

# Cycles in spherical Deligne complexes and application to $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture for Artin groups

Jingyin Huang

June 13, 2024

## Abstract

We show the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture holds for Artin groups whose Dynkin diagrams are complete bipartite (edge labels are allowed to be arbitrary), answering a question of J. McCammond. Along the way, we treat several related families of hyperbolic type Artin groups, namely the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture holds for all 3-dimensional hyperbolic type Artin groups, except one single example with Dynkin diagram  $[3, 5, 3]$ ; and the conjecture holds for all quasi-Lannér hyperbolic type Artin groups up to dimension 4. We also treat several higher dimensional families.

Most of the article is about developing new methods of understanding combinatorial minimal fillings of certain types of cycles in spherical Deligne complexes or relative Artin complexes, via non-positive curvature geometry. Then we combine this with an approach to the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture introduced by a previous article of the author to settle new cases of  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture.

In the appendix we list some related open questions and conjectures.

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Background and overview

Let  $\{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  be a locally finite collection of affine complex hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . The associated *hyperplane arrangement complement* is defined to the manifold  $\mathbb{C}^n - \cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} H_\lambda$ . Despite the simple definition of such spaces and the long history of studying them, some fundamental aspects of their topology remain elusive; even many basic questions about their fundamental groups are widely open, except for a number of special cases.

One important scenario where these arrangement complements become comparably more tractable (though still mysterious) is that the collection of hyperplanes has extra symmetry – there is a group acting on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  permuting the hyperplanes. As a basic example, we take the family of hyperplanes to be  $\{z_i = z_j\}_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n}$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . The symmetry group  $S_n$  acts on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  by permuting the coordinates; hence, it also permutes these hyperplanes. The associated arrangement complement is the configuration space of ordered  $n$  points in  $\mathbb{C}$ , whose fundamental group is the pure braid group on  $n$ -strands.

The action of the symmetry group in this example is generated by reflections, and the chosen family of hyperplanes are reflection hyperplanes under such action. This has a vast generalization to *any* abstract reflection groups acting on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , which gives rise to much more intricate collections of reflection hyperplanes.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite simplicial graph with each edge labeled by an integer  $\geq 2$  with its vertex set  $S$ . The *Artin group (or Artin-Tits group) with presentation graph*  $\Gamma$ , denoted  $A_\Gamma$  or  $A_S$ , is a group whose generating set is  $S$ , and there is a relation of the form  $aba \cdots = bab \cdots$  with both sides being alternating words of length  $m$  whenever two vertices  $a$  and  $b$  are connected by an edge labeled by  $m_{ab}$ . The *Coxeter group with presentation graph*  $\Gamma$ , denoted  $W_\Gamma$  or  $W_S$ , has the same generating sets and the same relators as the Artin group, with extra relations  $v^2 = 1$  for each vertex  $v \in \Gamma$ .

A *reflection* of  $W_S$  is a conjugate an element in  $S$ . Let  $R$  be the collection of all reflections in  $W_S$ . Recall that  $W_S$  admits a canonical representation  $\rho : W \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ , such that each element in  $R$  acts as a linear reflection on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and the action  $W_S \curvearrowright \mathbb{R}^n$  stabilizes an open convex cone  $I \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , called the *Tits cone*, where the action of  $W_S$  is properly discontinuous. For each reflection  $r \in W_S$ , let  $H_r$  be the set of fix points of  $\rho(r)$  in  $I$ . The collection of all such  $H_r$  form an arrangement of hyperplanes in  $I$ . Define

$$M(W_S) = (I \times I) \setminus (\cup_{r \in R} (H_r \times H_r)).$$

The  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture for reflection arrangement complements, due to Arnol'd, Brieskorn, Pham, and Thom, predicts that the space  $M(W_S)$  is aspherical for any Coxeter group  $W_S$ . There is an induced action of  $W_S$  on  $M(W_S)$ , which is free and proper discontinuous. The quotient has its fundamental group isomorphic to the Artin group  $A_S$ .

Historically, the interests in these topological spaces (i.e., reflection arrangement complements) come from connection with singularity theory: if  $W$  is of type  $A$ ,  $D$ , or  $E$ , then  $M(W_S)/W_S$  is the complement of the discriminant of the semi-universal deformation of a simple singularity of the same type [Bri70]. More recently, it is known that many of these topological spaces are closely related to spaces of stability conditions on suitable triangulated categories [Bri09, Ike14, QW18, AW22, Hen22, DHL23, QZ23], see also the survey article [Hen24]. In a few sporadic cases, these topological spaces also arise as components of certain strata of the moduli space of abelian differential in genus 3 [LM12].

The study of the  $K(\pi, 1)$  conjecture is closely related to the study of Artin groups. Basic questions of Artin groups remain widely open [GP12a], despite their simple presentation.

To date, the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture is largely open. It is known that the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture for an arbitrary Artin group reduces to the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture for Artin groups with complete presentation graph by the work of Charney-Davis, Ellis-Sköldberg and Godelle-Paris [CD95a, ES10, GP12b]. Among Artin groups with a complete presentation graph, the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture is known in the 2-dimension case by Charney-Davis [CD95a], and a few families that are not exactly 2-dimensional but have strong 2-dimensional features by work of Juhasz and Goldman [Juh18, Gol22, Juh23]. Known cases of  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture in higher dimensions are sparse - Artin groups associated with finite reflection groups by Deligne [Del72], Artin groups with irreducible spherical parabolics being 2-dimensional or  $A_3$  by Charney [Cha04], Artin groups associated with Euclidean reflection groups by Paolini-Salvetti [PS21] (based on previous work of McCammond-Sulway [MS17]), and a few examples of hyperbolic type Artin groups by Haettel and the author [HH23].

In a previous article [Hua23], we proved the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture for new families of higher dimensional Artin groups by establishing a connection between the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture and the properties of short cycles in the 1-skeleton of Deligne complexes of spherical Artin groups. This leads to the study of short cycles in spherical Deligne complexes, which is a major challenge in implementing the strategy in [Hua23] for more general Artin groups.

In this article and [Hua24], we develop new geometric and topological methods to understand certain types of cycles in the spherical Deligne complexes; as a consequence, we settle the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture of several important classes of higher dimensional Artin groups. This article can be read independently, assuming a few facts from [Hua23].

The study of cycles in Deligne complexes is closely related to solving certain types of equations over Artin groups. This article focuses on using non-positive curvature geometry to understand these equations, via constructing new actions of certain Artin groups and some of their quotients on injective metric spaces, CAT(0) spaces, spaces with convex geodesic bicombing, Helly graphs and weakly modular graphs. The companion article [Hua24] explores other methods to understand these equations, including combinatorics of arcs on surfaces, as well as solving equations in free groups.

Some ingredients here will be used in an upcoming article to treat the  $K(\pi, 1)$  problem of some complex hyperplane complements outside the realm of Artin groups.

## 1.2 Main results

As the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture for general Artin groups is reduced to Artin groups with complete presentation graphs, **our results will be mostly about Artin groups with complete presentation graphs**. It is more convenient to use a different graph in this case. Given an Artin group with presentation graph  $\Gamma$ , its *Dynkin diagram*  $\Lambda$  is obtained from  $\Gamma$  by removing all open edges of  $\Gamma$  labeled by 2, and adding extra edges labeled by  $\infty$  between vertices of  $\Gamma$  that are not adjacent. Thus, two vertices of the Dynkin diagram  $\Lambda$  commute if they are *not* adjacent. For example, the presentation graph of any braid group is a complete graph, but its Dynkin diagram is a line, which is more informative.

**Theorem 1.1.** (=Theorem 10.8) *Let  $A_S$  be an Artin group such that its Dynkin diagram is complete bipartite. Then  $A_S$  satisfies the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture.*

A *complete bipartite graph* is a join of two discrete sets. Artin groups with complete bipartite Dynkin diagram were used by McCammond [McC17, Section 8] to demonstrate how little we understand about Artin groups. When the Dynkin diagram is the star  $K_{1,n}$  (the join of one vertex and  $n$ -vertices) and all edges are labeled by 3, the only previously known cases of  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture were  $K_{1,1}$  (type  $A_2$ ) and  $K_{1,2}$  (type  $A_3$ ) in [FN62],  $K_{1,3}$  (type  $D_4$ ) in [Bri06, Del72] and  $K_{1,4}$  (type  $\tilde{D}_4$ ) very recently in [PS21]. The  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture for the next diagram  $K_{1,5}$ , whose Coxeter group acts on the hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{H}^5$  with finite volume fundamental domain, was already not known before. The edge labeling in Theorem 1.1 is allowed to be arbitrary.

The choice of the family with complete bipartite Dynkin diagrams is not arbitrary, as it is closely related (in a perhaps less obvious way) to several other natural families of Artin groups. As the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture is known for Artin groups associated with spherical and Euclidean reflection groups [Del72, PS21], it is natural to ask the same question for *hyperbolic type Artin groups*, i.e., Artin groups associated with Coxeter groups acting on  $\mathbb{H}^n$  with finite volume fundamental domain. This was completely understood in the case of  $\mathbb{H}^2$  [CD95a], with an alternative proof [DPS22]. However, even in  $\mathbb{H}^3$ , we only know a few sporadic examples of Artin groups satisfying  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture [Cha04, HH23, Hua23].

En route to proving Theorem 1.1, we treat the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture for all 3-dimensional hyperbolic type Artin groups, except a single example.

**Theorem 1.2.** (=Corollary 9.3) *Let  $W_\Gamma$  be a reflection group acting properly on  $\mathbb{H}^3$  by isometries, with a finite volume fundamental domain. Assume  $W_\Gamma$  is not  $[3, 5, 3]$ . Then the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture holds true for the associated Artin group  $A_\Gamma$ .*

Here  $[3, 5, 3]$  denotes the Coxeter group whose Dynkin diagram is a linear graph with three edges, such that consecutive edges are labeled 3, 5, 3.

For general hyperbolic type Artin groups, the most fundamental classes are the Lannér and quasi-Lannér groups. A hyperbolic type Artin group is *Lannér* if the action of the associated Coxeter group has a fundamental domain being a compact simplex, and is *quasi-Lannér* if the associated Coxeter group has a fundamental domain being a non-compact finite volume simplex. A Dynkin diagram is Lannér (or affine or quasi-Lannér) if the associated Coxeter/Artin group satisfies the same property. We use  $A_\Lambda$  to denote the Artin group with Dynkin diagram  $\Lambda$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** (=Corollary 11.4) *Let  $A_\Lambda$  be a quasi-Lannér hyperbolic type Artin group of dimension  $\leq 4$ . Then the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture holds for  $A_\Lambda$ .*

Theorem 1.3 is a consequence of a more general theorem treating higher dimensional Artin groups, which we will explain now. A key feature of the Dynkin diagram  $\Lambda$  of a quasi-Lannér group, is that  $\Lambda$  has a *core*, corresponding to the cusps of the action on  $\mathbb{H}^n$ , which is an affine subdiagram  $\Lambda'$ , such that for any vertex  $s \in \Lambda'$ , each component of  $\Lambda \setminus \{s\}$  is either spherical or affine. Axiomatizing this feature on the diagrams leads to much larger classes of Artin groups which are not necessarily hyperbolic type, but share some common geometric features with the




Figure 1: Some variations of quasi-Lannér diagrams

hyperbolic type ones. From this viewpoint, each quasi-Lannér diagram gives rise to one or several families of Artin groups containing examples of arbitrarily high dimension (by contrast, hyperbolic type Artin groups only exist up to a certain dimension), and we will organize the remaining results in this way.

Consideration of cores is part of a strategy to treat all Artin groups; see Section 1.3.

We have treated one case when the core is a cycle in [Hua23]. Theorem 1.3 relies on treating other types of cores, which leads to the following more general result.

**Theorem 1.4.** (=Proposition 11.1) *Given an Artin group  $A_\Lambda$  with its Dynkin diagram  $\Lambda$  containing an induced subdiagram  $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$  such that*

1.  $\Lambda'$  is the Dynkin diagram of a 3-dimensional irreducible affine Coxeter group;
2. for any vertex  $s \in \Lambda'$ , each component of  $\Lambda \setminus \{s\}$  is either spherical, or has type in  $\{\tilde{A}_3, \tilde{B}_3, \tilde{C}_n\}$ .

*Then  $A_\Lambda$  satisfies the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture.*

To demonstrate Theorem 1.4, we give seven families of Artin groups, each family containing examples of arbitrary high dimensions whose  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture was not known before. Some of them can be treated directly by Theorem 1.4, and others require a small variation of Theorem 1.4. We use the following convention for drawing a Dynkin diagram: when an edge is unlabeled, then its label is assumed to be 3.

**Corollary 1.5.** (=Corollary 11.3) *All Artin groups whose Dynkin diagrams belong to Figure 1 satisfy the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture.*

Motivated by a few examples of 5-dimensional quasi-Lannér diagrams (see Figure 2 (I), (II)), we study a family of diagrams, called extended stars, which generalize McCammond's star diagrams  $K_{1,n}$  mentioned before, see Figure 2 (III).

**Theorem 1.6.** (=Theorem 11.5) *A Dynkin diagram  $\Lambda$  is called an extended star if*

1.  $\Lambda$  is a tree with a vertex  $s \in \Lambda$  such that each edge containing  $s$  has label = 3;
2. each component of  $\Lambda \setminus \{s\}$  either contains only one vertex, or contains only one edge whose label is 4.




Figure 2: Extended star.

If  $\Lambda$  is an extended star, then  $A_\Lambda$  satisfies the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture.

Another type of result concerns Artin groups with fewer generators. Artin groups with  $\leq 3$  generators were understood [Del72, CD95a, DPS22]. However, Artin groups with 4 generators already contain many examples whose  $K(\pi, 1)$  were not understood before.

**Theorem 1.7.** (=Corollary 9.3) *Let  $A_S$  be an Artin group with  $\leq 4$  generators. Suppose the Dynkin diagram of  $A_S$  is not  $[3, 5, 3]$ . Then  $A_S$  satisfies the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture.*

One can obtain more general new examples of high dimensional Artin groups satisfying  $K(\pi, 1)$  by combining the results in this article, and [Hua23]. Here is a simple example.

**Corollary 1.8.** (=Corollary 10.9) *Given an Artin group  $A_S$  with a complete presentation graph. If its Dynkin diagram  $\Lambda$  is a tree Dynkin diagram with a collection of open edges  $E$  with label  $\geq 6$  such that each component of  $\Lambda \setminus (\cup_{e \in E} \{e\})$  is either spherical or a star  $K_{1,n}$ . Then  $A_\Lambda$  satisfies the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture.*

We also obtain a corollary regarding the torsion and center of some Artin groups, using [JS23], which belongs to fundamental unsolved problems for Artin groups.

**Corollary 1.9.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be a connected Dynkin diagram satisfying the assumptions in one of the previous theorems. Then  $A_\Lambda$  is torsion free and it has a trivial center as long as  $\Lambda$  is not spherical.*

We end this section by making a summary of all the examples of hyperbolic type Artin groups with known  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture which are either *Lannér* or *quasi-Lannér*, combining results from [CD95a, Cha04, DPS22, HH23, Hua23] as well as this article.

**Corollary 1.10.** *Suppose  $\Lambda$  is a Lannér or quasi-Lannér Dynkin diagram of hyperbolic type. Then  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture is known for  $A_\Lambda$  when either  $\Lambda$  is associated with a reflection group acting on  $\mathbb{H}^n$  with  $n \leq 3$  except  $\Lambda = [3, 5, 3]$ , or  $\Lambda$  belongs to one of the following diagrams in Figure 3.*




Figure 3: Diagram with dimension  $\geq 4$  with known  $K(\pi, 1)$ .

### 1.3 Comment on the proofs

We will first review the strategy in [Hua23], then explain what is new in this article.

**Brief recap from the [Hua23]** One general principle to prove the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture for Artin group  $A_S$  inductively, proposed in [CD95a], is to construct a suitable contractible complex  $X$  where  $A_S$  acts with cell-stabilizers being smaller Artin groups whose  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture are known. There are several different possible complexes one can consider. Recall that the *Artin complex*, introduced in [CD95a] and further studied in [GP12b, CMV20], is defined as follows. For each  $s \in S$ , let  $A_{\hat{s}}$  be the standard parabolic subgroup generated by  $S \setminus \{s\}$ . Let  $\Delta_S$  be the simplicial complex whose vertex set corresponds to left cosets of  $\{A_{\hat{s}}\}_{s \in S}$ . Moreover, a collection of vertices spans a simplex if the associated cosets have a nonempty common intersection. The Artin complex is an analogue of *Coxeter complex* in the setting of Artin group. The definition of a Coxeter complex  $C_S$  of a Coxeter group  $W_S$  is almost identical to the Artin complex, except one replaces  $A_{\hat{s}}$  by  $W_{\hat{s}}$ , which is the standard parabolic subgroup of  $W_S$  generated by  $S \setminus \{s\}$ . Each vertex of  $C_S$  or  $\Delta_S$  corresponding to a left coset of  $W_{\hat{s}}$  or  $A_{\hat{s}}$  has a *type*, which is defined to be  $\hat{s} = S \setminus \{s\}$ . The following gives an inductive way to prove the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture.

**Theorem 1.11.** [GP12b, Theorem 3.1] *If  $\Delta_S$  is contractible and each  $\{A_{\hat{s}}\}_{s \in S}$  satisfies the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture, then  $A_S$  satisfies the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture.*

Thus, the task is reduced to showing the Artin complex is contractible. Recall that an Artin group is *spherical*, if the associated Coxeter group is finite. The associated Coxeter complex is a sphere, and the Artin complex is a union of top-dimensional spheres intersecting each other in an intricate way that is not contractible [Del72]. However, it is known that the Coxeter complex is contractible whenever the associated Coxeter group is not finite. It is natural to conjecture that the Artin complex is also contractible in this case.

The link  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta_S)$  of a vertex of type  $\hat{s}$  turns out to be a copy of the Artin complex  $\Delta_{\hat{s}}$  with  $\hat{s} = S \setminus \{s\}$ . Thus, if  $\Delta_{\hat{s}}$  is contractible, then the link of each vertex of type  $\hat{s}$  is contractible. So  $\Delta_S$  can deformation retract onto its subcomplex spanned by vertices whose type is not  $\hat{s}$ . The first step in our strategy is to keep performing this kind of deformation retraction, until one reaches a “core” where such deformation retraction is not possible, which motivates the following from [Hua23].

**Definition 1.12.** Let  $A_S$  be an Artin group with generating set  $S$ . Let  $S' \subset S$ . The  $(S, S')$ -relative Artin complex  $\Delta_{S, S'}$  is defined to be the induced subcomplex of the Artin complex  $\Delta_S$  of  $A_S$  spanned by vertices of type  $\hat{s}$  with  $s \in S'$ .

An Artin group  $A_S$  is *almost spherical* if its presentation graph is complete, it is not spherical, but for each  $s \in S$ ,  $A_{\hat{s}}$  is spherical. A subset  $T \subset S$  is *almost spherical* if  $A_T$  is almost spherical. Almost spherical Artin groups are classified: they are either affine, or one of the hyperbolic Lannér types. If  $A_S$  is almost spherical, then the link of each vertex is isomorphic to the Artin complex of a spherical Artin group, which is not contractible by previous discussion, thus the deformation retraction procedure does not work for  $\Delta_S$ . Thus, these Artin complexes and their relative versions serve as our “cores”. We conjecture that the cores are contractible. The following conjecture actually implies the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture for all Artin groups [Hua23].

**Conjecture 1.13** ([Hua23]). *Suppose  $S' \subset S$ , and  $S'$  is almost spherical. Suppose the Dynkin diagrams for  $S'$  and  $S$  are connected and do not have  $\infty$ -labeled edges. Then  $\Delta_{S, S'}$  is contractible.*

We plan to metrize  $\Delta_{S, S'}$  as a “non-positive curved metric space” to show contractibility. There is a natural piecewise Euclidean or piecewise hyperbolic metric we can put on  $\Delta_{S, S'}$  [Hua23] (see Section A), and the resulting metric space is conjecturally CAT(0) or CAT(−1), which gives contractibility. However, the feasibility of proving this conjecture is not clear due to the difficulty of verifying CAT(0) for higher dimensional complexes.

A different metric on  $\Delta_{S,S'}$ , discussed in [Hua23], is conjectured to make  $\Delta_{S,S'}$  a metric space with convex geodesic bicombing in the sense of [DL15], hence contractible. We have a candidate for such metric if  $S'$  does not belong to the following examples:  $\{\tilde{F}_4, \tilde{E}_6, \tilde{E}_7, \tilde{E}_8, [3, 5, 3], [5, 3, 3, 3]\}$ . See Section A for details of the proposed metric in each case.

Combining with the work of Haettel [Hae22], in order to verify the alternative metric in Section A has the desired property which leads to the contractibility of the complex, it suffices to understand cycles of length  $\leq 6$  in the link of each vertex of  $\Delta_{S,S'}$  when  $\dim(\Delta_{S,S'}) \geq 3$ , and cycles of length  $\leq 12$  in the vertex links of  $\Delta_{S,S'}$  when  $\dim(\Delta_{S,S'}) = 2$ .

Thus, the core of this strategy is to understand cycles up to a certain length in relative Artin complexes. This turns out to be the most challenging part, as understanding an  $n$ -cycle in  $\Delta_{S,S'}$  is equivalent to understanding the solution set of the equation

$$w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n = 1 \tag{1.14}$$

in  $A_S$ , subject to the constraint that each  $w_i$  is contained in a suitable parabolic subgroup of  $A_S$ . Thus the whole plan relies on the feasibility of solving (1.14), which is not clear at all - even the word problem for most of these Artin groups is open.

Our plan is to use a propagation argument to reduce the study of cycles in general relative Artin complexes to Artin complexes associated with spherical Artin groups. For a vertex  $v$  of type  $\hat{s}$  in  $\Delta_{S,S'}$ ,  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta_{S,S'}) \cong \Delta_{T,T'}$  with  $T = S \setminus \{s\}$  and  $T' = S' \setminus \{s\}$ . If  $A_T$  is already spherical, then the reduction is done. If  $A_T$  is not spherical, then we can find  $T'' \subset T$  such that  $A_{T''}$  is almost spherical. If we understand, say, 6-cycles in the vertex links of  $\Delta_{T,T''}$ , then we can conclude that  $\Delta_{T,T''}$  is non-positively curved in an appropriate sense. While  $T''$  might not equal  $T'$ ,  $A_T$  acts on both  $A_{T,T''}$  and  $A_{T,T'}$ , this allows us to encode a 6-cycle in  $\Delta_{T,T'}$  as six nice subspaces of  $\Delta_{T,T''}$ , and use the non-positive curvature of  $\Delta_{T,T''}$  to analyze the configuration of these subspaces. This gives potentially a way to use our knowledge of 6-cycles in the vertex links of  $\Delta_{T,T''}$  associated to a smaller Artin group  $A_T$  to understand 6-cycles in the vertex links of  $\Delta_{S,S'}$  associated with a larger Artin group  $A_S$ . We can keep doing this until  $A_T$  is spherical.<sup>1</sup>

Now we are reduced to studying cycles of length  $\leq 6$  in  $\Delta_S$  with  $S$  spherical, and cycles of length  $\leq 12$  in  $\Delta_S$  with  $S$  spherical and  $\Delta_S$  being 1-dimensional. The later is already understood. The former is still highly non-trivial.

**Remark 1.15.** In summary, this strategy relies on three major components. First is to understand cycles of length  $\leq 6$  in  $\Delta_S$  with  $S$  spherical. The second is to prove certain properties of cycles up to a given length (mostly up to length 6) survive under the propagation process mentioned above. Third, in order to extend this strategy so that it can treat all Artin groups, we need a theorem reducing the non-positive curvature of  $\Delta_{S,S'}$  to understanding cycles in vertex links up to a given length in  $\Delta_{S,S'}$  when  $S'$  belongs to one of the six exceptional cases. Even without the third component, being able to treat the first and second components in full generality will already lead to fairly general results in the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture.

**What is new in this article.** As explained before, a main challenge in implementing the strategy in [Hua23] is to understand the filling of cycles of length  $\leq 6$  in the Artin complex  $\Delta_S$  with the associated Artin group  $A_S$  being spherical. Almost half of [Hua23] is to understand how 4-cycles in  $\Delta_S$  can be filled in the complex. This relies on understanding equation (1.14) in the case  $n = 4$ . The main observation was that solving such an equation can be reduced to understanding when two elements in the group commute. However, this completely breaks down in the case  $n > 4$ , which makes understanding 5-cycles and 6-cycles in  $A_S$  a different story. This is a major obstacle to generalizing [Hua23].

---

<sup>1</sup>An important, though a bit more technical point - the properties of cycles that propagate properly under this procedure are different from the existing links in the literature (usually one has to require more), however, we expect that it still concerns cycles of the same length.

A big portion of this article (Section 4 to Section 7), as well as the companion article [Hua24] is devoted to developing new methods to understand 6-cycles in some Artin complexes of spherical types, providing strong evidence for overcoming the obstacle in the previous paragraph. The case discussed in the companion article [Hua24] uses methods of different flavors, hence is separated from here. We are able to treat certain types of 6-cycles in  $\Delta_S$  with  $S$  being of type  $F_4, A_n, D_n, H_3$ , where the  $D_n$  case is in [Hua24].

We introduce a new ingredient in Section 8 on how some of the properties we prove for spherical type Artin complexes propagate to more general Artin complexes in the sense explained before. In Section 9 to Section 11, we use the results from section 4 to Section 8, as well as the method in [Hua23], to prove all the main theorems. Here we only discuss some of the material in Section 4 to Section 8.

When  $A_S$  is spherical, the Artin complex  $\Delta_S$  is also called the *spherical Deligne complex*. It is also named “generalized building” by Deligne [Del72], due to its similarity with spherical buildings. These complexes are unions of “apartments” that are round spheres, however, they are not exactly spherical buildings. Nevertheless, this analogy is fruitful and influential, leading Charney and Davis to conjecture that spherical Deligne complexes are CAT(1) with a naturally defined metric, as a way to prove the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture.

On the other hand, the main property we want to establish, is that given a 6-cycle in the 1-skeleton of  $\Delta_S$ , the minimal filling of this 6-cycle in the 2-skeleton of  $\Delta_S$  is restricted to a few very specific combinatorial configurations. This is a combinatorial problem that is reminiscent of Bowditch’s characterization of CAT(1) in terms of filling curves of length  $< 2\pi$  [Bow95].

These spherical Deligne complexes are more commonly thought of as “spherical objects” and were studied using intuition from spherical geometry. However, in this article, we treat them as “Euclidean objects”. This viewpoint is motivated by certain topological properties of the associated hyperplane arrangement complements. We show these spherical complexes contain large pieces satisfying fine notions of non-positive curvature, and a large amount of group theoretical information is encoded in these pieces. While the meaning of pieces and the notions of non-positive curvature vary in cases, this is the organizing principle for us to understand filling cycles in the spherical Deligne complexes. The choice of the form of non-positive curvature is quite subtle - it was pointed out to the author by M. Bestvina that there are other contexts (see e.g. curve complexes and arc complexes [Web20]) where a given complex satisfies nice form of negative curvature/non-positive curvature, but fails to meet the types of conditions we want to verify.

Now we discuss cases  $A_n, H_3$  in more detail on how we find these non-positive curvature subcomplexes, where we actually have control over filling cycles of *any* length in these subcomplexes. Suppose  $A_S$  is an Artin group of type  $A_n$ , with the associated pure Artin group denoted by  $PA_S$ . Then  $\Delta_S/PA_S$  is a copy of the Coxeter complex  $C_S$ . This gives a folding map  $\pi : \Delta_S \rightarrow C_S$ . Let  $H$  be a *wall* in  $C_S$  (i.e., fix points of a reflection), and let  $K_H$  be the maximal subcomplex of  $C_S$  contained in an open halfspace bounded by  $H$ . Let  $\tilde{K}_H = \pi^{-1}(K_H)$ . While  $K_H$  has a natural piecewise spherical metric inherited from the round sphere  $C_S$ , we would like to metrize  $K_H$  differently.

Consider the Boolean lattice which is the poset made of all subsets of an  $n$ -element set, ordered under containment. The Hasse diagram of this poset can be identified as the 1-skeleton of an  $n$ -dimensional unit cube  $[0, 1]^n$ . A simple computation yields that  $K_H$  is isomorphic (as a simplicial complex) to the geometric realization of this poset, which corresponds to a simplicial subdivision of  $[0, 1]^n$  into orthoschemes. We endow the unit cube with  $\ell^\infty$ -metric, which gives a metric on  $K_H$ , and pulls back to a piecewise  $\ell^\infty$  metric on  $\tilde{K}_H$ . The following is the main point, and follows from Proposition 5.4.

**Proposition 1.16.** *Each component of  $\tilde{K}_H$  with this metric is an injective metric space.*

We conjecture that each component of  $\tilde{K}_H$  is CAT(0) if we put the  $\ell^2$ -metric on  $K_H$ , however, this seems much harder to show. This proposition is good enough for the purpose of gaining

control on minimal filling of cycles of any length in the 2-skeleton of  $\tilde{K}_H$ ; namely, by a simple procedure (see [Hae21], also [Che00, CCHO14]), one can produce a Helly graph out of  $\tilde{K}_H$  which is closely related to the 1-skeleton of  $\tilde{K}_H$ , and control of filling cycles in Helly graphs was obtained in [CCHO14].

Note that the subcomplex  $\tilde{K}_H$  depends on the choice of  $H$ . Different choices of  $H$  give a family of subcomplexes that cover  $\Delta_S$ . If we want to understand the minimal filling of a 6-cycle in  $\Delta_S$  and this 6-cycle happens to be inside one of these subcomplexes, then we are more or less done. However, this is not the case for all 6-cycles, and actually, we do not have a complete understanding of the minimal filling of all 6-cycles in  $\Delta_S$ . However, for certain types of 6-cycles, we do have an extra argument if they are not contained in one of these subcomplexes, and we will come back to this point in a later part of the discussion.

From a topological viewpoint, the complex  $\tilde{K}_H$  arises naturally from the deconing of the associated  $A_n$ -type arrangement of hyperplanes. When  $\Delta_S$  is 2-dimensional, the complex  $\tilde{K}_H$  was considered by Falk in [Fal95] for a different purpose, with a different metric. Though the description of the complex in Falk's paper is different as well, it gives the same complex (see Section 2.4). Thus, from now on, we will refer to the subcomplex  $\tilde{K}_H$  of  $\Delta_S$  as the *Falk subcomplex* associated with  $H$ .

We also record a curious consequence related to the conjecture of Charney and Davis that the spherical Deligne complex is CAT(1) with a natural piecewise spherical metric. While our intention was to circumvent this conjecture, we do manage to prove that in the  $A_n$  case, suitable subcomplexes of the spherical Deligne complex are CAT(1).

**Proposition 1.17** (=Proposition 5.7). *Suppose  $\Lambda$  is the type  $A_n$  diagram with vertex set  $S$ . Let  $S'$  be three consecutive vertices in  $\Lambda$ . Then the relative Artin complex  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$  with the induced Moussong metric on  $\Delta_\Lambda$  is CAT(1).*

Now we turn to the case that  $A_S$  is of type  $H_3$ , which is the most technical case in this article. Let  $\pi : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_S$  and  $H$  as before. We can still define  $\tilde{K}_H$ , though now we are no longer able to metrize it as an injective metric space, or a CAT(0) or conformally CAT(0) space. However, we can get around this issue by the following procedure.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a central arrangement of hyperplanes  $\{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $M(\mathcal{A}) = \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^n - \cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (H_\lambda \otimes \mathbb{C}))$  and  $G(\mathcal{A}) = \pi_1 M(\mathcal{A})$ . There is a *spherical Deligne complex*  $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$  (see Section 2.4) with an action  $G \curvearrowright \mathbb{S}\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$ . The quotient is  $S_{\mathcal{A}}$ , which is defined to be the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with a cell structure induced by the intersection of this sphere with the hyperplanes  $\{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ . Take a hyperplane of  $S_{\mathcal{A}}$ , one can still define the associated Falk subcomplex of  $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$  in a similar way.

From now on, we take  $\mathcal{A} = \{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  to be the collection of reflection hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  for the canonical action of the type  $H_3$  Coxeter group on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , then the associated  $G$  is the pure Artin group  $PA_S$  of type  $H_3$ , and  $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is isomorphic to  $\Delta_S$ . Denote the standard generators of the Artin groups  $A_S$  of type  $H_3$  by  $\{a, b, c\}$ , and assume  $m_{ab} = 5$  and  $m_{bc} = 3$ . It turns out the hardest type of 6-cycles in  $\Delta_S$  is a 6-cycle  $\omega$  whose vertices have types alternating between  $\hat{a}$  and  $\hat{c}$ . This corresponds to an equation in  $A_S$  of form

$$w_{ab}w_{bc}w'_{ab}w'_{bc}w''_{ab}w''_{bc} = 1, \quad (1.18)$$

where  $w_{ab}, w'_{ab}$  and  $w''_{ab}$  are (possibly different) words in the subgroup of  $A_S$  generated by  $a$  and  $b$ . Similarly, we define  $w_{bc}, w'_{bc}$  and  $w''_{bc}$ . Understanding the minimal filling of  $\omega$  is closely related to gaining control over each of the terms in the above equation.

Let  $\Lambda'$  be a subset of  $\Lambda$ . This gives a sub-arrangement  $\mathcal{A}' = \{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda'}$ . The natural inclusion  $M(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow M(\mathcal{A}')$  gives a surjective homomorphism  $PA_S \rightarrow G(\mathcal{A}')$ . The rough plan is as follows: Suppose we want to control the term  $w_{ab}$  in the above equation. We first choose carefully the subset  $\Lambda'$  such that the quotient  $PA_S \rightarrow G(\mathcal{A}')$  restricts to an injective map on the pure Artin subgroup  $PA_{ab}$ . This enables us to consider the same equation in the quotient  $G(\mathcal{A}')$  and the term  $w_{ab}$  does not disappear under the quotient. Now we look at an appropriate Falk subcomplex of  $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}'}$ . The hope is that it is now easier to metrize this Falk subcomplex as a non-positive

curved metric space (again, one has to choose  $\Lambda'$  carefully for this to happen). Now we can use the non-positive curvature of the Falk subcomplex to fill in 6-cycles in this subcomplex, which can be translated to an estimate of the term  $w_{ab}$ .

There are a few other important points to successfully implement this plan. The first is that when we make the quotient, we not only want the term  $w_{ab}$  to be more or less unchanged, we also want to make sure that the other terms are still “non-trivial” in an appropriate sense - for example, if most of the other terms disappear under the quotient, then our plan would fail. This places extra constraint on  $\Lambda'$ , as a consequence, it makes the task of arranging the non-positive curvature of the Falk subcomplex harder. However, it turns out that we can arrange  $\Lambda'$  such that the Falk subcomplex has a natural graph of spaces structure, and the term we want to control corresponds to the geometric properties of a vertex space of this graph of spaces. So it suffices to metrize the vertex space so it has non-positive curvature, which makes things easier.

In both cases,  $A_n$  and  $H_3$ , the above argument only applies to 6-cycles that are contained in a Falk subcomplex, or whose shadows under the above quotienting process are contained in a Falk subcomplex. This can be combined with another ingredient, namely certain types of projections/retractions in  $A_S$  and  $\Delta_S$ , to study more general 6-cycles. We can think of (1.18) as a loop in the Cayley graph of  $A_S$ , such that each term of the equation corresponds to a subpath of the loop that is contained in a left  $A_{ab}$ -coset or a left  $A_{bc}$ -coset. Suppose the first term  $A_{ab}$  corresponds to the identity coset  $A_{ab}$  in  $A_S$ , and there is a (not necessarily group theoretic) retraction  $r : A_S \rightarrow A_{ab}$  such that the  $r$ -image of other cosets are “small” in  $A_{ab}$ , then we will gain control of the term  $A_{ab}$ . There are several kinds of retraction  $r : A_S \rightarrow A_{ab}$  defined in the literature, we use the one in [GP12b, CP14, BP23, God23] as it is the easiest to carry out a very explicit computation of the retraction image. However, the retraction images of other cosets onto  $A_{ab}$  are not always small enough, so sometimes such retraction does not give useful information. This is more likely to happen if the 6-cycle is contained in a Falk subcomplex. So our method would treat those types of 6-cycles that are either contained in a Falk subcomplex, or behave well under retraction.

**A propagation ingredient** In Section 8, we use the following idea to understand minimal fillings of  $n$ -cycles in the relative Artin complex  $\Delta_{S,S'}$ , where the ambient Artin group  $A_S$  is not necessarily spherical. The previous discussion relies heavily on the fact that the ambient Artin group is spherical, hence does not apply. When  $S' \subset S$  is spherical, the complex  $\Delta_{S,S'}$  is again a union of “apartments” which are round spheres. However, again, there is a way of making  $\Delta_{S,S'}$  “non-positively curved”. For different choices of  $S'$  in  $S$ , the complex  $\Delta_{S,S'}$  is always quasi-isometric to  $\Delta_S$  (as long as it is connected). Though for one choice of  $S'$ ,  $\Delta_{S,S'}$  could be a union of round spheres; for a different choice  $\Delta_{S,S'}$  could be a union of Euclidean spaces or hyperbolic spaces. Actually, as long as  $S$  is not spherical, there is always a choice of  $S' \subset S$  such that the latter possibility happens. This gives some hope to use the geometry of one relative Artin complex to study the geometry of another relative Artin complex, though the naive quasi-isometries between them are useless for understanding the fine local combinatorics of minimal filling disks for short cycles.

Given an  $n$ -cycle in  $\Delta_{S,S'}$  with  $S'$  spherical, under certain extra assumptions, we are able to enlarge  $S'$  to  $S''$  such that  $\Delta_{S,S''}$  can be endowed with an injective metric or a metric with convex geodesic bicombing. Hence, cycles in  $\Delta_{S,S'}$  are contained in a non-positive curved space  $\Delta_{S,S''}$  and we can use the appropriate combinatorial counterpart of the non-positive curvature geometry to understand the fine combinatorics of the minimal filling disks of these cycles. Showing  $\Delta_{S,S''}$  is non-positive curved relies on checking that certain short cycles in the vertex links have minimal fillings in the links with very specific combinatorial types. However, links of vertices are again relative Artin complexes, with the ambient Artin groups becoming smaller, so this reduces the complexity of the problem.

**Ending remarks** The methods discussed here are based on non-positive curvature geometry. In the companion article [Hua24], we use different methods to treat certain cycles in the type  $D_n$  case. In this whole discussion, we have tried to avoid the more technical point of exactly what kind of 6-cycles we are considering. We end this discussion by mentioning two conjectures, namely Conjecture B.1 and Conjecture B.2, which are about precisely what types of 6-cycles we hope to understand in Artin complexes of spherical type, and what kind of minimal fillings we would expect. After the conjectures, there is also a summary of exactly what kind of 6-cycles we have treated so far. The interested reader is invited to Section A and Section B for a list of conjectures that could potentially extend the results obtained here, as well as some difficulties of the strategy in [Hua23] that remain to be resolved.

## 1.4 Structure of the article and reading guide

The article has three parts. Part 1 consists of Section 2 and Section 3, and it is a collection of preliminaries. Part 2 consists of Section 4 to Section 7, where we discuss minimal filling of 6-cycles in different types of spherical Artin complexes. More precisely, Section 4 is about the type  $F_4$  Artin complex. Section 5 is about a specific type of 6-cycles in type  $A_n$  and  $D_n$  Artin complexes. Section 6 and Section 7 are about the type  $H_3$  Artin complex. Part 3 consists of the remaining sections, where we deduce new cases of the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture.

All the sections in Part 2 of the article are independent from each other, apart from that Section 7 relies on Section 6. So after reading Part 1, the reader can take any section in Part 2 and start reading without interruption, with the only exception that reading Section 7 requires material from Section 6.

The main results of Part 2 are Proposition 4.2, Theorem 5.6, and Theorem 7.1. Alternatively, after reading Part 1, if the reader is willing to take these three main results of Part 2 for granted, then the reader can go to Part 3 directly, to see how these three results imply new cases of the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture. Here is a more detailed description of Part 3. In Section 8 we prove some partial results on how certain properties of 6-cycles in spherical Artin complexes propagate to more general Artin complexes. Section 8 is also independent from Part 2. In Section 9 to Section 11, we use the results from Part 2 and Section 8 to prove all the main theorems.

## 1.5 Acknowledgment

The author thanks Mike Davis for many long and helpful discussions on hyperbolic type Coxeter groups and Artin groups, as well as the topology of associated hyperplane arrangement complements. The author also thanks Mike Davis for helping with the proof of Corollary 9.3. The author thanks Ruth Charney and Piotr Przytycki for reading part of the article carefully, and giving valuable comments. The author thanks Thomas Haettel for several interesting discussions around injective metric spaces and  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture. The author thanks Damian Osajda and Piotr Przytycki for several inspiring discussions on relative Artin complexes and forms of non-positive. The author also thanks Grigori Avramidi, Mladen Bestvina, Srivatsav Kunnawalkam Elayavalli, Michael Falk, Alexandre Martin, Jon McCommand, Katherine Goldman, Nima Hoda, Camille Horbez, Nick Salter, Rachel Skipper, Antoine Song, and Frank Wagner for helpful related conversation and support.

The author is partially supported by a Sloan fellowship and NSF DMS-2305411. The author thanks the Centre de Recherches Mathématiques in Montreal for the thematic program Geometric Group Theory in 2023 where part of the work was done. The author thanks the organizers of this program. The author thanks the Institut Henri Poincaré (UAR 839 CNRS-Sorbonne Université) for the trimester program Groups acting on fractals, Hyperbolicity and Self-similarity in 2022 (through LabEx CARMIN, ANR-10-LABX-59-01) where part of the work was done. The author thanks the organizers of this program.

# Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Preliminary I: Hyperplane arrangements and related complexes	12
3	Preliminary II: Relative Artin complexes and their homotopy types	18
4	One side flagness in type $F_4$	28
5	Weakly flagness in type $A_n$	29
6	Some sub-arrangements of the $H_3$ -arrangement	32
7	One side flagness in $H_3$	38
8	Propagation of bowtie free and flagness	53
9	$K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture for some 3D Artin groups	61
10	Artin groups with complete bipartite Dynkin diagrams	62
11	$K(\pi, 1)$ for some higher-dimensional families	67
A	Proposed metric on the core	71
B	Conjectures on cycles in relative Artin complexes	73

## 2 Preliminary I: Hyperplane arrangements and related complexes

### 2.1 Artin groups

Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite simplicial graph with each edge labeled by an integer  $\geq 2$ , with its vertex set  $S$ . The *Artin group (or Artin-Tits group) with presentation graph*  $\Gamma$ , denoted  $A_\Gamma$  or  $A_S$ , is a group whose generating set is  $S$ , and there is a relation of the form  $aba\cdots = bab\cdots$  with both sides being alternating words of length  $m$  whenever two vertices  $a$  and  $b$  are connected by an edge labeled by  $m_{ab}$ . Given an Artin group with presentation graph  $\Gamma$ , its *Dynkin diagram*  $\Lambda$  is obtained from  $\Gamma$  by removing all open edges of  $\Gamma$  labeled by 2, and add extra edges labeled by  $\infty$  between vertices of  $\Gamma$  which are not adjacent. We will also write  $A_\Gamma$  as  $A_\Lambda$ . The *Coxeter group with presentation graph*  $\Gamma$  (or with Dynkin diagram  $\Lambda$ ), denoted  $W_\Gamma$  or  $W_\Lambda$  or  $W_S$ , has the same generating sets and the same relators as the Artin group, with extra relations  $v^2 = 1$  for each vertex  $v \in \Gamma$ . There is a homomorphism  $A_\Gamma \rightarrow W_\Gamma$ , whose kernel is called the *pure Artin group*, and is denoted by  $PA_\Gamma$ .

There is a set theoretic section  $s : W_\Gamma \rightarrow A_\Gamma$  to the quotient map  $A_\Gamma \rightarrow W_\Gamma$  defined as follows. Take an element  $g \in W_\Gamma$  and we write  $g$  as a word  $w$  in the free monoid  $S^*$  generated by  $S$ . We require  $w$  is *reduced*, i.e. it is a minimal length word in  $S^*$  representing  $g$ . Then  $s(g)$  is defined to be  $w$ , viewed as an element in  $A_\Gamma$ . This map is well-defined, as two different reduced words in  $S^*$  representing the same element of  $W_\Gamma$  differ by a finite sequence of relators in  $A_\Gamma$  (cf. [Mat64]).

Recall that for any  $S' \subset S$  generates a subgroup of  $A_\Gamma$  which is also an Artin group, whose presentation graph is the full subgraph  $\Gamma_{S'}$  of  $\Gamma$  spanned by  $S'$  [vdL83]. This subgroup is called a *standard parabolic subgroup* of type  $S'$ . A *parabolic subgroup* of  $A_\Gamma$  of type  $S'$  is a conjugate of a standard parabolic subgroup of type  $S'$ . A parabolic subgroup of  $A_S$  is *reducible* if its type  $S'$  admits a disjoint non-trivial decomposition  $S'_1 \sqcup S'_2$  such that each element in  $S'_1$  commutes

with every element in  $S'_2$ . If such decomposition does not exist, then the parabolic subgroup is *irreducible*.

## 2.2 Real arrangements and their dual polyhedrons

Recall that a *hyperplane arrangement* in a real vector space  $V = \mathbb{R}^n$  is a locally finite collection  $\mathcal{A}$  of affine hyperplanes in  $V$ . Let  $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{A})$  be the collection of affine subspaces coming from intersections of elements in  $\mathcal{A}$  (note that  $V$  is considered an element of  $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{A})$  as it comes from the empty intersection). Note that each point  $x$  in  $V$  is contained a unique element in  $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{A})$  which is minimal with respect to containment. This element is called the *support* of  $x$ . A *fan* of  $\mathcal{A}$  is a maximal connected subset of  $V$  which is made of points with the same support. Each fan is convex and polyhedral. Denote that collection of all fans of  $\mathcal{A}$  by  $\text{Fan}(\mathcal{A})$ . Note that  $V$  is a disjoint union of all elements in  $\text{Fan}(\mathcal{A})$ . For each  $U \in \text{Fan}(\mathcal{A})$ , choose a point  $x_U$  in the relative interior of  $U$ . The partial order on  $\text{Fan}(\mathcal{A})$  is defined by  $U_1 < U_2$  if  $U_1$  is contained in the closure of  $U_2$  and in this case, we also write  $x_{U_1} < x_{U_2}$ . Let  $b\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  be a simplicial complex whose vertices are  $\{x_U\}_{U \in \text{Fan}(\mathcal{A})}$ , and whose simplices correspond to chains of form  $x_{U_1} < x_{U_2} < \dots < x_{U_k}$ . There is a piecewise linear embedding of  $b\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  as a subset of  $V$ . Now we assemble simplices of  $b\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  to form another cell complex, which we denote by  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ . The closed cells of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  are in one-to-one correspondence with vertices of  $b\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ . We identify the face of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  associated with vertex  $x_U \in b\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  with the union of all simplices of  $b\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  corresponding to chains whose smallest element is  $x_U$ . In this way each vertex of  $b\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  can also be regarded as the barycenter of a face of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ .

Suppose  $B \in \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{A})$ . A face  $F$  of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  is *dual* to  $B$  if  $F \cap B = \{b_F\}$ , where  $b_F$  denotes the barycenter of  $F$ . Two faces  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  are *parallel* if they are dual to the same subspace in  $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{A})$ : we write  $F_1 \parallel F_2$ . Parallel classes of faces of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  are in one-to-one correspondence with elements in  $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{A})$ . For example, the edges dual to a hyperplane in  $\mathcal{A}$  form a parallel class of edges in  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ .

The 1-skeleton of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  is endowed with a path metric  $d$  such that each edge has length 1. Given  $x, y \in \text{Vert } \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  it turns out that  $d(x, y)$  is the number of hyperplanes separating  $x$  and  $y$  (cf. [Del72, Lemma 1.3]).

**Lemma 2.1.** [Sal87, Lemma 3] *Let  $x$  be a vertex in  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $F$  be a face of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Then there exists a unique vertex  $x_F \in F$  such that  $d(x, x_F) \leq d(x, y)$  for any vertex  $y \in F$ . The vertex  $x_F$  is called the projection of  $x$  to  $F$ , and is denoted  $\text{Proj}_F(x)$ .*

The proof of the following lemma is left to the reader.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\text{Vert } F$  be the vertex set of a face  $F$  of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Let  $E$  be another face of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Then  $\text{Proj}_E(\text{Vert } F) = \text{Vert } E'$  for some face  $E' \subset E$ . In this case we write  $E' = \text{Proj}_E(F)$ .*

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $F$  be a fact of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Lemma 2.1 gives a map  $\pi : \text{Vert } \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \text{Vert } F$  which extends to a retraction  $\Pi_F : \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow F$  as follows. Note that for each face  $E$  of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ ,  $\pi(\text{Vert } E)$  is the vertex set of a face  $E' \subset F$ . Then we extends  $\pi$  to a map  $\pi'$  from the vertex set of  $b\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  to the vertex set of  $bF$ , by sending the barycenter of  $E$  to the barycenter of  $E'$ . As  $\pi'$  map vertices in a simplex to vertices in a simplex, it extends linearly to a map  $\Pi_F : b\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \cong \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow bF \cong F$ .

Now we consider the properties of nearest point sets between two faces. The proof of the following lemma is left to the reader (using Lemma 2.1 and [DS87]).

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $E$  and  $F$  be faces of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Define*

$$X = \{x \in \text{Vert } E \mid d(x, \text{Vert } F) = d(\text{Vert } E, \text{Vert } F)\}$$

and

$$Y = \{y \in \text{Vert } F \mid d(y, \text{Vert } E) = d(\text{Vert } E, \text{Vert } F)\}.$$

Then

1. there are faces  $E' \subset E$  and  $F' \subset F$  such that  $X = \text{Vert } E'$  and  $Y = \text{Vert } F'$ ;
2.  $\text{Proj}_E(\text{Vert } F) = X$  and  $\text{Proj}_F(\text{Vert } E) = Y$ ;
3.  $\text{Proj}_E|_{\text{Vert } F'}$  and  $\text{Proj}_F|_{\text{Vert } E'}$  gives a bijection and its inverse between  $E'$  and  $F'$ ;
4. if  $\mathcal{W}(E')$  is the collection of hyperplanes in  $\mathcal{A}$  dual to an edge in  $E'$ , then  $\mathcal{W}(E') = \mathcal{W}(F') = \mathcal{W}(E) \cap \mathcal{W}(F)$ ;
5. if  $\mathcal{W}(E) = \mathcal{W}(F)$ , then  $E = E'$  and  $F = F'$ .

In the situation of this lemma we will write  $E' = \text{Proj}_E(F)$ .

In the situation of Lemma 2.4 (5), we will say  $E$  and  $F$  are *parallel*. In this case, the bijection between  $\text{Vert } E$  and  $\text{Vert } F$  given by  $\text{Proj}_E|_{\text{Vert } F}$  and  $\text{Proj}_F|_{\text{Vert } E}$  are called *parallel translation* between  $E$  and  $F$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Parallel faces  $F$  and  $F'$  of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  are *adjacent* if  $F \neq F'$  and if they are contained in a face  $F_0$  with  $\dim(F_0) = \dim(F) + 1$ .

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $B \in \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Let  $F$  and  $F'$  be two adjacent parallel faces of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  that are dual to  $B$ . An *elementary B-segment*, or an  $(F, F')$ -*elementary B-segment* is a minimal positive path from a vertex  $x \in F$  to  $x' = p(x) \in F'$ , where  $p : F \rightarrow F'$  is parallel translation.

### 2.3 Salvetti complex

Let  $\mathcal{A}, b\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}, \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  be as before. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the poset of faces of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  (under containment), and let  $V$  be the vertex set of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ . We now define the *Salvetti complex*  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}$  associated with  $\mathcal{A}$ , as follows. Consider the set of pairs  $(F, v) \in \mathcal{P} \times V$ . Define an equivalence relation  $\sim$  on this set by

$$(F, v) \sim (F', v') \iff F = F' \text{ and } \text{Proj}_F(v') = \text{Proj}_F(v).$$

Denote the equivalence class of  $(F, v')$  by  $[F, v']$  and let  $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})$  be the set of equivalence classes. Note that each equivalence class  $[F, v']$  contains a unique representative of the form  $(F, v)$ , with  $v \in \text{Vert } F$ . In [Sal87] the *Salvetti complex*  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is defined as the regular CW complex given by taking  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \times V$  (i.e., a disjoint union of copies of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ ) and then identifying faces  $F \times v$  and  $F \times v'$  whenever  $[F, v] = [F, v']$ , i.e.,

$$\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}} = (\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \times V) / \sim . \tag{2.7}$$

For example, for each edge  $F$  of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  with endpoints  $v_0$  and  $v_1$ , we get two 1-cells  $[F, v_0]$  and  $[F, v_1]$  of  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}$  glued together along their endpoints  $[v_0, v_0]$  and  $[v_1, v_1]$ . So, the 0-skeleton of  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is equal to the 0-skeleton of  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}$  while its 1-skeleton is formed from the 1-skeleton of  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}$  by doubling each edge. There is a natural map  $p : \widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  defined by ignoring the second coordinate.

For each subcomplex  $Y$  of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ , we write  $\widehat{Y} = p^{-1}(Y)$  and call  $\widehat{Y}$  the subcomplex of  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}$  associated with  $Y$ . A *standard subcomplex* of  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is a subcomplex of  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}$  associated with a face of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ . In other words, if  $F \subset \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  is a face, then  $\widehat{F}$  is the union of faces of form  $F \times v$  in  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}$  with  $v$  ranging over vertices in  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ .

**Lemma 2.8.** *Take faces  $E$  and  $F$  of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ . If  $[E, v_1] = [E, v_2]$ , then  $[\text{Proj}_F(E), v_1] = [\text{Proj}_F(E), v_2]$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $[E, v_1] = [E, v_2]$  if and only if for each hyperplane  $H \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $H \cap E \neq \emptyset$ , we know  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are in the same side of  $H$ . Thus for each hyperplane  $H \in \mathcal{A}$  dual to  $\text{Proj}_F(E)$ ,  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are in the same side of  $H$ . Now the lemma follows.  $\square$

The following is a construction originated in [GP12b].

**Definition 2.9.** Let  $F$  be a face in  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Then there is a retraction map  $\Pi_{\widehat{F}} : \widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \widehat{F}$  defined as follows. Recall that  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}} = (\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \times V) / \sim$ . For each  $v \in V$ , let  $(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}})_v$  be the union of all faces in  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}$  of form  $E \times v$  with  $E$  ranging over faces of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ . By Definition 2.3, there is a retraction  $(\Pi_F)_v : (\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}})_v \rightarrow F \times v$  for each  $v \in V$ . It follows from Lemma 2.8 that these maps  $\{(\Pi_F)_v\}_{v \in V}$  are compatible in the intersection of their domains. Thus they fit together to define a retraction  $\Pi_{\widehat{F}} : \widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \widehat{F}$ .

The following is a direct consequence of the definition.

**Lemma 2.10.** *Take faces  $E$  and  $F$  of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Then  $\Pi_{\widehat{F}}(\widehat{E}) = \widehat{\Pi_F(E)}$ .*

Let  $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{C}$  be the complexification of  $\mathcal{A}$ , which is a collection of affine complex hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Define

$$M(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^n - \cup_{H \in \mathcal{A}} (H \otimes \mathbb{C}).$$

It follows from [Sal87] that  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is homotopic equivalent to  $M(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ , thus they have isomorphic fundamental groups.

Let  $W_{\Gamma}$  be a finite Coxeter group with its canonical representation  $\rho : W_{\Gamma} \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  [Bou02]. Recall a *reflection* in  $W_{\Gamma}$  is a conjugate of a standard generator of  $W_{\Gamma}$ . Each reflection fixes a hyperplane in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , which we call a *reflection hyperplane*. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the collection of all reflection hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The hyperplane arrangement  $\mathcal{A}$  is called the *reflection arrangement* associated with  $W_{\Gamma}$ . The following facts are standard, see e.g. [Par14].

1. The fundamental group  $\pi_1(M(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{C}))$  is isomorphic to the pure Artin group  $PA_{\Gamma}$  [vdL83];
2. As the action of  $W_{\Gamma}$  permutes elements in  $\mathcal{A}$ , there is an induced action  $W_{\Gamma} \curvearrowright M(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{C})$  and an induced action  $W_{\Gamma} \curvearrowright \widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}$  which are free. The quotient of each of these two spaces have  $\pi_1$  isomorphic to  $A_{\Gamma}$ .
3. The 2-skeleton of  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}/W_{\Gamma}$  is isomorphic to the presentation complex of  $A_{\Gamma}$ .

**Definition 2.11.** When  $\mathcal{A}$  is the reflection arrangement associated with a finite Coxeter group  $W_{\Gamma}$ , we will also write  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}$  as  $\Sigma_{\Gamma}$  and  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\Gamma}$ . Note that the 1-skeleton of  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is isomorphic to the Cayley graph of  $W_{\Gamma}$ , and the 1-skeleton of  $\Sigma_{\Gamma}$  is isomorphic to the unoriented Cayley graph of  $W_{\Gamma}$  (by smashing each double edge of the usual Cayley graph to a single edge). Thus edges of  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  are labeled by vertices of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $K$  be a subset, or an edge path in  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}$  or  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Then  $\text{Supp}(K)$  is defined to be the collection of vertices of  $\Gamma$  which appear as the label of an edge which is contained in  $K$ . The *type* of a standard subcomplex of  $\Sigma_{\Gamma}$  or  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\Gamma}$  is defined to be the support of this subcomplex.

## 2.4 Falk complexes for affine arrangements

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an affine arrangement. Let  $D_{\mathcal{A}}$  be the union of all elements in  $\text{Fan}(\mathcal{A})$  which are bounded in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Note that  $D_{\mathcal{A}}$  is polyhedron complex, whose open cells are elements in  $\text{Fan}(\mathcal{A})$  that are contained in  $D_{\mathcal{A}}$ . A *face* of  $D_{\mathcal{A}}$  is defined to be the closure of an open cell of  $D_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Each face of  $D_{\mathcal{A}}$  is a disjoint union of fans. Top dimensional faces in  $D_{\mathcal{A}}$  are in 1-1 correspondence with components of  $V \setminus \cup_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H$  which are bounded. A face  $F$  of  $D_{\mathcal{A}}$  is *dual* to a face  $F'$  of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  if the barycenter of  $F'$  (as defined in the beginning of Section 2.2) is contained in the interior of  $F$ . In this case, we will also say  $F \subset D_{\mathcal{A}}$  is dual to the standard subcomplex  $\widehat{F}'$  of  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}$ .

We now define a simplex complex of group structure  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $D_{\mathcal{A}}$  as follows. For a face  $F$  of  $D_{\mathcal{A}}$ , the local group at  $F$  is defined to be the fundamental group of the standard subcomplex of  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}$  which is dual to  $F$ . The morphisms between the local groups are induced by inclusions of the associated subcomplexes. By Lemma 2.13, all the morphisms between the local groups are injective, hence  $\mathcal{U}$  is a simple complex of groups. Moreover, it follows from the retraction in

Definition 2.9 that each local group injects into  $\pi_1(\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}})$ . Thus  $\mathcal{U}$  is developable with  $\pi_1(\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}) = \pi_1\mathcal{U}$ .

Let  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$  be the development complex of  $\mathcal{U}$  (cf. [BH99, Chapter II.12]). Note that  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is simply-connected. The complex  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is defined to be the *Falk complex* of the affine arrangement  $\mathcal{A}$ , motivated by the work of Falk [Fal95]. We now give an alternative description of  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Let  $\widetilde{K}$  be the universal cover of  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$ . We start with a disjoint union of a collection  $\mathcal{C}$  of multiple copies of faces of  $D_{\mathcal{A}}$  as follows: for each face  $F$  of  $D_{\mathcal{A}}$ , the copies of  $F$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  are in 1-1 correspondence with lifts of  $\widehat{F}$  in  $\widetilde{K}$ , where  $\widehat{F}$  is dual to  $F$ . Now we identify an element  $F_1$  as a face of another element  $F_2$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ , if the subcomplex of  $\widetilde{K}$  associated with  $F_2$  is contained in the subcomplex of  $\widetilde{K}$  associated with  $F_1$ . Then we obtained  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$  from  $\mathcal{C}$  after all such identifications. In particular, there is natural map  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow D_{\mathcal{A}}$ , coming from quotienting the action of  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$  by the action of  $\pi_1\mathcal{U}$ .

Note that when  $\mathcal{A}$  is a central arrangement, the space  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is not very interesting. In such case, there is another complex, called the *spherical Deligne complex*, defined as follows. Let  $S_{\mathcal{A}}$  be the unit sphere, endowed with the polyhedron complex structure coming from the intersection of the unit sphere with  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then there is 1-1 correspondence between open cells in  $S_{\mathcal{A}}$  and elements in  $\text{Fan}(\mathcal{A})$  which are not 0-dimensional. A face  $F$  of  $S_{\mathcal{A}}$  is dual to a face  $F'$  of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  if the barycenter of  $F'$  is contained in the fan associated with  $F$ . In this case, we also say  $F$  is dual to the standard subcomplex  $\widehat{F}'$  of  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}$ . This gives a complex of group structure on  $S_{\mathcal{A}}$  as before, where the local group on  $F$  is the fundamental group of  $\widehat{F}'$ . Then the *spherical Deligne complex* for the central arrangement  $\mathcal{A}$ , denoted  $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$ , is defined to be the development complex of this complex of group over  $S_{\mathcal{A}}$ . This gives a natural map  $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow S_{\mathcal{A}}$ . The complex  $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$  also has a similar alternative description in terms of lifts of standard complexes of  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}$  in the universal cover as in the previous paragraph.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an arbitrary affine arrangement. Let  $x$  be a vertex in  $D_{\mathcal{A}}$ . The *local arrangement of  $\mathcal{A}$  at  $x$* , denoted by  $\mathcal{A}_x$ , is defined to be the collection of elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  that contain  $x$ . Note that  $\mathcal{A}_x$  is a central arrangement, and  $\text{lk}(x, D_{\mathcal{A}})$  can be naturally identified as a subcomplex of  $S_{\mathcal{A}_x}$ . The following is consequence of the description of  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}_x}$ .

**Lemma 2.12.** *Let  $x' \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$  be a vertex which is mapped to  $x \in D_{\mathcal{A}}$  under  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow D_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Let  $N$  be the inverse image of  $\text{lk}(x, D_{\mathcal{A}})$  (viewed as a subset of  $S_{\mathcal{A}_x}$ ) under the map  $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}_x} \rightarrow S_{\mathcal{A}_x}$ . Then  $\text{lk}(x', \mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}}) \cong N$ .*

## 2.5 Collapsing hyperplanes

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an arrangement of affine hyperplanes in  $V$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}' \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a sub-collection of hyperplanes. Then there is a cellular map  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}'}$  defined as follows. First we define a map  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}'}$ . Note that each fan of  $\mathcal{A}$  is contained in a unique fan of  $\mathcal{A}'$ . As vertices of the barycentric subdivision  $b\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  are in one to one correspondence with fans of  $\mathcal{A}$ , this gives a map from the vertex set of  $b\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  to the vertex set of  $b\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}'}$ . One readily checks that vertices of a simplex are mapped to vertices of another simplex. So we can extend linearly to obtain a map  $c : \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \cong b\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow b\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}'} \cong \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}'}$ . Note that

1.  $c$  maps a face of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  onto a face of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}'}$ ;
2. for a face  $F \subset \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  and two vertices  $v, v'$  of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  satisfying  $\text{Proj}_F(v') = \text{Proj}_F(v)$ , we have  $\text{Proj}_E(c(v')) = \text{Proj}_E(c(v))$  where  $E = c(F)$ .

Thus  $c : \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \cong b\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow b\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}'} \cong \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}'}$  induces a continuous map  $\widehat{c} : \widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}'}$ .

The map  $\widehat{c}$  restricted to  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(1)}$  has a more straightforward description. As each component of  $\mathbb{R}^n - \cup_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H$  lies in a unique component of  $\mathbb{R}^n - \cup_{H \in \mathcal{A}'} H$ , this gives  $\widehat{c} : \widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(0)} \rightarrow \widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}'}^{(0)}$ . Moreover, two adjacent components of  $\mathbb{R}^n - \cup_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H$  are either contained in the same component of  $\mathbb{R}^n - \cup_{H \in \mathcal{A}'} H$  or correspond to two adjacent components of  $\mathbb{R}^n - \cup_{H \in \mathcal{A}'} H$ . This gives  $\widehat{c} : \widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(1)} \rightarrow \widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}'}^{(1)}$ , where an edge of  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(1)}$  is collapsed to a single point if its endpoints are sent to the same point of  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}'}^{(1)}$ .

## 2.6 Some properties of central arrangements

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a finite arrangement of affine hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We say  $\mathcal{A}$  is *central* if the intersection of all hyperplanes in  $\mathcal{A}$  is non-empty, in which case we can assume all hyperplanes in  $\mathcal{A}$  pass through the origin. Now we assume  $\mathcal{A}$  is central. Take  $H \in \mathcal{A}$ . We define the *deconing* of  $\mathcal{A}$  with respect to  $H$  to an affine hyperplane arrangement in  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  as follows. Note that the collection  $\mathcal{A}$  of hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  give rise to a collection  $\mathcal{A}_p$  of  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{n-2}$  in  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ . Let  $H_p \in \mathcal{A}_p$  be the copy of  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{n-2}$  corresponding to  $H$ . Then  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \setminus H_p = \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ , and the intersection of elements in  $\mathcal{A}_p - \{H_p\}$  with  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  is a collection  $\mathcal{A}_H$  of affine hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ . This affine hyperplane arrangement  $\mathcal{A}_H$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  is defined to be the deconing of  $\mathcal{A}$  with respect to  $H$ .

It is a well-known fact that  $M(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{C}) \cong M(\mathcal{A}_H \otimes \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}^*$  where  $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ , see e.g. [OT13]. Thus  $\pi_1 M(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{C}) \cong \pi_1 M(\mathcal{A}_H \otimes \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ . It is also possible to see this isomorphism on the level of Salvetti complex, see the following lemma, where  $\widehat{U}_H$  in the lemma is isomorphic to the Salvetti complex of the deconing of  $\mathcal{A}$  with respect to  $H$ .

**Lemma 2.13.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a central arrangement in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Take a hyperplane  $H$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $U_H$  be a maximal subcomplex of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  contained in one side of  $H$ . Let  $\widehat{U}_H$  be the associated subcomplex of  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Then the inclusion  $i : \widehat{U}_H \rightarrow \widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is  $\pi_1$ -injective. Moreover, there is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -subgroup of  $Z \leq \pi_1 \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  such that  $\pi_1 \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} = i_*(\pi_1 \widehat{U}_H) \oplus Z$ .*

## 2.7 Davis complexes

By a cell, we always mean a closed cell unless otherwise specified.

**Definition 2.14** (Davis complex). Given a Coxeter group  $W_{\Gamma}$ , let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the poset of left cosets of spherical standard parabolic subgroups in  $W_{\Gamma}$  (with respect to inclusion) and let  $b\Sigma_{\Gamma}$  be the geometric realization of this poset (i.e.  $b\Sigma_{\Gamma}$  is a simplicial complex whose simplices correspond to chains in  $\mathcal{P}$ ). Now we modify the cell structure on  $b\Sigma_{\Gamma}$  to define a new complex  $\Sigma_{\Gamma}$ , called the *Davis complex*. The cells in  $\Sigma_{\Gamma}$  are induced subcomplexes of  $b\Sigma_{\Gamma}$  spanned by a given vertex  $v$  and all other vertices which are  $\leq v$  (note that vertices of  $b\Sigma_{\Gamma}$  correspond to elements in  $\mathcal{P}$ , hence inherit the partial order).

Suppose  $W_{\Gamma}$  is finite with  $n$  generators. Then there is a canonical faithful orthogonal action of  $W_{\Gamma}$  on the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{E}^n$ . Take a point in  $\mathbb{E}^n$  with trivial stabilizer, then the convex hull of the orbit of this point under the  $W_{\Gamma}$  action (with its natural cell structure) is isomorphic to  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  is the reflection arrangement associated with  $W_{\Gamma}$ . In such case, we call  $\Sigma_{\Gamma}$  a *Coxeter cell*.

The 1-skeleton of  $\Sigma_{\Gamma}$  is the unoriented Cayley graph of  $W_{\Gamma}$  (i.e. we start with the usual Cayley graph and identify the double edges arising from  $s_i^2$  as single edges), and  $\Sigma_{\Gamma}$  can be constructed from the unoriented Cayley graph by filling Coxeter cells in a natural way. Each edge of  $\Sigma_{\Gamma}$  is labeled by a generator of  $W_{\Gamma}$ . We endow  $\Sigma_{\Gamma}^{(1)}$  with the path metric with edge length 1.

## 2.8 Singular disk diagrams and combinatorial Gauss-Bonnet

We quickly review disk diagrams and the Gauss-Bonnet formula. We will only need the Gauss-Bonnet formula for piecewise flat simplicial complexes, though it is more natural to set it up in the more general context of combinatorial CW complexes. We refer to [MW02, Definition 2.1] for the definition of combinatorial CW complexes and combinatorial maps between them. We recall from [MW02, Definition 2.6] that a (*singular*) *disk diagram*  $D$  is a finite contractible 2-dimensional combinatorial CW complex with a fixed embedding in the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . A *boundary cycle* of  $D$  is a combinatorial map from a polygon  $P$  to  $D$  whose image is an edge-path in the graph  $D^{(1)}$  corresponding to going around  $D$  once in the clockwise direction along the boundary of the unbounded complementary region  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus D$  (see also [LS01, p. 150]).

Let  $P \rightarrow X$  be a closed null-homotopic edge path in a 2-dimensional combinatorial CW complex  $X$ . A *singular disk diagram in  $X$  for  $P$*  is a singular disk diagram  $D$  together with a

map  $D \rightarrow X$  such that the closed path  $P \rightarrow X$  factors as  $P \rightarrow D \rightarrow X$  where  $P \rightarrow D$  is a boundary cycle of  $D$ . It is a theorem of Van Kampen that every null-homotopic closed edge path  $P \rightarrow X$  is the boundary cycle of a singular disk diagram  $D \rightarrow X$ ; moreover, we can assume this singular disk diagram is *reduced*, i.e.  $D - D^{(0)} \rightarrow X$  is an immersion, see [LS01, V.2.1] or [MW02, Lemma 2.17]. We caution the reader that  $D$  is usually not homeomorphic to a 2-dimensional disk (thus the name “singular” disk diagram), e.g. it is not if  $P \rightarrow X$  is not an embedding. Also even if  $P \rightarrow X$  is an embedding, there might not exist a singular disk diagram for  $P$  such that  $D \rightarrow X$  is an embedding. If  $X$  has a piecewise flat metric, then we equip the singular disk diagram  $D$  with the natural piecewise flat metric induced by  $D \rightarrow X$ .

We will use the following version of the Gauss-Bonnet formula for a singular disk diagram  $D$  which is a special case of the Gauss-Bonnet formula in [BB96, Section 2]. We assume that  $D$  has a piecewise flat structure. For a vertex  $v \in D^{(0)}$ , let  $\chi(v)$  be the Euler characteristic of  $\text{lk}(v, D)$ . Recall that the length of an edge of  $\text{lk}(v, D)$  is the interior angle at  $v$  of the 2-cell of  $D$  corresponding to this edge. Let  $\alpha(v)$  be the sum of the lengths of all edges in  $\text{lk}(v, D)$ . Define  $\kappa(v) = (2 - \chi(v))\pi - \alpha(v)$ . Then

$$\sum_{v \in D^{(0)}} \kappa(v) = 2\pi. \quad (2.15)$$

Now suppose  $X$  is a piecewise hyperbolic complex (e.g. each 2-cell of  $X$  has the metric of a convex polygon in the hyperbolic plane). Given a 2-cell  $C \in D^{(2)}$ , we denote by  $\text{Area}(C)$  the area of  $C$ . Then

$$\sum_{v \in D^{(0)}} \kappa(v) - \sum_{C \in D^{(2)}} \text{Area}(C) = 2\pi. \quad (2.16)$$

### 3 Preliminary II: Relative Artin complexes and their homotopy types

#### 3.1 Artin complexes and relative Artin complexes

Recalled that the *Artin complex*, introduced in [CD95a] and further studied in [GP12b, CMV20], defined as follows. For each  $s \in S$ , let  $A_s$  be the standard parabolic subgroup generated by  $S \setminus \{s\}$ . Let  $\Delta_\Gamma$  be the simplicial complex whose vertex set is corresponding to left cosets of  $\{A_s\}_{s \in S}$ . Moreover, a collection of vertices span a simplex if the associated cosets have nonempty common intersection. It follows from [GP12b, Proposition 4.5] that  $\Delta_\Gamma$  is a flag complex. The Artin complex is an analogue of *Coxeter complex* in the setting of Artin group. The definition of a Coxeter complex  $C_\Gamma$  of a Coxeter group  $W_\Gamma$  is almost identical to Artin complex, except one replaces  $A_s$  by  $W_s$ , which is the standard parabolic subgroup of  $W_\Gamma$  generated by  $S \setminus \{s\}$ . Each vertex of  $C_\Gamma$  or  $\Delta_\Gamma$  corresponding a left coset of  $W_s$  or  $A_s$  has a *type*, which is defined to be  $\hat{s} = S \setminus \{s\}$ . The *type* of each face of  $C_\Gamma$  or  $\Delta_\Gamma$  is defined to be the subset of  $S$  which is the intersection of the types of the vertices of the face. In particular, the type of each top-dimensional simplex is the empty set.

Note that if each  $A_s$  is spherical, but  $A_\Gamma$  is not-spherical, then  $\mathbb{D}_\Gamma$  is isomorphic to the barycentric subdivision of  $\Delta_\Gamma$ . When  $A_\Gamma$  is spherical, then sometimes  $\Delta_\Gamma$  is also called *spherical Deligne complex* - indeed, let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the reflection arrangement associated with the spherical Artin group  $A_\Gamma$ . Then the spherical Deligne complex  $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$  defined in Section 2.4 is isomorphic to  $\Delta_\Gamma$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** [GP12b, Theorem 3.1] *If  $\Delta_\Gamma$  is contractible and each  $\{A_s\}_{s \in S}$  satisfies the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture, then  $A_S$  satisfies the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture.*

We recall the following notion from [Hua23].

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $A_S$  be an Artin group with presentation graph  $\Gamma$  and Dynkin diagram  $\Lambda$ . Let  $S' \subset S$ . The  $(S, S')$ -relative Artin complex  $\Delta_{S, S'}$  is defined to be the induced subcomplex of the Artin complex  $\Delta_S$  of  $A_S$  spanned by vertices of type  $\hat{s}$  with  $s \in S'$ . In other words, vertices

of  $\Delta_{S,S'}$  correspond to left cosets of  $\{A_{\hat{s}}\}_{s \in S'}$ , and a collection of vertices span a simplex if the associated cosets have nonempty common intersection.

Let  $\Gamma'$  and  $\Lambda'$  be the induced subgraphs of  $\Gamma$  and  $\Lambda$  spanned by  $S'$ . Then we will also refer an  $(S, S')$ -relative Artin complex as  $(\Gamma, \Gamma')$ -relative Artin complex or  $(\Lambda, \Lambda')$ -relative Artin complex, and denote it by  $\Delta_{\Gamma, \Gamma'}$  or  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$ .

The links of vertices in relative Artin complexes can be computed via the following result from [Hua23, Lemma 6.4].

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $\Delta$  be the  $(\Lambda, \Lambda')$ -relative Artin complex, and let  $v \in \Delta$  be a vertex of type  $\hat{s}$  with  $s \in \Lambda'$ . Let  $\Lambda_s$  and  $\Lambda'_s$  be the induced subgraph of  $\Lambda$  and  $\Lambda'$  respectively spanned all the vertices which are not  $s$ . Then the following are true.*

1. *There is a type-preserving isomorphism between  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta)$  and the  $(\Lambda_s, \Lambda'_s)$ -relative Artin complex.*
2. *Let  $I_s$  be the union of connected components of  $\Lambda_s$  that contain at least one component of  $\Lambda'_s$ . Then  $\Lambda'_s \subset I_s$  and there is a type-preserving isomorphism between  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta)$  and the  $(I_s, \Lambda'_s)$ -relative Artin complex.*
3. *Let  $\{I_i\}_{i=1}^k$  be the connected components of  $I_s$ . Then  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta) = K_1 * \cdots * K_k$  where  $K_i$  is the induced subcomplex of  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta)$  spanned by vertices of type  $\hat{t}$  with  $t \in I_i$ .*

**Lemma 3.4.** [CMV20, Lemma 4] *If  $|S| \geq 3$ , then  $\Delta_{\Gamma}$  is simply-connected.*

Let  $s : W_{\Gamma} \rightarrow A_{\Gamma}$  be the section of  $A_{\Gamma} \rightarrow W_{\Gamma}$  described before. Then  $s$  maps a coset  $gW_{\hat{s}}$  inside  $gA_{\hat{s}}$ . This induces a simplicial embedding  $s' : C_{\Gamma} \rightarrow \Delta_{\Gamma}$ . The image of  $s'$  and their translations under the group action  $A_{\Gamma} \curvearrowright \Delta_{\Gamma}$  are called *apartments* of  $\Delta_{\Gamma}$ . The quotient complex of  $\Delta_{\Gamma}$  under the action of the pure Artin group is isomorphic to  $C_{\Gamma}$ . This quotient map  $\Delta_{\Gamma} \rightarrow C_{\Gamma}$  restricts to an isomorphism on each apartment of  $\Delta_{\Gamma}$ .

Recall the following regarding homotopy types of relative Artin complexes.

**Lemma 3.5.** [Hua23, Lemma 7.1] *Let  $\Lambda_1 \subset \Lambda_2$  be two induced subgraphs of  $\Lambda$  such that  $\Lambda_2 \setminus \Lambda_1$  contain exactly one vertex, denoted by  $s$ . If  $\text{lk}(x, \Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda_2})$  is contractible for some (hence any) vertex  $x \in \Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda_2}$  of type  $\hat{s}$ , then  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda_2}$  deformation retracts onto  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda_1}$ .*

Now we describe a procedure of converting a  $n$ -cycle in the Artin complex  $\Delta = \Delta_{\Lambda}$  of  $A_{\Lambda}$  to a concatenation of  $n$  words in  $A_{\Lambda}$  (cf. [Hua23, Definition 6.14]).

**Definition 3.6.** A *chamber* in  $\Delta$  is a top-dimensional simplex in  $\Delta$ . There is a 1-1 correspondence between chambers in  $\Delta$  and elements in  $A_{\Lambda}$ . Let  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be consecutive vertices of an  $n$ -cycle  $\omega$  in  $\Delta$  and suppose  $x_i$  has type  $\hat{a}_i$  with  $a_i \in \Lambda$ . For each edge of  $\omega$ , take a chamber of  $\Delta$  containing this edge. We name these chambers by  $\{\Theta_i\}_{i=1}^n$  with  $\Theta_1$  containing the edge  $\overline{x_1 x_2}$ . Each  $\Theta_i$  gives an element  $g_i \in A_{\Lambda}$ . Then for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $g_i = g_{i-1} w_i$  for  $w_i \in A_{\hat{a}_i}$  (recall that  $A_{\hat{a}_i}$  is defined to be  $A_{S \setminus \{a_i\}}$ ). Thus  $w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n = 1$ . The word  $w_1 \cdots w_n$  depends on the choice of  $\{\Theta_i\}_{i=1}^n$ . A different choice would lead to a word of form  $u_1 \cdots u_n$  such that there exist elements  $q_i \in A_{S \setminus \{a_i, a_{i+1}\}}$  such that  $u_i = q_{i-1}^{-1} w_i q_i$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ . In this case we will say the words  $u_1 \cdots u_n$  and  $w_1 \cdots w_n$  are equivalent. If in addition there exists a parabolic subgroup  $A'$  of  $A_{\Lambda}$  such that  $q_i \in A_{S \setminus \{a_i, a_{i+1}\}} \cap A'$ , then we say  $w_1 \cdots w_n$  is *equivalent* to  $u_1 \cdots u_n$  in  $A'$ .

In the rest of this subsection, we look at the special case when  $W_{\Gamma}$  is a finite group. First, we know  $C_{\Gamma}$  is homeomorphic to a sphere. More precisely, consider the canonical representation  $\rho : W_{\Gamma} \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the collection of all reflection hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then elements in  $\mathcal{A}$  cuts the unit sphere of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  into a simplicial complex, which is isomorphic to  $C_{\Gamma}$ . From this, we know that  $C_{\Gamma}$  and  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  are dual complexes of each other. This allows us to define the *type* of each face of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  to be the type of its dual face in  $C_{\Gamma}$ . We define the *type* of a standard subcomplex of  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}$  to be the type of the associated face in  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ .

We record the following description of the Artin complex  $\Delta_{\Gamma}$  in terms of  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}$ , which will be used later.

**Remark 3.7.** Let  $X$  be the universal cover of  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}$ . A *lift* of a standard subcomplex in  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is a connected component of the inverse image of this subcomplex under the map  $X \rightarrow \widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Vertices of  $\Delta_{\Gamma}$  are in 1-1 correspondence with lifts standard subcomplexes of  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}$  of type  $\hat{s}$  for some  $s \in S$ . A collection of vertices span a simplex if their associated lifts have non-trivial common intersection.

### 3.2 Posets and lattices

Let  $P$  be a poset, i.e. a partially ordered set. Let  $S \subset P$ . An *upper bound* (resp. lower bound) for  $S$  is an element  $x \in P$  such that  $s \leq x$  (resp.  $s \geq x$ ) for any  $s \in S$ . The *join* of  $S$  is an upper bound  $x$  of  $S$  such that  $x \leq y$  for any other upper bound  $y$  of  $S$ . The *meet* of  $S$  is a lower bound  $x$  of  $S$  such that  $x \geq y$  for any other lower bound  $y$  of  $S$ . We will write  $x \vee y$  for the join of two elements  $x$  and  $y$ , and  $x \wedge y$  for the meet of two elements (if the join or the meet exists). A poset is *bounded* if it has a maximal element and a minimal element. We say  $P$  is *lattice* if  $P$  is a poset and any two elements in  $P$  have a join and have a meet.

A chain in  $P$  is any totally ordered subset, subsets of chains are subchains and a maximal chain is one that is not a proper subchain of any other chain. A poset has rank  $n$  if it is bounded, every chain is a subchain of a maximal chain and all maximal chains have length  $n$ . For  $a, b \in P$  with  $a \leq b$ , the *interval* between  $a$  and  $b$ , denoted by  $[a, b]$ , is the collection of all elements  $x$  of  $P$  such that  $a \leq x$  and  $x \leq b$ . The poset  $P$  is *graded* if every interval in  $P$  has a rank.

**Definition 3.8.** Let  $P$  be a poset. We say  $P$  is *bowtie free* if any subset  $\{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2\} \subset P$  made of mutually distinct elements with  $x_i < y_j$  for  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ , there exists  $z \in P$  such that  $x_i \leq z \leq y_j$  for any  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ .

The interest of the bowtie free condition lies in the following observation.

**Lemma 3.9.** [BM10, Proposition 1.5] *If  $P$  is a bowtie free graded poset, then any pair of elements in  $P$  with a lower bound have a join, and any pair of elements in  $P$  with an upper bound have a meet.*

*Let  $P$  be a bounded graded poset. Then  $P$  is lattice if it is bowtie free.*

**Definition 3.10.** A poset  $P$  is *upward flag* if any three pairwise upper bounded elements have an upper bound. A poset is *downward flag* if any three pairwise lower bounded elements have a lower bound. A poset is *flag* if it is both upward flag and downward flag.

We say  $x \in P$  is a *maximal element* if there does not exist  $y \in P$  such that  $x < y$ .

**Definition 3.11.** A poset  $P$  is *weakly upward flag* if for each triple  $\{x, y, z\}$  satisfying the following two properties have a common upper bound:

1.  $x, y, z$  are not *maximal* element in  $P$ ;
2. each pair in  $\{x, y, z\}$  have an upper bound in  $P$  which is not maximal.

Similarly, we can define weakly downward flag and weakly flag for posets.

### 3.3 Simplicial complexes of type $S$

Let  $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$ . A simplicial complex  $X$  is of *type  $S$*  if all the maximal simplices of  $X$  has dimension  $n - 1$  and there is a type function  $\text{Type}$  from the vertex set of  $X$  to  $S$  such that  $\text{Type}(x) \neq \text{Type}(y)$  whenever  $x$  and  $y$  are adjacent vertices of  $X$ . This labeling induces a bijection between  $S$  and the vertex set of each maximal simplex of  $X$ .

Note that if  $A_{S'}$  is an Artin group, and  $S$  is a subset of the set of generators  $S'$ , then the relative Artin complex  $\Delta_{S', S}$  is a simplicial complex of type  $S$ . Though we will be interested in more general simplicial complexes of type  $S$ , for some  $S$  not necessarily made of generators of some Artin groups.

**Definition 3.12.** Let  $X$  be a simplicial complex of type  $S$ . We put a total order on  $S$ , and define a relation  $<$  on the vertex set  $V$  of  $X$  induced by this total order as follows:  $x < y$  if  $x$  and  $y$  are adjacent, and  $\text{Type}(x) < \text{Type}(y)$ .

As all maximal simplices of  $X$  have the same dimension, we know the following:

- for each  $x \in V$  of type  $\hat{s}$  such that  $s$  is not the smallest element in  $S$ , there exists  $x' \in V$  with  $x' < x$ ;
- for each  $x \in V$  of type  $\hat{s}$  such that  $s$  is not the biggest element in  $S$ , there exists  $x' \in V$  with  $x' > x$ .

Now we discuss a situation when the relation  $<$  on  $V$  is actually a poset.

**Definition 3.13.** An induced subgraph  $\Lambda'$  of  $\Lambda$  is *admissible* if for any vertex  $x \in \Lambda'$ , if  $x_1, x_2 \in \Lambda'$  are in different connected components of  $\Lambda' \setminus \{x\}$ , then they are in different components of  $\Lambda \setminus \{x\}$ .

**Lemma 3.14.** ([Hua23, Lemma 6.6]) Suppose  $\Lambda'$  is an admissible linear subgraph of the Dynkin diagram  $\Lambda$  of  $A_S$  and suppose the consecutive vertices of  $\Lambda'$  are  $S' = \{s_i\}_{i=1}^n$ . Let  $\Delta$  be the  $(\Lambda, \Lambda')$ -relative Artin complex. Let  $V$  be the vertex set of  $\Delta$ . We order  $S'$  such that it is compatible with one of the two linear orders on  $\Lambda'$ . Then the induced relation  $<$  on  $V$  is a graded poset.

The following is motivated from the work of Haettel [Hae21, Hae22], work of Hirai [Hir21], work of Charney [Cha04] and work of Brady and McCammond [BM10].

**Definition 3.15.** Suppose  $\Lambda'$  is an admissible linear subgraph of the Dynkin diagram  $\Lambda$  of  $A_S$  with consecutive vertices of  $\Lambda'$  being  $\{s_i\}_{i=1}^n$ . We say the  $(\Lambda, \Lambda')$ -relative Artin complex  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$  is *bowtie free* if the poset in Lemma 3.14 is bowtie free. We  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$  is *flag* or *weakly flag*, if the poset in Lemma 3.14 is flag or weakly flag respectively. Note that these definitions do not depend on the choice of the linear order on  $\Lambda'$ .

The following is a consequence of [Hua24, Theorem 1.2].

**Theorem 3.16.** Let  $\Lambda$  be the Dynkin diagram of type  $D_n$  with vertex set  $S$ . Let  $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$  be the linear subgraph spanned by  $\{\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3\}$  in Figure 4. Then  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$  is weakly flag.




Figure 4: Dynkin diagram of type  $D_n$ .

**Theorem 3.17.** ([Hua23, Theorem 8.1]) Suppose  $A_\Lambda$  is an irreducible spherical Artin group. Then for any linear subgraph  $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$ ,  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$  is bowtie free.

This theorem is a consequence of Theorem 3.21 below, which we need later.

**Definition 3.18.** Let  $\Lambda$  be a Dynkin diagram which is a tree, with its vertex set  $S$ . Let  $Z$  be a simplicial complex of type  $S$ . Let  $X$  be the 1-skeleton of  $Z$  with its vertex types as explained above. We say  $Z$  satisfies the *labeled 4-wheel condition* if for any induced 4-cycle in  $X$  with consecutive vertices being  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^4$  and their types being  $\{\hat{s}_i\}_{i=1}^4$ , there exists a vertex  $x \in X$  adjacent to each of  $x_i$  such that the type  $\hat{s}$  of  $x$  satisfies that  $s$  is in the smallest subtree of  $\Lambda'$  containing all of  $\{s_i\}_{i=1}^4$ .

The following is a small variation of [Hua23, Lemma 6.13].

**Lemma 3.19.** *Suppose  $\Lambda$  is a linear Dynkin diagram with its consecutive vertices being  $S = \{s_i\}_{i=1}^n$ . Let  $X$  be a simplicial complex of type  $S$ . We endow  $S$  with a linear order  $s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_n$ . Assume the vertex set of  $X$  equipped with the relation in Definition 3.12 is a poset. Then  $X$  satisfies bowtie free condition if and only if it satisfies the labeled 4-wheel condition.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $X$  satisfies bowtie free condition. Take an induced 4-cycle  $x_1y_1x_2y_2$  in  $X$ . We can not have  $x_1 < y_1 < x_2$  or  $x_1 > y_1 > x_2$ , otherwise  $x_1$  is adjacent to  $x_2$  by our assumption, contradicting that we have an induced 4-cycle. Thus  $\{x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2\}$  forms a bowtie and the labeled 4-wheel condition follows immediately. Now suppose  $X$  satisfies the labeled 4-wheel condition. If we have  $\{x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2\}$  satisfying  $x_i < y_j$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$ , then these 4 vertices form a 4-cycle in  $X$ . If  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are comparable, or  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are comparable, then the bowtie free condition clearly holds for  $\{x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2\}$ . Now we assume  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are not comparable, and  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are not comparable. Then the 4-cycle is an induced 4-cycle. Suppose  $x_1$  has type  $\hat{s}_{x_1}$  for  $s_{x_1} \in \Lambda$ . Similarly we define  $s_{x_2}, s_{y_1}$  and  $s_{y_2}$ . We assume without loss of generality that the segment  $\Lambda'$  from  $s_{x_1}$  to  $s_{y_2}$  contain all of  $\{s_{x_1}, s_{x_2}, s_{y_1}, s_{y_2}\}$ . Then the labeled 4-wheel condition implies that there is a vertex  $z$  adjacent of each vertex of the 4-cycle such that  $z$  has type  $\hat{s}_z$  with  $s_z \in \Lambda'$ . Clearly  $x_1 < z < y_2$ . Now we show  $x_2 < z$ . If this is not true, as  $x_2$  and  $z$  are adjacent, we must have  $x_2 > z$ , then  $x_1 < x_2$ , contradicting to the assumption that  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are not comparable. Similarly,  $z < y_1$ . Thus the bowtie free condition is satisfied.  $\square$

The following is a consequence of Lemma 3.19 and [Hua23, Proposition 6.15].

**Lemma 3.20.** *Suppose  $\Lambda'$  is an admissible tree subgraph of  $\Lambda$ . Then the relative Artin complex  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$  satisfies the labeled 4-wheel condition if and only if for all maximal linear subgraph  $\Lambda'' \subset \Lambda'$ ,  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda''}$  is bowtie free.*

**Theorem 3.21.** ([Hua23, Proposition 2.8]) *Suppose  $A_S$  is an irreducible spherical Artin group. Then  $\Delta_S$  satisfies the labeled 4-wheel condition.*

The following is proved by Haettel in [Hae21, Proposition 6.6].

**Theorem 3.22.** *Let  $A_S$  be the Artin group of type  $B_n$ . Let  $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$  such that  $s_i$  and  $s_{i+1}$  are adjacent in the Dynkin diagram and  $m_{s_{n-1}, s_n} = 4$ . We put a total order on  $S$  by  $s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_n$ . Let the vertex set  $V$  of  $\Delta_S$  be endowed with the relation  $<$  induced from this partial order on  $S$ . Then  $(V, \leq)$  is a upward flag poset.*

**Lemma 3.23.** ([Hua23, Lemma 6.6]) *Suppose  $\Lambda'$  is an admissible linear subgraph of the Dynkin diagram  $\Lambda$  of  $A_S$  and suppose the consecutive vertices of  $\Lambda'$  are  $S' = \{s_i\}_{i=1}^n$ . Let  $\Delta$  be the  $(\Lambda, \Lambda')$ -relative Artin complex. Let  $V$  be the vertex set of  $\Delta$ . We fix an order on  $S'$  such that it is compatible with one of the two linear orders on  $\Lambda'$ , and endow  $V$  with the induced order. Let  $\Lambda''$  be a linear subgraph of  $\Lambda'$ . Then the following holds.*

1. *If  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$  is bowtie free, then  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda''}$  is bowtie free.*
2. *If  $\Lambda''$  contains the largest (resp. smallest) vertex of  $\Lambda'$  and  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$  is upward (resp. downward) flag, then  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda''}$  is upward (resp. downward) flag.*
3. *Suppose  $\Lambda'$  only have two vertices. If  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$  is bowtie free, then it is a graph with girth  $\geq 6$ . If in addition  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$  is upward flag or downward flag, then it is a graph with girth  $\geq 8$ .*

*Proof.* Assertions (1) and (2) follow from definition. Now we prove assertion (3). Suppose there is a 4-cycle in  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$  with consecutive its vertices  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2$ . Then up to a cyclic permutation of these vertices, we know  $x_i \leq y_j$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$ . By the bowtie free condition, there exists  $z \in P$  such that  $x_i \leq z \leq y_j$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$ . If  $z$  has the same type as  $x_1$ , then  $x_1 = x_2$ . If  $z$  has the same type as  $y_1$ , then  $y_1 = y_2$ . Thus there are no embedded 4-cycles in  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$ . Similarly we deduce no embedded 6-cycles under the additional assumption of one side flagness.  $\square$

The following was proved in [Hua23] in the case when  $X$  is an appropriate relative Artin complex, however, the same proof work in the slightly more general setting of simplicial complexes of type  $S$ .

**Lemma 3.24.** ([Hua23, Lemma 6.9]) *Let  $X$  be a simplicial complex of type  $S$ , with its vertex set  $V$  endowed with the relation  $<$  defined as above. We assume that*

1.  $<$  is a partial order;
2. for each  $v \in V$  of type  $\hat{s}_1$  or  $\hat{s}_n$ , the vertex set of  $\text{lk}(v, X)$  with the induced order from  $(V, \leq)$  is a bowtie free poset;
3. for any embedded 4-cycle  $x_1y_1x_2y_2$  in  $X$  such that  $x_1, x_2$  have type  $\hat{s}_1$  and  $y_1, y_2$  have type  $\hat{s}_n$ , there is a vertex  $z \in V$  such that  $x_i \leq z \leq y_j$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$ .

Then  $(V, \leq)$  is bowtie free.

### 3.4 Folded Artin complexes

We discuss a variation of Artin complexes and relative Artin complexes introduced in [Hua23]. Let  $\Lambda, \Lambda'$  be a Dynkin diagram. A *special folding* is a surjective graph morphism  $f : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda'$  (i.e. it map vertices to vertices and edges to edges) such that if vertices  $x$  and  $y$  are adjacent in  $\Lambda'$ , then each vertex in  $f^{-1}(x)$  is adjacent in  $\Lambda$  to every vertex in  $f^{-1}(y)$ .

An induced subgraph  $\Lambda_1$  is  $f$ -folded if  $f$  restricted to  $\Lambda_1$  is not injective.

Given a special folding  $f : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda'$ , we also use  $f : S \rightarrow S'$  to denote the induced map on the vertex sets. We define the folded Artin complex  $\Delta_{\Lambda, f}$  as follows. Vertices of  $\Delta_{\Lambda, f}$  are in 1-1 correspondence with left cosets of  $A_{S \setminus \{f^{-1}(s')\}}$  in  $A_S$ . A collection of vertices span a simplex if the intersection of the associated collection of left cosets is non-empty. By [GP12b, Lemma 4.7 and Proposition 4.5],  $\Delta_{\Lambda, f}$  is a flag complex.

One can also define relative version of folded Artin complex, i.e. for any induced subgraph  $\Lambda'' \subset \Lambda'$ , the associated relative folded Artin complex  $\Delta_{\Lambda, f, \Lambda''}$  is defined to be a simplicial complex whose vertices are in 1-1 correspondence with left cosets of  $A_{S \setminus \{f^{-1}(s'')\}}$  in  $A_S$  with  $s'' \in \Lambda''$ , and simplices in  $\Delta_{\Lambda, f, \Lambda''}$  corresponds to non-empty intersection of associated left cosets. We label vertices of  $\Delta_{\Lambda, f, \Lambda''}$  by vertices in  $\Lambda''$ , i.e. the vertex corresponding to  $gA_{S \setminus \{f^{-1}(s'')\}}$  with  $s'' \in \Lambda''$  is defined to be of type  $\hat{s}''$ . In particular,  $\Delta_{\Lambda, f, \Lambda''}$  is viewed as a simplicial complex of type  $V\Lambda''$ , where  $V\Lambda''$  denotes the vertex set of  $\Lambda''$ . If  $\Lambda''$  is a tree, then it makes sense to talk about labeled four wheel condition on  $\Delta_{\Lambda, f, \Lambda''}$ .

Let  $S'' = f^{-1}(S')$ . Then there is a natural piecewise linear embedding

$$i : \Delta_{\Lambda, f, \Lambda''} \rightarrow \Delta_{S, S''}$$

as follows. Given a vertex  $x \in \Delta_{\Lambda, f, \Lambda''}$  corresponds to a coset of form  $gA_{S \setminus \{f^{-1}(s'')\}}$  with  $s'' \in \Lambda''$ ,  $i$  sends  $x$  to the barycenter of the simplex of  $\Delta_{S, S''}$  spanned by vertices of form  $gA_{S \setminus \{s\}}$  with  $s \in f^{-1}(s'')$ . Note that  $i$  sends vertices inside a simplex to points inside a simplex. Thus we can extend  $i$  linearly.

**Lemma 3.25.** [Hua23, Lemma 10.3] *Let  $f : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda'$  be a special folding with  $\Lambda'$  connected. Suppose  $\Lambda'' \subset \Lambda'$  is an induced linear subgraph with consecutive vertices  $\{s'_i\}_{i=1}^n$ . For simplicity we will say a vertex of  $Y = \Delta_{\Lambda, f, \Lambda''}$  has type  $i$  if it has type  $\hat{s}'_i$ . We define a relation in the vertex set  $V$  of  $Y$  as follows. For two vertices  $x, y \in Y$ , we put  $x < y$  if they are adjacent in  $Y$  and  $\text{Type}(x) < \text{Type}(y)$ .*

*Suppose  $\Lambda''$  is an admissible subgraph of  $\Lambda'$ . Then  $(V, \leq)$  is an order.*

**Lemma 3.26.** [Hua23, Lemma 10.5] *Suppose  $f : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda'$  be a special folding between two trees. If  $\Delta_{\Lambda}$  satisfies the labeled 4-wheel condition, then  $\Delta_{\Lambda, f}$  satisfies the labeled 4-wheel condition.*

### 3.5 Two contractibility criterion for simplicial complexes

We recall two contractibility criterion for simplicial complexes by Haettel. Throughout this subsection, we let  $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$  with a total order  $s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_n$ . The vertex set of a simplicial complex of type  $S$  is endowed with the relation induced from such total order on  $S$ . The following is a consequence of [Hae22, Section 4.3, Theorem B] and [Hae21, Theorem 1.15].

**Theorem 3.27.** [Hae21, Hae22] *Let  $X$  be a simplicial complex of type  $S$ . Assume that*

1.  $X$  is simply connected;
2. the relation  $<$  on the vertex set  $V$  of  $X$  is a partial order;
3. for each  $x \in V$ , let  $V_{\geq x}$  be the collection of vertices that is  $\geq x$ , then  $V_{\geq x}$  is bowtie free and upward flag;
4. for each  $x \in V$ , let  $V_{\leq x}$  be the collection of vertices that is  $\leq x$ , then  $V_{\leq x}$  is bowtie free and downward flag.

Then  $X$  is contractible.

Moreover, let  $Y$  be a graph whose vertex set is the same as the vertex set of  $X$ , and two vertices  $y_1, y_2 \in Y$  are adjacent if there exist vertices  $z_1 \in X$  of type  $\hat{s}_1$  and  $z_2 \in X$  of type  $\hat{s}_n$  such that  $z_1 \leq y_i \leq z_2$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $Y$  is a Helly graph.

The graph  $Y$  in the above theorem is called the *thickening* of  $X$ .

We give an intuitive explanation of this theorem from our point of view for the convenient of the reader. As an example to have in mind, we consider the case that  $X$  is made of 2-dimensional flat triangles with angle  $\pi/4$  at vertices of type  $\hat{s}_1$  and  $\hat{s}_3$ , and angle  $\pi/2$  at vertices of type  $\hat{s}_2$ . Then condition 2 in Theorem 3.27 is equivalent to the links of vertices of type  $\hat{s}_2$  are complete bipartite graphs; condition 1 is equivalent to that links of vertices of type  $\hat{s}_1$  have girth  $\geq 8$  (see Lemma 3.23), hence CAT(1); and condition 3 is equivalent to that links of vertices of type  $\hat{s}_3$  have girth  $\geq 8$ , hence CAT(1). In particular,  $X$  is CAT(0) under the assumption of Theorem 3.27. In higher dimension, one can identify top-dimensional simplices in  $X$  with the orthoscheme  $0 \leq x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n \leq 1$  in  $\mathbb{E}^n$ . The orthoscheme is equipped with  $\ell^\infty$  metric rather than  $\ell^2$  metric, and  $X$  is metrized in the way such that each top-dimensional simplex is an orthoscheme with  $\ell^\infty$ -metric. Haettel showed that under the above link conditions,  $X$  is an injective metric space, which is also a form of non-positive curvature, and it implies contractibility.

Going back to the 2-dimensional case, Chepoi [Che00] defined a *folder* in  $X$  to be the union of all triangles in  $X$  containing an edge between a type  $\hat{s}_1$  vertex and a type  $\hat{s}_3$  vertex. While the complex  $X$  is not exactly a CAT(0) square complex, it behaves like a CAT(0) square complex in the sense that the folders in  $X$  can be treated as generalized squares, and they intersect each other in the same pattern as how squares in a CAT(0) square complex intersecting each other. More precisely, the collection of folders are cell-Helly in the sense defined in [HO21]. This leads to the thickening of  $X$  - we span a complete graph on the vertex set of each folder, and Chepoi showed that the thickening is a Helly graph. In the setting of Theorem 3.27, one can naturally extend the definition of folders and span a complete graph on the vertex set of each folder, which motivates the definition of thickening of  $X$ . Intuitively, the assumption of Theorem 3.27 will ensure the collection of folders intersect each other in a similar pattern as how cubes intersects each other in a CAT(0) cube complex - again the collection of folders are cell-Helly, and the thickening gives a Helly graph. This also gives contractibility from a combinatorial viewpoint as the flag completion of any Helly graph is contractible. The reader is also referred to [CCHO14, Theorem 6.17] for comparison in the setting of weakly modular graphs.

Now we discuss a variation of Theorem 3.27. Put a cyclic order  $s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_n < s_1$  on  $S$ . For each vertex  $x$  in  $X$  of type  $s_i$ , we consider a relation  $<_x$  in  $\text{lk}(x, X)$  as follows. We identify vertices in  $\text{lk}(x, X)$  as vertices in  $X$  which are adjacent to  $x$ . For each  $s_i \in S$ , this cyclic order

induces an order on  $S \setminus \{s_i\}$  by declaring  $s_{i+1} < \dots < s_n < s_1 < \dots < s_{i-1}$ . For  $y, z \in \text{lk}(x, X)$ , define  $y <_x z$  if  $y$  is adjacent to  $z$  and  $\text{Type}(y) < \text{Type}(z)$  in  $S \setminus \{s_i\}$ . The following is a consequence of [Hae22, Section 4.2, Theorem A].

**Theorem 3.28.** [Hae21, Hae22] *Let  $X$  be a simplicial complex of type  $S$ , with  $S$  being a cyclically ordered set as above. Suppose the following are true.*

1.  $X$  is simply-connected.
2. For each vertex  $x \in X$ , the relation  $<_x$  on the vertex set of  $\text{lk}(x, X)$  is a partial order.
3. For each vertex  $x \in X$ , the set of vertex in  $\text{lk}(x, X)$  with this partial order is bowtie free.

Then  $X$  is contractible. Moreover, if a group  $G$  acts on  $X$  by type-preserving automorphisms, then  $X$  can be equipped with a metric with an  $G$ -equivariant consistent convex geodesic bicombing  $\sigma$  such that each simplex of  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -convex.

**Lemma 3.29.** [Hua23, Lemma 4.8] *Let  $X$  be as in Theorem 3.28, with all the three assumptions there satisfied. Then for any induced 4-cycle in the 1-skeleton of  $X$ , there is a vertex  $x \in X$  such that  $x$  is adjacent to each vertex of this 4-cycle.*

### 3.6 Subdivision of some relative Artin complexes

In this subsection we adjust the procedure of handling Euclidean buildings and certain Artin complex in Haettel's work [Hae21] to certain relative Artin complexes.

**Definition 3.30.** Suppose  $A_\Lambda$  is an Artin group whose Dynkin diagram  $\Lambda$  contain induced subgraph  $\Lambda'$  such that  $\Lambda'$  is a copy with Dynkin diagram of type  $\tilde{B}_n$ , though possibly with different edge labeling. Let  $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be vertices of  $\Lambda'$  as in Figure 5 left.

Let  $\Delta = \Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$  be the associated relative Artin complex. We subdivide each edge of  $\Delta$  connecting a vertex of type  $\hat{b}_1$  and a vertex of type  $\hat{b}_2$ . We say the middle point of such edge is of type  $m$ . Cut each top dimensional simplex in  $\Delta$  into two simplices along the codimensional 1 simplex spanned by vertices of type  $m$  and  $\{b_i\}_{i=3}^{n+1}$ . This gives a new simplicial complex, which we denoted by  $\Delta'$ . Define a map  $t$  from the vertex set  $V\Delta'$  of  $\Delta'$  to  $\{1, 2, \dots, n, n+1\}$  by sending vertices of type  $\hat{b}_1, \hat{b}_2$  to 1, vertices of type  $m$  to 2, vertices of type  $\hat{b}_i$  to  $i$  for  $i \geq 3$ . We will then view  $\Delta'$  as a simplicial complex of type  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$ . We define a relation  $<$  on  $V\Delta'$  as follows. For  $x, y \in V\Delta'$ ,  $x < y$  if  $x$  and  $y$  are adjacent and  $t(x) < t(y)$ . The simplicial complex  $\Delta'$ , together with the relation  $<$  on its vertex set, is called the  $(b_1, b_2)$ -subdivision of  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$ .



Figure 5: Diagrams of type  $\tilde{B}$  and  $\tilde{D}$ .

**Lemma 3.31.** *Suppose  $\Lambda'$  is an admissible subgraph of  $\Lambda$ . Then the relation  $<$  is a partial order.*

*Proof.* Take a vertex  $x \in \Delta'$ . Let  $\text{lk}_+(x, \Delta')$  (resp.  $\text{lk}_-(x, \Delta')$ ) be the full subcomplex of  $\text{lk}(x, \Delta')$  spanned by vertices  $y$  of  $\Delta'$  with  $t(y) > t(x)$  (resp.  $t(y) < t(x)$ ). The lemma follows from the claim that  $\text{lk}(x, \Delta')$  is a join of  $\text{lk}_+(x, \Delta')$  and  $\text{lk}_-(x, \Delta')$ . Now we prove this claim. It is clear when  $t(x) = 2$  as in this case as  $x$  is the midpoint of an edge of  $\Delta$ . When  $t(x) \geq 3$ , the assumption of admissible in this lemma and Lemma 3.3 imply the claim.  $\square$

We will say the  $(b_1, b_2)$ -subdivision  $\Delta'$  of  $\Delta$  is *upward or downward flag or bowtie free*, if  $(V\Delta', <)$  is a poset which is upward or downward flat or bowtie free.

**Proposition 3.32.** *Suppose  $A_\Lambda$  is an Artin group whose Dynkin diagram  $\Lambda$  contain induced subgraph  $\Lambda'$  such that  $\Lambda'$  is a copy with Dynkin diagram of type  $\tilde{B}_n$ , though possibly with different edge labeling. Let  $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1}$  be vertices of  $\Lambda'$  as in Figure 5 left. Suppose  $n \geq 3$ . Suppose  $\Lambda'$  is an admissible subgraph of  $\Lambda$ .*

*For  $i = 1, 2, n + 1$ , let  $\Lambda_i$  (resp.  $\Lambda'_i$ ) be the connected component of  $\Lambda \setminus \{b_i\}$  (resp.  $\Lambda' \setminus \{b_i\}$ ) that contains  $b_3$ . Suppose that the following holds:*

1. *the  $(b_1, b_2)$ -subdivision of  $\Delta_{\Lambda_{n+1}, \Lambda'_{n+1}}$  is bowtie free and downward flag;*
2. *for  $i = 1, 2$ , the vertex set of the relative Artin complex  $\Delta_{\Lambda_i, \Lambda'_i}$ , endowed with the order induced from  $b_i < b_3 < b_4 < \dots < b_{n+1}$  is bowtie free and upward flag for  $i = 1, 2$ .*

*Then the simplicial complex  $\Delta'$ , viewed as a simplicial complex of type  $S$  with  $S = \{1, \dots, n + 1\}$ , satisfies all the assumptions of Theorem 3.27. Hence  $\Delta'$  is contractible. Thus  $\Delta$  is contractible.*

The proof is very similar to [Hae21, Section 7], we provide details for the convenience of the reader.

*Proof.* Let  $P = (V\Delta', \leq)$ , which is a poset by Lemma 3.31. Note that  $\Delta'$  is simply connected by Lemma 3.4. To verify Theorem 3.27 (3), it suffices to consider the case  $t(x) = 1$ . Then the full subcomplex of  $\Delta'$  spanned by  $P_{>x}$  is  $\text{lk}(x, \Delta')$ . However,  $\text{lk}(x, \Delta') \cong \text{lk}(x, \Delta) \cong \Delta_{\Lambda_i, \Lambda'_i}$  by Lemma 3.3, where  $i = 1$  or  $2$ . Moreover, the order of vertices in  $\text{lk}(x, \Delta')$  inherited from  $P$  and the order of vertices in  $\Delta_{\Lambda_i, \Lambda'_i}$  as in Assumption 1 of the proposition, are consistent under the isomorphism. Thus  $P_{>x}$  is bowtie free and upward flag by Assumption 2. To verify Theorem 3.27 (4), it suffices to consider the case  $t(x) = n + 1$ . The full subcomplex of  $\Delta'$  spanned by  $P_{<x}$  is  $\text{lk}(x, \Delta')$ . By Lemma 3.3,  $\text{lk}(x, \Delta')$  is order-preserving isomorphic to the  $(b_1, b_2)$ -subdivision of  $\text{lk}(x, \Delta) \cong \Delta_{\Lambda_{n+1}, \Lambda'_{n+1}}$ , which finishes the proof by Assumption 1.  $\square$

**Definition 3.33.** *Suppose  $A_\Lambda$  is an Artin group whose Dynkin diagram  $\Lambda$  contain induced subgraph  $\Lambda'$  such that  $\Lambda'$  is a copy with Dynkin diagram of type  $\tilde{D}_m$  with  $m \geq 4$ , though possibly with different edge labeling. Let  $a_1, a_2, \{b_i\}_{i=1}^n, c_1, c_2$  be vertices of  $\Lambda'$  as in Figure 5 right.*

Let  $\Delta = \Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$  be the associated relative Artin complex. We subdivide each edge of  $\Delta$  connecting a vertex of type  $\hat{a}_1$  (resp.  $\hat{c}_1$ ) and a vertex of type  $\hat{a}_2$  (resp.  $\hat{c}_2$ ). We say the middle point of such edge is of type  $\hat{a}$  (resp.  $\hat{c}$ ). Cut each top dimensional simplex in  $\Delta$  into four simplices whose vertex set is of type  $\{a_i, a, b_1, \dots, b_n, c, c_j\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2}$ . This gives a new simplicial complex, which we denoted by  $\Delta'$ . Define a map  $t$  from the vertex set  $V\Delta'$  of  $\Delta'$  to  $\{1, \dots, n + 4\}$  by sending vertices of type  $a_i, a, b_1, \dots, b_n, c, c_j$  to  $1, 2, 3, \dots, n + 2, n + 3, n + 4$  respectively. We will then view  $\Delta'$  as a simplicial complex of type  $S = \{1, \dots, n + 4\}$ . We define a relation  $<$  on  $V\Delta'$  as follows. For  $x, y \in V\Delta'$ ,  $x < y$  if  $x$  and  $y$  are adjacent and  $t(x) < t(y)$ . Similar to Lemma 3.31, we know  $(V\Delta', \leq)$  is a poset, under the additional assumption that  $\Lambda'$  is admissible in  $\Lambda$ .

**Proposition 3.34.** *Suppose  $A_\Lambda$  is an Artin group whose Dynkin diagram  $\Lambda$  contain induced subgraph  $\Lambda'$  such that  $\Lambda'$  is a copy with Dynkin diagram of type  $\tilde{D}_m$  with  $m \geq 4$ , though possibly with different edge labeling. Let  $a_1, a_2, \{b_i\}_{i=1}^n, c_1, c_2$  be vertices of  $\Lambda'$  as in Figure 5 right. Suppose  $\Lambda'$  is an admissible subgraph of  $\Lambda$ .*

*Let  $\Lambda_{a_i}$  (resp.  $\Lambda'_{a_i}$ ) be the connected component of  $\Lambda \setminus \{a_i\}$  (resp.  $\Lambda' \setminus \{a_i\}$ ) that contains  $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^n$ . Similarly we define  $\Lambda_{c_i}$  and  $\Lambda'_{c_i}$ . Suppose that the following holds:*

1. *the  $(a_1, a_2)$ -subdivision of  $\Delta_{\Lambda_{c_i}, \Lambda'_{c_i}}$  is bowtie free and downward flag for  $i = 1, 2$ ;*
2. *the  $(c_1, c_2)$ -subdivision of  $\Delta_{\Lambda_{a_i}, \Lambda'_{a_i}}$  is bowtie free and downward flag for  $i = 1, 2$ .*

*Then the simplicial complex  $\Delta'$ , viewed as a simplicial complex of type  $S$  with  $S = \{1, \dots, n + 4\}$ , satisfies all the assumptions of Theorem 3.27. Hence  $\Delta'$  is contractible. Thus  $\Delta$  is contractible.*

The proof is similar to that of Proposition 3.32, and is left to the reader.

### 3.7 A remark on weakly flagness

In this subsection we give a technical remark on the relation of weakly flagness and Proposition 3.32 in a special case. The reader could skip this subsection on first reading. We refer to Section 3.6 for definitions of terms in the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.35.** *Suppose  $A_\Lambda$  is an Artin group whose Dynkin diagram  $\Lambda$  contains a star shaped induced subgraph  $\Lambda'$  made of three edges glued at a common vertex  $a$ . Let the other three vertices be  $b_1, b_2, b_3$ . Suppose  $\Lambda'$  is an admissible subgraph of  $\Lambda$ .*

*For  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , let  $\Lambda_i$  be the connected component of  $\Lambda \setminus \{b_i\}$  that contains  $a$ . Let  $\Lambda'_i = \Lambda' \setminus \{b_i\}$ . Suppose that the following holds:*

1. *for  $i = 2, 3$ , the vertex set of the relative Artin complex  $\Delta_{\Lambda_i, \Lambda'_i}$ , endowed with the order induced from  $b_i < a < b_1$ , is a bowtie free, upward flag poset;*
2.  *$\Delta_{\Lambda_1, \Lambda'_1}$  is bowtie free and weakly flag.*

*Then the  $(b_2, b_3)$ -subdivision of  $\Delta = \Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$ , denoted  $\Delta'$ , viewed as a simplicial complex of type  $S$  with  $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , satisfies all the assumptions of Theorem 3.27. Hence  $\Delta'$  is contractible. Thus  $\Delta$  is contractible.*

*Proof.* Compare to the proof of Proposition 3.32, it remains to justify Assumption 4 of Theorem 3.27 for  $x \in P$  with  $t(x) = 4$ . The full subcomplex  $\Delta'_x$  of  $\Delta'$  spanned by  $P_{<x}$  can be identified with  $\text{lk}(x, \Delta')$ . Note that  $\text{lk}(x, \Delta')$  is a subdivision of  $\Delta_x = \text{lk}(x, \Delta)$ , and the latter is isomorphic to  $\Delta_{\Lambda_1, \Lambda'_1}$ .

We now verify that the no bowtie condition holds for  $P_{<x}$ , using Lemma 3.24. For each  $y \in \Delta'_x$  with  $t(y) = 1$ ,  $\text{lk}(y, \Delta'_x)$  and  $\text{lk}(y, \Delta_x)$  are isomorphic, moreover, such isomorphism preserves the order of vertices (inherited respectively from  $P_{<x}$  and from  $\Delta_{\Lambda_1, \Lambda'_1}$ ). As  $\Delta_{\Lambda_1, \Lambda'_1}$  is bowtie free,  $\text{lk}(y, \Delta_x)$  is bowtie free, hence the same holds for  $\text{lk}(y, \Delta'_x)$ . It remains to verify Assumption 3 of Lemma 3.24. Given  $\{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2\} \subset P_{<x}$  with  $x_i < y_j$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$ , and assume  $t(x_1) = t(x_2) = 1$  and  $t(y_1) = t(y_2) = 3$ . Note that  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  have type  $\hat{a}$ , and  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  have type  $\hat{b}_2$  or  $\hat{b}_3$ . If  $\text{Type}(x_1) = \text{Type}(x_2)$ , then the bowtie free assumption on  $\Delta_x$  implies that either  $x_1 = x_2$  or  $y_1 = y_2$ . If  $\text{Type}(x_1) \neq \text{Type}(x_2)$ , then the bowtie free assumption on  $\Delta_x$  implies that  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are adjacent in  $\Delta_x$ . Then  $x_1, x_2, y_j$  span a triangle in  $\Delta_x$  for  $j = 1, 2$  as  $\Delta_x$  is flag. Let  $z \in \Delta'_x$  be the vertex corresponding to the midpoint of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . Then  $x_i \leq z \leq y_j$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$  in  $\Delta'_x$ , as desired.

Lastly we verify the downward flagness condition for  $P_{<x}$ . Now take  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\} \in \Delta'_x$  such that  $x_i$  and  $x_{i+1}$  has a common lower bound  $y_i$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $t(y_1) = t(y_2) = t(y_3) = 1$  (if  $t(y_i) = 2$ , then we find  $y'_i < y_i$  in  $P$  and replace  $y_i$  by  $y'_i$ ). Let  $\omega$  be the 6-cycle in  $\Delta'_x$  of form  $x_1 y_1 x_2 y_2 x_3 y_3$ . We assume  $x_i \neq x_j$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $y_i \neq y_j$  for  $i \neq j$ , otherwise the downward flagness is trivial.

First we consider the case that  $t(x_1) = t(x_2) = t(x_3) = 3$ . Then we can view  $\omega$  as a 6-cycle in the 1-skeleton of  $\Delta_x$ . Let  $P'$  be the vertex set of  $\Delta_{\Gamma_1, \Gamma'_1}$  with induced order from  $b_2 < a < b_3$ .

1. If  $y_1, y_2, y_3$  are all of type  $\hat{b}_2$  in  $\Delta_x$ , then none of  $x_i$  and  $y_j$  are maximal in  $P'$ . Hence the weakly flagness of  $P'$  implies that  $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$  has a common upper bound, denoted  $z$ , in  $P'$ . By considering the 4-cycle spanned by  $\{z, y_1, y_2, x_1\}$  and applying the bowtie free property, we know either  $x_1$  is adjacent to  $z$  in  $\Delta_x$ , or these two vertices are identical. The same statement holds true if we replace  $x_1$  by  $x_2$  or  $x_3$ . As  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  are pairwise distinct and they have the same type in  $\Delta_{\Gamma_1, \Gamma'_1}$ , we know  $z$  is of type  $\hat{b}_3$ , and  $z$  is adjacent to each of  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  in  $\Delta_x$ , hence also in  $\Delta'_x$ . As  $t(z) = 1$ , we know  $z$  is a common lower bound for  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  in  $P_{<x}$ .
2. If  $y_1, y_2, y_3$  are all of type  $\hat{b}_3$  in  $\Delta_x$ , then we can apply an identical argument as in the previous item.

3. If two of  $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$  have different types in  $\Delta_{\Gamma_1, \Gamma'_1}$ , then we can assume without loss of generality that  $y_1$  is of type  $\hat{b}_2$  and  $y_2, y_3$  are of type  $\hat{b}_3$ . Then  $y_1$  and  $y_i$  are adjacent in  $\Delta_x$  for  $i = 2, 3$ . As  $x_3$  is of type  $\hat{a}$  in  $\Delta_x$ , by applying the bowtie free condition to  $\{y_1, y_2, y_3, x_3\}$ , we know  $y_1$  and  $x_3$  are adjacent in  $\Delta_x$ , hence in  $\Delta'_x$ . Thus  $y_1$  is a common lower bound for  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  in  $P_{<x}$ .

Suppose the  $t$ -value of exactly two of  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  is 3. Assume without loss of generality that  $t(x_1) = t(x_2) = 3$  and  $t(x_3) = 2$ . Then  $y_2$  and  $y_3$  are adjacent in  $\Delta_x$  and  $x_3$  is the midpoint between  $y_2$  and  $y_3$ . We assume without loss of generality that  $\text{Type}(y_2) = \hat{b}_2$  and  $\text{Type}(y_3) = \hat{b}_3$ . If  $\text{Type}(y_1) = \hat{b}_3$ , then  $y_2$  is adjacent to both  $y_1$  and  $y_3$  in  $\Delta_x$ . By applying the bowtie free condition to  $\{y_1, y_2, y_3, x_1\}$ , we know  $x_1$  and  $y_2$  are adjacent in  $\Delta_x$ . Thus  $y_2$  is a common lower bound for  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  in  $P_{<x}$ . The subcase of  $\text{Type}(y_1) = \hat{b}_2$  is symmetric.

Suppose the  $t$ -value of exactly one of  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  is 3. Assume without loss of generality that  $t(x_1) = 3$  and  $t(x_2) = t(x_3) = 2$ . Then  $y_2$  is adjacent to both  $y_1$  and  $y_3$  in  $\Delta_x$ . If  $\text{Type}(y_2) = \hat{b}_2$ , then  $\text{Type}(y_1) = \text{Type}(y_3) = \hat{b}_3$ . We apply the bowtie free condition to  $\{y_1, y_2, y_3, x_1\}$  and conclude in the same way as the previous paragraph. The subcase  $\text{Type}(y_2) = \hat{b}_3$  is symmetric.

It remains to consider the case that  $t(x_1) = t(x_2) = t(x_3) = 2$ . This implies that  $y_1, y_2, y_3$  span a triangle in  $\Delta_x$ , which is impossible.  $\square$

## 4 One side flagness in type $F_4$

In an unpublished preprint of Crisp-McCammond, they showed how to fill in certain types of 4-cycles in Artin complex of type  $A_n$ , then Haettel [Hae21, Proposition 6.6] realized that this actually implies how to fill in certain types of 6-cycles in Artin complex of type  $B_n$ , using the fact that  $B_n$  can be realized as the fixed subgroup of an involution automorphism of  $A_{2n-1}$ . Parallel to this, in [Hua23] we showed how to fill in 4-cycles in type  $E_6$  Artin complex, and this can be combined with Haettel's argument to fill in certain types of 6-cycles in type  $F_4$  Artin complex, using that Artin group of  $F_4$  can be realized as the fixed subgroup of an involution automorphism of  $E_6$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Suppose  $A_\Lambda$  is a spherical Artin group with Dynkin diagram  $\Lambda$  and suppose  $\alpha : A_\Lambda \rightarrow A_\Lambda$  be an automorphism induced by an automorphism of  $\Lambda$ . Let  $C$  be a left coset of a standard parabolic subgroup of  $A_\Lambda$ . If  $\alpha(C) = C$ , then  $\alpha$  fixes an element in  $C$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A^+$  be the positive monoid in  $A_\Lambda$ . Let  $\preceq_\ell$  the prefix order on  $A^+$  and let  $\preceq_r$  be the suffix order on  $A^+$ . We define an order  $\preceq$  on  $A^+ \times A^+$  by  $(a_1, b_1) \preceq (a_2, b_2)$  if  $a_1 \preceq_\ell a_2$  and  $a_1 \neq a_2$  or  $a_1 = a_2$  and  $b_1 \preceq_r b_2$ . Recall that each element  $a \in A_\Lambda$  be written uniquely as  $bc^{-1}$  with  $b, c \in A^+$  and  $b$  and  $c$  do not have non-trivial common suffix in  $A^+$  [Cha95, Section 2]. This gives a (set theoretical) embedding  $A \rightarrow A^+ \times A^+$ , hence  $A$  inherits an order  $\preceq_R$  from  $A^+ \times A^+$ . As  $\alpha(A^+) = A^+$  and  $\alpha$  respect the order  $\preceq_\ell$  and  $\preceq_r$  on  $A^+$ , we know  $\alpha$  induces an automorphism of the poset  $(A, \preceq_R)$ . By [Alt98, Theorem 1], there is an element  $c \in C$  such that  $c \preceq_R c'$  for any  $c' \in C$ . Such  $c$  is unique in  $C$  by definition. Thus  $\alpha(c) = c$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $S = \{s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4\}$  be consecutive vertices in the Dynkin diagram  $\Lambda$  of the Artin group of type  $F_4$ . Let  $S' = \{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$ . We consider the relative Artin complex  $\Delta_{S, S'}$ , whose vertex set is endowed with the order inherited from  $s_1 < s_2 < s_3$ . Then the vertex set of  $\Delta_{S, S'}$  forms a upward flag poset.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Lambda_1$  be the Dynkin diagram of type  $E_6$ . Let  $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^5$  be the consecutive vertices of the linear subgraph  $\Lambda'_1$  of length 4 in  $\Lambda_1$ . Let  $t$  be the vertex in  $\Lambda \setminus \Lambda_1$ . Consider the homomorphism  $\phi : A_\Lambda \rightarrow A_{\Lambda_1}$  by sending  $s_i$  to  $t_i t_{6-i}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $s_3$  to  $t_3$  and  $s_4$  to  $t$ . By [Cri00],  $\phi$  is an injective homomorphism, whose image is the fixed subgroup of the automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $A_{\Lambda_1}$  such that  $\sigma(t_i) = t_{6-i}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 5$  and  $\sigma(t) = t$ . We define  $P_i = A_{\hat{s}_i}$ , the subgroup of  $A$  generated by all generators except  $s_i$ , and  $Q_i = A_{\hat{t}_i}$ .

Let  $\Delta = \Delta_{S,S'}$  and  $\Delta_1 = \Delta_{\Lambda_1,\Lambda'_1}$ . Note that  $\sigma$  induces an automorphism  $\bar{\sigma}$  of  $\Delta_1$  by sending  $gQ_i$  to  $\sigma(g)Q_{6-i}$ . The map  $\phi$  induced a map  $\Delta^{(0)} \rightarrow \Delta_1^{(0)}$ , by sending a vertex associated with  $gP_i$  to a vertex associated with  $\phi(g)Q_i$ . As  $\phi(gP_i) \subset \phi(g)Q_i$ , if two cosets associated with vertices of  $\Delta$  have nonempty intersection, then their associated cosets through  $\phi$  in  $A_{\Delta_1}$  have nonempty intersection. Thus  $\phi$  respects the order of vertices in  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta_1$ , hence extends to a simplicial map  $\bar{\phi} : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta_1$ . For any vertex  $\bar{x}$  in the image of  $\bar{\phi}$ , we have  $\bar{x} \leq \bar{\sigma}(\bar{x})$ , as  $\sigma$  is identity on the image of  $\phi$ .

Given three vertices  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  which are pairwise upper bounded. Let  $\bar{x}_i = \bar{\phi}(x_i)$ . We claim  $\bar{x}_i \leq \bar{\sigma}(\bar{x}_j)$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$ . Indeed, suppose  $x_{ij} \in \Delta$  is an upper bound for  $x_i$  and  $x_j$ . Then  $\bar{x}_i \leq \bar{x}_{ij} \leq \bar{\sigma}(\bar{x}_{ij}) \leq \bar{\sigma}(\bar{x}_j)$  as  $\bar{\sigma}$  is order-reversing. Let  $\bar{x}$  be the join of  $\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_3$  - the existence of  $\bar{x}$  follows from Theorem 3.17 and Lemma 3.9. Then  $\bar{\sigma}(\bar{x})$  is the meet of  $\bar{\sigma}(\bar{x}_1), \bar{\sigma}(\bar{x}_2), \bar{\sigma}(\bar{x}_3)$ . Note that  $\bar{x} \leq \bar{\sigma}(\bar{x})$ . Suppose  $\bar{x} = \gamma Q_i$ . Then  $\bar{\sigma}(\bar{x}) = \sigma(\gamma)Q_{6-i}$ . As  $\gamma Q_i \leq \sigma(\gamma)Q_{6-i}$ , we know  $i \leq 6 - i$ , hence  $i \leq 3$ . Let  $C = \gamma Q_i \cap \sigma(\gamma)Q_{6-i} \neq \emptyset$ . As  $\sigma$  is an involution,  $\sigma(C) = C$ . As intersection of standard parabolic subgroups is a standard parabolic subgroup [vdL83], we know  $C$  is a left coset of a standard parabolic subgroup. By Lemma 4.1,  $\sigma(c) = c$  for an element  $c \in C$ . By replacing  $\gamma$  by  $c$ , we can assume  $\sigma(\gamma) = \gamma$ . Thus there exists  $x \in \Delta$  such that  $\bar{x} = \bar{\phi}(x)$ . As  $\bar{\phi}$  is an order-preserving embedding, we know  $x$  is a common upper bound of  $x_1, x_2, x_3$ .  $\square$

## 5 Weakly flagness in type $A_n$

The goal of this section is to prove weakly flagness for certain relative Artin complexes associated to Artin groups of type  $A_n$ , see Theorem 5.6.

### 5.1 A lattice theoretical lemma

**Lemma 5.1.** *Suppose  $X$  and  $(V, \leq)$  satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 3.27. Then  $(V, \leq)$  is bowtie free and flag.*

*Proof.* First we show  $(V, \leq)$  is bowtie free. We verify the assumptions of Lemma 3.24. Assumption 1 is a direct consequence of Assumptions 2 and 3 of Theorem 3.27 (note that if  $x$  is of type  $\hat{s}_1$ , then  $V_{\geq x}$  is exactly the vertex set of  $\text{lk}(x, X)$ ). Let  $x_1 y_1 x_2 y_2$  be a 4-cycle in  $X$  as in Assumption 2 of Lemma 3.24. Let  $Y$  be the Helly graph as defined in Theorem 3.27. As any Helly graph satisfies the 4-wheel condition (see e.g. [CCHO14, Proposition 3.25]), there exists  $z \in Y$  such that  $z$  is adjacent to each of  $\{x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2\}$  in  $Y$ . As  $x_1, x_2$  are of type  $\hat{s}_1$  and  $y_1, y_2$  are of type  $\hat{s}_n$ , by the definition of edges in  $Y$  we know  $z$  is also adjacent to each of  $\{x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2\}$  in  $X$ , as required.

Now we show  $(V, \leq)$  is downward flag. Let  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  be three pairwise distinct elements in  $V$  such that  $x_i$  and  $x_{i+1}$  has a lower bound  $y_i$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ . We assume  $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$  are pairwise distinct, otherwise we can clearly find a lower bound for  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ . It follows from the assumption that we can assume  $y_i$  is type  $\hat{s}_1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ . For simplicity, we will say  $x \in V$  is of type  $i$  if it is of type  $\hat{s}_i$ . We will use a downward induction on  $\text{Type}(x_1) + \text{Type}(x_2) + \text{Type}(x_3)$ .

The base case of the induction is that each of  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  is type  $\hat{s}_n$ . As  $y_i$  is adjacent to both  $x_i$  and  $x_{i+1}$  in  $X$ , the same is true in  $Y$ . Thus  $d(x_i, x_j) \leq 2$  for  $i \neq j$  in  $Y$ . As  $x_i \neq x_j$  for  $i \neq j$ , it follows from the definition of  $Y$  that  $d(x_i, x_j) = 2$ . Thus the combinatorial balls of radius 1 centered at  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  pairwise intersect. It follows from the Helly property of combinatorial balls that there exists a vertex  $z \in Y$  such that  $z$  is adjacent to  $x_i$  in  $Y$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . As  $x_i$  is of type  $\hat{s}_n$ , it follows from the definition of  $Y$  that  $z$  is adjacent to each  $x_i$  in  $X$ . Thus  $z$  is a common lower bound for  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ .

Now we assume the downward flagness is verified for any  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  with

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \text{Type}(x_i) \geq k.$$

Take  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^3 \text{Type}(x_i) = k-1$ . By assumption, there exists  $1 \leq i \leq 3$  and  $x'_i$  such that  $x'_i > x_i$ . We assume without loss of generality that  $i = 1$ . Then by induction,  $\{x'_1, x_2, x_3\}$  has a common lower bound in  $V$ , denoted by  $z'$ . As  $y_1$  and  $z'$  have at least one common upper bound (e.g.  $x'_1$  and  $x_2$ ) and  $(V, \leq)$  is bowtie free, by Lemma 3.9,  $y_1, z'$  have a join, denoted  $z_1$ . Then  $z_1 \leq x'_1$  and  $z_1 \leq x_2$ . Similarly,  $y_3$  and  $z'$  have a join  $z_3$  and  $z_3 \leq x'_1, z_2 \leq x_3$ . Note that each pair from  $\{z_1, z_3, x_1\}$  have a lower bound, and  $\{z_1, z_3, x_1\} \subset V_{\leq x'_1}$ . By assumption 4 of Theorem 3.27, there is a common lower bound  $z$  for  $\{z_1, z_3, x_1\}$ . Note that  $z \leq z_1$  and  $z_1 \leq x_2$ , thus  $z \leq x_2$ . Similarly,  $z \leq x_3$ . Thus  $z$  is a lower bound for  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ , as desired.

The proof of upward flagness of  $(V, \leq)$  is similar.  $\square$

The following is an immediate consequence of Lemma 5.1 and Theorem 3.22.

**Corollary 5.2.** *Suppose  $\Lambda$  is a Dynkin diagram of type  $\tilde{C}_n$  with consecutive vertices being  $\{s_i\}_{i=1}^n$ . Then the vertex set of  $\Delta_\Lambda$ , endowed with the order from  $s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_n$ , is a bowtie free and flag poset.*

## 5.2 An injective simplicial complex for type $A_n$

In the rest of this subsection,  $\Lambda$  will be the Dynkin diagram of type  $A_n$ . Let consecutive vertices in  $\Lambda$  be  $\{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the reflection arrangement of type  $A_n$ . Up to a linear transformation, elements in  $\mathcal{A}$  are  $x_i = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $x_i = x_j$  for  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ . Let  $H$  be the hyperplane  $x_1 = 0$ . Then a simple calculation implies that deconing of  $\mathcal{A}$  with respect to  $H$  gives the affine arrangement  $\mathcal{B}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  made of  $y_i = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ ,  $y_i = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$  and  $y_i = y_j$  for  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n-1$ .

Let  $S_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $D_{\mathcal{B}}$  be defined in Section 2.4. Then  $S_{\mathcal{A}}$  is isomorphic to the Coxeter complex of the associated Coxeter group, hence each vertex of  $S_{\mathcal{A}}$  has a type  $\hat{s}_i$  for some  $i$ . The complex  $D_{\mathcal{B}}$  is a unit cube subdivided into orthoschemes ([BM10, Definition 4.1]). Note that  $D_{\mathcal{B}}$  can be realized as the maximal subcomplex of  $S_{\mathcal{A}}$  which is contained in the interior of a hemisphere bounded by  $H \cap S_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Thus it makes sense to talk about types of vertices of  $D_{\mathcal{B}}$ , using this embedding  $D_{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow S_{\mathcal{A}}$ . We assume without loss of generality that the vertex of  $D_{\mathcal{B}}$  with coordinate  $(0, \dots, 0)$  is of type  $\hat{s}_1$ . Then a vertex of  $D_{\mathcal{B}}$  is of type  $\hat{s}_i$  if and only if the coordinate of this vertex has  $i-1$  nonzero entries.

Note that  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{B}}$  can be identified as a maximal subcomplex of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  contained in one side of  $H$ . This embedding  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  is dual to  $D_{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow S_{\mathcal{A}}$ . We record the following consequence of Lemma 2.13.

**Lemma 5.3.** *The embedding  $D_{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow S_{\mathcal{A}}$  induces an embedding  $\hat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \hat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}$  which is  $\pi_1$ -injective.*

Let  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{B}}$  and  $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$  be the Falk complex and spherical Deligne complex defined in Section 2.4. A vertex of  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{B}}$  is of type  $\hat{s}_i$  if it maps to a vertex of type  $\hat{s}_i$  under  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow D_{\mathcal{B}}$ . Note that  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{B}}$  is a simplicial complex of type  $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ .

**Proposition 5.4.** *The vertex set of  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{B}}$ , endowed with the relation  $<$  induced from  $s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_n$ , is a poset satisfying all the assumptions of Theorem 3.27.*

*Proof.* Note that  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{B}}$  is isomorphic to the inverse image of  $D_{\mathcal{B}}$  (viewed as a subcomplex of  $S_{\mathcal{A}}$ ) under the map  $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow S_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Since the vertex set of  $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$  (which is the Artin complex of  $A_n$ ) with the induced order is a poset, so is the vertex set of  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{B}}$ .

As each vertex of  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{B}}$  is lower bounded by a vertex of type  $\hat{s}_1$  and upper bounded by a vertex of type  $\hat{s}_n$ , it suffices to verify Theorem 3.27 (3) for type  $\hat{s}_1$  vertices and Theorem 3.27 (4) for type  $\hat{s}_n$  vertices. Take a vertex  $x$  of  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{B}}$  of type  $s_1$ . Then  $x$  maps to  $\bar{x} = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$  under  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow D_{\mathcal{B}}$ . Note that the local arrangement of  $\mathcal{B}$  at  $\bar{c}$  (as defined in Section 2.4) is an arrangement of type  $A_{n-1}$ . By Lemma 5.5 below and Lemma 2.12,  $\text{lk}(x, \mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{B}})$  is type isomorphic to an Artin complex of type  $B_{n-1}$ , and we are done by Theorem 3.22. The verification of Theorem 3.27 (4) for type  $\hat{s}_n$  vertices is similar.  $\square$

Now we consider the Artin group of type  $B_n$  with consecutive vertices in the Dynkin diagram being  $\{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$  and the edge between  $s_{n-1}$  and  $s_n$  is labeled by 4. Consider the reflection arrangement  $\mathcal{A}'$  of type  $B_n$  made of the following hyperplanes:  $x_i = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $x_i \pm x_j = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ . Then vertices of the complexes  $S_{\mathcal{A}'}$  and  $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}'}$  (cf. Section 2.4) are labeled by  $\hat{s}_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

**Lemma 5.5.** *Let  $S'_{\mathcal{A}}$  be the subcomplex of  $S_{\mathcal{A}}$  made of points with non-negative coordinates. We assume the vertex of  $S'_{\mathcal{A}}$  in the first octant corresponding to the line  $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n$  is of type  $\hat{s}_n$ . Let  $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}$  be the inverse image of  $S'_{\mathcal{A}}$  under the map  $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow S_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Then there is a simplicial isomorphism between  $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}'}$  which preserve types of vertices.*

*Proof.* For the proof, we need an alternative description of  $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}'}$ , due to Allcock [All13, Theorem 7.3]. Let  $M(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{C}^n \setminus (\cup_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H \otimes \mathbb{C})$  be the complement of complexified arrangement associated with  $\mathcal{A}$ . Similarly we define  $M(\mathcal{A}')$ . We endow  $M(\mathcal{A})$  with the Euclidean metric induced from  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , which makes  $M(\mathcal{A})$  an incomplete metric space whose metric completion (denoted  $\bar{M}(\mathcal{A})$ ) is  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $\bar{M}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{A})$  be the real part of  $\bar{M}(\mathcal{A}) \cong \mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $\widetilde{M}(\mathcal{A})$  be the universal cover of  $M(\mathcal{A})$ , with the induced length metric from  $M(\mathcal{A})$ ; and let  $\widehat{M}(\mathcal{A})$  be the metric completion of  $\widetilde{M}(\mathcal{A})$ . Note that the covering map  $\widetilde{M}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow M(\mathcal{A})$  induced a map  $p_{\mathcal{A}} : \widehat{M}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \bar{M}(\mathcal{A})$ . We view  $S_{\mathcal{A}}$  as the unit sphere in  $\bar{M}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{A})$ , hence  $S_{\mathcal{A}}$  is a subset of  $\bar{M}(\mathcal{A})$ . Then  $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is isomorphic to  $p_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(S_{\mathcal{A}})$ . A similar discussion applies if we replace  $\mathcal{A}$  by  $\mathcal{A}'$ . There is a finite sheeted covering map  $f : M(\mathcal{A}') \rightarrow M(\mathcal{A})$  induced by

$$(z_1, \dots, z_n) \rightarrow (z_1^2, \dots, z_n^2)$$

see [All02, Section 4]. Thus  $\widetilde{M}(\mathcal{A}') = \widetilde{M}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\widehat{M}(\mathcal{A}') = \widehat{M}(\mathcal{A})$ . This gives a homeomorphism  $\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{M}(\mathcal{A}') \rightarrow \widetilde{M}(\mathcal{A})$  with the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{M}(\mathcal{A}') & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{f}} & \widetilde{M}(\mathcal{A}) \\ p_{\mathcal{A}'} \downarrow & & \downarrow p_{\mathcal{A}} \\ M(\mathcal{A}') & \xrightarrow{f} & M(\mathcal{A}) \end{array}$$

As there is  $L > 0$  such that each point in  $M(\mathcal{A}')$  has an open neighborhood where  $f$  restricts to a  $L$ -biLipschitz map, thus  $\widetilde{f}$  is a biLipschitz homeomorphism, hence it extends to a biLipschitz homeomorphism  $\widehat{f} : \widehat{M}(\mathcal{A}') \rightarrow \widehat{M}(\mathcal{A})$  which fits into the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{M}(\mathcal{A}') & \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} & \widehat{M}(\mathcal{A}) \\ p_{\mathcal{A}'} \downarrow & & \downarrow p_{\mathcal{A}} \\ \bar{M}(\mathcal{A}') & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & \bar{M}(\mathcal{A}) \end{array}$$

Let  $K = \bar{f}(S_{\mathcal{A}'})$ . As each point in  $K$  has non-negative real coordinates,  $S_{\mathcal{A}'} = \bar{f}^{-1}(K)$ . Then the above commutative diagram implies that  $\widehat{f}$  induces a homeomorphism  $p_{\mathcal{A}'}^{-1}(S_{\mathcal{A}'}) \rightarrow p_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(K)$ . Now we consider the map  $\alpha : \bar{M}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{A}) - \{0\} \rightarrow S_{\mathcal{A}}$  by sending  $x$  to  $\frac{x}{\|x\|}$ . Note that  $\alpha$  induces a homeomorphism  $\alpha|_K : K \rightarrow S_{\mathcal{A}}$ , which gives a homeomorphism  $p_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(K) \rightarrow p_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(S_{\mathcal{A}})$ . Thus

$$\mathbb{S}\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}'} \cong p_{\mathcal{A}'}^{-1}(S_{\mathcal{A}'}) \cong p_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(K) \cong p_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(S_{\mathcal{A}}) \cong \mathbb{S}\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}}.$$

One readily verifies that the type of vertices are preserved, as  $\bar{f}$  is type-preserving.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.6.** *Suppose  $\Lambda$  is a Dynkin diagram of type  $A_n$ . Let  $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$  be a linear subgraph made of three vertices. Then  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$  is weakly flag.*

*Proof.* In the following proof, we assume  $V\Delta_\Lambda$  is endowed with the order induced from  $s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_n$ . Assume vertices of  $\Lambda'$  are  $t_1 = s_i, t_2 = s_{i+1}$  and  $t_3 = s_{i+3}$ . We will only prove upward weakly flagness here, as the proof of downward weakly flagness is almost identical. Take vertices  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^3$  in  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$  of type  $\hat{t}_1$  and  $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^3$  of type  $\hat{t}_2$ . Suppose  $y_i$  is a common upper bound for  $x_i$  and  $x_{i+1}$ . Then we need to show  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  have a common upper bound in  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$ .

Let  $\omega$  be the 6-cycle  $x_1y_1x_2y_2x_3y_3$  in  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$ . Let  $\pi : \Delta_\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\Lambda \cong S_{\mathcal{A}}$  be the map induced by quotienting  $\Delta_\Lambda$  by the action of the pure Artin group. By [Hua24, Proposition 3.1], it suffices to consider the case when  $\pi(\omega)$  is a single edge.

We can assume without loss of generality that  $\pi(\omega) \subset D_{\mathcal{B}} \subset S_{\mathcal{A}}$ . As  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{B}}$  can be identified with subcomplex of  $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}} \cong \Delta_\Lambda$  which is the inverse image of  $D_{\mathcal{B}}$  under the map  $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow S_{\mathcal{A}}$ , we know  $\omega \subset \mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{B}}$ . By Proposition 5.4 and Lemma 5.1, there is a vertex  $z \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{B}}$  which is the common upper bound for  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  in  $(V\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{B}}, \leq)$ . As  $(V\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{B}}, \leq)$  is bowtie free, we know  $y_i$  is the join of  $x_i$  and  $x_{i+1}$ . Thus  $y_i < z$  in  $(V\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{B}}, \leq)$ . Then  $z$  is of type  $s_j$  with  $j \geq i + 2$ . If  $j = i + 2$ , then  $z \in \Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$  and we are done. Now assume  $j > i + 2$ . Let  $\text{lk}^-(z, \Delta_\Lambda)$  be the full subcomplex of  $\text{lk}(z, \Delta_\Lambda)$  spanned by vertices  $< z$ . Then  $\omega \subset \text{lk}^-(z, \Delta_\Lambda)$ . Note that  $\text{lk}^-(z, \Delta_\Lambda) \cong \Delta_{\Lambda_j}$  where  $\Lambda_j$  is a Dynkin diagram of type  $A_{j-1}$ . By the same argument as before, we find  $z' \in \text{lk}^-(z, \Delta_\Lambda)$  such that  $\omega \subset \text{lk}^-(z', \Delta_\Lambda)$ . Note that  $z' < z$ . Repeating this procedure finitely many times will eventually give  $z_0 \in \Delta_\Lambda$  of type  $\hat{t}_3$  such that  $\omega \subset \text{lk}^-(z_0, \Delta_\Lambda)$ . Then  $z_0 \in \Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$ , as desired.  $\square$

The  $n = 3$  case of Theorem 5.6 was previously known [Cha04, Lemma 4.2].

By Theorem 5.6, Theorem 3.17 and [Gol23, Theorem 5.2], we have the following.

**Proposition 5.7.** *Suppose  $\Lambda$  is a Dynkin diagram of type  $A_n$ . Let  $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$  be a linear subgraph made of three vertices. Then  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$  with the induced Moussong metric from  $\Delta_\Lambda$  is CAT(1).*

## 6 Some sub-arrangements of the $H_3$ -arrangement

This section is a preparation of Section 7. More precisely, we discuss two sub-arrangements of the  $H_3$ -arrangements and prove some useful properties on the structure of their Falk complexes, which will be used in Section 7. The reader can start with Section 7, and refer back to this section if necessary.

### 6.1 Auxiliary arrangement I

Let  $W_\Gamma$  be the Coxeter group of type  $H_3$ . Suppose  $\Gamma$  has vertex set  $\{a, b, c\}$ , with  $m_{ab} = 5$ ,  $m_{bc} = 3$  and  $m_{ac} = 2$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the collection of reflection hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  arising from the canonical representation  $W_\Gamma \rightarrow GL(3, \mathbb{R})$ .

Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be the simplicial complex obtained by intersecting the unit sphere of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with elements in  $\mathcal{A}$ . In other words,  $\mathbb{C}$  is the Coxeter complex associated with  $W_\Gamma$ . Each element in  $\mathcal{A}$  gives a *wall* of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Definition 6.1** (Auxiliary sub-arrangement I). We define a sub-collection of walls in  $\mathbb{C}$  as follows. Takes three consecutive vertices  $\{\theta_i\}_{i=1}^3$  in a wall of  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_3$  are of type  $\hat{c}$ , and  $\theta_2$  is type  $\hat{b}$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the collection of walls of  $\mathbb{C}$  which passes at least one of  $\theta_1, \theta_2$  or  $\theta_3$ . See Figure 6 left for  $\mathcal{H}$ . We also think  $\mathcal{H}$  as a central arrangement in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

Let  $H \subset \mathcal{H}$  be a wall passing through  $\theta_1$ . We consider the deconing of the arrangement  $\mathcal{H}$  with respect to  $H$ . This gives arrangement  $\mathcal{H}'$  of affine hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , depicted in Figure 6 right.

Let  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{H}'}$  (resp.  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{H}}$ ) be the dual polyhedron (cf. Section 2.2) associated with  $\mathcal{H}'$  (resp.  $\mathcal{H}$ ), see Figure 7 left. Recall that there is an embedding  $i_H : \Sigma_{\mathcal{H}'} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathcal{H}}$  whose image is a maximal subcomplex of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  which is contained in one side of  $H$ .




Figure 6: Auxiliary sub-arrangement I.

Let  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{H}'}$  (resp.  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{H}}$ ) be the associated Salvetti complex. Let  $X = \Sigma_{\mathcal{H}'}$  and  $\widehat{X} = \widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{H}'}$ . Then the embedding  $i_H : \Sigma_{\mathcal{H}'} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathcal{H}}$  induces an embedding  $\hat{i}_H : \widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{H}'} \rightarrow \widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{H}}$  which is  $\pi_1$ -injective by Lemma 2.13.

We now define a collection of subcomplexes of  $X$  and  $\widehat{X}$ . Denote the four vertical walls of  $\mathcal{H}'$  by  $h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4$  (from left to right). Let  $X_i$  be the union of all closed cell of  $X$  which has non-trivial intersection with  $h_i$ . Let  $\widehat{X}_i$  be the subcomplex of  $\widehat{X}$  associated with  $X_i$ . For  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , let  $\widehat{Y}_i = \widehat{X}_i \cap \widehat{X}_{i+1}$ .

We define a family of subcomplexes  $\{X_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i \leq 4, 1 \leq j \leq 2}$  of  $X$  as follows. For  $i = 1, 3$ ,  $X_{i1}$  is the subspace in  $X_i$  colored white in Figure 7 (i.e. the hexagonal face), and  $X_{i2}$  is the subspace of  $X_i$  colored gray in Figure 7 (i.e. the union of three squares). For  $i = 2, 4$ ,  $X_{i1}$  is the subspace in  $X_i$  colored black in  $X_i$  (i.e. the square on top), and  $X_{i2}$  is the subspace in  $X_i$  colored white. Let  $\widehat{X}_{ij}$  be the subcomplex of  $\widehat{X}$  associated with  $X_{ij}$ .

Let  $E_1, E_2$  be two edges of  $X_{11}$  as in Figure 7, and let  $E_3, E_5, E_6$  be the edges of  $X_{13}$  in Figure 7. Let  $E_4$  be the edge in  $X_{12}$  in Figure 7. For  $1 \leq i \leq 6$ , let  $\widehat{E}_i$  be the associated subcomplexes of  $\widehat{X}$ .

**Lemma 6.2.** *The group  $\pi_1 \widehat{X}$  splits as a graph of groups whose underlying graph is a linear graph with 4 vertices. The vertex groups (from left to right) are  $\pi_1 \widehat{X}_1, \pi_1 \widehat{X}_2, \pi_1 \widehat{X}_3$  and  $\pi_1 \widehat{X}_4$ ; and the edge groups (from left to right) are  $\pi_1 \widehat{Y}_1, \pi_1 \widehat{Y}_2$  and  $\pi_1 \widehat{Y}_3$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show the maps  $\pi_1 \widehat{Y}_i \rightarrow \pi_1 \widehat{X}_i$  and  $\pi_1 \widehat{Y}_i \rightarrow \pi_1 \widehat{X}_{i+1}$  induced by inclusion are injective for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . We only show this for  $\pi_1 \widehat{Y}_1 \rightarrow \pi_1 \widehat{X}_1$ , as the other inclusions are similar. Note that  $\pi_1 \widehat{X}_1$  splits as amalgamation

$$\pi_1 \widehat{X}_{11} *_{\pi_1 A} \pi_1 \widehat{X}_{12}$$

where  $A = \widehat{X}_{11} \cap \widehat{X}_{12}$ , as  $\pi_1 A \rightarrow \pi_1 \widehat{X}_{11}$  is injective (because of the retraction  $\widehat{X}_{11} \rightarrow A$  as in Definition 2.9) and  $\pi_1 A \rightarrow \pi_1 \widehat{X}_{12}$  is injective (because  $\widehat{X}_{12}$  is a product of  $A$  and  $\widehat{X}_{12} \cap \widehat{Y}_1$ ). By [Ser02, Page 6, Proposition 3], the injectivity of  $\pi_1 \widehat{Y}_1 \rightarrow \pi_1 \widehat{X}_1$  would follow if we can show  $\pi_1 A \cap \pi_1(\widehat{X}_{11} \cap \widehat{Y}_1)$  is trivial in  $\pi_1 \widehat{X}_{11}$ , and  $\pi_1 A \cap \pi_1(\widehat{X}_{12} \cap \widehat{Y}_1)$  is trivial in  $\pi_1 \widehat{X}_{12}$ . The first statement follows by considering the retraction  $\widehat{X}_{11} \rightarrow A$  which maps  $\widehat{X}_{12} \cap \widehat{Y}_1$  to a single point, and the second statement follows from the product structure of  $\widehat{X}_{12}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.3.** *Let  $\widetilde{K}$  be the universal cover of  $\widehat{X}_1 \cup \widehat{X}_2$ . Let  $T_1$  be a lift of  $\widehat{X}_{ij}$  in  $\widetilde{K}$ , and let  $T_2$  be a lift  $\widehat{X}_{i'j'}$ , with  $1 \leq i, i', j, j' \leq 2$ . If  $T_1 \cap T_2 \neq \emptyset$ , then  $T_1 \cap T_2$  is connected.*

*Proof.* We assume  $\widehat{X}_{ij} = \widehat{X}_{11}$  and  $\widehat{X}_{i'j'} = \widehat{X}_{22}$ . The other cases are similar. Suppose  $T_1 \cap T_2$  is not connected. Then we take two connected components  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  of  $T_1 \cap T_2$ . Then  $S_1$  and  $S_2$





Figure 7: Dual complex.

are two different lifts of  $\widehat{X}_{11} \cap \widehat{X}_{22}$ . For  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $\widetilde{P}_i \subset T_i$  be a path from  $x_1 \in S_1$  to  $x_2 \in S_2$ . Let  $P_i \subset \widehat{X}_1 \cup \widehat{X}_2$  be the image of  $\widetilde{P}_i$  under the covering map. Then  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are homotopic rel endpoints in  $\widehat{X}_1 \cup \widehat{X}_2$ . Now consider the retraction map  $r : \widehat{X} \rightarrow \widehat{X}_{11}$  (cf. Definition 2.9) which restricts to a retraction map  $r : \widehat{X}_1 \cup \widehat{X}_2 \rightarrow \widehat{X}_{11}$ . Then  $r(P_1) = P_1$  and  $r(P_2)$  are homotopic rel endpoints in  $\widehat{X}_{11}$ . As  $r(\widehat{X}_{22}) = \widehat{X}_{11} \cap \widehat{X}_{22}$ , we know  $r(P_2) \subset \widehat{X}_{11} \cap \widehat{X}_{22}$ . Thus  $P_1$  is homotopic rel endpoints in  $\widehat{X}_{11}$  to a path in  $\widehat{X}_{11} \cap \widehat{X}_{22}$ . Hence  $S_1 = S_2$ , which is a contradiction, and the lemma is proved.  $\square$

We now define a simplex complex of group structure  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $\pi_1(\widehat{X}_1 \cup \widehat{X}_2)$  as in Figure 6.1, where the underlying complex  $U$  is a union of two triangles and all the groups over 2-faces are trivial. Local groups over vertices and edges are fundamental groups of subcomplexes of  $\widehat{X}$  as labeled in Figure 6.1. The morphisms between the local groups are induced by inclusions of the associated subcomplexes. By Lemma 2.13, all the morphisms between the local groups are injective, hence  $\mathcal{U}$  is a simple complex of groups. Moreover, it follows from Lemma 6.2 that each local group injects into  $\pi_1(\widehat{X}_1 \cup \widehat{X}_2)$ . Thus  $\mathcal{U}$  is developable with  $\pi_1(\widehat{X}_1 \cup \widehat{X}_2) = \pi_1 \mathcal{U}$ .



Let  $\mathbb{U}$  be the development complex of  $\mathcal{U}$  (cf. [BH99, Chapter II.12]). We now give an alternative description of  $\mathbb{U}$ . Let  $\widetilde{K}$  be the universal cover of  $\widehat{X}_1 \cup \widehat{X}_2$ . Vertices of  $\mathbb{U}$  are in 1-1 correspondence with lifts of  $\widehat{X}_{ij}$  in  $\widetilde{K}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq 2, 1 \leq j \leq 2$ ). These lifts are called *standard subcomplexes* of  $\widetilde{K}$ . Each vertex of  $\mathbb{U}$  has a well-defined *type*, which is one of  $\{\widehat{X}_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i \leq 2, 1 \leq j \leq 2}$ .

Two vertices of  $\mathbb{U}$  are adjacent in  $\mathbb{U}$  if their associated subcomplexes of  $\tilde{K}$  have non-empty intersection, and their types correspond to adjacent vertices in  $U$ . Three mutually adjacent vertices of  $\mathbb{U}$  form a triangle, if their associated subcomplexes have non-empty common intersection. From this description, we also see that  $\mathbb{U}$  is simply connected, as  $\tilde{K}$  is simply connected.

There is a natural action  $\pi_1(\hat{X}_1 \cup \hat{X}_2) \curvearrowright \mathbb{U}$ , with the quotient complex being  $U$ . We metrize  $U$  such that it is made of two flat right-angled isosceles triangles, with right-angles at the vertices labeled by  $\pi_1(\hat{X}_{21})$  and  $\pi_1(\hat{X}_{12})$ . This pulls back to a piecewise Euclidean metric on  $\mathbb{U}$ .

**Lemma 6.4.**  $\mathbb{U}$  is CAT(0).

*Proof.* As  $\mathbb{U}$  is simply-connected, we need to the link of each vertex is CAT(1). As  $\hat{X}_{12}$  splits as a product of  $\hat{X}_{11} \cap \hat{X}_{12}$  and  $\hat{X}_{12} \cap \hat{X}_{22}$ , we know the link of a vertex of type  $\hat{X}_{22}$  is a complete bipartite graph with each edge having length  $= \pi/2$ . Thus this link is CAT(1). Similarly the link at any vertex of type  $\hat{X}_{21}$  is CAT(1).

Now we look at a vertex  $v \in \mathbb{U}$  of type  $\hat{X}_{22}$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be its link. Let

$$D_1 = \hat{X}_{22} \cap \hat{X}_{21}, D_2 = \hat{X}_{22} \cap \hat{X}_{11} \text{ and } D_3 = \hat{X}_{22} \cap \hat{X}_{12}.$$

Then vertices of  $\Gamma$  are in one to one correspondence with lifts of  $D_i$  in  $\tilde{X}_{22}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ . Two vertices are adjacent if and only if the associated lifts have non-trivial intersection. We say a vertex of  $\Gamma$  is of type  $D_i$  if it corresponds to a lift of  $D_i$ . Each edge of  $\Gamma$  has length  $= \pi/4$ .

Take an embedded cycle in  $\Gamma$  with consecutive vertices  $\{w_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}$ . Let  $\tilde{X}_i$  be the subcomplex corresponding to  $w_i$ . Let  $\tilde{P}_i$  be an edge path from  $\tilde{X}_{i-1} \cap \tilde{X}_i$  to  $\tilde{X}_i \cap \tilde{X}_{i+1}$ . Let  $\tilde{P}$  be the loop obtained by the concatenation of  $\{\tilde{P}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ , which projects to a loop  $P = P_1 P_2 \cdots P_n$  in  $\hat{X}_{22}$  which is null-homotopic in  $\hat{X}_{22}$ . We can assume  $P$  does not backtrack locally. Given a hyperplane  $H \in \mathcal{H}'$  as in Definition 6.7, an  $H$ -segment of  $P$  is a maximal subpath of  $Q \subset P$  such that the image of  $Q$  under the projection to the dual polyhedron  $\hat{X} \rightarrow X$  is a single edge dual to  $H$ . By [Fal95, Lemma 3.6], for each hyperplane  $H$  of  $\mathcal{H}'$  dual to  $X_{22}$ ,  $P$  contains at least two disjoint  $H$ -segments.

It follows that  $\{w_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}$  contains at least two different members of type  $D_1$ , two different members of type  $D_2$  and one member of type  $D_3$ . As each edge of  $\Gamma$  has length  $\pi/4$  and  $\Gamma$  is bipartite, the only possibility of such cycle having length  $< 2\pi$  is the case of the cycle has 6 vertices, with  $w_1, w_5$  being of type  $D_1$ ,  $w_2, w_4, w_6$  being of type  $D_2$  and  $w_3$  being of type  $D_3$ . In this case  $P_1, P_5$  are loops based at  $D_1 \cap D_2$ ,  $P_3$  is a loop based at  $D_2 \cap D_3$  and  $P_6$  is a loop based at  $D_1 \cap D_2$ .

By consider the image of  $P$  under the retraction (cf. Definition 2.9)  $\Pi_{D_1} : \hat{X}_{22} \rightarrow D_1$ , we know the concatenation  $P_1 P_5$  is null-homotopic in  $D_1$ . Thus we can assume  $P_5 = P_1^{-1}$  (i.e.  $P_5$  is the inverse path of  $P_1$ ). As  $P$  is null-homotopic in  $\hat{X}_{22}$ , we know  $[P_2 P_3 P_4] = [P_1^{-1} P_6^{-1} P_1]$  represents the same element in  $\pi_1(\hat{X}_{22}, x_0)$  where  $x_0 = D_1 \cap D_2$  (we use  $[\cdots]$  to denotes homotopy class of paths in  $\hat{X}_{22}$  rel end points). By Lemma 2.13,  $[P_1] = [QR]$  where  $Q, R$  are loops,  $Q \subset D_2 \cup D_3$  and  $[R]$  is in the center of  $\pi_1(\hat{X}_{22}, x_0)$ . Thus  $[P_1^{-1} P_6^{-1} P_1] = [Q^{-1} P_6^{-1} Q]$ .

We decompose  $Q$  into subsegments alternating between  $D_2$  and  $D_3$  as  $Q = Q_1 Q_2 \cdots Q_n$  such that each  $Q_i$  is a maximal sub-segment of  $Q$  in  $D_2$  or  $D_3$ . We can assume each  $Q_i$  is either a homotopically non-trivial loop in the associated  $D_2$  or  $D_3$ , or a path with two different endpoints. As  $Q$  is a loop, we know that  $n$  is always an odd number. Moreover  $n \geq 3$  as  $Q$  is not homotopic rel endpoints in  $\hat{X}_{22}$  to a loop contained in  $D_2$  - otherwise  $P_1$  and  $P_6$  give commuting elements in  $\pi_1(\hat{X}_{22})$ , which is a contradiction as Lemma 2.13 implies that  $P_1$  and  $P_6$  generate a free group in  $\pi_1(\hat{X}_{22})$ .

Now we can write

$$[Q_n^{-1} Q_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots Q_1^{-1} P_6^{-1} Q_1 \cdots Q_n] = [P_2 P_3 P_4].$$

By Lemma 2.13,

$$Q_n^{-1} Q_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots Q_1^{-1} P_6^{-1} Q_1^{-1} \cdots Q_n \text{ and } P_2 P_3 P_4$$

represent the same element in  $\pi_1(D_2 \cup D_3)$ . As  $n \geq 3$ , we know

$$Q_n^{-1}Q_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots Q_1^{-1}P_6^{-1}Q_1 \cdots Q_n$$

is a concatenation of at least 5 sub-segments alternating in  $D_2$  and  $D_3$  such that each of them is either a homotopically non-trivial loop in the associated  $D_2$  or  $D_3$  (note that  $Q_1^{-1}P_6^{-1}Q_1$  is homotopically non-trivial in  $D_2$  as  $P_6^{-1}$  is), or a path with two different endpoints. On the other hand,  $P_2P_3P_4$  only has 3 such sub-segments.

We view  $D_2 \cup D_3$  as a graph of spaces, with the underlying graph being a single edge. The two vertex spaces are  $D_2$  and  $D_3$ , and the edge space is a single point  $D_2 \cap D_3$ . Let  $T$  be the associated Bass-Serre tree. Then  $Q_n^{-1}Q_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots Q_1P_6^{-1}Q_1 \cdots Q_n$  gives a geodesic segment in  $T$  with at least 5 vertices, while  $P_2P_3P_4$  gives a geodesic segment with 3 vertices. Thus we can not have  $[Q_n^{-1}Q_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots Q_1P_6^{-1}Q_1 \cdots Q_n] = [P_2P_3P_4]$ . It follows that  $\Gamma$  is CAT(1).

It remains to verify the link at a vertex of type  $\widehat{X}_{11}$ . Each edge in the link again has length  $= \pi/4$ . The girth of the link is  $\geq 8$  by [Fal95, Lemma 3.6] and the argument as before.  $\square$

Let  $\widehat{Z} = \widehat{X}_{11} \cup \widehat{X}_{22} \cup \widehat{X}_{21}$ . Then there is an embedding  $\widehat{Z} \rightarrow \widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}$  defined as follows. Consider  $\widehat{Z} \subset \widehat{X} = \widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{H}'} \rightarrow \widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{H}}$  where the second map is the map  $\hat{i}_H$  after Definition 6.1. Let  $c : \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathcal{H}}$  and  $\hat{c} : \widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{H}}$  be as in Section 2.5. Note that there is a unique face  $F$  of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  such that  $c(F) = i_H(X_{11})$ . Moreover, the collection of elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  dual to  $F$  is identical to the collection of elements of  $\mathcal{H}$  dual to  $i_H(X_{11})$ . Thus  $c$  maps  $F$  homeomorphically to  $i_H(X_{11})$ , and consequently  $\hat{c}$  map  $\widehat{F}$  homeomorphically to  $\hat{i}_H(\widehat{X}_{11})$ . Similarly discussion applies to  $\hat{i}_H(\widehat{X}_{22})$  and  $\hat{i}_H(\widehat{X}_{21})$ , which gives a lift  $\hat{i}_H(\widehat{Z})$  to a subcomplex of  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Let  $i : \widehat{Z} \rightarrow \widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}$  be such embedding.

**Lemma 6.5.** *Let  $P \subset \widehat{Z}$  be a loop. If  $i(P)$  is null-homotopic in  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}$ , then  $P$  is null-homotopic in  $\widehat{X}_1 \cup \widehat{X}_2$ .*

*Proof.* Note that if  $i(P)$  is null-homotopic in  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}$ , then  $\hat{c} \circ i(P) = \hat{i}_H(P)$  is null-homotopic in  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{H}}$ . Thus  $P$  is null-homotopic in  $\widehat{X} = \widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{H}'}$  by Lemma 2.13. Now Lemma 6.2 implies  $P$  is null-homotopic in  $\widehat{X}_1 \cup \widehat{X}_2$ .  $\square$

We end this section by recording the following lemma for later use. For three points  $x, y, z$  in a CAT(0) space, we use  $\angle_y(x, z)$  to denote the Alexandrov between the geodesic segments  $\overline{yx}$  and  $\overline{yz}$  (cf. [BH99, Chapter II.3.1]).

**Lemma 6.6.** *Let  $\widetilde{K}$  be the universal cover of  $\widehat{X}_1 \cup \widehat{X}_2$ . Let  $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^3$  be three consecutive vertices in  $\mathbb{U}$ . Let  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^3$  be the associated standard subcomplexes in  $\widetilde{K}$ . We assume one of the following situations holds:*

1.  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  are of type  $\widehat{X}_{12}, \widehat{X}_{11}, \widehat{X}_{12}$  respectively and there is a path from  $T_1 \cap T_2$  to  $T_3 \cap T_2$  which projects to a homotopically nontrivial loop in  $\widehat{X}_{11} \cap \widehat{X}_{21}$  or  $\widehat{E}_1$ ;
2.  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  are of type  $\widehat{X}_{21}, \widehat{X}_{22}, \widehat{X}_{21}$  respectively and there is a path from  $T_1 \cap T_2$  to  $T_3 \cap T_2$  which projects to a homotopically nontrivial loop in  $\widehat{E}_6$ ;
3.  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  are of type  $\widehat{X}_{22}, \widehat{X}_{11}, \widehat{X}_{22}$  or type  $\widehat{X}_{21}, \widehat{X}_{11}, \widehat{X}_{21}$  respectively and there is a path from  $T_1 \cap T_2$  to  $T_3 \cap T_2$  which projects to a homotopically nontrivial loop in  $\widehat{E}_2$ .

Then  $\angle_{z_2}(z_1, z_3) = \pi$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{z}_i$  be the projection of  $z_i$  under the map  $p : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow U$  induced by the action of  $\pi_1(\widehat{X}_1 \cup \widehat{X}_2)$ . We view  $z_1$  and  $z_3$  as vertices in the link  $\text{lk}(z_2, \mathbb{U})$  of  $z$  in  $\mathbb{U}$ . Then any path in  $\text{lk}(z_2, \mathbb{U})$  joining  $z_1$  and  $z_3$  projects to path in  $\text{lk}(\bar{z}_2, U)$  joining  $\bar{z}_1$  and  $\bar{z}_3$ . For (1), note that  $\bar{z}_1 = \bar{z}_3$ , thus  $\angle_{z_2}(z_1, z_3)$  is a multiple of  $\pi/2$ . Now look at the  $\widehat{E}_1$  case of (1). If  $\angle_{z_2}(z_1, z_3) = \pi/2$ , then there is a vertex  $z \in \mathbb{U}$  such that it is adjacent to  $z_1, z_2, z_3$ . Let  $T$  be the subcomplex of  $\widetilde{K}$

associated with  $z$ . Note that  $z$  has type  $\widehat{X}_{22}$ . As  $z_1, z_2, z$  span a triangle, then  $T_1 \cap T_2 \cap T \neq \emptyset$ . Hence this triple intersection is a vertex, which we denoted by  $x_1$ . Similarly, let  $x_2 = T_2 \cap T \cap T_3$ .

Let  $\widetilde{P}$  be the path from a point in  $y_1 \in T_1 \cap T_2$  to a point in  $y_2 \in T_2 \cap T_3$  as in (1). Let  $\widetilde{P}'$  be the concatenation of a path  $\widetilde{P}'_1 \subset T_1 \cap T_2$  from  $y_1$  to  $x_1$ , a path  $\widetilde{P}'_2 \subset T_2 \cap T$  from  $x_1$  to  $x_2$  and a path  $\widetilde{P}'_3 \subset T_2 \cap T_3$  from  $x_2$  to  $y_2$ . These paths exist by Lemma 6.3. Let  $P$  and  $P'$  be the image of  $\widetilde{P}$  and  $\widetilde{P}'$  in  $\widehat{X}_1 \cup \widehat{X}_2$  under the covering map. Then  $P \subset \widehat{E}_1$  and  $P' \subset (\widehat{X}_{11} \cap \widehat{X}_{12}) \cup (\widehat{X}_{11} \cap \widehat{X}_{22})$ . Now we consider the retraction  $r : \widehat{X} \rightarrow \widehat{E}$  as in Definition 2.9 which restricts to  $r : \widehat{X}_{11} \rightarrow \widehat{E}_1$ . As  $P$  and  $P'$  are homotopic rel endpoints in  $\widehat{X}_1 \cup \widehat{X}_2$ , we know  $r(P) = P$  and  $r(P')$  are homotopic rel endpoints in  $\widehat{E}_1$ . However, this is a contradiction as  $r(P')$  is a point. This finishes the proof of the  $\widehat{E}_1$  case of (1). The  $\widehat{X}_{11} \cap \widehat{X}_{21}$  case of (1), as well as (2) and (3) follows from a similar argument.  $\square$

## 6.2 Auxiliary arrangement II

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  be as before, defined from the Coxeter group of type  $H_3$ .

**Definition 6.7** (Auxiliary sub-arrangement II). We define a sub-collection of walls in  $\mathcal{C}$  as follows. Takes four consecutive vertices  $\{\theta_i\}_{i=1}^4$  in a wall of  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $\theta_1$  is of type  $\hat{c}$ ,  $\theta_2, \theta_4$  are of type  $\hat{a}$  and  $\theta_3$  is of type  $\hat{b}$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the collection of walls of  $\mathcal{C}$  which passes at least one of  $\{\theta_i\}_{i=1}^4$ . See Figure 8 left for  $\mathcal{K}$ . We also think  $\mathcal{K}$  as a central arrangement in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

Let  $H \subset \mathcal{K}$  be the wall passing through  $\theta_1$  as in the boundary circle of Figure 8 left. We consider the deconing of the arrangement  $\mathcal{K}$  with respect to  $H$ . This gives us a planar arrangement  $\mathcal{K}'$ , depicted in Figure 8 right.




Figure 8: Auxiliary sub-arrangement II.

Let  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{K}'}$  (resp.  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{K}}$ ) be the cell complex dual to  $\mathcal{K}'$  (resp.  $\mathcal{K}$ ), see Figure 7 left. Let  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{K}'}$  (resp.  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{K}}$ ) be the associated Salvetti complex. Let  $\mathbf{X} = \Sigma_{\mathcal{K}'}$  and  $\widehat{\mathbf{X}} = \widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{K}'}$ . We now define a collection of subcomplexes of  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\widehat{\mathbf{X}}$ .

We refer to Figure 9. Denote the four vertical walls of  $\mathcal{K}'$  by  $h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4$  (from left to right). Let  $\mathbf{X}_i$  be the union of all closed cell of  $\mathbf{X}$  which has non-trivial intersection with  $h_i$ . Let  $\mathbf{X}_{31}$  be the hexagonal face in the top of  $\mathbf{X}_3$ . We also define  $\mathbf{X}_{22}, \mathbf{X}_{33}$  and  $\mathbf{X}_{42}$  be the face as in Figure 9.

Let  $\mathbf{Y}$  be the union of  $\mathbf{X}_{31}$  together with three square faces sharing an edge with  $\mathbf{X}_{31}$  (see the shaded part in Figure 9). Define  $\mathbf{X}' = \cup_{i=2}^4 \mathbf{X}_i$ . Let  $\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_i, \widehat{\mathbf{X}}_{31}, \widehat{\mathbf{Y}}, \widehat{\mathbf{X}'}$  be the associated subcomplex of  $\widehat{\mathbf{X}}$ . Note that there is an embedding  $\mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  by first embedding  $\mathbf{Y} \subset \mathbf{X}$  into  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{K}}$  as a maximal subcomplex in one side of  $H \subset \mathcal{K}$ , and lifting the image of  $\mathbf{Y}$  in  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{K}}$  with respect to  $c : \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathcal{K}}$  in the same way as in Section 6.1. Note that the face  $\mathbf{X}_{31}$  is sent to the face of  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{K}}$  dual to  $\theta_2$ . This induces an embedding  $i : \widehat{\mathbf{Y}} \rightarrow \widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}$ .

**Lemma 6.8.** *The map  $\widehat{X}'$  to  $\widehat{X}$  is  $\pi_1$ -injective.*

This follows from the analogue of Lemma 6.2 in the setting of  $\pi_1\widehat{X}$ , which can be proved by a similar argument as Lemma 6.2.

**Lemma 6.9.** *Let  $\omega$  be a loop in  $\widehat{Y}$ . If  $i(\omega)$  is null-homotopic in  $\widehat{\Sigma}_A$ , then  $\omega$  is null-homotopic in  $\widehat{X}'$ .*

The proof of this lemma is almost identical to Lemma 6.5, using Lemma 6.8.




Figure 9: Dual complex.

Let  $W_{234}$  be the Coxeter group of type  $B_3$ , and let  $\mathcal{A}_{234}$  be the arrangement of reflection hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  coming from the canonical representation of  $W_{234}$ . Namely  $\mathcal{A}_{234}$  is made of the following hyperplanes:  $x_i = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ , and  $x_i \pm x_j = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3$ . Let  $\Sigma_{234}$  and  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{234}$  be the associated dual polyhedron and Salvetti complex. Note that there is an embedding  $e : X' \rightarrow \Sigma_{234}$  whose image is the maximal subcomplex of  $\Sigma_{234}$  in one side of the hyperplane  $x_1 = 0$  of  $\mathcal{A}_{234}$ . Thus there is an induced embedding  $\hat{e} : \widehat{X}' \rightarrow \widehat{\Sigma}_{234}$  be the associated embedding.

## 7 One side flagness in $H_3$

The main goal of this section is to prove the following.

**Theorem 7.1.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be the Dynkin diagram of type  $H_3$  with vertex set  $\{a, b, c\}$  such that  $m_{ab} = 5$ ,  $m_{bc} = 3$ . Let  $\Delta$  be the Artin complex of the Artin group  $A_\Lambda$  with the order on its vertex induced from  $a < b < c$  (see Definition 3.12). Then  $\Delta$  is downward flag.*

*Proof.* For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ , let  $x_i$  be a lower bound of  $y_i$  and  $y_{i+1}$ . We assume  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  are pairwise distinct, otherwise the theorem is clear. If one of  $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$ , say  $y_1$ , is of type  $\hat{a}$ , then we must have  $y_1 \leq y_2$  and  $y_1 \leq y_3$ , and the theorem is clear. So we assume none of  $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$  is of type  $\hat{a}$ .

If each of  $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$  is of type  $\hat{c}$ , then we can each pair of them has a lower bound which is a type  $\hat{a}$  vertex (if a pair has a type  $\hat{b}$  vertex as lower bound, then we can always find a type  $\hat{a}$  vertex which is  $\leq$  any given type  $\hat{b}$  vertex). Then the theorem follows immediately from Lemma 7.2 below.

If exactly two of  $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$  is of type  $\hat{c}$ . We assume without loss of generality that  $y_1$  is of type  $\hat{b}$ . As before, we assume each  $x_i$  is of type  $\hat{a}$ . Let  $y'_1$  be a vertex of type  $\hat{c}$  such that  $y_1 \leq y'_1$ . Lemma 7.2 gives a vertex  $s$  which is a common lower bound of  $\{y'_1, y_2, y_3\}$ . We can assume  $s$  is of type  $\hat{a}$ . If  $s$  is one of  $x_1, x_3$ , then  $s$  is common lower bound of  $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$ . It remains to consider the case  $s \neq x_1$  and  $s \neq x_3$ . Note that  $y'_1, s, y_3, x_3$  form a 4-cycle in  $\widehat{\Sigma}$  made of type  $\hat{a}$  and type  $\hat{c}$  vertices. By Theorem 3.17, there exists a type  $\hat{b}$  vertex  $z_3$  such that  $z_3$  is adjacent to

each of  $\{y'_1, s, y_3, x_3\}$ . Similarly, there exists a type  $\hat{b}$  vertex  $z_1$  such that  $z_1$  is adjacent to each of  $\{x_1, y_2, s, y'_1\}$ . Now we consider the 6-cycle

$$x_3 \rightarrow z_3 \rightarrow s \rightarrow z_1 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow x_3.$$

Each vertex in this 6-cycle is adjacent to  $y'_1$ . However, as  $y'_1$  is of type  $\hat{c}$ , we know from [Cri05, Lemma 39] that the girth of  $\text{Lk}(y'_1, \Delta)$  is 10. Thus the image of this 6-cycle in  $\text{Lk}(y'_1, \Delta)$  is a tree. On the other hand, as we assume  $\{x_1, s, x_3\}$  is pairwise distinct, the only possibility  $z_1 = z_3 = y_1$ . Thus  $s$  is adjacent to  $y_1$ , hence  $s \leq y_1$  and  $s$  is a lower bound for  $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$ .

If exactly one of  $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$  is of type  $\hat{c}$ . We assume without loss of generality that  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are of type  $\hat{b}$ . As before, we assume each  $x_i$  is of type  $\hat{a}$ . For  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $y'_i$  be a vertex of type  $\hat{c}$  such that  $y_i \leq y'_i$ . Lemma 7.2 gives a vertex  $s$  which is a common lower bound of  $\{y'_1, y'_2, y_3\}$ . We can assume  $s$  is of type  $\hat{a}$ . If  $s = x_1$ , then  $s$  is common lower bound of  $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$ . If  $s \neq x_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ , then the argument in the previous paragraph implies that  $s$  is adjacent to both  $y_1$  and  $y_2$ , hence  $s$  is a lower bound for  $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$ . It remains to consider the case  $s \neq x_1, x_2$  but  $s = x_3$ , and the case  $s \neq x_1, x_3$  but  $s = x_2$ . This two cases are symmetric, so we only look at the case  $s \neq x_1, x_2$  but  $s = x_3$ . By the argument in the previous paragraph,  $s$  is adjacent to  $y_2$ , so  $s \leq y_2$ . As  $x_3 \leq y_1$ , we know  $s \leq y_1$ . Thus  $s$  is common lower bound of  $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$ .

If each of  $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$  is of type  $\hat{b}$ . Let  $y'_i$  be a vertex of type  $\hat{c}$  such that  $y_i \leq y'_i$ . Lemma 7.2 gives a vertex  $s$  which is a common lower bound of  $\{y'_1, y'_2, y'_3\}$ . We can assume  $s$  is of type  $\hat{a}$ . If  $s \notin \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ , as  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  is pairwise distinct, then the previous argument implies that  $s$  is adjacent to each of  $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$ , hence  $s$  is a lower bound for them. If  $s \in \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ , then we assume without loss of generality that  $s = x_3$ . As  $x_3$  is a lower bound for  $y_3$  and  $y_1$ , we know  $s \leq y_1$  and  $s \leq y_3$ . As  $s \neq x_1$  and  $s \neq x_2$ , the previous argument implies that  $s$  is adjacent to  $y_2$ , hence  $s \leq y_2$ . Thus  $s$  is a lower bound for  $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 7.2.** *Let  $\Delta$  be as in Theorem 7.1. Take vertices  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\} \in \Delta$  of type  $\hat{a}$  and  $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\} \in \Delta$  of type  $\hat{c}$  such that  $x_i \leq y_i$  and  $x_{i+1} \leq y_i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ . We assume the edge loop in  $\Delta$  formed by  $\omega = y_1x_1y_2x_2y_3x_3y_1$  is a local embedding. Then there is a vertex  $s \in \Delta$  such that  $s$  is adjacent to each of  $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$ .*

*Proof.* From Definition 3.6, the 6-cycle  $\omega$  gives a word

$$w = w_{ab}w_{bc}w'_{ab}w'_{bc}w''_{ab}w''_{bc},$$

where the subword  $w_{ab}$  is a word only using  $a$  and  $b$  (similar constraints applies to other subwords of  $w$ ). Moreover,  $w$  represents the trivial element in  $A_\Lambda$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be the polyhedron dual to the reflection arrangement of type  $H_3$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , and  $\hat{\Sigma}$  be the associated Salvetti complex. This word gives a null-homotopic path in  $\hat{\Sigma}$  as follows:

$$P = P_{ab}P_{bc}P'_{ab}P'_{bc}P''_{ab}P''_{bc}.$$

We denote the sub-segments of this path by  $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^6$ . Let  $\mathbf{C}$  be the Coxeter complex of type  $H_3$ . Let  $\pi : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be the map induced by the action of the pure Artin group on  $\Delta$ .

Case 1: the  $\pi$ -image of the 6-cycle  $\omega$  is a single edge  $\bar{x}\bar{y}$  in  $\mathbf{C}$ . Let  $C_{\bar{x}}$  (resp.  $C_{\bar{y}}$ ) be the 2-cell in  $\Sigma$  dual to  $\bar{x}$  (resp.  $\bar{y}$ ). Let  $\hat{C}_{\bar{x}}$  and  $\hat{C}_{\bar{y}}$  be the associated standard subcomplexes of  $\hat{\Sigma}$ . Up to replacing  $w = \prod_{i=1}^6 w_i$  by an equivalent word (in the sense of Definition 3.6), we can assume  $P_i$  is a loop in  $\hat{C}_{\bar{x}}$  (resp.  $\hat{C}_{\bar{y}}$ ) for  $i$  odd (resp. for  $i$  even). Let  $\hat{Z}$  be the complex defined before Lemma 6.5. Recall from Section 6.1 that there is an embedding  $\hat{Z} \rightarrow \hat{\Sigma}$ . Thus we can also view  $\hat{Z}$  as a subcomplex of  $\hat{\Sigma}$ . Recall that edges of  $\hat{\Sigma}$  are oriented and labeled by  $\{a, b, c\}$  (Definition 2.11), this also gives label and orientation of edges in  $\hat{Z}$  via the inclusion of  $\hat{Z}$  into  $\hat{\Sigma}$ . Up to a symmetry of  $\hat{\Sigma}$ , we can assume

$$P \subset \hat{C}_{\bar{x}} \cup \hat{C}_{\bar{y}} \subset \hat{Z}.$$

Moreover, we can assume  $\hat{C}_{\bar{x}} = \hat{X}_{11}$  and  $\hat{C}_{\bar{y}} = \hat{X}_{22}$ . As we are also viewing  $\hat{Z}$  as a subcomplex of  $\hat{X}_1 \cup \hat{X}_2$ , by Lemma 6.5,  $P$  is null-homotopic in  $\hat{X}_1 \cup \hat{X}_2$ . Assume

$$P_1, P_3, P_5 \subset \widehat{X}_{22} \text{ and } P_2, P_4, P_6 \subset \widehat{X}_{11}.$$

As  $P = \cup_{i=1}^6 P_i$  is null-homotopic in  $X$ , it lifts to a loop  $\widetilde{P} = \cup_{i=1}^6 \widetilde{P}_i$  in the universal cover  $\widetilde{X}$  of  $\widehat{X}_1 \cup \widehat{X}_2$ . A *standard subcomplex* of  $\widehat{X}_1 \cup \widehat{X}_2$  is an intersection of  $\{\widehat{X}_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2}$ . A *standard subcomplex* of  $\widetilde{X}$  (of type  $\widehat{X}_{ij}$ ) is a lift of  $\widehat{X}_{ij}$  in  $\widetilde{X}$ .

Let  $\mathbb{U}$  be the development of the complex of group  $\mathcal{U}$ , endowed with the CAT(0) metric as in Section 6.1. For a vertex  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ , denote the subcomplex of  $\widetilde{X}$  associated with  $z$  by  $\widetilde{X}_z$ . The loop  $\widetilde{P}$  gives a loop  $\omega_{\mathbb{U}}$  with consecutive vertices denoted by  $\{z_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}}$  in  $\mathbb{U}$  where  $\widetilde{X}_{z_1}, \widetilde{X}_{z_3}, \widetilde{X}_{z_5}$  (resp.  $\widetilde{X}_{z_2}, \widetilde{X}_{z_4}, \widetilde{X}_{z_6}$ ) correspond to the lifts of  $\widehat{X}_{22}$  (resp.  $\widehat{X}_{11}$ ) in  $\widetilde{X}$  that contain  $\widetilde{P}_1, \widetilde{P}_3$  and  $\widetilde{P}_5$  respectively (resp.  $\widetilde{P}_2, \widetilde{P}_4$  and  $\widetilde{P}_6$ ).

Let  $\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$  be a minimal area singular disk diagram (cf. Section 2.8) for the loop  $\omega_{\mathbb{U}}$ . We will slightly abuse notation and use  $z_i$  to denote the point in the boundary cycle of  $\mathbb{D}$  mapping to  $z_i \in \mathbb{U}$ . The metric on  $\mathbb{U}$  induces a metric on  $\mathbb{D}$ , which is CAT(0). For three points  $x, y, z$  in a CAT(0) space, we use  $\angle_y(x, z)$  to denote the Alexandrov between the geodesic segments  $\overline{yx}$  and  $\overline{yz}$  (cf. [BH99, Chapter II.3.1]).

In  $\mathbb{U}$  we have  $\angle_{z_i}(z_{i-1}, z_{i+1}) \geq \pi/2$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ . This is because that  $\omega$  is a local embedding, hence  $\omega_{\mathbb{U}}$  is a local embedding. Thus

$$\angle_{z_i}(z_{i-1}, z_{i+1}) \text{ is a multiple of } \pi/2 \text{ in } \mathbb{D}.$$

For each vertex  $z_i$  on the boundary cycle  $\omega_{\mathbb{U}}$  of  $\mathbb{D}$ , the *interval angle* at  $z_i$  is the quantity  $\alpha(z_i)$  defined in Section 2.8. By the combinatorial Gauss-Bonnet formula in Section 2.8, least four internal angles of  $\omega_{\mathbb{U}}$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  is  $\pi/2$ .

If at least five internal angles of  $\omega_{\mathbb{U}}$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  is  $\pi/2$ , then  $\mathbb{D}$  has exactly one interior vertex of type  $\widehat{X}_{12}$  or  $\widehat{X}_{21}$ . We only discuss the case where the internal vertex is of type  $\widehat{X}_{12}$ , as the other case is similar and easier. Let  $T \subset \widetilde{X}$  be the lift of  $\widehat{X}_{12}$  corresponding to this internal vertex. Then each  $\widetilde{X}_{z_i}$  has non-empty intersection with  $T$ . For each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ , let  $t_i$  be the terminal point of  $\widetilde{P}_i$  (which is the starting point of  $\widetilde{P}_{i+1}$ ) and let

$$t'_i = \widetilde{X}_{z_i} \cap \widetilde{X}_{z_{i+1}} \cap T.$$

Such triple intersection is nonempty because they corresponds to three vertices of 2-face in  $\mathbb{U}$ . Set  $\widetilde{Q}_i$  to be an edge path in  $T \cap \widetilde{X}_{z_i}$  from  $t_{i-1}$  to  $t_i$ . Let  $\Theta_i$  be a path in  $\widetilde{X}_{z_i} \cap \widetilde{X}_{z_{i+1}}$  from  $t_i$  to  $t'_i$ . Note that  $\widetilde{Q}_i$  and  $\Theta_i$  exist by Lemma 6.3. Then the loop

$$(\Theta_6^{-1} \widetilde{P}_1 \Theta_1)(\Theta_1^{-1} \widetilde{P}_2 \Theta_2) \cdots (\Theta_5^{-1} \widetilde{P}_6 \Theta_6)$$

gives a word which is equivalent to  $w$ . As  $\Theta_{i-1}^{-1} \widetilde{P}_i \Theta_i$  is homotopic to  $\widetilde{Q}_i$  rel endpoints in  $\widetilde{X}_{z_i}$ , we know the word traced out by  $\Theta_{i-1}^{-1} \widetilde{P}_i \Theta_i$  and the word traced out by  $\widetilde{Q}_i$  represent the same element in the group  $A_{ab}$  (i.e. the subgroup of  $A$  generated by  $a$  and  $b$ ) or  $A_{bc}$ . Thus we assume instead that  $\widetilde{Q}_i$  traces out the word  $w_i$ , and replace  $\widetilde{P}$  by  $\widetilde{Q}$ , which is the concatenation of all the  $\widetilde{Q}_i$ . As  $\widetilde{Q}_i \subset T \cap \widetilde{X}_{z_i}$  and by Lemma 6.3,  $T \cap \widetilde{X}_{z_i}$  is a lift of  $\widehat{X}_{12} \cap \widehat{X}_{11}$  or  $\widehat{X}_{12} \cap \widehat{X}_{22}$ , we deduce that  $w_i \in A_{ab}$  for  $i$  odd, and  $w_i$  is a power of  $c$  for  $i$  even. Moreover,  $w$  still represents the trivial element in  $A_{\Lambda}$ .

The new loop  $\widetilde{Q}$  has the advantage that its projection  $Q$  to  $\widehat{Z}$  lies inside a smaller subcomplex, i.e.  $\widetilde{Q} \subset \widehat{X}_{12} \cap (\widehat{X}_{11} \cup \widehat{X}_{22})$ . By construction,  $Q \subset \widehat{Z}$  starts and ends at  $\widehat{X}_{11} \cap \widehat{X}_{12} \cap \widehat{X}_{22}$ . Note that there is a unique loop  $R \subset \widehat{Z}$  starting and ending at  $\widehat{X}_{11} \cap \widehat{X}_{21} \cap \widehat{X}_{22}$  such that  $R$  traces out the same word as  $Q$ . As  $w$  represents the trivial element in  $A_{\Lambda}$ , Lemma 6.5 implies that  $R$  is null-homotopic in  $\widehat{X}_1 \cup \widehat{X}_2$ . Thus we will work with  $R$  instead of  $Q$  now. Note that  $R_i \subset \widehat{X}_{22}$  for  $i = 1, 3, 5$  and  $R_i \subset \widehat{X}_{11} \cap \widehat{X}_{21}$  for  $i = 2, 4, 6$ .

We lift  $R$  to be a loop  $\widetilde{R} \subset \widetilde{X}$ . Let  $T'_i$  be the standard subcomplex of type  $\widehat{X}_{22}$  (resp.  $\widehat{X}_{21}$ ) containing  $\widetilde{R}_i$  for  $i = 1, 3, 5$  (resp.  $i = 2, 4, 6$ ). Let  $z'_i \in \mathbb{D}$  be the vertex associated with  $T'_i$ . Then  $\{z'_i\}_{i=1}^6$  forms consecutive vertices in a cycle  $w'_{\mathbb{U}} \subset \mathbb{U}$ . As each  $w_i$  is a nonzero power of  $c$  for  $i$  even, we know for  $i$  even

$$\angle_{z'_i}(z'_{i-1}, z'_{i+1}) = \pi.$$




Figure 10: Disk diagram in Case 1.

So the  $\omega'_\mathbb{U}$  is a geodesic triangle with vertices at  $z'_1, z'_3, z'_5$  with three sides having equal length. Moreover,  $\angle_{z'_i}(z'_{i-1}, z'_{i+1})$  is a multiple of  $\pi/2$ . As  $\mathbb{U}$  is CAT(0), the only possibility is that the triangle  $\Delta(z'_1, z'_3, z'_5)$  is degenerate such that the three sides share a common midpoint, i.e.  $z'_2 = z'_4 = z'_6$ . This means  $\tilde{R}_3$  is a path in  $T'_3$  from  $T'_2 \cap T'_3$  to  $T'_4 \cap T'_3 = T'_2 \cap T'_3$ . Thus  $\tilde{R}_3$  is homotopic in  $T'_3$  to a path inside  $T'_2 \cap T'_3$ , which means  $w'_{ab}$  and  $a^k$  represent the same element in  $A_{ab}$ . The same is true for  $w_{ab}$  and  $w''_{ab}$  by a similar argument. Thus each  $w_i$  is either a power of  $a$  or a power of  $c$ , and the lemma follows.

It remains to consider the case exactly four internal angles of  $\omega_\mathbb{U}$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  is  $\pi/2$ . In this case,  $\mathbb{D}$  is a flat rectangle, cf. [BH99, Theorem II.2.11]. Thus  $\mathbb{D}$  must be as in Figure 10. Note that one of the four corners must be of type  $\hat{X}_{22}$ . Thus up to a symmetry of  $\mathbb{D}$  and a cyclic permutation of  $\{z_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}}$ , we can assume the vertices of  $\mathbb{D}$  are labeled as in Figure 10. Let  $z_7$  and  $z_8$  the two internal vertices of  $\mathbb{D}$ . Let  $\Delta, \Delta'$  and  $\{\Delta_i\}_{i=1}^6$  be the 8 triangles in Figure 10. Each of these triangles corresponds to a vertex in  $\tilde{X}$  which is the intersection of the standard subcomplexes corresponding to the three vertices of this triangle. Let  $q, q'$  and  $\{q_i\}_{i=1}^6$  be the 8 vertices of  $\tilde{X}$  corresponding these 8 triangles. By a similar argument as above, up to replacing  $w$  by an equivalent word, we can assume each  $\tilde{P}_i$  starts with  $q_i$  and ends with  $q_{i+1}$ . Note that  $q_1, q_2 \in \tilde{X}_{z_1} \cap \tilde{X}_{z_7}$ , thus  $\tilde{P}_1$  is homotopic rel its boundary points in  $\tilde{X}_{z_1}$  to a path in  $\tilde{X}_{z_1} \cap \tilde{X}_{z_7}$  by Lemma 6.3. Thus by replacing  $w_{ab}$  by another word which represents the same element in  $A_{ab}$ , we can assume  $\tilde{P}_1 \subset \tilde{X}_{z_1} \cap \tilde{X}_{z_7}$ . By a similar argument, we can assume

- $\tilde{P}_2$  (resp.  $\tilde{P}_5$ ) is a concatenation of three subpaths, the first subpath is in  $\tilde{X}_{z_2} \cap \tilde{X}_{z_7}$  (resp.  $\tilde{X}_{z_5} \cap \tilde{X}_{z_7}$ ), the second subpath is in  $\tilde{X}_{z_2} \cap \tilde{X}_{z_5}$  (resp.  $\tilde{X}_{z_5} \cap \tilde{X}_{z_2}$ ), and the third subpath is in  $\tilde{X}_{z_2} \cap \tilde{X}_{z_8}$  (resp.  $\tilde{X}_{z_5} \cap \tilde{X}_{z_8}$ );
- for  $i = 3, 4$ ,  $\tilde{P}_i \subset \tilde{X}_{z_i} \cap \tilde{X}_{z_8}$ ;
- $\tilde{P}_6 \subset \tilde{X}_{z_6} \cap \tilde{X}_{z_7}$ .

Suppose one of the internal vertices of  $\mathbb{D}$ , say  $z_8$ , is type  $\hat{X}_{21}$ . Then the above properties of  $\tilde{P}$  implies  $w_4$  is a power of  $c$  and  $w_3$  is a power of  $a$ . Thus by switching the position of  $w_4$  and  $w_3$  (this is possible as they commute), combining powers of  $c$  of  $w_4$  with  $w_2$ , and combining powers of  $a$  of  $w_2$  with  $w_5$ , we deduce that  $x_1$  and  $y_3$  are adjacent. Thus the lemma follows by taking  $s = z_1$ .

Suppose both of the internal vertices of  $\mathbb{D}$  are of type  $\hat{X}_{12}$ . Then the above properties of  $\tilde{P}$  implies

$$w_{bc} = c^{k_1} b^{k_2} c^{k_3}, w'_{bc} = c^{k_4} \text{ and } w''_{bc} = c^{k_5}.$$

Moreover,

$$P_1, P_3, P_5 \subset \hat{X}_{22}, P_2 \subset \hat{X}_{11} \cap (\hat{X}_{12} \cup \hat{X}_{22}), \text{ and } P_4, P_6 \subset \hat{X}_{11} \cap \hat{X}_{12}.$$

Also we know  $P$  is a concatenation of six loops based at  $\hat{X}_{11} \cap \hat{X}_{12} \cap \hat{X}_{22}$ . Then there is a unique loop  $\mathbf{P}$  in  $\hat{Z}$  based at  $\hat{X}_{11} \cap \hat{X}_{21} \cap \hat{X}_{22}$  such that this loop trace out exactly the same word  $w$  as  $P$ . More precisely,

$$P_1, P_3, P_5 \subset \widehat{X}_{22}, P_2 \subset \widehat{X}_{11} \cap (\widehat{X}_{21} \cup \widehat{X}_{22}), \text{ and } P_4, P_6 \subset \widehat{X}_{11} \cap \widehat{X}_{21}.$$

As  $w$  corresponds to the trivial element in  $A_\Lambda$ , we know from Lemma 6.5 that  $P$  is null-homotopic in  $\widehat{X}_1 \cup \widehat{X}_2$ . We can construct a cycle  $\omega'_\mathbb{U}$  in  $\mathbb{U}$  from  $P$  as before, with its vertices denoted by  $\{z''_i\}_{i=1}^6$ . Moreover, because of the specific form of  $w$ , we know

$$\angle_{z''_6}(z''_1, z''_5) = \angle_{z''_4}(z''_5, z''_3) = \pi/2 \text{ and } \angle_{z''_2}(z''_1, z''_3) = \pi.$$

It suffices to consider the case when  $\omega'_\mathbb{U}$  bounds a flat rectangle in  $\mathbb{U}$  as in Figure 10. If  $z_7$  is of type  $\widehat{X}_{12}$ , then by a similar argument as before, we know  $P_6$  is homotopic rel endpoints in  $\widehat{X}_{11}$  to  $\widehat{X}_{11} \cap (\widehat{X}_{12} \cup \widehat{X}_{22})$ , this contradicts that  $P_6 \subset \widehat{X}_{11} \cap \widehat{X}_{21}$ . Thus  $z_7$  is of type  $\widehat{X}_{21}$ . Similarly we know  $z_8$  is of type  $\widehat{X}_{21}$ . This reduces to one of the situations we studied before.

Case 2: the  $\pi$ -image of  $\omega$  is two edges  $\bar{x}\bar{y}$  and  $\bar{y}\bar{z}$  such that  $\bar{y}$  is of type  $\hat{a}$ . Then  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{z}$  is of type  $\hat{c}$ . Up to applying a cyclic permutation to the index  $i$  and possibly changing the role of  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{z}$ , we can assume that  $P_1, P_5$  are loops in  $\widehat{C}_{\bar{x}}$ ,  $P_2, P_4$  are paths in  $\widehat{C}_{\bar{y}}$ ,  $P_3$  is a loop in  $\widehat{C}_{\bar{z}}$  and  $P_6$  is a loop in  $\widehat{C}_{\bar{y}}$ .

Note that  $\Pi_{\widehat{C}_{\bar{z}}}(\widehat{C}_{\bar{y}})$  is a standard subcomplex of type  $b$  (see Definition 2.11) and  $\Pi_{\widehat{C}_{\bar{z}}}(\widehat{C}_{\bar{x}})$  is a standard subcomplex of type  $a$ . Thus if we consider the loop  $\Pi_{\widehat{C}_{\bar{z}}}(P)$ , then we can read of a word of form

$$a^{k_1} b^{k_2} w'_{ab} b^{k_3} a^{k_4} b^{k_5}$$

which represents the trivial element in the group. Thus

$$w'_{ab} = b^{-k_2} a^{-k_1} b^{-k_5} a^{-k_4} b^{-k_3}.$$

By combining powers of  $b$ 's at the beginning and end of  $w'_{ab}$  with  $w_{bc}$  and  $w'_{bc}$ , we can replace  $w$  by an equivalent word such that

$$w'_{ab} = a^{-k_1} b^{-k_5} a^{-k_4},$$

moreover,  $P_3$  is a loop in  $\Pi_{\widehat{C}_{\bar{z}}}(\widehat{C}_{\bar{y}}) \cup \Pi_{\widehat{C}_{\bar{z}}}(\widehat{C}_{\bar{x}})$ . Thus up to applying a symmetry of  $\widehat{\Sigma}$ , we can assume

$$P \subset \Pi_{\widehat{C}_{\bar{z}}}(\widehat{C}_{\bar{y}}) \cup \Pi_{\widehat{C}_{\bar{z}}}(\widehat{C}_{\bar{x}}) \cup \widehat{C}_{\bar{x}} \cup \widehat{C}_{\bar{y}} \subset \widehat{Z}.$$

Moreover, we can assume

$$\widehat{X}_{11} = \widehat{C}_{\bar{y}}, \widehat{X}_{22} = \widehat{C}_{\bar{x}}, \Pi_{\widehat{C}_{\bar{z}}}(\widehat{C}_{\bar{y}}) = \widehat{E}_2 \text{ and } \Pi_{\widehat{C}_{\bar{z}}}(\widehat{C}_{\bar{x}}) = \widehat{E}_4$$

(see Figure 7 for the definition of  $\widehat{E}_2$  and  $\widehat{E}_4$ ). As before, we deduce from Lemma 6.5 that  $P$  is null-homotopic in  $\widehat{X}_1 \cup \widehat{X}_2$ .

For we assume  $k_1 \neq 0, k_5 \neq 0$  and  $k_4 \neq 0$ . We produce a cycle in  $\mathbb{U}$  from  $P$  as follows. First we lift  $P$  to a loop  $\widetilde{P}$  in  $\widetilde{X}$ , which is the universal cover of  $\widehat{X}_1 \cup \widehat{X}_2$ . Let  $T_i$  be the unique standard subcomplex of type  $\widetilde{X}_{22}$  (resp.  $\widetilde{X}_{11}$ ) containing  $\widetilde{P}_i$  for  $i = 1, 5$  (resp. for  $i = 2, 4, 6$ ). Note that  $\widetilde{P}_3$  is a concatenation of three subsegments

$$\widetilde{P}_3 = \widetilde{P}_{31} \widetilde{P}_{32} \widetilde{P}_{33}$$

such that  $\widetilde{P}_{31}$  and  $\widetilde{P}_{33}$  arise from powers of  $a$ 's in  $w'_{ab}$  and  $\widetilde{P}_{32}$  corresponds to powers of  $b$ 's in  $w'_{ab}$ . Let  $T_{3i}$  (resp.  $T_{32}$ ) be the unique standard subcomplex of type  $\widetilde{X}_{21}$  (resp.  $\widetilde{X}_{11}$ ) containing  $\widetilde{P}_{3i}$  for  $i = 1, 3$  (resp. for  $i = 2$ ). This gives a cycle in  $\omega_\mathbb{U}$  of form

$$z_1 \rightarrow z_2 \rightarrow z_{31} \rightarrow z_{32} \rightarrow z_{33} \rightarrow z_4 \rightarrow z_5 \rightarrow z_6 \rightarrow z_1$$

where  $z_i$  (resp.  $z_{3i}$ ) corresponds to  $T_i$  (resp.  $T_{3i}$ ). Let  $\mathbb{D}$  be a minimal area singular disk diagram with boundary  $\omega_\mathbb{U}$ . As before we will slightly abuse notation and use  $z_i$  to denote the point in the boundary cycle of  $\mathbb{D}$  mapping to  $z_i \in \mathbb{U}$ . Since

$$k_1 \neq 0, k_5 \neq 0 \text{ and } k_4 \neq 0,$$

we have

$$\angle_{z_{31}}(z_2, z_{32}) = \angle_{z_{32}}(z_{31}, z_{33}) = \angle_{z_{33}}(z_{32}, z_4) = \pi \text{ in } \mathbb{U},$$

so the same holds in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

1. If  $\angle_{z_2}(z_1, z_{31}) \geq 3\pi/4$  and  $\angle_{z_4}(z_5, z_{33}) \geq 3\pi/4$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ , then from the Gauss-Bonnet formula (2.16) we know all the other angles at the vertices of  $\omega_{\mathbb{U}}$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  has to be  $\pi/2$ . As  $\mathbb{D}$  is made of right-angled isosceles triangles, by consider the sequence of right-angled turns in the edge path  $z_4 \rightarrow z_5 \rightarrow z_6 \rightarrow z_1 \rightarrow z_2$ , we must have  $z_2 = z_4$ , which is impossible as  $z_2 \rightarrow z_{31} \rightarrow z_{32} \rightarrow z_{33} \rightarrow z_4$  is a geodesic in  $\mathbb{U}$ .
2. If  $\angle_{z_2}(z_1, z_{31}) = \pi/4$  and  $\angle_{z_4}(z_5, z_{33}) \geq 3\pi/4$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ , then  $z_2, z_{31}, z_1$  form the vertices of a triangle with right-angled at  $z_{31}$ . Thus  $z_1$  is adjacent to  $z_{32}$ . Now we are reduced to consider a new cycle  $\omega'_{\mathbb{U}}$  of form  $z_1 \rightarrow z_{32} \rightarrow z_{33} \rightarrow z_4 \rightarrow z_5 \rightarrow z_6 \rightarrow z_1$  and a disk diagram  $\mathbb{D}' \subset \mathbb{D}$  bounded by  $\omega'_{\mathbb{U}}$ . Note that  $\angle_{z_{32}}(z_1, z_{33}) = 3\pi/4$  in  $\mathbb{D}'$ . Thus by Gauss-Bonnet formula, all other angles at the vertices of  $\omega'_{\mathbb{U}}$  in  $\mathbb{D}'$  is  $\pi/2$ . We deduce as before that  $z_{32} = z_4$ , which is impossible as  $z_{32} \rightarrow z_{33} \rightarrow z_4$  is a geodesic in  $\mathbb{U}$ .
3. Suppose  $\angle_{z_2}(z_1, z_{31}) = \pi/4$  and  $\angle_{z_4}(z_5, z_{33}) = \pi/4$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ . From  $\angle_{z_2}(z_1, z_{31}) = \pi/4$  we deduce that  $T_1, T_2$  and  $T_{31}$  has pairwise nonempty intersection. Then  $T_1 \cap T_2 \cap T_{31} \neq \emptyset$  as  $z_1, z_2, z_{31}$  form a triangle which bounds a 2-cell in  $\mathbb{U}$ . Then  $T_1 \cap T_2 \cap T_{31}$  is a single point, which we denote by  $z$ . As  $T_1 \cap T_2$  is a  $b$ -line (i.e. a copy of  $\mathbb{R}$  made of edges which are mapped to some  $b$ -labeled edges in  $\widehat{Z}$  under the covering map) containing  $z$ , and  $T_2 \cap T_{31}$  is a  $c$ -line containing  $z$ . Thus  $P_2$  is a path in  $T_2$  from a point in the  $b$ -line containing  $z$  to a point in the  $c$ -lines containing  $z$ . Thus we can assume  $w_{bc} = b^{k_7} c^{k_8}$ . Similarly, we can assume  $w'_{bc} = c^{k_9} b^{k_{10}}$ . Then the word  $w$  becomes:

$$w_{ab} \cdot b^{k_7} c^{k_8} \cdot a^{-k_1} b^{-k_5} a^{-k_4} \cdot c^{k_9} b^{k_{10}} \cdot w''_{ab} w''_{bc}, \quad (7.3)$$

which can be rearranged as

$$w_{ab} b^{k_7} a^{-k_1} \cdot c^{k_8} b^{-k_5} c^{k_9} \cdot a^{-k_4} b^{k_{10}} w''_{ab} \cdot w''_{bc}. \quad (7.4)$$

Consider a lift  $\widetilde{P}'$  of  $P$  to the universal cover of  $\widehat{\Sigma}$ , then this rearrangement gives a way of replacing  $\widetilde{P}'$  by another loop  $\widetilde{P}''$  with the same endpoint. Let  $\widetilde{Z}$  be the standard subcomplex of type  $\{b, c\}$  (cf. Definition 2.11) containing subpath of  $\widetilde{P}''$  corresponding to the subword  $c^{k_8} b^{-k_5} c^{k_9}$  of the word (7.4). By keeping track of the replacement, we deduce that for  $i = 1, 3, 5$ ,  $\widetilde{Z}$  has nonempty intersection with the standard subcomplex of type  $\{a, b\}$  containing  $\widetilde{P}'_i$ . This implies that in  $\omega = y_1 x_1 y_2 x_2 y_3 x_3$  which we started with,  $y_1, y_3$  and  $y_5$  are adjacent to a common vertex of type  $\hat{c}$ .

Now we assume at least one of  $k_1, k_5$  or  $k_4$  is 0. Then we can assume  $w'_{ab}$  is a power of  $a$ , up to combining powers of  $b$ 's in  $w'_{ab}$  with  $w_{bc}$  or  $w'_{bc}$ . This is quite similar to the previous case, except in the cycle  $\omega_{\mathbb{U}}$ , we have  $z_{31} = z_{33}$ . Thus we set  $z_3 = z_{31}$ , which is the vertex corresponding to the standard subcomplex of type  $\widetilde{X}_{21}$  containing  $\widetilde{P}_3$ , and we define  $\omega_{\mathbb{U}}$  to be  $z_1 z_2 z_3 z_4 z_5 z_6 z_1$  in this case. Let  $\mathbb{D}$  be a minimal area disk diagram for  $\omega_{\mathbb{U}}$ . Then  $\angle_{z_3}(z_2, z_4) = \pi$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Note that  $\angle_{z_2}(z_1, z_3)$  is either  $\pi/4$  or  $\geq 3\pi/4$ . The same is true for  $\angle_{z_4}(z_3, z_5)$ . If both  $\angle_{z_2}(z_1, z_3)$  and  $\angle_{z_4}(z_3, z_5)$  is  $\geq 3\pi/4$ , then we can deduce a contradiction as before. If  $\angle_{z_2}(z_1, z_3) = \pi/4$ , then we deduce as before that  $w_{bc} = b^{k_7} c^{k_8}$ . Thus  $w$  is of form

$$w_{ab} \cdot b^{k_7} c^{k_8} \cdot a^{k_9} \cdot w'_{bc} w''_{ab} w''_{bc},$$

which can be rearranged as

$$w_{ab} b^{k_7} a^{k_9} \cdot c^{k_8} w'_{bc} \cdot w''_{ab} w''_{bc}.$$

By comparing the two loops in the universal cover of  $\widehat{\Sigma}$  corresponding to these two words and reasoning as in the previous paragraph, we know  $x_2$  is adjacent to  $y_1$  in  $\Delta$  and we can take  $s = x_2$  in the lemma.

Case 3: the  $\pi$ -image of  $\omega$  is two edges  $\bar{x}\bar{y}$  and  $\bar{y}\bar{z}$  such that  $\bar{y}$  is of type  $\hat{c}$ . Then  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{z}$  are of type  $\hat{a}$ . Up to applying a cyclic permutation to the index  $i$  and possibly changing the role of  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{z}$ , we can assume that  $P_6, P_4$  are loops in  $\widehat{C}_{\bar{x}}$ ,  $P_1, P_3$  are paths in  $\widehat{C}_{\bar{y}}$ ,  $P_2$  is a loop in  $\widehat{C}_{\bar{z}}$  and  $P_5$  is a loop in  $\widehat{C}_{\bar{y}}$ .

Case 3.1: there is a vertex in  $\widehat{C}_{\bar{x}} \cap \widehat{C}_{\bar{y}}$  and a vertex in  $\widehat{C}_{\bar{y}} \cap \widehat{C}_{\bar{z}}$  that are adjacent.

Note that  $\Pi_{\widehat{C}_{\bar{z}}}(\widehat{C}_{\bar{y}})$  is a standard subcomplex of type  $b$  (see Definition 2.11) and  $\Pi_{\widehat{C}_{\bar{z}}}(\widehat{C}_{\bar{x}})$  is a standard subcomplex of type  $c$ . Thus if we consider the loop  $\Pi_{\widehat{C}_{\bar{z}}}(P)$ , then we can read of a word of form  $b^{k_2}w_{bc}b^{k_3}c^{k_4}b^{k_5}c^{k_1}$  which represents the trivial element in  $A_{bc}$ . Thus

$$w_{bc} = b^{-k_2}c^{-k_1}b^{-k_5}c^{-k_4}b^{-k_3}.$$

By combining powers of  $b$ 's at the beginning and end of  $w'_{bc}$  with  $w_{ab}$  and  $w'_{ab}$ , we can replace  $w$  by an equivalent word such that

$$w_{bc} = c^{-k_1}b^{-k_5}c^{-k_4},$$

moreover,  $P_2$  is a loop in  $\Pi_{\widehat{C}_{\bar{z}}}(\widehat{C}_{\bar{y}}) \cup \Pi_{\widehat{C}_{\bar{z}}}(\widehat{C}_{\bar{x}})$ . Thus up to applying a symmetry of  $\widehat{\Sigma}$ , we can assume

$$P \subset \Pi_{\widehat{C}_{\bar{z}}}(\widehat{C}_{\bar{y}}) \cup \Pi_{\widehat{C}_{\bar{z}}}(\widehat{C}_{\bar{x}}) \cup \widehat{C}_{\bar{x}} \cup \widehat{C}_{\bar{y}} \subset \widehat{Z}.$$

Moreover, we can assume  $\widehat{X}_{11} = \widehat{C}_{\bar{x}}$ ,  $\widehat{X}_{22} = \widehat{C}_{\bar{y}}$ ,  $\Pi_{\widehat{C}_{\bar{z}}}(\widehat{C}_{\bar{y}}) = \widehat{E}_6$  and  $\Pi_{\widehat{C}_{\bar{z}}}(\widehat{C}_{\bar{x}}) = \widehat{E}_5$ .

First we assume  $k_1 \neq 0, k_5 \neq 0$  and  $k_4 \neq 0$ . We produce a cycle in  $\mathbb{U}$  from  $P$  as follows. First we lift  $P$  to a loop  $\tilde{P}$  in  $\tilde{X}$ . Let  $T_i$  be the unique standard subcomplex of type  $\tilde{X}_{22}$  (resp.  $\tilde{X}_{11}$ ) containing  $\tilde{P}_i$  for  $i = 1, 3, 5$  (resp. for  $i = 6, 4$ ). Note that  $\tilde{P}_2$  is a concatenation of three subsegments  $\tilde{P}_2 = \tilde{P}_{21}\tilde{P}_{22}\tilde{P}_{23}$  such that  $\tilde{P}_{21}$  and  $\tilde{P}_{23}$  arise from powers of  $c$ 's in  $w_{bc}$  and  $\tilde{P}_{22}$  corresponds to powers of  $b$ 's in  $w_{bc}$ . Let  $T_{2i}$  (resp.  $T_{22}$ ) be the unique standard subcomplex of type  $\tilde{X}_{21}$  (resp.  $\tilde{X}_{11}$ ) containing  $\tilde{P}_{2i}$  for  $i = 1, 3$  (resp. for  $i = 2$ ). This gives a cycle in  $\omega_{\mathbb{U}}$  of form

$$z_6 \rightarrow z_1 \rightarrow z_{21} \rightarrow z_{22} \rightarrow z_{23} \rightarrow z_3 \rightarrow z_4 \rightarrow z_5 \rightarrow z_6$$

where  $z_i$  (resp.  $z_{2i}$ ) corresponds to  $T_i$  (resp.  $T_{2i}$ ). Now the rest of the argument is quite similar to Case 2.

Case 3.2:  $\widehat{C}_{\bar{x}} \cap \widehat{C}_{\bar{y}}$  and  $\widehat{C}_{\bar{y}} \cap \widehat{C}_{\bar{z}}$  do not contain adjacent vertices. Then  $\Pi_{\widehat{C}_{\bar{z}}}(\widehat{C}_{\bar{y}})$  is still a standard subcomplex of type  $b$ , but  $\Pi_{\widehat{C}_{\bar{z}}}(\widehat{C}_{\bar{x}})$  is a single point, which is the main difference from Case 3.1. Thus if we consider the loop  $\Pi_{\widehat{C}_{\bar{z}}}(P)$ , then we can read of a word of form  $b^{k_1}w'_{bc}b^{k_2}b^{k_3}$  which represents the trivial element in  $A_{bc}$ . Thus  $w_{bc} = b^{k_4}$  for some integer  $k_4$ , which means  $y_1 = y_2$  and it contradicts the assumption that  $\omega$  is a local embedding in  $\widehat{\Sigma}$ .


Case 4: the  $\pi$ -image of  $\omega$  is a linear subgraph with three edges. Let  $\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_3, \bar{x}_4$  be consecutive vertices of  $\pi(\omega)$ . Let  $\widehat{C}_i$  be the standard subcomplex of  $\widehat{\Sigma}$  associated with  $\bar{x}_i$ . We can assume without loss of generality that

$$P_i \subset \widehat{C}_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq 4 \text{ and } P_i \subset \widehat{C}_{8-i} \text{ for } i = 5, 6.$$


Moreover,  $P_1$  and  $P_4$  are loops. We define  $\widehat{C}_{ij} = \Pi_{\widehat{C}_i}\widehat{C}_j$ . We assume without loss of generality that  $\bar{x}_1$  is of type  $\hat{c}$  (otherwise we can switch the role of  $\bar{x}_1$  and  $\bar{x}_4$ ). There are four subcases to consider depending on the shape of  $\pi(\omega)$ , see Figure 11.

Case 4.1. In this case  $\widehat{C}_{12}$  and  $\widehat{C}_{14}$  are standard subcomplexes of type  $b$ , and  $\widehat{C}_{13}$  is a standard subcomplex of type  $a$ . Thus by considering the loop  $\Pi_{\widehat{C}_1}(P)$ , we read of a word of form


$$w_{ab}b^{k'_1}a^{k'_2}b^{k'_3}a^{k'_4}b^{k'_5}$$




Case 4.1



Case 4.2



Case 4.3



Case 4.4

Figure 11: Subcases of Case 4. Picture made using KaleidoTile [Wee].

which represents the trivial element in  $A_{ab}$ , moreover, the subwords  $a^{k'_2}, a^{k'_4}$  correspond to paths in  $\widehat{C}_{13}$ , and the subword  $b^{k'_3}$  corresponds to a path  $\widehat{C}_{14}$ . Thus up to passing to a word which is equivalent to  $w$ , we can assume  $w_{ab} = a^{k_1}b^{k_2}a^{k_3}$ , and it corresponds to a path in  $\widehat{C}_{13} \cup \widehat{C}_{14}$ . Thus

$$P \subset (\widehat{C}_{13} \cup \widehat{C}_{14}) \cup \widehat{C}_2 \cup \widehat{C}_3 \cup \widehat{C}_4.$$

Similar by considering  $\Pi_{\widehat{C}_4}(P)$ , we deduce that

$$w'_{bc} = c^{k_4}b^{k_5}c^{k_6} \text{ and it corresponds to a path in } \widehat{C}_{41} \cup \widehat{C}_{42}.$$

Thus

$$P \subset (\widehat{C}_{13} \cup \widehat{C}_{14}) \cup \widehat{C}_2 \cup \widehat{C}_3 \cup (\widehat{C}_{41} \cup \widehat{C}_{42}).$$

By considering  $\Pi_{\widehat{C}_{41}}(P)$  and noting that  $\Pi_{\widehat{C}_{41}}(\widehat{C}_i)$  is a point for  $i = 1, 2$ , we deduce that  $k_2 + k_5 = 0$ .

If  $k_2 = k_5 = 0$ , then  $w'_{bc} = c^*$ . we can modify  $P_4$  such that it is in  $\widehat{C}_2$  as follows. More precisely, we write  $w'_{bc}$  as  $ac^*a^{-1}$  (this is possible as  $a$  and  $c$  commute). This has the effect of replacing  $P_4$  by a homotopic path such that it is a concatenation of a subpath in  $\widehat{C}_3$  (corresponding to  $a$ ), a subpath in  $\widehat{C}_2$  (corresponding to  $c^*$ ) and a subpath in  $\widehat{C}_3$  (corresponding to  $a^{-1}$ ). By combining the first and third subpath of  $P_4$  with  $P_3$  and  $P_5$  respectively, we can assume  $P_4 \subset \widehat{C}_2$ . Then  $P \subset \widehat{C}_1 \cup \widehat{C}_2 \cup \widehat{C}_3$ . In the level of the cycle  $\omega$  in Lemma 7.2, this has the effect of replace  $x_2$  by another type  $\hat{a}$  vertex which is adjacent to  $y_2$  and  $y_3$ . Thus we are reduced to Case 2 or 3.

We now assume  $k_2 \neq 0$ , hence  $k_5 \neq 0$ . Let

$$\widehat{X}, \widehat{X}', \{\widehat{X}_i\}_{i=1}^4, \widehat{X}_{ij}, \widehat{Y} \text{ and } c : \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathcal{K}}$$

be as in Section 6.2. We view  $\widehat{X}$  as a subcomplex of  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{K}}$ . Let  $\hat{c} : \widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{K}}$  be the map induced by  $c$ . We will assume

$$\hat{c}(\widehat{C}_1) = \widehat{X}_{22}, \hat{c}(\widehat{C}_2) = \widehat{X}_{31}, \hat{c}(\widehat{C}_3) = \widehat{X}_{42} \text{ and } \hat{c}(\widehat{C}_4) = \widehat{X}_{33}.$$

Then  $\hat{c}(P) \subset \widehat{X}'$  and  $\hat{c}(P)$  is null-homotopic in  $\widehat{X}'$  by Lemma 2.13 and Lemma 6.8. Let  $W_{234}$  be the Coxeter group of type  $B_3$  with its generating set  $S = \{r, s, t\}$  such that  $m_{rs} = 4$  and  $m_{st} = 3$ . Let  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{234}$  and  $\hat{e} : \widehat{X}' \rightarrow \widehat{\Sigma}_{234}$  be as in Section 6.2. Let  $\Delta_{234}$  be the Artin complex of the Artin group of type  $B_3$ . Then  $Q = \hat{e} \circ \hat{c}(P)$  is null-homotopic in  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{234}$ . Let  $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{234}$  be the universal cover of  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{234}$ . We define a *subcomplex of type  $\hat{r}$*  in  $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{234}$  to be a lift of a standard subcomplex of type  $\hat{r}$  in  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{234}$ .

Let  $Q_i = \hat{e} \circ \hat{c}(P_i)$ . Let  $\widetilde{Q}$  be a lift of  $Q$  to  $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{234}$ . Let  $\widehat{C}'_i = \hat{e} \circ \hat{c}(\widehat{C}_i)$ , and  $\widehat{C}'_{ij} = \hat{e} \circ \hat{c}(\widehat{C}_{ij})$ . For  $i = 1, 3, 5$ , let  $\widetilde{\Phi}_i$  be the subcomplex of type  $\hat{t}$  that contains  $\widetilde{Q}_i$ , and let  $y'_i$  be the vertex in  $\Delta_{234}$  corresponding to  $\widetilde{\Phi}_i$ . For  $i = 2, 4, 6$ , let  $\widetilde{\Phi}_i$  be the subcomplex of type  $\hat{r}$  that contains  $\widetilde{Q}_i$ , and let  $x'_i$  be the vertex in  $\Delta_{234}$  corresponding to  $\widetilde{\Phi}_i$ . Let  $\omega'$  be the following 6-cycle in  $\Delta_{234}$ :

$$y'_1 x'_1 y'_2 x'_2 y'_3 x'_3.$$

We now show  $\omega'$  is locally embedded. Clearly  $y'_1 \neq y'_i$  for  $i = 2, 3$  as  $\widetilde{\Phi}_1$  and  $\widetilde{\Phi}_i$  are mapped to different standard subcomplexes in  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{234}$ . Similar reason yields  $x'_2 \neq x'_i$  for  $i = 1, 3$ . From the description of  $P_1$  and  $P_4$ , we know  $\hat{c}$  does not collapse any edges in  $P_1$  and  $P_4$ . Thus the loop  $Q_1$  represents an element in  $\pi_1(\widehat{C}'_1)$  which is not contained in  $\pi_1(\widehat{C}'_1 \cap \widehat{C}'_2)$ , which implies  $x'_1 \neq x'_3$ . Similarly  $y'_2 \neq y'_3$ .

By Theorem 3.22, there is a vertex  $z \in \Delta_{234}$  of type  $\hat{t}$  such that  $z$  is adjacent to  $y'_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ .

**Claim 7.5.** We have  $z \neq x'_i$  for  $i = 1, 3$ .

*Proof.* We first rule out  $z = x'_1$ . If  $z = x'_1$ , then  $y'_1 x'_1 y'_2 x'_3$  form an embedded 4-cycle in  $\Delta_{234}$ . By Theorem 3.17, there is a vertex  $w$  of type  $\hat{s}$  such that it is adjacent to each of the vertices of this 4-cycle. Let  $\widetilde{\Phi}_w$  be the standard subcomplex in  $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{234}$  associated with  $w$ . Then  $\widetilde{\Phi}_1 \cap \widetilde{\Phi}_2 \cap \widetilde{\Phi}_w$  is exactly a vertex (as  $y'_1, x'_1$  and  $w$  span a triangle), denoted by  $q$ . Similar, define  $q' = \widetilde{\Phi}_1 \cap \widetilde{\Phi}_w \cap \widetilde{\Phi}_6$ . We consider  $\widetilde{Q}'_1$  which is a concatenation of the following path:

1.  $\widetilde{Q}'_{11} \subset \widetilde{\Phi}_6 \cap \widetilde{\Phi}_1$  from the starting point of  $\widetilde{Q}_1$  to  $q'$ ;
2.  $\widetilde{Q}'_{12} \subset \widetilde{\Phi}_1 \cap \widetilde{\Phi}_w$  from  $q'$  to  $q$ ;
3.  $\widetilde{Q}'_{13} \subset \widetilde{\Phi}_1 \cap \widetilde{\Phi}_2$  from  $q$  to the endpoint of  $\widetilde{Q}_1$ .

Then  $\widetilde{Q}'_1$  is homotopic to  $\widetilde{Q}_1$  rel endpoints in  $\widetilde{\Phi}_1$ . Let  $\Phi_i$  be the image of  $\widetilde{\Phi}_i$  under  $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{234} \rightarrow \widehat{\Sigma}_{234}$ . We define  $\Phi_w$  and  $Q'_3$  similarly. Let  $\bar{w}, \bar{x}'_i$  be the image of  $w, x'_i$  under  $\Delta_{234} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{234}$  respectively. Then  $\bar{w}$  is adjacent  $\bar{y}'_1, \bar{y}'_2$  and  $\bar{x}'_1$ . This determines the position of  $\bar{w}$ . In particular,

$$Q'_{12} \subset \Phi_w \cap \Phi_1 = \widehat{C}'_{13}.$$

Thus  $Q'_1 \subset \widehat{C}'_{13} \cup \widehat{C}'_{12}$ . Let  $Q_{1i} = \hat{e} \circ \hat{c}(P_{1i})$ . Then  $Q_{11} \cup Q_{13} \subset \widehat{C}'_{12}$  and  $Q_{12} \subset \widehat{C}'_{14}$ . Consider the retraction  $r : \widehat{C}'_1 \rightarrow \widehat{C}'_{14}$ . As  $Q_1$  and  $Q'_1$  are homotopic rel endpoints in  $\widehat{C}'_1 = \Phi_1$ , we know  $r(Q_1)$  and  $r(Q'_1)$  are homotopic rel endpoint in  $\widehat{C}'_{14}$ . However, this is a contradiction as  $r(Q'_1)$  is a point, and  $r(Q_1) = Q_{12}$  is null-homotopic as we are assuming  $k_2 \neq 0$  and  $k_5 \neq 0$ . Thus  $z \neq x'_1$ . The proof of  $z \neq x'_3$  is similar.  $\square$

**Claim 7.6.** We have  $w_{bc} = b^* c^* b^*$  and  $w''_{bc} = b^* c^* b^*$ .

*Proof.* We only prove the claim for  $w_{bc}$ , as the other case is similar. Consider the 4-cycle  $y'_1 x'_1 z x'_3$ , which is embedded by Claim 7.5. By Theorem 3.17, there exists a vertex  $w' \in \Delta_{234}$  of type  $\hat{s}$  such that it is adjacent of the each of the vertices of this 4-cycle. Let  $\bar{w}'$  be the image of  $w'$  under  $\Delta_{234} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{234}$ . Then  $\bar{w}'$  is the unique vertex which is adjacent to  $\bar{y}'_1, \bar{y}'_2$  and  $\bar{x}'_1$ . By the same argument as in the proof of Claim 2, we know  $Q_2$  is homotopic rel endpoints in  $\widehat{C}'_2$  to a path  $Q'_2$  which is a concatenation of  $Q'_{21} \subset \widehat{C}'_{21}$ ,  $Q'_{22} \subset \widehat{C}'_{24}$  and  $Q'_{23} \subset \widehat{C}'_{23}$ . As  $\hat{e} \circ \hat{c}$  map  $\widehat{C}_2$  homeomorphically onto  $\widehat{C}'_2$ , we know  $P_2$  is homotopic rel endpoints in  $\widehat{C}_2$  to the concatenation of a path in  $\widehat{C}_{21}$ , a path in  $\widehat{C}_{24}$  and a path in  $\widehat{C}_{23}$ . Hence  $w_{bc} = b^* c^* b^*$ .  $\square$

By Claim 7.6, up to passing to a word equivalent to  $w$ , we can assume  $(P_2 \cup P_6) \subset \widehat{C}_{24}$ ,  $w_{bc} = c^*$ , and  $w'_{bc} = c^*$ . We now modify  $P_2$  and  $P_6$  as follows. Write  $w_{bc}$  as  $ac^*a^{-1}$ . This has the effect of replacing  $P_2$  by a homotopic path such that it is a concatenation of  $P_{21} \subset \widehat{C}_1$  (corresponding to  $a$ ),  $P_{22} \subset \widehat{C}_4$  (corresponding to  $c^*$ ), and  $P_{23} \subset \widehat{C}_3$  (corresponding to  $a^{-1}$ ). Similarly we write  $w'_{bc}$  as  $ac^*c^{-1}$  and replacing  $P_6$  by  $P_{61}P_{62}P_{63}$  with

$$P_{61} \subset \widehat{C}_3, P_{62} \subset \widehat{C}_2 \text{ and } P_{63} \subset \widehat{C}_1.$$

By combining  $P_{63}$  and  $P_{21}$  with  $P_1$ , combining  $P_{23}$  with  $P_3$ , and combining  $P_{61}$  with  $P_5$ ; we can assume  $P_2 \cup P_6 \subset \widehat{C}_4$ . Then  $P \subset \widehat{C}_1 \cup \widehat{C}_4 \cup \widehat{C}_3$ . In the level of the cycle  $\omega$ , this has the effect of replacing  $x_1$  by another type  $\hat{a}$  vertex that is adjacent to  $y_1$  and  $y_2$ , and replacing  $x_3$  by another type  $\hat{a}$  vertex that is adjacent to  $y_3$  and  $y_1$ . Thus we are reduced to Case 2.

Case 4.2. In this case  $\widehat{C}_{41}$  is a standard subcomplex of type  $c$ ,  $\widehat{C}_{42}$  is a point and  $\widehat{C}_{43}$  is a standard subcomplex of type  $b$ . By considering the loop  $\Pi_{\widehat{C}_4}(P)$ , we read of a word of form

$$c^* b^* w'_{bc} b^* c^*$$

which represents the trivial element in  $A_{bc}$ . Thus up to passing to a word which is equivalent to  $w$ , we can assume  $w'_{bc} = c^*$  and  $P_4 \subset \widehat{C}_{41}$ .

Let  $\widehat{C}'_4$  be the subcomplex  $\widehat{C}_4$  in Case 4.1. Our next goal is to modify  $P_4$  such that it is in  $\widehat{C}'_4$ . More precisely, we write  $w'_{bc}$  as  $ac^*a^{-1}$  (this is possible as  $a$  and  $c$  commute). This has the effect of replacing  $P_4$  by a homotopic path such that it is a concatenation of a subpath in  $\widehat{C}_3$  (corresponding to  $a$ ), a subpath in  $\widehat{C}'_4$  (corresponding to  $c^*$ ) and a subpath in  $\widehat{C}_3$  (corresponding to  $a^{-1}$ ). By combining the first and third subpath of  $P_4$  with  $P_3$  and  $P_5$  respectively, we can assume  $P_4 \subset \widehat{C}'_4$ . Then

$$P \subset \widehat{C}_2 \cup \widehat{C}_3 \cup \widehat{C}'_4 \cup (\cup_{i=2}^4 \widehat{C}_{1i}).$$

In the level of the cycle  $\omega$  in Lemma 7.2, this has the effect of replace  $x_2$  by another type  $\hat{a}$  vertex which is adjacent to  $y_2$  and  $y_3$ . Thus we are reduced to Case 4.1.

Case 4.3. In this case,  $\widehat{C}_{41} = \widehat{C}_{43}$  is a standard subcomplex of type  $a$ , and  $\widehat{C}_{42}$  is a point. Thus by considering the loop  $\Pi_{\widehat{C}_4}(P)$ , we deduce that  $w'_{bc}$  is a power of  $b$ . This implies that  $y_3 = y_5$  in  $\omega$ , which contradicts that  $\omega$  is a local embedding.

Case 4.4. In this case  $\widehat{C}_{41} = \widehat{C}_{42}$  is a standard subcomplex of type  $c$ , and  $\widehat{C}_{43}$  is a standard subcomplex of type  $b$ . By considering the loop  $\Pi_{\widehat{C}_4}(P)$ , we read of a word of form

$$c^* b^* w'_{bc} b^* c^*$$

which represents the trivial element in  $A_{bc}$ . Thus up to passing to a word which is equivalent to  $w$ , we can assume  $w'_{bc} = c^*$  (for possibly different value of  $k_4$ ) and  $P_4 \subset \widehat{C}_{41}$ . By a similar argument as in Case 4.2 (i.e. writing  $w'_{bc} = ac^{k_4}a^{-1}$ ), we can assume  $P_4 \subset \widehat{C}_2$ . This reduces to Case 2.

Case 5: the  $\pi$ -image of  $\omega$  is three edges sharing a common vertex  $\bar{x}$ . Suppose  $\pi(\omega) = \bar{x}\bar{y}_1 \cup \bar{x}\bar{y}_2 \cup \bar{x}\bar{y}_3$ . Let  $\widehat{C}_0 = \widehat{C}_{\bar{x}}$  and  $\widehat{C}_i = \widehat{C}_{y_i}$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Let  $\widehat{C}_{ij} = \Pi_{\widehat{C}_i}(\widehat{C}_j)$ .

Case 5.1:  $\bar{x}$  is of type  $\hat{a}$ . Then up to a cyclic permutation of the index  $i$ , we assume  $P_i \subset \widehat{C}_{\bar{x}}$  for  $i = 2, 4, 6$ , and  $P_{2i-1} \subset \widehat{C}_{y_i}$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . By considering the retraction  $\Pi_{\widehat{C}_1}(P)$ , we can assume  $w_{ab} = a^*b^*a^*$  and it corresponds to a path in  $\cup_{i \neq 1} \widehat{C}_{1i}$ . Similarly,  $w'_{ab} = a^*b^*a^*$  with the associated path in  $\cup_{i \neq 2} \widehat{C}_{1i}$  and  $w''_{ab} = a^*b^*a^*$  with the associated path in  $\cup_{i \neq 3} \widehat{C}_{1i}$ . Now we consider  $\Pi_{\widehat{C}_{12}}(P)$ . Note that  $\Pi_{\widehat{C}_{12}}(P_i)$  is not a constant path if and only if  $i = 2, 4$ . Thus the power of  $as$  at the end of  $w_{ab}$  cancels with the power of  $as$  at the beginning of  $w'_{ab}$ . There are similar cancellations between  $w'_{ab}$  and  $w''_{ab}$ , and between  $w''_{ab}$  and  $w_{ab}$ . Thus we write

$$w_{ab} = a^{k_1}b^{k_2}a^{k_3}, w'_{ab} = a^{-k_3}b^{k_4}a^{k_5} \text{ and } w''_{ab} = a^{-k_5}b^{k_6}a^{-k_1}.$$

If one of  $k_1, k_3, k_5$  is zero, say  $k_5 = 0$ , then we write  $P_3 = P'_3P''_3$  with  $P'_3 \subset \widehat{C}_{21}$  and  $P''_3 \subset \widehat{C}_0$ . Note that  $P'_3$  is homotopic rel endpoints to a path  $P'_{31}P'_{32}P'_{33}$  with  $P'_{31} \cup P'_{33} \subset \widehat{C}_0$  and  $P'_{32} \subset \widehat{C}_1$ . We replace  $P_3$  by  $P'_{31}P'_{32}P'_{33}P''_3$ , combine  $P'_{31}$  with  $P_2$  and combine  $P'_{33}P''_3$  with  $P_4$ . Then

$$P \subset \widehat{C}_0 \cup \widehat{C}_1 \cup \widehat{C}_3.$$

In the level of  $\omega$ , this has the effect of replacing  $y_2$  by another vertex of type  $\hat{c}$  that is adjacent to both  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . Now we are done by Case 2 and Claim 7.7 below.

**Claim 7.7.** Let  $\{x_i\}$  and  $\{y_i\}$  be as in the lemma. Let  $y'_2 \neq y_2$  be a vertex of type  $\hat{c}$  in  $\Delta$  which is adjacent to both  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . Suppose there is a vertex  $s' \in \Delta$  such that  $s'$  is adjacent to each of  $\{y_1, y'_2, y_3\}$ . Then  $s'$  is adjacent to each of  $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$ .

*Proof.* First we consider the case  $s' \neq x_1$  and  $s' \neq x_2$ . By Theorem 3.17, there are vertices  $q_1, q_2, q_3$  of type  $\hat{b}$  such that

- $q_1$  is adjacent to each of  $y_1, x_1, y'_2, s'$ ;
- $q_2$  is adjacent to each of  $y'_2, x_2, y_3, s'$ ;
- $q_3$  is adjacent to each of  $x_1, y_2, x_2, y'_2$ .

Then  $s'q_1x_1q_3x_2q_2$  form a 6-cycle in  $\text{lk}(y'_2, \Delta)$ . However, as  $\text{lk}(y'_2, \Delta)$  is a copy of the Artin complex of  $A_{ab}$ , which has girth  $\geq 10$  by [AS83, Lemma 6]. Thus the 6-cycle is degenerate. As  $s' \neq x_1, s' \neq x_2$  and  $x_1 \neq x_2$ , we know the back-tracking vertices of this 6-cycle is a subset of  $\{x_1, x_2, s'\}$ , which is impossible. Thus either  $s' = x_1$  or  $s' = x_2$ , and the claim follows.  $\square$

In the rest of Case 5.1, we assume  $k_1 \neq 0, k_3 \neq 0$  and  $k_5 \neq 0$ .

Let  $\widehat{X}, \widehat{X}', \{\widehat{X}_i\}_{i=1}^4, \widehat{Y}$  and  $i : \widehat{Y} \rightarrow \widehat{\Sigma}$  be as in Section 6.2. We can assume without loss of generality that  $i(\widehat{X}_{31}) = \widehat{C}_{\bar{x}}$ . Then the discussion in the previous paragraph implies that  $P \subset i(\widehat{Y})$ . Thus we can view  $P$  as an edge loop in  $\widehat{X}'$ , moreover, Lemma 6.9 implies that  $P$  is null-homotopic in  $\widehat{X}'$ .

Let  $W_{234}$  be the Coxeter group of type  $B_3$  with its generating set  $S = \{r, s, t\}$  such that  $m_{rs} = 4$  and  $m_{st} = 3$ . Let  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{234}$  and  $\hat{e} : \widehat{X}' \rightarrow \widehat{\Sigma}_{234}$  be as in Section 6.2. Then  $Q = \hat{e}(P)$  is null-homotopic in  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{234}$ . Recall that  $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{234}$  is the universal cover of  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{234}$ . Note that  $Q$  lifts to a loop  $\widetilde{Q}$  in  $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{234}$ . For  $i = 1, 3, 5$ , let  $\widetilde{V}_i$  be the subcomplex of type  $\hat{t}$  in  $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{234}$  containing  $\widetilde{Q}_i$ , and let  $y'_i$  be the vertex in  $\Delta_{234}$  associated with  $\widetilde{V}_i$ . For  $i = 2, 4, 6$ , let  $\widetilde{V}_i$  be the subcomplex of type  $\hat{r}$  in  $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{234}$  containing  $\widetilde{Q}_i$ , and let  $x'_i \in \Delta_{234}$  be the associated vertex. Then we have a cycle

$$\omega' = y'_1x'_1y'_2x'_2y'_3x'_3$$

in  $\Delta_{234}$ . Note that  $\omega'$  is a local embedding at  $y'_i$  as we are assuming  $k_1 \neq 0, k_3 \neq 0$  and  $k_5 \neq 0$ . Moreover,  $\omega'$  is local embedding at  $x'_i$  as  $\omega$  is a local embedding at  $x_i$ .

By Theorem 3.22, there is a vertex  $z \in \Delta_{234}$  of type  $\hat{t}$  such that it is adjacent to  $y'_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ . Let  $C_{234}$  be the Coxeter complex for  $W_{234}$ . Recall that there is a map  $\Delta_{234} \rightarrow C_{234}$  induced by the quotient of the action of the pure Artin group  $PA_{234}$ . Let  $\bar{z}$  be the image of  $z$




Figure 12: Case 5.

under the map  $\Delta_{234} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{234}$ . We define  $\bar{x}'_i$  and  $\bar{y}'_i$  similarly. As  $\bar{z}$  is adjacent to  $\bar{y}'_1$ ,  $\bar{y}'_2$  and  $\bar{y}'_3$ , we know  $\bar{x}'_1 = \bar{x}'_2 = \bar{x}'_3 = \bar{z}$ .

We have  $z \neq x'_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ . This can be proved in the same way as Claim 7.5, using  $k_1 \neq 0, k_3 \neq 0$  and  $k_5 \neq 0$ . Thus for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ , the vertices  $x'_j, y'_j, y'_{j+1}, z$  form an embedded 4-cycle in  $\Delta_{234}$ . By Theorem 3.17, there is a vertex  $w_j$  of type  $\hat{s}$  such that  $w_j$  is adjacent to each vertex of this 4-cycle (see Figure 12 below). Let  $\tilde{V}_{w_j}$  and  $\tilde{V}_z$  be the standard subcomplexes of  $\tilde{\Sigma}_{234}$  corresponding to  $w_j$  and  $z$  respectively. As  $x'_1, y'_1, w_1$  span a triangle in  $\Delta_{234}$ , we know  $\tilde{V}_1 \cap \tilde{V}_2 \cap \tilde{V}_{w_1}$  is a single vertex, denoted by  $q_1$ . Similarly, for  $i = 2, 4, 6$ , let

$$q_i = \tilde{V}_i \cap \tilde{V}_{i+1} \cap \tilde{V}_{w_{\frac{i+1}{2}}} \text{ for } i = 1, 3, 5 \text{ and } q_i = \tilde{V}_i \cap \tilde{V}_{i+1} \cap \tilde{V}_{w_{i/2}}.$$

**Claim 7.8.** The path  $\tilde{Q}_i$  starts at  $q_{i-1}$  and ends at  $q_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 6$ .

Assume Claim 7.8 for the moment, we explain how to finish Case 5.1. Let  $\tilde{Q}'_2$  be a shortest path in  $\tilde{V}_{w_1} \cap \tilde{V}_2$  from  $q_1$  to  $q_2$ . By the previous paragraph,  $\tilde{Q}'_2$  is homotopic rel endpoints to  $\tilde{Q}_2$  in  $\tilde{V}_2$ . Let  $V_i$  be the image of  $\tilde{V}_i$  under  $\tilde{\Sigma}_{234} \rightarrow \hat{\Sigma}_{234}$ . We define  $V_{w_j}, V_z$  and  $Q'_2$  similarly. Thus  $Q_2$  and  $Q'_2$  are homotopic rel endpoints in  $V_2$ . Then  $P_2$  and  $\hat{e}^{-1}(Q'_2)$  are homotopic rel endpoints in  $\hat{X}_{31}$ . It follows that  $P_2$  is homotopic rel endpoints in  $\hat{C}_0$  to a path in  $i \circ \hat{e}^{-1}(V_{w_1} \cap V_2)$ . Thus  $w_{bc} = c^*$ . Similarly, we know  $w'_{bc} = c^*$  and  $w''_{bc} = c^*$ . Thus the word  $w$  is of form

$$a^{k_1} b^{k_2} a^{k_3} \cdot c^* \cdot a^{-k_3} b^{k_4} a^{k_5} \cdot c^* \cdot a^{-k_5} b^{k_6} a^{-k_1} \cdot c^*.$$

For  $i = 1, 3, 5$ , we write  $P_i = P_{i1}P_{i2}P_{i3}$  corresponding to  $a^*b^*a^*$ . As  $a$  and  $c$  commute, we know  $P_{12}P_{13}P_2P_{31}P_{32}$  is homotopic rel endpoints in  $\hat{\Sigma}$  to a path in  $\hat{C}_0$ , and  $P_{52}P_{53}P_6P_{11}P_{12}$  is homotopic rel endpoints in  $\hat{\Sigma}$  to a path in  $\hat{C}_0$ .

We consider a lift  $\tilde{P}$  of  $P$  to the universal cover  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  of  $\hat{\Sigma}$ . For  $i = 1, 3, 5$ , let  $K_i$  be the standard subcomplex in  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  of type  $\hat{c}$  that contains  $\tilde{P}_i$ . Let  $K$  be the lift of  $\hat{C}_0$  in  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  that contains  $\tilde{P}_{12}$ . Then the previous paragraph implies that  $K \cap K_i \neq \emptyset$  for  $i = 1, 3, 5$ . Thus  $y_1, y_2, y_3$  are adjacent to a common vertex of type  $\hat{a}$  in  $\Delta$ .

*Proof of Claim 7.8.* We first show the starting point and ending point of  $\tilde{Q}_3$  are  $q_2$  and  $q_3$  respectively. To see this, let  $q'_2 = \tilde{V}_{w_1} \cap \tilde{V}_3 \cap \tilde{V}_z$  (the intersection is non-empty as  $x'_1, y'_2, w_1$  span a triangle) and  $q'_3 = \tilde{V}_{w_2} \cap \tilde{V}_3 \cap \tilde{V}_z$ . Let  $\tilde{Q}'_3$  be a concatenation of the following path:

1.  $\tilde{Q}'_{31} \subset \tilde{V}_2 \cap \tilde{V}_3$  from the starting point of  $\tilde{Q}_3$  to  $q_2$ ;

2.  $\tilde{Q}'_{32} \subset \tilde{V}_3 \cap \tilde{V}_{w_1}$  from  $q_2$  to  $q'_2$ ;
3.  $\tilde{Q}'_{33} \subset \tilde{V}_z \cap \tilde{V}_3$  from  $q'_2$  to  $q'_3$ ;
4.  $\tilde{Q}'_{34} \subset \tilde{V}_{w_2} \cap \tilde{V}_3$  from  $q'_3$  to  $q_3$ ;
5.  $\tilde{Q}'_{35} \subset \tilde{V}_4 \cap \tilde{V}_3$  from  $q_3$  to the endpoint of  $\tilde{Q}_3$ .

We can assume each  $\tilde{Q}'_{3i}$  is a geodesic path. Then  $\tilde{Q}_3$  and  $\tilde{Q}'_3$  are homotopic rel endpoints in  $\tilde{V}_3$ . As  $\bar{x}'_1 = \bar{x}'_2 = \bar{x}'_3 = \bar{z}$ , we know

$$V_2 = V_4 = V_6 = V_z.$$

Thus

$$Q'_3 \subset V_3 \cap (V_{w_1} \cup V_z \cup V_{w_2}).$$

As  $Q_3 = \hat{e}(P_3)$ , the form of  $P_3$  implies that

$$Q_3 \subset V_3 \cap (V_{w_1} \cup V_z \cup V_{w_2}).$$

As  $\bar{w}_j$  is adjacent to  $\bar{z}, \bar{y}'_j$  and  $\bar{y}'_{j+1}$ , this uniquely determine the position of  $\bar{w}_j$  in  $\mathbb{C}_{234}$ . This implies that

$$V_3 \cap (V_{w_1} \cup V_z \cup V_{w_2}) \text{ is a union of three circles.}$$

As  $Q'_3(Q_3)^{-1}$  is homotopically trivial path in  $V_3$ , we know from Lemma 2.13 that  $Q'_3(Q_3)^{-1}$  is homotopically trivial in  $V_3 \cap (V_{w_1} \cup V_z \cup V_{w_2})$  whose fundamental group is a free group. If both segments  $Q_{31}$  and  $Q_{35}$  are non-trivial, then  $Q'_3(Q_3)^{-1}$  does not contain back-tracking, hence is a local geodesic loop in the graph

$$V_3 \cap (V_{w_1} \cup V_z \cup V_{w_2}),$$

which can not be null-homotopic in this graph. If one of  $Q_{31}$  and  $Q_{35}$  is non-trivial, then by killing all the back-tracking of the loop  $Q'_3(Q_3)^{-1}$ , we still have a homotopically non-trivial local-geodesic loop left, which is again not possible. Thus the segments  $Q_{31}$  and  $Q_{35}$  must be trivial, implying the claim. By a similarly argument,  $\tilde{Q}_i$  starts at  $q_{i-1}$  and ends at  $q_i$  for  $i = 1, 3, 5$ . Thus  $\tilde{Q}_i$  starts at  $q_{i-1}$  and ends at  $q_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 6$ .  $\square$

Case 5.2:  $\bar{x}$  is of type  $\hat{c}$ . We write  $\bar{y}_i \sim \bar{y}_j$  if they are adjacent to the same vertex of type  $\hat{b}$  in  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ . Up to a permutation of  $\{\bar{y}_i\}_{i=1}^3$ , there are only two possibilities, namely either  $\bar{y}_1 \sim \bar{y}_2$  and  $\bar{y}_2 \sim \bar{y}_3$ , or  $\bar{y}_1 \sim \bar{y}_3, \bar{y}_1 \approx \bar{y}_2$  and  $\bar{y}_2 \approx \bar{y}_3$ . We assume  $P_i \subset \hat{C}_{\bar{x}}$  for  $i = 1, 3, 5$ , and  $P_{2i} \subset \hat{C}_{\bar{y}_i}$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

First we consider the subcase when  $\bar{y}_1 \sim \bar{y}_2$  and  $\bar{y}_2 \sim \bar{y}_3$ . Note that  $\hat{C}_{13}$  is a point and  $\hat{C}_{12}$  is a standard subcomplex of type  $c$ . By considering the loop  $\Pi_{\hat{C}_1}(P)$ , we read of a word of form

$$b^* w_{bc} b^* c^* b^*$$

representing the trivial element in  $A_{bc}$  where the three  $b^*$  subwords are associated with paths in  $\hat{C}_{10}$ . Thus up to passing a word equivalent to  $w$ , we can assume  $w_{bc} = c^*$  and it corresponds to a path in  $\hat{C}_{12}$ . Let  $\bar{z}$  be the vertex of type  $\hat{b}$  that is adjacent to both  $\bar{y}_1$  and  $\bar{y}_2$ . Using the product structure on  $\hat{C}_{\bar{z}}$ , we know  $P_2$  is homotopic rel endpoints to a path  $P_{21}P_{22}P_{23}$  where  $P_{2i} \subset \hat{C}_0 \cap \hat{C}_{\bar{z}}$  for  $i = 1, 3$  and  $P_{22} \subset \hat{C}_2 \cap \hat{C}_{\bar{z}}$ . By combing  $P_{21}$  with  $P_1$  and combining  $P_{23}$  with  $P_3$ , we can assume  $P_2 \subset \hat{C}_2$ . Then

$$P \subset \hat{C}_0 \cup \hat{C}_2 \cup \hat{C}_3.$$

In the level of the cycle  $\omega$  in Lemma 7.2, this has the effect of replace  $x_1$  by another type  $\hat{a}$  vertex which is adjacent to  $y_1$  and  $y_2$ . Thus we are reduced to Case 3.

Now we consider the subcase when  $\bar{y}_1 \sim \bar{y}_3, \bar{y}_1 \approx \bar{y}_2$  and  $\bar{y}_2 \approx \bar{y}_3$ . Then  $\hat{C}_{13}$  is a standard subcomplex of type  $c$  and  $\hat{C}_{12}$  is a point. Thus this is similar to the previous subcase.

Case 6: the  $\pi$ -image of  $\omega$  is four edges. In this case, the only possibility is that  $\pi(\omega)$  is a 4-cycle. Let  $\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_3, \bar{x}_4$  be consecutive vertices on this 4-cycle. Let  $\widehat{C}_i = \widehat{C}_{\bar{x}_i}$  and  $\widehat{C}_{ij} = \Pi_{\widehat{C}_i}(\widehat{C}_j)$ . Up to a cyclic permutation of the index and symmetries of  $\mathbb{C}$ , we can assume  $\bar{x}_1$  is of type  $\widehat{c}$ , and one of the following holds true:

1.  $P_i \subset \widehat{C}_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ ,  $P_5 \subset \widehat{C}_1$  and  $P_6 \subset \widehat{C}_4$ ;
2.  $P_i \subset \widehat{C}_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ ,  $P_5 \subset \widehat{C}_1$  and  $P_6 \subset \widehat{C}_2$ .

We will only treat the first situation, as the second is similar.

By considering the loop  $\Pi_{\widehat{C}_2}(P)$ , we read of a word of form

$$b^* w_{bc} b^* c^* b^* c^*$$

which represents the trivial element in  $A_{bc}$ . Thus  $w_{bc} = b^* c^* b^* c^* b^*$  where the first and second  $b^*$  represent a path in  $\widehat{C}_1 \cap \widehat{C}_2$ , the third  $b^*$  represents a path in  $\widehat{C}_2 \cap \widehat{C}_3$ , and the two  $c^*$  represent paths in  $\widehat{C}_{24}$ . By considering the loop  $\Pi_{\widehat{C}_3}(P)$  and carry out a similar analysis, we know  $w'_{ab} = b^* a^* b^* a^* b^*$  where the second and third  $b^*$  represent paths in  $\widehat{C}_3 \cap \widehat{C}_4$ , the first  $b^*$  represents a path in  $\widehat{C}_2 \cap \widehat{C}_3$ , and the two  $a^*$  represent paths in  $\widehat{C}_{31}$ .

We consider  $\Pi_{\widehat{C}_{23}}(P)$ . Note that  $\Pi_{\widehat{C}_{23}}(P_i)$  is a point for  $i = 1, 4, 5, 6$ ,  $\Pi_{\widehat{C}_{23}}(P_2)$  is the path corresponding to the last  $b^*$  of  $w_{bc}$ , and  $\Pi_{\widehat{C}_{23}}(P_2)$  is the path corresponding to the first  $b^*$  of  $w'_{ab}$ . Thus the last  $b^*$  of  $w_{bc}$  and first  $b^*$  of  $w'_{ab}$  cancel. By merging the first  $b^*$  of  $w_{bc}$  with  $w_{ab}$ , and merging the last  $b^*$  of  $w'_{ab}$  with  $w'_{bc}$ , we assume

$$w_{bc} = c^{k_1} b^{k_2} c^{k_3} \text{ and } w'_{ab} = a^{k_4} b^{k_5} a^{k_6}.$$

If  $k_2 = 0$ , then  $w_{bc} = c^*$ . By rewriting  $w_{bc} = ac^* a^{-1}$  which corresponds to the concatenation of a path in  $\widehat{C}_{13}$ , a path in  $\widehat{C}_{42}$  and a path in  $\widehat{C}_{31}$ , and merging  $a$  with  $w_{ab}$  and  $a^{-1}$  with  $w'_{ab}$ , we can assume  $P_2 \subset \widehat{C}_{42} \subset \widehat{C}_4$ . Then

$$P \subset \widehat{C}_1 \cup \widehat{C}_3 \cup \widehat{C}_4,$$

and we are reduced to Case 2.

If  $k_5 = 0$ , we can perform a similar replacement of  $P_3$  and arrange that  $P \subset \widehat{C}_1 \cup \widehat{C}_2 \cup \widehat{C}_4$ , at the cost of replacing  $y_2$  by another vertex of type  $\widehat{c}$  which is adjacent to both  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . Then we are done by Case 3 and Claim 7.7.

Thus from now on we assume  $k_2 \neq 0$  and  $k_5 \neq 0$ . We can also assume  $k_1 \neq 0$ , otherwise we can combine  $b^{k_2}$  with  $w_{ab}$  and still have  $w_{bc} = c^*$ . Similarly, we assume  $k_6 \neq 0$ . As  $c^{k_3}$  corresponds to a path from  $\widehat{C}_1$  to  $\widehat{C}_3$ , we know  $k_3 \neq 0$ .

Let  $\widehat{Z}$  be defined in the end of Section 6.1, viewed as a subcomplex of  $\widehat{\Sigma}$  and a subcomplex of  $\widehat{X}_1 \cup \widehat{X}_2$  (we identify  $\widehat{X}_{22}$  with  $\widehat{C}_1$  and  $\widehat{X}_{11}$  with  $\widehat{C}_4$ ). Then  $P \subset \widehat{Z}$  and by Lemma 6.5,  $P$  is null-homotopic in  $\widehat{X}_1 \cup \widehat{X}_2$ . The word  $w_{bc} = c^* b^* c^*$  induces a decomposition

$$P_2 = P_{21} P_{22} P_{23}$$

with  $P_{21}, P_{23} \subset \widehat{X}_{21}$  and  $P_{22} \subset \widehat{X}_{22}$ . Similarly,

$$P_3 = P_{31} P_{32} P_{33} \text{ with } P_{31}, P_{33} \subset \widehat{X}_{21} \text{ and } P_{32} \subset \widehat{X}_{11}.$$

Note that  $P_1, P_5 \subset \widehat{X}_{22}$  and  $P_4, P_6 \subset \widehat{X}_{11}$ . As  $P$  is null-homotopic in  $\widehat{X}_1 \cup \widehat{X}_2$ , it lifts to a loop  $\widetilde{P}$  in the universal cover  $\widetilde{X}$  of  $\widehat{X}_1 \cup \widehat{X}_2$ . Let

- $T_1, T_5$  be the standard subcomplexes of  $\widetilde{X}$  containing  $\widetilde{P}_1, \widetilde{P}_5$  of type  $\widehat{X}_{22}$ ;
- $T_4, T_6$  be the standard subcomplexes of  $\widetilde{X}$  containing  $\widetilde{P}_4, \widetilde{P}_6$  of type  $\widehat{X}_{11}$ ;
- $T_{21}$  and  $T_{23}$  be the subcomplexes of  $\widetilde{X}$  containing  $\widetilde{P}_{21}$  and  $\widetilde{P}_{23}$  of type  $\widehat{X}_{21}$ ;

- $T_{22}$  be the standard subcomplex of  $\tilde{X}$  containing  $\tilde{P}_{22}$  of type  $\hat{X}_{22}$ .

We define  $T_{31}, T_{32}$  and  $T_{33}$  similarly. Note that  $T_{23} = T_{31}$ . Now  $\tilde{P}$  gives a loop  $\omega_{\mathbb{U}}$  in  $\mathbb{U}$  of form

$$z_1 \rightarrow z_{21} \rightarrow z_{22} \rightarrow z_{23} = z_{31} \rightarrow z_{32} \rightarrow z_{33} \rightarrow z_4 \rightarrow z_5 \rightarrow z_6$$

where  $z_i$  is the vertex associated with  $T_i$  and  $z_{ij}$  is the vertex associated with  $T_{ij}$ .

As  $k_i \neq 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 5, 6$ , we know  $\angle_{z_{21}}(z_1, z_{22}) = \angle_{z_{33}}(z_{32}, z_4) = \pi$ , and Lemma 6.6 implies that  $\angle_{z_{22}}(z_{21}, z_{23}) = \angle_{z_{32}}(z_{31}, z_{33}) = \pi$ . Thus the subsegment from  $z_1 \rightarrow z_{23}$  is a geodesic, and the subsegment from  $z_{31}$  to  $z_4$  is a geodesic. These two geodesics have the same length, and intersect in an angle  $= \angle_{z_{23}}(z_{22}, z_{32}) = \pi/2$ . On the other hand, the subsegment

$$z_4 \rightarrow z_5 \rightarrow z_6 \rightarrow z_1$$

has length equal to  $\sqrt{2}$  times the length of the subsegment from  $z_1 \rightarrow z_{23}$ . Thus by CAT(0) geometry, the subsegment  $z_4 \rightarrow z_5 \rightarrow z_6 \rightarrow z_1$  is a geodesic, and

$$\angle_{z_1}(z_{21}, z_6) = \angle_{z_4}(z_{33}, z_5) = \pi/4.$$

In particular  $z_1, z_{21}, z_6$  form a triangle in  $\mathbb{U}$ . Hence  $T_1 \cap T_6 \cap T_{21} \neq \emptyset$ . As  $\tilde{P}_1$  is a path from a point in  $T_1 \cap T_6$  to  $T_1 \cap T_{21}$ , we know  $\tilde{P}_1$  is homotopic in  $T_1$  rel endpoints to a path that is contained in

$$(T_1 \cap T_6) \cup (T_1 \cap T_{21})$$

and passes through  $T_1 \cap T_6 \cap T_{21}$ . Thus  $w_{ab} = b^*a^*$  in  $A_{ab}$ . By combining the  $b^*$  part of  $w_{ab}$  with  $w''_{bc}$ , we can assume  $w_{ab} = a^*$ . A similar argument implies that we can assume  $w'_{bc} = c^*$ . Now the word  $w$  becomes

$$a^* \cdot c^*b^*c^* \cdot a^*b^*a^* \cdot c^* \cdot w''_{ab}w''_{bc} = 1.$$

We assume  $w$  starts with  $a^{k_1} \cdot c^{k_2}$ . Replace  $w_{ab}$  by  $c^{k_2}a^{k_1}c^{-k_2}$ , combine the  $c^{k_2}$  part of  $c^{k_2}a^{k_1}c^{-k_2}$  with  $w''_{bc}$ , and the  $c^{-k_2}$  with  $w_{bc}$ . The new word  $w$  takes form:

$$a^* \cdot b^*c^* \cdot a^*b^*a^* \cdot c^*w''_{ab}w''_{bc} = 1.$$

On the level of  $\omega$ , this has the effect of replace  $y_1$  by a vertex  $y'_1$  of type  $\hat{c}$  that are adjacent to both  $x_1$  and  $x_3$ . Now we replace  $w'_{bc}$  by  $a^{-*}c^*a^*$ , combine the  $a^{-*}$  part with  $w'_{ab}$  and the  $a^*$  part with  $w''_{ab}$ . The new word  $w$  takes form:

$$a^* \cdot b^*c^* \cdot a^*b^* \cdot c^*w''_{ab}w''_{bc} = 1.$$

On the level of  $\omega$ , this has the effect of replace  $x_2$  by a vertex  $x'_2$  of type  $\hat{c}$  that are adjacent to both  $y_2$  and  $y_3$ . By the way we handling the word (7.3), we know  $\{y'_1, y_3, y_5\}$  is adjacent to a common vertex in  $\Delta$ . Then we are done by Claim 7.7.

Case 7: the  $\pi$ -image of  $\omega$  is five edges. Then  $\pi(\omega)$  is a 4-cycle with an extra edge. We assume vertices of this 4-cycle are  $\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_3, \bar{x}_4$ , and  $\bar{x}_1$  is adjacent to a vertex  $\bar{x}_0 \in \pi(\omega)$  which is outside the 4-cycle. Let  $\hat{C}_i = \hat{C}_{\bar{x}_i}$  and  $\hat{C}_{ij} = \Pi_{\hat{C}_i}(\hat{C}_j)$ .

Case 7.1:  $\bar{x}_1$  is of type  $\hat{c}$ . Up to a cyclic permutation of the index  $i$ , we assume

$$P_i \subset \hat{C}_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq 4, P_5 \subset \hat{C}_1 \text{ and } P_6 \subset \hat{C}_0.$$

First we consider the subcase that  $\bar{x}_0$  and  $\bar{x}_2$  are adjacent to a common vertex  $\bar{z}$  of type  $\hat{b}$ . Then  $\hat{C}_{02} = \hat{C}_{03}$  is a standard subcomplex of type  $c$ , and  $\hat{C}_{04}$  is a single point. As the loop  $\Pi_{\hat{C}_0}(P)$  is null-homotopic in  $\hat{C}_0$ , we know that in  $A_{bc}$

$$b^*c^*b^*w''_{bc} = 1.$$

Thus up to passing to an equivalent  $w$ , we can assume  $w''_{bc} = c^*$  and it corresponds to a path in  $\widehat{C}_{02}$ . Then  $P''_{bc}$  is homotopic rel endpoints in  $\widehat{\Sigma}$  to a concatenation of a path  $P_{61} \subset \widehat{C}_1 \cap \widehat{C}_{\bar{z}}$ , a path  $P_{62} \subset \widehat{C}_{\bar{z}} \cap \widehat{C}_2$ , and a path  $P_{63} \subset \widehat{C}_1 \cap \widehat{C}_{\bar{z}}$ . By combining  $P_{61}$  with  $P_5$  and  $P_{63}$  with  $P_1$ , we can assume  $P_6 \subset \widehat{C}_2$ . This reduces to Case 6.

Second we consider the subcase that  $\bar{x}_0$  and  $\bar{x}_2$  are not adjacent to any common vertex of type  $\hat{b}$ . Then  $\widehat{C}_{02}$  and  $\widehat{C}_{04}$  are single points, and  $\widehat{C}_{01} = \widehat{C}_{03}$  is a standard subcomplex of type  $b$ . As  $\Pi_{\widehat{C}_0}(P)$  is null-homotopic loop in  $\widehat{C}_0$ , we conclude that  $w''_{bc} = b^*$  in  $A_{bc}$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $\omega$  is a local embedding at  $x_3$ .

Case 7.2:  $\bar{x}_1$  is of type  $\hat{a}$ . Up to a cyclic permutation of the index  $i$ , we assume

$$P_i \subset \widehat{C}_{i+1} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3, P_4 \subset \widehat{C}_1, P_5 \subset \widehat{C}_0 \text{ and } P_6 \subset \widehat{C}_1.$$

Note that  $\widehat{C}_{30} = \widehat{C}_{31}$  is a standard subcomplex of type  $a$ . As  $\Pi_{\widehat{C}_3}(P)$  is null-homotopic loop in  $\widehat{C}_3$ , we conclude that  $b^*w_{bc}b^*a^* = 1$  in  $A_{bc}$ . Thus up to passing to an equivalent  $w$ , we can assume  $w_{bc} = a^*$  and it corresponds to a path in  $\widehat{C}_{31}$ . Then  $P_2$  is homotopic rel endpoints in  $\widehat{\Sigma}$  to the concatenation of a path  $P_{21} \subset \widehat{C}_{24}$ , a path  $P_{22} \subset \widehat{C}_{31}$  and a path  $P_{23} \subset \widehat{C}_{42}$ . By combining  $P_{21}$  with  $P_1$ , and  $P_{23}$  with  $P_3$ , we can assume  $P_2 \subset \widehat{C}_4$ . And this reduces to Case 5.

Case 8: the  $\pi$ -image of  $\omega$  is six edges. Then  $\pi(\omega)$  is an embedded 6-cycle. We assume vertices of this 6-cycle are  $\{\bar{x}_i\}_{i=1}^6$ . Let  $\widehat{C}_i = \widehat{C}_{\bar{x}_i}$  and  $\widehat{C}_{ij} = \Pi_{\widehat{C}_i}(\widehat{C}_j)$ . Up to a cyclic permutation, we assume  $P_i \subset \widehat{C}_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 6$ . From the geometry of  $\Sigma$ , we know there is a vertex  $\bar{x}_0$  of type  $\hat{a}$  such that  $\bar{x}_0$  is adjacent to  $\bar{x}_i$  for  $i = 1, 3, 5$ .

We first consider the situation that  $\bar{x}_i \neq \bar{x}_0$  for  $i = 2, 4, 6$ . Then  $\widehat{C}_{24}$  and  $\widehat{C}_{26}$  are single points, and  $\widehat{C}_{25}$  is a standard subcomplex of type  $c$ . Thus by considering the null-homotopic loop  $\Pi_{\widehat{C}_2}(P)$  in  $\widehat{C}_2$ , we know  $b^*w_{bc}b^*c^* = 1$ . Thus up to passing to an equivalent  $w$ , we can assume  $w_{bc} = c^*$  and it corresponds to a path in  $\widehat{C}_{25}$ . By the same argument as in Case 7.2, we can perform of homotopy (rel endpoints) of  $P_2$  such that it is a concatenation of a path in  $\widehat{C}_1$ , a path in  $\widehat{C}_{\bar{x}_0}$  and a path in  $\widehat{C}_3$ . Thus up to combining suitable subpaths of  $P_2$  with  $P_1$  and  $P_3$ , we can assume  $P_2 \subset \widehat{C}_{\bar{x}_0}$ . Similar arguments implies that we can assume  $P_4, P_6 \subset \widehat{C}_{\bar{x}_0}$ . On the level of  $\omega$ , this has the effect of replacing  $x_i$  by another vertex of type  $\hat{a}$  that are adjacent to  $y_i$  and  $y_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Thus we are reduced to Case 5.

It remains to consider the case that one of  $\bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_4, \bar{x}_6$  is  $\bar{x}_0$ , say  $\bar{x}_6 = \bar{x}_0$ . Then  $\widehat{C}_{24}$  is a single point, and  $\widehat{C}_{25} = \widehat{C}_{26}$  is a standard subcomplex of type  $c$ . Thus by considering the null-homotopic loop  $\Pi_{\widehat{C}_2}(P)$  in  $\widehat{C}_2$ , we know  $b^*w_{bc}b^*c^* = 1$ . By the argument in the previous paragraph, we can still assume  $P_2, P_4 \subset \widehat{C}_{\bar{x}_0}$  and it reduces to Case 5 again.  $\square$

## 8 Propagation of bowtie free and flagness

In this section we discuss several propagation results in the sense that if we know bowtie free or flagness on the links of some relative Artin complexes, then we can deduce that the relative Artin complexes also satisfy bowtie free or flagness, under suitable assumptions.

### 8.1 Case $\widetilde{B}_n$

**Proposition 8.1.** *Let  $\Lambda, \Lambda', \Lambda_i, \Lambda'_i, \{b_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be as in Proposition 3.32. Suppose all the assumptions in Proposition 3.32 holds true. Then the following holds true:*

1. For  $i = 1, 2$ , the vertex set of the relative Artin complex  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'_i}$ , endowed with the order induced from  $b_1 < b_3 < \dots < b_{n+1}$ , is a bowtie free, upward flag poset.
2. The  $(b_1, b_2)$ -subdivision of  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'_{n+1}}$  is bowtie free and downward flag.
3. Assume in addition that  $\Delta_{\Lambda_{n+1}, \Lambda'_{n+1}}$  satisfies the labeled 4-wheel condition, then  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$  satisfies the labeled 4-wheel condition.




Figure 13: Proof of Proposition 8.1.

*Proof.* Let  $\Delta'$  be the subdivision of  $\Delta$  as in Definition 3.30, whose vertex set is endowed with the partial order as in Definition 3.30. For the first assertion, note that the embedding  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'_i} \rightarrow \Delta'$  preserves the order on the respective vertex sets. Take pairwise distinct vertices  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  in  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'_i}$  such that  $x_i$  and  $x_{i+1}$  has a common upper bound  $y_i$  in  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'_i}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ . Viewing  $x_i$  and  $y_j$  as vertices in  $\Delta'$  and applying Proposition 3.32 and Lemma 5.1, we know  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  have a common upper bound  $z$  in  $V\Delta'$ . Note that  $t(z) \neq 2$ , as  $t(z) = 2$  implies that there are at most two elements in  $V\Delta'$  which are  $< z$ , contradicting  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  being pairwise distinct. Hence  $z \in \Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'_i}$ , which implies  $z$  is a common upper bound for  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  in  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'_i}$ . Similarly, we can deduce Assertion 2 from Lemma 5.1 and Proposition 3.32.

For Assertion 3, by Assertion 1 and Lemma 3.20, it suffices to show  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'_0}$  is bowtie free, where  $\Lambda'_0 = \overline{b_1 b_3} \cup \overline{b_2 b_3}$ . We use Lemma 3.24. Let  $\Delta'_{\Lambda, \Lambda'_0}$  be the subdivision of  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'_0}$  induced by  $\Delta'$ . Then  $\Delta'_{\Lambda, \Lambda'_0}$  is the full subcomplex of  $\Delta'$  spanned by vertices of type 1, 2 and 3. Take a vertex  $v \in \Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'_0}$  of type  $\hat{b}_i$  for  $i = 1$  or  $2$ . Then  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'_0})$  and  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta'_{\Lambda, \Lambda'_0})$  are isomorphic. As  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta'_{\Lambda, \Lambda'_0})$  is bowtie free by Proposition 3.32 and Lemma 5.1, we know  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'_0})$  is bowtie free.

It remains to verify Assumption 3 of Lemma 3.24 for  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'_0}$ . We refer to Figure 13. Take a 4-cycle  $x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4$  in  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'_0}$  such that  $x_i$  is of type  $\hat{b}_1$  (resp.  $\hat{b}_2$ ) for  $i = 1, 3$  (resp.  $i = 2, 4$ ). Let  $Y$  be the thickening of  $\Delta'$ , in the sense defined in Theorem 3.27. By Proposition 3.32 and Theorem 3.27,  $Y$  is a Helly graph. We also view  $x_i$  as a vertex in  $Y$ . Let  $y_i$  be the vertex in  $\Delta'_{\Lambda, \Lambda'_0}$  which is the middle point between  $x_i$  and  $x_{i+1}$ . For  $i = 2, 3$ , let  $y'_i$  be a vertex of  $\Delta'$  with  $t(y'_i) = n + 1$  such that  $y'_i$  is adjacent in  $\Delta'$  to each of  $\{x_i, x_{i+1}, y_i\}$ . Let  $d_Y$  denotes the combinatorial distance between vertices in  $Y$ , and let  $B_Y(x, m)$  denotes the combinatorial balls in  $Y$  centered at  $x$  with radius  $m$ . For two vertices  $x, y \in Y$ , we write  $x \sim_Y y$  if either  $x = y$  or  $x$  and  $y$  are adjacent in  $Y$ .

Note that  $B_Y(y'_2, 1)$ ,  $B_Y(y'_3, 1)$  and  $B_Y(x_1, 2)$  pairwise intersect, thus they have a common intersection in  $Y$ , denoted by  $z$ . Thus  $z \sim_Y y'_i$  for  $i = 2, 3$ . As  $t(y'_i) = n + 1$ , it follows from the definition of edges in  $Y$  that  $z \sim_{\Delta'} y'_i$ . Now we consider  $B_Y(x_2, 1)$ ,  $B_Y(z, 1)$ , and  $B_Y(x_1, 1)$ , which pairwise intersect, and let  $y'_1$  be a vertex in the common intersection. We claim that we can choose  $y'_1$  such that  $t(y'_1) = n + 1$  and

$$y'_1 \in B_{\Delta'}(x_2, 1) \cap B_{\Delta'}(z, 1) \cap B_{\Delta'}(x_1, 1).$$

Indeed, if  $t(y'_1) \neq n + 1$ , then the definition of edges in  $Y$  implies that there are vertices  $w_1, w_2 \in \Delta'$  with  $t(w_1) = 1$  and  $t(w_2) = n + 1$  such that  $w_1 \leq \{y'_1, z\} \leq w_2$  in  $(V\Delta', \leq)$ . In particular,  $w_2 \sim_{\Delta'} z$ . As  $t(x_2) = t(x_1) = 1$ , we know  $y'_1 \sim_{\Delta'} x_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Thus  $x_i \leq y'_1$  in  $(V\Delta', \leq)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Since  $y'_1 \leq w_2$ , we have  $x_i \leq w_2$  for  $i = 1, 2$  in  $(V\Delta', \leq)$ . Thus the claim is proved if we

replace  $y'_1$  by  $w_2$ . Similarly, we can choose  $y'_4$  such that  $t(y'_4) = n + 1$  and

$$y'_4 \in B_{\Delta'}(x_4, 1) \cap B_{\Delta'}(z, 1) \cap B_{\Delta'}(x_1, 1).$$

If  $t(z) = n + 1$ , then  $z = y'_1 = y'_2 = y'_3 = y'_4$ . Thus  $x_i \in \text{lk}(z, \Delta)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ . As  $\text{lk}(z, \Delta) \cong \Delta_{\Lambda_{n+1}, \Lambda'_{n+1}}$  satisfies labeled 4-wheel condition, there is  $z' \in \text{lk}(z, \Delta)$  of type  $\hat{b}_3$  such that  $z'$  is adjacent to each of  $x_i$  in  $\text{lk}(z, \Delta)$  (hence in  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'_{n+1}}$ ), as desired. Now we assume  $t(z) \leq n$ . As  $y'_i > z$  for each  $i$ , by replacing  $z$  by an element which is less than  $z$  in  $(V\Delta', \leq)$ , we can assume that  $t(z) = 1$  and  $z$  is adjacent to each  $y'_i$  in  $\Delta'$ . Then we can view each  $x_i$  and  $z$  as vertices in  $\Delta$ .

First we assume  $z \notin \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ . We claim there is a vertex  $z'$  with  $t(z') = n + 1$  such that  $z'$  is adjacent in  $\Delta$  to at least three of  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ . Now we prove the claim. As each pair from  $\{y'_2, y_1, y'_4\}$  have a lower bound, there is a common lower bound  $w$  of  $\{y'_2, y_1, y'_4\}$  by Lemma 5.1. We can assume  $t(w) = 1$ . As  $w < y_1$ , and in  $\Delta'$  there is only two vertices with  $t$ -value 1 that are below  $y_1$ , we know  $w = x_2$  or  $x_1$ . If  $w = x_2$ , then  $y'_4$  is adjacent in  $\Delta$  to each of  $\{x_2, x_1, x_4\}$  and the claim follows. If  $w = x_1$ , then  $y'_2$  is adjacent in  $\Delta$  to each of  $\{x_2, x_1, x_3\}$  and the claim follows.

Now we claim the same  $z'$  is adjacent to each of  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $z'$  is adjacent to  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ . As each pair from  $\{z', y_3, y_4\}$  have a lower bound, there is a common lower bound  $w$  of  $\{z', y_3, y_4\}$  by Lemma 5.1. We can assume  $t(w) = 1$ . As  $w < y_3$ , the argument in the previous paragraph implies that  $w \in \{x_3, x_4\}$ . Similarly,  $w < y_4$  implies  $w \in \{x_4, x_1\}$ . Thus  $w = x_4$ , which implies that  $z'$  is adjacent to  $x_4$  in  $\Delta$ . This claim implies that the 4-cycle  $x_1x_2x_3x_4$  are contained in  $\text{lk}(z', \Delta)$ . As  $\text{lk}(z', \Delta) \cong \Delta_{\Lambda_{n+1}, \Lambda'_{n+1}}$  are assumed to satisfy labeled 4-wheel condition, we know from Lemma 3.20 that there is a vertex  $z'' \in \text{lk}(z', \Delta)$  of type  $\hat{b}_3$  such that  $z''$  is adjacent in  $\Delta$  to each of  $x_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ .

Suppose  $z \in \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ , say  $z = x_2$ . Then  $y'_3$  is adjacent in  $\Delta$  to each of  $\{x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ , and we finish as before.  $\square$

## 8.2 Downward flagness with respect to different subdivisions

Our main goal in this subsection is to prove:

**Proposition 8.2.** *Let  $\Lambda, \Lambda', \Lambda_i, \Lambda'_i, \{b_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be as in Proposition 3.32. Assume  $n = 4$ . Let  $\Theta \subset \Lambda$  be the full subgraph spanned by  $\{b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4\}$ . Then*

1. *if the  $(b_2, b_4)$ -subdivision of  $\Delta_{\Lambda_{n+1}, \Theta}$  is bowtie free and downward flag, then same holds for the  $(b_2, b_4)$ -subdivision of  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Theta}$ ;*
2. *if the  $(b_1, b_4)$ -subdivision of  $\Delta_{\Lambda_{n+1}, \Theta}$  is bowtie free and downward flag, then the same holds for the  $(b_1, b_4)$ -subdivision of  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Theta}$ .*

We first establish several auxiliary lemmas before we prove Proposition 8.2.

**Lemma 8.3.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be an arbitrary Dynkin diagram (not necessarily satisfying assumptions of Proposition 8.2) with an admissible full subgraph  $\Theta$  which is a star with central vertex  $b_3$  and leaf vertices  $\{b_1, b_2, b_4\}$ . Suppose  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Theta}$  satisfies labeled 4-wheel condition. Then the following hold.*

1. *If  $x_1x_2x_3x_4$  is an embedded four cycle in  $\Delta_{\Lambda}$  such that  $\text{Type}(x_1) = \text{Type}(x_3) = \hat{b}_i$ ,  $\text{Type}(x_2) = \hat{b}_j$ ,  $\text{Type}(x_4) = \hat{b}_k$  with  $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 4\}$ , then  $x_2 \sim_{\Delta} x_4$ .*
2. *Suppose in addition that the  $(b_2, b_4)$ -subdivision of  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Theta}$  is bowtie free and downward flag. Let  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^5$  be consecutive vertices in a 5-cycle  $\omega$  of  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Theta}$  such that  $\text{Type}(x_1) = \hat{b}_4$ ,  $\text{Type}(x_2) = \text{Type}(x_4) = \hat{b}_1$ , and  $\text{Type}(x_3) = \text{Type}(x_5) = \hat{b}_2$ . Then either  $x_2$  is adjacent in  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Theta}$  to  $x_5$ , or  $x_1$  is adjacent in  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Theta}$  to each of  $\{x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5\}$ .*

*Proof.* For Assertion 1, if  $x_2$  and  $x_4$  are not adjacent, then by the labeled 4-wheel condition, there is a vertex  $y \in \Delta_{\Lambda, \Theta}$  of type  $\hat{s}$  such that  $y$  is adjacent to each of  $x_i$  in  $\Delta_{\Lambda}$  and  $s \in \Theta$ . As  $s \neq b_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 4$ , we know  $s = b_3$ . Hence  $b_j$  and  $b_k$  are in different components of  $\Theta \setminus \{s\}$ , hence they are in different components of  $\Lambda \setminus \{s\}$  as  $\Theta$  is admissible in  $\Lambda$ . By looking at  $x_2$  and  $x_4$  as vertices in  $\text{lk}(y, \Delta_{\Lambda})$  and applying Lemma 3.3 (3), we deduce that  $x_2$  and  $x_4$  are adjacent in  $\Delta_{\Lambda}$ , contradiction.

Now we prove Assertion 2. We will assume  $x_2 \neq x_4$  and  $x_3 \neq x_5$  (i.e. the 5-cycle is embedded), otherwise  $x_2$  is adjacent to  $x_5$ . Let  $x_6$  be a vertex in  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Theta}$  of type  $\hat{b}_1$  which is adjacent to both  $x_1$  and  $x_5$ . Consider the 6-cycle  $x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6$ . As the  $(b_2, b_4)$ -subdivision of  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Theta}$  is downward flag, there is a vertex  $z$  of type  $\hat{b}_2$  or  $\hat{b}_4$  such that  $z$  is adjacent to each of  $\{x_2, x_4, x_6\}$ .

Suppose  $z$  is of type  $\hat{b}_4$ . If  $z = x_1$ , then  $x_1$  is adjacent to  $\{x_5, x_4, x_2\}$ . By applying Assertion 1 to the 4-cycle  $x_1x_2x_3x_4$ , we know  $x_1$  and  $x_3$  are adjacent, hence  $x_1$  is adjacent to each of  $\{x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5\}$ . If  $z \neq x_1$ , then by applying Assertion 1 to the embedded 4-cycle  $x_6zx_4x_5$ , we know  $z$  and  $x_5$  are adjacent. By looking at the embedded 4-cycle  $x_5zx_2x_1$  and applying the labeled 4-wheel condition, we know  $x_2$  is adjacent to  $x_5$ .

Now suppose  $z$  is of type  $\hat{b}_2$ . The labeled 4-wheel condition applied to  $x_1x_6zx_2$  implies that  $z$  is adjacent to  $x_1$ . If  $z = x_5$ , then  $x_2$  is adjacent to  $x_5$ . If  $z \neq x_5$ , then we consider the embedded 4-cycle  $zx_1x_5x_4$  and deduce from Assertion 1 that  $x_1$  is adjacent to  $x_4$ . By considering the embedded 4-cycle  $x_1x_2x_3x_5$ , we know  $x_1$  is adjacent to  $x_3$ . Thus  $x_1$  is adjacent to each of  $\{x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5\}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 8.4.** *Let  $\Lambda, \Lambda', \Lambda_i, \Lambda'_i, \{b_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be as in Proposition 3.32. Assume  $n = 4$ . Suppose at least one of Assumption 1 and Assumption 2 of Proposition 8.2 is true. Then  $\Delta = \Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$  satisfies the labeled 4-wheel condition. In particular, if  $x_1x_2x_3x_4$  is an embedded four cycle in  $\Delta$  such that  $\text{Type}(x_1) = \text{Type}(x_3) = \hat{b}_i$ ,  $\text{Type}(x_2) = \hat{b}_j$ ,  $\text{Type}(x_4) = \hat{b}_k$  with  $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 4\}$  or  $\{i, j\} = \{1, 2\}$  and  $k = 5$ . Then  $x_2 \sim_{\Delta} x_4$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 8.1 (1),  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'_i}$  is bowtie free for  $i = 1, 2$ . Thus  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'_i \cap \Lambda'_{n+1}}$  is bowtie free for  $i = 1, 2$ . By [Hua23, Proposition 6.18],  $\Delta_{\Lambda_{n+1}, \Lambda'_i \cap \Lambda'_{n+1}}$  is bowtie free for  $i = 1, 2$ . By the additional assumption in either (1) or (2) of Proposition 8.2,  $\Delta_{\Lambda_{n+1}, \{b_1, b_2, b_3\}}$  is bowtie free. By Lemma 3.20,  $\Delta_{\Lambda_{n+1}, \Lambda'_{n+1}}$  is satisfies the labeled 4-wheel condition. Thus  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$  satisfies the labeled 4-wheel by Proposition 8.1 (3). For the in particular part of the lemma, the case  $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 4\}$  follows from Lemma 8.3 (1). Now assume  $\{i, j\} = \{1, 2\}$  and  $k = 5$ . By the labeled 4-wheel condition, either  $x_2 \sim_{\Delta} x_4$ , or there is a vertex  $w$  of type  $\hat{s}$  with  $s \in \Lambda'$  such that  $w$  is adjacent in  $\Delta$  to each of  $x_i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ . As  $s \notin \{b_1, b_2, b_5\}$ , we know  $b_j$  and  $b_k$  are in different components of  $\Lambda' \setminus \{s\}$ , hence are in different components of  $\Lambda \setminus \{s\}$ . Hence by Lemma 3.3 (3) applied to  $x_2, x_4 \in \text{lk}(w, \Delta)$ , we know  $x_2 \sim_{\Delta} x_4$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Lemma 8.5.** *Suppose the assumptions of Proposition 3.32 hold. Let  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^6$  be consecutive vertices in a 6-cycle in  $\Delta'$ . Suppose that*

- $x_1 \neq x_3$  and  $t(x_1) = t(x_3) = t(x_5) = 1$ ;
- $t(x_2) = 2$ ,  $t(x_4) > 1$  and  $t(x_6) > 1$ .

*Then either  $x_1$  is adjacent to  $x_4$  in  $\Delta$ , or  $x_3$  is adjacent to  $x_6$  in  $\Delta$ . If in addition that  $t(x_4) = 2$  and  $\{x_1, x_3, x_5\}$  are pairwise distinct, then  $x_3$  is adjacent to  $x_6$ .*

*Proof.* Each pair in  $\{x_2, x_4, x_6\}$  has a common lower bound in  $(V\Delta', <)$ , hence by Proposition 3.32 and Lemma 5.1, we know they have a common lower bound, denoted by  $z$ , in  $(V\Delta', <)$ . We can assume  $t(z) = 1$ . As there are only two elements in  $(V\Delta', <)$  that are less than  $x_2$  and  $x_1 \neq x_3$ , we know either  $z = x_1$ , which implies  $x_1$  is adjacent to  $x_4$  in  $\Delta$ , or  $z = x_3$ , which implies  $x_3$  is adjacent to  $x_6$  in  $\Delta$ . For the in addition part, we deduce that  $z = x_3$ , hence  $x_3$  is adjacent to  $x_6$  in  $\Delta$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 8.6.** *Suppose the assumptions of Proposition 3.32 hold. Let  $x_1y_1x_2y_2'x_3y_3'x_4y_4$  be consecutive vertices of a 8-cycle in  $\Delta'$  such that  $t(x_i) = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ ;  $t(y_i) = 2$  for  $i = 1, 4$  and  $t(y_i') = n + 1$  for  $i = 2, 3$ . We also assume  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$  are pairwise distinct. Then at least one of the following holds true:*

1. *there exists a vertex  $w \in \Delta$  with  $t(w) = n + 1$  such that  $w$  is adjacent in  $\Delta$  to both  $x_2$  and  $x_4$ ;*
2.  *$x_1$  is adjacent in  $\Delta$  to both  $y_2'$  and  $y_3'$ .*

*Proof.* We assume  $y_2' \neq y_3'$ , otherwise we are in Case 1. Repeating the argument in the proof of Proposition 8.1 (3) (see Figure 13 (I), though we caution the reader that now we no longer assume  $x_3$  is adjacent in  $\Delta$  to  $x_2$  and  $x_4$ ), we can find  $y_1'$  with  $t(y_1') = n + 1$  such that  $y_1'$  is adjacent in  $\Delta$  to both  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ ,  $y_4'$  with  $t(y_4') = n + 1$  such that  $y_4'$  is adjacent in  $\Delta$  to both  $x_1$  and  $x_4$ , and  $z$  with  $t(z) = 1$  such that  $z$  is adjacent in  $\Delta$  to each of  $\{y_1', y_2', y_3', y_4'\}$ . Note the case of  $z = y_1' = y_2' = y_3' = y_4'$  in the proof of Proposition 8.1 (3) is ruled out, as we assume  $y_2' \neq y_3'$ .

By applying Lemma 8.5 to  $x_1y_1x_2y_2'zy_4'$ , we know either  $y_4'$  is adjacent to  $x_2$  in  $\Delta$ , which implies that  $y_4'$  is adjacent to each of  $\{x_1, x_2, x_4\}$  in  $\Delta$ , or  $x_1$  is adjacent to  $y_2'$  in  $\Delta$ . By applying Lemma 8.5 to  $x_1y_4x_4y_3'zy_1'$ , we know either  $y_1'$  is adjacent to each of  $\{x_1, x_2, x_4\}$  in  $\Delta$ , or  $x_1$  is adjacent to  $y_3'$  in  $\Delta$ . Thus the lemma follows.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 8.2.* Let  $\Delta = \Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$  and  $\Delta'$  be as in Definition 3.30. The cases of  $(b_2, b_4)$ -subdivision and  $(b_1, b_4)$ -subdivision are symmetric, so we only treat the  $(b_2, b_4)$ -subdivision  $\Delta''_{\Lambda, \Theta}$  of  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Theta}$ . Let  $t''$  be the type function on  $V\Delta''_{\Lambda, \Theta}$ . By Lemma 3.31,  $(V\Delta''_{\Lambda, \Theta}, <)$  is a poset. The bowtie free property of  $(V\Delta''_{\Lambda, \Theta}, <)$  follows from that  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$  satisfies the labeled four wheel condition (see Lemma 8.4).

Take three different vertices  $\{x_1, x_3, x_5\}$  in  $\Delta''_{\Lambda, \Theta}$  such that they pairwise have a lower bound in  $(V\Delta''_{\Lambda, \Theta}, <)$ . We need to show they have a common lower bound. We will only treat the case when  $t''(x_i) = 4$  for  $i = 1, 3, 5$ ; as the other cases are similar and much simpler. Let  $x_{i+1}$  be a lower bound for  $\{x_i, x_{i+2}\}$ , with  $i = 1, 3, 5 \in \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ . We can assume  $t''(x_i) = 1$  for  $i = 2, 4, 6$ . Then the vertices  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^6$  form a 6-cycle  $\omega$  in  $\Delta''_{\Lambda, \Theta}$ . We can assume this 6-cycle is embedded, otherwise we are already done. Note that  $\omega$  is also a 6-cycle in  $\Delta$ . Note that  $\text{Type}(x_i) = \hat{b}_1$  for  $i$  odd. And  $\text{Type}(x_i) = \hat{b}_2$  or  $\hat{b}_4$  for  $i$  even. If one of  $\{x_i, x_{i+1}\}$  has type  $\hat{b}_2$ , and the other one has type  $\hat{b}_1$ , then let  $m_i \in \Delta'$  be the midpoint of  $\overline{x_i x_{i+1}}$ .

Case 1: all of  $\{x_2, x_4, x_6\}$  has type  $\hat{b}_4$ . Then  $\{x_1, x_3, x_5\}$  is pairwise upper bounded in  $(V\Delta', <)$ . By Lemma 5.1 and Proposition 3.35, there is a vertex  $z \in V\Delta'$  which is a common upper bound for  $\{x_1, x_3, x_5\}$  in  $(V\Delta', <)$ . We can assume  $t(z) = n + 1$ . Note that  $x_1, x_3, x_5 \in \text{lk}(z, \Delta_{\Lambda, \Theta})$ . As  $z$  and  $x_2$  are both common upper bound of  $\{x_1, x_3\}$  in  $(V\Delta', <)$ , then the join  $x_2'$  of  $x_1$  and  $x_3$  in  $(V\Delta', <)$  satisfies that  $x_2' < z$ . As  $x_2' \leq x_2$  in  $(V\Delta', <)$ , we know  $t(x_2') \leq 4$ . Thus there is  $x_2''$  of type  $\hat{b}_4$  with  $x_2' \leq x_2'' \leq z$  in  $(V\Delta', <)$ . Similarly we define  $x_4''$  and  $x_6''$ . We now consider the 6-cycle  $x_1x_2''x_3x_4''x_5x_6''$  in  $\text{lk}(z, \Delta_{\Lambda, \Theta}) \cong \Delta_{\Lambda_{n+1}, \Theta}$ . As the  $(b_2, b_4)$ -subdivision of  $\Delta_{\Lambda_{n+1}, \Theta}$  is downward flag, we know  $\{x_1, x_3, x_5\}$  has a common lower bound in  $(V\Delta''_{\Lambda, \Theta}, <)$ .

Case 2: exactly two of  $\{x_2, x_4, x_6\}$ , say  $x_2$  and  $x_6$ , have type  $\hat{b}_4$ . Then Lemma 8.6 applies to the 8-cycle  $x_5x_6x_1x_2x_3m_3x_4m_4$  in  $\Delta'$ . If we are in case 1 of Lemma 8.6, then there exists vertex  $x_4'$  with  $t(x_4') = n + 1$  such that  $x_4'$  is adjacent to  $x_3$  and  $x_5$  in  $\Delta$ . Thus each pair from  $\{x_1, x_3, x_5\}$  has a common upper bound in  $(V\Delta', <)$ . Hence Lemma 5.1 and Proposition 3.35 imply that there is a vertex  $z \in V\Delta'$  which is a common upper bound for  $\{x_1, x_3, x_5\}$  in  $(V\Delta', <)$ . We can assume  $t(z) = n + 1$ . By applying Lemma 8.5 to the 6-cycle  $zx_3m_3x_4m_4x_5$  in  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$ , we know  $x_4 \in \text{lk}(z, \Delta_{\Lambda, \Theta})$ . Similar to Case 1, up to replace  $x_2$  and  $x_6$  by different vertices of type  $\hat{b}_4$ , we can assume the 6-cycle  $\omega$  is contained in  $\text{lk}(z, \Delta_{\Lambda, \Theta}) \cong \Delta_{\Lambda_{n+1}, \Theta}$  and we finish in the same way as in Case 1. If we are in Case 2 of Lemma 8.6, then  $x_4$  is adjacent in  $\Delta$  to  $x_6$  and  $x_2$ . Applying Lemma 8.4 to the 4-cycle  $x_4x_6x_1x_2$  in  $\Delta$ , we know  $x_4$  is adjacent to  $x_1$  in  $\Delta$ . Thus  $x_4$  is a common lower bound of  $\{x_1, x_3, x_5\}$  in  $(V\Delta''_{\Lambda, \Theta}, <)$ .

Before we discuss the remaining cases, we need an extra observation. Let  $Y$  be the graph as in the proof of Proposition 8.1. Recall that we write  $x \sim_Y y$  if either  $x = y$  or  $x$  and  $y$  are adjacent vertices in  $Y$ . As consecutive vertices of  $\omega$  has distance  $\leq 2$  in  $\Delta'$ , hence the same holds in  $Y$ . Thus  $\{B_Y(x_i, 2)\}_{i=1,3,5}$  pairwise intersects. Let  $z \in Y$  be a vertex in their common intersection. Then for  $i = 1, 3, 5$ , either  $z \sim_Y x_i$  or there is  $z_i \in Y$  with  $z_i \notin \{z, x_i\}$  such that  $z_i \sim_Y x_i$  and  $z_i \sim_Y z$ .

Suppose  $t(z) = n + 1$ . Then  $z = x_i$  is impossible. The definition of  $Y$  implies that for  $i = 1, 3, 5$ , either  $z \sim_{\Delta'} x_i$  or  $z_i \sim_{\Delta'} x_i$  and  $z_i \sim_{\Delta'} z$ . In the latter case,  $t(x_i) < t(z_i) < t(z)$ , hence  $z \sim_{\Delta'} x_i$  as well. Thus  $z \sim_{\Delta} x_i$  for  $i = 1, 3, 5$ . For  $i = 2, 4, 6$ , either  $z$  is adjacent to  $x_i$  in  $\Delta$  (this happens when  $x_i$  is of type  $\hat{b}_2$ , by using Lemma 8.5 as before), or up to replace  $x_i$  by another type  $\hat{b}_4$  vertex  $x_i''$  with  $x_i'' \sim_{\Delta} x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}$ , we can assume  $x_i''$  is adjacent to  $z$  in  $\Delta$ . Thus  $\omega \subset \text{lk}(z, \Delta_{\Lambda, \Theta})$  and we can finish as before.

Now we assume  $t(z) < n + 1$ . We claim for each  $i = 1, 3, 5$ , and each of the three possibilities between  $z$  and  $x_i$ , we can find vertex  $z_i \in \Delta'$  with  $t(z_i) = n + 1$  such that  $z_i > x_i$  and  $z_i > z$  in  $(V\Delta', <)$ . Indeed, if  $z_i \sim_Y x_i$  and  $z_i \sim_Y z$ , then by  $t(x_i) = 1$  and definition of  $Y$ , we know  $z_i \sim_{\Delta'} x_i$ . Moreover, there exists  $z_i'$  with  $t(z_i') = n + 1$  such that  $\{z, z + i\} \leq z_i'$  in  $(V\Delta', <)$ . Thus  $x_i < z_i'$  and  $z_i < z_i'$  in  $(V\Delta', <)$ . Thus the claim follows by replacing  $z_i$  by  $z_i'$ , in the case of  $z_i \sim_Y x_i$  and  $z_i \sim_Y z$ . The other cases are already clear. In the following discussion, we will also assume  $t(z) = 1$  (this can be arranged by possibly replacing  $z$  by a smaller element in  $(V\Delta', <)$ ).

Case 3: exactly one of  $\{x_2, x_4, x_6\}$ , say  $x_2$ , has type  $\hat{b}_4$ . Consider the 8-cycles in  $\Delta'$ :

$$\omega_1 = zz_1x_1m_6x_6m_5x_5z_5, \quad \omega_2 = zz_3x_3m_3x_4m_4x_5z_5.$$

If both  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  are in Lemma 8.6 (1), then each pair from  $\{x_1, x_3, x_5\}$  have a common upper bound in  $(V\Delta', <)$ . By Lemma 5.1 and Proposition 3.32,  $\{x_1, x_3, x_5\}$  has a common upper bound in  $(V\Delta', <)$ , say  $z'$ . We can assume  $t(z') = n + 1$ . Then  $z' \sim_{\Delta} x_i$  for  $i = 1, 3, 5$ , and we can arrange as in Case 1 that  $\omega \subset \text{lk}(z', \Delta_{\Lambda, \Theta})$  up to possibly replacing some of  $\{x_2, x_4, x_6\}$  by vertices of the same type, and finish in the same way as in Case 1.

If exactly one of  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$ , say  $\omega_2$ , is in Lemma 8.6 (1). See Figure 8.2 (I). Let  $w$  be a vertex with  $t(w) = n + 1$  such that  $w$  is adjacent in  $\Delta$  to each of  $x_3$  and  $x_5$ . Now applying Lemma 8.6 to the 8-cycle  $x_3wx_5m_5x_6m_6x_1x_2'$  where  $x_2'$  is a vertex of type  $\hat{b}_{n+1}$  adjacent in  $\Delta$  to both  $x_1$  to  $x_5$ , if we are in Lemma 8.6 (1), then it reduces to the previous paragraph, otherwise we can assume  $x_6$  is adjacent to  $w$  in  $\Delta$ . Now we consider the 6-cycle  $x_1x_2x_3wx_6m_6$  in  $\Delta'$ . By Lemma 8.5, either  $x_1$  is adjacent to  $w$  in  $\Delta$ , in which case  $w$  is a common upper bound of  $\{x_1, x_3, x_5\}$  in  $(V\Delta', <)$  and we repeat the argument in the previous paragraph, or  $x_6$  is adjacent to  $x_2$ . By the labeled 4-wheel condition (Lemma 8.4) applying to 4-cycle  $x_6x_2x_3w$  of  $\Delta$ , we know either  $w$  is adjacent to  $x_2$  in  $\Delta$ , or  $x_6$  is adjacent to  $x_3$  in  $\Delta$ , or there is a vertex  $z$  in  $\Delta$  adjacent each of the vertices of this 4-cycle. If  $w \sim_{\Delta} x_2$ , then  $w \sim_{\Delta} x_1$  by applying Lemma 3.3 (3) to  $w, x_1 \in \text{lk}(x_2, \Delta)$ , hence we finish as before. If  $x_6 \sim_{\Delta} x_3$ , then  $x_6$  is a common lower bound for  $\{x_1, x_3, x_5\}$  in  $(V\Delta''_{\Lambda, \Theta}, <)$ . If there is a vertex  $z$  in  $\Delta$  adjacent each of the vertices of this 4-cycle, as the type of  $z$  is different from the type of each vertex in the 4-cycle, we know  $\text{Type}(z) = \hat{b}_3$ , which implies that  $x_6 \sim_{\Delta} x_3$  by applying Lemma 3.3 (3) to  $x_6, x_3 \in \text{lk}(z, \Delta)$ , and we finish as before.

Suppose both  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  are in Lemma 8.6 (2). See Figure 8.2 (II). Then  $z_5$  is adjacent to each of  $\{x_4, x_6\}$  in  $\Delta$ . By applying Lemma 8.5 to the 6-cycle  $x_4m_4x_5m_5x_6z_5$  in  $\Delta'$ , we know  $z_5 \sim_{\Delta} x_5$ . Let  $w$  be a common upper bound of  $\{x_1, x_3, z\}$  in  $(V\Delta', <)$  ( $w$  exists as each pair in  $\{x_1, x_3, z\}$  has an upper bound). Assume  $t(w) = n + 1$ . Applying Lemma 8.5 to 6-cycle  $x_6m_6x_1wz_5$  in  $\Delta'$ , we know either  $x_6 \sim_{\Delta} w$  or  $x_1 \sim_{\Delta} z_5$ .

We first treat the case of  $x_1 \sim_{\Delta} z_5$ . Applying Lemma 8.5 to the 6-cycle  $z_5x_1x_2x_3m_3x_4$  in  $\Delta'$ , we deduce that either  $x_3 \sim_{\Delta} z_5$  or  $x_4 \sim_{\Delta} x_2$ . In the former case,  $z_5$  is a common upper bound of  $\{x_1, x_3, x_5\}$  in  $(V\Delta', <)$ , and we finish as before. In the latter case (see Figure 8.2 (III)), we apply the labeled 4-wheel condition to the 4-cycle  $z_5x_1x_2x_4$  in  $\Delta$ , and argue as in the previous paragraph to deduce that either  $x_1 \sim_{\Delta} x_4$ , which implies  $x_4$  is a common lower bound for

$\{x_1, x_3, x_5\}$  in  $(V\Delta''_{\Lambda, \Theta}, <)$ , or  $z_5 \sim_{\Delta} x_2$ , which implies  $z_5 \sim_{\Delta} x_3$  by looking at  $x_3, z_5 \in \text{lk}(x_2, \Delta)$  and applying Lemma 3.3 (3). Then  $\{x_1, x_3, x_5\}$  have a common upper bound in  $(V\Delta', <)$ , and we finish as before.

It remains to consider  $x_6 \sim_{\Delta} w$ . Applying Lemma 8.5 to the 6-cycle  $wx_3m_3x_4x_5z$  in  $\Delta'$ , we deduce that either  $x_3 \sim_{\Delta} z_5$  or  $x_4 \sim_{\Delta} w$ . The case  $x_3 \sim_{\Delta} z_5$  is symmetric to the case  $x_1 \sim_{\Delta} z_5$  discussed in the previous paragraph. Now assume  $x_4 \sim_{\Delta} w$ . By applying Lemma 8.4 to the 4-cycle  $wx_6x_5x_4$  in  $\Delta$ , we know  $w \sim_{\Delta} x_5$ . Hence  $\{x_1, x_3, x_5\}$  has a common upper bound  $w$  in  $(V\Delta', <)$ , and we finish as before.




Figure 14: Proof of Proposition 8.7

Case 4:  $\text{Type}(x_i) = \hat{b}_2$  for  $i = 2, 4, 6$ . Consider the following 8-cycles in  $\Delta'$ :

$$\omega_2 = zz_1x_1m_1x_2m_2x_3z_3, \omega_4 = zz_3x_3m_3x_4m_4z_5z_5, \omega_6 = zz_5x_5m_5x_6m_6x_1z_1.$$

Suppose all of these 8-cycles belong to Lemma 8.6 (1). Then each pair from  $\{x_1, x_3, x_5\}$  have a common upper bound in  $(V\Delta', <)$ , and we can finish in the same way as in the beginning of Case 3.

Suppose two of these 8-cycles, say  $\omega_2$  and  $\omega_4$ , belong to Lemma 8.6 (1). See Figure 8.2 (IV). Let  $w_2$  (resp.  $w_4$ ) be a vertex in  $\Delta'$  with  $t(w_2) = n + 1$  (resp.  $t(w_4) = n + 1$ ) such that  $w_2$  (resp.  $w_4$ ) is adjacent to both  $x_1$  and  $x_3$  (resp. both  $x_3$  and  $x_5$ ) in  $\Delta'$ . Now we look at the 8-cycle  $x_6m_6x_1w_2x_3w_4x_5m_5$ . If Lemma 8.6 (1) holds for this 8-cycle, then we are reduced to the previous paragraph. Now suppose Lemma 8.6 (2) holds for this 8-cycle. Then  $x_6$  is adjacent to both  $w_2$  and  $w_4$  in  $\Delta$ . If  $w_2 = w_4$ , then each pair from  $\{x_1, x_3, x_5\}$  have a common upper bound in  $(V\Delta', <)$ , and we are reduced to the previous paragraph. Now assume  $w_2 \neq w_4$ . Let  $w$  be the join of  $x_6$  and  $x_3$  in  $(V\Delta', <)$ . As  $w \leq w_2$  and  $w \leq w_4$  in  $(V\Delta', <)$  and  $t(w_2) = t(w_4) = 5$ , we know  $t(w) \leq 4$ . Note that  $w$  is adjacent to each of  $w_2$  and  $w_4$  in  $\Delta'$ . If  $t(w) = 3$ , then  $\text{Type}(w) = \hat{b}_3$ , and we know  $x_6$  and  $x_3$  are adjacent in  $\Delta$  by looking at  $\text{lk}(w, \Delta)$  and applying Lemma 3.3. Then  $x_6$  is common lower bound for  $\{x_1, x_3, x_5\}$  in  $(V\Delta''_{\Lambda, \Theta}, <)$ . If  $t(w) = 2$ , then we still know  $x_6$  and  $x_3$  are adjacent in  $\Delta$  and we finish as before. It remains to consider the case  $t(w) = 4$  (where  $\text{Type}(w) = \hat{b}_4$ ).

Assume  $x_6$  and  $x_3$  are not adjacent in  $\Delta$ , otherwise we finish as before. By Lemma 8.5 applying to the 6-cycle  $x_5m_4x_4m_3x_3w_4$ , we know  $x_4$  is adjacent to  $w_4$  in  $\Delta$ . Then  $\text{lk}(w_4, \Delta_{\Lambda, \Theta}) \cong \Delta_{\Lambda_5, \Theta}$

contains the 5-cycle  $x_6wx_3x_4x_5$ . As  $x_6$  and  $x_3$  are not adjacent in  $\text{lk}(w_4, \Delta_{\Lambda, \Theta})$ , by Lemma 8.3,  $w$  is adjacent in  $\Delta$  to each of  $\{x_4, x_5\}$ . Similarly, by considering the 5-cycle  $x_6wx_3x_2x_1$  in  $\text{lk}(w_2, \Delta_{\Lambda, \Theta})$ , we know  $w$  is adjacent in  $\Delta$  to  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . Thus  $w$  is a common lower bound for  $\{x_1, x_3, x_5\}$  in  $(V\Delta''_{\Lambda, \Theta}, <)$ .

Suppose only one of  $\{\omega_2, \omega_4, \omega_6\}$ , say  $\omega_2$ , belong to Lemma 8.6 (1). See Figure 8.2 (V). Then by Lemma 8.6,  $z_5$  is adjacent in  $\Delta$  to each of  $x_6$  and  $x_4$ . On the other hand, as each pair from  $\{x_1, x_3, z\}$  has a common upper bound in  $(V\Delta', <)$ , we know  $\{x_1, x_3, z\}$  has a common upper bound in  $(V\Delta', <)$ , denoted by  $w$ . We can assume  $t(w) = n + 1 = 5$ . Now apply Lemma 8.5 to the 6-cycles  $x_6m_6x_1wzz_5$  and  $x_4m_3x_3wzz_5$ , which gives four possibilities: (1)  $x_6$  is adjacent to  $w$  and  $z_5$  is adjacent to  $x_3$  in  $\Delta$  (2)  $x_1$  is adjacent to  $z_5$  and  $w$  is adjacent to  $x_4$  in  $\Delta$ ; (3)  $z_5$  is adjacent to  $x_3$  in  $\Delta$  and  $x_1$  is adjacent to  $z_5$ ; (4)  $x_6$  is adjacent to  $w$  and  $w$  is adjacent to  $x_4$  in  $\Delta$ . Possibilities (1) and (2) are symmetric, so we only treat (1). By applying the in addition part of Lemma 8.5 to the 6-cycles  $x_1m_1x_2m_2x_3w$  and  $x_6m_5x_5m_4x_4z_5$  in  $\Delta'$ , we know  $w \sim_{\Delta} x_2$  and  $z_5 \sim_{\Delta} x_5$ . This reduces one of the previous case, where  $w$  plays the role of  $w_2$  in Figure 8.2 (IV), and  $z_5$  plays the role of  $w_4$  in Figure 8.2 (IV). For possibility (3),  $\{x_1, x_3, x_5\}$  has a common upper bound in  $(V\Delta', <)$ , which is treated in the beginning of Case 3. For possibility (4), we consider the 4-cycle  $wx_6x_5x_4$  in  $\Delta$  and use Lemma 8.4 to deduces that  $w \sim_{\Delta} x_5$ . Then the 6-cycle  $\omega$  can be treated as a 6-cycle in  $\text{lk}(w, \Delta_{\Lambda, \Theta}) \cong \Delta_{\Lambda_{n+1}, \Theta}$ , and we are done by the assumption in Proposition 8.2 (1).

It remains to consider the case that each of  $\{\omega_2, \omega_4, \omega_6\}$  belongs to Lemma 8.6 (2). Then each pair from  $\{x_2, x_4, x_6\}$  has a common upper bound in  $(V\Delta', <)$ . Hence they have a common upper bound, denoted  $w$ , in  $(V\Delta', <)$ . We can assume  $t(w) = n + 1$ . By the argument in the previous paragraph, we know  $w$  is adjacent in  $\Delta$  to each of  $\{x_1, x_3, x_5\}$ , and we finish as before.  $\square$

The following can be proved in a similar to Proposition 8.2.

**Proposition 8.7.** *Let  $\Lambda, \Lambda', \{\Lambda_i\}_{i=1}^3, \{\Lambda'_i\}_{i=1}^3$  be as in Proposition 3.35. Suppose all the assumptions in Proposition 3.35 holds true. Then for any  $i \neq j$ , the  $(b_i, b_j)$ -subdivision of  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$  is bowtie free and downward flag.*

### 8.3 Case $\tilde{D}_n$

**Proposition 8.8.** *Let  $\Lambda, \Lambda', \{\Lambda_{a_i}\}_{i=1}^2, \{\Lambda_{c_i}\}_{i=1}^2, \{\Lambda'_{a_i}\}_{i=1}^2, \{\Lambda'_{c_i}\}_{i=1}^2$  be as in Proposition 3.34. Suppose all the assumptions in Proposition 3.34 holds true. Then the following holds true.*

1. For  $i = 1, 2$ , the  $(a_1, a_2)$ -subdivision of  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'_{c_i}}$  is bowtie free and downward flag.
2. For  $i = 1, 2$ , the  $(c_1, c_2)$ -subdivision of  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'_{a_i}}$  is bowtie free and downward flag.
3. Let  $\Lambda'' = \Lambda'_{c_j} \cap \Lambda'_{a_i}$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$ . Then  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda''}$  is bowtie free.

*Proof.* Let the complex  $\Delta'$  and the poset  $(V\Delta', \leq)$  be as in Definition 3.33. By Lemma 5.1,  $(V\Delta', \leq)$  is bowtie free and flag. To prove Assertion (1), we view the  $(a_1, a_2)$ -subdivision of  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'_{c_i}}$  (denoted by  $\Delta'_{a_1, a_2}$ ) as the full subcomplex of  $\Delta'$  span by vertices of types  $1, 2, \dots, n + 2$ , and vertices of type  $n + 4$  that are also of type  $\hat{c}_i$  in  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$ . The order of vertices on  $\Delta'_{a_1, a_2}$  as in Definition 3.30 coincides with the order inherit from  $(V\Delta', \leq)$ .

Take three vertices  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$  of  $\Delta'_{a_1, a_2}$  such that each pair of them have a lower bound. Viewing them as vertices of  $V\Delta'$  and using the flagness of  $V\Delta'$ , we can find a common lower bound  $v$  of  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$  in  $V\Delta'$ . If  $v \in \Delta'_{a_1, a_2}$ , then we are done, otherwise  $v$  is of type  $n + 3$ , in which case we find  $v'$  of type  $n + 2$  with  $v' < v$ , then  $v' \in \Delta'_{a_1, a_2}$  and  $v'$  is a lower bound of  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ .

For the bowtie free property, take pairwise distinct vertices  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$  of  $\Delta'_{a_1, a_2}$  with  $v_i \leq v_3$  and  $v_i \leq v_4$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Viewing them as vertices in  $V\Delta'$ , by Lemma 5.1, we find  $v \in V\Delta'$  with  $\{v_1, v_2\} \leq v \leq \{v_3, v_4\}$ . If  $v \in \Delta'_{a_1, a_2}$ , then we are done, otherwise  $v$  is of type

$n + 3$ . Each type  $n + 3$  vertices  $<$  exactly two type  $n + 4$  vertices in  $\Delta'$ , one with type  $\hat{c}_1$  in  $\Delta$  and another one with type  $\hat{c}_2$  in  $\Delta$ . Thus  $v$  can not be of type  $n + 3$  as  $v_3$  and  $v_4$  are two different vertices in  $\Delta$  with type  $\hat{c}_i$ . Thus  $\Delta'_{a_1, a_2}$  is bowtie free. This proves Assertion (1). Assertion (2) can be proved similarly.

For Assertion 3, up to symmetry, it suffices to consider  $\Lambda'' = \Lambda'_{c_1} \cap \Lambda'_{a_1}$ . We endow  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda''}$  with the order induced from  $a_1 < b_1 < \dots < b_n < c_1$ . Then  $V\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda''}$  with this order coincides with the order inherit from  $(V\Delta', \leq)$ . Take pairwise distinct vertices  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$  of  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda''}$  with  $v_i < v_3$  and  $v_i < v_4$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . As  $\Delta'$  is bowtie free, there is a vertex  $v \in V\Delta'$  with  $\{v_1, v_2\} \leq v \leq \{v_3, v_4\}$ . Then  $1 < t(v) < n + 4$ . If  $t(v) = n + 3$ , then there are exactly two vertices in  $V\Delta'$  which is bigger than  $v$ , one has type  $\hat{c}_1$  in  $\Delta$  and one has type  $\hat{c}_2$  in  $\Delta$ . As  $v_3, v_4$  have the same type in  $\Delta$ , we can not have  $t(v) = n + 3$ . Similarly  $t(v) \neq 2$ . Thus  $3 \leq t(v) \leq n + 2$  and  $v \in \Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$ , as desired.  $\square$

## 9 $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture for some 3D Artin groups

**Proposition 9.1.** *Let  $A_S$  be an Artin group with  $|S| = 4$ . Suppose its presentation graph  $\Gamma$  is a complete graph. If there exists  $S' \subset S$  with  $|S'| = 3$  such that  $A_{S'}$  is not spherical, then the Artin complex for  $A_S$  is contractible.*

*Proof.* Let  $S = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and  $S' = \{a, b, c\}$ . Let  $\Delta_S$  (resp.  $\Delta_{S'}$ ) be the Artin complex for  $A_S$  (resp.  $A_{S'}$ ). By Lemma 3.3, the link of each vertex of type  $\hat{d}$  in  $\Delta_S$  is isomorphic to  $\Delta_{S'}$ . As  $A_{S'}$  is not spherical, it is a 2-dimensional Artin group. It is known the modified Deligne complex, defined in [CD95a], for any 2-dimensional Artin group is contractible [CD95a]; and the modified Deligne complex isomorphic to the barycentric subdivision of  $\Delta_{S'}$ . Thus the link of each vertex of type  $\hat{d}$  is contractible. Then  $\Delta_S$  is homotopic equivalent to the relative Artin complex  $\Delta' = \Delta_{S, S'}$  by Lemma 3.5.

Take a vertex  $w \in \Delta'$  of type  $\hat{a}$ . We claim the girth of  $\text{lk}(w, \Delta')$  is  $\geq 2m_{bc}$  if  $m_{bc} \neq 5$ , and the girth is  $\geq 8$  if  $m_{bc} = 5$ . Assuming the claim is true, we now deduce the theorem as follows. The quotient of  $\Delta'$  by  $A_S$  is a triangle  $T$ , with three vertices of type  $\hat{a}, \hat{b}$  and  $\hat{c}$  respectively. As  $A_{S'}$  is not spherical, we know  $\frac{1}{m_{ab}} + \frac{1}{m_{bc}} + \frac{1}{m_{ac}} \leq 1$ . Let  $m'_{ab} = m_{ab}$  if  $m_{ab} \neq 5$  and  $m'_{ab} = 4$  if  $m_{ab} = 5$ . Similarly, we define  $m'_{bc}$  and  $m'_{ac}$ . Then we still have  $\frac{1}{m'_{ab}} + \frac{1}{m'_{bc}} + \frac{1}{m'_{ac}} \leq 1$ . Thus we can realize  $T$  as a geodesic triangle in  $\mathbb{E}^2$  or  $\mathbb{H}^2$  such that the angle at  $\hat{a}$  is  $\frac{\pi}{m'_{bc}}$ , the angle at  $\hat{b}$  is  $\frac{\pi}{m'_{ac}}$  and the angle at  $\hat{c}$  is  $\frac{\pi}{m'_{ab}}$ . This metric on  $T$  induces a piecewise Euclidean (or hyperbolic) metric on  $\Delta'$ . The claim implies that  $\Delta'$  is locally CAT(0) (or CAT(-1)) with such a metric. On the other hand,  $\Delta'$  is simply-connected (cf. Lemma 3.4). Thus  $\Delta'$  is CAT(0) (or CAT(-1)), hence contractible.

It remains to prove the claim. By Lemma 3.3  $\text{lk}(w, \Delta_S) \cong \Delta_{\{b, c, d\}}$  and  $\text{lk}(w, \Delta') \cong \Delta_{\{b, c, d\}, \{b, c\}}$ . The claim is clear if  $m_{bc} = 2$ , as  $\text{lk}(w, \Delta')$  is a bipartite graph. We assume  $m_{bc} \neq 2$  from now on. First we consider the case when  $A_{bcd}$  is spherical. Note that the claim follows [Cri05, Lemma 39] if  $m_{bd} = m_{cd} = 2$ . Now we assume  $A_{bcd}$  is irreducible. Then  $m_{bc} = 3, 4$  or  $5$ . If  $m_{bc} = 3$ , then by Theorem 3.17 and Lemma 3.23,  $\text{lk}(w, \Delta')$  has girth  $\geq 6$ . If  $m_{bc} = 4$  or  $5$ , then  $A_{bcd}$  is either of type  $B_3$  or  $H_3$ . By Theorem 7.1, Theorem 3.22 and Lemma 3.23,  $\text{lk}(w, \Delta')$  has girth  $\geq 8$ .

Now we look at the case  $A_{bcd}$  is not spherical. Then  $\text{lk}(w, \Delta_S)$  quotiented by the action of  $A_{bcd}$  is a triangle  $T'$ , whose vertices are denoted by  $\hat{b}, \hat{c}, \hat{d}$ . As  $\frac{1}{m_{bc}} + \frac{1}{m_{cd}} + \frac{1}{m_{bd}} \leq 1$ , we can realize  $T'$  as a geodesic triangle in  $\mathbb{E}^2$  or  $\mathbb{H}^2$  such that the angle at  $\hat{b}$  is  $\frac{\pi}{m_{cd}}$ , the angle at  $\hat{c}$  is  $\frac{\pi}{m_{bd}}$  and the angle at  $\hat{d}$  is  $\frac{\pi}{m_{bc}}$ . This induces a metric on  $\text{lk}(w, \Delta_S)$  which is known to be CAT(0) (cf. [CD95a]). As  $\text{lk}(w, \Delta')$  is the full subcomplex of  $\text{lk}(w, \Delta)$  spanned by vertices of type  $\hat{b}$  and  $\hat{c}$ , we know  $\text{lk}(w, \Delta')$  has girth  $2m_{bc}$  by [Hua23, Lemma 9.8 (1)]. Thus the claim is proved.  $\square$

**Theorem 9.2.** *Suppose  $A_S$  is an Artin group with  $|S| \leq 4$ . Assume that its Dynkin diagram is not a  $(3, 5, 3)$ -linear diagram. Then  $A_S$  satisfies the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture.*

*Proof.* We assume  $A_S$  is irreducible. By [ES10], it suffices to consider the case when the presentation graph of  $A_S$  is complete. We also assume  $A_S$  is not spherical, otherwise the result follows from [Del72]. When  $|S| \leq 3$ ,  $A_S$  is 2-dimensional and the theorem follows from [CD95b]. Now we assume  $|S| = 4$ . If there exists a subset  $S' \subset S$  such that  $|S'| = 3$  and  $A_{S'}$  is not spherical, then the theorem follows from Proposition 9.1. It remains to consider the case when  $|S| = 4$  and  $A_{S'}$  is spherical whenever  $S'$  is a 3-element subset of  $S$ . By a result of Lanner [Lan50a],  $A_S$  is either an affine Artin group, or a 3-dimensional hyperbolic cocompact tetrahedron group, i.e. its associated Coxeter group acts on  $\mathbb{H}^3$  properly and cocompactly by isometries such that its fundamental domain is a tetrahedron. The  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture for affine Artin groups are proved in [PS21]. For the 3-dimensional hyperbolic tetrahedron groups, there are only nine of them, five has Dynkin diagram being a cycle, which is treated in [?, Hua23]. Then remaining four groups have Dynkin diagrams as in Figure 15. By Theorem 3.1, it suffices to show the associated Artin complex is contractible.




Figure 15: Four remaining cases.

By Theorem 3.1, it suffices to show the Artin complexes associated with these Dynkin diagrams are contractible. First we look at the case of  $(5, 3, 4)$  and  $(5, 3, 5)$  linear diagrams. Assume the vertices in the Dynkin diagram are ordered from left to right, and we endow the vertex set of the associated Artin complex  $\Delta$  with the induced order. Then the contractibility of  $\Delta$  follows from Theorem 3.27, Theorem 7.1, Theorem 3.22, Theorem 3.17 and Lemma 3.23. In the case when  $\Lambda$  is a tripod, we deduce the contractibility of the Artin complex from Proposition 3.35, Theorem 7.1, Theorem 5.6, and Theorem 3.17.  $\square$

**Corollary 9.3.** *Let  $W_\Gamma$  be a reflection group acting properly on  $\mathbb{H}^3$  with finite volume fundamental domain, with its presentation graph being  $\Gamma$ . Assume the Dynkin diagram of  $W_\Gamma$  is not the linear graph with consecutive edges labeled by  $(3, 5, 3)$ . Then the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture holds true for the associated Artin group  $A_\Gamma$ .*

*Proof.* By [ES10], it suffices to show if  $\Gamma'$  is a complete subgraph of  $\Gamma$ , then the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture holds for  $A_{\Gamma'}$ . We claim  $\Gamma'$  has at most 4 vertices, then the corollary follows by Theorem 9.2, as if the Dynkin diagram  $\Lambda$  of  $\Gamma$  contain the Dynkin diagram  $[3, 5, 3]$  as an induced subgraph and  $W_\Gamma$  is a 3-dimensional hyperbolic reflection group, then  $\Lambda = [3, 5, 3]$ . It remains to show the claim. Let  $P \subset \mathbb{H}^3$  be a fundamental domain with respect to the reflection group  $W_\Gamma$  such that  $W_\Gamma$  is generated by orthogonal reflection according the codimension 1 faces  $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^k$  of  $P$  (some of the vertices of  $P$  might be in the boundary at infinity of  $\mathbb{H}^3$ ). The *support* of  $P_i$  is defined to be the hyperplane in  $\mathbb{H}^3$  that contains  $P_i$ . We define another graph  $\Gamma_0$ , whose vertices are in 1-1 correspondence with  $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^k$ , and two vertices are adjacent if the associated codimension 1 faces intersect in a codimension 2 face. By [And70], two vertices of  $\Gamma_0$  are adjacent if and only if the support of the associated codimension 1 faces have non-empty intersection. Thus  $\Gamma_0 \cong \Gamma$ . It follows from the definition of  $\Gamma_0$  that it is a planar graph. Thus any complete subgraph in  $\Gamma$ , hence in  $\Gamma_0$ , has  $\leq 4$  vertices, as desired.  $\square$

## 10 Artin groups with complete bipartite Dynkin diagrams

The goal of this section is to prove  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture for Artin groups with complete bipartite Dynkin diagrams.

**Theorem 10.1.** *Suppose  $\Lambda$  is the Dynkin diagram of type  $D_4$ , and take two leaf vertices  $a$  and  $b$  from  $\Lambda$ . The  $(a, b)$ -subdivision of  $\Delta_\Lambda$  with its vertex set endowed with the order introduced in Definition 3.30, is a bowtie free and downward flag poset.*

*Proof.* First we show the bowtie free part by verifying the assumptions of Lemma 3.24. Let  $\Delta'$  be the  $(a, b)$ -subdivision of  $\Delta_\Lambda$ . If  $v \in \Delta'$  is a vertex with  $t(x) = 4$  (the quantity  $t(x)$  is defined in Definition 3.30), then  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta')$  is isomorphic in an order-preserving way to the  $(a, b)$ -subdivision  $\Delta'_{a,d,b}$  of  $\Delta_{a,d,b}$ . It follows from Theorem 3.21 that  $\Delta'_{a,d,b}$  satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 3.24. Thus  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta')$  is bowtie free. If  $v \in \Delta'$  is a vertex with  $t(x) = 1$ , then  $v$  is also a vertex of  $\Delta_\Lambda$ , and it is of type  $\hat{a}$  or  $\hat{b}$ . Moreover,  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta') \cong \text{lk}(v, \Delta_\Lambda)$ , and this is an isomorphism of posets, where  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta')$  is endowed with the induced order from  $\Delta'$  and  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta_\Lambda) \cong \Delta_{b,d,c}$  or  $\Delta_{a,d,c}$  is endowed with the order induced from  $b < d < c$  or  $a < d < c$  as in Lemma 3.14. By Theorem 3.17,  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta_\Lambda)$  is bowtie free, thus  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta')$  is bowtie free.

Take an embedded 4-cycle  $x_1 y_1 x_2 y_2$  in  $\Delta'$  with  $t(x_i) = 1$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $t(y_i) = 4$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then both  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are of type  $\hat{c}$ , and each  $x_i$  is of type  $\hat{a}$  or  $\hat{b}$ . If  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  have different types, then it follows from [Hua24, Lemma 4.4] that  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are adjacent in  $\Delta_\Lambda$ . Then there is a vertex of type  $m$  in  $\Delta'$  which is adjacent to each of  $\{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2\}$  in  $\Delta'$ , as desired. If  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  have same type, then Theorem 3.21 implies there is a vertex of type  $\hat{d}$  which is adjacent to each of  $\{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2\}$  in  $\Delta_\Lambda$ , hence in  $\Delta'$ , as desired. Thus Lemma 3.24 (3) is verified and the bowtie free part of the lemma follows.

The downward flagness follows from [Hua24, Corollary 7.7].  $\square$

**Proposition 10.2.** *Suppose  $\Lambda$  is a tripod Dynkin diagram, with a central vertex  $a$  and three leave vertices  $b_1, b_2, b_3$ . Then for any  $i \neq j$ , then  $(b_i, b_j)$ -subdivision of  $\Delta_\Lambda$  is bowtie free and downward flag.*

*Proof.* The case all edges of  $\Lambda$  are labeled 3 follows from Theorem 10.1.

Now we assume at least one edge of  $\Lambda$ , say  $\overline{ab_1}$ , is labeled by a number  $\geq 4$ . By Proposition 8.7, it suffices to verify the the two assumptions of Proposition 3.35. Assumption 1 follows from Lemma 10.3 below. Now we verify Assumption 2. If both  $\overline{ab_2}$  and  $\overline{ab_3}$  are labeled by 3, then we are done by Theorem 5.6. If at least one of these two edges, say  $\overline{ab_2}$ , has label  $\geq 4$ , then Lemma 10.3 below implies that  $\Delta_{\Lambda_1}$  with induced order from  $b_2 < a < b_3$ , where  $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda \setminus \{b_1\}$ , is bowtie free and downward flag. In order to proof  $\Lambda_1$  is weakly flag, it suffices to prove:

1. if  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^3$  are type  $\hat{b}_2$  elements such that  $x_i$  and  $x_{i+1}$  has a common upper bound  $y_i$  of type  $\hat{a}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^3$  has a common upper bound;
2. if  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^3$  are type  $\hat{b}_3$  elements such that  $x_i$  and  $x_{i+1}$  has a common lower bound  $y_i$  of type  $\hat{a}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^3$  has a common lower bound.

For (1), we assume  $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$  are pairwise distinct, otherwise it is trivial. By the downward flagness, we know there is a common lower bound  $x$  for  $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$ . Then  $x$  is of type  $\hat{a}$ . By the bowtie free property, we must have  $x = x_1 = x_2 = x_3$ . (2) follows directly from downward flagness.  $\square$

**Lemma 10.3.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be a linear Dynkin diagram with vertex set  $\{a, b, c\}$ . Let  $\Delta = \Delta_\Lambda$  be the associated Artin complex, with the order on its vertex set induced from  $a < b < c$ . Then*

1. if  $m_{ab} \geq 4$  and  $m_{bc} = 3$ , then  $\Delta$  is bowtie free and downward flag;
2. if  $m_{ab} \geq 4$  and  $m_{bc} \geq 4$ , then  $\Delta$  is bowtie free and flag.

*Proof.* For Assertion (1), the case of  $m_{ab} = 4$  and  $m_{bc} = 5$  follows from Theorem 3.17, Theorem 3.22 and Theorem 7.1. We assume  $m_{ab} \geq 6$ . The bowtie free condition follows from [Hua23, Corollary 9.13] and [Hua23, Lemma 6.13]. For the downward flagness, by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 7.1, it suffices to show if we have  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^3$  of type  $\hat{c}$  which are pairwise lower bounded, then they have a common lower bound. Also we can assume for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , a lower bound of  $x_i$  and  $x_{i+1}$  is  $y_i$  and  $y_i$  is of type  $\hat{a}$ . We metrize triangles in  $\Delta_\Lambda$  as flat triangles with angle  $\pi/6$  at vertices of type  $\hat{c}$ , angle  $\pi/2$  at vertices of type  $\hat{b}$  and angle  $\pi/3$  at vertices of type  $\hat{a}$ . By [AS83, Lemma 6],  $\Delta_\Lambda$  is locally CAT(0), hence CAT(0).

Let  $\omega$  be the loop  $x_1y_1x_2y_2x_3y_3$  in  $\Delta$ . We can assume without loss of generality that  $\omega$  is an embedded 6-cycle. Let  $\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Delta$  be a minimal area singular disk diagram (Section 2.8) for  $\omega$ . We endow  $\mathbb{D}$  be the induced metric from  $\Delta$ , and by slightly abusing the notation, we use  $x_i$  (resp.  $y_i$ ) to denote the point in the boundary cycle of  $\mathbb{D}$  mapping to  $x_i$  (resp.  $y_i$ ). For  $v \in \mathbb{D}^{(0)}$ , let  $\kappa(v)$  be the quantity defined in Section 2.8. Then  $\kappa(y_i) \leq \pi/3$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ , and  $\kappa(v) \leq 0$  for any interior vertex  $x \in \mathbb{D}$ . Thus (2.15) implies that  $\sum_{i=1}^3 \kappa(x_i) \geq \pi$ .

Note that if  $\kappa(x_i)$  is positive, then the only possible value for  $\kappa(x_i)$  is  $\pi/3$  and  $2\pi/3$ . If  $\kappa(x_i) = 2\pi/3$ , then there is a vertex  $x'_i$  of type  $\hat{b}$  such that  $x_i$  is adjacent to both  $y_i$  and  $y_{i+1}$ , and  $y_i x'_i$  and  $x_i y_{i+1}$  fit together to form a geodesic in  $\Delta$ . So if  $\kappa(x_i) = 2\pi/3$  for all  $i$ , then  $x'_1 y_1 x'_2 y_2 x'_3 y_3$  form a geodesic triangle in  $\Delta$  with apexes at  $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^3$ , which is impossible. If  $\kappa(x_i) = 2\pi/3$  for exactly two of  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^3$ , say  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , then  $x'_1 y_1 x'_2 y_2 x_3 y_3$  form a geodesic 4-gon in  $\Delta$ , with apexes at  $y_1, y_2, x_3$  and  $y_3$ . Now we consider the subdiagram  $\mathbb{D}'$  of  $\mathbb{D}$  for this 4-gon. Then for  $\mathbb{D}'$ ,  $\kappa(x_3) \leq \pi/3$ ,  $\kappa(y_i) \leq 2\pi/3$  for  $i = 2, 3$ ,  $\kappa(x'_i) = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2$  (as the link of type  $\hat{b}$  vertices in  $\Delta$  is complete bipartite), and  $\kappa(y_1) \leq \pi/3$ . Thus by (2.15), we must have  $\kappa(y_i) = 2\pi/3$  for  $i = 2, 3$  and  $\kappa(y_1) = \pi/3$ . This implies that  $x_3$  is adjacent in  $\Delta$  to  $y_1$ . Thus  $y_1$  is a common lower bound for  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ . If  $\kappa(x_i) = 2\pi/3$  for exactly one of  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^3$ , say  $x_1$ , then  $\sum_{i=1}^3 \kappa(x_i) \leq 4\pi/3$ , which implies that  $\sum_{i=1}^3 \kappa(y_i) \geq 2\pi/3$ . Thus  $\kappa(y_i) = \pi/3$  for at least two of  $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^3$ . Then there is some  $y_i$  adjacent to  $x_1$ , say  $y_1$ , satisfying  $\kappa(y_1) = \pi/3$ . Thus  $x_2$  is adjacent in  $\Delta$  to  $y_3$ , and we finish as before. It remains to consider that  $\kappa(x_i) \leq \pi/3$  for each  $i$ . Then (2.15) implies that  $\kappa(x_i) = \kappa(y_i) = \pi/3$  for each  $i$  and the disk diagram  $\mathbb{D}$  is flat. It follows from the combinatorial structure of flat diagram that there is a vertex  $z \in \Delta$  of type  $\hat{a}$  such that  $z$  is adjacent to  $x_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ , as desired.

For Assertion (2), by [AS83, Lemma 3],  $\text{lk}(x, \Delta)$  has girth  $\geq 8$  if  $x$  is of type  $\hat{a}$  or  $\hat{c}$ . Now Assertion (2) follows from Lemma 3.23, Theorem 3.27 and Lemma 5.1.  $\square$

**Lemma 10.4.** *Suppose  $\Lambda$  is a Dynkin diagram which is a star (i.e. it is a union of edges emanating from the same vertex) with  $\geq 4$  vertices. Suppose each tripod subgraph of  $\Lambda$  satisfies the conclusion of Proposition 10.2. Suppose the central vertex of  $\Lambda$  is  $a$ . Denote the leaf vertices of  $\Lambda$  by  $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^n$  for  $n \geq 3$ . For  $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$ , let  $\Lambda_{ijk}$  be the subgraph of  $\Lambda$  spanned by  $a, b_i, b_j, b_k$ . We claim for any pairwise distinct  $\{i, j, k\}$ , the  $(i, j)$ -subdivision,  $(i, k)$ -subdivision and  $(j, k)$ -subdivision of  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda_{ijk}}$  are all bowtie free and downward flag.*

*Proof.* We define subgraphs  $\Lambda_{ij}$  and  $\Lambda_{ijkl}$  of  $\Lambda$  in a similar way as in the statement of the lemma. We prove by induction on  $n$ . The base case is  $n = 3$ , which follows directly from the assumption. Take  $\ell \notin \{i, j, k\}$  - this is possible as  $n \geq 4$ . We apply Proposition 3.34 with  $\Lambda' = \Lambda_{ijkl}$  and  $\{b_i, b_j, a, b_k, b_\ell\}$  playing the roles of  $\{a_1, a_2, b, c_1, c_2\}$  in Proposition 3.34. Note that induction assumption implies that Assumptions (1) and (2) of Proposition 3.34 are met. Thus  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda_{ijkl}}$  is contractible, and Proposition 8.8 implies that the  $(i, j)$ -subdivision of  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda_{ijk}}$  is bowtie free and downward flag. Proposition 8.8 (3) also implies that  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda_{ik}}$  and  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda_{jk}}$  are bowtie free. By replacing the role of  $(i, j)$  by  $(i, k)$  or  $(j, k)$ , We can treat the  $(i, k)$ -subdivision and  $(j, k)$ -subdivision in a similar way.  $\square$

**Lemma 10.5.** *Suppose  $\Lambda$  is a Dynkin diagram which is a star (i.e. it is a union of edges emanating from the same vertex) with  $\geq 5$  vertices. Suppose each tripod subgraph of  $\Lambda$  satisfies the conclusion of Proposition 10.2. Then*

1.  $\Delta_\Lambda$  is contractible;
2. for each  $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$  which is a union of two edges,  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$  is bowtie free.

*Proof.* Assertion (2) follows from Lemma 10.4. For Assertion (1), let  $\mathcal{C}_2$  be the class of Dynkin diagrams in Lemma 10.5. Let  $\mathcal{C}_1 = \{\tilde{D}_4\}$ . It suffices to verify the first two assumptions of [Hua23, Proposition 7.2]. Assumption 1 of [Hua23, Proposition 7.2] follows from Lemma 10.4 and Proposition 3.34. Assumption 2 is clear.  $\square$

**Lemma 10.6.** *Let  $\Lambda = \Lambda_{m,n}$  be a Dynkin diagram which is a complete bipartite graph that is the join of  $m$  vertices and  $n$  vertices. Assume  $m > 1$  and  $n > 1$ . Take a 4-cycle  $C$  with its consecutive vertices  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^4$ . Let  $f : \Lambda = \Lambda_{m,n} \rightarrow C$  be a special folding from  $\Lambda_{m,n}$  such that  $f^{-1}(x_i)$  is a single vertex for  $i = 1, 4$ ,  $f^{-1}(x_2)$  has  $n - 1$  vertices and  $f^{-1}(x_3)$  has  $m - 1$  vertices. We view the folded Artin complex  $\Delta_{\Lambda,f}$  as a complex of type  $S = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ , whose vertex set is endowed with a relation induced from the cyclic order  $x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4 < x_1$  as explained before Theorem 3.28. Then the link of each vertex of the folded Artin complex  $\Delta_{\Lambda,f}$  is bowtie free.*

*Proof.* Let  $C_i$  be the component of  $C \setminus \{x_i\}$ , and  $\Lambda_i = f^{-1}(C_i)$ . We prove by induction on  $m + n$ . The base case of the induction is that  $m = 2$  and  $n = 2$ , where  $f$  is an isomorphism, and  $\Delta_{\Lambda,f} \cong \Delta_{\Lambda}$ . Then bowtie free property of each link follows from Lemma 3.3 and either Lemma 10.3 or Theorem 3.17.

Now we assume at least one of  $m$  and  $n$ , say  $n$ , is  $> 2$ . Take  $v \in \Delta_{\Lambda,f}$  be a vertex of type  $\hat{x}_3$ . Then  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta_{\Lambda,f}) \cong \Delta_{\Lambda_3,f}$ . Note that  $\Lambda_3$  is a star. By Lemma 10.5 and [Hua23, Proposition 6.15],  $\Delta_{\Lambda_3}$  satisfies the labeled four wheel condition. Hence the same holds for  $\Delta_{\Lambda_3,f}$  by Lemma 3.26. Thus  $\Delta_{\Lambda_3,f}$  is bowtie free by Lemma 3.19 and Lemma 3.25. Similarly, we know  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta_{\Lambda,f})$  is bowtie free if  $v$  is of type  $\hat{x}_2$ .

It remains to consider the case  $v$  is of type  $\hat{x}_4$  or  $\hat{x}_1$ . We will only treat  $v$  being of type  $\hat{x}_4$ , as the other case is similar. Note that  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta_{\Lambda,f}) \cong \Delta_{\Lambda_4,f}$ . Consider a special folding  $f' : \Lambda_4 \rightarrow C$  such that  $(f')^{-1}(x_i) = f^{-1}(x_i)$  for  $i = 1, 3$ ,  $(f')^{-1}(x_4)$  is one vertex and  $(f')^{-1}(x_2)$  has  $n - 2$  vertices. This is possible as  $n > 2$ . By induction,  $\Delta_{\Lambda_4,f'}$  satisfies the conclusion of the claim. Then  $\Delta_{\Lambda_4,f'}$ , viewed as a complex of type  $S = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ , satisfies the assumption of Theorem 3.28. Hence Lemma 3.29 applies to  $\Delta_{\Lambda_4,f'}$ . Now we define an embedding

$$\iota : \Delta_{\Lambda_4,f} \rightarrow \Delta_{\Lambda_4,f'}$$

as follows. Given a vertex  $w \in \Delta_{\Lambda_4,f}$  corresponding to a coset of form  $gA_{\Lambda_4 \setminus \{f^{-1}(s)\}}$  (with  $g \in A_{\Lambda_4}$  and  $s \in \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ ),  $\iota(w)$  is defined to be the barycenter of the simplex in  $\Delta_{\Lambda_4,f'}$  spanned by vertices corresponding to cosets of form  $gA_{\Lambda_4 \setminus \{(f')^{-1}(s')\}}$  with  $s' \in C$  ranging over all vertices such that  $(f')^{-1}(s') \subset f^{-1}(s)$ . Then we extend  $\iota$  linearly. By definition, for  $i = 1, 3$ ,  $\iota$  induces a 1-1 correspondence between vertices of type  $\hat{x}_i$  in  $\Delta_{\Lambda_4,f}$  and vertices of the same type in  $\Delta_{\Lambda_4,f'}$ ; and a 1-1 correspondence between vertices of type  $\hat{x}_2$  in  $\Delta_{\Lambda_4,f}$  and the barycenters of edges in  $\Delta_{\Lambda_4,f'}$  spanned by a vertex of type  $\hat{x}_2$  and a vertex of type  $\hat{x}_4$ . Let  $\omega$  be a 4-cycle in  $\Delta_{\Lambda_4,f}$ . We will show that

1. if consecutive vertices of  $\omega$  have type  $\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2$ , or type  $\hat{x}_2, \hat{x}_3, \hat{x}_2, \hat{x}_3$ , then the 4-cycle is not embedded;
2. if consecutive vertices of  $\omega$  have type  $\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_3, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_3$ , and the 4-cycle is embedded, then there is a vertex of type  $\hat{x}_2$  adjacent to each vertices of  $\omega$ .

By Lemma 3.24, once these two properties are established, we know  $\Delta_{\Lambda_4,f}$  is bowtie free. If  $\omega$  is of type  $\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2$ , then  $\iota(\omega)$  gives a 4-cycle  $\omega'$  in  $\Delta_{\Lambda_4,f'}$  by replacing points in  $\iota(\omega)$  which are the midpoint of an edge by the vertex of type  $\hat{x}_2$  in that edge. Then  $\omega$  is embedded if and only if  $\omega'$  is embedded. If  $\omega'$  is embedded, it must be induced as two vertices in  $\Delta_{\Lambda_4,f'}$  are not adjacent. Thus Lemma 3.29 implies that there is a vertex  $w'$  of  $\Delta_{\Lambda_4,f'}$  which is adjacent to each vertex of  $\omega'$ . Thus  $\omega'$  is an embedded 4-cycle in  $\text{lk}(w', \Delta_{\Lambda_4,f'})$ , which contradicts the induction hypothesis that  $\text{lk}(w', \Delta_{\Lambda_4,f'})$  is bowtie free. Thus  $\omega$  is not embedded. The case  $\omega$  is of type  $\hat{x}_2, \hat{x}_3, \hat{x}_2, \hat{x}_3$  can be treated similarly. Now we assume  $\omega$  is of type  $\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_3, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_3$  and  $\omega$  is embedded. Then  $\iota(\omega)$  is an embedded 4-cycle in  $\Delta_{\Lambda_4,f'}$ , which must be induced. Lemma 3.29 implies that there is a vertex  $w'$  of  $\Delta_{\Lambda_4,f'}$  which is adjacent to each vertex of  $\iota(\omega)$ . Then  $w'$  is of type  $\hat{x}_2$  or  $\hat{x}_4$ . We assume without loss of generality that  $w'$  is of type  $\hat{x}_2$ . Then  $\iota(\omega)$  is an induced 4-cycle in  $\text{lk}(w', \Delta_{\Lambda_4,f'})$ . As  $\text{lk}(w', \Delta_{\Lambda_4,f'})$  is bowtie free, there is a vertex  $w'' \in \text{lk}(w', \Delta_{\Lambda_4,f'})$  adjacent to

each vertex of  $\iota(\omega)$ . Let  $u$  be the vertex in  $\Delta_{\Lambda_4, f}$  such that  $\iota(u)$  is the barycenter of the edge  $\overline{w'w''}$ . Then  $u$  is adjacent to each vertex in  $\omega$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Lemma 10.7.** *Suppose  $\Lambda$  is a Dynkin diagram which is a complete bipartite graph, and suppose  $\Lambda$  has  $\geq 5$  vertices if  $\Lambda$  is a star. Suppose each tripod subgraph of  $\Lambda$  satisfies the conclusion of Proposition 10.2. Then  $\Delta_\Lambda$  is contractible.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\Lambda = \Lambda_{m,n}$  which is the join of  $m$  vertices and  $n$  vertices. The case that one of  $m$  and  $n$  is 1 follows from Lemma 10.5. Now we assume  $m > 1$  and  $n > 1$ . Let  $f : \Lambda \rightarrow C$  be as in Lemma 10.6. We claim whenever  $f$  is a composition of two special foldings  $f' : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda'$  and  $f'' : \Lambda' \rightarrow C$  with  $\Lambda'$  being complete bipartite, then  $\Delta_{\Lambda, f'}$  is contractible. The lemma follows from this claim by taking  $f''$  to be identity.

It remains to prove the claim. We induct on  $m+n$ . Consider a sequence of special foldings:

$$\Lambda \xrightarrow{f_1} \Lambda_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} \Lambda_2 \xrightarrow{f_3} \dots \xrightarrow{f_n} \Lambda_n = C$$

such that  $f = f_1 \circ f_2 \circ \dots \circ f_n$ , each  $\Lambda_i$  is complete bipartite and  $\Lambda_{i+1}$  has exactly one vertex less than  $\Lambda_i$ . Let  $g_i = f_1 \circ \dots \circ f_i$  and  $\Delta_i = \Delta_{\Lambda, g_i}$ . The sequence can be arranged such that  $\Delta_{\Lambda, f'} = \Delta_i$  for some  $i$ . So it suffices to show  $\Delta_i$  is contractible for any  $i$ . We set  $\Delta_0 = \Delta_\Lambda$ .

For each  $i$ , let  $v_i \in \Lambda_i$  be the unique vertex such that  $f_i^{-1}(v_i)$  has more than one vertices. For each edge in  $\Delta_i$  whose vertices are of type  $f_{i+1}^{-1}(v_{i+1})$ , we add a new vertex in this edge which is the midpoint of this edge, and say this new vertex has type  $\hat{m}_i$ . Cut each top dimensional simplex in  $\Delta_i$  along the new vertex into two simplices, and let the resulting complex be  $\Delta'_i$ . Then there is a natural embedding  $\iota_{i+1} : \Delta_{i+1} \rightarrow \Delta_i$  mapping vertices of type  $\hat{v}$  to vertices of type  $\hat{f}_{i+1}^{-1}(v)$  for  $v \in \Lambda_{i+1} \setminus \{v_{i+1}\}$ , and mapping vertices of type  $\hat{v}_{i+1}$  to vertices of type  $\hat{m}_i$ . The image of  $\iota_{i+1}$  is the full subcomplex of  $\Delta_i$  spanned by vertices whose types are either  $\hat{m}_i$  or inside  $f_{i+1}^{-1}(\Lambda_{i+1} \setminus \{v_{i+1}\})$ .

By Lemma 10.6, Theorem 3.28 and [Hua23, Lemma 10.3], we know  $\Delta_n$  is contractible. Next we will show  $\Delta_i$  and  $\Delta_{i+1}$  are homotopic equivalent for  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ . By the description of how  $\Delta_{i+1}$  sits as a subcomplex of  $\Delta'_i$  via  $\iota_{i+1}$  in the previous paragraph, it suffices to show for any  $v \in f_{i+1}^{-1}(v_{i+1})$  and any vertex  $x \in \Delta_i$  of type  $\hat{v}$ ,  $\text{lk}(x, \Delta_i)$  is contractible, as this would imply  $\Delta'_i$  deformation retracts onto  $\Delta_{i+1}$ . As  $\Lambda_i$  is complete bipartite,  $f_{i+1}^{-1}(v_{i+1})$  is contained in a join factor of  $\Lambda'_i$  of  $\Lambda_i$ . As  $f_{i+1}^{-1}(v_{i+1})$  has two elements, we know  $\Lambda_i \setminus \{v\}$  is connected. Let  $\Lambda_{i,v}$  be the unique component of  $\Lambda_i \setminus \{v\}$ . Then  $\Lambda_{i,v}$  is also complete bipartite. Let  $\Theta = g_i^{-1}(\Lambda_{i,v})$ . By [Hua23, Lemma 10.4],

$$\text{lk}(x, \Delta_i) \cong \Delta_{\Theta, g_i}.$$

By choice of  $v$ , we know  $f_i(\Lambda_i) = f_i(\Lambda_{i,v})$ . Thus  $f_{i+1} \circ \dots \circ f_n$  still maps  $\Lambda_{i,v}$  onto  $C$ . As  $\Theta$  is a strictly smaller complete bipartite graph compared to  $\Lambda$ , by induction assumption, we know  $\Delta_{\Theta, g_i}$  is contractible. Hence  $\text{lk}(x, \Delta_i)$  is contractible, as desired.  $\square$

**Theorem 10.8.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be a complete bipartite Dynkin diagram. Then  $A_\Lambda$  satisfies the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture.*

*Proof.* Note that when  $\Lambda$  has  $\leq 4$  vertices,  $A_\Lambda$  satisfies  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture by Theorem 9.2. Now the theorem follows by induction on the number of vertices in  $\Lambda$ , using Proposition 10.2, Lemma 10.7 and Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 10.9.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be a tree Dynkin diagram with a collection of open edges  $E$  with label  $\geq 6$  such that each component of  $\Lambda \setminus (\cup_{e \in E} \{e\})$  is either spherical or a star. Then  $A_\Lambda$  satisfies the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 1.1 and [Hua23, Proposition 9.12], it suffices to show  $\Delta_\Lambda$  satisfies labeled 4-wheel condition whenever  $\Lambda$  is a star. Take a maximal linear subgraph  $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$ . Then  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$  is bowtie free - the case when  $\Lambda$  has  $\leq 3$  vertices follows from Theorem 3.17 and Lemma 10.3,

the case when  $\Lambda$  has 4 vertices follows from Proposition 10.2 and the case when  $\Lambda$  has  $\geq 5$  vertices follows from Lemma 10.5. Then Lemma 3.20 implies that  $\Delta_\Lambda$  satisfies labeled 4-wheel condition.  $\square$

## 11 $K(\pi, 1)$ for some higher-dimensional families

**Proposition 11.1.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be a connected Dynkin diagram with an induced sub-diagram  $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$  such that*

1.  $\Lambda'$  is the Dynkin diagram of a 3-dimensional irreducible affine Coxeter group;
2. for any vertex  $s \in \Lambda'$ , each component of  $\Lambda \setminus \{s\}$  is either spherical, or has type in  $\{\tilde{A}_3, \tilde{B}_3, \tilde{C}_n\}$ .

Then  $A_\Lambda$  satisfies the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the class of Dynkin diagrams satisfying the assumptions of Proposition 11.1. Let  $\Lambda_0$  be a 5-cycle with its consecutive edges labeled by  $\{3, 3, 4, 3, 4\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_T$  be the subclass made of members of  $\mathcal{C}$  that are trees. Let  $\mathcal{C}'$  be the collection of all  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{C}$  such that there exists  $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$  of type  $\tilde{A}_3$  with Assumption 2 satisfied. We first show that element of  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_T \sqcup \mathcal{C}' \sqcup \{\Lambda_0\}$ . Indeed, take  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{C}$  and let  $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$  be as in Assumption 2. First we consider the case  $\Lambda'$  has type  $\tilde{C}_3$ . Let  $\{s_i\}_{i=1}^4$  be consecutive vertices in  $\Lambda'$ . By our assumption and the classification of spherical and Euclidean Dynkin diagrams, for  $i = 1, 4$ , the only possibility types for each component of  $\Lambda \setminus \{s_i\}$  are  $\{B_n, F_4, \tilde{B}_3, \tilde{C}_n\}$ . If  $\Lambda$  is not a tree, then it must contain an embedded cycle  $C$ . As each component of  $\Lambda \setminus \{s_i\}$  is a tree for  $i = 1, 4$ , we know  $\{s_1, s_4\} \subset C$ . Note that  $s_2 \in C$ , otherwise  $C \cup \overline{s_3 s_4}$  is contained in a component of  $\Lambda \setminus \{s_2\}$ , which is not possible by our assumption (as the label of  $\overline{s_3 s_4}$  is 4). Similarly  $s_3 \in C$ . Thus  $\Lambda' \subset C$ . Let  $e_i$  be the edge of  $C$  that is outside  $\Lambda'$  and contains  $s_i$  for  $i = 1, 4$ . As  $\Lambda'$  is an induced subgraph of  $\Lambda$ ,  $s_1 \notin e_4$  and  $s_4 \notin e_1$ . Thus  $e_1 \cup \overline{s_1 s_2} \cup \overline{s_2 s_3}$  is contained in a component of  $\Lambda \setminus \{s_4\}$ , and by our assumption, the only possibility of this component is  $F_4$ . Similarly, the component of  $\Lambda \setminus \{s_1\}$  containing  $\overline{s_2 s_3} \cup \overline{s_3 s_4} \cup e_4$  is of type  $F_4$ . It follows from Assumption 2 of the proposition that  $\Lambda = \Lambda_0$ . Now we consider the case that  $\Lambda'$  has type  $\tilde{B}_3$ . Let  $a$  be the center vertex and  $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^3$  be leave vertices of  $\Lambda'$  with  $m_{a, b_i} = 4$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ , let  $\Lambda_i$  be the component of  $\Lambda \setminus \{b_i\}$  containing  $a$ . Then for  $i = 2, 3$ ,  $\Lambda_i$  contains an edge labeled by 4, hence is a tree by the classification of spherical and Euclidean Dynkin diagrams. If  $\Lambda_1$  is a tree, then  $\Lambda$  is a tree. Otherwise  $\Lambda_1$  is of type  $\tilde{A}_3$ . Then for  $i = 2, 3$ ,  $\Lambda_i$  contains a path of length 3, with its edges labeled by 4, 3, 3. Thus  $\Lambda_i$  is of type  $B_n$  or  $\tilde{C}_n$  for  $i = 2, 3$ . However, only type  $B_n$  and  $n = 4$  is possible, otherwise  $\Lambda_1$  is not of type  $\tilde{A}_3$ . This implies that  $\Lambda$  is obtained from a diagram of type  $\tilde{A}_3$  by adding an extra edge of label 4. Thus  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{C}'$ .

Next we show  $\mathcal{C}'$  satisfy the assumptions of [Hua23, Corollary 7.3] with  $\mathcal{C}_2 = \mathcal{C}'$ , and  $\mathcal{C}_1$  be the class containing only the diagram of type  $\tilde{A}_3$ , hence each element in  $\mathcal{C}'$  satisfies the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture. Note that Assumptions 2, 3, 4 of [Hua23, Corollary 7.3] are clear. It remains to show  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$  is contractible. For vertex  $s \in \Lambda'$ , Let  $\Lambda_s$  be the component of  $\Lambda \setminus \{s\}$  containing the rest of the vertices of  $\Lambda'$ . If  $\Lambda_s$  is not a tree for some  $s$ , then  $\Lambda_s$  is of type  $\tilde{A}_3$ . Then  $\Lambda$  is a complete bipartite graph  $K_{2,3}$ . By [Hua23, Theorem 10.7 and Corollary 10.10],  $\Delta_\Lambda$  is contractible. Hence  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$  is contractible by Lemma 3.5. Now we assume  $\Lambda_s$  is a tree for each  $s$ . By Theorem 3.28 and Lemma 3.3, it suffices to show  $\Delta_{\Lambda_s, \Lambda_s \cap \Lambda'}$  is bowtie free. However, this follows from Theorem 3.17 if  $\Lambda_s$  is spherical, and Corollary 5.2 if  $\Lambda_s$  is of type  $\tilde{C}_n$ . If  $\Lambda_s$  is of type  $\tilde{B}_3$ , then by Theorem 3.22 and Theorem 5.6,  $\Delta_{\Lambda_s}$  satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 3.35. Thus by Proposition 8.1  $\Delta_{\Lambda_s, \Lambda_s \cap \Lambda'}$  is bowtie free.

As the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture for Artin group with diagram  $\Lambda_0$  follows from [Hua23, Theorem 10.9], it remains to show diagrams in  $\mathcal{C}_T$  satisfies  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture. We will show  $\mathcal{C}_T$  satisfy the assumptions of [Hua23, Corollary 7.3] with  $\mathcal{C}_2 = \mathcal{C}_T$  and  $\mathcal{C}_1 = \{\tilde{C}_3, \tilde{B}_3\}$ . Again Assumptions

2, 3, 4 of [Hua23, Corollary 7.3] are clear, and it suffices to show  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$  is contractible with  $\Lambda' \in \mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{C}_2$ .

Case 1:  $\Lambda'$  is of type  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_3$ . Let consecutive vertices of  $\Lambda'$  be  $\{s_i\}_{i=1}^4$ . Let  $\Lambda_1$  be the component of  $\Lambda \setminus \{s_1\}$  containing  $\Lambda' \setminus \{s_1\}$ . By Assumption 2,  $\Lambda_1$  is either spherical or irreducible 3-dimensional Euclidean. We claim the vertex set of  $\Delta_{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda'}$ , endowed with the order induced from  $s_2 < s_3 < s_4$  is a bowtie free and upward flag poset. As  $\Lambda_1$  is a tree,  $\Delta_{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda'}$  is indeed a poset. If  $\Lambda_1$  is spherical, then either  $\Lambda_1$  is of type  $B_n$  or type  $F_4$ . Then  $\Delta_{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda'}$  being bowtie free and upward flag follows from Theorem 3.17, Theorem 3.22 and Proposition 4.2. If  $\Lambda_1$  is of type  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_n$ , then the claim follows from Corollary 5.2. If  $\Lambda_1$  is of type  $\tilde{B}_3$ , then as before we know  $\Delta_{\Lambda_1}$  satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 3.35, and Proposition 8.1 (1) implies that  $\Delta_{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda'}$  is bowtie free and upward flag. Thus the claim is proved. Similarly, if  $\Lambda_4$  is the component of  $\Lambda \setminus \{s_4\}$  containing  $\Lambda' \setminus \{s_4\}$ , then the vertex set of  $\Delta_{\Lambda_4, \Lambda_4 \cap \Lambda'}$ , endowed with the order induced from  $s_1 < s_2 < s_3$  is a bowtie free and downward flag poset. As  $\Lambda$  is a tree,  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$  with its vertices endowed with the order induced from  $s_1 < s_2 < s_3 < s_4$  is a poset. Now Theorem 3.27 implies that  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$  is contractible.

Case 2:  $\Lambda'$  is of type  $\tilde{B}_3$ . Let  $a$  be the center vertex and  $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^3$  be leave vertices of  $\Lambda'$  with  $m_{a, b_i} = 4$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ , let  $\Lambda_i$  be the component of  $\Lambda \setminus \{b_i\}$  containing  $a$ . Now we verify the assumptions of Proposition 3.35 hold for  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$ . As  $\Lambda_i$  contains an edge labeled 4 for  $i = 2, 3$ , we know the type of  $\Lambda_i$  belongs to  $\{F_4, B_n, \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_n, \tilde{B}_3\}$ . Then Assumption 1 of Proposition 3.35 follows from Proposition 4.2 if  $\Lambda_i$  is of type  $F_4$ , Proposition 3.22 if  $\Lambda_i$  is of type  $B_n$ , Corollary 5.2 if  $\Lambda_i$  is of type  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_n$ , and Proposition 8.1 (1) if  $\Lambda_i$  is of type  $\tilde{B}_3$ . For Assumption 2 of Proposition 3.35, note that the type of  $\Lambda_1$  belongs to  $\{A_n, B_n, D_4, \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_n, \tilde{B}_3\}$  (otherwise we will have a contradiction with the fact that the type of  $\Lambda_i$  belongs to  $\{F_4, B_n, \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_n, \tilde{B}_3\}$  for  $i = 2, 3$ ). Thus Assumption 2 of Proposition 3.35 follows from Theorem 5.6 if  $\Lambda_1$  is of type  $A_n$ , Proposition 8.1 (2) if  $\Lambda_1$  is of type  $\tilde{B}_3$ , Theorem 3.16 if  $\Lambda_1$  is of type  $D_4$ , and Lemma 11.2 below if  $\Lambda_1$  is of type  $B_n$  or  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_n$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 11.2.** *Suppose  $\Lambda$  is a Dynkin diagram of type  $B_n, \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_n, H_3$  or  $F_4$ . Let  $\Lambda'$  be a linear subgraph with three vertices. Then  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$  is weakly flag.*

*Proof.* First assume  $\Lambda$  is of type  $B_n$ . We label consecutive vertices of  $\Lambda$  by  $\{s_i\}_{i=1}^n$  with  $m_{s_{n-1}, s_n} = 4$ . Then vertices of  $\Delta_{\Lambda}$  with the order induced from  $s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_n$  is upward flag. Suppose  $\Lambda'$  has vertices  $\{s_i, s_{i+1}, s_{i+2}\}$ . Then we need to show:

1. if  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^3$  are type  $\hat{s}_i$  elements such that  $x_i$  and  $x_{i+1}$  have a common upper bound  $y_i$  of type  $\hat{s}_{i+1}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^3$  have a common upper bound of type  $\hat{s}_{i+2}$ ;
2. if  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^3$  are type  $\hat{s}_{i+2}$  elements such that  $x_i$  and  $x_{i+1}$  have a common lower bound  $y_i$  of type  $\hat{s}_{i+1}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^3$  have a common lower bound of type  $\hat{s}_i$ .

We will assume without loss of generality that  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^3$  and  $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^3$  are pairwise distinct. For (1), note that the upward flagness implies that  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^3$  has a common upper bound, say  $z$ , of type  $s_j$ . By the pairwise distinct assumption,  $j \geq i + 2$ . If  $j = i + 2$ , then we are done. If  $j > i + 2$ , let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the collection of vertices in  $\Delta_{\Lambda}$  which is  $< z$ . As  $y_i$  is the join of  $x_i$  and  $x_{i+1}$ , we know  $y_i \in \mathcal{P}$  for all  $i$ . Let  $\Lambda_j$  be the subgraph of  $\Lambda$  spanned by all  $s_i$  with  $i < j$ . Then  $\mathcal{P}$  can be identified with the vertex set of  $\Delta_{\Lambda_j}$ , endowed with the induced order from  $s_1 < \dots < s_j$ . As  $\Lambda_j$  is of type  $A_{j-1}$ , by Theorem 5.6,  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^3$  has a common upper bound of type  $\hat{s}_{i+2}$ . For (2), by upward flagness,  $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^3$  has a common upper bound  $z$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the collection of vertices in  $\Delta_{\Lambda}$  which is  $< z$ . Again we have  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^3 \subset \mathcal{P}$ , and we are done by Theorem 5.6. The case  $\Lambda$  is of type  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_n$  is similar, using Corollary 5.2, and the  $B_n$  version of Lemma 11.2. The case of  $F_4$  or  $H_3$  is similar as well, using Proposition 4.2 and Theorem 7.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 11.3.** *Suppose  $\Lambda$  is a Dynkin diagram belonging to one of the following seven families in Figure 11. Then  $\Delta_{\Lambda}$  satisfies the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture.*




Figure 16: Seven families.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{C}_3, \mathcal{C}_4$  be the families in first row right, second row left, and second two right respectively in Figure 11. All families in Figure 11 except  $\mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{C}_3$  and  $\mathcal{C}_4$  follow from Proposition 11.1 (the choice of  $\Lambda'$  are indicated in the thickened subgraphs). Let  $\mathcal{C}_2$  be the remaining family of Dynkin diagrams. We will verify the assumptions of [Hua23, Corollary 7.3] with  $\mathcal{C}_1 = \{B_3\}$ . Assumptions 2, 3 of [Hua23, Corollary 7.3] are clear. Take  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{C}_2$  and let  $\Lambda'$  be the thickened subgraph of  $\Lambda$ . Then  $\Lambda \setminus \{s\}$  is spherical for  $s = b_1$  or  $a$ , and  $\Lambda \setminus \{s\}$  belongs to the family on the left side of second row for  $s = b_2$  or  $b_3$ . Thus Assumption 4 of [Hua23, Corollary 7.3] follows. It remains to show  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$  is contractible. For  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $\Lambda_i = \Lambda \setminus \{b_i\}$ . Let  $\Lambda'_i$  be the subgraph spanned by  $\Lambda_i \cap \Lambda'$  and  $\{b_4\}$ . Then by the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 11.1, we know  $\Delta_{\Lambda_i, \Lambda'_i}$  satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 3.35. Thus Proposition 8.1 (1) implies that  $\Delta_{\Lambda_i, \Lambda_i \cap \Lambda'}$  is a bowtie free, upward flag poset with its vertex set endowed with the order induced from  $b_i < a < b_1$ . Thus Assumption 1 of Proposition 3.35 holds for  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$ . Assumption 2 also holds, by Theorem 3.16. Thus  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$  is contractible by Proposition 3.35.

Treating families  $\mathcal{C}_3$  and  $\mathcal{C}_4$  reduces to showing  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$  is contractible, where  $\Lambda$  is a diagram in  $\mathcal{C}_3$  or  $\mathcal{C}_4$ , and  $\Lambda'$  is the thickened  $\tilde{A}_3$  subdiagram in Figure 11. For a vertex  $s \in \Lambda'$ , let  $\Lambda_s$  be the component of  $\Lambda \setminus \{s\}$  containing  $\Lambda' \setminus \{s\}$ . By Theorem 3.27 and Lemma 3.4, it suffices to show  $\Delta_{\Lambda_s, \Lambda_s \cap \Lambda'}$  is bowtie free for each  $s \in \Lambda'$ . Note that  $\Lambda_s$  is of type  $B_n, D_n, \tilde{B}_4$  or  $\tilde{D}_4$ . The  $B_n$  and  $D_n$  case follows from Theorem 3.17. If  $\Lambda_s$  is of type  $\tilde{B}_4$ , then by Theorem 10.1 and Theorem 3.22,  $\Delta_{\Lambda_s}$  satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 3.32. By Proposition 8.1 (3) (the “in addition” assumption follows from Theorem 10.1) and Lemma 3.20,  $\Delta_{\Lambda_s, \Lambda_s \cap \Lambda'}$  is bowtie free. If  $\Lambda_s$  is of type  $\tilde{D}_4$ , then by Theorem 10.1,  $\Delta_{\Lambda_s}$  satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 3.34. Thus by Proposition 8.8  $\Delta_{\Lambda_s, \Lambda_s \cap \Lambda'}$  is bowtie free.  $\square$

**Corollary 11.4.** *Let  $W_\Lambda$  be a reflection group acting on  $\mathbb{H}^n$  with  $n \leq 4$  such that the fundamental domain is a finite volume non-compact simplex, and  $\Lambda$  is the Dynkin diagram. Then the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture holds for  $A_\Lambda$ .*

*Proof.* The  $n \leq 2$  case follows from [CD95a]. The  $n = 3$  case follows from Corollary 9.3. For  $n = 4$ , the only possible Dynkin diagrams are shown in Figure 11. The  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture for diagrams (1), (2) and (4) are proved in [Hua23]. The remaining diagrams are consequences of Proposition 11.1 (the choice of  $\Lambda'$  is the thickened subgraphs in Figure 11).  $\square$




Figure 17: Dynkin diagrams for 4-dimensional quasi-Lanner groups.

**Theorem 11.5.** *Suppose  $\Lambda$  is a tree Dynkin diagram with a vertex  $s \in \Lambda$  such that*

1. *each edge containing  $s$  has label  $= 3$ ;*
2. *each component of  $\Lambda \setminus \{s\}$  either contains only one vertex, or contains only one edge labeled by 4.*

*Then  $A_\Lambda$  satisfies the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture.*

*Proof.* Denote the vertices of  $\Lambda$  adjacent to  $s$  by  $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^n$ . Let  $e_i$  be the edge labeled by 4 that contains  $b_i$ , whenever such an edge exists. Let  $t_i$  be the valence one vertex of  $e_i$ . For  $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$ , let  $\Lambda_{ijk}$  be the subgraph of  $\Lambda$  spanned by  $a, b_i, b_j, b_k$ . Similarly we define subgraphs  $\Lambda_{ij}$  and  $\Lambda_{ijkl}$  of  $\Lambda$ . Let  $\Lambda_{e_i, j} = \Lambda_{ij} \cup e_i$ , whenever  $e_i$  exists. Let  $\Lambda_{e_i, e_j} = \Lambda_{ij} \cup e_i \cup e_j$  whenever  $e_i$  and  $e_j$  exist. We claim that

1. *for any pairwise distinct  $\{i, j, k\}$ , the  $(i, j)$ -subdivision,  $(i, k)$ -subdivision and  $(j, k)$ -subdivision of  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda_{ijk}}$  are all bowtie free and downward flag;*
2. *the vertex set of  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda_{e_i, j}}$ , endowed with the order induced from the linear order in  $\Lambda_{e_i, j}$  with  $t_i$  being the largest vertex, is bowtie free and upward flag.*

Let  $m$  be the number of edges in  $\Lambda$  labeled by 4. Note that  $m \leq n$ . We prove the claim by induction on  $n + m$ . The base cases are  $n = 3$  and  $m = 0$ , where Assertion 1 follows from Theorem 10.1 and Assertion 2 is empty; and  $n = 2$  and  $m = 1$ , where case Assertion 2 follows from Theorem 3.22 and Assertion 1 is empty.

First we show Assertion 2 of the claim. As  $n \geq 3$ , we can find  $k \notin \{i, j\}$ . Consider  $\Lambda' = e_i \cup \Lambda_{ijk}$ . By our induction assumption and Proposition 3.32, the  $(b_j, b_k)$ -subdivision of  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$  satisfies all the assumptions of Theorem 3.27. By Proposition 8.1 (1) and (2), the vertex set of  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda_{e_i, j}}$  with the desired order is a bowtie free and upward flag poset, and the  $(b_j, b_k)$ -subdivision of  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda_{ijk}}$  is bowtie free and downward flag. By Proposition 8.2 and our induction assumption, the  $(b_i, b_j)$ -subdivision and  $(b_j, b_k)$ -subdivision of  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda_{ijk}}$  are also bowtie free and downward flag.

Now we show Assertion 1 of the claim. If at least one of  $\{e_i, e_j, e_k\}$  exists, then Assertion 1 follows from the same argument in the previous paragraph. Now we assume none of  $\{e_i, e_j, e_k\}$  exists. If  $n = 3$ , then we must have  $m = 0$ , which is one of the base cases of the induction. Now assume  $n > 3$ . Then there is  $\ell \notin \{i, j, k\}$ . Now we consider  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda_{ijkl}}$ . By induction, all the assumptions of Proposition 3.34 are satisfied (with  $n = 1$  in Proposition 3.34). Thus Proposition 8.8 (1) and (2) imply that Assertion 1 holds for a particular subdivision of  $\Delta_{\Lambda, \Lambda_{ijk}}$ . Other subdivisions can be treated by symmetry.

Let  $\mathcal{C}_2$  be the class of Dynkin diagrams satisfying the two requirements of Theorem 11.5. Let  $\mathcal{C}_1 = \{\tilde{B}_4, \tilde{C}_4, \tilde{D}_4\}$ . We now verify all the assumptions of [Hua23, Proposition 7.2] hold. Assumption 1 of [Hua23, Proposition 7.2] follows from the above claim, Proposition 3.35, Theorem 3.27, and Proposition 3.34. Assumption 2 of [Hua23, Proposition 7.2] is clear. Let  $\Lambda'$  be as in Assumption 3 of [Hua23, Proposition 7.2]. Then  $\Lambda'$  is spherical, hence satisfies  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture by [Del72]. Now we are done by [Hua23, Proposition 7.2].  $\square$

## A Proposed metric on the core

We propose two metrics on the complex  $\Delta_{S,S'}$  discussed in Conjecture 1.13: one conjectured to be CAT(0), and another conjectured to satisfy other notions of non-positive curvature [Lan13, DL15, CCHO14, CCG<sup>+</sup>20]. The first one is easier to define, but harder to work with (we actually do not work with it); the second one takes more effort to define, but easier to work with.

For an Artin group  $A_S$ , we use  $S$  to denote the standard generating set,  $\Gamma$  to denote the presentation graph, and  $\Lambda$  to denote the Dynkin diagram. We say the Artin group  $A_S$  *dominates* another Artin group  $A_{S'}$  if there exists an isomorphism of their presentation graphs  $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$  such that the label of each edge  $e \in \Gamma$  is  $\geq$  the label of  $f(e)$ . The following is a consequence of [Lan50b].

**Lemma A.1.** *Suppose  $A_S$  is an irreducible almost spherical type Artin group. Then*

1. *either  $A_S$  dominates an irreducible Artin group of Euclidean type, or  $A_S$  has Dynkin diagram  $[3, 5, 3]$  or  $[5, 3, 3, 3]$ ;*
2. *if in addition  $A_S$  is not of type  $\tilde{E}_6, \tilde{E}_7, \tilde{E}_8, \tilde{F}_4, [3, 5, 3], [5, 3, 3, 5]$ , then  $A_S$  dominates an irreducible Artin group of whose type belong to  $\{G_2, A_n, B_n, C_n, D_n\}$ .*

Here  $[3, 5, 3]$  is the linear Dynkin diagram whose consecutive edges are labeled by 3, 5 and 3. Similarly we define  $[5, 3, 3, 3]$ .

**Definition A.2** (Proposed metric I). Given an Artin group  $A_S$ , and let  $S' \subset S$  be an irreducible almost spherical subset. To metrize the relative Artin complex, it suffices to metrize the fundamental domain with respect to the action  $A_S \curvearrowright \Delta_{S,S'}$ , which is a simplex  $K_{S'}$  whose vertices are of type  $\hat{s}$  with  $s \in S'$ . Now we choose a shape for this fundamental domain as follows.

Let  $A_{S''}$  be an Artin group dominated by  $A_{S'}$  under a bijection  $f : S' \rightarrow S''$  such that  $A_{S''}$  is either Euclidean, or  $[3, 5, 3]$ , or  $[5, 3, 3, 3]$ . Then associated Coxeter group  $W_{S''}$  acts properly and cocompactly by isometries on a metric space  $X$  with  $X$  being either  $\mathbb{E}^n$  or  $\mathbb{H}^3$  or  $\mathbb{H}^4$ . The reflection hyperplanes (i.e. fixed points of conjugates of generators of  $W_{S''}$ ) cut  $X$  into a simplicial complex, which is isomorphic to the Coxeter complex  $C_{S''}$ . Let  $K_{S''}$  be the fundamental domain of the action  $W_{S''} \curvearrowright C_{S''}$ , which is a simplex with an inherit metric from  $X$ . Now we identify  $K_{S'}$  with  $K_{S''}$  with the vertex of type  $\hat{s}$  in  $K_{S'}$  with  $s \in S'$  is identified with the vertex of type  $\hat{f}(s)$  in  $K_{S''}$ . This gives a metric on  $K_{S'}$ , hence induces a piecewise Euclidean or hyperbolic metric in  $\Delta_{S,S'}$ .

**Conjecture A.3.** *Let  $A_S$  be an Artin group and suppose  $S$  contains a subset  $S'$  such that  $A_{S'}$  is almost spherical. Then  $\Delta_{S,S'}$  with the metric in Definition A.2 is CAT(0) when  $S''$  in Definition A.2 is Euclidean, and is CAT(-1) when  $S''$  in Definition A.2 is either of type  $[3, 5, 3]$  or  $[5, 3, 3, 3]$ . Hence  $\Delta_{S,S'}$  is contractible.*

To prove Conjecture A.3, it suffices to show  $\Delta_{S,S'}$  is simply-connected, which follows from Lemma 3.4, and the link of each vertex is CAT(1). The link condition is rather challenging to verify, especially when  $\dim(\Delta_{S,S'}) \geq 2$ . We refer to [EM04, EM02, McC09] for some related discussion.

Due to the difficulty of verifying the link condition in the CAT(0) setting, we propose an alternative metric on  $\Delta_{S,S'}$  for most types of almost spherical sets  $S'$ , which is not exactly CAT(0), but has strong flavor of non-positive curvature.

**Definition A.4** (Proposed metric II). Let  $S, S', \Delta_{S,S'}, K_{S'}$  be as in Definition A.2. We assume the type of  $S'$  does not belong to  $\{\tilde{F}_4, \tilde{E}_6, \tilde{E}_7, \tilde{E}_8, [3, 5, 3], [5, 3, 3, 3]\}$ . By Lemma A.1 (2), there is an Artin group  $A_{S''}$  dominated by  $A_{S'}$  under a bijection  $f : S' \rightarrow S''$  such that the type of  $A_{S''}$  belongs to  $\{\tilde{G}_2, \tilde{A}_n, \tilde{B}_n, \tilde{C}_n, \tilde{D}_n\}$ . Let  $K_{S''}$  be as in Definition A.2. By the same procedure as in Definition A.2, it suffices to metrize  $K_{S''}$ .

If  $S''$  is of type  $\tilde{G}_2$ , then we metrize in the same way as before. If  $S''$  is of type  $\tilde{B}_n, \tilde{C}_n, \tilde{D}_n$ , then  $W_{S''}$  acts properly and cocompactly on  $\mathbb{E}^n$  as before, and this action is isometric with respect to the  $\ell^\infty$ -metric. We equipped  $K_{S''}$  with the induced  $\ell^\infty$ -metric from  $\mathbb{E}^n$ . If  $S''$  is of type  $\tilde{A}_n$ , then the Coxeter complex  $C_{S''}$  can be identified with the subset  $H = \{x \in \mathbb{E}^{n+1} \mid x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_{n+1} = 0\}$  cut out by the hyperplanes  $x_i - x_j \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n+1$ , with a fundamental domain  $K_{S''}$  be the set  $\{x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \cdots \leq x_n \leq x_1 + 1\}$  in  $H$ . We equip  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$  with  $\ell^\infty$ -metric, and metrize  $K_{S''}$  with the induced metric.

The idea of domination allows us to “pretend”  $S'$  is of Euclidean type, and metrize with  $\ell^\infty$ -metric, even if it is actually of hyperbolic type. However, as [3, 5, 3] and [5, 3, 3, 3] do not dominate any Euclidean type, we need new candidates of metric in these two cases. In the special case of  $S = S' \in \{\tilde{A}_n, \tilde{C}_n\}$ , the metric in Definition A.4 was defined in [Hae21].

Here is a more concrete description of the  $\ell^\infty$ -metric on  $K_{S''}$  when  $S''$  is of type  $\{\tilde{B}_n, \tilde{C}_n, \tilde{D}_n\}$ . In the case of type  $\tilde{C}_n$ , the Coxeter complex  $C_{S''}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{E}^n$  cut out by the hyperplanes  $x_i \pm x_j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $x_i \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ . Then the fundamental domain  $K_{S''}$  can be chosen to be the subset of  $\mathbb{E}^n$  defined by  $0 \leq x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \cdots \leq x_n \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , equipped with the  $\ell^\infty$  metric. If  $S''$  is of type  $\tilde{B}_n$ , then the subdivision of the associated Coxeter complex  $C_{S''}$  as in Definition 3.30 is isomorphic to the Coxeter complex of type  $\tilde{C}_n$ , thus a type  $\tilde{B}_n$  fundamental domain is the union of two copies of type  $\tilde{C}_n$  fundamental domain along an appropriate codimension 1 face. If  $S''$  is of type  $\tilde{D}_n$ , then subdivision of the associated Coxeter complex  $C_{S''}$  as in Definition 3.33 is isomorphic to the Coxeter complex of type  $\tilde{C}_n$ . Hence a  $\tilde{D}_n$ -fundamental domain is the union of four copies of  $\tilde{C}_n$  fundamental domain.

Recall that a *geodesic bicombing* in a metric space  $X$ , is the assignment of a geodesic segment from  $x$  to  $y$ , for each order pair of points  $(x, y)$  in  $X$ . We do not require the geodesic segment from  $x$  to  $y$  is the same as the geodesic segment from  $y$  to  $x$ . Note that in Definition A.4, each top-dimensional simplex has geodesic bicombing coming from the linear structure on each simplex. The hope is that these geodesic bicombing fit together to form a geodesic bicombing on the whole space such that the bicombing varies continuously with respect to their endpoints, hence one can show  $\Delta_{S, S'}$  is contractible by using the geodesic contraction.

**Conjecture A.5.** *Let  $A_S$  be an Artin group and suppose  $S$  contains a subset  $S'$  which is almost spherical, but its type is not contained in  $\{\tilde{F}_4, \tilde{E}_6, \tilde{E}_7, \tilde{E}_8, [3, 5, 3], [5, 3, 3, 3]\}$ . Then  $\Delta_{S, S'}$  equipped with the metric in Definition A.4 is a metric space with convex geodesic bicombing in the sense of [DL15], hence contractible.*

We conjecture that similar to the situation of proving Conjecture A.3, one is reduced to check an appropriate form of link condition. Again checking this link condition will be the most challenging part. Though we believe the link condition to check in the context of Conjecture A.5 will be much simpler than Conjecture A.3 - when  $S''$  is not of type  $\tilde{G}_2$ , then link condition should only involve cycles in the 1-skeleton of the link up to length 6. Combined with some existing link condition in [Hae22], we know the following.

**Lemma A.6.** *Suppose  $\Lambda$  is a connected Dynkin diagram, and  $\Lambda'$  is an admissible subgraph of  $\Lambda$  in the sense of Definition 3.13. Suppose  $\Lambda'$  is almost spherical with vertex set  $S'$  and  $\Lambda$  has vertex set  $S$ . Suppose the type of  $S'$  is not one of  $\{\tilde{G}_2, \tilde{F}_4, \tilde{E}_6, \tilde{E}_7, \tilde{E}_8, [3, 5, 3], [5, 3, 3, 3]\}$ . Then there is a criterion only involving cycles in the 1-skeleton up to length 6 in the link of each vertex of  $\Delta_{S, S'}$  such that as long as such link criterion is satisfied, then  $\Delta_{S, S'}$  is contractible. More precisely,*

1. *if  $S'$  dominates type  $\tilde{A}_n$  (in which case the Dynkin diagram of  $S'$  must be a cycle, hence elements in  $S'$  has a natural cyclic order), and then we need to check  $\Delta_{S, S'}$ , viewed as a simplicial complex of type  $S'$ , satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 3.28;*
2. *if  $S'$  dominates type  $\tilde{C}_n$  (in which case the Dynkin diagram of  $S'$  is a line, hence  $S'$  has two linear orders), then we need to check if  $S'$  is equipped with one of these linear orders, then  $\Delta_{S, S'}$  satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 3.27;*

3. if  $S'$  dominates type  $\tilde{B}_n$  (in which case the Dynkin diagram of  $S'$  is isomorphic to Figure 5 left), then we need to check assumptions of Proposition 3.32 are satisfied;
4. if  $S'$  dominates type  $\tilde{D}_n$  (in which case the Dynkin diagram of  $S'$  is isomorphic to Figure 5 right), then we need to check assumptions of Proposition 3.34 are satisfied.

In the case when  $S'$  is of type  $\tilde{G}_2$ , there is an obvious link condition to check coming from the CAT(0) link condition.

Note that Lemma A.6 requires  $\Lambda'$  to be an admissible subgraph of  $\Lambda$ . If  $\Lambda'$  is not an admissible subgraph of  $\Lambda$ , then the link criterion in Lemma A.6 does not apply directly. For example, in Case 2 of Lemma A.6, if  $\Lambda'$  is not an admissible, then  $\Delta_{S,S'}$  with the relation induced from the linear order from  $S'$  is not a poset. So Theorem 3.27 does not apply directly. Similar problems happen with the other cases. This leads to us to formulate the following somewhat less precise conjecture.

**Conjecture A.7.** *Under the same assumption of Lemma A.6, even if  $\Lambda'$  is not admissible in  $\Lambda$ , there still exists a criterion only involving cycles in the 1-skeleton up to length 6 in the link of each vertex of  $\Delta_{S,S'}$  such that as long as such link criterion is satisfied, then  $\Delta_{S,S'}$  is contractible.*

For the remaining types of  $\Lambda'$ , we ask the following.

**Question A.8.** *Suppose  $\Lambda$  is a connected Dynkin diagram, and  $\Lambda'$  is an induced subgraph of  $\Lambda$  in the sense of Definition 3.13. Suppose the type of  $\Lambda'$  is one of  $\{\tilde{F}_4, \tilde{E}_6, \tilde{E}_7, \tilde{E}_8, [3, 5, 3], [5, 3, 3, 3]\}$ . Is it true that there is a criterion only involving cycles in the 1-skeleton up to a certain length in the link of each vertex of  $\Delta_{S,S'}$  such that as long as such link criterion is satisfied, then  $\Delta_{S,S'}$  is contractible?*

## B Conjectures on cycles in relative Artin complexes

We list two conjectures which are necessary for the strategy in [Hua23] to work. We refer to Section 3.2 and Section 3.3 for related terminologies.

**Conjecture B.1.** *Suppose  $A_S$  is an irreducible spherical Artin group with Dynkin diagram  $\Lambda$ . Let  $\Lambda'$  be a linear subdiagram of  $\Lambda$  with consecutive vertices  $\{s_i\}_{i=1}^n$  such that the edge between  $s_{n-1}$  and  $s_n$  has label  $\geq 4$ . Then the vertex set of  $\Delta_{\Lambda,\Lambda'}$  equipped with the relation induced from  $s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_n$  (as in Definition 3.12) is a bowtie free and upward flag poset.*

The bowtie free part is already known, and is a consequence of [Hua23, Proposition 2.8]. For the upward flag part, the case when  $A_S = A_{S'}$  is type  $B_n$  follows from [Hae21]; the case that  $A_S$  is of type  $F_4$  and  $A_{S'}$  is of type  $B_3$  follows from Proposition 4.2; the case  $A_S$  being type  $H_3$  follows from Theorem 7.1. The remaining case of the conjecture is the upward flag part of  $A_S$  being type  $H_4$ .

**Conjecture B.2.** *Suppose  $A_S$  is an irreducible spherical Artin group. Let  $S' \subset S$  such that  $A_{S'}$  has Dynkin diagram isomorphic to the type  $D_n$  Dynkin diagram for  $n \geq 3$  (the isomorphism does not need to preserve edge labels). Let  $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1}$  be vertices in  $S'$  as in Figure 5 left. Then the  $(b_1, b_2)$ -subdivision of  $\Delta_{S,S'}$  (in the sense of Definition 3.30) is a bowtie free and downward flag poset.*

Note that we specifically allow the case when the Dynkin diagram  $A_{S'}$  is isomorphic to the type  $D_3$  diagram (though edge labels might not be preserved). While  $D_3$  diagram is the same as  $A_3$  diagram, but we are considering a subdivision of  $\Delta_{S,S'}$  by viewing the Dynkin diagram as  $D_3$  rather than  $A_3$ , as explained in Definition 3.30.

Again the bowtie free part follows from [Hua23, Proposition 2.8]. The downward flag part is known when  $n = 3$ , and  $A_S$  is of type  $A_n, B_n, H_3, F_4$  - this is a combination of Lemma 11.2,

Theorem 5.6, Theorem 7.1 and Proposition 4.2. By Theorem 3.16, the downward flag part is also known when  $A_S$  is of type  $D_n$ , and  $S' = \{b_1, b_2, b_3\}$  in Figure 5. The downward flag part is true when  $A_S = A_{S'}$  is of type  $D_4$ , see Theorem 10.1. The remaining cases are open.

Conjecture B.2 generalizes an earlier conjecture of Haettel, in the special case of  $A_S = A_{S'}$  are both of type  $D_n$ .

Our plan is to first establish Conjecture B.1 and Conjecture B.2. Then use a suitable propagation argument to establish the following.

**Conjecture B.3.** *Conjecture B.1 and Conjecture B.2 are still true for any  $A_S$  with connected Dynkin diagram  $\Lambda$ , and  $A_{S'}$  corresponds to an admissible full subgraph of  $\Lambda$  satisfying the requirements in Conjecture B.1 and Conjecture B.2.*

Then one can use Conjecture B.3 together with Lemma A.6 to establish Conjecture A.5 partially. The reason for the word “partially” is that Conjecture B.3, Theorem 3.28, Theorem 3.27, Proposition 3.32 and Proposition 3.34 require the subgraph  $\Lambda'$  inside  $\Lambda$  to be admissible, this will ensure the relation on the vertices of the associated complex to be a poset. Actually, the poset part of Conjecture B.1 and Conjecture B.2 will fail if the subdiagram  $\Lambda'$  of  $\Lambda$  corresponding to  $A_{S'}$  is not admissible. In this case, we need to find suitable replacements in order to prove Conjecture A.5 fully.

## References

- [All02] Daniel Allcock. Braid pictures for artin groups. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 354(9):3455–3474, 2002.
- [All13] Daniel Allcock. Completions, branched covers, Artin groups, and singularity theory. *Duke Math. J.*, 162(14):2645–2689, 2013.
- [Alt98] Joseph A Altobelli. The word problem for Artin groups of FC type. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 129(1):1–22, 1998.
- [And70] EM Andreev. Intersection of plane boundaries of a polytope with acute angles. *Mathematical notes of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR*, 8:761–764, 1970.
- [AS83] Kenneth I Appel and Paul E Schupp. Artin groups and infinite coxeter groups. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 72(2):201–220, 1983.
- [AW22] Jenny August and Michael Wemyss. Stability conditions for contraction algebras. In *Forum of Mathematics, Sigma*, volume 10, page e73. Cambridge University Press, 2022.
- [BB96] W. Ballmann and S. Buyalo. Nonpositively curved metrics on 2-polyhedra. *Math. Z.*, 222(1):97–134, 1996.
- [BH99] Martin R. Bridson and André Haefliger. *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, volume 319 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [BM10] Thomas Brady and Jonathan P. McCammond. Braids, posets and orthoschemes. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 10(4):2277–2314, 2010.
- [Bou02] Nicolas Bourbaki. Lie groups and lie algebras. chapters 4–6. translated from the 1968 french original by andrew pressley. *elements of mathematics*, 2002.
- [Bow95] Brian H Bowditch. Notes on locally cat (1) spaces. *Geometric group theory (Columbus, OH, 1992)*, 3:1–48, 1995.

- [BP23] Martín Blufstein and Luis Paris. Parabolic subgroups inside parabolic subgroups of artin groups. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 151(04):1519–1526, 2023.
- [Bri70] Egbert Brieskorn. Singular elements of semi-simple algebraic groups. In *Actes du Congrès International des Mathématiciens (Nice, 1970)*, volume 2, pages 279–284, 1970.
- [Bri06] Egbert Brieskorn. Sur les groupes de tresses [d’après vi arnol’d]. In *Séminaire Bourbaki vol. 1971/72 Exposés 400–417*, pages 21–44. Springer, 2006.
- [Bri09] Tom Bridgeland. Stability conditions and kleinian singularities. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2009(21):4142–4157, 2009.
- [CCG<sup>+</sup>20] Jérémie Chalopin, Victor Chepoi, Anthony Genevois, Hiroshi Hirai, and Damian Osajda. Helly groups. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.06895*, 2020.
- [CCHO14] Jérémie Chalopin, Victor Chepoi, Hiroshi Hirai, and Damian Osajda. Weakly modular graphs and nonpositive curvature. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.3892. To appear in Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2014.
- [CD95a] Ruth Charney and Michael W. Davis. The  $K(\pi, 1)$ -problem for hyperplane complements associated to infinite reflection groups. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 8(3):597–627, 1995.
- [CD95b] Ruth Charney and Michael W. Davis. The  $K(\pi, 1)$ -problem for hyperplane complements associated to infinite reflection groups. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 8(3):597–627, 1995.
- [Cha95] Ruth Charney. Geodesic automation and growth functions for Artin groups of finite type. *Math. Ann.*, 301(2):307–324, 1995.
- [Cha04] Ruth Charney. The deligne complex for the four-strand braid group. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 356(10):3881–3897, 2004.
- [Che00] Victor Chepoi. Graphs of some cat (0) complexes. *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, 24(2):125–179, 2000.
- [CMV20] María Cumplido, Alexandre Martin, and Nicolas Vaskou. Parabolic subgroups of large-type artin groups. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.02693*, 2020.
- [CP14] Ruth Charney and Luis Paris. Convexity of parabolic subgroups in artin groups. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1401.7634*, 2014.
- [Cri00] John Crisp. Symmetrical subgroups of artin groups. *Advances in Mathematics*, 152(1):159–177, 2000.
- [Cri05] John Crisp. Automorphisms and abstract commensurators of 2–dimensional artin groups. *Geometry & Topology*, 9(3):1381–1441, 2005.
- [Del72] Pierre Deligne. Les immeubles des groupes de tresses généralisés. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 17(4):273–302, 1972.
- [DHL23] Hannah Dell, Edmund Heng, and Anthony M Licata. Fusion-equivariant stability conditions and morita duality. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.06857*, 2023.
- [DL15] Dominic Descombes and Urs Lang. Convex geodesic bicomings and hyperbolicity. *Geometriae dedicata*, 177(1):367–384, 2015.

- [DPS22] Emanuele Delucchi, Giovanni Paolini, and Mario Salvetti. Dual structures on coxeter and artin groups of rank three. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.14518*, 2022.
- [DS87] Andreas WM Dress and Rudolf Scharlau. Gated sets in metric spaces. *Aequationes mathematicae*, 34:112–120, 1987.
- [EM02] Murray Elder and Jon McCammond. Curvature testing in 3-dimensional metric polyhedral complexes. *Experimental Mathematics*, 11(1):143–158, 2002.
- [EM04] Murray Elder and Jon McCammond. CAT (0) is an algorithmic property. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 107:25–46, 2004.
- [ES10] Graham Ellis and Emil Sköldbberg. The  $K(\pi, 1)$  conjecture for a class of Artin groups. *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici*, 85(2):409–415, 2010.
- [Fal95] Michael Falk.  $K(\pi, 1)$  arrangements. *Topology*, 34(1):141–154, 1995.
- [FN62] R. Fox and L. Neuwirth. The braid groups. *Math. Scand.*, 10:119–126, 1962.
- [God23] Eddy Godelle. On parabolic subgroups of artin-tits groups. *Journal of Algebra*, 632:520–534, 2023.
- [Gol22] Alexander Goldman. The  $K(\pi, 1)$  conjecture and acylindrical hyperbolicity for relatively extra-large artin groups. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.16391*, 2022.
- [Gol23] Katherine Goldman. CAT(0) and cubulated shephard groups. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.10883*, 2023.
- [GP12a] Eddy Godelle and Luis Paris. Basic questions on Artin-Tits groups. In *Configuration spaces*, volume 14 of *CRM Series*, pages 299–311. Ed. Norm., Pisa, 2012.
- [GP12b] Eddy Godelle and Luis Paris.  $K(\pi, 1)$  and word problems for infinite type artin-tits groups, and applications to virtual braid groups. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 272(3):1339–1364, 2012.
- [Hae21] Thomas Haettel. Lattices, injective metrics and the  $K(\pi, 1)$  conjecture. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.07891*, 2021.
- [Hae22] Thomas Haettel. A link condition for simplicial complexes, and cub spaces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.07857*, 2022.
- [Hen22] Edmund Xian Chen Heng. *Categorification and dynamics in generalised braid groups*. PhD thesis, The Australian National University (Australia), 2022.
- [Hen24] Edmund Heng. Introduction to stability conditions and its relation to the  $K(\pi, 1)$  conjecture for artin groups. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.11562*, 2024.
- [HH23] Thomas Haettel and Jingyin Huang. New Garside structures and applications to Artin groups. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.11622*, 2023.
- [Hir21] Hiroshi Hirai. A nonpositive curvature property of modular semilattices. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 214(1):427–463, 2021.
- [HO21] Jingyin Huang and Damian Osajda. Helly meets Garside and Artin. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 225:395–426, 2021.
- [Hua23] Jingyin Huang. Labeled four cycles and the  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture for Artin groups. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.16847*, 2023.

- [Hua24] Jingyin Huang. On spherical Deligne complexes of type  $D_n$ . *arXiv preprint*, 2024.
- [Ike14] Akishi Ikeda. Stability conditions for preprojective algebras and root systems of kac-moody lie algebras. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1402.1392*, 2014.
- [JS23] Kasia Jankiewicz and Kevin Schreve. The  $K(\pi, 1)$ -conjecture implies the center conjecture for artin groups. *Journal of Algebra*, 615:455–463, 2023.
- [Juh18] Arye Juhász. Relatively extra-large artin groups. *Groups, Geometry, and Dynamics*, 12(4):1343–1370, 2018.
- [Juh23] Arye Juhász. A class of artin groups in which the  $k(\pi, 1)$  conjecture holds. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, page 107401, 2023.
- [Lan50a] Folke Lannér. On complexes with transitive groups of automorphisms. (*No Title*), 1950.
- [Lan50b] Folke Lannér. On complexes with transitive groups of automorphisms. *Comm. Sém. Math. Univ. Lund [Medd. Lunds Univ. Mat. Sem.]*, 11:71, 1950.
- [Lan13] Urs Lang. Injective hulls of certain discrete metric spaces and groups. *Journal of Topology and Analysis*, 5(03):297–331, 2013.
- [LM12] Eduard Looijenga and Gabriele Mondello. The fine structure of kontsevich-zorich strata for genus 3. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1208.4279*, 2012.
- [LS01] Roger C. Lyndon and Paul E. Schupp. *Combinatorial group theory*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. Reprint of the 1977 edition.
- [Mat64] Hideya Matsumoto. Générateurs et relations des groupes de weyl généralisés. *COMPTES RENDUS HEBDOMADAIRES DES SEANCES DE L ACADEMIE DES SCIENCES*, 258(13):3419, 1964.
- [McC09] Jon McCammond. Constructing non-positively curved spaces and groups. *Geometric and cohomological methods in group theory, LMS Lecture Note Series*, 358:162–224, 2009.
- [McC17] Jon McCammond. The mysterious geometry of artin groups. *Winter Braids Lecture Notes*, 4:1–30, 2017.
- [MS17] Jon McCammond and Robert Sulway. Artin groups of euclidean type. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 210(1):231–282, 2017.
- [MW02] Jonathan P McCammond and Daniel T Wise. Fans and ladders in small cancellation theory. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 84(3):599–644, 2002.
- [OT13] Peter Orlik and Hiroaki Terao. *Arrangements of hyperplanes*, volume 300. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [Par14] Luis Paris.  $K(\pi, 1)$  conjecture for artin groups. In *Annales de la Faculté des sciences de Toulouse: Mathématiques*, volume 23, pages 361–415, 2014.
- [PS21] Giovanni Paolini and Mario Salvetti. Proof of the  $k(\pi, 1)$  conjecture for affine artin groups. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 224(2):487–572, 2021.
- [QW18] Yu Qiu and Jon Woolf. Contractible stability spaces and faithful braid group actions. *Geometry & Topology*, 22(6):3701–3760, 2018.

- [QZ23] Yu Qiu and Xiaoting Zhang. Fusion-stable structures on triangulation categories. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.02917*, 2023.
- [Sal87] Mario Salvetti. Topology of the complement of real hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ . *Invent. math.*, 88(3):603–618, 1987.
- [Ser02] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Trees*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2002.
- [vdL83] Harm van der Lek. *The homotopy type of complex hyperplane complements*. 1983.
- [Web20] Richard CH Webb. Contractible, hyperbolic but non-CAT (0) complexes. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 30:1439–1463, 2020.
- [Wee] Jeff Weeks. Kaleidotile. Software available at <https://www.geometrygames.org/KaleidoTile/index.html>.