### THE AUTOMORPHISM TOWER OF THE MENNICKE GROUP M(-1, -1, -1)

FERNANDO SZECHTMAN

ABSTRACT. We compute the automorphism tower of the centerless Mennicke group M(-1, -1, -1).

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1959, Mennicke [Me] gave the first example of a finite group of deficiency zero requiring three generators. A finite presentation  $\langle X|R \rangle$  has deficiency |X| - |R|, and the deficiency of a finitely presented group is the maximum of the deficiencies of its finite presentations. Mennicke's groups greatly contributed to the interest in finite groups of deficiency zero, which can traced as far back as the dawn of the twentieth century to the work of Miller [Mi1, Mi2]. Following Mennicke's paper, many examples of finite groups of deficiency zero were found. Among those requiring 3 generators are the groups discovered by Wamsley [W2, W4], Post [P], Johnson [J], Jamali [Jam, Jam2], and Allcock [Al]. Amidst those needing 2 generators are the groups found by Macdonald [M], Wamsley [W3, W4], Campbell and Robertson [CR], Robertson [R], Campbell, Robertson, and Thomas [CRT], Kenne [K], and Abdolzadeh and Sabzchi [AS, AS2].

Given integers a, b, c, the Mennicke group  $M(a, b, c) = \langle x, y, z | x^y = x^a, y^z = y^b, z^x = z^c \rangle$ . Mennicke showed that M(a, a, a) is finite provided  $a \geq 2$ . The abelianization of M(a, b, c) makes it clear that M(a, b, c) has deficiency zero and requires 3 generators whenever a - 1, b - 1, c - 1share a prime factor. The problem of the finiteness of the general Mennicke groups M(a, b, c) was studied by Macdonald and Wamsley [W], Schenkman [S], and Jabara [Ja]. A sufficient condition is that  $a, b, c \notin \{-1, 1\}$ . Upper bounds for the order of M(a, b, c) were provided by Johnson and Robertson [JR], Albar and Al-Shuaibi [AA], and Jabara [Ja]. The actual order of M(a, b, c) is known only in certain cases studied in [M, A, AA, Ja].

In this paper, we investigate the automorphism tower of the infinite, centerless, and metabelian Mennicke group

$$M = M(-1, -1, -1) = \langle x, y, z | x^{y} = x^{-1}, y^{z} = y^{-1}, z^{x} = z^{-1} \rangle,$$

as well as the related automorphism tower of a characteristic subgroup V of M. We aim at studying the structure and automorphism group of groups of deficiency zero (see [MS, MS2]), and in the case of M we can go beyond and compute its full automorphism tower, which turns out to have length 2. We note that the automorphism group of various types of metabelian groups have been studied by several authors, see [BBDM, BC, C, C2, CS, D, Di, G, GG, Ma, Ma2, Men], for instance. We recall, moreover, the celebrated result of Wielandt [Wi] from 1939 to the effect that the automorphism tower of any finite centerless group terminates after finitely many steps. We refer to Robinson's book [Ro, Section 13.5.4] for the definition of the automorphism tower of a centerless group as well as a proof of Wielandt's theorem. His result was extended by Rae and Roseblade [RR] to Černikov groups in 1970, by Hulse [H] to polycyclic groups in 1970, and finally by Thomas [T] in 1985, who showed that the automorphism tower of *any* centerless group eventually terminates at some

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 20E36, 20F05, 20F28.

Key words and phrases. Mennicke group; automorphism group; automorphism tower; complete group.

The author was partially supported by NSERC grant RGPIN-2020-04062.

ordinal. It is possible to define the automorphism tower of any group, not necessarily centerless, and Hamkins [Ha] proved in 1998 that the automorphism tower of *any* group actually terminates.

We begin by studying the structure of M. It turns out that M is a centerless group such that  $[M, M] = M^2$  is a free abelian group of rank 3 and  $M/[M, M] \cong C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$  (in fact, all factors of the lower central series of M are isomorphic to  $C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$ ). In particular, M is metabelian and polycyclic. Moreover, all nontrivial elements of M have infinite order, except for those in the coset  $xyzM^2$ , of all whose elements have order 2. We use this information to determine Aut(M) and Aut(Aut(M)), which is shown to be a complete group.

The study of  $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$  is carried out by means of the natural map  $\Lambda : \operatorname{Aut}(M) \to \operatorname{Aut}(M/M^2)$ . We show that  $\{xM^2, yM^2, zM^2\}$  and  $\{yxM^2, yzM^2, zxM^2\}$  are  $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$ -orbits of  $M/M^2$ , where  $M^2$  and  $xyzM^2$  are clearly fixed. Denoting by  $\theta$  the automorphism of M such that  $x \mapsto y, y \mapsto z$ ,  $z \mapsto x$ , we have that  $\operatorname{Aut}(M)^{\Lambda} = \langle \theta \rangle^{\Lambda}$  is cyclic of order 3. The kernel of  $\Lambda$  is an extension of  $\operatorname{Inn}(M)$  by  $C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$ , and  $\operatorname{Out}(M)$  is the semidirect product of  $C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$  by  $C_3$ , acting by cyclic permutation. It turns out that  $G = \operatorname{Aut}(M)$  also has a characteristic subgroup R that is free abelian of rank 3 and such that  $G/R \cong C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$ , but  $G \ncong M$  as  $G/G^2 \cong C_2 \times C_2$ .

The study of  $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$  is considerably more complicated. It transpires that  $\operatorname{Out}(G) \cong C_2$  and that  $\operatorname{Inn}(G)$  is a characteristic subgroup of  $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$ , so by a well-known result of Burnside,  $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$  is a complete group.

The Mennicke group M has 7 subgroups of index 2, but only one of these, say V, is 2-generated and torsion-free, so V is characteristic in M. The restriction map  $\operatorname{Aut}(M) \to \operatorname{Aut}(V)$  is shown to be group monomorphism, and we may view  $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$  as a normal subgroup of  $\operatorname{Aut}(V)$  with index 2 and trivial centralizer. This induces an imbedding  $\operatorname{Aut}(V) \to \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{Aut}(M))$ , by conjugation, which is proven to be an isomorphism. Thus, the automorphism tower of the centerless group Vterminates in the complete group  $\operatorname{Aut}(V)$ .

Presentations of all relevant groups, namely V, Aut(M), and  $Aut(Aut(M)) \cong Aut(V)$  are given. In terms of notation, given a group T and  $a, b \in T$ , we set

$$[a,b] = a^{-1}b^{-1}ab, \ b^a = a^{-1}ba, \ ^ab = aba^{-1}$$

We write  $T = \gamma_1(T), \gamma_2(T), \gamma_3(T), \ldots$  for the terms of the lower central series of T, so that  $\gamma_{i+1}(T) = [T, \gamma_i(T)]$ . Furthermore, for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we let  $T^n$  stand for the subgroup of T generated by all  $t^n$ , with  $t \in T$ . Function composition proceeds from left to right. If  $\Lambda : S \to T$  is a group homomorphism, we write  $S^{\Lambda} = \{s^{\Lambda} \mid s \in S\}$ , where  $s^{\Lambda}$  indicates the image of s under  $\Lambda$ .

# 2. Finding [M, M], $M^2$ , and M/[M, M]

Since  $x^y = x^{-1}$ , we have  $x^{y^2} = x$ , that is,  $[x, y^2] = 1$ . Applying  $\theta$  once yields  $[y, z^2] = 1$ , and a second application gives  $[z, x^2] = 1$ . These 3 commutator identities imply  $[x^2, y^2] = 1$ ,  $[y^2, z^2] = 1$ , and  $[z^2, x^2] = 1$ , whence  $T = \langle x^2, y^2, z^2 \rangle$  is an abelian group. We claim that T is a normal subgroup of M. Indeed, from  $(y^2)^x = y^2$  and  $(z^2)^x = z^{-2}$  we deduce  $T^x = T$ . As  $T^{\theta} = T$ , use of  $\theta$  yields  $T^y = T$  and  $T^z = T$ , which proves the claim.

The defining relations of M imply

(1) 
$$[y,x] = x^2, [z,y] = y^2, [x,z] = z^2.$$

One consequence of (1) is that M/T is abelian, as x, y, z commute modulo T. Thus,  $[M, M] \subseteq T$ . But (1) also shows that  $T \subseteq [M, M]$ , so T = [M, M]. Thus, M is metabelian.

Now, in general, if G is any group, then  $[G,G] \subseteq G^2$ , and if  $G = \langle X \rangle$ , then  $[G,G] = G^2$  if and only if  $x^2 \in [G,G]$  for every  $x \in X$ . Therefore, another consequence of (1) is that  $M^2 = [M,M]$ . Let  $\rho : M \to M/M^2$  be the canonical projection. As  $M^{\rho}$  is abelian, generated by  $x^{\rho}, y^{\rho}, z^{\rho}$ , and the square of each of these elements is trivial, we have  $|M/M^2| \leq 8$ , with equality if and only if M has an image of order 8 with  $M^2$  in its kernel. One such image is  $C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$ . Thus  $M/M^2 \cong C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$ and M is disjoint union of the cosets  $M^2, xM^2, yM^2, zM^2, xyM^2, yzM^2, zxM^2, xyzM^2$ .

3. A normal form for the elements of  $M = \langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle \langle z \rangle$ 

The defining relations of M readily imply that  $M = \langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle \langle z \rangle$ . We claim that every element of M can be written in exactly one way in the form  $x^i y^j z^k$ , where  $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Indeed, we have group epimorphisms  $f_1, f_2, f_3$  from M onto  $D_{\infty} = \langle u, v | u^v = u^{-1}, v^2 = 1 \rangle$ , respectively given by

$$x \mapsto u, y \mapsto v, z \mapsto 1; x \mapsto 1, y \mapsto u, z \mapsto v; x \mapsto v, y \mapsto 1, z \mapsto u.$$

If  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $x^i y^j z^k = x^a y^b z^c$ , then applying  $f_1, f_2, f_3$  to both sides and using the uniqueness of expression in  $\langle u, v | u^v = u^{-1}, v^2 = 1 \rangle$  yields i = a, j = b, and k = c. In particular,  $M^2$  is a free abelian group with basis  $\{x^2, y^2, z^2\}$ .

### 4. Upper and lower central series of M

We next claim that Z(M) is trivial. Indeed, suppose  $x^i y^j z^k \in Z(M)$ . Applying  $f_1, f_2, f_3$  above

and using that the center of  $\langle u, v | u^v = u^{-1}, v^2 = 1 \rangle$  is trivial, forces i = j = k = 0. Now  $\gamma_2(M) = \langle x^2, y^2, z^2 \rangle$ . Suppose we have shown that  $\gamma_i(M) = \langle x^{2^{i-1}}, y^{2^{i-1}}, z^{2^{i-1}} \rangle$  for some  $i \geq 2$ . Then  $\gamma_{i+1}(M)$  is the normal subgroup of M generated by  $[x, z^{2^{i-1}}] = z^{2^i}, [y, x^{2^{i-1}}] = x^{2^i}$ ,  $[z, y^{2^{i-1}}] = y^{2^i}$ . As the subgroup of M generated by these elements is already normal in M, we deduce  $\gamma_{i+1}(M) = \langle x^{2^i}, y^{2^i}, z^{2^i} \rangle$ . It follows that  $\gamma_n(M) = \langle x^{2^{n-1}}, y^{2^{n-1}}, z^{2^{n-1}} \rangle$  for all  $n \ge 1$ , with

$$\gamma_n(M)/\gamma_{n+1}(M) \cong \langle x^{2^{n-1}}, y^{2^{n-1}}, z^{2^{n-1}} \rangle / \langle x^{2^n}, y^{2^n}, z^{2^n} \rangle \cong C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2.$$

### 5. The order of the elements of M

If  $u \in M$  is a nontrivial element of finite order n, then  $1 = (u^n)^2 = (u^2)^n$  with  $u^2 \in M^2$ . As  $M^2$  is free abelian,  $u^2 = 1$  (so n = 2). Now u belongs to one and only one of the 8 cosets of  $M^2$ described above, and it is certainly not in  $M^2$ . Suppose, if possible, that  $u \in xM^2$ . Then u = xv, where  $v = x^{2i}y^{2j}z^{2k}$  and  $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus

$$1 = u^{2} = xvxv = x^{2}v^{x}v = x^{2}x^{2i}y^{2j}z^{-2k}x^{2i}y^{2j}z^{2k} = x^{2+4i}y^{4j}z^{4i}z^$$

so 2 + 4i = 0, a contradiction. Thus  $xM^2$  has no elements of finite order. Appealing to  $\theta$  we see that  $yM^2$  and  $zM^2$  have no elements of finite order either. Suppose next that  $u \in xyM$ . Then u = xyv, where  $v = x^{2i}y^{2j}z^{2k}$  and  $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus

$$1 = u^{2} = xyvxyv = xyxyv^{xy}v = y^{2}v^{xy}v = y^{2}x^{-2i}y^{2j}z^{-2k}x^{2i}y^{2j}z^{2k} = y^{2+4j},$$

so 2 + 4j = 0, a contradiction. Thus  $xyM^2$  has no elements of finite order. Use of  $\theta$  implies that  $yzM^2$  and  $zxM^2$  have no elements of finite order either. Thus, the only possibility is that  $u \in xyzM^2$ , that is u = xyzv, where  $v = x^{2i}y^{2j}z^{2k}$  and  $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Now

$$u^{2} = xyzvxyzv = xyzxyzv^{xyz}v = (xyz)^{2}x^{-2i}y^{-2j}z^{-2k}x^{2i}y^{2j}z^{2k} = (xyz)^{2},$$

where

$$xyzxyz = xyxz[z, x]yz = x^2y[y, x]z[z, x]yz = x^2yz[y, x]^zyz[z, x]^{yz} = x^2z^2(x^2)^{zyz}(z^{-2})^{yz},$$

SO

$$(xyz)^2 = x^2 z^2 x^{-2} z^{-2} = 1.$$

Thus, the only nontrivial elements of M of finite order are in  $xyzM^2$ , all of which have order 2.

#### FERNANDO SZECHTMAN

#### 6. Conjugating formulas in M

The following formulas will be used implicitly below. For  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$(z^{c})^{x^{a}} = (z^{x^{a}})^{c} = (z^{(-1)^{a}})^{c} = z^{c(-1)^{a}}.$$

Use of  $\theta$  yields the formulas:

$$(z^c)^{x^a} = z^{c(-1)^a}, (x^a)^{y^b} = x^{a(-1)^b}, (y^b)^{z^c} = y^{b(-1)^c}.$$

As a consequence, we have

$$(y^{b})^{x^{a}} = x^{-a}y^{b}x^{a} = y^{b}y^{-b}x^{-a}y^{b}x^{a} = y^{b}x^{-a(-1)^{b}}x^{a} = y^{b}x^{a(1-(-1)^{b})}.$$

Application of  $\theta$  then yields

$$(y^{b})^{x^{a}} = y^{b} x^{a(1-(-1)^{b})}, (z^{c})^{y^{b}} = z^{c} y^{b(1-(-1)^{c})}, (x^{a})^{z^{c}} = x^{a} z^{c(1-(-1)^{a})}.$$

7. The stabilizers of  $xM^2$ ,  $yM^2$ , and  $zM^2$  in Aut(M) all act trivially on  $M/M^2$ 

As  $M^2$  is a characteristic subgroup of M, we have an action of Aut(M) on  $M/M^2$  by means of automorphisms, that is, a group homomorphism

$$\Lambda : \operatorname{Aut}(M) \to \operatorname{Aut}(M/M^2) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Sym}(M/M^2).$$

If  $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(M)$  and  $u \in M$ , we say that  $\alpha$  fixes  $uM^2$  if  $(uM^2)^{\alpha} = uM^2$ . Every automorphism of M fixes  $xyzM^2$ , as it is the only coset of  $M^2$  all of whose elements have order 2.

Let  $K = \ker(\Lambda)$ . Clearly K is contained in the stabilizer of  $xM^2$ . We claim that, in fact, they are equal to each other, that is, the stabilizer of  $xM^2$  actually fixes  $yM^2$  and  $zM^2$  as well.

Let  $\alpha$  be an automorphism of M that fixes  $xM^2$ , and set  $x_0 = x^{\alpha}$ ,  $y_0 = y^{\alpha}$ . Then  $x_0 = xu$ , where  $u \in M^2$ , so that  $u = x^{2a}y^{2b}z^{2c}$  and

$$x_0^{y_0} = x_0^{-1} = xx^{-2-2a}y^{-2b}z^{2c}$$

Clearly,  $\alpha$  sends  $yM^2$  to one of the following cosets:  $yM^2, zM^2, xyM^2, yzM^2, zxM^2$ . Suppose, if possible, that  $yM^2$  is sent to  $zM^2$ . Then  $y_0 = zv$ , where  $v \in M^2$ , so that  $v = x^{2d}y^{2e}z^{2f}$ , and therefore

$$x_0^{y_0} = xx^{2a}y^{-2b}z^{2+2c+4f}$$

Since  $M^2$  is free with basis  $x^2, y^2, z^2$ , we deduce 2a = -2 - 2a, which is impossible.

Suppose next that  $yM^2$  is sent to  $zxM^2$ . Then  $y_0 = zxv$ , where  $v \in M^2$ , so that  $v = x^{2d}y^{2e}z^{2f}$ , whence

$$x_0^{y_0} = xx^{2a}y^{-2b}z^{-2+2c+4f}$$

We see, as above, that this is absurd.

Suppose next that  $yM^2$  is sent to  $yzM^2$ . Then  $y_0 = yzv$ , where  $v \in M^2$ , so that  $v = x^{2d}y^{2e}z^{2f}$ , which implies

$$x_0^{y_0} = xx^{-2-2a}y^{-2b}z^{2+2c+4f}.$$

Thus, 2 + 4f = 0. Since f is an integer, this is impossible.

Thus  $\alpha$  sends  $yM^2$  to  $yM^2$  or  $xyM^2$ . Since  $\alpha$  induces an automorphism of  $M/M^2$  that fixes xM and xyzM, it follows that either  $\alpha$  fixes both  $yM^2$  and  $zM^2$ , or else sends  $yM^2$  to  $xyM^2$  and  $zM^2$  to  $zxM^2$ .

Suppose, if possible, that  $\alpha$  sends  $zM^2$  into  $xzM^2$ . Then  $z_0 = z^{\alpha} = xzv$ , where  $v \in M^2$ , so that  $v = x^{2d}y^{2e}z^{2f}$ . We must have  $z_0^{x_0} = z_0^{-1}$ . But

$$z_0^{x_0} = xzx^{2d}y^{2e+4b}z^{-2-2f+4c}, z_0^{-1} = xzx^{2-2d}y^{2e}z^{2f}$$

Therefore 2d = 2 - 2d, which is absurd.

Thus  $\alpha$  must fix  $yM^2$  and  $zM^2$ . This proves the claim. Since  $\operatorname{Stab}(xM^2) = K$ , use of  $\theta$  yields  $\operatorname{Stab}(yM^2) = K = \operatorname{Stab}(zM^2)$  as well.

## 8. The Aut(M)-orbits of $M/M^2$

Since every automorphism of M fixes  $M^2$  and  $xyzM^2$ , it follows that Aut(M) permutes the remaining 6 cosets of  $M^2$ .

Under the permutation action of  $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$  on  $M/M^2$ , use of  $\theta$  reveals that  $xM^2, yM^2, zM^2$  are in the same orbit and so are  $xyM^2, yzM^2, zxM^2$ . Thus, either these are  $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$ -orbits, or so is their union. We claim that  $\{xM^2, yM^2, zM^2\}$  and  $\{xyM^2, yzM^2, zxM^2\}$  are  $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$ -orbits.

Let  $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(M)$  be arbitrary. It is not possible for  $\phi$  to send all of  $\{xM^2, yM^2, zM^2\}$  into  $\{xyM^2, yzM^2, zxM^2\}$ , because  $\phi$  induces an automorphism of  $M/M^2$ , so the images of the generators  $xM^2, yM^2, zM^2$  of  $M/M^2$  under  $\phi$  must also generate  $M/M^2$ , and  $xyM^2, yzM^2, zxM^2$  do not generate  $M/M^2$ . Thus,  $\phi$  must send at least one of  $xM^2, yM^2, zM^2$  back into  $\{xM^2, yM^2, zM^2\}$ . But then  $\phi\theta^i$  fixes one of  $xM^2, yM^2, zM^2$  for some  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so by above  $\phi\theta^i$  fixes all of  $xM^2, yM^2, zM^2$ ,  $zM^2$ , whence  $\phi$  stabilizes  $\{xM^2, yM^2, zM^2\}$ , and hence  $\{xyM^2, yzM^2, zxM^2\}$ , as claimed.

9. The image and kernel of  $\Lambda : \operatorname{Aut}(M) \to \operatorname{Aut}(M/M^2)$ 

We claim that  $\operatorname{Aut}(M)^{\Lambda} = \langle \theta \rangle^{\Lambda}$  is a cyclic group of order 3. Indeed, by above,  $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$  permutes the elements xM, yM, zM of  $M/M^2$ . It permutes them transitively by means of  $\langle \theta \rangle$ , so

$$\operatorname{Aut}(M) = \operatorname{Stab}(xM^2)\langle\theta\rangle = K\langle\theta\rangle,$$

whence  $\operatorname{Aut}(M)^{\Lambda} = \langle \theta \rangle^{\Lambda}$  is a cyclic group of order 3. A consequence to be used later is that the permutation action of  $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$  on  $\{xyM^2, yzM^2, zxM^2\}$  is given by a 3-cycle. In particular, no automorphism of M induces a transposition on  $\{xyM^2, yzM^2, zxM^2\}$ .

Let us determine the kernel K of  $\Lambda$ . By definition, K consists of all automorphisms of M that act like the identity on  $M/M^2$ . As  $M/M^2$  is abelian, the group  $\operatorname{Inn}(M)$  acts trivially on  $M/M^2$ , so  $\operatorname{Inn}(M)$  is included in K. But K strictly contains  $\operatorname{Inn}(M)$ . Indeed, let  $\phi \in K$ . Then  $x_0 = x^{\phi} = xx^{2a}y^{2b}z^{2c}$  and  $y_0 = y^{\phi} = yx^{2d}y^{2e}z^{2f}$ . We must have  $x_0^{y_0} = x_0^{-1}$ . But

$$x_0^{y_0} = x^{-1}x^{-2a}y^{2b}z^{2c+4f}, x_0^{-1} = x^{-1}x^{-2a}y^{-2b}z^{2c},$$

which implies that b = 0 = f. A similar argument involving  $y^{\theta}$  and  $z^{\theta}$  shows that

$$x^{\phi} = xx^{2a}z^{2c}, y^{\phi} = yx^{2d}y^{2e}, z^{\phi} = zy^{2g}z^{2h}.$$

But then

$$(x^2)^{\phi} = (x^2)^{1+2a}, (y^2)^{\phi} = (y^2)^{1+2e}, (z^2)^{\phi} = (z^2)^{1+2h}$$

As  $\phi$  induces an automorphism of  $M^2$ , which has basis  $x^2, y^2, z^2$ , it follows that 1+2a, 1+2e, 1+2h are units in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , so each of them is equal to  $\pm 1$ . Thus  $a, e, h \in \{0, -1\}$ .

Thus

(2) 
$$x^{\phi} = x^{\pm 1} z^{2c}, y^{\phi} = y^{\pm 1} x^{2d}, z^{\phi} = z^{\pm 1} y^{2g}.$$

Following  $\phi$  by conjugation by x, y, or z, if necessary, we may assume loss that

(3) 
$$x^{\phi} = xz^{2c}, y^{\phi} = yx^{2d}, z^{\phi} = zy^{2g}$$

These assignment are easily seen to preserve the defining relations of M, so they do extend to endomorphisms of M.

For  $(c, d, g) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ , consider the endomorphism  $P_{(c,d,g)}$  of M defined by (3). Addition of triples corresponds to composition of endomorphisms, and (0, 0, 0) corresponds to the identity, so each assignment (3) extends to an automorphism of M, and hence so do all assignments (2).

What is K/Inn(M)? Given an arbitrary  $\phi \in K$  using suitable inner automorphisms we may assume that  $\phi$  is given by (3), as indicated above. Further conjugating by suitable elements of  $M^2$ , we may use the above conjugating formulas of M to subtract any multiple of 4 from 2c, 2d, 2g. Thus, any  $\phi \in K$  is congruent modulo Inn(M) to one of the form

$$x \mapsto xz^r, y \mapsto yx^s, z \mapsto zy^t,$$

where  $r, s, t \in \{0, 2\}$ . The above conjugating formulas in M reveal that none of these automorphisms are inner, except when r = s = t = 0. They commute and their squares are all inner automorphisms, so  $K/\text{Inn}(M) \cong C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$ .

10. Description of Aut(M) and Out(M)

By above,  $\operatorname{Aut}(M) = K \rtimes \langle \theta \rangle$ . Here  $\operatorname{Inn}(M) \subset K$ , so

$$\operatorname{Out}(M) = \operatorname{Aut}(M) / \operatorname{Inn}(M) \cong (K / \operatorname{Inn}(M)) \rtimes C_3 \cong (C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2) \rtimes C_3,$$

where  $C_3$  acts on  $C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$  by cyclic permutation. Here  $M \cong \text{Inn}(M)$  as Z(M) is trivial.

Let X, Y, Z be the inner automorphisms corresponding to x, y, z, let A, B, C be respectively defined by

$$x \mapsto xz^2, y \mapsto y, z \mapsto z; x \mapsto x, y \mapsto yx^2, y \mapsto y; x \mapsto x, y \mapsto y, z \mapsto zy^2,$$

and let  $D = \theta$ . Then every element of Aut(M) can be written in one and only one way in the form

$$X^a Y^b Z^c A^i B^j C^k D^\ell, \quad a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \le i, j, k \le 1, 0 \le \ell \le 2$$

Note that Aut(M) is generated by X, A, D but we prefer to give a presentation in terms of the generators X, Y, Z, A, B, C, D, with defining relations:

(4) 
$$X^{Y} = X^{-1}, X^{D} = Y, Y^{D} = Z, Z^{D} = X, D^{3} = 1$$

(5) 
$$[A,B] = 1, A^{D} = B, B^{D} = C, C^{D} = A, A^{2} = Z^{2}, X^{A} = XZ^{2}, Y^{A} = Y, Z^{A} = Z.$$

The following relations are consequence of the previous ones:

$$Y^{Z} = Y^{-1}, Z^{X} = Z^{-1}, [B, C] = 1, [C, A] = 1, B^{2} = X^{2}, C^{2} = Y^{2}, X^{B} = X,$$
$$Y^{B} = YX^{2}, Z^{B} = Z, X^{C} = X, Y^{C} = Y, Z^{C} = ZY^{2}.$$

Note that  $\langle A, B, C \rangle$  is a free abelian group of rank 3.

11. The characteristic subgroup V of  ${\cal M}$ 

Let

V

$$Y = \langle xy, yz, zx, x^2, y^2, z^2 \rangle = \langle xy, yz, zx \rangle = \langle xy, yz \rangle = \langle yz, zx \rangle = \langle zx, xy \rangle$$

Since V contains [M, M], it is a normal subgroup of M. Clearly [M : V] = 2. There are 7 subgroups of M of index 2, all necessarily containing  $M^2$ . They correspond to the 7 hyperplanes of the  $F_2$ vector space  $M/M^2$ . Three of these subgroups contain xyz, namely  $\langle x, yz \rangle M^2$ ,  $\langle y, zx \rangle M^2$ , and  $\langle z, xy \rangle M^2$ . They form an orbit under  $\langle D \rangle$ . Another such orbit is formed by  $\langle x, y \rangle M^2$ ,  $\langle x, z \rangle M^2$ , and  $\langle y, z \rangle M^2$ . The seventh such subgroup is V, which is clearly  $\langle D \rangle$ -invariant, and is thus the only one that could be  $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$ -invariant. But V is certainly X-invariant (being normal) and D-invariant (as just mentioned), and we readily verify that V is A-invariant. Thus V is in fact  $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$ -invariant.

Let us see that V is a characteristic subgroup of M by means structural properties, without appealing to our knowledge of Aut(M). Now Aut(M) permutes the 7 subgroups of M of index 2. It cannot map V into any of  $\langle x, yz \rangle M^2$ ,  $\langle y, zx \rangle M^2$ , and  $\langle z, xy \rangle M^2$ , as V is torsion free and these 3 subgroups are not. As indicated above V can be generated by 2 elements. The subgroups  $\langle x, y \rangle M^2$ ,  $\langle x, z \rangle M^2$ , and  $\langle y, z \rangle M^2$  form a  $\langle D \rangle$ -orbit, so if one of them requires 3 generators, they all do. But

 $W = \langle x, y \rangle M^2 = \langle x, y, z^2 \rangle$  requires 3 generators, as  $W^2 = \langle x^2, y^2, z^4 \rangle$  and  $W/W^2 \cong C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$  cannot be generated by 2 elements.

We note that V is generated by u = xy, v = yz, and w = zx of V, subject to the defining relations:

$$\begin{split} [u,v] &= w^2 u^{-2} v^2, [v,w] = u^2 v^{-2} w^2, [w,u] = v^2 w^{-2} u^2, \\ &uvw = w^2 u^2 v^{-2}, vwu = u^2 v^2 w^{-2}, wuv = v^2 w^2 u^{-2}, \\ (u^2)^v &= u^{-2}, (u^2)^w = u^{-2}, (v^2)^w = v^{-2}, (v^2)^u = v^{-2}, (w^2)^u = w^{-2}, (w^2)^v = w^{-2}. \end{split}$$

The following relations are consequence of the above:

$$[u^2, v^2] = 1, [v^2, w^2] = 1, [w^2, u^2] = 1.$$

Observe that V is a torsion-free group, as the nontrivial torsion elements of M are all in  $xyzM^2$ and none of these are in V. As V is a subgroup of M, it is also metabelian. Note as well that V is centerless. Indeed, consider the homomorphism  $M \to \langle a, b | a^b = a^{-1}, b^2 = 1 \rangle$ , given by

$$x \mapsto a, y \mapsto b, z \mapsto 1$$

Then the restriction to V, say f, satisfies

 $xy \mapsto ab, yz \mapsto b, zx \mapsto a.$ 

It follows that  $Z(V) \subseteq \ker(f)$ . Now V is the disjoint union of  $V^2$ ,  $xyV^2$ ,  $yzV^2$ ,  $zxV^2$ , and applying f to elements of these cosets, we see that  $\ker(f)$  is contained in  $V^2$ , and in fact in  $\{y^{2j}z^{2k} | j, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , as  $a^ib^j = 1$  if and only if i = 0 and j is even. Conjugating the central element  $y^{2j}z^{2k}$  by xy we find that k = 0, and conjugating the central element  $y^{2j}$  by yz we deduce that j = 0, so Z(V) is trivial.

## 12. The restriction map $\operatorname{Aut}(M) \to \operatorname{Aut}(V)$ is a group monomorphism

Taking into account that V is a characteristic subgroup of M, we may now consider the restriction map  $\Gamma$ : Aut $(M) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V)$ .

We claim that  $\Gamma$  is injective. Indeed, let  $\psi \in \ker(\Gamma)$ . Then  $\psi$  acts like the identity on V and hence on  $M^2$ . Now  $\psi = \phi D^{\ell} A^i B^j C^k$ , with  $\phi \in \langle X, Y, Z \rangle$ , as indicated above. Since A, B, C already act like the identity on  $M^2$ , it follows that  $\phi D^{\ell}$  acts like the identity of  $M^2$ . Writing  $\phi = X^a Y^b Z^c$ it follows easily that a, b, c must be even and  $\ell = 0$ . We conclude that the kernel of the restriction map

$$\operatorname{Aut}(M) \to \operatorname{Aut}(M^2)$$

is equal to the abelian group  $\langle X^2, Y^2, Z^2, A, B, C \rangle$ . Going back to  $\psi \in \ker(\Gamma)$ , we have that  $\psi = X^{2a}Y^{2b}Z^{2c}A^iB^jC^k$ . This automorphism of M must fix xy. But

$$(xy)^{X^{2a}Y^{2b}Z^{2c}A^{i}B^{j}C^{k}} = xyx^{2j+4a}z^{2i+4c}.$$

Using the uniqueness of expression in M, we see that j + 2a = 0 and i + 2c = 0. But  $0 \le i, j \le 1$ , so i = j = a = c = 0. Using that  $\psi$  fixes yz and zx we deduce that  $\psi = 1$ .

## 13. The image and kernel of $\Pi$ : $\operatorname{Aut}(V) \to \operatorname{Aut}(V/V^2)$

Notice that  $\langle x^2, y^2, z^2 \rangle \subseteq V^2 \subseteq M^2 = \langle x^2, y^2, z^2 \rangle$ , so  $V^2 = M^2$ , and  $V/V^2 \cong C_2 \times C_2$ . To find Aut(V), we look at the natural map  $\Pi$ : Aut(V)  $\rightarrow$  Aut(V/V<sup>2</sup>)  $\cong S_3$ . We claim that the image of  $\Pi$  is the full automorphism group of  $V/V^2$ . Indeed, first of all, viewing Aut(M) as a subgroup of Aut(V), it follows from above that Aut(M)<sup> $\Pi$ </sup> contains a 3-cycle but no transpositions. To see that Aut(V)<sup> $\Pi$ </sup> contains a transposition, consider the assignment

(6) 
$$u \mapsto uw^2, v \mapsto wv^2, w \mapsto vu^2$$

The defining relations of V allow us to verify that this extends to an endomorphism, say  $\Psi$ , of V such that

$$\begin{aligned} u^2 &\mapsto u^2, v^2 \mapsto w^2, w^2 \mapsto v^2, \\ V^2 &\mapsto V^2, uV^2 \mapsto uV^2, vV^2 \mapsto wV^2, wV^2 \mapsto vV^2 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\Psi$  is an automorphism, as required. The inverse  $\Psi^{-1}$  is given by

 $u \mapsto uv^{-2}, v \mapsto wu^{-2}, w \mapsto vw^{-2}.$ 

We next claim that the kernel of  $\Pi$ :  $\operatorname{Aut}(V) \to \operatorname{Aut}(V/V^2)$  consists of the restriction to V of automorphisms of M in the kernel of  $\operatorname{Aut}(M) \to \operatorname{Aut}(M/M^2)$ . Indeed, let  $\phi$  be in the kernel of  $\Pi$ . Set

$$u = xy, v = yz, w = zx, u_0 = (xy)^{\phi}, v_0 = (yz)^{\phi}, w_0 = (zx)^{\phi}.$$

Then

$$u_0 = xyx^{2i}y^{2j}z^{2k}, v_0 = yzx^{2a}y^{2b}z^{2c}, w_0 = zxx^{2d}y^{2e}z^{2f}$$

The relation  $u^v = uw^2 u^{-2}v^2$  must be preserved by  $\phi$ , so we must have  $u_0^{v_0} = u_0 w_0^2 u_0^{-2} v_0^2$ . One the one hand,

$$u_0^2 = y^{2(1+2j)}, v_0^2 = z^{2(1+2c)}, w_0^2 = x^{2(1+2d)},$$

so that

$$u_0 w_0^2 u_0^{-2} v_0^2 = xy x^{2i} y^{2j} z^{2k} x^{2(1+2d)} y^{-2(1+2j)} z^{2(1+2c)}$$

while on the other hand,

$$u_0^{v_0} = xyx^2y^{-2}z^{4c}z^2x^{4a}x^{-2i}y^{-2j}z^{2k}$$

Looking at the exponents of  $x^2$ , we deduce that

$$i+d-a=0.$$

Likewise, the relation  $v^w = vu^2v^{-2}w^2$  must be preserved by  $\phi$ . On the one hand, we have

$$v_0 u_0^2 v_0^{-2} w_0^2 = y z x^{2a} y^{2b} z^{2c} x^{2(1+2d)} y^{2(1+2j)} z^{-2(1+2c)}$$

while on the other hand,

$$v_0^{w_0} = yzy^2 z^{-2} x^{4d} x^2 y^{4e} y^{-2b} z^{-2c} x^{2a}$$

Considering the exponents of  $y^2$ , we infer that

$$b+j-e=0.$$

Finally, the relation  $w^u = wv^2 w^{-2} u^2$  must be preserved by  $\phi$ . On the one hand, we have  $w_0 v_0^2 w_0^{-2} u_0^2 = zxx^{2d} y^{2e} z^{2f} x^{-2(1+2d)} y^{2(1+2j)} z^{2(1+2c)}$ ,

while on the other hand,

$$w_0^{u_0} = zxz^2x^{-2}y^{4j}y^2z^{4k}z^{-2f}x^{-2d}y^{2e}.$$

An examination of the exponents of  $z^2$  reveals that

$$f + c - k = 0$$

But  $\phi$  induces an automorphism on the free abelian group  $V^2$  with basis  $\{x^2, y^2, z^2\}$ , and

$$u_0^2 = y^{2(1+2j)}, v_0^2 = z^{2(1+2c)}, w_0^2 = x^{2(1+2d)}.$$

This implies that  $j, c, d \in \{0, -1\}$ . Now if j = -1, we may follow  $\phi$  by conjugation by z (restricted to V) and make the new j = 0, while keeping c, d the same. Likewise, if c = -1, we may follow  $\phi$  by conjugation by x (restricted to V) and make the new c = 0, while keeping j, d the same. Finally, if d = -1, we may follow  $\phi$  by conjugation by y (restricted to V) and make the new d = 0, while keeping c, j the same. Thus, we may assume without loss that j = c = d = 0, in which case

$$i = a, b = e, f = k$$

We deduce from above that we may view  $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$  as a subgroup of index 2, and hence normal, in  $\operatorname{Aut}(V)$ .

14. 
$$\operatorname{Inn}(M)$$
 is not a characteristic subgroup of  $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$ 

We claim that Inn(M) is not invariant under the automorphism of Aut(M) given by conjugation by  $\Psi$  (as defined in (6)). Suppose, on the contrary, that this is false. For  $t \in M$ , let  $C_t$  stand for conjugation by  $t \in M$  restricted to V. Then, given any  $t \in M$  there is a unique  $t' \in M$  such that  $C_t^{\Psi} = C_{t'}$ . The map  $t \mapsto t'$  is an automorphism, say  $\Delta$ , of M, so that

$$C_t^{\Psi} = C_{t^{\Delta}}, \quad t \in M_t$$

and therefore

$$C_{t^{\Psi}} = C_t^{\Psi} = C_{t^{\Delta}}, \quad t \in V.$$

As V is centerless, this implies that  $\Psi$  extends to the automorphism  $\Delta$  of M, which is impossible as no automorphism of M induces a transposition on  $\{xyM^2, yzM^2, zxM^2\}$ .

It follows that Inn(M) is not a characteristic subgroup of Aut(M).

### 15. The centralizer of Aut(M) in Aut(V) is trivial

We claim that centralizer, say Q, of  $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$  in  $\operatorname{Aut}(V)$  is trivial. Indeed, as Z(M) is trivial we infer that  $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$  is centerless. Suppose, if possible, that Q is nontrivial. As  $Q \cap \operatorname{Aut}(M)$  is trivial and  $\operatorname{Aut}(V)$  is the disjoint union of  $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$  and  $\operatorname{Aut}(M)\Psi$ , we deduce that  $\Upsilon\Psi \in Q$  for some  $\Upsilon \in \operatorname{Aut}(M)$ . This means that conjugation by  $\Psi$  and  $\Upsilon^{-1}$  agree on  $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$ . In particular,  $\operatorname{Inn}(M)$  is invariant under conjugation by  $\Psi$ , a contradiction.

## 16. The isomorphism $\operatorname{Aut}(V) \to \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{Aut}(M))$

By above, we have a group monomorphism  $\Omega$  :  $\operatorname{Aut}(V) \to \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{Aut}(M))$  by conjugation. In addition, we know that  $[\operatorname{Aut}(V) : \operatorname{Aut}(M)] = 2$ . As shown below, the index of  $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$  in  $\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{Aut}(M))$  is also 2, so  $\Omega$  is an isomorphism.

## 17. The characteristic subgroup R of Aut(M)

Setting  $G = \operatorname{Aut}(M)$ , we proceed to determine  $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$ . We may view M as a subgroup of G via  $x \mapsto X, y \mapsto Y, z \mapsto Z$  (that is, via the natural imbedding  $M \to \operatorname{Inn}(M)$ ). Routine calculations show that

$$\begin{split} [G,G] &= \langle XY,YZ,ZX,AB,BC,CA\rangle, \ G/[G,G] \cong C_2 \times C_2 \times C_3, \\ G^2 &= \langle XY,YZ,ZX,AB,BC,CA,D\rangle, G/G^2 \cong C_2 \times C_2, \\ [G,G]^2 &= \langle X^2,Y^2,Z^2\rangle = M^2, G/[G,G]^2 \cong C_2^6 \rtimes C_3, \end{split}$$

where  $C_3$  acts on  $C_2^6$  via two 3-cycles. Thus, there is a unique (normal) Sylow 2-subgroup, say S, of  $G/[G, G]^2$ , and  $M/M^2$  is inside it. Here

$$S = U/[G,G]^2, U = \langle X, Y, Z, A, B, C \rangle,$$

where U is a characteristic subgroup of G, being the only subgroup of G containing  $[G, G]^2$  and having index 3 in G. Note that S is a 6-dimensional vector space over  $F_2$ .

We claim that  $R = \langle A, B, C \rangle$  is the only normal abelian subgroup of G containing  $M^2$  and such that  $R/M^2$  is a 3-dimensional subspace of S. This makes R into a characteristic subgroup of G. To prove the claim, let Q be any normal abelian subgroup of G containing  $M^2$  such that  $R/M^2$  is a 3-dimensional subspace of S. Then Q contains elements of the form  $u = X^a Y^b Z^c A^d B^e C^f$ ,

each exponent being 0 or 1, the sum of which we call the length of u. If these elements contain terms only from  $\{A, B, C\}$  or only from  $\{X, Y, Z\}$ , then Q = R, as M is not abelian. Suppose, if possible, that Q contains elements with mixed terms, and let m > 1 be the smallest length among these elements. We divide the analysis into five cases:

•m = 2. As Q is normal, then  $XA, YB \in Q$ , or  $XB, YC \in Q$ , or  $XC, YA \in Q$ , all of which contradict the fact that Q is abelian.

•m = 3. As Q is normal, then  $XAB, YBC \in Q$ , or  $XBC, YAC \in Q$ , or  $XAC, YAB \in Q$ , or  $XYA, YZB \in Q$ , or  $XYB, YZC \in Q$ , or  $XYC, YZA \in Q$ , all of which contradict the fact that Q is abelian.

•m = 4. As Q is normal, then  $XYZA, XYZB, XYZC \in Q$ , or  $XABC, YABC, ZABC \in Q$ , or Q contains an element u with two factors from  $\{X, Y, Z\}$  and two factors from  $\{A, B, C\}$ . In the first case, Q contains A, B, C, XYZ and is at least 4-dimensional. In the second case, Q contains X, Y, Z, ABC and is at least 4-dimensional. In the third case, Q contains  $uu^D$ , which yields an element of Q with mixed terms and of length 2. All of these cases are impossible.

•m = 5. As Q is normal, then either XYABC, YZABC, XZABC  $\in Q$ , in which case Q contains the centeress group V, or else XYZAB, XYZBC, XYZAC  $\in Q$ , in which case Q contains the non-commuting elements XYZAB, AB. Both cases are absurd.

•m = 6. This is impossible, because then  $Q/M^2$  is one dimensional.

This proves that R is a characteristic subgroup of G.

### 18. The Aut(G)-orbit of M

We know that M is not a characteristic subgroup of G, and we single out the automorphism, say E, of G defined by A, B, C, D and satisfying

$$A \mapsto A, B \mapsto B, C \mapsto C, D \mapsto D, X \mapsto XA, Y \mapsto YB, Z \mapsto ZC.$$

Note that  $E^2$  is conjugation by ABC.

We claim that the Aut(G)-orbit of M consists of M and  $M^E$  only. To prove the claim, let Q be any normal subgroup of G such that  $[Q, Q] = M^2$  and  $Q/M^2$  is a 3-dimensional subspace of S. and suppose that Q is in the Aut(G)-orbit of M. Let  $\alpha$  be any automorphism of G such that  $M^{\alpha} = Q$ , and set  $X_0 = X^{\alpha}$  and  $D_0 = D^{\alpha}$ . Now U = MR and as U and R are characteristic subgroups of G, we infer U = QR. Here U/R is a 3-dimensional vector space over  $F_2$ , and  $\alpha$  induces a vector space automorphism of U/R that sends the basis  $\{XR, X^DR, X^{D^2}R\}$  into the basis  $\{X_0R, X_0^{D_0}R, X_0^{D_0^2}R\}$ . It follows that  $X_0$  cannot be congruent modulo R to any of XY, YZ, ZX, XYZ, so these two bases are identical (we are, of course, disregarding the order of the basis vectors). Thus, replacing  $\alpha$  by an inner automorphism of G associated to  $\langle D \rangle$ , we may assume that  $X_0 \equiv X \mod R$ . As Q contains  $M^2$ , it follows that one of the following elements is in Q: X, XA, XB, XC, XAB, XAC, XBC, XABC. In the first case Q = M and in the second  $Q = M^E$ . It remains to show that the remaining cases are impossible. If Q contains XB then  $Q = \langle XB, YC, ZA \rangle M^2$  and  $[Q, Q] = \langle X^4, Y^4, Z^4 \rangle$ . If Q contains XC, then  $Q = \langle XC, YA, ZB \rangle M^2$  and  $[Q, Q] = \langle X^2 Z^2, Y^2 X^2, Y^2 Z^2, X^4, Y^4, Z^4 \rangle$ . If Q contains XAB then  $Q^E$  is also in the Aut(G)orbit of M and contains XB as in the above case. If Q contains XAC then  $Q^E$  is also in the Aut(G)-orbit of M and contains XC as in the above above. If Q contains XABC then it also contains X, Y, Z, ABC and is at least 4-dimensional. If Q contains XBC then  $Q^E$  is also in the Aut(G)-orbit of M and contains XABC as in the above case. All of these cases are impossible.

This proves that the  $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$ -orbit of M consists of M and  $M^E$  only.

## 19. FINDING $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$ AND $\operatorname{Out}(G)$

We can now prove that  $P = \operatorname{Aut}(G) = \operatorname{Inn}(G) \langle E \rangle$  and  $\operatorname{Out}(G) \cong C_2$ .

Given any  $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ , multiplying it by E, we may assume that  $\alpha$  preserves M. Then, the automorphism that  $\alpha$  induces on M is inner, as we have all of G at our disposal. Thus, multiplying  $\alpha$  by an inner automorphism, we may assume that  $\alpha$  fixes M pointwise. It also preserves R, with  $R^2 = M^2$  also fixed pointwise. Now  $A \mapsto A^i B^j C^k$ , so  $A^2 \mapsto A^2 = A^{2i} B^{2j} C^{2k}$ , whence i = 1, j = 0, k = 0, and A is fixed. Likewise, B and C are fixed.

Set  $D_0 = D^{\alpha}$ . Then  $D_0 = X^i Y^j Z^k A^a B^b C^d D^\ell$ , where  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $0 \le i, j, k \le 1$ , and  $\ell \in \{1, 2\}$ ( $\ell$  cannot be 0 as U is characteristic). We must have  $A^{D_0} = B$ . If i = 1 then  $A^{D_0} = (A^{-1})^{D^\ell}$ , which is impossible. Thus i = 0 and likewise j = k = 0. Thus  $D_0 = A^a B^b C^c D^\ell$ . Now  $X^{D_0} = Y$ , and this forces a = 0, and likewise we see that b = c = 0. But then  $\ell = 1$ .

This proves that  $P = \operatorname{Aut}(G) = \operatorname{Inn}(G) \langle E \rangle$ . As  $E \notin \operatorname{Inn}(G)$  and  $E^2$  is conjugation by ABC, it follows that  $\operatorname{Out}(G) \cong C_2$ .

## 20. Completeness of Aut(Aut(M))

We identify G with Inn(G) inside of Aut(G), so that Aut(G) is the group generated by the elements X, Y, Z, A, B, C, D, E subject to the defining relations (4), (5), as well as

$$A^{E} = A, B^{E} = B, C^{E} = C, D^{E} = D, X^{E} = XA, Y^{E} = YB, Z^{E} = ZC$$

We claim that G a characteristic subgroup of  $P = \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ . Indeed, we have

[X, E] = A,

and it follows that

$$[P,P] = \langle A, B, C, XY, YZ, ZX \rangle$$

with  $P/[P,P] \cong C_2 \times C_2 \times C_3$ . Thus, there are exactly 3 subgroups of P of index 2, namely

$$G = [P, P]\langle X, D \rangle, G_1 = [P, P]\langle E, D \rangle, G_2 = [P, P]\langle EX, D \rangle$$

Now

$$[G,G] = [G_1,G_1] = [G_2,G_2] = \langle AB, BC, AC, XY, YZ, ZX \rangle$$

with factors isomorphic to  $C_2 \times C_2 \times C_3$ , so each of  $G, G_1, G_3$  has a unique subgroup of index 3, respectively equal to

$$U = \langle A, B, C, X, Y, Z \rangle, U_1 = \langle A, B, C, XY, YZ, ZX, E \rangle, U_2 = \langle A, B, C, XY, YZ, ZX, XE \rangle.$$

Here

$$[U, U] = M^2, [U_1, U_1] = [U_2, U_2] = \langle AB, BC, AC \rangle M^2$$

But

$$[P, [P, P]] = [G, G],$$

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

$$[P, [P, P]]^2 = [G, G]^2 = M^2$$

is a characteristic subgroup of P. If G could be mapped via an automorphism of P to  $G_1$  then U would be mapped to  $U_1$ , and hence  $[U, U] = M^2$  to  $[U_1, U_1] = \langle AB, BC, AC \rangle M^2$ , which is impossible since  $M^2$  is mapped to itself. An analogous argument shows that G cannot be mapped to  $G_2$ . Thus G is characteristic subgroup of P.

We now appeal to a well-known result of Burnside (see [Z, Chapter II]) to the effect that if H is a centerless group such that Inn(H) is a characteristic subgroup of Aut(H), then Aut(H) is a complete group. Applying this to H = G = Aut(M), we deduce that Aut(Aut(M)) is a complete group.

#### FERNANDO SZECHTMAN

### References

- [A] M. A. Albar On Mennicke groups of deficiency zero I, Internati. J. Math. & Math. Sci. 8 (1985) 821–824.
- [AA] M. A. Albar and A.-A. A. Al-Shuaibi On Mennicke groups of deficiency zero II, Can. Math. Bull. 34 (1991) 289–293.
- [Al] D. Allcock Triangles of Baumslag-Solitar groups, Can. J. Math. 64 (2012) 241–253.
- [AS] H. Abdolzadeh and R. Sabzchi An infinite family of finite 2-groups with deficiency zero, Int. J. Group Theory 6 (2017) 45–49.
- [AS2] H. Abdolzadeh and R. Sabzchi An infinite family of finite 3-groups with deficiency zero, J. Algebra Appl. 18, No. 7, Article ID 1950121, 10 p. (2019).
- [BBDM] S. Bachmuth, G. Baumslag, J. Dyer, and H. Y. Mochizuki Automorphism groups of two generator metabelian groups, J. London Math. Soc., 36 (1987) 393–406.
- [BC] J.N.S Bidwell and M.J. Curran The automorphism group of a split metacyclic p-group, Arch. Math. 87 (2006) 488–497.
- [C] M.J. Curran The automorphism group of a split metacyclic 2-group, Arch. Math. 89 (2007) 10–23.
- [C2] M.J. Curran The automorphism group of a nonsplit metacyclic p-group, Arch. Math. 90 (2008) 483–489.
- [CR] C.M. Campbell and E.F. Robertson Remarks on a class of 2-generator groups of deficiency zero, J. Aust. Math. Soc., Ser. A, 19 (1975) 297–305.
- [CRT] C.M. Campbell, E.F. Robertson, and R. M. Thomas Finite groups of deficiency zero involving the Lucas numbers, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc., II. Ser., 33 (1990) 1–10.
- [CS] A. Caranti and C.M. Scoppola Endomorphisms of two-generated metabelian groups that induce the identity modulo the derived subgroup, Arch. Math. (Basel) 56 (1991) 218–227.
- R.M. Davitt The automorphism group of a finite metacyclic p-group, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 25 (1970) 876–879.
- [Di] J. Dietz Automorphisms of p-groups given as cyclic-by-elementary Abelian central extensions, J. Algebra 242 (2001) 417–432.
- [G] C. K. Gupta IA-automorphisms of two generator metabelian groups, Arch. Math. 37 (1981) 106– 112.
- [GG] M. Golasiński and D. Gonçalves On automorphisms of split metacyclic groups, Manuscripta Math. 128 (2009) 251–273.
- [H] J. A. Hulse Automorphism towers of polycyclic groups, J. Algebra 16 (1970) 347–398.
- [Ha] J. D. Hamkins Every group has a terminating transfinite automorphism tower, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 126, No. 11 (1998) 3223–3226.
- [J] D.L. Johnson A new class of 3-generator finite groups of deficiency zero, J. Lond. Math. Soc., II. Ser., 19 (1979) 59–61.
- [Ja] E. Jabara *Gruppi fattorizzati da sottogruppi ciclici*, Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova 122 (2009) 65–84.
- [Jam] A.-R. Jamali A new class of finite groups with three generators and three relations, Algebra Colloq. 5 (1998) 465–469.
- [Jam2] A.-R. Jamali A further class of 3-generators, 3-relations finite groups, Commun. Algebra 29 (2001) 879–887.
- [JR] D.L. Johnson and E. F. Robertson *Finite groups of deficiency zero*, in Homological Group Theory (ed. C.T.C. Wall), Cambridge University Press, 1979.
- [K] P.E. Kenne, Some new efficient soluble groups, Commun. Algebra 18, (1990) 2747–2753.
- [M] I.D Macdonald On a class of finitely presented groups, Canad. J. Math 14 (1962) 602–613.
- [Me] J. Mennicke Einige endliche Gruppen mit drei Erzeugenden und drei Relationen, Arch. Math. (Basel) 10 (1959) 409–418.
- [Ma] I. Malinowska The automorphism group of a split metacyclic 2-group and some groups of crossed homomorphisms, Arch. Math. 93 (2009) 99–109.
- [Ma2] I. Malinowska On the structure of the automorphism group of a minimal nonabelian p-group (metacyclic case), Glas. Mat. 47(67) (2012) 153–164.

12

- [Men] F. Menegazzo Automorphisms of p-groups with cyclic commutator subgroup, Rend. Sem. Univ. Padova 90 (1993) 81–101.
- [Mi1] G. A. Miller Generalization of the groups of genus zero, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 8 (1907) 1–13.
- [Mi2] G. A. Miller Finite groups which may be defined by two operators satisfying two conditions, Amer. J. Math. 31 (1909) 167–182.
- [MS] A. Montoya Ocampo and F. Szechtman Structure of the Macdonald groups in one parameter, submitted. arXiv:2302.07079.
- [MS2] A. Montoya Ocampo and F. Szechtman *The automorphism group of finite p-groups associated to* the Macdonald group, submitted. arXiv:2303.06385.
- [P] M. J. Post Finite three-generator groups with zero deficiency, Commun. Algebra 6 (1978) 1289– 1296.
- [R] E. F. Robertson A comment on finite nilpotent groups of deficiency zero, Can. Math. Bull. 23 (1980) 313–316.
- [Ro] D. J. S. Robinson A course in the theory of groups, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 80. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.
- [RR] A. Rae and J. E. Roseblade Automorphism Towers of Extremal Groups, Math. Z. 117 (1970) 70–75.
- [S] E. Schenkman A factorization theorem for groups and Lie algebras Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 68 (1978) 149–152.
- [T] S. Thomas The automorphism tower problem, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 95 (1985) 166–168.
- [W] J.W. Wamsley *The deficiency of finite groups*, Ph.D. thesis, Univ. of Queensland (1969).
- [W1] J.W. Wamsley The deficiency of metacyclic groups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 24 (1070) 724–726.
- [W2] J.W. Wamsley A class of three-generator, three-relation, finite groups, Canad. J. Math. 22 (1070) 36–40.
- [W3] J.W. Wamsley A class of two generator two relation finite groups, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 14 (1972) 38–40
- [W4] J.W. Wamsley Some finite groups with zero deficiency, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 18 (1974) 73–75.
- [Wi] J. H. Wielandt Eine Verallgemeinerung der invarianten Untergruppen, Math. Z. 45 (1939) 209– 244.
- [Z] H. J. Zassenhaus The theory of groups, Dover, New York, 1999.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF REGINA, CANADA *Email address*: fernando.szechtman@gmail.com