

Singular parabolic operators in the half-space with boundary degeneracy: Dirichlet and oblique derivative boundary conditions

L. Negro *

Abstract

We study elliptic and parabolic problems governed by the singular elliptic operators

$$\mathcal{L} = y^{\alpha_1} \text{Tr} (QD_x^2) + 2y^{\frac{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}{2}} q \cdot \nabla_x D_y + \gamma y^{\alpha_2} D_{yy} + y^{\frac{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}{2}-1} (d, \nabla_x) + cy^{\alpha_2-1} D_y - by^{\alpha_2-2}$$

in the half-space $\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} = \{(x, y) : x \in \mathbb{R}^N, y > 0\}$, under Dirichlet or oblique derivative boundary conditions. In the special case $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha$ the operator \mathcal{L} takes the form

$$\mathcal{L} = y^\alpha \text{Tr} (AD^2) + y^{\alpha-1} (v, \nabla) - by^{\alpha-2},$$

where $v = (d, c) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $A = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} Q & q^t \\ \hline q & \gamma \end{array} \right)$ is an elliptic matrix. We prove elliptic and parabolic L^p -estimates and solvability for the associated problems. In the language of semigroup theory, we prove that \mathcal{L} generates an analytic semigroup, characterize its domain as a weighted Sobolev space and show that it has maximal regularity.

Mathematics subject classification (2020): 35K67, 35B45, 47D07, 35J70, 35J75.

Keywords: degenerate elliptic operators, boundary degeneracy, vector-valued harmonic analysis, maximal regularity.

1 Introduction

In this paper we study solvability and regularity of elliptic and parabolic problems associated to the degenerate operators

$$\mathcal{L} = y^{\alpha_1} \text{Tr} (QD_x^2) + 2y^{\frac{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}{2}} q \cdot \nabla_x D_y + \gamma y^{\alpha_2} D_{yy} + y^{\frac{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}{2}-1} (d, \nabla_x) + cy^{\alpha_2-1} D_y - by^{\alpha_2-2} \quad (1)$$

and $D_t - \mathcal{L}$ in the half-space $\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} = \{(x, y) : x \in \mathbb{R}^N, y > 0\}$ or in $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}$ and under Dirichlet or oblique derivative boundary conditions at $y = 0$.

Here $v = (d, c) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ with $d = 0$ if $c = 0$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $A = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} Q & q^t \\ \hline q & \gamma \end{array} \right)$ is a constant real elliptic matrix. The real numbers α_1, α_2 satisfy $\alpha_2 < 2$ and $\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 < 2$ but are not assumed to be nonnegative. In the special case $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha$ the operator \mathcal{L} takes the form

$$\mathcal{L} = y^\alpha \text{Tr} (AD^2) + y^{\alpha-1} (v, \nabla) - by^{\alpha-2} \quad (2)$$

*Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica “Ennio De Giorgi”, Università del Salento, C.P.193, 73100, Lecce, Italy. email: luigi.negro@unisalento.it

whose coefficients are singular for $\alpha < 0$ and degenerate for $\alpha > 0$ at $y = 0$. This paper is the companion of [25], in which the same type of operators are considered but with $d = 0$, $b = 0$, and with Neumann boundary condition.

We write B_y to denote the 1-dimensional Bessel operator $D_{yy} + \frac{c}{y}D_y$ and $L_y = D_{yy} + \frac{c}{y}D_y - \frac{b}{y^2}$; note that B_y is nothing but L_y when $b = 0$. With this notation the special cases where

$$\mathcal{L} = y^{\alpha_1} \Delta_x + y^{\alpha_2} B_y, \quad \mathcal{L} = y^{\alpha_1} \Delta_x + y^{\alpha_2} L_y \quad (3)$$

has been already studied in [23, 27]. The main novelty here consists in the presence of the mixed derivatives $2y^{\frac{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}{2}} q \cdot \nabla_x D_y$ and of the x -derivative $y^{\frac{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}{2}-1} (d, \nabla_x)$ in the operator \mathcal{L} which is a crucial step for treating degenerate operators in domains, through a localization procedure. Surprisingly enough, the case $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ implies all other cases by the change of variables described in Section 3. However this modifies the underlying measure and the procedure works if one is able to deal with the complete scale of L_m^p spaces, where $L_m^p = L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}; y^m dx dy)$.

The interest in this class of singular operators has grown in the last decade as they appear extensively in the literature in both pure and applied problems. The operators in (3) are strongly connected with nonlocal operators as they play a major role in the investigation of the fractional powers of the Laplacian and of the Heat operator through the “extension procedure” of Caffarelli and Silvestre, see [4] and [34, 13, 1] for a more general setting. We refer also the reader to the introductions of [8, 3] for some references to related problems in probability, mathematical finance and biology, porous media equations and in degenerate viscous Hamilton-Jacobi equations. This type of singular operators are also connected to the theory of geometric PDEs with edge singularities [19] and to the analysis of the regularity of the ratio of solutions to elliptic PDEs [35].

Our main results are Theorems 5.2, 6.2, 7.1 and 7.3, where, in the language of semigroup theory, we prove that \mathcal{L} generates an analytic semigroup on L_m^p , characterize its domain as a weighted Sobolev space and show that it has maximal parabolic regularity. For reader’s convenience we collect, in Section 2, the main hypotheses we assume and also the main results of the paper in the case $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ as in (2), referring to Section 7 for their extension to general α_1, α_2 as in (1).

We prove both elliptic and parabolic estimates which, in the case $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha$, $b = 0$ and oblique derivative boundary condition, read as

$$\|y^\alpha D^2 u\|_{L_m^p} + \|y^{\alpha-1} v \cdot \nabla u\|_{L_m^p} \leq C \|\mathcal{L}u\|_{L_m^p}, \quad (4)$$

and

$$\|D_t u\|_{L_m^p} + \|\mathcal{L}u\|_{L_m^p} \leq C \|(D_t - \mathcal{L})u\|_{L_m^p}, \quad (5)$$

where the L^p norms are taken over \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} and on $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}$ respectively. Both the elliptic and parabolic estimates above share the name “maximal regularity” even though this term is often restricted to the parabolic case. Throughout the paper we keep this convention referring, in the statements of our results, to maximal regularity to denote the validity of the parabolic estimates (5) while the elliptic bounds as in (4) will be characterized through the precise description of the domain of \mathcal{L} .

Let us explain the meaning of the restrictions $\alpha_2 < 2$, $\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 < 2$ considering first the case where $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha$, so that the unique requirement is $\alpha < 2$.

It turns out that when $\alpha \geq 2$ the problem is easily treated in the strip $\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, 1]$ in the case of the Lebesgue measure, see [12], and all problems are due to the strong diffusion at infinity.

The case $\alpha \geq 2$ in the strip $\mathbb{R}^N \times [1, \infty[$ requires therefore new investigation even though the 1-dimensional case is easily treated by the change of variables of Section 3.

When $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$, the further restriction $\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 < 2$ comes from the change of variables of Section 3, see Section 7.

Let us briefly describe the previous literature on these operators. In [23, 26] we considered the simplest case of $\Delta_x + B_y$ making extensive use of the commutative structure of the operator. The non-commutative case of $y^{\alpha_1} \Delta_x + y^{\alpha_2} B_y$ has been later faced in [27]. Another source of non-commutativity comes from the presence of mixed derivatives. In [25, 28, 31] we treated the operator

$$\mathcal{L} = y^{\alpha_1} \text{Tr} (Q D_x^2) + 2y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2}} q \cdot \nabla_x D_y + \gamma y^{\alpha_2} D_{yy} + c y^{\alpha_2 - 1} D_y$$

under Neumann boundary conditions. The methods use in these papers rely on tools from vector-valued harmonic analysis, Fourier multipliers and structure theory of Banach spaces. We refer the reader also to [9, 7, 8, 10] and to [2, 3, 6, 16, 32, 33, 35] for related results with different methods, but without the powers $y^{\alpha_1}, y^{\alpha_2}$ ($\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$) and with variable coefficients.

This paper is devoted to complete the picture in this direction, by adding the x -derivative $y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} - 1} (d, \nabla_x)$ and the potential term $b y^{\alpha_2 - 2}$ and by studying \mathcal{L} under Dirichlet or oblique derivative boundary condition at $y = 0$. Here we consider only constant matrices Q and constant q, γ . The general case where Q, q, γ are bounded and uniformly continuous is however straightforward and allows to treat operators in smooth domains, whose degeneracy in the top order coefficients behaves like a power of the distance from the boundary. We shall treat these topics in a forthcoming paper.

We also point out that our results seems to be new in the case of oblique derivative boundary conditions (see Theorems 5.2 and 7.1) when $\alpha_1 \neq 0$ or $\alpha_2 \neq 0$ (i.e. when the powers $y^{\alpha_1}, y^{\alpha_2}$ appear in the operator) while for Dirichlet boundary conditions (Theorems 6.2 and 7.3) we improve the results in [11] which are valid in the special case $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 \in (0, 2)$, $v = 0$, $b = 0$ but with variable coefficients.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we collect the hypotheses we assume on \mathcal{L} and present the main results of the paper: here, in order to improve readability, we restrict ourselves to the case $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ as in (2), referring to Section 7 for the general case (1).

In Section 3, we exploit some elementary changes of variables, in a functional analytic setting, to reduce our operators to simpler cases.

In Section 4 we collect the results we need concerning anisotropic weighted Sobolev spaces: the main novelty is Section 4.2 where we introduce and study the Sobolev space $W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$ having oblique derivative boundary condition. This careful study is essential for characterizing the domain of \mathcal{L} when the x -derivative $y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} - 1} (d, \nabla_x)$ is present and when $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$.

In Sections 5 and 6, which are the core of the paper, we prove generation results, maximal regularity and domain characterization for the operator \mathcal{L} , under respectively oblique derivative and Dirichlet boundary conditions, both in the case $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$.

Finally, in Section 7, we extend the results to general α_1, α_2 .

Notation. For $N \geq 0$, $\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} = \{(x, y) : x \in \mathbb{R}^N, y > 0\}$. For $m \in \mathbb{R}$ we consider the measure $y^m dx dy$ in \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} and we write $L_m^p(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1})$ for $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}; y^m dx dy)$ and often only L_m^p when \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} is understood. $\mathbb{C}^+ = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re } \lambda > 0\}$ and, for $|\theta| \leq \pi$, we denote by Σ_θ the open sector

$\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda \neq 0, |\text{Arg}(\lambda)| < \theta\}$. We denote by α^+ and α^- the positive and negative part of a real number, that is $\alpha^+ = \max\{\alpha, 0\}$, $\alpha^- = -\min\{\alpha, 0\}$.

We write often (x, y) or $x \cdot y$ to denote the inner product of \mathbb{R}^N and, for $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{N,N}$ symmetric, $\text{Tr}(AB) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} b_{i,j}$. Moreover, if $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we write also $\omega \otimes \omega \in \mathbb{R}^{N,N}$ to denote the matrix $(\omega_i \omega_j)_{i,j=1,\dots,N}$; with this notation one has $\text{Tr}(\omega \otimes \omega A) = (A\omega, \omega)$.

We use B for the one-dimensional Bessel operator $D_{yy} + \frac{c}{y}D_y$ and L for $D_{yy} + \frac{c}{y}D_y - \frac{b}{y^2}$. Here $c, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and both operators are defined on the half-line $(0, \infty)$.

2 The main results and assumptions

We consider first, for $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, the 1d operators

$$L = D_{yy} + \frac{c}{y}D_y - \frac{b}{y^2}, \quad B = D_{yy} + \frac{c}{y}D_y \quad (6)$$

on the half line $\mathbb{R}_+ =]0, \infty[$. Note that B (which stands for Bessel) is nothing but L when $b = 0$. Often we write L_y, B_y to indicate that they act with respect to the y variable.

The equation $Lu = 0$ has solutions y^{-s_1}, y^{-s_2} where s_1, s_2 are the roots of the indicial equation $f(s) = -s^2 + (c-1)s + b = 0$

$$s_1 := \frac{c-1}{2} - \sqrt{D}, \quad s_2 := \frac{c-1}{2} + \sqrt{D} \quad (7)$$

where

$$D := b + \left(\frac{c-1}{2}\right)^2. \quad (8)$$

The above numbers are real if and only if $D \geq 0$. When $D < 0$ the equation $u - Lu = f$ cannot have positive distributional solutions for certain positive f , see [29]. When $b = 0$, then $\sqrt{D} = |c-1|/2$ and $s_1 = 0, s_2 = c-1$ for $c \geq 1$ and $s_1 = c-1, s_2 = 0$ for $c < 1$.

We now introduce a $(N+1)$ -d generalization of the operators (6). For reader's convenience we list below the main hypothesis and notation which we assume throughout the whole manuscript.

Assumption 2.1 *Let $v = (d, c) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ and let $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1, N+1}$ be a symmetric and positive definite $(N+1) \times (N+1)$ matrix; we write A as*

$$A := \left(\begin{array}{c|c} Q & q^t \\ \hline q & \gamma \end{array} \right)$$

where $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$, $q = (q_1, \dots, q_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\gamma = a_{N+1, N+1} > 0$. Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\alpha_2 < 2, \quad \alpha_2 - \alpha_1 < 2.$$

For $m \in \mathbb{R}$ we consider the measure $y^m dx dy$ in \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} and we write $L_m^p(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1})$ for $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}; y^m dx dy)$ and often only L_m^p when \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} is understood.

Definition 2.2 We consider the $(N + 1)$ -d degenerate operator

$$\mathcal{L} = y^{\alpha_1} \text{Tr} (QD_x^2) + 2y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2}} q \cdot \nabla_x D_y + \gamma y^{\alpha_2} D_{yy} + y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} - 1} (d, \nabla_x) + cy^{\alpha_2 - 1} D_y - by^{\alpha_2 - 2}$$

in the space $L_m^p = L_m^p(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1})$. Note that \mathcal{L} can be written equivalently as

$$\mathcal{L} = y^{\alpha_1} \text{Tr} (QD_x^2) + 2y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2}} (q, \nabla_x D_y) + \gamma y^{\alpha_2} L_y + y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} - 1} (d, \nabla_x) \quad (9)$$

where L_y is the operator defined in (6) with parameters $\frac{b}{\gamma}, \frac{c}{\gamma}$.

Note that in the special case $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha$, so the unique requirement is $\alpha < 2$, the operator \mathcal{L} takes the form

$$\mathcal{L} = y^\alpha \text{Tr} (AD^2) + y^{\alpha - 1} (v, \nabla) - by^{\alpha - 2}. \quad (10)$$

Assumption 2.3 We always keep the assumption $D \geq 0$ satisfied by the coefficients of the operator L_y in (9).

We study unique solvability of the problems

$$\lambda u - \mathcal{L}u = f, \quad D_t v - \mathcal{L}v = g$$

in the spaces $L_m^p(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1})$ spaces under Dirichlet or oblique derivative boundary conditions at $y = 0$, and initial conditions in the parabolic case, together with the regularity of u, v . In the language of semigroup theory, we prove that \mathcal{L} generates an analytic semigroup on L_m^p , characterize its domain and show that it has maximal regularity, which means that both $D_t v$ and $\mathcal{L}v$ have the same regularity as g . To improve readability we recall the following definition.

Definition 2.4 An analytic semigroup $(e^{t\mathcal{A}})_{t \geq 0}$ on a Banach space X with generator \mathcal{A} has maximal regularity of type L^q ($1 < q < \infty$) if for each $f \in L^q([0, T]; X)$, the following parabolic problem associated with \mathcal{A}

$$\begin{cases} D_t u(t) - \mathcal{A}u(t) = f(t), & t > 0, \\ u(0) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

has a unique solution $u \in W^{1,q}([0, T]; X) \cap L^q([0, T]; D(\mathcal{A}))$. This means that the mild solution of (11), given by the variation of parameters formula

$$t \mapsto u(t) = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mathcal{A}} f(s) ds,$$

is indeed a strong solution and has the best regularity one can expect.

It is known that the property above does not depend on $1 < q < \infty$ and $T > 0$. A characterization of maximal regularity is available in UMD Banach spaces, through the \mathcal{R} -boundedness of the resolvent in a suitable sector $\omega + \Sigma_\phi$, with $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\phi > \pi/2$: this approach is widely described in [18] and in the new books [14], [15].

Our main results are the following. We refer to Section 4 for the definition of the weighted Sobolev spaces involved

$$W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m), \quad W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m),$$

having respectively Neumann and oblique boundary conditions (see also Definition 4.12).

For simplicity, here, we state the results only in the special case $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha$, where

$$\mathcal{L} = y^\alpha \text{Tr} (AD^2) + y^{\alpha-1} (v, \nabla) - by^{\alpha-2},$$

referring to Section 7 for the general case having possibly different weights y^{α_1} , y^{α_2} , in front of the x and y derivatives.

We start by considering $b = 0$ and endow \mathcal{L} with the Neumann or oblique derivative boundary conditions

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} D_y u = 0 \quad (\text{if } v = 0), \quad \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^{\frac{c}{\gamma}} v \cdot \nabla u = 0 \quad (\text{if } c \neq 0).$$

We define accordingly (see Propositions 4.3, 4.5, 4.9)

$$W^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m) = \left\{ u \in W_{loc}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}) : y^\alpha D^2 u, y^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \nabla u, u \in L_m^p \right\}$$

and

$$W_v^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m) = \{ u \in W^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m) : y^{\alpha-1} v \cdot \nabla u \in L_m^p \}, \quad (c \neq 0);$$

$$W_v^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m) := W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m) = \{ u \in W^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m) : y^{\alpha-1} D_y u \in L_m^p \}, \quad (v = 0),$$

(note that $W_{(0,c)}^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m) = W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m)$).

Theorem (Theorems 5.2 and 7.1) *Let $v = (d, c) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ with $d = 0$ if $c = 0$, and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha < 2$ and*

$$\alpha^- < \frac{m+1}{p} < \frac{c}{\gamma} + 1 - \alpha.$$

Then the operator

$$\mathcal{L} = y^\alpha \text{Tr} (AD^2) + y^{\alpha-1} (v, \nabla)$$

generates a bounded analytic semigroup in L_m^p which has maximal regularity. Moreover

$$D(\mathcal{L}) = W_v^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m)$$

and the set \mathcal{C}_v defined in (31) is a core for \mathcal{L} .

We then add the potential term $-by^{\alpha-2}$ and endow \mathcal{L} with the Dirichlet boundary condition (see Corollary 6.4)

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^{s_2} u = 0 \quad (\text{if } D > 0), \quad \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^{s_2} u \in \mathbb{C} \quad (\text{if } D = 0),$$

where D and $s_{1,2}$ are defined in (7), (8).

Theorem (Theorems 6.2 and 7.3) *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha < 2$ and*

$$s_1 + \alpha^- < \frac{m+1}{p} < s_2 + 2 - \alpha.$$

Then, under Assumptions 2.1 and 2.3, the operator

$$\mathcal{L} = y^\alpha \operatorname{Tr} (AD^2) + y^{\alpha-1} (v, \nabla) - by^{\alpha-2}$$

generates a bounded analytic semigroup in L_m^p which has maximal regularity. Moreover,

$$D(\mathcal{L}) = y^{-s_1} W_w^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m - s_1 p), \quad w = v - 2s_1(q, \gamma).$$

The maximal regularity of \mathcal{L} stated in the Theorems above, implies immediately the following result which we state, for simplicity, only in the case of oblique boundary conditions. The proof follows directly from the above theorems, Definition 2.4 and standard semigroup theory.

Corollary 2.5 *Let $v = (d, c) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ with $d = 0$ if $c = 0$, and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha < 2$ and $\alpha^- < \frac{m+1}{p} < \frac{c}{\gamma} + 1 - \alpha$. Let us consider the operator*

$$\mathcal{L} = y^\alpha \operatorname{Tr} (AD^2) + y^{\alpha-1} (v, \nabla)$$

endowed with domain $W_v^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m) := W_{m,v}^{2,p}$. Then for each $1 < q < \infty$, $T > 0$ and $u_0 \in W_{m,v}^{2,p}$, $f \in L^q([0, T]; L_m^p)$ the problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t, x, y) - \mathcal{L}u(t, x, y) = f(t, x, y), & t > 0, \\ u(0, x, y) = u_0(x, y) \end{cases}$$

admits a unique solution $u \in W^{1,q}([0, T]; L_m^p) \cap L^q([0, T]; W_{m,v}^{2,p})$.

3 Degenerate operators and similarity transformations

In this section we consider the operator \mathcal{L} defined in Definition 2.2 namely

$$\mathcal{L} = y^{\alpha_1} \operatorname{Tr} (QD_x^2) + 2y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2}} q \cdot \nabla_x D_y + \gamma y^{\alpha_2} D_{yy} + y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} - 1} (d, \nabla_x) + cy^{\alpha_2 - 1} D_y - by^{\alpha_2 - 2}$$

which we often shorten by writing

$$\mathcal{L} = y^{\alpha_1} \operatorname{Tr} (QD_x^2) + 2y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2}} (q, \nabla_x D_y) + \gamma y^{\alpha_2} L_y + y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} - 1} (d, \nabla_x) \quad (12)$$

where $L_y = D_y y + \frac{c/\gamma}{y} D_y - \frac{b/\gamma}{y^2}$ is the operator defined in (6) with parameters $\frac{b}{\gamma}$, $\frac{c}{\gamma}$.

We investigate how this operator can be transformed by means of change of variables and multiplications.

For $k, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta \neq -1$ let

$$T_{k,\beta} u(x, y) := |\beta + 1|^{\frac{1}{p}} y^k u(x, y^{\beta+1}), \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}. \quad (13)$$

Observe that

$$T_{k,\beta}^{-1} = T_{-\frac{k}{\beta+1}, -\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}}.$$

Proposition 3.1 *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $k, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta \neq -1$. The following properties hold.*

(i) For every $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $T_{k,\beta}$ maps isometrically L_m^p onto L_m^p where

$$\tilde{m} = \frac{m + kp - \beta}{\beta + 1}.$$

(ii) For every $u \in W_{loc}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1})$ one has

1. $y^\alpha T_{k,\beta} u = T_{k,\beta} (y^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta+1}} u)$, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$;
2. $D_{x_i x_j} (T_{k,\beta} u) = T_{k,\beta} (D_{x_i x_j} u)$, $D_{x_i} (T_{k,\beta} u) = T_{k,\beta} (D_{x_i} u)$;
3. $D_y T_{k,\beta} u = T_{k,\beta} \left(k y^{-\frac{1}{\beta+1}} u + (\beta + 1) y^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}} D_y u \right)$,
 $D_{yy} (T_{k,\beta} u) = T_{k,\beta} \left((\beta + 1)^2 y^{\frac{2\beta}{\beta+1}} D_{yy} u + (\beta + 1)(2k + \beta) y^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta+1}} D_y u + k(k-1) y^{-\frac{2}{\beta+1}} u \right)$;
4. $D_{xy} T_{k,\beta} u = T_{k,\beta} \left(k y^{-\frac{1}{\beta+1}} D_x u + (\beta + 1) y^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}} D_{xy} u \right)$

PROOF. The proof of (i) follows after observing the Jacobian of $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y^{\beta+1})$ is $|1 + \beta| y^\beta$. To prove (ii) one can easily observe that any x -derivatives commutes with $T_{k,\beta}$. Then we compute

$$\begin{aligned} D_y T_{k,\beta} u(x, y) &= |\beta + 1|^{\frac{1}{p}} y^k \left(k \frac{u(x, y^{\beta+1})}{y} + (\beta + 1) y^\beta D_y u(x, y^{\beta+1}) \right) \\ &= T_{k,\beta} \left(k y^{-\frac{1}{\beta+1}} u + (\beta + 1) y^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}} D_y u \right) \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$D_{yy} T_{k,\beta} u(x, y) = T_{k,\beta} \left((\beta + 1)^2 y^{\frac{2\beta}{\beta+1}} D_{yy} u + (\beta + 1)(2k + \beta) y^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta+1}} D_y u + k(k-1) y^{-\frac{2}{\beta+1}} u \right).$$

□

Proposition 3.2 Let $T_{k,\beta}$ be the isometry above defined and let

$$\mathcal{L} = y^{\alpha_1} \text{Tr} (QD_x^2) + 2y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2}} q \cdot \nabla_x D_y + \gamma y^{\alpha_2} D_{yy} + y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} - 1} (d, \nabla_x) + c y^{\alpha_2 - 1} D_y - b y^{\alpha_2 - 2}.$$

The following properties hold.

(i) For every $u \in W_{loc}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1})$ one has

$$T_{k,\beta}^{-1} \left(\mathcal{L} \right) T_{k,\beta} u = \tilde{\mathcal{L}} u$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = y^{\tilde{\alpha}_1} \text{Tr} (Q\tilde{D}_x^2) + 2y^{\frac{\tilde{\alpha}_1 + \tilde{\alpha}_2}{2}} \tilde{q} \cdot \nabla_x D_y + y^{\tilde{\alpha}_2} \tilde{\gamma} D_{yy} + y^{\frac{\tilde{\alpha}_1 + \tilde{\alpha}_2}{2} - 1} (\tilde{d}, \nabla_x) + y^{\tilde{\alpha}_2 - 1} \tilde{c} D_y - \tilde{b} y^{\tilde{\alpha}_2 - 2}$$

is the operator defined as in Definition 2.2 but with parameters given by

$$\tilde{\alpha}_1 = \frac{\alpha_1}{\beta + 1}, \quad \tilde{\alpha}_2 = \frac{\alpha_2 + 2\beta}{\beta + 1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q} &= (\beta + 1)q, & \tilde{\gamma} &= (\beta + 1)^2 \gamma, & \tilde{d} &= 2kq + d, \\ \tilde{c} &= (\beta + 1)(c + (2k + \beta)\gamma), & \tilde{b} &= b - k(c + (k - 1)\gamma). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

(ii) In particular choosing $\beta = \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{2}$ and setting $\tilde{\alpha} = \frac{2\alpha_1}{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + 2}$ one has $\tilde{\alpha}_1 = \tilde{\alpha}_2 = \tilde{\alpha}$ and, for every $u \in W_{loc}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1})$,

$$T_{k,\beta}^{-1}(\mathcal{L})T_{k,\beta}u = y^{\tilde{\alpha}} \text{Tr} \left(\tilde{A}D^2u \right) + y^{\tilde{\alpha}-1} (\tilde{v}, \nabla u) - \tilde{b}y^{\alpha-2}u.$$

$$\text{where } \tilde{A} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} Q & \tilde{q}^t \\ \hline \tilde{q} & \tilde{\gamma} \end{array} \right) \text{ and } \tilde{v} = (\tilde{d}, \tilde{c}).$$

PROOF. The proof follows after a tedious straightforward computation using Proposition 3.1. \square

If in the above Proposition we write both operator \mathcal{L} , $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ in the compact form (12), we have the following result.

Corollary 3.3 *Let $T_{k,\beta}$ be the isometry above defined and let*

$$\mathcal{L} = y^{\alpha_1} \text{Tr} (QD_x^2) + 2y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2}} (q, \nabla_x D_y) + \gamma y^{\alpha_2} L_y + y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} - 1} (d, \nabla_x)$$

where $L_y = D_{yy} + \frac{c/\gamma}{y} D_y - \frac{b/\gamma}{y^2}$. Then

(i) For every $u \in W_{loc}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1})$ one has

$$T_{k,\beta}^{-1}(\mathcal{L})T_{k,\beta}u = y^{\tilde{\alpha}_1} \text{Tr} (QD_x^2u) + 2y^{\frac{\tilde{\alpha}_1 + \tilde{\alpha}_2}{2}} (\tilde{q}, \nabla_x D_y u) + y^{\tilde{\alpha}_2} \tilde{\gamma} \tilde{L}_y u + y^{\frac{\tilde{\alpha}_1 + \tilde{\alpha}_2}{2} - 1} (\tilde{d}, \nabla_x u)$$

$$\text{where } \tilde{L}_y = D_{yy} + \frac{\tilde{c}/\tilde{\gamma}}{y} D_y - \frac{\tilde{b}/\tilde{\gamma}}{y^2}.$$

(ii) The discriminant \tilde{D} and the parameters $\tilde{s}_{1,2}$ of \tilde{L}_y defined as in (8), (7) are related to those of L_y by

$$\tilde{D} = \frac{D}{(\beta + 1)^2}, \quad (15)$$

and

$$\tilde{s}_{1,2} = \frac{s_{1,2} + k}{\beta + 1} \quad (\beta + 1 > 0), \quad \tilde{s}_{1,2} = \frac{s_{2,1} + k}{\beta + 1} \quad (\beta + 1 < 0). \quad (16)$$

PROOF. The first claim is simply a reformulation of (i) of Proposition 3.2. (ii) then follows directly by (14) and Definitions (7), (8). \square

We define now for $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\beta \neq -1$ the following isometry of L_m^p

$$S_{\beta,\omega}u(x,y) := u(x + \omega y^{\beta+1}, y), \quad (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}. \quad (17)$$

Proposition 3.4 *Let $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\beta \neq -1$. Then for every $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $S_{\beta,\omega}$ is an isometry of L_m^p and for every $u \in W_{loc}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1})$ one has*

1. $y^\alpha S_{\beta,\omega}u = S_{\beta,\omega}(y^\alpha u)$, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$;

2. $D_{x_i x_j}(S_{\beta, \omega} u) = S_{\beta, \omega}(D_{x_i x_j} u)$, $D_{x_i}(S_{\beta, \omega} u) = S_{\beta, \omega}(D_{x_i} u)$;
3. $D_y S_{\beta, \omega} u = S_{\beta, \omega}\left((\beta + 1)y^\beta(\nabla_x u, \omega) + D_y u\right)$,
 $D_{yy}(S_{\beta, \omega} u) = S_{\beta, \omega}\left((\beta + 1)^2 y^{2\beta}(D_x^2 u \cdot \omega, \omega) + 2(\beta + 1)y^\beta(\nabla_x D_y u, \omega) + \beta(\beta + 1)y^{\beta-1}(\nabla_x u, \omega) + D_{yy} u\right)$;
4. $\nabla_x D_y S_{\beta, \omega} u = S_{\beta, \omega}\left((\beta + 1)y^\beta D_x^2 u \cdot \omega + \nabla_x D_y u\right)$.

PROOF. The proof follows after a straightforward computation. \square

Proposition 3.5 *Let $S_{\beta, \omega}$ be the isometry above defined and let \mathcal{L} the operator defined in (9) with $L_y = D_{yy} + \frac{c/\gamma}{y}D_y - \frac{b/\gamma}{y^2}$. Then for every $u \in W_{loc}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1})$ one has*

$$\begin{aligned}
& S_{\beta, \omega}^{-1}\left(y^{\alpha_1} \text{Tr} (QD_x^2) + 2y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2}}(q, \nabla_x D_y) + \gamma y^{\alpha_2} L_y + y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} - 1}(d, \nabla_x)\right) S_{\beta, \omega} u \\
&= y^{\alpha_1} \text{Tr} (QD_x^2 u) + 2(\beta + 1)y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\beta}{2}} \text{Tr} (q \otimes \omega D_x^2 u) + \gamma(\beta + 1)^2 y^{\alpha_2 + 2\beta} \text{Tr} (\omega \otimes \omega D_x^2 u) \\
&+ 2y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2}}(q, \nabla_x D_y u) + 2\gamma(\beta + 1)y^{\alpha_2 + \beta}(\omega, \nabla_x D_y u) \\
&+ \gamma y^{\alpha_2} D_{yy} u + c y^{\alpha_2 - 1} D_y u - b y^{\alpha_2 - 2} u \\
&+ (c + \gamma\beta)(\beta + 1)y^{\alpha_2 + \beta - 1}(\omega, \nabla_x u) + y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} - 1}(d, \nabla_x u).
\end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Using Proposition 3.1, one has

- $S_{\beta, \omega}^{-1}\left(y^{\alpha_1} \text{Tr} (QD_x^2 u)\right) S_{\beta, \omega} u = y^{\alpha_1} \text{Tr} (QD_x^2 u)$;
- $S_{\beta, \omega}^{-1}\left(2y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2}}(q, \nabla_x D_y)\right) S_{\beta, \omega} u = 2(\beta + 1)y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\beta}{2}} \text{Tr} (q \otimes \omega D_x^2 u) + 2y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2}}(q, \nabla_x D_y u)$;
- $S_{\beta, \omega}^{-1}\left(y^{\alpha_2} \gamma D_{yy}\right) S_{\beta, \omega} u = \gamma(\beta + 1)^2 y^{\alpha_2 + 2\beta} \text{Tr} (\omega \otimes \omega D_x^2 u) + 2\gamma(\beta + 1)y^{\alpha_2 + \beta}(\omega, \nabla_x D_y u) + \gamma y^{\alpha_2} D_{yy} u + \gamma\beta(\beta + 1)y^{\alpha_2 + \beta - 1}(\omega, \nabla_x u)$;
- $S_{\beta, \omega}^{-1}\left(c y^{\alpha_2 - 1} D_y\right) S_{\beta, \omega} u = c(\beta + 1)y^{\alpha_2 + \beta - 1}(\omega, \nabla_x u) + c y^{\alpha_2 - 1} D_y u$;
- $S_{\beta, \omega}^{-1}\left(y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} - 1}(d, \nabla_x)\right) S_{\beta, \omega} u = y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} - 1}(d, \nabla_x u)$.

. The required claim then follows after a straightforward computation. \square

When $\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 \neq 2$ we can specialize the previous relation by choosing $\beta = \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{2}$.

Corollary 3.6 *Let $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and let $S_{\beta, \omega}$ be the isometry defined in (17) with $\beta = \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{2} \neq -1$. Let \mathcal{L} the operator defined in (9) with $L_y = D_{yy} + \frac{c/\gamma}{y}D_y - \frac{b/\gamma}{y^2}$. Then one has*

$$\begin{aligned}
& S_{\beta, \omega}^{-1}\left(y^{\alpha_1} \text{Tr} (QD_x^2) + 2y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2}}(q, \nabla_x D_y) + \gamma y^{\alpha_2} L_y + y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} - 1}(d, \nabla_x)\right) S_{\beta, \omega} u \\
&= y^{\alpha_1} \text{Tr} (\tilde{Q}D_x^2 u) + 2y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2}}(\tilde{q}, \nabla_x D_y u) + \gamma y^{\alpha_2} L_y u + y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} - 1}(\tilde{d}, \nabla_x u)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{Q} &= Q + 2(\beta + 1)q \otimes \omega + \gamma(\beta + 1)^2 \omega \otimes \omega, & \tilde{q} &= q + \gamma(\beta + 1)\omega, \\ \tilde{d} &= d + (c + \gamma\beta)(\beta + 1)\omega\end{aligned}$$

PROOF. The proof follows by specializing Proposition 3.5 to $\beta = \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{2} \neq -1$. \square

4 Anisotropic weighted Sobolev spaces

Let $p > 1$, $m, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\alpha_2 < 2, \quad \alpha_2 - \alpha_1 < 2, \quad \alpha_1^- < \frac{m+1}{p}. \quad (18)$$

In order to describe the domain of the operator

$$\mathcal{L} = y^{\alpha_1} \text{Tr} \left(Q D_x^2 \right) + 2y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2}} (q, \nabla_x D_y) + \gamma y^{\alpha_2} L_y + y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} - 1} (d, \nabla_x) \quad (19)$$

we collect in this section the results we need about suitable anisotropic weighted Sobolev spaces.

The main novelty is Section 4.2 where we introduce the Sobolev space $W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$ having oblique derivative boundary condition: here, in order to improve readability and although not essential, we treat separately the cases $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ and $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$.

Besides this we also briefly recall the main properties about the spaces $W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$ and $W_{\mathcal{R}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$ having respectively Neumann and Dirichlet boundary conditions, referring to [24] for further details and all the relative proofs (also outside the above range of parameters (18)).

We also clarify, in Propositions 4.17 and 4.20, the relation between the three spaces $W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$, $W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$ and $W_{\mathcal{R}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$.

4.1 The space $W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$

We start by defining the Sobolev space

$$W^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ u \in W_{loc}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}) : u, y^{\alpha_1} D_{x_i x_j} u, y^{\frac{\alpha_1}{2}} D_{x_i} u, \right. \\ \left. y^{\alpha_2} D_{yy} u, y^{\frac{\alpha_2}{2}} D_y u, y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2}} D_y \nabla_x u \in L_m^p \right\}$$

which is a Banach space equipped with the norm

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|u\|_{L_m^p} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \|y^{\alpha_1} D_{x_i x_j} u\|_{L_m^p} + \sum_{i=1}^n \|y^{\frac{\alpha_1}{2}} D_{x_i} u\|_{L_m^p} \\ &\quad + \|y^{\alpha_2} D_{yy} u\|_{L_m^p} + \|y^{\frac{\alpha_2}{2}} D_y u\|_{L_m^p} + \|y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2}} D_y \nabla_x u\|_{L_m^p}.\end{aligned}$$

Next we add different boundary conditions for $y = 0$.

We add a Neumann boundary condition for $y = 0$ in the form $y^{\alpha_2 - 1} D_y u \in L_m^p$ and set

$$W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{u \in W^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) : y^{\alpha_2 - 1} D_y u \in L_m^p\}$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)} + \|y^{\alpha_2 - 1} D_y u\|_{L_m^p}.$$

Remark 4.1 We remark that, in the range of parameters (18), the condition on the mixed derivatives in the definition of $W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$ can be discarded, without any loss of generality, since by [24, Proposition 4.1] and [27, Theorem 7.1] one has for every $u \in W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$

$$\begin{aligned} \|y^{\frac{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}{2}} D_y \nabla_x u\|_{L_m^p} \leq C & \left[\|u\|_{L_m^p} + \|y^{\alpha_1} D_x^2 u\|_{L_m^p} + \|y^{\frac{\alpha_1}{2}} \nabla_x u\|_{L_m^p} \right. \\ & \left. + \|y^{\alpha_2} D_{yy} u\|_{L_m^p} + \|y^{\frac{\alpha_2}{2}} D_y u\|_{L_m^p} + \|y^{\alpha_2-1} D_y u\|_{L_m^p} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.2 With obvious changes we consider also the analogous Sobolev spaces $W^{2,p}(\alpha, m)$ and $W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha, m)$ on \mathbb{R}_+ . For example we have

$$W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha, m) = \left\{ u \in W_{loc}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}_+) : u, y^\alpha D_{yy} u, y^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} D_y u, y^{\alpha-1} D_y u \in L_m^p \right\}.$$

All the results of this section will be valid also in \mathbb{R}_+ changing (when it appears) the condition $\alpha_1^- < \frac{m+1}{p}$ to $0 < \frac{m+1}{p}$.

The next result clarifies in which sense the condition $y^{\alpha_2-1} D_y u \in L_m^p$ is a Neumann boundary condition.

Proposition 4.3 [24, Proposition 4.3] *The following assertions hold.*

- (i) If $\frac{m+1}{p} > 1 - \alpha_2$, then $W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) = W^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$.
- (ii) If $\frac{m+1}{p} < 1 - \alpha_2$, then

$$W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) = \left\{ u \in W^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) : \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} D_y u(x, y) = 0 \text{ for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \right\}.$$

In both cases (i) and (ii), the norm of $W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$ is equivalent to that of $W^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$.

The next results show the density of smooth functions in $W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$. Let

$$\mathcal{C} := \left\{ u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, \infty)) , D_y u(x, y) = 0 \text{ for } y \leq \delta \text{ and some } \delta > 0 \right\}, \quad (20)$$

its one dimensional version

$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ u \in C_c^\infty([0, \infty)) , D_y u(y) = 0 \text{ for } y \leq \delta \text{ and some } \delta > 0 \right\} \quad (21)$$

and finally (finite sums below)

$$C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \otimes \mathcal{D} = \left\{ u(x, y) = \sum_i u_i(x) v_i(y), u_i \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N), v_i \in \mathcal{D} \right\} \subset \mathcal{C}.$$

Theorem 4.4 [24, Theorem 4.9] $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \otimes \mathcal{D}$ is dense in $W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$.

Note that the condition $(m+1)/p > \alpha_1^-$, or $m+1 > 0$ and $(m+1)/p + \alpha_1 > 0$, is necessary for the inclusion $\mathcal{C} \subset W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$.

We provide an equivalent description of $W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$, adapted to the degenerate operator $B_y = D_{yy} + cy^{-1} D_y$. In the first formulation we shows that the Neumann boundary condition in the integral form $y^{\alpha_2-1} D_y u \in L_m^p$ is actually equivalent to the trace condition $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^c D_y u = 0$.

Proposition 4.5 [24, Proposition 4.6] *Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\frac{m+1}{p} < c + 1 - \alpha_2$. Then setting $B_y = D_{yy} + cy^{-1}D_y$ one has*

$$W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) = \left\{ u \in W_{loc}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}) : u, y^{\alpha_1} \Delta_x u, y^{\alpha_2} B_y u \in L_m^p \text{ and } \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^c D_y u = 0 \right\}$$

and the norms $\|u\|_{W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)}$ and

$$\|u\|_{L_m^p} + \|y^{\alpha_1} \Delta_x u\|_{L_m^p} + \|y^{\alpha_2} B_y u\|_{L_m^p}$$

are equivalent on $W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$. Finally, when $0 < \frac{m+1}{p} \leq c - 1$ then

$$W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) = \left\{ u \in W_{loc}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}) : u, y^{\alpha_1} \Delta_x u, y^{\alpha_2} B_y u \in L_m^p \right\}.$$

The following equivalent description of $W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$ involves a Dirichlet, rather than Neumann, boundary condition, in a certain range of parameters.

Proposition 4.6 [24, Proposition 4.7] *Let $c \geq 1$ and $\frac{m+1}{p} < c + 1 - \alpha_2$. The following properties hold.*

(i) *If $c > 1$ then*

$$W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) = \left\{ u \in W_{loc}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}) : u, y^{\alpha_1} \Delta_x u, y^{\alpha_2} B_y u \in L_m^p \text{ and } \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^{c-1} u = 0 \right\}.$$

(ii) *If $c = 1$ then*

$$W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) = \left\{ u \in W_{loc}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}) : u, y^{\alpha_1} \Delta_x u, y^{\alpha_2} B_y u \in L_m^p \text{ and } \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} u(x, y) \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

4.2 The space $W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$

Let $v = (d, c) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, with $d \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $c \neq 0$. We impose now a weighted oblique derivative boundary condition $\left(y^{\frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{2}} d \cdot \nabla_x u + c D_y u \right) (x, 0) = 0$ in the integral form (see Proposition 4.15)

$$y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} - 1} d \cdot \nabla_x u + c y^{\alpha_2 - 1} D_y u \in L_m^p. \quad (22)$$

For reader's convenience, although not necessary, we treat separately the simpler case $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ and the case $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$, where some complications occur due to the different weights y^{α_1} , y^{α_2} which appear in the x and y directions.

4.2.1 The case $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$: the space $W_v^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m)$

We start by the case $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 := \alpha$ where the condition above reads as

$$y^{\alpha-1} v \cdot \nabla u = y^{\alpha-1} (d \cdot \nabla_x u + c D_y u) \in L_m^p.$$

We define accordingly

$$W_v^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m) \stackrel{def}{=} \{ u \in W^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m) : y^{\alpha-1} v \cdot \nabla u \in L_m^p \} \quad (23)$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W_v^{2,p}(\alpha,\alpha,m)} \stackrel{def}{=} \|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\alpha,\alpha,m)} + \|y^{\alpha-1}v \cdot \nabla u\|_{L_m^p}.$$

In particular, when $d = 0$, one has $W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m) = W_{(0,c)}^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m)$. This justify the following definition.

Definition 4.7 *To shorten some statements we also write*

$$W_{(0,0)}^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m) \stackrel{def}{=} W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m).$$

With this notation $W_v^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m)$ is well defined for any $v = (d, c) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ such that $d = 0$ if $c = 0$. Note that

$$W_v^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m) = W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m) \quad \text{when } c = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad d = 0.$$

Under this identification, we also define

$$\mathcal{C}_v := \{u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, \infty)), (v \cdot \nabla u)(x, y) = 0 \text{ for } y \leq \delta \text{ and some } \delta > 0\} \quad (24)$$

with the convention that $\mathcal{C}_v := \mathcal{C}$ when $v = (0, 0)$ and \mathcal{C} is the set defined in (20).

In what follows we clarify the relation between the spaces $W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m)$ and $W_v^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m)$. The next Proposition shows that $W_v^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m)$ is related to $W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m)$ by means of the isometry $S_{0,\omega}$ of L_m^p defined in (17) with $\beta = 0$ and $\omega = -\frac{d}{c}$, namely

$$S_{0,-\frac{d}{c}} u(x, y) := u\left(x - \frac{d}{c}y, y\right), \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}.$$

Proposition 4.8 *One has*

$$S_{0,-\frac{d}{c}} \left(W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m) \right) = W_v^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m) \quad (25)$$

In particular the set \mathcal{C}_v defined in (24) is dense in $W_v^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m)$.

PROOF. Let $u \in W_{loc}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1})$ and let us set $\tilde{u} = S_{0,-\frac{d}{c}}u$. Then by Proposition 3.4 one has

1. $y^\alpha D_{x_i x_j} \tilde{u} = S_{0,-\frac{d}{c}}(y^\alpha D_{x_i x_j} u), \quad y^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} D_{x_i} \tilde{u} = S_{0,-\frac{d}{c}}(y^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} D_{x_i} u),$
 $y^{\alpha-1} D_{x_i} \tilde{u} = S_{0,-\frac{d}{c}}(y^{\alpha-1} D_{x_i} u);$
2. $y^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} D_y \tilde{u} = S_{0,-\frac{d}{c}}\left(-\frac{1}{c}y^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\nabla_x u, d) + y^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} D_y u\right),$
 $y^{\alpha-1} D_y \tilde{u} = S_{0,-\frac{d}{c}}\left(-\frac{1}{c}y^{\alpha-1}(\nabla_x u, d) + y^{\alpha-1} D_y u\right);$
3. $y^{\alpha-2} D_{yy} \tilde{u} = S_{0,-\frac{d}{c}}\left(\frac{1}{c^2}y^\alpha(D_x^2 u \cdot d, d) - \frac{1}{c}2y^\alpha(\nabla_x D_y u, d) + y^\alpha D_{yy} u\right)$
4. $y^\alpha \nabla_x D_y \tilde{u} = S_{0,-\frac{d}{c}}\left(-\frac{1}{c}y^\alpha D_x^2 u \cdot d + y^\alpha \nabla_x D_y u\right).$

In particular

$$y^{\alpha-1}d \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{u} + cy^{\alpha-1}D_y \tilde{u} = S_{0,-\frac{d}{c}}\left(cy^{\alpha-1}D_y u\right).$$

The above relations shows that $\tilde{u} \in W_v^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m)$ if and only if $u \in W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m)$. This proves the required claim. The last claim follows by the density of \mathcal{C} in $W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m)$ since $\mathcal{C}_v = S_{0,-\frac{d}{c}}(\mathcal{C})$. \square

As in Propositions 4.5 and 4.6 we can provide an equivalent description of $W_v^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m)$, adapted to the degenerate operator $D_{yy} + y^{-1}v \cdot \nabla$. In the first formulation we shows that the oblique boundary condition in the integral form $y^{\alpha-1}v \cdot \nabla u \in L_m^p$ is actually equivalent to the trace condition $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^c v \cdot \nabla u = 0$.

Proposition 4.9 *Let $\frac{m+1}{p} < c + 1 - \alpha$. Then one has*

$$W_v^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m) = \left\{ u \in W_{loc}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}) : u, y^\alpha \Delta_x u \in L_m^p \right. \\ \left. y^\alpha D_{yy} u + y^{\alpha-1} v \cdot \nabla u \in L_m^p \text{ and } \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^c v \cdot \nabla u = 0 \right\}$$

and the norms $\|u\|_{W_v^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m)}$ and

$$\|u\|_{L_m^p} + \|y^\alpha \Delta_x u\|_{L_m^p} + \|y^\alpha D_{yy} u + y^{\alpha-1} v \cdot \nabla u\|_{L_m^p}$$

are equivalent on $W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$. Finally, when $0 < \frac{m+1}{p} \leq c - 1$ then

$$W_v^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m) = \left\{ u \in W_{loc}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}) : u, y^\alpha \Delta_x u, y^\alpha D_{yy} u + y^{\alpha-1} v \cdot \nabla u \in L_m^p \right\}.$$

PROOF. Let $\tilde{u} \in W_v^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m)$. By Proposition 4.8, $\tilde{u} = S_{0, -\frac{d}{c}} u$ where $u \in W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m)$. We observe that by Proposition 4.5, u is characterized by

$$u, y^\alpha \Delta_x u, y^\alpha D_{yy} u + cy^{\alpha-1} D_y u \in L_m^p \text{ and } \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^c D_y u = 0. \quad (26)$$

We remark preliminarily that the Calderon-Zygmund inequality (see e.g. [17, Theorem 2, Chapter 4, Section 1]) yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |D_{x_i x_j} u(x, y)|^p dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta_x u(x, y)|^p dx.$$

Multiplying by $y^{p\alpha+m}$ and integrating over \mathbb{R}_+ we obtain

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \|y^\alpha D_{x_i x_j} u\|_{L_m^p} \leq C \|y^\alpha \Delta_x u\|_{L_m^p} \quad (27)$$

and the same relation obviously holds for \tilde{u} . Moreover by Proposition 4.5 again we also obtain

$$\|y^\alpha \nabla_x D_y u\|_{L_m^p} \leq C \left[\|u\|_{L_m^p} + \|y^\alpha \Delta_x u\|_{L_m^p} + \|y^\alpha D_{yy} u + cy^{\alpha-1} D_y u\|_{L_m^p} \right]. \quad (28)$$

By Proposition 3.4 and Corollary 3.6 with $\beta = 0$ and $\omega = -\frac{d}{c}$ we have

1. $y^\alpha D_{x_i x_j} \tilde{u} = S_{0, -\frac{d}{c}} (y^\alpha D_{x_i x_j} u)$;
2. $y^{\alpha-1} v \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} = S_{0, -\frac{d}{c}} (cy^{\alpha-1} D_y u)$;
3. $y^\alpha D_{yy} \tilde{u} + y^{\alpha-1} v \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} = S_{0, -\frac{d}{c}} \left(\frac{1}{c^2} y^\alpha (D_x^2 u \cdot d, d) - \frac{2}{c} y^\alpha (d, \nabla_x D_y u) + y^\alpha D_{yy} u + cy^{\alpha-1} D_y u \right)$.

The above relations and (27), (28), then shows that the requirements in (26) are equivalent to

$$\tilde{u}, y^\alpha \Delta_x \tilde{u}, y^\alpha D_{yy} \tilde{u} + y^{\alpha-1} v \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} \in L_m^p \text{ and } \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^c v \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} = 0$$

which proves the first required claim. The claim for $0 < \frac{m+1}{p} \leq c - 1$ follows similarly. \square

The following proposition involves a Dirichlet, rather than an oblique, boundary condition, in a certain range of parameters.

Proposition 4.10 *Let $c \geq 1$ and $\frac{m+1}{p} < c + 1 - \alpha$. The following properties hold.*

(i) *If $c > 1$ then*

$$W_v^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m) = \left\{ u \in W_{loc}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}) : u, y^\alpha \Delta_x u \in L_m^p \right. \\ \left. y^\alpha D_{yy} u + y^{\alpha-1} v \cdot \nabla u \in L_m^p \text{ and } \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^{c-1} u = 0 \right\}$$

(ii) *If $c = 1$ then*

$$W_v^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m) = \left\{ u \in W_{loc}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}) : u, y^\alpha \Delta_x u \in L_m^p \right. \\ \left. y^\alpha D_{yy} u + y^{\alpha-1} v \cdot \nabla u \in L_m^p \text{ and } \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^{c-1} u \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

PROOF. The proof follows as in Proposition 4.9 by using Proposition 4.6 in place of Proposition 4.8. \square

4.2.2 The general case: the space $W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$

We now extend the previous definition also to the case $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$. In contrast to the case $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, a distortion correction defined by the coefficient

$$\beta_\alpha \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{2}$$

appears in the definition of $W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$. This is due to the possibly different weights y^{α_1} , y^{α_2} which appear in the x and y directions. It does not appear, obviously, in the case $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, where $\beta_\alpha = 0$, but also when $d = 0$ (see Remark 4.11). This correction is essential for the validity of equalities (32) and (36) and for characterizing, in Section 7, the domain of the degenerate operator \mathcal{L} defined in (19).

We start by defining

$$F^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) = \left\{ u \in W_{loc}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}) : u, y^{\alpha_1} D_{x_i x_j} u, y^{\frac{\alpha_1}{2}} D_{x_i} u, y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2}} D_y \nabla_x u, \right. \\ \left. y^{\alpha_2} \left(D_{yy} u + \beta_\alpha \frac{D_y u}{y} \right), y^{\frac{\alpha_2}{2}} D_y u \in L_m^p \right\}$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{F^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)} = \|u\|_{L_m^p} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \|y^{\alpha_1} D_{x_i x_j} u\|_{L_m^p} + \sum_{i=1}^n \|y^{\frac{\alpha_1}{2}} D_{x_i} u\|_{L_m^p} + \|y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2}} D_y \nabla_x u\|_{L_m^p} \\ + \left\| y^{\alpha_2} \left(D_{yy} u + \beta_\alpha \frac{D_y u}{y} \right) \right\|_{L_m^p} + \|y^{\frac{\alpha_2}{2}} D_y u\|_{L_m^p}.$$

Next we impose the weighted oblique derivative boundary condition

$$(y^{\beta\alpha} d \cdot \nabla_x u + c D_y u)(x, 0) = 0$$

(see Proposition 4.15) in the integral form

$$y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} - 1} d \cdot \nabla_x u + c y^{\alpha_2 - 1} D_y u = y^{\alpha_2 - 1} (y^{\beta\alpha} d \cdot \nabla_x u + c y^{\alpha_2 - 1} D_y u) \in L_m^p. \quad (29)$$

Accordingly we define

$$W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{u \in F^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) : y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} - 1} d \cdot \nabla_x u + c y^{\alpha_2 - 1} D_y u \in L_m^p\}$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|u\|_{F^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)} + \|y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} - 1} d \cdot \nabla_x u + c y^{\alpha_2 - 1} D_y u\|_{L_m^p}.$$

Remark 4.11

- (i) The difference between the spaces $W^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$ and $F^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$ relies on the requirement $y^{\alpha_2} (D_{yy}u + \beta_\alpha \frac{D_y u}{y}) \in L_m^p$ which, in the definitions of $F^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$ and of $W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$, cannot be split into

$$y^{\alpha_2} D_{yy}u, y^{\alpha_2 - 1} D_y u \in L_m^p$$

as in the definition of $W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$.

- (ii) Both the requirements

$$y^{\alpha_2} \left(D_{yy}u + \beta_\alpha \frac{D_y u}{y} \right) \in L_m^p, \quad y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} - 1} d \cdot \nabla_x u + c y^{\alpha_2 - 1} D_y u \in L_m^p \quad (30)$$

in the definition of $W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$ are essential for the validity of Propositions 4.13 and 4.18 (see Remark 4.19).

- (iii) The hypotheses $y^{\alpha_2} (D_{yy}u + \beta_\alpha \frac{D_y u}{y}) \in L_m^p$ is equivalent to

$$y^{\alpha_2} D_{yy}u - \frac{\beta_\alpha}{c} y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} - 1} d \cdot \nabla_x u \in L_m^p.$$

This follows by combining linearly the two conditions in (30). In particular, when $d = 0$, one has in any case

$$W_{(0,c)}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) = W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m), \quad \text{for any } c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- (iv) The boundary condition (22) is defined up to a normalization constant i.e.

$$W_{\mu v}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) = W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m), \quad \forall \mu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Note that in the special case $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ the above definition is consistent with the one given in Subsection 4.2.1. Moreover Remark 4.11 (iii) justifies the following definition.

Definition 4.12 To shorten some statements we also write

$$W_{(0,0)}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m).$$

With this notation $W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$ is well defined for any $v = (d, c) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ such that $d = 0$ if $c = 0$. Note that

$$W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) = W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m), \quad \text{when } c = 0 \text{ or } d = 0.$$

Under this identification, we also define

$$\mathcal{C}_v := \left\{ u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, \infty)), (y^{\beta_\alpha} d \cdot \nabla_x u + c D_y u)(x, y) = 0 \text{ for } y \leq \delta \text{ and some } \delta > 0 \right\} \quad (31)$$

with the convention that $\mathcal{C}_v := \mathcal{C}$ when $v = (0, 0)$ and \mathcal{C} is the set defined in (20).

As before we clarify the relation between the spaces $W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_1, m)$ and $W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$ by generalizing Proposition 4.8. $W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$ is related to $W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$ by means of the isometry $S_{\beta_\alpha, \omega}$ of L_m^p defined in (17) with

$$\beta_\alpha = \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{2} \neq -1, \quad \omega = -\frac{d}{c(\beta_\alpha + 1)},$$

namely

$$S_{\beta_\alpha, \omega} u(x, y) := u(x - \omega y^{\beta_\alpha + 1}, y), \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}.$$

Proposition 4.13 Let $\beta_\alpha = \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{2} \neq -1$ and $\omega = -\frac{d}{c(\beta_\alpha + 1)}$. Then one has

$$S_{\beta_\alpha, \omega} \left(W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) \right) = W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) \quad (32)$$

In particular the set \mathcal{C}_v defined in (31) is dense in $W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$.

PROOF. Let $u \in W_{loc}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1})$ and let us set $\tilde{u} = S_{\beta_\alpha, \omega} u$. Then by Proposition 3.4 one has

1. $y^{\alpha_1} D_{x_i x_j} \tilde{u} = S_{\beta_\alpha, \omega} (y^{\alpha_2} D_{x_i x_j} u)$, $y^{\frac{\alpha_1}{2}} D_{x_i} \tilde{u} = S_{\beta_\alpha, \omega} \left(y^{\frac{\alpha_1}{2}} D_{x_i} u \right)$;
2. $y^{\frac{\alpha_2}{2}} D_y \tilde{u} = S_{\beta_\alpha, \omega} \left((\beta_\alpha + 1) y^{\frac{\alpha_1}{2}} (\nabla_x u, \omega) + y^{\frac{\alpha_2}{2}} D_y u \right)$,
 $y^{\alpha_2 - 1} D_y \tilde{u} = S_{\beta_\alpha, \omega} \left((\beta_\alpha + 1) y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} - 1} (\nabla_x u, \omega) + y^{\alpha_2 - 1} D_y u \right)$;
3. $y^{\alpha_2} D_{y y} \tilde{u} = S_{\beta_\alpha, \omega} \left((\beta_\alpha + 1)^2 y^{\alpha_1} (D_x^2 u \cdot \omega, \omega) + 2(\beta_\alpha + 1) y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2}} (\nabla_x D_y u, \omega) \right.$
 $\left. + \beta_\alpha (\beta_\alpha + 1) y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} - 1} (\nabla_x u, \omega) + y^{\alpha_2} D_{y y} u \right)$;
4. $y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2}} \nabla_x D_y \tilde{u} = S_{\beta_\alpha, \omega} \left((\beta_\alpha + 1) y^{\alpha_1} D_x^2 u \cdot \omega + y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2}} \nabla_x D_y u \right)$;
5. $y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} - 1} d \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{u} + c y^{\alpha_2 - 1} D_y \tilde{u} = S_{\beta_\alpha, \omega} \left(c y^{\alpha_2 - 1} D_y u \right)$.

The above relations shows that $\tilde{u} \in W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$ if and only if $u \in W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$. This proves the required claim. The last claim follows by the density of \mathcal{C} in $W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$ since $\mathcal{C}_v = S_{\beta_\alpha, \omega}(\mathcal{C})$. □

Remark 4.14 Recalling Remark 4.11 (iv), equality (32) can be written equivalently as

$$S_{\beta_\alpha, \omega} \left(W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) \right) = W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m), \quad v = (-\omega(\beta_\alpha + 1), 1) \quad (33)$$

which is valid for any $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

As in Propositions 4.9 and 4.10 we provide an equivalent description of $W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$, adapted to the degenerate operator

$$\mathcal{A} := y^{\alpha_2} D_{yy} + y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} - 1} \tilde{d} \cdot \nabla_x + \mu y^{\alpha_2 - 1} D_y, \quad \tilde{d} = \frac{\mu + \beta_\alpha}{c} d. \quad (34)$$

Proposition 4.15 Let $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\frac{m+1}{p} < \mu + 1 - \alpha_2$ and \mathcal{A} be the operator in (34). Then one has

$$W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) = \left\{ u \in W_{loc}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}) : u, y^{\alpha_1} \Delta_x u, \mathcal{A}u \in L_m^p \right. \\ \left. \text{and } \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^\mu (y^{\beta_\alpha} d \cdot \nabla_x u + c D_y u) = 0 \right\}$$

and the norms $\|u\|_{W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)}$ and

$$\|u\|_{L_m^p} + \|y^{\alpha_1} \Delta_x u\|_{L_m^p} + \|\mathcal{A}u\|_{L_m^p}$$

are equivalent on $W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$. Finally, when $0 < \frac{m+1}{p} \leq \mu - 1$ then

$$W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) = \left\{ u \in W_{loc}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}) : u, y^{\alpha_1} \Delta_x u, \mathcal{A}u \in L_m^p \right\}.$$

PROOF. Let us suppose, preliminarily, $\mu = c$. The proof, in this case, follows as in Proposition 4.9 by using the isometry $S_{\beta_\alpha, \omega}$ in place of $S_{0, -\frac{d}{c}}$. The claim for a general $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ follows, recalling Remark 4.11 (iv), by writing

$$W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) = W_{\left(\frac{\mu}{c}d, \mu\right)}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$$

and by using the previous step with $\left(\frac{\mu}{c}d, \mu\right)$ in place of v . \square

Proposition 4.16 Let $\mu \geq 1$, $\frac{m+1}{p} < \mu + 1 - \alpha_2$ and \mathcal{A} be the operator in (34). The following properties hold.

(i) If $\mu > 1$ then

$$W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) = \left\{ u \in W_{loc}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}) : u, y^{\alpha_1} \Delta_x u, \mathcal{A}u \in L_m^p \text{ and } \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^{\mu-1} u = 0 \right\}$$

(ii) If $\mu = 1$ then

$$W_v^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m) = \left\{ u \in W_{loc}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}) : u, y^{\alpha_1} \Delta_x u, \mathcal{A}u \in L_m^p \text{ and } \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^{\mu-1} u \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

PROOF. The proof follows as in Propositions 4.10 and 4.15. \square

The next Proposition shows that in a certain range of parameters the spaces $W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$ and $W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$ coincide.

Proposition 4.17 *If $\frac{m+1}{p} > 1 - \frac{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}{2}$ then $W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) = W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$ and one has*

$$\|y^{\frac{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}{2}-1} \nabla_x u\|_{L_m^p} \leq C \|y^{\frac{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}{2}} D_y \nabla_x u\|_{L_m^p}, \quad \forall u \in W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m).$$

PROOF. Let $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, \infty))$. By applying [24, Proposition 3.2 (iii)] to $\nabla_x u$ we get

$$\|y^{\frac{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}{2}-1} \nabla_x u\|_{L_m^p} \leq C \|y^{\frac{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}{2}} D_y \nabla_x u\|_{L_m^p}.$$

Since by Theorem 4.4 and Proposition 4.13, the set $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, \infty))$ is dense in both $W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$ and $W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$, the above inequality extends to both spaces. This shows in particular that for function u belonging to $W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$ or $W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$, so that in particular $y^{\frac{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}{2}} D_y \nabla_x u \in L_m^p$, one has

$$y^{\frac{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}{2}-1} d \cdot \nabla_x u + c y^{\alpha_2-1} D_y u \in L_m^p \iff y^{\alpha_2-1} D_y u \in L_m^p.$$

This, recalling (iii) in Remark 4.11, proves the required claim. \square

We end the section by showing how the spaces $W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$, $W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$, introduced so far, transform under the action of the map (13) with $k = 0$, $\beta \neq -1$, namely

$$T_{0,\beta} u(x, y) := |\beta + 1|^{\frac{1}{p}} u(x, y^{\beta+1}), \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}. \quad (35)$$

Observe that

$$T_{0,\beta}^{-1} = T_{0, -\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}}.$$

Proposition 4.18 *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta \neq -1$ and $m \in \mathbb{R}$. The following properties hold.*

(i) $T_{0,\beta}$ maps isometrically L_m^p onto $L_{\tilde{m}}^p$ where $\tilde{m} = \frac{m-\beta}{\beta+1}$.

(ii) Setting $\tilde{\alpha}_1 = \frac{\alpha_1}{\beta+1}$, $\tilde{\alpha}_2 = \frac{\alpha_2+2\beta}{\beta+1}$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) &= T_{0,\beta} \left(W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\tilde{\alpha}_1, \tilde{\alpha}_2, \tilde{m}) \right), \\ W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) &= T_{0,\beta} \left(W_{\tilde{v}}^{2,p}(\tilde{\alpha}_1, \tilde{\alpha}_2, \tilde{m}) \right), \quad \tilde{v} = (d, c(\beta+1)). \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

In particular choosing $\beta = \beta_\alpha = \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{2}$ and setting $\tilde{\alpha} = \frac{2\alpha_1}{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + 2}$ and $m = \frac{2m - \alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + 2}$ one has

$$W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) = T_{0,\beta_\alpha} \left(W_{\tilde{v}}^{2,p}(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{m}) \right), \quad \tilde{v} = (d, c(\beta_\alpha + 1)).$$

PROOF. (i) and the first equality in (ii) follow by a straightforward application of Proposition 3.1 with $k = 0$ as in [24, Proposition 2.2]. To prove the second equality in (ii) we set $\tilde{v} = (d, \tilde{c}) := (d, c(\beta+1))$ and

$$\gamma = \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{2}, \quad \omega = -\frac{d}{c(\gamma+1)}, \quad \tilde{\gamma} = \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_1 - \tilde{\alpha}_2}{2}, \quad \tilde{\omega} = -\frac{d}{\tilde{c}(\tilde{\gamma}+1)}.$$

and we observe preliminarily that, by construction, one has

$$\tilde{\gamma} + 1 = \frac{\gamma + 1}{\beta + 1}, \quad \tilde{\omega} = \omega.$$

Then, recalling the definitions of $S_{\gamma,\omega}$ and $T_{0,\beta}$, a straightforward calculation yields

$$S_{\gamma,\omega} \circ T_{\beta} = T_{\beta} \circ S_{\tilde{\gamma},\tilde{\omega}}.$$

Indeed the latter equality follows by the previous relations on the coefficients after observing that

$$S_{\gamma,\omega}(T_{\beta}u)(x, y) = (T_{\beta}u)(x + \omega y^{\gamma+1}, y) = |\beta + 1|^{\frac{1}{p}} u(x + \omega y^{\gamma+1}, y^{\beta+1})$$

and

$$T_{\beta}(S_{\tilde{\gamma},\tilde{\omega}}u)(x, y) = |\beta + 1|^{\frac{1}{p}} (S_{\tilde{\gamma},\tilde{\omega}}u)(x, y^{\beta+1}) = |\beta + 1|^{\frac{1}{p}} u(x + \tilde{\omega} y^{(\tilde{\gamma}+1)(\beta+1)}, y^{\beta+1}).$$

Then using the first equality in (ii) and Proposition 4.13, one has

$$\begin{aligned} W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) &= S_{\gamma,\omega} \left(W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) \right) = S_{\gamma,\omega} \left(T_{0,\beta} \left(W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\tilde{\alpha}_1, \tilde{\alpha}_2, \tilde{m}) \right) \right) \\ &= T_{0,\beta} \left(S_{\tilde{\gamma},\tilde{\omega}} \left(W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\tilde{\alpha}_1, \tilde{\alpha}_2, \tilde{m}) \right) \right) = T_{0,\beta} \left(W_v^{2,p}(\tilde{\alpha}_1, \tilde{\alpha}_2, \tilde{m}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

This proved the required claim. \square

Remark 4.19 *It is essential to deal with $W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$, $W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$: in general the map $T_{0,\beta}$ does not transform $W^{2,p}(\tilde{\alpha}_1, \tilde{\alpha}_2, \tilde{m})$ into $W^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$ since by (ii)-3 of Proposition 3.1 one has*

$$y^{\alpha_2} D_{yy}(T_{\beta}u) = (\beta + 1)T_{\beta} \left((\beta + 1)y^{\tilde{\alpha}_2} D_{yy}u + \beta y^{\tilde{\alpha}_2-1} D_y u \right).$$

4.3 The space $W_{\mathcal{R}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$

We consider also an integral version of the Dirichlet boundary condition, namely a weighted summability requirement for $y^{-2}u$ and introduce

$$W_{\mathcal{R}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) \stackrel{def}{=} \{u \in W^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) : y^{\alpha_2-2}u \in L_m^p\}$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W_{\mathcal{R}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)} \stackrel{def}{=} \|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)} + \|y^{\alpha_2-2}u\|_{L_m^p}.$$

The symbol \mathcal{R} stands for "Rellich", since Rellich inequalities concern with the summability of $y^{-2}u$.

Proposition 4.20 *The following properties hold.*

(i) *For any $u \in W_{\mathcal{R}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$ one has*

$$\begin{aligned} \|y^{\alpha_2-1} D_y u\|_{L_m^p} &\leq C \left(\|y^{\alpha_2} D_{yy} u\|_{L_m^p} + \|y^{\alpha_2-2} u\|_{L_m^p} \right), \\ \|y^{\frac{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}{2}-1} \nabla_x u\|_{L_m^p} &\leq C \left(\|y^{\alpha_1} D_{xx} u\|_{L_m^p} + \|y^{\alpha_2-2} u\|_{L_m^p} \right) \end{aligned}$$

In particular $W_{\mathcal{R}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) \subseteq W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) \cap W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$.

(ii) $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1})$ is dense in $W_{\mathcal{R}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$.

(iii) If $\frac{m+1}{p} > 2 - \alpha_2$, then

$$W_{\mathcal{R}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) = W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) = W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) = W^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$$

with equivalence of the corresponding norms.

PROOF. The proof follows by [24, Proposition 5.1]. We need only to prove the second inequality in (i). With this aim let $u \in W_{\mathcal{R}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$. We use the classic interpolative inequality

$$\|\nabla_x u(\cdot, y)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \varepsilon \|\Delta_x u(\cdot, y)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \frac{C(N, p)}{\varepsilon} \|u(\cdot, y)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

Multiplying the above inequality by $y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} - 1}$ and choosing $\varepsilon = y^{1 - \frac{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}{2}}$ we get

$$\|y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} - 1} \nabla_x u(\cdot, y)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \|y^{\alpha_1} \Delta_x u(\cdot, y)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} + C(N, p) \|y^{\alpha_2 - 2} u(\cdot, y)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

The required estimate then follows after raising to the power p and integrating in y . We remark that in (iii), under the range of parameters (18), we have $\frac{m+1}{p} > 2 - \alpha_2 > 1 - \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2}$ and then, by Proposition 4.17, $W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) = W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$. \square

Finally, we investigate the action of the isometry $T_{k,\beta}$ defined in (13). We start with the case $k = 0$.

Proposition 4.21 *Let $T_{0,\beta}$ the map defined in (35). Then*

$$W_{\mathcal{R}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) = T_{0,\beta} \left(W_{\mathcal{R}}^{2,p}(\tilde{\alpha}_1, \tilde{\alpha}_2, \tilde{m}) \right)$$

where $\tilde{m} = \frac{m-\beta}{\beta+1}$, $\tilde{\alpha}_1 = \frac{\alpha_1}{\beta+1}$, $\tilde{\alpha}_2 = \frac{\alpha_2+2\beta}{\beta+1}$. In particular choosing $\beta = \beta_\alpha = \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{2}$ one has

$$W_{\mathcal{R}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) = T_{0,\beta_\alpha} \left(W_{\mathcal{R}}^{2,p}(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{m}) \right), \quad m = \frac{2m - \alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + 2}, \quad \tilde{\alpha} = \frac{2\alpha_1}{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + 2}.$$

PROOF. The claim follows from Proposition 4.18 since by Proposition 4.20 one has

$$W_{\mathcal{R}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) = W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) \cap \{u \in L_m^p : y^{\alpha_2 - 2} u \in L_m^p\}$$

and noticing that $y^{\alpha_2 - 2} u \in L_m^p$ if and only if $y^{\tilde{\alpha}_2 - 2} u \in L_{\tilde{m}}^p$. \square

We consider now the multiplication operator $T_{k,0} : u \mapsto y^k u$.

Proposition 4.22 [24, Lemma 5.3] *Let $\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 < 2$ and $\frac{m+1}{p} > 2 - \alpha_2$. For every $k \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$T_{k,0} : W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) \rightarrow W_{\mathcal{R}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m - kp)$$

is an isomorphism (we shall write $y^k W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) = W_{\mathcal{R}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m - kp)$).

Finally we deal with the isometry of L_m^p , $S_{\beta_\alpha, \omega}$ defined in (17) with $\beta_\alpha = \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{2} \neq -1$ and $\omega = -\frac{d}{c(\beta_\alpha + 1)}$.

Proposition 4.23 *Let $\beta_\alpha = \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{2} \neq -1$ and $\omega = -\frac{d}{c(\beta_\alpha + 1)}$. Then one has*

$$S_{\beta_\alpha, \omega} \left(W_{\mathcal{R}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) \right) = W_{\mathcal{R}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$$

PROOF. The proof follows as in Proposition 4.21 using Proposition 4.13. \square

5 The operator with oblique boundary conditions

In this section we study parabolic problems related to the operator

$$\mathcal{L} = y^\alpha \text{Tr} (AD^2) + y^{\alpha-1} (v, \nabla)$$

defined in (10) in the case $b = 0$. Here $v = (d, c) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ and, under the hypotheses in Assumption 2.1, we always assume $\alpha < 2$ and the diffusion matrix

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} Q & q^t \\ \hline q & \gamma \end{array} \right)$$

to be symmetric and positive definite. We endow \mathcal{L} with Neumann and oblique boundary conditions in the sense specified below.

We briefly recall the weighted Sobolev spaces which are introduced and analysed in details, as well as their boundary conditions, in Sections 4.1 and 4.2. We set, at first,

$$W^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m) = \left\{ u \in W_{loc}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}) : y^\alpha D^2 u, y^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \nabla u, u \in L_m^p \right\}.$$

We start by considering, preliminarily, the case $d = 0$ and we add, accordingly, a Neumann boundary condition

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^{\frac{c}{\gamma}} D_y u = 0$$

in integral form (see Proposition 4.5) by defining

$$W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m) = \{ u \in W^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m) : y^{\alpha-1} D_y u \in L_m^p \}.$$

The following result characterizes the generation properties, the maximal regularity and the domain of \mathcal{L} in the special case $d = 0$. It has been proved by the author in [25] by constructing, using tools from vector-valued harmonic analysis and Fourier multipliers, a resolvent $(\lambda - \mathcal{L})^{-1}$ of \mathcal{L} for λ in a suitable sector $\omega + \Sigma_\phi$, with $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ and by proving that the family $\lambda(\lambda - \mathcal{L})^{-1}$ is \mathcal{R} -bounded on $\mathcal{B}(L_m^p)$. We refer the reader to [25] for the proof and any further details.

Theorem 5.1 [25, Theorem 5.6, Corollary 5.7, Theorem 6.3] *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha < 2$ and*

$$\alpha^- < \frac{m+1}{p} < \frac{c}{\gamma} + 1 - \alpha.$$

Then the operator

$$\mathcal{L} = y^\alpha \text{Tr} (AD^2) + y^{\alpha-1} c D_y$$

endowed with domain $W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m)$ generates a bounded analytic semigroup in L_m^p which has maximal regularity. Moreover the set $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \otimes \mathcal{D}$ defined in (21) is a core for \mathcal{L} . Finally, the estimate

$$\|y^\alpha D_{x_i x_j} u\|_{L_m^p} + \|y^\alpha D_{yy} u\|_{L_m^p} + \|y^\alpha D_{x_i y} u\|_{L_m^p} + \|y^{\alpha-1} D_y u\|_{L_m^p} \leq C \|\mathcal{L}u\|_{L_m^p}$$

holds for every $u \in W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m)$

When $c \neq 0$ and $d \in \mathbb{R}$, we can impose an oblique derivative boundary condition

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^{\frac{c}{\gamma}} v \cdot \nabla u = 0$$

in integral form (see Proposition 4.9) by defining

$$W_v^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{u \in W^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m) : y^{\alpha-1} v \cdot \nabla u \in L_m^p\}.$$

Recalling Definition 4.12, to shorten the notation we also write $W_{(0,0)}^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m) = W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m)$.

We transform \mathcal{L} into a similar operator with $d = 0$ and Neumann boundary condition. Indeed, we use the map $S_{0,\omega}$ of Section 3 defined in (17) with $\beta = 0$ and $\omega = -\frac{d}{c}$, namely

$$S_{0,-\frac{d}{c}} u(x, y) := u\left(x - \frac{d}{c}y, y\right), \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}.$$

We recall that, by Proposition 3.4 and Corollary 3.6, $S_{0,-\frac{d}{c}}$ is an isometry of L_m^p and for every $u \in W_{loc}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1})$ one has

$$S_{0,-\frac{d}{c}}^{-1} \left(y^\alpha \text{Tr} (AD^2) + y^{\alpha-1} (v, \nabla) \right) S_{0,-\frac{d}{c}} u = y^\alpha \text{Tr} (\tilde{A}D^2 u) + y^{\alpha-1} c D_y u$$

where

$$\tilde{A} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \tilde{Q} & \tilde{q}^t \\ \hline \tilde{q} & \gamma \end{array} \right), \quad \tilde{Q} = Q - \frac{2}{c}q \otimes d + \frac{\gamma}{c^2}d \otimes d, \quad \tilde{q} = q - \frac{\gamma}{c}d.$$

We can then deduce the following result.

Theorem 5.2 *Let $v = (d, c) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ with $d = 0$ if $c = 0$, and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha < 2$ and*

$$\alpha^- < \frac{m+1}{p} < \frac{c}{\gamma} + 1 - \alpha.$$

Then the operator

$$\mathcal{L} = y^\alpha \text{Tr} (AD^2) + y^{\alpha-1} (v, \nabla)$$

generates a bounded analytic semigroup in L_m^p which has maximal regularity. Moreover

$$D(\mathcal{L}) = W_v^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m)$$

and the set \mathcal{C}_v defined in (31) is a core for \mathcal{L} .

PROOF. The claim for $c = 0$ or $d = 0$ is just Theorem 5.1. Let us suppose $c \neq 0$. According to the discussion above the isometry $S_{0,-\frac{d}{c}}$ of L_m^p transforms \mathcal{L} into

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = y^\alpha \text{Tr} (\tilde{A}D^2) + y^{\alpha-1} c D_y,$$

The statement on generation and maximal regularity is therefore a translation to \mathcal{L} and in L_m^p of the results of Theorem 5.1 for $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$. Also, using Proposition 4.8, one has

$$D(\mathcal{L}) = S_{0,-\frac{d}{c}} \left(D(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}) \right) = S_{0,-\frac{d}{c}} \left(W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m) \right) = W_v^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m).$$

□

Corollary 5.3 *Under the assumptions of the previous theorem, the estimate*

$$\|y^\alpha D_{x_i x_j} u\|_{L_m^p} + \|y^\alpha D_{yy} u\|_{L_m^p} + \|y^\alpha D_{x_i y} u\|_{L_m^p} + \|y^{\alpha-1} v \cdot \nabla u\|_{L_m^p} \leq C \|\mathcal{L}u\|_{L_m^p} \quad (37)$$

holds for every $u \in W_v^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m)$ (if $c = 0$ replace $y^{\alpha-1} v \cdot \nabla u$ with $y^{\alpha-1} D_y u$).

PROOF. By Theorem 5.2 the above inequality holds if $\|u\|_{L_m^p}$ is added to the right hand side. Applying it to $u_\lambda(x, y) = u(\lambda x, \lambda y)$, $\lambda > 0$ we obtain

$$\|y^\alpha D_{x_i x_j} u\|_{L_m^p} + \|y^\alpha D_{x_i y} u\|_{L_m^p} + \|y^\alpha D_{yy} u\|_{L_m^p} + \|y^{\alpha-1} v \cdot \nabla u\|_{L_m^p} \leq C (\|\mathcal{L}u\|_{L_m^p} + \lambda^{\alpha-2} \|u\|_{L_m^p})$$

and the proof follows letting $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. \square

The following corollary enlightens the role of the Neumann and of the oblique boundary conditions.

Corollary 5.4 *Under the assumptions of the previous theorem one has*

$$D(\mathcal{L}) = \left\{ u \in W_{loc}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}) : u, y^\alpha \Delta_x u, y^\alpha \gamma D_{yy} u + y^{\alpha-1} v \cdot \nabla u \in L_m^p \text{ and } \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^{\frac{c}{\gamma}} v \cdot \nabla u = 0 \right\}$$

(when $v = 0$, replace $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^{\frac{c}{\gamma}} v \cdot \nabla u = 0$ with $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} D_y u = 0$).

PROOF. The proof follows from Theorem 5.2 and Propositions 4.5 and 4.9. \square

6 The operator with Dirichlet boundary conditions

In this section we add a potential term to the operator of Section 5 and study the operator

$$\mathcal{L} = y^\alpha \text{Tr} (AD^2) + y^{\alpha-1} (v, \nabla) - by^{\alpha-2}$$

with $v = (d, c) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$. We endow \mathcal{L} under Dirichlet boundary conditions, in the sense specified below.

We always assume the hypotheses in Assumptions 2.1 and 2.3; we also recall that, as in Definition 2.2, \mathcal{L} can be written equivalently as

$$\mathcal{L} = y^\alpha \text{Tr} (QD_x^2) + 2y^\alpha (q, \nabla_x D_y) + y^\alpha \gamma L_y + y^{\alpha-1} (d, \nabla_x)$$

where $A = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} Q & q^t \\ \hline q & \gamma \end{array} \right)$ and

$$L_y = D_{yy} + \frac{c/\gamma}{y} D_y - \frac{b/\gamma}{y^2}$$

is the operator defined in (6) with parameters $\frac{b}{\gamma}, \frac{c}{\gamma}$.

The equation $L_y u = 0$ has solutions y^{-s_1}, y^{-s_2} where s_1, s_2 are the roots of the indicial equation $f(s) = -s^2 + (c/\gamma - 1)s + b/\gamma = 0$ given by

$$s_1 := \frac{\frac{c}{\gamma} - 1}{2} - \sqrt{D}, \quad s_2 := \frac{\frac{c}{\gamma} - 1}{2} + \sqrt{D} \quad (38)$$

where

$$D := \frac{b}{\gamma} + \left(\frac{c/\gamma - 1}{2} \right)^2$$

is supposed to be nonnegative. When $b = 0$, then $\sqrt{D} = |c/\gamma - 1|/2$ and $s_1 = 0, s_2 = c/\gamma - 1$ for $c/\gamma \geq 1$ and $s_1 = c/\gamma - 1, s_2 = 0$ for $c/\gamma < 1$.

Remark 6.1 *All the results of this section will be valid, with obvious changes, also in \mathbb{R}_+ for the 1d operators $y^\alpha L_y$ changing (when it appears in the various conditions on the parameters) α^- to 0 (see also Remark 4.2). We also refer to [5, 20, 21, 22, 30] for the analogous results concerning the Nd version of L_y .*

A multiplication operator transforms \mathcal{L} into an operator of the form $y^\alpha \text{Tr} (AD^2) + y^{\alpha-1} (v, \nabla)$ and allows to transfer the results of Section 5 to this situation. Indeed, we use the map defined in (13) of Section 3

$$T_{k,0} u(x, y) := y^k u(x, y), \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \quad (39)$$

for a suitable choice of k and with $\beta = 0$. We recall that, by Propositions 3.1, 3.2 and Corollary 3.3, $T_{k,0}$ maps isometrically $L_{\tilde{m}}^p$ onto L_m^p where $\tilde{m} = m + kp$ and for every $u \in W_{loc}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1})$ one has

$$T_{k,0}^{-1}(\mathcal{L})T_{k,0} u = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}u$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{L}} &= y^\alpha \text{Tr} (AD^2) + y^{\alpha-1} (\tilde{d}, \nabla_x) + y^{\alpha-1} \tilde{c} D_y - \tilde{b} y^{\alpha-2}, \\ \tilde{d} &= 2kq + d, \quad \tilde{c} = c + 2k\gamma, \quad \tilde{b} = b - k(c + (k-1)\gamma). \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Equivalently we can write

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = y^\alpha \text{Tr} (QD_x^2 u) + 2y^\alpha (q, \nabla_x D_y u) + y^\alpha \gamma \tilde{L}_y u + y^{\alpha-1} (2kq + d, \nabla_x u)$$

where $\tilde{L}_y = D_{yy} + \frac{\tilde{c}/\gamma}{y} D_y - \frac{\tilde{b}/\gamma}{y^2}$. Moreover the discriminant \tilde{D} and the parameters $\tilde{s}_{1,2}$ of \tilde{L}_y are given by

$$\tilde{D} = D, \quad \tilde{s}_{1,2} = s_{1,2} + k. \quad (41)$$

Choosing $k = -s_1$ and recalling the definition of s_1 , we get

$$\tilde{b} = 0, \quad \tilde{c} = \gamma \left(\frac{c}{\gamma} - 2s_1 \right) = \gamma (1 + 2\sqrt{D}) \quad (42)$$

and therefore

$$T_{-s_1,0}^{-1} \left(y^\alpha \text{Tr} (AD^2) + y^{\alpha-1} (v, \nabla) - by^{\alpha-2} \right) T_{-s_1,0} = y^\alpha \text{Tr} (AD^2) + y^{\alpha-1} (w, \nabla) \quad (43)$$

where $w := (\tilde{d}, \tilde{c}) = (d - 2s_1 q, c - 2s_1 \gamma)$. Moreover from (42) one has

$$w = v - 2s_1 (q, \gamma) = \left(d - 2s_1 q, \gamma(1 + 2\sqrt{D}) \right).$$

We can now derive the following result.

Theorem 6.2 *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha < 2$ and*

$$s_1 + \alpha^- < \frac{m+1}{p} < s_2 + 2 - \alpha.$$

Then, under Assumptions 2.1 and 2.3, the operator

$$\mathcal{L} = y^\alpha \text{Tr} (AD^2) + y^{\alpha-1} (v, \nabla) - by^{\alpha-2}$$

generates a bounded analytic semigroup in L_m^p which has maximal regularity. Moreover,

$$D(\mathcal{L}) = y^{-s_1} W_w^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m - s_1 p), \quad w = v - 2s_1(q, \gamma). \quad (44)$$

PROOF. According to the discussion above the map $T_{-s_1,0} : L_{m-s_1 p}^p \rightarrow L_m^p$ transforms \mathcal{L} into

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = y^\alpha \text{Tr} (AD^2) + y^{\alpha-1} (w, \nabla), \quad w = (-2s_1 q + d, c - 2s_1 \gamma) := (\tilde{d}, \tilde{c}). \quad (45)$$

We observe now that, recalling (38), the hypothesis $s_1 + \alpha^- < \frac{m+1}{p} < s_2 + 2 - \alpha$ is equivalent to $\alpha^- < \frac{m-ps_1+1}{p} < \frac{\tilde{c}}{\gamma} + 1 - \alpha$. Moreover Assumption 2.3 implies, recalling (42), that $\tilde{c} = \gamma(1+2\sqrt{D}) \geq \gamma > 0$. The statement on generation and maximal regularity is therefore a translation to \mathcal{L} and in L_m^p of the results of Theorem 5.2 for $y^\alpha \text{Tr} (AD^2) + y^{\alpha-1} (w, \nabla)$ in $L_{m-s_1 p}^p$.

Also one has

$$D(\mathcal{L}) = T_{-s_1,0} \left(D(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}) \right) = y^{-s_1} W_w^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m - s_1 p).$$

□

Remark 6.3

(i) *Recalling Definition (23) and by a straightforward calculation, equality (44) says that $u \in y^{-s_1} W_w^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m - s_1 p)$ if and only if all functions*

$$\begin{aligned} &u, \quad y^\alpha D_{x_i x_j} u, \quad y^\alpha \left(\nabla_x D_y u + s_1 \frac{\nabla_x u}{y} \right), \quad y^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \nabla_x u, \quad y^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left(D_y u + s_1 \frac{u}{y} \right), \\ &y^\alpha \left(D_{yy} u + 2s_1 \frac{D_y u}{y} - (s_1 - s_1^2) \frac{u}{y^2} \right), \quad y^\alpha \left(\frac{w \cdot \nabla u}{y} + s_1 \tilde{c} \frac{u}{y^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

belong to L_m^p ($\tilde{c} = w \cdot e_{N+1} = c - 2s_1 \gamma$). However, in the range of parameters of Theorem 6.2, one cannot deduce, in general, that $y^{\alpha-1} w \cdot \nabla u$ and $y^\alpha D_{yy} u$ belong to L_m^p , as one can check on functions like $y^{-s_1} u \left(x - \frac{\tilde{d}}{\tilde{c}} y \right)$, $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, near $y = 0$. This is however possible in the special case of Corollary 6.5.

(ii) *Unlike Theorem 5.2, the above remark shows that one cannot in general estimate any singular terms which compose \mathcal{L} as in (37). Nevertheless, the estimate involving the x -derivatives*

$$\|y^\alpha D_{x_i x_j} u\|_{L_m^p} \leq C \|\mathcal{L}u\|_{L_m^p}$$

and, by difference, also $\|y^\alpha \mathcal{L}u - y^\alpha \text{Tr} (QD_x^2 u)\|_{L_m^p} \leq C \|\mathcal{L}u\|_{L_m^p}$, always hold for every $u \in D(\mathcal{L})$. This follows since, by Corollary 5.3, the similar statement holds for $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ in $L_{m-s_1 p}^p$ and, by Propositions 3.1 and 3.2,

$$T_{-s_1,0}^{-1} (y^\alpha D_{x_i x_j}) T_{-s_1,0} = y^\alpha D_{x_i x_j}.$$

The following corollary explains why we use the term Dirichlet boundary conditions.

Corollary 6.4 *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha < 2$ and $s_1 + \alpha^- < \frac{m+1}{p} < s_2 + 2 - \alpha$ and let \mathcal{A} be the operator*

$$\mathcal{A} := \gamma y^\alpha D_{yy} + y^{\alpha-1} v_q \cdot \nabla - b y^{\alpha-2}, \quad v_q := (d - 2s_1 q, c).$$

(i) *If $D > 0$ then*

$$D(\mathcal{L}) = \left\{ u \in W_{loc}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}) : u, y^\alpha \Delta_x u, \mathcal{A}u \in L_m^p \text{ and } \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^{s_2} u = 0 \right\}$$

(ii) *If $D = 0$ then $s_1 = s_2$ and*

$$D(\mathcal{L}) = \left\{ u \in W_{loc}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}) : u, y^\alpha \Delta_x u, \mathcal{A}u \in L_m^p \text{ and } \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^{s_2} u \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

In both cases the graph norm and

$$\|u\|_{L_m^p} + \|y^\alpha \Delta_x u\|_{L_m^p} + \|\mathcal{A}u\|_{L_m^p}$$

are equivalent on $D(\mathcal{L})$.

PROOF. Let us prove claim (i). By Theorem 6.2 and Remark 4.11 (iv) we have

$$D(\mathcal{L}) = T_{-s_1,0} (W_w^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m - s_1 p)) = T_{-s_1,0} \left(W_{\frac{w}{\gamma}}^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m - s_1 p) \right)$$

where

$$w = (d, c) - 2s_1(q, \gamma) := (\tilde{d}, \tilde{c}).$$

We apply Proposition 4.10 with $\frac{\tilde{c}}{\gamma} = \frac{c}{\gamma} - 2s_1 = 1 + 2\sqrt{D} > 1$ in place of c thus obtaining

$$W_w^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m - s_1 p) = \left\{ v \in W_{loc}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}) : v, y^\alpha \Delta_x v, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}v \in L_{m-s_1 p}^p \text{ and } \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^{\frac{\tilde{c}}{\gamma}-1} v = 0 \right\}$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}} := y^\alpha D_{yy} + y^{\alpha-1} \frac{\omega}{\gamma} \cdot \nabla.$$

The required claim then follows from the previous equalities after noticing that, by Proposition 3.2,

$$T_{-s_1,0}^{-1} (y^\alpha \Delta_x) T_{-s_1,0} = y^\alpha \Delta_x, \quad T_{-s_1,0}^{-1} (\mathcal{A}) T_{-s_1,0} = \gamma \tilde{\mathcal{A}}.$$

and that for any $u \in y^{-s_1} W_w^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m - s_1 p)$, setting $v = y^{s_1} u$, one has, recalling (7),

$$y^{\frac{\tilde{c}}{\gamma}-1} v = y^{\frac{\tilde{c}}{\gamma}-1+s_1} u = y^{2\sqrt{D}+s_1} u = y^{s_2} u.$$

Claim (ii) follows similarly. □

In the following Corollary, in continuity with Remark 6.3 (ii), we shows that in certain range of parameters, we can improve the elliptic regularity of the operator \mathcal{L} by estimating, in addition to $y^\alpha D_{x_i x_j} u$, other different terms which compose \mathcal{L} . Specifically if $\frac{m+1}{p} > s_1 + 1 - \alpha$ we can estimate

$$y^\alpha \gamma L_y u, \quad y^\alpha D_{x_i y} u, \quad y^{\alpha-1} \nabla_x u$$

whereas in the smaller range $\frac{m+1}{p} > s_1 + 2 - \alpha$, we reach the best elliptic regularity where, recalling the definition of $W_{\mathcal{R}}^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m)$ in Section 4.3, $D(\mathcal{L})$ consists of the functions for which any single terms of \mathcal{L} is in L_m^p .

Corollary 6.5 *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha < 2$.*

(i) *If both the condition $s_1 + \alpha^- < \frac{m+1}{p} < s_2 + 2 - \alpha$ and $\frac{m+1}{p} > s_1 + 1 - \alpha$ hold then $D(\mathcal{L}) = y^{-s_1} W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m - s_1 p)$ and*

$$\|y^\alpha D_{x_i x_j} u\|_{L_m^p} + \|y^\alpha \gamma L_y u\|_{L_m^p} + \|y^\alpha D_{x_i y} u\|_{L_m^p} + \|y^{\alpha-1} \nabla_x u\|_{L_m^p} \leq C \|\mathcal{L}u\|_{L_m^p}$$

where $y^\alpha \gamma L_y = y^\alpha \gamma D_{yy} + cy^{\alpha-1} D_y - by^{\alpha-2}$.

(ii) *If $s_1 + 2 - \alpha < \frac{m+1}{p} < s_2 + 2 - \alpha$ then $D(\mathcal{L}) = W_{\mathcal{R}}^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m)$.*

PROOF. Following the notation of the proof of Theorem 6.2, we consider the operator $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ of (45) on $L_{m-s_1 p}^p$. Claim (i) then follows since by Proposition 4.17, $W_w^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m - s_1 p) = W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m - s_1 p)$. Moreover if $u \in D(\mathcal{L}) = y^{-s_1} W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m - s_1 p)$, then $v = y^{s_1} u$ satisfies by Proposition 4.17 and by (37) of Theorem 5.2

$$\|y^{\alpha-1} \nabla_x v\|_{L_{m-s_1 p}^p} \leq C \|\tilde{\mathcal{L}}v\|_{L_{m-s_1 p}^p}$$

which under the isometry $T_{-s_1, 0} : L_{m-s_1 p}^p \rightarrow L_m^p$ translates, recalling that $T_{-s_1, 0}^{-1} (y^\alpha \nabla_x) T_{-s_1, 0} = y^\alpha \nabla_x$ and $T_{-s_1, 0}^{-1} (\mathcal{L}) T_{-s_1, 0} = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$, into

$$\|y^{\alpha-1} \nabla_x u\|_{L_m^p} \leq C \|\mathcal{L}u\|_{L_m^p}.$$

Then by difference, using the equivalent norm of Corollary 6.4, the last estimate proves that the required inequality holds if $\|u\|_{L_m^p}$ is added to the right hand side. This term can although be eliminated by performing the same scaling argument used in the proof of Theorem 5.2.

To prove (ii) we observe preliminarily that $s_1 + 2 - \alpha > s_1 + \alpha^-$, since $\alpha < 2$. By Theorem 6.2 and Proposition 4.20

$$D(\mathcal{L}) = y^{-s_1} (W_w^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m - s_1 p)) = W_{\mathcal{R}}^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m)$$

under the assumption $\frac{m - ps_1 + 1}{p} > 2 - \alpha$ which is equivalent to $s_1 + 2 - \alpha < \frac{m+1}{p}$. \square

Observe that the condition $\frac{m+1}{p} > s_1 + 1 - \alpha$ in the previous corollary is necessary for the integrability of the mixed derivatives of functions like $y^{-s_1} u(x)$, $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, near $y = 0$.

The above results apply, when $b = 0$, also to the operator

$$\mathcal{L} = y^\alpha \text{Tr} (AD^2) + y^{\alpha-1} (v, \nabla).$$

Remark 6.6 When $c > \gamma$, so that $s_1 = 0$, $s_2 = \frac{c}{\gamma} - 1 > 0$, by (44) the operator \mathcal{L} coincides with the one of Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 since $D(\mathcal{L}) = W_v^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, m)$. Moreover by Proposition 4.16 and Corollary 6.4 one has

$$D(\mathcal{L}) = \left\{ u \in W_{loc}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}) : u, y^\alpha \Delta_x u, y^\alpha \gamma D_{yy} u + y^{\alpha-1} v \cdot \nabla u \in L_m^p \text{ and } \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^{\frac{c}{\gamma}-1} u = 0 \right\}.$$

Therefore, recalling Corollary 5.4 the oblique derivative and the Dirichlet boundary conditions are, in the range $c > \gamma$, equivalent since they lead to the same operator.

On the other hand, when $c < \gamma$, so that $s_1 = \frac{c}{\gamma} - 1 \neq 0$, $s_2 = 0$, we can construct a realization of \mathcal{L} different from that of Theorems 5.1 and 5.2.

Corollary 6.7 Let $c < \gamma$ and $\frac{c}{\gamma} - 1 + \alpha^- < \frac{m+1}{p} < 2 - \alpha$. Then $\mathcal{L} = y^\alpha \text{Tr} (AD^2) + y^{\alpha-1} (v, \nabla)$ with domain

$$D(\mathcal{L}) = \left\{ u \in W_{loc}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}) : u, y^\alpha \Delta_x u, y^\alpha \gamma D_{yy} u + y^{\alpha-1} v_q \cdot \nabla u \in L_m^p \text{ and } \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} u = 0 \right\},$$

where $v_q := (d - 2s_1 q, c) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, generates a bounded analytic semigroup in L_m^p which has maximal regularity.

PROOF. This follows from Corollary 6.4 (i), since $s_1 = \frac{c}{\gamma} - 1$ and $s_2 = 0$. \square

Note that the generation interval $\frac{c}{\gamma} - 1 + \alpha^- < \frac{m+1}{p} < 2 - \alpha$ under Dirichlet boundary conditions, is larger than $\alpha^- < \frac{m+1}{p} < \frac{c}{\gamma} + 1 - \alpha$ given by Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 for Neumann and oblique boundary conditions.

Remark 6.8 Let us explain what happens in Theorem 6.2 if we choose $-k$ in (40) as the second root s_2 instead of s_1 . Proceeding similarly, one proves an identical result under the condition

$$s_2 + \alpha^- < \frac{m+1}{p} < s_1 + 2 - \alpha. \quad (46)$$

However this requires the assumption $s_2 < s_1 + 2 - \alpha$ which is not always satisfied. When (46) holds this procedure leads to a different operator. For further details about different realizations of \mathcal{L} and about uniqueness questions we refer also to [27, Section 9.2].

7 Consequences for more general operators

In this section we deduce generation and domain properties in L_m^p for the more general operators

$$\mathcal{L} = y^{\alpha_1} \text{Tr} (QD_x^2) + 2y^{\frac{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}{2}} q \cdot \nabla_x D_y + \gamma y^{\alpha_2} D_{yy} + y^{\frac{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}{2}-1} (d, \nabla_x) + cy^{\alpha_2-1} D_y - by^{\alpha_2-2} \quad (47)$$

where possibly different powers y^{α_1} , y^{α_2} appear in front respectively of the x and y derivatives. Here $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha_2 < 2$, $\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 < 2$. We keep the Assumptions 2.1 and 2.3 and we recall that \mathcal{L} can be written equivalently in the compact form

$$\mathcal{L} = y^{\alpha_1} \text{Tr} (QD_x^2) + 2y^{\frac{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}{2}} (q, \nabla_x D_y) + \gamma y^{\alpha_2} L_y + y^{\frac{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}{2}-1} (d, \nabla_x)$$

where

$$L_y = \gamma D_{yy} + \frac{c/\gamma}{y} D_y - \frac{b/\gamma}{y^2}$$

is the operator defined in (6).

In contrast to the case $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, some complications arise due to the different weights y^{α_1} , y^{α_2} which appear in the x and y directions. This reflects into a distortion correction which depends on the coefficient

$$\beta_\alpha \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{2}$$

which appears into the characterization of the domain of \mathcal{L} (see the definition of $W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$ in Section 4.2.2). This complication does not appear, obviously, when $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, where $\beta_\alpha = 0$, but also when $d = 0$ (see Remark 4.11).

The isometry of Section 3 transforms \mathcal{L} into a similar operator with $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ and allows to transfer the results of Sections 5 and 6 to this situation.

Indeed, we use the map defined in (13) with $k = 0$ and $\beta = \beta_\alpha$ namely

$$T_{0,\beta_\alpha} u(x, y) := |\beta_\alpha + 1|^{\frac{1}{p}} u(x, y^{\beta_\alpha + 1}), \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}, \quad \beta_\alpha = \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{2}. \quad (48)$$

We recall that, by Propositions 3.1, 3.2 and Corollary 3.3, T_{0,β_α} maps isometrically $L_{\tilde{m}}^p$ onto L_m^p where $\tilde{m} = \frac{m - \beta_\alpha}{\beta_\alpha + 1}$ and transforms \mathcal{L} into

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}} := T_{0,\beta_\alpha}^{-1} \mathcal{L} T_{0,\beta_\alpha} = y^\alpha \text{Tr} \left(\tilde{A} D^2 \right) + y^{\alpha-1} (\tilde{v}, \nabla) - b y^{\alpha-2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_\alpha + 1}, & \tilde{A} &:= \left(\begin{array}{c|c} Q & \tilde{q}^t \\ \hline \tilde{q} & \tilde{\gamma} \end{array} \right), & \tilde{q} &= (\beta_\alpha + 1)q, & \tilde{\gamma} &= (\beta_\alpha + 1)^2 \gamma, \\ \tilde{v} &:= (d, \tilde{c}), & \tilde{c} &= (c + \beta_\alpha \gamma)(\beta_\alpha + 1). \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

As in Definition 2.2, we write $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ equivalently as

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = y^\alpha \text{Tr} \left(Q D_x^2 \right) + 2y^\alpha (\tilde{q}, \nabla_x D_y) + \tilde{\gamma} y^\alpha \tilde{L}_y + y^{\alpha-1} (d, \nabla_x)$$

where

$$\tilde{L}_y = D_{yy} + \frac{\tilde{c}/\tilde{\gamma}}{y} D_y - \frac{b/\tilde{\gamma}}{y^2}.$$

By (15), (16) and observing that, by the assumption on α_1 , α_2 , $\beta_\alpha + 1 = \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + 2}{2} > 0$, the discriminant \tilde{D} and the parameters $\tilde{s}_{1,2}$ of \tilde{L}_y defined as in (8), (7) are related to those of L_y by

$$\tilde{D} = \frac{D}{(\beta_\alpha + 1)^2}, \quad \tilde{s}_{1,2} = \frac{s_{1,2}}{\beta_\alpha + 1}$$

The generation properties, the domain description and the maximal regularity for the operator in (47) can be then deduced by the same properties for the operator studied in the previous sections

when $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$.

We start by the case $b = 0$ with Neumann and oblique boundary condition. We recall that the Sobolev spaces $W_v^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$ and $W_N^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$, as well as their boundary conditions, are introduced and analysed in details in Sections 4.1 and 4.2.2 to which we refer.

Theorem 7.1 *Let $v = (d, c) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ with $d = 0$ if $c + \beta_\alpha \gamma = 0$, and let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha_2 < 2$, $\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 < 2$. If*

$$\alpha_1^- < \frac{m+1}{p} < \frac{c}{\gamma} + 1 - \alpha_2$$

then the operator

$$\mathcal{L} = y^{\alpha_1} \text{Tr} \left(QD_x^2 \right) + 2y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2}} q \cdot \nabla_x D_y + \gamma y^{\alpha_2} D_{yy} + y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} - 1} (d, \nabla_x) + cy^{\alpha_2 - 1} D_y$$

endowed with domain

$$W_w^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m), \quad w = (d, c + \beta_\alpha \gamma)$$

generates a bounded analytic semigroup in L_m^p which has maximal regularity. Moreover the set \mathcal{C}_w defined in (31) is a core for \mathcal{L} .

PROOF. According to the discussion above the isometry

$$T_{0, \beta_\alpha} : L_{\tilde{m}}^p \rightarrow L_m^p, \quad \beta_\alpha = \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{2}, \quad \tilde{m} = \frac{m - \beta_\alpha}{\beta_\alpha + 1}$$

transforms \mathcal{L} into

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = y^\alpha \text{Tr} \left(\tilde{A}D^2 \right) + y^{\alpha-1} (\tilde{v}, \nabla)$$

where \tilde{A} , \tilde{v} are defined in (49) and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ acts on $L_{\tilde{m}}^p$. Observe now that the assumptions on the parameters translates into $\alpha < 2$ and $\alpha^- < \frac{\tilde{m}+1}{p} < \frac{\tilde{c}}{\gamma} + 1 - \alpha$. The statement on generation and maximal regularity is therefore a translation to \mathcal{L} and in L_m^p of the results of Theorem 5.2 for $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ in $L_{\tilde{m}}^p$. Concerning the domain, we have

$$D(\mathcal{L}) = T_{0, \beta_\alpha} \left(W_{\tilde{v}}^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, \tilde{m}) \right)$$

which, by Proposition 4.18, coincides with $W_w^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$. \square

Remark 7.2 *As in the proof of Theorem 5.2, when $c + \beta_\alpha \gamma \neq 0$ we can transform \mathcal{L} into a similar operator with $d = 0$ and Neumann boundary condition. Indeed, we use the isometry (17) with $\omega = -\frac{d}{(c + \gamma \beta_\alpha)(\beta_\alpha + 1)}$, namely*

$$S_{\beta_\alpha, \omega} u(x, y) := u(x - \omega y^{\beta_\alpha + 1}, y), \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}.$$

Then by Corollary 3.6 and by Proposition 4.13 one has

$$S_{\beta_\alpha, \omega}^{-1}(\mathcal{L})S_{\beta_\alpha, \omega} = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}, \quad D(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}) = W_N^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = y^{\alpha_1} \text{Tr} \left(\tilde{Q}D_x^2 \right) + 2y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2}} (\tilde{q}, \nabla_x D_y) + \gamma y^{\alpha_2} D_{yy} + cy^{\alpha_2 - 1} D_y$$

and $\tilde{Q} = Q - 2q \otimes \frac{d}{c + \gamma \beta_\alpha} + \gamma \frac{d \otimes d}{(c + \gamma \beta_\alpha)^2}$, $\tilde{q} = q - \frac{\gamma}{c + \gamma \beta_\alpha} d$.

We point out, without stating them explicitly, that analogous results as those in Corollaries 5.3, 5.4 apply also to this case.

We finally add the potential term and study \mathcal{L} under Dirichlet boundary conditions.

Theorem 7.3 *Let $\alpha_2 < 2$, $\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 < 2$ and*

$$s_1 + \alpha_1^- < \frac{m+1}{p} < s_2 + 2 - \alpha_2.$$

Then the operator

$$\mathcal{L} = y^{\alpha_1} \text{Tr} (QD_x^2) + 2y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2}} q \cdot \nabla_x D_y + \gamma y^{\alpha_2} D_{yy} + y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} - 1} (d, \nabla_x) + cy^{\alpha_2 - 1} D_y - by^{\alpha_2 - 2}$$

generates a bounded analytic semigroup in L_m^p which has maximal regularity. Moreover,

$$D(\mathcal{L}) = y^{-s_1} W_w^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m - s_1 p), \quad w = (d, c + \beta_\alpha \gamma) - 2s_1(q, \gamma).$$

PROOF. As in the proof of the previous theorem, the isometry

$$T_{0, \beta_\alpha} : L_m^p \rightarrow L_m^p, \quad \beta_\alpha = \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{2}, \quad \tilde{m} = \frac{m - \beta_\alpha}{\beta_\alpha + 1}$$

transforms \mathcal{L} into

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{L}} &= y^\alpha \text{Tr} (\tilde{A}D^2) + y^{\alpha-1} (\tilde{v}, \nabla) - by^{\alpha-2} \\ &= y^\alpha \text{Tr} (QD_x^2) + 2y^\alpha (\tilde{q}, \nabla_x D_y) + \tilde{\gamma} y^\alpha \tilde{L}_y + y^{\alpha-1} (d, \nabla_x) \end{aligned}$$

where \tilde{A} , \tilde{v} are defined in (49) and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ acts on L_m^p . Moreover the parameters $\tilde{s}_{1,2}$ of \tilde{L}_y satisfies

$$\tilde{s}_{1,2} = \frac{s_{1,2}}{\beta_\alpha + 1}. \quad (50)$$

Observe now that the hypotheses on the parameters translates into $\alpha < 2$ and

$$\tilde{s}_1 + \alpha_1^- < \frac{\tilde{m} + 1}{p} < \tilde{s}_2 + 2 - \alpha_2.$$

The statement on generation and maximal regularity is therefore a translation to $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ and in L_m^p of the results of Theorem 6.2 for $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ in L_m^p . Concerning the domain we have

$$D(\mathcal{L}) = T_{0, \beta_\alpha} (D(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})) = T_{0, \beta_\alpha} (y^{-\tilde{s}_1} W_w^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, \tilde{m} - \tilde{s}_1 p))$$

where

$$\tilde{w} = \tilde{v} - 2\tilde{s}_1(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\gamma}) = (d, (c + \beta_\alpha \gamma)(\beta_\alpha + 1)) - 2s_1(q, \gamma(\beta_\alpha + 1)).$$

Then recalling (50) and using property (ii)-1 of Proposition 3.1 and (ii) of Proposition 4.18 we get

$$D(\mathcal{L}) = y^{-s_1} T_{0, \beta_\alpha} (W_w^{2,p}(\alpha, \alpha, \tilde{m} - \tilde{s}_1 p)) = y^{-s_1} W_w^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m - s_1 p).$$

□

As in Corollary 6.4 we can characterize $D(\mathcal{L})$ through a Dirichlet boundary condition.

Corollary 7.4 *Let $\alpha_2 < 2$, $\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 < 2$ such that*

$$s_1 + \alpha_1^- < \frac{m+1}{p} < s_2 + 2 - \alpha_2.$$

Let \mathcal{A} be the operator

$$\mathcal{A} := \gamma y^{\alpha_2} D_{yy} + y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} - 1} (d - 2s_1 q) \cdot \nabla_x + cy^{\alpha_2 - 1} D_y - by^{\alpha_2 - 2}.$$

(i) *If $D > 0$ then*

$$D(\mathcal{L}) = \left\{ u \in W_{loc}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}) : u, y^{\alpha_1} \Delta_x u, \mathcal{A}u \in L_m^p \text{ and } \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^{s_2} u = 0 \right\}$$

(ii) *If $D = 0$ then $s_1 = s_2$ and*

$$D(\mathcal{L}) = \left\{ u \in W_{loc}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}) : u, y^{\alpha_1} \Delta_x u, \mathcal{A}u \in L_m^p \text{ and } \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^{s_2} u \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

In both cases the graph norm and

$$\|u\|_{L_m^p} + \|y^{\alpha_1} \Delta_x u\|_{L_m^p} + \|\mathcal{A}u\|_{L_m^p}$$

are equivalent on $D(\mathcal{L})$.

PROOF. Let us prove claim (i). By Theorem 7.3 we have

$$D(\mathcal{L}) = T_{-s_1, 0} (W_w^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m - s_1 p))$$

where

$$w = (d, c + \beta_\alpha \gamma) - 2s_1(q, \gamma) := (w_x, w_{N+1}).$$

Then we proceed as in the proof of Corollary 6.4: we apply Proposition Proposition 4.16 with $\mu = \frac{c}{\gamma} - 2s_1 = 1 + 2\sqrt{D} > 1$ obtaining

$$W_w^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m - s_1 p) = \left\{ v \in W_{loc}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}) : v, y^{\alpha_1} \Delta_x v, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}v \in L_{m-s_1 p}^p \text{ and } \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^{\mu-1} v = 0 \right\}$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}} := y^{\alpha_2} D_{yy} + y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} - 1} \tilde{d} \cdot \nabla_x + \mu y^{\alpha_2 - 1} D_y, \quad \tilde{d} = \frac{\mu + \beta_\alpha}{w_{N+1}} w_x = \frac{d - 2s_1 q}{\gamma}.$$

The required claim then follows from the previous equalities after noticing that, by Proposition 3.2 and Corollary 3.3,

$$T_{-s_1, 0}^{-1} (y^{\alpha_1} \Delta_x) T_{-s_1, 0} = y^{\alpha_1} \Delta_x, \quad T_{-s_1, 0}^{-1} (\mathcal{A}) T_{-s_1, 0} = \gamma \tilde{\mathcal{A}}.$$

and that for any $u \in y^{-s_1} W_w^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m - s_1 p)$, setting $v = y^{s_1} u$, one has, recalling (7),

$$y^{\mu-1} v = y^{\mu-1+s_1} u = y^{s_2} u.$$

Claim (ii) follows similarly. □

As in Corollary 6.7, in some range of parameters one has an improvement in the elliptic regularity of the operator.

Corollary 7.5 *Let $\alpha_2 < 2$, $\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 < 2$ and*

$$s_1 + \alpha_1^- < \frac{m+1}{p} < s_2 + 2 - \alpha_2.$$

(i) *If both the condition $s_1 + \alpha_1^- < \frac{m+1}{p} < s_2 + 2 - \alpha_2$ and $\frac{m+1}{p} > s_1 + 1 - \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2}$ hold then $D(\mathcal{L}) = y^{-s_1} W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m - s_1 p)$ and*

$$\|y^{\alpha_1} D_{x_i x_j} u\|_{L_m^p} + \|y^{\alpha_2} \gamma L_y u\|_{L_m^p} + \|y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2}} D_{x_i y} u\|_{L_m^p} + \|y^{\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} - 1} \nabla_x u\|_{L_m^p} \leq C \|\mathcal{L}u\|_{L_m^p}$$

where $y^{\alpha_2} \gamma L_y = y^{\alpha_2} \gamma D_{yy} + cy^{\alpha_2 - 1} D_y - by^{\alpha_2 - 2}$.

(ii) *If $s_1 + 2 - \alpha_2 < \frac{m+1}{p} < s_2 + 2 - \alpha_2$ then $D(\mathcal{L}) = W_{\mathcal{R}}^{2,p}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m)$.*

PROOF. Identical to the proof of Corollary 6.5. For claim (ii) we also observe that $s_1 + 2 - \alpha_2 > s_1 + \alpha_1^-$, since $\alpha_2 < 2$, $\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 < 2$. \square

Remark 7.6 *When $b = 0$, we remark, without stating explicitly, that the results of Remark 6.6 and Corollary 6.7 apply also in this case. In particular if $c > \gamma$ (so that $s_1 = 0$, $s_2 = \frac{c}{\gamma} - 1$), the operator of Theorems 7.1 and 7.3 coincide, showing that in this case the Dirichlet and the oblique derivative boundary conditions are equivalent. On the other hand if $c < \gamma$ (so that $s_1 = \frac{c}{\gamma} - 1 \neq 0$, $s_2 = 0$) we can construct a realization of \mathcal{L} different from that of Theorem 7.1.*

References

- [1] ARENDT, W., TER ELST, A. F. M., AND WARMA, M. Fractional powers of sectorial operators via the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations* 43, 1 (2018), 1–24.
- [2] AUDRITO, A., FIORAVANTI, G., AND VITA, S. Higher order schauder estimates for parabolic equations with degenerate or singular weights, 2024.
- [3] AUDRITO, A., FIORAVANTI, G., AND VITA, S. Schauder estimates for parabolic equations with degenerate or singular weights, 2024.
- [4] CAFFARELLI, L., AND SILVESTRE, L. An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations* 32, 8 (2007), 1245–1260.
- [5] CALVARUSO, G., METAFUNE, G., NEGRO, L., AND SPINA, C. Optimal kernel estimates for elliptic operators with second order discontinuous coefficients. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 485, 1 (2020), 123763.
- [6] DONG, H., JEON, S., AND VITA, S. Schauder type estimates for degenerate or singular elliptic equations with dini mean oscillation coefficients with application, 2024.
- [7] DONG, H., AND PHAN, T. Weighted mixed-norm L_p -estimates for elliptic and parabolic equations in non-divergence form with singular coefficients. *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana* 37, 04 (2020).

- [8] DONG, H., AND PHAN, T. Parabolic and elliptic equations with singular or degenerate coefficients: The Dirichlet problem. *Transaction of the American Mathematical Society* 374, 09 (2021).
- [9] DONG, H., AND PHAN, T. On parabolic and elliptic equations with singular or degenerate coefficients. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 72 (2023), 1461–1502.
- [10] DONG, H., AND PHAN, T. Weighted mixed-norm L_p estimates for equations in non-divergence form with singular coefficients: The Dirichlet problem. *Journal of Functional Analysis* 285, 2 (2023), 109964.
- [11] DONG, H., PHAN, T., AND TRAN, H. V. Nondivergence form degenerate linear parabolic equations on the upper half space. *Journal of Functional Analysis* 286, 9 (2024), 110374.
- [12] FORNARO, S., METAFUNE, G., AND PALLARA, D. Analytic semigroups generated in L^p by elliptic operators with high order degeneracy at the boundary. *Note Mat.* 31, 1 (2011), 103–115.
- [13] GALÉ, J. E., MIANA, P. J., AND STINGA, P. Extension problem and fractional operators: semigroups and wave equations. *Journal of Evolution Equations* 13 (2013), 343–368.
- [14] HYTÖNEN, T., VAN NEERVEN, J., VERAAR, M., AND WEIS, L. *Analysis in Banach Spaces, Vol. I: Martingales and Littlewood-Paley Theory*. Springer, 2016.
- [15] HYTÖNEN, T., VAN NEERVEN, J., VERAAR, M., AND WEIS, L. *Analysis in Banach Spaces, Vol. II: Probabilistic Methods and Operator Theory*. Springer, 2017.
- [16] JEON, S., AND VITA, S. Higher order boundary harnack principles in dini type domains, 2023.
- [17] KRYLOV, N. V. *Lectures on Elliptic and Parabolic Equations in Sobolev Spaces*, vol. 96 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. Amer. Math. Soc., 2008.
- [18] KUNSTMANN, P. C., AND WEIS, L. Maximal L^p -regularity for parabolic equations, Fourier multiplier theorems and H^∞ -functional calculus. In *Iannelli M., Nagel R., Piazzera S. (eds) Functional Analytic Methods for Evolution Equations*, vol. 1855 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Berlin, 2004.
- [19] MAZZEO, R., AND VERTMAN, B. Elliptic theory of differential edge operators, ii: Boundary value problems. *Indiana University Mathematics Journal* 63, 6 (2014), 1911–1955.
- [20] METAFUNE, G., NEGRO, L., AND SPINA, C. Sharp kernel estimates for elliptic operators with second-order discontinuous coefficients. *Journal of Evolution Equations* 18 (2018), 467–514.
- [21] METAFUNE, G., NEGRO, L., AND SPINA, C. Gradient estimates for elliptic operators with second-order discontinuous coefficients. *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics* 16, 138 (2019).
- [22] METAFUNE, G., NEGRO, L., AND SPINA, C. Maximal regularity for elliptic operators with second-order discontinuous coefficients. *Journal of Evolution Equations* 21 (2021), 3613–3637.
- [23] METAFUNE, G., NEGRO, L., AND SPINA, C. L^p estimates for the Caffarelli-Silvestre extension operators. *Journal of Differential Equations* 316 (2022), 290–345.

- [24] METAFUNE, G., NEGRO, L., AND SPINA, C. Anisotropic Sobolev Spaces with Weights. *Tokyo Journal of Mathematics* 46, 2 (2023), 313 – 337. doi: 10.3836/tjm/1502179386.
- [25] METAFUNE, G., NEGRO, L., AND SPINA, C. Regularity theory for parabolic operators in the half-space with boundary degeneracy, 2023. arxiv:2309.14319.
- [26] METAFUNE, G., NEGRO, L., AND SPINA, C. Schauder estimates for Bessel operators. *Forum Mathematicum* (2023). doi:10.1515/forum-2023-0334.
- [27] METAFUNE, G., NEGRO, L., AND SPINA, C. A unified approach to degenerate problems in the half-space. *Journal of Differential Equations* 351 (2023), 63–99.
- [28] METAFUNE, G., NEGRO, L., AND SPINA, C. Singular parabolic problems in the half-space, 2024. To appear on *Studia Mathematica*. Online preprint, arxiv: 2303.05467.
- [29] METAFUNE, G., SOBAJIMA, M., AND SPINA, C. Elliptic and parabolic problems for a class of operators with discontinuous coefficients. *Annali SNS XIX* (2019), 601–654.
- [30] NEGRO, L., AND SPINA, C. Asymptotic behaviour for elliptic operators with second-order discontinuous coefficients. *Forum Mathematicum* 32, 2 (2020), 399–415.
- [31] NEGRO, L., AND SPINA, C. Kernel bounds for parabolic operators having first-order degeneracy at the boundary, 2024. arXiv:2403.01959.
- [32] SIRE, Y., TERRACINI, S., AND VITA, S. Liouville type theorems and regularity of solutions to degenerate or singular problems part i: even solutions. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations* 46, 2 (2021), 310–361.
- [33] SIRE, Y., TERRACINI, S., AND VITA, S. Liouville type theorems and regularity of solutions to degenerate or singular problems part ii: odd solutions. *Mathematics in Engineering* 3, 1 (2021), 1–50.
- [34] STINGA, P. R., AND TORREA, J. L. Extension problem and Harnack’s inequality for some fractional operators. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations* 35, 11 (2010), 2092–2122.
- [35] TERRACINI, S., TORTONE, G., AND VITA, S. Higher order boundary harnack principle via degenerate equations. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis* 248, 2 (2024).