

IMAGINARY QUADRATIC FIELDS F WITH $X_0(15)(F)$ FINITE.

TIM EVINK

ABSTRACT. Caraiani and Newton have proven that if F is an imaginary quadratic number field such that $X_0(15)$ has rank 0 over F , then every elliptic curve over F is modular. This paper is concerned with the quadratic fields $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$ for a prime number p . We give explicit conditions on p under which the rank is 0, and prove that these conditions are satisfied for 87,5% of the primes for which the rank is expected to be even based on the parity conjecture. We also show these conditions are satisfied if and only if rank 0 follows from a 4-descent over \mathbb{Q} on the quadratic twist $X_0(15)_{-p}$. To prove this, we perform two consecutive 2-descents and prove this gives rank bounds equivalent to those obtained from a 4-descent using visualisation techniques for III[2]. In fact we prove a more general connection between higher descents for elliptic curves which seems interesting in its own right.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the modular curve $X_0(15)$, which is an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} of rank 0. Caraiani and Newton [7] have proven that if F is an imaginary quadratic number field such that $X_0(15)$ has rank 0 over F , then every elliptic curve over F is modular. For positive square-free integers d , the global root number of $X_0(15)$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ turns out to be 1 precisely when

$$(1) \quad d \equiv 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 12 \pmod{15}.$$

Thus, based on the parity conjecture we expect the rank of $X_0(15)$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ to be even for precisely those d satisfying (1). Forthcoming work of Smith will show that we have rank 0 for a proportion of 100% of such d , see the introduction of [7]. However, this density result might give a misleading picture for the amount of occurrences of positive rank up to some bound: out of the 3158 positive square-free integers $d \leq 10^4$ satisfying (1), we have 514 instances of positive rank¹.

In this paper we focus on the case where $d = p$ is a prime number, and give explicit conditions on p for which rank 0 occurs. The main result concerning occurrences of rank 0 is formulated using Rédei symbols $[a, b, c]$ as developed in [21], a tri-linear number theoretic symbol taking values in $\{\pm 1\}$ that encodes splitting behaviour of rational primes in certain D_4 or C_4 -extensions of \mathbb{Q} .

Theorem 1.1. *Let p be a prime satisfying one of the following conditions.*

- (i) $p \equiv 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8 \pmod{15}$ and $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{8}$,
- (ii) $p \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{15}$, $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ and $[-1, 10, p] = -1$,
- (iii) $p \equiv 2, 8 \pmod{15}$, $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ and $[-1, 2, p] = 1$.

¹In all these instances of positive rank, the rank is in fact 2. The smallest positive square-free d such that $\text{rank}(X_0(15)/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})) = 4$ is $d = 20445$. These conclusions were found by calling the Magma [2] function `Rank` on the quadratic twist $X_0(15)_{-d}$.

Then $X_0(15)$ has rank 0 over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$. Moreover, the density of these primes amongst the primes p of the form $p \equiv 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8 \pmod{15}$ is 87,5%.

The stated Rédei symbols in Theorem 1.1 can be computed using the following.

- (i) If $p \equiv 1, 9 \pmod{40}$, then $[-1, 10, p] = 1 \Leftrightarrow p$ splits completely in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3 + \sqrt{-1}})$.
- (ii) If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, then $[-1, 2, p] = 1 \Leftrightarrow p$ splits completely in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{1 + \sqrt{-1}})$.

See [21, §7]. Combining Theorem 1.1 with [7, Thm. 1.1] we immediately obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1.2. *Let p be a prime satisfying one of conditions (i)-(iii) of Theorem 1.1. Then every elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$ is modular.*

Let us illustrate the manner in which Theorem 1.1 is proven. For a prime number p we will see that

$$\text{rank}(X_0(15)/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})) = \text{rank}(X_0(15)_{-p}/\mathbb{Q}),$$

which makes the quadratic twist $X_0(15)_{-p}$ a natural object of study to determine the rank of $X_0(15)$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$. When $p \equiv 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8 \pmod{15}$, a basic 2-Selmer group computation of $X_0(15)_{-p}$ over \mathbb{Q} will show that the rank is 0 when $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, and at most 2 when $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. In the latter case we improve on the upper bound of 2 by computing 2-Selmer groups over suitable quadratic number fields in a similar fashion to [12] and [13], leading to a proof of Theorem 1.1.

We also analyse how this improvement relates to a natural way of improving on a 2-descent, namely higher descents. We will show that Theorem 1.1 implies rank 0 precisely when this follows from a 4-descent on $X_0(15)_{-p}$ over \mathbb{Q} . In proving this connection, the following result is instrumental.

Theorem 1.3. *Let E be an elliptic curve defined over a number field K . Let $L = K(\sqrt{d})$ be a quadratic extension such that the following conditions are satisfied.*

- (1) *We have $\text{III}(E_d/K)[2] = 0$.*
- (2) *The corestriction map $\text{III}(E/L)[2] \rightarrow \text{III}(E/K)[2]$ is injective.*

Then the restriction map $\text{III}(E/K) \rightarrow \text{III}(E/L)$ induces an isomorphism

$$(2) \quad \text{III}(E/K)[2^\infty]/\text{III}(E/K)[2] \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{III}(E/L)[2^\infty].$$

Theorem 1.3 is proven in the setting of visualisation of $\text{III}[2]$ as in [4, §4]. In loc. cit. an explicit necessary condition for 2-divisibility in $\text{III}[2]$ is given, which can be used to improve on a 2-descent. The novelty of Theorem 1.3 lies in the fact that it gives conditions under which the necessary condition is also sufficient, thus connecting a 2^{n+1} -descent of E/K to a 2^n -descent of E/L . For example, elements of order 2 in $\text{III}(E/L)$ give rise to elements of order 4 in $\text{III}(E/K)$, a conclusion that doesn't follow from the results in [4]. The main idea is to generalise [5, Lemma 7.1] to the setting of a 2-isogeny between Abelian surfaces as in [4, §4], which allows control over the image of $\text{III}(E/K) \rightarrow \text{III}(A/K)$ as in loc. cit.

Using Theorem 1.3 we show that the rank results of Theorem 1.1 are equivalent to those obtained from performing a 4-descent on the twist $X_0(15)_{-p}$ over \mathbb{Q} . Specifically, using the Chinese remainder theorem to combine the congruence conditions mod 15 and mod 8 from Theorem 1.1 (ii) and (iii), we prove the following results.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $p \equiv 1, 49 \pmod{120}$ be a prime and let $E = X_0(15)$.*

- (i) *If $[-1, 10, p] = -1$, then $\text{rank}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ and $\text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[4] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$.*

- (ii) If $[-1, 10, p] = 1$, then $\text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[4] \xrightarrow{-2} \text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[2]$ is surjective.
 (iii) If $[-1, 10, p] = 1$ and $\text{rank}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q}) = 0$, then $\text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[4] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^2$.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $p \equiv 17, 113 \pmod{120}$ be a prime and let $E = X_0(15)_{-p}$.*

- (i) If $[-1, 2, p] = 1$, then $\text{rank}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ and $\text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[4] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$.
 (ii) If $[-1, 2, p] = -1$, then $\text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[4] \xrightarrow{-2} \text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[2]$ is surjective.
 (iii) If $[-1, 2, p] = -1$ and $\text{rank}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q}) = 0$, then $\text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[4] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^2$.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we discuss the explicit method of 2-descent we will use. Section 3 covers all the 2-Selmer group computations and provides a proof of Theorem 1.1. In section 4 we cover the visualisation arguments that enable us to prove Theorem 1.3, which combined with the 2-Selmer group computations of section 3 allows for a proof of Theorems 1.4 and 1.5. Lastly, section 5 has some closing remarks about higher descents, a discussion of the applicability of Theorem 1.3 in general, and the rank of $X_0(15)$ over other families of imaginary quadratic fields like $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2p})$.

2. 2-DESCENT

Let K be a number field and A and Abelian variety over K . The Mordell-Weil theorem states that $A(K)$ is a finitely generated Abelian group, and the method of 2-descent provides an upper bound on its rank $A(K)$. Especially in the case of elliptic curves, this is a well-known procedure, see for example [20, Ch. X]. The main reference of this section is [18].

First some notation. The Galois cohomology groups $H^*(G_K, M)$, for G_K the absolute Galois group of K will be denoted by $H^*(K, M)$, and when $M = G(\overline{K})$ for a commutative group scheme G over K we will further abbreviate the notation to $H^*(K, G)$. For example if E is an elliptic curve over K we will write $H^1(K, E)$ and $H^1(K, E[2])$ for $H^1(G_K, E(\overline{K}))$ and $H^1(G_K, E(\overline{K})[2])$, respectively.

The short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A[2] \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{2} A \rightarrow 0$ gives through Galois cohomology the long exact sequence

$$(3) \quad 0 \rightarrow A(K)[2] \rightarrow A(K) \xrightarrow{2} A(K) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(K, A[2]) \rightarrow H^1(K, A) \rightarrow H^1(K, A) \rightarrow \dots$$

For a completion $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we can identify $G_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ with a decomposition group $D_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset G_K$ over \mathfrak{p} , hence we have restriction maps $\text{res}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ on cohomology², which form compatible maps with the long exact sequence (3) and its local version involving $A(K_{\mathfrak{p}}) \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}} H^1(K_{\mathfrak{p}}, A[2])$. We define

$$S^2(A/K) := \ker \left(H^1(K, A[2]) \rightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} H^1(K_{\mathfrak{p}}, A) \right),$$

$$\text{III}(A/K) := \ker \left(H^1(K, A) \rightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} H^1(K_{\mathfrak{p}}, A) \right).$$

²These do not depend on the choice of decomposition group as different choices differ by a conjugation map, which is trivial on cohomology.

where $S^2(A/K)$ is the 2-Selmer group of A , and $\text{III}(A/K)$ the Tate-Shafarevich group of A , which fit in the short exact sequence

$$(4) \quad 0 \rightarrow A(K)/2A(K) \rightarrow S^2(A/K) \rightarrow \text{III}(A/K)[2] \rightarrow 0.$$

Let S be the set consisting of the primes of K that are either infinite, lying over 2, or are primes of bad reduction. Denote by $H^1(K, A[2]; S)$ the subgroup of $H^1(K, A[2])$ consisting of the cocycles unramified outside S . Then $H^1(K, A[2]; S)$ is finite and contains $S^2(A/K)$. In fact

$$(5) \quad S^2(A/K) = \{\xi \in H^1(K, A[2]; S) : \text{res}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\xi) \in \text{im}(\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}) \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \in S\},$$

see [16, Ch. I, Cor. 6.6]. In particular, since $A(K)/2A(K)$ has \mathbb{F}_2 -dimension $\text{rank}(A/K) + A(K)[2]$, we obtain from (4) the identity

$$(6) \quad \text{rank}(A/K) + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{III}(A/K)[2] = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} S^2(A/K) - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} A(K)[2].$$

The quantity $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} S^2(A/K) - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} A(K)[2]$, sometimes called the 2-Selmer rank, provides an upper bound on $\text{rank}(A/K)$, and the obstruction to its sharpness is measured by $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{III}(A/K)[2]$.

Now assume that $A = E$ is an elliptic curve given by the equation $y^2 = f(x)$, for $f(x) \in K[x]$ monic of degree 3. In order to compute the 2-Selmer group $S^2(E/K)$, we make the cohomological setup more explicit. Consider the étale K -algebra $M_K = K[t]/f(t)$. The norm map $M_K \rightarrow K$ induces a map $M_K^*/M_K^{*2} \rightarrow K^*/K^{*2}$, of which we denote the kernel by M'_K .

$$M'_K := \ker(M_K^*/M_K^{*2} \rightarrow K^*/K^{*2}),$$

Then [18, Thm. 1.1 & 1.2] establishes an isomorphism $H^1(K, E[2]) \cong M'_K$, under which the connecting homomorphism $E(K) \rightarrow H^1(K, E[2])$ translates to the map $E(K) \rightarrow M'_K$ determined by

$$(7) \quad (a, b) \mapsto a - t, \quad b \neq 0.$$

This isomorphism $H^1(K, E[2]) \cong M'_K$ also holds upon replacing K with a completion $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and upon applying both isomorphisms, the restriction map $H^1(K, E[2]) \rightarrow H^1(K_{\mathfrak{p}}, E[2])$ corresponds to the natural map $M'_K \rightarrow M'_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ induced by $K \rightarrow K_{\mathfrak{p}}$. We will also rewrite this embedding according to how M_K splits as a product of fields K_i , thus representing elements of $H^1(K, E[2])$ as tuples (x_i) , with $x_i \in K_i^*/K_i^{*2}$. Under this correspondence, elements in $H^1(K, E[2]; S)$ correspond to those tuples (x_i) for which $K_i(\sqrt{x_i})$ is unramified outside S for all i .

Now suppose that $E(\overline{K})[2] = E(K)[2]$, that is $f(x)$ splits completely in $K[x]$, say as $f(x) = (x - e_1)(x - e_2)(x - e_3)$. Then $M_K \cong K \times K \times K$ via $t \mapsto (e_1, e_2, e_3)$, which induces an isomorphism $M'_K \cong \ker\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^3 K^*/K^{*2} \xrightarrow{N} K^*/K^{*2}\right)$. Here $N(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1 x_2 x_3$. Also let $d \in K^*$ and consider the quadratic twist E_d/K with equation $dy^2 = (x - e_1)(x - e_2)(x - e_3)$. If $(x', y') = (dx, d^2y)$ we obtain the Weierstrass equation $(y')^2 = (x' - de_1)(x' - de_2)(x' - de_3)$, of which the corresponding étale algebra is isomorphic to M_K , so we can translate the embedding of E_d

using the model $dy^2 = (x - e_1)(x - e_2)(x - e_3)$. It is given as follows.

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} E_d(K)/2E_d(K) &\xrightarrow{\delta} \ker \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^3 K^*/K^{*2} \rightarrow K^*/K^{*2} \right), \\ (a, b) &\mapsto d(a - e_1, a - e_2, a - e_3), \quad \text{if } b \neq 0 \\ (e_1, 0) &\mapsto (*, d(e_1 - e_2), d(e_1 - e_3)), \\ (e_2, 0) &\mapsto (d(e_2 - e_1), *, d(e_2 - e_3)), \\ (e_3, 0) &\mapsto (d(e_3 - e_1), d(e_3 - e_2), *). \end{aligned}$$

The $*$ -values are determined by other coordinates, for example the first coordinate of the image of $(e_1, 0)$ is $(e_1 - e_2)(e_1 - e_3)$.

Remark 2.1. If $d \in K^*$ is a square, the explicit Kummer-embedding of E_d from (8) is identical to the one of E . In particular, the abstract isomorphism of Selmer groups of E and E_d induced by the obvious isomorphism $E \cong E_d$ becomes an actual equality in the explicit setup.

We then have the following commutative diagram

$$(9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} E_d(K)/2E_d(K) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \ker \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^3 K^*/K^{*2} \rightarrow K^*/K^{*2} \right) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota_{\mathfrak{p}} \\ E_d(K_{\mathfrak{p}})/2E_d(K_{\mathfrak{p}}) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}} & \ker \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^3 K_{\mathfrak{p}}^*/K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{*2} \rightarrow K_{\mathfrak{p}}^*/K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{*2} \right), \end{array}$$

And we interpret the 2-Selmer group $S^2(E/K)$ as a subgroup of the top right kernel in (9). For a finite set S of primes of K , let $K(S) = \{x \in K^*/K^{*2} : v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ for all finite } \mathfrak{p} \notin S\}$. Then (5) translates to

$$S^2(E/K) = \left\{ x \in \ker \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^3 K(S) \rightarrow K(S) \right) : \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \in \text{im}(\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}) \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \in S \right\},$$

where S is the set consisting of finite primes of K where E has bad reduction, the finite primes over 2 and the real primes of K , and $K(S) = \{x \in K^*/K^{*2} : v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ for all finite } \mathfrak{p} \notin S\}$. For $x = (e_1, e_2, e_3) \in \ker \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^3 K^*/K^{*2} \rightarrow K^*/K^{*2} \right)$ we have $\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \in \text{im}(\delta_{\mathfrak{p}})$ if and only if $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(e_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for all i . Thus if we let S be the set consisting of finite primes of K where E has bad reduction, the finite primes over 2 and the real primes of K , we have

$$S^2(E/K) = \left\{ x \in \ker \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^3 K(S) \rightarrow K(S) \right) : \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \in \text{im}(\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}) \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \in S \right\}.$$

Note that local images at complex primes are trivial so we can omit those in S . The set $K(S)$ is finite: it fits in the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R_S^*/R_S^{*2} \rightarrow K(S) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(R_S)[2] \rightarrow 0,$$

where $R_S \subset K$ is the ring of S -integers. We can thus compute $S^2(E/K)$ provided that we have computed the local images $\text{im}(\delta_{\mathfrak{p}})$ for $\mathfrak{p} \in S$, an \mathbb{F}_2 -basis for $K(S)$,

and for each basis element its image under the natural maps $K^*/K^{*2} \rightarrow K_{\mathfrak{p}}^*/K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{*2}$ for $\mathfrak{p} \in S$. To compute the local images we use the following Proposition.

Proposition 2.2. *Let F be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p for some prime p of \mathbb{Q} . Let E be an elliptic curve over F . Then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(E(F)/2E(F)) = \begin{cases} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}E(F)[2] + [F : \mathbb{Q}_2] & \text{if } p = 2, \\ \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}E(F)[2] & \text{if } 2 < p < \infty, \\ \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}E(F)[2] - 1 & \text{if } F = \mathbb{R}, \\ 0 & \text{if } F = \mathbb{C} \end{cases}$$

Proof. See [22, Lem. 4.4 & Lem. 4.8]. □

3. $X_0(15)$ AND 2-SELMER GROUP COMPUTATIONS

In this section we consider the rank of $X_0(15)$ over imaginary quadratic fields. After discussing the parity of the rank in relation to global root numbers, we discuss the torsion subgroup and some local images of an arbitrary quadratic twist $X_0(15)_d$. These local images are then used for various 2-Selmer group computations, resulting in a proof of Theorem 1.1.

Conjecture 3.1 (Parity conjecture). *For an elliptic curve E defined over a number field K we have*

$$w(E/K) = (-1)^{\text{rank}(E/K)}.$$

Here $w(E/K) \in \{\pm 1\}$ is the global root number of E/K , which is the sign of the conjectural functional equation of the L -function of E/K . The parity conjecture is weaker than the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture. We refer to [10] for an overview on how the parity conjecture relates to various other conjectures, like finiteness of III.

Let us fix the notation $E = X_0(15)$ for the remainder of this section. A model for E is given by $v^2 + uv + v = u^3 + u^2 - 10u - 10$, see [14, Elliptic curve 15.a5]. The coordinate change $(x, y) = (4u, 8v - 4u - 4)$ brings the equation in the more desirable form for the purpose of 2-descent, yielding the equation

$$E : y^2 = (x + 13)(x + 4)(x - 12).$$

Proposition 3.2. *Let d be positive square-free integer. Then*

$$w(E/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})) = 1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad d \equiv 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 12 \pmod{15}.$$

Proof. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$. As E/\mathbb{Q} is semistable, [11, Thm. 3] implies that

$$w(E/K) = (-1)^{1+s},$$

where s is the number of finite primes of K at which E has split multiplicative reduction. Over the rationals, E has non-split multiplicative reduction at 3, split multiplicative reduction at 5, and good reduction elsewhere. We see that a prime of K over 3 has split multiplicative reduction precisely when the residue field degree is 2, i.e. when 3 is inert in K , in which case there is one such prime. As any prime

of K over 5 has split multiplicative reduction, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \#\text{split multiplicative primes of } K \text{ over } 3 &= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \left(\frac{-d}{3}\right) = -1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \#\text{split multiplicative primes of } K \text{ over } 5 &= \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } \left(\frac{-d}{5}\right) = 1 \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The result follows by going over the cases for $d \pmod{15}$. \square

The parity conjecture together with Proposition 3.2 thus immediately yields the following.

Corollary 3.3. *Let d be a positive square-free integer and assume the parity conjecture. Then*

$$\text{rank}(E/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})) \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \iff d \equiv 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 12 \pmod{15}.$$

In particular, assuming the parity conjecture, we see that E has non-zero rank over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ when $d \equiv 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14 \pmod{15}$, so [7, Thm. 1.1] cannot be used to prove modularity of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ for those d . For this reason we restrict to the congruence conditions of Corollary 3.3 whenever we attempt to prove rank 0 for a specific d .

Let $d \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ be a non-square. Then we have the well-known relation

$$\text{rank}(E/\mathbb{Q}) + \text{rank}(E_d/\mathbb{Q}) = \text{rank}(E/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})),$$

corresponding to a decomposition of $E(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ into eigenspaces for the action of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})/\mathbb{Q})$, which we will use freely throughout the rest of the paper. In section 4 we will also encounter a proof, see Proposition 4.2. In Proposition 3.5 we will see that $\text{rank}(E/\mathbb{Q}) = 0$, so $\text{rank}(E_d/\mathbb{Q}) = \text{rank}(E/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}))$. It follows that we can obtain upper bounds on $\text{rank}(E/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}))$ by doing a 2-Selmer group computation of E_d/\mathbb{Q} . We start by computing the torsion subgroup and the local images over 2, 3, 5 and ∞ for any such twist. Then we focus on the main object of interest, the twist E_{-p} for a prime p .

For a number field K and $d \in K^*$, set $e_1 = -13$, $e_2 = -4$ and $e_3 = 12$ as in the explicit 2-descent case of section 2 corresponding to rational 2-torsion. Then the Kummer-embedding for E_d as in (9) is given as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} (10) \quad E_d(K)/2E_d(K) &\xrightarrow{\delta} \ker \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^3 K^*/K^{*2} \rightarrow K^*/K^{*2} \right), \\ &(a, b) \mapsto d(a + 13, a + 4, a - 12), \quad \text{if } b \neq 0 \\ &(-13, 0) \mapsto (1, -d, -d), \\ &(-4, 0) \mapsto (d, -1, -d), \\ &(12, 0) \mapsto (d, d, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.4. *Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ be square-free. Then*

$$E_d(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } d \in \{1, -1\} \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The points of order 4 are $(-8, \pm 20)$ and $(32, \pm 180)$ when $d = 1$, and $(2, \pm 30)$ and $(-28, 120)$ when $d = -1$.

Proof. The twist E_d has good reduction over $\mathbb{Q}_7(\sqrt{7})$, so we have injections

$$E_d(\mathbb{Q})[m] \hookrightarrow E_d(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{7}))[m] \hookrightarrow \tilde{E}_d(\mathbb{F}_7)$$

for any positive integer m coprime to 7. Here the reduction \tilde{E}_d is with respect to $\mathbb{Q}_7(\sqrt{7})$. There are two options for this reduction, depending on the class of d in F^*/F^{*2} for $F = \mathbb{Q}_7(\sqrt{7})$. In both cases we have $\#\tilde{E}_d(\mathbb{F}_7) = 8$, which implies that $\#E_d(\mathbb{Q})[2^\infty] \leq 8$ and $E_d(\mathbb{Q})[p] = 0$ for all primes $p \neq 2, 7$. Similarly, E_d has good reduction over $\mathbb{Q}_{11}(\sqrt{11})$ and both possibilities for the reduction have exactly 16 rational points, so we can also conclude that $E_d(\mathbb{Q})[7] = 0$. It follows that $\#E_d(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \leq 8$.

As $E_d(\mathbb{Q})[2] \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ the torsion subgroup $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$ is isomorphic to either $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. The latter case occurs precisely when $E_d(\mathbb{Q})[2] \rightarrow E_d(\mathbb{Q})/2E_d(\mathbb{Q})$ is injective, which because of (10) is equivalent to $(1, -d, -d)$ and $(d, d, 1)$ being linearly independent in $\bigoplus_{i=1}^3 \mathbb{Q}^*/\mathbb{Q}^{*2}$, which is the case precisely when $d \neq \pm 1$.

Finally, when $d = 1$ we see that $(-8, \pm 20)$ and $(32, \pm 180)$ are indeed points on the curve, which have order 4 as their doubles equal $(12, 0)$. Similarly the doubles of the rational points $(2, \pm 30)$ and $(-28, 120)$ on E_{-1} equal $(-13, 0)$. \square

If one wishes to crack a nut with a sledgehammer, one can also appeal to Mazur's theorem on torsion subgroups over \mathbb{Q} as an alternative to the reduction arguments in the proof of Proposition 3.4. Then (10) is also used to exclude the $\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ case.

Let us now prepare for various 2-Selmer group computations by providing all possible images of the map

$$E_d(\mathbb{Q}_p)/2E_d(\mathbb{Q}_p) \xrightarrow{\delta_p} \ker \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^3 \mathbb{Q}_p^*/\mathbb{Q}_p^{*2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p^*/\mathbb{Q}_p^{*2} \right)$$

for $p = 2, 3, 5, \infty$. From (10) we see that the local image $\text{im}(\delta_p)$ depends only on the class of d in $\mathbb{Q}_p^*/\mathbb{Q}_p^{*2}$. We have $\mathbb{Q}_2^*/\mathbb{Q}_2^{*2} = \langle 2, -1, 3 \rangle$, $\mathbb{Q}_3^*/\mathbb{Q}_3^{*2} = \langle 3, -1 \rangle$, $\mathbb{Q}_5^*/\mathbb{Q}_5^{*2} = \langle 5, 2 \rangle$ and $\mathbb{R}^*/\mathbb{R}^{*2} = \langle -1 \rangle$. The local images over 2 then have the following \mathbb{F}_2 -bases.

$d \in \mathbb{Q}_2^*/\mathbb{Q}_2^{*2}$	1	-1	3	-3
$\text{im}(\delta_2)$	$(1, -1, -1)$ $(1, 3, 3)$ $(-3, 1, -3)$	$(-1, -1, 1)$ $(1, -3, -3)$ $(1, 2, 2)$	$(1, -3, -3)$ $(3, 3, 1)$ $(1, 6, 6)$	$(1, 3, 3)$ $(-3, -3, 1)$ $(1, -1, -1)$
$d \in \mathbb{Q}_2^*/\mathbb{Q}_2^{*2}$	2	-2	6	-6
$\text{im}(\delta_2)$	$(1, -2, -2)$ $(2, 2, 1)$ $(1, -1, -1)$	$(1, 2, 2)$ $(-2, -2, 1)$ $(1, 3, 3)$	$(1, -3, -3)$ $(1, -2, -2)$ $(6, 6, 1)$	$(1, -1, -1)$ $(1, 6, 6)$ $(-6, -6, 1)$

(11)

The other images are as follows.

$$(12) \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline d \in \mathbb{Q}_3^*/\mathbb{Q}_3^{*2} & 1 & -1 & 3 & -3 \\ \hline \text{im}(\delta_3) & \begin{pmatrix} 1, -1, -1 \\ -1, -1, 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} -1, -1, 1 \\ -3, -3, 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1, -3, -3 \\ 3, 3, 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1, 3, 3 \\ -3, -3, 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline d \in \mathbb{Q}_5^*/\mathbb{Q}_5^{*2} & 1 & 2 & 5 & 10 \\ \hline \text{im}(\delta_5) & \begin{pmatrix} 5, 1, 5 \\ 2, 1, 2 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1, 2, 2 \\ 2, 2, 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1, 5, 5 \\ 5, 5, 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1, 10, 10 \\ 10, 10, 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline d \in \mathbb{R}^*/\mathbb{R}^{*2} & 1 & -1 \\ \hline \text{im}(\delta_\infty) & (1, -1, -1) & (-1, -1, 1) \\ \hline \end{array}$$

For the justification of these local images, observe that Proposition 2.2 gives us the \mathbb{F}_2 -dimensions, and one sees quickly that the given elements are linearly independent in all cases, so it remains to show that they are actually in the respective local images. The 2-dimensional image of $E_d(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$ yields points in the local images as well, which depending on p and d have to be supplemented by additional local points. It turns out these can be found by taking for x an integer $-6 \leq x \leq 9$. For example when $p = 2$ and $d = 1$, we have the elements $(1, -1, -1)$ and $(-3, 1, -3)$ from the global torsion (the global 4-torsion point $(32, 180)$ has image $(5, 1, 5)$), and for $x = 8$ we have in $\mathbb{Q}_2^*/\mathbb{Q}_2^{*2}$

$$(x + 13)(x + 4)(x - 12) = 21 \cdot 12 \cdot -4 = 1,$$

so we obtain a point $(8, ?) \in E(\mathbb{Q}_2)$, which has image $(-3, 3, -1)$. The other cases are left to the reader.

Proposition 3.5. *For $d \in \{1, -1, -2, -3, -5\}$ we have*

$$\text{rank}(E_d/\mathbb{Q}) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{III}(E_d/\mathbb{Q})[2] = 0.$$

Proof. From (6) we have

$$\text{rank}(E_d/\mathbb{Q}) + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{III}(E_d/\mathbb{Q})[2] = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} S^2(E_d/\mathbb{Q}) - 2,$$

so the result follows by proving that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} S^2(E_d/\mathbb{Q}) = 2$. The twist E_d has good reduction at primes > 5 , and bad (in fact multiplicative) reduction at 3 and 5, so we take $S = \{2, 3, 5, \infty\}$. Then $\mathbb{Q}(S) = \langle -1, 2, 3, 5 \rangle \subset \mathbb{Q}^*/\mathbb{Q}^{*2}$. Recall that δ and the local versions δ_p for $p \in S$ fit in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_d(\mathbb{Q})/2E_d(\mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \ker \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^3 \mathbb{Q}^*/\mathbb{Q}^{*2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^*/\mathbb{Q}^{*2} \right) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota_p \\ E_d(\mathbb{Q}_p)/2E_d(\mathbb{Q}_p) & \xrightarrow{\delta_p} & \ker \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^3 \mathbb{Q}_p^*/\mathbb{Q}_p^{*2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p^*/\mathbb{Q}_p^{*2} \right), \end{array}$$

and that

$$S^2(E_d/\mathbb{Q}) = \left\{ x \in \ker \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^3 \mathbb{Q}(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^*/\mathbb{Q}^{*2} \right) : \iota_p(x) \in \text{im}(\delta_p), \forall p \in S \right\}.$$

Consider the case $d = 1$ and let $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in S^2(E/\mathbb{Q})$. Then from (11) we see that $\iota_2(x) \in \text{im}(\delta_2)$ implies that $x_i \in \langle -1, 3, 5 \rangle$ for all i . Similarly, considering

the 3-adic image $\text{im}(\delta_3)$ implies $x_i \in \langle -1, 2, 5 \rangle$ for all i , hence for each i we have $x_i \in \langle -1, 3, 5 \rangle \cap \langle -1, 2, 5 \rangle = \langle -1, 5 \rangle$. As $5 = -3$ in $\mathbb{Q}_2^*/\mathbb{Q}_2^{*2}$, the 2-adic image implies $x_1 \in \langle 5 \rangle$, and from the 5-adic image we deduce $x_2 \in \langle -1 \rangle$. This leaves 4 options in total for x , proving that indeed $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} S^2(E/\mathbb{Q}) = 2$.

Now suppose that $d = -1$. Then in $S^2(E_{-1}/\mathbb{Q})$ we have the 2-dimensional subspace generated by $(-1, -1, 1)$ and $(15, 6, 10)$, coming from $E_{-1}(\mathbb{Q}_{\text{tors}})$. This subspace injects onto the subspace of the 2-adic image spanned by $(-1, -1, 1)$ and $(1, -6, -6)$, hence we have $S^2(E_{-1}/\mathbb{Q}) = H \oplus \langle (-1, -1, 1), (15, 6, 10) \rangle$ for

$$H = \{x \in S^2(E_{-1}/\mathbb{Q}) : \iota_2(x) \in \langle (1, 2, 2) \rangle\}.$$

Let $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in H$. Then $x_3 \in \langle 10 \rangle$ by the 3-adic and real image, which combined with the 2-adic image forces $x_3 = 1$. Then x has trivial 2-adic image, which combined with the 5-adic image implies $x_2 = 1$, hence $H = 0$ and $S^2(E_{-1}/\mathbb{Q})$ is 2-dimensional as desired.

The remaining cases are similar and are left to the reader. \square

We now focus on when the rank of E over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$ can be 0, where p is some prime. In accordance with Corollary 3.3 we restrict attention to the case $p \equiv 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8 \pmod{15}$ (no prime can be $\equiv 0, 12 \pmod{15}$), for otherwise we expect an odd, hence non-zero rank. From the $d = 1$ case of Proposition 3.5 we have

$$(13) \quad \text{rank}(E/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})) = \text{rank}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q}).$$

Proposition 3.5 thus implies that $\text{rank}(E/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})) = 0$ for $p \leq 5$, so assume $p > 5$. This leaves the possible congruences $p \equiv 1, 2, 4, 8 \pmod{15}$.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $p \equiv 1, 2, 4, 8 \pmod{15}$ be a prime > 5 . Then the image of $E_{-p}(\mathbb{Q})[2]$ gives two linearly independent elements $(-p, -p, 1)$ and $(1, p, p)$ in $S^2(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})$. The dimension and additional generators for $S^2(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})$ depend on $p \pmod{8}$ and $p \pmod{15}$ as follows.*

$p \pmod{8}$	$p \pmod{15}$	$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} S^2(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})$	additional generators
1	1, 4	4	$(15, 6, 10), (-1, -1, 1)$
1	2, 8	4	$(1, 2, 2), (-1, -1, 1)$
not 1	any	2	none

Proof. We take $S = \{2, 3, 5, p, \infty\}$. Then $\mathbb{Q}(S) = \langle -1, 2, 3, 5, p \rangle$. Besides the local images over 2, 3, 5 and ∞ from (11) and (12) we also need the image at p . We have $\mathbb{Q}_p^*/\mathbb{Q}_p^{*2} = \langle r, p \rangle$ with $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ a non-square mod p , which we will take to be -1 when $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. The image comes from the 2-torsion and is given as follows depending on $p \pmod{4}$.

$$\text{im}(\delta_p) : \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} & p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\ \hline (p, p, 1) & (-p, -p, 1) \\ \hline (1, p, p) & (1, p, p) \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Let $H = \{x \in S^2(E/K) : \iota_p(x) = 1\}$. As ι_p maps the δ -image of $E_{-p}(\mathbb{Q})[2]$ onto the p -adic image, we have

$$S^2(E/K) = H \oplus \delta(E_{-p}(\mathbb{Q})[2]).$$

Case 1: $p \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{15}$.

In this case, the images of the generators for $\mathbb{Q}(S)$ under the maps $\mathbb{Q}^*/\mathbb{Q}^{*2} \rightarrow$

$\mathbb{Q}_q^*/\mathbb{Q}_q^{*2}$ for $q \in S$, which we will sometimes write as $\text{im}_q(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{Q}(S)$, are given as follows

	2	3	5	p	∞
-1	-1	-1	1		-1
2	2	-1	2		1
3	3	3	2		1
5	-3	-1	5	1	1
p		1	1	p	1

Here the empty entries depend on $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. Let $x = (e_1, e_2, e_3) \in H$. Then $e_i \in \langle -1, 2, 3, 5 \rangle$ for all i as $\iota_p(x) = 1$. Since $\text{im}_3(e_3)$ and $\text{im}_\infty(e_3)$ are both trivial, we get $e_3 \in \langle 10 \rangle$. As $\text{im}_5(e_2) = 1$ we have $e_2 \in \langle -1, 6 \rangle$.

If $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, we see that $\text{im}_2(e_3) = -6$ cannot occur, hence $e_3 = 1$, which when $p \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}$ implies $e_2 = 1$ by considering the 2-adic image. When $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ considering the 2-adic image yields $e_2 \in \langle -1 \rangle$, but $e_2 = -1$ cannot happen as it doesn't have trivial p -adic image. We conclude that $H = 0$ when $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{8}$.

If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, then one checks that $(15, 6, 10) \in H$, so we obtain a complement for $\langle (15, 6, 10) \rangle$ in H by setting $e_3 = 1$. Then the 2-adic image of x is contained in $\langle (-1, -1, 1) \rangle$, so $e_2 \in \langle -1 \rangle$. This leaves two options, and as indeed $(-1, -1, 1) \in H$, it follows that H is 2-dimensional and generated by $(15, 6, 10)$ and $(-1, -1, 1)$.

Case 2: $p \equiv 2, 8 \pmod{15}$.

In this case we take $\mathbb{Q}_p^*/\mathbb{Q}_p^{*2} = \langle 3, p \rangle$, and the table of local images of the generators for $\mathbb{Q}(S)$ is then as follows.

	2	3	5	p	∞
-1	-1	-1	1		-1
2	2	-1	2		1
3	3	3	2		1
5	-3	-1	5	3	1
p		-1	2	p	1

Let $x = (e_1, e_2, e_3) \in H$. Then the q -adic images for $q = 3, 5, p$ imply $e_i \in \langle -1, 2 \rangle$ for all i . By the real image also $e_3 \in \langle 2 \rangle$. When $p \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}$, the 2-adic image implies $e_3 = 1$, and then also $e_2 = 1$ so $H = 0$. When $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ we get $e_3 = 1$ and $e_2 \in \langle -1 \rangle$ from the 2-adic image. But -1 has non-trivial p -adic image, hence $e_2 = 1$. It follows that $H = 0$ when $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{8}$.

When $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ we see that $(1, 2, 2) \in H$ so we have a complement for $\langle (1, 2, 2) \rangle$ in H by setting $e_3 = 1$. Then $e_2 \in \langle -1 \rangle$ by the 2-adic image, and since $(-1, -1, 1) \in H$, it follows that H is 2-dimensional and generated by $(1, 2, 2)$ and $(-1, -1, 1)$. \square

It follows that the rank of E over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$ is at most 2 when $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, and equal to 0 when $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. In particular, combined with Proposition 3.5 this settles the case (i) of Theorem 1.1.

We will now focus on the goal of improving the rank bound when $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. To this end, observe that for a square-free integer $d \neq -p$, the twists E_{-p} and E_d are quadratic twists of each other by $-dp$, hence

$$(14) \quad \text{rank}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q}) + \text{rank}(E_d/\mathbb{Q}) = \text{rank}\left(E_d/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-dp})\right).$$

By choosing d appropriately, a 2-Selmer group computation of E_d over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-dp})$ can improve the rank bound from Proposition 3.6 for some primes $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. In

fact, choosing $d = -1$ will settle case (ii) of Theorem 1.1, while $d = -17$ settles case (iii). In section 4 these choices for d are clarified.

The remaining 2-Selmer group computations will make use of Rédei symbols as in [21]. Let us for convenience extend the definition of minimal ramification as follows. In the notation of [21, Def. 7.6] we say simply that L is minimally ramified if $K \subset L$ is minimally ramified over E , which causes no confusion as K and E are determined by L . Additionally, we say that both $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{\beta})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{b}, \sqrt{\beta})$ are minimally ramified if their normal closure over \mathbb{Q} , which is L , is minimally ramified.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $p \equiv 1, 49 \pmod{120}$ be a prime. Then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} S^2(E_{-1}/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})) = \begin{cases} 4 & \text{if } [-1, 10, p] = 1 \\ 2 & \text{if } [-1, 10, p] = -1 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$. Let S consist of the primes of K lying over 30 together with the real primes. Then $|S| = 8$, and the completions at the primes in S are given by $\mathbb{Q}_2, \mathbb{Q}_3, \mathbb{Q}_5$ and \mathbb{R} , hence we can take the local images from the $d = -1$ cases in (11) and (12). They are given as follows.

$\text{im}(\delta_2)$	$\text{im}(\delta_3)$	$\text{im}(\delta_5)$	$\text{im}(\delta_\infty)$
(-1, -1, 1)	(-1, -1, 1)	(2, 1, 2)	(-1, -1, 1)
(1, -6, -6)	(3, 3, 1)	(5, 1, 5)	
(1, 2, 2)			

We have the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R_S^*/R_S^{*2} \rightarrow K(S) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(R_S)[2] \rightarrow 0,$$

where $R_S = \{x \in K : v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \geq 0 \text{ for all finite } \mathfrak{p} \in S\}$ is the ring of S -integers. Since $\text{Cl}(R_S)$ is a quotient of Cl_K and $\text{Cl}_K[2] = 0$, we have $\text{Cl}(R_S)[2] = 0$. The finitely generated abelian group R_S^* has rank 7 and cyclic torsion subgroup of even order, so it follows that R_S^*/R_S^{*2} , and hence also $K(S)$, has \mathbb{F}_2 -dimension 8.

To find a convenient basis for $K(S)$, observe that for $d \in \{-1, 2, 3, 5\}$ we have $(p, d)_q = 1$ for all primes q , hence there exists $x_d \in K$ with $N(x_d) \in d\mathbb{Q}^{*2}$ such that $K(\sqrt{x_d})/K$ is minimally ramified. Also denote by y_d the conjugate of x_d . Let

$$H := \langle x_{-1}, y_{-1}, x_2, y_2, x_3, y_3, x_5, y_5 \rangle \subset K^*/K^{*2}.$$

The minimal ramification of the extensions $K(\sqrt{x_d})/K$ implies $H \subset K(S)$. In fact equality holds because the norm-induced map $H \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^*/\mathbb{Q}^{*2}$ has kernel and image of dimension 4, so H and $K(S)$ have the same \mathbb{F}_2 -dimension.

For each $d \in \{-1, 2, 3, 5\}$, we may replace both x_d and y_d with tx_d and ty_d , respectively, for some t in the twisting subgroup $T_{p,d} \subset \mathbb{Q}^*/\mathbb{Q}^{*2}$ as in [21, Eq. (43) and Lemma 7.7]. This doesn't change the fact that they form a basis for $K(S)$. These subgroups are given as follows

d	$T_{p,d}$
-1	$\langle -1, p \rangle$
2	$\langle 2, p \rangle$
3	$\langle -1, -3, p \rangle$
5	$\langle 5, p \rangle$

We now make various choices, using up part of the elbow room provided by the twisting subgroups.

Let $\mathfrak{p}_\infty : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the infinite prime such that $\mathfrak{p}_\infty(x_{-1}) > 0$ and let \mathfrak{q}_∞ be the other infinite prime. Let \mathfrak{p}_2 be the prime of K over 2 that is unramified in $K(\sqrt{x_{-1}})$ and let \mathfrak{q}_2 be the conjugate of \mathfrak{p}_2 . Twist x_2 by 2 if necessary such that \mathfrak{p}_2 is unramified in $K(\sqrt{x_2})$. For $r \in \{3, 5\}$, let \mathfrak{p}_r be the prime of K over r that splits in $K(\sqrt{x_2})$ and let \mathfrak{q}_r be the other prime over r . Twist x_r by r^* if necessary such that \mathfrak{p}_r is unramified in $K(\sqrt{x_r})$. Finally, twist x_3 by -1 if necessary such that \mathfrak{p}_2 is unramified in $K(\sqrt{x_3})$. For $d \in \{3, 5\}$, we get from $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_2, y_d)_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$ that $\text{im}_{\mathfrak{p}_2}(x_d) = 1$. We now have the following table, where the entries in a column corresponding to a prime $\mathfrak{p} \in S$ are the images of the generators of $K(S)$ under the map $K(S) \rightarrow K_{\mathfrak{p}}^*/K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{*2}$. We will often write $\text{im}_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)$ for the image of $x \in K(S)$ under this map.

$$(15) \quad \begin{array}{c|cccccccc} & \mathfrak{p}_2 & \mathfrak{q}_2 & \mathfrak{p}_3 & \mathfrak{q}_3 & \mathfrak{p}_5 & \mathfrak{q}_5 & \mathfrak{p}_\infty & \mathfrak{q}_\infty \\ \hline x_{-1} & \text{gray} & & \text{gray} & & \text{gray} & & 1 & -1 \\ y_{-1} & & & & & & & -1 & 1 \\ x_2 & \text{gray} & & 1 & -1 & 1 & 2 & \text{gray} & \\ y_2 & & & -1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & & \\ x_3 & 1 & 3 & \text{gray} & & \text{gray} & & \text{gray} & \\ y_3 & 3 & 1 & & & & & & \\ x_5 & 1 & -3 & \text{gray} & & \text{gray} & & \text{gray} & \\ y_5 & -3 & 1 & & & & & & \end{array}$$

For conjugate primes \mathfrak{p} and \mathfrak{q} of K we have $\text{im}_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_d) = \text{im}_{\mathfrak{q}}(y_d)$, and also $\text{im}_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_d) = d \cdot \text{im}_{\mathfrak{p}}(y_d)$. It follows that any 2×2 block of the table corresponding to two conjugate primes and x_d and y_d for some $d \in \{-1, 2, 3, 5\}$ is determined by any one of the four entries. Each gray-colored entry has only two options because \mathfrak{p}_i is unramified in $K(\sqrt{x_d})$ for $i \in \{2, 3, 5, \infty\}$ and $d \in \{-1, 2, 3, 5\}$, hence there are at most 2^{11} possibilities for the table. This upper bound can be reduced to 2^7 due to various reciprocity relations³:

- (a) $\text{im}_{\mathfrak{p}_2}(x_{-1}) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \text{im}_{\mathfrak{p}_\infty}(x_2) = 1$ as $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_{-1}, y_2)_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$.
- (b) $\text{im}_{\mathfrak{p}_3}(x_{-1}) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \text{im}_{\mathfrak{p}_\infty}(x_3) = 1$ as $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_{-1}, y_3)_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$.
- (c) $\text{im}_{\mathfrak{p}_5}(x_{-1}) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \text{im}_{\mathfrak{p}_\infty}(x_5) = 1$ as $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_{-1}, y_5)_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$.
- (d) $\text{im}_{\mathfrak{p}_5}(x_3) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \text{im}_{\mathfrak{p}_3}(x_5) = 1$ as $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_3, y_5)_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$.

We now take four cases, depending on $\text{im}_{\mathfrak{p}_2}(x_{-1})$ and $\text{im}_{\mathfrak{p}_5}(x_{-1})$.

Case 1: $\text{im}_{\mathfrak{p}_2}(x_{-1}) = 1$ and $\text{im}_{\mathfrak{p}_5}(x_{-1}) = 1$.

In this case the table of local images take the following form.

$$(16) \quad \begin{array}{c|cccccccc} & \mathfrak{p}_2 & \mathfrak{q}_2 & \mathfrak{p}_3 & \mathfrak{q}_3 & \mathfrak{p}_5 & \mathfrak{q}_5 & \mathfrak{p}_\infty & \mathfrak{q}_\infty \\ \hline x_{-1} & 1 & -1 & & & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ y_{-1} & -1 & 1 & & & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ x_2 & & & 1 & -1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ y_2 & & & -1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ x_3 & 1 & 3 & & & & & & \\ y_3 & 3 & 1 & & & & & & \\ x_5 & 1 & -3 & & & & & 1 & 1 \\ y_5 & -3 & 1 & & & & & 1 & 1 \end{array}$$

³Tests with Magma indicate that all of these 2^7 options actually occur, meaning that we have found all possible relations. Proving this is not necessary to complete the computation however.

Let $e = (e_1, e_2, e_3) \in S^2(E_{-1}/K)$. As $\iota_{\mathfrak{p}_2}(e)$ and $\iota_{\mathfrak{q}_2}(e)$ are inside $\text{im}(\delta_2)$, of which the first coordinate is contained in $\langle -1, 3 \rangle \subset \mathbb{Q}_2^*/\mathbb{Q}_2^{*2}$, we see $e_1 \in \langle x_{-1}, y_{-1}, x_3, y_3, x_5, y_5 \rangle$. Using that in fact the first coordinate of elements in $\text{im}(\delta_2)$ are in $\langle -1 \rangle$ further reduces this to $e_1 \in \langle x_{-1}, y_{-1}, x_3x_5, y_3y_5 \rangle$, leaving 2^4 options. All of these options in fact occur: the conjugate elements $(x_{-1}, x_{-1}, 1)$ and $(y_{-1}, y_{-1}, 1)$ are in $S^2(E_{-1}/K)$. If $\text{im}_{\mathfrak{p}_3}(x_5) = 1$ we also find (x_3x_5, x_2x_3, x_2x_5) in $S^2(E_{-1}/K)$ with its conjugate, while if $\text{im}_{\mathfrak{p}_3}(x_5) = -1$ we have (x_3x_5, y_2x_3, y_2x_5) and its conjugate in $S^2(E_{-1}/K)$. Regardless of $\text{im}_{\mathfrak{p}_3}(x_5)$, we see that a complement H inside $S^2(E_{-1}/K)$ to the subspace generated by these four elements is obtained by setting $e_1 = 1$. The 3-adic and 5-adic images then imply $e_2 = e_3 \in \langle x_{-1}, y_{-1}, x_2, y_2 \rangle$, upon which the 5-adic and real images imply that $e_2 = e_3 = 1$. It follows that $H = 0$ and $S^2(E_{-1}/K)$ is 4-dimensional.

Case 2: $\text{im}_{\mathfrak{p}_2}(x_{-1}) = -3$ and $\text{im}_{\mathfrak{p}_5}(x_{-1}) = 1$.

The table now takes the following form.

	\mathfrak{p}_2	\mathfrak{q}_2	\mathfrak{p}_3	\mathfrak{q}_3	\mathfrak{p}_5	\mathfrak{q}_5	\mathfrak{p}_∞	\mathfrak{q}_∞
x_{-1}	-3	3			1	1	1	-1
y_{-1}	3	-3			1	1	-1	1
x_2			1	-1	1	2	-1	-1
y_2			-1	1	2	1	-1	-1
x_3	1	3						
y_3	3	1						
x_5	1	-3					1	1
y_5	-3	1					1	1

Let $e = (e_1, e_2, e_3) \in S^2(E_{-1}/K)$. The 3-adic image imply $e_3 \in \langle x_{-1}, y_{-1}, x_2, y_2, x_5, y_5 \rangle$, upon which the real image implies $e_3 \in \langle x_{-1}y_{-1}, x_2y_2, x_5, y_5 \rangle$. As the 3'rd coordinate of elements in $\text{im}(\delta_2)$ is contained in $\langle 2, -3 \rangle$, we further conclude that $e_3 \in \langle x_2y_2, x_5, y_5 \rangle$. Considering both the \mathfrak{p}_3 and \mathfrak{q}_3 -adic image in either case of $\text{im}_{\mathfrak{p}_3}(x_5)$ implies $e_3 \in \langle x_2y_2x_5y_5 \rangle = \langle 10 \rangle$. In $S^2(E_{-1}/K)$ we find the element $(15, 6, 10)$ (which is the image of $(-28, 120) \in E_{-1}(K)[4]$), hence $H = \{(e_1, e_2, e_3) \in S^2(E_{-1}/K) : e_3 = 1\}$ is a complement to $\langle (15, 6, 10) \rangle$ inside $S^2(E_{-1}/K)$. For $e = (e_1, e_2, e_3) \in H$ we find $e_1 = e_2 \in \langle x_{-1}, y_{-1}, x_3, y_3 \rangle$ by considering the 2-adic and 5-adic images. The 2-adic image the further implies $e_1 = e_2 \in \langle x_{-1}y_{-1}, x_{-1}x_3y_3 \rangle$, upon which the 5-adic image yields $e_1 = e_2 \in \langle x_{-1}y_{-1} \rangle = \langle -1 \rangle$. Since indeed $(-1, -1, 1) \in S^2(E_{-1}/K)$, we conclude that $H = \langle (-1, -1, 1) \rangle$ and that $S^2(E_{-1}/K)$ has \mathbb{F}_2 -dimension 2.

The other two cases are covered similarly and are left to the reader. The results are as follows: in $S^2(E_{-1}/K)$ we always have a 2-dimensional subspace coming from $E_{-1}(K)[4]$, which is generated by $(-1, -1, 1)$ and $(15, 6, 10)$. A basis for $S^2(E_{-1}/K)$ is obtained by adding the following additional generators.

$\text{im}_{\mathbb{p}_2}(x_{-1})$	$\text{im}_{\mathbb{p}_5}(x_{-1})$	$\text{im}_{\mathbb{p}_3}(x_5)$	$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} S^2(E_{-1}/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p}))$	additional generators
1	1	1	4	$(x_{-1}, x_{-1}, 1), (x_3x_5, x_2x_3, x_2x_5)$
1	1	-1	4	$(x_{-1}, x_{-1}, 1), (x_3x_5, y_2x_3, y_2x_5)$
1	2	1	2	none
1	2	-1	2	none
-3	1	1	2	none
-3	1	-1	2	none
-3	2	1	4	$(3x_{-1}, 3x_{-1}, 1), (x_3x_5, x_2x_3, x_2x_5)$
-3	2	-1	4	$(3x_{-1}, 3x_{-1}, 1), (x_3x_5, y_2x_3, y_2x_5)$

For $d \in \{2, 5\}$ we have that $K(\sqrt{x_d})$ is minimally ramified, hence we have $\text{im}_{\mathbb{p}_d}(x_{-1}) = 1$ if and only if $[p, -1, d] = 1$. Thus we see that $S^2(E_{-1}/K)$ has dimension 2 if $[p, -1, 2][p, -1, 5] = -1$, and dimension 4 if $[p, -1, 2][p, -1, 5] = 1$. Using trilinearity and reciprocity of Rédei symbols, we have $[p, -1, 2][p, -1, 5] = [p, -1, 10] = [-1, 10, p]$, thus completing the proof. \square

Proposition 3.8. *Let $p, q \equiv 17, 113 \pmod{120}$ be distinct primes. Then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} S^2(E_{-q}/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{pq})) = \begin{cases} 6 & \text{if } [-1, 2, pq] = 1 \\ 4 & \text{if } [-1, 2, pq] = -1 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{pq})$. The primes of K where E_{-q} has bad reduction are those over 2, 3 and 5. Note that the good reduction at the prime over q is due to the completion being a ramified quadratic extension of \mathbb{Q}_q . The completions at the primes of K over 2, 3, 5 and ∞ are $\mathbb{Q}_2, \mathbb{Q}_3, \mathbb{Q}_5$ and \mathbb{R} , respectively. The local images for these completions are as follows.

$\text{im}(\delta_2)$	$\text{im}(\delta_3)$	$\text{im}(\delta_5)$	$\text{im}(\delta_\infty)$
$(-1, -1, 1)$	$(1, -1, -1)$	$(2, 2, 1)$	$(-1, -1, 1)$
$(1, -6, -6)$	$(-1, -1, 1)$	$(1, 2, 2)$	
$(1, 2, 2)$			

From the 3-adic and 5-adic images, we see that in fact

$$S^2(E_{-q}/K) \subset \ker \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^3 K(T) \rightarrow K(T) \right),$$

where T consists just of the primes of K over 2 together with the real primes.

For $d \in \{-1, 2\}$ we have $(pq, d)_r = 1$ for all primes r so, there exists $x_d \in K$ with $N(x_d) \in d\mathbb{Q}^{*2}$ such that $K(\sqrt{x_d})/K$ is minimally ramified. Let y_d be the conjugate of x_d in K . Consider

$$H := \langle x_{-1}, y_{-1}, x_2, y_2, q \rangle \subset K^*/K^{*2}.$$

Then H has \mathbb{F}_2 -dimension 5 as the norm induced map $H \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^*/\mathbb{Q}^{*2}$ has 2-dimensional image and a 3-dimensional kernel. The minimal ramification of the extensions $K(\sqrt{x_d})$ for $d = -1, 2$, and the fact that q is ramified in K , implies that $H \subset K(T)$. This inclusion is an equality, as the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R_T^*/R_T^{*2} \rightarrow K(T) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(R_T)[2] \rightarrow 0,$$

implies $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} K(T) \leq 5$ as R_T^*/R_T^{*2} has dimension 4 and $\text{Cl}(R_T)[2]$ has at most dimension 1 as $\text{Cl}_K[2] \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $\text{Cl}(R_T)$ is a quotient of Cl_K .

The twisting subgroups are now as follows.

d	$T_{pq,d}$
-1	$\langle -1, p, q \rangle$
2	$\langle 2, p, q \rangle$

Let $\mathfrak{p}_\infty : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the infinite prime such that $\mathfrak{p}_\infty(x_{-1}) > 0$ and let \mathfrak{q}_∞ be the other infinite prime. Let \mathfrak{p}_2 be the prime of K over 2 that is unramified in $K(\sqrt{x_{-1}})$ and let \mathfrak{q}_2 be the other prime over 2. Twist x_2 by 2 if necessary such that \mathfrak{p}_2 is unramified in $K(\sqrt{x_2})$. We then have the following table of local images.

	\mathfrak{p}_2	\mathfrak{q}_2	\mathfrak{p}_∞	\mathfrak{q}_∞
x_{-1}			1	-1
y_{-1}			-1	1
x_2				
y_2				
q	1	1	1	1

As $K(\sqrt{x_{-1}})/K$ is minimally ramified, we find that $\text{im}_{\mathfrak{p}_2}(x_{-1}) = 1$ precisely when $[pq, -1, 2] = 1$. Similarly, working with $K(\sqrt{x_2})$ we find that $\text{im}_{\mathfrak{p}_\infty}(x_2) = 1$ precisely when $[pq, 2, -1] = 1$. By Rédei reciprocity we have $[pq, 2, -1] = [pq, -1, 2]$, giving a relation between the two conditions, and by the reciprocity again they both also equal $[-1, 2, pq]$.

Case 1: $[-1, 2, pq] = 1$.

In this case the table takes the form

	\mathfrak{p}_2	\mathfrak{q}_2	\mathfrak{p}_∞	\mathfrak{q}_∞
x_{-1}	1	-1	1	-1
y_{-1}	-1	1	-1	1
x_2	a	$2a$	1	1
y_2	$2a$	a	1	1
q	1	1	1	1

with $a \in \langle -3 \rangle \subset \mathbb{Q}_2^*/\mathbb{Q}_2^{*2}$. Let $(e_1, e_2, e_3) \in S^2(E_{-q}/K)$. Then the real images imply that $e_3 \in \langle x_2, y_2, q \rangle$, while the first coordinate of the 2-adic images imply $e_1 \in \langle x_{-1}, y_{-1}, q \rangle$. As e_2 is determined by e_1 and e_3 , this leaves 2^6 options, all of which are in fact in $S^2(E_{-q}/K)$. Thus $S^2(E_{-q}/K)$ is 6-dimensional.

Case 2: $[-1, 2, pq] = -1$.

In this case the table takes the form

	\mathfrak{p}_2	\mathfrak{q}_2	\mathfrak{p}_∞	\mathfrak{q}_∞
x_{-1}	-3	3	1	-1
y_{-1}	3	-3	-1	1
x_2	a	$2a$	-1	-1
y_2	$2a$	a	-1	-1
q	1	1	1	1

with $a \in \langle -3 \rangle \subset \mathbb{Q}_2^*/\mathbb{Q}_2^{*2}$. Let $(e_1, e_2, e_3) \in S^2(E_{-q}/K)$. Then the real images imply that $e_3 \in \langle 2, q \rangle$, while the first coordinate of the 2-adic images imply $e_1 \in \langle -1, q \rangle$. This leaves 2^4 options, all of which are in $S^2(E_{-q}/K)$. Thus $S^2(E_{-q}/K)$ is 4-dimensional. \square

As final ingredient for the proof of Theorem 1.1, let us state the following version of Chebotarëv's density theorem. We refer to [19, Ch. I, §2.2].

Theorem 3.9 (Chebotarëv's density theorem). *Let K/\mathbb{Q} be a finite Galois extension and let $C \subset \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ be a non-empty subset closed under conjugation. Then*

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{p \leq X : p \text{ is unramified in } K \text{ and } \text{Frob}_p \in C\}}{\#\{p \leq X\}} = \frac{\#C}{[K : \mathbb{Q}]}.$$

Here all instances of p assume that p is a prime, and Frob_p is some choice of $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for \mathfrak{p} a prime of K over p . Different choices for Frob_p are conjugate, so as C is closed under conjugation, the condition $\text{Frob}_p \in C$ is independent of the choice of Frob_p .

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Recall from (13) the identity

$$(18) \quad \text{rank}(E/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})) = \text{rank}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q}).$$

We prove that $\text{rank}(E/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})) = 0$ by showing that $\text{rank}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q}) = 0$. Suppose that $p \equiv 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8 \pmod{15}$. Then $\text{rank}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ follows from Proposition 3.5 if $p \leq 5$, and from Proposition 3.6 if $p > 5$.

If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, $p \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{15}$, and $[-1, 10, p] = -1$, then applying both Proposition 3.5 and (14) for $d = -1$ we obtain

$$\text{rank}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q}) = \text{rank}(E_{-1}/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})).$$

The right hand side is 0 by Proposition 3.7.

Lastly, assume that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, $p \equiv 2, 8 \pmod{15}$, and $[-1, 2, p] = 1$. Then $p \neq 17$ as $[-1, 2, 17] = -1$, and as $[-1, 2, 17p] = -1$, we get from Proposition 3.8 and (14) with $d = -17$ the inequality

$$\text{rank}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q}) + \text{rank}(E_{-17}/\mathbb{Q}) \leq 2.$$

In fact we have $\text{rank}(E_{-17}/\mathbb{Q}) = 2$: the points $(\frac{-2246}{17}, \frac{-103950}{17^2})$ and $(\frac{52}{17}, \frac{832}{17^2})$ have images $(1, 2, 2)$ and $(-1, -1, 1)$ in $S^2(E_{-17}/\mathbb{Q})$, respectively. We conclude that $\text{rank}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q}) = 0$.

It remains to prove the density statement. Note that it suffices to show that

$$(19) \quad \lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{p \leq X : p \text{ satisfies (i), (ii) or (iii)}\}}{\#\{p \leq X\}} = \frac{7}{16},$$

because from Theorem 3.9 applied to $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{15})$ and $C = \{\bar{1}, \bar{2}, \bar{4}, \bar{8}\} \subset (\mathbb{Z}/15\mathbb{Z})^* = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{15})/\mathbb{Q})$ we deduce

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{p \leq X : p \equiv 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8 \pmod{15}\}}{\#\{p \leq X\}} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Applying Theorem 3.9 to $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{120})$ we deduce

$$(20) \quad \lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{p \leq X : p \text{ satisfies (i)}\}}{\#\{p \leq X\}} = \frac{4 \cdot 3}{\varphi(120)} = \frac{3}{8}$$

Let $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{10})$ and $F = E(\sqrt{3 + \sqrt{-1}})$. Then F is minimally ramified and can be used to compute the Rédei symbol $[-1, 10, p]$ provided it defined, which is the case if and only if $p \equiv 1, 9 \pmod{40}$.

Consider the Galois extension $F(\zeta_{24})/\mathbb{Q}$ of degree 32. Let $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(F(\zeta_{24})/\mathbb{Q})$ be the

generator of the order 2 subgroup corresponding to the intermediate field $E(\zeta_{24})$, and let $Z_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the decomposition field of a prime \mathfrak{p} of $F(\zeta_{24})$ over p . The prime p splits completely in $E(\zeta_{24})$ precisely when $p \equiv 1, 49 \pmod{120}$, hence

$$p \text{ satisfies (ii)} \Leftrightarrow Z_{\mathfrak{p}} = E(\zeta_{24}) \Leftrightarrow \text{Frob}_p = \sigma.$$

Theorem 3.9 thus implies

$$(21) \quad \lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{p \leq X : p \text{ satisfies (ii)}\}}{\#\{p \leq X\}} = \frac{1}{32}$$

For condition (iii), let $E' = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{2}) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8)$, and $F' = E'(\sqrt{1 + \sqrt{-1}})$. The standard isomorphism

$$\text{Gal}(F'(\zeta_{15})/\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gal}(F'/\mathbb{Q}) \times \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{15})/\mathbb{Q}) = \text{Gal}(F'/\mathbb{Q}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/15\mathbb{Z})^*,$$

maps a Frobenius element Frob_p over p to $(\text{Frob}_p, p \pmod{15})$. Let $C \subset \text{Gal}(F'(\zeta_{15})/\mathbb{Q})$ be the subset corresponding under this isomorphism with $1 \times \{\overline{2}, \overline{8}\}$. It follows that $\text{Frob}_p \in C$ if and only if $p \equiv 17, 113 \pmod{120}$ and $[-1, 2, p] = 1$, hence with Theorem 3.9 we obtain

$$(22) \quad \lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{p \leq X : p \text{ satisfies (iii)}\}}{\#\{p \leq X\}} = \frac{2}{64} = \frac{1}{32}.$$

As the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) are mutually exclusive, (19) follows by taking the sum of (20), (21) and (22), thus completing the proof. \square

Remark 3.10. Any prime $q \equiv 17, 113 \pmod{120}$ such that $\text{rank}(E_{-q}/\mathbb{Q}) = 2$ can be used in the proof of 1.1(iii). Possible alternatives for the choice of $q = 17$ are for example $q = 233$, or $q = 617$. A priori the prime q must also satisfy $[-1, 2, q] = -1$, but after having a proof of Theorem 1.1(iii) we know this follows from the rank being non-zero.

4. RELATION TO 4-DESCENT

In the previous section we encountered various instances where the rank bound of $X_0(15)_{-p}/\mathbb{Q}$ obtained from a 2-Selmer group computation could be improved using 2-Selmer group computations over suitable quadratic fields. A natural question is how this improvement is related to a 4-descent. In this section we prove that the rank bounds are exactly as strong as those obtained from a 4-descent. In fact we will show a stronger result relating higher descents. After a lemma we recall parts of [4, §4] concerning visualisation techniques of $\text{III}[2]$, and explain how these techniques can improve on a 2-descent. The main result of this section, Theorem 1.3, gives a condition under which the rank bounds obtained from visualisation of $\text{III}[2]$ are in fact equivalent to those obtained from a 4-descent. We then use this result to show that the rank bounds obtained in section 3 are equivalent to those obtained from performing a 4-descent on $X_0(15)_{-p}$ over \mathbb{Q} .

Throughout this section, let E be an elliptic curve defined over a number field K .

Lemma 4.1. *For L/K a finite extension we have the following commutative diagram.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M'_L & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H^1(L, E[2]) \\ \downarrow N & & \downarrow \text{cor} \\ M'_K & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H^1(K, E[2]) \end{array}$$

Here N is induced from the relative norm map $N_{M_L/M_K} : M_L \rightarrow M_K$ and the horizontal isomorphisms are as in section 2. Note that M_L is a finite free M_K -algebra of rank $[L : K]$ as $M_L = M_K \otimes_K L$, hence we indeed have said norm map.

Proof. By transitivity of the norm, see [3, Prop. III.9.4], we have a commutative diagram of norm maps.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & M_L^* & \\ & \swarrow & \searrow \\ M_K^* & & L^* \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & K^* & \end{array}$$

Modding out by squares, we obtain an induced map $N : M'_L \rightarrow M'_K$. For the commutativity, let $\overline{M}_K = \overline{K}[t]/f(t)$ and similarly for L . Letting G_K act trivially on t we give \overline{M}_K a G_K -module structure in the obvious way. Then $M_K = \overline{M}_K^{G_K}$, and the norm map $N_{M_L/M_K} : M_L \rightarrow M_K$ equals $\text{cor} : H^0(L, \overline{M}_L) \rightarrow H^0(K, \overline{M}_K)$. The isomorphism $H^1(K, E[2]) \rightarrow M'_K$ comes from the composition

$$H^1(K, E[2]) \xrightarrow{w_K} H^1(K, \mu_2(\overline{M}_K)) \xrightarrow{k_K} M_K^*/M_K^{*2},$$

and similarly for L (notation of the maps is as in [18], but with subscripts to specify the base field). The desired commutativity thus follows at once because the corestriction maps are natural and compatible with connecting homomorphisms. \square

Suppose that $L = K(\sqrt{d})$ is a quadratic extension of K , and consider the Weil restriction $A = \text{Res}_{L/K} E_L$. It is an Abelian surface which by definition, see [1, §7.6], comes with bijections

$$(23) \quad \text{Hom}_L(T_L, E_L) \cong \text{Hom}_K(T, A)$$

for any K -scheme T , natural in T . The G_K -module $A(\overline{K})$ is induced from the G_L -module $E(\overline{L})$, hence by Shapiro's lemma we get $H^n(K, A) \cong H^n(L, E)$ for all $n \geq 0$. For $n = 0$ this isomorphism coincides with the isomorphism $E(K) \cong E(L)$ obtained from (23) with $T = \text{Spec}(K)$. Similarly, $A(\overline{K})[2]$ is induced from $E(\overline{L})[2]$ and we obtain isomorphic long exact sequences

$$(24) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & A(K)[2] & \rightarrow & A(K) & \rightarrow & A(K) & \rightarrow & H^1(K, A[2]) & \rightarrow & H^1(K, A) & \rightarrow & \dots \\ & & \downarrow \wr & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & E(L)[2] & \rightarrow & E(L) & \rightarrow & E(L) & \rightarrow & H^1(L, E[2]) & \rightarrow & H^1(L, E) & \rightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

As $K_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes L \cong \prod_{\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}} L_{\mathfrak{q}}$ for a prime \mathfrak{p} of K , the isomorphism (24) also induces isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} S^2(A/K) &\cong S^2(E/L), \\ \text{III}(A/K) &\cong \text{III}(E/L). \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that E and the quadratic twist E_d are given by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} E &: y^2 = f(x) \\ E_d &: dy^2 = f(x) \end{aligned}$$

for a monic cubic polynomial $f(x) \in K[x]$. The identity on E_L and the isomorphism $E_L \rightarrow (E_L)_d$ fixing the x -coordinate yields by (23) a map $\phi : E \times E_d \rightarrow A$ defined over K . This is a 2-isogeny⁴ with kernel equal to the diagonal embedding Δ of $E_1[2] \cong E_2[2]$, hence ϕ factors through $[2]$ as $[2] = \phi^\vee \phi$ for a unique $\phi^\vee : A \rightarrow E \times E_d$. As an immediate application, let us quickly give a proof of

Proposition 4.2. *We have $\text{rank}(E/L) = \text{rank}(E/K) + \text{rank}(E_d/K)$.*

Proof. As the rank is an isogeny invariant we obtain the result as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(E/L) &= \text{rank}(A/K) \\ &= \text{rank}(E \times E_d/K) \\ &= \text{rank}(E/K) + \text{rank}(E_d/K) \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

In particular, since Δ is isotropic with respect to the Weil pairing e_2 on $(E_1 \times E_2)[2]$, we obtain a principal polarisation of $(E \times E_d)/\Delta \cong A$ compatible with the isogenies ϕ and ϕ^\vee . Alternatively, one can use that Weil restriction preserves principal polarisations, see [9, Prop. 2].

Denote ϕ composed with the inclusion $E \rightarrow E \times E_d$ by p^* , and ϕ^\vee composed with the projection $E \times E_d \rightarrow E$ by p_* as in [4, §3]. Then we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & p^* & & \\ & & \curvearrowright & & \\ E & \xrightarrow{\quad} & E \times E_d & \xrightarrow{\quad \phi \quad} & A \\ & \searrow & \searrow [2] & \searrow \phi^\vee & \downarrow \\ & & & & E \times E_d \\ & & & & \downarrow p_* \\ & & & & E \\ & \searrow [2] & & & \uparrow \\ & & & & \curvearrowleft \end{array}$$

We see that $[2]$ on E factors through p^* and p_* . This also induces a factorisation of $[2]$ on the long exact sequence of cohomology associated to $[2]$ on $E(\overline{K})$, and upon

⁴For L/K a finite Galois extension, $\text{Res}_{L/K} E_L$ is isogenous to a direct sum of twists of E by the irreducible rational representations of $\text{Gal}(L/K)$, see [15, Theorem 4.5].

applying the isomorphism of (24) we obtain the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & E(K)[2] & \longrightarrow & E(K) & \longrightarrow & E(K) & \longrightarrow & H^1(K, E[2]) & \longrightarrow & H^1(K, E) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & E(L)[2] & \longrightarrow & E(L) & \longrightarrow & E(L) & \longrightarrow & H^1(L, E[2]) & \longrightarrow & H^1(L, E) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & E(K)[2] & \longrightarrow & E(K) & \longrightarrow & E(K) & \longrightarrow & H^1(K, E[2]) & \longrightarrow & H^1(K, E) & \longrightarrow & \cdots
 \end{array}$$

where the first row of vertical arrows coincide with restriction maps, and the second row of vertical arrows with corestriction maps. Observe that for $\xi \in \text{III}(E/K)[2]$, this implies that if ξ is divisible by 2 in $\text{III}(E/K)[2]$, then ξ has to be in the image of $\text{III}(E/L)[2] \xrightarrow{\text{cor}} \text{III}(E/K)[2]$. Using Lemma 4.1 we obtain the following commutative diagram.

$$(25) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
 S^2(E/L) & \longrightarrow & \text{III}(E/L)[2] \\
 \downarrow N & & \downarrow \text{cor} \\
 S^2(E/K) & \longrightarrow & \text{III}(E/K)[2]
 \end{array}$$

hence checking if ξ is in the image of $\text{III}(E/L)[2] \xrightarrow{\text{cor}} \text{III}(E/K)[2]$ can be seen by checking if a lift of ξ in $S^2(E/K)$ is a norm from $S^2(E/L)$, which can be checked in practice provided that the two relevant 2-Selmer groups have been computed. This gives a necessary condition for 2-divisibility in $\text{III}(E/K)[2]$, which is used in [4, Lemma 3.3] to improve on a 2-descent. It need not be sufficient however, as seen in [4, §7, Example 2].

For an abelian group M , let $M_{\text{nd}} = M/M_{\text{div}}$, where M_{div} is the subgroup of M consisting of the infinitely divisible elements. The Cassels-Tate pairing on $\text{III}(A/K)$ (recall that A is principally polarized) yields a non-degenerate pairing

$$\langle -, - \rangle_A : \text{III}(A/K)_{\text{nd}} \times \text{III}(A/K)_{\text{nd}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},$$

and similarly for $\text{III}(E \times E_d/K)$. The pairings $\langle -, - \rangle_A$ and $\langle -, - \rangle_{E \times E_d}$ are compatible with respect to the dual isogenies ϕ and ϕ^\vee , in the sense that

$$\langle \phi\xi, \xi' \rangle_A = \langle \xi, \phi^\vee \xi' \rangle_{E \times E_d},$$

see [17, Prop. 31]. This induces a non-degenerate pairing

$$(26) \quad \text{III}(A/K)_{\text{nd}}[\phi^\vee] \times \text{III}(A/K)_{\text{nd}}/\phi \text{III}(E \times E_d/K)_{\text{nd}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

Compare also with [5, §7]. We can now give a proof of Theorem 1.3, whose statement we recall for convenience.

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied.*

- (1) *We have $\text{III}(E_d/K)[2] = 0$.*
- (2) *The map $\text{cor} : \text{III}(E/L)[2] \rightarrow \text{III}(E/K)[2]$ is injective.*

Then $\text{res} : \text{III}(E/K) \rightarrow \text{III}(E/L)$ induces an isomorphism

$$\text{III}(E/K)[2^\infty]/\text{III}(E/K)[2] \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{III}(E/L)[2^\infty].$$

Proof. Using (24) we translate the statements of the theorem to maps only involving Tate-Shafarevich groups over K . Specifically, condition (2) translates to the injectivity of $\text{III}(A/K)[2] \rightarrow \text{III}(E/K)[2]$, and we need to show that the map $\text{III}(E/K) \rightarrow \text{III}(A/K)$ induces an isomorphism

$$\text{III}(E/K)[2^\infty]/\text{III}(E/K)[2] \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{III}(A/K)[2^\infty].$$

The map p_* induces maps $\text{III}(A/K)[2] \rightarrow \text{III}(E/K)[2]$ and $\text{III}(A/K)[2^\infty] \rightarrow \text{III}(E/K)[2^\infty]$, which we will denote by $p_{*,2}$ and $p_{*,2^\infty}$, respectively. Similarly, restricting $\text{III}(p^*)$ to the 2-torsion and 2-part, respectively, we obtain maps denoted by p_2^* and $p_{2^\infty}^*$.

The first step is to show that $p_{*,2^\infty}$ is injective. Observe that because $p_{*,2}$ is assumed to be injective, this follows directly once we know that $\ker(p_{*,2^\infty}) \subset \text{III}(A/K)[2]$.

As III is additive and $\text{III}(E_d/K)[2] = 0$, the map $\text{III}(E \times E_d/K)[2^\infty] \rightarrow \text{III}(E/K)[2^\infty]$ is an isomorphism. It follows that

$$\ker(p_{*,2^\infty}) = \text{III}(A/K)[\phi^\vee] \subset \text{III}(A/K)[2],$$

and hence $p_{*,2^\infty}$ is injective. Secondly, as $\text{III}(A/K)[\phi^\vee] = 0$ we have

$$\text{III}(E \times E_d/K)[\phi] = \text{III}(E \times E_d/K)[2].$$

Since also $\text{III}(E/K)[2^\infty] \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{III}(E \times E_d/K)[2^\infty]$, we deduce that $\ker(p_{2^\infty}^*) = \text{III}(E/K)[2]$. Thus to complete the proof it suffices to show that $p_{2^\infty}^*$ is surjective, which follows from showing that $\text{III}(\phi)$ is surjective. As $\text{III}(A/K)[\phi^\vee] = 0$, the non-degeneracy of the pairing (26) implies that $\text{III}(E \times E_d/K)_{\text{nd}} \rightarrow \text{III}(A/K)_{\text{nd}}$ is surjective. As $\text{III}(E \times E_d/K)_{\text{div}} \rightarrow \text{III}(A/K)_{\text{div}}$ is surjective (multiplication by 2 is clearly surjective on $\text{III}(A/K)_{\text{div}}$), we deduce that also $\text{III}(\phi)$ itself is surjective, completing the proof. \square

Recall the commutative diagram (25). If $S^2(E/K)$ and $S^2(E/L)$ have been computed this provides a way to verify condition (2) of Theorem 1.3. Before we apply Theorem 1.3 to prove Theorems 1.4 and 1.5, let us discuss the conditions of Theorem 1.3. In the proof we saw that the map $\text{III}(E/K) \rightarrow \text{III}(A/K)$ has kernel equal to all of $\text{III}(E/K)[2]$. In the language of visualisation, this means that all of $\text{III}(E/K)[2]$ is simultaneously visualised in the Abelian surface A . From [4, Cor. 3.4] we know that a $\xi \in \text{III}(E/K)[2]$ is in the kernel of $\text{III}(E/K) \rightarrow \text{III}(A/K)$ if and only if ξ is in the image of $E_d(K) \rightarrow \text{III}(E/K)[2]$. Thus we see that a necessary condition for the hypotheses of Theorem 1.3 to be valid, is that $E_d(K)$ hits all of $\text{III}(E/K)[2]$.

We can see that this indeed happens for the twists corresponding to the quadratic extensions of \mathbb{Q} seen in Propositions 3.7 and 3.8: in the $p \equiv 1, 49 \pmod{120}$ case of Proposition 3.6 we see that $\text{III}(X_0(15)_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[2]$ is generated by the images of $(15, 6, 10)$ and $(-1, -1, 1)$, which are in the image of $X_0(15)_{-1}(\mathbb{Q})$ as seen in the proof of Proposition 3.5! This case is special in the sense that the points in $X_0(15)_{-1}(\mathbb{Q})$ hitting $\text{III}(X_0(15)_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[2]$ are all torsion. In the $p \equiv 17, 113 \pmod{120}$ case such a twist does not exist (a consequence of Proposition 3.4), but since the explicit generators of $\text{III}(X_0(15)_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[2]$, the images of $(2, 2, 1)$ and $(-1, -1, 1)$, do not depend on p , we can take a prime q distinct from p satisfying the same congruences, causing $\text{III}(X_0(15)_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[2]$ to get hit by $X_0(15)_{-q}(\mathbb{Q})$ provided that also $\text{rank}(X_0(15)_{-q}) = 2$.

In fact this also works in the $p \equiv 1, 49 \pmod{120}$ case: one can prove Theorems 1.1

and 1.4 by replacing Proposition 3.7 by a variant involving $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{pq})$ in the same spirit as Proposition 3.8. Two possible choices for q in this case (so $q \equiv 1, 49 \pmod{120}$ and with $X_0(15)_{-q}$ of rank 2) are $q = 241$ and $q = 601$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $E = X_0(15)$ and let $p \equiv 1, 49 \pmod{120}$ be a prime. Then we have an isomorphism*

$$\text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[2^\infty]/\text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[2] \cong \text{III}(E_{-1}/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p}))[2^\infty]$$

Proof. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$. We apply Theorem 1.3 to E_{-p} and $d = p$. Also recall Remark 2.1. Since $(E_{-p})_p \cong E_{-1}$ we have $\text{III}((E_{-p})_p/\mathbb{Q})[2] = 0$ by Proposition 3.5. Let us see why $\text{III}(E_{-p}/K)[2] \xrightarrow{\text{cor}} \text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[2]$ is injective. When $[-1, 10, p] = -1$ this follows immediately as then $\text{III}(E_{-p}/K)[2] = 0$ by Proposition 3.7, so suppose that $[-1, 10, p] = 1$. We have the commutative diagram

$$(27) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} S^2(E_{-p}/K) & \longrightarrow & \text{III}(E_{-p}/K)[2] \\ \downarrow N & & \downarrow \text{cor} \\ S^2(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & \text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[2] \end{array}$$

Note that $\text{III}(E_{-p}/K)[2]$ and $\text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[2]$ have the same size: their \mathbb{F}_2 -dimensions both equal $2 - \text{rank}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})$. Thus to prove injectivity it is equivalent to show surjectivity, which follows from (27) and the computation of the 2-Selmer groups: $\text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[2]$ is generated by the images of $(15, 6, 10)$ and $(-1, -1, 1)$, which are indeed norms: $(15, 6, 10)$ is the norm of either (x_3x_5, x_2x_3, x_2x_5) or (x_3x_5, y_2x_3, y_2x_5) , while $(-1, -1, 1)$ is the norm of either $(x_{-1}, x_{-1}, 1)$ or $(3x_{-1}, 3x_{-1}, 1)$. \square

Similarly we have

Proposition 4.4. *Let $E = X_0(15)$, and let $p, q \equiv 17, 113 \pmod{120}$ distinct primes such that $\text{rank}(E_{-q}/\mathbb{Q}) = 2$. Then we have an isomorphism*

$$\text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[2^\infty]/\text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[2] \cong \text{III}(E_{-q}/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{pq}))[2^\infty]$$

Proof. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{pq})$. As $\text{rank}(E_{-q}/\mathbb{Q}) = 2$, Proposition 3.6 implies that $\text{III}(E_{-q}/\mathbb{Q})[2] = 0$, thus as in the proof of Proposition 4.3, the desired isomorphism follows from Theorem 1.3 if we show that the right vertical map in the diagram

$$(28) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} S^2(E_{-p}/K) & \longrightarrow & \text{III}(E_{-p}/K)[2] \\ \downarrow N & & \downarrow \text{cor} \\ S^2(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & \text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[2] \end{array}$$

is injective. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} S^2(E_{-p}/K) - 2 &= \text{rank}(E_{-q}/K) + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{III}(E_{-p}/K)[2] \\ &= \text{rank}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q}) + 2 + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{III}(E_{-p}/K)[2] \end{aligned}$$

As $\text{rank}(E_{-q}/\mathbb{Q}) = 2$ we must have $[-1, 2, q] = -1$ by Theorem 1.1, so if $[-1, 2, p] = 1$ we have $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} S^2(E_{-q}/K) = 4$ by Proposition 3.8 and hence $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{III}(E_{-p}/K)[2] = 0$, so the injectivity clearly follows.

When $[-1, 2, p] = -1$ we have $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} S^2(E_{-q}/K) = 6$, and we see that $\text{III}(E_{-p}/K)[2]$ and $\text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[2]$ both have \mathbb{F}_2 -dimension $2 - \text{rank}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})$, so injectivity is equivalent to surjectivity. As in the proof of Proposition 4.3, this follows from (28) and the 2-Selmer group computations. The images of $(1, 2, 2)$, $(-1, -1, 1) \in S^2(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})$,

generate $\text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[2]$, and they are the norms of $(1, x_2, x_2)$ and $(x_{-1}, x_{-1}, 1)$, respectively. \square

Combining the various results we can now prove Theorems 1.4 and 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. From Proposition 3.6 we have

$$(29) \quad \text{rank}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q}) + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[2] = 2.$$

Suppose that $[-1, 10, p] = -1$. Then $\text{rank}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ by Theorem 1.1, hence $\text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[2] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ by (29). From Proposition 3.7 we have $\text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p}))[2] = 0$, hence from Proposition 4.3 we obtain

$$\text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[2^\infty] = \text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[2],$$

which proves (i). Now assume $[-1, 10, p] = 1$. Then multiplication by 2 induces an injection

$$(30) \quad \text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[4]/\text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[2] \rightarrow \text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[2].$$

The left hand side of (30) is isomorphic to $\text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p}))[2]$ by Proposition 4.3, which has the same size as $\text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[2]$. It follows that the map (30) is also surjective, which proves (ii).

If we additionally assume that $\text{rank}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q}) = 0$, then $\text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[2] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$, which combined with surjectivity of $\text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[4] \xrightarrow{2} \text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[2]$ forces

$$\text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[4] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^2,$$

proving (iii). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Again we have

$$\text{rank}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q}) + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[2] = 2.$$

When $p = 17$ we have $[-1, 2, p] = -1$, $\text{rank}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q}) = 2$ and $\text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[2] = 0$, so the theorem clearly holds in this case. Thus we may assume $p \neq 17$ for the rest of the proof. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{17p})$. By Proposition 3.8 and (14) we obtain

$$\text{rank}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q}) + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{III}(E_{-17}/K)[2] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } [-1, 2, p] = 1 \\ 2 & \text{if } [-1, 2, p] = -1 \end{cases}$$

If $[-1, 2, p] = 1$ then $\text{rank}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q}) = 0$, and with Proposition 4.4 we have

$$\text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[2^\infty] = \text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[2] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2,$$

which proves (i). If $[-1, 2, p] = -1$ then multiplication by 2 gives the injection

$$\text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[4]/\text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[2] \rightarrow \text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[2],$$

which is surjective as the left hand side is isomorphic to $\text{III}(E_{-p}/K)[2]$ by Proposition 4.4, which has the same size $\text{III}(E_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[2]$. This proves (ii), and (iii) follows just as in the proof of Theorem 1.4. \square

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 show that the upper bounds obtained on $\text{rank}(X_0(15)/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p}))$ in Theorem 1.1 are the same as those obtained from performing a 4-descent over \mathbb{Q} on the twist $X_0(15)_{-p}$. In fact Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 can also be proven by a computation of the Cassels-Tate pairing on $S^2(X_0(15)_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})$, as explained in [8]. The advantage of the proof as presented in this paper is that Propositions 4.3 and 4.4 show that the rank bound obtained from 2^n -descent over \mathbb{Q} on $X_0(15)_{-p}$ is equivalent to the one obtained from a 2^{n-1} -descent on $X_0(15)_{-1}$ or $X_0(15)_{-17}$ over a suitable quadratic field, opening up possibilities to further improve on the rank bounds in various ways.

For example, a Cassels-Tate pairing computation on $S^2(X_0(15)_{-1}/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p}))$ for primes $p \equiv 1, 49 \pmod{120}$ gives the same rank bounds as an 8-descent on $X_0(15)_{-p}$ over \mathbb{Q} . Consider for instance the prime $p = 1609$. Then $p \equiv 49 \pmod{120}$ and $[-1, 10, p] = 1$, and with Magma one computes that the Cassels-Tate pairing on $S^2(X_0(15)_{-1}/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p}))$ is non-trivial, which proves that

$$\text{rank}(X_0(15)_{-p}/\mathbb{Q}) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{III}(X_0(15)_{-p}/\mathbb{Q})[2^\infty] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^2.$$

Alternatively, one can work with explicit equations for the 2-covers corresponding to the elements of $S^2(X_0(15)_{-1}/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p}))$ and perform a second descent as in [6, §9].

Let us discuss the rank of $X_0(15)$ over imaginary quadratic fields of the form $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-dp})$, where d is positive, square-free and not divisible by primes > 5 , and $p > 5$ is a prime. A 2-Selmer group computation over \mathbb{Q} of $X_0(15)_{-dp}$ gives an upper bound of either 0 or 2 on the rank provided that $dp \equiv 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 12 \pmod{15}$. In cases where the rank bound is 2, depending on the class of $p \pmod{120}$, one can find 2 independent elements in the 2-Selmer group that are independent of p , just as in Proposition 3.6. Experimentation with Magma seems to suggest that Theorem 1.3 is applicable and yields rank bounds equivalent to those obtained from 4-descent on $X_0(15)_{-dp}$ by finding suitable quadratic twists satisfying the necessary condition as discussed after the proof of Theorem 1.3 in section 4.

Theorem 1.3 is also applicable to the quadratic twists E_p of $E : y^2 = x^3 - x$, where $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ is a prime as one encounters in studying congruent primes. Specifically, the rank bounds obtained from 2-Selmer group computations over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ in [13] can be shown to be equivalent to those from a 4-descent of E_p over \mathbb{Q} by an application of Theorem 1.3. If one goes one step further with for example a Cassels-Tate pairing computation over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ one arrives at a proof of a variant of [5, Theorem B] as mentioned in the last sentence of section 10 of loc. cit. The variance lies in the fact that the number theoretic description is different, even though they can likely be proven to be equivalent directly through a reciprocity argument, similar to how the 2-descent of E over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ is expressed using $[p, -1, 2]$, and the 2-descent of the isogeneous curve is expressed using $[-1, 2, p]$, which are the same because of Rédei reciprocity.

Let us conclude by discussing the restrictiveness of the hypotheses of Theorem 1.3 in general. As remarked before, if the hypotheses are fulfilled, this implies that $\text{III}(E/K) \rightarrow \text{III}(A/K)$ has kernel equal to $\text{III}(E/K)[2]$, forcing surjectivity of

$E_d(K) \rightarrow \text{III}(E/K)[2]$. In particular this implies

$$\text{rank}(E_d/K) \geq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{III}(E/K)[2] - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} E(K)[2],$$

which, as $\text{III}(E/K)[2]$ increases in size, becomes increasingly restrictive. Specialising to $K = \mathbb{Q}$, then the size of $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[2]$ is known to be unbounded as E varies. Assuming that the ranks of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} are uniformly bounded, this implies there exist elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} for which the hypotheses of Theorem 1.3 are not fulfilled for any quadratic twist.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Stevan Gajovic for informing me about the modularity result of Newton and Caraiani, which initiated the process of writing this paper. Gratitude goes to Michael Stoll, who directed me to the visualisation techniques, which opened the door to investigate the connection of the rank results to a 4-descent. I also thank Nils Bruin for fruitful discussions regarding his paper [4] during his visit to Ulm, and for helpful clarifications of some later questions. Finally, Irene Bouw and Jeroen Sijssling gave valuable suggestions to preliminary versions of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Siegfried Bosch, Werner Lütkebohmert, and Michel Raynaud. *Néron Models*. Springer, 1980. ISBN: 0-387-50587-3.
- [2] Wieb Bosma, John Cannon, and Catherine Playoust. “The Magma algebra system. I. The user language”. In: *J. Symbolic Comput.* 24.3-4 (1997). Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993), pp. 235–265.
- [3] Nicolas Bourbaki. *Algebra I: Chapters 1-3*. Actualités scientifiques et industrielles. Springer, 1998. ISBN: 9783540642435.
- [4] Nils Bruin. “Visualising Sha[2] in Abelian surfaces”. In: *Mathematics of Computation* 73 (2004), pp. 1459–1477. DOI: 10.1090/s0025-5718-04-01633-3.
- [5] Nils Bruin and Brett Hemenway. “On congruent primes and class numbers of imaginary quadratic fields”. In: *Acta Arith.* 159.1 (2013), pp. 63–87.
- [6] Nils Bruin and Michael Stoll. “Two-cover descent on hyperelliptic curves”. In: *Math. Comp.* 78.268 (2009), pp. 2347–2370.
- [7] Ana Caraiani and James Newton. *On the modularity of elliptic curves over imaginary quadratic fields*. 2023. DOI: 10.48550/ARXIV.2301.10509. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.10509>.
- [8] John William Scott Cassels. In: *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik* 1998.494 (1998), pp. 101–127. DOI: doi:10.1515/crll.1998.001. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1515/crll.1998.001>.
- [9] Niem Claus and Niko Naumann. “On the Structure of the Weil Restriction of Abelian Varieties”. In: *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* 18.2 (), pp. 153–174.
- [10] Tim Dokchitser. “Notes on the Parity Conjecture”. In: *Elliptic Curves, Hilbert Modular Forms and Galois Deformations*. Basel: Springer Basel, 2013, pp. 201–249.
- [11] Vladimir Dokchitser. “Root Numbers of Non-Abelian Twists of Elliptic Curves”. In: *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* 91.2 (Sept. 2005), pp. 300–324.

- [12] Tim Evink, Gert-Jan van der Heiden, and Jaap Top. “Two-descent on some genus two curves”. In: *Indagationes Mathematicae* 32.4 (2021), pp. 883–900. ISSN: 0019-3577. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indag.2021.06.004>.
- [13] Tim Evink, Jaap Top, and Jakob Dirk Top. “A remark on prime (non)congruent numbers”. In: *Quaestiones Mathematicae* 45.12 (2022), pp. 1841–1853. DOI: 10.2989/16073606.2021.1977410.
- [14] The LMFDB Collaboration. *The L-functions and modular forms database*. <https://www.lmfdb.org>. [Online; accessed 11 March 2024]. 2024.
- [15] Barry Mazur, Karl Rubin, and Alice Silverberg. “Twisting Commutative Algebraic Groups”. In: *Journal of Algebra* 314 (2006), pp. 419–438.
- [16] James Milne. *Arithmetic Duality Theorems*. Second. BookSurge, LLC, 2006, pp. viii+339. ISBN: 1-4196-4274-X.
- [17] Bjorn Poonen and Michael Stoll. “The Cassels-Tate pairing on polarized abelian varieties”. In: *Ann. of Math.* 150.3 (1999), pp. 1109–1149.
- [18] Edward Frank Schaefer. “2-descent on the Jacobians of hyperelliptic curves”. In: *Journal of number theory* 51.2 (1995), pp. 219–232.
- [19] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Abelian L-Adic Representations and Elliptic Curves*. Research Notes in Mathematics (A K Peters), Vol 7. Peters, 1998. ISBN: 9781568810775.
- [20] Joseph H. Silverman. *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*. 2nd Edition. Springer, 2000. ISBN: 978-0-387-09493-9.
- [21] Peter Stevenhagen. “Redei reciprocity, governing fields, and negative Pell”. In: *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* (2021), pp. 1–28.
- [22] Michael Stoll. “Implementing 2-descent for Jacobians of hyperelliptic curves”. eng. In: *Acta Arithmetica* 98.3 (2001), pp. 245–277. URL: <http://eudml.org/doc/279762>.

INSTITUTE OF ALGEBRA AND NUMBER THEORY, ULM UNIVERSITY, HELMHOLTZSTR. 18, 89081 ULM, GERMANY.

Email address: `tim.evink@uni-ulm.de`