

# THE $L_p$ -DUAL SPACE OF A SEMISIMPLE LIE GROUP

BACHIR BEKKA

ABSTRACT. Let  $G$  be a semisimple Lie group. We describe the irreducible representations of  $G$  by linear isometries on  $L_p$ -spaces for  $p \in (1, +\infty)$  with  $p \neq 2$ . More precisely, we show that, for every such representation  $\pi$ , there exists a parabolic subgroup  $Q$  of  $G$  such that  $\pi$  is equivalent to the natural representation of  $G$  on  $L_p(G/Q)$  twisted by a unitary character of  $Q$ . When  $G$  is of real rank one, we give a complete classification of the possible irreducible representations of  $G$  on an  $L_p$ -space for  $p \neq 2$ , up to equivalence.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  be a locally compact topological group and  $E$  a Banach space. Denote by  $\mathcal{B}(E)$  the algebra of bounded operators on  $E$ , equipped with the strong operator topology, that is, the weakest topology for which the map  $\mathcal{B}(E) \rightarrow E, T \mapsto Tv$  is continuous for every  $v \in E$ . An *isometric representation* (for short, a *representation*) of  $G$  on  $E$  is a continuous group homomorphism  $\pi : G \rightarrow \text{Iso}(E)$ , where  $\text{Iso}(E)$  is the subgroup of  $GL(E)$  consisting of the linear surjective isometries on  $E$ .

In the context of semisimple Lie groups, there is strong evidence (see [HC53], [God52], [War72], [Fel65]) that the right notions of irreducibility and equivalence of Banach representations are defined as follows. Recall that to a representation  $\pi : G \rightarrow \text{Iso}(E)$  of  $G$  there is associated an algebra homomorphism  $C_c(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E)$ , denoted by  $\pi$  again, defined by

$$\pi(f) = \int_G f(g)\pi(g)d\mu_G(g) \quad \text{for all } f \in C_c(G),$$

where  $C_c(G)$  is the convolution algebra of continuous functions on  $G$  with compact support and  $\mu_G$  is a left Haar measure on  $G$ .

**Definition 1.** (i) A representation  $\pi : G \rightarrow \text{Iso}(E)$  is *completely irreducible* if the algebra  $\pi(C_c(G))$  is dense in  $\mathcal{B}(E)$  for the strong operator topology.

(ii) Two representations  $\pi_1 : G \rightarrow \text{Iso}(E_1)$  and  $\pi_2 : G \rightarrow \text{Iso}(E_2)$  on Banach spaces  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are *Naimark equivalent* (for short, *equivalent*) if, for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , there exists a dense subspace  $V_i$  of  $E_i$  which are  $\pi_i(C_c(G)$ -invariant and a closed injective linear map  $T : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  such that

$$\pi_2(f)Tv = T\pi_1(f)v \quad \text{for all } v \in V_1, f \in C_c(G).$$

(iii) Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a class of Banach spaces. The  $\mathcal{E}$ -dual of  $G$ , denoted by  $\widehat{G}_{\mathcal{E}}$ , is the set of equivalence classes of completely irreducible representations of  $G$  on some Banach space  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ ;

When  $E$  is a Hilbert space, a representation  $G \rightarrow \text{Iso}(E)$  is traditionally called a unitary representation; a unitary representation  $\pi$  is completely irreducible if and only if  $\pi$  is irreducible (that is,  $\{0\}$  and  $E$  are the only  $G$ -invariant closed subspaces

---

*Date:* May 22, 2024.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22E46; 47L10; 37A40.

The author acknowledges the support by the ANR (French Agence Nationale de la Recherche) through the project Labex Lebesgue (ANR-11-LABX-0020-01) .

of  $E$ ). Moreover, unitary representations  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are Naimark equivalent if and only if  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are unitarily equivalent (see [War72, 4.3]); in particular, if  $\mathcal{E}$  denotes the class of Hilbert spaces, then  $\widehat{G}_{\mathcal{E}}$  coincides with the usual unitary dual  $\widehat{G}$  of  $G$ .

Let  $G$  a noncompact connected semisimple linear Lie group. For such a group, we will be concerned with  $\widehat{G}_{L_p}$  where  $L_p$  is the class of  $L_p$ -spaces for  $p \in [1, +\infty[$ . By an  $L_p$ -space, we mean the usual space  $L_p(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  of equivalence classes (modulo null sets) of measurable  $p$ -integrable functions  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ , where  $\mu$  is a positive  $\sigma$ -finite measure defined on a standard Borel space  $(X, \mathcal{B})$ . The unitary dual space  $\widehat{G} = \widehat{G}_{L_2}$  is of course a classical much studied object (see for instance [Kna01], [War72]). We will deal here with  $\widehat{G}_{L_p}$  for  $p \neq 2$ .

Let  $\theta$  be a Cartan involution on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $G$  and  $\mathfrak{k}$  (respectively  $\mathfrak{p}$ ) the eigenspace for the eigenvalue 1 (respectively  $-1$ ) of  $\theta$ . Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a maximal commutative subspace of  $\mathfrak{p}$  and let  $\Sigma \subset \mathfrak{a}^*$  be the corresponding root system, where  $\mathfrak{a}^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathbf{R})$  is the real dual space of  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Let  $\Sigma^+$  be the set of positive roots for an ordering of  $\Sigma$  and  $\mathfrak{n}$  the sum of the root spaces  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$  for  $\alpha \in \Sigma^+$ . Let  $K$ ,  $A$ , and  $N$  be the subgroups of  $G$  with Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{k}$ ,  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{n}$ , respectively. Then  $K$  is a maximal compact,  $A$  an abelian and  $N$  a nilpotent subgroup; moreover, we have an *Iwasawa decomposition*  $G = KAN$ .

Let  $M$  be the centralizer of  $A$  in  $K$ . The group  $MAN$  is a minimal parabolic subgroup of  $G$ . Recall (see [Kna01, Section V.5] or [War72, Chap. I, 1.2]) that a *parabolic subgroup* of  $G$  is a closed subgroup containing a conjugate of  $MAN$ . Every such group is conjugate to a *standard parabolic subgroup*  $Q = Q_F$ , which is parametrized by a subset  $F$  of the set  $\Delta$  of simple positive roots; more precisely, let  $\mathfrak{a}_F$  be the intersection of all  $\ker \alpha$  for  $\alpha \in F$  and  $\mathfrak{n}_F$  the sum of the root spaces  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$  for  $\alpha \in \Sigma^+ \setminus \text{span}(F)$ . We have a *Langlands decomposition*

$$Q = M_Q A_Q N_Q,$$

where  $M_Q$  is reductive and contains  $M$ ,  $A_Q = \exp(\mathfrak{a}_F)$  and  $N_Q = \exp(\mathfrak{n}_F)$ . Moreover,  $M_Q$  and  $A_Q$  commute, and both normalize  $N_Q$ . In particular, the standard minimal parabolic subgroup is given by  $Q_{\emptyset} = MAN$ .

Fix a parabolic subgroup  $Q = Q_F$  of  $G$  and a real number  $p \in [1, +\infty[$ . We are going to define the  $L_p$  analog of the (unitary) principal series representations associated to  $Q$ .

Let  $K_Q := K \cap M_Q$  and choose a Borel fundamental domain  $\Omega_Q \subset K$  for the coset space  $K/K_Q$ . Then  $\Omega_Q$  is also a fundamental domain for  $G/Q$ , since  $G = KQ$  and  $K \cap Q = K_Q$ . Every  $g \in G$  has a unique decomposition

$$g = \kappa(g)\mu(g)\exp(H(g))n(g) \quad \text{for } \kappa(g) \in \Omega_Q, \mu(g) \in M_Q, H(g) \in \mathfrak{a}_F, n(g) \in N_Q.$$

Let  $\mu_Q$  be the unique quasi-invariant Borel probability measure on  $G/Q$  which is  $K$ -invariant. We identify  $G/Q$  with  $\Omega_Q$  and transfer  $\mu_Q$  and the natural  $G$ -action on  $G/Q$  to  $\Omega_Q$ . Let  $\rho_{Q,p} \in \mathfrak{a}^*$  be defined by

$$\rho_{Q,p} := \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+ \setminus \text{span}(F)} \dim(\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha})\alpha.$$

Observe that  $\rho_{MAN,2}$  is the familiar half-sum of positive roots.

Fix a real linear form  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$  and a unitary character

$$\chi : M_Q \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^1 = \mathbf{U}(1)$$

of the reductive group  $M_Q$ . We define a representation  $\pi(Q, \chi, \lambda, p)$  of  $G$  on

$$L_p(G/Q, \mu_Q) = L_p(\Omega_Q, \mu_Q)$$

by

$$\pi(Q, \chi, \lambda, p)(g)f(x) = \overline{\chi(\mu(g^{-1}x))} e^{-(i\lambda + \rho_{Q,p})H(g^{-1}x)} f(\kappa(g^{-1}x))$$

for  $f \in L_p(\Omega_Q, \mu_F)$ ,  $x \in \Omega_Q$ ,  $g \in G$ . It is easily verified (see Section 2) that  $\pi(Q, \chi, \lambda, p)$  is indeed an isometric representation of  $G$  on  $L_p(G/Q, \mu_Q)$ .

We denote by  $\widehat{M_Q^{\text{Ab}}}$  the group of unitary characters of  $M_Q$ . Here is our main result.

**Theorem A.** *Let  $G$  be a noncompact connected semisimple linear Lie group, and let  $p \in (1, +\infty)$  with  $p \neq 2$ . Let  $\pi$  be a completely irreducible representation of  $G$  on an  $L_p$ -space. Then there exists a parabolic subgroup  $Q$  of  $G$ , a real linear form  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ , and a unitary character  $\chi \in \widehat{M_Q^{\text{Ab}}}$  such that  $\pi$  is equivalent to the representation  $\pi(Q, \chi, \lambda, p)$  on  $L_p(G/Q, \mu_Q)$  described above.*

In order to study the irreducibility of a representation  $\pi(Q, \chi, \lambda, p)$  as in the previous theorem, we consider its associated Harish-Chandra  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module. For a unitary representation  $\sigma$  of  $M_Q$  and for  $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{C}}^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathbf{C})$ , following [Wal88, 5.2], we denote by  $I_{Q, \sigma, \nu}$  the associated infinitesimal nonunitary principal series of  $G$ , that is, the  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module underlying the induced representation

$$\text{Ind}_Q^G(\sigma \otimes \nu \otimes 1_{N_Q}) = \text{Ind}_{M_Q A_Q N_Q}^G(\sigma \otimes \nu \otimes 1_{N_Q}).$$

**Proposition 2.** (i) *Let  $\pi(Q, \chi, \lambda, p)$  be a representation of  $G$  on  $L_p(G/Q, \mu_Q)$  as in Theorem A. Then  $\pi(Q, \chi, \lambda, p)$  is completely irreducible if and only if the principal series representation  $I_{Q, \chi, \nu}$  is (algebraically) irreducible, where*

$$\nu = i\lambda + \delta_p \rho_{Q,2}$$

$$\text{for } \delta_p = \frac{2}{p} - 1 \in (-1, 1).$$

(ii) *For  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $\pi(Q_i, \chi_i, \lambda_i, p_i)$  be representations of  $G$  on  $L_{p_i}(G/Q_i, \mu_{Q_i})$  as in Theorem A. Then  $\pi(Q_1, \chi_1, \lambda_1, p_1)$  and  $\pi(Q_2, \chi_2, \lambda_2, p_2)$  are equivalent if and only if the corresponding principal series representations  $I_{Q_1, \chi_1, \nu_1}$  and  $I_{Q_2, \chi_2, \nu_2}$  as in (i) are (algebraically) equivalent.*

Principal series representations  $I_{Q, \sigma, \nu}$  are of course central objects in the representation theory of semisimple Lie groups; their structure has been much studied, especially in the case where  $Q$  is minimal parabolic, and it has been shown that “most” of them are irreducible (see [GN57], [Bru56], [PRRV67], [Kos69], [Wal71], [LW73]).

Using known irreducibility and equivalence results from the literature, we can settle the case where  $G$  is a simple Lie group with real rank one. So,  $G$  belongs to one of the series  $\text{SO}_0(n, 1)$ ,  $\text{SU}(n, 1)$ ,  $\text{Sp}(n, 1)$  or  $\text{Sp}(n, 1)$  for  $n \geq 2$  or  $G$  is the exceptional Lie group  $F_{4(-20)}$ . We set  $P := MAN$ .

**Theorem B.** *Let  $p \in (1, +\infty)$  with  $p \neq 2$ .*

- (i) *Let  $G = \text{SO}_0(n, 1)$  for  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $\widehat{M^{\text{Ab}}} = 1_M$  for  $n \neq 3$  and  $\widehat{M^{\text{Ab}}} \cong \mathbf{Z}$  for  $n = 3$ . The representation  $\pi(P, \chi, \lambda, p)$  is irreducible for every  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$  and every  $\chi \in \widehat{M^{\text{Ab}}}$ .*
- (ii) *Let  $G = \text{SU}(n, 1)$  for  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $\widehat{M^{\text{Ab}}} \cong \mathbf{Z}$ .*
  - (ii1) *The representation  $\pi(P, \chi, \lambda, p)$  is irreducible for every  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^* \setminus \{0\}$  and every  $\chi \in \widehat{M^{\text{Ab}}}$ .*
  - (ii2) *After an appropriate identification of  $\widehat{M^{\text{Ab}}}$  with  $\mathbf{Z}$ , the representation  $\pi(P, m, 0, p) = \pi(P, \chi, 0, p)$  for  $m \in \mathbf{Z}$  is not irreducible if and only if*

$p$  belongs to the finite set

$$\left\{ \frac{2n}{k} : k \in \{1, \dots, 2n-1\}, k \equiv m \pmod{2}, k \neq m, k \neq 2n+1 \right\}.$$

- (iii) Let  $G = \mathrm{Sp}(n, 1)$  for  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $\widehat{M^{\mathrm{Ab}}} = 1_M$ .
  - (iii1) The representation  $\pi(P, 1_M, \lambda, p)$  is irreducible for every  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^* \setminus \{0\}$
  - (iii2) The representation  $\pi(P, 1_M, 0, p)$  is not irreducible if and only if  $p = \frac{2n+1}{2n}$  or  $p = 2n+1$ .
- (iv) Let  $G = \mathrm{F}_{4(-20)}$ . Then  $\widehat{M^{\mathrm{Ab}}} = 1_M$ .
  - (iv1) The representation  $\pi(P, 1_M, \lambda, p)$  is irreducible for every  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^* \setminus \{0\}$
  - (iv2) The representation  $\pi(P, 1_M, 0, p)$  is not irreducible if and only if  $p$  belongs to the set  $\left\{ \frac{11}{10}, \frac{11}{9}, \frac{11}{8}, \frac{11}{3}, \frac{11}{2}, 11 \right\}$ .

**Corollary C.** Let  $G$  be one of the groups as in Theorem B.

- (i) Let  $p \in (1, +\infty)$  with  $p \neq 2$ . Every completely irreducible representation of  $G$  on an  $L_p$ -space is equivalent either to the trivial representation  $1_G$  or to one of the irreducible representations of the form  $\pi(P, \chi, \lambda, p)$  from Theorem B.
- (ii) Two irreducible representations  $\pi(P, \chi, \lambda, p)$  and  $\pi(P, \chi', \lambda', p')$  from Theorem B with  $(\chi', \lambda', p') \neq (\chi, \lambda, p)$  are equivalent if and only if

$$(\chi', \lambda', p') = (\overline{\chi}, \lambda, p) \quad \text{or} \quad (\chi', \lambda', p') = (\overline{\chi}, -\lambda, q),$$

where  $q$  is the conjugate exponent of  $p$ .

The paper is organized as follows. The proofs of Theorem A, Proposition 3, and Theorem B are given in Sections 2, 3, and 4 respectively. As an example, the case of the group  $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ , which is a twofold cover of  $\mathrm{SO}_0(2, 1)$ , is treated in Section 5.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM A

Let  $G$  be a noncompact connected semisimple linear Lie group, Let  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be a standard Borel space equipped with a  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let

$$\pi : G \rightarrow \mathrm{Iso}(L_p(X, \mu))$$

be a completely irreducible representation of  $G$  by linear isometries on  $L_p(X, \mu)$  for  $p \in (1, +\infty)$  with  $p \neq 2$ . Assume that  $\pi$  is not the trivial representation  $1_G$  of  $G$ .

Observe that  $L_p(X, \mu)$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $L_p(X, \mu')$  for every  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\mu'$  on  $X$  which is equivalent to  $\mu$ ; indeed, if  $\varphi = \frac{d\mu'}{d\mu}$  denotes the Radon-Nikodym derivative of  $\mu'$  with respect to  $\mu$ , then

$$f \mapsto f\varphi^{1/p}$$

is a bijective linear isometry from  $L_p(X, \mu')$  to  $L_p(X, \mu)$ . So, upon choosing a probability measure in the measure class of  $\mu$ , we can and will assume in the sequel that  $\mu$  is a probability measure.

• *First step.* We claim that there exists a measure-class preserving measurable action

$$G \times X \rightarrow X, \quad (g, x) \mapsto gx$$

of  $G$  on  $X$  and a measurable map  $c : G \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^1$  such that, for  $f \in L_p(X, \mu)$ ,  $g \in G$ , and  $x \in X$  we have

$$(1) \quad \pi(g)f(x) = c(g^{-1}, x) \left( \frac{dg_*^{-1}(\mu)}{d\mu}(x) \right)^{1/p} f(g^{-1}x),$$

where  $\mathbf{S}^1$  is the set of complex numbers of modulus 1 and  $g_*(\mu)$  is the image of  $\mu$  under the map  $x \mapsto gx$ ; moreover  $c$  satisfies the cocycle relation

$$(2) \quad c(g_1 g_2, x) = c(g_1, g_2 x) c(g_2, x) \quad \text{for all } g_1, g_2 \in G, \text{ for almost all } x \in X.$$

Indeed, let  $g \in G$ . By the Banach-Lamperti theorem (see [Ban93], [Lam58]), there exists a measure-class preserving measurable map  $\varphi(g) : X \rightarrow X$  and a measurable map  $c(g) : X \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^1$  such that

$$\pi(g)f(x) = c(g)(x) \left( \frac{d\varphi(g)_*(\mu)}{d\mu}(x) \right)^{1/p} f(\varphi(g)(x))$$

for  $f \in L_p(X, \mu)$ ,  $g \in G$ , and  $x \in X$ . Since  $\pi(g_1 g_2) = \pi(g_1)\pi(g_2)$  for all  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  and since  $g \mapsto \pi(g)f$  is measurable for every  $f \in L_p(X, \mu)$ , it is readily checked that  $(g, x) \mapsto \varphi(g^{-1})x$  is a measure-class preserving  $G$ -action on  $X$  and that

$$c : (g, x) \rightarrow c(g^{-1})x$$

is a measurable map satisfying Equation (2).

Recall that another measurable cocycle  $c' : G \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^1$  is said to be cohomologous to  $c$  if there exists a measurable map  $b : X \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^1$  such that

$$c'(g, x) = b(gx)c(g, x)b(x)^{-1} \quad \text{for all } g \in G, \text{ for almost all } x \in X.$$

Let  $\pi'$  be the representation of  $G$  on  $L_p(X, \mu)$  defined by the same formula (1), with  $c'$  instead of  $c$ . Then  $\pi'$  is equivalent to  $\pi$ ; indeed, the map  $U : L_p(X, \mu) \rightarrow L_p(X, \mu)$ , defined by

$$Uf(x) = b(x)f(x) \quad \text{for all } f \in L_p(X, \mu), x \in X,$$

is a bijective isometry which intertwines  $\pi'$  and  $\pi$ .

• *Second step.* We claim that the measure  $\mu$  is continuous (that is,  $\mu$  has no atoms).

Indeed, let  $A$  be the (at most countable) set of atoms of  $\mu$ . The decomposition of  $\mu$  into its atomic and continuous parts is  $\mu = \mu_a + \mu_c$ , where  $\mu_a = \mu|_A$  and  $\mu_c = \mu - \mu_a$ . We can write

$$L_p(X, \mu) = L_p(X, \mu_c) \oplus \ell_p(A)$$

and it follows from the Banach-Lamperti theorem that  $L_p(X, \mu_c)$  and  $\ell_p(A)$  are  $\pi(G)$ -invariant and so define subrepresentations of  $\pi$ . Since  $\pi$  is irreducible, it follows that either  $\mu$  is purely atomic or  $\mu$  is continuous.

Assume by contradiction that  $\mu$  is purely atomic, that is,  $L_p(X, \mu) = \ell_p(A)$ . Let  $G \curvearrowright A$  be the action of  $G$  on  $A$  and  $c : G \times A \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^1$  the cocycle as above. Since  $\pi$  is continuous, the map

$$G \rightarrow \ell_p(A), \quad g \mapsto c(g, x)\delta_{g \cdot x}$$

is continuous for every  $x \in A$ . As  $G$  is connected, this implies that  $G$  fixes pointwise  $A$ . By irreducibility of  $\pi$ , it follows that  $A$  is a singleton  $\{x_0\}$ . Equation (2) shows that then that  $g \mapsto c(g, x_0)$  is homomorphism from  $G$  to  $\mathbf{S}^1$ . Since  $G$  is semisimple,  $G$  has a trivial abelianization and hence  $c(g, x_0) = 1$  for all  $g \in G$ . So,  $\pi = 1_G$ ; this is a contradiction and the claim is proved.

As is well-known (see [Zim84, Theorem 2.1.19]), the measurable  $G$ -system  $(X, \mu, G)$  admits a continuous compact model, that is, there exists a compact metric space  $Y$  on which  $G$  acts continuously, a probability measure  $\nu$  on the Borel subsets of  $Y$  and a  $G$ -equivariant Borel isomorphism  $\Phi : Y \rightarrow X$  with  $\Phi_*(\nu) = \mu$ .

Let  $c' : G \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^1$  be defined by  $c'(g, y) = c(g, \Phi(y))$ . The representation  $\pi'$ , defined on  $L_p(Y, \nu)$  by the formula

$$\pi'(g)f(y) = c'(g^{-1}, y) \left( \frac{dg_*^{-1}(\nu)}{d\nu}(y) \right)^{1/p} f(g^{-1}y),$$

is equivalent to  $\pi$ . So, without loss of generality, we may assume that  $X$  is a compact metric space on which  $G$  acts continuously and that  $\mu$  is a quasi-invariant probability measure on the Borel subsets of  $X$ .

Next, let  $K$  be a maximal compact subgroup of  $G$  and denote by  $dk$  the normalized Haar measure on  $K$ . Then  $\mu' = \int_K k_*(\mu)dk$ , defined by

$$\mu'(A) = \int_K \mu(kA)dk$$

for all Borel subsets  $A$  of  $X$ , is a probability measure on  $X$  which is  $K$ -invariant; moreover,  $\mu'$  is equivalent to  $\mu$ . So, we can and will assume in the sequel that  $\mu$  is  $K$ -invariant.

• *Third step.* We claim that there are countably many  $K$ -orbits  $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, \dots$ , in  $X$  such that

$$\mu \left( \bigcup_{i \geq 1} \mathcal{O}_i \right) = 1.$$

Indeed, let  $Y := X/K$  be the space of  $K$ -orbits, equipped with the quotient topology structure given by the quotient map  $r : X \rightarrow Y$  and with the probability measure  $\nu := r_*(\mu)$ .

Since  $K$  is compact,  $Y$  is a Hausdorff space. So,  $Y$  is a second countable compact space and is therefore a standard Borel space. Let  $\nu = \nu_a + \nu_c$  be the decomposition of  $\nu$  into its atomic and continuous parts. The claim will be proved if we show that  $\nu_c = 0$ .

By a well-known disintegration lemma (see e.g. Lemma 11.1 [Mac52] or Theorem 5.14 in [EW11]), there exists a map

$$\theta : Y \rightarrow \text{Prob}(X), y \mapsto \theta_y,$$

where  $\text{Prob}(X)$  is the set of Borel probability measures on  $X$ , with the following properties:

- (a) for every  $y \in Y$ , we have  $\theta_y(r^{-1}(y)) = 1$ ;
- (b) for every  $f \in L_1(X, \mu)$ , the map  $y \mapsto \int_X f(x)d\theta_y(x)$  is measurable and we have

$$\int_X f d\mu = \int_Y \left( \int_X f(x)d\theta_y(x) \right) d\nu(y).$$

Moreover, if a second map  $\theta' : Y \rightarrow \text{Prob}(X)$  satisfies (a) and (b), then  $\theta_y = \theta'_y$  for  $\nu$ -almost every  $y \in Y$ .

Since  $\mu$  is  $K$ -invariant, it follows from the uniqueness of  $\theta$  that  $\theta_y$  is the unique  $K$ -invariant probability measure on the  $K$ -orbit  $y \subset X$ , for  $\nu$ -almost every  $y$ .

For  $f \in C(X)$  and  $y \in Y$ , let  $f|_y \in C(y)$  denote the restriction of  $f$  to  $y$ . The map  $f \mapsto (y \mapsto f|_y)$  extends to an isometric isomorphism

$$S : L_p(X, \mu) \rightarrow \int_Y^\oplus L_p(y, \theta_y) d\nu(y),$$

where  $\int_Y^\oplus L_p(y, \theta_y) d\nu(y)$  is the  $L_p$ -direct integral of the family  $(L_p(y, \theta_y))_{y \in Y}$  in the sense of [dJR17]. Let  $\pi_y$  denote the representation of  $K$  on  $L_p(y, \theta_y)$  given by

$$\pi_y(k)f(x) = c(k^{-1}, x)f(k^{-1}x) \quad \text{for all } f \in L_p(y, \theta_y), k \in K, x \in y.$$

The direct integral  $\int_Y^\oplus \pi_y d\nu(y)$  of the  $\pi_y$ 's is a representation of  $K$  defined on  $\int_Y^\oplus L_p(y, \theta_y) d\nu(y)$  and the map  $S$  intertwines  $\pi|_K$  with  $\int_Y^\oplus \pi_y d\nu(y)$ ; for this, see [dJR17, Theorem 4.9] (the proof given there extends to representations twisted by a cocycle as in our situation).

For  $\delta \in \widehat{K}$  (recall that  $\widehat{K}$  is the unitary dual of  $K$ ), set

$$\chi_\delta = d(\delta) \text{ch}_\delta,$$

where  $\text{ch}_\delta = \text{Tr} \circ \delta$  is the usual character of  $\delta$ , and define

$$P_\pi(\delta) := \pi(\overline{\chi_\delta}) = \int_K \overline{\chi_\delta}(k) \pi(k) dk.$$

Then  $P_\pi(\delta)$  is a continuous projection of  $L_p(X, \mu)$  onto the isotypical  $K$ -submodule of  $L_p(X, \mu)$  of type  $\delta$ . Similarly, for every  $y \in Y$ ,

$$P_{\pi_y}(\delta) := \pi_y(\overline{\chi_\delta}) = \int_K \overline{\chi_\delta}(k) \pi_y(k) dk$$

is a continuous projection of  $L_p(y, \theta_y)$  onto the isotypical  $K$ -submodule of  $L_p(y, \theta_y)$  of type  $\delta$ .

The field  $y \rightarrow P_{\pi_y}(\delta)$  defines a decomposable operator  $\int_Y^\oplus P_{\pi_y}(\delta) d\nu(y)$  on  $\int_Y^\oplus L_p(y, \theta_y) d\nu(y)$  and, since  $S$  intertwines  $\pi|_K$  and  $\int_Y^\oplus \pi_y d\nu(y)$ , we have

$$(3) \quad SP_\pi(\delta)S^{-1} = \int_Y^\oplus P_{\pi_y}(\delta) d\nu(y)$$

Let  $\Omega$  be a Borel subset of  $Y$ . Denote by  $V_\Omega$  the range of the multiplication operator on  $\int_Y^\oplus L_p(y, \theta_y) d\nu(y)$  by  $\mathbf{1}_\Omega$ , that is,

$$\begin{aligned} V_\Omega &= \left\{ f = (f_y)_{y \in Y} \in \int_Y^\oplus L_p(y, \theta_y) d\nu(y) : \mathbf{1}_\Omega f = f \right\} \\ &= \left\{ f = (f_y)_{y \in Y} \in \int_Y^\oplus L_p(y, \theta_y) d\nu(y) : f_y = 0 \text{ for } \nu\text{-almost every } y \notin \Omega \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$(4) \quad \int_Y^\oplus P_{\pi_y}(\delta) d\nu(y) \text{ leaves invariant } V_\Omega.$$

Assume, by contradiction, that the continuous part  $\nu_c$  of  $\nu$  is non-zero; so, denoting by  $Y_c$  the complement in  $Y$  of set of atoms of  $\nu$ , we have  $\nu(Y_c) > 0$  and the measure  $\nu|_{Y_c}$  is continuous.

For  $\delta \in \widehat{K}$ , the subset

$$D_\delta := \{y \in Y : P_{\pi_y}(\delta) = 0\}$$

of  $Y$  is measurable. Indeed, let  $(f_n)_n$  be a dense sequence in  $C(X)$  for the uniform convergence; then, by Urysohn lemma,  $(f_n|_y)_n$  is dense in  $C(y)$  and hence in  $L_p(y, \theta_y)$  for every  $y \in Y$ , and so

$$D_\delta = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \{y \in Y : P_{\pi_y}(\delta)(f_n|_y) = 0\}.$$

Since  $y \mapsto P_{\pi_y}(\delta)(f_n|_y)$  belongs to  $\int_Y^\oplus L_p(y, \theta_y) d\nu(y)$  and is therefore a measurable field,  $D_\delta$  is measurable.

Observe that  $\widehat{K}$  is countable, since  $K$  is a separable compact group. So, the set

$$D := \bigcap_{\delta \in \widehat{K}} D_\delta$$

is measurable. We claim that

$$(5) \quad \nu(D) = 0.$$

Indeed, assume, by contradiction that  $\nu(D) > 0$ . Then  $V_D \neq \{0\}$ . However, for every  $(f_y)_{y \in Y} \in V_D$ , we have

$$P_{\pi_y}(\delta)(f_y) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \delta \in \widehat{K}$$

and hence  $f_y = 0$  for every  $y \in D$ . This contradiction shows that (5) holds.

It follows from (5) that there exists  $\delta \in \widehat{K}$  such that  $\nu(Y_c \cap N_\delta) < \nu(Y_c)$ . So, for

$$\Omega := Y_c \setminus N_\delta$$

we have  $\nu(\Omega) > 0$ . Since  $\nu|_{Y_c}$  is continuous, we can therefore find a partition

$$\Omega = \coprod_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \Omega_n$$

of  $\Omega$  in countably many Borel subsets  $\Omega_n$  with  $\nu(\Omega_n) > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . We have

$$\left( \int_Y^\oplus P_{\pi_y}(\delta) d\nu(y) \right) V_{\Omega_n} \neq \{0\} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

since  $P_{\pi_y}(\delta) \neq 0$  for every  $y \in \Omega$ . Hence, for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , we can find

$$f_n \in \left( \int_Y^\oplus P_{\pi_y}(\delta) d\nu(y) \right) V_{\Omega_n} \quad \text{with } f_n \neq 0.$$

Since  $\Omega_n \cap \Omega_m = \emptyset$  for  $n \neq m$ , it follows from (4) that the family  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  is linearly independent. Therefore, on the one hand, the family  $(S^{-1}f_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  of elements in  $L_p(X, \mu)$  is linearly independent. On the other hand, it follows from (3) that

$$S^{-1}f_n \in P_\pi(\delta)(S^{-1}(V_{\Omega_n})) \subset P_\pi(\delta)(S^{-1}(V_\Omega)) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

This implies that the subspace  $P_\pi(\delta)(S^{-1}(V_\Omega))$  of  $L_p(X, \mu)$  is infinite dimensional. So, the projection  $P_\pi(\delta)$  does not have finite-dimensional range. This is not possible since  $\pi$  is completely irreducible (see [God52, Theorem 2] or [HC53, Lemma 33]). This is a contradiction shows that  $\nu$  is an atomic measure.

• *Fourth step.* We claim that there exists a point  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\mu(Gx_0) = 1$ . Indeed, it follows from the third step that there exists a point  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\mu(Kx_0) > 0$  and therefore  $\mu(Gx_0) > 0$ . If we show that the  $G$ -action of  $(X, \mu)$  is ergodic, then it will follow that  $\mu(Gx_0) = 1$ .

Assume, by contradiction, that there exists a partition  $X = X_1 \coprod X_2$  into two  $G$ -invariant Borel subsets  $X_i$  with  $\mu(X_i) > 0$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then

$$L_p(X, \mu) = V_{X_1} \oplus V_{X_2},$$

where

$$V_{X_i} = \{f \in L_p(X, \mu) : \mathbf{1}_{X_i} f = f\} \cong L_p(X_i, \mu|_{X_i});$$

moreover, Formula (1) shows that  $V_{X_i}$  is  $\pi(G)$ -invariant. Since  $V_{X_i} \neq \{0\}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , this contradicts the fact that  $\pi$  is irreducible and the claim is proved.

The stabilizer of  $x_0 \in X$  as above is a closed subgroup  $H$ . Hence, upon disregarding a set of measure zero, we may and will identify  $X$ , as *Borel  $G$ -space*, with the space  $G/H$ , equipped with its quotient Borel structure.

Next, we draw some consequences for the cocycle  $c : G \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^1$  over the transitive  $G$ -space  $X$ . First, upon passing to a cohomologous cocycle, we can assume that  $c$  is a strict cocycle, that is, Equation (2) holds for all  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  and  $x \in X$ . Moreover, choose a Borel section  $s : G/H \rightarrow G$  for the projection  $G \rightarrow G/H$  with  $s(H) = e$ . Observe that  $gs(x)s(gx)^{-1}$  belongs to  $H$ , for every



$g \in G$  and  $x \in X$ . Then there exists a continuous homomorphism  $\chi : H \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^1$  such that  $c$  is cohomologous to the cocycle  $c_\chi : G \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^1$  given by

$$c_\chi(g, x) = \chi(gs(x)s(gx)^{-1}) \quad \text{for all } g \in G, x \in X;$$

for all this, see Theorem 5.27 in [Var85].

We may and will in the sequel assume that the cocycle  $c$  coincides with  $c_\chi$ . Also, as is well-known (see e.g. [Mac52, Theorem 1.1]), all  $G$ -quasi-invariant  $\sigma$ -finite Borel measures on  $G/H$  are mutually equivalent; so, we may assume that the  $G$ -quasi-invariant  $\mu$  on  $G/H$  is one of the standard  $G$ -invariant Borel measures on  $G/H$  constructed in [Mac52, §.1].

- *Fifth step.* We claim that  $K$  acts transitively on the space  $G/H$ .

Indeed, on the one hand, since  $G$  is a connected Lie group,  $X = G/H$  is a  $C^\infty$ -smooth connected manifold; moreover, the measure  $\mu$  on  $X$  is locally Lebesgue measure, that is,  $\mu$  is given by a smooth density times Lebesgue measure in any local coordinates on  $X$ ; indeed, this follows from the fact that the maps  $x \mapsto gx$  are diffeomorphisms of  $X$  for every  $g \in G$ .

On the other hand, it follows from the third step that there exists a  $K$ -orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  in  $X$  with  $\mu(\mathcal{O}) > 0$ . As is well-known,  $\mathcal{O}$  is a submanifold of  $X$ , diffeomorphic to  $K/L$  where  $L$  is the stabilizer in  $K$  of a point in  $K$  (see e.g. [Bou72, III, §1, 7.]). In view of what we said about  $\mu$ , this is possible only if  $\dim \mathcal{O} = \dim X$ , that is, if  $\mathcal{O}$  is open in  $X$ . Since  $X$  is connected, it follows that  $\mathcal{O} = X$ .

- *Sixth step.* We claim that  $H$  is contained as a finite index subgroup in a parabolic subgroup of  $G$ , as defined in the Introduction. Indeed, it follows from the fifth step and Lemma 3 below, that there exists a parabolic subgroup  $Q$  which contains  $H$  and which has the following properties; let  $Q = M_Q A_Q N_Q$  be the Langlands decomposition of  $Q$ . Write  $Q^0 = C S A_Q N_Q$  for the connected identity component  $Q^0$  of  $Q$ , where  $C$  is the maximal compact factor of  $M_Q^0$  and  $S$  is the product of all the non-compact simple factors of  $M_Q^0$ . Then

$$H^0 = C' S A_Q N_Q,$$

where  $C'$  is a connected closed subgroup of  $C$ .

We claim  $H$  has finite index in  $Q$ . Assume, by contradiction, that  $H$  has infinite index in  $Q$ . Observe that  $Q$  is a direct product  $Q = ZQ^0$  for a finite subgroup  $Z$  of its center (see [Kna96, Theorem 7.53]). So,  $H$  is of the form  $H = T S A_Q N_Q$  for a subgroup  $T$  of infinite index in the compact group  $L := ZC$ .

Let  $\chi$  be the unitary character of  $H$  associated to  $c$ . Observe that  $\chi$  is trivial on the semisimple Lie group  $S$ . Moreover, we have  $[\mathfrak{a}_F, \mathfrak{n}_F] = \mathfrak{n}_F$  for the Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{a}_F$  and  $\mathfrak{n}_F$  of  $A_Q$  and  $N_Q$ , where  $F$  is the set of simple roots associated to  $Q$  as in the Introduction; it follows that the commutator subgroup of  $A_Q N_Q$  coincides with  $N_Q$  and hence that  $\chi$  is also trivial on  $N_Q$ .

Choose a Borel fundamental domain  $\Omega_0 \subset G$  for  $G/Q$  and a fundamental domain  $\Omega_1 \subset L$  for  $L/T$ . Then  $\Omega_0 \Omega_1$  is a fundamental domain for  $G/H$  and every  $g \in G$  has unique decompositions

$$g = \omega_0(g)q(g) = \omega_0(g)\omega_1(g)h(g) \quad \text{for } \omega_i(g) \in \Omega_i, q(g) \in Q, h(g) \in H.$$

Let  $\nu_1$  be the  $L$ -invariant probability measure on  $\Omega_1 \cong L/T$ . Identify  $L_p(G/H, \mu)$  with  $L_p(\Omega_0 \times \Omega_1, \nu_0 \otimes \nu_1)$  for a  $G$ -quasi-invariant probability measure  $\nu_0$  on  $\Omega_0 \cong G/Q$ . For  $g \in G$  and  $F \in L_p(\Omega_0 \times \Omega_1, \nu_0 \otimes \nu_1)$ , we have

$$\pi(g)F(x) = \chi(h(g^{-1}x))F(\omega_0(g^{-1}x)\omega_1(g^{-1}x)) \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega_0 \times \Omega_1.$$

Define a representation  $\pi_Q$  of  $Q$  on  $L_p(\Omega_1, \nu_1)$  by

$$\pi_Q(q)f(x_1) = \chi(h(q^{-1}x_1))f(\omega_1(q^{-1}x_1))$$

for  $f \in L_p(\Omega_1, \nu_1)$ ,  $x_1 \in \Omega_1$ ,  $q \in Q$ .

Since  $\Omega_1 \cong Q/H$  is infinite,  $L_p(\Omega_1, \nu_1)$  is infinite dimensional; therefore, the restriction  $\pi_Q|_L$  of  $\pi_Q$  to the compact group  $L$  is not irreducible (see [War72, 4.2.2.4]). Hence, there exists a closed  $\pi_Q(L)$ -invariant subspace  $V$  of  $L_p(\Omega_1, \nu_1)$  with  $V \neq \{0\}$  and  $V \neq L_p(\Omega_1, \nu_1)$ . Observe that  $\pi_Q(q)$  is a multiple of  $\chi(q)$  for  $q \in A_Q$  and  $\pi_Q(q)$  is the identity for  $q \in SN_Q$ . Since  $Q = LSA_QN_Q$ , it follows that  $V$  is  $Q$ -invariant.

For  $F \in L_p(\Omega_0 \times \Omega_1, \nu_0 \otimes \nu_1)$  and  $x_0 \in \Omega_0$ , let  $F_{x_0} \in L_p(\Omega_1, \nu_1)$  be defined by

$$F_{x_0}(x_1) = F(x_0x_1).$$

Let  $\tilde{V}$  be subspace of  $L_p(\Omega_0 \times \Omega_1, \nu_0 \otimes \nu_1)$  of all  $F \in L_p(\Omega_0 \times \Omega_1, \nu_0 \otimes \nu_1)$  such that  $F_{x_0} \in V$  for  $\nu_0$ -almost every  $x_0 \in \Omega_0$ . Then  $\tilde{V}$  is a proper non trivial closed subspace of  $L_p(\Omega_0 \times \Omega_1, \nu_0 \otimes \nu_1)$ .

We claim that  $\tilde{V}$  is  $\pi(G)$ -invariant. Indeed, let  $F \in \tilde{V}$ ,  $g \in G$  and  $F' = \pi(g)F$ ; for  $x_0 \in \Omega_0$ ,  $x_1 \in \Omega_1$ , set  $x = x_0x_1$  and let  $q = q(x_0^{-1}g)$  be the  $Q$ -component of  $x_0^{-1}g$ . We have  $\omega_0(g^{-1}x) = \omega_0(g^{-1}x_0)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} F'_{x_0}(x_1) &= F'(x_0x_1) = \chi(h(g^{-1}x))F(\omega_0(g^{-1}x_0)\omega_1(g^{-1}x)) \\ &= \chi(h((x_0^{-1}g)^{-1}x_1))F_{\omega_0(g^{-1}x_0)}(\omega_1((x_0^{-1}g)^{-1}x_1)) \\ &= \chi(h(q^{-1}x_1))F_{\omega_0(g^{-1}x_0)}(\omega_1(q^{-1}x_1)) \\ &= (\pi_Q(q)F_{\omega_0(g^{-1}x_0)})(x_1) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $F_{\omega_0(g^{-1}x_0)} \in V$  for almost every  $x_0$  and since  $V$  is  $Q$ -invariant, it follows that  $F' \in \tilde{V}$  and the claim is proved.

Now,  $\pi$  is irreducible. So, we have obtained a contradiction which shows that  $H$  has finite index in  $Q$ .

- *Seventh step.* We claim that  $H = Q$ . Indeed,  $H$  contains  $Q^0$ , since  $H$  has finite index in  $Q$ . As mentioned in the sixth step,  $Q$  is a direct product  $Q = ZQ^0$  for a finite abelian subgroup  $Z$ . Hence, we have  $Q = Z'H$  for a subgroup  $Z'$  of  $Z$ . We have to show that  $Z'$  is trivial.

Assume, by contradiction, that  $Z' \neq \{e\}$ . Then there exists a non trivial character  $\delta$  of  $Z'$ . We proceed as in the sixth step. Let  $\Omega_0 \subset G$  a fundamental domain for  $G/Q$ . We identify  $L_p(G/H, \mu)$  with  $L_p(\Omega_0 \times Z', \nu_0 \otimes \nu_1)$  for a convenient  $G$ -quasi-invariant probability measure  $\nu_0$  on  $\Omega_0 \cong G/Q$  and a probability measure  $\nu_1$  on  $Z'$ .

For  $F \in L_p(\Omega_0 \times Z', \nu_0 \otimes \nu_1)$  and  $x_0 \in \Omega_0$ , let  $F_{x_0} \in L_p(\Omega_1, \nu_1)$  be defined by  $F_{x_0}(x_1) = F(x_0x_1)$ . Let  $\tilde{V}_\delta$  be subspace of  $L_p(\Omega_0 \times \Omega_1, \nu_0 \otimes \nu_1)$  of all  $F$  such that  $F_{x_0}(zx_1) = \delta(z)F_{x_0}(x_1)$  for all  $z \in Z'$  and  $\nu_0$ -almost every  $x_0 \in \Omega_0$ . Then  $\tilde{V}_\delta$  is a proper non trivial closed subspace of  $L_p(\Omega_0 \times \Omega_1, \nu_0 \otimes \nu_1)$ . Moreover, one checks that  $\tilde{V}_\delta$  is  $\pi(G)$ -invariant; this contradicts the irreducibility of  $\pi$ .

- *Eighth step.* There exists a real linear form  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$  and a unitary character  $\chi$  of  $M_Q$  such that  $\pi$  is equivalent to the representation  $\pi(Q, \chi, \lambda, p)$  on  $L_p(G/Q, \mu_Q)$  described in Theorem A.

Indeed, by the seventh step, we have  $H = Q = M_Q A_Q N_Q$ . The unitary character of  $Q$  associated to the cocycle  $c$  is trivial on  $N_Q$  and  $M_Q$  centralizes  $A_Q$ ; hence, this character is of the form  $\chi \otimes \chi'$  for unitary characters  $\chi$  of  $M_Q$  and  $\chi'$  of  $A_Q$ . Let  $F \subset \Sigma$  be the set of simple positive roots associated to  $Q$ . There exists  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$  such that

$$\chi'(\exp X) = e^{-i\lambda(X)} \quad \text{for all } X \in \mathfrak{a}_F = \log(A_Q).$$

Let  $\mu$  be the unique quasi-invariant Borel probability measure on  $G/Q$  which is  $K$ -invariant. For  $g \in G$ , decompose  $g$  according to  $G = KM_Q A_Q N_Q$  as

$$g = \kappa(g)\mu(g) \exp(H(g))n(g) \quad \text{for} \quad \kappa(g) \in \Omega_Q, \mu(g) \in M_Q, H(g) \in \mathfrak{a}_F, n(g) \in N_Q.$$

The Radon-Nikodym derivative of  $g_*\mu$  with respect to  $\mu$  is given by (see e.g. [Kna96, Proposition 8.44])

$$\frac{dg_*(\mu)}{d\mu}(x) = e^{-\rho_F(H(g))}$$

for

$$\rho_F = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+ \setminus \text{span}(\mathbb{F})} \dim(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha)\alpha.$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem A.

The following lemma, which was used in the proof above, is a consequence of the description of cocompact subgroups of semisimple Lie groups from [Wit90] (see also [GW72]).

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $G$  be a noncompact connected semisimple linear Lie group with maximal compact subgroup  $K$ . Let  $H$  be a closed subgroup of  $G$  with the property that the natural action of  $K$  on  $G/H$  is transitive. Upon replacing  $H$  by one of its conjugate, there exists a standard parabolic subgroup  $Q$  which contains  $H$  and which has the following properties; denote by  $Q = M_Q A_Q N_Q$  the Langlands decomposition of  $Q$ ; write  $Q^0 = C S A_Q N_Q$  for the identity component  $Q^0$  of  $Q$ , where  $C$  is the maximal compact factor of  $M_Q^0$  and  $S$  is the product of all the non-compact simple factors of  $M_Q^0$ . Then there exists a connected closed subgroup  $C'$  of  $C$  such that*

$$H^0 = C' S A_Q N_Q.$$

*Proof.* Since  $K$  acts transitively on  $G/H$ , we have  $G = KH$ . In particular,  $H$  is a cocompact subgroup of  $G$ . By [Wit90, Theorem 1.2] and upon conjugating  $H$ , there exists a standard parabolic subgroup  $Q$  of  $G$  with the following properties:

- $Q = M_Q A_Q N_Q$  contains  $H$ ;
- there exist a connected, normal subgroup  $X$  of  $S$  and a connected closed subgroup  $Y$  of  $C A_Q$  such that  $H^0 = Y X N_Q$ , where  $S$  and  $C$  are as in the statement of the Lemma.

Since  $H/H^0$  is at most countable, we have

$$G = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} K H_0 g_n$$

for some sequence  $(g_n)_{n \geq 1}$  of elements in  $G$ . As  $K$  is compact and  $H_0$  is closed,  $K H_0 g_n$  is a closed subset of  $G$  for every  $n$ . Hence, by Baire's category theorem,  $K H_0$  has a non empty interior. By homogeneity, it follows that  $K H_0$  is open in  $G$ . Since  $G$  is connected, we have therefore  $G = K H_0$ , that is,

$$(6) \quad G = K Y X N_Q.$$

Recall that the multiplication map  $K \times A \times N \rightarrow G$  from the Iwasawa decomposition  $G = KAN$  is a diffeomorphism. The reductive Lie group  $M_Q$  has also an Iwasawa decomposition

$$M_Q = K_1 A_1 N_1,$$

for  $K_1 := K \cap M_Q$ ; we have  $A = A_1 A_Q$  as a direct product and  $N = N_1 N_Q$  as semi-direct product (see [Kna96, Proposition 7.82]). In particular, we have  $C \subset K_1$ . Let  $\Omega \subset K$  be a fundamental domain for  $K/K_1$ . So,

$$(7) \quad \text{the product map} \quad \Omega \times K_1 \times A_1 \times A_Q \times N_1 \times N_Q \rightarrow G \quad \text{is a bijection.}$$

The Iwasawa decomposition of the semisimple Lie group  $X \subset S$  is  $X = K_2 A_2 N_2$ , where  $K_2 := K_1 \cap X$  and where  $A_2$  and  $N_2$  are subgroups of  $A_1$  and  $N_2$ . By (6), we have therefore

$$G = KYXN_Q = KK_2YA_2N_2N_Q.$$

Since  $Y \subset CA_Q$ , it follows from (7) that

$$\dim A_2 = \dim A_1, \quad \dim N_2 = \dim N_1, \quad \text{and} \quad A_Q \subset Y.$$

So, we have  $S = X$  and  $Y = C'A_Q$  for a connected closed subgroup  $C'$  of  $C$ .  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2

Let  $Q = M_Q A_Q N_Q$  be a parabolic subgroup of  $G$ ; for a real linear form  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$  and a unitary character  $\chi : M_Q \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^1$ , consider the representation  $\pi(Q, \lambda, \chi, p)$  of  $G$  on  $L_p(G/Q, \mu)$  as in the Introduction.

We may realize  $\pi(Q, \lambda, \chi, p)$  in the so-called compact picture as follows. Let  $C(K, \chi)$  be the vector space of continuous functions  $F : K \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  such that

$$F(xk) = \overline{\chi(k)}F(x) \quad \text{for all } k \in K \cap M_Q, x \in K;$$

equip  $C(K, \chi)$  with the norm

$$\|F\|_p = \left( \int_{K/(K \cap M_Q)} |F(x)|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{1/p},$$

where  $\mu$  is the unique  $K$ -invariant probability measure on  $K/(K \cap M_Q)$ . Let  $L_p(K, \chi)$  be the completion of  $(C(K, \chi), \|\cdot\|_p)$ . Let  $\rho_{Q,p} \in \mathfrak{a}^*$  be defined as in the Introduction. Then  $\pi(Q, \lambda, \chi, p)$  acts on  $L_p(K, \chi)$  through

$$\pi(Q, \chi, \lambda, p)(g)F(x) = \overline{\chi(\mu(g^{-1}x))} e^{-(i\lambda + \rho_{Q,p})H(g^{-1}x)} F(\kappa(g^{-1}x))$$

for  $F \in L_p(K, \chi)$ ,  $x \in K$  and  $g \in G$  with decomposition

$$g = \kappa(g)\mu(g) \exp(H(g))n(g), \quad \kappa(g) \in K, \mu(g) \in M_Q, H(g) \in \log(A_Q), n(g) \in N_Q$$

Observe that, for  $g \in K$ , the operator  $\pi(Q, \chi, \lambda, p)(g)$  is simply left translation on  $L_p(K, \mu)$  by  $g^{-1}$ .

Set  $E := L_p(K, \chi)$ . Recall that the subspace  $E_K$  of  $K$ -finite vectors in  $E$  is the space of functions  $F \in E$  such that

$$\pi(Q, \chi, \lambda, p)(K)F = \{\pi(Q, \chi, \lambda, p)(g)F : g \in K\}$$

spans a finite-dimensional subspace of  $E$ . The space  $E^\infty$  of  $C^\infty$ -vectors in  $E$  consists of smooth functions  $F \in C(K, \chi)$ . As a  $K$ -module,  $E^\infty$  is the representation of  $K$  differentiably induced by  $\chi|_{K \cap M_Q}$  (in the sense of [War72, 5.3.1]). Therefore, by Frobenius reciprocity (which remains valid in this context), the multiplicity of  $\delta \in \widehat{K}$  in  $E^\infty$  is equal to the multiplicity of  $\chi$  in  $\delta|_{K \cap M_Q}$  and is therefore finite, since  $\delta$  is finite dimensional. Hence, the multiplicity of  $\delta$  in  $E_K \cap E^\infty$  is finite. Since  $E_K \cap E^\infty$  is dense in  $E$  (see e.g. [War72, Theorem 4.4.5.16]), it follows that the multiplicity of  $\delta$  in  $E$  is finite. In other words,  $\pi(Q, \chi, \lambda, p)$  is an *admissible* representation of  $G$  (as defined [Kna01, p.207] and [Wal71, 3.3.5]) or is a *K-finite* representation (as defined in [War72, 4.5.1]).

As is well-known,  $E_K$  is a module over the universal enveloping algebra  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}})$  of the complexification of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $G$ . Set

$$(8) \quad \nu := i\lambda + \rho_{Q,p} - \rho_{Q,2} = i\lambda + \left(\frac{2}{p} - 1\right)\rho_{Q,2};$$

then  $E_K$  coincides with the  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module associated to the (nonunitary) induced representation  $\text{Ind}_Q^G(\chi \otimes \nu \otimes 1_{N_Q})$ . This  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module consists of the functions  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  which are  $C^\infty$  and have the following properties:

- $f(gman) = \overline{\chi(m)} e^{-(i\lambda + \nu + \rho_{Q,2})(\log a)} f(g)$  for all  $g \in G$  and  $man \in Q$ ;
- the linear span of  $K \cdot f$  is finite dimensional space, where  $K \cdot f$  is the space of translates  $g \mapsto f(k^{-1}g)$  for  $k \in K$ .

(for this, see [Wal71, 5.2.1]). So, the  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$  module associated to  $\pi(Q, \chi, \lambda, p)$  is the principal series representation denoted  $I_{Q, \chi, \nu}$  in [Wal71] for  $\nu$  as in (8).

Now, since  $\pi(Q, \chi, \lambda, p)$  is admissible,  $\pi(Q, \chi, \lambda, p)$  is completely irreducible if and only if its associated  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module  $I_{Q, \chi, \nu}$  is algebraically irreducible. Moreover, two such representations  $\pi(Q_1, \chi_1, \lambda_1, p_1)$  and  $\pi(Q_2, \chi_2, \lambda_2, p_2)$  are Naimark equivalent if and only if the  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -modules  $I_{Q_1, \chi_1, \nu_1}$  and  $I_{Q_2, \chi_2, \nu_2}$  are algebraically equivalent (for all this, see Theorems 4.5.5.4 and 4.5.5.2 in [War72]).

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM B

We assume from now on that  $G$  is a simple Lie group with real rank one. In this case, we have  $\dim \mathfrak{a} = 1$ .

Choose  $\alpha \in \Sigma^+$  and  $H \in \mathfrak{a}$  such that  $\frac{1}{2}\alpha \notin \Sigma$  and  $\alpha(H) = 1$ . We identify  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{C}}^*$  with  $\mathbf{C}$  by means of the map  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda(H)$ .

There is, up to conjugation, only one parabolic subgroup, namely  $P := MAN$ . For  $p \in (1 + \infty)$ , we will write  $\rho_p$  instead of  $\rho_{P,p}$ . In view of Proposition 2, we have to study, given  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $\chi \in \widehat{M^{\text{Ab}}}$ , the irreducibility of the (non unitary) principal series representation  $I_{P, \chi, \nu_{\lambda, p}}$  for

$$\nu_{\lambda, p} = i\lambda + \left(\frac{2}{p} - 1\right) \rho_2$$

and to decide when two such representations are equivalent. We will apply several times the following result of Kostant about the case where  $\nu = 1_M$ . Set

$$t_\alpha := \begin{cases} (\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha)/2 & \text{if } 2\alpha \notin \Sigma \\ (\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha)/2 + 1 & \text{if } 2\alpha \in \Sigma, \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{g}$  is the usual root space corresponding to  $\alpha$ ; set also

$$n_\alpha := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 2\alpha \notin \Sigma \\ 2 & \text{if } 2\alpha \in \Sigma. \end{cases}$$

Recall that

$$\rho_2 = (\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha)/2 + \dim \mathfrak{g}_{2\alpha}.$$

For  $\nu \in \mathbf{C}$ , we have (see Theorem 2 in [Kos69] and Theorem 2.9.8 in [Kos75]):

$$(9) \quad I_{P, 1_M, \nu} \text{ is not irreducible} \iff \nu \notin (-t_\alpha, t_\alpha) \text{ and } \nu + \rho_2 \in n_\alpha \mathbf{Z}.$$

We will treat separately the different simple groups  $G$  which may occur; for the data we will use concerning these groups, we refer to [Hel78, Chap. X].

• Let  $G = \text{SO}_0(n, 1)$  for  $n \geq 2$ . Here,  $K \cong \text{SO}(n)$  and  $M \cong \text{SO}(n-1)$ . Moreover, we have  $\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha = n-1$  and  $2\alpha \notin \Sigma$ . So,

$$t_\alpha = \frac{n-1}{2}, \quad n_\alpha = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_2 = \frac{n-1}{2};$$

hence, for  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ , we have

$$\nu_{\lambda, p} = i\lambda + \left(\frac{2}{p} - 1\right) \frac{n-1}{2}.$$

Since  $\left| \frac{2}{p} - 1 \right| < 1$ , it follows from (9) that

$I_{P,1M,\nu_{\lambda,p}}$  is irreducible for all  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $p \in (1, +\infty)$  with  $p \neq 2$ .

The case where  $n \neq 3$  is settled since the abelianization of  $M$  is then trivial and so  $\widehat{M^{\text{Ab}}} = 1_M$ .

Assume now that  $n = 3$ . Observe that in this case  $G \cong \text{SL}_2(\mathbf{C})$  and  $M \cong \text{U}(1)$ . We use here the results from [Thi73, §. 13, B]: identifying  $\widehat{M^{\text{Ab}}}$  with  $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}$ , we have, for  $m \in \widehat{M^{\text{Ab}}}$  and  $\nu \in \mathbf{C}$ ,

$$I_{P,m,\nu} \text{ is irreducible} \iff (\nu + l + 1)(\nu - l - 1) \neq 0$$

for all  $l \in \{|m| + k : k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ . Since  $(n-1)/2 = 1$ , this condition is clearly satisfied for  $\nu_{\lambda,p}$  and so we obtain that  $I_{P,\chi,\nu_{\lambda,p}}$  is irreducible for all  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\chi \in \widehat{M^{\text{Ab}}}$ , and  $p \in (1, +\infty)$  with  $p \neq 2$ .

• Let  $G = \text{SU}(n, 1)$  for  $n \geq 2$ . Here,  $K \cong \text{SU}(n)$  and  $M \cong \text{U}(n-1)$ . Moreover, we have  $\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha = 2(n-1)$  and  $\dim \mathfrak{g}_{2\alpha} = 1$ . So,

$$t_\alpha = n, \quad n_\alpha = 2, \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_2 = n;$$

hence, for  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ , we have

$$\nu_{\lambda,p} = i\lambda + \left( \frac{2}{p} - 1 \right) n.$$

Since  $\left| \frac{2}{p} - 1 \right| < 1$ , it follows from (9) that

$I_{P,1M,\nu_{\lambda,p}}$  is irreducible for all  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $p \in (1, +\infty)$  with  $p \neq 2$ .

In [Kra76, Proposition 1] precise necessary and sufficient conditions are given on  $\sigma \in \widehat{M}$  and  $\nu \in \mathbf{C}$  for  $I_{P,\sigma,\nu}$  to be irreducible. In the special case of  $\sigma \in \widehat{M^{\text{Ab}}}$ , this criterion reads as follows. Identify  $\widehat{M^{\text{Ab}}}$  with  $\frac{1}{n+1}\mathbf{Z}$  as in [Kra76] and for  $\sigma \in \widehat{M^{\text{Ab}}}$  and  $\nu \in \mathbf{C}$ , define  $(s_1, \dots, s_{n+1}) \in \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$  by

$$s_i = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(\nu - (n-1)\sigma) & \text{if } i = 1 \\ \sigma + \frac{n}{2} - i + 1 & \text{if } 2 \leq i \leq n \\ -\frac{1}{2}(\nu + (n-1)\sigma) & \text{if } i = n+1. \end{cases}$$

Then  $I_{P,m,\nu}$  is not irreducible if and only if either  $s_1 - s_i \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  for all  $2 \leq i \leq n$  or  $s_{n+1} - s_i \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  for all  $2 \leq i \leq n$ .

It follows immediately that  $I_{P,\sigma,\nu_{\lambda,p}}$  is irreducible if  $\lambda \neq 0$ . So, we may assume that  $\lambda = 0$ .

Writing  $\sigma = \frac{m}{n+1}$  for  $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ , the criterion above implies that  $I_{P,\sigma,\nu_{0,p}}$  is not irreducible if and only if either

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \nu_{p,0} - (n-1) \frac{m}{n+1} \right) - \frac{m}{n+1} + \frac{n}{2} \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}$$

or

$$-\frac{1}{2} \left( \nu_{p,0} + (n-1) \frac{m}{n+1} \right) - \frac{m}{n+1} + \frac{n}{2} \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}$$

that is, if and only if

$$\text{either } \nu_{p,0} - (m+n) \in 2\mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\} \quad \text{or} \quad \nu_{p,0} - (m+n) \in 2\mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}$$

As  $\nu_{\lambda,0} = \left(\frac{2}{p} - 1\right)n$ , we see that  $I_{P,\sigma,\nu_0,p}$  is not irreducible if only if

$$(10) \quad \text{either } 2\left(\frac{1}{p} - 1\right)n - m \in 2\mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{2n}{p} - m \in 2\mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}.$$

It is clear that (10) is equivalent to

$$p \in \left\{ \frac{2n}{k} : k \in \{1, \dots, 2n-1\}, k \equiv m \pmod{2}, k \neq m, k \neq 2n+m \right\}.$$

• Let  $G = \text{Sp}(n, 1)$  for  $n \geq 2$ . Here,  $K \cong \text{Sp}(n) \times \text{Sp}(1)$  and  $M \cong \text{Sp}(n-1) \times \text{Sp}(1)$ . Moreover, we have  $\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha = 4(n-1)$  and  $\dim \mathfrak{g}_{2\alpha} = 3$ . So,

$$t_\alpha = 2n-1, \quad n_\alpha = 2, \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_2 = 2n+1;$$

hence, for  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ , we have

$$\nu_{\lambda,p} = i\lambda + \left(\frac{2}{p} - 1\right)(2n+1).$$

Observe that the abelianization of  $M$  is trivial and so  $\widehat{M^{\text{Ab}}} = \{1_M\}$ . Moreover, we have

$$\left| \frac{2}{p} - 1 \right| (2n+1) \geq 2n-1 \iff p \in (1, \frac{2n+1}{2n}] \cup [2n+1, +\infty).$$

and

$$\left(\frac{2}{p} - 1\right)(2n+1) + 2n-1 \in 2\mathbf{Z} \iff p \in \left\{ \frac{2n+1}{k} : k \in \mathbf{N}^* \right\}.$$

It follows from (9) that

- $I_{P,1_M,\nu_{\lambda,p}}$  is irreducible for every  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^* \setminus \{0\}$
- $I_{P,1_M,\nu_0,p}$  is not irreducible if and only if  $p = \frac{2n+1}{2n}$  or  $p = 2n+1$ .

• Let  $G = \text{F}_{4(-20)}$ . Here,  $K \cong \text{Spin}(9)$  and  $M \cong \text{Spin}(7)$ . Moreover, we have  $\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha = 8$  and  $\dim \mathfrak{g}_{2\alpha} = 7$ . So,

$$t_\alpha = 5, \quad n_\alpha = 2, \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_2 = 11;$$

hence, for  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ , we have

$$\nu_{\lambda,p} = i\lambda + 11\left(\frac{2}{p} - 1\right).$$

The abelianization of  $M$  is trivial and so  $\widehat{M^{\text{Ab}}} = \{1_M\}$ . Moreover, we have

$$11\left| \frac{2}{p} - 1 \right| \geq 5 \iff p \in (1, \frac{11}{8}] \cup [\frac{11}{3}, +\infty).$$

and

$$11\left(\frac{2}{p} - 1\right) + 5 \in 2\mathbf{Z} \iff p \in \left\{ \frac{11}{k} : k \in \mathbf{N}^* \right\}.$$

It follows from (9) that

- $I_{P,1_M,\nu_{\lambda,p}}$  is irreducible for every  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^* \setminus \{0\}$
- $I_{P,1_M,\nu_0,p}$  is not irreducible if and only if  $p \in \left\{ \frac{11}{10}, \frac{11}{9}, \frac{11}{8}, \frac{11}{3}, \frac{11}{2}, 11 \right\}$ .

In all the cases above, if two representations  $I_{P,\chi,\nu}$  and  $I_{P,\chi',\nu'}$  are irreducible, then they are equivalent if and only if

$$(\chi', \nu) = (\bar{\chi}, \nu) \quad \text{or} \quad (\chi', \nu') = (\bar{\chi}, -\nu).$$

Now,

$$-\nu_{\lambda,p} = -(i\lambda + \left(\frac{2}{p} - 1\right)\rho_2) = (-i\lambda + \left(\frac{2}{q} - 1\right)\rho_2) = \nu_{\lambda,q}.$$

Therefore,  $I_{P,\chi',\nu_{\lambda',p'}}$  and  $I_{P,\chi,\nu_{\lambda,p}}$  are equivalent if and only if

$$(\chi', \lambda', p') = (\bar{\chi}, \lambda, p) \quad \text{or} \quad (\chi', \lambda', p') = (\bar{\chi}, -\lambda, q).$$

## 5. AN EXAMPLE: $SL_2(\mathbf{R})$

Let  $G = SL_2(\mathbf{R})$ , with maximal compact subgroup  $K = SO(2)$ . The standard minimal parabolic subgroup is

$$P = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} : a \in \mathbf{R}, a \neq 0, b \in \mathbf{R} \right\}.$$

We have  $P = MAN$  for

$$A = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} : a \in \mathbf{R}, a > 0 \right\},$$

$$M = \left\{ \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

and

$$N = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : b \in \mathbf{R} \right\}.$$

Identifying  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{C}}^*$  with  $\mathbf{C}$  as above, we have

$$\rho_{P,p} = \frac{1}{p}$$

for every  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . We identify  $G/P$  as  $G$ -space with the real projective line  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{R})$ , with  $G$  acting by Möbius transformations on this latter space. The Lebesgue measure  $\mu$  is the unique  $K$ -invariant probability Borel measure on  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{R})$ . The measurable space  $G/P$  can further be identified with  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{R}) \setminus \{\infty\}$ .

The Radon-Nikodym derivative for  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  acting on  $\mathbf{R}$  is given by

$$\frac{dg_*(\mu)}{d\mu}(x) = \frac{1}{(cx + d)^2}.$$

Let  $\varepsilon$  denote the non trivial character of  $M$  and let  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ . The representation  $\pi(P, \chi, \lambda, p)$  of  $G$  by isometries on  $L_p(\mathbf{R}, \mu)$  is defined by

$$\pi(P, 1_M, \lambda, p)(g)f(x) = |cx + d|^{-i\lambda - \frac{2}{p}} f\left(\frac{ax + b}{cx + d}\right) \quad \text{if} \quad g^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\pi(P, \varepsilon, \lambda, p)(g)f(x) = \text{sgn}(cx + d)|cx + d|^{-i\lambda - \frac{2}{p}} f\left(\frac{ax + b}{cx + d}\right) \quad \text{if} \quad g^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

The Harish-Chandra  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module underlying  $\pi(P, \varepsilon, \lambda, p)$  is  $I_{P,\chi,\nu}$  for

$$\nu = i\lambda + \delta_p \rho_{P,2} = i\lambda + \frac{2}{p} - 1$$

Assume that  $p \neq 2$ . It is well-known that  $I_{P,\chi,\nu}$  is irreducible (see e.g. Proposition 1.3.3 in [Vog81]); observe that the irreducibility of  $I_{P,\varepsilon,\nu}$  depends on the fact that



$\frac{2}{p} - 1$  is not an integer). Moreover, the modules  $I_{P,\chi,\nu}$  are pairwise non equivalent for fixed  $p$ .

In summary, the  $L_p$ -dual space of  $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$  for  $p \in (1, +\infty)$  with  $p \neq 2$  is

$$\widehat{G}_{L_p} = \{\pi(P, 1_M, \lambda, p) : \lambda \in \mathbf{R}\} \cup \{\pi(P, \varepsilon, \lambda, p) : \lambda \in \mathbf{R}\} \cup \{1_G\}.$$

## REFERENCES

- [Ban93] Stefan Banach, *Théorie des opérations linéaires*, Éditions Jacques Gabay, Sceaux, 1993 (French). Reprint of the 1932 original. MR1357166 ↑5
- [Bou72] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique. Fasc. XXXVII. Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Chapitre II: Algèbres de Lie libres. Chapitre III: Groupes de Lie*, Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles [Current Scientific and Industrial Topics], No. 1349, Hermann, Paris, 1972. MR573068 ↑9
- [Bru56] François Bruhat, *Sur les représentations induites des groupes de Lie*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **84** (1956), 97–205 (French). MR0084713 ↑3
- [dJR17] Marcel de Jeu and Jan Rozendaal, *Disintegration of positive isometric group representations on  $L^p$ -spaces*, Positivity **21** (2017), no. 2, 673–710, DOI 10.1007/s11117-017-0499-4. MR3656017 ↑6, 7
- [EW11] Manfred Einsiedler and Thomas Ward, *Ergodic theory with a view towards number theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 259, Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2011. MR2723325 ↑6
- [Fel65] J. M. G. Fell, *The dual spaces of Banach algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **114** (1965), 227–250, DOI 10.2307/1993999. MR0172131 ↑1
- [GN57] I. M. Gelfand and M. A. Neumark, *Unitäre Darstellungen der klassischen Gruppen*, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1957 (German). MR0085262 ↑3
- [God52] Roger Godement, *A theory of spherical functions. I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1952), 496–556, DOI 10.2307/1990805. MR0052444 ↑1, 8
- [GW72] Morikuni Goto and Hsien-chung Wang, *Non-discrete uniform subgroups of semisimple Lie groups*, Math. Ann. **198** (1972), 259–286, DOI 10.1007/BF01419560. MR354934 ↑11
- [HC53] Harish-Chandra, *Representations of a semisimple Lie group on a Banach space. I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1953), 185–243, DOI 10.2307/1990730. MR0056610 ↑1, 8
- [Hel78] Sigurdur Helgason, *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 80, Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1978. MR514561 ↑13
- [Kna01] Anthony W. Knaapp, *Representation theory of semisimple groups*, Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001. An overview based on examples; Reprint of the 1986 original. MR1880691 ↑2, 12
- [Kna96] ———, *Lie groups beyond an introduction*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 140, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1996. MR1399083 ↑9, 11
- [Kos69] Bertram Kostant, *On the existence and irreducibility of certain series of representations*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1969), 627–642, DOI 10.1090/S0002-9904-1969-12235-4. MR0245725 ↑3, 13
- [Kos75] ———, *On the existence and irreducibility of certain series of representations*, Lie groups and their representations (Proc. Summer School, Bolyai János Math. Soc., Budapest, 1971), Halsted Press, New York-Toronto, Ont., 1975, pp. 231–329. MR0399361 ↑13
- [Kra76] Hrvoje Kraljević, *On representations of the group  $SU(n, 1)$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **221** (1976), no. 2, 433–448, DOI 10.2307/1997409. MR409725 ↑14
- [Lam58] John Lamperti, *On the isometries of certain function-spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **8** (1958), 459–466. MR0105017 ↑5
- [LW73] James Lepowsky and Nolan R. Wallach, *Finite- and infinite-dimensional representation of linear semisimple groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **184** (1973), 223–246, DOI 10.2307/1996409. MR0327978 ↑3
- [Mac52] George W. Mackey, *Induced representations of locally compact groups. I*, Ann. of Math. (2) **55** (1952), 101–139, DOI 10.2307/1969423. MR0044536 ↑6, 9
- [PRRV67] K. R. Parthasarathy, R. Ranga Rao, and V. S. Varadarajan, *Representations of complex semi-simple Lie groups and Lie algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **85** (1967), 383–429, DOI 10.2307/1970351. MR0225936 ↑3
- [Thi73] Ernest Thieleker, *On the quasi-simple irreducible representations of the Lorentz groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **179** (1973), 465–505, DOI 10.2307/1996515. MR0325856 ↑14
- [Var85] V. S. Varadarajan, *Geometry of quantum theory*, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985. MR0805158 ↑9

- [Vog81] David A. Vogan Jr., *Representations of real reductive Lie groups*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 15, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1981. MR0632407 ↑16
- [Wal71] Nolan R. Wallach, *Cyclic vectors and irreducibility for principal series representations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **158** (1971), 107–113, DOI 10.2307/1995774. MR0281844 ↑3, 12, 13
- [Wal88] ———, *Real reductive groups. I*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 132, Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1988. MR0929683 ↑3
- [War72] Garth Warner, *Harmonic analysis on semi-simple Lie groups. I*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. Band 188, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1972. MR0498999 ↑1, 2, 10, 12, 13
- [Wit90] Dave Witte, *Cocompact subgroups of semisimple Lie groups*, Lie algebra and related topics (Madison, WI, 1988), Contemp. Math., vol. 110, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1990, pp. 309–313, DOI 10.1090/conm/110/1079114. MR1079114 ↑11
- [Zim84] Robert J. Zimmer, *Ergodic theory and semisimple groups*, Monographs in Mathematics, vol. 81, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1984. MR0776417 ↑5

BACHIR BEKKA, UNIV RENNES, CNRS, IRMAR–UMR 6625, CAMPUS BEAULIEU, F-35042  
RENNES CEDEX, FRANCE

*Email address:* bachir.bekka@univ-rennes1.fr