

# QUANTUM-SYMMETRIC EQUIVALENCE IS A GRADED MORITA INVARIANT

HONGDI HUANG, VAN C. NGUYEN, KENT B. VASHAW, PADMINI VEERAPEN, AND XINGTING WANG

**ABSTRACT.** We show that if two  $m$ -homogeneous algebras have Morita equivalent graded module categories, then they are quantum-symmetrically equivalent, that is, there is a monoidal equivalence between the categories of comodules for their associated universal quantum groups (in the sense of Manin) which sends one algebra to the other. As a consequence, any Zhang twist of an  $m$ -homogeneous algebra is a 2-cocycle twist by some 2-cocycle from its Manin’s universal quantum group.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Symmetry has been a central topic of study in mathematics for thousands of years. Symmetries of classical objects form a group; however, some “quantum” objects exhibit rigidity properties that cannot be captured by classical symmetries. Instead, their quantum symmetries are better described by group-like objects known as quantum groups, whose representation categories provide examples of tensor categories (see e.g., [7]).

In his seminal work [13], Manin restored the “broken symmetry” of a quantized algebra by imposing some non-trivial relations on the coordinate ring of the general linear group. This led to the introduction of the now-called “Manin’s universal quantum group”.

**Definition 1.1.** [13, Lemma 6.6] *Let  $A$  be any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded locally finite  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra. The right universal bialgebra  $\underline{\text{end}}^r(A)$  associated to  $A$  is the bialgebra that right coacts on  $A$  preserving the grading of  $A$  via  $\rho : A \rightarrow A \otimes \underline{\text{end}}^r(A)$  satisfying the following universal property: if  $B$  is any bialgebra that right coacts on  $A$  preserving the grading of  $A$  via  $\tau : A \rightarrow A \otimes B$ , then there is a unique bialgebra map  $f : \underline{\text{end}}^r(A) \rightarrow B$  such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\rho} & A \otimes \underline{\text{end}}^r(A) \\ & \searrow \tau & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes f \\ & & A \otimes B \end{array} \quad (1.1)$$

*commutes. The right universal quantum group  $\underline{\text{aut}}^r(A)$  is the universal Hopf algebra right coacting on  $A$ .*

**Remark 1.2.** *One can also define a left-coacting version of Manin’s universal quantum groups. All results in this paper can be proven analogously in that context.*

There is a current surge of interest in the study of universal quantum symmetries, see e.g., [1, 2, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 18, 22]. Notable results by Raedschelders and Van den Bergh in [18] showed that Manin’s universal quantum groups of Koszul Artin-Schelter (AS) regular algebras with the same global dimensions have monoidally equivalent comodule categories. In [10], the authors together with Ure introduced the concept of quantum-symmetric equivalence to systematically study such algebras.

**Definition 1.3.** [10, Definition A] *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two connected graded algebras finitely generated in degree one. We say  $A$  and  $B$  are quantum-symmetrically equivalent if there is a monoidal equivalence between the comodule categories of their associated universal quantum groups*

$$\text{comod}(\underline{\text{aut}}^r(A)) \stackrel{\otimes}{\cong} \text{comod}(\underline{\text{aut}}^r(B))$$

*in the sense of Manin, where this equivalence sends  $A$  to  $B$  as comodule algebras.*

For any connected graded algebra  $A$  finitely generated in degree one, we aim to determine its quantum-symmetric equivalence class, denoted by  $QS(A)$ . The main findings in [10] demonstrate that all graded algebras in  $QS(A)$  have various homological properties in common with  $A$ , and that the family of Koszul AS-regular algebras of a fixed global dimension forms a single quantum-symmetric equivalence class.

The purpose of this paper is to explore additional properties of  $A$  that may help to identify characteristics of  $QS(A)$  beyond the numerical and homological invariants explored in [10]. It is important to note that these numerical and homological invariants of  $A$  are entirely determined by its graded module category  $\text{grmod}(A)$ . Moreover, in [23], Zhang fully characterized the graded Morita equivalence between two  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded algebras by Zhang twists given by some twisting systems (see [19] for a generalization to  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded algebras and [12] for a generalization to algebras in monoidal categories). Therefore, we pose a natural question: Does  $\text{grmod}(A)$  uniquely determine  $QS(A)$ ? Our main finding in this paper answers this question positively in the case of all  $m$ -homogeneous algebras.

**Theorem 1.4.** *For any integer  $m \geq 2$ , let  $A$  and  $B$  be two  $m$ -homogeneous algebras. If  $A$  and  $B$  are graded Morita equivalent, then they are quantum-symmetrically equivalent.*

In particular, we show that a Zhang twist of an  $m$ -homogeneous algebra by a twisting system can be realized as a 2-cocycle twist by using its universal quantum group  $\underline{\text{aut}}^r(A)$ . A base case of this result, when the twisting system is formed by the compositions of a single algebra automorphism, was achieved in [10, Theorem 2.3.3]; the present generalization to arbitrary twisting systems involves significant technical complications and applies in much greater generality (see, e.g., [21]).

**Conventions.** Throughout, let  $\mathbb{k}$  be a base field with  $\otimes$  taken over  $\mathbb{k}$  unless stated otherwise. A  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded algebra  $A = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} A_i$  is called *connected graded* if  $A_i = 0$  for  $i < 0$  and  $A_0 = \mathbb{k}$ . For any integer  $m \geq 2$ , an  *$m$ -homogeneous algebra* is a connected graded algebra  $A := \mathbb{k}\langle A_1 \rangle / (R)$  finitely generated in degree one, subject to  $m$ -homogeneous relations  $R \subseteq A_1^{\otimes m}$ . We use the Sweedler notation for the coproduct in a coalgebra  $B$ : for any  $h \in B$ ,  $\Delta(h) = \sum h_1 \otimes h_2 \in B \otimes B$ . The category of right  $B$ -comodules is denoted by  $\text{comod}(B)$ .

**Acknowledgements.** Some of the results in this paper were formulated at a SQuaRE at the American Institute of Mathematics in April 2023; the authors thank AIM for their hospitality and support. Nguyen was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-2201146. Vashaw was partially supported by NSF Postdoctoral Fellowship DMS-2103272. Veerapen was partially supported by an NSF–AWM Travel Grant.

## 2. LIFTING TWISTING SYSTEMS TO UNIVERSAL BIALGEBRAS

For any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded algebra  $A$ , recall that a *twisting system* of  $A$  consists of a collection  $\tau := \{\tau_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded bijective linear maps  $\tau_i : A \rightarrow A$ , satisfying any one of the following equivalent conditions (see [23, (2.1.1)-(2.1.4)]):

- (1)  $\tau_i(a\tau_j(b)) = \tau_i(a)\tau_{i+j}(b)$ ;
- (2)  $\tau_i(ab) = \tau_i(a)\tau_{i+j}\tau_j^{-1}(b)$ ;
- (3)  $\tau_i^{-1}(a\tau_{i+j}(b)) = \tau_i^{-1}(a)\tau_j(b)$ ;
- (4)  $\tau_i^{-1}(ab) = \tau_i^{-1}(a)\tau_j\tau_{i+j}^{-1}(b)$ ,

for homogeneous elements  $a, b \in A$ , where  $a$  is of degree  $j$  and  $b$  is of any degree. In the case when a twisting system  $\{\tau_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  of  $A$  preserves the unity of  $A$ , it is required to have additional conditions:

- (5)  $\tau_i(1) = 1$  for any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ;
- (6)  $\tau_0 = \text{id}_A$ .

By [23, Proposition 2.4], we may always assume (5) (and (6), as it is a consequence of (5)). When  $\tau$  satisfies (1) (or equivalently (2)) we say that it satisfies the *twisting systems axioms*, and when  $\tau^{-1}$  satisfies (3) (or equivalently (4)) we say it satisfies the *inverse twisting system axioms*.

For any twisting system  $\tau$  of  $A$ , the *right Zhang twist* of  $A$ , denoted by  $A^\tau$ , is the graded algebra such that  $A^\tau = A$  as graded vector spaces with the twisted product  $a \cdot_\tau b = a\tau_j(b)$ , for homogeneous elements  $a, b \in A$ , where  $a$  is of degree  $j$  and  $b$  is of any degree.

For an  $m$ -homogeneous algebra  $A = \mathbb{k}\langle A_1 \rangle / (R)$ , we construct a twisting system of  $A$  explicitly as follows. Let  $\tau := \{\tau_i : A_1 \rightarrow A_1\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a collection of bijective linear maps on degree one (where  $\tau_0 = \text{id}$ ) with

$\mathbb{k}$ -linear inverses  $\tau^{-1} := \{\tau_i^{-1} : A_1 \rightarrow A_1\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . We extend each  $\tau_i$  and  $\tau_i^{-1}$  (which we denote as  $\tau_i$  and  $\tilde{\tau}_i$ , respectively, by abuse of notation) to  $\mathbb{k}\langle A_1 \rangle$  inductively on the total degree of the element  $ab$  by the rules:

$$\tau_i(1) = \tilde{\tau}_i(1) = 1, \quad \tau_i(ab) := \tau_i(a)\tau_{i+1}\tilde{\tau}_1(b), \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\tau}_i(ab) := \tilde{\tau}_i(a)\tau_1\tilde{\tau}_{i+1}(b), \quad (2.1)$$

for any  $a \in A_1$  and  $b$  is of any positive degree. In the following result, we use the rules in (2.1) to define a twisting system of  $A$  by proving that  $\tau_i$  and  $\tilde{\tau}_i$  indeed satisfy the twisting system axioms (with  $\tilde{\tau}_i$  being the inverse of  $\tau_i$ ) if and only if they preserve the relation space  $R$  of  $A$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $A = \mathbb{k}\langle A_1 \rangle / (R)$  be an  $m$ -homogeneous algebra and  $\tau_i$  and  $\tilde{\tau}_i$  be defined as in (2.1). If  $\tau_i(R) = R$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\tau_i$  and  $\tilde{\tau}_i$  are well-defined graded linear maps  $A \rightarrow A$  that are inverse to each other. Moreover,  $\tau = \{\tau_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a twisting system of  $A$ .*

*Proof.* By assumption, it is clear that  $\tau_i$  is well-defined and bijective on all degrees up to and including  $m$ , and that  $\tilde{\tau}_i$  is well-defined on all degrees less than  $m$ , and is inverse to  $\tau_i$  on degree 1 by definition. Furthermore, again by definition,  $\tau$  and  $\tilde{\tau}$  satisfy the twisting system axioms and inverse twisting system axioms, respectively, on degrees  $\leq 2$ . We now show inductively on arbitrary degree  $n$  that  $\tau$  and  $\tilde{\tau}$  are well-defined, bijective, inverse to each other, and satisfy the (inverse) twisting system axioms.

We first show that  $\tau$  satisfies the twisting systems axioms on the free algebra  $\mathbb{k}\langle A_1 \rangle$ . Suppose that  $a$  and  $b$  are homogeneous monomial elements of degrees  $j$  and  $n - j$ , respectively. Assume that  $a = a_1 a_2$  for  $a_1$  of degree 1 and  $a_2$  of degree  $j - 1$ ; note that elements of this form span  $A_j$ , since we assume that  $A$  is generated in degree 1. Then for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_i(ab) &= \tau_i(a_1 a_2 b) \\ &= \tau_i(a_1)\tau_{i+1}\tilde{\tau}_1(a_2 b) \\ &= \tau_i(a_1)\tau_{i+1}(\tilde{\tau}_1(a_2)\tau_{j-1}\tilde{\tau}_j(b)) \\ &= \tau_i(a_1)\tau_{i+1}\tilde{\tau}_1(a_2)\tau_{i+j}\tilde{\tau}_{j-1}\tau_{j-1}\tilde{\tau}_j(b) \\ &= \tau_i(a_1)\tau_{i+1}\tilde{\tau}_1(a_2)\tau_{i+j}\tilde{\tau}_j(b) \\ &= \tau_i(a_1 a_2)\tau_{i+j}\tilde{\tau}_j(b) \\ &= \tau_i(a)\tau_{i+j}\tilde{\tau}_j(b). \end{aligned}$$

The second equality is from the definition of  $\tau_i$  in (2.1). The third, fourth, and sixth equalities follow from the inductive hypothesis as  $\tau$  and  $\tilde{\tau}$  satisfy the (inverse) twisting axioms up to degrees  $< n$ . Thus,  $\tau$  satisfies the twisting system axioms. An analogous argument shows that  $\tilde{\tau}$  satisfies the inverse twisting system axioms. Moreover, we note that  $\tau_i$  and  $\tilde{\tau}_i$  are inverse to one another on  $\mathbb{k}\langle A_1 \rangle$  by induction since

$$\tilde{\tau}_i\tau_i(ab) = \tilde{\tau}_i(\tau_i(a)\tau_{i+1}\tilde{\tau}_1(b)) = \tilde{\tau}_i\tau_i(a)\tau_1\tilde{\tau}_{i+1}\tau_{i+1}\tilde{\tau}_1(b) = ab,$$

for any  $a \in A$  of degree 1 and  $b \in A$  of degree  $n - 1$ .

It remains to show that for any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\tau_i$  preserves the homogeneous relation ideal  $(R)$  of  $A$  in  $\mathbb{k}\langle A_1 \rangle$ . It is trivial for relations of degree  $n \leq m$ . An arbitrary relation of degree  $n > m$  is a linear combination of terms of the form  $ra$  and  $ar$ , where  $a$  is an element of degree 1 in  $A$  and  $r$  is a relation of degree  $n - 1$ . But note that  $\tau_i(ar)$  is indeed a relation of  $A$ , since  $\tau_i(ar) = \tau_i(a)\tau_{i+1}\tilde{\tau}_1(r)$  by the twisting system axioms, and  $\tau_{i+1}\tilde{\tau}_1(r)$  is a relation of  $A$  by the inductive hypothesis. Similarly,  $\tau_i$  sends  $ra$  to a relation of  $A$ , so  $\tau_i$  preserves all homogeneous relations of degree  $n$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Recall that the *Koszul dual* of an  $m$ -homogeneous algebra  $A = \mathbb{k}\langle A_1 \rangle / (R)$  is the  $m$ -homogeneous algebra

$$A^! := \mathbb{k}\langle A_1^* \rangle / (R^\perp),$$

where  $A_1^*$  is the vector space dual of  $A_1$  and  $R^\perp \subseteq (A_1^*)^{\otimes m}$  is the subspace orthogonal to  $R$  with respect to the natural evaluation  $\langle -, - \rangle : A_1^* \times A_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ .

Let  $\tau = \{\tau_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  be a twisting system of  $A$  with inverse twisting system  $\{\tau_i^{-1} : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . We define the dual twisting system  $\tau^! = \{\tau_i^! : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  together with the inverse dual twisting system  $(\tau^!)^{-1} = \{(\tau_i^!)^{-1} : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  on the Koszul dual  $A^!$  such that

$$\tau_i^!|_{A_1^!} := (\tau_i^{-1})^* = (\tau_i^*)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad (\tau_i^!)^{-1}|_{A_1^!} := \tau_i^*,$$

as linear maps  $A_1^* \rightarrow A_1^*$ . For  $a \in A_1^!$  and  $b \in A^!$  is of any positive degree, we define each  $\tau_i^!$  and  $(\tau_i^!)^{-1}$  inductively on the total degree of the element  $ab$  as follows:

$$\tau_i^!(ab) = \tau_i^!(a)\tau_{i+1}^!(\tau_1^{-1})^!(b), \quad \text{and} \quad (\tau_i^!)^{-1}(ab) = (\tau_i^!)^{-1}(a)\tau_1^!(\tau_{i+1}^!)^{-1}(b). \quad (2.2)$$

We show that these maps give well-defined twisting systems of  $A^!$  using Proposition 2.1.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $A, A^!$  and  $\tau^{\pm 1}, (\tau^!)^{\pm 1}$  be defined as above. The collection of linear maps  $\tau^!$ , defined in (2.2), forms a twisting system of  $A^!$  with inverse  $(\tau^!)^{-1}$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.1, it is enough to show that  $\tau_i^!(R^\perp) = R^\perp$ . We first inductively show that

$$\langle \tau_i^!(f), a \rangle = \langle f, \tau_i^{-1}(a) \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle (\tau_i^!)^{-1}(f), a \rangle = \langle f, \tau_i(a) \rangle \quad (2.3)$$

for  $f \in (A_1^{\otimes n})^*$  and  $a \in A_1^{\otimes n}$  for  $n \geq 1$ . The case  $n = 1$  follows from the definition. Assume the inductive hypothesis, we now show (2.3) holds for  $n + 1$ . Without loss of generality, let  $f = yg$  and  $a = xh$  for any  $y \in A_1^*, g \in (A_1^*)^{\otimes n}$  and  $x \in A_1, h \in (A_1)^{\otimes n}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tau_i^!(f), a \rangle &= \langle \tau_i^!(y)\tau_{i+1}^!\tau_{i+1}^!(\tau_1^!)^{-1}(g), xh \rangle \\ &= \langle \tau_i^!(y), x \rangle \langle \tau_{i+1}^!(\tau_1^!)^{-1}(g), h \rangle \\ &= \langle y, \tau_i^{-1}(x) \rangle \langle g, \tau_1\tau_{i+1}^{-1}(h) \rangle \\ &= \langle yg, \tau_i^{-1}(x)\tau_1\tau_{i+1}^{-1}(h) \rangle \\ &= \langle f, \tau_i^{-1}(a) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that  $\tau$  is a twisting system. By a straightforward induction, it similarly follows that  $\langle (\tau_i^!)^{-1}(f), a \rangle = \langle f, \tau_i(a) \rangle$ . So we have  $\tau_i^!(R^\perp) = R^\perp \Leftrightarrow \langle \tau_i^!(R^\perp), R \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow \langle R^\perp, (\tau_i^{-1})(R) \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow \tau_i^{-1}(R) = R \Leftrightarrow \tau_i(R) = R$ , which holds by assumption. It follows that  $\tau^!$  is a twisting system of  $A^!$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $A$  be an  $m$ -homogeneous algebra with a twisting system  $\tau = \{\tau_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Then  $(A^!)^{\tau^!} = (A^\tau)^!$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $A = \mathbb{k}\langle A_1 \rangle / (R)$  with  $m$ -homogeneous relations  $R \subseteq A_1^{\otimes m}$ . By Proposition 2.2,  $\tau^! = \{\tau_i^! : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a twisting system of  $A^!$ . Similar to [14, Lemma 5.1.1], one can check that  $A^\tau = \mathbb{k}\langle A_1 \rangle / (R^\tau)$ , where  $R^\tau = (\text{id} \otimes \tau_1^{-1} \otimes \tau_2^{-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_{m-1}^{-1})(R)$ . Notice that

$$0 = \langle (R^\tau)^\perp, R^\tau \rangle = \langle (R^\tau)^\perp, (\text{id} \otimes \tau_1^{-1} \otimes \tau_2^{-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_{m-1}^{-1})(R) \rangle = \langle (\text{id} \otimes \tau_1^! \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_{m-1}^!)(R^\tau)^\perp, R \rangle.$$

Hence,  $R^\perp = (\text{id} \otimes \tau_1^! \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_{m-1}^!)(R^\tau)^\perp$  and so

$$(R^\perp)^{\tau^!} = (\text{id} \otimes (\tau_1^{-1})^! \otimes \cdots \otimes (\tau_{m-1}^{-1})^!)(\text{id} \otimes \tau_1^! \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_{m-1}^!)(R^\tau)^\perp = (R^\tau)^\perp.$$

As a result, we have  $(A^\tau)^! = (\mathbb{k}\langle A_1 \rangle / R^\tau)^! = \mathbb{k}\langle A_1^* \rangle / ((R^\tau)^\perp) = \mathbb{k}\langle A_1^* \rangle / ((R^\perp)^{\tau^!}) = (A^!)^{\tau^!}$ .  $\square$

Let  $V, W$  be any two finite-dimensional vector spaces. For any integer  $m \geq 1$ , we denote the shuffle map

$$\text{Sh}_{V,W,m} : V^{\otimes m} \otimes W^{\otimes m} \xrightarrow{\cong} (V \otimes W)^m$$

to be the map sending

$$v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_m \otimes w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_m \mapsto v_1 \otimes w_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes w_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_m \otimes w_m,$$

for any  $v_i \in V$  and  $w_j \in W$ . When  $V, W$ , and  $m$  are clear from context, we omit the subscripts and denote this map by  $\text{Sh}$ .

For two connected graded algebras  $A = \mathbb{k}\langle A_1 \rangle / (R(A))$  and  $B = \mathbb{k}\langle B_1 \rangle / (R(B))$  with  $m$ -homogeneous relations  $R(A) \subseteq (A_1)^{\otimes m}$  and  $R(B) \subseteq (B_1)^{\otimes m}$  respectively, we extend Manin's bullet product [13, §4.2] to  $A$  and  $B$  such that

$$A \bullet B := \frac{\mathbb{k}\langle A_1 \otimes B_1 \rangle}{(\text{Sh}(R(A) \otimes R(B)))},$$

where  $\text{Sh} : (A_1)^{\otimes m} \otimes (B_1)^{\otimes m} \rightarrow (A_1 \otimes B_1)^{\otimes m}$  is the shuffle map. When  $B = A^! = \mathbb{k}\langle A_1^* \rangle / (R(A)^\perp)$  is the  $m$ -Koszul dual algebra of  $A$ , we see that  $A \bullet A^!$  is a connected graded bialgebra with matrix comultiplication defined on the generators of  $A_1 \otimes A_1^*$ . In particular, choose a basis  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  for  $A_1$  and let  $\{x^1, \dots, x^n\}$

be the dual basis for  $(A^!)_1 = A_1^*$ . Write  $z_j^k = x_j \otimes x^k \in A_1 \otimes A_1^*$  as the generators for  $A \bullet A^!$ . Then the coalgebra structure of  $A \bullet A^!$  is given by

$$\Delta(z_j^k) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} z_i^k \otimes z_j^i, \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon(z_j^k) = \delta_{j,k}, \quad \text{for any } 1 \leq j, k \leq n.$$

The following result is a straightforward generalization of the quadratic case in [13], which describes Manin's universal bialgebra  $\underline{\text{end}}^r(A)$  and Manin's universal quantum group  $\underline{\text{aut}}^r(A)$  in terms of the bullet product of  $A$  and its Koszul dual  $A^!$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** [10, Lemma 2.1.5] *Let  $A$  be an  $m$ -homogeneous algebra and  $A^!$  be its Koszul dual. We have:*

- (1)  $\underline{\text{end}}^r(A) \cong A \bullet A^!$ ;
- (2)  $\underline{\text{aut}}^r(A)$  is the Hopf envelope of  $\underline{\text{end}}^r(A)$ .

We now show that the bullet product of two twisting systems of  $A$  and of  $B$  is indeed a twisting system of  $A \bullet B$ . As a consequence, we can extend any twisting system of  $A$  to a twisting system of its universal bialgebra  $\underline{\text{end}}^r(A)$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two  $m$ -homogeneous algebras. If  $\tau = \{\tau_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a twisting system of  $A$ , and  $\omega = \{\omega_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a twisting system of  $B$ , then there exists a twisting system  $\tau \bullet \omega$  of the algebra  $A \bullet B$ , where  $(\tau \bullet \omega)_i$  on the degree one space  $(A \bullet B)_1 \cong A_1 \otimes B_1$  corresponds to the map  $\tau_i \otimes \omega_i$ . Furthermore,  $(A \bullet B)^{\tau \bullet \omega} \cong A^\tau \bullet B^\omega$  as  $m$ -homogeneous algebras.*

*Proof.* We construct  $\tau \bullet \omega$  by extending  $\tau \bullet \omega$  to the free algebra  $\mathbb{k}\langle A_1 \otimes B_1 \rangle$  as in (2.1). We claim that

$$(\tau_i \bullet \omega_i)(\text{Sh}(a \otimes b)) = \text{Sh}(\tau_i(a) \otimes \omega_i(b))$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $a \in A, b \in B$  are of the same degree  $n$ . It is trivial for  $n = 0, 1$ . By induction on  $n$ , suppose it holds for  $n \geq 1$ . We now show it holds for  $n + 1$ . Without loss of generality, we take  $a = xa'$  and  $b = yb'$  with  $x \in A_1, a' \in (A_1)^{\otimes n}$  and  $y \in B_1, b' \in (B_1)^{\otimes n}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau_i \bullet \omega_i)(\text{Sh}(a \otimes b)) &= (\tau_i \otimes \omega_i)(x \otimes y) \text{Sh}(a' \otimes b') \\ &= (\tau_i \otimes \omega_i)(x \otimes y) (\tau_{i+1} \tau_1^{-1} \otimes \omega_{i+1} \omega_1^{-1})(\text{Sh}(a' \otimes b')) \\ &= (\tau_i \otimes \omega_i)(x \otimes y) \text{Sh}(\tau_{i+1} \tau_1^{-1}(a') \otimes \omega_{i+1} \omega_1^{-1}(b')) \\ &= \text{Sh}(\tau_i(x) \tau_{i+1} \tau_1^{-1}(a') \otimes \omega_i(y) \omega_{i+1} \omega_1^{-1}(b')) \\ &= \text{Sh}(\tau_i(a) \otimes \omega_i(b)). \end{aligned}$$

This proves our claim. Denote the degree  $m$  relations of  $A$  by  $R$  and the degree  $m$  relations of  $B$  by  $S$ . In particular, we have

$$(\tau_i \bullet \omega_i)(\text{Sh}(R \otimes S)) = \text{Sh}((\tau_i(R) \otimes \omega_i(S)) = \text{Sh}(R \otimes S).$$

According to Proposition 2.1, we know  $\tau \bullet \omega$  is a well-defined twisting system of  $A \bullet B$ .

We now check the final claim (compare with [8, Lemma 3.1.1]). Denote by  $R^\tau$  and  $S^\omega$  the relation spaces of  $A^\tau$  and  $B^\omega$ , respectively. Recall that we have  $R^\tau = (\text{id} \otimes \tau_1^{-1} \otimes \tau_2^{-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_{m-1}^{-1})(R)$ , and  $S^\omega$  can be presented likewise. Then the relations of  $A^\tau \bullet B^\omega$  are precisely

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sh}(R^\tau \otimes S^\omega) &= \text{Sh}((\text{id} \otimes \tau_1^{-1} \otimes \tau_2^{-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_{m-1}^{-1})(R) \otimes (\text{id} \otimes \omega_1^{-1} \otimes \omega_2^{-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_{m-1}^{-1})(S)) \\ &= (\text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \tau_1^{-1} \otimes \omega_1^{-1} \otimes \tau_2^{-1} \otimes \omega_2^{-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_{m-1}^{-1} \otimes \omega_{m-1}^{-1})(\text{Sh}(R \otimes S)). \end{aligned}$$

The last equality gives the relations of  $(A \bullet B)^{\tau \bullet \omega}$ . Thus,  $(A \bullet B)^{\tau \bullet \omega} \cong A^\tau \bullet B^\omega$  as  $m$ -homogeneous algebras.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $A$  be an  $m$ -homogeneous algebra with a twisting system  $\tau = \{\tau_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Then  $\tau \bullet \tau^!$  is a twisting system of  $\underline{\text{end}}^r(A)$ , and  $\underline{\text{end}}^r(A)^{\tau \bullet \tau^!} \cong A^\tau \bullet (A^!)^{\tau^!} \cong A^\tau \bullet (A^\tau)^! \cong \underline{\text{end}}^r(A^\tau)$  as graded algebras.*

*Proof.* This is a direct consequence of Proposition 2.5 by letting  $B = A^!$  and applying Lemma 2.4(1) and Proposition 2.3.  $\square$

## 3. SYSTEMS OF TWISTING FUNCTIONALS

Throughout this section, let  $B$  be a bialgebra satisfying the twisting conditions below.

**Definition 3.1.** [10, Definition B] *A bialgebra  $(B, M, u, \Delta, \varepsilon)$  satisfies the twisting conditions if*

- (T1) *as an algebra  $B = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} B_n$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded, and*
- (T2) *the comultiplication satisfies  $\Delta(B_n) \subseteq B_n \otimes B_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

Recall that the space of linear functionals  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(B, \mathbb{k})$  on  $B$  has an algebra structure under the *convolution product*  $*$  such that  $f * g = (f \otimes g) \circ \Delta$  with unit  $u \circ \varepsilon$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\alpha = \{\alpha_i : B \rightarrow \mathbb{k} : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  be a collection of linear functionals on a bialgebra  $B$  such that each  $\alpha_i$  is convolution invertible with inverse denoted by  $\alpha_i^{-1}$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent for any homogeneous elements  $a, b \in B$ , where  $a$  is of degree  $j$  and  $b$  is of any degree:*

- (1)  $\sum \alpha_i(ab_1)\alpha_j(b_2) = \alpha_i(a)\alpha_{i+j}(b)$ ;
- (2)  $\alpha_i(ab) = \alpha_i(a)(\alpha_{i+j} * \alpha_j^{-1})(b)$ ;
- (3)  $\alpha_i^{-1}(ab) = \alpha_i^{-1}(a)(\alpha_j * \alpha_{i+j}^{-1})(b)$ ;
- (4)  $\sum \alpha_i^{-1}(ab_1)\alpha_{i+j}(b_2) = \alpha_i^{-1}(a)\alpha_j(b)$ .

*Proof.* We use the properties of the counit  $\varepsilon$  to show the equivalence below.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2):

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i(ab) &= \sum \alpha_i(ab_1)\varepsilon(b_2) = \sum \alpha_i(ab_1)\alpha_j(b_2)\alpha_j^{-1}(b_3) \\ &= \sum \alpha_i(a)\alpha_{i+j}(b_1)\alpha_j^{-1}(b_2) = \alpha_i(a)(\alpha_{i+j} * \alpha_j^{-1})(b). \end{aligned}$$

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1):

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \alpha_i(ab_1)\alpha_j(b_2) &= \sum \alpha_i(a)(\alpha_{i+j} * \alpha_j^{-1})(b_1)\alpha_j(b_2) \\ &= \sum \alpha_i(a)\alpha_{i+j}(b_1)\alpha_j^{-1}(b_2)\alpha_j(b_3) = \alpha_i(a)\alpha_{i+j}(b). \end{aligned}$$

We can show that (3) $\Leftrightarrow$ (4) similarly.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3):

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i^{-1}(ab) &= \sum \alpha_i^{-1}(a_3)\alpha_i(a_2)\alpha_i^{-1}(a_1b_1)(\alpha_{i+j} * \alpha_j^{-1})(b_2)(\alpha_j * \alpha_{i+j}^{-1})(b_3) \\ &= \sum \alpha_i^{-1}(a_3)\alpha_i(a_2)(\alpha_{i+j} * \alpha_j^{-1})(b_2)\alpha_i^{-1}(a_1b_1)(\alpha_j * \alpha_{i+j}^{-1})(b_3) \\ &= \sum \alpha_i^{-1}(a_3)\alpha_i(a_2b_2)\alpha_i^{-1}(a_1b_1)(\alpha_j * \alpha_{i+j}^{-1})(b_3) \\ &= \alpha_i^{-1}(a)(\alpha_j * \alpha_{i+j}^{-1})(b). \end{aligned}$$

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (2):

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i(ab) &= \sum \alpha_i(a_3)\alpha_i^{-1}(a_2)\alpha_i(a_1b_1)(\alpha_j * \alpha_{i+j}^{-1})(b_2)(\alpha_{i+j} * \alpha_j^{-1})(b_3) \\ &= \sum \alpha_i(a_3)\alpha_i^{-1}(a_2)(\alpha_j * \alpha_{i+j}^{-1})(b_2)\alpha_i(a_1b_1)(\alpha_{i+j} * \alpha_j^{-1})(b_3) \\ &= \sum \alpha_i(a_3)\alpha_i^{-1}(a_2b_2)\alpha_i(a_1b_1)(\alpha_{i+j} * \alpha_j^{-1})(b_3) \\ &= \alpha_i(a)(\alpha_{i+j} * \alpha_j^{-1})(b). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Definition 3.3.** *A collection of linear functionals  $\alpha = \{\alpha_i : B \rightarrow \mathbb{k}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  on a bialgebra  $B$  is called a system of twisting functionals on  $B$  if each  $\alpha_i$  satisfies the following:*

- (1)  $\alpha_i$  is convolution invertible with inverse  $\alpha_i^{-1}$ ;
- (2)  $\sum \alpha_i(ab_1)\alpha_j(b_2) = \alpha_i(a)\alpha_{i+j}(b)$ , for  $a \in B$  is of degree  $j$  and  $b \in B$  is of any degree;
- (3)  $\alpha_i(1) = 1$ ; and
- (4)  $\alpha_0 = \varepsilon$ , the counit of  $B$ .

Before we provide an example of a system of twisting functionals, we need the following notions. For any linear map  $\pi : B \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ , we define a linear map  $\Xi^l[\pi] : B \rightarrow B$  via

$$\Xi^l[\pi] = M \circ (\pi \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta, \quad \text{that is,} \quad \Xi^l[\pi](b) = \sum \pi(b_1)b_2, \quad \text{for } b \in B,$$

where  $M$  denotes the multiplication map. This extends the notion of *left winding endomorphism* in [4, §2]. By abuse of notation, we also call it a left winding endomorphism here. Similarly, the *right winding endomorphism*  $\Xi^r[\pi]$  is defined by

$$\Xi^r[\pi] = M \circ (\text{id} \otimes \pi) \circ \Delta, \quad \text{that is,} \quad \Xi^r[\pi](b) = \sum b_1\pi(b_2), \quad \text{for } b \in B.$$

If in addition  $\pi : B \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$  is convolution invertible with inverse  $\pi^{-1} : B \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ , one can check that the linear inverse of  $\Xi^l[\pi]$  is  $(\Xi^l[\pi])^{-1} = \Xi^l[\pi^{-1}]$ , making  $\Xi^l[\pi]$  a winding automorphism. Analogously,  $\Xi^r[\pi]$  is also a winding automorphism with linear inverse  $\Xi^r[\pi^{-1}]$ .

**Example 3.4.** *Suppose  $B$  is a Hopf algebra with antipode  $S$ . Let  $\phi : B \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$  be any algebra map. Note that the convolution inverse of  $\phi$  is  $\phi^{-1} = \phi \circ S$ . Consider  $\alpha = \{\alpha_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  where  $\alpha_i = \phi * \cdots * \phi$  is the  $i$ th product of  $\phi$  with itself with respect to the convolution product in  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(B, \mathbb{k})$ . It is straightforward to check that  $\alpha$  is a system of twisting functionals on  $B$ . Moreover, the associated twisting system  $\tau = \{\tau_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  where  $\tau_i = \Xi^r(\alpha_i) = (\Xi^r(\phi))^i$  is the twisting system given by the right winding automorphism associated with  $\phi$ .*

Recall that the *Hopf envelope* of a bialgebra  $B$  is the unique Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{H}(B)$  together with a bialgebra map  $\iota_B : B \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(B)$  satisfying the following universal property: for any bialgebra map  $f : B \rightarrow K$  where  $K$  is another Hopf algebra, there is a unique Hopf algebra map  $g : \mathcal{H}(B) \rightarrow K$  such that  $f = g \circ \iota_B$ . It is proved in [8, Lemma 2.1.10] that if  $B$  satisfies the twisting conditions in Definition 3.1 then so does  $\mathcal{H}(B)$ , and additionally  $S(\mathcal{H}(B)_n) \subseteq \mathcal{H}(B)_{-n}$ , for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Now, we construct explicitly the Hopf envelope  $\mathcal{H}(B)$  as in [16, Theorem 2.6.3] and [17], which grew out of Takeuchi's construction for coalgebras [20]. Consider a presentation  $B \cong \mathbb{k}\langle V \rangle / (R)$  as graded algebras, where  $V$  is a subcoalgebra of  $B$ . We can extend the comultiplication  $\Delta$  and counit  $\varepsilon$  to the free algebra  $\mathbb{k}\langle V \rangle$  as algebra maps, where  $(R)$  is a homogeneous bi-ideal of  $\mathbb{k}\langle V \rangle$ . In this case,  $B$  satisfies the twisting conditions. Denote infinitely many copies of the generating space  $V$  as  $\{V^{(k)} = V\}_{k \geq 0}$  and consider

$$T := \mathbb{k}\langle \oplus_{k \geq 0} V^{(k)} \rangle. \quad (3.1)$$

Let  $S$  be the anti-algebra map on  $T$  with  $S(V^{(k)}) = V^{(k+1)}$  for any  $k \geq 0$ . Both algebra maps  $\Delta : \mathbb{k}\langle V \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{k}\langle V \rangle \otimes \mathbb{k}\langle V \rangle$  and  $\varepsilon : \mathbb{k}\langle V \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$  extend uniquely to  $T$  as algebra maps via identities  $(S \otimes S) \circ \Delta = \Delta \circ S$  and  $\varepsilon \circ S = \varepsilon$ , which we still denote by  $\Delta : T \rightarrow T \otimes T$  and  $\varepsilon : T \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ . The Hopf envelope of  $B$  has a presentation

$$\mathcal{H}(B) = T/W,$$

where the ideal  $W$  is generated by

$$S^k(R), \quad (M \circ (\text{id} \otimes S) \circ \Delta - u \circ \varepsilon)(V^{(k)}), \quad \text{and} \quad (M \circ (S \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta - u \circ \varepsilon)(V^{(k)}), \quad \text{for all } k \geq 0. \quad (3.2)$$

One can check that  $W$  is a Hopf ideal of  $T$ , and so the Hopf algebra structure maps  $\Delta$ ,  $\varepsilon$ , and  $S$  of  $T$  give a Hopf algebra structure on  $\mathcal{H}(B) = T/W$ . Finally, the natural bialgebra map  $\iota_B : B \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(B)$  is given by the natural embedding  $\mathbb{k}\langle V \rangle \hookrightarrow T$  by identifying  $V = V^{(0)}$ .

Suppose  $B = \mathbb{k}\langle V \rangle / (R)$  and  $\alpha := \{\alpha_i : V \rightarrow \mathbb{k}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a collection of linear functionals (with  $\alpha_0 = \varepsilon$ ) on the subcoalgebra  $V$  with convolution inverses  $\alpha^{-1} := \{\alpha_i^{-1} : V \rightarrow \mathbb{k}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . We extend each  $\alpha_i$  and  $\alpha_i^{-1}$  (which we denote again as  $\alpha_i$  and  $\alpha_i^{-1}$ , by abuse of notation) to  $\mathbb{k}\langle V \rangle$  inductively by the rules

$$\alpha_i(1) = \alpha_i^{-1}(1) = 1, \quad \alpha_i(ab) := \alpha_i(a)(\alpha_{i+1} * \alpha_1^{-1})(b), \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_i^{-1}(ab) := \alpha_i^{-1}(a)(\alpha_1 * \alpha_{i+1}^{-1})(b), \quad (3.3)$$

for any  $a \in V$  and  $b \in V^{\otimes n}$  for  $n \geq 1$ . We leave the proof of the following result to the reader as it is similar to the proof of Proposition 2.1.

**Proposition 3.5.** *Retain the above notation. If  $\alpha_i(R) = 0$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\alpha_i$  and  $\alpha_i^{-1}$ , defined in (3.3), are well-defined linear functionals on  $B$  that are convolution inverse to each other. Moreover,  $\alpha = \{\alpha_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a system of twisting functionals on  $B$ .*

When  $B$  is a Hopf algebra, our next result shows how twisting functionals are valued at the antipodes.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $H$  be a Hopf algebra satisfying the twisting conditions. Let  $\alpha = \{\alpha_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  be a system of twisting functionals on  $H$  with convolution inverse  $\alpha^{-1} = \{\alpha_i^{-1} : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . For any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , any homogeneous element  $a \in H$  of degree  $j$ , and any  $k \geq 0$ , we have:*

$$\alpha_i(S^k(a)) = \begin{cases} \alpha_i(a), & k \text{ is even} \\ (\alpha_{-j} * \alpha_{i-j}^{-1})(a), & k \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_i^{-1}(S^k(a)) = \begin{cases} \alpha_i^{-1}(a), & k \text{ is even} \\ (\alpha_{i-j} * \alpha_{-j}^{-1})(a), & k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

*Proof.* For any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we proceed by induction on  $k$ . If  $k = 0$ , the statement is trivial. When  $k = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i(S(a)) &= \sum \alpha_i(S(a_1))(\alpha_{i-j} * \alpha_{-j}^{-1})(a_2)(\alpha_{-j} * \alpha_{i-j}^{-1})(a_3) \\ &= \alpha_i\left(\sum S(a_1)a_2\right)(\alpha_{-j} * \alpha_{i-j}^{-1})(a_3) \\ &= (\alpha_{-j} * \alpha_{i-j}^{-1})(a) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i^{-1}(S(a)) &= \sum \alpha_i^{-1}(S(a_1))(\alpha_{-j} * \alpha_{i-j}^{-1})(a_2)(\alpha_{i-j} * \alpha_{-j}^{-1})(a_3) \\ &= \alpha_i^{-1}\left(\sum S(a_1)a_2\right)(\alpha_{i-j} * \alpha_{-j}^{-1})(a_3) \\ &= (\alpha_{i-j} * \alpha_{-j}^{-1})(a). \end{aligned}$$

Inductively for  $\alpha_i(S^{k+1}(a))$ , we have

$$\alpha_i(S^{k+1}(a)) = \alpha_i S(S^k(a)) = (\alpha_{-j} * \alpha_{i-j}^{-1})(S^k(a)) = \sum \alpha_{-j}(S^k(a_1))\alpha_{i-j}^{-1}(S^k(a_2)) = (\alpha_{-j} * \alpha_{i-j}^{-1})(a),$$

for even  $k$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i(S^{k+1}(a)) &= \alpha_i S(S^k(a)) = (\alpha_j * \alpha_{i+j}^{-1})(S^k(a)) = \sum \alpha_j(S^k(a_2))\alpha_{i+j}^{-1}(S^k(a_1)) \\ &= \sum (\alpha_{-j} * \alpha_0^{-1})(a_2)(\alpha_i * \alpha_{-j}^{-1})(a_1) = \alpha_i(a), \end{aligned}$$

for odd  $k$ . Similarly, we can prove for  $\alpha_i^{-1}(S^{k+1}(a))$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $B$  be a bialgebra satisfying the twisting conditions. Then any system of twisting functionals on  $B$  can be extended uniquely to a system of twisting functionals on its Hopf envelope  $\mathcal{H}(B)$ . Moreover, any system of twisting functionals on  $\mathcal{H}(B)$  is obtained from some system of twisting functionals on  $B$  in such a way.*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha = \{\alpha_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a system of twisting functionals on  $B$ . We use the presentation of  $\mathcal{H}(B) = T/W$  based on  $B = \mathbb{k}\langle V \rangle / (R)$  as discussed above.

First, we lift the system of twisting functionals  $\alpha = \{\alpha_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  to the free bialgebra  $\mathbb{k}\langle V \rangle$  in the following way. By formulas (3.3), we can extend the restrictions  $\alpha_i|_V$  and  $\alpha_i^{-1}|_V$  on the subcoalgebra  $V$  to the free bialgebra  $\mathbb{k}\langle V \rangle$ . By abuse of notation, we still write them as  $\alpha = \{\alpha_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and  $\alpha^{-1} = \{\alpha_i^{-1}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . It is routine to check that  $\alpha$  is a system of twisting functionals on  $\mathbb{k}\langle V \rangle$  with convolution inverse  $\alpha^{-1}$ . Moreover,  $\alpha_i(R) = \alpha_i^{-1}(R) = 0$  which factor through  $B = \mathbb{k}\langle V \rangle / (R)$  giving back the original system of twisting functionals on  $B$ .

For simplicity, we write  $V^{(k)} = S^k(V)$  in  $T = \mathbb{k}\langle \oplus_{k \geq 0} V^{(k)} \rangle$ . We now extend  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha^{-1}$  from  $\mathbb{k}\langle V \rangle$  to  $T$  by (3.4). Again, it is straightforward to check that  $\alpha$  is a system of twisting functionals on  $T$ , with convolution inverse  $\alpha^{-1}$ , extending that on  $\mathbb{k}\langle V \rangle$ . By Proposition 3.5, it remains to show that  $\alpha(W) = 0$ , which would then yield a system of twisting functionals on  $\mathcal{H}(B) = T/W$  extending that on  $B$  via the natural bialgebra map  $B \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(B)$ . We will show that  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha^{-1}$  vanish on

$$\begin{aligned} &S^{\ell_1}(V) \otimes \cdots \otimes S^{\ell_p}(V) \otimes S^k(R) \otimes S^{\ell_{p+1}}(V) \otimes \cdots \otimes S^{\ell_{p+q}}(V), \\ &S^{\ell_1}(V) \otimes \cdots \otimes S^{\ell_p}(V) \otimes (M \circ (\text{id} \otimes S) \circ \Delta - u \circ \varepsilon)(S^k(V)) \otimes S^{\ell_{p+1}}(V) \otimes \cdots \otimes S^{\ell_{p+q}}(V), \\ &S^{\ell_1}(V) \otimes \cdots \otimes S^{\ell_p}(V) \otimes (M \circ (S \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta - u \circ \varepsilon)(S^k(V)) \otimes S^{\ell_{p+1}}(V) \otimes \cdots \otimes S^{\ell_{p+q}}(V), \end{aligned}$$

by induction on  $p + q$ .



**Case 1:** Assume  $p + q = 0$ . By (3.4), we have for any homogeneous element  $r \in R$ :

$$\alpha_i(S^k(r)) = \begin{cases} \alpha_i(r) & k \text{ is even} \\ (\alpha_{-|r|} * \alpha_{i-|r|}^{-1})(r) & k \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

where  $|r|$  denotes the degree of  $r$ . Since  $\alpha_i(R) = \alpha_i^{-1}(R) = 0$  and  $\Delta(R) \subseteq \mathbb{k}\langle V \rangle \otimes (R) + (R) \otimes \mathbb{k}\langle V \rangle$ , one can check that  $\alpha_i(S^k(R)) = 0$ . A similar argument yields  $\alpha_i^{-1}(S^k(R)) = 0$ . Take any homogeneous element  $a \in V$  of degree  $j$ , we have for  $k$  even,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i((M \circ (\text{id} \otimes S) \circ \Delta - u \circ \varepsilon)(S^k(a))) &= \alpha_i\left(\sum S^k(a_1)S^{k+1}(a_2) - \varepsilon(a)\right) \\ &= \sum \alpha_i(S^k(a_1))(\alpha_{i+j} * \alpha_j^{-1})(S^{k+1}(a_2)) - \varepsilon(a) \\ &= \sum \alpha_i(a_1)(\alpha_{j-j} * \alpha_{i+j-j}^{-1})(a_2) - \varepsilon(a) \\ &= \sum \alpha_i(a_1)\alpha_i^{-1}(a_2) - \varepsilon(a) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and for  $k$  odd,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i((M \circ (\text{id} \otimes S) \circ \Delta - u \circ \varepsilon)(S^k(a))) &= \alpha_i\left(\sum S^k(a_2)S^{i+1}(a_1) - \varepsilon(a)\right) \\ &= \sum \alpha_i(S^k(a_2))(\alpha_{i-j} * \alpha_{-j}^{-1})(S^{i+1}(a_1)) - \varepsilon(a) \\ &= \sum (\alpha_{-j} * \alpha_{i-j}^{-1})(a_2)(\alpha_{i-j} * \alpha_{-j}^{-1})(a_1) - \varepsilon(a) \\ &= \sum \alpha_{-j}(a_2)\alpha_j^{-1}(a_1) - \varepsilon(a) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show that  $\alpha_i((M \circ (S \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta - u \circ \varepsilon)(S^k(V))) = 0$  for  $k \geq 0$  and also for  $\alpha_i^{-1}$ . This completes the  $p + q = 0$  case.

**Case 2:** Suppose  $p + q > 0$ . Set

$$I_{p,q} = S^{\ell_1}(V) \otimes \cdots \otimes S^{\ell_p}(V) \otimes (M \circ (\text{id} \otimes S) \circ \Delta - u \circ \varepsilon)(S^k(V)) \otimes S^{\ell_{p+1}}(V) \otimes \cdots \otimes S^{\ell_{p+q}}(V).$$

We first claim that  $I_{p,q}$  is a co-ideal in  $T$ , that is,  $\Delta(I_{p,q}) \subseteq T \otimes I_{p,q} + I_{p,q} \otimes T$ . If  $k$  is even, we have

$$\Delta((M \circ (\text{id} \otimes S) \circ \Delta - u \circ \varepsilon)S^k(a)) = \sum S^k(a_1)S^{k+1}(a_3) \otimes (M \circ (\text{id} \otimes S) \circ \Delta - u \circ \varepsilon)S^k(a_2) \subseteq T \otimes I_{0,0}.$$

Then it is direct to check that  $\Delta(I_{p,q}) \subseteq T \otimes T_{p,q}$ . The argument for  $k$  is odd is the same. This proves our claim. Now let  $p > 0$ . For any  $a \in I_{p,q}$ , without loss of generality, we can write  $a = bc$  for some  $b \in S^{\ell_1}(V)$ ,  $c \in I_{p-1,q}$ . So, we can apply Lemma 3.2(2) to obtain that  $\alpha_i(bc) = \sum \alpha_i(b)\alpha_{i+|b|}(c_1)\alpha_{|b|}^{-1}(c_2) = 0$  since either  $c_1$  or  $c_2 \in I_{p-1,q}$ . The case for  $q > 0$  and  $\alpha_i^{-1}$  can be argued analogously. Hence  $\alpha_i(I_{p,q}) = \alpha_i^{-1}(I_{p,q}) = 0$ . By the same argument, we can show for

$$J_{p,q} = S^{\ell_1}(V) \otimes \cdots \otimes S^{\ell_p}(V) \otimes (M \circ (S \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta - u \circ \varepsilon)(S^k(V)) \otimes S^{\ell_{p+1}}(V) \otimes \cdots \otimes S^{\ell_{p+q}}(V).$$

This concludes the inductive step. Finally, the uniqueness of the extension of  $\alpha$  from  $B$  to  $\mathcal{H}(B)$  follows from Lemma 3.6.  $\square$

#### 4. 2-COCYCLES VIA TWISTING SYSTEM PAIRS

Throughout this section, let  $B$  be a bialgebra satisfying the twisting conditions given in Definition 3.1. In this section, we introduce the notion of a twisting system pair of  $B$ , which we lift to that of its Hopf envelope  $\mathcal{H}(B)$  and we use it to construct a certain 2-cocycle explicitly.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $B$  be a bialgebra satisfying the twisting conditions. Consider a collection of linear functionals  $\alpha = \{\alpha_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  with convolution inverse  $\{\alpha_i^{-1} : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  on  $B$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The collection of maps  $\alpha$  is a system of twisting functionals on  $B$ .*
- (2) *The collection of maps  $\tau = \{\tau_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  with  $\tau_i = \Xi^r[\alpha_i]$  is a twisting system of  $B$ . In this case, the inverse twisting system  $\tau^{-1}$  is given by  $\tau_i^{-1} = \Xi^r[\alpha_i^{-1}]$ .*
- (3) *The collection of maps  $\tau = \{\tau_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  with  $\tau_i = \Xi^l[\alpha_i^{-1}]$  is a twisting system of  $B$ . In this case, the inverse twisting system  $\tau^{-1}$  is given by  $\tau_i^{-1} = \Xi^l[\alpha_i]$ .*

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): It is clear that for any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\tau_i(a) = \Xi^r[\alpha_i](a) = \sum a_1 \alpha_i(a_2)$  is a graded linear automorphism of  $B$  with inverse  $\tau_i^{-1}(a) = \Xi^r[\alpha_i^{-1}](a) = \sum a_1 \alpha_i^{-1}(a_2)$ , for any homogeneous  $a \in B$  of degree  $j$ . Furthermore, we can compute that for  $b \in B$  of any degree:

$$\tau_i(a\tau_j(b)) = \tau_i\left(a\left(\sum b_1 \alpha_j(b_2)\right)\right) = \sum a_1 b_1 \alpha_i(a_2 b_2) \alpha_j(b_3) = \sum a_1 b_1 \alpha_i(a_2) \alpha_{i+j}(b_2) = \tau_i(a) \tau_{i+j}(b).$$

Moreover, we have  $\tau_i(1) = 1 \alpha_i(1) = 1$  and  $\tau_0(a) = \sum a_1 \alpha_0(a_2) = \sum a_1 \varepsilon(a_2) = a$ . So  $\tau = \{\tau_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a twisting system of  $B$ .

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Suppose  $\tau = \{\tau_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a twisting system of  $B$ . Then, we can compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \alpha_i(ab_1) \alpha_j(b_2) &= \varepsilon\left(\sum a_1 b_1 \alpha_i(a_2 b_2) \alpha_j(b_3)\right) = \varepsilon\left(\tau_i\left(a\left(\sum b_1 \alpha_j(b_2)\right)\right)\right) = \varepsilon(\tau_i(a\tau_j(b))) \\ &= \varepsilon(\tau_i(a) \tau_{i+j}(b)) = \varepsilon\left(\sum a_1 \alpha_i(a_2) b_1 \alpha_{i+j}(b_2)\right) = \alpha_i(a) \alpha_{i+j}(b). \end{aligned}$$

Also we have  $\alpha_i(1) = 1 \alpha_i(1) = \tau_i(1) = 1$  and  $\alpha_0(a) = \varepsilon(a_1 \alpha_0(a_2)) = \varepsilon(\tau_0(a)) = \varepsilon(a)$ . Moreover, let  $\beta_i = \varepsilon \circ \tau_i^{-1}$ . Since  $\Delta \circ \tau_i = (\text{id} \otimes \tau_i) \circ \Delta$ , one has  $\Delta \circ \tau_i^{-1} = (\text{id} \otimes \tau_i^{-1}) \circ \Delta$ . Then one can check that  $\tau_i^{-1} = \Xi^r[\beta_i]$ . Hence  $\tau_i \circ \tau_i^{-1} = \Xi^r[\alpha_i * \beta_i] = \text{id}_B$  and  $\tau_i^{-1} \circ \tau_i = \Xi^r[\beta_i * \alpha_i] = \text{id}_B$ , and so  $\alpha_i * \beta_i = \beta_i * \alpha_i = u \circ \varepsilon$  and  $\beta_i = \alpha_i^{-1}$ . Thus,  $\alpha = \{\alpha_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a system of twisting functionals of on  $B$ .

(1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3): This can be proved in a similar way.  $\square$

**Definition 4.2** (Twisting system pair). *Let  $(B, M, u, \Delta, \varepsilon)$  be a bialgebra satisfying the twisting conditions. A pair  $(\tau, \mu)$  of twisting systems of  $B$  is said to be a twisting system pair if for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ :*

- (P1)  $\Delta \circ \tau_i = (\text{id} \otimes \tau_i) \circ \Delta$  and  $\Delta \circ \mu_i = (\mu_i \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta$ , and
- (P2)  $\varepsilon \circ (\tau_i \circ \mu_i) = \varepsilon$ .

Using an argument similar to [8, Lemma 2.1.2], we show in Lemma 4.3 that for any twisting system pair  $(\tau, \mu)$  of a bialgebra  $B$ ,  $\tau$  and  $\mu$  are uniquely determined by each other as winding endomorphisms.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $B$  be a bialgebra satisfying the twisting conditions. For any twisting system pair  $(\tau, \mu)$  of  $B$ , we have a system of twisting functionals  $\alpha = \{\alpha_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  on  $B$  such that  $\tau = \{\tau_i = \Xi^r(\alpha_i) : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  and  $\mu = \{\mu_i = \Xi^l(\alpha_i^{-1}) : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Moreover, for any  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have the following properties:*

- (P3)  $\tau_i \circ \mu_j = \mu_j \circ \tau_i$ , and
- (P4)  $(\tau_i \otimes \mu_i) \circ \Delta = \Delta$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha_i = \varepsilon \circ \tau_i$  and  $\alpha_i^{-1} = \varepsilon \circ \tau_i^{-1}$ . Then we have

$$\tau_i(a) = \sum \tau_i(a)_1 \varepsilon(\tau_i(a)_2) \stackrel{\text{(P1)}}{=} \sum a_1 \varepsilon(\tau_i(a_2)) = \Xi^r[\varepsilon \circ \tau_i](a) = \Xi^r[\alpha_i](a).$$

Hence  $\tau_i = \Xi^r[\alpha_i]$  and by Lemma 4.1,  $\alpha = \{\alpha_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a system of twisting functionals on  $B$ . Since  $\tau^{-1}$  satisfies (P1), we have  $\tau_i^{-1} = \Xi^r[\alpha_i^{-1}]$ . A straightforward computation shows that  $\tau_i \circ \tau_i^{-1} = \Xi^r[\alpha_i * \alpha_i^{-1}] = \text{id}_B$  and  $\tau_i^{-1} \circ \tau_i = \Xi^r[\alpha_i^{-1} * \alpha_i] = \text{id}_B$ . This implies that  $\alpha_i$  and  $\alpha_i^{-1}$  are convolution inverse of each other. Similarly, we can show that  $\mu_i = \Xi^l[\varepsilon \circ \mu_i]$ . Condition (P2) implies that  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon \circ (\tau_i \circ \mu_i) = (\varepsilon \circ \mu_i) * \alpha_i$ . Hence we have  $\varepsilon \circ \mu_i = \alpha_i^{-1}$  and  $\mu_i = \Xi^l[\alpha_i^{-1}]$ . Finally, for any  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , condition (P3) holds since

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau_i \circ \mu_j)(a) &= \tau_i(\Xi^l[\alpha_j^{-1}](a)) = \Xi^r[\alpha_i]\left(\sum \alpha_j^{-1}(a_1) a_2\right) = \sum \alpha_j^{-1}(a_1) a_2 \alpha_i(a_3) \\ &= \Xi^l[\alpha_j^{-1}]\left(\sum a_1 \alpha_i(a_2)\right) = \Xi^l[\alpha_j^{-1}](\Xi^r[\alpha_i](a)) = (\mu_j \circ \tau_i)(a), \end{aligned}$$

and condition (P4) holds since

$$(\tau_i \otimes \mu_i) \Delta(a) = \sum \Xi^r[\alpha_i](a_1) \otimes \Xi^l[\alpha_i^{-1}](a_2) = \sum a_1 \alpha_i(a_2) \otimes \alpha_i^{-1}(a_3) a_4 = \sum a_1 \otimes a_2 = \Delta(a).$$

$\square$

**Corollary 4.4.** *Let  $B$  be a bialgebra satisfying the twisting conditions. Then any twisting system pair of a bialgebra  $B$  can be extended uniquely to a twisting system pair of its Hopf envelope  $\mathcal{H}(B)$ . Moreover, any twisting system pair of  $\mathcal{H}(B)$  is obtained from some twisting system pair of  $B$  in such a way.*

*Proof.* This is a direct consequence of Lemma 4.3 and Proposition 3.7.  $\square$

Now, we consider any Hopf algebra  $H$  satisfying the twisting conditions. A *right 2-cocycle* on  $H$  is a convolution invertible linear map  $\sigma : H \otimes H \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$  satisfying

$$\sum \sigma(x_1 y_1, z) \sigma(x_2, y_2) = \sum \sigma(x, y_1 z_1) \sigma(y_2, z_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(x, 1) = \sigma(1, x) = \varepsilon(x), \quad (4.1)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in H$ . The convolution inverse of  $\sigma$ , denoted by  $\sigma^{-1}$ , is a *left 2-cocycle* on  $H$ . Given a right 2-cocycle  $\sigma$ , let  $H^\sigma$  denote the coalgebra  $H$  endowed with the original unit and deformed product

$$x \cdot_\sigma y := \sum \sigma^{-1}(x_1, y_1) x_2 y_2 \sigma(x_3, y_3),$$

for any  $x, y \in H$ . In fact,  $H^\sigma$  is a Hopf algebra with the deformed antipode  $S^\sigma$  given in [6, Theorem 1.6]. We call  $H^\sigma$  the *2-cocycle twist* of  $H$  by  $\sigma$ . There is a monoidal equivalence

$$F : \text{comod}(H) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{comod}(H^\sigma) \quad \text{sending} \quad U \mapsto F(U) =: U_\sigma.$$

We write  $\otimes$  and  $\otimes_\sigma$  for the tensor products in the corresponding right comodule categories. As a functor,  $F$  is the identity functor since  $H = H^\sigma$  as coalgebras. As a monoidal equivalence,  $F$  is equipped with natural isomorphisms of  $H^\sigma$ -comodules:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{U,V} : F(U \otimes V) &\xrightarrow{\sim} F(U) \otimes_\sigma F(V) \\ u \otimes v &\mapsto \sum \sigma^{-1}(u_1, v_1) u_0 \otimes v_0, \end{aligned}$$

compatible with the associativity, where the right coaction of  $H$  on  $U$  is given by  $\rho : u \mapsto \sum u_0 \otimes u_1 \in U \otimes H$ . In particular,  $F$  sends a (connected graded)  $H$ -comodule algebra  $A$  to the twisted (connected graded)  $H^\sigma$ -comodule algebra  $F(A) = A_\sigma = A$  as vector spaces, with 2-cocycle twist multiplication  $a \cdot_\sigma b = \sum a_0 b_0 \sigma(a_1, b_1)$ , for any  $a, b \in A$ .

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $H$  be a Hopf algebra satisfying the twisting conditions, and  $(\tau, \mu)$  be a twisting system pair of  $H$ . Then  $\tau \circ \mu = \{\tau_i \circ \mu_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a twisting system of  $H$ . Moreover,  $H^{\tau \circ \mu} \cong H^\sigma$  as graded algebras, where the right 2-cocycle  $\sigma : H \otimes H \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$  and its convolution inverse  $\sigma^{-1}$  are given by*

$$\sigma(x, y) = \varepsilon(x) \varepsilon(\tau_{|x|}(y)) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^{-1}(x, y) = \varepsilon(x) \varepsilon(\mu_{|x|}(y))$$

for any homogeneous elements  $x, y \in H$  where  $|x|, |y|$  denote the degrees of  $x$  and  $y$ , respectively.

*Proof.* We first show that  $\tau \circ \mu$  is a twisting system. It is clear that  $\nu := \{\nu_i = \tau_i \circ \mu_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a set of graded linear automorphisms with inverse  $\nu^{-1} := \{\nu_i^{-1} = \mu_i^{-1} \circ \tau_i^{-1} : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  on  $H$ . By Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\tau_i = \Xi^r(\alpha_i), \quad \tau_i^{-1} = \Xi^r(\alpha_i^{-1}), \quad \mu_i = \Xi^l(\alpha_i^{-1}), \quad \mu_i^{-1} = \Xi^l(\alpha_i), \quad (4.2)$$

for the system of twisting functionals  $\alpha := \{\alpha_i = \varepsilon \circ \tau_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  on  $H$ . Let  $x, y$  and  $z$  be homogeneous elements in  $H$ . For any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\nu$  is a twisting system of  $H$  since

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_i(xy) &= \tau_i \circ \mu_i(xy) = (\tau_i \circ \mu_i(x)) \left( \tau_{i+|x|} \circ \tau_{|x|}^{-1} \circ \mu_{i+|x|} \circ \mu_{|x|}^{-1}(y) \right) \\ &\stackrel{\text{(P3)}}{=} (\tau_i \circ \mu_i(x)) \left( \tau_{i+|x|} \circ \mu_{i+|x|} \circ \mu_{|x|}^{-1} \circ \tau_{|x|}^{-1}(y) \right) = (\nu_i(x)) \left( \nu_{i+|x|} \circ \nu_{|x|}^{-1}(y) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We show next that  $\sigma$  satisfies (4.1):

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(x_1 y_1, z) \sigma(x_2, y_2) &= \sum \varepsilon(x_1 y_1) \alpha_{|x|+|y|}(z) \varepsilon(x_2) \alpha_{|x|}(y_2) = \sum \varepsilon(x) \alpha_{|x|}(y) \alpha_{|x|+|y|}(z) \\ &= \sum \varepsilon(x) \alpha_{|x|}(y z_1) \alpha_{|y|}(z_2) = \sum \varepsilon(x) \alpha_{|x|}(y_1 z_1) \varepsilon(y_2) \alpha_{|y|}(z_2) = \sum \sigma(x, y_1 z_1) \sigma(y_2, z_2), \end{aligned}$$

where the third equality follows from Lemma 3.2(1) and  $\sigma(x, 1) = \varepsilon(x) \alpha_{|x|}(1) = \varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon(1) \alpha_0(x) = \sigma(1, x)$ . Note that it is straightforward to check that  $\sigma$  is convolution invertible with inverse  $\sigma^{-1}(x, y) = \varepsilon(x) \alpha_{|x|}^{-1}(y) = \varepsilon(x) \varepsilon(\mu_{|x|}(y))$ . Thus,  $\sigma$  is a right 2-cocycle on  $H$ .

We now show that  $H^{\tau \circ \mu} \cong H^\sigma$  as graded algebras via the identity map on vector spaces. By (4.2) and Lemma 4.3, we indeed have

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot_\sigma y &= \sum \sigma^{-1}(x_1, y_1) x_2 y_2 \sigma(x_3, y_3) = \sum \varepsilon(x_1) \alpha_{|x|}^{-1}(y_1) x_2 y_2 \varepsilon(x_3) \alpha_{|x|}(y_3) \\ &= \sum x \alpha_{|x|}^{-1}(y_1) y_2 \alpha_{|x|}(y_3) = x \mu_{|x|} \tau_{|x|}(y) = x \nu_{|x|}(y) = x \cdot_\nu y. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $H^\sigma$  is a Hopf algebra, it implies that  $H^{\tau \circ \mu}$  also has a Hopf algebra structure via the above identity isomorphism  $\text{id} : H^{\tau \circ \mu} \cong H^\sigma$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.6.** *Let  $B$  be a bialgebra satisfying the twisting conditions,  $(\tau, \mu)$  be a twisting system pair of  $B$ , and  $(\mathcal{H}(\tau), \mathcal{H}(\mu))$  be the induced twisting system pair of  $\mathcal{H}(B)$  via Corollary 4.4. Then  $\mathcal{H}(B^{\tau \circ \mu}) \cong \mathcal{H}(B)^{\mathcal{H}(\tau) \circ \mathcal{H}(\mu)}$  as Hopf algebras.*

*Proof.* Denote by  $\tau^{-1}$  and  $\mu^{-1}$  the inverse twisting systems of  $\tau$  and  $\mu$ , respectively. Since  $\tau^{-1}$  and  $\mu^{-1}$  are twisting systems of  $B^\tau$  and  $B^\mu$  respectively, one can directly check that  $(\tau^{-1}, \mu^{-1})$  is the twisting system pair of  $B^{\tau \circ \mu}$  such that  $B \cong (B^{\tau \circ \mu})^{\tau^{-1} \circ \mu^{-1}}$  as bialgebras. Similarly, we write  $(\mathcal{H}(\tau)^{-1}, \mathcal{H}(\mu)^{-1}) = (\mathcal{H}(\tau^{-1}), \mathcal{H}(\mu^{-1}))$  as the unique extension of the twisting system pair  $(\tau^{-1}, \mu^{-1})$  from  $B^{\tau \circ \mu}$  to  $\mathcal{H}(B^{\tau \circ \mu})$ .

We denote by  $\iota_B : B \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(B)$  and  $\iota_{B^{\tau \circ \mu}} : B^{\tau \circ \mu} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(B^{\tau \circ \mu})$  the corresponding bialgebra maps from bialgebras to their Hopf envelopes satisfying the required universal property.

By the universal property of the Hopf envelope, one has a unique Hopf algebra map  $g : \mathcal{H}(B^{\tau \circ \mu}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(B)^{\mathcal{H}(\tau) \circ \mathcal{H}(\mu)}$  where the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B^{\tau \circ \mu} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{B^{\tau \circ \mu}}} & \mathcal{H}(B^{\tau \circ \mu}) \\ & \searrow (\iota_B)^{\tau \circ \mu} & \downarrow g \\ & & \mathcal{H}(B)^{\mathcal{H}(\tau) \circ \mathcal{H}(\mu)}. \end{array}$$

Similarly, one has a unique Hopf algebra map  $h : \mathcal{H}(B)^{\mathcal{H}(\tau) \circ \mathcal{H}(\mu)} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(B^{\tau \circ \mu})$  making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{\iota_B} & \mathcal{H}(B) \\ & \searrow (\iota_{B^{\tau \circ \mu}})^{\mathcal{H}(\tau)^{-1} \circ \mathcal{H}(\mu)^{-1}} & \downarrow h \\ & & \mathcal{H}(B^{\tau \circ \mu})^{\mathcal{H}(\tau)^{-1} \circ \mathcal{H}(\mu)^{-1}} \end{array}$$

commute. By letting  $l = h^{\tau \circ \mu}$ , we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B^{\tau \circ \mu} & \xrightarrow{(\iota_B)^{\tau \circ \mu}} & \mathcal{H}(B)^{\mathcal{H}(\tau) \circ \mathcal{H}(\mu)} \\ & \searrow (\iota_{B^{\tau \circ \mu}}) & \downarrow l \\ & & \mathcal{H}(B^{\tau \circ \mu}). \end{array}$$

By the universal property of  $\iota_B$  and  $\iota_{B^{\tau \circ \mu}}$  again, one can show that  $g \circ l$  and  $l \circ g$  are identities on  $\mathcal{H}(B)^{\mathcal{H}(\tau) \circ \mathcal{H}(\mu)}$  and  $\mathcal{H}(B^{\tau \circ \mu})$ , respectively. This completes our proof.  $\square$

## 5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

Throughout this section, let  $A$  be an  $m$ -homogeneous algebra and  $A^!$  be its Koszul dual. Let  $\tau$  be a twisting system of  $A$  and  $\tau^!$  be the dual twisting system of  $A^!$ , defined in Section 2. In the following results, we find a twisting pair of  $A \bullet A^! \cong \underline{\text{end}}^r(A)$  and lift it to give a Hopf algebra isomorphism between the universal quantum algebra of the Zhang twist  $A^\tau$  and the 2-cocycle twist of the universal quantum algebra of  $A$  (see Lemma 5.2). We then prove our main result, Theorem 1.4, which states that if two  $m$ -homogeneous algebras are graded Morita equivalent then they are quantum-symmetrically equivalent.

**Lemma 5.1.** *If  $A$  is an  $m$ -homogeneous algebra with twisting system  $\tau$ , then  $\tau \bullet \text{id}$  and  $\text{id} \bullet \tau^!$  (defined in Section 2) form a twisting system pair of  $A \bullet A^! \cong \underline{\text{end}}^r(A)$ . Moreover, we have the commutative diagrams:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\rho} & A \otimes \underline{\text{end}}^r(A) \\ \tau \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes (\tau \bullet \text{id}) \\ A & \xrightarrow{\rho} & A \otimes \underline{\text{end}}^r(A) \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A^! & \xrightarrow{\rho^!} & \underline{\text{end}}^r(A) \otimes A^! \\ \tau^! \downarrow & & \downarrow (\text{id} \bullet \tau^!) \otimes \text{id} \\ A^! & \xrightarrow{\rho^!} & \underline{\text{end}}^r(A) \otimes A^!. \end{array} \quad (5.1)$$

*Proof.* We know that both  $\mu := \tau \bullet \text{id}$  and  $\xi := \text{id} \bullet \tau^1$  are twisting systems of  $\underline{\text{end}}^r(A) = A \bullet A^1$ , by Proposition 2.2 and Proposition 2.5. Suppose  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  is a basis of  $A_1$ ; denote the dual basis of  $A_1^1$  by  $\{x^1, \dots, x^n\}$ . Recall that the coaction of  $A \bullet A^1$  on  $A$  sends

$$\rho : x_j \mapsto \sum x_k \otimes z_j^k,$$

where  $z_j^k$  is the image of  $x_j \otimes x^k$  in  $A \bullet A^1$ . Since each linear automorphism  $\tau_i$  preserves degrees, we have some invertible scalar matrix  $(\lambda_{jl}^i)_{1 \leq j, l \leq n}$  with inverse  $(\phi_{jl}^i)_{1 \leq j, l \leq n}$  such that

$$\tau_i : x_j \mapsto \sum \lambda_{jl}^i x_l, \quad \mu_i(z_j^k) = \sum_{1 \leq l \leq n} \lambda_{jl}^i z_l^k, \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_i(z_j^k) = \sum_{1 \leq l \leq n} z_j^l \phi_{lk}^i. \quad (5.2)$$

We show that **(P1)** and **(P2)** hold for  $\mu$  and  $\xi$  by induction on the degrees in  $A \bullet A^1$ . It is trivial for degree 0 and straightforward for degree 1 due to (5.2). Suppose **(P1)** and **(P2)** hold for all degrees  $\leq n$ . Take any homogeneous elements  $a, b$  in  $A \bullet A^1$  with  $a$  of degree  $j$  and  $b$  of degree  $n+1-j$ . Then one can check that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \circ \mu_i(ab) &= \Delta \circ (\mu_i(a)\mu_{i+j}\mu_j^{-1}(b)) = (\Delta \circ \mu_i)(a)(\Delta \circ \mu_{i+j}\mu_j^{-1})(b) \\ &= (\text{id} \otimes \mu_i) \circ \Delta(a)(\text{id} \otimes \mu_{i+j}\mu_j^{-1}) \circ \Delta(b) = \sum a_1 b_1 \otimes \mu_i(a_2)\mu_{i+j}\mu_j^{-1}(b_2) \\ &= \sum a_1 b_1 \otimes \mu_i(a_2 b_2) = (\text{id} \otimes \mu_i) \circ \Delta(ab). \end{aligned}$$

So **(P1)** holds for  $\mu$  and similarly for  $\xi$ . Now for **(P2)**, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon \circ (\mu_i \circ \xi_i)(ab) &= \varepsilon \mu_i \xi_i(a) \varepsilon(\mu_{i+j}\mu_j^{-1}\xi_{i+j}\xi_j^{-1})(b) = \varepsilon \mu_i \xi_i(a) \varepsilon(\mu_{i+j}\xi_{i+j}\mu_j^{-1}\xi_j^{-1})(b) \\ &= \varepsilon(a) \varepsilon(\mu_j^{-1}\xi_j^{-1})(b) = \varepsilon(a) \varepsilon(b) = \varepsilon(ab). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(\mu, \xi)$  is a twisting system pair of  $\underline{\text{end}}^r(A)$ .

For the diagrams in (5.1), we will show the first diagram is commutative. Similar argument can be applied to show the second diagram is commutative. One can check that

$$(\text{id}_A \otimes \mu_i)\rho(x_j) = (\text{id}_A \otimes \mu_i) \left( \sum x_k \otimes z_j^k \right) = \sum_{k,l} x_k \otimes \lambda_{jl}^i z_l^k = \rho \left( \sum_l \lambda_{jl}^i x_l \right) = \rho \tau_i(x_j).$$

Note that by a similar argument, we also have  $(\text{id}_A \otimes \mu_i^{-1})\rho = \rho \tau_i^{-1}$ . Now by an inductive argument, we prove that the diagram commutes in degree  $n$ , supposing that for any degree  $n-1$  element  $a$ , we have

$$\rho \tau_i(a) = (\text{id}_A \otimes \mu_i)\rho \quad \text{and} \quad \rho \tau_i^{-1}(a) = (\text{id}_A \otimes \mu_i^{-1})\rho.$$

Of course, it is enough to check on degree  $n$  elements of the form  $xa$ , where  $x \in A_1$  and  $a \in A_{n-1}$ , since we are assuming  $A$  is generated in degree 1. Now we can check

$$\begin{aligned} \rho \tau_i(xa) &= \rho(\tau_i(x)\tau_{i+1}\tau_1^{-1}(a)) = \rho \tau_i(x)\rho \tau_{i+1}\tau_1^{-1}(a) \\ &= (\text{id}_A \otimes \mu_i)\rho(x)(\text{id}_A \otimes \mu_{i+1})(\text{id}_A \otimes \mu_1^{-1})\rho(a) = (\text{id}_A \otimes \mu_i)\rho(xa). \end{aligned}$$

The argument for  $\rho \tau_i^{-1}$  is similar. By induction, the diagram commutes in all degrees.  $\square$

Let  $\sigma$  be the 2-cocycle of  $\underline{\text{aut}}^r(A)$  corresponding to the twisting system pair  $(\mathcal{H}(\tau \bullet \text{id}), \mathcal{H}(\text{id} \bullet \tau^1))$  in Corollary 4.4 and Proposition 4.5. We know that  $\underline{\text{aut}}^r(A)^{\mathcal{H}(\tau \bullet \text{id}) \circ \mathcal{H}(\text{id} \bullet \tau)} \cong \underline{\text{aut}}^r(A)^\sigma$ . Moreover, by the universal property of the Hopf envelope and (5.1), the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\rho} & A \otimes \underline{\text{aut}}^r(A) & \quad \text{and} & A^1 & \xrightarrow{\rho^1} & \underline{\text{aut}}^r(A) \otimes A^1 \\ \tau \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes \mathcal{H}(\tau \bullet \text{id}) & & \tau^1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{H}(\text{id} \bullet \tau^1) \otimes \text{id} \\ A & \xrightarrow{\rho} & A \otimes \underline{\text{aut}}^r(A) & & A^1 & \xrightarrow{\rho^1} & \underline{\text{aut}}^r(A) \otimes A^1 \end{array} \quad (5.3)$$

commute. We use the next result to prove that quantum-symmetric equivalence is a graded Morita invariant.

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $A$  be an  $m$ -homogeneous algebra and  $\tau$  be a twisting system of  $A$ . We have an isomorphism of Hopf algebras  $\underline{\text{aut}}^r(A^\tau) \cong \underline{\text{aut}}^r(A)^\sigma$ , where  $\sigma$  is the right 2-cocycle corresponding to the twisting system pair  $(\mathcal{H}(\tau \bullet \text{id}), \mathcal{H}(\text{id} \bullet \tau^1))$  defined in Proposition 4.5.*

*Proof.* We check that

$$\underline{\text{end}}^r(A^\tau) \cong A^\tau \bullet (A^\tau)^! \cong A^\tau \bullet (A^!)^{\tau^!} \cong (A \bullet A^!)^{\tau \bullet \tau^!} \cong \underline{\text{end}}^r(A)^{\tau \bullet \tau^!},$$

where the second step follows by Proposition 2.3, and the third step follows from Proposition 2.5. Then we can show that

$$\underline{\text{aut}}^r(A^\tau) \cong \mathcal{H}(\underline{\text{end}}^r(A^\tau)) \cong \mathcal{H}(\underline{\text{end}}^r(A)^{\tau \bullet \tau^!}) \cong \mathcal{H}(\underline{\text{end}}^r(A))^{\mathcal{H}(\tau \bullet \tau^!)} \cong \underline{\text{aut}}^r(A)^\sigma,$$

where the second isomorphism follows from our above computation, the third follows from Proposition 4.6, and the fourth follows from Proposition 4.5.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.4.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two  $m$ -homogeneous algebras that are graded Morita equivalent; we must show that they are quantum-symmetrically equivalent. Without loss of generality, by [23, Theorem 1.2], we can assume  $B = A^\tau$  for some twisting system  $\tau = \{\tau_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  of  $A$ . By Lemma 5.2, there exists a right 2-cocycle  $\sigma$  on  $\underline{\text{aut}}^r(A)$  given by the twisting system pair  $(\mathcal{H}(\tau \bullet \text{id}), \mathcal{H}(\text{id} \bullet \tau^!))$  such that  $\underline{\text{aut}}^r(A^\tau) \cong \underline{\text{aut}}^r(A)^\sigma$ . As a consequence,  $\text{comod}(\underline{\text{aut}}^r(A))$  and  $\text{comod}(\underline{\text{aut}}^r(A^\tau))$  are monoidally equivalent. Since  $A$  is an  $\underline{\text{aut}}^r(A)$ -comodule algebra, we can consider the corresponding  $\underline{\text{aut}}^r(A)^\sigma$ -comodule algebra  $A_\sigma$ . It remains to show that there is an isomorphism  $A_\sigma \cong A^\tau$  of  $\underline{\text{aut}}^r(A)^\sigma$ -comodule algebras. The following computation concludes the proof: for any homogeneous elements  $a, b \in A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot_\sigma b &= \sum a_0 b_0 \sigma(a_1, b_1) = \sum a_0 b_0 \varepsilon(a_1) \varepsilon(\mathcal{H}(\tau \bullet \text{id})_{|a|}(b_1)) = a((\varepsilon \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes \mathcal{H}(\tau \bullet \text{id})) \circ \rho)(b) \\ &= a(\varepsilon \otimes \text{id})(\rho(\tau_{|a|}(b))) = a\tau_{|a|}(b) = a \cdot_\tau b, \end{aligned}$$

the fourth equality follows from (5.3).  $\square$

The following is now an immediate consequence of the main results proved in our paper.

**Corollary 5.3.** *Let  $A$  be any  $m$ -homogeneous algebra and  $H$  a Hopf algebra that right coacts on  $A$  by preserving its grading. Then for any right 2-cocycle  $\sigma$  on  $H$ , the following are equivalent.*

- (i) *The 2-cocycle twist algebra  $A_\sigma$  and  $A$  are graded Morita equivalent.*
- (ii) *There is a twisting system  $\tau$  on  $A$  such that  $A_\sigma \cong A^\tau$  as graded algebras.*
- (iii) *There is a 2-cocycle  $\sigma'$  on  $\underline{\text{aut}}^r(A)$  given by some twisting system pair such that  $A_\sigma \cong A_{\sigma'}$  as algebras.*

*Proof.* (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) Note that by [8, Lemma 4.1.5],  $A_\sigma$  is again an  $m$ -homogeneous algebra. So the equivalence directly follows from [23, Theorem 1.2].

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) It is derived from the proof of Theorem 1.4, where the twisting system pair is given in Lemma 5.2.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Without loss of generality, we can assume the 2-cocycle  $\sigma$  is given by some twisting system  $(f, g)$  on  $\underline{\text{aut}}^r(A)$ . By Lemma 4.3, there is a system of twisting functionals  $\{\alpha_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  on  $\underline{\text{aut}}^r(A)$  such that  $f_i = \Xi^r[\alpha_i]$  and  $g_i = \Xi^l[\alpha_i^{-1}]$ . We define a collection of graded linear automorphisms  $\tau = \{\tau_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  on  $A$  via  $\tau_i(a) = \sum a_0 \alpha_i(a_1)$  with linear inverse  $\tau_i^{-1}(a) = \sum a_0 \alpha_i^{-1}(a_1)$ . Similar to Lemma 4.1, one can easily check that  $\tau$  is a twisting system on  $A$ . Note the 2-cocycle  $\sigma$  on  $\underline{\text{aut}}^r(A)$  is given by  $\sigma(x, y) = \varepsilon(x)\alpha_{|x|}(y)$  for any homogeneous elements  $x, y \in \underline{\text{aut}}^r(A)$ . Therefore, we have

$$a \cdot_\sigma b = \sum a_0 b_0 \sigma(a_1, b_1) = \sum a_0 b_0 \alpha_{|a|}(b_1) = a\tau_{|a|}(b) = a \cdot_\tau b$$

for any homogeneous elements  $a, b \in A$ . This proves the implication.  $\square$

**Remark 5.4.** In [3], Artin and Zhang introduced the concept of a noncommutative projective scheme  $\text{Proj}(A)$ , which gives an analogue of the category of quasi-coherent sheaves for the noncommutative projective space associated to  $A$ . Since  $\text{Proj}(A)$  is a quotient of  $\text{grmod}(A)$ , and we have proven that  $QS(A)$  only depends on  $\text{grmod}(A)$ , one might ask whether  $QS(A)$  is actually an invariant of  $\text{Proj}(A)$ . However, we point out that there are connected graded algebras whose noncommutative projective schemes are equivalent but are not quantum-symmetrically equivalent. For example, let  $A$  be a polynomial algebra and  $B = A^{(d)}$  be the Veronese subalgebra, which always shares the same  $\text{Proj}$  with  $A$  (see e.g., [15, Introduction] for further details on the Veronese subalgebra). By [10, Lemma 3.2.7],  $A$  and  $B$  are not quantum-symmetrically equivalent since  $A$  has a finite global dimension, but  $B$  does not when  $d \geq 2$ .

We speculate that Theorem 1.4 holds in general for any two graded algebras that are finitely generated in degree one, without the  $m$ -homogeneous assumption. Since Theorem 1.4 implies that the tensor category  $\text{comod}(\underline{\text{aut}}^r(A))$  depends only on  $\text{grmod}(A)$  rather than on  $A$ , we ask the following question.

**Question 5.5.** *For a connected graded algebra  $A$  that is finitely generated in degree one, is there an intrinsic categorical construction for  $\text{comod}(\underline{\text{aut}}^r(A))$  purely in terms of  $\text{grmod}(A)$ ?*

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. L. Agore, *Universal coacting Poisson Hopf algebras*, Manuscripta Math. **165** (2021), no. 1-2, 255–268. MR 4242570
- [2] A. L. Agore, A. S. Gordienko, and J. Vercruyssen, *V-universal Hopf algebras (co)acting on  $\Omega$ -algebras*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **25** (2023), no. 1, Paper No. 2150095, 40. MR 4523148
- [3] M. Artin and J. J. Zhang, *Noncommutative projective schemes*, Adv. Math. **109** (1994), no. 2, 228–287. MR 1304753
- [4] K. A. Brown and J. J. Zhang, *Dualising complexes and twisted Hochschild (co)homology for Noetherian Hopf algebras*, J. Algebra **320** (2008), no. 5, 1814–1850. MR 2437632
- [5] A. Chirvasitu, C. Walton, and X. Wang, *On quantum groups associated to a pair of preregular forms*, J. Noncommut. Geom. **13** (2019), no. 1, 115–159. MR 3941475
- [6] Y. Doi, *Braided bialgebras and quadratic bialgebras*, Comm. Algebra **21** (1993), no. 5, 1731–1749. MR 1213985
- [7] P. Etingof, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, and V. Ostrik, *Tensor categories*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. **205**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015. MR 3242743
- [8] H. Huang, V. C. Nguyen, C. Ure, K. B. Vashaw, P. Veerapen, and X. Wang, *Twisting of graded quantum groups and solutions to the quantum Yang-Baxter equation*, to appear in Transform. Groups (2022).
- [9] ———, *A cogroupoid associated to preregular forms*, to appear in J. Noncommut. Geom. (2024).
- [10] ———, *Twisting Manin’s universal quantum groups and comodule algebras*, Adv. Math. **445** (2024), Paper No. 109651. MR 4732072
- [11] H. Huang, C. Walton, E. Wicks, and R. Won, *Universal quantum semigroupoids*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **227** (2023), no. 2, Paper No. 107193, 34. MR 4460365
- [12] F. Liu Lopez and C. Walton, *Twists of graded algebras in monoidal categories*, arXiv:2311.18105.
- [13] Y. I. Manin, *Quantum groups and noncommutative geometry*, second ed., CRM Short Courses, Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, [Montreal], QC; Springer, Cham, 2018, With a contribution by Theo Raedschelders and Michel Van den Bergh. MR 3839605
- [14] I. Mori and S. P. Smith, *m-Koszul Artin–Schelter regular algebras*, J. Algebra **446** (2016), 373–399. MR 3421098
- [15] I. Mori and K. Ueyama, *A categorical characterization of quantum projective spaces*, J. Noncommut. Geom. **15** (2021), no. 2, 489–529. MR 4325714
- [16] B. Pareigis, *Quantum Groups and Noncommutative Geometry*, Lecture Notes TU Munich.
- [17] H.-E. Porst, *Takeuchi’s free Hopf algebra construction revisited*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **216** (2012), no. 8-9, 1768–1774. MR 2925870
- [18] T. Raedschelders and M. Van den Bergh, *The Manin Hopf algebra of a Koszul Artin–Schelter regular algebra is quasi-hereditary*, Adv. Math. **305** (2017), 601–660. MR 3570144
- [19] S. J. Sierra, *G-algebras, twistings, and equivalences of graded categories*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **14** (2011), no. 2, 377–390. MR 2776790
- [20] M. Takeuchi, *Free Hopf algebras generated by coalgebras*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **23** (1971), 561–582. MR 292876
- [21] H. V. Tran and M. Vancliff, *Twisting systems and some quantum  $p^3$ s with point scheme a rank-2 quadric*, ‘Recent Advances in Noncommutative Algebra and Geometry,’ Eds. K. A. Brown et al, Contemporary Math., Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
- [22] C. Walton and X. Wang, *On quantum groups associated to non-Noetherian regular algebras of dimension 2*, Math. Z. **284** (2016), no. 1-2, 543–574. MR 3545505
- [23] J. J. Zhang, *Twisted graded algebras and equivalences of graded categories*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **72** (1996), no. 2, 281–311. MR 1367080

(HUANG) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RICE UNIVERSITY, HOUSTON, TX 77005, U.S.A.

Email address: [h237huan@rice.edu](mailto:h237huan@rice.edu)

(NGUYEN) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNITED STATES NAVAL ACADEMY, ANNAPOLIS, MD 21402, U.S.A.

Email address: [vnguyen@usna.edu](mailto:vnguyen@usna.edu)

(VASHAW) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139, U.S.A.

Email address: [kentv@mit.edu](mailto:kentv@mit.edu)

(VEERAPEN) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TENNESSEE TECH UNIVERSITY, COOKEVILLE, TN 38505, U.S.A.

Email address: [pveerapen@tntech.edu](mailto:pveerapen@tntech.edu)

(WANG) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY, BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA 70803, USA

Email address: [xingtingwang@math.lsu.edu](mailto:xingtingwang@math.lsu.edu)