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## 1 Introduction

i) Manifolds with Singularities. We study spectral properties and geometric functional inequalities on Riemannian manifolds of dimension $\geq 3$ with singularities. Of particular interest will be manifolds with (finite or countably many) conical singularities $\left\{z_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathfrak{J}}$ in the neighborhood of which the largest lower bound for the Ricci curvature is

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(x) \simeq K_{i}-\frac{s_{i}}{d^{2}\left(z_{i}, x\right)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus none of the existing Bakry-Émery inequalities or curvature-dimension conditions apply. In particular, $k$ does not belong to the Kato (or (extended Kato) class, and ( $M, g$ ) is not tamed in the sense of [ERST22]. Manifolds with such a singular Ricci bound (1) appear quite naturally. The prime examples are

- metric cones, for instance, $M=\mathbb{R}_{+} \times_{r} N$ with any $\left(N, g^{N}\right)$ satisfying $\inf _{y \in N} \operatorname{Ric}_{y}^{N}<$ $n-2$, e.g. spheres $N=\mathbb{S}_{R}^{n-1}$ with radius $R>1$,
- weighted spaces, for instance, $M=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $g_{x}^{M}=|x|^{2 \alpha} g_{x}^{\text {Euclid }}$ with any $\alpha>0$.

For such manifolds with conical singularities we will prove

- a version of the Bakry-Émery inequality
- a novel Hardy inequality
- a spectral gap estimate.
ii) Lichnerowicz Inequality, Aubry's Estimate and Related Results. The wellknown Lichnerowicz inequality [Li58] provides a sharp lower bound for the spectral gap of $-\Delta$ in terms of a uniform lower bound of the Ricci curvature:

$$
\lambda_{1} \geq \frac{n}{n-1} K
$$

with $K:=\inf _{x \in M} \operatorname{Ric}_{x}^{M}$. It has been extended to Markov semigroups and metric measure spaces with synthetic Ricci bounds $\mathrm{BE}(K, n)$ and $\mathrm{CD}(K, n)$, resp.

Important extensions of Lichnerowicz' inequality have been obtained where the uniform lower bound $k \geq K$ is replaced by suitable norms on $(k-K)^{-}$.

Proposition 1.1 (Aubry [Au07], Carron-Rose [GR21]). Assume that $k \geq K-v$ with $v \geq 0$ in $L^{p}$ for some $p>n / 2$ or, more generally, with $v$ in the Kato class. Then

$$
\lambda_{1} \geq \frac{n}{n-1} K-c\|v\|_{*} .
$$

where $\|.\|_{*}$ denotes the $L^{p}$-norm or a suitable Kato norm.
These criteria, unfortunately, do not cover the important class of conical singularities in dimension $n \geq 3$. The Ricci curvature in the tip of a cone over a large sphere decays like $\frac{-c}{d^{2}(z, .)}$. These functions are never in $L^{p}$ for $p>n / 2$, and never in the Kato class.
iii) The Spectral Gap Estimate. Our main result is a spectral gap estimate for manifolds ( $M, g$ ) with (finite or countably many) conical singularities. Let us present it in its most simple form.

Theorem 1.2. Assume that

- $(M, g)$ is smooth on $M \backslash\left\{z_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathfrak{I}}$ and $\operatorname{Ric}^{M} \geq K$ on $M \backslash \bigcup_{i \in \mathfrak{I}} B_{\rho}\left(z_{i}\right)$,
- $B_{\rho}\left(z_{i}\right) \simeq[0, \rho) \times_{\sin _{\ell}} N_{i}$ for some manifolds $N_{i}$ with $\operatorname{Ric}^{N_{i}} \geq(n-2) \kappa$
for some $K, \rho, \ell \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\sqrt{\ell} \rho=\frac{\pi}{2}$ and $1 \geq \kappa \geq \frac{6-n}{4}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1} \geq \min \{K,[3+(n-4) \kappa] \ell\} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A slightly more sophisticated (and improved) estimate even holds under the weaker assumption

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa>\frac{n(6-n)-4}{4(n-1)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which proves crucial for various estimates. It is satisfied for any $\kappa \geq 0$ if $n \geq 6$, and for

$$
\kappa> \begin{cases}\frac{1}{16}, & \text { if } n=5, \\ \frac{1}{3}, & \text { if } n=4, \\ \frac{5}{8}, & \text { if } n=3\end{cases}
$$

In particular, for $n \geq 6$ this includes cones over arbitrarily large spheres. In any of these cases, from a spectral theoretic perspective, the contribution of a conical singularity can be regarded as a 'small perturbation'.
iv) The Road Map. Our strategy for deriving such a spectral gap estimate is based on the following fundamental results:

- for manifolds with conical singularities the pointwise Ricci bound

$$
\operatorname{Ric}_{x}^{M} \geq k(x):=K-\sum_{i} \frac{s_{i}}{d^{2}\left(z_{i}, .\right)} \quad \text { on } M \backslash\left\{z_{i}\right\}_{i}
$$

implies the mild Bakry-Émery estimate $\mathrm{BE}_{1}^{\prime}(k, n)$

- $\mathrm{BE}_{1}^{\prime}(k, n)$ implies the spectral estimate

$$
\lambda_{1}(-\Delta) \geq \lambda_{0}(-\Delta+k)
$$

and a $n$-dependent improved version

- the Hardy inequality on manifolds with conical singularities asserts that

$$
-\Delta \geq \vartheta \quad \text { for some function } \quad \vartheta \geq \sum_{i} \frac{t_{i}}{d^{2}\left(z_{i}, .\right)}-C
$$

Thus $\lambda_{1} \geq K-C$ provided $s_{i} \leq t_{i}$ for all $i$.
Let us discuss these ingredients in more detail.
v) The Mild Bakry-Émery Inequality. Bakry-Émery Inequalities provide lower bounds on the $\Gamma_{2}$-operator

$$
\Gamma_{2}(u):=\frac{1}{2} \Delta|\nabla u|^{2}-\nabla u \nabla \Delta u
$$

in terms of the lower bound $k$ for the Ricci curvature of the underlying manifold ( $M, g$ ) and the upper bound $N$ for its dimension. The most prominent ones are the pointwise ('classical') $\mathrm{pBE}_{2}(k, N)$ inequality

$$
\Gamma_{2}(u) \geq k|\nabla u|^{2}+\frac{1}{N}(\Delta u)^{2}
$$

and the ('self-impoved') $\mathrm{pBE}_{1}(k, \infty)$ inequality

$$
\Gamma_{2}(u) \geq k|\nabla u|^{2}+|\nabla| \nabla u| |^{2} .
$$

The $\mathrm{pBE}_{1}^{M}(k, N)$ inequality improves up on both of them:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{2}(u) & \geq k|\nabla u|^{2}+\frac{1}{N}(\Delta u)^{2}+\frac{N}{N-1}|\nabla| \nabla u| |-\left.\frac{1}{N}|\Delta u|\right|^{2} \\
& =k|\nabla u|^{2}+|\nabla| \nabla u| |^{2}+\frac{1}{N-1}| | \nabla|\nabla u||-|\Delta u||^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Typically, these estimates will not be requested pointwise but only in the weak form which in the latter case leads to the mild Bakry-Émery Inequality $\mathrm{BE}_{1}^{\prime}(k, N)$ :

$$
\int \varphi \Gamma_{2}(u) d m \geq \int \varphi\left[k|\nabla u|^{2}+|\nabla| \nabla u| |^{2}+\frac{1}{N-1}| | \nabla|\nabla u||-|\Delta u||^{2}\right] d m
$$

for all $u$ and $\varphi \geq 0$ in suitable function spaces which merely require weak derivatives of $u$ and $\varphi$ of order 2 and 1 (whereas the 'standard' version of $\mathrm{BE}(k, N)$ requires weak derivatives of $u$ and $\varphi$ of order 3 and 2). We discuss its relation to other versions of $\mathrm{BE}(k, N)$ and illustrate how to verify it for manifolds with singularities.

We address the following fundamental questions:
(i) Under which conditions can one conclude

$$
\operatorname{Ric}^{M} \geq k \quad \text { on } M \backslash\{z\} \quad \xlongequal{?} \mathrm{BE}_{1}^{\prime}(k, n)
$$

(ii) Does $\mathrm{BE}_{1}^{\prime}(k, n)$ hold for manifolds with conical singularities?

Caution is required since there is a 'counterexample'.
Example 1.3. Let $M:=\mathbb{R}_{+} \times_{r} N$ be the cone over $N=\mathbb{R} /(4 \pi \mathbb{Z})$, the circle of length $4 \pi$. Or in other words, $M$ is the twofold cover of $\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{0\}$ together with the origin $\{0\}$. Equivalently, $M$ can be identified with $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ equipped with the metric $g_{x}^{M}=|x|^{4} g_{x}^{\text {Euclid }}$.

Then $\operatorname{Ric}_{x}^{M} \equiv 0$ on $M \backslash\{0\}$ but $\mathrm{BE}_{1}^{\prime}(0, n)$ does not hold nor does $\mathrm{BE}_{2}(K, N)$ for any $K$ and $N \in \mathbb{R}$.

We will give affirmative answers to both of the above questions (i) and (ii) in case $(M, g)$ is a manifold with (finite or countably many) conical singularities $\left\{z_{i}\right\}_{i}$ such that for each $i$,

$$
M \supset B_{\rho_{i}}\left(z_{i}\right) \simeq\left[0, \rho_{i}\right) \times_{f_{i}} N_{i}
$$

with $f_{i}(r)=\sin _{\ell_{i}}(r)$ for some $\ell_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$, some $\rho_{i}>0$, and a complete Riemannian manifold $N_{i}$.

Theorem 1.4. Assume that $n \geq 3$, that $g$ is smooth on $M \backslash\left\{z_{i}\right\}_{i}$ with

$$
\operatorname{Ric}^{M} \geq k \quad \text { on } M \backslash\left\{z_{i}\right\}_{i}
$$

and that $\operatorname{Ric}^{N_{i}} \geq(n-2) \kappa(\forall i)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa>\frac{n(6-n)-4}{4(n-1)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\mathrm{BE}_{1}^{\prime}(k, n)$ is satisfied.
vi) A Powerful Spectral Estimate. Besides our novel Hardy inequality, the key ingredient is an estimate of the spectral gap $\lambda_{1}:=\inf (\operatorname{spec}(-\Delta) \backslash\{0\})$ for the Laplacian $\Delta$ in terms of the spectral bound for the Schrödinger operator $-\Delta+k$ where the lower Ricci bound $k$ plays the role of a potential.

Theorem 1.5. Assume $\mathrm{BE}_{1}^{\prime}(k, n)$ and that the spectrum of $\Delta$ is discrete. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1} \geq \inf \operatorname{spec}(-\Delta+k) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover generally, for every $t \in[0,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1} \geq \alpha_{t} \cdot \inf \operatorname{spec}\left(-t \frac{n}{n-1} \Delta+k\right) \quad \text { with } \quad \alpha_{t}:=\frac{n}{n-1}\left[1+\frac{\frac{t}{1-t}}{(n-1)^{2}}\right]^{-1} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The borderline case $t=0$ provides the classical Lichnerowicz inequality:

$$
\lambda_{1} \geq \frac{n}{n-1} \inf _{x} k(x) .
$$

Remark 1.6. (i) The estimate (24) holds true in the more general setting of infinitesimally Hilbertian metric measure spaces with curvature-dimension condition $\mathrm{CD}(k, n)$ or Markov semigroups with Bakry-Émery condition $\operatorname{BE}(k, n)$ for lower bounded, variable $k: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in[1, \infty]$.
(ii) The estimate (24) improves upon a similar estimate in [GR21, Lemma 2.5].
(iii) Actually, Carron-Rose [GR21, Prop. 2.6] claim to have a much better estimate: $\lambda_{1} \geq \frac{n}{n-1} \cdot \inf \operatorname{spec}\left(-\frac{n}{n-1} \Delta+k\right)$. The proof of that result, however, is not correct. Their lower bound on the Ricci curvature of the cone over $M$ is not true in radial direction.
vii) The Hardy Inequality. The celebrated Hardy inequality Ha19] on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ states that for every $z \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
-\Delta \geq\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{|.-z|^{2}}
$$

in the sense of self-adjoint operators, or more explicitly,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\nabla f|^{2} d x \geq\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{f^{2}(x)}{|x-z|^{2}} d x \quad \forall f \in W^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$

What is the Riemannian counterpart?
Theorem 1.7. Let $(M, g)$ be a complete smooth Riemannian manifold with dimension $n \geq 3$, sectional curvature $\leq \ell$, and injectivity radius $\geq R_{\ell}:=\frac{\pi}{2 \sqrt{\ell}}$ for some $\ell>0$. Then for every $z \in M$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta \geq\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\underline{\tan }_{\ell}^{2} d(z, .)}-\frac{n-2}{2} \ell \mathbf{1}_{B_{R_{\ell}}(z)} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\underline{\tan }_{\ell}(r):=\sqrt{\ell} \tan (\sqrt{\ell} r \wedge \pi / 2)$. An analogous result holds in the case $\ell \leq 0$.
Moreover, the same estimate holds true for a not necessarily smooth Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ if it is a $\operatorname{CAT}(\ell)$-space.

For $\ell=0$, we recover the result of [Ca97 on spaces with nonpositive curvature.
Corollary 1.8. If $M$ is a smooth Cartan-Hadamard manifold or a CAT(0)-space then for every $z \in M$,

$$
-\Delta \geq\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{d^{2}(x, z)}
$$

If $M$ has a conical singularity, then proving a Hardy estimate at the singularity does not require an upper bound on the sectional curvature but merely on the convexity of the warping function.
Theorem 1.9. Assume that $M$ has a conical singularity at $z$ such that

$$
M \supset B_{\rho}(z) \simeq[0, \rho) \times_{f} N
$$

with $f(r)=\sin _{\ell}(r)$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$. Then for every $L \geq \ell \vee\left(\frac{\pi}{2 \rho}\right)^{2}$,

$$
-\Delta \geq\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\tan _{L}^{2}\left(d\left(z_{i}, .\right)\right)}-\frac{n-2}{2} L \mathbf{1}_{B_{R_{L}}(z)} .
$$

Note that no assumption on $N$ and no assumption on $M \backslash B_{\rho}(z)$ is made.
viii) Setting. Most of the concepts and results easily carry over to metric measure spaces with synthetic Ricci curvature bounds $\mathrm{CD}(k, n)$ and to Markov semigroups satisfying a Bakry-Émery condition $\mathrm{BE}(k, n)$ with variable $k: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a real number $n \in[1, \infty]$. To keep the presentation as simple as possible, however, we confine ourselves to present them in the setting of Riemannian manifolds with not necessarily smooth metric tensors.

## 2 Manifolds with Singularities

### 2.1 Warped Products

The basic examples of singular spaces to be considered in this paper will be Riemannian manifolds which locally around these singularities look like warped products.

Given a smooth $(n-1)$-dimensional Riemannian manifold $\left(N, g^{N}\right)$, an interval $I=$ $[0, \rho)$ for some $\rho \in(0, \infty]$, and a $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$-function $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$with $f(0)=0$ and $f(r)>0$ for $r>0$, we consider the warped product

$$
M=I \times_{f} N, \quad d g^{M}=d r^{2}+f^{2}(r) d g^{N} .
$$

More formally, $M:=(I \times N) / \sim$ with $(r, y) \sim\left(r^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ if $r=r^{\prime}=0$, and for $x=(r, y) \in M$ and $\zeta=\tau+\xi \in T_{x} \simeq \mathbb{R} \times T_{y} N$,

$$
g^{M}(\tau+\xi)=|\tau|^{2}+f^{2}(r) g^{N}(\xi)
$$

Lemma 2.1 (On83]. Ke13]). $\left(M \backslash\{0\}, g^{M}\right)$ is a smooth Riemannian manifold, and for $r \neq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ric}_{r, y}^{M}(\tau+\xi) & =-(n-1) \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(r)}{f(r)}|\tau|^{2}+\operatorname{Ric}_{y}^{N}(\xi)-\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(r)}{f(r)}+(n-2)\left|\frac{f^{\prime}(r)}{f(r)}\right|^{2}\right) g_{r, y}^{M}(\xi) \\
& =-(n-1) \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(r)}{f(r)}|\tau|^{2}+\operatorname{Ric}_{y}^{N}(\xi)-\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(r)}{f(r)}+(n-2)\left|\frac{f^{\prime}(r)}{f(r)}\right|^{2}\right) f^{2}(r) g_{y}^{N}(\xi)
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 2.2. Assume that $\operatorname{Ric}^{N} \geq(n-2) \kappa g^{N}$ for some $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$. Then for all $(r, y) \in M$ with $r \neq 0$ and all $\zeta \in T_{r, y} M$,

$$
\operatorname{Ric}_{r, y}^{M}(\zeta) \geq k(r) g_{r, y}^{M}(\zeta)
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
k(r) & =\min \left\{-(n-1) \frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f},(n-2) \frac{\kappa-f^{\prime 2}}{f^{2}}-\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f}\right\}(r) \\
& =-(n-1) \frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f}(r)-(n-2)\left(\frac{\kappa-f^{\prime 2}}{f^{2}}+\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f}\right)^{-}(r) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.2 Cones over Large Spheres

The prime example of a warping function is

$$
\sin _{\ell}(r)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell}} \sin (\sqrt{\ell} r), & \text { if } \ell>0  \tag{9}\\ r, & \text { if } \ell=0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\ell}} \sinh (\sqrt{-\ell} r), & \text { if } \ell<0\end{cases}
$$

with $I \subset\left[0,2 R_{\ell}\right)$.
If $f=\sin _{\ell}$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ then the warped product $M=I \times_{f} N$ is called $\ell$-cone with basis $N$. In this case, (8) amounts to

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(r)=(n-1) \ell-(n-2) \frac{(1-\kappa)^{+}}{\sin _{\ell}^{2}(r)} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The standard example here is
Example 2.3. Assume that $N=\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ is the round sphere of radius 1 (thus $\kappa=1$ ), $f=\sin _{\ell}$ and $I=\left[0,2 R_{\ell}\right)$. Then $\operatorname{Ric}^{M}=(n-1) \ell g^{M}$.

In particular

- If $\ell<0$ then $M$ is the hyperbolic space with curvature $\ell<0$.
- If $\ell>0$ then $M$ is the round $n$-sphere of radius $R=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell}}$ and curvature $\ell>0$. (More precisely, $M$ is the punctured round sphere: the antipodal point of the vertex is excluded.)
- If $\ell=0$ then $M$ is the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

More exotic examples are
Example 2.4. Consider the cone $M=\mathbb{R}_{+} \times_{r} N$ with $N=\mathbb{S}_{1 / \sqrt{3}}^{2} \times \mathbb{S}_{1 / \sqrt{3}}^{2}$. Then

- $M$ has nonnegative Ricci curvature in synthetic sense, i.e. it satisfies the curvaturedimension condition $\mathrm{CD}(0,5)$ and the Bakry-Émery condition $\mathrm{BE}(0,5)$;
- $M \backslash\{0\}$ has nonnegative Ricci curvature in classical sense, i.e. $\operatorname{Ric}^{M} \geq 0$ on $M \backslash\{0\}$;
- $M \backslash\{0\}$ has unbounded sectional curvature: for all $(r, y) \in M \backslash\{0\}$ and $\zeta \in T_{r, y} M$,

$$
\sup _{\rho \perp \zeta} \operatorname{Sec}_{r, y}^{M}(\zeta, \rho)=\frac{2}{r^{2}}, \quad \inf _{\rho \perp \zeta} \operatorname{Sec}_{r, y}^{M}(\zeta, \rho)=-\frac{1}{r^{2}}
$$

Example 2.5. Consider the cone $M:=\mathbb{R}_{+} \times_{r} N$ with $N=\mathbb{R P}^{2}=\mathbb{S}^{2} / \sim$ where $x \sim y$ if $x=-y$. Then

- $N$ is a closed, smooth Riemannian manifold with $\operatorname{Sec}^{N}=1$ and $\operatorname{Ric}_{N}=g^{N}$;
- $M \backslash\{0\}=\left(\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash\{0\}\right) / \sim$ with $\sim$ as before;
- $\mathrm{Sec}^{M}=0$ and $\operatorname{Ric}^{M}=0$ on $M \backslash\{0\}$;
- $M$ has has nonnegative Ricci curvature in synthetic sense, i.e. it satisfies the curvaturedimension condition $\mathrm{CD}(0,3)$ and the Bakry-Émery condition $\mathrm{BE}(0,3)$;
- $M$ has nonnegative sectional curvature in the sense of Alexandrov.

Example 2.6. Assume that $\operatorname{Ric}^{N} \geq(n-2) \kappa g^{N}$ with $\kappa<1$ and that $f(r)=r$. Then the warped product $M=[0, \rho) \times_{f} N$ is a cone with lower Ricci bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(r)=-(n-2) \frac{1-\kappa}{r^{2}} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $N=\mathbb{S}_{R}^{n-1}$ is a sphere of radius $R=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}}>1$, the cone $M=[0, \rho) \times{ }_{r} N$ is called 'cone over a large sphere'.

Example 2.7. Consider $(M, g)$ with $M=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ for $n \geq 3$ and $g_{x}^{M}=|x|^{2 \alpha} g_{x}^{\text {Euclid }}$ for some $\alpha>0$. Then $M=\mathbb{R}_{+} \times_{r^{1+\alpha}} \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, and thus in a neighborhood of the origin, its lower Ricci bound is

$$
k(x)=-(n-1) \frac{(1+\alpha) \alpha}{|x|^{2}} .
$$

### 2.3 Manifolds with Conical Singularities

We aim in the sequel for spectral estimates on singular spaces with particular focus on manifolds with a finite or infinite number of conical singularities.

Definition 2.8. A Riemannian manifold $\left(M, g^{M}\right)$ (with a not necessarily smooth metric tensor) is called Riemannian manifold with conical singularities if there exist

- a discrete set of singularities $\left\{z_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \subset M$,
- numbers $K, \kappa_{i}, \ell_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\rho_{i} \in\left(0, R_{\ell}\right]$,
- smooth ( $n-1$ )-dimensional Riemannian manifolds ( $N_{i}, g^{N_{i}}$ ) with $\operatorname{Ric}^{N_{i}} \geq(n-$ 2) $\kappa_{i} g^{N_{i}}$ and $\operatorname{vol}\left(N_{i}\right)<\infty$,
such that
- $g$ is smooth on $M \backslash\left\{z_{i}\right\}_{i}$ and $\operatorname{Ric}^{M} \geq K g^{M}$ on $M_{0}:=M \backslash \bigcup_{i} B_{\rho_{i}}\left(z_{i}\right)$,
- $M_{i}:=B_{\rho_{i}}\left(z_{i}\right) \simeq\left[0, \rho_{i}\right) \times_{f_{\ell_{i}}} N_{i}$.

For convenience, we assume that the sets $M_{i}$ for $i \in \mathfrak{I}$ are pairwise disjoint. Note that $M_{i}$ has a synthetic upper sectional bound if and only if $\sec ^{N_{i}} \leq 1$, it has a synthetic lower sectional bound if and only if $\sec ^{N_{i}} \geq 1$, and it has a synthetic lower Ricci bound if and only if $\mathrm{Ric}^{N_{i}} \geq(n-2) g^{N_{i}}$. If $\ell_{i}=0$ then each of these synthetic curvature bounds for $M$ will be 0 provided it is finite.

As long as misunderstanding is excluded, in the sequel we will mostly write $\operatorname{Ric}^{M} \geq k$ instead of $\operatorname{Ric}^{M} \geq k g^{M}$.

## 3 Bakry-Émery Inequalities

### 3.1 Pointwise Bakry-Émery Inequalities

Assume that $(M, g)$ is a Riemannian manifold with a metric tensor which is smooth on an open subset $M_{0} \subset M$, and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}^{M} \geq k \quad \text { on } M_{0} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some measurable function $k: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Bochner's identity asserts that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{2}(u):=\frac{1}{2} \Delta|\nabla u|^{2}-\nabla u \nabla \Delta u=\operatorname{Ric}^{M}(\nabla u, \nabla u)+\left\|\nabla^{2} u\right\|_{H S}^{2} \quad \text { on } M_{0} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $u \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(M_{0}\right)$. As a straightforward consequence therefore the pointwise Bakry-Émery inequality on $M_{0}$, briefly $\mathrm{BE}_{2}^{M_{0}}(k, N)$, holds true for every $N \in[n, \infty]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{2}(u) \geq k|\nabla u|^{2}+\frac{1}{N}(\Delta u)^{2} \quad \text { on } M_{0} \quad\left(\forall u \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(M_{0}\right)\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The pointwise Bakry-Émery inequality $\mathrm{BE}_{2}^{M_{0}}(k, N)$ has an impressive self-improvement property (cf. [Ba85], [BQ00], [Sa14], [St18]).
Lemma 3.1. $\mathrm{BE}_{2}^{M_{0}}(k, N)$ implies that for all $u, v, w \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(M_{0}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{2}(u)-k|\nabla u|^{2}-\frac{1}{N}(\Delta u)^{2} \geq 2 \frac{\left[\nabla v \nabla^{2} u \nabla w-\frac{1}{N} \Delta u \cdot \nabla v \nabla w\right]^{2}}{|\nabla v|^{2}|\nabla w|^{2}+\frac{N-2}{N}|\nabla v \nabla w|^{2}} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof (see [St18], Thm. 3.1). Apply (14) at given $x_{0} \in M_{0}$ to the function $\tilde{u}:=u+$ $t\left[v-v\left(x_{0}\right)\right]\left[w-w\left(x_{0}\right)\right]$. Observe that $\nabla u=\nabla \tilde{u}$ at given $x_{0}$ and

$$
\Delta \tilde{u}=\Delta u+2 t \nabla v \nabla w, \quad \Gamma_{2}(\tilde{u})=\Gamma_{2}(u)+4 t \nabla v \nabla^{2} u \nabla w+2 t^{2}\left[(\nabla v \nabla w)^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}|\nabla w|^{2}\right] .
$$

Choosing the optimal $t$ then yields the claim.
Corollary 3.2. For all $u$,

$$
\Gamma_{2}(u)-k|\nabla u|^{2}-\frac{1}{N}(\Delta u)^{2} \geq \frac{N}{N-1}|\nabla| \nabla u\left|-\frac{1}{N} \Delta u \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right|^{2}
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{2}(u)-k|\nabla u|^{2}-|\nabla| \nabla u| |^{2} \geq \frac{1}{N-1}|\nabla| \nabla u\left|-\Delta u \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right|^{2} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. At any given point $x_{0} \in M_{0}$, apply the previous Lemma with $v():.=u($.$) and$ $w():.=\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^{2}()-.\frac{1}{n} \Delta u\left(x_{0}\right) u($.$) .$

We say that the pointwise self-improved Bakry-Émery inequality on $M_{0}$ holds true, briefly $\mathrm{BE}_{1}^{M_{0}}(k, N)$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{2}(u) \geq k|\nabla u|^{2}+|\nabla| \nabla u| |^{2}+\frac{1}{N-1}| | \nabla|\nabla u||-|\Delta u||^{2} \quad \text { on } M_{0} \quad\left(\forall u \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(M_{0}\right)\right) . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2 The Mild Bakry-Émery Inequality

Let $(M, g)$ be a complete Riemannian manifold with not necessarily smooth Riemannian tensor $g$ and dimension $n \geq 2$.

Definition 3.3. Given an extended number $N \in[1, \infty]$, we say that a function $k: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $N$-admissible if it is measurable and if $k^{-}$is form bounded w.r.t. $-\Delta$ with form bound $<\frac{N}{N-1}$ in the sense that $\exists C, C^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $C<\frac{N}{N-1}$ and

$$
\int k^{-} v^{2} d m \leq C \int|\nabla v|^{2} d m+C^{\prime} \int v^{2} d m \quad(\forall v \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))
$$

Without restriction, in the sequel we also may assume that $k^{+}$is bounded.
Definition 3.4. Given any measurable $k: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we say that the mild Bakry-Émery inequality $\mathrm{BE}_{1}^{\prime}(k, N)$ holds true on $(M, g)$ if $\forall u \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta): v:=|\nabla u| \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ and $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathcal{E}) \cap L_{+}^{\infty}$ with $|\nabla \varphi| \in L^{\infty}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\int \nabla \varphi\left[\frac{1}{2} \nabla\left(v^{2}\right)\right. & +\nabla u \Delta u] d m+\int \varphi(\Delta u)^{2} d m+\int k^{-} \varphi v^{2} d m \\
& \geq \int k^{+} \varphi v^{2} d m+\int \varphi|\nabla v|^{2} d m+\frac{1}{N-1} \int \varphi| | \nabla v|-|\Delta u||^{2} d m \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 3.5. $\mathrm{BE}_{1}^{\prime}(k, N)$ with $N$-admissible $k$ implies that $\exists C, C^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}: \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta)$ : $|\nabla u| \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int|\nabla| \nabla u\left|\left.\right|^{2} d m \leq C \int(\Delta u)^{2} d m+C^{\prime} \int u^{2} d m\right. \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Chossing $\varphi=1$, ignoring the contribution from $k^{+}$, applying Cauchy-Schwarz to the mixed term of the square, and employing form boundedness of $k^{-}$, we obtain for sufficiently small $\delta>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1-\frac{1-1 / \delta}{N-1}\right) \int|\Delta u|^{2} d m & \geq\left[1+\frac{1-\delta}{N-1}\right] \int|\nabla| \nabla u| |^{2} d m-\int k^{-}|\nabla u|^{2} d m \\
& \geq \epsilon \int|\nabla| \nabla u| |^{2} d m-C \int|\nabla u|^{2} d m
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 3.6. (i) Slightly stronger or weaker versions of the previous Definition can be obtained by replacing the term $\frac{1}{N-1} \int \varphi||\nabla v|-|\Delta u||^{2} d m$ with

- the larger term

$$
\frac{1}{N-1} \int \varphi\left|\nabla v-\Delta u \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right|^{2} d m
$$

- or the smaller term

$$
\frac{1-\delta}{N-1} \int \varphi|\nabla v|^{2} d m+\frac{1-1 / \delta}{N-1} \int \varphi(\Delta u)^{2} d m \quad(\forall \delta>0)
$$

Both modifications will lead to the same results in the subsequent sections.
(ii) In the previous Definition, the condition $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathcal{E}) \cap L_{+}^{\infty}$ with $|\nabla \varphi| \in L^{\infty}$ can equivalently be replaced by the more restrictive condition $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap L_{+}^{\infty}$ with $|\nabla \varphi| \in L^{\infty}$. Indeed, each $\varphi$ in the former set can be approximated by $\varphi_{j}$ in the latter set such that

$$
\int \varphi_{j} w d m \rightarrow \int \varphi w d m, \quad \int \nabla \varphi_{j} w d m \rightarrow \int \nabla \varphi w d m \quad\left(\forall w \in L^{1}\right)
$$

For instance, $\varphi_{j}:=\psi_{j} \varphi$ with $\psi_{j}:=1 \wedge[j-d(o,).] \vee 0$ for some $o \in M$ will do the job.
(iii) If $k$ is $N$-admissible then in the previous Definition, the condition $\forall u \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta)$ can equivalently be replaced by the more restrictive condition $\forall u \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta)$ with $\Delta u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$. Indeed, each $u \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta)$ can be approximated by $u_{j}:=P_{1 / j} u$ in the latter set, and according to the proof of Lemma 3.5 (now with $u_{j}$ in the place of $u$ ), convergence $u_{j} \rightarrow u$ in $\mathcal{D}(\Delta)$ also implies convergence $\left|\nabla u_{j}\right| \rightarrow|\nabla u|$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$.

Remark 3.7. Given $(M, g), N$, and $N$-admissible $k$, the following are equivalent:
(i) $\forall u \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta):|\nabla u| \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ and $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathcal{E}) \cap L_{+}^{\infty}$ with $|\nabla \varphi| \in L^{\infty}$ : inequality (18) holds;
(ii) $\forall u \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta)$ with $\Delta u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}):|\nabla u| \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ and $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap L_{+}^{\infty}$ with $|\nabla \varphi| \in L^{\infty}$ : inequality (18) holds;
(iii) $\forall u \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta)$ with $\Delta u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}): v:=|\nabla u| \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ and $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta) \cap L_{+}^{\infty}$ with $|\nabla \varphi|, \Delta \varphi \in L^{\infty}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \int \Delta \varphi v^{2} d m & -\int \varphi \nabla u \nabla \Delta u d m+\int k^{-} \varphi v^{2} d m \\
& \geq \int k^{+} \varphi v^{2} d m+\int \varphi|\nabla v|^{2} d m+\frac{1}{N-1} \int \varphi| | \nabla v\left|-|\Delta u|^{2} d m\right. \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

The latter formulation is close to the usual formulation of the Bakry-Émery condition (cf. [AGS], [EKS], [Ke13], [Sa14]).

Definition 3.8. Let $(M, g)$, measurable $k: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $N \in[1, \infty]$ be given.
(i) We say that the Bakry-Émery inequality $\mathrm{BE}_{2}(k, N)$ holds true if $\forall u \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta)$ with $\Delta u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ and $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta) \cap L_{+}^{\infty}$ with $\Delta \varphi \in L^{\infty}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \int \Delta \varphi v^{2} d m & -\int \varphi \nabla u \nabla \Delta u d m+\int k^{-} \varphi|\nabla u|^{2} d m \\
& \geq \int k^{+} \varphi|\nabla u|^{2} d m+\frac{1}{N} \int \varphi|\Delta u|^{2} d m \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

(ii) We say that the Bakry-Émery inequality $\mathrm{BE}_{1}(k, N)$ holds true if $\forall u \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta)$ with $\Delta u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}): v:=|\nabla u| \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ and $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta) \cap L_{+}^{\infty}$ with $\Delta \varphi \in L^{\infty}:$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \int \Delta \varphi v^{2} d m & -\int \varphi \nabla u \nabla \Delta u d m+\int k^{-} \varphi v^{2} d m \\
& \geq \int k^{+} \varphi v^{2} d m+\int \varphi|\nabla v|^{2} d m+\frac{1}{N-1} \int \varphi| | \nabla v\left|-|\Delta u|^{2} d m\right. \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 3.9. Given $(M, g), N \in[1, \infty]$, and $N$-admissible $k$,
(i) $\mathrm{BE}_{1}(k, N) \Longrightarrow \mathrm{BE}_{2}(k, N)$
(ii) $\mathrm{BE}_{1}(k, N) \Longleftarrow \mathrm{BE}_{2}(k, N) \& \mathrm{BE}_{2}(K, \infty)$ for some $K \in \mathbb{R}$
(iii) $\mathrm{BE}_{1}(k, N) \quad \Longrightarrow \mathrm{BE}_{1}^{\prime}(k, N)$
(iv) $\mathrm{BE}_{1}(k, N) \Longleftarrow \mathrm{BE}_{1}^{\prime}(k, N) \& \mathrm{BE}_{2}(K, \infty)$ for some $K \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. (i) By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$
\int \varphi|\nabla v|^{2} d m+\frac{1}{N-1} \int \varphi| | \nabla v|-|\Delta u||^{2} d m \geq \frac{1}{N} \int(\Delta u)^{2} d m .
$$

(ii) Formally, this follows along the lines of the proof of Corollary 3.2. Rigorously, it is derived in [St24].
(iii) Obvious according to Remark 3.7(iii).
(iv) To prove the claim, according to Remark 3.7(iii) it suffices to get rid of the condition $|\nabla \varphi| \in L^{\infty}$ in the specification of the functions which satisfy (20). Under the extra assumption of $\mathrm{BE}_{2}(K, \infty)$ for some $K \in \mathbb{R}$, the heat semigroup maps $L^{\infty}$ into functions with bounded gradients. More precisely,

$$
\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta) \cap L_{+}^{\infty} \text { with } \Delta \varphi \in L^{\infty}: \varphi_{j}:=P_{1 / j} \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta) \cap L_{+}^{\infty} \text { with }|\nabla \varphi|, \Delta \varphi \in L^{\infty}
$$

and

$$
\int \varphi_{j} w d m \rightarrow \int \varphi w d m, \quad \int \Delta \varphi_{j} w d m \rightarrow \int \Delta \varphi w d m \quad \text { as } j \rightarrow \infty \quad\left(\forall w \in L^{1}\right) .
$$

Remark 3.10. If $(M, g)$ is smooth and $k$ is bounded, then the pointwise Bakry-Émery inequality implies all the other ones, in particular,

$$
\mathrm{BE}_{2}^{M}(k, N) \quad \Longrightarrow \mathrm{BE}_{2}(k, N) \quad \Longrightarrow \mathrm{BE}_{1}(k, N) \quad \Longrightarrow \mathrm{BE}_{1}^{\prime}(k, N) .
$$

### 3.3 A Fundamental Spectral Inequality

Let spec $(-\Delta)$ denote the spectrum of $-\Delta$ and $\operatorname{spec}_{p}(-\Delta)$ the point spectrum. Denote by $\lambda_{1}:=\inf (\operatorname{spec}(-\Delta) \backslash\{0\})$ and $\lambda_{1}^{(p)}:=\inf \left(\operatorname{spec}_{p}(-\Delta) \backslash\{0\}\right)$ the respective spectral gaps. The major interest lies in estimating $\lambda_{1}$. Note however that $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{1}^{(p)}$ whenever the essential spectrum $\operatorname{spec}_{\text {ess }}(-\Delta)$ is empty.
Theorem 3.11. Assume $\mathrm{BE}_{1}^{\prime}(k, N)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}^{(p)} \geq \inf \operatorname{spec}(-\Delta+k) . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $N<\infty$ then for every $t \in[0,1]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}^{(p)} \geq \alpha_{t} \cdot \inf \operatorname{spec}\left(-t \frac{N}{N-1} \Delta+k\right) \quad \text { with } \quad \alpha_{t}:=\frac{N}{N-1}\left[1+\frac{\frac{t}{1-t}}{(N-1)^{2}}\right]^{-1} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, $\alpha_{t}$ is decreasing in $t$, and

$$
\alpha_{t}= \begin{cases}\frac{N}{N-1}, & \text { for } t=0 \\ 1, & \text { for } t=\frac{N-1}{N} \\ 0, & \text { for } t=1\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Let $u$ be a non-constant function with $-\Delta f=\lambda u$ for some $\lambda>0$. Then (18) implies

$$
\int\left(\lambda|\nabla u|^{2}-k|\nabla u|^{2}-|\nabla| \nabla u| |^{2}\right) d x \geq \frac{1-1 / \delta}{N-1} \int|\nabla| \nabla u| |^{2} d x+\frac{1-\delta}{N-1} \lambda \int(\nabla u)^{2} d x
$$

for every $\delta>0$. With $v:=|\nabla u|$ this reads

$$
\left(1-\frac{1-\delta}{N-1}\right) \lambda \geq \frac{1}{\int v^{2} d x} \int\left[\left(1+\frac{1-1 / \delta}{N-1}\right)|\nabla v|^{2}+k v^{2}\right] d x
$$

which implies, since $v \not \equiv 0$,

$$
\frac{N-2+\delta}{N-1} \lambda \geq \inf \operatorname{spec}\left(-\frac{N-1 / \delta}{N-1} \Delta+k\right) .
$$

Putting $t:=\frac{N-1 / \delta}{N}$ yields the claim in the case $N<\infty$. In the case $N=\infty$, we can avoid the Cauchy-Schwarz argument and obtain directly $\lambda \geq \inf \operatorname{spec}(-\Delta+k)$.

## 4 Hardy Inequality

### 4.1 Hardy Weights

For the sequel, we assume that $(M, g)$ is a (not necessarily smooth) complete Riemannian manifold. The results of this subsection, however, will also hold without any essential changes for any infinitesimally Hilbertian metric measure space or any strongly local Dirichlet space. (In the latter case, the Sobolev space $W^{1,2}(M)$ should be replaced by the form domain $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$.)

Definition 4.1. We say that a lower bounded, measurable function $\vartheta$ is a Hardy weight if $-\Delta \geq \vartheta$ in the sense of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}|\nabla f|^{2} d m \geq \int_{M} f^{2} \vartheta d m \quad \forall f \in W^{1,2}(M) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that a Hardy weight in our sense is not necessarily $\geq 0$.
Remark 4.2. Given a (finite or countable) family $\left\{\vartheta_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathfrak{I}}$ of Hardy weights and a family $\left\{s_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathfrak{J}}$ of nonnegative numbers which add up to $s \leq 1$, also the function

$$
\vartheta:=\sum_{i} s_{i} \vartheta_{i}
$$

is a Hardy weight.

Lemma 4.3 (Ca97, Fi00]). Every $\vartheta$ of the form $\vartheta=-\frac{\Delta \Phi}{\Phi}$ for some $\Phi>0$ is a Hardy weight. Indeed, $\vartheta \leq-\frac{\Delta \Phi}{\Phi}$ (in distributional sense) suffices.
Remark 4.4 ([LW06]). Assume that there exists a positive Green function $G(x, y)$. Then for every $z \in M, \vartheta:=\frac{1}{4}\left|\frac{\nabla G(z,)}{G(z, .)}\right|$ is a Hardy weight.
Example 4.5. On $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, for each $z$ the function

$$
\vartheta(x)=\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{|x-z|^{2}}
$$

is a Hardy weight. Indeed, this can be concluded from any of the above criteria with $\Phi(x)=|x-z|^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}$ and $G(z, x)=c_{n}|x-z|^{-(n-2)}$, resp.

### 4.2 Hardy Inequality on Manifolds

Now assume that the complete Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ is smooth with sectional curvature $\leq \ell$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ and with injectivity radius $\geq R_{\ell}$ where

$$
R_{\ell}:=\frac{\pi}{2 \sqrt{\ell}} \text { if } \ell>0, \quad R_{\ell}:=\infty \text { if } \ell \leq 0
$$

Without restriction, we may assume that $(M, g)$ has dimension $n \geq 3$. Put

$$
\underline{\sin }_{\ell}(r):=\sin _{\ell}\left(r \wedge R_{\ell}\right), \quad \underline{\cos }_{\ell}(r):=\underline{\sin }_{\ell}^{\prime}(r), \quad \underline{\sin }_{\ell}(r):=\frac{\underline{\sin }_{\ell}(r)}{\underline{\cos }_{\ell}(r)}
$$

with $\sin _{\ell}(r)$ as defined in (9). More explicitly, in the case $\ell>0$,
$\underline{\sin }_{\ell}(r):=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell}} \sin \left(\sqrt{\ell} r \wedge R_{\ell}\right), \quad \underline{\cos }_{\ell}(r):=\cos \left(\sqrt{\ell} r \wedge R_{\ell}\right), \quad \underline{\tan }_{\ell}(r):=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell}} \tan \left(\sqrt{\ell} r \wedge R_{\ell}\right)$, and in the case $\ell=0$,

$$
\underline{\sin }_{\ell}(r):=r, \quad \underline{\cos }_{\ell}(r):=1, \quad \underline{\tan }_{\ell}(r):=\frac{1}{r} .
$$

Theorem 4.6. For every $z \in \mathrm{M}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta \geq\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\underline{\tan }_{\ell}^{2}(d(z, .))}-\frac{n-2}{2} \ell \mathbf{1}_{B_{R_{\ell}}(z)} . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Or in other words,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}|\nabla f|^{2} d \mathrm{vol} \geq\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^{2} \int_{B_{R_{\ell}}(z)} f^{2}(x) \frac{1}{\tan _{\ell}^{2}(d(z, x))} d \mathrm{vol}(x)-\frac{n-2}{2} \ell \int_{B_{R_{\ell}}(z)} f^{2} d \mathrm{vol} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $f \in W^{1,2}(M)$.

Proof. Let us first consider the case $\ell>0$. By scaling, we may assume $\ell=1$. Put $B=B_{\pi / 2}(z)$. To prove (27), for given $f \in W^{1,2}(M)$ put $v:=f / \Phi$ with $\Phi$ as below in (28). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int|\nabla f|^{2} & =\int|\nabla v|^{2} \Phi^{2}+\int v^{2}|\nabla \Phi|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int \nabla v^{2} \nabla \Phi^{2} \\
& =\int|\nabla v|^{2} \Phi^{2}+\int v^{2}|\nabla \Phi|^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \int v^{2} \Delta \Phi^{2} \\
& \geq-\int_{B} v^{2} \Phi \Delta \Phi .
\end{aligned}
$$

By means of the subsequent Lemma, this yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int|\nabla f|^{2} & \geq \int_{B} v^{2} \Phi^{2}\left[\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{\cos \ell(d(z, .))}{\sin _{\ell}^{2}(d(z, .))}-\frac{n-2}{2} \ell\right] \\
& =\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^{2} \int_{B} f^{2} \frac{\cos _{\ell}(d(z, .))}{\sin _{\ell}^{2}(d(z, .))}-\frac{n-2}{2} \ell \int_{B} f^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is the claim.
Lemma 4.7. Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(x):=\left(\underline{\sin }_{\ell}(d(z, x))\right)^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then on $B:=B_{R_{\ell}}(z)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\Delta \Phi}{\Phi} \geq\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\underline{\tan }_{\ell}^{2}(d(z, .))}-\frac{n-2}{2} \ell \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the inequality (29) holds in distributional sense on all of $M$.
Proof. Let us first treat the case $\ell>0$. Then by scaling, we may assume $\ell=1$. Laplace comparison (under upper bound $\ell=1$ for the sectional curvature and lower bound on the injectivity radius) for $\Phi=h(d(z,)$.$) with h^{\prime}(r)=-(n / 2-1) \sin ^{-n / 2}(r) \cos (r) \leq 0$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta \Phi & \geq\left.\frac{-1}{\sin ^{n-1} r} \frac{d}{d r}\left(\sin ^{n-1}(r) h^{\prime}(r)\right)\right|_{r=d(z, .)} \\
& =(n / 2-1)^{2} \sin ^{-1-n / 2}(r) \cos ^{2}(r)-\left.(n / 2-1) \sin ^{1-n / 2}(r)\right|_{r=d(z,)}
\end{aligned}
$$

provided $r<\pi / 2$. Thus

$$
\frac{-\Delta \Phi}{\Phi} \geq\left.\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{\cos ^{2}(r)}{\sin ^{2}(r)}\right|_{r=d(z, .)}-\frac{n-2}{2}
$$

in $B_{R_{\ell}}(z)$.
In the case $\ell \leq 0$, formally the same calculations will prove the claim.
Remark 4.8. Assume $\ell>0$. For the inequality (29) to hold (in classical sense on the ball $B=B_{R_{\ell}}(z)$ or in distributional sense on all of $\left.M\right)$, it suffices that the assumptions on sectional curvature $\leq \ell$ and on injectivity radius $\geq R_{\ell}$ hold in a neighborhood of $\bar{B}$.

Corollary 4.9. Let $(M, g)$ be as before and let a countable or finite set $\left\{z_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathfrak{I}} \subset M$ be given.
(i) Then for all $s_{i} \geq 0$ with $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} s_{i}=1$,

$$
-\Delta \geq\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^{2} \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{I}} \frac{s_{i}}{\underline{\tan }_{\ell}^{2}\left(d\left(z_{i}, .\right)\right)}-\frac{n-2}{2} \ell \sum_{i} s_{i} \mathbf{1}_{B_{R_{\ell}}\left(z_{i}\right)}
$$

(ii) Assume $\ell>0$ and that $d\left(z_{i}, z_{j}\right) \geq 2 R_{\ell}$ for all $i \neq j$. Then

$$
-\Delta \geq\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^{2} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \frac{1}{\underline{\tan }_{\ell}^{2}(d(z, .))}-\frac{n-2}{2} \ell \mathbf{1}_{\bigcup_{i} B_{R_{\ell}}(z)}
$$

Proof. (i) is an obvious consequence of the previous Theorem. For (ii), choose

$$
\Phi=\sum_{i}\left(\underline{\sin }_{\ell}\left(d\left(z_{i}, x\right)\right)\right)^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}
$$

and follow the argumentation of the previous proof.
Remark 4.10. (i) The assertion of Theorem 4.6 remains true for any complete Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ with non-smooth metric and any number $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ provided

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta d(., z) \leq(n-1) \frac{1}{\tan _{\ell} d(., z)} \quad\left(\forall z \in B_{R_{\ell}}(x)\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) By Laplace comparison theorem, the latter holds if $(M, g)$ is smooth with sectional curvature $\leq \ell$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ and with injectivity radius $\geq R_{\ell}$.
(iii) More generally, (30) holds if $(M, g)$ is a CAT $(\ell)$-space. Indeed, for such a space with synthetic upper bounds for the sectional curvature in the sense of Alexandrov, a Hessian comparison theorem holds which in turn implies a Laplace comparison theorem of the requested form.

### 4.3 Hardy Estimate for Conical Singularities

If $M$ has a conical singularity, then proving a Hardy estimate at the singularity does not require an upper bound on the sectional curvature but merely a bound on the curvature in radial direction which in turn can easily be provided by the choice of the warping function.

Theorem 4.11. Assume that $M$ has a conical singularity at $z$ such that

$$
M \supset B_{\rho}(z) \simeq[0, \rho) \times_{f} N
$$

with a complete ( $n-1$ )-dimensional Riemannian manifold $N$, with $f(r)=\sin _{\ell}(r)$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$, and with $\rho \in\left(0, R_{\ell}\right]$. Then for every $L \geq \ell \vee\left(\frac{\pi}{2 \rho}\right)^{2}>0$,

$$
-\Delta \geq\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\underline{\tan }_{L}^{2}\left(d\left(z_{i}, .\right)\right)}-\frac{n-2}{2} L \mathbf{1}_{B_{R_{L}}(z)} .
$$

Note that no assumption on $N$ and no assumption on $M \backslash B_{\rho}(z)$ is made (besides completeness).

Proof. Choosing

$$
\Phi(x):=\underline{\sin }_{L}^{1-n / 2}(d(z, x)) .
$$

and following the argumentation in the proof of Theorem ??, we obtain for $r<R_{L}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta \Phi & =-\left(\underline{\sin }_{L}^{1-n / 2}\right)^{\prime \prime}(r)-\frac{n-1}{\tan _{\ell}(r)}\left(\underline{\sin }_{L}^{1-n / 2}\right)^{\prime}(r) \\
& \geq-\left(\underline{\sin }_{L}^{1-n / 2}\right)^{\prime \prime}(r)-\frac{n-1}{\tan _{L}(r)}\left(\underline{\sin }_{L}^{1-n / 2}\right)^{\prime}(r) \\
& =(n / 2-1)^{2} \sin _{L}^{-1-n / 2}(r) \cos _{L}^{2}(r)-(n / 2-1) L \sin _{L}^{1-n / 2}(r)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
-\Delta \geq \frac{-\Delta \Phi}{\Phi} \geq\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\tan _{L}^{2}\left(d\left(z_{i}, .\right)\right)}-\frac{n-2}{2} L
$$

Corollary 4.12. Assume that $M$ has a finite or countable set of singularities $\left\{z_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathfrak{I}} \subset M$ such that for each $i$

$$
M \supset B_{\rho_{i}}\left(z_{i}\right) \simeq\left[0, \rho_{i}\right) \times_{f_{i}} N_{i}
$$

with complete $(n-1)$-dimensional Riemannian manifolds $N_{i}$, with $f_{i}(r)=\sin _{\ell_{i}}(r)$ for some $\ell_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$, and with $\rho_{i} \in\left(0, R_{\ell_{i}}\right]$. Assume further that $d\left(z_{i}, z_{j}\right) \geq \rho_{i}+\rho_{j}$ for all $i \neq j$. Then for every choice of $L_{i} \geq \ell_{i} \vee\left(\frac{\pi}{2 \rho_{i}}\right)^{2}>0$,

$$
-\Delta \geq\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^{2} \sum_{i} \frac{1}{\underline{\tan }_{L_{i}}^{2}\left(d\left(z_{i}, .\right)\right)}-\frac{n-2}{2} \sum_{i} L_{i} \mathbf{1}_{B_{L_{i}}\left(z_{i}\right)}
$$

### 4.4 Spectral Gap Estimate Involving Hardy Weights

Let $(M, g)$ be a complete, not necessarily smooth Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$.

Lemma 4.13. Assume $\mathrm{BE}_{1}^{\prime}(k, n)$ holds and that $k \geq K-\vartheta$ with a number $K$ and a Hardy weight $\vartheta$. Then

$$
\lambda_{1}^{(p)} \geq K
$$

More generally, assume that $k \geq K-s \vartheta$ for some $s \in\left(0, \frac{n}{n-1}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}^{(p)} \geq \bar{\alpha}_{s} \cdot K \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\bar{\alpha}_{s}:=\frac{n+\frac{s}{1-s}}{n-1+\frac{s}{1-s}}=1+\left(n-1+\frac{s}{1-s}\right)^{-1}
$$

Proof. Theorem 3.11 with $N$ replaced by $n$ and with $\bar{\alpha}_{s}=\alpha_{t}$ for $t=s \frac{n-1}{n}$.

Theorem 4.14. Assume that $(M, g)$ satisfies (30) with $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathrm{BE}_{1}^{\prime}(k, n)$ with

$$
k \geq K-\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4} \sum_{i} \frac{s_{i}}{\tan _{\ell}^{2} d\left(z_{i}, .\right)}+\frac{n-2}{2} \ell \sum_{i} s_{i} \mathbf{1}_{B_{L_{i}}\left(z_{i}\right)}
$$

with (finitely or countably many) points $z_{i} \in M$ and with nonnegative numbers $s_{i}$ satisfying $\sum_{i} s_{i}=: s \leq \frac{n}{n-1}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}^{(p)} \geq \bar{\alpha}_{s} \cdot K \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $d\left(z_{i}, z_{j}\right) \geq 2 R_{\ell}$ for all $i \neq j$, then the previous holds true with $s:=\sup _{i} s_{i}$ in the place of $s:=\sum_{i} s_{i}$.

Proof. According to Corollary 4.9, we may apply the previous Lemma with

$$
\vartheta:=\frac{1}{s}\left[\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4} \sum_{i} \frac{s_{i}}{\tan _{\ell}^{2} d\left(z_{i}, .\right)} \frac{n-2}{2} \ell \sum_{i} s_{i} \mathbf{1}_{B_{L_{i}}\left(z_{i}\right)}\right] .
$$

## 5 Manifolds with Singularities

### 5.1 Bakry-Émery for Admissible Manifolds

Definition 5.1. A n-dimensional Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ is called admissible if $g$ is smooth on $M \backslash M_{0}$ and

$$
\operatorname{Ric}^{M} \geq k \quad \text { on } M \backslash M_{0}
$$

for some closed m-zero set $M_{0} \subset M$ and some $n$-admissible function $k: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
Theorem 5.2. Assume that there exist $M_{0} \subset M$ and $k: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

- Ric $\geq k$ on $M \backslash M_{0}$,
- $k$ is $n$-admissible,
- $\mathcal{C}_{c}^{\infty}\left(M \backslash M_{0}\right)$ is dense in $\mathcal{D}(\Delta)$.

Then $\mathrm{BE}_{1}^{\prime}(k, n)$ holds true.
Proof. If we assume $u \in \mathcal{D}_{*}:=\mathcal{C}_{c}^{\infty}\left(M \backslash M_{0}\right)$ then $|\nabla u| \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$, and according to Corollary 3.2, the ('self-improved') Bochner inequality holds pointwise on $M_{0}$, that is,

$$
|\Delta u|^{2}(x) \geq|\nabla| \nabla u| |^{2}(x)+k(x)|\nabla u|^{2}(x)+\frac{1}{n-1}| | \nabla|\nabla u||-|\Delta u||^{2}(x) \quad \forall x \in M .
$$

Multiplying by $\varphi$, integrating w.r.t. $d m$, and performing integration by parts proves the estimate $\mathrm{BE}_{1}^{\prime}(k, n)$ for $u \in \mathcal{D}_{*}$.

By assumption, $\mathcal{D}_{*}$ is dense in $\mathcal{D}(\Delta)$. Thus for each $u \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta)$ there exist $u_{n} \in \mathcal{D}_{*}$ such that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $\mathcal{D}(\Delta)$. In particular, $\left|\nabla u_{n}\right| \rightarrow|\nabla u|$ in $L^{2}$ and thus by lower semicontinuity of $\mathcal{E}$ on $L^{2}$ and by validity of the estimate (19) for $u_{n} \in \mathcal{D}_{*}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int|\nabla| \nabla u\left|\left.\right|^{2} d m\right. & \leq \liminf _{n} \int|\nabla| \nabla u_{n}| |^{2} d m \\
& \leq \liminf _{n}\left[C \int\left|\Delta u_{n}\right|^{2} d m+C^{\prime} \int\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d m\right] \\
& =C \int|\Delta u|^{2} d m+C^{\prime} \int|\nabla u|^{2} d m<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $|\nabla u| \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ and $\left|\nabla u_{n}\right| \rightarrow|\nabla u|$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$.
This finally proves that each term in (18) is continuous w.r.t. convergence in $\mathcal{D}(\Delta)$, and the estimate for all $u \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta)$ follows by its validity for $u \in \mathcal{D}_{*}$ and by the requested density of $\mathcal{D}_{*}$ in $\mathcal{D}(\Delta)$.

### 5.2 Bakry-Émery for Manifolds with Conical Singularities

Proposition 5.3. Assume that $n \geq 3$, that $g$ is smooth on $M \backslash M_{0}$ with discrete $M_{0}:=$ $\left\{z_{i}\right\}_{i} \subset M$, and that for each $i$,

$$
M \supset M_{i}:=B_{\rho_{i}}\left(z_{i}\right) \simeq\left[0, \rho_{i}\right) \times_{f_{i}} N_{i}
$$

with $f_{i}(r)=\sin _{\ell_{i}}(r)$ for some $\ell_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$, with $\rho_{i} \in\left(0, R_{\ell_{i}}\right]$, and with a complete $(n-1)$ dimensional Riemannian manifold $N_{i}$ with

$$
\operatorname{Ric}^{N} \geq(n-2) \kappa_{i}
$$

for some $\kappa_{i} \leq 1$. Assume further that $\operatorname{Ric}^{M} \geq K$ on $M \backslash \bigcup_{i} M_{i}$, and $\rho_{i} \geq \rho>0, \ell_{i} \geq \ell$ ( $\forall i$ ) for some $K, \rho, \ell \in \mathbb{R}$.
(i) Then there exists n-admissible $k$ such that $\operatorname{Ric}^{M} \geq k$ on $M \backslash M_{0}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{i}>\frac{n(6-n)-4}{4(n-1)} \quad(\forall i) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) The set $\mathcal{C}_{c}^{\infty}\left(M \backslash M_{0}\right)$ is dense in $\mathcal{D}(\Delta)$ if and only if $n \geq 4$.

Proof. (i) Assume $n>2$. Given $\epsilon>0$, choose $\alpha \in\left(0, \frac{n-2}{2}\right)$ such that $\alpha(n-2-\alpha) \geq$ $(1-\epsilon)\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^{2}$. For each $i$, put $\lambda_{i}:=\ell_{i} \vee\left(\frac{\pi}{2 \rho_{i}}\right)^{2}>0$ and

$$
\Phi_{i}(x):=\underline{\sin }_{\lambda_{i}}^{-\alpha}\left(d\left(z_{i}, x\right)\right) .
$$

Then $\Phi_{i} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ due to our choice of $\alpha$, and $\Phi_{i}-1$ is supported in $M_{i}^{\prime}:=B_{\pi /(2 \sqrt{\lambda})}$. Without restriction, we may assume that the $M_{i}^{\prime}$ are pairwise disjoint. Put

$$
\Phi:=1+\sum_{i}\left(\Phi_{i}-1\right) .
$$

Then $\Phi=\Phi_{i}$ on $M_{i}^{\prime}$ and $\Phi=1$ on $M \backslash \bigcup M_{i}^{\prime}$.

Similar as in the proof of Lemma 4.7, now using the fact that $\tan _{\lambda}(r) \geq \tan _{\ell}(r)$, we conclude for $x \in M_{i}^{\prime}$ and with $r:=d\left(x, z_{i}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta \Phi_{i}(x) & =-\partial_{r}^{2} \Phi_{i}(r)-\frac{n-1}{\tan _{\ell_{i}}(r)} \partial \Phi_{i}(r) \\
& \geq-\partial_{r}^{2} \Phi_{i}(r)-\frac{n-1}{\tan _{\lambda_{i}}(r)} \partial \Phi_{i}(r) \\
& =\alpha(n-2-\alpha) \sin _{\lambda_{i}}^{-\alpha-2}(r) \cos _{\lambda_{i}}^{2}(r)-\alpha \sin _{\lambda_{i}}^{-\alpha}(r) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\frac{-\Delta \Phi_{i}}{\Phi_{i}}(x) \geq(1-\epsilon)\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\tan _{\lambda_{i}}^{2}(r)}-\frac{n-2}{2} \lambda_{i} .
$$

Put

$$
\vartheta:=\sum_{i}\left[(1-\epsilon)\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\tan _{\lambda_{i}}^{2}\left(d\left(z_{i}, .\right)\right)}-\frac{n-2}{2} \lambda_{i}\right] 1_{B_{i}^{\prime}} .
$$

Then

$$
\vartheta \leq-\frac{\Delta \Phi}{\Phi}= \begin{cases}-\frac{\Delta \Phi_{i}}{\Phi_{i}}, & \text { on } M_{i}^{\prime} \\ 0, & \text { on } M \backslash \bigcup_{i} M_{i}^{\prime}\end{cases}
$$

and thus, according to Remark 4.3,

$$
-\Delta \geq \vartheta \quad \text { on } M
$$

Since $\left|\frac{1}{\tan _{\lambda_{i}}^{2}\left(d\left(z_{i},\right)\right)}-\frac{1}{d^{2}\left(z_{i}, .\right)}\right| \leq C$ for some $C$ and all $i, x$ under consideration, there exists a constant $C_{0}$, independent of $\epsilon$, such that

$$
-\Delta \geq(1-\epsilon)\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^{2} \sum_{i} \frac{1}{d^{2}\left(z_{i}, .\right)}-C_{0} . \quad \text { on } M .
$$

In this estimate, we obviously may pass to the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and thus obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta \geq\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^{2} \sum_{i} \frac{1}{d^{2}\left(z_{i}, .\right)}-C_{0} . \quad \text { on } M \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let us have a look on lower Ricci bunds. By assumption $\operatorname{Ric}^{M} \geq K$ on $M \backslash \bigcup_{i} M_{i}$. Hence, $\operatorname{Ric}^{M} \geq K^{\prime}$ on $M \backslash \bigcup_{i} M_{i}^{\prime}$ for some $K^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, for $x \in B_{i}^{\prime}$, according to (10)

$$
\operatorname{Ric}_{x}^{M} \geq k_{i}(x):=(n-1) \ell_{i}-(n-2) \frac{\left(1-\kappa_{i}\right)^{+}}{\sin _{\ell_{i}}^{2}\left(d\left(x, z_{i}\right)\right)}
$$

Then on $M \backslash\left\{z_{i}\right\}$,

$$
\operatorname{Ric}^{M} \geq k:=1_{M \backslash \cup_{i} M_{i}^{\prime}} K^{\prime}+\sum_{i} k_{i} 1_{M_{i}^{\prime}} .
$$

Since $\left|\frac{1}{\sin _{\ell_{i}}^{2}\left(d\left(z_{i}, .\right)\right)}-\frac{1}{d^{2}\left(z_{i}, .\right)}\right| \leq C$ for some $C$ and all $i, x$ under consideration, there exists a constant $C_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
k \geq-C_{1}-(n-2) \sum_{i} \frac{\left(1-\kappa_{i}\right)^{+}}{\left.d^{2}\left(x, z_{i}\right)\right)} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (35) with (34), we see that $k^{-}$is form bounded w.r.t. $-\Delta$ with form bound $<\frac{n}{n-1}$ if

$$
(n-2)\left(1-\kappa_{i}\right)^{+}<\frac{n}{n-1}\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^{2}
$$

Indeed, the latter is also necessary as can be easily verified.
(ii) Let us first assume for simplicity that there is only one singularity and that $M$ is given as the warped product $[0, \rho) \times_{f} N$. Then according to Ketterer's work [Ke13, pf. of Thm. 3.12], the Laplacian $\Delta$ on $M$, restricted to $\mathcal{D}_{z}:=\mathcal{C}_{c}^{\infty}(M \backslash\{z\})$, is essentially self-adjoint if (and only if) $n \geq 4$. Essential self-adjointness of course implies density of $\mathcal{D}_{z}$ in $\mathcal{D}(\Delta)$. By localization, this argument carries over to the general case of a discrete set $M_{0}$.

Theorem 5.4. Assume that $g$ is smooth on $M \backslash M_{0}$ with discrete $M_{0}:=\left\{z_{i}\right\}_{i} \subset M$, that

$$
\operatorname{Ric}^{M} \geq k \quad \text { on } M \backslash\left\{z_{i}\right\}_{i}
$$

and that for each $i$,

$$
M \supset M_{i}:=B_{\rho_{i}}\left(z_{i}\right) \simeq\left[0, \rho_{i}\right) \times_{f_{i}} N_{i}
$$

with $f_{i}(r)=\sin _{\ell_{i}}(r)$ for some $\ell_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$, with $\rho_{i} \in\left(0, R_{\ell_{i}}\right]$, and with a complete $(n-1)$ dimensional Riemannian manifold $N_{i}$ with finite volume and

$$
\operatorname{Ric}^{N_{i}} \geq(n-2) \kappa_{i}
$$

for some $\kappa_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$, and that $\operatorname{Ric}^{M} \geq K$ on $M \backslash \bigcup_{i} M_{i}$, and $\rho_{i} \geq \rho>0, \ell_{i} \geq \ell(\forall i)$ for some $K, \rho, \ell \in \mathbb{R}$. Without restriction, we may assume

$$
k \geq K 1_{M \backslash \cup_{i} M_{i}}+\sum_{i}\left[(n-1) \ell_{i}-(n-2) \frac{\left(1-\kappa_{i}\right)^{+}}{\sin _{\ell_{i}}^{2}\left(d\left(x, z_{i}\right)\right)}\right] 1_{M_{i}} .
$$

Assume further $n \geq 3$ and $\operatorname{Ric}^{N_{i}} \geq(n-2) \kappa_{i}$ with

$$
\kappa_{i}>\frac{n(6-n)-4}{4(n-1)}
$$

or $n=2$ and $\operatorname{diam}\left(N_{i}\right) \leq \pi(\forall i)$. Then $k$ is $n$-admissible and $\mathrm{BE}_{1}^{\prime}(k, n)$ is satisfied.
Criterion (36) in particular is fulfilled if
$-n \geq 6$ and $\kappa_{i} \geq 0$ arbitrary;
$-n=5$ and $\kappa_{i}>\frac{1}{16}$;

- $n=4$ and $\kappa_{i}>\frac{1}{3}$;
$-n=3$ and $\kappa_{i}>\frac{5}{8}$.
Proof. (a) It remains to prove that the $\mathrm{BE}_{1}^{\prime}(k, n)$ inequality (18) holds true for all $u \in$ $\mathcal{D}(\Delta)$ and for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{\operatorname{loc}}(\mathcal{E}) \cap L_{+}^{\infty}$ with $|\nabla \varphi| \in L^{\infty}$. Given such a $\varphi$, define $\varphi_{i} \in$ $\mathcal{D}_{\text {loc }}(\mathcal{E}) \cap L_{+}^{\infty}$ with $\left|\nabla \varphi_{i}\right| \in L^{\infty}$ and $\operatorname{supp}[\varphi] \subset M_{i}$ for $i \in \mathfrak{I} \cup\{0\}$ by $\varphi_{i}:=\varphi \chi_{i}$ with standard cut-off functions $\chi_{i}:=1 \wedge\left[2-\frac{2}{\rho_{i}} d\left(z_{i},.\right)\right] \vee 0$ for $i \geq 1$ and $\chi_{0}:=1-\sum_{i} \chi_{i}$. Here
$M_{0}:=M \backslash \bigcup_{i} M_{i}$. Since (18) is linear in $\varphi$ and since $\varphi=\sum_{i \in \mathcal{I} \cup\{0\}} \varphi_{i}$, it suffices to prove (18) with $\varphi_{i}$ for $i=0$ and for $i \in \mathfrak{I}$ in the place of $\varphi$.
(b) Let us first consider the case $\varphi=\varphi_{0}$ with supp $[\varphi] \subset M_{0}$. Thus all singularities of $M$ are out of sight and $M_{0}$ could equally well regarded as an open subset of a smooth complete Riemannian manifold $\tilde{M}$ with uniform lower Ricci bound. (For instance, the conical singularities could be modified into cylindrical ends.) Since the Laplacian is a local operator,

$$
\left\{\left.u\right|_{M_{0}}: u \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Delta^{M}\right)\right\}=\left\{\left.u\right|_{M_{0}}: u \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Delta^{\tilde{M}}\right)\right\} .
$$

On $\tilde{M}$, the Laplacian restricted to $\mathcal{C}_{c}^{\infty}(\tilde{M})$ is essentially self-adjoint and thus $\mathcal{C}_{c}^{\infty}(\tilde{M})$ is dense in $\mathcal{D}\left(\Delta^{\tilde{M}}\right)$. Hence,

$$
\mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(M_{0}\right) \cap \mathcal{D}(\Delta) \text { is dense in } \mathcal{D}(\Delta) .
$$

For $u \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(M_{0}\right) \cap \mathcal{D}(\Delta)$, the pointwise $\mathrm{BE}_{1}(k, n)$ inequality (16) holds true. Multiplying this by $\varphi$, integrating it, and performing integration by parts, the $\mathrm{BE}_{1}^{\prime}(k, n)$ inequality (18) follows. Since $k^{-}$is form bounded with sufficiently small form bound, all terms in (18) are continuous w.r.t. $u \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta)$. Thus with the previous density assertion we conclude that (18) holds for all $u \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta)$.
(c) Now assume that $\varphi=\varphi_{i}$ and thus supp $[\varphi] \subset M_{i}$ for some $i \in \mathfrak{I}$. Then $M_{i}$ can equally well regarded as the ball of radius $\rho_{i}$ around the tip of the cone

$$
\tilde{M}_{i}:=I_{i} \times_{f_{i}} N_{i}
$$

with $I_{i}=\left[0, \pi / \sqrt{\ell_{i}}\right]$ if $\ell_{i}>0$ and $I_{i}=\left[0, \rho_{i}\right]$ else. Assuming this for convenience, according to [Ke13, Thm. 3.12], the set

$$
\Xi_{i}:=\left[\mathcal{D}\left(\Delta^{I_{i}}\right) \otimes E_{i, 0}\right] \oplus \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\infty}\left(\stackrel{\circ}{I}_{i}\right) \otimes E_{i, j}
$$

is dense in $\mathcal{D}\left(\Delta^{\tilde{M}_{i}}\right)$ provided

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^{2}+\lambda_{1}^{N_{i}} \geq 1 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $-\Delta^{I_{i}}$ denotes the nonnegative self-adjoint operator on $L^{2}\left(I_{i}, \sin _{\ell_{i}}^{n-1}(r) d r\right)$ associated with the Dirichlet form

$$
\left.\mathcal{E}^{I_{i}}(v)=\int_{I_{i}}\left|v^{\prime}\right|^{2}(r) \sin _{\ell_{i}}^{n-1}(r) d r\right)
$$

$E_{i, j} \subset L^{2}\left(N_{i}\right)$ for $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$ denotes the eigenspace corresponding to the $j$-th eigenvalue of $-\Delta^{N_{i}}$, and $\lambda_{1}^{N_{i}}$ denotes the spectral gap of $N_{i}$. (Ketterer assumed $\lambda_{1}^{N_{i}} \geq n-1$ but his argumentation works whenever (37) is satisfied.)

In the case $n \geq 3$, since $\lambda_{1}^{N_{i}} \geq(n-1) \kappa$, criterion (37) follows from

$$
\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^{2}+(n-1) \kappa_{i} \geq 1
$$

which in turn follows from (36). In the case $n=2$, (37) is equivalent to $\operatorname{diam}\left(N_{i}\right) \leq \pi$.
(d) For $\varphi=\varphi_{i}$ as above and functions $u \in \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\infty}\left({ }_{( }^{\circ} I_{i}\right) \otimes E_{i, j}$, the $\mathrm{BE}_{1}^{\prime}(k, n)$-inequality (18) will be deduced following the argumentation in Ke13.
(e) Now consider $u \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Delta^{I_{i}}\right) \otimes E_{i, 0}$, say $u=v \otimes \mathbb{1}$ with $v \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Delta^{I_{i}}\right)$, and put $\Phi(r):=\int_{N_{i}} \varphi(r, \xi) d \operatorname{vol}^{N_{i}}(\xi)$. Then $\Phi \in \mathcal{D}\left(\mathcal{E}^{I_{i}}\right)$ and

$$
\int_{\tilde{M}_{i}} \varphi u d m=\int_{I_{i}} \Phi v \sin _{\ell_{i}}^{n-1} d r, \quad \int_{\tilde{M}_{i}} \nabla \varphi \nabla u d m=\int_{I_{i}} \Phi^{\prime} v^{\prime} \sin _{\ell_{i}}^{n-1} d r
$$

as well as $\Gamma^{\tilde{M}_{i}}(u)=\Gamma^{I_{i}}(v) \otimes 1$ and $\Delta^{\tilde{M}_{i}} u=\Delta^{I_{i}} v \otimes 1$. Therefore, $(u, \varphi)$ satisfies the $\mathrm{BE}_{1}^{\prime}\left(K_{i}, n\right)$-inequality for $\mathcal{E}^{\tilde{M}_{i}}$ if and only if $(v, \Phi)$ satisfies the $\mathrm{BE}_{1}^{\prime}\left(K_{i}, n\right)$-inequality for $\mathcal{E}^{I_{i}}$. The latter in turn is always true with $K_{i}:=(n-1) \ell_{i}$ as a consequence of the implications $\mathrm{BE}_{2}\left(K_{i}, n\right) \Rightarrow \mathrm{BE}_{1}\left(K_{i}, n\right) \Rightarrow \mathrm{BE}_{1}^{\prime}\left(K_{i}, n\right)$ and the well-known fact that $\mathrm{BE}_{2}\left(K_{i}, n\right)$ holds true for $\mathcal{E}^{I_{i}}$.
(f) Finally, we have to consider $\varphi=\varphi_{i}$ as above and $u=u_{0}+u_{1}$ with $u_{0} \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Delta^{I_{i}}\right) \otimes E_{i, 0}$ and $u_{1} \in \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\infty}\left(\stackrel{\circ}{I}_{i}\right) \otimes E_{i, j}$. Then there exists a further decomposition $\varphi_{i}=\varphi_{i 0}+\varphi_{i 1}$ with $u_{1} \equiv 0$ on $\operatorname{supp}\left[\varphi_{i 0}\right]$ and $z_{i} \notin \operatorname{supp}\left[\varphi_{i 1}\right]$. Then $\left(u_{0}+u_{1}, \varphi_{i 0}\right)$ satisfies (18) if and only if $\left(u_{0}, \varphi_{i 0}\right)$ satisfies (18), and the latter follows form the discussion in (e) above. Moreover, $\left(u_{0}+u_{1}, \varphi_{i 1}\right)$ satisfies (18) according to the previous discussion in (b).

### 5.3 Spectral Gap Estimates

Now we apply our results on Bakry-Émery and Hardy inequalities on manifolds with conical singularities to estimate the point spectral gap $\lambda_{1}^{(p)}$. The question whether $\lambda_{1}=$ $\lambda_{1}^{(p)}$ will be addressed in the next section.

Assume that $(M, g)$ has a conical singularity at $z \in M$ such that

- $\operatorname{Ric}^{M} \geq K$ on $M_{0}:=M \backslash B_{\rho}(z)$
- $M_{1}:=B_{\rho}(z) \simeq[0, \rho) \times_{f_{\ell}} N$ and $\operatorname{Ric}^{N} \geq(n-2) \kappa$.
with positive numbers $K, \rho, \ell \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\rho \leq R_{\ell}$, and an ( $n-1$ )-dimensional manifold $N$ with $\operatorname{vol}(N)<\infty$.
Theorem 5.5. Assume that $n \geq 3$ and $\kappa>\frac{n(6-n)-4}{4(n-1)}$, cf. (36). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}^{(p)} \geq \alpha_{t} \min \left\{K,(n-1) \ell-\frac{1}{3}(1-\kappa)^{+}\left[(n-5) \frac{\pi^{2}}{2 \rho^{2}}+(n-2) \ell\right]\right\} . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
t=(1-\kappa)^{+} \frac{4(n-1)}{n(n-2)} \in[0,1), \quad \alpha=\frac{n}{n-1}\left[1+\frac{\frac{t}{1-t}}{(n-1)^{2}}\right]^{-1} .
$$

In particular, $\alpha \geq 1$ if $(1-\kappa)^{+} \frac{4}{(n-2)} \leq 1$.
Proof. Put $L:=\frac{\pi^{2}}{4 \rho^{2}} \geq \ell$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k:= \begin{cases}K, & \text { in } M_{0} \\
(n-1) \ell-(n-2) \frac{(1-\kappa)^{+}}{\sin _{\ell}^{2} d(z, .)}, & \text { in } M_{1},\end{cases} \\
& \vartheta:= \begin{cases}0, & \text { in } M_{0} \\
\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\tan _{L}^{2} d(z, .)}-\frac{n-2}{2} L & \text { in } M_{1}\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\operatorname{Ric}^{M} \geq k$ on $M$ and $-\Delta \geq \vartheta$. According to Proposition 5.3, $k$ is $n$-admissible, and according to Theorem 5.4 the mild Bakry-Émery estimate $\mathrm{BE}_{1}^{\prime}(k, n)$ holds. According to Theorem 4.11, $\vartheta$ is a Hardy weight. Thus according to Theorem 3.11,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{1}^{(p)} & \geq \lambda_{0}(-\Delta+k) \geq \inf _{M}(\vartheta+k) \\
& =\min \left\{K, \inf _{M_{1}}\left[(n-1) \ell-(n-2) \frac{(1-\kappa)^{+}}{\sin _{\ell}^{2} d(z, .)}+\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\tan _{L}^{2} d(z, .)}-\frac{n-2}{2} L\right]\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

More generally, for every $t \in[0,1]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{1}^{(p)} \geq \alpha_{t} \lambda_{0}(- & \left.\frac{t n}{n-1} \Delta+k\right) \geq \alpha_{t} \inf _{M}\left(\frac{t n}{n-1} \vartheta+k\right) \\
=\alpha_{t} \min \{ & K,(n-1) \ell-\frac{t n}{n-1} \frac{n-2}{2} L \\
& \left.+\inf _{M_{1}}\left[-(n-2) \frac{(1-\kappa)^{+}}{\sin _{\ell}^{2} d(z, .)}+\frac{t n}{n-1}\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\tan _{L}^{2} d(z, .)}\right]\right\} \\
=\alpha_{t} \min \{ & K,(n-1) \ell-\frac{t n}{n-1} \frac{n-2}{2} L-(n-2)(1-\kappa)^{+} \ell \\
& \left.+\inf _{M_{1}}\left[-(n-2) \frac{(1-\kappa)^{+}}{\tan _{\ell}^{2} d(z, .)}+\frac{t n}{n-1}\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\tan _{L}^{2} d(z, .)}\right]\right\} \\
=\alpha_{t} \min \{ & K,(n-1) \ell-\frac{t n}{n-1} \frac{n-2}{2} L-(n-2)(1-\kappa)^{+} \ell \\
& +\inf _{M_{1}}\left[\left(-(n-2)(1-\kappa)^{+}+\frac{t n}{n-1}\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^{2}\right) \frac{1}{\tan _{L}^{2} d(z, .)}\right. \\
& \left.\left.-(n-2)(1-\kappa)^{+}\left(\frac{1}{\tan _{\ell}^{2} d(z, .)}-\frac{1}{\tan _{L}^{2} d(z, .)}\right)\right]\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Choosing $t=(1-\kappa)^{+} \frac{4(n-1)}{n(n-2)} \in[0,1)$, the pre-factor in the second last line vanishes

$$
-(n-2)(1-\kappa)^{+}+\frac{t n}{n-1}\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^{2}=0 .
$$

Furthermore, since $0 \leq \ell \leq L$ and $\sqrt{L} d(z,.) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$,

$$
0 \leq \frac{1}{\tan _{\ell}^{2} d(z, .)}-\frac{1}{\tan _{L}^{2} d(z, .)} \leq \frac{2}{3}(L-\ell)
$$

according to Lemma 5.8 which we postposed to the end of this section. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{1}^{(p)} \geq & \alpha_{t} \min \left\{K,(n-1) \ell-2(1-\kappa)^{+} L-(n-2)(1-\kappa)^{+} \ell\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{2}{3}(n-2)(1-\kappa)^{+}(L-\ell)\right\} \\
= & \alpha_{t} \min \left\{K,(n-1) \ell-\frac{1}{3}(1-\kappa)^{+}[2(n-5) L+(n-2) \ell]\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 5.6. Assume in addition $\rho=R_{\ell}$ and $\kappa \leq 1$. Then with $\alpha=\alpha_{t}$ as above,

$$
\lambda_{1}^{(p)} \geq \alpha_{t} \min \{K,[3+(n-4) \kappa] \ell\} .
$$

The previous Theorem easily extends to manifolds with multiple singularities. Assume that $(M, g)$ is smooth outside a discrete set of singularities $\left\{z_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \subset M$, that there exist positive numbers $K, \rho_{i}, \ell_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\rho_{i} \leq R_{\ell_{i}}$, and ( $n-1$ )-dimensional manifolds $N_{i}$ with $\operatorname{vol}\left(N_{i}\right)<\infty$ such that

- $\operatorname{Ric}^{M} \geq K$ on $M_{0}:=M \backslash \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} B_{\rho_{i}}\left(z_{i}\right)$
- $M_{i}:=B_{\rho_{i}}\left(z_{i}\right) \simeq\left[0, \rho_{i}\right) \times_{f_{i}} N_{i}$ and $\operatorname{Ric}^{N_{i}} \geq(n-2) \kappa_{i}$.

Moreover, assume that the sets $M_{i}$ for $i \in \mathfrak{I}$ are pairwise disjoint.
Corollary 5.7. Assume that $n \geq 3$ and $\kappa:=\inf _{i} \kappa_{i}>\frac{n(6-n)-4}{4(n-1)}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}^{(p)} \geq \alpha_{t} \min \left\{K, \inf _{i \in \mathfrak{I}}\left\{(n-1) \ell_{i}-\frac{1}{3}(1-\kappa)^{+}\left[(n-5) \frac{\pi^{2}}{2 \rho_{i}^{2}}+(n-2) \ell_{i}\right]\right\}\right\} . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
t=(1-\kappa)^{+} \frac{4(n-1)}{n(n-2)}<1, \quad \alpha=\frac{n}{n-1}\left[1+\frac{\frac{t}{1-t}}{(n-1)^{2}}\right]^{-1}
$$

In particular, if $\ell_{i}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{4 \rho_{i}^{2}}$ for all $i$ and $\kappa \leq 1$. Then

$$
\lambda_{1}^{(p)} \geq \alpha \min \left\{K,[3+(n-4) \kappa] \inf _{i} \ell_{i}\right\} .
$$

Proof. Put $L_{i}:=\frac{\pi^{2}}{4 \rho_{i}^{2}} \geq \ell_{i}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k:= \begin{cases}K, & \text { in } M_{0} \\
(n-1) \ell_{i}-(n-2) \frac{(1-\kappa)^{+}}{\sin _{\ell_{i}}^{2} d(z, .)}, & \text { in } M_{i},\end{cases} \\
& \vartheta:= \begin{cases}0, & \text { in } M_{0} \\
\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\tan _{L_{i}}^{2} d(z, .)}-\frac{n-2}{2} L_{i} & \text { in } M_{i}\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\operatorname{Ric}^{M} \geq k$ on $M$ and $-\Delta \geq \vartheta$. Thus as before

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{1}^{(p)} & \geq \alpha_{t} \lambda_{0}\left(-\frac{t n}{n-1} \Delta+k\right) \\
& \geq \alpha_{t} \inf _{M}\left(\frac{t n}{n-1} \vartheta+k\right) \\
& =\ldots \\
& =\alpha_{t} \min \left\{K, \inf _{i \in \mathcal{I}}\left\{(n-1) \ell_{i}-\frac{1}{3}(1-\kappa)^{+}\left[(n-5) \frac{\pi^{2}}{2 \rho_{i}^{2}}+(n-2) \ell_{i}\right]\right\}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Appendix

Lemma 5.8. For $0 \leq \ell \leq L$ and $0<r \leq \pi /(2 \sqrt{L})$,

$$
0 \leq \frac{1}{\tan _{\ell}^{2}(r)}-\frac{1}{\tan _{L}^{2}(r)} \leq \frac{2}{3}(L-\ell)
$$

Proof. Consider the function

$$
f(t):=\frac{t}{\sin ^{2}(\sqrt{t})}
$$

on $\left(0, \pi^{2} / 4\right)$. It satisfies

$$
f^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1-\frac{\sqrt{t}}{\tan (\sqrt{t})}}{\sin ^{2}(\sqrt{t})} \geq \frac{\frac{1}{3} t}{\sin ^{2}(\sqrt{t})} \geq \frac{1}{3} .
$$

Thus for all $0<s<t<\pi^{2} / 4$,

$$
f(t)-f(s) \geq \frac{1}{3}(t-s)
$$

Choosing $s=\ell r^{2}$ and $t=L r^{2}$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\tan _{\ell}^{2}(r)}-\frac{1}{\tan _{L}^{2}(r)} & =\frac{1}{\sin _{\ell}^{2}(r)}-\frac{1}{\sin _{L}^{2}(r)}+(L-\ell) \\
& =\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left[\frac{s}{\sin ^{2}(\sqrt{s})}-\frac{t}{\sin ^{2}(\sqrt{t})}\right]+(L-\ell) \leq \frac{2}{3}(L-\ell)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 6 Further Spectral Properties

### 6.1 Discrete Spectrum

Assume that $(M, g)$ is a closed Riemannian manifold and that it has a conical singularity at $z \in M$ such that

- $\operatorname{Ric}^{M} \geq K$ on $M_{0}:=M \backslash B_{\rho}(z)$
- $M_{1}:=B_{\rho}(z) \simeq[0, \rho) \times_{f_{\ell}} N$ and $\operatorname{Ric}^{N} \geq(n-2) \kappa$.
with numbers $K, \ell, \rho \in \mathbb{R}$ where $\rho<2 R_{\ell}$, and with a closed ( $n-1$ )-dimensional manifold $N$.

Theorem 6.1. Assume that $n \geq 3$ and $\kappa>0$. Then the spectrum of $\Delta$ is discrete and

$$
\operatorname{spec}_{e s s}(-\Delta)=\emptyset
$$

Proof. Let us first address the non-trivial case where $\kappa<1$. We will represent the Laplacian $\Delta$ on ( $M, g$ ) as a 'small' perturbation' of the Laplacian $\Delta^{*}$ on a modified Riemannian manifold $\left(M, g^{*}\right)$ for which we can verify a $\mathrm{BE}_{2}\left(K^{*}, n\right)$ condition with some $K^{*} \in \mathbb{R}$.

The space $\left(M, g^{*}\right)$ will be constructed as follows: we replace the metric $g$ on the set $M_{1} \simeq[0, \rho) \times_{f_{\ell}} N$ by the metric $g^{*}$ of the warped product $M_{1}^{*}:=[0, \rho) \times_{f_{*}} N$ where $f_{*}:[0, \rho) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is any strictly increasing $\mathcal{C}^{2}$-function with

$$
f_{*}(r)= \begin{cases}\sqrt{\kappa} f_{\ell}(r), & \text { on }[0, \rho / 4) \\ f_{\ell}(r), & \text { on }(\rho / 2, \rho)\end{cases}
$$

Then it is easy to verify that $\operatorname{Ric}^{M^{*}} \geq K^{*}$ on $M^{*} \backslash\{z\}$ for some $K^{*} \in \mathbb{R}$ and, even more, $\left(M^{*}, g^{*}\right)$ satisfies the $\mathrm{BE}_{2}\left(K^{*}, n\right)$-inequality. Since in addition $M^{*}$ is compact, the resolvent operator $G^{*}:=\left(1-\Delta^{*}\right)^{-1}$ is a compact self-adjoint operator on $L^{2}\left(M^{*}, m^{*}\right)$. Note that $d m^{*}=\eta^{2} d m$ with the bounded function

$$
\eta:=\left(\frac{f_{*}}{f_{\ell}}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}
$$

Consider the unitary transformation

$$
T: L^{2}\left(M^{*}, m^{*}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}(M, m), u \mapsto u \eta .
$$

Then

$$
G^{b}:=T \circ G^{*} \circ T^{-1}
$$

is a compact self-adjoint operator on $L^{2}(M, m)$. Put $\Delta^{b}:=T \circ \Delta^{*} \circ T^{-1}$. Then $G^{b}=$ $\left(1-\Delta^{b}\right)^{-1}$. Moreover,

$$
\Delta^{b}-\Delta=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
0, & \text { on } M \backslash B_{\rho}(z) \\
\frac{\frac{1}{\kappa}-1}{f_{\ell}^{2}(r)} \Delta^{N}, & \text { on } B_{\rho / 4}(z)
\end{array}\right.
$$

and thus

$$
-\Delta^{b}+\Delta=S, \quad S=S_{0}+S_{1}, \quad S_{1}:=-\frac{\frac{1}{\kappa}-1}{f_{\ell}^{2}(r)} \mathbf{1}_{B_{\rho / 4}(z)} \Delta^{N}
$$

with a form small operator $S_{0}$ (i.e. $S_{0}$ is form bounded w.r.t. $-\Delta^{b}$ with arbitrarily small bound, say $\leq \kappa / 2$ ) which takes into account the perturbation of the Laplacian in $B_{\rho}(z) \backslash$ $B_{\rho / 4}(z)$. The operator $S_{1}$ is form bounded w.r.t. $-\Delta^{b}$ with bound $C_{1}:=1-\kappa<1$ since

$$
0 \leq S_{1} \leq C_{1} \frac{1}{\kappa f_{\ell}^{2}} \mathbf{1}_{B_{\rho / 4}(z)}\left(-\Delta^{N}\right) \leq C_{1}\left(-\Delta^{b}\right)
$$

Thus also $S$ is form bounded w.r.t. $-\Delta^{b}$ with bound $<1$. Furthermore, $\left(1-\Delta^{b}\right)^{-1}$ is compact on $L^{2}(M, m)$ since $\left(1-\Delta^{*}\right)^{-1}$ is compact on $L^{2}\left(M, m^{*}\right)$. Thus $(1-\Delta)^{-1}=$ $\left(1-\Delta^{\mathrm{b}}-S\right)^{-1}$ is compact. Hence, the spectrum of $\Delta$ is discrete and in turn the essential spectrum is empty.

Finally, let us consider the case $\kappa \geq 1$. Then without changing the metric we easily can verify the $\mathrm{BE}_{2}\left(K^{*}, n\right)$ condition with some $K^{*}$ for $(M, g)$. Together with the compactness of $M$, this implies compactness of the resolvent operator $(1-\Delta)^{-1}$ and thus discreteness of the spectrum of $\Delta$.
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