

# DISTRIBUTION OF POINTS ON THE REAL LINE UNDER A CLASS OF REPULSIVE POTENTIALS

RONI A. EDWIN

ABSTRACT. In a 1979 paper, Ventevogel and Nijboer showed that classical point particles interacting via the pair potential  $\phi(x) = (1 + x^4)^{-1}$  are not equally spaced in their ground states in one dimension when the particle density is high, in contrast with many other potentials such as inverse power laws or Gaussians. In this paper, we explore a broad class of potentials for which this property holds; we prove that under the potentials  $f_\alpha(x) = (1 + x^\alpha)^{-1}$ , when  $\alpha > 2$  is an even integer, there is a corresponding  $s_\alpha > 0$  such that under density  $\rho = n/s_\alpha$ , the configuration that places  $n$  particles at each point of  $s_\alpha\mathbb{Z}$  minimises the average potential energy per particle and is therefore the exact ground state. In other words, the particles form clusters, while the clusters do not approach each other as the density increases; instead they maintain a fixed spacing. This is, to the best of our knowledge, the first rigorous analysis of such a ground state for a naturally occurring class of potential functions.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Discrete and continuous energy.	4
1.2. Continuous energy on $\mathbb{R}$ .	6
Acknowledgements	8
2. Linear programming bounds for measures	8
3. Constructing the auxiliary functions	12
3.1. Estimates on $s_\alpha$ and $F_\alpha$	14
3.2. The non-negativity of $\widehat{\psi}_\alpha$ .	16
3.3. Proving $\psi_\alpha(x) \leq F_\alpha(x)$	20
4. Implementations	43
4.1. Implementations for $\widehat{\psi}_\alpha$	44
4.2. Implementations for $\psi_\alpha$	45
References	48
Appendix A. Proofs of Propositions 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4	49

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Potential energy minimisation arises out of trying to understand why systems of interacting particles often arrange themselves in crystalline structures at low temperatures [11]. The classical model involves an infinite system of particles  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  interacting under a pair potential  $f$ , for example, an inverse power law like  $f : x \mapsto x^{-\alpha}$ , or a Gaussian  $f : x \mapsto e^{-\beta x^2}$ , and the goal is to arrange the particles so as to minimise the average potential energy per particle. In the case of repulsive potentials, we often

constrain the particles by requiring they have a fixed density  $\rho$ , given by the limit

$$\rho = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#(C \cap B_r^d)}{\text{Vol}(B_r^d)},$$

where  $B_r^d$  is the ball of radius  $r$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and  $\text{Vol}(\cdot)$  represents the  $d$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure. Given a potential  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  or  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , for such a configuration  $C = (x_j)_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}$ , we define its lower  $f$ -energy, denoted  $E_f(C)$ , as the following limit inferior:

$$E_f(C) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\#(C \cap B_r^d)} \sum_{\substack{x_i, x_j \in C \cap B_r^d \\ i \neq j}} f(|x_i - x_j|). \quad (1.1)$$

This is analogous to the idea of lower  $f$ -energy presented in Section 1 of [4]. Note the sum above is an ordered sum over the points  $x_i, x_j$ . If the limit above exists, and not just the limit inferior, we simply refer to that as the  $f$ -energy of  $C$ . You can think of  $E_f(C)$  as the average potential energy per point in this case. Perhaps the simplest case of symmetry and crystalline structure is when the configuration  $C$  is a full-rank lattice  $\Lambda$ , that is the integer span of  $d$  linearly independent vectors  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_d$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . In this case, its density is the reciprocal of the volume of its *fundamental cell*,  $\mathbb{R}^d/\Lambda$ , so

$$\rho = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{R}^d/\Lambda)},$$

and its  $f$ -energy is given by

$$E_f(\Lambda) = \sum_{v \in \Lambda \setminus \{0\}} f(|v|), \quad (1.2)$$

assuming the sum above is absolutely convergent (equation 1.1 in [4]). With this setup, the potential energy minimisation problem is as follows. Among such configurations of points  $C$  with fixed density  $\rho$ , the goal is to then find a configuration  $C^*$  of density  $\rho$  that minimises lower  $f$ -energy. So

$$E_f(C) \geq E_f(C^*)$$

for all  $C$  with density  $\rho$ . We borrow terminology from [4], and refer to such a minimiser  $C^*$  as a *ground state* for  $f$ . The most interesting cases are when certain configurations are ground states for a large class of potentials. This leads us to the question of which potentials to consider. A natural choice might be to require convexity, because it means the strength of the repulsion gets weaker at larger distances; a more stringent condition would be to require complete monotonicity. Recall that a completely monotonic function  $g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is one that satisfies  $(-1)^k g^{(k)} \geq 0$  for all  $k \geq 0$ . This leads us to the universal optimality theory described in [3] and [4]:

**Definition 1.1.** *Let  $C$  be a point configuration in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with density  $\rho > 0$ . We say  $C$  is universally optimal if it minimises  $f$ -energy (among configurations of density  $\rho$ ) whenever  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a completely monotonic function of squared distance. So  $f(r) = g(r^2)$ , where  $g$  is completely monotone.*

In the case of  $d = 1$ , Nijboer and Ventevogel proved in [14] that the equidistant configuration  $\frac{1}{\rho}\mathbb{Z}$  is universally optimal for each  $\rho > 0$ , with Cohn and Kumar [3] giving a different proof. Its energy in this case is given by

$$E_f\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\mathbb{Z}\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} f\left(\left|\frac{n}{\rho}\right|\right), \quad (1.3)$$

from (1.2). In higher dimensions, there is not a lot that is known about universal optimality save in some special cases. In dimensions  $d = 8$  and  $d = 24$ , it has been proven in [4] that the  $E_8$  and Leech lattices respectively are universally optimal. Another interesting case is dimension  $d = 2$ , where it is suspected that the  $A_2$  root lattice, the Hexagonal lattice, is universally optimal. It has been proven

(see [6] and [8]) that the  $A_2$  root lattice is universally optimal among lattices, but proving its universal optimality in general remains open. It might seem strange that we have positive results in dimensions 8 and 24, but none so far for  $d = 2$ ; we might expect the problem to increase in difficulty as the number of dimensions increase. It turns out however that the distances between the vectors in the  $E_8$  and Leech lattices are nice enough that you can do some clever interpolation to prove they are indeed universally optimal (see [10], Theorem 1.7 in [4]).





One interesting phenomenon that occurs in  $\mathbb{R}$  absent in higher dimensions is the behavior of the ground states of repulsive ( $f' < 0$ ) convex potentials  $f$ . Nijboer and Ventevogel further proved in [14] that under decreasing convex potentials  $f$ , the configuration  $\frac{1}{\rho}\mathbb{Z}$  is a ground state for each density  $\rho > 0$ . This seems to suggest the ground state property of the equidistant configuration  $\frac{1}{\rho}\mathbb{Z}$  is more robust in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Given these results, it is natural to ask if there are other potentials for which the equidistant configuration is a ground state. One might hope for example, that the equidistant configuration  $\frac{1}{\rho}\mathbb{Z}$  might minimise lower  $f$ -energy for repulsive potentials. It is not an entirely unreasonable assumption; the potential being repulsive means you get smaller energies by moving the points further apart, so it kind of makes sense that the best way to arrange the points, fixing the density, while making them as far apart as possible would be to just make them equally spaced. It turns out however that this assumption is false. In particular they showed that under the potential  $\phi : x \mapsto (x^4 + 1)^{-1}$  with density  $\rho = 2$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$  is sub-optimal by explicitly constructing a configuration of lower energy.

Most of the considerations of potential energy minimisation are done in higher dimensions, and involve exploring the optimality of lattice configurations, which provide a natural higher dimensional analogue of the equidistant configuration. For example, the work in [7] explores the stability of the triangular (Hexagonal) lattice. There is still a lot to be explored in one dimension. We consider the behaviour of the ground states of the potential  $x \mapsto (x^4 + 1)^{-1}$ , and more broadly potentials of the form  $f_\alpha \mapsto (x^\alpha + 1)^{-1}$  when  $\alpha > 2$  is an even integer; these are natural potentials, which you can think of as a smooth approximation to a hard cut-off potential, and it is a problem which feels like we ought to be able to answer. We formulate this in the following question:

**Question 1.2.** *Consider the potential  $f_\alpha : x \mapsto (x^\alpha + 1)^{-1}$  for  $\alpha > 2$  an even integer. What do the ground state configurations for  $f_\alpha$  look like at high densities?*

**Remark.** *The reason we consider  $\alpha > 2$  is that at  $\alpha = 2$ , and in general  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$ , the function  $x \mapsto (x^\alpha + 1)^{-1}$  is a completely monotone function of squared distance, so the characterisation of its ground states is included as a special case of the work of Nijboer and Ventevogel in [9].*

It may be a bit ambitious to hope for a precise quantitative answer. We concern ourselves with high densities because this is where you see the interaction due to the potential come up. Experimentally, the particles in ground state configurations seem to cluster together in roughly equally spaced clusters, shown by the following figures, for some values of  $\alpha$  and density  $\rho$ :

FIGURE 1. Plot for  $\alpha = 4$ ,  $\rho = 8$ FIGURE 2. Plot for  $\alpha = 4$ ,  $\rho = 16$ FIGURE 3. Plot for  $\alpha = 6$ ,  $\rho = 10$ FIGURE 4. Plot for  $\alpha = 6$ ,  $\rho = 20$

In the figures above the sizes of the dots correspond to the number of points in the cluster. As can be seen, the spacing of the clusters remain roughly the same, with the number of points in the clusters increasing as the density increases. Our results make this observed phenomenon precise; for example, we show that for the potential  $x \mapsto (x^4 + 1)^{-1}$ , the particles in the ground state configuration at high densities coalesce into clusters consisting of an equal number of points with a spacing of  $\sqrt{2}$ . More generally, when  $\alpha > 2$  is an even integer, the ground state at high densities for the potential  $x \mapsto (x^\alpha + 1)^{-1}$  coalesce into clusters consisting of an equal number points with spacing  $s_\alpha > 0$ , with  $s_\alpha \rightarrow 1$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ . We will later make this statement precise.

We expect this clustering behaviour for the potential  $x \mapsto (x^\alpha + 1)^{-1}$  to hold in general when  $\alpha > 2$ , not just even integral values. We did attempt to prove this, though we felt the denseness and length of the proof did not justify the improvement on our results.

This clustering phenomenon does not seem limited to one dimension; we initially observed this clustering behavior with the potential  $x \mapsto (x^4 + 1)^{-1}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . The following figures show the (numerically optimised) ground states for various values of  $\rho$ :




FIGURE 5. Plot for  $\rho = 3$




FIGURE 6. Plot for  $\rho = 6$

This coalescing behavior at high densities has been observed in [7] with the linear ramp potential for instance. We attempted to prove that this phenomenon occurs rigorously, but doing this in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is much harder than in one dimension, and escaped us despite our best efforts.

Precisely formulating our results requires some familiarity with the idea of discretisation of energy, as in [2], which we briefly touch on.

**1.1. Discrete and continuous energy.** We briefly introduce the discrete energy problem as in Chapter 2 of [2]. The general setup they describe is as follows. We have a metric space  $(A, \rho)$ , (here  $\rho$  is the metric) and a mapping  $K : A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ , which you can think of as giving the potential between two locations  $x, y \in A$ . Given an  $N$ -point configuration  $\omega_N = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^N$  of points in  $A$ , we define their  $K$ -energy by

$$E_K(\omega_N) = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^N K(x_i, x_j). \quad (1.4)$$

We will denote the infimal  $K$ -energy by

$$e_K(A, N) := \inf \{E_K(\omega_N) : \omega_N \subset A\}. \quad (1.5)$$

In [2], the infimal energy is denoted by  $\mathcal{E}_K(A, N)$ , but we use the calligraphic  $E$  to denote a different quantity, hence the lower case  $e$  here. They show in [2] that in the case where the kernel  $K$  is lower semi-continuous and the set  $A$  is compact, the infimal energy is in fact attained, so there is an *optimal  $N$ -point configuration*, which we'll denote  $\omega_N^*$ , such that

$$E_K(\omega_N^*) = e_K(A, N). \quad (1.6)$$

We conclude this brief introduction to discrete energy with an important proposition about the asymptotic behavior of the infimal energy as a function of  $N$ , for a fixed kernel  $K$  and set  $A$ , which relates this idea of discrete energy to the idea of continuous energy to be introduced shortly:

**Proposition 1.3** (Proposition 2.1.1 in [2]). *Let  $A$  be an infinite set and  $K : A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be an arbitrary kernel. Then the sequence*

$$\left\{ \frac{e_K(A, N)}{N(N-1)} \right\}_{N=2}^{\infty}$$

*is non-decreasing with  $N$ .*

A natural thing to ask is if the sequence described in the proposition above has some interpretation, for example if it converges to some limit. Here is where the idea of continuous energy comes in. The idea of continuous energy is similar to that of discrete energy introduced above, but instead of dealing with finite points, we look at Borel measures. Here  $A$  denotes a compact infinite set in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and  $\mathcal{M}(A)$  the collection of all Borel probability measures supported on  $A$ . Let  $K : A \times A \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$  be a symmetric, lower semicontinuous kernel. We then define the *continuous  $K$ -energy* of  $\mu$ , for a measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(A)$ , by

$$I_K[\mu] := \int \int_{A \times A} K(x, y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y). \quad (1.7)$$

The *Wiener constant*, denoted  $W_K(A)$  is the smallest such energy. That is,

$$W_K(A) := \inf \{I_K[\mu] : \mu \in \mathcal{M}(A)\}.$$

Under some mild conditions on the Kernel  $K$ , the Wiener constant is actually achieved by some measure  $\mu$ . Specifically, if the set  $A$  is infinite and compact, the kernel  $K$  is symmetric and lower semicontinuous, Lemma 4.1.3 in [2] guarantees the existence of an *equilibrium measure*  $\mu^*$ , so

$$I_K[\mu^*] = W_K(A).$$

It turns out the sequence in Proposition 1.3 actually converges to  $W_K(A)$ . That is,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e_K(A, N)}{N^2} = W_K(A).$$

Equivalently,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E_K(\omega_N^*)}{N^2} = W_K(A), \quad (1.8)$$

where  $\omega_N^*$  is an optimal  $N$ -point configuration, as in (1.6). You can view this relation as a sort of approximation. That is, for large values of  $N$ , the discrete energy problem is essentially ‘approximating’ the infimal continuous  $K$ -energy,  $W_K(A)$ . We can actually say something more specific about this approximation process, if we know the equilibrium measure  $\mu^*$ , and the kernel  $K$  is nice enough. One

thing to note is that we can interpret discrete energy as a special case of continuous energy; given an  $N$ -point configuration  $\omega_N \subset A$ , let  $\nu(\omega_N)$  denote the normalised counting measure on  $\omega_N$ , so

$$\nu(\omega_N) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \omega_N} \delta(x),$$

where  $\delta(x)$  denotes the Dirac measure at  $x$ . Then

$$I_K[\nu(\omega_N)] = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N K(x_i, x_j) = \frac{1}{N^2} E_K(\omega_N) + \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i=1}^N K(x_i, x_i).$$

In light of this, Theorem 4.2.2 in [2] says you can approximate the equilibrium measure  $\mu^*$  by the sequence  $\nu(\omega_N^*)$  in the weak\* sense. Specifically, if a measure  $\nu^*$  is a weak\* cluster point of the sequence of normalised counting measures  $\nu(\omega_N^*)$ , then  $\nu^*$  is an equilibrium measure for the continuous  $K$ -energy problem on  $A$ , so  $I_K[\nu^*] = W_K(A)$ . One way to interpret this is that for large values of  $N$ , the normalized counting measure  $\nu(\omega_N^*)$  should ‘look like’ an equilibrium measure  $\mu^*$ . For example, if you know the unique equilibrium measure for the continuous  $K$ -energy problem on  $A$  is the (normalised) Lebesgue measure, then you would expect that at large values of  $N$ , the optimal configuration  $\omega_N^*$  should consist of roughly equally spaced points.

We hope to apply this relation between discrete and continuous energy in answering Question 1.2: Lower  $f$ -energy is essentially a case of discrete energy, but for when the set  $A = \mathbb{R}$ , and we replace the number of points  $N$  with the density  $\rho$ . We define a continuous analogue of lower  $f$ -energy, and attempt to answer the continuous energy problem in that case, hoping this reveals some information about the ground states of the potentials at high densities.

**1.2. Continuous energy on  $\mathbb{R}$ .** We are going to define continuous energy for Borel measures on the whole of  $\mathbb{R}$ , that generalises the idea of lower  $f$ -energy for point configurations. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the set of Borel measures on  $\mathbb{R}$  that have ‘average mass’ 1. Explicitly

$$\mathcal{U} = \left\{ \mu : \mu \text{ is a Borel measure on } \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu([-r, r])}{2r} = 1 \right\}. \quad (1.9)$$

For a given continuous potential  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we define the *continuous  $f$ -energy* of a measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{U}$ , by

$$\mathcal{E}_f(\mu) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\mu([-r, r])} \int \int_{[-r, r]^2} f(|x - y|) d\mu(x) d\mu(y). \quad (1.10)$$

In the case where the function  $f$  extends to an even function on  $\mathbb{R}$ , we’ll drop the absolute value in the argument of  $f$ . We’ll denote by  $e(f)$  the infimal of continuous  $f$ -energy on  $\mathbb{R}$ , so

$$e(f) = \inf \{ \mathcal{E}_f(\mu) : \mu \in \mathcal{U} \}. \quad (1.11)$$

If the infimal  $f$ -energy  $e(f)$  is achieved by some measure  $\mu^* \in \mathcal{U}$ , so  $\mathcal{E}_f(\mu^*) = e(f)$ , we’ll call  $\mu^*$  an *equilibrium measure for  $f$* . To get the relation between lower  $f$ -energy and this idea of continuous energy, we introduce a similar idea to the normalized counting measure on a finite point configuration.

**Definition 1.4.** For a general configuration  $C = (x_n)_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  of density  $\rho > 0$ , let  $\omega(C)$  be the normalised counting measure on  $C$ , given by

$$\omega(C) = \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x_i), \quad (1.12)$$

where  $\delta(x)$  is the Dirac measure at  $x$ . Importantly,  $\omega(C) \in \mathcal{U}$ .

This definition implies the following relation between  $E_f(C)$  and  $\mathcal{E}_f(\omega(C))$ :

$$\mathcal{E}_f(\omega(C)) = \frac{1}{\rho} E_f(C) + \frac{f(0)}{\rho}. \quad (1.13)$$

This follows from plugging in the definition of  $\omega(C)$  into the formula above for  $\mathcal{E}_f(\mu)$ : If we let  $C_r$  be the indices of  $C = (x_i)_{i=-\infty}^{\infty}$  in  $[-r, r]$ , so  $C_r = \{i : |x_i| \leq r\}$ , then

$$\int \int_{[-r, r]^2} f(|x - y|) d\omega(C)(x) d\omega(C)(y) = \frac{1}{\rho^2} \sum_{\substack{i, j \in C_r \\ i \neq j}} f(|x_i - x_j|) + \frac{\#C_r}{\rho^2} f(0).$$

From Definition 1.4,  $\omega(C)([-r, r]) = \frac{1}{\rho} \#C_r$ , so dividing the left-hand side above by  $\frac{1}{\rho} \#C_r$  and taking the limit inferior as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , we get (1.13). As an example, using the formula for  $E_f(s\mathbb{Z})$  in (1.3), we get for  $s > 0$ ,

$$\mathcal{E}_f(\omega(s\mathbb{Z})) = s \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(sn). \quad (1.14)$$

With these ideas laid down, we present our first theorem:

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $\alpha > 2$  be an even integer. Then there is a unique  $s_\alpha > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{E}_{f_\alpha}$ , as defined in (1.10), is minimised by the measure*

$$\mathfrak{D}_\alpha := \omega(s_\alpha \mathbb{Z}) = s_\alpha \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(s_\alpha n), \quad (1.15)$$

where  $\delta(x)$  is the Dirac measure at  $x$ . From (1.14), its energy is given by

$$\mathcal{E}_{f_\alpha}(\mathfrak{D}_\alpha) = s_\alpha \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f_\alpha(s_\alpha n). \quad (1.16)$$

This theorem actually allows us to find ground state configurations of  $f_\alpha$  when the density  $\rho$  is a multiple of  $1/s_\alpha$ , leading us to our main theorem. It also gives an exact answer to Question 1.2 in certain cases:

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let  $\rho = n/s_\alpha$  with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  denote the density under consideration, and let  $C \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a point configuration with density  $\rho$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_\rho$  be the configuration that places  $n$  particles at each point of the lattice  $s_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$ . Explicitly,*

$$\mathcal{C}_\rho = \bigcup_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} \{x_{r,s}\}_{s=1}^n \text{ where } x_{r,s} = s_\alpha r.$$

Then  $\mathcal{C}_\rho$  is a ground state configuration of density  $\rho$ , under  $f_\alpha$ .

Notice how Theorem 1.5 implies Theorem 1.6: For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathfrak{D}_\alpha = \omega(\mathcal{C}_{n/s_\alpha})$ . So for any configuration  $C = (x_n)_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$  of density  $\rho = n/s_\alpha$ ,

$$\mathcal{E}_{f_\alpha}(\omega(C)) \geq \mathcal{E}_{f_\alpha}(\omega(\mathcal{C}_\rho))$$

and so from (1.13)

$$\frac{1}{\rho} E_{f_\alpha}(C) + \frac{f_\alpha(0)}{\rho} \geq \frac{1}{\rho} E_{f_\alpha}(\mathcal{C}_\rho) + \frac{f_\alpha(0)}{\rho}.$$

Rearranging gives  $E_{f_\alpha}(C) \geq E_{f_\alpha}(\mathcal{C}_\rho)$ . This is saying that at high densities, a ground state configuration would look like placing points equally at the lattice  $s_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$ . In particular, if the density  $\rho$  is a multiple of  $1/s_\alpha$ , so  $\rho = n/s_\alpha$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then a ground state configuration is obtained by placing  $n$  particles at each point of the lattice  $s_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$ .

We use an oscillatory factor of  $-2\pi$  and a normalisation of 1 for the Fourier transform. That is, for an integrable function  $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , we define its Fourier transform  $\widehat{u} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$\widehat{u}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x)e^{-2\pi i x \xi} dx. \quad (1.17)$$

The paper is organised as follows: In Section 2 we prove an important proposition that forms the foundation of the proof of Theorem 1.5. The bulk of the proof is done in Section 3, and in Section 4 we describe the implementations for the interval arithmetic used in aiding the work done in Section 3.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Professor Henry Cohn of the MIT Department of Mathematics for his advice, mentorship and comments in the course of writing this paper.

## 2. LINEAR PROGRAMMING BOUNDS FOR MEASURES

We present an analogous proposition to the linear programming bounds in [4], but for measures instead of discrete point configurations. We start by presenting the statement of the linear programming bounds in [4]:

**Proposition 2.1** (Proposition 1.6 in [4]). *Let  $p : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be any function, and suppose  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a Schwartz function. If  $f(x) \leq p(|x|)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\widehat{f}(y) \geq 0$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , then every point configuration in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with density  $\rho$  has lower  $p$ -energy at least  $\rho \widehat{f}(0) - f(0)$ .*

With regards to our problem, what this proposition is saying is that if you have your potential function  $f$ , and you have an auxiliary even function  $h$  such that  $h(x) \leq f(|x|)$  for all  $x$  and  $\widehat{h} \geq 0$ , then every configuration  $C$  of density  $\rho$  satisfies  $E_f(C) \geq \rho \widehat{h}(0) - h(0)$ . Writing the previous inequality as

$$\frac{1}{\rho} E_f(C) + \frac{h(0)}{\rho} \geq \widehat{h}(0)$$

motivates the following proposition:

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an even continuous integrable function, with non-negative Fourier transform  $\widehat{f} \geq 0$ . Then for each Borel measure  $\mu$  with average mass 1, so  $\mu \in \mathcal{U}$  where  $\mathcal{U}$  is as defined in (1.9),  $\mathcal{E}_f(\mu) \geq \widehat{f}(0)$ .*

Let's now see how we can use this proposition to prove Theorem 1.5. We want to show the measure  $\mathcal{D}_\alpha = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} s_\alpha \delta(s_\alpha n)$  minimises continuous  $f_\alpha$ -energy, where  $f_\alpha(x) = (x^\alpha + 1)^{-1}$ . The first thing to note is that from the formula for  $\mathcal{E}_f(s\mathbb{Z})$  in (1.14) is that  $s_\alpha$  necessarily minimises

$$\mathcal{E}_{f_\alpha}(\omega(t\mathbb{Z})) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} t f_\alpha(tn), \quad (2.1)$$

for  $t > 0$ . For  $\alpha > 2$ , it appears that this function does have a unique minimum  $s_\alpha$  with  $s_\alpha > 1$ . To that end, we rescale the potential function  $x \mapsto (x^\alpha + 1)^{-1}$  by setting

$$F_\alpha(x) = (1 + s_\alpha^\alpha |x|^\alpha)^{-1}, \quad (2.2)$$

so that to prove Theorem 1.5, it is equivalent to showing the counting measure on  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta(n)$ , minimises continuous  $F_\alpha$ -energy. We can actually reduce this to a infinite linear program, by exhibiting an auxiliary function  $\psi_\alpha$  satisfying certain linear constraints, formulated in the following hypothesis:



**Hypothesis 2.3.** Let  $\psi_\alpha : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an even, continuous, integrable function satisfying the following five conditions:

$$\psi_\alpha(x) \leq F_\alpha(x) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\psi_\alpha(n) = F_\alpha(n) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\widehat{\psi}_\alpha(\xi) \geq 0 \text{ for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\widehat{\psi}_\alpha(\xi) = 0 \text{ if } |\xi| \geq 1, \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$\left| \widehat{\psi}_\alpha(x) \right| \leq C (1 + |x|^2)^{-1}, \quad (2.7)$$

for some constant  $C$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the counting measure on  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta(n)$ , minimises continuous  $F_\alpha$ -energy, where  $F_\alpha$  is as in (2.2). This is equivalent to Theorem 1.5.

One thing to note is that if  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta(n)$  minimises continuous  $F_\alpha$ -energy, then from the formula for continuous energy in (1.14),

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} t F_\alpha(tn) \geq \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} F_\alpha(n) \quad (2.8)$$

for all  $t > 0$ , and by differentiating the left-hand side of (2.8) and setting it equal to 0 at  $t = 1$ , we get

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (F_\alpha(n) + n F'_\alpha(n)) = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

This identity will play a role in proving the inequalities for  $\psi_\alpha$  listed in Hypothesis 2.3. We first show how this Hypothesis implies Theorem 1.5.

*Proof of Theorem 1.5 under Hypothesis 2.3.* This is equivalent to showing that the counting measure on  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\omega(\mathbb{Z})$ , minimises continuous  $F_\alpha$ -energy under this hypothesis. Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{U}$  be a measure with average mass 1. Since  $\psi_\alpha$  is even, continuous, and integrable, (2.5) combined with Proposition 2.2 implies  $\mathcal{E}_{\widehat{\psi}_\alpha}(\mu) \geq \widehat{\psi}_\alpha(0)$ . From (2.3),  $\psi_\alpha \leq F_\alpha$ , which means  $\mathcal{E}_{F_\alpha}(\mu) \geq \mathcal{E}_{\psi_\alpha}(\mu)$  and so this implies  $\mathcal{E}_{F_\alpha}(\mu) \geq \widehat{\psi}_\alpha(0)$  for any measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{U}$ . (2.4) implies

$$\mathcal{E}_{F_\alpha} \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta(n) \right) = \mathcal{E}_{\psi_\alpha} \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta(n) \right),$$

so to show  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta(n)$  minimises continuous  $F_\alpha$ -energy, it remains to show that the common value of the above equality is  $\widehat{\psi}_\alpha(0)$ , so from the formula in (1.14), we want to show

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_\alpha(n) = \widehat{\psi}_\alpha(0). \quad (2.10)$$

The idea here is to use the Poisson Summation Formula. As phrased in Lemma 1.11.5 in [2], it says that if  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a continuous function satisfying

$$|f(x)| + \left| \widehat{f}(x) \right| \leq C (1 + |x|)^{-1-\varepsilon} \quad (2.11)$$

for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(n) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}(n)$ . (2.6) means  $\widehat{\psi}_\alpha$  is compactly supported, and together with (2.7), we get

$$\left| \widehat{\psi}_\alpha(x) \right| + |\psi_\alpha(x)| \leq C' (1 + |x|^2)^{-1}.$$

This means  $\psi_\alpha$  satisfies (2.11), and so we can use the Poisson Summation Formula to get

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_\alpha(n) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{\psi}_\alpha(n) = \widehat{\psi}_\alpha(0),$$

since from (2.6),  $\psi_\alpha$  vanishes outside of  $[-1, 1]$ , giving us (2.10), proving Theorem 1.5.  $\square$

We now prove Proposition 2.2. Let  $\mathcal{U}^*$  be the set of signed Borel measures  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  whose variations  $|\mu|$  are finite on all compact sets. For each  $r > 0$ , we define a bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_r : \mathcal{U}^* \times \mathcal{U}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$\langle \mu_1, \mu_2 \rangle_r = \int_{[-r, r]} \int_{[-r, r]} f(x-y) d\mu_1(x) d\mu_2(y). \quad (2.12)$$

Here  $f$  is as in the hypotheses of Proposition 2.2. Note that the form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_r$  is symmetric, in that for measures  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{U}^*$ ,  $\langle \mu_1, \mu_2 \rangle_r = \langle \mu_2, \mu_1 \rangle_r$ . We can see this by noting that  $f$  is even and continuous, and  $|\mu_1|([-r, r]), |\mu_2|([-r, r]) < \infty$ , so the switch is justified by Fubini's Theorem.

Let  $\mathcal{L}_1$  be the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ . From the definition of  $\mathcal{U}$  in (1.9),  $\mu \in \mathcal{U}$  means that  $\frac{\mu([-r, r])}{2r} \rightarrow 1$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , so from (1.10), we can write the lower  $f$ -energy  $\mathcal{E}_f(\mu)$  of  $\mu$  as

$$\mathcal{E}_f(\mu) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2r} \langle \mu, \mu \rangle_r. \quad (2.13)$$

Using the bilinearity of the form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_r$ , we can expand  $\langle \mu, \mu \rangle_r$  as

$$\langle \mu, \mu \rangle_r = \langle \mu - \mathcal{L}_1, \mu - \mathcal{L}_1 \rangle_r + \langle \mu - \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_1 \rangle_r + \langle \mathcal{L}_1, \mu - \mathcal{L}_1 \rangle_r + \langle \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_1 \rangle_r,$$

and using the symmetry of the form we get

$$\langle \mu, \mu \rangle_r = \langle \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_1 \rangle_r + 2 \langle \mathcal{L}_1, \mu - \mathcal{L}_1 \rangle_r + \langle \mu - \mathcal{L}_1, \mu - \mathcal{L}_1 \rangle_r. \quad (2.14)$$

Observe that since  $\widehat{f} \geq 0$ , the form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_r$  is 'positive definite', in that  $\langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle_r \geq 0$  for any measure  $\gamma \in \mathcal{U}^*$ , for the following reason: We write

$$\langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle_r = \int_{[-r, r]} \int_{[-r, r]} f(x-y) d\gamma(x) d\gamma(y),$$

and using Fourier inversion (Corollary I.26 in [12] implies  $\widehat{f}$  is integrable since  $\widehat{f} \geq 0$ ), we get

$$\langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle_r = \int_{[-r, r]} \int_{[-r, r]} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i(x-y)\xi} d\xi d\gamma(x) d\gamma(y).$$

Since  $\widehat{f}$  is integrable, we can switch the order of integration above, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle_r &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(\xi) \left( \int_{[-r, r]} e^{2\pi i x \xi} d\gamma(x) \right) \left( \int_{[-r, r]} e^{-2\pi i y \xi} d\gamma(y) \right) d\xi \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(\xi) \left| \int_{[-r, r]} e^{2\pi i x \xi} d\gamma(x) \right|^2 d\xi \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\widehat{f} \geq 0$ . So from equation (2.14), we get the following inequality:

$$\langle \mu, \mu \rangle_r \geq \langle \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_1 \rangle_r + 2 \langle \mathcal{L}_1, \mu - \mathcal{L}_1 \rangle_r. \quad (2.15)$$

One thing we can also observe is that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2r} \langle \mathcal{L}_1, \nu \rangle_r = \widehat{f}(0) \quad (2.16)$$

for each measure  $\nu \in \mathcal{U}$ : To get this result, it is sufficient to show

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2r} \int_{[-r,r]} \int_{|x| \geq r} f(x-y) dx d\nu(y) = 0. \quad (2.17)$$

In that case, writing

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2r} \langle \mathcal{L}_1, \nu \rangle_r &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2r} \int_{[-r,r]} \int_{-r}^r f(x-y) dx d\nu(y) \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2r} \int_{[-r,r]} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x-y) dx d\nu(y) - \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2r} \int_{[-r,r]} \int_{|x| \geq r} f(x-y) dx d\nu(y), \end{aligned}$$

(2.17) would imply

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2r} \langle \mathcal{L}_1, \nu \rangle_r &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2r} \int_{[-r,r]} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x-y) dx d\nu(y) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[-r,r]} \frac{\widehat{f}(0)}{2r} d\nu(y) \\ &= \widehat{f}(0) \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\nu([-r,r])}{2r} = \widehat{f}(0), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. We will now show (2.17):

*Proof of (2.17).* Let  $t \in (0, 1)$ , and write

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2r} \int_{[-r,r]} \int_{|x| \geq r} f(x-y) dx d\nu(y) \\ &= \frac{1}{2r} \int_{[-rt,rt]} \int_{|x| \geq r} f(x-y) dx d\nu(y) + \frac{1}{2r} \int_{rt < |y| \leq r} \int_{|x| \geq r} f(x-y) dx d\nu(y). \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

We'll start by bounding the last term. Let  $I = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|$ , so

$$\left| \frac{1}{2r} \int_{rt < |y| \leq r} \int_{|x| \geq r} f(x-y) dx d\nu(y) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2r} \int_{rt < |y| \leq r} I d\nu(y) = I \left( \frac{\nu([-r,r]) - \nu([-rt,rt])}{2r} \right).$$

Taking the limsup as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{2r} \int_{rt < |y| \leq r} \int_{|x| \geq r} f(x-y) dx d\nu(y) \right| \leq I(1-t). \quad (2.19)$$

Let's now consider the integral

$$\frac{1}{2r} \int_{[-rt,rt]} \int_{|x| \geq r} f(x-y) dx d\nu(y).$$

We consider the inner integral, and make the substitution  $s = x - y$ , so

$$\int_{|x| \geq r} f(x-y) dx = \int_{|s+y| \geq r} f(s) ds.$$

If  $|y| \leq rt$  and  $|s+y| \geq r$ , then  $|s| = |s+y-y| \geq |s+y| - |y| \geq r(1-t)$ , so this means for  $y \in [-rt, rt]$ ,

$$\left| \int_{|x| \geq r} f(x-y) dx \right| = \left| \int_{|s+y| \geq r} f(s) ds \right| \leq \int_{|s+y| \geq r} |f(s)| ds \leq \int_{|s| \geq r(1-t)} |f(s)| ds,$$

so

$$\left| \frac{1}{2r} \int_{[-rt,rt]} \int_{|x| \geq r} f(x-y) dx d\nu(y) \right| \leq t \frac{\nu([-rt,rt])}{2rt} \int_{|s| \geq r(1-t)} |f(s)| ds.$$

Taking the limsup as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  implies

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{2r} \int_{[-rt, rt]} \int_{|x| \geq r} f(x-y) dx d\nu(y) \right| \leq t \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|s| \geq r(1-t)} |f(s)| ds = 0.$$

Adding this to (2.19) and using the equality in (2.18) implies

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{2r} \int_{[-r, r]} \int_{|x| \geq r} f(x-y) dx d\nu(y) \right| \leq I(1-t)$$

for each  $t \in (0, 1)$ , and letting  $t \rightarrow 1$  implies

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2r} \int_{[-r, r]} \int_{|x| \geq r} f(x-y) dx d\nu(y) = 0,$$

as desired, proving (2.17).  $\square$

We have shown (2.17), so as said earlier, this implies

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2r} \langle \mathcal{L}_1, \nu \rangle_r = \widehat{f}(0)$$

for each measure  $\nu \in \mathcal{U}$ . Multiplying both sides of (2.15) by  $1/(2r)$  and taking the limit as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  using this equality implies

$$\mathcal{E}_f(\mu) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2r} \langle \mu, \mu \rangle_r \geq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2r} \langle \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_1 \rangle.$$

From equation (2.16), we know the limit (inferior) in the right-hand side above exists, and is  $\widehat{f}(0)$ , and so we get  $\mathcal{E}_f(\mu) \geq \widehat{f}(0)$ , as desired. Now that we have proven the proposition, we can go on to constructing the auxiliary functions.

**Remark** (A word on notation). *Often we would want to show an inequality like  $f(x) \leq g(x)$ , and we do this by showing something like  $f(x) \leq F(x)$ ,  $g(x) \geq G(x)$  and showing  $F(x) \leq G(x)$ . To differentiate between already established inequalities like  $F(x) \leq G(x)$ , and inequalities we want to show, like  $f(x) \leq g(x)$ , we will use the notation  $f(x) \underset{\text{wts}}{\leq} g(x)$  to indicate this is an inequality we want to show (wts).*

### 3. CONSTRUCTING THE AUXILIARY FUNCTIONS

Recall we reduced the task of proving Theorem 1.5 to constructing an auxiliary even function  $\psi_\alpha : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying Hypothesis 2.3, restated below

**Hypothesis 2.3.** *Let  $\psi_\alpha : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an even, continuous, integrable function satisfying the following five conditions:*

$$\psi_\alpha(x) \leq F_\alpha(x) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\psi_\alpha(n) = F_\alpha(n) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\widehat{\psi}_\alpha(\xi) \geq 0 \text{ for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\widehat{\psi}_\alpha(\xi) = 0 \text{ if } |\xi| \geq 1, \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$\left| \widehat{\psi}_\alpha(x) \right| \leq C (1 + |x|^2)^{-1}, \quad (2.7)$$

for some constant  $C$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the counting measure on  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta(n)$ , minimises continuous  $F_\alpha$ -energy, where  $F_\alpha$  is as in (2.2). This is equivalent to Theorem 1.5.

We use Theorem 9 in [13], which allows us to construct  $\psi_\alpha$  from its values and derivatives at  $\mathbb{Z}$  (under certain conditions on  $\psi_\alpha$ ), by

$$\psi_\alpha(x) = \frac{\sin^2(\pi x)}{\pi^2} \left( \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\psi_\alpha(n)}{(x-n)^2} + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\psi'_\alpha(n)}{x-n} \right),$$

and its Fourier transform (in  $L^2$  sense) is supported in  $[-1, 1]$ , given by for  $|\xi| \leq 1$ ,

$$\widehat{\psi}_\alpha(\xi) = (1 - |\xi|) \left( \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_\alpha(n) e^{-2\pi i n \xi} \right) + \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\xi)}{2\pi i} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi'_\alpha(n) e^{-2\pi i n \xi}.$$

Equations (2.3) and (2.4) imply  $\psi_\alpha(n) = F_\alpha(n)$  and  $\psi'_\alpha(n) = F'_\alpha(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so we set

$$\psi_\alpha(x) = \frac{\sin^2(\pi x)}{\pi^2} \left( \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{F_\alpha(n)}{(x-n)^2} + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{F'_\alpha(n)}{x-n} \right). \quad (3.1)$$

Note  $\psi_\alpha(x)$  is even in  $x$ , since  $F_\alpha$  is even.  $\widehat{\psi}_\alpha(\xi) = 0$  if  $|\xi| > 1$ , and if  $|\xi| \leq 1$ , then we should have

$$\widehat{\psi}_\alpha(\xi) \stackrel{\text{wts}}{=} (1 - |\xi|) \left( \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} F_\alpha(n) e^{-2\pi i n \xi} \right) + \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\xi)}{2\pi i} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} F'_\alpha(n) e^{-2\pi i n \xi}. \quad (3.2)$$

We start by verifying that the Fourier transform of the function  $\psi_\alpha$  defined in (3.1) is given by the right-hand side of (3.2). The thing to note is that by taking the Fourier transform of the right-hand side of (3.1) termwise, we get (3.2), so we just need to justify doing this:

*Proof of (3.2).* The main thing to note is that we can write the expression for  $\psi_\alpha$  in a form that is Cauchy in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Since  $F_\alpha$  is even,

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{F'_\alpha(n)}{x-n} + \frac{F'_\alpha(-n)}{x-(-n)} \right) = \frac{F_\alpha(n)}{2} \left( \frac{1}{x-n} - \frac{1}{x+n} \right) = \frac{nF'_\alpha(n)}{x^2 - n^2},$$

so by symmetrising the sum in (3.1), we can write

$$\psi_\alpha(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} F_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{\sin(\pi(x-n))}{\pi(x-n)} \right)^2 + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} nF'_\alpha(n) \frac{\sin^2(\pi x)}{\pi^2(x^2 - n^2)}. \quad (3.3)$$

So to justify taking the Fourier transform of the expression in (3.1) termwise, it is sufficient to develop a uniform bound (in  $n$ ) for the  $L^1$  norm of

$$x \mapsto \frac{\sin^2(\pi x)}{x^2 - n^2}.$$

Without loss of generality we assume  $n \geq 0$ . We have

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sin^2(\pi x)}{x^2 - n^2} dx \right)^2 = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sin(\pi(x-n)) \sin(\pi(x+n))}{(x-n)(x+n)} dx \right)^2,$$

and from Cauchy-Schwarz this implies

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sin^2(\pi x)}{x^2 - n^2} dx \right)^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sin^2(\pi(x-n))}{(x-n)^2} dx \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sin^2(\pi(x+n))}{(x+n)^2} dx = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sin^2(\pi x)}{\pi^2 x^2} dx \right)^2$$

which is finite. So we can take the Fourier transform of (3.1) termwise, as desired.  $\square$

This immediately tells us that  $\widehat{\psi}_\alpha$  vanishes outside  $[-1, 1]$ , so  $\psi_\alpha$  satisfies (2.6), and the expression in (3.1) implies  $\psi_\alpha$  satisfies (2.4). We use (3.1) to show (2.7):

*Proof of (2.7).* Multiplying both sides of (3.3) by  $x^2$ , we have

$$x^2\psi_\alpha(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} F_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{x \sin(\pi(x-n))}{\pi(x-n)} \right)^2 + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} n F'_\alpha(n) \frac{x^2 \sin^2(\pi x)}{\pi^2(x^2-n^2)}.$$

Note

$$\left| \frac{x \sin(\pi(x-n))}{\pi(x-n)} \right| = \left| \frac{\sin(\pi(x-n))}{\pi} + n \frac{\sin(\pi(x-n))}{\pi(x-n)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{\pi} + |n|,$$

and

$$\frac{x^2 \sin^2(\pi x)}{\pi^2(x^2-n^2)} = \frac{\sin^2(\pi x)}{\pi^2} + n^2 \frac{\sin(\pi(x-n))}{\pi(x-n)} \cdot \frac{\sin(\pi(x+n))}{\pi(x+n)} \leq \frac{1}{\pi^2} + n^2,$$

so

$$|x^2\psi_\alpha(x)| \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} F_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{1}{\pi} + |n| \right)^2 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} n |F'_\alpha(n)| \left( \frac{1}{\pi^2} + n^2 \right) < \infty,$$

since  $\alpha \geq 4$ , and as you will recall,  $F_\alpha(x) = (s_\alpha^\alpha x^\alpha + 1)^{-1}$  for some  $s_\alpha > 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

So far, we have shown  $\psi_\alpha$  satisfies (2.4), (2.6) and (2.7). What remains is to show the inequalities in (2.3), (2.5), so showing  $\psi_\alpha(x) \leq F_\alpha(x)$ , and  $\widehat{\psi}_\alpha(\xi) \geq 0$ . We do these in Sections 3.3 and 3.2 respectively.

We start by collecting some facts about  $s_\alpha$  and  $F_\alpha$  in the following subsection:

**3.1. Estimates on  $s_\alpha$  and  $F_\alpha$ .** Throughout this section and the rest of the paper, we use the following estimate:

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\beta > 1$ , and  $k > 0$ . Then*

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq k} \frac{1}{n^\beta} \leq \frac{1}{k^\beta} \left( \frac{\beta + k - 1}{\beta - 1} \right).$$

The proof is fairly straightforward, and involves upper bounding the sum by the corresponding integral:  $n^{-\beta}$  is decreasing in  $n$ , so

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq k} \frac{1}{n^\beta} = \sum_{n=\lceil k \rceil}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^\beta} = \frac{1}{\lceil k \rceil^\beta} + \sum_{n=\lceil k \rceil+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^\beta} \leq \frac{1}{k^\beta} + \int_k^{\infty} \frac{dn}{n^\beta}.$$

Evaluating the integral, we get

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq k} \frac{1}{n^\beta} \leq \frac{1}{k^\beta} + \left[ \frac{1}{(1-\beta)n^{\beta-1}} \right]_k^{\infty} = \frac{1}{k^\beta} + \frac{k}{(\beta-1)k^\beta} = \frac{1}{k^\beta} \left( \frac{\beta + k - 1}{\beta - 1} \right).$$

We will list some propositions characterising  $s_\alpha$ , and to ease reading, relegate their proofs to Appendix A. The first shows that  $s_4 = \sqrt{2}$ :

**Proposition 3.2.**  $s_4 = \sqrt{2}$ , which is to say, the function  $t \mapsto \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} t(1+t^4 n^4)^{-1}$  for  $t > 0$  is minimised at  $t = \sqrt{2}$ .

The next Proposition states that  $s_\alpha > 1$  for  $\alpha \geq 6$ :

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $f_\alpha(x) = (1+x^\alpha)^{-1}$  for  $\alpha \geq 6$  an even integer, and let  $s_\alpha$  minimise  $t \mapsto \mathcal{E}_{f_\alpha}(t\mathbb{Z})$ . Then  $s_\alpha \geq 1$ .*

Recall we defined  $F_\alpha(x)$  by  $F_\alpha(x) = (1 + s_\alpha^\alpha x^\alpha)^{-1}$ . From this we get the following expressions for  $F'_\alpha, F''_\alpha$  in terms of  $F_\alpha$ :

$$F'_\alpha(x) = -\frac{\alpha s_\alpha^\alpha x^{\alpha-1}}{(1 + s_\alpha^\alpha x^\alpha)^2} = -\frac{\alpha}{x} \cdot \frac{s_\alpha^\alpha x^\alpha + 1 - 1}{(1 + s_\alpha^\alpha x^\alpha)^2} = -\frac{\alpha}{x} (F_\alpha(x) - F_\alpha(x)^2),$$

so

$$F'_\alpha(x) = -\frac{\alpha F_\alpha(x)(1 - F_\alpha(x))}{x}. \quad (3.4)$$

We then differentiate this to get an expression for  $F''_\alpha$ :

$$\begin{aligned} F''_\alpha(x) &= -\frac{d}{dx} \left( \frac{\alpha F_\alpha(x)}{x} \right) (1 - F_\alpha(x)) + \frac{\alpha F_\alpha(x) F'_\alpha(x)}{x} \\ &= \left( \frac{\alpha F_\alpha(x)}{x^2} - \frac{\alpha F'_\alpha(x)}{x} \right) (1 - F_\alpha(x)) + \frac{\alpha F_\alpha(x) F'_\alpha(x)}{x} \\ &= -\frac{\alpha F'_\alpha(x)(1 - F_\alpha(x))}{x} + \frac{\alpha F_\alpha(x)}{x} \left( \frac{1 - F_\alpha(x)}{x} + F'_\alpha(x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the expression for  $F'_\alpha(x)$  in (3.4), this becomes

$$\begin{aligned} F''_\alpha(x) &= \frac{\alpha^2 F_\alpha(x)(1 - F_\alpha(x))^2}{x^2} + \frac{\alpha F_\alpha(x)}{x} \left( \frac{1 - F_\alpha(x) - \alpha F_\alpha(x)(1 - F_\alpha(x))}{x} \right) \\ F''_\alpha(x) &= \left( \frac{\alpha^2 F_\alpha(x)(1 - F_\alpha(x))}{x} + \frac{\alpha F_\alpha(x)}{x} (1 - \alpha F_\alpha(x)) \right) \left( \frac{1 - F_\alpha(x)}{x} \right) \\ &= \alpha F_\alpha(x) (\alpha (1 - F_\alpha(x)) + 1 - \alpha F_\alpha(x)) \left( \frac{1 - F_\alpha(x)}{x^2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

or

$$F''_\alpha(x) = \alpha F_\alpha(x) \left( \frac{1 - F_\alpha(x)}{x^2} \right) (\alpha (1 - 2F_\alpha(x)) + 1). \quad (3.5)$$

Our third proposition gives an asymptotic expression for  $s_\alpha$ :

**Proposition 3.4.** *For  $\alpha \geq 12$ , we can write*

$$s_\alpha^\alpha = \alpha - 2 + \sqrt{(\alpha - 2)^2 - 3} + \mathfrak{G}(\alpha), \quad (3.6)$$

where

$$|\mathfrak{G}(\alpha)| \leq \frac{2.1(\alpha + 1)^3}{2^{\alpha-1}(\alpha - 1)}. \quad (3.7)$$

This bound implies  $2\alpha - 5 \leq s_\alpha^\alpha \leq 2\alpha - 3$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2\alpha - 2} \leq F_\alpha(1) \leq \frac{1}{2\alpha - 4}, \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha - 4} \right) \leq -F'_\alpha(1) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2\alpha - 4}. \quad (3.9)$$

With these facts laid down, we are now ready to prove the needed inequalities in (2.3), (2.5), and consequently, finish the proof of Theorem 1.5. We start with (2.5), and so showing that  $\widehat{\psi}_\alpha \geq 0$ .

**3.2. The non-negativity of  $\widehat{\psi}_\alpha$ .** Recall  $\widehat{\psi}_\alpha$  given by (3.2),

$$\widehat{\psi}_\alpha(\xi) = (1 - |\xi|) \left( \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} F_\alpha(n) e^{-2\pi i n \xi} \right) + \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\xi)}{2\pi i} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} F'_\alpha(n) e^{-2\pi i n \xi},$$

if  $|\xi| \leq 1$ , and 0 otherwise. We already know that  $\widehat{\psi}_\alpha$  is even since  $\psi_\alpha$  is even and real-valued, so we'll restrict our attention to  $\xi \in [0, 1]$ . We start with when  $0 \leq \xi \leq 1/2$ . We symmetrise the above expression for  $\widehat{\psi}_\alpha$  to get

$$\widehat{\psi}_\alpha(\xi) = (1 - \xi) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} F_\alpha(n) \cos(2\pi n \xi) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} F'_\alpha(n) \sin(2\pi n \xi), \quad (3.10)$$

so

$$\widehat{\psi}_\alpha(\xi) \geq (1 - \xi) \left( 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2F_\alpha(n) \right) - \frac{1}{\pi} F'_\alpha(1) \sin(2\pi \xi) - \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |F'_\alpha(n)|.$$

Note that  $F'_\alpha(1) < 0$  and if  $0 \leq \xi \leq \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\sin(2\pi \xi) \geq 0$ , so then if  $0 \leq \xi \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , then

$$\widehat{\psi}_\alpha(\xi) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2F_\alpha(n) \right) - \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |F'_\alpha(n)|. \quad (3.11)$$

Call the constant on the right-hand side  $\mathcal{T}(\alpha)$ , so it is sufficient to show  $\mathcal{T}(\alpha) \geq 0$  to prove  $\widehat{\psi}_\alpha(\xi) \geq 0$  for  $0 \leq \xi \leq 1/2$ . We prove this for  $\alpha \geq 12$ , and verify it numerically for  $\alpha = 4, 6, 8, 10$ :

*Proof of  $\mathcal{T}(\alpha) \geq 0$  for  $\alpha \geq 12$ .* From (the bounds on  $s_\alpha^n$  in) Proposition 3.4, we have  $F_\alpha(1) \leq 1/(2\alpha - 4)$ , and  $F_\alpha(n) \leq \alpha^{-1} n^{-\alpha}$ . From (3.4), we also have

$$|F'_\alpha(n)| \leq \frac{\alpha F_\alpha(n)}{n} \leq \frac{1}{n^{\alpha+1}},$$

since as we said earlier,  $F_\alpha(n) \leq \alpha^{-1} n^{-\alpha}$ , and so

$$\mathcal{T}(\alpha) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha - 2} - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\alpha n^\alpha} \right) - \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\alpha}}.$$

Using Lemma 3.1, this implies

$$\mathcal{T}(\alpha) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha - 2} - \frac{2}{\alpha} \cdot \frac{\alpha + 1}{2^\alpha(\alpha - 1)} \right) - \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \frac{\alpha + 2}{\alpha 2^{1+\alpha}},$$

which is positive if  $\alpha \geq 12$ . □

So now it remains to show  $\mathcal{T}(\alpha) \geq 0$  for  $\alpha \leq 12$ , formulated in the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.5.** *For  $\alpha \in \{4, 6, 8, 10\}$ , define a constant  $\mathcal{T}(\alpha)$  by*

$$\mathcal{T}(\alpha) := \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2F_\alpha(n) \right) - \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |F'_\alpha(n)|.$$

*Then  $\mathcal{T}(\alpha) \geq 0$ .*

We verify this in Section 4. This completes the proof of the non-negativity of  $\widehat{\psi}_\alpha(\xi)$  for  $0 \leq \xi \leq 1/2$ , for  $\alpha \geq 4$ .



We now move on to the case where  $1/2 \leq \xi \leq 1$ . Write  $\xi = 1 - t$  with  $t \in [0, 1/2]$  and substitute this into the expression for  $\widehat{\psi}_\alpha$  in (3.10), so that

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\psi}_\alpha(1-t) &= t \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} F_\alpha(n) \cos(2\pi nt) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} F'_\alpha(n) \sin(2\pi nt) \\ &= t \left( \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} F_\alpha(n) \cos(2\pi nt) + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} n F'_\alpha(n) \frac{\sin(2\pi nt)}{2\pi nt} \right).\end{aligned}$$

From (2.9),

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (F_\alpha(n) + n F'_\alpha(n)) = 0,$$

so subtracting this sum from the right-hand side of the above equation, we get

$$\widehat{\psi}_\alpha(1-t) = t \left( \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} F_\alpha(n) (\cos(2\pi nt) - 1) + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} n F'_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{\sin(2\pi nt)}{2\pi nt} - 1 \right) \right),$$

and using the half angle formula for cosine, we get

$$\widehat{\psi}_\alpha(1-t) = t \left( \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} n F'_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{\sin(2\pi nt)}{2\pi nt} - 1 \right) - t^2 \pi^2 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} 2n^2 F_\alpha(n) \frac{\sin^2(\pi nt)}{(\pi nt)^2} \right). \quad (3.12)$$

We make use of the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.6.** *For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  define  $\mathcal{R}(x)$  for  $x \neq 0$  by*

$$\sin x = x - \frac{x^3}{6} + x^3 \mathcal{R}(x)$$

*and set  $\mathcal{R}(0) = 0$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}$  is continuous and increasing in  $|x|$*

*Proof of Lemma 3.6.* That  $\mathcal{R}$  is continuous follows from the Taylor series of  $\sin x$ . Note  $\mathcal{R}$  is even, so it is sufficient to show  $\mathcal{R}'(x) \geq 0$  for  $x \geq 0$ . By differentiating,

$$\mathcal{R}'(x) = \frac{x^3 (\cos x - 1) - 3x^2 (\sin x - x)}{x^6},$$

so we want to show for  $x \geq 0$ ,  $x(\cos x - 1) \geq 3(\sin x - x)$ , which is equivalent to showing

$$\cos x + 2 \geq \frac{3 \sin x}{x}. \quad (3.13)$$

$(\sin x)/x$  is decreasing in  $x$  for  $x \in [\pi/2, \pi]$ , so if  $x \in [\sqrt{8}, \pi]$ , then  $3 \sin x/x \leq 3 \sin(\sqrt{8})/\sqrt{8} < 1 \leq \cos x + 2$ , so this shows  $\mathcal{R}'(x) \geq 0$  for  $x \in [\sqrt{8}, \pi]$ . For  $x \geq 3$ , the inequality holds because  $3 \sin x/x \leq 1 \leq \cos x + 2$ . So this shows  $\mathcal{R}'(x) \geq 0$  for  $x \geq \sqrt{8}$ . For  $0 \leq x \leq \sqrt{8}$ , we multiply both sides of (3.13) by  $x$ , so it is sufficient to show

$$h(x) := x \cos x + 2x - 3 \sin x \geq 0$$

for  $0 \leq x \leq \sqrt{8}$ . Note  $h'(x) = \cos x - x \sin x + 2 - 3 \cos x = 2 - 2 \cos x - x \sin x$ , and using the half angle formula for sine and cosine, this implies

$$h'(x) = 4 \sin^2\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - x \sin x = 4 \sin\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \left( \tan\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - \frac{x}{2} \right) = 2 \sin(x) \left( \tan\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - \frac{x}{2} \right).$$

$\sin(x) \geq 0$  for  $0 \leq x \leq \pi$ , and  $\tan(x) \geq x$  for  $0 \leq x \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ , so this shows  $h'(x) \geq 0$  for  $0 \leq x \leq \pi$ , and so  $h$  is increasing for  $0 \leq x \leq \pi$ . From the definition of  $h(x)$  above,  $h(0) = 0$ , and so we get  $h(x) \geq 0$  for  $0 \leq x \leq \pi$ , so  $0 \leq x \leq \sqrt{8}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

From the definition of  $\mathcal{R}$  in Lemma 3.6 we write

$$\frac{\sin(2\pi nt)}{2\pi nt} - 1 = -\frac{(2\pi nt)^2}{6} + (2\pi nt)^2 \mathcal{R}(2\pi nt),$$

so

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} nF'_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{\sin(2\pi nt)}{2\pi nt} - 1 \right) = \pi^2 t^2 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} nF'_\alpha(n) \left( (2n)^2 \mathcal{R}(2\pi nt) - \frac{2n^2}{3} \right).$$

Plugging this into (3.12) gives

$$\frac{\widehat{\psi}_\alpha(1-t)}{\pi^2 t^3} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} n^3 F'_\alpha(n) \left( -\frac{2}{3} + 4\mathcal{R}(2\pi nt) \right) - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} 2n^2 F_\alpha(n) \frac{\sin^2(\pi nt)}{(\pi nt)^2}. \quad (3.14)$$

So our task is in showing the right-hand is non-negative, for  $0 \leq t \leq 1/2$ . For  $\alpha = 4$ , we know  $s_4 = \sqrt{2}$  from Proposition 3.2, and so we can readily verify this inequality, stated in the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $F_4(x) = (4x^4 + 1)^{-1}$ , and  $\mathcal{R}(x)$  be as defined in Lemma 3.6. Then for  $0 \leq t \leq 1/2$ ,*

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} n^3 F'_4(n) \left( -\frac{2}{3} + 4\mathcal{R}(2\pi nt) \right) - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} 2n^2 F_4(n) \frac{\sin^2(\pi nt)}{(\pi nt)^2} \geq 0. \quad (3.15)$$

*Proof.* From (3.4),  $x F'_4(x) = -4F_4(x)(1 - F_4(x))$ , so  $-x^3 F'_4(x) = 4x^2 F_4(x)(1 - F_4(x))$ , so the left-hand side of (3.15) can be written as

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} n^2 F_4(n) \left( 4(1 - F_4(n)) \left( \frac{2}{3} - 4\mathcal{R}(2\pi nt) \right) - \frac{2\sin^2(\pi nt)}{(\pi nt)^2} \right),$$

so it is sufficient to show

$$4(1 - F_4(n)) \left( \frac{1}{3} - 2\mathcal{R}(2\pi nt) \right) \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} \frac{\sin^2(\pi nt)}{(\pi nt)^2}$$

for  $t \in [0, 1/2]$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  to prove the lemma. The above inequality can be written as

$$\frac{(2\pi nt)^2 (1/3 - 2\mathcal{R}(2\pi nt))}{\sin^2(\pi nt)} \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} \frac{1}{1 - F_4(n)},$$

and using the definition of  $\mathfrak{R}(x)$  in Lemma 3.6, this is equivalent to

$$\frac{2(2\pi nt - \sin(2\pi nt))}{2\pi nt \sin^2(\pi nt)} \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} \frac{1}{1 - F_4(n)},$$

so we want to show

$$\frac{2\pi nt - \sin(2\pi nt)}{\pi nt \sin^2(\pi nt)} \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} \frac{1}{1 - F_4(n)}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $t \in [0, 1/2]$ . Let

$$P(x) = \frac{2x - \sin(2x)}{x \sin^2(x)},$$

so to prove the above inequality it's sufficient to show  $P(x) \geq 1/(1 - F_4(1)) = 5/4$  for  $x \geq 0$ . We consider two cases. For  $x \geq \pi/2$ , we use the fact that  $|\sin x| \leq 1$ , so  $P(x) \geq (2x - 1)/x = 2 - \frac{1}{x} > 5/4$  for  $x \geq \pi/2$ . For  $0 \leq x \leq \pi/2$ , we write the inequality  $P(x) \geq 5/4$  as

$$2x - \sin(2x) \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} \frac{5}{4} x \sin^2 x = \frac{5x}{8} (1 - \cos(2x)),$$

which is equivalent to showing

$$\frac{11}{8}x - \sin(2x) + \frac{5}{8}x \cos(2x) \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} 0 \quad (3.16)$$

for  $0 \leq x \leq \pi/2$ . To do this it is sufficient to show the left-hand side of (3.16) is increasing in  $x$  for  $0 \leq x \leq \pi/2$ . Differentiating the left-hand side, we get

$$\frac{11}{8} - 2\cos(2x) + \frac{5}{8}\cos(2x) - \frac{5x}{4}\sin(2x) = \frac{11}{8}(1 - \cos(2x)) - \frac{5x}{4}\sin(2x) = \frac{11}{4}\sin^2 x - \frac{5x}{2}(\sin x)(\cos x).$$

$\sin x \geq 0$  if  $0 \leq x \leq \pi/2$ , so it is sufficient to show  $11 \sin x \geq 10x \cos x$ , which holds since  $\tan x \geq x$  for  $0 \leq x \leq \pi/2$ . This completes the proof of the lemma, and shows  $\widehat{\psi}_4(1-t) \geq 0$  for  $0 \leq t \leq 1/2$ .  $\square$

We now focus on  $\alpha \geq 6$ . For  $\alpha \geq 6$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1/2$ , since  $\mathcal{R}(x)$  is increasing in  $|x|$ ,  $-2/3 + 4\mathcal{R}(2\pi nt) \leq -2/3 + 4\mathcal{R}(\pi n)$ , and  $n^3 F'_\alpha(n) \leq 0$ , so from (3.14), we get

$$\frac{\widehat{\psi}_\alpha(1-t)}{\pi^2 t^3} \geq \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} n^3 F'_\alpha(n) \left( -\frac{2}{3} + 4\mathcal{R}(\pi n) \right) - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} 2n^2 F_\alpha(n). \quad (3.17)$$

Call the constant on the right-hand side  $\mathfrak{L}(\alpha)$ , so to show  $\widehat{\psi}_\alpha(\xi) \geq 0$  for  $\xi \in [1/2, 1]$ , it is sufficient to show  $\mathfrak{L}(\alpha) \geq 0$ . We prove this for  $\alpha \geq 12$ , and then verify it numerically for  $6 \leq \alpha \leq 10$ :

*Proof of  $\mathfrak{L}(\alpha) \geq 0$  for  $\alpha \geq 12$ .* We write

$$\mathfrak{L}(\alpha) = -2F'_\alpha(1) \left( \frac{2}{3} - 4\mathcal{R}(\pi) \right) + 2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^3 F'_\alpha(n) \left( -\frac{2}{3} + 4\mathcal{R}(\pi n) \right) - 4F_\alpha(1) - 2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 2n^2 F_\alpha(n).$$

From (3.8) and (3.9) this implies

$$\mathfrak{L}(\alpha) \geq \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha - 4} \right) \left( \frac{2}{3} - 4\mathcal{R}(\pi) \right) + 2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^3 F'_\alpha(n) \left( -\frac{2}{3} + 4\mathfrak{R}(\pi n) \right) - \frac{2}{\alpha - 2} - 2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 2n^2 F_\alpha(n).$$

(3.4) implies  $|nF'_\alpha(n)| \leq \alpha F_\alpha(n)$ , and  $0 \leq \mathcal{R}(x) \leq 1/6$ , so we get

$$\mathfrak{L}(\alpha) \geq \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha - 4} \right) \left( \frac{2}{3} - 4\mathfrak{R}(\pi) \right) - 2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2 F_\alpha(n) \left( 2 + \frac{2\alpha}{3} \right) - \frac{2}{\alpha - 2}.$$

We also know  $F_\alpha(n) \leq \alpha^{-1} n^{-\alpha}$  from Proposition 3.4, so this implies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L}(\alpha) &\geq \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha - 4} \right) \left( \frac{2}{3} - 4\mathcal{R}(\pi) \right) - 2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha-2}} \frac{(2/3)\alpha + 2}{\alpha} - \frac{2}{\alpha - 2} \\ &\geq \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha - 4} \right) \left( \frac{2}{3} - 4\mathcal{R}(\pi) \right) - 2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha-2}} - \frac{2}{\alpha - 2} \end{aligned}$$

for  $\alpha \geq 12$ . We can check that  $2/3 - 4\mathcal{R}(\pi) \geq 0.4$ , and combining this with Lemma 3.1 implies

$$\mathfrak{L}(\alpha) \geq \frac{2}{5} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha - 4} \right) - \frac{2}{\alpha - 2} - 2 \left( \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha - 3} \right) \frac{1}{2^{\alpha-2}} \geq \frac{2}{5} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha - 4} \right) - \frac{2}{\alpha - 2} - \frac{12}{2^\alpha}$$

for  $\alpha \geq 12$ . The right-hand side above is non-negative if  $\alpha \geq 12$ , so this completes the proof for  $\alpha \geq 12$ .  $\square$

So it remains to check  $\mathfrak{L}(\alpha) \geq 0$  for  $\alpha = 6, 8, 10$  which we do numerically in Section 4. For referencing, we put this in the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let  $\mathcal{R}(x)$  be given by*

$$\mathcal{R}(x) = \frac{\sin x - x}{x^3} + \frac{1}{6}$$

for  $x \neq 0$ , and  $\mathcal{R}(0) = 0$ . For  $\alpha \in \{6, 8, 10\}$ , define a constant  $\mathfrak{L}(\alpha)$  by

$$\mathfrak{L}(\alpha) := \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} n^3 F'_\alpha(n) \left( -\frac{2}{3} + 4\mathcal{R}(\pi n) \right) - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} 2n^2 F_\alpha(n).$$

Then  $\mathfrak{L}(\alpha) \geq 0$ .

This takes care of the  $0 \leq t \leq 1/2$  case, or when  $\xi \in [1/2, 1]$ , and completes the proof of the non-negativity of  $\widehat{\psi}_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \geq 4$ . We now go on to  $\psi_\alpha$ , and prove  $\psi_\alpha(x) \leq F_\alpha(x)$ :

**3.3. Proving  $\psi_\alpha(x) \leq F_\alpha(x)$ .** We want to show  $\psi_\alpha(x) \leq F_\alpha(x)$ , and using the expression for  $\psi_\alpha(x)$  in (3.1), we want to show

$$\frac{\sin^2(\pi x)}{\pi^2} \left( \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{F_\alpha(n)}{(x-n)^2} + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{F'_\alpha(n)}{x-n} \right) \stackrel{\text{wts}}{\leq} F_\alpha(x). \quad (3.18)$$

for  $x \geq 0$ . We first focus on the  $\alpha = 4$  case, and then move on to  $\alpha \geq 6$ . For the  $\alpha = 4$ , we show  $\psi_4(x) \leq F_4(x)$  for large enough  $x$ , and then use interval arithmetic on the remaining bounded interval. To aid our asymptotic analysis, we use the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.9.** *Let  $\eta(x)$  be the closest integer to  $x$ , for  $x \geq 0$ . Then for  $x \geq 1.5$ ,*

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ n \neq \eta(x)}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^2 - n^2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{x} \left( 2 + \frac{3}{4x-1} \right).$$

*Proof.* Using the known identity

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{x^2 - n^2} = \frac{\pi \cot(\pi x)}{x},$$

we get

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \neq \eta(x)}} \frac{1}{x^2 - n^2} = \frac{\pi \cot(\pi x)}{x} - \frac{2}{x^2 - \eta(x)^2},$$

since  $\eta(x) > 0$ . We let  $k = \eta(x)$ , and let  $x = k + t$ ,  $|t| \leq 1/2$ , so that

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \neq \eta(x)}} \frac{1}{x^2 - n^2} = \frac{\pi \cos(\pi t)}{(k+t) \sin(\pi t)} - \frac{2}{t(2k+t)}. \quad (3.19)$$

Note

$$\frac{x - \sin x}{x^3} = \frac{1}{6} - \mathcal{R}(x)$$

where  $\mathcal{R}(x)$  as defined in Lemma 3.6. From Lemma 3.6 we know  $\mathcal{R}(x)$  is increasing in  $|x|$ , and  $\mathcal{R}(0) = 0$  so the above equality implies  $|x - \sin x| \leq |x|^3/6$ , and so

$$\left| \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi t)} - \frac{1}{t} \right| = \frac{|\pi t - \sin(\pi t)|}{|t \sin(\pi t)|} \leq \frac{|\pi^3 t^3|}{6 |t \sin(\pi t)|} = \frac{\pi^3 t^2}{6 |\sin(\pi t)|} = \left| \frac{\pi^2 t}{6} \cdot \frac{\pi t}{\sin(\pi t)} \right|. \quad (3.20)$$

Observe that,

$$\partial_x \left( \frac{x}{\sin x} \right) = \frac{\sin x - x \cos x}{\sin^2 x} = \frac{\cos x (\tan x - x)}{\sin^2 x} \geq 0$$

for  $0 \leq x \leq \pi/2$ , and  $\pi t / \sin(\pi t)$  is even in  $t$ , so this means that for  $|t| \leq 1/2$ ,

$$\left| \frac{\pi^2 t}{6} \right| \cdot \left| \frac{\pi t}{\sin(\pi t)} \right| \leq \frac{\pi^2 |t|}{6} \cdot \frac{\pi/2}{\sin(\pi/2)} = \frac{\pi^3 |t|}{12}.$$

Combining this with (3.20), this means for  $|t| \leq 1/2$ , we can write

$$\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi t)} = \frac{1}{t} + c(t)t, \quad |c(t)| \leq \frac{\pi^3}{12}. \quad (3.21)$$

Plugging this into (3.19), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \neq \eta(x)}} \frac{1}{x^2 - n^2} &= \frac{\cos(\pi t)}{k+t} \left( \frac{1}{t} + c(t)t \right) - \frac{2}{t(2k+t)} = \frac{\cos(\pi t)}{t(k+t)} - \frac{2}{t(2k+t)} + \frac{c(t)t \cos(\pi t)}{k+t} \\ &= \frac{1}{t} \left( \frac{\cos(\pi t)}{k+t} - \frac{2}{2k+t} \right) + \frac{c(t)t \cos(\pi t)}{k+t}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the half-angle formula for cosine,  $1 - \cos(2x) = 2 \sin^2(x)$ , we can write for  $|t| \leq 1/2$ ,

$$\cos(\pi t) = 1 + b(t)t^2, \quad |b(t)| \leq \frac{\pi^2}{2}. \quad (3.22)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \neq \eta(x)}} \frac{1}{x^2 - n^2} &= \frac{1}{t} \left( \frac{1}{k+t} - \frac{2}{2k+t} \right) + \frac{b(t)t}{k+t} + \frac{c(t)t \cos(\pi t)}{k+t} = \frac{t(b(t) + c(t) \cos(\pi t))}{k+t} - \frac{1}{(k+t)(2k+t)} \\ &= \frac{t(b(t) + c(t) \cos(\pi t))}{x} - \frac{1}{x(x + \eta(x))}, \end{aligned}$$

since  $k = \eta(x)$  and  $t = x - \eta(x)$ . Note  $x + \eta(x) \geq 2x - 1/2$ , and from (3.21), (3.22) we have  $|b(t)| \leq \pi^2/2$ ,  $|c(t)| \leq \pi^3/12$ , so this implies for  $|t| \leq 1/2$ ,

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \neq \eta(x)}} \frac{1}{x^2 - n^2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{x} \left( \frac{\pi^3}{24} + \frac{\pi^2}{4} + \frac{2}{4x-1} \right) \leq \frac{1}{x} \left( 4 + \frac{2}{4x-1} \right).$$

Now

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \neq \eta(x)}} \frac{1}{x^2 - n^2} = \frac{1}{x^2} + 2 \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq \eta(x)}} \frac{1}{x^2 - n^2},$$

and so this implies

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq \eta(x)}} \frac{1}{x^2 - n^2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{x} \left( 2 + \frac{1}{4x-1} + \frac{1}{2x} \right) \leq \frac{1}{x} \left( 2 + \frac{1}{4x-1} + \frac{2}{4x-1} \right) = \frac{1}{x} \left( 2 + \frac{3}{4x-1} \right)$$

as desired.  $\square$

With the lemma established, we are ready to tackle the problem.

3.3.1. *Proving  $\psi_4(x) \leq F_4(x)$ :* From Proposition 3.3,  $s_4 = \sqrt{2}$ , so  $F_4(x) = (4x^4 + 1)^{-1}$ , so the inequality we want to show in (3.18) is

$$\frac{\sin^2(\pi x)}{\pi^2} \left( \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{F_4(n)}{(x-n)^2} + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{F_4'(n)}{x-n} \right) \underset{\text{wts}}{\leq} F_4(x), \quad (3.23)$$

for  $x \geq 0$ . The way we do this is show this holds for  $x \geq 9$ , and verify it numerically on  $[0, 9]$ . Throughout this part, we make use of the identity

$$\frac{\pi^2}{\sin^2(\pi x)} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(x-n)^2}. \quad (3.24)$$

*Proof of  $\psi_4(x) \leq F_4(x)$  for  $x \geq 9$ .* using the identity (3.24), we multiply both sides of (3.23) by  $\pi^2 / \sin^2(\pi x)$  to get the equivalent inequality

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \frac{F_4(x) - F_4(n) - F_4'(n)(x-n)}{(x-n)^2} \right) \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} 0. \quad (3.25)$$

As before, let  $\eta(x)$  be the integer closest to  $x$ . By the mean value theorem,

$$\frac{F_4(x) - F_4(\eta(x)) - F_4'(\eta(x))(x - \eta(x))}{(x - \eta(x))^2} = \frac{1}{2} F_4''(s)$$

for some  $s$  between  $x$  and  $\eta(x)$ . From (3.5), we see that  $F_4''(s) > 0$  if  $F_4(x) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , so if  $x > 1.5$ , both  $x, \eta(x) > 1$ , so if  $s$  is between  $x$  and  $\eta(x)$  then  $F_4(s) \leq F_4(1) < \frac{1}{2}$ , and so  $F_4''(s) \geq 0$  for any  $s$  between  $x$  and  $\eta(x)$ . So it is sufficient to show

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{\eta(x)\}} \left( \frac{F_4(x) - F_4(n) - F_4'(n)(x-n)}{(x-n)^2} \right) \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} 0 \quad (3.26)$$

for  $x \geq 1.5$ . We pull out the  $n = -\eta(x)$  term, so it is equivalent to show

$$\sum_{|n| \neq \eta(x)} \left( \frac{F_4(x) - F_4(n) - F_4'(n)(x-n)}{(x-n)^2} \right) \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} - \frac{F_4(x) - F_4(\eta(x)) + F_4'(\eta(x))(x + \eta(x))}{(x + \eta(x))^2}.$$

We start by analysing the left-hand side. Since  $F_4(x)$  is even in  $x$ , by re-indexing the sum on the left-hand side  $n \mapsto -n$  and averaging, we get

$$\sum_{|n| \neq \eta(x)} \left( \frac{F_4(x) - F_4(n) - F_4'(n)(x-n)}{(x-n)^2} \right) = \sum_{|n| \neq \eta(x)} (F_4(x) - F_4(n)) \left( \frac{x^2 + n^2}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} \right) - \sum_{|n| \neq \eta(x)} \frac{nF_4'(n)}{x^2 - n^2},$$

so it is equivalent to show

$$\begin{aligned} F_4(x) \sum_{|n| \neq \eta(x)} \left( \frac{x^2 + n^2}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} \right) + \frac{F_4(x) - F_4(\eta(x)) + F_4'(\eta(x))(x + \eta(x))}{(x + \eta(x))^2} \\ \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} \sum_{|n| \neq \eta(x)} \left( F_4(n) \left( \frac{x^2 + n^2}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} \right) + \frac{nF_4'(n)}{x^2 - n^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

From (2.9) and the fact that  $F_4(x)$  is even in  $x$ , we have

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \neq \eta(x)}} \left( \frac{F_4(n)}{x^2} + \frac{nF_4'(n)}{x^2} \right) = - \frac{2F_4(\eta(x)) + 2\eta(x)F_4'(\eta(x))}{x^2}.$$

We then subtract this from both sides of (3.27), so want to show

$$F_4(x) \sum_{|n| \neq \eta(x)} \left( \frac{x^2 + n^2}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} \right) + \frac{F_4(x) - F_4(\eta(x)) + F_4'(\eta(x))(x + \eta(x))}{(x + \eta(x))^2} \\ + \frac{2F_4(\eta(x)) + 2\eta(x)F_4'(\eta(x))}{x^2} \geq \sum_{\text{wts } |n| \neq \eta(x)} \left( F_4(n) \left( \frac{x^2 + n^2}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} - \frac{1}{x^2} \right) + \frac{nF_4'(n)}{x^2 - n^2} - \frac{nF_4'(n)}{x^2} \right).$$

We then multiply both sides by  $x^2$ , to get the equivalent inequality

$$x^2 F_4(x) \sum_{|n| \neq \eta(x)} \left( \frac{x^2 + n^2}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} \right) + \frac{x^2 (F_4(x) - F_4(\eta(x)) + F_4'(\eta(x))(x + \eta(x)))}{(x + \eta(x))^2} \\ + 2F_4(\eta(x)) + 2\eta(x)F_4'(\eta(x)) \geq \sum_{\text{wts } |n| \neq \eta(x)} \left( n^2 F_4(n) \left( \frac{3x^2 - n^2}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} \right) + \frac{n^3 F_4'(n)}{x^2 - n^2} \right). \quad (3.28)$$

We now get a lower bound for the left-hand side. By throwing away the non-negative terms, we get

$$\frac{x^2 (F_4(x) - F_4(\eta(x)) + F_4'(\eta(x))(x + \eta(x)))}{(x + \eta(x))^2} + 2F_4(\eta(x)) + 2\eta(x)F_4'(\eta(x)) \\ \geq -F_4(\eta(x)) + xF_4'(\eta(x)) + 2\eta(x)F_4'(\eta(x)) = -F_4(\eta(x)) + (x + 2\eta(x))F_4'(\eta(x)). \quad (3.29)$$

For  $x \geq 9$ ,  $x + 2\eta(x) \leq 3.2\eta(x)$ , and from (3.4),  $|xF_4'(x)| \leq 4F_4(x)$  so this implies

$$(x + 2\eta(x))F_4'(\eta(x)) \geq 3.2\eta(x)F_4(\eta(x)) \geq -13F_4(\eta(x)),$$

and plugging this into (3.29), we get

$$\frac{x^2 (F_4(x) - F_4(\eta(x)) + F_4'(\eta(x))(x + \eta(x)))}{(x + \eta(x))^2} + 2F_4(\eta(x)) + 2\eta(x)F_4'(\eta(x)) \geq -14F_4(\eta(x)).$$

Call the right-hand side of (3.28)  $L(x)$ , so

$$L(x) := \sum_{|n| \neq \eta(x)} \left( n^2 F_4(n) \left( \frac{3x^2 - n^2}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} \right) + \frac{n^3 F_4'(n)}{x^2 - n^2} \right), \quad (3.30)$$

so to show (3.28), it is sufficient to show

$$x^2 F_4(x) \sum_{|n| \neq \eta(x)} \left( \frac{x^2 + n^2}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} \right) - 14F_4(\eta(x)) \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} L(x)$$

for  $x \geq 9$ . To keep symmetry, we throw away the  $n = 0$  term on the left-hand side above, so we will instead show

$$2x^2 F_4(x) \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq \eta(x)}}^{\infty} \left( \frac{x^2 + n^2}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} \right) - 14F_4(\eta(x)) \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} L(x). \quad (3.31)$$

We expand the term contribution to  $L(x)$  as

$$n^2 F_4(n) \left( \frac{3x^2 - n^2}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} \right) + \frac{n^3 F_4'(n)}{x^2 - n^2} = n^2 F_4(n) \left( \frac{3}{x^2 - n^2} + \frac{2n^2}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} \right) + \frac{n^3 F_4'(n)}{x^2 - n^2},$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
L(x) &= \sum_{|n| \neq \eta(x)} \left( n^2 F_4(n) \left( \frac{3}{x^2 - n^2} + \frac{2n^2}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} \right) + \frac{n^3 F_4'(n)}{x^2 - n^2} \right) \\
&= 2 \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq \eta(x)}}^{\infty} \left( \frac{3n^2 F_4(n) + n^3 F_4'(n)}{x^2 - n^2} \right) + \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq \eta(x)}}^{\infty} \frac{4n^4 F_4(n)}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} \\
&\leq 2 \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq \eta(x)}}^{\infty} \left( \frac{3n^2 F_4(n) + n^3 F_4'(n)}{x^2 - n^2} \right) + \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq \eta(x)}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x^2 - n^2)^2},
\end{aligned}$$

so from (3.31) it is sufficient to show

$$2 \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq \eta(x)}}^{\infty} \left( \frac{3n^2 F_4(n) + n^3 F_4'(n)}{x^2 - n^2} \right) + \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq \eta(x)}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} \stackrel{\text{wts}}{\leq} 2x^2 F_4(x) \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq \eta(x)}}^{\infty} \left( \frac{x^2 + n^2}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} \right) - 14F_4(\eta(x)),$$

or

$$2 \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq \eta(x)}}^{\infty} \left( \frac{3n^2 F_4(n) + n^3 F_4'(n)}{x^2 - n^2} \right) + 14F_4(\eta(x)) \stackrel{\text{wts}}{\leq} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq \eta(x)}}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2x^2 F_4(x) (x^2 + n^2) - 1}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} \right). \quad (3.32)$$

Note

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{2x^2 F_4(x) (x^2 + n^2) - 1}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} &= \frac{\frac{2x^2}{4x^4 + 1} (x^2 + n^2) - 1}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} = \frac{2x^2 (x^2 + n^2) - 4x^4 - 1}{(x^2 - n^2)^2 (4x^4 + 1)} = \frac{2x^2 n^2 - 2x^4 - 1}{(x^2 - n^2)^2 (4x^4 + 1)} \\
&= \frac{2x^2}{4x^4 + 1} \frac{1}{n^2 - x^2} - \frac{1}{(x^2 - n^2)^2 (4x^4 + 1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.9, this implies

$$\sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq \eta(x)}}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2x^2 F_4(x) (x^2 + n^2) - 1}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} \right) \geq -\frac{2x}{4x^4 + 1} \left( 2 + \frac{3}{4x - 1} \right) - \frac{1}{4x^4} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq \eta(x)}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x - n)^2 (x + n)^2}.$$

We note

$$\sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq \eta(x)}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x - n)^2} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{(k + 1/2)^2} < 10,$$

so we get

$$\sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq \eta(x)}}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2x^2 F_4(x) (x^2 + n^2) - 1}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} \right) \geq -\frac{1}{2x^3} \left( 2 + \frac{3}{4x - 1} \right) - \frac{10}{4x^6} = -\frac{1}{2x^3} \left( 2 + \frac{3}{4x - 1} + \frac{5}{x^3} \right).$$

Plugging this into (3.32), it is sufficient to show

$$\sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq \eta(x)}}^{\infty} \left( \frac{3n^2 F_4(n) + n^3 F_4'(n)}{x^2 - n^2} \right) + 7F_4(\eta(x)) + \frac{1}{4x^3} \left( 2 + \frac{3}{4x - 1} + \frac{5}{x^3} \right) \stackrel{\text{wts}}{\leq} 0,$$



for  $x \geq 9$ . Note

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3n^2 F_4(n) + n^3 F_4'(n)}{x^2 - n^2} &= (3n^2 F_4(n) + n^3 F_4'(n)) \left( \frac{1}{x^2 - n^2} - \frac{1}{x^2} + \frac{1}{x^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{3n^4 F_4(n) + n^5 F_4'(n)}{x^2(x^2 - n^2)} + \frac{3n^2 F_4(n) + n^3 F_4'(n)}{x^2}, \end{aligned}$$

so multiplying both sides of the above inequality by  $x^2$ , we want to show for  $x \geq 9$ ,

$$\sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq \eta(x)}}^{\infty} \frac{3n^4 F_4(n) + n^5 F_4'(n)}{x^2 - n^2} + 7x^2 F_4(\eta(x)) + \frac{2 + \frac{3}{4x-1} + \frac{5}{x^3}}{4x} \stackrel{\text{wts}}{\leq} - \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq \eta(x)}}^{\infty} (3n^2 F_4(n) + n^3 F_4'(n)). \quad (3.33)$$

From (3.4),  $nF_4'(n) = -4F_4(n)(1 - F_4(n))$  so

$$\begin{aligned} 3n^4 F_4(n) + n^5 F_4'(n) &= n^4 F_4(n) (3 - 4(1 - F_4(n))) = -n^4 F_4(n) (1 - 4F_4(n)) = -n^4 F_4(n) + 4n^4 F_4(n)^2 \\ &= 4n^4 F_4(n)^2 - \frac{n^4}{4n^4 + 1} = 4n^4 F_4(n)^2 + \frac{F_4(n)}{4} - \frac{1}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Note

$$4n^4 F_4(n)^2 + \frac{F_4(n)}{4} = \left| 4n^4 F_4(n)^2 + \frac{F_4(n)}{4} \right| \leq \frac{4n^4}{16n^8} + \frac{1}{16n^4} = \frac{5}{16n^4},$$

so this implies

$$\sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq \eta(x)}}^{\infty} \frac{3n^4 F_4(n) + n^5 F_4'(n)}{x^2 - n^2} \leq \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq \eta(x)}}^{\infty} \left( \frac{5}{16n^4 |x^2 - n^2|} - \frac{1}{4(x^2 - n^2)} \right).$$

Using Lemma 3.9, this implies

$$\sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq \eta(x)}}^{\infty} \frac{3n^4 F_4(n) + n^5 F_4'(n)}{x^2 - n^2} \leq \frac{1}{4x} \left( 2 + \frac{3}{4x-1} \right) + \frac{5}{16|x^2-1|} + \sum_{\substack{n=2 \\ n \neq \eta(x)}}^{\infty} \frac{5}{16n^4 |x-n||x+n|}.$$

Note  $n \neq \eta(x) \implies |x-n| \geq 1/2$ . Using this estimate on the third term on the right-hand side above, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq \eta(x)}}^{\infty} \frac{3n^4 F_4(n) + n^5 F_4'(n)}{x^2 - n^2} &\leq \frac{1}{4x} \left( 2 + \frac{3}{4x-1} \right) + \frac{5}{16(x^2-1)} + \frac{5}{8x} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^4} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4x} \left( 2 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{5}{2n^4} + \frac{3}{4x-1} \right) + \frac{5}{16x(x-1)} \leq \frac{1}{4x} \left( 2.3 + \frac{3}{4x-1} + \frac{5}{4(x-1)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Plugging this into (3.33) and using the fact that  $\eta(x) \geq x - 1/2$ , it is then sufficient to show

$$\frac{1}{4x} \left( 4.3 + \frac{6}{4x-1} + \frac{5}{4(x-1)} + \frac{5}{x^3} \right) + 7x^2 F_4(x-1/2) \stackrel{\text{wts}}{\leq} - \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq \eta(x)}}^{\infty} (3n^2 F_4(n) + n^3 F_4'(n)),$$

for  $x \geq 9$ . We can observe that the left-hand side is decreasing in  $x$ , since

$$\partial_x \left( x^2 F_4 \left( x - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) = 2x F_4 \left( x - \frac{1}{2} \right) + x^2 F_4' \left( x - \frac{1}{2} \right) = x F_4 \left( x - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( 2 - \frac{4x(1 - F_4(x - \frac{1}{2}))}{x - \frac{1}{2}} \right)$$

which is negative for  $x \geq 9$ , using the identity for  $F'_\alpha$  in (3.4). So if  $x \geq 9$ , then

$$\frac{1}{4x} \left( 4.3 + \frac{6}{4x-1} + \frac{5}{4(x-1)} + \frac{5}{x^3} \right) + 7x^2 F_4(x-1/2) \leq \frac{4.3 + \frac{6}{35} + \frac{5}{32} + \frac{5}{9^3}}{36} + 7 \cdot 81 F_4(8.5) < 0.156.$$

From (3.4),

$$-(3n^2 F_4(n) + n^3 F'_4(n)) = -n^2 F_4(n) (3 - 4(1 - F_4(n))) = n^2 F_4(n) \left( 1 - \frac{4}{4n^4 + 1} \right) \geq 0,$$

so if  $x \geq 9$ , then

$$-\sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq \eta(x)}}^8 (3n^2 F_4(n) + n^3 F'_4(n)) \geq -\sum_{n=1}^8 (3n^2 F_4(n) + n^3 F'_4(n)) > 0.16,$$

and so this shows (3.33) for  $x \geq 9$ , hence  $\psi_4(x) \leq F_4(x)$  for  $x \geq 9$ , as desired.  $\square$

It thus remains to show  $\psi_4(x) \leq F_4(x)$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 9$ , and we do this by showing (3.25). For referencing we put this in the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.10.** *Let  $F_4(x) = (4x^4 + 1)^{-1}$ . Then for  $0 \leq x \leq 9$ ,*

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \frac{F_4(x) - F_4(x) - F'_4(n)(x-n)}{(x-n)^2} \right) \geq 0.$$

We verify this numerically in Section 4. We now move onto  $\alpha \geq 6$  case. Recall the inequality we want to show, (3.18):

$$\frac{\sin^2(\pi x)}{\pi^2} \left( \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{F_\alpha(n)}{(x-n)^2} + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{F'_\alpha(n)}{x-n} \right) \underset{\text{wts}}{\leq} F_\alpha(x).$$

As before, let  $\eta(x)$  be the closest integer to  $x$ . We write  $x = \eta(x) + t$ ,  $|t| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . We write the left-hand side of the above inequality as

$$\frac{\sin^2(\pi t)}{\pi^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{\eta(x)\}} \left( \frac{F_\alpha(n)}{(x-n)^2} + \frac{F'_\alpha(n)}{x-n} \right) + \frac{\sin^2(\pi t)}{\pi^2 t^2} (F_\alpha(\eta(x)) + t F'_\alpha(\eta(x))),$$

so we want to show

$$\frac{\sin^2(\pi t)}{\pi^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{\eta(x)\}} \left( \frac{F_\alpha(n)}{(x-n)^2} + \frac{F'_\alpha(n)}{x-n} \right) + \frac{\sin^2(\pi t)}{\pi^2 t^2} (F_\alpha(\eta(x)) + t F'_\alpha(\eta(x))) \underset{\text{wts}}{\leq} F_\alpha(x).$$

We write this as

$$F_\alpha(x) - \frac{\sin^2(\pi t)}{\pi^2 t^2} (F_\alpha(\eta(x)) + t F'_\alpha(\eta(x))) \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} \frac{\sin^2(\pi t)}{\pi^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{\eta(x)\}} \left( \frac{F_\alpha(n)}{(x-n)^2} + \frac{F'_\alpha(n)}{x-n} \right). \quad (3.34)$$

The way we do this is we show for each  $\alpha \geq 6$  an even integer, this inequality holds when  $x$  is large enough, and that when  $\alpha$  itself is large enough, we prove the inequality in full, for  $x \geq 0$ . We consider 3 cases,  $\eta(x) = 0$ ,  $\eta(x) = 1$ , and  $\eta(x) \geq 2$ . We start with when  $\eta(x) = 0$ .

3.3.2. *Proving (3.34) for  $\eta(x) = 0$ .* We start with the case where  $\eta(x) = 0$ , so  $0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , in which case we want to show

$$F_\alpha(x) - \frac{\sin^2(\pi x)}{(\pi x)^2} \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} \frac{\sin^2(\pi x)}{\pi^2} \sum_{|n| \geq 1} \left( \frac{F_\alpha(n)}{(x-n)^2} + \frac{F'_\alpha(n)}{x-n} \right).$$

Observe that since  $F_\alpha$  is even,  $F'_\alpha$  is odd so

$$\sum_{|n| \geq 1} \frac{F'_\alpha(n)}{x-n} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{|n| \geq 1} \left( \frac{F'_\alpha(n)}{x-n} + \frac{F'_\alpha(-n)}{x-(-n)} \right) = \sum_{|n| \geq 1} \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{F'_\alpha(n)}{x-n} - \frac{F'_\alpha(n)}{x+n} \right) = \sum_{|n| \geq 1} \frac{nF'_\alpha(n)}{x^2 - n^2},$$

so we want to show

$$F_\alpha(x) - \frac{\sin^2(\pi x)}{(\pi x)^2} \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} \frac{\sin^2(\pi x)}{\pi^2} \sum_{|n| \geq 1} \left( \frac{F_\alpha(n)}{(x-n)^2} + \frac{nF'_\alpha(n)}{x^2 - n^2} \right).$$

Using the identity (3.24) we multiply both sides of the previous inequality by  $\pi^2/\sin^2(\pi x)$  to get the equivalent inequality

$$F_\alpha(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(n-x)^2} - \frac{1}{x^2} \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} \sum_{|n| \geq 1} \left( \frac{F_\alpha(n)}{(x-n)^2} + \frac{nF'_\alpha(n)}{x^2 - n^2} \right),$$

which we write as

$$\frac{F_\alpha(x) - 1}{x^2} + \sum_{|n| \geq 1} \frac{F_\alpha(x) - F_\alpha(n)}{(x-n)^2} \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} \sum_{|n| \geq 1} \frac{nF'_\alpha(n)}{x^2 - n^2}.$$

Note  $nF'_\alpha(n) \leq 0$ , so for  $0 \leq x \leq 1/2$ ,

$$\sum_{|n| \geq 1} \frac{nF'_\alpha(n)}{x^2 - n^2} = \sum_{|n| \geq 1} \frac{-nF'_\alpha(n)}{n^2 - x^2} \leq \sum_{|n| \geq 1} \frac{-nF'_\alpha(n)}{n^2 - (1/2)^2} = \sum_{|n| \geq 1} \frac{nF'_\alpha(n)}{(1/2)^2 - n^2},$$

so it is sufficient to show

$$\frac{F_\alpha(x) - 1}{x^2} + \sum_{|n| \geq 1} \frac{F_\alpha(x) - F_\alpha(n)}{(n-x)^2} \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} \sum_{|n| \geq 1} \frac{nF'_\alpha(n)}{(1/2)^2 - n^2}.$$

Also note

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{(n-x)^2} + \frac{1}{(n+x)^2} \right) = \frac{x^2 + n^2}{(n^2 - x^2)^2} \geq \frac{n^2}{n^4} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{(n)^2} + \frac{1}{(n)^2} \right),$$

and  $F_\alpha(n) = F_\alpha(-n)$ , so

$$\sum_{|n| \geq 1} \frac{F_\alpha(x) - F_\alpha(n)}{(n-x)^2} \geq \sum_{|n| \geq 1} \frac{F_\alpha(1/2) - F_\alpha(n)}{n^2},$$

since  $|x| \leq 1/2$ , so it is sufficient to show

$$\frac{F_\alpha(x) - 1}{x^2} + \sum_{|n| \geq 1} \frac{F_\alpha(1/2) - F_\alpha(n)}{n^2} \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} \sum_{|n| \geq 1} \frac{nF'_\alpha(n)}{(1/2)^2 - n^2}. \quad (3.35)$$

We will now show  $(F_\alpha(x) - 1)/x^2$  is decreasing in  $x$  for  $x \in [0, 1/2]$ . Differentiating,

$$\partial_x \left( \frac{F_\alpha(x) - 1}{x^2} \right) = \frac{F'_\alpha(x)x^2 - 2x(F_\alpha(x) - 1)}{x^4},$$

so we have to show  $F'_\alpha(x)x \leq 2(F_\alpha(x) - 1)$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 1/2$  to show what we want. From (3.4)  $x F'_\alpha(x) = -\alpha F_\alpha(x)(1 - F_\alpha(x)) = \alpha F_\alpha(x)(F_\alpha(x) - 1)$ , so it's equivalent to show  $F_\alpha(x) \geq 2/\alpha$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 1/2$ , so  $F_\alpha(1/2) \geq 2/\alpha$ . From Proposition 3.3 we know  $s_\alpha \geq 1$ , so it's sufficient to show

$$\frac{1}{1 + (1/2)^\alpha} \geq \frac{2}{\alpha},$$

which holds for  $\alpha \geq 6$ . This means  $(F_\alpha(x) - 1)/x^2$  is decreasing in  $x$  for  $x \in [0, 1/2]$ , and so

$$\frac{F_\alpha(x) - 1}{x^2} \geq 4(F_\alpha(1/2) - 1).$$

Plugging this into (3.35), it is then sufficient to show

$$4(F_\alpha(1/2) - 1) + \sum_{|n| \geq 1} \frac{F_\alpha(1/2) - F_\alpha(n)}{n^2} \geq \sum_{\text{wts}} \sum_{|n| \geq 1} \frac{nF'_\alpha(n)}{(1/2)^2 - n^2} \quad (3.36)$$

to prove (3.35). We show this is true for  $\alpha \geq 12$ , and then verify it numerically for  $\alpha = 6, 8, 10$ .

*Proof of (3.36) for  $\alpha \geq 12$ .* We want to show

$$4(F_\alpha(1/2) - 1) + \sum_{|n| \geq 1} \frac{F_\alpha(1/2) - F_\alpha(n)}{n^2} \geq \sum_{\text{wts}} \sum_{|n| \geq 1} \frac{nF'_\alpha(n)}{(1/2)^2 - n^2}.$$

We write this as

$$(F_\alpha(1/2) - 1) \left( 4 + \sum_{|n| \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^2} \right) + \sum_{|n| \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^2} \geq \sum_{\text{wts}} \sum_{|n| \geq 1} \frac{F_\alpha(n)}{n^2} + \sum_{|n| \geq 1} \frac{nF'_\alpha(n)}{(1/2)^2 - n^2},$$

so we want to show

$$(F_\alpha(1/2) - 1) \left( 4 + \frac{\pi^2}{3} \right) + \frac{\pi^2}{3} \geq \sum_{\text{wts}} \sum_{n=1} \frac{2F_\alpha(n)}{n^2} + \sum_{n=1} \frac{2nF'_\alpha(n)}{(1/2)^2 - n^2}.$$

From Proposition 3.4,  $s_\alpha^\alpha \leq 2\alpha$ , so

$$F_\alpha(1/2) - 1 = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{s_\alpha^\alpha}{2^\alpha}} - 1 \geq \frac{1}{1 + \frac{2\alpha}{2^\alpha}} - 1 = \frac{2^\alpha}{2^\alpha + 2\alpha} - 1 = -\frac{2\alpha}{2^\alpha + 2\alpha} \geq -\frac{\alpha}{2^{\alpha-1}},$$

so it is sufficient to show

$$-\frac{2\alpha}{2^\alpha} \left( 4 + \frac{\pi^2}{3} \right) + \frac{\pi^2}{3} \geq 2F_\alpha(1) + 2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{F_\alpha(n)}{n^2} - \frac{2F'_\alpha(1)}{1 - (1/2)^2} + 2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{-nF'_\alpha(n)}{n^2 - (1/2)^2},$$

or

$$\frac{\pi^2}{3} \geq \frac{2\alpha}{2^\alpha} \left( 4 + \frac{\pi^2}{3} \right) + 2F_\alpha(1) - \frac{8F'_\alpha(1)}{3} + 2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{F_\alpha(n)}{n^2} - \frac{nF'_\alpha(n)}{n^2 - (1/2)^2} \right).$$

Using the bounds on  $F_\alpha(1)$ ,  $F'_\alpha(1)$  in Proposition 3.4, it is sufficient to show

$$\frac{\pi^2}{3} \geq \frac{2\alpha}{2^\alpha} \left( 4 + \frac{\pi^2}{3} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha - 2} + \frac{4\alpha}{3\alpha - 6} + 2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{F_\alpha(n)}{n^2} - \frac{nF'_\alpha(n)}{n^2 - (1/2)^2} \right). \quad (3.37)$$

From (3.4),  $-nF'_\alpha(n) \leq \alpha F_\alpha(n)$ , so

$$2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{F_\alpha(n)}{n^2} - \frac{nF'_\alpha(n)}{n^2 - (1/2)^2} \right) \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 2 \left( \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{\alpha}{n^2 - (1/2)^2} \right) F_\alpha(n),$$

and Proposition 3.4 implies  $F_\alpha(n) \leq \alpha^{-1}n^{-\alpha}$ , so

$$2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{F_\alpha(n)}{n^2} - \frac{nF'_\alpha(n)}{n^2 - (1/2)^2} \right) \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 2 \left( \frac{\alpha + 1}{(n^2 - (1/2)^2)^\alpha} \right) \frac{1}{n^\alpha} \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^\alpha} \leq \frac{1}{2^\alpha} \left( \frac{\alpha + 1}{\alpha - 1} \right) \leq \frac{2}{2^\alpha},$$

for  $\alpha \geq 12$ , from Lemma 3.1. Plugging this into (3.37), it is sufficient to show

$$\frac{\pi^2}{3} \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} \frac{1}{\alpha - 2} + \frac{4\alpha}{3\alpha - 6} + \frac{2}{2^\alpha} \left( 1 + \alpha \left( 4 + \frac{\pi^2}{3} \right) \right),$$

which we can verify holds for  $\alpha \geq 12$ .  $\square$

So it remains to show (3.36) for  $\alpha = 6, 8, 10$ , which we phrase in the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.11.** *Let  $\alpha \in \{6, 8, 10\}$ . Then*

$$4(F_\alpha(1/2) - 1) + \sum_{|n| \geq 1} \frac{F_\alpha(1/2) - F_\alpha(n)}{n^2} \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} \sum_{|n| \geq 1} \frac{nF'_\alpha(n)}{(1/2)^2 - n^2}.$$

We verify this numerically in Section 4. This takes care of the  $\eta(x) = 0$  case. We now consider  $\eta(x) \geq 1$ .

**3.3.3. Proving (3.34) for  $\eta(x) = 1$ .** We now move on to the case where  $\eta(x) = 1$ , and show (3.34) holds when  $\alpha$  is big enough. So in this case, we want to show with  $x = 1 + t$ ,

$$F_\alpha(1+t) - \frac{\sin^2(\pi t)}{\pi^2 t^2} (F_\alpha(1) + tF'_\alpha(1)) \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} \frac{\sin^2(\pi t)}{\pi^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{1\}} \left( \frac{F_\alpha(n)}{(1+t-n)^2} + \frac{F'_\alpha(n)}{1+t-n} \right), \quad (3.38)$$

for  $|t| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . We multiply both sides by  $\pi^2/\sin^2(\pi t)$  using (3.24) to get the equivalent inequality

$$F_\alpha(1+t) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(n-t)^2} - \frac{F_\alpha(1) + tF'_\alpha(1)}{t^2} \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{1\}} \left( \frac{F_\alpha(n)}{(1+t-n)^2} + \frac{F'_\alpha(n)}{1+t-n} \right),$$

or

$$\frac{F_\alpha(1+t) - F_\alpha(1) - tF'_\alpha(1)}{t^2} + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{F_\alpha(1+t)}{(n-t)^2} \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{1\}} \left( \frac{F_\alpha(n)}{(1+t-n)^2} + \frac{F'_\alpha(n)}{1+t-n} \right),$$

for  $|t| \leq 1/2$ . We pull out the dominant terms in the right-hand side. Let

$$\mathcal{B}(\alpha, t) = \sum_{|n| \geq 2} \left( \frac{F_\alpha(n)}{(1+t-n)^2} + \frac{F'_\alpha(n)}{1+t-n} \right), \quad (3.39)$$

so we want to show

$$\frac{F_\alpha(1+t) - F_\alpha(1) - tF'_\alpha(1)}{t^2} + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{F_\alpha(1+t)}{(n-t)^2} \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} \frac{1}{(1+t)^2} + \frac{F_\alpha(1)}{(2+t)^2} - \frac{F'_\alpha(1)}{(2+t)} + \mathcal{B}(\alpha, t) \quad (3.40)$$

for  $|t| \leq 1/2$ . We do this in two stages. We prove this for  $\alpha \geq 1000$ , and verify this numerically for  $\alpha \leq 1000$ .

*Proof of (3.40) for  $\alpha \geq 1000$ .* We start by getting an upper bound for  $\mathcal{B}(\alpha, t)$ . From (3.4),  $|F'_\alpha(n)| \leq \alpha F_\alpha(n)$  for  $n \geq 1$  and the bound on  $s_\alpha$  in Proposition 3.4 implies  $F_\alpha(n) \leq \alpha^{-1}n^{-\alpha}$ , so if  $|n| \geq 2$ ,  $|t| \leq 1/2$ , then  $|1+t-n| \geq |n| - 3/2$  so

$$|\mathcal{B}(\alpha, t)| \leq 2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{(n-3/2)^2} + \frac{\alpha}{n-3/2} \right) F_\alpha(n) \leq 2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{4+2\alpha}{\alpha} \right) \frac{1}{n^\alpha} \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{5}{n^\alpha} \leq \frac{5}{2^\alpha} \left( \frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha-1} \right) \leq \frac{10}{2^\alpha}$$

for  $\alpha \geq 1000$  and from Lemma 3.1, so it is sufficient to show for  $|t| \leq 1/2$ ,

$$\frac{F_\alpha(1+t) - F_\alpha(1) - tF'_\alpha(1)}{t^2} + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{F_\alpha(1+t)}{(n-t)^2} \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} \frac{1}{(1+t)^2} + \frac{F_\alpha(1)}{(2+t)^2} - \frac{F'_\alpha(1)}{2+t} + \frac{10}{2^\alpha}. \quad (3.41)$$

We split this into two cases,  $t \geq 0$  and  $t < 0$ :

**Case 1: Showing (3.41) when  $t \in [0, 1/2]$ .**

Here we consider two further subcases. We first suppose:

Case 1.1: Showing (3.41) when  $t \in [0, 1/2]$  and  $\alpha F_\alpha(1+t) \geq 10^{-2}$ . Here we use the mean value theorem on the left-hand side of (3.41), so it is then sufficient to show

$$\frac{1}{2} \min_{s \in [0, t]} F''_\alpha(1+s) + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{F_\alpha(1+t)}{(n-t)^2} \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} \frac{1}{(1+t)^2} + \frac{F_\alpha(1)}{(2+t)^2} - \frac{F'_\alpha(1)}{2+t} + \frac{10}{2^\alpha}. \quad (3.42)$$

From (3.5),

$$F''_\alpha(x) = \alpha F_\alpha(x) \left( \frac{1 - F_\alpha(x)}{x^2} \right) (\alpha(1 - 2F_\alpha(x)) + 1),$$

so for  $s \in [0, t] \subset [0, 1/2]$ ,

$$F''_\alpha(1+s) \geq \alpha^2 F_\alpha(1+s) \left( \frac{1 - F_\alpha(1)}{(1+s)^2} \right) (1 - 2F_\alpha(1)) \geq \frac{4\alpha^2}{9} F_\alpha(1+s) (1 - F_\alpha(1)) (1 - 2F_\alpha(1)).$$

Since we are assuming  $\alpha F_\alpha(1+t) \geq 10^{-2}$ , then  $\alpha F_\alpha(1+s) \geq 10^{-2}$  since  $s \in [0, t]$ , so this implies

$$F''_\alpha(1+s) \geq \frac{4\alpha \cdot 10^{-2}}{9} (1 - F_\alpha(1)) (1 - 2F_\alpha(1)) \geq \frac{4\alpha \cdot 10^{-2}}{9} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha - 4} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha - 2} \right),$$

using the bounds of  $F_\alpha(1)$  in Proposition 3.4. Taking  $\alpha \geq 1000$ , we get

$$\frac{1}{2} F''_\alpha(1+s) \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{40}{9} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2000 - 4} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{1000 - 2} \right) > 2.21.$$

Going back to (3.42), it is then sufficient to show

$$2.21 \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} \frac{1}{(1+t)^2} + \frac{F_\alpha(1)}{(2+t)^2} - \frac{F'_\alpha(1)}{2+t} + \frac{10}{2^\alpha}.$$

Using the bounds on  $F_\alpha(1)$  and  $F'_\alpha(1)$  in Proposition 3.4, we see that if  $\alpha \geq 1000$ , then

$$F_\alpha(1) \leq \frac{1}{2000 - 4}, \quad -F'_\alpha(1) \leq \frac{1000}{2000 - 4},$$

so if  $t \in [0, 1/2]$ , then

$$\frac{1}{(1+t)^2} + \frac{F_\alpha(1)}{(2+t)^2} - \frac{F'_\alpha(1)}{2+t} + \frac{10}{2^\alpha} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{4 \cdot 1996} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1000}{1996} + \frac{10}{2^{1000}} \approx 1.251 < 2.21,$$

which takes care of (3.41) for  $\alpha \geq 1000$ , in this case.

Case 1.2: Showing (3.41) when  $t \in [0, 1/2]$  and  $\alpha F_\alpha(1+t) < 10^{-2}$ . We throw away the non-negative terms in the left-hand side of (3.41) and instead we will show

$$-\frac{F_\alpha(1)}{t^2} - \frac{F'_\alpha(1)}{t} \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} \frac{1}{(1+t)^2} + \frac{F_\alpha(1)}{(2+t)^2} - \frac{F'_\alpha(1)}{2+t} + \frac{10}{2^\alpha},$$

or equivalently,

$$-\frac{2F'_\alpha(1)}{t(2+t)} \geq \frac{1}{(1+t)^2} + F_\alpha(1) \left( \frac{1}{t^2} + \frac{1}{(2+t)^2} \right) + \frac{10}{2^\alpha}.$$

From the bounds on  $F_\alpha(1)$  and  $F'_\alpha(1)$  in Proposition 3.4, it is sufficient to show

$$\frac{1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha-4}}{t(2+t)} \geq \frac{1}{(1+t)^2} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{t^2} + \frac{1}{(2+t)^2} \right) + \frac{10}{2^\alpha}. \quad (3.43)$$

In showing this inequality, we consider two further subcases:

Case 1.2.1: Showing (3.43) when  $t \in (0.15, 1/2]$  and  $\alpha F_\alpha(1+t) < 10^{-2}$ . In this case it is sufficient to show

$$\frac{1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha-4}}{t(2+t)} - \frac{1}{(1+t)^2} \geq \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{0.15^2} + \frac{1}{2.15^2} \right) + \frac{10}{2^\alpha}.$$

Let  $u = (t+1)^2$  and note  $u \leq 9/4$ , so

$$\frac{1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha-4}}{t(2+t)} - \frac{1}{(1+t)^2} = \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha-4}}{u-1} - \frac{1}{u} = \frac{1 - \frac{u}{2\alpha-4}}{u(u-1)} \geq \frac{1 - \frac{2}{\alpha-2}}{(9/4)(5/4)},$$

so it is sufficient to show

$$\frac{16}{45} \geq \frac{2}{\alpha-2} \frac{16}{45} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{0.15^2} + \frac{1}{2.15^2} \right) + \frac{10}{2^\alpha}.$$

Since we are considering  $\alpha \geq 1000$ , we note

$$\frac{2}{\alpha-2} \frac{16}{45} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{0.15^2} + \frac{1}{2.15^2} \right) + \frac{10}{2^\alpha} \leq \frac{2}{998} \frac{16}{45} + \frac{1}{1000} \left( \frac{1}{0.15^2} + \frac{1}{2.15^2} \right) + \frac{10}{2^{1000}} \approx 0.0454 < \frac{16}{45},$$

which shows (3.43).

Case 1.2.2: Showing (3.43) when  $t \in [0, 0.15]$  and  $\alpha F_\alpha(1+t) < 10^{-2}$ . The inequality  $\alpha F_\alpha(1+t) < 10^{-2}$  can be written explicitly as

$$\frac{\alpha}{1 + s_\alpha^\alpha (1+t)^\alpha} < 10^{-2}.$$

From Proposition 3.4,  $s_\alpha \leq 2\alpha$  if  $\alpha \geq 12$ , so the above inequality implies

$$\frac{\alpha}{1 + 2\alpha(1+t)^\alpha} < 10^{-2} \implies 2(1+t)^\alpha + \alpha^{-1} > 100 \implies 1+t > \left( 50 - \frac{1}{2\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}.$$

Let  $g : [0, 0.5] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be given by

$$g(x) = \left( 50 - \frac{x}{2} \right)^x,$$

so the above chain is saying that

$$1+t > g(\alpha^{-1}). \quad (3.44)$$

We are going to show  $g'' \geq 0$ . Taking the log of both sides of the expression for  $g(x)$  and differentiating, we get

$$g'(x) = g(x) \left( \log \left( 50 - \frac{x}{2} \right) - \frac{x}{100-x} \right) = g(x) \left( \log \left( 50 - \frac{x}{2} \right) + 1 + \frac{100}{x-100} \right), \quad (3.45)$$

and differentiating this expression,

$$g''(x) = g(x) \left( \log \left( 50 - \frac{x}{2} \right) - \frac{x}{100-x} \right)^2 - g(x) \left( \frac{1}{100-x} + \frac{100}{(x-100)^2} \right).$$

To show  $g'' \geq 0$ , it is then sufficient to show

$$\left( \log \left( 50 - \frac{x}{2} \right) - \frac{x}{100-x} \right)^2 \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} \frac{1}{100-x} + \frac{100}{(x-100)^2}.$$

Since we are considering  $x \in (0, 0.5)$ , note that

$$\frac{1}{100-x} + \frac{100}{(x-100)^2} \leq \frac{1}{100-1/2} + \frac{100}{(100-1/2)^2} = \frac{2}{199} + \frac{400}{199^2},$$

and

$$\left( \log \left( 50 - \frac{x}{2} \right) - \frac{x}{100-x} \right)^2 \geq \left( \log \left( 50 - \frac{1}{4} \right) - \frac{1/2}{100-1/2} \right)^2 > \frac{2}{199} + \frac{400}{199^2},$$

which shows  $g'' \geq 0$ . In that case, since  $g$  is convex and  $\alpha^{-1} < 0.5$ , (3.44) implies

$$1+t > g(0) + g'(0)\alpha^{-1}.$$

$g(0) = 1$ , and from (3.45),  $g'(0) = \log(50)$ , so we get  $1+t > 1 + \alpha^{-1} \log(50)$ , so  $\alpha t > \log(50)$ . In that case, going back to (3.43), it is sufficient to show

$$\frac{1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha-4}}{t(2+t)} \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} \frac{1}{(1+t)^2} + \frac{1}{t \log(50)} + \frac{1}{\alpha(2+t)^2} + \frac{10}{2^\alpha},$$

for  $0 \leq t \leq 0.15$ , or equivalently,

$$\frac{1}{t} \left( \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha-4}}{2+t} - \frac{1}{\log(50)} \right) \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} \frac{1}{(1+t)^2} + \frac{1}{\alpha(2+t)^2} + \frac{10}{2^\alpha}. \quad (3.46)$$

This we can verify readily. Since  $\alpha \geq 1000$ ,  $t \in [0, 0.15]$ , we bound the right-hand side by

$$\frac{1}{(1+t)^2} + \frac{1}{\alpha(2+t)^2} + \frac{10}{2^\alpha} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{4000} + \frac{10}{2^{1000}} < 1.0003.$$

Similarly for the left-hand side,

$$\frac{1}{t} \left( \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha-4}}{2+t} - \frac{1}{\log(50)} \right) \geq \frac{1}{0.15} \left( \frac{1 - \frac{1}{1996}}{2.15} - \frac{1}{\log(50)} \right) > 1.0003,$$

which shows (3.46). This completes the proof for of (3.41) for  $t \geq 0$ .

**Case 2: Showing** (3.41) when  $t \in [-1/2, 0]$ . Recall the inequality we want to show, (3.41):

$$\frac{F_\alpha(1+t) - F_\alpha(1) - tF'_\alpha(1)}{t^2} + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{F_\alpha(1+t)}{(n-t)^2} \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} \frac{1}{(1+t)^2} + \frac{F_\alpha(1)}{(2+t)^2} - \frac{F'_\alpha(1)}{(2+t)} + \frac{10}{2^\alpha}.$$

We first suppose:

Case 2.1: Showing (3.41) when  $t \in [-1, 2, 0]$  and  $F_\alpha(1+t) < 0.48$ . By the mean value theorem,

$$\frac{F_\alpha(1+t) - F_\alpha(1) - tF'_\alpha(1)}{t^2} \geq \frac{1}{2} \min_{s \in [t, 0]} F''_\alpha(1+s),$$



so it is sufficient to show

$$\frac{1}{2} \min_{s \in [t, 0]} F''_{\alpha}(1+s) + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{F_{\alpha}(1+t)}{(n-t)^2} \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} \frac{1}{(1+t)^2} + \frac{F_{\alpha}(1)}{(2+t)^2} - \frac{F'_{\alpha}(1)}{2+t} + \frac{10}{2\alpha}. \quad (3.47)$$

From (3.5), we know

$$F''_{\alpha}(1+s) = \alpha F_{\alpha}(1+s) \left( \frac{1 - F_{\alpha}(1+s)}{(1+s)^2} \right) (\alpha(1 - 2F_{\alpha}(1+s)) + 1).$$

If  $F_{\alpha}(1+t) < 0.48$  and  $1 > 1+s > 1+t$ , then  $F_{\alpha}(1) \leq F_{\alpha}(1+s) < 0.48$ , so

$$F''_{\alpha}(1+s) \geq \alpha^2 F_{\alpha}(1)(1-0.48)(1-2 \cdot 0.48) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2}(1-0.48)(1-2 \cdot 0.48),$$

since  $F_{\alpha}(1) \geq 1/2\alpha$  from Proposition 3.4. Note  $(1-0.48)(1-2 \cdot 0.48) = 0.0208$ , so to show (3.47), it is sufficient to show

$$0.0052\alpha \geq \frac{1}{\text{wts}} \frac{1}{(1+t)^2} + \frac{F_{\alpha}(1)}{(2+t)^2} - \frac{F'_{\alpha}(1)}{2+t} + \frac{10}{2\alpha}. \quad (3.48)$$

We know  $F_{\alpha}(1) \leq 1/(2\alpha-4)$  and  $-F'_{\alpha}(1) \leq \alpha/(2\alpha-4)$ , from Proposition 3.4, so if  $\alpha \geq 1000$  and  $t \in [-1/2, 0]$ , then

$$\frac{1}{(1+t)^2} + \frac{F_{\alpha}(1)}{(2+t)^2} - \frac{F'_{\alpha}(1)}{2+t} + \frac{10}{2\alpha} \leq 4 + \frac{4}{9} \cdot \frac{1}{1996} + \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{1000}{1996} + \frac{10}{2^{1000}} < 5.$$

If  $\alpha \geq 1000$ , then  $0.0052\alpha \geq 5.2$ , so this shows (3.48), and takes care of Case 2.1.

Case 2.2: Showing (3.41) when  $t \in [-1/2, 0]$  and  $F_{\alpha}(1+t) \geq 0.48$ . Recall the inequality we want to show, (3.41):

$$\frac{F_{\alpha}(1+t) - F_{\alpha}(1) - tF'_{\alpha}(1)}{t^2} + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{F_{\alpha}(1+t)}{(n-t)^2} \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} \frac{1}{(1+t)^2} + \frac{F_{\alpha}(1)}{(2+t)^2} - \frac{F'_{\alpha}(1)}{2+t} + \frac{10}{2\alpha}.$$

From Proposition 3.4 we may deduce  $F_{\alpha}(1) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}$ ,  $F'_{\alpha}(1) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2\alpha-4}$ , and since we are considering  $t \in [-1/2, 0]$ , we can instead show

$$\frac{F_{\alpha}(1+t) - F_{\alpha}(1) - tF'_{\alpha}(1)}{t^2} + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{F_{\alpha}(1+t)}{(n-t)^2} \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} \frac{1}{(1+t)^2} + \frac{4}{9\alpha} + \frac{\frac{\alpha}{2\alpha-4}}{2+t} + \frac{10}{2\alpha}. \quad (3.49)$$

We start by showing that

$$F_{\alpha}(1+t) - F_{\alpha}(1) - tF'_{\alpha}(1) \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} 0.$$

for  $-\frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 0$ . Suppose  $t$  is such that  $F_{\alpha}(1+t) < 1/2$ . Then by the mean value theorem,

$$\frac{F_{\alpha}(1+t) - F_{\alpha}(1) - tF'_{\alpha}(1)}{t^2} = \frac{1}{2} F''_{\alpha}(1+s)$$

for some  $s \in [t, 0]$ , and if  $s \geq t$ , then  $F_{\alpha}(1+s) \leq F_{\alpha}(1+t) < 1/2$ , so from (3.5),  $F''_{\alpha}(1+s) \geq 0$ , and so

$$F_{\alpha}(1+t) - F_{\alpha}(1) - tF'_{\alpha}(1) \geq 0.$$

If on the other hand  $t \in [-1/2, 0]$  is such that  $F_{\alpha}(1+t) \geq 1/2$ , then from the bounds of  $F_{\alpha}(1)$ ,  $F'_{\alpha}(1)$  in Proposition 3.4,

$$F_{\alpha}(1+t) - F_{\alpha}(1) - tF'_{\alpha}(1) \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\alpha-4} - \frac{\alpha}{4\alpha-8} \geq 0,$$

since  $|t| \leq 1/2$ , for  $\alpha \geq 1000$  and so  $F_\alpha(1+t) - F_\alpha(1) - tF'_\alpha(1) \geq 0$  for  $t \in [-1/2, 0]$ . This means it is sufficient to show

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{F_\alpha(1+t)}{(n-t)^2} \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} \frac{1}{(1+t)^2} + \frac{4}{9\alpha} + \frac{\frac{\alpha}{2\alpha-4}}{2+t} + \frac{10}{2^\alpha} \quad (3.50)$$

to show (3.49). To show this inequality we consider two further subcases:

Case 2.2.1: Showing (3.50) when  $t \in [-1/2, -0.01]$  and  $F_\alpha(1+t) \geq 0.48$ . We symmetrise the left-hand side of (3.50), so we want to show

$$F_\alpha(1+t) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{n^2 + t^2}{(n^2 - t^2)^2} \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} \frac{1}{(1+t)^2} + \frac{4}{9\alpha} + \frac{\frac{\alpha}{2\alpha-4}}{2+t} + \frac{10}{2^\alpha}. \quad (3.51)$$

We first consider  $-0.38 \leq t < -0.01$ , in which case we have

$$F_\alpha(1+t) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{n^2 + t^2}{(n^2 - t^2)^2} \geq F_\alpha(0.99) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2 F_\alpha(0.99)}{3},$$

and

$$\frac{1}{(1+t)^2} + \frac{4}{9\alpha} + \frac{\frac{\alpha}{2\alpha-4}}{2+t} + \frac{10}{2^\alpha} \leq \frac{1}{0.62^2} + \frac{4}{9000} + \frac{\frac{1000}{2000-4}}{2-0.38} + \frac{10}{2^{1000}},$$

since  $\alpha \geq 1000$ ,  $-0.38 \leq t < -0.01$ , so it is sufficient to show

$$\frac{\pi^2 F_\alpha(0.99)}{3} \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} \frac{1}{0.62^2} + \frac{4}{9000} + \frac{\frac{1000}{2000-4}}{2-0.38} + \frac{10}{2^{1000}}.$$

Since  $s_\alpha \leq 2\alpha - 3$  from Proposition 3.4,

$$F_\alpha(0.99) = \frac{1}{1 + s_\alpha 0.99^\alpha} \geq \frac{1}{1 + 0.99^\alpha(2\alpha - 3)} \geq \frac{1}{1 + 0.99^{1000} \cdot 1997} \quad (3.52)$$

for  $\alpha \geq 1000$ . So it is enough to have

$$\frac{\pi^2}{3(1 + 0.99^{1000} \cdot 1997)} \geq \frac{1}{0.62^2} + \frac{4}{9000} + \frac{\frac{1000}{2000-4}}{2-0.38} + \frac{10}{2^{1000}},$$

which holds, and establishes (3.51) on  $[-0.38, 0.01]$ . For  $-0.48 \leq t < -0.38$ , note  $F_\alpha(1+t) \geq F_\alpha(0.99)$

$$F_\alpha(1+t) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{n^2 + t^2}{(n^2 - t^2)^2} \geq \frac{1}{1 + 0.99^{1000} \cdot 1997} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{n^2 + 0.38^2}{(n^2 - 0.38^2)^2}$$

from (3.52), and since  $t \geq -0.48$ ,

$$\frac{1}{(1+t)^2} + \frac{4}{9\alpha} + \frac{\frac{\alpha}{2\alpha-4}}{2+t} + \frac{10}{2^\alpha} \leq \frac{1}{(1-0.48)^2} + \frac{4}{9000} + \frac{\frac{1000}{2000-4}}{2-0.48} + \frac{10}{2^{1000}}.$$

We can verify that

$$\frac{1}{1 + 0.99^{1000} \cdot 1997} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, |n| \leq 1111} \frac{n^2 + 0.38^2}{(n^2 - 0.38^2)^2} \geq \frac{1}{(1-0.48)^2} + \frac{4}{9000} + \frac{\frac{1000}{2000-4}}{2-0.48} + \frac{10}{2^{1000}},$$

and so this establishes (3.51) for  $-0.38 \leq t \leq -0.48$ . For  $-1/2 \leq t \leq -0.48$ , it is pretty much the same approach:

$$F_\alpha(1+t) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{n^2 + t^2}{(n^2 - t^2)^2} \geq \frac{1}{1 + 0.99^{1000} \cdot 1997} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{n^2 + 0.48^2}{(n^2 - 0.48^2)^2}$$

from (3.52), and

$$\frac{1}{(1+t)^2} + \frac{4}{9\alpha} + \frac{\frac{\alpha}{2\alpha-4}}{2+t} + \frac{10}{2\alpha} \leq 4 + \frac{4}{9000} + \frac{\frac{1000}{2000-4}}{1.5} + \frac{10}{2^{1000}}.$$

We can verify that

$$\frac{1}{1 + 0.99^{1000} \cdot 1997} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{n^2 + 0.48^2}{(n^2 - 0.48^2)^2} \geq 4 + \frac{4}{9000} + \frac{\frac{1000}{2000-4}}{1.5} + \frac{10}{2^{1000}},$$

so this takes care of (3.51), and shows (3.50) in this case.

Case 2.2.2: Showing (3.50) when  $t \in [-0.01, 0]$  and  $F_\alpha(1+t) \geq 0.48$ . Since  $F_\alpha(1+t) \geq 0.48$ , it is then sufficient to show

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{0.48}{(n-t)^2} \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} \frac{1}{(1-0.01)^2} + \frac{4}{9\alpha} + \frac{\frac{\alpha}{2\alpha-4}}{2-0.01} + \frac{10}{2\alpha}. \quad (3.53)$$

By writing

$$\frac{1}{(n-t)^2} + \frac{1}{(n+t)^2} = \frac{2n^2 + 2t^2}{(n^2 - t^2)^2}$$

we can see that  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} (n-t)^{-2}$  is increasing in  $|t|$ , so to show (3.53), it is sufficient to show that

$$0.48 \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{n^2} = \frac{0.48\pi^2}{3} \geq \frac{1}{0.99^2} + \frac{4}{9000} + \frac{\frac{1000}{2000-4}}{2-0.01} + \frac{10}{2^{1000}},$$

which we can verify holds, and takes care of this case.

This completes the proof of (3.40), taking care of the  $\eta(x) = 1$  case.  $\square$

It remains to show (3.40) for  $\alpha \leq 1000$ , formulated in the following proposition:

**Proposition 3.12.** *Let  $6 \leq \alpha \leq 998$ ,  $\alpha$  an even integer, and let*

$$\mathcal{B}(\alpha, t) = \sum_{|n| \geq 2} \left( \frac{F_\alpha(n)}{(1+t-n)^2} + \frac{F'_\alpha(n)}{1+t-n} \right).$$

Then

$$\frac{F_\alpha(1+t) - F_\alpha(1) - tF'_\alpha(1)}{t^2} + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{F_\alpha(1+t)}{(n-t)^2} \geq \frac{1}{(1+t)^2} + \frac{F_\alpha(1)}{(2+t)^2} - \frac{F'_\alpha(1)}{(2+t)} + \mathcal{B}(\alpha, t)$$

for  $|t| \leq 1/2$ .

We verify this numerically in Section 4. This takes care of the  $\eta(x) = 1$  case. It remains to show (3.34) for  $\eta(x) \geq 2$  to complete the proof of  $\psi_\alpha(x) \leq F_\alpha(x)$ , and hence Theorem 1.5.

3.3.4. *Proving (3.34) for  $\eta(x) \geq 2$ :* We go back to the inequality we want to show, (3.34):

$$F_\alpha(x) - \frac{\sin^2(\pi t)}{\pi^2 t^2} (F_\alpha(\eta(x)) + tF'_\alpha(\eta(x))) \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} \frac{\sin^2(\pi t)}{\pi^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{\eta(x)\}} \left( \frac{F_\alpha(n)}{(x-n)^2} + \frac{F'_\alpha(n)}{x-n} \right). \quad (3.54)$$

We start by showing

$$F_\alpha(x) - \frac{\sin^2(\pi t)}{\pi^2 t^2} (F_\alpha(\eta(x)) + tF'_\alpha(\eta(x))) \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} 0,$$

where  $x = t + \eta(x)$ . This is clearly true if  $F_\alpha(\eta(x)) + tF'_\alpha(\eta(x)) \leq 0$ , so we consider  $F_\alpha(\eta(x)) + tF'_\alpha(\eta(x)) > 0$ , in which case we write the inequality as

$$F_\alpha(\eta(x) + t) - F_\alpha(\eta(x)) - tF'_\alpha(\eta(x)) + \left(1 - \frac{\sin^2(\pi t)}{\pi^2 t^2}\right) (F_\alpha(\eta(x)) + tF'_\alpha(\eta(x))) \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} 0,$$

so it is sufficient to show

$$F_\alpha(\eta(x) + t) - F_\alpha(\eta(x)) - tF'_\alpha(\eta(x)) \underset{\text{wts}}{\geq} 0,$$

when  $F_\alpha(\eta(x)) + tF'_\alpha(\eta(x)) > 0$ . By the mean value theorem, we know

$$F_\alpha(\eta(x) + t) - F_\alpha(\eta(x)) - tF'_\alpha(\eta(x)) \geq \frac{1}{2} \min_{|t| \leq \frac{1}{2}} F''_\alpha(\eta(x) + t).$$

Now if  $\eta(x) \geq 2$  and  $|t| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $\eta(x) + t \geq 2 - 1/2 = 3/2$  and so  $F_\alpha(\eta(x) + t) \leq F_\alpha(3/2) < 1/2$ . From the formula for  $F''_\alpha$  in (3.5), this means  $F''_\alpha(\eta(x) + t) \geq 0$ , and so

$$F_\alpha(\eta(x) + t) - F_\alpha(\eta(x)) - tF'_\alpha(\eta(x)) \geq 0,$$

as desired. This shows what we wanted, that

$$F_\alpha(x) - \frac{\sin^2(\pi t)}{\pi^2 t^2} (F_\alpha(\eta(x)) + tF'_\alpha(\eta(x))) \geq 0,$$

where  $x = \eta(x) + t$ . So to show (3.54), it is sufficient to show

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{\eta(x)\}} \left( \frac{F_\alpha(n)}{(x-n)^2} + \frac{F'_\alpha(n)}{x-n} \right) \underset{\text{wts}}{\leq} 0. \quad (3.55)$$

We pull out the  $n = -\eta(x)$  term in (3.55), so it is equivalent to show

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \neq \eta(x)}} \left( \frac{F_\alpha(n)}{(x-n)^2} + \frac{F'_\alpha(n)}{x-n} \right) \underset{\text{wts}}{\leq} - \left( \frac{F_\alpha(\eta(x))}{(x+\eta(x))^2} - \frac{F'_\alpha(\eta(x))}{x+\eta(x)} \right).$$

We symmetrise the left-hand side, writing

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \neq \eta(x)}} \left( \frac{F_\alpha(n)}{(x-n)^2} + \frac{F'_\alpha(n)}{x-n} \right) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \neq \eta(x)}} \left( F_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{1}{(x-n)^2} + \frac{1}{(x+n)^2} \right) + F'_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{1}{x-n} - \frac{1}{x+n} \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \neq \eta(x)}} \left( F_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{x^2 + n^2}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} \right) + \frac{nF'_\alpha(n)}{x^2 - n^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $k = \eta(x)$ , so we want to show

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \neq k}} \left( F_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{x^2 + n^2}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} \right) + \frac{nF'_\alpha(n)}{x^2 - n^2} \right) \underset{\text{wts}}{\leq} - \left( \frac{F_\alpha(k)}{(x+k)^2} - \frac{F'_\alpha(k)}{x+k} \right). \quad (3.56)$$

We use (2.9), which says  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (F_\alpha(n) + nF'_\alpha(n)) = 0$ , which implies

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \neq k}} \left( \frac{F_\alpha(n)}{x^2} + \frac{nF'_\alpha(n)}{x^2} \right) = -\frac{2F_\alpha(k) + 2kF'_\alpha(k)}{x^2}.$$

We then subtract this from both sides of (3.56) to get the equivalent inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \neq k}} \left( F_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{x^2 + n^2}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} - \frac{1}{x^2} \right) + n F'_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{1}{x^2 - n^2} - \frac{1}{x^2} \right) \right) \\ & \leq_{wts} F_\alpha(k) \left( \frac{2}{x^2} - \frac{1}{(x+k)^2} \right) + F'_\alpha(k) \left( \frac{2k}{x^2} + \frac{1}{x+k} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides by  $x^2$ , we see that it is equivalent to prove the following lemma to show (3.55):

**Lemma 3.13.** *Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer, with  $k = \eta(x)$ , so  $|k - x| \leq 1/2$ . Then*

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \neq k}} \left( F_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{3x^2 n^2 - n^4}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} \right) + \frac{n^3 F'_\alpha(n)}{x^2 - n^2} \right) \leq F_\alpha(k) \left( 2 - \frac{x^2}{(x+k)^2} \right) + F'_\alpha(k) \left( 2k + \frac{x^2}{x+k} \right).$$

This is equivalent to (3.55)

For  $\alpha = 6, 8, 10, 12$ , we prove this holds when  $x \geq 8$ , and instead verify (3.55) directly for  $1.5 \leq x \leq 8$  numerically. For  $\alpha \geq 14$ , we prove it in full. We start with when  $\alpha \leq 12$ . Our analysis is aided by the following estimate:

**Lemma 3.14.** *Let  $m > 1$ ,  $j \geq 1$  be reals, and  $x \geq 2$ . Then*

$$\sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq \eta(x)}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^m |x^2 - n^2|^j} \leq \frac{4^j}{(m-1)x^{2j}} \left( \frac{m}{3^j} + \frac{m-1+3x/2}{5^j(3x/2)^m} \right) + \frac{4^j(m-1+x/2)}{(3x)^j(x/2)^m(m-1)}.$$

*Proof.* We split the sum based on how close  $n$  is to  $\eta(x)$ :

$$\sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq \eta(x)}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^m |x^2 - n^2|^j} = \sum_{n \leq x/2} \frac{1}{n^m |x^2 - n^2|^j} + \sum_{\substack{x/2 < n < 3x/2 \\ n \neq \eta(x)}} \frac{1}{n^m |x^2 - n^2|^j} + \sum_{n \geq 3x/2} \frac{1}{n^m |x^2 - n^2|^j}.$$

Note  $n \leq x/2$  implies  $x^2 - n^2 \geq 3x^2/4$ , and  $n \geq 3x/2$  implies  $n^2 - x^2 \geq 5x^2/4$ , so

$$\sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq \eta(x)}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^m |x^2 - n^2|^j} \leq \frac{4^j}{3^j x^{2j}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^m} + \sum_{\substack{x/2 < n < 3x/2 \\ n \neq \eta(x)}} \frac{1}{n^m |x^2 - n^2|^j} + \frac{4^j}{5^j x^{2j}} \sum_{n \geq 3x/2} \frac{1}{n^m}.$$

We use Lemma 3.1, which says for  $\beta > 1$ ,  $k > 0$

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq k} \frac{1}{n^\beta} \leq \frac{1}{k^\beta} \left( \frac{\beta + k - 1}{\beta - 1} \right),$$

on the sums in the right-hand side above to get

$$\sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq \eta(x)}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^m |x^2 - n^2|^j} \leq \frac{4^j m}{3^j (m-1) x^{2j}} + \frac{4^j (m-1+3x/2)}{5^j x^{2j} (3x/2)^m (m-1)} + \sum_{\substack{x/2 < n < 3x/2 \\ n \neq \eta(x)}} \frac{1}{n^m |x^2 - n^2|^j}. \quad (3.57)$$

For the last sum, note  $n \neq \eta(x)$  means  $|n - x| \geq 1/2$ , so

$$\sum_{\substack{x/2 < n < 3x/2 \\ n \neq \eta(x)}} \frac{1}{n^m |x - n|^j |x + n|^j} \leq \frac{2^j}{(3x/2)^j} \sum_{n \geq x/2} \frac{1}{n^m} \leq \frac{4^j (m-1 + x/2)}{(3x)^j (x/2)^m (m-1)},$$

using Lemma 3.1. Plugging this into the bound in (3.57) implies

$$\sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq \eta(x)}} \frac{1}{n^m |x^2 - n^2|^j} \leq \frac{4^j}{(m-1)x^{2j}} \left( \frac{m}{3^j} + \frac{m-1+3x/2}{5^j (3x/2)^m} \right) + \frac{4^j (m-1+x/2)}{(3x)^j (x/2)^m (m-1)},$$

as desired.  $\square$

With this established, we are ready to start proving Lemma 3.13.

*Proof of Lemma 3.13 for  $6 \leq \alpha \leq 12$ ,  $x \geq 8$ .* Since  $\eta(x) = k \geq 2$ ,  $x^2/(x+k) \leq k$ , and  $x^2/(x+k)^2 \leq 1$ , so

$$F_\alpha(k) \left( 2 - \frac{x^2}{(x+k)^2} \right) + F'_\alpha(k) \left( 2k + \frac{x^2}{x+k} \right) \geq 3kF'_\alpha(k),$$

so it is sufficient to show

$$L_\alpha(x) := \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \neq k}} \left( F_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{3x^2n^2 - n^4}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} \right) + \frac{n^3 F'_\alpha(n)}{x^2 - n^2} \right) \stackrel{\text{wts}}{\leq} 3kF'_\alpha(k). \quad (3.58)$$

We write

$$\begin{aligned} L_\alpha(x) &= \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \neq k}} \left( n^2 F_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{3(x^2 - n^2) + 2n^2}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} \right) + \frac{n^3 F'_\alpha(n)}{x^2 - n^2} \right) \\ &= \sum_{|n| \neq k} \frac{3n^2 F_\alpha(n) + n^3 F'_\alpha(n)}{x^2 - n^2} + \sum_{|n| \neq k} \frac{2n^4 F_\alpha(n)}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} \\ &= \sum_{|n| \neq k} \frac{3n^2 F_\alpha(n) + n^3 F'_\alpha(n)}{x^2} + \sum_{|n| \neq k} \frac{3n^4 F_\alpha(n) + n^5 F'_\alpha(n)}{x^2 (x^2 - n^2)} + \sum_{|n| \neq k} \frac{2n^4 F_\alpha(n)}{(x^2 - n^2)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.4),  $|nF'_\alpha(n)| \leq \alpha F_\alpha(n)$ , which implies  $3n^4 F_\alpha(n) + |n^5 F'_\alpha(n)| \leq (3 + \alpha)n^4 F_\alpha(n)$ , so

$$L_\alpha(x) \leq \sum_{|n| \neq k} \frac{3n^2 F_\alpha(n) + n^3 F'_\alpha(n)}{x^2} + \sum_{|n| \neq k} \frac{(\alpha + 3)n^4 F_\alpha(n)}{x^2 |x^2 - n^2|} + \sum_{|n| \neq k} \frac{2n^4 F_\alpha(n)}{(x^2 - n^2)^2}.$$

Recall from (2.2) that  $F_\alpha(x) = (1 + s_\alpha^\alpha x^\alpha)^{-1}$ , so  $F_\alpha(n) \leq s_\alpha^\alpha n^{-\alpha}$  which implies

$$L_\alpha(x) \leq 2 \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq k}}^{\infty} \frac{3n^2 F_\alpha(n) + n^3 F'_\alpha(n)}{x^2} + \frac{2(\alpha + 3)}{s_\alpha^\alpha x^2} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq k}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha-4} |x^2 - n^2|} + \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq k}}^{\infty} \frac{4}{s_\alpha^\alpha n^{\alpha-4} (x^2 - n^2)^2}. \quad (3.59)$$

We use Lemma 3.14 to bound the last two sums. Recall this lemma says for  $m > 1, j \geq 1$

$$\sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq \eta(x)}} \frac{1}{n^m |x^2 - n^2|^j} \leq \frac{4^j}{(m-1)x^{2j}} \left( \frac{m}{3^j} + \frac{m-1+3x/2}{5^j (3x/2)^m} \right) + \frac{4^j (m-1+x/2)}{(3x)^j (x/2)^m (m-1)}.$$

To that end, let

$$\mathcal{A}_\alpha(x) = \frac{4}{(\alpha-5)x^2} \left( \frac{\alpha-4}{3} + \frac{\alpha-5+3x/2}{5(3x/2)^{\alpha-4}} \right) + \frac{4(\alpha-5+x/2)}{3x(x/2)^{\alpha-4}(\alpha-5)}, \quad (3.60)$$

$$\mathcal{C}_\alpha(x) = \frac{16}{(\alpha-5)x^2} \left( \frac{\alpha-4}{9} + \frac{\alpha-5+3x/2}{25(3x/2)^{\alpha-4}} \right) + \frac{16(\alpha-5+x/2)}{9(x/2)^{\alpha-4}(\alpha-5)}, \quad (3.61)$$

so that we have

$$\sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq k}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha-4}|x^2-n^2|} \leq \mathcal{A}_\alpha(x), \quad \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq k}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha-4}(x^2-n^2)^2} \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}_\alpha(x)}{x^2}.$$

Plugging this into (3.59) then implies

$$x^2 L_\alpha(x) \leq 2 \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq k}}^{\infty} (3n^2 F_\alpha(n) + n^3 F'_\alpha(n)) + \frac{2(\alpha+3)\mathcal{A}_\alpha(x)}{s_\alpha^\alpha} + \frac{4\mathcal{C}_\alpha(x)}{s_\alpha^\alpha}.$$

Recall from (3.58) that we want to show  $L_\alpha(x) \leq 3kF'_\alpha(k)$ , so using the above inequality, it is sufficient to show

$$2 \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq k}}^{\infty} (3n^2 F_\alpha(n) + n^3 F'_\alpha(n)) + \frac{2(\alpha+3)\mathcal{A}_\alpha(x)}{s_\alpha^\alpha} + \frac{4\mathcal{C}_\alpha(x)}{s_\alpha^\alpha} - 3x^2 k F'_\alpha(k) \stackrel{\text{wts}}{\leq} 0$$

to show (3.58). From (3.4),  $-kF'_\alpha(k) \leq \alpha F_\alpha(k) \leq \alpha F_\alpha(x-1/2)$  ( $k = \eta(x) \geq x-1/2$ ). We can also check that  $3n^2 F_\alpha(n) + n^3 F'_\alpha(n) \leq 0$  for  $n \geq 1$ : From (3.4),

$$3n^2 F_\alpha(n) + n^3 F'_\alpha(n) = n^2 F_\alpha(n) (3 - \alpha(1 - F_\alpha(n))) \leq n^2 F_\alpha(n) \left(3 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \leq 0,$$

since if  $n \geq 1$ ,  $F_\alpha(n) \leq 1/2$  (from Proposition 3.3). So it is sufficient to show

$$2 \sum_{n=1}^{\eta(x)-1} (3n^2 F_\alpha(n) + n^3 F'_\alpha(n)) + \frac{2(\alpha+3)\mathcal{A}_\alpha(x)}{s_\alpha^\alpha} + \frac{4\mathcal{C}_\alpha(x)}{s_\alpha^\alpha} + 3\alpha x^2 F_\alpha(x-1/2) \stackrel{\text{wts}}{\leq} 0 \quad (3.62)$$

to show (3.58). Note from (3.60),(3.61) that  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(x)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha(x)$  are decreasing in  $x$ . We can also check that  $x^2 F_\alpha(x-1/2)$  is decreasing for  $x \geq 8$ : From (3.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x (x^2 F_\alpha(x-1/2)) &= 2x F_\alpha(x-1/2) + x^2 F'_\alpha(x-1/2) = x F_\alpha(x-1/2) \left(2 - \frac{\alpha x (1 - F_\alpha(x-1/2))}{x-1/2}\right) \\ &\leq x F_\alpha(x-1/2) (2 - \alpha(1 - F_\alpha(7.5))) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies the left-hand side of (3.62) is decreasing in  $x$ , so it is sufficient to show

$$2 \sum_{n=1}^7 (3n^2 F_\alpha(n) + n^3 F'_\alpha(n)) + \frac{2(\alpha+3)\mathcal{A}_\alpha(8)}{s_\alpha^\alpha} + \frac{4\mathcal{C}_\alpha(8)}{s_\alpha^\alpha} + 192\alpha F_\alpha(7.5) \stackrel{\text{wts}}{\leq} 0 \quad (3.63)$$

for  $\alpha = 6, 8, 10, 12$  to prove (3.62), and complete the proof of Lemma 3.13 for  $x \geq 8$ . We verify this in Section 4 numerically.  $\square$

So it remains to show Lemma for  $1.5 \leq x \leq 8$ , when  $\alpha \leq 12$  and in that case we work with the original inequality in (3.55) directly. We formulate this (and (3.63)) in the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.15.** *Let  $\alpha \in \{6, 8, 10, 12\}$ , and let*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_\alpha(x) &= \frac{4}{(\alpha-5)x^2} \left( \frac{\alpha-4}{3} + \frac{\alpha-5+3x/2}{5(3x/2)^{\alpha-4}} \right) + \frac{4(\alpha-5+x/2)}{3x(x/2)^{\alpha-4}(\alpha-5)} \\ \mathcal{C}_\alpha(x) &= \frac{16}{(\alpha-5)x^2} \left( \frac{\alpha-4}{9} + \frac{\alpha-5+3x/2}{25(3x/2)^{\alpha-4}} \right) + \frac{16(\alpha-5+x/2)}{9(x/2)^{\alpha-4}(\alpha-5)}.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$2 \sum_{n=1}^7 (3n^2 F_\alpha(n) + n^3 F'_\alpha(n)) + \frac{2(\alpha+3)\mathcal{A}_\alpha(8)}{s_\alpha^\alpha} + \frac{4\mathcal{C}_\alpha(8)}{s_\alpha^\alpha} + 192\alpha F_\alpha(7.5) < 0,$$

and for  $1.5 \leq x \leq 8$ ,

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{\eta(x)\}} \left( \frac{F_\alpha(n)}{(x-n)^2} + \frac{F'_\alpha(n)}{x-n} \right) \leq 0.$$

We verify this numerically in Section 4. We now prove Lemma 3.13 in full for  $\alpha \geq 14$ :

*Proof of Lemma 3.13 for  $\alpha \geq 14$ .* We want to show

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \neq k}} \left( F_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{3x^2 n^2 - n^4}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} \right) + \frac{n^3 F'_\alpha(n)}{x^2 - n^2} \right) \underset{\text{wts}}{\leq} F_\alpha(k) \left( 2 - \frac{x^2}{(x+k)^2} \right) + F'_\alpha(k) \left( 2k + \frac{x^2}{x+k} \right), \quad (3.64)$$

where  $k = \eta(x)$ , so  $|k-x| \leq 1/2$ ,  $k \geq 2$  an integer. We start with the right-hand side. With  $x = k+t$ ,  $|t| \leq 1/2$ ,

$$\frac{x^2}{(x+k)^2} \leq \left( \frac{k+1/2}{2k+1/2} \right)^2 \leq \frac{25}{81}$$

since  $k \geq 2$ , and

$$2k + \frac{x^2}{x+k} \leq 2k + \frac{(k+1/2)^2}{2k+1/2} \leq 3k,$$

so

$$F_\alpha(k) \left( 2 - \frac{x^2}{(x+k)^2} \right) + F'_\alpha(k) \left( 2k + \frac{x^2}{x+k} \right) \geq F_\alpha(k) \left( 2 - \frac{25}{81} \right) + 3k F'_\alpha(k) \geq \frac{3F_\alpha(k)}{2} + 3k F'_\alpha(k).$$

From (3.4),  $kF'_\alpha(k) \geq -\alpha F_\alpha(k)$ , so this implies

$$F_\alpha(k) \left( 2 - \frac{x^2}{(x+k)^2} \right) + F'_\alpha(k) \left( 2k + \frac{x^2}{x+k} \right) \geq \left( \frac{3}{2} - 3\alpha \right) F_\alpha(k).$$

From Proposition 3.4,  $s_\alpha^\alpha \geq 2\alpha - 5$ , and so  $F_\alpha(k) = (1 + s_\alpha^\alpha k^\alpha)^{-1} \leq (k^\alpha(2\alpha - 5))^{-1}$ , so this implies

$$F_\alpha(k) \left( 2 - \frac{x^2}{(x+k)^2} \right) + F'_\alpha(k) \left( 2k + \frac{x^2}{x+k} \right) \geq \left( \frac{3}{2} - 3\alpha \right) \frac{1}{k^\alpha},$$

so going back to (3.64), it is sufficient to show

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \neq k}} \left( F_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{3x^2 n^2 - n^4}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} \right) + \frac{n^3 F'_\alpha(n)}{x^2 - n^2} \right) \underset{\text{wts}}{\leq} \frac{3 - 6\alpha}{(4\alpha - 10)k^\alpha}. \quad (3.65)$$



We now pull out the dominant terms on the left-hand side, writing

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \neq k}} \left( F_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{3x^2n^2 - n^4}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} \right) + \frac{n^3 F'_\alpha(n)}{x^2 - n^2} \right) &= 2F_\alpha(1) \left( \frac{3x^2 - 1}{(x^2 - 1)^2} \right) + \frac{2F'_\alpha(1)}{x^2 - 1} \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \geq 2 \\ |n| \neq k}} \left( F_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{3x^2n^2 - n^4}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} \right) + \frac{n^3 F'_\alpha(n)}{x^2 - n^2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

so we write the inequality in (3.65) as

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \geq 2 \\ |n| \neq k}} \left( F_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{3x^2n^2 - n^4}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} \right) + \frac{n^3 F'_\alpha(n)}{x^2 - n^2} \right) \leq_{\text{wts}} \frac{3 - 6\alpha}{(4\alpha - 10)k^\alpha} - \frac{2F'_\alpha(1)}{x^2 - 1} - 2F_\alpha(1) \left( \frac{3}{x^2 - 1} + \frac{2}{(x^2 - 1)^2} \right).$$

Since  $|x - k| \leq 1/2$ ,  $k \geq 2$  an integer,  $x^2 - 1 > 1.5^2 - 1 > 1$  so

$$\frac{3}{(x^2 - 1)} + \frac{2}{(x^2 - 1)^2} \leq \frac{5}{x^2 - 1}.$$

Combining this with the bounds on  $F_\alpha(1)$  and  $F'_\alpha(1)$  in Proposition 3.4, we get

$$\frac{-2F'_\alpha(1)}{x^2 - 1} - 2F_\alpha(1) \left( \frac{3}{x^2 - 1} + \frac{2}{(x^2 - 1)^2} \right) \geq \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha-4} - 10F_\alpha(1)}{x^2 - 1} \geq \frac{1 - \frac{11}{2\alpha-4}}{x^2 - 1} \geq \frac{1 - \frac{11}{2\alpha-4}}{\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - 1},$$

so it is sufficient to show

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \geq 2 \\ |n| \neq k}} \left( F_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{3x^2n^2 - n^4}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} \right) + \frac{n^3 F'_\alpha(n)}{x^2 - n^2} \right) \leq_{\text{wts}} \frac{3 - 6\alpha}{(4\alpha - 10)k^\alpha} + \frac{1 - \frac{11}{2\alpha-4}}{\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - 1}.$$

We bound the left-hand side. From (3.4),

$$F'_\alpha(x) = -\frac{\alpha F_\alpha(x)(1 - F_\alpha(x))}{x},$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} F_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{3x^2n^2 - n^4}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} \right) + \frac{n^3 F'_\alpha(n)}{x^2 - n^2} &= n^2 F_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{3x^2 - n^2}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} - \frac{\alpha(1 - F_\alpha(n))}{x^2 - n^2} \right) \\ &= n^2 F_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{3 - \alpha(1 - F_\alpha(n))}{x^2 - n^2} + \frac{2n^2}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

so we want to show

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \geq 2, |n| \neq k}} n^2 F_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{3 - \alpha(1 - F_\alpha(n))}{x^2 - n^2} + \frac{2n^2}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} \right) \leq_{\text{wts}} \frac{3 - 6\alpha}{(4\alpha - 10)k^\alpha} + \frac{1 - \frac{11}{2\alpha-4}}{\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - 1}. \quad (3.66)$$

Note that

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \geq 2, |n| \neq k}} \frac{2n^4 F_\alpha(n)}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \geq 2, |n| \neq k}} \frac{2n^4 F_\alpha(n)}{(x - |n|)^2 (x + |n|)^2} = 2 \sum_{\substack{n=2 \\ n \neq k}}^{\infty} \frac{2n^4 F_\alpha(n)}{(x - n)^2 (x + n)^2} \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{16n^4 F_\alpha(n)}{(x + n)^2},$$

since  $n \neq k = \eta(x)$  means  $|x - n| \geq 1/2$ . For  $n \geq 2$ ,  $(x + n)^2 \geq (x + 2)^2 \geq k^2$ , so

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \geq 2, |n| \neq k}} \frac{2n^4 F_\alpha(n)}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} \leq \frac{16}{k^2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^4 F_\alpha(n).$$

From Proposition 3.4,  $F_\alpha(n) = (1 + s_\alpha^\alpha n^\alpha)^{-1} \leq (2\alpha - 5)^{-1} n^{-\alpha}$ , so this means

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \geq 2, |n| \neq k}} \frac{2n^4 F_\alpha(n)}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} \leq \frac{16}{(2\alpha - 5)k^2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha-4}} \leq \frac{16}{(2\alpha - 5)k^2} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{\alpha-4}} \left( \frac{\alpha - 3}{\alpha - 5} \right) = \frac{256}{(2\alpha - 5)k^2 2^\alpha} \left( \frac{\alpha - 3}{\alpha - 5} \right),$$

from Lemma 3.1, and taking  $\alpha \geq 12$ , this implies

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \geq 2, |n| \neq k}} \frac{2n^4 F_\alpha(n)}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} \leq \frac{330}{(2\alpha - 5)k^2 2^\alpha}.$$

Applying this to (3.66), it is sufficient to show

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \geq 2, |n| \neq k}} n^2 F_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{3 - \alpha(1 - F_\alpha(n))}{x^2 - n^2} \right) + \frac{330}{2^\alpha (2\alpha - 5)k^2} \stackrel{\text{wts}}{\leq} \frac{3 - 6\alpha}{(4\alpha - 10)k^\alpha} + \frac{1 - \frac{11}{2\alpha-4}}{\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - 1}. \quad (3.67)$$

Observe that  $3 - \alpha(1 - F_\alpha(n)) \leq 3 - \alpha/2 \leq 0$  for  $\alpha \geq 12$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \geq 2, |n| \neq k}} n^2 F_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{3 - \alpha(1 - F_\alpha(n))}{x^2 - n^2} \right) &\leq \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \geq k+1}} n^2 F_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{3 - \alpha(1 - F_\alpha(n))}{x^2 - n^2} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \geq k+1}} n^2 F_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{\alpha(1 - F_\alpha(n))}{n^2 - x^2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \geq 2, |n| \neq k}} n^2 F_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{3 - \alpha(1 - F_\alpha(n))}{x^2 - n^2} \right) \leq 2 \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} n^2 F_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{\alpha(1 - F_\alpha(n))}{(n-x)(n+x)} \right).$$

$n \geq k + 1$  implies  $n - x \geq 1/2$ , so

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \geq 2, |n| \neq k}} n^2 F_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{3 - \alpha(1 - F_\alpha(n))}{x^2 - n^2} \right) \leq 4\alpha \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{n^2 F_\alpha(n)}{n+x} \leq 4\alpha \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} n F_\alpha(n) \leq 4 \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha-1}},$$

since we know for  $\alpha \geq 12$ ,  $F_\alpha(n) \leq \alpha^{-1} n^{-\alpha}$  from Proposition 3.4. So then

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \geq 2, |n| \neq k}} n^2 F_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{3 - \alpha(1 - F_\alpha(n))}{x^2 - n^2} \right) \leq 4 \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha-1}} \leq 4 \int_k^{\infty} \frac{dn}{n^{\alpha-1}} = \frac{4}{(\alpha - 2)k^{\alpha-2}},$$

and plugging this into (3.67), it is sufficient to show

$$\frac{4}{(\alpha - 2)k^{\alpha-2}} + \frac{330}{2^\alpha (2\alpha - 5)k^2} \stackrel{\text{wts}}{\leq} \frac{3 - 6\alpha}{(4\alpha - 10)k^\alpha} + \frac{1 - \frac{11}{2\alpha-4}}{\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - 1},$$

or equivalently,

$$\frac{4}{(\alpha-2)k^{\alpha-2}} + \frac{330}{2^\alpha(2\alpha-5)k^2} + \frac{6\alpha-3}{(4\alpha-10)k^\alpha} \stackrel{\text{wts}}{\leq} \frac{1 - \frac{11}{2\alpha-4}}{\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - 1}.$$

For  $\alpha \geq 12$ ,  $(6\alpha-3)/(4\alpha-10) \leq 69/38 < 2$ , so

$$\frac{4}{(\alpha-2)k^{\alpha-2}} + \frac{330}{2^\alpha(2\alpha-5)k^2} + \frac{6\alpha-3}{(4\alpha-10)k^\alpha} \leq \frac{2}{5k^{\alpha-2}} + \frac{330}{2^\alpha(2\alpha-5)k^2} + \frac{2}{k^\alpha} \leq \frac{12}{5k^{\alpha-2}} + \frac{330}{2^\alpha(2\alpha-5)k^2},$$

so it is sufficient to show for  $k \geq 2$  an integer,

$$\frac{12}{5k^{\alpha-2}} + \frac{330}{2^\alpha(2\alpha-5)k^2} \stackrel{\text{wts}}{\leq} \frac{1 - \frac{11}{2\alpha-4}}{\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - 1}.$$

We will show this holds for  $\alpha \geq 14$ . If  $\alpha \geq 14$ , and  $k \geq 2$ , then

$$\frac{12}{5k^{\alpha-2}} + \frac{330}{2^\alpha(2\alpha-5)k^2} \leq \left( \frac{12}{5 \cdot 2^{10}} + \frac{330}{23 \cdot 2^{14}} \right) \frac{1}{k^2},$$

so it is sufficient to show

$$\left( \frac{12}{5 \cdot 2^{10}} + \frac{330}{23 \cdot 2^{14}} \right) \frac{1}{k^2} \stackrel{\text{wts}}{\leq} \frac{1 - \frac{11}{24}}{\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - 1} = \frac{\frac{13}{24}}{\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - 1}.$$

This is equivalent to showing

$$\frac{12}{5 \cdot 2^{10}} + \frac{330}{23 \cdot 2^{14}} \stackrel{\text{wts}}{\leq} \frac{13}{24} \cdot \frac{k^2}{\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - 1}.$$

The right-hand side is increasing in  $k$  for  $k \geq 2$ , and we can verify numerically that

$$\frac{12}{5 \cdot 2^{10}} + \frac{330}{23 \cdot 2^{14}} \leq \frac{13}{24} \cdot \frac{4}{(5/2)^2 - 1}.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. IMPLEMENTATIONS

In this section, we verify the outlined propositions and lemmas aided by numerical methods, using interval arithmetic in Julia [1], with code provided at [5]. We first develop bounds for  $s_\alpha$ , in the process proving Proposition 3.3 for  $\alpha \leq 12$ , which asserts  $s_\alpha > 1$ . For  $t > 0$ , define the energy  $E_\alpha(t)$  by

$$E_\alpha(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{t}{1 + t^\alpha n^\alpha},$$

and let  $s_\alpha$  minimise  $E_\alpha(t)$ . Then  $s_\alpha > 1$ . The following table summarises our results:

$\alpha$	Lower bound for $s_\alpha^\alpha$	Upper bound for $s_\alpha^\alpha$	Upper bound for $\mathcal{E}_{f_\alpha}(s_\alpha \mathbb{Z})$
6	7.83668	7.83669	1.73458
8	11.813148	11.813151	1.57506
10	15.830697	15.830699	1.47491
12	19.854857	19.854859	1.40585

We implement  $E_\alpha(t)$  numerically by bounding the tail, and to do that, we start by showing  $s_\alpha$  does not get arbitrarily small (so we can bound the tail independently of  $t$ ). Note

$$E_\alpha(t) = t + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t}{1 + t^\alpha n^\alpha} \geq t + 2 \int_t^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + x^\alpha} dx.$$

The right-hand side above is decreasing in  $x$  for  $x \leq 1$ , so if  $t < s$  for some parameter  $s$  small, this implies

$$E_\alpha(t) \geq s + 2 \int_s^\infty \frac{1}{1+x^\alpha} dx \geq s + 2 \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{s}{1+s^\alpha n^\alpha}. \quad (4.1)$$

When  $s$  is small, this is larger than the calculated upper bound for the energy in the table above. To bound the tail of  $E_\alpha(t)$ , note

$$\sum_{|n| \geq p+1} \frac{t}{1+t^\alpha n^\alpha} \leq \frac{1}{t^{\alpha-1}} \sum_{n=p+1}^\infty \frac{2}{n^\alpha} \leq \frac{2}{t^{\alpha-1}(p+1)^\alpha} \left( \frac{\alpha+p}{\alpha-1} \right),$$

from Lemma 3.1. Combining this bound with (4.1), we can use this to show  $s_\alpha > 0.01$ , and bootstrap this to prove the bounds.

For  $\alpha \geq 14$ , we use the bounds in (3.6) and (3.7), which says  $s_\alpha^\alpha = \alpha - 2 + \sqrt{(\alpha-2)^2 - 3} + \mathfrak{G}(\alpha)$ , where

$$|\mathfrak{G}(\alpha)| \leq \frac{2.1(\alpha+1)^3}{2^{\alpha-1}(\alpha-1)}.$$

Using this we are able to verify the needed inequalities. We start with those for  $\widehat{\psi}_\alpha$

**4.1. Implementations for  $\widehat{\psi}_\alpha$ .** The first inequality we need to verify is given in Lemma 3.5

**Lemma 3.5.** *For  $\alpha \in \{4, 6, 8, 10\}$ , define a constant  $\mathcal{T}(\alpha)$  by*

$$\mathcal{T}(\alpha) := \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \sum_{n=1}^\infty 2F_\alpha(n) \right) - \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=2}^\infty |F'_\alpha(n)|.$$

*Then  $\mathcal{T}(\alpha) \geq 0$ .*

This we can plug almost directly into interval arithmetic, we just need to bound the tails of the series, which is not too hard. Pick some cutoff positive integer  $p$ . From (3.4), for  $n \geq p$ ,  $|F'_\alpha(n)| \leq \alpha F_\alpha(n)/p$ , and  $F_\alpha(n) \leq n^{-\alpha}$ , and so

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^\infty \left( F_\alpha(n) + \frac{1}{\pi} |F'_\alpha(n)| \right) \leq \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha}{p\pi} \right) \sum_{n=p+1}^\infty F_\alpha(n) \leq \sum_{n=p+1}^\infty \frac{1 + \frac{\alpha}{p\pi}}{n^\alpha} \leq \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha}{p\pi} \right) \left( \frac{\alpha+p}{\alpha-1} \right) \frac{1}{(p+1)^\alpha},$$

from Lemma 3.1. The next inequality to verify is given in Lemma 3.8 for  $6 \leq \alpha \leq 10$ , restated below:

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let  $\mathcal{R}(x)$  be given by*

$$\mathcal{R}(x) = \frac{\sin x - x}{x^3} + \frac{1}{6}$$

*for  $x \neq 0$ , and  $\mathcal{R}(0) = 0$ . For  $\alpha \in \{6, 8, 10\}$ , define a constant  $\mathfrak{L}(\alpha)$  by*

$$\mathfrak{L}(\alpha) := \sum_{n=-\infty}^\infty n^3 F'_\alpha(n) \left( -\frac{2}{3} + 4\mathcal{R}(\pi n) \right) - \sum_{n=-\infty}^\infty 2n^2 F_\alpha(n).$$

*Then  $\mathfrak{L}(\alpha) \geq 0$ .*

Like we did with Lemma 3.5, we simply need to bound the tail. We write the series for  $\mathfrak{L}(\alpha)$  as

$$\mathfrak{L}(\alpha) = - \sum_{n=1}^\infty 2n^3 F'_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{2}{3} - 4\mathcal{R}(\pi n) \right) - \sum_{n=1}^\infty 4n^2 F_\alpha(n). \quad (4.2)$$

We showed in Lemma 3.6 that  $\mathcal{R}(x)$  is increasing in  $|x|$ , and  $\mathcal{R}(0) = 0$ , so

$$\left| -\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} 2n^3 F'_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{2}{3} - 4\mathcal{R}(\pi n) \right) - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} 4n^2 F_\alpha(n) \right| \leq \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left( -\frac{4}{3} n^3 F'_\alpha(n) + 4n^2 F_\alpha(n) \right).$$

From (3.4),  $-nF'_\alpha(n) \leq \alpha F_\alpha(n)$ , so this implies

$$\left| \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left( -2n^3 F'_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{2}{3} - 4\mathcal{R}(\pi n) \right) - 4n^2 F_\alpha(n) \right) \right| \leq \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} 4n^2 F_\alpha(n) \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha}{3} \right) \leq \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha}{3} \right) \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{4}{n^{\alpha-2}}.$$

Using Lemma 3.1, this implies

$$\left| \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left( -2n^3 F'_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{2}{3} - 4\mathcal{R}(\pi n) \right) - 4n^2 F_\alpha(n) \right) \right| \leq \frac{4(1 + \alpha/3)}{(p+1)^{\alpha-2}} \left( \frac{\alpha - 2 + p}{\alpha - 3} \right).$$

We now move onto finishing the proof for (2.3), showing  $\psi_\alpha \leq F_\alpha$ .

**4.2. Implementations for  $\psi_\alpha$ .** We start with  $\alpha = 4$ , then move on to  $\alpha \geq 6$ :

4.2.1. *Finishing the proof of  $\psi_4(x) \leq F_4(x)$ .* We now verify  $\psi_4(x) \leq F_4(x)$  for  $x \leq 9$ , by numerically verifying Lemma 3.10:

**Lemma 3.10.** *Let  $F_4(x) = (4x^4 + 1)^{-1}$ . Then for  $0 \leq x \leq 9$ ,*

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \frac{F_4(x) - F_4(n) - F'_4(n)(x-n)}{(x-n)^2} \right) \geq 0.$$

We start by bounding the tail of this series. Let

$$H(x, n) = \frac{F_4(x) - F_4(n) - F'_4(n)(x-n)}{(x-n)^2},$$

so we want to show  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H(x, n) \geq 0$ . Note since  $F_4$  is even

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{H(x, n) + H(x, -n)}{2} &= \frac{1}{2} (F_4(x) - F_4(n)) \left( \frac{1}{(x-n)^2} + \frac{1}{(x+n)^2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} F'_4(n) \left( \frac{1}{x-n} - \frac{1}{x+n} \right) \\ &= (F_4(x) - F_4(n)) \left( \frac{x^2 + n^2}{(x-n)^2 (x+n)^2} \right) - \frac{nF'_4(n)}{(x-n)(x+n)}. \end{aligned}$$

We choose a cutoff  $p \geq \eta(x) + 1$ , and consider  $n \geq p + 1$ , in which case we have

$$\left| \frac{H(x, n) + H(x, -n)}{2} \right| \leq \frac{|F_4(x) - F_4(n)|}{|x-n|^2} - \frac{nF'_4(n)}{|n^2 - x^2|} \leq \frac{|F_4(x) - F_4(n)| - nF'_4(n)}{(n-x)^2}.$$

From (3.4),  $-nF'_4(n) \leq 4F_4(n)$ , so this implies

$$\left| \frac{H(x, n) + H(x, -n)}{2} \right| \leq \frac{|F_4(x) - F_4(n)| + 4F_4(n)}{(n-x)^2}.$$

$F_4$  is decreasing, so this implies for  $n \geq p \geq \eta(x) + 2$ ,

$$\sum_{|n| \geq p} |H(x, n)| \leq \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{2(F_4(x) + 5F_4(p))}{(n-x)^2} \leq \int_p^{\infty} \frac{2(F_4(x) + 5F_4(p))}{(n-x)^2} dn = \frac{2(F_4(x) + 5F_4(p))}{p-x}. \quad (4.3)$$

Of course there is the problem of the  $\eta(x)$  term being unstable when  $n$  is close to an integer. When that happens, we use the mean-value theorem which implies

$$\frac{F_4(x) - F_4(\eta(x)) - F_4'(\eta(x))(x - \eta(x))}{(x - \eta(x))^2} \geq \frac{1}{2} \min_{s \in [x, \eta(x)]} F_4''(s),$$

and from (3.5),

$$F_4''(s) = 4F_4(s) \left( \frac{1 - F_4(s)}{s^2} \right) (4(1 - 2F_4(s)) + 1).$$

When  $x$  is close to an integer, we replace  $H_4(x, \eta(x))$  with the minimum of the right-hand side above over  $s \in [x, \eta(x)]$ . This takes care of the  $\alpha = 4$  case. We now move on to  $\alpha \geq 6$ .

4.2.2. *Finishing the proof of  $\psi_\alpha(x) \leq F_\alpha(x)$  for  $\alpha \geq 6$ .* Recall we had three cases here,  $\eta(x) = 0$ ,  $\eta(x) = 1$  and  $\eta(x) \geq 1$ :

4.2.3. *Numerics for  $\eta(x) = 0$ .* This case is less difficult than the others. We just need to verify Lemma 3.11, restated below

**Lemma 3.11.** *Let  $\alpha \in \{6, 8, 10\}$ . Then*

$$4(F_\alpha(1/2) - 1) + \sum_{|n| \geq 1} \frac{F_\alpha(1/2) - F_\alpha(n)}{n^2} \geq \sum_{|n| \geq 1} \frac{nF_\alpha'(n)}{(1/2)^2 - n^2}.$$

We start by symmetrizing the sum, so we want to show

$$2(F_\alpha(1/2) - 1) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{F_\alpha(1/2) - F_\alpha(n)}{n^2} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{nF_\alpha'(n)}{(1/2)^2 - n^2} \geq 0,$$

and evaluating the sum with  $F_\alpha(1/2)$ , we want to show

$$2(F_\alpha(1/2) - 1) + \frac{\pi^2 F_\alpha(1/2)}{6} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{F_\alpha(n)}{n^2} + \frac{-nF_\alpha'(n)}{n^2 - 1/4} \right) \geq 0.$$

We use (3.4) which implies  $-nF_\alpha'(n) = \alpha F_\alpha(n)(1 - F_\alpha(n))$ , so we want to show

$$2(F_\alpha(1/2) - 1) + \frac{\pi^2 F_\alpha(1/2)}{6} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{\alpha(1 - F_\alpha(n))}{n^2 - 1/4} \right) \geq 0.$$

Bounding the tail isn't hard. Pick  $p$  a cutoff, and using the fact that  $s_\alpha > 1$  from Proposition 3.3,

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} F_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{\alpha(1 - F_\alpha(n))}{n^2 - 1/4} \right) \leq \frac{4(\alpha + 1)}{3} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} F_\alpha(n) \leq \frac{4(\alpha + 1)}{3} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^\alpha},$$

and from Lemma 3.1, this implies

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} F_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{\alpha(1 - F_\alpha(n))}{n^2 - 1/4} \right) \leq \frac{4(\alpha + 1)}{3(p+1)^\alpha} \left( \frac{\alpha + p}{\alpha - 1} \right).$$

4.2.4. *Numerics for  $\eta(x) = 1$ .* To complete this part, we just have to prove Proposition 3.12,

**Proposition 3.12.** *Let  $6 \leq \alpha \leq 998$ ,  $\alpha$  an even integer, and let*

$$\mathcal{B}(\alpha, t) = \sum_{|n| \geq 2} \left( \frac{F_\alpha(n)}{(1+t-n)^2} + \frac{F'_\alpha(n)}{1+t-n} \right).$$

Then

$$\frac{F_\alpha(1+t) - F_\alpha(1) - tF'_\alpha(1)}{t^2} + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{F_\alpha(1+t)}{(n-t)^2} \geq \frac{1}{(1+t)^2} + \frac{F_\alpha(1)}{(2+t)^2} - \frac{F'_\alpha(1)}{(2+t)} + \mathcal{B}(\alpha, t)$$

for  $|t| \leq 1/2$ .

We start by bounding the tail of  $\mathcal{B}(\alpha, t)$ . Choosing  $p \geq 3$  means  $n - (1 + |t|) \geq 1$  for  $n \geq p$ . (3.4) implies  $|F'_\alpha(n)| \leq \alpha F_\alpha(n)$ , so

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{F_\alpha(n)}{(1+t-n)^2} + \frac{F'_\alpha(n)}{1+t-n} \right| \leq \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (F_\alpha(n) + |F'_\alpha(n)|) \leq (\alpha + 1) \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} F_\alpha(n) \leq \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha + 1}{n^\alpha}.$$

Using Lemma 3.1, this implies

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{F_\alpha(n)}{(1+t-n)^2} + \frac{F'_\alpha(n)}{1+t-n} \right| \leq \frac{\alpha + 1}{(p+1)^\alpha} \cdot \left( \frac{\alpha + p}{\alpha - 1} \right). \quad (4.4)$$

Similarly, for  $|t| \leq 1/2$

$$\sum_{|n| \geq p+1} \frac{1}{(n-t)^2} \leq 2 \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-|t|)^2} \leq 2 \int_p^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-|t|)^2} dn = \frac{2}{p-|t|} \leq \frac{4}{2p-1}$$

We do this numerically for  $\alpha \leq 1000$ . When  $t$  is small, we use the mean value theorem, so

$$\frac{F_\alpha(1+t) - F_\alpha(1) - tF'_\alpha(1)}{t^2} \geq \frac{1}{2} \min_{|s| \leq t} F''_\alpha(1+s),$$

so from (3.5), this implies

$$\frac{F_\alpha(1+t) - F_\alpha(1) - tF'_\alpha(1)}{t^2} \geq \frac{\alpha F_\alpha(1+|t|)(1 - F_\alpha(1-|t|))}{2(1+|t|)^2} (\alpha(1 - 2F_\alpha(1-|t|)) + 1),$$

so from small  $t$ , we instead show

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha F_\alpha(1+|t|)(1 - F_\alpha(1-|t|))}{2(1+|t|)^2} (\alpha(1 - 2F_\alpha(1-|t|)) + 1) + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{F_\alpha(1+t)}{(n-t)^2} \geq \\ \frac{1}{(1+t)^2} + \frac{F_\alpha(1)}{(2+t)^2} - \frac{F'_\alpha(1)}{2+t} + \mathcal{B}(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

4.2.5. *Numerics for  $\eta(x) \geq 2$ .* Here we consider  $\alpha \geq 12$ , in which case we want to show Lemma 3.15 restated below:

**Lemma 3.15.** *Let  $\alpha \in \{6, 8, 10, 12\}$ , and let*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_\alpha(x) &= \frac{4}{(\alpha-5)x^2} \left( \frac{\alpha-4}{3} + \frac{\alpha-5+3x/2}{5(3x/2)^{\alpha-4}} \right) + \frac{4(\alpha-5+x/2)}{3x(x/2)^{\alpha-4}(\alpha-5)} \\ \mathcal{C}_\alpha(x) &= \frac{16}{(\alpha-5)x^2} \left( \frac{\alpha-4}{9} + \frac{\alpha-5+3x/2}{25(3x/2)^{\alpha-4}} \right) + \frac{16(\alpha-5+x/2)}{9(x/2)^{\alpha-4}(\alpha-5)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$2 \sum_{n=1}^7 (3n^2 F_\alpha(n) + n^3 F'_\alpha(n)) + \frac{2(\alpha+3)\mathcal{A}_\alpha(8)}{s_\alpha^\alpha} + \frac{4\mathcal{C}_\alpha(8)}{s_\alpha^\alpha} + 192\alpha F_\alpha(7.5) < 0,$$

and for  $1.5 \leq x \leq 8$ ,

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{\eta(x)\}} \left( \frac{F_\alpha(n)}{(x-n)^2} + \frac{F'_\alpha(n)}{x-n} \right) \leq 0.$$

Once again, we bound the tail of this series. Choose a cutoff integer  $p \geq \eta(x) + 2$ . Let

$$K_\alpha(x, n) = \frac{F_\alpha(n)}{(x-n)^2} + \frac{F'_\alpha(n)}{x-n},$$

and note

$$\frac{K_\alpha(x, n) + K_\alpha(x, -n)}{2} = F_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{x^2 + n^2}{(x^2 - n^2)^2} \right) + \frac{nF'_\alpha(n)}{n^2 - x^2},$$

so

$$\left| \frac{K_\alpha(x, n) + K_\alpha(x, -n)}{2} \right| \leq \frac{F_\alpha(n)}{(n-x)^2} + \frac{-nF'_\alpha(n)}{|n^2 - x^2|} \leq F_\alpha(n) \left( \frac{1}{(n-x)^2} + \frac{\alpha}{|n^2 - x^2|} \right),$$

from (3.4). If  $n \neq \eta(x)$ ,  $|n-x| \geq 1/2$ , so this implies

$$\sum_{|n| \geq p+1} |K_\alpha(x, n)| \leq \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} 2F_\alpha(n) \left( 4 + \frac{\alpha}{x} \right) \leq \left( \frac{2\alpha}{3} + 4 \right) \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{n^\alpha} \leq \frac{\frac{4\alpha}{3} + 8}{(p+1)^\alpha} \left( \frac{\alpha+p}{\alpha-1} \right),$$

using Lemma 3.1. The code for these implementations can be found at [5].

## REFERENCES

- [1] Jeff Bezanson, Alan Edelman, Stefan Karpinski, and Viral B Shah. Julia: A fresh approach to numerical computing. *SIAM review*, 59(1):65–98, 2017.
- [2] Sergiy V Borodachov, Douglas P Hardin, and Edward B Saff. *Discrete energy on rectifiable sets*. Springer, 2019.
- [3] Henry Cohn and Abhinav Kumar. Universally optimal distribution of points on spheres. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 20(1):99–148, 2007.
- [4] Henry Cohn, Abhinav Kumar, Stephen Miller, Danylo Radchenko, and Maryna Viazovska. Universal optimality of the  $E_8$  and leech lattices and interpolation formulas. *Annals of Mathematics*, 196(3):983–1082, 2022.
- [5] Roni Edwin. Ground\_states Github repository. [https://github.com/Roni-Edwin/ground\\_states](https://github.com/Roni-Edwin/ground_states), 2024.
- [6] Andreas Henn. The hexagonal lattice and the epstein zeta function. In *Dynamical Systems, Number Theory and Applications: A Festschrift in Honor of Armin Leutbecher's 80th Birthday*, pages 127–140. World Scientific, 2016.
- [7] EA Jagla. Minimum energy configurations of repelling particles in two dimensions. *The Journal of chemical physics*, 110(1):451–456, 1999.
- [8] Hugh L Montgomery. Minimal theta functions. *Glasgow Mathematical Journal*, 30(1):75–85, 1988.
- [9] BRA Nijboer and Th W Ruijgrok. On the minimum-energy configuration of a one-dimensional system of particles interacting with the potential  $\phi(x) = (1+x^4)^{-1}$ . *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 133(1-2):319–329, 1985.
- [10] Danylo Radchenko and Maryna Viazovska. Fourier interpolation on the real line. *Publications mathématiques de l'IHÉS*, 129:51–81, 2019.
- [11] Charles Radin. Low temperature and the origin of crystalline symmetry. *International Journal of Modern Physics B: Condensed Matter Physics; Statistical Physics; Applied Physics*, 1, 1987.
- [12] EM Stein. Introduction to Fourier analysis on euclidean spaces. *Princeton Mathematical Series*, 32, 1971.
- [13] Jeffrey D. Vaaler. Some extremal functions in Fourier analysis. *Bulletin (New Series) of the American Mathematical Society*, 12(2):183 – 216, 1985.
- [14] WJ Ventevogel and BRA Nijboer. On the configuration of systems of interacting particle with minimum potential energy per particle. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 98(1-2):274–288, 1979.



## APPENDIX A. PROOFS OF PROPOSITIONS 3.2, 3.3 AND 3.4

We start by showing Proposition 3.2, which says  $s_4 = \sqrt{2}$ :

*Proof of Proposition 3.2.* We let  $\phi(x) = (x^4 + 1)^{-1}$ , so we want to minimise over  $t > 0$ ,  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} t\phi(tn)$ . From equation 9 in [9], we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi(tn) = \frac{\pi\sqrt{2}}{4t} \cdot \frac{\sinh(\pi\sqrt{2}/t) + \sin(\pi\sqrt{2}/t)}{\cosh(\pi\sqrt{2}/t) - \cos(\pi\sqrt{2}/t)} - \frac{1}{2},$$

so

$$\mathcal{E}_\phi(t\mathbb{Z}) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} t\phi(tn) = t + 2t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi(tn) = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \frac{\sinh(\pi\sqrt{2}/t) + \sin(\pi\sqrt{2}/t)}{\cosh(\pi\sqrt{2}/t) - \cos(\pi\sqrt{2}/t)}. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Let  $x = \pi\sqrt{2}/t$ . Differentiating

$$\frac{\sinh(x) + \sin(x)}{\cosh(x) - \cos(x)}$$

with respect to  $x$  and setting it equal to 0 implies

$$(\cosh x + \cos x)(\cosh(x) - \cos(x)) - (\sinh(x) + \sin(x))^2 = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\cosh^2 x - \cos^2 x = \sinh^2 x + 2 \sinh x \sin x + \sin^2 x,$$

and grouping terms, we get  $\cosh^2 x - \sinh^2 x = \cos^2 x + \sin^2 x + 2 \sinh x \sin x$ , so  $\sinh x \sin x = 0$ . This implies  $x = \pi m$  for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , so  $t = \sqrt{2}/m$ . Plugging this into (A.1) means

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_\phi\left(\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{m}\right)\mathbb{Z}\right) &= \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \frac{\sinh(\pi m)}{\cosh(\pi m) - (-1)^m} = \frac{e^{\pi m} - e^{-\pi m}}{e^{\pi m} + e^{-\pi m} - 2(-1)^m} \\ &= \frac{e^{2\pi m} - 1}{e^{2\pi m} + 1 - 2e^{\pi m}(-1)^m} = \frac{e^{2\pi m} - 1}{(e^{\pi m} - (-1)^m)^2} = \frac{e^{\pi m} + (-1)^m}{e^{\pi m} - (-1)^m}, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\mathcal{E}_\phi\left(\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{m}\right)\mathbb{Z}\right) = 1 + \frac{2(-1)^m}{e^{\pi m} - (-1)^m},$$

and we can see this is minimised when  $m = 1$ , so  $t = \sqrt{2}$ . This shows  $s_4 = \sqrt{2}$  as desired.  $\square$

We now prove Proposition 3.3, restated below

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $f_\alpha(x) = (1 + x^\alpha)^{-1}$  for  $\alpha \geq 6$  an even integer, and let  $s_\alpha$  minimise  $t \mapsto \mathcal{E}_{f_\alpha}(t\mathbb{Z})$ . Then  $s_\alpha \geq 1$ .*

When  $6 \leq \alpha \leq 12$ , we verify this numerically, and give a proof for  $\alpha \geq 12$ :

*Proof of Proposition 3.3 for  $\alpha \geq 12$ .* Recall  $\mathcal{E}_{f_\alpha}(t\mathbb{Z})$  is given by

$$\mathcal{E}_{f_\alpha}(t\mathbb{Z}) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} t f_\alpha(tn).$$

Suppose for the sake of contradiction that  $s_\alpha \leq 1$ . We get a lower bound for  $\mathcal{E}_f(s_\alpha\mathbb{Z})$  in this case. If  $3/4 \leq s_\alpha \leq 1$ , then  $f_\alpha(s_\alpha) \geq 1/2$  since  $f_\alpha(x)$  is decreasing in  $|x|$ , so

$$\mathcal{E}_{f_\alpha}(s_\alpha\mathbb{Z}) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} s_\alpha f(n s_\alpha) \geq \sum_{n=-1}^1 s_\alpha f(n s_\alpha) = s_\alpha + 2s_\alpha f(s_\alpha) \geq \frac{3}{4} + 2 \cdot \frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{3}{2}.$$

If  $3/4 \geq s_\alpha > 1/2$ , then

$$\mathcal{E}_{f_\alpha}(s_\alpha \mathbb{Z}) \geq \sum_{n=-1}^1 s_\alpha f(ns_\alpha) = s_\alpha + 2s_\alpha f(s_\alpha) \geq \frac{1}{2} + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot f_\alpha\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{1 + (3/4)^\alpha},$$

so putting these two results together, if  $1/2 < s_\alpha \leq 1$ , then

$$\mathcal{E}_{f_\alpha}(s_\alpha \mathbb{Z}) \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{1 + (3/4)^\alpha}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

In general, if  $1/k < s_\alpha \leq 1/(k-1)$  for  $k \geq 3$  an integer, then since  $f_\alpha(x)$  is decreasing in  $|x|$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{f_\alpha}(s_\alpha \mathbb{Z}) &\geq s_\alpha + 2s_\alpha \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} f_\alpha(ns_\alpha) \geq \frac{1}{k} + \frac{2}{k} \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} f_\alpha\left(\frac{n}{k-1}\right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{k} + \frac{2}{k} \sum_{n=1}^p f_\alpha\left(\frac{n}{k-1}\right) + \frac{2}{k} \sum_{n=p+1}^{k-1} f_\alpha\left(\frac{n}{k-1}\right) \geq \frac{1}{k} + \frac{2p}{k} f_\alpha\left(\frac{p}{k-1}\right) + \frac{k-p-1}{k}, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\mathcal{E}_{f_\alpha}(s_\alpha \mathbb{Z}) \geq \frac{k-p}{k} + \frac{2p}{k} f_\alpha\left(\frac{p}{k-1}\right) \quad (\text{A.3})$$

for any  $1 \leq p \leq k-1$ . If  $k=4$ , we choose  $p=2$  so we get

$$\mathcal{E}_{f_\alpha}(s_\alpha \mathbb{Z}) \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{1 + (2/3)^\alpha}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

If  $k \geq 5$ , we choose  $p = \lceil k/2 \rceil, \lfloor k/2 \rfloor$  in (A.3) and average the two resulting inequalities to get

$$\mathcal{E}_{f_\alpha}(s_\alpha \mathbb{Z}) \geq \frac{1}{2} + f_\alpha\left(\frac{\lceil k/2 \rceil}{k-1}\right) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{1 + (\lceil k/2 \rceil / (k-1))^\alpha} \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{1 + (3/4)^\alpha}.$$

Combining this with (A.2) and (A.4), we get that in general, if  $s_\alpha < 1$ , then

$$\mathcal{E}_{f_\alpha}(s_\alpha \mathbb{Z}) \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{1 + (3/4)^\alpha}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

On the other hand, for any  $s > 0$ ,

$$\mathcal{E}_{f_\alpha}(s\mathbb{Z}) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{s}{1 + n^\alpha s^\alpha} \leq s + 2s^{1-\alpha} + 2s^{1-\alpha} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^\alpha} \leq s \left(1 + \frac{2}{s^\alpha} + \frac{2}{2^\alpha s^\alpha} \left(\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha-1}\right)\right),$$

from Lemma 3.1. Choosing  $s$  so that  $s^\alpha = 2\alpha$ , we get

$$\mathcal{E}_{f_\alpha}(s\mathbb{Z}) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} s f_\alpha(sn) \leq (2\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha 2^\alpha} \left(\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha-1}\right)\right) = (2\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \left(\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^\alpha(\alpha-1)}\right),$$

and combining this with (A.5), we get

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{1 + (3/4)^\alpha} \leq (2\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \left(\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^\alpha(\alpha-1)}\right),$$

since  $\mathcal{E}_{f_\alpha}(s_\alpha \mathbb{Z}) \leq \mathcal{E}_{f_\alpha}(s\mathbb{Z})$  for any  $s$ . We can check that the above inequality fails if  $\alpha \geq 12$ , so then we see that if  $\alpha \geq 12$ , then  $s_\alpha > 1$ , as desired.  $\square$

Finally, we prove Proposition 3.4, restated below

**Proposition 3.4.** *For  $\alpha \geq 12$ , we can write*

$$s_\alpha^\alpha = \alpha - 2 + \sqrt{(\alpha - 2)^2 - 3} + \mathfrak{G}(\alpha), \quad (3.6)$$

where

$$|\mathfrak{G}(\alpha)| \leq \frac{2.1(\alpha + 1)^3}{2^{\alpha-1}(\alpha - 1)}. \quad (3.7)$$

This bound implies  $2\alpha - 5 \leq s_\alpha^\alpha \leq 2\alpha - 3$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2\alpha - 2} \leq F_\alpha(1) \leq \frac{1}{2\alpha - 4}, \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha - 4}\right) \leq -F'_\alpha(1) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2\alpha - 4}. \quad (3.9)$$

*Proof.* Using Proposition 3.3, for  $\alpha \geq 12$ , we are going to choose  $t > 1$  to minimise  $E_\alpha(t) := \mathcal{E}_{f_\alpha}(t\mathbb{Z})$ . Let

$$\mathfrak{F}_\alpha(t) = t + 2tf_\alpha(t), \quad (A.6)$$

$$\mathfrak{E}_\alpha(t) = 2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} tf_\alpha(nt), \quad (A.7)$$

so that  $E_\alpha(t) = \mathfrak{F}_\alpha(t) + \mathfrak{E}_\alpha(t)$ . Since  $s_\alpha$  minimises  $E_\alpha(t)$ ,  $E'_\alpha(s_\alpha) = 0$ , or

$$\mathfrak{F}'_\alpha(s_\alpha) = -\mathfrak{E}'_\alpha(s_\alpha). \quad (A.8)$$

We start by bounding  $\mathfrak{E}'_\alpha(t)$  for  $t > 1$ .

$$\mathfrak{E}'_\alpha(t) = 2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (f_\alpha(nt) + tnf'_\alpha(nt)).$$

Since we are considering  $t > 1$ , we have  $|f_\alpha(nt)| \leq 1/n^\alpha$  and

$$f'_\alpha(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{1+t^\alpha} \right) = -\frac{\alpha t^{\alpha-1}}{(1+t^\alpha)^2},$$

so

$$|tnf'_\alpha(nt)| = \left| -\frac{\alpha (tn)^\alpha}{(1+(tn)^\alpha)^2} \right| \leq \frac{\alpha}{t^\alpha n^\alpha} \leq \frac{\alpha}{n^\alpha},$$

since  $t \geq 1$ , and so

$$|f_\alpha(nt) + tnf'_\alpha(nt)| \leq \frac{\alpha + 1}{n^\alpha},$$

and so

$$|\mathfrak{E}'_\alpha(t)| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{2\alpha + 2}{n^\alpha} \leq \frac{(\alpha + 1)^2}{2^{\alpha-1}(\alpha - 1)}, \quad (A.9)$$

from Lemma 3.1. We now differentiate  $\mathfrak{F}_\alpha(t)$ . From (A.6),

$$\mathfrak{F}'_\alpha(t) = 1 + 2 \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{t}{1+t^\alpha} \right) = 1 + 2 \left( \frac{1+t^\alpha - \alpha t^\alpha}{(1+t^\alpha)^2} \right) = 1 + 2 \left( \frac{1 - (\alpha - 1)t^\alpha}{(1+t^\alpha)^2} \right).$$

Setting this equal to  $-\mathfrak{E}'_\alpha(t)$  and solving, we get

$$2 \left( \frac{1 - (\alpha - 1)t^\alpha}{(1+t^\alpha)^2} \right) = -1 - \mathfrak{E}'_\alpha(t),$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} 2 - 2(\alpha - 1)t^\alpha &= -(1 + \mathfrak{E}'_\alpha(t))(1 + t^\alpha)^2, \\ 2 - 2(\alpha - 1)t^\alpha + (1 + \mathfrak{E}'_\alpha(t))t^{2\alpha} + 2(1 + \mathfrak{E}'_\alpha(t))t^\alpha + (1 + \mathfrak{E}'_\alpha(t)) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

so we get the equation

$$(1 + \mathfrak{E}'_\alpha(t))t^{2\alpha} + (4 + 2\mathfrak{E}'_\alpha(t) - 2\alpha)t^\alpha + 3 + \mathfrak{E}'_\alpha(t) = 0.$$

$t = s_\alpha$  satisfies this equation, so solving for  $s_\alpha^\alpha$ , we get

$$s_\alpha^\alpha = \frac{\alpha - 2 - \mathfrak{E}'_\alpha(s_\alpha) \pm \sqrt{(\alpha - 2 - \mathfrak{E}'_\alpha(s_\alpha))^2 - (1 + \mathfrak{E}'_\alpha(s_\alpha))(3 + \mathfrak{E}'_\alpha(s_\alpha))}}{1 + \mathfrak{E}'_\alpha(s_\alpha)}.$$

Let

$$H_\alpha^\pm(x) = \frac{\alpha - 2 - x \pm \sqrt{(\alpha - 2 - x)^2 - (1 + x)(3 + x)}}{1 + x}, \quad (\text{A.10})$$

respectively so  $s_\alpha^\alpha$  is one of  $H_\alpha^+(\mathfrak{E}'_\alpha(s_\alpha))$ ,  $H_\alpha^-(\mathfrak{E}'_\alpha(s_\alpha))$ . From (A.9), we can check that if  $\alpha \geq 12$ , the equation implies  $|\mathfrak{E}'_\alpha(s_\alpha)| \leq 0.01$ . In that case, we note if  $|x| \leq 0.01$ , then

$$H_\alpha^-(x) = \frac{3 + x}{\alpha - 2 - x + \sqrt{(\alpha - 2 - x)^2 - (1 + x)(3 + x)}} \leq \frac{3.01}{\alpha - 2.01 + \sqrt{(\alpha - 2.01)^2 - 1.01 \cdot 3.01}} < 1$$

if  $\alpha \geq 12$ . Proposition 3.3 says  $s_\alpha \geq 1$ , so this tells us for  $\alpha \geq 12$ ,  $s_\alpha^\alpha = H_\alpha^+(\mathfrak{E}'_\alpha(s_\alpha))$ . We drop the +, and let  $H_\alpha = H_\alpha^+$ . We now bound the derivative of  $H_\alpha$  for  $|x| \leq 0.01$ . Note

$$(\alpha - 2 - x)^2 - (1 + x)(3 + x) = (\alpha - 2)^2 - 2(\alpha - 2)x + x^2 - x^2 - 4x - 3 = (\alpha - 2)^2 - 2\alpha x - 3,$$

so

$$H_\alpha(x) = \frac{\sqrt{(\alpha - 2)^2 - 2\alpha x - 3}}{1 + x} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{1 + x} - 1.$$

Then

$$H'_\alpha(x) = \frac{\frac{-\alpha(1+x)}{\sqrt{(\alpha-2)^2-2\alpha x-3}} - \sqrt{(\alpha-2)^2-2\alpha x-3}}{(1+x)^2} - \frac{\alpha-1}{(1+x)^2}.$$

If  $|x| \leq 0.01$ , then

$$|H'_\alpha(x)| \leq \frac{1}{(1-0.01)^2} \left( \alpha - 1 + \frac{\alpha(1+x)}{\sqrt{(\alpha-2)^2-2\alpha x-3}} + \sqrt{(\alpha-2)^2-2\alpha x-3} \right).$$

If  $|x| \leq 0.01$ , then

$$(\alpha - 2)^2 - 2\alpha x - 3 \leq \alpha^2 - 4\alpha + 4 + 0.02\alpha - 3 = \alpha^2 - 3.98\alpha + 1 \leq \alpha^2,$$

and

$$(\alpha - 2)^2 - 2\alpha x - 3 \geq \alpha^2 - 4\alpha + 4 - \alpha - 3 = \alpha^2 - 5\alpha + 1 \geq (\alpha - 5)^2,$$

so

$$\alpha - 5 \leq \sqrt{(\alpha - 2)^2 - 2\alpha x - 3} \leq \alpha.$$

Consequently

$$|H'_\alpha(x)| \leq \frac{1}{(1-0.01)^2} \left( \alpha - 1 + \frac{1.01\alpha}{\alpha - 5} + \alpha \right) = \frac{1}{0.99^2} \left( 2\alpha - 1 + 1.01 \left( 1 + \frac{5}{\alpha - 5} \right) \right) \leq \frac{2\alpha + 1}{0.99^2},$$

if  $\alpha \geq 12$  and  $|x| \leq 0.01$ . So then

$$|H_\alpha(x) - H_\alpha(0)| \leq \frac{2\alpha + 1}{0.99^2} |x|,$$

and since  $s_\alpha^\alpha = H_\alpha(\mathfrak{E}'_\alpha(s_\alpha))$ , we get

$$|s_\alpha^\alpha - H_\alpha(0)| \leq \frac{2\alpha + 1}{0.99^2} |\mathfrak{E}'_\alpha(s_\alpha)|.$$

From (A.9),

$$|\mathfrak{E}'_\alpha(t)| \leq \frac{(\alpha + 1)^2}{2^{\alpha-1}(\alpha - 1)},$$

and so at  $t = s_\alpha$

$$|s_\alpha^\alpha - H_\alpha(0)| \leq \frac{(\alpha + 1)^2}{2^{\alpha-1}(\alpha - 1)} \cdot \frac{2\alpha + 1}{0.99^2} \leq \frac{2.1(\alpha + 1)^3}{2^{\alpha-1}(\alpha - 1)}.$$

From (A.10), (recall  $H_\alpha = H_\alpha^+$ )  $H_\alpha(0) = \alpha - 2 + \sqrt{(\alpha - 2)^2 - 3}$ , so we can write if  $\alpha \geq 12$ . We use this to get estimates of  $F_\alpha(1)$  and  $F'_\alpha(1)$ . We note from (3.6) and (3.7) that for  $\alpha \geq 12$ ,  $2\alpha - 5 \leq s_\alpha^\alpha \leq 2\alpha - 3$ . Remember  $F_\alpha(x) = 1/(1 + s_\alpha^\alpha x^\alpha)$ , so  $F_\alpha(1) = (1 + s_\alpha^\alpha)^{-1}$ . This immediately tells us that

$$\frac{1}{2\alpha - 2} \leq F_\alpha(1) \leq \frac{1}{2\alpha - 4}.$$

From (3.4),  $xF'_\alpha(x) = -\alpha F_\alpha(x)(1 - F_\alpha(x))$ . Plugging in  $x = 1$ ,  $-F'_\alpha(1) = \alpha F_\alpha(1)(1 - F_\alpha(1))$ , so

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha - 4}\right) \leq -F'_\alpha(1) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2\alpha - 4}.$$

□