

# Lusifer: LLM-based User Simulated Feedback Environment for online Recommender systems

Danial Ebrat  
School of Computer Science  
University of Windsor  
Windsor, Ontario, Canada  
ebrat@uwindsor.ca

Luis Rueda  
School of Computer Science  
University of Windsor  
Windsor, Ontario, Canada  
lrueda@uwindsor.ca

## ABSTRACT

Training reinforcement learning-based recommender systems are often hindered by the lack of dynamic and realistic user interactions. Lusifer, a novel environment leveraging Large Language Models (LLMs), addresses this limitation by generating simulated user feedback. It synthesizes user profiles and interaction histories to simulate responses and behaviors toward recommended items. In addition, user profiles are updated after each rating to reflect evolving user characteristics. Using the MovieLens100K dataset as proof of concept, Lusifer demonstrates accurate emulation of user behavior and preferences. This paper presents Lusifer's operational pipeline, including prompt generation and iterative user profile updates. While validating Lusifer's ability to produce realistic dynamic feedback, future research could utilize this environment to train reinforcement learning systems, offering a scalable and adjustable framework for user simulation in online recommender systems.

## 1 Introduction

In the digital era, recommender systems are critical for personalizing content to user preferences. The evolution of these systems, particularly those using reinforcement learning (RL), introduces complex challenges in training. Recent advancements have shifted towards using simulated environments and Large Language Models (LLMs) to mimic user interactions better and integrate real-time feedback. In this diverse field, this section briefly addresses these developments, highlighting the transition from traditional to LLM-based simulations and discussing their potential and limitations in training RL models.

Training environments are traditionally offline, based on historical data, which may lead to distribution shifts and limited exploratory scope, or online, offering dynamic interactions, though struggling with sample efficiency and real-world generalization [1]. Within this context, specific simulation environments such as Reccsim NG [2], Recogym [3], and Virtual-Taobao [4] have offered varied benefits and faced distinct challenges. Reccsim NG's customizability and complex user models come at the cost of computational efficiency and scalability. Recogym's accessible setup for quick prototyping lacks in simulating complex user behaviors, and Virtual-Taobao's realistic e-commerce simulations are limited in domain applicability.

The advent of LLMs as simulated environments introduces a promising alternative that combines flexibility, broad applicability, and the capacity to generate nuanced, realistic user feedback without the complexity or computational demands of previous systems. This advancement is poised to address the limitations of existing simulation environments in terms of realism, domain specificity and scalability, potentially revolutionizing RL-based recommender systems.

Further exploration into LLM capabilities, such as User-Guided Response Optimization (UGRO) by [5], Character-LLM [6], and DITTO [7], showcases attempts to optimize dialogue responses, simulate specific characters, and advance role-play capabilities, respectively. Each of these approaches demonstrates the versatility of LLMs in simulating user interactions and behaviors, although it faces challenges in accuracy, generalization, and performance replication.

Moreover, the LLM Interaction Simulator (LLM-InS) [8] and the exploration of Imitation of Subrational Behavior [9], both delve into simulating user-item interactions and learning subrational agent policies. These studies validate LLMs' effectiveness in addressing specific challenges like the cold start problem in collaborative filtering and modeling complex human behaviors, highlighting both the potential and the limitations associated with the quality of synthetic data generated.

Additionally, investigations into the coordination skills of LLM-based agents in complex environments, as seen in MetaAgents [10], and the impact of persona variables on LLM performance [11] further elucidate the nuanced capabilities and challenges of LLMs in replicating human-like interaction and behavior.

Studies such as those of [12] and USimAgent [13] extend the exploration into LLMs' capabilities in simulating user behavior across various domains, emphasizing the intricacies of causal inference and the complexity inherent in accurately simulating cognitive processes such as reasoning and planning.

Our research aims to evaluate the effectiveness of LLMs in replicating user feedback by introducing Lusifer. We used movie recommendation problem to show how the Lusifer works and to explore how various factors, such as input prompt design and depth of user profile information, influence the model's accuracy in simulating user interactions. The main contributions are the following: (i) contribute to the field by demonstrating the

potential of LLMs as proxies for real users, thereby offering a scalable and ethical alternative to live user experimentation as the first LLM-based simulation environment for recommendation task; (ii) enhance the versatility of LLMs in user simulation tasks, paving the way for more refined and personalized recommender systems, transforming the training process of RL-based models; and (iii) extend the applicability of LLMs, providing a significant advancement in RL-based recommender system methodologies.

## 2 Methodology

This study employs the MovieLens100K dataset [14] to evaluate Lusifer. To enrich this dataset, we retrieved movie overviews using the TMDB API [15], integrating this data into our experiment.

### 2.1 Data Preprocessing

The MovieLens100K dataset, a benchmark in recommender systems research, comprises 100,000 ratings by 943 users on 1,682 movies, with user demographics and movie details included. It is split into five subsets (u1 to u5) for 5-fold cross-validation, using 80% of the data for training and 20% for testing.

For users, we extracted demographic details such as age, gender, and occupation to form a comprehensive text feature called `user_info`, encompassing all relevant information about each user. For movies, we consolidated titles, genres, and overviews into a single text feature known as `movie_info`, encapsulating all pertinent information about each movie.

### 2.2 Phases of the Methodology

Our methodology unfolds in two distinct phases: creating user profiles and generating simulated ratings.

#### 2.2.1 Phase 1: Creating User Profiles

In the initial phase, we constructed detailed user profiles and summarized their behavior patterns. The data was organized by extracting the last 60 rated movies for each user from the training set while maintaining the chronological order of interactions based on timestamps. This step ensured a clear view of recent user activity. As a result, on average, we only used 50% of the training set to capture the user’s behavior. Using the OpenAI API (GPT-3.5 Turbo model) [16], we then created an LLM-driven simulation environment. For each user, the LLM was provided with `user_info` and historical ratings, which included `movie_info` for the last 60 movies rated by the user. To ensure the LLM can effectively analyze user behavior, we divided the last 60 movies into batches of 20. This approach addresses the LLM’s performance limitations with large input prompts. By processing historical interactions in smaller chunks, the LLM can accurately extract relevant information and update user behavior after each batch. The LLM processed each batch sequentially, initially analyzing the user’s characteristics and generating a summary based on the first batch. With each subsequent batch, the LLM updated this summary, refining the user profile and simulating behavior changes. The resulting summaries detailed user

preferences and aversions, enabling accurate predictions of potential ratings. Figure 1 indicates the pipeline in generating the summary of the user’s behavior and the way it gets updates after each batch.




Figure 1: Schematic view of Phase 1: creating user profiles.

#### 2.2.2 Phase 2: Generating Simulated Ratings

In the second phase, we generated simulated ratings based on the updated user summaries. We utilized the comprehensive summary of each user's behavior from phase 1, along with the last 10 rated movies (including their information and ratings), to the LLM. Acting as the user, the LLM rated recommended movies from the test set. The output was generated in a JSON file containing `movie_ids` and corresponding ratings. We then evaluated these LLM-generated ratings against the actual ratings in the test set using Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) to measure the average deviation of predicted ratings from actual ratings. Additionally, we calculated the exact matches and near-matches (ratings off by 1), along with their respective occurrence percentages.




Figure 2: Schematic view of Phase 2: generating simulated ratings and updating the profiles.

### 2.3 Prompt Engineering

Throughout the process, prompt engineering played a crucial role in crafting effective prompts for the LLM at each step. This included generating initial summaries, updating summaries, and producing simulated ratings. Clear and concise instructions were provided to the LLM, ensuring accurate simulation of dynamic

user feedback. By structuring our methodology in this manner, we aimed to demonstrate Lusifer's ability to emulate realistic user behavior changes over time, providing a scalable and adaptable framework for user simulation in online recommender systems. Sample prompts are available in the GitHub repository of the project<sup>1</sup>.

Sample prompts are carefully crafted to elicit the desired output from the LLM at each step. However, due to the inherent unpredictability of LLM responses, unexpected outputs may occasionally cause the system to halt. To address these occurrences, we implemented a retry mechanism, which resends the prompt to the LLM a specified number of times, resolving the majority of unexpected outputs. Additionally, we incorporated error handling to manage specific common issues, particularly those involving the generation of simulated ratings in JSON format. After each step, we store the most recent user data, including the updated summary of their behavior and simulated ratings. This allows us to resume the process from the last successful point in case of any unexpected interruptions.

## 2.4 Scalability and Adaptability of Lusifer

Lusifer is designed to be a scalable environment adaptable for various use cases in recommender systems. To start the connection, access to the Openai API is required to choose the right model as the LLM module of Lusifer, although a more structured approach can be followed. Starting with data preprocessing, similar to our experiments, researchers should create comprehensive text features for users and items (e.g., user\_info and item\_info), ensuring that all relevant information is included. Next, in configuring the simulation environment, the LLM must be provided with the necessary data, including user\_info and item\_info, and define and modify prompts as needed based on the samples provided. Researchers using the Lusifer system can benefit from tuning the number of previous interactions to consider (e.g., the last 60 movies) and specifying batch sizes for updating user summaries (e.g., batches of 20). Lusifer will then analyze user behavior and generate updated summaries of user preferences, outputting the original user data with an additional feature containing the user behavior summary.

For experimentation, the test set must be provided, and the batch sizes must be specified to generate the simulated ratings. The output includes the test set with an additional feature, simulated\_ratings, containing the LLM's ratings. When training RL agents, recommendations from the RL agent must be provided as a data frame containing item\_ids. Lusifer returns the simulated ratings and updates the user's behavior, considering new LLM-generated ratings. Batch sizes can also be specified to control the frequency of updating the RL agent's behavior. This approach sets the stage for future research that could further leverage the capabilities of RL agents trained within such simulated environments.

<sup>1</sup> Project Repository: <https://github.com/danialebrat/Lusifer>

## 3 Experiment Results and Discussion

The results shown in Table 1 indicate that the average RMSE across all subsets is 1.31, suggesting a moderate prediction error in the simulated ratings. The consistency in the "off by 1" ratings and exact matches suggests that, while the model may not always predict the exact rating, it effectively understands the general tendency of the users' behavior, which is 76% on average (defined as a close match), considering that we used 50% of the training set to capture user's behavior. As Lusifer is the first LLM-based simulation platform to capture user behavior towards ratings, these results can also serve as the baseline for future developments and comparisons. That being said, a comparison with other models could not be done at this point in time, since this work is pioneering in that field.

**Table 1: Experiment result**

Test set	RMSE	Exact match	Off by 1	Close Match
U1	1.33	6115 (31.11%)	8788 (44.71%)	75.82%
U2	1.30	6516 (33.22%)	8655 (44.12%)	76.34%
U3	1.29	6467 (33%)	8669 (44.24%)	76.24%
U4	1.31	6298 (32.16%)	8702 (44.44%)	76.60%
U5	1.32	6329 (32.25%)	8655 (44.11%)	76.36%

This is an important aspect as it captures user satisfaction trends, which are crucial for the recommendation quality. Moreover, the relative similarity of these values across different subsets indicates the robustness of Lusifer's simulation capabilities across varied data samples.

The performance of Lusifer in these experiments underscores the potential of using LLM-based models for simulating user feedback in recommender systems. The approach allows for dynamic updating of user behavior, which is critical in adapting to changes in user preferences. However, results also point to the need for ongoing refinement of the models, particularly in terms of prompt engineering and deeper integration of user demographic and behavioral data. Enhancements in these areas could lead to improvements in the accuracy and reliability of the simulated feedback. The experimental results validate the feasibility of using Lusifer for simulating user ratings in online recommender systems. The findings highlight the strengths of the system in capturing general user preferences and point out opportunities for further refining the simulation process to increase accuracy.

## 4 Conclusions

The integration of LLMs into the simulation of user interactions for RL-based recommender systems represents a significant stride toward overcoming the limitations of traditional simulation environments. This study proposes a novel approach to address online recommender systems by leveraging Large Language Models (LLMs) to simulate user feedback for the training of RL-

based models. Overall, Lusifer sets the stage for significant advancements in the field, offering a scalable and adaptable framework for user simulation in online recommender systems.

## 5 Future Work

Future work on Lusifer will focus on enhancing its accuracy and utility in several key areas. Improving LLM accuracy involves refining prompt engineering, employing advanced LLM models, and better dynamic updating of user behavior. This will lead to more precise simulations and improved feedback. Integrating Lusifer with RL-based recommender systems is another critical objective, enabling the evaluation of RL agents in dynamic environments and comparing our approach with baselines.

Additionally, future iterations will incorporate simulated user feedback, not just ratings, to refine user behavior models and improve accuracy, aiding the RL training process. Finally, conducting benchmarking studies to compare Lusifer's performance as the first LLM-based User Simulated Feedback Environment for online Recommender systems with existing non-LLM-based platforms will provide deeper insights and can potentially establish it as a standard tool in the field.

## REFERENCES

- [1] X. Chen, L. Yao, J. McAuley, G. Zhou, and X. Wang, "Deep reinforcement learning in recommender systems: A survey and new perspectives," *Knowl Based Syst*, vol. 264, Mar. 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.knosys.2023.110335.
- [2] M. Mladenov *et al.*, "RecSim NG: Toward Principled Uncertainty Modeling for Recommender Ecosystems," Mar. 2021, [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2103.08057>
- [3] D. Rohde, S. Bonner, T. Dunlop, F. Vasile, and A. Karatzoglou, "RecoGym: A Reinforcement Learning Environment for the problem of Product Recommendation in Online Advertising," Aug. 2018, [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1808.00720>
- [4] J.-C. Shi, Y. Yu, Q. Da, S.-Y. Chen, and A.-X. Zeng, "Virtual-Taobao: Virtualizing Real-world Online Retail Environment for Reinforcement Learning," May 2018, [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1805.10000>
- [5] Z. Hu, Y. Feng, A. T. Luu, B. Hooi, and A. Lipani, "Unlocking the Potential of User Feedback: Leveraging Large Language Model as User Simulator to Enhance Dialogue System," in *International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management, Proceedings*, Association for Computing Machinery, Oct. 2023, pp. 3953–3957. doi: 10.1145/3583780.3615220.
- [6] Y. Shao, L. Li, J. Dai, and X. Qiu, "Character-LLM: A Trainable Agent for Role-Playing," Oct. 2023, [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2310.10158>
- [7] K. Lu, B. Yu, C. Zhou, and J. Zhou, "Large Language Models are Superpositions of All Characters: Attaining Arbitrary Role-play via Self-Alignment," Jan. 2024, [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2401.12474>
- [8] F. Huang, Z. Yang, J. Jiang, Y. Bei, Y. Zhang, and H. Chen, "Large Language Model Interaction Simulator for Cold-Start Item Recommendation," Feb. 2024, [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2402.09176>
- [9] A. Coletta, K. Dwarakanath, P. Liu, S. Vyetenko, and T. Balch, "LLM-driven Imitation of Subrational Behavior: Illusion or Reality?," Feb. 2024, [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2402.08755>
- [10] Y. Li, Y. Zhang, and L. Sun, "MetaAgents: Simulating Interactions of Human Behaviors for LLM-based Task-oriented Coordination via Collaborative Generative Agents," Oct. 2023, [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2310.06500>
- [11] T. Hu and N. Collier, "Quantifying the Persona Effect in LLM Simulations," Feb. 2024, [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2402.10811>
- [12] L. Wang *et al.*, "User Behavior Simulation with Large Language Model based Agents," Jun. 2023, [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2306.02552>
- [13] E. Zhang, X. Wang, P. Gong, Y. Lin, and J. Mao, "USimAgent: Large Language Models for Simulating Search Users," Mar. 2024, [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2403.09142>
- [14] F. Maxwell Harper and J. A. Konstan, "The MovieLens Datasets: History and Context," 2015. [Online]. Available: <http://grouplens.org/datasets/movielens>
- [15] ThemovieDB. (2024). *TMDb API* [Computer software]. Available from <https://developer.themoviedb.org/>
- [16] OpenAI. (2024). *GPT-3.5 Turbo* [Computer software]. Available from <https://platform.openai.com/>