

# Elliptic Quantum Toroidal Algebras, $Z$ -algebra Structure and Representations

Hitoshi Konno<sup>†</sup> and Kazuyuki Oshima<sup>\*</sup>

<sup>†</sup>*Department of Mathematics, Tokyo University of Marine Science and Technology,  
Etchujima, Koto, Tokyo 135-8533, Japan*

*hkonno0@kaiyodai.ac.jp*

<sup>\*</sup>*Center for General Education, Aichi Institute of Technology,  
Yakusa-cho, Toyota 470-0392, Japan*

*oshima@aitech.ac.jp*

## Abstract

We introduce a new elliptic quantum toroidal algebra  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  associated with an arbitrary toroidal algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{tor}$ . We show that  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  contains two elliptic quantum algebras associated with a corresponding affine Lie algebra  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$  as subalgebras. They are analogue of the horizontal and the vertical subalgebras in the quantum toroidal algebra  $U_{q,\kappa}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$ . A Hopf algebroid structure is introduced as a co-algebra structure of  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  using the Drinfeld comultiplication. We also investigate the  $Z$ -algebra structure of  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  and show that the  $Z$ -algebra governs the irreducibility of the level  $(k(\neq 0), l)$ -infinite dimensional  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$ -modules in the same way as in the elliptic quantum group  $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ . As an example, we construct the level  $(1, l)$  irreducible representation of  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  for the simply laced  $\mathfrak{g}_{tor}$ . We also construct the level  $(0, 1)$  representation of  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{gl}_{N,tor})$  and discuss a conjecture on its geometric interpretation as an action on the torus equivariant elliptic cohomology of the affine  $A_{N-1}$  quiver variety.

## 1 Introduction

Quantum toroidal algebras were introduced in [39]. Let  $\mathfrak{g}_{tor}$  denote a toroidal algebra associated with a complex semisimple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , i.e.  $\mathfrak{g}_{tor}$  is a canonical two-dimensional central extension of the double loop Lie algebra  $\mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  [39]. We denote by  $U_{q,\kappa}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  the quantum toroidal algebra associated with  $\mathfrak{g}_{tor}$ . Let  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$  denote an untwisted affine Lie algebra associated with  $\mathfrak{g}$ , i.e.  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$  is a one-dimensional canonical central extension of the loop Lie algebra  $\mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ . The quantum toroidal algebra  $U_{q,\kappa}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  was formulated as an extension of the quantum affine algebra  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$  in the Drinfeld realization [20] by replacing the finite type Cartan matrix in the latter with the affine type generalized Cartan matrix. For the case  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_N$  one can introduce an extra parameter

$\kappa$  [39] due to a cyclic property of the affine Dynkin diagram of  $A_{N-1}$  type. Representation theory of  $U_{q,\kappa}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  has been developed by many works [29,31,32,41,64–66,69,70,78,80,87,88]. Among others, we would like to mention the following results in the case  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_N$  with  $N \geq 3$ : a toroidal analogue of the Schur duality [86] between  $U_{q,\kappa}(\mathfrak{gl}_{N,tor})$  and the double affine Hecke algebra [16],  $q$ -Fock space representations [29, 80, 87], a family of quasi-finite representations including the Macmahon modules [29]. Their application to a calculation of the instanton partition function on the ALE space was also discussed in [7]. See also a development of representations in terms of the shuffle algebra [71, 85].

On the other hand, the case  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_1$  the quantum toroidal algebra  $U_{q,t}(\mathfrak{gl}_{1,tor})$  was introduced in [67] as a  $q, t$ -deformation of the  $W_{1+\infty}$  algebra, and various representations have been studied [4, 11, 27, 28, 30, 33, 34, 67]. It is remarkable that  $U_{q,t}(\mathfrak{gl}_{1,tor})$  is isomorphic to the elliptic Hall algebra [27,81–83]. A deep connection of representations of  $U_{q,t}(\mathfrak{gl}_{1,tor})$  to the Macdonald theory was clarified [27,33,34,37,67,82]. Representations of  $U_{q,t}(\mathfrak{gl}_{1,tor})$  were also applied to the 5d and 6d lifts of the 4d  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  SUSY gauge theories known as the linear quiver gauge theories, such as a calculation of the instanton partition functions [73] and a study of the higher dimensional analogue of the Alday-Gaiotto-Tachikawa (AGT) correspondence [2]. See for example [4, 5, 14, 15, 68, 75, 89]. There are some different approaches based on the elliptic Hall algebra [84] and the shuffle algebra [72]. For the affine Yangian case see [63].

In the previous paper [59], we introduced the elliptic quantum toroidal algebra  $U_{q,t,p}(\mathfrak{gl}_{1,tor})$  as an elliptic analogue of  $U_{q,t}(\mathfrak{gl}_{1,tor})$ . Constructing representations including the level  $(0,0)$  representation realized in terms of the elliptic Ruijsenaars difference operator, we discussed a deep connection of  $U_{q,t,p}(\mathfrak{gl}_{1,tor})$  with an expected elliptic analogue of the Macdonald symmetric functions. We also showed that  $U_{q,t,p}(\mathfrak{gl}_{1,tor})$  realizes the affine quiver  $W$  algebra  $W_{q,t}(\Gamma(\widehat{A}_0))$  proposed in [51]. Namely, the elliptic currents and a composition of the vertex operators of  $U_{q,t,p}(\mathfrak{gl}_{1,tor})$  give a realization of the screening currents and the generating currents of  $W_{q,t}(\Gamma(\widehat{A}_0))$ , respectively. It is also remarkable that such realization provides a relevant scheme to the instanton calculus of the 5d and 6d lifts of the 4d  $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$  SUSY gauge theories, i.e. the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  SUSY gauge theories coupled with the adjoint matter [73, 74], known as the Jordan quiver gauge theories<sup>1</sup>. Hence we obtained a new AGT type correspondence. Here one of the essential feature is that  $U_{q,t,p}(\mathfrak{gl}_{1,tor})$  possesses the four parameters  $q, t, p, p^*$  with one constraint  $p/p^* = t/q$  in the level  $(1, N)$  representation, which play the role of the  $SU(4)$   $\Omega$  deformation parameters [74].

A connection of the elliptic quantum algebras to the deformed  $W$  algebras is quite natural.

---

<sup>1</sup>It is also called the ADHM quiver gauge theories.

For example, the elliptic quantum group  $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$  can be regarded as a  $q$ -deformation of the Feigin-Fuchs construction [26], i.e. a systematic procedure of constructing  $W$  algebra as the Goddard-Kent-Olive (GKO) coset theory  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{r-h^\vee-k} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_k \supset \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{r-h^\vee}$  [9, 17, 18, 40, 50, 62, 76] by considering a  $r$ -deformation of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_k$ . Here  $k$  denotes a level of representation of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ , and a  $q$ -deformation of  $r$  becomes the *elliptic nome*  $p = q^{2r}$  in  $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ . Then the ordinary deformation parameters  $q, t$  are identified with  $p, p^* = pq^{-2k}$  in  $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ . See [53, 58]<sup>2</sup>. The importance of considering the elliptic quantum group  $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$  lies in the fact that it provides an algebraic structure i.e. a co-algebra structure, which enables us to define and construct the vertex operators of the deformed  $W$ -algebras as intertwining operators of the  $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -modules [54, 58].

The aim of this paper is to introduce a new elliptic quantum toroidal algebra  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  as a  $p$ -deformation of the quantum toroidal algebra  $U_{q,\kappa}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$ . We formulate  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  by generators and relations in the same scheme as the elliptic quantum group  $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$  [23, 44, 53, 56, 58]. In particular, we clarify the  $Z$ -algebra structure of  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$ , which has not yet investigated even in the trigonometric case  $U_{q,\kappa}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  systematically. We show that the  $Z$ -algebras of  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  and  $U_{q,\kappa}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  are the same except for that the former depends on the dynamical parameters. This feature should be essential to  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  having a characterization as a  $q$ -deformation of the Feigin-Fuchs construction i.e. a  $q$ -deformed  $W$  algebra. See the case  $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$  [23]. We also construct important representations such as the level  $(1, l)$  ones for simply laced  $\mathfrak{g}_{tor}$  and the  $(0, 1)$  representation for  $\mathfrak{gl}_{N,tor}$  with  $N \geq 3$ . In separate publications, we will show that  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  provides a realization of a  $q$ -deformation of the quiver  $W$ -algebra  $W_{p,p^*}(\Gamma)$  associated with the affine Dynkin diagram  $\Gamma$  [51].

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec.2, after reviewing basic facts in the quantum toroidal algebra  $U_{q,\kappa}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$ , we give a definition of the elliptic quantum toroidal algebra  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$ . We show that  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  contains two elliptic quantum algebras isomorphic to  $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$  as subalgebras. These are analogue of the horizontal and the vertical subalgebras in  $U_{q,\kappa}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  [39]. In Sec.3 we introduce the Hopf algebroid structure as a co-algebra structure of  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  using the Drinfeld comultiplication. In Sec.4, we define the dynamical representation of  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$ . In Sec.5, we discuss the  $Z$ -algebra structure. We show that the  $Z$ -algebra governs the irreducibility of the infinite dimensional  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$ -modules in the same way as in  $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$  [23]. As an example, we give the level  $(1, l)$  irreducible representation of  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  for the simply laced  $\mathfrak{g}_{tor}$ . In Sec.6 we construct the level  $(0, 1)$  representation of  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{gl}_{N,tor})$  and discuss a conjecture on its geometric interpretation as an action of  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{gl}_{N,tor})$  on the

---

<sup>2</sup>It is also interesting to remark that the elliptic quantum group  $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_N)$  was essentially realized in the tensor product of the quantum toroidal algebra  $U_{q,t}(\mathfrak{gl}_{1,tor})$  [11, 37, 38].

torus equivariant elliptic cohomology of the affine  $A_{N-1}$  quiver variety. Appendix A is a list of formulas, which is used to discuss the  $Z$ -algebra structure in Sec.5. Appendix B is a direct proof of the level  $(0, 1)$  representation of  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{N,tor})$ .

A part of the results in Sec.2, 3, 4, 6 have been presented by H.K. at several workshops [57].

## 2 Elliptic Quantum Toroidal Algebras $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$

After reviewing the quantum toroidal algebra  $U_{q,\kappa}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  [39, 79], we introduce the elliptic quantum toroidal algebra  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$ . Through this paper  $q, p, \kappa \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  are generic complex numbers.

### 2.1 Quantum toroidal algebras $U_{q,\kappa}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a simple Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$  the corresponding untwisted affine Lie algebra with the generalized Cartan matrix  $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ ,  $I = \{0, 1, \dots, N\}$ ,  $\text{rank} A = N$ . We denote by  $B = (b_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ ,  $b_{ij} = d_i a_{ij}$  the symmetrization of  $A$ . We set  $q_i = q^{d_i}$ . We also use the notations for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$[n] = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$[n]_i = \frac{q_i^n - q_i^{-n}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}, \quad [n]_i! = [n]_i \cdots [1]_i, \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_i = \frac{[n]_i!}{[n-k]_i! [k]_i!}. \quad (2.2)$$

We fix a realization  $(\mathfrak{h}, \Pi, \Pi^\vee)$  of  $A$ , that is,  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a  $N + 2$ -dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space,  $\Pi = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N\} \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$  a set of simple roots, and  $\Pi^\vee = \{h_0, h_1, \dots, h_N\} \subset \mathfrak{h}$  a set of simple coroots satisfying  $\langle \alpha_j, h_i \rangle = a_{ij}$  ( $i, j \in I$ ) for a canonical pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathfrak{h}^* \times \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . We also set  $\mathcal{Q} = \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z} \alpha_i$ . We take  $\{h_1, \dots, h_N, c, d\}$  as the basis of  $\mathfrak{h}$  and  $\{\bar{\Lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\Lambda}_N, \Lambda_0, \delta\}$  the dual basis satisfying

$$\langle \delta, d \rangle = 1 = \langle \Lambda_0, c \rangle, \quad \langle \bar{\Lambda}_i, h_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j}, \quad (2.3)$$

with the other pairings being 0. Denote also by  $(a_0^\vee, a_1^\vee, \dots, a_N^\vee)$  the co-label of the affine Dynkin diagram [49].

Let  $M = (m_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$  be a matrix defined by

$$m_{ij} = \begin{cases} \delta_{i,j+1} - \delta_{i+1,j} & \text{for } \mathfrak{g} = A_N \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}. \quad (2.4)$$

**Definition 2.1.** [39] *Let  $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  be an additional parameter. The quantum toroidal algebra  $U_{q,\kappa}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  is a unital  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra generated by*

$$a_{i,l}^\vee, \quad X_{i,n}^+, \quad X_{i,n}^-, \quad k_i^{\pm 1}, \quad q^{\pm c/2}, \quad q^d \quad (i \in I, \quad l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Set the generating functions called the Drinfeld currents as

$$\begin{aligned} X_i^+(z) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} X_{i,n}^+ z^{-n}, & X_i^-(z) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} X_{i,n}^- z^{-n}, \\ \Phi_i^\pm(z) &= k_i^{\pm 1} \exp \left( \pm (q_i - q_i^{-1}) \sum_{n > 0} a_{i,\pm n}^\vee z^{\mp n} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then the defining relations are given in terms of the Drinfeld currents as follows.

$$q^{\pm c/2}, \quad \prod_{i \in I} k_i^{\pm a_i^\vee} : \text{ central }, \quad (2.5)$$

$$k_i k_i^{-1} = 1 = k_i^{-1} k_i, \quad [k_i, k_j] = 0 = [k_i, a_{j,k}^\vee], \quad (2.6)$$

$$[q^d, k_i^{\pm 1}] = 0, \quad [q^d, a_{i,l}^\vee] = q^l a_{i,l}^\vee, \quad [q^d, X_i^\pm(z)] = X_i^\pm(q^{-1}z), \quad (2.7)$$

$$k_i X_j^+(z) k_i^{-1} = q^{\langle \alpha_j, h_i \rangle} X_j^+(z), \quad k_i X_j^-(z) k_i^{-1} = q^{-\langle \alpha_j, h_i \rangle} X_j^-(z), \quad (2.8)$$

$$[a_{i,l}^\vee, a_{j,m}^\vee] = \delta_{l+m,0} \frac{[a_{ij}l]_i q^{lc} - q^{-lc}}{l} \frac{q_j - q_j^{-1}}{q_j - q_j^{-1}} \kappa^{-lm_{ij}}, \quad (2.9)$$

$$[a_{i,l}, X_j^+(z)] = \frac{[a_{ij}l]_i}{l} q^{-|l|c/2} \kappa^{-lm_{ij}} z^l X_j^+(z), \quad (2.10)$$

$$[a_{i,l}, X_j^-(z)] = -\frac{[a_{ij}l]_i}{l} q^{|l|c/2} \kappa^{-lm_{ij}} z^l X_j^-(z), \quad (2.11)$$

$$(\kappa^{m_{ij}} z - q^{b_{ij}} w) X_i^+(z) X_j^+(w) = (\kappa^{m_{ij}} q^{b_{ij}} z - w) X_j^+(w) X_i^+(z), \quad (2.12)$$

$$(\kappa^{m_{ij}} z - q^{-b_{ij}} w) X_i^-(z) X_j^-(w) = (\kappa^{m_{ij}} q^{-b_{ij}} z - w) X_j^-(w) X_i^-(z), \quad (2.13)$$

$$[X_i^+(z), X_j^-(w)] = \frac{\delta_{i,j}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} \left( \delta \left( q^c \frac{w}{z} \right) \Phi_i^+(q^{\frac{c}{2}} w) - \delta \left( q^c \frac{z}{w} \right) \Phi_i^-(q^{\frac{c}{2}} z) \right), \quad (2.14)$$

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_a} \sum_{r=0}^a (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} a \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i X_i^+(z_{\sigma(1)}) \cdots X_i^+(z_{\sigma(r)}) X_j^+(w) X_i^+(z_{\sigma(r+1)}) \cdots X_i^+(z_{\sigma(a)}) = 0, \quad (2.15)$$

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_a} \sum_{r=0}^a (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} a \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i X_i^-(z_{\sigma(1)}) \cdots X_i^-(z_{\sigma(r)}) X_j^-(w) X_i^-(z_{\sigma(r+1)}) \cdots X_i^-(z_{\sigma(a)}) = 0, \quad (2.16)$$

where we set  $a = 1 - a_{ij}$  and  $\delta(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^n$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** [39] *The quantum toroidal algebra  $U_{q,\kappa}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  has the quantum affine algebra  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$  generated by  $a_{i,l}^\vee, k_i^{\pm 1}, X_{i,n}^+, X_{i,n}^-, q^{\pm c/2}$  ( $i \in I \setminus \{0\}, l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) as a subalgebra. We call it the horizontal subalgebra.  $U_{q,\kappa}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  has another subalgebra  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$  generated by  $X_{i,0}^+, X_{i,0}^-, k_i^{\pm 1}$  ( $i \in I$ ), which is again a quantum affine algebra. We call it the vertical subalgebra.*

## 2.2 The elliptic quantum toroidal algebra $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$

Let  $H_P$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space spanned by  $P_0, P_1, \dots, P_N$  and  $H_P^*$  be its dual space spanned by  $Q_0, Q_1, \dots, Q_N$  with a pairing  $\langle Q_i, P_j \rangle = a_{ij}$ . For  $\alpha = \sum_{i \in I} c_i \alpha_i \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ , we set  $Q_\alpha = \sum_{i \in I} c_i Q_i$ . In particular  $Q_{\alpha_i} = Q_i$ . Define also an analogue of the root lattice  $\mathcal{R}_Q = \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z} Q_i$ .

Let us consider  $H := \mathfrak{h} \oplus H_P$  and  $H^* := \mathfrak{h}^* \oplus H_P^*$  with a pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H^* \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by extending those on  $\mathfrak{h}^* \times \mathfrak{h}$  and  $H_P^* \times H_P$  with  $\langle \mathfrak{h}^*, H_P \rangle = 0 = \langle H_P^*, \mathfrak{h} \rangle$ . We denote by  $\mathbb{F} = \mathcal{M}_{H^*}$  the field of meromorphic functions on  $H^*$ . We regard a meromorphic function  $g(h, P)$  of  $h, P \in H$  as an element in  $\mathcal{M}_{H^*}$  by  $g(h, P)(\mu) = g(\langle \mu, h \rangle, \langle \mu, P \rangle)$  for  $\mu \in H^*$ .

**Definition 2.2.** *The elliptic quantum toroidal algebra  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  is a topological algebra over  $\mathbb{F}[[p]]$  generated by*

$$\alpha_{i,l}^\vee, \quad x_{i,n}^\pm, \quad K_i^\pm, \quad q^{\pm c/2}, \quad q^d \quad (i \in I, \quad l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

The defining relations can be written in terms of the generating functions called the elliptic currents given by

$$\begin{aligned} x_i^\pm(z) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x_{i,n}^\pm z^{-n}, \\ \phi_i^+(q^{\frac{c}{2}} z) &= K_i^+ \exp \left( -(q_i - q_i^{-1}) \sum_{n>0} \frac{p^n \alpha_{i,-n}^\vee}{1-p^n} z^n \right) \exp \left( (q_i - q_i^{-1}) \sum_{n>0} \frac{\alpha_{i,n}^\vee}{1-p^n} z^{-n} \right), \\ \phi_i^-(q^{-\frac{c}{2}} z) &= K_i^- \exp \left( -(q_i - q_i^{-1}) \sum_{n>0} \frac{\alpha_{i,-n}^\vee}{1-p^n} z^n \right) \exp \left( (q_i - q_i^{-1}) \sum_{n>0} \frac{p^n \alpha_{i,n}^\vee}{1-p^n} z^{-n} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We also set  $p^* = pq^{-2c}$ . The defining relations are given as follows. For  $g(h, P) \in \mathcal{M}_{H^*}$ ,

$$q^{\pm c/2}, \quad \prod_{i \in I} (K_i^\pm)^{\alpha_i^\vee} : \text{central}, \quad (2.17)$$

$$g(h, P) x_j^+(z) = x_j^+(z) g(h + \langle \alpha_j, h \rangle, P - \langle Q_j, P \rangle), \quad g(h, P) x_j^-(z) = x_j^-(z) g(h - \langle \alpha_j, h \rangle, P), \quad (2.18)$$

$$[g(P), \alpha_{i,m}^\vee] = 0, \quad [g(h, P), q^d] = 0, \quad g(h, P) K_j^\pm = K_j^\pm g(h, P - \langle Q_j, P \rangle), \quad (2.19)$$

$$[q^d, \alpha_{j,m}^\vee] = q^m \alpha_{j,m}^\vee, \quad [q^d, x_j^\pm(z)] = x_j^\pm(q^{-1} z) \quad (2.20)$$

$$[K_i^\pm, K_j^\pm] = [K_i^\pm, K_j^\mp] = 0 = [K_i^\pm, \alpha_{j,m}^\vee], \quad (2.21)$$

$$K_i^\pm x_j^+(z) (K_i^\pm)^{-1} = q^{\pm \langle \alpha_j, h_i \rangle} x_j^+(z), \quad K_i^\pm x_j^-(z) (K_i^\pm)^{-1} = q^{\mp \langle \alpha_j, h_i \rangle} x_j^-(z), \quad (2.22)$$

$$[\alpha_{i,l}^\vee, \alpha_{j,m}^\vee] = \delta_{l+m,0} \frac{[a_{ij} l]_i}{l} \frac{q^{lc} - q^{-lc}}{q_j - q_j^{-1}} \frac{1 - p^l}{1 - p^{*l}} \kappa^{-lm_{ij}} q^{-lc}, \quad (2.23)$$

$$[\alpha_{i,l}^\vee, x_j^+(z)] = \frac{[a_{ij} l]_i}{l} \frac{1 - p^l}{1 - p^{*l}} q^{-lc} \kappa^{-lm_{ij}} z^l x_j^+(z), \quad (2.24)$$

$$[\alpha_{i,l}^\vee, x_j^-(z)] = -\frac{[a_{ij} l]_i}{l} \kappa^{-lm_{ij}} z^l x_j^-(z), \quad (2.25)$$

$$(\kappa^{m_{ij}} z - q^{b_{ij}} w) g_{ij}(\kappa^{-m_{ij}} w/z; p^*) x_i^+(z) x_j^+(w) = (\kappa^{m_{ij}} q^{b_{ij}} z - w) g_{ij}(\kappa^{m_{ij}} z/w; p^*) x_j^+(w) x_i^+(z), \quad (2.26)$$

$$(\kappa^{m_{ij}} z - q^{-b_{ij}} w) g_{ij}(\kappa^{-m_{ij}} w/z; p)^{-1} x_i^-(z) x_j^-(w) = (\kappa^{m_{ij}} q^{-b_{ij}} z - w) g_{ij}(\kappa^{m_{ij}} z/w; p)^{-1} x_j^-(w) x_i^-(z), \quad (2.27)$$

$$[x_i^+(z), x_j^-(w)] = \frac{\delta_{i,j}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} \left( \delta(q^c \frac{w}{z}) \phi_i^+(q^{\frac{c}{2}} w) - \delta(q^{-c} \frac{w}{z}) \phi_i^-(q^{\frac{c}{2}} z) \right), \quad (2.28)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_a} \prod_{1 \leq k < m \leq a} g_{ii}(z_{\sigma(m)}/z_{\sigma(k)}; p^*) \\ & \times \sum_{r=0}^a (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} a \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i \prod_{1 \leq s \leq r} g_{ij}(\kappa^{-m_{ij}} w/z_{\sigma(s)}; p^*) \prod_{r+1 \leq s \leq a} g_{ij}(\kappa^{m_{ij}} z_{\sigma(s)}/w; p^*) \\ & \times x_i^+(z_{\sigma(1)}) \cdots x_i^+(z_{\sigma(r)}) x_j^+(w) x_i^+(z_{\sigma(r+1)}) \cdots x_i^+(z_{\sigma(a)}) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_a} \prod_{1 \leq k < m \leq a} g_{ii}(z_{\sigma(m)}/z_{\sigma(k)}; p)^{-1} \\ & \times \sum_{r=0}^a (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} a \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i \prod_{1 \leq s \leq r} g_{ij}(\kappa^{-m_{ij}} w/z_{\sigma(s)}; p)^{-1} \prod_{r+1 \leq s \leq a} g_{ij}(\kappa^{m_{ij}} z_{\sigma(s)}/w; p)^{-1} \\ & \times x_i^-(z_{\sigma(1)}) \cdots x_i^-(z_{\sigma(r)}) x_j^-(w) x_i^-(z_{\sigma(r+1)}) \cdots x_i^-(z_{\sigma(a)}) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

Here  $a = 1 - a_{ij}$  as before, and we set

$$g_{ij}(z; s) = \exp \left( - \sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{m} \frac{q^{b_{ij}m} - q^{-b_{ij}m}}{1 - s^m} (sz)^m \right) \in \mathbb{C}[[s]][[z]]. \quad (2.31)$$

We also denote by  $U'_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g})$  the same elliptic quantum toroidal algebra  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g})$  without the element  $q^d$ .

We treat these relations as formal Laurent series in the argument of the elliptic currents i.e.  $z, w, z_1, \dots, z_a$ . Then all the coefficients in  $z, w$  etc. are well defined in the  $p$ -adic topology [56].

It is sometimes convenient to set

$$\alpha'_{i,l}{}^\vee = \frac{1 - p^{*l}}{1 - p^l} q^{lc} \alpha_{i,l}{}^\vee. \quad (2.32)$$

Then we have

$$[\alpha'_{i,l}{}^\vee, \alpha'_{j,m}{}^\vee] = \delta_{l+m,0} \frac{[a_{ij}l]_i}{l} \frac{q^{lc} - q^{-lc}}{q_j - q_j^{-1}} \frac{1 - p^{*l}}{1 - p^l} \kappa^{-lm_{ij}} q^{lc}. \quad (2.33)$$

Setting also

$$\alpha_{i,m} = [d_i] \alpha'_{i,m}{}^\vee \quad \alpha'_{i,m} = [d_i] \alpha_{i,m}{}^\vee, \quad (2.34)$$

one obtains the following commutation relations free from  $q_i$  [20].

**Lemma 2.3.** For  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$ , the following commutation relations hold.

$$[\alpha_{i,m}, \alpha_{j,n}] = \delta_{m+n,0} \frac{[b_{ij}m]}{m} \frac{q^{cm} - q^{-cm}}{q - q^{-1}} \frac{1 - p^m}{1 - p^{*m}} \kappa^{-m m_{ij}} q^{-cm}, \quad (2.35)$$

$$[\alpha'_{i,m}, \alpha'_{j,n}] = \delta_{m+n,0} \frac{[b_{ij}m]}{m} \frac{q^{cm} - q^{-cm}}{q - q^{-1}} \frac{1 - p^{*m}}{1 - p^m} \kappa^{-m m_{ij}} q^{cm}, \quad (2.36)$$

$$[\alpha_{i,m}, \alpha'_{j,n}] = [\alpha'_{i,m}, \alpha_{j,n}] = \delta_{m+n,0} \frac{[b_{ij}m]}{m} \frac{q^{cm} - q^{-cm}}{q - q^{-1}} \kappa^{-m m_{ij}}, \quad (2.37)$$

$$[\alpha_{i,m}, x_j^+(z)] = \frac{[b_{ij}m]}{m} \frac{1 - p^m}{1 - p^{*m}} q^{-cm} \kappa^{-m m_{ij}} z^m x_j^+(z), \quad (2.38)$$

$$[\alpha_{i,m}, x_j^-(z)] = -\frac{[b_{ij}m]}{m} \kappa^{-m m_{ij}} z^m x_j^-(z), \quad (2.39)$$

$$[\alpha'_{i,m}, x_j^+(z)] = \frac{[b_{ij}m]}{m} \kappa^{-m m_{ij}} z^m x_j^+(z), \quad (2.40)$$

$$[\alpha'_{i,m}, x_j^-(z)] = -\frac{[b_{ij}m]}{m} \frac{1 - p^{*m}}{1 - p^m} q^{cm} \kappa^{-m m_{ij}} z^m x_j^-(z). \quad (2.41)$$

**Proposition 2.4.** Let  $P = \sum_i c_i P_i, P + h = \sum_i c_i (P_i + h_i) \in H$ . Define a map  $\omega : U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U_{q^{-1},\kappa,p^*}(\mathfrak{g})$  by

$$\begin{aligned} P &\mapsto P + h, & P + h &\mapsto P, & x_i^+(z) &\mapsto x_i^-(z), & x_i^-(z) &\mapsto x_i^+(z), & \alpha_{i,k}^\vee &\mapsto -\alpha_{i,k}^{\vee}, \\ K_i^\pm &\mapsto K_i^\pm, & q^{\pm c/2} &\mapsto q^{\mp c/2}, & q^d &\mapsto q^{-d} \end{aligned}$$

for  $i \in I, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$ . Then  $\omega$  is an automorphism (isomorphism).

Note that in  $U_{q^{-1},\kappa,p^*}(\mathfrak{g})$  we have  $(p^*)^* = p^*(q^{-1})^{-2c} = p$ .

*Remark.* For representations, on which  $q^{\pm c/2}$  take complex values e.g.  $q^{\pm k/2}$  (in Sec.5,  $k = 1$  and Sec.6,  $k = 0$ ), we treat  $p, p^*$  as generic complex numbers and assume  $|p| < 1$  and  $|p^*| = |pq^{-2c}| < 1$ . Then one has

$$g_{ij}(z; s) = \frac{(sq^{b_{ij}} z; s)_\infty}{(sq^{-b_{ij}} z; s)_\infty}$$

for  $|sq^{\pm b_{ij}} z| < 1, s = p, p^*$ , where we set

$$(z; s)_\infty = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - zs^n).$$

Hence in the sense of analytic continuation, one can rewrite (2.26) and (2.27) as

$$z\theta_{p^*}(q^{b_{ij}} \kappa^{-m_{ij}} w/z) x_i^+(z) x_j^+(w) = -w\kappa^{-m_{ij}} \theta_{p^*}(q^{b_{ij}} \kappa^{m_{ij}} z/w) x_j^+(w) x_i^+(z), \quad (2.42)$$

$$z\theta_p(q^{-b_{ij}} \kappa^{-m_{ij}} w/z) x_i^-(z) x_j^-(w) = -w\kappa^{-m_{ij}} \theta_p(q^{-b_{ij}} \kappa^{m_{ij}} z/w) x_j^-(w) x_i^-(z). \quad (2.43)$$

Here  $\theta_p(z)$  denotes Jacobi's odd theta function given by

$$\theta_s(z) = (z; s)_\infty (s/z; s)_\infty$$

for  $s \in \mathbb{C}, |s| < 1$ . Similarly, one can derive the following relations.



**Proposition 2.5.**

$$\phi_i^\pm(z)\phi_j^\pm(w) = \frac{\theta_p(q^{b_{ij}}\kappa^{-m_{i,j}}w/z)}{\theta_p(q^{-b_{ij}}\kappa^{-m_{i,j}}w/z)} \frac{\theta_{p^*}(q^{-b_{ij}}\kappa^{-m_{i,j}}w/z)}{\theta_{p^*}(q^{b_{ij}}\kappa^{-m_{i,j}}w/z)} \phi_j^\pm(w)\phi_i^\pm(z), \quad (2.44)$$

$$\phi_i^+(z)\phi_j^-(w) = \frac{\theta_p(q^{b_{ij}}\kappa^{-m_{i,j}}q^k w/z)}{\theta_p(q^{-b_{ij}}\kappa^{-m_{i,j}}q^k w/z)} \frac{\theta_{p^*}(q^{-b_{ij}}\kappa^{-m_{i,j}}q^{-k}w/z)}{\theta_{p^*}(q^{b_{ij}}\kappa^{-m_{i,j}}q^{-k}w/z)} \phi_j^-(w)\phi_i^+(z), \quad (2.45)$$

$$\phi_i^\pm(z)x_j^+(w) = q^{b_{ij}} \frac{\theta_{p^*}(q^{-b_{ij}}\kappa^{-m_{i,j}}q^{\mp k/2}w/z)}{\theta_{p^*}(q^{b_{ij}}\kappa^{-m_{i,j}}q^{\mp k/2}w/z)} x_j^+(w)\phi_i^\pm(z), \quad (2.46)$$

$$\phi_i^\pm(z)x_j^-(w) = q^{-b_{ij}} \frac{\theta_p(q^{b_{ij}}\kappa^{-m_{i,j}}q^{\pm k/2}w/z)}{\theta_p(q^{-b_{ij}}\kappa^{-m_{i,j}}q^{\pm k/2}w/z)} x_j^-(w)\phi_i^\pm(z). \quad (2.47)$$

**2.3 Algebra homomorphism from  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  to  $(U_{q,\kappa}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor}) \otimes \mathbb{F}[[p]])\#\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{R}_Q]$**

Let  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{R}_Q]$  denote the group algebra of  $\mathcal{R}_Q$ , i.e.  $e^{Q_\alpha}, e^{Q_\beta}, e^{Q_\alpha}e^{Q_\beta} = e^{Q_\alpha+Q_\beta}, e^0 = 1 \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{R}_Q]$ . Consider a composition of algebras  $(U_{q,\kappa}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor}) \otimes \mathbb{F}[[p]])\#\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{R}_Q]$  with the smash product  $\sharp$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} g(h, P)a \otimes e^{Q_\alpha} \cdot f(h, P)b \otimes e^{Q_\beta} \\ = g(h, P)f(h - \langle \text{wt}(a), h \rangle, P - \langle Q_\alpha, P \rangle)ab \otimes e^{Q_\alpha+Q_\beta} \end{aligned}$$

for  $a, b \in U_{q,\kappa}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$ ,  $g(h, P), f(h, P) \in \mathbb{F}[[p]]$ ,  $e^{Q_\alpha}, e^{Q_\beta} \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{R}_Q]$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let us define  $u_i^\pm(z, p)$  ( $i \in I$ ) by*

$$\begin{aligned} u_i^+(z, p) &= \exp\left(- (q_i - q_i^{-1}) \sum_{n>0} \frac{a_{i,-n}^\vee}{1 - p^{*n}} (q^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} p^* z)^n\right) \in U_{q,\kappa}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})[[p^*]][[z, z^{-1}]], \\ u_i^-(z, p) &= \exp\left((q_i - q_i^{-1}) \sum_{n>0} \frac{a_{i,n}^\vee}{1 - p^n} (q^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} p^{-1} z)^{-n}\right) \in U_{q,\kappa}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})[[p]][[z, z^{-1}]]. \end{aligned}$$

*Then the following gives a homomorphism from  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  to  $(U_{q,\kappa}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor}) \otimes \mathbb{F}[[p]])\#\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{R}_Q]$ .*

$$x_i^+(z) \mapsto u_i^+(z, p)X_i^+(z)e^{-Q_i}, \quad (2.48)$$

$$x_i^-(z) \mapsto X_i^-(z)u_i^-(z, p), \quad (2.49)$$

$$\phi_i^\pm(z) \mapsto u_i^+(q^{\pm \frac{\epsilon}{2}} z, p)\Phi_i^\pm(z)u_i^-(q^{\mp \frac{\epsilon}{2}} z, p)e^{-Q_i}. \quad (2.50)$$

The statement follows from the next Lemma and

$$[e^{-Q_i}, u_j^\pm(z, p)] = 0 = [e^{-Q_i}, X_j^\pm(z)].$$

**Lemma 2.7.** *The following relations hold.*

$$u_i^+(z, p)X_j^+(w) = g_{ij}(\kappa^{m_{ij}}z/w; p^*)X_j^+(w)u_i^+(z, p), \quad (2.51)$$

$$u_i^+(z, p)X_j^-(w) = g_{ij}(\kappa^{m_{ij}}q^c z/w; p^*)^{-1}X_j^-(w)u_i^+(z, p), \quad (2.52)$$

$$u_i^-(z, p)X_j^+(w) = g_{ij}(\kappa^{-m_{ij}}q^{-c}w/z; p)^{-1}X_j^+(w)u_i^-(z, p), \quad (2.53)$$

$$u_i^-(z, p)X_j^-(w) = g_{ij}(\kappa^{-m_{ij}}w/z; p)X_j^-(w)u_i^-(z, p), \quad (2.54)$$

$$\Phi_i^+(z)u_j^+(w, p) = g_{ij}(p^* \kappa^{-m_{ij}}q^{3c/2}w/z; p^*)g_{ij}(p^* \kappa^{-m_{ij}}q^{-c/2}w/z; p^*)^{-1}u_j^+(w, p)\Phi_i^+(z), \quad (2.55)$$

$$\Phi_i^-(z)u_j^-(w, p) = g_{ij}(p\kappa^{-m_{ij}}q^{-3c/2}z/w; p)g_{ij}(p\kappa^{-m_{ij}}q^{c/2}z/w; p)^{-1}u_j^-(w, p)\Phi_i^-(z), \quad (2.56)$$

$$[\Phi_i^\pm(z), u_j^\mp(w, p)] = 0, \quad (2.57)$$

$$u_i^-(z, p)u_j^+(w, p) = g_{ij}(p\kappa^{-m_{ij}}q^{-c}w/z; p)g_{ij}(p^* \kappa^{-m_{ij}}q^c w/z; p^*)^{-1}u_j^+(w, p)u_i^-(z, p). \quad (2.58)$$

This homomorphism is invertible. Hence one has the following isomorphism.

**Theorem 2.8.** *For generic  $q, \kappa, p$ ,*

$$U_{q, \kappa, p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor}) \cong (U_{q, \kappa}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor}) \otimes \mathbb{F}[[p]])\sharp\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{R}_Q].$$

## 2.4 Horizontal and vertical subalgebras

The elliptic quantum toroidal algebra  $U_{q, \kappa, p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  has two elliptic quantum algebras  $U_{q, p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$  as subalgebras, which we call the horizontal and vertical elliptic quantum algebras. This is an elliptic analogue of Proposition 2.1.

**Proposition 2.9.** *The elliptic quantum toroidal algebra  $U_{q, \kappa, p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  has the elliptic quantum algebra  $U_{q, p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$  generated by  $\alpha_{i, l}^\vee, k_i^\pm, x_{i, n}^+, x_{i, n}^-, q^{\pm c/2}$  ( $i \in I \setminus \{0\}, l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) as a subalgebra. We call it the horizontal subalgebra. The  $U_{q, \kappa, p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  has another subalgebra  $U_{q, p'}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$  generated by  $x_{i, 0}^+, x_{i, 0}^-, K_i^\pm$  ( $i \in I$ ), which is again the elliptic quantum algebra with  $p'$  being not necessarily equal to  $p$ . When we take  $p' = p$ , we call it the vertical subalgebra.*

*Proof.* The first half is obvious by comparing the relations in Definition 2.2 and those of  $U_{q, p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$  for example see [23]. The second half is due to the fact that  $x_{i, 0}^+, x_{i, 0}^-, K_i^\pm, q^{\pm c/2}$  ( $i \in I$ ) generate the dynamical quantum affine algebra  $(\mathbb{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}))\sharp\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{R}_Q]$  in the Drinfeld-Jimbo formulation

[19, 42]. In fact from Definition 2.2 one can find the following relations.

$$g(h, P)x_{j,0}^+ = x_{j,0}^+ g(h + \langle \alpha_j, h \rangle, P - \langle Q_j, P \rangle), \quad (2.59)$$

$$g(h, P)x_{j,0}^- = x_{j,0}^- g(h - \langle \alpha_j, h \rangle, P), \quad (2.60)$$

$$g(h, P)K_j^\pm = K_j^\pm g(h, P - \langle Q_j, P \rangle), \quad (2.61)$$

$$K_i^\pm x_{j,0}^+ (K_i^\pm)^{-1} = q^{\pm b_{ij}} x_{j,0}^+, \quad (2.62)$$

$$K_i^\pm x_{j,0}^- (K_i^\pm)^{-1} = q^{\mp b_{ij}} x_{j,0}^-, \quad (2.63)$$

$$[x_{i,0}^+, x_{j,0}^-] = \frac{\delta_{i,j}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} (K_i^+ - K_i^-), \quad (2.64)$$

$$\sum_{r=0}^a (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} a \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i (x_{i,0}^\pm)^r x_{j,0}^\pm (x_{i,0}^\pm)^{a-r} = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j. \quad (2.65)$$

Hence by the isomorphism [10] between the Drinfeld-Jimbo [19, 42] and the Drinfeld [20] formulations of  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ , one can construct the Drinfeld generators  $a_{i,l}^\vee, X_{i,n}^+, X_{i,n}^-$  ( $i \in I \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) for the latter in terms of  $x_{i,0}^+, x_{i,0}^-, K_i^\pm$ . Then applying the isomorphism in Theorem 2.8 with using  $p'$  as  $p$ , one obtains the elliptic quantum algebra  $U_{q,p'}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ .  $\square$

### 3 $H$ -Hopf Algebroid Structure

We introduce a  $H$ -Hopf algebroid structure [21, 22, 52, 55] to  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  as its co-algebra structure.

#### 3.1 $H$ -algebra

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an associative algebra,  $\mathcal{H}$  be a finite dimensional subspace of  $\mathcal{A}$ , and  $\mathcal{H}$  be its dual. Denote by  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}^*}$  the field of meromorphic functions on  $\mathcal{H}^*$ .

**Definition 3.1** ( $\mathcal{H}$ -algebra). *A  $\mathcal{H}$ -algebra is an associative algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  with 1, which is bigraded over  $\mathcal{H}^*$ ,  $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{H}^*} \mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta}$ , and equipped with two algebra embeddings  $\mu_l, \mu_r : \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}^*} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{00}$  (the left and right moment maps), such that*

$$\mu_l(\widehat{f})a = a\mu_l(T_\alpha \widehat{f}), \quad \mu_r(\widehat{f})a = a\mu_r(T_\beta \widehat{f}), \quad a \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \widehat{f} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}^*},$$

where  $T_\alpha$  denotes a difference operator  $(T_\alpha \widehat{f})(\lambda) = \widehat{f}(\lambda + \alpha)$  of  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}^*}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two  $\mathcal{H}$ -algebras.

**Definition 3.2.** *A  $\mathcal{H}$ -algebra homomorphism from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{B}$  is an algebra homomorphism  $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  preserving the bigrading and the moment maps, i.e.  $\pi(\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{\alpha\beta}$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{H}^*$  and  $\pi(\mu_l^{\mathcal{A}}(\widehat{f})) = \mu_l^{\mathcal{B}}(\widehat{f}), \pi(\mu_r^{\mathcal{A}}(\widehat{f})) = \mu_r^{\mathcal{B}}(\widehat{f})$ .*

The tensor product  $\mathcal{A} \tilde{\otimes} \mathcal{B}$  is the  $\mathcal{H}^*$ -bigraded vector space with

$$(\mathcal{A} \tilde{\otimes} \mathcal{B})_{\alpha\beta} = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \mathcal{H}^*} (\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\gamma} \otimes_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}^*}} \mathcal{B}_{\gamma\beta}),$$

where  $\otimes_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}^*}}$  denotes the usual tensor product modulo the following relation.

$$\mu_r^{\mathcal{A}}(\widehat{f})a \otimes b = a \otimes \mu_l^{\mathcal{B}}(\widehat{f})b, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}, \widehat{f} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}^*}. \quad (3.1)$$

The tensor product  $\mathcal{A} \tilde{\otimes} \mathcal{B}$  is again a  $\mathcal{H}$ -algebra with the multiplication  $(a \otimes b)(c \otimes d) = ac \otimes bd$  and the moment maps

$$\mu_l^{\mathcal{A} \tilde{\otimes} \mathcal{B}} = \mu_l^{\mathcal{A}} \otimes 1, \quad \mu_r^{\mathcal{A} \tilde{\otimes} \mathcal{B}} = 1 \otimes \mu_r^{\mathcal{B}}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the algebra of difference operators on  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}^*}$

$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ \sum_i \widehat{f}_i T_{\beta_i} \mid \widehat{f}_i \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}^*}, \beta_i \in \mathcal{H}^* \right\}.$$

Equipped with the bigrading  $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha\alpha} = \{ \widehat{f} T_{-\alpha} \mid \widehat{f} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}^*}, \alpha \in \mathcal{H}^* \}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha\beta} = 0$  ( $\alpha \neq \beta$ ) and the moment maps  $\mu_l^{\mathcal{D}}, \mu_r^{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}^*} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{00}$  defined by  $\mu_l^{\mathcal{D}}(\widehat{f}) = \mu_r^{\mathcal{D}}(\widehat{f}) = \widehat{f} T_0$ ,  $\mathcal{D}$  is a  $\mathcal{H}$ -algebra. For any  $\mathcal{H}$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , we have the canonical isomorphism as an  $\mathcal{H}$ -algebra

$$\mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{A} \tilde{\otimes} \mathcal{D} \cong \mathcal{D} \tilde{\otimes} \mathcal{A} \quad (3.2)$$

by  $a \cong a \tilde{\otimes} T_{-\beta} \cong T_{-\alpha} \tilde{\otimes} a$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta}$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $P + h = \sum_{i \in I} c_i(P_i + h_i) \in H$ ,  $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$ .  $\mathcal{U} = U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{tor}})$  is an  $H$ -algebra by*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U} &= \bigoplus_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{h}^*} \mathcal{U}_{\alpha, \beta} \\ (\mathcal{U})_{\alpha\beta} &= \left\{ x \in U \mid q^{P+h} x q^{-(P+h)} = q^{\langle \alpha, P+h \rangle} x, \quad q^P x q^{-P} = q^{\langle Q_\beta, P \rangle} x \quad \forall P+h, P \in H \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and  $\mu_l, \mu_r : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{0,0}$  defined by

$$\mu_l(\widehat{f}) = f(h, P+h, p) \in \mathbb{F}[[p]], \quad \mu_r(\widehat{f}) = f(h, P, p^*) \in \mathbb{F}[[p^*]]$$

for  $\widehat{f} = f(h, P, p^*) \in \mathbb{F}[[p^*]]$ .

Corresponding to (3.1), we have

$$f(h, P, p^*) a \tilde{\otimes} b = a \tilde{\otimes} f(h, P+h, p) b \quad a, b \in \mathcal{U}, \quad (3.3)$$

Note that  $p = p^* q^{2c}$ . We also regard  $T_\alpha = e^{-Q_\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{R}_Q]$  as a shift operator

$$(T_\alpha \widehat{f}) = f(h, P + \langle Q_\alpha, P \rangle, p)$$

Then  $\mathcal{D} = \{ \widehat{f} e^{-Q_\alpha} \mid \widehat{f} \in \mathbb{F}, e^{-Q_\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{R}_Q] \}$  becomes the  $H$ -algebra having the property (3.2) for  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{U}$ .

### 3.2 Hopf algebroid

**Definition 3.4.** A  $\mathcal{H}$ -bialgebroid is a  $\mathcal{H}$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  equipped with two  $\mathcal{H}$ -algebra homomorphisms  $\Delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \tilde{\otimes} \mathcal{A}$  (the comultiplication) and  $\varepsilon : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  (the counit) such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta \tilde{\otimes} \text{id}) \circ \Delta &= (\text{id} \tilde{\otimes} \Delta) \circ \Delta, \\ (\varepsilon \tilde{\otimes} \text{id}) \circ \Delta &= \text{id} = (\text{id} \tilde{\otimes} \varepsilon) \circ \Delta, \end{aligned}$$

under the identification (3.2).

**Definition 3.5.** A  $\mathcal{H}$ -Hopf algebroid is a  $\mathcal{H}$ -bialgebroid  $\mathcal{A}$  equipped with a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map  $S : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  (the antipode), such that

$$\begin{aligned} S(\mu_r(\hat{f})a) &= S(a)\mu_l(\hat{f}), \quad S(a\mu_l(\hat{f})) = \mu_r(\hat{f})S(a), \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A}, \hat{f} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}^*}, \\ m \circ (\text{id} \tilde{\otimes} S) \circ \Delta(a) &= \mu_l(\varepsilon(a)1), \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A}, \\ m \circ (S \tilde{\otimes} \text{id}) \circ \Delta(a) &= \mu_r(T_\alpha(\varepsilon(a)1)), \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $m : \mathcal{A} \tilde{\otimes} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  denotes the multiplication and  $\varepsilon(a)1$  is the result of applying the difference operator  $\varepsilon(a)$  to the constant function  $1 \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}^*}$ .

Note that the  $\mathcal{H}$ -algebra  $\mathcal{D}$  is a  $\mathcal{H}$ -Hopf algebroid with  $\Delta_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \tilde{\otimes} \mathcal{D}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ ,  $S_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathcal{D}}(\hat{f}T_{-\alpha}) &= \hat{f}T_{-\alpha} \tilde{\otimes} T_{-\alpha}, \\ \varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}} &= \text{id}, \quad S_{\mathcal{D}}(\hat{f}T_{-\alpha}) = T_\alpha \hat{f} = (T_\alpha \hat{f})T_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Now let us consider our  $H$ -algebra  $\mathcal{U}$ . We define two  $H$ -algebra homomorphisms, the co-unit  $\varepsilon : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  and the co-multiplication  $\Delta : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \tilde{\otimes} \mathcal{U}$  by

$$\varepsilon(x_i^\pm(z)) = 0, \quad \varepsilon(\phi_i^\pm(z)) = T_{\alpha_i}, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\varepsilon(q^{\pm c/2}) = 1, \quad \varepsilon(e^{Q_\alpha}) = e^{Q_\alpha} \quad (Q_\alpha \in \mathcal{R}), \quad (3.5)$$

$$\varepsilon(\mu_l(\hat{f})) = \varepsilon(\mu_r(\hat{f})) = \hat{f}T_0, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\Delta(q^{\pm c/2}) = q^{\pm c/2} \tilde{\otimes} q^{\pm c/2}, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\Delta(\phi_i^\pm(z)) = \phi_i^\pm(q^{\mp c(2)/2}z) \tilde{\otimes} \phi_i^\pm(q^{\pm c(1)/2}z), \quad (3.8)$$

$$\Delta(x_i^+(z)) = 1 \tilde{\otimes} x_i^+(z) + x_i^+(q^{c(2)}z) \tilde{\otimes} \phi_i^-(q^{c/2}z), \quad (3.9)$$

$$\Delta(x_i^-(z)) = x_i^-(z) \tilde{\otimes} 1 + \phi_i^+(q^{c/2}z) \tilde{\otimes} x_i^-(q^{c(1)}z), \quad (3.10)$$

$$\Delta(e^{Q_\alpha}) = e^{Q_\alpha} \tilde{\otimes} e^{Q_\alpha}, \quad (3.11)$$

$$\Delta(\mu_l(\hat{f})) = \mu_l(\hat{f}) \tilde{\otimes} 1, \quad \Delta(\mu_r(\hat{f})) = 1 \tilde{\otimes} \mu_r(\hat{f}). \quad (3.12)$$

Here  $q^{\pm c(1)/2} = q^{\pm c/2} \tilde{\otimes} 1$ ,  $q^{\pm c(2)/2} = 1 \tilde{\otimes} q^{\pm c/2}$ . One can easily check that these satisfy the relations in Definition 3.4. This  $\Delta$  is called the Drinfeld comultiplication.

Define further an algebra antihomomorphism (the antipode)  $S : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  by

$$S(x_i^+(z)) = -x_i^+(q^{-c}z)\phi_i^-(q^{-c/2}z)^{-1}, \quad (3.13)$$

$$S(x_i^-(z)) = -\phi_i^+(q^{-c/2}z)^{-1}x_i^-(q^{-c}z), \quad (3.14)$$

$$S(q^{\pm c/2}) = q^{\mp c/2}, \quad (3.15)$$

$$S(e^{Q_\alpha}) = e^{-Q_\alpha}, \quad S(\mu_r(\hat{f})) = \mu_l(\hat{f}), \quad S(\mu_l(\hat{f})) = \mu_r(\hat{f}). \quad (3.16)$$

**Theorem 3.6.** *The  $H$ -algebra  $\mathcal{U}$  equipped with  $(\Delta, \varepsilon, S)$  is an  $H$ -Hopf algebroid.*

**Definition 3.7.** *We call the  $H$ -Hopf algebroid  $(\mathcal{U}, H, \mathcal{M}_{H^*}, \mu_l, \mu_r, \Delta, \varepsilon, S)$  the toroidal elliptic quantum group  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$ .*

*Remark.* In a representation where  $q^{\pm c/2} = 1$  such as the level- $(0, l)$  representation in Sec.6, the following gives a comultiplication, too.

$$\Delta^{op}(\phi_i^\pm(z)) = \phi_i^\pm(z) \tilde{\otimes} \phi_i^\pm(z), \quad (3.17)$$

$$\Delta^{op}(x_i^+(z)) = x_i^+(z) \tilde{\otimes} 1 + \phi_i^-(z) \tilde{\otimes} x_i^+(z), \quad (3.18)$$

$$\Delta^{op}(x_i^-(z)) = 1 \tilde{\otimes} x_i^-(z) + x_i^-(z) \tilde{\otimes} \phi_i^+(z). \quad (3.19)$$

## 4 Dynamical Representations

Let us consider a vector space  $\mathcal{V}$  over  $\mathbb{F}$ , which is  $H$ -diagonalizable, i.e.

$$\mathcal{V} = \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} \mathcal{V}_{\lambda, \mu}, \quad \mathcal{V}_{\lambda, \mu} = \{v \in \mathcal{V} \mid q^{P+h} \cdot v = q^{\langle \lambda, P+h \rangle} v, \quad q^P \cdot v = q^{\langle Q_\mu, P \rangle} v, \quad \forall P+h, P \in H\}.$$

Let us define the  $H$ -algebra  $\mathcal{D}_{H, \mathcal{V}}$  of the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear operators on  $\mathcal{V}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{H, \mathcal{V}} &= \bigoplus_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{h}^*} (\mathcal{D}_{H, \mathcal{V}})_{\alpha\beta}, \\ (\mathcal{D}_{H, \mathcal{V}})_{\alpha\beta} &= \left\{ X \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{V} \left| \begin{array}{l} q^{P+h} X q^{-(P+h)} = q^{\langle \alpha, P+h \rangle} X, \quad q^P X q^{-P} = q^{\langle Q_\beta, P \rangle} X, \\ X \cdot \mathcal{V}_{\lambda, \mu} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_{\lambda+\alpha, \mu+\beta} \end{array} \right. \right\}, \\ \mu_l^{\mathcal{D}_{H, \mathcal{V}}}(\hat{f})v &= f(\langle \lambda, h \rangle, \langle \lambda, P+h \rangle, p)v, \quad \mu_r^{\mathcal{D}_{H, \mathcal{V}}}(\hat{f})v = f(\langle \lambda, h \rangle, \langle Q_\mu, P \rangle, p^*)v, \quad \hat{f} \in \mathcal{M}_{H^*}, \quad v \in \mathcal{V}_{\lambda, \mu}. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 4.1.** *We define a dynamical representation of  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  on  $\mathcal{V}$  to be a  $H$ -algebra homomorphism  $\pi : U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{H, \mathcal{V}}$ .*

**Definition 4.2.** For  $k, l \in \mathbb{C}$ , we say that a  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$ -module has level  $(k, l)$  if the two central elements  $q^{c/2}$  and  $\prod_{i \in I} (K_i^+)^{a_i^\vee}$  act as  $q^{k/2}$  and  $q^{-l}$  on it, respectively.

**Definition 4.3.** For  $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ , we set

$$\mathcal{V}_\omega = \{v \in \mathcal{V} \mid q^{-d} \cdot v = q^\omega v\}$$

and we call  $\mathcal{V}_\omega$  the space of elements homogeneous of degree  $\omega$ . We also say that  $X \in \mathcal{D}_{H,\mathcal{V}}$  is homogeneous of degree  $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$  if

$$[q^{-d}, X] = q^\omega X$$

and denote by  $(\mathcal{D}_{H,\mathcal{V}})_\omega$  the space of all endomorphisms homogeneous of degree  $\omega$ .

**Definition 4.4.** Let  $U(\mathfrak{h}), U(\mathfrak{N}_+), U(\mathfrak{N}_-)$  be the subalgebras of  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  generated by  $q^{\pm c/2}, q^d, K_i^\pm$  ( $i \in I$ ), by  $\alpha_{i,n}^\vee$  ( $i \in I, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ),  $x_{i,n}^+$  ( $i \in I, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ),  $x_{i,n}^-$  ( $i \in I, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ) and by  $\alpha_{i,-n}^\vee$  ( $i \in I, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ),  $x_{i,-n}^+$  ( $i \in I, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ),  $x_{i,-n}^-$  ( $i \in I, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ), respectively.

**Definition 4.5.** For  $k, l \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ , a (dynamical)  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$ -module  $\mathcal{V}(\lambda, \mu)$  is called the level- $(k, l)$  highest weight module with the highest weight  $(\lambda, \mu)$ , if there exists a vector  $v \in \mathcal{V}(\lambda, \mu)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}(\lambda, \mu) &= U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor}) \cdot v, & U(\mathfrak{N}_+) \cdot v &= 0, \\ q^{c/2} \cdot v &= q^{k/2} v, & \prod_{i \in I} (K_i^+)^{a_i^\vee} \cdot v &= q^{-l} v \\ f(h, P) \cdot v &= f(\langle \lambda - \mu, h \rangle, \langle Q_\mu, P \rangle) v, \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$q^P \cdot v = q^{\langle Q_\mu, P \rangle} v, \quad q^{P+h} \cdot v = q^{\langle \lambda - \mu + Q_\mu, P+h \rangle} v = q^{\langle \lambda, P+h \rangle} v.$$

Hence  $\lambda, \mu$  are weights measured by  $P+h$  and  $P$ , respectively.

We define the category  $\mathfrak{C}_{k,l}$  as follows.

**Definition 4.6.** For  $k, l \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathfrak{C}_{k,l}$  is the full subcategory of the category of  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$ -modules consisting of those modules  $\mathcal{V}$  such that

(i)  $\mathcal{V}$  has level  $(k, l)$

(ii)  $\mathcal{V} = \bigsqcup_{\omega \in \mathbb{C}} \mathcal{V}_\omega$

(iii) For every  $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n > n_0$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_{\omega+n} = 0$ .

Since  $\pi U(\mathfrak{N}_+) \subset \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} (\mathcal{D}_{H,\mathcal{V}})_n$ , any level- $(k, l)$  highest weight  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$ -modules belong to  $\mathfrak{C}_{k,l}$ .

## 5 $Z$ -algebra Structure of $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$

In this section we discuss the  $Z$ -algebra structure for the elliptic quantum toroidal algebras  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$ . We show that the  $Z$ -algebra of  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  is essentially the same as the one of the quantum toroidal algebra  $U_{q,\kappa}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  except for that the former is a dynamical version of the latter. In both cases the  $Z$ -algebras govern the irreducibility of the level- $(k(\neq 0), l)$   $U_{q,\kappa,p}$  or  $U_{q,\kappa}$ -modules. As an example, we construct the level  $(1, l)$  irreducible representation of  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  for the simply laced  $\mathfrak{g}_{tor}$ . These results are toroidal analogues of those for the elliptic quantum algebras  $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$  and the quantum affine algebras  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$  discussed in [23]. The  $Z$ -algebra was first introduced in the representation theory of affine Lie algebras by Lepowsky and Wilson [61], and its  $q$ -analogue associated with  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$  was investigated in [12, 47, 48].

### 5.1 Heisenberg subalgebra $U(\mathfrak{H})$

Let  $U(\mathfrak{H}_+)$  (resp.  $U(\mathfrak{H}_-)$ ) be the commutative subalgebras of  $U(\mathfrak{H})$  generated by  $\{q^{\pm c/2}, \prod_{i \in I} (K_i^{\pm})^{\alpha_i^\vee}, \alpha_{i,n} (i \in I, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0})\}$  (resp.  $\{\alpha_{i,-n} (i \in I, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0})\}$ ). We have

$$U(\mathfrak{H}) = U(\mathfrak{H}_-)U(\mathfrak{H}_+).$$

For  $k, l \in \mathbb{C}$ , let  $\mathbb{C}1_{k,l}$  be the one-dimensional  $U(\mathfrak{H}_+)$ -module generated by the vacuum vector  $1_{k,l}$  defined by

$$q^{c/2} \cdot 1_{k,l} = q^{k/2} 1_{k,l} \quad \prod_{i \in I} (K_i^+)^{\alpha_i^\vee} \cdot 1_{k,l} = q^{-l} 1_{k,l}, \quad \alpha_{i,n} \cdot 1_{k,l} = 0 \quad (n > 0).$$

Then we have the induced  $U(\mathfrak{H})$ -module

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,l}^\alpha = U(\mathfrak{H}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{H}_+)} \mathbb{C}1_{k,l}.$$

The space  $\mathcal{F}_{k,l}^\alpha$  can be identified with a polynomial ring  $\mathbb{C}[\alpha_{i,-m} (i \in I, m > 0)]$  by

$$\begin{aligned} q^{c/2} \cdot u &= q^{k/2} u, & \prod_{i \in I} (K_i^+)^{\alpha_i^\vee} \cdot u &= q^{-l} u, \\ \alpha_{i,-n} \cdot u &= \alpha_{i,-n} u, \\ \alpha_{i,n} \cdot u &= \sum_j \frac{[b_{ij}n][kn]}{n} \frac{1-p^n}{1-p^{*n}} q^{-kn} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_{j,-n}} u \quad (n > 0) \end{aligned}$$

for  $u \in \mathbb{C}[\alpha_{i,-m} (i \in I, m > 0)]$ . We call  $\mathcal{F}_{k,l}^\alpha$  the level- $(k, l)$  Fock module. Note that  $p^* = pq^{-2k}$  on  $\mathcal{F}_{k,l}^\alpha$ .



## 5.2 Dynamical quantum $Z$ -algebra $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{V}}$

Let  $k \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{C}$  and consider  $(\mathcal{V}, \pi) \in \mathfrak{C}_{k,l}$ . We assume  $|p|, |p^*|, |q^{2k}| < 1$ . Define

$$E^\pm(\alpha_i, z) = \exp \left\{ \pm \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{q - q^{-1}}{q^{km} - q^{-km}} \alpha_{i, \pm m} z^{\mp m} \right\}, \quad (5.1)$$

$$E^\pm(\alpha'_i, z) = \exp \left\{ \mp \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{q - q^{-1}}{q^{km} - q^{-km}} \alpha'_{i, \pm m} z^{\mp m} \right\}. \quad (5.2)$$

One finds these satisfy the relations in Lemma A.

**Definition 5.1.** We define  $\mathcal{Z}_i^\pm(z, \mathcal{V}) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Z}_{i,m}^\pm(\mathcal{V}) z^{-m} \in \mathcal{D}_{H, \mathcal{V}}[[z, z^{-1}]]$ ,  $i \in I$  by

$$\mathcal{Z}_i^+(z, \mathcal{V}) = E^-(\alpha_i, z) x_i^+(z) E^+(\alpha_i, z), \quad (5.3)$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_i^-(z, \mathcal{V}) = E^-(\alpha'_i, z) x_i^-(z) E^+(\alpha'_i, z). \quad (5.4)$$

Due to the truncation property of the grading of  $\mathcal{V}$  w.r.t  $q^{-d}$ ,  $\mathcal{Z}_i^\pm(z, \mathcal{V})$  are well defined operators on  $\mathcal{V}$ .

From the defining relations of  $U_{q, \kappa, p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  and Lemma A, we obtain the following relations.

**Theorem 5.2.** The operators  $\mathcal{Z}_i^\pm(z, \mathcal{V})$  satisfy the following relations. For  $g(h, P) \in \mathbb{F}$ ,

$$[\alpha_{i,m}, \mathcal{Z}_j^\pm(z, \mathcal{V})] = 0, \quad (m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}) \quad (5.5)$$

$$\left[ \prod_{i \in I} (K_i^\pm)^{a_i^\vee}, \mathcal{Z}_j^\pm(z, \mathcal{V}) \right] = 0, \quad (5.6)$$

$$g(h, P) \mathcal{Z}_i^+(z, \mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{Z}_i^+(z, \mathcal{V}) g(h + \langle \alpha_i, h \rangle, P - \langle Q_i, P \rangle), \quad (5.7)$$

$$g(h, P) \mathcal{Z}_i^-(z, \mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{Z}_i^-(z, \mathcal{V}) g(h - \langle \alpha_i, h \rangle, P), \quad (5.8)$$

$$g(h, P) K_i^\pm = K_i^\pm g(h, P \mp \langle Q_i, P \rangle), \quad (5.9)$$

$$K_i^\pm \mathcal{Z}_j^\pm(z, \mathcal{V}) (K_i^\pm)^{-1} = q^{\pm \langle \alpha_j, h_i \rangle} \mathcal{Z}_j^\pm(z, \mathcal{V}), \quad K_i^\pm \mathcal{Z}_j^\mp(z, \mathcal{V}) (K_i^\pm)^{-1} = q^{\mp \langle \alpha_j, h_i \rangle} \mathcal{Z}_j^\mp(z, \mathcal{V}), \quad (5.10)$$

$$z \frac{(q^{-bij} \kappa^{-m_{ij}} \frac{w}{z}; q^{2k})_\infty}{(q^{2k} q^{bij} \kappa^{-m_{ij}} \frac{w}{z}; q^{2k})_\infty} \mathcal{Z}_i^\pm(z, \mathcal{V}) \mathcal{Z}_j^\pm(w, \mathcal{V}) = -w \kappa^{-m_{ij}} \frac{(q^{-bij} \kappa^{m_{ij}} \frac{z}{w}; q^{2k})_\infty}{(q^{2k} q^{bij} \kappa^{m_{ij}} \frac{z}{w}; q^{2k})_\infty} \mathcal{Z}_j^\pm(w, \mathcal{V}) \mathcal{Z}_i^\pm(z, \mathcal{V}), \quad (5.11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(q^{bij} q^k \kappa^{-m_{ij}} \frac{w}{z}; q^{2k})_\infty}{(q^{-bij} q^k \kappa^{m_{ij}} \frac{w}{z}; q^{2k})_\infty} \mathcal{Z}_i^+(z, \mathcal{V}) \mathcal{Z}_j^-(w, \mathcal{V}) - \frac{(q^{bij} q^k \kappa^{m_{ij}} \frac{z}{w}; q^{2k})_\infty}{(q^{-bij} q^k \kappa^{m_{ij}} \frac{z}{w}; q^{2k})_\infty} \mathcal{Z}_j^-(w, \mathcal{V}) \mathcal{Z}_i^+(z, \mathcal{V}) \\ &= \frac{\delta_{i,j}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} \left\{ \delta(q^k \frac{w}{z}) K_i^+ - \delta(q^{-k} \frac{w}{z}) K_i^- \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_a} \prod_{1 \leq k < m \leq a} \frac{(q^{-2 \frac{z_{\sigma(m)}}{z_{\sigma(k)}}}; q^{2k})_{\infty}}{(q^{2 \frac{z_{\sigma(m)}}{z_{\sigma(k)}}}; q^{2k})_{\infty}} \\
& \times \sum_{r=0}^a (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} a \\ r \end{bmatrix} \prod_{i=1 \leq s \leq r} \frac{(q^{-b_{ij}} \kappa^{-m_{ij}} \frac{w}{z_{\sigma(s)}}; q^{2k})_{\infty}}{(q^{b_{ij}} \kappa^{-m_{ij}} \frac{w}{z_{\sigma(s)}}; q^{2k})_{\infty}} \prod_{r+1 \leq s \leq a} \frac{(q^{-b_{ij}} \kappa^{m_{ij}} \frac{z_{\sigma(s)}}{w}; q^{2k})_{\infty}}{(q^{b_{ij}} \kappa^{m_{ij}} \frac{z_{\sigma(s)}}{w}; q^{2k})_{\infty}} \\
& \times \mathcal{Z}_i^+(z_{\sigma(1)}, \mathcal{V}) \cdots \mathcal{Z}_i^+(z_{\sigma(s)}, \mathcal{V}) \mathcal{Z}_j^+(w, \mathcal{V}) \mathcal{Z}_i^+(z_{\sigma(s+1)}, \mathcal{V}) \cdots \mathcal{Z}_i^+(z_{\sigma(a)}, \mathcal{V}) = 0, \quad (5.13)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_a} \prod_{1 \leq k < m \leq a} \frac{(q^{-2} q^{2k} \frac{z_{\sigma(m)}}{z_{\sigma(k)}}; q^{2k})_{\infty}}{(q^2 q^{2k} \frac{z_{\sigma(m)}}{z_{\sigma(k)}}; q^{2k})_{\infty}} \\
& \times \sum_{s=0}^a (-1)^s \begin{bmatrix} a \\ r \end{bmatrix} \prod_{i=1 \leq s \leq r} \frac{(q^{-b_{ij}} q^{2k} \kappa^{-m_{ij}} \frac{w}{z_{\sigma(s)}}; q^{2k})_{\infty}}{(q^{b_{ij}} q^{2k} \kappa^{-m_{ij}} \frac{w}{z_{\sigma(s)}}; q^{2k})_{\infty}} \prod_{r+1 \leq s \leq a} \frac{(q^{-b_{ij}} q^{2k} \kappa^{m_{ij}} \frac{z_{\sigma(s)}}{w}; q^{2k})_{\infty}}{(q^{b_{ij}} q^{2k} \kappa^{m_{ij}} \frac{z_{\sigma(s)}}{w}; q^{2k})_{\infty}} \\
& \times \mathcal{Z}_i^-(z_{\sigma(1)}, \mathcal{V}) \cdots \mathcal{Z}_i^-(z_{\sigma(s)}, \mathcal{V}) \mathcal{Z}_j^-(w, \mathcal{V}) \mathcal{Z}_i^-(z_{\sigma(s+1)}, \mathcal{V}) \cdots \mathcal{Z}_i^-(z_{\sigma(a)}, \mathcal{V}) = 0. \quad (5.14)
\end{aligned}$$

**Definition 5.3.** For  $k \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $(\mathcal{V}, \pi) \in \mathfrak{C}_{k,l}$ , we call the  $H$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{D}_{H,\mathcal{V}}$  generated by  $\mathcal{Z}_{i,m}^{\pm}(\mathcal{V})$ ,  $K_i^{\pm}$  ( $i \in I, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) and  $q^d$  the dynamical quantum  $Z$ -algebra  $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{V}}$  associated with  $(\mathcal{V}, \pi)$ .

### 5.3 The universal $Z$ -algebra $\mathcal{Z}_{k,l}$

For  $k \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{C}$ , we define the universal dynamical quantum  $Z$ -algebra  $\mathcal{Z}_{k,l}$  as follows.

**Definition 5.4.** Let  $\mathcal{Z}_{i,m}^{\pm}$  ( $i \in I, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) be abstract symbols. We set  $\mathcal{Z}_i^{\pm}(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Z}_{i,m}^{\pm} z^{-m}$ . We define the universal dynamical quantum  $Z$ -algebra  $\mathcal{Z}_{k,l}$  to be a topological algebra over  $\mathbb{F}[[q^{2k}]]$  generated by  $\mathcal{Z}_{i,m}^{\pm}, K_i^{\pm}$  ( $i \in I, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ),  $q^d$  subject to the relations obtained by replacing  $\mathcal{Z}_i^{\pm}(z; \mathcal{V})$  by  $\mathcal{Z}_i^{\pm}(z)$  in (5.6)-(5.14).

In this Definition, we treat the relations as formal Laurent series in  $z, w$  and  $z_j$ 's in a similar way to those of the defining relations of  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$ . These relations are well-defined in the  $q^{2k}$ -adic topology.

**Proposition 5.5.**  $\mathcal{Z}$  is a  $H$ -algebra with the same  $\mu_l, \mu_r$  as in  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$ .

For  $(\mathcal{V}, \pi) \in \mathfrak{C}_{k,l}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{C}$ , we extend  $\pi$  to the map  $\pi : \mathcal{Z}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{H,\mathcal{V}}$  by  $\pi(\mathcal{Z}_{i,m}^{\pm}) = \mathcal{Z}_{i,m}^{\pm}(\mathcal{V})$ . Then  $\mathcal{V}$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}_{k,l}$ -module by  $\pi$ .

**Definition 5.6.** For  $k \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{C}$ , we denote by  $\mathfrak{D}_{k,l}$  the full subcategory of the category of  $\mathcal{Z}_{k,l}$ -modules consisting of those modules  $(\mathcal{W}, \sigma)$  such that

- (i)  $\mathcal{W}$  has level  $(k, l)$ , i.e.  $q^{\pm c/2}$  and  $\prod_{i \in I} (K_i^+)^{a_i^{\vee}}$  take their values  $q^{\pm k/2}$  and  $q^{-l}$ , respectively, on  $\mathcal{W}$ .

(ii)  $\mathcal{W} = \bigsqcup_{\omega \in \mathbb{C}} \mathcal{W}_\omega$ , where  $\mathcal{W}_\omega = \{w \in \mathcal{W} \mid q^{-\sigma(d)}w = q^\omega w\}$

(iii) For every  $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n > n_0$ ,  $\mathcal{W}_{\omega+n} = 0$ .

For  $(\mathcal{V}, \pi) \in \mathfrak{C}_{k,l}$ , let us define the vacuum space  $\Omega_{\mathcal{V}}$  as an invariant subspace of the  $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{V}}$ -module [23, 61].

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{V}} = \{v \in \mathcal{V} \mid \pi(\alpha_{i,m})v = 0 \quad \forall i \in I, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\}. \quad (5.15)$$

For a morphism  $\varphi : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'$  in  $\mathfrak{C}_{k,l}$  we have

$$\varphi(\Omega_{\mathcal{V}}) \subset \Omega_{\mathcal{V}'}$$

**Proposition 5.7.** For  $(\mathcal{V}, \pi) \in \mathfrak{C}_{k,l}$ , there is a unique representation  $\sigma$  of  $\mathcal{Z}_{k,l}$  on  $\Omega_{\mathcal{V}}$  such that  $(\Omega_{\mathcal{V}}, \sigma) \in \mathfrak{D}_{k,l}$ ,

$$\sigma(K_i^\pm) = \pi(K_i^\pm), \quad \sigma(\mathcal{Z}_{i,m}^\pm) = \mathcal{Z}_{i,m}^\pm(\mathcal{V}) \quad \forall i \in I, m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then we define a functor  $\Omega : \mathfrak{C}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{k,l}$  by

$$\Omega(\mathcal{V}, \pi) = (\Omega_{\mathcal{V}}, \sigma), \quad \Omega(\varphi) = \varphi|_{\Omega_{\mathcal{V}}} : \Omega_{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{V}'}$$

## 5.4 The functor $\Lambda$

We define a reverse functor  $\Lambda : \mathfrak{D}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{k,l}$  as follows. Let  $(\mathcal{W}, \sigma) \in \mathfrak{D}_{k,l}$  be a  $\mathcal{Z}_{k,l}$ -module. We define  $U(\mathfrak{H})$ -module  $\text{Ind } \mathcal{W}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{i,m} \cdot \mathcal{W} &= 0, \\ \text{Ind } \mathcal{W} &= U(\mathfrak{H}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{H}_+)} \mathcal{W}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}_{k,l}^\alpha$  be the level- $(k,l)$  Fock module defined in Sec.5.1. We have a natural isomorphism  $\mathcal{F}_{k,l}^\alpha \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{W} \cong \text{Ind } \mathcal{W}$ .

Identifying  $\text{Ind } \mathcal{W}$  with  $\mathcal{F}_{k,l}^\alpha \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{W}$ , we define  $x_j^{+'}(z), x_j^{-'}(z) \in \mathcal{D}_{H, \text{Ind } \mathcal{W}}[[z, z^{-1}]]$  by

$$\begin{aligned} x_j^{+'}(z) &:= E^-(\alpha_j, z)^{-1} E^+(\alpha_j, z)^{-1} \otimes \sigma(\mathcal{Z}_j^+(z)), \\ x_j^{-'}(z) &:= E^-(\alpha'_j, z)^{-1} E^+(\alpha'_j, z)^{-1} \otimes \sigma(\mathcal{Z}_j^-(z)). \end{aligned}$$

By a similar argument to the proof of Theorem 5.2 one can show that  $x_j^{+'}(z)$  and  $x_j^{-'}(z)$  gives a level- $(k,l)$  representation of  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{tor}})$ . We hence extend  $\pi : U(\mathfrak{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{H, \text{Ind } \mathcal{W}}$  to  $\pi : U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{tor}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{H, \text{Ind } \mathcal{W}}$  as an  $H$ -algebra homomorphism by

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(x_j^{+'}(z)) &= x_j^{+'}(z), \quad \pi(x_j^{-'}(z)) = x_j^{-'}(z), \\ \pi(q^d) &= q^d \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes q^{\sigma(d)}. \end{aligned}$$

By construction, the latter map is uniquely determined.

**Proposition 5.8.** For  $(\mathcal{W}, \sigma) \in \mathfrak{D}_{k,l}$ , there is a unique level- $(k, l)$   $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$ -module  $(\text{Ind } \mathcal{W}, \pi) \in \mathfrak{C}_{k,l}$ .

**Definition 5.9.** We define a functor  $\Lambda : \mathfrak{D}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{k,l}$  by

$$(i) \quad \Lambda(\mathcal{W}, \sigma) = (\text{Ind } \mathcal{W}, \pi)$$

(ii) For a morphism  $\varphi : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}'$  in  $\mathfrak{D}_{k,l}$ , define  $\Lambda(\varphi) : \text{Ind } \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \text{Ind } \mathcal{W}'$  to be the induced  $U(\mathfrak{h})$ -module map. Then  $\Lambda(\varphi)$  is a  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$ -module map.

We then obtain the following theorem analogously to the case of the elliptic quantum group  $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$  [23].

**Theorem 5.10.** For  $k \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{C}$ , the two categories  $\mathfrak{C}_{k,l}$  and  $\mathfrak{D}_{k,l}$  are equivalent by the functors  $\Omega : \mathfrak{C}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{k,l}$  and  $\Lambda : \mathfrak{D}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{k,l}$ . In particular, the level- $(k, l)$   $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$ -module  $\text{Ind } \mathcal{W} = \mathcal{F}_{k,l}^\alpha \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{W} \in \mathfrak{C}_{k,l}$  is irreducible if and only if  $\mathcal{W} \in \mathfrak{D}_{k,l}$  is an irreducible  $\mathcal{Z}_{k,l}$ -module.

### 5.5 The quantum $Z$ -algebra associated with $U_{q,\kappa}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$

From the dynamical quantum  $Z$ -algebra  $\mathcal{Z}_{i,m}^\pm$ , let us define  $Z_{i,m}^\pm$  by

$$Z_{j,m}^+ = \mathcal{Z}_{j,m}^+ \otimes e^{Q_j}, \quad Z_{j,m}^- = \mathcal{Z}_{j,m}^- \otimes 1$$

and set  $Z_i^\pm(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} Z_{i,m}^\pm z^{-m}$ . We also relate  $K_i^\pm$  to  $k_i^{\pm 1}$  in  $U_{q,\kappa}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  by

$$K_i^\pm = k_i^{\pm 1} \otimes e^{-Q_i}.$$

Then one can show that  $Z_i^\pm(z), k_i^{\pm 1}$  satisfy the relations in Theorem 5.2 except for (5.8)-(5.9) by replacing  $\mathcal{Z}_i^\pm(z, \mathcal{V})$  and  $K_i^{\pm 1}$  with  $Z_i^\pm(z)$  and  $k_i^{\mp 1}$ , respectively. Namely, the topological algebra generated by  $Z_i^\pm(z)$  and  $k_i^{\pm 1}$  is a non-dynamical version of the quantum  $Z$ -algebra. The latter gives the quantum  $Z$ -algebra associated with  $U_{q,\kappa}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$ . Namely one can show the following.

**Proposition 5.11.** Let us set  $a_{j,m} = [d_j] a_{j,m}^\vee$  ( $j \in I, m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ ). Let  $E^\pm(a_j, z)$  be operators in  $U_{q,\kappa}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})[[z, z^{-1}]]$  obtained from  $E^\pm(\alpha_j, z)$  by replacing  $\alpha_{j,m}$  with  $a_{j,m}$ . We set

$$X_j^{\prime+}(z) := E^-(a_j, z)^{-1} E^+(a_j, z)^{-1} \otimes Z_j^+(z),$$

$$X_j^{\prime-}(z) := E^-(a_j, z) E^+(a_j, z) \otimes Z_j^-(z).$$

Then  $X_j^{\prime\pm}(z), k_j^{\pm 1}$  generate the quantum toroidal algebra  $U_{q,\kappa}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$ .

We then obtain the following statement similar to Theorem 5.10.

**Theorem 5.12.** A representation of  $U_{q,\kappa}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  is irreducible if and only if the corresponding  $Z$ -module is irreducible.

## 5.6 Example

We give an example of the level- $(1, l)$  irreducible induced representation of  $U_{q, \kappa, p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  for a simply laced  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Let  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Q}]$  be the group algebra of the root lattice  $\mathcal{Q} = \bigoplus_i \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$  with the central extension:

$$e^{\alpha_i} e^{\pm\alpha_j} = (-1)^{a_{ij}} \kappa^{\mp m_{ij}} e^{\pm\alpha_j} e^{\alpha_i} \quad (i, j \in I). \quad (5.16)$$

Let us consider the fundamental weight  $\Lambda_a = \Lambda_0 + \bar{\Lambda}_a$  of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$  with  $0 \leq a \leq N$  for  $A_N^{(1)}$ ,  $a = 0, 1, N-1, N$  for  $D_N^{(1)}$ ,  $a = 0, 1, 2$  for  $E_6^{(1)}$ ,  $a = 0, 1$  for  $E_7^{(1)}$ ,  $a = 0$  for  $E_8^{(1)}$ .

**Theorem 5.13.** *For  $l \in \mathbb{C}$  and generic  $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ , an inequivalent set of the irreducible  $\mathcal{Z}_{1,l}$ -modules is given by  $\mathcal{W}(\Lambda_a, \mu) = \mathbb{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} e^{\bar{\Lambda}_a} \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Q}] \otimes e^{Q_\mu} \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}}]$ , on which the actions of  $\mathcal{Z}_j^\pm(z)$  are given by*

$$\mathcal{Z}_j^+(z) = e^{\alpha_j} z^{h_j+1} \otimes e^{-Q_j}, \quad \mathcal{Z}_j^-(z) = e^{-\alpha_j} z^{-h_j+1}, \quad (5.17)$$

$$K_j^\pm = q^{\pm h_j} \otimes e^{-Q_j}, \quad (5.18)$$

with

$$q^{c/2} \cdot 1 = q^{1/2} 1, \quad \prod_{j \in I} (K_j^+)^{a_j^\vee} \cdot 1 = q^{-l} 1, \\ z^{\pm h_i} \cdot e^{\alpha_j} e^{\bar{\Lambda}_a} 1 = z^{\pm \langle \alpha_j + \bar{\Lambda}_a, h_i \rangle} e^{\alpha_j} e^{\bar{\Lambda}_a} 1 \quad (i, j \in I).$$

*Proof.* One can check the relations in Theorem 5.2 with  $k = 1$  by using the following formulas.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_i^+(z) \mathcal{Z}_j^\pm(w) &= z^{\pm a_{ij}} : \mathcal{Z}_i^+(z) \mathcal{Z}_j^\pm(w) :, \\ \mathcal{Z}_j^\pm(w) \mathcal{Z}_i^+(z) &= w^{\pm a_{ij}} : \mathcal{Z}_j^\pm(w) \mathcal{Z}_i^+(z) :, \\ : \mathcal{Z}_i^+(z) \mathcal{Z}_j^\pm(w) &:= (-1)^{a_{ij}} \kappa^{\mp m_{ij}} : \mathcal{Z}_j^\pm(w) \mathcal{Z}_i^+(z) :, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$: \mathcal{Z}_i^+(z) \mathcal{Z}_j^+(w) := e^{\alpha_i} e^{\alpha_j} z^{h_i+1} w^{h_j+1} \otimes e^{-Q_i - Q_j}.$$

etc.. In particular, the Serre relations follows from the identity

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_2} \text{sgn} \sigma (z_{\sigma(1)} - q^{-1} z_{\sigma(2)}) \sum_{r=0}^2 (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ r \end{bmatrix} \prod_{s=1}^r (w - q^{-1} \kappa^{m_{ij}} z_{\sigma(s)}) \prod_{s=r+1}^2 (q^{-1} w - \kappa^{m_{ij}} z_{\sigma(s)}) = 0.$$

Note also

$$g(h, P) e^{\sum_{j \in I} a_j^\vee Q_j} = e^{\sum_{j \in I} a_j^\vee Q_j} g(h, P), \quad \forall g(h, P) \in \mathbb{F}.$$

□

This Theorem is a toroidal and dynamical analogue of the one in [35, 48].

From Theorem 5.10, we obtain the level- $(1, l)$  irreducible highest weight representation of  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$  with the highest weight  $(\Lambda_a + \mu, \mu)$  by

$$x_i^+(z) = \exp \left\{ \sum_{m>0} \frac{\alpha_{i,-m}}{[m]} z^m \right\} \exp \left\{ - \sum_{m>0} \frac{\alpha_{i,m}}{[m]} z^{-m} \right\} e^{\alpha_i} e^{-Q_i} z^{h_i+1}, \quad (5.19)$$

$$x_i^-(z) = \exp \left\{ - \sum_{m>0} \frac{\alpha'_{i,-m}}{[m]} z^m \right\} \exp \left\{ \sum_{m>0} \frac{\alpha'_{i,m}}{[m]} z^{-m} \right\} e^{-\alpha_i} z^{-h_i+1} \quad (5.20)$$

on

$$\mathbb{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{F}_{1,l}^{\alpha} \otimes \mathcal{W}(\Lambda_a, \mu). \quad (5.21)$$

The highest weight vector is given by

$$1 \otimes e^{\bar{\Lambda}_a} \otimes e^{Q\mu}.$$

In the trigonometric case  $U_{q,\kappa}(\mathfrak{gl}_{N,tor})$ , a similar representation was obtained in [78], which uses the extra Cartan elements  $H_{i,0}$  in addition to  $\partial_{\bar{\alpha}_i}$  corresponding to our  $h_i$  instead of using the central extension (5.16).

## 6 Level-(0,1) Representation of $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{gl}_{N,tor})$

In this section, we restrict ourself to the case  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_N$ ,  $N \geq 3$  and consider the level-(0,1) representation i.e. an elliptic and dynamical analogue of the  $q$ -Fock representation [29, 80, 87]. Note that in this representation one has  $p = p^*$ . Hereafter we set  $I = \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$ ,

$$\theta(z) = \theta_p(z),$$

and

$$q_1 = \kappa q^{-1}, \quad q_2 = q^2, \quad q_3 = \kappa^{-1} q^{-1}.$$

### 6.1 The vector representation

For  $k \in I, u \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ , let  $V^{(k)}(u)$  be a vector space over  $\mathcal{M}_{H^*}$  with basis  $[u]_j^{(k)}, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .  $V^{(k)}(u)$  has the  $\mathbb{Z}^N$ -grading defined by

$$\deg[u]_j^{(k)} = m(1_0 + \dots + 1_{N-1}) + 1_k + 1_{k-1} + \dots + 1_{k-r}$$

if  $j = mN + r$  and  $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, N - 1\}$ . Here  $1_i$  denote the standard generators of  $\mathbb{Z}^N$  with  $1_{i+N} = 1_i$ .

Define the action of  $\phi_i^\pm(z), x_i^+(z), x_i^-(z)$   $i \in I$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_i^\pm(z)[u]_j^{(k)} &= \begin{cases} q \frac{\theta(q_1^{j+1} q_3 u/z)}{\theta(q_1^j u/z)} \Big|_{\pm} e^{-Q_i}[u]_j^{(k)}, & i + j \equiv k \\ q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_1^j q_3^{-1} u/z)}{\theta(q_1^{j+1} u/z)} \Big|_{\pm} e^{-Q_i}[u]_j^{(k)}, & i + j + 1 \equiv k \\ e^{-Q_i}[u]_j^{(k)} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ x_i^+(z)[u]_j^{(k)} &= \begin{cases} C_+ \delta(q_1^{j+1} u/z) e^{-Q_i}[u]_{j+1}^{(k)}, & i + j + 1 \equiv k \\ 0, & i + j + 1 \not\equiv k \end{cases} \\ x_i^-(z)[u]_j^{(k)} &= \begin{cases} C_- \delta(q_1^j u/z) [u]_{j-1}^{(k)}, & i + j \equiv k \\ 0, & i + j \not\equiv k \end{cases}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

where

$$C_{\pm} = \frac{(pq^{\pm 2}; p)_{\infty}}{(p; p)_{\infty}}. \quad (6.2)$$

**Lemma 6.1.** *With the action in (6.1),  $V^{(k)}(u)$  is an irreducible, tame,  $\mathbb{Z}^N$ -graded  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{gl}_{N,\text{tor}})$ -module of level  $(0,0)$ . We call this the vector representation.*

## 6.2 The $q$ -Fock representation

Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots)$  be a partition, where  $\lambda_i \geq 0$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ), and  $l(\lambda) < +\infty$  be its length. For  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , let  $\lambda \pm \mathbf{1}_j = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_j \pm 1, \dots)$ . We identify  $\lambda$  with a Young diagram  $\lambda = \{(x, y) \mid 1 \leq x \leq l(\lambda), 1 \leq y \leq \lambda_x\}$ . Fix  $k \in I$ . For a box  $(x, y) \in \lambda$ , we assign the content  $c((x, y)) = x - y + k^3$  modulo  $N$ . We call a box  $(1, 1)$  the root of  $\lambda$  and  $k$  the root content.

Let  $\mathfrak{F}^{(k)}(u)$  be the subspace

$$\mathfrak{F}^{(k)}(u) \subset V^{(k)}(u) \tilde{\otimes} V^{(k)}(uq_2^{-1}) \tilde{\otimes} V^{(k)}(uq_2^{-2}) \tilde{\otimes} \dots$$

spanned by vectors

$$|\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)} = [u]_{\lambda_1-1}^{(k)} \tilde{\otimes} [uq_2^{-1}]_{\lambda_2-2}^{(k)} \tilde{\otimes} [uq_2^{-2}]_{\lambda_3-3}^{(k)} \tilde{\otimes} \dots$$

By repeated application of the comultiplication  $\Delta^{op}$  in (3.17)-(3.19) to the vector representation, we obtain the following level- $(0, 1)$  action of  $\mathcal{U}_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{gl}_{N,\text{tor}})$  on  $\mathfrak{F}^{(k)}(u)$  inductively [27].

---

<sup>3</sup>This is called color in [29].

**Theorem 6.2.** For  $j \in I$ , the following gives a level-(0, 1) irreducible lowest weight representation of  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$  on  $\mathfrak{F}^{(k)}(u)$ .

$$\phi_j^\pm(z)|\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)} = \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \lambda_s+j \equiv s+k}}^{l(\lambda)} q^{\frac{\theta(q_3 u_s/z)}{\theta(q_1^{-1} u_s/z)}} \Big|_{\pm} \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \lambda_s+j+1 \equiv s+k}}^{l(\lambda)} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_1^{-1} q_3^{-1} u_s/z)}{\theta(u_s/z)} \Big|_{\pm} e^{-Q_j |\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)}}, \quad (6.3)$$

$$x_j^+(z)|\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)} = C_+ \sum_{\substack{i \in I \\ \lambda_i+j+1 \equiv i+k}} \delta(u_i/z) A_{\lambda,i}^+ e^{-Q_j |\lambda + \mathbf{1}_i\rangle_u^{(k)}}, \quad (6.4)$$

$$x_j^-(z)|\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)} = C_- \sum_{\substack{i \in I \\ \lambda_i+j \equiv i+k}} \delta(q_1^{-1} u_i/z) A_{\lambda,i}^- |\lambda - \mathbf{1}_i\rangle_u^{(k)}, \quad (6.5)$$

where  $\equiv$  denotes congruence modulo  $N$ , and we set  $u_a = q_1^{\lambda_a} q_3^{a-1} u$  ( $a \in I$ ),

$$A_{\lambda,i}^+ = \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \lambda_s+j \equiv s+k}}^{i-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1} u_i/u_s)}{\theta(q_1 u_i/u_s)} \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \lambda_s+j+1 \equiv s+k}}^{i-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1 q_3 u_i/u_s)}{\theta(u_i/u_s)}, \quad (6.6)$$

$$A_{\lambda,i}^- = \prod_{\substack{s=i+1 \\ \lambda_s+j \equiv s+k}}^{\infty} q \frac{\theta(q_1 q_3 u_s/u_i)}{\theta(u_s/u_i)} \prod_{\substack{s=i+1 \\ \lambda_s+j+1 \equiv s+k}}^{\infty} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1} u_s/u_i)}{\theta(q_1 u_s/u_i)}. \quad (6.7)$$

In (6.3),  $|_{\pm}$  denotes the expansion direction

$$\frac{\theta(q_3 z)(p; p)_{\infty}^3}{\theta(q_1^{-1} z)\theta(q^{-2})} \Big|_{+} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{1 - q^{-2} p^n} (q_3 z)^n, \quad (6.8)$$

for  $|p| < |q_3 z| < 1$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\theta(q_3 z)(p; p)_{\infty}^3}{\theta(q_1^{-1} z)\theta(q^{-2})} \Big|_{-} &= -\frac{\theta(q_3^{-1}/z)(p; p)_{\infty}^3}{\theta(q_1/z)\theta(q^2)} \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{1 - q^2 p^n} (q_3^{-1}/z)^n, \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

for  $1 < |q_3 z| < |p^{-1}|$ . In (6.4) and (6.5),  $|\lambda \pm \mathbf{1}_i\rangle_u^{(k)}$  vanishes if  $\lambda \pm \mathbf{1}_i$  is not a partition, respectively.

The lowest weight vector is  $|\emptyset\rangle_u^{(k)}$  and the lowest weight is

$$\Phi(z) = \left( \left( q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q^2 u/z)}{\theta(u/z)} \right)^{\delta_{j,k}} \right)_{j \in I}.$$

A direct proof is given in Appendix B.

A box  $(x, y)$  is called addable if  $(x, y) \notin \lambda$  and  $(x-1, y), (x, y-1) \in \lambda$ . A box  $(x, y)$  is called removable if  $(x, y) \in \lambda$  and  $(x+1, y), (x, y+1) \notin \lambda$ . For  $j \in I$ , let  $A_j^{(k)}(\lambda)$  and  $R_j^{(k)}(\lambda)$  denote the set of all addable and removable boxes of content  $j$ , respectively. For  $(x, y), (x', y') \in \lambda$ ,  $(x, y) > (x', y')$  if  $c((x, y)) > c((x', y'))$ . Then one can rewrite the formulas in Theorem 6.2 as follows.



**Corollary 6.3.**

$$\phi_j^\pm(z)|\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)} = \prod_{R \in R_j^{(k)}(\lambda)} q \frac{\theta(u_R/z)}{\theta(q^2 u_R/z)} \Big|_{\pm} \prod_{A \in A_j^{(k)}(\lambda)} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q^4 u_A/z)}{\theta(q^2 u_A/z)} \Big|_{\pm} e^{-Q_j} |\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)}, \quad (6.10)$$

$$x_j^+(z)|\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)} = C_+ \sum_{X \in A_j^{(k)}(\lambda)} \delta(q^2 u_X/z) A_{\lambda, X}^+ e^{-Q_j} |\lambda \cup X\rangle_u^{(k)}, \quad (6.11)$$

$$x_j^-(z)|\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)} = C_- \sum_{X \in R_j^{(k)}(\lambda)} \delta(q^2 u_X/z) A_{\lambda, X}^- |\lambda \setminus X\rangle_u^{(k)}, \quad (6.12)$$

where  $u_X = q_1^y q_3^x u$  for  $X = (x, y) \in \lambda$ , and

$$A_{\lambda, X}^+ = \prod_{\substack{R \in R_j^{(k)}(\lambda) \\ R < X}} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q^2 u_X/u_R)}{\theta(u_X/u_R)} \prod_{\substack{A \in A_j^{(k)}(\lambda) \\ A < X}} q \frac{\theta(q^{-2} u_X/u_A)}{\theta(u_X/u_A)}, \quad (6.13)$$

$$= \prod_{\substack{R \in R_j^{(k)}(\lambda) \\ R < X}} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q^2 u_X/u_R)}{\theta(u_X/u_R)} \prod_{\substack{A \in A_j^{(k)}(\lambda \cup X) \\ A < X}} q \frac{\theta(q^{-2} u_X/u_A)}{\theta(u_X/u_A)}, \quad (6.14)$$

$$A_{\lambda, X}^- = \prod_{\substack{R \in R_j^{(k)}(\lambda) \\ R > X}} q \frac{\theta(q^{-2} u_R/u_X)}{\theta(u_R/u_X)} \prod_{\substack{A \in A_j^{(k)}(\lambda) \\ A > X}} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q^2 u_A/u_X)}{\theta(u_A/u_X)}. \quad (6.15)$$

$$= \prod_{\substack{R \in R_j^{(k)}(\lambda \setminus X) \\ R > X}} q \frac{\theta(q^{-2} u_R/u_X)}{\theta(u_R/u_X)} \prod_{\substack{A \in A_j^{(k)}(\lambda) \\ A > X}} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q^2 u_A/u_X)}{\theta(u_A/u_X)}. \quad (6.16)$$

In particular,

$$\prod_{j \in I} K_j^+ |\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)} = q^{|\mathcal{R}(\lambda)| - |\mathcal{A}(\lambda)|} |\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)} = q^{-1} |\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)}, \quad (6.17)$$

where we set  $|\mathcal{R}(\lambda)| = \sum_{j \in I} |R_j^{(k)}(\lambda)|$  and  $|\mathcal{A}(\lambda)| = \sum_{j \in I} |A_j^{(k)}(\lambda)|$ .

*Proof.* The statement follows from the fact that in (6.3), (6.6), (6.7), each factor associated with a box  $\notin R_j^{(k)}(\lambda)$  in the first product is cancelled out by a factor associated with a box  $\notin A_j^{(k)}(\lambda)$  in the second product and vice versa. Note that if  $\lambda_r = \lambda_{r+1}$  then  $(r, \lambda_r) \notin R_j^{(k)}(\lambda)$  and  $(r+1, \lambda_{r+1}+1) \notin A_j^{(k)}(\lambda)$ . The last equality in (6.17) follows from the fact that for any Young diagram the number of addable boxes always exceeds the one of removable boxes by one.  $\square$

Finally let us discuss a geometric interpretation of the results. For a partition  $\lambda$ , let us define  $\mathbf{d}(\lambda) = (d_0(\lambda), d_1(\lambda), \dots, d_{N-1}(\lambda))$  by  $d_i(\lambda) = \#$  of boxes having the content  $i \bmod N$ . Consider the quiver variety  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}}$  of type  $A_{N-1}^{(1)}$  with  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{d}(\lambda)$  and framing  $\mathbf{w} = (w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{N-1})$  with

$w_i = \delta_{i,k}$ . Due to [70], the trigonometric limit ( $p \rightarrow 0$ ) of the level-(0, 1) representation with  $k = 0$  in Corollary 6.3 coincides with the representation of  $U_{q,\kappa}(\mathfrak{gl}_{N,tor})$  on the equivariant K-theory  $\bigoplus_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{N}^N} K_T(\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}})$  with  $T = \mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathbb{C}^\times \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{|\mathbf{w}|=1} \ni (q_1, q_3, u)$  studied in [69, 88] except for a dependence on the dynamical parameters. In particular, the vector  $|\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)}$  can be identified with the fixed point class in  $K_T(\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}})$  labeled by the partition  $\lambda$ . Note also that the level-(0, 1) representation of  $U_{q,\kappa}(\mathfrak{gl}_{N,tor})$  on the  $q$ -Fock module was constructed in [80, 87].

We thus reach the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 6.4.** *Let  $E_T(X)$  denote the equivariant elliptic cohomology of the quiver variety  $X$  defined in [1]. The representation in Theorem 6.2 gives the level-(0, 1) irreducible representation of  $U_{q,\kappa,p}(\mathfrak{gl}_{N,tor})$  on  $\bigoplus_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{N}^N} E_T(\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}})$ .*

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Yoshihisa Saito for useful discussions. HK is supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 20K03507 JSPS, Japan. KO is supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 21K03191 JSPS, Japan.

## A Lemma A

$$[\alpha_{i,-l}, E^+(\alpha_j, z)] = -\frac{[b_{ij}l]}{l} \frac{1-p^l}{1-p^{*l}} \kappa^{lm_{ij}} q^{-kl} z^{-l} E^+(\alpha_j, z) \quad (l > 0), \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$[\alpha_{i,l}, E^-(\alpha_j, z)] = -\frac{[b_{ij}l]}{l} \frac{1-p^l}{1-p^{*l}} \kappa^{-lm_{ij}} q^{-kl} z^l E^-(\alpha_j, z) \quad (l > 0), \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$[\alpha_{i,-l}, E^+(\alpha'_j, z)] = \frac{[b_{ij}l]}{l} \kappa^{lm_{ij}} z^{-l} E^+(\alpha'_j, z) \quad (l > 0), \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$[\alpha_{i,l}, E^-(\alpha'_j, z)] = \frac{[b_{ij}l]}{l} \kappa^{-lm_{ij}} z^l E^-(\alpha'_j, z) \quad (l > 0), \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$E^+(\alpha_i, z) E^-(\alpha_j, w) = \frac{(q^{-b_{ij}} \kappa^{-m_{ij}} \frac{w}{z}; q^{2k})_\infty (p^* q^{-b_{ij}} \kappa^{-m_{ij}} \frac{w}{z}; p^*)_\infty}{(q^{b_{ij}} \kappa^{-m_{ij}} \frac{w}{z}; q^{2k})_\infty (p^* q^{b_{ij}} \kappa^{-m_{ij}} \frac{w}{z}; p^*)_\infty} E^-(\alpha_j, w) E^+(\alpha_i, z), \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$E^+(\alpha'_i, z) E^-(\alpha'_j, w) = \frac{(q^{-b_{ij}} q^{2k} \kappa^{-m_{ij}} \frac{w}{z}; q^{2k})_\infty (pq^{b_{ij}} \kappa^{-m_{ij}} \frac{w}{z}; p)_\infty}{(q^{b_{ij}} q^{2k} \kappa^{-m_{ij}} \frac{w}{z}; q^{2k})_\infty (pq^{-b_{ij}} \kappa^{-m_{ij}} \frac{w}{z}; p)_\infty} E^-(\alpha'_j, w) E^+(\alpha'_i, z), \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$E^+(\alpha_i, z) E^-(\alpha'_j, w) = \frac{(q^{b_{ij}} q^k \kappa^{-m_{ij}} \frac{w}{z}; q^{2k})_\infty}{(q^{-b_{ij}} q^k \kappa^{-m_{ij}} \frac{w}{z}; q^{2k})_\infty} E^-(\alpha'_j, w) E^+(\alpha_i, z), \quad (\text{A.7})$$

$$E^+(\alpha'_i, z) E^-(\alpha_j, w) = \frac{(q^{b_{ij}} q^k \kappa^{-m_{ij}} \frac{w}{z}; q^{2k})_\infty}{(q^{-b_{ij}} q^k \kappa^{-m_{ij}} \frac{w}{z}; q^{2k})_\infty} E^-(\alpha_j, w) E^+(\alpha'_i, z), \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$$E^+(\alpha_i, z) x_j^+(w) = \frac{(q^{b_{ij}} \kappa^{-m_{ij}} \frac{w}{z}; q^{2k})_\infty (p^* q^{b_{ij}} \kappa^{-m_{ij}} \frac{w}{z}; p^*)_\infty}{(q^{-b_{ij}} \kappa^{-m_{ij}} \frac{w}{z}; q^{2k})_\infty (p^* q^{-b_{ij}} \kappa^{-m_{ij}} \frac{w}{z}; p^*)_\infty} x_j^+(w, p) E^+(\alpha_i, z), \quad (\text{A.9})$$

$$E^-(\alpha_i, z) x_j^+(w) = \frac{(q^{-b_{ij}} \kappa^{m_{ij}} \frac{z}{w}; q^{2k})_\infty (p^* q^{-b_{ij}} \kappa^{m_{ij}} \frac{z}{w}; p^*)_\infty}{(q^{b_{ij}} \kappa^{m_{ij}} \frac{z}{w}; q^{2k})_\infty (p^* q^{b_{ij}} \kappa^{m_{ij}} \frac{z}{w}; p^*)_\infty} x_j^+(w) E^-(\alpha_i, z), \quad (\text{A.10})$$

$$E^+(\alpha_i, z) x_j^-(w) = \frac{(q^{-b_{ij}} q^k \kappa^{-m_{ij}} \frac{w}{z}; q^{2k})_\infty}{(q^{b_{ij}} q^k \kappa^{-m_{ij}} \frac{w}{z}; q^{2k})_\infty} x_j^-(w) E^+(\alpha_i, z), \quad (\text{A.11})$$

$$E^-(\alpha_i, z) x_j^-(w) = \frac{(q^{b_{ij}} q^k \kappa^{m_{ij}} \frac{z}{w}; q^{2k})_\infty}{(q^{-b_{ij}} q^k \kappa^{m_{ij}} \frac{z}{w}; q^{2k})_\infty} x_j^-(w) E^-(\alpha_i, z), \quad (\text{A.12})$$

$$E^+(\alpha'_i, z) x_j^+(w) = \frac{(q^{-b_{ij}} q^k \kappa^{-m_{ij}} \frac{w}{z}; q^{2k})_\infty}{(q^{b_{ij}} q^k \kappa^{-m_{ij}} \frac{w}{z}; q^{2k})_\infty} x_j^+(w) E^+(\alpha'_i, z), \quad (\text{A.13})$$

$$E^-(\alpha'_i, z) x_j^+(w) = \frac{(q^{b_{ij}} q^k \kappa^{m_{ij}} \frac{z}{w}; q^{2k})_\infty}{(q^{-b_{ij}} q^k \kappa^{m_{ij}} \frac{z}{w}; q^{2k})_\infty} x_j^+(w) E^-(\alpha'_i, z), \quad (\text{A.14})$$

$$E^+(\alpha'_i, z) x_j^-(w) = \frac{(q^{b_{ij}} q^{2k} \kappa^{-m_{ij}} \frac{w}{z}; q^{2k})_\infty (pq^{-b_{ij}} \kappa^{-m_{ij}} \frac{w}{z}; p)_\infty}{(q^{-b_{ij}} q^{2k} \kappa^{-m_{ij}} \frac{w}{z}; q^{2k})_\infty (pq^{b_{ij}} \kappa^{-m_{ij}} \frac{w}{z}; p)_\infty} x_j^-(w) E^+(\alpha'_i, z), \quad (\text{A.15})$$

$$E^-(\alpha'_i, z) x_j^-(w) = \frac{(q^{-b_{ij}} q^{2k} \kappa^{m_{ij}} \frac{z}{w}; q^{2k})_\infty (pq^{b_{ij}} \kappa^{m_{ij}} \frac{z}{w}; p)_\infty}{(q^{b_{ij}} q^{2k} \kappa^{m_{ij}} \frac{z}{w}; q^{2k})_\infty (pq^{-b_{ij}} \kappa^{m_{ij}} \frac{z}{w}; p)_\infty} x_j^-(w) E^-(\alpha'_i, z). \quad (\text{A.16})$$

## B Proof of Theorem 6.2.

We show that the action in Theorem 6.2 satisfies the level-(0,1) relations in Definition 2.2.

Remember that  $p^* = p$  in the level-(0,1) representation.

For  $i \in I$ , let us set  $u_i = q_1^{\lambda_i} q_3^{i-1}$  as before.

- (2.46) with  $i = j$  :

From (6.3) and (6.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi_j^\pm(z) x_j^+(w) \phi_j^\pm(z)^{-1} |\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)} \\
&= C_+ \sum_{\substack{i \in I \\ \lambda_i + j + 1 \equiv i + k}} \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \lambda_s + j \equiv s + k}}^{\infty} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_1^{-1} u_s / z)}{\theta(q_3 u_s / z)} \Big|_{\pm} \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \lambda_s + j + 1 \equiv s + k}}^{\infty} q \frac{\theta(u_s / z)}{\theta(q_1^{-1} q_3^{-1} u_s / z)} \Big|_{\pm} \\
& \quad \times \delta(u_i / w) A_{\lambda, i}^+ \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ (\lambda + \mathbf{1}_i)_s + j \equiv s + k}}^{\infty} q \frac{\theta(q_3 \tilde{u}_s / z)}{\theta(q_1^{-1} \tilde{u}_s / z)} \Big|_{\pm} \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ (\lambda + \mathbf{1}_i)_s + j + 1 \equiv s + k}}^{\infty} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_1^{-1} q_3^{-1} \tilde{u}_s / z)}{\theta(\tilde{u}_s / z)} \Big|_{\pm} e^{-Q_j |\lambda + \mathbf{1}_i\rangle_u^{(k)}},
\end{aligned}$$

where we set  $\tilde{u}_s = q_1^{(\lambda + \mathbf{1}_i)_s} q_3^{s-1} u = q_1^{\lambda_s + \delta_{s,i}} q_3^{s-1} u$ . In side of the summation there exist two  $s = i$  factors satisfying  $\lambda_i + j + 1 \equiv i + k$ , i.e.  $q \frac{\theta(u_i / z)}{\theta(q_1^{-1} q_3^{-1} u_i / z)}$  and  $q \frac{\theta(q_1 q_3 u_i / z)}{\theta(u_i / z)}$  from the products

$$\prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \lambda_s + j + 1 \equiv s + k}}^{\infty} \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ (\lambda + \mathbf{1}_i)_s + j \equiv s + k}}^{\infty}, \quad \text{respectively. Hence we obtain}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi_j^\pm(z) x_j^+(w) \phi_j^\pm(z)^{-1} |\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)} \\
&= q^2 \frac{\theta(q_1 q_3 w / z)}{\theta(q_1^{-1} q_3^{-1} w / z)} C_+ \\
& \quad \times \sum_{\substack{i \in I \\ \lambda_i + j + 1 \equiv i + k}} \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \lambda_s + j \equiv s + k \\ s \neq i}}^{\infty} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_1^{-1} u_s / z)}{\theta(q_3 u_s / z)} \Big|_{\pm} \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \lambda_s + j + 1 \equiv s + k \\ s \neq i}}^{\infty} q \frac{\theta(u_s / z)}{\theta(q_1^{-1} q_3^{-1} u_s / z)} \Big|_{\pm} \\
& \quad \times \delta(u_i / w) A_{\lambda, i}^+ \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \lambda_s + j \equiv s + k \\ s \neq i}}^{\infty} q \frac{\theta(q_3 u_s / z)}{\theta(q_1^{-1} u_s / z)} \Big|_{\pm} \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \lambda_s + j + 1 \equiv s + k \\ s \neq i}}^{\infty} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_1^{-1} q_3^{-1} u_s / z)}{\theta(u_s / z)} \Big|_{\pm} e^{-Q_j |\lambda + \mathbf{1}_i\rangle_u^{(k)}} \\
&= q^2 \frac{\theta(q^{-2} w / z)}{\theta(q^2 w / z)} x_j^+(w) |\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)}.
\end{aligned}$$

- (2.46) with  $i = j + 1$  :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{j+1}^\pm(z) x_j^+(w) \phi_{j+1}^\pm(z)^{-1} |\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)} \\
&= C_+ \sum_{\substack{i \in I \\ \lambda_i + j + 1 \equiv i + k}} \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \lambda_s + j + 1 \equiv s + k}}^{\infty} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_1^{-1} u_s / z)}{\theta(q_3 u_s / z)} \Big|_{\pm} \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \lambda_s + j + 2 \equiv s + k}}^{\infty} q \frac{\theta(u_s / z)}{\theta(q_1^{-1} q_3^{-1} u_s / z)} \Big|_{\pm} \\
& \quad \times \delta(u_i / w) A_{\lambda, i}^+ \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ (\lambda + \mathbf{1}_i)_s + j + 1 \equiv s + k}}^{\infty} q \frac{\theta(q_3 \tilde{u}_s / z)}{\theta(q_1^{-1} \tilde{u}_s / z)} \Big|_{\pm} \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ (\lambda + \mathbf{1}_i)_s + j + 2 \equiv s + k}}^{\infty} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_1^{-1} q_3^{-1} \tilde{u}_s / z)}{\theta(\tilde{u}_s / z)} \Big|_{\pm} \\
& \quad \times e^{-Q_j |\lambda + \mathbf{1}_i\rangle_u^{(k)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

In side of the summation only the first product has a  $s = i$  factor  $q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_1^{-1} u_i / z)}{\theta(q_3 u_i / z)}$ , we obtain

$$\phi_{j+1}^\pm(z) x_j^+(w) \phi_{j+1}^\pm(z)^{-1} |\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)} = q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q \kappa^{-1} w / z)}{\theta(q^{-1} \kappa^{-1} w / z)} x_j^+(w) |\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)}.$$

One can prove (2.46) with  $i = j - 1$  similarly.

• (2.42) with  $i = j$  :

From (6.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_j^+(z)x_j^+(w)|\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)} \\
&= C_+^2 \left[ \sum_{\substack{i,i' \in I \\ \lambda_i+j+1 \equiv i+k \\ \lambda_{i'}+j+1 \equiv i'+k \\ i < i'}} \delta(u_i/w)\delta(u_{i'}/z) \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \lambda_s+j \equiv s+k}}^{i-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1}u_i/u_s)}{\theta(q_1u_i/u_s)} \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \lambda_s+j+1 \equiv s+k}}^{i-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1q_3u_i/u_s)}{\theta(u_i/u_s)} \right. \\
&\quad \times \prod_{\substack{s'=1 \\ \lambda_{s'}+j \equiv s'+k}}^{i'-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1}u_{i'}/\tilde{u}_{s'})}{\theta(q_1u_{i'}/\tilde{u}_{s'})} \prod_{\substack{s'=1 \\ \lambda_{s'}+j+1 \equiv s'+k}}^{i'-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1q_3u_{i'}/\tilde{u}_{s'})}{\theta(u_{i'}/\tilde{u}_{s'})} \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{i,i' \in I \\ \lambda_i+j+1 \equiv i+k \\ \lambda_{i'}+j+1 \equiv i'+k \\ i > i'}} \delta(u_i/w)\delta(u_{i'}/z) \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \lambda_s+j \equiv s+k}}^{i-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1}u_i/u_s)}{\theta(q_1u_i/u_s)} \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \lambda_s+j+1 \equiv s+k}}^{i-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1q_3u_i/u_s)}{\theta(u_i/u_s)} \\
&\quad \times \left. \prod_{\substack{s'=1 \\ \lambda_{s'}+j \equiv s'+k}}^{i'-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1}u_{i'}/\tilde{u}_{s'})}{\theta(q_1u_{i'}/\tilde{u}_{s'})} \prod_{\substack{s'=1 \\ \lambda_{s'}+j+1 \equiv s'+k}}^{i'-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1q_3u_{i'}/\tilde{u}_{s'})}{\theta(u_{i'}/\tilde{u}_{s'})} \right] e^{-2Q_j|\lambda + \mathbf{1}_i + \mathbf{1}_{i'}\rangle_u^{(k)},
\end{aligned}$$

where we set  $\tilde{u}_{s'} = q_1^{\lambda_{s'} + \delta_{s',i}} q_3^{s'-1} u$ . In the first term we have a  $s' = i$  factor  $q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1}u_{i'}/\tilde{u}_{s'})}{\theta(u_{i'}/\tilde{u}_{s'})}$  in the third product whereas in the second term we have a  $s = i'$  factor  $q \frac{\theta(q_1q_3u_i/u_{i'})}{\theta(u_i/u_{i'})}$  in the second product. Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_j^+(z)x_j^+(w)|\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)} \\
&= C_+^2 \left[ q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q^2z/w)}{\theta(z/w)} \sum_{\substack{i,i' \in I \\ \lambda_i+j+1 \equiv i+k \\ \lambda_{i'}+j+1 \equiv i'+k \\ i < i'}} \delta(u_i/w)\delta(u_{i'}/z) \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \lambda_s+j \equiv s+k}}^{i-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1}u_i/u_s)}{\theta(q_1u_i/u_s)} \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \lambda_s+j+1 \equiv s+k}}^{i-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1q_3u_i/u_s)}{\theta(u_i/u_s)} \right. \\
&\quad \times \prod_{\substack{s'=1 \\ \neq i \\ \lambda_{s'}+j \equiv s'+k}}^{i'-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1}u_{i'}/\tilde{u}_{s'})}{\theta(q_1u_{i'}/\tilde{u}_{s'})} \prod_{\substack{s'=1 \\ \lambda_{s'}+j+1 \equiv s'+k}}^{i'-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1q_3u_{i'}/\tilde{u}_{s'})}{\theta(u_{i'}/\tilde{u}_{s'})} \\
&\quad \left. \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +q \frac{\theta(q^{-2}w/z)}{\theta(w/z)} \sum_{\substack{i,i' \in I \\ \lambda_i+j+1 \equiv i+k \\ \lambda_{i'}+j+1 \equiv i'+k \\ i > i'}} \delta(u_i/w)\delta(u_{i'}/z) \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \lambda_s+j \equiv s+k}}^{i-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1}u_i/u_s)}{\theta(q_1u_i/u_s)} \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \neq i'}}^{i-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1q_3u_i/u_s)}{\theta(u_i/u_s)} \\
& \times \left[ \prod_{\substack{s'=1 \\ \lambda_{s'}+j \equiv s'+k}}^{i'-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1}u_{i'}/\tilde{u}_{s'})}{\theta(q_1u_{i'}/\tilde{u}_{s'})} \prod_{\substack{s'=1 \\ \lambda_{s'}+j+1 \equiv s'+k}}^{i'-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1q_3u_{i'}/\tilde{u}_{s'})}{\theta(u_{i'}/\tilde{u}_{s'})} \right] e^{-2Q_j} |\lambda + \mathbf{1}_i + \mathbf{1}_{i'}\rangle_u^{(k)}.
\end{aligned}$$

From this expression by exchanging  $z$  and  $w$  as well as  $i$  and  $i'$ , simultaneously, we obtain a similar formula for  $x_j^+(w)x_j^+(z)|\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)}$ . Comparing these two, one obtains

$$x_j^+(z)x_j^+(w)|\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)} = q^2 \frac{\theta(q^{-2}w/z)}{\theta(q^2w/z)} x_j^+(w)x_j^+(z)|\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)}.$$

• (2.42) for  $x_j^+(z)$  and  $x_{j+1}^+(w)$  :

From (6.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_1^{-1}z/w)}{\theta(q_3z/w)} x_j^+(z)x_{j+1}^+(w)|\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)} \\
& = C_+^2 \left[ q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_1^{-1}z/w)}{\theta(q_3z/w)} \sum_{\substack{i \in I \\ \lambda_i+j+2 \equiv i+k}} \delta(u_i/w)\delta(q_1u_i/z) \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \lambda_s+j+1 \equiv s+k}}^{i-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1}u_i/u_s)}{\theta(q_1u_i/u_s)} \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \lambda_s+j+2 \equiv s+k}}^{i-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1q_3u_i/u_s)}{\theta(u_i/u_s)} \right. \\
& \quad \times \prod_{\substack{s'=1 \\ \lambda_{s'}+j \equiv s'+k}}^{i-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_1q_3^{-1}u_{i'}/u_{s'})}{\theta(q_1^2u_{i'}/u_{s'})} \prod_{\substack{s'=1 \\ \lambda_{s'}+j+1 \equiv s'+k}}^{i-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1^2q_3u_{i'}/u_{s'})}{\theta(q_1u_{i'}/u_{s'})} e^{-Q_j-Q_{j+1}} |\lambda + 2\mathbf{1}_i\rangle_u^{(k)} \\
& \quad + \sum_{\substack{i,i' \in I \\ \lambda_i+j+2 \equiv i+k \\ \lambda_{i'}+j+1 \equiv i'+k \\ i < i'}} \delta(u_i/w)\delta(u_{i'}/z) \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \lambda_s+j+1 \equiv s+k}}^{i-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1}u_i/u_s)}{\theta(q_1u_i/u_s)} \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \lambda_s+j+2 \equiv s+k}}^{i-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1q_3u_i/u_s)}{\theta(u_i/u_s)} \\
& \quad \times \prod_{\substack{s'=1 \\ \lambda_{s'}+j \equiv s'+k}}^{i'-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1}u_{i'}/u_{s'})}{\theta(q_1u_{i'}/u_{s'})} \prod_{\substack{s'=1 \\ \neq i}}^{i'-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1q_3u_{i'}/u_{s'})}{\theta(u_{i'}/u_{s'})} e^{-Q_j-Q_{j+1}} |\lambda + \mathbf{1}_i + \mathbf{1}_{i'}\rangle_u^{(k)} \\
& \quad + \sum_{\substack{i,i' \in I \\ \lambda_i+j+2 \equiv i+k \\ \lambda_{i'}+j+1 \equiv i'+k \\ i > i'}} \delta(u_i/w)\delta(u_{i'}/z) \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \neq i'}}^{i-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1}u_i/u_s)}{\theta(q_1u_i/u_s)} \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \lambda_s+j+2 \equiv s+k}}^{i-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1q_3u_i/u_s)}{\theta(u_i/u_s)} \\
& \quad \times \left. \prod_{\substack{s'=1 \\ \lambda_{s'}+j \equiv s'+k}}^{i'-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1}u_{i'}/u_{s'})}{\theta(q_1u_{i'}/u_{s'})} \prod_{\substack{s'=1 \\ \lambda_{s'}+j+1 \equiv s'+k}}^{i'-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1q_3u_{i'}/u_{s'})}{\theta(u_{i'}/u_{s'})} e^{-Q_j-Q_{j+1}} |\lambda + \mathbf{1}_i + \mathbf{1}_{i'}\rangle_u^{(k)} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Then the first term vanishes because of  $\theta(q_1^{-1}z/w)\delta(u_i/w)\delta(q_1u_i/z) = 0$ . We hence obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_1^{-1}z/w)}{\theta(q_3z/w)} x_j^+(z) x_{j+1}^+(w) |\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)} \\
&= C_+^2 \left[ \sum_{\substack{i, i' \in I \\ \lambda_i + j + 2 \equiv i + k \\ \lambda_{i'} + j + 1 \equiv i' + k \\ i < i'}} \delta(u_i/w) \delta(u_{i'}/z) \prod_{s=1}^{i-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1}u_i/u_s)}{\theta(q_1u_i/u_s)} \prod_{s=1}^{i-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1q_3u_i/u_s)}{\theta(u_i/u_s)} \right. \\
&\quad \times \prod_{s'=1}^{i'-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1}u_{i'}/u_{s'})}{\theta(q_1u_{i'}/u_{s'})} \prod_{\substack{s'=1 \\ \neq i}}^{i'-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1q_3u_{i'}/u_{s'})}{\theta(u_{i'}/u_{s'})} e^{-Q_j - Q_{j+1}} |\lambda + \mathbf{1}_i + \mathbf{1}_{i'}\rangle_u^{(k)} \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{i, i' \in I \\ \lambda_i + j + 2 \equiv i + k \\ \lambda_{i'} + j + 1 \equiv i' + k \\ i > i'}} \delta(u_i/w) \delta(u_{i'}/z) \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \neq i'}}^{i-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1}u_i/u_s)}{\theta(q_1u_i/u_s)} \prod_{s=1}^{i-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1q_3u_i/u_s)}{\theta(u_i/u_s)} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{s'=1}^{i'-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1}u_{i'}/u_{s'})}{\theta(q_1u_{i'}/u_{s'})} \prod_{s'=1}^{i'-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1q_3u_{i'}/u_{s'})}{\theta(u_{i'}/u_{s'})} e^{-Q_j - Q_{j+1}} |\lambda + \mathbf{1}_i + \mathbf{1}_{i'}\rangle_u^{(k)} \left. \right] \\
&= x_{j+1}^+(w) x_j^+(z) |\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)}.
\end{aligned}$$

One can prove (2.42) for  $x_j^+(z)$  and  $x_{j-1}^+(w)$  similarly.

• (2.28) :

From (6.5) and (6.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_j^+(z) x_j^-(w) |\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)} \\
&= C_+ C_- \sum_{\substack{i \in I \\ \lambda_i + j \equiv i + k}} \sum_{\substack{i' \in I \\ (\lambda - \mathbf{1}_i)_{i'} + j + 1 \equiv i' + k}} \delta(q_1^{-1}u_i/w) \delta(q_1^{-\delta_{i,i'}} u_{i'}/z) A_{\lambda, i}^- A_{\lambda - \mathbf{1}_i, i'}^+ e^{-Q_j} |\lambda - \mathbf{1}_i + \mathbf{1}_{i'}\rangle_u^{(k)} \\
&= C_+ C_- \sum_{\substack{i \in I \\ \lambda_i + j \equiv i + k}} \delta(q_1^{-1}u_i/w) \delta(q_1^{-1}u_i/z) A_{\lambda, i}^- A_{\lambda - \mathbf{1}_i, i}^+ e^{-Q_j} |\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)} \\
&\quad + C C' \sum_{\substack{i, i' \in I \\ i \neq i' \\ \lambda_i + j \equiv i + k \\ \lambda_{i'} + j + 1 \equiv i' + k}} \delta(q_1^{-1}u_i/w) \delta(u_{i'}/z) A_{\lambda, i}^- A_{\lambda - \mathbf{1}_i, i'}^+ e^{-Q_j} |\lambda - \mathbf{1}_i + \mathbf{1}_{i'}\rangle_u^{(k)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$C_+ C_- = \frac{q}{q - q^{-1}} \frac{\theta(q^{-2})}{(p; p)_\infty^3}, \quad (\text{B.1})$$

$$A_{\lambda-\mathbf{1}_i, i}^+ = \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \lambda_s+j \equiv s+k}}^{i-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_1^{-1} q_3^{-1} u_i / u_s)}{\theta(u_i / u_s)} \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \lambda_s+j+1 \equiv s+k}}^{i-1} q \frac{\theta(q_3 u_i / u_s)}{\theta(q_1^{-1} u_i / u_s)}, \quad (\text{B.2})$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\lambda-\mathbf{1}_i, i'}^+ &= \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \lambda_s+j \equiv s+k}}^{i'-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1} u_{i'} / u_s)}{\theta(q_1 u_{i'} / u_s)} \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \lambda_s+j+1 \equiv s+k}}^{i'-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1 q_3 u_{i'} / u_s)}{\theta(u_{i'} / u_s)} && \text{for } i > i' \\ &= \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \lambda_s+j \equiv s+k}}^{i'-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1} u_{i'} / u_s)}{\theta(q_1 u_{i'} / u_s)} \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \neq i \\ \lambda_s+j+1 \equiv s+k}}^{i'-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1 q_3 u_{i'} / u_s)}{\theta(u_{i'} / u_s)} \times q \frac{\theta(q_1^2 q_3 u_{i'} / u_i)}{\theta(q_1 u_{i'} / u_i)} && \text{for } i < i' \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

Similarly, one has

$$\begin{aligned} &x_j^-(w) x_j^+(z) |\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)} \\ &= C_+ C_- \sum_{\substack{i' \in I \\ \lambda_{i'}+j+1 \equiv i'+k}} \sum_{\substack{i \in I \\ (\lambda+\mathbf{1}_{i'})_i+j \equiv i+k}} \delta(q_1^{\delta_{i,i'}-1} u_i / w) \delta(u_{i'} / z) A_{\lambda, i'}^+ A_{\lambda+\mathbf{1}_{i'}, i}^- e^{-Q_j} |\lambda - \mathbf{1}_i + \mathbf{1}_{i'}\rangle_u^{(k)} \\ &= C_+ C_- \sum_{\substack{i \in I \\ \lambda_i+j+1 \equiv i+k}} \delta(u_i / w) \delta(u_i / z) A_{\lambda, i}^+ A_{\lambda+\mathbf{1}_i, i}^+ e^{-Q_j} |\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)} \\ &\quad + C_+ C_- \sum_{\substack{i, i' \in I \\ i \neq i' \\ \lambda_i+j \equiv i+k \\ \lambda_{i'}+j+1 \equiv i'+k}} \delta(q_1^{-1} u_i / w) \delta(u_{i'} / z) A_{\lambda, i'}^+ A_{\lambda+\mathbf{1}_{i'}, i}^- e^{-Q_j} |\lambda - \mathbf{1}_i + \mathbf{1}_{i'}\rangle_u^{(k)}, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\lambda+\mathbf{1}_i, i}^- &= \prod_{\substack{s=i+1 \\ \lambda_s+j \equiv s+k}}^{\infty} q \frac{\theta(q_3 u_s / u_i)}{\theta(q_1^{-1} u_s / u_i)} \prod_{\substack{s=i+1 \\ \lambda_s+j+1 \equiv s+k}}^{\infty} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_1^{-1} q_3^{-1} u_s / u_i)}{\theta(u_s / u_i)}, \quad (\text{B.4}) \\ A_{\lambda+\mathbf{1}_{i'}, i}^- &= \prod_{\substack{s=i+1 \\ \lambda_s+j \equiv s+k}}^{\infty} q \frac{\theta(q_1 q_3 u_s / u_i)}{\theta(u_s / u_i)} \prod_{\substack{s=i+1 \\ \lambda_s+j+1 \equiv s+k}}^{\infty} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1} u_s / u_i)}{\theta(q_1 u_s / u_i)} && \text{for } i > i' \\ &= \prod_{\substack{s=i+1 \\ \neq i' \\ \lambda_s+j \equiv s+k}}^{\infty} q \frac{\theta(q_1 q_3 u_s / u_i)}{\theta(u_s / u_i)} \prod_{\substack{s=i+1 \\ \lambda_s+j+1 \equiv s+k}}^{\infty} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1} u_s / u_i)}{\theta(q_1 u_s / u_i)} \times q \frac{\theta(q_1^2 q_3 u_{i'} / u_i)}{\theta(q_1 u_{i'} / u_i)} && \text{for } i < i' \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.5})$$

Therefore one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} &[x_j^+(z), x_j^-(w)] |\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)} \\ &= C_+ C_- \delta(z/w) \left( \sum_{\substack{i \in I \\ \lambda_i+j \equiv i+k}} \delta(q_1^{-1} u_i / z) A_{\lambda, i}^- A_{\lambda-\mathbf{1}_i, i}^+ - \sum_{\substack{i \in I \\ \lambda_i+j+1 \equiv i+k}} \delta(q_1^{-1} u_i / z) A_{\lambda, i}^+ A_{\lambda+\mathbf{1}_i, i}^+ \right) e^{-Q_j} |\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)} \end{aligned}$$



$$+C_+C_- \sum_{\substack{i,i' \in I \\ i \neq i' \\ \lambda_i + j \equiv i + k \\ \lambda_{i'} + j + 1 \equiv i' + k}} \delta(q_1^{-1}u_i/w)\delta(u_{i'}/z) \left( A_{\lambda,i}^- A_{\lambda-1,i,i'}^+ - A_{\lambda,i'}^+ A_{\lambda+1,i',i}^- \right) e^{-Q_j} |\lambda - \mathbf{1}_i + \mathbf{1}_{i'}\rangle_u^{(k)}.$$

From (6.6), (6.7), (B.3) and (B.5), the second term vanishes. In fact, for  $i > i'$  satisfying  $\lambda_i + j \equiv i + k, \lambda_{i'} + j + 1 \equiv i' + k$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\lambda,i}^- A_{\lambda-1,i,i'}^+ &= \prod_{\substack{s=i+1 \\ \lambda_s + j \equiv s + k}}^{l(\lambda)} q^{\frac{\theta(q_1 q_3 u_s / u_i)}{\theta(u_s / u_i)}} \prod_{\substack{s=i+1 \\ \lambda_s + j + 1 \equiv s + k}}^{l(\lambda)} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1} u_s / u_i)}{\theta(q_1 u_s / u_i)} \\ &\times \prod_{\substack{s'=1 \\ \lambda_{s'} + j \equiv s' + k}}^{i'-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1} u_{i'} / u_{s'})}{\theta(q_1 u_{i'} / u_{s'})} \prod_{\substack{s'=1 \\ \lambda_{s'} + j + 1 \equiv s' + k}}^{i'-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1 q_3 u_{i'} / u_{s'})}{\theta(u_{i'} / u_{s'})}, \\ A_{\lambda,i'}^+ A_{\lambda+1,i',i}^- &= \prod_{\substack{s'=1 \\ \lambda_{s'} + j \equiv s' + k}}^{i'-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1} u_{i'} / u_{s'})}{\theta(q_1 u_{i'} / u_{s'})} \prod_{\substack{s'=1 \\ \lambda_{s'} + j + 1 \equiv s' + k}}^{i'-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1 q_3 u_{i'} / u_{s'})}{\theta(u_{i'} / u_{s'})} \\ &\times \prod_{\substack{s=i+1 \\ \lambda_s + j \equiv s + k}}^{l(\lambda)} q^{\frac{\theta(q_1 q_3 u_s / u_i)}{\theta(u_s / u_i)}} \prod_{\substack{s=i+1 \\ \lambda_s + j + 1 \equiv s + k}}^{l(\lambda)} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1} u_s / u_i)}{\theta(q_1 u_s / u_i)}, \end{aligned}$$

whereas, for  $i < i'$  satisfying  $\lambda_i + j \equiv i + k, \lambda_{i'} + j + 1 \equiv i' + k$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\lambda,i}^- A_{\lambda-1,i,i'}^+ &= \prod_{\substack{s=i+1 \\ (\neq i') \\ \lambda_s + j \equiv s + k}}^{l(\lambda)} q^{\frac{\theta(q_1 q_3 u_s / u_i)}{\theta(u_s / u_i)}} \prod_{\substack{s=i+1 \\ \lambda_s + j + 1 \equiv s + k}}^{l(\lambda)} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1} u_s / u_i)}{\theta(q_1 u_s / u_i)} \\ &\times \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \lambda_s + j \equiv s + k}}^{i'-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1} u_{i'} / u_s)}{\theta(q_1 u_{i'} / u_s)} \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ (\neq i) \\ \lambda_s + j + 1 \equiv s + k}}^{i'-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1 q_3 u_{i'} / u_s)}{\theta(u_{i'} / u_s)} \times q \frac{\theta(q_1^2 q_3 u_{i'} / u_i)}{\theta(q_1 u_{i'} / u_i)}, \\ A_{\lambda,i'}^+ A_{\lambda+1,i',i}^- &= \prod_{\substack{s'=1 \\ \lambda_{s'} + j \equiv s' + k}}^{i'-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1} u_{i'} / u_{s'})}{\theta(q_1 u_{i'} / u_{s'})} \prod_{\substack{s'=1 \\ (\neq i) \\ \lambda_{s'} + j + 1 \equiv s' + k}}^{i'-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1 q_3 u_{i'} / u_{s'})}{\theta(u_{i'} / u_{s'})} \\ &\times \prod_{\substack{s=i+1 \\ (\neq i') \\ \lambda_s + j \equiv s + k}}^{l(\lambda)} q^{\frac{\theta(q_1 q_3 u_s / u_i)}{\theta(u_s / u_i)}} \prod_{\substack{s=i+1 \\ \lambda_s + j + 1 \equiv s + k}}^{l(\lambda)} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1} u_s / u_i)}{\theta(q_1 u_s / u_i)} \times q \frac{\theta(q_1^2 q_3 u_{i'} / u_i)}{\theta(q_1 u_{i'} / u_i)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence from (6.6), (6.7), (B.1), (B.2) and (B.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& [x_j^+(z), x_j^-(w)] |\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)} \\
&= \frac{q}{q - q^{-1}} \frac{\theta(q^{-2})}{(p; p)_\infty^2} \delta(z/w) \\
&\times \left( \sum_{\substack{i \in I \\ \lambda_i + j \equiv i + k}} \delta(q_1^{-1} u_i / z) \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \neq i}}^{l(\lambda)} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_1^{-1} q_3^{-1} u_i / u_s)}{\theta(u_i / u_s)} \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ (\neq i)}}^{l(\lambda)} q \frac{\theta(q_3 u_i / u_s)}{\theta(q_1^{-1} u_i / u_s)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sum_{\substack{i \in I \\ \lambda_i + j + 1 \equiv i + k}} \delta(u_i / z) \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ (\neq i)}}^{l(\lambda)} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1} u_i / u_s)}{\theta(q_1 u_i / u_s)} \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \neq i}}^{l(\lambda)} q \frac{\theta(q_1 q_3 u_i / u_s)}{\theta(u_i / u_s)} \right) e^{-Q_j} |\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)} \quad (\text{B.6})
\end{aligned}$$

Next let us consider (6.3) and use the following partial fraction expansion.

**Lemma B.1.** [77] *The following formula holds.*

$$\prod_{s=1}^n \frac{\theta(b_s/t)}{\theta(a_s/t)} = \frac{1}{\theta(b_{n+1}/t)} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\theta(a_i/b_{n+1})}{\theta(a_i/t)} \frac{\prod_{s=1}^n \theta(a_i/b_s)}{\prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \neq i}}^n \theta(a_i/a_s)}$$

with  $a_1 \cdots a_n t = b_1 \cdots b_{n+1}$ .

Applying this formula with taking  $t = z$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
a_s &= q_1^{-1} u_s, \quad b_s = q_3 u_s \quad \text{for } \lambda_s + j \equiv s + k \\
a_s &= u_s, \quad b_s = q_1^{-1} q_3^{-1} u_s \quad \text{for } \lambda_s + j + 1 \equiv s + k,
\end{aligned}$$

$1 \leq s \leq l(\lambda)$ , and

$$b_{n+1} = Q^{-1} z, \quad Q = (q_1 q_3)^{\sum'_{s=1} l(\lambda) - \sum''_{s=1} l(\lambda)} = q^{-2 \sum'_{s=1} l(\lambda) + 2 \sum''_{s=1} l(\lambda)},$$

where  $\sum'_s$  and  $\sum''_s$  denote a summation over  $s$  satisfying  $\lambda_s + j \equiv s + k$  and  $\lambda_s + j + 1 \equiv s + k$ , respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \lambda_s + j \equiv s + k}}^{l(\lambda)} q \frac{\theta(q_3 u_s / z)}{\theta(q_1^{-1} u_s / z)} \Big|_{\pm} \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \lambda_s + j + 1 \equiv s + k}}^{l(\lambda)} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_1^{-1} q_3^{-1} u_s / z)}{\theta(u_s / z)} \Big|_{\pm} \\
&= -q^{-\sum'_{s=1} l(\lambda) + \sum''_{s=1} l(\lambda)} \\
&\times \left[ \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ \lambda_i + j \equiv i + k}}^{l(\lambda)} \theta(q_1^{-1} q_3^{-1}) \frac{\theta(Q q_1^{-1} u_i / z)}{\theta(Q) \theta(q_1^{-1} u_i / z)} \Big|_{\pm} \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \neq i}}^{l(\lambda)} \frac{\theta(q_1^{-1} q_3^{-1} u_i / u_s)}{\theta(u_i / u_s)} \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ (\neq i)}}^{l(\lambda)} \frac{\theta(q_3 u_i / u_s)}{\theta(q_1^{-1} u_i / u_s)} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ \left[ \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ \lambda_i+j+1 \equiv i+k}}^{l(\lambda)} \theta(q_1 q_3) \frac{\theta(Q u_i/z)}{\theta(Q)\theta(u_i/z)} \Big|_{\pm} \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ (\neq i)}}^{l(\lambda)} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1} u_i/u_s)}{\theta(q_1 u_i/u_s)} \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ (\neq i)}}^{l(\lambda)} \frac{\theta(q_1 q_3 u_i/u_s)}{\theta(u_i/u_s)} \right].$$

Then using the formula

$$\frac{\theta(wz)}{\theta(w)\theta(z)} \Big|_{+} - \frac{\theta(wz)}{\theta(w)\theta(z)} \Big|_{-} = -\frac{1}{(p;p)_{\infty}^2} \delta(z)$$

for  $w \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \phi_j^+(z) - \phi_j^-(z) \right) |\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)} \\ &= \frac{q\theta(q_1 q_3)}{(p;p)_{\infty}^2} q^{-\sum_{s=1}^{l(\lambda)} + \sum_{s=1}^{l(\lambda)}} \\ & \times \left[ q \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ \lambda_i+j \equiv i+k}}^{l(\lambda)} \delta(q_1^{-1} u_i/z) \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ (\neq i)}}^{l(\lambda)} \frac{\theta(q_1^{-1} q_3^{-1} u_i/u_s)}{\theta(u_i/u_s)} \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ (\neq i)}}^{l(\lambda)} \frac{\theta(q_3 u_i/u_s)}{\theta(q_1^{-1} u_i/u_s)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - q^{-1} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ \lambda_i+j+1 \equiv i+k}}^{l(\lambda)} \delta(u_i/z) \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ (\neq i)}}^{l(\lambda)} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1} u_i/u_s)}{\theta(q_1 u_i/u_s)} \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ (\neq i)}}^{l(\lambda)} \frac{\theta(q_1 q_3 u_i/u_s)}{\theta(u_i/u_s)} \right] e^{-Q_j} |\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)} \\ &= \frac{q\theta(q_1 q_3)}{(p;p)_{\infty}^2} \left[ \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ \lambda_i+j \equiv i+k}}^{l(\lambda)} \delta(q_1^{-1} u_i/z) \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ (\neq i)}}^{l(\lambda)} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_1^{-1} q_3^{-1} u_i/u_s)}{\theta(u_i/u_s)} \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ (\neq i)}}^{l(\lambda)} q \frac{\theta(q_3 u_i/u_s)}{\theta(q_1^{-1} u_i/u_s)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ \lambda_i+j+1 \equiv i+k}}^{l(\lambda)} \delta(u_i/z) \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ (\neq i)}}^{l(\lambda)} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1} u_i/u_s)}{\theta(q_1 u_i/u_s)} \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ (\neq i)}}^{l(\lambda)} q \frac{\theta(q_1 q_3 u_i/u_s)}{\theta(u_i/u_s)} \right] e^{-Q_j} |\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing this with (B.6), we obtain

$$[x_j^+(z), x_j^-(w)] |\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)} = \frac{1}{q - q^{-1}} \delta(z/w) \left( \phi_j^+(z) - \phi_j^-(z) \right) |\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)}.$$

- Serre relation (2.29):

Note that (2.29) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(pq^2 z_2/z_1; p)_{\infty}}{(pq^{-2} z_2/z_1; p)_{\infty}} \prod_{a=1,2} \frac{(pq^{-1} \kappa^{\pm 1} w/z_a; p)_{\infty}}{(pq \kappa^{\pm 1} w/z_a; p)_{\infty}} \\ & \times \left( x_j^+(z_1) x_j^+(z_2) x_{j\pm 1}^+(w) - (q + q^{-1}) \frac{(pq^{-1} \kappa^{\mp 1} z_2/w; p)_{\infty}}{(pq \kappa^{\mp 1} z_2/w; p)_{\infty}} \frac{(pq \kappa^{\pm 1} w/z_2; p)_{\infty}}{(pq^{-1} \kappa^{\pm 1} w/z_2; p)_{\infty}} x_j^+(z_1) x_{j\pm 1}^+(w) x_j^+(z_2) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \prod_{a=1,2} \left( \frac{(pq^{-1}\kappa^{\mp 1}z_a/w;p)_\infty}{(pq\kappa^{\pm 1}z_a/w;p)_\infty} \frac{(pq\kappa^{\pm 1}w/z_a;p)_\infty}{(pq^{-1}\kappa^{\mp 1}w/z_a;p)_\infty} x_{j\pm 1}^+(w)x_j^+(z_1)x_j^+(z_2) \right) \\
& = -(z_1 \leftrightarrow z_2). \tag{B.7}
\end{aligned}$$

We prove the case containing  $x_{j+1}(w)$ . The other case is similar. From (6.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_j^+(z_1)x_j^+(z_2)x_{j+1}^+(w)|\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)}/C_+^3 \\
& = q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q^2z_1/z_2)}{\theta(z_1/z_2)} \left( \sum_{\substack{i_1, i_2, i_3 \in I \\ \lambda_{i_1+j+1} \equiv i_1+k \\ \lambda_{i_2+j+2} \equiv i_2+k \\ i_2=i_3 < i_1}} \delta(u_{i_1}/z_1)\delta(q_1u_{i_2}/z_2)\delta(u_{i_3}/w)A_{i_2=i_3 < i_1}^+ e^{-2Q_j-Q_{j+1}|\lambda + \mathbf{1}_{i_1} + 2\mathbf{1}_{i_2}} \rangle_u^{(k)} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q^2z_2/z_1)}{\theta(z_2/z_1)} \sum_{\substack{i_1, i_2, i_3 \in I \\ \lambda_{i_1+j+1} \equiv i_1+k \\ \lambda_{i_2+j+2} \equiv i_2+k \\ i_1 < i_2=i_3}} \delta(u_{i_1}/z_1)\delta(q_1u_{i_2}/z_2)\delta(u_{i_3}/w)A_{i_1 < i_2=i_3}^+ e^{-2Q_j-Q_{j+1}|\lambda + \mathbf{1}_{i_1} + 2\mathbf{1}_{i_2}} \rangle_u^{(k)} \right) \\
& + q \frac{\theta(q_3z_2/w)}{\theta(q_1^{-1}z_2/w)} \left( \sum_{\substack{i_1, i_2, i_3 \in I \\ \lambda_{i_1+j+2} \equiv i_1+k \\ \lambda_{i_2+j+1} \equiv i_2+k \\ i_1=i_3 < i_2}} \delta(q_1u_{i_1}/z_1)\delta(u_{i_2}/z_2)\delta(u_{i_3}/w)A_{i_1=i_3 < i_2}^+ e^{-2Q_j-Q_{j+1}|\lambda + 2\mathbf{1}_{i_1} + \mathbf{1}_{i_2}} \rangle_u^{(k)} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q^2z_1/z_2)}{\theta(z_1/z_2)} \sum_{\substack{i_1, i_2, i_3 \in I \\ \lambda_{i_1+j+2} \equiv i_1+k \\ \lambda_{i_2+j+1} \equiv i_2+k \\ i_2 < i_1=i_3}} \delta(q_1u_{i_1}/z_1)\delta(u_{i_2}/z_2)\delta(u_{i_3}/w)A_{i_2 < i_1=i_3}^+ e^{-2Q_j-Q_{j+1}|\lambda + 2\mathbf{1}_{i_1} + \mathbf{1}_{i_2}} \rangle_u^{(k)} \right) \\
& + q \frac{\theta(q^2z_1/z_2)}{\theta(z_1/z_2)} \frac{\theta(q_3z_1/w)}{\theta(q_1^{-1}z_1/w)} \frac{\theta(q_3z_2/w)}{\theta(q_1^{-1}z_2/w)} \\
& \times \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_3} \sum_{i_{\sigma(1)} < i_{\sigma(2)} < i_{\sigma(3)}}^* \delta(u_{i_1}/z_1)\delta(u_{i_2}/z_2)\delta(u_{i_3}/w)A_{i_{\sigma(1)} < i_{\sigma(2)} < i_{\sigma(3)}}^+ e^{-2Q_j-Q_{j+1}|\lambda + \mathbf{1}_{i_1} + \mathbf{1}_{i_2} + \mathbf{1}_{i_3}} \rangle_u^{(k)}, \tag{B.8}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\sum^*$  denotes a summation over  $i_1, i_2, i_3 \in I$  satisfying  $\lambda_{i_1+j+1} \equiv i_1+k$ ,  $\lambda_{i_2+j+1} \equiv i_2+k$ ,

$\lambda_{i_3} + j + 2 \equiv i_3 + k$ , and we set

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{i_2=i_3 < i_1}^+ &= \prod_{\substack{s_1=1 \\ \neq i_2 \\ \lambda_{s_1}+j \equiv s_1+k}}^{i_1-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1}u_{i_1}/u_{s_1})}{\theta(q_1u_{i_1}/u_{s_1})} \prod_{\lambda_{s_1}+j+1 \equiv s_1+k}^{i_1-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1q_3u_{i_1}/u_{s_1})}{\theta(u_{i_1}/u_{s_1})} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{\substack{s_2=1 \\ \lambda_{s_2}+j \equiv s_2+k}}^{i_2-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_1q_3^{-1}u_{i_2}/u_{s_2})}{\theta(q_1^2u_{i_2}/u_{s_2})} \prod_{\lambda_{s_2}+j+1 \equiv s_2+k}^{i_2-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1^2q_3u_{i_2}/u_{s_2})}{\theta(q_1u_{i_2}/u_{s_2})} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{\substack{s_3=1 \\ \lambda_{s_3}+j+1 \equiv s_3+k}}^{i_2-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1}u_{i_2}/u_{s_3})}{\theta(q_1u_{i_2}/u_{s_3})} \prod_{\lambda_{s_3}+j+2 \equiv s_3+k}^{i_2-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1q_3u_{i_2}/u_{s_3})}{\theta(u_{i_2}/u_{s_3})}, \\
A_{i_1 < i_2 = i_3}^+ &= \prod_{\lambda_{s_1}+j \equiv s_1+k}^{i_1-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1}u_{i_1}/u_{s_1})}{\theta(q_1u_{i_1}/u_{s_1})} \prod_{\lambda_{s_1}+j+1 \equiv s_1+k}^{i_1-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1q_3u_{i_1}/u_{s_1})}{\theta(u_{i_1}/u_{s_1})} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{\substack{s_2=1 \\ \lambda_{s_2}+j \equiv s_2+k}}^{i_2-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_1q_3^{-1}u_{i_2}/u_{s_2})}{\theta(q_1^2u_{i_2}/u_{s_2})} \prod_{\lambda_{s_2}+j+1 \equiv s_2+k}^{i_2-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1^2q_3u_{i_2}/u_{s_2})}{\theta(q_1u_{i_2}/u_{s_2})} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{\substack{s_3=1 \\ \neq i_1 \\ \lambda_{s_3}+j+1 \equiv s_3+k}}^{i_2-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1}u_{i_2}/u_{s_3})}{\theta(q_1u_{i_2}/u_{s_3})} \prod_{\lambda_{s_3}+j+2 \equiv s_3+k}^{i_2-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1q_3u_{i_2}/u_{s_3})}{\theta(u_{i_2}/u_{s_3})}, \\
A_{i_1=i_3 < i_2}^+ &= \prod_{\substack{s_1=1 \\ \lambda_{s_1}+j \equiv s_1+k}}^{i_1-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_1q_3^{-1}u_{i_1}/u_{s_1})}{\theta(q_1^2u_{i_1}/u_{s_1})} \prod_{\lambda_{s_1}+j+1 \equiv s_1+k}^{i_1-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1^2q_3u_{i_1}/u_{s_1})}{\theta(q_1u_{i_1}/u_{s_1})} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{\substack{s_2=1 \\ \neq i_1 \\ \lambda_{s_2}+j \equiv s_2+k}}^{i_2-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1}u_{i_2}/u_{s_2})}{\theta(q_1u_{i_2}/u_{s_2})} \prod_{\lambda_{s_2}+j+1 \equiv s_2+k}^{i_2-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1q_3u_{i_2}/u_{s_2})}{\theta(u_{i_2}/u_{s_2})} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{\substack{s_3=1 \\ \lambda_{s_3}+j+1 \equiv s_3+k}}^{i_1-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1}u_{i_1}/u_{s_3})}{\theta(q_1u_{i_1}/u_{s_3})} \prod_{\lambda_{s_3}+j+2 \equiv s_3+k}^{i_1-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1q_3u_{i_1}/u_{s_3})}{\theta(u_{i_1}/u_{s_3})}, \\
A_{i_2 < i_1 = i_3}^+ &= \prod_{\substack{s_1=1 \\ \neq i_2 \\ \lambda_{s_1}+j \equiv s_1+k}}^{i_1-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_1q_3^{-1}u_{i_1}/u_{s_1})}{\theta(q_1^2u_{i_1}/u_{s_1})} \prod_{\lambda_{s_1}+j+1 \equiv s_1+k}^{i_1-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1^2q_3u_{i_1}/u_{s_1})}{\theta(q_1u_{i_1}/u_{s_1})} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{\substack{s_2=1 \\ \lambda_{s_2}+j \equiv s_2+k}}^{i_2-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1}u_{i_2}/u_{s_2})}{\theta(q_1u_{i_2}/u_{s_2})} \prod_{\lambda_{s_2}+j+1 \equiv s_2+k}^{i_2-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1q_3u_{i_2}/u_{s_2})}{\theta(u_{i_2}/u_{s_2})} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{\substack{s_3=1 \\ \neq i_2 \\ \lambda_{s_3}+j+1 \equiv s_3+k}}^{i_1-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1}u_{i_1}/u_{s_3})}{\theta(q_1u_{i_1}/u_{s_3})} \prod_{\lambda_{s_3}+j+2 \equiv s_3+k}^{i_1-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1q_3u_{i_1}/u_{s_3})}{\theta(u_{i_1}/u_{s_3})},
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
A_{i_2 < i_3 < i_1}^+ &= \prod_{\substack{s_1=1 \\ \neq i_2 \\ \lambda_{s_1+j} \equiv s_1+k}}^{i_1-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1}u_{i_1}/u_{s_1})}{\theta(q_1u_{i_1}/u_{s_1})} \prod_{\substack{s_1=1 \\ \lambda_{s_1+j+1} \equiv s_1+k}}^{i_1-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1q_3u_{i_1}/u_{s_1})}{\theta(u_{i_1}/u_{s_1})} \\
&\times \prod_{\substack{s_2=1 \\ \lambda_{s_2+j} \equiv s_2+k}}^{i_2-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1}u_{i_2}/u_{s_2})}{\theta(q_1u_{i_2}/u_{s_2})} \prod_{\substack{s_2=1 \\ \neq i_1 \\ \lambda_{s_2+j+1} \equiv s_2+k}}^{i_2-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1q_3u_{i_2}/u_{s_2})}{\theta(u_{i_2}/u_{s_2})} \\
&\times \prod_{\substack{s_3=1 \\ \neq i_2 \\ \lambda_{s_3+j+1} \equiv s_3+k}}^{i_3-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1}u_{i_3}/u_{s_3})}{\theta(q_1u_{i_3}/u_{s_3})} \prod_{\substack{s_3=1 \\ \lambda_{s_3+j+2} \equiv s_3+k}}^{i_3-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1q_3u_{i_3}/u_{s_3})}{\theta(u_{i_3}/u_{s_3})}, \\
A_{i_3 < i_2 < i_1}^+ &= \prod_{\substack{s_1=1 \\ \neq i_2 \\ \lambda_{s_1+j} \equiv s_1+k}}^{i_1-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1}u_{i_1}/u_{s_1})}{\theta(q_1u_{i_1}/u_{s_1})} \prod_{\substack{s_1=1 \\ \lambda_{s_1+j+1} \equiv s_1+k}}^{i_1-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1q_3u_{i_1}/u_{s_1})}{\theta(u_{i_1}/u_{s_1})} \\
&\times \prod_{\substack{s_2=1 \\ \lambda_{s_2+j} \equiv s_2+k}}^{i_2-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1}u_{i_2}/u_{s_2})}{\theta(q_1u_{i_2}/u_{s_2})} \prod_{\substack{s_2=1 \\ \neq i_1 \\ \lambda_{s_2+j+1} \equiv s_2+k}}^{i_2-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1q_3u_{i_2}/u_{s_2})}{\theta(u_{i_2}/u_{s_2})} \\
&\times \prod_{\substack{s_3=1 \\ \lambda_{s_3+j+1} \equiv s_3+k}}^{i_3-1} q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q_3^{-1}u_{i_3}/u_{s_3})}{\theta(q_1u_{i_3}/u_{s_3})} \prod_{\substack{s_3=1 \\ \lambda_{s_3+j+2} \equiv s_3+k}}^{i_3-1} q \frac{\theta(q_1q_3u_{i_3}/u_{s_3})}{\theta(u_{i_3}/u_{s_3})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&x_j^+(z_1)x_{j+1}^+(w)x_j^+(z_2)|\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)}/C_+^3 \\
&= \sum_{\substack{i, i', i'' \in I \\ \lambda_i+j+1 \equiv i+k \\ \lambda_{i'}+j+2 \equiv i'+k \\ i'=i'' < i}} \delta(q_1u_{i'}/z_1)\delta(u_i/z_2)\delta(u_{i''}/w)A_{i'=i'' < i}^+ e^{-2Q_j-Q_{j+1}|\lambda + \mathbf{1}_i + 2\mathbf{1}_{i'}}\rangle_u^{(k)} \\
&\quad + q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q^2z_1/z_2)}{\theta(z_1/z_2)} \sum_{\substack{i, i', i'' \in I \\ \lambda_j+j+1 \equiv i+k \\ \lambda_{i'}+j+2 \equiv i'+k \\ i < i'=i''}} \delta(q_1u_{i'}/z_1)\delta(u_i/z_2)\delta(u_{i''}/w)A_{i < i'=i''}^+ e^{-2Q_j-Q_{j+1}|\lambda + \mathbf{1}_i + 2\mathbf{1}_{i'}}\rangle_u^{(k)} \\
&\quad + \frac{\theta(q^2z_1/z_2)}{\theta(z_1/z_2)} \frac{\theta(q_3z_1/w)}{\theta(q_1^{-1}z_1/w)} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_3} \sum_{i_{\sigma(1)} < i_{\sigma(2)} < i_{\sigma(3)}}^* \delta(u_{i_1}/z_1)\delta(u_{i_2}/z_2)\delta(u_{i_3}/w)A_{i_{\sigma(1)} < i_{\sigma(2)} < i_{\sigma(3)}}^+ e^{-2Q_j-Q_{j+1}|\lambda + \mathbf{1}_{i_1} + \mathbf{1}_{i_1} + \mathbf{1}_{i_3}}\rangle_u^{(k)},
\end{aligned} \tag{B.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&x_{j+1}^+(w)x_j^+(z_1)x_j^+(z_2)|\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)}/C_+^3 \\
&= q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q^2z_1/z_2)}{\theta(z_1/z_2)} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_3} \sum_{i_{\sigma(1)} < i_{\sigma(2)} < i_{\sigma(3)}}^* \delta(u_{i_1}/z_1)\delta(u_{i_2}/z_2)\delta(u_{i_3}/w) \\
&\quad \times A_{i_{\sigma(1)} < i_{\sigma(2)} < i_{\sigma(3)}}^+ e^{-2Q_j-Q_{j+1}|\lambda + \mathbf{1}_{i_1} + \mathbf{1}_{i_1} + \mathbf{1}_{i_3}}\rangle_u^{(k)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.10}$$

Combining these, the action of the LHS of (B.7) on  $|\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)}/C_+^3$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& q^{-1} \frac{(pq^2 z_1/z_2; p)_\infty (pq^2 z_2/z_1; p)_\infty (p; p)_\infty}{z_2 \theta(z_1/z_2)} \prod_{a=1,2} \frac{(pq^{-1} \kappa w/z_a; p)_\infty}{(pq \kappa w/z_a; p)_\infty} \\
& \times \left[ z_2 (1 - q^2 z_1/z_2) \left( \sum_{\substack{i_1, i_2, i_3 \in I \\ \lambda_{i_1} + j + 1 \equiv i_1 + k \\ \lambda_{i_2} + j + 2 \equiv i_2 + k \\ i_2 = i_3 < i_1}} \delta(u_{i_1}/z_1) \delta(q_1 u_{i_2}/z_2) \delta(u_{i_3}/w) A_{i_2 = i_3 < i_1}^+ e^{-2Q_j - Q_{j+1}} |\lambda + \mathbf{1}_{i_1} + 2\mathbf{1}_{i_2}\rangle_u^{(k)} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q^2 z_2/z_1)}{\theta(z_2/z_1)} \sum_{\substack{i_1, i_2, i_3 \in I \\ \lambda_{i_1} + j + 1 \equiv i_1 + k \\ \lambda_{i_2} + j + 2 \equiv i_2 + k \\ i_1 < i_2 = i_3}} \delta(u_{i_1}/z_1) \delta(q_1 u_{i_2}/z_2) \delta(u_{i_3}/w) A_{i_1 < i_2 = i_3}^+ e^{-2Q_j - Q_{j+1}} |\lambda + \mathbf{1}_{i_1} + 2\mathbf{1}_{i_2}\rangle_u^{(k)} \right) \right. \\
& \left. + z_1 (1 - q^2 z_2/z_1) \left( \sum_{\substack{i_1, i_2, i_3 \in I \\ \lambda_{i_1} + j + 2 \equiv i_1 + k \\ \lambda_{i_2} + j + 1 \equiv i_2 + k \\ i_1 = i_3 < i_2}} \delta(q_1 u_{i_1}/z_1) \delta(u_{i_2}/z_2) \delta(u_{i_3}/w) A_{i_1 = i_3 < i_2}^+ e^{-2Q_j - Q_{j+1}} |\lambda + 2\mathbf{1}_{i_1} + \mathbf{1}_{i_2}\rangle_u^{(k)} \right) \right. \\
& \left. + q^{-1} \frac{\theta(q^2 z_1/z_2)}{\theta(z_1/z_2)} \sum_{\substack{i_1, i_2, i_3 \in I \\ \lambda_{i_1} + j + 2 \equiv i_1 + k \\ \lambda_{i_2} + j + 1 \equiv i_2 + k \\ i_2 < i_1 = i_3}} \delta(q_1 u_{i_1}/z_1) \delta(u_{i_2}/z_2) \delta(u_{i_3}/w) A_{i_2 < i_1 = i_3}^+ e^{-2Q_j - Q_{j+1}} |\lambda + 2\mathbf{1}_{i_1} + \mathbf{1}_{i_2}\rangle_u^{(k)} \right) \\
& + q_3 (1 - q^2) z_1 z_2 \frac{\theta(q_3 z_1/w)}{\theta(q_1^{-1} z_1/w)} \frac{\theta(q_3 z_2/w)}{\theta(q_1^{-1} z_2/w)} \frac{(1 - q^2 z_1/z_2)(1 - q^2 z_2/z_1)}{(1 - q_3 z_1)(1 - q_3 z_2)} \\
& \times \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_3} \sum_{i_{\sigma(1)} < i_{\sigma(2)} < i_{\sigma(3)}}^* \delta(u_{i_1}/z_1) \delta(u_{i_2}/z_2) \delta(u_{i_3}/w) A_{i_{\sigma(1)} < i_{\sigma(2)} < i_{\sigma(3)}}^+ e^{-2Q_j - Q_{j+1}} |\lambda + \mathbf{1}_{i_1} + \mathbf{1}_{i_2} + \mathbf{1}_{i_3}\rangle_u^{(k)} \Big].
\end{aligned}$$

Due to  $z_2 \theta(z_1/z_2) = -z_1 \theta(z_2/z_1)$ , this expression gives the RHS of (B.7) on  $|\lambda\rangle_u^{(k)}/C_+^3$  by exchanging  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ .  $\square$



## References

- [1] Aganagic, M., Okounkov, A.: Elliptic Stable Envelopes. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **34**, 79-133 (2021)
- [2] Alday, L.F., Gaiotto, D., Tachikawa, Y.: Liouville Correlation Functions from Four-Dimensional Gauge Theories. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **91**, 167-197 (2010)
- [3] Awata, H., Feigin, B., Hoshino, A., Kanai, M., Shiraishi, J., Yanagida, S.: Notes on Ding-Iohara algebra and AGT conjecture. arXiv:1106.4088
- [4] Awata, H., Feigin, B., Shiraishi, J.: Quantum Algebraic Approach to Refined Topological Vertex. *J.High Energy Phys.* **041** 34pp (2012)
- [5] Awata, H., Kanno, H., Matsumoto, T., Mironov, A., Morozov, A., Morozov, A., Ohkubo, Y., Zenkevich, Y.: Explicit Examples of DIM Constraints for Network Matrix Models. *J.High Energy Phys.* **7** 66pp (2016)
- [6] Awata, H., Kanno, H., Mironov, A., Morozov, A., Ohkubo, Y., Zenevich, Y.: Anomaly in RTT relation for DIM algebra and network matrix models. *Nucl. Phys.* **B 918**, 358-385 (2017)
- [7] Awata, H., Kanno, H., Mironov, A., Morozov, A., Suetake, K., Zenkevich, Y.:  $(q, t)$ -KZ Equations for Quantum Toroidal Algebra and Nekrasov Partition Functions on ALE Spaces. *J.High Energy Phys.* **192** (2018)
- [8] Awata, H., Kubo, H., Odake, S., Shiraishi, J.: Quantum  $\mathcal{W}_N$  Algebras and Macdonald Polynomials. *Comm.Math.Phys.* **179**, 401-416 (1996)
- [9] Bagger, J., Nemeschansky, D., Yankielowicz, S.: Virasoro Algebras with Central Charge  $c > 1$ . *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **60**, 389-392 (1988)
- [10] Beck, J.: Braid Group Action and Quantum Affine Algebras. *Comm.Math.Phys.* **165** 555-568 (1994)
- [11] Berstein, M., Feigin, B., Merzon, G.: Plane Partitions with a “Pit” : Generating Functions and Representation Theory. *Sel.Math.* **24** 21-62 (2018)
- [12] Bougourzi, A., Vinet, L.: A Quantum Analog of the  $\mathcal{Z}$  Algebra. *J. Math. Phys.* **37**, 3548-3567 (1996)
- [13] Bourbaki, N.: *Groupes et Algebres de Lie*. Chaps. 4–6, Paris: Hermann (1968)

- [14] Bourguine, J.-E., Fukuda, M., Harada, K., Matsuo, Y., Zhu, R.-D.:  $(p, q)$ -webs of DIM Representations, 5d  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  Instanton Partition Functions and  $qq$ -characters. arXiv:1703.10759.
- [15] Bourguine, J.-E., Fukuda, M., Matsuo, Y., Zhang, H., Zhu, R.-D.: Coherent States in Quantum  $W_{1+\infty}$  Algebra and  $qq$ -character for 5d Super Yang-Mills. PTEP **2016** no.12 123B05. 41 pp (2016)
- [16] Cherednik, I. : Double Affine Hecke Algebras, Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov Equations, and Macdonald's Operators **6**, 171-179 (1992)
- [17] Christe, P., Ravanini, F.:  $G_N \otimes G_L/G_{N+L}$  Conformal Field Theories and Their Modular Invariant Partition Functions. Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A4**, 897-920 (1989)
- [18] Date, E., Jimbo, M., Kuniba, A., Miwa, T., Okado, M.: Exactly Solvable SOS Models. Nucl.Phys. **B290**, 231-273 (1987)
- [19] Drinfeld, V. G.: Quantum Groups. Proc.ICM Berkeley. **1**, 789-820 (1986)
- [20] Drinfeld, V.G.: A New Realization of Yangians and Quantized Affine Algebras. Soviet Math. Dokl. **36**, 212-216 (1988)
- [21] Etingof, P., Varchenko, A.: Solutions of the Quantum Dynamical Yang-Baxter Equation and Dynamical Quantum Groups. Comm.Math.Phys. **196**, 591-640 (1998)
- [22] Etingof, P., Varchenko, A.: Exchange Dynamical Quantum Groups. Comm.Math.Phys. **205**, 19-52 (1999)
- [23] Farghly, R.M., Konno, H., Oshima, K.: Elliptic Algebra  $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$  and Quantum  $Z$ -algebras. Alg. Rep. Theory **18**, 103-135 (2015)
- [24] Feigin, B., Feigin, E., Jimbo, M., Miwa, T., Mukhin, E.: Quantum continuous  $gl_\infty$ : semi-infinite construction of representations. Kyoto J. Math. **51**, 337-364 (2011)
- [25] Feigin, B., Feigin, E., Jimbo, M., Miwa, T., Mukhin, E.: Quantum continuous  $gl_\infty$ : tensor products of Fock modules and  $W_n$ -characters. Kyoto J. Math. **51**, 365-392 (2011)
- [26] Feigin, B., Fuchs, D.: Invariant Skew-symmetric Differential Operators on the Line and Verma Modules over the Virasoro Algebra. Funct.Anal.Appl. **16**, 114- (1982); Verma Modules over the Virasoro Algebra. Funct.Anal.Appl. **17**, 241- (1983)

- [27] Feigin, B., Feigin, E., Jimbo, M., Miwa, T., Mukhin, E.: Quantum Continuous  $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty$ : Semi-Infinite Construction of Representations. *Kyoto J.Math.* **51** 337-364 (2011); Quantum Continuous  $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty$ : Tensor Products of Fock Modules and  $W_n$  Characters. *Kyoto J.Math.* **51** 365-392 (2011)
- [28] Feigin, B., Jimbo, M., Miwa, T., Mukhin, E.: Quantum toroidal  $\mathfrak{gl}_1$ -algebra: plane partitions. *Kyoto J. Math.* **52**, 621-659 (2012)
- [29] Feigin, B., Jimbo, M., Miwa, T., Mukhin, E.: Representations of quantum toroidal  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ . *J. Algebra* **380**, 78-108 (2013)
- [30] Feigin, B., Jimbo, M., Miwa, T., Mukhin, E.: Quantum toroidal  $\mathfrak{gl}_1$  and Bethe ansatz. *J. Phys. A* **48**, 27 pp. (2015)
- [31] Feigin, B., Jimbo, M., Miwa, T., Mukhin, E.: Branching rules for quantum toroidal  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ . *Adv. Math.* **300**, 229-274 (2016)
- [32] Feigin, B., Jimbo, M., Mukhin, E.: Evaluation Modules for Quantum Toroidal  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$  Algebras *Progr. Math.* **337**, 393-425 (2021)
- [33] Feigin, B., Hashizume, K., Hoshino, A., Shiraishi, J., Yanagida, S.: A Commutative Algebra on Degenerate  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  and Macdonald Polynomials. *J.Math.Phys.* **50** no. 9, 095215 (2009)
- [34] Feigin, B., Tsymbaliuk, A.: Heisenberg action in the equivariant  $K$ -theory of Hilbert schemes via shuffle algebra. *Kyoto J.Math.* **51** 831-854 (2011)
- [35] Frenkel, I. B., Jing, N.: Vertex Representations of Quantum Affine Algebras. *Proc. Nat.Acad. Sci. USA* **85**, 9373-9377 (1988)
- [36] Frenkel, E., Reshetikhin, N.: Deformation of  $W$ -Algebras Associated to Simple Lie Algebras. *Comm.Math.Phys.* **197**, 1-32 (1998)
- [37] Fukuda, M., Ohkubo, Y., Shiraishi, J.: Generalized Macdonald Functions on Fock Tensor Spaces and Duality Formula for Changing Preferred Direction. [arXiv:1903.05905](https://arxiv.org/abs/1903.05905).
- [38] Fukuda, M., Ohkubo, Y., Shiraishi, J.: Non-stationary Ruijsenaars Functions for  $\kappa = t^{-1/N}$  and Intertwining Operators of Ding-Iohara-Miki Algebra. [arXiv:2002.00243](https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.00243).
- [39] Ginzburg, V., Kapranov, M., Vasserot, E.: Langlands reciprocity for algebraic surfaces. *Math.Res.Lett.* **2**, 147-160 (1995)

- [40] Goddard, P., Kent, A., Olive. D.: Unitary Representations of the Virasoro and Super-Virasoro Algebras. *Comm.Math.Phys.* **103**, 105-119 (1986)
- [41] Hernandez, D.: Quantum toroidal algebras and their representations. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **14**, 701-725 (2009)
- [42] Jimbo, M.: A  $q$ -difference analogue of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  and the Yang-Baxter Equation. *Lett.Math.Phys.*, **10**, 63-69 (1985)
- [43] Jimbo, M., Konno, H., Odake, S., Pugai, Y., Shiraishi, J.: Free Field Construction for the ABF Models in Regime II. *J. Stat. Phys.* **102** 883-921 (2001)
- [44] Jimbo, M., Konno, H., Odake, S., Shiraishi, J.: Elliptic Algebra  $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ : Drinfeld Currents and Vertex Operators. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **199** 605-647 (1999)
- [45] Jimbo, M., Konno, H., Odake, S., Shiraishi, J.: Quasi-Hopf Twistors for Elliptic Quantum Groups. *Transformation Groups* **4**, 303-327 (1999)
- [46] Jimbo, M., Miwa, T.: Algebraic Analysis of Solvable Lattice Models. Conference Board of the Math. Sci., Regional Conference Series in Mathematics **85**, (1995) and references therein
- [47] Jing, N.: Higher level representations of the quantum affine algebra  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2))$ . *J. Algebra* **182**, 448-468 (1996)
- [48] Quantum  $Z$ -algebras and representations of quantum affine algebras. *Comm. Alg.* **28**, 829-844 (2000)
- [49] Kac, V. G.: Infinite Dimensional Lie algebras. *3rd. ed. Cambridge University Press* (1990)
- [50] Kastor, D., Martinec, E., Qiu, Z.: Current Algebra and Conformal Discrete Series. *Phys.Lett.B* **200**, 434-440 (1988)
- [51] Kimura, T., Pestun, V.: Quiver  $W$ -algebras *Lett.Math.Phys.* **108** 1351-1381 (2018)
- [52] Koelink, E., Rosengren, H.: Harmonic Analysis on the  $SU(2)$  Dynamical Quantum Group. *Acta.Appl.Math.* **69**, 163-220 (2001)
- [53] Konno, H.: An Elliptic Algebra  $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$  and the Fusion RSOS Models. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **195**, 373-403 (1998)
- [54] Konno, H.: Elliptic Quantum Group  $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$  and Vertex Operators. *J.Phys.A* **41**, 194012, 12pp (2008)

- [55] Konno, H.: Elliptic Quantum Group  $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ , Hopf Algebroid Structure and Elliptic Hypergeometric Series. *J. Geom. Phys.* **59**, 1458-1511 (2008)
- [56] Konno, H.: Elliptic Quantum Groups  $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_N)$  and  $E_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_N)$  *Adv.Studies in Pure Math.* **76**, 347-417 (2018)
- [57] Konno, H.: “Elliptic Quantum Toroidal Algebras”, talk given at the workshop *Representation Theory and Special Functions*, 18-20, February, 2019, Univ. of the Ryukyus, Okinawa; “Elliptic Quantum Groups, Representations and Geometry”, talk given at the workshop *Elliptic Cohomology Days*, 10-15, June, 2019, Univ. of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign; “Elliptic Quantum (Toroidal) Algebras and Their Representations”, talk given at the workshop *Elliptic integrable systems, special functions and quantum field theory*, 16-20, June, 2019 NORDITA, Stockholm; “Elliptic Quantum Groups and Deformed  $W$ -algebras”, talk given at the workshop *Representation Theory and Integrable Systems*, 12-16, August, 2019, ETH Zurich.
- [58] Konno, H.: Elliptic Quantum Groups, Representations and Related Geometry. *Springer Briefs in Mathematical Physics* **37** (2020) Springer.
- [59] Konno, H., Oshima, K., Elliptic Quantum Toroidal Algebra  $U_{q,t,p}(\mathfrak{gl}_{1,tor})$  and Affine Quiver Gauge Theories *Lett.Math.Phys.* **113** (2023) 32, 64 pages
- [60] Lepowsky, J., Primc, M.: Standard Modules for Type One Affine Lie Algebras. *Lec.Note in Math.* **1052**, 194-251 (1984)
- [61] Lepowsky, J., Wilson, R.L.: A New Family of Algebras Underlying the Rogers-Ramanujan Identities and Generalizations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **78**, 7254-7258 (1981); The Structure of Standard Modules, I: Universal Algebras and the Roger-Ramanujan Identities. *Invent.Math.* **77** 199-290 (1984)
- [62] Lukyanov, S., Fateev, V.: Additional Symmetries and Exactly-soluble Models in two-dimensional Conformal field Theory. *Sov.sci.Rev.A.Phys.* **15**, 1-117 (1990), Harwood Acad.Pub.
- [63] D.Maulik and A.Okounkov, Quantum Groups and Quantum Cohomology, *Astérisque* **408** (2019) 212pp., arXiv:1211.1287,
- [64] Miki, K.: Toroidal braid group action and an automorphism of toroidal algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1,tor})$  ( $n \geq 2$ ). *Lett. Math. Phys.* **47**, 365-378 (1999)

- [65] Miki, K.: Toroidal and level 0  $U'_q(\widehat{sl}_{n+1})$  actions on  $U_q(\widehat{gl}_{n+1})$  modules. J. Math. Phys. **40**, 3191-3210 (1999)
- [66] Miki, K.: Representations of quantum toroidal algebra  $U_q(sl_{n+1,tor})$  ( $n \geq 2$ ). J. Math. Phys. **41**, 7079-7098 (2000)
- [67] Miki, K.: A  $(q, \gamma)$  analog of the  $W_{1+\infty}$  algebra. J. Math. Phys. **48**, 123520, 35pp. (2007)
- [68] Morozov, A., Mironov, A., Zenkevich, Y.: Ding-Iohara-Miki Symmetry of Network Matrix Models. arXiv: 1603.05467.
- [69] Nakajima, H.: Quiver Varieties and Finite-dimensional Representations of Quantum Affine Algebras. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **14**, 145-238 (2001)
- [70] Nagao, K.: K-Theory of Quiver Varieties,  $q$ -Fock Space and Nonsymmetric Macdonald Polynomials. Osaka J. Math. **46**, 877-907 (2009)
- [71] Negut, A.: The Shuffle Algebra Revisited. IMRN **22** 6242-6275 (2014)
- [72] Negut, A.: The  $q$ -AGT- $W$  Relations via Shuffle Algebras. Comm.Math.Phys. **358** 101-170 (2018)
- [73] Nekrasov, N.: Seiberg - Witten Prepotential From Instanton Counting. Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **7** 831-864 (2004)
- [74] Nekrasov, N. BPS/CFT Correspondence: Non-perturbative Dyson-Schwinger Equations and  $qq$ -Characters. J.High Energy Phys. **03** 181 (2016)
- [75] Nieri, F.: An Elliptic Virasoro Symmetry in 6d. Lett.Math.Phys. **107** 2147-2187 (2017)
- [76] Ravanini, F.: An Infinite Class of New Conformal Field Theories with Extended Algebras. Mod.Phys.Lett. **A3**, 397-412 (1988)
- [77] Rosengren, H.: Elliptic Hypergeometric Series on Root Systems. Adv. Math. **181** 417-447 (2004)
- [78] Saito, Yoshihisa.: Quantum toroidal algebras and their vertex representations. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **34**, 155-177 (1998)
- [79] Saito, Yoshihisa.: Introduction to quantum toroidal algebras, Lectures at the University of Tokyo, 25 June – 6 July, 2018

- [80] Saito, Y., Takemura, K., Uglov, D.: Toroidal Actions on Level 1 Modules of  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_N)$ . *Transf.Groups* **1**, 75-102 (1998)
- [81] Schiffmann, O.: Drinfeld Realization of the Elliptic Hall Algebra. arXiv:1004.2575.
- [82] Schiffmann, O., Vasserot, E.: The Elliptic Hall Algebra, Cherednik Hecke Algebras and Macdonald Polynomials. *Composito Math.* **147** 188-234 (2011)
- [83] Schiffmann, O., Vasserot, E.: The Elliptic Hall Algebra and the K-theory of the Hilbert Scheme of  $\mathbb{A}^2$ . *Duke Math.J.* **162** 279-366 (2013)
- [84] Schiffmann, O., Vasserot, E.: Cherednik Algebras,  $W$ -algebras and the Equivariant Cohomology of the Moduli Space of Instantons on  $\mathbb{A}^2$ . *Publ.Math.Inst.Hautes Etudes Sci.* **118** 213-342 (2013)
- [85] Tsymbaliuk, A.: Shuffle Approach Towards Quantum Affine and Toroidal Algebras, *SpringerBriefs in Mathematical Physics* **49** (2023) Springer Singapore
- [86] Varagnolo, M., Vasserot, E.: Schur Duality in the Toroidal Setting *Comm.Math.Phys.* **182**, 469-484 (1996)
- [87] Varagnolo, M., Vasserot, E.: Double-loop Algebras and the Fock Space. *Invent.Math.* **133**, 133-159 (1998)
- [88] Varagnolo, M., Vasserot, E.: On the K-Theory of the Cyclic Quiver Variety. *Int. Math.Res.Notices* **18** 1005-1028 (1999)
- [89] Zenkevich, Y.: Higgsed Network Calculus. arXiv:1812.11961.