

# THE JET PROBLEM FOR THREE-DIMENSIONAL AXISYMMETRIC COMPRESSIBLE SUBSONIC FLOWS WITH LARGE VORTICITY

YAN LI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we establish the existence of three-dimensional axisymmetric compressible jet flows for steady Euler system with large vorticity by using the variational method. More precisely, for given axial velocity of the flow at the upstream, if the mass flux is sufficiently large, we can find a unique outer pressure such that a smooth subsonic three-dimensional axisymmetric jet flow with large vorticity exists and has certain far fields behavior.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

In the 1980s, Alt, Caffarelli and Friedman developed a systematic variational method to study the Bernoulli type free boundary problems such as jets and cavities ([1, 3, 4, 12]). Based on this variational method, various physical models have been studied (cf. [6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 17, 15, 16] and references therein). In particular, the well-posedness of two-dimensional subsonic jet flows for steady Euler system with large vorticity was investigated in [17, 15]. The existence of three-dimensional axisymmetric subsonic jet flows for steady full Euler system with nonzero vorticity was proved in [16]. In this paper, we study three-dimensional axisymmetric subsonic jet flows for steady Euler system with large vorticity.

Three-dimensional steady isentropic ideal flows are governed by the following Euler system

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) + \nabla p = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$  denotes the flow velocity,  $\rho$  is the density, and  $p$  is the pressure of the flow. For the polytropic gas, after nondimensionalization, the equation of state can be written as  $p(\rho) = \rho^\gamma / \gamma$ , where the constant  $\gamma > 1$  is called the adiabatic exponent.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q31, 35R35, 35J20, 35J70, 35M32, 76N10.

*Key words and phrases.* axisymmetric flows, Euler system, free boundary, subsonic jet, vorticity.

The local sound speed and the Mach number of the flow are defined as

$$(1.2) \quad c(\rho) = \sqrt{p'(\rho)} = \rho^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad M = \frac{|\mathbf{u}|}{c(\rho)},$$

respectively. The flow is called subsonic if  $M < 1$ , sonic if  $M = 1$ , and supersonic if  $M > 1$ , respectively.

We specialize to axisymmetric compressible flows and take the symmetry axis to be the  $x_1$ -axis. Denote  $x = x_1$  and  $y = \sqrt{x_2^2 + x_3^2}$ . Let  $u$  and  $v$  be the axial velocity and the radial velocity of the flow, respectively. Assume that the swirl velocity of the flow is zero, then

$$\begin{cases} u_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) = u(x, y), \\ u_2(x_1, x_2, x_3) = v(x, y) \frac{x_2}{y}, \\ u_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) = v(x, y) \frac{x_3}{y}. \end{cases}$$

Hence in cylindrical coordinates, the Euler system (1.1) can be rewritten as

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} \nabla \cdot (y\rho\mathbf{u}) = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot (y\rho\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) + y\nabla p = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathbf{u} = (u, v)$  and  $\nabla = (\partial_x, \partial_y)$ .

Now given an axisymmetric nozzle in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with the symmetry axis and the upper solid boundary of the nozzle expressed as

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{N}_0 := \{(x, 0) : x \in \mathbb{R}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{N} := \{(x, y) : x = N(y), y \in [1, \bar{H}]\},$$

respectively, where  $\bar{H} > 1$ ,  $N \in C^{1, \bar{\alpha}}([1, \bar{H}])$  for some  $\bar{\alpha} \in (0, 1)$  and it satisfies

$$(1.5) \quad N(1) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{y \rightarrow \bar{H}^-} N(y) = -\infty.$$

The main goal of this paper is to study the following jet problem.

**Problem 1.** *Given a mass flux  $Q > 0$  and a positive axial velocity  $\bar{u}(y)$  of the flow at upstream  $x \rightarrow -\infty$ , find  $(\rho, \mathbf{u})$ , the free boundary  $\Gamma$ , and the outer pressure  $p$  which is assumed to be a constant, such that the following statements hold.*

- (1) *The free boundary  $\Gamma$  joins the nozzle boundary  $\mathcal{N}$  as a continuous surface and tends asymptotically horizontal at downstream as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .*
- (2) *The solution  $(\rho, \mathbf{u})$  solves the Euler system (1.3) in the flow region  $\mathcal{O}$  bounded by  $\mathcal{N}_0$ ,  $\mathcal{N}$ , and  $\Gamma$ . It takes the incoming data at the upstream, i.e.,*

$$(1.6) \quad u(x, y) \rightarrow \bar{u}(y) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow -\infty,$$

and

$$(1.7) \quad \int_0^1 y(\rho u)(0, y) dy = Q.$$

Moreover, it satisfies the boundary conditions

$$p(\rho) = \underline{p} \text{ on } \Gamma, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \text{ on } \mathcal{N} \cup \Gamma,$$

where  $\mathbf{n}$  is the unit normal along  $\mathcal{N} \cup \Gamma$ .

The main results in this paper can be stated as follows.

**Theorem 1.** *Let the nozzle boundary  $\mathcal{N}$  defined in (1.4) satisfy (1.5) and  $Q > 0$  be a constant. Assume that  $\bar{u} \in C^{1,1}([0, \bar{H}])$  satisfies*

$$(1.8) \quad \inf_{y \in [0, \bar{H}]} \bar{u}(y) > 0, \quad \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{\bar{u}'(y)}{y} = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \frac{1}{y} \left( \frac{\bar{u}'(y)}{y} \right)' < \infty \text{ for } y \in (0, \bar{H}].$$

*Then there exists a constant  $Q^* = Q^*(\bar{u}, \gamma, \mathcal{N}) > 0$  such that for any  $Q > Q^*$ , there are functions  $\rho, \mathbf{u} \in C^{1,\alpha}(\mathcal{O}) \cap C^0(\bar{\mathcal{O}})$  (for any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ) where  $\mathcal{O}$  is the flow region, the free boundary  $\Gamma$ , and the outer pressure  $\underline{p}$  such that  $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, \Gamma, \underline{p})$  solves Problem 1. Furthermore, the following properties hold.*

- (i) *(Smooth fit) The free boundary  $\Gamma$  joins the nozzle boundary  $\mathcal{N}$  as a  $C^1$  surface.*
- (ii) *The free boundary  $\Gamma$  is given by a graph  $x = \Upsilon(y)$ ,  $y \in (\underline{H}, 1]$ , where  $\Upsilon$  is a  $C^{2,\alpha}$  function,  $\underline{H} \in (0, 1)$ , and  $\lim_{y \rightarrow \underline{H}^+} \Upsilon(y) = \infty$ . For  $x$  sufficiently large, the free boundary can also be written as  $y = f(x)$  for some  $C^{2,\alpha}$  function  $f$  which satisfies*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = \underline{H} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f'(x) = 0.$$

- (iii) *The flow is globally uniformly subsonic and has negative vertical velocity in the flow region  $\mathcal{O}$ , i.e.,*

$$\sup_{\bar{\mathcal{O}}} \frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2}{c^2} < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad v < 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{O}.$$

- (iv) *(Upstream and downstream asymptotics) There exist positive constants  $\bar{\rho}$  and  $\underline{\rho}$ , which are the upstream and downstream density respectively, and a positive function  $\underline{u} \in C^{1,\alpha}((0, \underline{H}])$ , which is the downstream axial velocity, such that*

$$(1.9) \quad \|(\rho, \mathbf{u})(x, \cdot) - (\bar{\rho}, \bar{u}(\cdot), 0)\|_{C^{1,\alpha}((0, \bar{H}))} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow -\infty$$

and

$$(1.10) \quad \|(\rho, \mathbf{u})(x, \cdot) - (\underline{\rho}, \underline{u}(\cdot), 0)\|_{C^{1,\alpha}((0, \underline{H}))} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Moreover,

$$(1.11) \quad \bar{\rho} = \frac{Q}{\int_0^{\bar{H}} y \bar{u}(y) dy} \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{\rho} = (\gamma \underline{p})^{\frac{1}{\gamma}};$$

the downstream axial velocity  $\underline{u}$  and height  $\bar{H} > 0$  are also uniquely determined by  $Q$ ,  $\bar{u}$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\bar{H}$ , and  $\underline{p}$ .

- (v) (Uniqueness of the outer pressure) The outer pressure  $\underline{p}$  such that the solution  $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, \Gamma)$  satisfies the properties (i)-(iv) is uniquely determined by  $Q$ ,  $\bar{u}$ ,  $\gamma$ , and  $\bar{H}$ .

**Remark 1.1.** The vorticity  $\omega$  of the flow at the upstream is actually  $-\bar{u}'$ , and the quantity  $\omega/(y\rho)$  is a constant along each streamline (cf. the third equation in (2.2)). Therefore, the vorticity could be large under the conditions of  $\bar{u}$  in (1.8). This is the main difference between Theorem 1 and [16, Theorem 1].

**Remark 1.2.** For simplicity we consider isentropic jet flows in Theorem 1. The arguments in this paper also hold for three-dimensional axisymmetric non-isentropic jet flows with large vorticity.

The main idea of the proof for Theorem 1 is essentially the same as that in [16]. From [16] we know that, in the subsonic state, the Euler system can be reduced to an elliptic equation of the stream function, which is an Euler-Lagrange equation of an energy functional. Then we can describe the jet problem in terms of the stream function. In order to solve the jet problem, we introduce the subsonic and domain truncations, and then give appropriate boundary conditions for the truncated problems. The truncated problems have a variational structure (cf. Section 3). With the help of the framework in [3, 4] and techniques in [17, 16], we obtain the existence of minimizers for the corresponding variational problems, the regularity of the free boundaries, and other good properties of the solutions. After removing the domain and subsonic truncations, we prove the existence of subsonic solutions to the original jet problem. Finally, using a shifting technique, we show that the outer pressure that makes the subsonic solutions satisfy all properties in Theorem 1, especially the far field behavior (1.10), is unique.

Compared with [16], the difficulties in proving Theorem 1 arise from the inhomogeneous term of the elliptic equation satisfied by the stream function (cf. Lemma 3.1), which is not small as in [16]. Fortunately, under the conditions of  $\bar{u}$  in (1.8), we can get the non-negativity of the inhomogeneous term and certain structural conditions of the elliptic equation (Proposition 4.1). The non-negativity of the inhomogeneous term (cf. (4.5)) enables us to derive a uniform estimate of solutions to the truncated problems (cf. Lemma 5.1 and Proposition 5.2), which is crucial for avoiding the singularity of

solutions near the symmetry axis. The structural conditions (4.9) allow us to prove the asymptotic behavior of solutions by energy estimates (cf. Proposition 6.4) and prove the uniqueness of the outer pressure by the comparison principle (cf. Proposition 7.1).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we reformulate the Euler system and the jet problem in terms of the stream function. In Section 3, we give the variational formulation for the jet problem after the domain and subsonic truncations. In Section 4, the existence and regularity of solutions to the truncated free boundary problems are obtained. Fine properties of the solutions such as the monotonicity property, the continuous fit and smooth fit of the free boundary, and some uniform estimates are established in Section 5. In Section 6, we remove the the domain and subsonic truncations, and then prove the far fields behavior of the solutions. Section 7 is devoted to the uniqueness of the outer pressure.

In the rest of the paper,  $(\partial_1, \partial_2)$  represents  $(\partial_x, \partial_y)$ , and for convention the repeated indices mean the summation.

## 2. STREAM FUNCTION FORMULATION AND SUBSONIC TRUNCATION

In this section, we use the stream function to reduce the Euler system (1.3) to a second order quasilinear equation, which is elliptic in the subsonic region and becomes singular at the sonic state. Then we describe the jet problem in terms of the stream function. One of the main difficulties to solve the jet problem comes from the possible degeneracy of the quasilinear equation near the sonic state. Thus we introduce a subsonic truncation such that the equation is always uniformly elliptic after the truncation.

**2.1. The equation for the stream function.** We first give an equivalent formulation for the compressible Euler system.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be the domain bounded by two streamlines  $\mathcal{N}_0$  (the  $x$ -axis) and*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}} := \{(x, y) : x = \tilde{N}(y), \underline{H} < y < \bar{H}\},$$

where  $0 < \underline{H} < \bar{H} < \infty$  and  $\tilde{N} : (\underline{H}, \bar{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a  $C^1$  function with

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \underline{H}^-} \tilde{N}(y) = -\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{y \rightarrow \bar{H}^+} \tilde{N}(y) = \infty.$$

Let  $\rho : \bar{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  and  $\mathbf{u} = (u, v) : \bar{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be  $C^{1,1}$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$  and continuous up to  $\partial\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$  except finitely many points. Suppose that  $\mathbf{u}$  satisfies the slip boundary condition

$\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$  on  $\partial\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ ,  $(\rho, \mathbf{u})$  satisfies the upstream asymptotics (1.9) with a positive constant  $\bar{\rho}$  and a positive function  $\bar{u} \in C^{1,1}([0, \bar{H}])$ . Moreover, suppose that

$$(2.1) \quad v < 0 \quad \text{in } \tilde{\mathcal{O}}.$$

Then  $(\rho, \mathbf{u})$  solves the Euler system (1.3) in  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$  if and only if  $(\rho, \mathbf{u})$  satisfies

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} \nabla \cdot (y\rho\mathbf{u}) = 0, \\ \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathcal{B}(\rho, \mathbf{u}) = 0, \\ \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{\omega}{y\rho} \right) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{B}(\rho, \mathbf{u}) := \frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2}{2} + h(\rho), \quad \omega := \partial_x v - \partial_y u, \quad \text{and} \quad h(\rho) := \frac{\rho^{\gamma-1}}{\gamma-1}$$

are the Bernoulli function, the vorticity, and the enthalpy of the flow, respectively.

The proof of Proposition 2.1 is essentially the same as that in [17, Proposition 2.1] and [16, Proposition 2.1], so we omit it here. Note that the condition (2.1) assures that through each point in the domain  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$  there is one and only one streamline, which is globally defined in  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ .

It follows from the continuity equation (the first equation in (2.2)) that there is a stream function  $\psi$  satisfying

$$(2.4) \quad \nabla\psi = (-y\rho v, y\rho u).$$

Besides, the Bernoulli law (the second equation in (2.2)) implies  $\mathcal{B}(\rho, \mathbf{u})$  is conserved along each streamline. Now we show that  $\mathcal{B}(\rho, \mathbf{u})$  can be expressed as a function of the stream function  $\psi$  under the assumptions of Proposition 2.1.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let an axial velocity  $\bar{u} \in C^{1,1}([0, \bar{H}])$  satisfy (1.8) and a mass flux  $Q > 0$ . Suppose that  $(\rho, \mathbf{u})$  is a solution to the Euler system (1.3) and satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 2.1. Then there is a function  $\mathcal{B} : [0, Q] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{B} \in C^{1,1}([0, Q])$  such that*

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{B}(\rho, \mathbf{u}) = \frac{|\nabla\psi|^2}{2y^2\rho^2} + h(\rho) = \mathcal{B}(\psi) \quad \text{in } \tilde{\mathcal{O}}.$$

Denote

$$(2.6) \quad \kappa_0 := \|\bar{u}''\|_{L^\infty((0, \bar{H}))} + \left\| \frac{1}{y} \left( \frac{\bar{u}'}{y} \right)' \right\|_{L^\infty((0, \bar{H}))}.$$

Then

$$(2.7) \quad 0 \leq \mathcal{B}'(z) \leq \frac{\kappa_0}{\bar{\rho}} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \mathcal{B}''(z) \leq \frac{\kappa_0}{\bar{\rho}^2 \inf_{y \in [0, \bar{H}]} \bar{u}(y)}, \quad \text{for } z \in (0, Q],$$

where

$$(2.8) \quad \bar{\rho} = \frac{Q}{\int_0^{\bar{H}} y \bar{u}(y) dy}.$$

*Proof.* In view of Proposition 2.1, the Bernoulli function  $\mathcal{B}(\rho, \mathbf{u})$  is conserved along each streamline, which is globally well-defined in the flow region  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{B}(\rho, \mathbf{u})$  is uniquely determined by its value at the upstream. Let  $\mathfrak{h}(\psi; \bar{\rho}) : [0, Q] \rightarrow [0, \bar{H}]$  be the position of the streamline at upstream where the stream function has the value  $\psi$ , i.e.,

$$(2.9) \quad \psi = \bar{\rho} \int_0^{\mathfrak{h}(\psi; \bar{\rho})} y \bar{u}(y) dy,$$

where the upstream density  $\bar{\rho}$  is uniquely determined by  $Q$  and  $\bar{u}$  from the upstream asymptotics (1.9), i.e.  $\bar{\rho}$  satisfies (2.8). The function  $\mathfrak{h}$  is well-defined as  $\bar{u} > 0$ , moreover, differentiating (2.9) with respect to  $\psi$  one has

$$\mathfrak{h}'(\psi; \bar{\rho}) = \frac{1}{\bar{\rho} \mathfrak{h}(\psi; \bar{\rho}) \bar{u}(\mathfrak{h}(\psi; \bar{\rho}))}.$$

Since the Bernoulli function at the upstream is

$$(2.10) \quad B(y) := \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \mathcal{B}(\rho, \mathbf{u})(x, y) = \frac{\bar{u}^2(y)}{2} + h(\bar{\rho}) \quad \text{for } y \in [0, \bar{H}],$$

using (2.4) one has

$$(2.11) \quad \frac{|\nabla \psi|^2}{2y^2 \rho^2} + h(\rho) = \mathcal{B}(\psi) \quad \text{in } \tilde{\mathcal{O}},$$

where the function  $\mathcal{B}$  is defined as

$$(2.12) \quad \mathcal{B}(z) := B(\mathfrak{h}(z; \bar{\rho})) = \frac{\bar{u}^2(\mathfrak{h}(z; \bar{\rho}))}{2} + h(\bar{\rho}) \quad \text{for } z \in [0, Q].$$

The straightforward computations give

$$(2.13) \quad \mathcal{B}'(z) = \frac{\bar{u}'(\mathfrak{h}(z; \bar{\rho}))}{\bar{\rho} \mathfrak{h}(z; \bar{\rho})} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B}''(z) = \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}^2 \mathfrak{h}^2(z; \bar{\rho}) \bar{u}(\mathfrak{h}(z; \bar{\rho}))} \left( \bar{u}''(\mathfrak{h}(z; \bar{\rho})) - \frac{\bar{u}'(\mathfrak{h}(z; \bar{\rho}))}{\mathfrak{h}(z; \bar{\rho})} \right).$$

Note that the conditions of  $\bar{u}$  in (1.8) imply  $y(\bar{u}'(y)/y)' \geq 0$ . Hence  $0 \leq \bar{u}'(y)/y \leq \bar{u}''(y)$ . Then (2.7) follows directly from (2.13), (1.8), and the definition of  $\kappa_0$  in (2.6).  $\square$

For later purpose we extend the Bernoulli function  $\mathcal{B}$  from  $[0, Q]$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  as follows: firstly in view of (1.8),  $\bar{u}$  can be extended to a  $C^{1,1}$  function defined on  $\mathbb{R}$  (still denoted by  $\bar{u}$ ) such that

$$\bar{u} > 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}, \quad \bar{u}' = 0 \text{ on } (-\infty, 0], \quad \bar{u}' \geq 0 \text{ on } [\bar{H}, \infty).$$

Furthermore, the extension can be made such that

$$(2.14) \quad 0 < \bar{u}_* = \inf_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{u} \leq \bar{u}^* \leq \sup_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{u} =: \tilde{u}^* < \infty \quad \text{with} \quad \bar{u}_* := \inf_{[0, \bar{H}]} \bar{u}, \quad \bar{u}^* := \sup_{[0, \bar{H}]} \bar{u},$$

where  $\tilde{u}^*$  depends on  $\bar{u}^*$  and  $\|\bar{u}\|_{C^{1,1}([0, \bar{H}])}$ , and

$$\|\bar{u}\|_{C^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \|\bar{u}\|_{C^{1,1}([0, \bar{H}])}.$$

Consequently, using (2.12) one naturally gets an extension of  $\mathcal{B}$  to a  $C^{1,1}$  function in  $\mathbb{R}$  (still denoted by  $\mathcal{B}$ ), which satisfies

$$(2.15) \quad \mathcal{B}'(z) = 0 \text{ on } (-\infty, 0] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B}'(z) \geq 0 \text{ on } [Q, \infty).$$

The function  $\mathcal{B}$  is bounded from above and below, i.e.,

$$(2.16) \quad 0 < B_* \leq \mathcal{B}(z) \leq B^* < \infty, \quad z \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $B_*$  and  $B^*$  are defined as

$$(2.17) \quad B_* := \frac{1}{2}(\bar{u}_*)^2 + h(\bar{\rho}), \quad B^* := \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{u}^*)^2 + h(\bar{\rho}).$$

Moreover,

$$\|\mathcal{B}'\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} + \|\mathcal{B}''\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq C(\|\mathcal{B}'\|_{L^\infty((0, Q])} + \|\mathcal{B}''\|_{L^\infty((0, Q])})$$

where  $C$  is independent of  $\bar{\rho}$ .

Let us digress for the study on the flow state with the given Bernoulli constant. For the flow state with given Bernoulli constant  $s$ , the flow density  $\rho$  and flow speed  $q$  satisfy

$$\frac{q^2}{2} + h(\rho) = s.$$

Therefore, the speed  $q$  satisfies

$$q = \mathbf{q}(\rho, s) = \sqrt{2(s - h(\rho))}.$$

For each fixed  $s$ , we denote the critical density and the maximum density by

$$(2.18) \quad \varrho_c(s) := \left\{ \frac{2(\gamma - 1)}{\gamma + 1} s \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma - 1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \varrho_m(s) := \{(\gamma - 1)s\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma - 1}},$$

respectively. For states with given Bernoulli constant  $s$ , note that  $s - h(\rho) \geq 0$  for  $\rho \leq \varrho_m(s)$ . Thus the flow state  $q = \mathbf{q}(\rho, s)$  is well-defined when  $\rho \leq \varrho_m(s)$ . The flow is subsonic (i.e.  $\mathbf{q}(\rho, s) < c(\rho)$ , where  $c(\rho)$  is the sound speed defined in (1.2)) if and only if  $\varrho_c(s) < \rho \leq \varrho_m(s)$ . At the critical density one has  $\mathbf{q}(\varrho_c(s), s) = c(\varrho_c(s))$ . We denote the square of the momentum and the square of critical momentum by

$$(2.19) \quad \mathbf{t}(\rho, s) := \rho^2 \mathbf{q}(\rho, s)^2 = 2\rho^2(s - h(\rho)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{t}_c(s) := \mathbf{t}(\varrho_c(s), s) = \left\{ \frac{2(\gamma - 1)}{\gamma + 1} s \right\}^{\frac{\gamma + 1}{\gamma - 1}}.$$



**Remark 2.3.** Suppose that the flow  $(\rho, \mathbf{u})$  has the mass flux  $Q$  and satisfies the asymptotics (1.9) at the upstream with a constant density  $\bar{\rho}$ . Then  $\bar{\rho}$  must be defined by (2.8). The flow is subsonic at the upstream if  $\tilde{u}^* < c(\bar{\rho}) = \bar{\rho}^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2}}$ , where  $\tilde{u}^*$  is defined in (2.14). This can be guaranteed by letting

$$(2.20) \quad Q > \tilde{Q}, \quad \text{where} \quad \tilde{Q} := (\tilde{u}^*)^{\frac{2}{\gamma-1}} \int_0^{\bar{H}} y \bar{u}(y) dy \geq Q_* := (\bar{u}^*)^{\frac{2}{\gamma-1}} \int_0^{\bar{H}} y \bar{u}(y) dy.$$

An immediate consequence of (2.20) is that the upper and lower bounds of the Bernoulli function  $\mathcal{B}$  are comparable, i.e.

$$(2.21) \quad 0 < B_* \leq \mathcal{B}(z) \leq B^* \leq \frac{\gamma+1}{2} B_*,$$

where  $B_*$  and  $B^*$  are defined in (2.17). Furthermore,  $B_*$  (thus  $B^*$ ) is comparable to  $\bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1}$ , i.e.

$$(2.22) \quad (\gamma-1)^{-\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \bar{\rho} \leq B_*^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \leq \left( \frac{\gamma+1}{2(\gamma-1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \bar{\rho}.$$

As  $Q = \bar{\rho} \|y\bar{u}\|_{L^1([0, \bar{H}])}$ , the above inequality can also be reformulated as

$$(2.23) \quad (\gamma-1)^{-\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \frac{Q}{\|y\bar{u}\|_{L^1([0, \bar{H}])}} \leq B_*^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \leq \left( \frac{\gamma+1}{2(\gamma-1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \frac{Q}{\|y\bar{u}\|_{L^1([0, \bar{H}])}}.$$

Now we have the following lemma on the representation of the density  $\rho$  in terms of the stream function  $\psi$  in the subsonic region.

**Lemma 2.4.** Suppose the density function  $\rho$  and the stream function  $\psi$  satisfy the Bernoulli law (2.11). Then the following statements hold.

- (i) The density function  $\rho$  can be expressed as a function of  $|\nabla\psi/y|^2$  and  $\psi$  in the subsonic region, i.e.,

$$(2.24) \quad \rho = \frac{1}{g(|\frac{\nabla\psi}{y}|^2, \psi)}, \quad \text{if } \rho \in (\varrho_c(\mathcal{B}(\psi)), \varrho_m(\mathcal{B}(\psi))],$$

where  $\varrho_c$  and  $\varrho_m$  are functions defined in (2.18), and

$$g : \{(t, z) : 0 \leq t < \mathfrak{t}_c(\mathcal{B}(z)), z \in \mathbb{R}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

is a function smooth in  $t$  and  $C^{1,1}$  in  $z$  with  $\mathfrak{t}_c$  defined in (2.19). Furthermore,

$$(2.25) \quad \frac{1}{\varrho_m(B^*)} =: g_* \leq g(t, z) \leq g^* := \frac{1}{\varrho_c(B_*)}.$$

- (ii) The function  $g$  satisfies the identity

$$(2.26) \quad g^2(t, z) \partial_z g(t, z) = -2\mathcal{B}'(z) \partial_t g(t, z), \quad t \in [0, \mathfrak{t}_c(\mathcal{B}(z))), z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

*Proof.* (i) From the expression (2.19), the straightforward computations give

$$(2.27) \quad \partial_\rho \mathbf{t}(\rho, s) = 4\rho \left( s - \frac{\gamma+1}{2} h(\rho) \right).$$

Now, one can see that with  $\varrho_c$  and  $\varrho_m$  defined in (2.18), the following statements hold:

- (a)  $\rho \mapsto \mathbf{t}(\rho, s)$  achieves its maximum  $\mathbf{t}_c(s)$  at  $\rho = \varrho_c(s)$ ;
- (b)  $\partial_\rho \mathbf{t}(\rho, s) < 0$  when  $\varrho_c(s) < \rho < \varrho_m(s)$ .

Thus by the inverse function theorem, for each fixed  $s > 0$  and  $\rho \in (\varrho_c(s), \varrho_m(s)]$ , one can express  $\rho$  as a function of  $t := \mathbf{t}(\rho, s) \in [0, \mathbf{t}_c(s))$  and  $s$ , i.e.  $\rho = \rho(t, s)$ . Let

$$(2.28) \quad g(t, z) := \frac{1}{\rho(t, \mathcal{B}(z))}, \quad t \in [0, \mathbf{t}_c(\mathcal{B}(z)))$$

where  $\mathcal{B}$  is the function defined in (2.12). The function  $g$  is smooth in  $t$  by the inverse function theorem and  $C^{1,1}$  in  $z$  by the  $C^{1,1}$  regularity of  $\mathcal{B}$  and the smooth dependence of  $\rho$  on  $s$ . In view of the Bernoulli law (2.11), one has (2.24) and (2.25).

(ii) Let

$$(2.29) \quad \varrho(t, z) := \rho(t, \mathcal{B}(z)).$$

From (2.19) and the Bernoulli law (2.11) one has

$$(2.30) \quad t = 2\varrho(t, z)^2(\mathcal{B}(z) - h(\varrho(t, z))).$$

Differentiating (2.30) with respect to  $t$  gives

$$(2.31) \quad \frac{1}{2} = \frac{\partial_t \varrho}{\varrho} (2\varrho^2 \mathcal{B}(z) - 2\varrho^2 h(\varrho) - \varrho^3 h'(\varrho)) \stackrel{(2.30)}{=} \frac{\partial_t \varrho}{\varrho} (t - \varrho^{\gamma+1}),$$

and differentiating (2.30) with respect to  $z$  gives

$$(2.32) \quad -\varrho^2 \mathcal{B}'(z) = \frac{\partial_z \varrho}{\varrho} (2\varrho^2 \mathcal{B}(z) - 2\varrho^2 h(\varrho) - \varrho^3 h'(\varrho)) \stackrel{(2.30)}{=} \frac{\partial_z \varrho}{\varrho} (t - \varrho^{\gamma+1}).$$

Combining (2.31) and (2.32) one has

$$\partial_z \varrho(t, z) = -2\varrho(t, z)^2 \partial_t \varrho(t, z) \mathcal{B}'(z).$$

This together with (2.28) yields (2.26).  $\square$

Now we are in a position to formulate the Euler system into a quasilinear equation of the stream function  $\psi$  in the subsonic region.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $(\rho, \mathbf{u})$  be a solution to the system (2.2). Assume  $(\rho, \mathbf{u})$  satisfies the assumptions in Proposition 2.1. Then in the subsonic region  $|\nabla \psi / y|^2 < \mathbf{t}_c(\mathcal{B}(\psi))$ , the stream function  $\psi$  solves*

$$(2.33) \quad \nabla \cdot \left( g \left( \left| \frac{\nabla \psi}{y} \right|^2, \psi \right) \frac{\nabla \psi}{y} \right) = \frac{y \mathcal{B}'(\psi)}{g(|\frac{\nabla \psi}{y}|^2, \psi)},$$

where  $g$  is defined in (2.28) and  $\mathcal{B}$  is the Bernoulli function defined in (2.12). Moreover, the equation (2.33) is elliptic if and only if  $|\nabla\psi/y|^2 < \mathfrak{t}_c(\mathcal{B}(\psi))$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\theta(s; X)$  be the streamlines satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\theta}{ds} = \mathbf{u}(\theta(s; X)), \\ \theta(0; X) = X. \end{cases}$$

It follows from the third equation in (2.2) that  $\omega/(y\rho)$  is a constant along each streamline. Hence  $\omega/(y\rho)$  can be determined by the associated data at the upstream as long as the streamlines of the flows have simple topological structure, which is guaranteed by the assumption  $v < 0$ . If  $X \in \{\psi = z\}$ , then

$$\frac{\omega}{y\rho}(X) = \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{\omega}{y\rho}(\theta(s; X)) = -\frac{\bar{u}'(\mathfrak{h}(z; \bar{\rho}))}{\mathfrak{h}(z; \bar{\rho})\bar{\rho}}.$$

Expressing the vorticity  $\omega$  in terms of the stream function  $\psi$  and using (2.13) one has

$$-\nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\nabla\psi}{y\rho} \right) = \omega = -y\rho\mathcal{B}'(\psi).$$

In view of (2.24) the above equation can be rewritten into (2.33).

The equation (2.33) can be written in the nondivergence form as follows

$$\mathfrak{a}^{ij} \left( \frac{\nabla\psi}{y}, \psi \right) \partial_{ij}\psi + \mathfrak{b} \left( \frac{\nabla\psi}{y}, \psi \right) = \frac{y^2\mathcal{B}'(\psi)}{g(|\frac{\nabla\psi}{y}|^2, \psi)},$$

where the matrix

$$(\mathfrak{a}^{ij}) := g \left( \left| \frac{\nabla\psi}{y} \right|^2, \psi \right) I_2 + 2\partial_t g \left( \left| \frac{\nabla\psi}{y} \right|^2, \psi \right) \frac{\nabla\psi}{y} \otimes \frac{\nabla\psi}{y}$$

is symmetric with the eigenvalues

$$\beta_0 = g \left( \left| \frac{\nabla\psi}{y} \right|^2, \psi \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_1 = g \left( \left| \frac{\nabla\psi}{y} \right|^2, \psi \right) + 2\partial_t g \left( \left| \frac{\nabla\psi}{y} \right|^2, \psi \right) \left| \frac{\nabla\psi}{y} \right|^2,$$

and

$$\mathfrak{b} = \partial_z g \left( \left| \frac{\nabla\psi}{y} \right|^2, \psi \right) |\nabla\psi|^2 - \frac{\partial_y \psi}{y} \left[ g \left( \left| \frac{\nabla\psi}{y} \right|^2, \psi \right) + 2\partial_t g \left( \left| \frac{\nabla\psi}{y} \right|^2, \psi \right) \left| \frac{\nabla\psi}{y} \right|^2 \right].$$

Besides, differentiating the identity  $t = \mathfrak{t}(\frac{1}{g(t,z)}, \mathcal{B}(z))$  gives

$$(2.34) \quad \partial_t g(t, z) = -\frac{g^2(t, z)}{\partial_\rho \mathfrak{t}(\frac{1}{g(t,z)}, \mathcal{B}(z))} \quad \text{for } t \in [0, \mathfrak{t}_c(\mathcal{B}(z))].$$

This implies

$$\partial_t g(t, z) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}_c(\mathcal{B}(z))^-} \partial_t g(t, z) = \infty.$$

Thus  $\beta_0$  has uniform upper and lower bounds, and  $\beta_1$  has a uniform lower bound but blows up when  $|\nabla\psi/y|^2$  approaches  $\mathfrak{t}_c(\mathcal{B}(\psi))$ . Therefore, the equation (2.33) is elliptic as long as  $|\nabla\psi/y|^2 < \mathfrak{t}_c(\mathcal{B}(\psi))$ , and is singular when  $|\nabla\psi/y|^2 = \mathfrak{t}_c(\mathcal{B}(\psi))$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

**2.2. Reformulation for the jet flows in terms of the stream function.** Let

$$(2.35) \quad \Lambda := \rho \sqrt{2B(\bar{H}) - 2h(\rho)} \quad \text{with } \underline{\rho} := (\gamma \underline{p})^{1/\gamma}$$

be the constant momentum on the free boundary, where  $B$  is defined in (2.10) and  $\underline{p}$  is the pressure on the free boundary as in Problem 1. From previous analysis, Problem 1 can be solved as long as the following problem in terms of the stream function  $\psi$  is solved.

**Problem 2.** *Given a mass flux  $Q > 0$  and an axial velocity  $\bar{u} \in C^{1,1}([0, \bar{H}])$  satisfying (1.8). One looks for a triple  $(\psi, \Gamma_\psi, \Lambda)$  satisfying  $\psi \in C^{2,\alpha}(\{\psi < Q\}) \cap C^1(\overline{\{\psi < Q\}})$  for any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\partial_x \psi > 0$  in  $\{0 < \psi < Q\}$  and*

$$(2.36) \quad \begin{cases} \nabla \cdot \left( g \left( \left| \frac{\nabla \psi}{y} \right|^2, \psi \right) \frac{\nabla \psi}{y} \right) = \frac{y \mathcal{B}'(\psi)}{g \left( \left| \frac{\nabla \psi}{y} \right|^2, \psi \right)} & \text{in } \{0 < \psi < Q\}, \\ \psi = 0 & \text{on } \mathcal{N}_0, \\ \psi = Q & \text{on } \mathcal{N} \cup \Gamma_\psi, \\ \left| \frac{\nabla \psi}{y} \right| = \Lambda & \text{on } \Gamma_\psi, \end{cases}$$

where the free boundary  $\Gamma_\psi := \partial\{\psi < Q\} \setminus \mathcal{N}$ . Furthermore, the free boundary  $\Gamma_\psi$  and the flow

$$(2.37) \quad (\rho, \mathbf{u}) = \left( \frac{1}{g \left( \left| \frac{\nabla \psi}{y} \right|^2, \psi \right)}, \frac{g \left( \left| \frac{\nabla \psi}{y} \right|^2, \psi \right)}{y} \partial_y \psi, -\frac{g \left( \left| \frac{\nabla \psi}{y} \right|^2, \psi \right)}{y} \partial_x \psi \right)$$

are expected to satisfy the following properties.

- (1) The free boundary  $\Gamma_\psi$  is given by a graph  $x = \Upsilon(y)$ ,  $y \in (\underline{H}, 1]$  for some  $C^{2,\alpha}$  function  $\Upsilon$  and some  $\underline{H} \in (0, 1)$ .
- (2) The free boundary  $\Gamma_\psi$  fits the nozzle at  $A = (0, 1)$  continuously differentiable, i.e.,  $\Upsilon(1) = N(1)$  and  $\Upsilon'(1) = N'(1)$ .
- (3) For  $x$  sufficiently large, the free boundary is also an  $x$ -graph, i.e., it can be written as  $y = f(x)$  for some  $C^{2,\alpha}$  function  $f$ . Furthermore, one has

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = \underline{H} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f'(x) = 0.$$

- (4) The flow is subsonic in the flow region, i.e.,  $|\nabla\psi/y|^2 < \mathfrak{t}_c(\mathcal{B}(\psi))$  in  $\{\psi < Q\}$ , where  $\mathfrak{t}_c$  is defined in (2.19) and the Bernoulli function  $\mathcal{B}$  is defined in (2.12).

- (5) *At the upstream the flow satisfies the asymptotic behavior (1.9), where the upstream density  $\bar{\rho}$  is given by (2.8). At the downstream the flow satisfies*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} (\rho, \mathbf{u}) = (\underline{\rho}, \underline{\mathbf{u}}, 0)$$

for some positive constant  $\underline{\rho}$  and positive function  $\underline{\mathbf{u}} = \underline{\mathbf{u}}(x_2)$ .

**Remark 2.6.** *If we find a solution  $\psi$  which solves Problem 2, then we obtain a jet flow  $(\rho, \mathbf{u}) \in (C^{1,\alpha}(\{\psi < Q\}) \cap C^0(\overline{\{\psi < Q\}}))^2$  by (2.37). The flow  $(\rho, \mathbf{u})$  is actually a solution of the Euler system (1.3), even if  $\rho$  and  $\mathbf{u}$  are not  $C^{1,1}$  in the flow region as required in Proposition 2.1. For the proof we refer to [17, Proposition 2.5] and [16, Proposition 2.7].*

**2.3. Subsonic truncation.** The equation of the stream function  $\psi$  in (2.36) becomes degenerate when the flows approach the sonic state (cf. Lemma 2.5). In order to deal with this possible degeneracy, we introduce the following subsonic truncation.

Let  $\varpi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a smooth nonincreasing function such that

$$\varpi(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s \leq -1, \\ 0 & \text{if } s \leq -\frac{1}{2}, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad |\varpi'| + |\varpi''| \leq 8.$$

For  $\epsilon \in (0, 1/4)$ , let  $\varpi_\epsilon(s) := \varpi((s-1)/\epsilon)$ . We define  $g_\epsilon : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$(2.38) \quad g_\epsilon(t, z) := g(t, z)\varpi_\epsilon(t/t_c(\mathcal{B}(z))) + (1 - \varpi_\epsilon(t/t_c(\mathcal{B}(z))))g^*,$$

where  $t_c$  is defined in (2.19) and  $g^*$  is the upper bound for  $g$  in (2.25). The properties of  $g_\epsilon$  are summarized in the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $g$  be the function defined in Lemma 2.4 and let  $g_\epsilon$  be the subsonic truncation of  $g$  defined in (2.38). Then the function  $g_\epsilon(t, z)$  is smooth with respect to  $t$  and  $C^{1,1}$  with respect to  $z$ . Furthermore, under the assumption (2.20), there exist positive constants  $c_*$  and  $c^*$  depending only on  $\gamma$ , such that for all  $(t, z) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$*

$$(2.39) \quad c_* B_*^{-\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \leq g_\epsilon(t, z) \leq c^* B_*^{-\frac{1}{\gamma-1}},$$

$$(2.40) \quad c_* B_*^{-\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \leq g_\epsilon(t, z) + 2\partial_t g_\epsilon(t, z)t \leq c^* \epsilon^{-1} B_*^{-\frac{1}{\gamma-1}},$$

$$(2.41) \quad |\partial_z g_\epsilon(t, z)| \leq c^* \kappa_0 \epsilon^{-1} B_*^{-\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma-1}}, \quad |t\partial_z g_\epsilon(t, z)| \leq c^* \kappa_0 \epsilon^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* The proof is the same as that in [17, Lemma 2.6]. For later use we give the details here.

(i). It follows from Lemma 2.4 and the definition of  $\varpi_\epsilon$  that  $g_\epsilon$  is smooth with respect to  $t$  and  $C^{1,1}$  with respect to  $z$ . Clearly, (2.39) follows directly from the upper and lower bound for  $g$  in (2.25) and the definition of  $g_\epsilon$  in (2.38).

(ii). To show (2.40), we first claim that if  $0 \leq t \leq (1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}) \mathfrak{t}_c(\mathcal{B}(z))$  then

$$(2.42) \quad 0 < \partial_t g(t, z) \leq \frac{C_\gamma g(t, z)}{\epsilon \mathfrak{t}_c(B_*)},$$

where  $C_\gamma$  represents a positive constant depending only on  $\gamma$ . In view of the expression of  $\partial_t g(t, z)$  in (2.34) it suffices to estimate  $\partial_\rho \mathfrak{t}(\rho, s)$  at  $\rho = \rho(t, s)$  for  $0 \leq t \leq (1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}) \mathfrak{t}_c(s)$ . In fact, it follows from (2.18) that one has  $s = \frac{\gamma+1}{2} h(\varrho_c(s))$ . Hence for  $0 \leq t \leq (1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}) \mathfrak{t}_c(s)$  and  $\rho \geq \varrho_c(s)$  it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\rho \mathfrak{t}(\rho, s) &\stackrel{(2.27)}{=} 2(\gamma+1)\rho(h(\varrho_c(s)) - h(\rho)) \stackrel{(2.19)}{=} 2(\gamma+1)\rho \left( \frac{\mathfrak{t}(\rho, s)}{2\rho^2} - \frac{\mathfrak{t}_c(s)}{2\varrho_c(s)^2} \right) \\ &\leq (\gamma+1) \frac{\mathfrak{t}(\rho, s) - \mathfrak{t}_c(s)}{\rho} \leq -\frac{(\gamma+1)\epsilon \mathfrak{t}_c(s)}{2\rho}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus from (2.34) and (2.21) we conclude that

$$0 < \partial_t g(t, z) = -\frac{g^2(t, z)}{\partial_\rho \mathfrak{t}(\rho, s)} \Big|_{\rho=\frac{1}{g(t, z)}, s=\mathcal{B}(z)} \leq \frac{2g(t, z)}{(\gamma+1)\epsilon \mathfrak{t}_c(\mathcal{B}(z))} \leq \frac{C_\gamma g(t, z)}{\epsilon \mathfrak{t}_c(B_*)},$$

which is the claimed inequality (2.42). With (2.42) at hand, we have

$$(2.43) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t g_\epsilon(t, z) &= \partial_t g(t, z) \varpi_\epsilon \left( \frac{t}{\mathfrak{t}_c(\mathcal{B}(z))} \right) + (g(t, z) - g^*) \varpi'_\epsilon \left( \frac{t}{\mathfrak{t}_c(\mathcal{B}(z))} \right) \frac{1}{\mathfrak{t}_c(\mathcal{B}(z))} \\ &\leq \frac{C_\gamma g(t, z)}{\epsilon \mathfrak{t}_c(B_*)} + \frac{8g^*}{\epsilon \mathfrak{t}_c(B_*)} \leq \frac{C_\gamma g^*}{\epsilon \mathfrak{t}_c(B_*)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$(2.44) \quad \partial_t g_\epsilon(t, z) = 0 \quad \text{in } \{(t, z) : t \geq (1 - \epsilon/2) \mathfrak{t}_c(\mathcal{B}(z)), z \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Thus

$$0 \leq t \partial_t g_\epsilon(t, z) \leq \frac{C_\gamma g^* \mathfrak{t}_c(\mathcal{B}(z))}{\epsilon \mathfrak{t}_c(B_*)} \leq \frac{C_\gamma g^* \mathfrak{t}_c(B^*)}{\epsilon \mathfrak{t}_c(B_*)}.$$

Since  $B_*$  and  $B^*$  are equivalent, cf. (2.21), then the estimate (2.40) follows directly from (2.39).

(iii). Direct computations give

$$(2.45) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_z g_\epsilon(t, z) &= \partial_z g(t, z) \varpi_\epsilon \left( \frac{t}{\mathfrak{t}_c(\mathcal{B}(z))} \right) + (g^* - g(t, z)) \varpi'_\epsilon \left( \frac{t}{\mathfrak{t}_c(\mathcal{B}(z))} \right) \frac{t \mathfrak{t}'_c(\mathcal{B}(z)) \mathcal{B}'(z)}{\mathfrak{t}_c(\mathcal{B}(z))^2} \\ &\stackrel{(2.26)}{=} \left\{ -2 \frac{\partial_t g(t, z)}{g(t, z)^2} \varpi_\epsilon \left( \frac{t}{\mathfrak{t}_c(\mathcal{B}(z))} \right) + (g^* - g(t, z)) \varpi'_\epsilon \left( \frac{t}{\mathfrak{t}_c(\mathcal{B}(z))} \right) \frac{t \mathfrak{t}'_c(\mathcal{B}(z))}{\mathfrak{t}_c(\mathcal{B}(z))^2} \right\} \mathcal{B}'(z). \end{aligned}$$

Note that both sums in the expression of  $\partial_t g_\epsilon$ , cf. (2.43), are nonnegative. Thus we get from the expression of  $\partial_z g_\epsilon$  in (2.45) that

$$(2.46) \quad |\partial_z g_\epsilon(t, z)| \leq \max \left\{ \frac{2}{g^2(t, z)}, \frac{t \mathfrak{t}'_c(\mathcal{B}(z))}{\mathfrak{t}_c(\mathcal{B}(z))} \right\} |\mathcal{B}'(z)| \partial_t g_\epsilon(t, z).$$

By (2.7) and (2.22) one has

$$(2.47) \quad |\mathcal{B}'(z)| \leq C_\gamma \kappa_0 B_*^{-\frac{1}{\gamma-1}}.$$

Combining the above two estimates with (2.25),

$$(2.48) \quad \mathfrak{t}_c(\mathcal{B}(z)) \sim B_*^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma-1}}, \quad \mathfrak{t}'_c(\mathcal{B}(z)) \sim B_*^{\frac{2}{\gamma-1}}$$

and (2.43)-(2.44) yields the first inequality in (2.41).

To show the second inequality in (2.41), first we note that from (2.46) and (2.44) one has

$$(2.49) \quad \partial_z g_\epsilon(t, z) = 0 \quad \text{in } \{(t, z) : t \geq (1 - \epsilon/2) \mathfrak{t}_c(\mathcal{B}(z)), z \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Then it follows from the first inequality in (2.41) that

$$|t \partial_z g_\epsilon(t, z)| \leq \mathfrak{t}_c(\mathcal{B}(z)) |\partial_z g_\epsilon(t, z)| \leq C_\gamma \kappa_0 \epsilon^{-1}.$$

This finishes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

### 3. VARIATIONAL FORMULATION FOR THE FREE BOUNDARY PROBLEM

As in [16, Section 3], we can show that the quasilinear equation of the stream function is an Euler-Lagrange equation for an energy functional. Thus the jet problem can be transformed into a variational problem.

In Sections 3-5, we will always assume that the mass flux  $Q$  satisfies  $Q > \tilde{Q}$ , where  $\tilde{Q}$  is defined in (2.20).

Let  $\Omega$  be the domain bounded by  $\mathcal{N}_0$  (the  $x$ -axis) and  $\mathcal{N} \cup ([0, \infty) \times \{1\})$ . Since  $\Omega$  is unbounded, we make an approximation by considering the problems in a family of truncated domains  $\Omega_{\mu, R} := \Omega \cap \{-\mu < x < R\}$ , where  $\mu$  and  $R$  are positive numbers. Set

$$(3.1) \quad G_\epsilon(t, z) := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g_\epsilon(s, z) ds + \frac{1}{\gamma} (g_\epsilon^{-\gamma}(0, z) - g_\epsilon^{-\gamma}(0, Q)).$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \Phi_\epsilon(t, z) := -G_\epsilon(t, z) + 2\partial_t G_\epsilon(t, z)t.$$

Given  $\psi_{\mu,R}^\# \in C(\partial\Omega_{\mu,R}) \cap H^1(\Omega_{\mu,R})$  with  $0 \leq \psi_{\mu,R}^\# \leq Q$ , we consider the following minimization problem:

$$(3.3) \quad \text{find } \psi \in K_{\psi_{\mu,R}^\#} \text{ s.t. } J_{\mu,R,\Lambda}^\epsilon(\psi) = \inf_{\phi \in K_{\psi_{\mu,R}^\#}} J_{\mu,R,\Lambda}^\epsilon(\phi),$$

where

$$(3.4) \quad J_{\mu,R,\Lambda}^\epsilon(\phi) := \int_{\Omega_{\mu,R}} y \left[ G_\epsilon \left( \left| \frac{\nabla \phi}{y} \right|^2, \phi \right) + \lambda_\epsilon^2 \chi_{\{\phi < Q\}} \right] dX, \quad \lambda_\epsilon := \sqrt{\Phi_\epsilon(\Lambda^2, Q)}$$

with  $\Lambda$  given by (2.35), and where

$$K_{\psi_{\mu,R}^\#} := \{ \phi \in H^1(\Omega_{\mu,R}) : \phi = \psi_{\mu,R}^\# \text{ on } \partial\Omega_{\mu,R} \}.$$

Note that  $\Phi_\epsilon(\Lambda^2, Q) > 0$ . Indeed, with the aid of ellipticity condition (2.40), straightforward computations show that  $t \mapsto \Phi_\epsilon(t, z)$  is monotone increasing:

$$(3.5) \quad 0 < \frac{1}{2} c_* B_*^{-\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \leq \partial_t \Phi_\epsilon(t, z) = \frac{1}{2} g_\epsilon(t, z) + \partial_t g_\epsilon(t, z) t \leq \frac{1}{2} c^* \epsilon^{-1} B_*^{-\frac{1}{\gamma-1}}.$$

Since  $\Phi_\epsilon(0, Q) = -G_\epsilon(0, Q) = 0$ , one immediately has  $\Phi_\epsilon(t, Q) > 0$  for  $t > 0$ .

The existence and the Hölder regularity of minimizers for (3.3) have been shown in [16, Lemmas 4.2 and 4.7] (see also Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3). We now derive the Euler-Lagrange equation for the variational problem (3.3) in the open set  $\Omega_{\mu,R} \cap \{\psi < Q\}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\psi$  be a minimizer of problem (3.3). Then  $\psi$  is a solution to*

$$(3.6) \quad \nabla \cdot \left( g_\epsilon \left( \left| \frac{\nabla \psi}{y} \right|^2, \psi \right) \frac{\nabla \psi}{y} \right) = y \partial_z G_\epsilon \left( \left| \frac{\nabla \psi}{y} \right|^2, \psi \right) \quad \text{in } \Omega_{\mu,R} \cap \{\psi < Q\}.$$

Furthermore, if  $|\nabla \psi / y|^2 \leq (1 - \epsilon) \mathbf{t}_c(\mathcal{B}(\psi))$ , it holds that

$$(3.7) \quad \partial_z G_\epsilon \left( \left| \frac{\nabla \psi}{y} \right|^2, \psi \right) = \frac{\mathcal{B}'(\psi)}{g(|\frac{\nabla \psi}{y}|^2, \psi)}.$$

*Proof.* For any  $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_{\mu,R} \cap \{\psi < Q\})$ , direct computations give

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} J_{\mu,R,\Lambda}^\epsilon(\psi + \tau\eta) \Big|_{\tau=0} = \int_{\Omega_{\mu,R}} 2\partial_t G_\epsilon \left( \left| \frac{\nabla \psi}{y} \right|^2, \psi \right) \frac{\nabla \psi}{y} \cdot \nabla \eta + y \partial_z G_\epsilon \left( \left| \frac{\nabla \psi}{y} \right|^2, \psi \right) \eta.$$

By the definition of  $G_\epsilon$  in (3.1), minimizers of (3.3) satisfy the equation (3.6).

Next, by the definition of  $G_\epsilon$  in (3.1), one has

$$\partial_z G_\epsilon(t, z) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \partial_z g_\epsilon(\tau, z) d\tau - g_\epsilon^{-\gamma-1}(0, z) \partial_z g_\epsilon(0, z).$$

Note that

$$(3.8) \quad g_\epsilon(t, z) = g(t, z) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{T}_\epsilon := \{(t, z) : 0 \leq t \leq (1 - \epsilon) \mathbf{t}_c(\mathcal{B}(z)), z \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$



Thus using (2.26) one has

$$\partial_z G_\epsilon(t, z) = \mathcal{B}'(z)\varrho(t, z) - \mathcal{B}'(z)\varrho(0, z) - g^{-\gamma-1}(0, z)\partial_z g(0, z) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{T}_\epsilon.$$

It follows from (2.31) that  $\partial_t \varrho(0, z) = -\frac{1}{2}\varrho^{-\gamma}(0, z)$ . This together with (2.26) gives

$$(3.9) \quad \partial_z g(0, z) = -\mathcal{B}'(z)\varrho^{-\gamma}(0, z) = -\mathcal{B}'(z)g^\gamma(0, z).$$

Substituting (3.9) into the expression of  $\partial_z G_\epsilon$  one gets

$$(3.10) \quad \partial_z G_\epsilon(t, z) = \mathcal{B}'(z)\varrho(t, z) = \frac{\mathcal{B}'(z)}{g(t, z)} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{T}_\epsilon.$$

This completes the proof for the lemma.  $\square$

Minimizers of problem (3.3) satisfy the following free boundary condition.

**Lemma 3.2.** ([16, Lemma 3.2]) *Let  $\psi$  be a minimizer of problem (3.3). Then*

$$\Phi_\epsilon \left( \left| \frac{\nabla \psi}{y} \right|^2, \psi \right) = \lambda_\epsilon^2 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_\psi := \partial\{\psi < Q\} \cap \Omega_{\mu, R}$$

in the sense that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\partial\{\psi < Q-s\}} y \left[ \Phi_\epsilon \left( \left| \frac{\nabla \psi}{y} \right|^2, \psi \right) - \lambda_\epsilon^2 \right] (\eta \cdot \nu) d\mathcal{H}^1 = 0 \quad \text{for any } \eta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_{\mu, R}; \mathbb{R}^2),$$

where  $\mathcal{H}^1$  is the one-dimensional Hausdorff measure.

**Remark 3.3** (Relation between  $\lambda_\epsilon$  and  $\Lambda$ ). *If the free boundary  $\Gamma_\psi$  is smooth and  $\psi$  is smooth near  $\Gamma_\psi$ , then it follows from the monotonicity of  $t \mapsto \Phi_\epsilon(t, z)$  that for each  $z$  and the definition of  $\lambda_\epsilon$  in (3.4) that  $|\nabla \psi / y| = \Lambda$  on  $\Gamma_\psi$ . Moreover, since*

$$\lambda_\epsilon^2 = \Phi_\epsilon(\Lambda^2, Q) = \Phi_\epsilon(0, Q) + \int_0^{\Lambda^2} \partial_t \Phi_\epsilon(s, Q) ds,$$

using (3.5) and  $\Phi_\epsilon(0, Q) = 0$  one has

$$\frac{1}{2}c_*\Lambda^2 \leq B_*^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}}\lambda_\epsilon^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}c^*\epsilon^{-1}\Lambda^2.$$

In view of (2.22) and (2.8) one concludes that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  depending on  $\gamma, \epsilon$ , and  $\|y\bar{u}\|_{L^1([0, \bar{H}])}$  such that

$$(3.11) \quad C^{-1} \leq \frac{Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda_\epsilon}{\Lambda} \leq C.$$

#### 4. THE EXISTENCE AND REGULARITY FOR THE FREE BOUNDARY PROBLEM

In this section, we study the existence and the regularity of minimizers for the minimization problem (3.3), as well as the regularity of the free boundary away from the nozzle.

**4.1. Existence of minimizers.** For the ease of notations in the rest of this section, let

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{D} := \Omega_{\mu,R} = \Omega \cap \{-\mu < x < R\}, \quad \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{p}, z) := G_\epsilon(|\mathbf{p}|^2, z), \quad \mathcal{J} := J_{\mu,R,\Lambda}^\epsilon, \quad \lambda := \lambda_\epsilon.$$

Then  $\mathcal{D}$  is a bounded Lipschitz domain in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and is contained in the infinite strip  $\mathbb{R} \times [0, \bar{H}]$ ,  $\mathcal{G} : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is smooth in  $\mathbf{p}$  and  $C^{1,1}$  in  $z$ , and  $\lambda$  is a positive constant. The minimization problem (3.3) can be rewritten as

$$(4.2) \quad \text{find } \psi \in \mathcal{K}_{\psi^\sharp} \text{ s.t. } \mathcal{J}(\psi) = \inf_{\phi \in \mathcal{K}_{\psi^\sharp}} \mathcal{J}(\phi)$$

with

$$\mathcal{J}(\phi) := \int_{\mathcal{D}} y \left[ \mathcal{G} \left( \frac{\nabla \phi}{y}, \phi \right) + \lambda^2 \chi_{\{\phi < Q\}} \right] dX$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}_{\psi^\sharp} := \{\phi \in H^1(\mathcal{D}) : \phi = \psi^\sharp \text{ on } \partial\mathcal{D}\}.$$

Here  $\psi^\sharp$  is a given continuous function satisfying  $0 \leq \psi^\sharp \leq Q$  on  $\partial\mathcal{D}$ .

The properties of  $\mathcal{G}$  are summarized in the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $G_\epsilon$  be defined in (3.1) and  $\mathcal{G}$  be defined in (4.1), then the following properties hold.*

(i) *There exist positive constants  $\mathbf{b}_* = c_* B_*^{-\frac{1}{\gamma-1}}$  and  $\mathbf{b}^* = c^* B_*^{-\frac{1}{\gamma-1}}$  with  $c_*$  and  $c^*$  depending only on  $\gamma$ , such that*

$$(4.3) \quad \mathbf{b}_* |\mathbf{p}|^2 \leq p_i \partial_{p_i} \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{p}, z) \leq \mathbf{b}^* |\mathbf{p}|^2,$$

$$(4.4) \quad \mathbf{b}_* |\xi|^2 \leq \xi_i \partial_{p_i p_j} \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{p}, z) \xi_j \leq \mathbf{b}^* \epsilon^{-1} |\xi|^2 \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

(ii) *One has*

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_z \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{p}, z) &= 0 \quad \text{in } \{(\mathbf{p}, z) : \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^2, z \in (-\infty, 0]\}, \\ \partial_z \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{p}, z) &\geq 0 \quad \text{in } \{(\mathbf{p}, z) : \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^2, z \in (0, \infty)\}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) *There exist constants*

$$(4.6) \quad \delta' := \epsilon^{-1} C_\gamma \kappa_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta := \delta' B_*^{-\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \left( B_*^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \kappa_0 B_*^{-1} + \bar{u}_*^{-1} \right),$$

where  $\kappa_0$  is defined in (2.6) and  $C_\gamma > 0$  is a constant depending only on  $\gamma$ , such that

$$(4.7) \quad \epsilon^{-1} |\partial_z \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{p}, z)| + |\mathbf{p} \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{p}z} \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{p}, z)| \leq \delta', \quad |\partial_{\mathbf{p}z} \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{p}, z)| + |\partial_{zz} \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{p}, z)| \leq \delta,$$

$$(4.8) \quad \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{0}, Q) = 0, \quad \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{p}, z) \geq \frac{\mathbf{b}_*}{2} |\mathbf{p}|^2 - C_\gamma \kappa_0 \min\{Q, (Q - z)_+\}.$$

(iv) *One has*

$$(4.9) \quad \partial_{zz}\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{p}, z) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \det \begin{bmatrix} \partial_{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}}\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{p}, z) & y\partial_{\mathbf{p}z}\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{p}, z) \\ \partial_{\mathbf{p}z}\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{p}, z) & y\partial_{zz}\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{p}, z) \end{bmatrix} \geq 0$$

in the set  $\mathcal{P}_\epsilon := \{(\mathbf{p}, z) : |\mathbf{p}|^2 \leq (1 - \epsilon) \mathfrak{t}_c(\mathcal{B}(z)), z \in \mathbb{R}\}$ .

*Proof.* The proof for (i) and (iii) is the same as that in [17, Proposition 4.1].

As for (ii), note that  $\partial_z\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{p}, z)$  is a positive multiple of  $\mathcal{B}'(z)$  (cf. the proof for [17, Proposition 4.1(ii)]). Thus in view of (2.7) and (2.15) one gets (4.5).

To prove (iv), notice by (3.10)

$$\partial_z\mathcal{G}(|\mathbf{p}|^2, z) = \frac{\mathcal{B}'(z)}{g(|\mathbf{p}|^2, z)} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{P}_\epsilon.$$

Hence

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_{zz}\mathcal{G}(|\mathbf{p}|^2, z) &= \frac{\mathcal{B}''(z)}{g(|\mathbf{p}|^2, z)} - \frac{\mathcal{B}'(z)}{g^2(|\mathbf{p}|^2, z)} \partial_z g(|\mathbf{p}|^2, z) \\ &\stackrel{(2.26)}{=} \frac{\mathcal{B}''(z)}{g(|\mathbf{p}|^2, z)} + \frac{2(\mathcal{B}'(z))^2}{g^4(|\mathbf{p}|^2, z)} \partial_t g(|\mathbf{p}|^2, z) \end{aligned}$$

in  $\mathcal{P}_\epsilon$ . Then the first inequality in (4.9) follows from  $\mathcal{B}''(z) \geq 0$  (cf. (2.7)) and  $\partial_t g(t, z) > 0$  (cf. (2.42)). Furthermore, using the definition of  $G_\epsilon$  in (3.1), straightforward computations yield

$$\partial_{p_i p_j}\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{p}, z) = g(|\mathbf{p}|^2, z)\delta_{ij} + 2\partial_t g(|\mathbf{p}|^2, z)p_i p_j \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{p_i z}\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{p}, z) = p_i \partial_z g(|\mathbf{p}|^2, z)$$

in  $\mathcal{P}_\epsilon$ . These together with the expression of  $\partial_{zz}\mathcal{G}$  in (4.10) and the relation between  $\partial_z g$  and  $\partial_t g$  in (2.26) give the second inequality of (4.9). This finishes the proof.  $\square$

The existence of minimizers for the minimization problem (4.2) follows from standard theory for calculus of variations.

**Lemma 4.2.** ([16, Lemma 4.2]) *Assume  $\mathcal{G}$  satisfies (4.4) and (4.8). Then the minimization problem (4.2) has a minimizer.*

**4.2. Lipschitz regularity and nondegeneracy of minimizers.** With Proposition 4.1 at hand, we can establish the (optimal) Lipschitz regularity and nondegeneracy property of minimizers as in [16, Section 4].

First, in view of [16, Section 4.2], minimizers of (4.2) have the following properties.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $\psi$  be a minimizer of (4.2). Then the following statements hold.*

(i) The function  $\psi$  is a supersolution of the elliptic equation

$$(4.11) \quad \partial_i \partial_{p_i} \mathcal{G} \left( \frac{\nabla \psi}{y}, \psi \right) - y \partial_z \mathcal{G} \left( \frac{\nabla \psi}{y}, \psi \right) = 0,$$

in the sense of

$$(4.12) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[ \partial_{p_i} \mathcal{G} \left( \frac{\nabla \psi}{y}, \psi \right) \partial_i \eta + y \partial_z \mathcal{G} \left( \frac{\nabla \psi}{y}, \psi \right) \eta \right] \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } \eta \geq 0, \eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{D}).$$

(ii) The function  $\psi$  satisfies  $0 \leq \psi \leq Q$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ .

(iii) The function  $\psi \in C_{\text{loc}}^{0,\alpha}(\mathcal{D})$  for any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .

We consider the rescaled and renormalized function

$$(4.13) \quad \psi_{\bar{X},r}^*(X) := \frac{Q - \psi(\bar{X} + rX)}{Qr}, \quad \text{for } \bar{X} \in \mathcal{D}, r \in (0, 1).$$

Then  $\psi_{\bar{X},r}^*$  is a minimizer of

$$\mathcal{J}_{\bar{X},r}(\phi) := \int_{\mathcal{D}_{\bar{X},r}} (\bar{y} + ry) \left[ \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r \left( \frac{\nabla \phi}{\bar{y} + ry}, \phi \right) + \lambda^2 \chi_{\{\phi > 0\}} \right] dX$$

over the admissible set  $\mathcal{K}_{\bar{X},r,\psi^\#} := \left\{ \phi \in H^1(\mathcal{D}_{\bar{X},r}) : \phi = \psi_{\bar{X},r}^* \text{ on } \partial \mathcal{D}_{\bar{X},r} \right\}$ , where

$$(4.14) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r(\mathbf{p}, z) := \mathcal{G}(-Q\mathbf{p}, Q - Qrz) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}_{\bar{X},r} := \{(X - \bar{X})/r : X \in \mathcal{D}\}.$$

The straightforward computations show that  $\psi_{\bar{X},r}^*$  satisfies

$$(4.15) \quad \partial_i \partial_{p_i} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r \left( \frac{\nabla \psi_{\bar{X},r}^*}{\bar{y} + ry}, \psi_{\bar{X},r}^* \right) - (\bar{y} + ry) \partial_z \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r \left( \frac{\nabla \psi_{\bar{X},r}^*}{\bar{y} + ry}, \psi_{\bar{X},r}^* \right) \geq 0$$

in  $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{X},r}$ , and

$$(4.16) \quad \partial_i \partial_{p_i} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r \left( \frac{\nabla \psi_{\bar{X},r}^*}{\bar{y} + ry}, \psi_{\bar{X},r}^* \right) - (\bar{y} + ry) \partial_z \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r \left( \frac{\nabla \psi_{\bar{X},r}^*}{\bar{y} + ry}, \psi_{\bar{X},r}^* \right) = 0$$

in  $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{X},r} \cap \{\psi_{\bar{X},r}^* > 0\}$ .

The properties of  $\mathcal{G}$  in Proposition 4.1 can be translated into the properties of  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r$  in an obvious fashion:

$$(4.17) \quad \tilde{\mathbf{b}}_* |\mathbf{p}|^2 \leq p_i \partial_{p_i} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r(\mathbf{p}, z) \leq \tilde{\mathbf{b}}^* |\mathbf{p}|^2,$$

$$(4.18) \quad \tilde{\mathbf{b}}_* |\xi|^2 \leq \xi_i \partial_{p_i p_j} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r(\mathbf{p}, z) \xi_j \leq \tilde{\mathbf{b}}^* \epsilon^{-1} |\xi|^2 \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

$$(4.19) \quad \partial_z \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r(\mathbf{p}, z) \leq 0 \quad \text{in } \{(\mathbf{p}, z) : \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^2, z \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

$$(4.20) \quad \epsilon^{-1} |\partial_z \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r(\mathbf{p}, z)| + |\mathbf{p} \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{p}z} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r(\mathbf{p}, z)| \leq \tilde{\delta}' r, \quad |r \partial_{\mathbf{p}z} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r(\mathbf{p}, z)| + |\partial_{zz} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r(\mathbf{p}, z)| \leq \tilde{\delta} r^2,$$

$$(4.21) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r(\mathbf{0}, 0) = 0, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r(\mathbf{p}, z) \geq \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{b}}_*}{2} |\mathbf{p}|^2 - \tilde{\delta}' \epsilon r z_+,$$

where  $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}_* := Q^2 \mathbf{b}_*$ ,  $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}^* := Q^2 \mathbf{b}^*$ ,  $\tilde{\delta}' := \delta' Q$ ,  $\tilde{\delta} := \delta Q^2$  with  $\mathbf{b}_*$ ,  $\mathbf{b}^*$ ,  $\delta'$ ,  $\delta$  as in Proposition 4.1. Note that from the explicit expressions of  $\mathbf{b}_*$ ,  $\mathbf{b}^*$ ,  $\delta'$ , and  $\delta$  in Proposition 4.1 and Remark 2.3 one has

$$(4.22) \quad \tilde{\mathbf{b}}_*, \tilde{\mathbf{b}}^*, \tilde{\delta}', \tilde{\delta} \sim Q,$$

where  $A \sim B$  means that  $C^{-1}B \leq A \leq CB$  for some  $C = C(\gamma, \epsilon, \bar{u})$ .

We note that after the renormalization  $\psi_{\bar{X},r}^*$  satisfies

$$a_r^{ij} \partial_{ij} \psi_{\bar{X},r}^* - r \partial_{p_i p_j} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r \left( \frac{\nabla \psi_{\bar{X},r}^*}{\bar{y} + ry}, \psi_{\bar{X},r}^* \right) \frac{\partial_i \psi_{\bar{X},r}^*}{\bar{y} + ry} = (\bar{y} + ry) f_r \quad \text{in } \{\psi_{\bar{X},r}^* > 0\},$$

where

$$a_r^{ij} := \partial_{p_i p_j} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r \left( \frac{\nabla \psi_{\bar{X},r}^*}{\bar{y} + ry}, \psi_{\bar{X},r}^* \right)$$

and

$$f_r := - \partial_i \psi_{\bar{X},r}^* \partial_{p_i z} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r \left( \frac{\nabla \psi_{\bar{X},r}^*}{\bar{y} + ry}, \psi_{\bar{X},r}^* \right) + (\bar{y} + ry) \partial_z \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r \left( \frac{\nabla \psi_{\bar{X},r}^*}{\bar{y} + ry}, \psi_{\bar{X},r}^* \right).$$

From (4.20) and (4.22) we conclude that there exist constants  $C_\gamma = C_\gamma(\gamma) > 1$  and  $C = C(\gamma, \epsilon, \bar{u}, \bar{H}) > 0$  such that

$$1 \leq \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{b}}^*}{\tilde{\mathbf{b}}_*} \leq \frac{C_\gamma}{\epsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{\partial_z \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r}{\tilde{\mathbf{b}}_*} \right| + \left| \frac{f_r}{\tilde{\mathbf{b}}_*} \right| \leq Cr.$$

The following comparison principle for elliptic equation (4.16) is important for the proof of the Lipschitz regularity and nondegeneracy of minimizers.

**Lemma 4.4.** *Given a bounded domain  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\bar{y} \in (0, \bar{H})$ . For  $r > 0$ , let  $\psi^* \in H^1(\tilde{\mathcal{D}})$  be a subsolution of the equation (4.16) in the sense of*

$$\int_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}} \left[ \partial_{p_i} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r \left( \frac{\nabla \psi^*}{\bar{y} + ry}, \psi^* \right) \partial_i \eta + (\bar{y} + ry) \partial_z \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r \left( \frac{\nabla \psi^*}{\bar{y} + ry}, \psi^* \right) \eta \right] \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } \eta \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}),$$

and  $\phi \in H^1(\tilde{\mathcal{D}})$  be a solution of (4.16) in the sense of

$$\int_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}} \left[ \partial_{p_i} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r \left( \frac{\nabla \phi}{\bar{y} + ry}, \phi \right) \partial_i \eta + (\bar{y} + ry) \partial_z \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r \left( \frac{\nabla \phi}{\bar{y} + ry}, \phi \right) \eta \right] = 0 \quad \text{for all } \eta \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}).$$

Assume that  $\psi^* \leq \phi$  on  $\partial \tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ . Then  $\psi^* \leq \phi$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ , as long as  $r \leq r^*$  for some  $r^* > 0$  sufficiently small depending on  $\gamma, \epsilon, \bar{u}, \bar{H}$ , and  $d := \text{diam} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ .

*Proof.* Take  $\eta = (\psi^* - \phi)^+$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}} \left[ \partial_{p_i} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r \left( \frac{\nabla \psi^*}{\bar{y} + ry}, \psi^* \right) - \partial_{p_i} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r \left( \frac{\nabla \phi}{\bar{y} + ry}, \phi \right) \right] \partial_i \eta \\ & + \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}} (\bar{y} + ry) \left[ \partial_z \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r \left( \frac{\nabla \psi^*}{\bar{y} + ry}, \psi^* \right) - \partial_z \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r \left( \frac{\nabla \phi}{\bar{y} + ry}, \phi \right) \right] \eta \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using the convexity of  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r$  in (4.18) and the triangle inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}} \left[ \partial_{p_i} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r \left( \frac{\nabla \psi^*}{\bar{y} + ry}, \psi^* \right) - \partial_{p_i} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r \left( \frac{\nabla \phi}{\bar{y} + ry}, \phi \right) \right] \partial_i \eta \\ & \geq \tilde{\mathbf{b}}_* \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}} \frac{|\nabla \eta|^2}{\bar{y} + ry} + \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}} \left[ \partial_{p_i} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r \left( \frac{\nabla \phi}{\bar{y} + ry}, \psi^* \right) - \partial_{p_i} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r \left( \frac{\nabla \phi}{\bar{y} + ry}, \phi \right) \right] \partial_i \eta, \end{aligned}$$

where the last integral in the above inequality can be estimated from (4.20) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}} \left[ \partial_{p_i} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r \left( \frac{\nabla \phi}{\bar{y} + ry}, \psi^* \right) - \partial_{p_i} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r \left( \frac{\nabla \phi}{\bar{y} + ry}, \phi \right) \right] \partial_i \eta \\ & = \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}} \left[ (\psi^* - \phi) \int_0^1 \partial_{p_{iz}} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r \left( \frac{\nabla \phi}{\bar{y} + ry}, s\psi^* + (1-s)\phi \right) ds \right] \partial_i \eta \geq -\tilde{\delta} r \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}} |\nabla \eta| \eta. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, using (4.20) and the triangle inequality yields

$$\int_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}} (\bar{y} + ry) \left[ \partial_z \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r \left( \frac{\nabla \psi^*}{\bar{y} + ry}, \psi^* \right) - \partial_z \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_r \left( \frac{\nabla \phi}{\bar{y} + ry}, \phi \right) \right] \eta \geq -\tilde{\delta} r \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}} (|\nabla \eta| \eta + r(\bar{y} + ry)\eta^2).$$

Combining the above estimates together gives

$$(4.23) \quad \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}} \frac{|\nabla \eta|^2}{\bar{y} + ry} \leq \frac{2\tilde{\delta} r}{\tilde{\mathbf{b}}_*} \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}} (|\nabla \eta| \eta + r(\bar{y} + ry)\eta^2).$$

Since the domain  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is bounded, applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the Poincaré inequality to  $\eta(x, \cdot)$  for each  $x$  to (4.23), one has

$$\int_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}} |\nabla \eta|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}} |\nabla \eta|^2 + Cd^2(\bar{H} + rd)^2 \frac{\tilde{\delta} r^2}{\tilde{\mathbf{b}}_*} \left( \frac{\tilde{\delta}}{\tilde{\mathbf{b}}_*} + 1 \right) \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}} |\nabla \eta|^2,$$

where  $d := \text{diam} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}$  and  $C > 0$  is a universal constant. Thus in view of (4.22), if  $r \leq r^*$  for some  $r^* = r^*(\gamma, \epsilon, \bar{u}, \bar{H}, d)$  sufficiently small, then  $\nabla \eta = 0$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ , which implies that  $\eta = 0$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

Based on the above discussions, we can now use the same arguments as in [16] to derive the following conclusions. The first two lemmas give the decay rate of a minimizer away from the free boundary.

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $\psi$  be a minimizer of (4.2). Let  $\bar{X} = (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \mathcal{D} \cap \{\psi < Q\}$  satisfy  $\text{dist}(\bar{X}, \Gamma_\psi) \leq \bar{r} \text{dist}(\bar{X}, \partial\mathcal{D})$ , where  $\bar{r} = \bar{r}(\gamma, \epsilon, \bar{u}, \frac{\Lambda}{Q}, \bar{H}) \in (0, 1/4)$ . Then there exists a constant  $C = C(\gamma, \epsilon, \bar{u}, \bar{H}) > 0$  such that*

$$Q - \psi(\bar{X}) \leq C\Lambda\bar{y}\text{dist}(\bar{X}, \Gamma_\psi).$$

**Lemma 4.6.** (Nondegeneracy) *Let  $\psi$  be a minimizer of (4.2). Then for any  $\vartheta > 1$  and any  $0 < a < 1$ , there exist positive constants  $c_a, r_* > 0$  depending on  $\gamma, \epsilon, \bar{u}, \bar{H}, \vartheta$ , and  $a$ , such that for any  $B_r(\bar{X}) \subset \mathcal{D}$  with  $\bar{X} = (\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  and  $r \leq \min\{r_*, c_a \frac{\Lambda}{Q}\}\bar{y}$ , if*

$$\frac{1}{r} \left( \int_{B_r(\bar{X})} |Q - \psi|^\vartheta \right)^{\frac{1}{\vartheta}} \leq c_a \Lambda \bar{y},$$

then  $\psi = Q$  in  $B_{ar}(\bar{X})$ .

The next proposition shows the Lipschitz regularity of minimizers.

**Proposition 4.7.** *Let  $\psi$  be a minimizer of (4.2), then  $\psi \in C_{\text{loc}}^{0,1}(\mathcal{D})$ . Moreover, for any connected domain  $\mathcal{D}' \Subset \mathcal{D}$  containing a free boundary point, the Lipschitz constant of  $\psi$  in  $\mathcal{D}'$  is estimated by  $C\Lambda$ , where  $C$  depends on  $\gamma, \epsilon, \bar{u}, \bar{H}, \frac{\Lambda}{Q}, \mathcal{D}'$  and  $\mathcal{D}$ .*

**Remark 4.8.** *By the boundary estimate for the elliptic equation,  $\psi$  is Lipschitz up to the  $C^{1,\alpha}$  portion  $\Sigma \subset \partial\mathcal{D} \cap \{y > 0\}$  as long as the boundary data  $\psi^\# \in C^{0,1}(\mathcal{D} \cup \Sigma)$ . Moreover, if  $\mathcal{D}'$  is a subset of  $\overline{\mathcal{D}} \cap \{y > 0\}$  with  $\mathcal{D}' \cap \partial\mathcal{D}$  being  $C^{1,\alpha}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}' \cap \mathcal{D}$  is connected, and  $\mathcal{D}'$  contains a free boundary point, then  $|\nabla\psi| \leq C\Lambda$  in  $\mathcal{D}'$ .*

**4.3. Regularity of the free boundary.** With the help of the Lipschitz regularity and nondegeneracy of minimizers, we obtain the following regularity of the free boundary. For the proof we refer to [16, Section 4.4].

**Proposition 4.9.** *Let  $\psi$  be a minimizer of (4.2). The free boundary  $\Gamma_\psi$  is locally  $C^{k+1,\alpha}$  if  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{p}, z)$  is  $C^{k,\alpha}$  in its components ( $k \geq 1, 0 < \alpha < 1$ ), and it is locally real analytic if  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{p}, z)$  is real analytic.*

In our case the function  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{p}, z)$  is  $C^{1,1}$  in its components (cf. Section 4.1), thus the free boundary is locally  $C^{2,\alpha}$  for any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .

## 5. FINE PROPERTIES FOR THE FREE BOUNDARY PROBLEM

In this section, we first obtain the uniqueness and monotonicity of the solution to the truncated problem with specific boundary conditions. The monotonicity of the solution is crucial to prove the graph property of the free boundary, as well as the equivalence between the Euler system and the stream function formulation. Then we establish the continuous fit and smooth fit of the free boundary. That is, the free boundary fits the outlet of the nozzle in a continuous differentiable fashion.

**5.1. Uniqueness and monotonicity of the minimizer to problem (3.3).** We take a specific boundary value  $\psi_{\mu,R}^\sharp$  on  $\partial\Omega_{\mu,R}$ . Let  $b_\mu \in (1, \bar{H})$  be such that  $N(b_\mu) = -\mu$ , where  $N$  is defined in (1.4). Choose a point  $(-\mu, b'_\mu)$  with  $0 < k_\mu := b_\mu - b'_\mu < (b_\mu - 1)/4$ . Let  $H_* := H_*(\Lambda)$  be such that  $\Lambda H_*^2 e^{1-H_*} = Q$  if  $\Lambda > Q$ , and  $H_* = 1$  if  $\Lambda \leq Q$ . Define

$$\psi^\dagger(y) := \begin{cases} \min(\Lambda y^2 e^{1-y}, Q) & \text{if } H_* < 1, \\ Q y^2 e^{1-y} & \text{if } H_* = 1. \end{cases}$$

Let  $s \in (3/2, 2)$  be a fixed constant. Set

$$(5.1) \quad \psi_{\mu,R}^\sharp(x, y) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = -\mu, 0 < y < b'_\mu, \\ Q \left( \frac{y - b'_\mu}{k_\mu} \right)^s & \text{if } x = -\mu, b'_\mu \leq y \leq b_\mu, \\ Q & \text{if } (x, y) \in \mathcal{N} \cup ([0, R] \times \{1\}), \\ \psi^\dagger(y) & \text{if } x = R, 0 < y < 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } -\mu \leq x \leq R, y = 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that  $\psi_{\mu,R}^\sharp$  is continuous and it satisfies  $0 \leq \psi_{\mu,R}^\sharp \leq Q$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *The function  $\psi_{\mu,R}^\sharp(R, \cdot)$  is a supersolution to (3.6) in  $\Omega_{\mu,R}$ . Moreover, if  $k_\mu$  is sufficiently small depending on  $\gamma, \bar{u}$ , and  $\bar{H}$ , then  $\psi_{\mu,R}^\sharp(-\mu, \cdot)$  is a subsolution to (3.6) in  $\Omega_{\mu,R}$ .*

*Proof.* We first assume that  $\Lambda > Q$ . Denote  $\phi(y) := \Lambda y^2 e^{1-y}$ . Straightforward computations give

$$\begin{aligned} \left( g_\epsilon \left( \left| \frac{\phi'}{y} \right|^2, \phi \right) \frac{\phi'}{y} \right)' &= \left( g_\epsilon + 2\partial_t g_\epsilon \left( \frac{\phi'}{y} \right)^2 \right) \left( \frac{\phi''}{y} - \frac{\phi'}{y^2} \right) + \partial_z g_\epsilon \frac{(\phi')^2}{y} \\ &= \left( g_\epsilon + 2\partial_t g_\epsilon \left( \frac{\phi'}{y} \right)^2 \right) \Lambda e^{1-y} (y - 3) + \partial_z g_\epsilon \frac{(\phi')^2}{y}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\partial_z g_\epsilon < 0$  by the expression of  $\partial_z g_\epsilon$  in (2.45),  $\partial_t g > 0$  (cf. (2.42)), and  $\mathcal{B}'(z) \geq 0$  (cf. (2.7)). Using (2.40) and (4.5), one obtains

$$\left( g_\epsilon \left( \left| \frac{\phi'}{y} \right|^2, \phi \right) \frac{\phi'}{y} \right)' - y \partial_z G_\epsilon \left( \left| \frac{\phi'}{y} \right|^2, \phi \right) < 0 \quad \text{on } (0, H_*).$$

Since  $Q$  is a supersolution to (3.6), the function  $\min\{\Lambda y^2 e^{1-y}, Q\}$  is a supersolution to (3.6). For the case  $\Lambda \leq Q$ , the function  $Q y^2 e^{1-y}$  is also a supersolution to (3.6). Thus the function  $\psi_{\mu,R}^\sharp(R, \cdot)$  is a supersolution to (3.6) in  $\Omega_{\mu,R}$ .



Denote  $\varphi(y) := Q \left( \frac{y-b'_\mu}{k_\mu} \right)^s$ . Straightforward computations give

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( g_\epsilon \left( \left| \frac{\varphi'}{y} \right|^2, \varphi \right) \frac{\varphi'}{y} \right)' \\ &= \left( g_\epsilon + 2\partial_t g_\epsilon \left( \frac{\varphi'}{y} \right)^2 \right) \frac{Qs}{yk_\mu^2} \left( \frac{y-b'_\mu}{k_\mu} \right)^{s-2} \left( s-2 + \frac{b'_\mu}{y} \right) + \partial_z g_\epsilon \frac{(\varphi')^2}{y}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.7, the estimates (2.46)-(2.48) and (2.25), as well as (4.7) that

$$(5.2) \quad g_\epsilon + 2\partial_t g_\epsilon \left( \frac{\varphi'}{y} \right)^2 \geq CB_*^{-\frac{1}{\gamma-1}}, \quad |\partial_z g_\epsilon| \leq C\kappa_0 B_*^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \partial_t g_\epsilon, \quad |\partial_z G_\epsilon| \leq C\kappa_0,$$

where  $C = C(\gamma) > 0$ . Recall that  $Q \geq C(\gamma, \bar{u}) B_*^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}}$  by (2.23). Therefore, if  $k_\mu = k_\mu(\gamma, \bar{u}, \bar{H})$  is sufficiently small, the function  $\varphi$  satisfies

$$\left( g_\epsilon \left( \left| \frac{\varphi'}{y} \right|^2, \varphi \right) \frac{\varphi'}{y} \right)' - y\partial_z G_\epsilon \left( \left| \frac{\varphi'}{y} \right|^2, \varphi \right) > 0 \quad \text{on } (b'_\mu, b_\mu).$$

Since 0 is a solution to (3.6), then  $\psi_{\mu,R}^\sharp(-\mu, \cdot)$  is a subsolution to (3.6) in  $\Omega_{\mu,R}$ . This finishes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

By virtue of Lemma 5.1, we can derive the following proposition (cf. [16, Lemma 5.2 and Proposition 5.4]), which demonstrates that problem (3.3) has a unique minimizer and the minimizer is monotone increasing in the  $x$ -direction. In addition, this proposition gives a uniform (with respect to  $\mu$  and  $R$ ) estimate for minimizers of the truncated problems.

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $\psi_{\mu,R,\Lambda}$  be a minimizer of problem (3.3) in  $\Omega_{\mu,R}$  with the boundary value  $\psi_{\mu,R}^\sharp$  constructed in (5.1). If  $k_\mu = k_\mu(\gamma, \bar{u}, \bar{H})$  is sufficiently small, then*

$$(5.3) \quad \psi_{\mu,R}^\sharp(-\mu, y) < \psi_{\mu,R,\Lambda}(x, y) \leq \psi_{\mu,R}^\sharp(R, y) \quad \text{for all } (x, y) \in \Omega_{\mu,R}.$$

Moreover,  $\psi_{\mu,R,\Lambda}$  is the unique minimizer of problem (3.3), and  $\partial_x \psi_{\mu,R,\Lambda} \geq 0$  in  $\Omega_{\mu,R}$ .

**5.2. Fine properties of the free boundary.** Denote the set of the free boundary points which lie strictly below  $\{y = 1\}$  as

$$(5.4) \quad \Gamma_{\mu,R,\Lambda} := \partial\{\psi < Q\} \cap \{(x, y) : (x, y) \in (-\mu, R] \times (0, 1)\}.$$

The following graph property of the free boundary  $\Gamma_{\mu,R,\Lambda}$  is obtained from Proposition 5.2 and the uniqueness of the Cauchy problem for the elliptic equation (cf. ([16, Lemma 5.6])).

**Proposition 5.3.** ([16, Proposition 5.7]) *Let  $\Gamma_{\mu,R,\Lambda}$  be defined in (5.4). If  $\Gamma_{\mu,R,\Lambda} \neq \emptyset$ , then it can be represented as a graph of a function of  $y$ , i.e., there exists a function  $\Upsilon_{\mu,R,\Lambda}$  and  $\underline{H}_{\mu,R,\Lambda} \in [H_*, 1)$  such that*

$$\Gamma_{\mu,R,\Lambda} = \{(x, y) : x = \Upsilon_{\mu,R,\Lambda}(y), y \in (\underline{H}_{\mu,R,\Lambda}, 1)\}.$$

*Furthermore,  $\Upsilon_{\mu,R,\Lambda}$  is continuous,  $-\mu < \Upsilon_{\mu,R,\Lambda} \leq R$  and  $\lim_{y \rightarrow 1^-} \Upsilon_{\mu,R,\Lambda}(y)$  exists.*

In order to show that there exists a suitable  $\Lambda > 0$  such that the free boundary  $\Gamma_{\mu,R,\Lambda}$  joins the outlet of the nozzle  $\mathcal{N}$  as a continuous curve, i.e., the free boundary satisfies the continuous fit property, we give the next lemma. The proof of the lemma is based on the nondegeneracy of the minimizer and the comparison principle (cf. the proof for [16, Lemma 6.2]).

**Lemma 5.4.** *There exists a constant  $C = C(\gamma, \epsilon, \bar{u}, \bar{H}) > 0$  such that the following statements hold.*

- (i) If  $\Lambda > CQ$ , then the free boundary  $\Gamma_{\mu,R,\Lambda}$  is nonempty and  $\Upsilon_{\mu,R,\Lambda}(1) < 0$ .
- (ii) If  $\Lambda < C^{-1}Q$ , then  $\Upsilon_{\mu,R,\Lambda}(1) > 0$ .

Since  $\psi_{\mu,R,\Lambda}$  and  $\Upsilon_{\mu,R,\Lambda}$  depend on  $\Lambda$  continuously (cf. [17, Lemma 6.1] and [16, Lemma 6.1]), the continuous fit of the free boundary follows from Lemma 5.4.

**Corollary 5.5.** *There exists  $\Lambda = \Lambda(\mu, R) > 0$  such that  $\Upsilon_{\mu,R,\Lambda}(1) = 0$ . Furthermore,*

$$C^{-1}Q \leq \Lambda(\mu, R) \leq CQ$$

*for positive constant  $C$  depending on  $\gamma, \epsilon, \bar{u}$ , and  $\bar{H}$ , but independent of  $\mu$  and  $R$ .*

The continuous fit implies the following smooth fit of the free boundary.

**Proposition 5.6.** ([16, Proposition 6.4]) *Let  $\psi$  be a solution obtained in Corollary 5.5. Then  $\mathcal{N} \cup \Gamma_{\mu,R,\Lambda}$  is  $C^1$  in a neighborhood of the point  $A = (0, 1)$ , and  $\nabla\psi$  is continuous in a  $\{\psi < Q\}$ -neighborhood of  $A$ .*

## 6. EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS TO THE JET PROBLEM

In this section, we first remove the domain and subsonic truncations, and then study the far fields behavior of the jet flows. Consequently, we obtain the existence of subsonic jet flows which satisfy all properties in Problem 2.

**6.1. Remove the domain truncations.** In this subsection, we remove the truncations of the domain in Section 3 by letting  $\mu, R \rightarrow \infty$  to get a limiting solution in  $\Omega$ , which is bounded by  $\mathcal{N}_0$  and  $\mathcal{N} \cup ([0, \infty) \times \{1\})$ . The limiting solution inherits the properties of solutions in the truncated domain due to the uniform estimates (with respect to  $\mu$  and  $R$ ). The proof is similar to [17, Proposition 7.1], so we shall not repeat it here.

**Proposition 6.1.** *Let the nozzle boundary  $\mathcal{N}$  defined in (1.4) satisfy (1.5). Given an incoming axial velocity  $\bar{u} \in C^{1,1}([0, \bar{H}])$  satisfying (1.8) and a mass flux  $Q > \tilde{Q}$  where  $\tilde{Q}$  is defined in (2.20). Let  $\psi_{\mu, R, \Lambda}$  be a minimizer of the problem (3.3) with  $\psi_{\mu, R}^\#$  defined in (5.1). Then for any  $\mu_j, R_j \rightarrow \infty$ , there is a subsequence (still labeled by  $\mu_j$  and  $R_j$ ) such that  $\Lambda_j := \Lambda(\mu_j, R_j) \rightarrow \Lambda_\infty$  for some  $\Lambda_\infty \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\psi_{\mu_j, R_j, \Lambda_j} \rightarrow \psi_\infty$  in  $C_{\text{loc}}^{0, \alpha}(\Omega)$  for any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Furthermore, the following properties hold.*

- (i) *The function  $\psi := \psi_\infty$  is a local minimizer for the energy functional, i.e., for any  $\mathcal{D} \Subset \Omega$ , one has  $J^\epsilon(\psi) \leq J^\epsilon(\varphi)$  for all  $\varphi = \psi$  on  $\partial\mathcal{D}$ , where*

$$J^\epsilon(\varphi) := \int_{\mathcal{D}} y \left[ G_\epsilon \left( \left| \frac{\nabla \phi}{y} \right|^2, \phi \right) + \lambda_{\epsilon, \infty}^2 \chi_{\{\phi < Q\}} \right] dX, \quad \lambda_{\epsilon, \infty} := \sqrt{\Phi_\epsilon(\Lambda_\infty^2, Q)}.$$

*In particular,  $\psi$  solves*

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{cases} \nabla \cdot \left( g_\epsilon \left( \left| \frac{\nabla \psi}{y} \right|^2, \psi \right) \frac{\nabla \psi}{y} \right) - y \partial_z G_\epsilon \left( \left| \frac{\nabla \psi}{y} \right|^2, \psi \right) = 0 & \text{in } \mathcal{O}, \\ \psi = 0 & \text{on } \mathcal{N}_0, \\ \psi = Q & \text{on } \mathcal{N} \cup \Gamma_\psi, \\ \left| \frac{\nabla \psi}{y} \right| = \Lambda & \text{on } \Gamma_\psi, \end{cases}$$

*where  $\mathcal{O} := \Omega \cap \{\psi < Q\}$  is the flow region,  $\Gamma_\psi := \partial\{\psi < Q\} \setminus \mathcal{N}$  is the free boundary, and  $\partial_z G_\epsilon(|\nabla \psi/y|^2, \psi)$  satisfies (3.7) in the subsonic region  $|\nabla \psi/y|^2 \leq (1 - \epsilon)\mathfrak{t}_c(\mathcal{B}(\psi))$ .*

- (ii) *The function  $\psi$  is in  $C^{2, \alpha}(\mathcal{O}) \cap C^1(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$  and it satisfies  $\partial_x \psi \geq 0$  in  $\Omega$ .*  
(iii) *The free boundary  $\Gamma_\psi$  is given by the graph  $x = \Upsilon(y)$ , where  $\Upsilon$  is a  $C^{2, \alpha}$  function as long as it is finite.*  
(iv) *At the orifice  $A = (0, 1)$  one has  $\lim_{y \rightarrow 1^-} \Upsilon(y) = 0$ . Furthermore,  $\mathcal{N} \cup \Gamma_\psi$  is  $C^1$  around  $A$ , in particular,  $N'(1) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 1^-} \Upsilon'(y)$ .*  
(v) *There is a constant  $\underline{H} \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\Upsilon(y)$  is finite if and only if  $y \in (\underline{H}, 1]$ , and  $\lim_{y \rightarrow \underline{H}^+} \Upsilon(y) = \infty$ . Furthermore, there exists an  $\bar{R} > 0$  sufficiently large, such that  $\Gamma_\psi \cap \{x > \bar{R}\} = \{(x, f(x)) : \bar{R} < x < \infty\}$  for some  $C^{2, \alpha}$  function  $f$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f'(x) = 0$ .*

The following proposition shows that the limiting solution  $\psi := \psi_\infty$  satisfies  $\partial_x \psi > 0$  inside the flow region. This property implies the negativity of the radial velocity  $v$  (cf. (2.4)), which ensures that the streamlines have a simple topological structure.

**Proposition 6.2.** ([16, Proposition 7.2]) *The solution  $\psi := \psi_\infty$  obtained in Proposition 6.1 satisfies  $\partial_x \psi > 0$  in  $\mathcal{O} := \Omega \cap \{\psi < Q\}$ .*

**6.2. Remove the subsonic truncation.** In this subsection, we remove the subsonic truncation introduced in Section 2.3, provided the mass flux  $Q$  is sufficiently large. After removing the subsonic truncation, the limiting solution obtained in Proposition 6.1 is actually a solution of (2.36).

**Proposition 6.3.** *Suppose  $Q > Q^*$  for some  $Q^* \geq \tilde{Q}$  sufficiently large depending on  $\bar{u}$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\epsilon$ , and the nozzle, where  $\tilde{Q}$  is defined in (2.20). Let  $\psi := \psi_\infty$  be a limiting solution obtained in Proposition 6.1. Then*

$$(6.2) \quad \left| \frac{\nabla \psi}{y} \right|^2 \leq (1 - \epsilon) \mathfrak{t}_c(\mathcal{B}(\psi)),$$

where  $\mathfrak{t}_c$  is defined in (2.19).

*Proof.* In the flow region  $\mathcal{O} := \Omega \cap \{\psi < Q\}$ ,  $\psi$  satisfies the following equation of nondivergence form

$$\mathfrak{a}_\epsilon^{ij} \left( \frac{\nabla \psi}{y}, \psi \right) \partial_{ij} \psi = \mathfrak{b} \left( \frac{\nabla \psi}{y}, \psi \right) + y^2 \tilde{G}_\epsilon \left( \left| \frac{\nabla \psi}{y} \right|^2, \psi \right),$$

where the matrix

$$(\mathfrak{a}_\epsilon^{ij}) := g_\epsilon \left( \left| \frac{\nabla \psi}{y} \right|^2, \psi \right) I_2 + 2\partial_t g_\epsilon \left( \left| \frac{\nabla \psi}{y} \right|^2, \psi \right) \frac{\nabla \psi}{y} \otimes \frac{\nabla \psi}{y}$$

is symmetric with the eigenvalues

$$\beta_{0,\epsilon} = g_\epsilon \left( \left| \frac{\nabla \psi}{y} \right|^2, \psi \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{1,\epsilon} = g_\epsilon \left( \left| \frac{\nabla \psi}{y} \right|^2, \psi \right) + 2\partial_t g_\epsilon \left( \left| \frac{\nabla \psi}{y} \right|^2, \psi \right) \left| \frac{\nabla \psi}{y} \right|^2,$$

and

$$\mathfrak{b} := \beta_{1,\epsilon} \frac{\partial_y \psi}{y}, \quad \tilde{G}_\epsilon := -\partial_z g_\epsilon \left( \left| \frac{\nabla \psi}{y} \right|^2, \psi \right) \left| \frac{\nabla \psi}{y} \right|^2 + \partial_z G_\epsilon \left( \left| \frac{\nabla \psi}{y} \right|^2, \psi \right).$$

It follows from Lemma 2.7, the estimate of  $\partial_z G_\epsilon$  in (4.7), and (2.23) that there exist constants  $C_\gamma = C_\gamma(\gamma) > 0$  and  $C = C(\gamma, \bar{u}) > 0$  such that

$$(6.3) \quad 1 \leq \frac{\beta_{1,\epsilon}}{\beta_{0,\epsilon}} \leq C_\gamma \epsilon^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{|\tilde{G}_\epsilon|}{\beta_{0,\epsilon}} \leq C Q \epsilon^{-1}.$$

With (6.3) at hand, one can use similar arguments as in [16, Proposition 7.3] to get

$$(6.4) \quad \left\| \frac{\nabla \psi}{y} \right\|_{L^\infty(\bar{\mathcal{O}})} \leq C(1 + Q),$$

where  $C$  depends on  $\gamma, \epsilon, \bar{u}$ , and the nozzle. Note that the right-hand side of (6.4) is  $O(Q)$  for  $Q \gg 1$ , and  $\mathfrak{t}_c(\mathcal{B}(\psi)) = O(Q^{\gamma+1})$  for  $Q \gg 1$ . Since  $\gamma > 1$ , we obtain the desired conclusion.  $\square$

**6.3. Far fields behavior.** This subsection devotes to the asymptotic behavior of the jet flows as  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ . It plays an important role in proving the equivalence between the Euler system and the stream function formulation.

**Proposition 6.4.** *Given a mass flux  $Q > Q^*$ , where  $Q^*$  is defined in Proposition 6.3. Let  $\psi := \psi_\infty$  be the solution obtained in Proposition 6.1 with  $\Lambda := \Lambda_\infty$ . Then for any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , as  $x \rightarrow -\infty$ ,*

$$(6.5) \quad \psi(x, y) \rightarrow \bar{\psi}(y) := \bar{\rho} \int_0^y s \bar{u}(s) ds \quad \text{in } C_{\text{loc}}^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R} \times (0, \bar{H})),$$

where  $\bar{\rho}$  is the upstream density and  $\bar{u}$  is the upstream axial velocity; as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ ,

$$(6.6) \quad \psi(x, y) \rightarrow \underline{\psi}(y) := \underline{\rho} \int_0^y s \underline{u}(s) ds, \quad \text{in } C_{\text{loc}}^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R} \times (0, \underline{H})),$$

where  $\underline{\rho} > 0$  is the downstream density,  $\underline{u} \in C^{1,\alpha}((0, \underline{H}))$  is the downstream (positive) axial velocity, and  $\underline{H} > 0$  is the downstream asymptotic height. Moreover,  $\underline{\rho}$ ,  $\underline{u}$  and  $\underline{H}$  are uniquely determined by  $\bar{u}$ ,  $Q$ ,  $\bar{H}$ ,  $\gamma$ , and  $\Lambda$ .

*Proof.* (i) *Upstream asymptotic behavior.* Let  $\psi^{(-n)}(x, y) := \psi(x - n, y)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since the nozzle is asymptotically horizontal with the height  $\bar{H}$ , there exists a subsequence  $\psi^{(-n)}$  (reabeled) converges to a function  $\hat{\psi}$  in  $C_{\text{loc}}^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, \bar{H}])$  for any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , where  $\hat{\psi}$  satisfies (6.2) and solves the Dirichlet problem in the infinite strip

$$(6.7) \quad \begin{cases} \nabla \cdot \left( g_\epsilon \left( \left| \frac{\nabla \hat{\psi}}{y} \right|^2, \hat{\psi} \right) \frac{\nabla \hat{\psi}}{y} \right) - y \partial_z G_\epsilon \left( \left| \frac{\nabla \hat{\psi}}{y} \right|^2, \hat{\psi} \right) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times (0, \bar{H}), \\ \hat{\psi} = Q & \text{on } \mathbb{R} \times \{\bar{H}\}, \quad \hat{\psi} = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R} \times \{0\} \end{cases}$$

and it satisfies  $0 \leq \hat{\psi} \leq Q$  in  $\mathbb{R} \times [0, \bar{H}]$ . On the other hand, it follows from (4.9) and the energy estimates (cf. [10, Proposition 3]) that the problem (6.7) has a unique solution. Since  $\hat{\psi}$  satisfies (6.2) and the function  $\bar{\psi}$  defined in (6.5) satisfies the equation in (2.36), in view of (3.7) and (3.8) one has  $\hat{\psi}(x, y) = \bar{\psi}(y)$  in  $\mathbb{R} \times [0, \bar{H}]$ . This proves the asymptotic behavior of the flows at the upstream.

(ii) *Downstream asymptotic behavior.* Let  $\psi^{(n)}(x, y) := \psi(x + n, y)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By the  $C^{2,\alpha}$  regularity of the free boundary and the boundary regularity for elliptic equations,

there exists a subsequence  $\psi^{(n)}$  (reabeled) converging to a function  $\underline{\psi}$  which satisfies (6.2) and solves

$$(6.8) \quad \begin{cases} \nabla \cdot \left( g_\epsilon \left( \left| \frac{\nabla \underline{\psi}}{y} \right|^2, \underline{\psi} \right) \frac{\nabla \underline{\psi}}{y} \right) - y \partial_z G_\epsilon \left( \left| \frac{\nabla \underline{\psi}}{y} \right|^2, \underline{\psi} \right) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times (0, \underline{H}), \\ \underline{\psi} = Q, \quad \frac{1}{y} \partial_y \underline{\psi} = \Lambda & \text{on } \mathbb{R} \times \{\underline{H}\}, \\ \underline{\psi} = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R} \times \{0\} \end{cases}$$

with  $0 \leq \underline{\psi} \leq Q$  in  $\mathbb{R} \times [0, \underline{H}]$ . It follows from [16, Lemma 5.6] that the solution to the above Cauchy problem is unique for given positive constants  $Q$ ,  $\Lambda$ , and  $\underline{H}$ . Besides, applying the energy estimates (cf. [10, Proposition 3]) one can conclude that  $\partial_x \underline{\psi} = 0$ , that is,  $\underline{\psi}$  is a one-dimensional function. Thus in view of (2.4), the radial velocity  $v \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . The downstream density  $\underline{\rho}$  (which is a constant by using similar arguments as in [5, Remark 1.1] and [16, Remark 1.1]) and the downstream axial velocity  $\underline{u}$  are determined by

$$(6.9) \quad \underline{\rho} = \frac{1}{g(\Lambda^2, Q)} \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{u}(y) = \frac{\underline{\psi}'(y)}{y \underline{\rho}}$$

respectively, where  $g$  is the function defined in (2.28). Consequently,  $\underline{\psi}$  can be expressed as in (6.6).

Note that  $\underline{\rho} \in (\varrho_c(\mathcal{B}(z)), \varrho_m(\mathcal{B}(z)))$  for all  $z \in [0, Q]$ , where  $\varrho_c$  and  $\varrho_m$  are defined in (2.18). Hence  $\underline{\rho} \leq \varrho_m(B_*)$ , where  $B_* = \mathcal{B}(0)$  is the lower bound of the Bernoulli function  $\mathcal{B}$ , cf. (2.16) and (2.7). According to the Bernoulli law, one has

$$(6.10) \quad \underline{u}(y) = \sqrt{2(\mathcal{B}(\underline{\psi}(y)) - h(\underline{\rho}))} \geq \sqrt{2(\mathcal{B}(0) - h(\underline{\rho}))} = \underline{u}(0) \geq 0.$$

We claim that  $\underline{u}(0) > 0$ . Actually, suppose  $\underline{u}(0) = 0$ , then by the Bernoulli law one has

$$\frac{\bar{u}^2(0)}{2} + h(\bar{\rho}) = \mathcal{B}(0) = h(\underline{\rho}),$$

thus  $\underline{\rho} > \bar{\rho}$ . If we can prove  $\underline{\rho} < \bar{\rho}$ , then there is a contradiction so that the claim holds true. For this we let  $\theta(y)$  be the position at downstream if one follows along the streamline with asymptotic height  $y$  at the upstream, i.e.,  $\theta : [0, \bar{H}] \rightarrow [0, \underline{H}]$  satisfies

$$(6.11) \quad \underline{\psi}(\theta(y)) = \bar{\psi}(y), \quad y \in [0, \bar{H}],$$

where  $\bar{\psi}$  and  $\underline{\psi}$  are defined in (6.5) and (6.6), respectively. Then the map  $\theta$  satisfies

$$(6.12) \quad \begin{cases} \theta'(y) = \frac{y \bar{\rho} \bar{u}(y)}{\theta(y) \underline{\rho} \underline{u}(\theta(y))}, \\ \theta(0) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\underline{\rho} > 0$  is given by (6.9) and

$$(6.13) \quad \underline{u}(\theta(y)) = \sqrt{2(\mathcal{B}(\bar{\psi}(y)) - h(\underline{\rho}))}.$$

Calculating directly gives that the map

$$\underline{\rho} \mapsto \Theta(\underline{\rho}) := \int_0^{\bar{H}} \frac{y \bar{\rho} \bar{u}(y)}{\theta(y) \underline{\rho} \sqrt{2(\mathcal{B}(\bar{\psi}(y)) - h(\underline{\rho}))}} dy$$

is monotone increasing for  $\underline{\rho} \in (\varrho_c(\mathcal{B}(z)), \varrho_m(\mathcal{B}(z))]$ . Since  $\Theta(\bar{\rho}) > \bar{H} > \underline{H}$  (note that  $\theta(y) < y$  for  $y \in (0, \bar{H}]$  since  $v < 0$ , which is obtained from Proposition 6.2 and (2.4)), one gets  $\underline{\rho} < \bar{\rho}$ . Thus  $\underline{u}(0) > 0$ . In view of (6.10),  $\underline{u}$  has a positive lower bound.

Now substituting (6.13) into (6.12) yields that  $\theta(y)$ , in particular  $\underline{H} = \theta(\bar{H})$ , is uniquely determined. This finishes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

In view of Propositions 6.1–6.4, we obtain the existence of solutions to Problem 2 when the mass flux  $Q$  is sufficiently large. Then the existence of solutions to Problem 1 follows from Remark 2.6.

## 7. UNIQUENESS OF THE OUTER PRESSURE

In this section, we show that for given axial velocity  $\bar{u}$  satisfying (1.8) and mass flux  $Q > Q^*$  at the upstream, where  $Q^*$  is defined in Proposition 6.3, there is a unique momentum  $\Lambda$  on the free boundary such that Problem 2 has a solution. In view of (2.35) and the proof of Lemma 2.4(i), the uniqueness of  $\Lambda$  implies the uniqueness of the downstream density  $\underline{\rho}$  and the outer pressure  $\underline{p}$ . More precisely, the constant  $\underline{\rho}$  in (2.35) is determined by  $\underline{\rho} = 1/g(\Lambda^2, Q)$ , where  $g$  is the function defined in Lemma 2.4(i), hence  $\underline{p} = \underline{\rho}^\gamma/\gamma$  is uniquely determined.

**Proposition 7.1.** *Suppose that  $(\psi_i, \Gamma_i, \Lambda_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are two uniformly subsonic solutions to Problem 2, then  $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(\underline{\rho}_i, \underline{u}_i, \underline{H}_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , be the associated downstream asymptotic states. Without loss of generality, assume that  $\Lambda_1 < \Lambda_2$ . By Bernoulli's law, cf. (2.11), along the free boundaries  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ , one has

$$\frac{\Lambda_1^2}{2\underline{\rho}_1^2} + h(\underline{\rho}_1) = \mathcal{B}(Q) = \frac{\Lambda_2^2}{2\underline{\rho}_2^2} + h(\underline{\rho}_2).$$

Since for subsonic solutions,  $\underline{\rho}_i = \varrho(\Lambda_i^2, Q)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) is monotone decreasing with respect to  $\Lambda_i$ , where  $\varrho$  is defined in (2.29), one gets  $\underline{\rho}_1 > \underline{\rho}_2$ . Let  $\theta_i(y)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) be defined in

(6.12) associated with  $(\underline{\rho}_i, \underline{u}_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). It follows from (2.4) and (2.11) that

$$\frac{(\underline{\rho}_1 \underline{u}_1)^2(\theta_1(y))}{2\underline{\rho}_1^2(\theta_1(y))} + h(\underline{\rho}_1(\theta_1(y))) = \mathcal{B}(\bar{\psi}(y)) = \frac{(\underline{\rho}_2 \underline{u}_2)^2(\theta_2(y))}{2\underline{\rho}_2^2(\theta_2(y))} + h(\underline{\rho}_2(\theta_1(y))).$$

Thus  $\underline{\rho}_i = \varrho((\underline{\rho}_i \underline{u}_i)^2(\theta_i(y)), \bar{\psi}(y))$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Using  $\underline{\rho}_1 > \underline{\rho}_2$  and the monotone decreasing property of  $\varrho(t, z)$  with respect to  $t$  again yields

$$\underline{\rho}_1 \underline{u}_1(\theta_1(y)) < \underline{\rho}_2 \underline{u}_2(\theta_2(y)).$$

In view of (6.12) this implies

$$(7.1) \quad (\theta_1^2(y))' > (\theta_2^2(y))'.$$

Note that  $\theta_1(0) = \theta_2(0) = 0$ . Integrating (7.1) on  $[0, \bar{H}]$  one has  $\underline{H}_1 = \theta_1(\bar{H}) > \theta_2(\bar{H}) = \underline{H}_2$ .

Let  $\mathcal{O}_i$  be the domain bounded by  $\mathcal{N}_0$ ,  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\Gamma_i$ . Since  $\underline{H}_1 > \underline{H}_2$  and that  $\mathcal{N} \cup \Gamma_i$  is a  $y$ -graph, one can find a  $\tau \geq 0$  such that the domain  $\mathcal{O}_1^\tau = \{(x, y) : (x - \tau, y) \in \mathcal{O}_1\}$  contains  $\mathcal{O}_2$ . Let  $\tau_*$  be the smallest number such that  $\mathcal{O}_1^{\tau_*}$  contains  $\mathcal{O}_2$  and they touch at some point  $(x_*, y_*) \in \Gamma_2$ . Define  $\psi_1^{\tau_*}(x, y) := \psi_1(x - \tau_*, y)$ . Now we prove  $\psi_1^{\tau_*} \leq \psi_2$  in  $\mathcal{O}_2$ . Suppose that there exists a point  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \mathcal{O}_2$  such that  $\psi_1^{\tau_*}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) > \psi_2(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ . Since  $\psi_1^{\tau_*}$  and  $\psi_2$  have the same asymptotic behavior as  $x \rightarrow -\infty$ , and  $\psi_1^{\tau_*} \leq \psi_2$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  by the comparison principle (cf. [14, Theorem 10.7] and (4.9)), one can find a domain  $\mathcal{O}'_2 \subset \mathcal{O}_2$  containing  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  such that  $\psi_1^{\tau_*} - \psi_2$  obtains its maximum in the interior of  $\mathcal{O}'_2$ . This contradicts the strong maximum principle. Hence  $\psi_1^{\tau_*} \leq \psi_2$  in  $\mathcal{O}_2$ . Noting that at the touching point one has  $\psi_1^{\tau_*}(x_*, y_*) = \psi_2(x_*, y_*)$ , then by the Hopf lemma it holds

$$\Lambda_1 = \frac{1}{y_*} \frac{\partial \psi_1^{\tau_*}}{\partial \nu}(x_*, y_*) > \frac{1}{y_*} \frac{\partial \psi_2}{\partial \nu}(x_*, y_*) = \Lambda_2.$$

This leads to a contradiction. Hence one has  $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

Combining all the results in previous sections, Theorem 1 is proved.

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. W. Alt and L. A. Caffarelli, Existence and regularity for a minimum problem with free boundary, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **325** (1981), 105–144.
- [2] H. W. Alt, L. A. Caffarelli, and A. Friedman, Axially symmetric jet flows, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **81** (1983), 97–149.
- [3] H. W. Alt, L. A. Caffarelli, and A. Friedman, A free boundary problem for quasilinear elliptic equations, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.*, **11** (1984), no. 1, 1–44.
- [4] H. W. Alt, L. A. Caffarelli, and A. Friedman, Compressible flows of jets and cavities. *J. Differential Equations*, **56** (1985), 82–141.



- [5] C. Chen, L. L. Du, C. J. Xie, and Z. P. Xin, Two dimensional subsonic Euler flow past a wall or a symmetric body, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **221** (2016), 559–602.
- [6] J. F. Cheng, L. L. Du, and Y. F. Wang, Two-dimensional impinging jets in hydrodynamic rotational flows, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire*, **34** (2017), 1355–1386.
- [7] J. F. Cheng, L. L. Du, and W. Xiang, Incompressible jet flows in a de Laval nozzle with smooth detachment, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **232** (2019), 1031–1072.
- [8] J. F. Cheng, L. L. Du, and W. Xiang, Steady incompressible axially symmetric Réthy flows, *Nonlinearity*, **33** (2020), 4627–4669.
- [9] J. F. Cheng, L. L. Du, and Q. Zhang, Existence and uniqueness of axially symmetric compressible subsonic jet impinging on an infinite wall, *Interfaces Free Bound.*, **23** (2021), 1–58.
- [10] L. L. Du and D. Ben, Subsonic Euler flows with large vorticity through an infinitely long axisymmetric nozzle, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, **18** (2016), 511–530.
- [11] L. L. Du and Y. F. Wang, Steady collision of two jets issuing from two axially symmetric channels, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **53** (2021), 2523–2566.
- [12] A. Friedman, *Variational principles and free-boundary problems*, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, Pure and Applied Mathematics, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1982.
- [13] A. Friedman, Axially symmetric cavities in rotational flows, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, **8** (1983), 949–997.
- [14] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, Elliptic partial differential equations of second order, *Classics in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [15] Y. Li, Two-dimensional jet flows for compressible full Euler system with general vorticity, preprint, arXiv:2404.16377.
- [16] Y. Li, The jet problem for three-dimensional axially symmetric full compressible subsonic flows with nonzero vorticity, preprint, arXiv:2405.06213.
- [17] Y. Li, W. H. Shi, L. Tang, and C. J. Xie, Variational structure and two-dimensional subsonic jet flows for compressible Euler system with general incoming flows, preprint, arXiv:2006.05672.
- [18] C. J. Xie and Z. P. Xin, Global subsonic and subsonic-sonic flows through infinitely long nozzles, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **56** (2007), 2991–3023.
- [19] C. J. Xie and Z. P. Xin, Global subsonic and subsonic-sonic flows through infinitely long axially symmetric nozzles, *J. Differential Equations*, **248** (2010), 2657–2683.
- [20] C. J. Xie and Z. P. Xin, Existence of global steady subsonic Euler flows through infinitely long nozzle, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **42** (2010), 751–784.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SHANGHAI JIAO TONG UNIVERSITY, 800 DONGCHUAN ROAD, SHANGHAI, 200240, CHINA

*Email address:* liyanly@sjtu.edu.cn