

# Mock-local energy density of gravitational waves

Antoine Rignon-Bret and Simone Speziale

*Aix Marseille Univ., Univ. de Toulon, CNRS, CPT, UMR 7332, 13288 Marseille, France*

May 15, 2024

## Abstract

We propose a new set of BMS charges at null infinity, characterized by a super-translation flux that contains only the ‘hard’ term. This is achieved with a specific corner improvement of the symplectic 2-form, and we spell the conditions under which it is unique. The charges are associated to a Wald-Zoupas symplectic potential, and satisfy all standard criteria: they are covariant, provide a center-less realization of the symmetry algebra, have vanishing flux in non-radiative spacetimes, and vanish in Minkowski. We use them to define a certain notion of localized energy density of gravitational waves. They have potential applications to the generalized second law and to soft theorems.

## 1 Introduction

Bondi’s energy loss formula is a cornerstone of our physical understanding of general relativity. It was the historical proof that gravitational waves dissipate energy, ending any lingering doubt on their physical existence. The proof of dissipation refers to the *total* gravitational energy; there is no equivalent statement for a local notion of energy, in line with standard intuition that general covariance prevents the existence of a local energy-momentum tensor for the gravitational field. In this paper we report that general covariance allows an additional step: it is possible to provide a formula for a *local* energy density of gravitational waves at future null infinity which is necessarily dissipated by physical processes. The non-locality is confined to the relation between its flux, or rate of change, and the spacetime curvature. We further argue that even the flux can actually be determined by a local measurement in space, provided a non-local initial condition in time. The result is based on taking into account so-called ‘corner degrees of freedom’, and leads to an estimate of the amount of energy that can be absorbed by a local experiment for a given flux.

The group of gravitational symmetries at null infinity has been known since the seminal work of Bondi, van der Burg, Metzner and Sachs (BMS) [1, 2] and extensively studied since. It is a generalization of the Poincaré group to angle-dependent translations, known as super-translations. To these asymptotic symmetries are associated asymptotic charges which are conserved in the absence of radiation, and satisfy flux-balance laws in the presence of radiation. While there is a certain amount of ambiguity in the definition of Noether charges and their fluxes, a unique set satisfying certain physical requirements has been identified as early as [3, 4, 5, 6, 7], and later related to Noether charges and canonical generators in [8], see also discussion in [9]. Bondi’s energy loss formula fits elegantly this framework as the flux-balance law for super-translations. It has two contributions, a ‘hard’ term which is monotonic, and a ‘soft’ term which is not. The soft term however vanishes for global translations, and this is crucial to establish Bondi’s proof of dissipation for the total energy.

The uniqueness of the BMS charges relies on a technical assumption concerning the choice of symplectic structure used to define the canonical generators. There is a growing body of evidence that this assumption can be relaxed, allowing for so called ‘corner improvements’, which are important to capture the physics of gauge and gravitational theories in the presence of boundaries, see e.g. [10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18]. This is the first technical ingredient to our result. The second is the use of the ‘covariant shear’ introduced in [19], which is related to previous work done in [4] and to the notion of super-translation Goldstone field of [20], which is a corner degrees of freedom. With these two inputs, we are able to identify a unique corner-improved symplectic structure whose canonical generators satisfy all the properties of the standard BMS charges, plus the new property of having a purely hard flux. The new super-momentum charge associated with this flux is the local energy density. We conclude the paper with a brief discussion of potential applications.

## 2 BMS flux-balance laws

We assume that the reader has a certain familiarity with null infinity, and refer to the reviews in [21, 22, 23, 9] for the necessary background. We use the conventions of [24], to which we refer for further details. The Ashtekar-Streubel (AS) flux for a BMS symmetry  $\xi$  between two cross-sections  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  of  $\mathcal{I}$  is given by [5]

$$F_\xi^{\text{AS}} = -\frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{S_1}^{S_2} N_{ab} \delta_\xi \sigma^{ab} \epsilon_{\mathcal{I}}. \quad (2.1)$$

Here  $\sigma_{ab}$  is the asymptotic shear, which can be assumed without loss of generality to refer to an affine foliation of  $\mathcal{I}$ , and then the news tensor is  $N_{ab} = 2\mathcal{L}_n \sigma_{ab} - \rho_{\langle ab \rangle}$ , with  $n$  the null tangent to  $\mathcal{I}$ , and  $\rho_{\langle ab \rangle}$  the trace-less part of Geroch’s tensor, which vanishes if the conformal frame is a round sphere, also known as a Bondi frame. The explicit form of the BMS transformation  $\delta_\xi \sigma_{ab}$  can be found in the references, and will not be needed. The foliation induces a family of Lorentz subgroups of the BMS group, one per cross-section. Picking coordinates  $(u, x^A)$  so that  $n \stackrel{\mathcal{I}}{=} \partial_u$ , we can parametrize  $\xi \stackrel{\mathcal{I}}{=} f \partial_u + Y^A \partial_A$ , with  $f = T + \frac{u}{2} \mathcal{D}Y$ . Here  $T = T(x^A)$  is the super-translation parameter, and  $Y^A(x^A)$  is the Lorentz parameter, represented by a globally defined conformal Killing vector on the cross-sections. These are topological spheres, with time-independent metric  $q_{AB}$ , and we denote  $\mathcal{D}$  its covariant derivative and  $\mathcal{R}$  is Ricci scalar. We can then write the charges on cross sections of constant  $u$  as

$$Q_\xi^{\text{BMS}} = \frac{1}{8\pi G} \oint_S (2f M_\rho + Y^A J_A) \epsilon_S, \quad M_\rho := M + \frac{1}{4} \rho_{ab} \sigma^{ab}. \quad (2.2)$$

They correspond to Geroch’s super-momentum [3] and to the Dray-Streubel Lorentz charge [6], as proved in [5, 7]. The aspects  $M$  and  $J_A$  can be written in terms of tensors e.g. [3, 9] or in terms of Newman-Penrose formalism e.g. [6, 24]. It is also possible to express them in terms of the metric components of an asymptotic expansion in Bondi coordinates [25, 22] (but we the caveats explained in [24]). See the Appendix for more details.

The original papers singled out the unique choices (2.1) and (2.2) by a series of physical requirements: they are covariant; they are conserved in non-radiative spacetimes; they vanish in Minkowski spacetime, for any  $\xi$  and at any cut. There were also technical requirements, such as a number of derivatives compatible with second order field equations, and a choice of canonical symplectic form associated with the Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian. The later Wald-Zoupas insight [8] is that they are

associated to a unique symplectic potential, given by

$$\theta^{\text{BMS}} = -\frac{1}{16\pi} N_{ab} \delta \sigma^{ab} \epsilon_{\mathcal{S}}, \quad (2.3)$$

so that the integrand of the flux is the Noether current  $j_\xi = I_\xi \theta^{\text{BMS}}$ . The covariance of the charges means that they are independent of the background structures given by the choice of conformal factor and choice of foliation for the shear. The only background structure they depend on is the symmetry vector field  $\xi$ , and for the Lorentz part of the charge also the choice of cross section determining the Lorentz subgroup of  $\xi$ . Covariance can be expressed in terms of the Barnich-Troessaert bracket [25] as

$$\{Q_\xi, Q_\chi\}_* := \delta_\chi Q_\xi - \oint i_\chi j_\xi \hat{=} Q_{[\xi, \chi]}. \quad (2.4)$$

This property can be explicitly checked [24], and shown to be a consequence of the covariance of (2.3) and of the BMS boundary conditions [18]. It generalizes the standard Hamiltonian action to dissipative situations with a non-zero current at the cross section.

Let us now focus attention on the super-translation charges. Their flux is

$$Q_T^{\text{BMS}}[S_2] - Q_T^{\text{BMS}}[S_1] \hat{=} -\frac{1}{32\pi} \int \left( TN^{ab} N_{ab} + 2N^{ab} (\mathcal{D}_a \mathcal{D}_b + \frac{1}{2} \rho_{ab}) T \right) \epsilon_{\mathcal{S}}. \quad (2.5)$$

The first is the ‘hard term’, squared in the news. The second is the ‘soft term’, linear in the news. It follows from the time-independence of  $T$  and of the volume form, that it corresponds to the displacement memory

$$\mathbb{M} = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \oint T \mathfrak{m} \epsilon_S, \quad \mathfrak{m} := \mathbb{D}_\rho^{ab} (\sigma_{ab} - \frac{u}{2} \rho_{ab})|_{S_1}^{S_2}. \quad (2.6)$$

where we introduced the short-hand notation  $\mathbb{D}_{\rho ab} := \mathcal{D}_{(a} \mathcal{D}_{b)} + \frac{1}{2} \rho_{(ab)}$ . When acting on  $T$ , the four zero modes of this operator define global translations, which form an ideal of the BMS algebra, and can be identified with the first four harmonics  $l = (0, 1)$  in Bondi frames. It follows that the soft term vanishes for global translations, resulting in a ‘purely hard’ flux. Furthermore, it is strictly negative for a future-pointing global time translation: this is Bondi’s celebrated result proving that gravitational waves dissipate energy.

Modifying the flux-balance law so that it has a purely hard flux for any  $T$  is at first sight straightforward. Since the soft term is a total derivative in  $u$ , it is possible to reabsorb it in the left-hand side, and consider the charge

$$Q_T^{\text{M}} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_S T \left( M_\rho + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{D}_\rho^{ab} (\sigma_{ab} - \frac{u}{2} \rho_{(ab)}) \right) \epsilon_S. \quad (2.7)$$

If we restrict this expression to a Bondi frame, we recognize the Moreschi mass

$$M^{\text{M}} := M + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}_a \mathcal{D}_b \sigma^{ab}, \quad (2.8)$$

that was proposed as a super-momentum charge in [26, 27]. The problem with this proposal is that it is manifestly non-covariant. Adding Geroch’s tensor fixes the limitation of the original expression to Bondi frames and achieves full conformal invariance. However it is still foliation dependent, or equivalently  $l$  dependent, via the shear and  $u$ . Foliation-dependence carries an intuitive meaning of

non-covariance, which can be made sharper observing that two super-translations vector fields commute, hence a foliation-dependent super-momentum charge would fail to capture this basic property of the algebra. The lack of covariance can be made explicit computing its transformation law. This gives

$$\delta_\chi Q_T^M \hat{=} Q_{[\xi_T, \chi]}^M + K_{(\xi_T, \chi)} + \text{radiation terms}, \quad (2.9)$$

where the term

$$K_{(\xi_T, \chi)} = \frac{1}{16\pi} \oint_S T \left( (\mathcal{D}^2 + \mathcal{R}) \mathcal{D}^2 f + 2 \mathcal{D}_a \mathcal{R} \mathcal{D}^a f - 4 \sigma^{ab} \mathcal{D}_a \mathcal{D}_b f \right) \epsilon_S. \quad (2.10)$$

prevents the recovery of the correct symmetry action on non-radiative spacetimes, and shows up as a field-dependent cocycle on the right-hand side of the Barnich-Troessaert bracket. In the rest of this communication we show how this problem can be resolved, to obtain a covariant charge with a purely hard flux. The first step is to use the ‘covariant shear’ of [19].

### 3 Super-translation Goldstone and covariant shear

The AS radiative phase space is supplemented with the late time boundary conditions

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} N_{ab} \sim \frac{1}{u^{1+\epsilon}}, \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \text{Im}(\psi_2) \sim \frac{1}{u^\epsilon}, \quad \epsilon > 0, \quad (3.1)$$

namely no radiation and a vacuum shear  $\overset{\circ}{\sigma}$  that is purely electric,

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} u \rho_{\langle ab \rangle} = \overset{\circ}{\sigma}_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} u \rho_{\langle ab \rangle} = -(\mathcal{D}_{\langle a} \mathcal{D}_{b \rangle} + \frac{1}{2} \rho_{\langle ab \rangle}) u_0. \quad (3.2)$$

The system thus settles down to equilibrium, and the radiative degrees of freedom reduce to a vacuum parametrized by  $u_0(x^A)$ , whose four-parameter family of zero modes are the famous ‘good cuts’. The boundary condition  $u_0$  can be interpreted as a choice of ‘bad cut’ [24], as a super-translation field parametrizing the vacua [19], or as Goldstone mode for the breaking of the super-translation symmetry [20] caused by the choice of final vacuum state  $\overset{\circ}{\sigma}$ . Its transformation rule induced by that of a vacuum shear is [24]

$$\delta_\xi u_0 = -T - u_0 \dot{f}. \quad (3.3)$$

We can use the boundary condition to define the relative shear

$$\mathcal{S}_{ab} := \sigma_{ab} - \overset{\circ}{\sigma}_{ab} = \sigma_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} u \rho_{\langle ab \rangle} + (\mathcal{D}_{\langle a} \mathcal{D}_{b \rangle} + \frac{1}{2} \rho_{\langle ab \rangle}) u_0 \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}_{ab}, \quad (3.4)$$

which is nothing but the ‘covariant shear’  $\mathcal{C}_{ab}$  introduced in [19]. The term covariant refers to the fact that it is invariant under super-translations, hence independent of the background structure given by the  $u$  foliation. This property follows from the definition, and can be verified using (3.3). Notice that with this definition, the relative shear vanishes in any non-radiative spacetime, since the shear has to match its own ‘bad cut’ in the absence of radiation. The relative shear measures the same memory effect,

$$\mathfrak{m} = \mathbb{D}_\rho^{ab} \mathcal{S}_{ab} \Big|_{S_1}^{S_2}. \quad (3.5)$$

It has conformal weight 1, and satisfies

$$N_{ab} = 2 \mathcal{L}_n \mathcal{S}_{ab}. \quad (3.6)$$

It is thus a covariant choice of ‘time potential’ for the news. Since it differs from the one used to obtain (2.7) by a time-independent term, it is natural to ask whether one can use the ambiguity to add time-independent terms to the charges to achieve covariance. This cannot be done in the context of [5, 8], since the relation to the canonical choice for the symplectic 2-form would be lost. The BMS charges are unique, after all.

## 4 Corner improvement and new charges

The way out of the uniqueness result for the standard BMS charges is that we will allow for corner modifications of the symplectic 2-forms. These modifications are compatible with the field equations, and can be motivated by situations in which stationarity and covariance, or finiteness, cannot be achieved otherwise, see e.g. [10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18]. For BMS all these properties are already satisfied with the standard symplectic 2-form, and our reason to consider a different one comes from the additional requirement of having a purely hard flux.

The corner term modification that we introduce is

$$\bar{\Omega} := \Omega - \delta \oint_S \vartheta, \quad \vartheta = \frac{1}{8\pi} \mathcal{S}_{ab} \delta(\mathbb{D}_\rho^{ab} u_0) \epsilon_S = \frac{1}{8\pi} \mathcal{S}_{ab} \delta \overset{\circ}{\sigma}{}^{ab} \epsilon_S. \quad (4.1)$$

The new symplectic structure is not defined in the Ashtekar-Streubel radiative phase space at  $\mathcal{I}$ , but in the enlarged phase space in which we include as late time boundary condition a choice of vacuum. It makes the Goldstone mode  $u_0$  canonically conjugated to the displacement memory effect, as can be easily seen integrating on (a region of)  $\mathcal{I}$ ,

$$\bar{\Omega}_{\mathcal{I}} = -\frac{1}{16\pi} \int \delta N_{ab} \delta \mathcal{S}^{ab} \epsilon_{\mathcal{I}} = \Omega^{\text{AS}} - \frac{1}{8\pi} \oint_S \delta \mathbb{M} \delta u_0 \epsilon_S, \quad \mathbb{M} := \mathbb{D}_\rho^{ab} \sigma_{ab}|_{S_1}^{S_2}. \quad (4.2)$$

To write the fluxes and charges we need on top of the symplectic 2-form also a choice of preferred symplectic potential.<sup>1</sup> We take

$$\bar{\theta} = \theta^{\text{BMS}} - d\vartheta = -\frac{1}{16\pi} N_{ab} \delta \mathcal{S}^{ab} \epsilon_{\mathcal{I}}, \quad (4.3)$$

where in the second equality we used (3.6). This choice is manifestly covariant and vanishes in non-radiative spacetimes, hence it satisfies the conditions for the generalized Wald-Zoupas prescription. The associated fluxes are

$$\bar{F}_\xi = -\frac{1}{16\pi} \int N_{ab} \delta_\xi \mathcal{S}^{ab} \epsilon_{\mathcal{I}} = F_\xi^{\text{BMS}} - \frac{1}{8\pi} \oint_{S_1}^{S_2} \delta_\xi u_0 \mathbb{D}_\rho^{ab} \mathcal{S}_{ab} \epsilon_S, \quad (4.4)$$

with charges

$$\bar{Q}_\xi = \frac{1}{8\pi} \oint_S [(2f M_\rho + f|_{u_0} \mathbb{D}_\rho^{AB} \mathcal{S}_{AB}) + Y^A (J_A - \mathbb{D}_\rho^{BC} \mathcal{S}_{BC} \mathcal{D}_A u_0)] \epsilon_S. \quad (4.5)$$

Since the symplectic potential is Wald-Zoupas, the fluxes are guaranteed to be covariant, and the charge algebra admits at most a time-independent cocycle [18]. Since the BMS algebra is center-less

---

<sup>1</sup>For BMS, this step can be replaced by a choice of topology in the phase space [9]. It would be interesting to know if this alternative exists also for the new symplectic structure.

[18, 24], the only cocycle can come from an anomalous  $\vartheta$  [28, 29, 30, 15]. But the choice (4.1) is covariant, hence the new charges (4.5) satisfy (2.4). Furthermore they differ from the standard BMS charges by a term that vanishes in any non-radiative spacetime, hence they also share with the BMS charges the property of vanishing in Minkowski, for any symmetry parameter, at any cut.

Let us discuss the uniqueness of our proposal. The potential ambiguity in the charges is the addition of time-independent terms. These are related to the freedom of adding time-independent terms to  $\vartheta$ , in order to keep the Wald-Zoupas requirement that the charges match the canonical generators on partial Cauchy slices intersecting  $\mathcal{S}$ . Requiring covariance of the charges restricts the allowed terms to be covariant themselves. But the only time independent fields are  $u_0$ ,  $\rho_{ab}$  and  $q_{ab}$ , and a moment of reflection shows that it is not possible to write something covariant. Hence any ambiguity is ruled out by the requirement to have covariant charges. Similar considerations show that (4.3) is the only covariant symplectic potential with a purely hard flux. We conclude that the symplectic potential (4.2) and charges (4.5) are unique. What distinguishes them from their standard BMS analogue is only the modification of the symplectic structure.

The new super-momentum charge is

$$\bar{Q}_T = \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_S T \left( M_\rho - \frac{1}{4} \mathbb{D}_\rho^{ab} \mathcal{C}_{ab} \right) \epsilon_S. \quad (4.6)$$

It is covariant, a canonical generator of super-translations with respect to the corner-improved symplectic structure (4.2), and has a purely hard flux

$$\bar{Q}_T[S_2] - \bar{Q}_T[S_1] \hat{=} - \frac{1}{32\pi} \int TN^{ab} N_{ab} \epsilon_{\mathcal{S}}. \quad (4.7)$$

It can be seen as a version of the Moreschi mass made covariant under conformal transformations and super-translations thanks to  $\rho_{ab}$  and  $u_0$ . The precise relation between the two is

$$\bar{M} = M^M + \frac{1}{8\pi} \oint_S T \left( \rho^{ab} \sigma_{ab} - \frac{u}{2} \mathbb{D}_\rho^{ab} \rho_{ab} + \mathbb{D}_\rho^2 u_0 \right) \epsilon_S. \quad (4.8)$$

On round spheres, the required extra term for foliation-independence reduces to  $\oint T(\mathcal{D}^2 + 2)\mathcal{D}^2 u_0$ , and vanishes for global translations.

## 5 Applications

With the standard BMS charges, only the total energy has a monotonic flux. This corresponds to the lowest mode of the super-translation parameter, or  $T = 1$  on a Bondi frame. Consider instead a localized super-momentum charge, corresponding for instance to a parameter  $T$  with a Gaussian-like profile peaked on some point on the celestial sphere. This profile necessarily involves higher modes whose flux is not monotonic, hence the charge cannot be interpreted as a localized energy, because it may be increasing even though the system is dissipating. Indeed, the standard interpretation of the higher super-momentum modes is not related to the energy, but rather to the notion of mass multipoles. Their flux is not monotonic, and corresponds to the memory effect.

The new charge (4.6) has a monotonic flux for any positive super-translation parameter. It follows that we can take any peaked Gaussian-like function  $T$ , and extend Bondi's result about dissipation from the total energy to a local energy! We stress that this construction does not violate the principle of general covariance, because some aspects of non-locality do remain. We have been able to define

a local energy density at  $\mathcal{S}$ , using the background structure there at disposal, and its flux is local in the news tensors. But the news tensor itself is a *non-local* function of the Weyl curvature, in both space and time. E.g. in Newman-Penrose formalism,

$$\psi_3 = \bar{\delta}N, \quad \psi_4 = \dot{N}. \quad (5.1)$$

Therefore the flux of the local energy still requires non-local knowledge of the curvature. For this reason, our construction does not achieve a full localization, hence the title.

A monotonic flux for all future-pointing super-translations has further potential applications. Let us mention two. The first comes from the work of Wall [31]. His proof of the generalized second law requires the axioms of ultra-locality and stability. Ultra-locality means that the null geodesics spanning a null hypersurface can be treated as independent subsystems, and stability implies that the Hamiltonian on each subsystem has positive eigenvalues. Identifying the Hamiltonian density with the super-translation Noether current  $j_T$ , we can state the axioms as

$$\int_{\mathcal{N}} j_T \epsilon_{\mathcal{N}} \geq 0, \quad (5.2)$$

for any  $T > 0$ , which includes any approximation of a delta function localized at a point. In Wall's work the null hypersurface  $\mathcal{N}$  is an horizon, for which a monotonic flux has been studied in e.g. [32, 33, 34, 35, 36]. Our work shows that the axioms can apply to  $\mathcal{S}$  as well, if the right symplectic structure is chosen. This is in line with the interpretation of  $\mathcal{S}$  as an horizon (in the conformal spacetime [37, 9]), and can be relevant for studying the generalized second law at  $\mathcal{S}$  (e.g. [38, 39]).

A second application comes from soft theorems. It was pointed out that the soft terms in the flux are related to infra-red divergences [40], see also [41, 17], hence a covariant remotion of the soft flux may lead to infra-red finiteness. We also remark that our improved symplectic structure appears to be consistent with the corner bracket posited in [42]. However, we stress that our proposal is valid for BMS, and not for larger symmetry groups such as eBMS [43, 44, 45], gBMS [46, 47] or BMSW [30]. The reason for it is that the proof of covariance relies on the universality of Geroch's tensor, used explicitly in the second equality of (4.1), and which is lost for the larger symmetry groups. Covariant BMS symplectic potentials for eBMS and (a group isomorphic to) gBMS have been recently found [13, 17, 18, 24], but do not have the property of being purely hard.

## 6 Conclusions

The new super-momentum charge has the beautiful property that it provides a notion of localized energy density, namely a quantity that can be defined on arbitrarily small regions of the celestial sphere, and whose behaviour in radiative spacetimes is monotonically decreasing. This property gives it a compelling operational definition. Consider an observer with a container filled of water and a very precise thermometer. When gravitational waves reach the observer they will heat the water. By what amount? Bondi's formula only gives us the total amount of energy released, proportional to the integral of the squared news on the whole celestial sphere. But this is hardly relevant to the container, which is a sharply localized object on the celestial sphere. Intuitively, the relevant flux should depend only on the news at the specific direction  $(\theta, \varphi)$  of the observer. As any function on the sphere  $T(x^A)$  is an asymptotic symmetry of  $\mathcal{S}$ , we can choose one that is sharply peaked at the given direction. Let us idealize it with a Dirac delta,  $T = \delta^{(2)}(\theta, \phi)$ . Then the increase of water temperature  $T_w$  is

$$\Delta T_w = \frac{\alpha_w}{32\pi G \rho_w c_w} \int_{u_1}^{u_2} N_{ab}^2(u, \theta, \phi) du, \quad (6.1)$$

where  $\alpha_w$  is a conversion factor measuring the fraction of energy that can be extracted from the radiation and converted into heat in the water by unit of volume,  $\rho_w$  is the water density and  $c_w$  is the thermal mass capacity. The question is then whether a local experiment can verify this formula, since as remarked earlier, the news depends non-locally on the curvature, via (5.1). We claim that this is possible, as long as  $u_1$  was in the non-radiative regime. With this assumption,

$$N(u, \theta, \phi) = \int_{u_1}^u \psi_4(u', \theta, \phi) du'. \quad (6.2)$$

Hence a *spatially local* measurement of curvature is enough to determine the energy dissipation (6.1). The only left-over non-locality is that the characterization of a non-radiative cross-section is still requiring  $\psi_3$  on the whole sphere. The non-locality can be thus confined to the initial conditions for the experiment.

The localized charge (4.6) associated to this flux meets various criteria in order to be interpreted as an energy. First, it is the Noether charge and canonical generator for the associated super-translation symmetry. Second, it is always positive, thanks to the negativity of the flux, if we assume that the final state has a positive Bondi mass aspect, as for a stationary black hole. Third, it is extensive. This follows from the fact that it is functionally linear in  $T$ . Extensivity may come as a surprise, since the theory is non-linear and it is not possible to screen gravitational interaction. But here we are talking about extensivity at  $\mathcal{I}$ , where different regions on the celestial sphere are infinitely far away and *causally disconnected*, hence extensivity is a natural property.<sup>2</sup>

Since the asymptotic observers cannot synchronize their clock, their notions of future directed time translations are independent and equivalent. The canonical generator associated to these symmetries should then capture this property, and this is what our notion of local energy density does. The local version of the Bondi energy loss formula reflects the basic fact that there is no universal notion of energy in general relativity. Therefore, the new charges that we constructed have all the properties of localized energy. If this energy transfer is observable, it is therefore a good argument in favor of the new symplectic structure and of the introduction of the edge mode  $u_0$  in the phase space, as it seems impossible to obtain a covariant and stationary symplectic flux and charge without it.

## Acknowledgements

We thank Abhay Ashtekar and Jurek Lewandowski for comments.

## Charge aspects

The charges can be written without reference to any coordinate system on  $\mathcal{I}$  if we introduce an auxiliary null vector  $l$  such that  $n \cdot l = -1$ . This vector can be used to identify the shear and Lorentz subgroups, and to that end it is convenient to take it hypersurface orthogonal and Lie dragged by  $n$ , so that it is adapted to leaves of an affine foliation. The pair  $(n, l)$  can be completed to a Newman-Penrose basis at  $\mathcal{I}$  with a complex dyad  $(m, \bar{m})$ . The charge aspects can then be written using the Newman-Penrose formalism as

$$M_\rho = -\text{Re}(\psi_2 - \sigma N), \quad m^A J_A = - \left( \psi_1 + \sigma \bar{\delta} \bar{\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\delta}(\sigma \bar{\sigma}) \right),$$

---

<sup>2</sup>A similar construction could be done also on local null hypersurfaces if one uses a monotonic flux, however only within domains free of caustics or crossings, as to guarantee causal disconnection. Otherwise extensivity would no longer be possible. Super-translations are in fact not even admissible symmetries of local null surfaces [48].



where  $\sigma = -m^a m^b \sigma_{ab}$  and  $N = \frac{1}{2} \bar{m}^a \bar{m}^b N_{ab}$ . This is the form in which they appear for instance in [6]. Fixing  $l$  adapted to the cross-section is not necessary for the super-momentum, but it is for the Lorentz charge, and it is ultimately related to the fact that there is no preferred Lorentz subgroup of the BMS group in radiative spacetimes, one can speak of a Lorentz group only in relation to a chosen cross section.

The aspects can also be related to the metric coefficients of an asymptotic expansion of the bulk metric. To that end, we introduce Bondi coordinates  $(u, r, x^A)$ , and the expansion

$$\begin{aligned} g_{uu} &= -\frac{\mathcal{R}}{2} + \frac{2M}{r} + O(r^{-2}), & g_{ur} &= -1 - \frac{2\beta}{r^2} + O(r^{-3}), & \beta &:= -\frac{1}{32} C^{AB} C_{AB}, \\ g_{uA} &= -U_A + \frac{2}{3r} (J_A + \partial_A \beta - \frac{1}{2} C_{AB} U^B) + O(r^{-2}), & U_A &:= -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}^B C_{AB}, \\ g_{AB} &= r^2 q_{AB} + r C_{AB} + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

The bulk Bondi coordinates induce affine coordinates  $(u, x^A)$  on  $\mathcal{I}$  such that  $n \stackrel{\mathcal{I}}{=} \partial_u$ , and an affine foliation given by the level sets of  $u$ , whose shear is  $\sigma_{ab} = -1/2 \delta_a^A \delta_b^B C_{AB}$ , and the aspects  $M$  and  $J_A$  appear at first order in the conformal factor  $\Omega := 1/r$ .

## References

- [1] H. Bondi, M. G. J. van der Burg and A. W. K. Metzner, *Gravitational waves in general relativity. 7. Waves from axisymmetric isolated systems*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **269** (1962) 21–52.
- [2] R. Sachs, *Asymptotic symmetries in gravitational theory*, Phys. Rev. **128** (1962) 2851–2864.
- [3] R. Geroch, *Asymptotic Structure of Space-Time*, ch. 1, pp. 1–106. Springer US, Boston, MA, 1977.
- [4] A. Ashtekar, *Radiative Degrees of Freedom of the Gravitational Field in Exact General Relativity*, J. Math. Phys. **22** (1981) 2885–2895.
- [5] A. Ashtekar and M. Streubel, *Symplectic Geometry of Radiative Modes and Conserved Quantities at Null Infinity*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **376** (1981) 585–607.
- [6] T. Dray and M. Streubel, *Angular momentum at null infinity*, Class. Quant. Grav. **1** (1984), no. 1 15–26.
- [7] T. Dray, *Momentum Flux At Null Infinity*, Class. Quant. Grav. **2** (1985) L7–L10.
- [8] R. M. Wald and A. Zoupas, *A General definition of ‘conserved quantities’ in general relativity and other theories of gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **61** (2000) 084027 [gr-qc/9911095].
- [9] A. Ashtekar and S. Speziale, *Null Infinity as a Weakly Isolated Horizon*, 2402.17977.
- [10] G. Compere and D. Marolf, *Setting the boundary free in AdS/CFT*, Class. Quant. Grav. **25** (2008) 195014 [0805.1902].
- [11] D. Harlow and J.-Q. Wu, *Covariant phase space with boundaries*, JHEP **10** (2020) 146 [1906.08616].

- [12] L. Freidel, M. Geiller and D. Pranzetti, *Edge modes of gravity. Part I. Corner potentials and charges*, JHEP **11** (2020) 026 [2006.12527].
- [13] M. Campiglia and J. Peraza, *Generalized BMS charge algebra*, Phys. Rev. D **101** (2020), no. 10 104039 [2002.06691].
- [14] G. Odak and S. Speziale, *Brown-York charges with mixed boundary conditions*, JHEP **11** (2021) 224 [2109.02883].
- [15] V. Chandrasekaran, E. E. Flanagan, I. Shehzad and A. J. Speranza, *A general framework for gravitational charges and holographic renormalization*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **37** (2022), no. 17 2250105 [2111.11974].
- [16] G. Odak, A. Rignon-Bret and S. Speziale, *Wald-Zoupas prescription with soft anomalies*, Phys. Rev. D **107** (2023), no. 8 084028 [2212.07947].
- [17] L. Donnay, K. Nguyen and R. Ruzziconi, *Loop-corrected subleading soft theorem and the celestial stress tensor*, JHEP **09** (2022) 063 [2205.11477].
- [18] A. Rignon-Bret and S. Speziale, *Covariance and symmetry algebras*, 2403.00730.
- [19] G. Compère, A. Fiorucci and R. Ruzziconi, *Superboost transitions, refraction memory and super-Lorentz charge algebra*, JHEP **11** (2018) 200 [1810.00377]. [Erratum: JHEP 04, 172 (2020)].
- [20] A. Strominger, *On BMS Invariance of Gravitational Scattering*, JHEP **07** (2014) 152 [1312.2229].
- [21] A. Ashtekar, *Geometry and Physics of Null Infinity*, 1409.1800.
- [22] E. E. Flanagan and D. A. Nichols, *Conserved charges of the extended Bondi-Metzner-Sachs algebra*, Phys. Rev. D **95** (2017), no. 4 044002 [1510.03386].
- [23] A. M. Grant, K. Prabhu and I. Shehzad, *The Wald-Zoupas prescription for asymptotic charges at null infinity in general relativity*, Class. Quant. Grav. **39** (2022), no. 8 085002 [2105.05919].
- [24] A. Rignon-Bret and S. Speziale, *Center-less BMS charge algebra*, 2405.01526.
- [25] G. Barnich and C. Troessaert, *BMS charge algebra*, JHEP **12** (2011) 105 [1106.0213].
- [26] O. M. Moreschi and S. Dain, *Rest frame system for asymptotically flat space-times*, J. Math. Phys. **39** (1998) 6631–6650 [gr-qc/0203075].
- [27] S. Dain and O. M. Moreschi, *General existence proof for rest frame systems in asymptotically flat space-time*, Class. Quant. Grav. **17** (2000) 3663–3672 [gr-qc/0203048].
- [28] V. Chandrasekaran and A. J. Speranza, *Anomalies in gravitational charge algebras of null boundaries and black hole entropy*, JHEP **01** (2021) 137 [2009.10739].
- [29] L. Freidel, R. Oliveri, D. Pranzetti and S. Speziale, *Extended corner symmetry, charge bracket and Einstein’s equations*, JHEP **09** (2021) 083 [2104.12881].

- [30] L. Freidel, R. Oliveri, D. Pranzetti and S. Speziale, *The Weyl BMS group and Einstein's equations*, JHEP **07** (2021) 170 [2104.05793].
- [31] A. C. Wall, *A proof of the generalized second law for rapidly changing fields and arbitrary horizon slices*, Phys. Rev. D **85** (2012) 104049 [1105.3445]. [Erratum: Phys.Rev.D 87, 069904 (2013)].
- [32] A. Ashtekar, N. Khera, M. Kolanowski and J. Lewandowski, *Charges and fluxes on (perturbed) non-expanding horizons*, JHEP **02** (2022) 066 [2112.05608].
- [33] A. Rignon-Bret, *Second law from the Noether current on null hypersurfaces*, Phys. Rev. D **108** (2023), no. 4 044069 [2303.07262].
- [34] G. Odak, A. Rignon-Bret and S. Speziale, *General gravitational charges on null hypersurfaces*, JHEP **12** (2023) 038 [2309.03854].
- [35] L. Ciambelli, L. Freidel and R. G. Leigh, *Null Raychaudhuri: canonical structure and the dressing time*, JHEP **01** (2024) 166 [2309.03932].
- [36] S. Hollands, R. M. Wald and V. G. Zhang, *The Entropy of Dynamical Black Holes*, 2402.00818.
- [37] A. Ashtekar and S. Speziale, *Horizons and Null Infinity: A Fugue in 4 voices*, 2401.15618.
- [38] R. Bousso, *Asymptotic Entropy Bounds*, Phys. Rev. D **94** (2016), no. 2 024018 [1606.02297].
- [39] T. Faulkner and A. J. Speranza, *Gravitational algebras and the generalized second law*, 2405.00847.
- [40] N. Arkani-Hamed, M. Pate, A.-M. Raclariu and A. Strominger, *Celestial amplitudes from UV to IR*, JHEP **08** (2021) 062 [2012.04208].
- [41] M. Campiglia and A. Laddha, *BMS Algebra, Double Soft Theorems, and All That*, 2106.14717.
- [42] T. He, V. Lysov, P. Mitra and A. Strominger, *BMS supertranslations and Weinberg's soft graviton theorem*, JHEP **05** (2015) 151 [1401.7026].
- [43] G. Barnich and C. Troessaert, *Symmetries of asymptotically flat 4 dimensional spacetimes at null infinity revisited*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105** (2010) 111103 [0909.2617].
- [44] G. Barnich and C. Troessaert, *Aspects of the BMS/CFT correspondence*, JHEP **05** (2010) 062 [1001.1541].
- [45] S. Pasterski, A. Strominger and A. Zhiboedov, *New Gravitational Memories*, JHEP **12** (2016) 053 [1502.06120].
- [46] M. Campiglia and A. Laddha, *Asymptotic symmetries and subleading soft graviton theorem*, Phys. Rev. D **90** (2014), no. 12 124028 [1408.2228].
- [47] M. Campiglia and A. Laddha, *New symmetries for the Gravitational S-matrix*, JHEP **04** (2015) 076 [1502.02318].
- [48] V. Chandrasekaran, E. E. Flanagan and K. Prabhu, *Symmetries and charges of general relativity at null boundaries*, JHEP **11** (2018) 125 [1807.11499].