# **Algebraic Constructions for the Digraph Degree-Diameter Problem**

*Revision: October 23, 2024*

Nyumbu Chishwashwa<sup>1</sup>, Vance Faber<sup>2</sup> and Noah Streib<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Western Cape, Cape Town, South Africa

<sup>2</sup> Center for Computing Sciences, Bowie, Maryland

<sup>3</sup> Center for Computing Sciences, Bowie, Maryland

**Keywords:** Graph, Network Routing, Digraph, Vertex Transitive, Groupoid, Quasigroup, Spanning Factorization.

**Abstract.** The degree-diameter problem for graphs is to find the largest number of vertices a graph can have given its diameter and maximum degree. We show we can realize this problem in terms of quasigroups, 1-factors and permutation groups. Our investigation originated from the study of graphs as the Cayley graphs of groupoids with d generators, a left identity and right cancellation; that is, a right quasigroup. This enables us to provide compact algebraic definitions for some important graphs that are either given as explicit edge lists or as the Cayley coset graphs of groups larger than the graph. One such example is a single expression for the Hoffman-Singleton graph. From there, we notice that the groupoids can be represented uniquely by a set of disjoint permutations and we explore the consequences of that observation.

**Subject Classification Numbers:** 05C20, 05C25, 05C38, 05C90.

# **Introduction**

In this paper, we define a *connected regular digraph*  $D$  to be a set of vertices  $V$  and directed edges *E* such that the in-degree and out-degree of every vertex is a constant *d* and there is a directed path between any two vertices. (For technical reasons, although we allow multiple edges we do not allow loops.) These digraphs are often employed as a model for networks for parallel computing with the vertices representing switch nodes and the edges representing connections between nodes. A critical problem in that regard is optimization of the network with respect to global communication tasks. An important parameter which affects the time of communication is the *diameter* (the maximum distance between nodes) and the problem of minimizing the diameter of graphs given fixed resources such as the number of vertices and their degrees leads to the degreediameter problem (see for example [3]). In this note, we associate an algebraic structure, a groupoid, with every regular digraph which allows us to extend notions used in highly symmetric graphs to more general graphs. Our goal is to find an algebraic framework to develop better digraph networks for the solution of these problems.

Here is a brief roadmap to the sections and examples in this paper.

- Groupoids and Cayley digraphs
- Partial groupoid tables and their extensions
- Factorizations and groupoids
- Spanning factorizations and vertex transitive digraphs
- Examples of Cayley digraphs of groupoids
- o Example 1: Illustration of equivalences between digraph properties
- o Example 2: Kautz digraph as a Cayley digraph of a groupoid
- o Example 3: Groupoid not satisfying Axiom 1
- o Example 4: Groupoid with Cayley graph isomorphic to the Hoffman-Singleton Graph
- o Example 5: Alegre digraph as a Cayley digraph of a groupoid
- Cyclic difference digraphs
- Generalized cyclic difference digraphs
- Some properties of generalized cyclic difference digraphs
	- o Example 6: Alegre digraph as a cyclic difference digraph
	- o Example 7: A second representation of Alegre as a cyclic difference digraph
- Covering groups of digraphs
	- o Example 8: Covering group of Alegre has diameter 23 and 187,500 vertices
	- o Example 9: Generalization of Alegre to GCD with 49 vertices
	- $\circ$  Example 10: Generating  $S_3$  *wr*  $S_3$  as the cover group of two permutations in  $S_9$
	- o Example 11: Three digraphs with degree 2, diameter 2 and 6 vertices
	- o Example 12: Three digraphs with degree 2, diameter 3 and 12 vertices

# **Groupoids and Cayley digraphs**

Here, we define a *groupoid* as a finite set  $\Gamma$  equipped with a binary (not necessarily associative) relation \* called *product* (which we often suppress). If we index the elements of the groupoid, we call the matrix of products the *table* of the groupoid. A *word*  $\omega$  in the elements of  $\Gamma$  is a finite sequence of elements. The *value* of  $\omega$  is the element formed by taking products from left to right in  $\Gamma$ . A subset S of  $\Gamma$  is a *generating set* for  $\Gamma$  (which we denote by  $\Gamma = \langle S \rangle$ ) if each element in  $\Gamma$  is the value of some word in S. Given a groupoid  $\Gamma = \langle S \rangle$ , we create an associated digraph  $G(S)$  with directed edges  $(u, u * s)$  for each  $u \in \Gamma$  and  $s \in S$ . By analogy from group theory, we call this the *Cayley digraph* of the groupoid.

### **Partial groupoid tables and their extensions**

We want our Cayley digraphs to be regular and loopless. What does this mean for the groupoid? Note that for a Cayley digraph of the groupoid generated by a set of size *d* , the *d* columns corresponding to the generators encode the *d* edges in the graph emanating from each vertex *u* because the edges are exactly  $(u, u * s)$ . We call these d columns a *partial* groupoid. Note that if for some element x in the groupoid,  $xs = x$ , then the Cayley digraph will have a loop. Since we are interested in digraphs without loops, we forbid our groupoids from having such an element; that is, they are *loopless* groupoids:  $x \notin xS$  for all  $x \in \Gamma$ . If two partial groupoids have isomorphic

Cayley graphs, we say they are *equivalent*. We call any groupoid with the same columns an *extension* of the partial groupoid. Given any connected, regular and loopless digraph *G* , we will show how to construct a partial groupoid  $\Gamma$  with generating set S such that G is the Cayley digraph of  $\Gamma = \langle S \rangle$  which has the following properties:

P1. The empty word which we call *e* is a left identity.

P2.  $x \notin xS$  for all  $x \in \Gamma$  (loopless).

P3.  $vs = us$  for  $v, u \in \Gamma$  and  $s \in S$  only if  $v = u$  (right cancellation)

and the converse is also true. These properties make  $\Gamma$  a subset of a *right quasigroup*. We will continue to refer to this structure as groupoid even though it has these additional properties.

To achieve this, we first need to develop some machinery.

#### **Factorizations and groupoids**

A *factorization*  $F = \{F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_d\}$  of a regular digraph G of degree d is disjoint decomposition of the edges such that each vertex is the in-vertex for one edge from each  $F_i$ . Let  $F_1, F_2, \cdots, F_d$  be the factors in a 1-factoring of G. If v is a vertex and  $\omega$  is a word, then  $v\omega$ denotes the directed path (and its endpoint) in  $G$  starting at  $\nu$  and proceeding along the unique edge corresponding to each consecutive factor represented in the word  $\omega$ . We say a set of words *W* is *tree-like* if there is a vertex  $v$  in the graph such that  $vW$  is a spanning tree. By Petersen's theorem (see, for example, [16]), every regular digraph has a factorization. For completeness, we provide a proof.

Petersen's Theorem. Every loopless digraph G where the in-degree and out-degree of every *vertex is d has an edge disjoint decomposition into d 1-factors.*

*Proof.* Form an auxiliary graph  $B$  with two new vertices  $u'$  and  $u''$  for each vertex  $u$ . The edges of B are the pairs  $(u', v'')$  where  $(u, v)$  is a directed edge in G. The undirected graph B is bipartite and regular with degree *d* and so by Hall's Marriage Theorem, it can be decomposed into *d* 1-factors. Each of these 1-factors corresponds to a directed 1-factor in *G* .

Now we can prove the fundamental theorem.

*Theorem 1. Given a connected regular and loopless digraph G and a 1-factorization*   $F = \{F_i | 1 \le i \le d\}$ , there is a set W of tree-like words in F . In addition, there is a partial groupoid *with the properties P1, P2 and P3 such that G is its Cayley graph. Conversely, given a partial groupoid*  $\Gamma = \langle S \rangle$  with the properties P1, P2 and P3 then its Cayley graph is a connected regular *and loopless digraph G and* 

*a) for every*  $s_i \in S$  *the sets of edges*  $F_i = \{(u,us_i) | u \in \Gamma\}$  *is a 1-factor,* 

*b*) *the set*  $F = \{F_i | 1 \le i \le |S|\}$  *is a 1-factorization.* 

*Proof.* Suppose G is a connected, regular and loopless digraph of degree d and let  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $F_d$  be the factors in a 1-factoring of G. Let r be any vertex in G. We form a breadth-first

spanning tree  $T$  in  $G$  with root  $r$ . Each of the vertices in  $T$  is on a unique path which can be labeled by a word in the  $F_i$ . In particular, the empty set denotes the root  $r$  and the singletons are both the out-edges at r and the out-neighbors of r. At any vertex  $\omega$  in T there is exactly one outedge labeled  $F_i$ . Thus the tree and the 1-factorization uniquely label every vertex and every edge in *<sup>G</sup>* . Note that the set of words *W* depends on the choice of the root *r* .

To create a partial groupoid  $\Gamma$  whose Cayley graph is  $G$ , we let the elements of  $\Gamma$  be the words that label T and let the singletons be the generating set S, that is,  $s_i = F_i$  If  $\omega$  is an element in the groupoid, then we let  $\omega * s_i$  be the word that labels the vertex  $\omega F_i$  in G. It is clear that the Cayley graph of this groupoid will be  $G$ . It is also clear that by definition,  $\emptyset$  acts as a left identity and because G is loopless, P2 is satisfied. Suppose  $\mu * s_i = \nu * s_i$ . Then  $\mu F_i = \nu F_i$  and since  $F_i$  is a 1factor,  $\mu = \nu$ . This proves that  $\Gamma$  has property P3.

Conversely, given a partial groupoid  $\Gamma$  with the properties P1, P2 and P3, with generating set of size d then clearly the out-degree of  $G(S)$  is d. So the average in-degree is also d. If not all vertices have in-degree  $d$  then there is some vertex  $u$  with in-degree greater than  $d$ . This in turn means there is some s and distinct vertices x and y such that  $xs = ys$ . But by right cancellation (P3), this means that  $x = y$ , a contradiction. This shows  $G(S)$  is a regular digraph. The argument used to show that all the elements are labeled shows that the digraph is strongly connected. To show that each  $F_i$  is a 1-factor, we just have to show that at each vertex  $v$ , there is exactly one edge in and one edge out in the set  $F_i$ . To do this, we only need that there is at least one edge in and one edge out at v for every *i*. Because  $(v, vs_i) \in F_i$ , there is an out-edge in  $F_i$  for every *i*. Suppose there are two in-edges in some  $F_i$  at v. Then there are vertices x and y such that  $xs_i = ys_i$  but by right cancellation,  $x = y$ . Since the in-degree is d and each 1-factor is represented at most once at *v*, each  $F_i$  has a unique in-edge at *v*.

*Definition*. Using the construction in Theorem 1, we can form a *canonical* extension of the partial groupoid  $\Gamma$  with the properties P1, P2 and P3 to a groupoid. Each element in the groupoid has acquired a label from the tree W and the root r. If we have two such elements  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  we define  $\omega = v\mu$  so that  $r\omega = r\nu\mu$ .

*Notes*. The properties P2 and P3 can be simply stated as right multiplication by an element of  $S$  is a fixed point-free permutation on  $\Gamma$ , a derangement. It should be noted that property 1 is independent of the other two properties by examining a simple example (see the particular assignment for the 6 vertex Kautz graph in Example 3 below.) In many cases, we want our groupoids to have an additional property called *left cancellation*:

P4.  $\omega\mu = \omega v$  for  $\mu$ ,  $v$ ,  $\omega \in \Gamma$  only if  $\mu = v$  (left cancellation).

In this case, the right quasigroup becomes a quasigroup.

### **Spanning factorizations and vertex transitive digraphs**

In this section, we review what we know about spanning factorizations.

*Definition*. We say that a 1-factoring  $F = \{F_i\}$  is a *spanning factorization* of the digraph G with *n* vertices if there exists a set  $W = \{Q, \omega_1, \omega_2, ..., \omega_{n-1}\}$  of *n* words in *F* such that for every *v* the vertices  $v\omega_i$  are distinct.

*Definition*. A digraph G is *vertex transitive* if for any two vertices  $u$  and  $v$  there is an automorphism of  $G$  which maps  $u$  to  $v$ .

We will also need to use the Cayley coset representation of a vertex transitive digraph.

*Definition* (Cayley coset graph). Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite group, H a subgroup and S a subset. Suppose

- *1*)  $S \cap H = \emptyset$  and  $\Gamma$  is generated by  $S \cup H$ ,
- 2)  $\text{HSH} \subset \text{SH}$ ,
- *3) S* is a subset of distinct coset representatives of H in  $\Gamma$ .

*Connected Case.* In addition,  $G = (\Gamma, S, H)$  is connected if and only if

4)  $\Gamma = \langle S \rangle H$ .

*Proof.* It is obvious that if  $\Gamma = \langle S \rangle H$ , then G is connected. Conversely, suppose  $G = (\Gamma, S, H)$  is connected but  $\Gamma \neq \langle S \rangle H$  and consider the induced subgraph  $G_H$  generated by vertices gH with  $g \in \langle S \rangle H$ . Then since G is connected, there must be an fH not in  $G_H$  and  $g \in \langle S \rangle$  such that *fH* = *gsH* for some  $s \in S$ . This means that  $f = gsh$  for some *h* and so  $f \in \langle S \rangle H$  after all.

Then we can form the *Cayley coset digraph*  $G = (\Gamma, S, H)$  with the cosets  $\{gH : g \in \Gamma\}$  as vertices and the set of pairs  $(gH, gsH)$  with  $s \in S$  as edges. When H is the identity subgroup, the graph is a *Cayley digraph*.

 The classic proof of Sabidussi [4] shows that a digraph is vertex transitive if and only if it is a Cayley coset digraph. An important aspect of the proof shows that one can construct a Cayley coset digraph from a vertex transitive digraph by using the automorphism group as the group  $\Gamma$  required in the definition and the subgroup of automorphisms that fix a vertex as the required subgroup  $H$ . The generators S correspond to automorphisms that map a vertex to a neighbor.

In [5], we showed that a regular digraph D has a spanning factorization if and only if D is connected and vertex transitive. Here, we extend that characterization to Cayley graphs of groupoids.

*Theorem 2. The following properties of a connected regular digraph G are equivalent:*

*(VT) G is vertex transitive;*

*(SF) G has a spanning factorization;*

*(LC) G is the Cayley graph of a groupoid with left cancellation (property P4).*

*Proof.* A 2006 paper [4] on groupoids by Mwambene shows the equivalence of VT and LC. Our proof uses a more algorithmic approach to make explicit the correspondence between

automorphisms, 1-factors and elements of the groupoid. For completeness, we repeat our proof that SF is equivalent to VT.

 $(SF \Rightarrow VT)$  Suppose G is a digraph with a spanning factorization

$$
W = \{ \omega_0 = \emptyset, \omega_1 = F_1, \omega_2 = F_2, \dots, \omega_d = F_d, \omega_{d+1}, \dots, \omega_{n-1} \}.
$$

Let  $u$  be a fixed vertex in  $G$ . Since  $W$  is a spanning factorization, each vertex has a unique representation  $u\omega$  with  $\omega \in W$ ; use those representations to label the vertices. Edges in the graph have the form  $(u\omega, u\omega F_i) = (u\omega, u\omega_k)$  where k is a function of  $\omega$  and i. The definition of a spanning factorization requires that  $v\omega_i = v\omega_j$  implies  $\omega_i = \omega_j$  for any vertex (*left cancellation*). By the properties of a factorization, if  $u\omega_i F_k = u\omega_j F_k$  then  $u\omega_i = u\omega_j$  and so by left cancellation, then  $\omega_i = \omega_j$ . By induction, we can expand this property to all words, not just the factors, so if  $u\alpha\omega_i = u\beta\omega_i$  for words  $\alpha, \beta$  in W then  $\alpha = \beta$  (*right cancellation*). At this point, we can construct the required automorphisms. Given  $\omega \in W$ , define  $\theta_{\omega}$  by  $\theta_{\omega}(u\omega_i) = u\omega\omega_i$ . Suppose  $u\omega\omega_i = u\omega\omega_j$ . Then with  $v = u\omega$  this gives  $v\omega_i = v\omega_j$ , which implies that  $\omega_i = \omega_j$ . This tells us that  $\theta_\omega$  is one to one. Suppose  $u\omega_i F_k = u\omega_j$ . Then  $\theta_\omega(u\omega_i F_k) = \theta_\omega(u\omega_j) = u\omega\omega_j = u\omega\omega_i F_k$  so the edge  $(u\omega_i, u\omega_i F_k)$  is mapped to  $(u\omega\omega_i, u\omega\omega_i F_k)$  by  $\theta_{\omega}$  which is also an edge. Suppose  $\theta_{\omega}$  maps two edges to the same edge,  $\theta_{\omega}(u\alpha, u\alpha F_i) = \theta_{\omega}(u\beta, u\beta F_i)$ . Then  $(u\omega\alpha, u\omega\alpha F_i) = (u\omega\beta, u\omega\beta F_i)$ . By left cancellation,  $\alpha = \beta$  so  $u\omega \alpha F_i = u\omega \alpha F_j$ . Again, by left cancellation,  $F_i = F_j$ . This shows that  $\theta_\omega$  is one-to one on edges so it is an automorphism. Can we find  $\alpha$  such that  $\theta_{\alpha}(u\omega_i) = u\alpha\omega_i = u\omega_j$ ? By right cancellation, all the  $u\alpha\omega$  are different as the  $\alpha$  runs over all of W. In particular, one of them must be  $u\omega_j$  so there always is such a  $\theta_\alpha$ . We have proved that G is vertex transitive.

 $(VT \Rightarrow SF)$  Let  $\Gamma$  be a group of automorphisms which acts transitively on the connected digraph *G*. We let  $u_0$  be a vertex in *G* and  $u_i$  for  $1 \le i \le d$  be its neighbors. For each  $1 \le i \le d$ , we let  $s_i$ be an element of  $\Gamma$  such that  $s_i(u_0) = u_i$ . We assign the edge  $(u_0, s_i(u_0))$  to  $F_i$ . We form a breadth first tree  $T(S)$  of generators applied to  $u_0$  until we have generated all the vertices of G. We know this is possible because we showed that in the connected case, above,  $\Gamma = \langle S \rangle H$ . This labels the vertices by words in  $S = \{s_i\}$  which we denote by  $\omega_k(S)$  so that the vertex  $u_k = \omega_k(S)(u_0)$ . Given a vertex  $\omega_k(S)(u_0)$ , since  $s_i$  is an automorphism,  $\omega_k(S)s_i(u_0)$  is a neighbor of  $\omega_k(S)(u_0)$ . We assign the edge  $(\omega_k(S)(u_0), \omega_k(S)s_i(u_0))$  to  $F_i$ . Let  $F = \{F_i\}$ .

*Step 1*. By induction we can show that  $\omega(S)(u_0) = u_0 \omega(F)$ .

Step 2.  $\alpha(S)s_i(u_0) = \alpha(S)s_j(u_0)$  implies  $s_i(u_0) = s_j(u_0)$ .

Step 3. Suppose  $\alpha(S)s_i(u_0) = \beta(S)s_i(u_0) = v$  for some i and words  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Then by induction,  $\alpha(S)s_i^p(u_0) = \beta(S)s_i^p(u_0)$  is a path from v which eventually ends up with  $\alpha(S)(u_0) = \beta(S)(u_0)$ when  $s_i^p = e$ . Using step 1, this translates to

$$
\alpha(S)(u_0)F_i = \beta(S)(u_0)F_i
$$
 implies  $\alpha(S)(u_0)_i = \beta(S)(u_0)$ .

Together with Step 2, this shows that  $F$  is a 1-factorization.

*Step 4.* Finally, we show that this factorization is spanning. We consider the tree  $T(S)$  of words in S. Step 2 shows that  $u_0T(F) = T(S)(u_0)$  is a tree in G. We want to show that  $u_kT(F)$  is also a tree for each vertex  $u_k$ . But  $u_k = \omega_k(S)u_0$  and vertices in  $u_kT(F)$  are  $\omega_k(S)(u_0) = u_0\omega(F)\omega_k(F)$ . If  $\alpha(S)\omega_k(S)(u_0) = u_0\alpha(F)\omega_k(F) = u_0\beta(F)\omega_k(F) = \beta(S)\omega_k(S)(u_0)$ , we can use the calculation in Step 3 to cancel one element of S at a time to eliminate  $\omega_k(S)$  entirely to see that  $\alpha(S)(u_0) = \beta(S)(u_0)$  which means these were the same vertex in the tree at  $u_0$ .

(SF  $\Leftrightarrow$  LC) We use the correspondence from Theorem 1 to generate the groupoid  $\Gamma$  with Cayley graph G. Let T be the tree rooted at the vertex r which produces the labels  $rW(F)$  for the vertices of G and  $W(S)$  for the elements of  $\Gamma$ . There is a 1-1 correspondence between the element  $\omega(S)$  and the vertex  $r\omega(F)$ . If  $u = r\omega(F)$ , then  $uT = r\omega(F)$  so two vertices  $u\mu(F) = u\nu(F)$  if and only if  $r\omega(F)\mu(F) = r\omega(F)\nu(F)$ . We have defined the product in  $\Gamma$  so that  $r\omega(F)\mu(F) = r\omega(F)\nu(F)$  if and only if  $\omega(S)\mu(S) = \omega(S)\nu(S)$ . With these preliminaries in mind, suppose  $u\mu(F) = uv(F)$  for some vertex  $u = r\omega(F)$ . Then  $\omega(S)\mu(S) = \omega(S)\nu(S)$  and by left cancellation in  $\Gamma$ ,  $\mu(S) = \nu(S)$  so  $r\mu(F) = r\nu(F)$  which means  $\mu(F) = \nu(F)$ . This shows LC implies SF. Conversely, suppose  $\omega(S) \mu(S) = \omega(S) \nu(S)$  for some elements of  $\Gamma$ . But then  $r\omega(F)\mu(F) = r\omega(F)\nu(F)$  by the way we defined the product in  $\Gamma$  so with  $u = r\omega(F)$ ,  $u\mu(F) = u\bar{v}(F)$  and so by SF,  $r\mu(F) = r\bar{v}(F)$ . Since these are both paths in the tree T,  $\mu(F) = \nu(F)$  and consequently  $\mu(S) = \nu(S)$ . This shows SF implies LC.

*Notes*.

a) The words in F and S are applied in opposite order,  $u\omega(F) = \omega(S)(u)$ . See Example 1 below.

b) The earliest use of groupoids to create vertex transitive graphs seems to be [19] which adds an extra condition that the vertices correspond to a set of automorphisms with the property that for each pair of vertices  $u$  and  $v$  there is exactly one automorphism in the set mapping  $u$  to  $v$ .

c) The words generated by  $F$  are permutations on the vertices and so are the words generated by the automorphisms in *S* . Even though we showed that these are associated with each other when the graph is vertex transitive, they are not the same group. See Example 1. Below we will come back to the group generated by the 1-factors.

d) The proof of Theorem 2 shows that something a bit stronger is true. If we start with a spanning factorization with a 1-factorization  $F$  for a digraph  $G$  then  $F$  produces a generating set of automorphisms *<sup>S</sup>* . But those automorphisms can be used with breadth first search to find a tree *T* using the edges from  $F$  as the spanning factorization. This leads to the following question.

*Question on checking the vertex transitivity of a digraph*. Suppose we start with a regular digraph and a 1-factorization  $F$ . From this we produce a partial groupoid  $\Gamma$  with generating set S derived from  $F$ . To check whether the extension of  $\Gamma$  has left cancellation, we don't need the whole extension. We only need to generate labels for the elements with a breadth first search tree and then check if this tree satisfies the properties of a spanning factorization. This means we can skip the step of generating the groupoid and go directly from  $F$  to a breadth first tree  $rT$  in  $F$  starting at any root r and then check if  $vT$  is also a tree for every vertex v. What is the most efficient method for

searching all 1-factorizations to determine if one exists that forms a spanning factorization? It seems like if we use the brute force method of moving the tree to each vertex one at a time, a lot of work is repeated. The partial groupoid already produces the start for all the trees because it uses the fact that the columns are already a partial tree since they came from a 1-factorization. The problem is we might have to go out a long distance from  $r$  before we discover that the tree we are growing does not satisfy the spanning factorization requirement.

# **Examples of Cayley digraphs of groupoids**

We give some examples that illustrate how groupoids can be used to describe digraphs.

*Example 1*. Here is an example that illustrates the equivalences of Theorem 2. The group is a semidirect product group on the two generators  $\alpha$  and  $\theta$  with

$$
\alpha^4 = 1 = \theta^5
$$

$$
\alpha^{-1}\theta\alpha=\theta^2.
$$

A permutation representation is given by

$$
\theta = (0, 1, 2, 3, 4)(5, 6, 7, 8, 9)
$$

$$
\alpha = (0,5)(1,8,4,7)(2,6,3,9).
$$

The subgroup is  $H = \{1, \alpha^2\}$ . The vertices of the graph are the *H* cosets of

$$
[e, \theta, \theta^2, \theta^3, \theta^4, \alpha, \theta\alpha, \theta^2\alpha, \theta^3\alpha, \theta^4\alpha] = [0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9].
$$

The edges and 1-factors corresponding to  $\theta$  and  $\alpha$  are easily computed using  $\alpha^{-1}\theta\alpha = \theta^2$ .

$$
F_1 = \{ (0, 1, 2, 3, 4), (5, 8, 6, 9, 7) \},
$$
  

$$
F_2 = \{ (0, 5), (1, 6), (2, 7), (3, 8), (3, 9) \}.
$$

At this point, note that the group generated by the automorphisms  $\alpha$  and  $\theta$  is not the same as the group generated by the permutations  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ . The latter group is isomorphic to the semidirect product  $\mathbb{Z}_2(\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5)$ .

The words  $\omega_i$  forming the tree of paths starting from 0 are given by

$$
\omega_0 = \varnothing \rightarrow \omega_5 = F_2
$$
  
\n
$$
\downarrow
$$
  
\n
$$
\omega_1 = F_1 \rightarrow \omega_6 = F_1 F_2
$$
  
\n
$$
\downarrow
$$
  
\n
$$
\omega_2 = F_1^2 \rightarrow \omega_7 = F_1^2 F_2
$$
  
\n
$$
\downarrow
$$

$$
\omega_3 = F_1^3 \rightarrow \omega_8 = F_1^3 F_2
$$
  
\n
$$
\downarrow
$$
  
\n
$$
\omega_4 = F_1^4 \rightarrow \omega_9 = F_1^4 F_2.
$$

It is then easy to check that  $i = 0 \omega_i(F_1, F_2) = \omega_i(\theta, \alpha)(0)$ . We can now fill out the  $\omega_1 = F_1$  and  $\omega_2 = F_2$  columns in the corresponding groupoid multiplication table. They correspond to the edges of the graph.



To fill in a row, we move along paths given by the tree. For example,

 $\omega^{\phantom{\dagger}}_1$   $\omega^{\phantom{\dagger}}_2$   $\omega^{\phantom{\dagger}}_3$   $\omega^{\phantom{\dagger}}_4$   $\omega^{\phantom{\dagger}}_5$   $\omega^{\phantom{\dagger}}_6$   $\omega^{\phantom{\dagger}}_7$   $\omega^{\phantom{\dagger}}_8$   $\omega^{\phantom{\dagger}}_9$  $\omega^{}_{7}$   $\omega^{}_{5}$   $\omega^{}_{8}$   $\omega^{}_{6}$   $\omega^{}_{9}$   $\omega^{}_{2}$   $\omega^{}_{0}$   $\omega^{}_{3}$   $\omega^{}_{1}$   $\omega^{}_{4}$ 

The theorem shows that the original digraph is vertex transitive if and only if every row and column is a permutation.

*Example 2*. This example is a groupoid on  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ . Multiplication is defined by the table



Note that, in fact, the columns are permutations but the rows are not. The generators are  $t = (1,0)$  and  $s = (0,1)$  and these columns are fixed point free. The generator s produces a 6-cycle in the Cayley graph. The set  $H = \{e, t\}$  is a subgroup and  $Hs$  and  $Hs<sup>2</sup>$  are disjoint cosets.

However, in the Cayley graph, *H* is a 2-cycle and  $Hs \cup Hs^2$  is a 4-cycle. This graph is the Kautz graph  $G(2,3)$ .

*Example 3*. The following groupoid on  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$  satisfies the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> axioms but not the 1<sup>st</sup>. It is finitely generated by  $t = (1,0)$  and  $s = (0,1)$  but the empty word can't be assigned a consistent meaning. The problem is that it functions on the right as an identity but not on the left. This shows that axiom 1 is independent of the other axioms.



Even though this is not a groupoid in the sense we are using, it still has a Cayley graph. The graph is the directed graph as in Example 2.

*Example 4.* We define a groupoid on  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$  by

$$
(a,b,c)*(x,y,z) = (a+x,b-bx+y,c+(-1)^a by + 2^a z)
$$

for p a prime. In the case  $p = 5$ ,

 $S = \{(0,0,1), (0,0,4), (1,0,0), (1,1,0), (1,2,0), (1,3,0), (1,4,0)\}$ 

produces the Hoffman-Singleton graph [2] which is an undirected graph of degree 7 and diameter 2.

*Example 5*. The Alegre graph [1] is the largest known degree 2 diameter 4 digraph. It has 25 vertices. We can represent it as the Cayley graph G of a groupoid on  $\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$ . Again the elements

are  $t^i s^j$ . This time we use the lexicographic ordering to represent the elements as natural numbers from 0 to 24 on the Hamiltonian cycle determined by *s* . Then it is only necessary to describe the factor determined by t. It consists of a 5-cycle starting at 0, another 5-cycle starting at 3 and a 15cycle. We denote this by

 $(0, 5, 10, 15, 20)(3, 23, 18, 13, 8)(1, 17, 24, 21, 12, 19, 16, 7, 14, 11, 2, 9, 6, 22, 4).$ 

# **Cyclic difference digraphs**

*Note*. Up to this point, a word in the 1-factors has been parsed from left to right with vertices on the left. From now on, we will want to think of a 1-factor as a permutation of the vertices and therefore, we will parse words from right to left and apply them as functions with domain elements on the right. So given a 1-factor F, it is a permutation with an edge out from v being  $(v, F(v))$ .

We give a general construction of a family of digraphs of degree 2 which have some symmetry but are not necessarily vertex transitive. We will call the members of this family, cyclic difference digraphs. We start with vertices  $V = \{k : 0 \le k < n\}$  and assume that  $n = ab$  with both a and b not equal to 1. Each cyclic difference digraph is the disjoint union of two special 1-factors, *Z* and *Y* . It is convenient to think of a 1-factor as both a set of edges and a permutation on *n* so an edge in the 1-factor *F* is  $(k, F(k))$ . The permutation *Z* is a single cycle,  $Z(k) = k + 1 \pmod{n}$ . We call an interval of the form  $[ia,(i+1)a)$  the *i* segment. To construct the complementary 1-factor Y, we specify every element of V by giving its segment and the position in the segment: that is,  $k = ia + j$ with  $0 \le j \le a$  and  $0 \le i \le b$ . Let  $T = \{t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_{a-1}\}$  be a sequence of (not necessarily distinct) elements of  $[0,b)$  and let  $\pi$  be a permutation on  $[0,a)$ . The edges in Y are defined for each  $k = ia + j$  by

$$
(ia+j,(i+t_j)a+\pi(j))
$$

where the second entry is taken modulo  $n$ . To ensure that  $Y$  and  $Z$  form complementary 1-factors, we need to impose additional conditions on the sequence T and the permutation  $\pi$ . We will find these conditions in the next section where we generalize this definition.

### **Generalized cyclic difference digraphs**

In this section, we give another construction of degree 2 digraphs. Such a graph has two disjoint 1 factors. We can call these 1-factors  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  and we overload the symbol  $F_i$  to denote either 1) all the edges in the 1-factor or 2) the edge  $vw$  or 3) a function which inputs a vertex  $v$  and outputs the edge *vw* in  $F_i$ . Which connotation is being used should be clear from context. Note that in the context of 3), the two 1-factors are disjoint derangements on the set of vertices. As we have shown above in Theorem 1, each degree 2 digraph corresponds to a groupoid with two columns that are disjoint derangements.

*Generalized cyclic difference graphs.* We create a digraph on the Cartesian product  $V = \mathbb{Z}_a \times \mathbb{Z}_b$ where  $n = ab$ . We can write each element in V as  $k = (j, i)$  where  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_a$  and  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_b$ . Our construction is a generalization of the vertex transitive metacirculant digraphs defined in

*Lemma* 3. Let  $(X_j | j \in \mathbb{Z}_a)$  be a collection of permutations on  $\mathbb{Z}_b$  and let  $\sigma$  be a permutation on *a*. If for each *j* either  $X_j$  is a derangement or *j* is not a fixed point of  $\sigma$ , the function  $X(j,i) = (\sigma(j), X_j(i))$  is a derangement on  $V = \mathbb{Z}_a \times \mathbb{Z}_b$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $(\sigma(j), X_j(i)) = (\sigma(j'), X_j(i'))$ . Then in particular,  $j = j'$  and so  $X_j(i) = X_j(i')$ . This in turn implies that  $i = i'$  so X is a permutation. Similarly, if  $(\sigma(j), X_j(i)) = (j, i)$  for some *i* and *j*, then  $\sigma(j) = j$  and  $X_j(i) = i$  so *j* is a fixed point of  $\sigma$  and *i* is a fixed point of  $X_j$ , contradicting the hypothesis.

We call the function  $X(j,i) = (\sigma(j), X_j(i))$  defined in Lemma 3 a *semi-direct permutation* on  $V = \mathbb{Z}_a \times \mathbb{Z}_b$ .

*Note*. Given two semi-direct permutations on  $V = \mathbb{Z}_a \times \mathbb{Z}_b$ ,  $A(j,i) = (\alpha(j), A_j(i))$  and  $B(j,i) = (\beta(j), B_j(i))$  where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are permutations on  $\mathbb{Z}_a$  and the  $A_j$  and  $B_j$  are permutations on  $\mathbb{Z}_b$ , their composition is the permutation AB given by  $AB(j,i) = (\alpha\beta(j), A_{\beta^{-1}(j)}B_j(i))$ . This is the standard product formula in the wreath product of  $S_a$ and  $S_b$  which is a semidirect product of  $S_a(S_b)^a$ . Many permutations in  $S_a(S_b)^a$  are not derangements and therefore not eligible to be 1-factors of a graph. We will return to this wreath product later.

*Lemma* 4. Given the semi-direct derangement  $Z(i, j) = (\sigma(j), Z_j(i))$  and the semi-direct permutation  $T(i, j) = (\theta(j), T_j(i))$ , then

- 1) *Z* and  $Y = ZT$  are disjoint if and only if *T* is a derangement,
- 2)  $Y = ZT$  is a derangement if and only if the digraph formed by Z and T has no dicycle.

*Proof.* First, since Z is a derangement, it is a 1-factor. Then  $Z(k) = Y(k) = ZT(k)$  if and only if  $T(k) = k$ . Second  $ZT(k) = k$  if and only  $(k, T(k))$  iand  $(T(k), k)$  are both edges in the union of *Z* and *<sup>T</sup>* .

*Definition*. We call the digraph constructed in Lemma 4 from semi-direct derangements, a generalized cyclic difference digraph or GCD.

*Theorem* 5. A cyclic difference digraph is a GCD.

*Proof.* We let  $Z = (\zeta, (Z_j))$  be the derangement  $Z(k) = k + 1 \pmod{ab}$  on V,  $k = ai + j$ . This is realized by  $\zeta(j) = j+1 \pmod{a}$  and  $Z_j(i) = i$  except  $Z_{a-1}(i) = i+1 \pmod{b}$ . Let  $T = (\theta, (T_j))$ with  $\theta(j) = \pi(j) - 1 \pmod{a}$  and  $T_j(i) = i + t_j \mod{b}$  unless  $j = \theta(a-1)$  when  $T_{\theta(a-1)}(i) = i - 1 + t_{\theta(a-1)} \mod (b)$ . We then need to calculate

$$
Y(j,i) = ZT(j,i) = (\varsigma \theta(j), Z_{\theta^{-1}(j)}T_j(i)) = (\pi(j), Z_{\theta^{-1}(j)}T_j(i)) .
$$

We have  $Z_{\theta^{-1}(j)}T_j(i) = Z_{\theta^{-1}(j)}(i+t_j) = i+t_j$  unless  $\theta^{-1}(j) = a-1$  when

$$
Z_{a-1}T_{\theta(a-1)}(i) = T_{\theta(a-1)}(i) + 1 = (i - 1 + t_{\theta(a-1)}) + 1 = i + t_{\theta(a-1)}.
$$

In any case,  $Y(j, i) = (\pi(j), i + t_j)$  which proves the theorem.

*Theorem* 6. A line digraph of degree 2 digraph is a GCD.

*Proof.* We let the 1-factors of the graph G be  $F_0$  and  $F_1$ . The GCD will have vertices  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ which we write as  $(j, i)$ . We let  $Z_0(i) = F_0(i)$ ,  $Z_1(i) = F_1(i)$  and  $\zeta$  be the identity. Clearly,  $Z = (\zeta, Z_j)$  is a semi-direct derangement by Lemma 3. We let  $T(j,i) = (\theta(j), i)$  where  $\theta$  is the transposition (0,1) which is also a semi-direct derangement by Lemma 3. We claim that the GCD with factors Z and  $Y = ZT$  is isomorphic to the line graph of G. Suppose i is a vertex in G. The edges from *i* are  $(i, F_0(i))$  and  $(i, F_1(i))$  which are the vertices in the line graph. We can assign these vertices the indices  $(0, i)$  and  $(1, i)$ , respectively. There are four types of edges in the line graph and each one is an edge in *Z* or *Y* :

$$
((i, F_0(i)), (F_0(i), F_0^2(i))) = ((0, i), (0, F_0(i))) = Z(0, i),
$$
  

$$
((i, F_1(i)), (F_1(i), F_1^2(i))) = ((1, i), (1, F_1(i))) = Z(1, i),
$$
  

$$
((i, F_0(i)), (F_0(i), F_1F_0(i))) = ((0, i), (1, F_0(i))) = ZT(0, i),
$$

 $((i, F_i(i)), (F_i(i), F_i F_i(i))) = ((1, i), (1, F_i(i)) = ZT(1, i)$ .

### **Some properties of generalized cyclic difference digraphs**

Let *M* be a generalized cyclic difference digraph generated by the semi-direct derangements *Z* and *Y* = *ZT*. Graph automorphisms of *M* are elements of  $S<sub>n</sub>$  which preserve edges, Suppose  $\alpha$  is an automorphism and v is a vertex. Then since the edges out from v are  $(v, Z(v))$  and  $(v, Y(v))$  and automorphism satisfies either

A1)  $\alpha Z(v) = Z\alpha(v)$  and  $\alpha Y(v) = Y\alpha(v)$ 

or

A2) 
$$
\alpha Z(v) = Y\alpha(v)
$$
 and  $\alpha Y(v) = Z\alpha(v)$ .

*Theorem* 7. A permutation  $\alpha$  is an automorphism of the GCD M with factors Z and  $Y = ZT$  if and only if for every vertex v either

B1) 
$$
\alpha(v) = Z^{-1} \alpha Z(v) = T^{-1} Z^{-1} \alpha Z T(v)
$$

or

B2) 
$$
\alpha(v) = Z^{-1} \alpha Z T(v) = T^{-1} Z^{-1} \alpha Z(v)
$$
.

*Proof.* In case A1)  $\alpha Z(v) = Z\alpha(v)$  implies  $Z^{-1}\alpha Z(v) = \alpha(v)$  and  $T^{-1}Z^{-1}\alpha ZT(v) = Y^{-1}\alpha Y(v) = \alpha(v)$ . In case A2)  $\alpha Z(v) = Y\alpha(v)$  yields  $Z^{-1}\alpha Z(v) = T\alpha(v)$  and  $\alpha Y(v) = Z\alpha(v)$  yields

 $Z^{-1}\alpha ZT(v) = \alpha(v)$ . Put these two together and get  $T^{-1}Z^{-1}\alpha Z(v) = Z^{-1}\alpha ZT(v) = \alpha(v)$ . Conversely, if B1) holds, then A1) is clear. If B2) holds,  $\alpha(v) = Z^{-1} \alpha ZT(v)$  yields  $Z\alpha(v) = \alpha Y(v)$  while  $\alpha(v) = T^{-1}Z^{-1}\alpha Z(v)$  yields  $Y\alpha(v) = \alpha Z(v)$ .

Lemma 8. Consider a cyclic difference graph with  $Y(j,i) = ZT(j,i) = (\pi(j), i + t_j)$ . The cycle of the permutation  $Y(j,i) = ZT(j,i) = (\pi(j), i+t_j)$  containing the element  $(j,i)$  has length  $\alpha c$  where  $c$ *is the length of the cycle of*  $\pi$  *containing*  $j$  *and*  $\alpha > 0$  *is smallest such that*<br>  $\alpha(t_j + t_{\pi(j)} + t_{\pi^2(j)} + ... + t_{\pi^{c-1}(j)}) = 0 \pmod{b}$ .

$$
\alpha(t_j + t_{\pi(j)} + t_{\pi^2(j)} + \ldots + t_{\pi^{c-1}(j)}) = 0 \, (\text{mod } b).
$$

*Proof.* Start at  $(j, i)$  and apply Y repeatedly. We get a sequence of elements

$$
(\pi^{k}(i), i+t_{j}+t_{\pi(j)}+t_{\pi^{2}(j)}+...+t_{\pi^{k-1}(j)})
$$

and if this repeats  $(j,i)$ , we must have

$$
j=\pi^k(j)
$$

and

$$
t_j + t_{\pi(j)} + t_{\pi^2(j)} + \ldots + t_{\pi^{k-1}(j)} = 0.
$$

This can only happen when  $k = \alpha c$  and so

$$
\alpha(t_j + t_{\pi(j)} + t_{\pi^2(j)} + \ldots + t_{\pi^{c-1}(j)}) = 0
$$

and the lemma follows.

*Example 6*. We can generate the Alegre graph if we choose the following values for the parameters:

$$
n = 25
$$
  

$$
a = 5
$$
  

$$
b = 5
$$

$$
\pi = (0, 2, 4)
$$

 $(t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = (1,4,4,1,4)$ 

then 1-factor *Y* is

 $(0,7,4,20,2,24,15,22,19,10,17,14,5,12,9)(1,21,16,11,6)(3,8,13,18,23).$ 

*Lemma* 9. Let  $(j, i)$  be any vertex of a cyclic difference graph G with  $Y(j,i) = ZT(j,i) = (\pi(j), i + t_j)$ . The map  $\tau(j,i) = (j,i+1)$  is an automorphism of G.

*Proof.* Let  $k = ia + j$  and so  $\tau Z(k) = \tau(ia + j + 1) = (i + 1)a + j + 1$  and

 $Z\tau(k) = Z((i + 1)a + j) = (i + 1)a + j + 1$ . In addition,

 $\tau Y(k) = \tau(\pi(j), i + t_j) = (\pi(j), i + t_j + 1)$  and  $Y\tau(k) = Y(i + 1, j) = (i + 1 + t_j, \pi(j))$ . So  $\tau$  is an automorphism by A1).

*Lemma* 10. The map  $\mu(j) = j + 1$  (mod a) creates an isomorphism between the cyclic difference graph with  $Y(j,i) = ZT(j,i) = (\pi(j), i + t_j)$  and the cyclic difference graph with  $Y'(j,i) = ZT'(j,i) = (\pi'(j), i + t'_j)$  where  $\pi'(j) = \pi(j-1) + 1$  (mod a) and  $t'_0 = t_{a-1} - 1$  $t_{a-1}^{\prime} - 1$ ,  $t'_{\pi^{-1}(a-1)+1} = t'_{\pi^{-1}(a-1)} + 1$  and  $t'_{j} = t_{j-1}$  $\alpha'_{i} = t_{i-1}$  otherwise.

*Proof.* This isomorphism just renames the vertex  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  by  $k+1$  and adjusts the sequence  $(t_j)$ accordingly.

*Example 7.* If we apply this isomorphism twice to the generators in Example 6, we get a new set of parameters for an isomorphic graph:

 $V = \mathbb{Z}_{25}$  $n = 25$  $a = 5$  $b = 5$  $\pi = (4,1,2)$  $(t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = (4,3,1,1,0)$ 

and *Y* factor as given in Example 5 for the Alegre graph.

*Voltage digraphs*. We note a similarity between this construction and that of digraphs which are lifts of a base voltage digraph. For example, in [13; Figure 2], the weights in the base digraph are similar in spirit to the vector *t* of offsets in Example 6 and 7. This is probably not a coincidence but we have not been able to discover the relationship. See also [11] where semidirect products of cyclic groups are used as voltage groups to find large digraphs with small diameters.

*Calculating the diameter*. To calculate the diameter, we only need to calculate the distance from each vertex  $(j, 0)$  with  $0 \le j \le a-1$  because of Lemma 9.

### **Covering groups**

 One of our (so far unrealized) goals is to use the algebraic machinery we constructed here to find digraphs that exceed the size of the corresponding iterated line graphs of the Alegre graph. Because we have shown in Theorem 6 that all these known examples are proper (neither  $a$  nor  $b$  is 1) generalized cyclic difference digraphs, we will restrict our search to this type of graph. In this section, we give one more tool that might help in the search.

Our starting point is Lemma 4 which defines a GCD. We let

 $(Z_j | j \in \mathbb{Z}_a)$  and  $(T_j | j \in \mathbb{Z}_a)$  be two collections of permutations on  $\mathbb{Z}_b$  and let  $\varsigma$  and  $\nu$  be permutations on  $\mathbb{Z}_a$  with the property that  $Z(j,i) = (g(j), Z_j(i))$  and  $T(j,i) = (\theta(j), T_j(i))$  are semi-direct derangements and Z and  $Y = ZT$  are disjoint 1-factors of a degree 2 digraph M with n vertices  $V = \mathbb{Z}_b \times \mathbb{Z}_a$ . More explicitly,  $Y(j,i) = (v(j), Y_j(i))$  with  $Y_j(i) = Z_{\theta^{-1}(j)}T_j(i)$  and  $v(j) = \zeta \theta(j)$ . Paths in *M* starting at a vertex *u* are products of the derangements *Y* and *Z* applied to *u*. These products generate a subgroup  $\Gamma = \langle Z, Y \rangle$  of permutations in  $S_n$ . To understand this group better we consider some facts about its elements which are permutations but clearly may not be derangements.

*Lemma 11*. The semidirect permutations on  $V = \mathbb{Z}_a \times \mathbb{Z}_b$  form a group  $U_{ab}$  isomorphic to the semidirect product (wreath product)  $S_a N$  where the normal subgroup  $N = S_b^a$ . This is often written as  $S_b$  wr  $S_a$ . Given the permutations  $A(j,i) = (\alpha(j), A_j(i))$  and  $B(j,i) = (\beta(j), B_j(i))$ , then their

composition is the semidirect derangement  $(\alpha \beta(j), A_{\beta^{-1}(j)}B_j(i))$ . The inverse of A is  $A^{-1}(j,i) = (\alpha^{-1}(j), (A_{\alpha(j)})^{-1}(i))$ .

*Proof*. We have noted this product formula before in Lemma 4 and the formula for the inverse and the fact that  $N$  is normal follows as a standard exercise.

*Notation*. We can write the semidirect permutations  $(e,(A_j))$  and  $(a,(e_j))$  in  $U_{ab}$  as  $(A_j)$  and  $\alpha$ , respectively. This allows us to remove one set of parentheses so that  $(\alpha, (A_j))$  becomes a group product  $\alpha(A_j)$  in  $S_a N$ . Since  $\alpha(A_j)\beta(B_j) = \alpha\beta(\beta^{-1}(A_j)\beta)(B_j)$ ,  $\beta^{-1}(A_j)\beta$  is an automorphism on N because it is normal and an inner automorphism on  $U_{ab}$ . Because these elements are permutations, we can calculate that  $\beta^{-1}(A_i)\beta = (A_{i-1})$  $\beta^{-1}(A_j)\beta = (A_{\beta^{-1}(j)})$  which explains where the  $\beta^{-1}$  comes from.

*Definition*. Given two disjoint semidirect derangements A and B on  $\mathbb{Z}_a \times \mathbb{Z}_b$  we call the group  $\Gamma = \langle A, B \rangle$  the *covering group* in  $S_{ab}$  of the digraph G formed by their union. As we noted above, paths in  $G$  starting at a vertex  $u$  are products of the derangements  $A$  and  $B$  applied to  $u$ . Thus the covering group contains all the paths between vertices in *<sup>G</sup>* . Since all covering groups are subgroups of  $U_{ab}$ , we can call it the *universal covering group*.

*Note*. Given a permutation  $Q \in U_a$ , we can easily find unique permutations  $q \in S_a$  and  $Q_j \in S_b$ such that  $(j', i') = Q(j, i) = (q(j), Q_j(i))$  by solving  $j' = q(j)$  and  $i' = Q_j(i)$ . The assumption that  $Q \in U_{ab}$  means that q must be a unique permutation independent of i. Additionally, if we fix j, the mapping of Q from *i* to *i*' determines a unique permutation  $Q_i(i)$ .

*Example 8*. We can find the covering group  $\Gamma$  of the Alegre digraph with the generators used in Example 6. We have the derangements

 $\rho = (0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24)$ 

and

 $\sigma$  = (0,7,4, 20, 2, 24, 15, 22, 19, 10, 17, 14, 5, 12, 9)(1, 21, 16, 11, 6)(3, 8, 13, 18, 23).

Let  $C_i = (i, 5+i, 10+i, 15+i, 20+i)$ ,  $U_i = (5i+2, 5i-2)$ ,  $V_i = (5i, 5i+6)$ ,  $a_{3i} = 20i$ ,  $a_{3i+1} = 20i+7$ ,  $a_{3i+2} = 20i + 4, b_{5i} = 5i + 7, b_{5i+1} = 5i + 21, b_{5i+2} = 5i + 24,$ 

 $b_{5i+3} = 5i+8$ ,  $b_{5i+4} = 5i+20$ ,  $T = (a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{3i}, a_{3i+1}, a_{3i+2}, \dots, a_{12}, a_{13}, a_{14})$ ,

 $b_{5i+3} = 5i+8$ ,  $b_{5i+4} = 5i+20$ ,  $T = (a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{3i}, a_{3i+1}, a_{3i+2}, \dots, a_{12},$ <br>  $\theta = (b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, \dots, b_{5i}, b_{5i+1}, b_{5i+2}, b_{5i+3}, b_{5i+4}, \dots, b_{20}, b_{21}, b_{22}, b_{23}, b_{24})$  $w_{b_{i+1}}, b_{b_{i+2}}, b_{b_{i+3}}, b_{b_{i+4}}, \dots, b_{b_0}, b_{b_1}, b_{b_2}, b_{b_3}, b_{b_4}$  with all values modulo 25 and  $0 \le i < 5$ . We also let  $\pi = (0, 2, 4)$  on  $0 \le i < 5$ . Then

1)  $\rho C_i \rho^{-1} = C_{i+1}$ ; 2)  $\sigma = TC_1^4C_3$ ; 3)  $\sigma^3 = (C_0 C_2 C_4)^4 C_1^2 C_3^3;$ 4)  $\rho^5 = C_0 C_1 C_2 C_3 C_4$ ;

- 5)  $\rho^{-1}\sigma = (0,6)(1,20)(2,23)(3,7)(5,11)(8,12)(10,16)(13,17)(15,21)(18,22)(4,19,9,24,14)$  $= U_0 U_1 U_2 U_3 U_4 V_0 V_1 V_2 V_3 V_4 C_4^3$ ;
- 6)  $(\rho^{-1}\sigma)^2$  $(\rho^{-1}\sigma)^2 = C_4$
- 7)  $\sigma \rho \sigma^{-1} = (7, 21, 24, 8, 20, 12, 1, 4, 13, 0, 17, 9, 18, 5, 22, 11, 14, 23, 10, 22, 16, 19, 3, 15) = \theta$ ,
- 8)  $\theta^5 = C_0 C_1 C_2 C_3 C_4 = \rho^5$ ;
- 9)  $\sigma C_i \sigma^{-1} = C_{\pi(i)}$ .

There is a subgroup  $Q = C_0 \otimes C_1 \otimes C_2 \otimes C_3 \otimes C_4$  in  $\Gamma$ . Note that from 1)  $\rho C_i \rho^{-1} = C_{i+1}$ . From 9)  $\sigma C_i \sigma^{-1} = C_{\pi(i)}$ . Thus the action of  $\Gamma$  on Q is the action of the group generated by  $\pi = (0, 2, 4)$  and  $(0,1,2,3,4)$ . This group is the alternating group  $A_5$ . Thus  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to the semidirect product of  $A_5$  with the elementary group  $Q$ . A computer search says the diameter of the Cayley graph with generators  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  has diameter 23. There are only 11 elements which are distance 23 from the identity. This group is quite possibly the largest known vertex transitive digraph with degree 2 and diameter. Note that the size of this group is  $187,500 = (1.6954)^{23}$ . It's hard to determine what are the largest known values when the diameter is this large because many of the constructions do not produce infinite sequences. Here are some references which have addressed the vertex transitive problem for degree 2: [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], [12].

*Example 9.* We can try to generalize this to other primes. For  $p = 7$ , we let  $C_i = (i, 7 + i, 14 + i, 21 + i, 28 + i, 35 + i, 42 + i)$ ,  $U_i = (7i + 2, 7i - 2)$ ,  $V_i = (7i, 7i - 6)$ ,  $W_i = (7i + 4, 7i - 4)$ . Then we let  $\rho$  be the cyclic permuation on  $\mathbb{Z}_{49}$  and

$$
\rho^{-1}\sigma = U_0 U_1 U_2 U_3 U_4 U_5 U_6 V_0 V_1 V_2 V_3 V_4 V_5 V_6 W_0 W_1 W_2 W_3 W_4 W_5 W_6 C_6^4.
$$

The result is that

 $\sigma$  = (0, 7, 14, 21, 28, 35, 42)(1, 47, 27, 22, 19, 48, 43, 40, 20, 15, 12, 41, 36, 33, 13, 8, 5, 34, 29, 26, 6)  $(2, 11, 16, 25, 30, 39, 44, 4, 9, 18, 23, 32, 37, 46)(3, 45, 38, 31, 24, 17, 10);$ 

Using the computer we found that the group  $\Gamma$  is the semidirect product  $S_7\mathbb{Z}_7^7$ . The diameter of the digraph is 7 compared to the diameter of the line graph of the Alegre graph which has diameter 5.

*Example 10.* Let  $A(j,i) = (\alpha(j), A_j(i))$  and  $B(j,i) = (\beta(j), B_j(i))$  with  $\alpha = (0,1,2)$ ,  $\beta = (0,1)$ ,  $A_2 = (0,1)$ ,  $B_1 = (0,1,2)$  and the rest the identity permutation. Then as permutations in  $S_9$ ,

 $A = (0,3,1,4,2,5)$  and  $B = (0,3,6,1,4,7)(2,5,8)$ . Using the computer, we discover that these permutations generate a group G with diameter 14 which is isomorphic to  $S_3$  *wr*  $S_3$ . This group can be also be generated by the two disjoint derangements  $(0,3)(1,4)(2,5)(6,7,8)$  and  $(0,7,1,6)(2,8)(3,4,5)$ .

*Theorem 12.* For every  $n = ab$ , there exists two semi-direct permutations that generate all of  $U_{ab}$ .

*Proof.* First, we prove the existence of two semi-direct permutations that generate  $U_{ab}$ . There are several cases. We use  $k = ia + j \equiv (j, i)$ . Given a permutation on  $S<sub>b</sub>$  we use a subscript to indicate

its index in  $(S_b)^a$ . We let  $E_j$  be the product of  $e_j$  with  $j \in J$  so  $E_{J'}$  is the product of  $e_j$  with  $j \notin J$ . Also, given c, let  $i' = \mathbb{Z}_c \setminus \{i\}$ . When we write  $g_j$ , we mean  $\prod_{j \in J} g_j E_{j'}$ . We also need the cyclic permutation  $C(i) = i + 1$  modulo either a or b depending upon context (whether or not it is subscripted).

*Case*  $a = 2$  *and*  $b \ge 2$ *.* 2  $U_{ab} = S_2(S_b)$ 

 $X = (0,1)C_0$ 

 $Y = (0,1)$ <sub>0</sub>.

Then  $X^2 = C_0 C_1$  and  $\langle X, Y \rangle \supseteq S_b \times \{C_1\}$ . Since  $XYX^{-1} = (0,1)_1$ ,  $\langle X, Y \rangle \supseteq S_b \times S_b$ . Finally, since 1  $XC^{b-1}_0 = (0,1)\;, \big\langle X,Y\big\rangle \!\supseteq\!\! U_{ab}\,.$ 

*Case*  $a \geq 2$  *and*  $b = 2$ *.* 

$$
U_{ab} = S_a (S_2)^a
$$

 $X = (0,1)(0,1)_{0}$ 

 $Y = (0,1)C$ 

Then  $XY = (0,1)(0,1)_0(0,1)C = C(0,1)_0$ . But Y and XY can generate all of  $S_a(0,1)_0$ , in particular  $(0,1)$ <sup>0</sup> and therefore  $S_a$ . Finally, powers of C applied to  $(0,1)$ <sup>0</sup> generates a basis of  $(S_2)^a$ .

*Case*  $a = 3$  *and*  $b = 3$ *.* 

 $X = (0,1)(0,1,2)$ <sub>2</sub> (this is  $(0,1)(3,4)(6,7)(2,5,8)$  in  $S_9$ )

 $Y = (0,1,2)(0,2,1)_0(1,2)_2$  (this is  $(0,7,8,3,1,2)(4,5,6)$  in  $S_9$ ).

Then let

 $A = X^3 = (0,1)$  (this is  $(0,1)(3,4)(6,7)$  in  $S_9$ ).

We have

 $YX = (0, 2)(0, 2, 1), (0, 2),$ 

 $Y^2 X = (1,2)(1,2)_0(0,2,1)_1(0,1)_2$ 

$$
(YX)(Y^2X) = (0, 2, 1)(1, 2)0(1, 2)1(1, 2)2
$$

and then

 $B = (YXY^2X)^2 = (0,1,2)$  (this is  $(0,1,2)(3,4,5)(6,7,8)$  in  $S_9$ ).

At this point, we can use A and B to generate all of  $S_3$ . Now

 $(0,1)X = (0,1,2)$ <sub>2</sub>

and

 $(0, 2)$ *YX* =  $(0, 2, 1)$ <sub>1</sub> $(0, 2)$ <sub>2</sub>.

Using the action of  $S_3$  on  $(0, 2, 1)$ <sub>1</sub> $(0, 2)$ <sub>2</sub> we can generate  $(0, 2)$ <sub>1</sub> $(0, 2, 1)$ <sub>2</sub> and then multiply this by  $(0,1,2)$ <sub>2</sub> to get  $(0,2)$ <sub>1</sub>. Finally, the action of S<sub>3</sub> allows us to conjugate  $(0,2)$ <sub>1</sub> to  $(0,2)$ <sub>2</sub>. Together,  $(0,2)_2$  and  $(0,1,2)_2$  generate all the  $g_2$  with  $g \in S_3$ . The action of  $S_3$  allows us to transport all these  $g_2$  to the other two coordinates which gives us all of  $S_3$  wr  $S_3$ .

*Case*  $a = 3$  *and*  $b \ge 4$ .

Let

 $X = (0,1,2)(0,1)<sub>0</sub>$ 

$$
X^3 = (0,1)_0(0,1)_1(0,1)_2
$$

There are two subcases. Suppose *b* is odd.

 $Y = (0,1)C_2$ . Then  $A = Y^b = (0,1)$ 1 2  $Y^{b+1} = C$  $1 \mathbf{Y}^{-1} - CC C^{-1}$  $XY^{b+1}X^{-1} = CC_2C^{-1} = C_0$  $2 \times b+1 \times -2$   $2 \times a -2$  $X^2 Y^{b+1} X^{-2} = C^2 C_2 C^{-2} = C_1$ 

and the  $C_j$  and  $(0,1)_0(0,1)_1(0,1)_2$  generate all of  $(S_j)^3$ . Then we take  $B = X(0,1)_0 = C$  along with *A* to generate all of  $S_3$ .

If *b* is even, take  $Y = (0,1)(0,1)_{2}C_{2}$ . Then

$$
A=Y^{b-1}=(0,1)
$$

$$
Y^b = (0,1)_2 C_2
$$

 $XY^{b}X^{-1} = C(0,1)_{2}C_{2}C^{-1} = (0,1)_{0}C_{0}$  $X^2 Y^b X^{-2} = C^2 (0,1)_2 C_2 C^{-2} = (0,1)_1 C_1$ 

and the  $(0,1)$ <sub>*j*</sub>  $C_j$  and  $(0,1)$ <sub>0</sub> $(0,1)$ <sub>1</sub> $(0,1)$ <sub>2</sub> generate all of  $(S_i)^3$ . Then we take  $B = X(0,1)$ <sub>0</sub> $=C$  along with A to generate all of  $S_3$ .

*Case*  $a \geq 4$ *, a odd and*  $b \geq 3$ *.* 

There are two subcases. If *b* is odd,  $p_1 = C_1$ . If *b* is even, we let  $p_1 = (0,1)_1 C_1$ . Note that the order of  $p_1$  is odd.

Let  $t = (0,1)$ . Define

$$
X = C t_0
$$

$$
Y = \left(\frac{a+1}{2}, \frac{a+3}{2}\right)p_1.
$$

We let

$$
A = Y^{|p_1|} = \left(\frac{a+1}{2}, \frac{a+3}{2}\right).
$$

and hope to generate the cycle *<sup>C</sup>* .

Now calculate

$$
AX = (\frac{a+1}{2}, \frac{a+3}{2})Ct_0 = \overline{C}t_0
$$

where  $\overline{C} = (\frac{a+1}{2}, \frac{a+3}{2})C = (0,1,2,\dots, \frac{a-1}{2}, \frac{a+3}{2}, \dots, a-1)$  $\overline{C} = (\frac{a+1}{2}, \frac{a+3}{2})C = (0,1,2,\dots,\frac{a-1}{2}, \frac{a+3}{2},\dots, a-1)$  and

$$
(AX)^{a-1} = (\overline{Z}t_0)^{a-1} = t_J \text{ with } J = \{0, 1, 2, \cdots, \frac{a-1}{2}, \frac{a+3}{2}, \cdots, a-1\}.
$$

Finally, calculate

$$
B=X^{\frac{a+1}{2}}(AX)^{a-1}X^{\frac{a+1}{2}}=(Z^{\frac{a+1}{2}}t_{a-1}t_{a-2},\cdots,t_{\frac{a+1}{2}}t_0)t_1(Z^{\frac{a+1}{2}}t_{a-1}t_{a-2},\cdots,t_{\frac{a+1}{2}}t_0)=C.
$$

From A and B we can generate  $S_a$  and use those permutations on X and Y to recover  $t_0$  and  $p_1$ . But we can use  $S_a$  to act on  $t_0$  and  $p_1$  to get generators for any factor  $S_b$  which then allows us to generate the whole of  $S_a(S_b)^a$ .

*Case a*  $\geq$  4, *a* even and *b* $\geq$  3. Again, there are two cases. If *b* is odd, *p* = *C*. If *b* is even, we let  $p = (0,1)C$ . Let  $q = (0,1)C$ . Note that the orders of p and q are odd. Let

 $X = qt_0$ 

 $Y = tp_2$ 

$$
A=X^2=q^2.
$$

If *b* is even, let

$$
B=Y^{b-1}=t.
$$

If *b* is odd, let

$$
B=Y^b=t.
$$

We can generate  $S_a$  with t and  $q^2$  and use that with X and Y to obtain the product of the  $S_b$ .

*Note*. The case where  $a = b$  and both even has been discussed in some unpublished course notes we found online [17].

*Conjecture*. Theorem 12 holds if we add the stronger condition that the two generating permutations are disjoint derangements so that their union is a digraph.

*Note*. We can always make one of the two generators a derangement, but except for small examples, we have not been able to prove this. The case  $a = 3$  and  $b = 3$  in the proof of Theorem 12 was found by a computer search [18].

 $B = Y^b = t$ .<br>We can generate  $S_a$  with  $t$  and  $q^2$  and use that wit<br>
viote. The case where  $a = b$  and both even has bee<br>
to found online [17].<br>
Conjecture. Theorem 12 holds if we add the strong<br>
ermutations are disjoint de *Remarks.* (One conclusion from Lemma 11 is that  $a!(b!)^a$  divides  $(ab)!$  but that is probably not relevant.) We can extend these discussions to graphs generated by three or more semidirect derangements by just imposing the condition that any pair of permutations form a GCD. We have focused on the case of degree 2 because it is the simplest case to consider. Also note that we might have worked with arbitrary derangements (1-factors) of a digraph instead of forcing them to be semidirect. In this case, the universal covering group would just be  $S_{ab}$ . We chose to focus on semidirect derangements because all the digraphs of record have this property.

*Hamiltonian cycles.* It seems to be an open conjecture whether every digraph of degree 2 and diameter D at least as dense as the corresponding Kautz graph ( $n \geq 3(2^{D-1})$ ) has a Hamiltonian cycle. For this reason, all of our computer searches have started with one Hamiltonian cycle. The state of the art for Hamiltonian cycles in digraphs is discussed in [14]. It is shown that in [14; Theorem 30] that certain expander graphs must have Hamiltonian cycles but we don't know if our dense graphs qualify as expander graphs of this type.

*Example 11*. The Kautz digraph of diameter 2 and degree 2 has 6 vertices. There are two other digraphs with the same parameters.

- 1) The Kautz graph of degree 2 is the line graph of the complete digraph on 3 vertices, so it is a non-trivial GCD.
- 2) A second digraph is the GCD given by

$$
Z = (e, ((0,1,2), (0,2,1)))
$$

 $Y = ((0,1),(e,(0,1,2))$ .

Written as permutations in  $S_6$ , these generators are

$$
\rho = (0, 4, 2, 3, 1, 5)
$$

 $\sigma = (0,2,1)(4,5,3)$ 

which has no cycles of length 2. This digraph is mentioned in [1; Figure 4] where it is called  $G_2^2$ .

3) A third digraph of diameter 2 on 6 vertices has 1-factors

 $\rho = (0,1,2,3,4,5)$ 

 $\sigma = (0,2,5,3,1,4)$ .

This digraph has no cycles of length 2 nor does it have a 1-factor which is the union of two directed triangles, so it has a unique decomposition into 1-factors. This means that its covering group  $\Gamma$  is unique and we compute it to have order 120 and diameter 10. This graph is not a non-trivial GCD, because if it were, then its covering group would have an order which either divides  $2!(3!)^2 = 72$  or  $3!(2!)^3 = 48$ . Examining the elements of this group shows that  $\Gamma$  is one of six conjugate subgroups of  $S_6$  which act transitively on the base set. This is deemed "exotic" because it only happens for a subgroup isomorphic to  $S_{n-1}$ in  $S_n$  when  $n = 6$ .

*Example 12.* In [15], it is shown that the largest digraph with degree 2 and diameter 3 has 12 vertices. A computer search shows that the only such digraphs are the line digraphs of the digraphs in Example 11. We discuss them one by one.

- 1) The line graph of the Kautz graph with 6 vertices is the Kautz graph with 12 vertices. It has a companion cycle  $Y = (0, 6)(1, 4, 9, 8, 5)(2, 11, 7, 10, 3)$ . The automorphism group is  $S_3$ .
- 2) The line graph of  $G_2^2$  has a companion cycle  $Y = (0, 4, 11, 9, 7, 3, 1, 6, 10, 5, 2, 8)$ . The automorphism group is  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ .
- 3) The line graph of the third example above has a companion cycle  $Y = (0, 10, 4, 8, 3, 11, 6, 2, 9, 1, 7, 5)$ . The automorphism group is  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ .

# **References**

[1] Miguel A. Fiol, J. Luis Andres Yebra and Ignacio Alegre (1984), Line digraph iterations and the (d,k) digraph problem, *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, C-33(5):400-403.

[2] Alan J. Hoffman, Robert R. Singleton (1960), Moore graphs with diameter 2 and 3, *IBM Journal of Research and Development*, 5(4):497-504.

[3] Randall Dougherty and Vance Faber (2014), Network routing on regular directed graphs from spanning factorizations, *Bulletin of Mathematical Sciences and Applications*, 20:9-24.

[4] Eric Mwambene (2006), Representing vertex-transitive graphs on groupoids, *Quaestiones Mathemaicae* 29: 279-284.

[5] Nyumbu Chishwashwa, Vance Faber and Noah Streib, All-to-all routing on vertex transitive graphs, to appear.

[6] Comellas, F., & Fiol, M. A. (1990), Using simulated annealing to design interconnection networks. [Conference Paper]. Available at ResearchGate.

[7] Comellas, F., Fiol, M. A., & Gómez, J. (1994), On large vertex symmetric 2-reachable digraphs, *World Scientific Publishing Company*, 4: 379–384.

[8] Dinneen, M. J., & Hafner, P. R. (1994), New results for the degree/diameter problem, *Networks*  24: 359–367.

[9] Conway, J. H., & Guy, M. J. T. (1982), Message graphs, *Annals of Discrete Mathematics*, 13: 61–64.

[10] F. Comellas and M.A. Fiol (1995), Vertex symmetric digraphs with small diameter, *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, vol. 58: 1-12.

[11] Eyal Loz and Jozef Širáň (2008), New record graphs in the degree-diameter problem, *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics*, 41: 63–80.

[12] Randall Dougherty and Vance Faber (2004), The Degree-Diameter Problem for Several Varieties of Cayley Graphs I: The Abelian Case, *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 17: 478- 519.

[13] C. Dalfó, M.A. Fiol, M. Miller, J. Ryan and J. Širáň (2019), An algebraic approach to lifts of digraphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, Volume 269: 68-76.

[14] Daniela Kühn and Deryk Osthus (2012), A survey on Hamilton cycles in directed graphs, *European Journal of Combinatorics*, Volume 33: 750-766.

[15] M. Millera and J. Širáň (2001), Digraphs of degree two which miss the Moore bound by two, *Discrete Mathematics* 226: 269-280.

[16] J. Lützen, G. Sabidussi and B. Toft (1992), Julius Petersen 1839–1910 a biography, *Discrete Mathematics*, 100 (1–3): 9–82.

[17] P. Maymounkov and B. Rossman (2006), Generators of wreaths, <https://pdos.csail.mit.edu/~petar/courses/pak06group/s4-wreath.pdf>

[18] Derek Holt, Private Communication.

[19] Ginette Gauyacq (1996), On quasi-Cayley graphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematcis*, 77: 43-58.

[20] Brian Alspach and T.D. Parsons (1982), A construction for vertex-transitive graphs, Canadian Journal of Mathematics, 34: 307-318.