

CMC-1 surfaces in hyperbolic and de Sitter spaces with Cantor ends

Ildefonso Castro-Infantes and Jorge Hidalgo

Abstract We prove that on every compact Riemann surface M there is a Cantor set $C \subset M$ such that $M \setminus C$ admits a proper conformal constant mean curvature one (CMC-1) immersion into hyperbolic 3-space \mathbb{H}^3 . Moreover, we obtain that every bordered Riemann surface admits an almost proper CMC-1 face into de Sitter 3-space \mathbb{S}_1^3 , and we show that on every compact Riemann surface M there is a Cantor set $C \subset M$ such that $M \setminus C$ admits an almost proper CMC-1 face into \mathbb{S}_1^3 . These results follow from different uniform approximation theorems for holomorphic null curves in $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^*$ that we also establish in this paper.

Keywords CMC-1 surface, CMC-1 face, Riemann surface, Cantor set, holomorphic null curve

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020) 53A10, 53C42, 32H02, 32E30.

1. Introduction

In 1987 Bryant [12] introduced a holomorphic representation for constant mean curvature one (CMC-1 from now on) surfaces in hyperbolic 3-space \mathbb{H}^3 . Using this representation, Alarcón and López proved in 2013 that every open orientable surface admits a complete bounded CMC-1 immersion into \mathbb{H}^3 , see [11, Corollary III]. Furthermore, Alarcón and Forstnerič showed in 2015 that every bordered Riemann surface (see Definition 2.1) admits a proper conformal CMC-1 immersion into \mathbb{H}^3 , see [6, Corollary 3]. These results led Alarcón and Forstnerič to pose the next question.

Problem 1.1. [6, Problem 1] *Does every open Riemann surface admit a proper conformal CMC-1 immersion into \mathbb{H}^3 ?*

Our first main result contributes to Problem 1.1 by providing the first known examples of properly immersed CMC-1 surfaces in \mathbb{H}^3 with Cantor ends. Recall that a *Cantor set* is a compact, totally disconnected set (every connected component is just a point) with no isolated points. By *compact surface* we mean that the surface is topologically compact and has empty boundary.

Theorem 1.2. *Let M be a compact Riemann surface. There exists a Cantor set $C \subset M$ such that $M \setminus C$ admits a proper conformal CMC-1 immersion into \mathbb{H}^3 .*

Theorem 1.2 also holds when M is a bordered Riemann surface, see Remark 3.4 and the proof of Theorem 1.2 in Section 4. It is obtained as an application of Theorem 3.1, a Runge approximation type result for *holomorphic null curves*. A holomorphic null curve in \mathbb{C}^3 is a holomorphic immersion $M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ from an open Riemann surface M into the complex Euclidean 3-space whose derivative with

respect to any local holomorphic coordinate on M takes values in $\mathbf{A}_* = \mathbf{A} \setminus \{0\}$, where $\mathbf{A} \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ is the *null quadric*

$$(1.1) \quad \mathbf{A} = \{(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : z_1^2 + z_2^2 + z_3^2 = 0\}.$$

Holomorphic null curves are strongly related to *minimal surfaces* in \mathbb{R}^3 , this is, locally area minimizing surfaces, or equivalently, constant mean curvature zero surfaces. More precisely, the real and imaginary parts of a holomorphic null curve are conformal minimal immersions into \mathbb{R}^3 , and every such immersion is locally the real part of a holomorphic null curve. This allows to use complex analytic methods for studying minimal surfaces; we refer to [7, 9] for details.

Similarly, there is a projection $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$ ($\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$) arising from Bryant's representation and which takes holomorphic null curves into conformal CMC-1 immersions in \mathbb{H}^3 . Conversely, every CMC-1 surface in \mathbb{H}^3 locally lifts to a holomorphic null curve in $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^*$. This projection, which is better described in Section 4, considerably simplifies the task of constructing CMC-1 surfaces with control on the complex structure via complex analytic methods, see [4] and the references therein. For instance, the fact that every bordered Riemann surface admits a proper conformal CMC-1 immersion in \mathbb{H}^3 follows from this projection and the following result proved by Alarcón and Forstnerič in 2015: given constants $0 < c_1 < c_2$ and a bordered Riemann surface M , there is a proper holomorphic null curve $X = (X_1, X_2, X_3): M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ with $c_1 < |X_3| < c_2$ on M , see [6, Theorem 2].

Not every open Riemann surface admits a holomorphic null curve in \mathbb{C}^3 with bounded third component, hence a different result in $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^*$ is needed to approach Problem 1.1 via the projection $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$. In [4], Alarcón and the authors proved a Runge approximation result for holomorphic null curves in \mathbb{C}^3 , following the approach in [10, 5, 3], but with an additional idea to control the zero set of the third component of the holomorphic null curves in \mathbb{C}^3 . As a consequence, it was proved that every open Riemann surface admits a complete conformal CMC-1 immersion into \mathbb{H}^3 , see [4, Theorem 1.1]. However, properness could not be achieved by technical reasons. Now, applying ideas of [6] and [14] we show that these technical difficulties can be overcome to construct proper conformal CMC-1 surfaces in \mathbb{H}^3 with Cantor ends.

In this paper we also consider CMC-1 spacelike surfaces in de Sitter 3-space \mathbb{S}_1^3 with certain singularities. Aiyama and Akutagawa gave in [1] a holomorphic representation for conformal CMC-1 spacelike immersions $M \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_1^3$ of Riemann surfaces into de Sitter space. However, the only complete such surface is the so called \mathbb{S}_1^3 -horosphere, see [2, 22], which is totally umbilical. So, in order to have a rich global theory for spacelike CMC-1 surfaces in \mathbb{S}_1^3 one needs to consider a wider class of surfaces than just complete and immersed ones. In 2006, Fujimori introduced the notion of CMC-1 *face*, see [16, Definition 1.4], later characterized in 2013, see [18, Definition 1.1]. According to the latter, a CMC-1 face is a smooth map $M \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_1^3$, where M is an open Riemann surface, which is a conformal spacelike immersion on an open dense subset of M and whose differential does not vanish at

any point. The points where $M \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_1^3$ is not an immersion are called *singular points*. Fujimori gave a holomorphic representation for CMC-1 faces in [16, Theorem 1.9], which provides a projection $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_1^3$ carrying holomorphic null curves into CMC-1 faces, see Section 4 for details. In view of this projection, and the strategy already exploited to construct CMC-1 surfaces in \mathbb{H}^3 , the analogue to Problem 1.1 can be reasonably posed.

Problem 1.3. *Does every open Riemann surface admit a proper CMC-1 face into \mathbb{S}_1^3 ?*

There are many examples of CMC-1 faces, see [24, 16, 17, 21, 18, 29, 19, 20] for instance. However, only few Riemann surfaces were known to be the complex structure of a CMC-1 face until recently, when, using the projection $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_1^3$, Alarcón and the authors showed that every open Riemann surface M admits a *weakly complete* [22, Def. 1.3] CMC-1 face $M \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_1^3$, see [4, Corollary 5.3]. In this paper we go further in this direction and give a first approach to Problem 1.3.

Theorem 1.4. *Every bordered Riemann surface M admits a weakly complete almost proper CMC-1 face into \mathbb{S}_1^3 .*

Recall that a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between topological spaces is *almost proper* if for every compact set $K \subset Y$, the connected components of $f^{-1}(K)$ are all compact. Theorem 1.4 is the analogue to [6, Corollary 3] for weakly complete almost proper CMC-1 faces, and it is also proved in Section 4 as a consequence of Theorem 3.2, another Runge approximation theorem for holomorphic null curves. For the latter, we first prove Lemma 2.5, where we follow the ideas in [6, Lemma 4] but with special care when applying the Riemann-Hilbert theorem for holomorphic null curves, see [9, Theorem 6.4.2].

The almost proper CMC-1 faces in Theorem 1.4 are weakly complete by construction, because they are projections of complete holomorphic null curves in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, see Section 4 and [30]. Since not every weakly complete CMC-1 face is almost proper, Theorem 1.4 improves [4, Corollary 5.3] when the open Riemann surface is bordered. We remark that the almost proper CMC-1 faces in Theorem 1.4 are not *complete* in the sense of [22, Def. 1.2], as this would imply that its ends are all conformally equivalent to a punctured disk, see [27, 28, 26] and [18, Fact 1.1].

Finally, as a consequence of the Runge approximation Theorem 3.3, we obtain the last main theorem of this paper, which gives the first known examples of almost proper CMC-1 faces into \mathbb{S}_1^3 with Cantor ends.

Theorem 1.5. *Let M be a compact Riemann surface. There exists a Cantor set $C \subset M$ such that $M \setminus C$ admits a weakly complete almost proper CMC-1 face into \mathbb{S}_1^3 .*

Theorem 1.5 also holds when M is a bordered Riemann surface, see Remark 3.4 and the proof of Theorem 1.5 in Section 4. The question of whether Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5 hold for proper CMC-1 faces into \mathbb{S}_1^3 remains open.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Notation and definitions. We shall denote $i = \sqrt{-1} \in \mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, +\infty)$, $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, \dots\}$, and by $|\cdot|$, $\text{dist}(\cdot, \cdot)$, and $\text{length}(\cdot)$ the Euclidean norm, distance, and length on \mathbb{C}^n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), respectively. We recall the following.

Definition 2.1. ([9, Def 1.10.8]) A *bordered Riemann surface* is an open Riemann surface which is the interior of a compact one dimensional complex manifold \overline{M} with smooth boundary $b\overline{M} \neq \emptyset$ consisting of finitely many closed Jordan curves.

A domain D in an open Riemann surface M is said to be a *bordered domain* if it is relatively compact and has smooth boundary; such D is itself a bordered Riemann surface with the complex structure induced from M . It is classical that every bordered Riemann surface is biholomorphic to a relatively compact bordered domain in a larger Riemann surface.

Given a set K in a complex manifold we shall say that a map $K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) is holomorphic if it extends holomorphically to an open neighborhood of K . In the same way, we will say that a map $K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is a holomorphic null curve if it extends to a holomorphic null curve on an open neighborhood of K . If K is compact we denote by $\mathcal{A}^r(K)$ ($r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$) the space of all \mathcal{C}^r functions $K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which are holomorphic in the interior $\overset{\circ}{K}$ of K , and write $\mathcal{A}(K)$ for $\mathcal{A}^0(K)$. Likewise, we define the space $\mathcal{A}^r(K, N)$ for maps into a complex manifold N ; nevertheless we shall omit the target from the notation when it is clear from the context. We will also say that a map $K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is a null curve of class $\mathcal{A}^r(K)$ if it is a \mathcal{C}^r map which is a holomorphic null curve in $\overset{\circ}{K}$. For a \mathcal{C}^r map $f: K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$, we denote by $\|f\|_{r,K}$ the \mathcal{C}^r maximum norm of f on K .

The following definitions, see [9, Def. 1.12.9 and 3.1.3], will play a central role in the construction of holomorphic null curves in Section 3.

Definition 2.2. An *admissible set* in a smooth surface M is a compact set of the form $S = K \cup \Gamma \subset M$, where K is a (possibly empty) finite union of pairwise disjoint compact domains with piecewise \mathcal{C}^1 boundaries in M , and $\Gamma = S \setminus \overset{\circ}{K} = \overline{S} \setminus \overset{\circ}{K}$ is a (possibly empty) finite union of pairwise disjoint smooth Jordan arcs and closed Jordan curves meeting K only at their endpoints (if at all) and such that their intersections with the boundary bK of K are transverse.

Definition 2.3. Let $S = K \cup \Gamma$ be an admissible set in a Riemann surface M , and θ be a nowhere vanishing holomorphic 1-form on a neighborhood of S . A *generalized null curve* $S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ ($n \geq 3$) of class $\mathcal{A}^r(S)$ ($r \in \mathbb{N}$) is a pair $(X, f\theta)$ with $X \in \mathcal{A}^r(S, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}^{r-1}(S, \mathbf{A}_*)$ (see (1.1)) such that $f\theta = dX$ holds on K (hence $X: \overset{\circ}{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is a holomorphic null curve), and for a smooth path α in M parameterizing a connected component of Γ we have $\alpha^*(f\theta) = \alpha^*(dX) = d(X \circ \alpha)$.

Finally, recall that a compact subset K of an open Riemann surface M is said to be *Runge* if every function in $\mathcal{A}(K)$ may be approximated uniformly on K

by holomorphic functions defined on M . By the Runge-Mergelyan theorem [13, Theorem 5] this is equivalent to $M \setminus K$ having no relatively compact connected components in M (i.e., K has no holes). A map $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is *flat* if $f(M)$ is contained in an affine complex line in \mathbb{C}^n , and *nonflat* otherwise.

2.2. Technical lemmas. We shall comprise in this subsection the main technical tools for the proofs in Section 3. Let M be an open Riemann surface, $K \subset M$ be a subset, and $f = (f_1, f_2, f_3): K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ be a map. In [6], Alarcón and Forstnerič introduced the function $\mathbf{m}(f): K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ given by

$$(2.1) \quad \mathbf{m}(f) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \max\{|f_1 + if_2|, |f_1 - if_2|\}.$$

Note that $\mathbf{m}(f) \leq |(f_1, f_2)| \leq |f|$ on K by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. The following is [4, Lemma 3.2].

Lemma 2.4. *Let $M = \overline{M} \setminus b\overline{M}$ be a bordered Riemann surface, $K \subset M$ be a smoothly bounded compact domain, $\Lambda \subset M$ be a finite set, $s_0 > 0$ be a number, and $X = (X_1, X_2, X_3): \overline{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ be a null curve of class $\mathcal{A}^1(\overline{M})$. Assume that $\mathbf{m}(X) > s_0$ on $\overline{M} \setminus \mathring{K}$. Given numbers $\varepsilon > 0$, $s > s_0$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a null curve $\widehat{X} = (\widehat{X}_1, \widehat{X}_2, \widehat{X}_3): \overline{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ of class $\mathcal{A}^1(\overline{M})$ satisfying the following:*

- (i) $\|\widehat{X} - X\|_{1,K} < \varepsilon$,
- (ii) $\mathbf{m}(\widehat{X}) > s$ on $b\overline{M}$,
- (iii) $\mathbf{m}(\widehat{X}) > s_0$ on $\overline{M} \setminus \mathring{K}$,
- (iv) $\|\widehat{X}_3 - X_3\|_{0,\overline{M}} < \varepsilon$,
- (v) $\widehat{X} - X$ vanishes to order k everywhere on Λ .

This lemma, together with the projection $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$, was used to construct proper conformal CMC-1 immersions $M \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$ when M is a bordered Riemann surface, see [6, Corollary 3]; and also to construct almost proper conformal CMC-1 immersions $M \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$ when M is an open Riemann surface, see [4, Corollary 5.2]. The following lemma is a modification of Lemma 2.4, replacing conclusion (iii) by a suitable one to construct almost proper CMC-1 faces in \mathbb{S}_1^3 .

Lemma 2.5. *Let $M = \overline{M} \setminus b\overline{M}$ be a bordered Riemann surface, $K \subset M$ be a smoothly bounded compact subset, $\Lambda \subset M$ be a finite set, $s > s_0 > 0$ be numbers, and $X = (X_1, X_2, X_3): \overline{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ be a null curve of class $\mathcal{A}^1(\overline{M})$ such that*

$$(2.2) \quad |X_1 + iX_2| > s_0 \text{ on } b\overline{M}.$$

Given numbers $\varepsilon > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a null curve $\widehat{X} = (\widehat{X}_1, \widehat{X}_2, \widehat{X}_3): \overline{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ of class $\mathcal{A}^1(\overline{M})$ satisfying the following:

- (i) $\|\widehat{X} - X\|_{1,K} < \varepsilon$,
- (ii) $\mathbf{m}(\widehat{X}) > s$ on $b\overline{M}$,
- (iii) $|\widehat{X}_1 + i\widehat{X}_2| > s_0$ on $b\overline{M}$,
- (iv) $\|\widehat{X}_3 - X_3\|_{0,\overline{M}} < \varepsilon$,
- (v) $\widehat{X} - X$ vanishes to order k everywhere on Λ .

Proof. The proof follows the ideas of [6, Lemma 4], but applied differently. Through the proof, we will denote $V_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, i, 0)$ and $V_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, -i, 0)$ (note that V_1 and V_2 are orthonormal). Hence, for a map f we have that

$$\mathbf{m}(f) = \max\{|\langle f, V_1 \rangle|, |\langle f, V_2 \rangle|\},$$

recall (2.1). We assume without loss of generality that \overline{M} is a relatively compact bordered domain in an open Riemann surface \widehat{M} such that \overline{M} is a Runge subset of \widehat{M} . By the Runge-Mergelyan theorem [9, Theorem 3.6.2], we may assume that X extends holomorphically to \widehat{M} . We also assume that $b\overline{M}$ has only one connected component. In the general case we apply the same reasoning to each component.

By a general position argument [9, Theorem 3.4.1 (a)] we may assume there is a point $q \in b\overline{M}$ such that $X_3(q) \neq 0$. We choose a compact smooth embedded arc $\lambda \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ such that

- (a1) one endpoint of λ is $X(q)$ and λ is otherwise disjoint from $X(\overline{M})$,
- (b1) $|\langle z, V_1 \rangle| > s_0/\sqrt{2}$ for any $z \in \lambda$, see (2.2),
- (c1) the other endpoint of λ is denoted $v \in \mathbb{C}^3$, and $|\langle v, V_1 \rangle| > s$,
- (d1) $|\langle z, (0, 0, 1) \rangle - X_3(q)| < \varepsilon/2$ for any $z \in \lambda$.

Up to slightly modifying the arc λ , we may apply the method of exposing boundary points, see [9, Theorem 6.7.1] (see also [15]), in order to approximate X in the \mathcal{C}^1 topology outside a small open neighborhood of q by a null curve $X^0 = (X_1^0, X_2^0, X_3^0): \overline{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ of class $\mathcal{A}^1(\overline{M})$ with $X^0(q) = v$ such that

- (a2) $\|X^0 - X\|_{1,K} < \varepsilon/2$,
- (b2) $|\langle X^0, V_1 \rangle| > s_0/\sqrt{2}$ on $b\overline{M}$, recall that $s > s_0$ and see (b1) and (2.2),
- (c2) $|\langle X^0(q), V_1 \rangle| > s$, see (c1),
- (d2) $\|X_3^0 - X_3\|_{0,\overline{M}} < \varepsilon/2$, see (d1),
- (e2) $X^0 - X$ vanishes to order k everywhere on Λ .

By (c2) and the continuity of X^0 there is a compact subarc $\alpha \subset b\overline{M} \setminus \{q\}$ with

$$(2.3) \quad |\langle X^0, V_1 \rangle| > s \quad \text{on} \quad b\overline{M} \setminus \text{int}(\alpha),$$

where, from now on, $\text{int}(\alpha)$ means the relative interior of the curve α in $b\overline{M}$. Thus we may consider another compact subarc $\beta \subset b\overline{M} \setminus \{q\}$ such that $\alpha \subset \text{int}(\beta)$, a smooth function $\mu: b\overline{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying

$$(2.4) \quad \mu = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad b\overline{M} \setminus \beta,$$

and a map $\vartheta: b\overline{M} \times \overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ given by

$$(2.5) \quad \vartheta(p, \xi) = \begin{cases} X^0(p), & p \in b\overline{M} \setminus \beta, \\ X^0(p) + \mu(p)\xi V_2, & p \in \beta, \end{cases}$$

such that

- (a3) $|\langle \vartheta(p, \xi), V_1 \rangle| > s$ for all $p \in b\overline{M} \setminus \text{int}(\alpha)$ and $\xi \in b\overline{\mathbb{D}}$,
- (b3) $|\langle \vartheta(p, \xi), V_1 \rangle| > s_0/\sqrt{2}$ for all $p \in b\overline{M}$ and $\xi \in b\overline{\mathbb{D}}$,

(c3) $|\langle \vartheta(p, \xi), V_2 \rangle| > s$ for all $p \in \alpha$ and $\xi \in b\mathbb{D}$.

For (a3) take into account (2.3) and that $\langle V_1, V_2 \rangle = 0$; and for (b3) use (b2) and $\langle V_1, V_2 \rangle = 0$. Notice that (2.4) implies the continuity of ϑ and that (c3) follows from choosing μ big enough in α . Now we apply the Riemann-Hilbert theorem with jet interpolation [9, Theorem 6.4.2] to obtain a null curve $\widehat{X} = (\widehat{X}_1, \widehat{X}_2, \widehat{X}_3): \overline{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ of class $\mathcal{A}^1(\overline{M})$ such that

- (a4) $\|\widehat{X} - X^0\|_{1,K} < \varepsilon/2$,
- (b4) $\text{dist}(\widehat{X}(p), \vartheta(p, b\mathbb{D})) < \varepsilon$ for all $p \in b\overline{M}$,
- (c4) $\|\widehat{X}_3 - X_3^0\|_{0,\overline{M}} < \varepsilon/2$, see [9, Theorem 6.4.2, ii)–iii)],
- (d4) $\widehat{X} - X^0$ vanishes to order k everywhere on Λ .

The null curve \widehat{X} satisfies the conclusions of the lemma, provided that ε is chosen small enough. Indeed, (i) follows from (a2) and (a4). Property (ii) is a consequence of (a3), (c3), and (b4). We obtain (iii) from the definition of V_1 , (b3), and (b4). Conditions (d2) and (c4) imply (iv). Finally, (v) is given by (e2) and (d4). \square

3. Proper maps in $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^*$

This section is devoted to prove different Runge approximation theorems for holomorphic null curves in \mathbb{C}^3 , with control on the zero set of the third component function and additionally ensuring that certain holomorphic maps, depending on the null curves, are proper or almost proper.

Theorem 3.1. *Let M be a compact Riemann surface, Ω_0 a smoothly bounded compact connected convex domain in a holomorphic coordinate chart on M , and $X = (X_1, X_2, X_3): M \setminus \mathring{\Omega}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ a holomorphic null curve. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a Cantor set $C \subset \mathring{\Omega}_0$ and an injective nonflat holomorphic null curve $\widetilde{X} = (\widetilde{X}_1, \widetilde{X}_2, \widetilde{X}_3): M \setminus C \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ such that*

- (a) $\|\widetilde{X} - X\|_{1, M \setminus \mathring{\Omega}_0} < \varepsilon$,
- (b) $\widetilde{X}_3^{-1}(0) = X_3^{-1}(0)$,
- (c) $(\widetilde{X}_1, \widetilde{X}_2): M \setminus C \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ and $(1, \widetilde{X}_1, \widetilde{X}_2)/\widetilde{X}_3: M \setminus (C \cup X_3^{-1}(0)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ are proper maps.

Along the proof, we use the following inductive construction of a Cantor set in a smoothly bounded compact connected convex domain $\Omega_0 \subset \mathbb{C}$, as explained in [14] or in [8, §6]. First, denote by E_0 the vertical straight line segment within Ω_0 splitting it into two halves with the same width. Slightly shrinking the closures of these halves, we get two smoothly bounded compact connected convex subsets Δ_0^1 and Δ_0^2 inside $\mathring{\Omega}_0$, as in Figure 3.1. Second, denote by E_0^j the horizontal straight line segments partitioning Δ_0^j into two subsets of equal height for $j = 1, 2$. After shrinking the closures of these four subsets, we get four smoothly bounded compact

connected convex domains $\Omega_1^j, j = 1, \dots, 4$, see Figure 3.1. Set

$$(3.1) \quad \Omega_1 := \bigcup_{j=1}^4 \Omega_1^j \subset \mathring{\Omega}_0.$$

This concludes the first step in the construction. In the second step, this procedure is iterated for every Ω_1^j , resulting in four pairwise disjoint smoothly bounded compact connected convex domains inside each Ω_1^j . This leads to a compact set $\Omega_2 \subset \mathring{\Omega}_1$ formed by the union of sixteen smoothly bounded compact connected convex domains. Proceeding inductively, a descending sequence

$$(3.2) \quad \Omega_0 \ni \Omega_1 \ni \Omega_2 \ni \dots$$

is established. For each $i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, the set Ω_i consists of the union of 4^i pairwise disjoint smoothly bounded compact connected convex domains. The intersection

$$(3.3) \quad C = \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} \Omega_i \subset \mathring{\Omega}_0$$

is a Cantor set in $\mathring{\Omega}_0 \subset \mathbb{C}$. This construction adapts for the case when Ω_0 is contained in a holomorphic coordinate chart on a Riemann surface.




Figure 3.1. First step in the construction of a Cantor set.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We assume without loss of generality that $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and choose any $0 < \varepsilon_0 < \varepsilon/2$. Set $M_0 = M \setminus \mathring{\Omega}_0$, the latter being a smoothly bounded compact connected domain in M . We also write $X^0 = (X_1^0, X_2^0, X_3^0) := X: M_0 \rightarrow$

\mathbb{C}^3 , which we may assume to be a nonflat holomorphic null embedding and to also satisfy that

$$(3.4) \quad X_3^0 \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{m}(X^0) > 0 \quad \text{on} \quad b\Omega_0$$

by a general position argument [9, Theorem 3.4.1 (a)] together with Hurwitz's theorem [23]. Indeed, since the set $\{(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : z_3 = 0, z_1^2 + z_2^2 = 0\}$ is a smooth submanifold of \mathbb{C}^3 of real dimension 4 and the boundary $b\Omega_0$ is of real dimension 1, the general position theorem ensures (3.4). Besides, Hurwitz's theorem guarantees that two close enough not identically zero holomorphic functions have the same number of zeros, counting multiplicity. Hence, when we apply the general position theorem, we ask for jet interpolation on $X_3^{-1}(0)$ of high enough order, and thus we preserve the zero set of the third coordinate function provided that the approximation is close enough on M_0 . Finally, the compactness of $b\Omega_0$ and (3.4) give positive constants $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(3.5) \quad 0 < c_1 < |X_3^0| < c_2 \quad \text{on} \quad b\Omega_0.$$

Following the construction of a Cantor set explained before, we will construct a sequence of tuples $T_n = \{M_n, X^n, \varepsilon_n\}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where

- $M_n = M \setminus \mathring{\Omega}_n$ and Ω_n is a compact subset in $\mathring{\Omega}_{n-1}$ consisting in 4^n smoothly bounded compact connected convex components, see (3.2),
- $X^n : M_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ is a nonflat holomorphic null embedding,
- $\varepsilon_n > 0$ is a real number.

By our choice of M_n and by (3.2) we have that

$$(3.6) \quad M_0 \Subset M_1 \Subset \cdots \Subset M_n \Subset \cdots \Subset \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n = M \setminus \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \Omega_n = M \setminus C,$$

where C is a Cantor set, see (3.3). Moreover, T_n will satisfy the following properties for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

- (a_n) $\|X^n - X^{n-1}\|_{1, M_{n-1}} < \varepsilon_{n-1}$,
- (b_n) $(X_3^n)^{-1}(0) = X_3^{-1}(0)$,
- (c_n) $\mathfrak{m}(X^n) > n - 1$ on $M_n \setminus \mathring{M}_{n-1}$,
- (d_n) $\mathfrak{m}(X^n) > n$ on bM_n ,
- (e_n) $0 < c_1 < |X_3^n| < c_2$ on $M_n \setminus \mathring{M}_0$,
- (f_n) $0 < \varepsilon_n < \varepsilon_{n-1}/2$ and every holomorphic map $Y : M_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ satisfying $\|Y - X^n\|_{1, M_n} < 2\varepsilon_n$ is a nonflat embedding on M_n .

Note that T_0 enjoy properties (b₀), (d₀), and (e₀), see (3.4) and (3.5). Provided the existence of such T_n for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we may consider the holomorphic map

$$(3.7) \quad \tilde{X} := \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} X^n : M \setminus C = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3,$$

which satisfies

$$(3.8) \quad \|\tilde{X} - X^n\|_{1, M_n} < 2\varepsilon_n < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$$

by (a_n) and (f_n) . Therefore $\tilde{X} = (\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2, \tilde{X}_3): M \setminus C \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ is an injective nonflat holomorphic null curve by (3.6), (3.8) and (f_n) . Moreover, it satisfies property (a) in the statement of the theorem by (3.8). Property (b) also holds by (b_n) , Hurwitz's theorem and nonflatness of \tilde{X} . Let us explain (c). It follows from (c_n) and (3.8) that

$$|(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2)| + \varepsilon > |(X_1^n, X_2^n)| \geq \mathfrak{m}(X^n) > n - 1 \quad \text{on } M_n \setminus \mathring{M}_{n-1} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This and (3.6) show that the image of a divergent curve in $M \setminus C$ is a divergent curve in \mathbb{C}^2 , hence proving that $(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2): M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ is a proper map. Write $f = (1, \tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2)/\tilde{X}_3: M \setminus (C \cup X_3^{-1}(0)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$. We have that f is well defined by (b). Besides, (c_n) , (e_n) , (3.8), and the assumption that $\varepsilon < 1$ imply that

$$(3.9) \quad |f| > \left| \frac{1}{\tilde{X}_3}(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2) \right| > \frac{|(X_1^n, X_2^n)| - \varepsilon}{|X_3^n| + \varepsilon} > \frac{n-1}{c_2+1} - 1 \quad \text{on } M_n \setminus \mathring{M}_{n-1}, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let us prove that f is proper. Consider a divergent curve $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow M \setminus (C \cup X_3^{-1}(0))$. Since M is compact, we distinguish cases depending on whether γ diverges to C or $X_3^{-1}(0)$. If $\gamma(t) \rightarrow C$ when $t \rightarrow 1$, equation (3.9) gives that $|f \circ \gamma|$ diverges, see (3.6). In the case that $\gamma(t) \rightarrow X_3^{-1}(0)$ when $t \rightarrow 1$, since $|f \circ \gamma| > 1/|\tilde{X}_3 \circ \gamma|$, we have that $|f \circ \gamma|$ diverges.

It only remains to explain the induction to construct such a sequence T_n . Recall that $T_0 = \{M_0, X^0, \varepsilon_0\}$ satisfies (b_0) , (d_0) , and (e_0) . Let us explain how to obtain T_1 from T_0 .

First, we use (b_0) , (d_0) , (e_0) , and [14, Proposition 2.4] to extend X^0 to a nonflat generalized holomorphic null embedding $X^0: M_0 \cup E_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ such that $\mathfrak{m}(X^0) > 0$ and $c_1 < |X_3^0| < c_2$ on E_0 , see Figure 3.1 and the construction of the Cantor set. Observe also that E_0 intersects M_0 only at its endpoints, and these intersections are transverse by convexity of Ω_0 . This allows us to apply [4, Theorem 3.1] and approximate X^0 on the \mathcal{C}^1 topology on $M_0 \cup E_0$ by a nonflat holomorphic null embedding $Y^0: M \setminus (\mathring{\Delta}_0^1 \cup \mathring{\Delta}_0^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$, where Δ_0^j , $j = 1, 2$ are chosen sufficiently big, see Figure 3.1, such that

$$(3.10) \quad \mathfrak{m}(Y^0) > 0, \quad c_1 < |Y_3^0| < c_2 \text{ on } M \setminus (\mathring{\Delta}_0^1 \cup \mathring{\Delta}_0^2), \quad \text{and } (Y_3^0)^{-1}(0) = (X_3^0)^{-1}(0).$$

In view of (3.10), we can use [14, Proposition 2.4] to extend Y^0 to $E_0^1 \cup E_0^2$ such that $\mathfrak{m}(Y^0) > 0$ and $c_1 < |Y_3^0| < c_2$ on $E_0^1 \cup E_0^2$. Applying [4, Theorem 3.1] we approximate Y^0 in the \mathcal{C}^1 topology on $(M \setminus (\mathring{\Delta}_0^1 \cup \mathring{\Delta}_0^2)) \cup E_0^1 \cup E_0^2$ by a nonflat holomorphic null embedding $Z^0: M_1 := M \setminus \mathring{\Omega}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ where Ω_1 is chosen big enough, see (3.1) and Figure 3.1, so that

$$(3.11) \quad \mathfrak{m}(Z^0) > 0, \quad c_1 < |Z_3^0| < c_2 \text{ on } M_1, \quad \text{and } (Z_3^0)^{-1}(0) = (Y_3^0)^{-1}(0).$$

Now we apply Lemma 2.4, Hurwitz's theorem, and a general position argument (see [9, Theorem 3.4.1 (a)]) to obtain a nonflat holomorphic null embedding $X^1: M_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ satisfying (a_1) , (b_1) , (c_1) , (d_1) , (e_1) , provided that the holomorphic null embeddings X^0 , Y^0 , Z^0 , and X^1 are chosen sufficiently close to each other in the \mathcal{C}^1 norm on M_0 . We choose a positive real number ε_1 for which (f_1) holds. This

gives T_1 . The inductive step to prove the existence of the sequence T_n consists of an analogous reasoning. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let M be a bordered Riemann surface, $X = (X_1, X_2, X_3): \overline{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ be a null curve of class $\mathcal{A}^1(\overline{M})$. Let $\Lambda \subset M$ be a finite subset and $K \subset M$ be a smoothly bounded compact Runge domain. Assume also that $X_3^{-1}(0) \subset M$ and that there exists a number $s_0 > 1$ such that*

$$(3.12) \quad |X_1 + iX_2| > s_0 > 1 \quad \text{on } \overline{M}.$$

For any real number $\varepsilon > 0$ and any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a nonflat holomorphic null curve $\tilde{X} = (\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2, \tilde{X}_3): M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ such that

- (a) $\|\tilde{X} - X\|_{1,K} < \varepsilon$,
- (b) $\|\tilde{X}_3 - X_3\|_{0,\overline{M}} < \varepsilon$,
- (c) $\tilde{X}_3^{-1}(0) = X_3^{-1}(0)$,
- (d) $\tilde{X} - X$ vanishes up to order k everywhere on Λ ,
- (e) $(1, \tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2)/\tilde{X}_3: M \setminus X_3^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ and $h: M \setminus X_3^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ given by

$$\frac{1}{|\tilde{X}_3|^4} \left(\left(|\tilde{X}_1 + i\tilde{X}_2|^2 - 1 \right)^2 + \left(|\tilde{X}_1^2 + \tilde{X}_2^2 + \tilde{X}_3^2|^2 - |\tilde{X}_1 - i\tilde{X}_2|^2 \right)^2 \right)$$

are almost proper maps.

Moreover, when $X|_\Lambda$ is injective, then \tilde{X} may be chosen injective too.

Proof. Assume that $X|_\Lambda$ is injective, otherwise the proof is simpler. Choose a number $\eta > 0$ such that

$$(3.13) \quad \|X_3\|_{0,\overline{M}} + \varepsilon < \eta.$$

Set $X^0 = (X_1^0, X_2^0, X_3^0) := X$ and $\varepsilon_0 := \varepsilon$. By the general position theorem [9, Theorem 3.4.1 (a)] we may assume that X^0 is a nonflat null embedding of class $\mathcal{A}^1(\overline{M})$. Set

$$(3.14) \quad s_n := s_0 + n$$

and choose $M_0 \subset M$ a smoothly bounded compact connected Runge domain with

$$(3.15) \quad K \cup X_3^{-1}(0) \subset \overset{\circ}{M}_0.$$

We shall inductively construct a sequence $\{M_n, X^n, \varepsilon_n\}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ of smoothly bounded compact connected Runge domains M_n , null embeddings $X^n = (X_1^n, X_2^n, X_3^n): \overline{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ of class $\mathcal{A}^1(\overline{M})$, and constants $\varepsilon_n > 0$, such that

$$(3.16) \quad M_0 \Subset M_1 \Subset \cdots \Subset M_n \Subset \cdots \Subset \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n = M,$$

and such that the following properties hold for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

- (a_n) $\|X^n - X^{n-1}\|_{1,M_{n-1}} < \varepsilon_{n-1}$,
- (b_n) $\|X_3^n - X_3^{n-1}\|_{0,\overline{M}} < \varepsilon_{n-1}$,
- (c_n) $(X_3^n)^{-1}(0) = X_3^{-1}(0)$,

- (d_n) $X^n - X$ vanishes to order k everywhere on Λ ,
- (e_n) $\mathfrak{m}(X^n) > s_n$ on bM_n ,
- (f_n) $|X_1^n + iX_2^n| > s_0$ on $\overline{M} \setminus \overset{\circ}{M}_n$,
- (g_n) $0 < \varepsilon_n < \varepsilon_{n-1}/2$ and every holomorphic map $Y: M_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ satisfying $\|Y - X^n\|_{1, M_n} < 2\varepsilon_n$ is a nonflat embedding on M_n .

Let us first prove the basis of the induction. By (3.12) we can apply Lemma 2.5 to obtain a null curve $X^1: \overline{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ of class $\mathcal{A}^1(\overline{M})$ satisfying properties (a₁), (b₁), and (d₁) as a direct consequence of (i), (iv) and (v). Property (c₁) is implied by (b₁) and Hurwitz's theorem, provided interpolation on the set $X_3^{-1}(0)$ of high enough order. Moreover, by continuity of X^1 , choosing a sufficiently big smoothly bounded compact connected Runge domain $M_1 \subset M$, properties (e₁) and (f₁) hold by (ii) and (iii) of Lemma 2.5. By Hurwitz's theorem and a general position argument we may assume that X^1 is a nonflat null embedding of class $\mathcal{A}^1(\overline{M})$, recall that X is injective on Λ . Finally we choose a positive number $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that (g₁) holds.

For the inductive step, in view of (f_n) we can apply Lemma 2.5 to the data

$$X^{n-1}: \overline{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3, \quad M_{n-1}, \quad \Lambda \cup X_3^{-1}(0), \quad s_n > s_0, \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_{n-1},$$

thus obtaining a null curve $X^n: \overline{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ of class $\mathcal{A}^1(\overline{M})$. Next, we choose M_n and ε_n arguing as in the basis case of induction. In this way we construct a sequence $\{M_n, X^n, \varepsilon_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfying (a_n)–(g_n).

By (3.16), (a_n) and (g_n), the sequence $\{X^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly on compacts in M to a holomorphic map

$$\tilde{X} := \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} X^n: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$$

satisfying

$$(3.17) \quad \|\tilde{X} - X^n\|_{1, M_n} < 2\varepsilon_n < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

Therefore, $\tilde{X} = (\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2, \tilde{X}_3): M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ is a nonflat injective holomorphic null curve by (g_n), (3.16) and (3.17). Let us show that \tilde{X} satisfies the conclusions of the theorem. Property (a) follows from (3.15) and (3.17). Property (b) follows from (b_n). Property (c) follows from (c_n), Hurwitz's theorem, and nonflatness of \tilde{X} . Finally, (d) follows from (d_n).

It remains to prove (e); note that both maps in its statement are well defined by (b). Write $f = (1, \tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2)/\tilde{X}_3: M \setminus X_3^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$. Properties (3.13), (3.15), (b), (e_n), and (3.17) imply that

$$|f| > \left| \frac{1}{\tilde{X}_3}(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2) \right| > \frac{|(X_1^n, X_2^n)| - \varepsilon}{|X_3^n| + \varepsilon} > \frac{s_n}{\eta} - 1 \quad \text{on } bM_n.$$

Recall that $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ diverges by (3.14). Then, this inequality, (3.16), and the fact that $1/\tilde{X}_3: W \setminus X_3^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a proper map, where W is any small closed neighbourhood of $X_3^{-1}(0)$ in M , imply that f is an almost proper map. Let us now show that h is also an almost proper map. Choose for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ a compact domain $W_n \subset \overset{\circ}{M}_0$ which is a union of pairwise disjoint smoothly bounded closed

discs $W_{n,p}$ for any $p \in X_3^{-1}(0)$, such that $p \in \mathring{W}_{n,p} \Subset M_n$, recall (3.15) and (3.16). Set $M'_n = M_n \setminus \mathring{W}_n$, which is a smoothly bounded compact connected domain with $bM'_n = bM_n \cup bW_n$. By (b), (c) and (3.16), we may choose the discs $W_{n,p}$ shrinking at each step such that

$$(3.18) \quad M'_0 \Subset M'_1 \Subset \cdots \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M'_n = M \setminus X_3^{-1}(0) = M \setminus \tilde{X}_3^{-1}(0),$$

and satisfying

$$(3.19) \quad |\tilde{X}_3|^4 < 1/s_n \quad \text{on } bW_n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We are going to show that $h > (s_0 - 1)^2 s_n$ on bM'_n for every large enough $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $s_0 > 1$ by (3.12), $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ diverges by (3.14), and by (3.18) this would imply almost properness of h . From (3.12) and (3.17), we have that

$$(3.20) \quad |\tilde{X}_1 + i\tilde{X}_2|^2 - 1 > (|X_1^0 + iX_2^0| - \varepsilon)^2 - 1 > (s_0 - \varepsilon)^2 - 1 > s_0 - 1 > 0 \quad \text{on } M_0,$$

provided that $\varepsilon < s_0 - \sqrt{s_0}$. Since $bW_n \subset \mathring{M}_0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by (3.19) and (3.20) it follows that

$$(3.21) \quad h > \frac{1}{|\tilde{X}_3|^4} \left(|\tilde{X}_1 + i\tilde{X}_2|^2 - 1 \right)^2 > (s_0 - 1)^2 s_n \quad \text{on } bW_n, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let us now prove this inequality on bM_n for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large. Observe that (3.13) and (b) imply that $\|\tilde{X}_3\|_{0,M} < \eta$, hence $1/|\tilde{X}_3| > 1/\eta$ on $M \setminus X_3^{-1}(0)$. Fix any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any point $p \in bM_n$. Suppose that

$$(3.22) \quad \mathfrak{m}(X^n)(p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |X_1^n(p) + iX_2^n(p)| > s_n,$$

recall (2.1) and (e_n). By (3.17) and (3.22), we obtain that

$$h(p) > \frac{1}{|\tilde{X}_3(p)|^4} \left(|\tilde{X}_1(p) + i\tilde{X}_2(p)|^2 - 1 \right)^2 > \eta^{-4} \left((s_n \sqrt{2} - \varepsilon)^2 - 1 \right)^2.$$

By (3.14), for every large enough $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $h(p) > (s_0 - 1)^2 s_n$. On the other hand, when (3.22) does not hold, we have

$$(3.23) \quad \mathfrak{m}(X^n)(p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |X_1^n(p) - iX_2^n(p)| > s_n.$$

Recall that $p \in bM_n$. Then (f_n), (3.17), (3.23), and the triangle inequality give that

$$\begin{aligned} h(p) &> \frac{1}{|\tilde{X}_3(p)|^4} \left(\left| \tilde{X}_1(p)^2 + \tilde{X}_2(p)^2 + \tilde{X}_3(p)^2 \right|^2 - \left| \tilde{X}_1(p) - i\tilde{X}_2(p) \right|^2 \right)^2 \\ &= \eta^{-4} \left| \tilde{X}_1(p) - i\tilde{X}_2(p) \right|^4 \left(\left| \tilde{X}_1(p) + i\tilde{X}_2(p) + \frac{\tilde{X}_3(p)^2}{\tilde{X}_1(p) - i\tilde{X}_2(p)} \right|^2 - 1 \right)^2 \\ &> \eta^{-4} \left(s_n \sqrt{2} - \varepsilon \right)^4 \left(\left(\left| \tilde{X}_1(p) + i\tilde{X}_2(p) \right| - \left| \frac{\tilde{X}_3(p)^2}{\tilde{X}_1(p) - i\tilde{X}_2(p)} \right| \right)^2 - 1 \right)^2 \\ &> \eta^{-4} \left(s_n \sqrt{2} - \varepsilon \right)^4 \left(\left(s_0 - \varepsilon - \frac{\eta^2}{s_n \sqrt{2} - \varepsilon} \right)^2 - 1 \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.12), (3.14), our choice of ε , and provided that $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is sufficiently large, we have that $h(p) > (s_0 - 1)^2 s_n$. Hence, we showed that $h > (s_0 - 1)^2 s_n$ on $bM'_n = bW_n \cup bM_n$ for every large enough $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This, (3.12), (3.14), and (3.18) show that (e) holds. \square

We finish this section with the following analogue to Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.3. *Let M be a compact Riemann surface, Ω_0 a smoothly bounded compact connected convex domain in a holomorphic coordinate chart on M , and $X = (X_1, X_2, X_3): M \setminus \mathring{\Omega}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ a holomorphic null curve. Assume there exists a number $s_0 > 1$ such that*

$$(3.24) \quad |X_1 + iX_2| > s_0 > 1 \quad \text{on } M \setminus \mathring{\Omega}_0.$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a Cantor set $C \subset \mathring{\Omega}_0$ and an injective nonflat holomorphic null curve $\tilde{X} = (\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2, \tilde{X}_3): M \setminus C \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ such that

- (a) $\|\tilde{X} - X\|_{1, M \setminus \mathring{\Omega}_0} < \varepsilon$,
- (b) $\tilde{X}_3^{-1}(0) = X_3^{-1}(0)$.
- (c) $(1, \tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2)/\tilde{X}_3: M \setminus (C \cup X_3^{-1}(0)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ and $h: M \setminus (C \cup X_3^{-1}(0)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ given by

$$\frac{1}{|\tilde{X}_3|^4} \left(\left(|\tilde{X}_1 + i\tilde{X}_2|^2 - 1 \right)^2 + \left(|\tilde{X}_1^2 + \tilde{X}_2^2 + \tilde{X}_3^2|^2 - |\tilde{X}_1 - i\tilde{X}_2|^2 \right)^2 \right)$$

are almost proper maps.

Proof. We shall follow the construction of the Cantor set and the notation M_0 , X^0 and ε_0 in the proof of Theorem 3.1, and assume that X^0 is a null embedding that satisfies (3.4) and (3.5). Assume also that $\varepsilon < s_0 - \sqrt{s_0}$. We will again construct a sequence of tuples $T_n = \{M_n, X^n, \varepsilon_n\}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying the properties (3.6), (a_n), (b_n), (d_n), (e_n), and (f_n) in the proof of Theorem 3.1. However, the following property will hold instead of (c_n):

$$(c'_n) \quad |X_1^n + iX_2^n| > s_0 > 1 \quad \text{on } bM_n.$$

This will lead to a Cantor set $C \subset \mathring{\Omega}_0$ as in (3.6) (not necessarily the same) and to a limit holomorphic null curve

$$\tilde{X} := \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} X^n: M \setminus C \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3,$$

which is well defined and satisfies (a), (b), see the proof of Theorem 3.1. Condition (c) follows by arguing as in the proof of Theorem 3.2. Note that (b_n) ensures that $X_3^{-1}(0) \subset M \setminus \mathring{\Omega}_0 = \mathring{M}_0$, that is, equation (3.15) holds. Then, the first map in (c) is almost proper by (3.6), (d_n), (e_n), (3.8), and (3.15). The map h is almost proper by (a_n), (b_n), (d_n), (f_n), (3.24), and (c'_n).

It only remains to explain the inductive step to construct such sequence T_n . Let us explain how to obtain T_1 from T_0 . The inductive step is again a repetition of this. Taking into account that T_0 satisfies (b₀), (c'_0), and (e₀), we may reason as

in Theorem 3.1, but adding $|Y_1^0 + iY_2^0| > s_0$ and $|Z_1^0 + iZ_2^0| > s_0$ in equations (3.10) and (3.11), respectively. Then we apply Lemma 2.5 to Z^0 together with Hurwitz's theorem, and a general position argument (see [9, Theorem 3.4.1 (a)]). After choosing a suitable smoothly bounded compact connected domain M_1 , this gives a nonflat holomorphic null embedding X^1 satisfying (a_1) , (b_1) , (c'_1) , (d_1) , (e_1) , provided that the holomorphic null embeddings X^0 , Y^0 , Z^0 , and X^1 are chosen sufficiently close to each other in the \mathcal{C}^1 norm on M_0 . Finally, we choose ε_1 such that (f_1) holds. This gives T_1 as desired. \square

Remark 3.4. Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.3 also hold if M is a bordered Riemann surface, recall Definition 2.1. Proving this is just a repetition of the corresponding proofs but changing the exhaustion in (3.6) by one of the form $M'_n \setminus \mathring{\Omega}_n$, where $\{M'_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$ is an exhaustion of M with $\Omega_0 \subset \mathring{M}'_0$.

Remark 3.5. If we have a finite subset $\Lambda \subset M \setminus \Omega_0$ and any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ in the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1 and 3.3, we can ensure that

- (d) $\tilde{X} - X$ vanishes up to order k everywhere on Λ ,

by applying the corresponding interpolation properties in Lemma 2.4 or Lemma 2.5.

Remark 3.6. The assumptions in (3.12) and (3.24) are technical conditions for our approach to work, but we expect they are not necessary for the statements of Theorems 3.2 and 3.3.

4. From holomorphic null curves to CMC-1 surfaces in \mathbb{H}^3 and \mathbb{S}_1^3

We devote this section to the proofs of the theorems stated in the introduction, which are a consequence of the results proved in Section 3. Let us first recall some properties and notation.

Recall that the special linear group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$, as a subset of the 2×2 matrices with complex entries, is

$$\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) = \left\{ A = \begin{pmatrix} z_{11} & z_{12} \\ z_{21} & z_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C}) : \det A = z_{11}z_{22} - z_{12}z_{21} = 1 \right\}.$$

Martín, Umehara and Yamada [25] showed that the biholomorphism $\mathcal{T}: \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{z_{11} = 0\}$ given by

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{T}(z_1, z_2, z_3) = \frac{1}{z_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z_1 + iz_2 \\ z_1 - iz_2 & z_1^2 + z_2^2 + z_3^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^*,$$

takes holomorphic null curves in $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^*$ into holomorphic null curves in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{z_{11} = 0\}$. Further, the inverse \mathcal{T}^{-1} takes holomorphic null curves in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{z_{11} = 0\}$ into holomorphic null curves in $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^*$. Given an open Riemann surface M , a *holomorphic null curve in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$* is a holomorphic immersion $F: M \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ which is directed by the quadric

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} z_{11} & z_{12} \\ z_{21} & z_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C}) : z_{11}z_{22} - z_{12}z_{21} = 0 \right\},$$

that is, the derivative $F': M \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ with respect to any local holomorphic coordinate on M assumes values in $\mathcal{A} \setminus \{0\}$.

Let \mathbb{L}^4 be the Lorentz-Minkowski space of dimension 4 with the canonical Lorentzian metric $-dx_0^2 + dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2$. We consider the following identification with the hermitian matrices $\text{Her}(2) \subset \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$:

$$(4.2) \quad (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{L}^4 \iff \begin{pmatrix} x_0 + x_3 & x_1 + ix_2 \\ x_1 - ix_2 & x_0 - x_3 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Her}(2).$$

The hyperboloid model for the hyperbolic 3-space \mathbb{H}^3 is given by

$$\mathbb{H}^3 = \{(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{L}^4 : -x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = -1, x_0 > 0\}$$

endowed with the metric induced by \mathbb{L}^4 . With the above identification

$$\mathbb{H}^3 = \{A\bar{A}^T : A \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})\} = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})/\text{SU}(2);$$

here $\bar{\cdot}$ and \cdot^T mean complex conjugation and transpose matrix, respectively, and $\text{SU}(2)$ is the special unitary group. In this setting, the canonical projection

$$\pi_H: \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3, \quad \pi_H(A) = A\bar{A}^T,$$

maps holomorphic null curves in $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ to conformal CMC-1 immersions, or equivalently, Bryant surfaces, see [12]. Since $\text{SU}(2)$ is compact, π_H is a proper map and hence it takes proper holomorphic null curves in $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ to proper conformal CMC-1 immersions in \mathbb{H}^3 . It should be noted that the restriction of π_H to $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{z_{11} = 0\}$ is still surjective, and that the projection $\pi_H \circ \mathcal{T}: \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$ takes holomorphic null curves into conformal CMC-1 immersions.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let Ω_0 be a smoothly bounded compact connected convex domain in a holomorphic coordinate chart on M . Consider any holomorphic null curve $X = (X_1, X_2, X_3): M \setminus \mathring{\Omega}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ such that $X_3^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$, whose existence follows from [9, Theorem 3.6] and a suitable translation.

Now we apply Theorem 3.1 to X , which provides a Cantor set $C \subset M$ and a holomorphic null curve $\tilde{X} = (\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2, \tilde{X}_3): M \setminus C \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ such that

- (a1) $(1, \tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2)/\tilde{X}_3: M \setminus C \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ is a proper map,
- (b1) $\tilde{X}_3^{-1}(0) = X_3^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$.

We claim that the following map solves the theorem:

$$\varphi := \pi_H \circ \mathcal{T} \circ \tilde{X}: M \setminus C \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3.$$

By the preceding discussion and (b1) we have that φ is a well defined conformal CMC-1 immersion. Further, (a1) implies that $\mathcal{T} \circ \tilde{X}$ is proper. Indeed, if we denote $(\tilde{F}_{ij}) = \mathcal{T} \circ \tilde{X}$, by (4.1) we have that

$$(4.3) \quad |\tilde{F}_{11}|^2 + |\tilde{F}_{12}|^2 + |\tilde{F}_{21}|^2 = \left(2 \left(|\tilde{X}_1|^2 + |\tilde{X}_2|^2\right) + 1\right) / |\tilde{X}_3|^2.$$

Properness of π_H imply that φ is a proper map too. □

Now we consider the de Sitter 3-space

$$\mathbb{S}_1^3 = \{(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{L}^4 : -x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 1\}$$

endowed with the metric induced by \mathbb{L}^4 . Under the identification given in (4.2), it becomes

$$\mathbb{S}_1^3 = \left\{ A e_3 \bar{A}^T : A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \right\} = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) / \mathrm{SU}_{1,1}, \quad \text{where } e_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

see [22]. The standard projection is given by

$$\pi_S : \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_1^3, \quad \pi_S(A) = A e_3 \bar{A}^T.$$

It maps holomorphic null curves $F = (F_{ij}) : M \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ into CMC-1 faces, provided that the symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensor

$$(4.4) \quad -\det \left[d \left(F e_3 \bar{F}^T \right) \right] = (1 - |g|^2)^2 \omega \bar{\omega} \neq 0,$$

or equivalently, $|g|$ is not identically one, where $g = -dF_{12}/dF_{11}$ is a meromorphic function on M , and ω is a holomorphic 1-form on M , see [16, Theorems 1.7 and 1.9]. Note that the projection π_S is not proper, since $\mathrm{SU}_{1,1}$ is not a compact subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Conversely, every simply connected CMC-1 face in \mathbb{S}_1^3 lifts to a holomorphic null curve in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying (4.4), see [16, Theorem 1.9]. As before, the restriction of π_S to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{z_{11} = 0\}$ is still surjective, and the projection $\pi_S \circ \mathcal{T} : \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_1^3$ carries holomorphic null curves $X : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^*$ with $\mathcal{T} \circ X$ satisfying (4.4) into CMC-1 faces. Finally, if a holomorphic null curve $F : M \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is of the form $F = \mathcal{T} \circ X$ for some holomorphic null curve $X = (X_1, X_2, X_3) : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^*$, a straightforward computation shows that

$$(4.5) \quad g = \frac{-X'_3 X_3}{X'_1 - iX'_2} - (X_1 + iX_2).$$

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $X = (X_1, X_2, X_3) : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ be any holomorphic null curve with $X_3^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$, satisfying (3.12), and such that the holomorphic null curve $\mathcal{T} \circ X : M \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{z_{11} = 0\}$ satisfies (4.4); in particular, by (4.5) there is a point $p \in M$ such that

$$(4.6) \quad |g(p)| = \left| \frac{X'_3(p) X_3(p)}{X'_1(p) - iX'_2(p)} + (X_1(p) + iX_2(p)) \right| \neq 1.$$

Such a null curve may be obtained by lifting a simply connected CMC-1 face, applying [4, Theorem 3.1], and composing it with a suitable translation so that X satisfies (3.12) and $\mathcal{T} \circ X$ satisfies (4.4). Then, Theorem 3.2 gives a nonflat holomorphic null curve $\tilde{X} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ such that

- (a2) $\tilde{X}_3^{-1}(0) = X_3^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$,
- (b2) $\tilde{X} - X$ vanishes to order 1 at p ,
- (c2) the map $(1, \tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2) / \tilde{X}_3 : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ is almost proper,

(d2) the map $h: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\frac{1}{|\tilde{X}_3|^4} \left(\left(|\tilde{X}_1 + i\tilde{X}_2|^2 - 1 \right)^2 + \left(|\tilde{X}_1^2 + \tilde{X}_2^2 + \tilde{X}_3^2|^2 - |\tilde{X}_1 - i\tilde{X}_2|^2 \right)^2 \right)$$

is almost proper.

The following map solves the theorem:

$$\psi := \pi_S \circ \mathcal{T} \circ \tilde{X}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_1^3.$$

Indeed, it is well defined by (a2), and it is a CMC-1 face by (4.4), (4.5), (4.6), and (b2). A straightforward computation shows that

$$|\psi|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2|\tilde{X}_3|^4} \left(\left(|\tilde{X}_1 + i\tilde{X}_2|^2 - 1 \right)^2 + \left(|\tilde{X}_1^2 + \tilde{X}_2^2 + \tilde{X}_3^2|^2 - |\tilde{X}_1 - i\tilde{X}_2|^2 \right)^2 \right) = \frac{1}{2}h,$$

where $|\psi|$ denotes the euclidean norm of ψ in \mathbb{R}^4 . Since h is almost proper by (d2), $|\psi|$ is also almost proper and hence so is ψ . Finally, since $\mathcal{T} \circ \tilde{X}$ is a complete holomorphic null curve by (4.3) and (c2), ψ is weakly complete, see [30]. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let $X = (X_1, X_2, X_3): M \setminus \mathring{\Omega}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ be any holomorphic null curve with $X_3^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$, satisfying (3.24), and such that the holomorphic null curve $\mathcal{T} \circ X: M \setminus \mathring{\Omega}_0 \rightarrow \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{z_{11} = 0\}$ satisfies (4.4); in particular, by (4.5) there is a point $p \in M$ such that (4.6) holds. The existence of such a null curve follows reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1.4. Then we apply Theorem 3.3 to obtain a Cantor set $C \subset \mathring{\Omega}_0$ and a holomorphic null curve $\tilde{X}: M \setminus C \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ such that

- (a3) $\tilde{X}_3^{-1}(0) = X_3^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$,
- (b3) $\tilde{X} - X$ vanishes to order 1 at p ,
- (c3) the map $(1, \tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2)/\tilde{X}_3: M \setminus C \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ is almost proper,
- (d3) the map $h: M \setminus C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\frac{1}{|\tilde{X}_3|^4} \left(\left(|\tilde{X}_1 + i\tilde{X}_2|^2 - 1 \right)^2 + \left(|\tilde{X}_1^2 + \tilde{X}_2^2 + \tilde{X}_3^2|^2 - |\tilde{X}_1 - i\tilde{X}_2|^2 \right)^2 \right)$$

is almost proper.

Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1.4, the map $\psi := \pi_S \circ \mathcal{T} \circ \tilde{X}: M \setminus C \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_1^3$ is well defined by (a3), it is a CMC-1 face by (4.4), (4.5), (4.6), and (b3), it is almost proper by (d3), and it is weakly complete by (4.3) and (c3), see [30]. \square

Acknowledgments. Castro-Infantes is partially supported by the grant PID2021-124157NB-I00 funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033/ ‘ERDF A way of making Europe’, Spain; and by Comunidad Autónoma de la Región de Murcia, Spain, within the framework of the Regional Programme in Promotion of the Scientific and Technical Research (Action Plan 2022), by Fundación Séneca, Regional Agency of Science and Technology, REF, 21899/PI/22.

Hidalgo is partially supported by the State Research Agency (AEI) via the grant no. PID2020-117868GB-I00, funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033/, Spain.

References

- [1] R. Aiyama and K. Akutagawa. Kenmotsu-Bryant type representation formulas for constant mean curvature surfaces in $H^3(-c^2)$ and $S_1^3(c^2)$. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 17(1):49–75, 1999.
- [2] K. Akutagawa. On spacelike hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in the de Sitter space. *Math. Z.*, 196(1):13–19, 1987.
- [3] A. Alarcón and I. Castro-Infantes. Interpolation by conformal minimal surfaces and directed holomorphic curves. *Anal. PDE*, 12(2):561–604, 2019.
- [4] A. Alarcon, I. Castro-Infantes, and J. Hidalgo. Complete CMC-1 surfaces in hyperbolic space with arbitrary complex structure. *Commun. Contemp. Math.* doi: 10.1142/S0219199724500111.
- [5] A. Alarcón and F. Forstnerič. Null curves and directed immersions of open Riemann surfaces. *Invent. Math.*, 196(3):733–771, 2014.
- [6] A. Alarcón and F. Forstnerič. The Calabi–Yau problem, null curves, and Bryant surfaces. *Math. Ann.*, 363(3-4):913–951, 2015.
- [7] A. Alarcón and F. Forstnerič. New complex analytic methods in the theory of minimal surfaces: a survey. *J. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 106(3):287–341, 2019.
- [8] A. Alarcón and F. Forstnerič. Embedded complex curves in the affine plane. *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata*, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10231-023-01418-8>.
- [9] A. Alarcón, F. Forstnerič, and F. J. López. *Minimal surfaces from a complex analytic viewpoint*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Cham, [2021] ©2021.
- [10] A. Alarcón and F. J. López. Minimal surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 properly projecting into \mathbb{R}^2 . *J. Differential Geom.*, 90(3):351–381, 2012.
- [11] A. Alarcón and F. J. López. Null curves in \mathbb{C}^3 and Calabi-Yau conjectures. *Math. Ann.*, 355(2):429–455, 2013.
- [12] R. L. Bryant. Surfaces of mean curvature one in hyperbolic space. *Astérisque*, 353 (1988)(154–155):12, 321–347, 1987. *Théorie des variétés minimales et applications* (Palaiseau, 1983–1984).
- [13] J. E. Fornæss, F. Forstnerič, and E. F. Wold. Holomorphic approximation: the legacy of Weierstrass, Runge, Oka-Weil, and Mergelyan. In *Advancements in complex analysis—from theory to practice*, pages 133–192. Springer, Cham, [2020] ©2020.
- [14] F. Forstnerič. The Calabi-Yau problem for minimal surfaces with Cantor ends. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, 39(6):2067–2077, 2023.
- [15] F. Forstnerič and E. F. Wold. Bordered Riemann surfaces in \mathbb{C}^2 . *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 91(1):100–114, 2009.
- [16] S. Fujimori. Spacelike CMC 1 surfaces with elliptic ends in de Sitter 3-space. *Hokkaido Mathematical Journal*, 35(2):289 – 320, 2006.
- [17] S. Fujimori. Spacelike mean curvature 1 surfaces of genus 1 with two ends in de Sitter 3-space. *Kyushu J. Math.*, 61(1):1–20, 2007.
- [18] S. Fujimori, Y. Kawakami, M. Kokubu, W. Rossman, M. Umehara, and K. Yamada. Hyperbolic metrics on Riemann surfaces and space-like CMC-1 surfaces in de Sitter 3-space. In *Recent trends in Lorentzian geometry*, volume 26 of *Springer Proc. Math. Stat.*, pages 1–47. Springer, New York, 2013.
- [19] S. Fujimori, Y. Kawakami, M. Kokubu, W. Rossman, M. Umehara, and K. Yamada. Analytic extension of exceptional constant mean curvature one catenoids in de Sitter 3-space. *Math. J. Okayama Univ.*, 62:179–195, 2020.
- [20] S. Fujimori, Y. Kawakami, M. Kokubu, W. Rossman, M. Umehara, K. Yamada, and S.-D. Yang. Analytic extensions of constant mean curvature one geometric catenoids in de Sitter 3-space. *Differential Geom. Appl.*, 84:Paper No. 101924, 35, 2022.

- [21] S. Fujimori, W. Rossman, M. Umehara, K. Yamada, and S.-D. Yang. New maximal surfaces in Minkowski 3-space with arbitrary genus and their cousins in de Sitter 3-space. *Results Math.*, 56(1-4):41–82, 2009.
- [22] S. Fujimori, W. Rossman, M. Umehara, K. Yamada, and S.-D. Yang. Spacelike mean curvature one surfaces in de Sitter 3-space. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 17(3):383–427, 2009.
- [23] A. Hurwitz. Ueber die Bedingungen, unter welchen eine Gleichung nur Wurzeln mit negativen reellen Theilen besitzt. *Math. Ann.*, 46(2):273–284, 1895.
- [24] S. Lee and S.-D. Yang. Spacelike constant mean curvature 1 trinoids in de Sitter three-space. *Osaka J. Math.*, 43(3):641–663, 2006.
- [25] F. Martín, M. Umehara, and K. Yamada. Complete bounded null curves immersed in \mathbb{C}^3 and $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 36(1):119–139, 2009. Erratum: Complete bounded null curves immersed in \mathbb{C}^3 and $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 46(1-2): 439–440 (2013).
- [26] Y. Okuyama and K. Yamanoi. A generalization of a completeness lemma in minimal surface theory. *Kodai Math. J.*, 37(2):506–517, 2014.
- [27] M. Umehara and K. Yamada. Applications of a completeness lemma in minimal surface theory to various classes of surfaces. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 43(1):191–199, 2011.
- [28] M. Umehara and K. Yamada. Corrigendum: Applications of a completeness lemma in minimal surface theory to various classes of surfaces [mr2765562]. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 44(3):617–618, 2012.
- [29] S.-D. Yang. Björling formula for mean curvature one surfaces in hyperbolic three-space and in de Sitter three-space. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, 54(1):159–175, 2017.
- [30] Z.-H. Yu. The value distribution of the hyperbolic Gauss map. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 125(10):2997–3001, 1997.

Ildefonso Castro-Infantes

Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Murcia, C. Campus Universitario,
9, 30100 Murcia, Spain.

e-mail: ildefonso.castro@um.es

Jorge Hidalgo

Departamento de Geometría y Topología e Instituto de Matemáticas (IMAG),
Universidad de Granada, Campus de Fuentenueva s/n, E-18071 Granada, Spain.

e-mail: jorgehcal@ugr.es