

EXISTENCE AND NON-EXISTENCE OF COHOMOGENEITY ONE EINSTEIN METRICS

HANCI CHI

ABSTRACT. This paper derives sufficient conditions for the existence and non-existence of cohomogeneity one Einstein metrics on double disk bundles of two summands type. These conditions are inequalities that only involve geometric data from the principal orbits. The existence theorem yields five infinite families and ten other sporadic examples of new inhomogeneous Einstein metrics. The non-existence theorem yields five examples whose principal orbits admit invariant Einstein metrics.

1. INTRODUCTION

A Riemannian metric g is Einstein if $\text{Ric}(g) = \Lambda g$ for some constant Λ . If $\Lambda > 0$, the manifold (M, g) is compact by Myers' Theorem [Mye41]. A fruitful approach to finding compact Einstein manifolds is letting M be homogeneous. A general existence theorem for homogeneous Einstein metrics is established in [WZ86], [BWZ04], and [Böh04]. It is known in [BK06] that each compact simply connected homogeneous space of dimension less than 12 admits a homogeneous Einstein metric. For low dimensional homogeneous Einstein manifolds up to dimension 7; see [Jen69], [Gor77], [ADF96], [NR99], [NR03], and [Nik04].

To find inhomogeneous Einstein metrics of large symmetry groups, we turn to the cohomogeneity one Einstein metrics, whose principal orbits G/K are of codimension one. The cohomogeneity one condition reduces the Einstein equation to a system of ODEs. Previous examples of cohomogeneity one Einstein metrics include the first example of an inhomogeneous positive Einstein metric; see [Pag78]. The Page's metric is generalized in a series of works including [BB82], [KS86], [PP87], [KS88], and [WW98]. Einstein metrics in these works have their principal orbits as principal $U(1)$ -bundles over a Fano manifold or a product of Fano manifolds. In [Böh98], new cohomogeneity one Einstein metrics were found on some low dimensional manifolds, including spheres. One of these Einstein metrics is defined on the connected sum $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^2 \sharp \overline{\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^2}$, and its principal orbit is the total space of the quaternionic Hopf fibration. The Böhm's metric is generalized to all high dimensional $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^{m+1} \sharp \overline{\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^{m+1}}$ in [Chi24], confirming the numerical evidence in [PP86].

Let G/K be the total space of a homogeneous fibration

$$(1.1) \quad \mathbb{S}^{d_1} = H/K \hookrightarrow G/K \rightarrow G/H = \mathcal{Q}^{d_2}.$$

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C25 (primary).

Keywords: Einstein metric, cohomogeneity one metric.

The author is supported by NSFC (No. 12071489, No. 12301078), the Foundation for Young Scholars of Jiangsu Province, China (BK-20220282), and XJTLU Research Development Funding (RDF-21-02-083).

The compact cohomogeneity one manifold M of dimension $n = d_1 + d_2 + 1$ is an \mathbb{S}^{d_1+1} -bundle over \mathcal{Q}^{d_2} . Since the manifold M is formed by gluing two copies of a disk bundle over \mathcal{Q}^{d_2} along \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{K} , it is also referred to as a *double disk bundle* associated to the group triple $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{G})$. We extend the techniques in [Chi24] to a broader class of double disk bundles of *two summands type*, where the cohomogeneity one Einstein equation admits an ansatz consisting of two metric components f_1^2 and f_2^2 , respectively for $\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$. Cases where the isotropy representations of principle orbits split into two irreducible summands are included. The cohomogeneity one Einstein equation on M is characterized by the structural triple (d_1, d_2, A) associated with \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{K} , where the constant $A \geq 0$ is the norm square of the fundamental tensor in the theory of Riemannian submersion [O’N66].

This paper proves the following existence theorem with the geometric setting described above.

Theorem 1.1. *For any (d_1, d_2) with $d_2 \geq d_1 \geq 2$, there exists a constant $\chi_{d_1, d_2} \in \left(0, \frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{d_1^2(d_1d_2-d_2+4)}\right]$ such that if \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{K} is a principal orbit with the structural triple (d_1, d_2, A) and $A \in (0, \chi_{d_1, d_2})$, there exists at least one cohomogeneity one Einstein metric on M .*

The case where $d_1 = 1$ was well studied in [BB82] and many aforementioned works that followed, where it was not even assumed that the hypersurface of M is a homogeneous space. We hence consider $d_1 \geq 2$ in this paper. We also claim that no generality is lost by the condition $d_2 \geq d_1$. If $d_2 < d_1$, then by slice theorem, a tubular neighborhood around \mathcal{Q}^{d_2} is identified as a vector bundle whose rank is larger than d_2 . Therefore, the slice representation of \mathbf{H} contains at least two trivial summands, meaning \mathbf{H} does not act transitively on \mathbf{H}/\mathbf{K} . By the assumption of \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{K} being of two summands type, the principal orbit is necessarily a Riemann product $\mathbb{S}^{d_1} \times \mathcal{Q}^{d_2}$ and $A = 0$. Then $M = \mathbb{S}^{d_1+1} \times \mathcal{Q}^{d_2}$ obviously admits a product metric that is Einstein.

The constant χ_{d_1, d_2} is obtained by comparing several algebraic functions’ minima. The explicit formula of χ_{d_1, d_2} for a general (d_1, d_2) is fairly complicated. An alternative way to check $A < \chi_{d_1, d_2}$ is provided below; see Remark 4.21 and Proposition 4.24. Theorem 1.1 not only recovers Einstein metrics on $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^{m+1} \sharp \overline{\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}}^{m+1}$ in [Böh98] and [Chi24], but also yields new Einstein metrics on:

- (1) an \mathbb{S}^3 -bundle over a Wolf space (three infinite families and five other sporadic examples), as numerically predicted in [GPP90];
- (2) $\mathbb{S}^4 \times \mathbb{S}^3$;
- (3) an \mathbb{S}^4 -bundle over B^7 and $G_2/Sp(1)_{\max}$;
- (4) an \mathbb{S}^5 -bundle over $Sp(m+2)/[Sp(m) \times Sp(2)]$ with $m \geq 27$;
- (5) an \mathbb{S}^4 -bundle over $N \times N$, where N is B^7 , $G_2/Sp(1)_{\max}$ or $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^m$ with $m \geq 10$.

All the solutions above admit \mathbb{Z}_2 -symmetry with respect to the principal orbit with maximal volume. Such a principal orbit is totally geodesic, a useful property to prove that the Einstein metric is inhomogeneous. Furthermore, Theorem 1.1 applies to orbifolds. For example, the existence result on $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^{m+1} \sharp \overline{\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}}^{m+1}$ carries over into orbifolds whose principal orbits are Konishi’s bundles. We also obtain examples of Einstein metrics on orbifolds whose principal orbits are $SO(3)$ -bundles over non-symmetric strongly irreducible homogeneous spaces.

For $d_1 \in \{2, 3\}$, we have a lower bound $\tilde{\chi}_{d_1, d_2}$ for χ_{d_1, d_2} . The formula for $\tilde{\chi}_{d_1, d_2}$ is simpler, and $A \leq \tilde{\chi}_{d_1, d_2}$ is good enough to generate many of the new Einstein metrics mentioned above.

Corollary 1.2. For $d_1 \in \{2, 3\}$, define

$$\tilde{\chi}_{d_1, d_2} = \begin{cases} 4d_2 \frac{(d_2-1)^2}{(d_2+8)^2} & d_1 = 2 \\ d_2 \frac{(d_2-1)^2}{(d_2+8)^2} & d_1 = 3 \end{cases}.$$

If \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{K} is a principal orbit whose structural triple is (d_1, d_2, A) with $d_1 \in \{2, 3\}$ and $A \in (0, \tilde{\chi}_{d_1, d_2}]$, there exists at least one cohomogeneity one Einstein metric on M .

As mentioned above, Theorem 1.1 becomes trivial if $A = 0$. Hence, it is natural to ask if a sufficiently small $A > 0$ can guarantee the existence of an Einstein metric on M . Modulo the homothety change, the homogeneous Einstein equation on \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{K} is a single variable quadratic equation of $\frac{f_1^2}{f_2^2}$. Let $n = d_1 + d_2$. If

$$(1.2) \quad A < \frac{1}{n + d_1} \frac{d_2(d_2 - 1)^2}{4(d_1 - 1)},$$

there are two real roots $\mu_1 > \mu_2$ of the quadratic equation and hence two homogeneous Einstein metrics on \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{K} . Correspondingly, there exist two cone solutions of the cohomogeneity one Einstein equation. A local analysis shows that the cone solution from μ_2 is a focal attractor if

$$(1.3) \quad n \leq 8, \quad A < \frac{(9 - n)(d_2 n + 7n + 9d_1)}{(d_1 n - 8n - 9d_1)^2} \frac{d_2(d_2 - 1)^2}{4(d_1 - 1)}.$$

For a \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{K} that satisfies (1.3), one exploits the \mathbb{Z}_2 -symmetry of the dynamical system to prove the existence of infinitely many cohomogeneity one Einstein metrics on M ; see [Böh98, Corollary 5.8]. Unfortunately, there is no known example of a \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{K} that satisfies (1.3) with $A > 0$. We are hence motivated to find a new upper bound for A , presumably in the interval $\left(\frac{(9-n)(d_2 n + 7n + 9d_1)}{(d_1 n - 8n - 9d_1)^2} \frac{d_2(d_2 - 1)^2}{4(d_1 - 1)}, \frac{1}{n + d_1} \frac{d_2(d_2 - 1)^2}{4(d_1 - 1)} \right)$, for the existence theorem. Through a global analysis of the dynamical system, we obtain Theorem 1.1.

With Theorem 1.1 established, we also have the following theorem that allows us to numerically check the existence of a second Einstein metric on M .

Theorem 1.3. *Let θ be the solution to the following initial value problem:*

$$\frac{d\theta}{d\eta} = \frac{n - 1}{2n} \tanh\left(\frac{\eta}{n}\right) \sin(2\theta) + \frac{\sqrt{(n - 1)(n + d_1)}}{n} \sqrt{\frac{4(d_1 - 1) - 2(d_2 - 1)\mu_2}{2d_1(d_1 - 1) + d_2(d_2 - 1)\mu_2}}, \quad \theta(0) = 0.$$

If \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{K} is a principal orbit whose structural triple is (d_1, d_2, A) with $A \in (0, \chi_{d_1, d_2})$ and $\lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} \theta < \frac{3\pi}{4}$, there exist at least two cohomogeneity one Einstein metrics on M .

On the other hand, if

$$(1.4) \quad A \geq \frac{1}{n + d_1} \frac{d_2(d_2 - 1)^2}{4(d_1 - 1)},$$

there exists at most one \mathbf{G} -invariant Einstein metric on \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{K} . It is further deduced that the two summands type ansatz does not yield any cohomogeneity one Einstein metric on M if (1.4) holds; see [Böh98, Theorem 3.1]. For principle orbits that satisfy (1.4), we present two previously known examples in Remark 3.2. It is natural to ask if there exists a \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{K} that satisfies (1.2) yet does not yield any Einstein metric on M from the two summands

type ansatz. With the following theorem, we find several examples to answer the question above affirmatively.

Theorem 1.4. *Define*

$$\Psi_{d_1, d_2} := \frac{(4(d_1 - 1)n^2 + d_2^2)(3n + d_1)}{(2n^2 + n + d_1)^2 d_1^2} \frac{d_2(d_2 - 1)^2}{4(d_1 - 1)}.$$

If \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{K} is a principal orbit with $(d_1, d_2) \notin \{(2, 2), (2, 3), (2, 4)\}$ and $A \in \left[\Psi_{d_1, d_2}, \frac{1}{n+d_1} \frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{4(d_1-1)} \right)$, then there does not exist any \mathbf{G} -invariant cohomogeneity one Einstein metric on M from the two summands type ansatz.

By the theorem above, the double disk bundle $\mathbb{O}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{O}\mathbb{P}^2}$ with $\text{Spin}(9)/\text{Spin}(7)$ as its principal orbit does not admit any $\text{Spin}(9)$ -invariant cohomogeneity one Einstein metric. Four other examples of Theorem 1.4 are presented in Table 2.

Using [Böh99, Theorem 4.1] and [Böh05, Theorem B], a non-existence theorem is established on some cohomogeneity one manifolds with two different singular orbits. Principal orbits of these manifolds do not admit any \mathbf{G} -invariant Einstein metrics. While principal orbits in Theorem 1.4 carry two \mathbf{G} -invariant Einstein metrics, the associated cohomogeneity one manifolds collapse to the same singular orbit on both ends. It remains to be seen whether Theorem 1.4 can be generalized to cohomogeneity one manifolds with two different singular orbits (the isotropy representations of the principal orbits necessarily split into more than two irreducible summands).

This paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we present the dynamical system for cohomogeneity one Einstein metrics of two summands type. In Section 3, we perform local analysis on critical points representing the initial and terminal conditions. In Section 4, we generalize the global analysis in [Chi24] and prove the main theorems. Section 5 lists some examples of the existence and non-existence of cohomogeneity one Einstein metrics. Principal orbits whose isotropy representations split into two irreducible summands are classified into the following three types:

- I. The group \mathbf{G} is simple. The isotropy representation $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k}$ splits into two inequivalent irreducible summands. All the admissible $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{G})$ of this type can be found in the classification in [DK08], with minor omissions complemented in [He12].
- II. The group \mathbf{G} is semi-simple. The isotropy representation $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k}$ splits into two equivalent irreducible summands. There are two examples of this type. The first is an existence example from the Ledger–Obata space $[Sp(1) \times Sp(1) \times Sp(1)]/\Delta_3 Sp(1) = \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. The second is a non-existence example from $\text{Spin}(8)/G_2 = \mathbb{S}^7 \times \mathbb{S}^7$, which is the case **I.16** in [DK08].
- III. The group \mathbf{G} is non-simple and semi-simple. The isotropy representation $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k}$ splits into two inequivalent irreducible summands. In particular, we consider principal orbits of the form $[LSp(1)]/[M\Delta Sp(1)]$, where $L/[MSp(1)]$ is a strongly irreducible homogeneous space; see [DZ79].

In addition, we consider two classes of principal orbits whose isotropy representations split into three irreducible summands. The first class consists of generalized Wallach spaces. Two infinite families of Einstein metrics are obtained from the classification in [Nik16]. The second class consists of principal orbits of the form $[L \times L]/[M \times \Delta Sp(1) \times M]$, where $L/[M \times Sp(1)]$ is irreducible. An infinite family of Einstein metrics is obtained from [Wan92]. Two sporadic examples are obtained from [LW24].

Notably, there are some, but not many principal orbits that satisfy $A \in \left(\frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{d_1^2(d_1d_2-d_2+4)}, \Psi_{d_1, d_2} \right)$. Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.4 do not apply to these cases. We call these cases *indeterminable*, and they are also presented in Section 5.

2. THE COHOMOGENEITY ONE EINSTEIN EQUATION

For a group triple (K, H, G) as in (1.1), let G be compact and semisimple. Let \tilde{b} a normal homogeneous metric on G/K . If G is simple, let \tilde{b} be the standard homogeneous metric induced by the negative Killing form $-B_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Assume that there exists a \tilde{b} -orthogonal decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$, where each summand is K -invariant (not necessarily irreducible). Then, there exists a 2-parameter family of homogeneous metrics on G/K with each parameter controls \mathbb{S}^{d_1} and \mathbb{Q}^{d_2} . We further assume that the Ricci endomorphism of G/K is diagonal for such a 2-parameter family. The background metric b used in this paper is rescaled from \tilde{b} , so that the homogeneous metric

$$(2.1) \quad f_1^2 b|_{\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{k}} + f_2^2 b|_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}$$

has the Ricci endomorphism $\text{diag}(r_1 I_{d_1}, r_2 I_{d_2})$, where

$$(2.2) \quad r_1 = \frac{d_1 - 1}{f_1^2} + A \frac{f_1^2}{f_2^4}, \quad r_2 = \frac{d_2 - 1}{f_2^2} - 2 \frac{d_1}{d_2} A \frac{f_1^2}{f_2^4}.$$

With a principal orbit G/K as above, each f_i is a function defined on the 1 dimensional orbit space $[0, t_*]$. From [EW00], the shape operator of G/K in M is $L := \text{diag} \left(\frac{\dot{f}_1}{f_1} I_{d_1}, \frac{\dot{f}_2}{f_2} I_{d_2} \right)$. The cohomogeneity one Einstein equation is

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{\ddot{f}_i}{f_i} - \left(\frac{\dot{f}_i}{f_i} \right)^2 = -\text{tr}(L) \frac{\dot{f}_i}{f_i} + r_i - \Lambda, \quad i = 1, 2;$$

$$(2.4) \quad d_1 \frac{\ddot{f}_1}{f_1} + d_2 \frac{\ddot{f}_2}{f_2} = -\Lambda.$$

Alternatively, (2.4) can be replaced by

$$(2.5) \quad \text{tr}(L^2) - \text{tr}^2(L) + d_1 r_1 + d_2 r_2 - (n-1)\Lambda = 0.$$

A solution (f_1, f_2) defines a cohomogeneity one Einstein metric

$$(2.6) \quad dt^2 + f_1^2(t) b|_{\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{k}} + f_2^2(t) b|_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}$$

on M if the following initial and terminal conditions are satisfied.

$$(2.7) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (f_1, \dot{f}_1, f_2, \dot{f}_2) = (0, 1, f, 0), \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow t_*} (f_1, \dot{f}_1, f_2, \dot{f}_2) = (0, -1, \bar{f}, 0), \quad f, \bar{f} > 0.$$

Follow [Chi24]. Let $d\eta = \sqrt{\text{tr}^2(L) + n\Lambda} dt$. Define

$$X_1 := \frac{\frac{\dot{f}_1}{f_1}}{\sqrt{\text{tr}^2(L) + n\Lambda}}, \quad X_2 := \frac{\frac{\dot{f}_2}{f_2}}{\sqrt{\text{tr}^2(L) + n\Lambda}}, \quad Y := \frac{\frac{1}{f_1}}{\sqrt{\text{tr}^2(L) + n\Lambda}}, \quad Z := \frac{\frac{1}{f_2}}{\sqrt{\text{tr}^2(L) + n\Lambda}}.$$

Define functions

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} G &:= d_1 X_1^2 + d_2 X_2^2, & H &:= d_1 X_1 + d_2 X_2, \\ R_1 &:= (d_1 - 1)Y^2 + AZ^2, & R_2 &:= (d_2 - 1)YZ - \frac{2d_1}{d_2} AZ^2. \end{aligned}$$

Equations (2.3) are transformed to

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} X'_i &= X_i H \left(G + \frac{1-H^2}{n} - 1 \right) + R_i - \frac{1-H^2}{n}, \quad i = 1, 2; \\ Y' &= Y \left(H \left(G + \frac{1-H^2}{n} \right) - X_1 \right), \\ Z' &= Z \left(H \left(G + \frac{1-H^2}{n} \right) + X_1 - 2X_2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

The conservation law (2.5) is transformed to the following algebraic surface.

$$(2.10) \quad \mathcal{C} : \frac{1}{n-1} (G - H^2 + d_1 R_1 + d_2 R_2) = \frac{1-H^2}{n}.$$

From (2.9), we can set Y and Z to be non-negative without loss of generality. Furthermore, since

$$(2.11) \quad H' = (H^2 - 1) \left(G + \frac{1}{n}(1 - H^2) \right) = (H^2 - 1) \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{d_1 d_2}{n} (X_1 - X_2)^2 \right),$$

the set

$$\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{C} \cap \{Y, Z \geq 0\} \cap \{H^2 \leq 1\}$$

is flow invariant. We consider the dynamical system (2.9) on \mathcal{E} . The subsystem restricted on $\mathcal{RF}^+ := \mathcal{E} \cap \{H = 1\}$ is the cohomogeneity one Ricci-flat equation with the same group triple. To retrieve the original system, we apply [Chi24, Equation (2.10)].

3. LOCAL ANALYSIS

We perform local analysis at some important critical points of (2.9). The initial and terminal conditions (2.7) are respectively transformed to critical points

$$p_0^\pm = \left(\pm \frac{1}{d_1}, 0, \frac{1}{d_1}, 0 \right).$$

The local existence of cohomogeneity one Einstein metrics is obtained from the local analysis at p_0^+ . The linearization at p_0^+ is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{4d_2 - 2d_1(d_2 - 1)}{d_1 n} & \frac{d_2(d_1 - 1)(d_1 - d_2)}{d_1^2 n} & \frac{2(d_1 - 1)}{d_1} & 0 \\ \frac{2d_1}{n} & \frac{1}{d_1} + \frac{d_2 - d_1}{n} & 0 & \frac{d_2 - 1}{d_1} \\ \frac{2d_2}{d_1 n} & \frac{d_2(d_2 - d_1)}{d_1^2 n} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{d_1} \end{bmatrix}$$

The critical point is hyperbolic. The following are the only two unstable eigenvectors that are tangent to \mathcal{E} . Both eigenvectors have the same eigenvalue as $\frac{2}{d_1}$.

$$v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -2d_2(d_2 - 1) \\ 2d_1(d_2 - 1) \\ -d_2(d_2 - 1) \\ 2d_1(d_1 + 1) \end{bmatrix}, \quad v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -d_1^2 + d_1 d_2 - n \\ -2d_1^2 \\ -d_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

From the unstable version of [CL55, Theorem 4.5, Chapter 13], there exists a continuous 1-parameter family γ_s that emanates p_0^+ such that

$$(3.1) \quad \gamma_s \sim p_0^+ + se^{\frac{2}{d_1}\eta}v_1 + e^{\frac{2}{d_1}\eta}v_2 + O\left(e^{\left(\frac{2}{d_1}+\delta\right)\eta}\right).$$

The integral curve γ_0 is in the invariant set $\mathcal{E} \cap \{Z = 0\}$. Each γ_s with $s > 0$ is in the interior $\mathcal{E}^\circ := \mathcal{E} \cap \{Y, Z > 0\} \cap \{H^2 < 1\}$ and it represents a locally defined positive Einstein metric around \mathcal{Q}^{d_2} . As v_1 is tangent to \mathcal{RF}^+ , the integral curve that emanates from p_0^+ along v_1 represents a locally defined Ricci-flat metric around \mathcal{Q}^{d_2} .

To show the existence of a complete Einstein metric on M is equivalent to showing a γ_s joins p_0^\pm for some $s > 0$. The existence of such a heterocline depends on the existence of critical points with non-zero Y and Z , which satisfy the quadratic equation

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{1}{Y^2}(R_1 - R_2) = \frac{n + d_1}{d_2}Al^2 - (d_2 - 1)l + (d_1 - 1) = 0.$$

Let Δ be the discriminant of (3.2), the condition $\Delta \leq 0$ is equivalent to (1.4). We recover [Böh98, Theorem 3.1] in the following.

Theorem 3.1. *If $\Delta \leq 0$, then $\lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_s \neq p_0^-$ for any $s \geq 0$.*

Proof. Suppose γ_s is a heterocline that joins p_0^\pm . Since $X_1 - X_2$ is positive at p_0^+ and it is negative at p_0^- , we know that the function must vanish for the first time at some η_* . Then at $\gamma_s(\eta_*)$ we have

$$(3.3) \quad (X_1 - X_2)' = (X_1 - X_2)H\left(G + \frac{1 - H^2}{n} - 1\right) + R_1 - R_2 = R_1 - R_2.$$

If $\Delta < 0$, then $R_1 > R_2$. Hence, the derivative $(X_1 - X_2)'$ is positive at $\gamma_s(\eta_*)$, which is a contradiction.

If $\Delta = 0$, then it is necessary that $R_1 - R_2$ also vanishes at $\gamma_s(\eta_*)$. Therefore, the point $\gamma_s(\eta_*)$ is in the invariant set $\mathcal{E} \cap \{X_1 - X_2 = 0\} \cap \{R_1 - R_2 = 0\}$. While γ_s is not in this invariant set initially, we obtain a contradiction. \square

Remark 3.2. Case III.11 in [DK08] is an example of a principal orbit \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{K} that does not admit any \mathbf{G} -invariant Einstein metric. The principal orbit is an \mathbb{S}^{11} -bundle over $Sp(16)/Spin(12)$.

The Ledger–Obata space $\mathbf{L}^4/\text{diag}(\mathbf{L})$ with $\mathbf{L} = Sp(1)$ is the total space of an \mathbb{S}^3 -bundle over $Sp(1)^4/[\Delta_2 Sp(1)]^2$. The isotropy representation of $\mathbf{L}^4/\text{diag}(\mathbf{L})$ splits into three irreducible summands and the cohomogeneity one Einstein equation admits a subsystem of two summands type. From [Nik16], the structural triple is $(d_1, d_2, A) = (3, 6, \frac{25}{16})$ and $\Delta = 0$. The ansatz (2.6) does not yield any cohomogeneity one Einstein metric with such a principal orbit.

Assume $\Delta > 0$ in the following, we obtain critical points

$$q_i^\pm = \left(\pm \frac{1}{n}, \pm \frac{1}{n}, y_i, z_i\right), \quad R_1(y_i, z_i) = R_2(y_i, z_i) = \frac{n-1}{n^2}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Define the ratio $\mu_i = \frac{z_i}{y_i}$ and set $\mu_1 > \mu_2$. As μ_1 and μ_2 are roots for (3.2), we immediately obtain the following identities:

$$(3.4) \quad \mu_1 + \mu_2 = \frac{d_2(d_2 - 1)}{(n + d_1)A}, \quad \mu_1\mu_2 = \frac{d_2(d_1 - 1)}{(n + d_1)A}.$$

Each $\mu_i b|_{\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{k}} + b|_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}$ defines a \mathbf{G} -invariant Einstein metric on \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{K} . As a solution to the dynamical system (2.9) on \mathcal{RF}^+ , the critical point q_i^+ also represents the Ricci-flat cones over homogeneous Einstein metrics from the ratio μ_i , respectively. The integral curve Φ_i that joins q_i^\pm is identified as the invariant set

$$\Phi_i = \mathcal{E} \cap \{X_1 - X_2 = 0\} \cap \{\mu_i Y - Z = 0\},$$

which represents the sine cone over the homogeneous Einstein metric from the ratio μ_i .

The linearization at q_2^+ is

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{d_2 n - n - d_1}{n^2} & \frac{d_2(n+1)}{n^2} & 2(d_1 - 1)y_2 & 2A\mu_2 y_2 \\ \frac{d_1(n+1)}{n^2} & -\frac{d_1 n - n - d_2}{n^2} & (d_2 - 1)\mu_2 y_2 & (d_2 - 1)y_2 - \frac{4d_1}{d_2} A\mu_2 y_2 \\ -\frac{d_2}{n} y_2 & \frac{d_2}{n} y_2 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{(n+d_1)}{n} \mu_2 y_2 & -\frac{(n+d_1)}{n} \mu_2 y_2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The critical point is also hyperbolic. The only unstable eigenvector that is tangent to \mathcal{E} has eigenvalue as $\frac{2}{n}$. The critical point q_2^+ is either a stable node or a stable focus in \mathcal{RF}^+ . Specifically, two (complex) eigenvectors that are tangent to \mathcal{RF}^+ are

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{bmatrix} -d_2 \lambda_1 \\ d_1 \lambda_1 \\ d_2 y_2 \\ -(n+d_1)\mu_2 y_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} -d_2 \lambda_2 \\ d_1 \lambda_2 \\ d_2 y_2 \\ -(n+d_1)\mu_2 y_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where λ_1 and λ_2 are the other eigenvalues of q_2^+ and they are roots of

$$(3.6) \quad \lambda^2 + \frac{n-1}{n}\lambda + 2y_2^2 \left((d_2 - 1)\mu_2 - 2\frac{n+d_1}{d_2} A\mu_2^2 \right) = 0.$$

We recover [Böh98, Lemma 5.5] in the following proposition.

Proposition 3.3. The critical point q_2^+ is a stable focus in \mathcal{RF}^+ if

$$(3.7) \quad A < \frac{(9-n)(d_2 n + 7n + 9d_1)}{(d_1 n - 8n - 9d_1)^2} \frac{d_2(d_2 - 1)^2}{4(d_1 - 1)}.$$

Proof. Straightforward computations show that eigenvectors (3.5) are tangent to \mathcal{RF}^+ . From (3.6) we know that q_2^+ is a stable focus in \mathcal{RF}^+ if

$$(3.8) \quad \left(\frac{n-1}{n} \right)^2 < 8y_2^2 \left((d_2 - 1)\mu_2 - 2\frac{n+d_1}{d_2} A\mu_2^2 \right).$$

As $R_2(y_2, z_2) = R_2(y_2, \mu_2 y_2) = \frac{n-1}{n^2}$, the above inequality becomes

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{n-1}{8} < \frac{(d_2 - 1) - 2\frac{n+d_1}{d_2} A\mu_2}{(d_2 - 1) - \frac{2d_1}{d_2} A\mu_2},$$

which the same as [Böh98, Equation (38)]. From (3.2) we also have

$$2\frac{n+d_1}{d_2} A\mu_2 = d_2 - 1 - \sqrt{(d_2 - 1)^2 - 4(d_1 - 1)\frac{n+d_1}{d_2} A}.$$

Then (3.9) is further simplified to (3.7) by eliminating $A\mu_2$. □

We are ready to recover [Böh98, Corollary 5.8].

Theorem 3.4. *If a principal orbit satisfies*

$$n \leq 8, \quad A < \frac{(9-n)(d_2n+7n+9d_1)}{(d_1n-8n-9d_1)^2} \frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{4(d_1-1)},$$

there exist infinitely many Einstein metrics on M .

Proof. Consider the coordinate change

$$r = \sqrt{(X_1 - X_2)^2 + \frac{n+d_1}{2d_2} \frac{\mu_1 - \mu_2}{\mu_2} A (\mu_2 Y - Z)^2}$$

$$X_1 - X_2 = r \sin(\theta), \quad \sqrt{\frac{n+d_1}{2d_2} \frac{\mu_1 - \mu_2}{\mu_2} A} (\mu_2 Y - Z) = r \cos(\theta).$$

Then (2.9) is transformed to

$$(3.10) \quad r' = rH \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{d_1 d_2}{n} r^2 \sin^2(\theta) \right) - Hr \sin^2(\theta) - \frac{H}{n} r \cos^2(\theta) + \left(\frac{n+d_1}{n} + \frac{2\mu_2}{\mu_1 - \mu_2} \right) r^2 \sin(\theta) \cos^2(\theta)$$

$$\theta' = -\frac{n-1}{n} H \cos(\theta) \sin(\theta) + \sqrt{\frac{2(n+d_1)}{d_2} \frac{\mu_1 - \mu_2}{\mu_2} A} \mu_2 Y - \frac{n+d_1}{n} r \sin^2(\theta) \cos(\theta) + \frac{2\mu_2}{\mu_1 - \mu_2} r \cos^3(\theta)$$

$$H' = (H^2 - 1) \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{d_1 d_2}{n} r^2 \sin^2(\theta) \right)$$

$$Y' = Y \left(H \frac{d_1 d_2}{n} r^2 \cos^2(\theta) - \frac{d_2}{n} r \sin(\theta) \right).$$

The invariant set Φ_2 is transformed to $\mathcal{E} \cap \{r = 0\}$ and the subsystem restricted to Φ_2 is

$$(3.11) \quad r = 0,$$

$$\theta' = -\frac{n-1}{2n} H \sin(2\theta) + \sqrt{2\mu_2(\mu_1 - \mu_2) A} \frac{n+d_1}{d_2} y_2,$$

$$H' = (H^2 - 1) \frac{1}{n},$$

$$Y = y_2.$$

We have

$$(3.12) \quad \sqrt{2\mu_2(\mu_1 - \mu_2) A} \frac{n+d_1}{d_2} y_2 = \sqrt{2(d_1-1)y_2^2 - 2\frac{n+d_1}{d_2} A \mu_2^2 y_2^2} \quad \text{by (3.4)}$$

$$= \sqrt{2(d_2-1)y_2^2 \mu_2 - 4\frac{n+d_1}{d_2} A \mu_2^2 y_2^2} \quad \text{by (3.2)}$$

$$> \frac{n-1}{2n} \quad \text{by (3.8)}.$$

Therefore, the function θ monotonically increases, and there is no critical point in the subsystem. Then for any θ_* , there exists a large enough s that γ_s intersects $H = 0$ with $\theta > \theta_*$. Hence, there are infinitely many parameters s such that γ_s intersects $H = 0$ at $X_1 = X_2 = 0$. By the \mathbb{Z}_2 -symmetry of (2.9), we obtain infinitely many heteroclines that join p_0^\pm . \square

4. GLOBAL ANALYSIS

In this section we assume $A \leq \frac{1}{n+d_1} \frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{4(d_1-1)}$ so that (3.2) has two real roots $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2$. Our global analysis looks for a new upper bound (resp. lower bound) for A to guarantee the existence (resp. non-existence) of a γ_s that joins p_0^\pm . From [Böh98, Theorem 4.6], the existence of such a heterocline is implied if a γ_s has a large enough winding angle around Φ_2 . Let $\sharp C(\gamma_s)$ be the number of times that a γ_s intersects

$$\mathcal{E} \cap \{H > 0\} \cap \{X_1 - X_2 = 0\}.$$

The intersection between γ_s and $\{X_1 - X_2 = 0\}$ must be transversal by (2.9). Therefore, if there are $s_1 > s_2$ such that $\sharp C(\gamma_{s_1}) \neq \sharp C(\gamma_{s_2})$, there exists some $s_\star \in [s_2, s_1]$ such that γ_{s_\star} intersects

$$\Gamma := \mathcal{E} \cap \{X_1 = X_2 = 0\}.$$

The integral curve γ_{s_\star} joins p_0^\pm by the \mathbb{Z}_2 -symmetry. On the other hand, if $\sharp C(\gamma_s) = 0$ for all $s \geq 0$, then we can argue that no heterocline joins p_0^\pm from the ansatz (2.6).

The following proposition shows $\sharp C(\gamma_0) = 0$.

Proposition 4.1. For any (d_1, d_2, A) , the function $X_1 - X_2$ remains positive along γ_0 and $\lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_0 \neq p_0^-$.

Proof. Since γ_0 is in the invariant set $\mathcal{E} \cap \{Z = 0\}$, we have

$$(X_1 - X_2)' = (X_1 - X_2)H \left(G + \frac{1 - H^2}{n} - 1 \right) + (d_1 - 1)Y^2$$

on γ_0 . Hence, the function $X_1 - X_2$ is positive along γ_0 . As the function is negative at p_0^- , the integral curve γ_0 does not converge to p_0^- . \square

Proposition 4.1 indicates that a γ_s with $s > 0$ and $\sharp C(\gamma_s) \geq 1$ guarantees the existence of the heterocline that joins p_0^\pm .

For the example studied in [Chi24], a compact set \mathcal{S} is constructed so that each γ_s in the set must escape \mathcal{S} via $\mathcal{E} \cap \{H > 0\} \cap \{X_1 - X_2 = 0\}$ and $\sharp C(\gamma_s) \geq 1$. With a different structural triple (d_1, d_2, A) , the set \mathcal{S} may or may not exist. The counterpart to \mathcal{S} for our global analysis in this paper depends on a varying (d_1, d_2, A) . As A falls below or exceeds certain thresholds determined by (d_1, d_2) , each γ_s in the set is forced to escape through certain faces. The problem eventually boils down to checking how two algebraic surfaces (denoted as $\mathcal{E} \cap \{P = 0\}$ and $\mathcal{E} \cap \{Q = 0\}$ in the following) intersect, which results in many elementary yet complicated computations.

Consider the following set whose boundary contains p_0^+ and Φ_2 .

$$\mathcal{E}^+ := \mathcal{E}^\circ \cap \{H > 0\} \cap \{X_1 - X_2 > 0\} \cap \{Z - \mu_1 Y < 0\}.$$

Equivalently, the set \mathcal{E}^+ is defined as

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{E}^+ = \bigcup_{(k,l) \in \left(-\frac{d_1}{d_2}, 1\right) \times (0, \mu_1)} \mathcal{E}_{k,l},$$

where

$$(4.2) \quad \mathcal{E}_{k,l} := \mathcal{E}^+ \cap \{X_2 - kX_1 = 0, X_1 > 0\} \cap \{Z - lY = 0, Y > 0\}.$$

The following proposition explains our motivation for setting the upper bound $Z - \mu_1 Y < 0$ for \mathcal{E}^+ .

Proposition 4.2. If a γ_s enters the set

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{E}^\circ \cap \{X_1 - X_2 \geq 0\} \cap \{Z - \mu_1 Y \geq 0\},$$

then the integral curve does not converge to p_0^- .

Proof. We have

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \left(\frac{Z}{Y}\right)' &= 2\frac{Z}{Y}(X_1 - X_2) \\ (X_1 - X_2)' &= (X_1 - X_2)H \left(G + \frac{1}{n}(1 - H^2) - 1\right) + R_1 - R_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $R_1 - R_2 \geq 0$ if $\frac{Z}{Y} \geq \mu_1$, the set (4.3) is invariant. Note that $\mathcal{E} \cap \{X_1 - X_2 = 0\} \cap \{Z - \mu_1 Y = 0\}$ is an integral curve that joins q_1^\pm . As $X_1 - X_2$ is negative at p_0^- , a γ_s does not converge to p_0^- if it enters the set (4.3). \square

Define the function

$$(4.5) \quad P := X_1 \left(R_2 - \frac{1 - H^2}{n}\right) - X_2 \left(R_1 - \frac{1 - H^2}{n}\right) - 2X_2(X_1 - X_2) \left(X_1 + \frac{d_2}{2d_1}X_2\right).$$

The derivative of P along an integral curve is

$$(4.6) \quad P' = P \left(H \left(3G + \frac{3}{n}(1 - H^2) - 1\right) + \frac{n}{d_1}X_2 - X_1\right) + (X_1 - X_2)(P + Q),$$

where

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} Q &= 4X_2 \left(X_1 + \frac{d_2}{2d_1}X_2\right) \left(H + \frac{d_2}{2d_1}X_2\right) + \left(2X_1 + 2X_2 + \frac{3d_2}{d_1}X_2\right) \frac{1 - H^2}{n} \\ &\quad - 2(d_2 - 1)X_1YZ - X_2 \left(2(d_1 - 1)Y^2 + \frac{3d_2}{d_1}(d_2 - 1)YZ\right). \end{aligned}$$

The sign of P plays an essential role in our analysis. Define

$$\mathcal{E}_0^+ = \bigcup_{(k,l) \in (0,1) \times (0,\mu_1)} \mathcal{E}_{k,l}, \quad \mathfrak{C}_A = \mathcal{E}^+ \cap \{P \leq 0\}, \quad \mathfrak{S}_A = \mathcal{E}_0^+ \cap \{P \geq 0\}.$$

We have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.3. If an integral curve in \mathfrak{C}_A does not escape the set through the face $\mathcal{E}^+ \cap \{P = 0\}$, then the integral curve can only escape the set through the face $\{H = 0\}$ or $\{Z - \mu_1 Y = 0\}$. If an integral curve in \mathfrak{S}_A does not escape the set through the face $\mathcal{E}_0^+ \cap \{P = 0\}$, then the integral curve can only escape the set through the face $\{X_1 - X_2 = 0\}$ or $\{Z - \mu_1 Y = 0\}$.

Proof. An integral curve in \mathcal{E}^+ does not escape through $\{Z = 0\}$ since $\mathcal{E} \cap \{Z = 0\}$ is invariant.

Suppose an integral curve in \mathfrak{C}_A intersects the face $\overline{\mathfrak{C}_A} \cap \{X_1 - X_2 = 0\}$. There is nothing to prove if $X_1 = 0$ at the intersection point. Assume $X_1 > 0$. By $P < 0$, the inequality $(X_1 - X_2)' > 0$ holds at the intersection point. This is a contradiction as $X_1 - X_2 > 0$ while the integral curve is in \mathfrak{C}_A .

The proof of the second statement is similar. One needs to show that an integral curve in \mathfrak{S}_A does not intersect the face $\overline{\mathfrak{S}_A} \cap \{X_1 > 0\} \cap \{X_2 = 0\}$. \square

We study the sign of Q when the function is restricted on $\mathcal{E}^+ \cap \{P = 0\}$. Parametrize the algebraic surface $\mathcal{E}^+ \cap \{P = 0\}$ using (k, l) as in (4.2). The function Q on $\mathcal{E}^+ \cap \{P = 0\}$ is realized as a function of (k, l) . Specifically, with (2.10), the functions P and Q are equivalently defined as homogeneous polynomials of (X_1, X_2, Y, Z) of degree 3. On each slice $\mathcal{E}_{k,l}$, we have

$$(4.8) \quad P = P_Y Y^2 X_1 + P_X X_1^3, \quad Q = Q_Y Y^2 X_1 + Q_X X_1^3,$$

where

$$(4.9) \quad P_Y(A, k, l) = -\frac{d_1(n + d_1 - 2) + d_2(n + d_1 - 1)k}{d_2(n - 1)} A l^2$$

$$(4.10) \quad + \frac{(d_2 - 1)(d_1 + d_2 k - 1)}{n - 1} l - \frac{(d_1 - 1)(d_1 + d_2 k - k)}{n - 1},$$

$$(4.11) \quad P_X(k) = \frac{d_2(d_1 d_2 - 2d_1 - d_2 + 1)k^2 + 2(d_2 - 1)(d_1 - 1)d_1 k + d_1^2(d_1 - 1)}{d_1(n - 1)}(1 - k),$$

$$(4.12) \quad Q_Y(A, k, l) = -\frac{2nk + d_2 k + 2d_1}{n - 1} A l^2$$

$$(4.13) \quad -\frac{2d_1(d_1 - 1) + d_1 d_2 k - 3d_2 k}{d_1(n - 1)}(d_2 - 1)l + \frac{(2d_1 + d_2 k + 2k)(d_1 - 1)}{n - 1},$$

$$(4.14) \quad Q_X(k) = 4k \left(1 + \frac{d_2 k}{2d_1}\right) \left(d_1 + d_2 k + \frac{d_2 k}{2d_1}\right) + \left(2 + 2k + \frac{3d_2 k}{d_1}\right) \frac{d_1 + d_2 k^2 - (d_1 + d_2 k)^2}{n - 1}.$$

Proposition 4.4. For any $d_2 \geq d_1 \geq 2$, the polynomial P_X vanishes at some $k_* \in \left(-\frac{d_1}{d_2}, 0\right)$. The polynomial is negative at $\left(-\frac{d_1}{d_2}, k_*\right)$ and is positive at $(k_*, 1)$.

Proof. Consider the following quadratic factor of P_X .

$$\tilde{P}_X := d_2(d_1 d_2 - 2d_1 - d_2 + 1)k^2 + 2(d_2 - 1)(d_1 - 1)d_1 k + d_1^2(d_1 - 1).$$

We have

$$\tilde{P}_X\left(-\frac{d_1}{d_2}\right) = -\frac{d_1^2}{d_2} < 0, \quad \tilde{P}_X(0) = d_1^2(d_1 - 1) > 0.$$

The polynomial \tilde{P}_X vanishes at some $k_* \in \left(-\frac{d_1}{d_2}, 0\right)$. If $d_2 > 2$, the polynomial \tilde{P}_X is concave up. The evaluations above indicate that k_* is the larger root of \tilde{P}_X , and the smaller root must be less than $-\frac{d_1}{d_2}$. If $d_2 = 2$, the polynomial \tilde{P}_X is concave down. From $\tilde{P}_X(1) = (n - 1)(d_1 n - n - d_1) > 0$ it is clear that k_* is the smaller root of \tilde{P}_X , and the larger root is larger than 1. For any $d_1 \geq 2$, the factor \tilde{P}_X is negative on $\left(-\frac{d_1}{d_2}, k_*\right)$ and is positive on $(k_*, 1)$. The proof is complete. \square

Let \mathcal{E}_1^+ be the complement of \mathcal{E}_0^+ in \mathcal{E}^+ . We use k_* in Proposition 4.4 to further divide \mathcal{E}_1^+ into the following two subsets.

$$\mathcal{E}_{1a}^+ := \bigcup_{(k,l) \in \left(-\frac{d_1}{d_2}, k_*\right] \times (0, \mu_1)} \mathcal{E}_{k,l}, \quad \mathcal{E}_{1b}^+ := \bigcup_{(k,l) \in (k_*, 0] \times (0, \mu_1)} \mathcal{E}_{k,l}.$$

Proposition 4.5. For any $A \geq 0$. We have $P < 0$ on \mathcal{E}_{1a}^+ .

Proof. From Proposition 4.4 we know that $P_X \leq 0$ if $k \in \left(-\frac{d_1}{d_2}, k_*\right]$. On each slice $\mathcal{E}_{k,l}$, we have

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} P &= P_Y Y^2 X_1 + P_X X_1^3 \\ &\leq P_Y Y^2 X_1. \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to show that $P_Y(A, k, l)$ is negative for any $(k, l) \in \left(-\frac{d_1}{d_2}, k_*\right] \times (0, \mu_1)$. From (4.9) we have

$$(4.16) \quad P_Y(A, k, 0) = -\frac{(d_1 - 1)(d_1 + kd_2 - k)}{n - 1}, \quad \frac{\partial P_Y}{\partial l}(A, k, 0) = \frac{(d_1 - 1)(d_1 + d_2 k - 1)}{n - 1}.$$

The first quantity in (4.16) is negative for any $k \in \left(-\frac{d_1}{d_2}, 0\right]$. Since $P_X\left(-\frac{d_1}{d_2}\right) = \frac{(d_1 - 1)(n - 1)}{d_1 d_2^2} > 0$, we know that $k_* < -\frac{d_1 - 1}{d_2}$ from Proposition 4.4. Therefore, the second quantity in (4.16) is also negative for any $k \in \left(-\frac{d_1}{d_2}, k_*\right]$. As a quadratic function of l , the polynomial $P_Y(A, k, l)$ either decreases first and then increases or monotonically decreases on $(0, \infty)$. Therefore, checking the negativity of $P_Y(A, k, \mu_1)$ suffices. Since $R_1 = R_2$ if $l = \mu_1$,

$$(4.17) \quad P_Y(A, k, \mu_1) Y^2 = -\frac{1 - k}{n - 1} R_1 < 0.$$

Therefore, the inequality $P_Y < 0$ holds for any $(k, l) \in \left(-\frac{d_1}{d_2}, k_*\right] \times (0, \mu_1)$. The proof is complete. \square

The proposition above implies that $\mathcal{E}^+ \cap \{P = 0\}$ does not intersect \mathcal{E}_{1a}^+ . Consider $(\mathcal{E}_{1b}^+ \cup \mathcal{E}_0^+) \cap \{P = 0\}$, where $P_X > 0$. We have

$$(4.18) \quad Q = Q_Y Y^2 X_1 + Q_X \frac{P - P_Y Y^2 X_1}{P_X} = \frac{Q_Y P_X - Q_X P_Y}{P_X} Y^2 X_1.$$

Define $\omega = Q_Y P_X - Q_X P_Y$. The function has the same sign as Q on $(\mathcal{E}_{1b}^+ \cup \mathcal{E}_0^+) \cap \{P = 0\}$. The specific formula for ω is

$$(4.19) \quad \omega(A, k, l) = \frac{1}{d_1^2(n - 1)} \left(\frac{2d_1 + d_2 k}{d_2} \omega_2 A l^2 + (d_2 - 1) k \omega_1 l - (d_1 - 1) k^2 \omega_0 \right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_2(k) &= (2d_1^2d_2^2 - d_1d_2^3 + d_2^3 - d_2^2)k^3 + (4d_1^3d_2 - 4d_1^2d_2^2 - 2d_1^2d_2 + 4d_1d_2^2 - 2d_1d_2)k^2 \\
&\quad + (2d_1^4 - 5d_1^3d_2 - 2d_1^3 + 5d_1^2d_2)k - 2d_1^4 + 2d_1^3, \\
\omega_1(k) &= (d_1d_2^3 - 4d_1d_2^2 - d_2^3 + 3d_2^2)k^3 + (2d_1^2d_2^2 - 8d_1^2d_2 + 8d_1d_2 - 2d_2^2)k^2 \\
(4.20) \quad &\quad + (d_1^3d_2 - 4d_1^3 + 5d_1^2d_2 + 4d_1^2 - 6d_1d_2)k + 4d_1^3 - 4d_1^2, \\
\omega_0(k) &= (d_1d_2^3 - 2d_1d_2^2 - d_2^3 - 2d_1d_2 + d_2^2)k^2 \\
&\quad + (2d_1^2d_2^2 - 2d_1^2d_2 - 2d_1d_2^2 - 4d_1^2 + 4d_1d_2)k + d_1^3d_2 - d_1^2d_2 + 4d_1^2.
\end{aligned}$$

The critical point p_0^+ is in the boundary of $\mathcal{E}^+ \cap \{P = 0\}$. The (k, l) -coordinate of the critical point is $(0, 0)$. If $A = 0$, the product Einstein metric is represented by the algebraic curve $\mathcal{E}^+ \cap \{X_2 = 0\} \cap \left\{R_2 - \frac{1-H^2}{n} = 0\right\}$, at which both P and Q vanish. This is reflected by $\omega(0, 0, l)$ being identically zero.

The gradient of $\omega(A, k, l)$ vanishes at $(0, 0)$ for any $A \geq 0$. To learn the sign of $\omega(A, k, l)$ in a neighborhood around p_0^+ in $\mathcal{E}^+ \cap \{P = 0\}$, we compute the Hessian of $\omega(A, k, l)$ at $(0, 0)$.

$$(4.21) \quad \text{Hess}(\omega)|_{(0,0)} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{2(d_1-1)(d_1d_2-d_2+4)}{n-1} & \frac{4((d_1-1)(d_2-1))}{n-1} \\ \frac{4((d_1-1)(d_2-1))}{n-1} & -\frac{8d_1^2(d_1-1)}{d_2(n-1)}A \end{bmatrix}.$$

By the second partial test, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.6. If $A \in \left[0, \frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{d_1^2(d_1d_2-d_2+4)}\right)$, then $(0, 0)$ is a saddle of ω . If $A > \frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{d_1^2(d_1d_2-d_2+4)}$, then $(0, 0)$ is a local maximum of $\omega(A, k, l)$ and the function is negative in a neighborhood around p_0^+ in $\mathcal{E}^+ \cap \{P = 0\}$. For any $A > 0$, the function ω is negative in a neighborhood around p_0^+ in $\mathcal{E}_{1b}^+ \cap \{P = 0\}$.

Proof. The first two statements are obvious. To prove the third statement, consider a vector $v = (\epsilon_k, \epsilon_l)$ for some $\epsilon_k \leq 0$ and $\epsilon_l > 0$. We have

$$(4.22) \quad \text{Hess}(\omega)|_{(0,0)}(v, v) = -\frac{2(d_1-1)(d_1d_2-d_2+4)}{n-1}\epsilon_k^2 - \frac{8d_1^2(d_1-1)A}{d_2(n-1)}\epsilon_l^2 + \frac{8(d_1-1)(d_2-1)}{n-1}\epsilon_k\epsilon_l.$$

As $A > 0$, the second term above is negative. The proof is complete. \square

We proceed to show that for any $A > 0$, the sign of ω on $\mathcal{E}_{1b}^+ \cap \{P = 0\}$ is global. Consequently, for any $A > 0$, integral curves in \mathfrak{C}_A do not escape the set through $\mathcal{E}_1^+ \cap \{P = 0\}$.

Consider $\omega(A, k, l)$ as a quadratic function of l . We have the following proposition for the coefficients of ω .

Proposition 4.7. For any $d_2 \geq d_1 \geq 2$, we have $\omega_2 < 0$ and $\omega_0 > 0$ for each $k \in \left[-\frac{d_1}{d_2}, 1\right]$.

Proof. For $\omega_2(k)$ on $\left[-\frac{d_1}{d_2}, 0\right)$, consider $k = -\frac{d_1}{d_2}\kappa$. We have

$$(4.23) \quad \omega_2\left(-\frac{d_1}{d_2}\kappa\right) = -\frac{d_1^3}{d_2}\kappa^3\tilde{\omega}_2(\kappa),$$

where

$$\tilde{\omega}_2(\kappa) = 1 + (d_1 - 1) \frac{(1 - \kappa)((-2d_1 + d_2 - 2)\kappa^2 + (2d_1 - 3d_2)\kappa + 2d_2)}{\kappa^3}.$$

It is easy to verify that for any $d_2 \geq d_1 \geq 2$, there exists a $\kappa_* \in (0, 1)$ such that the derivative

$$\frac{d\tilde{\omega}_2}{d\kappa} = \frac{2(d_1 - 1)((2d_1 - 2d_2 + 1)\kappa^2 - (2d_1 - 5d_2)\kappa - 3d_2)}{\kappa^4}$$

is negative on $(0, \kappa_*)$ and is positive on $(\kappa_*, 1)$. The function $\tilde{\omega}_2$ increases and then decreases on $(0, 1)$. We have

$$\kappa_* = \frac{-2d_1 + 5d_2 - \sqrt{(2d_1 + d_2)^2 + 12d_2}}{4d_2 - 4d_1 - 2}.$$

Proposition 6.1 shows $\tilde{\omega}_2(\kappa_*) > 0$. We conclude that $\omega_2(k) < 0$ for each $k \in \left[-\frac{d_1}{d_2}, 0\right)$. Since

$$\omega_2\left(-\frac{d_1}{d_2}\right) = -\frac{d_1^3}{d_2} < 0, \quad \frac{d\omega_2}{dk}\left(-\frac{d_1}{d_2}\right) = d_1^2(2d_1 + 1) > 0, \quad \omega_2(0) = -2d_1^3(d_1 - 1) < \omega_2\left(-\frac{d_1}{d_2}\right),$$

the function ω_2 changes monotonicity at least once on $\left[-\frac{d_1}{d_2}, 0\right)$. Since ω_2 changes monotonicity at most twice, the function decreases and then increases or monotonically increases on $[0, 1]$. From $\omega_2(1) = -d_2(n - 1)(d_1n - n - d_1) < 0$ we know that $\omega_2 < 0$ on $[0, 1]$.

Since

$$\frac{d\omega_0}{dk}\left(-\frac{d_1}{d_2}\right) = 2d_1d_2(d_1 + 1) > 0,$$

the function ω_0 either monotonically increases on $\left[-\frac{d_1}{d_2}, 1\right]$, or it increases and then decreases on the interval. Since

$$\omega_0\left(-\frac{d_1}{d_2}\right) = \frac{d_1^2(n + d_1)}{d_2} > 0, \quad \omega_0(1) = d_2(n - 1)(d_1n - n - d_1) > 0,$$

the positivity of ω_0 on $\left[-\frac{d_1}{d_2}, 1\right]$ is established. \square

If $\omega_1(k) \geq 0$ for any $k \in (k_*, 0]$, then ω either has no real roots or two negative roots. It follows from the proposition above that $\omega < 0$ on $\mathcal{E}_{1b}^+ \cap \{P = 0\}$. We claim that the inequality $\omega < 0$ still holds even if $\omega_1(k) < 0$ for some $k \in (k_*, 0]$. Since $P_X > 0$ on \mathcal{E}_{1b}^+ , the inequality $P_Y(A, k, l) < 0$ holds on $\mathcal{E}_{1b}^+ \cap \{P = 0\}$. Therefore, for a $k \in (k_*, 0]$ such that $\omega_1(k) < 0$, it suffices to show that $\omega(A, k, l) < 0$ on $\{l \mid l > 0, P_Y(A, k, l) < 0\}$.

Proposition 4.8. For any $A > 0$, the polynomials ω and P_Y do not share any common root for any $k \in (k_*, 0]$. Define

$$\Psi_{d_1, d_2} := \frac{(4(d_1 - 1)n^2 + d_2^2)(3n + d_1)}{(2n^2 + n + d_1)^2 d_1^2} \frac{d_2(d_2 - 1)^2}{4(d_1 - 1)}.$$

If $A \geq \Psi_{d_1, d_2}$, then ω and P_Y do not share any common root for any $k \in (0, 1)$.

Proof. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Res}_l(\omega, P_Y) &= \text{Res}_l(P_X Q_Y - P_Y Q_X, P_Y) \\
(4.24) \quad &= P_X^2 \text{Res}_l(Q_Y, P_Y) \\
&= P_X^2 \frac{(d_1 - 1)A}{d_1^2 d_2^2 (n - 1)^2} (\rho_1 A - \rho_0),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.25) \quad \rho_1 &:= 4d_1^2(d_1 - 1)(2d_2^2 k^2 + d_2 k^2 + 4d_1 d_2 k + 2d_1 k + 2d_1^2)^2, \\
\rho_0 &:= (d_2 - 1)^2 k d_2 (4d_1 + 3d_2 k) (4(d_1 - 1)(d_1 + d_2 k)^2 + d_2^2 k^2).
\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 4.4, the factor P_X does not vanish on $(k_*, 1)$. By observation, it is obvious that $\rho_1 A - \rho_0 > 0$ for any $(A, k) \in (0, \infty) \times (k_*, 0]$. Therefore, the quadratic functions ω and P_Y do not share any common root for each $(A, k) \in (0, \infty) \times (k_*, 0]$.

Assume $k \in (0, 1)$ in the following. As $\rho_1 > 0$ for $k \in (0, 1)$, we consider the rational function $\frac{\rho_0}{\rho_1}$ and compute

$$\frac{d}{dk} \left(\frac{\rho_0}{\rho_1} \right) = \frac{2d_2(d_2 - 1)^2(d_1 + d_2 k)}{(d_1 - 1)(2d_2^2 k^2 + d_2 k^2 + 4d_1 d_2 k + 2d_1 k + 2d_1^2)^3} \rho_3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.26) \quad \rho_3 &= (2d_2^3 + d_2^2)k^3 + (8d_1 d_2^2 - 2d_1 d_2 - 5d_2^2 + 2d_2)k^2 \\
&\quad + (10d_1^2 d_2 - 4d_1^2 - 10d_1 d_2 + 4d_1)k + 4d_1^3 - 4d_1^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since each coefficient of ρ_3 is positive, the polynomial is positive for each $k \in (0, 1)$. The function $\frac{\rho_0}{\rho_1}$ monotonically increases on $(0, 1)$. Take

$$\Psi_{d_1, d_2} := \left(\frac{\rho_0}{\rho_1} \right) (1) = \frac{(4(d_1 - 1)n^2 + d_2^2)(3n + d_1) d_2 (d_2 - 1)^2}{(2n^2 + n + d_1)^2 d_1^2} \frac{1}{4(d_1 - 1)}.$$

It is clear that $\text{Res}_l(\omega_A, P_Y) > 0$ for each $k \in (0, 1)$ if $A \geq \Psi_{d_1, d_2}$. The proof is complete. \square

Proposition 4.9. The quadratic function $P_Y(A, \tilde{k}, l)$ is concave down for any $(A, k) \in (0, \infty) \times (k_*, 0)$. Suppose the polynomial $\omega(\bar{A}, \tilde{k}, l)$ has positive real roots $\mu_1(\bar{A}) \geq \mu_2(\bar{A}) > 0$ for some $(\bar{A}, \tilde{k}) \in (0, \infty) \times (k_*, 0)$. Then $P_Y(\bar{A}, \tilde{k}, l)$ also have real roots $\psi_1(\bar{A}) > \psi_2(\bar{A})$ and the inclusion $[\mu_2(\bar{A}), \mu_1(\bar{A})] \subset (\psi_2(\bar{A}), \psi_1(\bar{A}))$ holds.

Proof. Since $P_X \left(-\frac{d_1(n+d_1-2)}{d_2(n+d_1-1)} \right) = -\frac{d_1(n-1)(2d_1+d_2)}{d_2(2d_1+d_2-1)^3} < 0$, we know that $k_* > -\frac{d_1(n+d_1-2)}{d_2(n+d_1-1)}$ from Proposition 4.4. Therefore, by (4.9) the polynomial $P_Y(A, k, l)$ is concave down for any $(A, k) \in (0, \infty) \times (k_*, 0)$.

By Proposition 4.7, if $\omega(\bar{A}, \tilde{k}, l)$ has positive real roots for some $\tilde{k} \in (k_*, 0)$, the factor $\omega_1(\tilde{k})$ must be negative. With \tilde{k} fixed, the polynomial $\omega(A, \tilde{k}, l)$ has positive real roots $\mu_2(A) < \mu_1(A)$ for any $A \in (0, \bar{A})$. It is clear that $\lim_{A \rightarrow 0} \mu_2(A) = \frac{(d_1-1)\tilde{k}\omega_0(\tilde{k})}{(d_2-1)\omega_1(\tilde{k})}$.

Let

$$P_Y(A, k, l) = P_{Y,2}(k)Al^2 + P_{Y,1}(k)l + P_{Y,0}(k), \quad Q_Y(A, k, l) = Q_{Y,2}(k)Al^2 + Q_{Y,1}(k)l + Q_{Y,0}(k).$$

From the formula of ω , we have

$$(4.27) \quad -\frac{(d_1 - 1)k^2}{d_1^2(n - 1)}\omega_0 = Q_{Y,0}P_X - P_{Y,0}Q_X, \quad \frac{(d_2 - 1)k}{d_1^2(n - 1)}\omega_1 = Q_{Y,1}P_X - P_{Y,1}Q_X.$$

Therefore,

$$(4.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{A \rightarrow 0} P_Y(A, \tilde{k}, \mu_2(A)) &= \frac{1}{(d_2 - 1)\omega_1} \left(P_{Y,1}(d_1 - 1)\tilde{k}\omega_0 + P_{Y,0}(d_2 - 1)\omega_1 \right) \\ &= \frac{d_1^2(n - 1)}{(d_2 - 1)\tilde{k}\omega_1} P_X(P_{Y,0}Q_{Y,1} - P_{Y,1}Q_{Y,0}) \quad \text{by (4.27)} \\ &= -\frac{d_1(d_1 - 1)(4d_1 + 3d_2\tilde{k})}{\omega_1(\tilde{k})} P_X(\tilde{k}) \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

As P_Y is concave down, the limit (4.28) indicates that for a sufficiently small $\underline{A} > 0$, not only the real roots $\psi_2(\underline{A}) < \psi_1(\underline{A})$ of $P_Y(\underline{A}, \tilde{k}, l)$ exist, but also

$$(4.29) \quad \mu_2(\underline{A}) \in (\psi_2(\underline{A}), \psi_1(\underline{A})).$$

Suppose $P_Y(\overline{A}, \tilde{k}, l)$ does not have real roots, then choose $\underline{A} \in (\underline{A}, \overline{A})$ such that $\psi_2(\underline{A}) = \psi_1(\underline{A})$. Then Proposition 4.8 is violated by some $A \in (\underline{A}, \underline{A}]$ since (4.29) holds. Therefore, the quadratic function $P_Y(\overline{A}, \tilde{k}, l)$ must have real roots $\psi_1(\overline{A}) > \psi_2(\overline{A})$ and $\mu_2(\overline{A}) \in (\psi_2(\overline{A}), \psi_1(\overline{A}))$.

We claim that it is also true that $\mu_1(\overline{A}) \in (\psi_2(\overline{A}), \psi_1(\overline{A}))$. Suppose otherwise; then we must have

$$\psi_2(\overline{A}) < \mu_2(\overline{A}) < \psi_1(\overline{A}) < \mu_1(\overline{A}).$$

Let $\overline{\overline{A}}$ be the smallest number in $[\overline{A}, \infty)$ such that at least one of $\mu_2(\overline{\overline{A}}) = \mu_1(\overline{\overline{A}})$ and $\psi_2(\overline{\overline{A}}) = \psi_1(\overline{\overline{A}})$ is satisfied. Proposition 4.8 is violated by some $A \in (\overline{A}, \overline{\overline{A}}]$. Therefore, we must have the inclusion $[\mu_2(\overline{A}), \mu_1(\overline{A})] \subset (\psi_2(\overline{A}), \psi_1(\overline{A}))$. \square

With the above propositions, we finally prove that $\omega < 0$ on $\mathcal{E}_{1b}^+ \cap \{P = 0\}$.

Proposition 4.10. If $A > 0$, the function ω is negative on $\mathcal{E}_{1b}^+ \cap \{P = 0\}$.

Proof. If $k = 0$, then $\omega(A, 0, l) < 0$ for any $l \in (0, \infty)$.

Fix a $k \in (k_*, 0)$ in the following. If $\omega(A, k, l)$ does not have real roots, then the function is negative for any $l \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that this case includes $\omega_1(k) = 0$. If $\omega_1(k) > 0$, then both roots of $\omega(A, k, l)$ are negative and the function is negative for any $l \in (0, \infty)$. If $\omega_1(k) < 0$, then both roots of $\omega(A, k, l)$ are positive. Then Proposition 4.9 implies that $\omega(A, k, l) < 0$ on $\{l \mid l > 0, P_Y(A, k, l) < 0\}$. By the arbitrariness of $k \in (k_*, 0]$, we conclude that $\omega < 0$ on $\mathcal{E}_{1b}^+ \cap \{P = 0\}$. \square

Unlike the sign of ω on $\mathcal{E}_{1b}^+ \cap \{P = 0\}$, the sign of ω on $\mathcal{E}_0^+ \cap \{P = 0\}$ depends on A by Proposition 4.6. Before proceeding to the global analysis on \mathcal{E}_0^+ , we prove the following proposition regarding the initial behavior of γ_s .

Proposition 4.11. If ω is not identically zero along a γ_s with $s > 0$, the function ω has the same sign as P once the integral curve leaves p_0^+ .

Proof. We have

$$(4.30) \quad Q + P = \frac{\omega}{P_X} Y^2 X_1 + \frac{P_X + Q_X}{P_X} P.$$

For any parameter $s \geq 0$, the integral curve γ_s initially enters $\mathcal{E}_{1b}^+ \cup \mathcal{E}_0^+$, where $P_X > 0$ holds. From Proposition 6.2 we know that $P_X + Q_X < 0$ for each $k \in \left[-\frac{d_1}{d_2}, 1\right]$. Assume $\omega > 0$ once γ_s is away from p_0^+ . Suppose $P \leq 0$ initially. Then $Q + P > 0$ initially by the computation above. As $d_1 \geq 2$, we have

$$(4.31) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(H \left(3G + \frac{3}{n}(1 - H^2) - 1 \right) + \frac{n}{d_1} X_2 - X_1 \right) (\gamma_s) \\ & \sim \frac{2}{d_1} - 1 - ((12 + 6d_2) + 4(d_2 - 1)(2d_2 - 1)s) e^{\frac{2}{d_1}\eta} + O\left(e^{\left(\frac{2}{d_1} + \delta\right)\eta}\right) \\ & < 0 \end{aligned}$$

near p_0^+ . Hence, the derivative (4.6) is initially negative, which is a contradiction. The proof of the case $\omega < 0$ is almost verbatim. \square

Proposition 4.12. If $A > 0$, each γ_s with $s \in \left(0, \frac{d_1}{d_2 - 1}\right]$ is initially in $\mathcal{E}_1^+ \cap \mathfrak{C}_A$.

Proof. By (3.1), each γ_s is tangent to $\mathcal{E}^+ \cap \{P = 0\}$ at p_0^+ . If $s \in \left(0, \frac{d_1}{d_2 - 1}\right]$, then $X_2 \leq 0$ along γ_s initially. The (k, l) -projection of γ_s is tangent to $v = (\epsilon_k, \epsilon_l)$ at $(0, 0)$ for some $\epsilon_k \leq 0$ and $\epsilon_l > 0$. The function ω is negative initially by Proposition 4.6. The proof is complete by Proposition 4.11. \square

4.1. Non-existence. This section shows that with a large enough $A > 0$, each γ_s with $s > 0$ is in \mathfrak{C}_A and does not converge to p_0^- . Thanks to Proposition 4.10, we focus on finding the condition for A so that integral curves in \mathfrak{C}_A does not intersect $\mathcal{E}_0^+ \cap \{P = 0\}$, which begs the question of whether $\omega(A, k, l)$ has real roots in $\{l \mid l > 0, P_Y(A, k, l) < 0\}$ for any $k \in (0, 1)$.

The discriminant of $\omega(A, k, l)$ is

$$\frac{k^2}{d_1^4(n-1)^2} \left((d_2 - 1)^2 \omega_1^2 + 4(d_1 - 1) \frac{2d_1 + d_2 k}{d_2} \omega_0 \omega_2 A \right).$$

Define

$$\Omega_{d_1, d_2}(k) := -\frac{\omega_1^2}{(2d_1 + d_2 k) \omega_0 \omega_2} \frac{d_2(d_2 - 1)^2}{4(d_1 - 1)}.$$

From Proposition 6.3 in the Appendix, the function Ω_{d_1, d_2} increases on $(0, 1)$ if $d_1 \geq 4$. The function Ω_{d_1, d_2} is not monotonic on $(0, 1)$ if $d_1 \in \{2, 3\}$. In particular, if $(d_1, d_2) = (2, 2)$, the function Ω_{d_1, d_2} increases and then decreases. If $d_1 \in \{2, 3\}$ and $d_2 \geq 3$, the function Ω_{d_1, d_2} decreases and then increases. For $d_2 \geq d_1 \geq 2$, we have

$$(4.32) \quad \Omega_{d_1, d_2}(0) = \frac{d_2(d_2 - 1)^2}{d_1^2(d_1 d_2 - d_2 + 4)} \leq \Omega_{d_1, d_2}(1) = \frac{1}{n + d_1} \frac{d_2(d_2 - 1)^2}{4(d_1 - 1)}.$$

Proposition 4.13. Let $(d_1, d_2) \neq (2, 2)$. For a fixed $A \in \left[\Psi_{d_1, d_2}, \frac{1}{n + d_1} \frac{d_2(d_2 - 1)^2}{4(d_1 - 1)}\right)$, if $\omega(A, \tilde{k}, l)$ has real roots for some $\tilde{k} \in (0, 1)$, then so does $\omega(A, k, l)$ for any $k \in [\tilde{k}, 1)$.

Proof. Since $\omega(A, \tilde{k}, l)$ has real roots, it is clear that $\Omega_{d_1, d_2}(\tilde{k}) \geq A$. From Proposition 6.3, the function Ω_{d_1, d_2} either monotonically increases or first decreases and then increases. As

$$(4.33) \quad \Omega_{d_1, d_2}(0) = \frac{4(d_1 - 1)}{d_1^2(d_1 d_2 - d_2 + 4)} \frac{d_2(d_2 - 1)^2}{4(d_1 - 1)} \leq \Psi_{d_1, d_2},$$

there exists a unique $k_\bullet \in (0, 1)$ such that $\Omega_{d_1, d_2}(k_\bullet) = \Psi_{d_1, d_2}$ and Ω_{d_1, d_2} monotonically increases on $(k_\bullet, 1)$. Hence, we must have $\tilde{k} \in (k_\bullet, 1)$ and

$$\Omega_{d_1, d_2}(k) \geq \Omega_{d_1, d_2}(\tilde{k}) \geq A$$

for any $k \in [\tilde{k}, 1)$. Therefore, the discriminant of $\omega(A, k, l)$ is positive for any $k \in (\tilde{k}, 1)$. The proof is complete. \square

Proposition 4.14. Let $(d_1, d_2) \notin \{(2, 2), (2, 3), (2, 4)\}$. For any $A \in \left[\Psi_{d_1, d_2}, \frac{1}{n+d_1} \frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{4(d_1-1)} \right)$, there exists a sufficiently small $\delta > 0$ so that $\omega(A, 1 - \delta, l)$ have real roots $\mu_2(A, 1 - \delta) < \mu_1(A, 1 - \delta)$ and $P_Y(A, 1 - \delta, l)$ have real roots $\psi_2(A, 1 - \delta) < \psi_1(A, 1 - \delta)$. Furthermore, we have the inclusion $[\mu_2(A, 1 - \delta), \mu_1(A, 1 - \delta)] \subset (\psi_2(A, 1 - \delta), \psi_1(A, 1 - \delta))$.

Proof. For each fixed $k \in (0, 1)$, the polynomial P_Y is concave down and $P_Y(A, k, 0) < 0$. Compute the discriminant for P_Y . The polynomial P_Y has real roots if $A \leq \Xi_{d_1, d_2}(k)$, where

$$\Xi_{d_1, d_2}(k) := \frac{d_2(d_2 - 1)^2(d_1 + d_2 k - 1)^2}{4(d_1 - 1)(d_1 + k d_2 - k)(d_1(n + d_1 - 2) + d_2(n + d_1 - 1)k)}.$$

We have $\Xi_{d_1, d_2}(1) = \Omega_{d_1, d_2}(1) = \frac{1}{n+d_1} \frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{4(d_1-1)}$ and

$$\frac{d\Xi_{d_1, d_2}}{dk}(1) = \frac{d_2 n (d_2 - 1)^2}{2(d_1 - 1)(n - 1)(2d_1 + d_2)^2}, \quad \frac{d\Omega_{d_1, d_2}}{dk}(1) = \frac{n(d_2 - 1)^2(d_1^2 + d_1 d_2 - 3d_1 - d_2)}{(d_1 - 1)(n - 1)(2d_1 + d_2)^2}.$$

If $(d_1, d_2) \notin \{(2, 2), (2, 3), (2, 4)\}$, then $\frac{d\Omega_{d_1, d_2}}{dk}(1) > \frac{d\Xi_{d_1, d_2}}{dk}(1)$. Hence, for these dimensions we have $\Omega_{d_1, d_2}(1 - \delta) < \Xi_{d_1, d_2}(1 - \delta)$ if $\delta > 0$ is small enough. Since $A < \frac{1}{n+d_1} \frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{4(d_1-1)}$, both $\omega(A, 1, l)$ and $P_Y(A, 1, l)$ have real roots. Choose $\delta > 0$ be small enough so that both $\mu_i(A, 1 - \delta)$ and $\psi_i(A, 1 - \delta)$ exist.

There are six possibilities for $\mu_i(A, 1 - \delta)$ and $\psi_i(A, 1 - \delta)$.

$$\mu_2 < \psi_2 \leq \psi_1 < \mu_1, \quad \mu_2 < \psi_2 < \mu_1 < \psi_1, \quad \psi_2 < \mu_2 < \psi_1 < \mu_1,$$

$$\mu_2 \leq \mu_1 < \psi_2 \leq \psi_1, \quad \psi_2 \leq \psi_1 < \mu_2 \leq \mu_1, \quad \psi_2 < \mu_2 \leq \mu_1 < \psi_1.$$

As $\Omega_{d_1, d_2}(1 - \delta) < \Xi_{d_1, d_2}(1 - \delta)$, increasing A to $\Omega_{d_1, d_2}(1 - \delta)$ makes $\mu_2 = \mu_1$ while $\psi_2 \leq \psi_1$ still exist. Hence, the first three cases essentially violate Proposition 4.8. Since $P_X(1) = 0$, we have $\omega(A, 1, l) = -Q_X(1)P_Y(A, 1, l)$ and $\mu_i(A, 1) = \psi_i(A, 1)$. Therefore, the fourth and the fifth cases contradict Proposition 4.8. Only the last case stays valid. \square

Finally, a sufficient condition for $\omega < 0$ on $\mathcal{E}_0^+ \cap \{P = 0\}$ is obtained.

Proposition 4.15. Let $(d_1, d_2) \notin \{(2, 2), (2, 3), (2, 4)\}$. If $A \in \left[\Psi_{d_1, d_2}, \frac{1}{n+d_1} \frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{4(d_1-1)} \right)$, then $\omega < 0$ on $\mathcal{E}_0^+ \cap \{P = 0\}$.

Proof. Suppose for some $\tilde{A} \in \left[\Psi_{d_1, d_2}, \frac{1}{n+d_1} \frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{4(d_1-1)} \right)$ and $\tilde{k} \in (0, 1)$, the polynomial $\omega(\tilde{A}, \tilde{k}, l)$ is positive for some l . From Proposition 4.7, the quadratic function $\omega(\tilde{A}, \tilde{k}, l)$ has two positive roots $\mu_2(\tilde{A}, \tilde{k}) \leq \mu_1(\tilde{A}, \tilde{k})$. From Proposition 4.13, we know that these roots exist for any $k \in [\tilde{k}, 1)$. Furthermore, by Proposition 4.14, there is a $\delta > 0$ small enough so that

$$(4.34) \quad [\mu_2(\tilde{A}, 1 - \delta), \mu_1(\tilde{A}, 1 - \delta)] \subset (\psi_2(\tilde{A}, 1 - \delta), \psi_1(\tilde{A}, 1 - \delta)).$$

Suppose $P_Y(\tilde{A}, \tilde{k}, l)$ does not have real roots, then Proposition 4.8 is violated by $\omega(\tilde{A}, k, l)$ and $P_Y(\tilde{A}, k, l)$ for some $k \in (\tilde{k}, 1 - \delta)$. Therefore, the polynomial $P_Y(\tilde{A}, \tilde{k}, l)$ also has real roots $\psi_2(\tilde{A}, \tilde{k}) \leq \psi_1(\tilde{A}, \tilde{k})$. By Proposition 4.8 and (4.34), we also have the inclusion

$$[\mu_2(\tilde{A}, \tilde{k}), \mu_1(\tilde{A}, \tilde{k})] \subset (\psi_2(\tilde{A}, \tilde{k}), \psi_1(\tilde{A}, \tilde{k})).$$

Equivalently, the inequality $\omega(\tilde{A}, \tilde{k}, l) < 0$ holds if $P_Y(\tilde{A}, \tilde{k}, l) \leq 0$. As $P_X > 0$ on $(0, 1)$, we must have $P_Y < 0$ from $P = 0$. By the arbitrariness of \tilde{k} , we conclude that ω is negative on $\mathcal{E}_0^+ \cap \{P = 0\}$. \square

Lemma 4.16. *For any $d_2 \geq d_1 \geq 2$ with $(d_1, d_2) \notin \{(2, 2), (2, 3), (2, 4)\}$ and $A \in \left[\Psi_{d_1, d_2}, \frac{1}{n+d_1} \frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{4(d_1-1)} \right)$, an integral curve in \mathfrak{C}_A can only escape the set through the faces $\{H = 0\} \cap \{X_1 > 0\}$ or $\{Z - \mu_1 Y = 0\}$.*

Proof. From Proposition 4.5, Proposition 4.10 and Proposition 4.15, an integral curve in \mathfrak{C}_A does not escape the set through the face $\mathcal{E}^+ \cap \{P = 0\}$. By Proposition 4.3, an integral curve in \mathfrak{C}_A can only escape through $\{H = 0\}$ or $\{Z - \mu_1 Y = 0\}$.

We claim that it is impossible that an integral curve in \mathfrak{C}_A intersects Γ . Suppose otherwise, the integral curve must intersect $\Gamma \cap \{R_1 - R_2 < 0\}$. Both P and Q vanish at Γ apparently. Straightforward computations show that $P'|_\Gamma = P''|_\Gamma = 0$. Let the integral curve intersects $\Gamma \cap \{R_1 - R_2 < 0\}$ along $kX_1 = X_2$ for some $k \in \left[-\frac{d_1}{d_2}, 1\right]$. Then $k(R_1 - \frac{1}{n}) = R_2 - \frac{1}{n}$ at the intersection point. From (4.6) and (4.8), we obtain

$$(4.35) \quad \begin{aligned} P'''|_\Gamma &= 2((X_1 - X_2)'Q')|_\Gamma = 2((R_1 - R_2)Q')|_\Gamma, \\ Q'|_\Gamma &= Q_Y(A, k, l)Y^2 \left(R_1 - \frac{1}{n} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We show that $P'''|_{\Gamma \cap \{R_1 - R_2 < 0\}} < 0$ for $k \in \left[-\frac{d_1}{d_2}, 1\right]$ in the following. Since

$$(4.36) \quad d_1 \left(R_1 - \frac{1}{n} \right) + d_2 \left(R_2 - \frac{1}{n} \right) = -\frac{1}{n}$$

on Γ , the inequality $R_1 - \frac{1}{n} < 0$ holds on $\Gamma \cap \{R_1 - R_2 < 0\}$. By (4.12), the function $Q_Y(A, k, l)$ does not change monotonicity as a function of k for each fixed $(A, l) \in (0, \infty) \times (\mu_2, \mu_1)$. Hence, the derivative $Q'|_{\Gamma \cap \{R_1 - R_2 < 0\}}$ is positive if both $Q_Y\left(A, -\frac{d_1}{d_2}, l\right)$ and $Q_Y(A, 1, l)$ are positive for any $(A, l) \in (0, \infty) \times (\mu_2, \mu_1)$.

Note that for any $A > 0$, the quadratic function $Q_Y(A, 1, l)$ always has two roots with opposite signs and $P_Y(A, 1, l)Y^2 = R_2 - R_1$. If $A = \frac{1}{n+d_1} \frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{4(d_1-1)}$, then $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \frac{2(d_1-1)}{d_2-1}$.

Given $(d_1, d_2) \notin \{(2, 2), (2, 3), (2, 4)\}$, we have

$$Q_Y \left(\frac{1}{n+d_1} \frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{4(d_1-1)}, 1, \frac{2(d_1-1)}{d_2-1} \right) = \frac{-2(d_1-1)(2d_1n-3n-3d_1)n}{(n+d_1)(n-1)d_1} < 0.$$

If $A = \Psi_{d_1, d_2}$, the positive root of $Q_Y(\Psi_{d_1, d_2}, 1, l)$ is also μ_2 the smaller root of $P_Y(\Psi_{d_1, d_2}, 1, l)$. Proposition 4.8 implies that $Q_Y(A, 1, l)$ and $P_Y(A, 1, l)$ do not share any common root if $A > \Psi_{d_1, d_2}$. Therefore, we have $Q_Y(A, 1, l) < 0$ for any $(A, l) \in \left[\Psi_{d_1, d_2}, \frac{1}{n+d_1} \frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{4(d_1-1)} \right) \times (\mu_2, \mu_1)$. On the other hand, from (2.10) and (4.7),

$$(4.37) \quad Q_Y \left(A, -\frac{d_1}{d_2}, l \right) Y^2 = -\frac{n+d_1}{n^2 d_2} + \frac{d_1}{n} (R_1 - R_2) < 0.$$

We conclude that $Q'|_{\Gamma \cap \{R_1 - R_2 < 0\}} > 0$ and $P'''|_{\Gamma \cap \{R_1 - R_2 < 0\}} < 0$. This is a contradiction as $P < 0$ while the integral curve is in \mathfrak{C}_A . \square

Remark 4.17. Using the similar argument as above, we claim that for any $d_2 \geq d_1 \geq 2$ and $A > 0$, any integral curve in $\mathcal{E}_1^+ \cap \mathfrak{C}_A$ does not intersect Γ . Suppose otherwise, the integral curve intersects $\Gamma \cap \{R_1 - R_2 < 0\}$ along $kX_1 = X_2$ for some $k \in \left[-\frac{d_1}{d_2}, 0 \right]$. With $R_2 - \frac{1}{n} = k \left(R_1 - \frac{1}{n} \right) \geq 0$ at the intersection point, we have

$$(4.38) \quad Q_Y(A, 0, l) Y^2 = -2 \left(R_2 - \frac{1}{n} \right) - \frac{4d_1}{d_2} AZ^2 < 0.$$

Then $P''' < 0$ at the intersection point is a contradiction. Since $P > 0$ becomes $X_1 \left(R_2 - \frac{1-H^2}{n} \right) > 0$ at $\mathcal{E}_1^+ \cap \{X_2 = 0\}$, any integral curves in $\mathcal{E}_1^+ \cap \mathfrak{C}_A$ can only escape the set through the faces $\{H = 0\} \cap \{X_1 > 0\}$ or $\{Z - \mu_1 Y = 0\}$.

We are ready to prove Theorem 1.4.

Proof for Theorem 1.4. From Proposition 4.1 we have $\lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_0 \neq p_0^-$. From Proposition 4.6 and (4.33), we know that $\omega(A, k, l) < 0$ for any small enough $k, l > 0$. Therefore, each γ_s with $s > 0$ is initially in \mathfrak{C}_A by Proposition 4.11.

It is obvious that $p_0^- \notin \overline{\mathfrak{C}_A}$. If $\lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{s_*} = p_0^-$ for some s_* , the integral curve has to escape \mathfrak{C}_A . From Proposition 4.2 we know that γ_{s_*} does not intersect $\mathfrak{C}_A \cap \{Z = \mu_1 Y\}$. From Lemma 4.16, the integral curve γ_{s_*} escapes \mathfrak{C}_A through $\{H = 0\} \cap \{X_1 > 0\}$ and eventually intersects $\{X_1 - X_2 = 0\} \cap \{H < 0\}$. By the \mathbb{Z}_2 -symmetry of the dynamical system, there exists a parameter $\bar{s}_* > 0$ such that $\gamma_{\bar{s}_*}$ escape \mathfrak{C}_A through $\{X_1 - X_2 = 0\} \cap \{H > 0\}$. This is a contradiction to Lemma 4.16. \square

4.2. Existence. This section drives the existence condition of A by generalizing the set S in [Chi24] to an arbitrary (d_1, d_2, A) . Define

$$(4.39) \quad S := \frac{Z X_1 + \frac{d_2}{2d_1} X_2}{Y X_2}, \quad T := \frac{1 - H^2}{n} \frac{1}{YZ}.$$

The derivatives of S and T along γ_s are

$$(4.40) \quad S' = -\frac{1}{X_2 \left(X_1 + \frac{d_2}{2d_1} X_2 \right)} SP, \quad T' = 2T X_2.$$

Both S and T are monotonic in \mathfrak{S}_A . Define

$$\mathfrak{S}_A(\sigma, \tau) = \mathfrak{S}_A \cap \{S \leq \sigma\} \cap \{T \geq \tau\},$$

where σ and τ are constants depend on (d_1, d_2, A) . In principle, there are infinitely many choices of (σ, τ) to make the existence argument work for a *fixed* (d_1, d_2, A) . These parameters should be chosen more carefully to establish an argument for a general structural triple.

Proposition 4.18. If an integral curve in $\mathfrak{S}_A(\sigma, \tau)$ does not escape the set through the face $\mathfrak{S}_A(\sigma, \tau) \cap \{P = 0\}$; it can only escape the set through the face $\{Z - \mu_1 Y = 0\}$ or $\{X_1 - X_2 = 0\}$.

Proof. It is clear that the function S is non-increasing in $\mathfrak{S}_A(\sigma, \tau)$. Hence, an integral curve in $\mathfrak{S}_A(\sigma, \tau)$ does not escape the set through $\mathfrak{S}_A(\sigma, \tau) \cap \{S = \sigma\} \cap \{P > 0\}$. The function T monotonically increases in $\mathfrak{S}_A(\sigma, \tau) \subset \mathcal{E}_0^+$. Hence, an integral curve in $\mathfrak{S}_A(\sigma, \tau)$ does not escape the set through $\mathfrak{S}_A(\sigma, \tau) \cap \{T = \tau\}$. The proof is complete by Proposition 4.3. \square

Given a fixed (d_1, d_2) , we look for a condition on A so that $Q > 0$ on $\mathfrak{S}_A(\sigma, \tau) \cap \{P = 0\}$. We first set

$$(4.41) \quad A < \frac{d_2(d_2 - 1)^2}{d_1^2(d_1 d_2 - d_2 + 4)}, \quad (\sigma, \tau) = \left(\frac{d_2(d_2 - 1)}{2d_1^2 A}, d_2 - 1 - \frac{d_1 + 1}{d_2(d_2 - 1)} 2d_1^2 A \right).$$

While the set \mathcal{S} in [Chi24] intersects γ_s with a range of s , the set $\mathfrak{S}_A(\sigma, \tau)$ intersects only one integral curve that emanates p_0^+ . Specifically, let $s_\bullet = \frac{d_1}{\tau} = \frac{d_1 \sigma}{(d_2 - 1)\sigma - (d_1 + 1)}$. By (3.1), we have

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow -\infty} S(\gamma_{s_\bullet}) = \sigma, \quad \lim_{\eta \rightarrow -\infty} T(\gamma_{s_\bullet}) = \tau.$$

By the monotonicity of S and T , the inequalities $S \leq \sigma$ and $T \geq \tau$ hold along γ_{s_\bullet} once the integral curve leaves p_0^+ . Since γ_{s_\bullet} is initially tangent to $\{P = 0\} \cap \{S = \sigma\}$, the (k, l) -projection of γ_{s_\bullet} is tangent to the level curve $\omega(A, k, l) = 0$ at $(0, 0)$ along the vector $v = (1, \sigma)$. By (4.22), we have $\text{Hess}(\omega)|_{(0,0)}(v, v) > 0$ given that $A < \frac{d_2(d_2 - 1)^2}{d_1^2(d_1 d_2 - d_2 + 4)}$. By Proposition 4.11, the inequality $P > 0$ holds initially along γ_{s_\bullet} . Therefore, the integral curve γ_{s_\bullet} is initially in $\mathfrak{S}_A(\sigma, \tau)$.

From Proposition 4.7, we learn that for each fixed $A > 0$ and $k \in (0, 1)$, the quadratic function $\omega(A, k, l)$ is concave down. By (4.18) and Proposition 4.4, the positivity of Q on $\mathfrak{S}_A(\sigma, \tau) \cap \{P = 0\}$ is hence implied by the following condition: For each $k \in (0, 1)$, the quadratic $\omega(A, k, l)$ has two real roots $\mu_2(A, k) < \mu_1(A, k)$ and the interval for l obtained from $\mathfrak{S}_A(\sigma, \tau) \cap \{P = 0\}$ is contained in $(\mu_2(A, k), \mu_1(A, k))$.

In the following, we specify how $\mathfrak{S}_A(\sigma, \tau) \cap \{P = 0\}$ gives rise to a closed interval for l . In terms of k and l , the inequality $S \leq \sigma$ is equivalent to

$$(4.42) \quad l \leq \nu_1(A, k) := \sigma \frac{2d_1 k}{2d_1 + d_2 k} = \frac{d_2(d_2 - 1)}{d_1 A} \frac{k}{2d_1 + d_2 k},$$

and it gives the upper bound for l . Presumably the lower bound $\nu_2(A, k)$ for l is obtained from $\{P = 0\} \cap \{T \geq \tau\}$. It takes the following computations to write $T \geq \tau$ in terms of k and l . From (2.10), the inequality $T \geq \tau$ is equivalent to

$$G - H^2 + d_1 R_1 + d_2 R_2 - (n - 1)\tau Y Z \geq 0.$$

Rewrite the above inequality as $T_X(k)X_1^2 + T_Y(A, k, l)Y^2 \geq 0$, where T_X and T_Y are polynomials. With $P = 0$, the condition $T \geq \tau$ is equivalent to

$$(4.43) \quad T_X X_1^2 + T_Y Y^2 = \frac{T_Y P_X - T_X P_Y}{P_X} Y^2 \geq 0.$$

Define $\zeta(A, k, l) = T_Y P_X - T_X P_Y$. The specific formula for $\zeta(A, k, l)$ is

$$(4.44) \quad \zeta(A, k, l) = \zeta_2 A l^2 + \zeta_1 l + \zeta_0,$$

where

$$(4.45) \quad \begin{aligned} \zeta_2(k) &= -\frac{(d_1 + d_2 k)(d_1 + d_2 k - 1)(2d_1 + d_2 k)}{d_2} \\ \zeta_1(k, \tau) &= \frac{d_2 - 1}{d_1} (d_1 + d_2 k)(d_2 k^2 + d_2(d_1 - 1)k + d_1(d_1 - 1)) \\ &\quad - \frac{1 - k}{d_1} ((d_1 d_2^2 - 2d_1 d_2 - d_2^2 + d_2)k^2 + (2d_1^2 d_2 - 2d_1^2 - 2d_1 d_2 + 2d_1)k + d_1^2(d_1 - 1))\tau \\ \zeta_0(k) &= -k(d_1 - 1)(d_1 + d_2 k)(d_1 + d_2 k + 1 - 2k). \end{aligned}$$

As $P_X > 0$ for each $k \in (0, 1)$, the function $T - \tau$ and ζ have the same sign. We show in the following proposition that for any $k \in (0, 1)$ and a sufficiently small $A > 0$, the condition $\zeta(A, k, l) \geq 0$ yields the lower bound for l with each fixed $k \in (0, 1)$.

Proposition 4.19. For each $(A, k) \in \left(0, \frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{d_1^2(d_1 d_2 - d_2 + 4)}\right) \times (0, 1)$, the quadratic function ζ has two positive real roots. The smaller real root is in $(0, \nu_1(A, k))$.

Proof. A straightforward computation shows

$$(4.46) \quad \zeta(A, k, \nu_1(A, k)) = \zeta\left(A, k, \frac{d_2(d_2-1)}{d_1 A} \frac{k}{2d_1 + d_2 k}\right) = \frac{k^2}{d_1^2(2d_1 + d_2 k)A} (d_2(d_2-1)^2 \beta_0 - d_1^2 \beta_1 A),$$

where

$$(4.47) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta_0(k) &= (d_1 d_2^2 - 2d_1 d_2 - d_2^2 + d_2)k^2 + (2d_1^2 d_2 - 2d_1^2 - d_1 d_2 + 2d_1)k + d_1^3 - d_1, \\ \beta_1(k) &= (2d_1^2 d_2^2 + d_1 d_2^3 - 4d_1^2 d_2 - 2d_1 d_2^2 - d_2^3 - 2d_1 d_2 + 2d_2)k^2 \\ &\quad + (4d_1^3 d_2 + 2d_1^2 d_2^2 - 4d_1^3 - 2d_1^2 d_2 - 3d_1 d_2^2 + 4d_1 d_2 + d_2^2 + 4d_1 - 2d_2)k \\ &\quad + 2d_1^4 + d_1^3 d_2 - 2d_1^2 d_2 + 2d_1^2 + d_1 d_2 - 4d_1. \end{aligned}$$

The coefficients β_0 and β_1 are positive for each $k \in [0, 1]$ by Proposition 6.4. Furthermore, from Proposition 6.5 we know that

$$\min_{k \in [0, 1]} \left\{ \frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2 \beta_0}{d_1^2 \beta_1} \right\} = \frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{d_1^2(n+d_1)} \geq \frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{d_1^2(d_1 d_2 - d_2 + 4)}.$$

Therefore, the inequality $\zeta\left(A, k, \sigma \frac{2d_1 k}{2d_1 + d_2 k}\right) > 0$ is valid for any $(A, k) \in \left(0, \frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{d_1^2(d_1 d_2 - d_2 + 4)}\right) \times (0, 1)$. As $\zeta(A, k, l)$ is concave down and $\zeta_0 < 0$ for any $k \in (0, 1)$, we know that $\zeta(A, k, l)$ has two real roots for each $k \in (0, 1)$. Furthermore, the smaller root is less than $\nu_1(A, k)$. \square

Denote the smaller positive root of $\zeta(A, k, l)$ as $\nu_2(A, k)$. Proposition 4.19 guarantees the existence of the closed interval $[\nu_2(A, k), \nu_1(A, k)]$ for any $(A, k) \in \left(0, \frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{d_1^2(d_1d_2-d_2+4)}\right) \times (0, 1)$.

Proposition 4.20. Define $A_1 = \min_{k \in [0, 1]} \left\{ \frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{d_1^2(2d_1+d_2k)} \frac{\beta_2}{\omega_0} \right\} > 0$, where

$$\beta_2 = (d_1d_2^3 - 2d_1d_2^2 - d_2^3 + d_2^2)k^3 + (2d_1^2d_2^2 - 4d_1^2d_2 - 2d_1d_2^2 + 2d_1d_2)k^2 + (d_1^3d_2 - 2d_1^3 + d_1^2d_2)k + 2d_1^3.$$

The function $\omega(A, k, \nu_1(A, k))$ is positive for any $k \in (0, 1)$ if $A < A_1$.

Proof. With the help of Maple, we compute

(4.48)

$$\omega(A, k, \nu_1(A, k)) = \omega\left(A, k, \frac{d_2(d_2-1)}{d_1A} \frac{k}{2d_1+d_2k}\right) = \frac{(d_1-1)k^2}{d_1^2(n-1)} \left(\frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{d_1^2(2d_1+d_2k)} \frac{\beta_2}{A} - \omega_0 \right)$$

By Proposition 6.6 in the Appendix, the factor $\frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{d_1^2(2d_1+d_2k)}\beta_2$ is positive for any $k \in [0, 1]$. As ω_0 is also positive by Proposition 4.7, we know that $A_1 > 0$. The proof is complete. \square

Remark 4.21. From Proposition 6.7, we know that the function $\frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{d_1^2(2d_1+d_2k)} \frac{\beta_2}{\omega_0}$ increases on $[0, 1]$ if $d_1 \geq 4$ and we have $A_1 = \frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{d_1^2(d_1d_2-d_2+4)}$ for these cases. If $(d_1, d_2) = (2, 2)$, the function increases and then decrease on $[0, 1]$ and we still have $A_1 = \frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{d_1^2(d_1d_2-d_2+4)} = \frac{1}{12}$. With $d_1 \in \{2, 3\}$ and $d_2 \geq 3$, the function decreases and then increases. We have $A_1(d_1, d_2) < \frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{d_1^2(d_1d_2-d_2+4)}$ for these cases. We leave the complicated formulas for $A_1(2, d_2)$ and $A_1(3, d_2)$ in (6.24) in the Appendix.

Since $\omega(A, k, l)$ is concave down and $\omega(A, k, 0) < 0$ for any $k \in (0, 1)$, Proposition 4.20 implies that an $\omega(A, k, l)$ with $(A, k) \in (0, A_1) \times (0, 1)$ has two positive roots $\mu_2(A, k) < \mu_1(A, k)$ and $\nu_1(A, k) \in (\mu_2(A, k), \mu_1(A, k))$ for any $k \in (0, 1)$. With our choice of (σ, τ) , it is clear that

$$\nu_2(A, 0) = \nu_1(A, 0) = 0, \quad \frac{d\nu_2}{dk}(A, 0) = \frac{d\nu_1}{dk}(A, 0) = \frac{d_2(d_2-1)}{2d_1^2A}.$$

Therefore, the inclusion $[\nu_2(A, k), \nu_1(A, k)] \subset (\mu_2(A, k), \mu_1(A, k))$ holds with any sufficiently small $k > 0$. The next step is to obtain the condition on A so that the inclusion holds for any $k \in (0, 1)$.

Proposition 4.22. There exists an $A_2 > 0$ such that for any $A \in (0, A_2)$, the inequality $\nu_2(A, k) > \mu_2(A, k)$ holds for any $k \in (0, 1)$.

Proof. Computations show that

$$(4.49) \quad \text{Res}_l(\omega, \zeta) = -\frac{(d_1-1)(2d_1+d_2k)(1-k)^2k^2\beta_3^2}{d_1^6d_2^3(d_2-1)^2(n-1)^2} A \Theta_{d_1, d_2},$$

where

$$(4.50) \quad \beta_3(k) = (d_1d_2^2 - 2d_1d_2 - d_2^2 + d_2)k^2 + (2d_1^2d_2 - 2d_1^2 - 2d_1d_2 + 2d_1)k + d_1^3 - d_1^2$$

and

$$(4.51) \quad \Theta_{d_1, d_2}(A, k) = \theta_2 A^2 + \theta_1 A + \theta_0,$$

with coefficients $\theta_i(k)$ be polynomials; see (6.26).

From Proposition 6.8, we have $\theta_2 < 0$ and $\theta_0 \geq 0$ for any $k \in [0, 1]$. The coefficient θ_0 only vanishes at $k = 0$. We also have

$$(4.52) \quad \Theta_{d_1, d_2}(A, 0) = -(d_1^3 d_2 - d_1^2 d_2 + 4d_1^2)(4d_1^4 - 2d_1^3)A^2 + 4d_1^2 d_2 (d_2 - 1)^2 (d_1 + 1)(2d_1^7 - 2d_1^5)A.$$

Therefore, for any fixed $k \in [0, 1]$, the quadratic polynomial $\Theta_{d_1, d_2}(A, k)$ always has a single positive root $A^+(k)$. Define $A_2 = \min_{k \in [0, 1]} A^+(k) > 0$. If $A \in (0, A_2)$, the factor

$\Theta_{d_1, d_2}(A, k)$ is positive for any $k \in [0, 1]$.

Finally, since

$$(4.53) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta_3 &= d_2(d_1 - 1)(d_2 - 2)k^2 - d_2 k^2 + 2d_1(d_1 - 1)(d_2 - 1)k + d_1^2(d_1 - 1) \\ &> -d_2 k + 2d_1(d_1 - 1)(d_2 - 1)k \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

the resultant $\text{Res}_l(\omega, \zeta)$ does not vanish for any $(A, k) \in (0, A_2) \times (0, 1)$. As $\nu_2(A, k) > \mu_2(A, k)$ for a sufficiently small $k > 0$, we conclude that $\nu_2(A, k) > \mu_2(A, k)$ for any $k \in (0, 1)$. \square

Define $\chi_{d_1, d_2} = \min\{A_1, A_2\}$. We obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 4.23. *Consider a structural triple (d_1, d_2, A) with $A < \chi_{d_1, d_2}$. An integral curve that is in $\mathfrak{S}_A(\sigma, \tau)$ can only escape the set through the face $\{X_1 - X_2 = 0\}$. In particular, either γ_{s_\bullet} joints p_0^\pm or $\#C(\gamma_{s_\bullet}) \geq 1$.*

Proof. Since $A < \chi_{d_1, d_2} \leq A_1, A_2$, the interval $[\nu_2(A, k), \nu_1(A, k)]$ exists and $[\nu_2(A, k), \nu_1(A, k)] \subset (\mu_2(A, k), \mu_1(A, k))$ for any $k \in (0, 1)$ by Proposition 4.19, Proposition 4.20, and Proposition 4.22. Therefore, the inequality $Q > 0$ holds on $\mathfrak{S}_A(\sigma, \tau) \cap \{P = 0\}$. By (4.6), an integral curve in $\mathfrak{S}_A(\sigma, \tau)$ does not escape the set through $\mathfrak{S}_A(\sigma, \tau) \cap \{P = 0\}$.

From (3.4), we have

$$(4.54) \quad \sigma = \frac{d_2(d_2 - 1)}{2d_1^2 A} = \frac{n + d_1}{2d_1^2} \frac{\mu_1 + \mu_2}{2} < \frac{n + d_1}{2d_1} \mu_1.$$

The inequality $S \leq \sigma < \frac{n + d_1}{2d_1} \mu_1$ implies $\frac{Z}{Y} \frac{n + d_1}{2d_1} < \frac{Z}{Y} \frac{X_1 + \frac{d_2}{2d_1} X_2}{X_2} < \frac{n + d_1}{2d_1} \mu_1$. By Proposition 4.18, an integral curve in $\mathfrak{S}_A(\sigma, \tau)$ does not escape through the face $\{Z - \mu_1 Y = 0\}$ and it can only escape the set through $\{X_1 - X_2 = 0\}$. \square

We are ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof for Theorem 1.1. By Proposition 4.1 and Lemma 4.23, there exists some $s_\star \in (0, s_\bullet]$ such that γ_{s_\star} intersects Γ and it is a heterocline that joins p_0^\pm . \square

The explicit formula for A_2 in Proposition 4.22 is complicated. One can use the following proposition to check if $A < A_2$ holds.

Proposition 4.24. *If $\Theta_{d_1, d_2}(A, k) > 0$ for any $k \in (0, 1)$, then $A < A_2(d_1, d_2)$.*

Using the proposition above, we prove Corollary 1.2 in the following.

Proof for Corollary 1.2. From (6.24), we can deduce that $\tilde{\chi}_{d_1, d_2} < A_1(d_1, d_2)$ for $d_1 \in \{2, 3\}$. We check $\tilde{\chi}_{d_1, d_2} < A_2(d_1, d_2)$ in the following. For $d_1 = 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(d_2 + 8)^4}{d_2^2(d_2 - 1)^4} \Theta_{2, d_2} \left(\frac{4d_2(d_2 - 1)^2}{(d_2 + 8)^2}, k \right) \\
&= (35d_2^8 + 324d_2^7 - 10688d_2^6 + 81664d_2^5 - 95232d_2^4 - 28672d_2^3)k^5 \\
(4.55) \quad &+ (324d_2^7 + 5568d_2^6 - 96000d_2^5 + 516096d_2^4 - 503808d_2^3 - 196608d_2^2)k^4 \\
&+ (1056d_2^6 + 28032d_2^5 - 336384d_2^4 + 1253376d_2^3 - 933888d_2^2 - 393216d_2)k^3 \\
&+ (1472d_2^5 + 65280d_2^4 - 536576d_2^3 + 1392640d_2^2 - 589824d_2 - 262144)k^2 \\
&+ (768d_2^4 + 76800d_2^3 - 319488d_2^2 + 589824d_2)k + 36864d_2^2
\end{aligned}$$

The coefficient for each k^i is positive given that $d_2 \geq 2$. For $d_1 = 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(d_2 + 8)^4}{d_2^2(d_2 - 1)^4} \Theta_{3, d_2} \left(\frac{d_2(d_2 - 1)^2}{(d_2 + 8)^2}, k \right) \\
&= (119d_2^8 + 1114d_2^7 - 23088d_2^6 + 228448d_2^5 - 76864d_2^4 - 6528d_2^3)k^5 \\
(4.56) \quad &+ (1710d_2^7 + 27684d_2^6 - 268128d_2^5 + 2570688d_2^4 - 766080d_2^3 - 71424d_2^2)k^4 \\
&+ (8748d_2^6 + 201096d_2^5 - 1273536d_2^4 + 11664000d_2^3 - 2979072d_2^2 - 235008d_2)k^3 \\
&+ (19224d_2^5 + 642384d_2^4 - 3037824d_2^3 + 26742528d_2^2 - 5239296d_2 - 248832)k^2 \\
&+ (15552d_2^4 + 964224d_2^3 - 3359232d_2^2 + 31477248d_2 - 3483648)k \\
&+ 559872d_2^2 - 1119744d_2 + 15676416
\end{aligned}$$

The coefficient for each k^i above is positive given that $d_2 \geq 3$. The proof is complete. \square

We discuss the inhomogeneity of the Einstein metric represented by γ_{s_\star} . By homothety change, let $f_2 = 1$ at the totally geodesic principal orbit and let $g_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{K}}(\mu) = \mu b|_{\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{k}} + b|_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}$. Each cohomogeneity one Einstein metric represented by γ_{s_\star} admits a totally geodesic principal orbit $(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{K}, g_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{K}}(\mu_\star))$ for some $\mu_\star \in (\mu_1, \mu_2)$.

From the argument in [Böh98, Page 171], the intrinsic geometry of $(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{K}, g_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{K}}(\mu_\star))$ helps prove the inhomogeneity of the cohomogeneity one Einstein metric. We summarize the argument into the following lemma.

Lemma 4.25. *Let \mathbb{G}/\mathbb{K} be a principal orbit with $A < \chi_{d_1, d_2}$. If $g_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{K}}(\tilde{\mu})$ has non-negative sectional curvature and $\tilde{\mu} \geq \frac{3}{4}\mu_\star$, then the Einstein metric represented by γ_{s_\star} is inhomogeneous.*

Proof. By [ST09, Theorem 0.1], the canonical variation of $(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{K}, g_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{K}}(\tilde{\mu}))$ that shrinks the spherical fiber or dilates the spherical fiber by $\frac{4}{3}$ preserves the non-negative sectional curvatures. Therefore, the totally geodesic principal orbit $(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{K}, g_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{K}}(\mu_\star))$ has non-negative sectional curvature.

By Proposition 4.12 and Remark 4.17, it is necessary that $s_\star \in \left(\frac{d_1}{d_2 - 1}, s_\bullet \right]$ and γ_{s_\star} intersects $\Gamma \cap \{R_1 - R_2 < 0\}$ along $kX_1 = X_2$ for some $k \in (0, 1]$. Therefore, the inequality $R_i - \frac{1}{n} < 0$ holds for $i = 1, 2$. Since $\frac{\ddot{f}_i}{\dot{f}_i} = X_i^2 - HX_i + R_i - \frac{1-H^2}{n}$, it is clear that $-\frac{\ddot{f}_i}{\dot{f}_i} > 0$ on the minimal principal orbit, where $X_i = 0$. By the Riccati equation,

the normal-tangential sectional curvature tensor of M is determined by $-\frac{\ddot{f}_i}{f_i}$; see [Esc87]. With the collar being totally geodesic, it is clear that the cohomogeneity one metric is non-negatively curved at the collar. Assuming homogeneity, we conclude that $-\frac{\ddot{f}_2}{f_2} \geq 0$ on M . As \dot{f}_2 vanishes at both singular orbits, the function f_2 is a constant, which is a contradiction. \square

By the lemma above, the cohomogeneity one Einstein metric on $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^{m+1} \# \overline{\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^{m+1}}$ is inhomogeneous since $g_{G/K}(\mu_1)$ is the standard metric on \mathbb{S}^{4m+3} and $\mu_\star \in (\mu_2, \mu_1)$. For some, but a few of the principal orbits below, we have $g_{G/K}(\mu_1)$ being a non-negatively curved metric. Notably, two principal orbits with the same structural triple may differ in sectional curvatures. For example, the twistor fibrations $\mathbb{S}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^1$ and $\mathbb{S}^2 \hookrightarrow SU(3)/T^2 \rightarrow S(U(2)U(1))$ both have $(d_1, d_2, A) = (2, 4, 1)$. The homogeneous metric $g_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3}(\mu_1)$ has positive sectional curvature while $g_{SU(3)/T^2}(\mu_1)$ is not even non-negatively curved.

A normal homogeneous metric $g_{G/K}(\mu_\bullet)$ always has non-negative sectional curvature. Therefore, we are motivated to compare μ_\bullet and μ_\star . In particular, if G is simple, we let $g_{G/K}(\mu_\bullet)$ be the standard homogeneous metric induced by $-B_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Define

$$A_3(d_1, d_2) = \frac{d_2(d_1 + 1)(d_2 - 1)^2}{4d_1^2(n + d_1)}.$$

We obtain a more precise estimate of μ_\star if $A < A_3(d_1, d_2)$.

Proposition 4.26. If G/K is a principal orbit with $A < \min\{\chi_{d_1, d_2}, A_3(d_1, d_2)\}$, then $\mu_\star < \frac{2d_1}{d_2-1}$.

Proof. Define the function

$$U = Y - \frac{d_2 - 1}{2d_1}Z + X_2 - X_1.$$

By (3.1), we have

$$U(\gamma_s) \sim (n-1)(d_2-1)s - d_1(n-1) + O\left(e^{\left(\frac{2}{d_2} + \epsilon\right)\eta}\right).$$

As $s_\star > \frac{d_1}{d_2-1}$, the function U is positive along γ_{s_\star} initially. We have

$$(4.57) \quad \begin{aligned} U' = U & \left(H \left(G + \frac{1-H^2}{n} \right) - (d_1-1)Y + \frac{(d_1+1)(d_2-1)}{2d_1}Z - d_1X_1 + (d_1-1)X_2 \right) \\ & + \left(\frac{(d_1+1)(d_2-1)^2}{4d_1^2} - \frac{n+d_1}{d_2}A \right) Z^2 + n(X_1 - X_2)X_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $A < A_3(d_1, d_2)$, the last two terms in (4.57) are non-negative. Therefore, the function U is positive while γ_{s_\star} is in \mathcal{E}_0^+ . It follows that

$$\left(\frac{Z}{Y} \right)' = 2 \frac{Z}{Y} \left(Y - \frac{d_2-1}{2d_1}Z - U \right) < 2 \frac{Z}{Y} \left(Y - \frac{d_2-1}{2d_1}Z \right)$$

while γ_{s_\star} is in \mathcal{E}_0^+ . Therefore, the inequality $\frac{Z}{Y} < \frac{2d_1}{d_2-1}$ holds while γ_{s_\star} is in \mathcal{E}_0^+ . We conclude that $\mu_\star < \frac{2d_1}{d_2-1}$. \square

Since $\chi_{d_1, d_2} \leq \frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{d_1^2(d_1 d_2 - d_2 + 4)} \leq A_3(d_1, d_2)$ for $d_1 \geq 3$ and $\tilde{\chi}_{2, d_2} \leq A_3(2, d_2)$ for $d_2 \geq 8$, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.27. *The cohomogeneity one Einstein metrics from Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 are inhomogeneous if $d_1 \geq 3$ and $\frac{2d_1}{d_2-1} \leq \mu_\bullet$. The cohomogeneity one Einstein metrics from Corollary 1.2 are inhomogeneous if $d_1 = 2$, $d_2 \geq 8$ and $\frac{2d_1}{d_2-1} \leq \mu_\bullet$.*

We end this section by proving Theorem 1.3.

Proof for Theorem 1.3. From (3.2) and (3.4) we have

$$(4.58) \quad A\mu_2^2 = \frac{d_2}{n+d_1} ((d_2-1)\mu_2 - (d_1-1)),$$

$$(4.59) \quad y_2^2 = \frac{n-1}{n} \frac{n+d_1}{2d_1n(d_1-1) + d_2n(d_2-1)\mu_2}.$$

By (3.4), the constant term in θ' is

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{2\mu_2(\mu_1 - \mu_2)A \frac{n+d_1}{d_2} y_2} &= \sqrt{2(d_1-1) - \frac{2(n+d_1)}{d_2} A\mu_2^2 y_2} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{(n-1)(n+d_1)}}{n} \sqrt{\frac{4(d_1-1) - 2(d_2-1)\mu_2}{2d_1(d_1-1) + d_2(d_2-1)\mu_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, we impose $H(0) = 0$. Then $H = \tanh\left(\frac{\eta}{n}\right)$ is the solution to (3.11). Given $A < \chi_{d_1, d_2}$, we know from the discussion above that there exists some γ_{s_\bullet} such that $\sharp C(\gamma_{s_\bullet}) \geq 1$. With $\lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} \theta < \frac{3\pi}{4}$, we know from the barrier argument in [Chi24, Lemma 5.9] that there exists a sufficiently large $s_{\bullet\bullet}$ so that $\sharp C(\gamma_{s_{\bullet\bullet}}) = 0$. Hence, there exists some $s_{\star\star} \in (s_\bullet, s_{\bullet\bullet})$ such that $s_{\star\star}$ joins p_0^\pm . \square

5. EXAMPLES

5.1. Type I. For examples of Type I, the group \mathbf{G} is a compact simple Lie group. The isotropy representation $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k}$ splits into two inequivalent irreducible summands. Let \mathbf{H}' be the effective part of \mathbf{H} that acts on \mathbf{H}/\mathbf{K} and assume $B_{\mathfrak{h}'|_{\mathfrak{k}}} = \alpha B_{\mathfrak{g}}|_{\mathfrak{k}}$ for some $\alpha > 0$. Let c_1^* be the Casimir constant of $\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{k}$ with respect to $B_{\mathfrak{h}'|_{\mathfrak{k}}}$ and c_2^* be the Casimir constant of $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ with respect to $B_{\mathfrak{g}}|_{\mathfrak{h}}$. Then from the scalar curvature formula in [WZ86], the invariant metric $x_1 \tilde{b}|_{\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{k}} + x_2 \tilde{b}|_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}$ has the Ricci endomorphism

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{r}_1 &= \frac{\alpha}{2} \left(c_1^* + \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{1}{x_1} + \frac{1-\alpha}{4} \frac{x_1}{x_2^2}, \\ \tilde{r}_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(c_2^* + \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{1}{x_2} - \frac{d_1(1-\alpha)}{2d_2} \frac{x_1}{x_2^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Rescale \tilde{b} to (2.1). Let $g_{\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{K}}(\mu_\bullet)$ be the standard homogeneous metric. We have

$$A = (1-\alpha)\alpha \frac{2c_1^* + 1}{(2c_2^* + 1)^2} \frac{(d_2-1)^2}{d_1-1}, \quad \mu_\bullet = \frac{2c_2^* + 1}{\alpha(2c_1^* + 1)} \frac{d_1-1}{d_2-1}$$

If both G/H and H/K are symmetric, then $c_1^* = c_2^* = \frac{1}{2}$ and $(A, \mu_\bullet) = \left(\frac{(1-\alpha)\alpha}{2} \frac{(d_2-1)^2}{d_1-1}, \frac{d_1-1}{\alpha(d_2-1)} \right)$. From [DK08], [AC11], and [He12], we can check all Type I principal orbits with only one indeterminable case. The case **III.11** from [DK08] is omitted in this section. It is the only Type I principal orbit that does not yield any G -invariant Einstein metric from (2.1); see Remark 3.2.

Each example of G/K listed in Table 1 is the twistor space over a Wolf space. We have $c_1^* = c_2^* = \frac{1}{2}$ and $(d_1, d_2, A) = \left(2, d_2, 4d_2 \frac{(d_2-1)^2}{(d_2+8)^2} \right)$. Since $A = \tilde{\chi}_{2,d_2}$, there exists at least one Einstein metric on the associated double disk bundle by Corollary 1.2.

K	H	G	d_1	d_2	α
$Sp(m) \times U(1)$	$Sp(m) \times Sp(1)$	$Sp(m+1)$	2	$4m$	$\frac{2}{m+2}$
$SO(m) \times U(2)$	$SO(m) \times SO(4)$	$SO(m+4)$	2	$4m$	$\frac{2}{m+2}$
$U(2)$	$SO(4)$	G_2	2	8	$\frac{1}{2}$
$Sp(3)U(1)$	$Sp(3)Sp(1)$	F_4	2	28	$\frac{2}{9}$
$SU(6)U(1)$	$SU(6)Sp(1)$	E_6	2	40	$\frac{1}{6}$
$Spin(12)U(1)$	$Spin(12)Sp(1)$	E_7	2	64	$\frac{1}{9}$
$E_7U(1)$	$E_7Sp(1)$	E_8	2	112	$\frac{1}{15}$

TABLE 1

Since $\mu_\bullet = \frac{d_2+8}{2(d_2-1)} > \frac{4}{d_2-1}$, Einstein metrics from Table 1 with $d_2 \geq 8$ are inhomogeneous by Lemma 4.27. For the first case, the principal orbit is $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{2m+1}$ as a twistor fibration over $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^m$. The cohomogeneity one space M is F^{m+1} from [Böh98]. We recover the Einstein metrics that were numerically found in [GPP90], [Böh98], and [DHW13]. Furthermore, the Fubini–Study metric on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{2m+1}$ is given by μ_1 . Therefore, the Einstein metric on F^{m+1} is inhomogeneous for all $m \geq 1$ by Lemma 4.25.

Each case in Table 2 satisfies $A \geq \Psi_{d_1,d_2}$. By Theorem 1.4, each G/K listed in Table 2 does not yield any cohomogeneity one Einstein metric from the ansatz (2.6). The third case corresponds to case **I.16** in [DK08], where $c_1^* = \frac{2}{5}$ and $c_2^* = \frac{1}{2}$. For the other cases, we have $c_1^* = c_2^* = \frac{1}{2}$. The number α for each case is obtained from [WZ85, Table II].

K	H	G	d_1	d_2	α	A	Ψ_{d_1, d_2}
$Sp(2)U(1)$	$U(4)$	$SU(5)$	5	8	$\frac{4}{5}$	$\frac{49}{50} = 0.98$	$\frac{186494}{198025} \approx 0.94$
Spin(7)	Spin(8)	Spin(9)	7	8	$\frac{6}{7}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{8879}{20886} \approx 0.43$
$G_2 \times SO(2)$	Spin(7) $SO(2)$	Spin(9)	7	14	$\frac{5}{7}$	$\frac{507}{196} \approx 2.59$	$\frac{11}{6} \approx 1.83$
Spin(11) $Sp(1)$	Spin(12) $Sp(1)$	E_7	11	64	$\frac{5}{9}$	49	$\frac{26823819708}{1214772845} \approx 22.08$
Spin(15)	Spin(16)	E_8	15	128	$\frac{7}{15}$	$\frac{32258}{225} \approx 143.37$	$\frac{28882022881}{576131150} \approx 50.13$

TABLE 2

The following case is listed as case **III.12** in [He12]. Both G/H and H/K are symmetric and we have $c_1^* = c_2^* = \frac{1}{2}$. Our computations below show that $A \in (\chi_{5,20}, \Psi_{5,20})$. Therefore, Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.4 do not apply to this case.

K	H	G	d_1	d_2	α	A	$\frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{d_1^2(d_1d_2-d_2+4)}$	Ψ_{d_1, d_2}
$Sp(2)U(1)$	$U(4)$	$Sp(4)$	5	20	$\frac{4}{5}$	$\frac{361}{50} = 7.22$	$\frac{361}{105} \approx 3.44$	$\frac{4693}{512} \approx 9.17$

TABLE 3

5.2. Type II. For examples of Type II, the two summands of $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k}$ are equivalent. We have the following two examples.

K	H	G	$d := d_1 = d_2$	A
$\Delta_3 Sp(1)$	$[\Delta_2 Sp(1)] \times Sp(1)$	$Sp(1) \times Sp(1) \times Sp(1)$	3	$\frac{1}{8}$
G_2	Spin(7)	Spin(8)	7	$\frac{3}{8}$

TABLE 4

For both cases, the principal orbit is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^d \times \mathbb{S}^d$. As $A > 0$, the submersion $G/K \rightarrow G/H$ is not integrable. Hence, each space above is *not* a Riemannian product. The second example is obtained from the case **I.16** in the classification in [DK08]. Since isotropy representations of these principal orbits split into two equivalent irreducible summands, a G -invariant metric on G/K is not necessarily diagonal. From [Ker98], the Ricci tensor of a diagonal metric on G/K is also diagonal. We restrict ourselves to diagonal metrics (2.1) and obtain the two summands type cohomogeneity one Einstein equation. The scalar curvatures of these two principal orbits are computed in [PZ21, Equation 7.26], from which we obtain the value of A .

The first example is the Ledger–Obata space $L^3/\text{diag}(L)$ with $L = Sp(1)$. The standard homogeneous metric is induced by $-B_{\text{sp}(1)} - B_{\text{sp}(1)} - B_{\text{sp}(1)}$. We have $A_1(3, 3) = -\frac{112\sqrt{63}}{1539} + \frac{364}{513} \approx 0.13$. We also have

$$\Theta_{3,3}\left(\frac{1}{8}, k\right) = 166941k^5 + 535086k^4 + 635688k^3 + 379080k^2 + 166212k + 52488 > 0$$

for any $k \in (0, 1)$. Therefore, the inequality $A < \chi_{3,3}$ holds by Proposition 4.24. Each homogeneous metric $g_{G/K}(\mu_i)$ is normal [CNN17]. The Einstein metric represented by γ_{s_*} is inhomogeneous by Lemma 4.25. As discussed above, the submersion $\mathbb{S}^4 \times \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$ is not integrable. Therefore, at least one inhomogeneous Einstein metric exists on $\mathbb{S}^4 \times \mathbb{S}^3$.

On the other hand, since $\Psi_{7,7} = \frac{2037}{6962} \approx 0.29 < \frac{3}{8}$, there is no cohomogeneity one Einstein metric on $\mathbb{S}^8 \times \mathbb{S}^7$ from the ansatz (2.6). It is natural to ask if a cohomogeneity one Einstein metric exists on $\mathbb{S}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{S}^d$ given that the homogeneous metric on G/K is not diagonal.

5.3. Type III. Each example of Type III has a principal orbit G/K with a semisimple non-simple G . A class of such principal orbit is from the group triple

$$(K, H, G) = (M \times \Delta Sp(1), M \times Sp(1) \times Sp(1), L \times Sp(1)),$$

where $L/[MSp(1)]$ is a strongly isotropy irreducible space. The homogeneous fibration from (K, H, G) is an \mathbb{S}^3 -bundle that is lifted from

$$(5.2) \quad SO(3) \hookrightarrow L/M \rightarrow L/[MSp(1)].$$

With $Sp(1) \leq L$, the standard metric \tilde{b} is induced from $-B_l - B_l$. Unlike previous examples of Type I, the homogeneous metric $g_{G/K}(\mu)$ is normal as long as $\mu \leq \mu_\bullet$; see [DZ79, Page 9, Page 66].

If $L/[MSp(1)]$ is a Wolf space as listed in Table 1 or the first row in Table 7, the associated bundle (5.2) is called the Konishi’s bundle [Kon75]. A Konishi’s bundle admits a natural 3-Sasakian structure. Lifting (5.2) to an \mathbb{S}^3 -bundle is obstructed by the Marchiafava–Romani class; see [MR75]. From [Sal82], we know that among all the Wolf spaces, only $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^m$ admits a Konishi’s bundle that can be lifted to an \mathbb{S}^3 -bundle. The cohomogeneity one space is $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^{m+1} \# \mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^{m+1}$ as studied in [Böh98] and [Chi24].

For a Konishi’s bundle over a Wolf space of dimension $d_2 = 4m$, we have $(d_1, d_2, A) = \left(3, 4m, \frac{4m(4m-1)^2}{(4m+8)^2}\right)$. As $A = \tilde{\chi}_{3,4m}$, the existence result in [Chi24] carries over into any cohomogeneity one orbifold with a Konishi’s bundle as its principal orbit by Corollary 1.2. Since $\mu_\bullet = \frac{2m+4}{4m-1} \geq \frac{6}{4m-1} = \frac{2d_1}{d_2-1}$, all the Einstein metrics are inhomogeneous by Lemma 4.27.

If $L/[MSp(1)]$ is a non-symmetric strongly isotropy irreducible space, it is unclear to the author that (5.2) can be lifted to an \mathbb{S}^3 -bundle in general. For these principal orbits, consider $B_{\text{sp}(1)} = \alpha B_l|_{\text{sp}(1)}$ and $B_m = \beta B_l|_m$ for some $\alpha, \beta > 0$. We have the following table.

M	L	d_2	α	β	$\dim(\mathbf{M})$
$\{e\}$	$Sp(2)$	7	$\frac{1}{15}$	-	0
$\{e\}$	G_2	11	$\frac{1}{56}$	-	0
$SU(m)$	$SU(2m)$	$3(m^2 - 1); m \geq 3$	$\frac{1}{m^2}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$m^2 - 1$
$SO(m)$	$Sp(m)$	$\frac{3}{2}(m+2)(m-1); m \geq 3$	$\frac{2}{m(m+1)}$	$\frac{m-2}{m+1}$	$\frac{m(m-1)}{2}$
$Sp(m)$	$SO(4m)$	$3(2m+1)(m-1); m \geq 2$	$\frac{1}{m(2m-1)}$	$\frac{m+1}{4m-2}$	$m(2m+1)$
G_2	F_4	35	$\frac{1}{36}$	$\frac{4}{9}$	14
F_4	E_7	78	$\frac{1}{27}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	52

TABLE 5

From [DZ79], it is known that each G/K admits a normal Einstein metric and a naturally reductive Einstein metric. We have

$$A = \frac{\alpha(1-\alpha)}{(d_2 + 2 \dim(\mathbf{M})(1-\beta) + 6(1-\alpha))^2} \frac{d_2^2(d_2-1)^2}{2},$$

$$\mu_\bullet = \frac{4}{\alpha(d_2-1)} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\dim(H')(1-\beta)}{d_2} + \frac{3(1-\alpha)}{d_2} \right)$$

The first singular orbit in Table 5 is the Berger space B^7 . The second singular orbit is $G_2/Sp(1)_{\max}$. Our computations of A for these two cases are consistent with the computations in [GLP11]. We have the following Table for comparing A and $\tilde{\chi}_{3,d_2}$.

M	L	A	$\tilde{\chi}_{3,d_2}$	μ_\bullet	$\frac{2d_1}{d_2-1}$
$\{e\}$	$Sp(2)$	$\frac{28}{81} \approx 0.35$	$\frac{28}{25} = 1.12$	9	1
$\{e\}$	G_2	$\frac{1375}{3698} \approx 0.37$	$\frac{1100}{361} \approx 3.05$	$\frac{86}{5}$	$\frac{3}{5}$
$SU(m)$	$SU(2m)$	$\frac{2(3m^2-4)^2(m^2-1)}{(3m^2+4)^2}$	$\frac{3(3m^2-4)^2(m^2-1)}{(3m^2+5)^2}$	$\frac{3m^2+4}{3m^2-4}$	$\frac{6}{3m^2-4}$
$SO(m)$	$Sp(m)$	$\frac{(m+2)^3(m-1)(3m^2+3m-8)^2}{4(m+4)^2(m^2+m+2)^2}$	$\frac{3(m+2)(m-1)(3m^2+3m-8)^2}{2(3m^2+3m+10)^2}$	$\frac{2(m+4)(m^2+m+2)}{(m+2)(3m^2+3m-8)}$	$\frac{12}{3m^2+3m-8}$
$Sp(m)$	$SO(4m)$	$\frac{(2m+1)(m-1)(6m^2-3m-4)^2}{2(3m^2-m+2)^2}$	$\frac{3(2m+1)(m-1)(6m^2-3m-4)^2}{(6m^2-3m+5)^2}$	$\frac{2(3m^2-m+2)}{6m^2-3m-4}$	$\frac{6}{6m^2-3m-4}$
G_2	F_4	$\frac{10115}{1682} \approx 6.01$	$\frac{40460}{1849} \approx 21.88$	$\frac{58}{17}$	$\frac{3}{17}$
F_4	E_7	$\frac{77077}{2209} \approx 34.89$	$\frac{231231}{3698} \approx 62.53$	$\frac{94}{77}$	$\frac{6}{77}$

TABLE 6

Corollary 1.2 applies to all cases listed above except for $(M, L) = (SO(m), Sp(m))$ with $m \geq 6$. We have $A \leq \frac{3}{2}d_2 \frac{(d_2-1)^2}{(d_2+8)^2}$ for this case. By (6.24) and Proposition 4.24, one can check that $\frac{3}{2}d_2 \frac{(d_2-1)^2}{(d_2+8)^2} < \chi_{3,d}$ for $d_2 \geq 20$. Hence, all cases listed above yield an Einstein metric on the associated cohomogeneity one spaces. Since $\mu_\bullet \geq \frac{2d_1}{d_2-1}$ for all cases, all Einstein metrics obtained from Table 5 are inhomogeneous. The first and the second cases yield an inhomogeneous Einstein metric on an S^4 -bundle over B^7 and $G_2/Sp(1)_{\max}$. The other examples are Einstein metrics on orbifolds.

5.4. Other examples. Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.4 can be applied to some principal orbits with more than two summands as long as the cohomogeneity one Einstein equation admits a subsystem of two summands type. We introduce two types of such principal orbits.

5.4.1. Generalized Wallach spaces. A class of homogeneous space, called the generalized Wallach spaces, is classified in [Nik16]. The isotropy representations of these spaces split into three inequivalent summands $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{p}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_3$, where $\mathfrak{p}_1 = \mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_3 = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$.

We list those generalized Wallach spaces that are sphere bundles in Table 7 except the Leger–Obata space mentioned in Remark 3.2. Let \tilde{b} be the standard metric from $-B_{\mathfrak{g}}$. From [Nik16, Table 1], the Ricci endomorphism for $x_1 \tilde{b}|_{\mathfrak{p}_1} + x_2 \tilde{b}|_{\mathfrak{p}_2} + x_3 \tilde{b}|_{\mathfrak{p}_3}$ is defined by

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{r}_1 &= \frac{1}{2x_1} + \frac{a_1}{2} \left(\frac{x_1}{x_2x_3} - \frac{x_2}{x_1x_3} - \frac{x_3}{x_1x_2} \right), \\ \tilde{r}_2 &= \frac{1}{2x_2} + \frac{a_2}{2} \left(\frac{x_2}{x_1x_3} - \frac{x_1}{x_2x_3} - \frac{x_3}{x_1x_2} \right), \\ \tilde{r}_3 &= \frac{1}{2x_3} + \frac{a_3}{2} \left(\frac{x_3}{x_1x_2} - \frac{x_1}{x_2x_3} - \frac{x_2}{x_1x_3} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where (a_1, a_2, a_3) is listed in the following.

K	H	G	a_1	a_2	a_3
$S(U(m) \times U(1) \times U(1))$	$S(U(m) \times U(2))$	$SU(m+2)$	$\frac{m}{2(m+2)}$	$\frac{1}{2(m+2)}$	$\frac{1}{2(m+2)}$
$Sp(m) \times Sp(1) \times Sp(1)$	$Sp(m) \times Sp(2)$	$Sp(m+2)$	$\frac{m}{2(m+3)}$	$\frac{1}{2(m+3)}$	$\frac{1}{2(m+3)}$
Spin(8)	Spin(9)	F_4	$\frac{1}{9}$	$\frac{1}{9}$	$\frac{1}{9}$

TABLE 7

The cohomogeneity one Einstein equation admits a two summands type subsystem with $x_2 = x_3$ and $\tilde{r}_2 = \tilde{r}_3$. Then (5.3) becomes

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{r}_1 &= \frac{1-2a_1}{2} \frac{1}{x_1} + \frac{a_1}{2} \frac{x_1}{x_2^2}, \\ \tilde{r}_2 &= \frac{1}{2x_2} - \frac{a_2}{2} \frac{x_1}{x_2^2}. \end{aligned}$$

With the background metric in (2.1), we have

$$d_1 = \dim(\mathfrak{p}_1), \quad d_2 = \dim(\mathfrak{p}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_3), \quad A = \frac{a_1(1-2a_1)(d_2-1)^2}{d_1-1}, \quad \mu_\bullet = \frac{1}{1-2a_1} \frac{d_1-1}{d_2-1}.$$

K	H	G	d_1	d_2	A
$S(U(m) \times U(1) \times U(1))$	$S(U(m) \times U(2))$	$SU(m+2)$	2	$4m$	$m \frac{(4m-1)^2}{(m+2)^2}$
$Sp(m) \times Sp(1) \times Sp(1)$	$Sp(m) \times Sp(2)$	$Sp(m+2)$	4	$8m$	$\frac{m}{2} \frac{(8m-1)^2}{(m+3)^2}$
$Spin(8)$	$Spin(9)$	F_4	8	16	$\frac{25}{9} \approx 2.78$

TABLE 8

The first case is also the twistor space over a Wolf space $SU(m+2)/S(U(m)U(1))$. The two summands type subsystem with this principal orbit is the same as those from Table 1. Specifically, we have $A = m \frac{(4m-1)^2}{(m+2)^2} = \tilde{\chi}_{2,4m}$. Therefore, at least one cohomogeneity one Einstein metric with such a principal orbit exists by Corollary 1.2. The Einstein metric is inhomogeneous if $d_2 \geq 8$.

For the second case, we have

$$A_1 = \frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{d_1^2(d_1d_2-d_2+4)} = \frac{m(8m-1)^2}{8(6m+1)}, \quad \Psi_{4,8m} = \frac{m(8m-1)^2(3m+2)(13m^2+12m+3)}{3(16m^2+17m+5)^2}.$$

It is easy to verify that $A \in (\chi_{4,4m}, \Psi_{4,4m})$ for $m \in [1, 17]$. Principal orbits $Sp(m+1)/[Sp(m) \times Sp(1) \times Sp(1)]$ with $m \in [1, 17]$ is indeterminable by the method in this paper. The existence theorem holds for large m . Fix $(d_1, d_2, A) = (4, 8m, \frac{m}{2} \frac{(8m-1)^2}{(m+3)^2})$. We have $A < A_1$ if $m \geq 18$. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(m+3)^4}{262144m^2(8m-1)^4} \Theta_{4,8m} \left(\frac{m}{2} \frac{(8m-1)^2}{(m+3)^2}, k \right) \\ &= (279m^8 - 4363m^7 - 89846m^6 + 45998m^5 - 4069m^4 - 31m^3)k^5 \\ &+ (677m^7 - 5374m^6 - 222558m^5 + 97904m^4 - 7187m^3 - 58m^2)k^4 \\ (5.5) \quad &+ (587m^6 - 549m^5 - 221658m^4 + 84234m^3 - 4757m^2 - 33m)k^3 \\ &+ (219m^5 + 1902m^4 - 110466m^3 + 36768m^2 - 1389m - 6)k^2 \\ &+ (30m^4 + 990m^3 - 27420m^2 + 8190m - 150)k \\ &+ 150m^2 - 2700m + 750. \end{aligned}$$

Each coefficient for k^i is positive if $m \geq 28$. For $m = 27$, the above polynomial becomes

$$(5.6) \quad (-991003620600k + 1858086062100)k^4 + 103394637000k^3 + 2005675500k^2 + 15661200k + 37200,$$

which is also positive on $(0, 1)$. Therefore, we have $A < \chi_{4,8m}$ for $m \geq 27$ by Proposition 4.24. For a principal orbit $Sp(m+1)/[Sp(m) \times Sp(1) \times Sp(1)]$ with $m \geq 27$, there exists

at least one Einstein metric on the associated \mathbb{S}^5 -bundle. Since $\mu_\bullet = \frac{m+3}{8m-1} > \frac{8}{8m-1}$ for $m \geq 27$, the Einstein metric is inhomogeneous by Lemma 4.27.

For $F_4/\text{Spin}(8)$ we have $\Psi_{8,16} = \frac{18000}{9583} \approx 1.88$. Hence, no Einstein metrics are obtained from (2.6) by Theorem 1.4. However, whether an Einstein metric exists from the three summands system remains to be seen.

5.4.2. \mathbb{S}^3 -bundles over $L/M \times L/M$. Consider

$$(K, H, G) = (M \times \Delta Sp(1) \times M, M \times Sp(1) \times M \times Sp(1), L \times L)$$

for some compact simple Lie group L and $L/[M \times Sp(1)]$ is irreducible. The homogeneous space G/K is an \mathbb{S}^3 -bundle over $L/M \times L/M$. The standard metric \tilde{b} is induced by $-B_l - B_l$, the isotropy representation splits into three summands $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{p}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_3$, where $\mathfrak{p}_1 = \mathfrak{sp}(1)$ and $\mathfrak{p}_2 = \mathfrak{p}_3 = \mathfrak{l}/[\mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)]$.

Although $\mathfrak{p}_2 = \mathfrak{p}_3$, the diagonal Einstein metric has a diagonal Ricci tensor. Therefore, we can restrict ourselves to the diagonal metrics. The Ricci endomorphism for $x_1 \tilde{b}|_{\mathfrak{p}_1} + x_2 \tilde{b}|_{\mathfrak{p}_2} + x_3 \tilde{b}|_{\mathfrak{p}_3}$ is defined by

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{r}_1 &= \frac{\alpha}{2x_1} + \frac{1-\alpha}{8} \left(\frac{x_1}{x_2^2} + \frac{x_1}{x_3^2} \right), \\ \tilde{r}_2 &= \frac{1+2c^*}{4x_2} - \frac{3(1-\alpha)x_1}{2d_2 x_2^2}, \\ \tilde{r}_3 &= \frac{1+2c^*}{4x_3} - \frac{3(1-\alpha)x_1}{2d_2 x_3^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where c^* is the Casimir constant of $\mathfrak{p}_2 = \mathfrak{p}_3$ with respect to $B_l|_{\mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)}$ and $B_{\mathfrak{sp}(1)} = \alpha B_l|_{\mathfrak{sp}(1)}$. The cohomogeneity one Einstein equation admits a subsystem with $x_2 = x_3$ and $\tilde{r}_2 = \tilde{r}_3$. We have

$$A = \frac{\alpha(1-\alpha)(d-1)^2}{(1+2c^*)^2}, \quad \mu_\bullet = \frac{1+2c^*}{2\alpha} \frac{d_1-1}{d_2-1}.$$

M	L	d_2	α	c^*	A	$\tilde{\chi}_{3,d_2}$	$A_3(3, d_2)$	μ_\bullet	$\frac{2d_1}{d_2-1}$
$Sp(m)$	$Sp(m+1)$	$8m$	$\frac{2}{m+2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{m(8m-1)^2}{2(m+2)^2}$	$\frac{m}{8} \frac{(8m-1)^2}{(m+1)^2}$	$\frac{4m(8m-1)^2}{9(2m+1)}$	$\frac{m+2}{8m-1}$	$\frac{6}{8m-1}$
{e}	$Sp(2)$	14	$\frac{1}{15}$	$\frac{2}{5}$	$\frac{2366}{729} \approx 3.25$	$\frac{1183}{242} \approx 4.8$	$\frac{1183}{162} \approx 7.30$	$\frac{27}{13}$	$\frac{6}{13}$
{e}	G_2	22	$\frac{1}{56}$	$\frac{15}{56}$	$\frac{24255}{7396} \approx 3.28$	$\frac{539}{50} = 10.78$	$\frac{539}{26} \approx 20.73$	$\frac{86}{21}$	$\frac{2}{7}$

TABLE 9

The first cases are studied in [Wan92]. We have

$$\Psi_{3,8m} = \frac{m(8m-1)^2(2m+1)(24m^2+16m+3)}{2(16m^2+13m+3)^2} > A$$

for all $m \geq 1$. Since

$$\frac{d_2(d_2 - 1)^2}{d_1^2(d_1 d_2 - d_2 + 4)} = \frac{2m(8m - 1)^2}{9(4m + 1)} < \frac{m(8m - 1)^2}{2(m + 2)^2}$$

for $m \in [1, 4]$, principal orbits with $m \in [1, 4]$ are indeterminable with the method in this paper. Note that the case $m = 1$ and homogeneous $SO(3)$ -bundles over $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ and $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^4$ have the same structural triple as $(3, 8, \frac{49}{18})$. Therefore, the latter two cases are also indeterminable.

For $(d_1, d_2, A) = \left(3, 8m, \frac{m(8m-1)^2}{2(m+2)^2}\right)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (5.8) \quad & \frac{(m+2)^4}{256m^2(8m-1)^4} \Theta_{3,8m} \left(\frac{m(8m-1)^2}{2(m+2)^2}, k \right) \\ &= (121856m^8 - 365312m^7 - 8008704m^6 + 4846336m^5 - 359680m^4 - 3264m^3)k^5 \\ &+ (218880m^7 + 74304m^6 - 14277888m^5 + 7316928m^4 - 454464m^3 - 4464m^2)k^4 \\ &+ (139968m^6 + 511056m^5 - 10255680m^4 + 4482864m^3 - 215568m^2 - 1836m)k^3 \\ &+ (38448m^5 + 337716m^4 - 3668976m^3 + 1402380m^2 - 44820m - 243)k^2 \\ &+ (3888m^4 + 89424m^3 - 644436m^2 + 226476m - 3402)k \\ &+ 8748m^2 - 43740m + 15309. \end{aligned}$$

The coefficient for each k^i is positive if $m \geq 10$. On the other hand, we have $A < A_1(3, 8m)$ for $m \geq 6$. Therefore by Proposition 4.24 and Theorem 1.1, there exists at least one Einstein metric on the associated \mathbb{S}^4 -bundle over $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^m \times \mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^m$ with $m \geq 10$. Since $\mu_\bullet > \frac{6}{8m-1}$ for $m \geq 10$, the Einstein metrics are inhomogeneous by Lemma 4.27.

The second and the third examples are obtained from [LW24]. By Corollary 1.2 and Lemma 4.27, there exists at least one inhomogeneous Einstein metric on an \mathbb{S}^4 -bundle over $B^7 \times B^7$ or $[G_2/Sp(1)_{\max}] \times [G_2/Sp(1)_{\max}]$.

6. APPENDIX

6.1. $\tilde{\omega}_2(\kappa_*)$.

Proposition 6.1. For any $d_2 \geq d_1 \geq 2$ we have $\tilde{\omega}_2(\kappa_*) > 0$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (6.1) \quad \tilde{\omega}_2(\kappa_*) &= \frac{K_1 - K_2 \sqrt{(2d_1 + d_2)^2 + 12d_2}}{K_0^3} \\ &= \frac{1}{K_0^3} \frac{K_1^2 - K_2^2((2d_1 + d_2)^2 + 12d_2)}{K_1 + K_2 \sqrt{(2d_1 + d_2)^2 + 12d_2}} \end{aligned}$$

where

(6.2)

$$\begin{aligned} K_0 &= 5d_2 - 2d_1 - \sqrt{(d_2 + 2d_1)^2 + 12d_2} \\ K_1 &= (4d_1 - 4)d_2^4 + (24d_1^2 + 60d_1 + 56)d_2^3 + (48d_1^3 + 144d_1^2 - 20d_1 - 88)d_2^2 \\ &\quad + (32d_1^4 + 16d_1^3 + 16d_1^2 - 8d_1 - 32)d_2 - 16d_1^3 - 16d_1^2 > 0 \\ K_2 &= (4d_1 - 4)d_2^3 + (16d_1^2 + 44d_1 + 16)d_2^2 + (16d_1^3 + 8d_1^2 - 36d_1 - 32)d_2 + 8d_1^2 + 8d_1 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

(6.3)

$$\begin{aligned} &K_1^2 - K_2^2((2d_1 + d_2)^2 + 12d_2) \\ &= 64d_2(2d_2 - 2d_1 - 1)^3((d_1 - 1)d_2^3 + (5d_1^2 + 14d_1 + 8)d_2^2 + (8d_1^3 + 16d_1^2 - 8d_1 - 16)d_2 + 4d_1^4 - 4d_1^2). \end{aligned}$$

If $d_1 = d_2$, then both $K_1^2 - K_2^2((2d_1 + d_2)^2 + 12d_2)$ and K_0 are negative. If $d_1 \leq d_2 - 1$, then both $K_1^2 - K_2^2((2d_1 + d_2)^2 + 12d_2)$ and K_0 are positive. We conclude that $\tilde{\omega}_2(\kappa_*) > 0$. \square

6.2. $P_X + Q_X$.

Proposition 6.2. The polynomial $P_X + Q_X$ is negative on $\left[-\frac{d_1}{d_2}, 1\right]$

Proof. For $k \in [0, 1]$ we have

(6.4)

$$\begin{aligned} -d_1^2(n-1)(P_X + Q_X) &= (d_1^2d_2^2 + d_1d_2^3 - 4d_1^2d_2 - 3d_1d_2^2 - d_2^3 + d_1d_2 + d_2^2)k^3 \\ &\quad + (d_1^2d_2^2 + 2d_1^2d_2 - d_1d_2^2 + d_1d_2)k^2 \\ &\quad + (d_1^3d_2 - d_1^2d_2)k + (2d_1^3 - 2d_1^2)(k - k^2) + d_1^3(d_1 - 1)(1 - k). \end{aligned}$$

The last four terms above are non-negative and do not vanish simultaneously for any $k \in [0, 1]$. If the coefficient of k^3 is non-negative, then the polynomial is positive on $[0, 1]$. If the coefficient of k^3 is negative, we have

(6.5)

$$\begin{aligned} -d_1^2(n-1)(P_X + Q_X) &> (d_1^2d_2^2 + d_1d_2^3 - 4d_1^2d_2 - 3d_1d_2^2 - d_2^3 + d_1d_2 + d_2^2)k^2 \\ &\quad + (d_1^2d_2^2 + 2d_1^2d_2 - d_1d_2^2 + d_1d_2)k^2 \\ &= 2d_1d_2(d_1d_2 - d_1 - d_2)k^2 + (d_2^2 - d_2)(d_1d_2 - d_1 - d_2)k^2 + d_1d_2k^2. \end{aligned}$$

Given $d_2 \geq d_1 \geq 2$, the polynomial above is non-negative on $[0, 1]$. Hence, we have $P_X + Q_X < 0$ on $[0, 1]$. We proceed to consider the function on $\left[-\frac{d_1}{d_2}, 0\right]$. Consider $k = -\frac{d_1}{d_2}\kappa$ and $P_X + Q_X$ as a polynomial on $\kappa \in [0, 1]$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (6.6) \quad -\frac{d_2^2(n-1)}{d_1}(P_X + Q_X) &= (4d_1^2 + 2d_2)\kappa^3 + (d_1d_2^2 - d_2^2 + d_1 + d_2)(\kappa^2 - \kappa^3) \\ &\quad + (2d_1d_2 - 2d_1^2 + d_1)\kappa^2 + (d_1^2d_2 - 3d_1d_2 + 2d_2)(\kappa - \kappa^3) \\ &\quad + (d_1d_2^2 - d_2^2)(1 - \kappa). \end{aligned}$$

Given $d_2 \geq d_1 \geq 2$, all terms above are non-negative and do not vanish simultaneously for any $\kappa \in [0, 1]$. Therefore, we have $P_X + Q_X < 0$ on $\left[-\frac{d_1}{d_2}, 1\right]$. The proof is complete. \square

6.3. Ω_{d_1, d_2} .

Proposition 6.3. On the interval $[0, 1]$, the function Ω_{d_1, d_2}

- (1) increases then decreases if $(d_1, d_2) = (2, 2)$;
- (2) decreases then increases if $d_1 = 2, 3, d_2 \geq 3$;
- (3) monotonically increases if $d_1 \geq 4$.

Proof. Compute the derivative we obtain

$$(6.7) \quad \frac{d\Omega_{d_1, d_2}}{dk} = \frac{d_2^2(d_2 - 1)^2}{(2d_1 + d_2k)^2(d_1 - 1)\omega_0^2\omega_2^2} \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4,$$

where

$$(6.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= (d_1 d_2^3 - 4d_1 d_2^2 - d_2^3 + 3d_2^2)k^3 + (2d_1^2 d_2^2 - 8d_1^2 d_2 + 8d_1 d_2 - 2d_2^2)k^2 \\ &\quad + (d_1^3 d_2 - 4d_1^3 + 5d_1^2 d_2 + 4d_1^2 - 6d_1 d_2)k + 4d_1^3 - 4d_1^2, \\ \alpha_2 &= (d_1 d_2^2 - 2d_1 d_2 - d_2^2 + d_2)k^2 + (2d_1^2 d_2 - 2d_1^2 - 2d_1 d_2 + 2d_1)k + d_1^3 - d_1^2, \\ \alpha_3 &= (d_1 d_2^2 + d_1 d_2 - d_2^2)k^2 + (2d_1^2 d_2 + 2d_1^2 - 4d_1 d_2)k + d_1^3 - 4d_1^2, \\ \alpha_4 &= (-d_1^3 d_2^3 + d_1^2 d_2^4 + 4d_1^3 d_2^2 - 2d_1^2 d_2^3 - 2d_1 d_2^4 - d_1^2 d_2^2 + 4d_1 d_2^3 + d_2^4 - d_1 d_2^2 - d_2^3)k^3 \\ &\quad + (-2d_1^4 d_2^2 + 4d_1^3 d_2^3 + 8d_1^4 d_2 - 8d_1^3 d_2^2 - 8d_1^2 d_2^3 - 8d_1^3 d_2 + 14d_1^2 d_2^2 + 4d_1 d_2^3 - 4d_1 d_2^2)k^2 \\ &\quad + (-d_1^5 d_2 + 5d_1^4 d_2^2 + 4d_1^5 - 10d_1^4 d_2 - 10d_1^3 d_2^2 - 8d_1^4 + 17d_1^3 d_2 + 5d_1^2 d_2^2 + 4d_1^3 - 6d_1^2 d_2)k \\ &\quad + 2d_1^5 d_2 - 4d_1^5 - 4d_1^4 d_2 + 8d_1^4 + 2d_1^3 d_2 - 4d_1^3. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the sign of each factor in the following.

(1) α_1

From straightforward computations on the six cases where $4 \geq d_2 \geq d_1 \geq 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (d_1, d_2) = (2, 2) : \quad & \alpha_1 = -12k^3 - 8k^2 + 16k + 16 \\ (d_1, d_2) = (2, 3) : \quad & \alpha_1 = -18k^3 + 6k^2 + 32k + 16 \\ (d_1, d_2) = (2, 4) : \quad & \alpha_1 = -16k^3 + 32k^2 + 48k + 16 \\ (d_1, d_2) = (3, 2) : \quad & \alpha_1 = -27k^3 + 90k + 72 \\ (d_1, d_2) = (3, 3) : \quad & \alpha_1 = -16k^3 + 64k^2 + 144k + 72 \\ (d_1, d_2) = (4, 4) : \quad & \alpha_1 = -16k^3 + 96k^2 + 288k + 192 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have $\alpha_1 > 0$ on $[0, 1]$ for these cases. All coefficients of α_1 are positive if $d_2 \geq 5$. Hence, the factor α_1 is positive on $[0, 1]$ for all $d_2 \geq d_1 \geq 2$.

(2) α_2

Note that $\alpha_2 = \tilde{\beta}_{2a}$ in Proposition 6.7. We have $\alpha_2 > 0$ on $[0, 1]$ for any $d_2 \geq d_1 \geq 2$.

(3) α_3

Note that $\alpha_3 = \tilde{\beta}_{2b}$ in Proposition 6.7. If $d_1 \geq 4$, then we have $\alpha_3 \geq 0$ on $[0, 1]$. If $d_1 \in \{2, 3\}$, there exists $\kappa_{d_1} \in (0, 1)$ such that $\alpha_3 < 0$ on $[0, \kappa_{d_1})$ and $\alpha_3 > 0$ on $(\kappa_{d_1}, 1]$.

(4) α_4

From straightforward computations on the three cases where $3 \geq d_2 \geq d_1 \geq 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (d_1, d_2) = (2, 2) : \quad & \alpha_4 = 48k^3 - 48k \leq 0 \\ (d_1, d_2) = (2, 3) : \quad & \alpha_4 = 72k^3 - 24k^2 - 28k + 16 > 0 \\ (d_1, d_2) = (3, 3) : \quad & \alpha_4 = 270k^3 + 216k^2 + 108k + 216 > 0 \end{aligned}$$

on $[0, 1]$. We consider $d_2 \geq 4$ in the following.

(a) The coefficient for k^3 in α_4 is

$$\begin{aligned} (6.9) \quad & -d_1^3 d_2^3 + d_1^2 d_2^4 + 4d_1^3 d_2^2 - 2d_1^2 d_2^3 - 2d_1 d_2^4 - d_1^2 d_2^2 + 4d_1 d_2^3 + d_2^4 - d_1 d_2^2 - d_2^3 \\ & = d_2^2((d_1 - 1)^2 d_2^2 - (d_1^3 + 2d_1^2 - 4d_1 + 1)d_2 + (4d_1^3 - d_1^2 - d_1)) \end{aligned}$$

Consider the second factor above as a quadratic function of d_2 . If $d_1 \leq 4$, the discriminant of the quadratic function

$$d_1^6 - 12d_1^5 + 32d_1^4 - 34d_1^3 + 16d_1^2 - 4d_1 + 1$$

is negative. Hence, the coefficient of k^3 in α_4 is positive for this case. If $d_1 \geq 5$, the second factor above monotonically increases if $d_2 \geq d_1$. As the factor is positive if $d_2 = d_1$, we know that the factor is also positive for any $d_2 \geq d_1 \geq 5$. In summary, the coefficient for k^3 in α_4 is positive for any $d_2 \geq d_1 \geq 2$.

(b) The coefficient for k^2 in α_4 is

$$\begin{aligned} (6.10) \quad & -2d_1^4 d_2^2 + 4d_1^3 d_2^3 + 8d_1^4 d_2 - 8d_1^3 d_2^2 - 8d_1^2 d_2^3 - 8d_1^3 d_2 + 14d_1^2 d_2^2 + 4d_1 d_2^3 - 4d_1 d_2^2 \\ & = 2d_1 d_2 (d_1 - 1) (2(d_1 - 1) d_2^2 - (d_1^2 + 5d_1 - 2) d_2 + 4d_1^2) \end{aligned}$$

As a function of d_2 , the last factor above is positive if $d_1 = 2$ and $d_2 \geq 4$. The last factor above monotonically increases if $d_2 \geq d_1 \geq 3$. As the factor is positive if $d_2 = d_1 \geq 3$, we know that the factor is also positive for any $d_2 \geq d_1 \geq 3$. In summary, the coefficient of k^2 in α_4 is positive for any $d_2 \geq 4$ and $d_2 \geq d_1$.

(c) The coefficient for k in α_4 is positive since

$$\begin{aligned} (6.11) \quad & -d_1^5 d_2 + 5d_1^4 d_2^2 + 4d_1^5 - 10d_1^4 d_2 - 10d_1^3 d_2^2 - 8d_1^4 + 17d_1^3 d_2 + 5d_1^2 d_2^2 + 4d_1^3 - 6d_1^2 d_2 \\ & = d_1^2 (d_1 - 1) (5(d_1 - 1) d_2^2 + (d_1^2 + 11d_1 - 6) d_2 - 4d_1 (d_1 - 1)) \\ & \geq d_1^2 (d_1 - 1) (4(d_1^2 + 11d_1 - 6) - 4d_1 (d_1 - 1)) \\ & = d_1^2 (d_1 - 1) (48d_1 - 24). \end{aligned}$$

(d) The constant term in α_4 is non-negative since

$$(6.12) \quad 2d_1^5 d_2 - 4d_1^5 - 4d_1^4 d_2 + 8d_1^4 + 2d_1^3 d_2 - 4d_1^3 = 2d_1^3 (d_1 - 1)^2 (d_2 - 2) > 0$$

Therefore, the factor $\alpha_4 > 0$ if $d_2 \geq d_1 \geq 2$ and $(d_1, d_2) \neq (2, 2)$.

□

$$6.4. \min_{k \in [0,1]} \left\{ \frac{\beta_0}{\beta_1} \right\}.$$

Proposition 6.4. For any $d_2 \geq d_1 \geq 2$, we have $\beta_0(k), \beta_1(k) > 0$ for any $k \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_0(k) &= (d_1 d_2^2 - 2d_1 d_2 - d_2^2 + d_2)k^2 + (2d_1^2 d_2 - 2d_1^2 - d_1 d_2 + 2d_1)k + d_1^3 - d_1, \\ (6.13) \quad &\geq (d_1 - 1)(d_2 - 1)d_2 k^2 - d_1 d_2 k + (2d_1^2 d_2 - 2d_1^2 - d_1 d_2 + 2d_1)k + d_1^3 - d_1 \\ &= (d_1 - 1)(d_2 - 1)d_2 k^2 + 2d_1(d_1 - 1)(d_2 - 1)k + d_1^3 - d_1, \end{aligned}$$

we have $\beta_0 > 0$ on $[0, 1]$.

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1(k) &= (2d_1^2 d_2^2 + d_1 d_2^3 - 4d_1^2 d_2 - 2d_1 d_2^2 - d_2^3 - 2d_1 d_2 + 2d_2)k^2 \\ (6.14) \quad &+ (4d_1^3 d_2 + 2d_1^2 d_2^2 - 4d_1^3 - 2d_1^2 d_2 - 3d_1 d_2^2 + 4d_1 d_2 + d_2^2 + 4d_1 - 2d_2)k \\ &+ 2d_1^4 + d_1^3 d_2 - 2d_1^2 d_2 + 2d_1^2 + d_1 d_2 - 4d_1. \end{aligned}$$

If $d_1 = d_2 = 2$, then $\beta_1(k) = -12k^2 + 48k + 36$ is positive on $[0, 1]$. For $d_2 \geq 3$, we argue that the coefficient of each k^i is positive. The constant term of $\beta_1(k)$ is apparently positive. The coefficient of k is

$$\begin{aligned} (6.15) \quad &4d_1^3 d_2 + 2d_1^2 d_2^2 - 4d_1^3 - 2d_1^2 d_2 - 3d_1 d_2^2 + 4d_1 d_2 + d_2^2 + 4d_1 - 2d_2 \\ &= 2d_1^2(2d_1 d_2 - 2d_1 - d_2) + d_1 d_2(2d_1 d_2 - 3d_2) + 4d_1 d_2 + (d_2^2 - 2d_2) + 4d_1, \end{aligned}$$

which is positive for any $d_2 \geq d_1 \geq 2$. The coefficient of k^2 is

$$\begin{aligned} (6.16) \quad &2d_1^2 d_2^2 + d_1 d_2^3 - 4d_1^2 d_2 - 2d_1 d_2^2 - d_2^3 - 2d_1 d_2 + 2d_2 \\ &= (2d_2^2 - 4d_2)d_1^2 + (d_2^3 - 2d_2^2 - 2d_2)d_1 - d_2^3 + 2d_2. \end{aligned}$$

As a function of d_1 , the expression above is positive at $d_1 = 2$ and increasing on $[2, \infty)$. Therefore, we have $\beta_1 > 0$ on $[0, 1]$. \square

$$\mathbf{Proposition 6.5.} \min_{k \in [0,1]} \left\{ \frac{\beta_0}{\beta_1} \right\} = \frac{1}{n+d_1}.$$

Proof. We compute

$$(6.17) \quad \frac{d}{dk} \left(\frac{\beta_0}{\beta_1} \right) = -\frac{(d_1 - 1)(d_2 - 2)}{\beta_1^2} \tilde{\beta},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (6.18) \quad \tilde{\beta} &= (2d_1^2 d_2^2 + 2d_1 d_2^3 - 2d_1 d_2^2 - d_2^3 + d_2^2)k^2 + (4d_1^3 d_2 + 4d_1^2 d_2^2 - 6d_1^2 d_2 - 4d_1 d_2^2 + 2d_1 d_2)k \\ &+ 2d_1^4 + 2d_1^3 d_2 - 4d_1^3 - 3d_1^2 d_2 + 2d_1^2 + d_1 d_2. \end{aligned}$$

The factor $\tilde{\beta}$ is positive as each coefficient for k^i is positive given $d_2 \geq d_1 \geq 2$. Hence, we have $\min_{k \in [0,1]} \left\{ \frac{\beta_0}{\beta_1} \right\} = \frac{\beta_0}{\beta_1}(1) = \frac{1}{n+d_1}$. Note that if $(d_1, d_2) = 2$, we have $\beta_0(k) = -2k^2 + 8k + 6 = \frac{1}{6}\beta_1(k)$. \square

6.5. $A_1(d_1, d_2)$.

Proposition 6.6. For any $d_2 \geq d_1 \geq 2$, we have $\beta_2(k) > 0$ for any $k \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.19) \quad \beta_2(k) &= (d_1 - 1)(d_2 - 1)d_2^2 k^3 + d_1 d_2^2 (k^2 - k^3) + (2d_1^2 d_2^2 - 4d_1^2 d_2 - 3d_1 d_2^2 + 2d_1 d_2)k^2 \\
&\quad + (d_1^3 d_2 + d_1^2 d_2)k + 2d_1^3 (1 - k) \\
&> (2d_1^2 d_2^2 - 4d_1^2 d_2 - 3d_1 d_2^2 + 2d_1 d_2)k^2 + (d_1^3 d_2 + d_1^2 d_2)k \\
&\geq (-4d_1^2 d_2 + 2d_1 d_2)k^2 + (d_1^3 d_2 + d_1^2 d_2)k \\
&\geq (d_1^3 d_2 + 2d_1 d_2 - 3d_1^2 d_2)k \\
&\geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $\beta_2 > 0$ on $[0, 1]$. □

Proposition 6.7. The formula for A_1 is

$$(6.20) \quad A_1(d_1, d_2) = \begin{cases} A_1(2, d_2) = \frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{4(4+d_2\kappa_2)} \frac{\beta_2}{\omega_0}(\kappa_2) & d_1 = 2, d_2 \geq 3 \\ A_1(3, d_2) = \frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{9(6+d_2\kappa_3)} \frac{\beta_2}{\omega_0}(\kappa_3) & d_1 = 3 \\ \frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{d_1^2(d_1 d_2 - d_2 + 4)} & d_1 \geq 4 \text{ or } (d_1, d_2) = (2, 2) \end{cases},$$

where

$$\kappa_2 = \frac{2\sqrt{2d_2^2 + 4d_2 + 4} - 4}{d_2(d_2 + 2)}, \quad \kappa_3 = \frac{3\sqrt{3d_2^2 + 9d_2 + 9} - 3d_2 - 9}{d_2(2d_2 + 3)}.$$

Proof. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.21) \quad \frac{d}{dk} \left(\frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{d_1^2(2d_1 + d_2 k)} \frac{\beta_2}{\omega_0} \right) \\
= \frac{2d_2^2(d_2-1)^2(d_2-2)}{d_1(2d_1 + d_2 k)^2 \omega_0^2} \tilde{\beta}_{2a} \tilde{\beta}_{2b},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.22) \quad \tilde{\beta}_{2a} &= (d_1 d_2^2 - 2d_1 d_2 - d_2^2 + d_2)k^2 + (2d_1^2 d_2 - 2d_1^2 - 2d_1 d_2 + 2d_1)k + d_1^3 - d_1^2 \\
\tilde{\beta}_{2b} &= (d_1 d_2^2 + d_1 d_2 - d_2^2)k^2 + (2d_1^2 d_2 + 2d_1^2 - 4d_1 d_2)k + d_1^3 - 4d_1^2
\end{aligned}$$

For $\tilde{\beta}_{2a}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.23) \quad \tilde{\beta}_{2a} &= d_2(d_1 - 1)(d_2 - 1)k^2 - d_1 d_2 k^2 + d_1(2d_1 d_2 - 2d_1 - 2d_2 + 2)k + d_1^3 - d_1^2 \\
&> d_1(2d_1 d_2 - 2d_1 - 3d_2 + 2)k \\
&\geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the coefficients of k^2 and k in $\tilde{\beta}_{2b}$ are positive. Therefore, we have $\tilde{\beta}_{2b} \geq 0$ if $d_1 \geq 4$. If $d_1 \in \{2, 3\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d_1 = 2: \quad \tilde{\beta}_{2b} &= (d_2^2 + 2d_2)k^2 + 8k - 8, \\
d_1 = 3: \quad \tilde{\beta}_{2b} &= (2d_2^2 + 3d_2)k^2 + (6d_2 + 18)k - 9,
\end{aligned}$$

which both have a real root in $(0, 1)$ for any $d_2 \geq 2$.

Therefore, if $d_1 \geq 4$, the function $\frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{d_1^2(2d_1+d_2k)} \frac{\beta_2}{\omega_0}$ increases and we have

$$\min_{k \in [0,1]} \left\{ \frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{d_1^2(2d_1+d_2k)} \frac{\beta_2}{\omega_0} \right\} = \left(\frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{d_1^2(2d_1+d_2k)} \frac{\beta_2}{\omega_0} \right) (0) = \frac{d_2(d_2-1)^2}{d_1^2(d_1d_2-d_2+4)}.$$

If $d_1 \in \{2, 3\}$, the function decreases and then increases. Let κ_{d_1} be the positive root of $\tilde{\beta}_{2b}$ in $(0, 1)$. The specific formulas for $A_1(2, d_2)$ and $A_1(3, d_2)$ are

$$(6.24) \quad \begin{aligned} A_1(2, d_2) &= \frac{(d_2-1)^2((4d_2^3-8d_2-16)\sqrt{2d_2^2+4d_2+4}-5d_2^4-12d_2^3+8d_2^2+32d_2+32)}{4d_2(d_2^3-8d_2^2-16d_2-16)} \\ A_1(3, d_2) &= \frac{(d_2-1)^2((4d_2^3+4d_2^2-12d_2-24)\sqrt{3d_2^2+9d_2+9}-6d_2^4-23d_2^3+72d_2+72)}{9d_2(2d_2^3-9d_2^2-36d_2-36)} \end{aligned}$$

□

6.6. $\Theta_{d_1, d_2}(A, k)$. The coefficients for $\Theta_{d_1, d_2}(A, k)$ is given by

$$(6.25) \quad \begin{aligned} \theta_2 &= 4d_1^4(d_1+1)^2\theta_{2a}\theta_{2b}, \\ \theta_1 &= \theta_{1a}\theta_{1b}, \\ \theta_0 &= \theta_{0a}\theta_{0b}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(6.26) \quad \begin{aligned} \theta_{2a}(k) &= (d_1d_2^3-2d_1d_2^2-d_2^3-2d_1d_2+d_2^2)k^2 \\ &\quad + (2d_1^2d_2^2-2d_1^2d_2-2d_1d_2^2-4d_1^2+4d_1d_2)k + d_1^3d_2-d_1^2d_2+4d_1^2 \\ \theta_{2b}(k) &= (2d_1^2d_2^2-d_1d_2^3+d_2^3-d_2^2)k^3 \\ &\quad + (4d_1^3d_2-4d_1^2d_2^2-2d_1^2d_2+4d_1d_2^2-2d_1d_2)k^2 \\ &\quad + (2d_1^4-5d_1^3d_2-2d_1^3+5d_1^2d_2)k - 2d_1^4+2d_1^3 \\ \theta_{1a}(k) &= -4d_1^2d_2(d_2-1)^2(d_1+1) \\ \theta_{1b}(k) &= (d_1^3d_2^5-d_1^2d_2^5-4d_1^3d_2^3+3d_1^2d_2^4-d_1d_2^4+2d_1d_2^3-d_2^4)k^5 \\ &\quad + (4d_1^4d_2^4+4d_1^4d_2^3-6d_1^3d_2^4-16d_1^4d_2^2+18d_1^3d_2^3+2d_1^2d_2^4 \\ &\quad + 4d_1^3d_2^2-10d_1^2d_2^3+8d_1^2d_2^2-6d_1d_2^3)k^4 \\ &\quad + (6d_1^5d_2^3+12d_1^5d_2^2-14d_1^4d_2^3-20d_1^5d_2+35d_1^4d_2^2+7d_1^3d_2^3 \\ &\quad + 12d_1^4d_2-29d_1^3d_2^2+d_1^2d_2^3+8d_1^3d_2-12d_1^2d_2^2)k^3 \\ &\quad + (4d_1^6d_2^2+12d_1^6d_2-16d_1^5d_2^2-8d_1^6+28d_1^5d_2+8d_1^4d_2^2 \\ &\quad + 8d_1^5-32d_1^4d_2+4d_1^3d_2^2-8d_1^3d_2)k^2 \\ &\quad + (d_1^7d_2+4d_1^7-9d_1^6d_2+8d_1^6+3d_1^5d_2-12d_1^5+5d_1^4d_2)k \\ &\quad - 2d_1^7+2d_1^5 \\ \theta_{0a}(k) &= kd_2^2(d_2-1)^4(2d_1+d_2k)((2d_1d_2-2d_1+d_2)k+2d_1^2+2d_1) \\ \theta_{0b}(k) &= (2d_1^2-1)d_2^2k^2+(4d_1^3-2d_1^2-2d_1)d_2k+2d_1^3(d_1-1). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 6.8. For any $d_2 \geq d_1 \geq 2$, we have $\theta_2 < 0$ and $\theta_0 \geq 0$ on $[0, 1]$. The function θ_0 only vanishes at $k = 0$.

Proof. From observation it is clear that $\theta_0 = \theta_{0a}\theta_{0b} \geq 0$ on $[0, 1]$ and only vanishes at $k = 0$. For θ_2 we have

$$(6.27) \quad \begin{aligned} \theta_{2a} = & d_2^2(d_1d_2 - d_1 - d_2)k^2 + (d_2^2 - d_1d_2)k^2 + (d_1d_2^2 + d_1d_2)(k - k^2) + (2d_1^2d_2^2 - 2d_1^2d_2)k \\ & + (2d_1^2d_2 - d_1^2d_2 - 3d_1d_2^2 + 3d_1d_2 - 3d_1^2)k + (d_1^2d_2 + d_1^2)(1 - k) + (d_1^3d_2 - 2d_1^2d_2 + 3d_1^2). \end{aligned}$$

Given $d_2 \geq d_1 \geq 2$, each term above is non-negative on $[0, 1]$. In particular, the last term is positive. Hence $\theta_{2a} > 0$ on $[0, 1]$.

For the other factor θ_{2b} , we have

$$(6.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \theta_{2b} = & -(d_1d_2^3 - d_2^3 + d_2^2)k^3 - 2d_1^2d_2^2(k^2 - k^3) \\ & - (d_1^3d_2 - 3d_1^2d_2 + 2d_1d_2)k^2 - (2d_1^2d_2^2 - 4d_1d_2^2)k^2 \\ & - (5d_1^3d_2 - 5d_1^2d_2)(k - k^2) - (2d_1^4 - 2d_1^3)(1 - k) \end{aligned}$$

Given $d_2 \geq d_1 \geq 2$, all terms above are non-positive and do not vanish simultaneously for any $k \in [0, 1]$. We have $\theta_{2b} < 0$ on $[0, 1]$. The proof is complete. \square

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank the conference organizers of “Einstein Spaces and Special Geometry” at the Institut Mittag-Leffler in July 2023, where part of this work was presented. The author thanks Christoph Böhm, Andrew Dancer, Lorenzo Foscolo, and Claude LeBrun for insightful discussions during the conference. Special thanks to Christoph Böhm for his advice in proving the inhomogeneity. The author also thanks McKenzie Wang and Xiping Zhu for valuable comments on an early draft of this paper.

REFERENCES

[AC11] A. Arvanitoyeorgos and I. Chrysikos. Invariant Einstein metrics on generalized flag manifolds with two isotropy summands. *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 90(2):237–251, 2011.

[ADF96] D. Alekseevsky, I. Dotti, and C. Ferraris. Homogeneous Ricci positive 5-manifolds. *Pacific J. Math*, 175(1):1–12, 1996.

[BB82] L. Bérard-Bergery. Sur de nouvelles variétés riemanniennes d’Einstein. In *Institut Élie Cartan*, 6, volume 6 of *Inst. Élie Cartan*, pages 1–60. Univ. Nancy, Nancy, 1982.

[BK06] C. Böhm and M. Kerr. Low-dimensional homogeneous Einstein manifolds. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 358(4):1455–1468, 2006.

[Böh98] C. Böhm. Inhomogeneous Einstein metrics on low-dimensional spheres and other low-dimensional spaces. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 134(1):145–176, 1998.

[Böh99] C. Böhm. Non-existence of cohomogeneity one Einstein metrics. *Mathematische Annalen*, 314:109–125, 1999.

[Böh04] C. Böhm. Homogeneous Einstein metrics and simplicial complexes. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 67(1):79–165, 2004.

[Böh05] C. Böhm. Non-existence of homogeneous Einstein metrics. *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici*, 80(1):123–146, 2005.

[BWZ04] C. Bohm, M. Wang, and W. Ziller. A variational approach for compact homogeneous Einstein manifolds. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 14(4):681–733, 2004.

[Chi24] H. Chi. Positive Einstein metrics with as the principal orbit. *Compositio Mathematica*, 160(5):1004–1040, 2024.

- [CL55] E. A. Coddington and N. Levinson. *Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations*. McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York-Toronto-London, 1955.
- [CNN17] Z. Chen, Y. G. Nikonorov, and Y. V. Nikonorova. Invariant Einstein metrics on Ledger–Obata spaces. *Differential geometry and its applications*, 50:71–87, 2017.
- [DHW13] A. S. Dancer, S. J. Hall, and M. Y. Wang. Cohomogeneity one shrinking Ricci solitons: An analytic and numerical study. *Asian Journal of Mathematics*, 17(1):33–62, 2013.
- [DK08] W. Dickinson and M. M. Kerr. The geometry of compact homogeneous spaces with two isotropy summands. *Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry*, 34(4):329–350, November 2008.
- [DZ79] J. D’Atri and W. Ziller. *Naturally reductive metrics and Einstein metrics on compact Lie groups*, volume 215. American Mathematical Soc., 1979.
- [Esc87] J.-H. Eschenburg. Comparison theorems and hypersurfaces. *manuscripta mathematica*, 59(3):295–323, 1987.
- [EW00] J.-H. Eschenburg and M. Y. Wang. The initial value problem for cohomogeneity one Einstein metrics. *The Journal of Geometric Analysis*, 10(1):109–137, 2000.
- [GLP11] G. W. Gibbons, H. Lü, and C. N. Pope. Einstein metrics on group manifolds and cosets. *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, 61(5):947–960, 2011.
- [Gor77] V. V. Gorbatsevich. The classification of four-dimensional compact homogeneous spaces. *Uspekhi Matematicheskikh Nauk*, 32(2):207–208, 1977.
- [GPP90] G. W. Gibbons, D. N. Page, and C. N. Pope. Einstein metrics on S^3 , \mathbf{R}^3 and \mathbf{R}^4 bundles. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 127(3):529–553, 1990.
- [He12] C. He. Cohomogeneity one manifolds with a small family of invariant metrics. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 157:41–90, 2012.
- [Jen69] G. R. Jensen. Homogeneous Einstein spaces of dimension four. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 3(3-4):309–349, 1969.
- [Ker98] M. M. Kerr. New examples of homogeneous Einstein metrics. *Michigan Mathematical Journal*, 45(1):115–134, 1998.
- [Kon75] M. Konishi. On manifolds with Sasakian 3-structure over quaternion Kaehler manifolds. In *Kodai Mathematical Seminar Reports*, volume 26, pages 194–200. Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 1975.
- [KS86] N. Koiso and Y. Sakane. Non-homogeneous Kähler–Einstein metrics on compact complex manifolds. *Curvature and Topology of Riemannian Manifolds*, pages 165–179, 1986.
- [KS88] N. Koiso and Y. Sakane. Non-homogeneous Kähler–Einstein metrics on compact complex manifolds. II. *Osaka Journal of Mathematics*, 25(4):933–959, 1988.
- [LW24] J. Lauret and C. Will. Einstein metrics on homogeneous spaces $H \times H/\Delta K$. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.13407*, 2024.
- [MR75] S. Marchiafava and G. Romani. Sui fibrati con struttura quaternionale generalizzata. *Annali di Matematica pura ed applicata*, 107(1):131–157, 1975.
- [Nik04] Y. G. Nikonorov. Compact homogeneous Einstein 7-manifolds. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 109(1):7–30, 2004.
- [Nik16] Y. G. Nikonorov. Classification of generalized Wallach spaces. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 181(1):193–212, 2016.
- [NR99] Y. G. Nikonorov and E. D. Rodionov. Six-dimensional compact homogeneous Einstein manifolds. In *Doklady Mathematics*, volume 59, pages 451–453. Pleiades Publishing, Ltd.
- [NR03] Y. G. Nikonorov and E. D. Rodionov. Compact homogeneous Einstein 6-manifolds. *Differential Geometry and its Applications*, 19(3):369–378, 2003.
- [O’N66] B. O’Neill. The fundamental equations of a submersion. *Michigan Mathematical Journal*, 13(4):459–469, 1966.
- [Pag78] D. N. Page. A compact rotating gravitational instanton. *Physics Letters B*, 79(3):235–238, 1978.
- [PP86] D. N. Page and C. N. Pope. Einstein metrics on quaternionic line bundles. *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 3(2):249, 1986.
- [PP87] D. N. Page and C. N. Pope. Inhomogeneous Einstein metrics on complex line bundles. *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 4(2):213, 1987.
- [PZ21] A. Pulemotov and W. Ziller. On the variational properties of the prescribed Ricci curvature functional. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.14129*, 2021.
- [Sal82] S. Salamon. Quaternionic Kähler manifolds. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 67(1):143–171, 1982.

- [ST09] L. Schwachhöfer and K. Tapp. Homogeneous metrics with nonnegative curvature. *Journal of Geometric Analysis*, 19(4):929–943, 2009.
- [Wan92] M. Y. Wang. Einstein metrics and quaternionic Kähler manifolds. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 210(1):305–325, 1992.
- [WW98] J. Wang and M. Y. Wang. Einstein metrics on S^2 -bundles. *Mathematische Annalen*, 310(3):497–526, 1998.
- [WZ85] M. Y. Wang and W. Ziller. On normal homogeneous Einstein manifolds. In *Annales scientifiques de l’Ecole normale supérieure*, volume 18, pages 563–633, 1985.
- [WZ86] M. Y. Wang and W. Ziller. Existence and non-existence of homogeneous Einstein metrics. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 84(1):177–194, 1986.

DEPARTMENT OF FOUNDATIONAL MATHEMATICS, XI’AN JIAOTONG-LIVERPOOL UNIVERSITY, SUZHOU
215123, CHINA
Email address: `hanci.chi@xjtlu.edu.cn`