

Simultaneous extension of the polynomial Central Sets Theorem and the polynomial Milliken-Taylor theorem

Sayan Goswami
sayan92m@gmail.com*

Sourav Kanti Patra
spatra3@gitam.edu†

Abstract

In this article, we prove twofold polynomial extension of Beigelböck's [1] multidimensional Central Sets Theorem (which was a common extension of Furstenberg's Central Sets Theorem, and Milliken-Taylor theorem). We also find the complete ultrafilter characterization of the multidimensional Central Sets Theorems. Then we prove twofold common extension of Bergelson, Hindman, and William's [4] polynomial Milliken-Taylor theorem, and the multidimensional polynomial Central Sets Theorems. We also find a sufficient condition over two distinct multidimensional polynomials for which their Milliken-Taylor image lie in the same color. This result gives a partial polynomial extension of Deuber, Hindman, Leader, and Lefmann's [8] result on the separating Milliken-Taylor system.

Mathematics subject classification 2020: 05D10, 05C55, 22A15, 54D35.

Keywords: Central sets, C -sets, Central Sets Theorem, Multidimensional Central Sets Theorem, Polynomial Central Sets Theorem, Milliken-Taylor theorem, Polynomial Milliken-Taylor theorem, Algebra of the Stone-Ćech Compactifications of discrete semigroups.

1 Introduction

Throughout our article by \mathbb{N} , we denote the set of all positive integers. For any $r \in \mathbb{N}$, a r -coloring of a set X is a partition of X into r disjoint sets. By finite coloring, we mean any r -coloring, for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Let X be finitely colored, a set F is said to be "monochromatic" if F is contained in a single color. Let (S, \cdot) be a semigroup, and \mathcal{F} be a family of subsets of S . We say \mathcal{F} is partition regular (P.R.) if, for any finite coloring of S , there exists a member of $F \in \mathcal{F}$ in the same color, i.e. F is monochromatic. A cornerstone result in arithmetic Ramsey theory is van der Waerden's theorem [26], which states that the set of all arithmetic progression of any given length is P.R. over \mathbb{N} . Another important theorem was due to Schur [23], states that the collection $\{\{x, y, x + y\} : x \neq y \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is P.R. over \mathbb{N} . A nonlinear version of the van der Waerden's theorem, known as Polynomial van der Waerden's Theorem¹ was proved by Bergelson and Liebman in [6]. They used the methods from the topological dynamics and PET induction. Throughout this article, we assume \mathbb{P} to be the set of all polynomials with no constant term.

Theorem 1.1 (Polynomial van der Waerden Theorem). *Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r C_i$ be a r -coloring of \mathbb{N} . Then for any finite collection of polynomials F in \mathbb{P} , there exist $a, d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq j \leq r$ such that $\{a + p(d) : p \in F\} \subset C_j$.*

An infinitary extension of the Schur theorem inspires the following definition of IP sets.

Definition 1.2. (IP Set)

1. For any set X , let $\mathcal{P}_f(X)$ be the collection of all nonempty finite subsets of X .
2. Let $(S, +)$ be a commutative semigroup. A set $A \subseteq S$ is said to be an IP set if there exists an injective sequence $\langle x_n \rangle_n$ in S such that

$$A = FS(\langle x_n \rangle_n) = \left\{ \sum_{n \in \alpha} x_n : \alpha \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}) \right\}.$$

Abbreviately for $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$, we write $x_\alpha = \sum_{n \in \alpha} x_n$.

The following theorem is a cornerstone theorem in Ramsey theory, known as the Finite sum theorem/ Hindman theorem [12].

*Ramakrishna Mission Vivekananda Educational and Research Institute, Belur Math, Howrah, West Bengal-711202, India.

†Department of Mathematics, GITAM University Hyderabad Campus, Hyderabad, Telangana- 502329, India.

¹Actually, the authors proved a generalized version of the much stronger Szémeredi's Theorem, but we are not going to discuss it in this paper

Theorem 1.3 (Hindman Theorem). *If $(S, +)$ is a commutative semigroup, then for every finite coloring of S , there exists a monochromatic IP set.*

For details on the classical approach to the Ramsey theory, we refer to the book [11]. In our article we use Ultrafilter theory. So, before we proceed, we need to recall some basic results from the Stone-Ćech compactification of discrete semigroups, sometimes known as topological algebra/ theory of ultrafilter.

1.1 Topological algebra

Ultrafilters are set-theoretic objects which are intimately related to the Ramsey theory. In this section, we give a brief introduction to this theory. For details we refer to the book [17] to the readers. A filter \mathcal{F} over any nonempty set X is a collection of subsets of X such that

1. $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$, and $X \in \mathcal{F}$,
2. $A \in \mathcal{F}$, and $A \subseteq B$ implies $B \in \mathcal{F}$,
3. $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ implies $A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$.

Using Zorn's lemma we can guarantee the existence of maximal filters which are called ultrafilters. Any ultrafilter p has the following property:

- if $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^r A_i$ is any finite partition of X , then there exists $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ such that $A_i \in p$.

Let (S, \cdot) be any discrete semigroup. Let βS be the collection of all ultrafilters. For every $A \subseteq S$, define $\overline{A} = \{p \in \beta S : A \in p\}$. Now one can check that the collection $\{\overline{A} : A \subseteq S\}$ forms a basis for a topology. This basis generates a topology over βS . We can extend the operation “ \cdot ” of S over βS as: for any $p, q \in \beta S$, $A \in p \cdot q$ if and only if $\{x : x^{-1}A \in q\} \in p$. With this operation “ \cdot ”, $(\beta S, \cdot)$ becomes a compact Hausdorff right topological semigroup. One can show that βS is nothing but the Stone-Ćech compactification of S . Hence Ellis's theorem guarantees that there exist idempotents in $(\beta S, \cdot)$. The set of all idempotents in $(\beta S, \cdot)$ is denoted by $E((\beta S, \cdot))$. It can be shown that every member of the idempotents of $(\beta S, \cdot)$ contains an IP set, which means every idempotent witnesses Hindman's theorem. Using Zorn's lemma one can show that $(\beta S, \cdot)$ contains minimal left ideals (minimal w.r.t. the inclusion). A well-known fact is that the union of such minimal left ideals is a minimal two-sided ideal, denoted by $K(\beta S, \cdot)$. Here we recall a few well-known classes of sets that are relevant to our work.

Definition 1.4. Let (S, \cdot) be a semigroup, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $A \subseteq S$. We say that

- A is a *thick set* if for any finite subset $F \subset S$, there exists an element $x \in S$ such that $Fx = \{fx : f \in F\} \subset A$;
- A is a *syndetic set* if there exists a finite set $F \subset S$ such that $S = \bigcup_{x \in F} x^{-1}A$, where $x^{-1}A = \{y : xy \in A\}$;
- A is a *piecewise syndetic set* if there exists a finite set $F \subset S$ such that $\bigcup_{x \in F} x^{-1}A$ is a thick set. It is well known that A is piecewise syndetic if and only if there exists $p \in K(\beta S, \cdot)$ such that $A \in p$.
- A is *central set* if it belongs to a minimal idempotent in βS .

It can be proved that a set A is thick if and only if there exists a left ideal L such that $L \subseteq \overline{A}$. And a set A is syndetic if and only if for every left ideal L , $L \cap \overline{A} \neq \emptyset$. Note that if $f : (S, \cdot) \rightarrow (T, \cdot)$ be any map between discrete semigroups. Then $\tilde{f} : \beta S \rightarrow \beta T$ be the continuous extension of f defined by $\tilde{f}(p) = \tilde{f}(\lim_{x \rightarrow p} x)$.

1.1.1 Tensor product of ultrafilters

The tensor product of ultrafilters was introduced in [19]. In this section, we recall materials from [18]. Let (S, \cdot) and (T, \cdot) be two discrete semigroups.

Definition 1.5. Let $p \in (\beta S, \cdot)$ and $q \in (\beta T, \cdot)$ be two ultrafilters. Then the tensor product of p and q is defined as

$$p \otimes q = \{A \subseteq S \times T : \{x \in S : \{y : (x, y) \in A\} \in q\} \in p\}$$

where $x \in S$, $y \in T$.

Clearly $p \otimes q$ is a member of $\beta(S \times T)$. Tensor products can be characterized in terms of limits as follows.

$$p \otimes q = \lim_{s \rightarrow p} \lim_{t \rightarrow q} (s, t).$$

Using the induction argument, one can extend the above definition of tensor products for multiple ultrafilters.

Definition 1.6. [4, Definition 1.15] Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, let S_i be a semigroup and let $p_i \in \beta S_i$. We define $\bigotimes_{i=1}^k p_i \in \beta \left(\times_{i=1}^k S_i \right)$ as follows

1. $\bigotimes_{i=1}^1 p_i = p_1$,
2. given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $A \subseteq \times_{i=1}^{k+1} S_i$, $A \in \bigotimes_{i=1}^{k+1} p_i$ if and only if

$$\left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \times_{i=1}^k S_i : \{x_{k+1} \in S_{k+1} : (x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) \in A\} \in p_{k+1} \right\} \in \bigotimes_{i=1}^k p_i.$$

Similarly, we can readdress the above definition in the language of limit:

$$\bigotimes_{i=1}^k p_i = \lim_{s_1 \rightarrow p_1} \cdots \lim_{s_k \rightarrow p_k} (s_1, \dots, s_k).$$

In [4], V. Bergelson, N. Hindman and K. Williams used the tensor product tricks to prove multi-dimensional Ramsey theoretic results including Milliken-Taylor theorem, and its polynomial extensions. For details on multi-dimensional Ramsey theoretic results we refer [3, 4, 17, 20]. In [1], Beigelböck proved the simultaneous extension of the Central Sets Theorem and Milliken-Taylor theorem using a little different approach described in [4, Chapter 18]. One of our main motivations is to study the tensor product of minimal idempotent ultrafilters, which was not extensively covered earlier. As a consequence, we are able to prove the polynomial extensions of several multidimensional results in Ramsey theory. Before we proceed, we need the following technical Lemma from [4] (a variation can be found in [27]), which is essential for our purpose.

Let X be any nonempty set and let $\mathcal{P}(X)$ be the power set of X .

Lemma 1.7 (Lifting lemma). [4, Lemma 2.5] Let (S, \cdot) be a semigroup, let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $p_1, \dots, p_m \in \beta S$. Let $A \in \bigotimes_{j=1}^m p_j$. Then for $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ there exists $D_j : S^{j-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$ such that

1. for $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, if for each $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, j-1\}$, $w_s \in D_s(w_1, \dots, w_{s-1})$, then $D_j(w_1, \dots, w_{j-1}) \in p_j$;
2. if for each $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, $w_s \in D_s(w_1, \dots, w_{s-1})$, then $(w_1, \dots, w_m) \in A$.

1.2 The Central Sets Theorem and its polynomial extensions

Before we proceed to our main results, we need to recall the Central Sets Theorem. After the foundation of both van der Waerden's and Hindman's theorem, an immediate question appeared if one can find a joint extension of both of these theorems. In [9], using the methods of Topological dynamics, Furstenberg defined the notions of Central Sets and proved that if \mathbb{N} is finitely colored, then one of the color classes is Central. Then he proved a joint extension of both the van der Waerden's and Hindman's theorem, known as the Central Sets Theorem.

Theorem 1.8 (Central Sets Theorem). [9, Proposition 8.21] Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$, and $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a central set. For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ let $\langle x_{i,m} \rangle_{m=1}^\infty$ be a sequence in \mathbb{Z} . Then there exist sequences $\langle b_m \rangle_{m=1}^\infty$ in \mathbb{N} and $\langle K_m \rangle_{m=1}^\infty$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that

1. For each m , $\max K_m < \min K_{m+1}$ and
2. For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ and $H \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$, $\sum_{m \in H} (b_m + \sum_{t \in K_m} x_{i,t}) \in A$.

Later in [2, 24] authors established the relation between the Central sets and the minimal idempotents mentioned in the Definition 1.4. Then using the properties of the minimal idempotents, the Central Sets Theorem was generalized in several directions. For details about the developments and their combinatorial applications, we refer to the article [13]. Before we state the stronger version of Theorem 1.8, we need the following definitions from [7].

Definition 1.9. (J -set)

1. A is called a J -set if and only if for every $H \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$, there exists $a \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that for all $f \in H$, $a + \sum_{t \in \beta} f(t) \in A$.
2. $\mathcal{J} = \{p \in \beta\mathbb{N} : (\forall A \in p) A \text{ is a } J \text{ set}\}$.

It can be proved that J sets are partition regular, and so $\mathcal{J} \neq \emptyset$. Again it is easy to verify that $(\mathcal{J}, +)$ is a two sided ideal of $(\beta\mathbb{N}, +)$, hence contains $K(\beta\mathbb{N}, +)$.

- A set will be called C -set if it is a member of any idempotent in $(\mathcal{J}, +)$.

As every piecewise syndetic set is a J set, one can show that every Central set is a C set. The following stronger version of the Central Sets Theorem was due to De, Hindman, and Strauss [7].

Theorem 1.10 (Stonger Central Sets Theorem, [7]). *Let $\tau = {}^{\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{N}$, and let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$.*

1. *A is a C set.*
2. *there exists functions $\alpha : \mathcal{P}_f({}^{\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, and $H : \mathcal{P}_f({}^{\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that*
 - (a) *if $F, G \in \mathcal{P}_f(\tau)$ and $F \subsetneq G$ then $\max H(F) < \min H(G)$, and*
 - (b) *whenever $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m \in \mathcal{P}_f(\tau)$, $G_1 \subsetneq G_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq G_m$ and for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, $f_i \in G_i$, one has*

$$\sum_{i=1}^m (\alpha(G_i) + \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t)) \in A.$$

1.2.1 Polynomial extension of the Central Sets Theorem

In [15, 16], Hindman and McCutcheon extensively studied the algebraic structure of VIP systems which are the generalizations of usual polynomials. They proved several results including the polynomial extension of Theorem 1.8. This was the joint extension of the polynomial van der Waerden's theorem and the central sets theorem. For a relatively weaker version readers can see [5].

Theorem 1.11 (Polynomial Central Sets Theorem). *Let $F \in \mathcal{P}_f({}^{\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{N})$, let $T \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{P})$ and let A be a central subset of \mathbb{N} . Then there exist sequences $\langle b_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in \mathbb{N} and $\langle H_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that*

1. *for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\max H_n < \min H_{n+1}$ and*
2. *for each $f \in F$, each $P \in T$ and each $K \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$*

$$\sum_{n \in K} b_n + P \left(\sum_{n \in K} \sum_{t \in H_n} f(t) \right) \in A.$$

Later in [10], both of the authors of this paper and Luperi Bagilini found the polynomial extension of Theorem 1.10, which is the stronger version of Theorem 1.11. To state this result we need the following definition which polynomialize the notion of J sets.

Definition 1.12. (J_p -set:)

1. A is called a J_p -set if and only if for every $F \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{P})$, and every $H \in \mathcal{P}_f({}^{\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{N})$, there exists $a \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that for all $P \in F$ and all $f \in H$, $a + P \left(\sum_{t \in \beta} f(t) \right) \in A$
2. $\mathcal{J}_p = \{p \in \beta\mathbb{N} : (\forall A \in p) A \text{ is a } J_p \text{ set}\}.$

We don't know whether J_p sets are P.R., but it can be shown that every piecewise syndetic set is a J_p set. Hence $\mathcal{J}_p \neq \emptyset$. Now one can show that $(\mathcal{J}_p, +)$ is a two sided ideal of $(\beta\mathbb{N}, +)$. We call a set a C_p set if it belongs to some idempotents of $(\mathcal{J}_p, +)$.

Theorem 1.13 (Stronger polynomial Central Sets Theorem). *[10, Theorem 11] Let A be a C_p -set and let $T \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{P})$. There exist functions $\alpha : \mathcal{P}_f({}^{\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $H : \mathcal{P}_f({}^{\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that*

1. *if $G, K \in \mathcal{P}_f({}^{\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{N})$ and $G \subsetneq K$ then $\max H(G) < \min H(K)$ and*
2. *if $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n \in \mathcal{P}_f({}^{\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{N})$, $G_1 \subsetneq G_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq G_n$ and for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $f_i \in G_i$, then for all $P \in T$,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha(G_i) + P \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \in A.$$

However likewise in Theorem 1.10, the converse direction of Theorem 1.13 is not known. The proof of Theorem 1.13 uses induction argument. By observing that any $\beta \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ is a subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ for some large enough $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by going along the lines of the proof of Theorem 1.13, one can deduce the following seemingly stronger version of Theorem 1.13.

Corollary 1.14. *[10, Corollary 12] Let A be a C_p -set and $T \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{P})$. Then there exist $\alpha : \mathcal{P}_f({}^{\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, $H : \mathcal{P}_f({}^{\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that*

1. if $F, G \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$, $F \subset G$, then $\max H(F) < \min H(G)$;
2. if $\langle G_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that $G_1 \subsetneq G_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq G_n \subsetneq \dots$ and $f_i \in G_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$, then for all $P \in T$,

$$\sum_{i \in \beta} \alpha(G_i) + P \left(\sum_{i \in \beta} \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \in A.$$

for all $\beta \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$.

1.3 Milliken-Taylor Theorem and its twofold extensions

In 1975-76, Milliken and Taylor independently proved the joint extension of both Hindman theorem and Ramsey's theorem. To state the Milliken-Taylor Theorem, we need to introduce some notation. Given $F, G \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$, we write $F < G$ to mean that $\max F < \min G$. When we say that a sequence $\langle H_n \rangle_n$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ is increasing if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\max H_n < \min H_{n+1}$. We present the different terminology because these special cases arise frequently.

Definition 1.15. [4, Definition 1.3] Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

1. For any set X , $[X]^k = \{A \subseteq X : |A| = k\}$.
2. For a sequence $\langle x_n \rangle_n$ in \mathbb{N}

$$[FS(\langle x_n \rangle_n)]_{<}^k = \left\{ \left(\sum_{t \in F_1} x_t, \sum_{t \in F_2} x_t, \dots, \sum_{t \in F_k} x_t \right) : F_1 < F_2 < \dots < F_k \right\}.$$

3. In a semigroup (S, \cdot) , $FP(\langle y_n \rangle_n)$ is a *product subsystem* of $FP(\langle x_n \rangle_n)$ if and only if there exists an increasing sequence $\langle H_n \rangle_n$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $y_n = \prod_{t \in H_n} x_t$ where the products $\prod_{t \in H_n} x_t$ are computed in increasing order of indices. If the semigroup is commutative, we denote it by $(S, +)$ and the product subsystem $FP(\langle y_n \rangle_n)$ is denoted by $FS(\langle y_n \rangle_n)$ referred by sum subsystem.

One of the fundamental theorems of Ramsey theory was due to Ramsey [22]:

Theorem 1.16 (Ramsey). *If X is an infinite set, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then for every finite coloring of $[X]^k$, there exists an infinite set $Y \subseteq X$ such that the set $[Y]^k$ is monochromatic.*

The following theorem was due to K. Milliken [21] and A. Taylor [25] generalizes both Ramsey's theorem and Hindman's theorem, known as the Milliken-Taylor theorem. They originally proved two different but equivalent versions of the Milliken-Taylor theorem (see [4]). Here we quote the version from [21].

Theorem 1.17 (Milliken-Taylor). *Let $m, r \in \mathbb{N}$, and $[\mathbb{N}]^m = \bigcup_{i=1}^r C_i$ be a r -coloring. Let $\langle x_n \rangle_n$ be a sequence in \mathbb{N} . Then there exists $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$, and a sum subsystem $FS(\langle y_n \rangle_n)$ of $FS(\langle x_n \rangle_n)$ such that $[FS(\langle y_n \rangle_n)]_{<}^m \subseteq C_i$.*

To explain several extensions of the Milliken-Taylor theorem, we need the following notions of *Milliken-Taylor system*.

Definition 1.18 (Milliken-Taylor system). Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\langle a_j \rangle_{j=1}^m$ and $\langle x_n \rangle_n$ be sequences in \mathbb{N} . The Milliken-Taylor System determined by $\langle a_j \rangle_{j=1}^m$ and $\langle x_n \rangle_n$ is

$$MT(\langle a_j \rangle_{j=1}^m, \langle x_n \rangle_n) = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \cdot \sum_{t \in F_j} x_t : F_1 < F_2 < \dots < F_m \right\}.$$

The following theorem is another version of Theorem 1.17 that uses linear combination of idempotent ultrafilters.

Theorem 1.19. [4, Theorem 1.11.] *Let $K \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\langle a_j \rangle_{j=1}^K$, and $\langle x_n \rangle_n$ be sequences in \mathbb{N} . Let $g(z) = \sum_{j=1}^K a_j z$, and let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. The following statements are equivalent.*

1. There is an idempotent $p \in \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \overline{FS(\langle x_n \rangle_{n=m}^{\infty})}$ such that $A \in \tilde{g}(p)$
2. There is a sum subsystem $FS(\langle y_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty})$ of $FS(\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty})$ such that $MT(\langle a_j \rangle_{j=1}^K, \langle x_n \rangle_n) \subseteq A$.

Using the tensor product of ultrafilters one can find a seemingly stronger version of the Milliken-Taylor theorem.

Theorem 1.20. [4, Theorem 1.17, Milliken-Taylor Theorem I] *Let $(S, +)$ be a commutative semigroup, and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $A \subseteq \times_{i=1}^m S$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

1. There is a sequence $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ in S such that

$$\left\{ \left(\sum_{t \in F_1} x_t, \sum_{t \in F_2} x_t, \dots, \sum_{t \in F_k} x_t \right) : F_1 < F_2 < \dots < F_k \right\} \subseteq A.$$

2. There is an idempotent $p \in \beta S$ such that $A \in \bigotimes_{i=1}^m p$.

The following theorem is another version of the Milliken-Taylor theorem. Here one considers tensor products of different idempotent ultrafilters.

Theorem 1.21. [4, Theorem 1.16, Milliken-Taylor Theorem II] *Let $(S, +)$ be a commutative semigroup, and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $A \subseteq \times_{i=1}^m S$. The following statements are equivalent.*

1. For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, there exist sequences $\langle x_{i,n} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ in S such that

$$\left\{ \left(\sum_{t \in F_1} x_{1,t}, \sum_{t \in F_2} x_{2,t}, \dots, \sum_{t \in F_k} x_{m,t} \right) : F_1 < F_2 < \dots < F_k \right\} \subseteq A.$$

2. There exist idempotents $p_1, \dots, p_m \in \beta S$ such that $A \in \bigotimes_{i=1}^m p_i$.

In [1], Beigelböck simultaneously extends the combinatorial consequences of both of the Theorem 1.8 and Theorem 1.20. Unfortunately, Beigelböck's result does not address the ultrafilter characterization of sets witnessing his result. Let us first state his result, and then we address our results.

Theorem 1.22. *Let $(S, +)$ be a commutative semigroup and assume that there exists a nonprincipal minimal idempotent in βS . For each $l \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\langle y_{l,n} \rangle_n$ be a sequence in S . Let $k, r \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $[S]^k = \bigcup_i^r A_i$. There exist $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ a sequence $\langle a_n \rangle_n$ in S and a sequence $\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_n < \dots$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that $\left[FS(\langle a_n \prod_{t \in \alpha_n} y_{g(n),t} \rangle_n) \right]_{<}^k \subseteq A_i$*

Our first result is the improvement of Theorem 1.22. We simultaneously extend Theorem 1.10 and Theorem 1.20 both in the algebraic and combinatorial direction. In our article, we restrict ourselves to the set of natural numbers, but this technique can be adapted for any discrete commutative semigroups.

Theorem 1.23 (Multidimensional Stronger Central Sets Theorem I). *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^m$. Then the following two statements are equivalent.*

1. There exists functions $\alpha : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $H : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that

- (a) if $F, G \in \mathcal{P}_f(\tau)$ and $F \subsetneq G$ then $\max H(F) < \min H(G)$, and
- (b) if $n(\geq m) \in \mathbb{N}$, $G_1 \subsetneq G_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq G_n$, then for each $\beta_1 < \beta_2 < \dots < \beta_m \leq \{n\}$, in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ we have

$$\left\{ \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \left(\alpha(G_i) + \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right), \dots, \sum_{i \in \beta_m} \left(\alpha(G_i) + \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) : f_i \in G_i \text{ for every } i \in \mathbb{N} \right) \right\} \subseteq A.$$

2. There exists an idempotent p in $(\mathcal{J}, +)$, such that $A \in \bigotimes_{i=1}^m p$.

Similar to the Corollary 1.14, the following corollary of the above theorem gives an infinitary version.

Corollary 1.24. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^m$. Then the following two statements are equivalent.*

1. there exists functions $\alpha : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $H : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that

- (a) if $F, G \in \mathcal{P}_f(\tau)$ and $F \subsetneq G$ then $\max H(F) < \min H(G)$, and
- (b) whenever $(G_i)_i \in \mathcal{P}_f(\tau)$, is a sequence of functions, i.e. $G_1 \subsetneq G_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq G_n \subsetneq \dots$, then for every $\beta_1 < \dots < \beta_m$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ we have

$$\left\{ \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \left(\alpha(G_i) + \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right), \dots, \sum_{i \in \beta_m} \left(\alpha(G_i) + \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) : f_i \in G_i \text{ for every } i \in \mathbb{N} \right) \right\} \subseteq A.$$

2. There exists an idempotent p in $(\mathcal{J}, +)$, such that $A \in \bigotimes_{i=1}^m p$.

Similarly to Theorem 1.21, the following theorem is a simultaneous extension of Theorem 1.10, and Theorem 1.21.

Theorem 1.25 (Multidimensional Stronger Central Sets Theorem II). *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^m$. Then the following two statements are equivalent.*

1. *There exists functions $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $H_1, \dots, H_m : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that*
 - (a) *if $F, G \in \mathcal{P}_f(\tau)$ and $F \subsetneq G$ then for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, $\max H_i(F) < \min H_i(G)$, and*
 - (b) *if $n(\geq m) \in \mathbb{N}$, $G_1 \subsetneq G_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq G_n$, then for each $\beta_1 < \beta_2 < \dots < \beta_m \leq \{n\}$, in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ we have*

$$\left\{ \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \left(\alpha_1(G_i) + \sum_{t \in H_1(G_i)} f_i(t) \right), \dots, \sum_{i \in \beta_m} \left(\alpha_m(G_i) + \sum_{t \in H_m(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) : f_i \in G_i \text{ for every } i \in \mathbb{N} \right) \right\} \subset A.$$

2. *There exist idempotents p_1, \dots, p_m in $(\mathcal{J}, +)$, such that $A \in \bigotimes_{i=1}^m p_i$.*

The main difference between Theorem 1.23, and Theorem 1.25 is that in Theorem 1.23, our functions α , and H are same throughout all the coordinates, but in Theorem 1.25, our functions α , and H are different throughout all the coordinates. The main reason is that we used different idempotent ultrafilters. In a similar fashion to Corollary 1.24, the following corollary addresses the infinitary version of Theorem 1.25.

Corollary 1.26. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^m$. Then the following two statements are equivalent.*

1. *There exists functions $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_m : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that*
 - (a) *if $F, G \in \mathcal{P}_f(\tau)$ and $F \subsetneq G$ then $\max H(F) < \min H(G)$, and*
 - (b) *whenever $(G_i)_i \in \mathcal{P}_f(\tau)$, is a sequence of functions, i.e. $G_1 \subsetneq G_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq G_n \subsetneq \dots$, then for every $\beta_1 < \dots < \beta_m$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ we have*

$$\left\{ \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \left(\alpha_1(G_i) + \sum_{t \in H_1(G_i)} f_i(t) \right), \dots, \sum_{i \in \beta_m} \left(\alpha_m(G_i) + \sum_{t \in H_m(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) : f_i \in G_i \text{ for every } i \in \mathbb{N} \right) \right\} \subset A.$$

2. *There exist idempotents p_1, \dots, p_m in $(\mathcal{J}, +)$, such that $A \in \bigotimes_{i=1}^m p_i$.*

Our next result polynomialize Theorem 1.23. Unfortunately, we are unable to find the ultrafilter characterization of the next theorem. The main problem is that we don't know any ultrafilter characterization of the polynomial Central Sets Theorem.

Theorem 1.27 (Multidimensional Stronger Polynomial Central Sets Theorem I). *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and let p be a minimal idempotent in $(\beta\mathbb{N}, +)$. Then for every $A \in \bigotimes_{i=1}^m p$, and $T \in \mathcal{P}_f(P)$, we have two functions $\alpha : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, and $H : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that*

1. *if $G, K \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N})$, and $G \subsetneq K$, then $H(G) < H(K)$, and*
2. *if $n(\geq m) \in \mathbb{N}$, $G_1 \subsetneq G_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq G_n$, then for each $\beta_1 < \beta_2 < \dots < \beta_m \leq \{n\}$, in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$, $f_i \in G_i$, and $P_1, \dots, P_m \in T$ we have*

$$\left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \alpha(G_i) + P_1 \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right), \dots, \sum_{i \in \beta_m} \alpha(G_i) + P_m \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_m} \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \right) \in A.$$

In fact we may consider $p \in E(\mathcal{J}_p, +)$.

The following corollary of the above theorem gives an infinitary version of Theorem 1.27. This result is the polynomial extension of Corollary 1.24.

Corollary 1.28. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and let p be a minimal idempotent in $(\beta\mathbb{N}, +)$. Then for every $A \in \bigotimes_{i=1}^m p$, and $T \in \mathcal{P}_f(P)$, we have two functions $\alpha : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, and $H : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that*

1. *if $G, K \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N})$, and $G \subsetneq K$, then $H(G) < H(K)$, and*

2. if $G_1 \subsetneq G_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq G_n \subsetneq \dots$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N})$, then for each $\beta_1 < \beta_2 < \dots < \beta_m$, in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$, $f_i \in G_i$, and $P_1, \dots, P_m \in T$ we have

$$\left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \alpha(G_i) + P_1 \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right), \dots, \sum_{i \in \beta_m} \alpha(G_i) + P_m \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_m} \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \right) \in A.$$

In fact we may consider $p \in E(\mathcal{J}_p, +)$.

The following theorem is the polynomial extension of Theorem 1.25. Here we considered tensor product of different minimal idempotent ultrafilters.

Theorem 1.29 (Multidimensional Stronger Polynomial Central Sets Theorem II). *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and let p_1, \dots, p_m be minimal idempotents in $(\beta\mathbb{N}, +)$. Then for every $A \in \bigotimes_{i=1}^m p_i$, and $T \in \mathcal{P}_f(P)$, we have functions $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, and $H_1, \dots, H_m : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that*

1. if $G, K \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N})$, and $G \subsetneq K$, then for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, we have $H_i(G) < H_i(K)$, and
2. if $n(\geq m) \in \mathbb{N}$, $G_1 \subsetneq G_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq G_n$, then for each $\beta_1 < \beta_2 < \dots < \beta_m \leq \{n\}$, in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$, $f_i \in G_i$, and $P_1, \dots, P_m \in T$ we have

$$\left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \alpha_1(G_i) + P_1 \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \sum_{t \in H_1(G_i)} f_i(t) \right), \dots, \sum_{i \in \beta_m} \alpha_m(G_i) + P_m \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_m} \sum_{t \in H_m(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \right) \in A.$$

In fact we may consider $p_1, \dots, p_m \in E(\mathcal{J}_p, +)$.

The following corollary of the above theorem gives an infinitary version of Theorem 1.29. This result is the polynomial extension of Corollary 1.26.

Corollary 1.30. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and let p_1, \dots, p_m be minimal idempotents in $(\beta\mathbb{N}, +)$. Then for every $A \in \bigotimes_{i=1}^m p_i$, and $T \in \mathcal{P}_f(P)$, we have functions $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, and $H_1, \dots, H_m : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that*

1. if $G, K \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N})$, and $G \subsetneq K$, then for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, we have $H_i(G) < H_i(K)$, and
2. if $G_1 \subsetneq G_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq G_n \subsetneq \dots$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N})$, then for each $\beta_1 < \beta_2 < \dots < \beta_m$, in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$, $f_i \in G_i$, and $P_1, \dots, P_m \in T$ we have

$$\left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \alpha_1(G_i) + P_1 \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \sum_{t \in H_1(G_i)} f_i(t) \right), \dots, \sum_{i \in \beta_m} \alpha_m(G_i) + P_m \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_m} \sum_{t \in H_m(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \right) \in A.$$

In fact we may consider $p_1, \dots, p_m \in E(\mathcal{J}_p, +)$.

1.3.1 Polynomial extension of the Milliken-Taylor theorem

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $h : \mathbb{N}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be any polynomial having no constant term. Then from [4, Theorem 3.2], it is clear that for every $p \in \beta\mathbb{N}$, $\tilde{h}(\bigotimes_{i=1}^m p) = h(p, \dots, p)$. The following theorem is a corollary of [4, Theorem 3.2], and is the polynomial extension of Theorem 1.20.

Theorem 1.31. [4, Theorem 1.11.] *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $h : \mathbb{N}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be any polynomial having no constant term, and let $A \subseteq \times_{i=1}^m \mathbb{N}$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

1. There is a sequence $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ in \mathbb{N} such that

$$\left\{ h \left(\sum_{t \in F_1} x_t, \sum_{t \in F_2} x_t, \dots, \sum_{t \in F_k} x_t \right) : F_1 < F_2 < \dots < F_k \right\} \subseteq A.$$

2. There is an idempotent $p \in \beta S$ such that $A \in \tilde{h}(\bigotimes_{i=1}^m p)$.

The following corollary immediately follows from [4, Theorem 3.2], and Corollary 1.24, and can be thought of as the polynomial extension of Corollary 1.24.

Corollary 1.32. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $h : \mathbb{N}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be any polynomial having no constant term, and let $A \subseteq \times_{i=1}^m \mathbb{N}$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

1. there exists functions $\alpha : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $H : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that

- (a) if $F, G \in \mathcal{P}_f(\tau)$ and $F \subsetneq G$ then $\max H(F) < \min H(G)$, and
- (b) whenever $(G_i)_i \in \mathcal{P}_f(\tau)$, is a sequence of functions, i.e. $G_1 \subsetneq G_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq G_n \subsetneq \dots$, then for every $\beta_1 < \dots < \beta_m$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ we have

$$\left\{ h \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \left(\alpha(G_i) + \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right), \dots, \sum_{i \in \beta_m} \left(\alpha(G_i) + \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) : f_i \in G_i \text{ for every } i \in \mathbb{N} \right) \right\} \in A.$$

2. There exists an idempotent p in $(\mathcal{J}, +)$, such that $A \in \tilde{h}(\bigotimes_{i=1}^m p)$.

In light of Corollary 1.26, the following corollary is another version of Corollary 1.32.

Corollary 1.33. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $h : \mathbb{N}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be any polynomial having no constant term, and let $A \subseteq \times_{i=1}^m \mathbb{N}$. Then the following statements are equivalent.

1. there exists functions $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $H_1, \dots, H_m : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that

- (a) if $F, G \in \mathcal{P}_f(\tau)$ and $F \subsetneq G$ then for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, we have $\max H_i(F) < \min H_i(G)$, and
- (b) whenever $(G_i)_i \in \mathcal{P}_f(\tau)$, is a sequence of functions, i.e. $G_1 \subsetneq G_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq G_n \subsetneq \dots$, then for every $\beta_1 < \dots < \beta_m$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ we have

$$\left\{ h \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \left(\alpha_1(G_i) + \sum_{t \in H_1(G_i)} f_i(t) \right), \dots, \sum_{i \in \beta_m} \left(\alpha_m(G_i) + \sum_{t \in H_m(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) : f_i \in G_i \text{ for every } i \in \mathbb{N} \right) \right\} \in A.$$

2. There exist idempotents p_1, \dots, p_m in $(\mathcal{J}, +)$, such that $A \in \tilde{h}(\bigotimes_{i=1}^m p_i)$.

In the above theorems, we did not consider any polynomial inside h . This inspires us the following generalization of the above results.

Corollary 1.34. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $h : \mathbb{N}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be any polynomial having no constant term, and let p_1, \dots, p_m be minimal idempotents in $(\beta\mathbb{N}, +)$. Then for every $A \in \tilde{h}(\bigotimes_{i=1}^m p_i)$, and $T \in \mathcal{P}_f(P)$, we have two functions $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, and $H_1, \dots, H_m : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that

- 1. if $G, K \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N})$, and $G \subsetneq K$, then for each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, we have $H_i(G) < H_i(K)$, and
- 2. if $G_1 \subsetneq G_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq G_n \subsetneq \dots$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N})$, then for each $\beta_1 < \beta_2 < \dots < \beta_m$, in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$, $f_i \in G_i$, and $P_1, \dots, P_m \in T$ we have

$$h \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \alpha_1(G_i) + P_1 \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \sum_{t \in H_1(a_i)} f_i(t) \right), \dots, \sum_{i \in \beta_m} \alpha_m(G_i) + P_m \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_m} \sum_{t \in H_m(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \right) \in A.$$

- 3. if for each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $p_i = p$ for some minimal idempotent p , then we can consider $\alpha_i = \alpha$, and $H_i = H$ for some functions $\alpha : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, and $H : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$.

In fact we may consider $p \in E(\mathcal{J}_p, +)$.

Proof. Immediately follows from [4, Theorem 3.2], and Corollaries 1.28, 1.30. □

Structure of the paper:

In the next section, we prove Theorem 1.23. Let $i : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a polynomial defined by $i(x) = x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{N}$. Then if we choose $T = \{i\}$ in Theorem 1.27, then a similar proof of Theorem 1.27 implies (2) \implies (1) in Theorem 1.23. Hence we need to prove the reverse direction. So our first focus will be to prove (1) \implies (2) in Theorem 1.23. Similarly, in light of Theorem 1.29, we need to prove Theorem 1.25, we need to show only (1) \implies (2). Then we prove Theorem 1.27 and its another version Theorem 1.29. In the proof of Theorem 1.27, and Theorem 1.29, we used the induction hypothesis. One can easily lift this induction hypothesis (due to the presence of idempotent ultrafilters) to conclude the Corollaries (2) \implies (1) in 1.24, (2) \implies (1) in 1.26, 1.28, and 1.30. However the proofs of Corollaries (1) \implies (2) in 1.24, (1) \implies (2) in 1.26 are similar to the proof of (1) \implies (2) in Theorem 1.23, and (1) \implies (2) in Theorem 1.25. Then in the last section we address the polynomial extension of separating the Milliken-Taylor theorem, originally proved in [8].

2 Our proofs

As we promised before, in this section we will prove Theorem 1.23, and Theorem 1.27. Then we draw several new results using these theorems.

Proof of Theorem 1.23: As mentioned earlier we are going to proof only (1) \implies (2).

Let $\alpha : \mathbb{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $H : \mathbb{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ be two functions such that $\max H(F) < \min H(G)$ provided $F, G \in \mathbb{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N})$ and $F \subsetneq G$. For $G \in \mathbb{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N})$, let

$$T_G(\alpha, H) = \left\{ \sum_{i \in \beta} \left(\alpha(G_i) + \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) : n \in \mathbb{N}, \beta \subseteq [1, n], G \subsetneq G_1 \subsetneq G_2 \cdots \subsetneq G_n, \text{ and for each } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, f_i \in G_i \right\}.$$

When α, H are fixed we write it T_G instead of $T_G(\alpha, H)$.

Now for $\alpha : \mathbb{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $H : \mathbb{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ with $\max H(F) < \min H(G)$ provided $F, G \in \mathbb{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N})$ and $F \subsetneq G$; define

$$Q(\alpha, H) = \bigcap_{G \in \mathbb{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N})} \overline{T}_G.$$

It is easy to verify that $Q(\alpha, H) \neq \emptyset$ and is a subgroup of $(\beta\mathbb{N}, +)$. Furthermore, $K(Q(\alpha, H)) \subseteq (\mathcal{J}, +)$. We show, by downward induction on $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ that for each $G \in \mathbb{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N})$,

$$\left\{ \sum_{i \in \beta_l} (\alpha(G_i) + \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t)), \sum_{i \in \beta_{l+1}} (\alpha(G_i) + \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t)), \dots, \sum_{i \in \beta_m} (\alpha(G_i) + \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t)) : \right. \\ \left. f_i \in G_i, G \subseteq G_i \text{ for every } i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \beta_l < \beta_{l+1} < \dots < \beta_m \right\} \in \bigotimes_{i=l}^m p.$$

Let $p \in K(Q(\alpha, H))$. For $l = m$, we have $T_G \in p$ so that,

$$\left\{ \sum_{i \in \beta_m} (\alpha(G_i) + \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t)) : f_i \in G_i, G \subseteq G_i \text{ for every } i \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \subset \bigotimes_{i=m}^m p.$$

Therefore, let $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$ and assume that the statement is true for $l+1$. Now let, $G \in \mathbb{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N})$ and

$$A = \left\{ \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_l} (\alpha(G_i) + \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t)), \sum_{i \in \beta_{l+1}} (\alpha(G_i) + \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t)), \dots, \sum_{i \in \beta_m} (\alpha(G_i) + \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t)) \right) : \right. \\ \left. f_i \in G_i, G \subseteq G_i \text{ for every } i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \beta_l < \beta_{l+1} < \dots < \beta_m \right\}.$$

We claim that

$$T_G \subseteq \left\{ x \in \mathbb{N} : \{(x_{l+1}, x_{l+2}, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{N}^{m-l} : (x, x_{l+1}, x_{l+2}, \dots, x_m) \in A\} \in \bigotimes_{i=l+1}^m p \right\}.$$

To this end let $b \in T_G$. Pick $G_l \in \mathbb{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N})$ with $G \subseteq G_l$ such that $b = \sum_{i \in \beta_l} \alpha(G_i) + \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t)$. Let $F = \bigcup_{i \in \beta_l} G_i$. Then

$$\left\{ \left(b, \sum_{i \in \beta_{l+1}} (\alpha(G_i) + \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t)), \sum_{i \in \beta_{l+2}} (\alpha(G_i) + \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t)), \dots, \sum_{i \in \beta_m} (\alpha(G_i) + \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t)) \right) : \right. \\ \left. f_i \in G_i, F \subseteq G_i \text{ for every } i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \beta_{l+1} < \beta_{l+2} < \dots < \beta_m \right\} \subseteq A.$$

So, $\{(x_{l+1}, x_{l+2}, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{N}^{m-l} : (b, x_{l+1}, x_{l+2}, \dots, x_m) \in A\} \in \bigotimes_{i=l+1}^m p$ as required. \square

Now we are going to prove Theorem 1.25.

Proof of Theorem 1.25: Similar to the previous discussion we need to prove only (1) \implies (2). This proof is very similar to the above one. We need one technical change in the above proof:

for each $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, let $\alpha_j : \mathbb{P}_f(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $H_j : \mathbb{P}_f(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ with $\max H_j(F) < \min H_j(G)$ provided $F, G \in \mathbb{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ and $F \subsetneq G$; define

$$Q(\alpha_j, H_j) = \bigcap_{G \in \mathbb{P}_f(\mathbb{N})} \overline{T_G(\alpha_j, H_j)}.$$

Now proceed inductively as before. In the each step of the induction choose $p_j \in K(Q(\alpha_j, H_j)) \subseteq (\mathcal{J}, +)$. □

Now we will prove Theorem 1.27, but before that let us recall one fact about ultrafilters from [17]. We will apply this result. For any discrete semigroup (S, \cdot) , and $p \in E \in (\beta S, \cdot)$, if $A \in p = p \cdot p$, then from [17, Lemma 4.14], the set $A^* = \{x \in A : -x + A \in p\} \in p$.

Proof of Theorem 1.27: Let $p \in E(K(\beta\mathbb{N}, +))$, and $q = p \otimes p \otimes \dots \otimes p$, and let $A \in q$. By using Lifting lemma 1.7, pick for each $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, some $D_j : \mathbb{N}^{j-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ such that

- (1) for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, and for each $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, j-1\}$, $w_s \in D_s(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{s-1})$, and then $D_j(w_1, \dots, w_{j-1}) \in p$, and
- (2) if for each $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, $w_s \in D_s(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{s-1})$, then $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m) \in A$.

Now we proceed using the induction argument.

For the base case, let $K = \{f\}$. As $D_1(\emptyset)^* \in p$, there exists $a \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\beta \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that for all $P \in T$, $a + P \left(\sum_{t \in \beta} f(t) \right) \in D_1(\emptyset)^*$. Define $\alpha(K) = a$, and $H(K) = \beta$. Now assume that $|K| > 1$, and that $\alpha(G)$, and $H(G)$ have been defined for all non-empty proper subsets G of K satisfying

- (1) If $G, K \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ and $G \subsetneq K$, then $H(G) < H(K)$.
- (2) If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $G_1 \subsetneq G_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq G_n \subsetneq K$, then for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, and $\beta_1 < \beta_2 < \dots < \beta_j \leq \{n\}$, $P_1, \dots, P_j \in T$, and

$$\sum_{i \in \beta_j} \alpha(G_i) + P_j \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_j} \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \in D_j \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \alpha(G_i) + P_1 \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right), \dots, \sum_{i \in \beta_{j-1}} \alpha(G_i) + P_{j-1} \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_{j-1}} \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \right)^*.$$

Let, $R = \left\{ \sum_{i \in \beta_j} \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) : \beta_j \leq \{n\}, f_i \in G_i \right\}$, $F = \bigcup \{H(G) : \emptyset \neq G \subsetneq K\}$, and let $m = \max F$ and, let for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$,

$$M_j = \left\{ \sum_{i \in \beta_j} \alpha(G_i) + P \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_j} \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) : \emptyset \neq G_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq G_n \subsetneq K, P \in T, \text{ and for each } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}; f_i \in G_i \right\}.$$

Then

$$M_j \subseteq \bigcap_{\emptyset \neq G_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq G_n \subsetneq K, P \in T} D_j \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \alpha(G_i) + P_1 \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right), \dots, \sum_{i \in \beta_{j-1}} \alpha(G_i) + P_{j-1} \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_{j-1}} \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \right)^*.$$

Let $P \in T, d \in R$, define the polynomial $Q_{p,d}$ as $Q_{p,d}(y) = P(y + d) - P(d)$. Clearly $Q_{p,d} \in \mathbb{P}$. Let $S = T \cup \{Q_{p,d} : P \in T \text{ and } d \in R\}$.

Define

$$E = \bigcap_{j=1}^m \left\{ D_j \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \alpha(G_i) + P_1 \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right), \dots, \sum_{i \in \beta_{j-1}} \alpha(G_i) + P_{j-1} \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_{j-1}} \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \right)^* : \emptyset \neq G_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq G_n \subsetneq K, P_1, \dots, P_{j-1} \in T, \text{ and for each } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, f_i \in G_i \right\}$$

$$G = \bigcap_{\substack{x \in M; \\ j=1, \dots, m}} -x + D_j \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \alpha(G_i) + P_1 \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right), \dots, \sum_{i \in \beta_{j-1}} \alpha(G_i) + P_{j-1} \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_{j-1}} \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \right)^* \in p.$$

Now by [10, Lemma 10], pick $a \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\beta \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that $\min \beta > m$, and for all $Q \in S$, and all $f \in K$,

$$a + Q \left(\sum_{t \in \beta} f(t) \right) \in G.$$

Let $\alpha(K) = a$, and $H(K) = \beta$. Since $\min \beta > m$, (1) is satisfied. To verify (2), let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}_\mathbb{N})$ with $G_1 \subsetneq G_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq G_n = K$, for each $i \in 1, \dots, n$, let $f_i \in G_i$, and let $P \in T$. If $j = 1$, and $\beta_1 < \{n\}$, then this follows from induction hypothesis. If $j = 1$, and $\beta_1 = \{n\}$, then $G_n = K$.

Now for all $P \in T$, $\alpha(K) + P \left(\sum_{t \in H(K)} f_i(t) \right) \in G \subset D_1^*(\emptyset)$. Otherwise if, $\beta_1 = \gamma \cup \{n\}$, then we can rename γ as β_1 , and $\{n\}$ as β_2 . Now we can proceed as follows. Choose any $\beta_1 < \beta_2 < \dots < \beta_j < \{n\}$, where $2 \leq j \leq m$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in \beta_j} \alpha(G_i) + P \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_j} \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) &= a + \sum_{i \in \beta_{j-1}} \alpha(G_i) + P \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_{j-1}} \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) + \\ &\quad P \left(\sum_{t \in \beta} f_n(t) + \sum_{i \in \beta_{j-1}} \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) - P \left(\sum_{t \in \beta} f_n(t) + \sum_{i \in \beta_{j-1}} \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \\ &= a + x + Q_{p,d} \left(\sum_{t \in \beta} f_n(t) \right) \in D_j \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \alpha(G_i) + P \left(\sum_{t \in \beta_1} \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right), \dots, \sum_{i \in \beta_{j-1}} \alpha(G_i) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. P \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_{j-1}} \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where, $x = \sum_{i \in \beta_{j-1}} \alpha(G_i) + P \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_{j-1}} \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right)$, $d = \sum_{i \in \beta_{j-1}} \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t)$. \square

Now we are going to prove Theorem 1.29.

Proof of Theorem 1.29: The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 1.27.

Let $p_1, \dots, p_m \in E(K(\beta\mathbb{N}, +))$, and $q = \bigotimes_{j=1}^m p_j$, and let $A \in q$. By using Lifting lemma 1.7, pick for each $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, some $D_j : \mathbb{N}^{j-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ such that

- (1) for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, and for each $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, j-1\}$, $w_s \in D_s(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{s-1})$, and then $D_j(w_1, \dots, w_{j-1}) \in p_j$, and
- (2) if for each $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, $w_s \in D_s(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{s-1})$, then $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m) \in A$.

Now we proceed using the induction argument.

For the base case, let $K = \{f\}$. As $D_1(\emptyset)^* \in p_1$, there exists $a_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\gamma_1 \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that for all $P_1 \in T$, $a_1 + P_1 \left(\sum_{t \in \gamma_1} f(t) \right) \in D_1(\emptyset)^*$. Define $\alpha_1(K) = a_1$, and $H_1(K) = \gamma_1$. Now $\bigcap_{P_1 \in T} D_2 \left(a_1 + P_1 \left(\sum_{t \in \gamma_1} f(t) \right) \right)^* \in p_2$. Again for $K = \{f\}$, there exists $a_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\gamma_2 \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that for all $P_2 \in T$, we have $a_2 + P_2 \left(\sum_{t \in \gamma_2} f(t) \right) \in \bigcap_{P_1 \in T} D_2 \left(a_1 + P_1 \left(\sum_{t \in \gamma_1} f(t) \right) \right)^*$. Define $\alpha_2(K) = a_2$, and $H_2(K) = \gamma_2$. Inductively for each $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, we have

- (1) $a_j \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\gamma_j \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that for all $P_j \in T$,

$$a_j + P_j \left(\sum_{t \in \gamma_j} f(t) \right) \in \bigcap_{P_1, \dots, P_{j-1} \in T} D_j \left(a_1 + P_1 \left(\sum_{t \in \gamma_1} f(t) \right), \dots, a_{j-1} + P_{j-1} \left(\sum_{t \in \gamma_{j-1}} f(t) \right) \right)^* \in p_j,$$

and

(2) $\alpha_j(K) = a_j$, and $H_j(K) = \gamma_j$.

Now assume that $|K| > 1$, and that for each $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $\alpha_j(G)$, and $H_j(G)$ have been defined for all non-empty proper subsets G of K satisfying

- (1) If $G, K \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}})$ and $G \subsetneq K$, then $H(G) < H(K)$.
- (2) If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $G_1 \subsetneq G_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq G_n \subsetneq K$, then for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, and $\beta_1 < \beta_2 < \dots < \beta_j \leq \{n\}$, $P_1, \dots, P_j \in T$, and

$$\sum_{i \in \beta_j} \alpha_j(G_i) + P_j \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_j} \sum_{t \in H_j(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \in D_j \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \alpha_1(G_i) + P_1 \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \sum_{t \in H_1(G_i)} f_i(t) \right), \dots, \sum_{i \in \beta_{j-1}} \alpha_{j-1}(G_i) + P_{j-1} \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_{j-1}} \sum_{t \in H_{j-1}(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \right)^*.$$

for each $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, let $R_j = \left\{ \sum_{i \in \beta_j} \sum_{t \in H_j(G_i)} f_i(t) : \beta_j \leq \{n\}, f_i \in G_i \right\}$, $F = \bigcup \{H_j(G) : \emptyset \neq G \subsetneq K \text{ and } j \in \{1, \dots, m\}\}$. Let $M = \max F$ and, let for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$,

$$M_j = \left\{ \sum_{i \in \beta_j} \alpha_j(G_i) + P \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_j} \sum_{t \in H_j(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) : \emptyset \neq G_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq G_n \subsetneq K, P \in T, \text{ and for each } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}; f_i \in G_i \right\}.$$

Then

$$M_j \subseteq$$

$$\bigcap_{\emptyset \neq G_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq G_n \subsetneq K, P \in T} D_j \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \alpha_1(G_i) + P_1 \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \sum_{t \in H_1(G_i)} f_i(t) \right), \dots, \sum_{i \in \beta_{j-1}} \alpha_{j-1}(G_i) + P_{j-1} \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_{j-1}} \sum_{t \in H_{j-1}(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \right)^*.$$

Define $R = \bigcup_j R_j$. Let $P \in T, d \in R$, define the polynomial $Q_{p,d}$ as $Q_{p,d}(y) = P(y + d) - P(d)$. Clearly $Q_{p,d} \in \mathbb{P}$. Let $S = T \cup \{Q_{p,d} : P \in T \text{ and } d \in R\}$.

For each $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, define

$$E_j = \left\{ D_j \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \alpha(G_i) + P_1 \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right), \dots, \sum_{i \in \beta_{j-1}} \alpha(G_i) + P_{j-1} \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_{j-1}} \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \right)^* : \emptyset \neq G_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq G_n \subsetneq K, P_1, \dots, P_{j-1} \in T, \text{ and for each } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, f_i \in G_i \right\}$$

$$G_j = \bigcap_{x \in M_j} -x + D_j \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \alpha(G_i) + P_1 \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right), \dots, \sum_{i \in \beta_{j-1}} \alpha(G_i) + P_{j-1} \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_{j-1}} \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \right)^* \in p_j.$$

Now by [10, Lemma 10], pick $b_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\delta_1 \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that $\min \delta_1 > m$, and for all $Q \in S$, and all $f \in K$,

$$b_1 + Q \left(\sum_{t \in \delta_1} f(t) \right) \in G_1.$$

Let $\alpha_1(K) = b_1$, and $H_1(K) = \delta_1$.

Now $E_1 \cap G_2 \cap D_1 \left(\left\{ b_1 + Q \left(\sum_{t \in \delta_1} f(t) \right) : f \in K, Q \in S \right\} \right)^* \in p_2$. Now again by [10, Lemma 10], pick $b_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\delta_2 \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that $\min \delta_2 > m$, and for all $Q \in S$, and all $f \in K$,

$$b_2 + Q \left(\sum_{t \in \delta_2} f(t) \right) \in E_1 \cap G_2 \cap D_1 \left(\left\{ b_1 + Q \left(\sum_{t \in \delta_1} f(t) \right) : f \in K, Q \in S \right\} \right)^*.$$

Define $\alpha_2(K) = b_2$, and $H_2(K) = \delta_2$. Now for each $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, inductively one can construct $\alpha_j(K) = b_j$, and $H_j(K) = \delta_j$ such that

$$b_j + Q \left(\sum_{t \in \delta_j} f(t) \right) \in E_{j-1} \cap G_j \cap D_{j-1} \left(\left\{ \left(b_1 + Q \left(\sum_{t \in \delta_1} f(t) \right) \dots, b_{j-1} + Q \left(\sum_{t \in \delta_{j-1}} f(t) \right) \right) : f \in K, Q \in S \right\} \right)^*.$$

Now the verification of the induction hypothesis is similar to the last part of the proof of Theorem 1.27. So we omit the rest. \square

Now we show that ultrafilters witnessing the conclusion of Theorem 1.29 forms a left ideal of $\beta(\mathbb{N}^m, +)$ and $\beta(\mathbb{N}^m, \cdot)$. Unfortunately we can't prove the analogous result for Theorem 1.27. Define

$$\mathcal{L} = \overline{\{p \in \beta(\mathbb{N}^m) : \forall A \in p, A \text{ satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 1.29}\}}.$$

The following corollary follows from Theorem 1.29.

Corollary 2.1. \mathcal{L} is a left ideal of $(\beta(\mathbb{N}^m), \cdot)$, and $(\beta(\mathbb{N}^m), +)$.

Proof. Let $p \in \mathcal{L}$, and $q \in \beta(\mathbb{N}^k)$ and $B \in q \cdot p$. Let $T \in \mathcal{P}_f(P)$ be given. Then there exists $(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m$ such that $A = (x_1, \dots, x_m)^{-1} B \in p$. Consider the new set of polynomials $T' = \{\frac{1}{x} P : P \in T, \text{ and } x \in \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}\}$. Now from Theorem 1.29, there exist functions $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}^m) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, and $H_1, \dots, H_m : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}^m) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that

1. if $G, K \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}^m)$, and $G \subsetneq K$, then for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, we have $H_i(G) < H_i(K)$, and
2. if $n(\geq m) \in \mathbb{N}$, $G_1 \subsetneq G_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq G_n$, then for each $\beta_1 < \beta_2 < \dots < \beta_m \leq \{n\}$, in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$, $f_i \in G_i$, and $P_1, \dots, P_m \in T$ we have

$$\left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \alpha_1(G_i) + \frac{1}{x_1} P_1 \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \sum_{t \in H_1(G_i)} f_i(t) \right), \dots, \sum_{i \in \beta_m} \alpha_m(G_i) + \frac{1}{x_m} P_m \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_m} \sum_{t \in H_m(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \right) \in A.$$

For each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, define $\alpha'_i : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}^m) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ as $\alpha'_i = x_i \cdot \alpha_i$. Then the new set of functions $(\alpha'_i)_{i=1}^m$, and $(H_i)_{i=1}^m$ works for the set B . Hence $\overline{B} \cap \mathcal{L} \neq \emptyset$. This completes the proof.

The proof of \mathcal{L} is a left ideal of $(\beta(\mathbb{N}^m), +)$ is easy to derive so we omit the proof. \square

The following theorem shows that the combinatorial consequence of our Corollary 1.28 is equivalent to a version of the polynomial extension of [17, Theorem 17.31]. The proof uses simple color induction arguments.

Theorem 2.2. Let $T \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{P})$. Then the following two versions are equivalent.

1. Let $r, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $[\mathbb{N}]^m = \bigcup_{i=1}^r C_i$. Then there exists functions $\alpha : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}^m) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $H : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}^m) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that
 - (a) if $F, G \in \mathcal{P}_f(\tau)$ and $F \subsetneq G$ then $\max H(F) < \min H(G)$, and
 - (b) whenever $(G_i)_i \in \mathcal{P}_f(\tau)$, is a sequence of functions, i.e. $G_1 \subsetneq G_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq G_n \subsetneq \dots$, then for every $P_1, \dots, P_m \in T$, $\beta_1 < \dots < \beta_m$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$, we have

$$\left\{ \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \alpha(G_i) + P_1 \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right), \dots, \sum_{i \in \beta_m} \alpha(G_i) + P_m \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_m} \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \right) : \right.$$

$$\left. f_i \in G_i \text{ for every } i \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \subset C_j$$

for some $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$.

2. Let $r, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for any $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r D_i$, there exists functions $\alpha : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}^m) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $H : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}^m) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that for every $P \in T$,
 - (a) if $F, G \in \mathcal{P}_f(\tau)$ and $F \subsetneq G$ then $\max H(F) < \min H(G)$, and

- (b) whenever $(G_i)_i \in \mathcal{P}_f(\tau)$, is a sequence of functions, i.e. $G_1 \subsetneq G_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq G_n \subsetneq \dots$, then for every $\beta_1 < \dots < \beta_m$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ we have for every $f_i \in G_i$, and $P_1, \dots, P_m \in T$,

$$a_1 \cdot \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \alpha(G_i) + P_1 \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \right) + \dots + a_m \cdot \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_m} \alpha(G_i) + P_m \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_m} \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \right) \in D_j$$

for some $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$.

Proof. (1) \implies (2) Let $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r D_i$, and induce a coloring $[\mathbb{N}]^{(m)} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r C_i$ by defining $(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m) \in C_i \Leftrightarrow a_1 z_1 + \dots + a_m z_m \in D_i$. Now (2) directly follows from (1).

(2) \implies (1) Proof is similar. \square

The following theorem is a variation of Theorem 2.2. Proof is similar to the proof of above one and hence we omit it.

Theorem 2.3. Let $T \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{P})$. Then the following two versions are equivalent.

1. Let $r, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $[\mathbb{N}]^m = \bigcup_{i=1}^r C_i$. Then there exists functions $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $H_1, \dots, H_m : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that

- (a) if $F, G \in \mathcal{P}_f(\tau)$ and $F \subsetneq G$ then for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, we have $\max H_i(F) < \min H_i(G)$, and
- (b) whenever $(G_i)_i \in \mathcal{P}_f(\tau)$, is a sequence of functions, i.e. $G_1 \subsetneq G_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq G_n \subsetneq \dots$, then for every $P_1, \dots, P_m \in T$, $\beta_1 < \dots < \beta_m$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ we have

$$\left\{ \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \alpha_1(G_i) + P_1 \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \sum_{t \in H_1(G_i)} f_i(t) \right), \dots, \sum_{i \in \beta_m} \alpha_m(G_i) + P_m \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_m} \sum_{t \in H_m(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \right) : \right. \\ \left. f_i \in G_i \text{ for every } i \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \subset C_j$$

for some $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$.

2. Let $r, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for any $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r D_i$, there exists functions $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $H_1, \dots, H_m : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that such that for every $P \in T$,

- (a) if $F, G \in \mathcal{P}_f(\tau)$ and $F \subsetneq G$ then for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, we have $\max H_i(F) < \min H_i(G)$, and
- (b) whenever $(G_i)_i \in \mathcal{P}_f(\tau)$, is a sequence of functions, i.e. $G_1 \subsetneq G_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq G_n \subsetneq \dots$, then for every $\beta_1 < \dots < \beta_m$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ we have for every $f_i \in G_i$, and $P_1, \dots, P_m \in T$,

$$a_1 \cdot \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \alpha(G_i) + P_1 \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \sum_{t \in H_1(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \right) + \dots + a_m \cdot \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_m} \alpha(G_i) + P_m \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_m} \sum_{t \in H_m(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \right) \in D_j$$

for some $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$.

In the following theorem, we explicitly deduce some ultrafilters witnessing the polynomial extension of [17, Theorem 17.31]. However, we don't know if the converse part of this theorem is true or not.

Theorem 2.4. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in E(K(\beta\mathbb{N}, +))$, and $A \in a_1 p + \dots + a_m p$. Then for every $T \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{P})$, there exists functions $\alpha : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $H : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that

1. if $F, G \in \mathcal{P}_f(\tau)$ and $F \subsetneq G$ then $\max H(F) < \min H(G)$, and
2. whenever $(G_i)_i \in \mathcal{P}_f(\tau)$, is a sequence of functions, i.e. $G_1 \subsetneq G_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq G_n \subsetneq \dots$, then for every $P_1, \dots, P_m \in T$, $\beta_1 < \dots < \beta_m$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$, we have

$$a_1 \cdot \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \alpha(G_i) + P_1 \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \right) + \dots + a_m \cdot \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_m} \alpha(G_i) + P_m \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_m} \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \right) \in A.$$

In fact we may consider $p \in E(\mathcal{J}_p, +)$.

Proof. In this proof we do not use [4, Theorem 3.2], but we use simple concept of $p - \lim$. Let $\Phi : \mathbb{N}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the map defined by $\Phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i x_i$. Clearly, Φ is a continuous map, and so we may apply [17, Theorem 3.49]. Let $\tilde{\Phi} : \beta(\mathbb{N}^m) \rightarrow \beta\mathbb{N}$ be the continuous extension of Φ . Let $p \in E(K(\beta\mathbb{N}, +))$, and $\bigotimes_{i=1}^m p \in \beta(\mathbb{N}^m)$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Phi} \left[\bigotimes_{i=1}^m p \right] &= \tilde{\Phi} \left[p - \lim_{x_1} \cdots p - \lim_{x_m} (x_1, \dots, x_m) \right] \\ &= p - \lim_{x_1} \cdots p - \lim_{x_m} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_m) \\ &= p - \lim_{x_1} \cdots p - \lim_{x_m} \sum_{i=1}^m a_i x_i \\ &= a_1 \cdot p + \cdots + a_m \cdot p. \end{aligned}$$

Let $A \in a_1 p + \cdots + a_m p = \tilde{\Phi} [\bigotimes_{i=1}^m p]$. Hence there exists $B \in \bigotimes_{i=1}^m p$ such that $\Phi[B] \subseteq A$. Now from Corollary 1.28, our result follows. \square

The following theorem is a variation of Theorem 2.4.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{N}$, $p_1, \dots, p_m \in E(K(\beta\mathbb{N}, +))$, and $A \in a_1 p_1 + \cdots + a_m p_m$. Then for every $T \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{P})$, there exists functions $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $H_1, \dots, H_m : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that*

1. *if $F, G \in \mathcal{P}_f(\tau)$ and $F \subsetneq G$ then for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, we have $\max H_i(F) < \min H_i(G)$, and*
2. *whenever $(G_i)_i \in \mathcal{P}_f(\tau)$, is a sequence of functions, i.e. $G_1 \subsetneq G_2 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq G_n \subsetneq \cdots$, then for every $P_1, \dots, P_m \in T$, $\beta_1 < \cdots < \beta_m$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$, we have*

$$a_1 \cdot \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \alpha_1(G_i) + P_1 \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \sum_{t \in H_1(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \right) + \cdots + a_m \cdot \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_m} \alpha_m(G_i) + P_m \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_m} \sum_{t \in H_m(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \right) \in A.$$

In fact we may consider $p_1, \dots, p_m \in E(\mathcal{J}_p, +)$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.4. Let $\Phi : \mathbb{N}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the map defined by $\Phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i x_i$. Clearly, Φ is a continuous map, and so we may apply [17, Theorem 3.49]. Let $\tilde{\Phi} : \beta(\mathbb{N}^m) \rightarrow \beta\mathbb{N}$ be the continuous extension of Φ . Let $p_1, \dots, p_m \in E(K(\beta\mathbb{N}, +))$, and $\bigotimes_{i=1}^m p_i \in \beta(\mathbb{N}^m)$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Phi} \left[\bigotimes_{i=1}^m p_i \right] &= \tilde{\Phi} \left[p_1 - \lim_{x_1} \cdots p_m - \lim_{x_m} (x_1, \dots, x_m) \right] \\ &= p_1 - \lim_{x_1} \cdots p_m - \lim_{x_m} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_m) \\ &= p_1 - \lim_{x_1} \cdots p_m - \lim_{x_m} \sum_{i=1}^m a_i x_i \\ &= a_1 \cdot p_1 + \cdots + a_m \cdot p_m. \end{aligned}$$

Let $A \in a_1 p_1 + \cdots + a_m p_m = \tilde{\Phi} [\bigotimes_{i=1}^m p_i]$. Hence there exists $B \in \bigotimes_{i=1}^m p_i$ such that $\Phi[B] \subseteq A$. Now from Corollary 1.30, our result follows. \square

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.4.

Corollary 2.6. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $h : \mathbb{N}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be any polynomial with no constant term. Let $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in E(K(\beta\mathbb{N}, +))$, and $A \in h(a_1 p + \cdots + a_m p)$. Then for every $T \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{P})$, there exists functions $\alpha : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $H : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that*

1. *if $F, G \in \mathcal{P}_f(\tau)$ and $F \subsetneq G$ then $\max H(F) < \min H(G)$, and*
2. *whenever $(G_i)_i \in \mathcal{P}_f(\tau)$, is a sequence of functions, i.e. $G_1 \subsetneq G_2 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq G_n \subsetneq \cdots$, then for every $P_1, \dots, P_m \in T$, $\beta_1 < \cdots < \beta_m$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$, we have*

$$h \left(a_1 \cdot \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \alpha(G_i) + P_1 \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \right) + \cdots + a_m \cdot \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_m} \alpha(G_i) + P_m \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_m} \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \right) \right) \in A.$$

In fact we may consider $p \in E(\mathcal{J}_p, +)$.

Proof. Let $A \in \tilde{h}(a_1p + \dots + a_mp)$, and let $B \in a_1p + \dots + a_mp$ be such that $h[B] \subseteq A$. Now Theorem 2.4 directly implies our result. \square

The following corollary is an immediate variation of Corollary 2.6.

Corollary 2.7. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $h : \mathbb{N}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be any polynomial with no constant term. Let $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{N}$, $p_1, \dots, p_m \in E(K(\beta\mathbb{N}, +))$, and $A \in \tilde{h}(a_1p_1 + \dots + a_mp_m)$. Then for every $T \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{P})$, there exists functions $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $H_1, \dots, H_m : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that*

1. *if $F, G \in \mathcal{P}_f(\tau)$ and $F \subsetneq G$ then for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, we have $\max H_i(F) < \min H_i(G)$, and*
2. *whenever $(G_i)_i \in \mathcal{P}_f(\tau)$, is a sequence of functions, i.e. $G_1 \subsetneq G_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq G_n \subsetneq \dots$, then for every $P_1, \dots, P_m \in T$, $\beta_1 < \dots < \beta_m$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$, we have*

$$h \left(a_1 \cdot \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \alpha_1(G_i) + P_1 \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} \sum_{t \in H_1(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \right) + \dots + a_m \cdot \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_m} \alpha_m(G_i) + P_m \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_m} \sum_{t \in H_m(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \right) \right) \in A.$$

In fact we may consider $p_1, \dots, p_m \in E(\mathcal{J}_p, +)$.

Proof. Let $A \in \tilde{h}(a_1p_1 + \dots + a_mp_m)$, and let $B \in a_1p_1 + \dots + a_mp_m$ be such that $h[B] \subseteq A$. Now Theorem 2.5 directly implies our result. \square

Though we don't know the ultrafilter characterization of the Theorems 2.4, 2.5, but we can completely characterize them for the set $T = \{i\}$, where $i(x) = x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{N}$. The following theorem is a variation of [17, Theorem 17.31] for idempotents of $(\mathcal{J}, +)$.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $T = \{i\} \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{P})$, and $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$.*

1. *if A satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 2.4 if and only if there exists idempotent ultrafilter $p \in (\mathcal{J}, +)$ such that $A \in a_1p + \dots + a_mp$.*
2. *if A satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 2.5 if and only if there exists idempotent ultrafilters $p_1, \dots, p_m \in (\mathcal{J}, +)$ such that $A \in a_1p_1 + \dots + a_mp_m$.*

Proof. The proof of the sufficient condition of both (1) and (2) is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.4, 2.5. For the necessary part, both proofs are similar. So we prove the necessary part of (2).

Let $B \subseteq \mathbb{N}^m$ be such that $(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in B$ if $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i x_i \in A$. Then the set B satisfies the combinatorial conclusion of the Corollary 1.26. Hence there exist idempotents p_1, \dots, p_m in $(\mathcal{J}, +)$, such that $B \in \bigotimes_{i=1}^m p_i$. Letting $\Phi : \mathbb{N}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the map defined by $\Phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i x_i$, from Theorem 2.5, we have

$$\Phi[B] \in \tilde{\Phi} \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^m p_i \right) = a_1p_1 + \dots + a_mp_m.$$

But from the construction $\Phi[B] \subseteq A$. Hence $A \in a_1p_1 + \dots + a_mp_m$. This completes our proof. \square

3 Separation of polynomial Milliken-Taylor systems

In [8, 14], authors extensively studied and characterized the compatibility of two Milliken-Taylor systems over the set of integers. That means they addressed when two Milliken-Taylor systems generated by two distinct finite sets lie in the same color after a finite coloring of \mathbb{N} . To address their result of [8], we need the notions of *compressed sequence*.

Definition 3.1.

1. Let $S = \{\vec{a} : \vec{a} \text{ is a finite sequence in } \mathbb{N}\}$.
2. The function $c : S \rightarrow S$ deletes any consecutive repeated terms.
3. An element $\vec{a} \in S$ is compressed if and only if $\vec{a} = c(\vec{a})$.
4. An equivalence relation on S is defined by $a \approx b$ if and only if there exists a rational α such that $\alpha \cdot c(\vec{a}) = c(\vec{b})$.

The following theorem gives a characterization of two distinct Milliken-Taylor systems lie in the same partition.

Theorem 3.2. [8, Theorem 3.2,3.3] Let $\vec{a}, \vec{b} \in S$. Then the following are equivalent.

1. For every finite coloring there exists two sequences $\langle x_n \rangle_n$ and $\langle y_n \rangle_n$ such that $MT(\vec{a}, \langle x_n \rangle_n) \cup MT(\vec{b}, \langle y_n \rangle_n)$ is monochromatic.
2. $a \approx b$.

In [14], authors extended Theorem 3.2 over the set of integers \mathbb{Z} . They proved Theorem 3.2 under the condition that \vec{a}, \vec{b} are two finite sets from $\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. From [4] an immediate question appear if there exists any polynomial extension of Theorem 3.2. Throughout this article we have seen the ultrafilter characterizations of polynomial patterns are rich in today's technique. But here we prove the polynomial extension of one side of Theorem 3.2. Before that, we introduce a polynomial version of an equivalent compressed sequence.

Definition 3.3. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $f, g : \mathbb{N}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be two polynomials of finite degree defined by $f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum a_{i_1 \dots i_m} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_m^{i_m}$, and $g(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum b_{j_1 \dots j_m} x_1^{j_1} \dots x_m^{j_m}$. We say f and g are equivalent, i.e. $f \approx g$ if and only if, there exists a rational $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that,

$$b_{i_1 \dots i_m} = \alpha^{i_1 + \dots + i_m} a_{i_1 \dots i_m} \forall (i_1, \dots, i_m).$$

For example the polynomials $f(x, y, z) = x^3 + 2xy^2z + xz + z^2$, and $g(x, y, z) = 5^3x^3 + 12 \cdot 5^4xy^2z + 5^2xz + 5^2z^2$ are equivalent.

Now we introduce the notion of the polynomial Milliken-Taylor system. This notion generalizes the notion of the Milliken-Taylor system 1.18.

Definition 3.4 (Polynomial Milliken-Taylor system). Let $f : \mathbb{N}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be any polynomial with no constant term. Let $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ be any sequence in \mathbb{N} . Define

$$MT(f, \langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty) = \left\{ f \left(\sum_{i \in \beta_1} x_i, \dots, \sum_{i \in \beta_m} x_i \right) : \beta_1 < \dots < \beta_m \right\}.$$

The following theorem shows that if two polynomials are equivalent then their Milliken-Taylor image for some sequences lie in the same color after partitioning \mathbb{N} into finitely many pieces.

Theorem 3.5 (Separating Polynomial Milliken-Taylor theorem). Let $r, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $f, g : \mathbb{N}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be two finite degree polynomials with no constant term such that $f \approx g$. Then if $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r A_i$ is any r -coloring, there are two sequences $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$, and $\langle y_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ in \mathbb{N} , and $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ such that $MT(f, \langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty) \cup MT(g, \langle y_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty) \subseteq A_i$.

Proof. Let $\alpha = \frac{p}{q}$. Let f, g be two polynomials defined by:

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum a_{i_1 \dots i_m} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_m^{i_m},$$

and

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum b_{j_1 \dots j_m} x_1^{j_1} \dots x_m^{j_m},$$

where $b_{i_1 \dots i_m} = \alpha^{i_1 + \dots + i_m} a_{i_1 \dots i_m}$ for every $(i_1, \dots, i_m) \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^m$.

Let $h_f : \mathbb{N}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a new polynomial defined by $h_f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum c_{i_1 \dots i_m} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_m^{i_m}$, where $c_{i_1 \dots i_m} = p^{i_1 + \dots + i_m} a_{i_1 \dots i_m}$ for each $(i_1, \dots, i_m) \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^m$. From Theorem 1.31, there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, and a sequence $\langle z_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ in \mathbb{N} s.t. $MT(h_f, \langle z_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty) \subseteq A_i$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $x_n = p \cdot z_n$, and $y_n = q \cdot z_n$. Then, $MT(f, \langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty) = MT(g, \langle y_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty) = MT(h_f, \langle z_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty)$. This completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgement

The first named author is supported by NBHM postdoctoral fellowship with reference no: 0204/27/(27)/2023/R & D-II/11927. We are also thankful to Amit Roy for his technical assistantship.

References

- [1] M. Beiglböck: A multidimensional central sets theorem, Combin. Probab. Comput. 15 (2006), 807-814.
- [2] V. Bergelson, and N. Hindman: Nonmetrizable topological dynamics and Ramsey Theory, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 320 (1990), 293-320.

- [3] V. Bergelson, and N. Hindman: Quotient sets and density recurrent sets, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 364 (2012), 4495-4531.
- [4] V. Bergelson, N. Hindman, and K. Williams: Polynomial extensions of the Milliken-Taylor Theorem, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 366 (2014), 5727-5748.
- [5] V. Bergelson, J. H. Johnson Jr., J. Moreira: New polynomial and multidimensional extensions of classical partition results, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, , 147, April 2017, Pages 119-154.
- [6] V. Bergelson and A. Leibman: Polynomial extensions of van der Waerden and Szemerédi theorems, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 9 (1996) 725–753.
- [7] D. De, N. Hindman, and D. Strauss: A new and stronger Central Sets Theorem , *Fundamenta Mathematicae* 199 (2008), 155-175.
- [8] W. Deuber, N. Hindman, I. Leader, and H. Lefmann: Infinite partition regular matrices, *Combinatorica* 15 (1995), 333-355.
- [9] H. Furstenberg: *Recurrence in Ergodic Theory and Combinatorial Number Theory*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1981.
- [10] S. Goswami, L. Luperi Baglini, and S. K. Patra: Polynomial extension of the Stronger Central Sets Theorem, *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 30 (4), (2023), P4.36.
- [11] R. L. Graham, B. L. Rothschild, and J. H. Spencer. *Ramsey theory*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, second edition, 1990.
- [12] N. Hindman: Finite sums from sequences within cells of partitions of \mathbb{N} , *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 17 (1974), 1–11.
- [13] N. Hindman: A history of central sets, *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems* 40 (2020), 1-33.
- [14] N. Hindman, I. Leader, and D. Strauss: Separating Milliken-Taylor systems with negative entries, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* 46 (2003), 45-61.
- [15] N. Hindman, and R. McCutcheon: Weak VIP systems in commutative semigroups, *Topology Proc.*, 24: 199–221, 1999.
- [16] N. Hindman and R. McCutcheon: VIP systems in partial semigroups, *Discrete Mathematics* 240 (2001), 45-70.
- [17] N. Hindman, and D. Strauss: *Algebra in the Stone-Čech Compactifications: theory and applications*, second edition, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2012.
- [18] N. Hindman, and D. Strauss: Algebraic products of tensor products *Semigroup Forum* 103 (2021), 888-898.
- [19] S. Kochen: Ultraproducts in the theory of models, *Ann. of Math.* 74 (1961), 221-261.
- [20] M. Lupini: Actions on semigroups and an infinitary Gowers–Hales–Jewett Ramsey theorem, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 371 (5), 3083-3116.
- [21] K. Milliken: Ramsey’s Theorem with sums or unions, *J. Comb. Theory (Series A)* 18 (1975), 276-290.
- [22] F.P. Ramsey: On a problem of formal logic”, *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 30: 264–286.
- [23] I. Schur: Über die Kongruenz $x^m + y^m \equiv z^m \pmod{p}$, *Jahresbericht der Deutschen Math. -Verein.*, 25 (1916), 114 – 117.
- [24] H. Shi, and H. Yang: Nonmetrizable topological dynamical characterization of central sets, *Fund. Math.* 150 (1996), 1-9.
- [25] A. Taylor: A canonical partition relation for finite subsets of ω , *J. Comb. Theory (Series A)* 21(1976), 137-146.
- [26] B. van der Waerden: Beweis einer Baudetschen Vermutung, *Nieuw Arch. Wiskunde*, 19 (1927), 212 – 216.
- [27] K. Williams: Characterization of elements of polynomials in βS , *Semigroup Forum*, 83, 147–160, (2011).