

SKEW DERIVATIONS OF QUANTUM TORI AND QUANTUM AFFINE SPACES

DAVID A. JORDAN

ABSTRACT. We determine the σ -derivations of quantum tori and quantum affine spaces for a toric automorphism σ . By standard results, every toric automorphism σ of a quantum affine space \mathcal{A} and every σ -derivation of \mathcal{A} extend uniquely to the corresponding quantum torus \mathcal{T} . We shall see that, for a toric automorphism σ , every σ -derivation of \mathcal{T} is a unique sum of an inner σ -derivation and a σ -derivation that is conjugate to a derivation and that the latter is non-zero only if σ is an inner automorphism of \mathcal{T} . This is applied to determine the σ -derivations of \mathcal{A} for a toric automorphism σ , generalizing results of Alev and Chamarie for the derivations of quantum affine spaces and of Almulhem and Brzeziński for σ -derivations of the quantum plane.

We apply the results to iterated Ore extensions A of the base field for which all the defining endomorphisms are automorphisms and each of the adjoined indeterminates is an eigenvector for all the subsequent defining automorphisms. We present an algorithm which, in characteristic zero, will, for such an algebra A , either construct a quantum torus between A and its quotient division algebra or show that no such quantum torus exists.

Also included is a general section on skew derivations which become inner on localization at the powers of a normal element which is an eigenvector for the relevant automorphism. This section explores a connection between such skew derivations and normalizing sequences of length two. This connection is illustrated by known examples of skew derivations and by the construction of a family of skew derivations for the parametric family of subalgebras of the Weyl algebra that has been studied in three papers by Benkart, Lopes and Ondrus.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let n be a positive integer and let $Q = (q_{ij})$ be a multiplicatively antisymmetric $n \times n$ matrix over a field \mathbb{K} , that is $q_{ii} = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and, for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, $q_{ij} \neq 0$ and $q_{ji} = q_{ij}^{-1}$. The *quantum affine space*, $\mathcal{O}_Q(\mathbb{K}^n)$, is the \mathbb{K} -algebra generated by x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n subject to the relations $x_i x_j = q_{ij} x_j x_i$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. The *quantum torus*, $\mathcal{O}_Q((\mathbb{K}^*)^n)$, is the \mathbb{K} -algebra generated by $x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}$ subject to the relations $x_i x_j = q_{ij} x_j x_i$, $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, and $x_i x_i^{-1} = 1 = x_i^{-1} x_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

The single-parameter versions of quantum affine space and the quantum torus are obtained by choosing $q \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and taking $q_{ii} = 1$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $q_{ij} = q$ and $q_{ji} = q^{-1}$, for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. These will be denoted $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{K}^n)$ and $\mathcal{O}_q((\mathbb{K}^*)^n)$ respectively. When $n = 2$, the single-parameter and multiparameter versions coincide, with $Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & q \\ q^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, and we shall write the generators as x and y , rather than x_1 and x_2 , and refer to $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{K}^2)$ as the *quantum plane*.

We shall also consider certain intermediate algebras between $\mathcal{O}_Q(\mathbb{K}^n)$ and $\mathcal{O}_Q((\mathbb{K}^*)^n)$. Let k be an integer such that $0 \leq k \leq n$ and select integers i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k such that $i_j < i_{j+1}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k-1$. The \mathbb{K} -subalgebra \mathcal{L} of $\mathcal{O}_Q((\mathbb{K}^*)^n)$ generated by $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_{i_1}^{-1}, x_{i_2}^{-1}, \dots, x_{i_k}^{-1}$ will be denoted $\mathcal{O}_Q(\mathbb{K}^n)_{\langle i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \rangle}$ and referred to as a *selectively localized quantum space*. We may refer to $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_{i_1}^{-1}, x_{i_2}^{-1}, \dots, x_{i_k}^{-1}$ as the *canonical generators* of \mathcal{L} .

For $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^n$, any selectively localized quantum space, including $\mathcal{O}_Q(\mathbb{K}^n)$ and $\mathcal{O}_Q((\mathbb{K}^*)^n)$, has a *toric* automorphism σ_Λ such that, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\sigma_\Lambda(x_i) = \lambda_i x_i$.

Although the derivations of $\mathcal{O}_Q(\mathbb{K}^n)$ are well understood through the work of Alev and Chamarié [1], little appears to be known about the skew derivations of $\mathcal{O}_Q(\mathbb{K}^n)$. The only result of which we are aware is [5, Theorem 6.2], where Almulhem and Brzeziński determine the right σ -derivations of $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{K}^2)$ for the toric automorphism σ of $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{K}^2)$ such that $\sigma(x) = qx$ and $\sigma(y) = q^{-1}y$. The left σ -derivations may be deduced by symmetry. In [5], the motivation comes from differential geometry and algebraic properties of the resulting Ore extensions are not addressed.

We shall determine the σ_Λ -derivations of an arbitrary selectively localized quantum space for any toric automorphism σ_Λ , beginning with the case of the quantum torus $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{O}_Q((\mathbb{K}^*)^n)$ for which we exploit the \mathbb{Z}^n -grading for which, for $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, the \mathbf{d} -component $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{d}}$ is one-dimensional spanned by $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}} = x_1^{d_1} x_2^{d_2} \dots x_n^{d_n}$. It will suffice to determine, for each $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, the homogeneous σ_Λ -derivations of \mathcal{T} of weight \mathbf{d} , that is those σ_Λ -derivations δ such that $\delta(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{c}}) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{d}}$ for all $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Every σ_Λ -derivation of \mathcal{T} is a unique sum of homogeneous σ_Λ -derivations. There is a striking dichotomy for the homogeneous σ_Λ -derivations. Given a homogeneous σ_Λ -derivation δ of \mathcal{T} of weight \mathbf{d} , either the automorphism σ_Λ is inner on \mathcal{T} , induced by $\mathbf{x}^{-\mathbf{d}}$, or the σ_Λ -derivation δ is inner on \mathcal{T} . In the latter case the space of homogeneous σ_Λ -derivations of \mathcal{T} of weight \mathbf{d} is 1-dimensional while in the former case it is n -dimensional. It follows, by standard results, that every Ore extension $\mathcal{T}[z; \sigma_\Lambda, \partial]$ is isomorphic to an Ore extension of either derivation type or automorphism type.

By standard localization results, every toric automorphism σ_Λ and every σ_Λ -derivation of quantum space $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_Q(\mathbb{K}^n)$ extend to the quantum torus $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{O}_Q((\mathbb{K}^*)^n)$ so the σ_Λ -derivations of \mathcal{A} are the restrictions to \mathcal{A} of those σ_Λ -derivations δ of \mathcal{T} such that $\delta(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. Any homogeneous σ_Λ -derivation of \mathcal{T} of weight $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$, where each $d_i \geq 0$, restricts to a σ -derivation of \mathcal{A} . We shall see that the only other weights \mathbf{d} for which there may be non-zero homogeneous σ_Λ -derivations δ of \mathcal{A} of weight \mathbf{d} are such that, for some j with $1 \leq j \leq n$, $d_j = -1$ and $d_i \geq 0$ if $i \neq j$. For such \mathbf{d} we shall see that the space of homogeneous σ_Λ -derivations δ of \mathcal{A} of weight \mathbf{d} has dimension 0 or 1 and determine the conditions on the parameters q_{ij} and λ_i for the dimension to be 1. These σ_Λ -derivations may fall on either side of the dichotomy that we observed in \mathcal{T} : either δ is outer on \mathcal{A} but inner on the localization $\mathcal{A}_{\langle j \rangle}$ of \mathcal{A} at the powers of x_j or σ_Λ is outer on \mathcal{A} but inner on $\mathcal{A}_{\langle j \rangle}$. The situation is similar for arbitrary selectively localized quantum spaces.

When, for an automorphism σ of a \mathbb{K} -algebra R , a σ -derivation δ becomes an inner σ -derivation on localization at the powers of a normal element s , the Ore extension $R_s[x; \sigma, \delta]$ of the localization R_s becomes, by a standard change of variable, an Ore extension $R_s[x'; \sigma]$

of automorphism type. Following the influential work of Cauchon [13] on quantum matrices, this process has become known as *deleting derivations*. We shall discuss this process in the general context of a \mathbb{K} -algebra R with automorphisms σ , ϕ and γ and a regular normal element s such that $\sigma(s) = \nu s$ for some $\nu \in \mathbb{K}^*$, $rs = s\phi(r)$ for all $r \in R$ and $\gamma = \phi^{-1}\sigma$. Here, the automorphisms σ , ϕ and γ extend to automorphisms of the localization $R\mathcal{S}^{-1}$ and they induce automorphisms $\bar{\sigma}$, $\bar{\phi}$ and $\bar{\gamma}$ of $\bar{R} := R/sR$. For $t \in R$, we shall say that a σ -derivation δ is *s-locally inner, induced by $s^{-1}t$* , if the extension of δ to the localization $R\mathcal{S}^{-1}$ is inner, induced by $s^{-1}t$. The element $sx - t$ of the Ore extension $R[x; \sigma, \delta]$ is then normal in the Ore extension $R_s[x; \sigma, \delta]$ and we will give a sufficient condition for $sx - t$ to also be normal in $R[x; \sigma, \delta]$. We shall observe a connection between *s-locally inner σ -derivations* and normalizing sequences of length 2 that we have not found explicitly in the literature. There is an *s-locally inner σ -derivation* of R induced by $s^{-1}t$ if and only if (s, t) is a normalizing sequence with $rt - t\gamma^{-1}(r) \in sR$ for all $r \in R$. This observation can facilitate the analysis of skew derivations in algebras with distinguished normal elements and we discuss several illustrative examples. Many of these are well-known skew derivations but they include an apparently new class of locally inner skew derivations for the algebras studied by Benkart, Lopes and Ondrus in [7, 8, 9] for which the prototype is the Jordan plane.

Let R be an iterated Ore extension of the form $\mathbb{K}[x_1][x_2; \sigma_2, \delta_2] \dots [x_n; \sigma_n, \delta_n]$, where, for $2 \leq i \leq n$, σ_i and δ_i are, respectively, an automorphism and a σ_i -derivation of R_{i-1} , where, for $1 \leq k \leq n$, $R_k = \mathbb{K}[x_1][x_2; \sigma_2, \delta_2] \dots [x_k; \sigma_k, \delta_k]$, such that, for $1 \leq j < i$, there exists $\lambda_{ij} \in \mathbb{K}^*$ such that $\sigma_i(x_j) = \lambda_{ij}x_j$. This includes quantum nilpotent algebras [18], also known as *CGL-extensions* [24], the definition of which has extra conditions including local nilpotency of the skew derivations. For quantum nilpotent algebras there are two algorithms, due to Cauchon [13] and Goodearl and Yakimov [17], that, given a quantum nilpotent algebra R with quotient division algebra $\text{Fract}(R)$, will construct a quantum torus \mathcal{T} such that $R \subseteq \mathcal{T} \subset \text{Fract}(R)$. We shall apply our results to obtain an algorithm which, given an iterated Ore extension R as above, will either yield a quantum torus \mathcal{T} such that $R \subseteq \mathcal{T} \subset \text{Fract}(R)$ or yield a copy of the first Weyl algebra A_1 inside $\text{Fract}(R)$. If $\text{char } \mathbb{K} = 0$ then, by a result of Richard [27], the quotient division algebra of a quantum torus $\mathcal{O}_Q((\mathbb{K}^*)^m)$ does not contain a copy of A_1 so the two outcomes are mutually exclusive.

Section 2 is a preliminary section presenting definitions and relevant standard results. Locally inner skew derivations in general are discussed in Section 3. Sections 4, 5 and 6 cover the determination of the σ -derivations, for a toric automorphism σ , of $\mathcal{O}_Q((\mathbb{K}^*)^n)$, $\mathcal{O}_Q(\mathbb{K}^n)$ and $\mathcal{O}_Q(\mathbb{K}^n)_{\langle i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \rangle}$ respectively. Section 7 is devoted to examples illustrating the results of those three sections and Section 8 presents the algorithm for iterated Ore extensions described above.

2. PRELIMINARIES

The set of non-negative integers (resp. positive integers), will be denoted by \mathbb{N}_0 (resp. \mathbb{N}). Throughout \mathbb{K} will denote a field and $\mathbb{K} \setminus 0$ will be denoted by \mathbb{K}^* . For a \mathbb{K} -algebra R with identity, the centre and the group of units of R will be denoted by $Z(R)$ and $U(R)$

respectively. An *automorphism* σ of R is a \mathbb{K} -linear ring automorphism of R , the \mathbb{K} -linearity ensuring that $\sigma|_{\mathbb{K}} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{K}}$. The group of automorphisms of R will be denoted by $\text{Aut}(R)$.

2.1. Skew derivations. Let R be a \mathbb{K} -algebra and let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(R)$. A \mathbb{K} -linear map $\delta : R \rightarrow R$ is a *left σ -derivation* of R if

$$\delta(rs) = \sigma(r)\delta(s) + \delta(r)s \text{ for all } r, s \in R$$

and is a *right σ -derivation* of R if

$$\delta(rs) = r\delta(s) + \delta(r)\sigma(s) \text{ for all } r, s \in R.$$

The \mathbb{K} -linearity ensures that $\delta(\mathbb{K}) = 0$. When we refer to a σ -derivation without specifying a side, we shall mean left σ -derivation. Any map $\delta : R \rightarrow R$ that is a σ -derivation for some $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(R)$ may be referred to as a *skew derivation* of R . If $\sigma = \text{id}_R$ then the definitions of left and right σ -derivations both reduce to the usual definition of a *derivation* of R .

For $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(R)$, the set of all σ -derivations of R will be denoted $\text{Der}_{\sigma}(R)$. Clearly $\text{Der}_{\sigma}(R)$ is closed under addition and if $z \in Z(R)$ then $z\delta \in \text{Der}_{\sigma}(R)$ for all $\delta \in \text{Der}_{\sigma}(R)$. Thus $\text{Der}_{\sigma}(R)$ is a $Z(R)$ -submodule of $\text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(R)$.

Definitions 2.1. Let R be a \mathbb{K} -algebra, let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(R)$ and let $\delta \in \text{Der}_{\sigma}(R)$.

- (i) For all $a \in R$, the map $\delta_a : R \rightarrow R$ such that $\delta_a(r) = ar - \sigma(r)a$ for all $r \in R$ is a σ -derivation, called the *inner σ -derivation of R induced by a* . The set of all inner σ -derivations of R is a $Z(R)$ -submodule of $\text{Der}_{\sigma}(R)$ and will be denoted $\text{InnDer}_{\sigma}(R)$.
- (ii) For $q \in \mathbb{K}^*$, δ is said to be *q -skew* if $\delta\sigma = q\sigma\delta$. Note that, for some authors, this would be the definition of a *q^{-1} -skew σ -derivation*.
- (iii) δ is said to be *locally nilpotent* if, for all $r \in R$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\delta^n(r) = 0$.

Remarks 2.2. Let R be a \mathbb{K} -algebra, let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(R)$ and let $\delta \in \text{Der}_{\sigma}(R)$. Let G be a set of generators for R .

- (i) It is easily checked that $\ker \delta$ is a subalgebra of R so if $G \subseteq \ker \delta$ then $\delta = 0$. Applying this to $\delta - \gamma$, where $\delta, \gamma \in \text{Der}_{\sigma}(R)$, we see that if $\delta(g) = \gamma(g)$ for all $g \in G$ then $\delta = \gamma$.
- (ii) For $q \in \mathbb{K}^*$, the linear map $\theta = \delta\sigma - q\sigma\delta : R \rightarrow R$ is an example of a $(\sigma^2; \sigma)$ -derivation of A , meaning that $\theta(rs) = \sigma^2(r)\theta(s) + \theta(r)\sigma(s)$ for all $r, s \in R$. Consequently, $\ker \theta$ is a subalgebra of R and, to check that δ is q -skew, it suffices to check that $G \subseteq \ker \theta$.

2.2. Regularity and normality. Let R be a \mathbb{K} -algebra and let $s \in R$. If $rs \neq 0$ and $sr \neq 0$ whenever $0 \neq r \in R$ then s is *regular*. A left or right Ore set \mathcal{S} in R will be called *regular* if every element of \mathcal{S} is regular.

If $Rs = sR$ then s is a *normal* element of R . If s is normal and regular then $\{s^i\}$ is a right and left regular Ore set in R . If $\phi \in \text{Aut}(R)$ is such that $rs = s\phi(r)$ for all $r \in R$, or, equivalently, $sr = \phi^{-1}(r)s$ for all $r \in R$, then s is *ϕ -normal*. If s is normal and regular there is a unique automorphism ϕ_s of R , the *normalizing automorphism of R induced by s* , such that s is *ϕ_s -normal*. If s is central and regular then $\phi_s = \text{id}_R$. If s is a unit then ϕ_s is

the inner automorphism $a \mapsto s^{-1}as$, which we may refer to as the *inner automorphism* of R induced by s .

Normalizing sequences of length two, in the sense of [26, 4.1.13], will be significant for us. Let $s, t \in R$. The sequence (s, t) is *normalizing* if s is normal in R and $\bar{t} := t + sR$ is normal in $\bar{R} := R/sR$. If, in addition, s is regular in R and \bar{t} is regular in \bar{R} then (s, t) is *regular*. If ϕ and ψ are automorphisms of R and R/sR respectively such that s is ϕ -normal in R and \bar{t} is ψ -normal in \bar{R} we shall say that (s, t) is a (ϕ, ψ) -*normalizing sequence*, in which case if s is regular in R then $\phi(s) = s$ and if \bar{t} is regular in \bar{R} then $\psi(\bar{t}) = \bar{t}$.

2.3. Ore extensions. We shall only consider Ore extensions of a \mathbb{K} -algebra R when $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(R)$ and $\delta \in \text{Der}_\sigma(R)$. In this situation, let S be a \mathbb{K} -algebra extension of R . We write $S = R[x; \sigma, \delta]$, and say that S is a *Ore extension* of R if there exists $x \in S$ such that S is a free left R -module with basis $\{1, x, x^2, \dots\}$ and, for all $r \in R$, $xr = \sigma(r)x + \delta(r)$. Given R , σ and δ , an Ore extension $R[x; \sigma, \delta]$ always exists, by [16, Proposition 2.3], and, by [16, Corollary 2.5], any two such extensions $R[x; \sigma, \delta]$ and $R[y; \sigma, \delta]$ are isomorphic. If $\sigma = \text{id}_R$, so that $xr = rx + \delta(r)$ for all $r \in R$, then we write $S = R[x; \delta]$ and refer to S as an Ore extension of *derivation type*. On the other hand, if $\delta = 0$, so that $xr = \sigma(r)x$ for all $r \in R$, we write $S = R[x; \sigma]$ and refer to S as an Ore extension of *automorphism type*. If $\delta \neq 0$ and $\sigma \neq \text{id}_R$ we refer to S as an Ore extension of *mixed type*.

A presentation of an Ore extension $S = R[x; \sigma, \delta]$, and possibly its type, may be changed by replacing the indeterminate x by $sx - a$ where $s \in U(R)$ and $a \in R$ and making appropriate adjustments to σ and δ . In the following proposition, (i) follows from the more general result [28, Proposition 2] and (ii) and (iii) are immediate from (i).

Proposition 2.3. *Let R be a \mathbb{K} -algebra, let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(R)$ and let $\delta \in \text{Der}_\sigma(R)$. Let $a \in R$, let s be a unit in R and let γ_s be the inner automorphism $r \mapsto sr s^{-1}$. Let $\sigma' = \gamma_s \sigma$ and let $z = sx - a$.*

- (i) *$s\delta$ is a σ' -derivation of R and $R[x; \sigma, \delta] = R[z; \sigma', \delta']$, where $\delta' = s\delta - \delta_a$ and δ_a is the inner σ' -derivation of R induced by a .*
- (ii) *If σ is the inner automorphism of R induced by s^{-1} of R then $s\delta$ is a derivation of R and $R[x; \sigma, \delta] = R[sx; s\delta]$ is of derivation type.*
- (iii) *If δ is the inner σ -derivation of R induced by a , then, taking $s = 1$, $R[x; \sigma, \delta] = R[x - a; \sigma]$ is of automorphism type.*

Definition 2.4. In the circumstances of Proposition 2.3(ii), we may say that the σ -derivation δ is *conjugate* to the derivation $s\delta$.

The definition of Ore extension leads to a well-behaved notion of *degree* and *leading coefficients*, see [16, p36 Definition and Exercise 20]. Let R be a \mathbb{K} -algebra, let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(R)$, let $\delta \in \text{Der}_\sigma(R)$ and let $S = R[x; \sigma, \delta]$. Easy degree arguments show that if R is a domain then S is a domain and $U(S) = U(R)$. By the general skew Hilbert Basis Theorem [16, Theorem 2.6], if R is left Noetherian then S is left Noetherian and similarly on the right.

In an Ore extension $S = R[x; \sigma]$ of automorphism type, x is normal and regular so $\mathcal{X} = \{x^i : i \geq 0\}$ is a regular right and left Ore set. The localization $R\mathcal{X}^{-1}$ will be written

$R[x^{\pm 1}; \sigma]$ and called a *skew Laurent polynomial algebra*. As a left R -module, $R[x^{\pm 1}; \sigma]$ is free with basis $\dots, x^{-2}, x^{-1}, 1, x, x^2, \dots$ and, for $r \in R$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x^m r = \sigma^m(r)x^m$. If R is a domain then $U(R[x^{\pm 1}; \sigma])$ is generated by $U(R)$ and x .

2.4. Quantum spaces and quantum tori. The quantum space $\mathcal{O}_Q(\mathbb{K}^n)$ is an iterated Ore extension of automorphism type of the base field \mathbb{K} , with the intermediate algebras being of the form $\mathcal{O}_{Q_{k+1}}(\mathbb{K}^{k+1}) = \mathcal{O}_{Q_k}(\mathbb{K}^k)[x_{k+1}; \psi_k]$, where Q_k and Q_{k+1} are obtained from Q by deleting the appropriate rows and columns of Q and, for $1 \leq i \leq k$, $\psi_k(x_i) = q_{k,i}x_i$. The canonical generators x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n may be adjoined in any order and, for each permutation $\sigma \in S_n$, $\mathcal{O}_Q(\mathbb{K}^n)$ has a PBW basis $\{x_{\sigma(1)}^{a_1} x_{\sigma(2)}^{a_2} \dots x_{\sigma(n)}^{a_n} : a_i \geq 0\}$.

The quantum torus $\mathcal{O}_Q((\mathbb{K}^*)^n)$ is an iterated skew Laurent polynomial algebra over \mathbb{K} and may be obtained from $\mathcal{O}_Q(\mathbb{K}^n)$ by localizing at the powers of the normal element $x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$. These algebras are sometimes called *McConnell-Pettit algebras* in recognition of the paper [25] wherein the following simplicity criterion appears as Proposition 1.3.

Proposition 2.5. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) if $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ are such that $q_{1j}^{m_1} q_{2j}^{m_2} \dots q_{nj}^{m_n} = 1$ whenever $1 \leq j \leq n$ then $m_1 = m_2 = \dots = m_n = 0$;
- (ii) $Z(\mathcal{O}_Q((\mathbb{K}^*)^n)) = \mathbb{K}$;
- (iii) $\mathcal{O}_Q((\mathbb{K}^*)^n)$ is simple.

Remarks 2.6. (i) The equivalence of (i) and (ii) in the Proposition is due to the observation that $x_1^{m_1} x_2^{m_2} \dots x_n^{m_n} \in Z(\mathcal{O}_Q((\mathbb{K}^*)^n))$ if and only if $q_{1j}^{m_1} q_{2j}^{m_2} \dots q_{nj}^{m_n} = 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$.

(ii) Suppose that the equivalent conditions of the Proposition hold. Then the normal elements in quantum space $\mathcal{O}_Q(\mathbb{K}^n)$ are the elements of the form $\lambda x_1^{m_1} x_2^{m_2} \dots x_n^{m_n}$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and each $m_i \geq 0$, and the height one prime ideals $\mathcal{O}_Q(\mathbb{K}^n)$ are the n ideals $x_i \mathcal{O}_Q(\mathbb{K}^n)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Consequently, every automorphism of $\mathcal{O}_Q(K^n)$ is the composition of a toric automorphism and an automorphism α such that $\alpha(x_i) = x_{\tau(i)}$ for some $\tau \in S_n$ such that $q_{ij} = q_{\tau(i)\tau(j)}$ whenever $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

It is often the case that the only such permutation τ is the identity but, as observed in [1], in the case where $n = 2m$ is even and $\mathcal{O}_Q(K^n)$ is the tensor product of m copies of the quantum plane $\mathcal{O}_q(K^2)$, every non-trivial permutation in S_m gives rise to a non-toric automorphism of $\mathcal{O}_Q(K^n)$.

(iii) It is shown in [1] that if $n \geq 2$, $n \neq 3$ and q is not a root of unity, every automorphism of the single-parameter quantum space $\mathcal{O}_q(K^n)$ is toric. When $n = 3$, there is a non-toric automorphism of $\mathcal{O}_q((\mathbb{K}^*)^3)$ under which $x_1 \mapsto x_1$, $x_2 \mapsto x_1 x_3 + x_2$ and $x_3 \mapsto x_3$.

(iv) A selectively localized quantum space $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_Q(\mathbb{K}^n)_{\langle i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \rangle}$ is the localization of $\mathcal{O}_Q(\mathbb{K}^n)$ at the powers of the normal element $x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_k}$ and may be obtained from \mathbb{K} in n steps, each of which involves taking either an Ore extension of automorphism type or a skew Laurent polynomial algebra. If $k > 0$ then, in stating results on selectively localized quantum spaces, we may, by replacing Q by its conjugate by the appropriate permutation matrix, assume that $i_j = j$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$. By Subsection 2.3, \mathcal{L} is a right and left Noetherian domain and its group of units is generated by \mathbb{K}^* and the elements x_{i_j} , $1 \leq j \leq k$.

3. LOCALLY INNER SKEW DERIVATIONS

3.1. Deleting derivations. Following the influential work of Cauchon [13] on quantum matrices, the phrase *deleting derivations* has been used to cover the situation where a skew derivation becomes inner in a localization so that, in the passage to the Ore extension over the relevant localization, an Ore extension of mixed type becomes, by Proposition 2.3(iii), an Ore extension of automorphism type. The idea of deleting derivations is applicable in the following situation which will be in place throughout this section: R is a \mathbb{K} -algebra with an automorphism σ and a regular normal element s such that $\sigma(s) = \nu s$ for some $\nu \in \mathbb{K}^*$. The normalizing automorphism ϕ_s will be denoted by ϕ and $\phi^{-1}\sigma$ will be denoted by γ . The factor R/sR will be denoted by \overline{R} and $\pi : R \rightarrow \overline{R}$ will be the canonical projection. As $\sigma(sR) = \phi(sR) = \gamma(sR) = sR$, there are induced automorphisms $\overline{\sigma}$, $\overline{\phi}$ and $\overline{\gamma}$ of \overline{R} . Also the right and left Ore set $\mathcal{S} := \{\mu s^j : \mu \in \mathbb{K}^*, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is invariant under σ , ϕ and γ which therefore extend to automorphisms of the localization $R\mathcal{S}^{-1}$, with $\psi(ab^{-1}) = \psi(a)\psi(b)^{-1}$ for $a \in R$ and $b \in \mathcal{S}$, where $\psi = \sigma, \phi$ or γ .

Let δ be a σ -derivation of R and let $S = R[x; \sigma, \delta]$. By [15, Lemmas 1.3 and 1.4], δ extends uniquely to the localization $R\mathcal{S}^{-1}$, \mathcal{S} is a regular right Ore set in S and $T := R\mathcal{S}^{-1}[x; \sigma, \delta]$ is a right quotient algebra of S with respect to \mathcal{S} .

Definition 3.1. Let $t \in R$ and note that $s^{-1}t = \phi(t)s^{-1} \in R\mathcal{S}^{-1}$.

- (i) We say that a σ -derivation δ of R is *s-locally inner*, induced by $s^{-1}t$, if the extension of δ to the localization $R\mathcal{S}^{-1}$ is inner, induced by $s^{-1}t$. If $\sigma = \text{id}_R$ we may refer to δ as an *s-locally inner derivation*.
- (ii) If the extension of σ to $R\mathcal{S}^{-1}$ is inner then the extension of δ to $R\mathcal{S}^{-1}$ is conjugate to a derivation and we may say that δ is *s-locally conjugate to a derivation*.

Notation 3.2. The *s-locally inner* σ -derivations of R form a subspace of $\text{Der}_\sigma(R)$ that will be denoted $\text{InnDer}_{\sigma,s}(R)$ and the set $\{t \in R : \text{there exists } \delta \in \text{InnDer}_{\sigma,s}(R) \text{ induced by } s^{-1}t\}$ will be denoted $T(R, \sigma, s)$. For $r \in R$, the inner σ -derivation of R induced by r is *s-locally inner* induced by $s^{-1}sr$ so $\text{InnDer}_\sigma(R) \subseteq \text{InnDer}_{\sigma,s}(R)$ and $sR \subseteq T(R, \sigma, s)$.

Proposition 3.3. For $t \in R$, $t \in T(R, \sigma, s)$ if and only if (s, t) is a $(\phi, \overline{\gamma}^{-1})$ -normalizing sequence.

Proof. Let δ be the inner σ -derivation of $R\mathcal{S}^{-1}$ induced by $s^{-1}t$. For $r \in R$,

$$\delta(r) = s^{-1}tr - \sigma(r)s^{-1}t = s^{-1}(tr - \gamma(r)t).$$

Thus $t \in T(R, \sigma, s)$ if and only if $tr - \gamma(r)t \in sR$ for all $r \in R$, that is, if and only if (s, t) is $(\phi, \overline{\gamma}^{-1})$ -normalizing. \square

Corollary 3.4. If $\overline{\gamma} = \text{id}_{\overline{R}}$ then $T(R, \sigma, s) = \pi^{-1}(Z(\overline{R}))$.

Proof. This is immediate from Proposition 3.3. \square

Proposition 3.5. Let $t \in T(R, \sigma, s)$ and let δ be the *s-locally inner* σ -derivation δ induced by $s^{-1}t$.

- (i) For all $r \in R$, $\delta(r) = (\phi(t)\phi(r) - \sigma(r)\phi(t))s^{-1} = s^{-1}(tr - \gamma(r)t)$.
- (ii) Let $T = R\mathcal{S}^{-1}[x; \sigma, \delta]$. Then $T = (R\mathcal{S}^{-1})[w; \gamma]$, where $w = sx - t$ and γ is extended to an automorphism of $R\mathcal{S}^{-1}$.
- (iii) Suppose that $\phi(t) \equiv \sigma(t) \pmod{sR}$ and let $b = \sigma^{-1}(s^{-1}(\phi(t) - \sigma(t)))$. The element w is normal in S with $wr = \gamma(r)w$ for all $r \in R$, $xw = w(\nu x + b)$ and $wx = \nu^{-1}(x - \gamma(b))w$. In particular, if $\phi(t) = \sigma(t)$ then $xw = \nu wx$ and if, further, $\nu = 1$ and $\gamma|_R = \text{id}|_R$ then w is central in S .
- (iv) If the $(\sigma, \bar{\gamma}^{-1})$ -normalizing sequence (s, t) is regular then $\phi(t) \equiv \sigma(t) \pmod{sR}$ so (iii) applies.

Proof. (i) This is clear from the proof of Proposition 3.3.

(ii) By (i), $s\delta$ is the inner γ -derivation induced by t so, s being a unit in $R\mathcal{S}^{-1}$, this follows from Proposition 2.3(i).

(iii) Note that $s\sigma(b) = \phi(t) - \sigma(t)$. By (i),

$$\delta(t) = \gamma(b)t, \quad \delta(s) = \phi(t) - \nu t \text{ and } s\delta(b) = tb - \gamma(b)t.$$

It follows that

$$xw = x(sx - t) = \nu sx^2 + (\phi(t) - \nu t - \sigma(t))x - \gamma(b)t,$$

whereas, as $s\sigma(b) = \phi(t) - \sigma(t)$ and $s\delta(b) = tb - \gamma(b)t$,

$$w(\nu x + b) = (sx - t)(\nu x + b) = \nu sx^2 + (\phi(t) - \sigma(t))x + (tb - \gamma(b)t) - \nu tx - tb = xw.$$

Thus $xw = w(\nu x + b) \in wS$ and $wx = \nu^{-1}(x - \gamma(b))w \in Sw$. As $wR = \gamma(R)w = Rw$ and S is generated by x and R , it follows that w is normal in S .

If $\phi(t) = \sigma(t)$ then $b = 0$ so $xw = \nu wx$. If, further, $\nu = 1$ and $\gamma|_R = \text{id}|_R$ then $wx = xw$ and $wr = rw$ for all $r \in R$ so $w \in Z(S)$.

(iv) Suppose that the $(\sigma, \bar{\gamma}^{-1})$ -normalizing sequence (s, t) is regular. As \bar{t} is $\bar{\gamma}^{-1}$ -normal, $t^2 \equiv \sigma^{-1}\phi(t)t \pmod{sR}$ and, as t is regular modulo sR , $t - \sigma^{-1}\phi(t) \in sR$. Applying σ , we see that $\sigma(t) - \phi(t) \in sR$. □

Propositions 3.3 and 3.5 show how a normal element of degree 1 in an Ore extension may arise from locally inner skew derivations. The following result from [21] is, in a sense, converse to them.

Proposition 3.6. *Let σ be an automorphism of a domain R , let δ be a σ -derivation of R and let S be the Ore extension $R[x; \sigma, \delta]$. Let c be a normal element of S of the form $sx + t$, where $s, t \in R$ and $s \neq 0$. Then s is normal in R and δ is the s -locally inner σ -derivation of R induced by $s^{-1}t$. Furthermore if t is regular modulo sR then S/Sc is a domain.*

Proof. See [21, Proposition 1 and its proof]. □

3.2. Examples of locally inner skew derivations.

Example 3.7. Let R be the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{K}[y]$, let $q \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and let σ be the automorphism of R such that $\sigma(y) = qy$. Let $s = y$ which is regular and normal in R . Then $\phi = \text{id}_{\mathbb{K}}$, $R/yR = \mathbb{K}$ and $\bar{\gamma} = \text{id}$. By Corollary 3.4, $T(R, \sigma, y) = \pi^{-1}(Z(\bar{R})) = R = yR + \mathbb{K}$ so $\text{InnDer}_{\sigma, y} = \text{InnDer}_{\sigma} \oplus \mathbb{K}\delta$, where δ is the y -locally inner σ -derivation δ of R induced by y^{-1} . By Proposition 3.5(i), $\delta(y) = y^{-1}y - qyy^{-1} = 1 - q \in R$.

The Ore extension $S = R[x; \sigma, \delta]$ is generated as a \mathbb{K} -algebra by x and y subject to the relation $xy - qyx = 1 - q$. This relation is equivalent to $yx - q^{-1}xy = 1 - q^{-1}$, giving rise to symmetry between q and q^{-1} and between x and y . The algebra S is called the *quantum disc* in [5] and, when $q \neq 1$, it is isomorphic to the *quantized Weyl algebra* A_1^q , in which the defining relation is $xy - qyx = 1$, for example, see [16]. There is a well-known normal element, $w = yx - 1 = q^{-1}(xy - 1)$ of S , with $wy = qyw$ and $xw = qwx$, and its existence is a simple illustration of Proposition 3.5(iv).

Examples 3.8. Let $q \in \mathbb{K}^*$, let \mathcal{A} be the quantum plane $\mathcal{O}_q(K^2)$ and suppose that q is not a root of unity. Thus \mathcal{A} is generated by x and y subject to the relation $xy = qyx$. Let σ be the toric automorphism of \mathcal{A} such that $\sigma(x) = qx$ and $\sigma(y) = q^{-1}y$.

Taking $s = x$, which is regular and normal in \mathcal{A} , $\phi(y) = q^{-1}y$, $\bar{\mathcal{A}} = \mathbb{K}[\bar{y}]$, and $\bar{\gamma} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{K}[\bar{y}]}$. By Corollary 3.4, $T(\mathcal{A}, \sigma, x) = \pi^{-1}(Z(\bar{\mathcal{A}})) = \mathcal{A} = x\mathcal{A} + \mathbb{K}[y]$ so, given $h(y) \in \mathbb{K}[y]$, there is an x -locally inner σ -derivation $\delta_{h(y)}$ of \mathcal{A} induced by $x^{-1}h(y)$. For $i \geq 0$, $\delta_{y^i}(x) = (q^{-i} - q)y^i$ and $\delta_{y^i}(y) = 0$. It follows that, if $\theta_y : \mathbb{K}[y] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[y]$ is the \mathbb{K} -linear map such that $\theta_y(y^i) = (q^{-i} - q)y^i$ for $i \geq 0$, $\delta_{h(y)}(x) = \theta_y(h(y))$ and $\delta_{h(y)}(y) = 0$.

By the symmetry between x and y and between q and q^{-1} , $T(\mathcal{A}, \sigma, y) = y\mathcal{A} + \mathbb{K}[x]$ and, given $j(x) \in \mathbb{K}[x]$, there is a y -locally inner σ -derivation $\delta'_{j(x)}$ of \mathcal{A} , induced by $y^{-1}j(x)$, such that $\delta'_{j(x)}(y) = \theta_x(j(x))$ and $\delta'_{j(x)}(x) = 0$, $\theta_x : \mathbb{K}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[x]$ being the \mathbb{K} -linear bijection such that $\theta_x(x^i) = (q^i - q^{-1})x^i$ for $i \geq 0$.

Given $g(y) \in \mathbb{K}[y]$ and $f(x) \in \mathbb{K}[x]$, if $h(y) = \theta_y^{-1}(g(y))$ and $j(x) = \theta_x^{-1}(f(x))$ the σ -derivation $\delta_{f,g} := \delta_{h(y)} + \delta'_{j(x)}$ of \mathcal{A} , which is xy -locally inner, induced by $(xy)^{-1}(qyh(y) + xj(x))$, is such that $\delta_{f,g}(x) = g(y)$ and $\delta_{f,g}(y) = f(x)$. These left σ -derivations are the analogues of the right σ -derivations that were identified in [5, Theorem 6.2].

Fix $f(x)$ and $g(y)$ and let $\delta = \delta_{f,g}$. The defining relations for the Ore extension $S = \mathcal{A}[z; \sigma, \delta]$ are then

$$xy = qyx, \quad zx = qz + g(y), \quad zy = q^{-1}yz + f(x).$$

By Proposition 3.5, S has a central element $w := xyz - (qyh(y) + xj(x))$. The algebras S and S/wS have the potential for further study. Here we restrict to two comments on prime ideals. Provided $g(y)$ and $f(x)$ are both non-zero, $qyh(y) + xj(x)$ is regular modulo $xy\mathcal{A}$ so, by Proposition 3.6, S/wS is a domain and wS is a completely prime ideal of S . The constant terms a and b of $g(y)$ and $f(x)$ are significant as $xS + yS$ is a proper ideal, with $S/(xS + yS) \simeq \mathbb{K}[z]$, if and only if $a = 0 = b$.

We regard some special cases as worthy of particular comment. If $f(x) = 1 - q^{-1}$ and $g(y) = 1 - q$ the defining relations for S are

$$xy = qyx, \quad zx - qxz = 1 - q, \quad zy - q^{-1}yz = 1 - q^{-1}.$$

When $q \neq 1$ and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, S is the *linear connected quantized Weyl algebra* L_q^3 , see [14]. The element $w = xyz - qy - x$ is central and, by [14, Proposition 6.1], the quantum cluster algebra of the quiver with Dynkin diagram of type A_2 is isomorphic to S .

From the point of view of graded algebras, the interesting case is where $g(y) = \tau y^2$ and $f(x) = \rho x^2$ for some $\tau, \rho \in \mathbb{K}$ and the defining relations are

$$xy = qyx, \quad zx = qxz + \tau y^2, \quad zy = q^{-1}yz + \rho x^2.$$

If \mathbb{K} is algebraically closed, $\tau \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $\rho \in \mathbb{K}^*$ then, replacing x and y by appropriate scalar multiples, we may assume that $\rho = \tau = 1$. In this case S the central element w is $xyz - (q^{-2} - q)^{-1}y^3 - (q^3 - 1)^{-1}x^3$. A particular example appears in [6, Example 2.1.5], where it is used to illustrate the Diamond Lemma.

The σ -derivation $\delta_{f,g}$ may or may not be locally nilpotent. To ease notation, let $\delta = \delta_{f,g}$. One case where δ is locally nilpotent is where $f(x) \in \mathbb{K}$ and $g(y) \in \mathbb{K}$, in which case, for $m, n \geq 1$, $\delta(y^m) \in \mathbb{K}y^{m-1}$, $\delta(x^n) \in \mathbb{K}x^{n-1}$ and $\delta(y^m x^n) \in \mathbb{K}y^{m-1}x^n + \mathbb{K}y^m x^{n-1}$, whence $\delta^{m+n+1}(y^m x^n) = 0$. Another is where $g(y) = 0$ or $f(x) = 0$. For example, suppose that $g(y) = 0$, so that $\delta(x) = 0$. A simple induction shows that $\delta(y^i) \in y^{i-1}\mathbb{K}[x]$ for $i \geq 1$, from which it follows that $\delta^{m+1}(y^m) = 0$ for $m \geq 0$ and hence that $\delta^{m+1}(x^n y^m) = 0$ for $n, m \geq 0$. Therefore δ is locally nilpotent.

The easiest example in which to see that δ is not always locally nilpotent is where $f(x) = x$ and $g(y) = y$, so that the defining relations in $\mathcal{A}[z; \sigma, \delta]$ are

$$xy = qyx, \quad zx = qxz + y, \quad zy = q^{-1}yz + x.$$

Here $\delta^n(x) = y$ if n is odd and $\delta^n(x) = x$ if n is even.

The σ -derivation $\delta_{f,g}$ may or may not be μ -skew for some $\mu \in \mathbb{K}$. Let $\delta = \delta_{f,g}$ and write $g(y) = \sum_{m=0}^k a_m y^m$ and $f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^n c_m x^m$, where $a_i, c_i \in \mathbb{K}$ for all i . Note that $\delta\sigma(x) = qg(y)$, $\sigma\delta(x) = g(q^{-1}y)$, $\delta\sigma(y) = q^{-1}f(x)$ and $\sigma\delta(y) = f(qx)$. For $\mu \in \mathbb{K}$, δ is μ -skew if and only if $\mu = q^{i+1}$ for every i such that $a_i \neq 0$ and $\mu = q^{-(i+1)}$ for every i such that $c_i \neq 0$. In particular, if q is not a root of unity then, by Remark 2.2(ii), the only situations where δ is μ -skew for some $\mu \in \mathbb{K}^*$ are where either $f(x) = 0$ and $g(y) = ay^i$ for some $a \in \mathbb{K}^*$, in which case δ is $q^{-(i+1)}$ -skew, or where $f(x) = cx^i$ for some $c \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $g(y) = 0$ in which case δ is q^{i+1} -skew.

Examples 3.9. Let A be a \mathbb{K} -algebra with an automorphism σ and a regular normal element s whose normalizing automorphism ϕ commutes with σ . Let $\gamma = \sigma\phi^{-1}$. Let R be the Ore extension $A[y; \phi^{-1}]$ and extend ϕ , γ and σ to automorphisms of R by setting $\phi(y) = y$ and $\gamma(y) = \sigma(y) = \rho y$ for some $\rho \in \mathbb{K}^*$. Let $s = y$ which is regular and ϕ -normal in R . We may identify R/yR with A while $\overline{\phi}$, $\overline{\gamma}$ and $\overline{\sigma}$ may be identified with the original automorphisms ϕ , γ and σ of A . For $t \in R$, the sequence (y, t) is $(\phi, \overline{\gamma^{-1}})$ -normalizing if and

only if $t \equiv u \pmod{yR}$ for some γ^{-1} -normal element u in A . Let u be such an element. The y -locally inner σ -derivation δ_u of R induced by $y^{-1}u$ is such that, for $a \in A$,

$$\delta(a) = y^{-1}(ua - \gamma(a)u) = 0 \text{ and } \delta(y) = y^{-1}(uy - \rho y u) = \phi(u) - \rho u,$$

so the Ore extension $S = R[x; \sigma, \delta]$ is the extension of R generated by x and y subject to the relations

$$ya = \phi^{-1}(a)y \text{ and } xa = \sigma(a)x, \text{ for all } a \in A, \text{ and } xy - \rho yx = \phi(u) - \rho u.$$

By Proposition 3.5(iii,iv), the element $w = yx - u$ is normal in S with $wy = \rho yw$, $xw = \rho wx$ and $wa = \gamma(a)$ for all $a \in A$.

The Ore extension S is a *conformal ambiskew polynomial algebra*. The theory of such algebras has been developed, though not from the perspective of normalizing sequences, in a sequence of papers including [19, 20, 22], where the element w is referred to as the *Casimir element*.

The quantum disc in Example 3.7 fits into this picture, with $A = \mathbb{K}$, $\phi(y) = qy$, $\rho = q$, $u = 1$ and $w = yx - 1$. Another example is the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ which arises when A is the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{K}[h]$, $\phi(h) = h - 2$, $\rho = 1$ and $u = -\frac{1}{4}(h + 1)^2$, whence $\gamma = \text{id}_A$, $\sigma = \phi$ and $\delta(y) = h$. This is probably the best-known Ore extension of mixed type. The generating relations are

$$hy = y(h - 2), \quad xh = (h - 2)x, \quad xy - yx = h,$$

though x and y are commonly written as e and f respectively. Thus $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2) = R[x; \phi, \delta]$, where $R = \mathbb{K}[h][y; \phi^{-1}]$, $\phi(h) = h - 2$, $\phi(y) = y$, and δ is the y -locally inner ϕ -derivation of $\mathbb{K}[h][y; \phi^{-1}]$ induced by $y^{-1}u$.

Example 3.10. Here we mention an ambiskew polynomial algebra that will be significant in Example 3.14. Take $A = \mathbb{K}[z, g^{\pm 1}]$, a Laurent polynomial algebra over a polynomial algebra. Let σ be the automorphism of A such that $\sigma(g) = g$ and $\sigma(z) = z + 1$ and let $\phi = \sigma$ so that $\gamma = \text{id}_A$. Let $\rho = 1$ and let $u = z(1 - g)$. Then the ambiskew polynomial algebra S is generated by g, g^{-1}, z, x and y subject to the relations $gg^{-1} = 1 = g^{-1}g$ and $gz = zg$ together with

$$xg = gx, \quad yg = gy, \quad xz = zx + x, \quad yz = zy - y, \quad xy - yx = 1 - g.$$

The Casimir element $w = yx - z(1 - g)$ is central and, if $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$, [19, Lemma 1.6] is applicable to show that $Z(S) = \mathbb{K}[g^{\pm 1}, w]$.

Examples 3.11. Here we present a class of examples illustrating the role that locally inner skew derivations can play in the analysis of skew derivations of algebras with known normal elements. They also provide examples of the situation of Proposition 3.5(iii) in which $\sigma(t) \neq \phi(t)$ so that the element b such that $xw = w(\nu x + b)$ for some $\nu \in \mathbb{K}$ is non-zero.

Let $h \in \mathbb{K}[x]$, let ∂ be the derivation hd/dx of $\mathbb{K}[x]$ and let R_h be the Ore extension $\mathbb{K}[x][y; \partial]$. Thus R_h is the \mathbb{K} -algebra generated by x and y subject to the relation $yx = xy + h$. The algebras R_h , which are embeddable in the first Weyl algebra A_1 , were studied by Benkart,

Lopes and Ondrus in [7, 8, 9]. The automorphisms and derivations of R_h were determined in [7] and [9] respectively but less appears to be known about the skew derivations.

We shall only consider the case where $0 \neq h \in x\mathbb{K}[x]$. If \mathbb{K} is algebraically closed, the general case where $\deg h \geq 1$ reduces to this case on replacement of the generator x by $x - \lambda$ for a zero λ of h , see [7, Theorem 8.2(i)]. In this case, let $R = R_h$ and let $f \in \mathbb{K}[x]$ be such that $h = xf$. Then x is normal in R with normalizing automorphism ϕ such that $\phi(y) = y + f$ and $\phi(x) = x$. Given $p \in \mathbb{K}[x]$, there is an automorphism σ_p of R such that $\sigma_p(y) = y + p$ and $\sigma_p(x) = x$, see [7, Section 8.1]. Let $\sigma = \sigma_p$ and note that $\phi = \sigma_f$. This fits into the situation of Subsection 3.1 with $s = x$, $\gamma = \sigma_{p-f}$ and $\nu = 1$. We shall determine the space $\text{InnDer}_{\sigma,x}(R)$ of x -locally inner σ -derivations of R .

If $p - f \notin x\mathbb{K}[x]$ then $\bar{\gamma} \neq \text{id}_{\bar{R}}$ whereas \bar{R} is commutative so \bar{R} cannot have a non-zero $\bar{\gamma}$ -normal element and, by Proposition 3.3, $\text{InnDer}_{\sigma,x}(R) = \text{InnDer}_{\sigma}(R)$. So henceforth we shall assume that $p - f \in x\mathbb{K}[x]$, $p - f = xd$, say, and hence that $\bar{\gamma} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{K}[\bar{y}]}$.

By Corollary 3.4, $T(R, \sigma, x) = \pi^{-1}(Z(\bar{R})) = R$ so $\text{InnDer}_{\sigma,x}(R) = \text{InnDer}_{\sigma}(R) \oplus D$, where D is spanned by the x -locally inner σ -derivations δ_k induced by $x^{-1}y^k$, $k \geq 0$.

By Proposition 3.5(i) and [16, Exercise 2K],

$$\delta_k(x) = x^{-1}(y^k x - xy^k) = x^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{i} \partial^{k-i}(x) y^i,$$

whence

$$\delta_k(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{i} a_i y^i \in R,$$

where $a_i = x^{-1} \partial^{k-i}(x) = fd/dx(\partial^{k-i-1}(x))$. By Proposition 3.5(i),

$$\delta_k(y) = x^{-1}(y^{k+1} - (y + p - f)y^k) = -dy^k.$$

By Proposition 3.5(iii), the element $w := xz - y^k$ is normal in the Ore extension $R[z; \sigma, \delta_k]$ with

$$wx = xw, \quad wy = (x + (p - f)y)w \text{ and } zw = w(z - b),$$

where $b = x^{-1}(y^k - (y + f - p)y^k) \in R$. If $k = 0$ then $b = 0$ and if $k = 1$ then $b = x^{-1}p = d$.

The next two examples are special cases of the algebras R_h in Examples 3.11, namely the enveloping algebra of the 2-dimensional non-abelian solvable Lie algebra, in Example 3.12, and the Jordan plane, in Example 3.13.

Example 3.12. In Example 3.11, let $h = x$ so that $f = 1$ and the defining relation for $R = R_x$ is $yx = xy + x$ as in Examples 3.11. If $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{x\mathbb{K}[x]}$ then $\text{InnDer}_{\sigma_p,x}(R) = \text{InnDer}_{\sigma_p}(R)$. If $p = dx + 1$, where $d \in \mathbb{K}[x]$, then $\text{InnDer}_{\sigma_p,x}(R) = \text{InnDer}_{\sigma_p}(R) \oplus D$, where D is spanned by the x -locally inner σ_p -derivations δ_k induced by $x^{-1}y^k$, $k \geq 0$. Here $a_i = 1$ for $0 \leq i < k$, so $\delta_k(x) = (y + 1)^k - y^k$ and $\delta_k(y) = -dy^k$.

There is a more general class of automorphisms σ of R_x , namely those for which $\sigma(x) = \lambda x$ and $\sigma(y) = y + p$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $p \in \mathbb{K}[x]$. For such an automorphism σ , it is again

the case that if $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{x\mathbb{K}[x]}$ then every x -locally inner σ_p -derivation is inner while if $p = dx + 1$, where $d \in \mathbb{K}[x]$, then the space of x -locally inner σ_p -derivations is spanned by the inner σ_p -derivations and the x -locally inner σ_p -derivations δ_k induced by $x^{-1}y^k$, $k \geq 0$. Here $\delta_k(x) = (y + 1)^k - \lambda y^k$ and $\delta_k(y) = -dy^k$.

Example 3.13. By [7, Lemma 3.1], $R_{\lambda x^2} \simeq R_{x^2}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}^*$. The *Jordan plane* \mathcal{J} is presented by some authors, for example [7, 6], as R_{x^2} and by others, for example [3, 11], as $R_{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}$. We shall use the latter presentation. Thus $yx - xy = -\frac{x^2}{2}$ and, in the notation of Example 3.11, $f = -\frac{x}{2}$ and $\partial = -\frac{x^2}{2} \frac{d}{dx}$. If $p \notin x\mathbb{K}[x]$ then $p - f \notin x\mathbb{K}[x]$ and $\text{InnDer}_{\sigma, x}(\mathcal{J}) = \text{InnDer}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{J})$ so we shall assume that $p \in x\mathbb{K}[x]$ and write $d = x^{-1}(p - f)$ as in Example 3.11. From Example 3.11, we know that $\text{InnDer}_{\sigma, x}(\mathcal{J}) = \text{InnDer}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{J}) \oplus D$, where D is spanned by the x -locally inner σ -derivations δ_k induced by $x^{-1}y^k$, $k \geq 0$, and that each $\delta_k(y) = -dy^k$. An easy induction shows that $fd/dx(\partial^m(x)) = \frac{(m+1)!}{(-2)^{m+1}}x^{m+1}$ so

$$\delta_k(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{k!}{i!(-2)^{k-i}} x^{k-i} y^i.$$

The Jordan plane is a significant example in the theory of \mathbb{N}_0 -graded algebras. The case where the Ore extension $S = \mathcal{J}[z; \sigma_p, \delta_k]$ is \mathbb{N}_0 -graded, with x, y and z of degree 1, is where $k = 2$ and $p = \beta x$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{K}$. Here the defining relations are

$$yx = xy - \frac{1}{2}x^2, \quad zy = (y + \beta x)z - (\frac{1}{2} + \beta)y^2 \text{ and } zx = xz - xy + \frac{x^2}{2}$$

and the elements x and $w := xz - y^2$ are normal.

Example 3.14. The *Drinfeld double*, \mathcal{D} , of the Jordan plane is a fascinating \mathbb{K} -algebra, with generators x, y, g, ζ, u, v , that has been studied by Andruskiewitsch, Dumas and Pena Pollastri in [3] and by Brown and Stafford in [11]. The generators listed above may be adjoined, in various orders, either through an Ore extension or, in the case of g , by a skew Laurent extension. We shall assume that $\text{char } \mathbb{K} = 0$.

The subalgebra generated by x and y is the Jordan plane \mathcal{J} as in Example 3.13. We shall present a construction of \mathcal{D} from \mathcal{J} in four steps, three of which involve x -locally inner skew derivations. This offers a different perspective to that in [3] and [11], where the existence of the appropriate skew derivations is established using the diamond lemma and a PBW basis.

(i) In Example 3.13, take $p = 0$ and $k = 1$ and let δ be the x -locally inner derivation $-2\delta_1$ induced by $-2x^{-1}y$. Then $\delta(x) = x$ and $\delta(y) = y$ and the subalgebra \mathcal{L} generated by x, y and ζ is $\mathcal{J}[\zeta; \delta]$, which has defining relations

$$yx = xy - \frac{1}{2}x^2, \quad \zeta x = x\zeta + x \text{ and } \zeta y = y\zeta + y. \quad (1)$$

(ii) To adjoin g , let σ_x be the automorphism of \mathcal{J} such that $\sigma_x(x) = x$ and $\sigma(y) = y + x$. Using (1), we see that σ_x can be extended to an automorphism of \mathcal{L} by setting $\sigma_x(\zeta) = \zeta$. The subalgebra \mathcal{M} generated by x, y, ζ, g and g^{-1} is then $\mathcal{L}[g^{\pm 1}; \sigma_x]$, so that its defining relations are (1) together with

$$gx = xg, \quad gy = (y + x)g, \quad g\zeta = \zeta g \text{ and } gg^{-1} = 1 = g^{-1}g. \quad (2)$$

(iii) With a view to the adjunction of u , we may use (1) and (2) to check that there is an automorphism σ of \mathcal{M} such that

$$\sigma(x) = x, \quad \sigma(y) = y, \quad \sigma(\zeta) = \zeta + 1 \text{ and } \sigma(g) = g.$$

Observe that x is normal in \mathcal{M} with normalizing automorphism ϕ such that

$$\phi(x) = x, \quad \phi(y) = y - \frac{1}{2}x, \quad \phi(\zeta) = \zeta + 1 \text{ and } \phi(g) = g,$$

whence, modulo $x\mathcal{M}$, $\overline{\phi^{-1}\sigma} = \text{id}$. Note also that $\mathcal{M}/x\mathcal{M}$ is a domain, namely the Laurent polynomial algebra in \bar{y} over a copy of the enveloping algebra R_x in Example 3.12 with generators \bar{y} and $\bar{\zeta}$. As $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$, $Z(R_x) = \mathbb{K}$ and $Z(\mathcal{M}/x\mathcal{M}) = \mathbb{K}[\bar{y}^{\pm 1}]$. By Corollary 3.4, $T(\mathcal{M}, \sigma, x) = \pi^{-1}(Z(\overline{\mathcal{M}})) = x\mathcal{M} + \mathbb{K}[g^{\pm 1}]$. In particular there is an x -locally inner σ -derivation induced by $x^{-1}t$, where $t = -2(g+1)$. By Proposition 3.5(i), $\delta(x) = 0 = \delta(u) = \delta(\zeta)$ and $\delta(y) = 1 - g$ so the relations for the Ore extension $\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{M}[u; \sigma, \delta]$ are those from (1) and (2), together with

$$ux = xu, \quad uy - yu = 1 - g, \quad u\zeta - \zeta u = u \text{ and } ug = gu. \quad (3)$$

Proposition 3.5(iii) is applicable to check that the element $q := ux + 2(1+g)$ is normal in \mathcal{N} with normalizing automorphism ρ such that

$$\rho(x) = x, \quad \rho(y) = y - \frac{1}{2}x, \quad \rho(\zeta) = \zeta, \quad \rho(g) = g \text{ and } \rho(u) = u, \quad (4)$$

from which it follows that $g^{-1}q^2$ is central in \mathcal{N} .

(iv) It follows from (1), (2) and (3) that there is an automorphism τ of \mathcal{N} such that

$$\tau(x) = x, \quad \tau(y) = y, \quad \tau(\zeta) = \zeta + 1, \quad \tau(g) = g \text{ and } \tau(u) = u$$

and that x remains normal in \mathcal{N} with its normalizing automorphism ϕ now extended so that $\phi(u) = u$. On $\mathcal{N}/x\mathcal{N}$, $\overline{\phi} = \overline{\tau}$, so every x -locally inner τ -derivation of \mathcal{N} is induced by $x^{-1}t$ for some $t \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $t + x\mathcal{N} \in Z(\mathcal{N}/x\mathcal{N})$. Modulo $x\mathcal{N}$,

$$g\zeta \equiv \zeta g, \quad gy \equiv yg, \quad ug \equiv gu, \quad y\zeta \equiv (\zeta - 1)y, \quad u\zeta \equiv (\zeta + 1)u \text{ and } uy - yu \equiv 1 - g,$$

from which it follows that $\mathcal{N}/x\mathcal{N}$ is isomorphic to the ambiskew polynomial algebra S of Example 3.10, with the elements $c := uy + (g-1)\zeta$ and g being such that $c + x\mathcal{N}$ and $g + x\mathcal{N}$ correspond to the central elements z and g of S . Hence, as $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$, $T(\mathcal{N}, \tau, x) = x\mathcal{N} + \mathbb{K}[g^{\pm 1}, c]$. In particular, there is an x -locally inner τ -derivation δ of \mathcal{N} induced by $x^{-1}(2(g+1) - c + \frac{1}{2}xu\zeta)$. Proposition 3.5(i) can be applied to show that

$$\delta(x) = 1 - g + xu, \quad \delta(y) = yu - g\zeta, \quad \delta(g) = gu, \quad \delta(\zeta) = 0, \quad \delta(u) = -\frac{1}{2}u^2.$$

The algebra \mathcal{D} is then the Ore extension $\mathcal{N}[v; \tau, \delta]$ and its defining relations are (1), (2), (3) together with

$$vx = xv + 1 - g + xu, \quad vy = yv + yu - g\zeta, \quad vg - gv = gu, \quad v\zeta = \zeta v + v, \text{ and } vu = uv - \frac{1}{2}u^2.$$

Proposition 3.5(iv) is applicable to show that the element

$$s := xv + uy + (g-1)\zeta - 2(g+1) - \frac{1}{2}ux\zeta$$

is normal in \mathcal{D} with normalizing automorphism ρ as in (4) but now extended to \mathcal{D} by setting $\rho(v) = v$. It follows that $g^{-1}q^2$, $g^{-1}s^2$ and $g^{-1}qs$ are central in \mathcal{D} . It is shown in [11] that $g^{-1}q^2$, $g^{-1}s^2$ and $g^{-1}qs$ generate the centre of \mathcal{D} .

4. SKEW DERIVATIONS OF THE QUANTUM TORUS

4.1. Notation. Throughout this section, $n \geq 2$ is an integer, $Q = (q_{ij})$ is a multiplicatively antisymmetric $n \times n$ matrix over \mathbb{K} , \mathcal{T} is the quantum torus $\mathcal{O}_Q((\mathbb{K}^*)^n)$, $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^n$ and σ is the toric automorphism σ_Λ of \mathcal{T} .

The quantum torus \mathcal{T} is \mathbb{Z}^n -graded with, for $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, the \mathbf{d} -component $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{d}}$ being the 1-dimensional space $\mathbb{K}\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}}$, where $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}}$ denotes the monomial $x_1^{d_1} x_2^{d_2} \dots x_n^{d_n}$. For $1 \leq j \leq n$, let \mathbf{e}_j be the element of \mathbb{Z}^n with j -component 1 and all other components 0. Thus $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{e}_j} = x_j$.

4.2. Homogeneous skew derivations.

Definition 4.1. Let $(G, +)$ be a commutative monoid and let $R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} R_g$ be a G -graded \mathbb{K} -algebra with a G -graded automorphism σ . For $g \in G$, a σ -derivation δ is *homogeneous of weight g* if $\delta(R_h) \subseteq R_{h+g}$ for all $h \in G$. We shall denote by $\text{Der}_{\sigma,g}(A)$ the \mathbb{K} -vector space of all homogeneous σ -derivations of R of weight g .

Lemma 4.2. *With G , R and σ as in Definition 4.1, let δ be a σ -derivation of R , let $g \in G$ and let $\delta_g : R \rightarrow R$ be the linear map such that, for all $h \in G$ and all $r \in R_h$, $\delta_g(r) = \pi_{g+h}(\delta(r))$, where π_{g+h} is the projection from R to R_{g+h} . Then δ_g is a homogeneous σ -derivation of R of weight g and $\delta = \sum_{g \in G} \delta_g$.*

Proof. Let $h, j \in G$, let $r \in R_h$ and let $s \in R_j$. Then

$$\delta(rs) = \sigma(r)\delta(s) + \delta(r)s.$$

Noting that $rs \in R_{h+j}$, $\sigma(r) \in R_h$ and $s \in R_j$ and applying π_{g+h+j} , we see that

$$\delta_g(rs) = \sigma(r)\delta_g(s) + \delta_g(r)s.$$

By linearity, it follows that δ_g is a σ -derivation of R and it is clearly homogeneous of weight g . It is also clear that $\delta = \sum_{g \in G} \delta_g$. \square

Remarks 4.3. Let $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and let δ be a σ -derivation of the \mathbb{Z}^n -graded \mathbb{K} -algebra \mathcal{T} . Then

- (i) δ is a homogeneous σ -derivation of weight \mathbf{d} if and only if $\delta(x_j) \in \mathbb{K}\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}+\mathbf{e}_j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$.
- (ii) δ is a unique sum of finitely many homogeneous σ -derivations of \mathcal{T} , the weights that occur being those $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ for which there exists j , with $1 \leq j \leq n$, such that $\delta(x_j)$ has a non-zero component of degree $\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{e}_j$. For each such \mathbf{d} , $\delta_{\mathbf{d}}$ will be called the *homogeneous component of δ of weight \mathbf{d}* .

Definition 4.4. We shall say that a subspace V of $\text{Der}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{T})$ is *graded* if, for all $\delta \in \text{Der}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{T})$, it is the case that $\delta \in V$ if and only if $\delta_{\mathbf{d}} \in V$ for all $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

It is easy to see that $\text{InnDer}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{T})$ is a graded subspace of $\text{Der}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{T})$.

Notation 4.5. For $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$, let

$$q_j(\mathbf{d}) = \prod_{k=1}^n q_{kj}^{d_k}, \quad r_j(\mathbf{d}) = \prod_{k=j+1}^n q_{kj}^{d_k} \quad \text{and} \quad s_j(\mathbf{d}) = \prod_{\ell=1}^{j-1} q_{j\ell}^{d_\ell}.$$

Note that q_j , r_j and s_j are group homomorphisms from \mathbb{Z}^n to \mathbb{K}^* and that $q_j = r_j s_j^{-1}$.

Lemma 4.6. Let $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, let δ be the inner σ -derivation of \mathcal{T} induced by $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}}$ and let α be the inner automorphism of \mathcal{T} induced by $(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}})^{-1}$ so that, for all $t \in \mathcal{T}$, $\alpha(t) = \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}} t (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}})^{-1}$. Let $1 \leq j \leq n$.

- (i) $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}} x_j = r_j(\mathbf{d}) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{e}_j}$, $x_j \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}} = s_j(\mathbf{d}) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{e}_j}$ and $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}} x_j = q_j(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}}) x_j \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}}$.
- (ii) $\delta(x_j) = (r_j(\mathbf{d}) - \lambda_j s_j(\mathbf{d})) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{e}_j}$ and so $\delta = 0$ if and only if $q_j(\mathbf{d}) = \lambda_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$.
- (iii) $\alpha(x_j) = q_j(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}}) x_j$.
- (iv) $\alpha = \sigma$ if and only if $q_j(\mathbf{d}) = \lambda_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Proof. (i) is immediate from the defining relations for \mathcal{T} and the definitions of $q_j(\mathbf{d})$, $r_j(\mathbf{d})$ and $s_j(\mathbf{d})$ while (ii), (iii) and (iv) all follow directly from (i). \square

Lemma 4.7. Let δ be a homogeneous σ -derivation δ of \mathcal{T} of weight \mathbf{d} and, for $1 \leq j \leq n$, let $a_j \in \mathbb{K}$ be such that $\delta(x_j) = a_j \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{e}_j}$. Then

$$a_i(r_j(\mathbf{d}) - \lambda_j s_j(\mathbf{d})) = a_j(r_i(\mathbf{d}) - \lambda_i s_i(\mathbf{d})) \quad (\dagger)$$

when $1 \leq i < j \leq n$.

Proof. Let $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. Note that, as $i < j$, $s_i(\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{e}_j) = s_i(\mathbf{d})$, $r_j(\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{e}_i) = r_j(\mathbf{d})$, $r_i(\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{e}_j) = q_{ij}^{-1} r_i(\mathbf{d})$ and $s_j(\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{e}_i) = q_{ij}^{-1} s_j(\mathbf{d})$. By Lemma 4.6(ii),

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(x_i x_j) &= \lambda_i x_i a_j \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{e}_j} + a_i \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{e}_i} x_j = (\lambda_i a_j s_i(\mathbf{d}) + a_i r_j(\mathbf{d})) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_j} \quad \text{and} \\ \delta(q_{ij} x_j x_i) &= q_{ij} (\lambda_j x_j a_i \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{e}_i} + a_j \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{e}_j} x_i) = (\lambda_j a_i s_j(\mathbf{d}) + a_j r_i(\mathbf{d})) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_j}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\lambda_i a_j s_i(\mathbf{d}) + a_i r_j(\mathbf{d}) = \lambda_j a_i s_j(\mathbf{d}) + a_j r_i(\mathbf{d})$$

and, on rearrangement, that (\dagger) holds. \square

Proposition 4.8. Let $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

- (i) Suppose that $q_j(\mathbf{d}) \neq \lambda_j$ for some j with $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then $\text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{T})$ is one-dimensional, spanned by the inner σ -derivation induced by $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}}$.
- (ii) Suppose that $q_j(\mathbf{d}) = \lambda_j$ for all j with $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then σ is the inner automorphism of \mathcal{T} induced by $\mathbf{x}^{-\mathbf{d}}$ and $\text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{T})$ is n -dimensional with a basis consisting of n outer σ -derivations ∂_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, such that $\partial_j(x_j) = \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{e}_j}$ and $\partial_j(x_i) = 0$ if $i \neq j$.

Proof. (i) Let $\delta \in \text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{T})$. There exist $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $\delta(x_i) = a_i \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{e}_i}$. Let $b = a_j (r_j(\mathbf{d}) - \lambda_j s_j(\mathbf{d}))^{-1}$. By Lemma 4.7, $a_i = b (r_i(\mathbf{d}) - \lambda_i s_i(\mathbf{d}))$ for all i and, by Lemma 4.6(ii), δ is the inner σ -derivation of \mathcal{T} induced by $b \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}}$.

(ii) By Lemma 4.6(iv), σ is the inner automorphism of \mathcal{T} induced by $(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}})^{-1}$.

It follows from [12, 0.8,p41] that any Ore extension $A[z; \beta]$ of automorphism type has a derivation δ_z such that $\delta_z(A) = 0$ and $\delta_z(z) = z$. Hence, for $1 \leq j \leq n$, there is a derivation δ_j of the quantum space $\mathcal{O}_Q((\mathbb{K}^n))$ such that $\delta(x_j) = x_j$ and $\delta(x_i) = 0$ if $i \neq j$. By [15, Lemma 1.3], δ_j extends to a derivation of \mathcal{T} . Let $\partial_j = r_j(\mathbf{d})^{-1}x^{\mathbf{d}}\delta_j$. Applying Proposition 2.3(i) and Lemma 4.6(i), we see that ∂_j is a σ -derivation of \mathcal{T} such that $\partial_j(x_j) = \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}+\mathbf{e}_j}$ and $\partial_j(x_i) = 0$ if $i \neq j$. By Lemma 4.6(ii), each ∂_j is outer.

It is clear that the σ -derivations ∂_j are linearly independent and that if δ is any homogeneous σ -derivation of weight \mathbf{d} then $\delta = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \partial_i$ where each $a_i \in \mathbb{K}$ is such that $\delta(x_i) = a_i \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}+\mathbf{e}_i}$. \square

Corollary 4.9. *If σ is outer on \mathcal{T} then every σ -derivation of \mathcal{T} is inner.*

Proof. Suppose that σ is outer. If δ is an outer σ -derivation of \mathcal{T} then it has an outer homogeneous component $\delta_{\mathbf{d}}$ for some $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. By Proposition 4.8(i), $q_j(\mathbf{d}) = \lambda_j$ for all j so, by Lemma 4.6(iv), σ is inner, contradicting the supposition. \square

Corollary 4.10. *Suppose that σ is inner, induced by $\mathbf{x}^{-\mathbf{d}}$. Then $\text{Der}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{T})$ is the direct sum of $\text{InnDer}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{T})$ and the $Z(\mathcal{T})$ -submodule M of $\text{Der}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{T})$ generated by the n σ -derivations ∂_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, such that $\partial_j(x_j) = \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}+\mathbf{e}_j}$ and $\partial_j(x_i) = 0$ if $i \neq j$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.6(iv) and Proposition 4.8(ii), $\text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{T})$ is spanned by the n σ -derivations ∂_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$. Let $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and let $c = \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{f}}\mathbf{x}^{-\mathbf{d}}$. Then σ is inner, induced by $\mathbf{x}^{-\mathbf{f}}$, if and only if $c \in Z(\mathcal{T})$. If $c \in Z(\mathcal{T})$ then, for $1 \leq j \leq n$, $c\partial_j(x_i) = 0$ when $i \neq j$ and $c\partial_j(x_j) \in \mathbb{K}^* \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{f}+\mathbf{e}_j}$ so, by Proposition 4.8(ii), $\text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{f}}(\mathcal{T})$ is spanned by the n σ -derivations $c\partial_j$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. If $c \notin Z(\mathcal{T})$ then $\text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{f}}(\mathcal{T})$ is 1-dimensional, spanned by the inner σ -derivation induced by $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{f}}$. Combining these, we see that $\text{Der}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{T}) = \text{InnDer}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{T}) + M$. As $Z(\mathcal{T})$ is a graded subalgebra of \mathcal{T} , M is a graded subspace of $\text{Der}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{T})$. As $\text{InnDer}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{T})$ is also graded, so too is $\text{InnDer}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{T}) \cap M$. It then follows from Proposition 4.8(ii) that $\text{Der}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{T}) = \text{InnDer}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{T}) \oplus M$. \square

Remark 4.11. Taking each $\lambda_j = 1$ and each $d_i = 0$ in Proposition 4.8(ii), so that $\sigma = \text{id}_{\mathcal{T}}$, σ -derivations are derivations and $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}} = 1$, we see that $\text{Der}(\mathcal{T})$ is the direct sum of $\text{InnDer}(\mathcal{T})$ and the $Z(\mathcal{T})$ -submodule of $\text{Der}(\mathcal{T})$ generated by n derivations ∂_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, such that $\partial_j(x_j) = x_j$ and $\partial_j(x_i) = 0$ if $i \neq j$.

Corollary 4.12. *Let $S = \mathcal{T}[x; \sigma; \delta]$ be an Ore extension of the quantum torus \mathcal{T} , where σ is toric. Then S is isomorphic either to an Ore extension $\mathcal{T}[y; \sigma]$ of automorphism type or to an Ore extension $\mathcal{T}[y; \delta']$ of derivation type. In the latter case, there exist $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n \in Z(\mathcal{T})$, such that $\delta' = z_1 \partial_1 + z_2 \partial_2 + \dots + z_n \partial_n$, where the derivations ∂_j are as in Remark 4.11.*

Proof. If σ is outer then δ is inner by Corollary 4.9 and $S \simeq \mathcal{T}[y; \sigma]$ by Proposition 2.3(iii). If σ is inner then, by Proposition 2.3(ii), $S \simeq \mathcal{T}[y; \delta']$, where, by Remark 4.11 the derivation δ' is as stated. \square

Corollary 4.13. *Let $S = \mathcal{T}[x; \sigma; \delta]$ be an Ore extension of the quantum torus \mathcal{T} , where σ is toric. If σ is inner and $\delta \neq 0$, then the quotient division algebra D of S contains a copy*

of the first Weyl algebra A_1 . If σ is outer or if $\delta = 0$ then the quotient division algebra D of S is the quotient division algebra of a quantum torus $\mathcal{O}_{Q'}((\mathbb{K}^*)^{n+1})$.

Proof. If σ is inner and $\delta \neq 0$ then, by Corollary 4.12, we may assume that $S = \mathcal{T}[x; \delta]$, that there exist $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n \in Z(\mathcal{T})$ such that, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\delta(x_i) = z_i x_i$ and that $z_i \neq 0$ for some i . Let $\mathcal{S} = Z(\mathcal{T}) \setminus \{0\}$, the set of non-zero central elements of \mathcal{T} . Thus \mathcal{S} is a left and right regular Ore set in \mathcal{T} and $\sigma(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{S}$. Let B be the localization $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{S}^{-1} = \mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{T}$. By [15, Lemmas 1.3 and 1.4], δ extends to a derivation of B , \mathcal{S} is a right and left regular Ore set in S and $B[x; \delta]$ is the localization of S at \mathcal{S} . Let $u = z_i x_i \in U(B)$. By Proposition 2.3, $B[x; \delta] = B[z; \alpha, \delta']$, where $z = u^{-1}x$, α is the inner automorphism of B induced by u^{-1} , and δ' is the σ -derivation $u^{-1}\delta$. Hence $\delta'(x_i) = 1$. Let $C = \mathbb{K}[x_i]$. Then, on C , α restricts to id_C and δ' restricts to d/dx_i , whence the subalgebra generated by z and x_i is a copy of the Weyl algebra A_1 .

If σ is outer or if $\delta = 0$ then, as in the proof of Corollary 4.12, $S \simeq \mathcal{T}[y; \sigma]$. The skew Laurent polynomial algebra $\mathcal{T}[y^{\pm 1}; \sigma]$, is a quantum torus of the form $\mathcal{O}_{Q'}((\mathbb{K}^*)^{n+1})$ and has the same quotient division algebra as S . \square

Remark 4.14. If $\text{char } \mathbb{K} = 0$ then, by [27, Théorème 5.2.2], the quotient division algebra of a quantum torus $\mathcal{O}_Q((\mathbb{K}^*)^m)$ does not contain a copy of the Weyl algebra A_1 . Hence, in this case, the converse of Corollary 4.13 is true and the quotient division algebra D of S contains a copy of A_1 if and only if σ is inner and $\delta \neq 0$.

5. SKEW DERIVATIONS OF QUANTUM SPACE

Throughout this section, n , Q , \mathcal{T} , Λ and σ will be as in Section 4 and \mathcal{A} will denote quantum space $\mathcal{O}_Q(\mathbb{K}^n)$. For convenience, the restriction of σ to \mathcal{A} will also be denoted σ . The \mathbb{Z}^n -grading on \mathcal{T} restricts to an \mathbb{N}_0^n -grading on \mathcal{A} . Each x_i is normal in \mathcal{A} with the normalizing automorphism ϕ_{x_i} being such that $\phi_{x_i}(x_j) = q_{ji}x_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$.

By [15, Lemma 1.3], every σ -derivation δ of \mathcal{A} extends uniquely to a σ -derivation of \mathcal{T} which, for convenience, we shall also denote by δ . Consequently

$$\text{Der}_\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \{\delta|_{\mathcal{A}} : \delta \in \text{Der}_\sigma(\mathcal{T}) \text{ and } \delta(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}\}$$

and, for $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$,

$$\text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{A}) = \{\delta|_{\mathcal{A}} : \delta \in \text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{T}) \text{ and } \delta(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}\}.$$

Note that $\text{Der}_\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ is a graded subspace of $\text{Der}_\sigma(\mathcal{T})$ so, to determine $\text{Der}_\sigma(\mathcal{A})$, it will suffice to determine $\text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{A})$ for each $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. The following definition relates to those elements of $\mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \mathbb{N}_0^n$ that can occur as weights of non-zero homogeneous σ -derivations of \mathcal{A} .

Definition 5.1. Let $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and let $1 \leq j \leq n$. We say that \mathbf{d} is *j-exceptional* if $d_j = -1$ and $d_i \geq 0$ whenever $i \neq j$.

Lemma 5.2.

- (i) $\text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{A}) = \text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{T})$ for all $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$.
- (ii) If $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \mathbb{N}_0^n$ and $\text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{A}) \neq 0$ then \mathbf{d} is *j-exceptional* for some j , $1 \leq j \leq n$.
- (iii) If \mathbf{d} is *j-exceptional* then the inner σ -derivation δ of \mathcal{T} induced by $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}}$ restricts to an x_j -locally inner σ -derivation of \mathcal{A} if and only if $q_i(\mathbf{d}) = \lambda_i$ whenever $i \neq j$.

Proof. (i) is clear. For (ii), let $0 \neq \delta \in \text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{A})$. As $\mathbf{d} \notin \mathbb{N}_0^n$, there exists j , $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $d_j < 0$. For $i \neq j$, if $\delta(x_i) \neq 0$ then $\delta(x_i) \in \mathbb{K}^* \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{e}_i}$ so, as $d_j < 0$, $\delta(x_i) \notin \mathcal{A}$. Hence $\delta(x_i) = 0$. As $\delta \neq 0$ we must have $\delta(x_j) \neq 0$. Then $\delta(x_j) \in \mathbb{K}^* \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{e}_j} \cap \mathcal{A}$ so $d_i \geq 0$ whenever $i \neq j$ and $d_j = -1$. Thus \mathbf{d} is j -exceptional.

(iii) Note that $\mathbf{d} = x_j^{-1} t$ where $t = x_j \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}} \in \mathcal{A}$. Let ϕ be the normalizing automorphism of A induced by x_j and let $\gamma = \phi^{-1} \sigma$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\phi(x_i) = q_{ij} x_i$, $\gamma(x_i) = q_{ji} \lambda_i x_i$ and $x_i t \equiv q_i(\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{e}_j) t x_i \pmod{x_j \mathcal{A}}$. As $q_i(\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{e}_j) = q_{ji} q_i(\mathbf{d})$ the result follows from Proposition 3.3. \square

Proposition 5.3. *Let $1 \leq j \leq n$, let \mathbf{d} be j -exceptional and let δ be the inner derivation of \mathcal{T} induced by $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}}$.*

- (i) *If $q_j(\mathbf{d}) \neq \lambda_j$ and $q_i(\mathbf{d}) = \lambda_i$ whenever $i \neq j$, then $\text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{A})$ is 1-dimensional spanned by δ and $\delta(x_i) = 0$ when $i \neq j$.*
- (ii) *If $q_i(\mathbf{d}) \neq \lambda_i$ for some $i \neq j$ then $\text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{A}) = 0$.*
- (iii) *If $q_i(\mathbf{d}) = \lambda_i$ for all i then σ is inner on \mathcal{T} and $\text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{A})$ is 1-dimensional spanned by an outer σ -derivation ∂_j of \mathcal{A} such that $\partial_j(x_j) = \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{e}_j}$ and $\partial_j(x_i) = 0$ when $i \neq j$.*

Proof. (i),(ii) By Proposition 4.8(i), $\text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{T})$ is one-dimensional, spanned by δ . In (i), by Lemma 4.6(ii), $\delta(x_j) \neq 0$ and $\delta(x_i) = 0$ when $i \neq j$, and, by Lemma 5.2(iii), $\delta \in \text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{A})$ so $\text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{K} \delta$. In (ii), $\delta \notin \text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{A})$ by Lemma 5.2 so $\text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{A}) = 0$.

(iii) In this case, $\delta = 0$, by Lemma 4.6(ii), and, by Proposition 4.8(ii), $\text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{T})$ is n -dimensional with a basis consisting of the n outer σ -derivations ∂_k , $1 \leq k \leq n$, such that $\partial_k(x_k) = \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{e}_k}$ and $\partial_k(x_i) = 0$ if $i \neq k$. Clearly $\partial_j \in \text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{A})$. For $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{K}$ if $a_i \neq 0$ then $(a_1 \partial_1 + \dots + a_n \partial_n)(x_i) \notin \mathcal{A}$ so $\text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{T}) = \mathbb{K} \partial_j$. \square

Notation 5.4. For $1 \leq j \leq n$, let E_j denote the subspace of $\text{Der}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{A})$ spanned by the homogeneous σ -derivations of j -exceptional weight and let $E = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n E_j$. This is consistent with the use of E in the context of derivations in [1].

Remarks 5.5. (i) By Proposition 5.3, $\text{Der}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{A}) = \text{InnDer}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{A}) \oplus F \oplus E$, where F is spanned by the restrictions to \mathcal{A} of those homogeneous σ -derivations that have weight \mathbf{d} where $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ and σ is inner on the quantum torus \mathcal{T} , induced by $\mathbf{x}^{-\mathbf{d}}$.

(ii) Any non-zero homogeneous σ -derivation δ of j -exceptional weight is either x_j -locally inner or x_j -locally conjugate to a derivation. In [1], where $\sigma = \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}}$, the former possibility does not arise. To see this, suppose that $\sigma = \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}}$, so that $\lambda_i = 1$ for all i , let $1 \leq j \leq n$ and let δ be an x_j -locally inner derivation of \mathcal{A} induced by $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}}$ where $d_j = -1$ and $d_i \geq 0$ if $i \neq j$. When $i \neq j$, $q_i(\mathbf{d}) = \lambda_i = 1$, by Proposition 5.3, so $q_{ji} = \prod_{k \neq j} q_{ki}^{d_k}$, whence $q_j(\mathbf{d}) = \prod_{i \neq j} (\prod_{k \neq j} q_{ki}^{d_k d_i}) = 1$ as $q_{ik} = q_{ki}^{-1}$ when $i \neq k$ and $q_{ii} = 1 = q_{kk}$. By Lemma 4.6(ii), $\delta = 0$.

5.1. Normal elements. Suppose that σ is outer on \mathcal{T} and let δ be an outer homogeneous σ -derivation of \mathcal{A} . Thus there exist $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, and $a, b \in \mathbb{K}^*$, with $b = a s_j(\mathbf{d})$, such that \mathbf{d} is j -exceptional, $q_j(\mathbf{d}) \neq \lambda_j$, $q_i(\mathbf{d}) = \lambda_i$ when $i \neq j$, and δ is x_j -locally inner on \mathcal{A} , induced by $x_j^{-1} t$, where $t = a x_j \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}} = b \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{e}_j} \in \mathcal{A}$. Let S be the Ore extension $\mathcal{A}[z; \sigma, \delta]$,

let \mathcal{A}_j and S_j be the localizations of \mathcal{A} and S , respectively, at the powers of x_j and let $w = x_j z - t \in S$. Let ϕ be the normalizing automorphism induced by x_j and let $\gamma = \phi^{-1}\sigma$. Then S_j is the Ore extension $\mathcal{A}_j[w; \gamma]$ of automorphism type and w is normal in S_j , raising the question of whether w is normal in S . The next result uses Proposition 3.5 to give a positive answer to this question.

Proposition 5.6. *Let the notation be as above,*

- (i) $S_j = \mathcal{A}_j[w; \gamma]$, where γ is the automorphism of \mathcal{A}_j such that, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\gamma(x_i) = \lambda_i q_{ji} x_i$.
- (ii) S_j is the selectively localized quantum space $\mathcal{O}_{Q'}(\mathbb{K}^{n+1})_{(j)}$, where $x_{n+1} = w$ and Q' is the $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ multiplicatively antisymmetric matrix obtained from Q by appending $q'_{(n+1)i} = \lambda_i q_{ji}$ in the final row for $1 \leq i \leq n$.
- (iii) $\phi(t) = \sigma(t)$.
- (iv) w is normal in S with $wz = \lambda_j zw$ and $wx_i = \lambda_i q_{ji} x_i w$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.
- (v) If $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $i \neq j$ then x_i is normal in S .

Proof. Note that, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\phi(x_i) = q_{ij} x_i$, so $\gamma(x_i) = \lambda_i q_{ji}(x_i)$.

(i) is immediate from Proposition 3.5(ii) and (ii) is immediate from (i).

(iii) To simplify the notation, we can assume, by renumbering the canonical generators and, accordingly, the parameters q_{ij} and λ_i , that $j = 1$ and, replacing the generator x_1 by a scalar multiple, we may assume that $a = b = 1$. Thus $t = \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{e}_1} = x_2^{d_2} \dots x_n^{d_n}$.

For $2 \leq i \leq n$, $\lambda_i = q_i(\mathbf{d}) = q_{i1} \prod_{k=2}^n q_{ki}^{d_k}$ so, as $q_{ii} = 1$ and $q_{ki}^{d_k} q_{ik}^{d_k} = 1$ when $k \neq i$,

$$\sigma(t) = \left(\prod_{i=2}^n \lambda_i^{d_i} \right) t = \prod_{i=2}^n \left(q_{i1}^{d_i} \left(\prod_{k=2}^n q_{ki}^{d_k} \right)^{d_i} \right) t = \left(\prod_{i=2}^n q_{i1}^{d_i} \prod_{i,k=2}^n q_{ki}^{d_k d_i} \right) t = \left(\prod_{i=2}^n q_{i1}^{d_i} \right) t = \phi(t).$$

(iv) Given that $\sigma(x_j) = \lambda_j x_j$, this follows from (iii) and Proposition 3.5(iii).

(v) If $i \neq j$ then $x_i \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} x_i$ and $\delta(x_i) = 0$, so $z x_i = \lambda_i x_i z$. Hence $x_i S = S x_i$. \square

5.2. Linear combinations of locally inner σ -derivations. Suppose that σ is outer on \mathcal{T} and let δ be a σ -derivation of \mathcal{A} for which every homogeneous component is outer on \mathcal{A} . Each homogeneous component of δ is x_j -locally inner, of j -exceptional weight, for possibly differing values of j . It is clear that δ is x -locally inner, induced by $x^{-1}v$ for some $v \in \mathcal{A}$, where x is the product, in any order, of the different x_j 's that occur. This subsection is aimed at showing that, as in the case of a single homogeneous x_j -locally inner σ -derivation, $\mathcal{A}[z; \sigma, \delta]$ has a distinguished normal element $xz - v$. To do this we need to know how the condition that $\phi(t) = \sigma(t)$ behaves under the passage from x_j -locally inner σ -derivations to x -locally inner σ -derivations. The next lemma addresses this issue. We use the notation, from Subsection 2.2, whereby ϕ_s denotes the normalizing automorphism of \mathcal{A} induced by a regular normal element s of \mathcal{A} .

Lemma 5.7. *Let $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ be j -exceptional for some j , with $1 \leq j \leq n$, and such that $q_j(\mathbf{d}) \neq \lambda_j$ and, when $i \neq j$, $q_i(\mathbf{d}) = \lambda_i$. Let δ be the x_j -locally inner σ -derivation of \mathcal{A}*

induced by $x_j^{-1}t$, where $t = \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}+\mathbf{e}_j}$. Let m be an integer with $2 \leq m \leq n$ and let j_2, j_3, \dots, j_m be $m-1$ distinct integers such that, for $2 \leq k \leq m$, $1 \leq j_k \leq n$ and $j_k \neq j$. Let $y = x_{j_m} \dots x_{j_2}$, let $x = yx_j$ and let $t' = yt$. Then δ is x -locally inner induced by $x^{-1}t'$ and $\sigma(t') = \phi_x(t')$.

Proof. As $x^{-1}t' = x_j^{-1}t$, δ is x -locally inner induced by $x^{-1}t'$.

Let $\mu = q_j(\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{e}_j)$, which, by Lemma 4.6(i), is the eigenvalue of t for ϕ_{x_j} and hence, by Proposition 5.6(iii), for σ . Therefore $\sigma(t') = \mu \prod_{k=2}^m \lambda_{j_k} t'$. It remains to compute $\phi_x(t') = \phi_x(y)\phi_x(t)$.

As $\phi_{x_j}(y) = \prod_{k=2}^m q_{j_k j} y$ and $\phi_y(y) = y$, we see that $\phi_x(y) = \prod_{k=2}^m q_{j_k j} y$. For $2 \leq k \leq m$, $j_k \neq j$ so, by Proposition 5.3, $q_{j_k}(\mathbf{d}) = \lambda_{j_k}$. By Lemma 4.6(ii),

$$\phi_{x_{j_k}}(t) = q_{j_k}(\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{e}_j)t = q_{j_k}(\mathbf{d})q_{j_k}(\mathbf{e}_j)t = \lambda_{j_k} q_{j_k} t.$$

Hence $\phi_x(t) = \mu \prod_{k=2}^m \lambda_{j_k} q_{j_k} t$. It now follows that $\phi_x(t') = \phi_x(y)\phi_x(t) = \mu \prod_{k=2}^m \lambda_{j_k} t' = \sigma(t')$. \square

Proposition 5.8. *Suppose that σ is outer on \mathcal{T} and let δ be a σ -derivation of \mathcal{A} . There exist a normal element w of the Ore extension $S = \mathcal{A}[z; \sigma, \delta]$ and a subset $J = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, such that, for $x = x_{j_1} x_{j_2} \dots x_{j_m}$, the localization S_x of S at $\{x^i : i \geq 0\}$ is a selectively localized quantized quantum space $\mathcal{O}_{Q'}(\mathbb{K}^{n+1})_{(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m)}$ with $x_{n+1} = w$. Moreover, if $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus J$ then x_i is normal in S .*

Proof. Let δ_1 be the sum of those homogeneous components of δ that are inner on \mathcal{A} and let δ_2 be the sum of those homogeneous components of δ that are outer on \mathcal{A} . Then $\delta = \delta_1 + \delta_2$, δ_1 is inner on \mathcal{A} , induced by a for some $a \in \mathcal{A}$, and, by Proposition 2.3(i) with $s = 1$, $S = \mathcal{A}[z'; \sigma, \delta_2]$, where $z' = z - a$. Hence we may assume that $\delta_1 = 0$. If $\delta = 0$ then the result holds with $m = 0$, $x = 1$ and $w = z$. Hence we may assume that $\delta \neq 0$.

Let j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m be those values of j such that δ has a non-zero homogeneous component that is x_j -locally inner. Let ϕ be the normalizing automorphism of \mathcal{A} induced by x . Note that ϕ is independent of the order of the factors x_{j_k} , as different products are non-zero scalar multiples of each other, and that, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\phi(x_i) = (\prod_{k=1}^m q_{j_k i}) x_i$.

Let ∂ be a non-zero homogeneous component of δ . By Lemma 5.2 and Proposition 5.3, there exists h with $1 \leq h \leq m$, such that ∂ is x_{j_h} -locally inner, induced by $\mu x_{j_h}^{-1} u$ for some $\mu \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and some monomial $u \in \mathcal{A} \setminus x_{j_h} \mathcal{A}$. Let $v = \mu x_{j_h}^{-1} u$ so that $v \in \mathcal{A}$, $\mu x_{j_h}^{-1} u = x^{-1} v$ and, by Lemma 5.7, $\phi(v) = \sigma(v)$. Taking $y = \sum v$ over the non-zero homogeneous components of δ , we see that δ is x -locally inner induced by $x^{-1} y$ and $\phi(y) = \sigma(y)$. By Proposition 3.5, $S_x = \mathcal{A}_x[w; \gamma]$, where $w = xz - y$ and γ is the automorphism of \mathcal{A}_x such that, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\gamma(x_i) = \lambda_i (\prod_{k=1}^m q_{j_k i}) x_i$, and w is normal in S . With $x_{n+1} = w$, S_x is the selectively localized quantum space $\mathcal{O}_{Q'}(\mathbb{K}^{n+1})_{(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m)}$, where Q' is the multiplicatively antisymmetric $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix obtained from Q by appending $q_{(n+1)i} = \lambda_i (\prod_{k=1}^m q_{j_k i})$ in the final row for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

If $i \notin J$ then $\delta_k(x_i) = 0$ for $1 \leq k \leq \ell$, so $\delta(x_i) = 0$ and $zx_i = \lambda_i x_i z$. Also, for $1 \leq j \leq n$, $x_i x_j = q_{ij} x_j x_i$. Hence x_i is normal in S . \square

6. SKEW DERIVATIONS OF SELECTIVELY LOCALIZED QUANTUM SPACES

The methods applied in Section 5 to the quantum space \mathcal{A} are easily adapted to selectively localized quantum spaces $\mathcal{A}_{\langle i_1, \dots, i_k \rangle}$, where $1 \leq k < n$. The integer k will be fixed throughout the section and, to simplify the notation, we assume that $i_\ell = \ell$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq k$ and denote by \mathcal{L} the localization $\mathcal{A}_{\langle 1, \dots, k \rangle}$ of the quantum space \mathcal{A} at the powers of $x_1 x_2 \dots x_k$. Then \mathcal{L} is $(\mathbb{Z}^k \times \mathbb{N}_0^{n-k})$ -graded and, as we have seen for \mathcal{A} ,

$$\text{Der}_\sigma(\mathcal{L}) = \{\delta \in \text{Der}_\sigma(\mathcal{T}) : \delta(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}\}.$$

We present below, without proof, the analogues of the results of Section 5. The proofs are essentially the same as in Section 5, taking account of the distinction between those canonical generators that have been selected for inversion and those that have not. We will need the following generalization of the term *j-exceptional* when working with \mathcal{L} .

Definition 6.1. Let $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and let $k+1 \leq j \leq n$. We say that \mathbf{d} is *j-exceptional* if $d_j = -1$ and $d_i \geq 0$ whenever $k+1 \leq i \leq n$ and $i \neq j$.

The next four results are analogues of Lemma 5.2 and Propositions 5.3, 5.6 and 5.8.

- Lemma 6.2.**
- (i) If $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^k \times \mathbb{N}_0^{n-k}$ then $\text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{L}) = \text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{T})$.
 - (ii) If $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus (\mathbb{Z}^k \times \mathbb{N}_0^{n-k})$ and $\text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{L}) \neq 0$ then \mathbf{d} is *j-exceptional* for some j , $k+1 \leq j \leq n$.
 - (iii) If \mathbf{d} is *j-exceptional* then the inner σ -derivation δ of \mathcal{T} induced by $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}}$ restricts to an x_j -locally inner σ -derivation of \mathcal{L} if and only if $q_i(\mathbf{d}) = \lambda_i$ whenever $i > k$ and $i \neq j$.

Proposition 6.3. Let $k+1 \leq j \leq n$ and let \mathbf{d} be *j-exceptional*.

- (i) If $q_i(\mathbf{d}) = \lambda_i$ for all i with $k+1 \leq i \leq n$ then $\text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{L})$ is 1-dimensional spanned by the σ -derivation ∂_j of \mathcal{L} such that $\partial_j(x_j) = \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{e}_j}$ and $\partial_j(x_i) = 0$ when $i \neq j$.
- (ii) If $q_j(\mathbf{d}) \neq \lambda_j$ and $q_i(\mathbf{d}) = \lambda_i$ whenever $i \neq j$ and $k+1 \leq i \leq n$, then $\text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{L})$ is 1-dimensional spanned by the x_j -locally inner σ -derivation δ of \mathcal{L} induced by $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}}$.
- (iii) If $q_i(\mathbf{d}) \neq \lambda_i$ for some $i \neq j$ with $k+1 \leq i \leq n$ then $\text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{L}) = 0$.

Proposition 6.4. Let $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^k \times \mathbb{N}_0^{n-k}$ be *j-exceptional* for some j , $k+1 \leq j \leq n$, such that $q_j(\mathbf{d}) \neq \lambda_j$ and, when $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $i \neq j$, $q_i(\mathbf{d}) = \lambda_i$. Let δ be the x_j -locally inner σ -derivation of \mathcal{L} induced by $a\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{K}^*$. Let S be the Ore extension $\mathcal{L}[z; \sigma, \delta]$ and let \mathcal{L}_j and S_j denote the localizations of \mathcal{L} and S respectively at $\{x_j^i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Let $t = ax_j\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}} = a\rho\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{e}_j} \in \mathcal{L}$, where $\rho = s_j(\mathbf{d})$, and let $w = x_j z - t$. Then

- (i) $S_j = \mathcal{L}_j[w; \gamma]$ where γ is the automorphism of \mathcal{L}_j such that, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\gamma(x_i) = \lambda_i q_{ji} x_i$.
- (ii) With $x_{n+1} = w$, S_j is the selectively localized quantum space $\mathcal{O}_{Q'}(\mathbb{K}^{n+1})_{\langle 1, 2, \dots, k, j \rangle}$ where Q' is the $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ multiplicatively antisymmetric matrix obtained from Q by appending $q'_{(n+1)i} = \lambda_i q_{ji}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ in the final row.
- (iii) If ϕ is the normalizing automorphism of \mathcal{L} induced by x_j then $\phi(t) = \sigma(t)$.

- (iv) w is normal in S with $wz = \lambda_j zw$ and $wx_i = \lambda_i q_{ji} x_i w$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.
 (v) For $k+1 \leq i \leq n$, if $i \neq j$ then x_i is a normal non-unit in S .

Proposition 6.5. *Suppose that σ is outer on \mathcal{T} and let δ be a σ -derivation of \mathcal{L} . There exist a normal element w of the Ore extension $S = \mathcal{L}[z; \sigma, \delta]$ and a subset $I = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m\} \subseteq \{k+1, k+2, \dots, n\}$, such that, for $x = x_{j_1} x_{j_2} \dots x_{j_m}$, the localization \mathcal{L}_x of \mathcal{L} at $\{x^i : i \geq 0\}$ is a selectively localized quantized quantum space $\mathcal{O}_{Q'}(\mathbb{K}^{n+1})_{(1,2,\dots,k,j_1,j_2,\dots,j_m)}$ with $x_{n+1} = w$. Moreover, if $i \in \{k+1, k+2, \dots, n\} \setminus I$ then x_i is a normal non-unit in S .*

7. EXAMPLES

7.1. The case $n = 1$. The skew derivations in the case $n = 1$ are well-known, there being classifications of Ore extensions of $\mathbb{K}[y]$ in [2, 4, 7]. However it may be helpful to interpret them in terms of Proposition 5.3. Write y for x_1 so that $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{K}[y]$, $\mathcal{T} = \mathbb{K}[y^{\pm 1}]$, $Q = (1)$, $\Lambda = (\lambda)$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $\sigma(y) = \lambda y$. Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ and note that $q_1(y^d) = 1$.

If $\lambda = 1$ then $\sigma = \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and, in accordance with Proposition 4.8(ii), $\text{Der}_{\sigma,d}(\mathcal{T}) = \text{Der}_d(\mathcal{T})$ is 1-dimensional spanned by $y^{d+1}d/dy$. In accordance with Proposition 5.3(i), $\text{Der}_{\sigma,d}(\mathcal{A})$ is 1-dimensional spanned by $y^{d+1}d/dy$ if $d \geq -1$. If $d < -1$ then $\text{Der}_{\sigma,d}(\mathcal{A}) = 0$.

On the other hand, if $\lambda \neq 1$ then, in accordance with Propositions 4.8(i) and 5.3, $\text{Der}_{\sigma,d}(\mathcal{T})$ is 1-dimensional spanned by the inner σ -derivation δ_d of \mathcal{T} induced by y^d , $\text{Der}_{\sigma,d}(\mathcal{A}) = 0$ if $d < -1$ and $\text{Der}_{\sigma,d}(\mathcal{A}) = \text{Der}_{\sigma,d}(\mathcal{T})$ if $d \geq -1$. Here δ_d is inner on \mathcal{A} if $d \geq 0$ and y -locally inner if $d = -1$. In the latter case, $\delta_{-1}(y) = 1 - \lambda$ and the Ore extension $\mathcal{A}[x; \sigma, \delta_1]$ is the quantum disc as in Example 3.7, where λ was written q .

7.2. The case $n = 2$. In this subsection, we describe the homogeneous σ -derivations of the quantum torus $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{O}_q((\mathbb{K}^*)^2)$ and the quantum plane $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{K}^2)$. We shall write x and y , for x_1 and x_2 respectively. Thus $\sigma(x) = \lambda_1 x$ and $\sigma(y) = \lambda_2 y$.

Examples 7.1. Consider the quantum torus $T = \mathcal{O}_q((\mathbb{K}^*)^2)$ and let $\mathbf{d} = (i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. Then $q_1(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}}) = q^{-j}$ and $q_2(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}}) = q^i$. If $\lambda_1 \neq q^{-j}$ or $\lambda_2 \neq q^i$ then, by Proposition 4.8(i), $\text{Der}_{\sigma,\mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{T})$ is 1-dimensional, spanned by the inner σ -derivation induced by $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}}$ which is such that $x \mapsto (q^{-j} - \lambda_1)x^{i+1}y^j$ and $y \mapsto (q^i - \lambda_2)x^i y^{j+1}$.

If $\lambda_1 = q^{-j}$ and $\lambda_2 = q^i$ then σ is inner, induced by $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}}$ and, by Proposition 4.8(ii), $\text{Der}_{\sigma,\mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{T})$ is 2-dimensional, spanned by σ -derivations ∂_x and ∂_y such that $\partial_x(x) = x^{i+1}y^j$, $\partial_x(y) = 0 = \partial_y(x)$ and $\partial_y(y) = x^i y^{j+1}$. In this case, if q is not a root of unity then i , j and \mathbf{d} are unique and $\text{Der}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{T}) = \text{InnDer}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{T}) \oplus \text{Der}_{\sigma,\mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{T})$ while if q is a primitive m th root of unity, for some $m \geq 1$, then i , j and \mathbf{d} are unique up to congruence modulo m , $Z(\mathcal{T}) = \mathbb{K}[(x^m)^{\pm 1}, (y^m)^{\pm 1}]$ and $\text{Der}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{T}) = \text{InnDer}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{T}) \oplus Z(\mathcal{T}) \text{Der}_{\sigma,\mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{T})$ for any choice of \mathbf{d} .

Examples 7.2. Here we consider the homogeneous σ -derivations δ of the quantum plane $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{K}^2)$ and comment on some of the resulting Ore extensions $S = \mathcal{A}[z; \sigma, \delta]$. Let $\mathbf{d} = (i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. By Lemma 5.2 and Proposition 5.3, the space $\text{Der}_{\sigma,\mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{A}) = 0$ except in the cases below, where we begin with the inner or locally inner σ -derivations of \mathcal{A} .

- (i) If $i, j \geq 0$ and either $\lambda_1 \neq q^{-j}$ or $\lambda_2 \neq q^i$ then $\text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{A})$ is 1-dimensional spanned by the inner σ -derivation δ induced by $x^i y^j$ and, by Proposition 2.3, S is of automorphism type. Indeed it is quantum 3-space $\mathcal{O}_Q(\mathbb{K}^3)$ with canonical generators x, y and $z - x^i y^j$ and parameters $q_{12} = q, q_{31} = \lambda_1$ and $q_{32} = \lambda_2$.
- (ii) If $i = -1, j \geq 0, \lambda_1 \neq q^{-j}$ and $\lambda_2 = q^{-1}$ then $\text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{A})$ is 1-dimensional spanned by the x -locally inner σ -derivation δ , induced by $(q^{-j} - \lambda_1)^{-1} x^{-1} y^j$, for which $\delta(x) = y^j$ and $\delta(y) = 0$. Here the defining relations of S are

$$xy = qyx, \quad zx = \lambda_1 xz + y^j, \quad zy = q^{-1}yz.$$

The element y is normal in S , but x is not, and the element $w = xz - (q^{-j} - \lambda_1)^{-1} y^j$ is normal and such that $yw = wy, zw = \lambda_1 wz$ and $wx = \lambda_1 xw$. If $j > 0, S/yS \simeq \mathcal{O}_{q^{-j}}(\mathbb{K}^2)$ while, if $j = 0$ then, as $\lambda_1 \neq 1, S/yS$ is, in the notation of Example 3.7, the quantized Weyl algebra $A_1^{\lambda_1}$.

Note that yw is central in S if $\lambda_1 = q$. More generally if $\lambda_1^b = q^c$ for some $b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ then $y^b w^c$ is central in the quantum torus \mathcal{T}' generated by $x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}$ and $w^{\pm 1}$ which is the localization of S at $\{x^i y^j w^k : i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$.

On the other hand, suppose that $\lambda_1^b \neq q^c$ for all $b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Proposition 2.5, \mathcal{T}' is simple from which it follows, as y and w are normal in S , that every non-zero prime ideal of S contains y, w or x^i for some $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. A straightforward induction shows that

$$\partial(x^i) = q^{-(i+1)} [i]_p x^{i-1} y^j,$$

where $p = \lambda_1 q^j$ and, for $i \in \mathbb{N}, [i]_p = (p^i - 1)/(p - 1)$. Note that $p - 1 \neq 0$ and $p^i - 1 \neq 0$ by the conditions on λ_1 and q . It follows from this that the height one prime ideals of S are yS and wS .

- (iii) If $j = -1, i \geq 0, \lambda_1 = q$ and $\lambda_2 \neq q^i$ then $\text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{A})$ is 1-dimensional spanned by the y -locally inner σ -derivation δ , induced by $(q^i - 1)^{-1} y^{-1} x^i$, for which $\delta(x) = 0$ and $\delta(y) = x^i$. The Ore extension S is similar to that in the previous case, there being symmetry involving x swapping with y and q with q^{-1} .

- (iv) If $i, j \geq 0, \lambda_1 = q^{-j}$ and $\lambda_2 = q^i$ then $\text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{A})$ is 2-dimensional spanned by the σ -derivations δ_x and δ_y such that $\delta_x(x) = x^{i+1} y^j, \delta_x(y) = 0, \delta_y(x) = x^i y^{j+1},$ and $\delta_y(y) = 0$. Here the automorphism σ is inner on the quantum torus \mathcal{T} . Let $\delta = \partial_x + \partial_y$. Then S has defining relations

$$xy = qyx, \quad zx = q^{-j} xz + x^{i+1} y^j, \quad zy = q^i yz + x^i y^{j+1}$$

and the elements x and y are both normal in S which has an \mathbb{N}_0 -grading in which $\deg(x) = 1 = \deg(y)$ and $\deg(z) = i + j$. The factor S/xS is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{q^i}(\mathbb{K}^2)$ if $i > 0$ and to the Ore extension $\mathbb{K}[y][z; y^{j+1} d/dy]$ if $i = 0$. Similarly, $S/yS \simeq \mathcal{O}_{q^{-j}}(\mathbb{K}^2)$ if $j > 0$ and $S/yS \simeq \mathbb{K}[x][z; \tau x^{i+1} d/dx]$ if $j = 0$.

Suppose that q is not a root of unity, in which case i and j are uniquely determined by λ_1 and λ_2 , and that $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$. By Proposition 2.5, the quantum torus \mathcal{T} is simple. As σ is inner on \mathcal{T} , induced by $x^i y^j$, we see, by applying Proposition 2.3, that $\mathcal{T}[z; \sigma, \delta] \simeq \mathcal{T}[z'; \delta']$ for the outer derivation δ' of \mathcal{T} such that $\delta'(x) = x$ and $\delta'(y) = y$. By [16, Proposition

2.1] or [26, Proposition 1.8.4], the Ore extension $\mathcal{T}[z; \sigma, \delta]$ is simple. It follows, using [26, Proposition 2.1.16(v)], that the height one primes of S are xS and yS . Also, from the above description of S/xS , we can deduce that if $i > 0$ then the height two primes of S containing xS are $xS + yS$ and $xS + zS$ and that if $i = 0$ the only such prime of S is $xS + yS$. The situation for the height two primes of S containing yS is similar.

(v) If $i = -1$, $j \geq 0$, $\lambda_1 = q^{-j}$ and $\lambda_2 = q^{-1}$ then $\text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{A})$ is 1-dimensional spanned by the σ -derivation δ such that $\delta(x) = y^j$ and $\delta(y) = 0$. Here the defining relations for $S = \mathcal{A}[z; \sigma, \delta]$ are as in (ii), but with $\lambda_1 = q^{-j}$, and δ is locally conjugate to a derivation and is not x -locally inner. Again y is normal, but x is not. If q is not a root of unity and $\text{char } \mathbb{K} = 0$ then the localization of S at the powers of y is simple, see [22, Example 6.12(ii)]. It follows, using [26, Proposition 2.1.16(v)], that yS is the unique height one prime ideal of S .

(vi) By symmetry, if $j = -1$, $i \geq 0$, $\lambda_1 = q$ and $\lambda_2 = q^i$ then $\text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(R)$ is 1-dimensional spanned by the σ -derivation δ such that $\delta(x) = 0$ and $\delta(y) = x^i$.

Examples 7.3. Perhaps the most interesting σ -derivations of \mathcal{A} are those that occur when both $\lambda_2 = q^{-1}$ and $\lambda_1 = q$. Taking linear combinations of the homogeneous σ -derivations in Examples 7.2(ii,iii,v,vi), we see that, given $g(y) \in \mathbb{K}[y]$ and $f(x) \in \mathbb{K}[x]$, there is a σ -derivation $\delta_{f,g}$ of \mathcal{A} such that $\delta_{f,g}(x) = g(y)$ and $\delta_{f,g}(y) = f(x)$. The defining relations for the Ore extension $S = \mathcal{A}[z; \sigma, \delta_{f,g}]$ are then

$$xy = qyx, \quad zx = qz + g(y), \quad zy = q^{-1}yz + f(x).$$

When q is not a root of unity, these are the xy -locally inner σ -derivations that were discussed in Examples 3.8 and first observed in [5, Theorem 6.2(2)(b)].

7.3. Skew derivations of the selectively localized quantum plane.

Examples 7.4. Here, with the notation as in Examples 7.2, we consider the selectively localized quantum plane $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{A}_{(1)}$, that is the localization of $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{K}^2)$ at the powers of x . Applying Propositions 4.8 and 6.3, the non-zero spaces $\text{Der}_{\sigma, (i,j)}(\mathcal{L})$ are as follows:

- (i) if $j \geq 0$ and $\lambda_1 \neq q^{-j}$ or $\lambda_2 \neq q^i$ then $\text{Der}_{\sigma, (i,j)}(\mathcal{L})$ is 1-dimensional spanned by the inner σ -derivation induced by $x^i y^j$;
- (ii) if $j \geq 0$ and $\lambda_1 = q^{-j}$ and $\lambda_2 = q^i$, then $\text{Der}_{\sigma, (i,j)}(\mathcal{L})$ is 2-dimensional spanned by the σ -derivations ∂_x and ∂_y such that $\partial_x(x) = x^{i+1} y^j$, $\partial_x(y) = 0$, $\partial_y(x) = 0$ and $\partial_y(y) = x^i y^{j+1}$;
- (iii) if $j = -1$, $\lambda_1 = q$ and $\lambda_2 \neq q^i$, then $\text{Der}_{\sigma, (i,j)}(\mathcal{L})$ is 1-dimensional spanned by the y -locally inner σ -derivation δ induced by $y^{-1} x^i$, which is such that $\delta(x) = 0$ and $\delta(y) = (q^i - \lambda_2) x^i$;
- (iv) if $j = -1$, $\lambda_1 = q$ and $\lambda_2 = q^i$, then $\text{Der}_{\sigma, (i,j)}(\mathcal{L})$ is 1-dimensional spanned by the σ -derivation ∂_y such that $\partial_y(x) = 0$ and $\partial_y(y) = x^i$, which is y -locally conjugate to a derivation and not y -locally inner.

Example 7.5. Probably the best known Ore extension of a selectively localized quantum plane is the *quantized enveloping algebra* $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, see [10, Chapters I.3 and I.4]. For $q \in$

$\mathbb{K} \setminus \{0, 1, -1\}$, $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is the \mathbb{K} -algebra with generators $K^{\pm 1}$, E and F and relations

$$KK^{-1} = 1 = K^{-1}K, \quad KE = q^2EK, \quad FK = q^2KF, \quad FE - EF = \frac{K^{-1} - K}{q - q^{-1}}.$$

Writing $x_1 = K$, $x_2 = E$ and $x_3 = F$, this is the Ore extension $\mathcal{L}[x_3; \sigma, \delta]$, where \mathcal{L} is the selectively localized quantum plane $\mathcal{O}_{q^2}(\mathbb{K}^2)_{(1)}$, σ is the toric automorphism such that $\sigma(x_1) = q^2x_1$ and $\sigma(x_2) = x_2$ while δ is the σ -derivation such that $\delta(x_1) = 0$ and $\delta(x_2) = (q - q^{-1})^{-1}(x_1^{-1} - x_1)$. Here δ has two x_2 -locally inner homogeneous components, induced by $x_2^{-1}x_1^{-1}$ and $x_2^{-1}x_1$, and is x_2 -locally inner induced by $-(q - q^{-1})^{-2}x_2^{-1}(qx_1^{-1} + q^{-1}x_1)$. In accordance with Proposition 3.5(iii), with $s = x_2$ and $\phi_s = \sigma$, the element

$$w = x_2x_3 + (q - q^{-1})^{-2}(qx_1^{-1} + q^{-1}x_1),$$

which is denoted C_q in [10, I.4.5], is central in $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. The localization of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ at the powers of x_2 is the selectively localized quantum space $\mathcal{O}_Q(\mathbb{K}^3)_{(1,2)}$ where the canonical generators are $x_1^{\pm 1}$, $x_2^{\pm 1}$ and w and

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & q^2 & 1 \\ q^{-2} & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

7.4. Skew derivations of the quantum disc. Let S be the quantum disc $\mathbb{K}[y][x; \sigma, \delta]$, where $\sigma(y) = qy$ and $\delta(y) = 1 - q$, let $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and let $\tau \in \text{Aut}(S)$ be such that $\tau(x) = \lambda x$ and $\tau(y) = \lambda^{-1}y$. Recall, from Example 3.7, that the element $w := yx - 1 = q^{-1}(xy - 1)$ is normal in S with normalizing automorphism ϕ such that $\phi(x) = qx$ and $\phi(y) = q^{-1}y$. Note that $y = (w + 1)x^{-1} = x^{-1}(qw + 1)$ and the localization \mathcal{L} of S at the powers of x is the selectively localized quantum plane $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{K}^2)_{(1)}$, with canonical generators x , x^{-1} and w and the relation $xw = qwx$. The extension of τ to \mathcal{L} is toric with $\tau(x) = \lambda x$ and $\tau(w) = 1$. By [15, Lemma 1.3], every τ -derivation of S extends uniquely to \mathcal{L} so $\text{Der}_\tau(S) = \{\delta \in \text{Der}_\tau(\mathcal{L}) : \delta(S) \subseteq S\}$. As $\text{Der}_\tau(\mathcal{L})$ is known through the results of Section 6, this suggests an approach to the determination of $\text{Der}_\tau(S)$. In this subsection we take this approach to determine $\text{Der}_\tau(S)$ in the case where q is not a root of unity. This approach may be applicable to other Ore extensions $S = \mathcal{A}[z; \sigma, \delta]$, where \mathcal{A} is a quantum affine space $\mathcal{O}_Q(\mathbb{K}^n)$, σ is a toric automorphism of \mathcal{A} , δ is x_j -locally inner for some j , so that the localization \mathcal{L} of S at the powers of x_j is a selectively localized quantum space \mathcal{L} , and τ is a toric automorphism of \mathcal{L} that restricts to an automorphism of S .

We begin by applying Examples 7.4 with $\lambda_1 = \lambda$ and $\lambda_2 = 1$, and with w in place of y , to determine $\text{Der}_\tau(\mathcal{L})$. There are three cases, labelled (i),(ii),(iii) in the following lemma.

Lemma 7.6. (i) *Suppose that $\lambda = q$.*

- (a) *For $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, there is a w -locally inner τ -derivation δ_m of \mathcal{L} , induced by $w^{-1}x^m$, such that $\delta_m(x) = 0$, $\delta_m(w) = (q^m - 1)x^m$ and $\delta_m(y) = (q^m - 1)x^{m-1}$.*
- (b) *There is a τ -derivation ∂ on \mathcal{L} such that $\partial(x) = 0$ and $\partial(w) = 1$.*
- (c) *$\text{Der}_\tau(\mathcal{L}) = \text{InnDer}_\tau(\mathcal{L}) \oplus F^+ \oplus F^- \oplus \mathbb{K}\partial$, where F^+ has basis $\{\delta_m : m > 0\}$ and F^- has basis $\{\delta_m : m < 0\}$.*

- (ii) Suppose that $\lambda = q^{-j}$ for some $j \geq 0$. There are τ -derivations ∂_x and ∂_w of \mathcal{L} such that $\partial_x(x) = xw^j$, $\partial_x(w) = 0$, $\partial_w(x) = 0$ and $\partial_w(w) = w^{j+1}$ and $\text{Der}_\tau(\mathcal{L}) = \text{InnDer}_\tau(\mathcal{L}) \oplus \mathbb{K}\partial_x \oplus \mathbb{K}\partial_w$
- (iii) Suppose that $\lambda \neq q^k$ for all $k \leq 1$. Then $\text{Der}_\tau(\mathcal{L}) = \text{InnDer}_\tau(\mathcal{L})$.

Proof. Apart from the details for $\delta_m(y)$ in (i)(a), this is immediate from Examples 7.4. In (i)(a), for $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, $(q^m - 1)x^m = \delta_m(w) = \delta_m(yx - 1) = \delta_m(y)x$, whence, \mathcal{L} being a domain, $(q^m - 1)x^{m-1} = \delta_m(y)$. \square

Lemma 7.7. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let $\zeta_{m,n}$ denote the inner τ -derivation of \mathcal{L} induced by $x^m y^n$ and let H be the subspace of $\text{Der}_\tau(\mathcal{L})$ spanned by $\{\zeta_{m,n} : m < 0\}$.

- (i) $\text{InnDer}_\tau(\mathcal{L}) = \text{InnDer}_\tau(S) \oplus H$, and $\text{InnDer}_\tau(S)$ is spanned by the inner τ -derivations $\zeta_{m,n}$ where $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq 0$.
- (ii) For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \geq 0$, $\zeta_{m,n}(w) = (q^{n-1} - q^{m-1})(x^{m+1}y^{n+1} - x^m y^n)$.

Proof. (i) This is an immediate consequence of the fact that \mathcal{L} and S have bases $\{x^m y^n : m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ and $\{x^m y^n : m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ respectively

(ii) As $\tau(w) = w$, $wx = q^{-1}xw$, $yw = q^{-1}wy$ and $w = q^{-1}(xy - 1)$, we see that

$$\zeta_{m,n}(w) = x^m y^n w - wx^m y^n = (q^n - q^m)x^m w y^n = (q^{n-1} - q^{m-1})(x^{m+1}y^{n+1} - x^m y^n).$$

\square

The next step is to determine the space of w -locally inner σ -derivations of S .

Proposition 7.8. Suppose that q is not a root of unity.

- (i) If $\lambda \neq q$ then every w -locally inner derivation is inner.
- (ii) If $\lambda = q$ then, in the notation of 3.2, $T(S, \tau, w)$ is spanned by $\{x^m : m \geq 0\} \cup \{y^m : m \geq 1\}$. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the w -locally inner derivations δ_m , induced by $w^{-1}x^m$ and γ_m , induced by $w^{-1}y^m$, of S are such that $\delta_m(x) = 0$, $\delta_m(y) = (q^m - 1)x^{m-1}$, $\gamma_m(y) = 0$ and $\gamma_m(x) = (q^{1-m} - q)y^{m-1}$. In particular $\delta_0 = \gamma_0 = 0$.

Proof. (i) As $S/wS \simeq \mathbb{K}[x^{\pm 1}]$ is commutative and $\phi^{-1}\sigma(x) = \lambda q^{-1}x$, this is immediate from Proposition 3.3, given that q is not a root of unity.

(ii) In this case, $S/wS \simeq \mathbb{K}[x^{\pm 1}]$ is commutative, with $y+wS = (x+wS)^{-1}$, and $\phi^{-1}\sigma(x) = x$ so, by Proposition 3.4, $T(S, \tau, w)$ is spanned by $\{x^m : m \geq 0\} \cup \{y^m : m \geq 1\}$. By Lemma 7.6(i)(a), $\delta_m(x) = 0$ and $\delta_m(y) = (q^m - 1)x^{m-1}$. Replacing x by y and q by q^{-1} we see that $\gamma_m(y) = 0$ and $\gamma_m(w) = (q^{-m} - 1)y^m$. As

$$(q^{-m} - 1)y^m = \gamma_m(w) = \gamma_m(yx - 1) = q^{-1}y\gamma_m(x),$$

and \mathcal{L} is a domain, it follows that $\gamma_m(x) = (q^{1-m} - q)y^{m-1}$. \square

Proposition 7.9. Suppose that q is not a root of unity.

- (i) If $\lambda = q$ then $\text{Der}_\tau(S) = \text{InnDer}_\tau(S) \oplus F$, where $F = F^+ \oplus G^+$, F^+ is as in Lemma 7.6(i)(c) and G^+ is the subspace of $\text{Der}_\tau(S)$ with basis $\{\gamma_m : m > 0\}$.
- (ii) If $\lambda = q^{-j}$ for some $j \geq 0$ then $\text{Der}_\tau(S) = \text{InnDer}_\tau(S) \oplus \mathbb{K}\partial_x$, where ∂_x is a τ -derivation of S such that $\partial_x(x) = xw^j$, $\partial_x(w) = 0$ and $\partial_x(y) = -q^j w^j y$.

(iii) If $\mu \neq q^k$ for all $k \leq 1$ then $\text{Der}_\tau(S) = \text{InnDer}_\tau(S)$.

Proof. (i) Let $D = \text{InnDer}_\tau(S) \oplus F$. Then $D \subseteq \text{Der}_\tau(S)$ and we need to show that $D = \text{Der}_\tau(S)$.

Let I be the ideal $w\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}w$. Modulo I , x and y are inverses of each other so, for $m \geq 1$ $x^{-m} - y^m \in I$ and hence $w^{-1}(x^{-m} - y^m) \in \mathcal{L}$. It follows that $\delta_{-m} \equiv \gamma_m \pmod{\text{InnDer}_\tau(\mathcal{L})}$ and hence that $\text{Der}_\tau(\mathcal{L}) = \text{InnDer}_\tau(\mathcal{L}) \oplus F \oplus \mathbb{K}\partial = D \oplus H \oplus \mathbb{K}\partial$. As $D \subseteq \text{Der}_\tau(S)$, it suffices to show that $(H \oplus \mathbb{K}\partial) \cap \text{Der}_\tau(S) = 0$.

Let $\delta \in H$ and $a \in \mathbb{K}$ and let $\gamma = \delta + a\partial \in (H \oplus \mathbb{K}\partial) \cap \text{Der}_\tau(S)$. Then δ is inner on \mathcal{L} , induced by $v = \sum_{m < 0, n \geq 0} a_{m,n} x^m y^n \in V$, where $a_{m,n} \in \mathbb{K}$ for $m < 0$ and $n \geq 0$, and $a_{m,n} = 0$ for all but finitely many pairs (m, n) . Suppose that $v \neq 0$. There exists $m < 0$ such that $a_{m,n} \neq 0$ for some $n \geq 0$ and $a_{p,k} = 0$ whenever $p < m$ and $k \geq 0$. Choose any $n \geq 0$ such that $a_{m,n} \neq 0$. By Lemma 7.7(ii) and as $\partial(w) = 1$, the coefficient of $x^m y^n$ in $\gamma(w)$, relative to the basis $\{x^m y^n : m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$, is $a_{m,n}(q^{m-1} - q^{n-1})$. As $n \geq 0$, $m < 0$ and q is not a root of unity, $a_{m,n}(q^{m-1} - q^{n-1}) \neq 0$, whence $\gamma(w) \notin S$ and $\gamma \notin \text{Der}_\tau(S)$. Thus $v = 0$, $\delta = 0$, $\gamma = a\partial$ and $\gamma(y) = a\partial(wx^{-1} + x^{-1}) = ax^{-1}$, whence $a = 0$ and $\gamma = 0$. Thus $(H \oplus \mathbb{K}\partial) \cap \text{Der}_\tau(S) = 0$ and $\text{Der}_\tau(S) = D$.

(ii) Let ∂_x and ∂_w be as in Lemma 7.6(ii), so that $\text{Der}_\tau(\mathcal{L}) = \text{InnDer}_\tau(\mathcal{L}) \oplus \mathbb{K}\partial_x \oplus \mathbb{K}\partial_w = \text{InnDer}(S) \oplus H \oplus \mathbb{K}\partial_x \oplus \mathbb{K}\partial_w$. As

$$0 = \partial_x(qw) = \partial_x(xy - 1) = q^{-j}x\partial_x(y) + q^jxw^jy,$$

we see that $\partial_x(y) = -q^jw^jy \in S$, whence, as $\partial_x(x) \in S$ also, $\partial_x \in \text{Der}_\tau(S)$. It follows that $\text{Der}_\tau(\mathcal{L}) = D \oplus \mathbb{K}\partial_w \oplus H$, where $D = \text{InnDer}_\tau(S) \oplus \mathbb{K}\partial_x \subseteq \text{Der}_\tau(S)$ and H is defined as in the proof of (i).

Note that $\partial_w(y) = \partial_w(x^{-1}(qw + 1)) = q^{j+1}x^{-1}w^{j+1} \in S$. Suppose that $\partial_w(y) \in S$. Then $w^{j+1} \in xS$. Hence, as $w^{j+1} = w^j = q^{-1}(xy - 1)w^j$, $w^j \in xS$. Repeating the argument, $w^{j-1} \in xS, \dots, w \in xS, 1 \in xS$ which is false. Thus $\partial_w(y) \notin S$. It follows, essentially as in (i) but with ∂_w replacing ∂ , that $(H \oplus \mathbb{K}\partial_w) \cap \text{Der}_\tau(S) = 0$ and $\text{Der}_\tau(S) = D$.

(iii) In this case we see, from Examples 7.4, that $\text{Der}_\tau(\mathcal{L}) = \text{InnDer}_\tau(\mathcal{L}) = \text{InnDer}_\tau(S) \oplus H$. By a similar argument as in (i,ii) but without an analogue of ∂ or ∂_w , $H \cap \text{Der}_\tau(S) = 0$ so $\text{Der}_\tau(S) = \text{InnDer}_\tau(S)$. \square

Example 7.10. Suppose that $\lambda = q$ and let δ_1 and γ_1 be as in Proposition 7.8(ii). Let $\delta = q^{-1}\delta_1$. Then $\delta(y) = 1 - q^{-1}$ and $\delta(x) = 0$, and, writing x_1 for x and x_2 for y , the defining relations for $S[x_3; \tau, \delta]$ are

$$x_1x_2 - qx_2x_1 = 1 - q, \quad x_2x_3 - qx_3x_2 = 1 - q, \quad x_3x_1 = qx_1x_3,$$

the same as we saw for the linear connected quantized Weyl algebra L_q^3 , as an Ore extension of $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{K}^2)$, in Examples 7.3. Unlike the situation in Examples 7.3, the τ -derivation δ is q^{-1} -skew, by Remark 2.2(ii), as $\delta\tau(x) = 0 = q^{-1}\tau\delta(x)$ and $\delta\tau(y) = q^{-1}(1 - q^{-1}) = q^{-1}\tau\delta(y)$.

Another connected quantized algebra, the *cyclic connected quantized Weyl algebra* C_q^3 [14], arises as an Ore extension of the quantum disc. Taking $\delta = q^{-1}\delta_1 + \gamma$, so that $\delta(y) = 1 - q^{-1}$

and $\delta(x) = 1 - q$, the defining relations for $S[x_2; \tau, \delta]$ are

$$x_1x_2 - qx_2x_1 = 1 - q, \quad x_2x_3 - qx_3x_2 = 1 - q, \quad x_3x_1 - qx_1x_3 = 1 - q,$$

which are the defining relations for C_q^3 . In contrast to the situation for L_3^q , the τ -derivation δ here is neither q -skew nor q^{-1} -skew as $\delta\sigma(y) = q^{-1}\sigma\delta(y)$ whereas $\delta\sigma(x) = q\sigma\delta(x)$.

Example 7.11. When $j = 0$ in Proposition 7.9(ii), $\tau = \text{id}_S$ so $\text{Der}(S) = \text{InnDer}(S) \oplus \mathbb{K}\partial_x$ where ∂_x is a derivation of S such that $\partial_x(x) = x$, $\partial_x(w) = 0$ and $\partial_x(y) = -y$. The Ore extension $S[z; \partial_x]$ has defining relations

$$xy - qyx = 1 - q, \quad zx - xz = x, \quad zy - yz = -y.$$

Let S_w denote the localization of S at the powers of the normal element w and suppose that $\text{char } \mathbb{K} = 0$. The algebra S_w is known to be simple, for example see [15, 8.4 and 8.5]. Suppose that ∂ is inner on S_w , induced by $w^{-j}s$, where $s \in S$ and $j \geq 0$. Thus

$$w^{-j}sx - xw^{-j}s = x \text{ and } w^{-j}sw = ww^{-j}s. \quad (5)$$

There is a \mathbb{Z} -grading on S_w for which $(S_w)_0 = \mathbb{K}[w^{\pm 1}]$ and, for $n > 0$, $(S_w)_n = x^n\mathbb{K}[w^{\pm 1}]$ and $(S_w)_{-n} = y^n\mathbb{K}[w^{\pm 1}]$. Taking terms of degree 1 in (5), we may assume that $s \in \mathbb{K}[w^{\pm 1}]$. Thus ∂_x is inner on the selectively localized quantum plane B generated by x and $w^{\pm 1}$. Taking terms of degree $(1, 0)$ in (5), in the $\mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}$ -grading on B , we may assume that $s \in B_{0,j} = w^j\mathbb{K}$. But then $w^{-j}s \in \mathbb{K}$ and $w^{-j}sx - xw^{-j}s = 0$, giving a contradiction. Therefore ∂ is not inner on S_w , and it follows from [26, Theorem 1.8.4] that the Ore extension $S_w[z; \partial_x]$ is simple. It then follows, using [26, Proposition 2.1.16(v)], that $wS[z; \partial_x]$ is the unique height one prime ideal of $S[z; \partial_x]$. By [15, Lemma 1.4], $S[z; \partial_x]/wS[z; \partial_x]$ is isomorphic to the Ore extension $\mathbb{K}[t^{\pm 1}][v; td/dt]$ which, using [26, Theorem 1.8.4], is easily seen to be simple, whence $wS[z; \partial_x]$ is the unique non-zero prime ideal of $S[z; \partial_x]$.

7.5. The case $n \geq 3$.

Remark 7.12. Let $Q = (q_{ij})$ be a multiplicatively antisymmetric $n \times n$ matrix over \mathbb{K} , and let $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ be such that \mathbf{d} is j -exceptional for some j , $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then there exists $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{K}^*$ such that $\text{Der}_{\sigma_\Lambda, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{A})$ is 1-dimensional spanned by a σ_Λ -derivation δ which, depending on the choice of λ_j , may be x_j -locally inner or x_j -locally conjugate to a derivation. To see this, first choose $\lambda_i = q_i(\mathbf{d})$ for $i \neq j$, and let $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{K}^*$. If $\lambda_j \neq q_j(\mathbf{d})$ then $\text{Der}_{\sigma_\Lambda, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{K}\delta$ for the x_j -locally inner σ_Λ -derivation δ induced by $(r_j(\mathbf{d}) - \lambda_j s_j(\mathbf{d}))^{-1} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}}$, but if $\lambda_j = q_j(\mathbf{d})$ then $\text{Der}_{\sigma_\Lambda, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{K}\delta$ for a σ_Λ -derivation δ that is x_j -locally conjugate to a derivation. In both cases, $\delta(x_j) = \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{e}_j}$ and $\delta(x_i) = 0$ if $i \neq j$.

For example, let \mathcal{A} be the single-parameter quantum affine space $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{K}^n)$, where $q_{ij} = q$ when $i < j$, and let \mathbf{d} be such that $d_1 = -1$ and $d_i = 1$ if $i > 1$. Then $q_1(\mathbf{d}) = q^{1-n}$ while $q_i(\mathbf{d}) = q^{2i-3-n}$ if $i > 1$. Suppose that $\lambda_i = q^{2i-3-n}$ for $i \geq 2$ and let $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{K}^*$. If $\lambda_1 \neq q^{1-n}$ then $\text{Der}_{\sigma_\Lambda, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{K}\delta$, where δ is x_1 -locally inner, induced by $(r_j(\mathbf{d}) - \lambda_1 s_j(\mathbf{d}))^{-1} x_1^{-1} x_2 x_3 \dots x_n$, whereas if $\lambda_1 = q^{1-n}$ then $\text{Der}_{\sigma_\Lambda, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{K}\delta$ where δ is x_1 -locally conjugate to a derivation. In both cases, $\delta(x_1) = x_2 x_3 \dots x_n$ and $\delta(x_i) = 0$ if $i > 1$.

Example 7.13. Here we illustrate Remark 7.12 in the context of multiparameter quantum matrices. Let $n = 3$, let $\mathbf{d} = (-1, 1, 1)$, let $q, p, r, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and let

$$Q_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & q & p \\ q^{-1} & 1 & r \\ p^{-1} & r^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \Lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3).$$

Let σ be the toric automorphism σ_Λ . Here $q_1(\mathbf{d}) = (pq)^{-1}$, $q_2(\mathbf{d}) = (qr)^{-1}$ and $q_3(\mathbf{d}) = rp^{-1}$. By Proposition 5.3, $\text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{A}) \neq 0$ if and only if $\lambda_2 = (rq)^{-1}$ and $\lambda_3 = rp^{-1}$, in which case $\text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{A})$ is 1-dimensional spanned by a σ -derivation that is x_1 -locally inner if and only if $\lambda_1 \neq (pq)^{-1}$, giving rise to the two cases discussed below.

Case (a). Suppose that $\lambda_2 = (rq)^{-1}$, $\lambda_3 = rp^{-1}$ and $\lambda_1 \neq (pq)^{-1}$. Then $\text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{A})$ is 1-dimensional spanned by the inner σ -derivation δ induced by $qx_1^{-1}x_2x_3$ which is such that $\delta(x_2) = \delta(x_3) = 0$ and $\delta(x_1) = (p^{-1} - \lambda_1q)x_2x_3$. The defining relations for $S := \mathcal{A}[x_4; \sigma, \delta]$ are

$$x_1x_2 = qx_2x_1, \quad x_1x_3 = px_3x_1, \quad x_2x_3 = rx_3x_2, \quad (6)$$

$$x_4x_1 = \lambda_1x_1x_4 + (p^{-1} - \lambda_1q)x_2x_3, \quad x_4x_2 = (rq)^{-1}x_2x_4, \quad x_4x_3 = rp^{-1}x_3x_4. \quad (7)$$

In accordance with Proposition 5.6, the element $d = x_1x_4 - qx_2x_3$ is normal in S with

$$dx_1 = \lambda_1x_1d, \quad dx_2 = r^{-1}x_2d, \quad dx_3 = rpq^{-1}x_1d \text{ and } dx_4 = \lambda_1x_4d,$$

and the localization of S at the powers of x_1 is the selectively localized quantum space $\mathcal{O}_{Q_4}(\mathbb{K}^4)_{\langle 1 \rangle}$ for the matrix

$$Q_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & q & p & \lambda_1^{-1} \\ q^{-1} & 1 & r & r^{-1} \\ p^{-1} & r^{-1} & 1 & (rp)^{-1}q \\ \lambda_1 & r & rpq^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Such algebras S occur as subalgebras of the *multiparameter algebra* $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda, P}(M_n(\mathbb{K}))$ of $n \times n$ quantum matrices [10, p16], where P is an $n \times n$ multiplicatively antisymmetric matrix over \mathbb{K} and $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}^*$. The algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda, P}(M_n(\mathbb{K}))$ is generated by $\{x_{ij} : 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ subject to the relations obtained from (6) and (7) on setting $x_1 = x_{ij}, x_2 = x_{im}, x_3 = x_{lj}, x_4 = x_{lm}, q = p_{mj}, p = \lambda^{-1}p_{il}, r = pq^{-1} = \lambda^{-1}p_{il}p_{jm}$ and $\lambda_1 = \lambda^{-1}p^{-1}q^{-1} = p_{il}p_{jm}$, for $1 \leq i < \ell \leq n$ and $1 \leq j < m \leq n$.

When $\lambda_1 = 1, p = q$ and $r = 1$, so that $q^2 \neq 1$, S is the algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(M_2(\mathbb{K}))$ of 2×2 quantum matrices and d is the quantum determinant, which is well-known to be central, see [10, p6].

Case (b). Suppose that $\lambda_2 = (rq)^{-1}$, $\lambda_3 = rp^{-1}$ and $\lambda_1 = (pq)^{-1}$. Then $\text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{A})$ is 1-dimensional spanned by the σ -derivation ∂ of \mathcal{A} such that $\partial(x_1) = x_2x_3$ and $\partial(x_2) = \partial(x_3) = 0$. The defining relations for $S = \mathcal{A}[x_4; \sigma, \partial]$ are (6) and

$$x_4x_1 = (pq)^{-1}x_1x_4 + x_2x_3, \quad x_4x_2 = (rq)^{-1}x_4x_2, \quad x_4x_3 = rq^{-1}x_3x_4.$$

Although the relations look superficially like those in Case (a), this algebra is quite different, at least when $\text{char } \mathbb{K} = 0$. As $(x_3^{-1}x_2^{-1}x_4)x_1 - x_1(x_3^{-1}x_2^{-1}x_4) = 1$, the quotient division algebra of S contains a copy of the first Weyl algebra A_1 whereas in Case (a) the quotient division algebra of S is the quotient division algebra of a quantum torus. As we observed in Remark 4.14, the quotient division algebra of a quantum torus cannot, in characteristic 0, contain a copy of A_1 .

7.6. The commutative case. Here we consider the case where $q_{ij} = 1$ for all i, j and $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_Q(\mathbb{K}^n)$ is the commutative polynomial algebra $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. If σ is inner on \mathcal{T} then $\sigma = \text{id}$ and $\text{Der}_\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ which, as is well-known or can be deduced from Proposition 5.3(ii), is generated as an \mathcal{A} -module by the derivations $\partial/\partial x_j$. Here we determine for which non-trivial toric automorphisms σ_Λ there are non-inner σ_Λ -derivations of \mathcal{A} .

Proposition 7.14. *Let \mathcal{A} be the commutative polynomial algebra $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, let $\sigma = \sigma_\Lambda$ be a non-trivial toric automorphism of \mathcal{A} , and let $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ be such that $\text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{A}) \neq \text{InnDer}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{A})$.*

- (i) *There exists a unique j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, such that $\lambda_j \neq 1$.*
- (ii) *For each j -exceptional $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{A})$ is one-dimensional spanned by the x_j -locally inner derivation induced by $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}}$.*
- (iii) *Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ with degree 0 in x_j . There is an x_j -locally inner σ -derivation δ_f of \mathcal{A} , induced by $(1 - q)^{-1}x_j^{-1}f$, such that $\delta_f(x_j) = f$ and $\delta_f(x_i) = 0$ for $i \neq j$. Every σ -derivation of \mathcal{A} is the sum of an inner σ -derivation and δ_f , for some $f \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n]$.*

Proof. As $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_Q(\mathbb{K}^n)$ where Q is the $n \times n$ matrix with each entry $q_{ij} = 1$, $q_i(\mathbf{d}) = 1$. As \mathcal{T} is commutative, the only inner automorphism of \mathcal{T} is $\text{id}_{\mathcal{T}}$, so σ is outer on \mathcal{T} and there exists j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, such that $\lambda_j \neq 1$.

(i) Suppose that $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$. By Lemma 5.2, $\text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{A}) = \text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{T})$ so, by Proposition 4.8(i), $\text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{A})$ is one-dimensional spanned by the inner σ -derivation induced by $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}}$, contradicting the hypothesis that $\text{Der}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{A}) \neq \text{InnDer}_{\sigma, \mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{A})$. Hence $\mathbf{d} \notin \mathbb{N}_0^n$ and, by Lemma 5.2(ii), \mathbf{d} is j -exceptional for some j , $1 \leq j \leq n$. By Proposition 5.3(iii), $\lambda_i = 1$ when $i \neq j$ so, as σ is non-trivial, $\lambda_j \neq 1$.

(ii) As $q_i(\mathbf{d}) = 1 = \lambda_i$ when $i \neq j$ and $q_j(\mathbf{d}) = 1 \neq \lambda_j$, this is immediate from Proposition 5.3(ii).

(iii) is immediate from (ii). □

Remark 7.15. In Proposition 7.14(iii), the Ore extension $\mathcal{A}[z; \sigma, \delta_f]$ is such that $zx_j = qx_jz + f$, x_i is central for $i \neq j$, and $x_jz - (1 - q)^{-1}f$ is normal. An example, with $n = 1$ and $f = 1 - q$, is the quantum disc in Example 3.7.

8. ITERATED ORE EXTENSIONS OF \mathbb{K}

Throughout this section, let n be a positive integer and let $R_1 \subset R_2 \subset \dots \subset R_n$ be a sequence of Ore extensions such that $R_1 = \mathbb{K}[x_1]$ and, for $2 \leq i \leq n$, $R_i = R_{i-1}[x_i; \sigma_i, \delta_i]$

for some automorphism σ_i of R_{i-1} and some σ_i -derivation δ_i of R_{i-1} . Suppose that, for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, there exists $\lambda_{ij} \in \mathbb{K}^*$ such that $\sigma_j(x_i) = \lambda_{ij}x_i$. The algebras R_n include the quantum nilpotent algebras [18], also known as *CGL*-extensions [24]. The definition of these has extra conditions involving rational actions, local nilpotency of the skew derivations and avoidance of roots of unity for the parameters, see [18].

If R_n is a quantum nilpotent algebra, there are two algorithms, due to Cauchon [13] and to Goodearl and Yakimov [17], that will embed R_n in a quantum torus contained in the quotient division algebra $\text{Fract}(R_n)$. The results of Sections 5 and 6, and their proofs, provide an algorithm which, for R_n in general, will either embed R_n in a selectively localized quantum space (and hence in a quantum torus) contained in $\text{Fract}(R_n)$ or show that $\text{Fract}(R_n)$ contains a copy of the first Weyl algebra A_1 . If $\text{char } \mathbb{K} = 0$ then, by Remark 4.14, the algorithm will decide whether R_n embeds in a quantum torus within $\text{Fract}(R_n)$ and, if so, will produce such an embedding.

An outcome that R_n embeds in a quantum torus within $\text{Fract}(R_n)$ arises through a sequence of selectively localized quantum spaces $S_1 \subset S_2 \subset \cdots \subset S_n$ such that $S_1 = R_1 = \mathbb{K}[x_1]$ and, for $2 \leq i \leq n$, (i) $R_i \subseteq S_i \subset \text{Fract}(R_i)$, (ii) σ_i and δ_i extend to S_{i-1} and (iii) $S_i = S'_{i-1}[yx_i - t_i; \sigma_i]$ where $t_i \in S_{i-1}$ and S'_{i-1} is a selectively localized quantum space obtained from S_{i-1} by localization at the powers of some product y of the canonical generators of S_{i-1} .

Alternatively there may be a sequence as above, but only as far as S_{j-1} for some $2 \leq j \leq n$, such that, on S_j , δ_j is y -locally conjugate to a non-zero derivation, for some product y as before, in which case σ_j is inner on the quantum torus obtained from S_{j-1} on the inversion of those canonical generators of S_{j-1} that are not already units in S_{j-1} . In this case there is, by Corollary 4.13, a copy of A_1 embedded in $\text{Fract}(R_j)$ and hence in $\text{Fract}(R_n)$.

The following lemma ensures that, after the replacement of x_i by $yx_i - t_i$ as above, the condition that the generators of R_i are eigenvectors for σ_j for $i < j \leq n$ is inherited by the generators of S_i .

Lemma 8.1. *Let $i \geq 2$, let $\ell = i - 1$ and let $S = \mathcal{O}_Q(\mathbb{K}^\ell)_{(1, \dots, k)}$ be a selectively localized quantum space for some multiplicatively antisymmetric $\ell \times \ell$ matrix Q . Let σ be a toric automorphism of S and let δ be a non-zero x -locally inner derivation, induced by $x^{-1}t$ for some product $x = x_{j_1}x_{j_2} \cdots x_{j_m}$, where $1 \leq m \leq n$ and $k + 1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_m \leq \ell$, and some $t \in S$. Let $T = S[x_i; \sigma, \delta]$ and let τ be an automorphism of any overalgebra of T such that x_j is an eigenvector of τ for $1 \leq j \leq i$. Then $xx_i - t$ is an eigenvector of τ .*

Proof. For $\rho \in \mathbb{K}$, let $E_\tau(\rho)$ denote the eigenspace $\{v \in T : \tau(v) = \rho v\}$ for ρ , of the restriction of τ to T . There exist $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{i-1}, \rho_i, \rho \in \mathbb{K}^*$ such that $x_j \in E_\tau(\rho_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq i$ and $x \in E_\tau(\rho)$. Note that $xx_i \in E_\tau(\rho\rho_i)$.

Let $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m$ be the homogeneous components of δ . These are the non-zero x -locally inner σ -derivations of S induced by $x^{-1}t_1, \dots, x^{-1}t_m$, where t_1, \dots, t_m are the homogeneous components in S of t . Let $1 \leq k \leq m$. There exists j such that $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ and $\delta_k(x_j) \neq 0$. Then

$$x_i x_j - \sigma(x_j) x_i = \delta(x_j)$$

so $\delta(x_j) \in E_\tau(\rho_j \rho_i)$, whence, as $\delta_k(x_j)$ is a homogeneous component of $\delta(x_j)$, $\delta_k(x_j) \in E_\tau(\rho_j \rho_i)$. As $\delta_k(x_j) \in \mathbb{K}^* x^{-1} x_j t_k$, $x^{-1} x_j t_k \in E_\tau(\rho_j \rho_i)$. Hence $t_k \in E_\tau(\rho \rho_i)$ for all k and so $t \in E_\tau(\rho \rho_i)$ and $xx_i - t \in E_\tau(\rho \rho_i)$. \square

Algorithm 8.2. The algorithm proceeds in up to $n - 1$ stages, with Stage i dealing with the adjunction of x_i . As $S_1 = \mathbb{K}[x_1]$ the algorithm effectively begins with Stage 2. For $i \geq 2$, Stage i begins with a localization S_{i-1} of R_{i-1} that, for some multiplicatively antisymmetric $(i - 1) \times (i - 1)$ matrix Q_{i-1} , is a selectively localized quantum space $\mathcal{O}_{Q_{i-1}}(\mathbb{K}^{i-1})_{(1,2,\dots,k)}$ together with a toric automorphism σ of S_{i-1} and a σ -derivation δ , that are extensions from R_{i-1} of σ_i and δ_i respectively. For the duration of Stage i , we shall renumber and rename the canonical generators of S_{i-1} as $y_1^{\pm 1}, y_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, y_k^{\pm 1}, y_{k+1}, \dots, y_{i-1}$.

Stage i involves a number of steps. *Step(a)* is the computation of $\sigma(y_j)$ for those y_j 's that were not among the original generators of R_{i-1} and the identification of $\Lambda \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^{i-1}$ such that, on S_{i-1} , $\sigma = \sigma_\Lambda$. If $\delta = 0$ then the output of Stage i is $S_i = S_{i-1}[x_i; \sigma]$, which is the selectively localized quantum space $\mathcal{O}_{Q_i}(\mathbb{K}^i)_{(1,2,\dots,k)}$, where Q_i is the multiplicatively antisymmetric $i \times i$ matrix obtained from Q_{i-1} by adding an extra row and column so that $q_{ij} = \lambda_j$ for $1 \leq j < i$.

Step(b) implements Proposition 5.3/6.3 and is to be followed for each j , $1 \leq j \leq i - 1$. It begins with the computation of $\delta(y_j)$ in terms of y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{i-1} , rather than in terms of x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1} , and the identification of those $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $\delta_{\mathbf{d}}(y_j) \neq 0$ and $\delta_{\mathbf{d}}(y_i) = 0$ for $i < j$. For each such \mathbf{d} , either \mathbf{d} is j -exceptional or $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^k \times \mathbb{N}_0^{n-k}$ and we proceed as follows to determine the nature of the component $\delta_{\mathbf{d}}$.

If \mathbf{d} is j -exceptional then compute $q_j(\mathbf{d})$. If $q_j(\mathbf{d}) = \lambda_j$ then the algorithm terminates with the outcome that $\text{Fract}(R_n)$ contains a copy of A_1 , which may be identified following the proof of Corollary 4.13. If $q_j(\mathbf{d}) \neq \lambda_j$ then, comparing $\delta(y_j)$ and $\psi(y_j)$ where ψ is y_j^{-1} -locally inner, induced by $y_j^{-1} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{d}+e_j}$, identify $u \in \mathbb{K}^*$ such that $\delta_{\mathbf{d}} = u\psi$.

If \mathbf{d} is not j -exceptional compute $q_\ell(\mathbf{d})$ for all ℓ , $1 \leq \ell \leq i - 1$. If $q_\ell(\mathbf{d}) = \lambda_\ell$ for all ℓ then the algorithm terminates with the outcome that $\text{Fract}(R_n)$ contains a copy of A_1 . If $q_\ell(\mathbf{d}) \neq \lambda_\ell$ for some ℓ then identify $v \in \mathbb{K}^*$ such that $\delta_{\mathbf{d}} = v\xi$ where ξ is inner, induced by $\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{d}}$.

Step(c) implements Proposition 5.8/6.5 and is only reached if every non-zero homogeneous component of δ is either y_j -locally inner for some j or inner. Taking common denominators, identify $t \in S_{i-1}$ such that δ is y -locally inner, induced by $y^{-1}t$, where $y = y_{j_1} y_{j_2} \dots y_{j_m}$, j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m being the distinct values of $j > k$ for which δ has a non-zero y_j -homogeneous component of j -exceptional weight.

Let y_i be the normal element $yx_i - t$ of $S_{i-1}[x_i; \sigma, \delta]$. Identify the multiplicatively antisymmetric $i \times i$ matrix Q' such that the localization S_i of $S_{i-1}[x_i; \sigma, \delta]$ at the powers of y is the selectively localized quantum space $\mathcal{O}_{Q'}(\mathbb{K}^{m+1})_{(i_1, \dots, i_{k+\ell})}$ with generators $y_1^{\pm 1}, y_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, y_k^{\pm 1}, y_{k+1}, \dots, y_{i-1}, y_{j_1}^{-1}, y_{j_2}^{-1}, \dots, y_{j_m}^{-1}, y_i$.

Example 8.3. Here we follow the algorithm in a well-known example chosen to illustrate the algorithm itself rather than to add to understanding of the example. Let R_9 be the single parameter algebra of 3×3 quantum matrices, with the generators x_{ij} , $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$,

adjoined in the order $x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{21}, x_{22}, x_{13}, x_{23}, x_{31}, x_{32}, x_{33}$, so that R_4 is the algebra of 2×2 quantum matrices, as in Example 7.13, and R_6 is the algebra of 2×3 quantum matrices. The parameter $q \in \mathbb{K}^*$ is such that $q^2 \neq 1$. For $4 \leq i \leq 9$, Q_i will denote the $i \times i$ submatrix of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & q & q & 1 & q & q & q & q & 1 \\ q^{-1} & 1 & 1 & 1 & q & 1 & 1 & q & 1 \\ q^{-1} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & q & q & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & q & q & q & q & 1 \\ q^{-1} & q^{-1} & 1 & q^{-1} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ q^{-1} & 1 & q^{-1} & q^{-1} & 1 & 1 & 1 & q & 1 \\ q^{-1} & 1 & q^{-1} & q^{-1} & 1 & 1 & 1 & q & 1 \\ q^{-1} & q^{-1} & 1 & q^{-1} & 1 & q^{-1} & q^{-1} & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

by deleting rows $i + 1$ to 9 and columns $i + 1$ to 9.

We shall not present full details of the calculations which are routine. Stages 2-4 in the algorithm for R_9 were, in effect, carried out in Example 7.13, with $p = q$ and $r = 1$. At Stages 2 and 3, the derivation δ is 0 and at Stage 4, δ is x_{11} -locally inner, induced by $qx_{11}^{-1}x_{12}x_{21}$. The output from Stage 4 is the selectively localized quantum space $S_4 := \mathcal{O}_{Q_4}(\mathbb{K}^4)_{(1)}$, with canonical generators $x_{11}^{\pm 1}, x_{12}, x_{21}$ and the quantum determinant $y_{22} = x_{11}x_{22} - qx_{12}x_{21}$.

At Stage 5, $R_5 = R_4[x_{13}; \sigma]$, where $\sigma(x_{11}) = q^{-1}x_{11}$, $\sigma(x_{12}) = q^{-1}x_{12}$, $\sigma(x_{21}) = x_{21}$ and $\sigma(x_{22}) = x_{22}$.

At Step(a), $\sigma(y_{22}) = q^{-1}y_{22}$ so $\sigma = \sigma_\Lambda$, where $\Lambda = (q^{-1}, q^{-1}, 1, q^{-1})$. The skew derivation δ_5 is 0 so the output from Stage 5 is the selectively localized quantum space $S_5 := \mathcal{O}_{Q_5}(\mathbb{K}^5)_{(1)}$, with canonical generators $x_{11}^{\pm 1}, x_{12}, x_{21}, y_{22}$ and x_{13} .

At Stage 6, $R_6 = R_5[x_{23}; \sigma, \delta]$ where $\sigma(x_{11}) = x_{11}$, $\sigma(x_{12}) = x_{12}$, $\sigma(x_{21}) = q^{-1}x_{21}$, $\sigma(x_{22}) = q^{-1}x_{22}$, $\sigma(x_{13}) = q^{-1}x_{13}$, $\delta(x_{11}) = (q^{-1} - q)x_{13}x_{21}$, $\delta(x_{12}) = (q^{-1} - q)x_{13}x_{22}$ and $\delta(x_{21}) = \delta(x_{22}) = \delta(x_{13}) = 0$. This is the algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(M_{2,3}(\mathbb{K}))$ of quantum 2×3 matrices.

At Step(a), $\sigma(y_{22}) = q^{-1}y_{22}$ and, on S_5 , $\sigma = \sigma_\Lambda$, where $\Lambda = (1, 1, q^{-1}, q^{-1}, q^{-1})$.

At Step(b), with $\ell = 1$, $\delta(x_{11}) = (q^{-1} - q)x_{13}x_{21}$, so there is a homogeneous component δ_1 , which has degree $\mathbf{d}_1 = (-1, 0, 1, 0, 1)$. Here $\lambda_1 = 1 \neq q^{-2} = q_1(\mathbf{d}_1)$ and δ_1 is inner on S_5 , induced by $qx_{11}^{-1}x_{13}x_{21}$.

With $\ell = 2$, $\delta(x_{12})$ has a term of degree $(-1, 1, 1, 0, 1)$, which must be $\delta_1(x_{12})$, and $\delta(x_{12}) = \delta_1(x_{12}) + \delta_2(x_{12})$, where δ_2 is homogeneous of 2-exceptional degree $\mathbf{d}_2 = (-1, -1, 0, 1, 1)$ and $\delta_2(x_{12}) = (q^{-1} - q)x_{11}^{-1}y_{22}x_{13}$. As $\lambda_2 = 1 \neq q^{-2} = q_2(\mathbf{d}_2)$, δ_2 is x_{12} -locally inner on S_5 , induced by $qx_{12}^{-1}x_{11}^{-1}y_{22}x_{13}$.

At Step(c), δ is x_{12} -locally inner on S_5 , induced by $x_{12}^{-1}t$, where $t = qx_{11}^{-1}(y_{22} + qx_{12}x_{21})x_{13} = qx_{22}x_{13}$. Let $y_{23} = x_{12}x_{23} - t$ which, in terms of the original generators of R_6 , is the *quantum minor* $x_{12}x_{23} - qx_{22}x_{13} \in R_6$. The output from Stage 6 is the selectively localized quantum space $S_6 := \mathcal{O}_{Q_6}(\mathbb{K}^6)_{(1,2)}$, with canonical generators $x_{11}^{\pm 1}, x_{12}^{\pm 1}, x_{21}, y_{22}, x_{13}$ and y_{23} .

At Stage 7, $R_7 = R_6[x_{31}; \sigma]$, where $\sigma(x_{11}) = q^{-1}x_{11}$, $\sigma(x_{12}) = x_{12}$, $\sigma(x_{21}) = q^{-1}x_{21}$, $\sigma(x_{22}) = x_{22}$, $\sigma(x_{13}) = x_{13}$ and $\sigma(x_{23}) = x_{23}$. At Step(a), $\sigma(y_{22}) = q^{-1}y_{22}$ and $\sigma(y_{23}) = y_{23}$

so, on S_6 , $\sigma = \sigma_\Lambda$ where $\Lambda = (q^{-1}, 1, q^{-1}, q^{-1}, 1, 1)$. The skew derivation being 0, the output from Stage 7 is the selectively localized quantum space $S_7 := \mathcal{O}_{Q_7}(\mathbb{K}^7)_{(1,2)}$, with canonical generators $x_{11}^{\pm 1}, x_{12}^{\pm 1}, x_{21}, y_{22}, x_{13}, y_{23}$ and x_{31} .

At Stage 8, $R_8 = R_7[x_{32}; \sigma, \delta]$ where $\sigma(x_{11}) = x_{11}, \sigma(x_{12}) = q^{-1}x_{12}, \sigma(x_{21}) = x_{21}, \sigma(x_{22}) = q^{-1}x_{22}, \sigma(x_{13}) = x_{13}, \sigma(x_{23}) = x_{23}, \sigma(x_{31}) = q^{-1}x_{13}$ while $\delta(x_{11}) = (q^{-1} - q)x_{12}x_{31}, \delta(x_{21}) = (q^{-1} - q)x_{22}x_{31}$, and $\delta(x_{12}) = \delta(x_{13}) = \delta(x_{22}) = \delta(x_{23}) = \delta(x_{31}) = 0$. The calculations at this stage can be expedited by exploiting the known automorphism τ of $\mathcal{O}_q(M_3(\mathbb{K}))$ such that $\tau(x_{ij}) = x_{ji}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$ (see [23]), and the corresponding calculations in the adjunction of x_{23} at Stage 6.

At Step(a), $\sigma(y_{22}) = q^{-1}y_{22}$, and $\sigma(y_{23}) = q^{-1}y_{23}$ and, as an automorphism of S_7 , $\sigma = \sigma_\Lambda$ where $\Lambda = (1, q^{-1}, 1, q^{-1}, 1, q^{-1}, q^{-1})$.

At Step(b) with $\ell = 1$, yields a homogeneous component δ_1 , of degree $(-1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1)$, such that $\delta_1(x_{11}) = (q^{-1} - q)x_{12}x_{31}$ and δ_1 is inner on S_7 , induced by $qx_{11}^{-1}x_{12}x_{31}$.

With $\ell = 2$, $\delta(x_{21}) = 0$, yielding no new homogeneous component and, with $\ell = 3$, $\delta(x_{21}) = \delta_1(x_{21}) + (q^{-1} - q)x_{11}^{-1}x_{12}x_{21}x_{31}$, there is an x_{21} -locally inner homogeneous component δ_2 , of degree $d_2 = (-1, 0, -1, 1, 0, 0, 1)$ on S_7 , induced by $qx_{21}^{-1}x_{11}^{-1}y_{22}x_{31}$.

As $\delta(y_{22}) = 0 = \delta(y_{13}) = \delta(y_{23}) = \delta(y_{31})$, there are no further homogeneous components of δ .

At Step(c), δ is x_{21} -locally inner on S_7 , induced by $x_{21}^{-1}t$, where $t = qx_{11}^{-1}(y_{22} + x_{12}x_{21})x_{31} = qx_{22}x_{31}$. Let $y_{32} = x_{21}x_{32} - t$. In terms of the original generators of R_8 , y_{32} is the *quantum minor* $x_{21}x_{32} - qx_{22}x_{31}$. The output from Stage 8 is the selectively localized quantum space $S_8 := \mathcal{O}_{Q_8}(\mathbb{K}^8)_{(1,2,3)}$, with canonical generators $x_{11}^{\pm 1}, x_{12}^{\pm 1}, x_{21}^{\pm 1}, y_{22}, x_{13}, y_{23}$, and y_{32} .

At Step(a) of Stage 9, $\sigma(y_{22}) = y_{22}, \sigma(y_{23}) = q^{-1}y_{23}$ and $\sigma(y_{32}) = q^{-1}y_{32}$ so, on S_8 , $\sigma = \sigma_\Lambda$, where $\Lambda = (1, 1, 1, 1, q^{-1}, q^{-1}, q^{-1}, q^{-1})$. At Step(b) with $\ell = 1$, there is an inner homogeneous component δ_1 on S_8 , of degree $(-1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0)$, induced by $qx_{11}^{-1}x_{13}x_{31}$, such that $\delta(x_{11}) = (q^{-1} - q)x_{13}x_{31}$.

With $\ell = 2$, $\delta(x_{12}) = \delta_1(x_{12}) + (q^{-1} - q)x_{21}^{-1}x_{13}y_{32} + (q^{-1} - q)x_{11}^{-1}x_{21}^{-1}y_{22}x_{13}x_{31}$ and there are homogeneous components δ_2 , of degree $(0, -1, -1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1)$, and δ_3 , of degree $(-1, -1, -1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0)$. Here δ_2 and δ_3 are inner on S_8 , induced by $qx_{12}^{-1}x_{21}^{-1}x_{13}y_{32}$ and $x_{11}^{-1}x_{12}^{-1}x_{21}^{-1}y_{22}x_{13}x_{31}$ respectively.

With $\ell = 3$, there is a homogeneous component δ_4 , of degree $(0, -1, -1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1)$, such that $\delta_4(x_{21}) = (q^{-1} - q)x_{12}^{-1}y_{23}x_{31}$ and $\delta(x_{21}) = (\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_3 + \delta_4)(x_{21})$. Here δ_4 is inner on S_8 , induced by $x_{11}^{-1}x_{12}^{-1}x_{21}^{-1}y_{22}x_{13}x_{31}$.

With $\ell = 4$, a substantial calculation shows that there is a y_{22} -locally inner homogeneous component δ_5 on S_8 , of degree $(1, -1, -1, -1, 0, 1, 0, 1)$, induced by $q^2x_{11}x_{12}^{-1}x_{21}^{-1}y_{22}^{-1}y_{23}y_{32}$ and such that $\delta(y_{22}) = (\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_3 + \delta_4 + \delta_5)(y_{22})$ and $\delta_5(y_{22}) = (1 - q^2)x_{11}x_{12}^{-1}x_{21}^{-1}y_{23}y_{32}$,

As $\delta(x_{13}) = \delta(y_{23}) = \delta(x_{31}) = \delta(y_{32}) = 0$, there are no further homogeneous components of δ .

At Step(c), $\delta = \delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_3 + \delta_4 + \delta_5$ is y_{22} -locally inner on S_8 , induced by $y_{22}^{-1}t$, where

$$t = qx_{11}^{-1}y_{22}x_{13}x_{31} + qx_{12}^{-1}x_{21}^{-1}y_{22}^2x_{13}y_{32} + x_{11}^{-1}x_{12}^{-1}x_{21}^{-1}y_{22}^2x_{13}x_{31} \\ + qx_{12}^{-1}x_{21}^{-1}y_{22}^2y_{23}x_{31} + q^2x_{11}x_{12}^{-1}x_{21}^{-1}y_{23}y_{32}.$$

Let $y_{33} = y_{22}x_{33} - t$. The output from Stage 9 is the selectively localized quantum space $\mathcal{O}_{Q_9}(\mathbb{K}^9)_{(1,2,3,4)}$, with canonical generators $x_{11}^{\pm 1}, x_{12}^{\pm 1}, x_{21}^{\pm 1}, y_{22}^{\pm 1}, x_{13}, y_{23}, x_{31}, y_{32}$ and y_{33} .

It can be checked, not without pain, that in terms of the original generators of R_9 , y_{33} is the quantum determinant of quantum 3×3 matrices, defined as in [10, I.2.3], which is known to be central, see, for example, [10, Exercise I.2.E].

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Alev and M. Chamarie, *Dérivations et automorphismes de quelques algèbres quantiques*, Comm. Algebra **20** (1992), 1787-1802.
- [2] J. Alev and F. Dumas, *Invariants du corps de Weyl sous l'action de groupes finis*, Comm. Algebra **25** (1997), no. 5, 1655-1672.
- [3] N. Andruskiewitsch, F. Dumas and H. M. Pena Pollastri, *On the double of the Jordan plane*, Ark. Mat. **60** (2022), 213-229.
- [4] M. Awami, M. Van den Bergh and F. Van Oystaeyen, *Note on derivations of graded rings and classification of differential polynomial rings*, Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. Sér. A **40** (1988), no. 2, 175-183.
- [5] M. Almulhem and T. Brzeziński, *Skew derivations on generalized Weyl algebras*, J. Algebra **493** (2018), 194-235.
- [6] G. Bellamy, D. Rogalski, T. Schedler, J. T. Stafford and M. Wemyss, *Noncommutative algebraic geometry*, Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications **64**, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2016.
- [7] G. Benkart, S. A. Lopes and M. A. Ondrus, *A parametric family of subalgebras of the Weyl algebra I. Structure and automorphisms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **367** (2015), 1993-2021.
- [8] G. Benkart, S. A. Lopes and M. A. Ondrus, *A parametric family of subalgebras of the Weyl algebra II. Irreducible modules*, Algebraic and Combinatorial Approaches to Representation Theory, ed. V. Chari, J. Greenstein, K.C. Misra, K.N. Raghavan, and S. Viswanath, Contemp. Math. **602** (2013), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 73-79.
- [9] G. Benkart, S. A. Lopes, M. A. Ondrus, *Derivations of a parametric family of subalgebras of the Weyl algebra*, J. Algebra **424** (2015), 46-97.
- [10] K. A. Brown and K. R. Goodearl, *Lectures on Algebraic Quantum Groups*, Birkhäuser (Advanced Courses in Mathematics CRM Barcelona), Basel-Boston-Berlin, 2002.
- [11] K. A. Brown and J. T. Stafford, *The prime spectrum of the Drinfeld double of the Jordan plane*, arXiv:2301.04428v1.
- [12] P. M. Cohn, *Free Rings and Their Relations*, 2nd ed., Academic Press, London, 1985.
- [13] G. Cauchon, *Effacement des dérivations et spectres premiers d'algèbres quantiques*, J. Algebra **260** (2003), 476-518.
- [14] C. D. Fish and D. A. Jordan, *Connected quantized Weyl algebras and quantum cluster algebras* J. Pure Appl. Algebra **222** (2018), 2374-2412.
- [15] K. R. Goodearl, *Prime Ideals in Skew Polynomial Rings and Quantized Weyl Algebras*, J. Algebra **150** (1992), 324-377.
- [16] K. R. Goodearl and R. B. Warfield Jr, *An Introduction to Noncommutative Noetherian Rings*, Second Edition, London Math. Soc. Student Texts 61, Cambridge, 2004.
- [17] K. R. Goodearl and M. T. Yakimov, *From quantum Ore extensions to quantum tori via noncommutative UFDs*, Adv. Math. **300** (2016), 672-716.

- [18] K. R. Goodearl and M. T. Yakimov, *Quantum cluster algebra structures on quantum nilpotent algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **247** 119 pp, (2017)
- [19] D. A. Jordan, *Iterated skew polynomial rings and quantum groups*, J. Algebra **174** (1993), 267-281.
- [20] D. A. Jordan, *Down-up algebras and ambiskew polynomial rings*, J. Algebra **228** (2000), 311-346.
- [21] D. A. Jordan, *Normal elements of degree one in Ore extensions*, Communications in Algebra, **30** (2000), 803-807.
- [22] D. A. Jordan and I. E. Wells, *Simple ambiskew polynomial rings*, J. Algebra **382** (2013) 46-70.
- [23] S. Launois and T. H. Lenagan, *Automorphisms of quantum matrices*, Glasg. Math. J. 55 (2013), 89-100.
- [24] S. Launois, T. H. Lenagan and L. Rigal, *Quantum unique factorisation domains*, J. London Math. Soc. **74** (2006), 321-340.
- [25] J. C. McConnell and J. J. Pettit, *Crossed products and multiplicative analogues of Weyl algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **38** (1988), 47-55.
- [26] J. C. McConnell and J. C. Robson, *Noncommutative Noetherian rings*, Wiley, Chichester (1987).
- [27] L. Richard, *Équivalence rationnelle d'algèbres polynomiales classiques et quantiques*, J. Algebra **287**, 52-87 (2005).
- [28] E. Wexler-Kreindler, *Sur une classification des extensions d'Ore*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **282** (1976), 1331-1333.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF SHEFFIELD, HICKS BUILDING, SHEFFIELD S3 7RH, UK

Email address: `d.a.jordan@sheffield.ac.uk`