

DIMENSION FILTRATION OF THE BOUNDED DERIVED CATEGORY OF A NOETHERIAN RING

TONY J. PUTHENPURAKAL

ABSTRACT. Let A be a Noetherian ring of dimension d and let $\mathcal{D}^b(A)$ be the bounded derived category of A . Let $\mathcal{D}_i^b(A)$ denote the thick subcategory of $\mathcal{D}^b(A)$ consisting of complexes \mathbf{X}_\bullet with $\dim H^n(\mathbf{X}_\bullet) \leq i$ for all n . Set $\mathcal{D}_{-1}^b(A) = 0$. Consider the Verdier quotients $\mathcal{C}_i(A) = \mathcal{D}_i^b(A)/\mathcal{D}_{i-1}^b(A)$. We show for $i = 0, \dots, d$, $\mathcal{C}_i(A)$ is a Krull-Remak-Schmidt triangulated category with a bounded t -structure. We identify its heart. We also prove that if A is regular then $\mathcal{C}_i(A)$ has AR-triangles. We also prove that

$$\mathcal{C}_i(A) \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{P \\ \dim A/P=i}} \mathcal{D}_0^b(A_P).$$

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A be a Noetherian ring of dimension d and let $\mathcal{D}^b(A)$ be the bounded derived category of A . Let $\mathcal{D}_i^b(A)$ denote the thick subcategory of $\mathcal{D}^b(A)$ consisting of complexes \mathbf{X}_\bullet with $\dim H^n(\mathbf{X}_\bullet) \leq i$ for all n . Set $\mathcal{D}_{-1}^b(A) = 0$. We have a filtration of thick subcategories of $\mathcal{D}^b(A)$

$$0 \subseteq \mathcal{D}_0^b(A) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_1^b(A) \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{D}_i^b(A) \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{d-1}^b(A) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_d^b(A) = \mathcal{D}^b(A).$$

It is natural to investigate the Verdier quotients $\mathcal{C}_i(A) = \mathcal{D}_i^b(A)/\mathcal{D}_{i-1}^b(A)$ for $i = 0, \dots, d$. We first prove

Theorem 1.1. *The triangulated categories $\mathcal{C}_i(A)$ has a bounded t -structure for $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$.*

The heart of a triangulated category with a bounded T -structure is abelian. It is natural to investigate what is the heart of $\mathcal{C}_i(A)$. To understand we need a few preliminaries. Let $\text{mod}(A)$ denote the category of all finitely generated A -modules. Let $\mathcal{M}_i(A)$ denote the category of all finitely generated A -modules of dimension $\leq i$. We note that $\mathcal{M}_i(A)$ is a Serre-subcategory of $\text{mod}(A)$. We prove

Theorem 1.2. *The heart of $\mathcal{C}_i(A)$ (with respect to the t -structure in 1.1) is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_i(A)/\mathcal{M}_{i-1}(A)$.*

Next we prove the following surprising result.

Theorem 1.3. *$\mathcal{C}_i(A)$ is Krull-Remak-Schmidt for $i = 0, \dots, d$.*

As an application of Theorem 1.3 we show

Date: May 21, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 13D09 ; Secondary 13D02.

Key words and phrases. bounded derived category, bounded t -structures, Krull-Remak-Schmidt categories .

Theorem 1.4. *For $i = 0, \dots, d$; we have an equivalence of categories*

$$\mathcal{C}_i(A) \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{P \\ \dim A/P=i}} \mathcal{D}_0^b(A_P).$$

As an application of this result we show:

Corollary 1.5. *If A is regular then $\mathcal{C}_i(A)$ has Auslander-Rieten triangles for all i .*

Here is an overview of the contents of this paper. In section two we prove Theorem 1.1. In section three we prove Theorem 1.2 In the next section we prove Theorem 1.3. Finally in section five we prove Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 1.5.

2. t -STRUCTURE

Let \mathcal{G} be a triangulated category with its shift functor denoted by $[1]$.

2.1. Recall that a t -structure on \mathcal{G} is a pair of strictly (i.e. closed under isomorphisms) full additive subcategories $(\mathcal{G}^{\leq 0}, \mathcal{G}^{\geq 0})$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (T1) $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(X, Y[-1]) = 0$ for all $X \in \mathcal{G}^{\leq 0}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{G}^{\geq 0}$.
- (T2) $\mathcal{G}^{\leq 0}$ is closed under the functor $[1]$, and $\mathcal{G}^{\geq 0}$ is closed under the functor $[-1]$.
- (T3) for each $X \in \mathcal{G}$, there is an exact triangle $A \rightarrow X \rightarrow B[-1] \rightarrow A[1]$ with $A \in \mathcal{G}^{\leq 0}$ and $B \in \mathcal{G}^{\geq 0}$.

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, set $\mathcal{G}^{\leq n} = \mathcal{G}^{\leq 0}[-n]$ and $\mathcal{G}^{\geq n} = \mathcal{G}^{\geq 0}[-n]$. A t -structure if for each $X \in \mathcal{G}$ there exists m, n with $m \leq n$ such that $X \in \mathcal{G}^{\leq n} \cap \mathcal{G}^{\geq m}$.

2.2. Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category. We index \mathcal{A} -complexes cohomologically, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}: \dots \rightarrow X^n \rightarrow X^{n+1} \rightarrow X^{n+2} \rightarrow \dots$$

let $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{A})$ be its bounded derived category. The standard bounded t -structure on \mathcal{D} is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{D}^{\leq 0} = \{\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \mid \mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \cong \mathbf{Y}_{\bullet} \text{ where } Y^n = 0 \text{ for } n > 0\} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{D}^{\geq 0} = \{\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \mid \mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \cong \mathbf{Y}_{\bullet} \text{ where } Y^n = 0 \text{ for } n < 0\}.$$

2.3. Let A be a Noetherian ring of dimension d and let $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}^b(A)$ be its bounded derived category. Let $\mathcal{D}_i = \mathcal{D}_i^b(A)$ denote the thick subcategory of $\mathcal{D}^b(A)$ consisting of complexes \mathbf{X}_{\bullet} with $\dim H^n(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet}) \leq i$ for all n . Set $\mathcal{D}_{-1}^b(A) = 0$. Then note that for $i = 0, \dots, d$ the following defines a bounded t -structure on \mathcal{D}_i ;

$$\mathcal{D}_i^{\leq 0} = \{\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \in \mathcal{D}_i \mid \mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \cong \mathbf{Y}_{\bullet} \text{ where } Y^n = 0 \text{ for } n > 0\} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_i^{\geq 0} = \{\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \in \mathcal{D}_i \mid \mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \cong \mathbf{Y}_{\bullet} \text{ where } Y^n = 0 \text{ for } n < 0\}.$$

2.4. Consider $\mathcal{C}_i = \mathcal{C}_i(A) = \mathcal{D}_i / \mathcal{D}_{i-1}$. Set

$$\mathcal{C}_i^{\leq 0} = \{\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \in \mathcal{C}_i \mid \mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \cong \mathbf{Y}_{\bullet} \text{ where } Y^n = 0 \text{ for } n > 0\} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_i^{\geq 0} = \{\mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \in \mathcal{C}_i \mid \mathbf{X}_{\bullet} \cong \mathbf{Y}_{\bullet} \text{ where } Y^n = 0 \text{ for } n < 0\}.$$

We show

Theorem 2.5. *$(\mathcal{C}_i^{\leq 0}, \mathcal{C}_i^{\geq 0})$ defines a bounded t -structure on \mathcal{C}_i .*

Proof. Axiom (T2) is clearly satisfied by $(\mathcal{C}_i^{\leq 0}, \mathcal{C}_i^{\geq 0})$.

Axiom (T3). Let $\mathbf{Z}_\bullet \in \mathcal{C}_i$. We can consider \mathbf{Z}_\bullet as an element in \mathcal{D}_i . Using the bounded t -structure on \mathcal{D}_i there exists a triangle in \mathcal{D}_i where $\mathbf{X}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}_\bullet[-1] \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_\bullet[1]$ where $\mathbf{X}_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}_i^{\leq 0}$ and $\mathbf{Y}_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}_i^{\geq 0}$. We consider the image of this exact triangle in \mathcal{C}_i . We note the image of \mathbf{X}_\bullet is in $\mathcal{C}_i^{\leq 0}$ and the image of \mathbf{Y}_\bullet is in $\mathcal{C}_i^{\geq 0}$. Thus the pair $(\mathcal{C}_i^{\leq 0}, \mathcal{C}_i^{\geq 0})$ satisfies (T3).

(T1) We show that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_i}(\mathbf{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Y}_\bullet[-1]) = 0$ where \mathbf{X}_\bullet is in $\mathcal{C}_i^{\leq 0}$ and the image of \mathbf{Y}_\bullet is in $\mathcal{C}_i^{\geq 0}$. We may assume that $X_i = 0$ for $i > 0$ and $Y_i = 0$ for $i < 0$.

A morphism $\phi: \mathbf{X}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}_\bullet[-1]$ in \mathcal{C}_i can be written as a left fraction

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbf{W}_\bullet & \\ u \swarrow & & \searrow f \\ \mathbf{X}_\bullet & \xrightarrow{\phi = f \circ u^{-1}} & \mathbf{Y}_\bullet[-1] \end{array}$$

where u, f are maps in \mathcal{D}_i and cone u is in \mathcal{D}_{i-1} . It follows that $H^n(\mathbf{W}_\bullet)$ has dimension $\leq i - 1$ for $n > 0$. Consider the truncation of \mathbf{W}_\bullet

$$\mathbf{W}'_\bullet: \dots W^{-1} \rightarrow W^0 \rightarrow \text{image } \partial_0 \rightarrow 0$$

We have an obvious map $\theta: \mathbf{W}'_\bullet \rightarrow \mathbf{W}_\bullet$ in \mathcal{D}_i with cone of w in \mathcal{D}_{i-1} . So we have

$$\phi = f \circ u^{-1} = f \circ \theta \circ (u \circ \theta)^{-1}.$$

We have the following left fraction where $v = u \circ \theta$ and $g = f \circ \theta$ are maps in \mathcal{D}_i ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbf{W}'_\bullet & \\ v \swarrow & & \searrow g \\ \mathbf{X}_\bullet & \xrightarrow{\phi = g \circ v^{-1}} & \mathbf{Y}_\bullet[-1] \end{array}$$

Let $\xi: \mathbf{P}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathbf{W}'_\bullet$ be a projective resolution. As $H^n(\mathbf{W}'_\bullet) = 0$ for $n > 0$ we may assume that $P^n = 0$ for $n > 0$. So we have

$$\phi = g \circ v^{-1} = g \circ \xi \circ (v \circ \xi)^{-1}.$$

We have the following left fraction where $w = v \circ \xi$ and $h = g \circ \xi$ are maps in \mathcal{D}_i ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbf{P}_\bullet & \\ w \swarrow & & \searrow h \\ \mathbf{X}_\bullet & \xrightarrow{\phi = h \circ w^{-1}} & \mathbf{Y}_\bullet[-1] \end{array}$$

Let \mathcal{K} be the homotopy category of complexes \mathbf{Z}_\bullet of finitely generated A -modules such that $H^i(\mathbf{Z}_\bullet) = 0$ for $i \gg 0$. Then note that

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}_\bullet, \mathbf{Y}_\bullet[-1]) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{P}_\bullet, \mathbf{Y}_\bullet[-1]).$$

But $P^n = 0$ for $n > 0$ and $\mathbf{Y}_\bullet[-1]^n = 0$ for $n \leq 0$. It follows that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{P}_\bullet, \mathbf{Y}_\bullet[-1]) = 0$. Thus $\phi = 0$.

Finally it is clear that the t -structure defined on \mathcal{C}_i is bounded. \square

An immediate corollary is

Corollary 2.6. *Idempotents split in \mathcal{C}_i .*

Proof. The result follows from [1, Theorem] as \mathcal{C}_i has a bounded t -structure. \square

3. IDENTIFYING THE HEART OF $\mathcal{C}_i(A)$

In this section we identify the heart $\mathcal{H}_i(A)$ of $\mathcal{C}_i = \mathcal{C}_i(A)$ with respect to the t -structure defined in the previous section. Let $\text{mod}(A)$ denote the category of all finitely generated A -modules. Let $\mathcal{M}_i(A)$ denote the category of all finitely generated A -modules of dimension $\leq i$. We note that $\mathcal{M}_i(A)$ is a Serre-subcategory of $\text{mod}(A)$.

3.1. We first construct an exact functor $\Psi: \mathcal{M}_i/\mathcal{M}_{i-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_i$. We note that $\mathcal{H}_i = \mathcal{C}_i^{\leq 0} \cap \mathcal{C}_i^{\geq 0}$. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_i$ then we consider it as a stalk complex $i(M)$ in \mathcal{D}_i . Let $j(M)$ be the image of $i(M)$ in \mathcal{C}_i . Then note $j(M) \in \mathcal{H}_i$. Thus we have an additive functor $\Phi: \mathcal{M}_r \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_i$. Furthermore if $M \in \mathcal{M}_{i-1}$ then $j(M) = 0$ in \mathcal{C}_i . Let $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence in \mathcal{M}_i . Then note we have an exact triangle $i(M_1) \rightarrow i(M_2) \rightarrow i(M_3) \rightarrow i(M_1)[1]$ in \mathcal{D}_i . It's image in \mathcal{C}_i is $j(M_1) \rightarrow j(M_2) \rightarrow j(M_3) \rightarrow j(M_1)[1]$. As all the complexes is in \mathcal{H}_i it follows that we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow j(M_1) \rightarrow j(M_2) \rightarrow j(M_3) \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{H}_i . Thus Φ is an exact functor. As $j(M) = 0$ when $M \in \mathcal{M}_{i-1}$ we get that Φ factors as an exact functor $\Phi: \mathcal{M}_i/\mathcal{M}_{i-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_i$.

We prove:

Theorem 3.2. (with hypotheses as in 3.1) *The functor Ψ is an equivalence of categories.*

Proof. We have to show Ψ is fully faithful and dense.

We first show Ψ is dense. Let $\mathbf{W}_\bullet \in \mathcal{H}_i$. Then note $\dim H^n(\mathbf{W}_\bullet) \leq i - 1$ for $n \neq 0$ and $\dim H^0(\mathbf{W}_\bullet) \leq i$. Consider the truncation of \mathbf{W}_\bullet

$$\mathbf{W}'_\bullet: \dots W^{-1} \rightarrow W^0 \rightarrow \text{image } \partial_0 \rightarrow 0$$

We have an obvious map $\theta: \mathbf{W}'_\bullet \rightarrow \mathbf{W}_\bullet$ in \mathcal{D}_i with cone of w in D_{i-1} . So $\mathbf{W}_\bullet \cong \mathbf{W}'_\bullet$ in \mathcal{C}_i . Let $\mathbf{P}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathbf{W}'_\bullet$ be a projective resolution. As $H^n(\mathbf{W}'_\bullet) = 0$ for $n > 0$ we may assume $P^n = 0$ for $n > 0$. Note $\mathbf{W}_\bullet \cong \mathbf{W}'_\bullet \cong \mathbf{P}_\bullet$ in \mathcal{C}_i . Consider the obvious map $\mathbf{P}_\bullet \rightarrow P^0/\text{image } \partial^{-1} = U$. Then considering U as a stalk complex note $\mathbf{P}_\bullet \rightarrow U$ is an isomorphism in \mathcal{C}_i . So $\mathbf{W}_\bullet \cong \Psi(U)$.

Next we show Ψ is a full and faithful functor.

First we show that if $\theta: M \rightarrow N$ a map in \mathcal{M}_r is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{M}_r/\mathcal{M}_{r-1}$ then $\Phi(\theta): j(M) \rightarrow j(N)$ is an isomorphism in \mathcal{H}_i . Using θ we get exact sequences $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\alpha} I \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow I \xrightarrow{\beta} N \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ where $K, C \in \mathcal{M}_{r-1}$ and $\theta = \beta \circ \alpha$. It follows that we have a triangle

$$j(K) \rightarrow j(M) \xrightarrow{\Psi(\alpha)} j(I) \rightarrow j(K)[1] \text{ in } \mathcal{C}_i.$$

As $j(K) = 0$ in \mathcal{C}_i we get $\Psi(\alpha): j(M) \rightarrow j(I)$ is an isomorphism. Similarly we get $\Psi(\beta): j(I) \rightarrow j(N)$ is an isomorphism. It follows $\Psi(\theta) = \Psi(\beta) \circ \Psi(\alpha)$ is an isomorphism in \mathcal{C}_i and so in \mathcal{H}_i .

Let $M, N \in \mathcal{M}_i$. We want to show

$$\Psi: \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}_i/\mathcal{M}_{i-1}}(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_i}(j(M), j(N)) \text{ is an isomorphism.}$$

Let $\phi: M \rightarrow N$ be a map in $\mathcal{M}_i/\mathcal{M}_{i-1}$. Then ϕ can be expressed as a left fraction

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & L & \\ w \swarrow & & \searrow h \\ M & \xrightarrow{\phi=h \circ w^{-1}} & N \end{array}$$

where w is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{M}_i/\mathcal{M}_{i-1}$. Suppose $\Psi(\phi) = 0$. Then $\Psi(h) \circ \Psi(w)^{-1} = 0$. So $\Psi(h) = 0$. By [3, 2.1.26], $\Psi(h): j(L) \rightarrow j(N)$ factors through $\mathbf{X}_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}_{i-1}$. Taking cohomology we get that $h: L \rightarrow N$ factors through $H^0(\mathbf{X}_\bullet) \in \mathcal{M}_{i-1}$. So $h = 0$ in $\mathcal{M}_i/\mathcal{M}_{i-1}$. Thus $\phi = 0$. So it follows that Ψ is faithful.

Next we show Ψ is full. Let $\psi: j(M) \rightarrow j(N)$ be a morphism in \mathcal{H}_i . Then ψ can be represented by a left fraction

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & L & \\ w \swarrow & & \searrow h \\ j(M) & \xrightarrow{\psi=h \circ w^{-1}} & j(N) \end{array}$$

We note that as discussed before we may assume that $H^i(L) = 0$ for $i > 0$. We may replace L by its projective resolution \mathbf{P}_\bullet and further we may assume $\mathbf{P}_\bullet^n = 0$ for $n > 0$. We note $w: P \rightarrow j(M)$ factors through $\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet, H^0(\mathbf{P}_\bullet)}$ where $\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet, H^0(\mathbf{P}_\bullet)}$ is a projective resolution of $H^0(\mathbf{P}_\bullet)$. A similar result holds for h . We further note that as $H^n(\mathbf{P}_\bullet)$ has dimension $< i$ for $n < 0$ we get that the natural map $\mathbf{P}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_{\bullet, H^0(\mathbf{P}_\bullet)}$ is an isomorphism in \mathcal{C}_i . Thus we get a left fraction description of ψ as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbf{Q}_{\bullet, H^0(\mathbf{P}_\bullet)} & \\ u \swarrow & & \searrow v \\ j(M) & \xrightarrow{\psi=v \circ u^{-1}} & j(N) \end{array}$$

Taking cohomology we get the required result. \square

4. THE KRULL-REMAK-SCHMIDT PROPERTY OF $\mathcal{C}_i(A)$

In this section we prove Theorem 1.3. By 2.6 idempotents split in \mathcal{C}_i . Next we show that any $\mathbf{X}_\bullet \in \mathcal{C}_i$ is a direct sum of indecomposables in \mathcal{C}_i .

4.1. Let $\mathbf{X}_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}_i$. We set

$$\text{Supp}_i \mathbf{X}_\bullet = \{P \in \text{Spec}(A) \mid \dim A/P = i \text{ and } \mathbf{X}_{\bullet, P} \neq 0 \text{ in } D^b(A_P)\}.$$

It is clear that $\text{Supp}_i \mathbf{X}_\bullet$ is a finite set and $\text{Supp}_i \mathbf{X}_\bullet = \emptyset$ if and $\mathbf{X}_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}_{i-1}$. Set

$$h(\mathbf{X}_\bullet) = \sum_{P \in \text{Supp}_i \mathbf{X}_\bullet} \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \ell_{A_P}(H^n(\mathbf{X}_\bullet)_P) \right).$$

We note that $h(\mathbf{X}_\bullet)$ is a finite non-negative integer and $h(\mathbf{X}_\bullet) = 0$ if and $\mathbf{X}_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}_{i-1}$.

4.2. For $\mathbf{X}_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}_i$ let $i(\mathbf{X}_\bullet)$ denote its image in \mathcal{C}_i . We show that h descends into a well-define function on \mathcal{C}_i .

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\mathbf{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Y}_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}_i$ and let $\mathbf{Z}_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}_{i-1}$. Then the following holds*

- (1) If $\mathbf{X}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_i \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_\bullet[1]$ is a triangle in \mathcal{D}_i then $h(\mathbf{X}_\bullet) = h(\mathbf{Y}_\bullet)$.
- (2) If $i(\mathbf{X}_\bullet) \cong i(\mathbf{Y}_\bullet)$ in \mathcal{C}_i then $\text{Supp}_i(\mathbf{X}_\bullet) = \text{Supp}_i(\mathbf{Y}_\bullet)$ and $h(\mathbf{X}_\bullet) = h(\mathbf{Y}_\bullet)$.
- (3) $\text{Supp}_i(-)$ is well defined in \mathcal{C}_i . Furthermore $h(-)$ defines a well-defined function on \mathcal{C}_i and $h(\mathbf{W}_\bullet) = 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{W}_\bullet = 0$ in \mathcal{C}_i .
- (4) $h(\mathbf{F}_\bullet \oplus \mathbf{W}_\bullet) = h(\mathbf{F}_\bullet) + h(\mathbf{W}_\bullet)$ in \mathcal{C}_i .
- (5) Any $\mathbf{W}_\bullet \in \mathcal{C}_i$ is a finite direct sum of indecomposables in \mathcal{C}_i .

Proof. (1) Let P be a prime such that $\dim A/P = i$. We note that $\mathbf{Z}_{\bullet P} = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}^b(A_P)$. It follows that $\text{Supp}_i(\mathbf{X}_\bullet) = \text{Supp}_i(\mathbf{Y}_\bullet)$ and $h(\mathbf{X}_\bullet) = h(\mathbf{Y}_\bullet)$.

(2) Let $\phi: i(\mathbf{X}_\bullet) \rightarrow i(\mathbf{Y}_\bullet)$ be an isomorphism in \mathcal{C}_i . We have a left fraction

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbf{W}_\bullet & \\ w \swarrow & & \searrow h \\ \mathbf{X}_\bullet & \xrightarrow{\phi = h \circ w^{-1}} & \mathbf{Y}_\bullet \end{array}$$

We have $\text{cone}(w) \in \mathcal{D}_{i-1}$. Also as ϕ is an isomorphism it follows that $\text{cone}(h) \in \mathcal{D}_{i-1}$. By (1) we have $\text{Supp}_i(\mathbf{X}_\bullet) = \text{Supp}_i(\mathbf{W}_\bullet) = \text{Supp}_i(\mathbf{Y}_\bullet)$. Furthermore $h(\mathbf{X}_\bullet) = h(\mathbf{W}_\bullet) = h(\mathbf{Y}_\bullet)$.

(3) If $\mathbf{W}_\bullet \in \mathcal{C}_i$, define $\text{Supp}_i(\mathbf{W}_\bullet) = \text{Supp}_i(\mathbf{X}_\bullet)$ and $h(\mathbf{W}_\bullet) = h(\mathbf{X}_\bullet)$ where $\mathbf{X}_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}_i$ such that $i(\mathbf{X}_\bullet) = \mathbf{W}_\bullet$. By (2) these terms are well defined. Furthermore $\text{Supp}_i(\mathbf{W}_\bullet)$ is empty if and only if $h(\mathbf{W}_\bullet)$ is zero if and only if $\mathbf{W}_\bullet = 0$ in \mathcal{C}_i .

(4) Choose $\mathbf{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Y}_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}_i$ such that $i(\mathbf{X}_\bullet) = \mathbf{F}_\bullet, i(\mathbf{Y}_\bullet) = \mathbf{W}_\bullet$. We note that $i(\mathbf{X}_\bullet \oplus \mathbf{Y}_\bullet) = \mathbf{F}_\bullet \oplus \mathbf{W}_\bullet$. We have

$$h(\mathbf{F}_\bullet \oplus \mathbf{W}_\bullet) = h(\mathbf{X}_\bullet \oplus \mathbf{Y}_\bullet) = h(\mathbf{X}_\bullet) + h(\mathbf{Y}_\bullet) = h(\mathbf{F}_\bullet) + h(\mathbf{W}_\bullet).$$

(5) Suppose it is not possible to decompose some \mathbf{W}_\bullet into a finite direct sum of indecomposables. Choose \mathbf{W}_\bullet with this property such that $h(\mathbf{W}_\bullet)$ is smallest. Notice \mathbf{W}_\bullet is not indecomposable. Say $\mathbf{W}_\bullet = \mathbf{X}_\bullet \oplus \mathbf{Y}_\bullet$ with $\mathbf{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Y}_\bullet$ non-zero in \mathcal{C}_i . Then $h(\mathbf{W}_\bullet) = h(\mathbf{X}_\bullet) + h(\mathbf{Y}_\bullet)$ with $h(\mathbf{X}_\bullet) \neq 0$ and $h(\mathbf{Y}_\bullet) \neq 0$. It follows that $h(\mathbf{X}_\bullet) < h(\mathbf{W}_\bullet)$ and $h(\mathbf{Y}_\bullet) < h(\mathbf{W}_\bullet)$. By our assumption $\mathbf{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Y}_\bullet$ are a finite direct sum of indecomposables. It follows that \mathbf{W}_\bullet is also a finite direct sum of indecomposables, a contradiction. The result follows. \square

4.4. Let $\mathbf{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Y}_\bullet \in \mathcal{C}_i$. Suppose $\text{Supp}_i(\mathbf{X}_\bullet), \text{Supp}_i(\mathbf{Y}_\bullet) \subseteq \{P_1, \dots, P_m\}$ with $\dim A/P_j = i$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$. Let $S = A \setminus \cup_{j=1}^m P_j$. Suppose $\phi: \mathbf{X}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}_\bullet$ be given as a left fraction

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbf{W}_\bullet & \\ w \swarrow & & \searrow h \\ \mathbf{X}_\bullet & \xrightarrow{\phi = h \circ w^{-1}} & \mathbf{Y}_\bullet \end{array}$$

Then we note that $\text{Supp}_i(\mathbf{W}_\bullet) = \text{Supp}_i(\mathbf{X}_\bullet)$ and $\text{cone}(w)$ is in \mathcal{D}_{i-1} . Thus we get that $S^{-1}w: S^{-1}\mathbf{W}_\bullet \rightarrow S^{-1}\mathbf{X}_\bullet$ is an isomorphism. So we have a well-defined A -linear map

$$\eta_S(\mathbf{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Y}_\bullet): \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_i}(\mathbf{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Y}_\bullet) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(S^{-1}A)}(S^{-1}\mathbf{X}_\bullet, S^{-1}\mathbf{Y}_\bullet).$$

given by $\phi \mapsto S^{-1}h \circ (S^{-1}w)^{-1}$. We show

Proposition 4.5. (with hypotheses as in 4.4) $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_i}(\mathbf{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Y}_\bullet)$ is an $S^{-1}A$ -module and $\eta_S(\mathbf{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Y}_\bullet)$ is an isomorphism of $S^{-1}A$ -modules.

We need a few preliminaries to prove this result. We first show

Lemma 4.6. *Let $\mathbf{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Y}_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}_i$. Suppose $\text{Supp}_i(\mathbf{X}_\bullet), \text{Supp}_i(\mathbf{Y}_\bullet) \subseteq \{P_1, \dots, P_m\}$ with $\dim A/P_j = i$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$. Let $S = A \setminus \cup_{j=1}^m P_j$. Then the natural map*

$$S^{-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(A)}(\mathbf{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Y}_\bullet) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(S^{-1}A)}(S^{-1}\mathbf{X}_\bullet, S^{-1}\mathbf{Y}_\bullet),$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let \mathcal{K} denote the homotopy category of complexes \mathbf{Z}_\bullet with $\mathbf{Z}_n^{\bullet} = 0$ for $n \gg 0$, \mathbf{Z}_n^{\bullet} finitely generated A -module for all n and $H^n(\mathbf{Z}_\bullet) = 0$ for all $n \ll 0$. Let \mathbf{P}_\bullet be a projective resolution of \mathbf{X}_\bullet . Then

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(A)}(\mathbf{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Y}_\bullet) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{P}_\bullet, \mathbf{Y}_\bullet) = H^0(\text{Hom}_A(\mathbf{P}_\bullet, \mathbf{Y}_\bullet)).$$

Note we are assuming that \mathbf{Y}_\bullet is a bounded complex. So note that $\text{Hom}_A(\mathbf{P}_\bullet, \mathbf{Y}_\bullet)^n$ is finitely generated A -module for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} S^{-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(A)}(\mathbf{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Y}_\bullet) &= S^{-1}(H^0(\text{Hom}_A(\mathbf{P}_\bullet, \mathbf{Y}_\bullet))) \\ &\cong H^0(S^{-1} \text{Hom}_A(\mathbf{P}_\bullet, \mathbf{Y}_\bullet)) \\ &\cong H^0(\text{Hom}_{S^{-1}A}(S^{-1}\mathbf{P}_\bullet, S^{-1}\mathbf{Y}_\bullet)) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(S^{-1}A)}(S^{-1}\mathbf{X}_\bullet, S^{-1}\mathbf{Y}_\bullet). \end{aligned}$$

The last equality holds as $S^{-1}\mathbf{P}_\bullet$ is a projective resolution of $S^{-1}\mathbf{X}_\bullet$. \square

Next we show

Lemma 4.7. *Let $\mathbf{X}_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}_i$. Suppose $\text{Supp}_i(\mathbf{X}_\bullet) \subseteq \{P_1, \dots, P_m\}$ with $\dim A/P_j = i$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$. Let $S = A \setminus \cup_{j=1}^m P_j$. Let $\mu_s: \mathbf{X}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_\bullet$ be multiplication by s . Then $\text{cone}(\mu_s) \in \mathcal{D}_{i-1}$.*

Proof. Let Q be a prime ideal such that $\dim A/Q = i$. If $Q \neq P_i$ for all i then $\mathbf{X}_{\bullet Q} = 0$. So $\text{cone}(\mu_s)_Q = 0$. If $Q = P_i$ for some i then as s is a unit in A_Q we have that the induced map $H^n(\mu_s): H^n(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet Q}) \rightarrow H^n(\mathbf{X}_{\bullet Q})$ is an isomorphism for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. So $H^n(\text{cone}(\mu_s)_Q) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that $\text{cone}(\mu_s)_Q = 0$. Thus $\text{cone}(\mu_s) \in \mathcal{D}_{i-1}$. \square

We now give

Proof of Proposition 4.5. We first show that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_i}(\mathbf{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Y}_\bullet)$ is a $S^{-1}A$ -module. It suffices to show that $\mu_s: \mathbf{Y}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}_\bullet$ is invertible for every $s \in S$. This follows from Lemma 4.7. As η is an A -linear map between two $S^{-1}A$ -modules it is in fact $S^{-1}A$ -linear.

The natural map $S^{-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(A)}(\mathbf{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Y}_\bullet) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(S^{-1}A)}(S^{-1}\mathbf{X}_\bullet, S^{-1}\mathbf{Y}_\bullet)$ factors through $\eta(\mathbf{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Y}_\bullet)$. By Lemma 4.6 it follows that $\eta(\mathbf{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Y}_\bullet)$ is surjective. We show $\eta(\mathbf{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Y}_\bullet)$ is injective. Let $\phi = h \circ w^{-1}: \mathbf{X}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}_\bullet$ be a map with $\eta(\phi) = 0$. We note that $\eta(\phi) = S^{-1}h \circ (S^{-1}w)^{-1}$. It follows that $S^{-1}h = 0$. By 4.6 it follows that there exists $s \in S$ such that $\mu_s \circ h = 0$ in $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Y}_\bullet)$. But μ_s is invertible in \mathcal{C}_i . So $h = 0$ in \mathcal{C}_i . Therefore $\phi = 0$. Thus $\eta(\mathbf{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Y}_\bullet)$ is injective. The result follows. \square

As a consequence we have

Corollary 4.8. *Let $\mathbf{X}_\bullet \in \mathcal{C}_i$. Then $E = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_i}(\mathbf{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{X}_\bullet)$ is a (left) Artinian ring. If \mathbf{X}_\bullet is indecomposable then E is local (possibly non-commutative).*

Proof. Suppose $\text{Supp}_i(\mathbf{X}_\bullet) \subseteq \{P_1, \dots, P_m\}$ with $\dim A/P_j = i$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$. Let $S = A \setminus \cup_{j=1}^m P_j$. We note that the ideals $S^{-1}P_j$ are precisely the maximal ideals in $S^{-1}A$. It follows that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(S^{-1}A)}(S^{-1}\mathbf{X}_\bullet, S^{-1}\mathbf{X}_\bullet)$ has finite length as a $S^{-1}A$ module and so left Artinian. By 4.5 it follows that E is a left Artinian ring.

4.9. As idempotents split in \mathcal{C}_i it follows that if \mathbf{X}_\bullet is indecomposable then E has no idempotents, see [2, 1.1]. As E is an Artinian ring it follows that E is local (use [2, 1.5]) \square

As a consequence we have

Theorem 4.10. *For all i , $\mathcal{C}_i(A)$ is a Krull-Remak-Schmidt(KRS) category*

Proof. By 4.3 any \mathbf{X}_\bullet decomposes as a finite direct sum of indecomposables. By 4.8 endomorphism rings of indecomposables are local. Thus \mathcal{C}_i is a KRS-category. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

In this section we prove Theorem 1.4 and give a proof of Corollary 1.5. We need the following well-known result.

5.1. Let A be a semi-local ring with maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_r$. Note $\mathcal{D}_0^b(A)$ is a Krull-Remak-Schmidt category. For $\mathbf{X}_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}_0^b(A)$ note that $\text{Supp}_0(\mathbf{X}_\bullet) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_r\}$. Furthermore if $\mathbf{X}_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}_0^b(A)$ is indecomposable then $\text{Supp}_0(\mathbf{X}_\bullet) = \{\mathfrak{m}_j\}$ for some j . If $\mathbf{Y}_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}_0^b(A)$ and if $\text{Supp}_0(\mathbf{X}_\bullet) \cap \text{Supp}_0(\mathbf{Y}_\bullet) = \emptyset$ then $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(A)}(\mathbf{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Y}_\bullet) = 0$. Furthermore we have $\mathcal{D}_0^b(A) \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^s \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{m}_j}$ where $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{m}_j}$ is the thick subcategory in $\mathcal{D}_0^b(A)$ consisting of complexes \mathbf{X}_\bullet with $\text{Supp}_0(\mathbf{X}_\bullet) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}_j\}$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let \mathbf{X}_\bullet be indecomposable in \mathcal{C}_i . Then $\text{Supp}_i(\mathbf{X}_\bullet) = \{P\}$ for some prime P with $\dim A/P = i$.*

Proof. Say $\text{Supp}_i(\mathbf{X}_\bullet) = \{P_1, \dots, P_r\}$ for some primes P_j with $\dim A/P_j = i$ for all j . Let $S = A \setminus \cup_{j=1}^r P_j$. We note that $S^{-1}A$ is semi-local with maximal ideals $S^{-1}P_j$ where $j = 1, \dots, r$. We note that $S^{-1}\mathbf{X}_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}_0^b(S^{-1}A)$ and by 4.6 is indecomposable. By 5.1 it follows that $r = 1$. \square

Next we show

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\mathbf{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Y}_\bullet \in \mathcal{C}_i$ be indecomposable. Let $\text{Supp}_i(\mathbf{X}_\bullet) = \{P\}$ and $\text{Supp}_i(\mathbf{Y}_\bullet) = \{Q\}$. If $P \neq Q$ then $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_i}(\mathbf{X}_\bullet, \mathbf{Y}_\bullet) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $S = A \setminus (P \cup Q)$. Then $S^{-1}A$ is semi-local with maximal ideals $S^{-1}P$ and $S^{-1}Q$. We note that $\text{Supp}_0 S^{-1}\mathbf{X}_\bullet = \{S^{-1}P\}$ and $\text{Supp}_0 S^{-1}\mathbf{Y}_\bullet = \{S^{-1}Q\}$. By 5.1 we get $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(S^{-1}A)}(S^{-1}\mathbf{X}_\bullet, S^{-1}\mathbf{Y}_\bullet) = 0$. The result follows from 4.6. \square

5.4. Let P be a prime ideal in A with $\dim A/P = i$. Let $\mathcal{V}(P)$ be the full subcategory of complexes \mathbf{X}_\bullet in $\mathcal{C}_i(A)$ with $\text{Supp}_i(\mathbf{X}_\bullet) \subseteq \{P\}$. It is elementary to see that $\mathcal{V}(P)$ is a thick subcategory of $\mathcal{C}_i(A)$. As $\mathcal{C}_i(A)$ is a Krull-Schmidt category, it follows from Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3 that

$$\mathcal{C}_i(A) = \bigoplus_{\substack{P \\ \dim A/P=i}} \mathcal{V}(P).$$

Next we give

Proof of Theorem 1.4. By 5.4 it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{V}(P) \cong \mathcal{D}_0^b(A_P)$. We have the obvious functor $\Phi: \mathcal{V}(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_0^b(A_P)$ given by $\mathbf{X}_\bullet \mapsto \mathbf{X}_{\bullet,P}$. By 4.5 it follows that Φ is full and faithful. It suffices to prove Φ is dense. Let $\mathbf{Y}_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}_0^b(A_P)$. We have to show existence of a complex \mathbf{X}_\bullet in $\mathcal{V}(P)$ with $\Phi(\mathbf{X}_\bullet) = \mathbf{Y}_\bullet$. We prove this by inducting on $\ell(H^*(\mathbf{Y}_\bullet))$.

If $\ell(H^*(\mathbf{Y}_\bullet)) = 1$ then note $\mathbf{Y}_\bullet \cong \kappa(P)[c]$ for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ (here $\kappa(P)$ = residue field of A_P). Let $\mathbf{X}_\bullet = A/P[c]$ a stalk complex concentrated in degree c . Notice $\Phi(\mathbf{X}_\bullet) = \mathbf{Y}_\bullet$.

Now let $\ell(H^*(\mathbf{Y}_\bullet)) = r$ and the result is known for complexes \mathbf{Z}_\bullet with $\ell(H^*(\mathbf{Z}_\bullet)) < r$. Let \mathbf{P}_\bullet be a projective resolution of \mathbf{Y}_\bullet , we may assume (after shifting) that $H^0(\mathbf{P}_\bullet) \neq 0$ and $\mathbf{P}_n^\bullet = 0$ for $n > 0$. Let \mathbf{Q}_\bullet be projective resolution of $\kappa(P)$. We have an obvious surjective map $H^0(\mathbf{P}_\bullet) \rightarrow \kappa(P)$. This yields a chain map $\mathbf{P}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_\bullet$. After taking a sufficiently high good truncation \mathbf{Z}_\bullet of \mathbf{Q}_\bullet we get a triangle in $\mathcal{D}_0^b(A_P)$

$$\mathbf{Y}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_\bullet \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{W}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}_\bullet[1]$$

where $\ell(H^*(\mathbf{W}_\bullet)) = r - 1$. By induction hypotheses there exist $\mathbf{U}_\bullet, \mathbf{V}_\bullet \in \mathcal{V}(P)$ such that there exists isomorphisms $g: \Phi(\mathbf{U}_\bullet) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_\bullet$ and $h: \Phi(\mathbf{V}_\bullet) \rightarrow \mathbf{W}_\bullet$. The map $h^{-1} \circ f \circ g = \Phi(\theta)$ for some $\theta: \mathbf{U}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_\bullet$ (as Φ is fully-faithful). We have a triangle in $\mathcal{V}(P)$

$$\mathbf{K}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathbf{U}_\bullet \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathbf{V}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_\bullet[1].$$

It is elementary to verify that $\Phi(\mathbf{K}_\bullet) \cong \mathbf{Y}_\bullet$. The result follows. \square

We now give

Proof. If A is regular then so is A_P for any prime P . By [4, 1.1], $\mathcal{D}_0^b(A_P)$ has AR-triangles. The result follows. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Le and X-W. Chen, *Karoubianness of a triangulated category*, J. Algebra, 310, (2007), no.1, 452–457.
- [2] G. J. Leuschke and R. Wiegand, *Cohen-Macaulay representations*, Math. Surveys Monogr., 181 American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.
- [3] A. Neeman, *Triangulated categories*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, 148. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001.
- [4] T. J. Puthenpurakal, *On a generalization of two results of Happel to commutative rings*, Preprint. arXiv: 2208.12137

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IIT BOMBAY, POWAI, MUMBAI 400 076
 Email address: tputhen@math.iitb.ac.in