

BLOCK DECOMPOSITIONS FOR p -ADIC CLASSICAL GROUPS AND THEIR INNER FORMS

DAVID HELM, ROBERT KURINCZUK, DANIEL SKODLERACK, AND SHAUN STEVENS

ABSTRACT. For an inner form G of a general linear group or classical group over a non-archimedean local field of odd residue characteristic, we decompose the category of smooth representations on $\mathbb{Z}[1/p, \mu_{p^\infty}]$ -modules by endo-parameter. We prove that parabolic induction preserves these decompositions, and hence that it preserves endo-parameters. Moreover, we show that the decomposition by endo-parameter is the $\overline{\mathbb{Z}[1/p]}$ -block decomposition; and, for R an integral domain, introduce a graph whose connected components parameterize the R -blocks, in particular including the cases $R = \overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$ and $R = \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ for $\ell \neq p$. From our description, we deduce that the $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$ -blocks and $\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ -blocks of G are in natural bijection, as had long been expected. Our methods also apply to the trivial endo-parameter (i.e., the depth zero subcategory) of any connected reductive p -adic group, providing an alternative approach to results of Dat and Lanard in depth zero. Finally, under a technical assumption (known for inner forms of general linear groups) we reduce the R -block decomposition of G to depth zero.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	5
3. Endo-parameters	12
4. m -realizations of endo-parameters	17
5. Heisenberg representations	20
6. Endo-splitting	25
7. Beta extensions and types for Bernstein blocks	28
8. Block decompositions via type theory	34
9. Interpretation in terms of Langlands parameters	40
Appendix A. Elementary characters	43
Appendix B. Semisimple characters for inner forms of general linear groups	44
Appendix C. Compact subgroups adapted to Levi subgroups of G .	48
References	52

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. In [5], Bernstein shows that the abelian category $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ of smooth representations on complex vector spaces of a p -adic connected reductive group G decomposes as a direct product $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(G) = \prod \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{s})$, of full abelian indecomposable subcategories $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{s})$ indexed by inertial classes of supercuspidal representations of Levi subgroups of G . If we replace \mathbb{C} with an arbitrary algebraically closed field of characteristic $\ell \neq p$ and consider ℓ -modular representations of G , or more ambitiously consider the category $\text{Rep}_R(G)$ representations of G over an arbitrary integral domain R in which p is invertible, such a decomposition remains unknown.

This is the p -adic analogue of Brauer's theory of blocks in the modular representation theory of finite reductive groups, which has found numerous applications in finite group theory, and can be

Date: May 24, 2024.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22E50; 11F70.

difficult to compute as soon as the order of the finite group is not invertible in R (and is simple when $R = \mathbb{C}$ with each irreducible representation contributing a block).

The blocks of $\text{Rep}_R(G)$ correspond bijectively with the primitive idempotents of the centre $\mathfrak{Z}_R(G)$ of the category. There are homomorphisms $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/p]}(G) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_K(G)$ for K any algebraically closed field of characteristic different to p . As such, the integral centre $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/p]}(G)$ and its idempotents glue together information on the \mathbb{C} -theory and the ℓ -modular theory for $\ell \neq p$.

1.2. Recently, there has been renewed interest in computing block decompositions of $\text{Rep}_R(G)$, the category of smooth representations on R -modules for arbitrary $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -algebras R , partly motivated by conjectured categorical forms of the local Langlands correspondence and local Langlands in families, see for example [30] and [24].

In the following cases, complete block decompositions are known:

- (i) $\text{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell}(\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}))$ due to Vignéras [63]; and $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{W}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)}(\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}))$ due to the first author [33].
- (ii) $\text{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell}(\text{GL}_m(D))$, where D is an \mathbb{F} -central division algebra, due to Sécherre and the fourth author [51].
- (iii) $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/N_G]}(G)$ – the *banal case* – due to Dat, Moss and the first and second authors [24], where N_G is the product of all primes which divide the pro-order of a compact open subgroup of G .

However all of these results rely on the *uniqueness of supercuspidal support* of an irreducible representation of a (p -adic or finite) group on an $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell$ -vector space in the various special cases. Along similar lines, Cui shows uniqueness of supercuspidal support for $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ [19], and gives a fine decomposition of $\text{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell}(\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}))$, which on the supercuspidal subcategory $\text{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell}(\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}))^{sc}$ she refines to the block decomposition [17], and recently in the tame case [18] to the block decomposition.

For symplectic p -adic groups, however, uniqueness of (mod ℓ) supercuspidal support fails in general, a counterexample for $\text{Sp}_8(\mathbb{F}_q)$ when $\ell \mid q^2 + 1$ was given by Dudas [28] which was lifted to a counterexample for p -adic $\text{Sp}_8(\mathbb{F})$ by Dat in [22]. Conversely, for a p -adic classical group, in the special case where one has uniqueness of supercuspidal support in associated finite classical groups, to follow the approach taken in [39] to try to lift this uniqueness to the p -adic classical group one needs to start that “endo-parameters are compatible with parabolic induction”, a consequence of the first main theorem of this paper.

1.3. Partial decompositions of the category have been given in other cases: Let R be a $\mathbb{Z}[\mu_{p^\infty}, 1/p]$ -algebra and G be a connected reductive p -adic group. In [62, I 5.8] and [26, Appendix], Vignéras and Dat prove that there is a decomposition of $\text{Rep}_R(G)$ as a direct product of full subcategories

$$\text{Rep}_R(G) = \prod_{r \in \mathbb{Q}} \text{Rep}_{R,r}(G),$$

according to the *depth* of a representation.

The depth zero factor has been further studied: Using Deligne–Lusztig theory, Lanard has produced fine decompositions of the full abelian subcategory $\text{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell,0}(G)$ of depth zero representations [40, 41] on $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell$ -modules, and in many cases Dat and Lanard [27] have shown that $\text{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}[1/p],0}(G)$ is a $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}[1/p]$ -block. As one might expect and our results show, the case of positive depth is more complex; for example, the depth r factor $\text{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}[1/p],r}(G)$ is far from a $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}[1/p]$ -block. However, granted the finer decomposition by “endo-parameter” we find for inner forms of general linear and classical groups, one might hope for a reduction to depth zero showing an arbitrary $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}[1/p]$ -block parameterized by an endo-parameter is equivalent (as categories) to a depth zero block in a related group – see Conjecture 8.14 for a precise conjecture which is known (at least with $R = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell$) for inner forms of general linear groups by Chinello [15]. This conjecture should be compatible with a “reduction to the tame case” via the local Langlands programme, and makes explicit on the representation theory side conjectures of Dat [21].

1.4. Let R be a $\mathbb{Z}[\mu_{p^\infty}, 1/p]$ -algebra, and G an inner form of a p -adic general linear group of a classical p -adic group (symplectic, special orthogonal, or unitary) with $p \neq 2$.

From the constructions of [37] and [54], we have a fine invariant of an R -representation called its *endo-parameter*; in positive depth this is a sophisticated refinement of the depth of an R -representation. Our first main result then computes the $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}[1/p]$ -block decomposition for inner forms of classical groups:

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 6.10, Corollary 8.11). *Let R be a $\mathbb{Z}[\mu_{p^\infty}, 1/p]$ -algebra, and G be an inner form of a p -adic general linear group (for any p), or of a p -adic classical group with p not 2. We have a decomposition of categories*

$$\mathrm{Rep}_R(G) = \prod_{\mathfrak{t}} \mathrm{Rep}_R(\mathfrak{t})$$

where the product is taken over all endo-parameters for G and $\mathrm{Rep}_R(\mathfrak{t})$ denotes the full subcategory of representations all of whose irreducible subquotients have endo-parameter \mathfrak{t} . Moreover:

- (i) This decomposition is the $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}[1/p]$ -block decomposition;
- (ii) The compactly induced representation $P(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes R$ (of Definition 6.9) is a finitely generated projective generator of $\mathrm{Rep}_R(\mathfrak{t})$;
- (iii) Parabolic induction and restriction are compatible with these decompositions.

1.5. Our proof of the decomposition $\mathrm{Rep}_R(G) = \prod_{\mathfrak{t}} \mathrm{Rep}_R(\mathfrak{t})$ is loosely based on the proof of the decomposition by depth, and requires us to establish certain rigidity properties of semisimple characters (in particular we introduce and study “ m -realizations” of endo-parameters in Section 4) and to extend intertwining computations and the construction of Heisenberg representations associated to semisimple characters to the setting of arbitrary $\mathbb{Z}[\mu_{p^\infty}, 1/p]$ -algebras. This is accomplished in Section 5. Working in the setting of an $R_{0,r} = \mathbb{Z}[\mu_{p^r}, 1/p]$ algebra R (for some fixed r), it is possible to approach certain properties (such as exhausting the category by semisimple characters) with our global coefficient ring R locally by studying representations with coefficients over the localizations of R . Of course, one can have non-isomorphic modules over a global ring with everywhere isomorphic localizations, so one has to proceed with due care, and we recall or establish the basic global–local (coefficients) representation theory needed for our applications in Section 2.2.

1.6. Given the decomposition by endo-parameter, valid for any $\mathbb{Z}[\mu_{p^\infty}, 1/p]$ -algebra, the next natural question is how to refine it to produce the block decomposition in various cases of interest. Our method here also showing that the decomposition by endo-parameter is the $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}[1/p]$ -block decomposition.

For an integral domain $R/\mathbb{Z}[\mu_{p^\infty}, 1/p]$, to each endo-factor using the representation theory of finite general linear and classical groups over R (or associated reductive finite groups in depth zero) we associate a graph we call the (*fine*) (\mathfrak{t}, R) -graph whose connected components parametrize the blocks of $\mathrm{Rep}_R(\mathfrak{t})$ and which associates to each block a finitely generated projective generator which is a summand of $P(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes R$. For example, our method gives a process to compute the ℓ -block decomposition of $\mathrm{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell}(G)$ for a prime $\ell \neq p$, and the statement that $\mathrm{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}[1/p]}(\mathfrak{t})$ is a $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}[1/p]$ -block is equivalent to the $(P(\mathfrak{t}), \overline{\mathbb{Z}}[1/p])$ -graph being connected. More precisely, we show:

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 8.7). *Suppose that either G is an inner form of a p -adic general linear group (for any p), or of a p -adic classical group with p not 2, or that G is any reductive p -adic group and \mathfrak{t} is trivial (i.e., the depth zero case).*

- (i) The blocks of $\mathrm{Rep}_R(\mathfrak{t})$ are in natural bijection with the connected components of the (\mathfrak{t}, R) -graph.
- (ii) Moreover, the projective module defined as the direct sum over the vertices in a connected component of the (\mathfrak{t}, R) -graph is a finitely generated projective generator of an R -block, and running over the connected components defines the decomposition of $P(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes R$ into R -blocks.

We decompose our explicitly constructed progenerator for $\mathrm{Rep}_R(\mathfrak{t})$ into summands which constitute the vertices in the (\mathfrak{t}, R) -graph and draw an edge between two such summands Π_1, Π_2 if there is a non-zero morphism $\Pi_1 \rightarrow \Pi_2$ (or equivalently there is a non-zero morphism $\Pi_2 \rightarrow \Pi_1$).

To compute when vertices are in the same connected component our approach is via type theory over \mathbb{C} . The first aim of the theory of types over \mathbb{C} is to construct a compactly induced progenerator $\text{ind}_J^G(\lambda)$ for a Bernstein factor $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{s})$ where (J, λ) is a pair – called an \mathfrak{s} -type – consisting of a compact open subgroup J of G and an irreducible smooth representation λ of J . This is accomplished for classical groups in [43], inner forms of general linear groups in [50], and inner forms of classical groups in [57]. Our progenerator $P(t)$ (Definition 6.9) is constructed as a finite direct sum $\bigoplus \text{ind}_{J_i^1}^G(\eta_i)$ where J_i^1 is a finite index pro- p subgroup of J_i which is a “J-group” used in the construction of types, and η is an irreducible representation of J_i^1 . The vertices in our graph are the representations $\text{ind}_{J_i}^G(P)$ where P is an indecomposable summand of $\text{ind}_{J_i^1}^G(\eta_i)$. Suppose for simplicity here that R is contained in \mathbb{C} , then we show that there is a non-zero morphism $\text{ind}_{J_i}^G(P) \rightarrow \text{ind}_{J_j}^G(P')$ if and only if $\text{ind}_{J_i}^G(P) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and $\text{ind}_{J_j}^G(P') \otimes \mathbb{C}$ have nonzero components in a common Bernstein block.

This gives a recipe to compute the R -block decomposition from the R -block decomposition of the finite reductive groups J_i/J_i^1 and from the understanding of when two \mathfrak{s} -types parameterize the same Bernstein component over \mathbb{C} . (In fact, as we choose our \mathfrak{s} -types following the recipes of [43, 57] starting with the η_i , and the η_i are chosen related to each other, this question is weaker than knowing when two arbitrary \mathfrak{s} -types parameterize the same Bernstein component.) In the special case of inner forms of general linear groups, our results allow one to give different proofs of the known decompositions of (i) and (ii) as well as in these cases computing the block decomposition over other integral domains.

1.7. Under a technical hypothesis, on choosing *compatible extensions* of the η_i to J_i with strong intertwining properties, in Theorem 8.16 we reduce the block decomposition to computing the block decomposition in depth zero of a related group.

This technical hypothesis follows from standard arguments for inner forms of general linear groups (the details will appear in [57]). For inner forms of classical groups we also expect this technical hypothesis to be addressed in broad generality in the work in progress of [57].

1.8. The second aim of the theory of types over \mathbb{C} , having constructed a type (J, λ) for a Bernstein block is to compute the associated Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(J, \lambda) = \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}[G]}(\text{ind}_J^G(\lambda))$ and its module category $\mathcal{H}(J, \lambda)\text{-mod}$. By standard category theory, the Bernstein block is then isomorphic to the category of (right) modules over $\mathcal{H}(J, \lambda)$ and one has “completely” described the block. In the setting of R -representations over general $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -algebras, one can pursue an analogous strategy, however the projective generators of blocks are in general much larger and, other than particularly simple cases, we expect it best to first pursue a strategy of reduction to depth zero (i.e., establish Conjecture 8.14), and then further reduction to the unipotent block setting as predicted by [21].

1.9. We finally turn to the interpretation of our results on the block decomposition over $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}[1/p]$ in terms of Langlands parameters, where we list some direct consequences of our results and of conjectures in the area. In this section we only consider the *stable block* decomposition, i.e., the finest coarsening of the block decomposition where L -equivalent \mathbb{C} -representations are in the same component.

Using the ramification theorem for $\text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F})$ of the fourth author, Blondel, and Henniart, we can rephrase the stable block decomposition in terms of restriction to wild inertia, see Corollary 9.7. Part of the motivation for this work is to approach conjectures relating categories of sheaves on moduli spaces of Langlands parameters to representations of p -adic groups, first decomposing both sides and studying the potentially simpler task of matching these decompositions and approaching the conjectures block by block. In Section 9.1, in the semisimple setting we turn this around, assume a conjecture *local Langlands in families*, and deduce properties on endo-parameters from this; properties which we hope to approach directly in future work.

Structure of the paper. In Section 2, we set notation, and develop some basic results on representations of p -adic groups over $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -algebras. Section 3 recalls the theory of endo-parameters of [37, 54] in a special case (which is sufficient for this paper and most applications). Section 4 introduces “ m -realizations” of endo-parameters and proves some technical lemmas (including a finiteness

lemma) we will require later. Section 5 develops the theory of semisimple characters and Heisenberg representations integrally. Section 6 establishes our basic decomposition of $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mu_{p^\infty, 1/p}]}(\mathbb{G})$ by endo-parameter. Section 7 recalls and develops results on beta extensions of Heisenberg representations over an algebraically closed field K of characteristic different to p , and recalls the construction of types for Bernstein blocks when K has characteristic zero. In Section 8, we prove our results on block decompositions. Section 9 is a non-technical section which explains connections between our decompositions and the local Langlands programme. Finally, we have three appendices which fill in gaps in the literature:

- Appendix A connects our definition of endo-parameter to that in [54];
- Appendix B extends results on *semisimple* characters and Heisenberg representations to inner forms of general linear groups (in some previous works only *simple* characters and their Heisenberg representations are considered in this case);
- Appendix C is used in Section 7 to improve the definition of beta extensions for classical groups and their quaternionic forms so that the definition now uses the strong simplicial structure on an apartment of the Bruhat–Tits building of the classical group, rather than its weak simplicial structure coming from the building of the underlying general linear group.

1.10. Acknowledgements. The first author was partially supported by EPSRC New Horizons grant EP/V018744/1. The second author was supported by EPSRC grant EP/V001930/1 and the Heilbronn Institute for Mathematical Research. The third author was supported by a Shanghai 2021 ‘Science and Technology Innovation Action Plan’ Natural Science Foundation Project grant. The fourth author was supported by EPSRC grants EP/H00534X/1 and EP/V061739/1. We thank Johannes Girsch, Thomas Lanard, Vanessa Miemietz, Peter Schneider, and Vincent Sécherre for useful conversations, and Jean-François Dat for useful conversations and for sharing details of his current work on decompositions for tame groups with us.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Notation. For a non-archimedean local skew-field D we write \mathfrak{o}_D for the ring of integers of D , \mathfrak{p}_D for the unique maximal ideal of \mathfrak{o}_D , and k_D for the residue field $\mathfrak{o}_D/\mathfrak{p}_D$.

2.2. Smooth R -representations. Let R be a commutative ring (with identity) and H a locally profinite group. We call a smooth representation of H on an R -module an R -*representation*. The basic theory of R -representations is developed in Vignéras’ book [62]. In particular, R -representations of H form an abelian category, we denote by $\text{Rep}_R(H)$, [62, I 4.2]; and for R -representations π_1, π_2 of H we write $\text{Hom}_{R[H]}(\pi_1, \pi_2)$ for the R -module of morphisms $\pi_1 \rightarrow \pi_2$ in this category. We call an R -representation *irreducible* if its only R -subrepresentations are 0 and itself; in particular, 0 is *not* considered an irreducible R -representation. A simple application of Zorn’s lemma shows that every non-zero finitely generated R -representation of H has an irreducible quotient.

In this section we collect a few simple lemmas which allow us to approach certain questions on R -representations over Dedekind domains locally, and which do not appear in the standard source [62].

Lemma 2.1. *Let π be an irreducible R -representation of H on an R -module \mathcal{V} , and let*

$$\mathcal{A} = \{r \in R : rv = 0 \text{ for all } v \in \mathcal{V}\}$$

denote the annihilator of \mathcal{V} as an R -module. Then

- (i) *The annihilator \mathcal{A} is a prime ideal of R .*
- (ii) *The action of R factors through R/\mathcal{A} and π is torsion-free and irreducible as an R/\mathcal{A} -representation.*
- (iii) *Let $K(\mathcal{A})$ denote the field of fractions of R/\mathcal{A} . Then $\pi \otimes K(\mathcal{A})$ is an irreducible $K(\mathcal{A})$ -representation which is naturally isomorphic to π as an R/\mathcal{A} -representation via $v \mapsto v \otimes 1$.*

Proof. The annihilator \mathcal{A} is clearly an ideal, and as π is irreducible as an R -representation \mathcal{A} is a prime ideal (if $r_1 r_2 \subset \mathcal{A}$, then as $r_2 \mathcal{V}$ is an R -subrepresentation of π it is zero, in which case r_2 annihilates \mathcal{V} , or \mathcal{V} in which case r_1 annihilates \mathcal{V} ; and as π is non-zero it is not the whole of R).

As π is irreducible, $\alpha\pi = \pi$ for all $\alpha \in R \setminus \mathcal{A}$, hence multiplication by α is invertible on \mathcal{V} and we write α^{-1} for the inverse of α as an R -module endomorphism. Hence π is torsion-free as an R/\mathcal{A} -representation. Moreover, $v \mapsto v \otimes 1$ defines an isomorphism $\pi \mapsto \pi \otimes K(\mathcal{A})$ as $v \otimes \frac{\alpha}{\beta} = \alpha\beta^{-1}(v) \otimes 1$; and $\pi \otimes K(\mathcal{A})$ is irreducible as a $K(\mathcal{A})$ -representation as any proper H -stable subspace defines a proper H -stable R/\mathcal{A} -subspace of π . \square

We make use of this simple lemma in the special case of Dedekind domains where we have:

Corollary 2.2. *Let R be a Dedekind domain with field of fractions K . Let π be an irreducible R -representation of H . Then, either:*

- (i) π is torsion-free as an R -module, the morphism $\pi \rightarrow \pi \otimes K$ given by $v \mapsto v \otimes 1$ is an isomorphism of R -modules, and $\pi \otimes K$ is an irreducible K -representation of H ; or,
- (ii) there exists a unique non-zero maximal ideal $\mathfrak{l} \in \mathfrak{m}\text{-Spec}(R)$ which annihilates π , and π is an irreducible (R/\mathfrak{l}) -representation of H .

If R is a Dedekind domain, then for any H as above there are always irreducible R -representations fitting into the second case of the Corollary (take the trivial R/\mathfrak{l} -representation for example (or any irreducible R/\mathfrak{l} -representation)). However, there might not exist torsion-free irreducible R -representations (as one easily sees if H is a finite group and R is not a field). The discrete group $H = \mathbb{Q}^\times$ acting on \mathbb{Q} by multiplication, gives an example of a torsion-free irreducible \mathbb{Z} -module, but our interest lies in reductive p -adic groups where we have:

Lemma 2.3. *Let G be a reductive p -adic group. Let R be a Noetherian integral domain with infinitely many prime ideals such that any nonzero prime ideal is maximal, and field of fractions K . Let π an irreducible R -representation of G , then there exists a non-zero maximal ideal of R annihilating π (i.e., π has torsion).*

Proof. Suppose π is an R -torsion-free irreducible R -representation of G . Let G^0 denote the F -points of the connected component of the underlying algebraic group of G – the connected reductive p -adic group. Then G/G^0 is finite, and as such $\pi|_{G^0}$ is finitely generated and has an irreducible quotient π^0 . Let \mathcal{V} be the underlying R -module of π^0 , and \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{W} the quotient defining the underlying R -module of π . If \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{W} was not torsion free, then there would exist $r \in R$ mapping \mathcal{V} into a proper stable subspace of \mathcal{V} , which is not possible as π is irreducible. Hence π^0 is R -torsion-free, and we are reduced to the setting where G is a connected reductive p -adic group. Moreover, without loss of generality, we can invert p in our ring R .

Choose a compact open pro- p subgroup U of G such that π is a quotient of $\text{ind}_U^G(1)$. Then $\text{ind}_U^G(1)$ is finitely generated projective and we have an equivalence of categories between the category of smooth R -representations which are quotients of direct sums of copies of $\text{ind}_U^G(1)$ and the category of $R[U \backslash G/U]$ -modules. In particular, the image of π is an R -torsion-free simple $R[U \backslash G/U]$ -module which we denote by M .

We set $\mathcal{H} = R[U \backslash G/U]$. By [25] (see also [24, Theorem 1.2]), \mathcal{H} is a finitely generated module over its centre \mathfrak{Z} which is a finitely generated R -algebra. Any R -endomorphism of M is either zero or an isomorphism, so this says that non-zero elements of R act invertibly on M . On the other hand, M is generated by one element as an \mathcal{H} -module, so is finitely generated as a \mathfrak{Z} -module. This means that M admits a quotient M' that is simple as a \mathfrak{Z} -module. The same argument shows that non-zero elements of R induce isomorphisms of M' with M' .

The action of \mathfrak{Z} on M' factors through the quotient of a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , so we obtain an injective map $R \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}/\mathfrak{m}$. So, as R is Jacobson (cf. [29, Theorem 10] and [58, Tag 00G4]), $\mathfrak{Z}/\mathfrak{m}$ is an integral extension of R . This is absurd, because R is not a field. \square

Suppose R is a local ring, G a reductive p -adic group, and suppose the maximal split central torus of G has positive rank. Then one easily constructs R -torsion-free unramified characters of G , from which one can build more torsion free representations of other reductive p -adic groups.

Via considering certain lattices in representations of G over number fields with rings of integers R , we will also consider a collection of R -torsion-free (reducible) representations of (locally) compact groups, where we will use the following simple lemma:

Lemma 2.4. *Let R be a Dedekind domain with field of fractions K , and π_1, π_2 be R -representations of H which are torsion free as R -modules, and such that π_2 is finitely generated as an R -representation. Suppose $\phi : \pi_1 \rightarrow \pi_2$ a morphism of R -representations of H such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi \otimes 1 : \pi_1 \otimes K &\xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_2 \otimes K \\ \phi \otimes 1 : \pi_1 \otimes (R/\mathfrak{m}) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_2 \otimes (R/\mathfrak{m}); \end{aligned}$$

are isomorphisms, for all $\mathfrak{m} \in m\text{-Spec}(R)$. Then ϕ is an isomorphism.

Proof. As π_i are torsion free, $\pi_i \hookrightarrow \pi_i \otimes K$, and in particular ϕ is injective as $\phi \otimes 1 : \pi_1 \otimes K \rightarrow \pi_2 \otimes K$ is injective. Let C_ϕ denote the cokernel of ϕ . If it is non-zero then it has an irreducible quotient Π as π_2 is finitely generated. By Lemma 2.2, there exists $k \in \{K, R/\mathfrak{m} : \mathfrak{m} \in m\text{-Spec}(R)\}$, such that $\Pi \otimes k$ is non-zero, so the cokernel is non-zero after tensoring with k by right-exactness of tensor. But right-exactness of tensor again shows that $C_\phi \otimes k = C_{\phi \otimes 1}$ where $C_{\phi \otimes 1}$ is the cokernel of $\phi \otimes 1 : \pi_1 \otimes k \rightarrow \pi_2 \otimes k$, and we know that the latter is zero – a contradiction. \square

We return to the setting of a general commutative ring R , where we have:

Lemma 2.5 ([24, Lemma A.1]). *Suppose that there exists a compact open subgroup of H of invertible pro-order in R . Let π be a finitely generated projective R -representation of H , R' a commutative R -algebra, and π' an R -representation of H . Then the natural map*

$$\text{Hom}_{R[H]}(\pi, \pi') \otimes R' \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R'[H]}(\pi \otimes R', \pi' \otimes R')$$

$f \otimes r' \mapsto r'(f \otimes 1)$ defines an isomorphism of R' -modules.

Finally, to construct representations of p -adic groups via compact induction from explicitly constructed representations of compact open subgroups; inverting p in our coefficient rings we find that these are finitely generated projective utilizing the following simple lemma:

Lemma 2.6. *Let R be a (commutative) $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -algebra. Let ρ be an irreducible (smooth) representation of a pro- p compact open subgroup H of G on a projective R -module. Then ρ is a projective $R[H]$ -module, and $\text{ind}_H^G(\rho)$ a finitely generated projective representation of G .*

Proof. As compact induction from an open subgroup is left adjoint to an exact functor (restriction), and preserves finite type, the final statement follows from the previous.

Let K be a compact open subgroup of H on which ρ is trivial. Then $\text{Hom}_{R[H]}(\text{ind}_K^H(1_R), \rho) \neq 0$, where 1_R denotes the trivial character of K on the free R -module R . Choose any non-zero morphism ϕ , then as ρ is a projective R -module, we can split ϕ as an R -module homomorphism via a morphism s , and then as p is invertible in R we can average this splitting over H/K to obtain a splitting as $R[H/K]$ -modules:

$$s_H = [H : K]^{-1} \sum_{h \in H/K} R(h) \circ s \circ \rho(h^{-1}),$$

where $R(h)$ denotes the right regular action on $\text{ind}_K^H(1_R)$. Hence $\text{ind}_K^H(1_R) = \rho \oplus \rho'$. Moreover, as $\text{Hom}_{R[H]}(\text{ind}_K^H(1_R), -) \simeq \text{Hom}_{R[H]}(\rho, -) \times \text{Hom}_{R[H]}(\rho', -)$ as functors we can reduce to showing $\text{ind}_K^H(1_R)$ is projective, and as compact induction preserves projectivity to 1_R is a projective representation of K . Projectivity of the trivial representation of K under our hypotheses is [62, I 4.9 (a)] \square

2.3. Primitive idempotents of the centre and blocks. Let $\mathfrak{Z}_R(G)$ denote the centre of $\text{Rep}_R(G)$, it is a commutative unital ring which acts on every smooth R -representation. Suppose $\phi : R \rightarrow R'$ is a morphism of commutative rings, then we have a morphism

$$(2.7) \quad \phi^* : \mathfrak{Z}_R(G) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_{R'}(G)$$

which is induced from the functor $\text{Rep}_{R'}(G) \rightarrow \text{Rep}_R(G)$ sending a smooth R' -representation to the smooth R -representation with the same action of G and the action of R from the morphism $R \rightarrow R'$, cf. [24, Section 2].

An idempotent $e \in \mathfrak{Z}_R(G)$ induces a canonical decomposition of every smooth representation

$$\pi = e\pi \oplus (1 - e)\pi,$$

and a corresponding canonical decomposition of morphisms in the category; in other words defines a decomposition $\text{Rep}_R(G) = e\text{Rep}_R(G) \times (1 - e)\text{Rep}_R(G)$ (and conversely, such a decomposition gives rise to a central idempotent). Note that, given a morphism of rings $R \rightarrow R'$, via (2.7), a decomposition of $\text{Rep}_R(G)$ induces a decomposition of $\text{Rep}_{R'}(G)$.

Lemma 2.8 ([26, Lemma A.3] and [24, Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 3.4]).

- (i) Suppose ϕ is a monomorphism, then $\phi^* : \mathfrak{Z}_R(G) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_{R'}(G)$ is a monomorphism.
- (ii) We have a decomposition

$$\mathfrak{Z}_R(G) = \prod_{r \in D(G)} \mathfrak{Z}_R(G)_r$$

where

- $D(G) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^+$ denotes the subset of rational numbers for which there is a smooth R -representation of G of the given depth; and
- $\mathfrak{Z}_R(G)_r = e_r \mathfrak{Z}_R(G)$ denotes the centre of the category of smooth R -representations of depth r , corresponding to a depth r idempotent $e_r \in \mathfrak{Z}_R(G)$.

Moreover, for each $r \in D(G)$, we have an (explicit) finitely generated projective generator P_r of $\text{Rep}_R(G)_r = e_r \text{Rep}_R(G)$.

- (iii) Suppose R is Noetherian and R' a flat (commutative) R -algebra. Then the natural map is an isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{Z}_R(G)_n \otimes R' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{Z}_{R'}(G)_n.$$

For $r \in \mathbb{Q}^+$, we write $\text{Rep}_R(G)_{\leq r} = \prod_{\substack{r \in D(G) \\ r \leq x}} \text{Rep}_R(G)_r$.

Definition 2.9. (i) Suppose $e \in \mathfrak{Z}_R(G)$ is a primitive idempotent. Then the subcategory $e\text{Rep}_R(G)$ is called an R -block of $\text{Rep}_R(G)$.

- (ii) Suppose $1 \in \mathfrak{Z}_R(G)$ decomposes $1 = \sum_{i \in I} e_i$ into a sum of primitive idempotents $e_i \in \mathfrak{Z}_R(G)$, then the corresponding decomposition

$$\text{Rep}_R(G) = \prod_{i \in I} e_i \text{Rep}_R(G),$$

is called the R -block decomposition.

Note that, for a given R , a priori the block decomposition of $\text{Rep}_R(G)$ may not exist. However, for a noetherian ring, the depth n centre is noetherian:

Theorem 2.10 ([24, 25]). Let R be a noetherian $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -algebra, and $r \in D(G)$. Then $\mathfrak{Z}_R(G)_r$ is a finitely generated R -algebra.

And it follows that, in these cases, the R -block decomposition exists:

Corollary 2.11. Let R be a noetherian $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -algebra.

- (i) Then the R -block decomposition exists.
- (ii) Moreover, for $r \in D(G)$, $e_r \text{Rep}_R(G)$ is a finite product of R -blocks.

2.4. Generic irreducibility and parabolic inductions over algebraically closed fields. Suppose K is an algebraically closed field of characteristic $\ell \neq p$. For a reductive p -adic group $M = M(F)$, we let

$$M^\circ = \{g \in M : \chi(g) \in \mathfrak{o}_F^\times \text{ for all } F\text{-rational characters of } M\},$$

an open normal subgroup of M . Note that, M/M° is a free abelian group of rank equal to the rank of a maximal split torus in the centre of M . Recall a K -character of M is called *unramified* if it is trivial on M° . A famous result of Bernstein when $\ell = 0$, we have the following generic irreducibility theorem of parabolic inductions:

Theorem 2.12 (Generic irreducibility, [25, Corollary 1.5]). *Let P be a parabolic subgroup of G with Levi factor M , and σ be an irreducible K -representation of M . Then the parabolically induced representation $i_{M,P}^G(\sigma\chi)$ is irreducible for χ in a Zariski-dense open subset of the K -torus of unramified characters of M .*

For σ an irreducible K -representation of M , we consider $\text{ind}_{M^\circ}^M(\sigma) \simeq \sigma \otimes \text{ind}_{M^\circ}^M(1) \simeq \sigma \otimes K[M/M^\circ]$ as a $K[M/M^\circ]$ -representation of M and as a family of K -representations of M : A closed K -point of $K[M/M^\circ]$ corresponds to a K -algebra morphism $\phi : K[M/M^\circ] \rightarrow K$, and hence an unramified character $\chi : M \rightarrow K^\times$, and we have

$$\text{ind}_{M^\circ}^M(\sigma) \otimes_{K[M/M^\circ], \phi} K \simeq \sigma \otimes \chi,$$

and can consider $\text{ind}_{M^\circ}^M(\sigma)$ as a family of irreducible K -representations of M . Then $i_{M,P}^G(\sigma \otimes K[M/M^\circ])$ is a $K[M/M^\circ]$ -representation, and

$$i_{M,P}^G(\sigma \otimes K[M/M^\circ]) \otimes_{K[M/M^\circ], \phi} K \simeq i_{M,P}^G(\sigma \otimes \chi)$$

which is irreducible for a Zariski-dense open subset K -points of $K[M/M^\circ]$ by generic irreducibility.

Proposition 2.13. *An element of $\mathfrak{Z}_K(G)$ acts on $i_{M,P}^G(\sigma \otimes K[M/M^\circ])$ by multiplication by an element of $K[M/M^\circ]$.*

Proof. The proof of [5, 1.17] also applies here, to show that $\text{End}_{K[M/M^\circ][G]}(i_{M,P}^G(\sigma \otimes K[M/M^\circ])) \simeq K[M/M^\circ]$ as a consequence of generic irreducibility and Schur's lemma (note that, when $\ell \neq 0$, an unramified twist of σ is defined over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$). Now $\mathfrak{Z}_K(G)$ acts on $i_{M,P}^G(\sigma \otimes K[M/M^\circ])$ via a central endomorphism in $\text{End}_{K[G]}(i_{M,P}^G(\sigma \otimes K[M/M^\circ]))$, and as this endomorphism is central it commutes with multiplication by elements of $K[M/M^\circ]$ (as they define $K[G]$ -endomorphisms) and hence defines an element of $\text{End}_{K[M/M^\circ][G]}(i_{M,P}^G(\sigma \otimes K[M/M^\circ])) \simeq K[M/M^\circ]$. \square

2.5. The Bernstein centre and finitely generated projectives over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Suppose for this section that K is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Then the K -block decomposition and the centre of the category of smooth K -representations has a relatively simple description, due to Bernstein:

Theorem 2.14 (Bernstein [5]). (i) *The K -block decomposition is given by*

$$\text{Rep}_K(G) = \prod_{\mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{B}_K(G)} \text{Rep}_K(\mathfrak{s}),$$

where $\mathfrak{B}_K(G)$ denotes the set of inertial classes of supercuspidal supports for G , and (the representations in) $\text{Rep}_K(\mathfrak{s})$ consist of all (smooth) K -representations all of whose irreducible subquotients have supercuspidal support in \mathfrak{s} .

- (ii) *Let $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{B}_K(G)$. For any choice of representative (M, σ) of \mathfrak{s} , and any parabolic P of G with Levi factor M the representation $P_{P, \sigma} = i_{M,P}^G(\text{ind}_{M^\circ}^M(\sigma))$ is a finitely generated projective generator for $\text{Rep}_K(G)_\mathfrak{s}$.*
- (iii) *Let $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{B}_K(G)$. Fixing a finitely generated projective generator $P_{P, \sigma} = i_{M,P}^G(\text{ind}_{M^\circ}^M(\sigma))$ as above for $\text{Rep}_K(\mathfrak{s})$, identifies the centre $\mathfrak{Z}_K(\mathfrak{s})$ of $\text{Rep}_K(\mathfrak{s})$ with*

$$K[M/M^\circ]^{H_\mathfrak{s} \rtimes W_\mathfrak{s}},$$

where $H_{\mathfrak{s}}$ is the finite group of unramified characters of M fixing σ up to isomorphism, and $W_{\mathfrak{s}} = \{w \in W : M^w = M, (M, \sigma^w) \in [M, \sigma]_M\}$.

In particular, Bernstein's description shows that the centre $\mathfrak{Z}_K(\mathfrak{s})$ is a (commutative) noetherian domain. Let $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{B}_K(G)$. We write $\eta = \eta_{\mathfrak{s}}$ for the unique generic point of $\text{Spec}(\mathfrak{Z}_K(\mathfrak{s}))$, and given a representation π in $\text{Rep}_K(\mathfrak{s})$, we write π_{η} for its localization at η , i.e., $\pi_{\eta} = \pi \otimes K(\eta)$, where $K(\eta)$ is the field of fractions of $\mathfrak{Z}_K(\mathfrak{s})$. To the inertial class $\mathfrak{s} = [M, \sigma]$, we can associate the class $\mathfrak{s}_M = [M, \sigma] \in \mathfrak{B}_K(M)$. We write $\tilde{\eta}$ for the unique generic point of $\text{Spec}(\mathfrak{Z}_K(\mathfrak{s}_M))$, and given a representation π in $\text{Rep}_K(\mathfrak{s}_M)$, we write $\pi_{\tilde{\eta}}$ for its localization at $\tilde{\eta}$, i.e., $\pi_{\tilde{\eta}} = \pi \otimes K(\tilde{\eta})$, where $K(\tilde{\eta})$ is the field of fractions of $\mathfrak{Z}_K(\mathfrak{s}_M)$.

Lemma 2.15. *Let $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{B}_K(G)$, and P be a (non-zero) finitely generated projective representation in $\text{Rep}_K(\mathfrak{s})$.*

- (i) *The modules P and $\text{End}_{K[G]}(P)$ are $\mathfrak{Z}_K(\mathfrak{s})$ -torsion free.*
- (ii) *We have $P_{\eta} \otimes_{K(\eta)} K(\tilde{\eta}) \simeq \pi^{\oplus r}$ for some irreducible $K(\tilde{\eta})[G]$ -representation π .*
- (iii) *The endomorphism algebra $\text{End}_{K[G]}(P)$ has no non-trivial central idempotents.*
- (iv) *If P' is a (non-zero) finitely generated projective representation in $\text{Rep}_K(\mathfrak{s})$, then*

$$\text{Hom}_{K[G]}(P, P') \neq 0.$$

Proof. (i) The representation $P_{P, \sigma} = i_{M, P}^G(\text{ind}_{M^\circ}^M(\sigma))$ is $\mathfrak{Z}_K(\mathfrak{s})$ -torsion free, because $\text{ind}_{M^\circ}^M(\sigma)$ is a torsion free $\mathfrak{Z}_K(\mathfrak{s}_M)$ -module and parabolic induction is exact. Hence P is $\mathfrak{Z}_K(\mathfrak{s})$ -torsion free, as it is a summand of a direct sum of copies of $P_{P, \sigma}$.

- (ii) As localization is exact $P_{\eta} \hookrightarrow (P_{P, \sigma})_{\eta}^{\oplus m}$, and it suffices to prove the statement for $P_{P, \sigma}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (P_{P, \sigma})_{\eta} \otimes_{K(\eta)} K(\tilde{\eta}) &\simeq i_{M, P}^G(\text{ind}_{M^\circ}^M(\sigma)) \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_K(\mathfrak{s})} K(\tilde{\eta}) \\ &\simeq i_{M, P}^G(\text{ind}_{M^\circ}^M(\sigma)_{\eta} \otimes_{K(\eta)} K(\tilde{\eta})) \\ &\simeq i_{M, P}^G(\text{ind}_{M^\circ}^M(\sigma)_{\tilde{\eta}}) \otimes_{K(\eta)} K(\tilde{\eta}) \end{aligned}$$

as $\mathfrak{Z}_K(\mathfrak{s}_M) \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_K(\mathfrak{s})} K(\eta) = K(\tilde{\eta})$. Now $i_{M, P}^G(\text{ind}_{M^\circ}^M(\sigma)_{\tilde{\eta}})$ is absolutely irreducible as a $K(\tilde{\eta})[G]$ -module by generic irreducibility. Moreover,

$$i_{M, P}^G(\text{ind}_{M^\circ}^M(\sigma)_{\tilde{\eta}}) \otimes_{K(\eta)} K(\tilde{\eta}) \simeq i_{M, P}^G(\text{ind}_{M^\circ}^M(\sigma)_{\tilde{\eta}}) \otimes_{K(\tilde{\eta})} (K(\tilde{\eta}) \otimes_{K(\eta)} K(\tilde{\eta})).$$

And as $K(\tilde{\eta})/K(\eta)$ is a finite Galois extension of degree $d = |W_{\mathfrak{s}}|$, $K(\tilde{\eta}) \otimes_{K(\eta)} K(\tilde{\eta}) \simeq K(\tilde{\eta})^d$ and the result follows.

- (iii) By torsion freeness, $\text{End}_{K[G]}(P)$ injects into its localization at the generic point of $\text{Spec}(\mathfrak{Z}_K(\mathfrak{s}))$, which is a central simple algebra by the last part, and thus has no nontrivial central idempotents.
- (iv) Take m such that P and P' are summands of $(P_{P, \sigma})^{\oplus m}$, and consider these modules as modules over the Hecke algebra $\text{End}_{K[G]}((P_{P, \sigma})^{\oplus m})$. After localizing at the generic point of $\mathfrak{Z}_K(\mathfrak{s})$ this latter algebra is a central simple algebra, and hence has a single indecomposable module; P, P' , (and $P_{P, \sigma}$) are simply direct sums of copies of this indecomposable. The result is thus immediate. □

2.6. A lemma of Vignéras. Let H be an arbitrary locally compact totally disconnected group. We will use the following lemma of [62]:

Lemma 2.16 ([62, I 8.1]). *Suppose R is an algebraically closed field. Let K_i, K' be compact mod-centre open subgroups of H for i in some finite set I , let π be a smooth R -representation of H . Suppose that (τ_i, \mathscr{W}_i) are submodules of $\pi|_{K_i}$, that τ' is an irreducible subquotient of $\pi|_{K'}$, and that π is generated by $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathscr{W}_i$. Then there exist $i \in I$, $h \in H$ such that τ' is a subquotient of $\text{Ind}_{K' \cap {}^h K_i}^{K'} {}^h \tau_i$.*

Note that the proof of *ibid.* is written for a single K_i , but the proof works just as well for an arbitrary collection of K_i . In particular, if the pro-order of the subgroup K' is invertible in R , then its smooth representations are semisimple and we deduce:

Corollary 2.17. *Suppose R is an algebraically closed field. Under the hypotheses of Lemma 2.16, suppose that the pro-order of K' is invertible in R . Then, for the same $i \in I$, we have $I_H(\tau', \tau_i) \neq 0$.*

In particular, we will use Corollary 2.17 when K' is a pro- p group, which is another reason why we invert p in our coefficient rings.

2.7. Parahoric subgroups in reductive p -adic groups. Let \mathcal{F} be a facet of the extended Bruhat-Tits building of G , and $G_{\mathcal{F}}^+$ denote the compact open subgroup of G which is the pointwise fixator of \mathcal{F} . It has pro- p unipotent radical $G_{\mathcal{F}}^1$ with

$$1 \rightarrow G_{\mathcal{F}}^1 \rightarrow G_{\mathcal{F}}^+ \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{F}}^+ \rightarrow 1$$

with $M_{\mathcal{F}}^+$ the \mathbb{F}_q -points of a reductive group $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{F}}^+$ defined over \mathbb{F}_q . Letting $M_{\mathcal{F}}$ denote the \mathbb{F}_q -points of the connected component of $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{F}}^+$, the *parahoric subgroup* $G_{\mathcal{F}}$ associated to \mathcal{F} is the preimage of $M_{\mathcal{F}}$ in $G_{\mathcal{F}}^+$. The quotient $G_{\mathcal{F}}^+/G_{\mathcal{F}} \simeq M_{\mathcal{F}}^+/M_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a finite abelian group.

Note that, in some of our references the reduced Bruhat-Tits building of G is favoured instead of the extended building. In this case, following Bruhat-Tits as in [35], G° is denoted $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F})^1$, and if for x in the extended building we let \bar{x} denote its image in the reduced building, then we have

$$G_x^+ = \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F})_{\bar{x}}^1, \quad G_x = \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F})_{\bar{x}}^0.$$

In particular, we deduce from [35, Lemma 2.2.16, Theorem 4.2.17] that if x is a vertex, then $N_{G^\circ}(G_x^+) = G_x^+$.

The parahoric subgroups contained in $G_{\mathcal{F}}$ are in bijection with the parabolic subgroups of $M_{\mathcal{F}}$ (cf. [10, Proposition 5.1.32]) : we write $G_{\mathcal{F}, Q}$ for the parahoric subgroup which is the inverse image of the parabolic subgroup Q of $M_{\mathcal{F}}$ under the map $G_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{F}}$. There is thus a facet \mathcal{F}' such that $G_{\mathcal{F}'} = G_{\mathcal{F}, Q}$ and Q has a Levi decomposition $Q = M_{\mathcal{F}'} \rtimes U$.

The image Q^+ of $G_{\mathcal{F}'}^+$ in $M_{\mathcal{F}}^+$ (contains and) normalizes U which is the image of $G_{\mathcal{F}'}^1$, and Q^+ has a ‘‘Levi decomposition’’ $Q^+ = M_{\mathcal{F}'}^+ \rtimes U$. We will need a supercuspidal support map on these potentially disconnected reductive finite groups:

Lemma 2.18 (Existence of ‘‘supercuspidal support’’). *Let π^+ be an irreducible representation of $M_{\mathcal{F}}^+$ over a sufficiently large field. Then there exists a facet \mathcal{F}' corresponding to a parabolic subgroup Q of $M_{\mathcal{F}}$, and an irreducible representation τ^+ of $M_{\mathcal{F}'}^+$, such that,*

- (i) τ^+ has supercuspidal restriction to $M_{\mathcal{F}'}$ (i.e., the projective cover of τ^+ is cuspidal);
- (ii) π^+ is a subquotient of $\text{ind}_{Q^+}^{M_{\mathcal{F}}^+}(\tau^+)$.

Proof. Let π be an irreducible subrepresentation of $\pi^+|_{M_{\mathcal{F}}}$. Let (L, τ) be in the supercuspidal support of π , and Q denote a parabolic subgroup of $M_{\mathcal{F}}$ with Levi factor L and unipotent radical U . Let $G'_{\mathcal{F}}$ be the parahoric corresponding to Q .

The representation π^+ is a (sub)quotient of $\text{ind}_{M_{\mathcal{F}}}^{M_{\mathcal{F}}^+}(\pi)$, hence a subquotient of

$$\text{ind}_{M_{\mathcal{F}}}^{M_{\mathcal{F}}^+} \circ \text{ind}_Q^{M_{\mathcal{F}}}(\tau) \simeq \text{ind}_{Q^+}^{M_{\mathcal{F}}^+} \circ \text{ind}_Q^{Q^+}(\tau).$$

Now $Q = M_{\mathcal{F}'} \rtimes U$ and $Q^+ = M_{\mathcal{F}'}^+ \rtimes U$, and τ is trivial on U , hence π^+ is a subquotient of

$$\text{ind}_{Q^+}^{M_{\mathcal{F}}^+} \circ \text{ind}_{M_{\mathcal{F}'}}^{M_{\mathcal{F}'}} \tau,$$

thus there exists an irreducible subquotient τ^+ of $\text{ind}_{M_{\mathcal{F}'}}^{M_{\mathcal{F}'}} \tau$ such that π^+ is a subquotient of $\text{ind}_{Q^+}^{M_{\mathcal{F}}^+}(\tau^+)$.

As τ^+ is a subquotient of $\text{ind}_{M_{\mathcal{F}'}}^{M_{\mathcal{F}'}} \tau$, by Mackey theory τ^+ restricts to a sum of conjugates of τ and hence τ^+ has supercuspidal restriction. \square

2.8. Forms of classical groups. We fix F/F_{\circ} , a Galois extension of non-archimedean local fields of degree one or two with residual characteristic p , and $\varepsilon \in \{\pm, 0\}$, such that

- (I) $F = F_{\circ}$ if $\varepsilon = 0$ and
- (II) $2 \nmid p$ if $\varepsilon \neq 0$.

We write $\bar{}$ for the generator of the of the Galois group of F/F_{\circ} .

We denote by $\text{Div}(F/F_{\circ}, \varepsilon)$ the set of pairs $(D, (\bar{})_D)$ consisting of a skew-field D of finite degree d with center F and an F_{\circ} -linear endomorphism $(\bar{})_D$ of D extending $\bar{}$ such that

- $(\bar{})_D$ is id_D in Case (I) and
- $(\bar{})_D$ is an orthogonal or unitary anti-involution on D in Case (II). Note that in this case D has at most degree 2, and if D has degree 2 then $F = F_{\circ}$.

We will still write $\bar{}$ for $(\bar{})_D$ if there is no cause of confusion.

A *Hermitian space* for $(F/F_{\circ}, \varepsilon)$ is a pair (V, h) together with a pair $(D, (\bar{})_D) \in \text{Div}(F/F_{\circ}, \varepsilon)$ such that V is a finite dimensional right D -vector space and h is an ε -hermitian form $h : V \times V \rightarrow D$ with respect to $\bar{}$, in particular h is the zero map in Case (I) and non-degenerate in Case (II). We write $\text{Herm}(F/F_{\circ}, \varepsilon)$ for the set of Hermitian spaces for $(F/F_{\circ}, \varepsilon)$.

We fix a pair $(D, (\bar{})_D) \in \text{Div}(F/F_{\circ}, \varepsilon)$ and a Hermitian space (V, h) for $(F/F_{\circ}, \varepsilon)$.

We consider the following subgroups of $\tilde{G} := \text{GL}_D(V)$: The group

$$U(V, h) = \{g \in \text{GL}_D(V) : h(gv, gw) = h(v, w) \text{ for all } v, w \in V\}$$

of isometries of (V, h) . and

$$G := \begin{cases} U(V, h) \cap \text{SL}_F(V) & \text{if } h \neq 0 \text{ and } D = F = F_{\circ} \text{ and } \varepsilon = + \\ U(V, h) & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Therefore G will be the set of rational points of an F_{\circ} -form of a general linear, symplectic, or special orthogonal group. Note that in the Case (II) if $D \neq F$ then every element of $U(V, h)$ has reduced norm 1 over F .

We let $\Sigma = \langle \sigma \rangle$ denote an abstract cyclic group of order 2, which we will let act on various objects. The element σ acts trivial on \tilde{G} if $h = 0$ and as the inverse of the adjoint anti-involution of h if h is non-zero. In particular,

$$U(V, h) = \tilde{G}^{\Sigma}.$$

We denote the set of D -endomorphisms of V by A . The square root of the F -dimension of A is called the *F-degree* (or for short just the degree) of A , denoted by $\text{deg}_F(A)$.

2.9. Parahoric subgroups for forms of classical groups. For inner forms of (products of) classical p -adic groups and general linear groups, we use the (self-dual) \mathfrak{o}_F -lattice function model of the Bruhat–Tits building and use (interchangeably with the notation we introduced for a general reductive p -adic group) the following notation for parahoric subgroups: for Λ an \mathfrak{o}_F -lattice function in V we write $P(\Lambda)$ for the compact open subgroup stabilizing Λ , and write $P(\Lambda)^{\circ}$ for the parahoric subgroup associated to Λ with pro- p unipotent radical $P_1(\Lambda)$. In particular, if Λ is a vertex then $P(\Lambda) = G_{\Lambda}^+$ and $P(\Lambda)^{\circ} = G_{\Lambda}$. We write $P^{\text{st}}(\Lambda)$ for the fixator of the minimal facet $\bar{\Lambda}$ containing Λ .

3. ENDO-PARAMETERS

We recall the theory of self-dual semisimple characters, endo-equivalence, and endo-parameters. We adopt slightly different notation and terminology to [37] and [55], because we wish to later consider inner forms of p -adic classical groups and general linear groups simultaneously and we can by-pass some of the technicalities as we will not need the full theory (we only use the special case of *full* semisimple characters).

3.1. Semisimple characters and intertwining for \tilde{G} , following [37] and [55]. We fix an algebraic closure \overline{F} of F .

Definition 3.1. Let $\beta = \sum_{i \in I} \beta_i$ be a finite sum with $\beta_i \in \overline{F}$ such that the minimal polynomials of β_i and β_j over F differ for $i \neq j$. We call β *full semisimple* if it satisfies a technical condition: its *critical exponent* $k_F(\beta) < 0$ is negative (for the definition of $k_F(\beta)$ in this generality see [37, §5.4]).

Let $\beta = \sum_{i \in I} \beta_i$ be a full semisimple element. We set $E = F[\beta]$, a semisimple F -algebra, $E = \bigoplus_{i \in I} E_i$ with $E_i = F[\beta_i]$ fields. Let $\mathcal{Q}(\beta)$ denote the class of all triples (V, φ, Λ) where

- (i) V is a right D -vector space;
- (ii) $\varphi : E \hookrightarrow A$ is an F -embedding;
- (iii) Λ is a $\varphi(\mathfrak{o}_E)$ - \mathfrak{o}_D -lattice sequence.

Following the approach of Bushnell, Henniart, and Kutzko, as in [37], we associate to $(V, \varphi, \Lambda) \in \mathcal{Q}(\beta)$ a compact open pro- p subgroup $\tilde{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)$ of $\tilde{G} = \text{Aut}_D(V)$ and a set $\mathcal{C}(\Lambda, \varphi(\beta))$ of characters of $\tilde{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)$ which we call *semisimple characters for \tilde{G}* . Note that, this is a special case of the construction; these characters are called *full semisimple characters* in [37] and [55].

We let $\mathcal{C}(\beta) = \bigcup_{(V, \varphi, \Lambda) \in \mathcal{Q}(\beta)} \mathcal{C}(\Lambda, \varphi(\beta))$ and, for fixed V , we put $\mathcal{C}(\beta, V) = \bigcup_{(V, \varphi, \Lambda) \in \mathcal{Q}(\beta)} \mathcal{C}(\Lambda, \varphi(\beta))$. Then the collection of all semisimple characters for $\tilde{G} = \text{Aut}_D(V)$ is $\mathcal{C}(V) = \bigcup_{\beta} \mathcal{C}(\beta)$, where the union is over all full semisimple elements β .

Remark 3.2. In [55, Definition 6.6], the third author defines the endo-equivalence class \mathfrak{E} of a semisimple stratum. If one of the strata in \mathfrak{E} is of the form $[\Lambda, n, 0, \varphi(\beta)]$, for $(V, \varphi, \Lambda) \in \mathcal{Q}(\beta)$ then for every stratum $\Delta = [\Lambda', n, 0, \gamma] \in \mathfrak{E}$ there is a tuple $(V', \varphi', \Lambda') \in \mathcal{Q}(\beta)$ such that Δ is equivalent (as strata) to $[\Lambda', n, 0, \varphi'(\beta)]$, by [55, Proposition 4.30] and [54, Theorem 6.6].

Corresponding to the decomposition $\beta = \sum_{i \in I} \beta_i$, we have:

- a decomposition $V = \bigoplus_{i \in I} V_i$ (called the *associated splitting* of $\varphi(\beta)$)
- a decomposition $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \Lambda_i$, where $\Lambda_i(k) = \Lambda(k) \cap V_i$ (we say that the decomposition of V *splits* Λ);
- endomorphism rings $A_i := \text{End}_D(V_i)$ and $B_i = \text{End}_{E_i \otimes D}(V_i)$;
- natural embeddings $\tilde{H}^1(\varphi(\beta_i), \Lambda_i) \hookrightarrow \tilde{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)$, and restriction maps

$$\mathcal{C}(\Lambda, \varphi(\beta)) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\Lambda_i, \varphi(\beta_i)), \quad \theta \mapsto \theta_i := \theta|_{\tilde{H}^1(\varphi(\beta_i), \Lambda_i)}.$$

We call the θ_i the *simple block restrictions* of θ .

Given $(V, \varphi, \Lambda), (V', \varphi', \Lambda') \in \mathcal{Q}(\beta)$, there are natural bijections

$$\tau_{\Lambda', \Lambda, \varphi', \varphi} : \mathcal{C}(\Lambda, \varphi(\beta)) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\Lambda', \varphi'(\beta)),$$

called *transfer maps* ([37, Lemma 9.3] and [55, §6.2]), and we collect semisimple characters into families following [11, 37]:

Definition 3.3. A *pss-character supported on β* is a function $\Theta : \mathcal{Q}(\beta) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\beta)$ whose values are related by transfer:

$$\Theta(V', \varphi', \Lambda') = \tau_{\Lambda', \Lambda, \varphi', \varphi} \Theta(V, \varphi, \Lambda).$$

We call a value of Θ a *realization*; thus, by definition, Θ is determined by any one of its realizations.

Let β' be another full semisimple element and set $E' = F[\beta']$. Let Θ, Θ' be pss-characters supported on β, β' respectively.

Definition 3.4. We say that Θ and Θ' are *endo-equivalent* if there exist realizations of Θ and Θ' on a common F -vector space V which intertwine in \tilde{G} .

By [37, Theorem 9.9] and [55, Theorem 6.18], endo-equivalence is an equivalence relation and we call the equivalence classes *semisimple endo-classes*. If θ is a realization of a pss-character Θ then we define the endo-class of θ to be the endo-class of Θ . The *degree* of a semisimple endo-class is $[F[\beta] : F]$

where β is any full semisimple element which supports a pss-character of this endo-class; this is well-defined by [37, Proposition 6.2] because we are dealing only with full semisimple characters – it is for this reason that we don't need to assume the degrees of the pss-characters are equal in Definition 3.4.

We call a semisimple character or endo-class *simple* if it is defined by a semisimple element β which generates a field extension $F[\beta]/F$ (i.e. I is a singleton in the notation above), by [37, Theorem 9.9(i)] this is well defined. Write $\mathcal{E}(F)$ for the set of all simple endo-classes of simple characters for inner forms of general linear groups over F .

Definition 3.5. An *endo-parameter* \mathfrak{t} for \tilde{G} is a formal sum $\mathfrak{t} = \sum_{c \in \mathcal{E}(F)} m_c c$, with $m_c \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$, satisfying $\sum_{c \in \mathcal{E}(F)} m_c \deg(c) = \deg_F(A)$ and $d \mid m_c \deg(c)$, for $c \in \mathcal{E}(F)$.

By [37, Theorem 12.9] and [55, Theorem 7.2], the set of intertwining classes of semisimple characters for \tilde{G} is in canonical bijection with the set of endo-parameters for \tilde{G} . The bijection is given as follows: let θ be a semisimple character for \tilde{G} , let θ_i be the simple block restrictions of θ , and let c_i the endo-classes of θ_i . Then we map the intertwining class of θ to the endo-parameter \mathfrak{t}_θ defined by $\mathfrak{t}_\theta = \sum_{i \in I} \deg_{E_i}(B_i) c_i$.

3.2. Semisimple characters and intertwining for G , following [37, §8] and [54, §6.1]. We now expand the scope of the last section to include inner forms of classical groups and define endo-parameters for h . In contrast to previous works, we include semisimple characters for inner forms of general linear groups in this framework as the special case where $h = 0$ (i.e., Case (I)), to allow us to state our results in future sections uniformly.

Recall that we have fixed F/F_\circ and $\varepsilon \in \{\pm, 0\}$.

Definition 3.6. We say that a full semisimple element β is ε -*self-dual*, or just *self-dual*, if the generator $\bar{}$ of $\text{Gal}(F/F_\circ)$ extends to an involution $\bar{}$ on $F[\beta]$ such that $\bar{\beta} = (-1)^\varepsilon \beta$. Note that, when $\varepsilon = 0$ this imposes no extra condition on a full semisimple element.

Let β be an ε -self-dual full semisimple element. Then the involution $\bar{}$ induces an action of σ on the indexing set I of $\beta = \sum_{i \in I} \beta_i$, which decomposes as

$$I = I_+ \cup I^\sigma \cup I_-$$

with I^σ the σ -fixed orbits, I_+ a set of representatives for the the orbits of size 2, and $I_- = \sigma(I_+)$. We write $E = F[\beta]$ and E_\circ for the set of $\bar{}$ -fixed points on E .

In this case, as in [37] or similarly in [54, §7.1], we let $\mathcal{Q}_{F/F_\circ, \varepsilon}(\beta)$ denote the class of all triples $((V, h), \varphi, \Lambda)$ where

- (V, h) is an ε -hermitian space for $(F/F_\circ, \varepsilon)$,
- $(V, \varphi, \Lambda) \in \mathcal{Q}(\beta)$,
- and φ, Λ are *h-self-dual*.

Note that this does not give any extra condition on φ, Λ if h is zero so that $\mathcal{Q}_{F/F_\circ, 0}(\beta) = \mathcal{Q}(\beta)$.

If $((V, h), \varphi, \Lambda) \in \mathcal{Q}_{F/F_\circ, \varepsilon}(\beta)$, then $\tilde{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)$ is Σ -stable and, with $G = G_h$ the associated classical group, we write

$$H^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) = \tilde{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \cap G.$$

When $h = 0$, then $H^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) = \tilde{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)$.

The group Σ acts on $\mathcal{C}(\Lambda, \varphi(\beta))$ with fixed points $\mathcal{C}(\Lambda, \varphi(\beta))^\Sigma$ – the set of *self-dual semisimple characters* – and we define the set of characters $\mathcal{C}_h(\Lambda, \varphi(\beta))$ of $H^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)$ by restriction from $\mathcal{C}(\Lambda, \varphi(\beta))^\Sigma$. A self-dual semisimple character is called *elementary character* if the set $I^\sigma \cup I_+$ has cardinality one.

For fixed (V, h) and associated classical group G , we write:

- $\mathcal{C}(h)$ for the union over all h -self dual full semisimple elements β , of all the sets $\mathcal{C}(\Lambda, \varphi(\beta))^\Sigma$ such that $((V, h), \varphi, \Lambda)$ is an element of $\mathcal{Q}_{F/F_\circ, \varepsilon}(\beta)$;
- $\mathcal{C}_-(h)$ for the union over all h -self dual full semisimple elements β , of all the sets $\mathcal{C}_h(\Lambda, \varphi(\beta))$ such that $((V, h), \varphi, \Lambda)$ is an element of $\mathcal{Q}_{F/F_\circ, \varepsilon}(\beta)$.

We call the elements of $\mathcal{C}_-(h)$ *semisimple characters for G* (this depends only on the group G, not on h). It is useful to have the notion of a *parametrization* of a semisimple character:

Definition 3.7. For a character $\theta_- \in \mathcal{C}_h(\Lambda, \varphi(\beta))$ we call the data $((V, h), \varphi, \Lambda, \beta)$ a *parametrization* of θ_- . Having chosen a parametrization $((V, h), \varphi, \Lambda, \beta)$ for θ_- we define the restrictions of θ_- by

$$(\theta_-)_i := \begin{cases} \theta_-|_{\mathrm{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \cap \mathrm{Aut}_D(V_i)} & , i \in \mathbf{I}^\sigma \\ \theta_-|_{\mathrm{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \cap (\mathrm{Aut}_D(V_i) \times \mathrm{Aut}_D(V_{\sigma(i)}))} & , i \in \mathbf{I}_+ \cup \mathbf{I}_- \end{cases}$$

To $\varphi(\beta)$ is attached the following Levi subgroup of G.

$$\mathrm{M}(\varphi(\beta), \mathrm{G}) := \begin{cases} \mathrm{G} \cap (\mathrm{Aut}_D(\sum_{i \in \mathbf{I}^\sigma} V_i) \times \prod_{i \in \mathbf{I}_+ \cup \mathbf{I}_-} \mathrm{Aut}_D(V_i)) & , \text{ if } h \text{ is non-zero} \\ \prod_{i \in \mathbf{I}} \mathrm{Aut}_D(V_i), & \text{ if } h \text{ is zero} \end{cases}$$

For a Levi subgroup M of G we write $\theta_{-, \mathrm{M}}$ for the restriction of θ_- to $\mathrm{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \cap \mathrm{M}$.

Recall also that, if two semisimple characters $\theta_-, \theta'_- \in \mathcal{C}_-(h)$ with respective parametrizations $((V, h), \varphi, \Lambda, \beta)$ and $((V, h), \varphi', \Lambda', \beta')$ intertwine in G then there is a canonical bijection $\zeta : \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \mathbf{I}'$, called a *matching* (see [56, Theorem 10.1], [37, Theorem 8.8]). Moreover, from [54, Theorem 6.5, Corollary 6.15] we have:

Lemma 3.8. *Let $\theta_-, \theta'_- \in \mathcal{C}_-(h)$ be semisimple characters with respective parametrizations $((V, h), \varphi, \Lambda, \beta)$ and $((V, h), \varphi', \Lambda', \beta')$. If θ_-, θ'_- intertwine in G then $\mathrm{M}(\varphi(\beta), \mathrm{G})$ is conjugate in G to $\mathrm{M}(\varphi'(\beta'), \mathrm{G})$.*

3.3. Endo-parameters for h . We let the group Σ act on $\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{F})$ by the action defined in [37, Definition 12.13], and we write $(\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{F})/\Sigma)$ for the set of orbits.

More precisely, we define the Σ -action on the endo-class of a ps-character Θ by using a realization of Θ in a split general linear group and then applying [37, Definition 12.10]. An orbit \mathcal{O} in $(\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{F})/\Sigma)$ corresponds to the endo-class of an elementary character θ . The orbit \mathcal{O} is the set of simple endo-classes of the simple block restrictions of θ . We say \mathcal{O} is attached to θ .

To define endo-parameters for G we need to introduce an extra datum. For $t \in (\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{F})/\Sigma)$ we associate a set $\mathcal{W}_{(\overline{\quad})_{\mathrm{D}, \varepsilon}}(t)$ of *Witt types* which, if t is an orbit of size 2 or if $\varepsilon = 0$, identifies with a singleton. When $\varepsilon \neq 0$ and t is an orbit of size 1, say consisting of the endo-class of Θ , then we can choose Θ to be supported on a full self-dual simple element β and then $\mathcal{W}_{(\overline{\quad})_{\mathrm{D}, \varepsilon}}(t)$ is in canonical bijection to the Witt group $\mathrm{W}_\varepsilon((\overline{\quad})_{\mathrm{E}} \otimes_{\mathrm{F}} (\overline{\quad})_{\mathrm{D}})$, see [54, §7.2, Proposition 7.4, Definition 7.6]. This Witt-group is (non-canonically) isomorphic to the Witt group $\mathrm{W}_\varepsilon(\mathrm{E}/\mathrm{E}_0)$ if both D and E are different from F. Otherwise, this Witt group is canonically isomorphic to $\mathrm{W}_\varepsilon(\mathrm{E}/\mathrm{E}_0)$ (if $\mathrm{D} = \mathrm{F}$) or to $\mathrm{W}_\varepsilon((\overline{\quad})_{\mathrm{D}})$ (if $\mathrm{E} = \mathrm{F}$).

This definition was introduced for $\mathrm{D} = \mathrm{F}$ in [37, §13 after Remark 12.20], see $\mathrm{WT}(\mathcal{O})$ there. For $\mathrm{D} \neq \mathrm{F}$, see [54, §7.4] and the definition of \mathcal{W}_{c_-} there. But there is a subtlety to take into account: In [54, §7.4] the third author attaches to an endo-class of an elementary character a set of Witt-types. Thus we need to prove that every orbit in $\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{F})/\Sigma$ is attached to an elementary character for an ε -Hermitian form with respect to the fixed datum $(\mathrm{D}, (\overline{\quad})_{\mathrm{D}})$. We give the proof and the precise statement in Appendix A.1.

There is a *transfer map* λ_t^* , from $\mathcal{W}_{(\overline{\quad})_{\mathrm{D}, \varepsilon}}(t)$ to $\mathcal{W}_\varepsilon(\mathrm{F}/\mathrm{F}_0)$ (see [37, § 3.5] and [54, § 7.2])

Definition 3.9. An *endo-parameter* \mathfrak{t} for h is a formal sum $\mathfrak{t} = \sum_{t \in (\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{F})/\Sigma)} m_t(t, w_t)$, where $m_t \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ and $w_t \in \mathcal{W}_{(\overline{\quad})_{\mathrm{D}, \varepsilon}}(t)$, satisfying

- (1) $d \mid m_t \deg(c)$, for all $c \in t \in (\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{F})/\Sigma)$,
- (2)
$$\sum_{c \in t \in (\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{F})/\Sigma)} n_t \deg(c) = \deg_{\mathrm{F}}(\Lambda) \text{ with } n_t := \frac{2}{|t|} m_t + \deg_{an}(w_t),$$

where $\deg_{an}(w_t)$ is the anisotropic degree of w_t ,
- (3)
$$\sum_{t \in (\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{F})/\Sigma)} \lambda_t^*(w_t) = [h] \text{ in } \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon(\mathrm{F}/\mathrm{F}_0).$$

Remarks 3.10. (i) We have the obvious forgetful map, from endo-parameters for h to endo-parameters for \tilde{G} , given by forgetting Witt types:

$$\sum_{t \in (\mathcal{E}(F)/\Sigma)} m_t(t, w_t) \mapsto \sum_{c \in t \in (\mathcal{E}(F)/\Sigma)} n_t c,$$

with n_t given in Definition 3.9(2). Endo-parameters for \tilde{G} obtained in this way are called *unrefined endo-parameters for h* .

- (ii) Suppose that G is not special orthogonal or $D \neq F$. Then an endo-parameter for (G, h) is defined to be an endo-parameter for h .
- (iii) Suppose that G is special orthogonal and $D = F$. An endo-parameter for (G, h) is a pair, consisting of an endo-parameter defined as in 3.9 (an endo-parameter for h) and possibly an additional sign - see [37, Definition 12.33].
- (iv) In the case $\varepsilon = 0$, an endo-parameter for G is the endo-parameter given in Definition 3.5, because the Witt type w_t is just a dummy variable with no further information.

For $\varepsilon \neq 0$, by [37, Theorem 12.29 and Corollary 12.34] and [54, Theorem 7.17], the set of intertwining classes of semisimple characters for G is in canonical bijection with the set of endo-parameters for (G, h) (see *ibid.* for the description of this map; this bijection depends on the hermitian form h , not only on the group G). On the other hand, when $\varepsilon = 0$, by the references after Definition 3.5, the set of intertwining classes of semisimple characters for G is in canonical bijection with the set of endo-parameters for G .

It now follows from Lemma 3.8 that an endo-parameter \mathfrak{t} determines a G -conjugacy class of Levi subgroups, namely the class containing $M(\varphi(\beta), G)$ for any parametrization $((V, h), \varphi, \Lambda, \beta)$ of any semisimple character θ_- with endo-parameter \mathfrak{t} . We write $M(\mathfrak{t})$ for (a representative of) this conjugacy class.

3.4. Parabolic induction and restriction maps for endo-parameters. Let P be a Levi subgroup of G and $P = M \rtimes N$ a Levi decomposition of P . We have non-normalized parabolic induction and restriction functors, we denote by $i_P^G : \text{Rep}_R(M) \rightarrow \text{Rep}_R(G)$ and $r_P^G : \text{Rep}_R(G) \rightarrow \text{Rep}_R(M)$ respectively. Here we define maps of endo-parameters, which we will later show are compatible with parabolic induction and restriction.

We write $M = \prod_{j=0}^s M_j$, with M_0 a classical group (or trivial), and M_j general linear groups.

Definition 3.11. An *endo-parameter* \mathfrak{t} for M is a tuple $(\mathfrak{t}_j)_{j=0}^s$ of endo-parameters \mathfrak{t}_j for M_j .

Let $\mathfrak{t} = (\mathfrak{t}_j)_{j=0}^s$ be an endo-parameter for M and choose realizations $\theta_{-,j}$ of \mathfrak{t}_j . Then $\theta_{-,M} = \bigotimes_{j=1}^s \theta_{-,j}$ is a semisimple character for M , and by [43, Proposition 5.1], [54, Theorems 6.6 and 6.10], we can choose a semisimple character θ_- for G with $\theta_-|_M = \theta_{-,M}$. We let $i_M^G(\mathfrak{t})$ denote the endo-parameter for G with realization θ_- . This is independent of the choice of θ_- , as any two choices for $\theta_{-,M}$ intertwine in M (by definition) so, since the corresponding semisimple characters θ_- are decomposed with respect to (M, P) for any parabolic P with Levi M , these realizations θ_- also intertwine so give the same endo-parameter $i_M^G(\mathfrak{t})$. Moreover, parabolic induction of endo-parameters is clearly transitive.

Conversely, let \mathfrak{t} now be an endo-parameter for G . We define $r_M^G(\mathfrak{t})$ by

$$r_M^G(\mathfrak{t}) = \{\text{endo-parameters } \mathfrak{t}_M \text{ for } M : \mathfrak{t} = i_M^G(\mathfrak{t}_M)\}.$$

Set $W_M = N_G(M)/M$. In general, $r_M^G(\mathfrak{t})$ will *not* consist of a single W_M -conjugacy class of endo-parameters for M , but is a (possibly empty) finite set of W_M -conjugacy classes.

There is one case of particular interest, namely when $M = M(\mathfrak{t})$. If θ_- is any semisimple character with endo-parameter \mathfrak{t} , and parametrization $((V, h), \varphi, \Lambda, \beta)$ such that $M = M(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)$, then the restriction $\theta_{-,M} := \theta_-|_M$ is a semisimple character for M , and we write \mathfrak{t}_M for its corresponding endo-parameter for M . The G -conjugacy class of this is independent of the choice of θ_- by [54, Theorem 6.5, Corollary 6.15], and clearly $\mathfrak{t}_M \in r_M^G(\mathfrak{t})$. We call the G -conjugacy class of the pair (M, \mathfrak{t}_M) the *support* of \mathfrak{t} .

4. m -REALIZATIONS OF ENDO-PARAMETERS

We fix (G, h) as introduced in §2.8, and an endo-parameter \mathfrak{t} for (G, h) .

Definition 4.1. A *realization* of \mathfrak{t} for (G, h) is a semisimple character for G of endo-parameter \mathfrak{t} .

Given a parametrization $((V, h), \varphi, \Lambda, \beta)$ of a semisimple character θ_- of G , we write $G_{\varphi(\beta)}$ for the centralizer of $\varphi(\beta)$ in G , and similarly $B_{\varphi(\beta)}$ for the centralizer of $\varphi(\beta)$ in A , so that $B = \bigoplus_{i \in I} B_i$. There is then an embedding of Bruhat–Tits buildings

$$(4.2) \quad j_{\varphi(\beta)} : \mathfrak{B}(G_{\varphi(\beta)}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{B}(G)$$

which is affine, $G_{\varphi(\beta)}$ -equivariant, and respects the Moy–Prasad filtrations, see [52, Theorem 7.2] based on [8, Theorem II.1.1, Lemma II.3.1] and [9, Theorem 6.3, §9]. Note that by Bruhat–Tits building we always mean the enlarged building. The image of $j_{\varphi(\beta)}$ corresponds to the set of h -self-dual \mathfrak{o}_E - \mathfrak{o}_D -lattice functions.

To Λ corresponds a *parahoric subgroup* of $G_{\varphi(\beta)}$ which we denote by $P(\Lambda_{\varphi(\beta)})^\circ$, with pro- p unipotent radical $P_1(\Lambda_{\varphi(\beta)})$, and we write $P(\Lambda_{\varphi(\beta)})$ for the full fixator of the point Λ .

We compose $j_{\varphi(\beta)}$ with the canonical map $\mathfrak{B}(G) \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_{red}(G)$ (which sends a lattice function to its translation class) to obtain a map

$$(4.3) \quad \bar{j}_{\varphi(\beta)} : \mathfrak{B}(G_{\varphi(\beta)}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_{red}(G)$$

which is affine, $G_{\varphi(\beta)}$ -equivariant, and respects Moy–Prasad filtrations. In the following cases, this map is uniquely determined by these three properties:

- if I is a singleton and $\varepsilon = 0$, by [8, Theorem II.1.1], and
- if $I = I^\sigma$ and $\varepsilon \neq 0$ and the center of $G_{\varphi(\beta)}$ is compact, by [52, Theorem 11.3].

Of most importance are the realizations of \mathfrak{t} on a maximal parahoric of the centralizer of an embedded full semisimple element. We denote by $C(H)$ the centre of a group H .

Definition 4.4. An *m -realization* of \mathfrak{t} for (G, h) is a realization of \mathfrak{t} which is in a set of semisimple characters $\mathcal{C}_h(\Lambda, \varphi(\beta))$ for G such that $C(G_{\varphi(\beta)})/C(M(\varphi(\beta), G))$ is compact and $P(\Lambda_{\varphi(\beta)})^\circ$ is a maximal parahoric subgroup of $G_{\varphi(\beta)}$.

The property of being an m -realization of \mathfrak{t} can be verified by any parametrization:

Proposition 4.5 (cf. [37, Propositions 11.3]). *Let θ_- be an m -realization of \mathfrak{t} for (G, h) and let $((V, h), \varphi', \Lambda', \beta')$ be a parametrization of θ_- . Then $C(G_{\varphi'(\beta')})/C(M(\varphi'(\beta'), G))$ is compact and the group $(G_{\varphi'(\beta')})_{\Lambda'}^\circ$ is a maximal parahoric subgroup of $G_{\varphi'(\beta')}$.*

The technical idea for the proposition lies in the following lemma. For θ a semisimple character, we write $I_G(\theta)$ for the set of elements of G which intertwine θ with itself.

Lemma 4.6. *Suppose $h = 0$ and let $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda, \varphi(\beta))$ and $\theta' \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda, \varphi'(\beta))$ be transfers and suppose that $F[\beta]$ is a field. Suppose further that 1 intertwines θ with θ' . Then θ and θ' coincide.*

Proof. By Skolem–Noether there is an element $g \in G = \tilde{G}$ such that ${}^g\varphi = \varphi'$. Then g intertwines θ with θ' . Thus we have

$$1 = s' g b s, \quad s' \in I_G(\theta') \cap P_1(\Lambda), \quad s \in I_G(\theta) \cap P_1(\Lambda), \quad b \in G_{\varphi(\beta)},$$

by [54, Theorem 6.7(ii)]. On the residue fields conjugation by the elements 1 and g give the same map

$$k_{F[\varphi(\beta)]} \rightarrow k_{F[\varphi'(\beta)]}.$$

Thus, by [55, Proposition 4.39], we can assume without loss of generality that g is an element of $P(\Lambda)$, so that $b \in P(\Lambda) \cap G_{\varphi(\beta)}$. In particular, g normalizes the lattice sequence Λ and conjugates $\varphi(\beta)$ to $\varphi'(\beta)$, so also conjugates $H^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)$ to $H^1(\varphi'(\beta), \Lambda)$; it follows that $\theta' = {}^g\theta$. Since b also normalizes θ , we get $\theta = {}^1\theta = s' g b s \theta = s' \theta' = \theta'$. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.5. Let $((V, h), \varphi, \Lambda, \beta)$ be a parametrization for θ_- given as in Definition 4.4. By [55, Corollary 5.17] together with the proof of [54, Proposition 6.9] there is an element in the normalizer of θ_- in G which maps the associated splitting of $\varphi(\beta)$ to the associated splitting of $\varphi'(\beta')$. We therefore assume without loss of generality that $\varphi(\beta)$ and $\varphi'(\beta')$ have the same associated splitting and the matching is the identity. Thus we can reduce to the cases $(h = 0$ and $|\mathbb{I}| = 1)$ and $(h \neq 0$ and $\mathbb{I}^\sigma = \mathbb{I})$.

We start with the second case $(h \neq 0$ and $\mathbb{I}^\sigma = \mathbb{I})$: If we are in the case that h is orthogonal giving $\mathrm{SO}(1, 1)(F)$ (which is isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}_1(F) = F^\times$) then every semisimple character for G is an m -realization for its endo-parameter. So let us suppose that we are not in this particular case. Then, by [61, §7] and [53, §10.3] the center of $G_{\varphi'(\beta')}$ is compact and $P(\Lambda_{\varphi(\beta)})^\circ$ is a maximal parahoric, because θ_- is contained in a cuspidal irreducible representation of G .

We now prove the first case $(h = 0$ and $|\mathbb{I}| = 1)$: At first $C(G_{\varphi'(\beta')})/C(M(\varphi'(\beta'), G))$ is compact because $F[\beta']$ is a field. By intertwining, see [55, Proposition 5.31], we have that $F[\beta]/F$ and $F[\beta']/F$ share the same degrees and inertia degrees. Thus we only have to show

$$(4.7) \quad J(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)/J^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \simeq J(\varphi(\beta'), \Lambda')/J^1(\varphi(\beta'), \Lambda')$$

as groups, because the first one is isomorphic to a general linear group over a finite field.

By [55, Proposition 5.42] there are an element $\gamma \in \mathbb{A}$ (the Lie algebra of $\tilde{G} = G$) and an element $g \in G$ such that γ generates a field and

$$\mathcal{C}(\Lambda, \gamma) = \mathcal{C}(\Lambda, \varphi(\beta)), \quad \mathcal{C}(\Lambda', {}^g\gamma) = \mathcal{C}(\Lambda', \varphi'(\beta'))$$

and θ is its transfer between those sets. So we can reduce to the following two cases: $\Lambda = \Lambda'$; and $\beta = \beta'$ (with possibly $\Lambda \neq \Lambda'$).

If $\Lambda = \Lambda'$ then (4.7) follows, because $J(-, \Lambda) = I_G(\theta) \cap P(\Lambda)$ with pro- p -radical $J^1(-, \Lambda)$. So suppose $\beta = \beta'$, $\Lambda \neq \Lambda'$ and θ is its transfer from Λ to Λ' . The normalizer of θ is contained in the normalizer of Λ because $P(\Lambda_{\varphi(\beta)})$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $G_{\varphi(\beta)}$. Thus $F[\varphi'(\beta)]^\times$ normalizes Λ . By Lemma 4.6 the character θ and its transfer to $\mathcal{C}(\Lambda, \varphi'(\beta))$ coincide. Thus by the case of equal lattice sequences above we can reduce to the case $\varphi = \varphi'$, i.e. it is sufficient to prove the result in the case

$$\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda, \varphi(\beta)) \cap \mathcal{C}(\Lambda', \varphi(\beta)).$$

The domain of θ is equal to $H^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)$ and $H^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda')$. Intersecting with $G_{\varphi(\beta)}$ implies

$$P_1(\Lambda_{\varphi(\beta)}) = P_1(\Lambda'_{\varphi(\beta)})$$

and therefore the corresponding hereditary orders $\mathfrak{b}(\Lambda)$ and $\mathfrak{b}(\Lambda')$ in $B_{\varphi(\beta)}$ have the same radical and therefore coincide. This finishes the proof. \square

We are going to study the G -conjugacy classes of m -realizations of \mathfrak{t} for (G, h) . Unfortunately, in the case of non-simple endo-parameters there are infinitely many conjugacy classes. So we consider a coarser partition than G -conjugacy. We will see that this partition is finite.

Definition 4.8. We call two realizations $\theta_-, \theta'_- \in \mathcal{C}_-(h)$ of \mathfrak{t} *essentially G -conjugate* if they intertwine in G and there are parametrizations $((V, h), \varphi, \Lambda, \beta)$ and $((V, h), \varphi', \Lambda', \beta')$ for θ_- and θ'_- , respectively, and an element $g \in G$ such that

- (i) $gV_i = V_{\zeta(i)}$, for all $i \in \mathbb{I}$, and
- (ii) ${}^g(\theta_{-, M(\varphi(\beta), G)}) = \theta'_{-, M(\varphi'(\beta'), G)}$ (see Definition 3.7).

where $\zeta : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}'$ is the matching given by the intertwining of θ_-, θ'_- .

Proposition 4.9. *Essential G -conjugacy is an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{C}_-(h)$.*

We call the equivalence classes of Proposition 4.9 *essential G -conjugacy classes*.

Proof. It is enough to prove the following claim:

Let $((V, h), \varphi, \Lambda, \beta)$ and $((V, h), \varphi', \Lambda', \beta')$ be parametrizations of $\theta_- \in \mathcal{C}_h(\mathbf{G})$. Then there is $g \in \mathbf{G}$ such that $gV_i = V_{\zeta(i)}$, for all $i \in \mathbf{I}$, and ${}^g(\theta_{-, \mathbf{M}(\varphi(\beta), \mathbf{G})}) = \theta_{-, \mathbf{M}(\varphi'(\beta'), \mathbf{G})}$, where $\zeta : \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \mathbf{I}'$ is the matching given by the intertwining of θ_- with itself.

Without loss of generality we can assume that there is an element $x \in \tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ such that $x\varphi(\beta)x^{-1} = \varphi'(\beta')$ and such that θ_- is the transfer of θ_- from $\mathcal{C}_h(\Lambda, \varphi(\beta))$ to $\mathcal{C}_h(\Lambda', \varphi'(\beta'))$ – see [54, Theorem 6.6, 6.10]. By [54, Theorem 6.7(ii) (6.8)] when $\varepsilon = 0$ and [54, Theorem 6.12(ii) (6.14)] when $\varepsilon \neq 0$, we have

$$\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{G}}(\theta_-) = (S(\varphi'(\beta'), \Lambda') \cap \mathbf{G})(x\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{\varphi(\beta)} \cap \mathbf{G})(S(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \cap \mathbf{G}),$$

where $S(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)$ is a certain compact open subgroup of \mathbf{G} whose definition can be found in [55, before Proposition 5.15]. In particular, since 1 intertwines θ_- , we can write

$$1 = uxbv, \quad u \in S(\varphi'(\beta'), \Lambda') \cap \mathbf{G}, \quad v \in S(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \cap \mathbf{G}, \quad xb \in \mathbf{G} \cap x\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{\varphi(\beta)}.$$

Then xb normalizes θ_- because $1, u, v$ do. Therefore $g = xb$ fulfils the desired property. \square

Theorem 4.10. *There are finitely many essential \mathbf{G} -conjugacy classes of m -realizations of \mathfrak{t} for (\mathbf{G}, h) .*

To prove the theorem we need the following lemma:

Lemma 4.11. *Let $((V, h), \varphi, \Lambda, \beta)$ be a parametrization of a semisimple character of \mathbf{G} with $\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{I}^\sigma$. Let Λ' be a self-dual lattice sequence split by the associated splitting of $\varphi(\beta)$ and of the same \mathbf{F} -period as Λ . Suppose that, for all $i \in \mathbf{I}$, the lattice sequence Λ'_i is a translate of Λ_i . Then Λ is a translate of Λ' .*

Proof. As Λ and Λ' are self-dual, and by the hypothesis, there exist $l, k, k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, for $i \in \mathbf{I}$, such that

- (i) $\Lambda(j)^{\#_h} = \Lambda(-j + l)$,
- (ii) $\Lambda'(j)^{\#_h} = \Lambda'(-j + k)$,
- (iii) $\Lambda_i(j) = \Lambda'_i(j + k_i)$,

for all integers j , where $\#_h$ denotes the duality on lattices induced by h . Therefore $k_i = \frac{k-l}{2}$ is independent of i . \square

Proof of Theorem 4.10. Let $\theta_- \in \mathcal{C}_h(\Lambda, \varphi(\beta))$ be an m -realization of \mathfrak{t} for (\mathbf{G}, h) . All other m -realizations of \mathfrak{t} for (\mathbf{G}, h) intertwine with θ_- in \mathbf{G} and we can therefore conjugate the associated splittings to the splitting of $\varphi(\beta)$ by an element of \mathbf{G} , by [54, Theorem 6.7(i) and 6.12(i)], which reduces us to the case where $\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{I}^\sigma$ and $\mathbf{M}(\varphi(\beta), \mathbf{G}) = \mathbf{G}$. Thus if $h = 0$ then we have $|\mathbf{I}| = 1$ and if $h \neq 0$ we have $\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{I}^\sigma$. We have to show that there are finitely many \mathbf{G} -conjugacy classes of m -realizations of \mathfrak{t} for (\mathbf{G}, h) . Note that there are only finitely many \mathbf{G} -orbits of points $x' = [\Lambda']$ in the reduced building $\mathfrak{B}_{red}(\mathbf{G})$ for which there exists an m -realization $\theta'_- \in \mathcal{C}_h(\Lambda', \varphi'(\beta'))$ of \mathfrak{t} for (\mathbf{G}, h) such that, under the embedding (4.3), the point x' is the image of a point attached to a maximal parahoric subgroup of $\mathbf{G}_{\varphi'(\beta')}$; indeed, such a point $x' = (x'_i)_{i \in \mathbf{I}}$, $x'_i \in \mathfrak{B}_{red}(\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{D}}(V_i))$, fulfils extra conditions on the barycentric coordinates: for example, the barycentric coordinates of x'_i have to be of the form $\frac{q}{2e(\mathbf{E}_i:\mathbf{F})}$ with $0 \leq q \leq 2e(\mathbf{E}_i/\mathbf{F})$, by the formula in [8, Lemma II.3.1]. Thus we have only a finite number of $\mathbf{G} \cap \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{D}}(V_i)$ -orbits for points x'_i . Lemma 4.11 then provides the finiteness of the number of \mathbf{G} -orbits for points x' .

We consider the point in $\mathfrak{B}_{red}(\mathbf{G})$ corresponding to Λ given by the chosen parametrization of θ_- . Every m -realization $\theta'_- \in \mathcal{C}_h(\Lambda, \varphi'(\beta'))$ intertwines with θ_- in \mathbf{G} . So we obtain a map

$$\zeta_{\theta'_-} : k_{\mathbf{E}} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{a}_0/\mathfrak{a}_1,$$

by [55, Lemma 5.51], where \mathfrak{a}_0 is the hereditary order corresponding to Λ and \mathfrak{a}_1 its radical. Two m -realizations $\theta'_- \in \mathcal{C}_h(\Lambda, \varphi'(\beta'))$ and $\theta''_- \in \mathcal{C}_h(\Lambda, \varphi''(\beta''))$ of \mathfrak{t} for (\mathbf{G}, h) are \mathbf{G} -conjugate if $\zeta_{\theta'_-} = \zeta_{\theta''_-}$, by [55, Theorem 1.5] when $\varepsilon = 0$, and by [54, Theorem 1.1] (quaternionic case), [56, Theorem 10.3] (symplectic and unitary case) and [37, Theorem 10.4, see Remark 10.5] (special orthogonal case) when $\varepsilon \neq 0$. The number of field embeddings of $\kappa_{\mathbf{E}}$ into $\mathfrak{a}_0/\mathfrak{a}_1$ is finite, because both sets are finite. This finishes the proof. \square

Lemma 4.12. *Suppose \mathfrak{t} is an endo-parameter for (G, h) and put $M = M(\mathfrak{t})$, the Levi subgroup associated to \mathfrak{t} (well-defined up to G -conjugacy), and set \mathfrak{t}_M as in 3.4. The essential G -conjugacy classes of m -realizations of \mathfrak{t} are in bijection with the M -conjugacy classes of m -realizations of \mathfrak{t}_M .*

Proof. Let θ_- be an m -realization of \mathfrak{t} from $((V, h), \varphi, \Lambda, \beta)$, so that (by conjugating in G) we can assume $M(\varphi(\beta), G) = M$. Then $\theta_{-,M} = \theta_-|_M$ is a semisimple character for M and determines an endo-parameter \mathfrak{t}_M , and $\theta_{-,M}$ is an m -realization of \mathfrak{t}_M .

Now suppose θ'_- is another m -realization of \mathfrak{t} , from $((V, h), \varphi', \Lambda', \beta')$; again, by conjugating in G , we can assume $M(\varphi(\beta), G) = M$. Then we similarly get an endo-parameter \mathfrak{t}'_M for M , which is $N_G(M)$ -conjugate to \mathfrak{t}_M . In fact, by changing our conjugation in G , we can assume $\mathfrak{t}_M = \mathfrak{t}'_M$ – this is the same as conjugating so that the matching between θ_- and θ'_- is the identity map.

By definition, θ'_- is essentially G -conjugate to θ_- if and only if there is $g \in G$ such that ${}^gM = M$ and ${}^g\theta_{-,M} = \theta'_{-,M}$, that is, if and only if there is $g \in N_G(M)$ such that ${}^g\theta_{-,M} = \theta'_{-,M}$. But any such g must come from the matching between θ_- and θ'_- so in fact is in M . Thus θ'_- is essentially G -conjugate to θ_- if and only if $\theta_{-,M}$ is M -conjugate to $\theta'_{-,M}$. \square

5. HEISENBERG REPRESENTATIONS

5.1. Heisenberg representations and semisimple characters over $\mathbb{Z}[1/p, \mu_{p^\infty}]$. Let β be a self-dual full semisimple element, and $((V, h), \varphi, \Lambda) \in \mathcal{Z}_{F/\mathbb{F}_0, \varepsilon}(\beta)$. Then also attached to this datum, see [61, 3.2], [55, Definition 5.4], and [53, §4], are Σ -stable compact open subgroups $\tilde{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \leq \tilde{J}(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)$ of \tilde{G} containing $H^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)$, and we write

$$J^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) = \tilde{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \cap G, \quad J(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) = \tilde{J}(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \cap G,$$

for the associated compact open subgroups of G . The group $J^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)$ is pro- p , and normal in $J(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)$ with

$$J(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)/J^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \simeq (G_{\varphi(\beta)})_{\Lambda}/(G_{\varphi(\beta)})_{\Lambda}^1,$$

a finite reductive group.

Let $\theta_- \in \mathcal{C}_h(\Lambda, \varphi(\beta))$ be a self-dual semisimple character. Then there exists a unique irreducible \mathbb{C} -representation η_- of $J^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)$ which contains θ_- , by [61, Proposition 3.5], Lemma B.4 and [53, Proposition 4.3]. These representations are called *Heisenberg \mathbb{C} -representations*, and this definition is extended to algebraically closed fields in [38].

5.1.1. Integral semisimple characters. Let K be a compact open (pro- p) normal subgroup of $H^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)$ such that all (\mathbb{C} -valued) semisimple characters in $\mathcal{C}_h(\Lambda, \varphi(\beta))$ are trivial on K . We fix r sufficiently large, so that all characters of all pro- p subgroups of $P(\Lambda)$ trivial on K take values in $\mathbb{Z}[\mu_{p^r}]$. In particular, for $\theta \in \mathcal{C}_h(\Lambda, \varphi(\beta))$, we can choose an integral model of θ as a free $\mathbb{Z}[\mu_{p^r}]$ -module of rank one, on which $H^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)$ acts via

$$\theta : H^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\mu_{p^r}]^\times.$$

Let R be a $\mathbb{Z}[\mu_{p^r}]$ -algebra. We set $\theta_R = \theta \otimes R$ which gives the natural action of θ on a free R -module of rank one. In particular, if R is an algebraically closed field of characteristic different to p , θ_R agrees with the previous definitions of semisimple characters.

We write $\mathcal{C}_{h,R}(\Lambda, \varphi(\beta))$ for the set of R -valued semisimple characters, or “semisimple R -characters”, obtained from $\mathcal{C}_h(\Lambda, \varphi(\beta))$ by considering the natural integral structure in each semisimple character as described above (for the fixed $\mathbb{Z}[\mu_{p^r}]$ -algebra structure on R).

We record the following properties:

Lemma 5.1. *Let R be a $\mathbb{Z}[\mu_{p^r}]$ -algebra, and $\theta_R \in \mathcal{C}_{h,R}(\Lambda, \varphi(\beta))$.*

- (i) *The underlying R -module of θ_R is a free R -module of rank one.*
- (ii) *If p is invertible in R , θ_R is a projective $R[H^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)]$ -module.*

(iii) Suppose $\mu_{p^r} \otimes 1$ has order p^r in R^\times . Then the natural map

$$\mathcal{C}_{h, \mathbb{Z}[\mu_{p^r}]}(\Lambda, \varphi(\beta)) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{h, R}(\Lambda, \varphi(\beta)).$$

is a bijection.

Proof. The first and final properties follow immediately from our construction, and the second property from Lemma 2.6. \square

Proposition 5.2. Let $R_{0,r} = \mathbb{Z}[1/p, \mu_{p^r}]$, and R be an $R_{0,r}$ -algebra. For $g \in G$, the intertwining of θ is given by

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R[\mathrm{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \cap \mathrm{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)^g](\theta_R, (\theta_R)^g) \simeq \begin{cases} R & \text{if } g \in \mathrm{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \mathrm{G}_{\varphi(\beta)} \mathrm{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda); \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.5, it suffices to consider the case $R_{0,r}$. Again, by Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{R_{0,r}}[\mathrm{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \cap \mathrm{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)^g](\theta_{R_{0,r}}, (\theta_{R_{0,r}})^g) \otimes \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}[\mathrm{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \cap \mathrm{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)^g](\theta_{\mathbb{C}}, (\theta_{\mathbb{C}})^g).$$

And by the complex setting of [60, Proposition 3.27], [55, Proposition 5.15], [54, Theorem 6.12] we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}[\mathrm{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \cap \mathrm{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)^g](\theta_{\mathbb{C}}, (\theta_{\mathbb{C}})^g) \simeq \mathbb{C}.$$

if $g \in \mathrm{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \mathrm{G}_{\varphi(\beta)} \mathrm{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)$ and is zero otherwise.

As $\mathrm{Hom}_{R_{0,r}}[\mathrm{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \cap \mathrm{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)^g](\theta_{R_{0,r}}, (\theta_{R_{0,r}})^g) \subseteq \mathrm{Hom}_{R_{0,r}}(\theta_{R_{0,r}}, (\theta_{R_{0,r}})^g) \simeq R_{0,r}$, it is a torsion-free $R_{0,r}$ -module, and

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{R_{0,r}}[\mathrm{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \cap \mathrm{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)^g](\theta_{R_{0,r}}, (\theta_{R_{0,r}})^g) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{R_{0,r}}[\mathrm{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \cap \mathrm{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)^g](\theta_{R_{0,r}}, (\theta_{R_{0,r}})^g) \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

and hence it is zero if $g \notin \mathrm{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \mathrm{G}_{\varphi(\beta)} \mathrm{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)$.

Suppose then $g \in \mathrm{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \mathrm{G}_{\varphi(\beta)} \mathrm{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)$. Then, as $\theta_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a character on the vector space \mathbb{C} , the Hom-space is non-zero if and only if the restrictions of the morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{\mathbb{C}} &: \mathrm{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \\ (\theta_{\mathbb{C}})^g &: \mathrm{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)^g \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \end{aligned}$$

to $\mathrm{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \cap \mathrm{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)^g$ are equal. In particular, by definition, the restrictions of $\theta_{R_{0,r}}$ and $(\theta_{R_{0,r}})^g$ to $\mathrm{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \cap \mathrm{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)^g$ are equal, and hence for $g \in \mathrm{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \mathrm{G}_{\varphi(\beta)} \mathrm{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)$ we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{R_{0,r}}[\mathrm{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \cap \mathrm{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)^g](\theta_{R_{0,r}}, (\theta_{R_{0,r}})^g) = \mathrm{End}_{R_{0,r}}(\theta_{R_{0,r}}, (\theta_{R_{0,r}})^g) \simeq R_{0,r}$$

as the underlying $R_{0,r}$ -modules of $\theta_{R_{0,r}}, (\theta_{R_{0,r}})^g$ are free of rank one over $R_{0,r}$. \square

5.1.2. *Integral Heisenberg representations.* One can also choose a natural integral model for a Heisenberg representation, as we now explain by revisiting the construction of Heisenberg representations. We continue with the above notation, β is a self-dual full semisimple element, and $((V, h), \varphi, \Lambda) \in \mathcal{L}_{F/\mathbb{F}_0, \varepsilon}(\beta)$.

Lemma 5.3. (i) *The derived subgroup of $\mathrm{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)$ satisfies*

$$[\mathrm{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda), \mathrm{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)] \leq \mathrm{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda).$$

(ii) *The quotient $\mathrm{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)/\mathrm{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)$ is an elementary p -group.*

Proof. Let L/F be a maximal unramified field extension in D . We write $\mathrm{J}^1, \mathrm{H}^1, \mathrm{J}_L^1, \mathrm{H}_L^1$ for the subgroups

$$\mathrm{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda), \mathrm{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda), \mathrm{J}^1(\varphi(\beta) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} 1, \Lambda), \mathrm{H}^1(\varphi(\beta) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} 1, \Lambda)$$

of G and $\tilde{G} \otimes L$, respectively. By [55, Proposition 5.6] we have $\mathrm{H}^1 = \mathrm{H}_L^1 \cap G$ and therefore $\mathrm{J}^1/\mathrm{H}^1$ identifies with a subgroup of $\mathrm{J}_L^1/\mathrm{H}_L^1$. The first assertion follows from [60, Corollary 3.12] and the second assertion from [60, Lemma 3.11 (ii) and (iv)]. \square

Hence the pairing

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{k}_\theta : \mathbb{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)/\mathbb{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \times \mathbb{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)/\mathbb{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\mu_{p^r}] \\ (j_1, j_2) &\mapsto \theta[j_1, j_2] \end{aligned}$$

takes values in the p -th roots of unity μ_p (as $\mathbb{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)/\mathbb{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)$ is an elementary p -group). Identifying, the cyclic group of p -th roots of unity with \mathbb{F}_p , then by [60, Proposition 3.28], [49, Proposition 3.9], Lemma B.4, and [53, Lemma 4.2], the pairing \mathbf{k}_θ defines a non-degenerate symplectic form on the \mathbb{F}_p -vector space $\mathbb{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)/\mathbb{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)$. Choose a polarization of $\mathbb{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)/\mathbb{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) = \mathcal{W}_+ \oplus \mathcal{W}_-$ with respect to this form. The inverse image $\mathbb{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)_+$ of \mathcal{W}_+ in $\mathbb{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)$ defines a maximal abelian subgroup of $\mathbb{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)/\mathbb{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)$, and we choose an extension of θ to a character $\theta_+ : \mathbb{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\mu_{p^r}]^\times$ acting on the same free $\mathbb{Z}[\mu_{p^r}]$ -module as θ .

For any $\mathbb{Z}[\mu_{p^r}]$ -algebra \mathbb{R} , we then define

$$\eta_{\mathbb{R}} = \eta_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{W}_+, \theta_+) = \text{ind}_{\mathbb{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)_+}^{\mathbb{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)}(\theta_+) \otimes \mathbb{R}$$

to be the Heisenberg \mathbb{R} -representation associated to $(\mathcal{W}_+, \theta_+)$. The following lemma is straightforward:

- Lemma 5.4.** (i) *Suppose \mathbb{R} is an algebraically closed field of characteristic different from p , then $\eta_{\mathbb{R}}$ defines the unique isomorphism class of Heisenberg \mathbb{R} -representations of previous works, and in particular $\eta_{\mathbb{R}}$ is irreducible.*
(ii) *The underlying \mathbb{R} -module of $\eta_{\mathbb{R}}$ is free of rank*

$$[\mathbb{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) : \mathbb{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)_+] = [\mathbb{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) : \mathbb{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)]^{1/2}.$$

- (iii) *If p is invertible in \mathbb{R} , then any Heisenberg \mathbb{R} -representation is projective.*
(iv) *If p is invertible in \mathbb{R} , then the isomorphism class of $\eta_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{W}_+, \theta_+)$ is independent of the choice of $(\mathcal{W}_+, \theta_+)$, and $\text{End}_{\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)]}(\eta_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{W}_+, \theta_+))$ is free of rank one over \mathbb{R} .*

Proof. The first two statements are straightforward. The \mathbb{R} -representation $\theta_+ \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is projective by Lemma 2.6, and hence $\eta_{\mathbb{R}}$ is projective as compact induction from an open subgroup preserves projectivity. The final statement obviously reduces to the case $\mathbb{R}_{0,r} = \mathbb{Z}[1/p, \mu_{p^r}]$ (using Lemma 2.5 for the statement about endomorphism algebras).

By Mackey theory, we have a $\mathbb{R}_{0,r}$ -module decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}_{0,r}[\mathbb{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)]}(\eta_{\mathbb{R}_{0,r}}(\mathcal{W}_+, \theta_+), \eta_{\mathbb{R}_{0,r}}(\mathcal{W}'_+, \theta'_+)) \\ \simeq \bigoplus \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}_{0,r}[\mathbb{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)_+ \cap (\mathbb{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)'_+)^g]}(\theta_+, (\theta'_+)^g) \end{aligned}$$

where for $\mathbb{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)'_+$ the prime denotes the subgroup defined by the maximal isotropic subspace \mathcal{W}'_+ . As in the proof of Proposition 5.2, if any of these Hom-spaces are non-trivial, then they are free $\mathbb{R}_{0,r}$ -modules of rank one. Hence, $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}_{0,r}[\mathbb{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)]}(\eta_{\mathbb{R}_{0,r}}(\mathcal{W}_+, \theta_+), \eta_{\mathbb{R}_{0,r}}(\mathcal{W}'_+, \theta'_+))$ is a free $\mathbb{R}_{0,r}$ -module.

By Lemma 2.5,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}_{0,r}[\mathbb{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)]}(\eta_{\mathbb{R}_{0,r}}(\mathcal{W}_+, \theta_+), \eta_{\mathbb{R}_{0,r}}(\mathcal{W}'_+, \theta'_+)) \otimes \mathbb{C} \\ \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)]}(\eta_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{W}_+, \theta_+), \eta_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{W}'_+, \theta'_+)) \simeq \mathbb{C}, \end{aligned}$$

and in particular the integral Hom-space is non-zero, and as it is a free $\mathbb{R}_{0,r}$ -module, the Hom-space is a free $\mathbb{R}_{0,r}$ -module of rank one.

Choose any generator ϕ of the Hom-space as a $\mathbb{R}_{0,r}$ -module, then

$$\phi \otimes 1 : \eta_{\mathbb{R}_{0,r}}(\mathcal{W}_+, \theta_+) \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \eta_{\mathbb{R}_{0,r}}(\mathcal{W}'_+, \theta'_+) \otimes \mathbb{C},$$

is an isomorphism, as any non-zero morphism is by part (i). Moreover, as \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{K} is faithfully flat, it follows that

$$\phi \otimes 1 : \eta_{\mathbb{R}_{0,r}}(\mathcal{W}_+, \theta_+) \otimes \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \eta_{\mathbb{R}_{0,r}}(\mathcal{W}'_+, \theta'_+) \otimes \mathbb{K},$$

is an isomorphism.

Moreover, for any maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{m}\text{-Spec}(\mathbb{R}_{0,r})$ consider the morphism

$$\phi \otimes 1 : \eta_{\mathbb{R}_{0,r}}(\mathcal{W}_+, \theta_+) \otimes \mathbb{R}/\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \eta_{\mathbb{R}_{0,r}}(\mathcal{W}'_+, \theta'_+) \otimes \mathbb{R}/\mathfrak{m}.$$

Now $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}/\mathfrak{m}}[\mathbb{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)](\eta_{\mathbb{R}/\mathfrak{m}}(\mathcal{W}_+, \theta_+), \eta_{\mathbb{R}/\mathfrak{m}}(\mathcal{W}'_+, \theta'_+))$ is free of rank one over \mathbb{R}/\mathfrak{m} (say by Lemma 2.5 again), and by faithfully flat descent from $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell \simeq \mathbb{R}/\mathfrak{m}$ (and part (i)), any non-zero morphism is an isomorphism. Moreover, $\phi \otimes 1$ is non-zero because it generates $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}_{0,r}[\mathbb{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)]}(\eta_{\mathbb{R}_{0,r}}(\mathcal{W}_+, \theta_+), \eta_{\mathbb{R}_{0,r}}(\mathcal{W}'_+, \theta'_+))$ as an $\mathbb{R}_{0,r}$ -module and hence generates

$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}_{0,r}[\mathbb{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)]}(\eta_{\mathbb{R}_{0,r}}(\mathcal{W}_+, \theta_+), \eta_{\mathbb{R}_{0,r}}(\mathcal{W}'_+, \theta'_+)) \otimes \mathbb{R}/\mathfrak{m} \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}/\mathfrak{m}}[\mathbb{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)](\eta_{\mathbb{R}/\mathfrak{m}}(\mathcal{W}_+, \theta_+), \eta_{\mathbb{R}/\mathfrak{m}}(\mathcal{W}'_+, \theta'_+))$ as an $\mathbb{R}_{0,r}/\mathfrak{m}$ -module.

It follows from Lemma 2.4, that ϕ is an isomorphism. \square

By [61, Proposition 3.5], Proposition B.8, [53, Proposition 4.3], we deduce that Heisenberg \mathbb{R} -representations enjoy the following intertwining properties:

Corollary 5.5. *Let \mathbb{R} be a $\mathbb{R}_{0,r}$ -algebra, and $\eta_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a Heisenberg \mathbb{R} -representation constructed as above. For $g \in \mathbb{G}$, the intertwining of η is given by*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \cap \mathbb{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)^g]}(\eta_{\mathbb{R}}, \eta_{\mathbb{R}}^g) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & \text{if } g \in \mathbb{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \mathbb{G}_{\varphi(\beta)} \mathbb{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda); \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose g is in the intertwining. Then as $\eta_{\mathbb{R}} = \text{ind}_{\mathbb{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)_+}^{\mathbb{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)}(\theta_+)$, by Mackey theory we have an \mathbb{R} -module decomposition $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \cap \mathbb{J}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)^g]}(\eta_{\mathbb{R}}, \eta_{\mathbb{R}}^g)$ into a direct sum of Hom-spaces between characters, and again we find only one space is non-zero by extending scalars to \mathbb{C} where we know the intertwining. The rest follows mutatis mutandis the proof of Proposition 5.2. \square

5.2. Heisenberg representations and parabolic induction. We continue with the notation of the previous section, so that β is a self-dual full semisimple element, and $((V, h), \varphi, \Lambda) \in \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_0, \varepsilon}(\beta)$. We also take $\mathbb{R}_{0,r} = \mathbb{Z}[1/p, \mu_{p^r}]$, for r sufficiently large, and assume throughout this section that \mathbb{R} is an $\mathbb{R}_{0,r}$ -algebra.

Let $V = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathcal{S}} V^{(j)}$ be a decomposition of V which is properly subordinate to $[\Lambda, n, 0, \varphi(\beta)]$ if $h = 0$ and properly self-dual subordinate to the stratum if $h \neq 0$, in the sense of [53, Definition 8.(ii), 8.2] (cf. [61, Definition 5.1]). Let $M = \mathbb{G} \cap (\prod \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{D}}(V^{(j)}))$ be the Levi subgroup of \mathbb{G} defined by this decomposition, and $P = MN$ be any parabolic subgroup of \mathbb{G} with Levi factor M , and $P^\circ = MN^\circ$ the opposite parabolic subgroup of P , so that $P \cap P^\circ = M$. Associated to the decomposition, we also get a decomposition of the stratum as a sum of semisimple strata $[\Lambda^{(j)}, n^{(j)}, 0, \varphi(\beta^{(j)})]$.

In this situation, let $\theta \in \mathcal{C}_h(\Lambda, \varphi(\beta))$ which we consider as a character $\theta : \mathbb{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\mu_{p^r}]^\times$ acting on a free module of rank one over \mathbb{R} . Then $\theta|_{\mathbb{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \cap N}$, $\theta|_{\mathbb{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \cap N^\circ}$ are trivial, and

$$\theta|_{\mathbb{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \cap M} = \bigotimes \theta^{(j)},$$

decomposes into a product of semisimple characters $\theta^{(j)} \in \mathcal{C}_h(\Lambda^{(j)}, \varphi(\beta^{(j)}))$ (which we consider as acting on the same free rank one \mathbb{R} -module as θ). In the following, we abbreviate $\mathbb{H}^1 = \mathbb{H}^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)$ etc.

Lemma 5.6. (i) *The subspaces $(\mathbb{J}^1 \cap N)/(\mathbb{H}^1 \cap N)$ and $(\mathbb{J}^1 \cap N^\circ)/(\mathbb{H}^1 \cap N^\circ)$ of $\mathbb{J}^1/\mathbb{H}^1$ are both totally isotropic for the form \mathbf{k}_θ and orthogonal to $(\mathbb{J}^1 \cap M)/(\mathbb{H}^1 \cap M)$.*

(ii) *The restriction of \mathbf{k}_θ to $(\mathbb{J}^1 \cap M)/(\mathbb{H}^1 \cap M) = \prod \mathbb{J}^1(\beta^{(j)}, \Lambda^{(j)})/\mathbb{H}^1(\varphi(\beta^{(j)}), \Lambda^{(j)})$ is the orthogonal sum of the pairings $\mathbf{k}_{\theta^{(j)}}$.*

(iii) *We have an orthogonal sum decomposition*

$$\mathbb{J}^1/\mathbb{H}^1 = ((\mathbb{J}^1 \cap M)/(\mathbb{H}^1 \cap M)) \oplus ((\mathbb{J}^1 \cap N)/(\mathbb{H}^1 \cap N) \times (\mathbb{J}^1 \cap N^\circ)/(\mathbb{H}^1 \cap N^\circ)).$$

Proof. Follows from a mild adaptation of [14, 7.2.3] and [61, Lemma 5.6]. \square

We now choose our totally isotropic subspace \mathcal{W}_+ of $\mathbb{J}^1/\mathbb{H}^1$ with respect to the decomposition of (iii): we choose a totally isotropic subspace $\mathcal{W}_{M,+}$ of the image of $(\mathbb{J}^1 \cap M)$ in $\mathbb{J}^1/\mathbb{H}^1$ and take $\mathcal{W}_{N,+}$ the image of $(\mathbb{J}^1 \cap N)$ in $\mathbb{J}^1/\mathbb{H}^1$

$$\mathcal{W}_+ = \mathcal{W}_{M,+} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{N,+}.$$

Then write J_+^1 for the pre-image in J^1 of \mathcal{W}_+ . Moreover, by (ii), we can decompose

$$\mathcal{W}_{M,+} = \prod \mathcal{W}_{(j),+}$$

where $\mathcal{W}_{(j),+}$ is a totally isotropic subspace of $J^1(\beta^{(j)}, \Lambda^{(j)})/\mathbf{H}^1(\beta^{(j)}, \Lambda^{(j)})$ with respect to $\mathbf{k}_{\theta^{(j)}}$, and choosing extensions $\theta_+^{(j)}$ of $\theta^{(j)}$ to $J^1(\beta^{(j)}, \Lambda^{(j)})_+$, acting on the same free R -module of rank one as $\theta^{(j)}$, we let $\theta_{M,+} = \otimes \theta_+^{(j)}$. We also write θ_+ for the extension of θ to J_+^1 which is trivial on $J^1 \cap N$ and agrees with $\theta_{M,+}$ on $J_+^1 \cap M = \prod J^1(\beta^{(j)}, \Lambda^{(j)})_+$.

We set

$$\eta_R(\mathcal{W}_{M,+}, \theta_{M,+}) := \otimes \eta_R(\mathcal{W}_{(j),+}, \theta_+^{(j)}).$$

By Lemma 5.4 the isomorphism class of $\eta_R(\mathcal{W}_{M,+}, \theta_{M,+})$, which we denote by $\eta_{M,R}$, is independent of the choice of $\mathcal{W}_{M,+}$ and $\theta_{M,+}$. Letting

$$J_P^1 = (\mathbf{H}^1 \cap N^\circ)(J^1 \cap P),$$

we define $\eta_{P,R}(\mathcal{W}_{M,+}, \theta_M)$, to be the unique representation of J_P^1 on the space of $\eta_R(\mathcal{W}_{M,+}, \theta_{M,+})$ (which is a free R -module of rank $[(J^1 \cap M) : (\mathbf{H}^1 \cap M)]^{1/2}$) on which $J^1 \cap M$ acts via $\eta_R(\mathcal{W}_{M,+}, \theta_{M,+})$ and $J^1 \cap N$ and $\mathbf{H}^1 \cap N^\circ$ act trivially; this does indeed define a representation because we can also realise it as $\text{ind}_{J_+^1}^{J_P^1}(\theta_+)$. It follows from Lemma 5.4 that its isomorphism class, which we denote by $\eta_{P,R}$, is independent of the choice of polarization. Moreover, by transitivity of induction, we have

$$\eta_R \simeq \text{ind}_{J_+^1}^{J_P^1}(\theta_+) \simeq \text{ind}_{J_P^1}^{J^1} \text{ind}_{J_+^1}^{J_P^1}(\theta_+) \simeq \text{ind}_{J_P^1}^{J^1}(\eta_{P,R}).$$

The main result we will need on Heisenberg representations is:

Theorem 5.7. *For any parabolic subgroups P, P' with common Levi factor M , we have isomorphisms:*

$$(5.8) \quad \text{ind}_{J_1^G}^G(\eta_R) \simeq \text{ind}_{J_1^G}^G(\eta_{P,R}) \simeq \text{ind}_{P'}^G(\text{ind}_{J_1^M \cap M}^M(\eta_{M,R})).$$

In particular, the isomorphism classes of $\text{ind}_{J_1^G}^G(\eta_{P,R})$ and $\text{ind}_{P'}^G(\text{ind}_{J_1^M \cap M}^M(\eta_{M,R}))$ are independent of the choice of parabolic.

Proof. The first morphism is just transitivity of induction. As $\text{ind}_{J_1^G}^G(\eta_R)$ is independent of the parabolic P , so too is the isomorphism class of $\text{ind}_{J_1^G}^G(\eta_{P,R})$, and it suffices to prove the second isomorphism for $P' = P^\circ$. Fix an R -valued Haar measure on N , then we have a morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi : \text{ind}_{J_1^G}^G(\eta_{-,P}) &\rightarrow \text{ind}_{P^\circ}^G(\text{ind}_{J_1^M \cap M}^M(\eta_{-,M})) \\ f &\mapsto \frac{1}{|J \cap N^\circ|} \int_{N^\circ} f(nx) dn, \end{aligned}$$

where we choose to normalize our morphism by $|J \cap N^\circ|$ (as in the complex case) which is a power of p . Note, over an algebraically closed field, the pair $(J_P^1, \eta_{-,P})$ is a G -cover of $(J^1 \cap M, \eta_{-,M})$ relative to P . When $h \neq 0$ and $D = F$ this is deduced in [38, Theorem 9.3] from the construction of covers of [61], following an idea of Mínguez and Sécherre. The same argument works in the other cases starting with the input being the construction of covers of [50, 57]. Hence by [6, Théorème 2], $\Psi \otimes K$ is an isomorphism for all algebraically closed fields, and hence Ψ defines an isomorphism by Lemma 2.4. \square

Proposition 5.9. *Let θ_-, θ'_- be essentially G -conjugate m -realizations of an endo-parameter \mathfrak{t} for (G, h) . Let η_-, η'_- be Heisenberg representations associated to θ_-, θ'_- on J^1, J'^1 (defined after having fixed parametrizations). Then*

$$\text{ind}_{J^1}^G(\eta_-) \simeq \text{ind}_{J'^1}^G(\eta'_-).$$

Proof. By conjugating we may assume that there are realizations $((V, h), \varphi, \Lambda, \beta)$ and $((V, h), \varphi', \Lambda', \beta')$ for θ_- and θ'_- which have the same splitting, in particular we have $M(\varphi(\beta), G) = M(\varphi'(\beta'), G)$, which we abbreviate to M , and for which the matching ζ is the identity and such that the restrictions $\theta_-|_{M \cap H^1} = \theta'_-|_{M \cap H'^1}$ coincide. In particular, we have $M \cap J_M^1 = M \cap J'^1$, noting that $M \cap J^1$ is

the pro- p -radical of the the maximal compact subgroup of the normalizer of $\theta|_{M \cap H^1}$ in M . Thus the Heisenberg extensions $\eta_{-,M}$ and $\eta'_{-,M}$ also coincide and the result follows immediately from (5.8). \square

6. ENDO-SPLITTING

Let $\text{Rep}_R(G)$ denote the abelian category of smooth R -representations of G . We fix our base ring $R_0 = \mathbb{Z}[1/p, \mu_{p^\infty}]$, which contains all values of all semisimple characters over \mathbb{C} , and base rings $R_{0,r} = \mathbb{Z}[1/p, \mu_{p^r}]$ which contain all values of all semisimple characters over \mathbb{C} of depth $\leq d(r)$, so $R_0 = \bigcup R_{0,r}$.

Definition 6.1. Let R be a $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -algebra, π be a smooth R -representation of G , Σ be a collection of finitely generated projective smooth R -representations of compact open subgroups of G , and \mathcal{H} a full abelian subcategory of $\text{Rep}_R(G)$. We say that Σ *exhausts* \mathcal{H} if for any smooth R -representation of G contained in \mathcal{H} there exists $(K, \rho) \in \Sigma$ such that $\text{Hom}_{R[K]}(\rho, \pi) \neq 0$.

We begin by extending a result of Dat, that semisimple characters for G exhaust the category of smooth representations:

Proposition 6.2 ([26, Propositions 7.5 & 8.5], Theorem B.1, [53, Theorem 3.1]). (i) *Suppose R is an $R_{0,r}$ -algebra. The collection of semisimple R -characters for G of depth $\leq d(r)$ exhausts $\text{Rep}_R(G)_{\leq d(r)}$.*
(ii) *Suppose R is an R_0 -algebra. The collection of semisimple R -characters for G exhausts $\text{Rep}_R(G)$.*

For classical groups with $R = R_0$ this is proved by Dat in *ibid.*, in the other references this is proved for smooth \mathbb{C} -representations and for smooth $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell$ -representations of G for primes $\ell \neq p$. So we give a simple proof showing how to reduce the general case to the case of $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell$ -representations (we do not need the case of \mathbb{C} -representations here).

Proof of Proposition 6.2. First, we prove this in restricted depth $\leq d(r)$ for $R_{0,r}$ -representations. Every smooth $R_{0,r}$ -representation has an irreducible subquotient, so by projectivity of θ we can reduce to the case of irreducible $R_{0,r}$ -representations of G .

Let π be an irreducible $R_{0,r}$ -representation. By Corollary 2.2 and Lemma 2.3¹, there exists a unique maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of $R_{0,r}$ which annihilates π , and we let $R = \overline{R_{0,r}/\mathfrak{m}}$ an algebraic closure of the finite field $R_{0,r}/\mathfrak{m}$ (which has characteristic $\neq p$). As in the same corollary $\pi \otimes (R_{0,r}/\mathfrak{m})$ is an irreducible $(R_{0,r}/\mathfrak{m})$ -representation, and hence $\pi \otimes R$ is a non-zero R -representation of G and thus contains a semisimple R -character $\overline{\theta}$ for G by the references cited above. Let θ be a semisimple $R_{0,r}$ -character (by definition acting on a free R -module of rank one) lifting $\overline{\theta}$ (possible by construction of semisimple characters - see Lemma 5.1). Then, by Lemma 2.5,

$$\text{Hom}_{R_{0,r}[H^1]}(\theta, \pi) \otimes R \simeq \text{Hom}_{R[H^1]}(\overline{\theta}, \pi \otimes R) \neq 0,$$

hence π contains θ .

If π is a smooth R -representation where R is an $R_{0,r}$ -algebra, then we have an isomorphism

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{R[H^1]}(\theta \otimes_{R_{0,r}} R, \pi) &\simeq \text{Hom}_{R_{0,r}[H^1]}(\theta, \pi|_{R_{0,r}}) \\ \phi &\mapsto [\psi_\phi : t \mapsto \phi(t \otimes 1)] \\ [\phi_\psi : t \otimes r &\mapsto r\psi(t)] \leftarrow \psi, \end{aligned}$$

and so the first part follows. The second part follows from the first. \square

Corollary 6.4. *Let (π, \mathcal{V}) be a smooth R -representation of G . Then π is generated by the sum of all its θ -isotypic components, where the sum is over all semisimple R -characters θ for G .*

¹In fact, we could avoid using this lemma and consider the (empty by this lemma) torsion free case in the same way we consider the torsion case.

Proof. Let \mathscr{W} be the R-subrepresentation of \mathscr{V} generated by the sum of the θ -isotypic components of \mathscr{V} over all semisimple R-characters θ . For each self-dual semisimple character θ , since the θ -isotypic functor is exact, $\mathscr{V}^\theta = \mathscr{W}^\theta$ and $(\mathscr{V}/\mathscr{W})^\theta = 0$. Thus \mathscr{V}/\mathscr{W} contains no self-dual semisimple characters, and by Proposition 6.2 it is zero. \square

Definition 6.5. Let \mathfrak{t} be an endo-parameter for G. A smooth R-representation π of G is of *class* \mathfrak{t} if every semisimple character for G contained in π has endo-parameter \mathfrak{t} .

Lemma 6.6. *Let (π, \mathscr{V}) be a smooth R-representation of G. If π is of class \mathfrak{t} then it is generated by the sum of its θ -isotypic components, where the sum is over all semisimple characters θ for G of endo-parameter \mathfrak{t} .*

Proof. If π is of class \mathfrak{t} , let \mathscr{W} be the R-subrepresentation of \mathscr{V} generated by the sum of the θ -isotypic components of \mathscr{V} , for θ of endo-class \mathfrak{t} . By the same argument as in the proof of Corollary 6.4, we find $\mathscr{V} = \mathscr{W}$. \square

Proposition 6.7. *A smooth representation π is of class \mathfrak{t} if and only if it is generated by the sum of its $\theta_{\mathfrak{t}}$ -isotypic components, where the sum is over a set of representatives for the essential G-conjugacy classes of m-realizations $\theta_{\mathfrak{t}}$ of \mathfrak{t} .*

Proof. Let π_r denote the depth $\leq r$ summand of π . The semisimple character $\theta \otimes_{R_{0,r}} R$ is an m-realization if and only if θ is an m-realization, and using Equation 6.3 we can reduce to considering the setting $R = R_{0,r}$ and $\pi = \pi_r$.

Suppose π is generated by the sum of its $\theta_{\mathfrak{t}}$ -isotypic components over a set of representatives for the essential G-conjugacy classes of m-realizations $\theta_{\mathfrak{t}}$ of \mathfrak{t} . Let θ' be a semisimple character in π . As the θ' -isotypic function is exact, there exists an irreducible subquotient π' of π containing θ' . By Corollary 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, there exists a unique maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of $R_{0,r}$ annihilating π' , and π/\mathfrak{m} contains the $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell$ -valued semisimple character $\overline{\theta}' = \theta'/\mathfrak{m}$. By Corollary 2.17, $\overline{\theta}'$ intertwines with some $\overline{\theta}_{\mathfrak{t}} = \theta_{\mathfrak{t}}/\mathfrak{m}$, and hence θ' has endo-parameter \mathfrak{t} , and π is of class \mathfrak{t} .

Conversely, suppose π is of class \mathfrak{t} . By Lemma 6.6, it is generated by the sum of its θ -isotypic components, for θ of endo-parameter \mathfrak{t} . Writing $\mathscr{V}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ for the subspace generated by the sum of its $\theta_{\mathfrak{t}}$ -isotypic components over a set of representatives for the essential G-conjugacy classes of m-realizations $\theta_{\mathfrak{t}}$ of \mathfrak{t} , we see that $\mathscr{V}/\mathscr{V}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is of class \mathfrak{t} but contains no m-realization of \mathfrak{t} . If $\mathscr{V}/\mathscr{V}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is non-zero then it has an irreducible subquotient with the same property. Thus it suffices to show that if π is irreducible of class \mathfrak{t} then it contains an m-realization of \mathfrak{t} . Moreover, by Corollary 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, as in the proof of Proposition 6.2, we can reduce to considering the cases $R = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell$, $\ell \neq p$.

Thus let π be irreducible of class \mathfrak{t} and let $\theta \in \mathcal{C}_h(\Lambda, \varphi(\beta))$ be any semisimple character of endo-parameter \mathfrak{t} such that $\mathscr{V}^\theta \neq 0$. Then $\mathscr{V}^\eta = \mathscr{V}^\theta \neq 0$, where η is the unique Heisenberg representation of $J^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)$ containing θ .

Choose $\Lambda_M \in \mathcal{B}(G_{\varphi(\beta)})$ a vertex of the facet containing Λ (strong simplicial structure) such that $(G_{\varphi(\beta)})_{\Lambda_M}^\circ$ is a maximal parahoric subgroup in $G_{\varphi(\beta)}$. We will show that π contains the transfer θ_M of θ to Λ_M . By [61, Lemma 2.8], there exists $\Lambda' \in \mathcal{B}(G_{\varphi(\beta)})$ such that $(G_{\varphi(\beta)})_{\Lambda'}^\circ$ and $(G_{\varphi(\beta)})_{\Lambda}^\circ$ coincide and $G_{\Lambda_M} \supseteq G_{\Lambda'}$. Moreover, by [61, Lemma 2.10] there exists a sequence in $\mathcal{B}(G_{\varphi(\beta)})$

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_0, \dots, \Lambda_t = \Lambda'$$

such that $(G_{\varphi(\beta)})_{\Lambda_i}^\circ = (G_{\varphi(\beta)})_{\Lambda}^\circ = (G_{\varphi(\beta)})_{\Lambda'}^\circ$ and either $G_{\Lambda_i} \subseteq G_{\Lambda_{i-1}}$ or $G_{\Lambda_i} \supseteq G_{\Lambda_{i-1}}$. In fact we choose Λ_i close enough to Λ_{i-1} such that the above inclusions are satisfied for the corresponding hereditary orders. Let θ', θ_i be the transfer of θ to Λ' and Λ_i , respectively, for $0 \leq i \leq t$. Similarly, we write η_M, η', η_i for the Heisenberg representations associated to $\theta_M, \theta', \theta_i$ respectively, and abbreviate $J_M^1 = J^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda_M)$ and similarly J^1, J_i^1 .

For $0 < i \leq t$, suppose first that $G_{\Lambda_i} \subseteq G_{\Lambda_{i-1}}$ so that $G_{\Lambda_i}^1 \supseteq G_{\Lambda_{i-1}}^1$. By [61, Proposition 3.7], [53, Proposition 4.5] and Proposition B.9, we have $\text{ind}_{J_{i-1}^1}^{G_{\Lambda_i}^1}(\eta_{i-1}) \simeq \text{ind}_{J_i^1}^{G_{\Lambda_i}^1}(\eta_i)$ is irreducible, hence

$$\mathcal{V}^{\eta_i} \subseteq \mathcal{V}^{\text{ind}_{J_i^1}^{G_{\Lambda_i}^1}(\eta_i)} = \mathcal{V}^{\text{ind}_{J_{i-1}^1}^{G_{\Lambda_i}^1}(\eta_{i-1})} \supseteq \mathcal{V}^{\eta_{i-1}}.$$

Therefore, \mathcal{V}^{η_i} is non-zero if and only if $\mathcal{V}^{\eta_{i-1}}$ is non-zero. The same result holds when $G_{\Lambda_i} \supseteq G_{\Lambda_{i-1}}$, by inducing instead to $G_{\Lambda_{i-1}}^1$. Thus, iterating this procedure along the path $\Lambda = \Lambda_0, \dots, \Lambda_t = \Lambda'$, we find that $\mathcal{V}^{\eta'}$ is non-zero.

By [61, Proposition 3.7] etc. again, there is a unique irreducible representation $\hat{\eta}_M$ of $\hat{J}_M^1 = (G_{\varphi(\beta)})_{\Lambda'}^1 J_M^1$ which extends η_M and such that $\text{ind}_{J_M^1}^{G_{\Lambda'}^1}(\hat{\eta}_M) \simeq \text{ind}_{J_M^1}^{G_{\Lambda'}^1}(\eta_M)$ is irreducible. Hence, we repeat the above argument to show that $\mathcal{V}^{\eta'}$ is non-zero if and only if $\mathcal{V}^{\hat{\eta}_M}$ is non-zero. In particular, we deduce that $\mathcal{V}^{\eta_M} = \mathcal{V}^{\theta_M}$ is non-zero, as required. \square

Note that, a smooth \mathbb{R} -representation π of G is of class \mathfrak{t} if and only if every irreducible subquotient of π is of class \mathfrak{t} , since semisimple characters are projective. Hence we make the following definition:

Definition 6.8. We let $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{t})$ denote the full abelian subcategory of $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$ consisting of all representations of endo-parameter \mathfrak{t} . We call $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{t})$ an *endo-factor* of $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$.

We will soon see that endo-factors are indeed direct factors of the category $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$.

Definition 6.9. Let $P(\mathfrak{t})$ denote the following projective representation

$$P(\mathfrak{t}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \text{ind}_{J_i^1}^G \eta_i$$

where $\{(H_i^1 = H^1(\beta_i, \Lambda_i), \theta_i) : 1 \leq i \leq r\}$ is a set of representatives for the set of essential G -conjugacy classes of \mathfrak{m} -realizations of \mathfrak{t} and (J_i^1, η_i) is the unique Heisenberg representation of $J_i^1 = J^1(\beta_i, \Lambda_i)$ containing θ_i .

The isomorphism class of $P(\mathfrak{t})$ is independent of the choice of representatives by Proposition 5.9. We now prove our main theorem *endo-splitting* the category $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$:

Theorem 6.10. *Let \mathbb{R} be a $\mathbb{Z}[\mu_{p^\infty}, 1/p]$ -algebra and G be as in §2.8.*

(i) *We have a decomposition of categories*

$$\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}}(G) = \prod_{\mathfrak{t}} \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{t})$$

where the product is taken over all endo-parameters for G .

- (ii) *The representation $P(\mathfrak{t})$ is a finitely generated projective generator of $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{t})$.*
 (iii) *Parabolic induction and restriction are compatible with these decompositions: let P be a parabolic subgroup of G with Levi decomposition $P = MN$, \mathfrak{t} be an endo-parameter for G , and \mathfrak{t}_M an endoparameter for M . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} i_P^G : \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{t}_M) &\rightarrow \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}}(i_M^G(\mathfrak{t}_M)), \\ r_P^G : \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{t}) &\rightarrow \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}}(r_M^G(\mathfrak{t})), \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}}(r_M^G(\mathfrak{t}))$ denotes the product $\prod_{\mathfrak{t}_M \in r_M^G(\mathfrak{t})} \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{t}_M)$.

Proof. (i) Let (π, \mathcal{V}) be a smooth \mathbb{R} -representation of G and, for each self-dual semisimple endo-class \mathfrak{t} , denote by $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{t})$ the subspace generated by the θ -isotypic components of \mathcal{V} for θ of endo-class \mathfrak{t} . By Corollary 6.4, \mathcal{V} is generated by its θ -isotypic components hence $\mathcal{V} = \sum_{\mathfrak{t}} \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{t})$. Finally, if π is of class \mathfrak{t} and π' is of class \mathfrak{t}' , then the image of any non-zero morphism in $\text{Hom}_G(\pi, \pi')$ is of class \mathfrak{t} and \mathfrak{t}' , hence $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{t}'$, $\mathcal{V} = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{t}} \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{t})$, and $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}}(G) = \prod_{\mathfrak{t}} \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{t})$.

- (ii) The representation $P(\mathfrak{t})$ is finitely generated as compact induction from an open subgroup preserves finite generation. It is projective as $\text{ind}_{J_i^1}^G$ is left adjoint to restriction to J_i^1 which is an exact functor. Finally, the functor $X \mapsto \text{Hom}_G(P(\mathfrak{t}), X)$ is faithful by Propositions 5.9 and 6.7.
- (iii) Let \mathfrak{t}_M be an endo-parameter in M . Let $\{(H_{M,i}^1, \theta_{M,i}) : 1 \leq i \leq r\}$ be a set of representatives of the essentially conjugacy classes of m -realizations of \mathfrak{t}_M . Let $(J_{M,i}^1, \eta_{M,i})$ be the Heisenberg representation of M containing $(H_{M,i}^1, \theta_{M,i})$. For each i , as in Theorem 5.7,

$$\text{ind}_{J_i^1}^G(\eta_i) \simeq \text{ind}_P^G(\text{ind}_{J^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)_M}^M(\eta_{M,i}))$$

where the η_i have endo-parameter $i_M^G(\mathfrak{t}_M)$. By exactness of parabolic induction, it takes $\text{Rep}_R(\mathfrak{t}_M)$ to $\text{Rep}_R(i_M^G(\mathfrak{t}_M))$.

By Frobenius reciprocity, we obtain the statement for parabolic restriction r_P^G : Suppose, for contradiction, that $r_P^G(P(\mathfrak{t}))(\mathfrak{t}_M) \neq 0$ for some $\mathfrak{t}_M \notin r_M^G(\mathfrak{t})$. Then $\text{Hom}_M(r_P^G(P(\mathfrak{t})), \mathscr{W}) \neq 0$ for a representation \mathscr{W} of class \mathfrak{t}_M (in fact, as r_P^G preserves finite generation [25, Corollary 1.5], we could suppose that \mathscr{W} is irreducible, but we avoid using this fact). By Frobenius reciprocity, $\text{Hom}_M(P(\mathfrak{t}), i_P^G(\mathscr{W})) \neq 0$; hence $i_P^G(\mathscr{W})$ has endo-parameter \mathfrak{t} and hence \mathscr{W} has endo-parameter in $r_M^G(\mathfrak{t})$ which is absurd. \square

Lemma 6.11. *Suppose \mathfrak{t} is an endo-parameter for (G, h) and put $M = M(\mathfrak{t})$, the Levi subgroup associated to \mathfrak{t} (well-defined up to G -conjugacy), and let P be a parabolic subgroup of G with Levi factor M . Then we have an isomorphism of finitely generated projective generators of $\text{Rep}_R(\mathfrak{t})$*

$$P(\mathfrak{t}) \simeq \text{ind}_{M,P}^G(Q(\mathfrak{t}_M)),$$

where $Q(\mathfrak{t}_M)$ is the sum over M -conjugacy classes of m -realizations of \mathfrak{t}_M of $\text{ind}_{J_i^1}^M(\eta_{-,M})$.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 4.12 and Theorem 5.7. \square

7. BETA EXTENSIONS AND TYPES FOR BERNSTEIN BLOCKS

Let \mathfrak{t} be an endo-parameter for (G, h) and $(0, \beta)$ a full semisimple pair for \mathfrak{t} and set $E = F[\beta]$. Let

$$P(\mathfrak{t}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \text{ind}_{J_i^1}^G(\eta_i)$$

be a progenerator of $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/p, \mu_{p^r}]}(\mathfrak{t})$ as constructed in the last section. In this section, we collect results which will allow us to decompose $P(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes K$, where K is an algebraically closed field of characteristic $\ell \neq p$. It follows from a simple cohomology calculation (cf. [14, 5.2.4], [61, Theorem 4.1]) in characteristic zero, and either by an analogous argument or a simple reduction modulo ℓ argument for positive characteristic, that $\eta_i \otimes K$ extends to an irreducible K -representation of $J_i = J(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda_i)$. If we now choose extensions κ_i of $\eta_i \otimes K$, then we can write

$$P(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes K \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \text{ind}_{J_i}^G(\kappa_i \otimes \text{ind}_{J_i^1}^{J_i}(1)),$$

and decompose this further using finite group theory and the decomposition of $K[J_i^1/J_i^1]$ into blocks, or the finer decomposition into projective indecomposables. However for

- (i) the construction of types for Bernstein blocks it is useful to choose extensions with strong intertwining properties, this leads to the notion of “beta extensions”;
- (ii) for questions related to understanding when the i -th and j -th component share an irreducible subquotient over K , we need to choose beta extensions κ_i and κ_j “compatibly”.

7.1. Beta extensions. Let θ be an m -realization of \mathfrak{t} for (G, h) and choose for θ a parametrization $((V, h), \varphi, \Lambda, \beta)$ so that $\theta \in \mathcal{C}_h(\Lambda, \varphi(\beta))$, in particular $P^\circ(\Lambda_E)$ is a maximal parahoric subgroup of G_E . For any self-dual \mathfrak{o}_E -lattice sequence Υ via the transfer map we have a semisimple character $\theta_\Upsilon = \tau_{\Lambda, \Upsilon, \varphi(\beta)}(\theta)$ and a Heisenberg extension η_Υ of $J_\Upsilon^1 = J^1(\varphi(\beta), \Upsilon)$ the pro- p -radical of $J_\Upsilon = J(\varphi(\beta), \Upsilon)$. We denote by $P^{\text{st}}(\Upsilon_E)$ the pointwise fixator of the facet $\overline{\Upsilon_E}$ (under the strong simplicial structure, as in 2.9) containing Υ_E in the building of G_β .

7.1.1. *Extensions of Heisenberg extensions.* Suppose Υ and Υ' are \mathfrak{o}_E -lattice sequences satisfying

$$P^\circ(\Upsilon_E) \subseteq P^\circ(\Upsilon'_E).$$

As $P_1(\Upsilon_E) \subseteq P^{\text{st}}(\Upsilon_E) \subseteq P(\Upsilon'_E)$, they both normalize $J^1(\varphi(\beta), \Upsilon')$ and we can form the subgroups

$$J_{\Upsilon, \Upsilon'}^{\text{st}} := P^{\text{st}}(\Upsilon_E)J^1(\varphi(\beta), \Upsilon'), \text{ and } J_{\Upsilon, \Upsilon'}^1 := P_1(\Upsilon_E)J^1(\varphi(\beta), \Upsilon'),$$

of $J(\varphi(\beta), \Upsilon)$. We let $J_\Upsilon^{\text{st}} = J_{\Upsilon, \Upsilon}^{\text{st}}$ and also use the notation $J^{\text{st}}(\varphi(\beta), \Upsilon)$ where we want to emphasize the dependence on $\varphi(\beta)$. Thus we have the following chain of subgroups of $J_{\Upsilon'}$:

$$J_{\Upsilon'}^1 \subseteq J_{\Upsilon, \Upsilon'}^1 \subseteq J_{\Upsilon, \Upsilon'}^{\text{st}} \subseteq J_{\Upsilon'}^{\text{st}} \subseteq J_{\Upsilon'}.$$

Suppose $P(\Upsilon) \subseteq P(\Upsilon')$, then by [61, Proposition 3.7], Proposition B.9, [53, Proposition 4.5], there exists a unique irreducible representation $\eta_{\Upsilon, \Upsilon'}$ extending η_Υ to $J_{\Upsilon, \Upsilon'}^1$ such that $\eta_{\Upsilon, \Upsilon'}$ and η_Υ induce equivalent irreducible representations on $P_1(\Upsilon)$. We denote

$$\text{ext}(\Upsilon, \Upsilon') := \{\text{extensions of } \eta_{\Upsilon, \Upsilon'} \text{ to } J_{\Upsilon, \Upsilon'}^{\text{st}}\},$$

and $\text{ext}(\Upsilon) = \{\text{extensions of } \eta_\Upsilon \text{ to } J_\Upsilon^{\text{st}}\} = \text{ext}(\Upsilon, \Upsilon)$.

7.1.2. *The maximal case.* Let Γ be an \mathfrak{o}_E -lattice sequence corresponding to a minimal parahoric subgroup $P^\circ(\Gamma_E)$ of G_E contained in the maximal parahoric subgroup $P^\circ(\Lambda_E)$. Then $J_{\Gamma, \Lambda}^1$ is a pro- p Sylow subgroup of J_Λ . By [61, Theorem 4.1] (the same proof works in the other cases, cf. [53, Proposition 6.1(i)]), $\eta_{\Gamma, \Lambda}$ extends to J_Λ , and we call any extension of $\eta_{\Gamma, \Lambda}$ to J_Λ a *beta extension* of η (this definition is independent of the choice of Γ). We write

$$\text{beta}(\Lambda) := \{\text{beta extensions of } \eta \text{ to } J_\Lambda\}.$$

Any two beta extensions of η differ by a character of $P(\Lambda_E)/P_1(\Lambda_E)$ which is trivial on the subgroup generated by all its unipotent subgroups. Note that $\text{beta}(\Lambda)$ is the set of those representations of J_Λ^{st} with restriction to $J_{\Gamma, \Lambda}^{\text{st}}$ contained in $\text{ext}(\Gamma, \Lambda)$.

Remark 7.1. Our definition of the ‘‘maximal case’’ for beta extensions is more restrictive than that of [61], [53] who allow non-maximal parahoric subgroups for $P^\circ(\Lambda_E)$ (and non- m -semisimple realizations), but where the full stabilizer is a maximal compact in their definition of the ‘‘maximal case’’. We restrict to just maximal parahoric subgroups as we wish to have stronger compatibility properties, prefer less choice between the extensions we eventually define, and for our application there is no need to extend as far as in [61], [53].

7.1.3. *The non-maximal case: compatibility.* For Λ an \mathfrak{o}_E -lattice sequence in V , we write $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_0(\Lambda)$, and $\tilde{\mathfrak{b}}_0(\Lambda)$ for the associated hereditary \mathfrak{o}_E and \mathfrak{o}_F -orders in $\text{Aut}_{E \otimes D}(V)$ and $\text{Aut}_D(V)$ respectively.

Suppose now that Υ is a self-dual \mathfrak{o}_E -lattice sequence with associated parahoric subgroup $P^\circ(\Upsilon_E)$ of G contained in the maximal parahoric $P^\circ(\Lambda_E)$.

Lemma 7.2. *There exists a natural bijection*

$$\mathfrak{b}_{\Upsilon, \Lambda} : \text{ext}(\Upsilon) \rightarrow \text{ext}(\Upsilon, \Lambda)$$

which can be characterized as follows: Let $\kappa_0 \in \text{ext}(\Upsilon)$, then there exists a sequence of (self-dual) \mathfrak{o}_E -lattice sequences

$$(7.3) \quad \Upsilon = \Upsilon_0, \Upsilon_1, \dots, \Upsilon_t = \Lambda$$

satisfying

- (i) for all $0 < i < l$, $P^\circ(\Upsilon_E) = P^\circ(\Upsilon_{i,E})$ (which implies $P^{\text{st}}(\Upsilon_{i,E}) = P^{\text{st}}(\Upsilon_E)$), and
- (ii) for all $0 \leq i < l$, $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_0(\Upsilon_i) \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_0(\Lambda_{i+1})$ or $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_0(\Upsilon_i) \supseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_0(\Lambda_{i+1})$ (which implies $P_1(\Upsilon_i) \geq P_1(\Upsilon_{i+1})$ or $P_1(\Upsilon_i) \leq P_1(\Upsilon_{i+1})$ respectively).

And choosing such a sequence, for $i < l$, there exist unique representations $\kappa_i \in \text{ext}(\Upsilon_i)$ and a unique representation $\kappa_l \in \text{ext}(\Upsilon, \Upsilon_l)$, such that:

- (i) for $i < l - 1$, if $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}(\Upsilon_i) \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}(\Upsilon_{i+1})$, then

$$\text{ind}_{J_{\Upsilon_i}^{\text{st}}}^{\text{P}^{\text{st}}(\Upsilon_E)P_1(\Upsilon_i)}(\kappa_i) \simeq \text{ind}_{J_{\Upsilon_{i+1}}^{\text{st}}}^{\text{P}^{\text{st}}(\Upsilon_E)P_1(\Upsilon_i)}(\kappa_{i+1})$$

else if $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}(\Upsilon_{i+1}) \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}(\Upsilon_i)$, then

$$\text{ind}_{J_{\Upsilon_i}^{\text{st}}}^{\text{P}^{\text{st}}(\Upsilon_E)P_1(\Upsilon_{i+1})}(\kappa_i) \simeq \text{ind}_{J_{\Upsilon_{i+1}}^{\text{st}}}^{\text{P}^{\text{st}}(\Upsilon_E)P_1(\Upsilon_{i+1})}(\kappa_{i+1}).$$

- (ii) the representation κ_l satisfies

$$\text{ind}_{J_{\Upsilon_{l-1}}^{\text{st}}}^{\text{P}^{\text{st}}(\Upsilon_E)P_1(\Upsilon_{l-1})}(\kappa_{l-1}) \simeq \text{ind}_{J_{\Upsilon, \Upsilon_l}^{\text{st}}}^{\text{P}^{\text{st}}(\Upsilon_E)P_1(\Upsilon_{l-1})}(\kappa_l)$$

and we set $\mathbf{b}_{\Upsilon, \Lambda}(\kappa_0) = \kappa_l$.

Proof. The proof follows the arguments given in [53, §6] and [61, Lemma 4.3], replacing their maximal condition with ours and their use of J-groups with the J^{st} -groups we introduce in this paper. \square

Definition 7.4. An extension $\kappa_\Upsilon \in \text{ext}(\Upsilon)$ is called a *beta extension relative to Λ* if there exists a (maximal) beta extension $\kappa \in \text{beta}(\Lambda)$ such that

$$\mathbf{b}_{\Upsilon, \Lambda}(\kappa_\Upsilon) = \kappa \downarrow_{J_{\Upsilon, \Lambda}^{\text{st}}};$$

and if this is the case we say that κ_Υ and κ are *compatible*.

Lemma 7.5. Let θ be an m -realization of \mathfrak{t} for (G, h) with parameterization $((V, h), \varphi, \Lambda, \beta)$, and let $\kappa \in \text{beta}(\Lambda)$. Let \bar{P} be a parabolic subgroup of $\bar{G} = J_\Lambda^{\text{st}}/J_\Lambda^1$ corresponding to a parahoric subgroup $P^{\text{st}}(\Upsilon_E)$ of $P^{\text{st}}(\Lambda_E)$, and self-dual \mathfrak{o}_E -lattice sequence Υ_E , and set $\bar{M} = J_\Upsilon^{\text{st}}/J_\Upsilon^1$. Then, for any K -representation ρ of \bar{M} ,

$$\text{ind}_{J_{\Upsilon}^{\text{st}}}^G(\kappa_\Upsilon \otimes \rho) \simeq \text{ind}_{J_\Lambda^{\text{st}}}^G(\kappa \otimes \text{ind}_{\bar{P}}^{\bar{G}}(\rho)).$$

Proof. We choose a sequence of self-dual \mathfrak{o}_E -lattices as in (7.3), and κ_i as in Lemma 7.2 so that $\kappa_l = \kappa \downarrow_{J_{\Upsilon, \Lambda}^{\text{st}}}$. If $i < l - 1$, then as κ_i and κ_{i+1} induce equivalent representations of a subgroup of G , transitivity of induction along the path allows one to deduce an isomorphism between $\text{ind}_{J_\Upsilon^{\text{st}}}^G(\kappa_\Upsilon \otimes \rho)$ and $\text{ind}_{J_{\Upsilon_{l-1}}^{\text{st}}}^G(\kappa_{l-1} \otimes \rho)$. Indeed, if $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_0(\Upsilon_i) \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_0(\Lambda_{i+1})$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ind}_{J_{\Upsilon_i}^{\text{st}}}^G(\kappa_i \otimes \rho) &\simeq \text{ind}_{P^{\text{st}}(\Upsilon_E)P_1(\Upsilon_i)}^G(\text{ind}_{J_{\Upsilon_i}^{\text{st}}}^{\text{P}^{\text{st}}(\Upsilon_E)P_1(\Upsilon_i)}(\kappa_i \otimes \rho)) \\ &\simeq \text{ind}_{P^{\text{st}}(\Upsilon_E)P_1(\Upsilon_i)}^G(\text{ind}_{J_{\Upsilon_i}^{\text{st}}}^{\text{P}^{\text{st}}(\Upsilon_E)P_1(\Upsilon_i)}(\kappa_i) \otimes \tilde{\rho}) \\ &\simeq \text{ind}_{P^{\text{st}}(\Upsilon_E)P_1(\Upsilon_i)}^G(\text{ind}_{J_{\Upsilon_i}^{\text{st}}}^{\text{P}^{\text{st}}(\Upsilon_E)P_1(\Upsilon_i)}(\kappa_{i+1}) \otimes \tilde{\rho}) \simeq \text{ind}_{J_{\Upsilon_{i+1}}^{\text{st}}}^G(\kappa_{i+1} \otimes \rho), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\rho}$ extends ρ to $P^{\text{st}}(\Upsilon_E)P_1(\Upsilon_i)$ by trivial extension to $P_1(\Upsilon_i)$. The analogous argument reversing the roles of i and $i + 1$ gives the other required isomorphism when $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_0(\Upsilon_i) \supseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_0(\Lambda_{i+1})$.

At the final step in the path, similarly (noting $\Upsilon_l = \Lambda$) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{ind}_{J_{\Upsilon_{l-1}}^{\text{st}}}^{\text{G}}(\kappa_{l-1} \otimes \rho) &\simeq \operatorname{ind}_{\text{P}^{\text{st}}(\Upsilon_{\text{E}})P_1(\Upsilon_{l-1})}^{\text{G}}(\operatorname{ind}_{J_{\Upsilon_{l-1}}^{\text{st}}}^{\text{P}^{\text{st}}(\Upsilon_{\text{E}})P_1(\Upsilon_{l-1})}(\kappa_{l-1}) \otimes \tilde{\rho}) \\ &\simeq \operatorname{ind}_{\text{P}^{\text{st}}(\Upsilon_{\text{E}})P_1(\Upsilon_{l-1})}^{\text{G}}(\operatorname{ind}_{J_{\Upsilon, \Upsilon_l}^{\text{st}}}^{\text{P}^{\text{st}}(\Upsilon_{\text{E}})P_1(\Upsilon_{l-1})}(\kappa) \otimes \tilde{\rho}) \\ &\simeq \operatorname{ind}_{\text{P}^{\text{st}}(\Upsilon_{\text{E}})P_1(\Upsilon_{l-1})}^{\text{G}}(\operatorname{ind}_{J_{\Upsilon, \Upsilon_l}^{\text{st}}}^{\text{P}^{\text{st}}(\Upsilon_{\text{E}})P_1(\Upsilon_{l-1})}(\kappa \otimes \rho)) \\ &\simeq \operatorname{ind}_{J_{\Lambda}^{\text{st}}}^{\text{G}}(\operatorname{ind}_{J_{\Upsilon, \Upsilon_l}^{\text{st}}}^{\text{J}_{\Lambda}^{\text{st}}}(\kappa \otimes \rho)) \simeq \operatorname{ind}_{J_{\Lambda}^{\text{st}}}^{\text{G}}(\kappa \otimes \operatorname{ind}_{\overline{\text{P}}}^{\text{G}}(\rho)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\rho}$ extends ρ to $\text{P}^{\text{st}}(\Upsilon_{\text{E}})P_1(\Upsilon_{l-1})$. The composition of all these isomorphisms gives the required statement. \square

7.1.4. Compatible families of beta extensions.

Definition 7.6. (i) Let θ be an m -realization of \mathfrak{t} for (G, h) with parameterization $((V, h), \varphi, \Lambda, \beta)$.

Let \mathcal{C} be a chamber in the building of G_{β} and $\{\Lambda_j\}$ denote a set of \mathfrak{o}_{E} -lattice sequences which form a complete set of representatives for the G_{β} -conjugacy classes of the vertices in the closure of \mathcal{C} (without repetition). We call a collection of $\kappa_{\max, j}$ of beta extensions of $\theta_j = \tau_{\Lambda, \Lambda_j, \beta}(\theta)$ a *compatible family* of beta extensions if

- (a) whenever Υ is an \mathfrak{o}_{E} -lattice sequence in \mathcal{C} such that $\text{P}(\Upsilon_{\text{E}})^{\circ} \subseteq \text{P}(\Lambda_{i, \text{E}})^{\circ} \cap \text{P}(\Lambda_{j, \text{E}})^{\circ}$ for a pair Λ_i, Λ_j in our chosen set of representatives, we have

$$\mathbf{b}_{\Upsilon, \Lambda_i}^{-1}(\kappa_{\max, i} |_{\text{P}^{\text{st}}(\Upsilon_{\text{E}})J^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda_i)}) = \mathbf{b}_{\Upsilon, \Lambda_j}^{-1}(\kappa_{\max, j} |_{\text{P}^{\text{st}}(\Upsilon_{\text{E}})J^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda_j)}).$$

(b) if Λ_i, Λ_j are \mathfrak{o}_{E} -lattice sequences in \mathcal{C} , and $\Lambda_j = g \cdot \Lambda_i$ for $g \in G$, then $\kappa_{\max, j} = \kappa_{\max, i}^g$. From properties (a) and (b), a compatible family of beta extensions defines a beta extension at every point in the building.

- (ii) We say that a beta extension κ of a Heisenberg representation η has *full intertwining* if $\text{I}_{\text{G}}(\kappa) = \text{I}_{\text{G}}(\eta)$, and that a compatible family of beta extensions has *full intertwining* if all beta extensions in the family have full intertwining.

Conjecture 7.7. *Let θ be an m -realization of \mathfrak{t} , then there exists a compatible family of beta extensions of θ with full intertwining.*

Remark 7.8. (i) For inner forms of general linear groups the existence of a compatible family of beta extensions is straightforward as there is a unique class of maximal parahoric subgroup, and full intertwining can be shown in this case following the original method of [14] for beta extensions of simple characters of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$. The details will appear in the forthcoming work of [57]. It is currently not known if compatible families exist for inner forms of classical groups with $p \neq 2$ or if there are always beta extensions with full intertwining (though we expect many cases will be covered in forthcoming work of [57]).

- (ii) As further evidence towards Conjecture 7.7, in the tame setting, rephrasing into the Bushnell–Kutzko language of this paper, Fintzen–Kaletha–Spice in [31] construct canonical(!) (maximal) beta extensions of the Heisenberg representations they consider and prove that they have full intertwining.

7.2. Types for Bernstein blocks. As in Section 2.5, write $\mathfrak{B}_{\text{K}}(G)$ for the set of inertial classes of supercuspidal supports for G .

Definition 7.9. Suppose K is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Let $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{B}_{\text{K}}(G)$. A pair (U, Σ) , with U a compact open subgroup of G , and Σ an irreducible representation of U , is called an \mathfrak{s} -*type* if $\operatorname{ind}_U^{\text{G}}(\Sigma)$ is a (finitely generated projective) generator of $\text{Rep}_{\text{K}}(\mathfrak{s})$.

For classical p -adic groups, $\text{GL}_m(D)$, and quaternionic forms of classical groups, we have a construction of types for Bernstein blocks of Miyauchi–Stevens [43], Sécherre–Stevens [50], Skodlerack–Ye

[57], and in depth zero for an arbitrary connected reductive group, Morris in [45] has constructed types for Bernstein blocks.

Let $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{B}_K(G)$ with representative (M, ρ) . This determines a supercuspidal inertial class $\mathfrak{s}_M \in \mathfrak{B}_K(M)$ with representative (M, ρ) .

A Levi subgroup M in G decomposes as a product $M = \prod M_i$ of (inner forms) of general linear groups, or of (inner forms) of general linear groups and (an inner form) of a classical group. We define an (m -semisimple) semisimple stratum in M , to be a direct sum of (m -semisimple) semisimple stratum in the corresponding M_i , and define the groups, characters, Heisenberg representations, and beta extensions, associated to stratum in M by taking the appropriate product or tensor product over i .

The construction of cuspidal representations has been extended to all algebraically closed fields of characteristic $\ell \neq p$ and we have:

Theorem 7.10 (Depth zero [45, 64], Classical groups [61, 38], $GL_m(D)$ [48, 42], inner forms of classical [53]). *Let K be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $\ell \neq p$, and ρ be an irreducible cuspidal K -representation of M . There exists*

- (i) *in positive depth, an m -semisimple stratum $[\Lambda, n, 0, \varphi(\beta)]$ for M , a beta extension κ to $J(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)$ of a semisimple character for $[\Lambda, n, 0, \varphi(\beta)]$;*
- (ii) *in depth zero we set $E = F$, and let κ be the trivial character of a maximal parahoric subgroup M_{Λ_E} which we denote by $J(0, \Lambda)$ with pro- p unipotent radical $J^1(0, \Lambda)$ for uniformity;*
- (iii) *and, in both cases, an irreducible supercuspidal representation σ_M of $M_{\Lambda_E}/M_{\Lambda_E}^1$, and an extension $\tilde{\Sigma}_M$ of $\Sigma_M = \kappa_M \otimes \sigma_M$ to $N_M(J(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda))$;*

such that, $\rho \simeq \text{ind}_{N_M(J(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda))}^M(\tilde{\Sigma}_M)$. Moreover, if $\ell = 0$, then the pair $(J(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda), \Sigma_M)$ is an \mathfrak{s}_M -type for $\mathfrak{s}_M = [M, \rho]_M$.

The construction of covers allows one to construct a \mathfrak{s} -type, as we now explain again working in the broader setting of algebraically closed fields of characteristic $\ell \neq p$:

Let $P = MN$ be a parabolic subgroup of G . Let ρ be an irreducible cuspidal K -representation of M . Then we can choose a semisimple stratum $\Delta = [\Lambda, n, 0, \varphi(\beta)]$ for G and a semisimple character $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\beta, \Lambda)$ such that the decomposition of V associated to M is properly subordinate to Δ , and $\theta|_{H^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \cap M}$ is an m -semisimple character contained in ρ . We set

$$J_P = J_P(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) = H^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)(J^{\text{st}}(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \cap P).$$

From Appendix C Proposition C.11 or [45] in the depth zero case,

$$J_P = H^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)(J(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \cap P),$$

and hence agrees with the J_P group considered in [43], [57].

Let κ be a beta extension to $J^{\text{st}}(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)$. We form the natural representation κ_P of J_P on the space of $(J^{\text{st}}(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda) \cap U)$ -fixed vectors in κ . Then κ_P extends η_P and $\text{ind}_{J_P}^{J^{\text{st}}(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)}(\kappa_P) \simeq \kappa$.

Theorem 7.11 (Depth zero [45], Classical groups [43], $GL_m(D)$ [50], inner forms of classical [57]). *Under the above notation, writing $\Sigma_P = \kappa_P \otimes \sigma_P$, we have*

- (i) *(J_P, Σ_P) is a G -cover of (J_M, Σ_M) relative to P .*
- (ii) *(J_P, Σ_P) is a \mathfrak{s} -type.*

7.3. Supercuspidal support of types. We use the notation of Section 2.7 in depth zero:

Proposition 7.12. *Let K be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $\ell \neq p$. Suppose we have a pair $(J, \kappa \otimes \pi)$ consisting of an irreducible representation $\kappa \otimes \pi$ of a compact open subgroup J of G constructed in the following fashion:*

- (i) *(Depth zero case) $J = G_x^+$ where x is a vertex in the Bruhat–Tits building of G , and π is an irreducible representation of $M_x^+ = G_x^+/G_x^1$.*

- (ii) (Positive depth case) there is an m -realization θ of t for (G, h) with parameterization $((V, h), \varphi, \Lambda, \beta)$, and $(J, \kappa \otimes \pi) = (J(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda), \kappa \otimes \pi)$ where κ is a beta extension of θ , and π is an irreducible K -representation of $J(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)/J^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda)$ over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.

Then there exists a pair (J'_P, Σ_P) constructed in Theorem 7.11, which in particular is an \mathfrak{s} -type if $\ell = 0$, such that $\text{ind}_J^G(\kappa \otimes \pi)$ is a subquotient of $\text{ind}_{J'_P}^G(\Sigma_P)$.

Proof. This follows from the construction of \mathfrak{s} -types explained in the last section, together with Lemma 2.18, and Lemma 7.5 in positive depth. \square

Definition 7.13. Under the same notation of the Proposition, we say that (J_P, Σ_P) is in the *supercuspidal support* of $(J, \kappa \otimes \pi)$.

7.4. \mathfrak{s} -types and Bernstein projective generators. We continue in the setting of an algebraically closed field K of characteristic not equal to p . We have the parabolically induced representation

$$\Pi_{\text{BK}} := \text{ind}_{M, P}^G(\text{ind}_{J_M}^M(\Sigma_M)) \simeq \text{ind}_{J_P}^G(\Sigma_P),$$

the last isomorphism by [6, Théorème 2], which if K is algebraically closed of characteristic zero is a finitely generated projective generator of $\text{Rep}_K(\mathfrak{s})$ for the inertial class of (M, π_M) where $\pi_M \simeq \text{ind}_{J_M}^M(\widetilde{\Sigma}_M)$ is an irreducible supercuspidal K -representation of M and $J_M = N_M(J_M)$. We can also consider (letting K have any characteristic not p again)

$$\Pi_{\text{Bern}} := i_{M, P}^G(\text{ind}_{M^\circ}^M(\pi_M)) \simeq i_{M, P}^G(\pi_M \otimes \chi_{\text{univ}}),$$

which if K has characteristic zero is Bernstein's finitely generated projective generator of $\text{Rep}_K(\mathfrak{s})$.

Lemma 7.14 ([4, Appendix B] when $\text{char}(K) = 0$). *The induced representation $\text{ind}_{J_M}^{M^\circ}(\Sigma_M)$ is an irreducible summand of $\pi_M|_{M^\circ}$.*

Proof. By Mackey Theory, the restriction of π_M to M° decomposes into a finite sum

$$\pi_M|_{M^\circ} \simeq \bigoplus_{J_M M^\circ \setminus M} (\text{ind}_{J_M^j}^{M^\circ}(\Sigma_M^j)),$$

as $J_M^j \cap M^\circ = J_M^j$ because:

- (i) for G classical, one can reduce to a single $\text{GL}_r(F)$ block of a Levi subgroup, where we have $E^\times J_i \cap \text{GL}_r(F)^\circ = (E^\times \cap \text{GL}_r(F)^\circ)J_i = J_i$, as $\text{GL}_r(F)^\circ = \{g \in \text{GL}_r(F) : \det(g) \in \mathfrak{o}_F^\times\}$.
- (ii) for an inner form of a classical or general linear group, the equality follows similarly with the reduced norm replacing the determinant.
- (iii) for depth zero representations, $J_M = N_M(M_x^+)$ for x a vertex in the Bruhat–Tits building of M , and in this case $N_M(M_x^+) \cap M^\circ = N_{M^\circ}(M_x^+) = M_x^+$ from results of Bruhat–Tits referenced in Section 2.7.

It remains to show that $\text{ind}_{J_M}^{M^\circ}(\Sigma_M)$ is irreducible, which follows from Vignéras' simple criterion for irreducibility [64, Lemma 4.2], analogous to the construction of cuspidal representations – cf. [38, Theorem 12.1]. \square

Letting $\rho_{M^\circ} = \text{ind}_{J_M}^{M^\circ}(\Sigma_M)$, we can consider the finitely generated representation

$$\Pi' := i_{M, P}^G(\text{ind}_{M^\circ}^M(\rho_{M^\circ})),$$

by exactness of induction it is a summand of Π_{Bern} , and if K has characteristic zero is also a finitely generated projective generator of $\text{Rep}_K(\mathfrak{s})$ (cf. [12, Section 8]).

Proposition 7.15 ([4, Appendix B] when $\text{char}(K) = 0$). *The finitely generated representations Π_{BK} and Π' are isomorphic. Hence Π_{BK} is a summand of Π_{Bern} .*

Proof. As the modulus character of δ_P is an unramified character of M , the first statement follows from the lemma and transitivity of induction. And the second statement follows. \square

8. BLOCK DECOMPOSITIONS VIA TYPE THEORY

Let \mathfrak{t} be an endo-parameter for (G, h) and $(0, \beta)$ a full semisimple pair for \mathfrak{t} . Then we have a direct factor $\text{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}[1/p]}}(\mathfrak{t})$ subcategory of $\text{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}[1/p]}}(G)$, with progenerator a finite sum

$$P(\mathfrak{t}) = \bigoplus \text{ind}_{J_i^1}^G(\eta_i),$$

where the sum is over a set of representatives for the G -essential classes of m -realizations θ_i of \mathfrak{t} for (G, h) , which by conjugating in G if necessary, we can assume that $J_i^1 = J_i^1(\varphi(\beta), \Lambda_i)$, $\theta \in C_h(\Lambda_i, \varphi(\beta))$, for a fixed embedding $\varphi : F[\beta] \hookrightarrow \text{End}_F(V)$ (cf. [54, Theorem 4.13]) and with Λ_i in a fixed chamber in the building of $G_{\varphi(\beta)}$.

We also allow the trivial endo-parameter $\mathfrak{t} = \mathbf{0}_G$ of any reductive p -adic group G , corresponding to the depth zero subcategory of $\text{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}[1/p]}}(G)$, with progenerator a finite sum

$$P(\mathfrak{t}) = \bigoplus_{x \in \text{Vert}} \text{ind}_{G_x^1}^G(1)$$

where the sum is over a set of representatives for the G -conjugacy classes of vertices in the Bruhat-Tits building of G , which by conjugating if necessary, we can suppose all lie in the same chamber.

Let r be sufficiently large for \mathfrak{t} so that all the η_i are defined over $R_{0,r} = \mathbb{Z}[1/p, \mu_{p^r}]$ (or in the depth zero case, we let $r = 0$). In particular, the idempotent cutting out $\text{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}[1/p]}}(\mathfrak{t})$ is defined over $\mathbb{Z}[1/p, \mu_{p^r}]$.

In this section R denotes a commutative $\mathbb{Z}[1/p, \mu_{p^r}]$ -algebra, and we study the R -block decomposition of $\text{Rep}_R(\mathfrak{t})$. We further suppose that R is a domain, in particular the field of fractions K' of R is flat over R , and we fix an algebraic closure K of K' . The key examples we consider include: $R = \mathbb{Z}[1/p, \mu_{p^r}]$, $R = \overline{\mathbb{Z}[1/p]}$, $R = W(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell})$, $R = \overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$, and $R = \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ for $\ell \neq p$.

8.1. The fine and coarse graphs. We choose a decomposition $\text{ind}_{J_i^1}^{J_i^1}(\eta_i \otimes R) \simeq \bigoplus_j \overline{P}_{i,j}$ into projective indecomposable representations $\overline{P}_{i,j}$, and set $P_{i,j} := \text{ind}_{J_i^1}^G(\overline{P}_{i,j})$. By exactness of compact induction, $\bigoplus_{i,j} P_{i,j}$ defines a decomposition of $P(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes R$ into finitely generated projective modules $P_{i,j}$.

Remark 8.1. (i) If R is Artinian then, setting H a compact open normal subgroup of J_i such that $\eta_i|_H$ is trivial, the group ring $R[J_i/H]$ is Artinian. This guarantees the uniqueness up to isomorphism of the summands $\overline{P}_{i,j}$ in the decomposition of $\text{ind}_{J_i^1}^{J_i^1}(\eta_i \otimes R)$ (by the Krull-Schmidt theorem). While similar uniqueness statements hold for various local rings (see for example [46]), they fail in general [47], so depending on the context this may be a choice of decomposition we are making.

(ii) For our applications, the decomposition $\text{ind}_{J_i^1}^{J_i^1}(\eta_i \otimes R) \simeq \bigoplus_j \overline{P}_{i,j}$ into projective indecomposable representations can be replaced by any decomposition $\text{ind}_{J_i^1}^{J_i^1}(\eta_i \otimes R) \simeq \bigoplus \Pi_{i,j}$ satisfying, for all j , that there are no non-trivial central idempotents of $\text{End}_{R[J_i]}(\Pi_{i,j})$. We can use any such decomposition to parametrize the R -blocks following the methods in this section and construct finitely generated projective generators of the R -blocks. Different choices of decomposition can lead to different decompositions of the finitely generated projective generators we construct as direct sums of finitely generated projective representations.

Choose a beta extension κ'_i of $\eta_i \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, then it is defined over $S[1/p]$ where S is the ring of integers of a number field, by [20].

Lemma 8.2. *Suppose R is an $S[1/p]$ -algebra, and set $\kappa_i = \kappa'_i \otimes R$. Let $\text{ind}_{J_i^1}^{J_i^1}(1) = \bigoplus_j Q_{i,j}$ be a decomposition of $\text{ind}_{J_i^1}^{J_i^1}(1)$ into projective indecomposable representations of J_i/J_i^1 . Then*

$$\text{ind}_{J_i^1}^{J_i^1}(\eta_i \otimes R) \simeq \bigoplus_j \kappa_i \otimes Q_{i,j},$$

defines a decomposition of $\text{ind}_{J_i^1}^{J_i^1}(\eta_i \otimes R)$ into projective indecomposable representations.

Proof. We have

$$\mathrm{ind}_{J_i^1}^{J_i}(\eta_i \otimes \mathbb{R}) \simeq \kappa_i \otimes \mathrm{ind}_{J_i^1}^{J_i}(1) \simeq \bigoplus_j \kappa_i \otimes Q_{i,j},$$

and it suffices to show that the $\kappa_i \otimes Q_{i,j}$ are indecomposable. Suppose that, for some j , we have a non-trivial decomposition $\kappa_i \otimes Q_{i,j} = \Pi_1 \oplus \Pi_2$. Then applying $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}[J_i^1]}(\kappa_i, -)$, as $Q_{i,j} \upharpoonright_{J_i^1}$ is trivial and $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{R}[J_i^1]}(\eta_i) \simeq \mathbb{R}$ (Corollary 5.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{i,j} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}[J_i^1]}(\kappa_i, \kappa_i \otimes Q_{i,j}) \\ w &\mapsto \alpha_w : v \mapsto v \otimes w, \end{aligned}$$

where J_i/J_i^1 acts on $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}[J_i^1]}(\kappa_i, \kappa_i \otimes Q_{i,j})$ via $j \cdot f = j \circ f \circ j^{-1}$. And we find

$$Q_{i,j} \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}[J_i^1]}(\kappa_i, \Pi_1 \oplus \Pi_2) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}[J_i^1]}(\kappa_i, \Pi_1) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}[J_i^1]}(\kappa_i, \Pi_2)$$

as representations of J_i/J_i^1 , and each hom-space is non-zero as Π_1 and Π_2 are η_i -isotypic. \square

Definition 8.3. (i) We define the *fine* $(\mathfrak{t}, \mathbb{R})$ -graph $\mathcal{G}_P = (V, E)$ for \mathfrak{t} over \mathbb{R} by its vertex set $V = \{P_{i,j}\}_{i,j}$ and drawing an edge between $P_{i,j}$ and $P_{i',j'}$ if either

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}[G]}(P_{i,j}, P_{i',j'}) \neq 0 \text{ or } \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}[G]}(P_{i',j'}, P_{i,j}) \neq 0.$$

(ii) We define the *coarse* $(\mathfrak{t}, \mathbb{R})$ -graph $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_P = (V, \tilde{E})$ for \mathfrak{t} over \mathbb{R} by its vertex set $V = \{P_{i,j}\}_{i,j}$ and drawing an edge between $P_{i,j}$ and $P_{i',j'}$ if $P_{i,j} \otimes K$ and $P_{i',j'} \otimes K$ have direct summands lying in the same K -block.

Remark 8.4. (i) To avoid repetition in the projective generators we will construct from our graphs, if a representation appears (up to isomorphism) with multiplicity greater than one in the decomposition $\mathrm{ind}_{J_i^1}^{J_i}(\eta_i \otimes \mathbb{R}) \simeq \bigoplus_j \bar{P}_{i,j}$, then we can identify the corresponding vertices in the $(\mathfrak{t}, \mathbb{R})$ -graphs.

(ii) In the setting of Lemma 8.2, a natural decomposition of $\mathbb{R}[J_i/J_i^1]$, rather than choosing a decomposition of $\mathbb{R}[J_i/J_i^1]$ into projective indecomposable modules, is the block decomposition of $\mathbb{R}[J_i/J_i^1]$ which exists as \mathbb{R} is an integral domain (any decomposition of 1 into infinitely many orthogonal idempotents in the centre of $\mathbb{R}[J_i/J_i^1]$ would give such a decomposition in $K[J_i/J_i^1]$ which is Noetherian, a contradiction).

(iii) To obtain Lemma 8.2 over a smaller base ring S , using the theory of the Weil representation (cf., [31]) we expect one can construct an extension of η_i to J_i , then one could proceed with this extension or twist by a character of J_i/J_i^1 to obtain a beta extension (in which case one would need to extend our ring $\mathbb{R}_{0,r}$ by these character values).

Proposition 8.5. (i) *The fine $(\mathfrak{t}, \mathbb{R})$ -graph is a subgraph of the coarse $(\mathfrak{t}, \mathbb{R})$ -graph.*

(ii) *Suppose \mathbb{R} is a characteristic zero domain. Then the fine $(\mathfrak{t}, \mathbb{R})$ -graph and the coarse $(\mathfrak{t}, \mathbb{R})$ -graph coincide.*

Proof. By Mackey's decomposition, as K' is flat over \mathbb{R} and K (faithfully) flat over K' ,

$$(8.6) \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}[G]}(P_{i,j}, P_{i',j'}) \otimes K = \mathrm{Hom}_{K[G]}(P_{i,j} \otimes K, P_{i',j'} \otimes K).$$

Since the projectives $P_{i,j}$ and $P_{i',j'}$ are torsion free, this shows that there is a nonzero map from $P_{i,j}$ to $P_{i',j'}$ if and only if there is such a map from $P_{i,j} \otimes K$ to $P_{i',j'} \otimes K$. And we deduce the first statement.

So suppose \mathbb{R} is a characteristic zero domain. From Lemma 2.15 (iv), we see that if $P_{i,j}$ and $P_{i',j'}$ are joined by an edge of the coarse graph, then there is a nonzero element of $\mathrm{Hom}_{K[G]}(P_{i,j} \otimes K, P_{i',j'} \otimes K)$. By Equation 8.6, the latter is the same as $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}[G]}(P_{i,j}, P_{i',j'}) \otimes K$, so $P_{i,j}$ and $P_{i',j'}$ are joined by an edge of the fine graph as well. \square

8.2. Computing the coarse graph. The advantage of the coarse graph is that using type theory we can give an explicit recipe to compute it.

8.2.1. *Characteristic zero domains.* As in Section 7.2, we can index the Bernstein K-blocks by types, and using this parameterisation write down which blocks over K appear in each projective $P_{i,j} \otimes K$.

- (i) For each i , we choose a beta extension κ_i of η_i over K. This allows us to decompose

$$\mathrm{ind}_{J_i^1}^{J_i}(\eta_i) \otimes K \simeq \kappa_i \otimes \mathrm{ind}_{J_i^1}^{J_i}(1 \otimes K) \simeq \bigoplus_{\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}_K(J_i/J_i^1)} \kappa_i \otimes \pi^{\oplus \dim(\pi)};$$

and

$$P_{i,j} \otimes K \simeq \bigoplus_{\pi \in [\overline{P}_{i,j} \otimes K]} \mathrm{ind}_{J_i}^G(\kappa_i \otimes \pi)^{\oplus m_\pi};$$

where m_π is the multiplicity of π in $\overline{P}_{i,j} \otimes K$.

- (ii) For each pair $(J_i, \kappa_i \otimes \pi)$, we let $(J_{i,Q}, \kappa_{i,Q} \otimes \rho)$ be in its supercuspidal support (where Q and ρ depend on π) – cf., Section 7.3. Then

$$P_{i,j} \otimes K \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{\pi \in [\overline{P}_{i,j} \otimes K]} \mathrm{ind}_{J_{i,Q}}^G(\kappa_{i,Q} \otimes \rho)^{\oplus m_\pi}$$

as a direct summand, and each $(J_{i,Q}, \kappa_{i,Q} \otimes \rho)$ is a type for a Bernstein block over K as recalled in Section 7.2.

- (iii) In this way each summand $\kappa_i \otimes \pi$ of $\overline{P}_{i,j} \otimes K$ gives rise to a Bernstein block of $\mathrm{Rep}_K(G)$, and $P_{i,j} \otimes K$ straddles the union of these blocks.
- (iv) To compute whether there is an edge between two vertices $P_{i,j}$ and $P_{i',j'}$ in the coarse graph, we are reduced to computing the intertwining in G of the types for the Bernstein components which appear in the decomposition of the projectives $P_{i,j} \otimes K$ and $P_{i',j'} \otimes K$. And if one can choose a compatible family of beta extensions as in Definition 7.6, then this can be reduced to depth zero.

8.3. **Graphs and R-blocks.** The main result of this section is:

Theorem 8.7. *The R-blocks of $\mathrm{Rep}_R(\mathfrak{t})$ correspond to the connected components of the fine (\mathfrak{t}, R) -graph.*

More precisely, the finitely generated projective R-representation of G defined as the direct sum over the vertices in a connected component of the fine (\mathfrak{t}, R) -graph is a progenerator of an R-block, and running over the connected components of the fine (\mathfrak{t}, R) -graph defines the R-block decomposition of the finitely generated projective generator $P(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes R$ of $\mathrm{Rep}_R(\mathfrak{t})$, hence defines the R-block decomposition of $\mathrm{Rep}_R(\mathfrak{t})$.

Proof of Theorem 8.7. Suppose that $P_{i,j}$ lies in a unique R-block for all i, j . Then a central idempotent of $\mathrm{End}_{R[G]}(P)$ acts by 1 or 0 on each $P_{i,j}$. If there is a non-zero map $P_{i,j} \rightarrow P_{i',j'}$ (or $P_{i',j'} \rightarrow P_{i,j}$) for a central idempotent to commute with this map it must be 1 on both or 0 on both; this guarantees that the idempotents coming from connected components of the fine graph are primitive. We are reduced to showing that $P_{i,j}$ lies in a unique R-block.

Let us first consider the special case where $R = K$ is an algebraically closed field. We choose a beta extension κ_i of η_i , so that $P_{i,j} \simeq \mathrm{ind}_{J_i}^G(\kappa_i \otimes \zeta_{i,j})$ where $\zeta_{i,j}$ is an indecomposable K-representation of J_i/J_i^1 of finite length. The ‘‘Ext-graph’’ of $\zeta_{i,j}$ with vertices the irreducible subquotients of $\zeta_{i,j}$ and an edge between irreducible subquotients σ and σ' if $\mathrm{Ext}_{R[J_i]}^1(\sigma, \sigma') \neq 0$ is connected as $\zeta_{i,j}$ is indecomposable. Moreover, $\mathrm{Ext}_{R[J_i]}^1(\sigma, \sigma') \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{R[G]}^1(\mathrm{ind}_{J_i}^G(\kappa_i \otimes \sigma), \mathrm{ind}_{J_i}^G(\kappa_i \otimes \sigma'))$, which when non-zero implies that $\mathrm{ind}_{J_i}^G(\kappa_i \otimes \sigma)$ and $\mathrm{ind}_{J_i}^G(\kappa_i \otimes \sigma')$ have an R-block in common. Hence, working around the Ext-graph, it suffices to show that $\mathrm{ind}_{J_i}^G(\kappa_i \otimes \sigma)$ is contained in a single K-block where σ is an irreducible K-representation of J_i/J_i^1 .

By the theory of covers over K and Proposition 7.12, $\mathrm{ind}_{J_i}^G(\kappa_i \otimes \sigma)$ is subquotient of $\mathrm{ind}_{J_{i,Q}}^G(\kappa_{i,Q} \otimes \rho)$, and it suffices to show that $\mathrm{ind}_{J_{i,Q}}^G(\kappa_{i,Q} \otimes \rho)$ is in a unique K-block, where $(J_{i,Q}, \kappa_{i,Q} \otimes \rho)$ is a cover of a supercuspidal type $(J_{i,M}, \kappa_{i,M} \otimes \rho)$. If K is of characteristic zero, we are done, as $(J_{i,Q}, \kappa_{i,Q} \otimes \rho)$ is an \mathfrak{s} -type. In any case, $\mathrm{ind}_{J_{i,Q}}^G(\kappa_{i,Q} \otimes \rho)$ is a summand of $\Pi := i_P^G(\mathrm{ind}_{M^0}^M(\pi_M))$ where π_M is a

supercuspidal representation of M containing $(J_{i,M}, \kappa_{i,M} \otimes \rho)$ by Proposition 7.15. And it suffices to show that Π is contained in a unique K -block, which follows from Proposition 2.13 as $K[M/M^\circ]$ is an integral domain. This completes the case when $R = K$ is an algebraically closed field.

Now we go back to the general case: R is an integral domain with field of fractions K' , and K an algebraic closure of K' and $P_{i,j} = \text{ind}_{J_i}^G(\overline{P}_{i,j})$. We choose a beta extension κ_i of $\eta_i \otimes K$, and decompose $\overline{P}_{i,j} \otimes K \simeq \bigoplus_s \kappa_i \otimes \zeta_{i,j}^s$ where the $\zeta_{i,j}^s$ are indecomposable K -representations of J_i/J_i^1 of finite length. So we have

$$P_{i,j} \otimes K \simeq \bigoplus_s \text{ind}_{J_i}^G(\kappa_i \otimes \zeta_{i,j}^s).$$

Let e be an idempotent of $\mathfrak{Z}_R(\mathfrak{t})$, the centre of $\text{Rep}_R(\mathfrak{t})$. Then e acts on each K -summand $\text{ind}_{J_i}^G(\kappa_i \otimes \zeta_{i,j}^s)$ by a central idempotent of $\mathfrak{Z}_K(\mathfrak{t})$, and hence as $\text{ind}_{J_i}^G(\kappa_i \otimes \zeta_{i,j}^s)$ is in a unique K -block, e acts by either zero or the identity on $\text{ind}_{J_i}^G(\kappa_i \otimes \zeta_{i,j}^s)$.

This means that the action of e on $P_{i,j} \otimes K$ is induced by an idempotent of (the centre of) $\text{End}_{K[J_i]}(\overline{P}_{i,j} \otimes K)$. Explicitly, we define the idempotent in $\text{End}_{K[J_i]}(\overline{P}_{i,j} \otimes K)$ to preserve the decomposition $\overline{P}_{i,j} \otimes K \simeq \bigoplus_s \kappa_i \otimes \zeta_{i,j}^s$ and to act on the summand $\kappa_i \otimes \zeta_{i,j}^s$ by either zero or the identity as prescribed by the action of e on $\text{ind}_{J_i}^G(\kappa_i \otimes \zeta_{i,j}^s)$. So e lies in the intersection (in $\text{End}_{R[G]}(P_{i,j}) \otimes K$) of $\text{End}_{R[G]}(P_{i,j})$ and $\text{End}_{R[J_i]}(\overline{P}_{i,j}) \otimes K \simeq \text{End}_{K[J_i]}(\overline{P}_{i,j} \otimes K)$. But, by the Mackey formula, $\text{End}_{R[J_i]}(\overline{P}_{i,j})$ is a direct summand of $\text{End}_{R[G]}(P_{i,j})$ (the summand supported on the double coset containing the identity in fact). Thus e arises from a central idempotent of $\text{End}_{R[J_i]}(\overline{P}_{i,j})$; and since $\overline{P}_{i,j}$ is indecomposable, e is zero or the identity. Therefore $P_{i,j}$ lies in a unique R -block. \square

From this description we deduce the following result (known previously for $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ by [33]):

Corollary 8.8. *Let \varkappa be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $\ell \notin \{0, p\}$. Reduction mod ℓ induces a bijection between the $W(\varkappa)$ -blocks in $\text{Rep}_{W(\varkappa)}(\mathfrak{t})$ and the \varkappa -blocks in $\text{Rep}_\varkappa(\mathfrak{t})$.*

Proof. We consider the fine $(\mathfrak{t}, W(\varkappa))$ -graph $\mathcal{G}_{P \otimes W(\varkappa)}$ and the fine $(\mathfrak{t}, \varkappa)$ -graph $\mathcal{G}_{P \otimes \varkappa}$. The vertex sets of these two graphs are in natural bijection by reduction mod ℓ : the vertices of $\mathcal{G}_{P \otimes W(\varkappa)}$ correspond to the distinct projective summands $P_{i,j}$ of the inductions of the $\eta_i \otimes W(\varkappa)$, and the vertices of $\mathcal{G}_{P \otimes \varkappa}$ correspond to the mod ℓ reductions $Q_{i,j} = P_{i,j} \otimes \varkappa$ (which identify with the distinct projective summands of the inductions of the $\eta_i \otimes \varkappa$).

If there is a nonzero map from $Q_{i,j}$ to $Q_{i',j'}$ then we can compose with the reduction mod ℓ to get a map $P_{i,j} \rightarrow Q_{i',j'}$. Then using projectivity of $P_{i,j}$ we can lift this to a map $P_{i,j} \rightarrow P_{i',j'}$. Conversely, if there is a nonzero map $P_{i,j} \rightarrow P_{i',j'}$ then we can let n be the largest integer such that $\ell^n P_{i',j'}$ contains the image of this map. Dividing by ℓ^n then gives us a map whose reduction mod ℓ is a nonzero map $Q_{i,j} \rightarrow Q_{i',j'}$. \square

Remark 8.9. It is expected (cf., [25]) that, for any reductive p -adic group G , the natural map

$$\mathfrak{Z}_{W(\varkappa)}(G)_r \otimes \varkappa \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_\varkappa(G)_r$$

between depth r centres is an isomorphism. Under our assumptions on G (either $r = 0$ or G is an inner form of a general linear or classical group with $p \neq 2$), our results show the natural map at least induces a bijection between the primitive idempotents.

8.4. Application 1: The block decomposition over $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}[1/p]$. Let G be a connected reductive p -adic group and \mathcal{F} a facet in the Bruhat–Tits building of G . We have the following result of Dat and Lanard about the central idempotents of $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}[1/p][G_{\mathcal{F}}^+/G_{\mathcal{F}}^1]$:

Lemma 8.10 (Dat–Lanard [27]). (i) *Suppose \overline{G} is a finite group of Lie type² over \mathbb{F}_p , then $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}[1/p][\overline{G}]$ has no non-trivial central idempotents.*

(ii) *Suppose that p does not divide $|G_{\mathcal{F}}^+/G_{\mathcal{F}}^1|$, then $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}[1/p][G_{\mathcal{F}}^+/G_{\mathcal{F}}^1]$ has no non-trivial central idempotents.*

²That is, the fixed points of a twisted Frobenius morphism acting on a connected reductive group defined over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$.

Proof. (i) This is Dat–Lanard, [27, Theorem 2.0.1].

(ii) The group $G_{\mathcal{F}}/G_{\mathcal{F}}^1$ is a finite group of Lie type over \mathbb{F}_p , $G_{\mathcal{F}}^{\pm}/G_{\mathcal{F}}^1$ contains $G_{\mathcal{F}}/G_{\mathcal{F}}^1$ as a normal subgroup with abelian quotient, and this part follows from Clifford Theory and the first part. Similar considerations are used in [27, §3.4], but we give the full argument for completeness:

For any finite group H , Dat and Lanard show that $\overline{\mathbb{Z}[1/p]}[H]$ has no non-trivial central idempotents if and only if there is only one equivalence class of irreducible complex representations of H under the relation that irreducible complex representations ρ, ρ' of H are equivalent if they are *connected by a (finite) chain of ℓ -block coincidences over $\ell \neq p$* : that is, there is a finite sequence of primes (ℓ_i) and a finite sequence of irreducible complex representations (ρ_i) of H such that

$$\rho \sim_{\ell_1} \rho_1 \sim_{\ell_2} \rho_2 \sim_{\ell_3} \cdots \sim_{\ell_s} \rho',$$

where $\rho_i \sim_{\ell_{i+1}} \rho_{i+1}$ if ρ_i and ρ_{i+1} are in the same ℓ_{i+1} -block. As the ℓ_i -block relation is invariant under field automorphisms, we can consider each \sim_{ℓ_i} as connecting $\overline{\mathbb{Q}^{\ell_i}}$ -representations. The ℓ_i -block decomposition on the irreducible (necessarily integral) $\overline{\mathbb{Q}^{\ell_i}}$ -representations is given by the transitive closure of the relationship of having a common constituent on reduction modulo ℓ_i . Thus the equivalence relation “connected by a chain of ℓ -block coincidences over $\ell \neq p$ ” on the irreducible complex representations is equivalent to the equivalence relation “connected by a chain having common subquotients on reduction mod primes $\ell \neq p$ ”.

Let $\overline{G} = G_{\mathcal{F}}^{\pm}/G_{\mathcal{F}}^1$ and $\overline{N} = G_{\mathcal{F}}/G_{\mathcal{F}}^1$. For ρ an irreducible complex representation of \overline{N} , set $I_{\overline{G}}(\rho) = \{g \in \overline{G} : \rho^g \simeq \rho\}$ the *inertia subgroup* of ρ . Now given $\pi, \pi' \in \text{Irr}(\overline{G})$, by Clifford Theory there exist $\rho, \rho' \in \text{Irr}(\overline{N})$, $\tilde{\rho} \in \text{Irr}(I_{\overline{G}}(\rho), \rho)$, and $\tilde{\rho}' \in \text{Irr}(I_{\overline{G}}(\rho'), \rho')$ such that

$$\pi \simeq \text{Ind}_{I_{\overline{G}}(\rho)}^{\overline{G}}(\tilde{\rho}), \quad \pi' \simeq \text{Ind}_{I_{\overline{G}}(\rho')}^{\overline{G}}(\tilde{\rho}'),$$

and, as $\overline{G}/\overline{N}$ is abelian,

$$\text{Ind}_{\overline{N}}^{I_{\overline{G}}(\rho)}(\rho) \simeq \bigoplus_{\chi \in \text{Hom}(I_{\overline{G}}(\rho)/\overline{N}, \mathbb{C}^{\times})} \tilde{\rho} \otimes \chi, \quad \text{Ind}_{\overline{N}}^{I_{\overline{G}}(\rho')}(\rho') \simeq \bigoplus_{\chi' \in \text{Hom}(I_{\overline{G}}(\rho')/\overline{N}, \mathbb{C}^{\times})} \tilde{\rho}' \otimes \chi',$$

Applying Dat and Lanard’s result to \overline{N} we have

$$\rho \sim_{\ell_1} \rho_1 \sim_{\ell_2} \rho_2 \sim_{\ell_3} \cdots \sim_{\ell_s} \rho'$$

and working along the chain we can reduce to showing if $\rho \sim_{\ell} \rho'$ then we can connect π and π' by a sequence of equivalences. So suppose ρ, ρ' contain a common constituent $\bar{\rho}$ on reduction modulo ℓ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}_{\overline{N}}^{\overline{G}}(\rho) &\simeq \bigoplus_{\chi \in \text{Hom}(I_{\overline{G}}(\rho)/\overline{N}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times})} \text{Ind}_{I_{\overline{G}}(\rho)}^{\overline{G}}(\tilde{\rho} \otimes \chi) \\ \text{Ind}_{\overline{N}}^{\overline{G}}(\rho') &\simeq \bigoplus_{\chi' \in \text{Hom}(I_{\overline{G}}(\rho')/\overline{N}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times})} \text{Ind}_{I_{\overline{G}}(\rho')}^{\overline{G}}(\tilde{\rho}' \otimes \chi'), \end{aligned}$$

give the direct sums into irreducibles of $\text{Ind}_{\overline{N}}^{\overline{G}}(\rho)$ and $\text{Ind}_{\overline{N}}^{\overline{G}}(\rho')$, and both contain $\text{Ind}_{\overline{N}}^{\overline{G}}(\bar{\rho})$ on reduction modulo ℓ . Hence (at least) one summand of $\text{Ind}_{\overline{N}}^{\overline{G}}(\rho)$ is linked mod ℓ to one summand of $\text{Ind}_{\overline{N}}^{\overline{G}}(\rho')$. But all summands of $\text{Ind}_{\overline{N}}^{\overline{G}}(\rho)$ are linked by congruences mod primes dividing $|I_{\overline{G}}(\rho)/\overline{N}|$ (as all characters χ are linked to the trivial character), and similarly all summands of $\text{Ind}_{\overline{N}}^{\overline{G}}(\rho')$ are linked by congruences mod the primes dividing $|I_{\overline{G}}(\rho')/\overline{N}|$, and we are done. \square

For G an inner form of a general linear group or an inner form of a classical p -adic group (with $p \neq 2$), it follows from Lemmas 8.10 and 8.2, that the vertices of the fine $(\mathfrak{t}, \overline{\mathbb{Z}[1/p]})$ -graph are given by the $\text{ind}_{\mathfrak{J}_i}^G(\eta_i \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Z}[1/p]})$. Moreover, in this case, it is straightforward to see that there is only one

connected component of the fine $(\mathfrak{t}, \overline{\mathbb{Z}[1/p]})$ -graph without invoking the equality with the coarse graph: By Mackey theory

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}[1/p]}[\mathbf{G}]}(\mathrm{ind}_{J_i^G}(\eta_i \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Z}[1/p]}), \mathrm{ind}_{J_j^G}(\eta_j \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Z}[1/p]})) = \bigoplus \mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}[1/p]}[J_i^1 \cap (J_j^1)^g]}(\eta_i \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Z}[1/p]}, \eta_j^g \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Z}[1/p]}) \neq 0,$$

as all the η_i 's in the projective $P(\mathfrak{t})$ intertwine. Hence we obtain:

Corollary 8.11. *Let G be an inner form of a general linear group or an inner form of a classical p -adic group (with $p \neq 2$). The endo-factor $\mathrm{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}[1/p]}}(\mathfrak{t})$ is indecomposable. In other words, the decomposition by endo-parameter of Theorem 6.10 is the $\overline{\mathbb{Z}[1/p]}$ -block decomposition.*

Our methods also allow us to consider the trivial (depth zero) endo-parameter $\mathfrak{t} = \mathbf{0}_G$ of any connected reductive p -adic group G (recovering some results of Dat and Lanard [27]):

Corollary 8.12 ([27]). *Let G be a connected reductive p -adic group. Suppose that for any maximal parahoric subgroup $G_{\mathcal{F}}$, the quotient $G_{\mathcal{F}}^+/G_{\mathcal{F}}$ is of order prime to p . Then $\mathrm{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}[1/p]}}(\mathbf{0}_G)$ is indecomposable.*

Remark 8.13. In particular, this includes the case where G is semisimple and simply connected (where $G_{\mathcal{F}}^+ = G_{\mathcal{F}}$, cf. [35, Lemma 7.7.8]) [27, Corollary 3.3.3]. However, while for classical groups with p odd the depth zero block over $\overline{\mathbb{Z}[1/p]}$ is always indecomposable (in this case $G_{\mathcal{F}}^+/G_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a 2-group), it is not the case in general. Dat and Lanard introduce a group which acts transitively on the primitive idempotents in the depth zero centre [27, Corollary 3.4.2], and show that if G is quasi-split and tamely ramified then $\mathrm{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}[1/p]}}(\mathbf{0}_G)$ is indecomposable [27, Theorem 3.6.1].

8.5. Application 2: Reduction of the block decomposition to depth zero. At this point, it is tempting to make a conjecture, generalizing a result of Chinello [15], and related to predictions of Dat [21]:

Conjecture 8.14. *Let (G, h) be an inner form of a general linear group or of a classical group with $p \neq 2$, and \mathfrak{t} be an endo-parameter for (G, h) . Let R be an integral domain and $\mathbb{Z}[1/p, \mu_{p^\infty}]$ -algebra. We have an equivalence of categories*

$$\mathrm{Rep}_R(\mathfrak{t}) \simeq \mathrm{Rep}_R(\mathbf{0}_{G_{\varphi(\beta)}}).$$

Remark 8.15. (i) Notice the category $\mathrm{Rep}_R(\mathbf{0}_{G_{\varphi(\beta)}})$ depends on a choice of a full semisimple element β for the endo-parameter \mathfrak{t} and embedding φ . We expect that by extending the tame parameter field (cf. [13, 2]) to the self-dual semisimple case, one can canonically associate to an endo-parameter a tamely ramified F -group G_T such that

$$\mathrm{Rep}_R(\mathbf{0}_{G_{\varphi(\beta)}}) \simeq \mathrm{Rep}_R(\mathbf{0}_{G_T}),$$

for any choice of (φ, β) for \mathfrak{t} , and so that there exists a reduction to a *tamely ramified* depth zero situation. This would then provide an analogue of the reduction to the tame case for Langlands parameters of [23].

(ii) For classical groups Heiermann [32] has shown an arbitrary Bernstein block $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{s})$ is equivalent to a unipotent block in a related group (in particular to a depth zero block). Such a reduction to the unipotent block is also predicted for more general coefficient rings by Dat [21]. Note that in the case of the conjecture over $\mathbb{Z}[1/p, \mu_{p^\infty}]$, we have already shown that the relevant depth zero category is indecomposable, so the full depth zero subcategory $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/p, \mu_{p^\infty}]}(\mathbf{0}_{G_{\varphi(\beta)}})$ is the unipotent block.

Theorem 8.16. *Suppose that there exists a family of beta extensions $\Xi = \{\kappa_i\}$ over R such that, for all i, i' , $G_{\varphi(\beta)}$ intertwines κ_i and $\kappa_{i'}$. Then the map*

$$f_{\Xi} : \mathrm{ind}_{J_i^G}(\kappa_i \otimes Q_{i,j}) \mapsto \mathrm{ind}_{G_{\varphi(\beta), \Lambda_i}^{G_{\varphi(\beta)}}}(\kappa_i \otimes Q_{i,j}),$$

defines a graph isomorphism between the fine (\mathfrak{t}, R) -graph with the fine $(\mathbf{0}_{G_{\varphi(\beta)}}, R)$ -graph. In particular, the R -blocks of $\mathrm{Rep}_R(\mathfrak{t})$ are in natural bijection with the R -blocks in $\mathrm{Rep}_R(\mathbf{0}_{G_{\varphi(\beta)}})$.

Proof. Suppose that we have an edge between $\text{ind}_{J_i}^G(\kappa_i \otimes Q_{i,j})$ and $\text{ind}_{J_{i'}}^G(\kappa_{i'} \otimes Q_{i',j'})$, and set $\mathcal{P} = Q_{i,j}$ and $\mathcal{P}' = Q_{i',j'}$, then in other words

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}[G]}(\text{ind}_{J_i}^G(\kappa_i \otimes \mathcal{P}), \text{ind}_{J_{i'}}^G(\kappa_{i'} \otimes \mathcal{P}')) \neq 0.$$

This Hom-space embeds into

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}[G]}(\text{ind}_{J_i}^G(\kappa_i \otimes \mathcal{P}), \text{ind}_{J_{i'}}^G(\kappa_{i'} \otimes \mathcal{P}')) \otimes K \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}[G]}(\text{ind}_{J_i}^G(\kappa_i \otimes \mathcal{P}) \otimes K, \text{ind}_{J_{i'}}^G(\kappa_{i'} \otimes \mathcal{P}') \otimes K).$$

In particular, this is non-zero if and only if, there exists $g \in G$ such that,

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}[J_i^g \cap J_{i'}]}(\kappa_{i,K}^g \otimes (\mathcal{P}^g \otimes K), \kappa_{i',K} \otimes (\mathcal{P}' \otimes K)) \neq 0.$$

Restricting to J^1 -groups, we see that we can assume that $g \in G_{\varphi(\beta)}$, and moreover for such an element $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}[J_i^g \cap J_{i'}]}(\kappa_{i,K}^g, \kappa_{i',K}) \simeq K$, hence by [38, Lemma 2.7] we have an isomorphism of \mathbb{K} -vector spaces

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}[J_i^g \cap J_{i'}]}(\mathcal{P}^g \otimes K, \mathcal{P}' \otimes K) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}[J_i^g \cap J_{i'}]}(\kappa_{i,K}^g \otimes (\mathcal{P}^g \otimes K), \kappa_{i',K} \otimes (\mathcal{P}' \otimes K)).$$

Hence $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}[J_i^g \cap J_{i'}]}(\mathcal{P}^g \otimes K, \mathcal{P}' \otimes K) \neq 0$ and as

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}[J_i^g \cap J_{i'}]}(\mathcal{P}^g, \mathcal{P}') \otimes K \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}[J_i^g \cap J_{i'}]}(\mathcal{P}^g \otimes K, \mathcal{P} \otimes K),$$

we have $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}[G_{\varphi(\beta), \Lambda_i}^g \cap G_{\varphi(\beta), \Lambda_{i'}}]}(\mathcal{P}^g, \mathcal{P}') \neq 0$, and we have a non-zero Hom (by Mackey theory) and hence an edge between $\text{ind}_{G_{\varphi(\beta), \Lambda_i}^g}^G(\mathcal{P})$ and $\text{ind}_{G_{\varphi(\beta), \Lambda_{i'}}}^G(\mathcal{P}')$. The reverse direction follows similarly. \square

9. INTERPRETATION IN TERMS OF LANGLANDS PARAMETERS

We explain how our results on blocks fit into the local Langlands in families conjecture. For this section we suppose that F is a p -adic field to allow us to apply results on the local Langlands correspondence of Arthur, Mok, and Kaletha–Mínguez–Shin–White. We denote by $\text{Irr}(G)$ the set of isomorphism classes of \mathbb{C} -representations of G , and by $\Phi(\mathscr{W}_F, G)$ the set of $\widehat{G}(\mathbb{C})$ -conjugacy classes of Langlands parameters $\rho : \mathscr{W}_F \times \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow {}^L G(\mathbb{C})$ for G . If G is symplectic, unitary, or odd split special orthogonal we denote by

$$\text{LL}_G : \text{Irr}(G) \rightarrow \Phi(\mathscr{W}_F, G)$$

the local Langlands correspondence of [3, 44, 34]. For simplicity we do not consider non-split or even orthogonal groups in this section.

We let $\Phi(\mathscr{W}_F, G)^{ss}$ denote the set of $\widehat{G}(\mathbb{C})$ -conjugacy classes of *semisimple Langlands parameters* for G (aka *infinitesimal characters* for G); we have a semisimplification map $\Phi(\mathscr{W}_F, G) \rightarrow \Phi(\mathscr{W}_F, G)^{ss}$ restricting via the embedding $\varkappa : W_F \rightarrow W_F \times \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ given by $w \mapsto \left(w, \begin{pmatrix} |w|^{1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & |w|^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix} \right)$. Under the above hypotheses, by [24, Section 7], the local Langlands correspondence for G induces a semisimple local Langlands correspondence

$$\text{LL}_G^{ss} : \text{Cusp}(G) \rightarrow \Phi(\mathscr{W}_F, G)^{ss},$$

from the set of G -conjugacy classes of cuspidal supports of irreducible \mathbb{C} -representations of G to the set of semisimple Langlands parameters for G .

We let $\Phi(\mathscr{P}_F, G)$ be the set of $\widehat{G}(\mathbb{C})$ -conjugacy classes of wild inertial types for G , that is the $\widehat{G}(\mathbb{C})$ -conjugacy classes of the restrictions to \mathscr{P}_F (via the embedding \varkappa) of the representatives of all elements of $\Phi(\mathscr{W}_F, G)$.

For a $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -algebra R , we let $\mathcal{R}_{L_G, R}$ denote the universal R -algebra for Langlands parameters (on a fixed discretized Weil group) for G constructed in [23]; it carries an action of \widehat{G} and we let $\mathcal{R}_{L_G, R}^{\widehat{G}}$ denote the subalgebra of \widehat{G} -invariant functions (the GIT-quotient, which is independent of the choice of discretization by [23, Theorem 4.18]).

Suppose now that G is F -quasi-split. We let $\mathfrak{E}_{G, \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}^{-1}]} = \prod_{r \in D(G)} \mathfrak{E}_{G, \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}^{-1}, r]}$ denote the integral model for the endomorphisms of a Gelfand–Graev representation for G defined in [24, Section 5], where $D(G)$ denotes the set of depths for G as in Lemma 2.8. We let $\mathfrak{Z}_{G, R}^{\text{ad}}$ denote the subring of $\mathfrak{Z}_{G, R}$

of elements invariant under the automorphisms induced by the conjugation by elements of the F -points of the adjoint group (cf., [24, Remark 5.16]). Then, by *ibid.*, there is a canonical map

$$\mathfrak{e} : \mathfrak{Z}_{G, \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}^{-1}]}^{\text{ad}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}_{G, \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}^{-1}]}.$$

Given a Whittaker datum (U, ψ) over $R_0 = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}^{-1}, \mu_{p^\infty}]$ for G , for each $r \in D(G)$, there exists a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{E}_{G, \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}^{-1}], r} \otimes R_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_{R_0[G]}(\text{ind}_U^G(\psi)_r).$$

9.1. A corollary of local Langlands in families. The local Langlands in families conjecture [36, 24], predicts a natural morphism

$$\text{LLIF}_G : \mathcal{R}_{L_G, \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}^{-1}]}^{\hat{G}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_{G, \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}^{-1}]},$$

compatible with the semisimple local Langlands correspondence for classical groups, with image in $\mathfrak{Z}_{G, \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}^{-1}]}^{\text{ad}}$ and the property that, if G is F -quasi-split then the induced map obtained by composing with \mathfrak{e} :

$$\text{LLIF}_G : \mathcal{R}_{L_G, \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}^{-1}]}^{\hat{G}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{E}_{G, \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}^{-1}]}$$

is an isomorphism. The morphism is expected to be at least loosely compatible with the depth filtration: choose a filtration $(\mathcal{P}_F^e)_{e \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathcal{P}_F by open normal subgroups of \mathcal{W}_F and define $\mathcal{R}_{L_G, \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}^{-1}], e}^{\hat{G}}$ by considering only parameters trivial on \mathcal{P}_F^e , then given any depth $r \in D(G)$ it is expected that there exists an $e(r) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that LLIF_G factors through $\bigcup_{e \leq e(r)} \mathcal{R}_{L_G, \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}^{-1}], e}^{\hat{G}}$, and conversely given $e \in \mathbb{N}$ it is expected that there exists $r(e) \in D(G)$ such that LLIF_G has image in $\mathfrak{Z}_{G, \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}^{-1}], \leq r(e)}$.

In [24], such morphisms are constructed after inverting an integer which depends on G (“the banal case”).

The formation of these rings $\mathcal{R}_{L_G, \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}^{-1}]}^{\hat{G}}$, $\mathfrak{Z}_{G, \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}^{-1}]}$, $\mathfrak{E}_{G, \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}^{-1}]}$ in restricted depth/ramification are compatible with (at least) flat extensions, and hence the existence of LLIF_G loosely compatible with the depth filtration as above, gives the existence of morphisms $\text{LLIF}_{G, R} : \mathcal{R}_{L_G, R}^{\hat{G}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_{G, R}$ and, in the quasi-split case, $\text{LLIF}_{G, R} : \mathcal{R}_{L_G, R}^{\hat{G}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}_{G, R}$, for $R/\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}^{-1}]$ flat. For the remainder of this section, we suppose R is a $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}^{-1}]$ -algebra.

Remark 9.1. For $\ell \neq p$, (for any connected reductive p -adic group G) in a spectacular breakthrough Fargues–Scholze in [30] constructed a canonical map

$$\text{FS}_{G, \ell} : \text{Exc}(\mathcal{W}_F, \hat{G})_{\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}]} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_{G, \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}]},$$

from the “excursion algebra” to the centre over $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}]$. Currently, it is not known if the maps $\text{FS}_{G, \ell}$ are “independent of ℓ ”; i.e., if they come from a $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}^{-1}]$ -map $\text{FS}_G : \text{Exc}(\mathcal{W}_F, \hat{G})_{\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}^{-1}]} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_{G, \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}^{-1}]}$. It is also expected that $\text{Exc}(\mathcal{W}_F, \hat{G})_{\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}^{-1}]} \simeq \mathcal{R}_{L_G, \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}^{-1}]}^{\hat{G}}$ (cf. [30, VIII.5]).

As \hat{G} is connected, the primitive idempotents of $\mathcal{R}_{L_G, R}^{\hat{G}}$ correspond to the connected components of the full moduli space of Langlands parameters, which are studied in various cases in [23] and in [16].

Definition 9.2. Suppose $\text{LLIF}_{G, R}$ exists. Then, via $\text{LLIF}_{G, R}$, primitive idempotents of $\mathcal{R}_{L_G, R}^{\hat{G}}$ correspond to sums of primitive idempotents of $\mathfrak{Z}_{G, R}$.

- (i) If an idempotent $e \in \mathfrak{Z}_{G, R}$ is of the form $\text{LLIF}_{G, R}(f)$ for an idempotent $f \in \mathcal{R}_{L_G, R}^{\hat{G}}$ then we call it *stable*. In other words, it defines an idempotent of the *stable centre*

$$\mathfrak{Z}_{G, R}^{\text{st}} := \text{LLIF}_{G, R}(\mathcal{R}_{L_G, R}^{\hat{G}}).$$

- (ii) When it exists, we call the decomposition $1 = \sum e_i$ of $1 \in \mathfrak{Z}_{G, R}^{\text{st}}$ into pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents of $\mathfrak{Z}_{G, R}^{\text{st}}$, the *stable R -block decomposition* (again in general one will need some finiteness conditions on R to guarantee existence). We call the e_i *ps-idempotents*.

Suppose R is Noetherian, then given a ps-idempotent $e \in \mathfrak{Z}_{G,R}^{\text{st}}$ we can consider its decomposition $e = \sum e_i$ into primitive orthogonal idempotents $e_i \in \mathfrak{Z}_R(G)$. By Corollary 2.11, we deduce:

Lemma 9.3. *Suppose R is a Noetherian domain, $\text{LLIF}_{G,R}$ exists, and is compatible with the depth filtration in the weak sense described above. Then the ps-idempotents are finite sums of the primitive idempotents of $\mathfrak{Z}_{G,R}$.*

For quasi-split groups, we can also single out a distinguished idempotent:

Lemma 9.4. *Suppose G is quasi-split, R is a Noetherian $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}[\mu_{p^\infty}, 1/p]$ -algebra, $\text{LLIF}_{G,R}$ exists and defines an isomorphism to $\text{End}_{R[G]}(\text{ind}_U^G(\psi))$, and $e \in \mathfrak{Z}_{G,R}^{\text{st}}$ is a ps-idempotent. In the decomposition $e = \sum e_i$ of a ps-idempotent into primitive idempotents, there is a unique idempotent $e_{\psi, \text{gen}}$ whose image under the natural map Φ to $\text{End}_{R[G]}(\text{ind}_U^G(\psi))$ is non-zero. We call this the “ ψ -generic idempotent” associated to e .*

Note that $e_{\psi, \text{gen}}$ is the unique summand of e which supports ψ -generic representations.

Proof. Let $e' \in \mathcal{R}_{L_{G,R}}^{\hat{G}}$ be the unique primitive idempotent such that $\text{LLIF}_{G,R}(e') = e$. Then $\Phi \circ \text{LLIF}_{G,R}(e') = e''$ is a primitive idempotent of $\text{End}_{R[G]}(\text{ind}_U^G(\psi))$ as $\Phi \circ \text{LLIF}_{G,R}$ is an isomorphism. Moreover, $e'' = \Phi(\sum e_i) = \sum \Phi(e_i)$ is a decomposition of e'' into orthogonal idempotents. Hence, there is a unique i such that $\Phi(e_i) \neq 0$ and for this i we have $e'' = \Phi(e_i)$. \square

In terms of our description of the idempotents of $\mathfrak{Z}_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}[1/p]}(G)$ in terms of endo-parameters for G , we let $\mathcal{EP}(G)$ denote the set of unrefined endo-parameters for G of Remark 3.10 (i). Note, unlike the set of endo-parameters for (G, h) , the set $\mathcal{EP}(G)$ does not depend on fixing a hermitian form h defining G .

Conjecture 9.5. *We have a natural bijection (i.e., a unique bijection compatible with local Langlands)*

$$\left\{ \text{primitive idempotents of } \mathfrak{Z}_{G, \overline{\mathbb{Z}}[1/p]}^{\text{st}} \right\} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{EP}(G),$$

i.e., the ps-idempotents are given by endo-parameters forgetting their Witt data.

9.2. The case of symplectic groups. Let $G = \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F})$. Over $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}[1/p]$ (using $p \neq 2$) the connected components of $\mathcal{R}_{L_{G,R}}^{\hat{G}}$ correspond to wild inertial types by [23]. So we can reinterpret the Conjecture 9.5 as saying that there is a unique bijection

$$\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{F}}, G) \leftrightarrow \mathcal{EP}(G)$$

compatible with local Langlands. In this special case, this becomes a mild extension of the ramification theorem of the fourth author, Blondel, and Henniart [7]:

Theorem 9.6. *There is a unique bijection $\text{LL}_G^{\text{wild}} : \mathcal{EP}(G) \rightarrow \Phi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{F}}, G)$ which is compatible with the local Langlands correspondence.*

Proof. Up to semisimplification, the map

$$\text{LL}_G : \text{Irr}(G) \rightarrow \Phi(\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{F}}, G)$$

is compatible with parabolic induction and induces a unique map

$$\text{LL}_G^{\text{ss}} : \text{Cusp}(G) \rightarrow \Phi(\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{F}}, G)^{\text{ss}},$$

from cuspidal supports to orbits of semisimple parameters [24]. The unrefined endo-parameter map factors through $\text{Cusp}(G)$, and restriction to wild inertia through $\Phi(\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{F}}, G)^{\text{ss}}$. The statement for LL_M follows from [7] for cuspidal representations of Levi subgroups M of G , and parabolic induction (i.e., compatibility of LL_G with parabolic induction up to semisimplification [24]) in general. \square

We thus obtain the following description of our decomposition by unrefined endo-parameter:

Corollary 9.7. *Let $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F})$. We have a decomposition of categories*

$$\mathrm{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}[1/p]}}(G) = \prod_{\nu \in \Phi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{F}, G})} \mathrm{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}[1/p]}}(\nu)$$

where a smooth $\overline{\mathbb{Z}[1/p]}$ -representation lies in $\mathrm{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}[1/p]}}(\nu)$ if and only if it has unrefined endoparameter $(\mathrm{LL}_{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{wild}})^{-1}(\nu)$. Moreover, $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$ lies in $\mathrm{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}[1/p]}}(\nu)$ if and only if $\mathrm{LL}(\pi)|_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}}} \simeq \nu$.

Remark 9.8. For $\mathfrak{t} \in \Phi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{F}, G})$, to compute $(\mathrm{LL}_{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{wild}})^{-1}(\mathfrak{t})$ explicitly, assuming the compatible picture we have, we can use any $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$ with endoparameter \mathfrak{t} such that we can compute $\mathrm{LL}(\pi)|_{\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{F}}}$. Using compatibility of $\mathrm{LL}_{\mathbb{G}}$ with parabolic induction, for \mathfrak{t} with $M(\mathfrak{t}) = T$ (a maximal split torus in $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F})$), we recover

$$(\mathrm{LL}_{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{wild}})^{-1}(\mathfrak{t}) = (\phi_{L_T \hookrightarrow L_G} \circ \mathrm{LL}_T(\chi))|_{\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{F}}},$$

where $\phi_{L_T \hookrightarrow L_G}$ is the (unique up to conjugacy) inclusion of L -groups associated to $T \hookrightarrow G$, and $\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(T)$ is such that $i_{T, B}^G(\chi)$ has endo-parameter \mathfrak{t} . In particular, the special case when χ is trivial, implies that if $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$ has depth zero, then $\mathrm{LL}_{\mathbb{G}}(\pi)$ is tame (this is an observation of Dat and Lanard [27] which they suggest applying to Fargues and Scholze's correspondence under the assumption that FS is independent of ℓ – see Remark 9.1).

APPENDIX A. ELEMENTARY CHARACTERS

In this appendix, we prove a technical result which we use to unify the definitions of endo-parameters given in the quaternionic case [54] and in the non-quaternionic case [37].

We fix $(\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_o, \varepsilon)$ as in §2. We need to prove that to every orbit of $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F})/\Sigma$ there is attached an elementary character for a given pair $(D, (\overline{\quad})_D) \in \mathrm{Div}(\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_o, \varepsilon)$ (Note that $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F})/\Sigma$ does not depend on $(D, (\overline{\quad})_D)$.)

At first we need the following notation: Let $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda, \varphi(\beta))$ be a semisimple character, with block restrictions θ_i , $i \in I$. We write $\mathcal{F}(\theta)$ for the set

$$\{[\Theta_i] \mid i \in I\}$$

where $[\Theta_i]$, $i \in I$, is the endo-class of θ_i .

Proposition A.1. *Given a pair $(D, (\overline{\quad})_D) \in \mathrm{Div}(\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_o, \varepsilon)$ and an orbit $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F})/\Sigma$ then there is a Hermitian space $(V, h) \in \mathrm{Herm}(\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_o, \varepsilon)$ for $(D, (\overline{\quad})_D)$ and $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(h)$ such that $\mathcal{F}(\theta) = \mathcal{O}$.*

Proof. We have to consider several cases:

- (i) $\varepsilon \neq 0$ and $\mathbb{F} = D$.
- (ii) $\varepsilon \neq 0$ and $\mathbb{F} \neq D$ and the orbit \mathcal{F} has cardinality one.
- (iii) $\varepsilon \neq 0$ and $\mathbb{F} \neq D$ and the orbit \mathcal{F} has cardinality two.
- (iv) $\varepsilon = 0$.

Case (i) is done in [37, Theorem 12.16]. Case (iv) follows from transfer using the fact that if E/\mathbb{F} is a finite field extension then $E \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} D$ is a finite D -vector space with a bi- E - D -module structure. We prove the case (ii) first, i.e. we have $\mathcal{O} = \{[\Theta]\}$ for a ps-character Θ . By [37, Theorem 12.16] the ps-character Θ can be chosen to be supported on a full self-dual simple element β . Consider any ε -Hermitian form

$$h' : V \times V \rightarrow (E \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} D, (\overline{\quad})_E \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} (\overline{\quad})_D).$$

For constructing the desired hermitian form h we use the \mathbb{F} -linear map $\lambda_{\beta} : E = \mathbb{F}[\beta] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ given by

$$\lambda_{\beta}(\beta^k) = \begin{cases} 0 & k = 1, \dots, [E : \mathbb{F}] - 1 \\ 1 & k = 0. \end{cases}$$

We define h via $h := (\lambda_{\beta} \otimes \mathrm{id}_D) \circ h'$. The embedding $\varphi : E \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_D(V)$ is self-dual with respect to the anti-involution σ_h of h . We write G for $U(h)$ and $G_{\varphi(\beta)}$ for its centralizer. The image of the embedding of buildings

$$j_E : \mathfrak{B}(G_{\varphi(\beta)}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{B}(G)$$

consists of the set of self-dual $\mathfrak{o}_E - \mathfrak{o}_D$ -lattice functions, see [52, Theorem 7.2]. Take a rational point x of $\mathfrak{B}(G_{\varphi(\beta)})$, i.e. a point with rational barycentric coordinates with respect to the vertexes of a chamber. Then $j_E(x)$ corresponds to a self-dual $\mathfrak{o}_E - \mathfrak{o}_D$ -lattice sequence Λ . Then $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda, \varphi(\beta))^{\Sigma} \cap \text{im}(\Theta)$ satisfies the assertion.

Case (iii) has a slightly different proof. By [37, Theorem 12.16] there exists $(V', h') \in \text{Herm}(F/F_{\mathfrak{o}}, \varepsilon)$ for (F, id_F) and an elementary character $\theta' \in \mathcal{C}(h')$, say in $\mathcal{C}(\Lambda', \varphi'(\beta))^{\Sigma'}$, such that $\mathcal{F}(\theta') = \mathcal{O}$. We define on $V = V' \otimes_F D$ an ε -Hermitian form:

$$h : V \times V \rightarrow D, \quad h(v' \otimes x, w' \otimes y) := \overline{x}h(v', w')y.$$

We have

$$\text{End}_D V = \text{End}_D(V' \otimes_F D) = (\text{End}_F V') \otimes_F D$$

and an embedding

$$\varphi : E \hookrightarrow \text{End}_D V$$

by composing φ' with the inclusion. We set $G = U(h)$ and choose a rational $\mathfrak{o}_E - \mathfrak{o}_D$ -lattice sequence in V corresponding to some point in the image of the embedding of $\mathfrak{B}(G_{\varphi(\beta)})$ into $\mathfrak{B}(G)$ ([52, Theorem 7.2]). The transfer $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda, \varphi(\beta))$ of θ' satisfies $\mathcal{F}(\theta) = \mathcal{O}$. \square

APPENDIX B. SEMISIMPLE CHARACTERS FOR INNER FORMS OF GENERAL LINEAR GROUPS

For inner forms of general linear groups, for R an algebraically closed field of characteristic $\ell \neq p$, every cuspidal R -representation contains a simple R -character. Thus in some previous works, the authors consider only simple characters and use a combination of simple character theory and parabolic induction to approach $\text{Rep}_R(G)$. In this article, we have preferred a uniform approach utilizing semisimple characters for (inner forms of) classical groups and general linear groups. The point of this appendix is to prove some results on semisimple characters of inner forms of general linear groups, currently missing from the literature.

B.1. Semisimple characters in irreducible representations. In this section, we suppose that $h = 0$, i.e., that $G = \text{GL}_m(D)$. Note that in this case the sets $\mathcal{C}(V)$ and $\mathcal{C}_-(h)$ coincide, see §3.1 and §3.2.

Theorem B.1. *Let R be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $\ell \neq p$, and π be a smooth R -representation of G . Then there exists a semisimple character in $\mathcal{C}(V)$ contained in π .*

As semisimple characters are projective and every smooth R -representation contains an irreducible subquotient, we reduce to proving the theorem for irreducible R -representations. For this section, from now on we assume that π is an irreducible R -representation of G .

We consider semisimple characters for strata $\Delta = [\Lambda, n, s, \beta]$ allowing s to be zero or positive, see [55, Definition 5.4]. We define the following sets:

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\pi} := \{(\Delta, \theta) \mid \Delta = [\Lambda, n, s, \beta] \text{ is a semisimple stratum, } \theta \in \mathcal{C}(\Delta) \text{ such that } \theta \subseteq \pi\}, \text{ and}$$

$$\mathfrak{N}_{\pi} := \{q \in \mathbb{Q} \mid \exists ([\Lambda, n, s, \beta], \theta) \in \mathfrak{M}_{\pi} : q = \frac{s}{e(\Lambda/F)}\}.$$

Then Theorem B.1 states that the set \mathfrak{N}_{π} has a minimum equal to zero. For the proof we show the following assertions.

Lemma B.2. *The set \mathfrak{M}_{π} is not empty.*

Proof. The representation π is smooth and therefore contains a null-stratum $[\Lambda, s, s, 0]$ for r large enough. \square

Proposition B.3 (cf. [60, Lemma 5.4], [48, Proposition 3.15]). *Suppose $(\Delta = [\Lambda, n, s, \beta], \theta)$ is an element of \mathfrak{M}_{π} with s positive and $\tilde{\theta} \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda, s-1, \beta)$ is an extension of $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda, s, \beta)$ and $c \in \mathfrak{a}_{-s}$.*

Suppose further that $[\Lambda', n', s', \beta]$ is a semisimple stratum, $\theta' \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda', s'-1, \beta)$ and $b' \in \mathfrak{b}_{-s'} \cap \mathfrak{b}_{-s}$ such that

$$\bullet \frac{s'}{e(\Lambda'/F)} \leq \frac{s}{e(\Lambda/F)}$$

- there is a tame corestriction s_β with respect to β (see [55, Definition 4.13]) such that

$$s_\beta(c) + \mathfrak{b}_{1-s} \subseteq b' + \mathfrak{b}_{1-s'}.$$

Then there exists an elements $c' \in \mathfrak{a}'_{-s'}$ and $\theta' \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda', s' - 1, \beta)$ such that $s_\beta(c') = b'$ and $\theta' \psi_{c'}$ is contained in π . The element c' can be chosen to be zero if $b' = 0$.

Proof of Theorem B.1. The proof is mutatis mutandis to the proof of [53, Theorem 3.1] using Proposition B.3 instead of [53, Proposition 3.11] and Lemma B.2 instead of [53, Proposition 3.6]. Precisely the proof consists of three parts

Part 1: $\mathfrak{M}_\pi \neq \emptyset$ (See Lemma B.2, cf. [53, Proposition 3.6].)

Part 2: Let z is the smallest element of $\frac{1}{((4N)!)^2} \mathbb{Z}$ such that there is an element q of \mathfrak{N}_π such that $q \leq z$. Then z is the infimum of \mathfrak{N}_π . (See the first paragraph of the proof of [53, Theorem 3.1].)

Part 3: The minimum of \mathfrak{N}_π is 0. (See the part after the first paragraph of the proof of [53, Theorem 3.1].) \square

We are left with the proof of Proposition B.3. We need to adapt the proofs of [53, Lemma 3.14/3.15] to the case of $\mathrm{GL}_m(\mathrm{D})$. We recall the groups $\mathrm{K}_1^t(\Lambda)$, $\mathrm{K}_2^t(\Lambda)$, $t \in \mathbb{N}$, for a stratum $[\Lambda, n, s, \beta]$ from [53, §3] and [60, §5.2]: Let t be a positive integer, and set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{K}_1^t(\Lambda) &:= 1 + \mathfrak{a}_{\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor + 1} \cap \left(\left(\prod_{i \neq j} A^{i,j} \right) \oplus \left(\prod_i (\mathfrak{a}_t \cap A^{i,i}) \right) \right) \\ \mathrm{K}_2^t(\Lambda) &:= 1 + \mathfrak{a}_{\lfloor \frac{t+1}{2} \rfloor} \cap \left(\left(\prod_{i \neq j} A^{i,j} \right) \oplus \left(\prod_i (\mathfrak{a}_t \cap A^{i,i}) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We denote the intersection of $\mathrm{H}(\beta, \Lambda)$ and $\mathrm{J}(\beta, \Lambda)$ with $\mathrm{K}_i^t(\Lambda)$ by $\mathrm{H}_i^t(\beta, \Lambda)$ and $\mathrm{J}_i^t(\beta, \Lambda)$ ($i \in \{1, 2\}$).

Lemma B.4 (cf. [14, Theorem (3.4.1)], [60, Proposition 3.24]). *Given a semisimple character $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda, s, \beta)$, the bilinear form*

$$\kappa_\theta : (\mathrm{J}^{s+1}(\beta, \Lambda) / \mathrm{H}^{s+1}(\beta, \Lambda)) \times (\mathrm{J}^{s+1}(\beta, \Lambda) / \mathrm{H}^{s+1}(\beta, \Lambda)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\times$$

defined via $\kappa_\theta([g_1]_{\mathrm{H}^{s+1}}, [g_2]_{\mathrm{H}^{s+1}}) = \theta([g_1, g_2])$ is non-degenerate. Here $[g_1, g_2]$ denotes the commutator of g_1 with g_2 , $[g_1, g_2] = g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1} g_2^{-1}$.

Note at first that the groups $\mathrm{J}^{s+1}(\beta, \Lambda)$ and $\mathrm{H}^{s+1}(\beta, \Lambda)$ are of the form

$$\mathrm{J}^{s+1}(\beta, \Lambda) = 1 + \mathcal{J}^{s+1}(\beta, \Lambda), \text{ and } \mathrm{H}^{s+1}(\beta, \Lambda) = 1 + \mathcal{H}^{s+1}(\beta, \Lambda)$$

for \mathfrak{o}_F -orders $\mathcal{H}^{s+1}(\beta, \Lambda)$, $\mathcal{J}^{s+1}(\beta, \Lambda)$. Let L/F be a maximal unramified field extension in D . Recall the map

$$a_\beta : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda, \quad a_\beta(x) := \beta x - x \beta.$$

Proof. Suppose $x \in \mathcal{J}^{s+1}(\beta, \Lambda)$ satisfies $\theta([1+x, 1+y]) = 1$ for all $y \in \mathcal{J}^{s+1}(\beta, \Lambda)$. We claim that x is an element of $\mathcal{H}^{s+1}(\beta, \Lambda)$. Let $\theta_L \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda_L, s, \beta)$ be an extension of θ . (Λ_L is Λ seen as an $\mathfrak{o}_{L[\beta]}$ -lattice sequence in V and θ_L is a semisimple character for $\Lambda_L := \mathrm{Aut}_L(V)$.) From [60, Lemma 3.23] we obtain

$$1 = \theta([1+x, 1+y]) = \theta_L([1, +x, 1+y]) = \psi_{(1+x)^{-1}\beta(1+x)-\beta}(1+y).$$

Thus $a_\beta(x)$ is an element of $(\mathcal{J}^{s+1}(\beta, \Lambda))^*$, see [53, before Lemma 3.4] for the $*$ -operation. The set $(\mathcal{J}^{s+1}(\beta, \Lambda))^*$ is a subset of $(\mathcal{J}^{s+1}(\beta, \Lambda_L))^*$ because

$$\mathcal{J}^{s+1}(\beta, \Lambda_L) = \mathcal{J}^{s+1}(\beta, \Lambda) \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_F} \mathfrak{o}_L.$$

Thus $a_\beta(x)$ is an element of $(\mathcal{J}^{s+1}(\beta, \Lambda_L))^*$ and therefore

$$x \in \mathcal{H}^{s+1}(\beta, \Lambda_L) \cap \mathrm{End}_D(V) = \mathcal{H}^{s+1}(\beta, \Lambda)$$

by the non-degeneracy of κ_{θ_L} , see [60, Proposition 3.24]. This finishes the proof. \square

Let $\Delta = [\Lambda, n, s, \beta], \theta$ and c be given as in Proposition B.3. We extend θ to $H^{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor + 1}(\beta, \Lambda)$ to an element of $\mathcal{C}(\Lambda, \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor, \beta)$ and still call this extension θ and we consider the character $(\xi, H_1^s(\beta, \Lambda))$ given by $\xi := \theta\psi_c$.

Lemma B.5. *Let s be a positive integer. The form*

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_\xi : J_1^s(\beta, \Lambda)/H_1^s(\beta, \Lambda) \times J_1^s(\beta, \Lambda)/H_1^s(\beta, \Lambda) &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\times \\ ([x]_{H_1^s}, [y]_{H_1^s}) &\mapsto \xi([x, y]) \end{aligned}$$

is non-degenerate, and there is unique representation $(\mu, J_1^s(\beta, \Lambda))$ containing $(\xi, H_1^s(\beta, \Lambda))$

Proof. The idea of the proof is given in [60, Lemma 5.9], but we want to give more details. We consider a linear order on the index set I of Δ which gives an Iwahori decomposition $(U_- \cap J_1^s)(M \cap J_1^s)(U_+ \cap J_1^s)$ of J_1^s , see [53, Lemma 8.5]. Let j be an element of J_1^s such that $\xi([j, j']) = 1$, for all $j' \in J_1^s(\beta, \Lambda)$. It is enough to show that j is an element of $H^1(\beta, \Lambda)$. The commutator subgroup of $J^{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor + 1}(\beta, \Lambda)$ is contained in $H^{s+1}(\beta, \Lambda)$, see [60, Lemma 3.23] for $J^{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor + 1}(\beta, \Lambda_L)$ in A_L and restrict to A , and the restriction of ξ to $H^{s+1}(\beta, \Lambda)$ coincides with θ . By Lemma B.4 it is enough to prove

$$(B.6) \quad \theta([j, j']) = 1$$

for all $j' \in J^{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor + 1}(\beta, \Lambda)$. Assertion (B.6) is true for $j' \in (J^{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor + 1} \cap U_\pm)$ by assumption, because those intersections are subsets of $J_1^s(\beta, \Lambda)$. By the Iwahori decomposition for $J^{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor + 1}(\beta, \Lambda)$ we are left to prove (B.6) for the case $j' \in J^{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor + 1} \cap M$. We use the factorization

$$j = j_- j_M j_+, \quad j_- \in (U_- \cap J_1^s), \quad j_M \in (M \cap J_1^s), \quad j_+ \in (U_+ \cap J_1^s).$$

We have

$$\theta([j, j']) = \theta([j_-, j'])\theta([j_M, j'])\theta([j_+, j']), \quad j' \in J^{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor + 1} \cap M,$$

and the first and the last factor are 1, because θ is trivial on $H^{s+1} \cap U_\pm$. Further j_M is an element of $H^s \cap M$ by Lemma B.4, because (B.6) holds for all $j' \in J^s \cap M$. Therefore j_M is an element of $H^{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor + 1} \cap M$ and thus $\theta([j_M, j']) = 1$ for all $j' \in J^{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor + 1} \cap M$. This finishes the proof. \square

Lemma B.7 (cf. [14, Proposition (8.1.7)], [60, Lemma 5.8]). *Granted that s is a positive integer. Let (ρ, U) be an irreducible representation and U be a subgroup of $K_2^s(\Lambda)$. Suppose that $\rho|_{U \cap H_1^s(\beta, \Lambda)}$ contains $\xi|_{U \cap H_1^s(\beta, \Lambda)}$. Then ρ is a subrepresentation of $\pi|_U$.*

Proof. By the second part of the proof of [14, Proposition (8.1.7)] we only need to prove that $\text{ind}_{H_1^s}^{K_2^s} \xi$ is a multiple of a unique irreducible representation. By Lemma B.5 the representation on $J_1^s(\beta, \Lambda)$ induced by $(\xi, H_1^s(\beta, \Lambda))$ is a multiple of a unique irreducible representation $(\mu, J_1^s(\beta, \Lambda))$. We only need to show that μ induces irreducibly to $K_2^s(\beta, \Lambda)$, i.e. that the intertwining of μ in $K_2^s(\beta, \Lambda)$ is contained in $J^1(\beta, \Lambda)$, noting that $J_1^s(\beta, \Lambda)$ and $J_2^s(\beta, \Lambda)$ coincide because s is smaller than $-k_0(\beta, \Lambda)$, $k_0(\beta, \Lambda)$ being the critical exponent of β with respect to Λ . An element k of $K_2^s(\beta, \Lambda)$ which intertwines μ also intertwines $\theta|_{H^{s+1}(\beta, \Lambda)}$ and therefore $\theta_L|_{H^{s+1}(\beta, \Lambda_L)}$ for an extension $\theta_L \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda_L, s, \beta)$, by the intertwining formulas in [55, Proposition 5.15], and it is an element of $K_2^s(\beta, \Lambda_L)$. Thus by the proof of [60, Proposition 5.8] the element k lies in $J^1(\beta, \Lambda_L)$ and therefore in $J^1(\beta, \Lambda)$. This finishes the proof. \square

Proof of Proposition B.3. The proof is similar to the proof of [53, Proposition 3.11] with the following modifications (see also: [48, Proposition 3.15])

- The character θ_L is just an extension of θ . (Note that we have no Glauberman correspondence anymore.)
- We don't work in $A_F = \text{End}_F(V)$. We work directly in $A = \text{End}_D(V)$, see [48] after Lemma 3.16. Note that there is no averaging function as in [53, §2.8] and we use the simple case [48, Paragraph after proof of Lemma 3.16] and [48, Lemma 3.17] to obtain the elements c' and x of Step 3 and 4 in [53, Proposition 3.11].
- There is no Cayley map. We conjugate in Step 4 with $1 + x$ instead.

\square

B.2. Heisenberg representations for semisimple characters for general linear groups. We continue in the special case $h = 0$, i.e. $G = \mathrm{GL}_D(V)$ and R an algebraically closed field of characteristic $\ell \neq p$. We consider a semisimple character $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\beta, \Lambda)$ and the parabolic subgroup $P = MN$ as in §5. By Lemma B.4 there exists a unique (up to equivalence) irreducible R -representation η of J^1 containing θ , which we call the *Heisenberg representation* of θ . The first statement is about the intertwining of η .

Proposition B.8. *For $g \in G$, the intertwining of η is given by*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{R[J^1 \cap (J^1)^g]}(\eta, \eta^g) \simeq \begin{cases} R & \text{if } g \in J^1 G_{\varphi(\beta)} J^1; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $\theta_L \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda, \beta \otimes_F 1)$ be an extension of θ , a semisimple character for $G \otimes L$, and η_L its Heisenberg R -representation. The restriction of η_L to J^1 is a direct sum of copies of η . Now the proof is similar to [53, Proposition 4.3]. \square

We also need Heisenberg representations for pairs of lattice sequences. Note that we have fixed a semisimple stratum $\Delta = [\Lambda, n, 0, \beta]$ with $E = F[\beta]$ a product of fields in $\mathrm{End}_D(V)$ and Λ an \mathfrak{o}_E - \mathfrak{o}_D -lattice sequence in V , with hereditary order $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}(\Lambda)$.

Proposition B.9 (cf. [14, Proposition (5.1.14)]). *Let Λ' be an \mathfrak{o}_E - \mathfrak{o}_D -lattice sequence in V such that its hereditary order \mathfrak{a}' is contained in \mathfrak{a} . Let θ' be the transfer of θ to Λ' with Heisenberg R -representation $(\eta', J_{\Lambda'}^1)$. We denote by $J_{\Lambda', \Lambda}^1$ the group $P_1(\Lambda'_\beta) J_{\Lambda}^1$. (Note: $P_1(\Lambda'_\beta)$ is the intersection of $P_1(\Lambda')$ with G_E .) Then:*

- (i) *There is a unique (up to equivalence) extension $(\eta_{\Lambda', \Lambda}, J_{\Lambda', \Lambda}^1)$ of η , such that $\eta_{\Lambda', \Lambda}$ and η' induce isomorphic representations on $P_1(\Lambda')$.*
- (ii) *The induced representation $\mathrm{ind}_{J_{\Lambda', \Lambda}^1}^{P_1(\Lambda')}(\eta_{\Lambda', \Lambda})$ is irreducible.*
- (iii) *The G -intertwining set of $\eta_{\Lambda', \Lambda}$ is given by the set $J_{\Lambda', \Lambda}^1 G_\beta J_{\Lambda', \Lambda}^1$.*

Proof. To prove assertions (i) and (ii) one can proceed in a similar fashion to the proof of [14, Proposition (5.1.14)] if we can establish

$$(B.10) \quad (P_1(\Lambda') : J_{\Lambda'}^1) \dim(\eta') = (P_1(\Lambda') : J_{\Lambda', \Lambda}^1) \dim(\eta),$$

see [14, (5.1.17)]. Assertion (iii) follows as in [14, Proposition (5.1.19)] if we can establish

$$(B.11) \quad (P_1(\Lambda') x P_1(\Lambda')) \cap G_\beta = P_1(\Lambda'_\beta) x P_1(\Lambda'_\beta),$$

for all $x \in G_\beta$. (Note that we have $G = \tilde{G}$ here.) We now prove (B.10) and (B.11).

For (B.10): We need to show the exactness of the sequence

$$(B.12) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{b}_1(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^1(\beta, \Gamma) \rightarrow (\mathcal{H}(\beta, \Lambda))^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{b}_0(\Gamma) \rightarrow 0$$

in $A = \mathrm{End}_D V$ for $\Gamma = \Lambda, \Lambda'$ to then follow the proof of [14, Proposition (5.1.2)]. We just establish the exactness for $\Gamma = \Lambda$. By [60, Lemma 3.17] the exactness of (B.12) is known if $D = F$, in particular the corresponding sequence in $\mathrm{End}_L V = A \otimes_F L$ is exact for any maximal unramified field extension L/F in D . Note that the Galois group of L/F acts on the second factor of $A \otimes_F L$. Taking the $\mathrm{Gal}(L/F)$ -fixed points of the latter sequence we obtain the exactness of (B.12).

A similar idea applies for (B.11) which is known for $D = F$, by [38, Lemma 4.6]. Thus both terms in (B.11) become equal after tensoring with \mathfrak{o}_L over \mathfrak{o}_F . Taking the $\mathrm{Gal}(L/F)$ -fixed points on both sides we obtain the result by [59, Lemma 2.1]. \square

Let $(\eta_P, J_P^1 = (H^1(J^1 \cap P)))$ be the natural representation of η on the set of $(J^1 \cap N)$ -fixed points of η .

Proposition B.13 (cf. [53, Proposition 8.6]). *The R -representation η_P is irreducible, and $\mathrm{ind}_{J_P^1}^{J^1} \eta_P \simeq \eta$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to [53, Proposition 8.6]. We do not need to apply Glauberman correspondence, because the representations $\eta_M \otimes 1_{J^1 \cap N}$ induces on J^1 a semisimple representation of the same rank as η which contains η . Therefore we only need to prove that η_P and $\eta_M \otimes 1_{J^1 \cap N}$ coincide. This follows, because the restriction of η to J_P^1 contains all the representations $\eta_M \otimes \phi$, where ϕ passes through all characters of $(J^1 \cap N)/(H^1 \cap N)$. Therefore $\eta_M \otimes 1_{J^1 \cap N}$ occurs with multiplicity one in η and is equal to η_P . \square

APPENDIX C. COMPACT SUBGROUPS ADAPTED TO LEVI SUBGROUPS OF G .

In this section we recall the subgroups J_P , see [53, after Lemma 8.4] and [61, §5]. We fix a linear order $<$ on the set of idempotents of $A = \text{End}_D(V)$. Let M be a Levi subgroup of G . In this paragraph we introduce the notion of a semisimple stratum adapted to M and we construct compact subgroups $J_P(\beta, \Lambda)$, $J_P^{\text{st}, M}(\beta, \Lambda)$ for a parabolic subgroup P with Levi subgroup M . The latter are used for the construction of Bushnell-Kutzko–Stevens types for Bernstein components.

C.1. Strata adapted to M . At first we treat general linear groups and then classical groups.

C.1.1. The case $h = 0$. For M there is exactly one tuple $e^M = (e_j^M)_{j \in S^M}$ of pairwise orthogonal non-zero idempotents in A which sum up to 1 and such that

- $S = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, l\}$ and $e_j^M < e_k^M$ for $j < k$.
- $M = \{g \in G \mid ge_j^M = e_j^M g \text{ for all } j \in S^M\}$

Definition C.1. A semisimple stratum $\Delta = [\Lambda, n, 0, \beta]$ in A is called (exactly) adapted to M if e^M is (exactly) properly subordinate to Δ , see [53, Definition 8.1].

C.1.2. The case $h \neq 0$. At first we need to find a tuple of idempotents describing M .

Definition C.2. Let S be a non-empty set satisfying

$$\{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \dots, \pm l\} \subseteq S \subseteq \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \dots, \pm l\}$$

for some non-negative integer l . (Note that for $l = 0$ we obtain $S = \{0\}$.) A family $(e_j)_{j \in S}$ is called an (h -) *self-dual partition* if $\sigma_h(e_j) = e_{-j}$ for all $j \in S$. We call a self-dual partition $(e_j)_{j \in S}$ *admissible* for h if either $0 \notin S$ or $h|_{e_0 V}$ is not an isotropic orthogonal form over $F = D$ of type $(1, 1)$, i.e. it doesn't define $O(1, 1)(F)$,

Lemma C.3. *There exists exactly one admissible partition for h such that*

$$(C.4) \quad M = \{g \in G \mid \forall_{j \in S} : ge_j = e_j g\}$$

and $e_1 < e_2 < \dots < e_l$ and $e_{-j} < e_j$ for all $j > 0$.

The order condition on $(e_j)_{j \in S}$ is equivalent to

$$e_j = \max\{e_i \mid i \in \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \dots, \pm j\}\}, \quad j \in S, \quad j > 0.$$

The partition in the lemma will be denoted by $e^M = (e_j^M)_{j \in S^M}$

Proof. We need to prove two parts: existence and uniqueness. The existence follows as we can describe M with help of some Witt-basis of h . For proving the uniqueness suppose there are two admissible partitions $e = (e_j)_{j \in S}$ and $f = (f_k)_{k \in K}$ satisfying the assertions of the lemma. We are going to prove $e = f$. Because, for $k \in K$, f_k commutes with all elements of M we have $f_k = \sum_{j \in S} e_j f_k e_j$. In particular f_k commutes with all e_j . We consider the set

$$\mathfrak{M}(e, f) := \{(j, k) \in S \times K \mid e_j f_k \neq 0\}.$$

We claim that for every index $j \in S$ there is exactly one index $k \in K$ such that $(j, k) \in \mathfrak{M}(S, K)$. At first we consider $j \neq 0$. The element $e_j f_k$ is an idempotent commuting with all elements of the simple algebra $\text{End}_D(e_j V)$ and therefore $e_j f_k$ is equal to e_j or 0. We use that the idempotents of f are pairwise orthogonal to obtain the claim for $j \neq 0$. Without loss of generality we can assume $S = \{0\}$, i.e. e_0 is the identity of V and $M = G$. Assume that K contains a positive element. Then h has

to be orthogonal, because otherwise we can find a unipotent element in G which does not commute with f_1 . If K has at least four elements then G contains an element g which satisfies $f_2 g f_1 \neq 0$. A contradiction. Therefore we obtain $\dim_{\mathbb{D}} f_1 V \geq 2$ or $|K| = 3$ by admissibility of e . In the first case we obtain a unipotent element which does not commute with f_1 and in the second an element which swaps f_1 with f_{-1} . A contradiction. Therefore $|K| = 1$. This proves the claim and by symmetry we finish the proof of the lemma. \square

We now obtain a definition similar to those in §C.1.1

Definition C.5. A self-dual semisimple stratum $\Delta = [\Lambda, n, 0, \beta]$ in A is called (exactly) adapted to M if e^M is (exactly) properly self-dual subordinate to Δ , see [53, Definition 8.2].

C.2. Construction of the group J_P . Let Δ be a h -self-dual semisimple stratum adapted to M and $P = MN$ be the parabolic subgroup of G given by the order of the indices of S^M , i.e.

$$P = \{g \in G \mid e_k^M g e_j^M = 0 \text{ for all } j < k, j, k \in S^M\},$$

with opposite unipotent radical \bar{N} . Then $J = J(\beta, \Lambda)$ has an Iwahori decomposition with respect to $\bar{N}MN$, see [53, Lemma 8.4], i.e.

$$J = (J \cap \bar{N})(J \cap M)(J \cap N).$$

We need a finer version:

Lemma C.6. *The group $P(\Lambda_\beta)$ has an Iwahori decomposition with respect to $\bar{N}MN$, i.e.*

$$P(\Lambda_\beta) = (P(\Lambda_\beta) \cap \bar{N})(P(\Lambda_\beta) \cap M)(P(\Lambda_\beta) \cap N)$$

Proof. At first for $h = 0$: An element g of $P(\Lambda_\beta)$ has an Iwahori decomposition $g = j_{\bar{N}} j_M j_N$ in J . Since g commutes with β the elements $j_{\bar{N}}, j_M, j_N$ commute with β too. For $h \neq 0$ we also consider the ambient general linear group \tilde{G} . At first we note that the centralizers satisfy $G_\beta = \tilde{G}_\beta \cap G$ and by the first part of the proof we obtain: $P(\Lambda_\beta)$ is contained in $(\tilde{P}(\Lambda_\beta) \cap \bar{N})^\Sigma (\tilde{P}(\Lambda_\beta) \cap \tilde{M})^\Sigma (\tilde{P}(\Lambda_\beta) \cap \tilde{N})^\Sigma$, where $\bar{N}\tilde{M}\tilde{N}$ is the Iwahori decomposition in \tilde{G} defined by e^M . Further the first and the third factor are contained in $\tilde{P}_1(\Lambda_\beta)$, respectively, because e^M is properly subordinate to Δ , i.e. in the orthogonal case no elements of reduced norm -1 occur in $\tilde{P}_1(\Lambda_\beta) \cap \bar{N}$ and $\tilde{P}_1(\Lambda_\beta) \cap \tilde{N}$. Let g be an element of $P(\Lambda_\beta)$ with Iwahori decomposition $g = g_{\bar{N}} g_M g_N$ then

$$\mathrm{Nrd}_{A/F}(g) \equiv_{1+\mathfrak{p}_F} \mathrm{Nrd}_{A/F}(g_M)$$

and therefore g_M is an element of $\tilde{P}(\Lambda_\beta) \cap \tilde{M} \cap G = P(\Lambda_\beta) \cap M$. \square

We now want to define subgroups of $J(\beta, \Lambda)$ which are adapted to $\bar{N}MN$. We have two choices because we have two poly-simplicial structures on the building of G_β .

Definition C.7. On the building of G_β , we consider two simplicial structures. The first where facets are defined by self-dual lattice chains (the *weak structure*) and the second which one obtains from the weak structure by removing all thin panels (the *strong structure*), see the oriflame construction in [1, §8.2]. We call a point Λ in the building of G_β *strong* if the closure of its facet with respect to the weak structure is the intersection of closures of thick panels. Otherwise, the point is called *weak*.

C.2.1. The case $G = P = M$. We have two groups

- (i) $J(\beta, \Lambda) = P(\Lambda_\beta) J^1(\beta, \Lambda)$ and
- (ii) $J^{\mathrm{st}}(\beta, \Lambda) := P^{\mathrm{st}}(\Lambda_\beta) J^1(\beta, \Lambda)$

where $P^{\mathrm{st}}(\Lambda_\beta)$ is the point-wise stabilizer of the facet of Λ_β with respect to the strong poly-simplicial structure on the building $\mathfrak{B}(G_\beta)$ of G_β . We are going to omit the argument (β, Λ) and write J and J^{st} instead if there is no reason for confusion. We need a fine enough sufficient condition for the groups J and J^{st} to coincide, i.e. for $P(\Lambda_\beta) = P^{\mathrm{st}}(\Lambda_\beta)$. Let $M(\Delta)$ be the Levi subgroup defined by Δ and let

us suppose that $h|_{V^{I_0}}$ does not define the group $O(1,1)(F)$, V^{I_0} being the sum of all V^i , $i \in I_0$. We have

$$M(\Delta) \cong M_0 \times \prod_{i \in I_+} \mathrm{GL}_D(V^i)$$

where M_0 is the set of F -rational points of the algebraic connected component of $U(h|_{V^{I_0}})$. We have $P^{\mathrm{st}}(\Lambda_\beta) = P(\Lambda_\beta)$ if Λ_{β_i} is not a weak point of $B(G_{\beta_i})$ for all $i \in I_0$. So we obtain the following:

Proposition C.8. *Suppose Λ_{β_i} is a strong point in $B(G_{\beta_i})$ for all $i \in I_0$. Then $J = J^{\mathrm{st}}$.*

C.2.2. *The general case.* In this paragraph we define and compare the more general groups $J_P(\beta, \Lambda)$ and $J_P^{\mathrm{st}, M}(\beta, \Lambda)$. We need a Lemma for preparation.

Lemma C.9. (i) $J \cap P = (M \cap P(\Lambda_\beta))(J^1(\beta, \Lambda) \cap P)$.

(ii) $J^{\mathrm{st}} \cap P = (M \cap P^{\mathrm{st}}(\Lambda_\beta))(J^1(\beta, \Lambda) \cap P)$.

Proof. We prove the first assertion. The proof of the other one is similar. An element $g \in J \cap P$ has the form $g = g_\beta g_{J^1}$, $g_\beta \in P(\Lambda_\beta)$, $g_{J^1} \in J^1$. We use the Iwahori decompositions:

$$g_\beta = g_{\beta,-} g_{\beta,0} g_{\beta,+}, \quad g_{J^1} = g_- g_0 g_+,$$

using Lemma C.6. Note that $g_{\beta,+}$ is an element of $P(\Lambda_\beta) \cap N$ which is a subset of $J^1 \cap P$. We therefore can assume without loss of generality that $g_{\beta,+}$ is trivial. We obtain

$$g = g_{\beta,-} (g_{\beta,0} g_- g_{\beta,0}^{-1}) g_{\beta,0} g_0 g_+.$$

And therefore by the uniqueness of Iwahori decomposition, using that g is an element of P we obtain that $g_{\beta,-} (g_{\beta,0} g_0 g_{\beta,0}^{-1})$ is trivial and therefore $g = g_{\beta,0} g_0 g_+$, showing the assertion. \square

We now define:

- (i) $J_P^{BK}(\beta, \Lambda) = H^1(\beta, \Lambda)(J(\beta, \Lambda) \cap P) = (H^1(\beta, \Lambda) \cap \bar{N})(M \cap P(\Lambda_\beta))(J^1(\beta, \Lambda) \cap P)$;
- (ii) $J_P(\beta, \Lambda) = H^1(\beta, \Lambda)(J^{\mathrm{st}}(\beta, \Lambda) \cap P) = (H^1(\beta, \Lambda) \cap \bar{N})(M \cap P^{\mathrm{st}}(\Lambda_\beta))(J^1(\beta, \Lambda) \cap P)$;
- (iii) $J_P^{\mathrm{st}, M}(\beta, \Lambda) = (H^1(\beta, \Lambda) \cap \bar{N})(P^{\mathrm{st}}(\Lambda_{M,\beta}))(J^1(\beta, \Lambda) \cap P)$.

We need a fine sufficient condition for J_P and $J_P^{\mathrm{st}, M}$ to coincide. For that we need to analyze the centralizer M_β . We have two decompositions of V .

- one given by the idempotents $e^M = (e_j^M)_{j \in S}$ for M and
- one given by the idempotents $\mathbb{1} = (\mathbb{1}_i)_{i \in I}$

We get a possibly finer decomposition by the idempotents in

$$e_{j,i} := e_j^M \mathbb{1}_i, \quad (j, i) \in \mathfrak{M}(e^M, \mathbb{1})$$

where the latter set is the set of pairs (j, i) such that $e_j^M \mathbb{1}_i$ is non-zero. We compute M_β :

$$\begin{aligned} M_\beta &= G_\beta \cap \prod_{(j,i) \in \mathfrak{M}(e^M, \mathbb{1})} \mathrm{Aut}_D(e_{(j,i)} V) \\ &\simeq \prod_{(j,i) \in \mathfrak{M}(e^M, \mathbb{1}), j > 0} \tilde{G}_{\beta_{(j,i)}} \times \prod_{(0,i) \in \mathfrak{M}(e^M, \mathbb{1}), j \in I_+} \tilde{G}_{\beta_{(0,i)}} \times \prod_{(0,i) \in \mathfrak{M}(e^M, \mathbb{1}), i \in I_0} G_{\beta_{(0,i)}} \end{aligned}$$

Note that for $M = M(\Delta)$ of G we get the decomposition of G_β with respect to the associated splitting of Δ . For the stabilizer of Λ_β in M we get

$$P(\Lambda_{M,\beta}) \simeq \prod_{(j,i) \in \mathfrak{M}(e^M, \mathbb{1}), j > 0} \tilde{P}(\Lambda_{\beta_{(j,i)}}) \times \prod_{(0,i) \in \mathfrak{M}(e^M, \mathbb{1}), j \in I_+} \tilde{P}(\Lambda_{\beta_{(0,i)}}) \times \prod_{(0,i) \in \mathfrak{M}(e^M, \mathbb{1}), i \in I_0} P(\Lambda_{\beta_{(0,i)}})$$

and for the stabilizer with respect to the strong poly-simplicial structure:

$$P^{\mathrm{st}}(\Lambda_{M,\beta}) \simeq \prod_{(j,i) \in \mathfrak{M}(e^M, \mathbb{1}), j > 0} \tilde{P}(\Lambda_{\beta_{(j,i)}}) \times \prod_{(0,i) \in \mathfrak{M}(e^M, \mathbb{1}), j \in I_+} \tilde{P}(\Lambda_{\beta_{(0,i)}}) \times \prod_{(0,i) \in \mathfrak{M}(e^M, \mathbb{1}), i \in I_0} P^{\mathrm{st}}(\Lambda_{\beta_{(0,i)}})$$

Therefore we get

Proposition C.10. *The groups $J_{\mathbb{P}}^{\text{BK}}$ and $J_{\mathbb{P}}^{\text{st,M}}$ coincide if $\Lambda_{\beta_{(0,i)}}$ is a strong point in $B(G_{\beta_{(0,i)}})$ for all $i \in I_0$ such that $(0, i) \in \mathfrak{M}(e^M, \mathbb{1})$.*

The main goal is the following proposition:

Proposition C.11. *The group $J_{\mathbb{P}}^{\text{st,M}}(\beta, \Lambda)$ is a subgroup of $J^{\text{st}}(\beta, \Lambda)$ and we have*

$$J_{\mathbb{P}}^{\text{st,M}}(\beta, \Lambda) \subseteq J_{\mathbb{P}}(\beta, \Lambda) \subseteq J_{\mathbb{P}}^{\text{BK}}(\beta, \Lambda).$$

In particular, under the condition of Proposition C.10, the group $J_{\mathbb{P}}^{\text{BK}}(\beta, \Lambda)$ is a subgroup of $J^{\text{st}}(\beta, \Lambda)$.

Before the proof we illustrate the main inside for the above statement:

Example C.12. We consider the group $G = \text{SO}(3, 3)(\mathbb{F})$ defined by the symmetric form h with Gram matrix antidiag(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1), $V = \mathbb{F}^6$. Let Λ be the strict lattice sequence given by the hereditary order

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{o} & \mathfrak{p} & \mathfrak{p} \\ \mathfrak{o} & \mathfrak{o} & \mathfrak{p} \\ \mathfrak{p}^{-1} & \mathfrak{o} & \mathfrak{o} \end{pmatrix}^{(2,2,2)}.$$

The stratum Δ is the null-stratum of depth zero with lattice sequence Λ . The Levi M is given by the idempotents.

$$e_0 = \text{diag}(1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1), \quad e_1 = \text{diag}(0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0), \quad e_{-1} = \text{diag}(0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0).$$

We observe:

- $h_{e_0 V}$ defines $\text{SO}(2, 2)(\mathbb{F})$, and
- $\Lambda_0 = e_0 \Lambda$ is a strong vertex in $\mathfrak{B}(\text{SO}(2, 2)(\mathbb{F}))$, but Λ is a weak point in $\mathfrak{B}(G)$.

And we obtain

$$\text{P}^{\text{st}}(\Lambda_0) = \text{P}(\Lambda_0) \subseteq G \cap \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{o} & & \mathfrak{p} \\ & 1 & \\ \mathfrak{p}^{-1} & & \mathfrak{o} \end{pmatrix}^{(2,2,2)}$$

which is contained in $\text{P}^{\text{st}}(\Lambda)$.

Proof of Proposition C.11. We have to show:

$$\prod_{(0,i) \in \mathfrak{M}(e^M, \mathbb{1}), i \in I_0} \text{P}^{\text{st}}(\Lambda_{\beta_{(0,i)}}) \subseteq \prod_{i \in I_0} \text{P}^{\text{st}}(\Lambda_{\beta_i})$$

Without loss of generality we can assume that Δ is a null-stratum of depth zero with lattice sequence Λ , in particular $I = I_0$ is a singleton. We put $\Lambda_0 = e_0 \Lambda$ and we have to prove

$$\text{P}^{\text{st}}(\Lambda_0) \subseteq \text{P}^{\text{st}}(\Lambda).$$

If Λ is a strong point in $\mathfrak{B}(G)$ then $\text{P}(\Lambda) = \text{P}^{\text{st}}(\Lambda)$ and the inclusion follows because $\text{P}(\Lambda)$ contains $\text{P}^{\text{st}}(\Lambda_0)$. Suppose that Λ is a weak point in $\mathfrak{B}(G)$. Then $(\tilde{\text{P}}(\Lambda) \cap U(h))/\text{P}_1(\Lambda)$ contains a direct factor isomorphic to $O(1, 1)(k_{\mathbb{D}})$. ($\text{P}(\Lambda)$ is a subgroup of G , so $\tilde{\text{P}}(\Lambda) \cap U(h)$ could be bigger.) Let \mathfrak{a} be the self-dual hereditary order defined by Λ . Then

$$\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a}_1 \simeq \left(\prod_{k \in K_+} M_{n_k}(k_{\mathbb{D}}) \right) \times \left(\prod_{k \in K_0} M_{n_k}(k_{\mathbb{D}}) \right)$$

where K_0 corresponds precisely to the blocks fixed by σ_h . We lift the primitive idempotents of the right hand side to \mathfrak{a} : $f = (f_k)_{k \in K_0 \cup K_+ \cup K_-}$, such that idempotents of e^M and f commute (Note that Δ is adapted to M). Assume that there is an element $g \in \text{P}(\Lambda_0)$ which is not an element of $\text{P}^{\text{st}}(\Lambda)$. Then $(\tilde{\text{P}}(\Lambda) \cap U(h))/\text{P}_1(\Lambda)$ has a factor isomorphic to $O(1, 1)(k_{\mathbb{D}})$, say at some $k_0 \in K_0$, such that the projection of g onto the k_0 th factor has determinant -1 . Thus $f_{k_0} e_0 = f_{k_0}$ and the k_0 th $O(1, 1)(k_{\mathbb{D}})$ -factor occurs in $(\tilde{\text{P}}(\Lambda_0) \cap U(h|_{e_0 V}))/\text{P}_1(\Lambda_0)$ and still the projection of g onto this factor has determinant -1 . Then g cannot be an element of $\text{P}^{\text{st}}(\Lambda_0)$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Peter Abramenko and Gabriele Nebe. Lattice chain models for affine buildings of classical type. *Math. Ann.*, 322(3):537–562, 2002.
- [2] U. K. Anandavardhanan, R. Kurinczuk, N. Matringe, V. Sécherre, and S. Stevens. Galois self-dual cuspidal types and Asai local factors. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 23(9):3129–3191, 2021.
- [3] James Arthur. *The endoscopic classification of representations*, volume 61 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2013. Orthogonal and symplectic groups.
- [4] Petar Bakić and Gordan Savin. The Gelfand-Graev representation of classical groups in terms of Hecke algebras. *Canad. J. Math.*, 75(4):1343–1368, 2023.
- [5] J. N. Bernstein. Le “centre” de Bernstein. In *Representations of reductive groups over a local field*, Travaux en Cours, pages 1–32. Hermann, Paris, 1984. Edited by P. Deligne.
- [6] Corinne Blondel. Quelques propriétés des paires couvrantes. *Math. Ann.*, 331(2):243–257, 2005.
- [7] Corinne Blondel, Guy Henniart, and Shaun Stevens. Jordan blocks of cuspidal representations of symplectic groups. *Algebra Number Theory*, 12(10):2327–2386, 2018.
- [8] P. Broussous and B. Lemaire. Building of $GL(m, D)$ and centralizers. *Transform. Groups*, 7(1):15–50, 2002.
- [9] P. Broussous and S. Stevens. Buildings of classical groups and centralizers of Lie algebra elements. *J. Lie Theory*, 19(1):55–78, 2009.
- [10] F. Bruhat and J. Tits. Groupes réductifs sur un corps local. II. Schémas en groupes. Existence d’une donnée radicielle valuée. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (60):197–376, 1984.
- [11] C.J. Bushnell and G. Henniart. Local tame lifting for $GL(N)$. I. Simple characters. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (83):105–233, 1996.
- [12] Colin J. Bushnell and Guy Henniart. Generalized Whittaker models and the Bernstein center. *Amer. J. Math.*, 125(3):513–547, 2003.
- [13] Colin J. Bushnell and Guy Henniart. To an effective local Langlands correspondence. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 231(1087):v+88, 2014.
- [14] Colin J. Bushnell and Philip C. Kutzko. *The admissible dual of $GL(N)$ via compact open subgroups*, volume 129 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.
- [15] Gianmarco Chinello. Blocks of the category of smooth ℓ -modular representations of $GL(n, F)$ and its inner forms: reduction to level 0. *Algebra Number Theory*, 12(7):1675–1713, 2018.
- [16] Sean Cotner. Connected components of the moduli space of L -parameters, arXiv:2404.16716.
- [17] Peiyi Cui. Category decomposition of $\text{Rep}_k(\text{SL}_n(f))$. *Journal of Algebra*, 602:130–153, 2022.
- [18] Peiyi Cui. ℓ -modular blocks of $\text{Rep}_k(\text{SL}_n(F))$, arXiv:2312.05954. 2023.
- [19] Peiyi Cui. Supercuspidal Support of Irreducible Modulo ℓ -Representations of $\text{SL}_n(F)$. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (12):10181–10208, 2023.
- [20] Charles W. Curtis and Irving Reiner. *Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras*. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. XI. Interscience Publishers (a division of John Wiley & Sons, Inc.), New York-London, 1962.
- [21] Jean-François Dat. A functoriality principle for blocks of p -adic linear groups. In *Around Langlands correspondences*, volume 691 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 103–131. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2017.
- [22] Jean-François Dat. Simple subquotients of big parabolically induced representations of p -adic groups. *J. Algebra*, 510:499–507, 2018.
- [23] Jean-François Dat, David Helm, Robert Kurinczuk, and Gil Moss. Moduli of Langlands parameters, arXiv:2009.06708. 2020.
- [24] Jean-François Dat, David Helm, Robert Kurinczuk, and Gil Moss. Local langlands in families in the banal case. 2022.
- [25] Jean-François Dat, David Helm, Robert Kurinczuk, and Gilbert Moss. Finiteness for Hecke algebras of p -adic groups. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 37(3):929–949, 2024.
- [26] Jean-François Dat. Finitude pour les représentations lisses de groupes p -adiques. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 8(2):261–333, 2009.
- [27] Jean-François Dat and Thomas Lanard. Depth zero representations over $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}[1/p]$, arXiv:2202.03982,. 2020.
- [28] Olivier Dudas. Appendix: non-uniqueness of supercuspidal support for finite reductive groups. *J. Algebra*, 510:508–512, 2018.
- [29] Matt Emerton. Jacobson rings, <https://www.math.uchicago.edu/~emerton/pdffiles/jacobson.pdf>.
- [30] Laurent Fargues and Peter Scholze. Geometrization of the local Langlands correspondence, arXiv:2102.13459. 2020.
- [31] Jessica Fintzen, Tasho Kaletha, and Loren Spice. A twisted Yu construction, Harish-Chandra characters, and endoscopy. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 172(12):2241 – 2301, 2023.
- [32] Volker Heiermann. Local Langlands correspondence for classical groups and affine Hecke algebras. *Math. Z.*, 287(3-4):1029–1052, 2017.
- [33] David Helm. The Bernstein center of the category of smooth $W(k)[GL_n(F)]$ -modules. *Forum Math. Sigma*, 4:e11, 98, 2016.

- [34] Tasho Kaletha, Alberto Minguez, Sug Woo Shin, and Paul-James White. Endoscopic Classification of Representations: Inner Forms of Unitary Groups, arXiv:1409.3731. 2021.
- [35] Tasho Kaletha and Gopal Prasad. *Bruhat-Tits theory—a new approach*, volume 44 of *New Mathematical Monographs*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2023.
- [36] Robert Kurinczuk. Local Langlands in families in depth zero (joint with Jean-François Dat, David Helm, Gil Moss). In *Fintzen Jessica, Gan Wee-Teck, Takeda Shuichiro: New Developments in Representation Theory of p -adic Groups*, Oberwolfach Rep. 16, pages 2763–2766. 2019.
- [37] Robert Kurinczuk, Daniel Skodlerack, and Shaun Stevens. Endo-parameters for p -adic classical groups. *Invent. Math.*, 223(2):597–723, 2021.
- [38] Robert Kurinczuk and Shaun Stevens. Cuspidal ℓ -modular representations of p -adic classical groups. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 764:23–69, 2020.
- [39] Robert James Kurinczuk. ℓ -modular representations of unramified p -adic $U(2, 1)$. *Algebra Number Theory*, 8(8):1801–1838, 2014.
- [40] Thomas Lanard. Sur les ℓ -blocs de niveau zéro des groupes p -adiques. *Compos. Math.*, 154(7):1473–1507, 2018.
- [41] Thomas Lanard. Sur les ℓ -blocs de niveau zéro des groupes p -adiques II. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 54(3):683–750, 2021.
- [42] Alberto Minguez and Vincent Sécherre. Types modulo ℓ pour les formes intérieures de GL_n sur un corps local non archimédien. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 109(4):823–891, 2014. With an appendix by Vincent Sécherre et Shaun Stevens.
- [43] Michitaka Miyuchi and Shaun Stevens. Semisimple types for p -adic classical groups. *Math. Ann.*, 358(1-2):257–288, 2014.
- [44] Chung Pang Mok. Endoscopic classification of representations of quasi-split unitary groups. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 235(1108):vi+248, 2015.
- [45] Lawrence Morris. Level zero \mathbf{G} -types. *Compositio Math.*, 118(2):135–157, 1999.
- [46] Irving Reiner. The Krull-Schmidt theorem for integral group representations. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 67:365–367, 1961.
- [47] Irving Reiner. Failure of the Krull-Schmidt theorem for integral representations. *Michigan Math. J.*, 9:225–231, 1962.
- [48] V. Sécherre and S. Stevens. Représentations lisses de $GL_m(D)$. IV. Représentations supercuspidales. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 7(3):527–574, 2008.
- [49] Vincent Sécherre. Représentations lisses de $GL(m, D)$. I. Caractères simples. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 132(3):327–396, 2004.
- [50] Vincent Sécherre and Shaun Stevens. Smooth representations of $GL_m(D)$ VI: semisimple types. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (13):2994–3039, 2012.
- [51] Vincent Sécherre and Shaun Stevens. Block decomposition of the category of ℓ -modular smooth representations of $GL_n(F)$ and its inner forms. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 49(3):669–709, 2016.
- [52] Daniel Skodlerack. The centralizer of a classical group and Bruhat-Tits buildings. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 63(2):515–546, 2013.
- [53] Daniel Skodlerack. Cuspidal irreducible complex or l -modular representations of quaternionic forms of p -adic classical groups for odd p , arXiv:1907.02922v2,. 2020.
- [54] Daniel Skodlerack. Semisimple characters for inner forms II: Quaternionic forms of p -adic classical groups (p odd). *Represent. Theory*, 24:323–359, 2020.
- [55] Daniel Skodlerack. Semisimple characters for inner forms I: $GL_m(D)$. *Algebras and Representation Theory*, pages 1572–9079, 2021.
- [56] Daniel Skodlerack and Shaun Stevens. Intertwining semisimple characters for p -adic classical groups. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 238:137–205, 2020.
- [57] Daniel Skodlerack and Shuyang Ye. Semisimple types for quaternionic forms of classical groups, in preparation. 2023.
- [58] The Stacks Project Authors. *Stacks Project*. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2018.
- [59] Shaun Stevens. Double coset decompositions and intertwining. *Manuscripta Math.*, 106(3):349–364, 2001.
- [60] Shaun Stevens. Semisimple characters for p -adic classical groups. *Duke Math. J.*, 127(1):123–173, 2005.
- [61] Shaun Stevens. The supercuspidal representations of p -adic classical groups. *Invent. Math.*, 172(2):289–352, 2008.
- [62] Marie-France Vignéras. *Représentations l -modulaires d’un groupe réductif p -adique avec $l \neq p$* , volume 137 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1996.
- [63] Marie-France Vignéras. Induced R -representations of p -adic reductive groups. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 4(4):549–623, 1998.
- [64] Marie-France Vignéras. Irreducible modular representations of a reductive p -adic group and simple modules for Hecke algebras. In *European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. I (Barcelona, 2000)*, volume 201 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 117–133. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.

DAVID HELM, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IMPERIAL COLLEGE, LONDON, SW7 2AZ, UNITED KINGDOM.
Email address: `d.helm@imperial.ac.uk`

ROBERT KURINCZUK, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF SHEFFIELD, SHEFFIELD, S3 7RH,
UNITED KINGDOM.
Email address: `robkurinczuk@gmail.com`

DANIEL SKODLERACK, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SHANGHAITECH UNIVERSITY, 201210, PUDONG
NEW DISTRICT, SHANGHAI, CHINA
Email address: `dskodlerack@shanghaitech.edu.cn`

SHAUN STEVENS, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF EAST ANGLIA, NORWICH, NR4 7TJ, UNITED KING-
DOM.
Email address: `shaun.stevens@uea.ac.uk`