# arXiv:2405.11797v1 [math.DS] 20 May 2024

# MINIMAL FREQUENTLY STABLE IS ALMOST AUTOMORPHIC

LEIYE XU AND ZONGRUI HU

ABSTRACT. We show that a minimal topolgical dynamical system that is frequently stable if and only if it is almost automorphic.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, a topological dynamical system (t.d.s.) is a pair (X, T) consisting of a compact metric space X and a continuous transformation  $T: X \to X$ . Equicontinuous systems are known to have simple dynamical behaviours. By the well known Halmos-von Neumann theorem, a transitive equicontinuous system is conjugate to a minimal rotation on a compact abelian metric group [5]. The notion of mean equicontinuity was introduced by Li, Tu and Ye [8] (which is equivalent to the notion of mean-L-stability in the early paper of Fomin [3]). It was proved [8] that a minimal mean equicontinuous system has discrete spectrum. We refer to the survey [9] for more related subjects.

In the study of mean equicontinuous t.d.s., García-Ramos, Jäger and Ye introduced the notion of frequently stable (see Section 3 for definition). In [4, Theorem 3.4], García-Ramos, Jäger and Ye proved that a minimal mean equicontinuous t.d.s. is almost automorphic if and only if it is frequently stable. They proposed a question: Does there exist a minimal t.d.s. that is frequently stable but not almost automorphic? In this paper, we give a negative answer.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let (X,T) be a minimal t.d.s. Then (X,T) is frequently stable if and only if it is almost automorphic.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basic notions and results. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1.

### 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we denote by  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  and  $\mathbb{N}$  the sets of non-negative integers and natural numbers, respectively. We denote the cardinality of a set A by |A|.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 54H20, 37B05.

Key words and phrases. minimal, frequently stable, almost automorphic .

2.1. Subsets of  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ . Let F be a subset of  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ . The upper density, upper Banach density and lower Banach density of F are defined by

$$\overline{D}(F) = \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{|F \cap [0, N - 1]|}{N}$$
$$\overline{BD}(F) = \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{|F \cap [M, N - 1]|}{M - N}$$
$$\underline{BD}(F) = \liminf_{N \to \infty} \frac{|F \cap [M, N - 1]|}{M - N}$$

respectively. It is clear that  $\underline{BD}(F) \leq \overline{D}(F) \leq \overline{BD}(F)$  for any  $F \subset \mathbb{Z}_+$ . When a set is denoted with braces, for example  $\{A\}$ , we simply write  $\underline{BD}\{A\} = \underline{BD}(\{A\})$ .

2.2. Topological dynamical systems. A t.d.s. (X,T) is called minimal if X contains no proper non-empty closed invariant subsets. We denote the forward orbit of  $x \in X$  by

$$Orb(x,T) = \{x, Tx, \cdots\}$$

and its orbit closure by  $\overline{\operatorname{orb}(x,T)}$ . It is easy to verify that a t.d.s. is minimal if and only if every orbit is dense. A factor map  $\pi : X \to Y$  between two t.d.s. (X,T)and (Y,S) is a continuous onto map which intertwines the actions; we say that (Y,S) is a factor of (X,T) and that (X,T) is an extension of (Y,S). A factor map  $\pi : (X,T) \to (Y,S)$  is almost 1-1 if  $\{x \in X : |\pi^{-1}\pi(x) = \{x\}\}$  is residual (that is, it is the countable intersection of dense open sets).

A t.d.s. (X,T) is said to be equicontinuous if for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$ such that whenever  $x, y \in X$  with  $d(x, y) < \delta$ , then  $d(T^n x, T^n y) < \epsilon$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . There is a smallest invariant equivalence relation  $S_{eq}$  such that the quotient system  $(X_{eq} = X/S_{eq}, T)$  is equicontinuous [1]. The equivalence relation  $S_{eq}$  is called the equicontinuous structure relation and the factor  $(X/S_{eq}, T)$  is called the maximal equicontinuous factor of (X, T). For a t.d.s. (X, T), denote  $(X_{eq}, T_{eq})$  as the maximal equicontinuous factor of (X, T) and  $\pi_{eq} : X \to X_{eq}$  as the factor map.

Now we introduce the notion of sensitive set (S-set). One can see [11] for more information. Given a t.d.s. (X,T).  $(x_i)_{i=1}^n \in X^n$  is a sensitive *n*-tuple if  $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$ is not on the diagonal  $\Delta^n(X)$ , and for any open neighborhood  $U_i$  of  $x_i$  and any non-empty open subset U of X, there is  $k \ge 0$  such that  $U \cap T^{-k}(U_i) \ne \emptyset$  for  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ . Let K be a subset of X with  $|K| \ge 2$ . We say K is a sensitive set if for each  $(x_i)_{i=1}^n \in K^n \setminus \Delta^n(X)$ , each neighbourhood  $U_i$  of  $x_i$  and each neighbourhood U of  $x \in X$  there are  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x_i \in U$  with  $T^k x_i \in U_i$  for each  $1 \le i \le n$ . The following is from [6, Theorem 8.2].

**Theorem 2.1.** Let (X,T) be a minimal t.d.s. and  $\pi_{eq} : X \to X_{eq}$  be the factor map to the maximal equicontinuous factor. Then:

- (1) Each S-set is contained in some  $\pi_{eq}^{-1}y$  for some  $y \in X_{eq}$ .
- (2) For each  $y \in X_{eq}$ , every  $A \subset \pi^{-1}y$  with  $|A| \ge 2$  is an S-set.

2.3. Hyperspace system. Let X be a compact Hausdorff topological space. Let  $2^X$  be the set of nonempty closed subsets of X endowed with the Hausdorff metric. Let d be the metric on X, then the Hausdorff metric  $d_H$  on  $2^X$  may define as follows:

$$d_H(A, B) = \max\{\max_{a \in A} d(a, B), \max_{b \in B} d(b, A)\},\$$

where  $d(x, A) = \inf_{y \in A} d(x, y)$ . Then  $(2^X, d_H)$  is a compact metric space. Let  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be an arbitrary sequence of subsets of X. We say that  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  converges to A, denoted by  $\lim_{i\to\infty} A_i = A$ , if

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} d_H(A_i, A) = 0.$$

Let (X, T) be a t.d.s. We can induce a system on  $2^X$ . The action of T on  $2^X$  is given by  $TA = \{Tx : x \in A\}$  for each  $A \in 2^X$ . Then  $(2^X, T)$  is a t.d.s. and it is called the hyperspace system.

2.4. Probability measure space. Let X be a compact metric space. Denote by  $\mathcal{B}_X$  the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of X and  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  be the set of all Borel probability measures on X. For  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ , denote by  $\mathcal{B}_X^{\mu}$  the completion of  $\mathcal{B}_X$  under  $\mu$  and denote by  $\sup \mu$  the support of  $\mu$ , i.e. the smallest closed subset of X with full measure. In the weak\* topology,  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  is a nonempty compact convex space.

Let (X,T) be a t.d.s. We say  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  is *T*-invariant if  $\mu(T^{-1}B) = \mu(B)$ holds for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}_X^{\mu}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{M}(X,T)$  the set of *T*-invariant Borel probability measures of (X,T). We say  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X,T)$  is ergodic if for any *T*-invariant Borel set  $B \in \mathcal{B}_X^{\mu}$ ,  $\mu(B) = 0$  or  $\mu(B) = 1$  holds. Denote by  $\mathcal{M}^e(X,T)$  the set of ergodic measures of (X,T).

2.5. Følner sequence and generic points. A sequence of finite nonempty subsets  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  is called a *Følner sequence* if  $\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{|(F_n+m)\Delta F_n|}{|F_n|} = 0$  for every  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , where  $F + m = \{i + m : i \in F\}$ . A Følner sequence  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  is tempered if there exists some C > 0 such that

$$\left|\bigcup_{k < n} (F_n - F_k)\right| \le C|F_n|$$
 for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

where  $E - F = \{e - f : e \in E, f \in F\}.$ 

For every Følner sequence of  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ , there is a subsequence which is tempered. Similar to Birkhoff pointwise ergodic theorem, Lindenstrauss estabilished pointwise ergodic theorem for tempered Følner sequences [10].

**Theorem 2.2.** Let (X,T) be a t.d.s. and  $\mu$  be a *T*-invariant Borel probability measure. Let  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a tempered Følner sequence of  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ . Then for any  $f \in L^1(X,\mu)$ , there is a *T*-invariant  $f^* \in L^1(X,\mu)$  such that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{i \in F_n} f(T^i x) = f^*(x) \quad a.e.$$

In particular, if  $\mu$  is ergodic, one has

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{i \in F_n} f(T^i x) = \int f(x) d\mu(x) \quad a.e.$$

Let (X, T) be a t.d.s.,  $\{F_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  be a Følner sequence of  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  and  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X, T)$ . We say that  $x_0 \in X$  is generic for  $\mu$  along  $\{F_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  if

$$\frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{i \in F_n} \delta_{T^i x_0} \to \mu \text{ weakly}^* \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

where  $\delta_x$  is the Dirac mass at x. This is equivalent to that for all  $f \in C(X)$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{i \in F_n} f(T^i x_0) = \int f d\mu.$$

By Theorem 2.2, it is easy to see the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.3.** Let (X,T) be a t.d.s. and  $\mu$  be an ergodic *T*-invariant Borel probability measure. Let  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a tempered Følner sequence of  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ . Then the set of generic points for  $\mu$  along  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a Borel subset of X with  $\mu$  measure 1.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1. We firstly review some notions about frequently stable. Let (X,T) be a t.d.s. For  $x \in X$  and  $\delta > 0$ , denote  $B_{\delta}(x) = \{y \in X : d(x,y) < \delta\}$ . A point  $x \in X$  is said to be frequently stable if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\overline{D}\{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : \operatorname{diam}(T^i B_\delta(x)) > \epsilon\} < 1.$$

(X,T) is a frequently stable t.d.s. if all points of X are frequently stable. For a t.d.s. (X,T), denote  $(X_{eq},T_{eq})$  as the maximal equicontinuous factor of (X,T) and  $\pi_{eq}: X \to X_{eq}$  as the factor map. (X,T) is said to be almost automorphic if  $\pi_{eq}$  is almost 1-1. If (X,T) is minimal, then  $(X_{eq},T_{eq})$  is both minimal and uniquely ergodic, and we denote its unique invariant measure by  $\nu_{eq}$ .

Now we are going to prove Theorem 1.1. In fact, we get more general result.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let (X, T) be a minimal t.d.s. and  $\pi_{eq} : (X, T) \to (X_{eq}, T_{eq})$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\pi_{eq}$  is almost 1-1;
- (2) For every  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $x \in X$  there is  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\overline{BD}\{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : diam(T^iB_{\delta}(x)) > \epsilon\} < 1;$
- (3) There exists  $x \in X$  such that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\underline{BD}\{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : diam(T^iB_{\delta}(x)) > \epsilon\} < 1.$

To prove Theorem 3.1, we need some lemmas.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let (X,T) be a t.d.s. and  $\{B_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of  $2^X$ . Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $X = \bigcup_{n=0}^{N-1} T^{-n}(B_i)$  for  $i \ge 1$  and  $\lim_{i\to\infty} B_i = B_0$ , then  $X = \bigcup_{n=0}^{N-1} T^{-n}(B_0)$ .

*Proof.* Given  $x \in X$ . Since  $X = \bigcup_{n=0}^{N-1} T^{-n}(B_i)$ , one can find  $n_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$  such that

$$x \in T^{-n_i}B_i, i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Passing by a subsequence, we can assume that  $n_i = n_* \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$ . This implies

$$T^{n_*}x \in B_i$$
, for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Since  $\lim_{i\to\infty} B_i = B_0$ , one has  $T^{n_*}x \in B_0$ . Hence  $x \in T^{-n_*}(B_0) \subset \bigcup_{n=0}^{N-1} T^{-n}(B_0)$ . By arbitrariness,  $X = \bigcup_{n=0}^{N-1} T^{-n}(B_0)$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** Let (X,T) be a minimal t.d.s. and  $E \in 2^X$ . If  $X = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} T^{-n}E$ , then the interior of E is not empty.

*Proof.* By Baire Category Theorem, there is  $n \in N$  such that the interior of  $T^{-n}E$  is not empty. Since (X,T) is minimal, T is semi open [7, Theorem 2.5]. And then the interior of E is not empty.

Now we prove Theorem 3.1.

*Proof.* (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) is trivial. The proof of (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) is from [4, Theorem 3.4]. For completeness, we repeat it. By hypothesis, there exists  $y_0 \in X_{eq}$  such that  $|\pi_{eq}^{-1}(y_0)| = 1$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . There exists  $\eta > 0$  such that

$$\operatorname{diam}(\pi_{eq}^{-1}(B_{\eta}(y)) \le \epsilon$$

for every  $y \in B_{\eta}(y_0)$ . Since  $\nu_{eq}$  is fully supported,  $B_{\eta}(y_0)$  has positive measure and (using standard arguments) must contain a smaller ball with positive measure and  $\nu_{eq}$ -null boundary. Thus, by strict ergodicity, we have that

$$\underline{BD}\{n \in N : T_{eq}^n y \in B_\eta(y_0)\} > 0$$

for every  $y \in X_{eq}$ . Given  $x \in X$ . Since  $\pi_{eq}$  is continuous, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that diam $(\pi_{eq}(B_{\delta}(x))) < \eta$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $T_{eq}$  is an isometry. This implies that

$$B_{\eta}(T_{eq}^{n}\pi_{eq}(x)) = T_{eq}^{n}B_{\eta}(\pi_{eq}^{-1}(x))$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consequently

$$T^n(B_{\delta}(x)) \subset \pi_{eq}^{-1}(B_{\eta}(T_{eq}^n \pi_{eq}(x)))$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . So, if  $T_{eq}^n \pi_{eq}(x) \in B_\eta(y_0)$  then diam $(T^n B_\delta(x)) < \epsilon$ ; since this happens with positive lower Banach density, we conclude  $\overline{BD}\{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : \operatorname{diam}(T^i B_\delta(x)) > \epsilon\} < 1$  for  $x \in X$ .

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ . Assume that  $\pi_{eq}$  is not almost 1-1. Then

$$\epsilon_0 := \inf_{y \in X_{eq}} \operatorname{diam}(\pi^{-1}(y)) > 0.$$
(3.1)

Given  $0 < \epsilon_1 < \epsilon_2 < \epsilon_0$ . By hypothesis, there is  $x_* \in X$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\underline{BD}\{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : \operatorname{diam}(T^i \overline{B_{\delta}(x_*)}) > \epsilon_1\} < 1.$$

There is two sequences  $\{N_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}, \{M_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  with  $\lim_{i\to\infty}(N_i - M_i) = \infty$  such that

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{\left| \{ n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : \operatorname{diam}(T^n \overline{B_{\delta}(x_*)}) \le \epsilon_1 \} \cap [M_i, N_i - 1] \right|}{N_i - M_i} > 0.$$
(3.2)

Passing by a subsequence, assume

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{1}{N_i - M_i} \sum_{n=M_i}^{N_i - 1} \delta_{T^n \overline{B_\delta(x_*)}} := \nu \in \mathcal{M}(2^X, T).$$

Denote  $\mathcal{E}_{\leq \epsilon_1} := \{E \in 2^X : \operatorname{diam}(E) \leq \epsilon_1\}$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{<\epsilon_2} := \{E \in 2^X : \operatorname{diam}(E) < \epsilon_2\}$ .  $\mathcal{E}_{\leq \epsilon_1}$  is a closed set and  $\mathcal{E}_{<\epsilon_2}$  is an open set. Both of them are Borel measurable. By(3.2),  $\nu(\mathcal{E}_{\leq \epsilon_1}) > 0$ . There is an ergodic  $\nu_e$  with  $\operatorname{supp}\nu_e \subset \operatorname{supp}\nu$  such that

$$\nu_e(\mathcal{E}_{<\epsilon_2}) \ge \nu_e(\mathcal{E}_{\le\epsilon_1}) > 0. \tag{3.3}$$

Denote

$$\mathcal{E}_{\geq \epsilon_2} = \{ E \in 2^X : \operatorname{diam}(E) \geq \epsilon_2 \}.$$

It is clear that  $\mathcal{E}_{\geq \epsilon_2}$  is a closed subset of  $2^X$ . Next we are going to show that  $\nu(\mathcal{E}_{\geq \epsilon_2}) = 1$ . This conflicts with (3.3) and then  $\pi_{eq}$  is almost 1-1.

For  $x \in X$  and  $\gamma > 0$ , denote

$$\mathcal{C}(x,\gamma) = \{ E \in 2^X : \overline{B_{\gamma}(x)} \subset E \}$$

It is clear that each  $\mathcal{C}(x, \gamma)$  is a closed subset of  $2^X$  and then is Borel measurable. We have the following claim.

Claim 3.4. There is  $x_0 \in X$  and  $\gamma_0 > 0$  such that  $\nu_e(\mathcal{C}(x_0, \gamma_0)) > 0$ .

*Proof.* For  $\gamma > 0$ , denote

$$\mathcal{C}(\gamma) = \{ E \in 2^X : \text{there is } x \in X \text{ such that } \overline{B_{\gamma}(x)} \subset E \}.$$

It is clear that  $\mathcal{C}(\gamma)$  is a compact subset of  $2^X$  and then Borel measurable. Since (X,T) is minimal, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$X = \bigcup_{n=0}^{N-1} T^{-n} \left( T^k \overline{B_{\delta}(x_*)} \right) \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$
(3.4)

Notice that

$$\operatorname{supp}\nu_e \subset \operatorname{Orb}(\overline{B_\delta(x_*)}, T).$$

By (3.4) and Lemma 3.2,  $X = \bigcup_{n=0}^{N-1} T^{-n}(E)$  for all  $E \in \operatorname{supp}\nu_e$ . By Lemma 3.3, for any  $E \in \operatorname{supp}\nu_e$ , the interior of E is not empty. Hence

$$\operatorname{supp}\nu_e \subset \bigcup_{\gamma>0} \mathcal{C}(\gamma).$$

We can find  $\gamma > 0$  such that  $\nu_e(\mathcal{C}(\gamma)) > 0$ . Put  $\gamma_0 = \frac{\gamma}{2}$ . Let F be a finite and  $\gamma_0$ -dense subset of X. Then  $X = \bigcup_{x \in F} B_{\gamma_0}(x)$ . For  $E \in \mathcal{C}(\gamma)$ , there is  $x_E \in X$ 

such that  $\overline{B_{\gamma}(x_E)} \subset E$ . There is  $x'_E \in F$  such that  $x_E \in B_{\gamma_0}(x'_E)$ . This implies  $\overline{B_{\gamma_0}(x'_E)} \subset \overline{B_{\gamma}(x_E)} \subset E$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{C}(\gamma) \subset \bigcup_{x \in F} \mathcal{C}(x, \gamma_0)$ . Hence there is  $x_0 \in F$  such that  $\nu_e(\mathcal{C}(x_0, \gamma_0)) > 0$ .

Let  $x_0 \in X$  and  $\gamma_0 > 0$  be as in Claim 3.4.

**Claim 3.5.** There exists two sequences  $\{\tilde{N}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $\{\tilde{M}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  with  $\lim_{i\to\infty}(\tilde{N}_i - \tilde{M}_i) = \infty$  such that

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{\left| \{ n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : diam(T^n \overline{B_{\gamma_0}(x_0)}) \ge \epsilon_2 \} \cap [\tilde{M}_i, \tilde{N}_i - 1] \right|}{\tilde{N}_i - \tilde{M}_i} = 1.$$

Proof. Given  $y \in X_{eq}$ . Notice that  $\pi^{-1}(T_{eq}^n y) = T^n(\pi^{-1}y)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can find  $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$  and a finite subset  $\{x^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^{k_i}$  of  $\pi_{eq}^{-1}(y)$  such that  $T^n\{x_1^{(i)}, \cdots, x_{k_i}^{(i)}\}$ is  $\frac{1}{2i}$  dense in  $\pi^{-1}(T_{eq}^n y)$  for  $n = 0, 1, \cdots, i$ . There is  $\xi_i > 0$  such that

$$x, \tilde{x} \in X, d(x, \tilde{x}) < \xi_i$$
 implies  $d(T^n x, T^n x') < \frac{1}{2i}$  for  $n = 0, 1, \cdots, i$ .

By Theorem 2.1,  $\{x^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^{k_i}$  is an S-set. There is  $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\{\tilde{x}_k^{(i)}\}_{k=1}^{k_i} \subset B_{\gamma_0}(x_0)$  such that

$$d(x_k^{(i)}, T^{n_i} \tilde{x}_k^{(i)}) < \xi_i \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \cdots, k_i.$$

This implies

$$d(T^n x_k^{(i)}, T^{n+n_i} \tilde{x}_k^{(i)}) < \frac{1}{2i}$$
 for  $k = 1, 2, \cdots, k_i$  and  $n = 0, 1, \cdots, i$ .

Since  $T^n\{x_1^{(i)}, \cdots, x_{k_i}^{(i)}\}$  is  $\frac{1}{2i}$  dense in  $\pi^{-1}(T_{eq}^n y)$ , one has

$$\pi^{-1}(T_{eq}^{n}y) \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\kappa_{i}} B_{\frac{1}{i}}(T^{n+n_{i}}\tilde{x}_{k}^{(i)})$$

Hence, for  $n = 0, 1, \cdots, i$ ,

diam
$$(T^{n_i+n}\overline{B_{\gamma_0}(x_0)}) \ge$$
diam $(T^n(\{T^{n_i}\tilde{x}_1^{(i)},\cdots,\tilde{T}^{n_i}x_{k_i}^{(i)}\})) \ge \epsilon_0 - \frac{1}{i}$ 

Denote  $\tilde{M}_i = n_i$  and  $\tilde{N}_i = n_i + i$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\epsilon_2 \in (0, \epsilon_0)$ , one has

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{\left| \{ n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : \operatorname{diam}(T^n B_{\gamma_0}(x_0)) \ge \epsilon_2 \} \cap [M_i, N_i - 1] \right|}{\tilde{N}_i - \tilde{M}_i} = 1.$$

This ends the proof of Claim 3.5.

Let  $\{\tilde{N}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}, \{\tilde{M}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be as in Claim 3.5. Passing by a subsequence, we can assume that  $\{[\tilde{M}_i, \tilde{N}_i - 1]\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a tempered Følner sequence. By Claim 3.4,  $\nu_e(\mathcal{C}(x_0, \gamma_0)) > 0$ . By Corollary 2.3, there is a generic point  $E_0 \in \mathcal{C}(x_0, \gamma_0)$  such that

$$\nu_e = \lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{1}{\tilde{N}_i - \tilde{M}_i} \sum_{n = \tilde{M}_i}^{N_i - 1} \delta_{T^n E_0}.$$

### LEIYE XU AND ZONGRUI HU

Then by Claim 3.5,  $\nu_e(\mathcal{E}_{\geq \epsilon_2}) = 1$ . This conflicts with (3.3) and then  $\pi_{eq}$  is almost 1-1.

**Remark 3.6.** Same argument shows that Theorem 1.1 holds for all minimal countable abelian group actions on compact metric space. It is not clear whether Theorem 1.1 holds for minimal countable amenable group actions on compact metric space.

Acknowledgment. L. Xu is partially supported by NNSF of China (12031019, 12371197).

### References

- R. Ellis and W. Gottschalk, Homomorphisms of transformation groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 94, 1960, 258–271.
- [2] E. Følner, On groups with full Banach mean value, Math. Scand. 3 (1955), 243–254.
- [3] S. Fomin, On dynamical systems with a purely point spectrum, Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR, 77 (1951), 29–32.
- [4] F. García-Ramos, T. Jäger and X. Ye, Mean equicontinuity, almost automorphy and regularity. Israel J. Math. 243 (2021), no. 1, 155–183.
- [5] P. Halmos and J. Von Neumann, Operator methods in classical mechanics. II, Ann. of Math. (2), 43 (1942), 332–350.
- [6] W. Huang, P. Lu and X. Ye, Measure-theoretical sensitivity and equicontinuity, Israel J. Math. 183 (2011), 233–283.
- [7] S. Kolyada, L. Snoha and S. Trofimchuk, Noninvertible minimal maps. Fund. Math. 168 (2001), no. 2, 141–163.
- [8] J. Li, S. Tu and X. Ye, Mean equicontinuity and mean sensitivity, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems 35 (2015), 2587–2612.
- [9] J. Li, X. Ye and T. Yu, Mean equicontinuity, complexity and applications. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 41 (2021), no. 1, 359–393.
- [10] E. Lindenstrauss, Pointwise theorems for amenable groups. Invent. math. 146 (2001), 259–295.
- [11] X. Ye and R. Zhang, On sensitive sets in topological dynamics, Nonlinearity 21 (2008), 1601– 1620.

(L. Xu and Z. Hu) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, HEFEI, ANHUI, 230026, P.R. CHINA

Email address: leoasa@mail.ustc.edu.cn, zongrui@mail.ustc.edu.cn