

High-Resolution Agent-Based Modeling of Campus Population Behaviors for Pandemic Response Planning

Hiroki Sayama^{1,2}[0000-0002-2670-5864] and Shun Cao³[0000-0001-7014-0728]

¹ Binghamton University, State University of New York, Binghamton, NY 13902, USA

sayama@binghamton.edu

² Waseda University, Tokyo 169-8050, Japan

³ University of Houston, Sugar Land, TX 77479, USA

scao7@central.uh.edu

Abstract. This paper reports a case study of an application of high-resolution agent-based modeling and simulation to pandemic response planning on a university campus. In the summer of 2020, we were tasked with a COVID-19 pandemic response project to create a detailed behavioral simulation model of the entire campus population at Binghamton University. We conceptualized this problem as an agent migration process on a multilayer transportation network, in which each layer represented a different transportation mode. As no direct data were available about people's behaviors on campus, we collected as much indirect information as possible to inform the agents' behavioral rules. Each agent was assumed to move along the shortest path between two locations within each transportation layer and switch layers at a parking lot or a bus stop, along with several other behavioral assumptions. Using this model, we conducted simulations of the whole campus population behaviors on a typical weekday, involving more than 25,000 agents. We measured the frequency of close social contacts at each spatial location and identified several busy locations and corridors on campus that needed substantial behavioral intervention. Moreover, systematic simulations with varying population density revealed that the effect of population density reduction was nonlinear, and that reducing the population density to 40-45% would be optimal and sufficient to suppress disease spreading on campus. These results were reported to the university administration and utilized in the pandemic response planning, which led to successful outcomes.

Keywords: High-resolution agent-based modeling and simulation · Multilayer transportation network · Pandemic response planning · Mechanistic modeling · Case study.

1 Introduction

The recent COVID-19 pandemic caused an unprecedented level of complex societal challenges to humanity at all scales, ranging from local and regional scales

to national and global scales. It also created a significant societal demand for rapid responses from scientific communities, not only in biomedical and pharmaceutical domains but also in mathematical, computational and complex systems domains in terms of modeling, simulation and analysis of epidemic and other socio-economical dynamics [20]. A wide variety of modeling efforts were made during the pandemic, including traditional compartmental modeling of the pandemic dynamics [17,9], modeling and analysis of the pandemic dynamics and its socio-economic impacts using large-scale human mobility data [6,5,24], and agent-based modeling of disease spreading at regional or national scales (often on synthetic social networks) [21,7,12,14,23], to name a few.

Compared to the popular large-scale disease spreading modeling effort, there was relatively less effort spent for the modeling of human population behaviors in small- to mid-sized organization or community settings. There were some agent-based behavioral modeling studies done at such small- to mid-scales [22,8], but those models were highly stylized, simplistic ones without much realistic physical or social details included. Building a more detailed, more realistic model of the behaviors of specific small- to mid-scale populations would be highly useful and informative for critical decision making of organizational and community administrations (e.g., [10]). In this article, we present a case study of our own effort to develop a high-resolution agent-based model of our university campus population. This project was done in response to the COVID-19 pandemic in May-June 2020¹ when our university administration needed to understand the whole campus-level population behaviors to help their pandemic response planning and public health education and outreach. We will describe the background, methodology, technical details of the developed model, results of systematic simulations conducted using the model, and how they helped the campus community decision makers. We hope this report provides useful documentation that other complex systems researchers may refer to in case they need to grapple with the next pandemic or other crisis events in the future.

2 Background

At the outbreak of COVID-19 in early 2020, many universities and other educational institutions faced an unusually difficult problem: to develop effective mitigation strategies for safely restarting their educational activities without much knowledge about either epidemiological properties of the SARS-CoV2 virus or behavioral dynamics of the individuals on their campuses. Binghamton University was no exception but, as part of the larger State University of New York system, it was required by the New York State to safely reopen the campus by

¹ We were initially not planning to publish a technical paper on this project because the model was very specific to the Binghamton University campus and would not easily generalize to other campuses/communities. However, we have since kept receiving requests for technical details of this project, which has convinced us to write this article to share our experience, almost four years later.

the Fall 2020 semester. The university administration therefore formed a pandemic response taskforce team, in which one of the authors (HS) worked on various epidemic modeling/prediction and data visualization tasks since April 2020 [19].

At one of the online taskforce meetings in the early stage of the pandemic, the university administration realized a need for a detailed behavioral simulation model of the entire campus population. Using such a model, they could gain better understanding of people’s behavioral patterns and their interactions so as to make informed decisions on how much portion of classes should go online, and also to conduct educational outreach to the campus community on the scientific rationale for their decisions and the importance of social distancing and other public health practices. The authors were tasked by the administration to work on this modeling project on May 15th, 2020. This was an intense, dynamic, time-critical, non-stop modeling project that lasted for three weeks. All the modeling and systematic experiments were completed by June 6th, 2020 (total project period: 22 days). This article aims to summarize the experience we gained through working on this project, which demonstrates how complex systems tools such as agent-based modeling can be applied to concrete real-world challenges at local/organizational levels.

3 Methodology

It was quite apparent from the beginning of the project that the task assigned to us was an extremely difficult one, because no direct empirical data were available for individual students’ or employees’ actual behavioral trajectories. Unlike some other regional or national scale studies, our model was about human movements at much smaller spatial (10^0 – 10^3 meters) and temporal (10^0 – 10^3 minutes) scales. No such high-resolution behavioral data were available for the target population we were supposed to model, and hence typical data science/machine learning/AI modeling approaches were not useful at all in this project.

We therefore tackled this challenge using a mechanistic modeling approach. Rather than trying to analyze empirical data and find patterns in them, we aimed at creating a first principle-based mechanistic model of human individuals’ behaviors and thereby reconstructing a hypothetical (yet reasonably realistic) virtual campus population in simulation. Each individual agent’s behavior was conceptualized as a migration process on a multilayer transportation network, in which each layer would represent a different transportation mode (i.e., on foot or by car/bus).

To comprehensively map the intricate geographical structure of the university’s main campus, including vehicle roadways, pedestrian paths, buildings, parking lots, and other facilities, we manually and meticulously extracted locational data from Google Earth [1]². We used the “placemark” tool [3] to collect precise longitude and latitude values for each point of interest. For both

² Detailed geographical data were not directly downloadable from Google Earth.




Fig. 1. The multilayer transportation network of the Binghamton University main campus reconstructed using the data manually extracted from Google Earth. Thick lines are roadways for vehicles, whereas thin lines are pedestrian pathways. Pink/orange/green/blue circles indicate locations of buildings/food places/residence halls/parking spaces, respectively.

roadways and pedestrian paths, we recorded adequate spatial data points to accurately depict their (often curved or bent) trajectories. Similarly, for larger parking lots, buildings, or facilities with more than one exit, we gathered multiple location points to provide insight into their internal layout of pathways. This comprehensive locational information forms the basis for constructing two distinct networks: a vehicle roadway network and a pedestrian pathway network. Figure 1 visualizes these networks. Within these networks, each node corresponds to a specific location on campus, while each edge represents the connection between two adjacent locations on either roadways or pedestrian pathways. Note that the roadway network is structured as a directed network, accommodating both one-way and two-way traffic flow configurations.

To inform the agents' behavioral rules, we collected a wide variety of indirect information about the campus's daily behaviors from various divisions/offices of the university, including the following:

- Course schedules and allocated classrooms (from Fall 2019)*
- Locations and sizes/capacities of classrooms (from Fall 2019)*
- Individual students' residence hall assignments (from Fall 2019, anonymized)*
- Individual students' course registration records (from Fall 2019, anonymized)*

- Individual employees’ FTEs (full-time equivalents) and office locations (from Fall 2019 or Spring 2020?, anonymized)*³
- Locations of classroom/office buildings and residence halls (from Spring 2020)⁺
- Locations of food places (food court, coffee kiosk, etc., from Spring 2020)⁺
- Locations of parking lots (from Spring 2020)⁺
- Capacities of residence halls (from Spring 2020)[†]
- Capacities of parking lots (from Spring 2020)[†]
- Hourly occupancy rates of parking lots (from Fall 2018)^{†4}
- Parking garage and paid lot entries (from Fall 2019)[‡]
- Bus routes and arrival/departure times (from Fall 2019)[‡]
- Bus boarding/alighting counts by bus stop (from Fall 2019)[‡]

The sources of the above data were as follows:

* Office of Course Building and Academic Space Management (CBASM), Binghamton University

+ Google Earth

† Division of Student Affairs, Binghamton University

‡ Office of Transportation and Parking Services, Binghamton University

When we were collecting these data, it was not clear to us which data would be useful or not useful for our modeling purposes; we simply gathered as much different kinds of data as we could. What was quite clear, however, was that none of these datasets would provide direct information about individuals’ detailed behaviors and that there would be no automatic data science/machine learning/AI tools that do magic to automatically convert them into a reasonable simulation model. We therefore spent a lot of time and effort to review, clean, and examine these information pieces to come up with ideas of how we could stitch them together (as needed and appropriate) to paint a *mechanistic* picture of what would be the most plausible dynamics taking place on campus. This effort might be somewhat similar to crime scene reconstruction—no one witnessed the scene directly, but there were several indirect traces of the crime, and we as the detectives had to *think* a lot ourselves to figure out what would have happened at the scene. To make this task manageable and practical, we decided to focus on the campus behavior on a typical Tuesday in a Fall semester (i.e., not to model for the whole week), and started building a detailed mechanistic agent-based model, as described below.

4 Agent-Based Model

We developed a high-resolution agent-based model that simulates the detailed movements of individual students/employees on the Binghamton University campus from early morning until evening on a typical Tuesday of a Fall semester.

³ The exact date of this employee dataset is uncertain. Also, it was limited only to the university employees, because the information about employees of external vendors working on campus were not available to the university administration.

⁴ Only this dataset was from 2018.

The campus was represented as a multilayer transportation network as shown in Fig. 1. The model simulated a total of about 17,000 individual agents (\approx total number of individuals that live in or come to the Binghamton University campus in one day) together with about 10,000 vehicles (\approx total number of individuals that commute from outside the campus in one day), each of which was simulated with unique behavioral parameters and attributes estimated and reconstructed according to the collected data.

We implemented the model in Python 3 using NetworkX [11] for network representation and visualization. We also used PyCX [18] for dynamic interactive visualization of simulation results. All the coding work was done in a single plain Jupyter Notebook environment, since the model development was highly iterative with a lot of experimentations and parameter/setting adjustments involved and needed to be able to swiftly respond to continuously changing information and requirements. The developed model (a Jupyter notebook) and some animations of simulation results are available from the authors' GitHub page [2].

We assumed that each individual agent first develops a plan of the day, which is a list of "(time, location)" pairs that it needs to be present at according to its class schedule or work duties. This plan is then expanded to be more detailed about the departure and arrival times at each location by calculating the estimated transportation time it needs for each move along the shortest path on a transportation network. The starting/ending location of the plan of an on-campus residential student agent is the residence hall they live in, whereas that of an off-campus student or employee agent is randomly chosen from the corner intersections of the roadways that surround the campus, assuming that the agent commutes by car or bus via the chosen entry/exit point. Those commuting agents plan to get off the bus at one of the bus stops or park their car at an available parking lot, where they switch the mode of transportation from driving to walking (and they do the opposite at the same location when they go back home later in the day). Those bus stops and parking lots are represented as common nodes that appear in both layers of the transportation network. To make the simulation simple, all the commuting agents pre-select and claim a specific parking space to use beforehand (i.e., no on-the-spot search for an open parking space).

There are some more individual details in the agents' plans. For example, on-campus residential student agents may plan to go back to their residence hall in the middle of the day if there is a sufficiently long break between classes. All agents plan to go to the nearest food place at an appropriate lunch time according to their schedule (if there is enough time to do so). Finally, we also added some individual behavioral variations in the agents' plans in terms of how fast they can walk, how punctual they plan to be at the classes, and so on.

Once all the agents complete developing their daily plans, the model simulates their actual behaviors (entry, movements, exit) in discrete time steps from 6:30am to 10:30pm (1 step = 1 minute in real life; total length of one simulation = 16 hours, or 960 steps). An agent's location is represented by a combination of which edge it is on and how far it has traversed that edge; this representa-




Fig. 2. A snapshot of a sample simulation run. Tiny green/black dots represent student/employee agents, respectively, and small blue dots on roadways represent vehicles. Green disks in the central area represent buildings, with the disk size scaled according to the number of agents inside the building. Blue disks represent parking spaces, with the disk size scaled according to the number of cars parked there. The red-orange-ish heatmap displayed in the background shows the density of close social contacts occurring in each area (spatial diffusion and exponential decay operations were applied to enhance the visibility and interpretability).

tion allows for more continuous minute-by-minute movement simulation than simple edge-hoppings on a network. Furthermore, when an agent is moving on foot on a pedestrian pathway and too many other agents are nearby, its speed of movement slows nonlinearly down to 50%, which simulates the effect of congestion (which is often seen on campus). This traffic congestion effect may make agents' behaviors deviate from their original plans. Figure 2 shows a snapshot of a sample simulation run, in which the locations of agents (students, employees, vehicles), building occupancies, and parking occupancies are visually presented.

This model allowed for detecting and counting the occurrence of close social contacts, defined as two agents getting closer to each other in less than six-foot (≈ 2 meters) distance for 10 minutes or more, as an outcome measure of a simulation. For close social contacts that would be taking place within an indoor space (a building or a classroom represented as a single node in the network that has many agents on top of it), we estimated their number nCC using the following formula:

$$nCC = \max \left\{ \left(\frac{N}{A/a} - 1 \right) \frac{N}{2}, 0 \right\} \quad (1)$$

Here N is the total number of agents occupying the space, A the area of the space, and a the area of a three-foot-radius disk (i.e., personal space that should be maintained clear). The above formula roughly estimates the average number of close neighbors each agent has $(N/(A/a) - 1)$ and then multiplies it by the number of agents, divided by 2 (since each close contact would be counted twice in two directions). These detected or estimated close social contacts were counted cumulatively in a 200×200 spatial cellular grid and visualized as a background heatmap as well (Fig. 2). Spatial diffusion and exponential decay operations were also implemented on this background spatial grid, just to enhance the visibility and interpretability of the spatio-temporal dynamics of close social contacts.

Before conducting a set of systematic numerical simulations, we manually explored and calibrated various model assumptions and parameters so that the model behavior would match the reality reasonably well. For this purpose, we chose the daily parking occupancy rate as the target variable to use to evaluate the model validity, as it was the only observational behavioral data that we could compare the model with. Figure 3 shows visual comparison (Fig. 3(a)) and statistical regression (Fig. 3(b)) between the actual data and the simulated result of the parking openings in each parking lot and every two-hour time point from 8:00am to 6:00pm. The simulated result showed a reasonably high correlation with the actual data ($R^2 = 0.768909$), which gave us some assurance that the developed model would not be so far off from the reality.

More details of the model can be found in the simulator code posted to the author’s GitHub page [2].

5 Results

Using the calibrated model, we conducted a series of simulations of the campus population behaviors. Figure 4 shows a summary density map that visualizes the cumulative frequencies of close social contacts for the whole campus population over the entire duration of simulation (a whole day). This map clearly shows several busy locations and corridors in the campus that would experience high frequencies of close social contacts, where appropriate behavioral intervention would be needed.

Moreover, having a mechanistic agent-based model enabled us to conduct systematic simulations with varying population density. Figure 5 shows summary density maps obtained with reduced population density by randomly removing a certain fraction of agents from the simulation (Fig. 5(a): 50% population density, Fig. 5(b): 25% population density). These results show that reducing the number of individuals present on campus clearly helps reduce the risk of disease spreading via close social contacts.

Figure 6 summarizes the results of population density sweep simulations, plotting the average number of close social contacts per individual agent per day as a function of the campus population density. This result revealed that the effect of population density reduction was nonlinear. From an economical viewpoint considering the return on investment (Fig. 6, orange dotted line),




Fig. 3. Comparison of the numbers of parking openings between the actual data and the simulation result using a calibrated model. (a) Heatmaps showing the number of parking openings along space (x-axis: parking lot ID) and time (y-axis: two-hour time points from 8:00am to 6:00pm). The top and bottom maps visualize the actual data and the simulated result, respectively, which show reasonable similarity. (b) Result of linear regression between the actual data and the simulated result (plotted in log-log scales, which makes the fitted linear line curvy). Each blue dot represents values for a specific space-time pair. The linear line fit shows high correlation ($R^2 = 0.768909$).




Fig. 4. Summary density map visualizing the cumulative frequencies of close social contacts for the whole campus population over the entire duration of simulation (a whole day). A “C”-shaped high-contact area is clearly visible, which connects the food court (top right of the “C”) to the Lecture Hall (left of the “C”) and then to the bus terminal (bottom right of the “C”). Another clearly visible dot inside the “C” corresponds to the Library Tower, which has a popular coffee kiosk on the ground floor.




Fig. 5. Summary density maps visualizing the cumulative frequencies of close social contacts with reduced population density. (a) 50% of normal population density. (b) 25% of normal population density.




Fig. 6. Results of population density sweep simulations. The thick curve shows the result of the simulations (color gradation added to visualize the risk of disease spreading). The grey diagonal line is a reference showing a hypothetical linear scenario in which $x\%$ of population density reduction results in $x\%$ of reduction of close social contacts. The orange dotted line is parallel to the grey reference line, showing the economically optimal point (about 40-50%). The blue dashed horizontal line shows an epidemiologically estimated threshold value of close social contact frequency at which the basic reproduction number of the disease is 1. See text for more details.

reducing the population density down to 40-50% of the normal level would produce more benefits (i.e., percentage reduction of close social contact frequency) than investments made (i.e., percentage reduction of population density). We also considered the critical value of close social contacts per individual per day from an epidemiological viewpoint. More specifically, we used the then-available conservative estimates of the duration in which a COVID-19 patient remains asymptomatic yet infective ($d = 4$ days; source: [16]) and the COVID-19 infection probability per close social contact ($p_i = 0.05$; source: [13]). With these, the basic reproduction number R_0 of the disease can be represented as

$$R_0 = dnp_i, \quad (2)$$

where n is the number of close social contacts per individual per day. Letting $R_0 = 1$ gives $n = 5$ (Fig. 6, blue dashed line), implying that if each agent experienced fewer than five close social contacts per day, then the disease would likely not spread within the campus.

Based on these results, we concluded that reducing the campus population density to 40-45% of the normal level would be optimal and sufficient to suppress

disease spreading within the campus. These outcomes as well as several visualization and presentation materials were submitted to the university administration. By considering them as well as a number of other logistic, administrative and educational factors, the administration eventually developed a plan to make a number of courses go online and operate the campus at about 40% of the normal population density. Also, the presentation produced from this project was used for communication and public outreach purposes [15,4] to provide the scientific rationale for the administrative decisions and also to increase the awareness of the importance of social distancing and other public health practices. As a result, thanks to this and countless other COVID-19 mitigation efforts implemented on campus, Binghamton University successfully completed the Fall 2020 semester without a major cluster infection incident or a full campus shutdown.

6 Conclusions

In this article, we documented a case study of an application of high-resolution agent-based modeling to the pandemic response planning of a university at an early stage of the COVID-19 pandemic. This illustrates how complex systems researchers can make significant contributions to societal/organizational decision making and policymaking at a crisis time with great uncertainty. In particular, we emphasize the importance of creating a causal, mechanistic model of complex systems based on logic, common-sense reasoning and first principles. Such an approach becomes extremely powerful and necessary especially when society faces an unprecedented situation for which little to no data or prior knowledge would be available (and thus data science/machine learning/AI methodologies would not be helpful). In such situations, gaining qualitative insight and exploring various hypothetical scenarios using mechanistic models can be much more valuable and more practical than trying to make accurate, well-validated predictions using a very limited amount of data. The crisis will not wait until researchers gain an enough amount of data to conduct rigorous quantitative studies.

It should be pointed out that the model developed in this project was far from perfect and it ignored a large number of important factors, including (but not limited to):

1. Physical details and interactions among agents
2. Width/multiple lanes of pathways
3. Size of roadway/pathway intersections
4. Detailed locations of classrooms, offices and dormitory rooms inside each building
5. Adaptive re-routing behaviors of agents when they face congestion
6. Close social contacts inside dormitory rooms
7. Morning/evening behaviors (e.g., having breakfast/dinner at dining halls, after-five partying)
8. Detailed bus schedules and routes
9. Commuters' behavior to adaptively search for an open parking space on the spot

10. Traffic to/from the outside of the campus during the day
11. Other campus locations

We also note that our model was highly specific to the Binghamton University campus and its unique spatio-temporal structures, which would not be easily generalizable to other university campuses or facilities. It would be rather difficult to develop a general-purpose simulation platform for similar modeling projects because the relevant variables, factors, and available data will greatly depend on specific local situations, and the information needed by local decision makers will also vary greatly. We believe what is most important is to develop a highly collaborative, adaptive, agile multidisciplinary team in which complex systems modelers work closely with administrators, decision makers, public health professionals, social science researchers, and other experts. We ourselves gained a lot of valuable experience through such agile multidisciplinary collaboration in this project. We hope the general approach and take-home lessons summarized in this article can be helpful for other researchers and practitioners to be prepared for future pandemic or other crises.

Acknowledgments. The authors thank the Binghamton University administration for financial support and provision of various data that were utilized in the modeling.

Disclosure of Interests. The authors have no competing interests to declare that are relevant to the content of this article. The content and opinions expressed in this article are strictly of the authors only and have not been reviewed or approved by Binghamton University.

References

1. Google Earth. <https://earth.google.com/>, accessed: 2024-05-17
2. High-resolution agent-based modeling of campus population behaviors for pandemic response planning. <https://github.com/hsayama/campus-population-behavior-ABM/>, accessed: 2024-05-17
3. Placemark website. <https://www.placemark.io/>, accessed: 2024-05-17
4. Restarting Binghamton: Using systems science to analyze campus density. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mC6pyd3DxBA>, accessed: 2024-05-17
5. Aleta, A., Martín-Corral, D., Bakker, M.A., Pastore y Piontti, A., Ajelli, M., Litvinova, M., Chinazzi, M., Dean, N.E., Halloran, M.E., Longini Jr, I.M., et al.: Quantifying the importance and location of SARS-CoV-2 transmission events in large metropolitan areas. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **119**(26), e2112182119 (2022)
6. Aleta, A., Martín-Corral, D., Pastore y Piontti, A., Ajelli, M., Litvinova, M., Chinazzi, M., Dean, N.E., Halloran, M.E., Longini Jr, I.M., Merler, S., et al.: Modelling the impact of testing, contact tracing and household quarantine on second waves of COVID-19. *Nature Human Behaviour* **4**(9), 964–971 (2020)
7. Almagor, J., Picascia, S.: Exploring the effectiveness of a COVID-19 contact tracing app using an agent-based model. *Scientific Reports* **10**(1), 22235 (2020)
8. Cuevas, E.: An agent-based model to evaluate the COVID-19 transmission risks in facilities. *Computers in Biology and Medicine* **121**, 103827 (2020)

9. Dashtbali, M., Mirzaie, M.: A compartmental model that predicts the effect of social distancing and vaccination on controlling COVID-19. *Scientific Reports* **11**(1), 8191 (2021)
10. Gressman, P.T., Peck, J.R.: Simulating COVID-19 in a university environment. *Mathematical Biosciences* **328**, 108436 (2020)
11. Hagberg, A., Swart, P., S Chult, D.: Exploring network structure, dynamics, and function using NetworkX. Tech. rep., Los Alamos National Lab.(LANL), Los Alamos, NM (United States) (2008)
12. Hinch, R., Probert, W.J., Nurtay, A., Kendall, M., Wymant, C., Hall, M., Lythgoe, K., Bulas Cruz, A., Zhao, L., Stewart, A., et al.: OpenABM-Covid19—an agent-based model for non-pharmaceutical interventions against COVID-19 including contact tracing. *PLoS Computational Biology* **17**(7), e1009146 (2021)
13. Jing, Q.L., Liu, M.J., Zhang, Z.B., Fang, L.Q., Yuan, J., Zhang, A.R., Dean, N.E., Luo, L., Ma, M.M., Longini, I., et al.: Household secondary attack rate of COVID-19 and associated determinants in Guangzhou, China: a retrospective cohort study. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* **20**(10), 1141–1150 (2020)
14. Kerr, C.C., Stuart, R.M., Mistry, D., Abeysuriya, R.G., Rosenfeld, K., Hart, G.R., Núñez, R.C., Cohen, J.A., Selvaraj, P., Hagedorn, B., et al.: Covasim: an agent-based model of COVID-19 dynamics and interventions. *PLOS Computational Biology* **17**(7), e1009149 (2021)
15. Kocher, C.: Restarting Binghamton: Systems science and industrial engineering help to guide plan’s safety, efficiency. <https://www.binghamton.edu/news/story/2604/restarting-binghamton-systems-science-helps-to-guide-plans-safety-efficiency> (August 21, 2020), accessed: 2024-05-17
16. Kucirka, L.M., Lauer, S.A., Laeyendecker, O., Boon, D., Lessler, J.: Variation in false-negative rate of reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction-based SARS-CoV-2 tests by time since exposure. *Annals of Internal Medicine* **173**(4), 262–267 (2020)
17. Radulescu, A., Williams, C., Cavanagh, K.: Management strategies in a SEIR-type model of COVID 19 community spread. *Scientific Reports* **10**(1), 21256 (2020)
18. Sayama, H.: PyCX: a python-based simulation code repository for complex systems education. *Complex Adaptive Systems Modeling* **1**, 1–10 (2013)
19. Sayama, H.: How artificial life researchers can help address complex societal challenges. In: *ALIFE 2021: The 2021 Conference on Artificial Life*. No. isal_a_00467, MIT Press (2021)
20. Siegenfeld, A.F., Bar-Yam, Y.: An introduction to complex systems science and its applications. *Complexity* **2020**, 1–16 (2020)
21. Silva, P.C., Batista, P.V., Lima, H.S., Alves, M.A., Guimarães, F.G., Silva, R.C.: COVID-ABS: An agent-based model of COVID-19 epidemic to simulate health and economic effects of social distancing interventions. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals* **139**, 110088 (2020)
22. Stevens, H.: Why outbreaks like coronavirus spread exponentially, and how to “flatten the curve”. <https://www.washingtonpost.com/graphics/2020/world/corona-simulator/> (March 14, 2020), accessed: 2024-05-17
23. Ventura, P.C., Aleta, A., Rodrigues, F.A., Moreno, Y.: Epidemic spreading in populations of mobile agents with adaptive behavioral response. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals* **156**, 111849 (2022)
24. Yabe, T., Bueno, B.G.B., Dong, X., Pentland, A., Moro, E.: Behavioral changes during the COVID-19 pandemic decreased income diversity of urban encounters. *Nature Communications* **14**(1), 2310 (2023)