

RESONANCES AS A COMPUTATIONAL TOOL

FRÉDÉRIC ROUSSET AND KATHARINA SCHRATZ

ABSTRACT. A large toolbox of numerical schemes for dispersive equations has been established, based on different discretization techniques such as discretizing the variation-of-constants formula (e.g., exponential integrators) or splitting the full equation into a series of simpler subproblems (e.g., splitting methods). In many situations these classical schemes allow a precise and efficient approximation. This, however, drastically changes whenever non-smooth phenomena enter the scene such as for problems at low regularity and high oscillations. Classical schemes fail to capture the oscillatory nature of the solution, and this may lead to severe instabilities and loss of convergence. In this article we review a new class of resonance-based schemes. The key idea in the construction of the new schemes is to tackle and deeply embed the underlying nonlinear structure of resonances into the numerical discretization. As in the continuous case, these terms are central to structure preservation and offer the new schemes strong properties at low regularity.

1. INTRODUCTION

Nonlinear dispersive equations, e.g., the nonlinear Schrödinger, Korteweg–de Vries, wave map equation, etc., have gained a lot of attention in the last decades. Their smooth solutions are nowadays well understood at the theoretical as well as computational level. While huge progress could also be made in their theoretical analysis for rough data, non-smooth solutions remain in large parts a mystery computationally. Unlike in parabolic problems we do not have strong smoothing effects. This leads to many interesting phenomena such as blow-up, growth of Sobolev norms, quantisation effects, turbulence, etc., which are, however extremely challenging to model numerically. As soon as roughness comes into play most classical numerical schemes break-down, and little is known on how to overcome this.

This survey article intends to review recent developments in so-called resonance based schemes which try to build a bridge between smooth and non-smooth numerics for dispersive equations. A first attempt of so-called resonance-based schemes (see for instance [1, 2, 7, 3, 14, 16, 17, 36, 54, 56, 57, 58, 62]), was profoundly inspired by theoretical analysis of dispersive equations at low regularity (Bourgain [13], Tao [63]) and rough path theory (Gubinelli [31]) and provides a powerful tool which in many situations allows for approximations in a much more general setting (i.e., for rougher data) than classical schemes (e.g., Splitting methods), see also the recent important works [6, 18, 50, 51, 64, 65, 66].

The central aim of this survey article is to present in detail the main idea behind the novel technique on the basic test example of cubic, periodic Schrödinger equation (Section 2), provide various examples of dispersive equations and beyond (Section 3), explain the difficulties in establishing low-regularity error estimates (Section 4), and outline open problems in this direction (Section 5).

2. THE MAIN IDEA

To explain the key idea behind resonances as a computational tool we first have to understand why classical schemes (e.g., splitting methods and exponential integrators) in general fail to approximate rough dynamics in dispersive equations. For this purpose let us consider as a simple model problem the one-dimensional, periodic cubic nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation

$$i\partial_t u(t, x) = -\Delta u(t, x) + |u(t, x)|^2 u(t, x) \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T} \quad (1)$$

with rough initial data

$$u(0, x) = u_0(x) \in H^\sigma(\mathbb{T}), \quad (2)$$

where we want to choose $\sigma > 0$ as small as possible (we will see later in Section 4 how far we can actually push down the Sobolev index σ to obtain convergence estimates in $L^2(\mathbb{T})$). Here, $H^\sigma(\mathbb{T})$ denotes the classical Sobolev space on torus \mathbb{T} with regularity $\sigma \geq 0$.

2.1. Splitting methods. One of the most famous numerical methods to approximate the time dynamics of linear and nonlinear Schrödinger equations such as (1) are splitting methods (see, e.g., [8, 25, 27, 28, 32, 37, 38, 37, 52, 47, 44]). The main idea lies in splitting the full equation into a series of simpler subproblems. One then solves these subproblems (either exactly or with a numerical scheme) and composes the sub-flows to obtain an approximation to the flow of the original – full – equation. Looking at the Schrödinger equation (1) we face two main challenges numerically: The differential operator $-\Delta$ and the non-linearity $|u|^2u$. Instead of solving the full problem (1) the idea of splitting for NLS is to consider its kinetic (T) and nonlinear part (V) separately, i.e.,

$$(T) \quad i\partial_t u_T = -\Delta u_T \quad \text{and} \quad (V) \quad i\partial_t u_V = |u_V|^2 u_V. \quad (3)$$

The main advantage lies in the fact that both subproblems can be solved exactly in time: (T) in Fourier space (in case of a spatial discretisation, with a Fourier pseudo spectral method) and (V) as the modulus $|u_V|^2$ is conserved, see for instance [25, 52] for details. A simple composition of the kinetic and nonlinear sub-flow leads at first-order to the so-called Lie splitting method which at time $t_n = n\tau$ (τ denoting the time step size) takes the form

$$u^{n+1} = \underbrace{e^{i\tau\Delta}}_{(T)} \underbrace{e^{-i\tau|u^n|^2}}_{(V)} u^n. \quad (4)$$

The local error of Lie splitting (4) is driven by the Lie commutator $[T, V](u)$ which reads

$$\frac{1}{2}[T, V](u) = (\nabla u \cdot \nabla \bar{u}) u + (\nabla u \bar{u}) \cdot \nabla u + (u \nabla \bar{u}) \cdot \nabla u + (u \overline{\Delta u}) u, \quad (5)$$

see [52, Section 4.2]. Due to the appearance of $\overline{\Delta u}$ in the local error, the boundedness of at least two additional derivatives of the exact solution is required. Higher-order splitting methods require more regularity as they introduce a local error with nested commutators. In case of second-order Strang splitting the error is for instance driven by the double commutator $[T, [T, V]]$ involving the term $\overline{\Delta \Delta u}$. This requires the boundedness of four additional derivatives. For a precise convergence analysis we refer to [52].

2.2. Exponential integrators. Another well-known method to solve Schrödinger-type equations are exponential integrators (see, e.g., [20, 32, 34, 35] and the references therein). The main idea lies in discretising Duhamel's formula which for cubic NLS (1) takes the form

$$u(t) = e^{it\Delta} u(0) - ie^{it\Delta} \int_0^t e^{-is\Delta} (|u(s)|^2 u(s)) ds. \quad (6)$$

At time $t_{n+1} = t_n + \tau$ we find (considering Duhamel's formula on the time interval $[0, \tau]$ with initial value $u(t_n)$) that

$$u(t_{n+1}) = e^{i\tau\Delta} u(t_n) - ie^{i\tau\Delta} \int_0^\tau e^{-is\Delta} (|u(t_n + s)|^2 u(t_n + s)) ds. \quad (7)$$

Exponential integrator schemes are based on Taylor series expansion of the solution within the integral. At first order they build on the first-order Taylor series expansion

$$u(t_n + s) = u(t_n) + \mathcal{O}(su'). \quad (8)$$

Plugging the approximation (8) into Duhamel's formula (7) leads to the first-order exponential integrator scheme

$$u^{n+1} = e^{i\tau\Delta}u^n - i\tau\varphi_1(i\tau\Delta)|u^n|^2u^n \quad \text{with} \quad \varphi_1(z) = \frac{e^z - 1}{z}. \quad (9)$$

From the Taylor series expansion (8) we easily see that the local error of the first-order exponential integrator method (9) is driven by the time derivative u' , where

$$\mathcal{O}(u') = \mathcal{O}(\Delta u) \quad (10)$$

in sense of derivatives. Hence, as for Lie splitting first-order convergence requires the boundedness of at least two additional derivatives. Higher-order exponential integrators are based on higher-order Taylor series expansion of the solution within the integral, i.e., at second-order one takes

$$u(t_n + s) = u(t_n) + su'(t_n) + \mathcal{O}(s^2u'') \quad (11)$$

and replaces the time derivative $u'(t_n)$ by the equation itself $u'(t_n) = i\Delta u(t_n) - i|u(t_n)|^2u(t_n)$. Due to the local error scaling

$$\mathcal{O}(u'') = \mathcal{O}(\Delta\Delta u)$$

we see that as for second-order Strang splitting, the second-order exponential integrator method requires the boundedness of at least four additional derivatives.

2.3. Structure of the solution and classical methods. From local error structure (5) and (10) we see that both the Lie splitting and exponential integrator method require smooth solutions: At least the boundedness of two additional derivatives is necessary for their first-order convergence. Their second-order counterparts require even the boundedness of four additional derivatives, and in general we have a local error scaling at order ν of type

$$\mathcal{O}(\tau^{\nu+1} (-\Delta)^\nu) \quad (12)$$

such that for instance for a method of order four we would require the boundedness of 16 additional derivatives. A natural question therefore arises: *Can we construct numerical schemes which allow convergence for rougher data than classical methods, i.e., under lower regularity assumptions than splitting or exponential integrators?*

To answer this question we first have to understand the underlying structure of the solution in a better way. For this purpose we turn back to Duhamel's formula (6). However, instead of approximating its solution $u(s)$ by a classical Taylor series expansion, we look at iterations of Duhamel's formula: Using that

$$u(s) = e^{is\Delta}u(0) + \int_0^s \dots ds_1$$

we find

$$u(t) = e^{it\Delta}u(0) - ie^{it\Delta} \int_0^t e^{-is\Delta} \left(|e^{is\Delta}u(0)|^2 e^{is\Delta}u(0) \right) ds + \int_0^t \int_0^s \dots ds_1 ds. \quad (13)$$

If we want to get a rough idea of the dynamics of the solution, we can at first forget about the higher order iterations, and neglect the double integral $\int_0^t \int_0^s ds_1 ds$ in (13). We then see that the underlying structure of the solution $u(t)$ is driven by the nonlinear frequency interaction of $-\Delta$ and $\pm\Delta$ with leading oscillations

$$\text{Osc}(s, \Delta, u(0)) = e^{-is\Delta} \left(|e^{is\Delta}u(0)|^2 e^{is\Delta}u(0) \right). \quad (14)$$

Numerical schemes stay close to the structure of the solution – even at low regularity – if they resolve – even for rough data – the leading oscillations (14).

A closer look, however, shows that splitting methods and exponential integrators in general neglect the nonlinear frequency interactions in (14): Lie splitting (4) is based on the frequency approximation

$$\text{Osc}(s, \Delta, u(0)) \approx |u(0)|^2 u(0), \quad (15)$$

while exponential integrator methods swallow all frequencies within the nonlinearity based on

$$\text{Osc}(s, \Delta, u(0)) \approx e^{-is\Delta} \left(|u(0)|^2 u(0) \right). \quad (16)$$

In case of smooth solutions, for which Δu is well defined in the space of interest (see also error structure (5) and (10)), linearisation of frequencies such as (15) and (16) in general lead to good approximations of the exact solution (13). This can be seen by a simple Taylor series expansion of the oscillations

$$e^{\pm is\Delta} u = u + \mathcal{O}(s\Delta u). \quad (17)$$

Expansion (17) introduces a small remainder of order s as long as u is sufficiently smooth, i.e., Δu is bounded. For rough solutions, for which

$$\Delta u$$

becomes unbounded, approximations such as (15) and (16) in general, however, break down as the linearisation of frequencies (17) is no longer valid. The latter is not only a theoretical artefact stemming from error analysis, but also drastically observed in numerical experiments.

The main aim of resonances as a computational tool is to overcome this by stepping away from linearised frequency interactions (such as (15) and (16)) towards new schemes which deeply embed the nonlinear frequency interactions of the solution (or at least their dominant parts) into the numerical discretisation. In general this allows for much rougher data than classical schemes. In the following we will explain this idea in detail on the concrete example of cubic, periodic NLS (1). We will then give a more general overview of the new ansatz for a broad class of equations in Section 3.

2.4. Resonances as a computational tool: The main idea. In case of cubic, periodic NLS (1) the underlying structure of the solution u is driven by the leading oscillations (14). We aim to find a good approximation to them, even for rough data. For this purpose we turn to Fourier analysis as this will allow us to analyse exactly the nonlinear frequency interactions of Δ and $\pm\Delta$ in (14): With \hat{u}_k denoting the k -th Fourier coefficient in space, i.e.,

$$u(t, x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{ikx} \hat{u}_k(t)$$

the central oscillations (14) of cubic NLS take the form

$$\text{Osc}(s, \Delta, u(0)) = \sum_{k=k_1-k_2+k_3} e^{ikx} \hat{u}_{k_1}(0) \hat{u}_{k_2}(0) \overline{\hat{u}_{k_3}(0)} e^{isk^2} e^{-isk_1^2} e^{isk_2^2} e^{-isk_3^2}. \quad (18)$$

Hence, we find that cubic NLS (1) is driven by the underlying resonance structure

$$\text{R}(k_1, k_2, k_3) = k^2 - k_1^2 + k_2^2 - k_3^2 \quad (19)$$

which determines the nonlinear frequency interactions in (18).

The problem of classical methods is that they in general linearise the nonlinear resonance structure (19) and treat – from a frequency point of view – the nonlinear PDE (1) as if it was a linear problem. More precisely, Lie splitting (4) approximates R by zero

$$\text{R}(k_1, k_2, k_3) \approx 0 \quad \text{for all } k_1, k_2, k_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

(cf. (15)). Exponential integrator methods (9), on the other hand, swallow the frequencies $-k_1^2, k_2^2$ and k_3^2 within the nonlinearity and approximate the resonance structure R as follows

$$R(k_1, k_2, k_3) \approx k^2 \quad \text{for all } k_1, k_2, k_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

(cf. (16)). The central idea in resonances as a computational tool is to step away from linearised frequency approximations towards an improved nonlinear approach: Instead of linearising the resonance structure $R(k_1, k_2, k_3)$ we filter out its dominant part, and solve the dominant part exactly, while only approximating the lower-order parts. The central question is: *What is actually the dominant part in the nonlinear resonance structure (19)? And is there a unique way to define it?*

It turns out that there are many ways to define the dominant part with a lot of questions remaining open so far (see also Section 5). Here we focus on classical resonance-based methods, which see dominance in terms of derivatives:

Remark 2.1. *If we take a closer look at the resonance structure (19) we see that R can be expressed as follows:*

$$R(k_1, k_2, k_3) = k^2 - k_1^2 + k_2^2 - k_3^2 = 2k_2^2 - 2(k_1 + k_3)k_2 + 2k_1k_3. \quad (20)$$

We observe that the mixed terms in (5)

$$-2k_1k_2, \quad -2k_3k_2, \quad 2k_1k_3$$

correspond to first-order derivatives, while the quadratic term

$$2k_2^2$$

corresponds to a second-order derivative. This can easily be seen in Fourier space: Let us take two smooth functions v and w

$$v(x) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{v}_\ell e^{i\ell x}, \quad w(x) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{w}_m e^{imx}.$$

Then we have that

$$\partial_x v \cdot \partial_x w = - \sum_{\ell, m \in \mathbb{Z}} \ell \cdot m \hat{v}_\ell \hat{w}_m e^{i(\ell+m)x} \quad \text{while} \quad w \partial_x^2 v = - \sum_{\ell, m \in \mathbb{Z}} \ell^2 \hat{v}_\ell \hat{w}_m e^{i(\ell+m)x}.$$

Thanks to Remark 2.1 we see that the dominant part, with the highest order of derivative, in the nonlinear frequency interactions (5) is the quadratic term

$$2k_2^2.$$

Hence, the idea is to treat the second-order term $2k_2^2$ exactly within the numerical discretisation, and only approximate the lower-order mixed terms

$$-2k_1k_2, \quad -2k_3k_2, \quad 2k_1k_3.$$

This can be achieved by Taylor series expansion

$$e^{isk^2} e^{-isk_1^2} e^{isk_2^2} e^{-isk_3^2} = e^{is(2k_2^2 - 2(k_1 + k_3)k_2 + 2k_1k_3)} = e^{2isk_2^2} + \mathcal{O}(s[2(k_1 + k_3)k_2 + 2k_1k_3]). \quad (21)$$

The main advantage of the resonance-based approximation (21) lies in the fact that it introduces a local error involving only first-order instead of second-order derivatives (cf. (17)), building the basis of the first-order resonance-based approximation

$$\text{Osc}(s, \Delta, u(0)) = u^2(0) e^{-2is\Delta} \bar{u}(0) + \mathcal{O}(s(\partial_x u) \partial_x(u\bar{u})). \quad (22)$$

Plugging the approximation (22) into the iteration of Duhamel's formula (13) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= e^{it\Delta} u(0) - ie^{it\Delta} \int_0^t u^2(0) e^{-2is\Delta} \bar{u}(0) ds + \mathcal{O}(t^2(\partial_x u) \partial_x(u\bar{u})) + \mathcal{O}(t^2 u^5) \\ &= e^{it\Delta} u(0) - ite^{it\Delta} (u^2(0) \varphi_1(-2it\Delta) \bar{u}(0)) + \mathcal{O}(t^2(\partial_x u) \partial_x(u\bar{u})) + \mathcal{O}(t^2 u^5). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

The resonance-based approximation (23) allows for rougher data than classical approximations (e.g., Lie splitting (4) and exponential integrator (9)) which are based on the Taylor-series expansion (17): Instead of the full Laplacian, the improved remainder term (23) only involves first-order derivatives.

Remark 2.2. *The resonance-based approximation of Duhamel's formula (23) leads to the following numerical scheme*

$$u^{n+1} = e^{i\tau\Delta}u^n - i\tau e^{i\tau\Delta} \left((u^n)^2 \varphi_1(-2i\tau\Delta) \overline{u^n} \right)$$

which was originally introduced in [57]. This scheme is of first order, due to an approximation error at order τ^2 (cf. (23)). For the error analysis we refer to Section 4.

Remark 2.3. *One might now ask what happens if we want to construct numerical schemes which do not require any additional smoothness in the solution at the time discrete level (for the spatial discretisation some regularity is in general always needed). In theory this would be possible by resolving all nonlinear frequency interactions (5) exactly within the numerical discretisation. For certain particular one-dimensional equations this is indeed possible (such as the KdV equation [36, 51, 66] and periodic, one-dimensional cubic NLS [65]). In general, however, practical implementation of fully resonance-based schemes in higher dimensions would lead to huge computational costs as all computations would need to be carried out in Fourier space. The aim of resonances as a computational tool lies in constructing a new class of schemes which allow for rougher data than classical methods, but at similar computational costs. Thus, we want to carry out differentiation in Fourier space, and function multiplication in physical space. This allows for fast computations with the aid of the discrete Fourier transform.*

2.5. Resonances as a computational tool: What about higher-order? A next natural question is whether we can use this idea to achieve higher-order schemes for rougher data than classical methods require, i.e., reduce the regularity assumptions in the classical error scaling (12). Two steps are essential:

- (I) The iteration of Duhamel's formula (6) up to higher order, and
- (II) the approximation of leading oscillations (14) up to higher order.

We will address these points in the following two subsections separately. Interestingly, it turns out that (II) is in fact much harder to accomplish than (I).

2.5.1. Higher-order iteration of Duhamel's formula. Let us consider the second-order iteration of Duhamel's formula (6). To obtain our resonance-based numerical approximation at second order we take the first-order resonance-based approximation (23) at time $t = s$

$$u(s) = e^{is\Delta}u(0) - ise^{is\Delta} \left(u^2(0) \varphi_1(-2is\Delta) \overline{u(0)} \right) + \mathcal{O}(s^2 (\partial_x u) \partial_x (u\overline{u})) + \mathcal{O}(s^2 u^5)$$

and plug it into Duhamel's formula (6). This leads to the following expansion of the solution

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= e^{it\Delta}u(0) - ie^{it\Delta} \int_0^t e^{-is\Delta} (|e^{is\Delta}u(0)|^2 e^{is\Delta}u(0)) ds \\ &\quad - (-2i)ie^{it\Delta} \int_0^t se^{-is\Delta} \left[|e^{is\Delta}u(0)|^2 e^{is\Delta} \left(u^2(0) \varphi_1(-2is\Delta) \overline{u(0)} \right) \right] ds \\ &\quad - (i)ie^{it\Delta} \int_0^t se^{-is\Delta} \left[(e^{is\Delta}u(0))^2 e^{-is\Delta} \left(\overline{u^2(0)} \overline{\varphi_1(-2is\Delta)} u(0) \right) \right] ds \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}(t^3 \partial_x u), \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where $\mathcal{O}(t^3 \partial_x u)$ denotes a remainder of polynomials in $u, \overline{u}, \partial_x u$ and $\partial_x \overline{u}$.

Remark 2.4. *If we want to reach second-order accuracy – so a local error at order τ^3 – we have to sacrifice in terms of regularity. While classical second-order schemes such as Strang splitting or second-order exponential integrators introduce a local error at order*

$$\mathcal{O}(\tau^3 \Delta^2 u)$$

(cf. (12)) a resonance-based approach allows us to halve these regularity assumptions with a local error structure of type

$$\tau^3 \Delta u. \quad (25)$$

It remains an open question whether these regularity assumptions can be reduced even further, and second-order convergence can be reached under the same regularity assumptions as first-order.

Error structure (25) allows for the loss of two derivatives in the remainder. Hence, we can expand (24) even further: Using the classical Taylor series expansion $e^{\pm is\Delta} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(s\Delta)$ in the second and third integral in (24) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= e^{it\Delta} u(0) - ie^{it\Delta} \int_0^t e^{-is\Delta} (|e^{is\Delta} u(0)|^2 e^{is\Delta} u(0)) ds \\ &\quad - 2e^{it\Delta} \int_0^t s |u(0)|^2 (u^2(0) \varphi_1(-2is\Delta) \bar{u}(0)) ds \\ &\quad + e^{it\Delta} \int_0^t s u^2(0) (\bar{u}^2(0) \overline{\varphi_1(-2is\Delta)} u(0)) ds \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}(t^3 \Delta u), \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where $\mathcal{O}(t^3 \Delta u)$ denotes a remainder in polynomials at most of order Δu . Next we calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t s \varphi_1(-2is\Delta) \bar{u}(0) ds &= \frac{1}{-2i\Delta} \int_0^t (e^{-2is\Delta} - 1) \bar{u}(0) ds = \frac{t^2}{2} \bar{u}(0) + \mathcal{O}(t^3 \bar{u}(0)) \\ \int_0^t s \overline{\varphi_1(-2is\Delta)} u(0) ds &= \frac{1}{2i\Delta} \int_0^t (e^{2is\Delta} - 1) u(0) ds = \frac{t^2}{2} u(0) + \mathcal{O}(t^3 u(0)). \end{aligned}$$

Plugging this into iteration of Duhamel's formula (26) we obtain

$$u(t) = e^{it\Delta} u(0) - ie^{it\Delta} \int_0^t e^{-is\Delta} (|e^{is\Delta} u(0)|^2 e^{is\Delta} u(0)) ds - \frac{t^2}{2} |u(0)|^4 u(0) + \mathcal{O}(t^3 \Delta u), \quad (27)$$

where $\mathcal{O}(t^3 \Delta u)$ denotes a remainder in polynomials at most of order Δu (which goes in line with Remark 2.4).

Remark 2.5. *Thanks to the expansion (27) we see that the main difficulty in achieving higher order resonance-based schemes lies in finding a suitable approximation of the leading oscillatory integral (cf. (14))*

$$\int_0^t \text{Osc}(s, \Delta, u(0)) ds = \int_0^t e^{-is\Delta} (|e^{is\Delta} u(0)|^2 e^{is\Delta} u(0)) ds. \quad (28)$$

2.5.2. *Higher-order approximation of the oscillatory integral (28).* In Fourier space it holds that (see also (18) and (5))

$$\text{Osc}(s, \Delta, u(0)) = \sum_{k=k_1-k_2+k_3} e^{ikx} \hat{u}_{k_1}(0) \bar{\hat{u}}_{k_2}(0) \hat{u}_{k_3}(0) e^{isR(k_1, k_2, k_3)} \quad (29)$$

with

$$R(k_1, k_2, k_3) = 2k_2^2 - 2(k_1 + k_3)k_2 + 2k_1k_3.$$

Thanks to Remark 2.1 we identify $2k_2^2$ as the dominant part in the nonlinear resonance structure R and can thus approximate the mixed terms $-2k_1k_2$, $-2k_3k_2$ and $2k_1k_3$. At first-order we could simply carry out a first-order Taylor series expansion in the lower-order terms cf. (21). To achieve a higher-order resonance-based approximation the natural idea is thus to apply a higher-order Taylor-series expansion in the lower order terms, i.e.,

$$e^{isR(k_1, k_2, k_3)} = e^{2isk_2^2} - 2is(-2(k_1 + k_3)k_2 + 2k_1k_3) + \mathcal{O}\left(s^2(-2(k_1 + k_3)k_2 + 2k_1k_3)^2\right) \quad (30)$$

and to neglect the terms of order s^2 involving at most second-order derivatives (which goes in line with Remark 2.4). The term

$$2is(-2(k_1 + k_3)k_2 + 2k_1k_3)$$

is, however, unbounded, and including it in our numerical discretisation would lead to loss of stability.

To overcome this, we choose a different route: We define the lower-order frequency interactions as follows

$$\psi_{\text{low}}(s, k_1, k_2, k_3) = e^{is(-2(k_1+k_3)k_2+2k_1k_3)}$$

which allows us to explicitly single out the dominant oscillations $e^{2isk_2^2}$ in (29) thanks to the representation

$$\text{Osc}(s, \Delta, u(0)) = \sum_{k=k_1-k_2+k_3} e^{ikx} \hat{u}_{k_1}(0) \overline{\hat{u}_{k_2}(0)} \hat{u}_{k_3}(0) e^{2isk_2^2} \cdot \psi_{\text{low}}(s, k_1, k_2, k_3).$$

Next we use a *stabilised* Taylor-series expansion to approximate the lower-order parts ψ_{low} : For $0 \leq s \leq t$ we have

$$e^{2isk_2^2} \psi_{\text{low}}(s, k_1, k_2, k_3) = e^{2isk_2^2} \left(1 + s \frac{\psi_{\text{low}}(t, k_1, k_2, k_3) - \psi_{\text{low}}(0, k_1, k_2, k_3)}{t} + \mathcal{O}(t^2 \psi_{\text{low}}'') \right), \quad (31)$$

where ψ_{low}'' involves at most second order derivatives. Plugging the stabilised Taylor-series expansion (31) into (28) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \text{Osc}(s, \Delta, u(0)) ds \\ &= \int_0^t \sum_{k=k_1-k_2+k_3} e^{ikx} \hat{u}_{k_1}(0) \overline{\hat{u}_{k_2}(0)} \hat{u}_{k_3}(0) e^{2isk_2^2} \left(1 + s \frac{\psi_{\text{low}}(t, k_1, k_2, k_3) - \psi_{\text{low}}(0, k_1, k_2, k_3)}{t} \right) ds \\ &+ \mathcal{O}(t^3 \Delta u) \\ &= \sum_{k=k_1-k_2+k_3} e^{ikx} \hat{u}_{k_1}(0) \overline{\hat{u}_{k_2}(0)} \hat{u}_{k_3}(0) \left(t \varphi_1(2itk_2^2) + t^2 \varphi_2(2itk_2^2) \frac{\psi_{\text{low}}(t, k_1, k_2, k_3) - \psi_{\text{low}}(0, k_1, k_2, k_3)}{t} \right) \\ &+ \mathcal{O}(t^3 \Delta u). \end{aligned}$$

Now we use that

$$\sum_{k_2} e^{-ik_2x} \overline{\hat{u}_{k_2}(0)} \varphi_\sigma(2itk_2^2) = \varphi_\sigma(-2it\Delta) \overline{u(0)}$$

as well as the relation

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=k_1-k_2+k_3} e^{ikx} \hat{u}_{k_1}(0) \overline{\hat{u}_{k_2}(0)} \hat{u}_{k_3}(0) \psi(t, k_1, k_2, k_3) \\
&= \sum_{k=k_1-k_2+k_3} e^{ikx} \hat{u}_{k_1}(0) \overline{\hat{u}_{k_2}(0)} \hat{u}_{k_3}(0) e^{it(2k_2^2-2(k_1+k_3)k_2+2k_1k_3)} e^{-2itk_2^2} \\
&= \sum_{k=k_1-k_2+k_3} e^{ikx} \hat{u}_{k_1}(0) \overline{\hat{u}_{k_2}(0)} \hat{u}_{k_3}(0) e^{itk^2} e^{-itk_1^2} e^{-itk_2^2} e^{-itk_3^2} \\
&= e^{-it\Delta} \left[(e^{it\Delta} u(0))^2 e^{it\Delta} \overline{u(0)} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we find the following approximation of the oscillatory integral in physical space

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t \text{Osc}(s, \Delta, u(0)) ds \\
&= tu^2(0) \left(\varphi_1(-2it\Delta) - \varphi_2(-2it\Delta) \right) \overline{u(0)} + te^{-it\Delta} \left[(e^{it\Delta} u(0))^2 \varphi_2(-2it\Delta) e^{it\Delta} \overline{u(0)} \right] + \mathcal{O}(t^3 \Delta u).
\end{aligned}$$

Plugging the latter into (27) yields the second-order resonance-based scheme

$$\begin{aligned}
u^{n+1} &= e^{i\tau\Delta} u^n - ite^{i\tau\Delta} \left[(u^n)^2 \left(\varphi_1(-2i\tau\Delta) - \varphi_2(-2i\tau\Delta) \right) \overline{u^n} \right] \\
&\quad - i\tau \left[(e^{i\tau\Delta} u^n)^2 \varphi_2(-2i\tau\Delta) e^{i\tau\Delta} \overline{u^n} \right] - \frac{\tau^2}{2} |u^n|^4 u^n
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

which was originally introduced in [14].

Following the above construction we see that (32) introduces a local error at order $\mathcal{O}(t^3 \Delta u)$ in polynomials at most of order Δu , i.e., with loss of two derivatives at most of order two. This is in contrast to classical second-order schemes which require at least the boundedness of four derivatives (see, e.g., [35, 52]).

Remark 2.6. *In order to construct higher-order resonance-based schemes with order $p \geq 3$ we have to iterate Duhamel's formula (6) up to higher order and discretise in a resonance-based way the appearing iterated integrals up to desired order p . The key challenge lies in controlling the higher order nonlinear frequency interactions in a structured way. To achieve this decorated trees provide a powerful tool, see [14] for a high order framework of resonance-based schemes up to arbitrary order.*

3. GENERAL SETTING

In Section 2 we illustrated the main idea of resonances as a computational tool on the example of periodic, cubic NLS equation. In the construction of the schemes we heavily exploited the periodic boundary conditions and Fourier series expansion which allowed us to explicitly control the resonance structure and nonlinear frequency interactions in the equation. However, it leaves an important question open: *Can we develop resonance-based schemes in a broader setting away from periodic boundary conditions and NLS, i.e., on more general domains, and for a more general class of equations?*

Indeed we can extend the idea of resonances as a computational tool to a large class of nonlinear evolution equations and in many cases this approach allows convergence for much rougher data than classical schemes, see for instance [58, 3] for the general setting and [6, 23, 49] for various examples such as the Navier–Stokes equation, parabolic problems with maximum principle, Neumann boundary conditions, etc.

We illustrate the main idea on the abstract evolution equation

$$\partial_t u - \Sigma u = P(u, \overline{u}) \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \tag{33}$$

with

$$\Sigma \in \{\Delta, i\Delta\} \quad (34)$$

and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, initial condition

$$u|_{t=0} = u_0. \quad (35)$$

When $\partial\Omega \neq \emptyset$ we equip the problem with some appropriate homogeneous boundary conditions. We furthermore assume a polynomial nonlinearity

$$P(u, \bar{u}) = u^\ell \bar{u}^m. \quad (36)$$

Remark 3.1. *To present the main idea as clearly as possible we give only formal calculations and focus on the simple case (33). For the much more general setting*

$$\partial_t u - \mathcal{L}u = f(u, \bar{u}) \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \quad (37)$$

and rigorous analysis we refer to [58], which allows a unified framework for parabolic, dispersive as well as mixed equations, covering many examples such as

- *Nonlinear heat equations*

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u = f(u, \bar{u}), \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \mathcal{L} = \Delta, \mathcal{A} = 0$$

- *Nonlinear Schrödinger equations*

$$i\partial_t u + \Delta u = \pm |u|^{2m} u, \quad m \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \mathcal{L} = i\Delta, \mathcal{A} = -2i\Delta, \quad f(u, \bar{u}) = \pm iu^{m+1} \bar{u}^m$$

- *Complex Ginzburg Landau equations*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u - \alpha \Delta u &= \gamma u(1 - |u|^2), \quad \alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re} \alpha \geq 0, \\ \text{i.e.,} \quad \mathcal{L} &= \alpha \Delta, \mathcal{A} = -2i \operatorname{Im} \alpha \Delta, \quad f(u, \bar{u}) = \gamma u(1 - u\bar{u}) \end{aligned}$$

- *Half wave equation*

$$i\partial_t u + |\nabla|u = \pm |u|^2 u, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \mathcal{L} = i|\nabla|, \quad f(u, \bar{u}) = \pm u^2 \bar{u}$$

- *Klein–Gordon and wave-type equations*

$$\partial_{tt} u - \Delta u + m^2 u = f(u).$$

We could also add potentials, see, e.g., [3].

To derive the new class of resonance-based schemes for (33) we again look at its iteration of Duhamel's formula

$$u(t) = e^{t\Sigma} u_0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-\xi)\Sigma} P \left(e^{\xi\Sigma} u_0, e^{\xi\bar{\Sigma}} \bar{u}_0 \right) d\xi + \int_0^t \int_0^\xi \dots d\xi_1 d\xi \quad (38)$$

with (now abstract) leading oscillations

$$\operatorname{Osc}(t, \xi, \Sigma, v, \bar{v}) = e^{(t-\xi)\Sigma} P \left(e^{\xi\Sigma} v, e^{\xi\bar{\Sigma}} \bar{v} \right). \quad (39)$$

Remark 3.2. *Unlike for dispersive equations such as the nonlinear Schrödinger equation discussed in Section 2, in the general setting of (33) we can not split the oscillatory phase $e^{t\Sigma}$ from the oscillations Osc , as $e^{-\xi\Sigma}$ might not be well-defined. Hence, to also allow for parabolic type problems (i.e., $\Sigma = \Delta$) we keep the full term $e^{(t-\xi)\Sigma}$ in (39)*

Thanks to definition (39) the solution $u(t)$ defined in (38) can be expressed as follows

$$u(t) = e^{t\Sigma} u_0 + \int_0^t \operatorname{Osc}(t, \xi, \Sigma, u_0, \bar{u}_0) d\xi + \mathcal{O}(t^2), \quad (40)$$

where the remainder $\mathcal{O}(t^2)$ corresponds to the iterated integral $\int_0^t \int_0^\xi d\xi_1 d\xi$ and requires no loss of derivatives. In contrast to the periodic case, where we can use Fourier series expansion to

explicitly calculate the nonlinear frequency interactions in $\text{Osc}(t, \xi, \Sigma, u_0, \bar{u}_0)$ we here have to take a more general approach to deal with the abstract oscillations (39): For this purpose we apply the fundamental theorem of calculus and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\xi \text{Osc}(t, \xi, \Sigma, u_0, \bar{u}_0) d\xi &= t \text{Osc}(t, 0, \Sigma, v, \bar{v}) + \int_0^t \int_0^\xi \partial_s \text{Osc}(t, s, \Sigma, v, \bar{v}) ds d\xi \\ &= te^{t\Sigma} P(v, \bar{v}) + \int_0^t \int_0^\xi \partial_s \text{Osc}(t, s, \Sigma, v, \bar{v}) ds d\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Next we calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_\xi \text{Osc}(t, \xi, \Sigma, v, \bar{v}) \\ &= e^{(t-\xi)\Sigma} \left[-\Sigma \left(p \left(e^{\xi\Sigma} v, e^{\xi\bar{\Sigma}} \bar{v} \right) \right) + \ell \left(e^{\xi\Sigma} v \right)^{\ell-1} \left(e^{\xi\bar{\Sigma}} \bar{v} \right)^m \cdot \Sigma e^{\xi\Sigma} v + m \left(e^{\xi\Sigma} v \right)^\ell \left(e^{\xi\bar{\Sigma}} \bar{v} \right)^{m-1} \cdot \bar{\Sigma} e^{\xi\bar{\Sigma}} \bar{v} \right] \\ &= e^{(t-\xi)\Sigma} \left[m \left(e^{\xi\Sigma} v \right)^\ell \left(e^{\xi\bar{\Sigma}} \bar{v} \right)^{m-1} \cdot (-\Sigma + \bar{\Sigma}) e^{\xi\bar{\Sigma}} \bar{v} \right] + \text{lower order terms}, \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

where the lower order terms in (42) involve only first-order derivatives, whereas $\deg \Sigma = 2$ (cf. (34)).

Remark 3.3. For a general differential operator Σ of degree p the lower order terms in (42) will at most be of order $q \leq p - 1$.

Remark 3.4. We see that for real-valued operators $\Sigma = \bar{\Sigma}$ the dominant, i.e., leading error term

$$(-\Sigma + \bar{\Sigma}) e^{\xi\bar{\Sigma}} \bar{v}$$

in (42) drops. In this case, the classical approximation

$$\int_0^\xi \text{Osc}(t, \xi, \Sigma, u_0, \bar{u}_0) d\xi \approx t \text{Osc}(t, 0, \Sigma, v, \bar{v})$$

as taken for instance in Lie splitting (4) only involves lower order derivatives. In the general case, Σ possibly complex valued, the dominant term

$$(-\Sigma + \bar{\Sigma}) e^{\xi\bar{\Sigma}} \bar{v}, \quad (43)$$

however, requires the same regularity assumptions as the leading operator Σ in the equation. To allow for low regularity approximations (requiring less regularity than the full operator Σ) in the general setting (Σ possibly complex) the classical approximation (43) is no longer sufficient: We have to get rid of the leading error term $(-\Sigma + \bar{\Sigma}) e^{\xi\bar{\Sigma}} \bar{v}$.

For this purpose we introduce the resonance-based oscillations

$$\text{Rosc}(t, \xi, r, \Sigma, v, \bar{v}) = e^{(t-\xi)\Sigma} P \left(e^{\xi\Sigma} v, e^{\xi\Sigma} e^{r(-\Sigma+\bar{\Sigma})} \bar{v} \right) \quad (44)$$

which satisfy along the diagonal $r = \xi$

$$\text{Rosc}(t, \xi, r = \xi, \Sigma, v, \bar{v}) = \text{Osc}(t, \xi, \Sigma, v, \bar{v}).$$

Next, by the fundamental theorem of calculus we compute that

$$\text{Osc}(t, \xi, \Sigma, v, \bar{v}) = \text{Rosc}(t, 0, \xi, \Sigma, v, \bar{v}) + \int_0^\xi \partial_s \text{Rosc}(t, s, \xi, \Sigma, v, \bar{v}) ds, \quad (45)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_s \text{Rosc}(t, s, \xi, \Sigma, v, \bar{v}) \\
&= e^{(t-s)\Sigma} \left[-\Sigma \left(P \left(e^{s\Sigma} v, e^{s\Sigma} e^{\xi(-\Sigma+\bar{\Sigma})} \bar{v} \right) \right) + \ell \left(e^{s\Sigma} v \right)^{\ell-1} \left(e^{s\Sigma} e^{\xi(-\Sigma+\bar{\Sigma})} \bar{v} \right)^m \cdot \Sigma e^{s\Sigma} v \right. \\
&\quad \left. + m \left(e^{s\Sigma} v \right)^\ell \left(e^{s\Sigma} e^{\xi(-\Sigma+\bar{\Sigma})} \bar{v} \right)^{m-1} \cdot \Sigma e^{s\Sigma} e^{\xi(-\Sigma+\bar{\Sigma})} \bar{v} \right] \\
&= \text{lower order terms only involving differential operators of degree } q \leq \text{deg}(\Sigma) - 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

This is the main advantage of the new resonance-based approach (44): Instead of introducing the full error term (42), the improved remainder (46) only involves lower order differential operators.

Next we plug the resonance-based expansion (45) together with the observation that (cf. (44))

$$\text{Rosc}(t, 0, \xi, \Sigma, v, \bar{v}) = e^{t\Sigma} P \left(v, e^{\xi(-\Sigma+\bar{\Sigma})} \bar{v} \right)$$

into the iteration of Duhamel's formula (40) and obtain

$$u(t) = e^{t\Sigma} u_0 + \int_0^t e^{t\Sigma} P \left(u_0, e^{\xi(-\Sigma+\bar{\Sigma})} \bar{u}_0 \right) d\xi + t^2 \mathcal{R}_{\text{deg}(\Sigma)-1}(u),$$

where the remainder $\mathcal{R}_{\text{deg}(\Sigma)-1}(u)$ involves only lower order differential operators of degree $\text{deg}(\Sigma) - 1$. Exploiting the polynomial structure of nonlinearity P (see (36)) we furthermore obtain that

$$u(t) = e^{t\Sigma} u_0 + t e^{t\Sigma} P \left(u_0, \varphi_1(t(-\Sigma + \bar{\Sigma})) \bar{u}_0 \right) + t^2 \mathcal{R}_{\text{deg}(\Sigma)-1}(u). \tag{47}$$

This builds the motivation for the general first-order resonance-based scheme

$$u^{n+1} = e^{\tau\Sigma} u^n + \tau e^{\tau\Sigma} P \left(u^n, \varphi_1(\tau(-\Sigma + \bar{\Sigma})) \bar{u}^n \right)$$

which allows convergence in a general setting, including parabolic, dispersive and hyperbolic problems, for rougher data than classical schemes. For a precise convergence analysis we refer to [58, Theorem 2].

Remark 3.5. *Similarly to the periodic setting of Section 2.5 we can also achieve higher order approximations in the general setting, by considering higher order Duhamel iterations in (38) and their corresponding resonance-based discretisation. For a high-order analysis in the general setting via decorated tree series analysis we refer to [3].*

Remark 3.6. *Another natural question is to see in how far resonances can be used when randomness comes into play. We refer to [1] and [5] for recent progress in this direction.*

4. ERROR ANALYSIS: OR HOW FAR CAN WE GO?

In this section we want to know how far we can actually push down the Sobolev index $\sigma > 0$ when solving dispersive equations such as cubic NLS (cf. (2)) with the fundamental question: can we prove convergence at the level of well-posedness of the equation? More precisely, if we know that the solution of the equation exists in some Sobolev space H^{γ_1} , $\gamma_1 > 0$ (globally in time or up to a certain time $T > 0$) can we then also approximate the solution at this level of regularity, i.e., establish an error estimate

$$\|u(t) - u^n\|_{H^{\gamma_1}} \leq \tau^q C_T,$$

where C_T depends only on the H^{γ_2} norm of the solution u on $[0, T]$ and the exponent q naturally will depend on γ_1 and γ_2 and we wish to choose $\gamma_2 > \gamma_1$ as close as possible to γ_1 .

This question becomes in particular tough to answer when we indeed want to go below the critical regularity

$$0 < \gamma_2 \leq d/2 \tag{48}$$

where classical techniques in error analysis based on standard product estimates break down.

In order to explain the ideas, we focus on the cubic, periodic NLS (1) in dimension one, though the techniques we shall introduce can be used to perform error estimates at low regularity of time discretizations for a large class of dispersive equations with periodic boundary conditions (see for example [56], [55] and [42] for higher dimensions, [59] for the case of the KdV equation). These ideas are useful to analyze not only the resonance based schemes presented in the previous section but also more classical exponential integrators or splitting schemes. To understand the difficulties for the error analysis at low regularity, we first have to recall the main difficulties that show up when one tries to prove local well posedness in H^s , $s < 1/2$ at the continuous level. In the whole space $x \in \mathbb{R}$ the analysis relies on Strichartz estimates which are valid because of the dispersive decay properties (see for example the book [63]): one can prove that for the linear Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t u + \partial_{xx} u = F, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0 \quad (49)$$

one gets the estimate

$$\|u\|_{L_t^p(\mathbb{R}, L_x^q(\mathbb{R}))} \lesssim_{p,q} \|u_0\|_{L^2} + \|F\|_{L_t^{p'_1}(\mathbb{R}, L_x^{q'_1}(\mathbb{R}))}$$

where (p, q) and (p_1, q_1) are admissible pairs and p'_1, q'_1 are such that $p_1^{-1} + (p'_1)^{-1} = 1$, $q_1^{-1} + (q'_1)^{-1} = 1$. The pair (p, q) is admissible (in dimension one) if $p \geq 2$ and $2/p + 1/q = 1/2$. In particular one gets that for an L^2 initial data, the solution u of (49) is in $L_t^4 L_x^\infty$. This strong gain of integrability allows to prove local existence for rough data by a fixed point argument. This estimate strongly relies on the dispersive estimate: there exists $C > 0$ such that for every solution of (49) with $F = 0$, one has

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{C}{t^{1/2}} \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Up to our knowledge in numerical analysis discrete type Strichartz estimates were first applied to cubic Schrödinger equations with solutions in $H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $d \leq 3$ in [39, 40, 41].

This estimate is not true for periodic boundary conditions and thus the Strichartz estimates do not hold in such generality. Nevertheless, for periodic boundary conditions, there is still a gain of integrability which holds locally in time. For example, for the linear Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t u + \partial_x u = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{T}, \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0,$$

we have

$$\|u\|_{L^4(\mathbb{T}^2)} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{L^2}. \quad (50)$$

This classical estimate in harmonic analysis goes back to Zygmund. A useful way to encode this type of properties to analyze nonlinear problems with periodic boundary conditions is to use the Fourier restriction spaces introduced by Bourgain [13]. For a function $u(t, x)$ on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$, $\tilde{u}(\sigma, k)$ stands for its time-space Fourier transform, i.e.

$$\tilde{u}(\sigma, k) = \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}} u(t, x) e^{-i\sigma t - ikx} dx dt.$$

The inverse transform is given by

$$u(t, x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{u}_k(t) e^{ikx}$$

with the Fourier coefficients $\hat{u}_k(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{u}(\sigma, k) e^{i\sigma t} d\sigma$.

This way, we can define the Bourgain space $X^{s,b} = X^{s,b}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ consisting of tempered distribution with finite norm

$$\|u\|_{X^{s,b}} = \|\langle k \rangle^s \langle \sigma + k^2 \rangle^b \tilde{u}(\sigma, k)\|_{L^2 l^2}.$$

Some well-known basic properties of these spaces are the following (we refer for example to [13], and the books [46], [63]):

Lemma 4.1. For $\eta \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, we have that

$$\|\eta(t)e^{it\partial_x^2}f\|_{X^{s,b}} \lesssim_{\eta,b} \|f\|_{H^s}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, b \in \mathbb{R}, f \in H^s(\mathbb{T}), \quad (51)$$

$$\|\eta(t)u\|_{X^{s,b}} \lesssim_{\eta,b} \|u\|_{X^{s,b}}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, b \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (52)$$

$$\|\eta(\frac{t}{T})u\|_{X^{s,b'}} \lesssim_{\eta,b,b'} T^{b-b'} \|u\|_{X^{s,b}}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, -\frac{1}{2} < b' \leq b < \frac{1}{2}, 0 < T \leq 1, \quad (53)$$

$$\left\| \eta(t) \int_{-\infty}^t e^{i(t-s)\partial_x^2} F(s) ds \right\|_{X^{s,b}} \lesssim_{\eta,b} \|F\|_{X^{s,b-1}}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, b > \frac{1}{2}, \quad (54)$$

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, H^s)} \lesssim_b \|u\|_{X^{s,b}}, \quad b > 1/2, s \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (55)$$

We actually have the continuous embedding $X^{s,b} \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}, H^s)$ for $b > 1/2$ which is a consequence of the Sobolev embedding in the time variable.

The crucial estimate for the analysis of the cubic equation is the following appropriate generalization of (50) in the framework of Bourgain spaces:

Lemma 4.2. There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $u \in X^{0, \frac{3}{8}}$, we have the estimate

$$\|u\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})} \leq C \|u\|_{X^{0, \frac{3}{8}}}.$$

Again, we refer to [63] Proposition 2.13 for its proof. Note that, by duality, we also obtain that

$$\|u\|_{X^{0, -\frac{3}{8}}} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})}.$$

By combining the two estimates with Hölder, this further implies that

$$\|uvw\|_{X^{0, -\frac{3}{8}}} \lesssim \|u\|_{X^{0, \frac{3}{8}}} \|v\|_{X^{0, \frac{3}{8}}} \|w\|_{X^{0, \frac{3}{8}}}. \quad (56)$$

The well-posedness for (1) at low regularity is proven by looking for a fixed point of the functional

$$F(v)(t) = \eta(t)e^{it\partial_x^2}u_0 - i\eta(t) \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\partial_x^2} \left(\eta\left(\frac{s}{\delta}\right) |v(s)|^2 v(s) \right) ds, \quad (57)$$

where $\eta \in [0, 1]$ is a smooth compactly supported function which is equal to 1 on $[-1, 1]$ and supported in $[-2, 2]$. For $|t| \leq \delta \leq 1/2$, a fixed point of the above functional gives a solution of the original Cauchy problem, denoted by u .

By choosing $b \in (1/2, 5/8)$, we can use the estimates of the two previous Lemma to get that

$$\|F(v)\|_{X^{0,b}} \leq C \|u_0\|_{L^2} + C\delta^{\epsilon_0} \|v\|_{X^{0,b}}^3$$

and if $\|v_1\|_{X^{0,b}} \leq R$, $\|v_2\|_{X^{0,b}} \leq R$, that

$$\|F(v_1) - F(v_2)\|_{X^{0,b}} \leq 4C\delta^{\epsilon_0} R^2 \|v_1 - v_2\|_{X^{0,b}}$$

where $\epsilon_0 = 5/8 - b > 0$.

One can thus use Banach fixed point Theorem in a suitable ball of $X^{0,b}$. This gives short time well posedness for L^2 initial data. One then get a global solution by using the conservation of the L^2 norm. This type of estimates also allows to propagate higher regularity globally in time.

One can then use these ideas to perform error analysis for a large class of time discretizations by defining time discrete Bourgain spaces.

For a sequence $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, we define its Fourier transform as

$$\mathcal{F}_\tau(u_n)(\sigma) = \tau \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} u_m e^{im\tau\sigma}.$$

This defines a periodic function on $[-\pi/\tau, \pi/\tau]$ and we have the inverse Fourier transform formula

$$u_m = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{\tau}}^{\frac{\pi}{\tau}} \mathcal{F}_\tau(u_n)(\sigma) e^{-im\tau\sigma} d\sigma.$$

With these definitions the Parseval identity reads

$$\|u_n\|_{l_\tau^2} = \|\mathcal{F}_\tau(u_n)\|_{L^2(-\pi/\tau, \pi/\tau)},$$

where the norms are defined by

$$\|u_n\|_{l_\tau^2}^2 = \tau \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |u_n|^2, \quad \|\mathcal{F}_\tau(u_n)\|_{L^2(-\pi/\tau, \pi/\tau)}^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/\tau}^{\pi/\tau} |\mathcal{F}_\tau(u_n)(\sigma)|^2 d\sigma.$$

We write L^2 instead of $L^2(-\pi/\tau, \pi/\tau)$ for short. We stress the fact that this is not the standard way of normalizing the Fourier series.

We then define in a natural way Sobolev spaces H_τ^b of sequences $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ by

$$\|u_n\|_{H_\tau^b} = \left\| \langle d_\tau(\sigma) \rangle^b \mathcal{F}_\tau(u_n) \right\|_{L^2},$$

with $d_\tau(\sigma) = \frac{e^{i\tau\sigma} - 1}{\tau}$ so that we have equivalent norms

$$\|u_n\|_{H_\tau^b} = \|\langle D_\tau \rangle^b u_n\|_{l_\tau^2},$$

where the operator D_τ is defined by $(D_\tau(u_n))_n = \left(\frac{u_{n-1} - u_n}{\tau} \right)_n$ since by definition of the Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F}_\tau(D_\tau u_n)(\sigma) = d_\tau(\sigma) \mathcal{F}_\tau(u_n)(\sigma).$$

Note that d_τ is $2\pi/\tau$ periodic and that uniformly in τ , we have $|d_\tau(\sigma)| \sim |\sigma|$ for $|\tau\sigma| \leq \pi$.

For sequences of functions $(u_n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, we define the Fourier transform $\widetilde{u}_n(\sigma, k)$ by

$$\mathcal{F}_{\tau,x}(u_n)(\sigma, k) = \widetilde{u}_n(\sigma, k) = \tau \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{u}_m(k) e^{im\tau\sigma}, \quad \widehat{u}_m(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} u_m(x) e^{-ikx} dx.$$

Parseval's identity then reads

$$\|\widetilde{u}_n\|_{L^2 l^2} = \|u_n\|_{l_\tau^2 L^2}, \tag{58}$$

where

$$\|\widetilde{u}_n\|_{L^2 l^2}^2 = \int_{-\pi/\tau}^{\pi/\tau} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widetilde{u}_n(\sigma, k)|^2 d\sigma, \quad \|u_n\|_{l_\tau^2 L^2}^2 = \tau \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |u_m(x)|^2 dx.$$

We then finally define the discrete Bourgain spaces $X_\tau^{s,b}$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$, $\tau > 0$ by

$$\|u_n\|_{X_\tau^{s,b}} = \|e^{-in\tau\partial_x^2} u_n\|_{H_\tau^b H^s} = \|\langle D_\tau \rangle^b \langle \partial_x \rangle^s (e^{-in\tau\partial_x^2} u_n)\|_{l_\tau^2 L^2} \tag{59}$$

where $\langle \cdot \rangle = (1 + |\cdot|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

As in the continuous case, we can relate this definition to a Fourier restriction norm adapted to the dispersion relation of the underlying scheme:

Lemma 4.3. *With the above definition, we have that*

$$\|u_n\|_{X_\tau^{s,b}} \sim \left\| \langle k \rangle^s \langle d_\tau(\sigma - k^2) \rangle^b \widetilde{u}_n(\sigma, k) \right\|_{L^2 l^2}. \tag{60}$$

Note that the weight $d_\tau(\sigma - k^2)$ vanishes if $\tau(\sigma - k^2) = 2m\pi$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. For a localized function such that k is constrained to $|k| \lesssim \tau^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ this will behave like in the continuous case with only a cancellation when $\sigma = k^2$. For larger frequencies, however, there are additional cancellations that will create some loss in the product estimates at the discrete level.

With this definition, the counterpart of Lemma 4.1 holds at the discrete level.

Lemma 4.4. For $\eta \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $\tau \in (0, 1]$, we have that

$$\|\eta(n\tau)e^{in\tau\partial_x^2}f\|_{X_\tau^{s,b}} \lesssim_{\eta,b} \|f\|_{H^s}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, b \in \mathbb{R}, f \in H^s, \quad (61)$$

$$\|\eta(n\tau)u_n\|_{X_\tau^{s,b}} \lesssim_{\eta,b} \|u_n\|_{X_\tau^{s,b}}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, b \in \mathbb{R}, u_n \in X_\tau^{s,b}, \quad (62)$$

$$\left\| \eta\left(\frac{n\tau}{T}\right)u_n \right\|_{X_\tau^{s,b'}} \lesssim_{\eta,b,b'} T^{b-b'} \|u_n\|_{X_\tau^{s,b}}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, -\frac{1}{2} < b' \leq b < \frac{1}{2}, 0 < T = N\tau \leq 1, N \geq 1. \quad (63)$$

In addition, for

$$U_n(x) = \eta(n\tau)\tau \sum_{m=0}^n e^{i(n-m)\tau\partial_x^2} u_m(x),$$

we have

$$\|U_n\|_{X_\tau^{s,b}} \lesssim_{\eta,b} \|u_n\|_{X_\tau^{s,b-1}}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, b > 1/2. \quad (64)$$

We stress that all given estimates are uniform in τ .

Finally, we have to study the discrete counterpart of Lemma 4.2 which is crucial for the analysis of nonlinear problems at low regularity.

In the discrete setting, for a sequence $(u_n) \in l^p(\mathbb{Z}, X)$, with X normed space we use the norm

$$\|u_n\|_{l_\tau^p(X)} = \left(\tau \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \|u_n\|_X^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (65)$$

We shall use the Fourier multiplier

$$\widehat{\Pi_K f} = 1_{|k| \leq K} \hat{f}, \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$$

which projects on frequencies smaller than K .

Lemma 4.5. For $K \geq \tau^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, we have

$$\|\Pi_K u_n\|_{l_\tau^4 L^4} \lesssim (K\tau^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u_n\|_{X_\tau^{0, \frac{3}{8}}}. \quad (66)$$

Note that choosing $K = \tau^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, we get the same estimate as in the continuous case. This can be interpreted as a type of CFL condition. If we impose only a weaker condition then the properties of the wave interactions at the discrete level are different from the ones at the continuous level, in some sense, the interaction picture of the continuous case is reproduced $K\tau^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ times in the discrete case and we start losing uniformity. By using a Littlewood-Paley decomposition, this can be translated into a loss of derivative in the embedding. We can get the following

Corollary 4.6. For $K = \tau^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$, $\alpha \geq 1$, we have

$$\|\Pi_K u_n\|_{l_\tau^4 L^4} \lesssim \|u_n\|_{X_\tau^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}), \frac{3}{8}}}$$

Note that for $\alpha < 2$ this estimate is still an improvement compared to the Sobolev embedding $H^{\frac{1}{4}}(\mathbb{T}) \subset L^4(\mathbb{T})$.

To illustrate how this framework can be used to perform energy estimate, we shall study error estimates for the following filtered splitting scheme

$$u^{n+1} = e^{i\tau\partial_x^2} \Pi_\tau \left(e^{-i\tau|\Pi_\tau u^n|^2} \Pi_\tau u^n \right), \quad u^0 = \Pi_\tau u(0) \quad (67)$$

where we have set $\Pi_\tau = \Pi_K$ with $K = \tau^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for short. In view of the above analysis, the presence of the filter is crucial to have at our disposal optimal low regularity product estimates. The filtered Lie splitting (67) can be seen as a classical Lie splitting discretisation of the projected equation

$$i\partial_t u_\tau = -\partial_x^2 u_\tau + \Pi_\tau (|\Pi_\tau u_\tau|^2 \Pi_\tau u_\tau), \quad u_\tau(0) = \Pi_\tau u(0). \quad (68)$$

For an initial data $u_0 \in H^{s_0}$, and for every $T > 0$ by using the PDE estimates, one can first show that uniformly for $\tau \in (0, 1]$, we have for some $C_T > 0$,

$$\|u - u_\tau\|_{L^\infty((0,T);L^2)} \lesssim \|u - u_\tau\|_{X^{0,b}(T)} \leq C_T \tau^{\frac{s_0}{2}}$$

where $X^{0,b}(T)$ is the local version of the Bourgain space. This yields that in order to get an error estimate, it suffices to study $e_n = u_n - u_\tau(t_n)$. As above, we then study an extended equation for the error under the form

$$e^n = -i\tau\eta(t_n) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{i(n-k)\tau\partial_x^2\eta} \left(\frac{t_k}{T_1}\right) (\Phi_{\mathcal{N}}^\tau(u_\tau(t_k)) - \Phi_{\mathcal{N}}^\tau(u_\tau(t_k) - e^k)) + \mathcal{R}_n, \quad (69)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_n = -i\eta(t_n) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{i(n-k)\tau\partial_x^2\eta} \mathcal{E}_{\text{loc}}(t_k, \tau, u_\tau) \quad (70)$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{\text{loc}}(t_k, \tau, u_\tau)$ is the local error for the time discretization of u_τ and we have set

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{N}}^\tau(w) = -\Pi_\tau \left(\frac{e^{-i\tau|\Pi_\tau w|^2} - 1}{i\tau} \Pi_\tau w \right).$$

Note that for $0 \leq n \leq N_1$, where $N_1 = \lfloor \frac{T_1}{\tau} \rfloor$ with $T_1 \leq \min(1, T)$, the solution of (69) is indeed the error we want to estimate.

The analysis of the local error yields

$$\|\mathcal{R}_n\|_{X_\tau^{0,b}} \leq C_T \tau^{\frac{s_0}{2}}.$$

Moreover, by using Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.5, we can obtain an estimate under the form

$$\|e^n\|_{X_\tau^{0,b}} \leq C_T T_1^{\varepsilon_0} \left(\|e^n\|_{X_\tau^{0,\frac{3}{8}}} + \|e^n\|_{X_\tau^{0,\frac{3}{8}}}^2 + \|e^n\|_{X_\tau^{0,\frac{3}{8}}}^3 \right) + C_T \tau^{\frac{s_0}{2}}$$

for some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ for the solution of (69).

This yields the following result

Theorem 4.7. *For every $T > 0$ and $u_0 \in H^{s_0}$, $s_0 > 0$, let $u \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], H^{s_0})$ be the exact solution of (1) with initial datum u_0 and denote by u_τ^n the sequence defined by the scheme (67). Then, we have the following error estimate: there exists $\tau_0 > 0$ and $C_T > 0$ such that for every step size $\tau \in (0, \tau_0]$*

$$\|u_\tau^n - u(t_n)\|_{L^2} \leq C_T \tau^{\frac{s_0}{2}}, \quad 0 \leq n\tau \leq T. \quad (71)$$

We refer to [55], [42] for the full proof.

Here we only discuss the temporal error; for fully discrete error estimates, see [43].

5. OPEN QUESTIONS

When it comes to physically motivated equations a key challenge in numerical integration lies in structure preservation: If the equation has a certain balance law (e.g., energy or mass conservation), we would like to reproduce this structure as far as possible also at the numerical (discrete) level. For ODEs an impressive theory could be meanwhile established with a revolutionary step set by the theory of geometric numerical integration (cf. Hairer et al. [32], Blanes & Casas [12], Engquist et al. [24], Leimkuhler & Reich [48], Sanz-Serna & Calvo [60]). For PDEs, on the other hand, a

unified theory is far out of reach and very little is known so far, see, e.g., the groundbreaking and pioneering works on the analysis of splitting and trigonometric methods for nonlinear Schrödinger and wave equations over long times [21, 26, 29, 30, 25], scattering [19], long-time error estimates for small, smooth initial data [10, 8, 9] and energy and mass preserving Crank–Nicolson approximations for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation [4, 11, 33, 61].

It is a natural, yet in large parts widely open question to ask in how far resonances can be used to design structure preserving schemes. First we have to note that classical resonance-based schemes are in general not structure preserving, they are even not symmetric.

Example 5.1 (Loss of symmetry in classical resonance-based schemes). We say that a one-step method $u^{n+1} = \Phi_\tau(u^n)$ is symmetric, if it holds that

$$\Phi_\tau = \Phi_{-\tau}^{-1}.$$

Let us for example consider the second order resonance-based scheme (32) for cubic Schrödinger equation. While the scheme (32) allows us to reduce the regularity assumptions imposed by classical schemes it destroys symmetry. This can be easily seen by the following observation: The adjoint method $\Phi_{-\tau}^{-1}$ is implicit, while the scheme (32) itself is explicit. The scheme (32) cannot therefore be symmetric.

This is also what we observe in simulations: energy and mass are not well preserved over long time scales. Recently, symmetric resonance-based schemes could be introduced in [2]. Symplectic first-order resonance-based schemes for periodic, one-dimensional NLS and KdV could be found in [53]. A general class of symplectic resonance-based schemes (beyond one dimension and two special cases) which inherit the symplectic structure of the underlying PDE on the other hand remain open. The main difficulty lies in the open question how to find a *symplectic* resonance-based discretisation of the leading oscillation, which are in case of cubic NLS posed on \mathbb{T}^d for instance driven by

$$R(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) = 2\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot -2(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_3) \cdot \mathbf{k}_2 + 2\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}_3, \quad \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3 \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Alama Bronsard, Y. Bruned, K. Schratz, *Approximations of dispersive PDEs in the presence of low-regularity randomness*. Found. Comput. Math. (to appear) <https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.02156>
- [2] Y. Alama Bronsard, Y. Bruned, G. Maierhofer, K. Schratz, *Symmetric resonance-based integrators and forest formulae*. preprint (2023) <https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.16737>
- [3] Y. Alama Bronsard, Y. Bruned, K. Schratz, *Low regularity integrators via decorated trees*. preprint (2022) <https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.01171>.
- [4] G. D. Akrivis, V. A. Dougalis, O. A. Karakashian, *On fully discrete Galerkin methods of second-order temporal accuracy for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation*. Numer. Math., 59:31–53 (1991)
- [5] J. Armstrong-Goodall, Y. Bruned, *Resonance based schemes for SPDEs*. preprint (2023) <https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.16690>.
- [6] G. Bai, B. Li, Y. Wu, *A constructive low-regularity integrator for the 1d cubic non-linear Schrödinger equation under the Neumann boundary condition*. IMA J. Numer. Anal. doi: 10.1093/imanum/drac075 (2022)
- [7] V. Banica, G. Maierhofer, K. Schratz, *Numerical integration of Schrödinger maps via the Hasimoto transform* preprint (2022), SIAM J. Numer. Anal. (to appear) arxiv.org/abs/2205.05024.
- [8] W. Bao, Y. Cai, Y. Feng, *Improved uniform error bounds of the time-splitting methods for the long-time (non-linear) Schroedinger equation*. Math. Comp. 92:1109–1139 (2023)
- [9] W. Bao, Y. Cai, Y. Feng, *Improved uniform error bounds on time-splitting methods for long-time dynamics of the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation with weak nonlinearity*. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 60:1962–1984 (2022)
- [10] W. Bao, Y. Cai, *Uniform error estimates of finite difference methods for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with wave operator*. SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 50:492–521 (2012)
- [11] C. Besse, S. Descombes, G. Dujardin, I. Lacroix-Violet, *Energy-preserving methods for nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, IMA J. Numer. Anal. 41:618–653 (2020)
- [12] S. Blanes, F. Casas, *A concise introduction to geometric numerical integration*, Monographs and Research Notes in Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL 2016

- [13] J. Bourgain, *Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations. Part I: Schrödinger equations*. Geom. Funct. Anal. 3:107–156 (1993)
- [14] Y. Bruned, K. Schratz, *Resonance-based schemes for dispersive equations via decorated trees*. Forum of Mathematics, Pi 10:1–76 (2022)
- [15] J. C. Butcher, *Trees, B-series and G-symplectic methods*. AIP Conference Proceedings 1863(1):020001 (2017)
- [16] M. Cabreba Calvo, F. Rousset, K. Schratz, *Time integrators for dispersive equations in the long wave regime*, Math. Comp. 91:2197–2214 (2022).
- [17] M. Cabreba Calvo, K. Schratz, *Uniformly accurate low regularity integrators for the Klein–Gordon equation from the classical to nonrelativistic limit regime*. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 60:888–912 (2022).
- [18] J. Cao, B. Li, Y. Lin, *A new second-order low-regularity integrator for the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation*. IMA J. Numer. Anal. (2023): DOI: 10.1093/imanum/drad017
- [19] R. Carles, C. Su, *Scattering and uniform in time error estimates for splitting method in NLS*. to appear in Found. Comp. Math, preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.14258>
- [20] E. Celledoni, D. Cohen, B. Owren, *Symmetric exponential integrators with an application to the cubic Schrödinger equation*. Found. Comput. Math. 8:303–317 (2008)
- [21] D. Cohen, E. Hairer, C. Lubich, *Long-time analysis of nonlinearly perturbed wave equations via modulated Fourier expansions*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 187:341–368 (2008)
- [22] D. Cohen, E. Hairer, C. Lubich, *Conservation of energy, momentum and actions in numerical discretizations of non-linear wave equations*. Numer. Math. 110:113–143 (2008).
- [23] C.K. Doan, T.T. Phuong Hoang, L. Ju, K. Schratz, *Low regularity integrators for semilinear parabolic equations with maximum bound principles*. BIT Numerical Mathematics 63: online first <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10543-023-00946-2> (2023)
- [24] B. Engquist, A. Fokas, E. Hairer, A. Iserles, *Highly Oscillatory Problems*. Cambridge University Press, 2009
- [25] E. Faou, *Geometric Numerical Integration and Schrödinger Equations*. European Math. Soc. Publishing House, Zürich 2012
- [26] E. Faou, L. Gauckler, C. Lubich *Plane wave stability of the split-step Fourier method for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation*. Forum of Math. Sigma 2 (2014) e5
- [27] E. Faou, B. Grébert, E. Patrel, *Birkhoff normal form for splitting methods applied to semi linear Hamiltonian PDEs. Part I: Finite dimensional discretization*. Numer. Math. 114:429–458 (2010)
- [28] E. Faou, B. Grébert, E. Patrel, *Birkhoff normal form for splitting methods applied to semi linear Hamiltonian PDEs. Part II: Abstract splitting*. Numer. Math. 114:459–490 (2010)
- [29] L. Gauckler, C. Lubich, *Splitting integrators for nonlinear Schrödinger equations over long times*. Found. Comput. Math. 10:275–302 (2010)
- [30] L. Gauckler, C. Lubich, *Nonlinear Schrödinger equations and their spectral semi-discretizations over long times*. Found. Comput. Math. 10, 141–169 (2010)
- [31] M. Gubinelli, *Rough solutions for the periodic Korteweg-de Vries equation*. Comm. Pure Appl. Anal. 11:709–733 (2012)
- [32] E. Hairer, C. Lubich, G. Wanner, *Geometric Numerical Integration. Structure-Preserving Algorithms for Ordinary Differential Equations*. Second Edition. Springer, Berlin, 2006
- [33] P. Henning, D. Peterseim, *Crank-Nicolson Galerkin approximations to nonlinear Schrödinger equations with rough potentials*. Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. 27:2147–2184 (2017)
- [34] M. Hochbruck, C. Lubich, *A Gautschi-type method for oscillatory second-order differential equations*. Numer. Math. 83:403–426 (1999)
- [35] M. Hochbruck, A. Ostermann, *Exponential integrators*. Acta Numer. 19:209–286 (2010)
- [36] M. Hofmanová, K. Schratz, *An exponential-type integrator for the KdV equation*. Numer. Math. 136:1117–1137 (2017)
- [37] H. Holden, K. H. Karlsen, K.-A. Lie, N. H. Risebro, *Splitting for Partial Differential Equations with Rough Solutions*. European Math. Soc. Publishing House, Zürich, 2010
- [38] W. Hundsdorfer, J. Verwer, *Numerical Solution of Time-Dependent Advection- Diffusion-Reaction Equations*. Springer, Berlin, 2003
- [39] L. Ignat, E. Zuazua, *Dispersive properties of numerical schemes for nonlinear Schrödinger equations*. Found. Comput. Math., London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes 331:181–207 (2006)
- [40] L. Ignat, E. Zuazua, *Numerical dispersive schemes for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation*. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 47:1366–1390 (2009)
- [41] L. I. Ignat, *A splitting method for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation*. J. Differential Equations 250:3022–3046 (2011)
- [42] L. Ji, A. Ostermann, F. Rousset, K. Schratz *Low regularity error estimates for the time integration of 2D NLS*, arXiv:2301.10639

- [43] L. Ji, A. Ostermann, F. Rousset, K. Schratz Low regularity full error estimates for the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation, arXiv:2311.14366
- [44] S. Jin, *Schrödinger equation: Computation*, Invited contribution to Springer “Encyclopedia of Applied and Computational Mathematics”, ed. by B. Engquist, pp. 1299-1301, 2015.
- [45] J. D. Lawson, *Generalized Runge–Kutta processes for stable systems with large Lipschitz constants*. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 4:372–380 (1967)
- [46] F. Linares, G. Ponce, *Introduction to Nonlinear Dispersive Equations*. Second edition. Springer, New York, 2015.
- [47] R.I. McLachlan, G.R.W. Quispel, *Splitting methods*. Acta Numer. 11:341–434 (2002)
- [48] B. Leimkuhler, S. Reich, *Simulating Hamiltonian dynamics*. Cambridge Monographs on Applied and Computational Mathematics 14. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004
- [49] B. Li, S. Ma, K. Schratz, *A semi-implicit low-regularity integrator for Navier-Stokes equations*. SIAM J. Num. Anal. 60:2273–2292 (2022)
- [50] B. Li, Y. Wu, *A fully discrete low-regularity integrator for the 1D periodic cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation*. Numer. Math. 149:151–183 (2021)
- [51] B. Li, Y. Wu, *An unfiltered low-regularity integrator for the KdV equation with solutions below H^1* . preprint (2022) <https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.09320>
- [52] C. Lubich, *On splitting methods for Schrödinger–Poisson and cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equations*. Math. Comp. 77:2141–2153 (2008)
- [53] G. Maierhofer, K. Schratz, *Bridging the gap: symplecticity and low regularity in Runge-Kutta resonance-based schemes*. preprint (2022) <https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.05024>
- [54] A. Ostermann, F. Rousset, K. Schratz, *Error estimates of a Fourier integrator for the cubic Schrödinger equation at low regularity*. Found. Comput. Math. 21:725–765 (2021).
- [55] A. Ostermann, F. Rousset, K. Schratz. Error estimates at low regularity of splitting schemes for NLS. Math. Comp. 91 (2021), no. 333, 169–182.
- [56] A. Ostermann, F. Rousset, K. Schratz, *Fourier integrator for periodic NLS: low regularity estimates via discrete Bourgain spaces*. J. Eur. Math. Soc. 25:3913–3952 (2022)
- [57] A. Ostermann, K. Schratz, *Low regularity exponential-type integrators for semilinear Schrödinger equations*. Found. Comput. Math. 18:731–755 (2018)
- [58] F. Rousset, K. Schratz, *A general framework of low regularity integrators*. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 59:1735–1768 (2021).
- [59] F. Rousset, Frédéric ; K. Schratz. Convergence error estimates at low regularity for time discretizations of KdV. Pure Appl. Anal. 4 (2022), no. 1, 127–152.
- [60] J.M. Sanz-Serna, M.P. Calvo, *Numerical Hamiltonian Problems*. Chapman and Hall, London, 1994
- [61] J.M. Sanz-Serna, *Methods for the Numerical Solution of the Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation*. Math. Comp. 43:21–27 (1984)
- [62] K. Schratz, Y. Wang, X. Zhao, *Low-regularity integrators for nonlinear Dirac equations*. Math. Comp. 90:189-214 (2021)
- [63] T. Tao, *Nonlinear Dispersive Equations. Local and Global Analysis*. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2006
- [64] Y. Wang, X. Zhao, *A symmetric low-regularity integrator for nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation*. Math. Comp. 91:2215–2245 (2022).
- [65] Y. Wu, F. Yao, *A first-order Fourier integrator for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on \mathbb{T} without loss of regularity*, Math. of Comp. 91:1213–1235 (2022)
- [66] Y. Wu, X. Zhao, *Embedded exponential-type low-regularity integrators for KdV equation under rough data*, BIT Numer. Math. 62:1049–1090(2022)

LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES D’ORSAY (UMR 8628), UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SACLAY, CNRS, 91405 ORSAY CEDEX, FRANCE (F. ROUSSET)

Email address: frederic.rousset@universite-paris-saclay.fr

LJLL (UMR 7598), SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ, UPMC, 4 PLACE JUSSIEU, 75005, PARIS, FRANCE (K. SCHRATZ)

Email address: katharina.schratz@sorbonne-universite.fr