PSEUDOCENTRALIZERS AND THE CHERMAK-DELGADO MEASURE OF THE MOD p^n HEISENBERG GROUP

DAVID ALLEN, JOSÉ J. LA LUZ, STEPHEN MAJEWICZ, AND MARCOS ZYMAN

ABSTRACT. In this paper we compute the Chermak-Delgado measure of the mod p^n Heisenberg Group for any prime p. To achieve this we introduce the notion of the pseudocentralizer and prove various results about it.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a finite group. The Chermak-Delgado measure of a subgroup H of G, denoted by m(H), is defined by m(H) = |H||C(H)|. The maximum of the set $\{m(H) | H \leq G\}$ is termed the Chermak-Delgado measure of G and is denoted by $m^*(G)$. In [1], A. Chermak and A. Delgado defined these measures and established a certain sublattice of the lattice of all subgroups of G. This sublattice has been studied in many instances. In particular, certain properties of subgroups of finite simple groups have been established using it.

Various papers in the literature deal with theoretical implications of the concept (for example, see [4] for the relation between the Chermak-Delgado lattice of a group and its subnormal subgroups and [3] for some basic calculations). The purpose of this paper is to obtain an explicit calculation of the Chermak-Delgado measure for $\mathcal{H}(p^n)$, the Heisenberg group with entries in the ring \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} for any prime p and n > 0. To achieve this we resort to some simple homological techniques.

We begin by introducing a new notion called the pseudocentralizer and developing some of its properties in order to obtain our computational results. If G and K are groups and $q: G \to K$ is a homomorphism, then the *q*-pseudocentralizer of a non-empty subset S of G is

 $P(S; q) = \{g \in G \mid [s, g] \in \ker q \text{ for all } s \in S\}.$

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 20J05, 20H25, 20D15; Secondary: 20D30. Key words and phrases. Chermak-Delgado Lattice, Charmak-Delgado Measure, Heisenberg Groups, Finite Nilpotent Groups, Homological Methods in Group Theory.

If $S = \{s\}$, then we write P(s; q). For simplicity, we write P(S) for P(S; q) when q is fixed and call P(S) the *pseudocentralizer* of S. For our purposes, the homomorphism q will be from the short exact sequence of groups

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^3 \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{H}(p^n) \xrightarrow{q} \mathcal{H}(p^{n-1}) \longrightarrow 1$$

where $f(a_1, a_2, a_3) = (a_1 p^{n-1}, a_2 p^{n-1}, a_3 p^{n-1})$ and

 $\mathbf{2}$

 $q(b_1, b_2, b_3) = (b_1 \mod p^{n-1}, b_2 \mod p^{n-1}, b_3 \mod p^{n-1})$ where the elements of the Heisenberg groups are naturally expressed using vector notation.

The reason for introducing the pseudocentralizer of a set S is to study its relation to C(S), the centralizer of S. After showing that $C(S) \leq P(S)$ for any $S \subseteq \mathcal{H}(p^n)$ in the short exact sequence above (see Lemma 5.1), we prove the first important theorem in this direction:

Theorem 5.7. If h is a non-central element of $\mathcal{H}(p^n)$, then |P(h)| = p|C(h)|. Hence, $P(h)/C(h) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Using this theorem, we prove that if $H \leq \mathcal{H}(p^n)$ and H is not in the center of $\mathcal{H}(p^n)$, then P(H)/C(H) is isomorphic to either \mathbb{Z}_p or $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ (see Lemma 5.13). It turns out that under certain conditions, we know exactly when P(H)/C(H) is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$, and thus, when $|P(H)| = p^2 |C(H)|$. This is the purpose of Theorem 5.39.

After introducing the concept of an *injective set* for a *special* generating set of H, we generalize Theorem 5.39. More precisely, we prove:

Theorem 5.45. Let S be a special generating set for $H \leq \mathcal{H}(p^n)$ and I an injective set for S.

- (1) If |I| = 0, then |P(H)| = |C(H)|.
- (2) If |I| = 1, then |P(H)| = p|C(H)|.
- (3) If |I| = 2, then $|P(H)| = p^2 |C(H)|$.

This theorem plays a major role in proving various computational results about the the Chermak-Delgado measure of subgroups of $\mathcal{H}(p^n)$. In addition, we define and study an analogue of the Chermak-Delgado measure termed the *pseudo Chermak-Delgado measure*. We provide numerous results in Section 7 that relate the two notions. These lead us to the main theorem in this paper:

Theorem 7.4. For any prime p, we have $m^*(\mathcal{H}(p^n)) = p^{4n}$.

2. NOTATION AND BASIC FACTS

In this section, we provide some notation and background material that will be used in the paper. We assume throughout that all groups are finite. Let G be such a group.

- The centralizer of a ∈ G, commonly written as C_G(a), is denoted by C(a)
 (G will always be understood from the context). Similarly, the centralizer of H ≤ G is written as C(H) rather than the usual C_G(H).
- Z(G) is the center of G.
- |G| is the order of G. For $g \in G$, we write o(g) for its order.
- G^n is the external direct product $G \times \cdots \times G$ with *n* direct factors.
- If $S = \{g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_k\} \subseteq G$, then $\langle S \rangle = \langle g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_k \rangle$ denotes the subgroup of G generated by S.
- The commutator of x, y ∈ G is [x, y] = x⁻¹y⁻¹xy and the conjugate of x by y is x^y = y⁻¹xy.
- $[G, G] = \langle [g, h] | g, h \in G \rangle$ is the derived subgroup of G.
- We write \mathbb{Z}_n for the ring $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +, \cdot)$.

Additional notations and definitions will be presented when they first appear in the paper.

3. The q-pseudocentralizer

In this section, we introduce the notion of the q-pseudocentralizer and provide some of its elementary properties.

Definition 3.1. Let G and K be groups, and suppose that $q : G \to K$ is a homomorphism. The *q*-pseudocentralizer of a non-empty subset S of G is

$$P(S; q) = \{g \in G \mid [s, g] \in \ker q \text{ for all } s \in S\}.$$

If $S = \{s\}$, then we write P(s; q). Clearly, $P(S; q) \neq \emptyset$ since it contains 1.

We will be interested in the case when the homomorphism q arises in a short exact sequence. Let G, G_1 , and G_2 be groups. In the paper, we will refer to the short exact sequence:

(1)
$$1 \longrightarrow G_1 \xrightarrow{f} G \xrightarrow{q} G_2 \longrightarrow 1$$
.

This means that f and q are group homomorphisms, f is injective, q is surjective, and im $f = \ker q$. Note that "1" in the sequence means the trivial group $\{1\}$.

Lemma 3.2. If $S \subseteq G$ and $H \triangleleft G$ in the short exact sequence (1), then we have $P(S; q) \leq G$ and $P(H; q) \lhd G$.

Proof. Let $g_1, g_2 \in P(S; q)$. Using the commutator identities (see Lemma 1.4 of [2]), we see that

$$\left[s, g_1 g_2^{-1}\right] = \left[s, g_2^{-1}\right] \left[s, g_1\right]^{g_2^{-1}} = \left([s, g_2]^{g_2^{-1}}\right)^{-1} [s, g_1]^{g_2^{-1}}.$$

Since $[s, g_1] \in \ker q$, $[s, g_2] \in \ker q$, and $\ker q \leq G$, we have that $[s, g_1]^{g_2^{-1}} \in \ker q$ and $[s, q_2]^{q_2^{-1}} \in \ker q$. It follows that $P(S; q) \leq G$.

Next let $z \in P(H; q)$. If $g \in G$ and $h \in H$, then $h^{g^{-1}} \in H$ because $H \lhd G$. Hence, $\left[h^{g^{-1}}, z\right] \in \ker q$ and, consequently,

$$q\left(\left[h, z^{g}\right]\right) = q\left(\left[h^{g^{-1}}, z\right]^{g}\right) = q\left(\left[h^{g^{-1}}, z\right]\right)^{q(g)} = 1.$$
so, $z^{g} \in P(H; q).$

And so, (H; q)

Notation 3.3. Whenever the map q is fixed, we write P(S) for P(S; q) and call P(S) the pseudocentralizer of S. Whether or not S is a subgroup of G will be apparent from the context.

Example 3.4. Let G be a group and $id: G \to G$ the identity homomorphism. 1) For the short exact sequence $1 \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{id} G \xrightarrow{q} 1 \longrightarrow 1$ we have P(H) = G for any $H \leq G$.

2) For the short exact sequence $1 \longrightarrow 1 \xrightarrow{f} G \xrightarrow{id} G \longrightarrow 1$ we have P(H) = C(H) for any H < G.

Lemma 3.5. For any short exact sequence (1) with $H \leq G$ and $K \leq G$, and any subset S of G, the following properties hold:

- (1) $C(S) \leq P(S)$ for any $S \subseteq G$;
- (2) q(P(H)) = C(q(H));
- (3) ker $q \leq P(H)$;

- (4) $|P(H)| = |G_1||C(q(H))|;$
- (5) $P(S) = \bigcap_{s \in S} P(s);$
- (6) $P(HK) = P(H) \cap P(K);$
- (7) $P(HK) = P(\langle HK \rangle);$
- (8) $P(H)P(K) \le P(H \cap K);$
- (9) If S is a generating set for H, then P(H) = P(S);
- (10) If $S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq G$, then $P(S_2) \leq P(S_1)$.

The proof of Lemma 3.5 is left for the reader. We remark that (6) and (7) hold even if HK is not a subgroup of G. The proof of (4) makes use of the next theorem which will be needed again later. Its proof can be found in the Appendix.

Theorem 3.6. Suppose that $H \leq G$ in the short exact sequence (1). If $\hat{H}_1 = f^{-1}(H \cap \ker q)$ and $\hat{H}_2 = q(H)$, then $|H| = \left|\hat{H}_1\right| \left|\hat{H}_2\right|$.

Lemma 3.7. If G_2 is abelian in the short exact sequence (1), then P(H) = G for any $H \leq G$.

Proof. If $h \in H$ and $g \in G$, then q([h, g]) = [q(h), q(g)]. Since G_2 is abelian, [q(h), q(g)] = 1 and, thus, $[h, g] \in \ker q$. And so, $g \in P(H)$.

4. THE MOD *r*-HEISENBERG GROUP

From this point on, r > 1 will always be some natural number and p is a fixed prime number.

Definition 4.1. For any ring \mathbb{Z}_r ,

$$\mathcal{H}(r) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a_1 & a_2 \\ 0 & 1 & a_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \middle| a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_r \right\}$$

is the mod r Heisenberg group with matrix multiplication as the group operation.

 $\mathbf{6}$

Notation 4.2. The matrix $a = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a_1 & a_2 \\ 0 & 1 & a_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ will be written in the vector form $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$. We call a_i the i^{th} component of a.

Using this notation and standard matrix multiplication, we get

$$(a_1, a_2, a_3)(b_1, b_2, b_3) = (a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2 + a_1b_3, a_3 + b_3)$$
 and
 $(a_1, a_2, a_3)^{-1} = (-a_1, a_1a_3 - a_2, -a_3).$

In the above, all calculations are obviously done in the ring \mathbb{Z}_r . Observe that the vector (0, 0, 0) represents the multiplicative identity in $\mathcal{H}(r)$.

Notation 4.3. The i^{th} component of $g \in \mathcal{H}(r)$ will usually be written as $g|_i$.

When we use this notation outside of a vector, we will write "mod" to emphasize that we are calculating modulo r in a component. Thus, for the vector c = (a₁ + b₁, a₂ + b₂ + a₁b₃, a₃ + b₃) in H(r), we write

$$c|_i = (a_i + b_i) \mod r \text{ for } i = 1, 3 \text{ and } c|_2 = (a_2 + b_2 + a_1 b_3) \mod r.$$

Note that we did not write " $c|_i \mod r$ ".

• Unless ambiguity arises, when this notation is used **inside** of a vector (see Lemma 4.4 for example), operations are understood to be modulo r without mention and we will not write "mod".

A simple calculation and induction on n give:

Lemma 4.4. For any natural number n > 1 and $a \in \mathcal{H}(r)$, we have

$$a^{n} = \left(na|_{1}, na|_{2} + \binom{n}{2}a|_{1}a|_{3}, na|_{3}\right).$$

The proof of the next lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 4.5. Suppose that $a, b \in \mathcal{H}(r)$.

- (1) $[a, b] = (0, a|_1b|_3 a|_3b|_1, 0).$
- (2) $Z(\mathcal{H}(r)) = [\mathcal{H}(r), \mathcal{H}(r)] = \{(0, x, 0) | x \in \mathbb{Z}_r\}.$

(3) $\mathcal{H}(r)$ is nilpotent of class 2.

Corollary 4.6. Let $S \subseteq \mathcal{H}(r)$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}(r)$.

- (1) $C(S) = \{g \in \mathcal{H}(r) \mid [a, g]|_2 = 0 \mod r \text{ for all } a \in S\};$
- (2) $C(h) = \{g \in \mathcal{H}(r) \mid [h, g]|_2 = 0 \mod r\}.$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 4.5.

Lemma 4.7. Suppose that $x, y, z, w \in \mathcal{H}(r)$. The following hold:

- (1) [[x, y], z] = (0, 0, 0);
- (2) [x, yz] = [x, z][x, y] and [xy, z] = [x, z][y, z];
- (3) $([x, y][z, w])|_2 = [x, y]|_2 + [z, w]|_2;$
- (4) $[x, y]|_2 + [x, y^{-1}]|_2 = 0.$

Proof. This is a consequence of the commutator identities (see Lemma 1.4 of [2]) and Lemma 4.5. $\hfill \Box$

We henceforth specialize to the case when $r = p^n$ for any fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and prime p. The next lemma provides a short exact sequence which will be referred to throughout the paper. The proof is left for the reader.

Lemma 4.8. There is a short exact sequence of groups

(2)
$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^3 \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{H}(p^n) \xrightarrow{q} \mathcal{H}(p^{n-1}) \longrightarrow 1$$

where $f(a_1, a_2, a_3) = (a_1 p^{n-1}, a_2 p^{n-1}, a_3 p^{n-1})$ and $q(b_1, b_2, b_3) = (b_1 \mod p^{n-1}, b_2 \mod p^{n-1}, b_3 \mod p^{n-1}).$

Unless otherwise told, the map q in P(S) = P(S; q) will be from the short exact sequence (2).

Corollary 4.9. Let $S \subseteq \mathcal{H}(p^n)$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}(p^n)$.

- (1) $P(S) = \{g \in \mathcal{H}(p^n) \mid [a, g]|_2 = kp^{n-1} \text{ for some } 0 \le k$
- (2) $P(h) = \{g \in \mathcal{H}(p^n) \mid [h, g]|_2 = kp^{n-1} \text{ for some } 0 \le k < p\}.$

Proof. Since ker $q = \{g \in \mathcal{H}(p^n) | p^{n-1} \text{ divides } g|_i \text{ for each } i = 1, 2, 3\}$, the result follows from Lemma 4.5.

5. Relationships between P(H) and C(H)

Let $H \leq \mathcal{H}(p^n)$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}(p^n)$. In this section, we will prove a series of statements concerning the precise relation between P(H) and C(H), as well as between P(h) and C(h). Most of these relationships are in terms of the center of $\mathcal{H}(p^n)$. These, in turn, will allow us to determine how their orders are related.

Lemma 5.1. For any $S \subseteq \mathcal{H}(p^n)$ in the short exact sequence (2), we have $C(S) \trianglelefteq P(S)$.

Compare this to Lemma 3.5 (1). Note that our proof actually shows that C(H) and C(h) are normal in $\mathcal{H}(p^n)$.

Proof. Let $k \in H$, $c \in C(S)$, and $z \in P(S)$. By Lemma 4.7, $[k, z^{-1}cz]|_2 = 0$. Thus, $z^{-1}cz \in C(S)$ by Corollary 4.6. And so, $C(S) \leq P(S)$.

Lemma 5.2. Let $H \leq \mathcal{H}(p^n)$. Then $H \leq Z(\mathcal{H}(p^n))$ if and only if P(H) = C(H).

Proof. If $H \leq Z(\mathcal{H}(p^n))$, then $C(H) = \mathcal{H}(p^n)$. It follows from Lemma 3.5 (1) that P(H) = C(H). The proof of the converse will be given after Definition 5.47. \Box

Lemma 5.3. For all $h \in \mathcal{H}(p^n)$, P(h) = C(h) if and only if $h \in Z(\mathcal{H}(p^n))$.

Proof. If $h \in Z(\mathcal{H}(p^n))$, then $C(h) = \mathcal{H}(p^n)$. By Lemma 3.5 (1), $C(h) \leq P(h)$ and, thus, P(h) = C(h).

Suppose now that $h \notin Z(\mathcal{H}(p^n))$. Then either $h|_1 \neq 0$ or $h|_3 \neq 0$. If $h|_1 \neq 0$, then there exist $0 \leq k < n$ and $0 < r < p^n$ such that $p \nmid r$ and $h|_1 = rp^k$. Let $g = (0, 0, p^{n-k-1}) \in \mathcal{H}(p^n)$. We claim that $g \in P(h) \setminus C(h)$. Well, by Lemma 4.5, $[h, g] = (0, rp^{n-1}, 0)$. Since $p^{n-1}r \mod p^{n-1} = 0$, we have that $[h, g] \in \ker q$. And so, $g \in P(h)$. Evidently, $g \notin C(h)$ by Corollary 4.6. This proves the claim. The case $h|_3 \neq 0$ is proven in a similar way.

Non-central elements play an important role in what follows. We introduce some notation.

Notation 5.4. If S is a non-empty subset of $\mathcal{H}(p^n)$, then we put

$$S^{\dagger} = S - Z(\mathcal{H}(p^n)).$$

In particular, $\mathcal{H}(p^n)^{\dagger}$ is the set of non-central elements of $\mathcal{H}(p^n)$.

Lemma 5.5. If $H \leq \mathcal{H}(p^n)$ and $H = \langle S \rangle$ for some non-empty set S of $\mathcal{H}(p^n)$, then $P(H) = P(S^{\dagger})$ and $C(H) = C(S^{\dagger})$.

Proof. By (9) of Lemma 3.5 we have P(H) = P(S). Hence,

$$P(S) = \bigcap_{h \in S} P(h) = \left(\bigcap_{h \in S^{\dagger}} P(h)\right) \bigcap \left(\bigcap_{h \in S - S^{\dagger}} P(h)\right) = P\left(S^{\dagger}\right) \cap \mathcal{H}\left(p^{n}\right) = P\left(S^{\dagger}\right).$$

The proof is similar for C(H).

Next we determine the relationship between |P(h)| and |C(h)| for any $h \in \mathcal{H}(p^n)^{\dagger}$. In order to do so, we partition P(h) into a certain collection of subsets. The following notation will be used:

Notation 5.6. For any $h \in \mathcal{H}(p^n)^{\dagger}$ and each $0 \leq \ell < p$, let

$$P_{\ell}(h) = \left\{ g \in \mathcal{H}(p^n) \, | \, [h, \, g] |_2 = \ell p^{n-1} \right\} \subset P(h).$$

Observe that $P_0(h) = C(h)$ by Corollary 4.6.

Theorem 5.7. If $h \in \mathcal{H}(p^n)^{\dagger}$, then |P(h)| = p|C(h)|. Hence, $P(h)/C(h) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Proof. Since h is not central, then either $h|_1 \neq 0$ or $h|_3 \neq 0$. Assume first that $h|_1 \neq 0$. There exist $0 \leq k < n$ and $0 < r < p^n$ such that $p \nmid r$ and $h|_1 = rp^k$. Note that r is a unit in the ring \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} .

We concoct set maps between C(h) and $P_{\ell}(h)$ for each ℓ . First observe that if $x \in C(h)$, then for each ℓ , Lemma 4.5 and Corollary 4.6 give

$$\left[h, \left(x|_{1}, x|_{2}, x|_{3} + r^{-1}\ell p^{n-k-1}\right)\right]|_{2} = \ell p^{n-1}.$$

Thus, $(x|_1, x|_2, x|_3 + r^{-1}\ell p^{n-k-1}) \in P_{\ell}(h)$. Hence, there exists a well-defined set map $f_{\ell}: C(h) \to P_{\ell}(h)$ given by

$$f_{\ell}(x) = (x|_1, x|_2, x|_3 + r^{-1}\ell p^{n-k-1}).$$

Next observe that if $y \in P_{\ell}(h)$ for some ℓ , then $[h, y]|_2 = \ell p^{n-1}$. Thus, using Lemma 4.5, we obtain

$$\left[h, \left(y|_{1}, y|_{2}, y|_{3} - r^{-1}\ell p^{n-k-1}\right)\right]|_{2} = 0.$$

By Corollary 4.6, $(y|_1, y|_2, y|_3 - r^{-1}\ell p^{n-k-1}) \in C(h)$. And so, there exists a welldefined set map $g_\ell : P_\ell(h) \to C(h)$ given by

$$g_{\ell}(y) = (y|_1, y|_2, y|_3 - r^{-1}\ell p^{n-k-1}).$$

Clearly, f_ℓ and g_ℓ are mutual inverses for each $0 \leq \ell < p.$

Similarly, if $h|_3 \neq 0$, then we may write $h|_3 = rp^k$, where $0 \leq k < n$, $p \nmid r$, and $0 < r < p^n$ (thus, r is a unit in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}). In this case, we obtain well-defined set maps that are mutual inverses:

$$f_{\ell}: C(h) \to P_{\ell}(h)$$
 given by $x \mapsto (x|_1 - r^{-1}\ell p^{n-k-1}, x|_2, x|_3)$, and
 $g_{\ell}: P_{\ell}(h) \to C(h)$ given by $y \mapsto (y|_1 + r^{-1}\ell p^{n-k-1}, y|_2, y|_3)$.

In either case, we have that $|P_{\ell}(h)| = |C(h)|$ for each $0 \leq \ell < p$. Since $P(h) = \bigcup_{\ell=0}^{p-1} P_{\ell}(h)$ and the $P_{\ell}(h)$ are pairwise disjoint, we have

$$|P(h)| = \sum_{\ell=0}^{p-1} |P_{\ell}(h)| = p|C(h)|.$$

This completes the proof.

Notation 5.8. From this point on, whenever we write $h \in \mathcal{H}(p^n)$ in the form

$$h = \left(r_1 p^{k_1}, \, r_2 p^{k_2}, \, r_3 p^{k_3}\right),$$

it is understood that either:

- (1) $r_i = 0$ or
- (2) $0 \le k_i < n, 0 < r_i < p^n$, and $p \nmid r_i$

for i = 1, 2, 3. Thus, k_i is the largest power of p that divides $h|_i$ whenever $r_i \neq 0$.

The functions f_{ℓ} in the proof of Theorem 5.7 allow us to express elements of $P_{\ell}(h)$ in terms of elements of C(h). This important fact is the highlight of the next result which is needed later.

Lemma 5.9. Let $h = (r_1 p^{k_1}, r_2 p^{k_2}, r_3 p^{k_3}) \in \mathcal{H}(p^n)$. If $x \in P_{\ell}(h)$ for some $0 \leq \ell < p$, then there exists $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in C(h)$ such that

$$x = (a_1, a_2, a_3 + r_1^{-1} \ell p^{n-k_1-1}) \quad \text{if} \quad r_1 \neq 0 \quad \text{and}$$
$$x = (a_1 - r_3^{-1} \ell p^{n-k_3-1}, a_2, a_3) \quad \text{if} \quad r_3 \neq 0.$$

11

Proof. This follows from the functions constructed in Lemma 5.7.

Lemma 5.10. If $h \in \mathcal{H}(p^n)^{\dagger}$, then $|C(h)| = p^2 |C(q(h))|$.

Proof. Combining Lemma 3.5 (4), Lemma 4.8, and Theorem 5.7 we have:

$$p|C(h)| = |P(h)| = |\ker q||C(q(h))| = |\mathbb{Z}_p^3||C(q(h))| = p^3|C(q(h))|$$

And so, $|C(h)| = p^2 |C(q(h))|$.

Remark 5.11. Since $Z(\mathcal{H}(p^n))$ is cyclic, we can assume that any generating set of a subgroup H of $\mathcal{H}(p^n)$ contains at most one central element.

Corollary 5.12. Let $H \leq \mathcal{H}(p^n)$. (1) If $HZ(\mathcal{H}(p^n))/Z(\mathcal{H}(p^n))$ is non-trivial and cyclic, then $|C(H)| = p^2|C(q(H))|$. (2) If $H \leq Z(\mathcal{H}(p^n))$, then $|C(H)| = p^3|C(q(H))|$.

Proof. (1) Let $H = \langle h_1, h_2 \rangle$ with $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}(p^n)^{\dagger}$ and $h_2 \in Z(\mathcal{H}(p^n))$. By Lemma 5.10, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} |C(H)| &= |C(h_1) \cap C(h_2)| = |C(h_1) \cap \mathcal{H}(p^n)| = |C(h_1)| = p^2 |C(q(h_1))| \\ &= p^2 |C(q(h_1)) \cap \mathcal{H}(p^{n-1})| = p^2 |C(q(h_1)) \cap C(q(h_2))| \\ &= p^2 |C(\langle q(h_1), q(h_2) \rangle)| = p^2 |C(q(H))|. \end{aligned}$$

(2) If H is central, then $C(H) = \mathcal{H}(p^n)$ and $C(q(H)) = \mathcal{H}(p^{n-1})$. Therefore, $|C(H)| = p^{3n} = p^3 p^{3n-3} = p^3 |C(q(H))|.$

By Lemma 5.2, P(H)/C(H) is trivial whenever $H \leq Z(\mathcal{H}(p^n))$. The next lemma describes P(H)/C(H) when H is not in $Z(\mathcal{H}(p^n))$.

Lemma 5.13. Let $H \leq \mathcal{H}(p^n)$ and $H \not\subseteq Z(\mathcal{H}(p^n))$. Suppose $H^{\dagger} = \{h_1, \ldots, h_m\}$. The canonical homomorphism $P(H) \to \prod_{h \in H^{\dagger}} P(h)$ defined by $x \mapsto (x, \ldots, x)$ induces an isomorphism $\theta : P(H)/C(H) \to \mathbb{Z}_p^k$, where k = 1 or 2. *Proof.* We have the following commutative diagram:



where the homomorphism from P(H) to $P(h_1) \times \cdots \times P(h_m)$ is the one in the statement of the lemma. The quotient groups in the diagram make sense because of Lemma 5.1. By Theorem 5.7, we have $P(h_i)/C(h_i) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ for each $h_i \in H^{\dagger}$.

We construct a group homomorphism $\hat{\theta} : P(H)/C(H) \to \mathbb{Z}_p^m$ as follows: let $\overline{x} \in P(H)/C(H)$ where $x \in P(H)$. Push right to (x, \ldots, x) and then up to $\prod_{i=1}^m P(h_i)/C(h_i) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^m$. Observe that if $a, b \in P(H)$ are such that $ab^{-1} \in C(H)$, then $ab^{-1} \in C(h_i)$ for all $1 \le i \le m$. Thus, $\hat{\theta}$ is a well-defined homomorphism and extends the previous commutative diagram in the following way:



It is clear that $\hat{\theta}$ is a monomorphism; for if $\overline{x} \in \ker \hat{\theta} \leq P(H)/C(H)$ where $x \in P(H)$, then x commutes with every h_i . And so, $\hat{\theta}$ induces an isomorphism $\theta : P(H)/C(H) \to \mathbb{Z}_p^k$ for some $k \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$.

We claim that k = 1 or 2. We know that

$$P(H)/Z(\mathcal{H}(p^n)) \leq \mathcal{H}(p^n)/Z(\mathcal{H}(p^n)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}^2$$

Thus, $P(H)/Z(\mathcal{H}(p^n))$ has either one or two generators. Since $Z(\mathcal{H}(p^n)) \leq C(H)$, there is a surjective map $P(H)/Z(\mathcal{H}(p^n)) \to P(H)/C(H)$. Thus, k = 1 or 2. \Box

We will want to identify elements of $\mathcal{H}(p^n)$ that are equal modulo its center. We introduce the following notation.

Notation 5.14. Let $x, y \in \mathcal{H}(p^n)$. We will write $x \sim y$ whenever $xy^{-1} \in Z(\mathcal{H}(p^n))$.

It is easy to see that $x \sim y$ if and only if $x|_1 = y|_1$ and $x|_3 = y|_3$.

Lemma 5.15. If $x, y \in \mathcal{H}(p^n)$ and $x \sim y$, then [w, x] = [w, y] for any $w \in \mathcal{H}(p^n)$. In addition, C(x) = C(y) and P(x) = P(y).

Proof. It follows from Lemma 4.5 (2).

Lemma 5.9 gives a way of expressing an element $x \in P_{\ell}(h)$ in terms of an element of C(h). A preferred form can be provided whenever the first or third component of x is a unit in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} .

Definition 5.16. An element $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ in $\mathcal{H}(p^n)$ is called *non-degenerate* if $p \nmid x_1$ or $p \nmid x_3$. Otherwise, x is *degenerate*.

Clearly, x is non-degenerate if and only if either $x|_1$ or $x|_3$ is a unit in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} .

Lemma 5.17. Suppose that $x, y \in \mathcal{H}(p^n)$ and x is non-degenerate. Then $y \in C(x)$ if and only if there exists a unique $0 \le k < p^n$ such that $y \sim x^k$.

Proof. If there exists $0 \le k < p^n$ such that $y \sim x^k$, then $[x, y] = [x, x^k] = 1$ by Lemma 5.15. Thus, $y \in C(x)$.

Conversely, suppose that $y \in C(x)$. By Corollary 4.6,

(3)
$$x|_1y|_3 \mod p^n = x|_3y|_1 \mod p^n$$
.

Since x is non-degenerate, either $p \nmid x|_1$ or $p \nmid x|_3$. Let us assume that $p \nmid x|_1$. Then $x|_1$ has an inverse, say l, in the ring \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} . Thus, (3) can be rewritten as

$$y|_3 = lx|_3y|_1 \mod p^n.$$

Set $k = ly|_1 \mod p^n$. Using Lemma 4.4, we get

$$x^{k} = (x|_{1}, x|_{2}, x|_{3})^{k} = (kx|_{1}, \hat{x}, kx|_{3}) \sim (lx|_{1}y|_{1}, y|_{2}, ly|_{1}x|_{3}) = (y|_{1}, y|_{2}, y|_{3}),$$

where $\hat{x} = \left(kx|_2 + \binom{k}{2}x|_1x|_3\right) \mod p^n$. A similar proof holds when $p \nmid x|_3$.

We now establish the uniqueness of k. Suppose that $y \sim x^{k_1} \sim x^{k_2}$ for some $0 \leq k_1 < p^n$ and $0 \leq k_2 < p^n$. Assume, without loss of generality, that p does not divide $x|_1$. By Lemma 4.4, $k_1x|_1 = k_2x_1$. Since $x|_1$ is a unit in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} , we conclude that $k_1 = k_2$.

The next corollary gives an explicit form of the elements of $P_{\ell}(h)$ for a nondegenerate element h.

Corollary 5.18. Let $h = (r_1 p^{k_1}, r_2 p^{k_2}, r_3 p^{k_3}) \in \mathcal{H}(p^n)$ be non-degenerate. If $x \in P_{\ell}(h)$ for some $0 \le \ell < p$, then

$$x = \left(wr_1 p^{k_1}, \hat{h}, wr_3 p^{k_3} + r_1^{-1} \ell p^{n-k_1-1} \right) \text{ if } r_1 \neq 0 \text{ and}$$

$$x = \left(wr_1 p^{k_1} - r_3^{-1} \ell p^{n-k_3-1}, \hat{h}, wr_3 p^{k_3} \right) \text{ if } r_3 \neq 0,$$

where $0 \le w < p^n$ and $0 \le \hat{h} < p^n$.

Proof. Let $h = (r_1 p^{k_1}, r_2 p^{k_2}, r_3 p^{k_3})$ be non-degenerate. Assume that $r_1 \neq 0$ and $0 \leq k_1 < n$. Fix $0 \leq \ell < p$ and let $x \in P_\ell(h)$. By Lemma 5.9, there exists $a \in C(h)$ such that

$$x = (a|_1, a|_2, a|_3 + r_1^{-1} \ell p^{n-k_1-1})$$

Lemma 5.17 allows us to choose $0 \le w < p^n$ such that $a \sim h^w = \left(wr_1 p^{k_1}, \hat{h}, wr_3 p^{k_3}\right)$ for some $0 \le \hat{h} < p^n$. Thus, we can set $x = \left(wr_1 p^{k_1}, \hat{h}, wr_3 p^{k_3} + r_1^{-1} \ell p^{n-k_1-1}\right)$. The case where $r_3 \ne 0$ is proven similarly.

Definition 5.19. Let $h = (r_1 p^{k_1}, r_2 p^{k_2}, r_3 p^{k_3}) \in \mathcal{H}(p^n)^{\dagger}$. If $r_i = 0$, then we set $k_i = n$. We define the following:

(1)
$$\nu(h) = \min\{k_1, k_3\};$$

- (2) For $S = \{h_1, \dots, h_m\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}(p^n)^{\dagger}$, we set $\nu(S) = \nu(h_1, \dots, h_m) = \min\{\nu(h_1), \dots, \nu(h_m)\};$
- (3) $_{\nu}h = \left(r_1 p^{k_1 \nu(h)}, 0, r_3 p^{k_3 \nu(h)}\right) \in \mathcal{H}(p^n).$

The next lemma follows from the definitions and Lemma 4.5 (1).

Lemma 5.20. Suppose that $h = (r_1 p^{k_1}, r_2 p^{k_2}, r_3 p^{k_3}) \in \mathcal{H}(p^n)^{\dagger}$. The following hold:

- (1) $0 \le \nu(h) < n;$
- (2) If h is degenerate, then $\nu(h) > 0$;
- (3) If h is non-degenerate, then $\nu(h) = 0$;
- (4) $_{\nu}h \in C(h).$

Given a non-central element $h \in \mathcal{H}(p^n)$ and an element $z \in P(h)$, we would like to find an element $y \in \mathcal{H}(p^n)$ of a specific type such that $z \sim y$. This is the point behind Lemma 5.24. First we provide some notation.

Notation 5.21. Let $h = (r_1 p^{k_1}, r_2 p^{k_2}, r_3 p^{k_3}) \in \mathcal{H}(p^n)^{\dagger}$. If $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$ is any element in $\mathcal{H}(p^n)$, then we set

$$\{a_i\}_h = a_i \mod p^{n-\nu(h)}$$
 for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

(Here we are viewing the a_i and $\{a_i\}_h$ as integers.)

Next we define an element $\{a\}_h$ in $\mathcal{H}\left(p^{n-\nu(h)}\right)$ as such:

$$\{a\}_h = \left(\{a_1\}_h, \{a_2\}_h, \{a_3\}_h\right)$$

We emphasize that $a \in \mathcal{H}(p^n)$ and $\{a\}_h \in \mathcal{H}(p^{n-\nu(h)})$. It is clearly possible for a_i to equal $\{a_i\}_h$.

Lemma 5.22. Let $h = \left(r_1 p^{k_1}, r_2 p^{k_2}, r_3 p^{k_3}\right) \in \mathcal{H}(p^n)^{\dagger}$. The mapping $a \mapsto \{a\}_h$ induces an epimorphism $\alpha : C(h) \to C\left(\{\nu h\}_h\right)$.

Proof. We first show that α is a homomorphism. If $x, y \in C(h)$, then it is clear that $\{xy\}_h = \{x\}_h \{y\}_h$. We claim that if $z = (z_1, z_2, z_3) \in C(h)$, then $\{z\}_h \in C(\{\nu h\}_h)$. Indeed,

$$\left[\{\nu h\}_h, \{z\}_h\right]\Big|_2 = \left\{z_3 r_1 p^{k_1 - \nu(h)} - z_1 r_3 p^{k_3 - \nu(h)}\right\}_h \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n-\nu(h)}}.$$

16 DAVID ALLEN, JOSÉ J. LA LUZ, STEPHEN MAJEWICZ, AND MARCOS ZYMAN

By Corollary 4.6, $z \in C(h)$ implies that $[h, z]|_2 = 0$. Thus,

$$\left(z_3r_1p^{k_1-\nu(h)}-z_1r_3p^{k_3-\nu(h)}\right) \mod p^{n-\nu(h)}=0$$

or, equivalently, $[\{\nu h\}_h, \{z\}_h]|_2 = 0$. And so, $\{z\}_h \in C(\{\nu h\}_h)$ as claimed. Thus, α is a homomorphism.

Next we prove that α is onto. Let $w = (w_1, w_2, w_3) \in C(\{\nu,h\}_h)$. If we let $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathcal{H}(p^n)$, where $a_i \mod p^{n-\nu(h)} = w_i$ for each i = 1, 2, 3, then $\{a\}_h = w$. Note that the a_i exist (simply take $a_i = w_i$). We need to show that $a \in C(h)$. Since $w \in C(\{\nu,h\}_h)$, we have $[\{\nu,h\}_h, w]|_2 = 0$ by Corollary 4.6. Hence,

$$\left(w_3\left\{r_1p^{k_1-\nu(h)}\right\}_h - w_1\left\{r_3p^{k_3-\nu(h)}\right\}_h\right) \mod p^{n-\nu(h)} = 0$$

by Lemma 4.5. Now, $\{a\}_h = w$ gives

$$\left(\left\{a_3r_1p^{k_1-\nu(h)}\right\}_h - \left\{a_1r_3p^{k_3-\nu(h)}\right\}_h\right) \mod p^{n-\nu(h)} = 0,$$

which is the same as $(\{a_3r_1\}_h p^{k_1-\nu(h)}-\{a_1r_3\}_h p^{k_3-\nu(h)}) \mod p^{n-\nu(h)} = 0$. Hence, $(\{a_3r_1\}_h p^{k_1} - \{a_1r_3\}_h p^{k_3}) \mod p^n = 0$. By the Division Algorithm, there exist $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_1r_3 = sp^{n-\nu(h)} + \{a_1r_3\}_h$ and $a_3r_1 = tp^{n-\nu(h)} + \{a_3r_1\}_h$. Thus, $(a_3r_1p^{k_1} - a_1r_3p^{k_3}) \mod p^n = 0$. By Corollary 4.6, $a \in C(h)$.

Lemma 5.23. Let α be the mapping defined in Lemma 5.22. If $\alpha(x) \sim \alpha(y)$ in $\mathcal{H}\left(p^{n-\nu(h)}\right)$, then there is an element in $C(h) \cap \ker \alpha$ of the form $(r'_1, 0, r'_3)^{p^{n-\nu(h)}}$ where $0 \leq r'_1, r'_3 < p^{\nu(h)}$ and $x \sim y(r'_1, 0, r'_3)^{p^{n-\nu(h)}}$ in $\mathcal{H}(p^n)$.

Proof. Let $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$ be elements of C(h) such that $\alpha(x) \sim \alpha(y)$. Then $\{x\}_h \sim \{y\}_h$ and, thus, $\{x_i\}_h = \{y_i\}_h$ for i = 1, 3. Hence, $x_i \mod p^{n-\nu(h)} = y_i \mod p^{n-\nu(h)}$ and, consequently, $x_i = y_i + l_i p^{n-\nu(h)}$ for some $l_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ whenever i = 1, 3. Hence,

$$x \sim (y_1 + l_1 p^{n-\nu(h)}, y_2, y_3 + l_3 p^{n-\nu(h)}) \sim (y_1, y_2, y_3) (l_1, 0, l_3)^{p^{n-\nu(h)}}$$

Now, there exists $0 \le r'_i < p^{\nu(h)}$ for i = 1, 3 such that

$$(l_1, 0, l_3)^{p^{n-\nu(h)}} \sim (r'_1, 0, r'_3)^{p^{n-\nu(h)}}$$

It readily follows from Lemma 5.15 that $(r'_1, 0, r'_3)^{p^{n-\nu(h)}} \in C(h) \cap \ker \alpha$.

We now invoke the last two lemmas to prove the following useful result.

Lemma 5.24. Let $h = \left(r_1 p^{k_1}, r_2 p^{k_2}, r_3 p^{k_3}\right)$ and $z \in \mathcal{H}(p^n)^{\dagger}$. Then $z \in P(h)$ if and only if

(4)
$$z \sim {}_{\nu} h^{w_1} \Big(0, \, 0, \, r_1^{-1} \ell_1 p^{n-1-k_1} \Big) \Big(r'_{11}, \, 0, \, r'_{13} \Big)^{p^{n-\nu(h)}} \quad \text{if } r_1 \neq 0$$

and

(5)
$$z \sim {}_{\nu} h^{w_2} \Big(-r_3^{-1} \ell_2 p^{n-1-k_3}, 0, 0 \Big) \Big(r'_{21}, 0, r'_{23} \Big)^{p^{n-\nu(h)}} \quad \text{if } r_3 \neq 0$$

for some integers w_i , $0 \le \ell_i < p$, and $0 \le r'_{i1}$, $r'_{i3} < p^{\nu(h)}$ (i = 1, 2).

Note that (4) and (5) in the statement of Lemma 5.24 are not mutually exclusive. When r_1 and r_3 are both non-zero, either can be used.

Proof. Suppose that $r_1 \neq 0$ and $z \in P(h)$. We assert that z satisfies (4). By Lemma 5.9, we have

$$z \sim \left(a_1, a_2, a_3 + r_1^{-1} \ell_1 p^{n-1-k_1}\right) \sim (a_1, a_2, a_3) \left(0, 0, r_1^{-1} \ell_1 p^{n-1-k_1}\right)$$

for some $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3) \in C(h)$ and $0 \leq \ell_1 < p$. By Lemma 5.22, $\alpha(a) = \{a\}_h$ in $C(\{\nu h\}_h)$. We check that $\{\nu h\}_h$ is non-degenerate. Since h is non-central, we assume first that $r_1 \neq 0$ and $r_3 = 0$. In this case, we get $k_3 = n$ and, thus, $\nu(h) = k_1$. Hence, $\{\nu h\}_h = (\{r_1\}_h, 0, \{r_3\}_h p^{k_3 - k_1})$. And so, $\{\nu h\}_h$ is non-degenerate. The proof is similarly straightforward whenever $r_i \neq 0$ for i = 1, 3; or $r_1 = 0$ and $r_3 \neq 0$.

By Corollary 5.18, recalling that $P_0({{_{\nu}h}_{h}}) = C({{_{\nu}h}_{h}})$, we obtain

$$\{a\}_h \sim \left(w\{r_1\}_h p^{k_1 - \nu(h)}, 0, w\{r_3\}_h p^{k_3 - \nu(h)}\right) \sim \{\nu_h\}_h^w$$

for some $0 \le w < p^{n-\nu(h)}$. By Lemma 5.23, it follows that $a \sim {}_{\nu}h^w (r'_1, 0, r'_3)^{p^{n-\nu(h)}}$ with $0 \le r'_1, r'_3 < p^{\nu(h)}$. Thus,

$$z \sim a \left(0, 0, r_1^{-1} \ell_1 p^{n-1-k_1} \right) \sim {}_{\nu} h^w \left(r_1', 0, r_3' \right)^{p^{n-\nu(h)}} \left(0, 0, r_1^{-1} \ell_1 p^{n-1-k_1} \right) \\ \sim {}_{\nu} h^w \left(0, 0, r_1^{-1} \ell_1 p^{n-1-k_1} \right) \left(r_1', 0, r_3' \right)^{p^{n-\nu(h)}}.$$

This proves the assertion. A similar argument shows that if $r_3 \neq 0$ and $z \in P(h)$, then z satisfies (5).

Conversely, suppose that z satisfies (4). It follows from Lemmas 4.7 and 5.15 that $[h, z]|_2 = \ell_1 p^{n-1}$. Thus, $z \in P(h)$. We proceed similarly if $r_3 \neq 0$.

Remark 5.25. We observe that $z \in C(h)$ if and only if $\ell_1 = 0$ ($\ell_2 = 0$) in Lemma 5.24. For if z commutes with h, then the last calculation of the proof of Lemma 5.24 gives that $\ell_1 = 0$ ($\ell_2 = 0$). For the converse we set $\ell_1 = 0$ ($\ell_2 = 0$) in (4) ((5), respectively) of the said lemma.

Our next goal is to describe certain sufficient conditions on a subgroup H of $\mathcal{H}(p^n)$ so that $P(H)/C(H) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^2$. Some preparation is needed.

Definition 5.26. A generating set S for $H \leq \mathcal{H}(p^n)$ is called *special* if either

- (1) $S^{\dagger} = \emptyset$; or
- (2) there exists $h_1 \in S^{\dagger}$ such that $\nu(S^{\dagger}) = \nu(h_1)$ and $h_2 \not\sim h_1^w$ for all $h_2 \in S^{\dagger} \{h_1\}$ and any non-negative integer w.

Lemma 5.27. Every subgroup H of $\mathcal{H}(p^n)$ has a special generating set.

Proof. Let S be a generating set for H containing at least one non-central element. Choose $h_1 \in S^{\dagger}$ such that $\nu(S^{\dagger}) = \nu(h_1)$. If $S^{\dagger} = \{h_1\}$, then we are done.

Suppose that there exists $h_2 \in S^{\dagger} - \{h_1\}$ satisfying $h_2 \sim h_1^{w_1}$ for some $0 < w_1$; that is, $h_1^{w_1}h_2^{-1} \in Z(\mathcal{H}(p^n))$. Put $h_1^{w_1}h_2^{-1} = c_1$ and let $S_1 = (S - \{h_2\}) \cup \{c_1\}$. Clearly, S_1 is also a generating set for H. If $h_3 \not\sim h_1^{w_2}$ for any $h_3 \in S_1^{\dagger} - \{h_1\}$ and any $w_2 \geq 0$, then S_1 is special. Otherwise, we repeat the same procedure starting with S_1 and obtain another generating set for H. Continuing in this way will eventually produce a special generating set for H.

Definition 5.28. Let $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}(p^n)^{\dagger}$ and $h_2 \in \mathcal{H}(p^n)$. We say that h_2 is supercommuting with h_1 if $h_2 \sim (r'_1, 0, r'_3)^{p^{n-\nu(h_1)}}$ with $0 \leq r'_1, r'_3 < p^{\nu(h_1)}$ and $r'_1 + r'_3 > 0$.

Remark 5.29. The condition $r'_1 + r'_3 > 0$ implies that $h_2 \in \mathcal{H}(p^n)^{\dagger}$. In addition, it can be shown that h_2 and h_1 commute whenever h_2 is supercommuting with h_1 .

Lemma 5.30. Let S be a special generating set for $H \leq \mathcal{H}(p^n)$ with $S^{\dagger} \neq \emptyset$, and let $h \in S^{\dagger}$ such that $\nu(h) = \nu(S^{\dagger})$. If h is non-degenerate, then S^{\dagger} contains no elements that are supercommuting with h.

Proof. If h is non-degenerate, then $\nu(h) = 0$ and, thus, $p^{n-\nu(h)} = p^n$. Assume that $\tilde{h} \in S^{\dagger}$ is supercommuting with h, where $\tilde{h} \neq h$. By definition, there exist $0 \leq r'_1, r'_3 < 1$ with $r'_1 + r'_3 > 0$ such that $\tilde{h} \sim (r'_1, 0, r'_3)^{p^n}$. This is clearly impossible.

The next notation is a slight repeat of Notation 5.8.

Notation 5.31. Let $h_1, \ldots, h_m \in \mathcal{H}(p^n)^{\dagger}$. We write $h_j = (r_{j1}p^{k_{j1}}, h_{j2}, r_{j3}p^{k_{j3}})$, where either

- (1) $r_{ji} = 0$, in which case we set $k_{ji} = n$, or
- (2) $0 \le k_{ji} < n, 0 < r_{ji} < p^n$, and $p \nmid r_{ji}$
- for i = 1, 3.

Lemma 5.32. Let S be a special generating set for $H \leq \mathcal{H}(p^n)$ with $S^{\dagger} \neq \emptyset$ such that $\nu(S^{\dagger}) = \nu(h)$ for some $h \in S^{\dagger}$.

(1) If $h_1 \in S^{\dagger} - \{h\}$ commutes with h, then

$$h_1 \sim h^w \left(r'_1, \, 0, \, r'_3 \right)^{p^{n-\nu(h)}}$$

for some $0 \le w$ and $0 \le r'_1, r'_3 < p^{\nu(h_1)}$ with $r'_1 + r'_3 > 0$. Moreover, if $r'_i = r''_i p^{s_i} \ne 0$ for some i = 1, 3 with $p \nmid r''_i$ and $s_i \ge 0$, then $2\nu(h) \le n + s_i$.

- (2) There exists a special generating set T for H which satisfies the following properties:
 - (a) $\nu(h) = \nu(T^{\dagger});$
 - (b) All elements of $T^{\dagger} \{h\}$ that commute with h are supercommuting with h.

Proof. For both parts of the proof we let $h = (r_1 p^{k_1}, h_2, r_3 p^{k_3})$ and assume that $\nu(h) = k_1$ (the other case is handled similarly).

By Lemma 5.24 and Remark 5.25, we have

$$h_1 \sim {}_{\nu} h^{w_1} \left(r'_1, 0, r'_3 \right)^{p^{n-k_1}} \sim \left(r_1 w_1 + r'_1 p^{n-k_1}, 0, r_3 w_1 p^{k_3-k_1} + r'_3 p^{n-k_1} \right)$$

with $0 \le w_1 < p^{n-k_1}$ and $0 \le r'_1, r'_3 < p^{k_1}$. It follows from the comment preceding Lemma 5.15 that $p^{k_1} \mid w_1$ and $p^{k_1} \mid r'_i p^{n-k_1}$ for i = 1, 3. This means that $w_1 = wp^{k_1}$ for some $w \ge 0$. Hence,

$$_{\nu}h^{w_{1}} = _{\nu}h^{wp^{k_{1}}} = \left(_{\nu}h^{p^{k_{1}}}\right)^{w} \sim h^{w}$$

Now, if $r'_1 = r'_3 = 0$, then $h_1 \sim {}_{\nu}h^{w_1} \sim h^w$. This contradicts the fact that S is special, and hence, $r'_1 + r'_3 > 0$. Since $p^{k_1} \mid r'_i p^{n-k_1}$, we have $p^{k_1} \mid r''_i p^{n-k_1+s_i}$. Thus, $k_1 \leq n - k_1 + s_i$; that is, $2k_1 \leq n + s_i$. This completes the proof of (1).

To prove (2), suppose there exists $h_1 \in S^{\dagger}$ with $h_1 \neq h$ that commutes with h but is not supercommuting with h. Write $h_1 \sim h^w (r'_1, 0, r'_3)^{p^{n-k_1}}$ as in (1) with 0 < w < o(h). Since

$$h^{o(h)-w}h_1 \sim h^{o(h)-w}h^w (r'_1, 0, r'_3)^{p^{n-k_1}} \sim (r'_1, 0, r'_3)^{p^{n-k_1}},$$

there exists a central element z_1 such that

$$h^{o(h)-w}h_1 = \left(r'_1, 0, r'_3\right)^{p^{n-k_1}} z_1.$$

A new generating set T_1 for H is obtained by replacing h_1 with $(r'_1, 0, r'_3)^{p^{n-k_1}}$ and z_1 . Writing r'_1 and r'_3 as in (1), and using the fact that $2k_1 \leq n+s_i$ for i=1, 3, gives that $\nu(h) = \nu(T_1^{\dagger})$. If all elements of $T_1^{\dagger} - \{h\}$ that commute with h are supercommuting with h, then T_1 is a special generating set and we set $T = T_1$. Otherwise, we repeat the same procedure until a special generating set T with the desired properties is obtained.

Remark 5.33. By Lemma 5.32, we can assume that if S is any special generating set for H with $S^{\dagger} \neq \emptyset$ such that $\nu(h) = \nu(S^{\dagger})$ for some $h \in S^{\dagger}$ and $h_1 \in S^{\dagger} - \{h\}$ commutes with h, then h_1 is supercommuting with h.

Notation 5.34. For any non-negative integer $x = rp^k$, where r and k are integers and $p \nmid r$, we let $\varphi(x) = k$. If r = 0, then we write rp^k as p^n . Thus, if x = 0 then we have $\varphi(x) = n$.

We set up some data for the next definition. Let $H \leq \mathcal{H}(p^n)$ and S a special generating set for H with $S^{\dagger} \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that $h_1, h_2 \in S^{\dagger}$ are distinct commuting elements and $\nu(S^{\dagger}) = \nu(h_1)$. By Remark 5.33, h_2 is supercommuting with h_1 , and thus, $h_2 \sim (r'_1, 0, r'_3)^{p^{n-\nu(h_1)}}$ for some $0 \leq r'_1, r'_3 < \nu(h_1)$ with $r'_1 + r'_3 > 0$.

Definition 5.35. We say that h_2 commutes properly with h_1 if

$$\varphi\left(\left[\left(r_{1}^{\prime}, 0, r_{3}^{\prime}\right), \nu h_{1}\right]\Big|_{2}\right) < \nu(h_{1}).$$

Otherwise, h_2 commutes improperly with h_1 .

Lemma 5.36. Let S be a special generating set for $H \leq \mathcal{H}(p^n)$ with $S^{\dagger} \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that $h_1 \in S^{\dagger}$ is such that $\nu(S^{\dagger}) = \nu(h_1)$. Then S^{\dagger} contains no elements that commute improperly with h_1 . *Proof.* Assume, on the contrary, that there exists $h_2 \in S^{\dagger} - \{h_1\}$ that commutes improperly with h_1 . Using the data before Definition 5.35 we note that $h_2 \sim (r'_1, 0, r'_3)^{p^{n-\nu(h_1)}}$ with $0 \leq r'_1, r'_3 < p^{\nu(h_1)}$ and $r'_1 + r'_3 > 0$. Lemma 4.7 (3) (with x = z and y = w), together with a straightforward induction, gives

(6)
$$\left[\left(r_1', 0, r_3'\right)^{p^{n-\nu(h_1)}}, {}_{\nu}h_1\right]\right]_2 = p^{n-\nu(h_1)} \left[\left(r_1', 0, r_3'\right), {}_{\nu}h_1\right]\Big|_2.$$

We are assuming that h_2 commutes improperly with h_1 , so that (6) is divisible by p^n . Hence, h_2 commutes with $_{\nu}h_1$. Since $_{\nu}h_1$ is non-degenerate, $h_2 \sim _{\nu}h_1^w$ by Lemma 5.17, where $0 < w < p^n$. Thus,

$$h_2 \sim \left(wr_{11}p^{k_{11}-\nu(h_1)}, 0, wr_{13}p^{k_{13}-\nu(h_1)} \right).$$

Now, $\nu(h_1) \leq \nu(h_2)$ because $h_2 \in S^{\dagger}$ and $\nu(S^{\dagger}) = \nu(h_1)$. This means that the exponents of p in $(h_2)|_1$ and $(h_2)|_3$ have to be at least $\nu(h_1)$. And so, we must have $w = ap^{\nu(h_1)+k}$ for some $k \geq 0$ and $p \nmid a$. Hence,

$$h_2 \sim {}_{\nu} h_1^w \sim {}_{\nu} h_1^{a p^{\nu(h_1)+k}} \sim \left({}_{\nu} h_1^{p^{\nu(h_1)}}\right)^{a p^k} \sim h_1^{a p^k}.$$

This gives a contradiction because S is special.

Definition 5.37. For distinct elements h_s , $h_t \in \mathcal{H}(p^n)$, we define

$$\mu(h_s, h_t) = \min\{k_{s3} + k_{t1}, k_{s1} + k_{t3}\} - \nu(h_s, h_t).$$

Lemma 5.38. If $\mu(h_1, h_2) \ge n$ for some $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{H}(p^n)$, then h_1 and h_2 commute.

Proof. Set $\lambda(h_1, h_2) = \min\{k_{11} + k_{23}, k_{21} + k_{13}\}$. Since $n \le \mu(h_1, h_2) \le \lambda(h_1, h_2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [h_1, h_2]|_2 &= r_{11}r_{23}p^{k_{11}+k_{23}} - r_{21}r_{13}p^{k_{21}+k_{13}} \\ &= p^{\lambda(h_1, h_2)} \Big(r_{11}r_{23}p^{k_{11}+k_{23}-\lambda(h_1, h_2)} - r_{21}r_{13}p^{k_{21}+k_{13}-\lambda(h_1, h_2)} \Big) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, h_1 and h_2 commute.

As mentioned earlier we are now prepared to prove that (under certain conditions on $H \leq \mathcal{H}(p^n), P(H)/C(H)$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} . This is one of our major theorems.

Theorem 5.39. Let $H \leq \mathcal{H}(p^n)$ and S be a special generating set for H such that $S^{\dagger} = \{h_1, h_2\}$. If h_1 and h_2 do not commute or h_2 commutes properly with h_1 , then

$$P(H)/C(H) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^2$$

Proof. We construct elements z_1 , z_2 which satisfy the following two conditions:

- (1) $z_1 \in P(h_1) C(h_1)$ and $z_1 \in C(h_2)$;
- (2) $z_2 \in P(h_2) C(h_2)$ and $z_2 \in C(h_1)$.

Since $C(h_1) \subseteq P(h_1)$ and $C(h_2) \subseteq P(h_2)$, Lemmas 3.5 (5, 9) and 5.5 give

$$z_1, z_2 \in P(h_1) \cap P(h_2) = P(\{h_1, h_2\}) = P(S^{\dagger}) = P(H).$$

The theorem will follow from Lemma 5.13 by showing that k = 2 in the said lemma. For if $P(H)/C(H) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$, then $z_1^{\ell} z_2^{-1} \in C(H)$ for some integer ℓ . Thus, in particular, $z_1^{\ell} z_2^{-1} h_2 = h_2 z_1^{\ell} z_2^{-1} = z_1^{\ell} h_2 z_2^{-1}$ since $z_1 \in C(h_2)$. But this gives $z_2 \in C(h_2)$, a contradiction. The rest of the proof consists on the construction of z_1 and z_2 .

We adopt the notation defined in (5.31). To simplify notation, we let $\mu(2) = \mu(h_1, h_2)$. Throughout the proof we assume that $\nu(h_1, h_2) = \nu(h_1) = k_{11}$, and thus, $r_{11} \neq 0$. The case where $\nu(h_1) = k_{13}$ will follow similarly. In light of Lemma 5.36, and given that S is special, there are two cases to consider: when h_1 and h_2 do not commute at all, and when h_2 commutes properly with h_1 . We handle, in turn, each of these cases.

<u>CASE I</u>: h_1 and h_2 do not commute

Put

$$r_2 = r_{13}r_{21}p^{k_{13}+k_{21}-k_{11}-\mu(2)} - r_{11}r_{23}p^{k_{23}-\mu(2)}.$$

Notice that r_2 is a unit in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} by definition of $\mu(2)$. For i = 1, 2, let

(7)
$$z_i = {}_{\nu} h_1^{w_i} \left(0, \, 0, \, (2-i)r_{11}^{-1}p^{n-k_{11}-1} \right), \quad \text{where}$$

(8)
$$w_i = r_2^{-1} [(i-1) - (2-i)r_{11}^{-1}r_{21}p^{k_{21}-k_{11}}]p^{n-1-\mu(2)}.$$

Since h_1 and h_2 do not commute, we have $\mu(2) \leq n-1$ by Lemma 5.38. This, together with the fact that r_2 is a unit, gives that the z_i are well-defined. Lemma 5.24 and Remark 5.25 immediately give that $z_1 \in P(h_1) - C(h_1)$ and $z_2 \in C(h_1)$. We claim that $z_2 \in P(h_2) - C(h_2)$ and $z_1 \in C(h_2)$. Invoking Lemma 4.7, we have:

$$\begin{split} \left[h_{2}, z_{i}\right]_{2} &= \left[h_{2}, {}_{\nu}h_{1}^{w_{i}}\left(0, 0, (2-i)r_{11}^{-1}p^{n-k_{11}-1}\right)\right]_{2} \\ &= \left[h_{2}, {}_{\nu}h_{1}^{w_{i}}\right]_{2} + \left[h_{2}, \left(0, 0, (2-i)r_{11}^{-1}p^{n-k_{11}-1}\right)\right]_{2} \\ &= \left(w_{i}\left(r_{21}r_{13}p^{k_{13}+k_{21}-k_{11}} - r_{11}r_{23}p^{k_{23}}\right) + (2-i)r_{11}^{-1}r_{21}p^{n+k_{21}-k_{11}-1}\right) \bmod p^{n} \\ &= \left(w_{i}r_{2}p^{\mu(2)} + (2-i)r_{11}^{-1}r_{21}p^{n+k_{21}-k_{11}-1}\right) \bmod p^{n}. \end{split}$$

Substituting the value of w_i from (8) and simplifying the last expression gives $[h_2, z_i] = (i-1)p^{n-1}$. This proves the claim, thereby completing **CASE I**.

<u>CASE II</u>: h_2 commutes properly with h_1

Since h_1 and h_2 are commuting elements of S^{\dagger} and $\nu(S^{\dagger}) = \nu(h_1) = k_{11}$, we remind the reader that h_2 is supercommuting with h_1 by Remark 5.33. Thus, $h_2 \sim (r'_{21}, 0, r'_{23})^{p^{n-k_{11}}}$ for some $0 \leq r'_{21}, r'_{23} < k_{11}$ with $r'_{21} + r'_{23} > 0$. We will show that $\mu(2) \leq n-1$, as we will need this to define the z_i . We consider three distinct situations.

• For j = 1, 3, assume first that $r'_{2j} \neq 0$, and write $r'_{2j} = r''_{2j} p^{k_j^{(2)}} \neq 0$ where $k_j^{(2)} \geq 0$ and $p \nmid r''_{2j}$. Then $2k_{11} \leq n + k_1^{(2)}$ by Lemma 5.32, and thus, $0 \leq n - 2k_{11} + k_1^{(2)}$. By Lemma 5.32, $p^{k_3^{(2)}} \leq r'_{23} < p^{k_{11}}$. This implies that $n + k_3^{(2)} - k_{11} \leq n - 1$. By the statement before Lemma 5.15, we have $\mu(2) = \mu \left(h_1, \left(r'_{21}, 0, r'_{23} \right)^{p^{n-k_{11}}} \right)$. Hence,

(9)
$$\mu(2) = \min\left\{n + k_{13} + k_1^{(2)} - k_{11}, n + k_3^{(2)}\right\} - k_{11} \le n + k_3^{(2)} - k_{11} \le n - 1.$$

• Assume next that $r'_{21} = 0$ but $r'_{23} \neq 0$. Write $r'_{23} = r''_{23}p^{k_3^{(2)}} \neq 0$ where $k_3^{(2)} \ge 0$ and $p \nmid r''_{23}$. Using the standard form for writing elements in $\mathcal{H}(p^n)$, together with the statement before Lemma 5.15, we obtain

$$\mu(2) = \mu\left(h_1, \left(0, 0, r_{23}'' p^{k_3^{(2)}}\right)^{p^{n-k_{11}}}\right) = \min\left\{n + k_{13}, n + k_3^{(2)}\right\} - k_{11}$$

Since $r'_{23} \neq 0$, $n + k_3^{(2)} - k_{11} < n$. Furthermore, $\nu(h_1, h_2) = \nu(h_1) = k_{11}$ implies that $k_3^{(2)} < k_{11} \le k_{13}$. Therefore,

(10)
$$\mu(2) = n + k_3^{(2)} - k_{11} \le n - 1.$$

24 DAVID ALLEN, JOSÉ J. LA LUZ, STEPHEN MAJEWICZ, AND MARCOS ZYMAN

• Finally, consider the case when $r'_{23} = 0$, but $r'_{21} = r''_{21}p^{k_1^{(2)}} \neq 0$ where $k_1^{(2)} \ge 0$ and $p \nmid r''_{21}$. We compute as before:

$$\mu(2) = \mu\left(h_1, \left(r_{21}'' p^{k_1^{(2)}}, 0, 0\right)^{p^{n-k_{11}}}\right)$$
$$= \min\left\{k_{13} + k_1^{(2)} + n - k_{11}, k_{11} + n\right\} - k_{11}$$

Since h_2 commutes properly with h_1 ,

$$\varphi\left(\left[\left(r_{21}''p^{k_1^{(2)}}, 0, 0\right), {}_{\nu}h_1\right]\Big|_2\right) = \varphi\left(r_{21}''r_{13}p^{k_1^{(2)}+k_{13}-k_{11}}\right)$$
$$= k_1^{(2)}+k_{13}-k_{11} < k_{11}$$

(see Notation 5.34). We conclude that

(11)
$$\mu(2) = n + k_{13} + k_1^{(2)} - 2k_{11} < k_{11} + n - k_{11} = n,$$

proving our claim that $\mu(2) \leq n-1$ in all situations.

Using the expression for $\mu(2)$ from (9), we define:

$$\widehat{r}_{2} = \begin{cases} r_{13}r_{21}''p^{n-2k_{11}+k_{13}+k_{1}^{(2)}-\mu(2)} - r_{11}r_{23}''p^{n-k_{11}+k_{3}^{(2)}-\mu(2)} & \text{if } r_{21}' \neq 0, r_{23}' \neq 0; \\ -r_{11}r_{23}'' & \text{if } r_{21}' = 0, r_{23}' \neq 0; \\ r_{13}r_{21}'' & \text{if } r_{23}' = 0, r_{21}' \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Notice that \hat{r}_2 is also a unit in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} by definition of $\mu(2)$. Once again, we will use this unit to construct z_1 and z_2 .

We define z_i for i = 1, 2 as in <u>CASE I</u> (see (7)). But this time,

(12)
$$w_i = \hat{r}_2^{-1} \Big[(i-1) - (2-i)r'_{21}r_{11}^{-1}p^{n-2k_{11}} \Big] p^{n-1-\mu(2)}.$$

Once again, Lemma 5.24 and Remark 5.25 imply that $z_1 \in P(h_1) - C(h_1)$ and $z_2 \in C(h_1)$. The remainder of the proof consists of showing that $z_2 \in P(h_2) - C(h_2)$ and $z_1 \in C(h_2)$.

In the following calculation we invoke Lemmas 4.7 and 5.15. We also use the explicit descriptions of $\mu(2)$ given by (9), (10), and (11), along with the equation:

(13)
$$\widehat{r}_2 p^{\mu(2)} = p^{n-k_{11}} r'_{21} r_{13} p^{k_{13}-k_{11}} - p^{n-k_{11}} r'_{23} r_{11}.$$

This equation is easily obtained from said descriptions of $\mu(2)$. For i = 1, 2, we have:

$$[h_2, z_i]|_2 = \left[\left(r'_{21}, 0, r'_{23} \right)^{p^{n-k_{11}}}, {}_{\nu}h_1^{w_i} (0, 0, (2-i)r_{11}^{-1}p^{n-k_{11}-1}) \right] \Big|_2$$

THE CD LATTICE OF THE \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} HEISENBERG GROUP

$$\begin{split} &= \left[\left(r'_{21}, 0, r'_{23} \right)^{p^{n-k_{11}}}, {}_{\nu}h_{1}^{w_{i}} \right] \Big|_{2} + \left[\left(r'_{21}, 0, r'_{23} \right)^{p^{n-k_{11}}}, \left(0, 0, \left(2-i \right) r_{11}^{-1} p^{n-k_{11}-1} \right) \right] \Big|_{2} \\ &= w_{i} \left[\left(r'_{21}, 0, r'_{23} \right)^{p^{n-k_{11}}}, {}_{\nu}h_{1} \right] \Big|_{2} + (2-i) p^{n-k_{11}} \left[\left(r'_{21}, 0, r'_{23} \right), \left(0, 0, r_{11}^{-1} p^{n-k_{11}-1} \right) \right] \Big|_{2} \\ &= w_{i} \hat{r}_{2} p^{\mu(2)} + (2-i) r'_{21} r_{11}^{-1} p^{2(n-k_{11})-1} \qquad (by (13)) \\ &= \hat{r}_{2}^{-1} \left[(i-1) - (2-i) r'_{21} r_{11}^{-1} p^{n-2k_{11}} \right] p^{n-1-\mu(2)} \hat{r}_{2} p^{\mu(2)} + (2-i) r'_{21} r_{11}^{-1} p^{2(n-k_{11})-1} \\ &= \left[(i-1) - (2-i) r'_{21} r_{11}^{-1} p^{n-2k_{11}} \right] p^{n-1} + (2-i) r'_{21} r_{11}^{-1} p^{2(n-k_{11})-1} \\ &= (i-1) p^{n-1} - (2-i) r'_{21} r_{11}^{-1} p^{2(n-k_{11})-1} + (2-i) r'_{21} r_{11}^{-1} p^{2(n-k_{11})-1} \\ &= (i-1) p^{n-1}. \end{split}$$

This immediately gives that $z_2 \in P(h_2) - C(h_2)$ and $z_1 \in C(h_2)$. The proof of the theorem is now complete.

Definition 5.40. Let S be a special generating set for a subgroup H of $\mathcal{H}(p^n)$. A set I is termed an *injective set* for S if it is a subset of S^{\dagger} of maximal cardinality satisfying the following conditions:

(1) $|I| \le 2;$ (2) If $|S^{\dagger}| > 0$, then $\nu(I) = \nu(S^{\dagger}) = \nu(h_1)$ for some $h_1 \in S^{\dagger};$ (3) If $I = \{h_1, h_2\}$, then $\mu(h_1, h_2) \le \mu(h_1, h_j)$ for all $h_j \in S^{\dagger} - \{h_1\}.$

Lemma 5.41. Every special generating set for a subgroup of $\mathcal{H}(p^n)$ contains an injective set.

Proof. Let S be a special generating set for $H \leq \mathcal{H}(p^n)$. If H is central, then $I = \emptyset$ is an injective set for S. If $|S^{\dagger}| = 1$, then $I = S^{\dagger}$ is an injective set for S. Finally, suppose $|S^{\dagger}| > 1$ and let $h_1 \in S^{\dagger}$ be such that $\nu(S^{\dagger}) = \nu(h_1)$. Choose $h_2 \in S^{\dagger} - \{h_1\}$ such that $\mu(h_1, h_2) \leq \mu(h_1, h_j)$ for all $h_j \in S^{\dagger} - \{h_1\}$. Then $I = \{h_1, h_2\}$ is an injective set for S.

Lemma 5.42. Suppose that $H \leq \mathcal{H}(p^n)$ and I is an injective set for any special generating set for H. Then H is central if and only if $I = \emptyset$.

Proof. Let S be any special generating set for H. If H is central, then $I = \emptyset$ by the same argument given in the proof of Lemma 5.41.

Suppose now that $I = \emptyset$ and H is not central. Then S^{\dagger} contains at least one element, say h_1 . Without loss of generality, we can assume $\nu(S^{\dagger}) = \nu(h_1)$. Thus, $h_1 \in I$ which contradicts the fact that I is empty. Therefore, H is central.

Lemma 5.43. Let $H \leq \mathcal{H}(p^n)$ and I an injective set for a special generating set S for H.

- (1) If $|S^{\dagger}| = 0$, then |I| = 0.
- (2) If $|S^{\dagger}| = 1$, then |I| = 1.
- (3) If $|S^{\dagger}| \ge 2$, then |I| = 2.

Proof. Let $H \leq \mathcal{H}(p^n)$. Then:

- (1) If $|S^{\dagger}| = 0$, then H is central. The result follows from Lemma 5.42.
- (2) If $|S^{\dagger}| > 0$, then *H* is non-central. Since $I \subseteq S^{\dagger}$, we must have $|I| \ge 1$ by Lemma 5.42. Thus, if $|S^{\dagger}| = 1$, then |I| = 1.
- (3) Suppose that $|S^{\dagger}| \geq 2$. Let $h_1 \in S^{\dagger}$ such that $\nu(h_1) = \nu(S^{\dagger})$. Then $h_1 \in I$. Since $S^{\dagger} - \{h_1\} \neq \emptyset$, there exists $h_2 \in S^{\dagger} - \{h_1\}$ such that $\mu(h_1, h_2) \leq \mu(h_1, h_2')$ for all $h_2' \in S^{\dagger} - \{h_1\}$. By maximality, we have $I = \{h_1, h_2\}$.

Lemma 5.44. Let S be a special generating set for
$$H \leq \mathcal{H}(p^n)$$
 and I an injective
set for S of cardinality 2. Put $I = \{h_1, h_2\}$, where $\nu(S^{\dagger}) = \nu(I) = \nu(h_1)$. If
 $h_j \in S^{\dagger} - \{h_1\}$, then h_j either does not commute with h_1 or commutes properly
with h_1 .

Proof. This follows directly from Lemma 5.36.

The next theorem illustrates the importance for injective sets.

Theorem 5.45. Let S be a special generating set for $H \leq \mathcal{H}(p^n)$ and I an injective set for S.

(1) If
$$|I| = 0$$
, then $|P(H)| = |C(H)|$.
(2) If $|I| = 1$, then $|P(H)| = p|C(H)|$.
(3) If $|I| = 2$, then $|P(H)| = p^2|C(H)|$.

Compare (3) with Theorem 5.39.

Proof. We invoke Lemma 3.5. If |I| = 0, then H is central and S consists of central elements. Hence, $P(H) = P(S) = \mathcal{H}(p^n) = C(H)$.

If |I| = 1, then $S^{\dagger} = \{h_1\}$ for some $h_1 \in S$. By Lemma 5.5 and Theorem 5.7, we have $|P(H)| = |P(S^{\dagger})| = |P(h_1)| = p|C(h_1)| = p|C(S^{\dagger})| = p|C(H)|$.

Suppose now that $I = \{h_1, h_2\}$. Throughout the rest of the proof we again adopt the notation from (5.31). By Lemma 5.44 we may assume the following:

- $\nu(S^{\dagger}) = \nu(I) = \nu(h_1);$
- h_2 does not commute with h_1 or h_2 commutes properly with h_1 . In the latter case, since h_2 belongs to a special generating set S, by Lemma 5.32 (1) we can write $h_2 \sim (r'_{21}, 0, r'_{23})^{p^{n-\nu(h_1)}}$ where $0 \leq r'_{21}, r'_{23} < p^{\nu(h_1)}$ and $r'_{21} + r'_{23} > 0$. If $r'_{2i} \neq 0$, then we write $r'_{2i} = r''_{2i}p^{k_i^{(2)}}$ where $k_i^{(2)} \geq 0$ and $p \nmid r''_{2i}$.

Let $\widehat{H} = \langle I \rangle \leq H$. Since S is a special generating set for H, I is a special generating set for \widehat{H} such that $I^{\dagger} = I$ because neither h_1 nor h_2 is central. Replacing S with I in Theorem 5.39, we obtain

$$P\left(\widehat{H}\right)/C\left(\widehat{H}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^2.$$

Let $z_1C(\widehat{H})$ and $z_2C(\widehat{H})$ be the generators for $P(\widehat{H})/C(\widehat{H})$ constructed in the proof of Theorem 5.39 (see (7), (8) and (12)). In principle, z_1 and z_2 both belong to $P(\widehat{H})$. The bulk of the proof will consist on showing that z_1 and z_2 are, in fact, in the potentially smaller group P(H). Assume this has been done and z_1 and z_2 belong to P(H). It follows from Lemma 5.13 that P(H)/C(H) is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_p or \mathbb{Z}_p^2 . By Lemma 3.5, the map

$$\psi: P(H)/C(H) \to P\left(\widehat{H}\right)/C\left(\widehat{H}\right)$$

given by $xC(H) \mapsto xC(\widehat{H})$ is a well defined homomorphism. Notice that $z_1C(H)$ and $z_2C(H)$ are distinct and non-trivial in P(H)/C(H). If P(H)/C(H) were isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_p , one of these elements would be a power of the other. But this would also hold for $z_1C(\widehat{H})$ and $z_2C(\widehat{H})$, which it does not. We conclude that ψ is an isomorphism and P(H)/C(H) is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_p^2 .

The possibly different values for w_i (see (8) and (12)) will not play a role at first. We show then that $z_1, z_2 \in P(h_j)$ for all $h_j \in S^{\dagger}$. Once this is established,

Lemmas 3.5 (5, 9) and 5.5 will give $z_1, z_2 \in P(S^{\dagger}) = P(H)$, completing the proof of the theorem.

Let $\mu(j) = \mu(h_1, h_j)$. For simplicity, we assume that $\nu(h_1) = k_{11}$. We already know that $z_1, z_2 \in P(h_j)$ for j = 1, 2. In our generalized argument we will omit the case j = 1 but still include the case j = 2. We define the following two sets:

 $S_1 = \{h \in S^{\dagger} \mid h \text{ does not commute with } h_1\}$ and

$$S_2 = \{h \in S^{\dagger} | h \neq h_1 \text{ and } h \text{ commutes properly with } h_1 \}.$$

Suppose first that $h_j \in S_1$ (j > 2). Using Lemma 4.7, we have (for i = 1, 2):

$$\begin{split} &[h_j, z_i]|_2 = \left\lfloor h_j, \nu h_1^{w_i} \left(0, 0, (2-i)r_{11}^{-1}p^{n-k_{11}-1} \right) \right\rfloor \right|_2 \\ &= \left\lfloor h_j, \nu h_1^{w_i} \right\rfloor \Big|_2 + \left\lfloor h_j, \left(0, 0, (2-i)r_{11}^{-1}p^{n-k_{11}-1} \right) \right\rfloor \Big|_2 \\ &= \left(w_i \left(r_{j1}r_{13}p^{k_{13}+k_{j1}-k_{11}} - r_{11}r_{j3}p^{k_{j3}} \right) + (2-i)r_{11}^{-1}r_{j1}p^{n+k_{j1}-k_{11}-1} \right) \\ &= \left(w_i r_j p^{\mu(j)} + (2-i)r_{11}^{-1}r_{j1}p^{n+k_{j1}-k_{11}-1} \right) \mod p^n, \end{split}$$

where, by definition of $\mu(j)$,

$$r_j = r_{13}r_{j1}p^{k_{13}+k_{j1}-k_{11}-\mu(j)} - r_{11}r_{j3}p^{k_{j3}-\mu(j)}$$

is a unit in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} . Since $\nu(S^{\dagger}) = k_{11} \leq k_{j1}$, we observe immediately that

(14)
$$n-1 \le n+k_{j1}-k_{11}-1.$$

We argue next that $\varphi(w_i r_j p^{\mu(j)}) \ge n-1$ for i = 1, 2 (see Notation 5.34). Indeed, using the explicit descriptions for w_1 and w_2 given by (8) and (12), a direct computation gives:

$$\begin{split} w_i r_j p^{\mu(j)} &= \\ \begin{cases} r_j r_2^{-1} \Big[(i-1) - (2-i) r_{11}^{-1} r_{21} p^{k_{21}-k_{11}} \Big] p^{n+\mu(j)-\mu(2)-1} & \text{if} \quad h_2 \in S_1; \\ r_j \widehat{r}_2^{-1} \Big[(i-1) - (2-i) r_{11}^{-1} r_{21}'' p^{n-2k_{11}+k_1^{(2)}} \Big] p^{n+\mu(j)-\mu(2)-1} & \text{if} \quad h_2 \in S_2, \, r'_{21} \neq 0; \\ r_j \widehat{r}_2^{-1} (i-1) p^{n+\mu(j)-\mu(2)-1} & \text{if} \quad h_2 \in S_2, \, r'_{21} = 0. \end{split}$$

Thus we have $\varphi(w_i r_j p^{\mu(j)}) \ge n + \mu(j) - \mu(2) - 1$ (we interpret 0 as p^n). Since $\nu(S^{\dagger}) = k_{11}$ and I is an injective set, we have $\mu(j) \ge \mu(2)$. From this it follows that

$$n-1 \le n+\mu(j)-\mu(2)-1 \le \varphi\left(w_i r_j p^{\mu(j)}\right).$$

Using (14) we deduce that $z_1, z_2 \in P(h_j)$, as promised.

Suppose next that $h_j \in S_2$ (j > 2). Then $h_j \sim (r'_{j1}, 0, r'_{j3})^{p^{n-k_{11}}}$, where $0 \leq r'_{j1}, r'_{j3} < p^{k_{11}}$ and $r'_{j1} + r'_{j3} > 0$. We have three subcases to consider:

Subcase I: Suppose that r'_{j1} , $r'_{j3} > 0$. Put $r'_{j1} = r''_{j1}p^{k_1^{(j)}}$ where $k_1^{(j)} \ge 0$ and $p \nmid r''_{j1}$, and $r'_{j3} = r''_{j3}p^{k_3^{(j)}}$ where $k_3^{(j)} \ge 0$ and $p \nmid r''_{j3}$. By Lemmas 4.7 and 5.15, we have (for i = 1, 2):

$$\begin{split} [h_{j}, z_{i}]|_{2} &= \left[\left(r_{j1}'' p^{k_{1}^{(j)}}, 0, r_{j3}'' p^{k_{3}^{(j)}} \right)^{p^{n-k_{11}}}, {}_{\nu} h_{1}^{w_{i}} \left(0, 0, (2-i)r_{11}^{-1} p^{n-k_{11}-1} \right) \right] \Big|_{2} \\ &= \left[\left(r_{j1}'' p^{k_{1}^{(j)}}, 0, r_{j3}'' p^{k_{3}^{(j)}} \right)^{p^{n-k_{11}}}, {}_{\nu} h_{1}^{w_{i}} \right] \Big|_{2} \\ &+ \left[\left(r_{j1}'' p^{k_{1}^{(j)}}, 0, r_{j3}'' p^{k_{3}^{(j)}} \right)^{p^{n-k_{11}}}, \left(0, 0, (2-i)r_{11}^{-1} p^{n-k_{11}-1} \right) \right] \Big|_{2} \\ &= \left(w_{i} \left[\left(r_{j1}', 0, r_{j3}' \right)^{p^{n-k_{11}}}, {}_{\nu} h_{1} \right] \Big|_{2} + (2-i)r_{j1}'' r_{11}^{-1} p^{2(n-k_{11})-1+k_{1}} \right) \\ (15) &= \left(w_{i} \widehat{r}_{j} p^{\mu(j)} + (2-i)r_{j1}'' r_{11}^{-1} p^{2(n-k_{11})-1+k_{1}^{(j)}} \right) \mod p^{n}, \end{split}$$

where

$$\widehat{r}_{j} = r_{j1}'' r_{13} p^{n+k_{1}^{(j)}+k_{13}-2k_{11}-\mu(j)} - r_{j3}'' r_{11} p^{n+k_{3}^{(j)}-k_{11}-\mu(j)}.$$

By the statement before Lemma 5.15,

(16)
$$\mu(j) = \mu \left(h_1, \left(r_{j1}'' p^{k_1^{(j)}}, 0, r_{j3}'' p^{k_3^{(j)}} \right)^{p^{n-k_{11}}} \right)$$
$$= \min \left\{ n + k_1^{(j)} + k_{13} - k_{11}, n + k_3^{(j)} \right\} - k_{11},$$

We conclude that \hat{r}_j is a well-defined unit in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} . We must show that the powers of p obtained in the above expression for $[h_j, z_i]|_2$ are at least n-1. By Lemma 5.32 (1), $2k_{11} \leq n + k_1^{(j)}$. Thus,

(17)
$$n-1 \le 2(n-k_{11}) + k_1^{(j)} - 1.$$

From this we can deduce that the power of p in the second term of (15) is at least n-1. We will prove that the same holds for the first term of (15) at the end of the proof.

Subcase II: Assume next that $r'_{j1} > 0$ and $r'_{j3} = 0$. As before, we write $r'_{j1} = r''_{j1}p^{k_1^{(j)}}$ with $k_1^{(j)} \ge 0$ and $p \nmid r''_{j1}$. By Lemmas 4.7 and 5.15 we have (for i = 1, 2):

$$[h_{j}, z_{i}]|_{2} = \left[\left(r_{j1}^{\prime\prime} p^{k_{1}^{(j)}}, 0, 0 \right)^{p^{n-k_{11}}}, {}_{\nu} h_{1}^{w_{i}} \left(0, 0, (2-i)r_{11}^{-1}p^{n-k_{11}-1} \right) \right] \Big|_{2}$$

$$= \left[\left(r_{j1}^{\prime\prime} p^{k_{1}^{(j)}}, 0, 0 \right)^{p^{n-k_{11}}}, {}_{\nu} h_{1}^{w_{i}} \right] \Big|_{2}$$

$$+ \left[\left(r_{j1}^{\prime\prime} p^{k_{1}^{(j)}}, 0, 0 \right)^{p^{n-k_{11}}}, \left(0, 0, (2-i)r_{11}^{-1}p^{n-k_{11}-1} \right) \right] \Big|_{2}$$

$$= \left(w_{i} \left[\left(r_{j1}^{\prime}, 0, r_{j3}^{\prime} \right)^{p^{n-k_{11}}}, {}_{\nu} h_{1} \right] \Big|_{2} + (2-i)r_{j1}^{\prime\prime} r_{11}^{-1}p^{2(n-k_{11})-1+k_{1}} \right)$$

$$(18) \qquad = \left(w_{i} \hat{r}_{j} p^{\mu(j)} + (2-i)r_{j1}^{\prime\prime} r_{11}^{-1} p^{2(n-k_{11})-1+k_{1}^{\prime}} \right) \mod p^{n},$$

where

$$\widehat{r}_j = r_{j1}'' r_{13} p^{n+k_1^{(j)}+k_{13}-2k_{11}-\mu(j)}.$$

Since h_j commutes properly with h_1 , the same calculation that led to (11) gives that $\mu(j) = n + k_{13} + k_1^{(j)} - 2k_{11}$. We conclude that \hat{r}_j is, once again, a well-defined unit. Again, we can deduce that the exponent of p in the second term of (18) is at least n-1. We will prove that the same is true for the first term of (18) at the end of the proof.

Subcase III: Finally, assume that $r'_{j1} = 0$ and $r'_{j3} > 0$. As usual, write $r'_{j3} = r''_{j3}p^{k_3^{(j)}}$ with $k_3^{(j)} \ge 0$ and $p \nmid r''_{j3}$. Using Lemmas 4.7 and 5.15 and computing in the standard way, we have (for i = 1, 2):

$$[h_{j}, z_{i}]|_{2} = \left[\left(0, 0, r_{j3}'' p^{k_{3}^{(j)}} \right)^{p^{n-k_{11}}}, {}_{\nu} h_{1}^{w_{i}} \left(0, 0, (2-i)r_{11}^{-1}p^{n-k_{11}-1} \right) \right] \Big|_{2}$$

$$= \left[\left(0, 0, r_{j3}'' p^{k_{3}^{(j)}} \right)^{p^{n-k_{11}}}, {}_{\nu} h_{1}^{w_{i}} \right] \Big|_{2}$$

$$+ \left[\left(0, 0, r_{j3}'' p^{k_{3}^{(j)}} \right)^{p^{n-k_{11}}}, \left(0, 0, (2-i)r_{11}^{-1}p^{n-k_{11}-1} \right) \right] \Big|_{2}$$

$$= \left(w_{i} \left[\left(r_{j1}', 0, r_{j3}' \right)^{p^{n-k_{11}}}, {}_{\nu} h_{1} \right] \Big|_{2} + (2-i)r_{j1}'' r_{11}^{-1}p^{2(n-k_{11})-1+k_{1}} \right)$$

$$(19) \qquad = w_{i} \hat{r}_{j} p^{\mu(j)} \bmod p^{n},$$

where $\hat{r}_j = -r_{j3}'' r_{11} p^{n+k_3^{(j)}-k_{11}-\mu(j)}$. By the statement before Lemma 5.15 we have:

$$\mu(j) = \mu\left(h_1, \left(0, 0, r_{j3}'' p^{k_3^{(j)}}\right)^{p^{n-k_{11}}}\right) = \min\left\{n + k_{13}, n + k_3^{(j)}\right\} - k_{11}.$$

Since $r'_{j3} \neq 0$, we have that $n + k_3^{(j)} - k_{11} < n$, and hence, $k_3^{(j)} < k_{11}$. Moreover, $\nu(h_1) = k_{11} \le k_{13}$ readily implies that $\mu(j) = n + k_3^{(j)} - k_{11}$ in this case. We again conclude that \hat{r}_j is a well-defined unit.

To finish the proof, we calculate the power of p in the first term of (15), (18), and in the only term of (19). We have:

$$\begin{split} w_i \hat{r}_j p^{\mu(j)} &= \\ \begin{cases} \hat{r}_j r_2^{-1} \Big[(i-1) - (2-i) r_{11}^{-1} r_{21} p^{k_{21}-k_{11}} \Big] p^{n+\mu(j)-\mu(2)-1} & \text{if} \quad h_2 \in S_1; \\ \hat{r}_j \hat{r}_2^{-1} \Big[(i-1) - (2-i) r_{11}^{-1} r_{21}'' p^{n-2k_{11}+k_1^{(2)}} \Big] p^{n+\mu(j)-\mu(2)-1} & \text{if} \quad h_2 \in S_2, \, r_{21}' \neq 0; \\ \hat{r}_j \hat{r}_2^{-1} (i-1) p^{n+\mu(j)-\mu(2)-1} & \text{if} \quad h_2 \in S_2, \, r_{21}' = 0. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Thus, we have $\varphi\left(w_i \hat{r}_j p^{\mu(j)}\right) \ge n + \mu(j) - \mu(2) - 1$ (we interpret 0 as p^n). Since $\nu\left(S^{\dagger}\right) = k_{11}$ and I is an injective set, we have $\mu(j) \ge \mu(2)$. From this it follows that

$$n-1 \le n+\mu(j)-\mu(2)-1 \le \varphi\left(w_i \widehat{r}_j p^{\mu(j)}\right).$$

By (2) of Corollary 4.9, $w_i \hat{r}_j p^{\mu(j)}$ belongs to $P(h_j)$ in all situations. From this we deduce that $z_1, z_2 \in P(h_j)$, as promised.

Corollary 5.46. Let $H \leq \mathcal{H}(p^n)$ with special generating sets S_1 and S_2 . If I_1 and I_2 are injective sets for S_1 and S_2 respectively, then $|I_1| = |I_2|$.

In light of Corollary 5.46, we can now generalize Definition 5.40.

Definition 5.47. An *injective set* for any subgroup H of $\mathcal{H}(p^n)$ is an injective set for some special generating set for H.

Remark 5.48. By Corollary 5.46, every injective set of a subgroup of $\mathcal{H}(p^n)$ has the same cardinality.

We now digress and complete the proof of Lemma 5.2 using Theorem 5.45. Suppose that $H \leq \mathcal{H}(p^n)$ and P(H) = C(H). We assert that $H \leq Z(\mathcal{H}(p^n))$. Let I be any injective set for H. We claim that I must be empty. If $I \neq \emptyset$, then $|P(H)| = p^i |C(H)|$ for i = 1 or i = 2 by Theorem 5.45. This means that |P(H)| > |C(H)| and, consequently, $P(H) \neq C(H)$. This contradicts the hypothesis that P(H) = C(H) and proves the claim. Hence, by Lemma 5.42, $H \leq Z(\mathcal{H}(p^n))$ as asserted.

The next lemma will be needed later.

Lemma 5.49. Let $q : \mathcal{H}(p^n) \to \mathcal{H}(p^{n-1})$ be the group homomorphism from Lemma 4.8. If $H \leq \mathcal{H}(p^{n-1})$ and I is an injective set for $q^{-1}(H)$, then |I| = 2.

Proof. Let S be a special generating set for H. We fix S, once and for all, for the rest of the proof. For $h \in S$ we let \hat{h} be the same element as h, except viewed as lying in $\mathcal{H}(p^n)$. We claim that

$$\widehat{S} = \left\{ \widehat{h} \mid h \in S \right\} \bigcup \left\{ \left(p^{n-1}, 0, 0 \right), \left(0, 0, p^{n-1} \right), \left(0, p^{n-1}, 0 \right) \right\}$$

is a generating set for $q^{-1}(H)$. To see this, let $x \in q^{-1}(H)$. Then $q(x) \in H = \langle S \rangle$, so that $q(x) = s_1^{m_1} \cdots s_l^{m_l}$ for some $s_1, \ldots, s_l \in S$ and integers m_1, \ldots, m_l . Set $y = (\hat{s}_1)^{m_1} \cdots (\hat{s}_l)^{m_l}$, and note that q(y) = q(x). This means that

$$xy^{-1} \in \ker q = \operatorname{im} f = \left\langle \left(p^{n-1}, 0, 0\right), \left(0, 0, p^{n-1}\right), \left(0, p^{n-1}, 0\right) \right\rangle,$$

proving our claim.

We have two cases to consider:

<u>**CASE I**</u>: H is central.

In this case, $H = \langle s \rangle$ for some $s \in Z(\mathcal{H}(p^{n-1}))$. Hence, $q^{-1}(H)$ is generated by

$$\widehat{S} = \{\widehat{s}, (p^{n-1}, 0, 0), (0, 0, p^{n-1}), (0, p^{n-1}, 0)\}.$$

By definition of \hat{s} , both \hat{s} and $(0, p^{n-1}, 0)$ lie in $Z(\mathcal{H}(p^n))$. Hence, there exists $z \in Z(\mathcal{H}(p^n))$ such that

$$q^{-1}(H) = \left\langle \left(p^{n-1}, 0, 0\right), z, \left(0, 0, p^{n-1}\right) \right\rangle.$$

Notice that $\{(p^{n-1}, 0, 0), z, (0, 0, p^{n-1})\}$ is a special generating set and $\{(p^{n-1}, 0, 0), (0, 0, p^{n-1})\}$ an injective set for $q^{-1}(H)$. This completes the first case.

<u>**CASE II</u>**: H is non-central.</u>

We handle first the situation when injective sets have two elements. Accordingly, we let $I = \{h_1, h_2\} \subseteq S^{\dagger}$ be an injective set for H. Without loss of generality, we set $\nu(I) = \nu(S^{\dagger}) = \nu(h_1)$. Recall that

$$\widehat{S} = \left\{ \widehat{h} \mid h \in S \right\} \bigcup \left\{ \left(p^{n-1}, \, 0, \, 0 \right), \left(0, \, 0, \, p^{n-1} \right), \left(0, \, p^{n-1}, \, 0 \right) \right\}$$

generates $q^{-1}(H)$. Note also that

$$\widehat{S}^{\dagger} = \left\{ \widehat{h} \mid h \in S \right\} \bigcup \left\{ \left(p^{n-1}, \, 0, \, 0 \right), \left(0, \, 0, \, p^{n-1} \right) \right\}.$$

In particular, observe that \hat{h}_1 and \hat{h}_2 lie in \hat{S}^{\dagger} . Our goal is to construct a special generating set as outlined in the proof of Lemma 5.41. By construction, for all $h \in S^{\dagger}$ we have

$$\nu\left(\widehat{h}_{1}\right) \leq \nu\left(\widehat{h}\right) \leq \nu\left(p^{n-1}, 0, 0\right), \nu\left(0, 0, p^{n-1}\right).$$

This ensures that $\nu\left(\widehat{S}^{\dagger}\right) = \nu\left(\widehat{h}_{1}\right)$. Now, $\widehat{h}_{2} \nsim \left(\widehat{h}_{1}\right)^{w}$ for any w > 0. For if $\widehat{h}_{2} \sim \left(\widehat{h}_{1}\right)^{w}$ for some w > 0, then $h_2 = q\left(\hat{h}_2\right) \sim q\left(\hat{h}_1\right)^{\omega} = h_1^{\omega}$, and thus, S would not be special. We proceed as in the proof of Lemma 5.41 and obtain a special generating set \widehat{S}' containing \widehat{h}_1 and \widehat{h}_2 . Thus, $\left|\left(\widehat{S}'\right)'\right| > 1$.

To finish the proof we consider the case in which $I = \{h\} \subseteq S^{\dagger}$ is an injective set for H with $\nu(I) = \nu(S^{\dagger}) = \nu(h)$. Again, we follow the proof of Lemma 5.41 to construct a special generating set \widehat{S}' from \widehat{S} as in the first situation. By the same argument as in the previous paragraphs we must have $\hat{h} \in (\hat{S}')^{'}$.

Notice that if $h|_1 = 0$, then there is no w > 0 such that $(\hat{h})^w \sim (p^{n-1}, 0, 0)$. Similarly, if $h|_3 = 0$, then there is no w > 0 such that $(\hat{h})^w \sim (0, 0, p^{n-1})$. This means that the special generating set \hat{S}' obtained from \hat{S} must contain \hat{h} and either $(p^{n-1}, 0, 0)$ or $(0, 0, p^{n-1})$. Thus, $\left|\left(\widehat{S}'\right)^{\dagger}\right| \geq 2$. The result follows from Lemma 5.43 (3).

Suppose now that $h|_1$ and $h|_3$ are non-zero and that there are $w_1 > 0$ and $w_2 > 0$ such that $\hat{h}^{w_1} \sim (p^{n-1}, 0, 0)$ and $\hat{h}^{w_2} \sim (0, 0, p^{n-1})$. Using the notation given in (5.8) we must have:

(1)
$$r_1 w_1 p^{k_1} = p^{n-1} \mod p^n;$$

(2) $r_3 w_1 p^{k_3} = p^n \mod p^n;$
(3) $r_3 w_2 p^{k_3} = p^{n-1} \mod p^n;$
(4) $r_1 w_2 p^{k_1} = p^n \mod p^n.$

From (1) we can deduce that $w_1 = r_1^{-1} p^{n-1-k_1}$ and substituting in (2) we obtain $k_3 \ge k_1 + 1$. Similarly, from (3) we obtain $w_2 = r_3^{-1} p^{n-1-k_3}$ and substituting in (4) we obtain $k_1 \ge k_3 + 1$. From this we get $k_1 \ge k_1 + 2$ which is absurd. Thus, we must have either $\hat{h}^w \nsim (p^{n-1}, 0, 0)$ or $\hat{h}^w \nsim (0, 0, p^{n-1})$ for all w > 0.

34 DAVID ALLEN, JOSÉ J. LA LUZ, STEPHEN MAJEWICZ, AND MARCOS ZYMAN

We conclude that the special generating set \widehat{S}' must contain \widehat{h} and either $(p^{n-1}, 0, 0)$ or $(0, 0, p^{n-1})$. Thus $\left| \left(\widehat{S}' \right)^{\dagger} \right| \geq 2$ and this gives the result by Lemma 5.43 (3). \Box

Next we define a function that will allow us to consolidate some of our earlier results. By Remark 5.48, this function is well-defined.

Definition 5.50. For any $H \leq \mathcal{H}(p^n)$ and any injective set I for H, we define:

 $\delta(H) = 3 - |I|$

Lemma 5.51. If $H \leq \mathcal{H}(p^n)$, then $|C(H)| = p^{\delta(H)}|C(q(H))|$.

Proof. The cases when $\delta(H) = 2$ and 3 follow from Corollary 5.12 and Lemma 5.42. If $\delta(H) = 1$, then it follows from (4) of Lemma 3.5 and Theorem 5.45 that

$$p^{2}|C(H)| = |P(H)| = |\ker q||C(q(H))| = |\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{3}||C(q(H))| = p^{3}|C(q(H))|.$$

This gives the result.

6. The chermak-delgado and pseudo chermak-delgado measure

Definition 6.1. The Chermak-Delgado measure of a subgroup H of a finite group G is m(H) = |H||C(H)|. The maximum of the set $\{m(H) | H \leq G\}$ is denoted by $m^*(G)$ and termed the Chermak-Delgado measure of G (see [1] for details).

Our goal in this section is to find $m^*(\mathcal{H}(p^n))$. This will be achieved by studying the relationship between $m^*(\mathcal{H}(p^n))$ and the so-called *pseudo Chermak-Delgado measure* of $\mathcal{H}(p^n)$. Before we introduce this new concept, we prove a useful lemma which involves a short exact sequence from the earlier part of this paper.

Lemma 6.2. For the short exact sequence (2) and $H \leq \mathcal{H}(p^{n-1})$, we have

$$m\left(q^{-1}(H)\right) = p^4 m(H).$$

Proof. Let $K = q^{-1}(H)$. By Theorem 3.6, $|K| = |K \cap \ker q| |q(K)|$. Now, $\ker q = \lim f$, $\lim f \leq K$, and |q(K)| = |H|. Thus,

(20)
$$|K| = |K \cap \inf f||H| = |\inf f||H| = |\ker q||H| = p^3|H|.$$

Using Lemma 5.51, we have

$$m(K) = |K||C(K)| = p^{3}|H||C(K)| = p^{3}|H|p^{\delta(K)}|C(H)| = p^{3+\delta(K)}m(H).$$

By Lemma 5.49, any injective set for $q^{-1}(H)$ has cardinality 2. Thus, $\delta(K) = 1$ and the result follows.

Definition 6.3. For a short exact sequence (1) and $H \leq G$, the *pseudo Chermak-Delgado measure* of H, with respect to q, denoted by $m_s(H; q)$, is defined as

$$m_s(H; q) = |H||P(H; q)|.$$

The maximum of the set $\{m_s(H; q) | H \leq G\}$ is denoted by $m_s^*(G; q)$.

Notation 6.4. If the short exact sequence is fixed, then we write $m_s(H)$ and $m_s^*(G)$ for $m_s(H; q)$ and $m_s^*(G; q)$ respectively.

The next few results relate the two measures in certain instances.

Lemma 6.5. For any short exact sequence (1) and $H \leq G$, we have

$$m_s(H) \ge m(H)$$
 and $m_s^*(G) \ge m^*(G)$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.5(1).

Lemma 6.6. For any short exact sequence (1) and $H \leq G$, we have

$$m_s(H) = m(q(H))|G_1||\text{im } f \cap H|.$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.6, we have $|H| = |\ker q \cap H| |q(H)| = |\operatorname{im} f \cap H| |q(H)|$. Using Lemma 3.5 (4), we get $m_s(H) = |H| |P(H)| = |\operatorname{im} f \cap H| |q(H)| |G_1| |C(q(H))| = m(q(H)) |G_1| |\operatorname{im} f \cap H|$.

Corollary 6.7. For any short exact sequence (1) and $H \leq G_2$, we have

$$m_s(q^{-1}(H)) = m(H)|G_1|^2.$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 6.6 and the fact that im $f \leq q^{-1}(H)$.

Lemma 6.8. For the short exact sequence (2) and any $H \leq \mathcal{H}(p^n)$, we have

$$m_s(H) = p^{3-\delta(H)}m(H).$$

Proof. By Lemmas 3.5 (4) and 5.51, we have

$$m_s(H) = |H||P(H)| = |H| \left| \mathbb{Z}_p^3 \right| |C(q(H))| = |H|p^3 p^{-\delta(H)}|C(H)| = p^{3-\delta(H)}m(H).$$

Lemma 6.9. For the short exact sequence (2) and $H \leq \mathcal{H}(p^{n-1})$, we have

$$m_s\left(q^{-1}(H)\right) = p^6 m(H).$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.5 (4) and Equation (20), we have

$$m_s \left(q^{-1}(H) \right) = \left| q^{-1}(H) \right| \left| P \left(q^{-1}(H) \right) \right| = p^3 \left| H \right| \left| \mathbb{Z}_p^3 \right| \left| C(H) \right| = p^6 m(H).$$

7. THE CHERMAK-DELGADO AND PSEUDO CHERMAK-DELGADO LATTICE

For any finite group G, let $\mathcal{CD}(G) = \{H \leq G \mid m^*(G) = m(H)\}$ and, for a fixed short exact sequence (1), $\mathcal{PCD}(G) = \{H \leq G \mid m_s^*(G) = m_s(H)\}$. Suppose that $H, K \in \mathcal{CD}(G)$. It is known that $\mathcal{CD}(G)$ is a lattice, called the *Chermak-Delgado Lattice*, with the meet operation defined as $H \wedge K = H \cap K$ and the join operation defined as $H \vee K = HK$. One can show that $HK = \langle H, K \rangle$ in this situation. Furthermore, the centralizer C is a lattice automorphism of $\mathcal{CD}(G)$. Thus, if $H \leq \mathcal{CD}(G)$, then $C(H) \leq \mathcal{CD}(G)$. See [1], [3], and [5] for details.

We omit the proof of the next theorem, which is essentially the same as that of Lemma 1.1 given in [1], except that one uses the properties of the pseudocentralizer along with commutator calculus.

Theorem 7.1. For any short exact sequence (1), $\mathcal{PCD}(G)$ is a lattice with operations \cap and \langle , \rangle and P (the pseudocentralizer) is a lattice automorphism of $\mathcal{PCD}(G)$.

Theorem 7.2. For any short exact sequence (1), we have $\mathcal{PCD}(G) = q^{-1}(\mathcal{CD}(G_2))$.

Proof. Let $H \in \mathcal{CD}(G_2)$. If $K \in \mathcal{PCD}(G)$, then $m(q(K)) \leq m(H)$ and $m_s(K) \geq m_s(q^{-1}(H))$. By Lemma 6.6 and Corollary 6.7, we have

$$m_s(K) = m(q(K))|G_1||im f \cap K| \le m(H)|G_1|^2 = m_s(q^{-1}(H)).$$

This means that $m_s(q^{-1}(H)) = m_s(K)$ and, thus, $q^{-1}(H) \in \mathcal{PCD}(G)$. Therefore, $q^{-1}(\mathcal{CD}(G_2)) \subseteq \mathcal{PCD}(G)$.

Suppose now that $K \in \mathcal{PCD}(G)$. We prove that $K \in q^{-1}(\mathcal{CD}(G_2))$ by first showing that $q(K) \in \mathcal{CD}(G_2)$. If $q(K) \notin \mathcal{CD}(G_2)$, then for any $H \in \mathcal{CD}(G_2)$ we have m(q(K)) < m(H). By Lemma 6.6 and Corollary 6.7 again, we have $m_s(q^{-1}(H)) >$ $m_s(K)$. This is a contradiction since $m_s(K) \ge m_s(q^{-1}(H))$. Thus, $q(K) \in \mathcal{CD}(G_2)$. Now, $m_s(K) = m_s(q^{-1} \circ q)(K)$ because the first part of the proof gives that $(q^{-1} \circ q)(K) \in \mathcal{PCD}(G)$. By Lemma 6.6 and Corollary 6.7, we have

$$m(q(K))|G_1||im f \cap K| = m_s(K) = m_s((q^{-1} \circ q)(K)) = m(q(K))|G_1|^2.$$

This means that $|\operatorname{im} f \cap K| = |G_1|$. Hence, $\operatorname{im} f \leq K$. By Theorem 3.6, $|K| = |G_1||q(K)|$ and $|(q^{-1} \circ q)(K)| = |G_1||q(K)|$. The second equality follows from noting that $q\left((q^{-1} \circ q)(K)\right) = q(K)$ and recalling that (1) is exact; so that $G_1 = f^{-1}((q^{-1} \circ q)(K) \cap \ker q)$. However, $K \leq (q^{-1} \circ q)(K)$ and, consequently, $K = (q^{-1} \circ q)(K)$. Since $q(K) \in \mathcal{CD}(G_2)$, we have $K \in q^{-1}(\mathcal{CD}(G_2))$.

The first step in computing $m^*(\mathcal{H}(p^n))$ is to find $m^*(\mathcal{H}(p))$. This is done using standard group theory.

Lemma 7.3. For any prime p, we have $m^*(\mathcal{H}(p)) = p^4$.

Proof. Set $Z = Z(\mathcal{H}(p))$ and $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(p)$. Note that $m(1) = p^3$, $m(\mathcal{H}) = p^4$, and $m(Z) = |Z||\mathcal{H}| = pp^3 = p^4$. Let $K \neq Z$ be a proper non-trivial subgroup of \mathcal{H} . Then either $|K| = p^2$ or |K| = p.

(i) If $|K| = p^2$, then C(K) is properly contained in \mathcal{H} . Otherwise, we would have $K \leq C(C(K)) = Z$, which is impossible. Thus, $m(K) \leq p^4$.

(ii) If |K| = p, then C(K) is properly contained in \mathcal{H} since $K \neq Z$. Hence, $m(K) \leq p^3$.

We now arrive at the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 7.4. For any prime p, we have $m^*(\mathcal{H}(p^n)) = p^{4n}$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on n. The base step (n = 1) follows from Lemma 7.3. Suppose that the theorem is true for all values up to n - 1. Since $Z(\mathcal{H}(p^n))$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} , $m(Z(\mathcal{H}(p^n)) = p^{4n}$. Hence, $m^*(\mathcal{H}(p^n)) \ge p^{4n}$.

By Theorem 7.2, for any $H \in \mathcal{CD}(\mathcal{H}(p^{n-1}))$, we know that

(21)
$$m_s^*\left(\mathcal{H}\left(p^n\right)\right) = m_s\left(q^{-1}(H)\right)$$

Combining (21), Lemmas 6.9 and 6.5, and the induction hypothesis, gives us

 $(22) \quad m^*\left(\mathcal{H}\left(p^n\right)\right) \le m^*_s\left(\mathcal{H}\left(p^n\right)\right) = m_s\left(q^{-1}(H)\right) = p^6m(H) = p^6p^{4n-4} = p^{4n+2}.$

We conclude that $p^{4n} \leq m^*(\mathcal{H}(p^n)) \leq p^{4n+2}$. Hence, $m^*(\mathcal{H}(p^n)) = p^{4n+i}$ for some $0 \leq i \leq 2$.

The remainder of the proof consists of showing that i = 0. Suppose, on the contrary, that i > 0, and let $K \in CD(\mathcal{H}(p^n))$. By Lemma 6.8 and (22), we have

$$p^{4n+2} \ge m_s(K) = p^{3-\delta(K)}m(K) = p^{3-\delta(K)}p^{4n+i} = p^{4n+3+i-\delta(K)}$$

This gives $4n + 3 + i - \delta(K) \le 4n + 2$; that is, $i + 1 \le \delta(K) \le 3$. Since i = 1 or i = 2, we have $\delta(K) = 2$ or $\delta(K) = 3$.

Case I: $\delta(K) = 3$

In this case, $K \leq Z(\mathcal{H}(p^n))$ by Lemma 5.42. Hence, $|K| \leq p^n$ and $|C(K)| = p^{3n}$. This implies that $m(K) \leq p^{4n}$. Since $K \in \mathcal{CD}(\mathcal{H}(p^n))$ and $m^*(\mathcal{H}(p^n)) = p^{4n+i}$, we obtain $m(K) = p^{4n+i} \leq p^{4n}$. This means that i = 0. But this cannot happen as i > 0. And so, $\delta(K) \neq 3$.

Case II: $\delta(K) = 2$

Since $\delta(K) = 2$, any injective set of K will have cardinality 1. Furthermore, i = 1 and $m(K) = p^{4n+1}$. By Lemma 6.8, $m_s(K) = p^{3-2}m(K) = p^{4n+2}$. Hence, $K \in \mathcal{PCD}(\mathcal{H}(p^n))$ by (22). This shows that $m_s^*(\mathcal{H}(p^n)) = p^{4n+2}$. By Theorem 7.2, there exists $\widehat{K} \in \mathcal{CD}(\mathcal{H}(p^{n-1}))$ such that $K = q^{-1}(\widehat{K})$. Thus, K has an injective set of cardinality 2 by Lemma 5.49, a contradiction. And so, i = 0.

Corollary 7.5. For all primes p, we have $\mathcal{PCD}(\mathcal{H}(p^n)) \subseteq \mathcal{CD}(\mathcal{H}(p^n))$.

Proof. Let $K \in \mathcal{PCD}(\mathcal{H}(p^n))$. By Theorem 7.2, $K = q^{-1}(H)$ for some $H \in \mathcal{CD}(\mathcal{H}(p^{n-1}))$. By Lemma 6.2 and Theorem 7.4, we obtain $m(q^{-1}(H)) = p^{4n}$. Thus, $m(q^{-1}(H)) = m^*(\mathcal{H}(p^n))$ by Theorem 7.4.

Remark 7.6. The previous Corollary is not always true for groups different from $\mathcal{H}(p^n)$. Consider the short exact sequence:

$$1 \to A_3 \to S_3 \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \to 1$$

Then $\mathcal{CD}(S_3) = \{A_3\}$ and, by Lemma 3.7, $\mathcal{PCD}(S_3) = \{S_3\}$.

8. Appendix

Proof of Theorem 3.6

Let $H_1 = H \cap \ker q$, so that $\widehat{H}_1 = f^{-1}(H_1)$. Since f is injective, $\left|\widehat{H}_1\right| = |H_1|$. For each $y \in \widehat{H}_2$, fix an element x_y in $q^{-1}(y)$ if $y \neq 1$, and let $x_1 = 1$. Put $H_2 = \{x_y \mid y \in \widehat{H}_2\}$, and observe that H_2 is merely a subset of G and not a subgroup in general. It is clear that $|H_2| = \left|\widehat{H}_2\right|$. We claim that $H_1 \cap H_2 = \{1\}$. To see this, let $x \in H_1 \cap H_2$. Since $x \in H_1 = H \cap \ker q$, then q(x) = 1. Now, assume $x \neq 1$. Then $x \in H_2$ implies that $x = x_y \in q^{-1}(y)$ for some $y \in \widehat{H}_2 - \{1\}$. This means that $q(x) = y \neq 1$, a contradiction.

We define a set map $\psi : \widehat{H}_1 \times \widehat{H}_2 \to H$ as follows:

$$\psi\left(\widehat{h}_1, \widehat{h}_2\right) = f\left(\widehat{h}_1\right) x_{\widehat{h}_2}$$

It is easy to see that ψ is well-defined. We prove that ψ is a bijection. Suppose that $\psi\left(\hat{h}_{1},\hat{h}_{2}\right) = \psi\left(\hat{h}'_{1},\hat{h}'_{2}\right)$. Then $f\left(\hat{h}_{1}\right)x_{\hat{h}_{2}} = f\left(\hat{h}'_{1}\right)x_{\hat{h}'_{2}}$. This means $f\left(\left(\hat{h}'_{1}\right)^{-1}\hat{h}_{1}\right)x_{\hat{h}_{2}} = x_{\hat{h}'_{2}}$ and, thus,

$$\widehat{h}_2 = q\left(f\left(\left(\widehat{h}_1'\right)^{-1}\widehat{h}_1\right)x_{\widehat{h}_2}\right) = q\left(x_{\widehat{h}_2'}\right) = \widehat{h}_2'.$$

This gives $f(\hat{h}_1) x_{\hat{h}_2} = f(\hat{h}'_1) x_{\hat{h}_2}$; that is, $f(\hat{h}_1) = f(\hat{h}'_1)$. Since f is injective, $\hat{h}_1 = \hat{h}'_1$, thus confirming that ψ is injective.

Now let $h \in H$. If q(h) = 1, then $h \in H_1 = H \cap \ker q$, and there is an $\hat{h} \in \widehat{H_1}$ such that $f\left(\hat{h}\right) = h$ and, thus, $\psi\left(\hat{h}, 1\right) = h$. Suppose next that $q(h) = \hat{h}_2 \neq 1$, and note that $hx_{\hat{h}_2}^{-1} \in H$. In fact, since $q(h) = \hat{h}_2 = q\left(x_{\hat{h}_2}\right)$, it follows that $hx_{\hat{h}_2}^{-1} \in \ker q \cap H = H_1$. Now, the restriction of f to \hat{H}_1 is a bijection between \hat{H}_1 and H_1 , so there exists a unique $\hat{h}_1 \in \hat{H}_1$ such that $f\left(\hat{h}_1\right) = hx_{\hat{h}_2}^{-1}$. It follows that $\psi\left(\hat{h}_1, \hat{h}_2\right) = f\left(\hat{h}_1\right)x_{\hat{h}_2} = h$. And so, ψ is a bijection. This completes the proof.

References

- Chermak A., Delgado A., A Measuring Argument for Finite Groups, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 107, No. 4 (Dec. 1989)
- [2] Clement A., Majewicz S., Zyman M., The Theory of Nilpotent Groups, Birkhäuser.
- [3] Wilcox, E. Exploring the Chermak-Delgado Lattice, Mathematics Magazine, Vol. 89, No. 1 (Feb. 2016) pp. 38 - 44

40 DAVID ALLEN, JOSÉ J. LA LUZ, STEPHEN MAJEWICZ, AND MARCOS ZYMAN

- [4] Brewster, B., Wilcox, E. Some Groups with Computable Chermak-Delgado Lattices, Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society, 86 (2012,) pp. 29-40
- [5] Isaacs, I. M. Finite Group Theory, AMS, 2008, Providence, Rhode Island

Department of Mathematics, Borough of Manhattan Community College (CUNY), New York 10007

Email address: dtallen@bmcc.cuny.edu

Departmento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Puerto Rico, Industrial Minillas 170 Car 174, Bayamón, PR, 00959-1919

Email address: jose.laluz1@upr.edu

Department of Mathematics, Kingsborough Community College (CUNY), Brooklyn, New York 11235

Email address: smajewicz@kbcc.cuny.edu

Department of Mathematics, Borough of Manhattan Community College (CUNY), New York 10007

Email address: mzyman@bmcc.cuny.edu