

ADDITIONAL STUDIES ON DISPLACEMENT MAPPING WITH RESTRICTIONS

Salihah Th. Alwadani*

May 5, 2024

Abstract

The theory of monotone operators plays a major role in modern optimization and many areas of nonlinear analysis. The central classes of monotone operators are matrices with a positive semidefinite symmetric part and subdifferential operators. In this paper, we complete our study to the displacement mappings. We derive formulas for set-valued and Moore-Penrose inverses. We also give a comprehensive study of the operators $(1/2) \text{Id} + T$ and its inverse and provide a formula for $((1/2) \text{Id} + T)^{-1}$. We illustrate our results by considering the reflected and the projection operators to closed linear subspaces.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 47H09, 47H05; Secondary 47A06, 90C25

Keywords: Displacement mapping, maximally monotone operator, nonexpansive mapping, Moore-Penrose inverse set-valued inverse, inverse, Yosida approximation.

1 Introduction

It is well known that one of important classes of monotone operators are Displacement mappings of nonexpansive mappings. There are many key examples that have proven how these mappings are highly useful in optimization problems. For example, in 2016

*Mathematics, Yanbu Industrial College, The Royal Commission for Jubail and Yanbu, 30436, Saudi Arabia. E-mail: salihah.s.alwadani@gmail.com.

Heiz Bauschke, Warren Hare, and Wala Moursi used displacement mappings in analyzing the range of the Douglas–Rachford operator to derive valuable duality results, see [6]. Additionally, the asymptotic regularity results for nonexpansive mappings were generalized in [7] to the broader context of displacement mappings. Overall, the displacement mapping framework has emerged as a powerful tool for analyzing the behavior of nonexpansive mappings, with a range of important applications in optimization and related areas. Throughout, we assume that

$$X \text{ is a real Hilbert space with inner product } \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (1)$$

and induced norm $\| \cdot \| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : x \mapsto \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$. We also assume that $A : X \rightrightarrows X$ and $B : X \rightrightarrows X$ are maximally monotone operators. The *resolvent* and the *reflected resolvent* associated with A are

$$J_A = (\text{Id} + A)^{-1} \text{ and } R_A = 2J_A - \text{Id}, \quad (2)$$

respectively. An operator $T : X \rightrightarrows X$ is *nonexpansive* if it is Lipschitz continuous with constant 1, i.e.,

$$(\forall x \in X) (\forall y \in X) \|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|. \quad (3)$$

Moreover, $T : D \rightrightarrows X$ is *firmly nonexpansive* if

$$(\forall x \in D) (\forall y \in D) \|Tx - Ty\|^2 + \|(\text{Id} - T)x - (\text{Id} - T)y\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2. \quad (4)$$

Fact 1.1. [5, Definition 4.10] *Let D be a nonempty subset of X , let $T : D \rightarrow X$, and let $\beta \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$. Then T is β -cocoercive (or β -inverse strongly monotone) if βT is firmly nonexpansive, i.e.,*

$$(\forall x \in D) (\forall y \in D) \langle x - y, Tx - Ty \rangle \geq \beta \|Tx - Ty\|^2.$$

In optimization, we have seen the importance the *displacement mappings* of nonexpansive mappings:

$$\text{Id} - R \quad (5)$$

because of the nice properties that have such as monotonicity which plays a central role in modern optimization (see [5, 24, 10, 11, 12, 8, 9] for more details). A comprehensive analysis of the displacement mappings of nonexpansive mappings from the point of view of monotone operator theory under the condition of isometry of finite order of R are given in [2, Lemma] and [1, Section 3]. We refer the reader to [10, Exercise 12.16], and [5, Example 20.29], [19].

Throughout this paper, we assume that

$$R : X \rightarrow X \text{ is linear and nonexpansive, with } D := \text{Fix } R = \ker (\text{Id} - R). \quad (6)$$

In this paper, we study the displacement mapping using the assumption in (6). Our results can be summarized as follows

- [Proposition 2.1](#), [Lemma 2.2](#), and [Remark 2.3](#) collect some useful properties of the displacement mapping and its inverse, which will be useful in our study.
- [Lemma 2.4](#) provides a formula and gives nice properties of the operator T .
- We derive a formula for the inverse of the displacement mapping (see [Theorem 2.8 \(i\)](#)). A formula for the Moore-Penrose inverse of the displacement mapping is given in [Theorem 2.8\(ii\)](#).
- [Theorem 2.10](#) gives a comprehensive study of the operators $(1/2) \text{Id} + T$ and its inverse. Additionally, we derive a formula of $\left((1/2) \text{Id} + T\right)^{-1}$ and prove that is equal to the resolvent of the operator $2T$.
- We illustrate the results by giving four examples. The first two examples are related to the projection operator to a closed linear subspace (see [Example 3.2](#) and [Example 3.3](#)), while the other two are related to the reflected operator to closed linear subspace (see [Example 3.4](#) and [Example 3.5](#)).

2 Results

Important properties of the displacement mapping $(\text{Id} - R)$ and its inverse are given in the next proposition.

Proposition 2.1. *Let R be nonexpansive operator, then the following hold:*

- (i) $\frac{1}{2}(\text{Id} - R)$ is firmly nonexpansive.
- (ii) $\text{Id} - R$ is nonexpansive.
- (iii) $\text{Id} - R$ and $(\text{Id} - R)^{-1}$ are maximally monotone.
- (iv) $\text{Id} - R$ is $\frac{1}{2}$ -cocoercive.
- (v) $(\text{Id} - R)^{-1}$ is strongly monotone¹ with constant $\frac{1}{2}$.
- (vi) $\text{Id} - R$ is 3^* monotone.
- (vii) $(\text{Id} - R)^{-1}$ is 3^* monotone
- (viii) $\text{Id} - R$ is paramonotone.
- (ix) $(\text{Id} - R)^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \text{Id}$ is maximally monotone.

¹An operator $A : X \rightrightarrows X$ is strongly monotone with constant $\beta \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ if $A - \beta \text{Id}$ is monotone, i.e.,

$$(\forall (x, u) \in \text{gra } A) (\forall (y, v) \in \text{gra } A) \quad \langle x - y, u - v \rangle \geq \beta \|x - y\|^2.$$

Proof. (i): We have

$$\begin{aligned} R \text{ is nonexpansive} &\Leftrightarrow -R = 2\left(\frac{\text{Id} - R}{2}\right) \text{ is nonexpansive} \\ &\Leftrightarrow (\text{Id} - R)/2 \text{ is firmly nonexpansive,} \end{aligned}$$

by [5, Proposition 4.4]. (ii): It follows from (i) and [5, Proposition 4.2]. (iii): See [5, Example 25.20(v)] or [2, Theorem 7.1]. (iv): Combine (i) and Fact 1.1. (v): Take $(x, u) \in \text{gra}(\text{Id} - R)^{-1}$ and $(y, v) \in \text{gra}(\text{Id} - R)^{-1}$. Then $u \in (\text{Id} - R)^{-1}x \Rightarrow x = u - Ru$ and $v \in (\text{Id} - R)^{-1}y \Rightarrow y = v - Rv$.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u - v, x - y \rangle &\geq \frac{1}{2}\|x - y\|^2 \\ \Leftrightarrow \langle u - v, (u - Ru) - (v - Rv) \rangle &\geq \frac{1}{2}\|(u - Ru) - (v - Rv)\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which deduce from (iv) and Footnote 1 that $(\text{Id} - R)^{-1}$ is strongly monotone with constant $(1/2)$. (vi) and (vii): It follows from (iv) that $\text{Id} - R$ is bounded by $(1/2)$ and its monotone by (iii). Hence, $\text{Id} - R$ and $(\text{Id} - R)^{-1}$ are 3^* monotone by [5, Proposition 25.16(i) & (iv)].

(viii): See [5, Example 22.9]. (ix): By (iv) and [5, Example 22.7], $(\text{Id} - R)^{-1}$ is $(1/2)$ -strongly monotone, i.e., $B := (\text{Id} - R)^{-1} - \frac{1}{2}\text{Id}$ is still monotone. If B was not maximally monotone, then neither would be $B + \frac{1}{2}\text{Id} = (\text{Id} - R)^{-1}$ which would contradict (iii). ■

Lemma 2.2. Set $D := \ker(\text{Id} - R) = \text{Fix } R$. Then the following hold:

- (i) D is a closed linear subspace.
- (ii) $\text{Fix } R^* = D$.
- (iii) $\overline{\text{ran}}(\text{Id} - R) = \overline{\text{ran}}(\text{Id} - R^*) = D^\perp$.

Proof. (i): Let $x, y \in D$ such that $x - Rx = 0$ and $y - Ry = 0$. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Id} - R)(\alpha x + \beta y) &= (\text{Id} - R)(\alpha x) + (\text{Id} - R)(\beta y) \\ &= \alpha(x - Rx) + \beta(y - Ry) \\ &= 0 + 0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\alpha x + \beta y \in D$ and hence D is a linear subspace. To show that D is closed, let (x_n) be a sequence in D such that (x_n) converges to x . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\text{Id} - R)(x - x_n) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\text{Id} - R)x - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\text{Id} - R)x_n \\ &= (\text{Id} - R)x - (\text{Id} - R)x = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $x \in D$ and hence D is closed.

(ii) and (iii): It follows from Proposition 2.1(iii) & (iv) that $\text{Id} - R$ is monotone and bounded. Hence, $\text{Fix } R^* = \text{Fix } R = D$ and $\overline{\text{ran}}(\text{Id} - R) = \overline{\text{ran}}(\text{Id} - R^*) = D^\perp$ by [5, Proposition 20.17]. ■

Remark 2.3. Suppose that $X = \ell_2(\mathbb{N})$ and that

$$R : X \rightarrow X : (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto ((1 - \varepsilon_n)x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad (7)$$

where $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ lies in $]0, 1[$ with $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$. Then the following hold:

- (i) $\text{Id} - R : (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto (\varepsilon_n x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a compact operator.
- (ii) $D = \text{Fix } R = \{0\}$.
- (iii) $\text{ran}(\text{Id} - R)$ is not closed.
- (iv) $\text{ran } R$ is a closed subspace.

Proof. (i) and (ii): See [13, Proposition II.4.6]. (iii): It follows from [14, Proposition 3.4.6] that $\text{ran}(\text{Id} - R)$ is closed if and only if $\text{ran}(\text{Id} - R)$ is finite-dimensional. On the other hand, $X = D^\perp = \overline{\text{ran}(\text{Id} - R)}$, i.e., the range of $\text{Id} - R$ is dense in the infinite-dimensional space X . Altogether,

$$\text{ran}(\text{Id} - R) \text{ is not closed.}$$

(iv): See [14, Lemma 3.4.20]. ■

Lemma 2.4. Suppose that $\text{ran}(\text{Id} - R)$ is closed; equivalently,

$$\text{ran}(\text{Id} - R) = D^\perp.$$

Set

$$T := P_{D^\perp}(\text{Id} - R)^{-1} P_{D^\perp} - \frac{1}{2} P_{D^\perp}. \quad (8)$$

Then,

- (i) $\text{ran}(\text{Id} - R)^* = D^\perp$.
- (ii) T is a linear and continuous.
- (iii) T is monotone.
- (iv) T is maximally monotone.
- (v) $\text{ran } T \subseteq D^\perp$, where $D = \ker(\text{Id} - R)$.
- (vi) $P_{D^\perp} T = T P_{D^\perp} = T$.

Proof. (i): By using the closeness of $\text{ran}(\text{Id} - R)$ and ...

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ran}(\text{Id} - R)^* &= \text{ran}(\text{Id} - R^*) \\ &= \text{ran}(\text{Id} - R) \\ &= D^\perp. \end{aligned}$$

(ii): This is clear because T is defined using P_{D^\perp} , which is a linear and continuous operator. (iii): See [5, Example 20.12]. (iv): Combine (ii), (iii) and [5, Corollary 20.28]. (v): It follows directly from (8). (vi): Since $\text{ran } T \subseteq D^\perp$ by using (v), we obtain

$$P_{D^\perp} T = T.$$

Moreover, both T and P_{D^\perp} commute and so

$$T P_{D^\perp} = P_{D^\perp} T = T.$$

■

Remark 2.5. It is well known that $\text{ran}(\text{Id} - R)$ is closed if and only if there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\left(\forall y \in (\ker(\text{Id} - R))^\perp = D^\perp \right) \|y - Ry\| \geq \alpha \|y\|; \quad (9)$$

Proof. See [21, Theorem 8.18].

■

Proposition 2.6. Suppose that (9) holds, then the operator

$$P_{D^\perp}(\text{Id} - R)^{-1} : D^\perp \rightarrow D^\perp, \quad (10)$$

- (i) is a linear selection of T^{-1} .
- (ii) is continuous and its norm is bounded above by $1/\alpha$.

Proof. (i): It follows from (8) and Lemma 2.4. (ii): Clear from (9).

■

Theorem 2.7. Suppose that $\text{ran}(\text{Id} - R)$ is closed. Set

$$A := (\text{Id} - R)^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \text{Id}, \quad (11)$$

and defined

$$Q_A : \text{dom } A \rightarrow X : y \mapsto P_{Ay} y. \quad (12)$$

Set

$$B := P_{\text{dom } A} Q_A P_{\text{dom } A}. \quad (13)$$

Then the following holds;

- (i) $\text{dom } A = D^\perp$ and is closed.
- (ii) A is linear relation.
- (iii) A is maximally monotone.

(iv) we have

$$(\forall y \in \text{dom } A) \quad Q_A y = P_{D^\perp} (\text{Id} - R)^{-1} y - \frac{1}{2} P_{D^\perp} y.$$

(v) B is maximally monotone, linear and continuous.

(vi) $A = N_{D^\perp} + B$.

(vii) $B = T$.

(viii) $B|_{\text{dom } A}$ is a selection of $A|_{\text{dom } A}$.

Proof. (i): From (11) $\text{dom } A = \text{ran } (\text{Id} - R) = D^\perp$, which is closed by the assumption.

(ii): It is clear that A is a linear relation, i.e., $\text{gra } A$ is a linear subspace, that $A0 = D$, and by (i) the $\text{dom } A = D^\perp$ is closed.

(iii): It follows directly from Proposition 2.1(ix).

(iv): By [15, Proposition 6.2], we have $(\forall y \in \text{dom } A) \quad Q_A y = P_{(A0)^\perp} (Ay) \in Ay$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} (\forall y \in D^\perp) \quad Q_A y &= P_{D^\perp} (Ay) = P_{D^\perp} \left((\text{Id} - R)^{-1} y - \frac{1}{2} y \right) \\ &= P_{D^\perp} (\text{Id} - R)^{-1} y - \frac{1}{2} P_{D^\perp} y. \end{aligned}$$

(v): See [15, Example 6.4(i)]. (vi): Combining (i) and [15, Example 6.4(iii)] gives

$$A = N_{\text{dom } A} + B = N_{D^\perp} + B.$$

(vii): Using (13), (iv) and (i) gives

$$\begin{aligned} B &= P_{\text{dom } A} Q_A P_{\text{dom } A} \\ &= P_{D^\perp} \left(P_{D^\perp} (\text{Id} - R)^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} P_{D^\perp} \right) P_{D^\perp} \\ &= P_{D^\perp} (\text{Id} - R)^{-1} P_{D^\perp} - \frac{1}{2} P_{D^\perp} \\ &= T \quad (\text{from (8)}). \end{aligned}$$

(viii): Using (i) gives

$$\begin{aligned} A|_{\text{dom } A} &= (N_{D^\perp} + B)|_{D^\perp} \quad (\text{from (vi)}) \\ &= (N_{D^\perp} + T)|_{D^\perp} \quad (\text{from (vii)}) \\ &= \left(N_{D^\perp} + P_{D^\perp} (\text{Id} - R)^{-1} P_{D^\perp} - \frac{1}{2} P_{D^\perp} \right) \Big|_{D^\perp} \quad (\text{from (8)}) \\ &\equiv D + D^\perp \quad (\text{because } N_{D^\perp}|_{D^\perp} \equiv D), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$B|_{\text{dom } A} = T|_{D^\perp} \quad (\text{from (i) and (vii)})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(P_{D^\perp} (\text{Id} - R)^{-1} P_{D^\perp} - \frac{1}{2} P_{D^\perp} \right) \Big|_{D^\perp} \quad (\text{from (8)}) \\
&= D^\perp.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $B|_{\text{dom } A}$ is a selection of $A|_{\text{dom } A}$. ■

In the next theorem we derive formulas for the inverse and Moore-Penrose inverse of the operator $(\text{Id} - R)$.

Theorem 2.8. *Recall from (8) and (11) that*

$$T := P_{D^\perp} (\text{Id} - R)^{-1} P_{D^\perp} - \frac{1}{2} P_{D^\perp},$$

and

$$A := (\text{Id} - R)^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \text{Id},$$

respectively. Then the following hold;

(i) *The set-valued inverse of $\text{Id} - R$ is*

$$(\text{Id} - R)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Id} + T + N_{D^\perp}. \quad (14)$$

(ii) *The Moore-Penrose inverse of $\text{Id} - R$ is*

$$(\text{Id} - R)^\dagger = T + \frac{1}{2} P_{D^\perp}. \quad (15)$$

Proof. (i): Combining [Theorem 2.7\(vi\)](#) & [\(vii\)](#) and [\(11\)](#) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{Id} - R)^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \text{Id} &= A \\
&= N_{D^\perp} + T,
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(\text{Id} - R)^{-1} = N_{D^\perp} + T + \frac{1}{2} \text{Id}.$$

(ii): By using [\[20, Proposition 2.1\]](#) and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{Id} - R)^\dagger &= P_{(\text{Id} - R)^*} \circ (\text{Id} - R)^{-1} \circ P_{\text{ran}(\text{Id} - R)} \\
&= P_{D^\perp} \circ (\text{Id} - R)^{-1} \circ P_{D^\perp} \quad (\text{from [Lemma 2.4\(i\)](#)}) \\
&= P_{D^\perp} \circ \left(\frac{1}{2} \text{Id} + T + N_{D^\perp} \right) \circ P_{D^\perp} \quad (\text{from (i)})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= P_{D^\perp} \circ \left(\frac{1}{2} P_{D^\perp} + T P_{D^\perp} + D \right) \quad (\text{Because } N_{D^\perp}|_{D^\perp} \equiv D) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} P_{D^\perp} + P_{D^\perp} T P_{D^\perp} + 0 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} P_{D^\perp} + T \quad (\text{from Lemma 2.4(vi)}),
\end{aligned}$$

which verified (15). ■

Proposition 2.9 (uniqueness of T). *Let $T_\circ : X \rightarrow X$ be such that*

$$(\text{Id} - R)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Id} + T_\circ + N_{D^\perp}, \quad (16)$$

and

$$P_{D^\perp} T_\circ P_{D^\perp} = T_\circ. \quad (17)$$

Then $T_\circ = T$.

Proof. By using (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T &= P_{D^\perp} (\text{Id} - R)^{-1} P_{D^\perp} - \frac{1}{2} P_{D^\perp} \\
&= P_{D^\perp} \left(\frac{1}{2} \text{Id} + T_\circ + N_{D^\perp} \right) P_{D^\perp} - \frac{1}{2} P_{D^\perp} \quad (\text{from (16)}) \\
&= P_{D^\perp} T_\circ P_{D^\perp} \\
&= T_\circ \quad (\text{from (17)}),
\end{aligned}$$

as claimed. ■

Theorem 2.10. *Recall from (8) that*

$$T = P_{D^\perp} (\text{Id} - R)^{-1} P_{D^\perp} - \frac{1}{2} P_{D^\perp}.$$

Then the following hold;

- (i) $(1/2) \text{Id} + T$ is $\frac{1}{2}$ -strongly monotone.
- (ii) $((1/2) \text{Id} + T)^{-1} = 2J_{2T}$.
- (iii) $2T + \text{Id} = 2P_{D^\perp} (\text{Id} - R)^{-1} P_{D^\perp} + P_D$.
- (iv) $J_{2T} = P_D + \frac{1}{2} (\text{Id} - R) P_{D^\perp}$.
- (v) $2J_{2T} = (\text{Id} - R) P_{D^\perp} + 2P_D$.
- (vi) $(\text{Id} - R) P_{D^\perp} + 2P_D = \text{Id} - R + 2P_D$.
- (vii) We have

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} \text{Id} + T \right)^{-1} = 2J_{2T} = (\text{Id} - R) P_{D^\perp} + 2P_D = \text{Id} - R + 2P_D. \quad (18)$$

$$(viii) \left(\frac{1}{2} \text{Id} + T \right)^{-1} \Big|_{D^\perp} = \text{Id} - R.$$

Proof. (i): Showing that $\frac{1}{2} \text{Id} + T$ is $(1/2)$ -strongly monotone $\Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{2} \text{Id} + T - \frac{1}{2} \text{Id} = T$ is monotone, which is verified by Lemma 2.4(iii). (ii): From Lemma 2.4(ii) & (iv) and [16, Lemma 2], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{2} \text{Id} + T \right)^{-1} &= \left(\frac{1}{2} (\text{Id} + 2T) \right)^{-1} \\ &= 2 (\text{Id} + 2T)^{-1} \\ &= 2J_{2T}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii): By using (8) and Lemma 2.4(ii), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2T &= 2 \left(P_{D^\perp} (\text{Id} - R)^{-1} P_{D^\perp} - \frac{1}{2} P_{D^\perp} \right) \\ &= 2 P_{D^\perp} (\text{Id} - R)^{-1} P_{D^\perp} - P_{D^\perp}, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} 2T + \text{Id} &= 2 P_{D^\perp} (\text{Id} - R)^{-1} P_{D^\perp} - P_{D^\perp} + \text{Id} \\ &= 2 P_{D^\perp} (\text{Id} - R)^{-1} P_{D^\perp} + P_D. \end{aligned}$$

(iv): From (iii), we obtain $2T + \text{Id} = 2 P_{D^\perp} (\text{Id} - R)^{-1} P_{D^\perp} + P_D$. Put differently,

$$2T + \text{Id} : D \oplus D^\perp \rightarrow D \oplus D^\perp : d \oplus d^\perp \mapsto d + 2 P_{D^\perp} (\text{Id} - R)^{-1} d^\perp.$$

For two vectors d^\perp, e^\perp in D^\perp , we have the equivalences,

$$e^\perp = 2 P_{D^\perp} (\text{Id} - R)^{-1} d^\perp \Leftrightarrow d^\perp = \left(2 P_{D^\perp} (\text{Id} - R)^{-1} \right)^{-1} e^\perp,$$

and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} d^\perp &= \left(2 P_{D^\perp} (\text{Id} - R)^{-1} \right)^{-1} e^\perp \\ &= \left(2 (P_{D^\perp} (\text{Id} - R)^{-1}) \right)^{-1} e^\perp \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(P_{D^\perp} (\text{Id} - R)^{-1} \right)^{-1} e^\perp \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\text{Id} - R) P_{D^\perp}^{-1} e^\perp \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\text{Id} - R) e^\perp. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(2T + \text{Id})^{-1} : D \oplus D^\perp \rightarrow D \oplus D^\perp : d \oplus d^\perp \mapsto d + \frac{1}{2}(\text{Id} - R)d^\perp;$$

equivalently,

$$J_{2T} = (2T + \text{Id})^{-1} : z \mapsto P_D z + \frac{1}{2}(\text{Id} - R)P_{D^\perp} z.$$

(v): It follows directly from (iv). (vi): Because $\ker(\text{Id} - R) = D$, we have $(\text{Id} - R)P_D \equiv 0$. Therefore,

$$(\text{Id} - R)P_{D^\perp} + 2P_D = \text{Id} - R + 2P_D.$$

(vii): Combine (ii), (v), and (vi). (viii): From (v), we obtain

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\text{Id} + T\right)^{-1}\Big|_{D^\perp} = (\text{Id} - R + 2P_D)\Big|_{D^\perp} = \text{Id} - R.$$

■

Proposition 2.11. Let $m \in \{2, 3, \dots\}$ and assume that $R^m = \text{Id}$, i.e., R is an isometry of finite rank m . Assume that $X = R^m$ and recall from [2, Lemma] that

$$P_D = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} R^k \quad \text{and} \quad P_{D^\perp} = \text{Id} - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} R^k, \quad (19)$$

where $D = \text{Fix } R$. Then

$$\frac{1}{2}P_{D^\perp}(R + R^*)P_{D^\perp} = \frac{1}{m} \left(-\text{Id} - \sum_{k=2}^{m-2} R^k + \frac{\max\{1, m-2\}}{2}(R + R^{m-1}) \right). \quad (20)$$

Proof. Noted that R is an isometry $\Rightarrow R^*R = RR^* = \text{Id}$, so $R^{-1} = R^*$. But also R has rank m , hence $R^{m-1} = R^{-1} = R^*$. By using these facts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P_{D^\perp}(R + R^*)P_{D^\perp} &= P_{D^\perp}(R + R^{-1})P_{D^\perp} \\ &= P_{D^\perp}(R + R^{-1}) \left(\text{Id} - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} R^k \right) \quad (\text{from (19)}) \\ &= P_{D^\perp} \left((R + R^{-1}) - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (R + R^{-1})R^k \right) \\ &= P_{D^\perp} \left((R + R^{-1}) - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (R^{k+1} + R^{k-1}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since R has rank m , the following holds:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} R^{k+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} R^{k-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} R^k. \quad (21)$$

Moreover,

$$R^l \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} R^k = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} R^{l+k} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} R^k \quad (22)$$

Thus,

$$(R + R^{-1}) \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} R^k \right) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (R^{k+1} + R^{k-1}) = \frac{2}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} R^k. \quad (23)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{D^\perp} (R + R^*) P_{D^\perp} &= P_{D^\perp} \left((R + R^{-1}) - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (R^{k+1} + R^{k-1}) \right) \\ &= P_{D^\perp} \left((R + R^{-1}) - \frac{2}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} R^k \right) \\ &= \left(\text{Id} - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} R^k \right) \left((R + R^{-1}) - \frac{2}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} R^k \right) \\ &= \left((R + R^{-1}) - \frac{2}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} R^k \right) - \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} R^k \right) \left((R + R^{-1}) - \frac{2}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} R^k \right) \\ &= \left((R + R^{-1}) - \frac{2}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} R^k \right) - \left(\frac{2}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} R^k - \frac{2}{m^2} \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} R^l \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} R^k \right) \\ &= \left((R + R^{-1}) - \frac{2}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} R^k \right) - \left(\frac{2}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} R^k - \frac{2}{m^2} \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} R^k \right) \\ &= \left((R + R^{-1}) - \frac{2}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} R^k \right) - \left(\frac{2}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} R^k - \frac{2m}{m^2} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} R^k \right) \\ &= \left((R + R^{-1}) - \frac{2}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} R^k \right) - \left(\frac{2}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} R^k - \frac{2}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} R^k \right) \\ &= \left((R + R^{-1}) - \frac{2}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} R^k \right). \end{aligned}$$

First: assume that $m > 2$. Therefore, $\max\{1, m - 2\} = m - 2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{D^\perp} (R + R^*) P_{D^\perp} &= (R + R^{-1}) - \frac{2}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} R^k \\
&= \frac{2}{m} \left(\frac{m}{2} (R + R^{-1}) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} R^k \right) \\
&= \frac{2}{m} \left(\left(\frac{m}{2} - 1 \right) (R + R^{-1}) - \text{Id} - \sum_{k=2}^{m-2} R^k \right) \\
&= \frac{2}{m} \left(-\text{Id} + \frac{m-2}{2} (R + R^{m-1}) - \sum_{k=2}^{m-2} R^k \right),
\end{aligned}$$

which prove (20) when $m > 2$.

Next, assume that $m = 2$. Then $\max\{1, m - 1\} = 1$ and $R^{-1} = R^{2-1} = R$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{D^\perp} (R + R^*) P_{D^\perp} &= (R + R^{-1}) - \frac{2}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} R^k \\
&= 2R - \frac{2}{2} (\text{Id} + R) \\
&= 2R - \text{Id} - R \\
&= R - \text{Id}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{2}{m} \left(-\text{Id} + \frac{\max\{1, m-2\}}{2} (R + R^{m-1}) - \sum_{k=2}^{m-2} R^k \right) &= \frac{2}{2} \left(-\text{Id} + \frac{1}{2} (R + R) - \sum_{k=2}^0 R^k \right) \\
&= -\text{Id} + \frac{1}{2} (2R) - 0 \\
&= -\text{Id} + R,
\end{aligned}$$

so equality holds when $m = 2$. ■

3 Examples

Example 3.1 (isometry of finite rank). *Let $m \in \{2, 3, \dots\}$ and assume that*

$$R^m = \text{Id}. \tag{24}$$

Then the results in [Section 2](#) were derived already in [\[1\]](#). Moreover, the work there based on exploiting [\(24\)](#) yielded to [\(19\)](#) and

$$T = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (m - 2k) R^k = -T^*, \quad (25)$$

which is always skew right-shift operator, T is symmetric only when $m = 2$.

Example 3.2. Let U be a closed subspace of X and suppose that

$$R = P_U. \quad (26)$$

Then

- (i) $D = U$.
- (ii) $\text{Id} - R = P_{U^\perp}$.
- (iii) $\text{ran}(\text{Id} - R) = D^\perp$ is closed.
- (iv) $(\text{Id} - R)^{-1} = \text{Id} + N_U$.
- (v) $T = \frac{1}{2} P_{U^\perp} = T^*$.
- (vi) T is always symmetric, but skew only when $U = X$.

Proof. (i): $D = \text{Fix } R = \text{Fix } P_U = \{x \in X \mid x = P_U x\} = U$. (ii): $\text{Id} - R = \text{Id} - P_U = P_{U^\perp}$. (iii): By using (ii), we obtain $\text{ran}(\text{Id} - R) = \text{ran}(\text{Id} - P_U) = U^\perp = D^\perp$. (iv): From [\[5, Example 1\]](#), we have $(\text{Id} - R)^{-1} = (\text{Id} - P_U)^{-1} = P_{U^\perp}^{-1} = \text{Id} + N_{U^\perp}$. (v): By using [\(8\)](#), we have

$$\begin{aligned} T &= P_{D^\perp} (\text{Id} - R)^{-1} P_{D^\perp} - \frac{1}{2} P_{D^\perp} \\ &= P_{U^\perp} (\text{Id} + N_{U^\perp}) P_{U^\perp} - \frac{1}{2} P_{U^\perp} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} P_{U^\perp} \\ &= T^*. \end{aligned}$$

(vi): Follows from (v). ■

Example 3.3. Let U be a closed subspace of X and suppose that

$$R = -P_U. \quad (27)$$

Then

- (i) $D = \{0\}$.

- (ii) $\text{Id} - R = \text{Id} + P_U$.
- (iii) $\text{ran}(\text{Id} - R) = X$.
- (iv) $(\text{Id} - R)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\text{Id} + \frac{1}{2}P_{U^\perp}$.
- (v) $T = \frac{1}{2}P_U$.

Proof. (i): $D = \text{Fix } R = \text{Fix}(-P_U) = \{x \in X \mid x = -P_U x\} = \{0\}$. (ii): $\text{Id} - R = \text{Id} + P_U$. (iii): By [5, Minty Theorem], $\text{Id} + P_U$ has full range $D = X$. (iv): $(\text{Id} - R)^{-1} = J_{P_U} = \frac{1}{2}P_U + P_{U^\perp} = \frac{1}{2}\text{Id} + \frac{1}{2}P_{U^\perp}$. (v): We have

$$\begin{aligned} T &= P_{D^\perp}(\text{Id} - R)^{-1}P_{D^\perp} - \frac{1}{2}P_{D^\perp} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\text{Id} + \frac{1}{2}\text{Id} - \frac{1}{2}P_{U^\perp} - \frac{1}{2}\text{Id} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}P_U. \end{aligned}$$

■

Example 3.4. Let U be a closed subspace of X and suppose that

$$R = R_U. \tag{28}$$

Then

- (i) $D = U$.
- (ii) $\text{Id} - R = 2P_{U^\perp}$.
- (iii) $\text{ran}(\text{Id} - R) = D^\perp$ is closed.
- (iv) $(\text{Id} - R)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\text{Id} + N_U$.
- (v) $T = 0$.

Proof. (i): $D = \text{Fix } R = \text{Fix}(R_U) = \{x \in X \mid x = R_U x\} = \{x \in X \mid 2x = 2P_U\} = U$. (ii): $\text{Id} - R = \text{Id} - R_U = (P_U + P_{U^\perp}) - (P_U - P_{U^\perp}) = 2P_{U^\perp}$. (iii): $\text{ran}(\text{Id} - R) = \text{ran}(2P_{U^\perp}) = D^\perp$ is closed. (iv): $(\text{Id} - R)^{-1} = (2(\text{Id} - P_U))^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\text{Id} + N_{U^\perp}$. (v): We have

$$\begin{aligned} T &= P_{D^\perp}(\text{Id} - R)^{-1}P_{D^\perp} - \frac{1}{2}P_{D^\perp} \\ &= P_{D^\perp} \left(\frac{1}{2}\text{Id} + N_{U^\perp} \right) P_{U^\perp} - \frac{1}{2}P_{U^\perp} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}P_{U^\perp} - \frac{1}{2}P_{U^\perp} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

■

Example 3.5. Let U be a closed subspace of X and suppose that

$$R = -R_U. \quad (29)$$

Then

- (i) $D = \text{Fix}(-R_U) = U^\perp$.
- (ii) $\text{Id} - R = 2P_U$.
- (iii) $\text{ran}(\text{Id} - R) = U$ is closed.
- (iv) $(\text{Id} - R)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\text{Id} + N_U$.
- (v) $T = 0$.

Proof. (i): Note that $-R_U = R_{U^\perp}$ and we learn from [Example 3.4](#) that $D = \text{Fix} R = U^\perp$. (ii): $\text{Id} - R = \text{Id} - R_{U^\perp} = (P_U + P_{U^\perp}) - (2P_{U^\perp} - \text{Id}) = (P_U + P_{U^\perp}) - (P_{U^\perp} - P_U) = 2P_U$. (iii): By using (ii), we have $\text{ran}(\text{Id} - R) = \text{ran}(2P_U) = D = U$. (iv): $(\text{Id} - R)^{-1} = (\text{Id} - (R_{U^\perp}))^{-1} = (\text{Id} - (2P_{U^\perp} - \text{Id}))^{-1} = (2(\text{Id} - P_{U^\perp}))^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\text{Id} + N_U$ by [\[5, Example\]](#). (v): By using [\(8\)](#), we have

$$\begin{aligned} T &= P_{D^\perp}(\text{Id} - R)^{-1}P_{D^\perp} - \frac{1}{2}P_{D^\perp} \\ &= P_{D^\perp}\left(\frac{1}{2}\text{Id} + N_U\right)P_{U^\perp} - \frac{1}{2}P_{U^\perp} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}P_{U^\perp} - \frac{1}{2}P_{U^\perp} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

■

References

- [1] S. Alwadani, H. H. Bauschke, J. P. Revalski, and X. Wang: Resolvents and Yosida approximations of displacement mappings of isometries, *Set-Valued and Variational Analysis* 29 (2021), 721–733,
- [2] S. Alwadani: On the behaviour of algorithms featuring compositions of projectors and proximal mappings with no solutions, University of British Columbia (2021), <https://open.library.ubc.ca/collections/ubctheses/24/items/1.0403824>.
- [3] S. Alwadani, H. H. Bauschke, W. M. Moursi, and X. Wang: On the asymptotic behaviour of the Aragón Artacho–Campoy algorithm, *Operations Research Letters* 46 (2018), 585–587, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orl.2018.10.003>.

- [4] H. H. Bauschke: The approximation of fixed points of compositions of nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert space, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 202 (1996), 150–159.
- [5] H.H. Bauschke and P.L. Combettes: *Convex Analysis and Monotone Operator Theory in Hilbert Spaces*, second edition, Springer, 2017.
- [6] H. H. Bauschke, W. L. Hare, and W. M. Moursi: On the range of the Douglas–Rachford operator, *Mathematics of Operations Research* 41 (2016), 884–897.
- [7] H. H. Bauschke and W. M. Moursi: The magnitude of the minimal displacement vector for compositions and convex combinations of firmly nonexpansive mappings, *Optimization Letters* 12 (2018), 1465–1474.
- [8] E. Zeidler: *Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications: II/A: nonlinear monotone operators*, Springer-Verlag, 1990 .
- [9] E. Zeidler: *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Its Applications II/B: nonlinear monotone operators*, Springer-Verlag, 1990 .
- [10] R.T. Rockafellar and R.J-B Wets: *Variational analysis*, Springer-Verlag, corrected 3rd printing, 2009.
- [11] S. Simons: *Minimax and monotonicity*, Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [12] S. Simons: *From Hahn-Banach to Monotonicity*, Springer-Verlag, 2008.
- [13] J.B. Conway: *A course in functional analysis*, second edition, Springer, 1990.
- [14] R.E. Megginson: *A course in functional analysis*, Springer, 1998.
- [15] H.H. Bauschke, X. Wang, and L. Yao: On Borwein–Wiersma decompositions of monotone linear relations, *SIAM Journal on Optimization* 20(5) (2010), 2636–2652.
- [16] J. Eckstein, and D. P. Bertsekas: On the Douglas–Rachford splitting method and the proximal point algorithm for maximal monotone operators, *Mathematical programming* (55) (1992), 293–318.
- [17] A. Cegielski: *Iterative Methods for Fixed Point Problems in Hilbert Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol.2057, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, Germany, 2012.
- [18] J.M. Dye and S. Reich: Unrestricted iterations of nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert space, *Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods & Applications* 18 (1992), 199–207.
- [19] H. H. Bauschke, W. M. Moursi: On the order of the operators in the Douglas–Rachford algorithm, *Optimization Letters* 10 (2016), 447–455, <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs11590-015-0920-5>.
- [20] H. H. Bauschke, V. Martín-Márquez, S. M. Moffat, and X. Wang: Compositions and convex combinations of asymptotically regular firmly nonexpansive mappings are also asymptotically regular, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 10 (2012), 1–11.
- [21] F. Deutsch: Best approximation in inner product spaces, *Springer*, 2001.
- [22] T. Suzuki: Some notes on Bauschke’s condition, *Nonlinear Analysis* 67 (2007), 2224–2231.

- [23] P.L. Lions and B. Mercier: Splitting algorithms for the sum of two nonlinear operators, *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis* 16(6) (1979), 964–979.
- [24] R.S. Burachik and A.N. Iusem: Set-Valued Mappings and Enlargements of Monotone Operators, *Springer-Verlag* 2008.
- [25] H. H. Bauschke, S. M. Moffat, and X. Wang: Firmly nonexpansive mappings and maximally monotone operators: correspondence and duality, *Set-Valued and Variational Analysis* 20(1) (2012), 131–153, <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs11228-011-0187-7>.