

A SIMPLE PROOF OF A THEOREM OF SENSITIVITY II

JORGE IGLESIAS* AND ALDO PORTELA*

Universidad de La República. Facultad de Ingeniería. IMERL
Julio Herrera y Reissig 565. C.P. 11300
Montevideo, Uruguay

(Communicated by)

ABSTRACT. Let \mathcal{S} be a topological semigroup and consider the dynamical system (\mathcal{S}, X, Φ) , where X is locally compact metric space with a countable base. When (\mathcal{S}, X, Φ) is transitive, dense of minimal set and non minimal the dynamical system is sensitive.

1. INTRODUCTION.

The goal of this paper is to generalize [IP2] and [Z] which in turn are generalizations of the works [BBCDS], [AAK], [KM] and [IP1]. In [IP2] the compactness of the space X is strongly used and in this new work locally compact and countable basis is requested. These new results are based on the ideas used in [Z].

1.1. Basic definitions and notations. The dynamical system that we will consider is formally defined as a triplet (\mathcal{S}, X, Φ) where \mathcal{S} is a topological semigroup and $\Phi : \mathcal{S} \times X \rightarrow X$ is a continuous function with $\Phi(s_1, \Phi(s_2, x)) = \Phi(s_1 s_2, x)$ for all $s_1, s_2 \in \mathcal{S}$ and for all $x \in X$ and X is a metric space, where X is infinite and has no isolated points. The map Φ is called an action of \mathcal{S} on X . We will denote $\Phi(s, x)$ by $\Phi_s(x)$.

For any $x \in X$ we define the orbit of x as $O(x) = \{\Phi_s(x) : s \in \mathcal{S}\}$. A non-empty set $Y \subset X$, is minimal if $\overline{O(y)} = Y$ for any $y \in Y$. A point $x \in X$ is minimal if the set $\overline{O(x)}$ is a minimal set. We denote by \mathcal{M} the set of minimal points. We say that the dynamical system (\mathcal{S}, X, Φ) is minimal if there exists a minimal point $x \in X$ such that $\overline{O(x)} = X$.

The dynamical system (\mathcal{S}, X, Φ) is point transitive (PT) if there exists $x \in X$ such that $\overline{O(x)} = X$, it is topologically transitive (TT) if given two opene (= open and non-empty) sets $U, V \subset X$ there exists $s \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\Phi_s(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ and it is densely point transitive (DPT) if there exists a dense set $Y \subset X$ of transitive points. Denote by $Trans(X)$ the set of transitive points and by $Trans(X)^*$ the set $\{x \in Trans(X) : \Phi_s(x) \in Trans(X), \forall s \in \mathcal{S}\}$. If X is a Polish space (i.e. separable completely metrizable topological space) or X is locally compact metric space with a countable base, then TT implies DPT.

We say that a dynamical system (\mathcal{S}, X, Φ) is sensitive if there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $x \in X$ and for all $\delta > 0$ there exists $y \in B(x, \delta)$ and $s \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $d(\Phi_s(x), \Phi_s(y)) > \varepsilon$.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 37B05; Secondary: 20M30 .
Key words and phrases. Semigroups actions, Transitivity, sensitivity.

We will now state our main results:

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a locally compact metric space with a countable base and (\mathcal{S}, X, Φ) that satisfy the following conditions:*

- (1) *is PT,*
- (2) *\mathcal{M} is dense and*
- (3) *non minimal.*

Then (\mathcal{S}, X, Φ) is sensitive to any metric d metrizing X .

Let \mathcal{F} be the union of points having closed orbits.

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a locally compact metric space with a countable base and (\mathcal{S}, X, Φ) that satisfy the following conditions:*

- (1) *$\text{Trans}(X)^* \neq \emptyset$,*
- (2) *\mathcal{F} is a dense subset in X and*
- (3) *there exists $x \in X$ such that $\overline{O(x)} \neq X$ Then (\mathcal{S}, X, Φ) is sensitive to any metric d metrizing X .*

If $\text{Trans}(X)^* \neq \emptyset$, we obtain a generalization of the Theorem 1.1. Denote by $\mathcal{M}^{-1} = \cup_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \Phi_s^{-1}(\mathcal{M})$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let X be a locally compact metric space with a countable base and (\mathcal{S}, X, Φ) that satisfy the following conditions:*

- (1) *$\text{Trans}(X)^* \neq \emptyset$;*
- (2) *\mathcal{M}^{-1} is dense in X and*
- (3) *non minimal.*

Then (\mathcal{S}, X, Φ) is sensitive to any metric d metrizing X .

2. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.1 AND 1.2.

For the proof of the main theorems we need the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1. *Assume that (\mathcal{S}, X, Φ) is PT and \mathcal{M} is dense. Then:*

- (1) *(\mathcal{S}, X, Φ) is TT.*
- (2) *If X is locally compact metric space with a countable base, (\mathcal{S}, X, Φ) is DPT.*

Proof item (1). Let U and V be open sets and $z \in X$ such that $\overline{O(z)} = X$. Then there exist Φ_s, Φ_{s_1} and W_z a neighbourhood of z such that $\Phi_s(W_z) \subset U$ and $\Phi_{s_1}(W_z) \subset V$. As \mathcal{M} is dense there exists $y \in \mathcal{M} \cap W_z$. Then $\Phi_s(y) \in U$ and $\Phi_{s_1}(y) \in V$. As y is a minimal point then there exists Φ_{s_2} such that $\Phi_{s_2}\Phi_s(y) \in V$. Then $\Phi_{s_2}(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$.

Proof item (2). Let $\{U_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a countable base. By item 1), given $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $s(k, m) \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\Phi_{s(k, m)}(U_m) \cap U_k \neq \emptyset$. So, by Baire's Theorem,

$$R = \bigcap_{k \geq 0} \bigcup_{m \geq 0} \Phi_{s(k, m)}^{-1}(U_k) \text{ is a residual of dense orbits.}$$

□

It follows, from the definition of sensitivity, that:

Remark 1. If (\mathcal{S}, X, Φ) is nonsensitive then for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists an open set V_k such that $\text{diam}(\Phi_s(V_k)) \leq 1/k$ for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1:

Suppose that (\mathcal{S}, X, Φ) is nonsensitive. Given $x \in X$, we will prove that $\overline{O(x)} = X$ (this is a contradiction because (\mathcal{S}, X, Φ) is non minimal). Let $w \in X$ and consider $B(w, r)$, $r > 0$. Let k_0 be such that $1/k_0 < r/4$. As (\mathcal{S}, X, Φ) is nonsensitive, by Remark 1, there exists an opene V_{k_0} such that $\text{diam}(\Phi_s(V_{k_0})) \leq 1/k_0$ for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$. As (\mathcal{S}, X, Φ) is PT and \mathcal{M} is dense, then (by Lemma 2.1) (\mathcal{S}, X, Φ) is DPT. So, there exists $z \in V_{k_0}$ be such that $\overline{O(z)} = X$. Let $s_0 \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that

$$(2.1) \quad B(z, \varepsilon) \subset V_{k_0} \text{ and } \Phi_{s_0}(B(z, \varepsilon)) \subset B(w, r/8).$$

As $\overline{O(z)} = X$, there exists a sequence $\{s'_n\}$, $s'_n \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\Phi_{s'_n}(z) \rightarrow x$. As \mathcal{M} is dense, there exists a minimal set M_1 and $y \in M_1$ such that $y \in B(z, \varepsilon) \subset U_{k_0}$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\Phi_{s'_n}(y) \rightarrow y_0$ (here we are using that X locally compact). As $y_0 \in M_1$ there exist $s_1 \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\Phi_{s_1}(y_0) \in B(z, \varepsilon)$.

Since $z, y \in V_{k_0}$ then $d(\Phi_{s_0} \Phi_{s_1} \Phi_{s'_n}(z), \Phi_{s_0} \Phi_{s_1} \Phi_{s'_n}(y)) \leq 1/k_0$. As $\Phi_{s'_n}(z) \rightarrow x$ and $\Phi_{s'_n}(y) \rightarrow y_0$ we obtain

$$(2.2) \quad d(\Phi_{s_0} \Phi_{s_1}(x), \Phi_{s_0} \Phi_{s_1}(y_0)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d(\Phi_{s_0} \Phi_{s_1} \Phi_{s'_n}(z), \Phi_{s_0} \Phi_{s_1} \Phi_{s'_n}(y)) \leq 1/k_0.$$

As $d(w, \Phi_{s_0} \Phi_{s_1}(y_0)) \leq r/8$ and $d(\Phi_{s_0} \Phi_{s_1}(x), \Phi_{s_0} \Phi_{s_1}(y_0)) \leq 1/k_0 < r/4$ then $d(w, \Phi_{s_0} \Phi_{s_1}(x)) < r$.

□

Proof of Theorem 1.2:

Suppose that (\mathcal{S}, X, Φ) is nonsensitive. Let $x \in X$ be as by item (3) of hypothesis. We will prove that $\overline{O(x)} = X$ and this is a contradiction. Let $w \in X$ and consider $B(w, r)$, $r > 0$. Let k_0 be such that $1/k_0 < r/4$. As (\mathcal{S}, X, Φ) is nonsensitive, by Remark 1, there exists an opene V_{k_0} such that $\text{diam}(\Phi_s(V_{k_0})) \leq 1/k_0$ for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$.

Let $z \in \text{Trans}(X)^* \cap V_{k_0}$ be and a sequence $\{s'_n\}$, $s'_n \in \mathcal{S}$, such that $\Phi_{s'_n}(z) \rightarrow x$.

As \mathcal{F} is dense, there exists $y \in V_{k_0} \cap \mathcal{F}$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\Phi_{s'_n}(y) \rightarrow y_0$ (here we are using that X locally compact). As $y \in \mathcal{F}$, then there existe s_0 such that $y_0 = \Phi_{s_0}(y)$.

As $z \in \text{Trans}(X)^*$ there exists s_1 such that $\Phi_{s_1} \Phi_{s_0}(z) \in B(w, r/8)$. Since $y, z \in V_{k_0}$ then:

$$(1) \quad d(\Phi_{s_1} \Phi_{s_0}(z), \Phi_{s_1} \Phi_{s_0}(y)) \leq 1/k_0 < r/4.$$

$$(2) \quad \text{As } y_0 = \Phi_{s_0}(y) \text{ then } d(\Phi_{s_1} \Phi_{s_0}(z), \Phi_{s_1}(y_0)) \leq 1/k_0 < r/4.$$

$$(3) \quad d(\Phi_{s_1}(y_0), \Phi_{s_1}(x)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d(\Phi_{s_1} \Phi_{s'_n}(y), \Phi_{s_1} \Phi_{s'_n}(z)) \leq 1/k_0 < r/4.$$

$$(4) \quad \text{From (2) and (3), we obtain that } d(\Phi_{s_1}(x), \Phi_{s_1} \Phi_{s_0}(z)) < r/2.$$

As $\Phi_{s_1} \Phi_{s_0}(z) \in B(w, r/8)$, from (4) we have that $\Phi_{s_1}(x) \in B(w, r)$.

□

Let $M \subset X$ be a subset of X . We define $M^{-1} := \cup_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \Phi_s^{-1}(M) \cup M$, where $\Phi_s^{-1}(M) = \{x \in X : \Phi_s(x) \in M\}$.

Proposition 1. *Let X be a locally compact metric space with a countable base and (\mathcal{S}, X, Φ) that satisfy the following two conditions:*

- (1) $Trans(X)^* \neq \emptyset$,
- (2) *There exists an invariant no dense set M such that M^{-1} is dense in X . Then (\mathcal{S}, X, Φ) is sensitive to any metric d metrizing X .*

Proof. As M is no dense, there exists $w \in X$ and $r > 0$ such that $M \cap B(w, r) = \emptyset$. We will show that the dynamical system (\mathcal{S}, X, Φ) is sensitive with constant $\delta = r/4$. Let $x \in X$ and U a neighbourhood of x . As M^{-1} is dense in X there exists $y \in M^{-1} \cap U$. Then there exists $s_0 \in \mathcal{S}$ that $\Phi_{s_0}(y) \in M$. Let $z \in Trans(X)^* \cap U$. As $z \in Trans(X)^*$ there exist $s_1 \in \mathcal{S}$ that $\Phi_{s_1} \Phi_{s_0}(z) \in B(w, r/2)$. As $\Phi_{s_1} \Phi_{s_0}(y) \in M$, then $d(\Phi_{s_1} \Phi_{s_0}(y), \Phi_{s_1} \Phi_{s_0}(z)) > r/2 > \delta$. □

Recall that \mathcal{M} is the set of minimal points.

Proof of Theorem 1.3:


If \mathcal{M} is dense, by Theorem 1.1, (\mathcal{S}, X, Φ) is sensitive.

If \mathcal{M} is no dense, by Proposition 1, (\mathcal{S}, X, Φ) is sensitive.

□

The following example shows that in Proposition 1 it is not possible to changed the hypothesis $Trans(X)^* \neq \emptyset$ by hypothesis TT.

FIGURE 1.



Let $f_1, f_2, f_3 : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be as in Figure 1 and \mathcal{S} the semigroup generated by f_1, f_2 and f_3 . The following was proved in [IP1]:

- $\mathcal{M} = \{0\}$ and $f_1^{-1}(0) = [0, 1] = X$, therefore \mathcal{M}^{-1} is dense.
- (\mathcal{S}, X, Φ) is TT and non-minimal.
- (\mathcal{S}, X, Φ) is non-sensitive.

REFERENCES

- [AAK] E. Akin, J. Auslander and K. Berg, When is a transitive map chaotic?, *in: Conference in Ergodic Theory and Probability (V. Bergelson, K. March and J. Rosenblatt, eds.), de Gruyter, Berlin*, (1996).
- [BBCDS] Banks, J.; Brooks, J.; Cairns, G.; Davis, G.; Stacey, P., On Devaney's definition of chaos, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **99** (1992), no. 4, 332–334.
- [IP1] Iglesias, J., Portela, A, Almost open semigroup actions, *Semigroup Forum* **98** (2019), no. 2, 261–270.
- [IP2] Iglesias, Jorge; Portela, Aldo, A simple proof of a theorem of sensitivity *J. Dyn. Control Syst.* **28** (2022), no. 4, 945–949.
- [KM] Kontorovich, E., Megrelishvili, M, A note on sensitivity of semigroup actions, *Semigroup Forum*, **76** (2008), 133–141.
- [Z] Zhukova, Nina I. Sensitivity and chaoticity of some classes of semigroup actions *Regul. Chaotic Dyn.* **29** (2024), no. 1, 174–189.

J. IGLESIAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE LA REPÚBLICA. FACULTAD DE INGENIERIA. IMERL. JULIO HERRERA Y REISSIG 565. C.P. 11300. MONTEVIDEO, URUGUAY
Email address: `jorgei@fing.edu.uy`

A. PORTELA, UNIVERSIDAD DE LA REPÚBLICA. FACULTAD DE INGENIERIA. IMERL. JULIO HERRERA Y REISSIG 565. C.P. 11300. MONTEVIDEO, URUGUAY
Email address: `aldo@fing.edu.uy`