Define

$$(3.2) \quad T_n := d_2^{-n}[\Gamma_n] - \Gamma_\infty.$$

In both cases we have $\|T_n\| \leq 4$.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 hold for $\alpha = 5$. Then they hold for all $\alpha > 0$.*

Proof. When $\alpha > 5$, the result directly follows from the assumption. Suppose $0 < \alpha < 5$. For each $l \geq 0$, let \mathcal{E}^l be the space of (1,1)-forms of class \mathcal{C}^l on X with the usual \mathcal{C}^l -norm. Fix $n \geq 1$. For each $l \geq 0$, let $\|T_n\|_{\mathcal{C}^{-l}}$ be the norm of T_n as a continuous linear functional on \mathcal{E}^l , i.e.,

$$\|T_n\|_{\mathcal{C}^{-l}} := \sup_{\substack{\beta \in \mathcal{E}^l \\ \|\beta\|_{\mathcal{C}^l} \leq 1}} \langle T_n, \beta \rangle.$$

In either case, our assumption implies

$$\|T_n\|_{C^{-5}} \leq C_5 \lambda_5^n.$$

On the other hand, we also have $\|T_n\|_{C^0} \leq 4$. By interpolation theory (see [Tri78]), we obtain for each $0 < \alpha < 5$,

$$\|T_n\|_{C^{-\alpha}} \leq C_\alpha \lambda_\alpha^n$$

for some $0 < \lambda_\alpha < 1$ and $C_\alpha > 0$ depending on α . This directly implies Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 for all $\alpha > 0$. \square

From now on we take $\alpha = 5$. Denote by $\mathbb{U} = (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$ and $\mathbb{U}_0 = (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}) \times (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4})$ two open squares in $\mathbb{R}^2 \simeq \mathbb{C}$. We fix a finite atlas \mathcal{U} of X which satisfies the following: for any coordinate chart $U \in \mathcal{U}$ with a diffeomorphism $\tau_U : U \rightarrow \tau_U(U) \subset \mathbb{C}$, the image $\tau_U(U)$ contains \mathbb{U} and $\{\tau_U^{-1}(\mathbb{U}_0)\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$ is an open cover of X . Then \mathcal{U} induces an atlas of $X \times X$ where the coordinate charts are given by $U \times U'$ along with a diffeomorphism $\tau_{U,U'} := \tau_U \times \tau_{U'}$ from $U \times U'$ to an open subset in \mathbb{C}^2 . They satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) $\mathbb{U}^2 \subset \tau_{U,U'}(U \times U')$;
- (ii) $\{\tau_{U,U'}^{-1}(\mathbb{U}_0^2)\}_{U,U' \in \mathcal{U}}$ is a cover of $X \times X$.

Therefore using a fixed partition of unity we may assume $\text{supp}(\beta) \subset \tau_{U,U'}^{-1}(\mathbb{U}_0^2)$ for some U and U' as above. We will identify $U \times U'$ with $\tau_{U,U'}(U \times U')$ and use standard complex coordinates (x, y) on \mathbb{C}^2 . It suffices to prove the theorems for the following three cases:

- (1) $\beta = \phi(x, y) dx \wedge d\bar{x}$;
- (2) $\beta = \phi(x, y) dy \wedge d\bar{y}$;
- (3) $\beta = \phi(x, y) dx \wedge d\bar{y}$ or $\beta = \phi(x, y) dy \wedge d\bar{x}$

where ϕ is a C^5 function on \mathbb{C}^2 supported by \mathbb{U}_0^2 with $\|\phi\|_{C^5} \leq 1$.

Separating variables of ϕ . Since $\text{supp}(\phi) \subset \mathbb{U}_0^2$, we can extend ϕ to be a periodic function $\tilde{\phi}$ on \mathbb{R}^4 of period 1 by defining

$$\tilde{\phi}(x + z_1, y + z_2) = \phi(x, y) \quad \text{for any } x, y \in \mathbb{U} \text{ and } z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

Then $\tilde{\phi}$ is C^5 and $\|\tilde{\phi}\|_{C^k} = \|\phi\|_{C^k} \leq 1$ for any $k \leq 5$. Let $x = x_1 + ix_2$ and $y = y_1 + iy_2$. The Fourier expansion of $\tilde{\phi}$ is given by

$$\tilde{\phi}(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) = \sum_I a_I e^{2\pi i I \cdot (x, y)}$$

where $I = (I_1, I_2, I_3, I_4) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$. Recall that we define $|I| = \max_{i=1,2,3,4} \{|I_i|\}$. Then as in (2.3) we have

$$(3.3) \quad |a_I| \leq \frac{1}{|I|^k}$$

for all $I \neq 0$ and $0 \leq k \leq 5$. Moreover, $|a_I| \leq 1$ for all I . For a large integer number N whose value will be specified later, we define the truncation $\tilde{\phi}_N = \sum_{|I| \leq N} a_I e^{2\pi i I \cdot (x, y)}$. Then we take $k = 5$ in (3.3) and obtain that

$$(3.4) \quad \|\tilde{\phi} - \tilde{\phi}_N\|_{C^0} \leq \sum_{|I| > N} |a_I| \leq \sum_{|I| > N} \frac{1}{|I|^5}.$$

To calculate the last sum, we notice that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the number of all I such that $|I| \leq m$ is $(2m + 1)^4$. The number of all I such that $|I| = m$ is thus given by $(2m + 1)^4 - (2m - 1)^4 \leq 80m^3$. Therefore,

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{|I| > N} \frac{1}{|I|^5} \leq \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^5} \cdot 80m^3 \leq 80 \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^2} \leq \frac{80}{N}.$$

Let $\chi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth cut-off function \mathbb{C} which is supported on \mathbb{U} and equals to 1 in a neighborhood of \mathbb{U}_0 and moreover $\|\chi\|_{C^2} \leq 10$. Denote by $\tilde{\chi}(x, y) = \chi(x)\chi(y)$ which is a smooth function supported on \mathbb{U}^2 and equals to 1 on \mathbb{U}_0^2 . Then $\phi = \phi\chi = \tilde{\phi}\tilde{\chi}$.

End of the proof of Theorem 1.1. Recall that $d_1 < d_2$ and $\Gamma_\infty = \pi_1^*(\mu)$. Denote by $\lambda_0 = d_1 d_2^{-1} < 1$.

Case (1): Suppose $\beta = \phi(x, y) dx \wedge d\bar{x}$. Then $\langle \Gamma_\infty, \beta \rangle = \langle \mu, (\pi_1)_*\beta \rangle = 0$. It remains to prove $\langle d_2^{-n}[\Gamma_n], \beta \rangle$ goes to zero exponentially fast. Since $(\pi_1|_{\Gamma_n})_*\beta = \sum_{y \in f^n(x)} \phi(x, y) dx \wedge d\bar{x}$, by definition of d_1 we have $\|(\pi_1|_{\Gamma_n})_*\beta\|_{C^0} \leq d_1^n$. Therefore using (3.1), we obtain

$$|\langle d_2^{-n}[\Gamma_n], \beta \rangle| = \left| d_2^{-n} \int_X (\pi_1|_{\Gamma_n})_*\beta \right| \leq A_1 \lambda_0^n$$

where $A_1 > 0$ is a constant independent of n and ϕ .

Case (2): Suppose $\beta = \phi(x, y) dx \wedge d\bar{y}$. The proof is similar when $\beta = \phi(x, y) dy \wedge d\bar{x}$. It's still true that $\langle \Gamma_\infty, \beta \rangle = 0$. Recall that χ is a smooth function on X such that $\phi = \phi\chi$, so we can write $\beta = \phi(x, y) dx \wedge \chi(y) d\bar{y}$. By Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and Case (1), we have

$$|\langle d_2^{-n}[\Gamma_n], \beta \rangle|^2 \leq \langle d_2^{-n}[\Gamma_n], |\phi|^2 dx \wedge d\bar{x} \rangle \langle d_2^{-n}[\Gamma_n], \chi^2 dy \wedge d\bar{y} \rangle \leq A_2 \lambda_0^n$$

where $A_2 > 0$ is a constant independent of n and ϕ .

Case (3): Consider $\beta = \phi(x, y) dy \wedge d\bar{y}$. Define $T_n = d_2^{-n}[\Gamma_n] - \Gamma_\infty$ as in (3.2) and recall that $\phi = \tilde{\phi}\tilde{\chi}$. For a fixed n we can divide the term under consideration into two parts:

$$(3.6) \quad \langle T_n, \phi(x, y) dy \wedge d\bar{y} \rangle = \langle T_n, (\tilde{\phi} - \tilde{\phi}_N)\tilde{\chi} dy \wedge d\bar{y} \rangle + \langle T_n, \tilde{\phi}_N\tilde{\chi} dy \wedge d\bar{y} \rangle.$$

Since $\|T_n\| \leq 4$, the first term on the right hand side can be controlled by (3.4) and (3.5):

$$|\langle T_n, (\tilde{\phi} - \tilde{\phi}_N)\tilde{\chi} dy \wedge d\bar{y} \rangle| \leq 4\|\tilde{\phi} - \tilde{\phi}_N\|_{C^0} \leq \frac{320}{N}.$$

For each $|I| \leq N$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_I(x) &= e^{2\pi i(I_1 x_1 + I_2 x_2)} \chi(x), \\ \theta_I(y) &= e^{2\pi i(I_3 y_1 + I_4 y_2)} \chi(y) dy \wedge d\bar{y}. \end{aligned}$$

Then φ_I is a smooth function with $\|\varphi_I\|_{C^2} \leq 120N^2$ and also note that $\|\theta_I\|_{C^0} \leq 1$. Moreover,

$$\langle d_2^{-n}[\Gamma_n], \tilde{\phi}_N\tilde{\chi} dy \wedge d\bar{y} \rangle = \sum_{|I| \leq N} \langle d_2^{-n}[\Gamma_n], a_I \pi_1^* \varphi_I \wedge \pi_2^* \theta_I \rangle.$$

Using (3.1), we have

$$\langle [\Gamma_n], \pi_1^* \varphi_I \wedge \pi_2^* \theta_I \rangle = \int_X (\pi_2|_{\Gamma_n})_*(\pi_1^* \varphi_I \wedge \pi_2^* \theta_I) = \langle (f^n)_* \varphi_I, \theta_I \rangle.$$

On the other hand, we also have

$$(3.7) \quad \langle \Gamma_\infty, \pi_1^* \varphi_I \wedge \pi_2^* \theta_I \rangle = \langle \mu, \varphi_I \rangle \int_X \theta_I.$$

Note that the number of all $I \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ with $|I| \leq N$ is bounded by $90N^4$. Recall that $|a_I| \leq 1$ for all I . By Proposition 2.4, we obtain an estimate for the second term of (3.6):

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} |\langle T_n, \tilde{\phi}_N \tilde{\chi} \, d y \wedge d \bar{y} \rangle| &\leq \sum_{|I| \leq N} |\langle T_n, a_I \pi_1^* \varphi_I \wedge \pi_2^* \theta_I \rangle| \\ &= \sum_{|I| \leq N} \left| a_I \int_X [d_2^{-n}(f^n)_* \varphi_I - \langle \mu, \varphi_I \rangle] \theta_I \right| \leq A_3 N^6 \lambda_0^n \end{aligned}$$

where $A_3 > 0$ is independent of n, N and ϕ . Altogether we have

$$|\langle T_n, \phi(x, y) \, d y \wedge d \bar{y} \rangle| \leq A_4 \left(N^6 \lambda_0^n + \frac{1}{N} \right)$$

where $A_4 = \max\{320, A_3\}$. This is true for all N . In order to get the final result, we choose $N = \lceil \lambda_0^{-n/12} \rceil$ and deduce that $|\langle T_n, \phi(x, y) \, d x \wedge d \bar{x} \rangle| \leq C \lambda_0^{n/12}$ for some $C > 0$. \square

Remark 3.2. Note that using similar argument we can extend this theorem to the case of high dimensions when the last dynamical degree is strictly larger than the other dynamical degrees.

End of the proof of Theorem 1.2. Now f is non-weakly modular with dynamical degrees $d_1 = d_2 = d$ and $\Gamma_\infty = \pi_1^*(\mu^+) + \pi_2^*(\mu^-)$.

Cases (1) & (2): In this setting, Cases (1) and (2) can be treated in the same way. For simplicity, we prove the case when $\beta = \phi(x, y) \, d y \wedge d \bar{y}$. We follow the same lines as Case (3) in the proof of Theorem 1.1 except some minor differences which we point out here. Different from (3.7), in the present case we shall have

$$\langle \Gamma_\infty, \pi_1^* \varphi_I \wedge \pi_2^* \theta_I \rangle = \langle \mu^+, \varphi_I \rangle \int_X \theta_I.$$

As before, let $T_n = d^{-n}[\Gamma_n] - \Gamma_\infty$. The following is analogous to (3.8) except that we use Proposition 2.3 instead to get the last inequality:

$$|\langle T_n, \tilde{\phi}_N \tilde{\chi} \, d y \wedge d \bar{y} \rangle| \leq \sum_{|I| \leq N} \left| a_I \int_X [d^{-n}(f^n)_* \varphi_I - \langle \mu^+, \varphi_I \rangle] \theta_I \right| \leq A_5 N^6 \lambda^n$$

where $A_5 > 0$ is independent of n, N and ϕ . The rest is the same.

Case (3): We prove the last case when $\beta = \phi(x, y) \, d x \wedge d \bar{y}$ and note that the proof is analogous when $\beta = \phi(x, y) \, d y \wedge d \bar{x}$. In this case, we always have

$$\langle \Gamma_\infty, \beta \rangle = \langle \mu^+, (\pi_1)_* \beta \rangle + \langle \mu^-, (\pi_2)_* \beta \rangle = 0.$$

Therefore it suffices to prove $\langle d^{-n}[\Gamma_n], \beta \rangle$ goes to zero exponentially fast. Similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1, we divide this term into two parts:

$$\langle d^{-n}[\Gamma_n], \phi(x, y) \, d x \wedge d \bar{y} \rangle = \langle d^{-n}[\Gamma_n], (\tilde{\phi} - \tilde{\phi}_N) \tilde{\chi} \, d x \wedge d \bar{y} \rangle + \langle d^{-n}[\Gamma_n], \tilde{\phi}_N \tilde{\chi} \, d x \wedge d \bar{y} \rangle.$$

Recall that $\|d^{-n}[\Gamma_n]\| \leq 2$. Again we use (3.4) and (3.5) to have

$$|\langle d^{-n}[\Gamma_n], (\tilde{\phi} - \tilde{\phi}_N) \tilde{\chi} \, d x \wedge d \bar{y} \rangle| \leq 2 \|\tilde{\phi} - \tilde{\phi}_N\|_{C^0} \leq \frac{160}{N}.$$

To bound the second term, for each I define

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_I(x) &= e^{2\pi i(I_1 x_1 + I_2 x_2)} \chi(x) \, dx; \\ \omega_I(y) &= e^{2\pi i(I_3 y_1 + I_4 y_2)} \chi(y) \, d\bar{y}.\end{aligned}$$

Then $\|\gamma_I\|_{C^0} \leq 1$ and $\|\omega_I\|_{C^0} \leq 1$. By Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\langle d^{-n}[\Gamma_n], \pi_1^* \gamma_I \wedge \pi_2^* \omega_I \rangle| &= \left| \int_X d^{-n}(f^n)_* \gamma_I \wedge \omega_I \right| \\ &\leq \|\omega_I\|_{L^2} \|d^{-n}(f^n)_*(\gamma_I)\|_{L^2} \leq A_6 \lambda^n\end{aligned}$$

where A_6 is independent of n , γ_I and ω_I . As in (3.8), we deduce that

$$|\langle d^{-n}[\Gamma_n], \tilde{\phi}_N \tilde{\chi} \, dx \wedge d\bar{y} \rangle| \leq \sum_{|I| \leq N} |a_I| \lambda^n \leq 90 A_6 N^4 \lambda^n.$$

Therefore,

$$|\langle d^{-n}[\Gamma_n], \phi(x, y) \, dx \wedge d\bar{y} \rangle| \leq A_7 \left(N^4 \lambda^n + \frac{1}{N} \right).$$

where $A_7 = \max\{160, 90A_6\}$. Choose $N = \lceil \lambda^{-n/8} \rceil$ and deduce that $|\langle d^{-n}[\Gamma_n], \phi(x, y) \, dx \wedge d\bar{y} \rangle| \leq C \lambda^{n/8}$ for some $C > 0$. \square

REFERENCES

- [CO01] Laurent Clozel and Jean-Pierre Otal. Unique ergodicité des correspondances modulaires. In *Essays on geometry and related topics, Vol. 1, 2*, volume 38 of *Monogr. Enseign. Math.*, pages 205–216. Enseignement Math., Geneva, 2001.
- [CU03] Laurent Clozel and Emmanuel Ullmo. Correspondances modulaires et mesures invariantes. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 558:47–83, 2003.
- [Din05] Tien-Cuong Dinh. Distribution des préimages et des points périodiques d’une correspondance polynomiale. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 133(3):363–394, 2005.
- [Din13] Tien-Cuong Dinh. Equidistribution of periodic points for modular correspondences. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 23(3):1189–1195, 2013.
- [DKW20] Tien-Cuong Dinh, Lucas Kaufmann and Hao Wu. Dynamics of holomorphic correspondences on Riemann surfaces. *Internet. J. Math.*, 31(05):2050036, 2020.
- [DNT15] Tien-Cuong Dinh, Việt-Anh Nguyễn and Tuyen Trung Truong. Equidistribution for meromorphic maps with dominant topological degree. *Indiana J. Math.*, 64(6):1805–1828, 2015.
- [DS06] Tien-Cuong Dinh and Nessim Sibony. Distribution des valeurs de transformations méromorphes et applications. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 81(1):221–258, 2006.
- [DS17] Tien-Cuong Dinh and Nessim Sibony. Equidistribution problems in complex dynamics of higher dimension. *Internet. J. Math.*, 28(07):1750057, 2017.
- [For96] John Erik Fornæss. *Dynamics in several complex variables*, volume 87 of *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.

- [Mat24] Vanessa Matus de la Parra. Equidistribution for matings of quadratic maps with the modular group. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 44(3):859–887, 2024
- [Mok02] Ngaiming Mok. Local holomorphic isometric embeddings arising from correspondences in the rank-1 case. In *Contemporary trends in algebraic geometry and algebraic topology (Tianjin, 2000)*, volume 5 of *Nankai Tracts Math.*, pages 155–165. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2002.
- [Sib99] Nessim Sibony. Dynamique des applications rationnelles de \mathbb{P}^k . In *Dynamique et géométrie complexes (Lyon, 1997)*, volume 8 of *Panor. Synthèses*, pages ix–x, xi–xii, 97–185. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1999.
- [SS11] Elias M. Stein and Rami Shakarchi. *Fourier analysis: an introduction*. Vol. 1. Princeton University Press, 2011.
- [Tri78] Hans Triebel. *Interpolation theory, function spaces, differential operators*, volume 18 of *North-Holland Mathematical Library*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York, 1978.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE - 10, LOWER KENT RIDGE ROAD
- SINGAPORE 119076
Email address: e0708207@u.nus.edu